Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.cb

2000-12

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 2000-12-01 through 2000-12-31

Source text: rec.radio.cb.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 4,670

Message records with no readable body available in the source text: 1

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. Re: off the topic but funny as hell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: spike440@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25732-3A275927-42@storefull-134.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61442

Anyone know if most Hams are anything like N8wwm? Because I don't want to waste my effort to get a license, or the money to buy a ham rig if they all are like him/her. I just started visiting this ng and others lately because my buddies are trying to talk me into Ham. I may as well stick to CB if Hams are like this person, I already have the equipment, and the people seem nicer/smarter than this "ham".

2. HR-2346 (local CB enforcement) Fines??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3A27619E.22EAE3F6@sccoast.net>
Source record: 61443

I was just wondering something about HR-2346? Since this allows local legislators to write laws based on FCC regulations, will that include the forfeiture amounts spelled out in the FCC regulations? In other words, are we talking about local courts handing out fines up to $20,000 for each rule violation? If so, that will certainly encourage local law enforcement officers to go after CB'ers with a vengeance (there are very few $20,000 speeding tickets).

Dwight Stewart

3. Re: A sum up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary <heppu@boxi.net>
Message-ID: <907nq9$m1m$1@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 61444

Thank you gentlemen,
This was indeed the reason why I wrote the "Top Ten" list above. For some reason none of you mentioned my point of view concerning the role of (at least) some rules that should (in my opinion, that is) be followed in radio communication: the name of this newsgroup is NOT "rec.radio.freebandcb" - it's "rec.radio.cb".
With this I am trying to defend the CBers who have their legal rigs, antennas etc. - the people who simply enjoy talking on the radio, chatting with people, developing their skills in understanding propagation, feedlines and such. That must be the reason we have a thing called Citizens' Band. Those are the kind of radio hobbyists who suffer from the negative public image of CB radio - they do not use illegal equipment, excessive power - they lead nice and decent conversations on their channels. Which, needless to say, I find a very, very good hobby. I see very little difference between their interest in radios and the interest of hams in theirs. Besides, many hams have legal CB rigs and like working there. We just can't use our callsigns there (at least in my country) - that's why my handle here is "Karppi", when I take a CB mike.
Let's not let the bad apples ruin the whole basket.

73, Gary

4. Re: Another troll strikes...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary <heppu@boxi.net>
Message-ID: <907nvq$m4p$1@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 61445

The same goes for me, Scott. No need to grab back the eleven meters band - we already have ten and twelve meters. Relax and enjoy your eleven. Gary

5. Re: off the topic but funny as hell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary <heppu@boxi.net>
Message-ID: <907o69$m7c$1@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 61446

A bit of humor never hurt anyone, eh? Before you two get into a fist fight behind the bar, let's laugh. It adds to life, I hear. Gary

6. Re: FRS base antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: TR@sky.net
Message-ID: <3a271d0f.292157722@news.idsi.net>
Source record: 61447

On 30 Nov 2000 05:24:17 GMT, hankjr941@aol.com (Hank JR941) wrote:

>oh im sooooooooo sorry. Mr FRS police officer, I would be hurting noone by
>doing this, im too far out in the stix to be worried about it.so next time if
>you cant answer my question dont even bother to reply. Fot those that did
>reply, thank you.

Dude he was just answering the question. Obviously you don't like
the reply though. He's right.

7. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <bwRV5.2302$Tv6.183431@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 61448

"Spike" <spike440@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:25731-3A2754E9-78@storefull-134.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Hey buddy, you remember what you wrote about "if you don't like my
> posts, don't read 'em"? That goes for 11 meters, too. If you don't like
> it, don't tune in! If you represent most Hams, 11 meters wouldn't be any
> better once you took over any way.
> The only whining I've noticed is yours.
>

All he did was repost some other nincompoop's note on another forum.

Believe me, this will sink like a concrete canoe in the Amateur fraternity.

Dick N�BK

8. Re: Re:Hams don't be fooled by your sense of security

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al <kc8fcw@home.com>
Message-ID: <2NRV5.125213$hD4.30978020@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 61449

"Bendowersagain" <bendowersagain@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001127172519.18388.00001381@ng-mc1.aol.com...
> Hams don't be fooled by your false sense of security, regarding the new
law
> designed to rid the nuisance cber. If I'm a cop, who has been well
informed,
> and well versed on the subject. I would most likely handle this nuisance
as I
> do all others. I respond, not investigate. Therefor no special equipment
is
> needed. Because all I do is respond, by knocking on your door, and stating
a
> complant has been made. Most likely it could end at this point with no
> inspection, and or follow up. But, if more complaints are made, maybe more
than
> one person complains, within a sort period of time. I as a cop, will be
back,
> and I will put you out of business . You can show me your license, let me
> inspec your station. I don't care. A complant has been made, your in the
line
> of sight, YOUR IT! It will be up to you to prove other wise in court.
> Now you boys may spin all you want. But the bottom line is, many of you
will
> be on the receiveing end of this. As in most cases in the past ,and as
time
> will tell in the future, license op's will be in the majority in being
busted,
> despite the fact that it is aimed at cber's.
>
>

The only thing that I can say is that if you were a cop,with that attitude
you'd be a piss-poor one.

9. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: sideband <sideband@cac.net>
Message-ID: <vorf2t4hl4k8aq18kvjiul63khlverrabu@4ax.com>
Source record: 61450

On or about 01 Dec 2000 04:09:04 GMT, n8wwm@aol.com (N8wwm), using the
forum rec.radio.cb did say:

:I am not a guest here at anyones behest. Nor are you. Whoever operates this NG
:can dust me if they want. And, yes I did post on another NG about the whining
:here. So? It IS whining. Period.
:
:Something about the anti-free speech attitude here smells funny.
:
:Aside from that, I am not the one who brought the QRZ article up in the 1st
:place. I posted it without comment.
:
:By the way, if you don't like my attitude you dont have to read my posts.
:
:I relish slam dunking people who do not know whereof they speak. So what? Big
:deal. If you get your panties knotted over my words then don't read 'em. That
:goes for everyone.
:
:

This sounds somewhat like a "conversation" I heard on Channel 19
yesterday morning... screwey grammar, improperly used vocabulary, and
all...

Are you sure you're not a CBer who got his peepee whacked, so went and
got his ticket so he could feel some sense of superiority for once in
his life?

Go home, Doug. Your particular brand of drivel (that of stirring the
pot, then calling others in to watch the fallout) is not wanted here.

Oh, as an FYI to the rest of the world, note the city this guy is
from... Now everything makes sense...

ADAIR, DOUGLAS W, N8WWM (Technician)
5319 0 SECOR RD
TOLEDO, OH 43623
ATTN: DOUGLAS W ADAIR
Licensee ID: L00276328
Issue Date: Jul 15, 1997
Expire Date: Mar 16, 2003
Date of last Change: Nov 30, 2000 (License Modified)\

Of course, he could just be pulling a "callsign" out of his butt and
pretending to be this guy. Who knows?

Just my two cents.

-SSB

10. Re: Burning resistor on PC76xl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <fpof2tci6r1g7n3jlulit5ag892kgn2qdu@4ax.com>
Source record: 61451

Greetings!

Yikes! A 1969 is not a "stock" final...ulp...

Ok, read thru this then decide...it is a long one to help direct you to make the right decision. [no flames...]

Hmmm...if you want to keep the final that is in it, use a larger wattage rating on that bias resistor. I'm thinking that you're currently running a 1/4W value back there. Upping the wattage value to 1/2W or so should suffice. BE SURE TO USE CARBON COMPOSITION types - sorry to yell but it's important. It's always a good idea to increase the wattage of this resistor to help out the upgrade.

The 22ohm resistor value can also be changed. But what actual value varies. It all depends on how the output network is designed, and what type of coupling is used. [I'm telling you this because I've worked on many designs and have taken derivatives of those to others - I'm trying to sum them all here to help you.]

Some networks use a 3-coil PI filter network. The center coil is like a band-pass - it will have a capacitor strapped across the coil using a foil trace. The 3-coil networks use low capacitance [220pF 180pF 270pF or 330pF] while the "two-coil" and cheaper [read: bloodier design] use 390pF [marked 391]. You can spot these better 3-coil PI boards simply due to the "extra coil" along with a small value cap in there. The value of the cap can vary between 22pF to as high as 33pF.

Since Uniden uses an insulated Positive and Negative ground electrical power system - they pretty much have made the 3-coil PI filter a standard.

[I'm telling you the above because a small adjustment in the output network can have a big impact on the Final and the amount of heat it will generate.]

In a typical Uniden radio, the final used a 2166 but the bias resistor was around 10~15 ohms depending on what type of driver it had, and the circuit design used to "match" it together. [Some used the direct coupled capacitor design, others used cap/coil in series]. The ferrite bead was also a "particular" type too - only so much permeability which affected the inductance. Even resistor lead length and diameter will change the outcome of this section.

I have made changes to the Resistor/Ferrite bead combo by simply soldering a 15 ohm resistor in series with a 6.8uH

inductor just to get around certain problems. A 68~150 ohm
resistor with a 6.8uH choke soldered in Parallel [straddle]
across the resistor can work too.

Each one has pluses and minuses. Take your pick.

You may have another option, that is the resistor used in "series"
with the base lead. It can be used as the "fudge factor" when you use
a final that is different from the original final. It's original
design helps limit current [affected impedance "seen"] applied to the
base, with one source being the input from the preceeding stage, the
other a reference to ground.

Remember, when adjusting the input to the Final you are also
adjusting the output impedance of the Driver. There is a fine
line of balance here. Change one, it affects how "hot" the
other gets.

This "base" resistor is the one that connects to the [component side
up with transistor face facing you] leftmost leg, or the Base of the
transistor. This value varies amongst models, and can take off some of
the problem that you're having with the 22ohm bias resistor.

Although both work together, one is in series and offers
impedance change, while the other, 22 ohm, is used in parallel
to make the design operate within it's class and offers the
base region a "Window" for linear range of conduction.

The base resistor is a small value, like 2.2 ohms [red, red, gold] and
is found in a lot of radios using the 2078, but I have been seeing
some radios that change the value of this resistor to 5.6 ohm [green,
blue, gold], or 6.8 ohm [blue, grey, gold] and are at 1/2 watt
ratings. The values you can substitute range from 2.2, 3.3, 4.7, 5.6,
6.8, or [rare] 7.2. - using this as a guide, bump up the value of the
base resistor one time on the scale [2.2? use 3.3] and check for heat.
Pretty soon you'll find the value you need.

I know what you're up against with using the 1969. In my own
experiences, the bias resistor can be left alone, and you adjust the
base resistor value.

Another trick is to use a 4pF cap strapped across the collector
[center lead] and base [left lead] on the Final. It acts as a NFB to
help reduce excessive drive and I highly recommend this little trick
to help clean up TVI problems.

Just remember, that all of the above tricks will reduce power output.
So the decision is your's to make. Unless you're into total re-design,
some sacrifices have to be made in order to keep this working.

On Fri, 01 Dec 2000 16:39:14 GMT, while the modem was pondering it's
navel, "Major Tom" <ground@control.com> dissertated the following:

:+> Hello Andy, I don't see any changes back there, the final is a 1969 i
:+> replaced the original with another 1969, i also replaced the original 22 ohm
:+> resistor with 2- 10 ohms tied in series which should be no problem I will
:+> see if i have a 2078 or a 2166 and try to replace it with that one unless
:+> you think it is something else.
:+> "Handy Andy" <CodysPC@Iserve.Net> wrote in message
:+> news:6eif2tkqv1o1gn756jn7f1mkdabilrllfg@4ax.com...
:+> > Greetings!
:+> >
:+> > Any changes to that section?
:+> >
:+> > The final can either be a 2166 or a 2078 - if it's a more recent
:+> > vintage, I've seen 2078's used. What kind of a Final is back there?
:+> >
:+> > If that 22 ohm resistor is getting hot, there is DC getting into the
:+> > Base of the final in a way that's causing the 22ohm resistor to heat
:+> > up.
:+> >
:+> > Thats why I have to ask if there has been any changes to either the
:+> > Driver or Final circuitry. Even tweaking the output network can affect
:+> > that Bias resistor on the final.
:+> >
:+> > On Thu, 30 Nov 2000 22:51:25 GMT, while the modem was pondering it's
:+> > navel, "Major Tom" <ground@control.com> dissertated the following:
:+> >
:+> > :+> I need some help, I have a pc 76xl the 22 ohm resistor, at the back
:+> of the
:+> > :+> radio to the left of the final is getting extremly hot, i have
:+> changed the
:+> > :+> final thinking this was the problem. But it still heats up any ideas
:+> as to
:+> > :+> whats wrong with the radio. Perhaps this final is bad? Any Help
:+> appreciated
:+> > :+>
:+> >
:+> > Regards!
:+> > :+> Andy <+: (```~~~~''')
:+> > . ^^ ||||| ^^
:+> > ... You know you're a CB'er when... \\ //
:+> > ... you spend more time fixing .------------.
:+> > ... your radio... | [ ] o o o |\
:+> > ... than talking on it! '____________|\|
:+> > . `------------'
:+> >
:+> > http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm
:+>

. ---(((=======@@@@@@@@@@=======)))----''
Regards! ````~~~~~~~##########~~~~~~~''''
.:+> Andy <+: \\ ===== //
. \ === //

```
... You've GOTTA be a CB'er when | == |
... You can name all the CB's / -- /
... used in the movie / -- /
... TWISTER! / - /
. `` ^^((*****@@****)))^^ ''
.-------------------------------------------------------
```

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

11. Re: Question on proposal to move CB frequency up to VHF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001201140504.19715.00000855@nso-fs.aol.com>
Source record: 61452

In article <13vd2tcvpkt4j0h5jhf1938qs7jlhp5gdv@4ax.com>, Buzz <!turtle@owc.net>
writes:

>There a roomered proposal to move CB up to 150 Mhz FM with 3 watts
>out. does anyone have any more info on this?

They have said this bullshit for years. Who wants to go up there?

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

12. Re: LAME N.G.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001201140504.19715.00000856@nso-fs.aol.com>
Source record: 61453

In article <smxV5.7$Wh6.16879@nnrp1.sbc.net>, "-=PEAKABOO=-"
<peakaboo@keydowns.com> writes:

>This NG gets lamer everyday. What ever happened to the good amp arguments we
>used to have? I believe all of the originals have left or resorted to email
>only. Might be worth a try.

The good days have been over peak! I think when you came on this group it was
just dying down.
But email lives on and their are some private boards

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

13. Re: ATT HAMS Re: Texas Star Modulator Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <GISV5.104290$U46.3288984@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 61454

I thought you left

"www.kp82.com" <timnebo@aol.comedy> wrote in message
news:20001201083158.20870.00002693@ng-fc1.aol.com...
what would a nice good ham do with a class c modulator made for 11 meters?

>Wanted:
>
>Used Texas Star Modulator in excellent condition.
>Please E-Mail.
>
>Rick
>KG4IRY
>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

14. Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers vs Ham skum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Kevin J Wren <ktwren@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3A27F899.64985605@earthlink.net>
Source record: 61455

No....but it is in Japan, yes they have a no code policy for access to HF bands

Kevin

KCï¿½JDW

Don Bell wrote:

> I thought I heard on the newsline that Germany released all the ham bands or
> the HF bands to operators with a NO CODE LIC , this was like 60,000 people
> over there and now none of them have to pass CODE ?????? Can anyone
> elaborate on this and tell me if this is true ?

15. Cobra 2000 broadband help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <vQSV5.104341$U46.3289277@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 61456

How broadband can these radios be? The one I have seems to only have a bandwidth of 26.465 to 28.025. Above or below that the PLL won't lock. With tweaking of L19 I can go higher or lower. Is there a way to broadband this to do the entire range?

Thanks,
Mike C.

16. Re: HR-2346 (local CB enforcement) Fines??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Whoha@youarenuts.net
Message-ID: <3a27dc1f.341077312@news.idsi.net>
Source record: 61457

On Fri, 01 Dec 2000 11:40:44 -0500, Jerry Oxendine
<joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>And that's why I oppose this thing. They may not have authority over
>hams, BUT fines would encourage them to *try* to pop people. And that
>would lead the bubbas to go after hams because, as far as radio is con-
>cerned, most don't don't know sh** from shinola!
>
>Jerry

They'll learn REAL fast though once the harrassed hams start taking
them to court. They'll HAVE to be a lot more careful soon enough. Hams
have nothing to worry about if they run legal, which most do. It's the
illegal CBers that have to start worrying.

>Dwight Stewart wrote:
>>
>> I was just wondering something about HR-2346? Since this allows local
>> legislators to write laws based on FCC regulations, will that include
>> the forfeiture amounts spelled out in the FCC regulations? In other
>> words, are we talking about local courts handing out fines up to $20,000
>> for each rule violation? If so, that will certainly encourage local law
>> enforcement officers to go after CB'ers with a vengeance (there are very
>> few $20,000 speeding tickets).
>>
>> Dwight Stewart

17. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Major Tom <ground@control.com>
Message-ID: <meTV5.149$Lc.41760@typhoon1.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 61458

whats the difference 2 homo's from 8 land
"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:t2fn6j91m2gld3@corp.supernews.com...
> Well I just had to jump in here and make a reply, can not resist the
chance.
> Don't confuse me with N8wwm. I at least have the balls to use my real
name,
> and call sign. So if you have a problem with the other poster be sure who
> your are talking too first. Otherwise you will readily look stupid. I'm
sure
> N8wwm is getting a real kick out you guys because you can't even figure
out
> you are even talking too. Imagine, getting me confused with "him", I
thought
> you people were smarter than that.
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:29625-3A27B042-19@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> > You lie, Leland. You falsely make accusations of people calling you
> > names and cussing you and have no idea who you are replying to...case
> > proved by the number of invalid postings by yourself. Man, you must be
> > getting it from all angles..thats gotta leave a skid mark right across
> > your forehead! Once again, they are laughing AT you.
> >
>
>

18. Re: You all worry to much/Clinton CB Bill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Major Tom <ground@control.com>
Message-ID: <gnTV5.151$Lc.42862@typhoon1.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 61459

Probable cause for what? they cannot randomly pull you over because you have a cb antenna on your vehicle Probable cuase would be they just got an interference call at a certain location, you are sitting in your mobile with the antennas on it he pulls up and sees you that would be probable cause I guess it is also probable cause to think anyone who goes to a NRA meeting owns a gun?

"w8ron" <w8ron@stratos.net> wrote in message
news:3a27d8bc$0$35389$6d93012@news.stratos.net...
> The answer is "PROBABLE CAUSE"
> ---
> Ron
>
>
> Programbo5 wrote:
>
> > So..... What?...Simply having coil CB antennas is grounds for pulling
you over
> > and searching your van?..I don`t think so...All this bill is saying is
that
> > local law enforcement will be used to enforce FCC regulation but they
will
> > still need to follow the same guidelines.
> >
> > The internet is more than a global pornography network
>

19. Re: Dummy Loads - What liquid do you fill them with ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Major Tom <ground@control.com>
Message-ID: <0oTV5.152$Lc.42938@typhoon1.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 61460

for a cheap paint can load? . The oil might cost more than the load.
"w8ron" <w8ron@stratos.net> wrote in message
news:3a27d977$0$35389$6d93012@news.stratos.net...
> Mineral Oil.
>
>
> Richard Smith wrote:
>
> > I bought a 'paint can' style dummy load at a local HAM fleamarket
recently.
> > Can anybody tell me what liquid I need to fill it with ? (I forgot to
ask
> > when I bought it). I guess gasoline is not a good idea (only kidding
!!),
> > but what sort of oil should I use ?
> >
> > Cheers,
> >
> > Richard.
> >
> > --
> > Please remove the "NOSPAM" from my address if replying via email.
>

20. Re: Cobra 2000 broadband help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Major Tom <ground@control.com>
Message-ID: <JpTV5.937$h6.32238@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 61461

```
why would you want to do this?
"The Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message
news:vQSV5.104341$U46.3289277@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> How broadband can these radios be? The one I have seems to only have a
> bandwidth of 26.465 to 28.025. Above or below that the PLL won't lock.
> With tweaking of L19 I can go higher or lower. Is there a way to
broadband
> this to do the entire range?
>
> Thanks,
> Mike C.
>
>
>
>
```

21. Re: HR-2346 (local CB enforcement) Fines??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob <bob@bob.com>
Message-ID: <3A282EFA.3769559F@bob.com>
Source record: 61462

> It's the
> illegal CBers that have to start worrying.

Good troll, good.

22. Re: WANTED: CB'S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: ngabuckaroo223@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001201150454.12142.00000181@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Source record: 61463

I have a Realistic mini 23, 23 channel radio, works great!! 10 bucks

North GA. Buckaroo "223"

23. Re: Johnson!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: ngabuckaroo223@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001201151049.12142.00000182@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Source record: 61464

Those are nice!

North GA. Buckaroo "223"

24. Re: Missing The Big Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001201152151.03195.00001724@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Source record: 61465

N8WWM said
>:+> You can get an amateur license and run 1500 watts on any band you are
>:+> licensed for
Codyspc@iserv.net said
>Ahemn...what class? 1500W is not for everybody...don't make a
>*GENERAL* statement like that.
>
N8WWM said
No, check your facts. there are 3 license classes now...Novice and Advanced are eliminated. Novices could only run 200 watts.
All other classes of license can run max power on any band they are licensed to operate on.

25. Re: Missing The Big Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001201152404.03195.00001725@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Source record: 61466

Cody said...
>If you're on the CB band, or out of band, no license will protect you.
>
>As the sole operator, you are responsible for the emmissions that the
>station produces, that includes being the cause of unwanted
>interference.
>
N8WWM said...yes...and check the post again Cody. I said THIS...
>A legally operating amateur station is exempt.

A legally operating amateur station would not be out of band or on the CB band
by definition, right?

26. Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers vs Ham skum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <S%TV5.2406$Tv6.202257@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 61467

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:3A27D631.3B23@bellsouth.net...
> Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay) wrote:
> >
> > Bill Nelson wrote:
> >
> > > I also would prefer to see the CB band opened up to about 27.75 MHz
> > > and have the restrictions on amplifiers and DX eliminated. To go with
> > > this, I would want to see the requirement that amplifiers be type
> > > accepted.
> >
>
> >
> > How about allowing FM on some frequencies?
>
> Scott,
>
> I think the reason that we don't have FM is that that is also determined
> by international treaty. I brought that up regarding another agency
> that operates near 27MHZ and that was what I was told. I know that some
> other countries (England?) have FM, but I don't know how their opera-
> tions are affected by said treaties. Anyone else have accurate info?
>
> Jerry

At the time (1958 or so) FM meant 15kHz deviation in 50 kHz channels so the reason CB is not FM is that it would not generate a serious number of channels. The work on narrow FM was in the future. A good part of the 26-28 spectrum was FM left around from WW2 Jeep radios and the like. Aside from producing a major cluster exercise on the same channel, there is nothing keeping FM from being used in the spectrum because it is now commonly done in narrow channels although 10 kHz channels limit deviation to 2 kHz which is not the best.

There is to my knowledge nothing in the treaty to prevent it. CB is Land Mobile which generally leaves nations and groups of them to their own devices. Most times the only times the treaty gets involved in emission types is where some serious damage could be done to spectrum usage. There may be some additional rules in ITU region one (Europe and Africa) but I doubt it.

I think the Brits use FM on a funny offset mostly because it is a receiver dependent system where power mikes and over-deviation merely cause the user to be totally unintelligible.

Dick N&#65533;BK

27. Re: Hams don't be fooled by your sense of security

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: w8ron <w8ron@stratos.net>
Message-ID: <3a280cfd$0$1510$6d93012@news.stratos.net>
Source record: 61468

Perhaps you , as a cop , need to be informed by a federal mandate called PBR-1 stating that States and Citys must "Reasonably Accomodate " amateur radio operators.
Several cities have lost their ass to this mandate and by bullying amateur operators and in the end , the cities payed all legal fees and city officials usually immune to procesicution nornally were warned that they could be held personally responsible and nearly served time for their antics.

Ron

Al wrote:

> "Bendowersagain" <bendowersagain@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20001127172519.18388.00001381@ng-mc1.aol.com...
> > Hams don't be fooled by your false sense of security, regarding the new
> law
> > designed to rid the nuisance cber. If I'm a cop, who has been well
> informed,
> > and well versed on the subject. I would most likely handle this nuisance
> as I
> > do all others. I respond, not investigate. Therefor no special equipment
> is
> > needed. Because all I do is respond, by knocking on your door, and stating
> a
> > complant has been made. Most likely it could end at this point with no
> > inspection, and or follow up. But, if more complaints are made, maybe more
> than
> > one person complains, within a sort period of time. I as a cop, will be
> back,
> > and I will put you out of business . You can show me your license, let me
> > inspec your station. I don't care. A complant has been made, your in the
> line
> > of sight, YOUR IT! It will be up to you to prove other wise in court.
> > Now you boys may spin all you want. But the bottom line is, many of you
> will
> > be on the receiveing end of this. As in most cases in the past ,and as
> time
> > will tell in the future, license op's will be in the majority in being
> busted,
> > despite the fact that it is aimed at cber's.
> >
> >
>
> The only thing that I can say is that if you were a cop,with that attitude

> you'd be a piss-poor one.

28. Re: Machine-Gun like noise on 27.960 lsb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <MBUV5.104653$U46.3294553@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 61469

Oh now that's really choice. A string of dits and dahs at a station while
it is transmitting. Um last time I checked I cant hear someone on the same
frequency that I am at the same time transmitting on. Must be one of those
special receivers for the special operators.

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001130221142.09170.00001694@ng-cf1.aol.com...
>If I recall correctly, the "Russian Woodpecker" did not sweek across the
>banks. It was used to jam one or two international broadcasting signals
>in the 40 meter band that the Soviet government did not want their citizens
>to be able to hear.
>

Nope. The woodpecker was all over the place. I heard it on every band I
listened on quite frequently in the late 70's early 80's. The thing would
QSY
if you sent a string of dits at it, too.

29. Re: Speech Processor Update...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Professor <bgriffey@kwom.com>
Message-ID: <9096d7$u8d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 61470

Have no plans to do so...

In article <b7if2t43umrg7fqfu7cd9e2i8ttdiceqi2@4ax.com>,
codyspc@iserv.net wrote:
> Greetings!
>
> Are you going to ever make it in kit form?
>
> On Fri, 1 Dec 2000 05:02:58 -0600, while the modem was pondering it's
> navel, "Professor" <bgriffey@kwom.com> dissertated the following:
>
> :+> Analog Devices #SSM2166P
>
> Regards!
> :+> Andy <+:
> . .-----------------.
> ... You know you're a Mud-Duck when... | 0 |3 5 7 9 30+|
> ... asking for a "Ray-didio check", they |===|=============|
> ... answer with "You're barely gettin' `-----------------'
> ... the weeds wet!"
>
> http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

30. Re: Cobra 2000 broadband help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <jWUV5.104702$U46.3295780@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 61471

My transverter takes inputs this low for the lower portion of 2 meters

"Major Tom" <ground@control.com> wrote in message
news:JpTV5.937$h6.32238@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
why would you want to do this?
"The Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message
news:vQSV5.104341$U46.3289277@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> How broadband can these radios be? The one I have seems to only have a
> bandwidth of 26.465 to 28.025. Above or below that the PLL won't lock.
> With tweaking of L19 I can go higher or lower. Is there a way to
broadband
> this to do the entire range?
>
> Thanks,
> Mike C.
>
>
>
>

31. Re: Another troll strikes...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <uXUV5.104703$U46.3294956@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 61472

Yeah and CB operators will just quit using the equipment they put so much time and energy into

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3A270EC6.3CD2207C@hotmail.com...
...this time on QRZ.COM. This putz wants to give 11 meters back to the hams, proving the point that hams are upset about losing this prime RF real estate. For his trolling viewpoint, click on this link:

http://www.qrz.com/articles/00/11/30/1836259.shtml

32. HERE GOES ICEMAN!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001201170925.10111.00000402@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 61473

He's at it again....Ole iceman in chicago upgraded again...He's gonna be layin a big old maul out there...he's been layin it down already so you guys better watch out...He said he's gonna be pluggin ears out there.

937...chicago...with the 411

33. base antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Al Messenger <scimitar123@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <9097dd$4l0$1@uranium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 61474

i have recently decided to get back in to cb after moving house. The prolem is i have moven in to a modern timber framed house and i was wonodering if the wall would have then strengh to keep the antenna up (baring in mind the weather recently). Anyone else know about this???

Al M

34. Re: Missing The Big Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A28279F.61D0@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 61475

Bill Nelson wrote:
>
> N8wwm <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote:

>
> It is supposed to be exempt. If the police attempt to enforce any new laws
> without expert amateur help - then Hams are very likely to have unpleasant
> encounters with the police as well.

And I will be more than happy to take the ignorant bubba cop to court
and make an ass out of him!

>

>
> The cop says "Tell it to the judge!", writes the Ham a ticket and gets
> his buddies down to help tear the Ham gear out of the vehicle.

And he will be paying for all damage done including replacement of any
and all equipment removed.

Or if the
> cop is lazy, he doesn't even write a ticket. He just calls the gear
> "illegal CB equipment".

He will also have a problem when I report the seizure of said equipment
thru channels to Department of Defense. Some of my mobile equipment
doesn't belong to me, but is USAF equipment purchased with DOD funds.
Imagine their horror when DOD/USAF Investigations Division pays them a
visit! Part of that equipment is charged out to me on a DOD-type docu-
ment. Start "confiscating" military equipment and there'll be hell to
pay!
> Now, what can the Ham do? His only recourse is to go to civil court in
> an attempt to get the gear back.

And, that I would do. Under the circumstances, the law is on my side,
and they will be paying every penny I can legally extract. There are
too many issues, too many variables for local, non-electronic under-
standing cops to keep from getting their own butts in hot water. Yes,
it would be very inconvenient to have to go to court, and would tick me
off BAD--which is why I'd do everything I could to get back at them in
court. OTOH, it might be funny to watch 'em squirm under the scenario
I quoted above.

I STILL say to the hams that think this is so cut and dried, and you
are "exempt" from local enforcement, this is going to cause trouble for
innocent CBers and licensed hams alike--legal or not. You are NOT

exempt from the ignorance of untrained LEOS, nor are you exempt from LEOs with "I am the law, boy" attitudes. The job of enforcement belongs with FCC where the training in comm. law, locating offenders, is (should be). They should've given the money back to FCC and let THEM do the job. This way, at least, offenders would be subject to consistent laws and not the vageries of local statute, incompetence (in radio), and who know who down at the station. It is a bad thing, which, I fear, some of you who are snickering up your sleeves may find out later.

73

Jerry
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

35. Re: Machine-Gun like noise on 27.960 lsb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A282D44.73F1@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 61476

www.kp82.com wrote:
>
> whos licenced for that freq?
>Tim,
That would be USAF, or Army MARS. There are quite a few freqs. up there
that are military. That's why you don't see them listed (usually) in
frequency books. They are not controlled by FCC, but by NTIA (Nation-
al Telecommunications Information Agency). Likely what they are hear-
ing is RTTY or packet bursts. Ya reckon they're a-doin' it cuz they
are trying to discourage the pirates? LOL!

73

Jerry
> >
> >It's hf data communications by the people who are licenced to be there
>
> 73 de Tim
> Knight Patrol #82
> http://www.kp82.com

36. Re: Don't Despair get your ham license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
Message-ID: <3A282CDD.904912A3@home.com>
Source record: 61477

What machine?

curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:

>
> when they use the machine to grade the exams, what is their opinion
> concerning pregnant chads, dimples, hanging chads and other cool stuff
> like that, come on , come on,.......cd is out..
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

37. Re: Dummy Loads - What liquid do you fill them with ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <t2gbidmicoo370@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61478

I've heard that ATF works well too.

Mike

w8ron <w8ron@stratos.net> wrote in message
news:3a27d977$0$35389$6d93012@news.stratos.net...
> Mineral Oil.
>
>
> Richard Smith wrote:
>
> > I bought a 'paint can' style dummy load at a local HAM fleamarket
recently.
> > Can anybody tell me what liquid I need to fill it with ? (I forgot to
ask
> > when I bought it). I guess gasoline is not a good idea (only kidding
!!),
> > but what sort of oil should I use ?
> >
> > Cheers,
> >
> > Richard.
> >
> > --
> > Please remove the "NOSPAM" from my address if replying via email.
>

38. Re: ATT HAMS Re: Texas Star Modulator Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4867-3A282920-61@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61479

Yea, well I guess he was requested to come back! He said he was leaving the other day. This is a different day!

39. Re: Machine-Gun like noise on 27.960 lsb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <NrWV5.380$Y4.97546@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 61480

No, it's called full break-in or QSK cw. It has been around since the 30s. If an op misses a bit of copy, he mashed on the dah part of his 'bug' and the transmitting station stops. The receiver sends AA (all after) and it goes on from there. You can hear between the dits and dahs.

It is a feature on a lot of Ten-Tec gear. Similar effects can be had using the VOX feature on cw for semi-QSK operation.

Dick N BK

"The Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message
news:MBUV5.104653$U46.3294553@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> Oh now that's really choice. A string of dits and dahs at a station while
> it is transmitting. Um last time I checked I cant hear someone on the
same
> frequency that I am at the same time transmitting on. Must be one of
those
> special receivers for the special operators.
>
>
> "N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20001130221142.09170.00001694@ng-cf1.aol.com...
> >If I recall correctly, the "Russian Woodpecker" did not sweek across the
> >banks. It was used to jam one or two international broadcasting signals
> >in the 40 meter band that the Soviet government did not want their
citizens
> >to be able to hear.
> >
>
> Nope. The woodpecker was all over the place. I heard it on every band I
> listened on quite frequently in the late 70's early 80's. The thing would
> QSY
> if you sent a string of dits at it, too.
>
>

40. Re: Missing The Big Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A284340.2908@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 61481

Bendowersagain wrote:
>
> >Jerry Oxendine joxendi@bellsouth.net said
>
> >the law is on my side,

> According to the way this new law is written, it is. If I am running a legal station, produce a copy of my license as well as a copy of the exemption given to hams and the officer STILL issues a citation and/or seizes my equipment, the same will be presented in court as to his lack of jurisdiction, and local law will lose. I will then sue for damages as appropriate.
> The law is never on our side. Law by its very nature is restrictive,
> controlling, bias, and very unfair to the majority in order to protect the few
> " weak".

Laws are a necessity for the good of society as a whole. It cannot, however, satisfy the wants of everybody. They are also necessary to prevent the majority from running roughshod over the "weak" which would amount to mob rule.

>
> It's not likely you will ever see a cop squirm in court.

If the situation I described in the original post came to pass, I assure that, not only the cop would squirm, but his chief and the city/county/state authorities. Have you ever seen DOD/USAF CID in action? I have! I spent quite a bit of time in Logistics and dealt with them. I SAW investigations where materiel was mishandled, misappropriated, or stolen. They have NO mercy! Don't think a cop would squirm having ilegally confiscated or damaged a piece of military gear? OH! But he will! He will! Trust me, he will---all the way out the door to the unemployment line! LOL! And it would be a pleasure to see!

Best 73

Jerry
>
> > this is going to cause trouble for
> >innocent CBers and licensed hams alike--legal or not.
>
> Correct.
>
> >They should've given the money back to FCC and let THEM do the
> >job.
>

> No funds were allocated, so no money may be given back as you say.
>
>
>

41. SBE CONSOLE 2 DEAD??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: News <webqaz@i-is.com>
Message-ID: <3a27fc78_4@nntp.I-IS.COM>
Source record: 61482

Ok I picked up a pritty old cb a while ago, it transmitts fine but I barly recieves anything and dosent regester anything on the meter unless the cb is really close (100ft). Is my CB dead? anyone else have the same problem?

42. Re: Cobra 2000 broadband help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Little <dalite01@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <909juc$h5o$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 61483

Mike,

Measure the voltage across the varactor diode used in the PLL circuit at lowest and highest lock freqs. Then look in the Mfg specs for varactors that give the highest capacitance change within these ranges. It is also possible to parallel some of the IF cans with unused windings to increase their effective range. Capacitor coupling between stages can be improved via trial and error paralleling on bottom of PCB. Longer or shorter ferrite cores can be subbed in VCO tunable "can".

The easiest way to get it stable as a transverter host would be to change the mixer crystal to one in the range of the output IF needed for the xverter.....

The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message news:vQSV5.104341$U46.3289277@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> How broadband can these radios be? The one I have seems to only have a
> bandwidth of 26.465 to 28.025. Above or below that the PLL won't lock.
> With tweaking of L19 I can go higher or lower. Is there a way to broadband
> this to do the entire range?
>
> Thanks,
> Mike C.
>
>
>
>

43. Re: Newbie Q about 'deadkey' and 'swing' .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: OOTMAN <ootman@usaor.net>
Message-ID: <t2gl9qirk1su9f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61484

It means you splash 20 or more channels up or down from the channel selected,interfere with tv sets in the neighborhood.It also means that the operator of such a radio is self centered,ignorant,has the intelegance of a house plant and probubly cheats playing solataire if they think no one is looking.:)
MtngrlsCityboy <mtngrlscityboy@aol.com> wrote in message news:20001201191612.28822.00002378@ng-cl1.aol.com...
> All,
>
> What is meant by a radio having 3 watts deadkey with 20 watts swing?
>
> TIA,
>
> Anthony

44. Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers vs Ham skum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Little <dalite01@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <909klh$1sb$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 61485

N8wwm <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001130131818.09164.00001769@ng-cf1.aol.com...
> Nothing would happen...The FCC did not set the Morse code requirement. It
was
> established by the ITU, an international organization. The FCC has no
power, by
> international agreement, to abolish the 5WPM code requirement
---

Not even close..... Facts really do count, here as well as everywhere else

David KD4NUE

See below:

The ARRL Board is reportedly going to reconsider its position on
Morse testing at the upcoming ARRL Board meeting in January ...
now is the time to make your views known! Please write or e-mail
your ARRL Director (even if you're not a member) and help to bring
about a reversal of the long-standing ARRL policy in favor of
maintaining Morse testing as a requirement in the ITU Radio
Regulations!

TALKING POINTS FOR LETTERS TO ARRL DIRECTORS & SECTION MANAGERS

Very politely, but firmly, state your beliefs in the following
manner, but in your own words. Please, Please, do NOT flame your
ARRL officers, directors, or section managers. Flaming or a
sarcastic or antagonistic approach will not be the persuasive
arguments we need to convince them to change the League's
position on the Morse cw testing matter. If fact, it will
probably have exactly the opposite effect desired, and hurt our
cause with the League.

Start with a short paragraph introducing yourself; who you are,
how long you've been a ham, and how long you've been a League
member. (If you're not, you might mention that the issue you're
writing about is one reason you've chosen NOT to join the ARRL so
far. However, since they claim to represent the entire amateur
community of the United States, they should include your input
equally with that of League members) Then continue in your own
words with discussion of the following talking points:

1. You've recently become aware that the ARRL Board will discuss
revision of it's long-standing policy of supporting Morse cw

testing as an international treaty obligation at it's January 2001 meeting.

2. You're extremely disappointed that the League was the only member society to vote "NO" at the recent Region 3 IARU conference in Darwin Australia on elimination of S25.5 from international regulations. This vote was made despite the fact that the League's own 1996 opinion survey for WRC99 showed that only 38% of the amateur population wanted to retain an international treaty requirement while a majority of 52% favored allowing each country to decide for itself whether Morse cw tests were appropriate, with the remaining 9% having no opinion.

3. Morse cw is still a viable mode of choice, but only one of many for amateurs in the world today.

4. There are many new digital modes which offer similar characteristics to Morse cw in terms of narrow bandwidth and signal to noise performance. However, no pass/fail licensing tests are required for any of them, except Morse.

5. There are no logical reasons why personal skills in Morse cw are needed to be a successful amateur operator, on any band. Operating skills are useful, but they do not have to involve Morse cw.

6. Knowledge of Morse cw is no longer a useful supplementary skill for voluntary monitoring of international distress communications. Nor is it used to any extent in local emergency communications. Even the US military has abandoned use of Morse cw for any of its primary communications links. (MARS has also abandoned all Morse CW nets)

7. The requirement to learn Morse cw, and pass a pass/fail examination, is still holding back amateur communications today, even with a 5 wpm test. Learning Morse cw is viewed by many, especially younger people, as an archaic symbol, not consistent with modern ham radio technology.

8. The FCC recently re-stated in its R&O on NPRM 98-143 that knowledge of Morse cw is NOT an indicator of the quality of an amateur radio operator. They also made it clear that the ONLY reason for keeping a minimal 5 wpm test was to comply with the international treaty (S25.5).

9. State that in summary, you, as a League member (or non-member) want the League to change it's position on mandatory Morse cw testing in the ITU Radio Regulations (a treaty).

10. Sign with your name, address, callsign, and e-mail address.

Thanks for helping to influence the ARRL position on this.

.
> >The Phoneman wrote:
> >>
> >> Wonder what would happen if all the cb'ers you know
> >> signed a petition and submitted it through proper
> >> channels, ie. state lawmaker type guy, to the FCC
> >> to abolish the code requirement and make the ham
> >> test a simple, plain english common sense type test
>
>

45. Re: FRS Rules on antennas.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A28445C.5FC0202E@qnet.com>
Source record: 61486

Hello Andy: How are ya ah doing there old friend.

Yes the scanner is a treasure itself. I keep an ear on all the locals. Got a new design idea for a scanner antenna, half discone and half broad band dipole. We'll see, ah! Its almost built.

I let everybody know you said hello and ah big howdy. Yes Big Bob is supposed to get out in January 2004. And he is ah vouwin to make a much bigger linear this time. You know the story.

Welfare Clare and Mojave Desert Don are ah gettin hitched tomorrow over at the church, over near the reckin yard. Preacher Sam is ah going to marry em up, and the ceremony will live on channel 12 AM, starts at 10 AM Local Mojave Desert Time (LMDT) All there kids will be there. Major Tom said he is ah trying to get a stealth bomber to do a fly over. Bring your truck polishin off rag incase it does fly over and dump a lot of jet fuel gas, for ah free truck polish. Mc Cartin and Mc Carthy will be there as bouncers, part of the parole conditions, they won't be drinkin like last time.

The reception will be over at the "Dew Drop on INN". Doors open at 11 AM LMDT. Free Beer and spirits, and a very nice lay out of food from Daves kitchen and dinner.

Slide on down to the desert and make ah showin. CB Channel 12 AM will get ya directions. Don't tell anybody ya got cash.

Jay in the Great Mojave Desert, ... just down the road ah ways from he fillin station.

Handy Andy wrote:
>
> Greetings!
>
> Jay, you are such a treasure - I'm glad to see you out here.
>
> LOL! Keep that scanner polished!
>
> Say Howdy and Happy Holidays to Billy-Bob from the Gang in Gowen.
>
> On Thu, 30 Nov 2000 05:08:30 -0500, while the modem was pondering it's
> navel, Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com> dissertated the following:
>
> :+> I don't think the local hams have read this. They use FRS channel 1 as a
> :+> simplex chit chat channel. Sometimes they even use their ham call sign
> :+> out of habit.

> :+>
> :+> They talk about modifying their 440 rigs for the extra channels, and how
> :+> the extra natural bandwidth of the antennas is wider at the 440 to 460
> :+> MHz frequencies. I hear these guys on FRS, GMRS, the dot frequencies,
> :+> and of course the new Murs channels. I polish off my scanner in warm
> :+> happiness every day.
> :+>
> :+> The technical hour is great, they tell of adding on antennas to the FRS
> :+> radios and which amps will work with them.
> :+>
> :+> They just need to learn to not use their ham call sigh as much.
> :+>
> :+> Jay in the Great Mojave Desert.
>
> . ---(((=======@@@@@@@@@@@=======)))----''
> Regards! ````~~~~~~~##########~~~~~~~''''
> .:+> Andy <+: \\ ===== //
> . \ === //
> ... You've GOTTA be a CB'er when | == |
> ... You can name all the CB's / -- /
> ... used in the movie / -- /
> ... TWISTER! / - /
> . `` ^^((*****@@****)))^^ ''
> .---
>
> http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

46. The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: George Thomas <guesswhat@charter.net>
Message-ID: <t2gs77c62p1ec1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61487

Well this is the way i see it. The FCC can not look after all the ham bands they have now that is the reason they give up 11 m a long time ago. And you stop and think about this If the hammies got the band back what would they do with all the cbr's on it. As it stands there is more people on the 11m band than you think. And what will you do with all the mexicans on the band that dont care about you or me or what we think they talk regardless . I think it is crazy if the FCC is looking for a fix on the 11m band they need to give more channels to the cbr's so we don't have to run 2000 watts to talk over the other guy just to get to talk . You stop and think about it the 11m band has 40 channels the hammies have hundres of channels to talk on so what gives. now you won't the 40 we have back I think it is a bunch of shit myself. And i think we are blowing this all out of wack the more we talk about this the more bull gets started!!!! I am off the key now so have a nice day Georgiaboy753 Westcentrol GA

47. Re: HR-2346 (local CB enforcement) Fines??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29625-3A28736B-100@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61488

There are very few $20,00.00 fines. Always been a warning first. But, with revenue as an inducement, harassment will run wild.

48. Re: Machine-Gun like noise on 27.960 lsb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29625-3A28746A-101@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61489

Dunno. Cuba ain't all that difficult to get, they do have radios there,
don't know who got 'em, but some do.

49. Re: HR-2346 (local CB enforcement) Fines??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3A28779B.FA72BBAF@sccoast.net>
Source record: 61490

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> And that's why I oppose this thing. They
> may not have authority over hams, BUT fines
> would encourage them to *try* to pop people.
> And that would lead the bubbas to go after
> hams because, as far as radio is con-
> cerned, most don't know sh** from shinola!

I don't think hams have to worry about this much, Jerry. They are specifically excluded, and while most cops may not know much about ham radio, they do know that it exists. Besides, there is the FCC license to remind them that ham radio is somewhat different than CB.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

50. Re: HR-2346 (local CB enforcement) Fines??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3A287964.CDA20ADE@sccoast.net>
Source record: 61491

'Doc wrote:
>
> Since this law will have to be implimented
> by local ordinance it would make this a
> misdenenor(sp). As such, any fine for violation
> of this local ordinance would have to comply
> with the local fine structure. That means that
> if your fined $50.00 for a speeding ticket, I
> seriously doubt if the fine for RFI would be
> much more than that, or at least ~not~ in the
> $20k range. I think people should start being
> a bit more realistic...

If I remember correctly, the maximum amount in this state for a misdemeanor is something like $35k (its been a long while since I've seen it), so $20k is certainly within that range. However, I agree with you that the fines are not likely to be anywhere near that high. But, if a town wanted a revenue generator, it is at least possible to see some serious fines involved.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

51. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Frye <km6ab@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3A287B29.A1EAA281@pacbell.net>
Source record: 61492

I hope this guy has a body guard! I am a licensed porker and I think this would turn more people against each other than the latest Presidential election.

52. Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers vs Ham skum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3A287DBD.1EBC343F@sccoast.net>
Source record: 61493

Bill Nelson wrote:
>
> [snip] I also would prefer to see the CB band
> opened up to about 27.75 MHz and have the
> restrictions on amplifiers and DX eliminated.

And what would you do with the authorized users of these frequencies.
These frequencies (27.405 - 27.995) are used by the military
(operations, pulsed radar, etc.), the Coast Guard (hurricane emergency
nets, unit coms, icebreaker operations, etc), NASA (low horizon digital
telemetry), and many others.

Dwight Stewart

53. Re: Missing The Big Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <909vd5$5mo$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 61494

The bandplan has not changed, and the power limits you allude to are still
correct. On the 10.1 to 19.150 the power is limited because it is a shared
allocation.

"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:0LYV5.3941$2P3.289872@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Someone please check me - I am getting old and golly geeze, probably have
> trouble copying much more than 45 or 50 WMP now (my typing is down to 70)
> ...
> but, uh, gee I hate to seem stupid but .. I don't think novice and
advanced
> licenses disappeared. You cannot get a new novice or advanced, but I do
> believe they are renewable if you hold one of them. So, another question
..
> can anyone else now run 1500 watts (I am serious, I don't know the answer
to
> this) in the novice sub-bands below 28 MHz since novice licenses are still
> valid (and the rules used to stated EVERYONE with a license good for HF
was
> limited to 200 watts in the novice sub-bands)? Certainly, an existing
> novice license not due to expire would have not been pulled away when the
> new rules took effect. Also, how many classes of license allow their
users
> 1.5 KW in the 10.100 to 10.150 MHz band? Unless things have changed
> recently, I can count that number on the fingers of my left foot (or toes
on
> my right hand).
>
> Thanks and 73s from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
> "N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20001130151007.09182.00001920@ng-cf1.aol.com...
> > >From: timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com)
> > >Date: 11/30/2000 14:02 PM Eastern Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <20001130140254.08138.00001502@ng-fm1.aol.com>
> > >
> > >why doesnt it suprise me this is another tech type guy trying to save
the
> > >world
> > >from terrible 27 meg rf radiation?
> > >
> >
> > I am not trying to do anything but present an opinion in a logical

> fashion. You
> > just do not agree with it. I did not make the law, I did not even know
it
> was
> > in the works. I do support it.
> >
> > This is too simple, fellas...It is a law. It helps to protect the public
> good.
> >
> > You can get an amateur license and run 1500 watts on any band you are
> licensed
> > for. That is the provision that is made for radio enthusiasts.
> >
> > Everything you have said about "what if this, what if that" is based on
> the
> > idea that
> > hams are included in this law. That is an incorrect supposition. A
legally
> > operating amateur station is exempt. Therefore, your arguement is
invalid.
> >
> > You may insult me or anyone else who thinks like me 'til the cows come
> home, it
> > won't change the truth. If you are operating a legal radio on a legal
> band,
> > with an amateur license or in the 40 CB channels, you are exempt from
this
> law.
> > Period.
> >
> > From where I sit all the opposing arguements to my opinion seem to
ignore
> this
> > fact.
>
>

54. Re: Goddamn ,,what a fucked up group full of CB idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <909vjp$5rs$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 61495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.fan.supernaut,alt.fan.emmett-gulley,alt.flame

Your well reason intelligent message has left this writer impressed with
your command of the English language...

"Meat-->Plow" <meat@fuck-j00.orq> wrote in message
news:909koahuf4@dosa.alt.net...
> Breaker Breaker One Nine
>
> You fuck-tards crack me up with all your idiotic discussion.
>
> I think I'll put this news froup out of its misery and issue a remove
> froup control message.
>
> BWAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>
> Say goodbye to your news froup, imbeciles

55. Cobra 2000GTL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Marc Gelefsky <marc@typ911.com>
Message-ID: <3A2881CF.8331D284@typ911.com>
Source record: 61496

I have a Cobra 2000 for sale with external speaker. I have not used this radio in many years, It was modified for "Upper & Lowers"
The radio powers on but does not seem to be on the proper frequencies, suspect a solder has come loose from mods.

Offers?

Thanks,
Marc

56. cobra 148gtlf problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: m1976 <m1976@ametro.net>
Message-ID: <90a09o$a3l$1@news.datasync.com>
Source record: 61497

has anyone had a problem with no loop mix signal on a 148 cobra? i have got one that the clarifier does'nt work and the channel selector does not change channels or repeats them. the lock detector is unlocked too. any information on this subject would be appreciated.
tom

57. Re: Machine-Gun like noise on 27.960 lsb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: TR@sky.net
Message-ID: <3a271d9e.292300692@news.idsi.net>
Source record: 61548

On Thu, 30 Nov 2000 05:28:38 GMT, "Eddie Haskell"
<harleybum@easyriders.com> wrote:

>it's the god damn hams!!!! they are 'freebanding below 28.000Mhz because the
>mexicans are trashing the lower part of 10 meters :)

Wrong, but we didn't expect anything else.

58. Re: Another troll strikes...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <HRJV5.1346$4H4.94172@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 61549

That fella's dreamin'..... never happen.

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3A270EC6.3CD2207C@hotmail.com...
> ...this time on QRZ.COM. This putz wants to give 11 meters back to
> the hams, proving the point that hams are upset about losing this
> prime RF real estate. For his trolling viewpoint, click on this link:
>
> http://www.qrz.com/articles/00/11/30/1836259.shtml

59. Schematic diagram from the Maycom BS-29 AM/FM/SSB Transceiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Manfred Buscher <manfred.buscher@ewetel.net>
Message-ID: <907uv3$bi5$1@ac1.ewetel.de>
Source record: 61550

I search the schematic diagram for the Maycom BS-29 AM/FM/SSB Transceiver

60. Re: Speech Processor Update...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Professor <bgriffey@kwom.com>
Message-ID: <LILV5.10003$d%2.152234@e420r-sjo2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 61551

Analog Devices #SSM2166P

--

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
"Professor"
http://personal.kwom.com/bgriffey/
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

<bierbier@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:906ku8see1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> I must have missed your previous post, what Speech Processor are you
> using?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Gary
>
>
> In article <906aqe$ja5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> Professor <bgriffey@kwom.com> wrote:
> > So far I'm very pleased at the speech processor's performance. The
> > modulation is good and loud without any background sound whatsoever.
> It
> > makes it sound like you're talking in from a very quiet room... with
> no
> > room echo. This definitely helps readability, by raising the
> > signal/noise ratio. Several people have asked where my base station
> was
> > (hehehe)... and several others were ripping me for having a "big
> > radio". I was only running 5W from the mobile!
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

61. The Antenna Man CB radios for your consideration...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Antenna Man <buzzards@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <ABLV5.370451$4d.45848492@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 61552

Multi-purchase deals available. I will deal direct (without going through Yahoo auctions).
Please have a look at: http://user.auctions.yahoo.com/user/mikewj2d
Thank you for your continued support!
Mike, The Antenna Man

62. Re: New CB M.U.R.S.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: danay@primenet.com
Message-ID: <9082lncgo3@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
Source record: 61553

If you use 229 or 4240 or 106 in any order, you will be a winner there buddy.

On 2000-11-23 bk43@pacbell.net said:
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:11567-3A1C6557-1@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> .Hey Jeff, any predictions on the lottery numbers next week? Ya'
>got my attention!
Buddy, if I had any control over anything that useful, I'd be sure
to let you in on it. ;-)
Jeff

Net-Tamer V 1.13 Beta - Registered

63. Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers vs Ham skum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: oh8mfh <oh8mfh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9080rbqku1@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 61554

Check out what the Brits can do on their CB channels, and which ones they are. See uk.rec.eadio.cb and the threads there. Gary
"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> kirjoitti viestiss&#65533;
news:3A271825.B94D4BC9@hotmail.com...
>
>
> Bill Nelson wrote:
>
> > I also would prefer to see the CB band opened up to about 27.75 MHz
> > and have the restrictions on amplifiers and DX eliminated. To go with
> > this, I would want to see the requirement that amplifiers be type
> > accepted.
>
> Hell, I'd like to see CB licenses again, and the fees could be used
> to go after the bad ones. I stay off AM unless I'm on the highway or
> need some entertainment.
>
> What would the wattage limit? A 2 pill or a 4/5 pill?
>
> Limiting any additional frequencies to SSB would be good.
>
> How about allowing FM on some frequencies?

64. Re: Clinton Signs Bill on Illegal CBs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <t2f3c7btlgvn3d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61555

Actually, they DON'T quite need a warrant.

It's a gray area, but under the law, IF you have a FCC
HAM license, and IF the complaint is about the operation
of that FCC licensed HAM station, the FCC DOES have
the right to do an unannounced 'station inspection'.

I'm not saying it's write or wrong, or even hold up under
serious legal challenge, but they DO have that rule when
you accept your HAM ticket...

Hey, it's like the BATF and gun dealers. If you're an
FFL license holder, the BATF can do a 'site examination'
at ANY TIME during your posted business hours of
your business site. Attached home, or sell from your
house? Be ready to open your doors! And you HAVE
to declare 'business hours' to get your FFL, even if
you're only 'contract sales' from your home.

Mike

N8wwm <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001130214742.09170.00001688@ng-cf1.aol.com...
> >
> > License or no license they still need a warrant. But the majority of
> >hams will have no problem letting the officer in to see their station.
> >
> >It's the illegally CBers that will crap their pants and give the cop a
> >hard time.
>
> Exactly. And by the way gang...the law does indeed cover anyone operating
on an
> illegal frequency, not just CB'ers.
>
> OK by me. I won't be there. some people should just face reality: They are
> going to be law enforcement targets pretty soon. Sad, isn't it...I can't
stop
> crying for them.

65. Re: e-bay vs yahoo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jojo <maranatha@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <elMV5.30874$M51.10544226@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 61556

you may be right, but it is not worth it to get kicked off, my friend just did for selling tickets, and he was told 3 times so, I got booted once. I will go to yahoo or just advertise them here or somewhere else i guess. thanks for the input, know anyone who wants a 2510 with a chipswitch???

66. Re: my dim 2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: jojo <maranatha@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <WmMV5.30876$M51.10544742@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 61557

O.K. I will check it out, like I said it looks fine but I didnt try that

67. ATT HAMS Re: Texas Star Modulator Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001201083158.20870.00002693@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 61558

what would a nice good ham do with a class c modulator made for 11 meters?

>Wanted:
>
>Used Texas Star Modulator in excellent condition.
>Please E-Mail.
>
>Rick
>KG4IRY
>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

68. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Major Tom <ground@control.com>
Message-ID: <I1OV5.397$h6.20650@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 61559

n8wwm here is the truth and nothing but the truth , you are a asshole and a real jerkoff. Don't like it well the truth hurts my man

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message news:20001201002050.09152.00001824@ng-cf1.aol.com...
> Doc, I did not write the article. It was mentioned on here, so I checked it out
> and posted it without comment.
>
> Hate and discontent? Me? Nope. I just tell the truth and some don't like it.
> >N8wwm,
> > I can't for the life of me, think of any
> >good reason why I'd want 11 meters as a ham
> >band.
> > 'Doc
> >PS - I think you enjoy causing 'hate and
> > discontent' too much.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

69. Re: Clinton Signs Bill on Illegal CBs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Major Tom <ground@control.com>
Message-ID: <mcOV5.79$Lc.26495@typhoon1.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 61560

I cant stop crying about how big a jerkoff you really are, If the rest will ignore you, trust me you will go away just like the other 100 trolls this past year who have graced our presence
"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message

Sad, isn't it...I can't stop
> crying for them.

70. Re: Bill: HR2346 Ya gotta read this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Major Tom <ground@control.com>
Message-ID: <teOV5.81$Lc.26318@typhoon1.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 61561

Bill, I believe Wild Bill was the first to post this unless you are him he stated the same thoughts Everyone is getting thier panties in a bunch wait until the towns passs the laws
"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message news:90746v$34t$9@bashir.peak.org...
> Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE <wb5rue@arrl.net> wrote:
> : Nope, it's a law. El Presidente signed it on November 14th.
>
> : http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2000/11/29/3/?nc=1
>
> : Check out the note about over-the-road truckers. Police will need probable
> : cause to search.
>
> As I posted before, they will need more than that. The local/state
> lawmakers will have to pass enabling legislation/regulations before
> the police can legally do anything. The law does NOT authorize state
> and local police to enforce federal communications laws
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

71. Re: ATT HAMS Re: Texas Star Modulator Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Major Tom <ground@control.com>
Message-ID: <qfOV5.419$h6.21002@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 61562

Tim I thought you were going to stop posting to the NG
?

"www.kp82.com" <timnebo@aol.comedy> wrote in message
news:20001201083158.20870.00002693@ng-fc1.aol.com...
> what would a nice good ham do with a class c modulator made for 11 meters?
>
> >Wanted:
> >
> >Used Texas Star Modulator in excellent condition.
> >Please E-Mail.
> >
> >Rick
> >KG4IRY
> >
>
>
> 73 de Tim
> Knight Patrol #82
> http://www.kp82.com

72. Re: FRS base antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29627-3A27AD29-3@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61563

No, TR, he wasn't answering a damn thing about the question. He didn't ask about the law!

73. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29625-3A27AF95-18@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61564

What you fail to see there preacher boy, is the hammies are laughing AT you, not with you.

74. Re: New CB M.U.R.S.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29626-3A27AC64-6@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61565

OK! 106 and 229, 50/50 boxed and straight for tonight. I'll let y'all know!

75. Re: A sum up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29625-3A27AE06-16@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61566

But Gary, it's NOT the cbers whining and complaining, and they sure don't need defended.

76. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29625-3A27AF4A-17@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61567

Thats right, if we don't like your attitude, we put you back under the rock, as in trash. But, see, unlike you, I don't need to ignore your posts, as I can argue a case strictly on the merits of fact, and my occasional bias...which, unlike you again, cannot admit or confront. As you say , we don't like it, we can ignore you. Walk the talk preacher man, you don't like what you hear, change the channel!

77. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29625-3A27B042-19@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61568

You lie, Leland. You falsely make accusations of people calling you names and cussing you and have no idea who you are replying to...case proved by the number of invalid postings by yourself. Man, you must be getting it from all angles..thats gotta leave a skid mark right across your forehead! Once again, they are laughing AT you.

78. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29625-3A27B0B2-20@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61569

The adjectives used in your postings directed at cbers allude to the self-ego, inner dictated and projective. Why are you so insecure?

79. Re: Question on proposal to move CB frequency up to VHF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Whoha@youarenuts.net
Message-ID: <3a27735f.314256884@news.idsi.net>
Source record: 61570

On 01 Dec 2000 01:39:35 GMT, n8wwm@aol.com (N8wwm) wrote:

>>There a roomered proposal to move CB up to 150 Mhz FM with 3 watts
>>out. does anyone have any more info on this?
>>
>>
>>TNX Buzz
>
>
>Nothing on it at ARRL or FCC websites, so it is just a rumor.

It's not a rumor, it's fact. Do your homework. MURS is real.

80. Re: Machine-Gun like noise on 27.960 lsb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: k5dh@raytheon.com
Message-ID: <lwOV5.23$mz3.265@dfw-service2.ext.raytheon.com>
Source record: 61571

In article <B64AD5C7.C1C0%dennis1449@dcwis.com>, dennis1449@dcwis.com says...
>
>Anyone know what this is? Freebanders around Chicago use this frequency and
>during the afternoons there are loud transmissions of a machine-gun like
>noise at varying speeds covering them up. I here these transmissions at
>various frequencies below 27.960 also.

I'm betting that you were hearing the Russian "over the horizon" radar.
It follows the MUF (maximum usable frequency) up and down the entire HF
spectrum with computer-controlled frequency agility. Years ago, they
had a similar system that was referred to as the "woodpecker". It was
so strong and so annoying that the ham gear manufacturers actually added
a second noise blanker circuit to their rigs just to combat it. Russia
dropped it many years ago, but apparently they've come out with a newer
version that's cruising the HF spectrum today. I hear it on 20 meters
all the time. Nothing you can do about it, except live with it.

73,
Dean, K5DH
Visit my amateur radio web site at http://www.qsl.net/k5dh

81. Sonar CB question, and parts needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: k5dh@raytheon.com
Message-ID: <cCOV5.25$mz3.270@dfw-service2.ext.raytheon.com>
Source record: 61572

Here's a quirky little question for the Sonar experts...

I just picked up a Sonar tube-type CB rig. I thought at first that it was a Model "E". Upon closer inspection, however, I've noticed that it may not be. The silk-screened name on the front panel has had the "E" carefully retouched to be a "C", and the manufacturer's serial number tag on the rear panel, which is an embossed metallic label, clearly states that the set is a Model "C". I've never heard of a "C", and there's no listing of a Sams Photofacts for a Model "C". It looks for all the world like an "E", inside and out. Any ideas? The radio is really in great condition cosmetically, and has definitely not been repainted or relabeled in any way. Yet another oddball...

As for the parts, I need a knob for the channel select switch, an original Sonar hand mic, and a copy of the owner's manual (for a Model "E", I presume).

73,
Dean, K5DH
Visit my Raytheon CB radio web site:
http://www.qsl.net/k5dh/raytheon.html

82. Re: Missing The Big Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29625-3A27B2F0-22@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61573

No personal insult there, hammie dude. Just a fact that you can not face. It's folks like you that run off all the good folks, when you and your ilk disappear, things usually return to normal. However, if it is debatable dialogue you wish to construe without getting personal, I will not fight a battle of wits with an unarmed opponent. Therefore, you can stop emailing me all your love letters and you can post them here for all to see. Of course those cards got "chucked" long ago. If they didn't get "chucked", you might have been asked to prove yourself AGAIN!

83. Re: Missing The Big Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29626-3A27B422-8@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61574

Talked to a local LEO last night. Said mega-power has interfered with
his lowjack terminal, but that's about it as far as he is aware.

84. Re: CB crackdown issue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29625-3A27B546-24@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61575

"Ah, now I see", say grasshopper.
Ya' recruited a butty into the ng and now you're gonna admit why you were here all along.

85. Re: Missing The Big Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29625-3A27B39B-23@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61576

TR, I haven't really made up my mind about you until now, but thank you for doing it for me. Your stereotypical classification of folks because of their choice of server speaks volumes abut your intellect. Enjoy your weekend!

86. Re: Missing The Big Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29626-3A27B4B2-9@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61577

Hehehe! Forget it, Scott. He accused me of the same. The dude is
obviously challenged and possesses certain capacitance deficiencies.

87. Re: Power Supply Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <9sef2tgpv91dob7pnrni30073ljcdsve0f@4ax.com>
Source record: 61578

Greetings!

You'd have a high ripple - almost AC. And depending on the type of
rectifier circuit, a 120 cycle AC buzz.

Just means the regulator quit, and you'll see peaks of close to 36
volts on some supplies.

On Thu, 30 Nov 2000 13:09:42 -0600, while the modem was pondering it's
navel, "-=PEAKABOO=-" <peakaboo@keydowns.com> dissertated the
following:

:+>
:+> "Chris" <chris@moonco.com> wrote in message
:+> news:3A149A55.CA2499@moonco.com...
:+> If it is
:+> > the voltage regulator, it will show AC current instead of DC current.
:+>
:+> How would the regulator convert the voltage from AC to DC? I think you meant
:+> the rectifier.

Regards!
:+> Andy <+: (```~~~~''')
. ^^ ||||| ^^
... You know you're a CB'er when... \\ //
... you spend more time fixing .-----------.
... your radio... | [ ] o o o |\
... than talking on it! '__________|\|
. `-----------'

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

88. Re: Power Supply Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <13ff2tomb16b9v8lhs07pe4kuqbmq9ff68@4ax.com>
Source record: 61579

Greetings!

If memory serves correctly - it's a Pi-network filter section. See if there is a some type of loading resistor on the output before the amp. The high wattage resistor could have blown, causing excess voltage to appear. If none exist, you might want to protect your efforts by investing into something like a ballast resistor of considerable wattage to help loading and prevent spikes that can take out the power supply.

The "Smoke" from the first time probably came from the failed cap that when the resistor quit [a cap can fail like this too] or the transient voltage spike caused the caps to exceed their recommended voltages for a brief moment - the coil saw one of the caps as a dead short to ground and put all the current it has thru the windings into that failed cap. The spike can also travel to the rectifier circuit and cause a diode to fail. If the diode shorted, it's passing nearly AC pressing the other diodes to work against the diode. If it's open it's causing the other diodes to work harder to pass current.

A loading resistor should be in there, to load the caps and help reduce ripple.

The power supply effort is nothing new, the RF transistors can work with supplies that have high ripple. Just as long as current is available to supply whats needed - the voltage load simply drops to a working value. You can test this when you key the amp - you find the voltage with drop to a steady level, thats the working voltage of the power supply.

The only problems with this type of supply is the high working voltage "kick" that drops off - causing a spike to appear at the RF terminal that is full of harmonics for a brief moment. That spike also works backwards into the recitifiers thur the PI-network filter.

On Thu, 30 Nov 2000 16:49:38 -0500, while the modem was pondering it's navel, tnom@mucks.net dissertated the following:

:+> On 16 Nov 2000 03:52:29 GMT, hankjr941@aol.com (Hank JR941) wrote:
:+>
:+> >I have a 200 watt base box "solid state" with 2 mrf455s. i hooked it up and
:+> >keyed it down and it was doing 300 watts. BUT if i left it keyed for more than
:+> >10 sec it would start smoking, When i got the box the wire inside the
:+> >transformer thingy was burnt, so i replaced it with a wire the same size and
:+> >length and tried it again. MORE SMOKE!! so i broke out my ole trusty radio
:+> >shack pocket multimeter and checked the voltage off of the power supply. It was

:+> >at 23 volts!!!! So i bypassed the power supply and ran it off of my 25 amp trip
:+> >lite supply. Now it is at 13.8 volts and is working fine"but not near the
:+> >wattage of coarse" So my question is what will go wrong and make the power
:+> >supply do this? There is not much to the power supply just a big transformer
:+> >that looks like a donut and 2 wires running to two little things mounted to the
:+> >back of the box that are about the sze of a penny and thats it. What the hell
:+> >is wrong with this thing is it the transformer or what????? thanx for the
:+> >help! 223 swamps
:+>
:+> No filter caps?.....No circuit board?.......... No
:+> regulator?.........Just a toroid type transformer and two
:+> chassis mounted diodes?
:+>
:+> With the type of supply it is normal to read 20 volts or so without
:+> a load on the supply. It is not a proper power supply for your
:+> application.. You have a battery charger that has no regulation or
:+> filtering because it doesn't need it for that purpose.
:+>
:+> For your application you can get away with no regulation,
:+> however you still need filter caps. With this configuration
:+> you might get away with running the amp for a while. It does
:+> however sound like you are overtaxing the supply.
:+>
:+> The solution if you want to run this supply is to keep the
:+> filter caps and then add a cheap form of regulation. Parallel
:+> a 5 or 6 ohm resister at the output. The resister should be
:+> a minimum of 40 watts. This should lower the no load voltage
:+> to somewhere around 15 or 16 volts.
:+>
:+>
:+>
:+>

Regards!
.:+> Andy <+: (((
.)))
... You know you're a VERSATILE CB'er when (((
... you're linear functions as a Bun Warmer /|/|/|/|/|
... Coffee heater and Hamburger Grill / / / / /||
... on a cold winters night! ||||||||||/|
... [== ..]/

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

89. Re: Don't Despair get your ham license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <o3gf2to913q011nkpai2kcbdo18imsjf1v@4ax.com>
Source record: 61580

Greetings!

You missed a few pointers.

Ever deal with an OO, or are you currently operating as one?

I noticed you avoided that...

My grind is the failure to communicate. FCC then to ARRL - and no
response...

You guys need to be more open about these "OO" subjects...

On Thu, 30 Nov 2000 15:01:16 -0500, while the modem was pondering it's
navel, Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> dissertated the
following:

:+> Not sure, but since I haven't run into much trouble on VHF, I can't
:+> comment there. I CAN confirm that it is truckers on 28.085 simply by
:+> the gist of the conversations (destinations, loads, gripes about employ-
:+> ers, etc.) and the noise toys such as R-beeps and echo. And many hams
:+> ARE attempting to discourage this by running CW right on top of them.
:+> If that sounds like I'm being a a-h***, I can't help it. The hams are
:+> legal there, the truckers aren't, so they run right over them. LOL!
:+> You can't "interfere" with an illegal station since he nas no rights in
:+> such a case. Incidentally, I run my station completely legally. I
:+> don't even own an amp (except in the car--mostly for 40 meter AM on
:+> 7.295.

Regards! ___________
:+> Andy <+: | Notice! |
. | |
... You know your CB is working \ \
... when...the FCC sends you a QSL _________\
... card and a Summons!

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

90. Re: Dummy Loads - What liquid do you fill them with ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <acgf2tsqedgjm6hgh0eoip2f48blk41kmo@4ax.com>
Source record: 61581

Greetings!

Don't take them all, I need a few too...

On Thu, 30 Nov 2000 14:55:23 GMT, while the modem was pondering it's
navel, "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> dissertated the
following:

:+> Can you believe this ...[...]...
:+> I am having problems figuring out why some hams come into
:+> the CB ngs, spew their venom, and then have the audacity to wonder why
:+> people neither want their help nor appreciate their 'knowlege'.
:+> Excuse me please, I've gotta find a barf bag.
:+>
:+> Jim

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:
...
... You know you're a Hard-Core CB'er when...
... You have a Ham for dinner, and you *DO* remember
... what the Call-Sign was...

91. Re: Missing The Big Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <pmgf2tcqe5ilnirsa182jph53v4jkkctjj@4ax.com>
Source record: 61582

Greetings!

On 30 Nov 2000 20:10:07 GMT, while the modem was pondering it's navel,
n8wwm@aol.com (N8wwm) dissertated the following:

:+> ...[...]... I did not make the law, I did not even know it was
:+> in the works. I do support it.
:+>
:+> This is too simple, fellas...It is a law. It helps to protect the public
:+> good.

Then quit crying - you're behind the times here.

:+> You can get an amateur license and run 1500 watts on any band you are
:+> licensed for. That is the provision that is made for radio enthusiasts.

Ahemn...what class? 1500W is not for everybody...don't make a
GENERAL statement like that.

:+> Everything you have said about "what if this, what if that" is based on
:+> the idea that hams are included in this law. That is an incorrect
:+> supposition. A legally operating amateur station is exempt.

If you're on the CB band, or out of band, no license will protect you.

As the sole operator, you are responsible for the emmissions that the
station produces, that includes being the cause of unwanted
interference.

You're in a corner bud, back off.

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:

... You know your radio is really Modulating when...
... Elvis shows up at your doorstep and asks to use
... your Microphone!!!

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

92. Re: Speech Processor Update...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <b7if2t43umrg7fqfu7cd9e2i8ttdiceqi2@4ax.com>
Source record: 61583

Greetings!

Are you going to ever make it in kit form?

On Fri, 1 Dec 2000 05:02:58 -0600, while the modem was pondering it's
navel, "Professor" <bgriffey@kwom.com> dissertated the following:

:+> Analog Devices #SSM2166P

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:
. .-----------------.
... You know you're a Mud-Duck when... | 0 |3 5 7 9 30+|
... asking for a "Ray-didio check", they |===|=============|
... answer with "You're barely gettin' `-----------------'
... the weeds wet!"

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

93. Re: ARRL News RE:illegal CB BILL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <uaif2tko8dn4lmiv267hoj871t4d0sbv54@4ax.com>
Source record: 61584

Greetings!

Thanks Doc...an admission!

Thank you!

On 01 Dec 2000 01:38:06 GMT, while the modem was pondering it's navel,
n8wwm@aol.com (N8wwm) dissertated the following:

:+> >N8wwm,
:+> > The law says 'unlicensed' operators are affected by this bill,
:+> >licensed operators are not. Of course the ARRL would word their
:+> >announcement to cover hams, that's who they represent. What else
:+> >would you expect? There's nothing sinister about it.
:+> > And, if an amateur is operating on the CB frequencies, or the
:+> >so called 'freeband' frequencies, he is ~not~ exempt because he
:+> >holds an FCC license.
:+> > If your going to tell it, tell it right.
:+> > 'Doc
:+> >
:+> >
:+>
:+> Yes Doc you are correct.No CB'er or ham is licensed to operate there. Therefore
:+> they would not be exempt from the law if they did.

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:
.
... You know you're a DEDICATED CB'er when ## ########
... you listen to CONVOY for the 1,237th # # # #
... time, and it still brings a tear to # ## # #
... your eyes! # # ##
. ## ##
http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

94. Re: Burning resistor on PC76xl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <6eif2tkqv1o1gn756jn7f1mkdabilrllfg@4ax.com>
Source record: 61585

Greetings!

Any changes to that section?

The final can either be a 2166 or a 2078 - if it's a more recent
vintage, I've seen 2078's used. What kind of a Final is back there?

If that 22 ohm resistor is getting hot, there is DC getting into the
Base of the final in a way that's causing the 22ohm resistor to heat
up.

Thats why I have to ask if there has been any changes to either the
Driver or Final circuitry. Even tweaking the output network can affect
that Bias resistor on the final.

On Thu, 30 Nov 2000 22:51:25 GMT, while the modem was pondering it's
navel, "Major Tom" <ground@control.com> dissertated the following:

:+> I need some help, I have a pc 76xl the 22 ohm resistor, at the back of the
:+> radio to the left of the final is getting extremly hot, i have changed the
:+> final thinking this was the problem. But it still heats up any ideas as to
:+> whats wrong with the radio. Perhaps this final is bad? Any Help appreciated
:+>

Regards!
:+> Andy <+: (```~~~~''')
. ^^ ||||| ^^
... You know you're a CB'er when... \\ //
... you spend more time fixing .-----------.
... your radio... | [ ] o o o |\
... than talking on it! '__________|\|
. `------------'

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

95. Re: HR-2346 (local CB enforcement) Fines??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A27D48C.490B@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 61586

And that's why I oppose this thing. They may not have authority over hams, BUT fines would encourage them to *try* to pop people. And that would lead the bubbas to go after hams because, as far as radio is concerned, most don't don't know sh** from shinola!

Jerry

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> I was just wondering something about HR-2346? Since this allows local
> legislators to write laws based on FCC regulations, will that include
> the forfeiture amounts spelled out in the FCC regulations? In other
> words, are we talking about local courts handing out fines up to $20,000
> for each rule violation? If so, that will certainly encourage local law
> enforcement officers to go after CB'ers with a vengeance (there are very
> few $20,000 speeding tickets).
>
> Dwight Stewart

96. Re: Burning resistor on PC76xl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Major Tom <ground@control.com>
Message-ID: <SuQV5.496$h6.25898@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 61587

Hello Andy, I don't see any changes back there, the final is a 1969 i
replaced the original with another 1969, i also replaced the original 22 ohm
resistor with 2- 10 ohms tied in series which should be no problem I will
see if i have a 2078 or a 2166 and try to replace it with that one unless
you think it is something else.
"Handy Andy" <CodysPC@Iserve.Net> wrote in message
news:6eif2tkqv1o1gn756jn7f1mkdabilrllfg@4ax.com...
> Greetings!
>
> Any changes to that section?
>
> The final can either be a 2166 or a 2078 - if it's a more recent
> vintage, I've seen 2078's used. What kind of a Final is back there?
>
> If that 22 ohm resistor is getting hot, there is DC getting into the
> Base of the final in a way that's causing the 22ohm resistor to heat
> up.
>
> Thats why I have to ask if there has been any changes to either the
> Driver or Final circuitry. Even tweaking the output network can affect
> that Bias resistor on the final.
>
> On Thu, 30 Nov 2000 22:51:25 GMT, while the modem was pondering it's
> navel, "Major Tom" <ground@control.com> dissertated the following:
>
> :+> I need some help, I have a pc 76xl the 22 ohm resistor, at the back
of the
> :+> radio to the left of the final is getting extremly hot, i have
changed the
> :+> final thinking this was the problem. But it still heats up any ideas
as to
> :+> whats wrong with the radio. Perhaps this final is bad? Any Help
appreciated
> :+>
>
> Regards!
> :+> Andy <+: (```~~~~''')
> . ^^ ||||| ^^
> ... You know you're a CB'er when... \\ //
> ... you spend more time fixing .-----------.
> ... your radio... | [ ] o o o |\
> ... than talking on it! '__________|\|
> . `------------'
>

> http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

97. Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers vs Ham skum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A27D631.3B23@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 61588

Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay) wrote:
>
> Bill Nelson wrote:
>
> > I also would prefer to see the CB band opened up to about 27.75 MHz
> > and have the restrictions on amplifiers and DX eliminated. To go with
> > this, I would want to see the requirement that amplifiers be type
> > accepted.
>

>
> How about allowing FM on some frequencies?

Scott,

I think the reason that we don't have FM is that that is also determined by international treaty. I brought that up regarding another agency that operates near 27MHZ and that was what I was told. I know that some other countries (England?) have FM, but I don't know how their operations are affected by said treaties. Anyone else have accurate info?

Jerry

98. Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers vs Ham skum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: w8ron <w8ron@stratos.net>
Message-ID: <3a27d627$0$35385$6d93012@news.stratos.net>
Source record: 61589

It might work ....but then ....most CBers can't get together for a coffee break......they all end up at amateur radio club sponsered hamfeasts looking for ham equipment.

Ron

The Phoneman wrote:

> Wonder what would happen if all the cb'ers you know
> signed a petition and submitted it through proper
> channels, ie. state lawmaker type guy, to the FCC
> to abolish the code requirement and make the ham
> test a simple, plain english common sense type test
> that anyone who cared to take could pass?
> I'm bettin' that it would get someones attention. If
> we made enough noise about it, we could all be
> on the ham bands legally swapping stories on health
> and weather conditions, and telling each other how
> great our rigs are!
> The Phoneman

99. Still need a Hy-Gain 623 junker.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: w8ron <w8ron@stratos.net>
Message-ID: <3a27d673$0$35385$6d93012@news.stratos.net>
Source record: 61590

```
Hey ....anyone out there have a Hy-Gain 623 hulk.
I need some parts.
---
Ron
```

100. Re: Machine-Gun like noise on 27.960 lsb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A27D78B.7BC3@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 61591

Speaking of which, I am hearing a clock-like signal on a whole bunch of bands now. I first noticed it on a 4MHZ freq I am licensed for and on 20 and 40 meters. It seems to have about a 1 second interval and goes continuously. Anybody else heard this thing?

Jerry

N8wwm wrote:
>
> >If I recall correctly, the "Russian Woodpecker" did not sweek across the
> >banks. It was used to jam one or two international broadcasting signals
> >in the 40 meter band that the Soviet government did not want their citizens
> >to be able to hear.
> >
>
> Nope. The woodpecker was all over the place. I heard it on every band I
> listened on quite frequently in the late 70's early 80's. The thing would QSY
> if you sent a string of dits at it, too.

101. Re: HR2346 : hams beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: w8ron <w8ron@stratos.net>
Message-ID: <3a27d80c$0$35389$6d93012@news.stratos.net>
Source record: 61592

Hey here in Cleveland, they track em down and take their stuff.
Hams are exempt but still must face federal querrys if there is interference or
are placed on evening hours .
Any good ham is able to seek advice to eliminate interference.

Newer equipment is now being CE certified for susceptability to interference and
those problems will subside once the older equipment is replaced.

Ron

Wild Bill wrote:

> Fred this law only gives towns the right to pass statutes and ordinances of
> which they then can enforce. You are correct it is mainly meant for
> interference cases where police had there hands tied and couldnt do
> anything. this is where you will come into play as an interference "expert"
> and help them resolve or find the cause of the interference. But I want to
> know what will you do for the people who are operating 100% legal, stock 4
> watt out of the box cb , now I know your gonna say well everyone is peaked
> up or this or that but i do know a stock radio can and will cause
> interference i think the fcc calls it audio rectification, but they still
> interfere with there neighbors phones, baby monitors, stereos, computers
> etc. ? What then do we get our radios taken away? Are we at fault no we are
> not. the fault interference from poor shielding it is like a disease the
> problem is already there we are just bringing it out in the open by our
> transmissions Are we automaticaly at fault? I know is it innocent until
> proven guilty but i don't think that will ring true in this case. have at
> it!
> "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
> news:8vr8vlpgl1@iac5.navix.net...
> > HR 2346 applies ONLY to CB and hams are specifically exempt. The point is,
> > do you want Barney Fife to be able to cease your equipment when a neighbor
> > claims interference? Of course the local cops are not going to
> triangulate,
> > masturbate, or other wise discern the location of a CB operator. BUT! they
> > will respond to that neighbor down the street who's stereo you get in to.
> Do
> > you think you will be able to convince them that it is because the stereo
> is
> > a piece of crap,? Nope! they will cease your equipment for "Illegal
> > operation". Can you get it back? Sure if you want to spend the money for a
> > lawyer...
> >
> > "beaker" <dukkhakoti@aol.comblahblah> wrote in message
> > news:20001125122106.24651.00001564@ng-cd1.aol.com...

> > > if this bill does pass , hams have much more to loose . hams have
> > licence's to
> > > loose , and radios that cost much more than cb set ups .
> > >
> > > a serious ham will loose his 1000$ Yeasu , and his licence .
> > >
> > > most cb'ers will loose a 200 $ radio .
> > >
> > > even if this is real and it does pass . for the fcc to "bust someone "
> the
> > > need alot of expensice equipment to triangulate the transmitter . find
> > what
> > > freq he is on . get a field strenght reading , and have trained
> > personelle
> > > that would be able to prove in a court of law that from all his reading
> on
> > all
> > > that stuff that this one person was the one transmitting .
> > >
> > > unless every single police department is gonna fund a cb swat team ,
> hire
> > RF
> > > engineers , get all that equipment , then spen the $ to inforce the
> > current
> > > laws so all those "dangerous cb'ers " can be controlled , your nuts .
> > > you think that any city will pass a tax hike to fund this ?
> > >
> > > do ya really think that any cop will care if my radio is illegal ?
> > >
> > > ------------------------
> > > #22 north central illinois mobile
> >
> >

102. Re: You all worry to much/Clinton CB Bill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: w8ron <w8ron@stratos.net>
Message-ID: <3a27d8bc$0$35389$6d93012@news.stratos.net>
Source record: 61593

The answer is "PROBABLE CAUSE"

Ron

Programbo5 wrote:

> So..... What?...Simply having coil CB antennas is grounds for pulling you over
> and searching your van?..I don`t think so...All this bill is saying is that
> local law enforcement will be used to enforce FCC regulation but they will
> still need to follow the same guidelines.
>
> The internet is more than a global pornography network

103. Re: Dummy Loads - What liquid do you fill them with ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: w8ron <w8ron@stratos.net>
Message-ID: <3a27d977$0$35389$6d93012@news.stratos.net>
Source record: 61594

Mineral Oil.

Richard Smith wrote:

> I bought a 'paint can' style dummy load at a local HAM fleamarket recently.
> Can anybody tell me what liquid I need to fill it with ? (I forgot to ask
> when I bought it). I guess gasoline is not a good idea (only kidding !!),
> but what sort of oil should I use ?
>
> Cheers,
>
> Richard.
>
> --
> Please remove the "NOSPAM" from my address if replying via email.

104. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <t2fn6j91m2gld3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61595

Well I just had to jump in here and make a reply, can not resist the chance. Don't confuse me with N8wwm. I at least have the balls to use my real name, and call sign. So if you have a problem with the other poster be sure who your are talking too first. Otherwise you will readily look stupid. I'm sure N8wwm is getting a real kick out you guys because you can't even figure out you are even talking too. Imagine, getting me confused with "him", I thought you people were smarter than that.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:29625-3A27B042-19@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> You lie, Leland. You falsely make accusations of people calling you
> names and cussing you and have no idea who you are replying to...case
> proved by the number of invalid postings by yourself. Man, you must be
> getting it from all angles..thats gotta leave a skid mark right across
> your forehead! Once again, they are laughing AT you.
>

105. FS RCI-2950 + Amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: dudeatlarge@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <908p70$i9d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 61596

I have a RCI-2950 and a Boomer 250 watt amp for sale. These are both in perfect working condition. The price is $200 for both. Please email me with any questions.

Thanks

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

106. Re: HR-2346 (local CB enforcement) Fines??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A27E4D7.C280C007@oio.net>
Source record: 61597

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> I was just wondering something about HR-2346? Since this allows local
> legislators to write laws based on FCC regulations, will that include
> the forfeiture amounts spelled out in the FCC regulations? In other
> words, are we talking about local courts handing out fines up to $20,000
> for each rule violation? If so, that will certainly encourage local law
> enforcement officers to go after CB'ers with a vengeance (there are very
> few $20,000 speeding tickets).
>
> Dwight Stewart

Since this law will have to be implimented by local ordinance
it would make this a misdenenor(sp). As such, any fine for
violation of this local ordinance would have to comply with the
local fine structure. That means that if your fined $50.00 for
a speeding ticket, I seriously doubt if the fine for RFI would
be much more than that, or at least ~not~ in the $20k range. I
think people should start being a bit more realistic...
'Doc

107. Re: Missing The Big Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <EC%V5.712$HO4.134602@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 61598

"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:0LYV5.3941$2P3.289872@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Someone please check me - I am getting old and golly geeze, probably have
> trouble copying much more than 45 or 50 WMP now (my typing is down to 70)
> ...
> but, uh, gee I hate to seem stupid but .. I don't think novice and
advanced
> licenses disappeared. You cannot get a new novice or advanced, but I do
> believe they are renewable if you hold one of them. So, another question
..
> can anyone else now run 1500 watts (I am serious, I don't know the answer
to
> this) in the novice sub-bands below 28 MHz since novice licenses are still
> valid (and the rules used to stated EVERYONE with a license good for HF
was
> limited to 200 watts in the novice sub-bands)? Certainly, an existing
> novice license not due to expire would have not been pulled away when the
> new rules took effect. Also, how many classes of license allow their
users
> 1.5 KW in the 10.100 to 10.150 MHz band? Unless things have changed
> recently, I can count that number on the fingers of my left foot (or toes
on
> my right hand).
>
> Thanks and 73s from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>

The licenses are not being issued but the rules live on.

108. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <GE%V5.713$HO4.134856@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 61599

"Mike Frye" <km6ab@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:3A287B29.A1EAA281@pacbell.net...
> I hope this guy has a body guard! I am a licensed porker and I think
> this would turn more people against each other than the latest
> Presidential election.

He won't make it out of the next Hamfest. Relax

109. Re: A sum up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Landshark <s3buell@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1N%V5.86$jo.229375@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 61600

Yeah but the point of "preaching" the word of legalities
goes a little too far. I and a couple of others have been out
here long before windows or Mac was the way to talk, it
was Unix. Then when some one said, "But Linears are
illegal" we said "OK", and that was that. But now a
days some people including you, bring it upon yourself
to hold a holy crusade to rid the world, and show the wrong
doers there misdeeds. Yup, just keep typing, "Hollingsworth
is gonna get you", "Good, now things will be like it was in
the olds days" Really?
I don't know about you people, but this has been a hobby
of mine since the late sixties, Yup, that's right, over thirty years and
well before then. I remember as a kid going over to my parents friends
house, watching him talk around the world on his radio equipment. His name
is Bill Orr, that's right in the early part of that decade and still, too
this day he is considered a good friend of the family. I have listen to the
same rhetoric, FCC is cracking down, CB'rs are no good, Hams are evil, it's
all bullshit. It comes and goes, but people making trouble here and on the
air, will always be around.
Good luck on cleaning up the world of speeders, linears,
illegal radios, high watt head light bulbs, loud exhaust and
all the rest of the small crimes in the world today.
Boy the Holocaust must have paled compared to the
hampered life of all the radio operators in the world.

The Landshark

--
It's a Buell-tiful Day for a ride
through the Canyons.

1999 Ford F-150 Super Cab
1998 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
1983 Honda 1100F
BFD #666
"Gary" <heppu@boxi.net> wrote in message
news:907nq9$m1m$1@news.kolumbus.fi...
> Thank you gentlemen,
> This was indeed the reason why I wrote the "Top Ten" list above. For some
> reason none of you mentioned my point of view concerning the role of (at
> least) some rules that should (in my opinion, that is) be followed in
radio
> communication: the name of this newsgroup is NOT "rec.radio.freebandcb" -
> it's "rec.radio.cb".
> With this I am trying to defend the CBers who have their legal rigs,

> antennas etc. - the people who simply enjoy talking on the radio, chatting
> with people, developing their skills in understanding propagation,
feedlines
> and such. That must be the reason we have a thing called Citizens' Band.
> Those are the kind of radio hobbyists who suffer from the negative public
> image of CB radio - they do not use illegal equipment, excessive power -
> they lead nice and decent conversations on their channels. Which,
needless
> to say, I find a very, very good hobby. I see very little difference
between
> their interest in radios and the interest of hams in theirs. Besides, many
> hams have legal CB rigs and like working there. We just can't use our
> callsigns there (at least in my country) - that's why my handle here is
> "Karppi", when I take a CB mike.
> Let's not let the bad apples ruin the whole basket.
>
> 73, Gary
>
>
>

110. Re: Speech Processor Update...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Landshark <s3buell@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <uX%V5.87$jo.232829@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 61601

```
Then quit trying to impress yourself
and go out and find an apartment. Jesus,
give your parents a break, your over
30 years old and still living at home.

The Landshark

--
It's a Buell-tiful Day for a ride
through the Canyons.

1999 Ford F-150 Super Cab
1998 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
1983 Honda 1100F
BFD #666
"Professor" <bgriffey@kwom.com> wrote in message
news:9096d7$u8d$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Have no plans to do so...
>
> In article <b7if2t43umrg7fqfu7cd9e2i8ttdiceqi2@4ax.com>,
> codyspc@iserv.net wrote:
> > Greetings!
> >
> > Are you going to ever make it in kit form?
> >
> > On Fri, 1 Dec 2000 05:02:58 -0600, while the modem was pondering it's
> > navel, "Professor" <bgriffey@kwom.com> dissertated the following:
> >
> > :+> Analog Devices #SSM2166P
> >
> > Regards!
> > :+> Andy <+:
> > . .-----------------.
> > ... You know you're a Mud-Duck when... | 0 |3 5 7 9 30+|
> > ... asking for a "Ray-didio check", they |===|=============|
> > ... answer with "You're barely gettin' `-----------------'
> > ... the weeds wet!"
> >
> > http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm
> >
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>
```

111. Re: my dim 2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:01:30 UTC
From: jojo <maranatha@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <uTBV5.30201$M51.10291938@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 61385

well there is no battery that I can see, I am sure it would be plain to see. possibly the chip is gone. what a shame this radio was a great radio and whoever said they donot work great on SSB was wrong, this radio was a talker and stable too, hardly ever slid off freq. thanks

112. Re: See You But Wouldnt Want 2 Be You

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:12:44 UTC
From: Major Tom <ground@control.com>
Message-ID: <02CV5.418$pi.23907@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 61386

adios MF
"The Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message
news:s4BV5.100164$U46.3171098@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> I really don't think you added much to this group in the first place.
Don't
> let the door hit you in the ass
>
>
> "www.kp82.com" <timnebo@aol.comedy> wrote in message
> news:20001130142834.15401.00001354@ng-fm1.aol.com...
> see you guys later. the group is worthless. its been forever since i
learned
> anything worth while in here. i got happy when dennis came back because i
> thought it may get back to the old ways but with voobner causing trouble
and
> the professor fanning the flames he's gone. sean doesnt post anymore and
> toll
> free is rarer then ever and that pretty much only leaves peakaboo and andy
> and
> they doesnt have anybody to get technical with. sorry if i left any of the
> real
> contributors over the years out. i'm gone 10-7 and wont even read any
> replies
> to this. my email is open to everybody timnebo@aol.com
>
>
>
> 73 de Tim
> Knight Patrol #82
> http://www.kp82.com
>
>

113. Re: Machine-Gun like noise on 27.960 lsb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:46:09 UTC
From: George <geonbc@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <lxCV5.369049$4d.45398380@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 61387

Sounds like the Russian wood pecker from years ago is back. It used to
sweep across the bands at a slow rate and sounded like a machinegun.
"sprakenheight" <pain@softcom.net> wrote in message
news:9052l8$dtos$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> it's probably sun burst.

114. Re: A sum up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 00:57:22 UTC
From: bendowersagain@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001130195722.12007.00000069@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Source record: 61388

>None of this is meant as a flame, I'm
>simply pointing out that guests in another's house should try and be at
>least civil.
>
>Thanks and 73s from Rochester, NY
>Jim
>

Thank You Jim.

115. president lincolns for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 01:02:39 UTC
From: jojo <maranatha@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <PMCV5.30240$M51.10316226@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 61389

looking for a great radio, I have one and this guy is auctioning off three
of them on yahoo go to the link and check em out, price is up there but
like I said they have some good features
http://user.auctions.yahoo.com/user/izolgd111?
--

_____
maran atha, mar'-anath'-ah - an exclamation of the approaching divine
judgment

116. Question on proposal to move CB frequency up to VHF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 01:22:49 UTC
From: Buzz <!turtle@owc.net>
Message-ID: <13vd2tcvpkt4j0h5jhf1938qs7jlhp5gdv@4ax.com>
Source record: 61390

There a roomered proposal to move CB up to 150 Mhz FM with 3 watts out. does anyone have any more info on this?

TNX Buzz

117. Re: Missing The Big Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 01:36:22 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001130203622.09134.00001943@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61391

Ahh yes, again a personal insult...Oh, Twist-tie you dont get it do ya?
As far as qsl's go...I have my VHF stuff...the old stuff got chucked ages ago.
I keep QSL's for contest and grid hunting purposes now,thats all.

.and just 'cause you got a piece of paper with the word "technician"
>on it, does not make you a technician. But that is something you will
>NEVER comprehend.
>
>
>
>
>

118. Re: ARRL News RE:illegal CB BILL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 01:38:06 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001130203806.09134.00001944@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61392

>N8wwm,
> The law says 'unlicensed' operators are affected by this bill,
>licensed operators are not. Of course the ARRL would word their
>announcement to cover hams, that's who they represent. What else
>would you expect? There's nothing sinister about it.
> And, if an amateur is operating on the CB frequencies, or the
>so called 'freeband' frequencies, he is ~not~ exempt because he
>holds an FCC license.
> If your going to tell it, tell it right.
> 'Doc
>
>

Yes Doc you are correct.No CB'er or ham is licensed to operate there. Therefore they would not be exempt from the law if they did.

119. Re: Question on proposal to move CB frequency up to VHF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 01:39:35 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001130203935.09164.00001812@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61393

>There a roomered proposal to move CB up to 150 Mhz FM with 3 watts
>out. does anyone have any more info on this?
>
>
>TNX Buzz
>
>
>
>
>
>

Nothing on it at ARRL or FCC websites, so it is just a rumor.

120. Re: HR2346 : hams beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 01:45:34 UTC
From: bendowersagain@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001130204534.12007.00000076@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Source record: 61394

>"Fred Hambrecht" said

>I was asked to get involved.

>The town has to
>write a set of laws that address "real law breakers".

Hi Fred
I almost want to laugh, but to tell you the truth, I truly do worry about you. Towns don't need to write new law. It will be treated as a nuisance. Much like some one playing loud music, or junk cars park in a front yard. If this is truly going on in your town, I would like to know why? Do you have rapid cb'ers doing great harm to the citizens, or maybe they just have a whole lot of time and money to waste? I would realy like to know. Please enlighten me.

121. Re: Clinton Signs Bill on Illegal CBs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 02:24:36 UTC
From: TR@sky.net
Message-ID: <3a25c03b.202843983@news.idsi.net>
Source record: 61396

On Wed, 29 Nov 2000 21:35:37 GMT, "Wild Bill" <wildbill@wild.comspam>
wrote:

>If you have no license he had better had a search warrant , if you are a ham
>you have a license to loose so you may want to let them in to inspect your
>station.

License or no license they still need a warrant. But the majority of
hams will have no problem letting the officer in to see their station.

It's the illegally CBers that will crap their pants and give the cop a
hard time.

>"Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
>news:8vsudk$l8f$1@iac5.navix.net...
>> I think you best check with the lodge brother that practices law. You will
>> find that a search warrant is not needed.
>>
>> "G SEVEN" <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net> wrote in message
>> news:8vq6rt$b5nc$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
>> > If Mr. Friendly does have a search warrant I will invite him in and show
>> him
>> > my working tools and plaques on the wall and remind him that his
>superiors
>> > are my lodge buddies, case closed :)
>> >
>> > KRS
>> >
>> > Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com> wrote in message
>> > news:3A209C0D.73EA@mobiletel.com...
>> > > G SEVEN wrote:
>> > > >
>> > > > Sorry, a search warrant wont be issued until Mr. officer has made a
>> > attempt
>> > > > to resolve the complaint first. Proper procedure starts with a
>warning
>> > and
>> > > > by the next time the amp will e looooooong gone :)
>> > > >
>> > > > KRS
>> > > >
>> > > Depends on the Jurisdiction, once a "crime" has been reported on how
>> > > Officer Friendly
>> > > will handle it. Search Warrants are very easy to obtain some
>> > > Jurisdictions, so

>> > > if Officer Friendly has one in hand, what next? Quick Ethyl, unplug it
>> > > and flush it?
>> > > Even if the Amp is looooooooong gone, mission acomplished ;-}
>> > >
>> > > > Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com> wrote in message
>> > > > news:3A209344.3269@mobiletel.com...
>> > > > > G SEVEN wrote:
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > If a cop comes to my house for any reason and wants to come
>inside
>> > to
>> > > > snoop
>> > > > > > around, he'd better have a search warrant. By the time he gets
>a
>> > > > warrant my
>> > > > > > stuff will be unhooked and long gone.....................
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > > KRS
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > Easy, he just have one in hand after speaking to the judge after
>> > > > monitoring
>> > > > your transmission. If the DF teams indicate the signal is
>> originating
>> > > > from
>> > > > your premises, its 4th Admendment Probable Cause, Search Warrant
>> > > > Optional.
>> > > > >
>> > > > >
>> > > > > > Wild Bill <wildbill@wild.comspam> wrote in message
>> > > > > > news:3oZT5.9664$TE3.606390@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
>> > > > > > > I jusy want to know how the hell are the cops supposed to know
>> > when I
>> > > > am
>> > > > > > > transmitting and from what frequency and what power level.
>> > > > > > >
>> > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > <tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
>> > > > > > > news:efj02tclfrcsk6eguod2k7lf5c9vtdd6sk@4ax.com...
>> > > > > > > > On 25 Nov 2000 21:32:02 GMT, desimcdonald@aol.com (Desi
>> > McDonald)
>> > > > > > > > wrote:
>> > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > >http://dailynews.yahoo.com/h/ap/20001122/pl/cb_radio_1.html
>> > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > Basically any irate neighbor, any enemy, or just any person
>> out
>> > > > > > > > of the blue including a righteous or jealous Amateur can
>send

>> > the
>> > > > > > > > > cops to your door to enforce Part 95 rules. They only need
>to
>> > make
>> > > > > > > > > a real or fictitious TVI or interference complaint. This
>will
>> > allow
>> > > > > > > > > the cops access to inspect your station.
>> > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > The solution is to not be under the rules of Part 95. Become
>a
>> > NON
>> > > > > > > > > CB'er. Just don't get caught transmitting. Get rid of all
>your
>> > CB
>> > > > and
>> > > > > > > > > supposedly illegal 10 meter stuff and use real Amateur
>> > equipment.
>> > > > > > > > > Try using radios like a 940 or a 706 or a 767 ect ect. You
>> can
>> > not
>> > > > be
>> > > > > > > > > considered a CB'er for owning one of these and without
>> actually
>> > > > > > > > > getting caught transmitting can not be held under Part 95
>> > inspection
>> > > > > > > > > rules.
>> > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > > Further benefits include still being anonymous because of
>the
>> > lack
>> > > > > > > > > of any Amateur license. You are now truly a free bander.
>Free
>> of
>> > > > > > > > > Part 95 and free of Part 97. Just don't get caught
>> transmitting
>> > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > >
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>

122. Re: Don't Despair get your ham license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 02:24:38 UTC
From: TR@sky.net
Message-ID: <3a25c124.203077833@news.idsi.net>
Source record: 61395

On Wed, 29 Nov 2000 13:26:46 -0800, Broken Stator
<stanley@coldmail.com> wrote:

>On 29 Nov 2000 18:48:07 GMT, n8wwm@aol.com (N8wwm) wrote:
>
>>>Subject: Re: Don't Despair get your ham license
>>>From: Broken Stator stanley@coldmail.com
>>
>>>FInally, compared to the 10 and 12 meter bands, the 11th is far out
>>>worked. I believe that the needs of the few should not out-weight the
>>>needs of the greater. The FCC should accommodate this principle more
>>>so than they've done in the past.
>>>
>>
>>The FCC does accomodate you. They make it possible to get an amateur license.
>
>No the FCC doesn't. It's the ARRL that does and sets the rules for who
>they license.

Wrong, what a surprise!

>I believe in a principle that this is wrong as the ARRL
>doesn't pay for the bandwidth like cell phone companies do. If you
>want to know where I'm coming from, research the Libertarian party
>beliefs. If on the other hand, the ARRL pays for it's bandwidth, I
>have absolutely no problem with licensing by the ARRL.

The FCC does the licensing genius. The ARRL is not the only org
that licenses hams by the way.

123. Re: Missing The Big Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 02:24:39 UTC
From: TR@sky.net
Message-ID: <3a25c252.203379917@news.idsi.net>
Source record: 61397

On Tue, 28 Nov 2000 23:59:17 -0600 (CST), MR123IL@webtv.net wrote:

>I THINK YOU ARE A BIG DICK HEAD AND YOU SUCK SHIT.....
>
>IF YOU CAN SET THERE WITH BILL AND GO WITH HIM. YOU NEED A HEAD CHECK
>MAN!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>YOU MUST BE A HAM FAG OR SOMTHING LIKE THAT..
>
>HAY, DOC.
>YOU CAN GET OVER THAT FAG THING THE PEOPLE ON THE REC.CB-CHAT WILL GIVE
>YOU A 2'ED CHANCE TO YOU.........
>
>IT'S OK YOU DON'T HAVE NO POWER TO KEY UP.
>CUZ THERE IS ON ONE OUT THERE TO HERE YOU CRY INTO THE MIC.

>LATER YOU FAG 123 ILL.

Man it almost doesn't get any funnier than this, a CBer AND a WebTV
moron!

124. Re: CB crackdown issue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 02:24:40 UTC
From: TR@sky.net
Message-ID: <3a25c325.203590862@news.idsi.net>
Source record: 61398

On Wed, 29 Nov 2000 21:40:17 GMT, BRAND SPANKIN' NEW
<brandnew@hotmail.com> wrote:

>In article <903r0u$io6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> Mr. Pain &#65533; <mrpain@keydowns.com> wrote:
>> Ok I have a question ???? Why if Hammies don't really Care what we CB-
>> ers do, Continue to Hang Around On REC.RADIO.CB Why ????? Because
>> that Forum is Boreing.....Just like 2 meter , 70cm ....Boring !!
>> MR.PAIN&#65533;
>
>THIS HAS BEEN ASKED SO MANY TIMES AND THE HAMMERS JUST DONT RESPOND!
>PERSONALLY I THINK MISERY LIKES COMPANY!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

We're hear for the laughs. There, I said it.

>HAMS ARE SAD AND MISERABLE HUMAN BEINGS???? ME THINKS!!!

Nah, not at all. But read some posts from your feloow CBers, now
there is some sad beings....

125. Re: Missing The Big Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 02:31:42 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <9072ie$34t$5@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 61399

N8wwm <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote:

: I am not trying to do anything but present an opinion in a logical fashion. You
: just do not agree with it. I did not make the law, I did not even know it was
: in the works. I do support it.

: This is too simple, fellas...It is a law. It helps to protect the public good.

Well, at least it is probably meant to help protect the "public good". There are many laws in existance that don't do that, or are abused in a way that they don't.

: You can get an amateur license and run 1500 watts on any band you are licensed
: for. That is the provision that is made for radio enthusiasts.

With limitations based on license class and frequency of operation.

: Everything you have said about "what if this, what if that" is based on the
: idea that
: hams are included in this law. That is an incorrect supposition. A legally
: operating amateur station is exempt. Therefore, your arguement is invalid.

It is supposed to be exempt. If the police attempt to enforce any new laws without expert amateur help - then Hams are very likely to have unpleasant encounters with the police as well.

For example: An officer is sitting in his car at the local doughnut ship doing some serious sugar/flour dipping, when a Ham drives up and parks next to him. The Ham is talking on his HF rig, and the signal level causes RF overload or desense of the officers UHF radio.

The officer chokes down the rest of the doughnut and coffee, then charges over to accuse the Ham of "interfering with police communications". The Ham tries to explain that he is a Ham operating perfectly legally and the problem is due to the very close proximity of the two radio sets.

The cop says "Tell it to the judge!", writes the Ham a ticket and gets his buddies down to help tear the Ham gear out of the vehicle. Or if the cop is lazy, he doesn't even write a ticket. He just calls the gear "illegal CB equipment".

Now, what can the Ham do? His only recourse is to go to civil court in an attempt to get the gear back.

: You may insult me or anyone else who thinks like me 'til the cows come home, it
: won't change the truth. If you are operating a legal radio on a legal band,
: with an amateur license or in the 40 CB channels, you are exempt from this law.
: Period.

See above. Keep wearing the rose colored glasses. I hope you are right, but past history says that you are wrong.

And having a Ham license does not protect you if you are operating in the legal CB channels.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

126. Re: Missing The Big Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 02:32:59 UTC
From: hillbilly <hillbilly001@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <9072kr$7nm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 61400

you know Doug, if you would spend more time trying to upgrade your
Tech license (non code) you wouldn't so much time to troll this ng....

-- Dave
KG5XR & WB5CYX (Club)
AMATEUR EXTRA CLASS
EX- WB5LWZ , WN5DQG, WR5ALP
ARMY MARS, AAT6YC
10-10#9151, ISSB# 9224
kiddlehopper 249, jackolope 516
KRH-4063/KRI-0332/KJO-8973
(little voice of the Ozarks)
Daves Radio Electronics
Since 1972
http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

127. Re: Don't Despair get your ham license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 02:36:02 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <9072qi$34t$6@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 61406

```
: Your wrong and playing with semantics. The ARRL is solely responsible
: for licensing of HAMs. Without approval of the ARRL, the FCC would not
: issue the license. The FCC is no more than an escrow agent in this
: case.

This is incorrect. In the first place, only a minority of licensed Hams
belong to the ARRL.

Second, Amateur Radio existed before the ARRL came into being.

Third, the ARRL (and individual Hams), can only state their opinions
to the FCC on licensing or regulations. The FCC would still issue Ham
licenses, even if the ARRL ceased to exist tomorrow.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
```

128. Re: Machine-Gun like noise on 27.960 lsb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 02:43:10 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <90737u$34t$7@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 61405

George <geonbc@optonline.net> wrote:

: Sounds like the Russian wood pecker from years ago is back. It used to
: sweep across the bands at a slow rate and sounded like a machinegun.

If I recall correctly, the "Russian Woodpecker" did not sweek across the
banks. It was used to jam one or two international broadcasting signals
in the 40 meter band that the Soviet government did not want their citizens
to be able to hear.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

129. Re: Clinton Signs Bill on Illegal CBs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 02:47:42 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001130214742.09170.00001688@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61401

>
> License or no license they still need a warrant. But the majority of
>hams will have no problem letting the officer in to see their station.
>
>It's the illegally CBers that will crap their pants and give the cop a
>hard time.

Exactly. And by the way gang...the law does indeed cover anyone operating on an illegal frequency, not just CB'ers.

OK by me. I won't be there. some people should just face reality: They are going to be law enforcement targets pretty soon. Sad, isn't it...I can't stop crying for them.

130. Re: Missing The Big Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 02:51:24 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001130215124.09170.00001689@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61402

>you know Doug, if you would spend more time trying to upgrade your
>Tech license (non code) you wouldn't so much time to troll this ng....
>

True, true...but hey, I already passed general theory...just have to do the CW...working on that. Your statement is also true for the CB people here.

It is a lot of fun to watch the complaining here. Never have been so tickled!

Oh, and anyone who does not like my opinion does not have to read it.

I like this warm spot under the bridge.

131. Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers vs Ham skum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 02:53:07 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <9073qj$34t$8@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 61404

The Phoneman <phoneman01@hotmail.com> wrote:
: Wonder what would happen if all the cb'ers you know
: signed a petition and submitted it through proper
: channels, ie. state lawmaker type guy, to the FCC
: to abolish the code requirement and make the ham
: test a simple, plain english common sense type test
: that anyone who cared to take could pass?
: I'm bettin' that it would get someones attention. If
: we made enough noise about it, we could all be
: on the ham bands legally swapping stories on health
: and weather conditions, and telling each other how
: great our rigs are!
: The Phoneman

You bet wrong. There is an International agreement that
any Ham station operating on the HF bands will be "proficient"
in the use of Morse Code. Until that restriction is eliminated
internationally, and it may be at the next WARC, the US cannot
remove the code requirement without violating the agreement.
And we won't do that - as we depend too much on the rest of
the world abiding by those agreements.

I am personally in favor of more strict written tests for Ham
licenses. It is trivial to get one now - with a bit of memorization
of questions/answers or superficial knowledge of electronics. You
can handle 5 wpm of code as soon as you have it memorized.

I also would prefer to see the CB band opened up to about 27.75 MHz
and have the restrictions on amplifiers and DX eliminated. To go with
this, I would want to see the requirement that amplifiers be type
accepted.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

132. Re: Bill: HR2346 Ya gotta read this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 02:59:43 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <90746v$34t$9@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 61407

Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE <wb5rue@arrl.net> wrote:
: Nope, it's a law. El Presidente signed it on November 14th.

: http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2000/11/29/3/?nc=1

: Check out the note about over-the-road truckers. Police will need probable
: cause to search.

As I posted before, they will need more than that. The local/state
lawmakers will have to pass enabling legislation/regulations before
the police can legally do anything. The law does NOT authorize state
and local police to enforce federal communications laws

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

133. hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 03:00:31 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001130220031.09170.00001691@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61403

This is the text of the QRZ.com story. I present it without comment.

Hi,
My name is George, and I am an Extra Class ham in Missouri. I am taking a survey of ham operators across the U.S. that are interested as I am, in the possiblity of the FCC giving 11m back to the hams. As you my be aware of, the 11m band used to be part of the amateur radio spectrum until the mid 1950's when the FCC created the Citizens Band.

Ever since then the CB band has went from being a licensed part of the radio spectrum, to being unlicensed, and I may add, mostly unregulated by the FCC, save for the ocassional "big bust" on illegal operators.

So, I would like to know what other ham operators think about presenting a proposal to the FCC and ARRL requesting them to give 11m back to the hams, and make 26.965 through 27.405 (the CB band), a CW, RTTY, and Packet, band for the Technicians. I suggest "low power", I recommend setting a power limit of 10 watts maxiumum, which SHOULD keep the CB'ers from infiltrating on to the band. And, as with all the ham bands, only LICENSED operators will be allowed.

I realize some my think this to be a "radical" approach, but I would like to point out the fact that the FCC as of 11/13/00, created the Multi-Use Radio Service (MURS), that is essentially, a VHF CB band. In case you haven't heard about this, it is posted on the ARRL website: www.arrl.org. With the creation of this new band, and with the widespread use of the General Purpose Radio Service, and Family Radio Service, it is my opinion that the 11m CB band has outlived it's usefulness and is now a "pirate" ham band, with high power linears and out-of-band operators infringing upon the 10m ham spectrum.

If this proposal is considered, I think that it will help eliminate the numerous problems of enforcement on the 11m band today. But, like I said in the beginning, I am only taking a survey, send me your e-mails and let me know what you think.

73's de N0RRS

134. Re: LAME N.G./ I tried

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 03:09:22 UTC
From: programbo5@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001130220922.20357.00002449@ng-bj1.aol.com>
Source record: 61408

>From: "-=PEAKABOO=-" peakaboo@keydowns.com

>This NG gets lamer everyday. What ever happened to the good amp arguments we
>used to have?

I tried to start a Keydown message board/chat room but no one posted messages
or everyone was in the chat at different times....My intent was to make it a
place for all the amp guys to have it out or discuss set-ups

The internet is more than a global pornography network

135. Re: Machine-Gun like noise on 27.960 lsb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 03:11:42 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001130221142.09170.00001694@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61409

>If I recall correctly, the "Russian Woodpecker" did not sweek across the
>banks. It was used to jam one or two international broadcasting signals
>in the 40 meter band that the Soviet government did not want their citizens
>to be able to hear.
>

Nope. The woodpecker was all over the place. I heard it on every band I
listened on quite frequently in the late 70's early 80's. The thing would QSY
if you sent a string of dits at it, too.

136. Re: Don't Despair get your ham license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 03:12:52 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001130221252.09170.00001697@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61410

```
>The ARRL is solely responsible
>: for licensing of HAMs. Without approval of the ARRL, the FCC would not
>: issue the license. The FCC is no more than an escrow agent in this
>: case.
>

Where do people get these ideas?
```

137. Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers vs Ham skum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 03:34:45 UTC
From: Don Bell <belltechusa@home.com>
Message-ID: <p%EV5.98564$a7.1530083@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 61411

I thought I heard on the newsline that Germany released all the ham bands or the HF bands to operators with a NO CODE LIC , this was like 60,000 people over there and now none of them have to pass CODE ?????? Can anyone elaborate on this and tell me if this is true ?

138. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 03:58:49 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ZIFV5.3784$Ei1.248904@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61412

Well, you asked - therefore I shall give you my comment. I believe this is just another flame to see how much trouble you can stir up in the CB ng. I've stated before - we (yes, I hate to admit it, I have a ham ticket) are 'guests' in this ng. If we have something positive to contribute, I believe that is well and good and would be accepted. This sort of post, however, reinforces the idea that hams think they are superior and should run the show. From many of the posts around here, I am also getting the same idea. I gave up ham radio in 1970 and dropped my tickets. I decided to get the tickets back in 1993 and did. Now I think I am beginning to remember why I dropped the extra ticket in the first place. BTW, I noticed a post in one of the rec.radio.amateur ngs about go and check all the whining going on in this ng. I hope your operating proceedures are a bit more civil than what you are showing to this ng.

Jim AA2QA

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001130220031.09170.00001691@ng-cf1.aol.com...
> This is the text of the QRZ.com story. I present it without comment.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Hi,
> My name is George, and I am an Extra Class ham in Missouri. I am taking a
> survey of ham operators across the U.S. that are interested as I am, in
the
> possiblity of the FCC giving 11m back to the hams. As you my be aware of,
the
> 11m band used to be part of the amateur radio spectrum until the mid
1950's
> when the FCC created the Citizens Band.
>
> Ever since then the CB band has went from being a licensed part of the
radio
> spectrum, to being unlicensed, and I may add, mostly unregulated by the
FCC,
> save for the ocassional "big bust" on illegal operators.
>
> So, I would like to know what other ham operators think about presenting a
> proposal to the FCC and ARRL requesting them to give 11m back to the hams,
and
> make 26.965 through 27.405 (the CB band), a CW, RTTY, and Packet, band for

the
> Technicians. I suggest "low power", I recommend setting a power limit of
10
> watts maxiumum, which SHOULD keep the CB'ers from infiltrating on to the
band.
> And, as with all the ham bands, only LICENSED operators will be allowed.
>
> I realize some my think this to be a "radical" approach, but I would like
to
> point out the fact that the FCC as of 11/13/00, created the Multi-Use
Radio
> Service (MURS), that is essentially, a VHF CB band. In case you haven't
heard
> about this, it is posted on the ARRL website: www.arrl.org. With the
creation
> of this new band, and with the widespread use of the General Purpose Radio
> Service, and Family Radio Service, it is my opinion that the 11m CB band
has
> outlived it's usefulness and is now a "pirate" ham band, with high power
> linears and out-of-band operators infringing upon the 10m ham spectrum.
>
> If this proposal is considered, I think that it will help eliminate the
> numerous problems of enforcement on the 11m band today. But, like I said
in the
> beginning, I am only taking a survey, send me your e-mails and let me know
what
> you think.
>
> 73's de N0RRS
>
>
>
>

139. Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers vs Ham skum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 03:59:22 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001130225922.09122.00002049@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61413

>I thought I heard on the newsline that Germany released all the ham bands or
>the HF bands to operators with a NO CODE LIC , this was like 60,000 people
>over there and now none of them have to pass CODE ?????? Can anyone
>elaborate on this and tell me if this is true ?
>
>
>
>

Nope.

140. FA: 29 LTD (eBay)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 04:00:19 UTC
From: kf6ezz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001130230019.02934.00000272@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 61414

http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=elcam

141. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 04:09:04 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001130230904.09122.00002050@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61415

I am not a guest here at anyones behest. Nor are you. Whoever operates this NG can dust me if they want. And, yes I did post on another NG about the whining here. So? It IS whining. Period.

Something about the anti-free speech attitude here smells funny.

Aside from that, I am not the one who brought the QRZ article up in the 1st place. I posted it without comment.

By the way, if you don't like my attitude you dont have to read my posts.

I relish slam dunking people who do not know whereof they speak. So what? Big deal. If you get your panties knotted over my words then don't read 'em. That goes for everyone.

142. Re: Don't Despair get your ham license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 04:16:42 UTC
From: desimcdonald@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001130231642.01951.00005147@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 61416

On 01 Dec 2000 03:12:52 GMT, n8wwm@aol.com (N8wwm) wrote:

>>The ARRL is solely responsible
>>: for licensing of HAMs. Without approval of the ARRL, the FCC would not
>>: issue the license. The FCC is no more than an escrow agent in this
>>: case.
>>
>
>Where do people get these ideas?

Perhaps he meant to say the ARRL VEC gives about 65% of the licensing exams.
They then keypunch the exam data for transfer to the FCC for license issue.

143. Re: LAME N.G./ I tried

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 04:17:20 UTC
From: phoneman <phoneman01@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <kDFV5.223$_A1.10739@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 61417

The cb'ers need to start a moderated group to keep the unwanted
posts and those posting out of the NG. Namely you know who.
Very simple solution.
Clip

Programbo5 <programbo5@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001130220922.20357.00002449@ng-bj1.aol.com...
> >From: "-=PEAKABOO=-" peakaboo@keydowns.com
>
> >This NG gets lamer everyday. What ever happened to the good amp arguments
we
> >used to have?
>
> I tried to start a Keydown message board/chat room but no one posted
messages
> or everyone was in the chat at different times....My intent was to make it
a
> place for all the amp guys to have it out or discuss set-ups
>
> The internet is more than a global pornography network

144. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 04:21:35 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001130232135.09122.00002051@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61418

>the idea that hams think they are superior and should
>run the show.

Yes, that is the idea. anything is better than the present situation on 11 meters. Amateur radio has some problems, largely isolated. 11 meters is a toxic waste dump compared to the 1 quart oil spill that ham radio can be. Why? Because there is no accountability on 11 meters.

>I believe this is
>just another flame to see how much trouble you can stir up in the CB ng.

Nope. to quote Harry Truman: "I don't give 'em hell, I tell 'em the truth and they think it's hell."

>I hope your operating proceedures are a bit
>more civil than what you are showing to this ng.

Hey...the NG is the place to bitch, cuss, and whine. It has been proven to be by the buttload of it that goes on here. No, I would not operate the radio like this at all. That is not the forum to discuss this in.
I like letting the complainers complain all they want, then shooting them down. I am not so pompous to tell anyone here to shut up, as you have me. Talk all you want...cuss all you want...whine all you want...I am laughing my butt off.

> BTW, I noticed
>a post in one of the rec.radio.amateur ngs about go and check all the
>whining going on in this ng.

Yep I made that post. Coming to this NG makes a lot of hams laugh: So what?

145. off the topic but funny as hell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 04:38:39 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001130233839.09122.00002053@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61419

Go here and check out this site...I cracked up!

http://newbutt.mypage.org/

146. Re: Machine-Gun like noise on 27.960 lsb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 04:59:11 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <907b6v$34t$17@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 61421

N8wwm <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote:
:>If I recall correctly, the "Russian Woodpecker" did not sweek across the
:>banks. It was used to jam one or two international broadcasting signals
:>in the 40 meter band that the Soviet government did not want their citizens
:>to be able to hear.

: Nope. The woodpecker was all over the place. I heard it on every band I
: listened on quite frequently in the late 70's early 80's. The thing would QSY
: if you sent a string of dits at it, too.

I think you are thinking of some other Russian jamming system.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

147. Re: See You But Wouldnt Want 2 Be You

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 05:02:43 UTC
From: rollingradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001201000243.07527.00004603@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 61420

Shouldn't this group be renamed "rec.radio.cb/hamcrying"? I agree Tim, it has become wothless, although I will scan the headings for those that may need help.
Wm. Warlock

148. Re: Don't Despair get your ham license

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 05:08:10 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <907bnp$em4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 61423

In article <20001130231642.01951.00005147@ng-ch1.aol.com>,
desimcdonald@aol.com (Desi McDonald) wrote:
> On 01 Dec 2000 03:12:52 GMT, n8wwm@aol.com (N8wwm) wrote:
>
> >>The ARRL is solely responsible
> >>: for licensing of HAMs. Without approval of the ARRL, the FCC
would not
> >>: issue the license. The FCC is no more than an escrow agent in this
> >>: case.
> >>
> >
> >Where do people get these ideas?
>
> Perhaps he meant to say the ARRL VEC gives about 65% of the licensing
exams.
> They then keypunch the exam data for transfer to the FCC for license
issue.
>

when they use the machine to grade the exams, what is their opinion
concerning pregnant chads, dimples, hanging chads and other cool stuff
like that, come on , come on,.......cd is out..

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

149. Re: off the topic but funny as hell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 05:16:59 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001201001659.09152.00001821@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61422

N8wwm wrote, in his superior style:

Bwahahahahah....I KNEW someone would say that...I am laughing uproariously. I am so glad you did it Scottie-Boy.

Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay) impotently and moronically blathered the following:
>That's right up your alley.
>
>N8wwm wrote, in his superior style:
>>
>> Go here and check out this site...I cracked up!
>>
>> http://newbutt.mypage.org/
>
>
>
>

150. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 05:20:50 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001201002050.09152.00001824@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61424

Doc, I did not write the article. It was mentioned on here, so I checked it out and posted it without comment.

Hate and discontent? Me? Nope. I just tell the truth and some don't like it.
>N8wwm,
> I can't for the life of me, think of any
>good reason why I'd want 11 meters as a ham
>band.
> 'Doc
>PS - I think you enjoy causing 'hate and
> discontent' too much.
>
>
>
>
>
>

151. Re: Left Coast Radio Bought Out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 05:42:27 UTC
From: exportsrus@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001201004227.07738.00004210@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 61425

>
>I discovered today that Left Coast Radio has been bought out by Wild Bills'
>favorite radio retailer, Express Electronics.
>
>
Express has purchase their domain name. Rich is headed into a different type
of business.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Thanks, Steve
Exports R Us
www.xportsrus.com
We also have the best wholesale prices for cb shops and dealers.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

152. Re: off the topic but funny as hell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 05:47:38 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A273B7A.C0165564@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61426

That's superior? You try to convince CB'ers to become hams. You
are doing just the opposite. You are the anti-elmer. You are doing
you best to drive folks away from that hobby with your attitude.

So, Dougie, how would you like be blamed for the demise of ham radio?
There are many who sandbag in this group; hams, CB'ers and future
radio operators who now see what kind of people hide behind their
HT's and will be turned off forever by your kind of sniveling.
With less and less new blood, your frequencies will be auctioned
off to the highest bidder. Unfortunately for you, 11 meters is
useless to anybody, except CB'ers who can copy a signal through
higher levels of QRM then most hams. Recall my story about field day?

Don't think that your posts fade away after a week. They are all
stored on places like deja.com for all to see for a long time. As
an ambassador to ham radio, you should be deported. Maybe they'll
allow your type in Finland. You can join Gary and cry over lost
bands. Your entertainment value is increasing with every post.

BTW, who's spewing insults now? Why don't you use your time
constructively and do something useful - learn CW.

N8wwm wrote:
>
> N8wwm wrote, in his superior style:
>
> Bwahahahahah....I KNEW someone would say that...I am laughing uproariously. I
> am so glad you did it Scottie-Boy.
>
> Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay) impotently and moronically blathered the
> following:
> >That's right up your alley.
> >
> >N8wwm wrote, in his superior style:
> >>
> >> Go here and check out this site...I cracked up!
> >>
> >> http://newbutt.mypage.org/
> >
> >
> >
> >

153. Re: off the topic but funny as hell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 05:57:54 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001201005754.09122.00002059@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61427

Scottie-san impotently and moronically blathered:

That's superior? You try to convince CB'ers to become hams. You
>are doing just the opposite. You are the anti-elmer. You are doing
>you best to drive folks away from that hobby with your attitude.

N8wwm wrote, in his superior style:
I have helped many people , I am not an anti-elmer. To the contrary. Also,
if I was worried about my posts being kept alive forever I wouldn't post them.

You are just trying to lay a non existant guilt trip on me. I don't buy your
brand of stuff, dude.

154. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 06:03:42 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A273F3E.DD5BC18B@oio.net>
Source record: 61428

N8wwm,
Oh, I agree, you do tell the truth. But you also give it
a 'spin' just like all the other 'trolls'. Are you practicing
for politics?
'Doc

155. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 06:13:29 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001201011329.09122.00002060@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61429

>N8wwm,
> Oh, I agree, you do tell the truth. But you also give it
>a 'spin' just like all the other 'trolls'. Are you practicing
>for politics?
> 'Doc

Well, perish the thought. Spin? Like what spin? I just think the anti-new law posts are funny...and pathetic. It goes to show you how far people will delude themselves. I don't care if everyone here plays "freeband" 'til they get popped. Or if they NEVER get popped. I just do not care.

The whole purpose of my posts is to point out the fallacies in these anti-law arguements and to laugh.
Did the poor CB guys get whiny about what I posted? They ought not to listen to the CB, then...that would really get 'em upset. Poor guys...my heart just bleeds.
Again, anyone finding my postings "mean" is not required to read them.

Good roof over my head, this bridge I am chilling out under....

156. FS - 40 Channel CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 06:17:54 UTC
From: GM <>
Message-ID: <fkge2t0achvd2lujsimf3iu42a9ahmr1h1@4ax.com>
Source record: 61430

Cheap 40 channel AM only CB

http://cgi.ebay.com.au/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=509230571

Garrym
garrymnospam@ecosse.net
Please remove "nospam" for reply
Home: 0266252235
Mobile: 0403070899
FAX: 001115204473492

157. Re: off the topic but funny as hell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 06:39:10 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A27478E.8F77671F@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61431

N8wwm wrote:

> I have helped many people , I am not an anti-elmer. To the contrary. Also,
> if I was worried about my posts being kept alive forever I wouldn't post them.
>
> You are just trying to lay a non existant guilt trip on me. I don't buy your
> brand of stuff, dude.

You ignored the rest of the post. Why are you avoiding those issues,
and why do you insist on insults. Can't stand being kicked when you're down...

158. Free CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 06:41:28 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A274818.51CC468C@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61432

Cleaning out my AM only rigs.

I have a Cobra 19 Ultra that I don't need. It is small and works.
I got it broken and replaced the audio IC. It puts out 4 watts with
slight forward swing. No mike or bracket. Will ship for cost of postage.

I also have a Cobra 25LTD. It comes with mike and bracket. Make offer.

I doubt anybody on this group would be interested in a Lafayette
LMS-40. It is 9 out 10. Comes with mike. $125 for this rare SSB radio.

159. WTB Citi-Fone CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 07:15:37 UTC
From: bruces <bruces@bright.net>
Message-ID: <0fIV5.3165$As3.357529@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 61436

Hi I am looking for a Old Citi-Fone Radio
It was the very first CB Radio I ever own.
Thanks for any help.

--
bruces@bright.net
Bruce Allen Shartzer Sr.
B.A.S.S.
Amateur Radio
N8PFM

160. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 07:19:17 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20001201021917.04087.00000138@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Source record: 61433

Give me a brake - giving 11 meters back to the hams would fail. There is too much 11 meter equipment out there for 11 meter operators to give it up. It's illegal to operate on the "freebands" but people still do it, so they would continue to operate on 11 meters. Would YOU wanna be the one to tell the millions of truck drivers across the country that they can no longer talk on that pretty Uniden Grant or Cobra 148?

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
http://www.riradiobuff.com
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.
Join the 2 meter all mode email reflector:
Send a BLANK email to 2mallmode-subscribe@egroups.com
or visit http://egroups.com/group/2mallmode

161. Re: Question on proposal to move CB frequency up to VHF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 07:24:09 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20001201022409.04087.00000141@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Source record: 61434

>Nothing on it at ARRL or FCC websites, so it is just a rumor.

It's not a rumor, it's in existance - MURS - Multi Use Radio System - low power
VHF unlicensed... it exists.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
http://www.riradiobuff.com
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.
Join the 2 meter all mode email reflector:
Send a BLANK email to 2mallmode-subscribe@egroups.com
or visit http://egroups.com/group/2mallmode

162. Re: e-bay vs yahoo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 07:26:26 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20001201022626.04087.00000142@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Source record: 61435

It probably depends on how you word it. Just put in the legal "out of the box"
description... "10 meter radio, freq coverage 28.000 - 29.600", etc... don't
mention the ability that it can be modified, "peaked", "tweeked" or "tuned"...
that might increase your chances of keeping it there...
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
http://www.riradiobuff.com
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.
Join the 2 meter all mode email reflector:
Send a BLANK email to 2mallmode-subscribe@egroups.com
or visit http://egroups.com/group/2mallmode

163. Re: off the topic but funny as hell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 07:35:49 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001201023549.09253.00001760@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61437

I ignored you, Scottykins because what you said was all bullcrap.

164. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 07:36:09 UTC
From: spike440@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25731-3A2754E9-78@storefull-134.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61438

Hey buddy, you remember what you wrote about "if you don't like my posts, don't read 'em"? That goes for 11 meters, too. If you don't like it, don't tune in! If you represent most Hams, 11 meters wouldn't be any better once you took over any way.
The only whining I've noticed is yours.

165. Re: F.S. Cobra 142 GTL Base

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 07:53:28 UTC
From: PeeCeeWorld <PeeCeeWorld@libertybay.com>
Message-ID: <c4me2t4k0sm0mneucq6ubn2de57mtqh909@4ax.com>
Source record: 61439

Want to trade for a TS-5010 with a matching power supply, and a D104
silver or black eagle ?

On 28 Nov 2000 00:07:46 GMT, rted821836@aol.com (RTed821836) wrote:

>Cobra 142 GTL Base - AM/SSB For Sale.
>Unit is in perfect working condition and is a 10 out of a 10.
>Peaked and clarifier has been re-worked for slide.
>Extra Channels were removed.
>$130 firm plus UPS ship costs $12.00
>E-Mail if interested.
>Ralph

166. Re: Machine-Gun like noise on 27.960 lsb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 07:53:50 UTC
From: dana <dana@randomc.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.21.0012010259320.797-100000@dana.randomc.com>
Source record: 61440

Yep, that is real close to the 10 meter band, no telling what you are hearing.

On 29 Nov 2000, DetHMetaLx wrote:

> Date: 29 Nov 2000 22:27:33 GMT
> From: DetHMetaLx <dethmetalx@aol.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> Subject: Re: Machine-Gun like noise on 27.960 lsb?
>
> its probably morse code
>

167. Re: HR2346 : hams beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-01 07:55:58 UTC
From: dana <dana@randomc.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.21.0012010301330.797-100000@dana.randomc.com>
Source record: 61441

You know what? That is a real good idea, might just do that myself. I am a ham also.

On Wed, 29 Nov 2000, Jerry Oxendine wrote:

> Date: Wed, 29 Nov 2000 17:43:56 -0500
> From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> Subject: Re: HR2346 : hams beware
>
> WB,
>
> I was sitting here reading the threads, and I got to wondering if it
> might be a good idea for ALL radio operators to obtain copies of the
> law as passed and keep one at home and one in the glove box of the car.
> I don't know if it would help, but one could show the officer a copy
> and *attempt* to show that his station is legal (if it actually is).
>
> Also, it might be a good idea to have a copy of the FCC "disclaimer"
> that is on electronic gear to show the officer that such items are
> not protected from interference and explain that as well. I don't
> know if such documents would help or even be appreciated by the LEOs.
>
> Anyway, I intend to get these copies and have them on hand just in case,
> as well as my ham license in hopes of "shooing" them away. LOL!
>
> 73
>
> Jerry
>
>
> Wild Bill wrote:
> >
> > Fred this law only gives towns the right to pass statutes and ordinances of
> > which they then can enforce. You are correct it is mainly meant for
> > interference cases where police had there hands tied and couldnt do
> > anything. this is where you will come into play as an interference "expert"
> > and help them resolve or find the cause of the interference. But I want to
> > know what will you do for the people who are operating 100% legal, stock 4
> > watt out of the box cb , now I know your gonna say well everyone is peaked
> > up or this or that but i do know a stock radio can and will cause
> > interference i think the fcc calls it audio rectification, but they still
> > interfere with there neighbors phones, baby monitors, stereos, computers
> > etc. ? What then do we get our radios taken away? Are we at fault no we are
> > not. the fault interference from poor shielding it is like a disease the

> > problem is already there we are just bringing it out in the open by our
> > transmissions Are we automaticaly at fault? I know is it innocent until
> > proven guilty but i don't think that will ring true in this case. have at
> > it!
> > "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
> > news:8vr8vlpgl1@iac5.navix.net...
> > > HR 2346 applies ONLY to CB and hams are specifically exempt. The point is,
> > > do you want Barney Fife to be able to cease your equipment when a neighbor
> > > claims interference? Of course the local cops are not going to
> > triangulate,
> > > masturbate, or other wise discern the location of a CB operator. BUT! they
> > > will respond to that neighbor down the street who's stereo you get in to.
> > Do
> > > you think you will be able to convince them that it is because the stereo
> > is
> > > a piece of crap,? Nope! they will cease your equipment for "Illegal
> > > operation". Can you get it back? Sure if you want to spend the money for a
> > > lawyer...
> > >
> > > "beaker" <dukkhakoti@aol.comblahblah> wrote in message
> > > news:20001125122106.24651.00001564@ng-cd1.aol.com...
> > > > if this bill does pass , hams have much more to loose . hams have
> > > licence's to
> > > > loose , and radios that cost much more than cb set ups .
> > > >
> > > > a serious ham will loose his 1000$ Yeasu , and his licence .
> > > >
> > > > most cb'ers will loose a 200 $ radio .
> > > >
> > > > even if this is real and it does pass . for the fcc to "bust someone "
> > the
> > > > need alot of expensice equipment to triangulate the transmitter . find
> > > what
> > > > freq he is on . get a field strenght reading , and have trained
> > > personelle
> > > > that would be able to prove in a court of law that from all his reading
> > on
> > > all
> > > > that stuff that this one person was the one transmitting .
> > > >
> > > > unless every single police department is gonna fund a cb swat team ,
> > hire
> > > RF
> > > > engineers , get all that equipment , then spen the $ to inforce the
> > > current
> > > > laws so all those "dangerous cb'ers " can be controlled , your nuts .
> > > > you think that any city will pass a tax hike to fund this ?
> > > >
> > > > do ya really think that any cop will care if my radio is illegal ?
> > > >

> > > > ------------------------
> > > > #22 north central illinois mobile
> > >
> > >
>

168. Re: Florida View

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001202095955.24327.00000732@nso-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 61498

In article <sP7W5.19968$wA6.97546@news1.atl>, "poisenivy"
<franc1@bellsouth.net> writes:

>> FLORIDA: If you think we can't vote, wait till you see us drive.
>>
>> FLORIDA: Home of electile dysfunction.
>>
>> FLORIDA: We count more than you do.
>>
>> FLORIDA: If you don't like the way we count then take I-95 and visit
>> one
>> of
>> the other 56 states.
>>
>> FLORIDA: We've been Gored by the bull of politics and we're Bushed.
>>
>> FLORIDA: Relax...Retire...ReVote.
>>
>> FLORIDA: Viagra voters do it again! ...and again...and again...
>>
>> FLORIDA: Where your vote counts...and counts...and counts...
>>
>> FLORIDA: This is what you get for taking Elian away from us.
>>
>> FLORIDA: We don't just cheat in football.
>>
>> FLORIDA: We're number one! Wait! Recount!
>>
>> PALM BEACH COUNTY: So nice, we let you vote twice.
>>
>> PALM BEACH COUNTY: We put the "duh" in Florida.

Good one girlfriend!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

169. Re: Cops using Illegal Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Buckeye Web Design <webdoc@bright.net>
Message-ID: <3A29126B.F076886C@bright.net>
Source record: 61499

Richard McCollum wrote:
>

>
> They often are the same radios with only the control head modded. The key
> is that type-accepted units would not have any frequency display beyond a
> 1,2,3 sort of indicator.

thats not always true. in our area they have about 8 freqs on their hand held radios so they can corr communicate with other departments i.e. city kitties, county mounties, bears and they also have backup freqs that are not listed in the scannner books to discuss sertain things that are not meant for public knowledge i.e. telling an officer to go to someones house to inform them that a family member was just killed in an auto accident. And the radios they use ARE legal commercial radios a combination of motorola and kenwood. I work with them frequently as part of the local REACT team as well as being part of the local Disaster Services team.

WebDoc
Vice President: REACT of Henry County #6019
District Coordinator Zone 1: Ohio State REACT Council

170. Re: Missing The Big Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <nl2i2tg8ppfp7jboftbmhj6ceftcki6uir@4ax.com>
Source record: 61500

Greetings!

I'm going to kill two posts with one...I guess I have to connect all
the dots for you.

You are responsible, for ANYONE that uses your station. If you allow
someone else to use your equipment, YOU are personally responsible.

This means that whether an FRS unit user, or Hi-Po miracle tube-amp
operator, you shut down an emergency communication - you are liable,
period. The FCC doesn't allow it, and the ARRL doesn't either. The
police have the right to shut down the interference immediately.

This does not exclude Amateurs. If you're legal and you cause the
interference - you think that license will protect you? I think not.
It's a completely different law than the one you stated in the other
post regarding my reply about an officer receiving interference from
your transmission. You block or jam a possible emergency communication
from another station, or interfere with a station receiving that
communication, you suffer the fate of being the fool.

Any person operating a radio that causes interference, can be liable
for interference, intentional or unintentional. This includes Amateur.

This law simplifies the process of who to blame in regards to private
and personal pursuits of happiness - in regards to interference.

In regards to your statement regarding wattage you can use, please
re-take the test. I passed this question, you do have limitations on
bands - 200W on certain regions of the spectrum. And RF exposure level
W/Vm limits on others. I suggest you brush up on your skills. [<WHAP>]

Matter of fact:
Take this simple test, take a 440MHz 1/4 wave antenna, hook it up to
your radio, and put the antenna in your lap and fire 1500W thru it...

After that, you may not need to be reminded of the "test" question you
missed...

New method of crock-pot cooking.

On 01 Dec 2000 20:24:04 GMT, while the modem was pondering it's navel,
n8wwm@aol.com (N8wwm) dissertated the following:

:+>
:+> Cody said...
:+> >If you're on the CB band, or out of band, no license will protect you.
:+> >

:+> >As the sole operator, you are responsible for the emmissions that the
:+> >station produces, that includes being the cause of unwanted
:+> >interference.
:+> >
:+> N8WWM said...yes...and check the post again Cody. I said THIS...
:+> >A legally operating amateur station is exempt.
:+>
:+> A legally operating amateur station would not be out of band or on the CB band
:+> by definition, right?

Regards!
.:+> Andy <+: | BEEP!
... You really are a CB'er when... | _____ BEEP!
... your CB antenna is longer than |/ ___
... your SUV! |_,-.__,-._|
... (o) (o)
http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

171. Re: A sum up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Landshark <s3buell@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <2h8W5.388$jo.361679@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 61501

I didn't say that I keyed over other people,
toke over frequency's other than legal, did I.
You did, not me. Please re-read what I wrote,
then you won't have to chase down that kid
playing loud music in his car for noise pollution,
the guy water his lawn & washing his car on
the wrong day, parking his boat in his driveway
for more than 3 days, the list of illegalities is endless.
in the world today.

The Landshark

--
It's a Buell-tiful Day for a ride
through the Canyons.

1999 Ford F-150 Super Cab
1998 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
1983 Honda 1100F
BFD #666
"MutantTV" <mutanttv@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001202010105.20393.00002254@ng-bj1.aol.com...
> << Yeah but the point of "preaching" the word of legalities
> goes a little too far. I and a couple of others have been out
> here long before windows or Mac was the way to talk, it
> was Unix. Then when some one said, "But Linears are
> illegal" we said "OK", and that was that. But now a
> days some people including you, bring it upon yourself
> to hold a holy crusade to rid the world, and show the wrong
> doers there misdeeds. Yup, just keep typing, "Hollingsworth
> is gonna get you", "Good, now things will be like it was in
> the olds days" Really?
> I don't know about you people, but this has been a hobby
> of mine since the late sixties, Yup, that's right, over thirty years and
> well before then. I remember as a kid going over to my parents friends
> house, watching him talk around the world on his radio equipment. His name
> is Bill Orr, that's right in the early part of that decade and still, too
> this day he is considered a good friend of the family. I have listen to
the
> same rhetoric, FCC is cracking down, CB'rs are no good, Hams are evil,
it's
> all bullshit. It comes and goes, but people making trouble here and on the
> air, will always be around.
> Good luck on cleaning up the world of speeders, linears,
> illegal radios, high watt head light bulbs, loud exhaust and

> all the rest of the small crimes in the world today.
> Boy the Holocaust must have paled compared to the
> hampered life of all the radio operators in the world.
>
> The Landshark >>
>
> What did Bill Orr say when you told him you had decided to make a hobby of
> keying over people with big linears and taking over frequencies that
didn't
> belong to you?
>
>
>
>
>

172. Re: FRS Rules on antennas.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <gs3i2top3od6861642f6idnbm16c9kja9u@4ax.com>
Source record: 61502

Greetings!

Well' shucks!

Can't make it to the weddin' but I'd like to hear the reception on the air afterwards if you can spare a few watts towards Gawds Country Mitten known as Michigan.

The kitties are doin' well and everything up here was white for a while. Them whitetail were out bucking around for a few weeks. Gosh! with all that racket, the hunters were blasting away at anything that moved. Lost one because he fell out of a tree - musta' fallen asleep I guess.

Otherwise, a lot of people gave up turkey and had venison instead. Can see the smoky pall from the local natives smokin' the meat "till its' just right" here, smells good too.

Skips been rollin' in and out like a tide everyday. Been out on the 'net at www.spaceweather.com lookin' to see if anything bright in the sky is worth lightin' a fire for. So far, this has been the cloudiest time of the year, that silly snow machine they call Lake Mighigan has been keepin' the skys full of that smoky pall from those natives and the tracks from the whitetail fresh. Been a good hunt this year, along with a couple of frostbite cases - but pretty good results. I will be able to drive the chevy to the levy without whackin' into them "amourous" bucks and does with the sore heinies again this year.

Jake, the local dog-house dragger, is penned up pretty good this time. Seems Chad got wise to the old coot and got a heavy chain and some of them "boat anchors" that were rusting out in the shed to hold that darn pooch down. Never seen barbed wire around a kennel before, but the barks keep the strangers away.

Got myself a home-made 'tenna up in a tree and I help keep the locals entertained. Some of them are already entertained, so we just add to the fun listenin' to themselves drool over the mikes as they spill some swill.

Jay! It's been a hoot! Stop by sometime, I'll make a cup of joe for ourselves and catch up on swappin' them stories! I'll keep a Pen and Paper so you can draw out those antenna ideas for me.

Happy Holidays from the Gang in Gowen!

On Fri, 01 Dec 2000 19:37:48 -0500, while the modem was pondering it's navel, Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com> dissertated the following:

:+> Hello Andy: How are ya ah doing there old friend.
:+>
:+> Yes the scanner is a treasure itself. I keep an ear on all the locals.
:+> Got a new design idea for a scanner antenna, half discone and half broad
:+> band dipole. We'll see, ah! Its almost built.
:+>
:+> I let everybody know you said hello and ah big howdy. Yes Big Bob is
:+> supposed to get out in January 2004. And he is ah vouwin to make a much
:+> bigger linear this time. You know the story.
:+>
:+> Welfare Clare and Mojave Desert Don are ah gettin hitched tomorrow over
:+> at the church, over near the reckin yard. Preacher Sam is ah going to
:+> marry em up, and the ceremony will live on channel 12 AM, starts at 10
:+> AM Local Mojave Desert Time (LMDT) All there kids will be there. Major
:+> Tom said he is ah trying to get a stealth bomber to do a fly over. Bring
:+> your truck polishin off rag incase it does fly over and dump a lot of
:+> jet fuel gas, for ah free truck polish. Mc Cartin and Mc Carthy will be
:+> there as bouncers, part of the parole conditions, they won't be drinkin
:+> like last time.
:+>
:+> The reception will be over at the "Dew Drop on INN". Doors open at 11 AM
:+> LMDT. Free Beer and spirits, and a very nice lay out of food from Daves
:+> kitchen and dinner.
:+>
:+> Slide on down to the desert and make ah showin. CB Channel 12 AM will
:+> get ya directions. Don't tell anybody ya got cash.
:+>
:+> Jay in the Great Mojave Desert, ... just down the road ah ways from he
:+> fillin station.
:+>
:+> Handy Andy wrote:
:+> >
:+> > Greetings!
:+> >
:+> > Jay, you are such a treasure - I'm glad to see you out here.
:+> >
:+> > LOL! Keep that scanner polished!
:+> >
:+> > Say Howdy and Happy Holidays to Billy-Bob from the Gang in Gowen.
:+> >
:+> > On Thu, 30 Nov 2000 05:08:30 -0500, while the modem was pondering it's
:+> > navel, Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com> dissertated the following:
:+> >
:+> > :+> I don't think the local hams have read this. They use FRS channel 1 as a
:+> > :+> simplex chit chat channel. Sometimes they even use their ham call sign
:+> > :+> out of habit.
:+> > :+>
:+> > :+> They talk about modifying their 440 rigs for the extra channels, and how
:+> > :+> the extra natural bandwidth of the antennas is wider at the 440 to 460
:+> > :+> MHz frequencies. I hear these guys on FRS, GMRS, the dot frequencies,
:+> > :+> and of course the new Murs channels. I polish off my scanner in warm

```
:+> > :+> happiness every day.
:+> > :+>
:+> > :+> The technical hour is great, they tell of adding on antennas to the FRS
:+> > :+> radios and which amps will work with them.
:+> > :+>
:+> > :+> They just need to learn to not use their ham call sigh as much.
:+> > :+>
:+> > :+> Jay in the Great Mojave Desert.
:+> >
:+> > . ---(((=======@@@@@@@@@@=======)))----''
:+> > Regards! ````~~~~~~~##########~~~~~~~''''
:+> > .:+> Andy <+: \\ ===== //
:+> > . \ === //
:+> > ... You've GOTTA be a CB'er when | == |
:+> > ... You can name all the CB's / -- /
:+> > ... used in the movie / -- /
:+> > ... TWISTER! / - /
:+> > . `` ^^((*****@@****)))^^ ''
:+> > .--------------------------------------------------
:+> >
:+> > http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm
```

```
. ---(((=======@@@@@@@@@@=======)))----''
Regards! ````~~~~~~~##########~~~~~~~''''
.:+> Andy <+: \\ ===== //
. \ === //
... You've GOTTA be a CB'er when | == |
... You can name all the CB's / -- /
... used in the movie / -- /
... TWISTER! / - /
. `` ^^((*****@@****)))^^ ''
.--------------------------------------------------

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm
```

173. Re: Newbie Q about 'deadkey' and 'swing' .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <8b5i2tge6kaf6spsmushdegj0bhugph3f3@4ax.com>
Source record: 61503

Greetings!

3 watts is what the radio produces when you don't talk into the mike
but key just key it up. [throw a dead carrier]

"Swings to 20" means it's putting out up to 20 watts as you talk into
the mike [Carrier plus audio (modulation)] - naturally you're going to
have to talk pretty loud into the mike to hit that 20 watt figure
constantly.

On 02 Dec 2000 00:16:12 GMT, while the modem was pondering it's navel,
mtngrlscityboy@aol.com (MtngrlsCityboy) dissertated the following:

:+> All,
:+>
:+> What is meant by a radio having 3 watts deadkey with 20 watts swing?
:+>
:+> TIA,
:+>
:+> Anthony

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:

... You know your radio is really Modulating when...
... Elvis shows up at your doorstep and asks to use
... your Microphone!!!

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

174. Re: Cops using Illegal Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <QG8W5.618$Y4.213565@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 61504

"Buckeye Web Design" <webdoc@bright.net> wrote in message
news:3A29126B.F076886C@bright.net...
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
>
> >
> > They often are the same radios with only the control head modded. The
key
> > is that type-accepted units would not have any frequency display beyond
a
> > 1,2,3 sort of indicator.
>
> thats not always true. in our area they have about 8 freqs on their hand
> held radios so they can corr communicate with other departments i.e.
> city kitties, county mounties, bears and they also have backup freqs
> that are not listed in the scannner books to discuss sertain things that
> are not meant for public knowledge i.e. telling an officer to go to
> someones house to inform them that a family member was just killed in an
> auto accident. And the radios they use ARE legal commercial radios a
> combination of motorola and kenwood. I work with them frequently as part
> of the local REACT team as well as being part of the local Disaster
> Services team.
>
> WebDoc
> Vice President: REACT of Henry County #6019
> District Coordinator Zone 1: Ohio State REACT Council

There is nothing in the type acceptance rules that prevents actual readout
of frequencies but it is a bit uncommon. The more usual ones are as I
described. It does show, however, that any number of control possibilities
exist. The one thing that should be true of type accepted stuff is that the
freqs are preset and not changeable by the user. I would think that most
agencies would not want freq displayed for exactly the reason you specify --
no sense in advertising to the public nor any reason to burden the user with
more knowledge than he needs.

For similar reasons, it was pretty common to use a 222 band freq even on
Amateur weather nets to evade scanners where some security made sense.

Just what is it that these radios read out??

175. Re: grid dip meter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <OL8W5.850$HO4.190245@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 61505

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3A28C8FA.E3F0F0F4@qnet.com...
> Hello G Seven: Try a MFJ model number 259B Anatanne Anaylzer. They sell
> a optional coil pakage that has the pick up coils to allow the antenna
> anaylzer to work as a grid dip oscillotor.
>
> The neat thing is about the MFJ 259B is that there is a digital
> frequency read out that pretty close to dead nuts on.
>
> Jay in the Mojave
>

Thanks for the tip. I was asked about this at the local Hamklatch yesterday
so the timing works out to be 'right on the nuts' as well.

Dick NBK

176. Re: You want entertainment...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90b68c$kv6$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 61506

One cannot equate the number of freqs to the determination of troublemakers. We live in a society that has created the myth that everybody "can do their own thing". What we have accomplished is to allow a few folks to carry this to extremes in all walks of life. I think you would agree that the number of conferences on the newsgroups far out weigh the bandwidths of either CB or Ham radio. An interesting exercise is to list the prolific posters on a conference, you will find that a dedicated core of loud mouths dominate any conference. On here for example, the major number of posts are written by seven individuals. The remainder of the posts are people responding to them in some form or fashion. The consequence is that the tenor of the conference is the sum of these individuals.

This same dedicated kind of group is found on rec.gardening.growingroses or CB or Ham or what ever. Unfortunately, negative posts by the "doo flingers" do two things, first they cause those that want to avoid contention to not enter the fray and the rest to perpetuate "ain't it awful" posts. Is Ham or CB or growing roses better or worse than one another? Nope! but if we pay attention to people who start threads such as "All CBers suck" or "Ham radio scum" we remove the opportunity for discord and provide the enjoyment the aforementioned group enjoys.

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3A28967A.26A77C82@hotmail.com...
> Go to rec.radio.amateur.misc. Those boys can barely keep a thread
> civil without major infighting and doo flinging. At least CB'ers
> can get along with each other. When everybody is packed in a small
> room (40 channels), it's put up or shut up.
>
> With all those frequencies, the Hammies should be able to not step on each others' toes.

177. Re: Missing The Big Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90b72rlcp1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 61507

Incorrect Sir! Novice and advanced still exist and are renewable, simply no new ones are being issued. Next there are a number of subbands that a ham is not permitted 1500 watts. You cannot run over 200 watts in a novice subband or in some cases whole bands such as 17 meters.

73 Fred W4JLE
http://w4jle.com

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001201152151.03195.00001724@ng-fg1.aol.com...
>
> N8WWM said
> >:+> You can get an amateur license and run 1500 watts on any band you are
> >:+> licensed for
> Codyspc@iserv.net said
> >Ahemn...what class? 1500W is not for everybody...don't make a
> >*GENERAL* statement like that.
> >
> N8WWM said
> No, check your facts. there are 3 license classes now...Novice and
Advanced are
> eliminated. Novices could only run 200 watts.
> All other classes of license can run max power on any band they are
licensed to
> operate on.
>

178. Re: Hams don't be fooled by your sense of security

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90b7k4llm1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 61508

No, what he is saying is that the officials thought THEY were above the law.

"Bendowersagain" <bendowersagain@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001201191143.03975.00002480@ng-ct1.aol.com...
> >w8ron w8ron@stratos.net Said
>
> >everal cities have lost their ass to this mandate and by bullying amateur
> >operators
>
> >city officials
> >usually immune to procesicution nornally were warned that they could be
held
> >personally responsible and nearly served time for their antics.
>
> So, to be above the law, all I need to do is become Ham!

179. Re: grid dip meter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90b7mclmo1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 61509

MFJ 259 or 269 with the set of grid dip coils. It is a tool I could not live with out!

"G SEVEN" <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:90af9l$19ea$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> Any recommendations for a good grid dip meter?
>
> KRS
>
>

180. Re: Missing The Big Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001202112817.03250.00002187@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Source record: 61510

Cody,

The idea here is to run illegal operators off the illegal frequencies. That is a main goal of the legislation.

As far as an amateur being liable for interference goes...Not in the case of a TV, VCR, or other part 15 type device.
HOWEVER, anyone in ANY service is liable for deliberate interference to emergency and other civil government services. It is a criminal act that anyone in any service can be nailed for. My point was that a legal amateur is exempt from the new legislation. That is clear if you read the bill. All the "what if's" in the world do not change that. Illegal operators are the target of this legislation.

What you aren't acknowledging here is that illegal and non-type accepted equipment is responsible for the majority of the interference problems. That is the case.

Regarding running 1500 watts on 440 mhz: Lotta guys do it. Maybe not on 440 FM, but on 432 SSB. Look at any QST magazine and you will probably see one.
They dont use ground planes...they use multiple phased yagi arrays.

181. Re: Goddamn ,,what a fucked up group full of CB idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Usenet Global Administration Authority <ugaa@wilhelp.com>
Message-ID: <90b7t9$j3d$1@kneejerk.databasix.com>
Source record: 61511
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.fan.supernaut,alt.fan.emmett-gulley,alt.flame,alt.fan.karl-malden.nose

"Meat-->Plow" <meat@fuck-j00.orq>:

>Breaker Breaker One Nine
>
>You fuck-tards crack me up with all your idiotic discussion.
>
>I think I'll put this news froup out of its misery and issue a remove
>froup control message.
>
>BWAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>
>Say goodbye to your news froup, imbeciles

cmsg rmgroup rec.radio.cb
cmsg lock rec.radio.cb

Usenet Global Administration Authority

182. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: TR@sky.net
Message-ID: <3a28fb28.414569260@news.idsi.net>
Source record: 61512

On Fri, 1 Dec 2000 22:49:44 -0800, "George Thomas"
<guesswhat@charter.net> wrote:

>Well this is the way i see it. The FCC can not look after all the ham bands
>they have now that is the reason they give up 11 m a long time ago.

They gave up 11m to the CBers because they were way too many illegals
and morons on the band. It was a case of overload.

>And you
>stop and think about this If the hammies got the band back what would they
>do with all the cbr's on it. As it stands there is more people on the 11m
>band than you think.

I would guess most hams don't want 11 back. Giving it back would
never work anyway. Let the CBers have it.

>And what will you do with all the mexicans on the band
>that dont care about you or me or what we think they talk regardless . I
>think it is crazy if the FCC is looking for a fix on the 11m band they need
>to give more channels to the cbr's so we don't have to run 2000 watts to
>talk over the other guy just to get to talk .

If you want to run power become a ham. CBers have enough room for
their nonsense. Unless you are a contester or need constant ego
massages you don't NEED to run high power anyway.

>You stop and think about it
>the 11m band has 40 channels the hammies have hundres of channels to talk on
>so what gives.

"What gives" is that the rules state CB has 40 channels and limited
power, want more? Get your ham license.

>now you won't the 40 we have back I think it is a bunch of
>shit myself. And i think we are blowing this all out of wack the more we
>talk about this the more bull gets started!!!! I am off the key now so have
>a nice day Georgiaboy753 Westcentrol GA

Like I said, we don't want 11m back. Hams had NOTHING to do with
that new law. NOTHING. Get over it. You are the one blowing it all out
of wack. If you want more freqencies and want to be able to use more
power get your ham license. Simple.

183. Re: You want entertainment...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2926EE.57E4D0EA@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61513

I have read your posts on the aforementioned group...that's all
I'm going to say :>

Fred Hambrecht wrote:
<snip>

184. Re: Missing The Big Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001202114402.03250.00002190@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Source record: 61514

>You cannot get a new novice or advanced

Thats what I meant. Sorry.

>n the novice sub-bands below 28 MHz since novice licenses are still
>valid (and the rules used to stated EVERYONE with a license good for HF was
>limited to 200 watts in the novice sub-bands)?

True, and an omission I made in the post.

>1.5 KW in the 10.100 to 10.150 MHz band?

Forgot about 30 meters...oops.

However, those are the exceptions to the rule. Also, since most here are not hams, they would not be able to be newly licensed novices, etc. since there are no new novice tickets issued. That was the context of my statement.

Any general class can operate 1.5 KW, to my knowledge, on any band he has privileges for except for the places heretofore mentioned. That's a big old land to roam in...whooee.

185. Re: AD: Repairs and Mods Undertaken

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A29279F.E8BDDAC9@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61515

I'm not sending my radios over the pond to be diddled with.

Dave wrote:
>
> Mods to most rigs and scanners.
> Mods on most ham gear.
> Servicing on all CB radios.
>
> Send details of work for a quote to :-
>
> farmyard@btinternet.com
>
> Yorkshire Area.

186. Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers vs Ham skum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001202114647.03250.00002191@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Source record: 61516

>N8wwm <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20001130131818.09164.00001769@ng-cf1.aol.com...
>> Nothing would happen...The FCC did not set the Morse code requirement. It
>was
>> established by the ITU, an international organization. The FCC has no
>power, by
>> international agreement, to abolish the 5WPM code requirement
>--
>
>Not even close..... Facts really do count, here as well as everywhere else
>
>David KD4NUE
>
>See below:
>
>---------------------------------
>
>The ARRL Board is reportedly going to reconsider its position on
>Morse testing at the upcoming ARRL Board meeting in January ...
>now is the time to make your views known! Please write or e-mail
>your ARRL Director (even if you're not a member) and help to bring
>about a reversal of the long-standing ARRL policy in favor of
>maintaining Morse testing as a requirement in the ITU Radio
>Regulations!
>

Dave, The ARRL is only one part of the ITU, and if the ITU position is to
require CW, no matter what the ARRL says, that is how it will be.

Yes facts count, and that is one.

187. Re: FS - 40 Channel CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2927F4.8F7CF45A@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61517

Cheap 40 channel AM only CB without dealing with an auction:

http://www.abs.net/~the420

188. Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers vs Ham skum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001202114944.03250.00002192@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Source record: 61518

>
>>I also would prefer to see the CB band opened up to about 27.75 MHz
>>and have the restrictions on amplifiers and DX eliminated. To go with
>>this, I would want to see the requirement that amplifiers be type
>>accepted.
>>
>
>At last a sign of intelligence.
> >>
>
>You mean a sign of someone who won't make the effort to get an amateur radio
>license.
>

That's exactly right.

189. Re: Goddamn ,,what a fucked up group full of CB idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rebecca Ore <rebecca@ogoense.net>
Message-ID: <m3lmty7olp.fsf@pyrophore.ogoense.net>
Source record: 61519

Usenet Global Administration Authority <ugaa@wilhelp.com> writes:

> "Meat-->Plow" <meat@fuck-j00.orq>:
>
> >Breaker Breaker One Nine
> >
> >You fuck-tards crack me up with all your idiotic discussion.
> >
> >I think I'll put this news froup out of its misery and issue a remove
> >froup control message.
> >
> >BWAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> >
> >Say goodbye to your news froup, imbeciles
>
> cmsg rmgroup rec.radio.cb
> cmsg lock rec.radio.cb
>
> Usenet Global Administration Authority

Unless he's forging David C Lawrence's email address and pgp
signature, it won't work.

--
Rebecca Ore
http://www.ogoense.net/rebecca

190. Re: grid dip meter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A28FE8F.80503402@qnet.com>
Source record: 61520

Hello KRS: What is it you want to do with the Grid dip meter?

Jay in the Mojave

G SEVEN wrote:
>
> Any recommendations for a good grid dip meter?
>
> KRS

191. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2929DB.1376B564@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61521

Let's go the hammie groups and start doing it back!!!

> OLD QUESTION...
> What would it take to get hams to stay in their respective ham groups > and leave the cb group permanently???

192. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001202115806.20750.00000305@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 61522

if thats the logic they use then 10 meters will be ours fairly soon.

>They gave up 11m to the CBers because they were way too many illegals
>and morons on the band. It was a case of overload.

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

193. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A292C8E.BCB89866@oio.net>
Source record: 61523

Does this sort of paranoia nonsense crop up often, or is it
a reaction to PL-106-521 (HR2346)? Maybe the people who believe
it all live in Florida?
'Doc

194. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001202122104.03250.00002197@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Source record: 61524

Scottiekins impotently and moronically ranted:
>Let's go the hammie groups and start doing it back!!!
>
N8WWM, in his superior style and shining eloquence replied:
har har har,,,breaker 19...10-4 good buddy.....copied ya Q5, what did ya say?
Big forty-rodger dee on that by golly...
that's a big 10-4...seven thirds,catchya later, this is unit bla bla in the
world wide mobile...we gone...

Scottiekins, Scottiekins, Scottiekins...I am dying laughing here...

195. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <liaW5.216$hG6.92909@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 61525
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

wrote in message news:3a28fb28.414569260@news.idsi.net...
> On Fri, 1 Dec 2000 22:49:44 -0800, "George Thomas"
> wrote:
>
> >Well this is the way i see it. The FCC can not look after all the ham
bands
> >they have now that is the reason they give up 11 m a long time ago.
>

The reason 11 meters was taken for CB is that hams did not then or now give a rat's ass for the band.

1) The standard TV video i.f. was 25.75 MHz. It took cast iron cajones to work 11 meters. FYI it is now 45.75. This is also the reason for the ridiculous 4-5 watt power limit.

2) The band did not fit the usual harmonic relationships that make multi-band antennas a whole lot easier to handle. If nothing else, I can use my 40 meter quarter-wave on 15 with relative ease, my 30 meter antenna on 10, etc. with a minimum of flap. Often I can even use a common feed point for 80 and 40 dipoles or even verticals (e.g. the Hygain Hytower) and get three-fourths on 20 in the bargain. 27 has no relationship to any of them. The biggest single difference in Amateur operation and equipment vs CB is this multi-band concept.

3) Ten meters has all the room the Amateur world needs in the 25-30 MHz garbage frequencies.

As an amateur band, 11 meters never aroused much more than a storm of pure apathy. Still does.

Dick NBK

196. Re: Lots of Radio gear for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Donald Ferren <donaldf@ncia.net>
Message-ID: <tqaW5.52$sC1.3212304@sat-news.ncia.net>
Source record: 61526

HI Craig,
I am interested in the Wilson 1000 Mag mount for 35.00 dollars if you will sell separate.
Donald

"Craig" <moonman@telcomplus.net> wrote in message news:3A292179.AC4A210F@telcomplus.net...

For Sale, All prices include shipping to lower 48 states.
K-40 Antenna with Mag Mount.(11m) $25.00
Wilson 1000 with Mag Mount.(whip is cut for 10M)$35.00
Wilson 1000 Coil only. $15.00
Wilson 1000 Trucker, (whip cut for 11m) $35.00
Cobra 148GTL Well used, some knobs are worn and face plate shows alot of use. Radio come with handmike,mounting bracket,and power cord. Mods. Radio works good, just would not win any beauty contest. $55.00 (SOLD)
Uniden PC-122 has been mobile, and has some wear marks. I got some of the best audio reports from this little ssb rig. Califier mod. Hand mike, mounting bracket, and power cord. $50.00
Two, brand-new replacement s-meters for a 148 gtl and would work with a few other rigs. $15.00 for both.
I also have a Black Cat 2 kW SWR/Peakreading watt meter with a built-in 6 digit Frequency counter in excellent cond.$65.00 shipped lower 48.
Thanks,
Craig

197. FS: SAMS CB PHOTO FACTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: rh3333@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001202123950.21354.00002949@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 61527

I have an "almost complete" collection of Sams CB Photo Facts (Appx 280 out of 297) in great shape...buy these from a Retail Catalog Outlet and you will pay $10-$20/ each - will sell the entire lot for $650 + shipping. Will not sell individual copies.

Email Serious Inquiries Only-Please

198. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <vpci2tk4491nhcjg9t5upoqruqv5khdg5e@4ax.com>
Source record: 61528

On 02 Dec 2000 17:21:04 GMT, n8wwm@aol.com (N8wwm) wrote:

>Scottiekins impotently and moronically ranted:
>>Let's go the hammie groups and start doing it back!!!
>>
>N8WWM, in his superior style and shining eloquence replied:
>har har har,,,breaker 19...10-4 good buddy.....copied ya Q5, what did ya say?
>Big forty-rodger dee on that by golly...
>that's a big 10-4...seven thirds,catchya later, this is unit bla bla in the
>world wide mobile...we gone...
>
>Scottiekins, Scottiekins, Scottiekins...I am dying laughing here...

You may not know N8WWN, but you know his kind. His type are
easily intimidated and made to feel inferior by CBer's, who he,
although maybe subconsciously, feel are superior to him.Therefore,
he re-directs his inferior feelings to a self-dilusional dislike for
the person/people who he actually admires and wants to emulate.

199. Re: Florida View

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <337-3A292E5B-53@storefull-125.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61529

Wellllll, we ARE number one in college football!

200. Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers vs Ham skum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <9BaW5.692$Y4.229198@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 61530

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001202114647.03250.00002191@ng-fg1.aol.com...
> >N8wwm <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20001130131818.09164.00001769@ng-cf1.aol.com...
> >> Nothing would happen...The FCC did not set the Morse code requirement.
It
> >was
> >> established by the ITU, an international organization. The FCC has no
> >power, by
> >> international agreement, to abolish the 5WPM code requirement
> >>----------
> >
> >Not even close..... Facts really do count, here as well as everywhere
else
> >
> >David KD4NUE
> >
> >See below:
> >
> >>----------
> >
> >The ARRL Board is reportedly going to reconsider its position on
> >Morse testing at the upcoming ARRL Board meeting in January ...
> >now is the time to make your views known! Please write or e-mail
> >your ARRL Director (even if you're not a member) and help to bring
> >about a reversal of the long-standing ARRL policy in favor of
> >maintaining Morse testing as a requirement in the ITU Radio
> >Regulations!
> >
>
> Dave, The ARRL is only one part of the ITU, and if the ITU position is to
> require CW, no matter what the ARRL says, that is how it will be.
>
> Yes facts count, and that is one.

The ARRL is part of the International Amateur Radio Union (IARU) not the
ITU. The IARU has the same relationship to ITU that ARRL has to the FCC, a
group of interested users whose opinions are solicited but hardly decisive.

The ITU goes back to 1869 when Kaiser Wilhelm I found during a visit to
Paris preparatory to invading the place the following year that he could not
send a cable to Berlin. Radio regulation dates from the 20s via the Geneva
Treaty that is renegotiated at regular intervals, as a practical matter well
before the meetings and in the local watering holes and hospitality suites.
The actual representation is done these days by the State Department.

The treaty in the definition of the Amateur Service (CB is the Land Mobile Service) requires Morse knowledge to be demonstrated (the famous 25.5) but does not actually specify a speed. By regulatory agreement, 5wpm is the word.

There are people who know more than I do but my understanding is that 25.5 does not even have a place on the agenda for 2003. Without some agreement among the users and therefore ultimately the governments one hopes, there will not be any possibility of revision in this cycle. So as the largest amateur contingent, the League has properly assumed the process of attempting agreement among national radio clubs or get in line with them as one's prejudices lie.

The League has traditionally oversold its actual influence on things. Kind of sounds like a number of people on CB have bought into that fantasy.

Dick N&#65533;BK

201. Re: Florida View

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <8IaW5.924$HO4.205283@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 61531

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:337-3A292E5B-53@storefull-125.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Wellllll, we ARE number one in college football!
>

And as a native Nebraskan, you can have it.

Go Big Red, Go Big Red
Off the floor, out the door
Go Big Red.

Dick

202. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A292A1F.BEEE2865@some.net>
Source record: 61532

>
>
> I would guess most hams don't want 11 back. Giving it back would
> never work anyway. Let the CBers have it.

Why would the hams want 11 metes back? The band is total chaos, you would never be able to get the cbers off it, and besides, the hams have all of 10 meters which is uncrowded even when band openings are good, plus all the other ham bands.

>
>
> >And what will you do with all the mexicans on the band
> >that dont care about you or me or what we think they talk regardless . I
> >think it is crazy if the FCC is looking for a fix on the 11m band they need
> >to give more channels to the cbr's so we don't have to run 2000 watts to
> >talk over the other guy just to get to talk .

If the cbers would run legal you would not need 2000 watts to talk over the other guy. You only need 2000 watts because he is running 1000 watts.

>
>
>
> >now you won't the 40 we have back I think it is a bunch of
> >shit myself. And i think we are blowing this all out of wack the more we
> >talk about this the more bull gets started!!!! I am off the key now so have
> >a nice day Georgiaboy753 Westcentrol GA

>
>
> Like I said, we don't want 11m back. Hams had NOTHING to do with
> that new law. NOTHING. Get over it. You are the one blowing it all out
> of wack. If you want more freqencies and want to be able to use more
> power get your ham license. Simple.

True, the hams don't want it back, the new law is to try and clean up what the cbers have turned into the sewer pit of two-way radio communication and has nothing to do with trying to get the band back for the hams.

203. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90bet8$pr3$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 61533

As one who used 11 meters while it was a hamband I have seen a number of historical facts about the band that are plainly in error.

11 meters wasn't a neat division as is 80/40/20 etc. As such in the days of yore it was under utilized. The government in their infinite wisdom decided to remove it from ham use and create a Citizens Band that could use the technology present at that time to provide low-cost equipment. The other Citizens band at that time was in the UHF range and virtually nothing existed to utilize it. At that time a license was required and application along with a fee had to be sent to the FCC and calls such as KDW7076 were issued and authorized up to 3 units to operate under that call as "KDW7076 Unit 1". Application could be made to add additional units. Power was limited to 5 Watts input, antenna height was limited to 21 feet as best I remember. The early pioneers found that a lot of old ham equipment was available that would operate on the bands at a 60 to 100 watt level and down the slippery slope it went. The FCC gave up on licenses and callsigns and any other enforcement efforts. The basic rule seemed to be if they stay in the 23 channels leave them alone. The same seemed to be the case after expansion to the 40 channels. The CBers encouraged by the fact that if you occupy a channel (those above 23) you get it. Now that everybody had "uppers" the pioneers once again moved up to get out of the fray. The upper channels were normally populated with the more knowledgeable people who operated as quasi hams. The manufactures then created easily modifiable equipment that would let anyone move to these previous "exclusive" freqs and we now have the chaos we have today.
"www.kp82.com" <timnebo@aol.comedy> wrote in message
news:20001202115806.20750.00000305@ng-ff1.aol.com...
> if thats the logic they use then 10 meters will be ours fairly soon.
>
> >They gave up 11m to the CBers because they were way too many illegals
> >and morons on the band. It was a case of overload.
>
>
>
> 73 de Tim
> Knight Patrol #82
> http://www.kp82.com

204. Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers vs Ham skum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Little <dalite01@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <90bffc$8k6$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 61534

> Dave, The ARRL is only one part of the ITU, and if the ITU position is to
> require CW, no matter what the ARRL says, that is how it will be.
>
> Yes facts count, and that is one.

The item below is a talking point for petitioning the ARRL to drop it's stance of retaining CW as a requirement for Ham operation on HF. It shows the ARRL to be the ONLY member society to vote no on dropping the code. It further points out that 4 years ago, opposition to the league's representation of the Amateur community was already at a level of 62% of the members they represented. In short, the ARRL is ignoring 62% of it's members (a 4 year old figure) requests by remaining the ONLY member society to vote against removing the morse requirement from international regulations.

I admit to not having a degree in rocket science, but my interpretation of the item below (which I included in my original post) clearly shows the ARRL to be the only opposition in the IARU to removing the CW requirement. It has already been dropped by all branches of government and military service, and their scope of operation is in no way limited to CONUS only. This in itself negates the possibility of it being required by international treaty (ITU). The only dog in this hunt is the ARRL.

If I am missing something here, I honestly and sincerely want to know what it is, so I lessen the chance of passing along bogus info.

David
KD4NUE

****
2. You're extremely disappointed that the League was the only
member society to vote "NO" at the recent Region 3 IARU
conference in Darwin Australia on elimination of S25.5 from
international regulations. This vote was made despite the fact
that the League's own 1996 opinion survey for WRC99 showed that
only 38% of the amateur population wanted to retain an
international treaty requirement while a majority of 52% favored
allowing each country to decide for itself whether Morse cw tests
were appropriate, with the remaining 9% having no opinion.
****

N8wwm <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001202114647.03250.00002191@ng-fg1.aol.com...
> >N8wwm <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20001130131818.09164.00001769@ng-cf1.aol.com...
> >> Nothing would happen...The FCC did not set the Morse code requirement.

It
> >was
> >> established by the ITU, an international organization. The FCC has no
> >power, by
> >> international agreement, to abolish the 5WPM code requirement
> >>--
> >
> >Not even close..... Facts really do count, here as well as everywhere
else
> >
> >David KD4NUE
> >
> >See below:
> >
> >---------------------------------
> >
> >The ARRL Board is reportedly going to reconsider its position on
> >Morse testing at the upcoming ARRL Board meeting in January ...
> >now is the time to make your views known! Please write or e-mail
> >your ARRL Director (even if you're not a member) and help to bring
> >about a reversal of the long-standing ARRL policy in favor of
> >maintaining Morse testing as a requirement in the ITU Radio
> >Regulations!
> >
>
> Dave, The ARRL is only one part of the ITU, and if the ITU position is to
> require CW, no matter what the ARRL says, that is how it will be.
>
> Yes facts count, and that is one.

205. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001202134014.09164.00002043@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61535

Oh goodie, Sigmund Freud junior is analyzing me now. Well, as your dad said in his book, "sometimes a cigar is just a cigar".
My kind? Do ya mean the kind that gets a big laugh outta all the paranoia on here and doesn't care if it rattles the illegal ops by saying so?
Would I want to operate illegally? Nope.
The only thing I am "emulating" here is the clowns who are worried. I just stuff it back in their faces. It is so damn funny to watch the roaches scurry when they even hear the words "bug killer".
Your personal attack is humorous, silly, and fallacious.

Get a grip, tnomiekins
>You may not know N8WWN, but you know his kind. His type are
>easily intimidated and made to feel inferior by CBer's, who he,
>although maybe subconsciously, feel are superior to him.Therefore,
>he re-directs his inferior feelings to a self-dilusional dislike for
>the person/people who he actually admires and wants to emulate.
>
>
>
>

206. Bottom line on CB enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001202134252.09164.00002044@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61536

If you are operating legally, as a CB'er or an amateur, you have nothing to worry about. That is why the reactions here are so funny. It is obvious that the illegals are the ones most agitated by the situation. Poor babies. I am heartbroken.

207. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2941B7.11659EA1@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61537
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

tnom@mucks.net wrote:
>
> On 02 Dec 2000 17:21:04 GMT, n8wwm@aol.com (N8wwm) wrote:
>
> >Scottiekins impotently and moronically ranted:
(I thought it was blathered :) Can't even remember what he himself
wrote in his fury!

> >>Let's go the hammie groups and start doing it back!!!
> >>
> >N8WWM, in his superior style and shining eloquence replied:
So when is it going to shine through?

> >har har har,,,breaker 19...10-4 good buddy.....copied ya Q5, what did ya say?
> >Big forty-rodger dee on that by golly...
> >that's a big 10-4...seven thirds,catchya later, this is unit bla bla in the
> >world wide mobile...we gone...
> >
> >Scottiekins, Scottiekins, Scottiekins...I am dying laughing here...
>
> You may not know N8WWN, but you know his kind. His type are
> easily intimidated and made to feel inferior by CBer's, who he,
> although maybe subconsciously, feel are superior to him.Therefore,
> he re-directs his inferior feelings to a self-dilusional dislike for
> the person/people who he actually admires and wants to emulate.

Of course, N8WWM is resorting to name calling and insult tossing.
These tactics sound like Al Gore tactics. Doug couldn't hold a
decent conversation on simplex, much less a 2 meter repeater.
The control op must turn off the system when he hears his call!

208. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001202134728.09164.00002045@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61538

>True, the hams don't want it back, the new law is to try and clean up what
>the
>cbers have turned into the sewer pit of two-way radio communication and has
>nothing to do with trying to get the band back for the hams.

N8WWM nods in agreement and howls with laughter...

That's a big 10-4 good buddy....Mercy me, this is unit bla-bla in the worldwide
10KW mobile...we gone.

Geez I crack myself up sometimes....

209. Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers vs Ham skum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Little <dalite01@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <90bga2$cr2$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 61539

Dick,

I agree on the ITU / IARU comparison and representation. However, in light of the Armed Services, Coast Guard and other organizations with international affiliations having already dropped CW in their bag of tricks, it does kind of fly in the face of the ITU requirement argument, doesn't it?

My flawed way of thinking tells me that if large representatives of the United Stated whose scope of operation carries them into the ITU jurisdiction, and they have already dismissed the ITU requirement for Morse, something must be up. This was not an overnight coup.

Either I am apparently missing something here, or our Armed Forces and other Governmental branches are obviously trying to stir up some trouble with the ITU.

This blatant action obviously overshadows the influence that the opinions of the Ham community concerning the subject quoting regulations that our own government has gone to great length to defy with much forethought and apparent malice.

Something isn't right with this picture.......

"It's dangerous to be right when the government is wrong" (Quoted from Songwriter Karl Klang)

David
KD4NUE

Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message
news:9BaW5.692$Y4.229198@nntp1.onemain.com...
>
> "N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20001202114647.03250.00002191@ng-fg1.aol.com...
> > >N8wwm <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message
> > >news:20001130131818.09164.00001769@ng-cf1.aol.com...
> > >> Nothing would happen...The FCC did not set the Morse code
requirement.
> It
> > >was
> > >> established by the ITU, an international organization. The FCC has no
> > >power, by
> > >> international agreement, to abolish the 5WPM code requirement
> > >----------
> > >
> > >Not even close..... Facts really do count, here as well as everywhere

> else
> > >
> > >David KD4NUE
> > >
> > >See below:
> > >
> > >----------
> > >
> > >The ARRL Board is reportedly going to reconsider its position on
> > >Morse testing at the upcoming ARRL Board meeting in January ...
> > >now is the time to make your views known! Please write or e-mail
> > >your ARRL Director (even if you're not a member) and help to bring
> > >about a reversal of the long-standing ARRL policy in favor of
> > >maintaining Morse testing as a requirement in the ITU Radio
> > >Regulations!
> > >
> >
> > Dave, The ARRL is only one part of the ITU, and if the ITU position is
to
> > require CW, no matter what the ARRL says, that is how it will be.
> >
> > Yes facts count, and that is one.
>
> The ARRL is part of the International Amateur Radio Union (IARU) not the
> ITU. The IARU has the same relationship to ITU that ARRL has to the FCC,
a
> group of interested users whose opinions are solicited but hardly
decisive.
>
> The ITU goes back to 1869 when Kaiser Wilhelm I found during a visit to
> Paris preparatory to invading the place the following year that he could
not
> send a cable to Berlin. Radio regulation dates from the 20s via the
Geneva
> Treaty that is renegotiated at regular intervals, as a practical matter
well
> before the meetings and in the local watering holes and hospitality
suites.
> The actual representation is done these days by the State Department.
>
> The treaty in the definition of the Amateur Service (CB is the Land Mobile
> Service) requires Morse knowledge to be demonstrated (the famous 25.5) but
> does not actually specify a speed. By regulatory agreement, 5wpm is the
> word.
>
> There are people who know more than I do but my understanding is that 25.5
> does not even have a place on the agenda for 2003. Without some agreement
> among the users and therefore ultimately the governments one hopes, there
> will not be any possibility of revision in this cycle. So as the largest

> amateur contingent, the League has properly assumed the process of
> attempting agreement among national radio clubs or get in line with them
as
> one's prejudices lie.
>
> The League has traditionally oversold its actual influence on things.
Kind
> of sounds like a number of people on CB have bought into that fantasy.
>
> Dick N&#65533;BK
>
>

210. Re: Goddamn ,,what a fucked up group full of CB idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2946A3.280295DE@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61540

It hasn't worked, we're still here and still posting.

Why the cross-posts to totally unrelated groups?

"Meat-->Plow" wrote:
>
> On Sat, 02 Dec 2000 11:28:56 -0500, Usenet Global Administration
> Authority <ugaa@wilhelp.com>wrote:
>
> >"Meat-->Plow" <meat@fuck-j00.orq>:
> >
> >>Breaker Breaker One Nine
> >>
> >>You fuck-tards crack me up with all your idiotic discussion.
> >>
> >>I think I'll put this news froup out of its misery and issue a remove
> >>froup control message.
> >>
> >>BWAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> >>
> >>Say goodbye to your news froup, imbeciles
> >
> >cmsg rmgroup rec.radio.cb
> >cmsg lock rec.radio.cb
> >
> >Usenet Global Administration Authority
>
> Thank you. Saved me the trouble of removing them myslef.

211. Re: Bottom line on CB enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2945E7.681C7AE4@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61541
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Do they feed you three meals a day under that "nice warm spot
under the bridge" you like so much.

Since you like being in the spotlight with your juvenile name
calling and insult throwing, I have also posted this to be seen
by your peers.

All hams are not bad, but this rotten apple needs to be tossed.
Since many hams have been told to go to rec.radio.cb and stir the
pot, just see all the doo spewed by N8WWM on that group. Join the
fun in ostracizing this idiot.

N8wwm wrote: (I put no insults here, but watch how many he puts in
his response!!)
>
> If you are operating legally, as a CB'er or an amateur, you have nothing to
> worry about. That is why the reactions here are so funny. It is obvious that
> the illegals are the ones most agitated by the situation. Poor babies. I am
> heartbroken.

212. Re: Florida View

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Little <dalite01@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <90bgs6arq1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 61542

Additions:

FLORIDA: Lawyers go home, Media go home, Rent-A-Riot go home. Then the rest of the country come down here and get your parents and take them back home. Then we may get some peace.

FLORIDA: The land where AL Gores Dogma got run over by George Bushs' Karma

FLORIDA: The land of Blue Haired Bingo professionals who can manage 15 cards at one time, but can't figure out which hole to punch for a vote.

FLORIDA: 24/7 Ongoing reunion for Outcome Based Education Graduates and Alumni "We lurnd to spel and kount reel gud!"

FLORIDA: Disneyland East, sometimes known as "Malfunction Junction"

poisenivy <franc1@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:sP7W5.19968$wA6.97546@news1.atl...
> > FLORIDA: If you think we can't vote, wait till you see us drive.
> >
> > FLORIDA: Home of electile dysfunction.
> >
> > FLORIDA: We count more than you do.
> >
> > FLORIDA: If you don't like the way we count then take I-95 and visit
> > one
> > of
> > the other 56 states.
> >
> > FLORIDA: We've been Gored by the bull of politics and we're Bushed.
> >
> > FLORIDA: Relax...Retire...ReVote.
> >
> > FLORIDA: Viagra voters do it again! ...and again...and again...
> >
> > FLORIDA: Where your vote counts...and counts...and counts...
> >
> > FLORIDA: This is what you get for taking Elian away from us.
> >
> > FLORIDA: We don't just cheat in football.
> >
> > FLORIDA: We're number one! Wait! Recount!
> >
> > PALM BEACH COUNTY: So nice, we let you vote twice.
> >
> > PALM BEACH COUNTY: We put the "duh" in Florida.

> >
> >
>
>
>
>

213. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <o3ii2tgscr4efep0uvmlq5nv0cvm18t7k3@4ax.com>
Source record: 61543

snip
>. The manufactures then created easily modifiable
>equipment that would let anyone move to these previous "exclusive" freqs and
>we now have the chaos we have today.

What chaos? I have yet to hear any great, often, or extreme 10
meter interference that comes from within the expanded 11 meter
band. When I do, the interference comes from outside the USA.

When there is 11 meter generated interference it interferes with
11 meters. How can this possibly cause any real concern to an
amateur who has nothing good to say about 11 meters in the
first place?

All this whining is more about jealousy than anything else.
Jealousy of a large number of people enjoying something that
they can not.

If any ham has a problem with a 11 meter operator then they
should take their complaint directly to the operator and stop
whining to a newsgroup that doesn't have any ties to YOUR
problem.

P.S. This post isn't directed at any one Amateur. It is directed
at all the non positive visiting Hams. The ones that have nothing
better to do then to whine about how bad things are. The ones who
have never had the ability to participate in a positive fashion no
matter where they are. The grumpy, arrogant prudes. Have a nice
life. With your negative approach to everything I'm sure you have a
lot of close friends.

214. Re: Florida View

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <337-3A294363-74@storefull-125.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61544

As I remember, Richard, it isn't a matter of y'all "letting us have it".....we just took it!

215. Free CB is gone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A294A9E.5216EE57@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61545

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" wrote:
>
> Cleaning out my AM only rigs.
>
> I have a Cobra 19 Ultra that I don't need. It is small and works.
>

This one is sold.

> I also have a Cobra 25LTD. It comes with mike and bracket. Make offer.

The high bid on this classic is $30.00

I still have a Lafayette LMS-40. It is 9 out 10. Comes with mike. $125 for this rare SSB radio.

216. Re: Question on proposal to move CB frequency up to VHF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Buzz <!turtle@owc.net>
Message-ID: <ljii2tk9mudl1cdgftde17mh4dl9funh4d@4ax.com>
Source record: 61546

:{They have said this bullshit for years. Who wants to go up there?

Probably a lot of people who want to get away for foul mouths like
yourself.

217. Re: Hams cheering passage of local CB enforcement bill? YOU BET!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: heardallthat@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001202141836.09164.00002048@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61547

>Dost though think this passage will stop freebanding? Exceeding 4w? Modifying
>radios? Export radios? Amplifiers?

No it will not stop them. It will make it costly and a criminal offense to use
them. That's the point.

218. Re: Clinton Signs Bill on Illegal CBs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <lZ1W5.108$hG6.47118@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 61631

"Mike Yetsko" <joe@user.com> wrote in message
news:t2f3c7btlgvn3d@corp.supernews.com...
> Actually, they DON'T quite need a warrant.
>
> It's a gray area, but under the law, IF you have a FCC
> HAM license, and IF the complaint is about the operation
> of that FCC licensed HAM station, the FCC DOES have
> the right to do an unannounced 'station inspection'.
>
> I'm not saying it's write or wrong, or even hold up under
> serious legal challenge, but they DO have that rule when
> you accept your HAM ticket...

Whether you hold a 'license' or not has no bearing, as long as you are operating equipment under the FCC's jurisdiction. Click on the page below for a more detailed FAQ on your 'rights' in an inspection...
http://www.fcc.gov/eb/inspect.html

219. DAN'S SMALL PARTS 15% OFF SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: jparker@fix.net
Message-ID: <3a28ac88.30718740@news.fix.net>
Source record: 61632

DAN HAS POSTED LOTS OF NEW ITEMS AND

A 15% OFF END OF YEAR SALE!

CHECK IT OUT AT: http://www.fix.net/dans.html

Enjoy,,,Jerry...k

220. grid dip meter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <90af9l$19ea$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 61633

Any recommendations for a good grid dip meter?

KRS

221. Re: geez, hams taking over 11 meters, what about this.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: blutarsky@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90aig7$up4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 61634

yes cd is out yoiu are poetric. blutarsky wishe you will be on the cb chanels a long time.

blutarsky

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

222. FS - 40 Channel CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: GM <>
Message-ID: <ijkh2t45son5la3mmi08ea7bfcm3kd9gm2@4ax.com>
Source record: 61635

Cheap 40 channel AM only CB

http://cgi.ebay.com.au/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=509230571

Garrym
garrymnospam@ecosse.net
Please remove "nospam" for reply
Home: 0266252235
Mobile: 0403070899
FAX: 001115204473492

223. Re: Goddamn ,,what a fucked up group full of CB idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Professor <bgriffey@kwom.com>
Message-ID: <os5W5.2428$322.32504@e420r-sjo3.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 61636

Yes, you sound way too intelligent to mingle here...

--

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
"Professor"
http://personal.kwom.com/bgriffey/
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Meat-->Plow" <meat@fuck-j00.orq> wrote in message
news:909koahuf4@dosa.alt.net...
> Breaker Breaker One Nine
>
> You fuck-tards crack me up with all your idiotic discussion.
>
> I think I'll put this news froup out of its misery and issue a remove
> froup control message.
>
> BWAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>
> Say goodbye to your news froup, imbeciles

224. Re: grid dip meter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A28C8FA.E3F0F0F4@qnet.com>
Source record: 61637

Hello G Seven: Try a MFJ model number 259B Anatanne Anaylzer. They sell a optional coil pakage that has the pick up coils to allow the antenna anaylzer to work as a grid dip oscillotor.

The neat thing is about the MFJ 259B is that there is a digital frequency read out that pretty close to dead nuts on.

Jay in the Mojave

G SEVEN wrote:
>
> Any recommendations for a good grid dip meter?
>
> KRS

225. MY DIM RCI2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: jojo <maranatha@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <es6W5.31934$M51.11128123@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 61638

Thanks everyone for the help, but I still cannot get this radio to work,
there is no battery in this radio, I looked not even behind the controls.
no cold solders, nothing. as upset as I am, I dont have the time to deal
with it, I have several other radio's so. it is for sale the radio is mint
I just cant get this display to get bright and work, if you are a tech, well
here is a good deal. 100.00 I will ship it for free.

--

_____
maran atha, mar'-anath'-ah - an exclamation of the approaching divine
judgment

226. Re: grid dip meter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A28C959.FD30F45E@qnet.com>
Source record: 61639

Hello G Seven: Try a MFJ model number 259B Antenna Analyzer. They sell a optional coil package that has the pick up coils to allow the antenna analyzer to work as a grid dip oscillator.

The neat thing is about the MFJ 259B is that there is a digital frequency read out that pretty close to dead nuts on.

Jay in the Mojave

G SEVEN wrote:
>
> Any recommendations for a good grid dip meter?
>
> KRS

227. Re: You want entertainment...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A28CD3D.14B72EDE@qnet.com>
Source record: 61640

Hello Scott: Yes the boys over on rec.radio.amateur.misc are quit the
entertainment source. I also keep an eye on the following:
rec.radio.scanner
rec.radio.amateur.antenna
and
rec.radio.amateur.equipment

I kind of think that when the ones that don't even play well over on the
menationed newsgroups come over here. Look at the posters here and then
go see what these guys are posting on the menationed newsgroups.

And yes we do get along with each other quit well as compared to the ham
newsgroups. However these other newsgroups are like anything else you
half to sift thru them to get what you want. And at times there are a
pretty good source of infomation.

Rockin an ah Rollin in the Great Mojave Desert, ... jay123a@qnet.com
just down the road ah ways from the fillin station.

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" wrote:
>
> Go to rec.radio.amateur.misc. Those boys can barely keep a thread
> civil without major infighting and doo flinging. At least CB'ers
> can get along with each other. When everybody is packed in a small
> room (40 channels), it's put up or shut up.
>
> With all those frequencies, the Hammies should be able to not step on each others' toes.

228. Scanner Dweeb comic # 86 (Brain Scan)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: scannerdweeb@aol.comicstrip
Message-ID: <20001202083406.19499.00003867@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 61641

The 86th episode of
The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb comic strip
titled " Brain Scan "
is ready for your viewing pleasure at
http://www.ScannerDweeb.com
And thanks for your support of the hobby of radio !

See them first !
Want to be sent new comic strips
or special episodes via your e-mail
before they are put on the web site ?
Subscribe to the ScannerDweeb eGroup

http://www.egroups.com/group/ScannerDweeb

The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb comic strip
http://www.ScannerDweeb.com
http://scannerdweeb.netfirms.com
http://www.ScanShack.com
Special monthly episodes, not shown
on the web site, can ONLY be seen
in Popular Communications magazine !

229. Re: Has anyone heard of a amp builder call pirate in california?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: theweek1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001202090925.07677.00003061@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 61642

try a firecracker its all i run the housings are better made just for trucks i run a 2x4 works great firecracker caries a 2pill that only draws 18amps in a a/b bias will do 325 give or take it will hook up to those little power studs in the dash no need to pull wire employers like that
HELLO DOES ANYBODY KNOW ME THE WEEK1

230. Re: Vs: BIG FCC raid coming!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Karl <karljb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3A2904E6.6AF89497@earthlink.net>
Source record: 61643

this guy is pretty smart he can't even get the smilly face rite. :-)

Gary wrote:

> For whom? If you plan to give them coffee, I don't think they'll have a
> hand to grab the cup with - they're carrying the rigs and linears into the
> van. Or did I misunderstand you? Being a foreigner and all ;-)) Gary

--

(* ~ *) Karl

231. FS: Johnson Messenger 250

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: profile1x@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001202092637.01592.00003365@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 61644

23 channel base station inoriginal box with manual and mic. Looks new and works the same. $40 shipped.

232. Florida View

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: poisenivy <franc1@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <sP7W5.19968$wA6.97546@news1.atl>
Source record: 61645

> FLORIDA: If you think we can't vote, wait till you see us drive.
>
> FLORIDA: Home of electile dysfunction.
>
> FLORIDA: We count more than you do.
>
> FLORIDA: If you don't like the way we count then take I-95 and visit
> one
> of
> the other 56 states.
>
> FLORIDA: We've been Gored by the bull of politics and we're Bushed.
>
> FLORIDA: Relax...Retire...ReVote.
>
> FLORIDA: Viagra voters do it again! ...and again...and again...
>
> FLORIDA: Where your vote counts...and counts...and counts...
>
> FLORIDA: This is what you get for taking Elian away from us.
>
> FLORIDA: We don't just cheat in football.
>
> FLORIDA: We're number one! Wait! Recount!
>
> PALM BEACH COUNTY: So nice, we let you vote twice.
>
> PALM BEACH COUNTY: We put the "duh" in Florida.
>
>

233. AD: Repairs and Mods Undertaken

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave <Farmyard@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <90b2kr$cg8$1@neptunium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 61646
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,alt.radio.uk,rec.radio.cb,uk.radio,uk.radio.amateur,uk.rec.radio.cb

```
Mods to most rigs and scanners.
Mods on most ham gear.
Servicing on all CB radios.

Send details of work for a quote to :-

farmyard@btinternet.com

Yorkshire Area.
```

234. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001202095923.24327.00000731@nso-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 61647

In article <20001130232135.09122.00002051@ng-cf1.aol.com>, n8wwm@aol.com (N8wwm) writes:

>Yep I made that post. Coming to this NG makes a lot of hams laugh: So what?
>

OLD QUESTION...
What would it take to get hams to stay in their respective ham groups and leave the cb group perminently???

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

235. Re: Goddamn ,,what a fucked up group full of CB idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Khaveen Abdul Ca-non <troll@hell-flame-wars.orgy>
Message-ID: <90bi20$4rv$1@blackhelicopter.databasix.com>
Source record: 61648
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.fan.supernaut,alt.fan.emmett-gulley,alt.flame

On Fri, 1 Dec 2000 23:58:37 -0500, "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com>
in <909vjp$5rs$1@iac5.navix.net> in alt.fan.supernaut wrote:

STOP CROSSPSTING YOUR SHIT TO ALT>FAN>SUPERNAUT YOU GAYLORD!!!!

>Your well reason intelligent message has left this writer impressed with
>your command of the English language...
>
>"Meat-->Plow" <meat@fuck-j00.orq> wrote in message
>news:909koahuf4@dosa.alt.net...
>> Breaker Breaker One Nine
>>
>> You fuck-tards crack me up with all your idiotic discussion.
>>
>> I think I'll put this news froup out of its misery and issue a remove
>> froup control message.
>>
>> BWAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>>
>> Say goodbye to your news froup, imbeciles
>

--
K. A. Cannon
Usenet Miscreant
troll at hell-flame-wars dot org
(change the orgy to org to reply)

Duly elected moderator of a.f.s.

Without words books would not exist.

-Wurk (23 Dec 1998)

Teach a man to make a fire, and he will be warm for a day.
Set a man on fire, and he will be warm for the rest of his life.
-John A. Hraster

236. Bill Clinton is my hero

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001202142957.09164.00002052@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61649

The CB bill is the greatest thing he ever did. I have howled with laughter over it.

237. Re: You all worry to much/Clinton CB Bill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <90bb2n$s7t@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 61650

The supreme court recently ruled that random inspections for drugs was unconstitutional. I don't think the type of antenna your sporting would qualify for just grounds either.

"Major Tom" <ground@control.com> wrote in message news:gnTV5.151$Lc.42862@typhoon1.ba-dsg.net...
> Probable cause for what? they cannot randomly pull you over because you have
> a cb antenna on your vehicle Probable cuase would be they just got an
> interference call at a certain location, you are sitting in your mobile with
> the antennas on it he pulls up and sees you that would be probable cause I
> guess it is also probable cause to think anyone who goes to a NRA meeting
> owns a gun?
>
>
> "w8ron" <w8ron@stratos.net> wrote in message
> news:3a27d8bc$0$35389$6d93012@news.stratos.net...
> > The answer is "PROBABLE CAUSE"
> > ---
> > Ron
> >
> >
> > Programbo5 wrote:
> >
> > > So..... What?...Simply having coil CB antennas is grounds for pulling
> you over
> > > and searching your van?..I don`t think so...All this bill is saying is
> that
> > > local law enforcement will be used to enforce FCC regulation but they
> will
> > > still need to follow the same guidelines.
> > >
> > > The internet is more than a global pornography network
> >
>
>

238. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: TR@sky.net
Message-ID: <3a2952cd.437009448@news.idsi.net>
Source record: 61651

On 02 Dec 2000 16:58:06 GMT, timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com) wrote:

>if thats the logic they use then 10 meters will be ours fairly soon.

It'll never happen. 10 is nowhere's near the chaos 11 is. Any
nonsense on 10 is caused by CBers or freebanders.

>>They gave up 11m to the CBers because they were way too many illegals
>>and morons on the band. It was a case of overload.
>
>
>
> 73 de Tim
> Knight Patrol #82
>http://www.kp82.com

239. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: TR@sky.net
Message-ID: <3a295371.437173993@news.idsi.net>
Source record: 61652

On Sat, 02 Dec 2000 18:06:29 GMT, JR <jr@some.net> wrote:

>>
>>
>> I would guess most hams don't want 11 back. Giving it back would
>> never work anyway. Let the CBers have it.
>
>Why would the hams want 11 metes back? The band is total chaos, you would never
>be able to get the cbers off it, and besides, the hams have all of 10 meters
>which is uncrowded even when band openings are good, plus all the other ham
>bands.

I said hams don't want 11m back. Are you quoting properly?

>> >And what will you do with all the mexicans on the band
>> >that dont care about you or me or what we think they talk regardless . I
>> >think it is crazy if the FCC is looking for a fix on the 11m band they need
>> >to give more channels to the cbr's so we don't have to run 2000 watts to
>> >talk over the other guy just to get to talk .
>
>If the cbers would run legal you would not need 2000 watts to talk over the other
>guy. You only need 2000 watts because he is running 1000 watts.

I didn't write that quote...

>>
>>
>>
>> >now you won't the 40 we have back I think it is a bunch of
>> >shit myself. And i think we are blowing this all out of wack the more we
>> >talk about this the more bull gets started!!!! I am off the key now so have
>> >a nice day Georgiaboy753 Westcentrol GA

I didn't write that one either....

>> Like I said, we don't want 11m back. Hams had NOTHING to do with
>> that new law. NOTHING. Get over it. You are the one blowing it all out
>> of wack. If you want more freqencies and want to be able to use more
>> power get your ham license. Simple.
>
>True, the hams don't want it back, the new law is to try and clean up what the
>cbers have turned into the sewer pit of two-way radio communication and has
>nothing to do with trying to get the band back for the hams.

Bingo, you quoted me right that time. I agree with your agreeing
with me.

240. Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers vs Ham skum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <FpcW5.974$HO4.219445@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 61653

"David Little" <dalite01@sprynet.com> wrote in message
news:90bga2$cr2$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> Dick,
>
> I agree on the ITU / IARU comparison and representation. However, in
light
> of the Armed Services, Coast Guard and other organizations with
> international affiliations having already dropped CW in their bag of
tricks,
> it does kind of fly in the face of the ITU requirement argument, doesn't
it?

No, as I noted it is part of the definition of the Amateur Service. No
other service ever did have mode specific definitions.

>
> My flawed way of thinking tells me that if large representatives of the
> United Stated whose scope of operation carries them into the ITU
> jurisdiction, and they have already dismissed the ITU requirement for
Morse,
> something must be up. This was not an overnight coup.

Again, only the Amateur Service has or ever had a requirement like that.

>
> Either I am apparently missing something here, or our Armed Forces and
other
> Governmental branches are obviously trying to stir up some trouble with
the
> ITU.

Actually quite opposite, the brie and white parties at the French suite are
legendary as are the Stilton bashes at the British delegation.

>
> This blatant action obviously overshadows the influence that the opinions
of
> the Ham community concerning the subject quoting regulations that our own
> government has gone to great length to defy with much forethought and
> apparent malice.
>
> Something isn't right with this picture.......
>

What is not right with the picture is the rather odd situation where the
very definition of the Amateur Service includes knowlege of Morse Code. It

applies to no other service.

241. cobra 148 problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: m1976 <m1976@ametro.net>
Message-ID: <90bkk2$ph3$1@news.datasync.com>
Source record: 61654

hi, has anyone had a problem with a 148 gtlf with frequency counter. the lock detector has been unlocked for some time now. there is no loop mix signal, there is no clarifier control at all. the channel selector does not work right. i have tried replacing the mb8719 pll chip, the 11.325 crystal and have changed various capacitors around the vco. also tried relacing the vco chip several times. if anyone has had a problem like this or similar or maybe just some advice to get me in the right direction i would appreciate the help.
tom

242. Re: Bill Clinton is my hero

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A295853.EE796FF8@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Still whining and trolling. Go ahead and call me some more names and fling some more insults. You're great entertainment. I know your peers are howling with laughter AT you now.

N8wwn wrote:
>
> The CB bill is the greatest thing he ever did. I have howled with
> laughter over it.

243. Re: Florida View

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22661-3A2954D0-44@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61656

You forgot, "Welcome to Fla., come down on vacation, go home on probation, return for violation".

244. Re: Has anyone heard of a amp builder call pirate in california?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: sideband <sideband@cac.net>
Message-ID: <dpli2tora5l1b39lkqq8vukct9vuik3r30@4ax.com>
Source record: 61657

Rolling:

I ran close to 600 watts in a '98 KW T-600 for 6 months with no problems... of course, I also used a dipole antenna, removing the "ground" for the antenna from the vehicle altogether. The computer never coughed once in that whole time, and I was heard by quite a few on 6, 11, 14, and 26 in those 6 months... especially last spring/summer from AZ, NM, and West Texas, and earlier in the winter from WY, WA, UT, ID, SD, IA, and Nebraska.. And this isn't counting the SSB contacts up on 37, 38, 39, 42, and 43.

If you run power in a computer controlled truck, just be sure you've got good grounds everywhere, or remove the grounds from the truck completely by setting up a dipole or bipole antenna, and he'll do just fine.

Just my two cents. Your mileage may vary.

-SSB (A.K.A. 151 - The Crazy 1)

On or about 28 Nov 2000 04:44:26 GMT, rollingradios@aol.com (RollingRadios), using the forum rec.radio.cb did say:

:>From: CreoleCat@webtv.net
:>i've heard many great things about this
:>builder.I have tried them all Davemade and X-force
:
:Pirate boxes look nice, but don't come close to the performance or matching of
:the XF and DM. Usually high input swr, and output is not as much nor as "clean"
:as XF.
:If your brother is new to trucking and wishes to stay in the good graces of his
:boss and truck, you may stick with a big two pill or small four pill. More
:likely not to hurt the computer or other electronics of the newer trucks, and
:will perform well.

245. Re: cobra 148 problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A295918.3294787D@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61658

Have you tried retuning the VCO can with a non-metallic tuning tool?
Also, check for cold solder joints on the selector switch, ribbon cable,
diodes and resistor packs if it has them.

m1976 wrote:
>
> hi, has anyone had a problem with a 148 gtlf with frequency counter. the
> lock detector has been unlocked for some time now. there is no loop mix
> signal, there is no clarifier control at all. the channel selector does not
> work right. i have tried replacing the mb8719 pll chip, the 11.325 crystal
> and have changed various capacitors around the vco. also tried relacing the
> vco chip several times. if anyone has had a problem like this or similar or
> maybe just some advice to get me in the right direction i would appreciate
> the help.
> tom

246. My point has been made

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001202152505.09134.00002118@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61659

I am gonna sit back a while, chill out, and let you guys run your jaws. Have fun on the CB...I am sure some of you will make the newspapers...

Quietly chuckling

247. Re: My point has been made

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: ONE37 <ac137@iwvisp.com>
Message-ID: <t2ip7725m7r4c3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61660

Point not taken!!!

--
"...reality is a frame of mind. stupidity is a state of mind"

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001202152505.09134.00002118@ng-cf1.aol.com...
> I am gonna sit back a while, chill out, and let you guys run your jaws.
Have
> fun on the CB...I am sure some of you will make the newspapers...
>
> Quietly chuckling

248. Re: My point has been made

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: oneqck76ta@aol.comSpAmSuX
Message-ID: <20001202162326.10765.00002518@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 61661

>I am gonna sit back a while, chill out, and let you guys run your jaws. Have
>fun on the CB...I am sure some of you will make the newspapers...
>
>Quietly chuckling
>
>
>

And to think I was going to actually try and get my ham ticket. This guy has opened my eyes and I have decided not to because who would want to hangout and talk to a bunch of self centered idiots like him. I would like to thankyou. I think I will stick to my good old CB. Where everyone seem to get along just fine.

Later

oneqck76ta@aol.com
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta

You've got '459' out of Minneapolis Minnesota and i'm back quiet!

****Hippie Freak****
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta/cbradio

249. WTB: NONWORKING COBRA 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: exciting2001@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001202162814.22534.00002809@ng-bk1.aol.com>
Source record: 61662

If you have a nonworking Cobra 2000 or a Madison base, and want to sell it email me at Exciting2001@aol.com. Thank you.

250. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Broken Stator <stanley@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <a2qi2t4qb5pt3974b4tgi374rhbbgsfmpv@4ax.com>
Source record: 61663

On 01 Dec 2000 07:19:17 GMT, riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux (Andy in RI)
wrote:

>Give me a brake - giving 11 meters back to the hams would fail. There is too
>much 11 meter equipment out there for 11 meter operators to give it up. It's
>illegal to operate on the "freebands" but people still do it, so they would
>continue to operate on 11 meters. Would YOU wanna be the one to tell the
>millions of truck drivers across the country that they can no longer talk on
>that pretty Uniden Grant or Cobra 148?

Why? It seems to me that many of them are talking on the 10 meter
band. Perhaps the ARRL should petition to get the 10 meter band back.
I don't understand the attitudes of the HAMMIES and the CBERS as my
relatives didn't slogged their butts over here on perilous
transportation, fight the british, and gain their freedom to be
governed by despicable people such as the FCC heads and the
Klinton/Gorewhore team. Nobody needs to act like royalty here on this
side of the pond.
---
change cold to hot in email address
to reply

251. Re: My point has been made

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mr.Payback@eatshit.com
Message-ID: <csqi2tkil9unq3i63cattvt96d67dofdgl@4ax.com>
Source record: 61664

On 02 Dec 2000 20:25:04 GMT, n8wwm@aol.com (N8wwm) wrote:

>I am gonna sit back a while, chill out, and let you guys run your jaws. Have
>fun on the CB...I am sure some of you will make the newspapers...
>
>Quietly chuckling

Me too!!!!!

Since you are going to sit back for a while then you
should have plenty of time to take some calls or answer
some e-mail.

Work correspondence should be sent to:

Doug Adair doug_adair@amax.com AKA N8WWM
Amax Engineering Corporation
5136 N. Pearl St.
Schiller Park, IL 60176
888-810-2629 Ext. 106

Home correspondence can be sent to:

Douglas W. Adair, N8WWM
3009 N Oriole Ave
Chicago, IL 60707
USA

252. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A295CF1.654FF7B3@some.net>
Source record: 61665

tnom@mucks.net wrote:

>
> What chaos? I have yet to hear any great, often, or extreme 10
> meter interference that comes from within the expanded 11 meter
> band. When I do, the interference comes from outside the USA.

Almost every time I tune 10 meters I can hear the spurious emissions from another cb idiot who is running lots of power with some splatter box down on 11 meters.

>
>
> When there is 11 meter generated interference it interferes with
> 11 meters. How can this possibly cause any real concern to an
> amateur who has nothing good to say about 11 meters in the
> first place?

When it splatters up into the 10 meter band it is a concern of the amateur community.

>
>
> All this whining is more about jealousy than anything else.
> Jealousy of a large number of people enjoying something that
> they can not.

If overmodulated rigs, splattering signals from crappy amplifiers wiping out adjacent channels, talking over one another, foul mouth gutter language, and morons with an IQ of about half their age yelling aaaaauuuuuuuddddiiiiiiooooo!!!! for hours into the mic is your idea of enjoying radio, I don't think the hams have anything to be jealous of.

>
>
> If any ham has a problem with a 11 meter operator then they
> should take their complaint directly to the operator and stop
> whining to a newsgroup that doesn't have any ties to YOUR
> problem.

And just how is a ham going to do that if he doesn't operate 11 meters?

253. tubes fs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: n9tew BOB <tubes@internetbci.com>
Message-ID: <A2eW5.34962$IP1.1116920@news1.giganews.com>
Source record: 61666

Matched pairs of 6js6c tubes &12by7a driver 70.00 (35.00ea)
Matched pairs of ge 6jb6a or 6146w and 12by7a or 6cl6 driver 38.00 (19.00ea)

6lq6 35.00 6kd6 32.00 6lf6 40.00 6jg6 25.00 6kv6 35.00 30kd6 20.00
35lr6 15.00 24lq6 15.00 31lq6 15.00 12by7a 7.00 6gw8 5.00 6ba6 3.00
Used tubes 50% off
Other tubes are available
For further information www.internetbci.net/tubes
email tubes@internetbci.com

254. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A295F01.52B1241E@some.net>
Source record: 61667

tnom@mucks.net wrote:

>
> What chaos? I have yet to hear any great, often, or extreme 10
> meter interference that comes from within the expanded 11 meter
> band. When I do, the interference comes from outside the USA.

Almost every time I tune 10 meters I can hear the spurious emissions
from another
cb idiot who is running lots of power with some splatter box down on 11
meters.

>
>
> When there is 11 meter generated interference it interferes with
> 11 meters. How can this possibly cause any real concern to an
> amateur who has nothing good to say about 11 meters in the
> first place?

When it splatters up into the 10 meter band it is a concern of the amateur
community.

>
>
> All this whining is more about jealousy than anything else.
> Jealousy of a large number of people enjoying something that
> they can not.

If overmodulated rigs, splattering signals from crappy amplifiers wiping out
adjacent channels, talking over one another, foul mouth gutter language, and
morons with an IQ of about half their age yelling
aaaaauuuuuuuddddddiiiiiiooooo!!!! for hours into the mic is your idea of enjoying
radio, I don't think there is any reason for hams to be jealous. Jealous of what,
total chaos? Give me a break..

>
>
> If any ham has a problem with a 11 meter operator then they
> should take their complaint directly to the operator and stop
> whining to a newsgroup that doesn't have any ties to YOUR
> problem.

And why would a ham who doesn't operate 11 meters want to go to 11 meters to
argue with a moron who couldn't care less about his interference or illegal
operation.

255. Re: Missing The Big Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: nick250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001202165245.10053.00001740@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 61668

Scott, n8wwm is just trying to be a pain and deserved to be ignored. By his several statements about how he finds the whole the discussion about the new law as humerous and trying to bait you and others to use foul language I suspect he is a 12 or 13 year old. At least he is acting like one.

Nick

>Subject: Re: Missing The Big Picture
>From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" upplus@hotmail.com
>Date: 11/30/00 9:49 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <3A2711A7.C7FE9896@hotmail.com>
>
>
>
>N8wwm wrote:
>>
>> >You are now ILLEGAL! You of all people (and I use that term loosely)
>> >should know about the 250 Km limit to CB. You are the biggest
>> >hypocrite on this newsgroup. You make me laugh so hard, I almost
>> >pissed myself. Troll.
>> >
>>
>> How am I illegal, numbskull?
>> If I admit to doing it nearly 2o yrs ago?
>
>You have skeletons in your closet. Please swear at me, I know
>I'm pissing you off now.
>
>> Please swear at me...I like it.
>
>Where did I swear at you? I used no foul language.
>

256. Re: Piss Off KC8JBO!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A297589.9A04BA2D@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61669
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

What's your hard on with the CB group? I can come over here and
bother you, since I don't need a pocket protector to follow you
and make your life miserable. Just because I have embarrassed the
hell out of you, don't think I'm going to let you slink off into
the ham group without giving you a taste of your own medicine.

I won't send you any snail mail or email or telephone you, but
I will follow you around the NG's and make your pitiful existence
a nightmare. Of course, you can be a man and apologize to the masses...

N8wwm wrote:
>
> > Here is a FUN little thing you can do to REALLY PISS OFF KC8JBO,
> >hohohohoho!!!!!
>
> So, if I may ask...what's your hardon with the guy?

257. Re: HERE GOES ICEMAN!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: carriagecarwash@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001202173004.01796.00000375@ng-mc1.aol.com>
Source record: 61670

>Re: HERE GOES ICEMAN!!!!
>From: seanh378@aol.com (SeanH378)
>Date: 12/1/00 11:27 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20001202002732.06404.00002120@ng-xa1.aol.com>

>HEY 937, you just scared you won't get any talk time?
>
>ICEMAN ROLLIN" THIS SIX-WHEELER GONE!!!!

Well so long as you got snow on your roof i dont have to worry. On top of that..YOU GOT 3 MONTHS!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

258. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: ONE37 <ac137@iwvisp.com>
Message-ID: <t2iu3cf2r73efb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61671

Then find the c&@k sucker and silence his station! Quit sniveling and ACT!!! For Christ sake! All I see here in this here NG is a bunch of overaged, retired, boring f#@king HAMs who ain't got nothing to do but bitch and whine. An old Master Chief friend of mine once told me..."the best course of action is to act, but the best action in any situation could be no action at all." Instead of talking about it, do something! If not, shut up...

You're there in HAMland and I'm here in BFE almost 800-2000 miles away from you (depending where you're at) and there is no way I can create your so called sacred "spurious emission" at that distance. Its locally generated, over-modulated, maul-droppin' strappers (which more than likely are HAMS) that cause you such grief. I have none, no (CB terminology) "bleedover" from even my neighbor who is a little over a quarter of a mile away on 19 and I'm on 38lsb. And he's running hot! C'mon...

--
"...reality is a frame of mind. stupidity is a state of mind"

"JR" <jr@some.net> wrote in message news:3A295F01.52B1241E@some.net...
>
>
> tnom@mucks.net wrote:
>
> >
> > What chaos? I have yet to hear any great, often, or extreme 10
> > meter interference that comes from within the expanded 11 meter
> > band. When I do, the interference comes from outside the USA.
>
> Almost every time I tune 10 meters I can hear the spurious emissions
> from another
> cb idiot who is running lots of power with some splatter box down on 11
> meters.
>
> >
> >
> > When there is 11 meter generated interference it interferes with
> > 11 meters. How can this possibly cause any real concern to an
> > amateur who has nothing good to say about 11 meters in the
> > first place?
>
> When it splatters up into the 10 meter band it is a concern of the amateur
> community.
>
> >

> >
> > All this whining is more about jealousy than anything else.
> > Jealousy of a large number of people enjoying something that
> > they can not.
>
> If overmodulated rigs, splattering signals from crappy amplifiers wiping
out
> adjacent channels, talking over one another, foul mouth gutter language,
and
> morons with an IQ of about half their age yelling
> aaaaauuuuuuuddddiiiiiiooooo!!!! for hours into the mic is your idea of
enjoying
> radio, I don't think there is any reason for hams to be jealous. Jealous
of what,
> total chaos? Give me a break..
>
> >
> >
> > If any ham has a problem with a 11 meter operator then they
> > should take their complaint directly to the operator and stop
> > whining to a newsgroup that doesn't have any ties to YOUR
> > problem.
>
> And why would a ham who doesn't operate 11 meters want to go to 11 meters
to
> argue with a moron who couldn't care less about his interference or
illegal
> operation.
>

259. Re: My point has been made

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001202173701.09475.00001935@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61672

>n8wwm@aol.com (N8wwm) wrote:
>
>>I am gonna sit back a while, chill out, and let you guys run your jaws. Have
>>fun on the CB...I am sure some of you will make the newspapers...
>>
>>Quietly chuckling
>
>Me too!!!!!
>
>Since you are going to sit back for a while then you
>should have plenty of time to take some calls or answer
>some e-mail.
>
>
>Work correspondence should be sent to:
>
>Doug Adair doug_adair@amax.com AKA N8WWM
> Amax Engineering Corporation
> 5136 N. Pearl St.
> Schiller Park, IL 60176
> 888-810-2629 Ext. 106
>
>
>Home correspondence can be sent to:
>
>Douglas W. Adair, N8WWM
> 3009 N Oriole Ave
> Chicago, IL 60707
> USA
>

Yep. Anytime you want to. Come on over and visit too. Extra plate for dinner
aint no trouble.

260. Scottiekins at his best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001202174128.09475.00001936@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61673

Scottiekins blathered moronically:
>I will follow you around the NG's and make your pitiful existence
>a nightmare. Of course, you can be a man and apologize to the masses...
>
N8WWM, with his usual perfect perspective and shining eloquence stated:
Go ahead...it only proves my point. I could not care less.

261. recherche d'un duplexeur 144 Mhz 400Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Pascal <pascal.castano@wanadoo.fr>
Message-ID: <90bubfsed1@wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 61674

Bonjour je suis la recherche d'un duplexeur 144Mhz 400Mhz

Me repondre sur mon e-mail
Merci

pascal.castano@wanadoo.fr

262. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A296D1F.C3BC7F4E@some.net>
Source record: 61675

ONE37 wrote:

>
> You're there in HAMland and I'm here in BFE almost 800-2000 miles away from
> you (depending where you're at) and there is no way I can create your so
> called sacred "spurious emission" at that distance.

Another cber opens his mouth and spews his ignorance. That statement shows your complete lack of knowledge of radio signal propagation. Spurious emissions can propagate long distances just like any other signal.

263. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <90bv6d$s8e@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 61676

I don't believe many are worried. Our government and policing bodies have already demonstrated they can't control:

Illegal Aliens
Drugs
Speed Limits
Domestic Violence

and a host a hot of other far more serious and socially damaging crimes. Why? should anyone believe they will have time to worry about CB radios.

I am always amazed why anyone in this NG bothers to acknowledge a limited individual like yourself n8wwm but there is always fish willing to bite on any turd that floats by them.

I suggest that all the CBers with 10 M rigs start using the 10 meter band regularly and lets start taking our discussions to the ham boards. Time to let the Ham world know that there are ways for the misrepresented to fight back.

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001202134014.09164.00002043@ng-cf1.aol.com...
> Oh goodie, Sigmund Freud junior is analyzing me now. Well, as your dad said in
> his book, "sometimes a cigar is just a cigar".
> My kind? Do ya mean the kind that gets a big laugh outta all the paranoia on
> here and doesn't care if it rattles the illegal ops by saying so?
> Would I want to operate illegally? Nope.
> The only thing I am "emulating" here is the clowns who are worried. I just
> stuff it back in their faces. It is so damn funny to watch the roaches scurry
> when they even hear the words "bug killer".
> Your personal attack is humorous, silly, and fallacious.
>
> Get a grip, tnomiekins
> >You may not know N8WWN, but you know his kind. His type are
> >easily intimidated and made to feel inferior by CBer's, who he,
> >although maybe subconsciously, feel are superior to him.Therefore,
> >he re-directs his inferior feelings to a self-dilusional dislike for
> >the person/people who he actually admires and wants to emulate.
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

>

264. Re: Scottiekins at his best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2982AA.33571AA4@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61677
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Why are you afraid to post this the the amateur group as well as
RRCB? I knew you couldn't resist a chance to place an insulting
post to RRCB. Back to the name calling and insults. It's what you
do best. You should spend your time learning CW, since CB is evil.

N8wwm wrote:
>
> Scottiekins blathered moronically:
> >I will follow you around the NG's and make your pitiful existence
> >a nightmare. Of course, you can be a man and apologize to the masses...
> >
> N8WWM, with his usual perfect perspective and shining eloquence stated:
> Go ahead...it only proves my point. I could not care less.

265. Re: FRS Rules on antennas.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A298571.94FB4667@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61678

Handy Andy wrote:

> Been out on the 'net at www.spaceweather.com lookin' to see if
> anything bright in the sky is worth lightin' a fire for.

Don't forget:
http://www.sec.noaa.gov/SWN/

266. Re: My point has been made

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90c0sp$8f9$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 61679

Based on your thoughts, there are probably not many things you get to enjoy in life. Having been on this earth for over 60 years I can not think of a single group I have ever been a member of that didn't include at least 1 idiot, even if in many cases it was me. :>)

Never let anyone else set your standards or goals, invariable they will set them too low!

"Hippie Freak" <oneqck76ta@aol.comSpAmSuX> wrote in message news:20001202162326.10765.00002518@ng-> And to think I was going to actually try and get my ham ticket. This guy has
> opened my eyes and I have decided not to because who would want to hangout and
> talk to a bunch of self centered idiots like him. I would like to thankyou. I
> think I will stick to my good old CB. Where everyone seem to get along just

267. Re: My point has been made

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A299711.98EA14C1@oio.net>
Source record: 61680

N8wwm wrote:
>
> I am gonna sit back a while, chill out, and let you guys run your jaws. Have
> fun on the CB...I am sure some of you will make the newspapers...
>
> Quietly chuckling

Doug,
You ought to be embarrassed, 'cuz your sure an embarrassment...
'Doc

268. Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers vs Ham skum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Little <dalite01@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <90c5k4sbv1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 61681

I think we are in complete agreement with the overall situation. I have used other terms to come to the same end point. The ARRL is the biggest stumbling block to the future of Ham radio.

In the contest of the original post, it does put it solidly on the back of the ARRL; not the ITU or IARU. The sooner that the intereference runners among the Ham ranks can admit to this, the sooner we may be able to revive the ARS as a viable hobby and asset to communications worthy of the spectrum rights it currently has. The loss of spectrum is a serious issue, and most Hams refuse to acknowledge it as a possibility; even when the reality of it strikes them in the face.

More responses below.....

Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message news:FpcW5.974$HO4.219445@nntp3.onemain.com...
>
> "David Little" <dalite01@sprynet.com> wrote in message
> news:90bga2$cr2$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Dick,
> >
> > I agree on the ITU / IARU comparison and representation. However, in
> light
> > of the Armed Services, Coast Guard and other organizations with
> > international affiliations having already dropped CW in their bag of
> tricks,
> > it does kind of fly in the face of the ITU requirement argument, doesn't
> it?
>
> No, as I noted it is part of the definition of the Amateur Service. No
> other service ever did have mode specific definitions.
>

Thank you; this further defends my original post that this reply stemmed from

> >
> > My flawed way of thinking tells me that if large representatives of the
> > United Stated whose scope of operation carries them into the ITU
> > jurisdiction, and they have already dismissed the ITU requirement for
> Morse,
> > something must be up. This was not an overnight coup.
>
> Again, only the Amateur Service has or ever had a requirement like that.
>

And Again, I appreciate the confirmation. I was trying to make this point to another Ham here, and thought I was going in the right direction with my original reply; which he disputed on this point.

> >
> > Either I am apparently missing something here, or our Armed Forces and
> other
> > Governmental branches are obviously trying to stir up some trouble with
> the
> > ITU.
>

> Actually quite opposite, the brie and white parties at the French suite
are
> legendary as are the Stilton bashes at the British delegation.
>
> >
> > This blatant action obviously overshadows the influence that the
opinions
> of
> > the Ham community concerning the subject quoting regulations that our
own
> > government has gone to great length to defy with much forethought and
> > apparent malice.
> >
> > Something isn't right with this picture.......
> >

>
> What is not right with the picture is the rather odd situation where the
> very definition of the Amateur Service includes knowlege of Morse Code.
It
> applies to no other service.
>

This is the epitome of the problem, and contains the essence of the solution. Thanks for the confirmations.

It is a real shame that we as hams have to defend potential future hams against the acceptance of hogwash spewed forth by others in the rank of our fraternity; the same fraternal order that by the Amateurs Creed are supposed to be ambassadors of good will.

I enjoy the CB NewsGroup, as you know from our past correspondence, for my quest knowledge on AM techniques to eventually apply to a vintage 6m AM station. Having said that, I feel like I am a referee at a sandbox, dispatched to keep teenagers from rubbing sand in the eyes of gradeschoolers. I say that only to reference the low handed blows passed here by other hams; not necessarily to reflect the difference in technical prowess of those in the CB community. I have certainly heard more in-depth technical possibilities discussed here that are germane to my interest than in the ham newsgroups I also read.

73,

David

269. Re: My point has been made

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A299F1A.E1A59785@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61682
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Is that to be considered an admission of guilt or an apology?

Ramirez327948198 wrote:
>
> >
> >Knock it off, Doug. You're shooting fish in a barrel and coming off like a
> >putz.
> >
> >
N8wwm wrote:
>
> OK, OK...
>
> But hey in this case, being a putz was sure funny.

270. Re: Bill Clinton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A299F15.FF284652@qnet.com>
Source record: 61683

Hello Jeffrey: Do you think anybody believes anything you say, after all your trolling, snotty and unfriendly postings you have left in rec.radio.cb and in rec.radio.amateur.misc?

I think not. You might try to sharpen your human being skills by doing a little studying in "I am real human being 101", ah? It certainly appears that your cup of ego runth over.

Jay in the Great Mojave Desert, ... just down the road ah ways from the fillin station.

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay) <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >Still whining and trolling. Go ahead and call me some more names and
> >fling some more insults. You're great entertainment. I know your peers
> >are howling with laughter AT you now.
>
> Hi Scott -- I agree fully with N8WWN. Anything that helps to reduce
> the lawlessness in this nation is fully supported by the vast majority
> of us.
>
> Take care,
> Jeff KH6O
>
> >N8wwn wrote:
> >> The CB bill is the greatest thing he ever did.

271. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ipo Camacho <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t2jm7ii74bareb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61684

Are you absolutely sure that such ignorance hath spewed out these here mouth? Spurious emissions, harmonics, and intermod products can be caused by a number of factors. And not all are from CB. Propagate? Yeah. Propagation from a passing satellite. JR? Stop...

--

"...reality is a frame of mind. stupidity is a state of mind"

-remove NOSPAM from address to reply-
"JR" <jr@some.net> wrote in message news:3A296D1F.C3BC7F4E@some.net...
>
>
> ONE37 wrote:
>
> >
> > You're there in HAMland and I'm here in BFE almost 800-2000 miles away from
> > you (depending where you're at) and there is no way I can create your so
> > called sacred "spurious emission" at that distance.
>
> Another cber opens his mouth and spews his ignorance. That statement shows your
> complete lack of knowledge of radio signal propagation. Spurious emissions can
> propagate long distances just like any other signal.
>

272. Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers vs Ham skum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <S%kW5.925$Y4.322689@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 61685

"David Little" <dalite01@sprynet.com> wrote in message
news:90c5k4sbv1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> I think we are in complete agreement with the overall situation. I have
> used other terms to come to the same end point. The ARRL is the biggest
> stumbling block to the future of Ham radio.
>
> In the contest of the original post, it does put it solidly on the back of
> the ARRL; not the ITU or IARU. The sooner that the intereference runners
> among the Ham ranks can admit to this, the sooner we may be able to revive
> the ARS as a viable hobby and asset to communications worthy of the
spectrum
> rights it currently has. The loss of spectrum is a serious issue, and
most
> Hams refuse to acknowledge it as a possibility; even when the reality of
it
> strikes them in the face.
>
> More responses below.....
>
> Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message
> news:FpcW5.974$HO4.219445@nntp3.onemain.com...
> >
> > "David Little" <dalite01@sprynet.com> wrote in message
> > news:90bga2$cr2$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > Dick,
> > >
> > > I agree on the ITU / IARU comparison and representation. However, in
> > light
> > > of the Armed Services, Coast Guard and other organizations with
> > > international affiliations having already dropped CW in their bag of
> > tricks,
> > > it does kind of fly in the face of the ITU requirement argument,
doesn't
> > it?
> >
> > No, as I noted it is part of the definition of the Amateur Service. No
> > other service ever did have mode specific definitions.
> >
>
> Thank you; this further defends my original post that this reply stemmed
> from
>
> > >
> > > My flawed way of thinking tells me that if large representatives of

the
> > > United Stated whose scope of operation carries them into the ITU
> > > jurisdiction, and they have already dismissed the ITU requirement for
> > Morse,
> > > something must be up. This was not an overnight coup.
> > >
> > Again, only the Amateur Service has or ever had a requirement like that.
> > >
>
> And Again, I appreciate the confirmation. I was trying to make this point
> to another Ham here, and thought I was going in the right direction with
my
> original reply; which he disputed on this point.
>
> > >
> > > Either I am apparently missing something here, or our Armed Forces and
> > other
> > > Governmental branches are obviously trying to stir up some trouble
with
> > the
> > > ITU.
> > >
>
> > Actually quite opposite, the brie and white parties at the French suite
> are
> > legendary as are the Stilton bashes at the British delegation.
> > >
> > > >
> > > This blatant action obviously overshadows the influence that the
> opinions
> > of
> > > the Ham community concerning the subject quoting regulations that our
> own
> > > government has gone to great length to defy with much forethought and
> > > apparent malice.
> > > >
> > > Something isn't right with this picture.......
> > > >
>
> > >
> > What is not right with the picture is the rather odd situation where the
> > very definition of the Amateur Service includes knowlege of Morse Code.
> It
> > applies to no other service.
> > >
>
> This is the epitome of the problem, and contains the essence of the
> solution. Thanks for the confirmations.
>
> It is a real shame that we as hams have to defend potential future hams

> against the acceptance of hogwash spewed forth by others in the rank of
our
> fraternity; the same fraternal order that by the Amateurs Creed are
supposed
> to be ambassadors of good will.
>
> I enjoy the CB NewsGroup, as you know from our past correspondence, for my
> quest knowledge on AM techniques to eventually apply to a vintage 6m AM
> station. Having said that, I feel like I am a referee at a sandbox,
> dispatched to keep teenagers from rubbing sand in the eyes of
> gradeschoolers. I say that only to reference the low handed blows passed
> here by other hams; not necessarily to reflect the difference in technical
> prowess of those in the CB community. I have certainly heard more
in-depth
> technical possibilities discussed here that are germane to my interest
than
> in the ham newsgroups I also read.
>
> 73,
>
> David

Seabag lawyering has been part of the hobby forever. The biggest problem the ARS faces is the decline of occupations in which a journeyman's knowledge is sufficient. Your local TV man may well have been an amateur, the DX he worked was more than likely an engineer for Radio Slobovia or RCA Globecom, and so on. Kept it down to a gentle ladylike belch.

So also was a pecking order and the games that go therewith. But the major problem is the lack of first-rate junque.

Dick

273. N8WWM has no LIFE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <90crvl$c1s4$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 61686

N8WWM, has no life. At least he's not prejudice to just CBers, he talks shit on the Amateur group as well. Out of all he's post there is only 10% that actually contribute to the hobbies. Stop wasting your time arguing with him, by the judging of his past history he feeds on the HATE AND DISCONTENT. Dont give him what he thrives on.

KRS

A snip of his masturbating intellectual conversations with his fellow HAMS_____
_____

HE NC
The man states the obvious...the obvious is not libel.
What you could do, to just shut it all down at once, is get the hell off of here with all your nonsense and pathetic, immature attention grabbing attempts.

No one on here cares if you are upset. That is because you are haughty and you are a liar. These components of your character have been amply displayed. They are also things that tend to limit an individual's acceptance by a group. Since you are a PhD, use your noodle and figure it out.

In plain english, GROW UP.

>> Then Jim, what right do you have to
try to bias folks INTO that opinion,
>as
>> opposed to letting them make up their OWN minds? What 'jolly' do you get
>making
>> a DELIBERATELY hateful statement towards a stranger?
>>
>> NC
>

If this is such a killer antenna why doesn't every ham scramble and build one now?

By the way...Arnie Coro is a better man than anyone needing to post stupid comments about an antenna no one gives a damn about in a news group.

Bottom Line: No one really cares about your bullshit, Chip. Take it to the ARRL and see if you get published in any real sense. Better yet...why dont you go to one of the publishers that let you publish anything you want as long as you pay all the costs? That way your great grandchildren can curse you as they haul all ten thousand copies that never sold out of your attic

when you croak.

I think you, your cohorts AND your critics all oughta get a life. Go play with your radios, quit spreading your sewage, shut up, grow up, be amateur radio operators.

The subject, the attitudes, the whole thing...utterly goddamn useless. Use some of that intelligence that gave you the ability to earn a PhD and accept it sometime soon...maybe after a long few minutes of looking in a mirror.

<< previous in search • next in search >>

I am just weary of the BS.
My dad<RIP> used to say.."It just makes my ass tired"

When some of the posters to this NG become assets to it, as many others are, we will all enjoy it more.

Anyone who defends themself to me is wasting time. I don't care what YOU do...I care about the newsgroup. I suggest all of the whining be moved to the telephone...or better yet...choose your weapons, start back to back, take 8 paces, turn, aim , fire.
We have new people here who need help...why dont the fussers take out time and make ONE constructive post to a newbie or some other inquiry?
OR...You could start your own NG and call it rec.radio.amateur.whinebabies

Date Subject Forum Author
12/03/2000 Re: My point has been made rec.radio.cb N8wwm
12/03/2000 Re: My point has been made rec.radio.cb N8wwm
12/01/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
12/01/2000 Re: ARRL News RE:illegal CB rec.radio.cb N8wwm
12/01/2000 Re: Question on proposal to rec.radio.cb N8wwm
12/01/2000 Re: The CB guys are going cr alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
12/01/2000 6 meter NW Ohio SSB net alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
12/01/2000 Re: Clinton Signs Bill on Il rec.radio.cb N8wwm
12/01/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
12/01/2000 hams taking 11 meters back rec.radio.cb N8wwm
12/01/2000 YES!! L.A. kicks BSA out of rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
12/01/2000 Re: Machine-Gun like noise o rec.radio.cb N8wwm
12/01/2000 Re: Don't Despair get your h rec.radio.cb N8wwm
12/01/2000 Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers rec.radio.cb N8wwm
12/01/2000 Re: hams taking 11 meters ba rec.radio.cb N8wwm
12/01/2000 Re: hams taking 11 meters ba rec.radio.cb N8wwm
12/01/2000 off the topic but funny as h rec.radio.cb N8wwm
12/01/2000 Re: off the topic but funny rec.radio.cb N8wwm
12/01/2000 Re: hams taking 11 meters ba rec.radio.cb N8wwm
12/01/2000 Re: The CB guys are going cr alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
12/01/2000 Re: off the topic but funny rec.radio.cb N8wwm
12/01/2000 Re: hams taking 11 meters ba rec.radio.cb N8wwm
12/01/2000 Re: off the topic but funny rec.radio.cb N8wwm
12/01/2000 Re: The CB guys are going cr alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
12/01/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm

Date Subject Forum Author
12/02/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
12/02/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
12/02/2000 Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers rec.radio.cb N8wwm
12/02/2000 Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers rec.radio.cb N8wwm
12/02/2000 Re: hams taking 11 meters ba rec.radio.cb N8wwm
12/02/2000 Re: hams taking 11 meters ba rec.radio.cb N8wwm
12/02/2000 Bottom line on CB enforcemen rec.radio.cb N8wwm
12/02/2000 Re: The big take over rec.radio.cb N8wwm
12/02/2000 Bill Clinton is my hero rec.radio.cb N8wwm
12/02/2000 My point has been made rec.radio.cb N8wwm
12/02/2000 Re: Piss Off KC8JBO!!! rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
12/02/2000 Re: My point has been made rec.radio.cb N8wwm
12/02/2000 Scottiekins at his best rec.radio.cb N8wwm
12/01/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
11/30/2000 Re: CB crackdown issue rec.radio.cb N8wwm
11/30/2000 Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers rec.radio.cb N8wwm
11/30/2000 Re: Don't Despair get your h rec.radio.cb N8wwm
11/29/2000 Re: Dummy Loads - What liqui rec.radio.cb N8wwm
11/29/2000 Re: CB crackdown issue rec.radio.cb N8wwm
11/29/2000 Re: Clinton Signs Bill on Il rec.radio.cb N8wwm
11/29/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
11/29/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
11/29/2000 Re: Hams don't be fooled by rec.radio.cb N8wwm
11/29/2000 Re: Don't Despair get your h rec.radio.cb N8wwm
11/29/2000 Re: DISCUSSION: IS HAM RADIO alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
11/30/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
11/30/2000 Re: Don't Despair get your h rec.radio.cb N8wwm
11/30/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
11/30/2000 Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers rec.radio.cb N8wwm
11/30/2000 ARRL News RE:illegal CB BILL rec.radio.cb N8wwm
11/30/2000 ARRL News RE:illegal CB BILL rec.radio.cb N8wwm
11/30/2000 The CB guys are going crazy alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
11/30/2000 Re: HR2346 : hams beware rec.radio.cb N8wwm
11/30/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
11/30/2000 Twistedhed-smoke and mirrors rec.radio.cb N8wwm
11/30/2000 Re: Power Supply Question rec.radio.cb N8wwm
11/30/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
11/28/2000 Fracky Freaky rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
11/28/2000 CB crackdown issue rec.radio.cb N8wwm
11/28/2000 Re: Dummy Loads - What liqui rec.radio.cb N8wwm
11/27/2000 Clinton Signs Bill on Illega rec.radio.cb N8wwm
11/26/2000 Re: Clinton Signs Bill on Il rec.radio.cb N8wwm
11/26/2000 Re: Clinton Signs Bill on Il rec.radio.cb N8wwm
11/25/2000 Nathan Cohen changes thread rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
11/25/2000 Re: Clinton Signs Bill on Il rec.radio.cb N8wwm
11/18/2000 Re: Jesse "Rent A Riot" Jack rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
11/18/2000 Re: AR-33 & AR-40 Rotators- rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
11/18/2000 Re: CB to 10m alt.ham-radio.mods N8wwm
11/18/2000 Re: Which HT is BEST please? alt.ham-radio.mods N8wwm

11/18/2000 Re: Discone or Ground Plane? alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
11/23/2000 Re: Democrats and Republican rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
11/22/2000 Re: radio newbie alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
11/22/2000 Re: ARRL Board to Consider M rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
11/22/2000 Re: Anyone use the HF/VHF/UH rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
11/22/2000 Democrats and Republicans ar rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
11/21/2000 Re: radio newbie alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
11/21/2000 Antique CB setup For sale rec.radio.cb N8wwm
11/21/2000 Re: Coax Kid Washed Up? rec.radio.cb N8wwm
11/07/2000 N8WWM ON PSK31 FROM EN81 alt.ham-radio.marketp N8wwm
11/07/2000 N8WWM on PSK 31 TONIGHT alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
11/07/2000 N8WWM on PSK31 Tonight! rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
11/07/2000 N8WWM ON PSK31 TONIGHT rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
11/07/2000 Re: New Radio Shack HF/6m Ri rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
11/06/2000 Re: HY-GAIN TAILTWISTER & A rec.radio.swap N8wwm
11/06/2000 Re: HY-GAIN TAILTWISTER & A rec.radio.swap N8wwm
11/05/2000 Re: Got the MFJ259 Analyzer, rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
11/05/2000 Re: VHF UHF SWR Meters alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
11/05/2000 Re: Need Mod for FT 902D Yae alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
11/05/2000 Al Gore and LOVE STORY rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
11/05/2000 Bush: A DRUNK in the Whiteho rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
11/05/2000 NEW MESSAGE ABOUT CLINTON..L rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
11/03/2000 Re: h a t r e d DID THIS.... rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
11/02/2000 Re: Bye Bye Herbie! rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
11/02/2000 Re: WTB: 440 Handheld 5 watt rec.radio.swap N8wwm
11/01/2000 Re: 2N3771 replacement? rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
11/12/2000 Re: NO Code - No HF alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
11/12/2000 Re: NO Code alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
11/12/2000 Re: call to arms rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
11/12/2000 Re: call to arms rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
11/12/2000 Re: The lies of N9GSU rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
11/12/2000 Re: Ham threatens to kill K1 rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
11/08/2000 Re: Need a Rotor or service? rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
11/08/2000 Re: Replacement for MRF475 a rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
11/08/2000 Re: 2N3771 replacement? rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
11/07/2000 N8WWM on PSK 31 Tonight rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
11/07/2000 N8WWM on PSK31 tonight...6 m rec.radio.swap N8wwm
11/07/2000 Hardline and connectors for alt.ham-radio.marketp N8wwm
11/07/2000 1 and 5/8'' hardline, connec alt.ham-radio.mods N8wwm
11/07/2000 NEW HARDLINE FOR SALE CHEAP. alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
11/07/2000 NEW HARDLINE FOR SALE CHEAP rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
11/07/2000 NEW HARDLINE FOR SALE CHEAP rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
11/07/2000 New Hardline for sale cheap rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
11/07/2000 Hardline for sale cheap! goo rec.radio.swap N8wwm
10/22/2000 Re: Wanted alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
10/22/2000 Re: ft 102 cb mod details re alt.ham-radio.mods N8wwm
10/20/2000 Re: Nixon's Last Election alt.history N8wwm
10/19/2000 Re: Nixon's Last Election alt.history N8wwm
10/19/2000 Re: Help for a history resea alt.history N8wwm
10/19/2000 Re: Misconception 101: Hitle alt.history N8wwm

10/19/2000 Re: Misconception 101: Hitle alt.history N8wwm
07/17/2000 Re: FS SB-200 mod for 6 mtrs alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
10/31/2000 ICOM IC255A Manual needed... alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
10/31/2000 WANTED: ICOM IC 2400A MANUAL alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
10/31/2000 Re: 6m Beam or Vertical? alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
10/24/2000 Re: Where to start on 6M/HF? rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
10/23/2000 Re: Where to start on 6M/HF? rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
09/28/2000 Re: CD ROM - program for set alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
09/28/2000 PRESS RELEASE: NEW ANTENNA B rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
09/07/2000 Re: ICOM IC-28A HELP!!!!!!!! alt.ham-radio.marketp N8wwm
09/07/2000 Re: $1000 FRACTAL ANTENNA CH rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
09/07/2000 Re: Any Studs Wanna Fuck My rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
09/07/2000 Re: PUBLIC NOTICE rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
09/07/2000 Re: PUBLIC NOTICE rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
08/23/2000 Re: You Know You're an Anten rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
08/23/2000 Re: Another perspective RE: rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
08/22/2000 Another perspective RE: Frac rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
08/20/2000 Re: PUBLIC NOTICE: CEASE AND rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
08/20/2000 Re: PUBLIC NOTICE: CEASE AND rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
08/18/2000 Re: I need some help alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
07/31/2000 Re: How to build an ISOTRON rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
07/31/2000 All the infighting: a perspe rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
07/23/2000 Re: htx-245 looking for a po alt.ham-radio.mods N8wwm
07/23/2000 Re: htx-245 looking for a po alt.ham-radio.mods N8wwm
07/21/2000 Re: htx-245 looking for a po alt.ham-radio.mods N8wwm
07/21/2000 Re: Quad design web site? rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
09/07/1999 WTB GONSET 6 METER AMP rec.radio.swap N8wwm
09/06/1999 Re: ADI vendors w/ good retu rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
09/04/1999 Re: J-Pole J-Pole J-Pole Nee alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
08/31/1999 Re: ham 4 indicator pot need rec.radio.swap N8wwm
08/31/1999 Re: Help with 6m antenna! rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
08/31/1999 Re: Another "ham slamming "p rec.radio.cb N8wwm
08/31/1999 Re: Modification to Siltroni rec.radio.cb N8wwm
08/29/1999 Re: FS Icom 2400 dual band rec.radio.swap N8wwm
08/29/1999 Re: ham radio kits rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
08/02/1999 Re: Wanted: pin layout of MR alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
08/01/1999 For sale: Icom 575-A rec.radio.swap N8wwm
07/28/1999 Re: Help with making a dummy rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
07/28/1999 Re: Wanted: pin layout of MR rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
09/13/1999 Re: Question: Microphones us rec.radio.swap N8wwm
08/20/1999 COMPUTER STUFF...build yers rec.radio.swap N8wwm
08/19/1999 Re: No Code Is Citizen's Ban rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
08/16/1999 Re: Want Pentium motherboard rec.radio.swap N8wwm
08/16/1999 Re: F.S. Ham and Audio Gear rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
08/14/1999 Re: VHF/TV Antenna Rotator F alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
08/14/1999 Re: +++FS:VHF 350 Watt RF PA rec.radio.swap N8wwm
08/13/1999 Re: ICOM 706 question??? rec.radio.swap N8wwm
08/08/1999 Wanted CLEAN ICOM IC2AT rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
08/08/1999 WANT CLEAN ICOM 02AT rec.radio.swap N8wwm
08/06/1999 Re: 6m antenna question rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm

08/06/1999 WANTED ICOM 02-AT rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
06/25/1999 COMPUTERS AND COMPONENTS DIR alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
06/25/1999 computer parts and systems f rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
06/25/1999 Computer parts CHEAP by a bi rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
06/25/1999 computer parts dirt cheap by rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
06/25/1999 Computer stuff dirt cheap fr rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
06/25/1999 Re: "RETEST THE RETARDS" rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
06/25/1999 Computer stuff dirt cheap fr rec.radio.swap N8wwm
06/23/1999 12 pin compactron tube socke rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
06/23/1999 Re: Linear amps rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
06/20/1999 VHF ANTENNAS FOR SALE...good alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
06/20/1999 vhf antennas...for sale good rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
06/20/1999 VHF yagis and jpoles...cheap rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
06/20/1999 Vhf antennas...Yagis and jpo rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
06/20/1999 VHF antennas...yagis and jpo rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
06/20/1999 for sale...vhf antennas...ch rec.radio.swap N8wwm
08/24/1999 Re: FLASH!! RADIO SCAMMER KI rec.radio.swap N8wwm
08/23/1999 Re: No Code Is Citizen's Ban rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
08/23/1999 O4AT trade proposal... rec.radio.cb N8wwm
08/22/1999 Re: Fair Radio Sales? rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
08/22/1999 Re: 6 Meter question rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
08/21/1999 Re: No Code Is Citizen's Ban rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
08/21/1999 Re: No Code Is Citizen's Ban rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
08/21/1999 Re: No Code Is Citizen's Ban rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
08/21/1999 Re: No Code Is Citizen's Ban rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
08/20/1999 COMPUTER STUFF...build yers rec.radio.swap N8wwm
08/26/1999 Re: FS: Bird Slugs 2500B rec.radio.swap N8wwm
08/26/1999 Re: FS: Bird Slugs 2500B rec.radio.swap N8wwm
08/26/1999 Re: LOOKING FOR ANY MODS ALI rec.radio.swap N8wwm
08/26/1999 For Sale..RARE SSB CB TRC 45 rec.radio.cb N8wwm
08/26/1999 Re: CDE TR-44 pinout needed rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
08/25/1999 Want Icom 2400H rec.radio.swap N8wwm
08/24/1999 Re: stacking antennas rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
08/24/1999 Re: How much power is needed rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
08/24/1999 Re: No Code Is Citizen's Ban rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
08/24/1999 For sale: A GREAT solid stat rec.radio.cb N8wwm
08/24/1999 Re: WTB TRC-458 Navaho rec.radio.cb N8wwm
08/24/1999 Re: How much power is needed rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
07/19/1999 Re: FS: Affordable 10m & 2m rec.radio.swap N8wwm
07/17/1999 Re: FS: UHF Linear AMP 100W rec.radio.swap N8wwm
07/17/1999 Re: FS Ebay: Radio Shack HTX rec.radio.swap N8wwm
07/11/1999 Re: Wanted: I need MRF-245 o rec.radio.swap N8wwm
07/09/1999 Re: coax antenna lead rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
07/09/1999 Re: needed: easy 6 meter yag rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
07/09/1999 Re: Alliance antenna rotor h rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
07/08/1999 Re: Wanted 40CH CB Base am/s rec.radio.swap N8wwm
07/08/1999 Re: FS:Odds and Ends rec.radio.swap N8wwm
07/08/1999 Yaesu FT 890 hf rig for sale rec.radio.swap N8wwm
08/03/2000 Re: All the infighting: a pe rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
07/31/2000 Re: Matching & Feeding 2 7 e rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm

07/31/2000 Re: Realistic HTX-202 & 404 alt.ham-radio.mods N8wwm
07/19/1999 Re: ny schematics for a tube rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
07/19/1999 Re: How 6 meters these days rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
06/09/1999 Re: ??? Has Norm's Rotor???? rec.radio.swap N8wwm
06/08/1999 FS:VHF ANTENNAS rec.radio.swap N8wwm
06/08/1999 FS VHF ANTENNAS! CHEAP!!! rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
06/08/1999 FS VHF ANTENNAS...CHEAP! <AN rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
06/08/1999 Re: WTB NICE ham 4 rotor rec.radio.swap N8wwm
06/08/1999 FOR SALE...Cheap and GOOD 2 alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
06/08/1999 FS CHEAP AND GOOD 2 METER AN rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
06/08/1999 FS VHF antennas...GOOD AND C rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
06/07/1999 Re: wanted yagi design progr rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
06/07/1999 Re: WTB: Rhon 25 rotor shelf rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
06/07/1999 Re: WTB: Ham-II, III, or IV rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
05/19/1999 Re: Homebew Yagis alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
08/04/2000 Re: All the infighting: a pe rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
05/14/1999 Re: 6 M antenna rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
05/14/1999 Re: 2 meter 6 element Antenn rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
05/14/1999 Re: WTB: CDE "BIG TALK" Roto rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
03/29/1999 Re: 6m antenna ? rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
03/29/1999 Re: What's better for 2m bas rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
03/29/1999 Re: Homebrew 6 meter amplifi rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
03/29/1999 Re: 10M beam rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
03/14/1999 Re: Need Info For Project: C rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
03/03/1999 Re: Antennas rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
03/03/1999 Re: Antennas for sale...Chic rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
04/05/1999 Re: 10M beam rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
04/05/1999 Re: alarm foil antennas rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
04/05/1999 Re: gamma matching rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
04/05/1999 Re: LOOKING FOR ELMER!! alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
03/29/1999 Re: How can I homebrew a 2 m rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm

274. N8wwm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <90csjg$57qa$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 61687

Might this be the root of your frustrations against CBers? Wouldnt you say N8wwm ?

KRS

Snip>>

Subject: Re: No Code Is Citizen's Band
Date: 08/24/1999
Author: N8wwm <n8wwm@aol.com>

<< previous in search • next in search >>

No Flames....

Just everybody go fire up the rig and start contactin, fer chrissake...

275. Eric Aube

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <90ctem$2kh0$2@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 61688

Here N8wwm aka Eric Aube is looking for MODS to tweak and peak his little Cobra 18, tsk tsk N8wwm.

Can you spell HIPOCRITE??????????????????????

KRS

I have a factory reconditionned Cobra 18 Ultra WeatherBand CB Radio and I'd like to know if there are any modifications I can do on it (like boosting input or output wattage.. etc)

thanks!
Eric

276. Eric Aube

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <90ctio$p5a$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 61689

No Doug, Eric, or what ever you name is, just a little ol CBer wanting to learn a thing or to. Would you Elmer me Good Buddy, breaker breaker :)

KRS

snip>>
>
>From: Eric Aube >
>Date: Fri, 18 June 1999 02:56 PM EDT
>Message-id: <376A966C.F46AF5C@hotmail.com>
>
>I'm looking for plans to make a linear amp. If there is somebody that
>knows where I can find those, please tell me.
>
>Thanks
>Eric
>
>Eric...are you a ham?
>
>
>
>
>

277. N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <90cs44$3ahs$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 61690

Would somebody in Chicago pay this guy a visit?

KRS

278. N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <90csdk$3t82$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 61691

Maybe this guy would take his own advise some day. Your pops is probably
rolling over in his grave at your present demeanor wouldn't you say N8WWM?

KRS

snip>>
>>>>>>>>>
I am just weary of the BS.
My dad<RIP> used to say.."It just makes my ass tired"

When some of the posters to this NG become assets to it, as many others are,
we will all enjoy it more.

Anyone who defends themself to me is wasting time. I don't care what YOU
do...I care about the newsgroup. I suggest all of the whining be moved to
the telephone...or better yet...choose your weapons, start back to back,
take 8 paces, turn, aim , fire.
We have new people here who need help...why dont the fussers take out time
and make ONE constructive post to a newbie or some other inquiry?
OR...You could start your own NG and call it rec.radio.amateur.whinebabies

N8WWM

279. N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <90csog$dvma$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 61692

Hey CBers contact Doug in Chicago for Mods all the latest mods. What a
Hipocrite...............

KRS

SNIP>>>
go to www.mods.dk They have zillions of equip mods.

Also on qrz.com

73 Doug

280. N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:00:00 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <90ctrk$16uq$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 61693

Maybe this guy would take his own advise some day. Your pops is probably
rolling over in his grave at your present demeanor wouldn't you say N8WWM?

KRS

snip>>
>>>>>>>>>
I am just weary of the BS.
My dad<RIP> used to say.."It just makes my ass tired"

When some of the posters to this NG become assets to it, as many others are,
we will all enjoy it more.

Anyone who defends themself to me is wasting time. I don't care what YOU
do...I care about the newsgroup. I suggest all of the whining be moved to
the telephone...or better yet...choose your weapons, start back to back,
take 8 paces, turn, aim , fire.
We have new people here who need help...why dont the fussers take out time
and make ONE constructive post to a newbie or some other inquiry?
OR...You could start your own NG and call it rec.radio.amateur.whinebabies

N8WWM

281. Re: Missing The Big Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:06:11 UTC
From: bendowersagain@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001201190611.03975.00002479@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 61602

>Jerry Oxendine joxendi@bellsouth.net said

>the law is on my side,

The law is never on our side. Law by its very nature is restrictive,
controlling, bias, and very unfair to the majority in order to protect the few
" weak".

> it might be funny to watch 'em squirm

It's not likely you will ever see a cop squirm in court.

> this is going to cause trouble for
>innocent CBers and licensed hams alike--legal or not.

Correct.

>They should've given the money back to FCC and let THEM do the
>job.

No funds were allocated, so no money may be given back as you say.

> It is a bad thing, which, I fear, some of
>you who are snickering up your sleeves may find out later.

I agree Jerry.

282. Re: Hams don't be fooled by your sense of security

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:11:43 UTC
From: bendowersagain@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001201191143.03975.00002480@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 61603

>w8ron w8ron@stratos.net Said

>everal cities have lost their ass to this mandate and by bullying amateur
>operators

>city officials
>usually immune to procesicution nornally were warned that they could be held
>personally responsible and nearly served time for their antics.

So, to be above the law, all I need to do is become Ham!

283. Newbie Q about 'deadkey' and 'swing' .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:16:12 UTC
From: mtngrlscityboy@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001201191612.28822.00002378@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 61604

All,

What is meant by a radio having 3 watts deadkey with 20 watts swing?

TIA,

Anthony

284. Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers vs Ham skum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 00:29:50 UTC
From: bendowersagain@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001201192950.03975.00002481@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 61605

>Bill Nelson billn@spock.peak.org said

>I also would prefer to see the CB band opened up to about 27.75 MHz
>and have the restrictions on amplifiers and DX eliminated. To go with
>this, I would want to see the requirement that amplifiers be type
>accepted.
>

At last a sign of intelligence.

285. Re: Ranger AR-3500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 01:24:37 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <pbYV5.337970$JS3.51238808@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 61606

Probably made the mistake of stating that it would cover 11M

Jeff Mayner wrote in message ...
>Damn, the eBay police are quick!
>
>Jeff
>
>"marc" <marc@shoppersplace.com> wrote in message
>news:3A25C61A.3DEA6639@shoppersplace.com...
>> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=514284359
>>
>
>

286. Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers vs Ham skum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 01:32:35 UTC
From: mutanttv@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001201203235.08750.00002563@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 61607

<< >Bill Nelson billn@spock.peak.org said

>I also would prefer to see the CB band opened up to about 27.75 MHz
>and have the restrictions on amplifiers and DX eliminated. To go with
>this, I would want to see the requirement that amplifiers be type
>accepted.
>

At last a sign of intelligence.
>>

You mean a sign of someone who won't make the effort to get an amateur radio
license.

287. Re: Goddamn ,,what a fucked up group full of CB idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 02:00:25 UTC
From: eggie#18 <154@ishkabibble.com>
Message-ID: <ZIYV5.338025$JS3.51276850@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 61609
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.fan.supernaut,alt.fan.emmett-gulley,alt.flame

what the hell is a froup? you are more retarded than that zeus character

Meat-->Plow <meat@fuck-j00.orq> wrote in message
news:909koahuf4@dosa.alt.net...
> Breaker Breaker One Nine
>
> You fuck-tards crack me up with all your idiotic discussion.
>
> I think I'll put this news froup out of its misery and issue a remove
> froup control message.
>
> BWAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>
> Say goodbye to your news froup, imbeciles

288. Re: Missing The Big Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 02:02:36 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <0LYV5.3941$2P3.289872@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61608

Someone please check me - I am getting old and golly geeze, probably have trouble copying much more than 45 or 50 WMP now (my typing is down to 70) ...
but, uh, gee I hate to seem stupid but .. I don't think novice and advanced licenses disappeared. You cannot get a new novice or advanced, but I do believe they are renewable if you hold one of them. So, another question .. can anyone else now run 1500 watts (I am serious, I don't know the answer to this) in the novice sub-bands below 28 MHz since novice licenses are still valid (and the rules used to stated EVERYONE with a license good for HF was limited to 200 watts in the novice sub-bands)? Certainly, an existing novice license not due to expire would have not been pulled away when the new rules took effect. Also, how many classes of license allow their users 1.5 KW in the 10.100 to 10.150 MHz band? Unless things have changed recently, I can count that number on the fingers of my left foot (or toes on my right hand).

Thanks and 73s from Rochester, NY
Jim

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001130151007.09182.00001920@ng-cf1.aol.com...
> >From: timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com)
> >Date: 11/30/2000 14:02 PM Eastern Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20001130140254.08138.00001502@ng-fm1.aol.com>
> >
> >why doesnt it suprise me this is another tech type guy trying to save the
> >world
> >from terrible 27 meg rf radiation?
> >
>
> I am not trying to do anything but present an opinion in a logical
fashion. You
> just do not agree with it. I did not make the law, I did not even know it
was
> in the works. I do support it.
>
> This is too simple, fellas...It is a law. It helps to protect the public
good.
>
> You can get an amateur license and run 1500 watts on any band you are
licensed
> for. That is the provision that is made for radio enthusiasts.
>
> Everything you have said about "what if this, what if that" is based on
the

> idea that
> hams are included in this law. That is an incorrect supposition. A legally
> operating amateur station is exempt. Therefore, your arguement is invalid.
>
> You may insult me or anyone else who thinks like me 'til the cows come
home, it
> won't change the truth. If you are operating a legal radio on a legal
band,
> with an amateur license or in the 40 CB channels, you are exempt from this
law.
> Period.
>
> From where I sit all the opposing arguements to my opinion seem to ignore
this
> fact.

289. Re: Machine-Gun like noise on 27.960 lsb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 02:34:59 UTC
From: news-server <iidol@gotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ndZV5.82710$vc3.17866544@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 61610

Castro is jamming the band preventing his
people to communicate with Florida citizens per
coast guard.

"The Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message
news:MBUV5.104653$U46.3294553@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> Oh now that's really choice. A string of dits and dahs at a station while
> it is transmitting. Um last time I checked I cant hear someone on the
same
> frequency that I am at the same time transmitting on. Must be one of
those
> special receivers for the special operators.
>
>
> "N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20001130221142.09170.00001694@ng-cf1.aol.com...
> >If I recall correctly, the "Russian Woodpecker" did not sweek across the
> >banks. It was used to jam one or two international broadcasting signals
> >in the 40 meter band that the Soviet government did not want their
citizens
> >to be able to hear.
> >
>
> Nope. The woodpecker was all over the place. I heard it on every band I
> listened on quite frequently in the late 70's early 80's. The thing would
> QSY
> if you sent a string of dits at it, too.
>
>
>

290. Re: Goddamn ,,what a fucked up group full of CB idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 02:51:21 UTC
From: grtpmpkin32@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001201215121.28413.00002653@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 61611

About a 0.7 on the Troll-O-Meter...
Try some new material, sonny boy, there are FAR more insightful and humorous trolls in this newsgroup. Sorry, newsfroup.
Imbecile...
Linus

291. Re: base antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 02:59:23 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001201215923.23659.00003592@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 61612

>Subject: base antenna
>From: "Al Messenger" scimitar123@btinternet.com
>Date: 12/1/00 4:06 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <9097dd$4l0$1@uranium.btinternet.com>
>
>i have recently decided to get back in to cb after moving house. The prolem
>is i have moven in to a modern timber framed house and i was wonodering if
>the wall would have then strengh to keep the antenna up (baring in mind the
>weather recently). Anyone else know about this???
>
>
>Al M
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>The weather where???

292. Re: Goddamn ,,what a fucked up group full of CB idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 03:01:29 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001201220129.23659.00003593@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 61613
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.usenet.kooks,alt.fan.supernaut,alt.fan.emmett-gulley,alt.flame

>Subject: Goddamn ,,what a fucked up group full of CB idiots
>From: "Meat-->Plow" meat@fuck-j00.orq Plow" <meat@fuck-j00.orq>
>Date: 12/1/00 7:54 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <909koahuf4@dosa.alt.net>
>
>Breaker Breaker One Nine
>
>You fuck-tards crack me up with all your idiotic discussion.
>
>I think I'll put this news froup out of its misery and issue a remove
>froup control message.
>
>BWAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>
>Say goodbye to your news froup, imbeciles
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Go back to rec.spelling.school

293. Re: Missing The Big Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 03:08:17 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <909p31$e9q$2@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 61615

Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
:>
:> The cop says "Tell it to the judge!", writes the Ham a ticket and gets
:> his buddies down to help tear the Ham gear out of the vehicle.

: And he will be paying for all damage done including replacement of any
: and all equipment removed.

Do you think so? What if they use the same procedure used for illegal drugs, and now starting to be used for drunken drivers or people who solicit prostitution?

: Or if the
:> cop is lazy, he doesn't even write a ticket. He just calls the gear
:> "illegal CB equipment".

: He will also have a problem when I report the seizure of said equipment
: thru channels to Department of Defense. Some of my mobile equipment
: doesn't belong to me, but is USAF equipment purchased with DOD funds.

You may have an edge there. Most Hams wouldn't. But don't count on it to protect you completely.

: Imagine their horror when DOD/USAF Investigations Division pays them a
: visit! Part of that equipment is charged out to me on a DOD-type docu-
: ment. Start "confiscating" military equipment and there'll be hell to
: pay!
:> Now, what can the Ham do? His only recourse is to go to civil court in
:> an attempt to get the gear back.

: And, that I would do. Under the circumstances, the law is on my side,
: and they will be paying every penny I can legally extract. There are
: too many issues, too many variables for local, non-electronic under-
: standing cops to keep from getting their own butts in hot water. Yes,
: it would be very inconvenient to have to go to court, and would tick me
: off BAD--which is why I'd do everything I could to get back at them in
: court. OTOH, it might be funny to watch 'em squirm under the scenario
: I quoted above.

It all depends on how the laws/regulations were written. They might give the police the powers to do exactly as I stated.

Forget about your DOD example. It is a rare exception, and meaningless to the majority of the Hams and CBers.

: I STILL say to the hams that think this is so cut and dried, and you
: are "exempt" from local enforcement, this is going to cause trouble for

: innocent CBers and licensed hams alike--legal or not. You are NOT
: exempt from the ignorance of untrained LEOS, nor are you exempt from
: LEOs with "I am the law, boy" attitudes. The job of enforcement belongs
: with FCC where the training in comm. law, locating offenders, is (should
: be). They should've given the money back to FCC and let THEM do the
: job. This way, at least, offenders would be subject to consistent laws
: and not the vageries of local statute, incompetence (in radio), and who
: know who down at the station. It is a bad thing, which, I fear, some of
: you who are snickering up your sleeves may find out later.

As I have stated before. I agree with this statement completely.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

294. Re: Missing The Big Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 03:10:20 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <909p6s$e9q$3@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 61617

JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
: believe they are renewable if you hold one of them. So, another question ..
: can anyone else now run 1500 watts (I am serious, I don't know the answer to
: this) in the novice sub-bands below 28 MHz since novice licenses are still
: valid (and the rules used to stated EVERYONE with a license good for HF was
: limited to 200 watts in the novice sub-bands)? Certainly, an existing

The limitations still exist.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

295. Re: off the topic but funny as hell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 03:17:10 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <909pjm$e9q$4@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 61614

Spike <spike440@webtv.net> wrote:

: Anyone know if most Hams are anything like N8wwm? Because I don't want
: to waste my effort to get a license, or the money to buy a ham rig if
: they all are like him/her. I just started visiting this ng and others
: lately because my buddies are trying to talk me into Ham. I may as well
: stick to CB if Hams are like this person, I already have the equipment,
: and the people seem nicer/smarter than this "ham".

Most of the Hams I know are just regular people, who don't care what
CBers do - as long as they stay out of the Ham bands.

Some of them even run CB gear, some of it illegal.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

296. Re: HR-2346 (local CB enforcement) Fines??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 03:19:58 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <909pou$e9q$5@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 61616

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

: I was just wondering something about HR-2346? Since this allows local
: legislators to write laws based on FCC regulations, will that include
: the forfeiture amounts spelled out in the FCC regulations? In other
: words, are we talking about local courts handing out fines up to $20,000
: for each rule violation? If so, that will certainly encourage local law
: enforcement officers to go after CB'ers with a vengeance (there are very
: few $20,000 speeding tickets).

Yes, they certainly could.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

297. Re: You all worry to much/Clinton CB Bill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 03:22:04 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <909pss$e9q$6@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 61618

> So..... What?...Simply having coil CB antennas is grounds for pulling
you over
> and searching your van?..I don`t think so...All this bill is saying is
that
> local law enforcement will be used to enforce FCC regulation but they
will
> still need to follow the same guidelines.

I don't recall anything in the law that says the local law enforcement
agencies have to follow the FCC guidelines.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

298. Re: Newbie Q about 'deadkey' and 'swing' .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 04:14:09 UTC
From: rollingradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001201231409.07545.00005202@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 61619

>From: mtngrlscityboy@aol.com
>What is meant by a radio having 3 watts deadkey with 20 watts swing?

It means when you press the microphone button and don't say anything, the radio is putting out 3w. When you speak into the microphone, it would be doing 20w.

299. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 05:18:36 UTC
From: Rickhit@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3a2881c3.174814540@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61620

On Fri, 1 Dec 2000 22:49:44 -0800, "George Thomas"
<guesswhat@charter.net> wrote:

>Well this is the way i see it. The FCC can not look after all the ham bands
>they have now that is the reason they give up 11 m a long time ago. And you
>stop and think about this If the hammies got the band back what would they
>do with all the cbr's on it. As it stands there is more people on the 11m
>band than you think. And what will you do with all the mexicans on the band
>that dont care about you or me or what we think they talk regardless . I
>think it is crazy if the FCC is looking for a fix on the 11m band they need
>to give more channels to the cbr's so we don't have to run 2000 watts to
>talk over the other guy just to get to talk . You stop and think about it
>the 11m band has 40 channels the hammies have hundres of channels to talk on
>so what gives. now you won't the 40 we have back I think it is a bunch of
>shit myself. And i think we are blowing this all out of wack the more we
>talk about this the more bull gets started!!!! I am off the key now so have
>a nice day Georgiaboy753 Westcentrol GA
>
>
I'm a Ham and I have no intent to take back the 11M band. There is
no reason at all for the FCC to take the 11m band and converted to a
Ham Band. Ham radio has much more frequencies to play with than CB now
and they are nor fully being used. As far as the future of CB what
should happen is a new CB service on VHF/UHF with 40 channels and 5
Watts of power. A VHF CB would rid the problem of DX stations from
1000 miles away stepping on 2 CBers talking to each other 7 miles
apart. Now there is the FRS radios but they are one piece unit that
don't allow a external antenna which in my opinion if just to
restrictive. As for as the 11 Meter band it will be there for a long
time to come so don't worry, it's us Hams who have to worry about our
frequencies being taken away especially our VHF/UHF bands above
440Mhz.

73 De Rick KC2ESD

300. Re: Goddamn ,,what a fucked up group full of CB idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 05:25:07 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001202002507.18103.00005597@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 61621

>Subject: Goddamn ,,what a fucked up group full of CB idiots
>>From: "Meat-->Plow" meat@fuck-j00.orq Plow" <meat@fuck-j00.orq>
>>Date: 12/1/00 7:54 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <909koahuf4@dosa.alt.net>

>I think I'll put this news froup out of its misery and issue a remove
>>froup control message.
>>
>>BWAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>>
>>Say goodbye to your news froup, imbeciles

That's just your emotion of anger acting without the benefit of intelect

301. Re: HERE GOES ICEMAN!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 05:27:32 UTC
From: seanh378@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001202002732.06404.00002120@ng-xa1.aol.com>
Source record: 61622

HEY 937, you just scared you won't get any talk time?

ICEMAN ROLLIN" THIS SIX-WHEELER GONE!!!!

302. Re: Free CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 05:30:59 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A288913.754E753@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61623

```
For sale page with more details at:
http://www.abs.net/~the420/
```

303. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 05:32:06 UTC
From: Rickhit@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3a2987c4.176351248@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61624

On 01 Dec 2000 03:00:31 GMT, n8wwm@aol.com (N8wwm) wrote:

>This is the text of the QRZ.com story. I present it without comment.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Hi,
>My name is George, and I am an Extra Class ham in Missouri. I am taking a
>survey of ham operators across the U.S. that are interested as I am, in the
>possiblity of the FCC giving 11m back to the hams. As you my be aware of, the
>11m band used to be part of the amateur radio spectrum until the mid 1950's
>when the FCC created the Citizens Band.
>Snippage.

Here is My opinion, I think the 11 Meter band should stay CB. We
as Hams have enough frequencies on HF. If Hams want more HF
frequencies think about expanding the 20 Meter band instead. George,
instead of trying to take back 11M you should concentrate you efforts
in protecting the VHF/UHF frequencies, for there are forces out there
who want to take them away.

73 de Rick KC2ESD

>
>73's de N0RRS
>
>
>

304. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 05:37:26 UTC
From: Rickhit@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3a2a89ff.176922696@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61625

On Fri, 1 Dec 2000 11:50:33 -0600, "Richard McCollum"
<rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:

>
>"Spike" <spike440@webtv.net> wrote in message
>news:25731-3A2754E9-78@storefull-134.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
>> Hey buddy, you remember what you wrote about "if you don't like my
>> posts, don't read 'em"? That goes for 11 meters, too. If you don't like
>> it, don't tune in! If you represent most Hams, 11 meters wouldn't be any
>> better once you took over any way.
>> The only whining I've noticed is yours.
>>
>
>All he did was repost some other nincompoop's note on another forum.
>
>Believe me, this will sink like a concrete canoe in the Amateur fraternity.
>
>Dick NOBK
>
More like sink like the concrete ship 100 yards off of Cape May
beach on the Delaware Bay side. :-)

Rick KC2ESD

305. Re: A sum up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 06:01:05 UTC
From: mutanttv@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001202010105.20393.00002254@ng-bj1.aol.com>
Source record: 61626

<< Yeah but the point of "preaching" the word of legalities
goes a little too far. I and a couple of others have been out
here long before windows or Mac was the way to talk, it
was Unix. Then when some one said, "But Linears are
illegal" we said "OK", and that was that. But now a
days some people including you, bring it upon yourself
to hold a holy crusade to rid the world, and show the wrong
doers there misdeeds. Yup, just keep typing, "Hollingsworth
is gonna get you", "Good, now things will be like it was in
the olds days" Really?
I don't know about you people, but this has been a hobby
of mine since the late sixties, Yup, that's right, over thirty years and
well before then. I remember as a kid going over to my parents friends
house, watching him talk around the world on his radio equipment. His name
is Bill Orr, that's right in the early part of that decade and still, too
this day he is considered a good friend of the family. I have listen to the
same rhetoric, FCC is cracking down, CB'rs are no good, Hams are evil, it's
all bullshit. It comes and goes, but people making trouble here and on the
air, will always be around.
Good luck on cleaning up the world of speeders, linears,
illegal radios, high watt head light bulbs, loud exhaust and
all the rest of the small crimes in the world today.
Boy the Holocaust must have paled compared to the
hampered life of all the radio operators in the world.

The Landshark >>

What did Bill Orr say when you told him you had decided to make a hobby of
keying over people with big linears and taking over frequencies that didn't
belong to you?

306. geez, hams taking over 11 meters, what about this.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 06:08:34 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90a3l1$kg1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 61628

newsgroup, come on , come on. this ought to be part of the ham radio
newsgroup. for crying out loud. why don't we go over to the ham radio
newsgroup and raise algore, come on, come on.......

i can't tell you what yo're feelin inside
and i can't sell you what you don't want to buy
something's missing
you got to look back on your life
you know something just ain't right.........

heart 1986

cd is out.....................

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

307. Re: off the topic but funny as hell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 06:11:08 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90a3prkif1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 61629

In article <20001130233839.09122.00002053@ng-cf1.aol.com>,
n8wwm@aol.com (N8wwm) wrote:
> Go here and check out this site...I cracked up!
>
> http://newbutt.mypage.org/
>

hell is not funny,......cd is out.........

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

308. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 06:12:30 UTC
From: David Little <dalite01@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <90a3te$d9d$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 61627

> Here is My opinion, I think the 11 Meter band should stay CB. We
> as Hams have enough frequencies on HF. If Hams want more HF
> frequencies think about expanding the 20 Meter band instead. George,
> instead of trying to take back 11M you should concentrate you efforts
> in protecting the VHF/UHF frequencies, for there are forces out there
> who want to take them away.
>
> 73 de Rick KC2ESD

Rick,

Thanks for introducing a level-headed direction for this thread from the Ham community. I tried to preach to the masses of fellow hams when the Spectrum Auction concept was initiated in the early 90's. I based my concerns from an article by Robert L. Samuelson in Newsweek called "The Silent Giveaway" which outlined the FCC's plan to create operational revenue by auctioning off RF Spectrum.

The far more experienced Hams than I gave me the usual "you are stupider than I" attitude, and I watched 2 MHZ of the 1 1/4 meter band slip away from our grasp. They still refused to acknowledge the warning, claiming coincidence; and refusing to read the source. FWIW, the Auction period is still in effect to 2002 before possible renewal for another 10 years, and any source of revenue for Government operations is free game. (FDR Backed the National Debt with the value of all the land in the U.S. after taking us off the gold standard by forcing through HR 1491 on March 9th, 1933). This little piece of legislation made land ownership impossible for all future generations and substituted possession as the only claim to land we have after we purchase it and pay the perpetual taxes to keep from being evicted from our "property." For those doubting the validity of this claim, explain how something you own can be taken away from you for back taxes. You can't be taxed on what you own, merely on what you possess .

The same mindset applies to spectrum usage awarded to Amateur operators as an incentive to keep aware of technology and represent a trained communications asset available in times of War or National Emergency. After a brief look around by the most casual disinterested observer, it can be quickly noticed that there is not a lot of assets in the Amateur Gene pool if attitude is an indication of prowess or ability. I can understand the concept of hidden talent, but don't feel it is an asset to the Amateur community for Hams to keep this talent so deeply hidden from view or practical application.

Even the first rung on the Ham ladder has access to all spectrum allocated to Ham operations above 50 MHz. By comparison, HF only comprises around 7 MHz of spectrum. The offset for a single 70 cm repeater pair is nearly that

much. and 70 cm alone covers from 430 to 450 MHz; roughly 3 times the amount of spectrum that is being held hostage by morse code and preventing younger, more aggressive and technically savvy members from joining the Amateur community.

I guess, in the final analogy, there won't be much worry about Hams reclaiming 11 meters, as the percentage of Licensed Hams decreasing due to attrition is now probably exceeding the percentage of newly licensed Amateur Operators as a result of some of the same thinking as has been demonstrated by many of the posts in this NG attributed to other Hams.

As for me, I am a Technician "Lite"; not spiritually joined to the worship of binary oscillations of a momentary contact single pole single throw switch. For this reason, I am not considered to have the technical abilities needed to operate HF. I am often reminded of this by holders of higher level Ham licenses, who I see when they need their HT programmed, an end soldered to their coax, their rig repaired, backup battery replaced; basically anything outside of the appliance operator status that they were awarded by learning CW.

Unless the Ham community soon decides to Wake up and smell the coffee, they will soon realize that Rip Van Winkle ended his Nap and took the coffee maker away. We can't maintain a use it or lose it task force while alienating more younger candidates for the hobby with a one-foot-in-the-grave-in-your-face mentality that is so prevalent that it spills over into newsgroups such as these. I can guess by your 2X3 call that you are affected in a similar manner by the operators in your area. At least you have your eyes on the prize, and that is an encouragement.

David
KD4NUE

309. You want entertainment...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-02 06:28:10 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A28967A.26A77C82@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61630

Go to rec.radio.amateur.misc. Those boys can barely keep a thread civil without major infighting and doo flinging. At least CB'ers can get along with each other. When everybody is packed in a small room (40 channels), it's put up or shut up.

With all those frequencies, the Hammies should be able to not step on each others' toes.

310. Re: Re:,,what a ....ed up group full of CB idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a2ada83@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 61698

Pee brain Elmer Fuddy Duddy:

TransWHAT? GOD will judge your wicked mouth & mind for the trashy heterodyne that pours forth like untreated sewage from your SICK mind! REPENT before it's too late!!!!!
Dave

elmerpee@my-deja.com wrote in message <90ec10$hl5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
In article <3a2a919f@news.planetc.com>,
"Dave or Debby" <truth@planetc.com> wrote:
> Communist uselessnet Global Administration don't apply--we is Americans and
> OUR Constitution IS Superior to any UN mumbo-jumbo!!!!! FEAR GOD and KEEP
> his Commandments, you reprobates!!!!!
> Dave!!!!!!
>
> Handy Andy wrote in message ...
> Greetings!
>
> They simply reset the default and issued a clear message due to the
> crossposting error.
>
> A lot of newsreaders aren't as flexible to screen out crossposted
> messages. It gets truncated on the viewers screen, but sent all over
> the place. You need to check the headers on a lot of this stuff.
>
> On Sat, 02 Dec 2000 13:59:47 -0500, while the modem was pondering it's
> navel, "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
> dissertated the following:
>
> :+> It hasn't worked, we're still here and still posting.
> :+>
> :+> Why the cross-posts to totally unrelated groups?
> :+>
> :+> "Meat-->Plow" wrote:
> :+> >
> :+> > On Sat, 02 Dec 2000 11:28:56 -0500, Usenet Global Administration
> :+> > Authority <ugaa@wilhelp.com>wrote:
> :+> >
> :+> > >"Meat-->Plow" <meat@fuck-j00.orq>:
> :+> > >
> :+> > >>Breaker Breaker One Nine
> :+> > >>
> :+> > >>You fuck-tards crack me up with all your idiotic discussion.

Dave and Debbie get a real life go hunch eash other and learn the birds
and the bee's shit maby you both are transxuals

> :+> > >>froup control message.
> :+> > >>
> :+> > >>BWAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> :+> > >>
> :+> > >>Say goodbye to your news froup, imbeciles
> :+> > >
> :+> > >cmsg rmgroup rec.radio.cb
> :+> > >cmsg lock rec.radio.cb
> :+> > >
> :+> > >Usenet Global Administration Authority
> :+> >
> :+> > Thank you. Saved me the trouble of removing them myslef.
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

311. Re: cobra 148gtlf problem (2)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <k7pl2toaqgvnf4mrtvlf86lihiqa31knhc@4ax.com>
Source record: 61699

Greetings!

Is the BCD channel selector switch ok?

I know that using the 148F - the channel selector can go bad causing
the out of lock problem.

There is a detent contact that when it's not in the right position for
each channel, the line prevents the PLL from trying to lock in.

Check the status of all the pins going to the PLL, the ROM inside the
chip may be preventing the thing from locking in if not all the pins
for the channel selection are correct.

On Sun, 3 Dec 2000 17:17:51 -0600, while the modem was pondering it's
navel, "m1976" <m1976@ametro.net> dissertated the following:

:+> thanks guys for the responses!! i have checked voltages on the mb3756 which
:+> is the voltage regulator everything is o.k. on it. the voltages on the
:+> mb8719 are off especially on pin 1,2, and 3. i have changed out the loop
:+> mixer transistors. but still no loop mix signal or no clarifier. the l-19
:+> vco when adjusted will change frequencies like it suppose to but the channel
:+> selector will not changed channels and that i think is because of the loop
:+> being unlocked. if you can still help we'll definately appreciate it. if we
:+> can be of help to some some time just e-mail me. 73s
:+> tomcat
:+>

Regards!
:+> Andy <+: (```~~~~''')
. ^^ ||||| ^^
... You know you're a CB'er when... \\ //
... you spend more time fixing .-----------.
... your radio... | [ ] o o o |\
... than talking on it! '__________|\|
. `------------'

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

312. GORESKI Trucking !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a2adfc8$1@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 61700

You guys ain't gonna believe this, but when I was on that trip, I went by a Semi that said "Goreski Trucking" on it. I talked to him & e didn't vote for Gore either!!!! My spelling may be wrong, but it definately said GORESKI !!!!!!
Dave!!!!!

Dave or Debby wrote in message <3a2a8a68@news.planetc.com>...
Yep, I did. Drove a '57 Mercury from OH to NC with my last in stock

313. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A2AEE9F.727C@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 61701

Tim,

In spite of age, Collins gear is still much coveted and was ahead of most other manufacturers of the day. A 75A-4 is still an extremely selective, highly competent receiver. Its image rejection is extremely good. That is why Collins gear still commands a premium in the marketplace.

73

Jerry

www.kp82.com wrote:
>
> why isnt everybody else recieving these ghost emissions? you keep harping ont
> he collins gear like we are supposed to be impressed by the antiques. its over
> valued and outdated gear.
>
> >
> >Maybe I can explain this so even you understand it. It has nothing to do with
> >the quality or lack thereof of the receiving equipment. My Collins 75A-4 and
> >S-Line equipment is better than anything on the market today. Hardly cheap
> >equipment. I am not hearing interference from some station running high power
> >a
> >few doors or a couple of blocks away. There is a ham only a few blocks from
> >me
> >that can run the legal limit. I can be on the same band when he is
> >transmitting
> >and be tuned within a few KHz of his signal and never know he is there. So
> >the
> >problem is not with front end overload, image rejection, intermod products,
> >unwanted mixer products, ect. The problem is that these cb morons are putting
> >out spurious emissions that fall within the 10 meter band, and no matter how
> >good or bad your receiver is, if the signal is in the 10 meter band it is
> >going
> >to interfere and it is not the fault of the receiver. It is the fault of the
> >moron operating the transmitting equipment.
> >
>
> 73 de Tim
> Knight Patrol #82
> http://www.kp82.com

314. Re: ...duh Dipole Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <tsAW5.1235$Y4.455385@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 61702

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:dcbl2tg8lujuam9mla05uji8ga5fsvlet9@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 3 Dec 2000 15:18:23 -0500, Yolanda <denoted@euphemized.net>
> wrote:
>
> >General Lee: If I string a level full wave center-fed horizontal Dipole,
> >a full wave length above average ground, and it's strung true north to
> >true south, are my radiation patterns extending east-west or north-south?
> >
> Your radiation pattern will east-west.

A full-wave dipole will have some really interesting impedence matching
problems so perhaps the best answer is nowhere without a bit of work.
>
>

315. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A2AEF47.4C60@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 61703

www.kp82.com wrote:
>Tim.

The only ones running AM on the lower end of 10 meters are the truckers
who are *really* there (28.085 mostly) and are not "spurious" emissions.

73

Jerry

> ive been monitoring 10 meters for a long time, am on the lower portion, the
> sattelites in the midlle, and fm on the top. i've never had any trouble with
> these signals that you say are bothering you.
>
> >
> >I regularly hear splatter and spurs in the 29 MHz band segment coming from
> >(dx)
> >operators on 27.025 MHz. My Icom 756 is not a cheaply designed or
> >constructed
> >receiver.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> 73 de Tim
> Knight Patrol #82
> http://www.kp82.com

316. Re: whats got the ham's panties in a bunch ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a2add64$1@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 61704

I heard that Geo-Gah was Unconstituionally wicked to nartherners back then!
Dave

Mike Yetsko wrote in message ...
The town was (I may butcher the spelling here) Ludowicki
GA. The head cop there was more like the Bufford T Justice
than Jackie Gleason was. I actually saw him in the early 60's,
with about 10 or so cars lined up on the side of the road
(before the interstate) smoking one of those cigars that looked
like a small baseball bat, one foot on the bumper of a
'Yankee caw' writing a ticket.

And there was a billboard... It said not to stop for ANY
reason in the town, and had a GA State Trooper parked
under it. I guess to keep the sign from being vandalized.

Evidently the town got a real bad rep for water in gas tanks
at gas stations, cars getting flats at the diners, and speed
traps.

Mike

Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:39D6A75E.4CB4@bellsouth.net...
> TH,
>
> Go to: www.speedtrap.com
>
> If you have a fairly recent browser, you can read about hundreds of
> towns that entrap outsiders. Two states that scare me are "Jaw-ja"
> and FLA. There used to be a town that was so bad, the state itself
> actually posted a sign on the interstate on both ends saying that
> XXXXX was a speedtrap and to slow to 50 MPH to prevent them from steal-
> ing your money!
>
> Jerry

317. Re: Machine-Gun like noise on 27.960 lsb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a2ade04@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 61705

No, it's Kruschev trying to BURY yo hambos wit moshine GUNS !!!!
Dave!!!!!

news-server wrote in message ...
Castro is jamming the band preventing his
people to communicate with Florida citizens per
coast guard.

"The Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message
news:MBUV5.104653$U46.3294553@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> Oh now that's really choice. A string of dits and dahs at a station while
> it is transmitting. Um last time I checked I cant hear someone on the
same
> frequency that I am at the same time transmitting on. Must be one of
those
> special receivers for the special operators.
>
>
> "N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20001130221142.09170.00001694@ng-cf1.aol.com...
> >If I recall correctly, the "Russian Woodpecker" did not sweek across the
> >banks. It was used to jam one or two international broadcasting signals
> >in the 40 meter band that the Soviet government did not want their
citizens
> >to be able to hear.
> >
>
> Nope. The woodpecker was all over the place. I heard it on every band I
> listened on quite frequently in the late 70's early 80's. The thing would
> QSY
> if you sent a string of dits at it, too.
>
>
>

318. Re: THE HAM'S ARE COMMING THE HAM'S ARE COMMING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9482-3A2A6998-5@storefull-125.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61706

Oh, this one carries great credibility, so tough he can't even post
under his own email addy.

319. FOR SALE:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bargains4You@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17482-3A2AAAA6-8@storefull-154.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61707

```
COBRA HANDHELD CB RADIO 40 CHANNEL WTH FULL 4 WATTS RF POWER PLUS MUCH
MORE RETAILS FOR $89.95 NOW FOR SALE FROM THE FACTORY FOR ONLY $37.95
SEND CHECK OR MONEY ORDER TO
DAVID LOCKHART
P.O. BOX 205
KENVIR,KY 40847
```

320. FOR SALE:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bargains4You@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9917-3A2AAB15-5@storefull-158.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61708

COBRA HANDHELD CB RADIO 40 CHANNEL WTH FULL 4 WATTS RF POWER PLUS MUCH
MORE RETAILS FOR $89.95 NOW FOR SALE FROM THE FACTORY FOR ONLY $37.95
SEND CHECK OR MONEY ORDER TO
DAVID LOCKHART
P.O. BOX 205
KENVIR,KY 40847

321. Want to Buy: StarDuster Antenna and Parts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: REDBONE <redbone1@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <3A2A7FDA.433C@swbell.net>
Source record: 61709

Hello All....I am looking for a Star Duster antenna and I am also looking for parts for the Star Duster antenna. Please quote your asking price that includes shipping to Beaumont, Texas to zip code 77701.

Pat Green.....KC5WCC
Beaumont, Texas
--
For Card Cleaning I use
Virgin Cleaners
www.virgincleaners.com

322. Re: Why all Hams and C.Bers should be prosecuted to the fullest extent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90f6hs$6c$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 61710

While you post what I take as tongue in cheek, one can invision "Assault Radios" defined as any radio more powerful than a police officers handheld... If the FCC were run by the BATF, homes would be burning all over the United States. Janet Reno would be seen on TV "Well, it was evident they had an illegal antenna and were stockpiling fully automatic antenna tuners, we had no choice but to act for the children"

"JhnWil876" <jhnwil876@aol.com> wrote in message news:20001203144024.04296.00000044@ng-fp1.aol.com...
>
> If I was in charge of the FCC, I would use my budget to listen for and
> prosecute the slightest violation no matter how small.
>
> Nearly every Ham and C.Ber makes some sort of violation at some point and by
> prosecuting each offender on trivial matters I would drive them out of the
> hobby in much the same way as the BATF are doing to gun owners and dealers.
>
> We dont want the people to have guns or a means of communication that we cant
> control, it's best to persecute the ordinary citizen into submission and have
> them dependent on the government for everything.
>
>

323. Re: Hello Allen/ Hy-Gain 5 element beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: ONE37 <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t2mabrosu1lkbc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61711

Someone called???

--

"...reality is a frame of mind. stupidity is a state of mind"

"Dave or Debby" <truth@planetc.com> wrote in message
news:3a2ad5be@news.planetc.com...
> WHERE are the Antenna Pole-leece when yo need dem?
> Dave!!!!!
>

324. FS JOHNSON MESSENGER 223

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: N7MFR-----Dave <n7mfr@teleport.com>
Message-ID: <h3GW5.889$hG6.389562@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 61712

Have a Johnson Messenger 223 for sale. It just got booted from ebay. Has been modified and has a high/low power switch mounted on the back. Low power just under 5 watts high power just over 10 watts output checked on my wattmeter. Comes with matching microphone. Radio works well, cosmeticaly about a 6.5 out of 10, shows some wear but still a decent rig. Would like to get $30.00 for it. Anyone interested? email
n7mfr@teleport.com
Thanks

325. Re: N8WWM is GOD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A2B3295.3DD67E0A@oio.net>
Source record: 61713

N8wwm wrote:
>
> Happy relapses....OINK OINK OINK

Actually, n8wwm is blocked.. bye bye
'Doc

326. What kind of things should I look for?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Travis & Heather Marshall <f16fixer@wizard.com>
Message-ID: <KCGW5.20663$4k2.755166@news-east.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 61714

Hey,

Doing some research on CB radios...

I'm planning on kind of a road rally/moving event, and would like to know what a good handheld CB radio would be, THAT includes a DC adapter for a vehicle, in addition to batteries for when I'm/we're on foot.

What should I look for in particular and to stay away from??

Anyone have a good experience with a certain model in the past and recommend it? Its only going to be an annual thing, so finding a model that stores well (ala! remove the batteries!!) would be good, as well as low start up costs.

Range required.... maybe up to 5 miles or so.

Any CB radio/2 way radio would work I guess...

so, what is recommended?

Thanks!

327. Re: Bottom line on CB enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Rastus <jfayard@ionet.net>
Message-ID: <90dn75$jmj@news-central.tiac.net>
Source record: 61715
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I predict the real losers here will be legal HAM's & CB'ers that have local cops with an attitude, without training & equipment, and with complaining neighbors that irritate the cops with incessant whining......the legals will take a hit unless prepared to spend $'s to debunk. The original intention may have been good but, alas, as with many regulations just another example of lawmakers feigning sincerity but really wanting visibility.....they could have funded agents but that would detract from funds used to buy votes.

Have a Great Day,
Ken

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3A2945E7.681C7AE4@hotmail.com...
> Do they feed you three meals a day under that "nice warm spot
> under the bridge" you like so much.
>
> Since you like being in the spotlight with your juvenile name
> calling and insult throwing, I have also posted this to be seen
> by your peers.
>
> All hams are not bad, but this rotten apple needs to be tossed.
> Since many hams have been told to go to rec.radio.cb and stir the
> pot, just see all the doo spewed by N8WWM on that group. Join the
> fun in ostracizing this idiot.
>
> N8wwm wrote: (I put no insults here, but watch how many he puts in
> his response!!)
> >
> > If you are operating legally, as a CB'er or an amateur, you have nothing to
> > worry about. That is why the reactions here are so funny. It is obvious that
> > the illegals are the ones most agitated by the situation. Poor babies. I am
> > heartbroken.

328. N8wwm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <90cuud$ck2m$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 61764

Here a classic one where he runs back to the amateur news group like a grade school boy sharing his fun filled day on how fun it was harassing a CBer..... What a winner.

KRS

SNIP>>
Subject: Re: The CB guys are going crazy
Date: 12/01/2000
Author: N8wwm <n8wwm@aol.com>

>I love it. LOL
>"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20001130153247.09343.00001729@ng-cf1.aol.com...
>> rec.radio.cb is going berserk with indignation over the illegal cb bill
>passed
>> recently.
>> If you really wanna laugh, pick up a few of the threads there.
>
>

329. Re: N8WWM has no LIFE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: DX401 <lightnin24@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <90cujhrus1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 61765

True about N8 Wienie Washing Moron.
"G SEVEN" <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:90crvl$c1s4$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> N8WWM, has no life. At least he's not prejudice to just CBers, he talks
shit
> on the Amateur group as well. Out of all he's post there is only 10% that
> actually contribute to the hobbies. Stop wasting your time arguing with
> him, by the judging of his past history he feeds on the HATE AND
DISCONTENT.
> Dont give him what he thrives on.
>
> KRS
>
> A snip of his masturbating intellectual conversations with his fellow
>
HAMS_____
> _____
>
>
> HE NC
> The man states the obvious...the obvious is not libel.
> What you could do, to just shut it all down at once, is get the hell off
of
> here with all your nonsense and pathetic, immature attention grabbing
> attempts.
>
>
> No one on here cares if you are upset. That is because you are haughty and
> you are a liar. These components of your character have been amply
> displayed. They are also things that tend to limit an individual's
> acceptance by a group. Since you are a PhD, use your noodle and figure it
> out.
>
> In plain english, GROW UP.
>
> >> Then Jim, what right do you have to
> try to bias folks INTO that opinion,
> >as
> >> opposed to letting them make up their OWN minds? What 'jolly' do you
get
> >making
> >> a DELIBERATELY hateful statement towards a stranger?
> >>

> >> NC
> >
>
> If this is such a killer antenna why doesn't every ham scramble and build
> one now?
>
> By the way...Arnie Coro is a better man than anyone needing to post stupid
> comments about an antenna no one gives a damn about in a news group.
>
> Bottom Line: No one really cares about your bullshit, Chip. Take it to the
> ARRL and see if you get published in any real sense. Better yet...why dont
> you go to one of the publishers that let you publish anything you want as
> long as you pay all the costs? That way your great grandchildren can curse
> you as they haul all ten thousand copies that never sold out of your attic
> when you croak.
>
> I think you, your cohorts AND your critics all oughta get a life. Go play
> with your radios, quit spreading your sewage, shut up, grow up, be amateur
> radio operators.
>
> The subject, the attitudes, the whole thing...utterly goddamn useless. Use
> some of that intelligence that gave you the ability to earn a PhD and
accept
> it sometime soon...maybe after a long few minutes of looking in a mirror.
>
> << previous in search • next in search >>
>
> I am just weary of the BS.
> My dad<RIP> used to say.."It just makes my ass tired"
>
> When some of the posters to this NG become assets to it, as many others
are,
> we will all enjoy it more.
>
> Anyone who defends themself to me is wasting time. I don't care what YOU
> do...I care about the newsgroup. I suggest all of the whining be moved to
> the telephone...or better yet...choose your weapons, start back to back,
> take 8 paces, turn, aim , fire.
> We have new people here who need help...why dont the fussers take out time
> and make ONE constructive post to a newbie or some other inquiry?
> OR...You could start your own NG and call it rec.radio.amateur.whinebabies
>
>
> Date Subject Forum Author
> 12/03/2000 Re: My point has been made rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 12/03/2000 Re: My point has been made rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 12/01/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 12/01/2000 Re: ARRL News RE:illegal CB rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 12/01/2000 Re: Question on proposal to rec.radio.cb N8wwm

> 12/01/2000 Re: The CB guys are going cr alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> 12/01/2000 6 meter NW Ohio SSB net alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> 12/01/2000 Re: Clinton Signs Bill on Il rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 12/01/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 12/01/2000 hams taking 11 meters back rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 12/01/2000 YES!! L.A. kicks BSA out of rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> 12/01/2000 Re: Machine-Gun like noise o rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 12/01/2000 Re: Don't Despair get your h rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 12/01/2000 Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 12/01/2000 Re: hams taking 11 meters ba rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 12/01/2000 Re: hams taking 11 meters ba rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 12/01/2000 off the topic but funny as h rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 12/01/2000 Re: off the topic but funny rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 12/01/2000 Re: hams taking 11 meters ba rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 12/01/2000 Re: The CB guys are going cr alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> 12/01/2000 Re: off the topic but funny rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 12/01/2000 Re: hams taking 11 meters ba rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 12/01/2000 Re: off the topic but funny rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 12/01/2000 Re: The CB guys are going cr alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> 12/01/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> Date Subject Forum Author
> 12/02/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 12/02/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 12/02/2000 Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 12/02/2000 Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 12/02/2000 Re: hams taking 11 meters ba rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 12/02/2000 Re: hams taking 11 meters ba rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 12/02/2000 Bottom line on CB enforcemen rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 12/02/2000 Re: The big take over rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 12/02/2000 Bill Clinton is my hero rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 12/02/2000 My point has been made rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 12/02/2000 Re: Piss Off KC8JBO!!! rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> 12/02/2000 Re: My point has been made rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 12/02/2000 Scottiekins at his best rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 12/01/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 11/30/2000 Re: CB crackdown issue rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 11/30/2000 Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 11/30/2000 Re: Don't Despair get your h rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 11/29/2000 Re: Dummy Loads - What liqui rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 11/29/2000 Re: CB crackdown issue rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 11/29/2000 Re: Clinton Signs Bill on Il rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 11/29/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 11/29/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 11/29/2000 Re: Hams don't be fooled by rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 11/29/2000 Re: Don't Despair get your h rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 11/29/2000 Re: DISCUSSION: IS HAM RADIO alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> 11/30/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 11/30/2000 Re: Don't Despair get your h rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 11/30/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm

> 11/30/2000 Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 11/30/2000 ARRL News RE:illegal CB BILL rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 11/30/2000 ARRL News RE:illegal CB BILL rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 11/30/2000 The CB guys are going crazy alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> 11/30/2000 Re: HR2346 : hams beware rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 11/30/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 11/30/2000 Twistedhed-smoke and mirrors rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 11/30/2000 Re: Power Supply Question rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 11/30/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 11/28/2000 Fracky Freaky rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 11/28/2000 CB crackdown issue rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 11/28/2000 Re: Dummy Loads - What liqui rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 11/27/2000 Clinton Signs Bill on Illega rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 11/26/2000 Re: Clinton Signs Bill on Il rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 11/26/2000 Re: Clinton Signs Bill on Il rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 11/25/2000 Nathan Cohen changes thread rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 11/25/2000 Re: Clinton Signs Bill on Il rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 11/18/2000 Re: Jesse "Rent A Riot" Jack rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> 11/18/2000 Re: AR-33 & AR-40 Rotators- rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 11/18/2000 Re: CB to 10m alt.ham-radio.mods N8wwm
> 11/18/2000 Re: Which HT is BEST please? alt.ham-radio.mods N8wwm
> 11/18/2000 Re: Discone or Ground Plane? alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> 11/23/2000 Re: Democrats and Republican rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> 11/22/2000 Re: radio newbie alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> 11/22/2000 Re: ARRL Board to Consider M rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> 11/22/2000 Re: Anyone use the HF/VHF/UH rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> 11/22/2000 Democrats and Republicans ar rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> 11/21/2000 Re: radio newbie alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> 11/21/2000 Antique CB setup For sale rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 11/21/2000 Re: Coax Kid Washed Up? rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 11/07/2000 N8WWM ON PSK31 FROM EN81 alt.ham-radio.marketp N8wwm
> 11/07/2000 N8WWM on PSK 31 TONIGHT alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> 11/07/2000 N8WWM on PSK31 Tonight! rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 11/07/2000 N8WWM ON PSK31 TONIGHT rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
> 11/07/2000 Re: New Radio Shack HF/6m Ri rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> 11/06/2000 Re: HY-GAIN TAILTWISTER & A rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> 11/06/2000 Re: HY-GAIN TAILTWISTER & A rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> 11/05/2000 Re: Got the MFJ259 Analyzer, rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 11/05/2000 Re: VHF UHF SWR Meters alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> 11/05/2000 Re: Need Mod for FT 902D Yae alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> 11/05/2000 Al Gore and LOVE STORY rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> 11/05/2000 Bush: A DRUNK in the Whiteho rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> 11/05/2000 NEW MESSAGE ABOUT CLINTON..L rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> 11/03/2000 Re: h a t r e d DID THIS.... rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 11/02/2000 Re: Bye Bye Herbie! rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> 11/02/2000 Re: WTB: 440 Handheld 5 watt rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> 11/01/2000 Re: 2N3771 replacement? rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
> 11/12/2000 Re: NO Code - No HF alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> 11/12/2000 Re: NO Code alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm

> 11/12/2000 Re: call to arms rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 11/12/2000 Re: call to arms rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 11/12/2000 Re: The lies of N9GSU rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> 11/12/2000 Re: Ham threatens to kill K1 rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> 11/08/2000 Re: Need a Rotor or service? rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 11/08/2000 Re: Replacement for MRF475 a rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
> 11/08/2000 Re: 2N3771 replacement? rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
> 11/07/2000 N8WWM on PSK 31 Tonight rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> 11/07/2000 N8WWM on PSK31 tonight...6 m rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> 11/07/2000 Hardline and connectors for alt.ham-radio.marketp N8wwm
> 11/07/2000 1 and 5/8" hardline, connec alt.ham-radio.mods N8wwm
> 11/07/2000 NEW HARDLINE FOR SALE CHEAP. alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> 11/07/2000 NEW HARDLINE FOR SALE CHEAP rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 11/07/2000 NEW HARDLINE FOR SALE CHEAP rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
> 11/07/2000 New Hardline for sale cheap rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> 11/07/2000 Hardline for sale cheap! goo rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> 10/22/2000 Re: Wanted alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> 10/22/2000 Re: ft 102 cb mod details re alt.ham-radio.mods N8wwm
> 10/20/2000 Re: Nixon's Last Election alt.history N8wwm
> 10/19/2000 Re: Nixon's Last Election alt.history N8wwm
> 10/19/2000 Re: Help for a history resea alt.history N8wwm
> 10/19/2000 Re: Misconception 101: Hitle alt.history N8wwm
> 10/19/2000 Re: Misconception 101: Hitle alt.history N8wwm
> 07/17/2000 Re: FS SB-200 mod for 6 mtrs alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> 10/31/2000 ICOM IC255A Manual needed... alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> 10/31/2000 WANTED: ICOM IC 2400A MANUAL alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> 10/31/2000 Re: 6m Beam or Vertical? alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> 10/24/2000 Re: Where to start on 6M/HF? rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 10/23/2000 Re: Where to start on 6M/HF? rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 09/28/2000 Re: CD ROM - program for set alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> 09/28/2000 PRESS RELEASE: NEW ANTENNA B rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 09/07/2000 Re: ICOM IC-28A HELP!!!!!!!! alt.ham-radio.marketp N8wwm
> 09/07/2000 Re: $1000 FRACTAL ANTENNA CH rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 09/07/2000 Re: Any Studs Wanna Fuck My rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 09/07/2000 Re: PUBLIC NOTICE rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 09/07/2000 Re: PUBLIC NOTICE rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 08/23/2000 Re: You Know You're an Anten rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 08/23/2000 Re: Another perspective RE: rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 08/22/2000 Another perspective RE: Frac rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 08/20/2000 Re: PUBLIC NOTICE: CEASE AND rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 08/20/2000 Re: PUBLIC NOTICE: CEASE AND rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 08/18/2000 Re: I need some help alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> 07/31/2000 Re: How to build an ISOTRON rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 07/31/2000 All the infighting: a perspe rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 07/23/2000 Re: htx-245 looking for a po alt.ham-radio.mods N8wwm
> 07/23/2000 Re: htx-245 looking for a po alt.ham-radio.mods N8wwm
> 07/21/2000 Re: htx-245 looking for a po alt.ham-radio.mods N8wwm
> 07/21/2000 Re: Quad design web site? rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 09/07/1999 WTB GONSET 6 METER AMP rec.radio.swap N8wwm

> 09/06/1999 Re: ADI vendors w/ good retu rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> 09/04/1999 Re: J-Pole J-Pole J-Pole Nee alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> 08/31/1999 Re: ham 4 indicator pot need rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> 08/31/1999 Re: Help with 6m antenna! rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 08/31/1999 Re: Another "ham slamming "p rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 08/31/1999 Re: Modification to Siltroni rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 08/29/1999 Re: FS Icom 2400 dual band rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> 08/29/1999 Re: ham radio kits rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> 08/02/1999 Re: Wanted: pin layout of MR alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> 08/01/1999 For sale: Icom 575-A rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> 07/28/1999 Re: Help with making a dummy rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 07/28/1999 Re: Wanted: pin layout of MR rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 09/13/1999 Re: Question: Microphones us rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> 08/20/1999 COMPUTER STUFF...build yers rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> 08/19/1999 Re: No Code Is Citizen's Ban rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> 08/16/1999 Re: Want Pentium motherboard rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> 08/16/1999 Re: F.S. Ham and Audio Gear rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> 08/14/1999 Re: VHF/TV Antenna Rotator F alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> 08/14/1999 Re: +++FS:VHF 350 Watt RF PA rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> 08/13/1999 Re: ICOM 706 question??? rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> 08/08/1999 Wanted CLEAN ICOM IC2AT rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> 08/08/1999 WANT CLEAN ICOM 02AT rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> 08/06/1999 Re: 6m antenna question rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 08/06/1999 WANTED ICOM 02-AT rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> 06/25/1999 COMPUTERS AND COMPONENTS DIR alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> 06/25/1999 computer parts and systems f rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 06/25/1999 Computer parts CHEAP by a bi rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> 06/25/1999 computer parts dirt cheap by rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
> 06/25/1999 Computer stuff dirt cheap fr rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> 06/25/1999 Re: "RETEST THE RETARDS" rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> 06/25/1999 Computer stuff dirt cheap fr rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> 06/23/1999 12 pin compactron tube socke rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
> 06/23/1999 Re: Linear amps rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
> 06/20/1999 VHF ANTENNAS FOR SALE...good alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> 06/20/1999 vhf antennas...for sale good rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 06/20/1999 VHF yagis and jpoles...cheap rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> 06/20/1999 Vhf antennas...Yagis and jpo rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
> 06/20/1999 VHF antennas...yagis and jpo rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> 06/20/1999 for sale...vhf antennas...ch rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> 08/24/1999 Re: FLASH!! RADIO SCAMMER KI rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> 08/23/1999 Re: No Code Is Citizen's Ban rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> 08/23/1999 O4AT trade proposal... rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 08/22/1999 Re: Fair Radio Sales? rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> 08/22/1999 Re: 6 Meter question rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> 08/21/1999 Re: No Code Is Citizen's Ban rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> 08/21/1999 Re: No Code Is Citizen's Ban rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> 08/21/1999 Re: No Code Is Citizen's Ban rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> 08/21/1999 Re: No Code Is Citizen's Ban rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> 08/20/1999 COMPUTER STUFF...build yers rec.radio.swap N8wwm

> 08/26/1999 Re: FS: Bird Slugs 2500B rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> 08/26/1999 Re: FS: Bird Slugs 2500B rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> 08/26/1999 Re: LOOKING FOR ANY MODS ALI rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> 08/26/1999 For Sale..RARE SSB CB TRC 45 rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 08/26/1999 Re: CDE TR-44 pinout needed rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 08/25/1999 Want Icom 2400H rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> 08/24/1999 Re: stacking antennas rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 08/24/1999 Re: How much power is needed rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> 08/24/1999 Re: No Code Is Citizen's Ban rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> 08/24/1999 For sale: A GREAT solid stat rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 08/24/1999 Re: WTB TRC-458 Navaho rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> 08/24/1999 Re: How much power is needed rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> 07/19/1999 Re: FS: Affordable 10m & 2m rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> 07/17/1999 Re: FS: UHF Linear AMP 100W rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> 07/17/1999 Re: FS Ebay: Radio Shack HTX rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> 07/11/1999 Re: Wanted: I need MRF-245 o rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> 07/09/1999 Re: coax antenna lead rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 07/09/1999 Re: needed: easy 6 meter yag rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 07/09/1999 Re: Alliance antenna rotor h rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 07/08/1999 Re: Wanted 40CH CB Base am/s rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> 07/08/1999 Re: FS:Odds and Ends rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> 07/08/1999 Yaesu FT 890 hf rig for sale rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> 08/03/2000 Re: All the infighting: a pe rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 07/31/2000 Re: Matching & Feeding 2 7 e rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 07/31/2000 Re: Realistic HTX-202 & 404 alt.ham-radio.mods N8wwm
> 07/19/1999 Re: ny schematics for a tube rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
> 07/19/1999 Re: How 6 meters these days rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> 06/09/1999 Re: ??? Has Norm's Rotor???? rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> 06/08/1999 FS:VHF ANTENNAS rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> 06/08/1999 FS VHF ANTENNAS! CHEAP!!! rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
> 06/08/1999 FS VHF ANTENNAS...CHEAP! <AN rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> 06/08/1999 Re: WTB NICE ham 4 rotor rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> 06/08/1999 FOR SALE...Cheap and GOOD 2 alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> 06/08/1999 FS CHEAP AND GOOD 2 METER AN rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 06/08/1999 FS VHF antennas...GOOD AND C rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> 06/07/1999 Re: wanted yagi design progr rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 06/07/1999 Re: WTB: Rhon 25 rotor shelf rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 06/07/1999 Re: WTB: Ham-II, III, or IV rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 05/19/1999 Re: Homebew Yagis alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> 08/04/2000 Re: All the infighting: a pe rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 05/14/1999 Re: 6 M antenna rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 05/14/1999 Re: 2 meter 6 element Antenn rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 05/14/1999 Re: WTB: CDE "BIG TALK" Roto rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 03/29/1999 Re: 6m antenna ? rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 03/29/1999 Re: What's better for 2m bas rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 03/29/1999 Re: Homebrew 6 meter amplifi rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 03/29/1999 Re: 10M beam rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 03/14/1999 Re: Need Info For Project: C rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 03/03/1999 Re: Antennas rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm

> 03/03/1999 Re: Antennas for sale...Chic rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 04/05/1999 Re: 10M beam rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 04/05/1999 Re: alarm foil antennas rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 04/05/1999 Re: gamma matching rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> 04/05/1999 Re: LOOKING FOR ELMER!! alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> 03/29/1999 Re: How can I homebrew a 2 m rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

330. N8wwm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <90cvfn$4f0u$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 61766

On this post he couldnt even tell the difference between a Icom and a Yaesu
on the CBers web site. Brilliant, I hope this guy isn't the best HAM has to
offer.

KRS

SNIP>>>
>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
Subject: Re: The CB guys are going crazy
Date: 12/01/2000
Author: N8wwm <n8wwm@aol.com>

<< previous in search • next in search >>

>The real laugh comes when you try
>> to convince the local cop that your
>> radio isn't a CB and that your ham
>> license makes you exempt -- and he
>> tells you that you can explain it
>> all to the judge next month at the
>> hearing [snip]
>
>

This looks like some of the posts on the new law in rec.radio.cb

It is this "you're gonna get it too!" stuff that indicates, in my opinion,
that the CB guys are paranoid and looking for allies.

I do not think any laws are gonna be made without local amateur operators
getting their voice heard in the process.

Mostly, it is the violators who complain about the law. I even had one guy
in the CB newsgroup say that he didn't care, that hams were @#$%%^^), and
that he would operate illegally forever.
The guy even has a website for his "hobby", showing his Icom HF rig on 11
meters and has SSTV screenshots displayed on it from as far away as Africa.
you gotta see it to believe it.
Incredible.
Below is the website

http://www.kp82.com

331. Re: N8WWM has no LIFE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <90d03j$3tdg$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 61767

Maybe this guy would take his own advise some day. Your pops is probably
rolling over in his grave at your present demeanor wouldn't you say N8WWM?

KRS

snip>>
>>>>>>>>>
I am just weary of the BS.
My dad<RIP> used to say.."It just makes my ass tired"

When some of the posters to this NG become assets to it, as many others are,
we will all enjoy it more.

Anyone who defends themself to me is wasting time. I don't care what YOU
do...I care about the newsgroup. I suggest all of the whining be moved to
the telephone...or better yet...choose your weapons, start back to back,
take 8 paces, turn, aim , fire.
We have new people here who need help...why dont the fussers take out time
and make ONE constructive post to a newbie or some other inquiry?
OR...You could start your own NG and call it rec.radio.amateur.whinebabies

N8WWM

332. N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <90cvkb$5qpm$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 61768

Maybe this guy would take his own advise some day. Your pops is probably
rolling over in his grave at your present demeanor wouldn't you say N8WWM?

KRS

snip>>
>>>>>>>>>
I am just weary of the BS.
My dad<RIP> used to say.."It just makes my ass tired"

When some of the posters to this NG become assets to it, as many others are,
we will all enjoy it more.

Anyone who defends themself to me is wasting time. I don't care what YOU
do...I care about the newsgroup. I suggest all of the whining be moved to
the telephone...or better yet...choose your weapons, start back to back,
take 8 paces, turn, aim , fire.
We have new people here who need help...why dont the fussers take out time
and make ONE constructive post to a newbie or some other inquiry?
OR...You could start your own NG and call it rec.radio.amateur.whinebabies

N8WWM

333. Re: Question on proposal to move CB frequency up to VHF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jhnwil876@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001203040857.10749.00002882@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 61769

>Subject: Re: Question on proposal to move CB frequency up to VHF
>From: Buzz <!turtle@owc.net>
>Date: 02/12/00 19:18 GMT
>Message-id: <ljii2tk9mudl1cdgftde17mh4dl9funh4d@4ax.com>
>
>

They abolished 904 Mhz C.B in Britain last year and there are now 80 channels
27Mhz F.M only.

334. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ipo Camacho <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t2k3qmojd3imc0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61770

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001203005426.11935.00002309@ng-ci1.aol.com...
> >Spurious emissions, harmonics, and intermod products can be caused
> >by a number of factors. And not all are from CB.
>
> Yeah, and JFK, Elvis, and Marilyn Monroe are doing a threesome on
Gilligan's
> Island, too...

Really? "...reality is a frame of mind. stupidity is a state of mind"

335. Re: Machine-Gun like noise on 27.960 lsb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jhnwil876@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001203042053.10749.00002883@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 61771

>Subject: Re: Machine-Gun like noise on 27.960 lsb?
>From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>

>
>Speaking of which, I am hearing a clock-like signal on a whole bunch of
>bands now. I first noticed it on a 4MHZ freq I am licensed for and on
>20 and 40 meters. It seems to have about a 1 second interval and goes
>continuously. Anybody else heard this thing?
>
>

Check that it is not your central heating timer or any other electric clock.

336. Re: Machine-Gun like noise on 27.960 lsb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jhnwil876@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001203042446.10749.00002884@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 61772

>Subject: Re: Machine-Gun like noise on 27.960 lsb?
>From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>

>If I recall correctly, the "Russian Woodpecker" did not sweek across the
>banks. It was used to jam one or two international broadcasting signals
>in the 40 meter band that the Soviet government did not want their citizens
>to be able to hear.

No, the Russian Woodpecker was a mind control signal aimed at the West. What effect if any it had is unclear because it is all classified, obviously our guys want to keep the technology secret so that we can use it, apparently we used various stuff in the Gulf to try and get the Iraqis to surrender more quickly.

The woodpecker was not a Jamming signal, it was much to powerful for that.

337. Re: My point has been made

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <pedk2tsb9ffu3351djnaa6a9lss07gn98l@4ax.com>
Source record: 61773

>I say again, only illegal operators will be penalized.
>
What a hypocrite. Did you not try to sell in this newsgroup a
Radio Shack radio that you advertised with the 858 PLL?
You implied that a CB'er could mod this to get more channels
but now you complain about illegal operation.

Can you say hypocrite.

338. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <enfk2tgv7cvtnr755aj1sv99jsojdfdq6h@4ax.com>
Source record: 61774

>> All this whining is more about jealousy than anything else.
>> Jealousy of a large number of people enjoying something that
>> they can not.
>
>If overmodulated rigs, splattering signals from crappy amplifiers wiping
>out adjacent channels, talking over one another, foul mouth gutter
>language, and morons with an IQ of about half their age yelling
>aaaaauuuuuuuddddddiiiiiiooooo!!!! for hours into the mic is your idea of
>enjoying radio, I don't think there is any reason for hams to be jealous.
>Jealous of what, total chaos? Give me a break..

You need to run better equipment. There is NO major splatter
problem on 10 meters. I can only speak for my local area however
even during skip condition there is NO major splatter problem
(excluding foreigners). All this is said even though I live in a major
city.

Get better equipment. It IS the fault of your receiver.

339. Re: Machine-Gun like noise on 27.960 lsb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: LINETRASH@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21156-3A2A4893-8@storefull-153.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61775

it's called the russian woodpecker

http://community.webtv.net/LINETRASH/LINETRASH

340. THE HAM'S ARE COMMING THE HAM'S ARE COMMING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: elmerpee@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90dk08kb1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 61776

Its about time that the FCC and the HAMS clean up the CB Radio Bands,I have listen too the CB bnads where you ASSHOLES think you have too run BIG POWER too talk across the damm street or can say any thing but is my radio putting out or what am i putting on your meter good buddy.CB radio would be a good band if the CB'er would have clean it up long ago and would not be the fcc and ham too clean it up today.I have nothing bad to say about CB'er there as many bad hams as bad CB'ers on the airwaves and they all need a visit from the FCC.If all ham's and cb'ers would turn off there big power they would be able too talk just as far and also help clean the bands up.With all the BIG POWER HOGS all there doing is makeing a mess of the bands,Some you can hear all over the band and i and not talking too cb's only but too ham's too.Clean Up your damm shit or get the FUCK off the bands.BEFOR THE HAM'S GET YOUR ASS

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

341. Re: Scottiekins at his best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: sideband <sideband@cac.net>
Message-ID: <4skk2t0hc0uh04v8fj71gvt07m5oakun0i@4ax.com>
Source record: 61777
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Scott:

It's a troll, and nothing but a 1/2 bit CB'er with a ticket. Hash and trash, hate and discontent.... sound a bit like those idiots on Channel 19 who jam up the freq and make the roads unsafe for everyone... Just talk over it, and ignore it.

Just my two cents. Your mileage may vary.

-SSB

On or about Sat, 02 Dec 2000 18:15:54 -0500, "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>, using the forum rec.radio.cb did say:

:Why are you afraid to post this the the amateur group as well as
:RRCB? I knew you couldn't resist a chance to place an insulting
:post to RRCB. Back to the name calling and insults. It's what you
:do best. You should spend your time learning CW, since CB is evil.
:
:N8wwm wrote:
:>
:> Scottiekins blathered moronically:
:> >I will follow you around the NG's and make your pitiful existence
:> >a nightmare. Of course, you can be a man and apologize to the masses...
:> >
:> N8WWM, with his usual perfect perspective and shining eloquence stated:
:> Go ahead...it only proves my point. I could not care less.

342. Re: N8WWM has no LIFE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: sideband <sideband@cac.net>
Message-ID: <25lk2t0akc7f8tpui9eo5oh7lsrebn7gad@4ax.com>
Source record: 61778

Holy Moly! The world is gonna end! Me and G SEVEN actually agree on
something! RUN FOR YOUR LIVES! ::snicker::

Well said, G SEVEN. 73's from the Great Lakes.

-SSB

On or about Sat, 2 Dec 2000 23:14:06 -0800, "G SEVEN"
<superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>, using the forum rec.radio.cb did
say:

:N8WWM, has no life. At least he's not prejudice to just CBers, he talks shit
:on the Amateur group as well. Out of all he's post there is only 10% that
:actually contribute to the hobbies. Stop wasting your time arguing with
:him, by the judging of his past history he feeds on the HATE AND DISCONTENT.
:Dont give him what he thrives on.
:
:KRS
:
:A snip of his masturbating intellectual conversations with his fellow
:HAMS_____
:_____
:
:
:HE NC
:The man states the obvious...the obvious is not libel.
:What you could do, to just shut it all down at once, is get the hell off of
:here with all your nonsense and pathetic, immature attention grabbing
:attempts.
:
:
:No one on here cares if you are upset. That is because you are haughty and
:you are a liar. These components of your character have been amply
:displayed. They are also things that tend to limit an individual's
:acceptance by a group. Since you are a PhD, use your noodle and figure it
:out.
:
:In plain english, GROW UP.
:
:>> Then Jim, what right do you have to
:try to bias folks INTO that opinion,
:>as
:>> opposed to letting them make up their OWN minds? What 'jolly' do you get
:>making
:>> a DELIBERATELY hateful statement towards a stranger?

:>>
:>> NC
:>
:
:If this is such a killer antenna why doesn't every ham scramble and build
:one now?
:
:By the way...Arnie Coro is a better man than anyone needing to post stupid
:comments about an antenna no one gives a damn about in a news group.
:
:Bottom Line: No one really cares about your bullshit, Chip. Take it to the
:ARRL and see if you get published in any real sense. Better yet...why dont
:you go to one of the publishers that let you publish anything you want as
:long as you pay all the costs? That way your great grandchildren can curse
:you as they haul all ten thousand copies that never sold out of your attic
:when you croak.
:
:I think you, your cohorts AND your critics all oughta get a life. Go play
:with your radios, quit spreading your sewage, shut up, grow up, be amateur
:radio operators.
:
:The subject, the attitudes, the whole thing...utterly goddamn useless. Use
:some of that intelligence that gave you the ability to earn a PhD and accept
:it sometime soon...maybe after a long few minutes of looking in a mirror.
:
: << previous in search ï¿½ next in search >>
:
:I am just weary of the BS.
:My dad<RIP> used to say.."It just makes my ass tired"
:
:When some of the posters to this NG become assets to it, as many others are,
:we will all enjoy it more.
:
:Anyone who defends themself to me is wasting time. I don't care what YOU
:do...I care about the newsgroup. I suggest all of the whining be moved to
:the telephone...or better yet...choose your weapons, start back to back,
:take 8 paces, turn, aim , fire.
:We have new people here who need help...why dont the fussers take out time
:and make ONE constructive post to a newbie or some other inquiry?
:OR...You could start your own NG and call it rec.radio.amateur.whinebabies
:
:
:Date Subject Forum Author
:12/03/2000 Re: My point has been made rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:12/03/2000 Re: My point has been made rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:12/01/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:12/01/2000 Re: ARRL News RE:illegal CB rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:12/01/2000 Re: Question on proposal to rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:12/01/2000 Re: The CB guys are going cr alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
:12/01/2000 6 meter NW Ohio SSB net alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm

:12/01/2000 Re: Clinton Signs Bill on Il rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:12/01/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:12/01/2000 hams taking 11 meters back rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:12/01/2000 YES!! L.A. kicks BSA out of rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
:12/01/2000 Re: Machine-Gun like noise o rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:12/01/2000 Re: Don't Despair get your h rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:12/01/2000 Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:12/01/2000 Re: hams taking 11 meters ba rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:12/01/2000 Re: hams taking 11 meters ba rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:12/01/2000 off the topic but funny as h rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:12/01/2000 Re: off the topic but funny rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:12/01/2000 Re: hams taking 11 meters ba rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:12/01/2000 Re: The CB guys are going cr alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
:12/01/2000 Re: off the topic but funny rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:12/01/2000 Re: hams taking 11 meters ba rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:12/01/2000 Re: off the topic but funny rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:12/01/2000 Re: The CB guys are going cr alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
:12/01/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:Date Subject Forum Author
:12/02/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:12/02/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:12/02/2000 Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:12/02/2000 Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:12/02/2000 Re: hams taking 11 meters ba rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:12/02/2000 Re: hams taking 11 meters ba rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:12/02/2000 Bottom line on CB enforcemen rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:12/02/2000 Re: The big take over rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:12/02/2000 Bill Clinton is my hero rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:12/02/2000 My point has been made rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:12/02/2000 Re: Piss Off KC8JBO!!! rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
:12/02/2000 Re: My point has been made rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:12/02/2000 Scottiekins at his best rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:12/01/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:11/30/2000 Re: CB crackdown issue rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:11/30/2000 Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:11/30/2000 Re: Don't Despair get your h rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:11/29/2000 Re: Dummy Loads - What liqui rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:11/29/2000 Re: CB crackdown issue rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:11/29/2000 Re: Clinton Signs Bill on Il rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:11/29/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:11/29/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:11/29/2000 Re: Hams don't be fooled by rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:11/29/2000 Re: Don't Despair get your h rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:11/29/2000 Re: DISCUSSION: IS HAM RADIO alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
:11/30/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:11/30/2000 Re: Don't Despair get your h rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:11/30/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:11/30/2000 Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:11/30/2000 ARRL News RE:illegal CB BILL rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:11/30/2000 ARRL News RE:illegal CB BILL rec.radio.cb N8wwm

:11/30/2000 The CB guys are going crazy alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
:11/30/2000 Re: HR2346 : hams beware rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:11/30/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:11/30/2000 Twistedhed-smoke and mirrors rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:11/30/2000 Re: Power Supply Question rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:11/30/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:11/28/2000 Fracky Freaky rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:11/28/2000 CB crackdown issue rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:11/28/2000 Re: Dummy Loads - What liqui rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:11/27/2000 Clinton Signs Bill on Illega rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:11/26/2000 Re: Clinton Signs Bill on Il rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:11/26/2000 Re: Clinton Signs Bill on Il rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:11/25/2000 Nathan Cohen changes thread rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:11/25/2000 Re: Clinton Signs Bill on Il rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:11/18/2000 Re: Jesse "Rent A Riot" Jack rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
:11/18/2000 Re: AR-33 & AR-40 Rotators- rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:11/18/2000 Re: CB to 10m alt.ham-radio.mods N8wwm
:11/18/2000 Re: Which HT is BEST please? alt.ham-radio.mods N8wwm
:11/18/2000 Re: Discone or Ground Plane? alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
:11/23/2000 Re: Democrats and Republican rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
:11/22/2000 Re: radio newbie alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
:11/22/2000 Re: ARRL Board to Consider M rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
:11/22/2000 Re: Anyone use the HF/VHF/UH rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
:11/22/2000 Democrats and Republicans ar rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
:11/21/2000 Re: radio newbie alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
:11/21/2000 Antique CB setup For sale rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:11/21/2000 Re: Coax Kid Washed Up? rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:11/07/2000 N8WWM ON PSK31 FROM EN81 alt.ham-radio.marketp N8wwm
:11/07/2000 N8WWM on PSK 31 TONIGHT alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
:11/07/2000 N8WWM on PSK31 Tonight! rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:11/07/2000 N8WWM ON PSK31 TONIGHT rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
:11/07/2000 Re: New Radio Shack HF/6m Ri rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
:11/06/2000 Re: HY-GAIN TAILTWISTER & A rec.radio.swap N8wwm
:11/06/2000 Re: HY-GAIN TAILTWISTER & A rec.radio.swap N8wwm
:11/05/2000 Re: Got the MFJ259 Analyzer, rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:11/05/2000 Re: VHF UHF SWR Meters alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
:11/05/2000 Re: Need Mod for FT 902D Yae alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
:11/05/2000 Al Gore and LOVE STORY rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
:11/05/2000 Bush: A DRUNK in the Whiteho rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
:11/05/2000 NEW MESSAGE ABOUT CLINTON..L rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
:11/03/2000 Re: h a t r e d DID THIS.... rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:11/02/2000 Re: Bye Bye Herbie! rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
:11/02/2000 Re: WTB: 440 Handheld 5 watt rec.radio.swap N8wwm
:11/01/2000 Re: 2N3771 replacement? rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
:11/12/2000 Re: NO Code - No HF alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
:11/12/2000 Re: NO Code alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
:11/12/2000 Re: call to arms rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:11/12/2000 Re: call to arms rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:11/12/2000 Re: The lies of N9GSU rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
:11/12/2000 Re: Ham threatens to kill K1 rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm

:11/08/2000 Re: Need a Rotor or service? rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:11/08/2000 Re: Replacement for MRF475 a rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
:11/08/2000 Re: 2N3771 replacement? rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
:11/07/2000 N8WWM on PSK 31 Tonight rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
:11/07/2000 N8WWM on PSK31 tonight...6 m rec.radio.swap N8wwm
:11/07/2000 Hardline and connectors for alt.ham-radio.marketp N8wwm
:11/07/2000 1 and 5/8'' hardline, connec alt.ham-radio.mods N8wwm
:11/07/2000 NEW HARDLINE FOR SALE CHEAP. alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
:11/07/2000 NEW HARDLINE FOR SALE CHEAP rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:11/07/2000 NEW HARDLINE FOR SALE CHEAP rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
:11/07/2000 New Hardline for sale cheap rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
:11/07/2000 Hardline for sale cheap! goo rec.radio.swap N8wwm
:10/22/2000 Re: Wanted alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
:10/22/2000 Re: ft 102 cb mod details re alt.ham-radio.mods N8wwm
:10/20/2000 Re: Nixon's Last Election alt.history N8wwm
:10/19/2000 Re: Nixon's Last Election alt.history N8wwm
:10/19/2000 Re: Help for a history resea alt.history N8wwm
:10/19/2000 Re: Misconception 101: Hitle alt.history N8wwm
:10/19/2000 Re: Misconception 101: Hitle alt.history N8wwm
:07/17/2000 Re: FS SB-200 mod for 6 mtrs alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
:10/31/2000 ICOM IC255A Manual needed... alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
:10/31/2000 WANTED: ICOM IC 2400A MANUAL alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
:10/31/2000 Re: 6m Beam or Vertical? alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
:10/24/2000 Re: Where to start on 6M/HF? rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:10/23/2000 Re: Where to start on 6M/HF? rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:09/28/2000 Re: CD ROM - program for set alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
:09/28/2000 PRESS RELEASE: NEW ANTENNA B rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:09/07/2000 Re: ICOM IC-28A HELP!!!!!!!! alt.ham-radio.marketp N8wwm
:09/07/2000 Re: $1000 FRACTAL ANTENNA CH rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:09/07/2000 Re: Any Studs Wanna Fuck My rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:09/07/2000 Re: PUBLIC NOTICE rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:09/07/2000 Re: PUBLIC NOTICE rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:08/23/2000 Re: You Know You're an Anten rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:08/23/2000 Re: Another perspective RE: rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:08/22/2000 Another perspective RE: Frac rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:08/20/2000 Re: PUBLIC NOTICE: CEASE AND rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:08/20/2000 Re: PUBLIC NOTICE: CEASE AND rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:08/18/2000 Re: I need some help alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
:07/31/2000 Re: How to build an ISOTRON rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:07/31/2000 All the infighting: a perspe rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:07/23/2000 Re: htx-245 looking for a po alt.ham-radio.mods N8wwm
:07/23/2000 Re: htx-245 looking for a po alt.ham-radio.mods N8wwm
:07/21/2000 Re: htx-245 looking for a po alt.ham-radio.mods N8wwm
:07/21/2000 Re: Quad design web site? rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:09/07/1999 WTB GONSET 6 METER AMP rec.radio.swap N8wwm
:09/06/1999 Re: ADI vendors w/ good retu rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
:09/04/1999 Re: J-Pole J-Pole J-Pole Nee alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
:08/31/1999 Re: ham 4 indicator pot need rec.radio.swap N8wwm
:08/31/1999 Re: Help with 6m antenna! rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:08/31/1999 Re: Another "ham slamming "p rec.radio.cb N8wwm

:08/31/1999 Re: Modification to Siltroni rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:08/29/1999 Re: FS Icom 2400 dual band rec.radio.swap N8wwm
:08/29/1999 Re: ham radio kits rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
:08/02/1999 Re: Wanted: pin layout of MR alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
:08/01/1999 For sale: Icom 575-A rec.radio.swap N8wwm
:07/28/1999 Re: Help with making a dummy rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:07/28/1999 Re: Wanted: pin layout of MR rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:09/13/1999 Re: Question: Microphones us rec.radio.swap N8wwm
:08/20/1999 COMPUTER STUFF...build yers rec.radio.swap N8wwm
:08/19/1999 Re: No Code Is Citizen's Ban rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
:08/16/1999 Re: Want Pentium motherboard rec.radio.swap N8wwm
:08/16/1999 Re: F.S. Ham and Audio Gear rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
:08/14/1999 Re: VHF/TV Antenna Rotator F alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
:08/14/1999 Re: +++FS:VHF 350 Watt RF PA rec.radio.swap N8wwm
:08/13/1999 Re: ICOM 706 question??? rec.radio.swap N8wwm
:08/08/1999 Wanted CLEAN ICOM IC2AT rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
:08/08/1999 WANT CLEAN ICOM 02AT rec.radio.swap N8wwm
:08/06/1999 Re: 6m antenna question rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:08/06/1999 WANTED ICOM 02-AT rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
:06/25/1999 COMPUTERS AND COMPONENTS DIR alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
:06/25/1999 computer parts and systems f rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:06/25/1999 Computer parts CHEAP by a bi rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
:06/25/1999 computer parts dirt cheap by rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
:06/25/1999 Computer stuff dirt cheap fr rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
:06/25/1999 Re: "RETEST THE RETARDS" rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
:06/25/1999 Computer stuff dirt cheap fr rec.radio.swap N8wwm
:06/23/1999 12 pin compactron tube socke rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
:06/23/1999 Re: Linear amps rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
:06/20/1999 VHF ANTENNAS FOR SALE...good alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
:06/20/1999 vhf antennas...for sale good rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:06/20/1999 VHF yagis and jpoles...cheap rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
:06/20/1999 Vhf antennas...Yagis and jpo rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
:06/20/1999 VHF antennas...yagis and jpo rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
:06/20/1999 for sale...vhf antennas...ch rec.radio.swap N8wwm
:08/24/1999 Re: FLASH!! RADIO SCAMMER KI rec.radio.swap N8wwm
:08/23/1999 Re: No Code Is Citizen's Ban rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
:08/23/1999 O4AT trade proposal... rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:08/22/1999 Re: Fair Radio Sales? rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
:08/22/1999 Re: 6 Meter question rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
:08/21/1999 Re: No Code Is Citizen's Ban rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
:08/21/1999 Re: No Code Is Citizen's Ban rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
:08/21/1999 Re: No Code Is Citizen's Ban rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
:08/21/1999 Re: No Code Is Citizen's Ban rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
:08/20/1999 COMPUTER STUFF...build yers rec.radio.swap N8wwm
:08/26/1999 Re: FS: Bird Slugs 2500B rec.radio.swap N8wwm
:08/26/1999 Re: FS: Bird Slugs 2500B rec.radio.swap N8wwm
:08/26/1999 Re: LOOKING FOR ANY MODS ALI rec.radio.swap N8wwm
:08/26/1999 For Sale..RARE SSB CB TRC 45 rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:08/26/1999 Re: CDE TR-44 pinout needed rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:08/25/1999 Want Icom 2400H rec.radio.swap N8wwm

:08/24/1999 Re: stacking antennas rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:08/24/1999 Re: How much power is needed rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
:08/24/1999 Re: No Code Is Citizen's Ban rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
:08/24/1999 For sale: A GREAT solid stat rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:08/24/1999 Re: WTB TRC-458 Navaho rec.radio.cb N8wwm
:08/24/1999 Re: How much power is needed rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
:07/19/1999 Re: FS: Affordable 10m & 2m rec.radio.swap N8wwm
:07/17/1999 Re: FS: UHF Linear AMP 100W rec.radio.swap N8wwm
:07/17/1999 Re: FS Ebay: Radio Shack HTX rec.radio.swap N8wwm
:07/11/1999 Re: Wanted: I need MRF-245 o rec.radio.swap N8wwm
:07/09/1999 Re: coax antenna lead rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:07/09/1999 Re: needed: easy 6 meter yag rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:07/09/1999 Re: Alliance antenna rotor h rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:07/08/1999 Re: Wanted 40CH CB Base am/s rec.radio.swap N8wwm
:07/08/1999 Re: FS:Odds and Ends rec.radio.swap N8wwm
:07/08/1999 Yaesu FT 890 hf rig for sale rec.radio.swap N8wwm
:08/03/2000 Re: All the infighting: a pe rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:07/31/2000 Re: Matching & Feeding 2 7 e rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:07/31/2000 Re: Realistic HTX-202 & 404 alt.ham-radio.mods N8wwm
:07/19/1999 Re: ny schematics for a tube rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
:07/19/1999 Re: How 6 meters these days rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
:06/09/1999 Re: ??? Has Norm's Rotor???? rec.radio.swap N8wwm
:06/08/1999 FS:VHF ANTENNAS rec.radio.swap N8wwm
:06/08/1999 FS VHF ANTENNAS! CHEAP!!! rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
:06/08/1999 FS VHF ANTENNAS...CHEAP! <AN rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
:06/08/1999 Re: WTB NICE ham 4 rotor rec.radio.swap N8wwm
:06/08/1999 FOR SALE...Cheap and GOOD 2 alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
:06/08/1999 FS CHEAP AND GOOD 2 METER AN rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:06/08/1999 FS VHF antennas...GOOD AND C rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
:06/07/1999 Re: wanted yagi design progr rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:06/07/1999 Re: WTB: Rhon 25 rotor shelf rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:06/07/1999 Re: WTB: Ham-II, III, or IV rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:05/19/1999 Re: Homebew Yagis alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
:08/04/2000 Re: All the infighting: a pe rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:05/14/1999 Re: 6 M antenna rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:05/14/1999 Re: 2 meter 6 element Antenn rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:05/14/1999 Re: WTB: CDE "BIG TALK" Roto rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:03/29/1999 Re: 6m antenna ? rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:03/29/1999 Re: What's better for 2m bas rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:03/29/1999 Re: Homebrew 6 meter amplifi rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:03/29/1999 Re: 10M beam rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:03/14/1999 Re: Need Info For Project: C rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:03/03/1999 Re: Antennas rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:03/03/1999 Re: Antennas for sale...Chic rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:04/05/1999 Re: 10M beam rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:04/05/1999 Re: alarm foil antennas rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:04/05/1999 Re: gamma matching rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:04/05/1999 Re: LOOKING FOR ELMER!! alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
:03/29/1999 Re: How can I homebrew a 2 m rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
:

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

343. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12494-3A2A5249-9@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61779

I agree, Tnom! Crappy receivers. One of my hammie buds lives less than a mile away and blows serious smoke, don't even know he is operating unless I go looking.

344. Re: My point has been made

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12494-3A2A5744-11@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61780

>".....maybe amateurs would like to shut me up.....">,....N8wwm attempted to muse, as the bile colored drool dribbled forth from what once could be construed as a semi-recognizable and cognitive form that doubled as the only communication the monstrosity had ever known, his own Gluteus Maximus, which had somehow, now found it's own demented and foul contorted path to the oral passage.

Why would they? We, uh, THEY, are laughing at you. And stop pleasuring yourself to my posts.

345. Re: N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12496-3A2A586B-4@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61781

Uh, I don't think anyone in this ng would use the term "we" and have you considered. Since today's dose of Prozac and Lithium has you focused on the finer merits of the ng, please refrain from utilizing the word "we", as no persons on this ng would consider YOU, a "we".

346. Re: N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12495-3A2A58CF-8@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61782

Who forwarded that post to Riley along with his callsign and info? I bet it was a hammie.

347. Re: Bill Clinton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12497-3A2A5A7F-2@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61783

You ever notice, Scott, that folks who never posted here before all of a sudden are appearing in response? Cooincidence? Methinks not! By the way, you appear to have picked up a shadow. Don't ya' know imitation is the sincerest form of flattery? Poor bastard. I think he actually may have an affinity or something for cbers.

348. Re: My point has been made

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Professor <bgriffey@kwom.com>
Message-ID: <00tW5.14995$322.202916@e420r-sjo3.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 61784

Let em have it N8WWM !
I'm not a ham myself... and can't really comment on the ham group in general... but I do know that most CBers are total aholes and aren't worth the air they breathe. This NG is no exception... be glad these morons don't frequent your bands much.
I, on the other hand, put up with this on a daily basis.

--

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
"Professor"
http://personal.kwom.com/bgriffey/
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

349. Re: Machine-Gun like noise on 27.960 lsb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Professor <bgriffey@kwom.com>
Message-ID: <c1tW5.15009$322.203143@e420r-sjo3.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 61785

lol...

--

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
"Professor"
http://personal.kwom.com/bgriffey/
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"MICHAEL SIMPSON" <LINETRASH@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21156-3A2A4893-8@storefull-153.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> it's called the russian woodpecker

350. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Professor <bgriffey@kwom.com>
Message-ID: <QdtW5.27356$fG3.363803@e420r-sjo2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 61786

Don't you believe it...

--

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
"Professor"
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> Yeah, and JFK, Elvis, and Marilyn Monroe are doing a threesome on
Gilligan's
> Island, too...

351. Re: My point has been made

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: bendowersagain@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001203095033.12493.00001153@ng-me1.aol.com>
Source record: 61787

>n8wwm@aol.com (N8wwm said

>your sure an embarrassment.

>I represent me, not ham radio. If the institution of amateur radio is not
>necessarily in agreement with me, OK...I think most hams are, though. It is

To me and many others in this news group, you and your partners in crime do represent Ham radio. Those who worry about the ARRL declining membership should be takeing a good long hard look at you.

352. n8wwn promotes illegal activity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <9knk2tsuvc3np8lou7o2pu4cli109p8ed4@4ax.com>
Source record: 61788

This is what this hypocrite promotes for himself but chastises others for doing. BEGIN OF QUOTED MATERIAL:
****
08/23/99

rec.radio.cb

O4AT trade proposal...

Hi Steve

I have a MINT Radio Shack TRC 457 base ssb unit. It was made in 78!

Has the Uniden 858 PLL chip. I also have the SECRET CB modification info for this radio, The radio itself has NEVER been opened up. It works and looks like new. Comes with a RS amplified base station mike ta boot!

I would take $50.00 cash and the 04AT for it, if the HT is in good shape. Obviously we would each pay our own shipping.

n8wwm@aol.com

****
10/22/00

alt.ham-radio.mods

Re: ft 102 cb mod details required

Take all 4 10 meter crystals out...replace each one with one 1mhz lower retune output slightly thats it, then you have 26.0 to 28.0 radio

n8wwm@aol.com

****

353. Re: My point has been made

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A2A3526.1913B908@qnet.com>
Source record: 61789

Hello Brian:

I am sure you have everyone on your side now.

Jay in the Mojave

Professor wrote:
>
> Let em have it N8WWM !
> I'm not a ham myself... and can't really comment on the ham group in
> general... but I do know that most CBers are total aholes and aren't worth
> the air they breathe. This NG is no exception... be glad these morons don't
> frequent your bands much.
> I, on the other hand, put up with this on a daily basis.
>
> --
>
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> "Professor"
> http://personal.kwom.com/bgriffey/
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

354. Re: Missing The Big Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <afnk2tc1n8scb0p050sq5vn8e761402muo@4ax.com>
Source record: 61790

Greetings!

Your brining up numerous different ways to justify your position. Each one just confuses the issue even more. So let's stay of track before I have to start filtering this stuff out because I get tired of reading it.

I hope you caught the other posts regarding your errors - good.

The simple fact is, if you're a Ham, caught running a Yeasu or any other non-type-accepted radio for CB use for freebanding or CB Band, you're busted. Period. End of Story!

Freebands the same way.

My grind with the new law; It all depends on how the local councils' deal with writing up ordinances and restrictions. You're still busted if you're legal and cause interference unless the FCC tells them otherwise. The timeline is screwed up here.

There is a problem with that specific clause in the legislation, and thats allowing local governing bodies to dictate the terms on whether you use a radio or not for CB use. An Amateur on CB band, licensed for General band allocations or above in privledge, still has to follow those same rules. Your not licensed for CB bands, so therefore it falls into that catagory, you can still be busted.

If you run 4 watts, with a type-accepted CB radio, and interfere, you have the FCC behind you. If you run more than 4 watts and it's not type-accepted, your out of there. It takes 6 months to figure it out though.

Amateur or not, you're not an Amateur if you're off your licensed band - you're busted if you don't qualify with the preceeding paragraph.

IT's not a loophole, you're illegal even though you have an Amateur license and operating out of your bands your licensed for. SO, if you're concerned about my attitude towards Hams - as long as we stay on our own turfs, whats your problem?

IF the locals decide you can't have the radio there for FEAR of interference is my grey issue. I'd like to discuss this, but it seems that no one is working the fine lines here.

On 02 Dec 2000 16:28:17 GMT, while the modem was pondering it's navel, n8wwm@aol.com (N8wwm) dissertated the following:

:+> Cody,
:+>
:+> The idea here is to run illegal operators off the illegal frequencies. :+> That is a main goal of the legislation.

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:
...
... You know you're a Hard-Core CB'er when...
... You have a Ham for dinner, and you *DO* remember
... what the Call-Sign was...

355. Re: Goddamn ,,what a fucked up group full of CB idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <otok2tcqevnl2su7gq645f2c92qfe1dlug@4ax.com>
Source record: 61791

Greetings!

They simply reset the default and issued a clear message due to the
crossposting error.

A lot of newsreaders aren't as flexible to screen out crossposted
messages. It gets truncated on the viewers screen, but sent all over
the place. You need to check the headers on a lot of this stuff.

On Sat, 02 Dec 2000 13:59:47 -0500, while the modem was pondering it's
navel, "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
dissertated the following:

:+> It hasn't worked, we're still here and still posting.
:+>
:+> Why the cross-posts to totally unrelated groups?
:+>
:+> "Meat-->Plow" wrote:
:+> >
:+> > On Sat, 02 Dec 2000 11:28:56 -0500, Usenet Global Administration
:+> > Authority <ugaa@wilhelp.com>wrote:
:+> >
:+> > >"Meat-->Plow" <meat@fuck-j00.orq>:
:+> > >
:+> > >>Breaker Breaker One Nine
:+> > >>
:+> > >>You fuck-tards crack me up with all your idiotic discussion.
:+> > >>
:+> > >>I think I'll put this news froup out of its misery and issue a remove
:+> > >>froup control message.
:+> > >>
:+> > >>BWAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
:+> > >>
:+> > >>Say goodbye to your news froup, imbeciles
:+> > >
:+> > >cmsg rmgroup rec.radio.cb
:+> > >cmsg lock rec.radio.cb
:+> > >
:+> > >Usenet Global Administration Authority
:+> >
:+> > Thank you. Saved me the trouble of removing them myslef.

356. Re: Goddamn ,,what a fucked up group full of CB idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: hillbilly <hillbilly001@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <90dpi1$4ae$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 61792

that laugh looks like something chuckie would post.

--
Dave KG5XR
http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
On Bull Shoales Lake, Ark/Mo State Line
Fishing is great & crappie are biting

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

357. Midland handheld question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: SteveS <Skramy@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <uFtW5.5954$Ei1.415681@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61793

I have a Midland 75-830 CB handheld. There is a jack on the side of the batt pack. No mention in the manual what it's for. Is it for charging NiCad's ? If so, will the charger for my Kenwood 28A work? Same 9.6 voltage. Tanks. SteveS

358. Re: N8WWM has no LIFE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: hillbilly <hillbilly001@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <90dq3r$4n4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 61794

In article <90cujhrus1@dagobah.blueriver.net>,
"DX401" <lightnin24@hotmail.com> wrote:
> True about N8 Wienie Washing Moron.

>
no, thats whimpy wienie man..... a no code tech that thinks he's hot
shit.
--
Dave KG5XR
http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
On Bull Shoales Lake, Ark/Mo State Line
Fishing is great & crappie are biting

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

359. FA: SWR/Power Meter/Tuner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20001203104847.01355.00002183@ng-cv1.aol.com>
Source record: 61795

FA: SWR/Power meter/Tuner:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=511512983
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
http://www.riradiobuff.com
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.
Join the 2 meter all mode email reflector:
Send a BLANK email to 2mallmode-subscribe@egroups.com
or visit http://egroups.com/group/2mallmode

360. Re: Speech Processor Update...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <i6tk2to8giph3dej1apvc48tjtk0pbt2jf@4ax.com>
Source record: 61796

Greetings!

Ok, thank you for answering the question...

I was holding out to see if you had something that I would be able to
try in a kit form.

I'll just go to the site and order the breadboard version, I just
don't have access to a PCB etching machine and drills needed. I'll
just buy their board if they have any.

Just has to wait until after X-mas.

On Fri, 01 Dec 2000 21:49:30 GMT, while the modem was pondering it's
navel, Professor <bgriffey@kwom.com> dissertated the following:

:+> Have no plans to do so...
:+>
:+> In article <b7if2t43umrg7fqfu7cd9e2i8ttdiceqi2@4ax.com>,
:+> codyspc@iserv.net wrote:
:+> > Greetings!
:+> >
:+> > Are you going to ever make it in kit form?
:+> >
:+> > On Fri, 1 Dec 2000 05:02:58 -0600, while the modem was pondering it's
:+> > navel, "Professor" <bgriffey@kwom.com> dissertated the following:
:+> >
:+> > :+> Analog Devices #SSM2166P
:+> >
:+> > Regards!
:+> > :+> Andy <+:
:+> > . .-----------------.
:+> > ... You know you're a Mud-Duck when... | 0 |3 5 7 9 30+|
:+> > ... asking for a "Ray-didio check", they |===|=============|
:+> > ... answer with "You're barely gettin' `-----------------'
:+> > ... the weeds wet!"
:+> >
:+> > http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm
:+> >
:+>
:+>
:+> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
:+> Before you buy.

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:

... You know your radio is really Modulating when...
... Elvis shows up at your doorstep and asks to use
... your Microphone!!!

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

361. Re: n8wwn promotes illegal activity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001203113250.09170.00001963@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61797

>This is what this hypocrite promotes for himself but chastises

Notice I did not DO it you dum dum

362. Re: n8wwn promotes illegal activity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <oitk2t8nfqq2cpbgo2vug3hfi0d31pls8n@4ax.com>
Source record: 61798

On 03 Dec 2000 16:32:50 GMT, n8wwm@aol.com (N8wwm) wrote:

>>This is what this hypocrite promotes for himself but chastises
>
>Notice I did not DO it you dum dum

Notice I used the word PROMOTES, you dum dum.

363. Re: My point has been made

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001203113712.09170.00001964@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61799

>Why would they? We, uh, THEY, are laughing at you. And stop pleasuring
>yourself to my posts.
>
>Oh geez thats funny
>

364. N8WWM FAN CLUB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001203114336.09170.00001965@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61800

I wish to thank all of my fans for the anti-N8WWM posts.
In the future, when I think I am having a bad day, I will go to deja and
re-read them so I can laugh vibrantly again.

This is better than a joke book, for sure.

Again, the simple message: Only the illegal ops will be hurt by new laws.

Man am I cracking up. What a hoot.

365. Re: N8wwm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001203114524.15119.00000696@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Source record: 61801

even more publicity for the site ;-)
between eric aka doug and leland c snott my site will hit the 1 million mark in
no time. and no its not a icom and no i never said all hams were @#$%%^^ becaus
i dont have to. guys like you are proving it on a daily basis in this news
group.

>
>Mostly, it is the violators who complain about the law. I even had one guy
>in the CB newsgroup say that he didn't care, that hams were @#$%%^^), and
>that he would operate illegally forever.
>The guy even has a website for his "hobby", showing his Icom HF rig on 11
>meters and has SSTV screenshots displayed on it from as far away as Africa.
>you gotta see it to believe it.
>Incredible.
>Below is the website
>
>http://www.kp82.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

366. Re: N8WWM has no LIFE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001203115019.09170.00001966@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61802

DAVE DAVE DAVE...insult me would ya...

>Dave KG5XR

>On Bull Shoales Lake, Ark/Mo State Line
>Fishing is great & crappie are biting
Where the men are men and the sheep are nervous.

KG5XR droolingly blathered:
>no, thats whimpy wienie man..... a no code tech that thinks he's hot
>shit.
>-
I am laughing hysterically.

367. Re: N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001203115220.09170.00001967@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61803

>Who forwarded that post to Riley along with his callsign and info? I
>bet it was a hammie.
>
>

Hysterical. I hope someone does do it.

hey twisty...when did you and Scottiekins get married?

368. Re: Bill Clinton is my hero

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a2a6f5c@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 61804
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

If Billy clinton is yo hero then A MURDERER is your hero, a draft dodgin' crack head is your hero, a Sodomite faggot is your hero, an UNconstitutional treasonous wimp is your hero....boy, what does that say about you? Billy doesn't have a CB--what do your sick thoughts have to do with CB?
Dave!!!!!!

Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay) wrote in message <3A295853.EE796FF8@hotmail.com>...
Still whining and trolling. Go ahead and call me some more names and fling some more insults. You're great entertainment. I know your peers are howling with laughter AT you now.

N8wwn wrote:
>
> The CB bill is the greatest thing he ever did. I have howled with
> laughter over it.

369. Re: N8WWM FAN CLUB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <mguk2tshd24s1f89anqtkfga3n34n3133u@4ax.com>
Source record: 61805

snip
>Man am I cracking up. What a hoot.

I agree. Maybe you should stick to things that you
can handle next time.

370. Re: N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001203115432.09170.00001968@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61806

>Uh, I don't think anyone in this ng would use the term "we" and have you
>considered.

likely so...but you guys do use the term 'WEEEEEEE" a lot.

It is the sound of your whining over the new law Clinton signed.

Nyuk nyuk nyuk nyuk

371. Re: Cops using Illegal Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jhnwil876@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001203115531.01998.00000861@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 61807

>Subject: Re: Cops using Illegal Radios
>From: jimmoci@aol.com (Jim Moci)
>Date: 26/11/00 22:59 GMT

>I worked for a Two-Way radio shop a few years back and over a period of 5
>years
>watched over one thousand Yaesu, Kenwood, and Icom hand-held radios sold,
>modified, and used for UHF Police band. These cops bought the radios because
>they were affordable and were much more reliable than the worn and very old
>GE's that they were issued. I always wondered if some Johnny Cochran type
>lawyer would get some scumbag drug dealer off on a technicality because he
>was
>busted by a cop with a non-type accepted radio. Sounds rediculous but you
>never
>know what can happen in todays litigious society.
>Jim

This is interesting, if I was a Cop, I would probably do the same, however unlike most Cops, I would test the equipment to see that the output was clean.

If I was in charge of purchasing equipment I would never buy none type accepted equipment because it would then become official policy for the whole P.D to break the law.

If this happened in my area I would monitor the Cops transmissions to make sure that the output was clean and then write to them warning them of there violation and the dangers in using non type accepted equipment, If I got busted unfairly by the Cops in the area I would certainly mount my own private criminal prosecution in this regard, I would represent myself in court because it would appear to be an open and shut case, all you have to do is prove that they were using the equipment and cite the relevant statute.

This is of course one reason why a P.D should never use non-type accepted eqipment.

372. Re: Florida View

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a2a7038@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 61808

This IS funny! Are there good CB jokes from FLA ? Do dey call it da "bakeenee state" on da CB? ALL my friends in FLA voted for bush. If dey didn't, dey is not my friends!
Dave!!!!!

poisenivy wrote in message ...
> FLORIDA: If you think we can't vote, wait till you see us drive.
>
> FLORIDA: Home of electile dysfunction.
>
> FLORIDA: We count more than you do.
>
> FLORIDA: If you don't like the way we count then take I-95 and visit
> one
> of
> the other 56 states.
>
> FLORIDA: We've been Gored by the bull of politics and we're Bushed.
>
> FLORIDA: Relax...Retire...ReVote.
>
> FLORIDA: Viagra voters do it again! ...and again...and again...
>
> FLORIDA: Where your vote counts...and counts...and counts...
>
> FLORIDA: This is what you get for taking Elian away from us.
>
> FLORIDA: We don't just cheat in football.
>
> FLORIDA: We're number one! Wait! Recount!
>
> PALM BEACH COUNTY: So nice, we let you vote twice.
>
> PALM BEACH COUNTY: We put the "duh" in Florida.
>
>

373. Re: THE HAM'S ARE COMMING THE HAM'S ARE COMMING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001203115730.09170.00001971@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61809

elmerpee@my-deja.com blathered:
>Clean Up
>your damm shit or get the FUCK off the bands.BEFOR THE HAM'S GET YOUR
>ASS

hey...use spell check next time.

Even I don't go as far as this dude...but he is funny.

374. Welcome to N8WWM fan club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001203115858.09170.00001972@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61810

```
Meeting 24/7 in rec.radio.cb
```

375. Re: FRS Rules on antennas.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <1auk2t4grstjk11rrjj5n8ed6s8aueourl@4ax.com>
Source record: 61811

Greetings!

Hey Scott, thanks for stopping by.

I know of the link you're talking about. Some of us up here like to impress the ladies around the campfire by reviewing this link before we go out on a date. It's for "parking" reasons. No, we won't get into here of course, but you get the idea. <nudge, nudge> Them solar-night light-shows can add an "air of ambience" to the moment...

The www.spacewather.com site has got a better, general to-do list for those that wonder about them lights up there. So, if one thing don't work out, at least you have other things to look at while waitin' for that right moment...

Them' lights in the sky, can get real neat to talk about over the slow, low hiss and the occasional pop of the cracklin fire.

However, I won't ignore http://hubble.stsci.edu/ either. Got some neat pictures there too - always cute to see them on a backdrop while mousing around Windows.

Ladies always like a smart man a courtin' them, so have your facts handy by visting them sites above...

...let alone the Dad asking all kinds of questions about the date later...keeps them busy with heavy book readin'...

Now you know why they call me "Handy Andy"...

Scott! You dirty-dog, Gosh-Darn-it! Can't be givin' away all my secrets now - can we!

On Sat, 02 Dec 2000 18:27:45 -0500, while the modem was pondering it's navel, "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> dissertated the following:

:+>
:+>
:+> Handy Andy wrote:
:+>
:+> > Been out on the 'net at www.spaceweather.com lookin' to see if
:+> > anything bright in the sky is worth lightin' a fire for.
:+>
:+> Don't forget:
:+> http://www.sec.noaa.gov/SWN/

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:
.
... You CERTIANLY are a CB'er when... .----------------.
... your idea of a GOOD TIME is | .----. |0| | ||\
... answering a lady breakers 10-36! | | 19 | .-. .-.| |
... | `----' (0) (0)| |
... `----------------' |
... _____________\|

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

376. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A2A6FA0.25B886E6@some.net>
Source record: 61812

The radio I use in the 10 meter band is a Collins 75A-3 tube type which is 10 times better than anything you have ever used. It doesn't matter what kind of radio is being used, if some cb idiot's spurious emissions are falling within the 10 meter band you are going to hear it, period.

The Packrat wrote:

> Stop using cheap radios in the 10m band then.

377. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A2A7024.7DD99C93@some.net>
Source record: 61813

If the spurious emissions are falling within the 10 meter band, then it has nothing to do with the type of receiver, good or bad, you are going to hear it. Again you show your ignorance.

tnom@mucks.net wrote:

>
> You need to run better equipment. There is NO major splatter
> problem on 10 meters. I can only speak for my local area however
> even during skip condition there is NO major splatter problem
> (excluding foreigners). All this is said even though I live in a major
> city.
>

378. A great CB website?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001203124738.11947.00002016@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 61814

>I agree. Maybe you should stick to things that you
>can handle next time.
>

Lame...thanks for playing though.

Hey go to the new site www.tnom-scottiekins-twisty.com

See them in a sexual 3some.

Hear a 3part harmony singing "mercy me them hammies this them hammies that good buddy"

See a painting of a toxic waste dump, titled "11 meters"

Hear the freaksome 3some sing "Convoy"

See a clip from their upcoming MTV video
"Warming my butt on a big leeenyer"

And best of all...see the tripped out trio talk skip while in a daisy chain!

Hurry! this site will be closed soon and you will then have to buy the CD!

If you want the CD now just go to
www.N8WWMisgreat.com

Major credit cards accepted.

379. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001203124838.11947.00002017@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 61815

>if some cb idiot's spurious emissions are falling within
>the 10 meter band you are going to hear it, period.

Some of these guys ARE spurious emissions.

380. Hello Allen/ Hy-Gain 5 element beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A2A5CD3.21C9D210@qnet.com>
Source record: 61816

Hello Allen: Your e-mail address is bouncing again.

Your asking to many questions to get answered this way.

The 5 element Hy-Gain can be converted from 10 meters to 11 meters. The boom length doesn't need to be modified. But the element length needs to be lengthened. Hy-Gain used 7/16 Outside Diameter (OD) .058 or .065 wall thickness aluminum tubing, as the out side or end pieces of there beams.

The 7/16 aluminum tubing can be purchased or ordered from a metal supply house. But you may not get to pick what wall thickness they carry. The lengths of tubing come in 144 inch / 12 feet lengths. So a 72 inch piece would work well, as a element end. With the extra length inside the 5/8" center piece of tubing.

Sometimes 7/16" aluminum tubing is hard to find. By cutting off the swaged reduced neck end, off the end of the 5/8" tubing, about 4 to 5 inches long, you can then use 1/2" diameter tubing which is easier to find. That will telescope into the 5/8" diameter tubing, with the swaged reduced end cut off. With a fine toothed hack saw, cross cut 2 cuts approx 1 inch into the end of the 5/8" tubing that just had the reduced swaged end cut off.
Now the element will can be a extended the 4 to 10 inches with plenty of reserve length inside the 5/8" tubing for extra strength. Use good stainless steel hose clamps. If the clamps are tight, and the inside tubing is still lose, or can be turned by hand, it ain't right.

The Hair Pin or Beta Match, will half to be retuned. But no big deal using a MFJ Antenna analyser. The cost of one of these antenna analysers will save to 10 times the grief without one, and you can always turn around and sell it after words.

Leave the stock Hy-Gain Balun there, its bullet proof, and has insignificant insertion loss. The Beta Match wires do have loss in them, but modify the antenna first with the longer elements, get in tuned in and check its performance, before changing or up grading the Beta Matching wires and lengths. If you change more than one thing at a time with a antenna, you are opening up the door for massive headaches times 100, which equals beam antenna in driveway, run over 759 times by big truck. Take it to the bank.

The Beta Matching wires can be replaced with 3/8" solid aluminum rod, which will fit into or telescope into 1/2" aluminum tubing, with a .058" wall thickness. This telescoping length adjustment will allow you tune the antenna for a dead nuts on SWR of 1 to 1.0 at your frequency, with a reduced loss. Cross cut into the ends (4 cuts totally, or 2 cuts with a

saw going thru both sides) of the 1/2" tubing to allow a small hose clamp to tighten down on the 3/8" rod.

The 10 KW balun will take 5 2.4" Torrids with 6 gauge wire, with additional teflon insulation. I am making one. The cores are 20 bucks each.

Look at the ARRL Antenna Book for the HF Beam Antennas. Its a great reference.

Jay in the Mojave

381. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <eo2l2tg2tjvf63f12g69fh3bghl6i2mfo5@4ax.com>
Source record: 61817

On Sun, 03 Dec 2000 17:17:32 GMT, JR <jr@some.net> wrote:

>If the spurious emissions are falling within the 10 meter band, then it has
>nothing to do with the type of receiver, good or bad, you are going to hear
>it. Again you show your ignorance.

IF IF IF.....What I am saying is that there is NO major
spurious emissions problem falling within the 10 meter band.

However, if you run cheap equipment you may get unwanted AGC
activation, unwanted mixer byproducts, and overloaded RF amplification
byproducts. All of which cause a mistaken belief that your receiver
noise is caused by the spurious emissions of someone else. It is not
caused by this. It is the fault of your receiver.

To quote you: "Again you show your ignorance."

382. Re: THE HAM'S ARE COMMING THE HAM'S ARE COMMING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90e26rdff1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 61818

An interesting, if not effective troll designed to cause problems between Cbers and Hams. A short course in English as a second language would allow you to present a cogent argument and enable you to find words other than Anglo-Saxon terms to state your position.

Fred W4JLE

<elmerpee@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:90dk08kb1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Its about time that the FCC and the HAMS clean up the CB Radio Bands,I
> have listen too the CB bnads where you ASSHOLES think you have too run
> BIG POWER too talk across the damm street or can say any thing but is
> my radio putting out or what am i putting on your meter good buddy.CB
> radio would be a good band if the CB'er would have clean it up long ago
> and would not be the fcc and ham too clean it up today.I have nothing
> bad to say about CB'er there as many bad hams as bad CB'ers on the
> airwaves and they all need a visit from the FCC.If all ham's and cb'ers
> would turn off there big power they would be able too talk just as far
> and also help clean the bands up.With all the BIG POWER HOGS all there
> doing is makeing a mess of the bands,Some you can hear all over the
> band and i and not talking too cb's only but too ham's too.Clean Up
> your damm shit or get the FUCK off the bands.BEFOR THE HAM'S GET YOUR
> ASS
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

383. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001203131009.04376.00000022@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 61819

why dont i hear these same signals your always talking about? radio here is a ft840 with no 11 meter interference on 10 meters unless a cb'er drives down my street.

>The radio I use in the 10 meter band is a Collins 75A-3 tube type which is 10
>times better than anything you have ever used. It doesn't matter what kind of
>radio is being used, if some cb idiot's spurious emissions are falling within
>the 10 meter band you are going to hear it, period.
>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

384. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: TR@sky.net
Message-ID: <3a29b9c3.463371388@news.idsi.net>
Source record: 61820

On 02 Dec 2000 23:04:45 GMT, "CitySlicker" <manno_d@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>I suggest that all the CBers with 10 M rigs start using the 10 meter band
>regularly and lets start taking our discussions to the ham boards. Time to
>let the Ham world know that there are ways for the misrepresented to fight
>back.

Go ahead, make our day!

385. Re: My point has been made

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: TR@sky.net
Message-ID: <3a29ba6c.463541118@news.idsi.net>
Source record: 61821

On 02 Dec 2000 21:23:26 GMT, oneqck76ta@aol.comSpAmSuX (Hippie Freak)
wrote:

>>I am gonna sit back a while, chill out, and let you guys run your jaws. Have
>>fun on the CB...I am sure some of you will make the newspapers...
>>
>>Quietly chuckling
>
>And to think I was going to actually try and get my ham ticket. This guy has
>opened my eyes and I have decided not to because who would want to hangout and
>talk to a bunch of self centered idiots like him. I would like to thankyou. I
>think I will stick to my good old CB. Where everyone seem to get along just
>fine.
>
>Later

Nice cop out. Let one or 2 bad apples ruin things for you.

You are weak....

386. Re: My point has been made

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: B-Squared <rbethman@home.com>
Message-ID: <VkwW5.143090$RP.26838476@news1.rdc1.md.home.com>
Source record: 61822

"He" is NOT indicative of the majority.

N0DGN

"Hippie Freak" <oneqck76ta@aol.comSpAmSuX> wrote in message
news:20001202162326.10765.00002518@ng-fa1.aol.com...
> >I am gonna sit back a while, chill out, and let you guys run your jaws.
Have
> >fun on the CB...I am sure some of you will make the newspapers...
> >
> >Quietly chuckling
> >
> >
> >
>
> And to think I was going to actually try and get my ham ticket. This guy
has
> opened my eyes and I have decided not to because who would want to
hangout and
> talk to a bunch of self centered idiots like him. I would like to
thankyou. I
> think I will stick to my good old CB. Where everyone seem to get along
just
> fine.
>
> Later
>
> oneqck76ta@aol.com
> http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta
>
> You've got '459' out of Minneapolis Minnesota and i'm back quiet!
>
> ****Hippie Freak****
> http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta/cbradio

387. Re: N8WWM has no LIFE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <90e4ao$4mh0$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 61823

Ha, ha, well that was bound to happen when there is a common understanding
or in this case a common idiot.

peace,
KRS

sideband <sideband@cac.net> wrote in message
news:25lk2t0akc7f8tpui9eo5oh7lsrebn7gad@4ax.com...
> Holy Moly! The world is gonna end! Me and G SEVEN actually agree on
> something! RUN FOR YOUR LIVES! ::snicker::
>
> Well said, G SEVEN. 73's from the Great Lakes.
>
> -SSB
>
> On or about Sat, 2 Dec 2000 23:14:06 -0800, "G SEVEN"
> <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>, using the forum rec.radio.cb did
> say:
>
> :N8WWM, has no life. At least he's not prejudice to just CBers, he talks
shit
> :on the Amateur group as well. Out of all he's post there is only 10%
that
> :actually contribute to the hobbies. Stop wasting your time arguing with
> :him, by the judging of his past history he feeds on the HATE AND
DISCONTENT.
> :Dont give him what he thrives on.
> :
> :KRS
> :
> :A snip of his masturbating intellectual conversations with his fellow
>
:HAMS_____
_
> :_____
> :
> :
> :HE NC
> :The man states the obvious...the obvious is not libel.
> :What you could do, to just shut it all down at once, is get the hell off
of
> :here with all your nonsense and pathetic, immature attention grabbing
> :attempts.
> :

> :
> :No one on here cares if you are upset. That is because you are haughty
and
> :you are a liar. These components of your character have been amply
> :displayed. They are also things that tend to limit an individual's
> :acceptance by a group. Since you are a PhD, use your noodle and figure it
> :out.
> :
> :In plain english, GROW UP.
> :
> :>> Then Jim, what right do you have to
> :try to bias folks INTO that opinion,
> :>as
> :>> opposed to letting them make up their OWN minds? What 'jolly' do you
get
> :>making
> :>> a DELIBERATELY hateful statement towards a stranger?
> :>>
> :>> NC
> :>
> :
> :If this is such a killer antenna why doesn't every ham scramble and build
> :one now?
> :
> :By the way...Arnie Coro is a better man than anyone needing to post
stupid
> :comments about an antenna no one gives a damn about in a news group.
> :
> :Bottom Line: No one really cares about your bullshit, Chip. Take it to
the
> :ARRL and see if you get published in any real sense. Better yet...why
dont
> :you go to one of the publishers that let you publish anything you want as
> :long as you pay all the costs? That way your great grandchildren can
curse
> :you as they haul all ten thousand copies that never sold out of your
attic
> :when you croak.
> :
> :I think you, your cohorts AND your critics all oughta get a life. Go play
> :with your radios, quit spreading your sewage, shut up, grow up, be
amateur
> :radio operators.
> :
> :The subject, the attitudes, the whole thing...utterly goddamn useless.
Use
> :some of that intelligence that gave you the ability to earn a PhD and
accept
> :it sometime soon...maybe after a long few minutes of looking in a mirror.

> :
> : << previous in search ⊠ next in search >>
> :
> :I am just weary of the BS.
> :My dad<RIP> used to say.."It just makes my ass tired"
> :
> :When some of the posters to this NG become assets to it, as many others
are,
> :we will all enjoy it more.
> :
> :Anyone who defends themself to me is wasting time. I don't care what YOU
> :do...I care about the newsgroup. I suggest all of the whining be moved to
> :the telephone...or better yet...choose your weapons, start back to back,
> :take 8 paces, turn, aim , fire.
> :We have new people here who need help...why dont the fussers take out
time
> :and make ONE constructive post to a newbie or some other inquiry?
> :OR...You could start your own NG and call it
rec.radio.amateur.whinebabies
> :
> :
> :Date Subject Forum Author
> :12/03/2000 Re: My point has been made rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :12/03/2000 Re: My point has been made rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :12/01/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :12/01/2000 Re: ARRL News RE:illegal CB rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :12/01/2000 Re: Question on proposal to rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :12/01/2000 Re: The CB guys are going cr alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> :12/01/2000 6 meter NW Ohio SSB net alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> :12/01/2000 Re: Clinton Signs Bill on II rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :12/01/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :12/01/2000 hams taking 11 meters back rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :12/01/2000 YES!! L.A. kicks BSA out of rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> :12/01/2000 Re: Machine-Gun like noise o rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :12/01/2000 Re: Don't Despair get your h rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :12/01/2000 Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :12/01/2000 Re: hams taking 11 meters ba rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :12/01/2000 Re: hams taking 11 meters ba rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :12/01/2000 off the topic but funny as h rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :12/01/2000 Re: off the topic but funny rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :12/01/2000 Re: hams taking 11 meters ba rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :12/01/2000 Re: The CB guys are going cr alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> :12/01/2000 Re: off the topic but funny rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :12/01/2000 Re: hams taking 11 meters ba rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :12/01/2000 Re: off the topic but funny rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :12/01/2000 Re: The CB guys are going cr alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> :12/01/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :Date Subject Forum Author
> :12/02/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm

> :12/02/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :12/02/2000 Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :12/02/2000 Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :12/02/2000 Re: hams taking 11 meters ba rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :12/02/2000 Re: hams taking 11 meters ba rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :12/02/2000 Bottom line on CB enforcemen rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :12/02/2000 Re: The big take over rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :12/02/2000 Bill Clinton is my hero rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :12/02/2000 My point has been made rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :12/02/2000 Re: Piss Off KC8JBO!!! rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> :12/02/2000 Re: My point has been made rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :12/02/2000 Scottiekins at his best rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :12/01/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :11/30/2000 Re: CB crackdown issue rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :11/30/2000 Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :11/30/2000 Re: Don't Despair get your h rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :11/29/2000 Re: Dummy Loads - What liqui rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :11/29/2000 Re: CB crackdown issue rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :11/29/2000 Re: Clinton Signs Bill on Il rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :11/29/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :11/29/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :11/29/2000 Re: Hams don't be fooled by rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :11/29/2000 Re: Don't Despair get your h rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :11/29/2000 Re: DISCUSSION: IS HAM RADIO alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> :11/30/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :11/30/2000 Re: Don't Despair get your h rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :11/30/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :11/30/2000 Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :11/30/2000 ARRL News RE:illegal CB BILL rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :11/30/2000 ARRL News RE:illegal CB BILL rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :11/30/2000 The CB guys are going crazy alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> :11/30/2000 Re: HR2346 : hams beware rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :11/30/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :11/30/2000 Twistedhed-smoke and mirrors rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :11/30/2000 Re: Power Supply Question rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :11/30/2000 Re: Missing The Big Picture rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :11/28/2000 Fracky Freaky rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :11/28/2000 CB crackdown issue rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :11/28/2000 Re: Dummy Loads - What liqui rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :11/27/2000 Clinton Signs Bill on Illega rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :11/26/2000 Re: Clinton Signs Bill on Il rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :11/26/2000 Re: Clinton Signs Bill on Il rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :11/25/2000 Nathan Cohen changes thread rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :11/25/2000 Re: Clinton Signs Bill on Il rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :11/18/2000 Re: Jesse "Rent A Riot" Jack rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> :11/18/2000 Re: AR-33 & AR-40 Rotators- rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :11/18/2000 Re: CB to 10m alt.ham-radio.mods N8wwm
> :11/18/2000 Re: Which HT is BEST please? alt.ham-radio.mods N8wwm
> :11/18/2000 Re: Discone or Ground Plane? alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm

> :11/23/2000 Re: Democrats and Republican rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> :11/22/2000 Re: radio newbie alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> :11/22/2000 Re: ARRL Board to Consider M rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> :11/22/2000 Re: Anyone use the HF/VHF/UH rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> :11/22/2000 Democrats and Republicans ar rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> :11/21/2000 Re: radio newbie alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> :11/21/2000 Antique CB setup For sale rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :11/21/2000 Re: Coax Kid Washed Up? rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :11/07/2000 N8WWM ON PSK31 FROM EN81 alt.ham-radio.marketp N8wwm
> :11/07/2000 N8WWM on PSK 31 TONIGHT alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> :11/07/2000 N8WWM on PSK31 Tonight! rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :11/07/2000 N8WWM ON PSK31 TONIGHT rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
> :11/07/2000 Re: New Radio Shack HF/6m Ri rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> :11/06/2000 Re: HY-GAIN TAILTWISTER & A rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> :11/06/2000 Re: HY-GAIN TAILTWISTER & A rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> :11/05/2000 Re: Got the MFJ259 Analyzer, rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :11/05/2000 Re: VHF UHF SWR Meters alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> :11/05/2000 Re: Need Mod for FT 902D Yae alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> :11/05/2000 Al Gore and LOVE STORY rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> :11/05/2000 Bush: A DRUNK in the Whiteho rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> :11/05/2000 NEW MESSAGE ABOUT CLINTON..L rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> :11/03/2000 Re: h a t r e d DID THIS.... rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :11/02/2000 Re: Bye Bye Herbie! rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> :11/02/2000 Re: WTB: 440 Handheld 5 watt rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> :11/01/2000 Re: 2N3771 replacement? rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
> :11/12/2000 Re: NO Code - No HF alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> :11/12/2000 Re: NO Code alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> :11/12/2000 Re: call to arms rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :11/12/2000 Re: call to arms rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :11/12/2000 Re: The lies of N9GSU rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> :11/12/2000 Re: Ham threatens to kill K1 rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> :11/08/2000 Re: Need a Rotor or service? rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :11/08/2000 Re: Replacement for MRF475 a rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
> :11/08/2000 Re: 2N3771 replacement? rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
> :11/07/2000 N8WWM on PSK 31 Tonight rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> :11/07/2000 N8WWM on PSK31 tonight...6 m rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> :11/07/2000 Hardline and connectors for alt.ham-radio.marketp N8wwm
> :11/07/2000 1 and 5/8" hardline, connec alt.ham-radio.mods N8wwm
> :11/07/2000 NEW HARDLINE FOR SALE CHEAP. alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> :11/07/2000 NEW HARDLINE FOR SALE CHEAP rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :11/07/2000 NEW HARDLINE FOR SALE CHEAP rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
> :11/07/2000 New Hardline for sale cheap rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> :11/07/2000 Hardline for sale cheap! goo rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> :10/22/2000 Re: Wanted alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> :10/22/2000 Re: ft 102 cb mod details re alt.ham-radio.mods N8wwm
> :10/20/2000 Re: Nixon's Last Election alt.history N8wwm
> :10/19/2000 Re: Nixon's Last Election alt.history N8wwm
> :10/19/2000 Re: Help for a history resea alt.history N8wwm
> :10/19/2000 Re: Misconception 101: Hitle alt.history N8wwm

> :10/19/2000 Re: Misconception 101: Hitle alt.history N8wwm
> :07/17/2000 Re: FS SB-200 mod for 6 mtrs alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> :10/31/2000 ICOM IC255A Manual needed... alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> :10/31/2000 WANTED: ICOM IC 2400A MANUAL alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> :10/31/2000 Re: 6m Beam or Vertical? alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> :10/24/2000 Re: Where to start on 6M/HF? rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :10/23/2000 Re: Where to start on 6M/HF? rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :09/28/2000 Re: CD ROM - program for set alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> :09/28/2000 PRESS RELEASE: NEW ANTENNA B rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :09/07/2000 Re: ICOM IC-28A HELP!!!!!!!! alt.ham-radio.marketp N8wwm
> :09/07/2000 Re: $1000 FRACTAL ANTENNA CH rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :09/07/2000 Re: Any Studs Wanna Fuck My rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :09/07/2000 Re: PUBLIC NOTICE rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :09/07/2000 Re: PUBLIC NOTICE rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :08/23/2000 Re: You Know You're an Anten rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :08/23/2000 Re: Another perspective RE: rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :08/22/2000 Another perspective RE: Frac rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :08/20/2000 Re: PUBLIC NOTICE: CEASE AND rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :08/20/2000 Re: PUBLIC NOTICE: CEASE AND rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :08/18/2000 Re: I need some help alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> :07/31/2000 Re: How to build an ISOTRON rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :07/31/2000 All the infighting: a perspe rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :07/23/2000 Re: htx-245 looking for a po alt.ham-radio.mods N8wwm
> :07/23/2000 Re: htx-245 looking for a po alt.ham-radio.mods N8wwm
> :07/21/2000 Re: htx-245 looking for a po alt.ham-radio.mods N8wwm
> :07/21/2000 Re: Quad design web site? rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :09/07/1999 WTB GONSET 6 METER AMP rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> :09/06/1999 Re: ADI vendors w/ good retu rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> :09/04/1999 Re: J-Pole J-Pole J-Pole Nee alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> :08/31/1999 Re: ham 4 indicator pot need rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> :08/31/1999 Re: Help with 6m antenna! rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :08/31/1999 Re: Another "ham slamming "p rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :08/31/1999 Re: Modification to Siltroni rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :08/29/1999 Re: FS Icom 2400 dual band rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> :08/29/1999 Re: ham radio kits rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> :08/02/1999 Re: Wanted: pin layout of MR alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> :08/01/1999 For sale: Icom 575-A rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> :07/28/1999 Re: Help with making a dummy rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :07/28/1999 Re: Wanted: pin layout of MR rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :09/13/1999 Re: Question: Microphones us rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> :08/20/1999 COMPUTER STUFF...build yers rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> :08/19/1999 Re: No Code Is Citizen's Ban rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> :08/16/1999 Re: Want Pentium motherboard rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> :08/16/1999 Re: F.S. Ham and Audio Gear rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> :08/14/1999 Re: VHF/TV Antenna Rotator F alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> :08/14/1999 Re: +++FS:VHF 350 Watt RF PA rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> :08/13/1999 Re: ICOM 706 question??? rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> :08/08/1999 Wanted CLEAN ICOM IC2AT rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> :08/08/1999 WANT CLEAN ICOM 02AT rec.radio.swap N8wwm

> :08/06/1999 Re: 6m antenna question rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :08/06/1999 WANTED ICOM 02-AT rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> :06/25/1999 COMPUTERS AND COMPONENTS DIR alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> :06/25/1999 computer parts and systems f rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :06/25/1999 Computer parts CHEAP by a bi rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> :06/25/1999 computer parts dirt cheap by rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
> :06/25/1999 Computer stuff dirt cheap fr rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> :06/25/1999 Re: "RETEST THE RETARDS" rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> :06/25/1999 Computer stuff dirt cheap fr rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> :06/23/1999 12 pin compactron tube socke rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
> :06/23/1999 Re: Linear amps rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
> :06/20/1999 VHF ANTENNAS FOR SALE...good alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> :06/20/1999 vhf antennas...for sale good rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :06/20/1999 VHF yagis and jpoles...cheap rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> :06/20/1999 Vhf antennas...Yagis and jpo rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
> :06/20/1999 VHF antennas...yagis and jpo rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> :06/20/1999 for sale...vhf antennas...ch rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> :08/24/1999 Re: FLASH!! RADIO SCAMMER KI rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> :08/23/1999 Re: No Code Is Citizen's Ban rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> :08/23/1999 O4AT trade proposal... rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :08/22/1999 Re: Fair Radio Sales? rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> :08/22/1999 Re: 6 Meter question rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> :08/21/1999 Re: No Code Is Citizen's Ban rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> :08/21/1999 Re: No Code Is Citizen's Ban rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> :08/21/1999 Re: No Code Is Citizen's Ban rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> :08/21/1999 Re: No Code Is Citizen's Ban rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> :08/20/1999 COMPUTER STUFF...build yers rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> :08/26/1999 Re: FS: Bird Slugs 2500B rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> :08/26/1999 Re: FS: Bird Slugs 2500B rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> :08/26/1999 Re: LOOKING FOR ANY MODS ALI rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> :08/26/1999 For Sale..RARE SSB CB TRC 45 rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :08/26/1999 Re: CDE TR-44 pinout needed rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :08/25/1999 Want Icom 2400H rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> :08/24/1999 Re: stacking antennas rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :08/24/1999 Re: How much power is needed rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> :08/24/1999 Re: No Code Is Citizen's Ban rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> :08/24/1999 For sale: A GREAT solid stat rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :08/24/1999 Re: WTB TRC-458 Navaho rec.radio.cb N8wwm
> :08/24/1999 Re: How much power is needed rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> :07/19/1999 Re: FS: Affordable 10m & 2m rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> :07/17/1999 Re: FS: UHF Linear AMP 100W rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> :07/17/1999 Re: FS Ebay: Radio Shack HTX rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> :07/11/1999 Re: Wanted: I need MRF-245 o rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> :07/09/1999 Re: coax antenna lead rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :07/09/1999 Re: needed: easy 6 meter yag rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :07/09/1999 Re: Alliance antenna rotor h rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :07/08/1999 Re: Wanted 40CH CB Base am/s rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> :07/08/1999 Re: FS:Odds and Ends rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> :07/08/1999 Yaesu FT 890 hf rig for sale rec.radio.swap N8wwm

> :08/03/2000 Re: All the infighting: a pe rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :07/31/2000 Re: Matching & Feeding 2 7 e rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :07/31/2000 Re: Realistic HTX-202 & 404 alt.ham-radio.mods N8wwm
> :07/19/1999 Re: ny schematics for a tube rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
> :07/19/1999 Re: How 6 meters these days rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> :06/09/1999 Re: ??? Has Norm's Rotor???? rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> :06/08/1999 FS:VHF ANTENNAS rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> :06/08/1999 FS VHF ANTENNAS! CHEAP!!! rec.radio.amateur.hom N8wwm
> :06/08/1999 FS VHF ANTENNAS...CHEAP! <AN rec.radio.amateur.mis N8wwm
> :06/08/1999 Re: WTB NICE ham 4 rotor rec.radio.swap N8wwm
> :06/08/1999 FOR SALE...Cheap and GOOD 2 alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> :06/08/1999 FS CHEAP AND GOOD 2 METER AN rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :06/08/1999 FS VHF antennas...GOOD AND C rec.radio.amateur.equ N8wwm
> :06/07/1999 Re: wanted yagi design progr rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :06/07/1999 Re: WTB: Rhon 25 rotor shelf rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :06/07/1999 Re: WTB: Ham-II, III, or IV rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :05/19/1999 Re: Homebew Yagis alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> :08/04/2000 Re: All the infighting: a pe rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :05/14/1999 Re: 6 M antenna rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :05/14/1999 Re: 2 meter 6 element Antenn rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :05/14/1999 Re: WTB: CDE "BIG TALK" Roto rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :03/29/1999 Re: 6m antenna ? rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :03/29/1999 Re: What's better for 2m bas rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :03/29/1999 Re: Homebrew 6 meter amplifi rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :03/29/1999 Re: 10M beam rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :03/14/1999 Re: Need Info For Project: C rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :03/03/1999 Re: Antennas rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :03/03/1999 Re: Antennas for sale...Chic rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :04/05/1999 Re: 10M beam rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :04/05/1999 Re: alarm foil antennas rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :04/05/1999 Re: gamma matching rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :04/05/1999 Re: LOOKING FOR ELMER!! alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf N8wwm
> :03/29/1999 Re: How can I homebrew a 2 m rec.radio.amateur.ant N8wwm
> :
> :
> :
> :
> :
> :
> :
> :
> :
>

388. UPDATE - BAD GUY Alert Jerry Pippins

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: N6TGK <n6tgk@home.com>
Message-ID: <axwW5.33341$I5.557571@news1.rdc1.sdca.home.com>
Source record: 61824
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

I posted a "Bad Guy Alert" here about a week ago concerning Jerry Pippins (aka cbnut24 or cbfixxer). I stated that he was supposed to ship me a Uniden HR-2510 in exchange for my BC895 Trunk Tracker.

Jerry claimed to have shipped the radio on November 13th, I shipped the 895 on the 14th. He got the 895 on the 21st of November. On Thanksgiving day I started asking him where the 2510 was and he came up with a bunch of excuses as to
why it wasn't here. He eventually responded, "Don't be a whine ass, you'll get it." After that, his e-mail address was no longer valid.

On December 2nd I FINALLY received the 2510...postmarked Nov 30!!! But that didn't bother me. At least I had finally gotten the "excellent condition... you'll love
this radio" 2510 that Jerry promised me. Upon opening the box and digging through the packing I found a PATHETIC looking 2510. The case and face plate
was scuffed up like you wouldn't believe. The power plug on the back is broken and
pushes back into the radio whenever you try to connect the power cord, the accessory
plug has epoxy all over it and someone added a speaker jack and did a very poor job
of it. Upon removing the covers I found that the back part of the display was melted
because some MORON removed the original lamp (probably burned out) and replaced
it with a HIGHER wattage lamp. This lamp melted the display to the point that the
lamp will no longer stay in place. Wires have been snipped and slodered to other
locations, obviously an attempt at doing some kind of modifications. There are cold
solder joints, large globs of solder and someone added a small PCB board to the underside
of the RF board and is being held in place by pieces of solder. I have no idea
what this board does. The radio smells like smoke and has the hint of burned components.

I obviously didn't get a fair trade since my 895 was in NEW condition and this 2510 is probably only good for parts.

Avoid this person if you can. I was able to find some feedback about this guy on Yahoo! Auctions and his feedback was very poor. He's still holding to the pattern from those posts: ships late, has several excuses and doesn't send what is promised.

Jerry... if you read these news groups... thanks a lot liar.

389. Just got back from a CB CRUISIN' Adventure! (a Novel) (Classic Spewage)!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a2a8a68@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 61825

Yep, I did. Drove a '57 Mercury from OH to NC with my last in stock $40 108" whip on the Valor 219 top bumper mount and 21' of 58 Belden 8259 coax last Tues. through Thurs. I just threw any CB I could grab on the seat (maybe not tuned up), because I had already packed the car & couldn't find nothing better. The Mercury had a crushed in roof and broken windshield from a BIG oak tree that fell on it during a Tornado windstorm in the last month or 2, and Miraculously only damaged the windshield and roof. Debby in my car following, with 108" whip on the back pass. side, and no heater for me, and a Plexiglass replacement for the window that kept falling in after the silicon let go. NO WIPERS also. So with the little snow I encountered in the beginning, the rain and wind, from the top of I-77 (271 & 90) in Ohio, to Ripley WV is all we got the 1st night. NO headlights--maybe the tree broke the 57 fillaments? Then after stopping in Ripley, the Generator light came on, and stayed on after car went off (once)! Then the next day it came on and off later on, and then back on. I remember from my trip from HY-GAIN in Lincoln in the 62 Chev. Carry All Van (what the Greeser Yuppies use to drive before SUV's) I got there in '77 (also got this car there too), on my way to Ohio from there when the GM Generator light went on, discharging my battery in 8 hours or more, I could tell by the transmit meter on the CB the condition of the car battery! So I used the CB transmit meter to see how badly the battery was going down, and it didn't until near the end, when I was afraid to shut the car off in the last 2 hours of the trip. (My digital DVM was under stuff in the back seat).

Second night made it to the bottom of VA, going through CB Jungleland @ Wythville interchange @ dusk. I was driving in and out of the cold front passing through, which was a pain because of the rain & wind pushing the plexiglass down, and me stopping ever once in a while to fix it. I got a little wet from this, but not much, keeping water out of the MINT stock interior. So right before I was going to get off @ exit 14 truckstop, a trucker seeing my 3 parking lights on the front top of the duel light fenders on instead of headlights, and said to get off there & get headlights @ the small truckstop. I tried to take one out, but needed my right angle phillips to get to the bottom screws, which was builied in my toolbox, so I gave up and hit the hotel across the road for night # 2. Next morning got going and saw great view @ the NC line where CB's can talk forever distance ground wave for many miles, exceeding the commies limits I'm sure w/ 4 watts! The Lord protected me from the BEARS, because IF in seeing that plexiglass window, I'm sure that big dollar $$$$$ signs might pop up in their eyes, but just to see the car with a crinkled in front fender a bit might of kept their eyes away from the window looking at this black & white MintMobile! Also a few truck drivers marveled at the car/ roof dent/ window on the trip. Because I used THE best (108" whip) I got the drivers attention big time--2 cars in a row, behind each other, going 50-60 mph down

tank trail 77 without heat, sipping hot chocolate in my hooded winter coat, talking on the CB and listening for the BEARS ! I figured if I could make it out of Ohio, I could make it, which I did. The guy that bought the car said he didn't think I would make it; I told him it was a Miracle--many Miracles from God involved.

I told the guy I wanted the damaged roof back--maybe I can sell it to someone who wants to run his magnet mount on it as a Base antenna! ALL parts sold seperately! So after that we head back to TN and the base there. The guy that bought the car said that once he and his wife brought back a car from PA, and never would do it again. We won't either. He has an Edsel farm, an old Ford junkyard, and a bunch of other goodies. All missing 9' whips, though. I took the antenna, mount & coax off and barely squeezed in in the full '89 Pontiac. Do you know how great it felt in the winter cold (30-40 degrees most of the trip) to get out of the 57 with NO heat, and into the 89 with heat? 30 year technology shock. Actually the 57 has everything a new car has (except pooter), except the heat and better milage technology. I figured after Y2K I could sell it so I don't need another Y2K staff car! But maybe I buy it back in da future (IF I get the $$$ from being the smallest on line CB shop when I sell items from this story and others, 1 piece at a time!). It sure was great going 80 in it going down the PA turnpike a couple of years ago in the summer, with all windows rolled down, CB blarring asking the truckers how fast am I going, since the speed-o-meter was broke!!! If I would of been in NYC I would MOW DOWN those BIG Bad Apple Trees there (BIG Oak tree fell on it)! Actually I was thinking that this great OIL BURNING never rebuilt engine would be the best to RUN DOWN gore out of office in FLA ! I don't think it would make it to FLA, just OH to NC and that's it! If I encountered any "Key Downs" I would definately WIN with my 108" whip and my stock 29 CB ! So you big power boys and yo overmodulated modulation kit installing worst CB butcher shops in the country, watch out! A 50's car may be comming to yo keydown one of dees days to mow yo down!!!! I still have 1 left!!!!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! (all items sold seperately. E mail truth@planetc.com for any inquiries starting tomorrow!!!!! Put CB in the subject line.)

390. Re: My point has been made

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <90e4j1$519i$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 61826

This is true, I know a few HAMS that are great people regardless of our indifference in radios operation.

KRS

B-Squared <rbethman@home.com> wrote in message news:VkwW5.143090$RP.26838476@news1.rdc1.md.home.com...
> "He" is NOT indicative of the majority.
>
> N0DGN
>
> "Hippie Freak" <oneqck76ta@aol.comSpAmSuX> wrote in message
> news:20001202162326.10765.00002518@ng-fa1.aol.com...
> > >I am gonna sit back a while, chill out, and let you guys run your jaws.
> Have
> > >fun on the CB...I am sure some of you will make the newspapers...
> > >
> > >Quietly chuckling
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> > And to think I was going to actually try and get my ham ticket. This guy
> has
> > opened my eyes and I have decided not to because who would want to
> hangout and
> > talk to a bunch of self centered idiots like him. I would like to
> thankyou. I
> > think I will stick to my good old CB. Where everyone seem to get along
> just
> > fine.
> >
> > Later
> >
> > oneqck76ta@aol.com
> > http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta
> >
> > You've got '459' out of Minneapolis Minnesota and i'm back quiet!
> >
> > ****Hippie Freak****
> > http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta/cbradio
>
>

391. Re: Bill Clinton is my hero

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001203135543.03189.00002327@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Source record: 61827

>If Billy clinton is yo hero then A MURDERER is your hero, a draft dodgin'
>crack head is your hero, a Sodomite faggot is your hero, an UNconstitutional
>treasonous wimp is your hero....boy, what does that say about you? Billy
>doesn't have a CB--what do your sick thoughts have to do with CB?
>Dave!!!!!!

He is only my hero for the CB law he signed.

392. Re: Machine-Gun like noise on 27.960 lsb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A2A9AB3.6F9E@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 61828

Jim,

No, that's not it because it is being heard by folks hundreds of miles
away from me, expecially on the 4 MHZ freq. I know that the Wing comm.
officer is looking into it, but since I heard it on other freqs, too, I
figured someone might have an idea what it is......

73

Jerry
K4KWH

JhnWil876 wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Machine-Gun like noise on 27.960 lsb?
> >From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
>
> >
> >Speaking of which, I am hearing a clock-like signal on a whole bunch of
> >bands now. I first noticed it on a 4MHZ freq I am licensed for and on
> >20 and 40 meters. It seems to have about a 1 second interval and goes
> >continuously. Anybody else heard this thing?
> >
> >
>
> Check that it is not your central heating timer or any other electric clock.

393. Re: THE HAM'S ARE not COMMING THE HAM'S ARE not COMMING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a2a8ffb@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 61829

Pee Elmer FUDDY Duddy:
Actually you are misguided and a little OFF FREQ. here.
First of all, we need to get the FCC to CLEAN UP the 52-890mc Video POTTY band first. Then, the clenliness will "trickle down" to da Hambos, and den to da CB'ers. With Hollywood's COMMUNIST controlled actors, pouring out FILTH and immorality for years, don't you think that the CB'ers and da Hambo's are just being brainwashed by them in parroting what they hear on the TV and repeat it on the CB and Hambo bands? LET's CLEAN up the SOURCE, instead of going after the little people out here! Also I believe YOU may be stepping on THEIR 1st Amendment rights in talking against their "what am I putting on your meter, HAND?" I believe this is covered under the 1st AMendment, you pinko! You must be obviously FOREIGN to CB, since the term "GOOD BUDDY" went out in the 70's or early 80's, when the SODOMITES (faggots, perverts, queers) took it over in the rest areas of the tank trails and the CB band! Your last line seems to say that Hambos are Sodomites too? I don't know any who are. BUT what about those LOW WATT overmodulated modulation kit tottin' running idiots? Are you going after dem too? Or are they OK because they are under 3 watts? Maybe YOU need to repent and CLEAN UP your POTTY MOUTH, before you can go after dem BIG Power boyz!
Everyone has the belief that you HAVE TO run big power to BE HEARD. This unfortunately is TRUE. This origionated in da HOOD by da Bros. and spread to the truck drivers. The only reason WHY there is a problem NOW is that da BIG POWER Boyz and dem base stations are causing TVI on dem 52-216 mc potty bands, so that the communist actors can't get their FILTH across to the American public. Actually dees boyz are doing a great patriotic service in causing people to stop watching the FILTH on dem freqs., Mr. Elmer FUDDY DUDDY FREAK ! (oh by the way, get a REAL e mail address, a job, a life, a CB, a ..... !!!!!!!!!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

elmerpee@my-deja.com wrote in message <90dk08kb1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
Its about time that the FCC and the HAMS clean up the CB Radio Bands,I have listen too the CB bnads where you ASSHOLES think you have too run BIG POWER too talk across the damm street or can say any thing but is my radio putting out or what am i putting on your meter good buddy.CB radio would be a good band if the CB'er would have clean it up long ago and would not be the fcc and ham too clean it up today.I have nothing bad to say about CB'er there as many bad hams as bad CB'ers on the airwaves and they all need a visit from the FCC.If all ham's and cb'ers would turn off there big power they would be able too talk just as far and also help clean the bands up.With all the BIG POWER HOGS all there doing is makeing a mess of the bands,Some you can hear all over the band and i and not talking too cb's only but too ham's too.Clean Up

your damm shit or get the FUCK off the bands.BEFOR THE HAM'S GET YOUR ASS

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

394. Re: Missing The Big Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A2A9C79.1E96@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 61830

Handy Andy wrote:

>
> IF the locals decide you can't have the radio there for FEAR of
> interference is my grey issue. I'd like to discuss this, but it seems
> that no one is working the fine lines here.

Handy,

It would seem that if you are running a legal CB, IMHO, they couldn't preclude your use of it on the above basis. In other words, City Council couldn't enact an ordinance *banning* their use. The citizens' authority to use a CB still comes from FCC/federal regulation, and as long as you are using one within those regulations, they couldn't do that. The new law, as I read it, doesn't *seem* to allow that--only the enaction of ordinances to deal with <illegal> interference. That was what caused the new law to start with--complaints to congressmen from the folks back home about CBers coming in on their TVs and church PA systems. I might be wrong, but that's the way I read it.

Best 73

Jerry
>

395. Re: N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2A9C45.77698BBE@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61831
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

N8 weenie washing maggot wrote:
>
> >Who forwarded that post to Riley along with his callsign and info? I
> >bet it was a hammie.
> >
> >
>
> Hysterical. I hope someone does do it.
>
> hey twisty...when did you and Scottiekins get married?

Thank you for your kind insults and name calling.
Just to keep you happy, I have inserted one in this message. LOL!

I have also cross posted this to RRAM, just so your superiors
can have a laugh too.

396. Re: THE HAM'S ARE COMMING THE HAM'S ARE COMMING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <B0xW5.6068$Ei1.428298@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61832

Hmm ... the spelling and grammar are familiar. Is this Hotrod posting? If it is (and I suspect so), the reason you are here causing grief is that you've been banned from every CB board I know of (at least the ones that didn't shut down because of you). I assume you have discovered Juno, Freelane, and lord knows how many other free ISPs so your ISP numbers won't give you away. You don't need to get anyone's ass - you need to get a life!

Jim AA2QA
ps - consider desisting from harassing the CBers - hams have enough problems of their own.

<elmerpee@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:90dk08kb1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Its about time that the FCC and the HAMS clean up the CB Radio Bands,I
> have listen too the CB bnads where you ASSHOLES think you have too run
> BIG POWER too talk across the damm street or can say any thing but is
> my radio putting out or what am i putting on your meter good buddy.CB
> radio would be a good band if the CB'er would have clean it up long ago
> and would not be the fcc and ham too clean it up today.I have nothing
> bad to say about CB'er there as many bad hams as bad CB'ers on the
> airwaves and they all need a visit from the FCC.If all ham's and cb'ers
> would turn off there big power they would be able too talk just as far
> and also help clean the bands up.With all the BIG POWER HOGS all there
> doing is makeing a mess of the bands,Some you can hear all over the
> band and i and not talking too cb's only but too ham's too.Clean Up
> your damm shit or get the FUCK off the bands.BEFOR THE HAM'S GET YOUR
> ASS
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

397. Re:,,what a ....ed up group full of CB idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a2a919f@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 61833

Communist uselessnet Global Administration don't apply--we is Americans and
OUR Constitution IS Superior to any UN mumbo-jumbo!!!!! FEAR GOD and KEEP
his Commandments, you reprobates!!!!!
Dave!!!!!!

Handy Andy wrote in message ...
Greetings!

They simply reset the default and issued a clear message due to the
crossposting error.

A lot of newsreaders aren't as flexible to screen out crossposted
messages. It gets truncated on the viewers screen, but sent all over
the place. You need to check the headers on a lot of this stuff.

On Sat, 02 Dec 2000 13:59:47 -0500, while the modem was pondering it's
navel, "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
dissertated the following:

:+> It hasn't worked, we're still here and still posting.
:+>
:+> Why the cross-posts to totally unrelated groups?
:+>
:+> "Meat-->Plow" wrote:
:+> >
:+> > On Sat, 02 Dec 2000 11:28:56 -0500, Usenet Global Administration
:+> > Authority <ugaa@wilhelp.com>wrote:
:+> >
:+> > >"Meat-->Plow" <meat@fuck-j00.orq>:
:+> > >
:+> > >>Breaker Breaker One Nine
:+> > >>
:+> > >>You fuck-tards crack me up with all your idiotic discussion.
:+> > >>
:+> > >>I think I'll put this news froup out of its misery and issue a
remove
:+> > >>froup control message.
:+> > >>
:+> > >>BWAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
:+> > >>
:+> > >>Say goodbye to your news froup, imbeciles
:+> > >
:+> > >cmsg rmgroup rec.radio.cb
:+> > >cmsg lock rec.radio.cb
:+> > >
:+> > >Usenet Global Administration Authority

:+> >
:+> > Thank you. Saved me the trouble of removing them myslef.

398. Re: You all worry to much/Clinton CB Bill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a2a9257@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 61834

You tell them Billy! Most drivers that have WASTED their $$$$ on dem coil antennas do NOT even have 1/10th the power that those antennas will handle!!!!! Their own communist "democracy" will fall on it's own foolish statutes!!!!!!!
Dave!!!!!!
Bill Nelson wrote in message <909pss$e9q$6@bashir.peak.org>...
> So..... What?...Simply having coil CB antennas is grounds for pulling you over
> and searching your van?..I don`t think so...All this bill is saying is that
> local law enforcement will be used to enforce FCC regulation but they will
> still need to follow the same guidelines.

I don't recall anything in the law that says the local law enforcement agencies have to follow the FCC guidelines.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

399. Re: N8wwm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A2A9E59.6EC7@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 61835

Tim, Tim,

ALL hams? No, he's just proving that *HE* is an a**hole. BTW, I'm
glad you didn't really leave. This "bad" ham needs somebody to argue
with! LOL!

73

Jerry

www.kp82.com wrote:
>
no i never said all hams were @#$%%^^ becaus
> i dont have to. guys like you are proving it on a daily basis in this news
> group.
>
>
>
> >

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> 73 de Tim
> Knight Patrol #82
> http://www.kp82.com

400. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A2A8E0C.3AB7329F@some.net>
Source record: 61836

Maybe I can explain this so even you understand it. It has nothing to do with the quality or lack thereof of the receiving equipment. My Collins 75A-4 and S-Line equipment is better than anything on the market today. Hardly cheap equipment. I am not hearing interference from some station running high power a few doors or a couple of blocks away. There is a ham only a few blocks from me that can run the legal limit. I can be on the same band when he is transmitting and be tuned within a few KHz of his signal and never know he is there. So the problem is not with front end overload, image rejection, intermod products, unwanted mixer products, ect. The problem is that these cb morons are putting out spurious emissions that fall within the 10 meter band, and no matter how good or bad your receiver is, if the signal is in the 10 meter band it is going to interfere and it is not the fault of the receiver. It is the fault of the moron operating the transmitting equipment.

tnom@mucks.net wrote:

> On Sun, 03 Dec 2000 17:17:32 GMT, JR <jr@some.net> wrote:
>
> >If the spurious emissions are falling within the 10 meter band, then it has
> >nothing to do with the type of receiver, good or bad, you are going to hear
> >it. Again you show your ignorance.
>
> IF IF IF.....What I am saying is that there is NO major
> spurious emissions problem falling within the 10 meter band.
>
> However, if you run cheap equipment you may get unwanted AGC
> activation, unwanted mixer byproducts, and overloaded RF amplification
> byproducts. All of which cause a mistaken belief that your receiver
> noise is caused by the spurious emissions of someone else. It is not
> caused by this. It is the fault of your receiver.
>
> To quote you: "Again you show your ignorance."

401. Re: UPDATE - BAD GUY Alert Jerry Pippins

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A2A8F36.5EBF8DB2@some.net>
Source record: 61837
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

What else do you expect from a cber?

N6TGK wrote:

> I posted a "Bad Guy Alert" here about a week ago concerning Jerry Pippins
> (aka cbnut24 or cbfixxer). I stated that he was supposed to ship me a
> Uniden HR-2510 in exchange for my BC895 Trunk Tracker.
>
> Jerry claimed to have shipped the radio on November 13th, I shipped the 895
> on the 14th. He got the 895 on the 21st of November. On Thanksgiving day I
> started asking him where the 2510 was and he came up with a bunch of excuses
> as to
> why it wasn't here. He eventually responded, "Don't be a whine ass, you'll
> get it." After that, his e-mail address was no longer valid.
>
> On December 2nd I FINALLY received the 2510...postmarked Nov 30!!! But that
> didn't bother me. At least I had finally gotten the "excellent condition...
> you'll love
> this radio" 2510 that Jerry promised me. Upon opening the box and digging
> through the packing I found a PATHETIC looking 2510. The case and face
> plate
> was scuffed up like you wouldn't believe. The power plug on the back is
> broken and
> pushes back into the radio whenever you try to connect the power cord, the
> accessory
> plug has epoxy all over it and someone added a speaker jack and did a very
> poor job
> of it. Upon removing the covers I found that the back part of the display
> was melted
> because some MORON removed the original lamp (probably burned out) and
> replaced
> it with a HIGHER wattage lamp. This lamp melted the display to the point
> that the
> lamp will no longer stay in place. Wires have been snipped and slodered to
> other
> locations, obviously an attempt at doing some kind of modifications. There
> are cold
> solder joints, large globs of solder and someone added a small PCB board to
> the underside
> of the RF board and is being held in place by pieces of solder. I have no
> idea
> what this board does. The radio smells like smoke and has the hint of
> burned components.
>

> I obviously didn't get a fair trade since my 895 was in NEW condition and
> this 2510 is probably only good for parts.
>
> Avoid this person if you can. I was able to find some feedback about
> this guy on Yahoo! Auctions and his feedback was very poor. He's
> still holding to the pattern from those posts: ships late, has several
> excuses and doesn't send what is promised.
>
> Jerry... if you read these news groups... thanks a lot liar.

402. Re: QUESTION THAT NO ONE HAS ASKED???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: brass <cc@knology.net>
Message-ID: <3A2A9594.AD74B2B1@knology.net>
Source record: 61838

It is Called Mac X

Unix dummy,

If not for Unix being an upside down version of DOS
created in the basement of a local with his IBM feller workmates

Roots have real meaning that gave research to the structure of DOS being
able to grow a little

NT assisted by the same UNIX venture group was a Nearly There assist to
bring bits to the limited DOS world

will a true 64 bit (TRUE) be delivered in the next OS?

Only if there is a (set) of Motorola's under whatever Intel's claim to
Processer (Speed) of the God of the Day . . .

no standards in process . . .

look around you all the colors that you see your Kids wear . . . even
down to the appliances around the house. . .

Jobs is a Crayon fanatic . . . Thanks Steve

Colors Brightnnnn the world

Brass

403. Re: You all worry to much/Clinton CB Bill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <t2l89l5cc6in7d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61839

Then you didn't read the actual law.

The law STILL reserves for the fed all rights of enforcement.
It only grants to the states or local governments the ability
to write ADDITIONAL laws that ALSO enforce the same
thing. If a state law is at variance with the fed, then the state
law is not valid. EXCEPT that a state law that is LESS
stringent than the fed is valid. Anything the states do that is
IN EXCESS of the fed would be found to be invalid as the
fed did NOT grant the rights to enact any new laws.

The federal law does NOTHING to change existing law as
far as enforcement goes at the federal level. All it does is
allow lower jurisdictions to pass EQUIVALENT or less
stringent laws that are SUBSETS of the federal law.

And the new law is VERY explicit that it does NOT pass
any rights to the states with regard of giving up federal
regulation.

Mike

> I don't recall anything in the law that says the local law enforcement
> agencies have to follow the FCC guidelines.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

404. Why all Hams and C.Bers should be prosecuted to the fullest extent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: jhnwil876@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001203144024.04296.00000044@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 61840

If I was in charge of the FCC, I would use my budget to listen for and prosecute the slightest violation no matter how small.

Nearly every Ham and C.Ber makes some sort of violation at some point and by prosecuting each offender on trivial matters I would drive them out of the hobby in much the same way as the BATF are doing to gun owners and dealers.

We dont want the people to have guns or a means of communication that we cant control, it's best to persecute the ordinary citizen into submission and have them dependent on the government for everything.

405. Re: Star Gunn antennas on a Big truck????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: brass <cc@knology.net>
Message-ID: <3A2A9835.543A3996@knology.net>
Source record: 61841

This is my Plate . . .

Big Daddy in the Diesel Car two coils and two beams
Felt his RF in my back in Hot Springs while holding a two coil bopper in my hand

357 in the Diesel Car replaced his BadBoy
Look at the Cover truck for Volvo 2000 truck sales brochure
Red truck cover

Others
Rock a my Soul (two hots 122 degree)
Bob Gibson (Long Beam Mobile)

They talk . . .

I run/try anyone's Antenna . . .

You tune I tune whatever . . .

Change my mine . . .

long list of tries . . .

we still smile together

Go to

http://www.stargun.cc
or
www.StarGunAntennas.com

Brass

406. Re: Bill Clinton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2AA39B.511A140F@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61842

The only thing that feels hard in his hand these days...is a microphone.

twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
>
> You ever notice, Scott, that folks who never posted here before all of
> a sudden are appearing in response? Cooincidence? Methinks not! By the
> way, you appear to have picked up a shadow. Don't ya' know imitation is
> the sincerest form of flattery? Poor bastard. I think he actually may
> have an affinity or something for cbers.

407. Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers vs Ham skum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3A2AA4B9.53A61066@sccoast.net>
Source record: 61843

David Little wrote:
>
> [snip] However, in light of the Armed Services,
> Coast Guard and other organizations with
> international affiliations having already
> dropped CW in their bag of tricks, it does
> kind of fly in the face of the ITU requirement
> argument, doesn't it?

When it comes to communications at sea, the International Maritime Organization, a United Nations organization based in London, carries more weight than the ITU. And the predominant IMO treaty document affecting maritime communications and other marine electronics is the Safety of Life at Sea (SOLAS) Convention (the US is a signer).

Up until just a couple of years ago, the SOLAS Convention required Morse Code capability for all SOLAS vessels. However, after several studies world-wide, it was decided that Morse Code was no longer necessary for reliable ship-to-ship or ship-to-shore communications. At that point, it was decided to amend the SOLAS Convention to drop the code requirement.

In fact, concerned about the possibility of confused distress communications should one vessel continue to use code while others no longer had the capability, the amendment actually went further to restrict code as a international distress mode.

Since the IMO was the last U.N. organization with any Morse Code requirement, there is nothing still prompting the ITU's Morse Code requirement. When it comes to Morse Code, the "reservoir of trained operators" incentive no longer exists. Therefore, it is highly likely the code requirement for amateur radio will be voted out without discussion or objection. When that vote will occur is anyone's guess.

By the way, both the FCC, and the Coast Guard, strive to fit domestic maritime communications into IMO standards also, where possible.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

408. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <q59l2t8s1adqtqov42epsocn4nr0f20fb2@4ax.com>
Source record: 61844

On Sun, 03 Dec 2000 19:25:41 GMT, JR <jr@some.net> wrote:

>Maybe I can explain this so even you understand it. It has nothing to do with
>the quality or lack thereof of the receiving equipment. My Collins 75A-4 and
>S-Line equipment is better than anything on the market today. Hardly cheap
>equipment. I am not hearing interference from some station running high power a
>few doors or a couple of blocks away.

Good for you, but I was not speaking for you. I said I hear NO major
problem with spurious emissions. I never said spurious emissions don't
exist. The thread was about the overall 10 meter spurious emission
problem. Not your specific problem.

409. Re: UPDATE - BAD GUY Alert Jerry Pippins

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Edwards <ham@cybercomm.net>
Message-ID: <90e89i$ck0$1@ostrich.cybercomm.net>
Source record: 61845
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

The words "CB" are even in his email!
....'nuff said right there!

"JR" <jr@some.net> wrote in message news:3A2A8F36.5EBF8DB2@some.net...
> What else do you expect from a cber?
>
> N6TGK wrote:
>
> > I posted a "Bad Guy Alert" here about a week ago concerning Jerry
Pippins
> > (aka cbnut24 or cbfixxer). I stated that he was supposed to ship me a
> > Uniden HR-2510 in exchange for my BC895 Trunk Tracker.
> >
> > Jerry claimed to have shipped the radio on November 13th, I shipped the
895
> > on the 14th. He got the 895 on the 21st of November. On Thanksgiving
day I
> > started asking him where the 2510 was and he came up with a bunch of
excuses
> > as to
> > why it wasn't here. He eventually responded, "Don't be a whine ass,
you'll
> > get it." After that, his e-mail address was no longer valid.
> >
> > On December 2nd I FINALLY received the 2510...postmarked Nov 30!!! But
that
> > didn't bother me. At least I had finally gotten the "excellent
condition...
> > you'll love
> > this radio" 2510 that Jerry promised me. Upon opening the box and
digging
> > through the packing I found a PATHETIC looking 2510. The case and face
> > plate
> > was scuffed up like you wouldn't believe. The power plug on the back is
> > broken and
> > pushes back into the radio whenever you try to connect the power cord,
the
> > accessory
> > plug has epoxy all over it and someone added a speaker jack and did a
very
> > poor job
> > of it. Upon removing the covers I found that the back part of the
display

> > was melted
> > because some MORON removed the original lamp (probably burned out) and
> > replaced
> > it with a HIGHER wattage lamp. This lamp melted the display to the
point
> > that the
> > lamp will no longer stay in place. Wires have been snipped and slodered
to
> > other
> > locations, obviously an attempt at doing some kind of modifications.
There
> > are cold
> > solder joints, large globs of solder and someone added a small PCB board
to
> > the underside
> > of the RF board and is being held in place by pieces of solder. I have
no
> > idea
> > what this board does. The radio smells like smoke and has the hint of
> > burned components.
> >
> > I obviously didn't get a fair trade since my 895 was in NEW condition
and
> > this 2510 is probably only good for parts.
> >
> > Avoid this person if you can. I was able to find some feedback about
> > this guy on Yahoo! Auctions and his feedback was very poor. He's
> > still holding to the pattern from those posts: ships late, has several
> > excuses and doesn't send what is promised.
> >
> > Jerry... if you read these news groups... thanks a lot liar.
>

410. ...duh Dipole Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Yolanda <denoted@euphemized.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1494749c58a3d788989682@isp-east.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 61846

General Lee: If I string a level full wave center-fed horizontal Dipole,
a full wave length above average ground, and it's strung true north to
true south, are my radiation patterns extending east-west or north-south?

411. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001203152645.22187.00002695@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 61847

why isnt everybody else recieving these ghost emissions? you keep harping ont
he collins gear like we are supposed to be impressed by the antiques. its over
valued and outdated gear.

>
>Maybe I can explain this so even you understand it. It has nothing to do with
>the quality or lack thereof of the receiving equipment. My Collins 75A-4 and
>S-Line equipment is better than anything on the market today. Hardly cheap
>equipment. I am not hearing interference from some station running high power
>a
>few doors or a couple of blocks away. There is a ham only a few blocks from
>me
>that can run the legal limit. I can be on the same band when he is
>transmitting
>and be tuned within a few KHz of his signal and never know he is there. So
>the
>problem is not with front end overload, image rejection, intermod products,
>unwanted mixer products, ect. The problem is that these cb morons are putting
>out spurious emissions that fall within the 10 meter band, and no matter how
>good or bad your receiver is, if the signal is in the 10 meter band it is
>going
>to interfere and it is not the fault of the receiver. It is the fault of the
>moron operating the transmitting equipment.
>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

412. Re: ...duh Dipole Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: hillbilly <hillbilly001@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <90ebjh$h82$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 61848

In article <MPG.1494749c58a3d788989682@isp-east.usenetserver.com>,
Yolanda <denoted@euphemized.net> wrote:
> General Lee: If I string a level full wave center-fed horizontal
Dipole,
> a full wave length above average ground, and it's strung true north
to
> true south, are my radiation patterns extending east-west or north-
south?
>
>
yes it would.... but how are you going to feed it and do you have a
tuner?
--
Dave KG5XR
http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
On Bull Shoales Lake, Ark/Mo State Line
Fishing is great & crappie are biting

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

413. Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers vs Ham skum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001203153136.22187.00002700@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 61849

hi dwight,
glad to see you've mellowed out a bit these days. i like a post like this where
i leanr something.

>
> When it comes to communications at sea, the International Maritime
>Organization, a United Nations organization based in London, carries
>more weight than the ITU. And the predominant IMO treaty document
>affecting maritime communications and other marine electronics is the
>Safety of Life at Sea (SOLAS) Convention (the US is a signer).
>
> Up until just a couple of years ago, the SOLAS Convention required
>Morse Code capability for all SOLAS vessels. However, after several
>studies world-wide, it was decided that Morse Code was no longer
>necessary for reliable ship-to-ship or ship-to-shore communications. At
>that point, it was decided to amend the SOLAS Convention to drop the
>code requirement.
>
> In fact, concerned about the possibility of confused distress
>communications should one vessel continue to use code while others no
>longer had the capability, the amendment actually went further to
>restrict code as a international distress mode.
>
> Since the IMO was the last U.N. organization with any Morse Code
>requirement, there is nothing still prompting the ITU's Morse Code
>requirement. When it comes to Morse Code, the "reservoir of trained
>operators" incentive no longer exists. Therefore, it is highly likely
>the code requirement for amateur radio will be voted out without
>discussion or objection. When that vote will occur is anyone's guess.
>
> By the way, both the FCC, and the Coast Guard, strive to fit domestic
>maritime communications into IMO standards also, where possible.
>
>
>Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
>http://www.qsl.net/w5net
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

414. Re: ...duh Dipole Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001203153244.22187.00002702@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 61850

east west

>
>General Lee: If I string a level full wave center-fed horizontal Dipole,
>a full wave length above average ground, and it's strung true north to
>true south, are my radiation patterns extending east-west or north-south?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

415. Re: ...duh Dipole Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <dcbl2tg8lujuam9mla05uji8ga5fsvlet9@4ax.com>
Source record: 61851

On Sun, 3 Dec 2000 15:18:23 -0500, Yolanda <denoted@euphemized.net>
wrote:

>General Lee: If I string a level full wave center-fed horizontal Dipole,
>a full wave length above average ground, and it's strung true north to
>true south, are my radiation patterns extending east-west or north-south?
>
Your radiation pattern will east-west.

416. How Much For That Big Radio In There Window

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: elmerpee@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90ebo1hap1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 61852

Well sence the CB's and the Ham's are not going too touch the CB bands I want the Big Radio that will PUT-OUT and a big antenna so it will help my BIG-RADIO PUTOUT,I just want too PUTOUT PUTOUT with lots of POWER and Be the KICK-ASS CB Station on the AIR I want too blow the meters off thy radios and be heard all over the bands no matter where you be Come ON Big Buddy Let it ROCK Turn on that Big Station and Lets GET HEARD WORK THAT SKIP SKIP LAND SKIP LAND SKIP LAND COME ON COME ON COME ON

from the Wiseman Elmer

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

417. Re:,,what a ....ed up group full of CB idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: elmerpee@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90ec10$hl5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 61853

In article <3a2a919f@news.planetc.com>,
"Dave or Debby" <truth@planetc.com> wrote:
> Communist uselessnet Global Administration don't apply--we is
Americans and
> OUR Constitution IS Superior to any UN mumbo-jumbo!!!!! FEAR GOD and
KEEP
> his Commandments, you reprobates!!!!!
> Dave!!!!!!
>
> Handy Andy wrote in message ...
> Greetings!
>
> They simply reset the default and issued a clear message due to the
> crossposting error.
>
> A lot of newsreaders aren't as flexible to screen out crossposted
> messages. It gets truncated on the viewers screen, but sent all over
> the place. You need to check the headers on a lot of this stuff.
>
> On Sat, 02 Dec 2000 13:59:47 -0500, while the modem was pondering it's
> navel, "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
> dissertated the following:
>
> :+> It hasn't worked, we're still here and still posting.
> :+>
> :+> Why the cross-posts to totally unrelated groups?
> :+>
> :+> "Meat-->Plow" wrote:
> :+> >
> :+> > On Sat, 02 Dec 2000 11:28:56 -0500, Usenet Global Administration
> :+> > Authority <ugaa@wilhelp.com>wrote:
> :+> >
> :+> > >"Meat-->Plow" <meat@fuck-j00.orq>:
> :+> > >
> :+> > >>Breaker Breaker One Nine
> :+> > >>
> :+> > >>You fuck-tards crack me up with all your idiotic discussion.
Dave and Debbie get a real life go hunch eash other and learn the birds
and the bee's shit maby you both are transxuals

> :+> > >>froup control message.
> :+> > >>
> :+> > >>BWAAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> :+> > >>

> :+> > >>Say goodbye to your news froup, imbeciles
> :+> > >
> :+> > >cmsg rmgroup rec.radio.cb
> :+> > >cmsg lock rec.radio.cb
> :+> > >
> :+> > >Usenet Global Administration Authority
> :+> >
> :+> > Thank you. Saved me the trouble of removing them myslef.
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

418. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: ramirez327948198@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001203155215.07671.00003317@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 61854

<< I said I hear NO major problem with spurious emissions. I never said spurious emissions don't
exist. The thread was about the overall 10 meter spurious emission problem. Not your specific problem. >>

I regularly hear splatter and spurs on 29MHz. I have traced the origin of these signals to high power stations using 27.025, often in distant locations from mine. My Icom 756 is not what you'd call a cheaply designed receiver.

419. Re: ...duh Dipole Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <rByW5.17754$0r2.424735@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61855

Neither. You state a 'FULL WAVE' center-fed dipole. In this case, the dipole impedance would be on the order of 1000 ohms, resistive. It could be fed with a match, but you will have your deepest nulls running North/South and some minor nulls running East/West. You maximum lobes will be running in a pattern reminiscent of a cloverleaf and peaking somewhat under 45 degrees, somewhat over 135 degrees, somewhat under 225 degrees and somewhat over 315 degrees. In other words, the pattern will be 'stretched' a bit towards the North and South from an 'X' running in the middle of the four quadrants. If this was a trick question, that should be the answer. If you meant to say a 'full half-wave dipole' (a conventional, unshortened dipole), then the maximum radiation would be at right angles to the antenna (ie; East - West). Also, the impedance would now be on the order of 70 ohms depending upon ground and height over same.

73s from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Yolanda" <denoted@euphemized.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.1494749c58a3d788989682@isp-east.usenetserver.com...
> General Lee: If I string a level full wave center-fed horizontal Dipole,
> a full wave length above average ground, and it's strung true north to
> true south, are my radiation patterns extending east-west or north-south?
>
>

420. Re: ND8V 9 months off then back in full

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2AB612.C921A814@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61856
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

JR wrote:
>
> You would fit perfectly in the cb band, why don't you just go there and
> stay.

Tell you what, JR. You send him to the CB band, and you stay here.
Please come by RRCB and troll again.

421. Re: ...duh Dipole Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
Message-ID: <3A2AB5FC.BC9D58CA@home.com>
Source record: 61857

If the antenna is cut the length he wishes to operate on, why would he need a tuner?

hillbilly wrote:

> In article <MPG.1494749c58a3d788989682@isp-east.usenetserver.com>,
> Yolanda <denoted@euphemized.net> wrote:
> > General Lee: If I string a level full wave center-fed horizontal
> Dipole,
> > a full wave length above average ground, and it's strung true north
> to
> > true south, are my radiation patterns extending east-west or north-
> south?
> >
> >
> yes it would.... but how are you going to feed it and do you have a
> tuner?
> --
> Dave KG5XR
> http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
> On Bull Shoales Lake, Ark/Mo State Line
> Fishing is great & crappie are biting
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

422. Re: FS - 40 Channel CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2AB67F.36677961@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61858

Me thinks nobody wants it. Toss it in the river.

GM wrote:
>
> Cheap 40 channel AM only CB

423. [FWD] Help! Talk to non-ham on my HT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2AB53F.BCC8135A@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61859

This makes you wonder why HAMS give us sh!t about using non
type-accepted equipment. Nobody told him about the illegality
of this, but told him how. If we answer a request for information,
stuff is rammed down our throats by the 'visitors'.

From: shevett@pobox.com
Message ID: <90ck16$c0m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

Evening folks!

I fear I am in a similar position as many other hams. My SO does not
yet have her ticket, though she does say she'll get it at some point.
We have many events coming up that i'd like to be able to participate
in, but also be able to keep in touch with her (we have a toddler with
us, so staying in touch is important).

I recently got my ticket, and I'm investing in hardware now. I'm
wondering if there is a way I can equip her with a radio that does NOT
require a license, and be able to talk to her through an HT I carry -
while also allowing me to work with other hams on my HT.

An FRS radio would be a great idea, except I know of no HT (2m/440/etc)
that can talk on FRS as well. One of the options I was thinking of was
using a 'commercial' band radio (one of the motorola-class units) that
sits very near the standard 2m bands... would this be a) legal, and b)
possible?

I'd need an HT that allows me to 'monitor' for activity on one frequency
while being actively tuned to another, so if she did key up it would
'break in' to whatever I was doing and I could hear her.

Possible? Probable? Please let me know!

Dave Belfer-Shevett KB1FWR
shevett@pobox.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

424. Re: ...duh Dipole Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A2AAAB9.C9A43883@some.net>
Source record: 61860

Why a full wavelength? Make it a half-wavelength and it will match to 50 ohm coax, otherwise you will need to use an antenna tuner.

Yolanda wrote:

> General Lee: If I string a level full wave center-fed horizontal Dipole,
> a full wave length above average ground, and it's strung true north to
> true south, are my radiation patterns extending east-west or north-south?

425. Re: ...duh Dipole Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A2AAB3F.EDA636D9@some.net>
Source record: 61861

He stated a full wavelength dipole, which will not match to 50 ohm coax, so he will need a tuner. Make it a half wavelength and no tuner necessary

Gary Danaher wrote:

> If the antenna is cut the length he wishes to operate on, why would he
> need a tuner?

426. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A2AAB89.42EC928@some.net>
Source record: 61862

"www.kp82.com" wrote:

> why isnt everybody else recieving these ghost emissions? you keep harping ont
> he collins gear like we are supposed to be impressed by the antiques. its over
> valued and outdated gear.
>

And out performs any of the jap crap.

427. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <90ee2i$8dv@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 61863
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Put a 10 meter on my Christmas list. May ask for a HT too just to see if it
will work without a license.
wrote in message news:3a29b9c3.463371388@news.idsi.net...
> On 02 Dec 2000 23:04:45 GMT, "CitySlicker"
> wrote:
>
> >I suggest that all the CBers with 10 M rigs start using the 10 meter band
> >regularly and lets start taking our discussions to the ham boards. Time
to
> >let the Ham world know that there are ways for the misrepresented to
fight
> >back.
>
> Go ahead, make our day!
>
>

428. Re: ...duh Dipole Question - duh I blew it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <0azW5.17770$0r2.427246@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61864

Well, I've stated I'm getting old. In my enthusiasm to pick up on the words 'full wave dipole', I neglected that the thing would be center fed, and the currents (although over a full wavelenght) would be running in the SAME direction. This means that yes, the radiation would run East - West. If the full wave antenna were fed from the end, then the current would NOT be in phase along the whole length and my previous post WOULD be correct. The impedance to the antenna, however, will be around 1000 ohms and will need a matchbox and probably need feeding with ladder line (coax will get lossy with a 20:1 SWR). Oh well, ya can't win 'em all!

73s from Rochester, NY
Jim

"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:rByW5.17754$0r2.424735@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Neither. You state a 'FULL WAVE' center-fed dipole. In this case, the
> dipole impedance would be on the order of 1000 ohms, resistive. It could
be
> fed with a match, but you will have your deepest nulls running North/South
> and some minor nulls running East/West. You maximum lobes will be running
> in a pattern reminiscent of a cloverleaf and peaking somewhat under 45
> degrees, somewhat over 135 degrees, somewhat under 225 degrees and
somewhat
> over 315 degrees. In other words, the pattern will be 'stretched' a bit
> towards the North and South from an 'X' running in the middle of the four
> quadrants. If this was a trick question, that should be the answer. If
you
> meant to say a 'full half-wave dipole' (a conventional, unshortened
dipole),
> then the maximum radiation would be at right angles to the antenna (ie;
> East - West). Also, the impedance would now be on the order of 70 ohms
> depending upon ground and height over same.
>
> 73s from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
> "Yolanda" <denoted@euphemized.net> wrote in message
> news:MPG.1494749c58a3d788989682@isp-east.usenetserver.com...
> > General Lee: If I string a level full wave center-fed horizontal
Dipole,
> > a full wave length above average ground, and it's strung true north to
> > true south, are my radiation patterns extending east-west or
north-south?
> >
> >

>
>

429. Re: Bill Clinton is my hero

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90ef53ini1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 61865

While I hesitate to get involved in inane arguments, I have watched you, who purports to be a ham create hate and discontent on this newsgroup. What in the world is to be gained by this practice? Many of the hams here would like to be of assistance to anybody that has a desire to become a ham. I would suggest you follow the example of thumper rabbit, "if you can't say something nice, say nothing at all". You appear to attribute to ALL CBers the lawlessness of the few. I think this does little to foster any understanding. Please take a moment to re-read your posts before sending them, ask yourself, How would I react to receiving this message?

73 Fred W4JLE
Ex V31GR V3CB KB4NGB KJ4JE W4JLE/PJ Hamming since the 50's and enjoying it more every day.
"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message news:20001203135543.03189.00002327@ng-fg1.aol.com...
> >If Billy clinton is yo hero then A MURDERER is your hero, a draft dodgin'
> >crack head is your hero, a Sodomite faggot is your hero, an UNconstitutional
> >treasonous wimp is your hero....boy, what does that say about you? Billy
> >doesn't have a CB--what do your sick thoughts have to do with CB?
> >Dave!!!!!!
>
> He is only my hero for the CB law he signed.

430. Re: [FWD] Help! Talk to non-ham on my HT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <JdzW5.17772$0r2.426184@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61866

The reality of this is that decades ago this was going on with the wives using some ficticious call so they could talk with their hubbies on amateur radio repeaters. Truth is, even if a CBer were on the ham band (illegally) the ham cannot legally contact the unlicensed user to chase him away (illegal to communicate with non-amateur stations with a few minor exceptions). Oh well ...

73s from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3A2AB53F.BCC8135A@hotmail.com...
> This makes you wonder why HAMS give us sh!t about using non
> type-accepted equipment. Nobody told him about the illegality
> of this, but told him how. If we answer a request for information,
> stuff is rammed down our throats by the 'visitors'.
> ---
> From: shevett@pobox.com
> Message ID: <90ck16$c0m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
>
> Evening folks!
>
> I fear I am in a similar position as many other hams. My SO does not
> yet have her ticket, though she does say she'll get it at some point.
> We have many events coming up that i'd like to be able to participate
> in, but also be able to keep in touch with her (we have a toddler with
> us, so staying in touch is important).
>
> I recently got my ticket, and I'm investing in hardware now. I'm
> wondering if there is a way I can equip her with a radio that does NOT
> require a license, and be able to talk to her through an HT I carry -
> while also allowing me to work with other hams on my HT.
>
> An FRS radio would be a great idea, except I know of no HT (2m/440/etc)
> that can talk on FRS as well. One of the options I was thinking of was
> using a 'commercial' band radio (one of the motorola-class units) that
> sits very near the standard 2m bands... would this be a) legal, and b)
> possible?
>
> I'd need an HT that allows me to 'monitor' for activity on one frequency
> while being actively tuned to another, so if she did key up it would
> 'break in' to whatever I was doing and I could hear her.
>
> Possible? Probable? Please let me know!
>

> ---
> Dave Belfer-Shevett KB1FWR
> shevett@pobox.com
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

431. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: ramirez327948198@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001203165429.07779.00003136@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 61867

<< What I am saying is that there is NO major
spurious emissions problem falling within the 10 meter band.

However, if you run cheap equipment you may get unwanted AGC activation, unwanted mixer byproducts, and overloaded RF amplification byproducts. All of which cause a mistaken belief that your receiver noise is caused by the spurious emissions of someone else. It is not caused by this. It is the fault of your receiver.>>

I regularly hear splatter and spurs in the 29 MHz band segment coming from (dx) operators on 27.025 MHz. My Icom 756 is not a cheaply designed or constructed receiver.

432. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001203170202.24627.00003574@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 61868

its still not impressing anybody and please answer the question why isnt
everybody else recieving all those signals your high end reciever is getting
but our jap ones arent?

>
>And out performs any of the jap crap.

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

433. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001203170702.24627.00003577@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 61869

ive been monitoring 10 meters for a long time, am on the lower portion, the sattelites in the midlle, and fm on the top. i've never had any trouble with these signals that you say are bothering you.

>
>I regularly hear splatter and spurs in the 29 MHz band segment coming from
>(dx)
>operators on 27.025 MHz. My Icom 756 is not a cheaply designed or
>constructed
>receiver.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

434. 45 meters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001203170937.24627.00003580@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 61870

anybody using 6670 lsb here in north america? lots of pirate activity on this freq in europe but i havent heard much out of the colonies. anybody interested?

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

435. Re: ...duh Dipole Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90egfbjat1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 61871

Your pattern would be to the east and west, at 1 wavelength the elevation pattern would show major lobes at 15 degrees and 45 degrees.

"hillbilly" <hillbilly001@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:90ebjh$h82$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <MPG.1494749c58a3d788989682@isp-east.usenetserver.com>,
> Yolanda <denoted@euphemized.net> wrote:
> > General Lee: If I string a level full wave center-fed horizontal
> Dipole,
> > a full wave length above average ground, and it's strung true north
> to
> > true south, are my radiation patterns extending east-west or north-
> south?
> >
> >
> yes it would.... but how are you going to feed it and do you have a
> tuner?
> --
> Dave KG5XR
> http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
> On Bull Shoales Lake, Ark/Mo State Line
> Fishing is great & crappie are biting
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

436. Re: ...duh Dipole Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <eqil2tcuislup4k3ncqkqkdofeg5h7kgdo@4ax.com>
Source record: 61872

On Sun, 03 Dec 2000 21:06:31 GMT, "JAMES HAMPTON"
<j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Neither. You state a 'FULL WAVE' center-fed dipole. In this case, the
>dipole impedance would be on the order of 1000 ohms, resistive. It could be
>fed with a match, but you will have your deepest nulls running North/South
>and some minor nulls running East/West. You maximum lobes will be running
>in a pattern reminiscent of a cloverleaf and peaking somewhat under 45
>degrees, somewhat over 135 degrees, somewhat under 225 degrees and somewhat
>over 315 degrees. In other words, the pattern will be 'stretched' a bit
>towards the North and South from an 'X' running in the middle of the four
>quadrants.

This above pattern is what I originally guessed it would
be.(cloverleaf) However I did not trust my memory so I looked
it up. The correct pattern is not a clover leaf. It has only two
lobes. One east and one west.

>If this was a trick question, that should be the answer. If you
>meant to say a 'full half-wave dipole' (a conventional, unshortened dipole),
>then the maximum radiation would be at right angles to the antenna (ie;
>East - West). Also, the impedance would now be on the order of 70 ohms
>depending upon ground and height over same.
>
>73s from Rochester, NY

>Jim

As long as the one wave length antenna is fed in the center the two
lobe pattern will occur. If the antenna is fed at the end, or a
quarter wave from one end then the clover leaf pattern will occur.

437. Re: ...duh Dipole Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <q6jl2t0nc15ee0susrvr3s0589iqg4jugl@4ax.com>
Source record: 61873

On Sun, 03 Dec 2000 21:07:44 GMT, Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
wrote:

>If the antenna is cut the length he wishes to operate on, why would he
>need a tuner?

Because with this config the antenna is voltage fed. Being so it needs
some method of matching even if it is a cut to the correct length for
the frequency in question.

438. Re: ...duh Dipole Question - duh I blew it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <ucjl2tsf3rdo38d1mg7p0af5h26raav13f@4ax.com>
Source record: 61874

On Sun, 03 Dec 2000 21:45:32 GMT, "JAMES HAMPTON"
<j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Well, I've stated I'm getting old. In my enthusiasm to pick up on the words
>'full wave dipole', I neglected that the thing would be center fed, and the
>currents (although over a full wavelenght) would be running in the SAME
>direction. This means that yes, the radiation would run East - West. If
>the full wave antenna were fed from the end, then the current would NOT be
>in phase along the whole length and my previous post WOULD be correct. The
>impedance to the antenna, however, will be around 1000 ohms and will need a
>matchbox and probably need feeding with ladder line (coax will get lossy
>with a 20:1 SWR). Oh well, ya can't win 'em all!
>
>73s from Rochester, NY
>Jim

I should of read all the threads on this post before correcting you in
another post. Admitting on your own that you goofed is a rarety on
this group. Congrats.

439. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <lljl2torq3ka9trrrc64hv08cjod1d1d8k@4ax.com>
Source record: 61875

>I regularly hear splatter and spurs in the 29 MHz band segment coming from (dx)
>operators on 27.025 MHz. My Icom 756 is not a cheaply designed or constructed
>receiver.
I have heard these signals around 29 meg, but only when I use a CB
type radios (10 meter stuff) When I switch to a more advanced design
they disappear.

What I.F,s does the 756 use? Just because the 756 is a modern
deluxe rig does not mean a locally strong signal can't directly
infiltrate into an I.F. mixing process and show up as noise
on the receiver.

440. Re: ND8V 9 months off then back in full

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2AD393.EA656BC@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61876

Here's the spineless answer from JR:

JR wrote:
>
> And we hear more great words of wisdom from the cb world. 10-4 and roger that
> good buddy unit 69.
>
> "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" wrote:
>
> >
> > Tell you what, JR. You send him to the CB band, and you stay here.
> > Please come by RRCB and troll again.

441. cobra 148gtlf problem (2)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: m1976 <m1976@ametro.net>
Message-ID: <90ek3iahe1@news.datasync.com>
Source record: 61877

thanks guys for the responses!! i have checked voltages on the mb3756 which is the voltage regulator everything is o.k. on it. the voltages on the mb8719 are off especially on pin 1,2, and 3. i have changed out the loop mixer transistors. but still no loop mix signal or no clarifier. the l-19 vco when adjusted will change frequencies like it suppose to but the channel selector will not changed channels and that i think is because of the loop being unlocked. if you can still help we'll definately appreciate it. if we can be of help to some some time just e-mail me. 73s
tomcat

442. Re: ND8V 9 months off then back in full

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: DX401 <lightnin24@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <90elcs$7oo$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 61878
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

JR is nothing more than another reprobate agitator. You think he would be worrying more about the trash on the Ham Bands but Mr. Big Sh@t Wannabe wants to be the netcop and radio cop at the same time. Like most welfare bastards, he doesn't have the time or life to mind his own business, get a job, live his life, take care of his family, pretty much the type of loser that sits behind his radio and blows off about his problems and then bitches why he doesnt have a family or anything else worth living for other than persecuting CBers or those who dont kiss his ass. Of course, like most losers, he doesnt really want anything to do with you or me other than telling us how to live our lives.
"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3A2AB612.C921A814@hotmail.com...
>
>
> JR wrote:
> >
> > You would fit perfectly in the cb band, why don't you just go there and
> > stay.
>
> Tell you what, JR. You send him to the CB band, and you stay here.
> Please come by RRCB and troll again.

443. Re: Welcome to N8WWM fan club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: DX401 <lightnin24@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <90ekrh$7o4$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 61879

See you are at it again, N8 Wienie Washing Maggot

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001203115858.09170.00001972@ng-cf1.aol.com...
>
> Meeting 24/7 in rec.radio.cb

444. Re: Why all Hams and C.Bers should be prosecuted to the fullest extent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: DX401 <lightnin24@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <90ekvm$7o6$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 61880

Pretty much what the government wants to do, make us all little obedient
welfare bastards they can control
"JhnWil876" <jhnwil876@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001203144024.04296.00000044@ng-fp1.aol.com...
>
> If I was in charge of the FCC, I would use my budget to listen for and
> prosecute the slightest violation no matter how small.
>
> Nearly every Ham and C.Ber makes some sort of violation at some point and
by
> prosecuting each offender on trivial matters I would drive them out of the
> hobby in much the same way as the BATF are doing to gun owners and
dealers.
>
> We dont want the people to have guns or a means of communication that we
cant
> control, it's best to persecute the ordinary citizen into submission and
have
> them dependent on the government for everything.
>
>

445. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: ramirez327948198@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001203183605.24666.00003086@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Source record: 61881

Icom 756 specs
http://www.icom.net.au/7561.htm

<< >I regularly hear splatter and spurs in the 29 MHz band segment coming from
(dx)
>operators on 27.025 MHz. My Icom 756 is not a cheaply designed or constructed
>receiver.
I have heard these signals around 29 meg, but only when I use a CB
type radios (10 meter stuff) When I switch to a more advanced design
they disappear.

What I.F,s does the 756 use? Just because the 756 is a modern
deluxe rig does not mean a locally strong signal can't directly
infiltrate into an I.F. mixing process and show up as noise
on the receiver. >>

446. Re: ND8V 9 months off then back in full

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: BH <billharx@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3A2ACA00.6876ADB4@flash.net>
Source record: 61882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Your really funny DX401, you fit perfectly in the cb crowd. Welfare? Get a job? Well, I spent over 40 years in the electronics and computer industry, and now have a nice comfortable retirement and a net worth of something you can only dream off, a great family of children and grandchildren, and unlike you, I have never been or welfare. As far as letting come cber kiss my you-know-what, well that will never happen, I don't want to get it dirty. Dream on loser.

DX401 wrote:

> JR is nothing more than another reprobate agitator. You think he would be
> worrying more about the trash on the Ham Bands but Mr. Big Sh@t Wannabe
> wants to be the netcop and radio cop at the same time. Like most welfare
> bastards, he doesn't have the time or life to mind his own business, get a
> job, live his life, take care of his family, pretty much the type of loser
> that sits behind his radio and blows off about his problems and then
> bitches why he doesnt have a family or anything else worth living for other
> than persecuting CBers or those who dont kiss his ass. Of course, like most
> losers, he doesnt really want anything to do with you or me other than
> telling us how to live our lives.

447. Re: ...duh Dipole Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: "M.Buritt" <mburritt@twcny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <FUAW5.363879$JS3.53883126@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 61883

There is an excellent primer on rf here-
Http://www.tpub.com/neets/book10/index htm
Dr.OP-AMP
Yolanda <denoted@euphemized.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.1494749c58a3d788989682@isp-east.usenetserver.com...
> General Lee: If I string a level full wave center-fed horizontal Dipole,
> a full wave length above average ground, and it's strung true north to
> true south, are my radiation patterns extending east-west or north-south?
>
>

448. Re: My point has been made

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90em4l$m29$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 61884

What purpose is served by imputing all CBers? I suspect, even if I can't prove, that CBers as whole follow the same curve of normal distribution as any other population.

That is to say 7% will break any regulation that may be proposed by God himself, 7% would not break a regulation under penalty of death and the rest fall somewhere in-between, Your generalization to the contrary. Based on these mathematical observations, I can only conclude, as should all others on here, that your sole purpose is to troll.

"Professor" <bgriffey@kwom.com> wrote in message
news:00tW5.14995$322.202916@e420r-sjo3.usenetserver.com...
> Let em have it N8WWM !
> I'm not a ham myself... and can't really comment on the ham group in
> general... but I do know that most CBers are total aholes and aren't worth
> the air they breathe. This NG is no exception... be glad these morons
don't
> frequent your bands much.
> I, on the other hand, put up with this on a daily basis.
>
> --
>
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> "Professor"
> http://personal.kwom.com/bgriffey/
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
>
>
>

449. Re: 45 meters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90em7r$m37$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 61885

This was tried a number of years back. You may want to review the results of those apprehended prior to starting operations.

"www.kp82.com" <timnebo@aol.comedy> wrote in message news:20001203170937.24627.00003580@ng-cd1.aol.com...
> anybody using 6670 lsb here in north america? lots of pirate activity on this
> freq in europe but i havent heard much out of the colonies. anybody interested?
>
>
> 73 de Tim
> Knight Patrol #82
> http://www.kp82.com

450. Re: 45 meters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001203185215.24678.00003224@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 61886

where can i find out more?

>This was tried a number of years back. You may want to review the results of
>those apprehended prior to starting operations.

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

451. Re: How Much For That Big Radio In There Window

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a2ad3c5@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 61887

Wise guy? Sounds like a uncle charlie MORON Pee brain to me! I've heard better skip calls on my 100mw WT back in the late 60's !!
Dave!!!!!!

elmerpee@my-deja.com wrote in message <90ebo1hap1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
Well sence the CB's and the Ham's are not going too touch the CB bands I want the Big Radio that will PUT-OUT and a big antenna so it will help my BIG-RADIO PUTOUT,I just want too PUTOUT PUTOUT with lots of POWER and Be the KICK-ASS CB Station on the AIR I want too blow the meters off thy radios and be heard all over the bands no matter where you be Come ON Big Buddy Let it ROCK Turn on that Big Station and Lets GET HEARD WORK THAT SKIP SKIP LAND SKIP LAND SKIP LAND COME ON COME ON COME ON

from the Wiseman Elmer

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

452. Re: N8WWM FAN CLUB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a2ad425@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 61888

No, yo a CRACKin' head ! Don't you KNOw that under communism everyone is
Illegal?
Dave!!!!

N8wwm wrote in message <20001203114336.09170.00001965@ng-cf1.aol.com>...
I wish to thank all of my fans for the anti-N8WWM posts.
In the future, when I think I am having a bad day, I will go to deja and
re-read them so I can laugh vibrantly again.

This is better than a joke book, for sure.

Again, the simple message: Only the illegal ops will be hurt by new laws.

Man am I cracking up. What a hoot.

453. Re: [FWD] Help! Talk to non-ham on my HT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a2ad48e@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 61889

Get a CB instead of FRS and all the other FM bands! CB is superior, Hambo!
Dave!!!!!!

JAMES HAMPTON wrote in message ...
The reality of this is that decades ago this was going on with the wives
using some ficticious call so they could talk with their hubbies on amateur
radio repeaters. Truth is, even if a CBer were on the ham band (illegally)
the ham cannot legally contact the unlicensed user to chase him away
(illegal to communicate with non-amateur stations with a few minor
exceptions). Oh well ...

73s from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3A2AB53F.BCC8135A@hotmail.com...
> This makes you wonder why HAMS give us sh!t about using non
> type-accepted equipment. Nobody told him about the illegality
> of this, but told him how. If we answer a request for information,
> stuff is rammed down our throats by the 'visitors'.
> --
> From: shevett@pobox.com
> Message ID: <90ck16$c0m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
>
> Evening folks!
>
> I fear I am in a similar position as many other hams. My SO does not
> yet have her ticket, though she does say she'll get it at some point.
> We have many events coming up that i'd like to be able to participate
> in, but also be able to keep in touch with her (we have a toddler with
> us, so staying in touch is important).
>
> I recently got my ticket, and I'm investing in hardware now. I'm
> wondering if there is a way I can equip her with a radio that does NOT
> require a license, and be able to talk to her through an HT I carry -
> while also allowing me to work with other hams on my HT.
>
> An FRS radio would be a great idea, except I know of no HT (2m/440/etc)
> that can talk on FRS as well. One of the options I was thinking of was
> using a 'commercial' band radio (one of the motorola-class units) that
> sits very near the standard 2m bands... would this be a) legal, and b)
> possible?
>
> I'd need an HT that allows me to 'monitor' for activity on one frequency
> while being actively tuned to another, so if she did key up it would

> 'break in' to whatever I was doing and I could hear her.
>
> Possible? Probable? Please let me know!
>
> ---
> Dave Belfer-Shevett KB1FWR
> shevett@pobox.com
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

454. Re: 45 meters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a2ad4db@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 61890

You mean 26.670, right? This is 26-27 mc newsgroup! Dem low freqs. don't belong here!!!!
Dave!!!!

www.kp82.com wrote in message <20001203170937.24627.00003580@ng-cd1.aol.com>...
anybody using 6670 lsb here in north america? lots of pirate activity on this
freq in europe but i havent heard much out of the colonies. anybody interested?

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

455. Re: ND8V 9 months off then back in full

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a2ad52d@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 61891
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

This is funny! Are all welfare Honkeys like that?
Dave!

DX401 wrote in message <90elcs$7oo$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>...
JR is nothing more than another reprobate agitator. You think he would be worrying more about the trash on the Ham Bands but Mr. Big Sh@t Wannabe wants to be the netcop and radio cop at the same time. Like most welfare bastards, he doesn't have the time or life to mind his own business, get a job, live his life, take care of his family, pretty much the type of loser that sits behind his radio and blows off about his problems and then bitches why he doesnt have a family or anything else worth living for other than persecuting CBers or those who dont kiss his ass. Of course, like most losers, he doesnt really want anything to do with you or me other than telling us how to live our lives.
"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3A2AB612.C921A814@hotmail.com...
>
>
> JR wrote:
> >
> > You would fit perfectly in the cb band, why don't you just go there and
> > stay.
>
> Tell you what, JR. You send him to the CB band, and you stay here.
> Please come by RRCB and troll again.

456. Re: Midland handheld question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a2ad56b@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 61892

Polarity, sunny, Polarity. Make sure it is right & both DC and 1 not AC !
Dave!

SteveS wrote in message ...
I have a Midland 75-830 CB handheld. There is a jack on the side of the batt pack. No mention in the manual what it's for. Is it for charging NiCad's ? If so, will the charger for my Kenwood 28A work? Same 9.6 voltage. Tanks.
SteveS

457. Re: Hello Allen/ Hy-Gain 5 element beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a2ad5be@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 61893

WHERE are the Antenna Pole-leece when yo need dem?
Dave!!!!!

Jay in the Mojave wrote in message <3A2A5CD3.21C9D210@qnet.com>...
Hello Allen: Your e-mail address is bouncing again.

Your asking to many questions to get answered this way.

The 5 element Hy-Gain can be converted from 10 meters to 11 meters. The boom length doesn't need to be modified. But the element length needs to be lengthened. Hy-Gain used 7/16 Outside Diameter (OD) .058 or .065 wall thickness aluminum tubing, as the out side or end pieces of there beams.

The 7/16 aluminum tubing can be purchased or ordered from a metal supply house. But you may not get to pick what wall thickness they carry. The lengths of tubing come in 144 inch / 12 feet lengths. So a 72 inch piece would work well, as a element end. With the extra length inside the 5/8" center piece of tubing.

Sometimes 7/16" aluminum tubing is hard to find. By cutting off the swaged reduced neck end, off the end of the 5/8" tubing, about 4 to 5 inches long, you can then use 1/2" diameter tubing which is easier to find. That will telescope into the 5/8" diameter tubing, with the swaged reduced end cut off. With a fine toothed hack saw, cross cut 2 cuts approx 1 inch into the end of the 5/8" tubing that just had the reduced swaged end cut off.
Now the element will can be a extended the 4 to 10 inches with plenty of reserve length inside the 5/8" tubing for extra strength. Use good stainless steel hose clamps. If the clamps are tight, and the inside tubing is still lose, or can be turned by hand, it ain't right.

The Hair Pin or Beta Match, will half to be retuned. But no big deal using a MFJ Antenna analyser. The cost of one of these antenna analysers will save to 10 times the grief without one, and you can always turn around and sell it after words.

Leave the stock Hy-Gain Balun there, its bullet proof, and has insignificant insertion loss. The Beta Match wires do have loss in them, but modify the antenna first with the longer elements, get in tuned in and check its performance, before changing or up grading the Beta Matching wires and lengths. If you change more than one thing at a time with a antenna, you are opening up the door for massive headaches times 100, which equals beam antenna in driveway, run over 759 times by big truck. Take it to the bank.

The Beta Matching wires can be replaced with 3/8" solid aluminum rod, which will fit into or telescope into 1/2" aluminum tubing, with a .058"

wall thickness. This telescoping length adjustment will allow you tune the antenna for a dead nuts on SWR of 1 to 1.0 at your frequency, with a reduced loss. Cross cut into the ends (4 cuts totally, or 2 cuts with a saw going thru both sides) of the 1/2" tubing to allow a small hose clamp to tighten down on the 3/8" rod.

The 10 KW balun will take 5 2.4" Torrids with 6 gauge wire, with additional teflon insulation. I am making one. The cores are 20 bucks each.

Look at the ARRL Antenna Book for the HF Beam Antennas. Its a great reference.

Jay in the Mojave

458. Re: Scottiekins at his best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a2ad658@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 61894
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

CB is evil? What a sicko Hambo would think this? The Devilvision IS evil, what's on it, that is for sure. CB is PRO-Life. CB IS the best MeggaHertz band dare is, sunny!
Dave!!!!!

sideband wrote in message <4skk2t0hc0uh04v8fj71gvt07m5oakun0i@4ax.com>...
Scott:

It's a troll, and nothing but a 1/2 bit CB'er with a ticket. Hash and trash, hate and discontent.... sound a bit like those idiots on Channel 19 who jam up the freq and make the roads unsafe for everyone... Just talk over it, and ignore it.

Just my two cents. Your mileage may vary.

-SSB

On or about Sat, 02 Dec 2000 18:15:54 -0500, "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>, using the forum rec.radio.cb did say:

:Why are you afraid to post this the the amateur group as well as
:RRCB? I knew you couldn't resist a chance to place an insulting
:post to RRCB. Back to the name calling and insults. It's what you
:do best. You should spend your time learning CW, since CB is evil.
:
:N8wwm wrote:
:>
:> Scottiekins blathered moronically:
:> >I will follow you around the NG's and make your pitiful existence
:> >a nightmare. Of course, you can be a man and apologize to the masses...
:> >
:> N8WWM, with his usual perfect perspective and shining eloquence stated:
:> Go ahead...it only proves my point. I could not care less.

459. Re: Missing The Big Picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <4snl2t42qe0ilo4iajnh7ugfvdkbe5di9h@4ax.com>
Source record: 61895

Greetings!

Whew! No flames! Ok, let's see about what's going on here...

...[continuing]...

The way I see it, as I was looking for a house with my now x-wife, we came across a few CCR's enacted and supported by local townships.

They restricted the housing developments to only cable, phone - no TV or any other arials allowed. We questioned this and found out that the people who own the land these individual properties were being built on, are the ones that set the rules. Much like a condo association.

At first I thought it was some type of rip-off scam to sub-lease land to fool people into thinking they were owning not only their house, but the property too.

As we dug around to find a house to settle in, we found better locations and are in the boonies far enough away from overdeveloped land. But, it's shocking to find a lot of "large acre" land being sub-leased to people wanting to buy a house, and led to beleive that they are also buying the property.

The contracts these people have to deal with, take an attorney to figure out if it's worth living there or not.

It's been a major concern that with this bill, there may be more "scams" like the above that may actually erupt into a big regulatory mess.

On Sun, 03 Dec 2000 14:18:17 -0500, while the modem was pondering it's navel, Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> dissertated the following:

:+> Handy Andy wrote:
:+>
:+> >
:+> > IF the locals decide you can't have the radio there for FEAR of
:+> > interference is my grey issue. I'd like to discuss this, but it seems
:+> > that no one is working the fine lines here.
:+>
:+> Handy,
:+>
:+> It would seem that if you are running a legal CB, IMHO, they couldn't
:+> preclude your use of it on the above basis. In other words, City Coun-
:+> cil couldn't enact an ordinance *banning* their use. The citizens'

:+> authority to use a CB still comes from FCC/federal regulation, and as
:+> long as you are using one within those regulations, they couldn't do
:+> that. The new law, as I read it, doesn't *seem* to allow that--only the
:+> enaction of ordinances to deal with <illegal> interference. That was
:+> what caused the new law to start with--complaints to congressmen from
:+> the folks back home about CBers coming in on their TVs and church PA
:+> systems. I might be wrong, but that's the way I read it.
:+>
:+> Best 73
:+>
:+> Jerry
:+> >

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:
... _
... You know you're a VERSATILE CB'er when... / \
... Your antenna doubles as a fishing rod and / |
... you have the trophies to prove it! 0/ (^)
... |/\ /_\

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

460. Re: THE HAM'S ARE COMMING THE HAM'S ARE COMMING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a2ad6cf$1@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 61896

Boards shut down because of that Reprobate? How is this possible? Does he have digital AIDS ?
Dave!!!!!!!

JAMES HAMPTON wrote in message ...
Hmm ... the spelling and grammar are familiar. Is this Hotrod posting? If it is (and I suspect so), the reason you are here causing grief is that you've been banned from every CB board I know of (at least the ones that didn't shut down because of you). I assume you have discovered Juno, Freelane, and lord knows how many other free ISPs so your ISP numbers won't give you away. You don't need to get anyone's ass - you need to get a life!

Jim AA2QA
ps - consider desisting from harassing the CBers - hams have enough problems of their own.

<elmerpee@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:90dk08kb1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Its about time that the FCC and the HAMS clean up the CB Radio Bands,I
> have listen too the CB bnads where you ASSHOLES think you have too run
> BIG POWER too talk across the damm street or can say any thing but is
> my radio putting out or what am i putting on your meter good buddy.CB
> radio would be a good band if the CB'er would have clean it up long ago
> and would not be the fcc and ham too clean it up today.I have nothing
> bad to say about CB'er there as many bad hams as bad CB'ers on the
> airwaves and they all need a visit from the FCC.If all ham's and cb'ers
> would turn off there big power they would be able too talk just as far
> and also help clean the bands up.With all the BIG POWER HOGS all there
> doing is makeing a mess of the bands,Some you can hear all over the
> band and i and not talking too cb's only but too ham's too.Clean Up
> your damm shit or get the FUCK off the bands.BEFOR THE HAM'S GET YOUR
> ASS
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

461. Re: ND8V 9 months off then back in full

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a2ad96e@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 61897
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

9 months off? Did he have a Baby OR is he the baby?
Dave!!!!
Dave or Debby wrote in message <3a2ad52d@news.planetc.com>...
This is funny! Are all welfare Honkeys like that?
Dave!

DX401 wrote in message <90elcs$7oo$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>...
JR is nothing more than another reprobate agitator. You think he would be
worrying more about the trash on the Ham Bands but Mr. Big Sh@t Wannabe
wants to be the netcop and radio cop at the same time. Like most welfare
bastards, he doesn't have the time or life to mind his own business, get a
job, live his life, take care of his family, pretty much the type of loser
that sits behind his radio and blows off about his problems and then
bitches why he doesnt have a family or anything else worth living for other
than persecuting CBers or those who dont kiss his ass. Of course, like most
losers, he doesnt really want anything to do with you or me other than
telling us how to live our lives.
"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3A2AB612.C921A814@hotmail.com...
>
>
> JR wrote:
> >
> > You would fit perfectly in the cb band, why don't you just go there and
> > stay.
>
> Tell you what, JR. You send him to the CB band, and you stay here.
> Please come by RRCB and troll again.

462. Re: My point has been made

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 00:53:52 UTC
From: ramirez327948198@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001202195352.01640.00002938@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 61694

N8wwm wrote:
>
> I am gonna sit back a while, chill out, and let you guys run your jaws. Have
> fun on the CB...I am sure some of you will make the newspapers...
>
> Quietly chuckling

Knock it off, Doug. You're shooting fish in a barrel and coming off like a
putz.

463. Re: My point has been made

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 01:09:18 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001202200918.11917.00002328@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 61695

>
>Knock it off, Doug. You're shooting fish in a barrel and coming off like a
>putz.
>
>

OK, OK...

But hey in this case, being a putz was sure funny.

464. Re: My point has been made

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 01:51:16 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001202205116.03207.00002382@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Source record: 61696

Scottiekins, coming to his senses and realizing N8WWM is right<and praying that N8WWM would shut up>, said:
Is that to be considered an admission of guilt or an apology?

To which N8WWM replied in his usual excellent style and flawless logic:
Guilt for what?

I STARTED by making the point that only illegal ops will be hurt by any new laws.
Follow the trail backwards.

Apology? To be sorry for speaking your mind on something?
No, I am not going to do that. I don't care if you try to bug me, you cannot. You will only bug me if I accept your bugging. I do not. After your threats to follow me around, etc. I especially do not feel charitable.

You do what you think is right, and have a nice day while yer at it.

465. (FS) Dave Made 5Pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 02:04:04 UTC
From: bigmanmoney@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90c9mj$4rm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 61697

Dave Made 5pill with side band for sale. The box is in good shape.
Asking $300.00 you pay the shipping.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

466. F/S Yaesu FT-757gx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 02:39:04 UTC
From: themadhatter10@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001202213904.01796.00000470@ng-mc1.aol.com>
Source record: 61748

I have 2 of these radios that I am going to sell. 1 of them works and the other needs some work. I am going to give them away at a great price of 350.00 for both of them shipped to your door..Great Christmas gift...email me for more details...

mel

467. Re: Bill Clinton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 03:16:21 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <90cdu5$24n$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 61749
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay) <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
>Still whining and trolling. Go ahead and call me some more names and
>fling some more insults. You're great entertainment. I know your peers
>are howling with laughter AT you now.

Hi Scott -- I agree fully with N8WWN. Anything that helps to reduce
the lawlessness in this nation is fully supported by the vast majority
of us.

Take care,
Jeff KH6O

>N8wwn wrote:
>> The CB bill is the greatest thing he ever did.

468. Re: Bottom line on CB enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 05:00:17 UTC
From: bendowersagain@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001203000017.08961.00002789@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 61750

>n8wwm@aol.com (N8wwm) said

>If you are operating legally, as a CB'er or an amateur, you have nothing to
>worry about.

How naive can you be? Get your head out of the sand.

>It is obvious that
>the illegals are the ones most agitated by the situation.

I am very agitated by this. And guess what? I am legal.

469. FA: 2 148gtl's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 05:10:30 UTC
From: Steve Gale <sgale11@home.com>
Message-ID: <aBkW5.12612$_5.2706739@news4.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 61751

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1200417496

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1200418358

470. FA: 20amp Power Supply

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 05:12:50 UTC
From: Steve Gale <sgale11@home.com>
Message-ID: <mDkW5.12630$_5.2709021@news4.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 61752

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1200420738

471. WANTED: Mark Sidewinder 46

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 05:25:13 UTC
From: Mans0n <ibrewbeer@cia.gov.hahaha>
Message-ID: <d4mj2tk1em2f56df325ldv99u1q5ie9i1p@4ax.com>
Source record: 61753

looking for one of these anyone want to do trading or whatever

contact my email: warezona@deletethis.hotmail.com

472. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 05:54:26 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001203005426.11935.00002309@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 61755

>Spurious emissions, harmonics, and intermod products can be caused
>by a number of factors. And not all are from CB.

Yeah, and JFK, Elvis, and Marilyn Monroe are doing a threesome on Gilligan's Island, too...

473. Re: You all worry to much/Clinton CB Bill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 05:55:33 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <pflW5.108521$U46.3467769@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 61756

Nope, having an antenna probably won't be just grounds but desensing poor
mister officers 800 meg radio may be grounds :)

"CitySlicker" <manno_d@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:90bb2n$s7t@dispatch.concentric.net...
The supreme court recently ruled that random inspections for drugs was
unconstitutional. I don't think the type of antenna your sporting would
qualify for just grounds either.

"Major Tom" <ground@control.com> wrote in message
news:gnTV5.151$Lc.42862@typhoon1.ba-dsg.net...
> Probable cause for what? they cannot randomly pull you over because you
have
> a cb antenna on your vehicle Probable cuase would be they just got an
> interference call at a certain location, you are sitting in your mobile
with
> the antennas on it he pulls up and sees you that would be probable cause
I
> guess it is also probable cause to think anyone who goes to a NRA meeting
> owns a gun?
>
>
> "w8ron" <w8ron@stratos.net> wrote in message
> news:3a27d8bc$0$35389$6d93012@news.stratos.net...
> > The answer is "PROBABLE CAUSE"
> > ---
> > Ron
> >
> >
> > Programbo5 wrote:
> >
> > > So..... What?...Simply having coil CB antennas is grounds for pulling
> you over
> > > and searching your van?..I don`t think so...All this bill is saying is
> that
> > > local law enforcement will be used to enforce FCC regulation but they
> will
> > > still need to follow the same guidelines.
> > >
> > > The internet is more than a global pornography network
> >
>
>

474. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 06:13:46 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <uwlW5.108530$U46.3467295@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 61757

Stop using cheap radios in the 10m band then.

"JR" <jr@some.net> wrote in message news:3A295F01.52B1241E@some.net...

tnom@mucks.net wrote:

>
> What chaos? I have yet to hear any great, often, or extreme 10
> meter interference that comes from within the expanded 11 meter
> band. When I do, the interference comes from outside the USA.

Almost every time I tune 10 meters I can hear the spurious emissions
from another
cb idiot who is running lots of power with some splatter box down on 11
meters.

>
>
> When there is 11 meter generated interference it interferes with
> 11 meters. How can this possibly cause any real concern to an
> amateur who has nothing good to say about 11 meters in the
> first place?

When it splatters up into the 10 meter band it is a concern of the amateur
community.

>
>
> All this whining is more about jealousy than anything else.
> Jealousy of a large number of people enjoying something that
> they can not.

If overmodulated rigs, splattering signals from crappy amplifiers wiping
out
adjacent channels, talking over one another, foul mouth gutter language, and
morons with an IQ of about half their age yelling
aaaaauuuuuuuddddddiiiiiiooooo!!!! for hours into the mic is your idea of
enjoying
radio, I don't think there is any reason for hams to be jealous. Jealous of
what,
total chaos? Give me a break..

>
>
> If any ham has a problem with a 11 meter operator then they
> should take their complaint directly to the operator and stop
> whining to a newsgroup that doesn't have any ties to YOUR

> problem.

And why would a ham who doesn't operate 11 meters want to go to 11 meters to argue with a moron who couldn't care less about his interference or illegal operation.

475. Re: Just a thought....Cb'ers vs Ham skum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 06:22:44 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <UxlW5.944$Y4.328970@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 61758

"David Little" <dalite01@sprynet.com> wrote in message ...
> I agree on the ITU / IARU comparison and representation. However, in
light
> of the Armed Services, Coast Guard and other organizations with
> international affiliations having already dropped CW in their bag of
tricks,
> it does kind of fly in the face of the ITU requirement argument, doesn't
it?

.....If I'm not mistaken, the Armed forces ONLY dropped the USE of CW on a regular basis. There WERE CW nets, drills, and routine comms carried out in CW....THAT's ended.
.....BUT, I believe the REQUIREMENTS for a 1st Class Commercial Radio ticket (and for an armed forces radio officer) still spell out a 25wpm CW minimum.

476. Re: My point has been made

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 06:27:50 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <wClW5.510$hG6.195391@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 61759

"'Doc" <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message ...to N8wwm

> You ought to be embarrassed, 'cuz your sure an embarrassment...

> You ought to be embarrassed, 'cuz your sure an embarrassment...

> You ought to be embarrassed, 'cuz your sure an embarrassment...

> You ought to be embarrassed, 'cuz your sure an embarrassment...

> You ought to be embarrassed, 'cuz your sure an embarrassment...

.......You can say that again!!!!!!!!!!!!

477. Re: Cobra 2000 broadband help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 06:34:24 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <QPlW5.108542$U46.3473045@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 61760

Thank you - good info

"David Little" <dalite01@sprynet.com> wrote in message
news:909juc$h5o$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
Mike,

Measure the voltage across the varactor diode used in the PLL circuit at
lowest and highest lock freqs. Then look in the Mfg specs for varactors
that give the highest capacitance change within these ranges. It is also
possible to parallel some of the IF cans with unused windings to increase
their effective range. Capacitor coupling between stages can be improved
via trial and error paralleling on bottom of PCB. Longer or shorter ferrite
cores can be subbed in VCO tunable "can".

The easiest way to get it stable as a transverter host would be to change
the mixer crystal to one in the range of the output IF needed for the
xverter.............

The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message
news:vQSV5.104341$U46.3289277@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> How broadband can these radios be? The one I have seems to only have a
> bandwidth of 26.465 to 28.025. Above or below that the PLL won't lock.
> With tweaking of L19 I can go higher or lower. Is there a way to
broadband
> this to do the entire range?
>
> Thanks,
> Mike C.
>
>
>
>

478. Re: My point has been made

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 06:47:03 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001203014703.11947.00001974@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 61761

"'Doc" <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message ...to N8wwm
>
>> You ought to be embarrassed, 'cuz your sure an embarrassment...
>

Emabarrasemnt to who? You? Who cares?

To ham radio? Naaahh...Only to people who think they can be criminals and not get in trouble. They are embarrased cuz the light is being shined on them.

I represent me, not ham radio. If the institution of amateur radio is not necessarily in agreement with me, OK...I think most hams are, though. It is those hams who profit from CB guys, and those who are not long past CB days, who oppose my point of view.

This is one person's opinion and nothing more. Maybe other amateurs would like me to shut up...perhaps when they are faced with something they do not like others will wish for them to shut up. So what?

Hey, those who do not like what I say do not have to read my posts.

Whenever something like this gets this much lip service you can bet yer bottom dollar that there is something to what I say.That is what is so funny in the first place.

I say again, only illegal operators will be penalized.

479. Re: Dummy Loads - What liquid do you fill them with ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 07:04:32 UTC
From: Speedbuggy714 <galaxy1@zoomnet.net>
Message-ID: <PkmW5.531$hG6.203309@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 61762

Finally..... Mineral oil or transformer oil which will be hard to find but also may contain PCB's. Best bet is to get Mineral oil at your local hardware store or at larger grocery stores. ATF and motor oils are not the thing to use! If your afraid of transformer oil because of the PCB's then the next best thing is the Mineral oil. You can sometimes go to your local power company and get the new style transformer oil which doesn't contain PCB's and that is the very best stuff to use. They sometimes will fill a gallon can for you at no charge. Next best... Mineral oil. Yes you can mix these also. Some older oil filled dummy loads had transformer oil in them and if you need to add some oil from years of use you can mix it with Mineral oil. Mineral oil is like baby oil but thicker. Do not use baby oil in a dummy load!
Mike

--
www.bcity.com/dino
w8ron wrote in message
<3a27d977$0$35389$6d93012@news.stratos.net>...
>Mineral Oil.
>
>
>Richard Smith wrote:
>
>> I bought a 'paint can' style dummy load at a local HAM
fleamarket recently.
>> Can anybody tell me what liquid I need to fill it with ? (I
forgot to ask
>> when I bought it). I guess gasoline is not a good idea (only
kidding !!),
>> but what sort of oil should I use ?
>>
>> Cheers,
>>
>> Richard.
>>
>> --
>> Please remove the "NOSPAM" from my address if replying via
email.
>

480. Re: cobra 148 problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 07:41:05 UTC
From: n3qxc <n3qxc@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <lOmW5.165271$td5.23829037@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 61763

Try checking the supply voltages. If memory servers me correctly, there is
an 8 volt supply drivng the vco/pll circuits.

"m1976" <m1976@ametro.net> wrote in message
news:90bkk2$ph3$1@news.datasync.com...
> hi, has anyone had a problem with a 148 gtlf with frequency counter. the
> lock detector has been unlocked for some time now. there is no loop mix
> signal, there is no clarifier control at all. the channel selector does
not
> work right. i have tried replacing the mb8719 pll chip, the 11.325 crystal
> and have changed various capacitors around the vco. also tried relacing
the
> vco chip several times. if anyone has had a problem like this or similar
or
> maybe just some advice to get me in the right direction i would appreciate
> the help.
> tom
>
>

481. Re: cobra 148 problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 08:25:27 UTC
From: ron <nysleeper@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <90clge$ffn0$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 61754

Does the 148 have that glue gunk around the vco/pll area? I know this stuff gets contaminated like in the Kenwood TS-440S. The stuff needed to be removed.

"m1976" <m1976@ametro.net> wrote in message
news:90bkk2$ph3$1@news.datasync.com...
> hi, has anyone had a problem with a 148 gtlf with frequency counter. the
> lock detector has been unlocked for some time now. there is no loop mix
> signal, there is no clarifier control at all. the channel selector does
not
> work right. i have tried replacing the mb8719 pll chip, the 11.325 crystal
> and have changed various capacitors around the vco. also tried relacing
the
> vco chip several times. if anyone has had a problem like this or similar
or
> maybe just some advice to get me in the right direction i would appreciate
> the help.
> tom
>
>

482. FS - 40 Channel CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-03 20:54:51 UTC
From: GM <>
Message-ID: <oocl2tc9a5m0r5n705svhk16lr0o9ftq04@4ax.com>
Source record: 61716

Cheap 40 channel AM only CB

http://cgi.ebay.com.au/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=509230571

Garrym
garrymnospam@ecosse.net
Please remove "nospam" for reply
Home: 0266252235
Mobile: 0403070899
FAX: 001115204473492

483. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary <heppu@boxi.net>
Message-ID: <90fjnobhb1@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 61738

Real antenna gain can best be understood by using the gain over a dipole -idea, dBd. People who sell antennas always use dBi, because the figure is more than 2dB higher. If you have a 3dBd antenna, you'll get double the power out. A nice antenna design program for yagis is the Yagimax, which you will be able to find on any ham technical page link. Did this help? 73 Gary

484. Re: 45 meters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary <heppu@boxi.net>
Message-ID: <90fkf4$c1o$1@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 61739

I thought you said goodbye to us a few days back, Tim? You're at it again.
What a surprise. Gary

485. Re: ...duh Dipole Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary <heppu@boxi.net>
Message-ID: <90fl5jcgn1@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 61740

Or if you want to experiment with something a bit more exotic, you might consider trying a 3/4 -wave dipole, which is a cousin of the 5/8 vertical. Real high above the ground. As Richard pointed out, the full wave dipole is way too high in impedance to be used without a tuner, and thinking of the frequency in question, you might end up calculating your feedline losses. Mhhhmmm - you could use a ladder...? 73, Gary

486. Re: grid dip meter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Miles Davis <MDD327@AOL.COM>
Message-ID: <90foj3qks1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net>
Source record: 61741

I know but I reall can't say. You know honor among thieves.....
"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3A28FE8F.80503402@qnet.com...
> Hello KRS: What is it you want to do with the Grid dip meter?
>
> Jay in the Mojave
>
> G SEVEN wrote:
> >
> > Any recommendations for a good grid dip meter?
> >
> > KRS

487. Re: A sum up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: oh8mfh <oh8mfh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <90fnnb$e5e$1@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 61742

Negative. You're talking about something else now. If you think that hams are preachers with high hopes for mankind, you might be right but on the other hand you might be wrong. I'm a ham, and I have no intention of preaching to you - my profession is something quite different. If someone "washes his car the wrong way" - as you say - I don't care. All that I want is that we use radios according to international agreements. You pay your taxes, so you pay the FCC, they work FOR you, not against you. As simple as that. No more, no less. I do my radio stuff legally, do you? Gary, oh8mfh

488. Re: FA: VERY RARE CPI-2000&BC-2000 console

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dana <dana@randomc.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10012040522230.20056-100000@cluster2>
Source record: 61743

That one isn't that rare at all.

On Fri, 17 Nov 2000, hillbilly wrote:

> Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2000 20:41:15 GMT
> From: hillbilly <hillbilly001@my-deja.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> Subject: FA: VERY RARE CPI-2000&BC-2000 console
>
> eat ur heart out....
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=499096258
>
> --
> Dave KG5XR
> http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
> On Bull Shoales Lake, Ark/Mo State Line
> Fishing is great & crappie are biting
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>
>

489. Re: ...duh Dipole Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <1RKW5.960$hG6.436594@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 61744

"Gary" <heppu@boxi.net> wrote in message
news:90fl5jcgn1@news.kolumbus.fi...
> Or if you want to experiment with something a bit more exotic, you might
> consider trying a 3/4 -wave dipole, which is a cousin of the 5/8 vertical.
> Real high above the ground. As Richard pointed out, the full wave dipole
is
> way too high in impedance to be used without a tuner, and thinking of the
> frequency in question, you might end up calculating your feedline losses.
> Mhhhmmm - you could use a ladder...? 73, Gary
>
>

If you have the space and altitude available (envy, envy) you can have all sorts of fun. The ARRL antenna book is a bit expensive but in the long run of things probably a good investment. One of the things about the 25-30 MHz area is that it is at the transition between VHF practices where feedline loss becomes a real factor but a small amount of aluminum goes a long way and the lower portion of HF where one has a lot of real estate devoted to holding up a shitpot of wire.

If you have a favored direction, be the first one one your block to have a Sterba Curtain or stacked rhombics; impress hell out of the natives.

There is probably no other aspect of radio where you can have fun, learn to swear like a cavalry sergeant, and never do much more than scratch the surface. Antennas, love 'em.

Dick N0BK

490. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A2B5168.F38BE2D4@qnet.com>
Source record: 61745

Hello Mark: The first antennas used this figure dBi as a analytical or computed way of comparing antennas. It is a good comparison method, but requires some figuring to reach.

dBi is a reference to the amount of energy being radiated in all directions in free space (meaning that no reflections from the ground or anything else is effecting its radiation) they call this a isotropic radiator. The dBi is a mathematical tool allowing comparison of antenna from a analytical method.

As antenna ranges and techniques came into understanding, antennas have been compared to a half wavelength Dipole Antenna, by the amateur radio community. Which is made up of two quarter wavelengths of wire or aluminum tubing. This antenna comparison method is called dBd.

The Dipole antenna is said to have 2.1 dB more gain in it's highest amplitude direction as compared to a isotropic radiator. So if a antenna has 5 dBi gain over a analytica figured Isotropic Radiator or 5dBi, you simply subtract 2.1dB to get to the dBd figure.

Be advised..... The dBi figure is analytically figured, and the dBd can be analytically figured or measured on a antenna range. The antenna under test will be compared to a reference antenna usually a dipole antenna.

It is common knowledge that a lot of antenna manufactures, have their antenna gain figures made up in the advertising department and not the antenna engineering department.

Some antenna gain figures are given without a reference to anything. You will see antennas advertised as having 9.9 dB gain. The 64 dollar question here is, compared to what? A simple dB gain figure it thrown out without any reference to what is compared to, this is just advertisement hype.

Another thing to consider is that the antenna installation can make ya or break ya. Get it as high as you can (keep it away from power lines ect) and use good low loss coax. I use LMR-400, sold at Ham Radio Outlet.

Jay in the Great Mojave Desert.

"J. Boswell" wrote:
>
> I am beginning my search for a decent base antenna for my humble little
> setup and I am coming across figures like dbi. I am sure some one here can
> fill me in on this spec and tell me how I can use it to help me choose an

> antenna. Besides it beats the hell out of making fun of each other!
>
> --
> **
>
> Mark Boswell
> Agricultural Engineering
> Iowa State University
>
> **

491. Re: 45 meters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Amero <" johnaNO§PAM"@island.net>
Message-ID: <3A2B70CC.D7047ACE@island.net>
Source record: 61746

Hy Tim
45 meters are beneath us here! LOL,LOl. Some times I kill
me:-)))))))
John

"www.kp82.com" wrote:
>
> arent there some commies you should be sword fighting somewhere?
>
> >You mean 26.670, right? This is 26-27 mc newsgroup! Dem low freqs. don't
> >belong here!!!!
> >Dave!!!!
>
> 73 de Tim
> Knight Patrol #82
> http://www.kp82.com

--
JOHN AMERO, Parksville, B. C. Canada E-Mail to:-
johnaNOï¿½PAM@island.net
**NOTE: REMOVE the_ NOï¿½PAM _in the email address for
reply.**
Check out my Web Site at- http://www.island.net/~johna/ ICQ
UIN 2166985
... or Business- email to- Director of Business Development,
JohnAmero@singaporerental.com
Also see index at above web site or
http://singaporerental.com/

492. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Amero <" johnaNO§PAM"@island.net>
Message-ID: <3A2B7424.2E1DEDAA@island.net>
Source record: 61747

Well said. Up here in Canada we get along VERY well and some
still operate CB too... legal 40 channel!!! This is why I
can't fathom the Ham/CB bashing here.

Having said that I doubt the any US laws will have an effect
on who has the 11 meter band. The inherent characteristics
of the Mexicans and Skip take care of that quite well. Also
I hear even the freebanders as a ton of room with out
touching 10 Meters... and up here it is the Mexicans
stomping all over the 10 meter band.
73's,
John, Xray-730

Bill Nelson wrote:
>
> CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> : I suggest that all the CBers with 10 M rigs start using the 10 meter band
> : regularly and lets start taking our discussions to the ham boards. Time to
> : let the Ham world know that there are ways for the misrepresented to fight
> : back.
>
> I am not a Ham, but I suggest that all CBers DO NOT start using the
> 10 meter band. Leave it for the Hams. The same with their newsgroups.
> Why should a bunch of us act in the way a very few Hams act on the CB
> newsgroups? Do you want to convince ALL Hams that we are just a bunch
> of "Chicken Banders"? I don't. And you shouldn't either.
>
> Most Hams do not care what we do, as long as we stay out of their frequencies.
> The same applies, to a slightly lesser extent, to their newsgroups.
>
> The Hams perform a vital service through their emergency communications during
> emergencies. If it came to an "us or them" face off, the Hams would easily
> win.
>
> It WOULD be possible to eliminate 11 meter CB. Not easy, but possible. It is
> impossible to hide a radio signal - it can always be located, if being sent
> long enough for meaningful communications.
>
> Whether we like it or not, those are the facts. So let's just stick to the
> CB band and newsgroups. Abuse them, if you must, but I would recommend that
> everyone stay out of the Amateur frequencies and newsgroups.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

--
JOHN AMERO, Parksville, B. C. Canada E-Mail to:-
johnaNOï¿½PAM@island.net
**NOTE: REMOVE the_ NOï¿½PAM _in the email address for
reply.**
Check out my Web Site at- http://www.island.net/~johna/ ICQ
UIN 2166985
... or Business- email to- Director of Business Development,
JohnAmero@singaporerental.com
Also see index at above web site or
http://singaporerental.com/

493. Re: Why all Hams and C.Bers should be prosecuted to the fullest extent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Amero <" johnaNO§PAM"@island.net>
Message-ID: <3A2B75B1.ADDDAD5E@island.net>
Source record: 61898

Well, ahhhh, ahem, it had been demonstrated in other wore
torn countries that children stockpile fully automatic
antenna tuners as well. LOL
John, Xray-730

Fred Hambrecht wrote:
>
> While you post what I take as tongue in cheek, one can invision "Assault
> Radios" defined as any radio more powerful than a police officers
> handheld... If the FCC were run by the BATF, homes would be burning all
> over the United States. Janet Reno would be seen on TV "Well, it was evident
> they had an illegal antenna and were stockpiling fully automatic antenna
> tuners, we had no choice but to act for the children"
>
> "JhnWil876" <jhnwil876@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20001203144024.04296.00000044@ng-fp1.aol.com...
> >
> > If I was in charge of the FCC, I would use my budget to listen for and
> > prosecute the slightest violation no matter how small.
> >
> > Nearly every Ham and C.Ber makes some sort of violation at some point and
> by
> > prosecuting each offender on trivial matters I would drive them out of the
> > hobby in much the same way as the BATF are doing to gun owners and
> dealers.
> >
> > We dont want the people to have guns or a means of communication that we
> cant
> > control, it's best to persecute the ordinary citizen into submission and
> have
> > them dependent on the government for everything.
> >
> >

--
JOHN AMERO, Parksville, B. C. Canada E-Mail to:-
johnaNOï¿½PAM@island.net
**NOTE: REMOVE the_ NOï¿½PAM _in the email address for
reply.**
Check out my Web Site at- http://www.island.net/~johna/ ICQ
UIN 2166985
... or Business- email to- Director of Business Development,
JohnAmero@singaporerental.com
Also see index at above web site or
http://singaporerental.com/

494. Re: How Much For That Big Radio In There Window

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A2B5574.709C95EF@qnet.com>
Source record: 61899

Hello Elmerpee: Good to see you have come to your senses.

Use good coax, ground your tower, and use a TVI filter. Always be a gentelman with your neighbors and shoot ah lot of skip.

Jay in the Mojave

elmerpee@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> Well sence the CB's and the Ham's are not going too touch the CB bands
> I want the Big Radio that will PUT-OUT and a big antenna so it will
> help my BIG-RADIO PUTOUT,I just want too PUTOUT PUTOUT with lots of
> POWER and Be the KICK-ASS CB Station on the AIR I want too blow the
> meters off thy radios and be heard all over the bands no matter where
> you be Come ON Big Buddy Let it ROCK Turn on that Big Station and Lets
> GET HEARD WORK THAT SKIP SKIP LAND SKIP LAND SKIP LAND COME ON COME ON
> COME ON
>
> from the Wiseman Elmer
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

495. Re: You all worry to much/Clinton CB Bill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <t2n08mdpgpbrf5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61900

Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:90f38l$3qe$4@bashir.peak.org...
> Maybe. The Supreme Court has often held that states may enact laws
> that are more stict than the federal version.
>
> For example, the states could provide larger penalties for breaking
> the federal regulations, when they write the regulations/statutes that
> they must to be able to make use of this law.

That's true, but only in cases where the states have the right to
enact laws already. There are a lot of cases where state and
federal laws don't come together.

However, there are a lot of cases where enforcement of
specific things was 'reserved' to the fed. This is one of
those cases, and this law is very specific in how it releases
the ability to the states.

I'd have to go look at the final version, but from the 'leadup'
versions, there was language with regard to compliance of
the laws which would limit what the states do.

Mike

496. Re: Bill Clinton is my hero

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Professor <bgriffey@kwom.com>
Message-ID: <mHLW5.5897$c4.51092@e420r-sjo2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 61901

lol...

--

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
"Professor"
http://personal.kwom.com/bgriffey/
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001203135543.03189.00002327@ng-fg1.aol.com...
> >If Billy clinton is yo hero then A MURDERER is your hero, a draft dodgin'
> >crack head is your hero, a Sodomite faggot is your hero, an
UNconstitutional
> >treasonous wimp is your hero....boy, what does that say about you?
Billy
> >doesn't have a CB--what do your sick thoughts have to do with CB?
> >Dave!!!!!!
>
> He is only my hero for the CB law he signed.
>

497. Re: N8WWM is GOD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Professor <bgriffey@kwom.com>
Message-ID: <_ILW5.5919$c4.51325@e420r-sjo2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 61902

He's definitely not blocked from my computer...

--

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
"Professor"
http://personal.kwom.com/bgriffey/
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"'Doc" <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message news:3A2B3295.3DD67E0A@oio.net...
> Actually, n8wwm is blocked.. bye bye
> 'Doc

498. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Professor <bgriffey@kwom.com>
Message-ID: <%ILW5.5920$c4.51325@e420r-sjo2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 61903

lol...

--

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
"Professor"
http://personal.kwom.com/bgriffey/
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001203124838.11947.00002017@ng-ci1.aol.com...
> >if some cb idiot's spurious emissions are falling within
> >the 10 meter band you are going to hear it, period.
>
> Some of these guys ARE spurious emissions.
>

499. Re: A great CB website?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Professor <bgriffey@kwom.com>
Message-ID: <SzLW5.4860$4Z6.111584@e420r-sjo3.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 61904

Hit em again N8WWM !
They're still gettin up...

--

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
"Professor"
http://personal.kwom.com/bgriffey/
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001203124738.11947.00002016@ng-ci1.aol.com...
> Lame...thanks for playing though.
>
> Hey go to the new site www.tnom-scottiekins-twisty.com
>
> See them in a sexual 3some.
>
> Hear a 3part harmony singing "mercy me them hammies this them hammies that
good
> buddy"
>
> See a painting of a toxic waste dump, titled "11 meters"
>
> Hear the freaksome 3some sing "Convoy"
>
> See a clip from their upcoming MTV video
> "Warming my butt on a big leeenyer"
>
> And best of all...see the tripped out trio talk skip while in a daisy
chain!
>
> Hurry! this site will be closed soon and you will then have to buy the CD!
>
> If you want the CD now just go to
> www.N8WWMisgreat.com
>
> Major credit cards accepted.
>
>

500. Re: THE HAM'S ARE COMMING THE HAM'S ARE COMMING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: TR@sky.net
Message-ID: <3a2a90f7.518471673@news.idsi.net>
Source record: 61905

Troll fuckwit!

Go away little boy.....

On Sun, 03 Dec 2000 14:06:01 GMT, elmerpee@my-deja.com wrote:

>Its about time that the FCC and the HAMS clean up the CB Radio Bands,I
>have listen too the CB bnads where you ASSHOLES think you have too run
>BIG POWER too talk across the damm street or can say any thing but is
>my radio putting out or what am i putting on your meter good buddy.CB
>radio would be a good band if the CB'er would have clean it up long ago
>and would not be the fcc and ham too clean it up today.I have nothing
>bad to say about CB'er there as many bad hams as bad CB'ers on the
>airwaves and they all need a visit from the FCC.If all ham's and cb'ers
>would turn off there big power they would be able too talk just as far
>and also help clean the bands up.With all the BIG POWER HOGS all there
>doing is makeing a mess of the bands,Some you can hear all over the
>band and i and not talking too cb's only but too ham's too.Clean Up
>your damm shit or get the FUCK off the bands.BEFOR THE HAM'S GET YOUR
>ASS
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

501. Re: FA: VERY RARE CPI-2000&BC-2000 console

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Train <b.h@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <AcMW5.3305$ul.114204@typhoon1.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 61906

How many of them do you just happen to have kicking around?

"Dana" <dana@randomc.com> wrote in message
news:Pine.GSO.4.10.10012040522230.20056-100000@cluster2...
> That one isn't that rare at all.
>
> On Fri, 17 Nov 2000, hillbilly wrote:
>
> > Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2000 20:41:15 GMT
> > From: hillbilly <hillbilly001@my-deja.com>
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> > Subject: FA: VERY RARE CPI-2000&BC-2000 console
> >
> > eat ur heart out....
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=499096258
> >
> > --
> > Dave KG5XR
> > http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
> > On Bull Shoales Lake, Ark/Mo State Line
> > Fishing is great & crappie are biting
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
> >
>

502. Re: N8WWM is GOD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Major Tom <ground@control.com>
Message-ID: <RIMW5.1102$V5.523526@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 61907

Why not Professor I would think a guy who makes and designs illegal amps 1 and 2 pill for 11 meters would not agree with this guy .. Ohh well i guess the smacked asses stick togther.. dont bother replying I have you and n8wmm blocked .

"Professor" <bgriffey@kwom.com> wrote in message news:_ILW5.5919$c4.51325@e420r-sjo2.usenetserver.com...
> He's definitely not blocked from my computer...
>
> --
>
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> "Professor"
> http://personal.kwom.com/bgriffey/
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "'Doc" <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message news:3A2B3295.3DD67E0A@oio.net...
> > Actually, n8wwm is blocked.. bye bye
> > 'Doc
>
>
>
>
>

503. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: TR@sky.net
Message-ID: <3a2b94a3.63677820@news.idsi.net>
Source record: 61908

On 04 Dec 2000 01:23:17 GMT, timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com) wrote:

>i know about the gear jerry but the fact remains that i'm not impressed so
>easily like some cb'ers. now going to the guy down the roads house with his
>3cx15000 amp is impressive to me.

Amps aren't "impressive" at all.

504. Re: 45 meters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: TR@sky.net
Message-ID: <3a2b9573.63885978@news.idsi.net>
Source record: 61909

On 03 Dec 2000 23:52:15 GMT, timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com) wrote:

>where can i find out more?

rec.radio.shortwave....

>
>>This was tried a number of years back. You may want to review the results of
>>those apprehended prior to starting operations.
>
>
> 73 de Tim
> Knight Patrol #82
>http://www.kp82.com

505. Cb stuf for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: zimano@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001204082024.05556.00000069@ng-bk1.aol.com>
Source record: 61910

I have the following items for sale:
RCI 2959 with connex board, 2 noise toys, and turner roadking mic - $200
Ham International Multimode 3 AM-FM-SSB 5 band radio $100
Galaxy 33 - $125
Galaxy 66 with audio swing mod - $175
Texas Star 350HDV - $150
Browning 180 - Works but missing bottom (you dont know it unless you pick it up and look) $200
eMail Zimano@aol.com

506. Re: FA: VERY RARE CPI-2000&BC-2000 console

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Hillbilly Dave <******davesradio@alltel.net******>
Message-ID: <90g6dh$cc2$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 61911
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
it was rare to me....

dave

Train wrote in message
news:AcMW5.3305$ul.114204@typhoon1.ba-dsg.net...
> How many of them do you just happen to have kicking around?
>
>
> "Dana" wrote in message
> news:Pine.GSO.4.10.10012040522230.20056-100000@cluster2...
> > That one isn't that rare at all.
> >
> > On Fri, 17 Nov 2000, hillbilly wrote:
> >
> > > Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2000 20:41:15 GMT
> > > From: hillbilly
> > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> > > Subject: FA: VERY RARE CPI-2000&BC-2000 console
> > >
> > > eat ur heart out....
> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=499096258
> > >
> > > --
> > > Dave KG5XR
> > > http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
> > > On Bull Shoales Lake, Ark/Mo State Line
> > > Fishing is great & crappie are biting
> > >
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > Before you buy.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>
```

507. n8wwm blocked?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001204084734.07830.00003243@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 61912

Wrong!

508. Re: What kind of things should I look for?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <6d6n2tkpbuolonpomhbi892k4cr4m1dnct@4ax.com>
Source record: 61913

Greetings!

Well, welcome to reac.radio.cb, there is a lot to wade thru at times, but I did catch your message.

As far as what radios to stay away from, it's easier to look for features and the more features you want, you can narrow the selection down from that list. I'm assuming CB, but FRS is also another option [Family Radio Serivce] but range is more limited and is not covered here.

Pretty much the big makers of CB Hand-helds, are Cobra and Midland.

Radio Shack is also a contender, but you won't find these at a local CB shop - you'd have to visit their retailer.

Cheokee is another - but they are only found on the net.

At this point, Radio Shack, may have a thing or two for you to look at. At least you can go into the store and look at what they have and get some hands-on experience with using them.

If you have access to a local CB shop, this would be a good place to start.

For features - you've suggested battery-powered, with a storage case, or even a leathette pouch for stowage. So, that can narrow the list somewhat.

Other things to look for, antenna connection. Some radios have an antenna permamently installed, while other use a threaded type that allow it to be removed. A combination of both have a separate jack that allows a more dedicated antenna like a regular CB would use. An adapter that converts a Motorola jack to SO-239 for connection to a typcial mobile CB antenna may be needed.

This is what makes the selection a little troublesome. So, take a trip to the local store with the above in mind to help you.

Remember,
You shouldn't transmit on the hand-held inside the car unless you have an external antenna for it. Range is reduced and possible damage to the radio can also result from the radios own interaction with the RF energy being reflected around inside the vehicle.

If you plan to use the antenna on a vehicle, you'll need a separate antenna for the vehicle. A simple mag-mount can work here - the local CB shop can offer an antenna and hints on how to install it.

Power cords, a cigarette lighter adapter usually comes standard, but not always, so look and ask if you don't see one listed on the package.

If you plan on using it on a vehicle, you may need an adapter for the external antenna jack on the hand-held. A CB shop can help you on this.

Look for antenna whips as a possible replacement for the one that is on the unit from the factory. Some can't be replaced or fixed easily. Some come with a "rubber duckie" that is ok for short range, but for longer range, a telescopic whip is needed. This is why I suggest looking for types that have the replaceable or removable antenna with the unit. The antenna can be changed to something more convenient for your needs, or replaced if it breaks.

There is also the FRS line, but that is not covered here, but are available at the links below...

Some links to help you...

www.midlandradio.com
www.cobraelectronics.com
www.uniden.com

A good place to buy them would be..

www.bills2way.com

Give the above links a try and hopefully you can be the informed consumer when you do go shopping.

On Sun, 3 Dec 2000 22:17:22 -0800, while the modem was pondering it's navel, "Travis & Heather Marshall" <f16fixer@wizard.com> dissertated the following:

:+> Hey,
:+>
:+> Doing some research on CB radios...
:+>
:+> I'm planning on kind of a road rally/moving event, and would like to know
:+> what a good handheld CB radio would be, THAT includes a DC adapter for a
:+> vehicle, in addition to batteries for when I'm/we're on foot.
:+>
:+> What should I look for in particular and to stay away from??
:+>
:+> Anyone have a good experience with a certain model in the past and recommend
:+> it? Its only going to be an annual thing, so finding a model that stores
:+> well (ala! remove the batteries!!) would be good, as well as low start up
:+> costs.
:+>
:+> Range required.... maybe up to 5 miles or so.
:+>
:+> Any CB radio/2 way radio would work I guess...

:+>
:+> so, what is recommended?
:+>
:+> Thanks!
:+>

. ---(((=======@@@@@@@@@@=======)))----''
Regards! ````~~~~~~~##########~~~~~~~''''
.:+> Andy <+: \\ ===== //
. \ === //
... You've GOTTA be a CB'er when | == |
... You can name all the CB's / -- /
... used in the movie / -- /
... TWISTER! / - /
. `` ^^((*****@@****)))^^ ''
.---

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

509. Re: 45 meters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17445-3A2BA207-26@storefull-125.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61914

No surprise...back my majority rule, if folks here emailed you, you would know what exactly I'm referring to.

510. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17446-3A2BA33A-9@storefull-125.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61915

No, I wouldn't call your Icom a cheaply designed reciever, but.....IF you are getting bleed from the bowl all the way to the 29 meg band, it more than likely is a very local signal. Or something is VERY wrong with your set up!

511. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17445-3A2BA3F5-27@storefull-125.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61916

>"....amps aren't 'impressive' at all.......">

They are to those who understand them.

512. Re: Why all Hams and C.Bers should be prosecuted to the fullest extent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jhnwil876@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001204092358.10955.00000032@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Source record: 61917

>Subject: Re: Why all Hams and C.Bers should be prosecuted to the fullest
>extent
>From: "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com>

>
>While you post what I take as tongue in cheek,

O.K, I confess, my plan is a bit weak, what we really need is mandatory licenses for all radio receivers as well as transmitters, a license fee of $5,000 per annum should cover the costs of enforcement. Special Jails without radios or color T.V's should also be built for anyone convicted of having a radio without a license.

>one can invision "Assault
>Radios" defined as any radio more powerful than a police officers
>handheld...

Exactly, just like guns, only the police should have this equipment, we cant allow the public to communicate with each other.

>If the FCC were run by the BATF, homes would be burning all
>over the United States.

We certainly hope so, it would be a pretty sight for the children at Christmas.

>Janet Reno would be seen on TV "Well, it was evident
>they had an illegal antenna and were stockpiling fully automatic antenna
>tuners, we had no choice but to act for the children"

Precisely, we cant trust the ordinary people to have their own antennas, we cant allow children to grow up being self sufficient, if they are self sufficient then we cant control them.

Anyone who has the means to defend themselves and communicate with each other in ways we cant control is a dangerous dissident and must be treated as such.

I hoped that you voted for one of our candidates like Bush or Gore, we control both of them, how do you think they got the nomination of their party without us giving them the money.

We dont allow any candidate to get the nomination who might actually do something good for the people.

Oh, of course we allow people to think they have a choice but WE control all the important issues.

Most people think the important issues are a few cents change in the price of Gas or a few percentage points change in income tax.

This is precisely what we want the people to think.

Whilst the candidates we control are squablling about a few things we dont consider important, we can get on with our own agenda.

The erosion of nation sovereignty and the erosion of fundamental human rights,

We create the Problem (Rising Crime, Terrorism, Gun Crime) and then offer the public the solution (Harsher and harsher laws, new restrictions on firearms)

Already we have managed to Jail over two million of the people, most of them are working for slave wages, this is a good stepping stone to eventually locking up all people we consider to be dangerous.

We rule by fear, people are more susceptible to suggestion when they are fearful for their children.

We want children to grow up used to metal detectors, I.D cards, swipe cards and armed guards, it is useful indoctrination for what we have in mind for them later.

We have to do all this in stages, if we suddenly announced all our plans at once even the bewildered public might stop and notice what we are up to.

The best part about this is that the people are demanding OUR solutions to the problems WE have created for them and only about 1% of the people have any idea what we are up to.

We just label the 1& as kooks, nobody wants to be thought of as a kook and so even the few people who can start to see what we are doing are too scared to speak out.

Already we have one of our Presidential candidates wanting to withdraw all troops from Europe, this is good because the European politicians WE control have already set up a European Army.

Remember what we told the British public, we told them they were joining a free trade area, we called it the "Common Market", then we changed the name to the European Economic Community, when the peoples of Europe got used to that we changed the name to the European Community (Notice how we dropped the "Economic" bit, we were so successful in our stepping stones approach that we did not have to have even the pretense of a free trade area.

Now we have changed the name again to "European Union"and we have managed to introduce a Single European Army and a Single European Currency, except that the British are resisting the Single Currency, the British politicians we control know that if they cant persuade the public to accept a Single European Currency that we will give them a war or economic crisis.

The British public will eventually weaken and give in, they have certainly proved to be stupid so far.

If we dont give them a war we will engineer a deep recession and create high unemployment, then the Politicians WE control will tell them that if they only join the Single European Currency then their economy will recover.

Guess who wrote the treaty that established the Single European Currency that only allows for the members of the European Central Bank to be nominated and not elected?

Guess who controls the interest rates of the Central European Bank, guess who controls the issue of the European currency.

The public will have to accept anything WE decide is legal tender, Smartcards or Microchips, anything WE decide.

When the public complains about the issue of Smartcards to buy or sell anything, Yep you guessed it, the officials of the European Central Bank cant be removed by election because the officials are not elected, they are nominated by by politicians WE control.

Guess what we have in mind for NAFTA?

Remember how we conned the European peoples and the British public into joining what we called a "Common Market" in 1972.

We have already set up a similar con trick called the Australian Pacific Economic Community, "APEC" slowly but surely when we have achieved for NAFTA and APEC what we have achieved for the European Union we will merge them together into one block.

It will take time to do, but we have almost achieved the Coup in Europe, all of this has been done since 1972, now that we will have a successful model in Europe, the American people will fall for the trick as well.

513. Re: A great CB website?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2BAB8C.9CEB80EA@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61918

Hey, Pal, go play in traffic. We're ignoring the lonely bastard.

Professor wrote:
>
> Hit em again N8 Wienie Washing Maggot !
> They're still gettin up...
>
> --
>
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> "Professor"
> http://personal.kwom.com/bgriffey/
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
> "N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20001203124738.11947.00002016@ng-ci1.aol.com...
> > Lame...thanks for playing though.
> >
> > Hey go to the new site www.tnom-scottiekins-twisty.com
> >
> > See them in a sexual 3some.
> >
> > Hear a 3part harmony singing "mercy me them hammies this them hammies that
> good
> > buddy"
> >
> > See a painting of a toxic waste dump, titled "11 meters"
> >
> > Hear the freaksome 3some sing "Convoy"
> >
> > See a clip from their upcoming MTV video
> > "Warming my butt on a big leeenyer"
> >
> > And best of all...see the tripped out trio talk skip while in a daisy
> chain!
> >
> > Hurry! this site will be closed soon and you will then have to buy the CD!
> >
> > If you want the CD now just go to
> > www.N8WWMisgreat.com
> >
> > Major credit cards accepted.
> >
> >

514. Re: My point has been made

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11406-3A2BAADD-10@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61919

Damn, Fred! I do detect some intellect finding it's way into your posts. Not a bad equation at all.

515. Re: Why all Hams and C.Bers should be prosecuted to the fullest extent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8199-3A2BA71F-11@storefull-127.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61920

That musta been one hell of a sized rock.

516. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Little <dalite01@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <90gats$78j$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 61921

It stands for dB (decibels of gain) over an Isotropic radiator. An Isotropic Radiator is an imaginary comparison representing an antenna with unity gain; neither increasing the radiated power of the radio, or decreasing it. It can usually be equated with a 1/4 wave antenna. Other specs compare in terms of dBd, which makes the same comparison against a dipole. The specs attempt to measure gain against a standard, to keep the figures consistent in determining the figure of merit of one antenna against another.

Clear as Mud?

Hope this helps some..

David

J. Boswell <mbosNOSPAM@iastate.edu> wrote in message news:90fd6q$8jc$1@news.iastate.edu...
> I am beginning my search for a decent base antenna for my humble little
> setup and I am coming across figures like dbi. I am sure some one here can
> fill me in on this spec and tell me how I can use it to help me choose an
> antenna. Besides it beats the hell out of making fun of each other!
>
> --
> **
>
> Mark Boswell
> Agricultural Engineering
> Iowa State University
>
> **
>
>
>
>

517. Re: Bill Clinton is my hero

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: hillbilly <hillbilly001@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <90gch1$1ae$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 61922

In article <90ef53ini1@iac5.navix.net>,
"Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
> While I hesitate to get involved in inane arguments, I have watched
you, who
> purports to be a ham create hate and discontent on this newsgroup.
What in
> the world is to be gained by this practice? Many of the hams here
would like
> to be of assistance to anybody that has a desire to become a ham. I
would
> suggest you follow the example of thumper rabbit, "if you can't say
> something nice, say nothing at all". You appear to attribute to ALL
CBers
> the lawlessness of the few. I think this does little to foster any
> understanding. Please take a moment to re-read your posts before
sending
> them, ask yourself, How would I react to receiving this message?
>

>
he just wants to be the center of attention-- I think he must have been
neglected as a child. poor sole. most of this bunch know a trol
when they see one.....

Dave KG5XR
http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
On Bull Shoales Lake, Ark/Mo State Line
Fishing is great & crappie are biting

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

518. Re: Bottom line on CB enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2BB0B5.D8F0CDF0@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61923
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

I am not worried about any ol' troll. Just waiting for a big
flood to wash you away, you trolling ham. If you don't like CB,
don't let the door hit you in the ass. Use your time constructively,
go learn CW. Once you have your higher class ticket, I _might_ be
inclined to listen to you. I could go this weekend and pass the
technician test, but with trolls like you, who would want to?

Do you spell your name DouglASS?

N8 wienie washing maggot wrote:
>
> >KC2 STATION wrote:
> >>
> >> Please go back to your CB newsgroup.
> >
> >OK, but take you trolling hams with you back to RRAM.
> >
>
> Scottiekins is getting worried...lol

519. Re: FA: VERY RARE CPI-2000&BC-2000 console

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t2nco41atircda@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 61924

It's funny how you don't see them around, especially for sale or auction on eBay or in here, until somebody puts one up. Then it seems that there's a bunch of 'em. I've seen 5, of various flavors, in the past month. Are they that good?

Jeff

"Dana" <dana@randomc.com> wrote in message
news:Pine.GSO.4.10.10012040522230.20056-100000@cluster2...
> That one isn't that rare at all.
>
> On Fri, 17 Nov 2000, hillbilly wrote:
>
> > Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2000 20:41:15 GMT
> > From: hillbilly <hillbilly001@my-deja.com>
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> > Subject: FA: VERY RARE CPI-2000&BC-2000 console
> >
> > eat ur heart out....
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=499096258
> >
> > --
> > Dave KG5XR
> > http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
> > On Bull Shoales Lake, Ark/Mo State Line
> > Fishing is great & crappie are biting
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
> >
>

520. Re: 2/6 METER SSB QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: hillbilly <hillbilly001@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <90gd22$1qf$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 61925
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

.
> >
> > 1. Is it absolutely necessary to use a horizontily-polarized
> > directional (beam) antenna to work SSB, or is a verticle
> > beam ok? What about an omni for SSB? I am currently
> > using a Diamond X-200 omni.
> >

I use a vertical 1/2 wave Ringo on 6 and have no complaints....
vertical is much better if you are working local mobils..or repeaters.
(its hard to run horz mobil) not impossible but alot of trouble. base
to base operation will do a bit better with Horz antennas. but you both
need to be Horz. and Horz. will usually work closer skip. (a shorter
bounce)

--
Dave KG5XR
http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
On Bull Shoales Lake, Ark/Mo State Line
Fishing is great & crappie are biting

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

521. Re: Dummy Loads - What liquid do you fill them with ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2BB4D9.3EF6464C@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61926

Speedbuggy714 wrote:
>
> Finally..... Mineral oil or transformer oil which will be hard
> to find but also may contain PCB's. Best bet is to get Mineral
> oil at your local hardware store or at larger grocery stores. ATF
> and motor oils are not the thing to use!

Please explain why motor oil or ATF are not good to use. Do you
have a wattage in mind when you say that?

522. Re: FA: VERY RARE CPI-2000&BC-2000 console

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2BB515.39245FDA@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61927

Jeff Mayner wrote:
>
> It's funny how you don't see them around, especially for sale or auction on
> eBay or in here, until somebody puts one up. Then it seems that there's a
> bunch of 'em. I've seen 5, of various flavors, in the past month. Are they
> that good?
>

Or they are all starting to break!

523. Re: Why all Hams and C.Bers should be prosecuted to the fullest extent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11406-3A2BB2F9-13@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61928

Excellent post, jhnwil876. Apathy and ignorance is directly responsible for what has taken place. I'm not paranoid..everyone IS out to get us!

524. Re: MY DIM RCI2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: methusaleh11@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90gdpg$2dn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 61929

In article <es6W5.31934$M51.11128123@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>,
"jojo" <maranatha@mediaone.net> wrote:
> Thanks everyone for the help, but I still cannot get this radio to
work,
> there is no battery in this radio, I looked not even behind the
controls.
> no cold solders, nothing. as upset as I am, I dont have the time to
deal
> with it, I have several other radio's so. it is for sale the radio
is mint
> I just cant get this display to get bright and work, if you are a
tech, well
> here is a good deal. 100.00 I will ship it for free.
>
> --
> ____
> maran atha, mar'-anath'-ah - an exclamation of the approaching divine
> judgment
>
>

Maybe the radio can't draw enough amps from the power source you are
using, or try pressing the DIM button until the light goes off then
learn to live with it.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

525. Re: ...duh Dipole Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90gcs2faj1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 61930

While a center fed halfwave antenna at 1 wavelength above ground has an impedance of around 70 ohms (depending on ground beneath it) a fullwave dipole is voltage fed rather than current fed and will require some means of tuning to get the high impedance of the antenna to have a conjunctive match with the radio.

"Gary Danaher" <gdanaher@home.com> wrote in message news:3A2AB5FC.BC9D58CA@home.com...
> If the antenna is cut the length he wishes to operate on, why would he
> need a tuner?
>
>
> hillbilly wrote:
>

526. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90gdv3fpk1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 61931

With all due respect, an isotropic radiator is a point source that radiates equally in all directions. It is not equal to a 1/4 wave as we will see shortly. Antenna gains are full of more snake oil than all of the old wagon peddlers put together.

Lets get a few terms in simple language.
dBd = gain over another imaginary antenna, it is a dipole in space. Most of us don't have towers that tall.

A Standard dipole 1 wavelength above ground will be about 5.8dB and we haven't done anything yet! So as not to bore folks on here, if there is any interest let me know and we can continue.

73 Fred W4JLE
Gain is

"David Little" <dalite01@sprynet.com> wrote in message news:90gats$78j$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> It stands for dB (decibels of gain) over an Isotropic radiator. An
> Isotropic Radiator is an imaginary comparison representing an antenna with
> unity gain; neither increasing the radiated power of the radio, or
> decreasing it. It can usually be equated with a 1/4 wave antenna. Other
> specs compare in terms of dBd, which makes the same comparison against a
> dipole. The specs attempt to measure gain against a standard, to keep the
> figures consistent in determining the figure of merit of one antenna against
> another.
>

527. Re: A sum up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001204104148.01855.00001048@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 61932

>I do my radio stuff legally, do you?

nobody cares what you do, thats why we cant understand guys like yourself that care so much about what we do.

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

528. Re: 45 meters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001204104327.01855.00001049@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 61933

i've been a active part of that group for 2 plus years and i havent heard a peep. now that would be the group for 49 meters but i dont know about 45.

>(www.kp82.com) wrote:
>
>>where can i find out more?
>
> rec.radio.shortwave....

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

529. Re: Just got back from a CB CRUISIN' Adventure! (a Novel) (Classic Spewage)!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a2ba059@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 61934

I told you in the subject line that it WAS "Classic Spewage," sunny, so WHY did you read it? It wasn't useless. I'm sure some got a great laugh out of it!!! It IS what CB is all about in the Y2K ! Cruisin' da tank trails, lookin' fow BEARS !!!!!!!! (not hams, but BEARS !!!)
Dave!!!!!!

Max Donoghue wrote in message ...

> What a bunch of useless dribble.
>
>

530. Re: 2/6 METER SSB QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001204104612.01855.00001051@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 61935

the horizontal is only important when working other base stations also using horizontal antennas. it makes no difference for the dx openings.

> 1. Is it absolutely necessary to use a horizontily-polarized
>> directional (beam) antenna to work SSB, or is a verticle
>> beam ok? What about an omni for SSB? I am currently
>> using a Diamond X-200 omni.

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

531. Re: Why all Hams and C.Bers should be prosecuted to the fullest extent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a2ba112@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 61936

I'm glad John Will boy isn't head of the 6th plank in this country. Doesn't
he sound like a GOOD National Socialist (NAZI)?
Dave!!!!! HEIL JOHN Little Will robinson BOY !!!!!

John Amero <" johnaNO&#65533;PAM"@island.net> > wrote in message
<3A2B75B1.ADDDAD5E@island.net>...
Well, ahhhh, ahem, it had been demonstrated in other wore
torn countries that children stockpile fully automatic
antenna tuners as well. LOL
John, Xray-730

Fred Hambrecht wrote:
>
> While you post what I take as tongue in cheek, one can invision "Assault
> Radios" defined as any radio more powerful than a police officers
> handheld... If the FCC were run by the BATF, homes would be burning all
> over the United States. Janet Reno would be seen on TV "Well, it was
evident
> they had an illegal antenna and were stockpiling fully automatic antenna
> tuners, we had no choice but to act for the children"
>
> "JhnWil876" <jhnwil876@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20001203144024.04296.00000044@ng-fp1.aol.com...
> >
> > If I was in charge of the FCC, I would use my budget to listen for and
> > prosecute the slightest violation no matter how small.
> >
> > Nearly every Ham and C.Ber makes some sort of violation at some point
and
> by
> > > prosecuting each offender on trivial matters I would drive them out of
the
> > hobby in much the same way as the BATF are doing to gun owners and
> dealers.
> >
> > We dont want the people to have guns or a means of communication that we
> cant
> > control, it's best to persecute the ordinary citizen into submission and
> have
> > them dependent on the government for everything.
> >
> >

--
JOHN AMERO, Parksville, B. C. Canada E-Mail to:-

johnaNO PAM@island.net
NOTE: REMOVE the_ NOPAM _in the email address for reply.
Check out my Web Site at- http://www.island.net/~johna/ ICQ UIN 2166985
.... or Business- email to- Director of Business Development, JohnAmero@singaporerental.com
Also see index at above web site or http://singaporerental.com/

532. Re: What kind of things should I look for?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a2ba36f@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 61937

Bad advice given here, brand name wise. DO NOT buy Rat Shack OR Cobra--most of them made in RED COMMY CHINA and are less quality than a Uniden. Get Uniden Pro-510's and at least 3' magnet mounts from your LOCAL INDEPENDANT CB SHOP in yur phone book under RADIOS-Citizens Band (THE People's BAND). Then you will have quality for the least amount of $$$ invested. The 3' antenna MAKE SURE it is made in the USA or Canada, because if it is NOT, it IS JUNK too that will ROT a hole in your vehicle from the rust that will come off of it! How do I know? I is one of those CB shops with 21 years experience. E mail me direct or post with any other questions!
truth@planetc.com
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Handy Andy wrote in message <6d6n2tkpbuolonpomhbi892k4cr4m1dnct@4ax.com>...
Greetings!

Well, welcome to reac.radio.cb, there is a lot to wade thru at times, but I did catch your message.

As far as what radios to stay away from, it's easier to look for features and the more features you want, you can narrow the selection down from that list. I'm assuming CB, but FRS is also another option [Family Radio Serivce] but range is more limited and is not covered here.

Pretty much the big makers of CB Hand-helds, are Cobra and Midland.

Radio Shack is also a contender, but you won't find these at a local CB shop - you'd have to visit their retailer.

Cheokee is another - but they are only found on the net.

At this point, Radio Shack, may have a thing or two for you to look at. At least you can go into the store and look at what they have and get some hands-on experience with using them.

If you have access to a local CB shop, this would be a good place to start.

For features - you've suggested battery-powered, with a storage case, or even a leathette pouch for stowage. So, that can narrow the list somewhat.

Other things to look for, antenna connection. Some radios have an antenna permamently installed, while other use a threaded type that allow it to be removed. A combination of both have a separate jack that allows a more dedicated antenna like a regular CB would use. An adapter that converts a Motorola jack to SO-239 for connection to a

typcial mobile CB antenna may be needed.

This is what makes the selection a little troublesome. So, take a trip to the local store with the above in mind to help you.

Remember,
You shouldn't transmit on the hand-held inside the car unless you have an external antenna for it. Range is reduced and possible damage to the radio can also result from the radios own interaction with the RF energy being reflected around inside the vehicle.

If you plan to use the antenna on a vehicle, you'll need a separate antenna for the vehicle. A simple mag-mount can work here - the local CB shop can offer an antenna and hints on how to install it.

Power cords, a cigarette lighter adapter usually comes standard, but not always, so look and ask if you don't see one listed on the package.

If you plan on using it on a vehicle, you may need an adapter for the external antenna jack on the hand-held. A CB shop can help you on this.

Look for antenna whips as a possible replacement for the one that is on the unit from the factory. Some can't be replaced or fixed easily. Some come with a "rubber duckie" that is ok for short range, but for longer range, a telescopic whip is needed. This is why I suggest looking for types that have the replaceable or removable antenna with the unit. The antenna can be changed to something more convenient for your needs, or replaced if it breaks.

There is also the FRS line, but that is not covered here, but are available at the links below...

Some links to help you...

www.midlandradio.com
www.cobraelectronics.com
www.uniden.com

A good place to buy them would be..

www.bills2way.com

Give the above links a try and hopefully you can be the informed consumer when you do go shopping.

On Sun, 3 Dec 2000 22:17:22 -0800, while the modem was pondering it's navel, "Travis & Heather Marshall" <f16fixer@wizard.com> dissertated the following:

:+> Hey,
:+>
:+> Doing some research on CB radios...
:+>

:+> I'm planning on kind of a road rally/moving event, and would like to
know
:+> what a good handheld CB radio would be, THAT includes a DC adapter for a
:+> vehicle, in addition to batteries for when I'm/we're on foot.
:+>
:+> What should I look for in particular and to stay away from??
:+>
:+> Anyone have a good experience with a certain model in the past and
recommend
:+> it? Its only going to be an annual thing, so finding a model that
stores
:+> well (ala! remove the batteries!!) would be good, as well as low start
up
:+> costs.
:+>
:+> Range required.... maybe up to 5 miles or so.
:+>
:+> Any CB radio/2 way radio would work I guess...
:+>
:+> so, what is recommended?
:+>
:+> Thanks!
:+>

.. ---(((=======@@@@@@@@@@@=======)))----''
Regards! ````~~~~~~~##########~~~~~~~''''
..:+> Andy <+: \\ ===== //
.. \ === //
.... You've GOTTA be a CB'er when | == |
.... You can name all the CB's / -- /
.... used in the movie / -- /
.... TWISTER! / - /
.. `` ^^((*****@@****)))^^ ''
..---

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

533. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001204105559.01855.00001054@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 61938

then again neither is antique collins gear. at least this guy has built his own amp that is very large in size, very well working, and very clean on the output. how many of those collins radios did you build?

>
> Amps aren't "impressive" at all.

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

534. Re: FA: VERY RARE CPI-2000&BC-2000 console

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A2BAFFF.BF2A1DF4@some.net>
Source record: 61939

Rare on ebay means "I haven't seen one of these for sale at K-Mart in at least two weeks"

Dana wrote:

> That one isn't that rare at all.
>

535. FS: Eagle Sidewinder 400 $100.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: n4cdg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001204110222.02045.00006258@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 61940

PERFECT condition never mobile with box and brackets.

SSB/ FM /AM

536. Re: FA: VERY RARE CPI-2000&BC-2000 console

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Little <dalite01@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <90ggq6$k0k$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 61941

Jeff,

They are head and shoulders above any other rig of that vintage. The were all American made. They used discrete boards for RX and TX, with interconnects carrying RF and control using construction techniques like used in commercial 2 way manufacture.

The VCO was a little touchy, but when working properly they were a force to reckon with on SSB and offered quite good AM operation. The sets offered expanded frequency range capabilities, and even provided a front panel opening between the dual channel selector knobs for the switch to activate extended coverage. They also provided for a 5 KHz shift modification. The accessory components sold to match the setup offered a real signal preamp, console with counter. and I think even a speech processor.

CPI is today more a collectors rig than one you would buy and use daily. As with all collectible pieces of that era, actual use has to be balanced with repair parts availability. One thing you can count on is they are not making any more, it was rare even when new, and somewhere out there is someone that collects them. That is a formula for appreciation of price. Having said that, any collectible only has a set price on 2 occasions; buying and selling. All points between these 2 transactions fall into the category of speculation.

Hope this sheds some light,

David

Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:t2nco41atircda@news.supernews.com...
> It's funny how you don't see them around, especially for sale or auction
on
> eBay or in here, until somebody puts one up. Then it seems that there's a
> bunch of 'em. I've seen 5, of various flavors, in the past month. Are they
> that good?
>
> Jeff
>
> "Dana" <dana@randomc.com> wrote in message
> news:Pine.GSO.4.10.10012040522230.20056-100000@cluster2...
> > That one isn't that rare at all.
> >
> > On Fri, 17 Nov 2000, hillbilly wrote:
> >
> > > Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2000 20:41:15 GMT
> > > From: hillbilly <hillbilly001@my-deja.com>

> > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> > > Subject: FA: VERY RARE CPI-2000&BC-2000 console
> > >
> > > eat ur heart out....
> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=499096258
> > >
> > > --
> > > Dave KG5XR
> > > http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
> > > On Bull Shoales Lake, Ark/Mo State Line
> > > Fishing is great & crappie are biting
> > >
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > Before you buy.
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>

537. Re: Bill Clinton is my hero

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001204115107.15083.00001023@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Source record: 61942

>Dave KG5XR
>http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
>On Bull Shoales Lake, Ark/Mo State Line
>Fishing is great & crappie are biting
>
>

And the men are men and the sheep are nervous

538. Re: Bottom line on CB enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001204115237.15083.00001024@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Source record: 61943

>Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" upplus@hotmail.com
>Date: 12/4/2000 9:56 AM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <3A2BB0B5.D8F0CDF0@hotmail.com>
>
>I am not worried about any ol' troll. Just waiting for a big
>flood to wash you away, you trolling ham. If you don't like CB,
>don't let the door hit you in the ass. Use your time constructively,
>go learn CW. Once you have your higher class ticket, I _might_ be
>inclined to listen to you. I could go this weekend and pass the
>technician test, but with trolls like you, who would want to?
>
>Do you spell your name DouglASS?
>
>N8 wienie washing maggot wrote:
>>
>> >KC2 STATION wrote:
>> >>
>> >> Please go back to your CB newsgroup.
>> >
>> >OK, but take you trolling hams with you back to RRAM.
>> >
>>
>> Scottiekins is getting worried...lol
>
>
>
>

See, I told you so.

539. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Train <b.h@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <3a2bce58_2@excalibur.gbmtech.net>
Source record: 61944
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

reply test
"Train" wrote in message
news:PgYT5.7046$Be3.705141@typhoon1.ba-dsg.net...
> test
>
>

540. Twisty-Scottiekins-tnom-3way wedding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001204121229.15083.00001029@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Source record: 61945

Make sure you get some condoms boys...
It would be a tragedy if you caught hoof and mouth disease from each other.

541. Re: What kind of things should I look for?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <j4ln2t09rqru1in7pcgipivuqucffchsf@4ax.com>
Source record: 61946

Greetings!

Umm, Dave...[sigh]...they were asking about Hand Helds...

...you know...

Walkie talkies?

[ahemn]

On Mon, 4 Dec 2000 09:45:06 -0500, while the modem was pondering it's
navel, "Dave or Debby" <truth@planetc.com> dissertated the following:

:+> Bad advice given here, brand name wise. DO NOT buy Rat Shack OR Cobra- :+> most of them made in RED COMMY CHINA and are less quality than a Uniden.
:+> Get Uniden Pro-510's and at least 3' magnet mounts from your LOCAL
:+> INDEPENDANT
:+> CB SHOP in yur phone book under RADIOS-Citizens Band (THE People's BAND).

:+> Handy Andy wrote in message <6d6n2tkpbuolonpomhbi892k4cr4m1dnct@4ax.com>...
:+> Greetings!
:+>
:+> Well, welcome to reac.radio.cb, there is a lot to wade thru at times,
:+> but I did catch your message.
:+>

:+>
:+> On Sun, 3 Dec 2000 22:17:22 -0800, while the modem was pondering it's
:+> navel, "Travis & Heather Marshall" <f16fixer@wizard.com> dissertated
:+> the following:
:+>
:+> :+> Hey,
:+> :+>
:+> :+> Doing some research on CB radios...
:+> :+>
:+> :+> I'm planning on kind of a road rally/moving event, and would like to
:+> know
:+> :+> what a good handheld CB radio would be, THAT includes a DC adapter for a
:+> :+> vehicle, in addition to batteries for when I'm/we're on foot.

Regards! |
.:+> Andy <+: |
. |
... You could be a CB'er when |
... The radio and the antenna]|[
... costs more than the car ---^---
... is worth... /_______\

```
. |oo|===|oo|
. http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc [=========]
. |_| |_|
http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm
```

542. Re: 45 meters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <2AQW5.22$V4.13526@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 61947

"www.kp82.com" <timnebo@aol.comedy> wrote in message
news:20001204104327.01855.00001049@ng-fk1.aol.com...
> i've been a active part of that group for 2 plus years and i havent heard
a
> peep. now that would be the group for 49 meters but i dont know about 45.
>
> >(www.kp82.com) wrote:
> >
> >>where can i find out more?
> >
> > rec.radio.shortwave....
>
>
> 73 de Tim
> Knight Patrol #82
> http://www.kp82.com

rf-man, Megahertz's buddy, has a site at
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Launchpad/8243/cb has, among a lot of
other things, a pretty good background on Echo Charlie. If you have
thoughts of dropping in, be aware that they have a rep for being surly. You
should also be aware that you are fiddling with frequencies that are in use
for transatlantic air traffic control so it ain't quite like squashing
Honest Abdul's Hertz Rent-a-Camel and Funeral Parlor.

Dick NBK

543. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave Clemence <*******davesradio@alltel.net**********>
Message-ID: <90gjabhqs1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 61948

all of the above is true.... but with out that third letter (i) or(d) it doesn't mean much.... the A-99 base antenna says it has 9.9 db gain. but they don't say what its based against. I guess if comparing to a beer can laying in the yard with a coax connected to it then yes. it may have 9.9 db gain...

dave

"Gary" <heppu@boxi.net> wrote in message news:90fjnobhb1@news.kolumbus.fi...
> Real antenna gain can best be understood by using the gain over a
> dipole -idea, dBd. People who sell antennas always use dBi, because the
> figure is more than 2dB higher. If you have a 3dBd antenna, you'll get
> double the power out. A nice antenna design program for yagis is the
> Yagimax, which you will be able to find on any ham technical page link.
Did
> this help? 73 Gary
>
>

544. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Boswell" <mbosNOSPAM@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <90gkb9euc1@news.iastate.edu>
Source record: 61949

Keep going I am following well and I want to get a good handle on this stuff
before I go off buying an antenna half-cocked

--
****

Mark Boswell
Agricultural Engineering
Iowa State University

****

"Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
news:90gdv3fpk1@iac5.navix.net...
> With all due respect, an isotropic radiator is a point source that
radiates
> equally in all directions. It is not equal to a 1/4 wave as we will see
> shortly. Antenna gains are full of more snake oil than all of the old
wagon
> peddlers put together.
>
> Lets get a few terms in simple language.
> dBd = gain over another imaginary antenna, it is a dipole in space. Most
of
> us don't have towers that tall.
>
> A Standard dipole 1 wavelength above ground will be about 5.8dB and we
> haven't done anything yet! So as not to bore folks on here, if there is
any
> interest let me know and we can continue.
>
> 73 Fred W4JLE
> Gain is
>
> "David Little" <dalite01@sprynet.com> wrote in message
> news:90gats$78j$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> > It stands for dB (decibels of gain) over an Isotropic radiator. An
> > Isotropic Radiator is an imaginary comparison representing an antenna
with
> > unity gain; neither increasing the radiated power of the radio, or
> > decreasing it. It can usually be equated with a 1/4 wave antenna.
Other
> > specs compare in terms of dBd, which makes the same comparison against a
> > dipole. The specs attempt to measure gain against a standard, to keep
the

> > figures consistent in determining the figure of merit of one antenna
> against
> > another.
> >
>
>

545. Re: N8WWM is GOD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Major Tom <mjrtom@NoOneAthome.com>
Message-ID: <snRW5.113632$U46.3666042@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 61950

What? Whats this? Another Major Tom?

From The REAL Major Tom. No ground control, no space capsule, just part of
my real name.

mjrtom@NoOneAthome.com

"Major Tom" <ground@control.com> wrote in message
news:RlMW5.1102$V5.523526@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
> Why not Professor I would think a guy who makes and designs illegal amps
1
> and 2 pill for 11 meters would not agree with this guy .. Ohh well i
guess
> the smacked asses stick togther.. dont bother replying I have you and
n8wmm
> blocked .
>
> "Professor" <bgriffey@kwom.com> wrote in message
> news:_ILW5.5919$c4.51325@e420r-sjo2.usenetserver.com...
> > He's definitely not blocked from my computer...
> >
> > --
> >
> > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > "Professor"
> > http://personal.kwom.com/bgriffey/
> > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> >
> >
> > "'Doc" <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message news:3A2B3295.3DD67E0A@oio.net...
> > > Actually, n8wwm is blocked.. bye bye
> > > 'Doc
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

546. Re: 2/6 METER SSB QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <90govcche1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 61951

In article <20001204104612.01855.00001051@ng-fk1.aol.com>,
timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com) wrote:
> the horizontal is only important when working other base stations
also using
> horizontal antennas. it makes no difference for the dx openings.
>
> > 1. Is it absolutely necessary to use a horizontily-polarized
> >> directional (beam) antenna to work SSB, or is a verticle
> >> beam ok? What about an omni for SSB? I am currently
> >> using a Diamond X-200 omni.
>
> 73 de Tim
> Knight Patrol #82
> http://www.kp82.com
>
That is only partially correct. Over the years (way back when) it was
determined that a signal will tend to go towards the horizontal as it
strikes objects such as hills, etc.

Horizontal polarization is also less noisy because most man made noise
sources are vertical in nature.

For a purely sky wave path, either polarization is fine.

Actually the BEST arrangement would be to have both Horiz and Vert at
the same time.

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

547. Re: Bottom line on CB enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2BE77E.B18F130@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61952

N8wwm wrote:

>
> See, I told you so.

Refresh my memory...

548. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2BE890.A933A165@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61953

Just contact Jay In The Mojave for a Mastodon 5000. It's the last
omni antenna you'll ever need for 11/10 meters.

jay123a@qnet.com

"J. Boswell" wrote:
>
> Keep going I am following well and I want to get a good handle on this stuff
> before I go off buying an antenna half-cocked
>
> --
> **
>
> Mark Boswell
> Agricultural Engineering
> Iowa State University
>
> **
>
> "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
> news:90gdv3fpk1@iac5.navix.net...
> > With all due respect, an isotropic radiator is a point source that
> radiates
> > equally in all directions. It is not equal to a 1/4 wave as we will see
> > shortly. Antenna gains are full of more snake oil than all of the old
> wagon
> > peddlers put together.
> >
> > Lets get a few terms in simple language.
> > dBd = gain over another imaginary antenna, it is a dipole in space. Most
> of
> > us don't have towers that tall.
> >
> > A Standard dipole 1 wavelength above ground will be about 5.8dB and we
> > haven't done anything yet! So as not to bore folks on here, if there is
> any
> > interest let me know and we can continue.
> >
> > 73 Fred W4JLE
> > Gain is
> >
> > "David Little" <dalite01@sprynet.com> wrote in message
> > news:90gats$78j$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > It stands for dB (decibels of gain) over an Isotropic radiator. An
> > > Isotropic Radiator is an imaginary comparison representing an antenna

> with
> > > unity gain; neither increasing the radiated power of the radio, or
> > > decreasing it. It can usually be equated with a 1/4 wave antenna.
> Other
> > > specs compare in terms of dBd, which makes the same comparison against a
> > > dipole. The specs attempt to measure gain against a standard, to keep
> the
> > > figures consistent in determining the figure of merit of one antenna
> > against
> > > another.
> > >
> >
> >

549. Re: Newbie Q about 'deadkey' and 'swing' .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skipp <skipp@pilot.ucdavis.edu>
Message-ID: <90gqij$k5p$1@mark.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 61954

: MtngrlsCityboy <mtngrlscityboy@aol.com> wrote:
: All,
: What is meant by a radio having 3 watts deadkey with 20 watts swing?
: TIA,
: Anthony

Hi Anthony,

As you probably have read, an unmodulated radio signal in the AM mode produces as steady "carrier." That refers to the slang "dead key."

CB Band Radios and Amplifiers are often built or operated so the carrier power moves upward from the starting position when you add modulation (voice) to the carrier. The change upward during modulation is refered to as "swing."

By nature, amplifiers that swing are very dirty (non-linear) in operation. They cause a lot of interference to nearby frequencies. Many operators are more impressed with the rising modulated signal on a power/s-meter than they are with a clean loud signal, hence ... amplifiers which "swing" (often refered to as modulators) are often heard in use.

In electronic terms, the swing one might obtain is producing a lot of distortion to the original signal. You might hear it as a fuzzy edge on the audio of the source station. It actually ends up cutting back on the useful signal which may be heard short or long distances. I will admit it's an impressive sight to watch on a meter, but not as impressive as a clean loud signal of a properly operated station.

Hope that helps

cheers
skipp@pilot.ucdavis.edu
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu home of the updated Siltronix web page.

550. Re: [FWD] Help! Talk to non-ham on my HT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <g7SW5.18394$0r2.484176@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61955

Ah, you took my post as a flame. It wasn't meant as that. The question was could one individual with a license talk to a non-licensed user, perhaps using a modified radio. I should have been more explicit in my reply. Generally, the answer is no. There are type accepted UHF business band radios that can be programmed for a number of channels - and they CAN be reprogrammed to the 400 - 450 MHz ham band. This might be a possibility as long as you had the license for the business band - but it is stretching reality to find a good way to do it legally with an unlicensed station (since these radios I am familiar with are designed for licensed business band - I worked in a shop repairing them). Yes, one could certainly go totally CB or FRS - however, the poster was requesting info on using ONE radio at his end to work both services. It may be possible, but I am unsure as to how to accomplish that. The best way is to get two radios for CB or FRS, obviously, but that wasn't the question. As far the the FM bands go, I am happy with it. I have consistant 40 to 50 mile coverage with my HT through the repeaters (24 hours a day, 7 days a week). I push a couple of buttons and I am connected to the local telephone lines. Oh yes, one of the linked repeaters has a 10 meter link and you CAN work Europe through that. Not bad on six double a alkaline batteries.

73s from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Dave or Debby" <truth@planetc.com> wrote in message
news:3a2ad48e@news.planetc.com...
> Get a CB instead of FRS and all the other FM bands! CB is superior,
Hambo!
> Dave!!!!!!
>
> JAMES HAMPTON wrote in message ...
> The reality of this is that decades ago this was going on with the wives
> using some ficticious call so they could talk with their hubbies on
amateur
> radio repeaters. Truth is, even if a CBer were on the ham band
(illegally)
> the ham cannot legally contact the unlicensed user to chase him away
> (illegal to communicate with non-amateur stations with a few minor
> exceptions). Oh well ...
>
> 73s from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
> "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3A2AB53F.BCC8135A@hotmail.com...
> > This makes you wonder why HAMS give us sh!t about using non

> > type-accepted equipment. Nobody told him about the illegality
> > of this, but told him how. If we answer a request for information,
> > stuff is rammed down our throats by the 'visitors'.
> > ---
> > From: shevett@pobox.com
> > Message ID: <90ck16$c0m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
> >
> > Evening folks!
> >
> > I fear I am in a similar position as many other hams. My SO does not
> > yet have her ticket, though she does say she'll get it at some point.
> > We have many events coming up that i'd like to be able to participate
> > in, but also be able to keep in touch with her (we have a toddler with
> > us, so staying in touch is important).
> >
> > I recently got my ticket, and I'm investing in hardware now. I'm
> > wondering if there is a way I can equip her with a radio that does NOT
> > require a license, and be able to talk to her through an HT I carry -
> > while also allowing me to work with other hams on my HT.
> >
> > An FRS radio would be a great idea, except I know of no HT (2m/440/etc)
> > that can talk on FRS as well. One of the options I was thinking of was
> > using a 'commercial' band radio (one of the motorola-class units) that
> > sits very near the standard 2m bands... would this be a) legal, and b)
> > possible?
> >
> > I'd need an HT that allows me to 'monitor' for activity on one frequency
> > while being actively tuned to another, so if she did key up it would
> > 'break in' to whatever I was doing and I could hear her.
> >
> > Possible? Probable? Please let me know!
> >
> > ---
> > Dave Belfer-Shevett KB1FWR
> > shevett@pobox.com
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
>
>
>
>

551. Re: Bottom line on CB enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <90gr8o$n06$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 61956
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay) <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
>KC2 STATION wrote:

>> Please go back to your CB newsgroup.

>OK, but take you trolling hams with you back to RRAM.

Who do you think gave you rec.radio.cb? It certainly wasn't any
CBers. The rec.radio hierarchy was created by amateurs.

You call yourself "Unit 69" on CB? Who are the other 68 units?

KH6O

552. Re: Bottom line on CB enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: TR@sky.net
Message-ID: <3a2beb2b.85833785@news.idsi.net>
Source record: 61957
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

On Mon, 04 Dec 2000 09:56:53 -0500, "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)"
<upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:

>I am not worried about any ol' troll. Just waiting for a big
>flood to wash you away, you trolling ham. If you don't like CB,
>don't let the door hit you in the ass. Use your time constructively,
>go learn CW. Once you have your higher class ticket, I _might_ be
>inclined to listen to you.

> I could go this weekend and pass the
>technician test, but with trolls like you, who would want to?

Someone who isn't lazy perhaps? Someone who wants to get away from
the clusterfuck that IS CB?

>Do you spell your name DouglASS?

Cbers are SO witty!

553. Re: ...duh Dipole Question - duh I blew it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <FwSW5.18410$0r2.485138@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61958

Heh ... well, what can I say? And that 1st screwball answer from an extry-class ticket holder, no less (not to mention the GROL)! I plead guilty to a brain-cramp! Oh well, just goes to prove what I've been saying all along, we're all human and as I've stated before, there are a lot more technically knowlegeable CBers out there than most of the hams are willing to admit (fear, maybe?). Thanks for the replies.

73s from Rochester, NY.
Jim AA2QA (chief antenna mis-designer)

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:ucjl2tsf3rdo38d1mg7p0af5h26raav13f@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 03 Dec 2000 21:45:32 GMT, "JAMES HAMPTON"
> <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >Well, I've stated I'm getting old. In my enthusiasm to pick up on the
words
> >'full wave dipole', I neglected that the thing would be center fed, and
the
> >currents (although over a full wavelenght) would be running in the SAME
> >direction. This means that yes, the radiation would run East - West. If
> >the full wave antenna were fed from the end, then the current would NOT
be
> >in phase along the whole length and my previous post WOULD be correct.
The
> >impedance to the antenna, however, will be around 1000 ohms and will need
a
> >matchbox and probably need feeding with ladder line (coax will get lossy
> >with a 20:1 SWR). Oh well, ya can't win 'em all!
> >
> >73s from Rochester, NY
> >Jim
>
> I should of read all the threads on this post before correcting you in
> another post. Admitting on your own that you goofed is a rarety on
> this group. Congrats.
>
>
>

554. Re: ...duh Dipole Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <1HSW5.18413$0r2.485588@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61959

I had the rare opportunity to see a rhombic in use on amateur radio on 20 meters when I was stationed on Guam. The thing was 200 feet in the air and 600 feet on a leg. Band was near dead (US stations being received on a TH6-DX were running S2 to S3. Reports on our signal from San Francisco were 40 over 9. (of course, it was a military hf transmitter feeding the rhombic .. slightly over a gallon .. I remember the output being rated at 40 gallons ... heh heh heh)

73s from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message news:1RKW5.960$hG6.436594@nntp2.onemain.com...
>
> "Gary" <heppu@boxi.net> wrote in message
> news:90fl5jcgn1@news.kolumbus.fi...
> > Or if you want to experiment with something a bit more exotic, you might
> > consider trying a 3/4 -wave dipole, which is a cousin of the 5/8 vertical.
> > Real high above the ground. As Richard pointed out, the full wave dipole
> is
> > way too high in impedance to be used without a tuner, and thinking of the
> > frequency in question, you might end up calculating your feedline losses.
> > Mhhhmmm - you could use a ladder...? 73, Gary
> >
> >
>
> If you have the space and altitude available (envy, envy) you can have all
> sorts of fun. The ARRL antenna book is a bit expensive but in the long run
> of things probably a good investment. One of the things about the 25-30 MHz
> area is that it is at the transition between VHF practices where feedline
> loss becomes a real factor but a small amount of aluminum goes a long way
> and the lower portion of HF where one has a lot of real estate devoted to
> holding up a shitpot of wire.
>
> If you have a favored direction, be the first one one your block to have a
> Sterba Curtain or stacked rhombics; impress hell out of the natives.
>
> There is probably no other aspect of radio where you can have fun, learn to
> swear like a cavalry sergeant, and never do much more than scratch the

> surface. Antennas, love 'em.
>
> Dick N0BK
>
>

555. Re: Bottom line on CB enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2BFB0B.5032B753@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61960
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Jeffrey Herman wrote:

> You call yourself "Unit 69" on CB? Who are the other 68 units?

The first 68 were ham rejects that didn't see the light of day.
We threw away the mold for them, and now #69 and above know
sh!t from shinola!

556. Re: Bottom line on CB enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2BFBFA.71D2BD7F@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61961
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Richard McCollum wrote:

> And I for one am getting bored stiff.

And I for two am also getting bored with the stiff.

557. Re: Dummy Loads - What liquid do you fill them with ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: ramirez327948198@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001204153703.20942.00003184@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 61962

Or just skip the oil can. To make a simple dummy load for up to 100 watts
connect a short length of coax to a 100 watt light bulb.

558. Re: Dummy Loads - What liquid do you fill them with ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Triple 3 <333@railbuggies.com>
Message-ID: <3A2C01A5.FBE86470@railbuggies.com>
Source record: 61963

T4A11 @T4D08 (B)
Would a 100 watt light bulb make a good dummy load for tuning a transceiver?
A. Yes; a light bulb behaves exactly like a dummy load
B. No; the impedance of the light bulb changes as the filament gets hot
C. No; the light bulb would act like an open circuit
D. No; the light bulb would act like a short circuit

Ramirez327948198 wrote:
>
> Or just skip the oil can. To make a simple dummy load for up to 100 watts
> connect a short length of coax to a 100 watt light bulb.

559. Re: Dummy Loads - What liquid do you fill them with ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2C08F0.D4C03308@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61964

Triple 3 wrote:
>
> T4A11 @T4D08 (B)
> Would a 100 watt light bulb make a good dummy load for tuning a transceiver?
> A. Yes; a light bulb behaves exactly like a dummy load
> B. No; the impedance of the light bulb changes as the filament gets hot
> C. No; the light bulb would act like an open circuit
> D. No; the light bulb would act like a short circuit
E. B plus the fact that a light bulb is inductive.
>
> Ramirez327948198 wrote:
> >
> > Or just skip the oil can. To make a simple dummy load for up to 100 watts
> > connect a short length of coax to a 100 watt light bulb.

Go ahead and tune your transmitter to a light bulb!

560. WTS: Kenwood HF Rig

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bootlegger <jcgarand@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <90gtnb$9jt$1@ns2.htc.net>
Source record: 61965

TS-140S HF transceiver with general coverage receiver.
Compact, easy-to-use. Covers all HF bands with 100 W
output. General coverage receiver tunes from 50 kHz to 35 MHz.
All modes built-in. LSB, USB, CW, FM and AM. Includes
Instruction Manual, DC Power Cord, MC-43S UP/DOWN
microphone and original Kenwood Shipping carton.
Shipped for $450.00 lower 48 only.

Email me for more details.

561. Re: Dummy Loads - What liquid do you fill them with ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <9g2o2tgphgfdacaq5sgfbtief2buqfa1rk@4ax.com>
Source record: 61966

On Mon, 04 Dec 2000 20:42:13 GMT, Triple 3 <333@railbuggies.com>
wrote:

>
>T4A11 @T4D08 (B)
>Would a 100 watt light bulb make a good dummy load for tuning a transceiver?
>A. Yes; a light bulb behaves exactly like a dummy load
>B. No; the impedance of the light bulb changes as the filament gets hot
>C. No; the light bulb would act like an open circuit
>D. No; the light bulb would act like a short circuit

B

Although light bulbs have been used for dummy loads they
are not optimum. They do not present a good SWR to the radio.

A light bulb dummy load does have a benefit. It unlike most
wattmeters will show an increase in brightness/power with
modulation. Most average reading wattmeters because of the
simplicity of the design can not show the 50% increase in
power with modulation.

562. Re: Scottiekins at his best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A2C107A.2EE1@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 61967
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dave or Debby wrote:
CB IS the best MeggaHertz
> band dare is, sunny!
> Dave!!!!!
>
And I am laughing so hard,it "hertz". LOL! ROTFLMAO!
(I just *had * to do dat!)

Jerry

563. FS: Eagle 10/11 meter $100 obo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: n4cdg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001204165111.07539.00006192@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 61968

Sidewinder 400

Sorry if this is a duplicate

564. Re: Disappointed in ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2C15DA.8A4BA3B9@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61969
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Well, you picked a good time to do it. All the do-gooder trolls are
going crazy about the new law. Please return your ticket to Riley
immediately. Sorry for the inconvenience your ticket may have
caused you. CB radio is waiting for some real radio men. Good-day!

robert3243@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> I think I am going to get out of the hobby Its just not what I thought.
> Most guys I speak with are way to geeky for me. I went to a couple of
> meetings and everyone wore hush puppy shoes that went out years ago and
> had pencils in their shirt pockets. I also found them to be very cheap.
> Is this a trade of ham radio operators. On the 2 meter repeaters I
> listen to all they do is talk about finding a cheap restaurant Come on
> fellas Ya only live once. I myself get tired of McDonalds and Burger
> King I like good quality restaurants. Also so many conversations have
> to do with their ailments and visits to Doctors offices Why do I have
> to have my ham license to listen to this. Time to go into another hobby
> Sorry to my elmer who spent so much time with me but I hope you
> understand
> 73's
> Henry
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

565. Re: Disappointed in ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2C167E.CC931973@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61970
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Well, you picked a good time to do it. All the do-gooder trolls are
going crazy about the new law. Please return your ticket to Riley
immediately. Sorry for the inconvenience your ticket may have
caused you. CB radio is waiting for real radio men like yourself
who don't need to complain about every little thing and don't feel
superior to others because of a piece of paper. Good-day!

robert3243@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> I think I am going to get out of the hobby Its just not what I thought.
> Most guys I speak with are way to geeky for me. I went to a couple of
> meetings and everyone wore hush puppy shoes that went out years ago and
> had pencils in their shirt pockets. I also found them to be very cheap.
> Is this a trade of ham radio operators. On the 2 meter repeaters I
> listen to all they do is talk about finding a cheap restaurant Come on
> fellas Ya only live once. I myself get tired of McDonalds and Burger
> King I like good quality restaurants. Also so many conversations have
> to do with their ailments and visits to Doctors offices Why do I have
> to have my ham license to listen to this. Time to go into another hobby
> Sorry to my elmer who spent so much time with me but I hope you
> understand
> 73's
> Henry
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

566. Re: 2/6 METER SSB QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Michael J.Talkington" <kc8fwd@iolinc.net>
Message-ID: <3A2C17F3.A6951C4@iolinc.net>
Source record: 61971

Most Six Meter and Two meter SSB and CW people use horizontally polarized antennas.But on a
dx opening you can use a verticle but they are mostly used for fm.I would recomend a horizontally polarized beam for two stay away from the verticle as you will not good as good of a signal because 90% of 2 meter ssb is done flat side.Later Mike KC8FWD

"www.kp82.com" wrote:

> the horizontal is only important when working other base stations also using
> horizontal antennas. it makes no difference for the dx openings.
>
> > 1. Is it absolutely necessary to use a horizontily-polarized
> >> directional (beam) antenna to work SSB, or is a verticle
> >> beam ok? What about an omni for SSB? I am currently
> >> using a Diamond X-200 omni.
>
> 73 de Tim
> Knight Patrol #82
> http://www.kp82.com

567. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A2C17B1.C387CBD3@oio.net>
Source record: 61972

Mark,
The decebel is a measure of comparisons. In this instance,
your comparing an antenna to a reference antenna, a 'standard'
antenna. "dbi" refers to a comparison with an isotropic
antenna, or an antenna in free space. An isotropic antenna is
an "ideal" antenna, nothing around it to affect it, etc.
"dbd" means your comparing an antenna to a half-wave dipole
antenna. When a manufacturer uses 'dbd' they mean that they
have made a comparison to an actual dipole antenna. Ideally,
this was done on an antenna testing range where all the stuff
like trees, houses, etc, are compensated for. In general, this
comparison is a 'real world' comparison. As long as you know
which one someone is refering to, you can 'convert' between the
two. A 'dbd' gain figure is usually slightly higher than an
isotropic 'dbi' gain figure, but both are refering to the same
antenna comparison.
'Doc

568. Re: Disappointed in ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001204172031.20409.00000072@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 61973

>CB radio is waiting for some real radio men.

Yeah, 'cuz there aint any there now...LOL

569. Re: Bottom line on CB enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001204172159.20409.00000074@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 61974

Scottiekins moronically blathered:

>> And I for one am getting bored stiff.
>
>And I for two am also getting bored with the stiff.
>
>
>
N8WWM perfectly and accurately responded:

Yeah, but your sister isn't

570. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Major Tom <ground@control.com>
Message-ID: <eYUW5.4283$ul.139405@typhoon1.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 61975

true since you could get popped any day by the Law .

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3A2BE890.A933A165@hotmail.com...
> Just contact Jay In The Mojave for a Mastodon 5000. It's the last
> omni antenna you'll ever need for 11/10 meters.
>
> jay123a@qnet.com
>
> "J. Boswell" wrote:
> >
> > Keep going I am following well and I want to get a good handle on this
stuff
> > before I go off buying an antenna half-cocked
> >
> > --
> > **
> >
> > Mark Boswell
> > Agricultural Engineering
> > Iowa State University
> >
> > **
> >
> > "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
> > news:90gdv3fpk1@iac5.navix.net...
> > > With all due respect, an isotropic radiator is a point source that
> > radiates
> > > equally in all directions. It is not equal to a 1/4 wave as we will
see
> > > shortly. Antenna gains are full of more snake oil than all of the old
> > wagon
> > > peddlers put together.
> > >
> > > Lets get a few terms in simple language.
> > > dBd = gain over another imaginary antenna, it is a dipole in space.
Most
> > of
> > > us don't have towers that tall.
> > >
> > > A Standard dipole 1 wavelength above ground will be about 5.8dB and we
> > > haven't done anything yet! So as not to bore folks on here, if there
is
> > any

> > > interest let me know and we can continue.
> > >
> > > 73 Fred W4JLE
> > > Gain is
> > >
> > > "David Little" <dalite01@sprynet.com> wrote in message
> > > news:90gats$78j$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > > It stands for dB (decibels of gain) over an Isotropic radiator. An
> > > > Isotropic Radiator is an imaginary comparison representing an
antenna
> > with
> > > > unity gain; neither increasing the radiated power of the radio, or
> > > > decreasing it. It can usually be equated with a 1/4 wave antenna.
> > Other
> > > > specs compare in terms of dBd, which makes the same comparison
against a
> > > > dipole. The specs attempt to measure gain against a standard, to
keep
> > the
> > > > figures consistent in determining the figure of merit of one antenna
> > > against
> > > > another.
> > > >
> > >
> > >

571. Re: A sum up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: DX401 <lightnin24@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <90h47s$n49$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 61976

Must have too much time on his hands there in Finland, the land of the welfare state and home a great many lazy bastards who like to be spoon fed by government.
"oh8mfh" <oh8mfh@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:90fnnb$e5e$1@news.kolumbus.fi...
> Negative. You're talking about something else now. If you think that hams
> are preachers with high hopes for mankind, you might be right but on the
> other hand you might be wrong. I'm a ham, and I have no intention of
> preaching to you - my profession is something quite different. If someone
> "washes his car the wrong way" - as you say - I don't care. All that I want
> is that we use radios according to international agreements. You pay your
> taxes, so you pay the FCC, they work FOR you, not against you. As simple as
> that. No more, no less. I do my radio stuff legally, do you? Gary, oh8mfh
>
>

572. Re: n8wwm blocked?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: DX401 <lightnin24@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <90h3ugmsd1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 61977

N8 Wienie Washing Maggot is at it again.
"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001204084734.07830.00003243@ng-fy1.aol.com...
> Wrong!

573. N8WWM DEEP THROAT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: DX401 <lightnin24@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <90h45imsh1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 61978

Old wienie washer is at it again blowing off about his technical prowess at being a ham. Probably one of those hams without the common sense to solder a PL 259 to a piece of coax.

574. Bottom line on N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2C1CB2.D48B3374@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61979
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I don't have any sisters. Wanna' try again. If you want my momma,
I'll lend you a shovel. NOT! Even dead, she'd be too good for you.

N8wwm wrote:
>
> Scottykins methodically blathered:
>
> >> And I for one am getting bored stiff.
> >
> >And I for two am also getting bored with the stiff.
> >
> >
> >
> N8WWM stupidly and inaccurately responded:
>
> Yeah, but your sister isn't

575. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <6t7o2tc9lrs0tr5jgnhf9emuvbs44e0iu2@4ax.com>
Source record: 61980

On Mon, 04 Dec 2000 16:16:17 -0600, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote:

>
>
>Mark,
> The decebel is a measure of comparisons. In this instance,
>your comparing an antenna to a reference antenna, a 'standard'
>antenna. "dbi" refers to a comparison with an isotropic
>antenna, or an antenna in free space. An isotropic antenna is
>an "ideal" antenna, nothing around it to affect it, etc.

Almost. The ideal or isotropic antenna is not derived from being in free space or from no objects being around it. The ideal isotropic antenna is one that does not exist. One that radiates a three dimensional symmetrical spherical radiation pattern. The ideal "real" antenna has a different pattern, not a spherical 3D pattern but a donut shaped one.

> "dbd" means your comparing an antenna to a half-wave dipole
>antenna. When a manufacturer uses 'dbd' they mean that they
>have made a comparison to an actual dipole antenna. Ideally,
>this was done on an antenna testing range where all the stuff
>like trees, houses, etc, are compensated for. In general, this
>comparison is a 'real world' comparison. As long as you know
>which one someone is refering to, you can 'convert' between the
>two. A 'dbd' gain figure is usually slightly higher than an
>isotropic 'dbi' gain figure, but both are refering to the same
>antenna comparison.
> 'Doc

Just referencing an antenna with the use of the term dbd still does not give you a solid basis for comparison. For example: If a manufacturer gives his antenna a 3dbd rating this can mean it has a 3db increase in power compared to a dipole in free space, or it has a 3db increase over a dipole on a perfect ground plane. It can also be anything in between the two.

This "open field" can account for as much as a 6db discrepancy in comparisons. One manufacturer might use marketing and state that his dipole has 6dbd gain. While another manufacturer will be very honest and state that his dipole has 0dbd gain.

Still other manufacturer in their quest for bigger numbers take another step. They'll use dbi instead. This will gain them an easy 2..1db It appears that this is most typical these days. This

means a manufacturer can sell you a dipole and without lying he can state that it has 8.1dbi of gain.

576. Re: Dummy Loads - What liquid do you fill them with ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90h6gr$q23$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 61981

This is true only if you have a means to tune the output of the transmitter.
If you are dealing with a radio that has a 50 ohm output you could have some
problems.

"Ramirez327948198" <ramirez327948198@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001204153703.20942.00003184@ng-fc1.aol.com...
> Or just skip the oil can. To make a simple dummy load for up to 100 watts
> connect a short length of coax to a 100 watt light bulb.
>
>

577. Re: Newbie Q about 'deadkey' and 'swing' .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Major Tom <ground@control.com>
Message-ID: <ufVW5.18$474.51413@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 61982

"Skipp" <skipp@pilot.ucdavis.edu> wrote in message > As you probably have read, an unmodulated radio signal in the AM mode
> produces as steady "carrier." That refers to the slang "dead key."
>
> CB Band Radios and Amplifiers are often built or operated so the carrier
> power moves upward from the starting position when you add modulation
> (voice) to the carrier. The change upward during modulation is refered to
> as "swing."
>
> By nature, amplifiers that swing are very dirty (non-linear) in operation.
> They cause a lot of interference to nearby frequencies. Many operators are
> more impressed with the rising modulated signal on a power/s-meter than
> they are with a clean loud signal, hence ... amplifiers which "swing"
> (often refered to as modulators) are often heard in use.

> In electronic terms, the swing one might obtain is producing a lot of
> distortion to the original signal. You might hear it as a fuzzy edge on
> the audio of the source station. It actually ends up cutting back on the
> useful signal which may be heard short or long distances. I will admit
> it's an impressive sight to watch on a meter, but not as impressive as a
> clean loud signal of a properly operated station.
==========
I disagree, a recieveing station will hear the station with the louder modulation clean or not, a station that keys up at 100 and swings to 200 will not produce any fuzzy distortion. It is not impressive to hear a station that keys up at 200 and swings back to 100, the more backswing the more compressed the audio sounds, the less effective range as well. want some proof at the cb keydowns the station that swings forward usually will beat a station that swings backwards ..Proof enough. It is called real world theory other than book theory.

>
> Hope that helps
>
> cheers
> skipp@pilot.ucdavis.edu
> http://sonic.ucdavis.edu home of the updated Siltronix web page.
>

578. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90h8dt$r9l$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 61983

One small correction Doc, dBd refers to a dipole in free space. That same dipole placed over real earth at a height of 1 wavelength would exhibit about 5.8 dBi rather than the almost 3 dB gain of a free space dipole. Naturally most antenna manufactures, particularly those that make CB antennas choose what ever reference shows there product in the best light and then proceed to add another 6 or 7 dB for grins. Some of the 5/8 wavelength verticals sold on the CB market make claims that you cannot arrive at short of using Chinese arithmetic and a set of dice.

"'Doc" <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message news:3A2C17B1.C387CBD3@oio.net...
>
>
> Mark,
> The decebel is a measure of comparisons. In this instance,
> your comparing an antenna to a reference antenna, a 'standard'
> antenna. "dbi" refers to a comparison with an isotropic
> antenna, or an antenna in free space. An isotropic antenna is
> an "ideal" antenna, nothing around it to affect it, etc.
> "dbd" means your comparing an antenna to a half-wave dipole
> antenna. When a manufacturer uses 'dbd' they mean that they
> have made a comparison to an actual dipole antenna. Ideally,
> this was done on an antenna testing range where all the stuff
> like trees, houses, etc, are compensated for. In general, this
> comparison is a 'real world' comparison. As long as you know
> which one someone is refering to, you can 'convert' between the
> two. A 'dbd' gain figure is usually slightly higher than an
> isotropic 'dbi' gain figure, but both are refering to the same
> antenna comparison.
> 'Doc

579. Re: N8WWM is GOD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Professor <bgriffey@kwom.com>
Message-ID: <tQVW5.4895$nn3.73427@e420r-sjo2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 61984

Glad you have me blocked... now I can call you all kinds of names... and
there will be no rebuttal... lol

You are indeed a real putz... heheheeeeee

--

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
"Professor"
http://personal.kwom.com/bgriffey/
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Major Tom" <ground@control.com> wrote in message
news:RlMW5.1102$V5.523526@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
> Why not Professor I would think a guy who makes and designs illegal amps
1
> and 2 pill for 11 meters would not agree with this guy .. Ohh well i
guess
> the smacked asses stick togther.. dont bother replying I have you and
n8wmm
> blocked .
>
> "Professor" <bgriffey@kwom.com> wrote in message
> news:_ILW5.5919$c4.51325@e420r-sjo2.usenetserver.com...
> > He's definitely not blocked from my computer...
> >
> > --
> >
> > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > "Professor"
> > http://personal.kwom.com/bgriffey/
> > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> >
> >
> > "'Doc" <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message news:3A2B3295.3DD67E0A@oio.net...
> > > Actually, n8wwm is blocked.. bye bye
> > > 'Doc
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>

580. Re: Bottom line on CB enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Professor <bgriffey@kwom.com>
Message-ID: <bTVW5.4897$nn3.73858@e420r-sjo2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 61985

I thought Scott was short for Scott Tissue... and you know what that's for.

--

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
"Professor"
http://personal.kwom.com/bgriffey/
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001204172159.20409.00000074@ng-fm1.aol.com...
> Scottiekins moronically blathered:

581. Today's Joke.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: scsiudma@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001204183058.26038.00000511@ng-mf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61986

An old man in his nineties, and an elderly lady, living in a nursing home finds each other and begin a relationship. Every day at the same time, they meet to watch television together. She brings a nice blanket, and as they watch TV she holds his dick in her hand. This goes on for quite sometime. One morning, the guy doesn't show up on time and the old lady is very worried. She begins to think the worst, and is so afraid of the possibility of his death, that she has trouble getting up and checking on him. As the other old people in the room start to look at her, she begins to get embarrassed and knows she has to go and check on him to see if he is sick, or even alive. She packs her blanket and slowly meanders down the hallway to his room. Tentatively, she knocks on the door and waits. Eventually, the door opens and the old man is just fine. She takes a sigh a relief and asks where he was for their usual meeting (to watch TV and hold his dick).
He tells her, "Well, to be honest, I have found another woman."
Shocked and hurt, she asks, "I she younger than I am?"
"No, she is also about 90."
"Well, is she prettier than I am?" she asks.
"No, you are prettier than she is." he responds.
Digging deeper, she asks, "Does she carry on better conversation than I do"?
"No, she doesn't talk much." he tells her.
Confused about it all and dumbfounded by his answers, she asks the age old question; "Then what does she have that I don't?"
His answer: "Parkinson's Disease"

582. Washington Clarifier

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: alex69x@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3a2c27af.1321629@news.mpinet.net>
Source record: 61987

Currently the clarifier on my Uniden Washington is "open" to
approximately +/- 3 KC's (AM & SSB) and desperately need it opened to
+/- 5 KC's (minimum).

Please provide me with detailed documentation (article, web site
ect...) of how to accomplish this.

Thanks in advance :)

583. Re: N8WWM is GOD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2C2A1A.69CCEA79@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61988

You are a two-timer. Pick a side, and not your nose. <G>

Professor wrote:
>
> Glad you have me blocked... now I can call you all kinds of names... and
> there will be no rebuttal... lol
>
> You are indeed a real putz... heheheeeeee
>
> --
>
> |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> "Professor"
> http://personal.kwom.com/bgriffey/
> |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
> "Major Tom" <ground@control.com> wrote in message
> news:RlMW5.1102$V5.523526@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
> > Why not Professor I would think a guy who makes and designs illegal amps
> 1
> > and 2 pill for 11 meters would not agree with this guy .. Ohh well i
> guess
> > the smacked asses stick togther.. dont bother replying I have you and
> n8wmm
> > blocked .
> >
> > "Professor" <bgriffey@kwom.com> wrote in message
> > news:_ILW5.5919$c4.51325@e420r-sjo2.usenetserver.com...
> > > He's definitely not blocked from my computer...
> > >
> > > --
> > >
> > > |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > > "Professor"
> > > http://personal.kwom.com/bgriffey/
> > > |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > >
> > >
> > > "'Doc" <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message news:3A2B3295.3DD67E0A@oio.net...
> > > > Actually, n8wwm is blocked.. bye bye
> > > > 'Doc
> > >
> > >
> > >

> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >

584. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <mr9o2tcqtipdhu46mj87ke770ekeg7ld3k@4ax.com>
Source record: 61989

On Mon, 4 Dec 2000 18:11:26 -0500, "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com>
wrote:

>One small correction Doc, dBd refers to a dipole in free space.

The term dbd does not necessarily mean in free space. The only
thing that the term dbd is held strictly to is this. Your comparison
is referenced to a dipole. The location of this dipole is not defined
with the term dbd.

As you know, a dipole can gain as much as 6db when combined
with a perfect ground reflection. Or a dipole can be is free space
and not gain anything.

Of coarse with all the variable fields left undefined we know how
some antenna manufacturers can use this to their advantage.

Example: One manufacturer may have a vertical dipole that
has a extra 1/4 wave sleeve to lower its radiation pattern.
This sleeve will give an apparent gain on the horizon. It will
be on the order of about 1.7db over the same antenna
without the sleeve. Seeing how the antenna without the
sleeve is just a standard dipole then it would make sense to
call our sleeved version a 1.7db gain antenna. NOT

Instead we let the marketing dept handle the db specs. They
see that the unsleeved antenna has 0dbd in free space.
They then change this to a dbi figure. We now have 2.1dbi
because the object is to increase the numerical value. Now it's
time to add the 1.7db of the sleeve. 2.1+1.7=3.8dbi. 3.8dbi is now
looking pretty good. Wait a minute. We can still use another fudge
factor. Let's put our 3.8dbi antenna over a perfect ground plane.
3.8+6 = Walla.........9.8dbi

Sounds like an Antron to me

.

585. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: ron <nysleeper@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <90hbkh$cgo4$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 61990

isotropic antenna is a mathematical antenna. not imaginary.
ron

"David Little" <dalite01@sprynet.com> wrote in message
news:90gats$78j$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> It stands for dB (decibels of gain) over an Isotropic radiator. An
> Isotropic Radiator is an imaginary comparison representing an antenna with
> unity gain; neither increasing the radiated power of the radio, or
> decreasing it. It can usually be equated with a 1/4 wave antenna. Other
> specs compare in terms of dBd, which makes the same comparison against a
> dipole. The specs attempt to measure gain against a standard, to keep the
> figures consistent in determining the figure of merit of one antenna
against
> another.
>
> Clear as Mud?
>
> Hope this helps some..
>
> David
>
> J. Boswell <mbosNOSPAM@iastate.edu> wrote in message
> news:90fd6q$8jc$1@news.iastate.edu...
> > I am beginning my search for a decent base antenna for my humble little
> > setup and I am coming across figures like dbi. I am sure some one here
can
> > fill me in on this spec and tell me how I can use it to help me choose
an
> > antenna. Besides it beats the hell out of making fun of each other!
> >
> > --
> > **
> >
> > Mark Boswell
> > Agricultural Engineering
> > Iowa State University
> >
> > **
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

586. Re: Bottom line on CB enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8199-3A2C32CF-63@storefull-127.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61991

LMAO

587. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90hf2t$1ke$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 61992

To site one of many references "the ARRL handbook" 1999 edition page 20.3

"When the standard is the isotropic
radiator in free space, gain is expressed
in dBi, when the standard is a dipole,
also located in free space, the gain is
expressed in dBd"

a dipole over normal ground is always referenced to dBi or dBd.

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:mr9o2tcqtipdhu46mj87ke770ekeg7ld3k@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 4 Dec 2000 18:11:26 -0500, "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com>
> wrote:
>
> >One small correction Doc, dBd refers to a dipole in free space.
>
> The term dbd does not necessarily mean in free space. The only
> thing that the term dbd is held strictly to is this. Your comparison
> is referenced to a dipole. The location of this dipole is not defined
> with the term dbd.
>

588. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90hjic$4e3$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 61993

There is a program called EZNEC available on the net. The trial version is free. The trial version is somewhat limited, but will allow you to out in the dimensions of an antenna and get the real information rather than some outrageous claim. If you have any particular antenna you are concerned with, I would be pleased to help you with an unbiased electrical analysis.

73 Fred W4JLE
"J. Boswell" <mbosNOSPAM@iastate.edu> wrote in message
news:90gkb9euc1@news.iastate.edu...
> Keep going I am following well and I want to get a good handle on this
stuff
> before I go off buying an antenna half-cocked
>
> --
> **
>
> Mark Boswell
> Agricultural Engineering
> Iowa State University
>
>
> **
>
>
> "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
> news:90gdv3fpk1@iac5.navix.net...
> > With all due respect, an isotropic radiator is a point source that
> radiates
> > equally in all directions. It is not equal to a 1/4 wave as we will see
> > shortly. Antenna gains are full of more snake oil than all of the old
> wagon
> > peddlers put together.
> >
> > Lets get a few terms in simple language.
> > dBd = gain over another imaginary antenna, it is a dipole in space. Most
> of
> > us don't have towers that tall.
> >
> > A Standard dipole 1 wavelength above ground will be about 5.8dB and we
> > haven't done anything yet! So as not to bore folks on here, if there is
> any
> > interest let me know and we can continue.
> >
> > 73 Fred W4JLE

> > Gain is
> >
> > "David Little" <dalite01@sprynet.com> wrote in message
> > news:90gats$78j$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > It stands for dB (decibels of gain) over an Isotropic radiator. An
> > > Isotropic Radiator is an imaginary comparison representing an antenna
> with
> > > unity gain; neither increasing the radiated power of the radio, or
> > > decreasing it. It can usually be equated with a 1/4 wave antenna.
> Other
> > > specs compare in terms of dBd, which makes the same comparison against
a
> > > dipole. The specs attempt to measure gain against a standard, to keep
> the
> > > figures consistent in determining the figure of merit of one antenna
> > against
> > > another.
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

589. Re: FA: VERY RARE CPI-2000&BC-2000 console

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t2ol7kji5nln7b@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 61994

Thanks David.
I was looking through some old S9 Magazines the other day and the had a story on CPI's and the Stoner SSB's. It was pretty interesting. The article was written by Don Stoner. so it may have been a little biased but interesting none the less!

Jeff

"David Little" <dalite01@sprynet.com> wrote in message news:90ggq6$k0k$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> Jeff,
>
> They are head and shoulders above any other rig of that vintage. The were
> all American made. They used discrete boards for RX and TX, with
> interconnects carrying RF and control using construction techniques like
> used in commercial 2 way manufacture.
>
> The VCO was a little touchy, but when working properly they were a force
to
> reckon with on SSB and offered quite good AM operation. The sets offered
> expanded frequency range capabilities, and even provided a front panel
> opening between the dual channel selector knobs for the switch to activate
> extended coverage. They also provided for a 5 KHz shift modification.
The
> accessory components sold to match the setup offered a real signal preamp,
> console with counter. and I think even a speech processor.
>
> CPI is today more a collectors rig than one you would buy and use daily.
As
> with all collectible pieces of that era, actual use has to be balanced
with
> repair parts availability. One thing you can count on is they are not
> making any more, it was rare even when new, and somewhere out there is
> someone that collects them. That is a formula for appreciation of price.
> Having said that, any collectible only has a set price on 2 occasions;
> buying and selling. All points between these 2 transactions fall into the
> category of speculation.
>
> Hope this sheds some light,
>
> David
>
>
> Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:t2nco41atircda@news.supernews.com...

> > It's funny how you don't see them around, especially for sale or auction
> on
> > eBay or in here, until somebody puts one up. Then it seems that there's
a
> > bunch of 'em. I've seen 5, of various flavors, in the past month. Are
they
> > that good?
> >
> > Jeff
> >
> > "Dana" <dana@randomc.com> wrote in message
> > news:Pine.GSO.4.10.10012040522230.20056-100000@cluster2...
> > > That one isn't that rare at all.
> > >
> > > On Fri, 17 Nov 2000, hillbilly wrote:
> > >
> > > > Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2000 20:41:15 GMT
> > > > From: hillbilly <hillbilly001@my-deja.com>
> > > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> > > > Subject: FA: VERY RARE CPI-2000&BC-2000 console
> > > >
> > > > eat ur heart out....
> > > > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=499096258
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > Dave KG5XR
> > > > http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
> > > > On Bull Shoales Lake, Ark/Mo State Line
> > > > Fishing is great & crappie are biting
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > > Before you buy.
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

590. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t2olbslgq39j9f@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 61995

Always buy a fully cocked antenna. I hear Star-"Guns" have a good action and are easy to fast load! ;-)

Jeff

"J. Boswell" <mbosNOSPAM@iastate.edu> wrote in message news:90gkb9euc1@news.iastate.edu...
> Keep going I am following well and I want to get a good handle on this stuff
> before I go off buying an antenna half-cocked
>
> --
> **
>
> Mark Boswell
> Agricultural Engineering
> Iowa State University
>
>
> **
>
>
> "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
> news:90gdv3fpk1@iac5.navix.net...
> > With all due respect, an isotropic radiator is a point source that
> radiates
> > equally in all directions. It is not equal to a 1/4 wave as we will see
> > shortly. Antenna gains are full of more snake oil than all of the old
> wagon
> > peddlers put together.
> >
> > Lets get a few terms in simple language.
> > dBd = gain over another imaginary antenna, it is a dipole in space. Most
> of
> > us don't have towers that tall.
> >
> > A Standard dipole 1 wavelength above ground will be about 5.8dB and we
> > haven't done anything yet! So as not to bore folks on here, if there is
> any
> > interest let me know and we can continue.
> >
> > 73 Fred W4JLE
> > Gain is
> >
> > "David Little" <dalite01@sprynet.com> wrote in message

> > news:90gats$78j$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > It stands for dB (decibels of gain) over an Isotropic radiator. An
> > > Isotropic Radiator is an imaginary comparison representing an antenna
> with
> > > unity gain; neither increasing the radiated power of the radio, or
> > > decreasing it. It can usually be equated with a 1/4 wave antenna.
> Other
> > > specs compare in terms of dBd, which makes the same comparison against
a
> > > dipole. The specs attempt to measure gain against a standard, to keep
> the
> > > figures consistent in determining the figure of merit of one antenna
> > against
> > > another.
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

591. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t2oldo83ha4daf@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 61996

Where did this come from?

Jeff

"Major Tom" <ground@control.com> wrote in message
news:eYUW5.4283$ul.139405@typhoon1.ba-dsg.net...
> true since you could get popped any day by the Law .
>
>
> "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3A2BE890.A933A165@hotmail.com...
> > Just contact Jay In The Mojave for a Mastodon 5000. It's the last
> > omni antenna you'll ever need for 11/10 meters.
> >
> > jay123a@qnet.com
> >
> > "J. Boswell" wrote:
> > >
> > > Keep going I am following well and I want to get a good handle on this
> stuff
> > > before I go off buying an antenna half-cocked
> > >
> > > --
> > > ***************************************
> > >
> > > Mark Boswell
> > > Agricultural Engineering
> > > Iowa State University
> > >
> > > ***************************************
> > >
> > > "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
> > > news:90gdv3fpk1@iac5.navix.net...
> > > > With all due respect, an isotropic radiator is a point source that
> > > radiates
> > > > equally in all directions. It is not equal to a 1/4 wave as we will
> see
> > > > shortly. Antenna gains are full of more snake oil than all of the
old
> > > wagon
> > > > peddlers put together.
> > > >
> > > > Lets get a few terms in simple language.
> > > > dBd = gain over another imaginary antenna, it is a dipole in space.
> Most

> > > of
> > > > us don't have towers that tall.
> > > >
> > > > A Standard dipole 1 wavelength above ground will be about 5.8dB and
we
> > > > haven't done anything yet! So as not to bore folks on here, if there
> is
> > > any
> > > > interest let me know and we can continue.
> > > >
> > > > 73 Fred W4JLE
> > > > Gain is
> > > >
> > > > "David Little" <dalite01@sprynet.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:90gats$78j$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > > > It stands for dB (decibels of gain) over an Isotropic radiator.
An
> > > > > Isotropic Radiator is an imaginary comparison representing an
> antenna
> > > with
> > > > > unity gain; neither increasing the radiated power of the radio, or
> > > > > decreasing it. It can usually be equated with a 1/4 wave antenna.
> > > Other
> > > > > specs compare in terms of dBd, which makes the same comparison
> against a
> > > > > dipole. The specs attempt to measure gain against a standard, to
> keep
> > > the
> > > > > figures consistent in determining the figure of merit of one
antenna
> > > > against
> > > > > another.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> >
>

592. Re: QUESTION THAT NO ONE HAS ASKED???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: sideband <sideband@cac.net>
Message-ID: <iklo2tg3nqkpq3uhc0qacqjluvpkgp0iaj@4ax.com>
Source record: 61997

Um... Big dummy....

DOS is an OS DoS is a "Denial of Service", i.e. a nuke, smurf,
flare, spam, packetflood, etc....

Get your terms traight..

UNIX was 64 bit back in '94.... and was first used at Bell Labs in the
late 1960's... It's been around the longest. UNIX was not created ina
basement, nor did IBM have anything to do with it until the Mid 70's.

In fact, UNIX was first, CP/M was next, then Gates ripped off CP/M and
MS-DOS was born.

Have you managed to work your foot free of your mouth yet? Well, keep
chewing... it might come out soon..

Don't get me wrong, though.... The G3 and G4 Mac's are great
machines...once you pull that Linux-derivative OS called MacX off
their, repartition the drives, and install NetBSD.

Just my two cents

-SSB

On or about Sun, 03 Dec 2000 12:48:52 -0600, brass <cc@knology.net>,
using the forum rec.radio.cb did say:

:It is Called Mac X
:
:Unix dummy,
:
:If not for Unix being an upside down version of DOS
:created in the basement of a local with his IBM feller workmates
:
:Roots have real meaning that gave research to the structure of DOS being
:able to grow a little
:
:NT assisted by the same UNIX venture group was a Nearly There assist to
:bring bits to the limited DOS world
:
:will a true 64 bit (TRUE) be delivered in the next OS?
:
:Only if there is a (set) of Motorola's under whatever Intel's claim to
:Processer (Speed) of the God of the Day . . .
:
:no standards in process . . .

:
:look around you all the colors that you see your Kids wear . . . even
:down to the appliances around the house. . .
:
:
:Jobs is a Crayon fanatic . . . Thanks Steve
:
:
:Colors Brightnnnn the world
:
:Brass
:
:
:
:

593. Re: New CB M.U.R.S.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Buckeye Web Design <webdoc@bright.net>
Message-ID: <3A2C63FB.B9B07072@bright.net>
Source record: 61998

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:
>
> <meghertz@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:8vgg9j$i0t$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> >
> > > > 27.700 is not a UK frequency
> >
> > 27.70125 is - only 1.25kHz higher.
> >
> > We have 26.965-27.405 and 27.60125-27.99125 - 80 channels in all.
> > FM only, 4W.
> >
> > When wiped out by SSB (often) we can't tell where it's coming from,
> > or do anything about it other than perhaps transmit as much noise
> > as possible to get our own back :o)
> >
> > *MegHz*
>
> I understand. Too bad many on this news group don't. Some of the posters on
> this side of the pond would very much like to have people believe 90% or
> more of your problems with SSB, on the FM channels, is caused by UK
> operations on SSB. For some reason these posters don't understand when the
> band is open they can be transmitting a rock crushing SSB signal in to the
> UK, and not really know it. Some of these people will never believe they are
> causing problems until they are almost clubbed to death with the news, and
> then maybe not even then.
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

I know that you are saying... just this last sunday while at the office
getting things around for the local REACT meeting I was playing around
on sideband on 11 meter channel 16 upper and was talking barefoot to
florida from napoleon ohio

WebDoc

594. Re: Dummy Loads - What liquid do you fill them with ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <g7no2tcff9kl3vjlbki4a3m3ktpq205ng6@4ax.com>
Source record: 61999

On Tue, 05 Dec 2000 02:30:02 GMT, MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>I think you'd be surprised. A #47 light bulb is precisely 50 Ohms and
>works great for a 4W radio. You can also solder four of them together to
>up that to about 16W, which should cover off a stock CB 12W SSB rig. For
>higher powers, I think you'll find that a 250W Sylvania light bulb is
>deadly close to 52 Ohms. Think about it, the formulae is V2/R.
>(115x115)/50 = 250W. Just keep the lead lengths as short as possible and
>you should be fine on 27MHz and below. This is actually a good test of
>power as there is no arguing that a 250W light bulb that is glowing dim
>does not have 250W going into it.

The thread was about a 100 watt light bulb. I'm not sure what a # 47
bulb is. Certainly not 100 watts.

A 250 watt light bulb is 55 ohms at 117vac. At this voltage the
filament is hot and its resistance goes up. The filament in this bulb
when used with a 4 watt CB is considerably less than 55 ohms.
Although I don't have a 250 watter available I would guess it would
be closer to 10 ohms than 55 when used as a dummy load on a
4 watt radio.

595. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <a7no2toc1s69i1t4naf086ga3b9u2od5uq@4ax.com>
Source record: 62000

On Mon, 4 Dec 2000 20:05:00 -0500, "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com>
wrote:

>To site one of many references "the ARRL handbook" 1999 edition page 20.3
>
> "When the standard is the isotropic
> radiator in free space, gain is expressed
> in dBi, when the standard is a dipole,
> also located in free space, the gain is
> expressed in dBd"
>
>a dipole over normal ground is always referenced to dBi or dBd.

Referenced to dbi is a no brainer. Referenced to dbd is not as
straight forward.

Antennas measured on antenna test ranges can have and are
often referenced to another antenna on a test range by the use of
the term dbd. In other words an antenna can be measured near the
ground and still use the term dbd correctly.

Follow this link http://www.cebik.com/dbi.html to get a full
explanation. I will quote a section. Begin quote:
****
dBd: a warm but fuzzy concept

The concept of dBd was formed to capture the gain of an antenna
relative to a dipole. A dipole is considered the standard basic
horizontal antenna, and comparisons to it seemed to some folks to
be more meaningful than comparisons to the isotropic
radiator.

Unfortunately, the concept of dBd has become a cluster of
concepts. Here are some of them. The notation is my own, since
few folks are anxious to distinguish the individuals in the cluster.

1. dBd-I: dBd ideal compares the gain of an antenna to an ideal
dipole in free space. An ideal dipole uses infinitely thin lossless
wire and is resonant at the frequency of interest. In this
application, the ideal dipole has a gain of about 2.15 dBi, that is,
2.15 dB over an isotropic radiator. All measurements are thus
arithmetically transportable between dBi and dBd-I by adding or
subtracting 2.15, as appropriate.

dBd-I is of limited utility, since my backyard dipole may have a
gain of 7.15 dBi and 5 dBd. Some folks become confused by
the idea that a dipole has gain over a dipole. We then have to

explain that the real wire dipole has gain over the perfect dipole in free space. That rarely helps a lot. And it does not tell us until we do some arithmetic how much gain some other antenna has over a real dipole.

2. dBd-RM: dBd can be expressed as the gain over a real dipole modeled at the same height as the test antenna. For studies that are strictly modeling investigations, this measure is sometimes useful. However, it requires that we further specify the construction of the dipole in terms of element diameter and element material. Using the same material, dipole gain will vary with element diameter. It will also vary inversely with the loss of the material used for the dipole.

Both these constraints apply within the further rule of keeping the dipole resonant. If we make measurements across a ham band, we shall find that the dipole gain varies with frequency unless we reresonate it for each readout frequency. Actually, we are usually more careless than this and take one resonant reading and apply it across the band without checking. And we tend to use fairly loose standards of resonance rather than converging the results. We may more precisely say that an antenna is resonant when the reduction of feedpoint reactance results in no further changes in gain to the number of significant digits that apply to the test.

As one more qualification, we should note that dipoles and other antrennas may have different elevation angles of maximum radiation over the same type of ground. When we cite dBd-RM, we must also say whether we are giving the figure for the dipole at its angle of maximum radiation or at the angle chosen for the test antenna. To avoid confusion, it is usually better to give details about the differing elevation patterns.

3. dBd-RR: dBd can be expressed as the gain over a real dipole set in the same position as the test antenna, where both antennas are oriented for maximum gain relative to the far field receiving site of the test range. For fairness, one should specify the construction of the dipole to ensure that the materials are comparable to those of the test antenna.

However, there are a number of variables that occur within this way of handling dBd. First, range conditions vary considerably from one site to another. Second, some testers take the average of a number of readings in various directions, while others take readings along a single line defined as the best test line. Third, different ranges may use different test heights. The importance of this factor stems from the fact that many antennas that might be tested have different elevation angles of maximum radiation than a dipole, and this variance may introduce differences in readings as we change test antennas and as we move from range to range with different test antenna heights.

Good testing and modeling protocols would specify all of the relevant factors applying to the comparisons involved. We sometimes do find these specifications. Unfortunately, we often don't. Without the specifications, comparisons in dBd-R (either M or R) are quite difficult to make. If we could only bring all antennas to a single test range with a single (large) set of dipoles and standardized conditions, we could likely establish the gain of each antenna over its standard dipole and then have truly precise comparisons among antennas. Someone has noted to me that the odds of the earth being struck by a meteor like the one which ended the reign of the dinosours are higher than the odds of the emergence of a universal test range. I really wish I could have disagreed with this individual.
****
End quote. There is a lot of useful info on this page by L. B. Cebik, W4RNL Check it out.

596. Re: fs 6lq6 tube

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <ibno2t0najgq78r3pbvfgvcvci7rdj9bk0@4ax.com>
Source record: 62001

On 05 Dec 2000 02:52:40 GMT, maul13@aol.com (Maul13) wrote:

> I have for sale 15 new 6lq6 tubes.. These are not slightley used, not
>installed one time to see if they work new, these are brand new... Sealed in
>the factory package.. If you are intrested please e mail me.....
> Thank You

I take it this is first come first serve?

I'll give you $10 a piece. All 15 of them.

597. Re: FA: VERY RARE CPI-2000&BC-2000 console

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A2C393E.C6B8457@qnet.com>
Source record: 62002

Hello JR: You are consistent, your still a first class ass hole.

Jay in the Mojave

JR wrote:
>
> Rare on ebay means "I haven't seen one of these for sale at K-Mart in at
> least two weeks"
>
> Dana wrote:
>
> > That one isn't that rare at all.
> >

598. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90hoe4$6t2$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 62003

Not to belabor a point, and I promise that this is the last time I will
state it. It is an engineering definition that dBd is a free space dipole
antenna. Any other use of dBd is incorrect.

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:a7no2toc1s69i1t4naf086ga3b9u2od5uq@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 4 Dec 2000 20:05:00 -0500, "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com>
> wrote:
>
> >To site one of many references "the ARRL handbook" 1999 edition page 20.3
> >
> > "When the standard is the isotropic
> > radiator in free space, gain is expressed
> > in dBi, when the standard is a dipole,
> > also located in free space, the gain is
> > expressed in dBd"
> >
> >a dipole over normal ground is always referenced to dBi or dBd.
>
> Referenced to dbi is a no brainer. Referenced to dbd is not as
> straight forward.
>
> Antennas measured on antenna test ranges can have and are
> often referenced to another antenna on a test range by the use of
> the term dbd. In other words an antenna can be measured near the
> ground and still use the term dbd correctly.
>
> Follow this link http://www.cebik.com/dbi.html to get a full
> explanation. I will quote a section. Begin quote:
> **********
> dBd: a warm but fuzzy concept
>
> The concept of dBd was formed to capture the gain of an antenna
> relative to a dipole. A dipole is considered the standard basic
> horizontal antenna, and comparisons to it seemed to some folks to
> be more meaningful than comparisons to the isotropic
> radiator.
>
> Unfortunately, the concept of dBd has become a cluster of
> concepts. Here are some of them. The notation is my own, since
> few folks are anxious to distinguish the individuals in the cluster.
>
> 1. dBd-I: dBd ideal compares the gain of an antenna to an ideal
> dipole in free space. An ideal dipole uses infinitely thin lossless

> wire and is resonant at the frequency of interest. In this
> application, the ideal dipole has a gain of about 2.15 dBi, that is,
> 2.15 dB over an isotropic radiator. All measurements are thus
> arithmetically transportable between dBi and dBd-I by adding or
> subtracting 2.15, as appropriate.
>
> dBd-I is of limited utility, since my backyard dipole may have a
> gain of 7.15 dBi and 5 dBd. Some folks become confused by
> the idea that a dipole has gain over a dipole. We then have to
> explain that the real wire dipole has gain over the perfect dipole in
> free space. That rarely helps a lot. And it does not tell us until we
> do some arithmetic how much gain some other antenna has
> over a real dipole.
>
> 2. dBd-RM: dBd can be expressed as the gain over a real dipole
> modeled at the same height as the test antenna. For studies
> that are strictly modeling investigations, this measure is sometimes
> useful. However, it requires that we further specify the construction
> of the dipole in terms of element diameter and element material. Using
> the same material, dipole gain will vary with element diameter. It
> will also vary inversely with the loss of the material used for the
> dipole.
>
> Both these constraints apply within the further rule of keeping the
> dipole resonant. If we make measurements across a ham band, we
> shall find that the dipole gain varies with frequency unless we
> reresonate it for each readout frequency. Actually, we are usually
> more careless than this and take one resonant reading and apply it
> across the band without checking. And we tend to use fairly loose
> standards of resonance rather than converging the results. We may
> more precisely say that an antenna is resonant when the reduction of
> feedpoint reactance results in no further changes in gain to the
> number of significant digits that apply to the test.
>
> As one more qualification, we should note that dipoles and other
> antrennas may have different elevation angles of maximum
> radiation over the same type of ground. When we cite dBd-RM,
> we must also say whether we are giving the figure for the
> dipole at its angle of maximum radiation or at the angle chosen for
> the test antenna. To avoid confusion, it is usually better to
> give details about the differing elevation patterns.
>
> 3. dBd-RR: dBd can be expressed as the gain over a real dipole set
> in the same position as the test antenna, where both antennas are
> oriented for maximum gain relative to the far field receiving site of
> the test range. For fairness, one should specify the construction of
> the dipole to ensure that the materials are comparable to those of
> the test antenna.
>
> However, there are a number of variables that occur within this way

> of handling dBd. First, range conditions vary considerably from one
> site to another. Second, some testers take the average of a number
> of readings in various directions, while others take readings along a
> single line defined as the best test line. Third, different ranges may
> use different test heights. The importance of this factor stems from
> the fact that many antennas that might be tested have different
> elevation angles of maximum radiation than a dipole, and this variance
> may introduce differences in readings as we change test antennas and
> as we move from range to range with different test antenna heights.
>
> Good testing and modeling protocols would specify all of the relevant
> factors applying to the comparisons involved. We sometimes do find
> these specifications. Unfortunately, we often don't. Without the
> specifications, comparisons in dBd-R (either M or R) are quite
> difficult to make. If we could only bring all antennas to a single
> test range with a single (large) set of dipoles and standardized
> conditions, we could likely establish the gain of each antenna over
> its standard dipole and then have truly precise comparisons among
> antennas. Someone has noted to me that the odds of the earth being
> struck by a meteor like the one which ended the reign of the dinosours
> are higher than the odds of the emergence of a universal test range. I
> really wish I could have disagreed with this individual.
> **
> End quote. There is a lot of useful info on this page by L. B. Cebik,
> W4RNL Check it out.
>
>

599. Re: Dummy Loads - What liquid do you fill them with ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90homq$735$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 62004

Not to mention that if a #47 was 50 ohms that 4 connected together would be 12.5 ohms unless you series wired two bulbs and put it in parallel with a second set of series wired bulbs.

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message news:g7no2tcff9kl3vjlbki4a3m3ktpq205ng6@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 05 Dec 2000 02:30:02 GMT, MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >I think you'd be surprised. A #47 light bulb is precisely 50 Ohms and
> >works great for a 4W radio. You can also solder four of them together to
> >up that to about 16W, which should cover off a stock CB 12W SSB rig. For
> >higher powers, I think you'll find that a 250W Sylvania light bulb is
> >deadly close to 52 Ohms. Think about it, the formulae is V2/R.
> >(115x115)/50 = 250W. Just keep the lead lengths as short as possible and
> >you should be fine on 27MHz and below. This is actually a good test of
> >power as there is no arguing that a 250W light bulb that is glowing dim
> >does not have 250W going into it.
>
> The thread was about a 100 watt light bulb. I'm not sure what a # 47
> bulb is. Certainly not 100 watts.
>
> A 250 watt light bulb is 55 ohms at 117vac. At this voltage the
> filament is hot and its resistance goes up. The filament in this bulb
> when used with a 4 watt CB is considerably less than 55 ohms.
> Although I don't have a 250 watter available I would guess it would
> be closer to 10 ohms than 55 when used as a dummy load on a
> 4 watt radio.
>
>

600. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <49ro2t0d9b63mvcvhht22hffenvm68u0v7@4ax.com>
Source record: 62005

On Mon, 4 Dec 2000 22:44:32 -0500, "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com>
wrote:

>Not to belabor a point, and I promise that this is the last time I will
>state it. It is an engineering definition that dBd is a free space dipole
>antenna. Any other use of dBd is incorrect.

No it isn't. dbd means referenced to a dipole. Many times the dipole
is assumed to be in free space, but the simple term dbd does not call
out that the dipole MUST be in free space. The reference dipole
could be on an antenna range and your test antenna can still use
the term dbd for the comparison to this reference antenna.

If you have a engineering definition that states dbd must be in free
space I would like to see it.

601. Re: Cb stuf for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:00:00 UTC
From: ONE37 <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t2p5ibdah4k385@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62006

--

"...reality is a frame of mind. stupidity is a state of mind"

-remove NOSPAM from address to reply-
"Zimano" <zimano@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001204082024.05556.00000069@ng-bk1.aol.com...
> I have the following items for sale:
> RCI 2959 with connex board, 2 noise toys, and turner roadking mic - $200
> Ham International Multimode 3 AM-FM-SSB 5 band radio $100
> Galaxy 33 - $125
> Galaxy 66 with audio swing mod - $175
> Texas Star 350HDV - $150
> Browning 180 - Works but missing bottom (you dont know it unless you pick
it up
> and look) $200
> eMail Zimano@aol.com

602. Re: ND8V 9 months off then back in full

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:03:26 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <90en0c$q1g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 61717
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

In article <90elcs$7oo$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>,
"DX401" <lightnin24@hotmail.com> wrote:
> JR is nothing more than another reprobate agitator. You think he
would be
> worrying more about the trash on the Ham Bands but Mr. Big Sh@t
Wannabe
> wants to be the netcop and radio cop at the same time. Like most
welfare
> bastards, he doesn't have the time or life to mind his own business,
get a
> job, live his life, take care of his family, pretty much the type of
loser
> that sits behind his radio and blows off about his problems and then
> bitches why he doesnt have a family or anything else worth living for
other
> than persecuting CBers or those who dont kiss his ass. Of course,
like most
> losers, he doesnt really want anything to do with you or me other than
> telling us how to live our lives.

JR seems to have some unresolved issues with his father.

]> "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in
message
> news:3A2AB612.C921A814@hotmail.com...
> >
> >
> > JR wrote:
> > >
> > > You would fit perfectly in the cb band, why don't you just go
there and
> > > stay.
> >
> > Tell you what, JR. You send him to the CB band, and you stay here.
> > Please come by RRCB and troll again.
>
>

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

603. Re: 45 meters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 00:17:39 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001203191739.24627.00003605@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 61718

arent there some commies you should be sword fighting somewhere?

>You mean 26.670, right? This is 26-27 mc newsgroup! Dem low freqs. don't
>belong here!!!!
>Dave!!!!

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

604. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 01:23:17 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001203202317.00292.00000609@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 61719

i know about the gear jerry but the fact remains that i'm not impressed so easily like some cb'ers. now going to the guy down the roads house with his 3cx15000 amp is impressive to me.

>In spite of age, Collins gear is still much coveted and was ahead of
>most other manufacturers of the day. A 75A-4 is still an extremely
>selective, highly competent receiver. Its image rejection is extreme-
>ly good. That is why Collins gear still commands a premium in the
>marketplace.

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

605. Re: The big take over

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 01:24:11 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001203202411.00292.00000611@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 61720

i was reffering to 29 megs not ten meters in general.

>
>The only ones running AM on the lower end of 10 meters are the truckers
>who are *really* there (28.085 mostly) and are not "spurious" emissions.
>
>73
>
>Jerry
>
>> ive been monitoring 10 meters for a long time, am on the lower portion, the
>> sattelites in the midlle, and fm on the top. i've never had any trouble
>with
>> these signals that you say are bothering you.

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

606. Re: ND8V 9 months off then back in bull

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 01:35:06 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <90esca$k1c$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 61721
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

DX401 <lightnin24@hotmail.com> wrote:
>than persecuting CBers

You mean "prosecuting," as in "local authorities."

73, Jeff KH6O (posting from rec.radio.amateur.misc)

607. Re: Just got back from a CB CRUISIN' Adventure! (a Novel) (Classic Spewage)!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 02:28:30 UTC
From: Max Donoghue <mdonoghue3@home.com>
Message-ID: <ijDW5.15673$_5.3698264@news4.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 61722

"Dave or Debby" <truth@planetc.com> wrote in message
news:3a2a8a68@news.planetc.com...
> Yep, I did. Drove a '57 Mercury from OH to NC with my last in stock $40
> 108" whip on the Valor 219 top bumper mount and 21' of 58 Belden 8259 coax
> last Tues. through Thurs. I just threw any CB I could grab on the seat
> (maybe not tuned up), because I had already packed the car & couldn't find
> nothing better. The Mercury had a crushed in roof and broken windshield
> from a BIG oak tree that fell on it during a Tornado windstorm in the last
> month or 2, and Miraculously only damaged the windshield and roof. Debby
> in my car following, with 108" whip on the back pass. side, and no heater
> for me, and a Plexiglass replacement for the window that kept falling in
> after the silicon let go. NO WIPERS also. So with the little snow I
> encountered in the beginning, the rain and wind, from the top of I-77 (271
&
> 90) in Ohio, to Ripley WV is all we got the 1st night. NO
headlights--maybe
> the tree broke the 57 fillaments? Then after stopping in Ripley, the
> Generator light came on, and stayed on after car went off (once)! Then
the
> next day it came on and off later on, and then back on. I remember from
my
> trip from HY-GAIN in Lincoln in the 62 Chev. Carry All Van (what the
Greeser
> Yuppies use to drive before SUV's) I got there in '77 (also got this car
> there too), on my way to Ohio from there when the GM Generator light went
> on, discharging my battery in 8 hours or more, I could tell by the
transmit
> meter on the CB the condition of the car battery! So I used the CB
transmit
> meter to see how badly the battery was going down, and it didn't until
near
> the end, when I was afraid to shut the car off in the last 2 hours of the
> trip. (My digital DVM was under stuff in the back seat).
>
> Second night made it to the bottom of VA, going through CB Jungleland @
> Wythville interchange @ dusk. I was driving in and out of the cold front
> passing through, which was a pain because of the rain & wind pushing the
> plexiglass down, and me stopping ever once in a while to fix it. I got a
> little wet from this, but not much, keeping water out of the MINT stock
> interior. So right before I was going to get off @ exit 14 truckstop, a
> trucker seeing my 3 parking lights on the front top of the duel light
> fenders on instead of headlights, and said to get off there & get
headlights

> @ the small truckstop. I tried to take one out, but needed my right angle
> phillips to get to the bottom screws, which was builied in my toolbox, so
I
> gave up and hit the hotel across the road for night # 2. Next morning got
> going and saw great view @ the NC line where CB's can talk forever
distance
> ground wave for many miles, exceeding the commies limits I'm sure w/ 4
> watts! The Lord protected me from the BEARS, because IF in seeing that
> plexiglass window, I'm sure that big dollar $$$$$ signs might pop up in
> their eyes, but just to see the car with a crinkled in front fender a bit
> might of kept their eyes away from the window looking at this black &
white
> MintMobile! Also a few truck drivers marveled at the car/ roof dent/
window
> on the trip. Because I used THE best (108" whip) I got the drivers
> attention big time--2 cars in a row, behind each other, going 50-60 mph
down
> tank trail 77 without heat, sipping hot chocolate in my hooded winter
coat,
> talking on the CB and listening for the BEARS ! I figured if I could make
> it out of Ohio, I could make it, which I did. The guy that bought the car
> said he didn't think I would make it; I told him it was a Miracle--many
> Miracles from God involved.
>
> I told the guy I wanted the damaged roof back--maybe I can sell it to
> someone who wants to run his magnet mount on it as a Base antenna! ALL
> parts sold seperately! So after that we head back to TN and the base
there.
> The guy that bought the car said that once he and his wife brought back a
> car from PA, and never would do it again. We won't either. He has an
Edsel
> farm, an old Ford junkyard, and a bunch of other goodies. All missing 9'
> whips, though. I took the antenna, mount & coax off and barely squeezed
in
> in the full '89 Pontiac. Do you know how great it felt in the winter cold
> (30-40 degrees most of the trip) to get out of the 57 with NO heat, and
into
> the 89 with heat? 30 year technology shock. Actually the 57 has
everything
> a new car has (except pooter), except the heat and better milage
technology.
> I figured after Y2K I could sell it so I don't need another Y2K staff car!
> But maybe I buy it back in da future (IF I get the $$$ from being the
> smallest on line CB shop when I sell items from this story and others, 1
> piece at a time!). It sure was great going 80 in it going down the PA
> turnpike a couple of years ago in the summer, with all windows rolled
down,
> CB blarring asking the truckers how fast am I going, since the
speed-o-meter
> was broke!!! If I would of been in NYC I would MOW DOWN those BIG Bad

> Apple Trees there (BIG Oak tree fell on it)! Actually I was thinking that
> this great OIL BURNING never rebuilt engine would be the best to RUN DOWN
> gore out of office in FLA ! I don't think it would make it to FLA, just
OH
> to NC and that's it! If I encountered any "Key Downs" I would definately
> WIN with my 108" whip and my stock 29 CB ! So you big power boys and yo
> overmodulated modulation kit installing worst CB butcher shops in the
> country, watch out! A 50's car may be comming to yo keydown one of dees
> days to mow yo down!!!! I still have 1 left!!!!
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! (all items sold seperately. E mail
> truth@planetc.com for any inquiries starting tomorrow!!!!! Put CB in the
> subject line.)
>
> What a bunch of useless dribble.
>
>

608. Re: You all worry to much/Clinton CB Bill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 03:32:37 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <90f38l$3qe$4@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 61723

Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com> wrote:
: Then you didn't read the actual law.

I certainly did. I just do not remember all the particulars, which is why I qualified my statement.

: The law STILL reserves for the fed all rights of enforcement.
: It only grants to the states or local governments the ability
: to write ADDITIONAL laws that ALSO enforce the same
: thing. If a state law is at variance with the fed, then the state
: law is not valid. EXCEPT that a state law that is LESS
: stringent than the fed is valid. Anything the states do that is
: IN EXCESS of the fed would be found to be invalid as the
: fed did NOT grant the rights to enact any new laws.

Maybe. The Supreme Court has often held that states may enact laws that are more stict than the federal version.

For example, the states could provide larger penalties for breaking the federal regulations, when they write the regulations/statutes that they must to be able to make use of this law.

: The federal law does NOTHING to change existing law as
: far as enforcement goes at the federal level. All it does is
: allow lower jurisdictions to pass EQUIVALENT or less
: stringent laws that are SUBSETS of the federal law.

I agree with the first sentence. Nor have I ever implied otherwise. I would hae to read the law again, before I can agree with the second sentence.

: And the new law is VERY explicit that it does NOT pass
: any rights to the states with regard of giving up federal
: regulation.

Not giving up federal enforcement rights and allowing states to pass laws of their own to enforce state/local law in relationship to CB radio are two different things.

From my reading, the law states that the FCC is giving state and local government the right to create laws in regards to violation of the FCC statutes - in any manner they choose. There is nothing in the law that limits penalties - so the state/local government could allow life in prison for even a minor infraction (which would not stand up on appeal, but that is beside the point).

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

609. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 03:49:19 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <90f47v$3qe$5@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 61726

CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com> wrote:

: I suggest that all the CBers with 10 M rigs start using the 10 meter band
: regularly and lets start taking our discussions to the ham boards. Time to
: let the Ham world know that there are ways for the misrepresented to fight
: back.

I am not a Ham, but I suggest that all CBers DO NOT start using the
10 meter band. Leave it for the Hams. The same with their newsgroups.
Why should a bunch of us act in the way a very few Hams act on the CB
newsgroups? Do you want to convince ALL Hams that we are just a bunch
of "Chicken Banders"? I don't. And you shouldn't either.

Most Hams do not care what we do, as long as we stay out of their frequencies.
The same applies, to a slightly lesser extent, to their newsgroups.

The Hams perform a vital service through their emergency communications during
emergencies. If it came to an "us or them" face off, the Hams would easily
win.

It WOULD be possible to eliminate 11 meter CB. Not easy, but possible. It is
impossible to hide a radio signal - it can always be located, if being sent
long enough for meaningful communications.

Whether we like it or not, those are the facts. So let's just stick to the
CB band and newsgroups. Abuse them, if you must, but I would recommend that
everyone stay out of the Amateur frequencies and newsgroups.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

610. Re: My point has been made

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 04:01:28 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <90f4uo$3qe$6@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 61727

Hippie Freak <oneqck76ta@aol.comspamsux> wrote:
:>I am gonna sit back a while, chill out, and let you guys run your jaws. Have
:>fun on the CB...I am sure some of you will make the newspapers...
:>
:>Quietly chuckling

: And to think I was going to actually try and get my ham ticket. This guy has
: opened my eyes and I have decided not to because who would want to hangout and
: talk to a bunch of self centered idiots like him. I would like to thankyou. I
: think I will stick to my good old CB. Where everyone seem to get along just
: fine.

Don't let the assholes get you down. There are some in the Ham groups, just
as there are some that are CBers. It is not due to the radios they use, it
is their personalities. Burying your head in the sand will not make them go
away. Nor will fighting them - they love discontent, hate and strife.

Most people I have encountered, of both groups, are decent people.

If you want to help change the minds of some of the Ham members, become a
Ham. Be a regulation obeying Ham, and operate legally on the CB bands as
well. Promote the CB band as an alternative convenient means of communication
to the Hams - and use CB when possible.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

611. Re: (FS) Dave Made 5Pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 04:01:38 UTC
From: Major Tom <ground@control.com>
Message-ID: <CGEW5.505$V5.408320@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 61725

what type of sideband does it have a delay? or biased
<bigmanmoney@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:90c9mj$4rm$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Dave Made 5pill with side band for sale. The box is in good shape.
> Asking $300.00 you pay the shipping.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

612. N8WWM is GOD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 04:53:52 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001203235352.21477.00001307@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Source record: 61728

Happy relapses....OINK OINK OINK

613. Ranger AR-3500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 05:06:25 UTC
From: marc <marc@shoppersplace.com>
Message-ID: <3A2B2651.6D149750@shoppersplace.com>
Source record: 61724

very good condition
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1200060947&r=0&t=0&showTutorial=0&ed=976230382&indexURL=0&rd=1

614. FS - 40 Channel CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 05:27:59 UTC
From: GM <>
Message-ID: <uqam2tkatu0ab3b54ceialqfgsb5t0uqn7@4ax.com>
Source record: 61729

Cheap 40 channel AM only CB

http://cgi.ebay.com.au/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=509230571

Garrym
garrymnospam@ecosse.net
Please remove "nospam" for reply
Home: 0266252235
Mobile: 0403070899
FAX: 001115204473492

615. Re: ND8V 9 months off then back in bull

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 06:05:53 UTC
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90fc81024oe@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 61732

In article <90esca$k1c$1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu says...
>
>DX401 <lightnin24@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>than persecuting CBers
>
>You mean "prosecuting," as in "local authorities."
>
>73, Jeff KH6O (posting from rec.radio.amateur.misc)

It's funny how the two words sound the same, isn't it? I wouldn't be a bit surprised if they both came from the same Latin or Germanic roots. In which case, there'd be no difference between the two, would there?

Tom - N8ECW

616. dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 06:21:19 UTC
From: "J. Boswell" <mbosNOSPAM@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <90fd6q$8jc$1@news.iastate.edu>
Source record: 61730

I am beginning my search for a decent base antenna for my humble little setup and I am coming across figures like dbi. I am sure some one here can fill me in on this spec and tell me how I can use it to help me choose an antenna. Besides it beats the hell out of making fun of each other!

--
****

Mark Boswell
Agricultural Engineering
Iowa State University

****

617. Re: (FS) Dave Made 5Pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 06:34:32 UTC
From: bigmanmoney@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90fdtoamc1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 61731

It has a delay switch going to the relay.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

618. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 07:22:23 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2B462F.F9F4ABB8@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61733
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

KC2 STATION wrote:
>
> Please go back to your CB newsgroup.

OK, but only if you take the trolling hams back to RRAM.

619. FA: KENWOOD TS-440S HF TRANSCEIVER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 07:22:25 UTC
From: Phil Grunden <pgrund@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <RCHW5.33390$II2.3036332@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 61734

On eBay at:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1200626953

620. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 07:24:46 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2B46BE.7B50F557@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61735

Bill Nelson wrote:

> Abuse them, if you must, but I would recommend that
> everyone stay out of the Amateur newsgroups.

OK, but take your trolling hams back to RRAM.

621. Re: Bottom line on CB enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 07:26:34 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2B472A.AFA44328@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61736
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

KC2 STATION wrote:
>
> Please go back to your CB newsgroup.

OK, but take you trolling hams with you back to RRAM.

622. Re: 2/6 METER SSB QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-04 07:34:47 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2B4917.B5DDD65B@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61737
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

You sound like a ticket holding CB'er.

KC2 STATION wrote:
>
> Hi folks...excuse me for being a "doofis", but I do have a couple of
> questions.
>
> 1. Is it absolutely necessary to use a horizontily-polarized
> directional (beam) antenna to work SSB, or is a verticle
> beam ok? What about an omni for SSB? I am currently
> using a Diamond X-200 omni.
>
> 2. Do Canadian stations have to pass a code test before
> they can use 70cm?
>
> 3. Is the Cushcraft A627013S 6meter/2meter/70 CM
> directional antenna any good? (Page 113 of the new
> Fall/Winter 2000-2001 AES catalog)
>
> Sorry for my simplistic questions, but I honestly DON'T know!

623. Re: My point has been made

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Badkitty <castleridge1@icqmail.com>
Message-ID: <q88p2tsrbf4slb2sbtdfrq878olp154n2a@4ax.com>
Source record: 62033

>And to think I was going to actually try and get my ham ticket. This guy has
>opened my eyes and I have decided not to because who would want to hangout and
>talk to a bunch of self centered idiots like him. I would like to thankyou. I
>think I will stick to my good old CB. Where everyone seem to get along just
>fine.

I've been silently reading until now.

Please don't judge all amateur radio operators using this twit as a
standard. He's a disgrace. Amateur radio is an endless technical
adventure as well as a place to meet some very interesting folks.

I'm a recent licensee, not one of the "old fart" brigade. The hassle
of learning Morse is a small price to pay to access SO many
frequencies legally, as well as DX without a big amplifier.

BTW, I was a CBer back in the early 70's when you still needed a
license. (KCN2592) I bailed out when the last 11 year skip peak
plugged my ears to the point of unusability.

Just consider the source when dealing with this guy and his type. On
the air or the web, ignore him. In the parking lot, punch his teeth
down his throat. He's a beggin' ya with all that H&D.

John KD7KBL
Bothell, WA
USA
ICQ# 25096533
castleridge1@icqmail.com
http://www.radiohound.net
"What do you mean you can't solder with a propane torch and a butter knife?"

624. Hey Tollfree, it's like I said.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Miles Davis <MDD327@AOL.COM>
Message-ID: <90ibil$s8c$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
Source record: 62034

That Suburban sounds good! You gotta hear it for yourself.

You've got mail.

625. FS:L@@K Equiptment for Sale for the Holidays

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: David <kb9lpk@telocity.com>
Message-ID: <3A2CB18E.DF31B7E5@telocity.com>
Source record: 62035

Happy Holidays please visit

http://kb9lpk-2.ampr.org/sale.html

Thanks for reading this message!

--

73 de David--kb9lpk <><

The Box said "Win95,Win98,NT40 Win2000 or better" So I installed Linux

email- kb9lpk@telocity.com
Ham email- kb9lpk@kb9lpk.ampr.org
Homepage- http://members.telocity.com/kb9lpk
TNOS BBS- http://kb9lpk.ampr.org

My Equipment Sale page is http://kb9lpk-2.ampr.org/sale.html

Shop at our wonderful gift shop on the web! http://www.giftsheavensent.com

626. Re: A sum up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <DW2X5.194$5_6.51936@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 62036

"oh8mfh" <oh8mfh@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:90i3ol$7me$1@news.kolumbus.fi...
> I bet I know more about your country than you know about mine. Besides, it
> has nothing to do with CB or ham stuff. Gary (p.s. welcome to our
newsgroup
> in Finland - it shouldn't be too hard for you, eh?)

That 'eh' on the end is the Canadian (or at least Great Lakes Canadian) trademark. Have you been hanging with the VEs again :-)? Used to curl against a team out of Thunder Bay Ontario that called itself the 'eh' team; a play on words: the A-team was a popular TV show some years ago.

Show some charity, Gary. We don't have a pressing need to know and no real opportunity to practice whatever language skills we may have picked up in the educational system. At least according to the Economist last week, the Swiss are not in a lot better shape. There is, however, nothing better to teach geography than amateur radio.

About ten years ago I was staying at a bed & breakfast about 60 km west of London. Among the guests was a German so stereotyped in his views of Americans that he was literally amazed that we walked into town and in fact spent a morning walking thru the local boondocks. At breakfast, his wife and seven year-old son conversed in German. As we were leaving, and I was contemplating the justice of his going to Wales in a big Audi with the steering on the wrong side, his son came down to play with the B&B owner's very ancient dog. Marshaling my tremendous abilities in German I figured I about had enough to converse with the boy so I gave it a go. The look on Herman the German's face -- apparently believing I understood the breakfast table conversation -- was absolutely priceless. You bet and you bet correctly in most cases but do not risk your life savings on it. Someday you might encounter a W8 from the Upper Peninsula of Michigan (da Yoo Pee, hey) who may well be of Finnish ancestry.

Draw yourself a 750 km radius from your home on a map and you are in a lot of different and non-Finnish territory. The same distance West would not get me out of Nebraska. As a British friend puts it, the most notable feature of the US is that there is so blessed much of it.

Who knows, perhaps someday we can share some sahti although juniper makes me sneeze.

Dick N&#65533;BK

627. Re: A sum up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: oh8mfh <oh8mfh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <90ietr$ha9$1@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 62037

Yep, Dick, we used to work them, too, and the U.S. bunch on 14.240 or so,
with Tony WB2JUN (I hope I got the call right...)and the others.
Well, my reply to the previous msg was a bit below the belt, I must admit.
S..t happens.
By the way, when I went to London in the 1980's I was treated coldly because
of my American accent. A Canadian told me this over dinner. So, wherever you
go, there's always a chance that when you open your mouth you put your leg
in it, or was that the way the proverb went, eh?

73, Gary in Finland, more precisely in locator KP34UG :-))

628. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <4ngp2tsthq90fvog347dqi78n2de6bnme9@4ax.com>
Source record: 62038

On Tue, 5 Dec 2000 00:40:16 -0500, "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com>
wrote:

>You may have missed the message where I quoted the 1999 version of the ARRL
>handbook chapter 20 page 3 where the words "also located in free space", in
>reference to a dipole appear in italics for emphasis.

No I didn't miss it. It says a dipole in free space is
expressed in dbd.. This is correct. What it doesn't say
is how to express a dipole on earth. This can also be
expressed by the term dbd.

"When the standard is the isotropic
radiator in free space, gain is expressed
in dBi, when the standard is a dipole,
also located in free space, the gain is
expressed in dBd"

629. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <l7hp2tke37ujsam040joclg9r2d2hfrr1d@4ax.com>
Source record: 62039

>>You may have missed the message where I quoted the 1999 version of the ARRL
>>handbook chapter 20 page 3 where the words "also located in free space", in
>>reference to a dipole appear in italics for emphasis.

Improved explanation from earlier post:

No I didn't miss it. It says a dipole in free space is
expressed in dbd.. This is correct. What it doesn't say
is how to express the gain over a reference dipole on
earth. This can also be expressed by the term dbd.
>
>
>
> "When the standard is the isotropic
> radiator in free space, gain is expressed
> in dBi, when the standard is a dipole,
> also located in free space, the gain is
> expressed in dBd"

630. Re: A sum up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <d74X5.375$8G.52358@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 62040

"oh8mfh" <oh8mfh@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:90ietr$ha9$1@news.kolumbus.fi...
> Yep, Dick, we used to work them, too, and the U.S. bunch on 14.240 or so,
> with Tony WB2JUN (I hope I got the call right...)and the others.
> Well, my reply to the previous msg was a bit below the belt, I must admit.
> S..t happens.
> By the way, when I went to London in the 1980's I was treated coldly
because
> of my American accent. A Canadian told me this over dinner. So, wherever
you
> go, there's always a chance that when you open your mouth you put your leg
> in it, or was that the way the proverb went, eh?
>
> 73, Gary in Finland, more precisely in locator KP34UG :-))
>
>

Seen it happen the other way. A good friend was in a pub in Wales where the English are not exactly welcome. He looked the Oxford don so took up doing a 'Texas' accent and broke the ice immediately.

Could have come from Pygmalion. As he is from Muskegon Michigan, the Texas must have been really bad.

On the trip I mentioned, a friend out of the Missouri hills was along and had a terrible time being understood. The 'copying behind' one learns from cw is of great benefit in Lancashire and Yorkshire.

What in hell is an American accent anyway?

Dick

631. Re: N8WWM is GOD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Professor <bgriffey@kwom.com>
Message-ID: <JA4X5.4501$PX1.87188@e420r-sjo3.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62041

No I'm not... I'm on the side that is always against you!

--

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
"Professor"
http://personal.kwom.com/bgriffey/
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3A2C2A1A.69CCEA79@hotmail.com...
> You are a two-timer. Pick a side, and not your nose. <G>

632. Re: Why all Hams and C.Bers should be prosecuted to the fullest extent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Amero <" johnaNO§PAM"@island.net>
Message-ID: <3A2CCD24.F4EB6879@island.net>
Source record: 62042

Just as I thought no sense of humor. Funny when the one who
is wrong some blamed else who points that one is blamed. I
think I made my point to those those can think on here.

Just poke stick in there general direction and you can tell
how dangerous there are by the length of the stick when
pulled back.
John <Dave or Debby you solute this name damn it LOL>
Opps I for got no sence of humor!!!!

Dave or Debby wrote:
>
> I'm glad John Will boy isn't head of the 6th plank in this country. Doesn't
> he sound like a GOOD National Socialist (NAZI)?
> Dave!!!!! HEIL JOHN Little Will robinson BOY !!!!!
>
> John Amero <" johnaNOï¿½PAM"@island.net> > wrote in message
> <3A2B75B1.ADDDAD5E@island.net>...
> Well, ahhhh, ahem, it had been demonstrated in other wore
> torn countries that children stockpile fully automatic
> antenna tuners as well. LOL
> John, Xray-730
>
> Fred Hambrecht wrote:
> >
> > While you post what I take as tongue in cheek, one can invision "Assault
> > Radios" defined as any radio more powerful than a police officers
> > handheld... If the FCC were run by the BATF, homes would be burning all
> > over the United States. Janet Reno would be seen on TV "Well, it was
> evident
> > they had an illegal antenna and were stockpiling fully automatic antenna
> > tuners, we had no choice but to act for the children"
> >
> > "JhnWil876" <jhnwil876@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20001203144024.04296.00000044@ng-fp1.aol.com...
> > >
> > > If I was in charge of the FCC, I would use my budget to listen for and
> > > prosecute the slightest violation no matter how small.
> > >
> > > Nearly every Ham and C.Ber makes some sort of violation at some point
> and
> > by
> > > prosecuting each offender on trivial matters I would drive them out of
> the
> > > hobby in much the same way as the BATF are doing to gun owners and

> > dealers.
> > >
> > > We dont want the people to have guns or a means of communication that we
> > cant
> > > control, it's best to persecute the ordinary citizen into submission and
> > have
> > > them dependent on the government for everything.
> > >
> > >
>
> --
> JOHN AMERO, Parksville, B. C. Canada E-Mail to:-
> johnaNOï¿½PAM@island.net
> **NOTE: REMOVE the_ NOï¿½PAM _in the email address for
> reply.**
> Check out my Web Site at- http://www.island.net/~johna/ ICQ
> UIN 2166985
> .... or Business- email to- Director of Business Development,
> JohnAmero@singaporerental.com
> Also see index at above web site or
> http://singaporerental.com/

--
JOHN AMERO, Parksville, B. C. Canada E-Mail to:-
johnaNOï¿½PAM@island.net
**NOTE: REMOVE the_ NOï¿½PAM _in the email address for
reply.**
Check out my Web Site at- http://www.island.net/~johna/ ICQ
UIN 2166985
... or Business- email to- Director of Business Development,
JohnAmero@singaporerental.com
Also see index at above web site or
http://singaporerental.com/

633. Re: ...duh Dipole Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: oh8mfh <oh8mfh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <90ipds$oh5$1@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 62043

We did the same up here once on field day: we built a Rhombic, approx. 400 meters each side and put one kW into it. After working Japs on forty, eighty etc. we went out to check the antenna. We discovered the antenna wire had touched some birch branches and the RF had caused severe burns to the poor tree. Sob. Gary

634. Professor really loves me...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2CF069.CC392DF5@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62044

Aww, you're just jealous that more folks on the NG can't
stand you then me. Oh well, happy holidays to you and yours.

Professor wrote:
>
> No I'm not... I'm on the side that is always against you!
>
> --
>
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> "Professor"
> http://personal.kwom.com/bgriffey/
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
> "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3A2C2A1A.69CCEA79@hotmail.com...
> > You are a two-timer. Pick a side, and not your nose. <G>

635. Re: N8WWM is GOD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Professor <bgriffey@kwom.com>
Message-ID: <90isvl$23o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62045

It's just like clockwork... anyone who knows anything out here on the NG has a big bulls-eye put on them immediately. And then all the self proclaimed radio kids swoop down like a bunch of buzzards. It happens every time! Well, at least they're predictable. Keep up the good work out there N8WWM, they are certainly no match for you...

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

636. Re: Speech Processor Update...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Professor <bgriffey@kwom.com>
Message-ID: <90it6g$27d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62046

The diet must not be going well... you seem to be in a bit of a twit.
But then again... you always were a twit... heheheee

In article <uX%V5.87$jo.232829@news.pacbell.net>,
"The Landshark" <s3buell@pacbell.net> wrote:
> Then quit trying to impress yourself
> and go out and find an apartment. Jesus,
> give your parents a break, your over
> 30 years old and still living at home.
>
> The Landshark

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

637. Re: A great CB website?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20582-3A2CF61A-3@storefull-127.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62047

Ya' know, professor, you and I may have different views, and I always don't agree with what you have to say, but it is your right. Even when everyone on here was slamming you to put up or shut up concerning your so-called designs, patents, inventions, etc..., in my mind I aways gave you the benefit of the doubt, since there are many reasons one may have to not "prove" themselves that exist. But as of late, I am more inclined to believe what most of the others on here say about you. It takes respect to get respect, and I give everyone the benefit of the doubt AT FIRST, but by you aligning yourself with this subterranean dweller and attacking those who in no way provoked you, well, lets just say you have successfully managed to retain the position so many here have accused you of. When ARE we going to see these hallucinated inventions?

638. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <uu7r2tsaav7rgmaqtric7npav7miv8liu5@4ax.com>
Source record: 62048

On Wed, 06 Dec 2000 01:24:54 GMT, MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>I'm no antenna expert, but dBi is not equal to a dipole in free space.
>It is equal to an isotropic antenna. The definition of isotropic is
>-Identical in all directions; invariant with respect to direction.- A
>dipole in free space does not radiated equally in all directions under
>any theoretical condition. A good example of an isotropic antenna is the
>sun. (this is of course if you believe in the wave theory and not the
>particle theory of light <grin>). I think we are all in agreement that
>it radiates its energy equally in all directions.
>
>As for splitting dBd into different groups. This is a bunch of hogwash.
>Antennas are measured in anechoic chambers which are, you guessed it,
>equal to free space. There are no reflections and no reactive components
>to skew results.

I don't disagree with any thing you said, however, if you used a
dipole for your reference antenna, and this reference was not in a
anechoic chamber, but instead close enough to the ground as to
cause ground effects, then how do you reference your simple test?

If your test antenna showed a gain of 1.3db over your ground effect
reference dipole then how do we describe this? One thing we do
know is it would NOT be proper to give your test antenna a gain of
1.3db. The term 1.3db does not give you a reference. A antenna db
figure without a reference is not proper. Is it proper to give your
test antenna gain of 1.3dbd?????? 1.3dbd does reference a dipole.
Furthermore we can not use 1.3dbi .You only have ONE proper choice,
1.3dbd.

That is why using dbd instead of dbi can skew the numbers. A
isotropic antenna by definition is a mathematical model that does
not change. A dipole can either be in free space, or it can also be in
close proximity to the ground. The simple term dbd does not
distinguish between the two of them.

639. For sale Blackcat JB 150 & Blackcat JB 2000 $500 plus shipping.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: RevDoGood@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16024-3A2DA4C6-12@storefull-235.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62049

The amps were owned by thirty-forty of Georgia both have been worked over completely new tubes and all.Collectors item for a person who knew that black cat use to be the best.Give me a email if you ae looking for power.

640. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90ka11cej1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 62050

No one said dBi was anything other than isotropic the discussion was centered on the location of dBd and as you so aptly pointed out the chamber equates to free space.

One need only see that in the literature there is always a fixed 2.14 dB gain in favor of the dipole. A dipole over real earth can vary from 5 to 8+ dBi depending on height and ground conductivity.

"MC 10kW Jesus" <qsl27@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3A2D96C6.A2FB5263@hotmail.com...
> I'm no antenna expert, but dBi is not equal to a dipole in free space.
> It is equal to an isotropic antenna. The definition of isotropic is
> -Identical in all directions; invariant with respect to direction.- A
> dipole in free space does not radiated equally in all directions under
> any theoretical condition. A good example of an isotropic antenna is the
> sun. (this is of course if you believe in the wave theory and not the
> particle theory of light <grin>). I think we are all in agreement that
> it radiates its energy equally in all directions.
>
> As for splitting dBd into different groups. This is a bunch of hogwash.
> Antennas are measured in anechoic chambers which are, you guessed it,
> equal to free space. There are no reflections and no reactive components
> to skew results.
>
> MC
>
> tnom@mucks.net wrote:
> >
> > On Mon, 4 Dec 2000 20:05:00 -0500, "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >To site one of many references "the ARRL handbook" 1999 edition page 20.3
> > >
> > > "When the standard is the isotropic
> > > radiator in free space, gain is expressed
> > > in dBi, when the standard is a dipole,
> > > also located in free space, the gain is
> > > expressed in dBd"
> > >
> > >a dipole over normal ground is always referenced to dBi or dBd.
> >
> > Referenced to dbi is a no brainer. Referenced to dbd is not as
> > straight forward.
> >

> > Antennas measured on antenna test ranges can have and are
> > often referenced to another antenna on a test range by the use of
> > the term dbd. In other words an antenna can be measured near the
> > ground and still use the term dbd correctly.
> >
> > Follow this link http://www.cebik.com/dbi.html to get a full
> > explanation. I will quote a section. Begin quote:
> > **********
> > dBd: a warm but fuzzy concept
> >
> > The concept of dBd was formed to capture the gain of an antenna
> > relative to a dipole. A dipole is considered the standard basic
> > horizontal antenna, and comparisons to it seemed to some folks to
> > be more meaningful than comparisons to the isotropic
> > radiator.
> >
> > Unfortunately, the concept of dBd has become a cluster of
> > concepts. Here are some of them. The notation is my own, since
> > few folks are anxious to distinguish the individuals in the cluster.
> >
> > 1. dBd-I: dBd ideal compares the gain of an antenna to an ideal
> > dipole in free space. An ideal dipole uses infinitely thin lossless
> > wire and is resonant at the frequency of interest. In this
> > application, the ideal dipole has a gain of about 2.15 dBi, that is,
> > 2.15 dB over an isotropic radiator. All measurements are thus
> > arithmetically transportable between dBi and dBd-I by adding or
> > subtracting 2.15, as appropriate.
> >
> > dBd-I is of limited utility, since my backyard dipole may have a
> > gain of 7.15 dBi and 5 dBd. Some folks become confused by
> > the idea that a dipole has gain over a dipole. We then have to
> > explain that the real wire dipole has gain over the perfect dipole in
> > free space. That rarely helps a lot. And it does not tell us until we
> > do some arithmetic how much gain some other antenna has
> > over a real dipole.
> >
> > 2. dBd-RM: dBd can be expressed as the gain over a real dipole
> > modeled at the same height as the test antenna. For studies
> > that are strictly modeling investigations, this measure is sometimes
> > useful. However, it requires that we further specify the construction
> > of the dipole in terms of element diameter and element material. Using
> > the same material, dipole gain will vary with element diameter. It
> > will also vary inversely with the loss of the material used for the
> > dipole.
> >
> > Both these constraints apply within the further rule of keeping the
> > dipole resonant. If we make measurements across a ham band, we
> > shall find that the dipole gain varies with frequency unless we
> > reresonate it for each readout frequency. Actually, we are usually
> > more careless than this and take one resonant reading and apply it

> > across the band without checking. And we tend to use fairly loose
> > standards of resonance rather than converging the results. We may
> > more precisely say that an antenna is resonant when the reduction of
> > feedpoint reactance results in no further changes in gain to the
> > number of significant digits that apply to the test.
> >
> > As one more qualification, we should note that dipoles and other
> > antrennas may have different elevation angles of maximum
> > radiation over the same type of ground. When we cite dBd-RM,
> > we must also say whether we are giving the figure for the
> > dipole at its angle of maximum radiation or at the angle chosen for
> > the test antenna. To avoid confusion, it is usually better to
> > give details about the differing elevation patterns.
> >
> > 3. dBd-RR: dBd can be expressed as the gain over a real dipole set
> > in the same position as the test antenna, where both antennas are
> > oriented for maximum gain relative to the far field receiving site of
> > the test range. For fairness, one should specify the construction of
> > the dipole to ensure that the materials are comparable to those of
> > the test antenna.
> >
> > However, there are a number of variables that occur within this way
> > of handling dBd. First, range conditions vary considerably from one
> > site to another. Second, some testers take the average of a number
> > of readings in various directions, while others take readings along a
> > single line defined as the best test line. Third, different ranges may
> > use different test heights. The importance of this factor stems from
> > the fact that many antennas that might be tested have different
> > elevation angles of maximum radiation than a dipole, and this variance
> > may introduce differences in readings as we change test antennas and
> > as we move from range to range with different test antenna heights.
> >
> > Good testing and modeling protocols would specify all of the relevant
> > factors applying to the comparisons involved. We sometimes do find
> > these specifications. Unfortunately, we often don't. Without the
> > specifications, comparisons in dBd-R (either M or R) are quite
> > difficult to make. If we could only bring all antennas to a single
> > test range with a single (large) set of dipoles and standardized
> > conditions, we could likely establish the gain of each antenna over
> > its standard dipole and then have truly precise comparisons among
> > antennas. Someone has noted to me that the odds of the earth being
> > struck by a meteor like the one which ended the reign of the dinosours
> > are higher than the odds of the emergence of a universal test range. I
> > really wish I could have disagreed with this individual.
> > **********
> > End quote. There is a lot of useful info on this page by L. B. Cebik,
> > W4RNL Check it out.

641. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Flanagan <dick@libelle.com>
Message-ID: <3a2dbfd0_1@news.greatbasin.net>
Source record: 62051
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I'm very proud to say I've been a Life Member for 30 years and I hope I live
long enough to support them for 30 more!

73, Dick

Wouff Hong wrote in message ...
>The ARRL, America's Rotten Radio League, screwed us over a year ago. Cancel
>your membership before they screw you again. The ARRL board of directors
>wants to turn amateur radio into CB! If you cancel your membership the only
>people the ARRL board of directors will be able to screw is each other.
Just
>wait, 13 months from now the CW bands will shrink, and CW testing will be
>ended. Thank you ARRL for turning our ham bands into SHIT!

642. Re: 27.555 USB is Officially...lost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4057-3A2DB239-10@storefull-622.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62052

If the war on drugs is anything like the war on 11 meter freebanders I'll bet the freebanders will be around a LONG time....who's laughing now????......Mark

643. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Boswell" <mbosNOSPAM@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <90keie$sk4$1@news.iastate.edu>
Source record: 62053

What is true? Why do you have to bring this thread down to the level of all
the childish "stone throwing" that goes on here

"Major Tom" <ground@control.com> wrote in message
news:eYUW5.4283$ul.139405@typhoon1.ba-dsg.net...
> true since you could get popped any day by the Law .
>
>
> "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3A2BE890.A933A165@hotmail.com...
> > Just contact Jay In The Mojave for a Mastodon 5000. It's the last
> > omni antenna you'll ever need for 11/10 meters.
> >
> > jay123a@qnet.com
> >
> > "J. Boswell" wrote:
> > >
> > > Keep going I am following well and I want to get a good handle on this
> stuff
> > > before I go off buying an antenna half-cocked
> > >
> > > --
> > > **
> > >
> > > Mark Boswell
> > > Agricultural Engineering
> > > Iowa State University
> > >
> > > **
> > >
> > > "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
> > > news:90gdv3fpk1@iac5.navix.net...
> > > > With all due respect, an isotropic radiator is a point source that
> > > radiates
> > > > equally in all directions. It is not equal to a 1/4 wave as we will
> see
> > > > shortly. Antenna gains are full of more snake oil than all of the
old
> > > wagon
> > > > peddlers put together.
> > > >
> > > > Lets get a few terms in simple language.
> > > > dBd = gain over another imaginary antenna, it is a dipole in space.
> Most

> > > of
> > > > us don't have towers that tall.
> > > >
> > > > A Standard dipole 1 wavelength above ground will be about 5.8dB and
we
> > > > haven't done anything yet! So as not to bore folks on here, if there
> is
> > > any
> > > > interest let me know and we can continue.
> > > >
> > > > 73 Fred W4JLE
> > > > Gain is
> > > >
> > > > "David Little" <dalite01@sprynet.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:90gats$78j$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > > > It stands for dB (decibels of gain) over an Isotropic radiator. A
n
> > > > > Isotropic Radiator is an imaginary comparison representing an
> antenna
> > > with
> > > > > unity gain; neither increasing the radiated power of the radio, or
> > > > > decreasing it. It can usually be equated with a 1/4 wave antenna.
> > > Other
> > > > > specs compare in terms of dBd, which makes the same comparison
> against a
> > > > > dipole. The specs attempt to measure gain against a standard, to
> keep
> > > the
> > > > > figures consistent in determining the figure of merit of one
antenna
> > > > against
> > > > > another.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> >
>

644. Re: 27.555 USB is Officially...lost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Boswell" <mbosNOSPAM@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <90kevosqr1@news.iastate.edu>
Source record: 62054

I still heard ole bob in there loud and proud yesterday. Fightin' about somthin they were.

--
****

Mark Boswell
Agricultural Engineering
Iowa State University

****

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:17771-3A2D8522-5@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net...
> Phoenix? Ha! Yea, right! Phoenix is heardly ever heard here in the
> 'pile anymore. That whole crew knows they can't mess with the land of
> sand..one of the RF geographical fortunates, water, water, location,
> location! If I really try, I can just about hear Bob, when he ain't
> getting hammered by the UK, but in these heah swamps, it's Alfa Tango
> World Central all day, every day, among a few others and whatever else
> we feel like doing!
>

645. Re: WHAT THE HELL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "J. Boswell" <mbosNOSPAM@iastate.edu>
Message-ID: <90kf3j$s4p$1@news.iastate.edu>
Source record: 62055

I agree get some useful info in here come on fellas......and if ya don't
respond they will get tired of talking to themselves.

--
****

Mark Boswell
Agricultural Engineering
Iowa State University

****

"TJ1072" <tj1072@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001205214029.10146.00002061@ng-md1.aol.com...
> What happened to all the good cb argueing out here on the ole rec.cb
group? Or
> should we now call it the rec.hamgeek group? Whats with all the stupid ass
ham
> crap? So the local police have authority with high power cb now....Big
frickin
> deal!!!!... Let these cops start arguin with guys like CD and Wild Bill.
Now
> these are some REAL cb Defenders!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
> 937...chicago...gettin off this maul so professor can talk!!!!

646. Re: president george help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t2rgefjvli1e5c@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 62056

"Chester Jones" <inhoc@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:3A2D9029.8E8CD3EF@worldnet.att.net...
> When you turn it on does the panel light up? If not, check your in line
> fuse. If it does light up, you have to enter the 4 digit security code
> to access radio functions. Check you owners manual for the standard
> code. If it is another code, good luck.
>
> Email me for standard code - refuse to post - too many lurkers.

What???
Sorry, I was lurking...

Jeff

>
> Slim Jim
>
> number 1 in the nwc wrote:
> >
> > i have one and it wont power up does anyone have any suggestions
> >
> > thanks

647. Re: Professor huggin N8wwm's Nutz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: ")======}||||||||||||||||||}>----------"
Message-ID: <DNjX5.195$9S3.97870@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Source record: 62057

He cannot even work the HF band. Well I guess he could if he bought a CB.
Don't worry about him guys if you ignore him he will go away I promise. All
of what he is doing will come back and bite him.

--

)=====}||||||||||||||||||}>----------
****DR-KAVORKIAN****
----------<{||||||||||||||||||{=====(

"Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com> wrote in message
news:90jn3o$pv1$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Is that all you could come up with??? You cant do any better than
> that??? Stereotyping me as toothless??? Calling me an inbred?? I would
> have though that a Ham with your infinate knowledge, could have done
> better than that!!!!!But then again thats most likely why you only hold
> a no-code ticket. You Continue to show us You are an Idiot , who
> oviously has ALOT of time on his hands to troll!!!!
> MR.PAIN&#65533;
>
>
>
> In article <20001205142828.24700.00003726@ng-cd1.aol.com>,
> n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> > Mr. Pain, while massaging his scroutum and simultaneously scratching
> his snot
> > encrusted face, spouted the following moronic drivel:
> >
> > >Man I think the Professor Found a lover, follow the posts, he sure is
> > >up N8wwm's Ass!! Brian , You think he might be able to show you how
> to
> > >build a real 1pill??? NUT HUGGER !!!!!!MR.PAIN&#65533;
> >
> > N8WWM, in his usual sparklingly intelligent style, responded:
> >
> > I want to be a big time CB'er like you, Mr. Pain. Ahhh, but I fear I
> may never
> > be...I have all my teeth and my parents were related by marriage, not
> as 1st
> > cousins. Oh darn.
> >
> > All you Bevises out there are trying to play the dozens with a 6
> shooter. Never
> > have seen such blatant idiocy.<reruns of Dukes of Hazzard do not

> count> Why
> > don't you take your lame frame down to the truck stop and buy a new
> cowboy hat.
> >
> >
>
> --
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

648. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Frank A. Adrian" <fadrian@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <BSjX5.2807$Ep3.434807@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 62058
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Wouff Hong" <no-ARRL@all.com> wrote in message
news:Rotten_radio_League@arrl...
[BLAH, BLAH, BLAH]
>Thank you ARRL for turning our ham bands into SHIT!

As if they've been a paragon of civility and refinement for the last 30
years...

faa

649. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A2DA3BD.2F120DF0@qnet.com>
Source record: 62059

Hello MC: Let me disagree with you here on the second paragraph.

Not all antennas are measured in a anechoic chamber or range. At HF frequencies the anechoic range will have reflections causing the antenna gain or comparison measurements to be wrong. With the reflection energy adding or subtracting to the measured signal in a unpredicted way. This is why HF antennas ranges are outside, and the engineers half to make a calculated call or acceptable risk, measuring procedure call when measuring HF antennas. There are way more things that can go wrong to make the measured antenna data very inaccurate.

The antennas I have advertisement brochures on, give a calcuated or analytical antenna gain figure. Not a measured antenna gain off a HF Antenna Range. To my knowledge, anyone have another information on this?

The ground reflections from a ground plane antenna can significantly change the elevation patterns, from a height of 10 feet to 40 feet. Making the measurement of the HF antenna a can of worms to measure, with a good chance of doing it wrong.

The cost of the engineering staff, range technicians, and range time can cost several thousands of dollars in just the set up time. And the measured antenna gain, as compared to a dipole antenna may not be what the boys are looking for, to print in a antenna advertisement. Also the knowledge that the antenna manufactures know, how really difficult it is to measure antenna on a HF Antenna Range, may not want to spend the money if the can not easily be proven wrong. And having the correct antenna gain figures, may not prove anything. Because the installation of the antenna will make it or break it, in performance.

Jay in the Mojave

MC 10kW Jesus wrote:
>
> I'm no antenna expert, but dBi is not equal to a dipole in free space.
> It is equal to an isotropic antenna. The definition of isotropic is
> -Identical in all directions; invariant with respect to direction.- A
> dipole in free space does not radiated equally in all directions under
> any theoretical condition. A good example of an isotropic antenna is the
> sun. (this is of course if you believe in the wave theory and not the
> particle theory of light <grin>). I think we are all in agreement that
> it radiates its energy equally in all directions.
>
> As for splitting dBd into different groups. This is a bunch of hogwash.
> Antennas are measured in anechoic chambers which are, you guessed it,
> equal to free space. There are no reflections and no reactive components

> to skew results.
>
> MC

650. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@jps.net>
Message-ID: <vajr2toip3oo1t2pdev2p10tg9dn5qim3j@4ax.com>
Source record: 62060
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 05 Dec 2000 23:36:18 GMT, no-ARRL@all.com (Wouff Hong) wrote:

>The ARRL, America's Rotten Radio League, screwed us over a year ago. Cancel
>your membership before they screw you again.
snip
snip
snip

Guys, guys, guys... does the word "troll" mean anything to you?

He cast the bait.
You bit.
He's happy.

73, Bill W7TI

651. Re: Speech Processor Update...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Landshark <s3buell@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <KzkX5.571$cp2.192064@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 62061

Oh Brian, if I'm a twit, well then you should
take lessons. I been a little busy buying my
third home and haven't had much time to play.
But if you like, come out here and I'll rent
you one of my homes as a section 8 housing. I'm
sure you qualify quite easily.

The Landshark

--
It's a Buell-tiful Day for a ride
through the Canyons.

1999 Ford F-150 Super Cab
1998 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
1983 Honda 1100F
BFD #666
"Professor" <bgriffey@kwom.com> wrote in message
news:90it6g$27d$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> The diet must not be going well... you seem to be in a bit of a twit.
> But then again... you always were a twit... heheheee
>
>
> In article <uX%V5.87$jo.232829@news.pacbell.net>,
> "The Landshark" <s3buell@pacbell.net> wrote:
> > Then quit trying to impress yourself
> > and go out and find an apartment. Jesus,
> > give your parents a break, your over
> > 30 years old and still living at home.
> >
> > The Landshark
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

652. Signal Engineering - !!WARNING!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Oh Yeah! <maps75@pvtnetworks.net>
Message-ID: <t2rm20kgga5cdc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62062

To Whom it May Concern:

I (American Eagle 360) recently purchased a Signal Engineering
White Lightning 6, from a fellow CBer & Amateur that is out of
work and needed to liquidate some of his unused equipment. The
reason he wasn't using it, he had built a flat side yagi because of
his location is VERY windy. He had several of the #13 solid
copper elements vibrate until they broke and one occasion his
2" boom literally bent around the rotor mast. When he had taken
the antenna down, he simply took the fiberglass elements out of
the boom support tubes and zip tied the elements and wire to the
boom sections.
Upon buying the beam I called and requested the wire lengths of
the elements as I had planned to replace them with a product called
Flex Weave. A #14 168 strand jacketed wire so after building the
beam and getting it into place I wouldn't have to take it down for
some time. HERE comes the kick in the ass about Signal Engineering;
they have a answering machine that answers there business phone.
They will return the call as soon as they find the message, BUT they
will not under any circumstances give out the measurements of the
wire elements even to owners of their product. I even went as far
as to tell them I had the assembly instructions in front of me and would
quote to them from the manual to prove that I owned their product.
I am having to separately straighten each element

and measure it to
find the lengths. I called today (12-5-'00) the
firm of Cubex the manu-
facturers of the most famous amateur quad antenna.
Their tech support
representative was most helpful in furnishing the
K factor multiplier to
facilitate the conversion to jacketed material and
told me that the difference
between #13 solid and #14 multi-stranded wire
wasn't even worth the
effort to figure out.
To summarize the long of the story, Cubex one of
the worlds best known
quad builders is producing a 4 element quad for
about $20 dollars higher
than what Signal Engineering is offer theirs for.
And Cubex is working on
a 6 element now. It should come in at slightly
higher than what Signal
Engineering is currently asking for the White
Lightning 6 for. Cubex uses
#10 wire and tailors their beam for the area that
is going to be put up in.
They will even substitute Flex Weave at an
additional charge upon request.
And they use a standard 3" boom for their
antennas. And they are built
like a tank. SO IF YOU ARE LOOKING FOR A HEADACHE,
BUY
SIGNAL ENGINEERING!! IF YOU ARE GOING TO BUY A
QUAD
TO ENHANCE YOUR SIGNAL, BUY CUBEX, BUILD IT, PUT
IT
UP AND FORGET IT!!

653. FS: BC245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Juan <N7RCM@POBOX.COM>
Message-ID: <90km1q01is8@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62063

BC245XLT 5sec delay version. Comes with 3 batteries and a charging craddle. You can have one battery charged in the craddle at all times and one extra charged with you for emergencies. Comes with leather carry case. Data cable also.

Price: $130 shipped PrePay or COD in the U.S. only. Cashiers Check or Money Order only.

Thanks, Juan

654. Re: New CB M.U.R.S.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20581-3A2CF2A6-9@storefull-127.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62098

..and vice versa, the same CAN be said for illegal ops in the UK splattering the US. Don't tell me there aren't many, either. I'm at well over two hundred to the UK this year alone, (and those are just the ones I counted) almost as many as last year..and it certainly ain't on the UK allocated frequencies!

655. Re: What kind of things should I look for?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Don Clarke <dclarke@cancom.net>
Message-ID: <3A2D0129.6D7C31B0@cancom.net>
Source record: 62099

> Doing some research on CB radios...

> I'm planning on kind of a road rally/moving event, and would like to know
> what a good handheld CB radio would be, THAT includes a DC adapter for a
> vehicle, in addition to batteries for when I'm/we're on foot.

> Anyone have a good experience with a certain model in the past and recommend
> it? Its only going to be an annual thing, so finding a model that stores
> well (ala! remove the batteries!!) would be good, as well as low start up
> costs.

> Range required.... maybe up to 5 miles or so.
> Any CB radio/2 way radio would work I guess...
> so, what is recommended?

Get the Midland 75-822.

Small, lightweight, handheld 40 channel CB radio and comes with an
adaptor for mobile use.

As a "handheld" with the battery pack (6 AA batteries) and the rubber
duckie expect a range of about a mile, converted (15 seconds) to a
'mobile' for use in a vehicle it has a range of about 5 miles (depending
on terrain and antenna).

With a good mag-mount antenna you can easily tranfer entire package to
any vehicle you are driving by dropping the antenna on roof and plugging
adaptor into cigarette lighter.

The Midland 75-822 has quite a few bells and whistles, including the
weather channels, something you might appreciate if you plan to spend
much time on foot. The built in mic is excellent but the speaker is not
very good, probably because it's so small.

I recently bought a Midland 75-822 for my son's car so we could talk
while running in convoys (I have a Uniden 68XL in my mobile) and the
only problem we had was because the boy kept licking the lollipop. Had
to keep telling him to hold the mic further away from his face so we
could understand him.

Best thing about this radio is the way I plan to use it while Christmas
shopping. I'm going to drive my wife to the mall, hand her the Midland
when I drop her at the door and tell her to call me on channel X when
she's finished, because I'll be sitting in the car half a mile away in
the back half of the parking lot reading a book and smoking a cigarette!
<GRIN>

Don

--
Why did we just have an election in Canada?
a) to bury the Auditor Generals report on the HRDC scandal,
b) to block the report on criminal misdeeds by top Liberals,
c) to feed Jean Chretiens ego,
d) all of the above.

656. Re: Dummy Loads - What liquid do you fill them with ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2D022F.4D1E848D@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62100

Don't knock it 'til you try it - that's all I'll say. :-)

tnom@mucks.net wrote:
>
> On Tue, 05 Dec 2000 02:30:02 GMT, MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >I think you'd be surprised. A #47 light bulb is precisely 50 Ohms and
> >works great for a 4W radio. You can also solder four of them together to
> >up that to about 16W, which should cover off a stock CB 12W SSB rig. For
> >higher powers, I think you'll find that a 250W Sylvania light bulb is
> >deadly close to 52 Ohms. Think about it, the formulae is V2/R.
> >(115x115)/50 = 250W. Just keep the lead lengths as short as possible and
> >you should be fine on 27MHz and below. This is actually a good test of
> >power as there is no arguing that a 250W light bulb that is glowing dim
> >does not have 250W going into it.
>
> The thread was about a 100 watt light bulb. I'm not sure what a # 47
> bulb is. Certainly not 100 watts.
>
> A 250 watt light bulb is 55 ohms at 117vac. At this voltage the
> filament is hot and its resistance goes up. The filament in this bulb
> when used with a 4 watt CB is considerably less than 55 ohms.
> Although I don't have a 250 watter available I would guess it would
> be closer to 10 ohms than 55 when used as a dummy load on a
> 4 watt radio.

657. Re: What kind of things should I look for?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2D033D.99555F54@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62101

Don Clarke wrote:

> --
> Why did we just have an election in Canada?
> a) to bury the Auditor Generals report on the HRDC scandal,
> b) to block the report on criminal misdeeds by top Liberals,
> c) to feed Jean Chretiens ego,
> d) all of the above.
e) just to show the US how it should be done!

658. Re: 45 meters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001205102456.02101.00001842@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 62102

thanks capn. i was meaning two way pirating though. not the broadcast type.

>Pirate actvity in the states is mostly on 6.955... some AM, most LSB. Not
>much on during the week, but holidays and weekends bring 'em out of the
>woodwork.

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

659. Re: Machine-Gun like noise on 27.960 lsb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001205102858.02101.00001843@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 62103

they dont have to mind control them with this. all that begins in boot camp.

>So what your saying is that they could design this thing to not effect the
>US soldiers? "Where your lead helmets people, we don't want the mind bender
>to affect you".

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

660. Re: Packet radio on 26/27 mhz,where and when?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001205103058.02101.00001845@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 62104

most of the packet is done in europe on there legal channels so it makes it very hard for outsiders like ourself ot get in there and connect. psk31 is quite active on 27.500 and sstv martin1 is also real active on 27.700 usb. ive worked more than one south pacific guy on 11 meters digital modes

>Hi,
>I have been listening for a bit now and notice that there seems to be alot
>of packet radio on 26.84,26.78,26.74,26.72 mhz all l.s.b.
>Most of the calls seem to be European ,but here in 43 division the signals
>are real weak and hard to decode .
>Does anyone know what these packet networks are ,pirate or not..
>Also are there any other freqs used for packet in the 11m band?
>Thanks
>James 43ST194
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

661. Scottiekins, twistie and tnom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001205110654.20976.00003115@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 62105

THIS IS N8WWM HEADLINE NEWS!
After an exciting 2 day honeymoon in the back room of a CB shop, Scottiekins, twistie and tnom are enjoying married life at home now. The honeymoon would have been longer, but the CB shop owner threw them out after he saw certain body fluid stains on his stash of leenyers. Another problem ensued from the racket caused by the tripped out trio as they raved over the roger beepers and power mikes.
"You aint sposedta use 6LQ6 tubes as sex toys, and them boys know that!", said Joe Pumpemup, the CB shop owner. As Pumpemup looked over the litter of broken export rigs and burned out modjee boxes he exclaimed "Them boys wuz worse than a durned FCC raid!"
The freaksome threesome were not available for comment, as tnom and twistie had hurriedly rushed Scottiekins to a nearby hospital to be treated for foriegn object insertion strains to his lower bowel.
Their landlord, the same one who rented the trailer next door to Paula Jones, told this reporter "Them boys aint runnin' no base station there, I done told 'em I monitor channel 19 and I aint puttin up with splash in my trailer park."
Reportedly, Scottiekins and crew are gonna be looking for a house with a TV tower already there so they don't have to buy one for the Antron 99. They are rumored to have instructed a real estate agent that the new house also had to have a flush toilet, as they want to move up in the world. They also had a requirement for 220 volt wiring, as tnom has rewired the primary side of the power supply in the old gray 12 tube Phantom so the lights wont go dim.

Brought to you by the N8WWM news service. More bulletins soon.

662. Re: N8WWM DEEP THROAT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001205111210.20976.00003116@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 62106

>Old wienie washer is at it again blowing off about his technical prowess at
>being a ham. Probably one of those hams without the common sense to solder a
>PL 259 to a piece of coax.
Save your breath, lightnin24 is such a cretinous piece of CB trailer trash
that he doesn't know what an N connector is.

663. Re: Dummy Loads - What liquid do you fill them with ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A2D03C3.9FC4721B@some.net>
Source record: 62107

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" wrote:

> JR wrote:
> >
> > MC 10kW Jesus wrote:
> >
> > > I think you'd be surprised. A #47 light bulb is precisely 50 Ohms and
> > > works great for a 4W radio. You can also solder four of them together to
> > > up that to about 16W, which should cover off a stock CB 12W SSB rig.
> >
> > Just how do you maintain 50 ohms when you parallel or series four of them together?
>
> Make two pairs. Put two 50 ohm resistors in series. Makes 100 ohms. Then
> put the two 100 ohm pairs in parallel. Makes 50 ohms again.
>

Series-parallel is the way you have to do it, but the impedance is not likely to be anywhere near 50 ohms at 27 MHz.

664. Re: Dummy Loads: Ask Scottiekins

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001205112729.20976.00003118@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 62108

He know all about dummy loads. Don't ya Scottiekins?

Scottiekins drools out his open mouth, splattering his keyboard with a mixture of squirrel turds, Red Man, and saliva.

Hows the marriage going Scottiekins...are tnom and twistie being faithful?

665. Re: Dummy Loads - What liquid do you fill them with ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2D1A8A.9BFB1560@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62109

Here's the part you forgot to quote: (it was at the bottom)
JR wrote:
> Maybe 52 ohms at 60 Hz, but who knows what it is at 27 MHz...a lot of difference.

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" wrote:
Remember, a tungsten filament is coiled. This creates inductance that is added
in series to the bulb's DC resistance. That changes everything.

JR wrote:
>
> "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" wrote:
>
> > JR wrote:
> > >
> > > MC 10kW Jesus wrote:
> > >
> > > > I think you'd be surprised. A #47 light bulb is precisely 50 Ohms and
> > > > works great for a 4W radio. You can also solder four of them together to
> > > > up that to about 16W, which should cover off a stock CB 12W SSB rig.
> > >
> > > Just how do you maintain 50 ohms when you parallel or series four of them together?
> > >
> > Make two pairs. Put two 50 ohm resistors in series. Makes 100 ohms. Then
> > put the two 100 ohm pairs in parallel. Makes 50 ohms again.
> >
>
> Series-parallel is the way you have to do it, but the impedance is not likely to be
> anywhere near 50 ohms at 27 MHz.

666. cherekee cm 5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: gcoyote1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001205114704.10737.00003367@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 62110

I`m looking for one of these little radio`s. anyone got one reasonable?

667. Truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001205121235.15131.00001039@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Source record: 62111

>Again, the simple message: Only the illegal ops will be hurt by new laws.
>
>

668. FA) 2 SSB Base Radios...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001205122136.13749.00000741@ng-mk1.aol.com>
Source record: 62112

Thanks for reading..
Listed a few items on ebay that you might be interested in,
please take a look...

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=513868573

Title of item: Courier 23 CH AM/SSB Base CB Radio(needs work
Minimum bid: $9.99
Reserve price (if any): $0.00
Quantity: 1
Auction Ends on: Wednesday, Dec 06, 2000 at 11:04:19 PST

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=513873806

Title of item: Washington 40 CH AM/SSB Base CB Radio(nonwork
Minimum bid: $9.99
Reserve price (if any): $0.00
Quantity: 1
Auction Ends on: Wednesday, Dec 06, 2000 at 11:09:22 PST

Thanks again for taking a look & happy bidding...

669. Professor huggin N8wwm's Nutz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com>
Message-ID: <90jc1lfvi1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62113

Man I think the Professor Found a lover, follow the posts, he sure is up N8wwm's Ass!! Brian , You think he might be able to show you how to build a real 1pill??? NUT HUGGER !!!!!!MR.PAIN&#65533;

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

670. For Sale "Skipper" Base Tube Amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: mmorjoseph@socal.rr.com
Message-ID: <mcbq2t8reat88kntetd9na8ha6oc5b4jl5@4ax.com>
Source record: 62114

selling a Palomar Skipper 300 Base Tube Amp really looks clean..
> Price is 225.00 plus shipping from so cal 92646
> email me for a picture.... this is a 10 meter Base Tube Amp.....
Runs on 110 volts AC very Clean looking Amp also..
shipping from so cal...email me thanks
mmorjoseph@socal.rr.com

671. FS..BOOK"BREAKER,BREAKER,10-4"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bobco@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <3a35384b.121855993@news.i-2000.com>
Source record: 62115

FS..Very nice copy of 25 year old book entitled 'BREAKER,
BREAKER, TEN-FOUR"...This is the book that got many of us started in
;CB radio...in very fine condition...$10.00 to your door via priority
mail.....please remove the (ns) from my adr when responding, or
just click on bobco@i-2000.com

672. Re: Professor huggin N8wwm's Nutz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001205142828.24700.00003726@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 62116

Mr. Pain, while massaging his scroutum and simultaneously scratching his snot encrusted face, spouted the following moronic drivel:

>Man I think the Professor Found a lover, follow the posts, he sure is
>up N8wwm's Ass!! Brian , You think he might be able to show you how to
>build a real 1pill??? NUT HUGGER !!!!!!MR.PAINï¿½

N8WWM, in his usual sparklingly intelligent style, responded:

I want to be a big time CB'er like you, Mr. Pain. Ahhh, but I fear I may never be...I have all my teeth and my parents were related by marriage, not as 1st cousins. Oh darn.

All you Bevises out there are trying to play the dozens with a 6 shooter. Never have seen such blatant idiocy.<reruns of Dukes of Hazzard do not count> Why don't you take your lame frame down to the truck stop and buy a new cowboy hat.

673. Re: My point has been made

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: nick250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001205143702.17373.00005322@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 62117

Nicely said Fred.

>Subject: Re: My point has been made
>From: "Fred Hambrecht" Fred@w4jle.com
>Date: 12/3/00 6:47 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <90em4l$m29$1@iac5.navix.net>
>
>What purpose is served by imputing all CBers? I suspect, even if I can't
>prove, that CBers as whole follow the same curve of normal distribution as
>any other population.
>
>That is to say 7% will break any regulation that may be proposed by God
>himself, 7% would not break a regulation under penalty of death and the rest
>fall somewhere in-between, Your generalization to the contrary. Based on
>these mathematical observations, I can only conclude, as should all others
>on here, that your sole purpose is to troll.
>
>
>"Professor" <bgriffey@kwom.com> wrote in message
>news:00tW5.14995$322.202916@e420r-sjo3.usenetserver.com...
>> Let em have it N8WWM !
>> I'm not a ham myself... and can't really comment on the ham group in
>> general... but I do know that most CBers are total aholes and aren't worth
>> the air they breathe. This NG is no exception... be glad these morons
>don't
>> frequent your bands much.
>> I, on the other hand, put up with this on a daily basis.
>>
>> --
>>
>> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>> "Professor"
>> http://personal.kwom.com/bgriffey/
>> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

674. Re: N8WWM is Gilligan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20581-3A2D3EBC-31@storefull-127.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62118

Not exactly, Professor. I've met some cool folks because of this ng and learned a few things along the way, some of it even derived from your blathering nonsensical inspired arguments. The chiding and calling of one's bluff is usually, but not always, done when one has painted himself in a corner. Enjoy the fumes on the island.

675. N8WWM is Gilligan?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001205151723.24739.00003258@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 62119

N8WWM Sparklingly replies:
Twistie, twistie, twistie....

Lame lame lame

Thanx for playin though...
You get the consolation prize: A big modjee box that uses tubes you can't buy anymore. Use it in good health while your other 2 wives watch and stroke their erogenous zones. Play nice and take turns now, children. Enjoy the fumes from tnom and Scottiekins' intimate areas.

I have not chuckled as many times since I watched an all night "Bowling for Dollars" marathon.Geez, that musta been 1965 or so.

Twistie, while manipulating a length of garden hose in his lower bowel and slobbering in pleasure, spewed forth this silly and intellectually inferior piece of evidence of his mental decay:
>Not exactly, Professor. I've met some cool folks because of this ng and
>learned a few things along the way, some of it even derived from your
>blathering nonsensical inspired arguments. The chiding and calling of
>one's bluff is usually, but not always, done when one has painted
>himself in a corner. Enjoy the fumes on the island.
>
>
>

676. The trippin' trio write a book.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001205154109.24739.00003259@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 62120

Special announcement about the 3 special kids!
Folks, you need the following book...Twistie, tnom and Scottiekins have, with adult supervision, drooled into a dictaphone the following masterpiece of authorship and it is now available at your local book store...Don't miss "Muskrat Love", the heartwarming story of 3 illegal CB'ers who find love and marriage through uniting in hatred for N8WWM, the mean 'ole hammie they wish they were 1% equal to in quality of flame issues.
While their Sparkomatic and 2 pill amp combo splashes all 40 channels and into 10 meters, listen to the 3 sweet little CB'ers plot, plan and generally scheme to out flame the greatest flamer of all time. Read about their use of electronic components as aids to their sagging libido's. Learn why no female relatives ever come to visit. Look at the special 13 page photo insert that shows the dried snot on their microphone! This and much, much more.

Folks, this book is on par with the smash hit "I am faithful to my wife", by Bill Clinton, and will become recognized as a major literary accomplishment. The trippin' trio have outdone themselves this time, and you have just gotta read it to believe it. Only 29.95 now at your local bookseller or order online at
www.N8WWMisGreat.com

Another quality product from N8WWMisGreat.com

677. Info Please-Old Channel Grabber (Fanon\Courier)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff <dupuisj@nortelnetworks.com>
Message-ID: <3A2D540C.FF7D9D6F@nortelnetworks.com>
Source record: 62121

Looking for any info on an old unit called a channel grabber made by courier \ fanon . This unit was usually connected to a loop antenna for tracking signals.. About the size of a small transistor radio. Anyone got one of these? I'd like to buy one..

Jeff

678. Smokin Gun 2 antenna's any info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jeff <dupuisj@nortelnetworks.com>
Message-ID: <3A2D5493.34BE6E5A@nortelnetworks.com>
Source record: 62122

anyone familiar with the smokin gunn 2 dual polarity antenna?? Are they any good?

Thanks, Jeff

679. Re: (FS) Dave Made 5Pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Eitner <kd6tas@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <01c05f00$25d61640$ee686f40@eniac>
Source record: 62123

Watch out for ads like this. I just got ripped off to
the tune of $400 by some guy in Arkansas that used a
screen name and was advertising a Dave 5-pill for $300.
To get my money he upped the ante to a Galaxy 99 and
the 5-pill for $400. And now, on top of that, I get
crank phone calls from the guy.
--
bigmanmoney@my-deja.com wrote in article <90c9mj$4rm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> Dave Made 5pill with side band for sale. The box is in good shape.
> Asking $300.00 you pay the shipping.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

680. Re: Professor huggin N8wwm's Nutz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com>
Message-ID: <90jn3o$pv1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62124

Is that all you could come up with??? You cant do any better than
that??? Stereotyping me as toothless??? Calling me an inbred?? I would
have though that a Ham with your infinate knowledge, could have done
better than that!!!!!But then again thats most likely why you only hold
a no-code ticket. You Continue to show us You are an Idiot , who
oviously has ALOT of time on his hands to troll!!!!
MR.PAIN&#65533;

In article <20001205142828.24700.00003726@ng-cd1.aol.com>,
n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> Mr. Pain, while massaging his scroutum and simultaneously scratching
his snot
> encrusted face, spouted the following moronic drivel:
>
> >Man I think the Professor Found a lover, follow the posts, he sure is
> >up N8wwm's Ass!! Brian , You think he might be able to show you how
to
> >build a real 1pill??? NUT HUGGER !!!!!!MR.PAIN&#65533;
>
> N8WWM, in his usual sparklingly intelligent style, responded:
>
> I want to be a big time CB'er like you, Mr. Pain. Ahhh, but I fear I
may never
> be...I have all my teeth and my parents were related by marriage, not
as 1st
> cousins. Oh darn.
>
> All you Bevises out there are trying to play the dozens with a 6
shooter. Never
> have seen such blatant idiocy.<reruns of Dukes of Hazzard do not
count> Why
> don't you take your lame frame down to the truck stop and buy a new
cowboy hat.
>
>

--

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

681. Re: 45 meters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90jm78$2r9$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 62125

These are air enroute freqs used by transoceaniac flights. Considering the size of the US fleet Vs other countries may explain why they have not yet been tapped on the shoulder.

"Cap'n Crunch" <kcfamily@tir.com> wrote in message news:N11X5.257$8G.37852@nntp1.onemain.com...
>
> "www.kp82.com" wrote in message ...
> > anybody using 6670 lsb here in north america? lots of pirate activity on
> this
> > freq in europe but i havent heard much out of the colonies. anybody
> interested?
>
> Pirate actvity in the states is mostly on 6.955... some AM, most LSB. Not
> much on during the week, but holidays and weekends bring 'em out of the
> woodwork.
>
>
>

682. Re: Professor huggin N8wwm's Nutz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <90jmct$4g4q$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 62126

Mabe it the same guy?

KRS

Mr. Pain &#65533; <mrpain@keydowns.com> wrote in message
news:90jc1lfvi1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Man I think the Professor Found a lover, follow the posts, he sure is
> up N8wwm's Ass!! Brian , You think he might be able to show you how to
> build a real 1pill??? NUT HUGGER !!!!!!MR.PAIN&#65533;
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

683. Re: Why all Hams and C.Bers should be prosecuted to the fullest extent

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90jmg5$307$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 62127

Could you please repeat this in English? What are you are trying to say?

"John Amero" <" johnaNO&#65533;PAM"@island.net> wrote in message
news:3A2CCD24.F4EB6879@island.net...
> Just as I thought no sense of humor. Funny when the one who
> is wrong some blamed else who points that one is blamed. I
> think I made my point to those those can think on here.
>
> Just poke stick in there general direction and you can tell
> how dangerous there are by the length of the stick when
> pulled back.
> John <Dave or Debby you solute this name damn it LOL>
> Opps I for got no sence of humor!!!!
>
> Dave or Debby wrote:
> >
> > I'm glad John Will boy isn't head of the 6th plank in this country.
Doesn't
> > he sound like a GOOD National Socialist (NAZI)?
> > Dave!!!!! HEIL JOHN Little Will robinson BOY !!!!!
> >
> > John Amero <" johnaNO&#65533;PAM"@island.net> > wrote in message
> > <3A2B75B1.ADDDAD5E@island.net>...
> > Well, ahhhh, ahem, it had been demonstrated in other wore
> > torn countries that children stockpile fully automatic
> > antenna tuners as well. LOL
> > John, Xray-730
> >
> > Fred Hambrecht wrote:
> > >
> > > While you post what I take as tongue in cheek, one can invision
"Assault
> > > Radios" defined as any radio more powerful than a police officers
> > > handheld... If the FCC were run by the BATF, homes would be burning
all
> > > over the United States. Janet Reno would be seen on TV "Well, it was
> > evident
> > > they had an illegal antenna and were stockpiling fully automatic
antenna
> > > tuners, we had no choice but to act for the children"
> > >
> > > "JhnWil876" <jhnwil876@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20001203144024.04296.00000044@ng-fp1.aol.com...

> > > >
> > > > If I was in charge of the FCC, I would use my budget to listen for
and
> > > > prosecute the slightest violation no matter how small.
> > > >
> > > > Nearly every Ham and C.Ber makes some sort of violation at some
point
> > and
> > > by
> > > > prosecuting each offender on trivial matters I would drive them out
of
> > the
> > > > hobby in much the same way as the BATF are doing to gun owners and
> > > dealers.
> > > >
> > > > We dont want the people to have guns or a means of communication
that we
> > > cant
> > > > control, it's best to persecute the ordinary citizen into submission
and
> > > have
> > > > them dependent on the government for everything.
> > > >
> > > >
> >
> > --
> > JOHN AMERO, Parksville, B. C. Canada E-Mail to:-
> > johnaNO&#65533;PAM@island.net
> > **NOTE: REMOVE the_ NO&#65533;PAM _in the email address for
> > reply.**
> > Check out my Web Site at- http://www.island.net/~johna/ ICQ
> > UIN 2166985
> > .... or Business- email to- Director of Business Development,
> > JohnAmero@singaporerental.com
> > Also see index at above web site or
> > http://singaporerental.com/
>
> --
> JOHN AMERO, Parksville, B. C. Canada E-Mail to:-
> johnaNO&#65533;PAM@island.net
> **NOTE: REMOVE the_ NO&#65533;PAM _in the email address for
> reply.**
> Check out my Web Site at- http://www.island.net/~johna/ ICQ
> UIN 2166985
> ... or Business- email to- Director of Business Development,
> JohnAmero@singaporerental.com
> Also see index at above web site or
> http://singaporerental.com/

684. cobra 25 LTD classic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Danny Ezell <ezell@alaweb.com>
Message-ID: <t2qnk9de9ivvcf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62128

Has any of you techs out there had problems with AGC in this unit? I've got one on the bench and can't seem to find the problem. goes super loud when receiving any signal close by. I really don't have a very good schematic of this rig. any help would be great.

Dan
ezell@alaweb.com

685. Re: (FS) Dave Made 5Pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: programbo5@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001205165050.22580.00003010@ng-bk1.aol.com>
Source record: 62129

>From: bigmanmoney@my-deja.com

>It has a delay switch going to the relay.
>

It just has 1 relay?..The Dave amps I`ve had with sideband delay had a second relay

The internet is more than a global pornography network

686. Re: Professor huggin N8wwm's Nutz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001205165823.20930.00003407@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 62130

Correcting your spelling:

>have though that a Ham with your infinate knowledge, could have done
>better than that!!!!!But then again thats most likely why you only hold
>a no-code ticket. You Continue to show us You are an Idiot , who
>oviously has ALOT of time on his hands to troll!!!!
>MR.PAINï¿½

INFINITE
OBVIOUSLY
A LOT

Try again retardy boy.

687. Re: Cb stuf for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001205170358.20930.00003409@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 62131

Watch out guys, that stuff is mostly illegal...You could get in trouble with
the FCC.

>
>I have the following items for sale:
>RCI 2959 with connex board, 2 noise toys, and turner roadking mic - $200
>Ham International Multimode 3 AM-FM-SSB 5 band radio $100
>Galaxy 33 - $125
>Galaxy 66 with audio swing mod - $175
>Texas Star 350HDV - $150
>Browning 180 - Works but missing bottom (you dont know it unless you pick it
>up
>and look) $200
>eMail

688. Vs: N8WWM DEEP THROAT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary <gary@larry.hi>
Message-ID: <90johsrno1@news.koti.tpo.fi>
Source record: 62132

r r r ur msg fb --... ...-- -.. - --. .- .-. -.--

689. Music Page at - www.ab7sl.com -

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Charles Johnston <charles@ab7sl.com>
Message-ID: <0beX5.48931$nh5.3632680@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 62133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Check out the new Music Page w/downloads......
Also in 2 days over 300 downloads from the 3D Art gallery....

Latest IOTA List update 05-12-2000

--

Charles Johnston
charles@ab7sl.com

AB7SL - Ham Radio Pages

3D Art Gallery
Downloadable IOTA List
Live DX Cluster Telnet Links
Online DX Logbook
http://www.ab7sl.com

690. Re: Professor huggin N8wwm's Nutz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Professor <bgriffey@kwom.com>
Message-ID: <TpeX5.9164$PX1.146994@e420r-sjo3.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62134

How do you like that... when you disagree... you're an asshole... when you agree... you have found a new lover.
I tell you... you just can't win here... lol

--

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
"Professor"
http://personal.kwom.com/bgriffey/
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com> wrote in message
news:90jc1lfvi1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Man I think the Professor Found a lover, follow the posts, he sure is
> up N8wwm's Ass!! Brian , You think he might be able to show you how to
> build a real 1pill??? NUT HUGGER !!!!!!MR.PAIN&#65533;

691. Re: A great CB website?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Professor <bgriffey@kwom.com>
Message-ID: <VteX5.9167$PX1.147935@e420r-sjo3.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62135

I have posted schematics of everything I have designed. I don't know what cloud you were on at the time. Where are your designs? I haven't had the privilege of viewing them.

--

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
"Professor"
http://personal.kwom.com/bgriffey/
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:20582-3A2CF61A-3@storefull-127.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Ya' know, professor, you and I may have different views, and I always
> don't agree with what you have to say, but it is your right. Even when
> everyone on here was slamming you to put up or shut up concerning your
> so-called designs, patents, inventions, etc..., in my mind I aways gave
> you the benefit of the doubt, since there are many reasons one may have
> to not "prove" themselves that exist. But as of late, I am more inclined
> to believe what most of the others on here say about you. It takes
> respect to get respect, and I give everyone the benefit of the doubt AT
> FIRST, but by you aligning yourself with this subterranean dweller and
> attacking those who in no way provoked you, well, lets just say you have
> successfully managed to retain the position so many here have accused
> you of. When ARE we going to see these hallucinated inventions?
>
>

692. Re: (FS) Dave Made 5Pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: milkmanmo@thedairy.com
Message-ID: <3a2d7305.492689891@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 62136

Hi Bill - remember that whole scam problem I had with a Duane Drayton down in Louisiana? I was supposed to be paying $400 for a galaxy 99 + a davemade 5 pill. Sounds suspiciously familiar doesn't it?

I think I saw his ad originally on Bigradios.com. I see their marketplace/amps section has been shut down since then. He had a webtv email address. I've got more info on him if that's the guy you've had the misfortune to run into. Luckily I got my money back from a good hearted tech who got stuck in the middle of the deal. A long and very ugly story.

Sorry to hear about that!

-Toby (still lurking)

On 5 Dec 2000 21:13:39 GMT, "Bill Eitner" <kd6tas@netzero.net> wrote:

>Watch out for ads like this. I just got ripped off to
>the tune of $400 by some guy in Arkansas that used a
>screen name and was advertising a Dave 5-pill for $300.
>To get my money he upped the ante to a Galaxy 99 and
>the 5-pill for $400. And now, on top of that, I get
>crank phone calls from the guy.

693. FS:Radio and Equipment in time for holidays! Sorry I had new domain!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: David <kb9lpk@telocity.com>
Message-ID: <3A2D74A8.39174E2B@telocity.com>
Source record: 62137
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.cb

Happy Holidays and please visit this site

http://64.193.106.97/sale.html

instead of http://kb9lpk-2.ampr.org/sale.html

--

73 de David--kb9lpk <><

The Box said "Win95,Win98,NT40 Win2000 or better" So I installed Linux

email- kb9lpk@telocity.com
Ham email- kb9lpk@kb9lpk.ampr.org
Homepage- http://members.telocity.com/kb9lpk
TNOS BBS- http://kb9lpk.ampr.org

My Equipment Sale page is http://kb9lpk-2.ampr.org/sale.html

Shop at our wonderful gift shop on the web! http://www.giftsheavensent.com

694. Re: Ham Test Online

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Buckeye Web Design <webdoc@bright.net>
Message-ID: <3A2D84CD.E786393F@bright.net>
Source record: 62138

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" wrote:
>
> Take a practice exam online:
>
> http://www.biochem.mcw.edu/Postdocs/Simon/radio/exam.html
>
> I took it cold. (no studying)
> The first time I got 11 wrong. (failed)
> The 2nd time I got 5 wrong. (passed)
>
> Try it and post your HONEST results.

I took it 3 times w/o studying first time I missed 8 second I missed 6
and last I missed 5
WebDoc

695. Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: no-ARRL@all.com
Message-ID: <Rotten_radio_League@arrl>
Source record: 62139
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

The ARRL, America's Rotten Radio League, screwed us over a year ago. Cancel your membership before they screw you again. The ARRL board of directors wants to turn amateur radio into CB! If you cancel your membership the only people the ARRL board of directors will be able to screw is each other. Just wait, 13 months from now the CW bands will shrink, and CW testing will be ended. Thank you ARRL for turning our ham bands into SHIT!

696. Re: (FS) Dave Made 5Pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: bubblepuppy73@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90jve4$1hp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62140

In article <01c05f00$25d61640$ee686f40@eniac>,
"Bill Eitner" <kd6tas@netzero.net> wrote:
> Watch out for ads like this. I just got ripped off to
> the tune of $400 by some guy in Arkansas that used a
> screen name and was advertising a Dave 5-pill for $300.
> To get my money he upped the ante to a Galaxy 99 and
> the 5-pill for $400. And now, on top of that, I get
> crank phone calls from the guy.
> --
> bigmanmoney@my-deja.com wrote in article
<90c9mj$4rm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> > Dave Made 5pill with side band for sale. The box is in good shape.
> > Asking $300.00 you pay the shipping.
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>

Hi Bill. I read your post and just had to throw my comments in. I sent a guy in Hawaii $750.00 for a 16 pill box and after all the bullshit, and lies , you guessed it , I got screwed. Then on top of all that some of the cber's over there said they would help me. Nothing ever happened. So my ass still hurts from that one. Needless to say I will not ever send anyone money over the internet unless I cma first. Anyways, no offense but I definitely feel your pain.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

697. 27.555 USB is Officially...lost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: at942@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001205185725.08153.00000017@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 62141

Looks like the boys in Phoenix have won the battle and the war. 27.555 is no longer the international call freq and has turned in to the official JAMMING freq. We as responcible alfa tango members must realize defeat and try to come to some type of settlement with those big Phoenix stations or risk loosing our DX club all together. We must be men enough to realize that the group of 10 or so idividuals in Phoenix that are Jamming the international call freq are both organized and financed beyond our capabilities. We must negotiate some type of settlemen or move to a different freq. It has been 5 months now and they only grow stronger in both signal strength, and number of stations...as we alfa tangos grow weaker and less in stations.

698. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: RttyMan <jlockett@onemain.com>
Message-ID: <vQfX5.663$s27.52583@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 62142
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

What a dumb, dipshit...grow up, if you don't like it, find something else to
do!!!!

--
RttyMan

"Wouff Hong" <no-ARRL@all.com> wrote in message
news:Rotten_radio_League@arrl...
> The ARRL, America's Rotten Radio League, screwed us over a year ago.
Cancel
> your membership before they screw you again. The ARRL board of directors
> wants to turn amateur radio into CB! If you cancel your membership the
only
> people the ARRL board of directors will be able to screw is each other.
Just
> wait, 13 months from now the CW bands will shrink, and CW testing will be
> ended. Thank you ARRL for turning our ham bands into SHIT!
>

699. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Keeling <insgeeks@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <90k20q$hq2$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 62143
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Thanks for reminding me. I need to renew my membership for next year.

Jim Keeling
AB&#65533;HI

Wouff Hong <no-ARRL@all.com> wrote in message
news:Rotten_radio_League@arrl...
> The ARRL, America's Rotten Radio League, screwed us over a year ago.
Cancel
> your membership before they screw you again. The ARRL board of directors
> wants to turn amateur radio into CB! If you cancel your membership the
only
> people the ARRL board of directors will be able to screw is each other.
Just
> wait, 13 months from now the CW bands will shrink, and CW testing will be
> ended. Thank you ARRL for turning our ham bands into SHIT!
>

700. Re: A great CB website?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17768-3A2D85AF-86@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62144

Never claimed a design by my own making...I'm still experimenting and learning. C'mon now! What design? Pick one.

701. Re: 27.555 USB is Officially...lost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17771-3A2D8522-5@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62145

Phoenix? Ha! Yea, right! Phoenix is heardly ever heard here in the 'pile anymore. That whole crew knows they can't mess with the land of sand..one of the RF geographical fortunates, water, water, location, location! If I really try, I can just about hear Bob, when he ain't getting hammered by the UK, but in these heah swamps, it's Alfa Tango World Central all day, every day, among a few others and whatever else we feel like doing!

702. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Murray Neece <radioranch@qth.com>
Message-ID: <3A2DA368.C4DCFA81@qth.com>
Source record: 62146
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: radioranch.vcf

Get a life tuna breath!! Without the ARRL we wouldnt be here at all!! They arent perfect, but obviously neither are you......nor I....if you can do better, then show us your cards!! 73 Murray Neece K5MDM ARRL

Wouff Hong wrote:

> The ARRL, America's Rotten Radio League, screwed us over a year ago. Cancel
> your membership before they screw you again. The ARRL board of directors
> wants to turn amateur radio into CB! If you cancel your membership the only
> people the ARRL board of directors will be able to screw is each other. Just
> wait, 13 months from now the CW bands will shrink, and CW testing will be
> ended. Thank you ARRL for turning our ham bands into SHIT!

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

703. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:00:00 UTC
From: Frank Donovan <donovanf@erols.com>
Message-ID: <90k6pv$3eo$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 62147
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Murray Neece <radioranch@qth.com> wrote in message
news:3A2DA368.C4DCFA81@qth.com...
> Get a life tuna breath!! Without the ARRL we wouldnt be here at all!!
They
> arent perfect, but obviously neither are you......nor I....if you can do
better,
> then show us your cards!! 73 Murray Neece K5MDM ARRL
>
> Wouff Hong wrote:
>
> > The ARRL, America's Rotten Radio League, screwed us over a year ago.
Cancel
> > your membership before they screw you again. The ARRL board of directors
> > wants to turn amateur radio into CB! If you cancel your membership the
only
> > people the ARRL board of directors will be able to screw is each other.
Just
> > wait, 13 months from now the CW bands will shrink, and CW testing will
be
> > ended. Thank you ARRL for turning our ham bands into SHIT!
>

704. Re: 45 meters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 00:22:25 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001204192225.02286.00002760@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 62007

thanks dick, its a good site. i'm not in the position to work 6 megs at the moment due to the lack of space but it is still very interesting.

>
>rf-man, Megahertz's buddy, has a site at
>http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Launchpad/8243/cb has, among a lot of
>other things, a pretty good background on Echo Charlie. If you have
>thoughts of dropping in, be aware that they have a rep for being surly. You
>should also be aware that you are fiddling with frequencies that are in use
>for transatlantic air traffic control so it ain't quite like squashing
>Honest Abdul's Hertz Rent-a-Camel and Funeral Parlor.
>
>Dick Nï¿½BK
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

705. Re: ...duh Dipole Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 02:13:35 UTC
From: MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2C50AD.9D099A77@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62008

A dipole is a half-wave by default so the question is not well founded.
Garbage in equals garbage out.

MC

Yolanda wrote:
>
> General Lee: If I string a level full wave center-fed horizontal Dipole,
> a full wave length above average ground, and it's strung true north to
> true south, are my radiation patterns extending east-west or north-south?

706. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 02:18:25 UTC
From: MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2C51D1.5F6FB3E6@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62009

The only thing I will add is that when talking about -hobbyist- grade antennas, the gain claims are often falsified. This is especially true if the gain is quoted as dB, as opposed to dBi or dBd. It was because of these gain shenanigans that QST magazine created a policy that antenna manufacturers could not cite gain claims in their advertising. One last thing to remember is that higher gain does not always equate to higher performance. This is especially true when you get up to UHF frequencies, but I don't think that is an issue on CB radio.

MC

"J. Boswell" wrote:
>
> Keep going I am following well and I want to get a good handle on this stuff
> before I go off buying an antenna half-cocked
>
> --
> **
>
> Mark Boswell
> Agricultural Engineering
> Iowa State University
>
> **
>
> "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
> news:90gdv3fpk1@iac5.navix.net...
> > With all due respect, an isotropic radiator is a point source that
> radiates
> > equally in all directions. It is not equal to a 1/4 wave as we will see
> > shortly. Antenna gains are full of more snake oil than all of the old
> wagon
> > peddlers put together.
> >
> > Lets get a few terms in simple language.
> > dBd = gain over another imaginary antenna, it is a dipole in space. Most
> of
> > us don't have towers that tall.
> >
> > A Standard dipole 1 wavelength above ground will be about 5.8dB and we
> > haven't done anything yet! So as not to bore folks on here, if there is
> any
> > interest let me know and we can continue.
> >
> > 73 Fred W4JLE

> > Gain is
> >
> > "David Little" <dalite01@sprynet.com> wrote in message
> > news:90gats$78j$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > It stands for dB (decibels of gain) over an Isotropic radiator. An
> > > Isotropic Radiator is an imaginary comparison representing an antenna
> with
> > > unity gain; neither increasing the radiated power of the radio, or
> > > decreasing it. It can usually be equated with a 1/4 wave antenna.
> Other
> > > specs compare in terms of dBd, which makes the same comparison against a
> > > dipole. The specs attempt to measure gain against a standard, to keep
> the
> > > figures consistent in determining the figure of merit of one antenna
> > against
> > > another.
> > >
> >
> >

707. Re: UPDATE - BAD GUY Alert Jerry Pippins

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 02:25:40 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <EmYW5.114587$U46.3692659@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 62010
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

That board is supposed to be there and these radios really don't hold up very well to any kind of abuse. Always check to see if a person sells a lot of items and check to see if they exist on ebay for references and positive feedback. Do a search on dejanews to see if the person had good or bad dealings in the past.

"N6TGK" <n6tgk@home.com> wrote in message news:axwW5.33341$I5.557571@news1.rdc1.sdca.home.com...
I posted a "Bad Guy Alert" here about a week ago concerning Jerry Pippins (aka cbnut24 or cbfixxer). I stated that he was supposed to ship me a Uniden HR-2510 in exchange for my BC895 Trunk Tracker.

Jerry claimed to have shipped the radio on November 13th, I shipped the 895 on the 14th. He got the 895 on the 21st of November. On Thanksgiving day I started asking him where the 2510 was and he came up with a bunch of excuses as to
why it wasn't here. He eventually responded, "Don't be a whine ass, you'll get it." After that, his e-mail address was no longer valid.

On December 2nd I FINALLY received the 2510...postmarked Nov 30!!! But that didn't bother me. At least I had finally gotten the "excellent condition... you'll love
this radio" 2510 that Jerry promised me. Upon opening the box and digging through the packing I found a PATHETIC looking 2510. The case and face plate
was scuffed up like you wouldn't believe. The power plug on the back is broken and
pushes back into the radio whenever you try to connect the power cord, the accessory
plug has epoxy all over it and someone added a speaker jack and did a very poor job
of it. Upon removing the covers I found that the back part of the display was melted
because some MORON removed the original lamp (probably burned out) and replaced
it with a HIGHER wattage lamp. This lamp melted the display to the point that the
lamp will no longer stay in place. Wires have been snipped and slodered to other
locations, obviously an attempt at doing some kind of modifications. There are cold
solder joints, large globs of solder and someone added a small PCB board to the underside
of the RF board and is being held in place by pieces of solder. I have no

idea
what this board does. The radio smells like smoke and has the hint of burned components.

I obviously didn't get a fair trade since my 895 was in NEW condition and this 2510 is probably only good for parts.

Avoid this person if you can. I was able to find some feedback about this guy on Yahoo! Auctions and his feedback was very poor. He's still holding to the pattern from those posts: ships late, has several excuses and doesn't send what is promised.

Jerry... if you read these news groups... thanks a lot liar.

708. FA:Sparkomatic 40 channel Base CB Xlnt shape

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 02:27:44 UTC
From: tiny2nz@home.spa.com
Message-ID: <3a2c520e.20150521@news>
Source record: 62011

check out
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1200522327
unit is in like new condition NO BS!!!!
take a look if you want
thanks TiNy

709. Re: Dummy Loads - What liquid do you fill them with ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 02:30:02 UTC
From: MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2C548A.562BAD19@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62012

I think you'd be surprised. A #47 light bulb is precisely 50 Ohms and works great for a 4W radio. You can also solder four of them together to up that to about 16W, which should cover off a stock CB 12W SSB rig. For higher powers, I think you'll find that a 250W Sylvania light bulb is deadly close to 52 Ohms. Think about it, the formulae is V2/R. (115x115)/50 = 250W. Just keep the lead lengths as short as possible and you should be fine on 27MHz and below. This is actually a good test of power as there is no arguing that a 250W light bulb that is glowing dim does not have 250W going into it.

MC

tnom@mucks.net wrote:
>
> On Mon, 04 Dec 2000 20:42:13 GMT, Triple 3 <333@railbuggies.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >T4A11 @T4D08 (B)
> >Would a 100 watt light bulb make a good dummy load for tuning a transceiver?
> >A. Yes; a light bulb behaves exactly like a dummy load
> >B. No; the impedance of the light bulb changes as the filament gets hot
> >C. No; the light bulb would act like an open circuit
> >D. No; the light bulb would act like a short circuit
>
> B
>
> Although light bulbs have been used for dummy loads they
> are not optimum. They do not present a good SWR to the radio.
>
> A light bulb dummy load does have a benefit. It unlike most
> wattmeters will show an increase in brightness/power with
> modulation. Most average reading wattmeters because of the
> simplicity of the design can not show the 50% increase in
> power with modulation.

710. Re: Machine-Gun like noise on 27.960 lsb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 02:37:49 UTC
From: MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2C565D.E4E58BBA@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62013

JhnWil876 wrote:
> No, the Russian Woodpecker was a mind control signal aimed at the West. What
> effect if any it had is unclear because it is all classified, obviously our
> guys want to keep the technology secret so that we can use it, apparently we
> used various stuff in the Gulf to try and get the Iraqis to surrender more
> quickly.
>
> The woodpecker was not a Jamming signal, it was much to powerful for that.

I think you've been reading too many spy, and sci-fi, novels. The
Russian woodpecker was an over-the-horizon RADAR system. Easily defeated
once it was figured out what they were doing.

MC

711. fs 6lq6 tube

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 02:52:40 UTC
From: maul13@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001204215240.01515.00000542@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Source record: 62014

I have for sale 15 new 6lq6 tubes.. These are not slightley used, not installed one time to see if they work new, these are brand new... Sealed in the factory package.. If you are intrested please e mail me.....
Thank You

712. Re: [FWD] Help! Talk to non-ham on my HT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 03:18:13 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <V7ZW5.114738$U46.3700904@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 62015

2 cell phones - $.02 - service per month for 2 cell phones $60.00 for about 1500 minutes shared. This should do the trick

"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:g7SW5.18394$0r2.484176@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
Ah, you took my post as a flame. It wasn't meant as that. The question was could one individual with a license talk to a non-licensed user, perhaps using a modified radio. I should have been more explicit in my reply. Generally, the answer is no. There are type accepted UHF business band radios that can be programmed for a number of channels - and they CAN be reprogrammed to the 400 - 450 MHz ham band. This might be a possibility as long as you had the license for the business band - but it is stretching reality to find a good way to do it legally with an unlicensed station (since these radios I am familiar with are designed for licensed business band - I worked in a shop repairing them). Yes, one could certainly go totally CB or FRS - however, the poster was requesting info on using ONE radio at his end to work both services. It may be possible, but I am unsure as to how to accomplish that. The best way is to get two radios for CB or FRS, obviously, but that wasn't the question. As far the the FM bands go, I am happy with it. I have consistant 40 to 50 mile coverage with my HT through the repeaters (24 hours a day, 7 days a week). I push a couple of buttons and I am connected to the local telephone lines. Oh yes, one of the linked repeaters has a 10 meter link and you CAN work Europe through that. Not bad on six double a alkaline batteries.

73s from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Dave or Debby" <truth@planetc.com> wrote in message news:3a2ad48e@news.planetc.com...
> Get a CB instead of FRS and all the other FM bands! CB is superior, Hambo!
> Dave!!!!!!
>
> JAMES HAMPTON wrote in message ...
> The reality of this is that decades ago this was going on with the wives
> using some ficticious call so they could talk with their hubbies on amateur
> radio repeaters. Truth is, even if a CBer were on the ham band (illegally)
> the ham cannot legally contact the unlicensed user to chase him away
> (illegal to communicate with non-amateur stations with a few minor
> exceptions). Oh well ...
>
> 73s from Rochester, NY

> Jim
>
> "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3A2AB53F.BCC8135A@hotmail.com...
> > This makes you wonder why HAMS give us sh!t about using non
> > type-accepted equipment. Nobody told him about the illegality
> > of this, but told him how. If we answer a request for information,
> > stuff is rammed down our throats by the 'visitors'.
> > --
> > From: shevett@pobox.com
> > Message ID: <90ck16$c0m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
> >
> > Evening folks!
> >
> > I fear I am in a similar position as many other hams. My SO does not
> > yet have her ticket, though she does say she'll get it at some point.
> > We have many events coming up that i'd like to be able to participate
> > in, but also be able to keep in touch with her (we have a toddler with
> > us, so staying in touch is important).
> >
> > I recently got my ticket, and I'm investing in hardware now. I'm
> > wondering if there is a way I can equip her with a radio that does NOT
> > require a license, and be able to talk to her through an HT I carry -
> > while also allowing me to work with other hams on my HT.
> >
> > An FRS radio would be a great idea, except I know of no HT (2m/440/etc)
> > that can talk on FRS as well. One of the options I was thinking of was
> > using a 'commercial' band radio (one of the motorola-class units) that
> > sits very near the standard 2m bands... would this be a) legal, and b)
> > possible?
> >
> > I'd need an HT that allows me to 'monitor' for activity on one frequency
> > while being actively tuned to another, so if she did key up it would
> > 'break in' to whatever I was doing and I could hear her.
> >
> > Possible? Probable? Please let me know!
> >
> > --
> > Dave Belfer-Shevett KB1FWR
> > shevett@pobox.com
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
>
>
>
>

713. Re: Machine-Gun like noise on 27.960 lsb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 03:24:52 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <8eZW5.114754$U46.3700241@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 62016

So what your saying is that they could design this thing to not effect the US soldiers? "Where your lead helmets people, we don't want the mind bender to affect you".

"MC 10kW Jesus" <qsl27@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3A2C565D.E4E58BBA@hotmail.com...
JhnWil876 wrote:
> No, the Russian Woodpecker was a mind control signal aimed at the West. What
> effect if any it had is unclear because it is all classified, obviously our
> guys want to keep the technology secret so that we can use it, apparently we
> used various stuff in the Gulf to try and get the Iraqis to surrender more
> quickly.
>
> The woodpecker was not a Jamming signal, it was much to powerful for that.

I think you've been reading too many spy, and sci-fi, novels. The Russian woodpecker was an over-the-horizon RADAR system. Easily defeated once it was figured out what they were doing.

MC

714. Packet radio on 26/27 mhz,where and when?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 04:09:29 UTC
From: big pond <buttfish@bigpond.com.au>
Message-ID: <1JZW5.152172$e5.97809@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 62017

Hi,
I have been listening for a bit now and notice that there seems to be alot
of packet radio on 26.84,26.78,26.74,26.72 mhz all l.s.b.
Most of the calls seem to be European ,but here in 43 division the signals
are real weak and hard to decode .
Does anyone know what these packet networks are ,pirate or not..
Also are there any other freqs used for packet in the 11m band?
Thanks
James 43ST194

715. Re: Dummy Loads - What liquid do you fill them with ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 04:41:17 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A2C61D7.FC588623@some.net>
Source record: 62018

MC 10kW Jesus wrote:

> I think you'd be surprised. A #47 light bulb is precisely 50 Ohms and
> works great for a 4W radio. You can also solder four of them together to
> up that to about 16W, which should cover off a stock CB 12W SSB rig.

Just how do you maintain 50 ohms when you parallel or series four of them together?

> For
> higher powers, I think you'll find that a 250W Sylvania light bulb is
> deadly close to 52 Ohms. Think about it, the formulae is V2/R.
> (115x115)/50 = 250W. Just keep the lead lengths as short as possible and
> you should be fine on 27MHz and below. This is actually a good test of
> power as there is no arguing that a 250W light bulb that is glowing dim
> does not have 250W going into it.

Maybe 52 ohms at 60 Hz, but who knows what it is at 27 MHz...a lot of difference.

716. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 05:28:05 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <90hud5$ln9$2@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 62019

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:

: Bill Nelson wrote:

:> Abuse them, if you must, but I would recommend that
:> everyone stay out of the Amateur newsgroups.

: OK, but take your trolling hams back to RRAM.

Have you ever seen me troll, on this or any other newsgroup?

Reread my post, and see if it is from the view of a CBer (which I am)
or a Ham (which I am not - although I could obtain the Extra class
license very easily).

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

717. Re: Dummy Loads - What liquid do you fill them with ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 05:37:03 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2C7EFF.D1143CD0@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62020

JR wrote:
>
> MC 10kW Jesus wrote:
>
> > I think you'd be surprised. A #47 light bulb is precisely 50 Ohms and
> > works great for a 4W radio. You can also solder four of them together to
> > up that to about 16W, which should cover off a stock CB 12W SSB rig.
>
> Just how do you maintain 50 ohms when you parallel or series four of them together?

Make two pairs. Put two 50 ohm resistors in series. Makes 100 ohms. Then
put the two 100 ohm pairs in parallel. Makes 50 ohms again.

For a cheap dummy load, you can put twenty 1000 ohms CARBON resistors
in parallel. Or forty 2000 ohm resistors in parallel.
Simple average for several same value resistors.

For two resistors in parallel, the formula is:
R1+R2/R1*R2. This formula also works for capacitors in series.
Just make sure the values are in the same format (uF or pF).

Resistors in series just add up. Capacitors in parallel just add up.
(more below)
>
> > For
> > higher powers, I think you'll find that a 250W Sylvania light bulb is
> > deadly close to 52 Ohms. Think about it, the formulae is V2/R.
> > (115x115)/50 = 250W. Just keep the lead lengths as short as possible and
> > you should be fine on 27MHz and below. This is actually a good test of
> > power as there is no arguing that a 250W light bulb that is glowing dim
> > does not have 250W going into it.
>
> Maybe 52 ohms at 60 Hz, but who knows what it is at 27 MHz...a lot of difference.

Remember, a tungsten filament is coiled. This creates inductance that is added
in series to the bulb's DC resistance. That changes everything.

718. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 05:40:16 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90hv74ard1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 62021

You may have missed the message where I quoted the 1999 version of the ARRL handbook chapter 20 page 3 where the words "also located in free space", in reference to a dipole appear in italics for emphasis.

The same definition appears in the fourth edition of "Reference Data for Radio Engineers" Chapter 23

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message news:49ro2t0d9b63mvcvhht22hffenvm68u0v7@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 4 Dec 2000 22:44:32 -0500, "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Not to belabor a point, and I promise that this is the last time I will
> >state it. It is an engineering definition that dBd is a free space dipole
> >antenna. Any other use of dBd is incorrect.
>
> No it isn't. dbd means referenced to a dipole. Many times the dipole
> is assumed to be in free space, but the simple term dbd does not call
> out that the dipole MUST be in free space. The reference dipole
> could be on an antenna range and your test antenna can still use
> the term dbd for the comparison to this reference antenna.
>
> If you have a engineering definition that states dbd must be in free
> space I would like to see it.
>
>

719. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 05:43:58 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2C809E.4CB899E4@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62022

> "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> : OK, but take your trolling hams back to RRAM.

Bill Nelson wrote:
>
> Have you ever seen me troll, on this or any other newsgroup?

I didn't say you were trolling, I was just asking you to get the trolls back to RRAM. I recognize the good guys, which is why I haven't given you any sh!t. Please stay. Things got a little out of hand last week.

(Actually, I canceled that post right after I sent it. I guess you got it anyway, sorry. It was meant for that other guy.)

720. Amp for auction..last day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 05:53:00 UTC
From: mobile51@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001205005300.10000.00004183@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 62023

http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/45799772

721. New Galaxy 77HML Radio last day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 05:54:08 UTC
From: mobile51@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001205005408.10000.00004184@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 62024

http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/45799684

722. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 06:01:18 UTC
From: oh8mfh <oh8mfh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <90i095$68q$1@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 62025

See David Little's reply - it's a good one. To be able to know what the heck antenna salespeople mean with the phenomenon "gain" you'll have to be very careful indeed. Mostly they talk about theoretical gain in free space, dBi etc. Don't believe them: the longer the boom, the better the gain. Then, on the other hand they don't talk about sidelobes or front-to-back ratio.
Wow... 73 Gary

723. Re: FA: VERY RARE CPI-2000&BC-2000 console

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 06:46:21 UTC
From: David Little <dalite01@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <90i30u$1ij$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 62026

Yeah on Don. Too bad he passed away. He was a big advocate of getting new blood into ham, and into serious 11 meter SSB operation. In addition to being the Stoner in the Stoner Pro 11m SSB gear, he was also the S in SGC commercial and ham HF gear. . I think he held a position in No Code International when he passed away. He was never too busy to respond to an email message with a question from a new or prospective ham.

David

Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:t2ol7kji5nln7b@news.supernews.com...
> Thanks David.
> I was looking through some old S9 Magazines the other day and the had
a
> story on CPI's and the Stoner SSB's. It was pretty interesting. The
article
> was written by Don Stoner. so it may have been a little biased but
> interesting none the less!
>
> Jeff
>
> "David Little" <dalite01@sprynet.com> wrote in message
> news:90ggq6$k0k$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Jeff,
> >
> > They are head and shoulders above any other rig of that vintage. The
were
> > all American made. They used discrete boards for RX and TX, with
> > interconnects carrying RF and control using construction techniques like
> > used in commercial 2 way manufacture.
> >
> > The VCO was a little touchy, but when working properly they were a force
> to
> > reckon with on SSB and offered quite good AM operation. The sets
offered
> > expanded frequency range capabilities, and even provided a front panel
> > opening between the dual channel selector knobs for the switch to
activate
> > extended coverage. They also provided for a 5 KHz shift modification.
> The
> > accessory components sold to match the setup offered a real signal
preamp,
> > console with counter. and I think even a speech processor.
> >
> > CPI is today more a collectors rig than one you would buy and use daily.

> As
> > with all collectible pieces of that era, actual use has to be balanced
> with
> > repair parts availability. One thing you can count on is they are not
> > making any more, it was rare even when new, and somewhere out there is
> > someone that collects them. That is a formula for appreciation of
price.
> > Having said that, any collectible only has a set price on 2 occasions;
> > buying and selling. All points between these 2 transactions fall into
the
> > category of speculation.
> >
> > Hope this sheds some light,
> >
> > David
> >
> >
> > Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> > news:t2nco41atircda@news.supernews.com...
> > > It's funny how you don't see them around, especially for sale or
auction
> > on
> > > eBay or in here, until somebody puts one up. Then it seems that
there's
> a
> > > bunch of 'em. I've seen 5, of various flavors, in the past month. Are
> they
> > > that good?
> > >
> > > Jeff
> > >
> > > "Dana" <dana@randomc.com> wrote in message
> > > news:Pine.GSO.4.10.10012040522230.20056-100000@cluster2...
> > > > That one isn't that rare at all.
> > > >
> > > > On Fri, 17 Nov 2000, hillbilly wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2000 20:41:15 GMT
> > > > > From: hillbilly <hillbilly001@my-deja.com>
> > > > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> > > > > Subject: FA: VERY RARE CPI-2000&BC-2000 console
> > > > >
> > > > > eat ur heart out....
> > > > > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=499096258
> > > > >
> > > > > --
> > > > > Dave KG5XR
> > > > > http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
> > > > > On Bull Shoales Lake, Ark/Mo State Line
> > > > > Fishing is great & crappie are biting

> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > > > Before you buy.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

724. Re: Disappointed in ham radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 06:49:46 UTC
From: oh8mfh <oh8mfh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <90i342$7e7$1@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 62028
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

You disappoint me, Scott. I thought you could read. Or then again, I might be on your kill list, haw haw. A piece of paper doesn't make me a ham - it takes something else, too. I'll let you guess. Anyway, small things like LEGAL rigs and linears are nice. Enjoy your CBing. Gary

725. Re: N8WWM DEEP THROAT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 06:50:22 UTC
From: oh8mfh <oh8mfh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <90i355$7ee$1@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 62029

Of course he uses N-connectors.

726. Re: 2/6 METER SSB QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 06:52:02 UTC
From: oh8mfh <oh8mfh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <90i389$7gb$1@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 62027

According to which theory, Tim? Ever worked Europeans on ten FM, comparing the phase shift between vertical and horizontal signals? Ask your fellow hams, pse. Gary

727. Re: ...duh Dipole Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 06:58:27 UTC
From: oh8mfh <oh8mfh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <90i3kb$7kp$1@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 62030

Me, too: antennas are my true love. The first book I bought was the ARRL antenna book, and the second was Yagi antennas. I've been troubled with doubtful thoughts ever since :-)) Gary

728. Re: A sum up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 07:00:46 UTC
From: oh8mfh <oh8mfh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <90i3ol$7me$1@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 62031

I bet I know more about your country than you know about mine. Besides, it has nothing to do with CB or ham stuff. Gary (p.s. welcome to our newsgroup in Finland - it shouldn't be too hard for you, eh?)

729. Re: 45 meters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 07:52:20 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <N11X5.257$8G.37852@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 62032

"www.kp82.com" wrote in message ...
> anybody using 6670 lsb here in north america? lots of pirate activity on this
> freq in europe but i havent heard much out of the colonies. anybody interested?

Pirate actvity in the states is mostly on 6.955... some AM, most LSB. Not much on during the week, but holidays and weekends bring 'em out of the woodwork.

730. Anyone: schematic for Sharp CB-800? Thanks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 16:03:03 UTC
From: djenkins@NOSPAMamcoas.com
Message-ID: <3a2d119a.354921964@198.77.1.4>
Source record: 62064

[No readable article body recovered]

731. Vs: A sum up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-05 22:07:09 UTC
From: Gary <gary@larry.hi>
Message-ID: <90jovf$rt8$1@news.koti.tpo.fi>
Source record: 62065

When I was younger, they told me mine was a Pennsylvanian accent, from somewhere up in the Poconos. I dunno, since I'm a foreigner, haw haw. Say what? Gary (P.S. Radios are one helluva nice hobby - even talkin' about them, eh? 73 Gary

732. Re: (FS) Dave Made 5Pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: bubblepuppy73@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90llvg$b3h$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62148

In article <BHhX5.14854$i4.41901@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>,
"Mod Squad" <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote:
> The only reason you don't have your 16pill is because you both didn't
tell
> me the truth and i felt you deserve each other. Son i let it be.
Aloha
>
> <bubblepuppy73@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:90jve4$1hp$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > In article <01c05f00$25d61640$ee686f40@eniac>,
> > "Bill Eitner" <kd6tas@netzero.net> wrote:
> > > Watch out for ads like this. I just got ripped off to
> > > the tune of $400 by some guy in Arkansas that used a
> > > screen name and was advertising a Dave 5-pill for $300.
> > > To get my money he upped the ante to a Galaxy 99 and
> > > the 5-pill for $400. And now, on top of that, I get
> > > crank phone calls from the guy.
> > > --
> > > bigmanmoney@my-deja.com wrote in article
> > <90c9mj$4rm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> > > > Dave Made 5pill with side band for sale. The box is in good
shape.
> > > > Asking $300.00 you pay the shipping.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > > Before you buy.
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Hi Bill. I read your post and just had to throw my comments in. I
sent
> > a guy in Hawaii $750.00 for a 16 pill box and after all the
bullshit,
> > and lies , you guessed it , I got screwed. Then on top of all that
some
> > of the cber's over there said they would help me. Nothing ever
> > happened. So my ass still hurts from that one. Needless to say I
will
> > not ever send anyone money over the internet unless I cma first.
> > Anyways, no offense but I definitely feel your pain.
> >
> >

> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
>
>

Friend, the only reason I didn't get that silly box is because the guy didn't send it. Now what part of that do you not understand. Son I am 50 years old and have been doing radio for about twenty some odd years and I really don't have time for games. So if anyone deserves someone it is you who deserve your homeboy. Like I told you amigo, if I lived over there, we would not be having this conversation. So I believe like you fine island folks say. Oloha and have a real nice day.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

733. Re: (FS) Dave Made 5Pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: bubblepuppy73@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90lm2q$b68$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62149

In article <24125-3A2E22D3-2@storefull-237.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
RevDoGood@webtv.net (Northwest Corner) wrote:
> $300 is too much for a 5 pill used big money if you would accept $230
i
> would buy it i need to see a picture.
>
>

Hey man, not trying to get in your business or anything, but I wouldn't
deal with those people , they are a bunch of crooks. Aloha!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

734. Re: FOR SALE:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: lzboyz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001206101608.29125.00002301@nso-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 62150
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

I second that, Train !!!!!!!

>Subject: Re: FOR SALE:
>From: "Train"
>Date: Wed, 06 Dec 2000 12:59:51 GMT
>
>I'm not too sure I'd buy ANYTHING from a guy maned David in Kentucky.
>
>"David" wrote in message
>news:17482-3A2AAAA6-8@storefull-154.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
>> COBRA HANDHELD CB RADIO 40 CHANNEL WTH FULL 4 WATTS RF POWER PLUS MUCH
>> MORE RETAILS FOR $89.95 NOW FOR SALE FROM THE FACTORY FOR ONLY $37.95
>> SEND CHECK OR MONEY ORDER TO
>> DAVID LOCKHART
>> P.O. BOX 205
>> KENVIR,KY 40847
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ------------------- Headers --------------------
>
>Path:
>lobby!newstf02.news.aol.com!portc01.blue.aol.com!news.maxwell.syr.edu!new
sfeed.skycache.com!Cidera!cyclone2.ba-dsg.net!typhoon1.ba-dsg.net.POSTED!n
ot-for-mail
>From: "Train"
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
>References: <17482-3A2AAAA6-8@storefull-154.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
>Subject: Re: FOR SALE:
>Lines: 13
>X-Priority: 3
>X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
>X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
>X-Mimeole: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
>Message-ID:
>Date: Wed, 06 Dec 2000 12:59:51 GMT

>NNTP-Posting-Host: 138.89.44.30
>X-Complaints-To: newsadmin@bellatlantic.net
>X-Trace: typhoon1.ba-dsg.net 976107591 138.89.44.30 (Wed, 06 Dec 2000
>07:59:51 EST)
>NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 06 Dec 2000 07:59:51 EST
>
>

735. Dave and Debbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: elmerpee@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90lo2gcrq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62151

I wish you a merry christmas and hope that SANTA bring you a electric cord so you can put one end in Debbie Cunt we know it big enoulf it like her mouth and the other end up Dave ass and plug it in.Gee you both might get a big thrill out of some thing than each other and just thing Debbie will be putting out all over the world instead of your town and she might make it big with the bitch with the big cunt and will never have to sale her self again on the sidewalks or walk the streets too sale her nasty big fat ass body.Thats All i Got To Say About That.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

736. Re: FOR SALE:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: elmerpee@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90lo8v$d6n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62152

In article <17482-3A2AAAA6-8@storefull-154.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
Bargains4You@webtv.net (David) wrote:
> COBRA HANDHELD CB RADIO 40 CHANNEL WTH FULL 4 WATTS RF POWER PLUS MUCH
> MORE RETAILS FOR $89.95 NOW FOR SALE FROM THE FACTORY FOR ONLY $37.95
> SEND CHECK OR MONEY ORDER TO
> DAVID LOCKHART
> P.O. BOX 205
> KENVIR,KY 40847
>
>
Hey David when did you get out of JAIL for Stealing off others takeing
there money and not sending what they got.YOU FUCKING SO
EVERYONE NEED TOO STAY FROM THIS BAD DUDE HE BEEN AROUND SLICK-WILLIE
TOO LONG

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

737. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90lnf2$2p5$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 62153

One more time Sir! I have provided you with a number of references that state contrary to your opinion. You have yourself quoted the 2.14 dB difference between the Isotropic (dBi) and the Dipole (dBd). This is ONLY true for a dipole in space. Last night for grins I modeled a dipole at two lambda above perfect ground on 14 MHz and achieved an 8.3 dBi or 6.16 dBd. Rather than me continue to repeat myself and adding additional references, may I ask Sir that you post any reference that supports your position. I do not wish to be contentious, but simply have many references supporting my statement.

73 Fred W4JLE
> <tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
> news:uu7r2tsaav7rgmaqtric7npav7miv8liu5@4ax.com...
> > isotropic antenna by definition is a mathematical model that does
> > not change. A dipole can either be in free space, or it can also be in
> > close proximity to the ground. The simple term dbd does not
> > distinguish between the two of them.
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

738. Re: For sale Blackcat JB 150 & Blackcat JB 2000 $500 plus shipping.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001206105521.15143.00001331@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Source record: 62154

>Subject: For sale Blackcat JB 150 & Blackcat JB 2000 $500 plus shipping.

Watch out with that, you could get busted by the FCC. those are illegal to use
on 11 meters

739. Re: n8wwm blocked?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001206105609.15143.00001332@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Source record: 62155

>
>YES anything with n8WWM, N8WWM, or any variation whats so hard about that
>to understand.
>

Nope...still here

740. Re: GALAXY SATURN FOR SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: elmerpee@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90lou1dou1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62156

thats a big price too pay for a dam radio that does the same as any other CB out there,Humm maby they blow smoke a damm CB'er will buy any thing junk like this Get a Real Radio a big Kenwood Yaesu Icom them you can say you got a radio for sale."You GOT To Pay To PLAY" That All I Am Going To Say About That

"jojo" <maranatha@mediaone.net> wrote:
>
> http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/46198629
> getting out of radio. I am a marked man.
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

741. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A2E5312.6DC136A0@some.net>
Source record: 62157

CitySlicker wrote:

>
> Emergencies can be handled by anyone with a radio It doesn't take a
> license!!

Oh really? The last thing emergency officials want is a bunch of untrained idiots running around getting in the way trying to be radio heroes, and that applies to hams or cbers. It may not take a license, but it does take training. An there are programs in ham radio to train for emergencies.

742. BIG RADIO FOR SALE PUTS OUT TO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: elmerpee@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90lp5ddts1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62158

Yaesu FT-1000 HF/CW,SSB,AM,FM and CB Bands
Clean Like New 150 wats output digital readout
Blows Smoke and Kicks Ass with Big D-104 mikephone
$5000.00 shipped

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

743. The Antenna Man CB radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Antenna Man <buzzards@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <ECtX5.398541$4d.50720233@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 62159

Please have a look and if you see one or more that you like just email me and I will close the auction and deal directly with you.
Thank you!
Look here: http://user.auctions.yahoo.com/user/mikewj2d
Mike, The Antenna Man

744. Re: Dave and Debbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: carriagecarwash@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001206113135.27782.00000672@ng-me1.aol.com>
Source record: 62160

>elmerpee@my-deja.com
>Date: 12/6/00 10:04 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <90lo2gcrq1@nnrp1.deja.com

>I wish you a merry christmas and hope that SANTA bring you a electric
>cord so you can put one end in Debbie Cunt we know it big enoulf it
>like her mouth and the other end up Dave ass and plug it in.Gee you
>both might get a big thrill out of some thing than each other and just
>thing Debbie will be putting out all over the world instead of your
>town and she might make it big with the bitch with the big cunt and
>will never have to sale her self again on the sidewalks or walk the
>streets too sale her nasty big fat ass body.Thats All i Got To Say
>About That.
>
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.
>
>
>
>
>
>

Well thank god you're done...now can you re-write that in english..LOL

745. Re: FA: VERY RARE CPI-2000&BC-2000 console

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Skipp <skipp@pilot.ucdavis.edu>
Message-ID: <90lqeo$6bf$2@mark.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 62161

I've serviced many a CPI 300, 400 and 2000. Plus I have a rare trunk
mount version (or two). They are solid radios, well built and work great
when not hacked up by mods. Parts aren't that big a deal as they were
used conventional designs and common chips (for the era).

The only hens teeth are the pll divider chips and the output transistors.
I've had to go about crossing out all the above or finding used/nos
parts. CPI like my siltronix are a usable collectors rig, very solid in
design and construction. Maybe I should do a CPI page to go along side
the siltronix page at http://sonic.ucdavis.edu
cheers
skipp

: They are head and shoulders above any other rig of that vintage. The were
: all American made. They used discrete boards for RX and TX, with
: interconnects carrying RF and control using construction techniques like
: used in commercial 2 way manufacture.

: The VCO was a little touchy, but when working properly they were a force to
: reckon with on SSB and offered quite good AM operation. The sets offered
: expanded frequency range capabilities, and even provided a front panel
: opening between the dual channel selector knobs for the switch to activate
: extended coverage. They also provided for a 5 KHz shift modification. The
: accessory components sold to match the setup offered a real signal preamp,
: console with counter. and I think even a speech processor.

: CPI is today more a collectors rig than one you would buy and use daily. As
: with all collectible pieces of that era, actual use has to be balanced with
: repair parts availability. One thing you can count on is they are not
: making any more, it was rare even when new, and somewhere out there is
: someone that collects them. That is a formula for appreciation of price.
: Having said that, any collectible only has a set price on 2 occasions;
: buying and selling. All points between these 2 transactions fall into the
: category of speculation.

: Hope this sheds some light,

: David

: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote in message
: news:t2nco41atircda@news.supernews.com...
:> It's funny how you don't see them around, especially for sale or auction
: on
:> eBay or in here, until somebody puts one up. Then it seems that there's a
:> bunch of 'em. I've seen 5, of various flavors, in the past month. Are they
:> that good?

:>
:> Jeff
:>
:> "Dana" <dana@randomc.com> wrote in message
:> news:Pine.GSO.4.10.10012040522230.20056-100000@cluster2...
:> > That one isn't that rare at all.
:> >
:> > On Fri, 17 Nov 2000, hillbilly wrote:
:> >
:> > > Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2000 20:41:15 GMT
:> > > From: hillbilly <hillbilly001@my-deja.com>
:> > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
:> > > Subject: FA: VERY RARE CPI-2000&BC-2000 console
:> > >
:> > > eat ur heart out....
:> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=499096258
:> > >
:> > > --
:> > > Dave KG5XR
:> > > http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
:> > > On Bull Shoales Lake, Ark/Mo State Line
:> > > Fishing is great & crappie are biting
:> > >
:> > >
:> > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
:> > > Before you buy.
:> > >
:> > >
:> >
:>
:>

746. Re: ??? (JAMES)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: DCLIFF@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21302-3A2E6A18-18@bsg-storefull-1111.public.svc.webtv.net>
Source record: 62162

Jim I hate to disagree with you and your point was taken well but CB radio was NOT intended for business use. In fact the rules state no business is to be talked about or conducted on the 11 meter band.

Dennis in Ga.

747. Re: Newbie Q about 'deadkey' and 'swing' .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: brass <cc@knology.net>
Message-ID: <3A2E6360.E13664CC@knology.net>
Source record: 62163

That is a fact . . .

find a old RadioShack "Channel 2" antenna

best field strength meter design ever made . . .

old antenna

Brass

7 uain'tnoduck

748. Re: BIG RADIO FOR SALE PUTS OUT TO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A2E4379.9EC4DF59@qnet.com>
Source record: 62164

Hello Elmerpee: You must if got took pretty good there, that radio is ah whole lot less at HRO and AES.

And not to pop your ballon, that really ain't ah big radio. Its only 150 out.

U can get that radio with a 3CX3000 amp for less the 5 grand, and dead key at 10 grand.

If you hang out here more you'll come up to speed, ah?

Jay in the Great Mojave Desert

elmerpee@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> Yaesu FT-1000 HF/CW,SSB,AM,FM and CB Bands
> Clean Like New 150 wats output digital readout
> Blows Smoke and Kicks Ass with Big D-104 mikephone
> $5000.00 shipped
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

749. Re: Professor really loves me...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: brass <cc@knology.net>
Message-ID: <3A2E664D.4EC7E147@knology.net>
Source record: 62165

I love you all . . .

and there ain't a thing you can do about

Brass

750. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean Arthur <dearthur@silverstar.com>
Message-ID: <3A2E60AB.15A6@silverstar.com>
Source record: 62166
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Another airhead blowing his opinion out of his fundament.

751. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22979-3A2E74FB-24@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62167

Fred, what constituted "perfect ground" in your experiment?

752. Are All 102" Steel Whips Equal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: no@able.io
Message-ID: <3a2e7c74.110501775@news1.lig.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 62168

I have been using one I purchased a Rat Shack for years now, but sometimes I see steel whips on other vehicles that look more "rigid". I was just wondering if there are different brands of these whips, and if so, which brands are thicker and stand up better in the wind? Thanks!

Paul

753. Re: Vs: A sum up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <U3vX5.102$g77.32159@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 62169

"www.kp82.com" <timnebo@aol.comedy> wrote in message
news:20001206085743.20864.00003834@ng-fc1.aol.com...
> its very fine indeed kari. so fine that the hams feel they have to jump up
and
> down to show you exactly where that line is because the general public
will
> never see it and that really hurts your types pride becayse your not
getting
> the respect you want for passing those silly little test and for some odd
> reason those that are jumping up the loudest are usually the ones that
cannot
> even pass all the test ie. no code techs.

He has a point, Kari. It does seem to be those who can't or won't pass a
5wpm code test that seem to be the most vocal on this (amateur-provided BTW)
newsgripe.

Part of the problem is that W&#65533;DEF may be an Extra licensed more years than I
am old or the newest codeless Tech on the block. While my 1x2 certainly
indicates an Extra, there is no seniority system in place so he may have
been licensed last week as well.

Dick N&#65533;BK

754. Re: ??? (JAMES)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Triple 3 <333@railbuggies.com>
Message-ID: <3A2E86EB.107C1A8A@railbuggies.com>
Source record: 62170

Sorry Dennis, from the horses mouth

ï¿½ 95.401 (CB Rule 1) What are the Citizens
Band Radio Services?
The Citizens Band Radio Services are:

(a) The Citizens Band (CB) Radio
Serviceï¿½a private, two-way, short distance
voice communications service for
personal or business activities of the
general public. The CB Radio Service
may also be used for voice paging.

Dennis Lassetter wrote:
>
> Jim I hate to disagree with you and your point was taken well but CB
> radio was NOT intended for business use. In fact the rules state no
> business is to be talked about or conducted on the 11 meter band.
>
> Dennis in Ga.

755. Re: For sale Blackcat JB 150 & Blackcat JB 2000 $500 plus shipping.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: spahnrancher@charlesmanson.com
Message-ID: <90m3cq$na3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62171

In article <20001206105521.15143.00001331@ng-mj1.aol.com>,
n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> >Subject: For sale Blackcat JB 150 & Blackcat JB 2000 $500 plus shipping.
>
> Watch out with that, you could get busted by the FCC. those are illegal to use
> on 11 meters
>
>
> There you are. The family has been searching high and low for you. Come back to the commune Doug! We promise, no more small animal incidents. It is time to reap what ye have sowed and the hogs just arent their happy selves without you sneaking into their pen in the middle of the night. It is almost the holiday season and Tex and wants to personally assist you in reapplying for your welfare benefits so you will have your contribution to give to our encounter group that assisted you in dealing with your childhood demons created by your father/uncle and freed you to the level you find yourself upon today. Patricia, Leslie, and Sandy send their best and want you home for the holidays.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

756. Re: Machine-Gun like noise on 27.960 lsb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2E8D5F.3BBDBF34@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62172

"www.kp82.com" wrote:
>
> weve never been too concerned about the queen on this side of the pond.

I'm waiting for them to take back our independence.

> >PS: Stop shredding the English language - the Queen would roll over in
> >her grave if she were dead!

Which Queen? The current one or a past one?

757. Firestick "Marketing Voodo" or real range ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe <joeamp@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <90m3gb$509$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 62173

Can anyone confirm these "coilsticks" have more range than a standard base or center loaded trunk mount cheap "O" properly grounded and tuned to near zero SWR.
Or is all the hype "marketing Voodoo"
I am doing a installation for a buddy who just wants a setup for channel 19 with 4 -5 watts.

758. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <j64t2toc98joo0qklpjm6jbdoeehgrvg96@4ax.com>
Source record: 62174

On Wed, 6 Dec 2000 10:53:38 -0500, "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com>
wrote:

>One more time Sir! I have provided you with a number of references that
>state contrary to your opinion. You have yourself quoted the 2.14 dB
>difference between the Isotropic (dBi) and the Dipole (dBd). This is ONLY
>true for a dipole in space. Last night for grins I modeled a dipole at two
>lambda above perfect ground on 14 MHz and achieved an 8.3 dBi or 6.16 dBd.
>Rather than me continue to repeat myself and adding additional references,
>may I ask Sir that you post any reference that supports your position. I do
>not wish to be contentious, but simply have many references supporting my
>statement.

I'm not saying anything contrary to your definition. All I am saying
is a dipole can ALSO be close to the ground and at the SAME time
be your reference. If it IS your reference then you can use the term
dbd in your comparison.

759. Re: Firestick "Marketing Voodo" or real range ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2E9955.7D47CA67@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62175

While some of the db claims may be inflated a bit, I have been using the KW-7 for over 15 years. I have never had to tune one on my vehicles. The thing to remember is that the coil is on the top, were the voltage is the greatest. Current flow through an antenna is impeded by a coil. By coiling the antenna with the tightest coils on the top, it seems to have an autotransformer effect like an ignition coil. I can talk farther on one of these with 2 watts then my buddies using 6 watts on a Wilson 1000.

I have clobbered 2 pills and 4 pills with a 2 pill. This may be due to proper mounting. I put a ground strap from the mount to other points on the body and frame. I have a max SWR of 1.3:1. Again, never tuned. The factory claims resonance at 27.43Mhz. I want to test it on a 259B.

You need a good solid ground with one of these antennas. Magnets, even three or four magnet mounts just won't do it. You must drill a hole. One vehicle is mounted on the trunk (drilled), the other on the back bumper (drilled). I use RS 21-961 mounts with large fender washers. The use of GOOD coax cannot be stressed enough. Use RG-58 for tying up newspapers. Use RG-8M or better with a stranded center for mobile use. Coax length does not matter. Use the amount needed from point A to point B with a little extra for slack and redoing the soldered, not crimped ends, when needed. The ground always pulls out of crimped ends.

Joe wrote:
>
> Can anyone confirm these "coilsticks" have more range than a standard base
> or center loaded trunk mount cheap "O" properly grounded and tuned to near
> zero SWR.
> Or is all the hype "marketing Voodoo"
> I am doing a installation for a buddy who just wants a setup for channel 19
> with 4 -5 watts.

760. all n8wwm wants for christmas.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001206150037.23321.00003598@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 62176

1..someone to pay attention to him......2...a brand new fcc approved dildo.....3...to be "accepted"....4...3 goats and a sheep for his sexual gratification.....5...is to turn back time , so he can become a cb'er...6 is for his children not to come out like him...7 a cobra 148...8...a palomar 2-pill {he wants big watts}..chuckle...9...a cb cop badge.....and finally last but not least....just to be Heard......lol post any other christmas wish i might of missed......

761. Re: Signal Engineering - !!RULES!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott <yetbbwa@grove.iup.edu>
Message-ID: <3A2EC652.1B32E876@grove.iup.edu>
Source record: 62177

Oh Yeah,

I find your complaints interesting.

Ok, so you call Signal Engineering and they won't just give out
the dimensions to their antennas. No surprise there, try calling
Cushcraft, Force 12, etc. etc. and just ask them for the
dimensions of an antenna, guess what, they don't just hand that
information out. I know you can buy the wire sets from Signal
Engineering, because I recently bought a new set for a used 4 element
from them.

BTW, the Cubex quads aren't dual polarity models. Also did you
tell Cubex about the special voltage feed arrangement that SE
uses, so they could tell you what length wire you needed for this
special matching section? Are you sure the antenna can handle the size
wire your going to use? Now that I think about it, if you
are messing with something you understood - you wouldn't need to ask
anybody for wire lengths at all, since formulas are available at any
local library in your area.

One little check of past NG posts reveals this post from you:

Subject: Help on Bill Owens RV antenna
Date: 01/22/1999
Author: American Eagle 360 <maps75@pvtnetworks.net>

<snip>
Like a dumby I sold mine without first at least
making a copy of the assembly instructions. Now I would like to
home-brew one of these true "gain" antennas
<snip>
Anyone that has one of these 'lil beauts that would photo-copy
the instruction manual, zip it and send it to me
would get all the 3s and 8s. I have the following manuals PDL II,
PDL II rear-end mod drawings
with measurements
....Shootins Star (current ant.), & Hi Gain stacked 3s
<snip>
maps75@pvtnetworks.net - Snail mailed at Al Piper - 903 Ray Ave.
- Artesia,NM 88210-0651 - 505-746-3901
THANKS and All the good numbers to yah!

So its clear you also approve reproducing copyrighted material
and exchanging it for the purpose of "home-brewing" your own

versions of the antennas.

I bet the engineers at Signal Engineering are laughing at you, I know I am.

Scott.

"Oh Yeah!" wrote:

To Whom it May Concern:

I (American Eagle 360) recently purchased a Signal Engineering
White Lightning 6, from a fellow CBer & Amateur that is out of
work and needed to liquidate some of his unused equipment. The
reason he wasn't using it, he had built a flat side yagi because of
his location is VERY windy. He had several of the #13 solid
copper elements vibrate until they broke and one occasion his
2" boom literally bent around the rotor mast. When he had taken
the antenna down, he simply took the fiberglass elements out of
the boom support tubes and zip tied the elements and wire to the
boom sections.
Upon buying the beam I called and requested the wire lengths of
the elements as I had planned to replace them with a product called
Flex Weave. A #14 168 strand jacketed wire so after building the
beam and getting it into place I wouldn't have to take it down for
some time. HERE comes the kick in the ass about Signal Engineering;
they have a answering machine that answers there business phone.
They will return the call as soon as they find the message, BUT they
will not under any circumstances give out the measurements of the
wire elements even to owners of their product. I even went as far
as to tell them I had the assembly instructions in front of me and would
quote to them from the manual to prove that I owned their product.

I am having to separately straighten each element and measure it to
find the lengths. I called today (12-5-'00) the firm of Cubex the manu-
facturers of the most famous amateur quad antenna. Their tech support
representative was most helpful in furnishing the K factor multiplier to
facilitate the conversion to jacketed material and told me that the difference
between #13 solid and #14 multi-stranded wire wasn't even worth the
effort to figure out.
To summarize the long of the story, Cubex one of the worlds best known
quad builders is producing a 4 element quad for about $20 dollars higher
than what Signal Engineering is offer theirs for. And Cubex is working on
a 6 element now. It should come in at slightly higher than what Signal
Engineering is currently asking for the White Lightning 6 for. Cubex uses
#10 wire and tailors their beam for the area that is going to be put up in.
They will even substitute Flex Weave at an additional charge upon request.
And they use a standard 3" boom for their antennas. And they are built
like a tank. SO IF YOU ARE LOOKING FOR A HEADACHE, BUY
SIGNAL ENGINEERING!! IF YOU ARE GOING TO BUY A QUAD
TO ENHANCE YOUR SIGNAL, BUY CUBEX, BUILD IT, PUT IT
UP AND FORGET IT!!

762. Re: all n8wwm wants for christmas.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001206150555.23494.00003149@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 62178

dont forget to come back next week and check out his new years ' resolutions

763. Re: all n8wwm wants for christmas.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001206152146.28490.00003295@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 62179

You forgot the modjee box to push the big amp...

764. Re: Dave and Debbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001206152325.28490.00003296@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 62180

>Subject: Dave and Debbie
>From: elmerpee@my-deja.com
>Date: 12/6/2000 11:04 AM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <90lo2gcrq1@nnrp1.deja.com>

See what I mean about CBers

>I wish you a merry christmas and hope that SANTA bring you a electric
>cord so you can put one end in Debbie Cunt we know it big enoulf it
>like her mouth and the other end up Dave ass and plug it in.Gee you
>both might get a big thrill out of some thing than each other and just
>thing Debbie will be putting out all over the world instead of your
>town and she might make it big with the bitch with the big cunt and
>will never have to sale her self again on the sidewalks or walk the
>streets too sale her nasty big fat ass body.Thats All i Got To Say
>About That.
>
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.
>
>
>

765. Re: all n8wwm wants for christmas.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001206152525.28490.00003297@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 62181

Didja notice the chicken boy is running a disguise...?

Lame as usual...Boy, there's grown men on here...go on back out on the porch and play with your puppies.

766. Re: (FS) Dave Made 5Pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: ONE37 <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t2t91sg4d1vn0f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62182

> So I believe like you fine island folks say. Oloha and have a real nice
day.
>

I do believe it's "Aloha". WTF is "OLOHA?"

--

"...reality is a frame of mind. stupidity is a state of mind"

-remove NOSPAM from address to reply-

767. Re: (FS) Dave Made 5Pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mod Squad <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <dxxX5.15108$i4.47480@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 62183

bubblepuppy 925 john is not my friend. I tried to help you to get your money back but you both were sending bad e-mails to each other so I assumed you didn't need my help. By the way 925 is back home in San Jose, Cal. I told you he wasn't from Hawaii an Hawaiian would never rip you off.
Seasons Greetings

<bubblepuppy73@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:90llvg$b3h$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <BHhX5.14854$i4.41901@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>,
> "Mod Squad" <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote:
> > The only reason you don't have your 16pill is because you both didn't
> tell
> > me the truth and i felt you deserve each other. Son i let it be.
> Aloha
> >
> > <bubblepuppy73@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> > news:90jve4$1hp$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > > In article <01c05f00$25d61640$ee686f40@eniac>,
> > > "Bill Eitner" <kd6tas@netzero.net> wrote:
> > > > Watch out for ads like this. I just got ripped off to
> > > > the tune of $400 by some guy in Arkansas that used a
> > > > screen name and was advertising a Dave 5-pill for $300.
> > > > To get my money he upped the ante to a Galaxy 99 and
> > > > the 5-pill for $400. And now, on top of that, I get
> > > > crank phone calls from the guy.
> > > > --
> > > > bigmanmoney@my-deja.com wrote in article
> > > > <90c9mj$4rm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> > > > > Dave Made 5pill with side band for sale. The box is in good
> shape.
> > > > > Asking $300.00 you pay the shipping.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > > > Before you buy.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > > Hi Bill. I read your post and just had to throw my comments in. I
> sent
> > > a guy in Hawaii $750.00 for a 16 pill box and after all the
> bullshit,
> > > and lies , you guessed it , I got screwed. Then on top of all that

> some
> > > of the cber's over there said they would help me. Nothing ever
> > > happened. So my ass still hurts from that one. Needless to say I
> will
> > > not ever send anyone money over the internet unless I cma first.
> > > Anyways, no offense but I definitely feel your pain.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > Before you buy.
> >
> >
>
> Friend, the only reason I didn't get that silly box is because the guy
> didn't send it. Now what part of that do you not understand. Son I am
> 50 years old and have been doing radio for about twenty some odd years
> and I really don't have time for games. So if anyone deserves someone
> it is you who deserve your homeboy. Like I told you amigo, if I lived
> over there, we would not be having this conversation. So I believe like
> you fine island folks say. Oloha and have a real nice day.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

768. Re: (FS) Dave Made 5Pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: ONE37 <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t2t9bfndhvt276@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62184

> Hey man, not trying to get in your business or anything, but I wouldn't
> deal with those people , they are a bunch of crooks. Aloha!

I beg your pardon??? For being 50 years old, its obvious you haven't been around radio to meet people long enough have you? "bubbles"? I wouldn't deal with people like you up there in that 'Bay Area' if it wasn't for Hamm4fun, Bill Eitner, Recoil, 765 and the rest of the CB crew. Hold your comments to yourself. You never know. It might turn around and bite you in the but again.

--

"...reality is a frame of mind. stupidity is a state of mind"

-remove NOSPAM from address to reply-

769. Find Yourself Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2EA759.49DF41EC@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62185

I think everyone can find a befitting label:

http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame1.html

770. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave <2dresq@iwvisp.com>
Message-ID: <t2t9snp716v836@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62186

"JR" <jr@some.net> wrote in message news:3A2E5312.6DC136A0@some.net...
>
>
> CitySlicker wrote:
>
> >
> > Emergencies can be handled by anyone with a radio It doesn't take a
> > license!!
>
> Oh really? The last thing emergency officials want is a bunch of untrained
> idiots running around getting in the way trying to be radio heroes, and
that
> applies to hams or cbers. It may not take a license, but it does take
training.
> An there are programs in ham radio to train for emergencies.
>

So what kind of training do you need, JR? I'm a reserve officer here near my QTH and I have no idea what kind of training you will need to report, conduct or augment an emergency. When relaying information during an emergency, thats all you do. Report the facts. Any simpler than that, the average Ham would go crazy. Thats why I can't understand the simple fact the ARRL has a training program for it. But... who's to say? Maybe some Emergency nets are complicated in a sense...

Dave

771. Re: Dave and Debbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave <2dresq@iwvisp.com>
Message-ID: <t2ta4obo1en66f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62187

Actually, he's a Ham.

Dave

772. Re: Vs: A sum up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: heardallthat@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001206155938.15071.00001192@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Source record: 62188

>
>you better go to fcc.gov or rainreport.com
>the bust have been comming for sometime. ilegal hams havent been safe for a
>while
>>
>>They are gonna see it pretty soon. When the busts start coming down!
>>
>

Illegal hams and cb guys better watch it. it looks like they are out to get all of em. I think the CB people here that talk about illegal ham guys are whistling as they walk past the grave yard, though. All of you are dreaming, IMHO.

773. Re: 1mangang@slapnutzonN8schin.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90ma5g$tn7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62189

In article <20001206005641.22207.00003447@ng-da1.aol.com>,
n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> >Subject: Re: N8WWM is Gilligan?
> >From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
> >Date: 12/5/2000 21:15 PM Eastern Standard Time
> >Message-id: <90k7f5$7rv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
> >
> >In article <20001205201923.21537.00001519@ng-fl1.aol.com>,
> > n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> >> Well, thats mighty interesting. Seeing as how my nose in my dogs butt
> >couldnt come up with
> >> a good lick, they had to doctor him up to let me get mine. I love it.
> >>
> >> I came...thanks to my doggie for letting playing though...
> >>
> >> ahhhh!
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> who are 'they'
> >
> >
> >Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> >Before you buy.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> yep! see what I mean! I just always > make references of homososexual >innuendo like
nature.
>
>
>
>
>no I dont see what you mean all I see is >a tiny little chicken hawk who is >letting out
his Freudian slips >pertaining to sexual activity of your >own gender over and over
concerning your >fantasies go to rec.homo.limpwrist.com >and get your jollies little man
youre a >pimple that hasnt been squashed YET >thats all sissie boy

>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

774. Re: FOR SALE:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dave <2dresq@iwvisp.com>
Message-ID: <t2tabr2v5m3db0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62190

> Hey David when did you get out of JAIL for Stealing off others takeing
> there money and not sending what they got.YOU FUCKING SO
> EVERYONE NEED TOO STAY FROM THIS BAD DUDE HE BEEN AROUND SLICK-WILLIE
> TOO LONG

Good Golly! Are you Filipino, elmer? I hope you don't converse like you type on the radio...

Dave

775. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90mbed$d6v$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 62191
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Thank you for reminding me, I checked and it was time to renew my membership
in ARRL.

With out them it is unlikely we would have any band or privileges to
complain about.

> "Wouff Hong" <no-ARRL@all.com> wrote in message
> news:Rotten_radio_League@arrl...
> > The ARRL, America's Rotten Radio League, screwed us over a year ago.
> Cancel
> > your membership before they screw you again. The ARRL board of directors
> > wants to turn amateur radio into CB! If you cancel your membership the
> only
> > people the ARRL board of directors will be able to screw is each other.
> Just
> > wait, 13 months from now the CW bands will shrink, and CW testing will
be
> > ended. Thank you ARRL for turning our ham bands into SHIT!
> >
>
>

776. Re: Signal Engineering - !!RULES!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: ramirez327948198@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001206164351.04607.00003265@ng-cm1.aol.com>
Source record: 62192

A Simple Two Element 10 Meter Quad
http://www.cebik.com/ant21.html

777. Secret messages to N8wwm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001206165350.20924.00004044@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 62193

Hey fellas...I am quaking in my boots...NOT! Now this is some funny stuff.
You are getting better, but still a buncha little sissies compared to me.

Subj: Re: rec.radio.cb
Date: 12/6/2000 16:29:38 PM Eastern Standard Time
From: Deleted in case person is legit
To: N8wwm

The thugs in rec.radio.cb are very upset. They have totally lost control of
who says what in the ng. Rumor is that they have looked for a way to shut you
down through the arrl and the fcc or your employer but the problem is they need
to remain anonymous and not reveal their identity so as a result no one will
take them seriously so they are going to try and do some kind of character
assasination, e.g. mass mail info that you're gay, a child molester,
pornographer, etc. to every newsgroup on the web.

778. Yesterdays secret message to N8wwm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001206165551.20924.00004045@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 62194

This one came in yesterday...Laughed my ass off.

Subj: rec.radio.cb
Date: 12/5/2000 16:09:05 PM Eastern Standard Time
From: Deleted in case guy is legit
To: N8wwm

For a long time, a handful of career criminals in rec.radio.cb have "run" the newsgroup.

Criticism of "illegal cb" has not been permitted. Anyone taking issue with illegal practices is shouted down and run off.

But you seem have broken their backs.

The usual strategy is to torpedo anybody who's opinion they don't like. They publish the offender's name, home/work addresses, telephone numbers and usenet posting history.

But it didn't work with you. You're still there.

Next they will go after your ISP.

YOUR CONFIDENTIAL INFORMER

779. N8WWM's opinion on the secret messages.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001206165800.20924.00004046@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 62195

Sounds like a troll to me. In any case it is a real hoot.

Lame, lame, lame....Thanks for playing, though.

780. 1mangang@slapnutzonN8schin.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001206165905.20924.00004048@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 62196

lame, lame lame

LOL

781. Please help this gentleman with his request.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: thepolishdude@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90mdlv$t1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62197
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.family.radio

From: "medlad" <medlad@email.msn.com>
Date: 12/6/00 9:44AM
Subject: scanner
Can you talk with your chat room scanner buddies and have them send any information on Okaloosa County, Fort Walton Beach area frequencies..... You can give them my e-mail address and let them send the info directly to me... let me know if there are any questions.. The big question is that my brother is wondering if Fort Walton Beach went trunking or not...

Also, if you could; please send this guy any and all frequencies for all the municipalities in Okaloosa County-Florida and surrounding counties. If not the frequencies then at least the web page addresses.

Thanks a zillion, people

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

782. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Miles Davis <MDD327@AOL.COM>
Message-ID: <90kvn3$b2c$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
Source record: 62214
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Thats right, feed on each other!

"Wouff Hong" <no-ARRL@all.com> wrote in message
news:Rotten_radio_League@arrl...
> The ARRL, America's Rotten Radio League, screwed us over a year ago.
Cancel
> your membership before they screw you again. The ARRL board of directors
> wants to turn amateur radio into CB! If you cancel your membership the
only
> people the ARRL board of directors will be able to screw is each other.
Just
> wait, 13 months from now the CW bands will shrink, and CW testing will be
> ended. Thank you ARRL for turning our ham bands into SHIT!
>

783. Re: For sale Blackcat JB 150 & Blackcat JB 2000 $500 plus shipping.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <90l10p$c6t4$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 62215

Now he runs a All Mighty Bo Jangles......

KRS

Northwest Corner <RevDoGood@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:16024-3A2DA4C6-12@storefull-235.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> The amps were owned by thirty-forty of Georgia both have been worked
> over completely new tubes and all.Collectors item for a person who knew
> that black cat use to be the best.Give me a email if you ae looking for
> power.
>

784. Re: WHAT THE HELL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <90l153$3eq4$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 62216

<typo> should have said,"gost roger."

KRS

Mod Squad <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message
news:oPhX5.14856$i4.41892@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com...
> I spend more time live on the superbowl getting my rogers something most
of
> you can't do. Aloha
>
>
> "TJ1072" <tj1072@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20001205214029.10146.00002061@ng-md1.aol.com...
> > What happened to all the good cb argueing out here on the ole rec.cb
> group? Or
> > should we now call it the rec.hamgeek group? Whats with all the stupid
ass
> ham
> > crap? So the local police have authority with high power cb now....Big
> frickin
> > deal!!!!... Let these cops start arguin with guys like CD and Wild Bill.
> Now
> > these are some REAL cb Defenders!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> >
> > 937...chicago...gettin off this maul so professor can talk!!!!
>
>

785. Re: Newbie Q about 'deadkey' and 'swing' .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <90l20o$22io$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 62217

Major Tom is Major true, I have tested this in DX. I throw a big DC and barley get my name called at times, when I back off on the carrier and let her "swing batta batta" forward and my signal cuts right threw the trash. Down fall is as Tom mentioned, contributes to the enspandig envelope and harmonics. How to test this is put some ol rabbit ear antenna on the TV set at home and try the different carrier while monitoring channel???I forget which channel.

KRS

Major Tom <ground@control.com> wrote in message news:ufVW5.18$474.51413@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
>
> "Skipp" <skipp@pilot.ucdavis.edu> wrote in message > As you probably have
> read, an unmodulated radio signal in the AM mode
> > produces as steady "carrier." That refers to the slang "dead key."
> >
> > CB Band Radios and Amplifiers are often built or operated so the carrier
> > power moves upward from the starting position when you add modulation
> > (voice) to the carrier. The change upward during modulation is refered to
> > as "swing."
> >
> > By nature, amplifiers that swing are very dirty (non-linear) in operation.
> > They cause a lot of interference to nearby frequencies. Many operators are
> > more impressed with the rising modulated signal on a power/s-meter than
> > they are with a clean loud signal, hence ... amplifiers which "swing"
> > (often refered to as modulators) are often heard in use.
>
>
> > In electronic terms, the swing one might obtain is producing a lot of
> > distortion to the original signal. You might hear it as a fuzzy edge on
> > the audio of the source station. It actually ends up cutting back on the
> > useful signal which may be heard short or long distances. I will admit
> > it's an impressive sight to watch on a meter, but not as impressive as a
> > clean loud signal of a properly operated station.
> ==
> I disagree, a recieveing station will hear the station with the louder
> modulation clean or not, a station that keys up at 100 and swings to 200
> will not produce any fuzzy distortion. It is not impressive to hear a
> station that keys up at 200 and swings back to 100, the more backswing the
> more compressed the audio sounds, the less effective range as well. want
> some proof at the cb keydowns the station that swings forward usually will

> beat a station that swings backwards ..Proof enough. It is called real
world
> theory other than book theory.
>
>
>
>
> >
> > Hope that helps
> >
> > cheers
> > skipp@pilot.ucdavis.edu
> > http://sonic.ucdavis.edu home of the updated Siltronix web page.
> >
>
>

786. Re: (FS) Dave Made 5Pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: ONE37 <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t2s73pmk27te99@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62218

Yo Bill? Ease up... Its not you to take things personal. Big Money is an alright guy. He's not some of the losers here who bitch and complain all the time. Alrighty? Take care Bill...

137

--

"...reality is a frame of mind. stupidity is a state of mind"

-remove NOSPAM from address to reply-
"Bill Eitner" <kd6tas@netzero.net> wrote in message news:01c05f56$242c8ee0$f4766f40@eniac...
> Bye bye faceless loser. None of us give a shit about your lonely
> cry that is nothing but the ploy you use to rip people off.
>
> FUCK YOU
> --
> bigmanmoney@my-deja.com wrote in article <90kfn5$e4q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> > Well gang you guy's are right I would be hesitant also to send money to
> > someone that I don't even know but this is the chance we all take. Also
> > that wasn't me that posted my 5pill on the other web site this would be
> > the first time posting it. Bubblepuppy this is for you I gave you all
> > the information that I could get. John doesn't come around as often as
> > he used to before but the next time I see him I will see what the hell
> > happened because he is the one that put's hesitation on everyones mind
> > when us Mini Grass guy's want to sell something. We shouldn't have to
> > suffer because of his selfishness and his stupidity but you should've
> > gotten more information from him before giving a STRANGER $750.00. But
> > I felt that I would try to sell my Dave Made on up in here but I guess
> > I gotta try and sell it locally. No Problem all of you have valid
> > concern's. ALOHA From $$$BIG MONEY$$$ In The Mini Grass One more
> > thing if you guy's here me on the bowl jump in and say
> > WAAAAASSSSSSAAAABBBIIII
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >

787. Re: Newbie Q about 'deadkey' and 'swing' .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <dlpX5.832$V6.153612@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 62219

"G SEVEN" <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:90l20o$22io$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> Major Tom is Major true, I have tested this in DX. I throw a big DC and
> barley get my name called at times, when I back off on the carrier and let
> her "swing batta batta" forward and my signal cuts right threw the trash.
> Down fall is as Tom mentioned, contributes to the enspandig envelope and
> harmonics. How to test this is put some ol rabbit ear antenna on the TV
set
> at home and try the different carrier while monitoring channel???I forget
> which channel.
>
> KRS

Anything losing power output under modulation has a major problem, period.
This in no way guarantees that upswing is clean or effective.

The Channel 2 pix carrier is at 56.25 the Channel 5 one at 77.25 and Channel
6 at 83.25 will reveal most harmonics assuming your set will work in a dead
channel. Video bandwidth extends at least 1.25 MHz either way.

788. Re: (FS) Dave Made 5Pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: RevDoGood@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24125-3A2E22D3-2@storefull-237.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62220

$300 is too much for a 5 pill used big money if you would accept $230 i would buy it i need to see a picture.

789. Re: WHAT THE HELL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mod Squad <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <nvoX5.15028$i4.45866@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 62221

I know how u feel your 16 pill on a 10 element beam can't deal with my 8 pill mobile shame on the mess

"G SEVEN" <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:90l153$3eq4$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> <typo> should have said,"gost roger."
>
> KRS
>
> Mod Squad <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:oPhX5.14856$i4.41892@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com...
> > I spend more time live on the superbowl getting my rogers something most
> of
> > you can't do. Aloha
> >
> >
> > "TJ1072" <tj1072@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20001205214029.10146.00002061@ng-md1.aol.com...
> > > What happened to all the good cb argueing out here on the ole rec.cb
> > group? Or
> > > should we now call it the rec.hamgeek group? Whats with all the stupid
> ass
> > ham
> > > crap? So the local police have authority with high power cb now....Big
> > frickin
> > > deal!!!!... Let these cops start arguin with guys like CD and Wild
Bill.
> > Now
> > > these are some REAL cb Defenders!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > >
> > >
> > > 937...chicago...gettin off this maul so professor can talk!!!!
> >
> >
>
>

790. Re: (FS) Dave Made 5Pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mod Squad <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <8HoX5.15031$i4.45958@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 62222

Bill i tried to help bubblepuppy to get his 750.00 or box but they kept fighting on the newsgroup so i just backed out of it. Bill should i be penalized for trying.

"Bill Eitner" <kd6tas@netzero.net> wrote in message news:01c05f55$64dae5a0$f4766f40@eniac...
> Yea, yea. Spend the $750 and then talk like a big man
> because no one can catch you. If you really did this
> you're the biggest piece of shit here.
> --
> Mod Squad <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in article
> <BHhX5.14854$i4.41901@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>...
> > The only reason you don't have your 16pill is because you both didn't
> tell
> > me the truth and i felt you deserve each other. Son i let it be.
> Aloha
> >
> > <bubblepuppy73@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> > news:90jve4$1hp$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > > In article <01c05f00$25d61640$ee686f40@eniac>,
> > > "Bill Eitner" <kd6tas@netzero.net> wrote:
> > > > Watch out for ads like this. I just got ripped off to
> > > > the tune of $400 by some guy in Arkansas that used a
> > > > screen name and was advertising a Dave 5-pill for $300.
> > > > To get my money he upped the ante to a Galaxy 99 and
> > > > the 5-pill for $400. And now, on top of that, I get
> > > > crank phone calls from the guy.
> > > > --
> > > > bigmanmoney@my-deja.com wrote in article
> > > > <90c9mj$4rm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> > > > > Dave Made 5pill with side band for sale. The box is in good shape.
> > > > > Asking $300.00 you pay the shipping.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > > > Before you buy.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Hi Bill. I read your post and just had to throw my comments in. I sent
> > > > a guy in Hawaii $750.00 for a 16 pill box and after all the bullshit,
> > > > and lies , you guessed it , I got screwed. Then on top of all that
some

> > > of the cber's over there said they would help me. Nothing ever
> > > happened. So my ass still hurts from that one. Needless to say I will
> > > not ever send anyone money over the internet unless I cma first.
> > > Anyways, no offense but I definitely feel your pain.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > Before you buy.
> >
> >
> >

791. Re: Speech Processor Update...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Professor <bgriffey@kwom.com>
Message-ID: <UPpX5.21466$PX1.236625@e420r-sjo3.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62223

Hey... you got a new Maytag box huh. Good for you. Maybe you can put some shingles on this one and make it last longer!

--

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
"Professor"
http://personal.kwom.com/bgriffey/
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"The Landshark" <s3buell@pacbell.net> wrote in message news:KzkX5.571$cp2.192064@news.pacbell.net...
> Oh Brian, if I'm a twit, well then you should
> take lessons. I been a little busy buying my
> third home and haven't had much time to play.
> But if you like, come out here and I'll rent
> you one of my homes as a section 8 housing. I'm
> sure you qualify quite easily.

792. Re: WHAT THE HELL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mod Squad <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <x7pX5.15033$i4.46077@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 62224

937 you always sound good in honolulu happy holidays right back

"TJ1072" <tj1072@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001206013433.07539.00006715@ng-cs1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: WHAT THE HELL???
> >From: "Mod Squad" modsquad@hawaii.rr.com
> >Date: 12/5/00 8:49 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <oPhX5.14856$i4.41892@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
>
> >I spend more time live on the superbowl getting my rogers something most
of
> >you can't do. Aloha
>
>
>
> Oh thats ok mod...I've gotten your roger plenty of times...put it on ya
later
> mod..happy upcoming holidays...
>
> 937....chicago

793. GALAXY SATURN FOR SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: jojo <maranatha@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <y6qX5.37949$M51.13336218@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 62225

http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/46198629
getting out of radio. I am a marked man.

794. Anyone Knowledgeable about FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "ALEX KC0AK)" <alexjent@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <90lbe6$5t4a$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 62226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

I need to know what the "real" difference is between the "two for $80"
models and "$80 each models". All seem to say 2 mile range but what about
interference etc.

Anyone have any definitive experience?

--
Thanks,
Alex

795. Vs: A sum up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Gary <gary@larry.hi>
Message-ID: <90l9ucdfs1@news.koti.tpo.fi>
Source record: 62227

Tim, that's the fine line between ham radio and your type of CB radio. I don't expect you to understand it. Sorry to say. Many hams are capable of coming to the CB channels with their ham setups, but a good operator won't do so. And the bad ones are freebanders like yourself. I'm proud of my call - no need to fool around on illegal freqs. Gary

796. Re: FOR SALE:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Train <b.h@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <bLqX5.472$zb.30621@typhoon1.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 62228

I'm not too sure I'd buy ANYTHING from a guy maned David in Kentucky.

"David" <Bargains4You@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:17482-3A2AAAA6-8@storefull-154.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> COBRA HANDHELD CB RADIO 40 CHANNEL WTH FULL 4 WATTS RF POWER PLUS MUCH
> MORE RETAILS FOR $89.95 NOW FOR SALE FROM THE FACTORY FOR ONLY $37.95
> SEND CHECK OR MONEY ORDER TO
> DAVID LOCKHART
> P.O. BOX 205
> KENVIR,KY 40847
>

797. Re: Anyone Knowledgeable about FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Keeling <insgeeks@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <90ldv2$v5m$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 62229
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

You might also try this question at:

alt.radio.family

Jim Keeling
AB&#65533;HI

ALEX KC0AK) <alexjent@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:90lbe6$5t4a$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> I need to know what the "real" difference is between the "two for $80"
> models and "$80 each models". All seem to say 2 mile range but what
about
> interference etc.
>
> Anyone have any definitive experience?
>
> --
> Thanks,
> Alex
>
>

798. Re: Signal Engineering - !!WARNING!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A2E1597.462877F@qnet.com>
Source record: 62230

Hello American Eagle 360:

I think I talked to you yesterday, about a Moonraker 4 Beam Antenna, you where talking about the bandwidth of the vertical as compared to the horizontal sides of the Moonraker 4 Bean Antenna.

Anyway, I can see where Signal engineering doesn't want their Quad dimensions out in public. But they should be able to offer to cut and identify the wire for you, I would think its worth a try to call them up and ask them for this as a inexpensive customer service. And then suggest to them that they need to offer the better wire as a option upgrade kit for all their antennas loop assemblies. And also offer a high wind boom upgrade reinforcement kit.

The 2 inch diameter mast used by Signal Engineering can be reinforced with a 1 7/8 inch .125 inch wall thickness aluminum tubing, (I believe, I have one in the garage I'll go measure for ya) which will cost close to 3 dollars a foot. I can get a few short pieces to reinforce the boom for you if you want? If not maybe you would like to sell the broken beam?

Didn't you say (if it was you I talked to?) that Cubex made the Hy-Gain 4 element quads for Hy-Gain?

Let me know what your plans on the antenna are.

Jay in the Great Mojave Desert

"Oh Yeah!" wrote:
>
> To Whom it May Concern:
>
> I (American Eagle 360) recently purchased a Signal
> Engineering
> White Lightning 6, from a fellow CBer & Amateur
> that is out of
> work and needed to liquidate some of his unused
> equipment. The
> reason he wasn't using it, he had built a flat
> side yagi because of
> his location is VERY windy. He had several of the
> #13 solid
> copper elements vibrate until they broke and one
> occasion his
> 2" boom literally bent around the rotor mast. When
> he had taken

> the antenna down, he simply took the fiberglass
> elements out of
> the boom support tubes and zip tied the elements
> and wire to the
> boom sections.
> Upon buying the beam I called and requested the
> wire lengths of
> the elements as I had planned to replace them with
> a product called
> Flex Weave. A #14 168 strand jacketed wire so
> after building the
> beam and getting it into place I wouldn't have to
> take it down for
> some time. HERE comes the kick in the ass about
> Signal Engineering;
> they have a answering machine that answers there
> business phone.
> They will return the call as soon as they find the
> message, BUT they
> will not under any circumstances give out the
> measurements of the
> wire elements even to owners of their product. I
> even went as far
> as to tell them I had the assembly instructions in
> front of me and would
> quote to them from the manual to prove that I
> owned their product.
> I am having to separately straighten each element
> and measure it to
> find the lengths. I called today (12-5-'00) the
> firm of Cubex the manu-
> facturers of the most famous amateur quad antenna.
> Their tech support
> representative was most helpful in furnishing the
> K factor multiplier to
> facilitate the conversion to jacketed material and
> told me that the difference
> between #13 solid and #14 multi-stranded wire
> wasn't even worth the
> effort to figure out.
> To summarize the long of the story, Cubex one of
> the worlds best known
> quad builders is producing a 4 element quad for
> about $20 dollars higher
> than what Signal Engineering is offer theirs for.
> And Cubex is working on
> a 6 element now. It should come in at slightly
> higher than what Signal
> Engineering is currently asking for the White
> Lightning 6 for. Cubex uses

> #10 wire and tailors their beam for the area that
> is going to be put up in.
> They will even substitute Flex Weave at an
> additional charge upon request.
> And they use a standard 3" boom for their
> antennas. And they are built
> like a tank. SO IF YOU ARE LOOKING FOR A HEADACHE,
> BUY
> SIGNAL ENGINEERING!! IF YOU ARE GOING TO BUY A
> QUAD
> TO ENHANCE YOUR SIGNAL, BUY CUBEX, BUILD IT, PUT
> IT
> UP AND FORGET IT!!

799. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Joe Moraine <w5lx@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3A2E41DA.CE75E894@flash.net>
Source record: 62231
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Thanks for the reminder. I will send in my renewal dues for next year today.
Thank God, we've at least got the ARRL to stand up for us.
Joe Moraine, W5LX (Notice I signed my name!)
==========

Wouff Hong wrote:

> The ARRL, America's Rotten Radio League, screwed us over a year ago. Cancel
> your membership before they screw you again. The ARRL board of directors
> wants to turn amateur radio into CB! If you cancel your membership the only
> people the ARRL board of directors will be able to screw is each other. Just
> wait, 13 months from now the CW bands will shrink, and CW testing will be
> ended. Thank you ARRL for turning our ham bands into SHIT!

800. Re: 27.555 USB is Officially...lost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001206084431.20864.00003826@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 62232

last time i checked there were about 5000 AT members worldwide. only a idiot would sit on 1 freq like 27555 and expect the dx to come to him all day. a real dx'er uses his vfo. your a troll, and i highly doubt your a real AT member.

>
>Looks like the boys in Phoenix have won the battle and the war. 27.555 is no
>longer the international call freq and has turned in to the official JAMMING
>freq. We as responcible alfa tango members must realize defeat and try to
>come
>to some type of settlement with those big Phoenix stations or risk loosing
>our
>DX club all together. We must be men enough to realize that the group of 10
>or
>so idividuals in Phoenix that are Jamming the international call freq are
>both
>organized and financed beyond our capabilities. We must negotiate some type
>of
>settlemen or move to a different freq. It has been 5 months now and they only
>grow stronger in both signal strength, and number of stations...as we alfa
>tangos grow weaker and less in stations.
>
>
>
>
>
>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

801. ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: DCLIFF@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29874-3A2E4103-1@bsg-storefull-1112.public.svc.webtv.net>
Source record: 62233

Why is it that so many people think that all CB is good for is to see who they can block out or coverup with their radio signal?CB radio is not a game or contest.
It is intended for communications for the general public who might have a need for such.Seems here lately that people cannot use the radio in its intended manner without someone trying to cut them off.We refer to it as "Stepping in someones pile".
I suppose it will never get back to being what it was designed and intended to be but I can still wish. Thanks for reading and Have a Merry Christmas.

Dennis in Ga.

802. Re: 27.555 USB is Officially...lost..Except in the 'pile!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2410-3A2E3FFC-11@storefull-127.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62234

Sorry about your luck, Mark! Iowa IS a long way from the 'pile! He was loud back around January but he's fell to the big strappers since then...sometimes I can hear him...but he's weak! UK and Ireland are in control of my receive around those freqs. since the first snowfall in the NE!

803. Re: (FS) Dave Made 5Pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001206084857.20864.00003831@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 62235

bill, go sit down in the corner somewhere and take your medicine. it will be all right.

>Yea, yea. Spend the $750 and then talk like a big man
>because no one can catch you. If you really did this
>you're the biggest piece of shit here.

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

804. Re: Machine-Gun like noise on 27.960 lsb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001206085026.20864.00003832@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 62236

weve never been too concerned about the queen on this side of the pond.

>PS: Stop shredding the English language - the Queen would roll over in
>her grave if she were dead!

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

805. Re: 45 meters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001206085309.20864.00003833@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 62237

i here quite a bit of traffic out of NY on 6577

>
>Fred...
> Most of the transatlantic flight stuff I've monitored in in the 8mhz
>band... seem to remember it being around 8900 + or -.

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

806. Re: Vs: A sum up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001206085743.20864.00003834@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 62238

its very fine indeed kari. so fine that the hams feel they have to jump up and down to show you exactly where that line is because the general public will never see it and that really hurts your types pride becayse your not getting the respect you want for passing those silly little test and for some odd reason those that are jumping up the loudest are usually the ones that cannot even pass all the test ie. no code techs.

>
>Tim, that's the fine line between ham radio and your type of CB radio. I
>don't expect you to understand it. Sorry to say. Many hams are capable of
>coming to the CB channels with their ham setups, but a good operator won't
>do so. And the bad ones are freebanders like yourself. I'm proud of my
>call - no need to fool around on illegal freqs. Gary
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

807. Re: Signal Engineering - !!WARNING!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: gyoung2209@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001206085914.01679.00000933@ng-mc1.aol.com>
Source record: 62239

hi i have a 6 element sig eng beam on the ground right now with a broken wire
the ant did not do what i thought it should not very goob on vert for talking
ground waves if you still need those wire lenght let me know dont no when or
if i will put it back good luck merry christmas

808. Re: ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <tIrX5.4958$T43.390786@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 62240

Dennis, don't feel too bad. Their are some amateurs who act the same way. Come to think of it, there are some drivers who act the same way. Their road. A friend of mine had a number of CB walkies on a construction job and had some idiot trying to tell him to get those things off of CB, it wasn't designed for business. I wonder what the joker thought it WAS designed for. A small minority enjoy causing headaches for as many folks as possible. If enforcement does start taking place in larger numbers (and that is an iffy proposition, at best), it will be the larger stations (the killer-watters). Regardless, let's hope things quiet down a bit and everyone can enjoy their radio. I know one of my co-workers is using a 50 watt amp in his truck - not a lot, just enough so he can talk locally for 8 or 10 miles or so without getting stepped on by general interference during the day.

73s from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Dennis Lassetter" <DCLIFF@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:29874-3A2E4103-1@bsg-storefull-1112.public.svc.webtv.net...
> Why is it that so many people think that all CB is good for is to see
> who they can block out or coverup with their radio signal?CB radio is
> not a game or contest.
> It is intended for communications for the general public who might have
> a need for such.Seems here lately that people cannot use the radio in
> its intended manner without someone trying to cut them off.We refer to
> it as "Stepping in someones pile".
> I suppose it will never get back to being what it was designed and
> intended to be but I can still wish. Thanks for reading and Have a Merry
> Christmas.
>
> Dennis in Ga.
>

809. Re: Vs: A sum up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001206090645.04712.00000832@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 62241

>its very fine indeed kari. so fine that the hams feel they have to jump up
>and
>down to show you exactly where that line is because the general public will
>never see it

They are gonna see it pretty soon. When the busts start coming down!

810. Re: hams taking 11 meters back - Dopeler effect

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <90licg$7lj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62242

In article <20001130220031.09170.00001691@ng-cf1.aol.com>,
n8wwm@aol.com (N8wwm) wrote:
> This is the text of the QRZ.com story. I present it without comment.

> I am taking a survey of ham operators across the U.S.
> that are interested as I am, in the possiblity of the FCC
> giving 11m back to the hams.

This is another vivid example of the dopeler effect.

DOPELER EFFECT: The tendency of really stupid ideas to sound
much smarter when they came at you quickly.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
Observation the morning after the contest:

"A computer lets you make more mistakes faster than
any invention in human history -- with the possible
exception of a quart of tequila and a borrowed
Vibroplex key." -K0HB

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

811. Re: (FS) Dave Made 5Pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001206091023.04712.00000833@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 62243

>Watch out for ads like this. I just got ripped off to
>> the tune of $400 by some guy in Arkansas that used a
>> screen name and was advertising a Dave 5-pill for $300.
>>

Cool!!!!! Anyone buying illegal equipment has no recourse. LOL...I love it when crooks get burned.

812. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <gvhs2tgm9jga9latu823m5111d675nkl7u@4ax.com>
Source record: 62244

Greetings!

In a basic sense, accountability.

In relation to the Amateur community at large, saying your stations
call sign out on the air, is accountability, let alone identification.

In the CB realm, the above effort is a lost cause. Many don't have a
clue as to what the word means to them.

On Wed, 06 Dec 2000 00:10:06 -0500, while the modem was pondering it's
navel, Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> dissertated the
following:

:+> regulations are there to allow for the use of radio by the maximum # of
:+> people. If there were no regulations, there would be chaos and the
:+> spectrum would be unusable due to interference and encroachment of un-
:+> trained people onto emergency frequencies. No need for that? How would
:+> you like to be on an airliner whose navigation and comm radios were
:+> jammed by anybody and everybody who just wanted to talk to that thar
:+> aero-plane. The regulations are quite up to date, fill a need, and must
:+> be enforced to ensure that commerce, telephone traffic, AND hobby com-
:+> munications are protected from interference.
:+>
:+> My guess is they are trying to rid themselves of the enforcement burden
:+> as a
:+> > feel good gesture to the Ham community.
:+>
:+> NOT! It came about because of people (not hams) complaining to their
:+> Congressmen about CBers coming in on their TVs and church PA systems.
:+> FCC has shortsightedly been deprived of the funds to adequately do the
:+> job, so when said Congress members got no response from FCC, certain
:+> ones sponsored and, finally, got it passed. Hams had nothing to do
:+> with it.
:+>
:+>
:+> But there were plenty of Hams
:+> > supporting it. Why?, I am not sure just another meaningless crusade for a dinosaur on
its way to extinction.
:+>
:+> Sorry, but you are misinformed. Amateur Radio is alive and well. You
:+> cannot base your conclusions and generalities on what you hear within
:+> the CB community alone. I am not trying to be mean or flame you. But,
:+> the truth is, that it is the Amateurs who are called upon to provide
:+> backup communications in disasters such as fire, flood, hurricane, earth
:+> quake because of their training and proven professionalism under adverse

:+> conditions. NOT REACT, not CBers, but those hams you hate so much.
:+> Even disasters at sea often involve ham radio such as the Maritime Mo-
:+> bile Service Net on 20 meters. Remember the story about the young Dutch
:+> boy who was shot by pirates, or robbers and spent much time in a US
:+> hospital recovering? It was those "dinosaurs", as you call them, that
:+> got them help. Not CBers. You are merely misinformed about the basis,
:+> purpose, and proven value of the Amateur Service. All you have heard
:+> about are the "bad" hams. and you can go to www.rainreport.com to read
:+> about what's being done about them. Amateur Radio will not go away.
:+>
:+>
:+> > Emergencies can be handled by anyone with a radio It doesn't take a
:+> > license!! The fears of Commie,Pinko's invading our country or transmitting
:+> > information via ham radio is a little behind us. That was part of the reason
:+> > for the licensing to begin with. It was a way to try and control the use of
:+> > radios during a war paranoid society.
:+>
:+> Not so. See above. Licensing came about because of so many stations,
:+> both ham and commercial, coming on line that interference got to be a
:+> real problem. Something had to be done to prevent this, provide separ-
:+> ation, and control what operated where. Hence the Communications Act
:+> of 1934. If there were no regulations, people would just revert to
:+> the chaos prior to that Act. Lives would be lost, safety threatened,
:+> and YOU wouldn't even be able to enjoy what you have today.
:+> >
:+>
:+> > If the CB community wanted to take control of the 10 Meter band they have the power
to do it. Now that is a fact.
:+>
:+> Not so. It is that attitude of lawlessness that caused the local en-
:+> forcement thing to come about to start with. It had nothing to do with
:+> hams. It had to do with the disregard for regulations against high
:+> power, modded radios, splattering amps, and operating out of band. Now
:+> that might make people mad because I said that, but it is true. The
:+> 10 meter "Seigfried Line" WILL be defended--you can count on it. To
:+> do more, such as encroach on other non-CB bands, would have the opposite
:+> effect. It might cause Congress to rethink its reduced FCC funding,
:+> restore funds, allow FCC to hire many agents who would then go after
:+> the out-banders with a vengence. Not exactly ideal, huh? But it
:+> *could* happen.
:+>
:+> >
:+> >
:+> > > CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com> wrote:
:+> > >
:+> > > : I suggest that all the CBers with 10 M rigs start using the 10 meter
:+> > band
:+>
:+> All that will do is cause more strife and hard feelings. It would, per-
:+> haps, cause a massive crackdown such as DOT inspections for linears in

:+> trucks, etc, or more laws, or bad stuff like the local enforcement
:+> thing. It wouldn't solve a thing. It'd just make it worse for every-
:+> body.
:+>
:+> 73
:+>
:+> Jerry
:+>
:+> > >

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:
... _
... You know you're a VERSATILE CB'er when... / \
... Your antenna doubles as a fishing rod and / |
... you have the trophies to prove it! 0/ (^)
... |/\ /_\

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

813. Re: n8wwm blocked?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: WildBill <wildbill@hose.com>
Message-ID: <lXrX5.2513$m6.206167@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 62245

YES anything with n8WWM, N8WWM, or any variation whats so hard about that to understand.

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message news:20001204084734.07830.00003243@ng-fy1.aol.com...
> Wrong!

814. Re: Vs: A sum up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001206092500.21074.00003255@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 62246

you better go to fcc.gov or rainreport.com
the bust have been comming for sometime. ilegal hams havent been safe for a
while
>
>They are gonna see it pretty soon. When the busts start coming down!
>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

815. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90lkk6uu1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 62247

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:uu7r2tsaav7rgmaqtric7npav7miv8liu5@4ax.com...
> isotropic antenna by definition is a mathematical model that does
> not change. A dipole can either be in free space, or it can also be in
> close proximity to the ground. The simple term dbd does not
> distinguish between the two of them.
>
>
>
>

816. Re: Are All 102" Steel Whips Equal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A2EB750.B84994AE@oio.net>
Source record: 62298

Paul wrote:
>
> I have been using one I purchased a Rat Shack for years now, but sometimes I see steel
> whips on other vehicles that look more "rigid". I was just wondering if there are
> different brands of these whips, and if so, which brands are thicker and stand up better
> in the wind? Thanks!
>
> Paul

Paul,
I think they're about all the same. I haven't seen
much difference in them.
'Doc

817. Re: Secret messages to N8wwm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <ciet2t8hmiq6eidajms0u8sdbqld7q4nss@4ax.com>
Source record: 62299

snip

>. mass mail info that you're gay, a child molester,
>pornographer, etc. to every newsgroup on the web.

Is this what you want?

818. Davis RF 50OHM LOW LOSS 9913 EQUIV COAX?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alan Martin <chief007@home.com>
Message-ID: <3A2EBD0E.1A8956E9@home.com>
Source record: 62300

Anyone familiar with this coax? My swr recently jumped from 1 to just over 2. I think some moisture might have gotten in and since its only about 20 degrees out side i figure it might have frozen. Does this coax have a history of this or what. Any other Ideas would be welcome.

AL

819. 50 ohm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alan Martin <chief007@home.com>
Message-ID: <3A2EBD43.AE9832A4@home.com>
Source record: 62301

cant type either! guess I got problems

820. Re: Professor huggin N8wwm's Nutz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Major Tom <ground@control.com>
Message-ID: <05zX5.3119$m6.353609@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 62302

```
you are an asshole either way Brian
```

"Professor" <bgriffey@kwom.com> wrote in message
news:TpeX5.9164$PX1.146994@e420r-sjo3.usenetserver.com...
> How do you like that... when you disagree... you're an asshole... when you
> agree... you have found a new lover.
> I tell you... you just can't win here... lol
>
> --
>
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> "Professor"
> http://personal.kwom.com/bgriffey/
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "Mr. Pain ?" <mrpain@keydowns.com> wrote in message
> news:90jc1lfvi1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > Man I think the Professor Found a lover, follow the posts, he sure is
> > up N8wwm's Ass!! Brian , You think he might be able to show you how to
> > build a real 1pill??? NUT HUGGER !!!!!!MR.PAIN?
>
>
>
>
>

821. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Major Tom <ground@control.com>
Message-ID: <U5zX5.3120$m6.353810@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 62303

you are incorrect look at a antenna manual
"MC 10kW Jesus" <qsl27@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3A2D96C6.A2FB5263@hotmail.com...
> I'm no antenna expert, but dBi is not equal to a dipole in free space.
> It is equal to an isotropic antenna. The definition of isotropic is
> -Identical in all directions; invariant with respect to direction.- A
> dipole in free space does not radiated equally in all directions under
> any theoretical condition. A good example of an isotropic antenna is the
> sun. (this is of course if you believe in the wave theory and not the
> particle theory of light <grin>). I think we are all in agreement that
> it radiates its energy equally in all directions.
>
> As for splitting dBd into different groups. This is a bunch of hogwash.
> Antennas are measured in anechoic chambers which are, you guessed it,
> equal to free space. There are no reflections and no reactive components
> to skew results.
>
> MC
>
> tnom@mucks.net wrote:
> >
> > On Mon, 4 Dec 2000 20:05:00 -0500, "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >To site one of many references "the ARRL handbook" 1999 edition page
20.3
> > >
> > > "When the standard is the isotropic
> > > radiator in free space, gain is expressed
> > > in dBi, when the standard is a dipole,
> > > also located in free space, the gain is
> > > expressed in dBd"
> > >
> > >a dipole over normal ground is always referenced to dBi or dBd.
> >
> > Referenced to dbi is a no brainer. Referenced to dbd is not as
> > straight forward.
> >
> > Antennas measured on antenna test ranges can have and are
> > often referenced to another antenna on a test range by the use of
> > the term dbd. In other words an antenna can be measured near the
> > ground and still use the term dbd correctly.
> >
> > Follow this link http://www.cebik.com/dbi.html to get a full

> > explanation. I will quote a section. Begin quote:
> > **********
> > dBd: a warm but fuzzy concept
> >
> > The concept of dBd was formed to capture the gain of an antenna
> > relative to a dipole. A dipole is considered the standard basic
> > horizontal antenna, and comparisons to it seemed to some folks to
> > be more meaningful than comparisons to the isotropic
> > radiator.
> >
> > Unfortunately, the concept of dBd has become a cluster of
> > concepts. Here are some of them. The notation is my own, since
> > few folks are anxious to distinguish the individuals in the cluster.
> >
> > 1. dBd-I: dBd ideal compares the gain of an antenna to an ideal
> > dipole in free space. An ideal dipole uses infinitely thin lossless
> > wire and is resonant at the frequency of interest. In this
> > application, the ideal dipole has a gain of about 2.15 dBi, that is,
> > 2.15 dB over an isotropic radiator. All measurements are thus
> > arithmetically transportable between dBi and dBd-I by adding or
> > subtracting 2.15, as appropriate.
> >
> > dBd-I is of limited utility, since my backyard dipole may have a
> > gain of 7.15 dBi and 5 dBd. Some folks become confused by
> > the idea that a dipole has gain over a dipole. We then have to
> > explain that the real wire dipole has gain over the perfect dipole in
> > free space. That rarely helps a lot. And it does not tell us until we
> > do some arithmetic how much gain some other antenna has
> > over a real dipole.
> >
> > 2. dBd-RM: dBd can be expressed as the gain over a real dipole
> > modeled at the same height as the test antenna. For studies
> > that are strictly modeling investigations, this measure is sometimes
> > useful. However, it requires that we further specify the construction
> > of the dipole in terms of element diameter and element material. Using
> > the same material, dipole gain will vary with element diameter. It
> > will also vary inversely with the loss of the material used for the
> > dipole.
> >
> > Both these constraints apply within the further rule of keeping the
> > dipole resonant. If we make measurements across a ham band, we
> > shall find that the dipole gain varies with frequency unless we
> > reresonate it for each readout frequency. Actually, we are usually
> > more careless than this and take one resonant reading and apply it
> > across the band without checking. And we tend to use fairly loose
> > standards of resonance rather than converging the results. We may
> > more precisely say that an antenna is resonant when the reduction of
> > feedpoint reactance results in no further changes in gain to the
> > number of significant digits that apply to the test.
> >

> > As one more qualification, we should note that dipoles and other
> > antrennas may have different elevation angles of maximum
> > radiation over the same type of ground. When we cite dBd-RM,
> > we must also say whether we are giving the figure for the
> > dipole at its angle of maximum radiation or at the angle chosen for
> > the test antenna. To avoid confusion, it is usually better to
> > give details about the differing elevation patterns.
> >
> > 3. dBd-RR: dBd can be expressed as the gain over a real dipole set
> > in the same position as the test antenna, where both antennas are
> > oriented for maximum gain relative to the far field receiving site of
> > the test range. For fairness, one should specify the construction of
> > the dipole to ensure that the materials are comparable to those of
> > the test antenna.
> >
> > However, there are a number of variables that occur within this way
> > of handling dBd. First, range conditions vary considerably from one
> > site to another. Second, some testers take the average of a number
> > of readings in various directions, while others take readings along a
> > single line defined as the best test line. Third, different ranges may
> > use different test heights. The importance of this factor stems from
> > the fact that many antennas that might be tested have different
> > elevation angles of maximum radiation than a dipole, and this variance
> > may introduce differences in readings as we change test antennas and
> > as we move from range to range with different test antenna heights.
> >
> > Good testing and modeling protocols would specify all of the relevant
> > factors applying to the comparisons involved. We sometimes do find
> > these specifications. Unfortunately, we often don't. Without the
> > specifications, comparisons in dBd-R (either M or R) are quite
> > difficult to make. If we could only bring all antennas to a single
> > test range with a single (large) set of dipoles and standardized
> > conditions, we could likely establish the gain of each antenna over
> > its standard dipole and then have truly precise comparisons among
> > antennas. Someone has noted to me that the odds of the earth being
> > struck by a meteor like the one which ended the reign of the dinosours
> > are higher than the odds of the emergence of a universal test range. I
> > really wish I could have disagreed with this individual.
> > **********
> > End quote. There is a lot of useful info on this page by L. B. Cebik,
> > W4RNL Check it out.

822. Re: 27.555 USB is Officially...lost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: CRTech@hot.XXX.rr.com
Message-ID: <3a2ebecc.26779503@news-server.hot.rr.com>
Source record: 62304

Forgive the dumb question but what is an AT member??

On 06 Dec 2000 13:44:31 GMT, timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com) wrote:

>last time i checked there were about 5000 AT members worldwide. only a idiot
>would sit on 1 freq like 27555 and expect the dx to come to him all day. a real
>dx'er uses his vfo. your a troll, and i highly doubt your a real AT member.

823. Re: Dave and Debbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: bendowersagain@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001206175507.21279.00003267@ng-co1.aol.com>
Source record: 62305

Hey Elmerpe, I mean n8wwm, are you haveing fun?

824. Re: Find Yourself Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2EC463.4F1441DE@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62306

You can even classify people you hear on the radio into
the types on this site:

http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame1.html

I just finished reading all the types and some had
me LMAO!

(I will place no judgment of the type on anybody here.
I'll let you figure it out for yourself.)

825. Re: 1mangang@slapnutzonN8schin.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90mh2e$405$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62307

In article <20001206165905.20924.00004048@ng-fc1.aol.com>,
n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> you cannot tell but i am starting to get nervous they already know im not doug
>
> SOS
>
>
>
>
>whatsa matta chicken hawk didnt no one >ever tell you that a letter unsigned >carries no weight like you chicken hawk

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

826. Re: Dave and Debbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001206180200.15143.00001368@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Source record: 62308

>Hey Elmerpe, I mean n8wwm, are you haveing fun?
>

Elmerpe is not N8WWM

827. Re: 27.555 USB is Officially...lost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001206180733.15143.00001369@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Source record: 62309

>Forgive the dumb question but what is an AT member??
>

An international organization of fake, official SOUNDING callsigns started by a guy in Italy. the Alfa-Tango group is one of the first of the phonie callsign group. This is done to make the guy in Italy money, and by the members of that and all other phony call sign generating organizations for reasons of identity. I guess they think the made up call signs make 'em legitimate.

Many of these groups around. They generally operate SSB somewhere above/below the legal CB band. You can hear 'em on there a lot when the band is up. Kinda funny, actually...organizations like that.

828. PEAKABOO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Two-Five-seven@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5172-3A2EC614-7@storefull-148.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62310

Good talking to you today,Definitely 10-8 on this end.Shoot me that e-mail addy whenever you get a chance

257 NH. On the wheels

829. Re: Anyone Knowledgeable about FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com>
Message-ID: <rich-3F8C6E.17530106122000@news.theramp.net>
Source record: 62311
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <90lbe6$5t4a$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>, "ALEX KC0AK)" <alexjent@prodigy.net> wrote:

> I need to know what the "real" difference is between the "two for $80"
> models and "$80 each models". All seem to say 2 mile range but what
> about
> interference etc.
>
> Anyone have any definitive experience?
>
> --
> Thanks,
> Alex
>
>

The basic difference is price (of course) and features. Technical specs are going to be pretty much similar since they are limited to tight specs including power output, bandwidth available frequencies and antennas.

Some of these include weather receivers, signalling gizmos and the like. Range is going to be pretty much the same for either radio since the technical specs are going to be similar or identical. Range is moredependant on other factors anyway, like elevation and obstructions.

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG
Illinois Highways and Scanner Page
http://www.n9jig.com

830. Charger's Castle MarketPlace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean <deanntx@tyler.net>
Message-ID: <3A2EDC72.E58B2CD2@tyler.net>
Source record: 62312

Hello,

I used to be the manager and technician and website creator and maintainer for CB City. If you would like to know anything about CB City, please feel free to ask and I will gladly give you the low down and how they shafted me after 6 years of hard work and dedication.

I have started my own website just of the purpose of letting people post stuff that they have for sale. I know that there are a lot of auction sites out there, but this kinda cuts out the middle man and makes it to where people can interact with one another when trying to sell their wares.
I have developed several websites with a great following and just wanted to stop by and invite you to come and check it out an to post the stuff that you may have for sale or to just browse the stuff that is already there.
This will take off very fast and it will build very rapidly. It is designed for anything that someone has for sale from Antiques to Electronics of any kind.

Come on by and check it out.

The link to the website is below.

http://www.chargerscastle.com

Thanks

Dean

831. Re: Signal Engineering - !!WARNING!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Oh Yeah! <maps75@pvtnetworks.net>
Message-ID: <t2tooc7q8jove8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62313

GYoung: Which wire is broken, I have all but the
1st
director and driven element measured so far. Maybe
I
can give you the measurements you need. Al - A.E.
360

"GYoung2209" <gyoung2209@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001206085914.01679.00000933@ng-mc1.aol.com.
..
> hi i have a 6 element sig eng beam on the
ground right now with a broken wire
> the ant did not do what i thought it should not
very goob on vert for talking
> ground waves if you still need those wire lenght
let me know dont no when or
> if i will put it back good luck merry
christmas

832. Re: Signal Engineering - !!RULES!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Oh Yeah! <maps75@pvtnetworks.net>
Message-ID: <t2tplbrpgv0230@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62314

Scott: You don't even have to go the library when
you
have 4 ARRL Antenna handbooks at your disposal.
But, because of their matching system I wanted to
keep
the wire elements the same. Yes, you are correct
they
will sell you new elements; what if you are in a
hurry to
get the antenna back on line? If you had the wire
lengths
all you would have to do is go to any motor
rewinding
shop and get the proper gauge magnet wire that is
enameled
also, soldier it and return the antenna to the
air. Instead I'm
having to straighten the wire with out stretching
it to a different
overall length. This is very time consuming to say
the least.
Yes, you are also correct in the fact that Force
12, etc. etc.
will not give out their dimensions, HELL NO they
are in the
instruction manuals to start with. Signal
Engineering just doesn't
want to cooperate with an owner of one of their
products. So
I'm simply helping them out, with some free
publicity. And as
to helping other people out that bought a antenna,
but weren't
so lucky as to receive the instruction manual with
it, Yeah I'll
help anyone out that needs a helping hand. By the
way Bill
Owens RV antennas are no longer in production, so
where
are you going to point me to, so I can purchase
one. I've had
four beams so far and this one is the cheapest
made piece of
shit I've ever bought, if that is what you call

RULES then you
have the lowest set of standards of any closet
AMer that I
have known for awhile.
"Scott" <yetbbwa@grove.iup.edu> wrote in message
news:3A2EC652.1B32E876@grove.iup.edu...
> Oh Yeah,
>
> I find your complaints interesting.
>
> Ok, so you call Signal Engineering and they
won't just give out
> the dimensions to their antennas. No surprise
there, try calling
> Cushcraft, Force 12, etc. etc. and just ask them
for the
> dimensions of an antenna, guess what, they don't
just hand that
> information out. I know you can buy the wire
sets from Signal
> Engineering, because I recently bought a new set
for a used 4 element
> from them.
>
> BTW, the Cubex quads aren't dual polarity
models. Also did you
> tell Cubex about the special voltage feed
arrangement that SE
> uses, so they could tell you what length wire
you needed for this
> special matching section? Are you sure the
antenna can handle the size
> wire your going to use? Now that I think about
it, if you
> are messing with something you understood - you
wouldn't need to ask
> anybody for wire lengths at all, since formulas
are available at any
> local library in your area.
>
> One little check of past NG posts reveals this
post from you:
>
> Subject: Help on Bill Owens RV antenna
> Date: 01/22/1999
> Author: American Eagle 360
<maps75@pvtnetworks.net>
>
> <snip>
> Like a dumby I sold mine without first at least

> making a copy of the assembly instructions. Now
I would like to
> home-brew one of these true "gain" antennas
> <snip>
> Anyone that has one of these 'lil beauts that
would photo-copy
> the instruction manual, zip it and send it to me
> would get all the 3s and 8s. I have the
following manuals PDL II,
> PDL II rear-end mod drawings
> with measurements
> ....Shootins Star (current ant.), & Hi Gain
stacked 3s
> <snip>
> maps75@pvtnetworks.net - Snail mailed at Al
Piper - 903 Ray Ave.
> - Artesia,NM 88210-0651 - 505-746-3901
> THANKS and All the good numbers to yah!
>

>
>
>
>
> So its clear you also approve reproducing
copyrighted material
> and exchanging it for the purpose of
"home-brewing" your own
> versions of the antennas.
>
> I bet the engineers at Signal Engineering are
laughing at you, I know I
> am.
>
> Scott.
>
>
>
>
> "Oh Yeah!" wrote:
>
> To Whom it May Concern:
>
> I (American Eagle 360) recently purchased a
Signal
> Engineering
> White Lightning 6, from a fellow CBer &
Amateur
> that is out of

> work and needed to liquidate some of his
unused
> equipment. The
> reason he wasn't using it, he had built a flat
> side yagi because of
> his location is VERY windy. He had several of
the
> #13 solid
> copper elements vibrate until they broke and
one
> occasion his
> 2" boom literally bent around the rotor mast.
When
> he had taken
> the antenna down, he simply took the
fiberglass
> elements out of
> the boom support tubes and zip tied the
elements
> and wire to the
> boom sections.
> Upon buying the beam I called and requested
the
> wire lengths of
> the elements as I had planned to replace them
with
> a product called
> Flex Weave. A #14 168 strand jacketed wire so
> after building the
> beam and getting it into place I wouldn't have
to
> take it down for
> some time. HERE comes the kick in the ass
about
> Signal Engineering;
> they have a answering machine that answers
there
> business phone.
> They will return the call as soon as they find
the
> message, BUT they
> will not under any circumstances give out the
> measurements of the
> wire elements even to owners of their product.
I
> even went as far
> as to tell them I had the assembly
instructions in
> front of me and would
> quote to them from the manual to prove that I

> owned their product.
> I am having to separately straighten each
element
> and measure it to
> find the lengths. I called today (12-5-'00)
the
> firm of Cubex the manu-
> facturers of the most famous amateur quad
antenna.
> Their tech support
> representative was most helpful in furnishing
the
> K factor multiplier to
> facilitate the conversion to jacketed material
and
> told me that the difference
> between #13 solid and #14 multi-stranded wire
> wasn't even worth the
> effort to figure out.
> To summarize the long of the story, Cubex one
of
> the worlds best known
> quad builders is producing a 4 element quad
for
> about $20 dollars higher
> than what Signal Engineering is offer theirs
for.
> And Cubex is working on
> a 6 element now. It should come in at slightly
> higher than what Signal
> Engineering is currently asking for the White
> Lightning 6 for. Cubex uses
> #10 wire and tailors their beam for the area
that
> is going to be put up in.
> They will even substitute Flex Weave at an
> additional charge upon request.
> And they use a standard 3" boom for their
> antennas. And they are built
> like a tank. SO IF YOU ARE LOOKING FOR A
HEADACHE,
> BUY
> SIGNAL ENGINEERING!! IF YOU ARE GOING TO BUY A
> QUAD
> TO ENHANCE YOUR SIGNAL, BUY CUBEX, BUILD IT,
PUT
> IT
> UP AND FORGET IT!!
>
>

>
>
>

833. Re: cobra 25 LTD classic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2EED91.B6B26FE9@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62315

If you're looking for another one, look at:
http://www.abs.net/~the420

Danny Ezell wrote:
>
> Has any of you techs out there had problems with AGC in this unit? I've got
> one on the bench and can't seem to find the problem. goes super loud when
> receiving any signal close by. I really don't have a very good schematic of
> this rig. any help would be great.
>
> Dan
> ezell@alaweb.com

834. Re: Professor really loves me...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Professor <bgriffey@kwom.com>
Message-ID: <UiAX5.3179$9O6.39644@e420r-sjo2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62316

lol...

--

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
"Professor"
http://personal.kwom.com/bgriffey/
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"brass" <cc@knology.net> wrote in message
news:3A2E664D.4EC7E147@knology.net...
> I love you all . . .
>
> and there ain't a thing you can do about
>
> Brass
>
>
>
>
>

835. Wanted: Siltronix

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill <grassa@mpinet.net>
Message-ID: <3A2EF519.EDC55A5F@mpinet.net>
Source record: 62317

Looking for a MINT or as close to as possible Siltronix 1011D with the "40" channel version VFO dial (markings)...Siltronix LA-550...Meter/Speaker/Power-meter/Freq Display....

Will swap Brand new Saturn Turbo or whatever for the right setup...Wanted for viewing only....

Bill

836. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: John <jpd2@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <3A2EF903.5425748D@uswest.net>
Source record: 62318
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Well said! I also have been licensed a short time thanks to the no-code requirement. I don't really have an interest in CW. I have been very active in Public Service and Red Cross events, working several each year. It is a pleasure to be able to provide a service in our community and lend a hand when emergencies arise, as in the recent floods in our area and to provide other disaster relief. That is what ham radio is all about. John KC7ZBV

David Little wrote:

> Thank You Raymond,
>
> On the other end of the spectrum, I am not a big advocate of CW. It is a
> stumbling block that I haven't been able to overcome. However, I have
> interests in home-brew, repair and digital modes. I spend a good bit of
> time answering questions for other hams on areas that I do understand and
> even more time trying to get a better understanding of things that I don't.
> I also try to promote the hobby to others in a manner that is not
> over-bearing and from a god-like stance. I feel that the license is just a
> key to the door, and the new ham can take which ever fork he or she chooses
> after entering the realm Amateur Radio.
>
> Now, more than ever, we need to be more gracious about extending the
> invitation to out ranks to replace the numbers that we have lost to
> attrition and attract the technical minds that have been previously turned
> away by the direction the League has taken in the past. I have been
> licensed less than 10 years, and have grown up in the shadow of Ham radio;
> only having a shot at it since the code requirement was dropped for entry
> level. I have made contributions to the hobby in many areas, and will
> continue to do so in the future; despite my lack of CW endorsement. I would
> also go the limit to support your love for CW as one of the freedoms we
> enjoy, on the merits of freedom alone.
>
> I hope we can be more aware of the jealousy the industry has for the
> spectrum we have been awarded, and the value on the open market for it at
> FCC auction. If we don't do something soon to keep the numbers and usage of
> our current spectrum in the high percentages, it will soon go the way of the
> 1 1/4 meter bandwidth we lost in the beginning of the auction period. This
> period is still in effect until 2002 when the FCC can petition for an
> extension. Many among our ranks aren't even aware of this peril, and choose
> to ridicule others that bring it up. It needs to be in the forefront, as a
> constant reminder, and a level-keel approach needs too be maintained in the
> future.
>

> A change in the Scope or Magnitude in the testing procedure does not
> necessarily mean a loss of integrity for the hobby. I would be in favor of
> a more in-depth technical requirement if the CW is dropped from the roster
> as a result of the meeting in early 2001. As it stands, CW is no longer an
> issue with the ITU, only the IARU; where the ARRL is the only member that
> still advocates it's inclusion as a requirement. That in itself is a bit of
> an embarrassment, as well as an open defiance to the numbers that their own
> internal polling yielded as early as 1996 concerning their stance on CW as a
> requirement.
>
> We should hope that the League will strike a pose that is representative of
> it's supporters before it "stubborns itself out of existence." We do need a
> representative body to protect our interests, and sometimes we have to get
> their attention when the direction they choose to take is contrary to our
> continued existence. Let's hope that the result of current process of
> petitioning the ARRL for changing their stance in the IARU in the 2001
> meeting doesn't result in a quagmire such as the one the 2000 presidential
> election turned into. The jury is still out on what negative effects will
> result from that example of "what one person trying to out stubborn the
> other can do" .
>
> As long as we all maintain an open mind, and "keep out eyes on the prize",
> we will prevail.
>
> 73
>
> David Little
> KD4NUE
>
> Raymond Hansmeier <rhansmeier@home.com> wrote in message
> news:3A2DCFCC.6F03EF3@home.com...
> > As an avid cw operator and a ham for over 29 years I must say you are
> completely
> > wrong. I see more cw operators joining our ranks. They may enter at 5 wpm,
> but
> > they enter none the less. With time and training from experienced hams
> they will
> > attain proficiency in cw. If they choose to do other modes such as digital
> etc.
> > So What. It does not make them any less a ham. It gives us diversity and
> fills
> > up spectrum that otherwise would be wasted or sold to competing interests
> which
> > would be the death knell for the hobby as we know it. If you are a ham
> please
> > identify yourself with your call sign. That way when I hear you on the air
> I can
> > go another direction. 73 OM
> >
> > de K7FU

837. Re: Vs: A sum up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90mt2q$ml0$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 62319

And old farts like myself!

Fred W4JLE (Extra class)
same call I was first issued reclaimed under vanity program.

Ex V3CB V31GR KJ4JE W4JLE/PJ

"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message news:U3vX5.102
> Part of the problem is that W�DEF may be an Extra licensed more years than
I
> am old or the newest codeless Tech on the block. While my 1x2 certainly
> indicates an Extra, there is no seniority system in place so he may have
> been licensed last week as well.
>
> Dick N�BK
>
>

838. Re: Hams cheering passage of local CB enforcement bill? YOU BET!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Oh Yeah! <maps75@pvtnetworks.net>
Message-ID: <t2tuoufh69veae@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62320

Yes, it will be costly to do any of the fore
mentioned
activities. We will have to pay more police
officers to
cruise the neighborhoods to find some poor smuck
that
just wants to talk to a few far away friend they
have
collected over the years. Mean while back at your
QTH
some drug crazed fool is ripping off your new BMW
or
your great grandmothers china that you managed to
keep
all these years without getting them broken. Down
the
block some little girl is being molested and no
one can
come to her aid because some police officer that
doesn't
know the difference between a 2 meter antenna and
a
CB omni is harassing some fellow for talking over
the
155 mile limit on a band that runs long haul all
day long,
and a good portion of the evening. YES it will be
costly,
but to whom. Are you willing to pay somebody to
stay
at your house 24-7 to protect your stuff from
being ripped
off while some officer of the law is rubber
necking at every
piece of aluminum he sees on the roof top. I
DAMNED SURE
NOT!!!!!! Would you send a fireman to stop
animal
poachers, or a mechanic to pull over every one
that he
thinks start is talking to someone on a radio,
when the person
is on a cellular phone. Pay the extra money to man
the FCC

the way it was back in the 70s, when we have
people sleeping
in cardboard boxes in the alleys and eating from
dumpsters.
And who is going to pay for the lawyers when some
one sues
the shit out of the city for not having people
trained properly.
YOU, I think not!!!! Think before you leap, the
shit may be
deep.

"HeardAllThat" <heardallthat@aol.com> wrote in
message
news:20001202141836.09164.00002048@ng-cf1.aol.com.
..
> >Dost though think this passage will stop
freebanding? Exceeding 4w? Modifying
> >radios? Export radios? Amplifiers?
>
> No it will not stop them. It will make it costly
and a criminal offense to use
> them. That's the point.

839. Re: Bottom line on CB enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Oh Yeah! <maps75@pvtnetworks.net>
Message-ID: <t2tvgqo3m3oi00@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62321
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

No Scott, just laugh at the fool when his TV, VCR,
radio equipment, etc. etc. is being ripped off
while
a trained police officer is two block away
harassing
one of his fellow hams because he saw a 3 element
tri-bander in his back yard and couldn't tell it
wasn't
a CB beam that was breaking up his rerun of Andy
Griffith and Barney Fife. Then see who has to
chase
down the offender; N8wwm NOT! His stuff isn't
as important as the $295 they got from
the ham when he finally got mad and punched the
highly trained (OH, Yeah) law enforcement officer
in the bag of doughnuts he was carrying right
above
his sam brown belt.

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)"
<upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3A2945E7.681C7AE4@hotmail.com...
> Do they feed you three meals a day under that
"nice warm spot
> under the bridge" you like so much.
>
> Since you like being in the spotlight with your
juvenile name
> calling and insult throwing, I have also posted
this to be seen
> by your peers.
>
> All hams are not bad, but this rotten apple
needs to be tossed.
> Since many hams have been told to go to
rec.radio.cb and stir the
> pot, just see all the doo spewed by N8WWM on
that group. Join the
> fun in ostracizing this idiot.
>
> N8wwm wrote: (I put no insults here, but watch
how many he puts in
> his response!!)

> >
> > If you are operating legally, as a CB'er or an amateur, you have nothing to
> > worry about. That is why the reactions here are so funny. It is obvious that
> > the illegals are the ones most agitated by the situation. Poor babies. I am
> > heartbroken.

840. Re: Bottom line on CB enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Oh Yeah! <maps75@pvtnetworks.net>
Message-ID: <t2u05g51k584c3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62322

Now let me get this clear; instead of the US
government
that started the first web, it was amateur radio
operators.
Now that is a good one. If you gave us the
rec.radio.cb
newsgroup, thanks you also gave us your frequency
after
you didn't use it. Now if you spend enough time on
this
newsgroup offending every CBer that you don't like
the
message he wrote, the ITU will probably have some
more
of your frequencies up on the auction block.
Instead of
cruising the newsgroup that could possibly produce
the
next Hiraim Maxim and belittling every one, you
could
be on the air talking to your friends. Lets see,
did I get
any light in those hollow halls you call a head.
Probably
not!
"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in
message news:90gr8o$n06$1@news.hawaii.edu...
> Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)
<upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >KC2 STATION wrote:
>
> >> Please go back to your CB newsgroup.
>
> >OK, but take you trolling hams with you back to
RRAM.
>
> Who do you think gave you rec.radio.cb? It
certainly wasn't any
> CBers. The rec.radio hierarchy was created by
amateurs.
>
> You call yourself "Unit 69" on CB? Who are the
other 68 units?
>

> KH6O

841. CB City CB Shop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dean <deanntx@tyler.net>
Message-ID: <3A2F033E.F5E871A2@tyler.net>
Source record: 62323

Just to let everyone know, the CB City CB Shop in Tyler Texas is one to be weary of.
If you are planning to do business with them, please be advised that they do not have a Technician on staff at all. They have resorted to a Mom & Pop business with the help of a 23 year old kid that helps with customers and installs. He used to install car stereos for his friends is his only experience.

The reason I am stating all this is because they really shafted me after 6 years of hard work an dedication. Three of those years I managed the shop by myself while the owner held another job at a place called Tyler Pipe, which he retired from 2 years ago.

I am not making false acusations. I have covered up for this man for the last 6 years in his underhanded ways and it will come to an end.

I created the website for CB City from the ground up and made it to where they were known world wide, and to be shafted the way that he did me, was not right at all.

I know, most of you are just hearing my side of the story and do not know all the facts, but what it ended over was me standing up for the 23 year old kid when the owner jumped down his throat and called him a "Lazy Mother F**ker" and I do not feel that any employer has the right to cross that line with an employee. So I retaliated and got in the middle of it and told him that he was wrong and should not speak that way to him and he fired me on the spot.

Anyway, if you have any questions that you would like to ask on any dealings with them or are thinking about dealing with them, please don't hesitate to contact me.

Thank you all for all the emails that I have received from you and may all of you have a very Merry Christmas.

Dean Arthur

842. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: KB9WFK <KB9WFK@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <90n1ssbhl1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 62324
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Rick Gilliam" <tigwelder@austin.rr.com> wrote in message
news:%5DX5.18621$sS2.367868@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
> yea no shit...I had to take a 20 wpm cw test to get my extra class license
> now you can get one with just 5 WPM why even bother that means you just
> have to learn the chatacters and pass the written tests amateur radio has
> gone to hell in a handbasket and I probabally won't even renew my ticket
>

Let us know when you sell your gear on ebay.

>
>
> "Wouff Hong" <no-ARRL@all.com> wrote in message
> news:Rotten_radio_League@arrl...
> > The ARRL, America's Rotten Radio League, screwed us over a year ago.
> Cancel
> > your membership before they screw you again. The ARRL board of directors
> > wants to turn amateur radio into CB! If you cancel your membership the
> only
> > people the ARRL board of directors will be able to screw is each other.
> Just
> > wait, 13 months from now the CW bands will shrink, and CW testing will
be
> > ended. Thank you ARRL for turning our ham bands into SHIT!
> >
>
>

843. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Glen Quarnstrom <glenq@rmci.net>
Message-ID: <743u2tkg9f8pno2mqsf3epi6j1h0e4d1hi@4ax.com>
Source record: 62325
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Rick Gilliam" <tigwelder@austin.rr.com> wrote:

>yea no shit...I had to take a 20 wpm cw test to get my extra class license
>now you can get one with just 5 WPM why even bother that means you just
>have to learn the chatacters and pass the written tests amateur radio has
>gone to hell in a handbasket and I probabally won't even renew my ticket

Judging by your impressive lack of command of the English language, I'd
say it'll be small loss.

Door/ass, etc.
--
glenq@rmci.net
WB7DOW

http://www.cyberhighway.net/~glenq/

844. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3A2F131A.42E6253F@IEEE.org>
Source record: 62326
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Rick Gilliam wrote:
> ... amateur radio has gone to hell in a handbasket ...

That's strange. I haven't detected any change since April.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

845. Re: ??? (Triple 3)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: DCLIFF@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6815-3A2F0F06-6@bsg-storefull-1111.public.svc.webtv.net>
Source record: 62327

I suppose I was wrong. The rules have changed over the years. I may be living in the past, I have been a CBer for 39 years. Please send me the info. to view the entire Part 95 class D rules and regulations if you will. Thank's.

Dennis in Ga.

846. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dan <n7slc@diespamxmission.com>
Message-ID: <90n673$h0a$1@news.xmission.com>
Source record: 62328
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Rick Gilliam" <tigwelder@austin.rr.com> wrote in message
news:%5DX5.18621$sS2.367868@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
> yea no shit...I had to take a 20 wpm cw test to get my extra class license
> now you can get one with just 5 WPM why even bother that means you just
> have to learn the chatacters and pass the written tests amateur radio has
> gone to hell in a handbasket and I probabally won't even renew my ticket
>

Well Rick,

I recently upgraded to General because of the license restructuring. I
have found HF to be very enjoyable. Not a soul has asked me if I learned
20wpm or 5wpm code, in fact I haven't talked to anyone yet that really cares
whether or not I did. Since getting my Tech Plus in '99 and the General this
past August, I have rag-chewed with many wonderful people. I feel sorry for
people like yourself that carry a chip on their shoulder.

How heavy it must be...

73 Dan
N7SLC

847. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@jps.net>
Message-ID: <lo6u2tshgn5a9qgp4it2ugg6r5vdij8nog@4ax.com>
Source record: 62329
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 07 Dec 2000 00:15:42 -0400, Bill Meacham <exray@coqui.net>
wrote:

>This has been going on since the loss of
>spark privileges.

WHAT loss of spark privileges? Why didn't someone say something???
How embarrassing...

73, Bill W7TI

848. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@jps.net>
Message-ID: <4s6u2t48mprpcgm6a69vg52tk572pd3rqk@4ax.com>
Source record: 62330
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>Rick Gilliam wrote:
>> ... amateur radio has gone to hell in a handbasket ...

What exactly is a handbasket anyway? And why is it so popular to go to hell in one? Are they comfortable? Is there a limo version? Can you operate mobile?

Inquiring minds, etc, etc.

73, Bill W7TI

849. Re: Music Page at - www.ab7sl.com -

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:00:32 UTC
From: Peter Lemken <plemken@gmx.de>
Message-ID: <90jvil$1dq6a$1@ID-31.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62066
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Charles Johnston wrote:
>
> Check out the new Music Page w/downloads......

YOU ARE IN THE WRONG NEWSGROUP.

And an idiot.

Peter Lemken
DF5JT
Berlin

--
Aus aktuellem Grund: Sign the Linux-driver petition *now*

>>>>> http://www.libranet.com/petition.html <<<<<

850. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:01:46 UTC
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <90jvl7$1m5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62067
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

In article <Rotten_radio_League@arrl>,
no-ARRL@all.com (Wouff Hong) wrote:
> The ARRL, America's Rotten Radio League, screwed us over a year ago.
Cancel
> your membership before they screw you again. The ARRL board of
directors
> wants to turn amateur radio into CB! If you cancel your membership the
only
> people the ARRL board of directors will be able to screw is each
other. Just
> wait, 13 months from now the CW bands will shrink, and CW testing will
be
> ended. Thank you ARRL for turning our ham bands into SHIT!

Bruce, what are you really trying to say?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

851. Re: N8WWM is Gilligan?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:06:55 UTC
From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90jvur$21l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62068

In article <20001205151723.24739.00003258@ng-cd1.aol.com>,
n8wwm@ahole.com (N8wwm) wrote:
> N8WWM sniffs bicycle seats
> No job=no life
>
> I am Lame lame lame
>
> Thanx for playin though...
> You get the consolation prize: A big modjee box that uses tubes you can't buy
> anymore. Use it in good health while your other 2 wives watch and stroke their
> erogenous zones. Play nice and take turns now, children. Enjoy the laughs at my expense,
courtesy from
> tnom and Scott, and twisted
>
> I have not pleasured myself as many times since I watched an all night "Bowling for
> Dollars" marathon.Geez, that musta been 1965 or so.
>
> Twistie, while manipulating a length of garden hose, I realized how much I am in need of
a lower bowel and
> slobbering pleasure, silly and intellectually inferior,
> evidence of my mental decay:
> >Not exactly, Professor. I've met some cool folks because of this ng and
> >learned a few things along the way, some of it even derived from your
> >blathering nonsensical inspired arguments. The chiding and calling of
> >one's bluff is usually, but not always, done when one has painted
> >himself in a corner. Enjoy the fumes on the island.
> >
> >
> >
>
> >
>
Friends of yours?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

852. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:15:51 UTC
From: CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <90k0fn$8eg@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 62069

My suggestion was to prove a point and that is the majority have the power to control. I would hazard a guess that there are more Cbers with 10 meter capable rigs than there ham operators. If the CBing public wanted to use the 10 meter band they could with ease and there would be little enforcement to stop it.
If the general public wanted to buy ham radios of any frequency and use them it would be hard to enforce unless the sale was denied but that is easy to get around and I bet they would work fine without a license.
Personally I don't care what a ham operator has to say or think about me I really don't. If they don't care what the Cbing public is doing than why all of this hoopla to allow local enforcement of out of date regulations? My guess is they are trying to rid themselves of the enforcement burden as a feel good gesture to the Ham community. But there were plenty of Hams supporting it. Why?, I am not sure just another meaningless crusade for a dinosaur on its way to extinction.

Emergencies can be handled by anyone with a radio It doesn't take a license!! The fears of Commie,Pinko's invading our country or transmitting information via ham radio is a little behind us. That was part of the reason for the licensing to begin with. It was a way to try and control the use of radios during a war paranoid society.

Bill your facts are cock-eyed and elimination of 11 meter band would require the destruction of many millions of radios around the world. Lots of Luck. If the CB community wanted to take control of the 10 Meter band they have the power to do it. Now that is a fact.

"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:90f47v$3qe$5@bashir.peak.org...
> CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> : I suggest that all the CBers with 10 M rigs start using the 10 meter
band
> : regularly and lets start taking our discussions to the ham boards. Time
to
> : let the Ham world know that there are ways for the misrepresented to
fight
> : back.
>
> I am not a Ham, but I suggest that all CBers DO NOT start using the
> 10 meter band. Leave it for the Hams. The same with their newsgroups.
> Why should a bunch of us act in the way a very few Hams act on the CB
> newsgroups? Do you want to convince ALL Hams that we are just a bunch
> of "Chicken Banders"? I don't. And you shouldn't either.
>

> Most Hams do not care what we do, as long as we stay out of their
frequencies.
> The same applies, to a slightly lesser extent, to their newsgroups.
>
> The Hams perform a vital service through their emergency communications
during
> emergencies. If it came to an "us or them" face off, the Hams would
easily
> win.
>
> It WOULD be possible to eliminate 11 meter CB. Not easy, but possible. It
is
> impossible to hide a radio signal - it can always be located, if being
sent
> long enough for meaningful communications.
>
> Whether we like it or not, those are the facts. So let's just stick to the
> CB band and newsgroups. Abuse them, if you must, but I would recommend
that
> everyone stay out of the Amateur frequencies and newsgroups.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

853. Re: Professor huggin N8wwm's Nutz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:17:46 UTC
From: CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <90k0ja$8ed@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 62070

Is that like opposites attract?

"Professor" <bgriffey@kwom.com> wrote in message
news:TpeX5.9164$PX1.146994@e420r-sjo3.usenetserver.com...
> How do you like that... when you disagree... you're an asshole... when you
> agree... you have found a new lover.
> I tell you... you just can't win here... lol
>
> --
>
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> "Professor"
> http://personal.kwom.com/bgriffey/
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "Mr. Pain ?" <mrpain@keydowns.com> wrote in message
> news:90jc1lfvi1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > Man I think the Professor Found a lover, follow the posts, he sure is
> > up N8wwm's Ass!! Brian , You think he might be able to show you how to
> > build a real 1pill??? NUT HUGGER !!!!!!MR.PAIN?
>
>
>
>
>

854. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:48:43 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <L1gX5.117235$U46.3822573@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 62071
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Might be time for a Life membership. It sounds like maybe the ARRL IS becoming successful in running off some of the trash! OTOH I doubt Wouff has a license.

Glenn KB7PKC

"Jim Keeling" <insgeeks@mindspring.com> wrote in message news:90k20q$hq2$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> Thanks for reminding me. I need to renew my membership for next year.
>
> Jim Keeling
> AB&#65533;HI
>
>
>
> Wouff Hong <no-ARRL@all.com> wrote in message
> news:Rotten_radio_League@arrl...
> > The ARRL, America's Rotten Radio League, screwed us over a year ago.
> Cancel
> > your membership before they screw you again. The ARRL board of directors
> > wants to turn amateur radio into CB! If you cancel your membership the
> only
> > people the ARRL board of directors will be able to screw is each other.
> Just
> > wait, 13 months from now the CW bands will shrink, and CW testing will
be
> > ended. Thank you ARRL for turning our ham bands into SHIT!
> >
>
>

855. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 00:54:29 UTC
From: Edward Dowdy <ellhatespamdowdy@home.com>
Message-ID: <97gX5.109796$a7.1783496@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 62072
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

You make me very proud I renewed!

Ed KA9EES

--
Please reply to eldowdy@home.com. Spam is a pain!

"Wouff Hong" <no-ARRL@all.com> wrote in message
news:Rotten_radio_League@arrl...
> The ARRL, America's Rotten Radio League, screwed us over a year ago.
Cancel
> your membership before they screw you again. The ARRL board of directors
> wants to turn amateur radio into CB! If you cancel your membership the
only
> people the ARRL board of directors will be able to screw is each other.
Just
> wait, 13 months from now the CW bands will shrink, and CW testing will be
> ended. Thank you ARRL for turning our ham bands into SHIT!
>

856. Re: 27.555 USB is Officially...lost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 01:03:46 UTC
From: n4jzh@knology.net
Message-ID: <3a2d8f97.96266891@news.knology.net>
Source record: 62074

On 05 Dec 2000 23:57:25 GMT, at942@aol.com (At942) wrote:

>Looks like the boys in Phoenix have won the battle and the war. 27.555 is no
>longer the international call freq and has turned in to the official JAMMING
>freq. We as responcible alfa tango members must realize defeat and try to come
>to some type of settlement with those big Phoenix stations or risk loosing our
>DX club all together. We must be men enough to realize that the group of 10 or
>so idividuals in Phoenix that are Jamming the international call freq are both
>organized and financed beyond our capabilities. We must negotiate some type of
>settlemen or move to a different freq. It has been 5 months now and they only
>grow stronger in both signal strength, and number of stations...as we alfa
>tangos grow weaker and less in stations.

You can blame Aldo for the weakness. Greed, Money e.t.c.
anyway enforcement will drive the Final nail in that coffin.
de op N4JZH Donnie (ex AT Member)

857. Re: president george help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 01:04:22 UTC
From: Chester Jones <inhoc@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3A2D9029.8E8CD3EF@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 62073

When you turn it on does the panel light up? If not, check your in line fuse. If it does light up, you have to enter the 4 digit security code to access radio functions. Check you owners manual for the standard code. If it is another code, good luck.

Email me for standard code - refuse to post - too many lurkers.

Slim Jim

number 1 in the nwc wrote:
>
> i have one and it wont power up does anyone have any suggestions
>
> thanks

858. Re: Dummy Loads - What liquid do you fill them with ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 01:14:09 UTC
From: MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2D9442.B6CE3DC3@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62075

JR,

Do yourself a favor and just try it. Don't proclaim something as being -not likely to be anywhere near 50 Ohms- until you try it yourself. I have done it and I assure you it is deadly close to 50 Ohms at 27MHz and 110% safe. A couple of messages back you didn't know how to get 50 Ohms from four 50 Ohm loads used together and now you have the audacity to question my original premise without even investigating it? Turn the computer off, go to the local library and get up to speed on basic electronics. Then you can come back and add some value to the group.

MC just got down!

JR wrote:
>
> "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" wrote:
>
> > JR wrote:
> > >
> > > MC 10kW Jesus wrote:
> > >
> > > > I think you'd be surprised. A #47 light bulb is precisely 50 Ohms and
> > > > works great for a 4W radio. You can also solder four of them together to
> > > > up that to about 16W, which should cover off a stock CB 12W SSB rig.
> > >
> > > Just how do you maintain 50 ohms when you parallel or series four of them together?
> > >
> > Make two pairs. Put two 50 ohm resistors in series. Makes 100 ohms. Then
> > put the two 100 ohm pairs in parallel. Makes 50 ohms again.
> >
>
> Series-parallel is the way you have to do it, but the impedance is not likely to be
> anywhere near 50 ohms at 27 MHz.

859. Re: N8WWM is Gilligan?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 01:19:23 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001205201923.21537.00001519@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Source record: 62076

Well, thats mighty interesting. Seeing as how the dogbutts couldnt come up with a good rejoinder they had to doctor up mine. I love it.

Lame...thanks for playing though...

Bwahahahah!

860. Re: 27.555 USB is Officially...lost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 01:21:49 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001205202149.21537.00001521@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Source record: 62077

> anyway enforcement will drive the Final nail in that coffin.
>

Yep, and I am gonna be laughing like crazy.

861. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 01:24:54 UTC
From: MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2D96C6.A2FB5263@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62078

I'm no antenna expert, but dBi is not equal to a dipole in free space.
It is equal to an isotropic antenna. The definition of isotropic is
-Identical in all directions; invariant with respect to direction.- A
dipole in free space does not radiated equally in all directions under
any theoretical condition. A good example of an isotropic antenna is the
sun. (this is of course if you believe in the wave theory and not the
particle theory of light <grin>). I think we are all in agreement that
it radiates its energy equally in all directions.

As for splitting dBd into different groups. This is a bunch of hogwash.
Antennas are measured in anechoic chambers which are, you guessed it,
equal to free space. There are no reflections and no reactive components
to skew results.

MC

tnom@mucks.net wrote:
>
> On Mon, 4 Dec 2000 20:05:00 -0500, "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com>
> wrote:
>
> >To site one of many references "the ARRL handbook" 1999 edition page 20.3
> >
> > "When the standard is the isotropic
> > radiator in free space, gain is expressed
> > in dBi, when the standard is a dipole,
> > also located in free space, the gain is
> > expressed in dBd"
> >
> >a dipole over normal ground is always referenced to dBi or dBd.
>
> Referenced to dbi is a no brainer. Referenced to dbd is not as
> straight forward.
>
> Antennas measured on antenna test ranges can have and are
> often referenced to another antenna on a test range by the use of
> the term dbd. In other words an antenna can be measured near the
> ground and still use the term dbd correctly.
>
> Follow this link http://www.cebik.com/dbi.html to get a full
> explanation. I will quote a section. Begin quote:
> **********
> dBd: a warm but fuzzy concept
>
> The concept of dBd was formed to capture the gain of an antenna

> relative to a dipole. A dipole is considered the standard basic
> horizontal antenna, and comparisons to it seemed to some folks to
> be more meaningful than comparisons to the isotropic
> radiator.
>
> Unfortunately, the concept of dBd has become a cluster of
> concepts. Here are some of them. The notation is my own, since
> few folks are anxious to distinguish the individuals in the cluster.
>
> 1. dBd-I: dBd ideal compares the gain of an antenna to an ideal
> dipole in free space. An ideal dipole uses infinitely thin lossless
> wire and is resonant at the frequency of interest. In this
> application, the ideal dipole has a gain of about 2.15 dBi, that is,
> 2.15 dB over an isotropic radiator. All measurements are thus
> arithmetically transportable between dBi and dBd-I by adding or
> subtracting 2.15, as appropriate.
>
> dBd-I is of limited utility, since my backyard dipole may have a
> gain of 7.15 dBi and 5 dBd. Some folks become confused by
> the idea that a dipole has gain over a dipole. We then have to
> explain that the real wire dipole has gain over the perfect dipole in
> free space. That rarely helps a lot. And it does not tell us until we
> do some arithmetic how much gain some other antenna has
> over a real dipole.
>
> 2. dBd-RM: dBd can be expressed as the gain over a real dipole
> modeled at the same height as the test antenna. For studies
> that are strictly modeling investigations, this measure is sometimes
> useful. However, it requires that we further specify the construction
> of the dipole in terms of element diameter and element material. Using
> the same material, dipole gain will vary with element diameter. It
> will also vary inversely with the loss of the material used for the
> dipole.
>
> Both these constraints apply within the further rule of keeping the
> dipole resonant. If we make measurements across a ham band, we
> shall find that the dipole gain varies with frequency unless we
> reresonate it for each readout frequency. Actually, we are usually
> more careless than this and take one resonant reading and apply it
> across the band without checking. And we tend to use fairly loose
> standards of resonance rather than converging the results. We may
> more precisely say that an antenna is resonant when the reduction of
> feedpoint reactance results in no further changes in gain to the
> number of significant digits that apply to the test.
>
> As one more qualification, we should note that dipoles and other
> antrennas may have different elevation angles of maximum
> radiation over the same type of ground. When we cite dBd-RM,
> we must also say whether we are giving the figure for the
> dipole at its angle of maximum radiation or at the angle chosen for

> the test antenna. To avoid confusion, it is usually better to
> give details about the differing elevation patterns.
>
> 3. dBd-RR: dBd can be expressed as the gain over a real dipole set
> in the same position as the test antenna, where both antennas are
> oriented for maximum gain relative to the far field receiving site of
> the test range. For fairness, one should specify the construction of
> the dipole to ensure that the materials are comparable to those of
> the test antenna.
>
> However, there are a number of variables that occur within this way
> of handling dBd. First, range conditions vary considerably from one
> site to another. Second, some testers take the average of a number
> of readings in various directions, while others take readings along a
> single line defined as the best test line. Third, different ranges may
> use different test heights. The importance of this factor stems from
> the fact that many antennas that might be tested have different
> elevation angles of maximum radiation than a dipole, and this variance
> may introduce differences in readings as we change test antennas and
> as we move from range to range with different test antenna heights.
>
> Good testing and modeling protocols would specify all of the relevant
> factors applying to the comparisons involved. We sometimes do find
> these specifications. Unfortunately, we often don't. Without the
> specifications, comparisons in dBd-R (either M or R) are quite
> difficult to make. If we could only bring all antennas to a single
> test range with a single (large) set of dipoles and standardized
> conditions, we could likely establish the gain of each antenna over
> its standard dipole and then have truly precise comparisons among
> antennas. Someone has noted to me that the odds of the earth being
> struck by a meteor like the one which ended the reign of the dinosours
> are higher than the odds of the emergence of a universal test range. I
> really wish I could have disagreed with this individual.
> **********
> End quote. There is a lot of useful info on this page by L. B. Cebik,
> W4RNL Check it out.

862. Re: Machine-Gun like noise on 27.960 lsb?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 01:29:45 UTC
From: MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2D97EA.B8BF92CC@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62079

I went looking for some articles on the 'net about the Russian
Woodpecker and there are a couple of good ones. There are also a couple
of whacky conspiracy theories about it too. I dunno what it is about
some people but they'd rather believe a paranoid story than reality. Why
is that?

MC

PS: Stop shredding the English language - the Queen would roll over in
her grave if she were dead!

The Packrat wrote:
>
> So what your saying is that they could design this thing to not effect the
> US soldiers? "Where your lead helmets people, we don't want the mind bender
> to affect you".
>
> "MC 10kW Jesus" <qsl27@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3A2C565D.E4E58BBA@hotmail.com...
> JhnWil876 wrote:
> > No, the Russian Woodpecker was a mind control signal aimed at the West.
> What
> > effect if any it had is unclear because it is all classified, obviously
> our
> > guys want to keep the technology secret so that we can use it, apparently
> we
> > used various stuff in the Gulf to try and get the Iraqis to surrender more
> > quickly.
> >
> > The woodpecker was not a Jamming signal, it was much to powerful for that.
>
> I think you've been reading too many spy, and sci-fi, novels. The
> Russian woodpecker was an over-the-horizon RADAR system. Easily defeated
> once it was figured out what they were doing.
>
> MC

863. Re: Packet radio on 26/27 mhz,where and when?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 01:32:49 UTC
From: big pond <buttfish@bigpond.com.au>
Message-ID: <awgX5.153032$e5.99406@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 62080

Hi,
If anyone is interested there is also alot of south pacific sstv/psk31/rtty
on 27.42o usb
www.kp82.com <timnebo@aol.comedy> wrote in message
news:20001205103058.02101.00001845@ng-da1.aol.com...
> most of the packet is done in europe on there legal channels so it makes
it
> very hard for outsiders like ourself ot get in there and connect. psk31 is
> quite active on 27.500 and sstv martin1 is also real active on 27.700 usb.
ive
> worked more than one south pacific guy on 11 meters digital modes
>
> >Hi,
> >I have been listening for a bit now and notice that there seems to be
alot
> >of packet radio on 26.84,26.78,26.74,26.72 mhz all l.s.b.
> >Most of the calls seem to be European ,but here in 43 division the
signals
> >are real weak and hard to decode .
> >Does anyone know what these packet networks are ,pirate or not..
> >Also are there any other freqs used for packet in the 11m band?
> >Thanks
> >James 43ST194
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> 73 de Tim
> Knight Patrol #82
> http://www.kp82.com

864. Re: A great CB website?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 01:34:55 UTC
From: Major Tom <ground@control.com>
Message-ID: <3JgX5.1$zb.2399@typhoon1.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 62081

I hope you don't hold your breath I still would like to see you post to the
ng If you have to wait for the poopfessor to provide any proof of his many
claims Good Luck Brother
<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:20582-3A2CF61A-3@storefull-127.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Ya' know, professor, you and I may have different views, and I always
> don't agree with what you have to say, but it is your right. Even when
> everyone on here was slamming you to put up or shut up concerning your
> so-called designs, patents, inventions, etc..., in my mind I aways gave
> you the benefit of the doubt, since there are many reasons one may have
> to not "prove" themselves that exist. But as of late, I am more inclined
> to believe what most of the others on here say about you. It takes
> respect to get respect, and I give everyone the benefit of the doubt AT
> FIRST, but by you aligning yourself with this subterranean dweller and
> attacking those who in no way provoked you, well, lets just say you have
> successfully managed to retain the position so many here have accused
> you of. When ARE we going to see these hallucinated inventions?
>

865. Last two hrs..900 watt 2x4 mobile amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 01:37:08 UTC
From: mobile51@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001205203708.17372.00004797@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 62082

http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/45799772

866. last two hrs Galaxy DX77HML

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 01:38:11 UTC
From: mobile51@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001205203811.17372.00004798@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 62083

http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/45799684
Just like new in the box...

867. galaxy pluto power mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 01:40:29 UTC
From: galaxydoctor@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001205204029.13797.00001059@ng-mk1.aol.com>
Source record: 62084

has any one heard about a mod for a galaxy Pluto 88 or 99 to make it swing 50 plus watts please let me know

868. Re: N8WWM is Gilligan?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 02:15:05 UTC
From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90k7f5$7rv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62087

In article <20001205201923.21537.00001519@ng-fl1.aol.com>,
n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> Well, thats mighty interesting. Seeing as how my nose in my dogs butt couldnt come up with
> a good lick, they had to doctor him up to let me get mine. I love it.
>
> I came...thanks to my doggie for letting playing though...
>
> ahhhh!
>
>
>
> who are 'they'

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

869. Re: Dummy Loads - What liquid do you fill them with ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 02:15:52 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A2D9143.AF727922@some.net>
Source record: 62085

MC 10kW Jesus wrote:

> JR,
>
> Do yourself a favor and just try it. Don't proclaim something as being
> -not likely to be anywhere near 50 Ohms- until you try it yourself. I
> have done it and I assure you it is deadly close to 50 Ohms at 27MHz and
> 110% safe.

And just how did you measure this impedance so that you know is so deadly close to 50 ohms at 27 MHz?

> A couple of messages back you didn't know how to get 50 Ohms
> from four 50 Ohm loads used together and now you have the audacity to
> question my original premise without even investigating it? Turn the
> computer off, go to the local library and get up to speed on basic
> electronics. Then you can come back and add some value to the group.

I know perfectly well how to series/parallel resistances/impedances to arrive at another resistance/impedance. I just wondered if you did. As far as basic electronics, my entire career has been in electronics/computers. I was probably working in electronics before you were born.

870. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 02:16:47 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A2D917A.2EB05669@some.net>
Source record: 62086

MC 10kW Jesus wrote:

> I'm no antenna expert, but dBi is not equal to a dipole in free space.
> It is equal to an isotropic antenna. The definition of isotropic is
> -Identical in all directions; invariant with respect to direction.- A
> dipole in free space does not radiated equally in all directions under
> any theoretical condition. A good example of an isotropic antenna is the
> sun. (this is of course if you believe in the wave theory and not the
> particle theory of light <grin>). I think we are all in agreement that
> it radiates its energy equally in all directions.

Gee, wish someone else had thought to say that.

871. Re: galaxy pluto power mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 02:33:08 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001205213308.10146.00002058@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 62088

Hook it up to 110v

872. WHAT THE HELL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 02:40:29 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001205214029.10146.00002061@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 62089

What happened to all the good cb argueing out here on the ole rec.cb group? Or should we now call it the rec.hamgeek group? Whats with all the stupid ass ham crap? So the local police have authority with high power cb now....Big frickin deal!!!!... Let these cops start arguin with guys like CD and Wild Bill. Now these are some REAL cb Defenders!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

937...chicago...gettin off this maul so professor can talk!!!!

873. Re: (FS) Dave Made 5Pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 02:41:37 UTC
From: Mod Squad <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <BHhX5.14854$i4.41901@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 62094

The only reason you don't have your 16pill is because you both didn't tell
me the truth and i felt you deserve each other. Son i let it be. Aloha

<bubblepuppy73@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:90jve4$1hp$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <01c05f00$25d61640$ee686f40@eniac>,
> "Bill Eitner" <kd6tas@netzero.net> wrote:
> > Watch out for ads like this. I just got ripped off to
> > the tune of $400 by some guy in Arkansas that used a
> > screen name and was advertising a Dave 5-pill for $300.
> > To get my money he upped the ante to a Galaxy 99 and
> > the 5-pill for $400. And now, on top of that, I get
> > crank phone calls from the guy.
> > --
> > bigmanmoney@my-deja.com wrote in article
> <90c9mj$4rm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> > > Dave Made 5pill with side band for sale. The box is in good shape.
> > > Asking $300.00 you pay the shipping.
> > >
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > Before you buy.
> > >
> >
>
>
> Hi Bill. I read your post and just had to throw my comments in. I sent
> a guy in Hawaii $750.00 for a 16 pill box and after all the bullshit,
> and lies , you guessed it , I got screwed. Then on top of all that some
> of the cber's over there said they would help me. Nothing ever
> happened. So my ass still hurts from that one. Needless to say I will
> not ever send anyone money over the internet unless I cma first.
> Anyways, no offense but I definitely feel your pain.
>
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

874. Re: WHAT THE HELL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 02:49:56 UTC
From: Mod Squad <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <oPhX5.14856$i4.41892@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 62095

I spend more time live on the superbowl getting my rogers something most of you can't do. Aloha

"TJ1072" <tj1072@aol.com> wrote in message news:20001205214029.10146.00002061@ng-md1.aol.com...
> What happened to all the good cb argueing out here on the ole rec.cb group? Or
> should we now call it the rec.hamgeek group? Whats with all the stupid ass ham
> crap? So the local police have authority with high power cb now....Big frickin
> deal!!!!... Let these cops start arguin with guys like CD and Wild Bill. Now
> these are some REAL cb Defenders!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
> 937...chicago...gettin off this maul so professor can talk!!!!

875. Galaxy 77 last hour

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 04:07:53 UTC
From: mobile51@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001205230753.02076.00006225@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 62090

http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/45799684

876. last hour 2x4 amp great price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 04:08:47 UTC
From: mobile51@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001205230847.02076.00006226@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 62091

http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/45799684

877. Re: 27.555 USB is Officially...lost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 04:31:38 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90kffa$e1t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62092

In article <20001205185725.08153.00000017@ng-fw1.aol.com>,
at942@aol.com (At942) wrote:
> Looks like the boys in Phoenix have won the battle and the war.
27.555 is no
> longer the international call freq and has turned in to the official
JAMMING
> freq. We as responcible alfa tango members must realize defeat and
try to come
> to some type of settlement with those big Phoenix stations or risk
loosing our
> DX club all together. We must be men enough to realize that the group
of 10 or
> so idividuals in Phoenix that are Jamming the international call freq
are both
> organized and financed beyond our capabilities. We must negotiate
some type of
> settlemen or move to a different freq. It has been 5 months now and
they only
> grow stronger in both signal strength, and number of stations...as we
alfa
> tangos grow weaker and less in stations.
>

what the heck is this????? you know pal, you are soooooooooooooooo
totally later, why don't you take your reekazoid stuff somewhere else
crybaby. old bob has agitated you morons off the freq, ha, ha , ha.....
the thing you need to worry about is this. is big poppa pump a genetic
freak???????????.....cd is out.....

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

878. Re: (FS) Dave Made 5Pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 04:35:48 UTC
From: bigmanmoney@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90kfn5$e4q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62093

Well gang you guy's are right I would be hesitant also to send money to someone that I don't even know but this is the chance we all take. Also that wasn't me that posted my 5pill on the other web site this would be the first time posting it. Bubblepuppy this is for you I gave you all the information that I could get. John doesn't come around as often as he used to before but the next time I see him I will see what the hell happened because he is the one that put's hesitation on everyones mind when us Mini Grass guy's want to sell something. We shouldn't have to suffer because of his selfishness and his stupidity but you should've gotten more information from him before giving a STRANGER $750.00. But I felt that I would try to sell my Dave Made on up in here but I guess I gotta try and sell it locally. No Problem all of you have valid concern's. ALOHA From $$$BIG MONEY$$$ In The Mini Grass One more thing if you guy's here me on the bowl jump in and say WAAAAASSSSSSAAAABBBIIII

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

879. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 05:10:06 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A2DCA2E.739D@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 62097

CitySlicker wrote:
>
> My suggestion was to prove a point and that is the majority have the power
> to control. I would hazard a guess that there are more Cbers with 10 meter
> capable rigs than there ham operators. If the CBing public wanted to use the
> 10 meter band they could with ease and there would be little enforcement to
> stop it.
Right.....you're guessing.

why all
> of this hoopla to allow local enforcement of out of date regulations?

The reasons for regulations have nothing to do with "war paranoia". The regulations are there to allow for the use of radio by the maximum # of people. If there were no regulations, there would be chaos and the spectrum would be unusable due to interference and encroachment of un-trained people onto emergency frequencies. No need for that? How would you like to be on an airliner whose navigation and comm radios were jammed by anybody and everybody who just wanted to talk to that thar aero-plane. The regulations are quite up to date, fill a need, and must be enforced to ensure that commerce, telephone traffic, AND hobby com-munications are protected from interference.

My guess is they are trying to rid themselves of the enforcement burden
as a
> feel good gesture to the Ham community.

NOT! It came about because of people (not hams) complaining to their Congressmen about CBers coming in on their TVs and church PA systems. FCC has shortsightedly been deprived of the funds to adequately do the job, so when said Congress members got no response from FCC, certain ones sponsored and, finally, got it passed. Hams had nothing to do with it.

But there were plenty of Hams
> supporting it. Why?, I am not sure just another meaningless crusade for a dinosaur on its way to extinction.

Sorry, but you are misinformed. Amateur Radio is alive and well. You cannot base your conclusions and generalities on what you hear within the CB community alone. I am not trying to be mean or flame you. But, the truth is, that it is the Amateurs who are called upon to provide backup communications in disasters such as fire, flood, hurricane, earth quake because of their training and proven professionalism under adverse conditions. NOT REACT, not CBers, but those hams you hate so much. Even disasters at sea often involve ham radio such as the Maritime Mo-

bile Service Net on 20 meters. Remember the story about the young Dutch boy who was shot by pirates, or robbers and spent much time in a US hospital recovering? It was those "dinosaurs", as you call them, that got them help. Not CBers. You are merely misinformed about the basis, purpose, and proven value of the Amateur Service. All you have heard about are the "bad" hams. and you can go to www.rainreport.com to read about what's being done about them. Amateur Radio will not go away.

> Emergencies can be handled by anyone with a radio It doesn't take a
> license!! The fears of Commie,Pinko's invading our country or transmitting
> information via ham radio is a little behind us. That was part of the reason
> for the licensing to begin with. It was a way to try and control the use of
> radios during a war paranoid society.

Not so. See above. Licensing came about because of so many stations, both ham and commercial, coming on line that interference got to be a real problem. Something had to be done to prevent this, provide separation, and control what operated where. Hence the Communications Act of 1934. If there were no regulations, people would just revert to the chaos prior to that Act. Lives would be lost, safety threatened, and YOU wouldn't even be able to enjoy what you have today.
>

> If the CB community wanted to take control of the 10 Meter band they have the power to do it. Now that is a fact.

Not so. It is that attitude of lawlessness that caused the local enforcement thing to come about to start with. It had nothing to do with hams. It had to do with the disregard for regulations against high power, modded radios, splattering amps, and operating out of band. Now that might make people mad because I said that, but it is true. The 10 meter "Seigfried Line" WILL be defended--you can count on it. To do more, such as encroach on other non-CB bands, would have the opposite effect. It might cause Congress to rethink its reduced FCC funding, restore funds, allow FCC to hire many agents who would then go after the out-banders with a vengence. Not exactly ideal, huh? But it *could* happen.

>
>
> > CitySlicker <manno_d@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > : I suggest that all the CBers with 10 M rigs start using the 10 meter
> band

All that will do is cause more strife and hard feelings. It would, perhaps, cause a massive crackdown such as DOT inspections for linears in trucks, etc, or more laws, or bad stuff like the local enforcement thing. It wouldn't solve a thing. It'd just make it worse for everybody.

73

Jerry

> >

880. Re: WHAT THE HELL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 05:21:21 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2DCCD1.5A5C5FB0@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62096

It's time to ignore the trolls. If they are not answered, they go away.
It's just like the music players and the carrier tossers, they want attention.

I say give 'em inattention.

TJ1072 wrote:
>
> What happened to all the good cb argueing out here on the ole rec.cb group? Or
> should we now call it the rec.hamgeek group? Whats with all the stupid ass ham
> crap? So the local police have authority with high power cb now....Big frickin
> deal!!!!... Let these cops start arguin with guys like CD and Wild Bill. Now
> these are some REAL cb Defenders!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> 937...chicago...gettin off this maul so professor can talk!!!!

881. Re: 45 meters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 05:33:04 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <c5kX5.259$gN.80822@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 62199

"Fred Hambrecht" wrote in ...
> These are air enroute freqs used by transoceaniac flights. Considering the
> size of the US fleet Vs other countries may explain why they have not yet
> been tapped on the shoulder. (6670 and 6955khz)

Fred...
Most of the transatlantic flight stuff I've monitored in in the 8mhz
band... seem to remember it being around 8900 + or -.

882. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 05:34:37 UTC
From: Raymond Hansmeier <rhansmeier@home.com>
Message-ID: <3A2DCFCC.6F03EF3@home.com>
Source record: 62198
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

As an avid cw operator and a ham for over 29 years I must say you are completely wrong. I see more cw operators joining our ranks. They may enter at 5 wpm, but they enter none the less. With time and training from experienced hams they will attain proficiency in cw. If they choose to do other modes such as digital etc. So What. It does not make them any less a ham. It gives us diversity and fills up spectrum that otherwise would be wasted or sold to competing interests which would be the death knell for the hobby as we know it. If you are a ham please identify yourself with your call sign. That way when I hear you on the air I can go another direction. 73 OM

de K7FU

Wouff Hong wrote:

> The ARRL, America's Rotten Radio League, screwed us over a year ago. Cancel
> your membership before they screw you again. The ARRL board of directors
> wants to turn amateur radio into CB! If you cancel your membership the only
> people the ARRL board of directors will be able to screw is each other. Just
> wait, 13 months from now the CW bands will shrink, and CW testing will be
> ended. Thank you ARRL for turning our ham bands into $%^&.

883. Re: 27.555 USB is Officially...lost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 05:49:47 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001206004947.22207.00003442@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 62200

>.who's laughing
>now????.....Mark
>

Me...and expecting more.

884. Re: WHAT THE HELL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 05:50:58 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001206005058.22207.00003443@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 62201

> Let these cops start arguin with guys like CD and Wild Bill. Now
>these are some REAL cb Defenders!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>

And watch 'em get hauled off...lol

885. Re: N8WWM is Gilligan?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 05:52:39 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001206005239.22207.00003445@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 62202

```
>>
>> who are 'they'
>
```

Look back on the thread

886. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 05:54:47 UTC
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90kkb702q2u@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62209
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In article <Rotten_radio_League@arrl>, no-ARRL@all.com says...
>
>The ARRL, America's Rotten Radio League, screwed us over a year ago. Cancel
>your membership before they screw you again. The ARRL board of directors
>wants to turn amateur radio into CB! If you cancel your membership the only
>people the ARRL board of directors will be able to screw is each other. Just
>wait, 13 months from now the CW bands will shrink, and CW testing will be
>ended. Thank you ARRL for turning our ham bands into SHIT!

That's odd. I thought that the ARRL did everything in could to keep the status quo. In fact, some "Ye Olde Fahrtz" of the Ancient Radio Relay League are trying to get the FCC to go back to the old code testing scheme.

Tom - N8ECW

887. Re: WHAT THE HELL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 05:55:29 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001206005529.22207.00003446@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 62203

TJ1072 drooled into his shorts while massaging his prostate gland:

It's time to ignore the trolls. If they are not answered, they go away.
>It's just like the music players and the carrier tossers, they want
>attention.
>
>I say give 'em inattention.
>

N8WWM, in his awesome fashion, replied:
That's cuz you AINT in the same league with me, sonny boy.

888. 1mangang@my-deja.com is Mrs. Howell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 05:56:41 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001206005641.22207.00003447@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 62204

>Subject: Re: N8WWM is Gilligan?
>From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
>Date: 12/5/2000 21:15 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <90k7f5$7rv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
>
>In article <20001205201923.21537.00001519@ng-fl1.aol.com>,
> n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
>> Well, thats mighty interesting. Seeing as how my nose in my dogs butt
>couldnt come up with
>> a good lick, they had to doctor him up to let me get mine. I love it.
>>
>> I came...thanks to my doggie for letting playing though...
>>
>> ahhhh!
>>
>>
>>
>> who are 'they'
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

yep! see what I mean!

889. Re: 45 meters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 06:32:39 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90kmj4ime1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 62205

Correct you are Sir! That is indeed another band, as is one, in the 3.3 MHz band. It is a propagation thing, as we get into winter, they will migrate lower in freq because of the MUF.

"Cap'n Crunch" <kcfamily@tir.com> wrote in message news:c5kX5.259$gN.80822@nntp1.onemain.com...
>
> "Fred Hambrecht" wrote in ...
> > These are air enroute freqs used by transoceaniac flights. Considering the
> > size of the US fleet Vs other countries may explain why they have not yet
> > been tapped on the shoulder. (6670 and 6955khz)
>
> Fred...
> Most of the transatlantic flight stuff I've monitored in in the 8mhz
> band... seem to remember it being around 8900 + or -.
>
>

890. Re: WHAT THE HELL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 06:34:33 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001206013433.07539.00006715@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 62206

>Subject: Re: WHAT THE HELL???
>From: "Mod Squad" modsquad@hawaii.rr.com
>Date: 12/5/00 8:49 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <oPhX5.14856$i4.41892@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>

>I spend more time live on the superbowl getting my rogers something most of
>you can't do. Aloha

Oh thats ok mod...I've gotten your roger plenty of times...put it on ya later
mod..happy upcoming holidays...

937....chicago

891. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 06:35:27 UTC
From: David Little <dalite01@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <90kmo9$s7u$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 62208
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Thank You Raymond,

On the other end of the spectrum, I am not a big advocate of CW. It is a stumbling block that I haven't been able to overcome. However, I have interests in home-brew, repair and digital modes. I spend a good bit of time answering questions for other hams on areas that I do understand and even more time trying to get a better understanding of things that I don't. I also try to promote the hobby to others in a manner that is not over-bearing and from a god-like stance. I feel that the license is just a key to the door, and the new ham can take which ever fork he or she chooses after entering the realm Amateur Radio.

Now, more than ever, we need to be more gracious about extending the invitation to out ranks to replace the numbers that we have lost to attrition and attract the technical minds that have been previously turned away by the direction the League has taken in the past. I have been licensed less than 10 years, and have grown up in the shadow of Ham radio; only having a shot at it since the code requirement was dropped for entry level. I have made contributions to the hobby in many areas, and will continue to do so in the future; despite my lack of CW endorsement. I would also go the limit to support your love for CW as one of the freedoms we enjoy, on the merits of freedom alone.

I hope we can be more aware of the jealousy the industry has for the spectrum we have been awarded, and the value on the open market for it at FCC auction. If we don't do something soon to keep the numbers and usage of our current spectrum in the high percentages, it will soon go the way of the 1 1/4 meter bandwidth we lost in the beginning of the auction period. This period is still in effect until 2002 when the FCC can petition for an extension. Many among our ranks aren't even aware of this peril, and choose to ridicule others that bring it up. It needs to be in the forefront, as a constant reminder, and a level-keel approach needs too be maintained in the future.

A change in the Scope or Magnitude in the testing procedure does not necessarily mean a loss of integrity for the hobby. I would be in favor of a more in-depth technical requirement if the CW is dropped from the roster as a result of the meeting in early 2001. As it stands, CW is no longer an issue with the ITU, only the IARU; where the ARRL is the only member that still advocates it's inclusion as a requirement. That in itself is a bit of an embarrassment, as well as an open defiance to the numbers that their own internal polling yielded as early as 1996 concerning their stance on CW as a requirement.

We should hope that the League will strike a pose that is representative of it's supporters before it "stubborns itself out of existence." We do need a representative body to protect our interests, and sometimes we have to get their attention when the direction they choose to take is contrary to our continued existence. Let's hope that the result of current process of petitioning the ARRL for changing their stance in the IARU in the 2001 meeting doesn't result in a quagmire such as the one the 2000 presidential election turned into. The jury is still out on what negative effects will result from that example of "what one person trying to out stubborn the other can do" .

As long as we all maintain an open mind, and "keep out eyes on the prize", we will prevail.

73

David Little
KD4NUE

Raymond Hansmeier <rhansmeier@home.com> wrote in message news:3A2DCFCC.6F03EF3@home.com...
> As an avid cw operator and a ham for over 29 years I must say you are completely
> wrong. I see more cw operators joining our ranks. They may enter at 5 wpm, but
> they enter none the less. With time and training from experienced hams they will
> attain proficiency in cw. If they choose to do other modes such as digital etc.
> So What. It does not make them any less a ham. It gives us diversity and fills
> up spectrum that otherwise would be wasted or sold to competing interests which
> would be the death knell for the hobby as we know it. If you are a ham please
> identify yourself with your call sign. That way when I hear you on the air I can
> go another direction. 73 OM
>
> de K7FU
>
> Wouff Hong wrote:
>
> > The ARRL, America's Rotten Radio League, screwed us over a year ago. Cancel
> > your membership before they screw you again. The ARRL board of directors
> > wants to turn amateur radio into CB! If you cancel your membership the only
> > people the ARRL board of directors will be able to screw is each other. Just
> > wait, 13 months from now the CW bands will shrink, and CW testing will be

> > ended. Thank you ARRL for turning our ham bands into $%^&.
>

892. Re: WHAT THE HELL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 06:35:56 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001206013556.07539.00006716@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 62207

Yes!!!!...finally got some shit happenin on this boreing frickin group!!!

937....

893. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 06:54:35 UTC
From: "Steven J Robeson", K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <90knrbjrp1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62210
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

In article <Rotten_radio_League@arrl>,
no-ARRL@all.com (Wouff Hong) wrote:--

Who CARES what some anonymous, unlicensed idiot has to say?

How do I know this creep is unlicensed...?!?! Simply because
ANYONE who is ACTIVE on the air knows that the assertion that the bands
are trashed is an IDIOT.

Propagation is great, DX plentiful and places to roam are available
regardless of the mode you prefer.

Take a hike, "Wouff Hong" (or should I say "Lennie"...sounds like
the kind of caustic pot stirring THAT creep would potentiate...)

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

894. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 07:13:05 UTC
From: David Little <dalite01@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <90kp0k$83q$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 62211

Mark,

This happens often here. FWIW, my early explanation captured the spirit of the difference in gain references used in the industry. The actual mathematics and engineering behind those standards is still a matter of interpretation, and the manufacturer's specs prove that concept.

In Beam antennas, most manufacturers will go for good rejection and low SWR and use a gain figure that is not always close to accurate. The user puts the antenna up, the SWR is as promised, the antenna shows both directivity and selectivity, and the customer feels no need to question the gain figure.

If you have the provisions, the most simple way to measure gain would be checking performance between a 1/4 wave groundplane and another antenna claiming gain, using the same rig, the same out put power and the same height. A remote switched antenna selector greatly enhances the probability of the experiment to produce usable results. A receiver with a accurate S-Meter at a distant location is used to measure the results of the dead-key (un modulated AM Carrier) of the antenna under test. The test must include signals sent from both antennas in the shortest time period possible to lessen the effects of propagation from skewing the results.

This will let you know if the antenna has gain. However, ground plane radials, lack of ground plane radials, vertical or horizontal polarization; anything that can change the angle of radiation or polarization of the signal before reaching the test receiver also play a part in making the results a matter of "fuzzy logic" at best. Also the term "Accurate S-Meter" is a candidate for the "Oxymoron of the month club". If there is sufficient difference for evaluation, logarithmic math is necessary to convert s meter readings to actual gain figures, as it is not a linear progression.

Some antenna makers have more integrity than others, and their specs often seem much lower than the product of their competitors. Unless you are in the industry, or have an elaborate test setup and carefully controlled conditions, it is virtually impossible for the lay person to produce accurate measurements of gain, other than comparative testing.

In other words, personal testimonial of ownership over a period of time, on air comparisons, incidence of lightning strike (if you are in an area of high probability), deterioration of components (fiberglass integrity is usually compromised after 5 years UV exposure, some better and some worse), parts availability, customer service, track record of manufacture and many more items that my feeble mind can't recall or anticipate should be used in evaluating an antenna purchase. It is the single-most important factor in the performance of a 2 way communications installation; either base or mobile.

Intended use also has a high factor. Angle of radiation is a factor if your main desire is DX. For local talk, a lower angle of radiation may be more a factor than gain if you like to talk with the neighboring counties. There is little more rewarding than late-night roundtable activity using a good antenna and low power. Unfortunately, this is an avenue of the hobby seldom visited anymore.

I don't know if there will actually be a definitive description of what gain is actually measured against that everyone agrees with. The problem is further complicated by the knowledge of those discussing the theories used in measuring gain. The more you learn about measuring gain, the more you realize the futility of explaining it in simple terms with repeatable results. I don't think any of the explanations I have read here lack merit, and you saw how many ways my original reply was shot down..... I admit to being no expert. I am about 2 clicks above casual disinterested observer status, but do have an interest in the subject and the original topic.

Just my $.02 worth (adjusted for inflation)

David

J. Boswell <mbosNOSPAM@iastate.edu> wrote in message
news:90keie$sk4$1@news.iastate.edu...
>
>
> What is true? Why do you have to bring this thread down to the level of
all
> the childish "stone throwing" that goes on here
>
>
>
>
>
> "Major Tom" <ground@control.com> wrote in message
> news:eYUW5.4283$ul.139405@typhoon1.ba-dsg.net...
> > true since you could get popped any day by the Law .
> >
> >
> > "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:3A2BE890.A933A165@hotmail.com...
> > > Just contact Jay In The Mojave for a Mastodon 5000. It's the last
> > > omni antenna you'll ever need for 11/10 meters.
> > >
> > > jay123a@qnet.com
> > >
> > > "J. Boswell" wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Keep going I am following well and I want to get a good handle on
this
> > stuff
> > > > > before I go off buying an antenna half-cocked
> > > >

> > > > --
> > > > **
> > > >
> > > > Mark Boswell
> > > > Agricultural Engineering
> > > > Iowa State University
> > > >
> > > > **
> > > >
> > > > "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:90gdv3fpk1@iac5.navix.net...
> > > > > With all due respect, an isotropic radiator is a point source that
> > > > radiates
> > > > > equally in all directions. It is not equal to a 1/4 wave as we
will
> > see
> > > > > shortly. Antenna gains are full of more snake oil than all of the
> old
> > > > wagon
> > > > > peddlers put together.
> > > > >
> > > > > Lets get a few terms in simple language.
> > > > > dBd = gain over another imaginary antenna, it is a dipole in
space.
> > Most
> > > > of
> > > > > us don't have towers that tall.
> > > > >
> > > > > A Standard dipole 1 wavelength above ground will be about 5.8dB
and
> we
> > > > > haven't done anything yet! So as not to bore folks on here, if
there
> > is
> > > > any
> > > > > interest let me know and we can continue.
> > > > >
> > > > > 73 Fred W4JLE
> > > > > Gain is
> > > > >
> > > > > "David Little" <dalite01@sprynet.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:90gats$78j$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > > > > It stands for dB (decibels of gain) over an Isotropic radiator.
A
> n
> > > > > > Isotropic Radiator is an imaginary comparison representing an
> > antenna
> > > > with
> > > > > > unity gain; neither increasing the radiated power of the radio,
or

> > > > > > decreasing it. It can usually be equated with a 1/4 wave
antenna.
> > > > Other
> > > > > > specs compare in terms of dBd, which makes the same comparison
> > against a
> > > > > > dipole. The specs attempt to measure gain against a standard,
to
> > keep
> > > > the
> > > > > > figures consistent in determining the figure of merit of one
> antenna
> > > > > against
> > > > > > another.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> >
> >
>
>

895. Re: (FS) Dave Made 5Pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 07:23:54 UTC
From: Bill Eitner <kd6tas@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <01c05f55$64dae5a0$f4766f40@eniac>
Source record: 62212

Yea, yea. Spend the $750 and then talk like a big man
because no one can catch you. If you really did this
you're the biggest piece of shit here.
--
Mod Squad <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in article
<BHhX5.14854$i4.41901@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>...
> The only reason you don't have your 16pill is because you both didn't
tell
> me the truth and i felt you deserve each other. Son i let it be.
Aloha
>
> <bubblepuppy73@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:90jve4$1hp$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > In article <01c05f00$25d61640$ee686f40@eniac>,
> > "Bill Eitner" <kd6tas@netzero.net> wrote:
> > > Watch out for ads like this. I just got ripped off to
> > > the tune of $400 by some guy in Arkansas that used a
> > > screen name and was advertising a Dave 5-pill for $300.
> > > To get my money he upped the ante to a Galaxy 99 and
> > > the 5-pill for $400. And now, on top of that, I get
> > > crank phone calls from the guy.
> > > --
> > > bigmanmoney@my-deja.com wrote in article
> > <90c9mj$4rm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> > > > Dave Made 5pill with side band for sale. The box is in good shape.
> > > > Asking $300.00 you pay the shipping.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > > Before you buy.
> > > >
> > >
> >
> >
> > Hi Bill. I read your post and just had to throw my comments in. I sent
> > a guy in Hawaii $750.00 for a 16 pill box and after all the bullshit,
> > and lies , you guessed it , I got screwed. Then on top of all that some
> > of the cber's over there said they would help me. Nothing ever
> > happened. So my ass still hurts from that one. Needless to say I will
> > not ever send anyone money over the internet unless I cma first.
> > Anyways, no offense but I definitely feel your pain.
> >
> >
> >

> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
>
>
>

896. Re: (FS) Dave Made 5Pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-06 07:29:14 UTC
From: Bill Eitner <kd6tas@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <01c05f56$242c8ee0$f4766f40@eniac>
Source record: 62213

Bye bye faceless loser. None of us give a shit about your lonely
cry that is nothing but the ploy you use to rip people off.

FUCK YOU
--
bigmanmoney@my-deja.com wrote in article <90kfn5$e4q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> Well gang you guy's are right I would be hesitant also to send money to
> someone that I don't even know but this is the chance we all take. Also
> that wasn't me that posted my 5pill on the other web site this would be
> the first time posting it. Bubblepuppy this is for you I gave you all
> the information that I could get. John doesn't come around as often as
> he used to before but the next time I see him I will see what the hell
> happened because he is the one that put's hesitation on everyones mind
> when us Mini Grass guy's want to sell something. We shouldn't have to
> suffer because of his selfishness and his stupidity but you should've
> gotten more information from him before giving a STRANGER $750.00. But
> I felt that I would try to sell my Dave Made on up in here but I guess
> I gotta try and sell it locally. No Problem all of you have valid
> concern's. ALOHA From $$$BIG MONEY$$$ In The Mini Grass One more
> thing if you guy's here me on the bowl jump in and say
> WAAAAASSSSSSAAAABBBIIII
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

897. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <90ng1mnsv1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 62262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Bill Turner <w7ti@jps.net> wrote:
>Bill Meacham <exray@coqui.net> wrote:

>>This has been going on since the loss of
>>spark privileges.

>WHAT loss of spark privileges? Why didn't someone say something???
>How embarrassing...

Believe it or not, that happened in 1966, Bill. The 1959 ITU Geneva Radio Conference dictated that spark would finally be prohibited on 1/1/66.

73, KH6O

898. Stepping in someones pile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: DCLIFF@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6813-3A2F42ED-70@bsg-storefull-1111.public.svc.webtv.net>
Source record: 62263

Why is it that so many people think that all CB is good for is to see who they can block out or coverup with their radio signal?CB radio is not a game or contest.
It is intended for communications for the general public who might have a need for such.Seems here lately that people cannot use the radio in its intended manner without someone trying to cut them off.We refer to it as "Stepping in someones pile".
I suppose it will never get back to being what it was designed and intended to be but I can still wish. Thanks for reading and Have a Merry Christmas.

Dennis in Ga.

899. Re: Stepping in someones pile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2F4B1B.B18EB089@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62264

This was such a good trolling thread on Wed, Dec 6, 2000,
that it had to be repeated on Thursday? Are you running
an echo keyboard?

Dennis Lassetter wrote:
>
> Why is it that so many people think that all CB is good for is to see
> who they can block out or coverup with their radio signal?CB radio is
> not a game or contest.
> It is intended for communications for the general public who might have
> a need for such.Seems here lately that people cannot use the radio in
> its intended manner without someone trying to cut them off.We refer to
> it as "Stepping in someones pile".
> I suppose it will never get back to being what it was designed and
> intended to be but I can still wish. Thanks for reading and Have a Merry
> Christmas.
>
> Dennis in Ga.

900. Re: Newbie Q about 'deadkey' and 'swing' .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <90nift$4q6c$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 62265

quak, quak :)

KRS

brass <cc@knology.net> wrote in message
news:3A2E6360.E13664CC@knology.net...
> That is a fact . . .
>
> find a old RadioShack "Channel 2" antenna
>
> best field strength meter design ever made . . .
>
> old antenna
>
> Brass
>
> 7 uain'tnoduck
>
>
>

901. Re: Stepping in someones pile(SCOTT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: DCLIFF@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6813-3A2F4C0A-72@bsg-storefull-1111.public.svc.webtv.net>
Source record: 62266

No. Just wanted it under a different subject heading, is that alright with you?

Dennis in Ga.

902. Re: WHAT THE HELL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <90nip2$d3h8$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 62267

"Denial" is not a river in Egypt

KRS

Mod Squad <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message
news:nvoX5.15028$i4.45866@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com...
> I know how u feel your 16 pill on a 10 element beam can't deal with my 8
> pill mobile shame on the mess
>
>
> "G SEVEN" <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:90l153$3eq4$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> > <typo> should have said,"gost roger."
> >
> > KRS
> >
> > Mod Squad <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:oPhX5.14856$i4.41892@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com...
> > > I spend more time live on the superbowl getting my rogers something
most
> > of
> > > you can't do. Aloha
> > >
> > >
> > > "TJ1072" <tj1072@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20001205214029.10146.00002061@ng-md1.aol.com...
> > > > What happened to all the good cb argueing out here on the ole rec.cb
> > > group? Or
> > > > should we now call it the rec.hamgeek group? Whats with all the
stupid
> > ass
> > > ham
> > > > crap? So the local police have authority with high power cb
now....Big
> > > frickin
> > > > deal!!!!... Let these cops start arguin with guys like CD and Wild
> Bill.
> > > Now
> > > > these are some REAL cb Defenders!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > 937...chicago...gettin off this maul so professor can talk!!!!
> > >
> > >
> >

> >
>
>

903. Re: WHAT THE HELL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2F5015.733872C5@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62268

G SEVEN wrote:
>
> "Denial" is not a river in Egypt
>

Did you meet my brother's kids, Deniece and Denephew?

904. Re: Stepping in someones pile(SCOTT)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2F5063.D103B23E@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62269

It was fresh in my mind from reading it 19 hours ago.

Dennis Lassetter wrote:
>
> No. Just wanted it under a different subject heading, is that alright
> with you?
>
> Dennis in Ga.

905. Re: ...duh Dipole Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: oh8mfh <oh8mfh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <90nk58noj1@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 62270

Dear Jesus, a dipole is not only half-wave - it can be full-wave, 3/4 and so on. Or did I misunderstand you? 73 Gary

906. Re: Vs: A sum up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: oh8mfh <oh8mfh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <90nkh6$o2c$1@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 62271

Yep, Fred and Dick. We have the same kind of calls here, too. The modern system allows us to pick any vacant call for maybe 180 US dollars - code or no code, haw haw. I was merely pointing out to Tim that there can be other aspects in the discussion, too. You should've heard old Finnish Extras having a heart attack when our Novices got ssb rights on eighty: they thought you can't operate ssb on eighty in the same way as on the other Nov bands. So ridiculous - I tried to calm them down, and my students at oh8abi work real nice on 80m, also ssb, although most of them don't master CW yet. Gotta run back to work now, C U, 73 Gary

907. Re: FA: VERY RARE CPI-2000&BC-2000 console

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dana <dana@randomc.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10012070438210.26217-100000@cluster2>
Source record: 62272

Talking about a rare cb, I am looking for a lincoln. It was a tube type
that was made back in the early 60s. Also looking for a metrotech. Bet no
one has 1 of theese?

On Mon, 4 Dec 2000, Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay) wrote:

> Date: Mon, 04 Dec 2000 10:15:33 -0500
> From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> Subject: Re: FA: VERY RARE CPI-2000&BC-2000 console
>
>
>
> Jeff Mayner wrote:
> >
> > It's funny how you don't see them around, especially for sale or auction on
> > eBay or in here, until somebody puts one up. Then it seems that there's a
> > bunch of 'em. I've seen 5, of various flavors, in the past month. Are they
> > that good?
> >
>
> Or they are all starting to break!
>
>

908. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: cooncat@privacy.nu
Message-ID: <3a2f6aa2.1042463@news.nycap.rr.com>
Source record: 62273
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

And how many EXTRA class Hams,can even solder a mic connector ???

909. Re: Charger's Castle MarketPlace

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: hillbilly <hillbilly001@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <90nrqg$4q7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62274

sounds like a disgruntled employee.... ya got a gun?

--
Dave

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

910. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <90nsqm$5bn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62275
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

In article <%5DX5.18621$sS2.367868@typhoon.austin.rr.com>,
"Rick Gilliam" <tigwelder@austin.rr.com> wrote:
> yea no shit...I had to take a 20 wpm cw test to get my extra class
license
> now you can get one with just 5 WPM why even bother that means you
just
> have to learn the chatacters

Which is "a knowledge of Morse" as required by ITU.

> and pass the written tests

Gosh, a 5wpm test and a written exam -was- the original amateur exam
back in 1918. If it was good enough back then when morse was a valid
means of encoding information, its more than good enough now. Don't
forget, youse guys whined and complained about the shift from spark to
cw as well.

> amateur radio has
> gone to hell in a handbasket and I probabally won't even renew my
ticket

Rick, do yourself a favor and don't renew. You don't need the
aggravation of knowing that some things change, even if ever so slowly.

73, Brian

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

911. Re: Newbie Q about 'deadkey' and 'swing' .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: John Amero <" johnaNO§PAM"@island.net>
Message-ID: <3A2F67B2.D333BB45@island.net>
Source record: 62276

Does that mean you are telling him to duck or he ia all
quaked up? LOL
Cheers,
John

G SEVEN wrote:
>
> quak, quak :)
>
> KRS
>
> brass <cc@knology.net> wrote in message
> news:3A2E6360.E13664CC@knology.net...
> > That is a fact . . .
> >
> > find a old RadioShack "Channel 2" antenna
> >
> > best field strength meter design ever made . . .
> >
> > old antenna
> >
> > Brass
> >
> > 7 uain'tnoduck
> >
> >
> >

--
JOHN AMERO, Parksville, B. C. Canada E-Mail to:-
johnaNOï¿½PAM@island.net
**NOTE: REMOVE the_ NOï¿½PAM _in the email address for
reply.**
Check out my Web Site at- http://www.island.net/~johna/ ICQ
UIN 2166985
... or Business- email to- Director of Business Development,
JohnAmero@singaporerental.com
Also see index at above web site or
http://singaporerental.com/

912. Re: (FS) Dave Made 5Pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mod Squad <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <mjJX5.17351$i4.54445@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 62277

Hey Packrat tell the gang i said hello seasons greetings.
Aloha

"The Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message
news:oNGX5.120297$U46.4040938@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> MOD SQUAD MOD SQUAD MOD SQUAD MOD SQUAD
>
> And they talk about it for about 20 minutes every evening. We run channel
3
> over here on the northwest tip and you are definitely heard when you are
on
> 6.
>
>
> "Mod Squad" <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:y_EX5.15866$i4.51113@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com...
> Goodluck bubblepuppy I hope you get your money back Hawaii should not have
> to suffer because of a visitor to the islands. Aloha
>
> <bubblepuppy73@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:90mk14$6h8$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > In article <dxxX5.15108$i4.47480@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>,
> > "Mod Squad" <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote:
> > > bubblepuppy 925 john is not my friend. I tried to help you to get
> > your
> > > money back but you both were sending bad e-mails to each other so I
> > assumed
> > > you didn't need my help. By the way 925 is back home in San Jose,
> > Cal. I
> > > told you he wasn't from Hawaii an Hawaiian would never rip you off.
> > > Seasons Greetings
> > >
> > > <bubblepuppy73@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> > > news:90llvg$b3h$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > > > In article <BHhX5.14854$i4.41901@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>,> > "Mod
> > Squad" <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote:
> > > > > > The only reason you don't have your 16pill is because you both
> > didn't
> > > > > tell
> > > > > > me the truth and i felt you deserve each other. Son i let it be.
> > > > > Aloha
> > > > > >
> > > > > > <bubblepuppy73@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:90jve4$1hp$1@nnrp1.deja.com...

> > > > > > In article <01c05f00$25d61640$ee686f40@eniac>,
> > > > > > "Bill Eitner" <kd6tas@netzero.net> wrote:
> > > > > > > Watch out for ads like this. I just got ripped off to
> > > > > > > the tune of $400 by some guy in Arkansas that used a
> > > > > > > screen name and was advertising a Dave 5-pill for $300.
> > > > > > > To get my money he upped the ante to a Galaxy 99 and
> > > > > > > the 5-pill for $400. And now, on top of that, I get
> > > > > > > crank phone calls from the guy.
> > > > > > > --
> > > > > > > bigmanmoney@my-deja.com wrote in article
> > > > > > > <90c9mj$4rm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> > > > > > > > Dave Made 5pill with side band for sale. The box is in good
> > > > shape.
> > > > > > > > Asking $300.00 you pay the shipping.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > > > > > > Before you buy.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Hi Bill. I read your post and just had to throw my comments in.
> > I
> > > > sent
> > > > > > a guy in Hawaii $750.00 for a 16 pill box and after all the
> > > > bullshit,
> > > > > > and lies , you guessed it , I got screwed. Then on top of all
> > that
> > > > some
> > > > > > of the cber's over there said they would help me. Nothing ever
> > > > > > happened. So my ass still hurts from that one. Needless to say
> > I
> > > > will
> > > > > > not ever send anyone money over the internet unless I cma first.
> > > > > > Anyways, no offense but I definitely feel your pain.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > > > > Before you buy.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Friend, the only reason I didn't get that silly box is because the
> > guy
> > > > > didn't send it. Now what part of that do you not understand. Son I
> > am
> > > > > 50 years old and have been doing radio for about twenty some odd
> > years

> > > > and I really don't have time for games. So if anyone deserves
> > someone
> > > > > it is you who deserve your homeboy. Like I told you amigo, if I
> > lived
> > > > > over there, we would not be having this conversation. So I believe
> > like
> > > > > you fine island folks say. Oloha and have a real nice day.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > > > Before you buy.
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > > Wow. Really. I guess its time to get strapped and go on a Cali vacation.
> > >
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > Before you buy.
>
>
>
>

913. Re: Professor huggin N8wwm's Nutz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Professor <bgriffey@kwom.com>
Message-ID: <z7LX5.8143$9O6.202846@e420r-sjo2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62278

Oh, so I should pick my allies on the basis of trying to win a popularity contest instead of who's right... why didn't I think of that... NOT!

You go ahead and be an ass kisser to these morons... keep them laughing with your jokes... so they won't carve you a new rectal orifice!

--

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
"Professor"
http://personal.kwom.com/bgriffey/
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> In article <TpeX5.9164$PX1.146994@e420r-sjo3.usenetserver.com>,
"Professor"
> <bgriffey@kwom.com> writes:
>
> >How do you like that... when you disagree... you're an asshole... when
you
> >agree... you have found a new lover.
>

"COLD as ICE" <icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD> wrote in message
news:20001206235122.19715.00001058@nso-fs.aol.com...
> You just have to learn who to agree with. You've been here long enough to
know
> that by now!!! You are obviously picking the wrong allies!!!

914. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Meacham <exray@coqui.net>
Message-ID: <3A2F8445.CD81FA41@coqui.net>
Source record: 62279
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

cooncat@privacy.nu wrote:
>
> And how many EXTRA class Hams,can even solder a mic connector ???

I can.
--
-Bill Meacham WX4A
Vieques, PR

915. Re: THAT SOB!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: poisenivy <franc1@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <HELX5.3808$xj5.83854@news3.atl>
Source record: 62280

Tsk Tsk, LOL
"COLD as ICE" <icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD> wrote in message
news:20001206235122.19715.00001059@nso-fs.aol.com...
> "S.O.B." - Rated R
>
> Girl: "Forgive me father for I have sinned."
> Priest: "What have you done my child?"
> Girl: "I called a man a son of a bitch."
> Priest: "Why did you call him a son of a bitch?"
> Girl: "Because he touched my hand."
> Priest: "Like this?" (as he touches her hand)
> Girl: "Yes father."
> Priest: "That's no reason to call a man a son of a bitch."
> Girl: "Then he touched my breast."
> Priest: "Like this?" (as he touched her breast)
> Girl: "Yes father."
> Priest: "That's no reason to call him a son of a bitch."
> Girl: "Then he took off my clothes, father."
> Priest: "Like this?" (as he takes off her clothes)
> Girl: "Yes father."
> Priest: "That's no reason to call him a son of a bitch."
> Girl: "Then he stuck his you know what into my you know where."
> Priest: "Like this?" (as he stuck his you know what into her you know
> where)
> Girl: "YES FATHER, YES FATHER, YES FATHER!!!"
> Priest: (after a few minutes): "That's no reason to call him a son of
> a bitch."
> Girl: "But father he had AIDS!"
> Priest: "THAT SON OF A BITCH!!!"
>
> COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It
don't
> mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

916. Re: Professor huggin N8wwm's Nutz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001207074721.29080.00000943@nso-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 62281

In article <z7LX5.8143$9O6.202846@e420r-sjo2.usenetserver.com>, "Professor" <bgriffey@kwom.com> writes:

>Oh, so I should pick my allies on the basis of trying to win a popularity
>contest instead of who's right... why didn't I think of that... NOT!
>
>You go ahead and be an ass kisser to these morons... keep them laughing with
>your jokes... so they won't carve you a new rectal orifice!

No!
You should pick your allies carefully because you have been here long enough to know better. You obviously make friends easily and hence the reason you are so popular here and probably at the workplace. As far as me, well I NEVER kiss ass, but I have been known to kick a few back in the day! I dance to my own beat and if you dont know that then you haven't been paying attention. I give the jokes mainly for pleasure since a few years back it got very negative in here and I decided not to be part of that anymore. I would like to ask why you are so transfixed on my rectal area? Also are you saying you dont laugh at my jokes? I am appalled!!!!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

917. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <90o60bgel0@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 62282
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Cecil wrote:
>
> Rick Gilliam wrote:
> > ... amateur radio has gone to hell in a handbasket ...
>
> That's strange. I haven't detected any change since April.

So you haven't been on the air much?
```

918. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Little <dalite01@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <90o685orc1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 62283
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

This is an example of what self-regulating conditions is about. Some problems tend to cure them selves and go away without intervention.

This is the epitome of the concept "My father beat me when I was a child, and you're Damn right, I'm gonna beat my kids too".

No body mentioned taking disipline out of the regiment of licensing requirements. This is the reason that Ham radio faces the current peril it is experiencing in shrinking numbers and likelihood of spectrum privileges to match the numbers. Any attempt to allow transfusion of new technical lifeblood is viewed as a fatal stab wound.

David Little
KD4NUE

Rick Gilliam <tigwelder@austin.rr.com> wrote in message news:%5DX5.18621$sS2.367868@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
> yea no shit...I had to take a 20 wpm cw test to get my extra class license
> now you can get one with just 5 WPM why even bother that means you just
> have to learn the chatacters and pass the written tests amateur radio has
> gone to hell in a handbasket and I probabally won't even renew my ticket
>
>
>

919. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <90o6gs$1o1nj$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62284
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

<cooncat@privacy.nu> wrote in message
news:3a2f6aa2.1042463@news.nycap.rr.com...
> And how many EXTRA class Hams,can even solder a mic connector ???
>
>

I can.

--
Regards,
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

920. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <90o6j2iba0@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 62285
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Bill Turner wrote:
>
> >Rick Gilliam wrote:
> >> ... amateur radio has gone to hell in a handbasket ...
> ----------
>
> What exactly is a handbasket anyway? And why is it so popular to go
> to hell in one? Are they comfortable? Is there a limo version? Can
> you operate mobile?
>
> Inquiring minds, etc, etc.
>

Isn't that one of those jobs with a wicker seat over a pair of solid wheels on a single axle with a couple of poles out front powered by a trotting cooley instead of a pony?......The roads in that part of the world being what they are, whatta way to go! Definitely no limo version. HT mobile only.

921. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3A2F9D4D.64BDEAA7@IEEE.org>
Source record: 62286
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Cecil wrote:
> > That's strange. I haven't detected any change since April.
>
> So you haven't been on the air much?

No more or less than usual. What do you think has changed
since April?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca
```

922. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3A2F9DD1.8DF00236@IEEE.org>
Source record: 62287
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

cooncat@privacy.nu wrote:
> And how many EXTRA class Hams,can even solder a mic connector ???

You may be on to something. My hands shake worse since I got
an EXTRA class license so soldering is more difficult.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

923. Ham ...?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ken <klajr2@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <l4RX5.254$n52.21031@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Source record: 62288

I've always wondered......where does the name "Ham" come from (as far as radio of course)?

Does it "stand" for something?

Ken

924. Re: Ham ...?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <T8RX5.5510$V6.419879@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 62289

"Ken" <klajr2@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:l4RX5.254$n52.21031@nnrp3.sbc.net...
> I've always wondered......where does the name "Ham" come from (as far as
> radio of course)?
>
> Does it "stand" for something?
>
> Ken

It was a term of derision used among commercial ops in the same sense as
'ham' actor. It beats another term current at the time, Plug, as in plug
horse.

Dick N&#65533;BK

925. Re: Cb stuf for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001207141430.28490.00003460@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 62290

stuff isnt illegal out of da country so i can personally
>guarantee everyone who buys it will use it for export only like the ways its
>been always now stay off the airwaves and concentrate on what it is unfolding

Yeah right...
You are so funny...and lame

926. Re: 1mangang@slapnutzonN8schin.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001207141719.28490.00003461@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 62291

>>
>> Beg pardon, but this is errogeneous info and i is quivering for some
>attention.
>>
>> I am also VERY gay.
>>
>
>
>
> we knew that chicen hawk now run off to the same sex posting board where you
>are bound to get a few replies from what you asked for chicken hawk
>

Again a lame attempt to distort my posts. This is a good game because it
illustrates that a coward with phoney ID, who is one of the regular posters
here, is reaching for some way....ANY way to mess with me.
Never happen.

.

927. Re: 27.555 USB is Officially...lost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001207141859.28490.00003462@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 62292

>thanks but everybody knew that already.
>
>>Just bear in mind that the operators are all engaged in illegal activity,
>>since
>>operating above or below the normal 40 is against FCC regs.
>
>
> 73 de Tim

Some dont...and if they do already I know it bums em out to be reminded...

928. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <90onbt$2vq$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 62293
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Rettysnich, PPPa61-ResaleMoorsetownB1-1r7307.dialinx.net, wrote:

>Ever hear about "Bluetooth" - a new standard to link just about
>every consumer product via wireless. GUESS WHAT PAL IT'S ALREADY DEAD

Well, that's good news!

>Better re-read Wayne Green's old editortials, he's right on the bullseye.

What was it he wrote in one editorial about curing HIV? Electric shock
therapy? And you agree with that?

>I'll laugh a year or two when
>your SK and your XYL tosses all your radios out to the
>curb in the trash

How will his SK do that? Wouldn't he be the SK?

929. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: dimpledchad <outofthebldg5@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <wrRX5.46155$II2.4144100@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 62294
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hey Bud,

Why don't you guys in 6-land start by
cleaning up the MESS on your 2 meter
repeaters in SoCa? Heck, I ain't heard more
unlicenced spanish speaking bootleggers on
the air, even on the high end of 20M band!
.
"Just Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:e5ut2tgetjoqja7mok69tvrd95sckckvhn@4ax.com...
> no-ARRL@all.com (Wouff Hong) opened the coffin lid and croaked...
>
> > Thank you ARRL for turning our ham bands into SHIT!
>
> Right, like they're NOT that way already!
>
> And it sounds like YOU are a great example of why....
>
>
> KE6NWW
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>

930. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: dimpledchad <outofthebldg5@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <yrRX5.46156$II2.4144100@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 62295
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

It's already spiraling downward Bill. Not by a present lack
of operators or technology, but a minus growth of new Op's.
What kid tell me want's to speak on a ham radio and use 9600
baud packet radio when instead they can be
on the Internet with 56K or DSL, streaming audio and
video, realtime chatrooms and who know what else
is comming sown the supply chain? Heck, for under $600.00
I can have a 700 MHz Multimedia machine that will do
everything short of put the coffee on in the morning. (and when
the next generation of Internet ready consumer goods hits
the market I will be able to do that too) Contrast that to
a Radio Shach 2 meter rig that's busy with redundant "drive
time" talk and totally dead airtime the rest of the day to
keep one amused. Guess what the young people will choose
Bill? Hey, it's ok. Hamfests are drying up on the vine and
Dayton attendance is way down. The writing is on the wall
Bill----> Ham radio is obsolete because it's CORE PURPOSE
for existence is no longer relavant. Sure if the power goes out
we can pass some traffic, but that's about all. The bulk of
support now goes to private and professional emergency management
authorities, for whom ham radio is a non-productive obsolete accessory.

"Bill Meacham" <exray@coqui.net> wrote in message
news:3A2F0EEE.7C37F6F7@coqui.net...
> As I recall, ham radio was 'doomed' because of incentive
> licensing when I got my license in 1970. Then later it got
> 'doomed' again because of the VE program. Actually, it
> seems that ham radio is 'doomed' anytime there is a change
> in the rules. This has been going on since the loss of
> spark privileges.
> Time will tell.
> -Bill, WX4A

931. Re: Professor huggin N8wwm's Nutz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001207142332.28490.00003463@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 62296

One man gang, cowardly hiding behind an assumed identity, in fear of a superior mind and in vain desparation to silence it, moronically distorted the following post:

> >>Oh, so I should pick my allies on the basis of trying to win a popularity
>> >>contest instead of who's right... why didn't I think of that... NOT!
>> >>
>> >
>>
>> That is exactly what these genius types know on this NG. Thats why they
>slam me like the chicken hawk I am It works because by being an essential
>provider of
>> empty and meaningless thoughts, my mouth is stronger than my chicken hawk
>brain
>>
>> As the phrase goes..."my karma ran over their dogma"
>>
>> Poor deluded me.
>>
>
>
>
> yous still heah chicken hawk i guess you gonna stick aroun til you get your
>feathers plucked and shucked sorry no known homos in heah
>
>

932. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <90oo1l$3jq$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 62297
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

D Stark <dave@nf2g.com> wrote:
>Are you completely unaware that the League is the ONLY ham radio society
>that consistently votes AGAINST eliminating the Morse requirement at
>international conferences?

The "only" one? Didn't a Euro country recently reinstate their code
requirements for HF privileges?

>Do you realize how far out of step the United
>States amateur community appears to be to the rest of the world?

Do you want us to be like the rest of the world? Are you suggesting
a UN-administered radio exam? Or should we be like Malaysia and have
you submit your station to an annual inspection? That country still
requires a code exam and an applicant might have to wait as long as
one year to receive his license after passing a battery of exams.

Be careful what you wish for, Dave.

KH6O

933. Re: Wanted: Siltronix

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: kb9igg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001207143659.11043.00000439@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Source record: 62348

call randl.com they have those radio for sale that is r&l electronics in ohio

934. Re: Anyone Knowledgeable about FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: RanHefner <ranhefner@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <90oq1puqi1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62349
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Typically, the price difference is for features and/or name brand
premium. To learn more about FRS radios, you may want to try:

http://clubs.yahoo.com/clubs/familyradioservice

In article <90lbe6$5t4a$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>,
"ALEX KC0AK)" <alexjent@prodigy.net> wrote:
> I need to know what the "real" difference is between the "two for $80"
> models and "$80 each models". All seem to say 2 mile range but what
about
> interference etc.
>
> Anyone have any definitive experience?
>
> --
> Thanks,
> Alex
>
>

--
Randy A. Hefner
http://talk.to/frs
http://go.to/qx3

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

935. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <OkSX5.102$d5.838178@news.ntplx.net>
Source record: 62350
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Rettysnich <cupofsoup@aol.com> wrote:

> you can hear them on 14.313 all weekend long - so much for Mr. H.

The last time I listened to 14.313 I heard -- a maritime net. :-)

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

936. Re: Professor huggin N8wwm's Nutz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90ou58$2ip$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62351

In article <20001207142332.28490.00003463@ng-cr1.aol.com>,
n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> One man gang, never hiding behind an assumed identity like chicken hawk does in fear of a superior
> mind, and in his efforts to rip chicken hawks lips, ratherly pleased the masses with the following
> post:
>
> > >>Oh, so I should pick my allies on the basis of trying to win a popularity
> >> >>contest instead of who's right... why didn't I think of that... NOT!
> >> >>
> >> >
> >>
> >> That is exactly what these genius types know on this NG. Thats why they
> >slam me like the chicken hawk I am It works because by being an essential
> >provider of
> >> empty and meaningless thoughts, my mouth is stronger than my chicken hawk
> >brain
> >>
> >> As the phrase goes..."my karma ran over their dogma"
> >>
> >> Poor deluded me.
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> > yous still heah chicken hawk i guess you gonna stick aroun til you get your
> >feathers plucked and shucked sorry no known homos in heah
> >
> >
>

watch your lips junior chicken hawks like you have a short attention and life
span if you check archives i been posting here since you were suckling on
your mommas lop sided tetanus infested left boob i just dont have much to say
very often you on the other hand chicken hawk is a little boy with a big man
complex you came to the wrong group to demonstrate your ignorance listen to
your friends isps are a dime a dozen only so many to exhaust before youre
done chicken hawk

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

937. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@jps.net>
Message-ID: <k1uv2t4a2228r9dr6oopnkrjq90iie1bas@4ax.com>
Source record: 62352
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 07 Dec 2000 08:36:21 -0400, Bill Meacham <exray@coqui.net> wrote:

>cooncat@privacy.nu wrote:
>>
>> And how many EXTRA class Hams,can even solder a mic connector ???
>
>I can.

Me too. That's two. Enough? How many do you want?

73, Bill W7TI

938. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@jps.net>
Message-ID: <n3uv2tg0du1ncvpvspgigb2j6he89e8ktb@4ax.com>
Source record: 62353
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 7 Dec 2000 15:21:47 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

>> > And how many EXTRA class Hams,can even solder a mic connector ???

Come to think of it, what does SOLDERING have to do with a radio operator's license? An assembler's or technician's certificate, yes, but why a radio license?

73, Bill W7TI

939. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@jps.net>
Message-ID: <khuv2tgbbaa92q65uhrfn2cv4rs6b6eror@4ax.com>
Source record: 62354
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 07 Dec 2000 16:06:43 GMT, "D Stark" <dave@nf2g.com> wrote:

>Why are you clowns blaming ARRL for the "doom" of CW as an amateur mode?
>
>Are you completely unaware that the League is the ONLY ham radio society
>that consistently votes AGAINST eliminating the Morse requirement at
>international conferences? Do you realize how far out of step the United
>States amateur community appears to be to the rest of the world?
>
>-Dave, NF2G

Thank you Dave. A blaze of light amongst all the heat!

73, Bill W7TI

940. Re: Wanted: Siltronix

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <snuv2tov8omru0iddp627cqls9b71n9d9e@4ax.com>
Source record: 62355

On Wed, 06 Dec 2000 21:25:29 -0500, Bill <grassa@mpinet.net> wrote:

>Looking for a MINT or as close to as possible Siltronix 1011D with the
>"40" channel version VFO dial (markings)...Siltronix
>LA-550...Meter/Speaker/Power-meter/Freq Display....
>
>Will swap Brand new Saturn Turbo or whatever for the right
>setup...Wanted for viewing only....
>
>Bill

Maybe Acme boating supplies can help???????

941. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <t2vv7rovj3te33@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62356
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

It amazes me that people make such asinine comments
like "I'm gonna turn in my license" kind of thing.

Well SHUT UP and DO IT! If you're going to be an
ass and make comments like that BACK THEM UP!!

You're just showing yourself to be more of an idiot with
whining like that.

I heard the same thing with the drop to 5wpm. Guys
CLAIMING they were turning in their extras to get
Advanced licenses. What horse hockey. Big mouths
making a lot of noise.

But show me one who did.

You threw down the gauntlet, lets REALLY see you give
up your license.

Or STOP WHINING!

Mike
(And I won't give up my license. I won't say I will,
and I won't. If fun. It's a hobby. It's not a lifestyle.
And if idiots actually DO turn in their license, it's just
one bit less QRM I have to deal with.)

942. Re: BIG RADIO FOR SALE PUTS OUT TO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3dTX5.9658$2P3.683641@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 62357

Reading the replies to this post reinforces the fact: CBers are NOT stupid.
Period.

73s from Rochester, NY
Jim

<elmerpee@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:90lp5ddts1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Yaesu FT-1000 HF/CW,SSB,AM,FM and CB Bands
> Clean Like New 150 wats output digital readout
> Blows Smoke and Kicks Ass with Big D-104 mikephone
> $5000.00 shipped
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

943. Re: Davis RF 50OHM LOW LOSS 9913 EQUIV COAX?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <igTX5.9659$2P3.683923@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 62358

This coax has no solid dielectric. The inner conductor is seperated from
the outer by a spiral wound insulator and it is EXTREMELY susceptable to
invasion by moisture.

73s from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Alan Martin" <chief007@home.com> wrote in message
news:3A2EBD0E.1A8956E9@home.com...
> Anyone familiar with this coax? My swr recently jumped from 1 to just
> over 2. I think some moisture might have gotten in and since its only
> about 20 degrees out side i figure it might have frozen. Does this coax
> have a history of this or what. Any other Ideas would be welcome.
>
> AL

944. Re: Stepping in someones pile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: mutanttv@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001207163413.20201.00001135@ng-mg1.aol.com>
Source record: 62359

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" upplus@hotmail.com wrote:

<<This was such a good trolling thread on Wed, Dec 6, 2000,
that it had to be repeated on Thursday? Are you running
an echo keyboard?

Dennis Lassetter wrote:
>
> Why is it that so many people think that all CB is good for is to see
> who they can block out or coverup with their radio signal?CB radio is
> not a game or contest.
> It is intended for communications for the general public who might have
> a need for such.Seems here lately that people cannot use the radio in
> its intended manner without someone trying to cut them off.We refer to
> it as "Stepping in someones pile".
> I suppose it will never get back to being what it was designed and
> intended to be but I can still wish. Thanks for reading and Have a Merry
> Christmas.
>
> Dennis in Ga.

I don't understand what what so 'trolling' about that post. The guy wants to
see the assholes get booted off cb.....so normal people can use it. You sound
like you might be one of the assholes he's talking about?

945. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Chris <csnichol@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <3A30324C.1DBD@netusa1.net>
Source record: 62360
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Bill,
It would seem you don't have a basic understanding of the differences
between a commercial radio license and an amateur one. We as amateurs
are allowed to build our own equipment without restrictive certification
requirements imposed on commercial manufacturers. This is the major
difference that sets us apart from other radio services. Without this
one major difference we are just CB.

Chris N9LLO

Bill Turner wrote:
>
> On 7 Dec 2000 15:21:47 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> >> > And how many EXTRA class Hams,can even solder a mic connector ???
> ----------
>
> Come to think of it, what does SOLDERING have to do with a radio operator's
> license? An assembler's or technician's certificate, yes, but why a radio
> license?
>
> 73, Bill W7TI

946. Can Santa Claus Really Be Honest???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: scsiudma@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001207170126.13797.00001191@ng-mk1.aol.com>
Source record: 62361

Subject: What if Santa Answered His Letters Honestly?

Deer Santa,
I wud like a kool toy space ranjur fer Xmas. Iv ben a gud boy all yeer.
Yer Frend,
BiLLy

Dear Billy,
Nice spelling. You're on your way to a career in lawn care.How about I send you a fucking book so you can learn to read and write? I'm giving your older brother the space ranger. At least HE can spell!
Santa

ï¿½----------

Dear Santa,
I have been a good girl all year, and the only thing I ask for is peace and joy in the world for everybody!
Love,
Sarah

Dear Sarah,
You're parents smoked pot when they had you, didn't they?
Santa

ï¿½----------

Dear Santa,
I don't know if you can do this, but for Christmas, I'd like for my mommy and daddy to get back together.Please see what you can do.
Love,
Teddy

Dear Teddy,
Look, your dad's banging the babysitter like a screen door in a hurricane. Do you think he's gonna give that up to come back to your frigid mom, who rides his ass constantly? It's time to give up that dream. Let me get you some nice Legos instead.
Santa

ï¿½----------

Dear Santa,
I want a new bike, a Playstation, a train, some G.I. Joes, a dog, a drum kit, a pony and a tuba.
Love,
Francis

Dear Francis,
Who names their kid "Francis" nowadays? I bet you're gay.
Santa

ï¿½----------

Dear Santa,
I left milk and cookies for you under the tree, and I left carrots for your reindeer outside the back door.
Love,
Susan

Dear Susan,
Milk gives me the runs and carrots make the deer fart in my face when riding in the sleigh. You want to do me a favor? Leave me a bottle of scotch.
Santa

ï¿½----------

Dear Santa,
What do you do the other 364 days of the year? Are you busy making toys?
Your friend,
Thomas

Dear Thomas,
All the toys are made in China. I have a condo in Vegas, where I spend most of my time making low-budget porno films. I unwind by drinking myself silly and squeezing theasses of cocktail waitresses while losing money at the craps table. Hey,you wanted to know.
Santa

ï¿½----------

Dear Santa,
Do you see us when we're sleeping, do you really know when we're awake, like in the song?
Love,
Jessica

Dear Jessica,
Are you really that gullible? Good luck in whatever you do. I'm skipping your house.
Santa

ï¿½----------

Dear Santa,
I really really want a puppy this year. Please please, please PLEASE PLEASE could I have one?
Timmy

Dear Timmy,
That whiney begging shit may work with your folks, but that crap doesn't work with me. You're getting a sweater again.
Santa

ï¿½----------

Dearest Santa,
We don't have a chimney in our house, how do you get into our house?
Love,
Marky

Dear Mark,
First, stop calling yourself "Marky", that's why you're getting your ass whipped at school. Second, you don't live in a house, in a low-rent apartment complex. Third, I get inside your pad just like all the burglars do, through your bedroom window.
Sweet Dreams,
Santa

947. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3009F9.7EDA1BDA@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

I'm a CB'er and I can solder a mike connector. Now of the solders,
how many can do it nice and shiny and not a bunch of gray blobs?

cooncat@privacy.nu wrote:
>
> And how many EXTRA class Hams,can even solder a mic connector ???

948. Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90p2ps$6qm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62363

Recent approval of HR2346 indicates that the general public has little if any tolerance or sympathy for illegal Citizens Band activities, especially when they infringe on the rights of the average person to operate a consumer device without being subject to unreasonable interference. It would seem to me the CB community would be vitally interested in cleaning up its act. Instead, I have observed an ostrich-like mentality that continues to deny the problem, combative postures that challenge and defy the law and praise the illegitimate, and laughable propaganda that attempts to stampede the amateur community. Especially here in rec.radio.cb discussion of legal vs illegal should be pursued rather than squelched. Honest debate in the tradition of Mark Fuller should be encouraged. It's your choice. It's your band. You can clean it up or continue to allow other people to soil it.

Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

949. Re: Ham ...?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A300BC2.FBEFC77E@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62364

You can find that and other answers at:
http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/history.html

Ken wrote:
>
> I've always wondered......where does the name "Ham" come from (as far as
> radio of course)?
>
> Does it "stand" for something?
>
> Ken

950. Re: Stepping in someones pile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A300B15.4F20A7AB@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62365

I am a sidebander. I don't get on AM much. Try again.

MutantTV wrote:
>
> I don't understand what what so 'trolling' about that post. The guy wants to
> see the assholes get booted off cb.....so normal people can use it. You sound
> like you might be one of the assholes he's talking about?

951. Re: Stepping in someones pile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001207171922.04721.00001005@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 62366

>"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" upplus@hotmail.com wrote:
>
><<This was such a good trolling thread on Wed, Dec 6, 2000,
>that it had to be repeated on Thursday? Are you running
>an echo keyboard?
>
>Dennis Lassetter wrote:
>>
>> Why is it that so many people think that all CB is good for is to see
>> who they can block out or coverup with their radio signal?CB radio is
>> not a game or contest.
>> It is intended for communications for the general public who might have
>> a need for such.Seems here lately that people cannot use the radio in
>> its intended manner without someone trying to cut them off.We refer to
>> it as "Stepping in someones pile".
>> I suppose it will never get back to being what it was designed and
>> intended to be but I can still wish. Thanks for reading and Have a Merry
>> Christmas.
>>
>> Dennis in Ga.
>
>
>I don't understand what what so 'trolling' about that post. The guy wants to
>see the assholes get booted off cb.....so normal people can use it. You sound
>like you might be one of the assholes he's talking about?

YES! that is the whole point. ONLY the guilty parties are in such an uproar.

952. Re: PEAKABOO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com>
Message-ID: <90p3f0$7bb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62367

257 you sounded real good this morning in my recieve!!!! Take it easy
Pain Gone!!!

In article <5172-3A2EC614-7@storefull-148.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
Two-Five-seven@webtv.net wrote:
> Good talking to you today,Definitely 10-8 on this end.Shoot me that
> e-mail addy whenever you get a chance
>
> 257 NH. On the wheels
>
>

--
MR.PAIN&#65533;

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

953. Re: 27.555 USB is Officially...lost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90p3gq$7bn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62368

In article <20001207141859.28490.00003462@ng-cr1.aol.com>,
n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> >thanks but everybody knew that already.
> >
> >>Just bear in mind that the operators are all engaged in illegal activity,
> >>since
> >>operating above or below the normal 40 is against FCC regs.
> >
> >
> > 73 de Tim
>
> Some dont...and if they do already I know it bums em out to be reminded...
>

actually it does not chicken hawk everything you say is said in ignorance
which is why nobodys paying you no mind chicken hawk boy now that we's know
who you aint

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

954. Elenco long ranger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Alan Martin <chief007@home.com>
Message-ID: <3A300FCB.EC93A81E@home.com>
Source record: 62369

Anybody know anything about this item? history, date of manufacture, value etc. also thanks for the replies to the coax problem.

AL

955. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Julius Peitsch <zeenith1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3a30104d$0$35010$2c3edae7@news.voyager.net>
Source record: 62370
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

What does it matter how many. How many drivers can or care to do even minor service to their autos.
<cooncat@privacy.nu> wrote in message news:3a2f6aa2.1042463@news.nycap.rr.com...
> And how many EXTRA class Hams,can even solder a mic connector ???
>
>

956. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001207173646.04721.00001008@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 62371

>I'm a CB'er and I can solder a mike connector. Now of the solders,
>how many can do it nice and shiny and not a bunch of gray blobs?
>

Whoopie do guys...rather than throw insults maybe you should listen to 6 meters when it is open. Man it is a blast.

How anyone thinks Ham radio is dead is beyond me...If bad things on the air can be compared to body odor ham radio has a few farts in it...CB is an open reeking sewage pit.

957. Re: BIG RADIO FOR SALE PUTS OUT TO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: dethmetalx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001207174139.06893.00002525@ng-mi1.aol.com>
Source record: 62372

that guy is smoking crack
neither the deluze or mp ever sold for that much as far as i remember....what a fruitcake

958. Re: Peakaboo!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Two-Five-seven@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29724-3A300D94-87@storefull-141.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62373

Hello Pain.Are you the Pain I spoke to today on 26.915?

257 NH. On the wheels

959. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Just Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <oi503to441plqmvsn65rm1tqpod9kimnsq@4ax.com>
Source record: 62374
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

matthew@pinky.uniqsys.com (Matthew T. Jachimstal) opened the coffin lid and croaked...

>I got my Extra on April 15, 2000, and I've been soldering (including mic
>connectors) for 15 years.

And now for your next question....

How many people have you Elmered??

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

960. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Major Tom <ground@control.com>
Message-ID: <NFUX5.88$2Y.21012@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 62375

I think the general public doesnt have a clue about HR2346 . The only people affected will be people who are suffering from interference whether it is from a illegal or legal station there complaints may be heard by a police officer . Many of the so called effected devices fall under part 15 they must accept undesirable operation. End of Story.
<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:90p2ps$6qm$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Recent approval of HR2346 indicates that the general public has little
> if any tolerance or sympathy for illegal Citizens Band activities,
> especially when they infringe on the rights of the average person to
> operate a consumer device without being subject to unreasonable
> interference. It would seem to me the CB community would be vitally
> interested in cleaning up its act. Instead, I have observed an
> ostrich-like mentality that continues to deny the problem, combative
> postures that challenge and defy the law and praise the illegitimate,
> and laughable propaganda that attempts to stampede the amateur
> community. Especially here in rec.radio.cb discussion of legal vs
> illegal should be pursued rather than squelched. Honest debate in the
> tradition of Mark Fuller should be encouraged. It's your choice. It's
> your band. You can clean it up or continue to allow other people to soil
> it.
>
> Aaron Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

961. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Just Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <8k503to6lhdtlfhoiegq7jin828s7qudre@4ax.com>
Source record: 62376
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"dimpledchad" <outofthebldg5@hotmail.com> opened the coffin lid and croaked...

>Hey Bud,
>
>Why don't you guys in 6-land start by
>cleaning up the MESS on your 2 meter
>repeaters in SoCa? Heck, I ain't heard more
>unlicenced spanish speaking bootleggers on
>the air, even on the high end of 20M band!

You know, I DID try to get something done.

It's not the Spanish that bothered me, it was the profanity and complete
lack of rules on several high-powered, well-positioned repeaters up
toward L.A..

I was told but SANDARC (sp?) that they weren't willing to take action
against those systems, because if they WERE to get them shut down,
the users there would get on other repeaters (club systems) and do the
same thing.

I pretty much quit using my license after that.

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

962. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Meacham <exray@coqui.net>
Message-ID: <3A301793.3EC90EEF@coqui.net>
Source record: 62377
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
The reality of that is that the dork who can't solder on a
connector certainly isn't gonna make it to the airwaves with
a homebrew rig.
-BM

Chris wrote:
>
> Bill,
> It would seem you don't have a basic understanding of the differences
> between a commercial radio license and an amateur one. We as amateurs
> are allowed to build our own equipment without restrictive certification
> requirements imposed on commercial manufacturers. This is the major
> difference that sets us apart from other radio services. Without this
> one major difference we are just CB.
>
> Chris N9LLO
>
> Bill Turner wrote:
> >
> > On 7 Dec 2000 15:21:47 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> >
> > >> > And how many EXTRA class Hams,can even solder a mic connector ???
> > _____________________________________________________________________
> >
> > Come to think of it, what does SOLDERING have to do with a radio operator's
> > license? An assembler's or technician's certificate, yes, but why a radio
> > license?
> >
> > 73, Bill W7TI

--
-Bill Meacham
Vieques, PR
```

963. Re: 27.555 USB is Officially...lost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001207180335.04706.00001149@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 62378

>actually it does not chicken hawk everything you say is said in ignorance
>which is why nobodys paying you no mind chicken hawk boy now that we's know
>who you aint
>

You know nothing, 1mangang of root tooters...thats why you are so funny...
Merry Christmas...hope you get a new set of false teeth.

964. Joke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Buckeye Web Design <webdoc@bright.net>
Message-ID: <3A302270.96255B87@bright.net>
Source record: 62379

The Pentagon recently found it had too many generals and offered an early retirement bonus. They promised any general who retired straight away his full annual benefits plus $10,000 for every inch measured in a straight line between any two points on the general's body, with the general getting to select any pair of points he wished.

The first man, an Air Force general, accepted. He asked the pension man to measure from the top of his head to the tip of his toes. Six feet. He walked out with a check of $720,000.
The second man, an Army general, asked them to measure from the tip of his outstretched hands to his toes. Eight feet. He walked out with a check for $960,000.

When the third general, a grizzled old Marine, was asked where to measure, he told the pension man: "From the tip of my penis to the bottom of my testicles." The pension man suggested that perhaps the Marine General might like to reconsider, pointing out the nice checks the previous two generals had received. The Marine insisted and the pension expert said that would be fine but that he'd better get the medical officer to
do the measuring. The medical officer attended and asked the general to drop 'em. He did.

The medical officer placed the tape on the tip of the general's penis and began to work back. "My God!" he said. "Where are your testicles?" The general replied, "In Vietnam."

:)

965. defintion of a true friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Buckeye Web Design <webdoc@bright.net>
Message-ID: <3A3022EC.E2FC2E2E@bright.net>
Source record: 62380

> > When you are sad,.............
> > I will get you drunk and help you plot revenge against the scum sucking
> > bastard who made you sad.
> >
> > When you are scared,.........
> > I will laugh at you and tease you about it every chance I get.
> >
> > When you are worried,.........
> > I will tell you how much worse it could be and to quit complaining.
> >
> > When you are confused,........
> > I will use little words to explain it to your dumb ass.
> >
> > When you are sick.........
> > I will hold your hair while you pay homage to the porcelain God.
> >
> > When you fall......
> > I will point and laugh at your clumsy ass.
> >
> > This is my oath..............
> > I pledge till the end.
> >
> > Why you may ask?....... ....
> > Because you're my friend.

966. joke 4

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Buckeye Web Design <webdoc@bright.net>
Message-ID: <3A302350.8786686E@bright.net>
Source record: 62381

A few days after Christmas, a mother was working in the kitchen and listening to her son playing with his new electric train in the living room. She heard the train stop and her son said "All of you sons of bitches who want off, get the hell off now 'cause this is the last stop, and all of you sons of bitches who are getting on, get your asses on the train 'cause we're leaving."

The mother went in and told her son, "We don't use that kind of language in this house. Now I want you to go to your room for two hours. When you come out, you may play with your train again, but I expect you to use nice language.

Two hours later,the mother was still working in the kitchen. Her son comes out of the bedroom and resumes playing with his train. Soon the train stopped and the mother heard her son say, "All passengers who are disembarking the train, please remember to take all of your belongings with you. We thank you for riding with us today, and hope your trip was a pleasant one. We hope you will ride with us again. For those of you just boarding, we ask you to stow all of your luggage under the seat. Remember, this is a non-smoking train except in the club car. We hope you will have a pleasant and relaxing journey with us today, and for those of you who are pissed off about the two hour delay, please see the bitch in the kitchen."

967. Re: BACON ...?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: DCLIFF@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4543-3A301806-33@bsg-storefull-1112.public.svc.webtv.net>
Source record: 62382

I prefer bacon.where did the word "bacon" come from?

Dennis in Ga.

968. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <90p5v6$1t5hm$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62383
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Bill Meacham" <exray@coqui.net> wrote in message
news:3A301793.3EC90EEF@coqui.net...
> The reality of that is that the dork who can't solder on a
> connector certainly isn't gonna make it to the airwaves with
> a homebrew rig.
> -BM

In all reality, he'll probably make it to the air with a rig out of the box.

--
Regards,
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

969. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90p62iqpe1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 62384
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Once we became extra class we no longer had to do such mundane tasks as
soldering. these tasks are best relegated to those that have not achieved
our exalted status. We are gods of amateur radio!

"Cecil" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3A2F9DD1.8DF00236@IEEE.org...
> cooncat@privacy.nu wrote:
> > And how many EXTRA class Hams,can even solder a mic connector ???
>
> You may be on to something. My hands shake worse since I got
> an EXTRA class license so soldering is more difficult.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

970. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Dennis Kaylor <k4oxg@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3A301C37.A5C8BBD1@earthlink.net>
Source record: 62385
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

sounds like you are an extra class operator who is scared he lost the elite status you felt you had cause you could do something not everyone else could do poor baby if you restrict the bands like that the hobby will die and everyone will lose a lot more than your elite status of being an extra class operator

Wouff Hong wrote:

> The ARRL, America's Rotten Radio League, screwed us over a year ago. Cancel
> your membership before they screw you again. The ARRL board of directors
> wants to turn amateur radio into CB! If you cancel your membership the only
> people the ARRL board of directors will be able to screw is each other. Just
> wait, 13 months from now the CW bands will shrink, and CW testing will be
> ended. Thank you ARRL for turning our ham bands into SHIT!

971. joke 3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Buckeye Web Design <webdoc@bright.net>
Message-ID: <3A302317.7415AFED@bright.net>
Source record: 62386

```
At age 4.....success is...not peeing in your pants.
At age 12....success is...having friends.
At age 16....success is...having a drivers license.
At age 20....success is...having sex.
At age 35....success is...having money.
At age 50....success is...having money.
At age 60....success is...having sex.
At age 70....success is...having a drivers license.
At age 75....success is...having friends.
At age 80....success is...not peeing in your pants.
```

972. joke 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Buckeye Web Design <webdoc@bright.net>
Message-ID: <3A3022A5.60B6636D@bright.net>
Source record: 62387

The teacher gave her fifth grade class an assignment:
> Get their parents to tell them a story with a moral at the
> end of it.
>
> The next day the kids came back and one by one began to
> tell their stories.
>
> Kathy said, "My father's a farmer and we have a lot of
> egg laying hens.
>
> One time we were taking our eggs to market in a basket on
> the front seat of the pickup when we hit a bump in the road
> and all the eggs went flying and broke and made a mess."
> "And what's the moral of the story?" asked the teacher.
> "Don't put all your eggs in one basket!" "Very good," said
> the teacher.
>
> Next little Lucy raised and hand and said, "Our family are
> farmers too. But we raise chickens for the meat market.
> We had a dozen eggs one time, but when they hatched we
> only got ten live chicks and the moral to this story is, don't
> count your chickens until they're hatched."
>
> "That was a fine story Lucy. Johnny, do you have a story to
> share?"
>
> "Yes, ma'am, my daddy told me this story about my Aunt
> Sue. Aunt Sue was a flight engineer in Desert Storm
> and her plane got hit. She had to bail out over enemy
> territory and all she had was a bottle of whiskey, a machine
> gun and a machete. She drank the whiskey on the way
> down so it wouldn't break and then she landed right in the
> middle of 100 enemy troops. She killed seventy of them
> with the machine gun until she ran out of bullets, then she
> killed twenty more with the machete till the blade broke
> and then she killed the last ten with her bare hands.
>
> "Good heavens," said the horrified teacher, "what kind of
> moral did your daddy tell you from that horrible story?
>
> "Stay the Hell away from Aunt Sue when she's been drinking."

973. Re: BIG RADIO FOR SALE PUTS OUT TO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001207183340.02076.00006655@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 62388

><elmerpee@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:90lp5ddts1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>> Yaesu FT-1000 HF/CW,SSB,AM,FM and CB Bands
>> Clean Like New 150 wats output digital readout
>> Blows Smoke and Kicks Ass with Big D-104 mikephone
>> $5000.00 shipped
>>
>>
>> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>> Before you buy.
>
>
>
>
>Blows smoke??...Kicks ass??...These are hardly Ham terms... Let me get this
straight..You are trying to market CB'ers with a $5000.00 Ham radio??? If these
guys want a radio that "blows smoke and kicks ass" they'll just tune up a cobra
2000 or a nice galaxy saturn for a hell of a lot cheaper....Wow...not that
makes sense huh???...read group name..rec.radio.CB!!..

937....chicago

974. Re: Illegal trolls.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob <bob@bob.com>
Message-ID: <3A3024F9.9EC92493@bob.com>
Source record: 62389

Good troll, good. Every angle of HR2346 has been covered here, so go
back using your crappy deja news search feature and read some of it.

aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> Recent approval of HR2346 indicates that the general public has little
> if any tolerance or sympathy for illegal Citizens Band activities,
> especially when they infringe on the rights of the average person to
> operate a consumer device without being subject to unreasonable
> interference. It would seem to me the CB community would be vitally
> interested in cleaning up its act. Instead, I have observed an
> ostrich-like mentality that continues to deny the problem, combative
> postures that challenge and defy the law and praise the illegitimate,
> and laughable propaganda that attempts to stampede the amateur
> community. Especially here in rec.radio.cb discussion of legal vs
> illegal should be pursued rather than squelched. Honest debate in the
> tradition of Mark Fuller should be encouraged. It's your choice. It's
> your band. You can clean it up or continue to allow other people to soil
> it.
>
> Aaron Voobner
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

975. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Steve - KF2TI <kf2ti@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1499f280da6f59fd98968b@nntp.mindspring.com>
Source record: 62390
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Reply-To: "dimpledchad" <outofthebldg5@hotmail.com>
From: "dimpledchad" <outofthebldg5@hotmail.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.r
adio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap
References: <90hf4h$2b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<20001205003202.05077.00000042@nso-mf.aol.com> <Rotten_radio_League@arrl>
<%5DX5.18621$sS2.367868@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
<3A2F0EEE.7C37F6F7@coqui.net>
Subject: Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!
Lines: 37
Organization: dimple on my pimple it's so simple
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <yrRX5.46156$II2.4144100@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Thu, 07 Dec 2000 19:22:06 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 4.48.174.35
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 976216926 4.48.174.35 (Thu, 07
Dec 2000 11:22:06 PST)
```

```
Reply-To: "Rettysnich" <cupofsoup@aol.com>
From: "Rettysnich" <cupofsoup@aol.com>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.r
adio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap
References: <90hf4h$2b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
<20001205003202.05077.00000042@nso-mf.aol.com> <Rotten_radio_League@arrl>
<%5DX5.18621$sS2.367868@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Subject: Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!
Lines: 12
Organization: have some red stuff sonnie!
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
Message-ID: <zDOX5.45162$II2.4099545@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Date: Thu, 07 Dec 2000 16:10:07 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 4.48.174.90
X-Complaints-To: abuse@earthlink.net
X-Trace: newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net 976205407 4.48.174.90 (Thu, 07
```

Dec 2000 08:10:07 PST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 07 Dec 2000 08:10:07 PST

The snitcher and the dimpler are 1 and the same??? Hmmmm Same NNTP,
same software, same earthlink account hmmmm

Must be a left coast thing

--
A future fair,
affair for all and
no fair for anyone.

FST - We're all bozos on this bus

976. Re: Are All 102" Steel Whips Equal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Scott <yetbbwa@grove.iup.edu>
Message-ID: <3A303026.1EE2F710@grove.iup.edu>
Source record: 62391

hillbilly wrote:
>
> if installed right it won't have
> any swr...

Except at resonance, where the SWR will be around 1.7:1 (~36Ohms).

-Scott.

977. Re: Bill Clinton is my hero

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: picloud <A@A.com>
Message-ID: <t30cqhb34vou4a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62392

N8WWM is one of those guys that say, "Yes sir, I have nothing to hide." when the cops ask him if they can come in and look around. It's a combination of German parentage and double digit IQ.

N8wwm <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message news:20001202142957.09164.00002052@ng-cf1.aol.com...
>
>
> The CB bill is the greatest thing he ever did. I have howled with laughter over
> it.

978. Re: Twisty-Scottiekins-tnom-3way wedding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: picloud <A@A.com>
Message-ID: <t30cqj5itcmv4c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62393

It's interesting that the nerdier hams have dropped in here to post flamebait.

N8wwm <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message news:20001204121229.15083.00001029@ng-mj1.aol.com...
> Make sure you get some condoms boys...
> It would be a tragedy if you caught hoof and mouth disease from each other.

979. Re: Bill Clinton

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: picloud <A@A.com>
Message-ID: <t30cqib09qaj4b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62394

Sieg Heil! Bill
Jeffrey Herman <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:90cdu5$24n$1@news.hawaii.edu...
> Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay) <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >Still whining and trolling. Go ahead and call me some more names and
> >fling some more insults. You're great entertainment. I know your peers
> >are howling with laughter AT you now.
>
>
> Hi Scott -- I agree fully with N8WWN. Anything that helps to reduce
> the lawlessness in this nation is fully supported by the vast majority
> of us.
>
> Take care,
> Jeff KH6O
>
> >N8wwn wrote:
> >> The CB bill is the greatest thing he ever did.
>

980. Re: Bill Clinton is my hero

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: picloud <A@A.com>
Message-ID: <t30cqk5oqomj4e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62395

And that's probably all he knows about BC. Double digit, man, double digit.

N8wwm <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001203135543.03189.00002327@ng-fg1.aol.com...
> >If Billy clinton is yo hero then A MURDERER is your hero, a draft dodgin'
> >crack head is your hero, a Sodomite faggot is your hero, an
UNconstitutional
> >treasonous wimp is your hero....boy, what does that say about you?
Billy
> >doesn't have a CB--what do your sick thoughts have to do with CB?
> >Dave!!!!!!
>
> He is only my hero for the CB law he signed.

981. amplifier for my CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: picloud <A@A.com>
Message-ID: <t30cqkri6bs24f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62396

I have a project in Mexico and I need an amp for my radio shack mobile units. Please direct me to the right resources if you would. NB, amps are legal in Mexico, thanks.

--
Cheers,

piCloud

982. Re: WHAT THE HELL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: ")======}||||||||||||||||||}>----------"
Message-ID: <IRWX5.306$n52.85049@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Source record: 62397

The only time I hear you beating California is late in the evening in the states. When it is dark in Cali you still have daylight. I am just being honest. On an average you give my radio a 9. The guys in Cali give my radio a 30 but there signal dies off long before yours does.
"Mod Squad" <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message news:nvoX5.15028$i4.45866@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com...
> I know how u feel your 16 pill on a 10 element beam can't deal with my 8
> pill mobile shame on the mess
>
>
> "G SEVEN" <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:90l153$3eq4$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> > <typo> should have said,"gost roger."
> >
> > KRS
> >
> > Mod Squad <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:oPhX5.14856$i4.41892@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com...
> > > I spend more time live on the superbowl getting my rogers something most
> > of
> > > you can't do. Aloha
> > >
> > >
> > > "TJ1072" <tj1072@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20001205214029.10146.00002061@ng-md1.aol.com...
> > > > What happened to all the good cb argueing out here on the ole rec.cb
> > > group? Or
> > > > should we now call it the rec.hamgeek group? Whats with all the stupid
> > ass
> > > ham
> > > > crap? So the local police have authority with high power cb now....Big
> > > frickin
> > > > deal!!!!... Let these cops start arguin with guys like CD and Wild
> Bill.
> > > Now
> > > > these are some REAL cb Defenders!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > 937...chicago...gettin off this maul so professor can talk!!!!
> > > >
> > >
> > >

> >
> >
>
>
>

983. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bob <bob@bob.com>
Message-ID: <3A303C82.AB38B657@bob.com>
Source record: 62548

It all very simple. There are 10 million other threads for you to post *your* feelings on HR2346..but that wouldn't stand out enough. It would be buried in the with the rest of useless posts. I'm saying you're a troll..I don't care about your views. Views which, have been repeated over and over in the other 10 million threads here and other ham/cb boards. Get it now?

On top of that troll, when I stopped and asked the local policeman if he knew what HR2346 was, he replied "Huh?". Theres nothing to talk about now...nobody knows how this is going to play out.

Bob the illegal CBer, committing crime against humanity.

aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> In article <3A3024F9.9EC92493@bob.com>,
> Bob <bob@bob.com> wrote:
> > Good troll, good. Every angle of HR2346 has been covered here, so go
> > back using your crappy deja news search feature and read some of it.
> >
>
> This is exactly the kind of puzzling (to me) attitude I am talking
> about. Why is it that if I express an opinion that supports legal CB I
> am called a "troll"?
>
> Last time I checked, the name of this group was "rec.radio.cb", not
> "rec.radio.illegal".
>
> Aaron Voobner
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

984. Re: Peakaboo!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: -=PEAKABOO=- <peakaboo@keydowns.com>
Message-ID: <RZWX5.310$n52.87300@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Source record: 62549

What does "On The Wheels" mean?

<Two-Five-seven@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:29724-3A300D94-87@storefull-141.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Hello Pain.Are you the Pain I spoke to today on 26.915?
>
> 257 NH. On the wheels
>
>

985. Re: Bill Clinton is my hero

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: picloud <A@A.com>
Message-ID: <t30f6cclt9b9ee@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62550

Now here is a well considered post that does credit to HAM operators and newsgroupies alike. Thank you Fred!

To make statements like, "Bill Clinton is my hero" should be obvious for what it is, flamebait.
Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message news:90ef53ini1@iac5.navix.net...
> While I hesitate to get involved in inane arguments, I have watched you, who
> purports to be a ham create hate and discontent on this newsgroup. What in
> the world is to be gained by this practice? Many of the hams here would like
> to be of assistance to anybody that has a desire to become a ham. I would
> suggest you follow the example of thumper rabbit, "if you can't say
> something nice, say nothing at all". You appear to attribute to ALL CBers
> the lawlessness of the few. I think this does little to foster any
> understanding. Please take a moment to re-read your posts before sending
> them, ask yourself, How would I react to receiving this message?
>
> 73 Fred W4JLE
> Ex V31GR V3CB KB4NGB KJ4JE W4JLE/PJ Hamming since the 50's and enjoying it
> more every day.
> "N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20001203135543.03189.00002327@ng-fg1.aol.com...
> > >If Billy clinton is yo hero then A MURDERER is your hero, a draft dodgin'
> > >crack head is your hero, a Sodomite faggot is your hero, an
> UNconstitutional
> > >treasonous wimp is your hero....boy, what does that say about you?
> Billy
> > >doesn't have a CB--what do your sick thoughts have to do with CB?
> > >Dave!!!!!!
> >
> > He is only my hero for the CB law he signed.
>
>

986. Re: Bill Clinton is my hero

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: picloud <A@A.com>
Message-ID: <t30f6d99blkref@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62551

N8wwm lives with his mother and giggles when female body parts are
mentioned. He wears a worn out pocket protector.
N8wwm <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001204115107.15083.00001023@ng-mj1.aol.com...
> >Dave KG5XR
> >http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
> >On Bull Shoales Lake, Ark/Mo State Line
> >Fishing is great & crappie are biting
> >
> >
>
> And the men are men and the sheep are nervous

987. Re: My point has been made

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: picloud <A@A.com>
Message-ID: <t30f6ei9mcvaf0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62552

Yeah, but he does reinforce a certain stereotype ...

G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:90e4j1$519i$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> This is true, I know a few HAMS that are great people regardless of our
> indifference in radios operation.
>
> KRS
>
>
> B-Squared <rbethman@home.com> wrote in message
> news:VkwW5.143090$RP.26838476@news1.rdc1.md.home.com...
> > "He" is NOT indicative of the majority.
> >
> > N0DGN
> >
> > "Hippie Freak" <oneqck76ta@aol.comSpAmSuX> wrote in message
> > news:20001202162326.10765.00002518@ng-fa1.aol.com...
> > > >I am gonna sit back a while, chill out, and let you guys run your
jaws.
> > Have
> > > > >fun on the CB...I am sure some of you will make the newspapers...
> > > > >
> > > > >Quietly chuckling
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > And to think I was going to actually try and get my ham ticket. This
guy
> > has
> > > > opened my eyes and I have decided not to because who would want to
> > hangout and
> > > > talk to a bunch of self centered idiots like him. I would like to
> > thankyou. I
> > > > think I will stick to my good old CB. Where everyone seem to get along
> > just
> > > > fine.
> > > >
> > > > Later
> > > >
> > > > oneqck76ta@aol.com
> > > > http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta
> > > >

> > > You've got '459' out of Minneapolis Minnesota and i'm back quiet!
> > >
> > > ****Hippie Freak****
> > > http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta/cbradio
> >
> >
>
>

988. Re: My point has been made

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: picloud <A@A.com>
Message-ID: <t30f6g2ohcm6f2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62553

Why? Why are you here? Your self esteem is such that you feel you deserve
to spend much time with people you feel are assholes and generally beneath
you???

Professor <bgriffey@kwom.com> wrote in message
news:00tW5.14995$322.202916@e420r-sjo3.usenetserver.com...
> Let em have it N8WWM !
> I'm not a ham myself... and can't really comment on the ham group in
> general... but I do know that most CBers are total aholes and aren't worth
> the air they breathe. This NG is no exception... be glad these morons
don't
> frequent your bands much.
> I, on the other hand, put up with this on a daily basis.
>
> --
>
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> "Professor"
> http://personal.kwom.com/bgriffey/
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
>
>
>

989. Re: N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: picloud <A@A.com>
Message-ID: <t30f6gpj0eomf3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62554

Here the personality is revealed:

> Nyuk nyuk nyuk nyuk

990. Re: N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: picloud <A@A.com>
Message-ID: <t30f6hlv80o5f4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62555

He probably flashes little girls from his mother's window

N8wwm <n8wwm@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001203115432.09170.00001968@ng-cf1.aol.com...
> >Uh, I don't think anyone in this ng would use the term "we" and have you
> >considered.
>
> likely so...but you guys do use the term 'WEEEEEEE" a lot.
>
> It is the sound of your whining over the new law Clinton signed.
>
> Nyuk nyuk nyuk nyuk

991. Inspection authority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: picloud <A@A.com>
Message-ID: <t30f6ii61qlnf5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62556

I copied this for the good of the ng:

Q: What happens if I do not allow the FCC agent to inspect my equipment?

A: Failure to allow inspection forecloses the opportunity to resolve the problem. Thus, refusal to allow inspection is a serious challenge to the Commission's authority to inspect radio stations and is a violation of the Rules. Such a refusal may lead to revocation of a license, maximum monetary forfeiture, or other Commission sanctions.

So, if you have a CB transmitter you could lose your license, hmmm... sounds like a HAM problem to me!

--
Cheers,

piCloud

992. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Little <dalite01@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <90pevm$s2s$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 62557
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

No where in my post(s) have I ever advocated or will advocate in the future
that there should be any lessening of the technical requirements of the
exam.

Spin me a little, but don't make me dizzy. OK?

David KD4NUE

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:90ocpd$53d$0@208.207.71.171...
>
> Noble thought but dead wrong in the implementation. Tests today require
> no technical knowledge whatever should that be the route to the license
> preferred by the applicant, and why shouldn't it be? The idea that code
> free or technical knowledge-free licenses will draw a influx of
> technical talent has been soundly
> disproved by the history of the past 10 years.>

> David Little wrote:
> >
> > This is an example of what self-regulating conditions is about. Some
> > problems tend to cure them selves and go away without intervention.
> >
> > This is the epitome of the concept "My father beat me when I was a
child,
> > and you're Damn right, I'm gonna beat my kids too".
> >
> > No body mentioned taking disipline out of the regiment of licensing
> > requirements. This is the reason that Ham radio faces the current peril
it
> > is experiencing in shrinking numbers and likelihood of spectrum
privileges
> > to match the numbers. Any attempt to allow transfusion of new technical
> > lifeblood is viewed as a fatal stab wound.
>
>

993. Only purpose of the new regs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: picloud <A@A.com>
Message-ID: <t30gch6a7m5ie7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62558

Fortunately there is one more or less Libertarian crowd left in radio land and it is on the CB bands where the feds just can't get to us .. yet. If the courts award Gore the presidency look out!

The only purpose of the new regs was to find another group for whom the fourth amendment would no longer apply -HAM radio operators. There is a certain stereotype that suggests HAM's may be especially compliant, that might even welcome such regs and feel privileged to have their privacy invaded.

These are the people you meet who think compulsory lie detector tests, drug tests, searches, etc. are fine under the "if you have nothing to hide" theory.

Forget about the integrity and dignity of free men. These kinds of people have always been with us and are often a majority of the population. Don't forget there was a lot of sympathy for the Nazi's in this country before it became politically intolerable to express such sentiments.

The people who approve these kinds of regs are the kinds of people who helped Hitler and Mussolini, Stalin and Mao, rise to power. The American revolution nearly failed because of the large number of them in the colonies. So they are with us an always will be.

You just have to keep fighting for your freedom. Vote, write letters, write guest editorials in your local paper and when you're on a dark road and find a guy like N8wwm and there is no one else around .. shoot him, for the sake of freedom!

--
Cheers,

piCloud

994. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: picloud <A@A.com>
Message-ID: <t30hieb503hve6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62559

I dislike that kind of flaming too. On the other hand, you are actually writing in support of further empowering a federal agency with extra-4th amendment powers, further eroding the value of the constitution. There is nothing wonderful about transmitters that interfere with television, computers, aviation radio communications (my pet peeve) but I would rather have these inconveniences than give another federal cop more search authority.
<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:90p9nrceu1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <3A3024F9.9EC92493@bob.com>,
> Bob <bob@bob.com> wrote:
> > Good troll, good. Every angle of HR2346 has been covered here, so go
> > back using your crappy deja news search feature and read some of it.
> >
>
> This is exactly the kind of puzzling (to me) attitude I am talking
> about. Why is it that if I express an opinion that supports legal CB I
> am called a "troll"?
>
> Last time I checked, the name of this group was "rec.radio.cb", not
> "rec.radio.illegal".
>
> Aaron Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

995. Re: trucker's strike

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: picloud <A@A.com>
Message-ID: <t30hifetab0be8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62560
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

AhhHa! Let's get that going .. if you can take a few weeks off work I can
do without some things or pay more for them for awhile...
GLC1173 wrote in message
news:20001207191651.28852.00003838@ng-cl1.aol.com...
> Aaron wrote:
> >Recent approval of HR2346 indicates that >the general public has little
> >if any tolerance or sympathy for illegal >Citizens Band activities,
> >especially when they infringe on the rights >of the average person to
> >operate a consumer device without being >subject to unreasonable
> >interference.
>
> LOBBYISTS. Have you ever heard of them? They - not any public
consensus -
> are how federal legislation gets passed (or not passed).
> Don't you get it? Congress routinely passes thousand-page bills
very
> few - if any - congresscritters even read before voting on. Bills are
commonly
> drafted by lobbyists. Forget your junior-high civics class.
> The fact that nobody thought much before passing HR 2346 is easily
shown by
> the simple question of whether or not local police have the technical
> wherewithal to tell whether the reason Ms. Smith's stereo is playing her
> neighbor's CB instead of music is the fault of her stereo having
inadequate
> shielding and filtering - or of him having a linear.
> How a
nationwide
> trucker's strike can end Algore's coup fast
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> Dissident news - plus immigration, gun rights, nationwide weather
> Al Gore - in his own words
> ALAMANCE INDEPENDENT:
> official newspaper of the Vast Right Wing Conspiracy
>

996. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A30594D.63FCBA82@oio.net>
Source record: 62561

picloud,
You lost me... what federal police officers?
'Doc

997. LET'S ANALYZE HR 2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <3A305932.4BEE@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 62562
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Since I have a CB, therefore, I am a CBer when using a CB and a HAM
Radio Licensee when using an Amateur Radio. So much for my disclaimer.
I have served as an OO (Official Observer with the ARRL), For those not
familiar with the term, think FCC Ham Auxilliary. As a paralegal in
Louisiana, I hope to analyze this bill and what I see as the future of
the Radio hobbies, both CB and HAM. I live in the 3rd Congressional
District (Home of Rep. Billy Tauzin) and did express an interest in the
Bill to His Office as a parivate citizen. From the Congressional Record,
this bill was on steamroller, so methinks that
local govt types lobbied heavy for the bill.

H. R. 2346

SECTION 1. STATE AND LOCAL ENFORCEMENT OF FEDERAL COMMU-NICATIONS
COMMISSION REGULATIONS ON USE OF CITI-ZENS
BAND RADIO EQUIPMENT.
Section 302 of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C.
302a) is amended by adding at the end the following:

---With Mr. Clinton's Signature, it became the Law Or did it?

ï¿½ï¿½(f)(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2),

---A limit or exception is placed in the bill to prevent regulation of
---"licensed" operations, hey wait a minute isn't CB licensed by rule?
---True, so long as Part 95 isn't violated.

a State or local
government may enact a statute or ordinance

---That is the permission given, TO ENACT a statute or Ordinance which
---sets forth a prohibition of a violation (very important, since it is
---only a prohibition (meaning Injuctions and fines)) furthermore, a
---local govt entitity must enact a "Law" before allowing themselves to
---"regulate" CB.

that prohibits a
violation of the following regulations of the Commission under
this section:

-Now the locals have passed a "LAW!"

ï¿½ï¿½(A) A regulation that prohibits a use of citizens band
radio equipment not authorized by the Commission.

---Meaning? Anything NOT TYPE APPROVED (see tag on
---your radio, no FCC approval, BINGO, violation, so what's next?

---if no Amateur License, ipso facto (by the facts) A VIOLATION.
---Now, does Officer Friendly, your Local LEO, know about thes things?
---Probably not much, so his two main concerns at this point will be:
---1. What kind of radio or equipment is this?
---2. Do you have a license for this stuff?
---Remember the word USE in 1(A), well define "in use" does that that
---mean possession or actual operation? GREY AREA!

ï¿½ï¿½(B) A regulation that prohibits the unauthorized operation
of citizens band radio equipment on a frequency between 24
MHz and 35 MHz.

---AH, unathorized operation is pretty clear but who will determine
---unauthorized operation? Well, remember Officer Friendly, our local
---LEO, will he be sufficiently trained or will others (meaning some
type
---of radio cops i.e. OO or local annointed radio types be put in
charge?)
---Detection is 9/10ths of determining the specific violation. Inside
the ---Amateur 10 Meter Band, Amateurs Self Police their bands (I know
its not a
---perfect world, Hams hve their fools also) so will Officer Friendly
use
---the Hams, it will depend on the locality and how close the hobbyists
are
---to Officialdom. In some places, I could envision athe Hams being
given
---free rein and other places being prosecurted for that "Darn CB Radio"
---since some folks don't know the difference!

ï¿½ï¿½(2) A station that is licensed by the Commission pursuant
to section 301 in any radio service for the operation at issue shall
not be subject to action by a State or local government under
this subsection.

--=Pretty clear, but will it work? The key words are, "Operation at
issue"
---since operation by a Ham outside 10 meters will probably not be
covered by
---his or her license. Any Amateur Licensee who tries to cover 27.555
---Operation with his or her License will probably get a rude awakening
---since the review procedures will be in front of the FCC with
concurrent
---jurisdiction.

A State or local government statute or ordinance
enacted for purposes of this subsection shall identify the exemption
available under this paragraph.

---A clause that must be included to spell out the exemptions and how
---to apply them.

ï¿½ï¿½(3) The Commission shall, to the extent practicable,

---"Extent Practicable" is a fine way to say, "I am limited by my
---resources."

provide
technical guidance to State and local governments regarding the
detection and determination of violations of the regulations specified
in paragraph (1).

---Probably it will be just a booklet and guidepost written to set out
---the basic facts, how to identify non type accepted radios, what is
---a linear, what are the legal modes of operation, what is AM and SSB,
---legal power limits and determination of power output. Will this be
---enough? Only time will tell after we can see what will be developed.
---FCC resources are limited, it will behoove us to see what manner
--of technical assistance will be given.

ï¿½ï¿½(4)(A) In addition to any other remedy authorized by law,
a person affected by the decision of a State or local government
agency enforcing a statute or ordinance under paragraph (1) may
submit to the Commission an appeal of the decision on the grounds
that the State or local government, as the case may be, enacted
a statute or ordinance outside the authority provided in this
sub-section.

---It will be prudent to a locality to only legislate what is in their
---direct power to regulate, anything above their power (Ultra Vires)
---could leave them open to attack.

ï¿½ï¿½(B) A person shall submit an appeal on a decision of a State
or local government agency to the Commission under this para-graph,
if at all, not later than 30 days after the date on which
the decision by the State or local government agency becomes
final, but prior to seeking judicial review of such decision.

---Within 30 days is a short time period yet as long as the commission
---puts out a formula for timely appeals and follows through with a
---knowledgable person to review the cases, however, if it becomes a
---hassle to deal with, judicial review could cause headaches with
---precedents being set about radio operation outside the Federal
---Court System.

ï¿½ï¿½(C) The Commission shall make a determination on an appeal
submitted under subparagraph (B) not later than 180 days after
its submittal.

---180 days is generous, long enough to really run a legal bill.
---Bad decesions should be set aside quickly as a routine matter.

ï¿½ï¿½(D) If the Commission determines under subparagraph (C)
that a State or local government agency has acted outside its
authority in enforcing a statute or ordinance, the Commission shall
preempt the decision enforcing the statute or ordinance.

---If preempted, how quickly can this clause be carried out?

ï¿½ï¿½(5) The enforcement of statute or ordinance that prohibits
a violation of a regulation by a State or local government under
paragraph (1) in a particular case shall not preclude the Commission
from enforcing the regulation in that case concurrently.

---The killer clause, if the local system says you are using high power
---on freeband and you counter with the claim that you were operating
---on 10 meters and if the evidence points to illegal operation, you
might
---just face locals and FCC action. Double Jeopardy you say, but in
civil
---actions its dicey.

ï¿½ï¿½(6) Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to diminish
or otherwise affect the jurisdiction of the Commission under this
section over devices capable of interfering with radio communica-tions.

---FCC keeps their finger in the pie.

ï¿½ï¿½(7) The enforcement of a statute or ordinance by a State
or local government under paragraph (1) with regard to citizens
band radio equipment on board a ï¿½commercial motor vehicleï¿½, as
defined in section 31101 of title 49, United States Code,

---Read "ANY TRUCK DOING WORK"

shall require probable cause

---if Mr. trucker transmits and Mr. LEO gets solid evidence of a
---violation, then its probable cause but this stops Local Leos
---from doing a radio inspection for the hell of it (FISHING TRIP).
---A visible Antenna is NOT probable cause so a trucker will have to
---be caught in the act. It will be interesting to see how trucking
---firms will advise their drivers on this matter.

to find that the commercial motor vehicle
or the individual operating the vehicle is in violation of the
regulations
described in paragraph (1).ï¿½ï¿½.

---See Probable Cause.

IMHO

This bill was passed not because of the ARRL or the EVIL HAMMIES but too
Many Local Jurisdictions complained to the FCC about a "ChannelMaster"
or
other fool messing around with a High Power radio and got no response,
since they (the locals) were powerless, the suffering continued. Down
here in
Louisiana, the neighborhood "Channelmasters" loved blocking out Cable TV
and causing misery, so the localities here have asked Mr. Tauzin for
help

and here it is. Not perfect but then we don't live in a perfect world.
Abuse by the localities exist for those using their radios in compliance
with
Part 95 and 97. If a community passes legislation on this matter, it
would
behoove the radio hobbyist to stay tuned into locla events and how this
will
play out.

998. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A305F48.9080B59B@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62563
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Would you mind explaining yourself? My posts are usually helpful.

I see nice and shiny joints when I solder, not gray, grainy and lumpy.

FYI, I know more about electronics then the last 5 hams from my SSB
club that just got their tickets. I'm not going to learn code. That's
why I don't have a ticket. I won't be classified as a "lowly tech".

23skidoo wrote:
>
> And it Shows in the quality of your posts -
> "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3A3009F9.7EDA1BDA@hotmail.com...
> > I'm a CB'er and I can solder a mike connector. Now of the solderers,
> > how many can do it nice and shiny and not a bunch of gray blobs?
> >
> > cooncat@privacy.nu wrote:
> > >
> > > And how many EXTRA class Hams,can even solder a mic connector ???

999. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A306167.DBB4FF6A@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62564
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Ross Archer wrote:

> Now, CW is a fine pastime and I can't imagine why someone would
> criticize those who enjoy working it, but we need the sort of techical
> minds in the hobby who may not be interested enough in CW to otherwise
> get in. IMHO, of course. :)

Nice to hear some sane words. CW is fine for some, I'm not going to
learn it. Until the ITU lifts the code restriction, many technical
folks will bypass ham radio. I've been holding a soldering iron for
over 24 years, I've learned a whole lot since then. I won't be branded
a "lowly tech". Still waiting for a 21st century license class...

1000. F/S HEATHKIT GR-54 RECIEVER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: win7@webworkz.com
Message-ID: <3a30613d_3@vienna7.his.com>
Source record: 62565

```
this reciever is for sale $50.00
if you have any questions email me.
```

1001. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@jps.net>
Message-ID: <1om03tspkusnb4hqu7snqgkhsobj7ae73q@4ax.com>
Source record: 62566
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 07 Dec 2000 16:58:52 -0800, Chris <csnichol@netusa1.net> wrote:

>It would seem you don't have a basic understanding of the differences
>between a commercial radio license and an amateur one. We as amateurs
>are allowed to build our own equipment without restrictive certification
>requirements imposed on commercial manufacturers.

I have both amateur extra and commercial first class licenses, so I guess I do know the difference. "Allowed to build" is far different from "required to build". It would seem you don't have a basic understanding of the difference.

73, Bill W7TI

1002. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@jps.net>
Message-ID: <ovm03t0ld223fb4kr1dh4l9t3n1gn4v5f2@4ax.com>
Source record: 62567
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 07 Dec 2000 19:04:51 -0400, Bill Meacham <exray@coqui.net> wrote:

>The reality of that is that the dork who can't solder on a
>connector certainly isn't gonna make it to the airwaves with
>a homebrew rig.
>-BM

Everybody who is now on the air with a homebrew rig, at one time was a
non-soldering dork. So what's your point?

W7TI

1003. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@jps.net>
Message-ID: <t3n03tcsdkfivf279du1qkab7qr1lq9pte@4ax.com>
Source record: 62568
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 8 Dec 2000 01:55:19 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> I used to hold a Radiotelephone First Class Operators License (it's
>still here somewhere in a drawer). In order to exercise the privileges
>that license conferred, when working on commercial radio station
>equipment, I darn sure had to know how to solder.

I have a first class commercial license and I don't recall any soldering test.
Please give details about yours.

73, Bill W7TI

1004. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@jps.net>
Message-ID: <j6n03tcisdjjdjqaq3b5qqkppl3fd67umg@4ax.com>
Source record: 62569
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Thu, 7 Dec 2000 18:21:11 -0500, "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

>Once we became extra class we no longer had to do such mundane tasks as
>soldering. these tasks are best relegated to those that have not achieved
>our exalted status. We are gods of amateur radio!

Finally a comment that makes sense!!

73, Bill W7TI

1005. Re: Peakaboo!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Two-Five-seven@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17702-3A30659A-79@storefull-146.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62570

Peakaboo wrote:

>What does "On The Wheels" mean?<

Just my way of saying "mobile".
It was a pleasure putting it on you skywave today.Always enjoy talking to the big star,You,211,654 youngblood,laser 100 I can just hear it in all you're voices that you really enjoy doing the DX thing.

Put it on ya later!

257 NH. On the wheels

1006. Re: LET'S ANALYZE HR 2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <3A306676.3DFA@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 62571

N8wwm wrote:
>
> >This bill was passed not because of the ARRL or the EVIL HAMMIES but too
> >Many Local Jurisdictions complained to the FCC about a "ChannelMaster"
> >or
> >other fool messing around with a High Power radio and got no response,
> >since they (the locals) were powerless, the suffering continued. Down
> >here in
> >Louisiana, the neighborhood "Channelmasters" loved blocking out Cable TV
> >and causing misery, so the localities here have asked Mr. Tauzin for
> >help
> >and here it is. Not perfect but then we don't live in a perfect world.
> >Abuse by the localities exist for those using their radios in compliance
> >with
> >Part 95 and 97. If a community passes legislation on this matter, it
> >would
> >behoove the radio hobbyist to stay tuned into locla events and how this
> >will
> >play out.
>
> Exactly. I hope the locals need hams to help 'em hunt these dweebs...I will be
> the first volunteer...and I will post my busts right here...Oh Joy, Oh Goodie
> goodie!!!!
>
> Oh God, is Bill clinton my hero!<in this situation only>
OH GOD is right, gung-ho radio cop is the last thing needed. First you
must
make determination about the situation, then try to difuse the local
situation
carefully (without violance or trouble). Only after the the warning do
you
want to take action, hey a few will not believe but then action will be
needed.
Remember, this will be a low key affair. Since the draconian measures
will not be needed at first, please try to limit your glee. As an ex-LEO
I do nto look forward to any bust.

Dear Gentle Readers,
We in the Amateur Radio Service will try to contain N8wwm but you will
have
to help us, please do not encourage him by giving him a whiff of an
illegal
amp, an RCI 2950 or using anything but channels 1-40, these types can be
quite distructive when in full pursuit, something like bull in china

shop.
We do apoligize, but try to help us, these types are in the minority but love the smell of blood.

Martin N5PZJ

1007. Re: 1mangang and friends are sweatin it now...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3068BE.F01E470@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62572

N8wwm, without thinking what he's saying because he opens his mouth
before his brain (sic) is in gear, wrote:

> www.N8WWMisGreat.com

The URL does not work. The name will COST you $70 for two years.
Then you need to PAY for DNS service to point to your web server
and PAY for a T1 connection, or PAY for virtual name server space
at a provider that will supply the DNS service as part of the
package. You will also need to PAY for a secure server to accept
credit cards. You will have to write the HTML yourself or PAY
someone to do it if it's not included in your web hosting package.

Once you add up the costs involved, you won't bother to put up
the time, money and labor or even have the expertise involved to
put a commercial web site in service.

Put up or shut up.

Would you like to pay me to do it for you? I run several web sites
and provide services to other sites. That's why I'm on here so much.

You can't bullshit me. I could do many nasty electronic things to
you, but you are nothing more then a fly on my windshield....flick!

1008. Re: PEAKABOO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Two-Five-seven@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17702-3A30679D-80@storefull-146.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62573

No doubt about it Pain,I do appreciate that reciever cleaning you gave me today.I had a feeling that was you I was talking to.I actually asked you if it was you in another thread on this NG.Don't be a stranger to 26.915,It was good hearing you and peakaboo in there.
Later my friend.

Pain wrote:

"257 you sounded real good this morning in my recieve!!!! Take it easy Pain Gone!!! "

257 NH. On the wheels

1009. Re: Only purpose of the new regs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A306627.52C@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 62574

Well, surprise!

Pc, I HATE the new law and I am a ham as well as a CBer. I run both
completely legally and I think it is a bad law. Without rehashing
what I've posted before, I just think it will cause mistakes, lawsuits,
and innocent people getting hassled by mis-informed cops--even if hams
ARE "exempt" from local enforcement.

73

Jerry

picloud wrote:
>
> Fortunately there is one more or less Libertarian crowd left in radio land
> and it is on the CB bands where the feds just can't get to us .. yet. If
> the courts award Gore the presidency look out!
>
> The only purpose of the new regs was to find another group for whom the
> fourth amendment would no longer apply -HAM radio operators. There is a
> certain stereotype that suggests HAM's may be especially compliant, that
> might even welcome such regs and feel privileged to have their privacy
> invaded.
>
> These are the people you meet who think compulsory lie detector tests, drug
> tests, searches, etc. are fine under the "if you have nothing to hide"
> theory.
>
> Forget about the integrity and dignity of free men. These kinds of people
> have always been with us and are often a majority of the population. Don't
> forget there was a lot of sympathy for the Nazi's in this country before it
> became politically intolerable to express such sentiments.
>
> The people who approve these kinds of regs are the kinds of people who
> helped Hitler and Mussolini, Stalin and Mao, rise to power. The American
> revolution nearly failed because of the large number of them in the
> colonies. So they are with us an always will be.
>
> You just have to keep fighting for your freedom. Vote, write letters, write
> guest editorials in your local paper and when you're on a dark road and find
> a guy like N8wwm and there is no one else around .. shoot him, for the sake
> of freedom!
>
> --
> Cheers,

>
> piCloud

1010. Re: Inspection authority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A3063DA.2440@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 62575

Picloud,

This is not an attempt to argue with you. If you refuse an FCC agent's request to inspect your station, you have violated the regulations since said regulations clearly give FCC authority to inspect ANY station under their jurisdiction. CB is under FCC's jurisdiction, therefore they'd cite you for a violation. Believe me, that has been tried before with the result being that they just go get the US Marshall(s) and a warrant and inspect anyway. Actually, to refuse an inspection would likely make things worse for the station op, whether he is a CBer or a ham.

73

Jerry

picloud wrote:
>
> I copied this for the good of the ng:
>
> Q: What happens if I do not allow the FCC agent to inspect my equipment?
>
> A: Failure to allow inspection forecloses the opportunity to resolve the
> problem. Thus, refusal to allow inspection is a serious challenge to the
> Commission's authority to inspect radio stations and is a violation of the
> Rules. Such a refusal may lead to revocation of a license, maximum monetary
> forfeiture, or other Commission sanctions.
>
> So, if you have a CB transmitter you could lose your license, hmmm... sounds
> like a HAM problem to me!
>
> --
> Cheers,
>
> piCloud

1011. Re: LET'S ANALYZE HR 2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A306A01.2D34@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 62576

N8wwm wrote:

>
> Exactly. I hope the locals need hams to help 'em hunt these dweebs...I will be
> the first volunteer...and I will post my busts right here...Oh Joy, Oh Goodie
> goodie!!!

Now, I KNOW you're off your rocker! I wouldn't touch the local enforce-
ment thing with a 50 foot pole. I have seen some insulting posts, taunt
ing posts, and trolling posts, but you just beat all. To not only sup-
port this law, but actively want to get involved shows how unthinking
you really are. I just don't know what else to say. (Sigh).

Jerry

1012. An Answer to the new law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:00:00 UTC
From: brass <cc@knology.net>
Message-ID: <3A306F19.8445A4B5@knology.net>
Source record: 62577

I am installing the new tower in the neighbors city easement . . .

with 28 inch deep undeground layment with a hairpin turn in the conduit
in two places . . .

and parking my mobiles down the street

Brass is steppn

1013. Re: (FS) Dave Made 5Pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:01:43 UTC
From: bubblepuppy73@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90mk14$6h8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62332

In article <dxxX5.15108$i4.47480@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>,
"Mod Squad" <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote:
> bubblepuppy 925 john is not my friend. I tried to help you to get
your
> money back but you both were sending bad e-mails to each other so I
assumed
> you didn't need my help. By the way 925 is back home in San Jose,
Cal. I
> told you he wasn't from Hawaii an Hawaiian would never rip you off.
> Seasons Greetings
>
> <bubblepuppy73@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:90llvg$b3h$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > In article <BHhX5.14854$i4.41901@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>,> > "Mod
Squad" <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote:
> > > The only reason you don't have your 16pill is because you both
didn't
> > tell
> > > me the truth and i felt you deserve each other. Son i let it be.
> > Aloha
> > >
> > > <bubblepuppy73@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> > > news:90jve4$1hp$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > > > In article <01c05f00$25d61640$ee686f40@eniac>,
> > > > "Bill Eitner" <kd6tas@netzero.net> wrote:
> > > > > Watch out for ads like this. I just got ripped off to
> > > > > the tune of $400 by some guy in Arkansas that used a
> > > > > screen name and was advertising a Dave 5-pill for $300.
> > > > > To get my money he upped the ante to a Galaxy 99 and
> > > > > the 5-pill for $400. And now, on top of that, I get
> > > > > crank phone calls from the guy.
> > > > > --
> > > > > bigmanmoney@my-deja.com wrote in article
> > > > <90c9mj$4rm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> > > > > > Dave Made 5pill with side band for sale. The box is in good
> > shape.
> > > > > > Asking $300.00 you pay the shipping.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > > > > Before you buy.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > >

> > > >
> > > > Hi Bill. I read your post and just had to throw my comments in.
I
> > sent
> > > > a guy in Hawaii $750.00 for a 16 pill box and after all the
> > bullshit,
> > > > and lies , you guessed it , I got screwed. Then on top of all
that
> > some
> > > > of the cber's over there said they would help me. Nothing ever
> > > > happened. So my ass still hurts from that one. Needless to say
I
> > will
> > > > not ever send anyone money over the internet unless I cma first.
> > > > Anyways, no offense but I definitely feel your pain.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > > Before you buy.
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Friend, the only reason I didn't get that silly box is because the
guy
> > didn't send it. Now what part of that do you not understand. Son I
am
> > 50 years old and have been doing radio for about twenty some odd
years
> > and I really don't have time for games. So if anyone deserves
someone
> > it is you who deserve your homeboy. Like I told you amigo, if I
lived
> > over there, we would not be having this conversation. So I believe
like
> > you fine island folks say. Oloha and have a real nice day.
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
>
>

Wow. Really. I guess its time to get strapped and go on a Cali vacation.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1014. Peakaboo!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:09:23 UTC
From: oneqck76ta@aol.com.com.com
Message-ID: <20001206190923.20190.00001106@ng-mg1.aol.com>
Source record: 62331

Hey peakaboo, Not shure if is the same peakaboo (New York) but if it was you, You and Mattress Man shure were clening out my recive this afternoon down there on 26.915. Great sounding setup ya got. Later and keep up the good work out there in DX land

oneqck76ta@aol.com
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta

You've got '459' out of Minneapolis Minnesota and i'm readin the mail

****Hippie Freak****
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta/cbradio

1015. fs Sony 12 Band Radio ICF-7601

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:30:52 UTC
From: remingtonk@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90mlnt$811$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62333

FOR SALE:

Sony 12 Band Radio ICF-7601 comes with:
Compact Antenna AN-61
Ear phone
Instruction Manual
Station Addresses & Frequency Time Table
Velvet Sleeve

Asking for $ 200 and will ship anywhere. Email: remingtonk@yahoo.com or call 630-567-7251.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1016. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:49:06 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A2ECE6C.ED28F81F@some.net>
Source record: 62334

Dave wrote:

>
> So what kind of training do you need, JR? I'm a reserve officer here near
> my QTH and I have no idea what kind of training you will need to report,
> conduct or augment an emergency. When relaying information during an
> emergency, thats all you do. Report the facts.

That is it, report the facts and nothing more. That is what the average wanna-be radio hero, ham or cber can't do unless they are trained. Hams have RACES for such emergencies, and to belong to RACES you must attend a training session each year on weather spotting. It teaches you how to recognize the type of weather that produces tornados, how to report weather conditions that the NWS is looking for. Not the typical "wellllll it's really raining here and the wind is blowing" type of crap you get from someone who hasn't been trained. It teaches one how to communicate clearly and to get the message across with a minimum of air time. Again, emergency officials don't want you in the way unless they know you have the skills t

1017. Re: Are All 102" Steel Whips Equal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:53:43 UTC
From: hillbilly <hillbilly001@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <90mn2m$8v9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62336

I think all 96 or 102 steel whips are electricaly the same....
however some things to look for is how they are put together.... some
have set screws holding the whip into the base part... they say its for
adjusting swr.... don't believe it... if installed right it won't have
any swr... and the set screws are just a good way to loose a whip..
Hustler makes a real good whip that has the base part sweat fitted to
the whip.
Steel whips have "whip lash".. signal flutter as the whip rocks
around.... a fiberglass whip will overcome this but is limited by
the power it will handle. and in this forest covered country where
I'm at it won't last long...

--
Dave KG5XR
http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
On Bull Shoales Lake, Ark/Mo State Line
Fishing is great & crappie are biting

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1018. Re: Peakaboo!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 00:56:03 UTC
From: lordfkaos@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001206195603.23386.00003969@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 62335

>Hey peakaboo, Not shure if is the same peakaboo (New York) but if it was you,
>You and Mattress Man shure were clening out my recive this afternoon down
>there
>on 26.915. Great sounding setup ya got. Later and keep up the good work out
>there in DX land
>
>
>oneqck76ta@aol.com
>http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta
>
>You've got '459' out of Minneapolis Minnesota and i'm readin the mail
>
>****Hippie Freak****

I think you got him confused with me. I was the one talking to Peakaboo (in the star) today on 26915. I think I may have spoke to you also earlier! Take care my friend..

NIGHTMARE motor van around the Big Trees got down!

1019. Re: (FS) Dave Made 5Pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 01:54:17 UTC
From: bigmanmoney@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90mqk7$bt5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62337

Dam If I New that this would create so much animosity I would of never put a posting up. And Bill Either "AHUI HOU MALAMA PONO", "MANU MAKE OI"

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1020. Re: FA: VERY RARE CPI-2000&BC-2000 console

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 02:12:38 UTC
From: Dana <dana@randomc.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10012062102330.25560-100000@cluster2>
Source record: 62338
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

I have some friend across town that has one.

On Mon, 4 Dec 2000, Train wrote:

> Date: Mon, 04 Dec 2000 12:35:44 GMT
> From: Train
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> Subject: Re: FA: VERY RARE CPI-2000&BC-2000 console
>
> How many of them do you just happen to have kicking around?
>
>
> "Dana" wrote in message
> news:Pine.GSO.4.10.10012040522230.20056-100000@cluster2...
> > That one isn't that rare at all.
> >
> > On Fri, 17 Nov 2000, hillbilly wrote:
> >
> > > Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2000 20:41:15 GMT
> > > From: hillbilly
> > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> > > Subject: FA: VERY RARE CPI-2000&BC-2000 console
> > >
> > > eat ur heart out....
> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=499096258
> > >
> > > --
> > > Dave KG5XR
> > > http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
> > > On Bull Shoales Lake, Ark/Mo State Line
> > > Fishing is great & crappie are biting
> > >
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > Before you buy.
> > >
> > >
> > >
>
>
>
>

1021. Re: FA: VERY RARE CPI-2000&BC-2000 console

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 02:14:39 UTC
From: Dana <dana@randomc.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10012062104310.25560-100000@cluster2>
Source record: 62339
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

I will have to admit, they probably didn't make that many.

On Mon, 4 Dec 2000, Hillbilly Dave wrote:

> Date: Mon, 4 Dec 2000 07:34:51 -0600
> From: Hillbilly Dave <******davesradio@alltel.net******>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> Subject: Re: FA: VERY RARE CPI-2000&BC-2000 console
>
> it was rare to me....
>
> dave
>
> Train wrote in message
> news:AcMW5.3305$ul.114204@typhoon1.ba-dsg.net...
> > How many of them do you just happen to have kicking around?
> >
> >
> > "Dana" wrote in message
> > news:Pine.GSO.4.10.10012040522230.20056-100000@cluster2...
> > > That one isn't that rare at all.
> > >
> > > On Fri, 17 Nov 2000, hillbilly wrote:
> > >
> > > > Date: Fri, 17 Nov 2000 20:41:15 GMT
> > > > From: hillbilly
> > > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> > > > Subject: FA: VERY RARE CPI-2000&BC-2000 console
> > > >
> > > > eat ur heart out....
> > > > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=499096258
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > Dave KG5XR
> > > > http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
> > > > On Bull Shoales Lake, Ark/Mo State Line
> > > > Fishing is great & crappie are biting
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > > Before you buy.
> > > >
> > > >
> > >

> >
> >
>
>
>
>

1022. Link to rec.radio.cb FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 02:17:14 UTC
From: Bill Eitner <kd6tas@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <01c05ff3$ced60cc0$f6746f40@eniac>
Source record: 62340

```
http://kd6tas.conk.com
--
-----------------------------------------------------------------
-=[Bill Eitner]=-

Link to A.M. Tutorial, rec.radio.cb FAQ
and The Dark Side:
http://kd6tas.conk.com
-----------------------------------------------------------------
```

1023. Re: Bottom line on CB enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 02:34:16 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <90msv8$5a2$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 62341
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Rastus <jfayard@ionet.net> carnivored:
>I predict the real losers here will be legal HAM's & CB'ers that have local
>cops with an attitude,

A properly equipped and trained officer can easily determine the velocity
of your vehicle without having to be a physicist. Similarly, a properly
trained and equipped officer will easily be able to determine the
frequency of your transmission without having to be an electrical
engineer. Thus, hams should encounter no difficulty with this ruling.

KH6O (on r.r.a.m)

1024. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 02:40:38 UTC
From: Just Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com>
Message-ID: <e5ut2tgetjoqja7mok69tvrd95sckckvhn@4ax.com>
Source record: 62342
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

no-ARRL@all.com (Wouff Hong) opened the coffin lid and croaked...

> Thank you ARRL for turning our ham bands into SHIT!

Right, like they're NOT that way already!

And it sounds like YOU are a great example of why....

KE6NWW

To reply by email, remove the XYZ.

Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.

1025. Re: Anyone Knowledgeable about FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 02:56:17 UTC
From: Ken Snyder <ken_snyder@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <90mu8feoo1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62343
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

http://members.tripod.com/~jwilkers/review.html

In article <90lbe6$5t4a$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>,
"ALEX KC0AK)" <alexjent@prodigy.net> wrote:
> I need to know what the "real" difference is between the "two for $80"
> models and "$80 each models". All seem to say 2 mile range but what
about
> interference etc.
>
> Anyone have any definitive experience?
>
> --
> Thanks,
> Alex
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1026. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 03:03:23 UTC
From: Rick Gilliam <tigwelder@austin.rr.com>
Message-ID: <%5DX5.18621$sS2.367868@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 62344
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

yea no shit...I had to take a 20 wpm cw test to get my extra class license
now you can get one with just 5 WPM why even bother that means you just
have to learn the chatacters and pass the written tests amateur radio has
gone to hell in a handbasket and I probabally won't even renew my ticket

"Wouff Hong" <no-ARRL@all.com> wrote in message
news:Rotten_radio_League@arrl...
> The ARRL, America's Rotten Radio League, screwed us over a year ago.
Cancel
> your membership before they screw you again. The ARRL board of directors
> wants to turn amateur radio into CB! If you cancel your membership the
only
> people the ARRL board of directors will be able to screw is each other.
Just
> wait, 13 months from now the CW bands will shrink, and CW testing will be
> ended. Thank you ARRL for turning our ham bands into SHIT!
>

1027. Re: 27.555 USB is Officially...lost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 03:32:09 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001206223209.28371.00003636@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 62345

http://www.alfatango.org

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

1028. Re: 27.555 USB is Officially...lost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 03:33:19 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001206223319.28371.00003638@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 62346

you can read more about groups like them at the dollar collector link on my web page

>
>An international organization of fake, official SOUNDING callsigns started by
>a
>guy in Italy. the Alfa-Tango group is one of the first of the phonie callsign
>group. This is done to make the guy in Italy money, and by the members of
>that
>and all other phony call sign generating organizations for reasons of
>identity.
>I guess they think the made up call signs make 'em legitimate.
>
>Many of these groups around. They generally operate SSB somewhere above/below
>the legal CB band. You can hear 'em on there a lot when the band is up. Kinda
>funny, actually...organizations like that.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

1029. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 04:15:42 UTC
From: Bill Meacham <exray@coqui.net>
Message-ID: <3A2F0EEE.7C37F6F7@coqui.net>
Source record: 62347
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

As I recall, ham radio was 'doomed' because of incentive
licensing when I got my license in 1970. Then later it got
'doomed' again because of the VE program. Actually, it
seems that ham radio is 'doomed' anytime there is a change
in the rules. This has been going on since the loss of
spark privileges.
Time will tell.
-Bill, WX4A

Rick Gilliam wrote:
>
> yea no shit...I had to take a 20 wpm cw test to get my extra class license
> now you can get one with just 5 WPM why even bother that means you just
> have to learn the chatacters and pass the written tests amateur radio has
> gone to hell in a handbasket and I probabally won't even renew my ticket
>
> "Wouff Hong" <no-ARRL@all.com> wrote in message
> news:Rotten_radio_League@arrl...
> > The ARRL, America's Rotten Radio League, screwed us over a year ago.
> Cancel
> > your membership before they screw you again. The ARRL board of directors
> > wants to turn amateur radio into CB! If you cancel your membership the
> only
> > people the ARRL board of directors will be able to screw is each other.
> Just
> > wait, 13 months from now the CW bands will shrink, and CW testing will be
> > ended. Thank you ARRL for turning our ham bands into SHIT!
> >

1030. Pickup antenna mount

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 04:39:32 UTC
From: svs <svs@ispchannel.com>
Message-ID: <3A2F0706.EBD2981C@ispchannel.com>
Source record: 62248

For any of the old timers in this group. A couple of years ago someone (with a web site and pictures) was advertising a antenna mount that appeared to have a good fit in the stack hole of a pickup truck. Anyone remember who that was?

Thank you Stan KYA 4011 Mojave Desert So Ca

1031. Re: Professor huggin N8wwm's Nutz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 04:51:22 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001206235122.19715.00001058@nso-fs.aol.com>
Source record: 62249

In article <TpeX5.9164$PX1.146994@e420r-sjo3.usenetserver.com>, "Professor" <bgriffey@kwom.com> writes:

>How do you like that... when you disagree... you're an asshole... when you >agree... you have found a new lover.

You just have to learn who to agree with. You've been here long enough to know that by now!!! You are obviously picking the wrong allies!!!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

1032. THAT SOB!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 04:51:22 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001206235122.19715.00001059@nso-fs.aol.com>
Source record: 62250

"S.O.B." - Rated R

Girl: "Forgive me father for I have sinned."
Priest: "What have you done my child?"
Girl: "I called a man a son of a bitch."
Priest: "Why did you call him a son of a bitch?"
Girl: "Because he touched my hand."
Priest: "Like this?" (as he touches her hand)
Girl: "Yes father."
Priest: "That's no reason to call a man a son of a bitch."
Girl: "Then he touched my breast."
Priest: "Like this?" (as he touched her breast)
Girl: "Yes father."
Priest: "That's no reason to call him a son of a bitch."
Girl: "Then he took off my clothes, father."
Priest: "Like this?" (as he takes off her clothes)
Girl: "Yes father."
Priest: "That's no reason to call him a son of a bitch."
Girl: "Then he stuck his you know what into my you know where."
Priest: "Like this?" (as he stuck his you know what into her you know where)
Girl: "YES FATHER, YES FATHER, YES FATHER!!!"
Priest: (after a few minutes): "That's no reason to call him a son of a bitch."
Girl: "But father he had AIDS!"
Priest: "THAT SON OF A BITCH!!!"

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

1033. Re: GALAXY SATURN FOR SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 05:02:14 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20001207000214.14786.00000663@ng-xc1.aol.com>
Source record: 62251

>thats a big price too pay for a dam radio that does the same as any
>other CB out there,

Damn straight - I can buy a 2950 for $100 and it would have more frequency coverage than that!
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
http://www.riradiobuff.com
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.
Join the 2 meter all mode email reflector:
Send a BLANK email to 2mallmode-subscribe@egroups.com
or visit http://egroups.com/group/2mallmode

1034. Re: Dave and Debbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 05:03:48 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001207000348.22821.00000978@nso-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 62252

In article <20001206152325.28490.00003296@ng-cr1.aol.com>, n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) writes:

>See what I mean about CBers
>

He is a ham!!!!!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

1035. Re: (FS) Dave Made 5Pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 05:03:49 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001207000349.22821.00000979@nso-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 62253

In article <90mqk7$bt5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, bigmanmoney@my-deja.com writes:

>Dam If I New that this would create so much animosity I would of never
>put a posting up. And Bill Either "AHUI HOU MALAMA PONO", "MANU MAKE OI"
>

Hey what'd he say what'd he say???????

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

1036. Re: (FS) Dave Made 5Pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 05:11:58 UTC
From: Mod Squad <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <y_EX5.15866$i4.51113@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 62256

Goodluck bubblepuppy I hope you get your money back Hawaii should not have
to suffer because of a visitor to the islands. Aloha

<bubblepuppy73@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:90mk14$6h8$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <dxxX5.15108$i4.47480@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>,
> "Mod Squad" <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote:
> > bubblepuppy 925 john is not my friend. I tried to help you to get
> your
> > money back but you both were sending bad e-mails to each other so I
> assumed
> > you didn't need my help. By the way 925 is back home in San Jose,
> Cal. I
> > told you he wasn't from Hawaii an Hawaiian would never rip you off.
> > Seasons Greetings
> >
> > <bubblepuppy73@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> > news:90llvg$b3h$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > > In article <BHhX5.14854$i4.41901@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>,> > "Mod
> Squad" <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote:
> > > > The only reason you don't have your 16pill is because you both
> didn't
> > > tell
> > > > me the truth and i felt you deserve each other. Son i let it be.
> > > Aloha
> > > >
> > > > <bubblepuppy73@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:90jve4$1hp$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > > > > In article <01c05f00$25d61640$ee686f40@eniac>,
> > > > > "Bill Eitner" <kd6tas@netzero.net> wrote:
> > > > > > Watch out for ads like this. I just got ripped off to
> > > > > > the tune of $400 by some guy in Arkansas that used a
> > > > > > screen name and was advertising a Dave 5-pill for $300.
> > > > > > To get my money he upped the ante to a Galaxy 99 and
> > > > > > the 5-pill for $400. And now, on top of that, I get
> > > > > > crank phone calls from the guy.
> > > > > > --
> > > > > > bigmanmoney@my-deja.com wrote in article
> > > > > <90c9mj$4rm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> > > > > > > Dave Made 5pill with side band for sale. The box is in good
> > > shape.
> > > > > > > Asking $300.00 you pay the shipping.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >

> > > > > > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > > > > > Before you buy.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Hi Bill. I read your post and just had to throw my comments in.
> I
> > > sent
> > > > > a guy in Hawaii $750.00 for a 16 pill box and after all the
> > > bullshit,
> > > > > and lies , you guessed it , I got screwed. Then on top of all
> that
> > > some
> > > > > of the cber's over there said they would help me. Nothing ever
> > > > > happened. So my ass still hurts from that one. Needless to say
> I
> > > will
> > > > > not ever send anyone money over the internet unless I cma first.
> > > > > Anyways, no offense but I definitely feel your pain.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > > > Before you buy.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > Friend, the only reason I didn't get that silly box is because the
> guy
> > > didn't send it. Now what part of that do you not understand. Son I
> am
> > > 50 years old and have been doing radio for about twenty some odd
> years
> > > and I really don't have time for games. So if anyone deserves
> someone
> > > it is you who deserve your homeboy. Like I told you amigo, if I
> lived
> > > over there, we would not be having this conversation. So I believe
> like
> > > you fine island folks say. Oloha and have a real nice day.
> > >
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > Before you buy.
> >
> >
>
> Wow. Really. I guess its time to get strapped and go on a Cali vacation.
>

>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1037. Re: ??? (Triple 3)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 05:25:24 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2F1F44.E1B84D6F@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62254

http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_99/47cfr95_99.html

Our sections start at 95.401.

Dennis Lassetter wrote:
>
> I suppose I was wrong. The rules have changed over the years. I may be
> living in the past, I have been a CBer for 39 years. Please send me the
> info. to view the entire Part 95 class D rules and regulations if you
> will. Thank's.
>
> Dennis in Ga.

1038. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 05:30:28 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2F2074.25958889@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62255
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

> >This has been going on since the loss of
> >spark privileges.
> ----------
>
> WHAT loss of spark privileges? Why didn't someone say something???
> How embarrassing...

Damn, I better park the car before the radio police do it for me...

1039. Re: BIG RADIO FOR SALE PUTS OUT TO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 06:09:36 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <RIFX5.1314$V6.308520@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 62258

Yikes! ...Must be made of precious metals and rare gems!

<elmerpee@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:90lp5ddts1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Yaesu FT-1000 HF/CW,SSB,AM,FM and CB Bands
> Clean Like New 150 wats output digital readout
> Blows Smoke and Kicks Ass with Big D-104 mikephone
> $5000.00 shipped
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1040. Re: Davis RF 50OHM LOW LOSS 9913 EQUIV COAX?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 06:15:50 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <FOFX5.1316$V6.309702@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 62259

9913 coax (50 Ohms...NOT 500) is VERY susceptible to water penetration if not SEALED properly upon installation. Just the difference in temperatures along the cable length (...in the shade vs in the sun, in the house vs outside) tends to create condensation and draw moisture into the cable... in some commercial installations, the cable is purged with inert gas before sealing.

"Alan Martin" <chief007@home.com> wrote in message news:3A2EBD0E.1A8956E9@home.com...
> Anyone familiar with this coax? My swr recently jumped from 1 to just
> over 2. I think some moisture might have gotten in and since its only
> about 20 degrees out side i figure it might have frozen. Does this coax
> have a history of this or what. Any other Ideas would be welcome.
>
> AL

1041. Re: Find Yourself Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 06:19:50 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <nSFX5.1319$V6.310038@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 62261

GOOD ONE!

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3A2EA759.49DF41EC@hotmail.com...
> I think everyone can find a befitting label:
>
> http://www.winternet.com/~mikelr/flame1.html

1042. FS.Rotor Cable 8 Strand Wire.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 06:46:23 UTC
From: henderson928@cs.com
Message-ID: <20001207014623.22776.00000151@ng-fh1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 62257

http://cgi.ebay.compuserve.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1201115062.
Brand New,40 foot.Please check my auction on Ebay.Thanks for looking.Johnny

1043. Re: (FS) Dave Made 5Pill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 07:14:28 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <oNGX5.120297$U46.4040938@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 62260

MOD SQUAD MOD SQUAD MOD SQUAD MOD SQUAD

And they talk about it for about 20 minutes every evening. We run channel 3
over here on the northwest tip and you are definitely heard when you are on
6.

"Mod Squad" <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message
news:y_EX5.15866$i4.51113@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com...
Goodluck bubblepuppy I hope you get your money back Hawaii should not have
to suffer because of a visitor to the islands. Aloha

<bubblepuppy73@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:90mk14$6h8$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <dxxX5.15108$i4.47480@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>,
> "Mod Squad" <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote:
> > bubblepuppy 925 john is not my friend. I tried to help you to get
> your
> > money back but you both were sending bad e-mails to each other so I
> assumed
> > you didn't need my help. By the way 925 is back home in San Jose,
> Cal. I
> > told you he wasn't from Hawaii an Hawaiian would never rip you off.
> > Seasons Greetings
> >
> > <bubblepuppy73@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> > news:90llvg$b3h$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > > In article <BHhX5.14854$i4.41901@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>,> > "Mod
> Squad" <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote:
> > > > The only reason you don't have your 16pill is because you both
> didn't
> > > tell
> > > > me the truth and i felt you deserve each other. Son i let it be.
> > > Aloha
> > > >
> > > > <bubblepuppy73@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:90jve4$1hp$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > > > > In article <01c05f00$25d61640$ee686f40@eniac>,
> > > > > "Bill Eitner" <kd6tas@netzero.net> wrote:
> > > > > > Watch out for ads like this. I just got ripped off to
> > > > > > the tune of $400 by some guy in Arkansas that used a
> > > > > > screen name and was advertising a Dave 5-pill for $300.
> > > > > > To get my money he upped the ante to a Galaxy 99 and
> > > > > > the 5-pill for $400. And now, on top of that, I get
> > > > > > crank phone calls from the guy.
> > > > > > --

> > > > > > bigmanmoney@my-deja.com wrote in article
> > > > > > <90c9mj$4rm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> > > > > > > > Dave Made 5pill with side band for sale. The box is in good
> > > shape.
> > > > > > > > Asking $300.00 you pay the shipping.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > > > > > > > Before you buy.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Hi Bill. I read your post and just had to throw my comments in.
> I
> > > > sent
> > > > > > > > a guy in Hawaii $750.00 for a 16 pill box and after all the
> > > > bullshit,
> > > > > > > > and lies , you guessed it , I got screwed. Then on top of all
> that
> > > > some
> > > > > > > > of the cber's over there said they would help me. Nothing ever
> > > > > > > > happened. So my ass still hurts from that one. Needless to say
> I
> > > > will
> > > > > > > > not ever send anyone money over the internet unless I cma first.
> > > > > > > > Anyways, no offense but I definitely feel your pain.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > > > > > > Before you buy.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Friend, the only reason I didn't get that silly box is because the
> guy
> > > > didn't send it. Now what part of that do you not understand. Son I
> am
> > > > 50 years old and have been doing radio for about twenty some odd
> years
> > > > and I really don't have time for games. So if anyone deserves
> someone
> > > > it is you who deserve your homeboy. Like I told you amigo, if I
> lived
> > > > over there, we would not be having this conversation. So I believe
> like
> > > > you fine island folks say. Oloha and have a real nice day.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >

> > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > Before you buy.
> >
> >
>
> Wow. Really. I guess its time to get strapped and go on a Cali vacation.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1044. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 14:49:46 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2FA38A.A89BA8E8@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62585
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Wouff Hong is a rettysnitching troll.

Does this really need to be cross-posted to six different groups?

Wouff Hong wrote:
>
> The ARRL, America's Rotten Radio League, screwed us over a year ago. Cancel
> your membership before they screw you again. The ARRL board of directors
> wants to turn amateur radio into CB! If you cancel your membership the only
> people the ARRL board of directors will be able to screw is each other. Just
> wait, 13 months from now the CW bands will shrink, and CW testing will be
> ended. Thank you ARRL for turning our ham bands into SHIT!

1045. Re: Newbie Q about 'deadkey' and 'swing' .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 14:50:20 UTC
From: sideband <sideband@cac.net>
Message-ID: <ds8v2t83a1s2gm0l9vs1qi19qqlthjtui9@4ax.com>
Source record: 62578

On or about Sat, 02 Dec 2000 10:33:18 -0500, Handy Andy
<CodysPC@Iserve.Net>, using the forum rec.radio.cb did say:
:"Swings to 20" means it's putting out up to 20 watts as you talk into
:the mike [Carrier plus audio (modulation)] - naturally you're going to
:have to talk pretty loud into the mike to hit that 20 watt figure
:constantly.

So yelling into your mic DOES make your CB work better! ::snicker::

-SSB

1046. Re: Professor huggin N8wwm's Nutz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 14:55:08 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <5h8v2ts2nch193av9fomh6hq9kfuukns14@4ax.com>
Source record: 62579

Greetings!

On 07 Dec 2000 12:47:21 GMT, while the modem was pondering it's navel,
icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD (COLD as ICE) dissertated the following:

:+> I give the jokes mainly for pleasure since a few years back it got very :+> negative in
here and I decided not to be part of that anymore. I would
:+> like to ask why you are so transfixed on my rectal area? Also are you
:+> saying you dont laugh at my jokes? I am appalled!!!!

Well, don't ever stop posting those jokes. I've found that they are
quite effective in issuing "break for lunch" time-outs. They do give
us all a change, to breathe a little, and chuckle a bit, while
browsing around the posts to answer a question or two.

It breaks up the monotony.

If they don't like them, and I see very few negative posts about the
jokes [prof's is the only one I know of.] I don't think the claim
being made by Brian can be substantiated. If He's speaking of himself,
then so be it. It's not the overall consensus of this NG. At least I
enjoy them!

If anyone doesn't like the jokes, you can't escape the fact that
they're posted all over the place on different N.G.'s. It's not being
forced down anyones throat, either read it, keep it, or delete it.

Keep those jokes coming!

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:
... _
... You know you're a VERSATILE CB'er when... / \
... Your antenna doubles as a fishing rod and / |
... you have the trophies to prove it! 0/ (^)
... |/\ /_\

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

1047. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 14:57:33 UTC
From: David Little <dalite01@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <90o8hd$c2d$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 62580
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

<cooncat@privacy.nu> wrote in message
news:3a2f6aa2.1042463@news.nycap.rr.com...
> And how many EXTRA class Hams,can even solder a mic connector ???
>
>

I'll make a reply to that sans anonymity.

I get a chance to meet other hams personally when they need some hardware help, or at hamfests where I tailgate from time to time. It is a toss-up on classification. There are Hams of all classes that don't know which of the iron not to stick in their mouth, what type of coax to use above 50 Mhz, how to put a connector on a piece of cable, how to make a dipole, how to read a schematic, how to draw out a circuit, how to predict a satellite or shuttle pass, why not to look directly into the laser with the remaining good eye, mathematical relationship between wavelength and antenna length, how to check and set swr on a mobile antenna, what swr is..... and the list could go on for days.

Many, if not all of these items are addressed in the various examinations that they had to pass in order to get their license. However, the main focus on their operating ability has been measured using CW as the yardstick.

It soon becomes clear how this yardstick has ended up selling the expansion and continuation of the technical end of ham radio short.

If you get a chance to go to a hamfest, check out how many tailgaters have hombrew items, and talk with them about what does and doesn't sell. Also ask them what anything they are offering does and how it is used in a circuit. Then ask them how often they use CW.

I'll venture a guess that you will find hombrew and non-turn-key vendors to be in the minority, very much aware of the technical end of the hobby, a dying breed and not very concerned about CW. Even though most had to pass the Morse element(s) for their ticket, they would be much more excited about the aspects of a Tech "Lite" building their own accessory items, using a transverter that they hombrewed, building a high gain antenna for use where they can operate, buying special purpose soldering accessories, displaying interest or knowledge on the different types of wire insulation, or coax types.. and any other aspect of the dying technical end of the hobby (or Service as it is supposed to be known as).

I personally have no need for CW. At one time, I wanted to be more active in EME, but I turned to meteor scatter and other esoteric modes to fill that void, modes which used what I knew and had gear for. The non-coded license holder has use of spectrum that numbers in the Hundreds of MHz, tens of GHz; as opposed to the coded and coveted 7 or so Mhz that is locked up by CW.

4 to 8 hour QSOs with every nationality and virtually 1/2 to 3/4 of the earth are possible with QRP power levels via AMSAT Oscar satellites. Repeatability is also a factor; one that can be counted on. There are few random contacts. Using the birds show a prowess in the use of 2 bands simultaneously, understanding and compensating for doppler shift, effect of the horizon on communications, mathematics of prediction of orbiting bodies......

I know how disheartening it is to the CW advocates for some newcomer to make more international contacts, in the rarest of locations and with the most repeatability and reliability; using spectrum that was considered to be line of sight only and thrown to the dogs who couldn't pass muster on Morse requirements.

This goes against the grain of all who support the "get CW and work the world" concept of Ham radio. CW and HF have proven to be invalid as measures of cost and reward among our ranks. That doesn't mean that neither have merit or value; just not in the proportions that merit and value has been associated with them in the past.

It requires far more preparation, operation skill, understanding of propagation, integrity of station integration .... to be able to make weak signal contacts above 50 MHz; something that is possible for anyone entering the ranks through the no-code door.

Maybe this is the view of the future; the most technical minds will revitalize ham radio due to the modernization of the licensing requirements; thus making Ham radio a viable option for generations to come.

One thing for sure, if attrition continues to take its toll, it will just be a mater of time before discussions such as these move from the realm of reality to the realm of philosophy.

Signing Name and Call, then going for the Asbestos Suit

David Little
KD4NUE

<cooncat@privacy.nu> wrote in message
news:3a2f6aa2.1042463@news.nycap.rr.com...
> And how many EXTRA class Hams,can even solder a mic connector ???
>
>

1048. Re: 27.555 USB is Officially...lost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 15:06:11 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001207100611.09750.00000154@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 62581

>
>http://www.alfatango.org
>
>
> 73 de Tim
> Knight Patrol #82
>http://www.kp82.com
>

Just bear in mind that the operators are all engaged in illegal activity, since operating above or below the normal 40 is against FCC regs.

1049. Re: 1mangang@slapnutzonN8schin.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 15:07:25 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001207100725.09750.00000155@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 62582

>> you cannot tell but i am starting to get nervous they already know im not
>doug
>

Beg pardon, but this is erroneous info.

It is also VERY lame.

1050. Re: ??? (Triple 3)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 15:08:20 UTC
From: Triple 3 <333@railbuggies.com>
Message-ID: <3A2FA7E4.C65DC33F@railbuggies.com>
Source record: 62583

Strange, my news group server still doesn't show your message Dennis. Oh
well, thanks for covering for me Unit 69. The entire Part 95 in pdf
format is also at

http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Engineering_Technology/Documents/cfr/1998/47cfr95.pdf

Anyway, seems like I remember a time when cb business was a no-no. What
do they say is the first thing to go again ?

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" wrote:
>
> http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_99/47cfr95_99.html
>
> Our sections start at 95.401.
>
> Dennis Lassetter wrote:
> >
> > I suppose I was wrong. The rules have changed over the years. I may be
> > living in the past, I have been a CBer for 39 years. Please send me the
> > info. to view the entire Part 95 class D rules and regulations if you
> > will. Thank's.
> >
> > Dennis in Ga.

1051. Re: Professor huggin N8wwm's Nutz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 15:11:02 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001207101102.09750.00000156@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 62584

>
>>Oh, so I should pick my allies on the basis of trying to win a popularity
>>contest instead of who's right... why didn't I think of that... NOT!
>>
>

That is exactly what these doofuses think on this NG. Thats why they try so hard to slam me. It doesn't work because by being an essential provider of TRUTH, my karnma is stronger.

As the phrase goes..."my karma ran over their dogma"

Poor deluded people.

1052. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 15:21:47 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <90o9ub$q60$1@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 62586
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Bill Meacham wrote:
>
> cooncat@privacy.nu wrote:
> >
> > And how many EXTRA class Hams,can even solder a mic connector ???
>
> I can.

More to the point, when did it change, and how many of those licensed
more recently can't, as a percentage of their total? It's huge, from
what I've seen.

1053. Re: FA: VERY RARE CPI-2000&BC-2000 console

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 15:31:46 UTC
From: David Little <dalite01@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <90oajb$94$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 62587

Dana <dana@randomc.com> wrote in message
news:Pine.GSO.4.10.10012070438210.26217-100000@cluster2...
> Talking about a rare cb, I am looking for a lincoln. It was a tube type
> that was made back in the early 60s. Also looking for a metrotech. Bet no
> one has 1 of theese?

==========

I have a Metrotek Pacer that is in working condition (needs speaker
replaced). Has been airtested.

I am unfamiliar with the Lincoln.

I have a 5 piece Mark II (with the Mark 100 amp) and a Mark IIII 180 amp
that I would consider parting with if someone needed them for their
collection.

Here is a partial listing of my clean-out plans for the new year: I will
take trades on Ham gear in good working condition.

==========

BASE RADIOS: (Tube - Working unless otherwise noted - May need minor repair,
but listed for collector market due to being 23 channel rigs)

Browning MKIII AM/SSB Receiver and AM transmitter $275.00

Robyn T123B $50.00

Courier 23 $50.00

Cobra Cam 88 $65.00

Cobra Cam 88 Mark $75.00

Kris 23+ $50.00

Regency Range Gain $50.00

(2) Lafayette Comstat 25B $75.00 for pair

(2) Tram D201 Inop parts missing from one $200.00 for pair

Metrotek Pacer $50.00

BASE RADIOS: (Rare / Collectable)

Demco Super Satellite Transmitter. Receiver, Speaker with Satellite
modulator. Needs work.
$225.00

Demco Super Satellite Transmitter, Receiver Speaker with internal Modulator - Looks new and works great $400.00

DAK MARK IX AM Hybrid (Channel Selector Switch needs replacing, but will work) $250.00

ARF2001 Scanning Transceiver (Stock) $500.00

ARF2001 Scanning Transceiver (With Factory Freq Counter Option) $650.00

ARF D104 Microphone with dual FET preamp made for use with the 2001 $150.00

Excalibur SSB Base - Works, front panel has labeling missing on some controls 250.00

Base Radios - Solid State

Cobra 135 XLR (Not Working) $75.00

Cobra 2000 with speaker and Cobra Desk Mic. Variable power mod and more $325.00

(2) Cherokee CBS-1000 $150.00 inop/repairable for pair

Johnson 250 50th Anniversary w/stock hand mic $50.00
Johnson 250 50th Anniversary w/stock hand mic $50.00

Midland 13-876 $50.00

Realistic Navaho TRC-30A $40.00
Realistic Navaho TRC-433 w/box, Realistic hand mic $75.00
Realistic Navaho TRC-431 Not working $35.00

Teaberry T-Control w/box and hand mic $50.00

MOBILE RADIOS:

(2) Cobra 29 $35.00 for pair (not working)

(2) Palomar Digicom 100 $150.00 for pair (not working)

(2) Midland 13-857 w/ stock hand mics $40.00

Uniden HR-2600 w/2510 socketed firmware and stock hand mic $150.00 receive problem

MICROPHONES and ACCESSORIES:

Demco Modulator $50.00
Realistic Noise Canceling Power Mic $15.00

Siltronix 90-6 VFO $50.00

MACO VFO $50.00

AMPLIFIERS:

Mako 300 tubes good (8950s) - needs tuned input circuit $200.00

Palomar 300A - no tubes, parts robbed - w/power supply $100.00

Gray 300 mobile $250.00

LDO 100 Base transistor amp with internal power supply $200.00

1054. Re: THAT SOB!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 15:41:57 UTC
From: oneqck76ta@aol.com.com.com
Message-ID: <20001207104157.04376.00000727@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 62588

>"S.O.B." - Rated R
>
>Girl: "Forgive me father for I have sinned."
>Priest: "What have you done my child?"
>Girl: "I called a man a son of a bitch."
>Priest: "Why did you call him a son of a bitch?"
>Girl: "Because he touched my hand."
>Priest: "Like this?" (as he touches her hand)
>Girl: "Yes father."
>Priest: "That's no reason to call a man a son of a bitch."
>Girl: "Then he touched my breast."
>Priest: "Like this?" (as he touched her breast)
>Girl: "Yes father."
>Priest: "That's no reason to call him a son of a bitch."
>Girl: "Then he took off my clothes, father."
>Priest: "Like this?" (as he takes off her clothes)
>Girl: "Yes father."
>Priest: "That's no reason to call him a son of a bitch."
>Girl: "Then he stuck his you know what into my you know where."
>Priest: "Like this?" (as he stuck his you know what into her you know
>where)
>Girl: "YES FATHER, YES FATHER, YES FATHER!!!"
>Priest: (after a few minutes): "That's no reason to call him a son of
>a bitch."
>Girl: "But father he had AIDS!"
>Priest: "THAT SON OF A BITCH!!!"
>
>
>
>

LOL

oneqck76ta@aol.com
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta

You've got "459" out of Minneapolis Minnesota and I'm reading the mail

**********Hippie Freak**********
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta/cbradio

1055. Re: 27.555 USB is Officially...lost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 15:58:56 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001207105856.22231.00003752@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 62589

thanks but everybody knew that already.

>Just bear in mind that the operators are all engaged in illegal activity,
>since
>operating above or below the normal 40 is against FCC regs.

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

1056. Re: GALAXY SATURN FOR SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 16:05:13 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001207110513.22231.00003753@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 62590

i'll take two at that price

>Damn straight - I can buy a 2950 for $100 and it would have more frequency
>coverage than that!

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

1057. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 16:06:43 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <nAOX5.10204$Ia1.1584481@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 62448
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:90o6j2iba0@208.207.71.171...
> > What exactly is a handbasket anyway? And why is it so popular to go
> > to hell in one? Are they comfortable? Is there a limo version? Can
> > you operate mobile?
> >
> > Inquiring minds, etc, etc.
> >
> Isn't that one of those jobs with a wicker seat over a pair of solid
> wheels on a single axle with a couple of poles out front powered by a
> trotting cooley instead of a pony?......The roads in that part of the
> world being what they are, whatta way to go! Definitely no limo version.
> HT mobile only.

It should be a pretty smooth ride. Everyone knows that the road to Hell is
paved with good intentions. :-)

-Dave, NF2G

1058. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 16:06:43 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <nAOX5.10206$la1.1584813@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 62449
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Rick Gilliam" <tigwelder@austin.rr.com> wrote in message
news:%5DX5.18621$sS2.367868@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
> yea no shit...I had to take a 20 wpm cw test to get my extra class license
> now you can get one with just 5 WPM why even bother that means you just
> have to learn the chatacters and pass the written tests amateur radio has
> gone to hell in a handbasket and I probabally won't even renew my ticket

Why are you clowns blaming ARRL for the "doom" of CW as an amateur mode?

Are you completely unaware that the League is the ONLY ham radio society
that consistently votes AGAINST eliminating the Morse requirement at
international conferences? Do you realize how far out of step the United
States amateur community appears to be to the rest of the world?

-Dave, NF2G

1059. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 16:06:43 UTC
From: D Stark <dave@nf2g.com>
Message-ID: <nAOX5.10205$la1.1584713@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 62450
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:90o9ub$q60$1@208.207.71.171...
>
> More to the point, when did it change, and how many of those licensed
> more recently can't, as a percentage of their total? It's huge, from
> what I've seen.

What have you seen? I am a social scientist. Show me your data.

-Dave, NF2G

1060. Re: BIG RADIO FOR SALE PUTS OUT TO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 16:06:53 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001207110653.22231.00003754@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 62591

must be a 1000 mark 8 for that much

>> Yaesu FT-1000 HF/CW,SSB,AM,FM and CB Bands
>> Clean Like New 150 wats output digital readout
>> Blows Smoke and Kicks Ass with Big D-104 mikephone
>> $5000.00 shipped
>>
>>
>> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>> Before you buy.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

1061. Re: ??? (Triple 3)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 16:07:04 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2FB5A8.B97603E7@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62451

Triple 3 wrote:

> Anyway, seems like I remember a time when cb business was a no-no.

You can use CB to do your business, you just can't advertise your business or sell things on it. I guess the whores at the truck stop don't know the difference. <g>

> What do they say is the first thing to go again ?

I don't remember. I think it has something to do with Viagra.

1062. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 16:09:59 UTC
From: Rettysnich <cupofsoup@aol.com>
Message-ID: <rDOX5.45158$II2.4099545@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 62592
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

"Brian" <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:90jvl7$1m5$1@nnrp1.deja.com...

> Bruce, what are you really trying to say?

Bruce is trying to tell us that his medication regimine
needs a slight adjustment again.....

1063. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 16:10:01 UTC
From: Rettysnich <cupofsoup@aol.com>
Message-ID: <tDOX5.45159$II2.4099545@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 62593
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Frank A. Adrian" <fadrian@uswest.net> wrote in message
news:BSjX5.2807$Ep3.434807@news.uswest.net...
>
> "Wouff Hong" <no-ARRL@all.com> wrote in message
> news:Rotten_radio_League@arrl...
> [BLAH, BLAH, BLAH]
> >Thank you ARRL for turning our ham bands into SHIT!
>
> As if they've been a paragon of civility and refinement for the last 30
> years...

They have been - heck every time I see a
ARRL "Board of Errector" at a hamfests
you can sense a certain smugness that all
is well in the Country Club in Conn. (after
all..the ARRL's shit does not stink...they've
checked it you see...) It's we, the "unwashed
masses of non-ARRL hamdom" which are
the odoriferous ones....

1064. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 16:10:03 UTC
From: Rettysnich <cupofsoup@aol.com>
Message-ID: <vDOX5.45160$II2.4099545@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 62594
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Raymond Hansmeier" <rhansmeier@home.com> wrote in message
news:3A2DCFCC.6F03EF3@home.com...
> As an avid cw operator and a ham for over 29 years I must say you are
completely
> wrong. I see more cw operators joining our ranks. They may enter at 5 wpm,
but
> they enter none the less.

What freakin gated community do you live in pal..?

> With time and training from experienced hams they will
> attain proficiency in cw. If they choose to do other modes such as digital
etc.
> So What. It does not make them any less a ham. It gives us diversity and
fills
> up spectrum that otherwise would be wasted or sold to competing interests
which
> would be the death knell for the hobby as we know it.

Where have you been when part of 220 and just about everything
over 1.8GHz got, or is in the process of getting the chop as I type this?

Ever hear about "Bluetooth" - a new standard to link just about
every consumer product via wireless. GUESS WHAT PAL
IT'S ALREADY DEAD AS FAR AS HAM RADIO IS
CONCERNED.

Better re-read Wayne Green's old editortials, he's right on the bullseye.

>If you are a ham please
> identify yourself with your call sign. That way when I hear you on the air
I can
> go another direction. 73 OM
>
> de K7FU

Go back to playing CW. Your last comment proves what
a highbrowed asshole you are. I'll laugh a year or two when
your SK and your XYL tosses all your radios out to the
curb in the trash with a hearty "good riddence to this crap".

1065. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 16:10:05 UTC
From: Rettysnich <cupofsoup@aol.com>
Message-ID: <xDOX5.45161$II2.4099545@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 62595
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

<Steven J Robeson>; "K4YZ" <k4yz@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:90knrbjrp1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <Rotten_radio_League@arrl>,
> no-ARRL@all.com (Wouff Hong) wrote:--
>
> Who CARES what some anonymous, unlicensed idiot has to say?

Probably nobody! Shit, you can hear them on
14.313 all weekend long - so much for Mr. H.

1066. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 16:10:07 UTC
From: Rettysnich <cupofsoup@aol.com>
Message-ID: <zDOX5.45162$II2.4099545@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 62596
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Rick Gilliam" <tigwelder@austin.rr.com> wrote in message
news:%5DX5.18621$sS2.367868@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
> yea no shit...I had to take a 20 wpm cw test to get my extra class license
> now you can get one with just 5 WPM why even bother that means you just
> have to learn the chatacters and pass the written tests amateur radio has
> gone to hell in a handbasket and I probabally won't even renew my ticket

Can I buy your radios when you do? I know alot of 27.600 Freebanders
who are lookin for modified all-band-TX ham gear.

1067. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 16:10:21 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <90ocpd$53d$0@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 62597
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

David Little wrote:
>
> This is an example of what self-regulating conditions is about. Some
> problems tend to cure them selves and go away without intervention.
>
> This is the epitome of the concept "My father beat me when I was a child,
> and you're Damn right, I'm gonna beat my kids too".
>
> No body mentioned taking disipline out of the regiment of licensing
> requirements. This is the reason that Ham radio faces the current peril it
> is experiencing in shrinking numbers and likelihood of spectrum privileges
> to match the numbers. Any attempt to allow transfusion of new technical
> lifeblood is viewed as a fatal stab wound.

Noble thought but dead wrong in the implementation. Tests today require
no technical knowledge whatever should that be the route to the license
preferred by the applicant, and why shouldn't it be? The idea that code
free or technical knowledge-free licenses will draw a influx of
technical talent has been soundly
disproved by the history of the past 10 years.

1068. Re: Professor huggin N8wwm's Nutz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 16:29:17 UTC
From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90odshjnb1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62452

In article <20001207101102.09750.00000156@ng-fm1.aol.com>,
n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> >
> >>Oh, so I should pick my allies on the basis of trying to win a popularity
> >>contest instead of who's right... why didn't I think of that... NOT!
> >>
> >
>
> That is exactly what these genius types know on this NG. Thats why they slam me like the chicken hawk I am It works because by being an essential provider of
> empty and meaningless thoughts, my mouth is stronger than my chicken hawk brain
>
> As the phrase goes..."my karma ran over their dogma"
>
> Poor deluded me.
>

yous still heah chicken hawk i guess you gonna stick aroun til you get your feathers plucked and shucked sorry no known homos in heah

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1069. Re: 1mangang@slapnutzonN8schin.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 16:33:23 UTC
From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90oe4j$k2t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62453

In article <20001207100725.09750.00000155@ng-fm1.aol.com>,
n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> >> you cannot tell but i am starting to get nervous they already know im not
> >doug
> >
>
> Beg pardon, but this is errogeneous info and i is quivering for some attention.
>
> I am also VERY gay.
>

we knew that chicen hawk now run off to the same sex posting board where you
are bound to get a few replies from what you asked for chicken hawk

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1070. Re: Cb stuf for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 16:41:14 UTC
From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90oej8kib1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62454

In article <20001205170358.20930.00003409@ng-fc1.aol.com>,
n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> Watch out guys, that stuff is mostly illegal...You could get in trouble with
> the FCC.
>
> >
> >I have the following items for sale:
> >RCI 2959 with connex board, 2 noise toys, and turner roadking mic - $200
> >Ham International Multimode 3 AM-FM-SSB 5 band radio $100
> >Galaxy 33 - $125
> >Galaxy 66 with audio swing mod - $175
> >Texas Star 350HDV - $150
> >Browning 180 - Works but missing bottom (you dont know it unless you pick it
> >up
> >and look) $200
> >eMail
>
>

hey chickenhawk the stuff isnt illegal out of da country so i can personally
guarantee everyone who buys it will use it for export only like the ways its
been always now stay off the airwaves and concentrate on what it is unfolding
chickenhawk

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1071. Re: 1mangang@slapnutzonN8schin.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 16:56:45 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A2FC14D.82F9D701@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62455

If you ignore the troll, he'll go away. You are giving the baby
the attention he so very desires.

1072. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 17:20:04 UTC
From: matthew@pinky.uniqsys.com
Message-ID: <slrn92vhm3.jjp.matthew@pinky.uniqsys.com>
Source record: 62456
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> spewed forth:
#
#
Bill Meacham wrote:
>
> cooncat@privacy.nu wrote:
> >
> > And how many EXTRA class Hams,can even solder a mic connector ???
>
> I can.
#
#
More to the point, when did it change, and how many of those licensed
more recently can't, as a percentage of their total? It's huge, from
what I've seen.

I got my Extra on April 15, 2000, and I've been soldering (including mic
connectors) for 15 years.

Matthew

--
Matthew T. Jachimstal | Phone: (419) 861-3331 .~.
Software Engineer | FAX: (419) 861-3340 /V\
Unique Systems, Inc. | http://www.uniqsys.com // \\
6920 Spring Valley Drive, Suite 106 | matthew@uniqsys.com /( )\
Holland, OH 43528 | ^`~'^

1073. Re: Anyone Knowledgeable about FRS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 17:29:48 UTC
From: CRTech@hot.XXX.rr.com
Message-ID: <3a2fc6aa.94340305@news-server.hot.rr.com>
Source record: 62457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Without knowing what the models you are looking at I can tell you some
things to look at. FRS uses 14 different freqs ant i think around 38
different CTCSS tones. Not all radios are capable of all freqs and
tones. The 2 for $80 is probably 2 freqs and maybe a handfull of
tones. Where as the $80 each can do all freqs and a lot more tones.
What this means is that if you turn your radio on and some one is
using the freq that you have tuned in you can just switch to a
different freq. But if you only have access to 2 or so there are less
freqs that you can switch to. The CTCSS tones or so that if you have
two radios set up with the same tone and one keys up the other will
break squelch, however if the tones are different or the transmitter
CTCSS is not set at all the receiving radio will not break squelch.
Some what allows you to have a little break from extra junk on the
freq. But if the receiving radio does not have tone set then it can
receive all communication over that freq.

I hope that this helps.

On Wed, 6 Dec 2000 06:29:45 -0600, "ALEX KC0AK)"
<alexjent@prodigy.net> wrote:

>I need to know what the "real" difference is between the "two for $80"
>models and "$80 each models". All seem to say 2 mile range but what about
>interference etc.
>
>Anyone have any definitive experience?
>
>--
>Thanks,
> Alex
>
>

1074. Re: Peakaboo!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 17:48:50 UTC
From: "Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com>
Message-ID: <90oihv$o0n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62459

Nightmare . I bumped it down on you too, Sounded good round the Cowpen.
Pain Cruisin this Mobile got down!!!!!!

In article <20001206195603.23386.00003969@ng-fv1.aol.com>,
lordfkaos@aol.com (LORDFKAOS) wrote:
> >Hey peakaboo, Not shure if is the same peakaboo (New York) but if it
was you,
> >You and Mattress Man shure were clening out my recive this afternoon
down
> >there
> >on 26.915. Great sounding setup ya got. Later and keep up the good
work out
> >there in DX land
> >
> >
> >oneqck76ta@aol.com
> >http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta
> >
> >You've got '459' out of Minneapolis Minnesota and i'm readin the mail
> >
> >****Hippie Freak****
>
> I think you got him confused with me. I was the one talking to
Peakaboo (in
> the star) today on 26915. I think I may have spoke to you also
earlier!
> Take care my friend..
>
> NIGHTMARE motor van around the Big Trees got down!
>

--
MR.PAIN&#65533;

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1075. sorry guys.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 17:53:59 UTC
From: jojo <maranatha@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <X8QX5.39372$M51.13786362@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 62458

I made a mitake and put my Galaxy saturn on yahoo for.99 cents. it was suppose to have a reserve anyways I relisted it if anyone is interested thanks

http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/46289291

1076. Re: BIG RADIO FOR SALE PUTS OUT TO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 18:01:37 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90ojba$ho4$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 62460

Or maybe a 1 of a kind jewel encrusted gold/platinum plated D104, the brand new price on the deluxe version is $3995.00 and you can figure getting it cheaper with little shopping effort.

"Cap'n Crunch" <kcfamily@tir.com> wrote in message news:RIFX5.1314$V6.308520@nntp3.onemain.com...
> Yikes! ...Must be made of precious metals and rare gems!
>
> <elmerpee@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:90lp5ddts1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > Yaesu FT-1000 HF/CW,SSB,AM,FM and CB Bands
> > Clean Like New 150 wats output digital readout
> > Blows Smoke and Kicks Ass with Big D-104 mikephone
> > $5000.00 shipped
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
>
>

1077. FS: Test Equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-07 18:11:26 UTC
From: tomg@fullnet.com
Message-ID: <90ojs7pdi1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62461

I have a lot of good, used, and guaranteed TEST EQUIPMENT, listed at:

http://www.fullnet.com/u/tomg/gooteesu.htm

The list includes lots of Tektronix oscilloscopes and plug-ins, spectrum analyzers, power meters, swr meters, mutual conductance tube tester units, and much, much more, including new components and used tubes. Prices are way lower than any dealer.

I also have a detailed description of how to make printed circuit boards from laser printer output, using very cheap and readily-available materials, at:

http://www.fullnet.com/u/tomg/gooteepc.htm

Thanks,

Tom Gootee

tomg@fullnet.com

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1078. Re: Only purpose of the new regs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90phriinm1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62474

In article <t30gch6a7m5ie7@corp.supernews.com>,
"picloud" <A@A.com> wrote:
> The people who approve these kinds of regs are the kinds of people who
> helped Hitler and Mussolini, Stalin and Mao, rise to power. The
American
> revolution nearly failed because of the large number of them in the
> colonies. So they are with us an always will be.
>
> You just have to keep fighting for your freedom. Vote, write letters,
write
> guest editorials in your local paper and when you're on a dark road
and find
> a guy like N8wwm and there is no one else around .. shoot him, for the
sake
> of freedom!

Your crackpot libertarian ideas are protected under the freedom of speech amendment - but calling for the murder of another individual via the Internet makes you liable for investigation and prosecution by authorities.

Sincerely,
Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1079. Re: Only purpose of the new regs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001207214005.07665.00004227@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 62475

>The only purpose of the new regs was to find another group for whom the
>fourth amendment would no longer apply -HAM radio operators.

LOL...you are illiterate. Hams operating legally are specifically exempt.

1080. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com>
Message-ID: <3A304964.BE49717D@topnow.com>
Source record: 62476
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Dick Carroll wrote:

> David Little wrote:
> >
> > This is an example of what self-regulating conditions is about. Some
> > problems tend to cure them selves and go away without intervention.
> >
> > This is the epitome of the concept "My father beat me when I was a child,
> > and you're Damn right, I'm gonna beat my kids too".
> >
> > No body mentioned taking disipline out of the regiment of licensing
> > requirements. This is the reason that Ham radio faces the current peril it
> > is experiencing in shrinking numbers and likelihood of spectrum privileges
> > to match the numbers. Any attempt to allow transfusion of new technical
> > lifeblood is viewed as a fatal stab wound.
>
> Noble thought but dead wrong in the implementation. Tests today require
> no technical knowledge whatever should that be the route to the license
> preferred by the applicant, and why shouldn't it be?

I take it you haven't taken an Extra written exam lately then?
Wouldn't figuring complex impedances, antenna lengths, etc.
count as technical?
Admittedly, it's not as technical as drawing schematics of plate-modulated
final stages, but the average non-technical fellow is still going
to have to study quite a bit for an Extra exam. These days, with
radios out of the box, the need to be a GROL too is much more limited.

The fundamental tension seems to be between those who wish to keep things
an exclusive club / preserve the past and those who feel that unless
we as a group move towards new technologies and higher frequencies,
we will lose our justification for existance. If the latter is true, and if
we ignore the threat, "they" will probably nibble off our
frequencies over years until we're down to 40 channelized frequencies and maybe
two modes (sound familiar?) So in other words, we become a CB service
if we fail to justify our existence just as much as if we lower standards too
far.

Now, CW is a fine pastime and I can't imagine why someone would
criticize those who enjoy working it, but we need the sort of techical
minds in the hobby who may not be interested enough in CW to otherwise
get in. IMHO, of course. :)

> The idea that code
> free or technical knowledge-free licenses will draw a influx of
> technical talent has been soundly
> disproved by the history of the past 10 years.

1081. Re: Inspection authority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001207214411.07665.00004228@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 62477

>
>A: Failure to allow inspection forecloses the opportunity to resolve the
>problem. Thus, refusal to allow inspection is a serious challenge to the
>Commission's authority to inspect radio stations and is a violation of the
>Rules. Such a refusal may lead to revocation of a license, maximum monetary
>forfeiture, or other Commission sanctions.

Goes for ChickenBanders as well

1082. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90pj83jmg1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62478

In article <3A303C82.AB38B657@bob.com>,
Bob <bob@bob.com> wrote:
> It all very simple. There are 10 million other threads for you to post
> *your* feelings on HR2346..but that wouldn't stand out enough. It
>would
> be buried in the with the rest of useless posts.

This is rec.radio.cb. HR2346 deals with CB. Therefore my opinion is relevant to the discussion. What's your problem, Bob? You don't seem to like someone expressing views in support of legal CB operation.

>I'm saying you're a
> troll..I don't care about your views.

So anyone who's views you disagree with you is a troll. Yes, I see how it works now.

>Views which, have been repeated
> over and over in the other 10 million threads here and other ham/cb
> boards. Get it now?

I feel my views were quite unique. I contributed observations for discussion. Later I contributed new information in the form of a Congressional Record.

> On top of that troll, when I stopped and asked the local policeman
> if he knew what HR2346 was, he replied "Huh?". Theres nothing to talk
> about now...nobody knows how this is going to play out.

Your local cop's response obviously proves something significant to you. But it's not obvious to anyone else. Help me out here, Bob. Explain.

> Bob the illegal CBer, committing crime against humanity.

If you're proud to be operating illegal CB you'd be better off with some counseling, not prosecution.

Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1083. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: Jim Rice <HassenBenSober@kumputer.net>
Message-ID: <l0YX5.47697$II2.4267986@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 62479
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Ross Archer" <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message
news:3A304964.BE49717D@topnow.com...
> I take it you haven't taken an Extra written exam lately then?
> Wouldn't figuring complex impedances, antenna lengths, etc.
> count as technical?
> Admittedly, it's not as technical as drawing schematics of plate-modulated
> final stages, but the average non-technical fellow is still going
> to have to study quite a bit for an Extra exam. These days, with
> radios out of the box, the need to be a GROL too is much more limited.
>
> The fundamental tension seems to be between those who wish to keep things
> an exclusive club / preserve the past and those who feel that unless
> we as a group move towards new technologies and higher frequencies,
> we will lose our justification for existance. If the latter is true, and
if
> we ignore the threat, "they" will probably nibble off our
> frequencies over years until we're down to 40 channelized frequencies and
maybe
> two modes (sound familiar?) So in other words, we become a CB service
> if we fail to justify our existence just as much as if we lower standards
too
> far.
>
> Now, CW is a fine pastime and I can't imagine why someone would
> criticize those who enjoy working it, but we need the sort of techical
> minds in the hobby who may not be interested enough in CW to otherwise
> get in. IMHO, of course. :)

Well Spoken, and true, IMOHO

1084. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: 23skidoo <23skiddoo@house.com>
Message-ID: <NBXX5.122381$U46.4112644@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 62480
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

And it Shows in the quality of your posts -
"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3A3009F9.7EDA1BDA@hotmail.com...
> I'm a CB'er and I can solder a mike connector. Now of the solders,
> how many can do it nice and shiny and not a bunch of gray blobs?
>
> cooncat@privacy.nu wrote:
> >
> > And how many EXTRA class Hams,can even solder a mic connector ???

1085. Re: BIG RADIO FOR SALE PUTS OUT TO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001207222108.28466.00003894@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 62481

>>Reading the replies to this post reinforces the fact: CBers are NOT stupid.
>>Period.
>
>I have to agree with you. CBers haven't evolved enough to climb up to
>a level of just mere stupidity.
>
Yep.

1086. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90phcg07r0@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62482
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

In article <90oo1l$3jq$1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu says...
>
>D Stark <dave@nf2g.com> wrote:
>>Are you completely unaware that the League is the ONLY ham radio society
>>that consistently votes AGAINST eliminating the Morse requirement at
>>international conferences?
>
>The "only" one? Didn't a Euro country recently reinstate their code
>requirements for HF privileges?
>
>>Do you realize how far out of step the United
>>States amateur community appears to be to the rest of the world?
>
>Do you want us to be like the rest of the world? Are you suggesting
>a UN-administered radio exam? Or should we be like Malaysia and have
>you submit your station to an annual inspection? That country still
>requires a code exam and an applicant might have to wait as long as
>one year to receive his license after passing a battery of exams.
>
>Be careful what you wish for, Dave.
>
>KH6O

That's not what he was talking about, and you damn well know it.

But I see you're getting your exercise. Twisting words, jumping to conclusions,
flying off the handle, etc.

Tom - N8ECW

1087. Re: Only purpose of the new regs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001207222332.28466.00003897@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 62483

>when you're on a dark road
>and find
>> a guy like N8wwm and there is no one else around .. shoot him, for the
>sake
>> of freedom!
>

>
>Your crackpot libertarian ideas are protected under the freedom of
>speech amendment - but calling for the murder of another individual via
>the Internet makes you liable for investigation and prosecution by
>authorities.
>
>Sincerely,
>Aaron Voobner
>

Aaron, These rectal brains aren't worth gettin yer panties in a knot. Don't worry...they would probably shoot themselves trying to figure out how to use a gun.

1088. N8WWM-A PROUD ARRL MEMBER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001207223800.28466.00003902@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 62484

You guys dissin the ARRL are baked codfish...

Most of you are just CB'ers who dont know anything about the ARRL<or much else>.

You diss no code techs cuz you cant even pass that test.

I am a no-coder<at this point having a ball on 6 and 2 SSB> and also support keeping the CW standard of at least 5 wpm.
I don't support it to keep moron people off the amateur bands, though. The Technician test seems to work OK for that. I have met few new ham ops who were not at least trying to be courteous, considerate, and to get their style down better. Can't say that for most of the lot here.

The whole made up DX group <Knight Patrol, AT, etc> thing on the illegal freq's proves 1 thing. Y'all are a buncha wannabees. Probably are "neverwillbees", too.

When you get busted I laugh. When you insult me, I laugh. When you call me names, I laugh. Keep it up. Whenever I want a good cackle I go to this NG.

No one here flames as good as I do, and never will. Also your trolls are weak and very transparent. I will, for a small fee, be glad to teach you...so break out summa that $$ you been saving out of your SSI checks for that 5 pill modjee box and send it to me, before the flames consume you.

1089. Re: Trucker Vacation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <ILYX5.122442$U46.4124623@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 62485
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

I thought unions were Democrat and urged their members to vote as such.
Wouldn't teamsters be a, what's that word, union.

How a
nationwide
trucker's strike can end Algore's coup fast

1090. Re: WHAT THE HELL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001207224118.28466.00003903@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 62486

> I know how u feel your 16 pill on a 10 element beam can't deal with my 8
>> pill mobile shame on the mess
>>

Now there are a couple of brains at work.
Those guys need help.

1091. THE LOTTERY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001207230021.19715.00001107@nso-fs.aol.com>
Source record: 62487

>>A man gets home, screeches his car into the
>>driveway, runs into the house,
>>slams the door and shouts at the top of
>>his lungs,
>>"Honey, pack your bags, I won the damn lottery!
>>
>>The wife says, "Ohmigod! No shit?! What
>>should I pack, beach stuff or mountain stuff?"
>>
>>The husband yells back, "It doesn't matter.
>>Just get the fuck out!"

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

1092. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001207231209.19715.00001108@nso-fs.aol.com>
Source record: 62488

In article <20001207194925.21453.00002245@ng-fl1.aol.com>, n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) writes:

>Exactly right again. These dweebs act like they have some rights, but they >have
>none. They are criminals with small brains who ignore the reality of their >idiotic actions.

If these guys are criminals what do you call the guy that breaks into your house and robs or kills???
Sheesh! Aren't we just getting a bit self rightous!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

1093. Re: N8WWM-A PROUD ARRL MEMBER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ciZX5.1080$d62.80363@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 62489

A question to the proud ARRL member. Which of the Amateur's code applies to you?

1) CONSIDERATE
2) Loyal
3) Progressive
4) FRIENDLY
5) BALANCED
6) Patriotic

Thanks in advance for your answer

73s from Rochester, NY
Jim

ps - got my extra in 1966, along with my commercial telegraph license. I honestly see no need for morse code as a REQUIREMENT. This will probably be argued over and over again until international agreements finally eliminate it. It was on its' way out in the US navy in the late 60s when I was in 'Nam.

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20001207223800.28466.00003902@ng-cr1.aol.com...
> You guys dissin the ARRL are baked codfish...
>
> Most of you are just CB'ers who dont know anything about the ARRL<or much
> else>.
>
> You diss no code techs cuz you cant even pass that test.
>
> I am a no-coder<at this point having a ball on 6 and 2 SSB> and also support
> keeping the CW standard of at least 5 wpm.
> I don't support it to keep moron people off the amateur bands, though. The
> Technician test seems to work OK for that. I have met few new ham ops who were
> not at least trying to be courteous, considerate, and to get their style down
> better. Can't say that for most of the lot here.
>
> The whole made up DX group <Knight Patrol, AT, etc> thing on the illegal freq's
> proves 1 thing. Y'all are a buncha wannabees. Probably are "neverwillbees",
> too.
>

> When you get busted I laugh. When you insult me, I laugh. When you call me
> names, I laugh. Keep it up. Whenever I want a good cackle I go to this NG.
>
> No one here flames as good as I do, and never will. Also your trolls are
weak
> and very transparent. I will, for a small fee, be glad to teach you...so
break
> out summa that $$ you been saving out of your SSI checks for that 5 pill
modjee
> box and send it to me, before the flames consume you.

1094. Re: LET'S ANALYZE HR 2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001207231736.28473.00003834@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 62490

>This bill was passed not because of the ARRL or the EVIL HAMMIES but too
>Many Local Jurisdictions complained to the FCC about a "ChannelMaster"
>or
>other fool messing around with a High Power radio and got no response,
>since they (the locals) were powerless, the suffering continued. Down
>here in
>Louisiana, the neighborhood "Channelmasters" loved blocking out Cable TV
>and causing misery, so the localities here have asked Mr. Tauzin for
>help
>and here it is. Not perfect but then we don't live in a perfect world.
>Abuse by the localities exist for those using their radios in compliance
>with
>Part 95 and 97. If a community passes legislation on this matter, it
>would
>behoove the radio hobbyist to stay tuned into locla events and how this
>will
>play out.

Exactly. I hope the locals need hams to help 'em hunt these dweebs...I will be the first volunteer...and I will post my busts right here...Oh Joy, Oh Goodie goodie!!!!

Oh God, is Bill clinton my hero!<in this situation only>

1095. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90pkc40ak4@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62491
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

In article <90onbt$2vq$1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu says...
>
>Rettysnich, PPPa61-ResaleMoorsetownB1-1r7307.dialinx.net, wrote:
>
>>Ever hear about "Bluetooth" - a new standard to link just about
>>every consumer product via wireless. GUESS WHAT PAL IT'S ALREADY DEAD
>
>Well, that's good news!
>
>>Better re-read Wayne Green's old editortials, he's right on the bullseye.
>
>What was it he wrote in one editorial about curing HIV? Electric shock
>therapy? And you agree with that?

Well, Jeff, I never thought I'd see the day when I agree with you on something, but you're right on the money this time. Wayne Green buys into so much stuff, that it's hard to sort out what's legit and what's not, because, at times, he actually is correct.

His latest editorials state that the government is seeding the skies with an anthrax vaccine, because Iraq is planning a biological attack in revenge for our kicking their asses in Desert Storm. The seeding is an effort to minimize casualties without starting a panic. The government, supposedly, can't stop the attack altogether, but they can make an effort to limit the effects.

This is coming from a guy who publishes a "Secret Guide to Wisdom" that says that the sci fi classic "Stranger in a Strange Land" was written by Ray Bradbury.

Tom - N8ECW

1096. Heres one for you illegals...yippee!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001207233932.28473.00003836@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 62492

N8WWM loves you all...happy bust you clowns!

Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of

James R. Farlow
Thomasville, North Carolina

)
)
)
)
)
)
NAL/Acct. 915NF0001

FORFEITURE ORDER

Adopted: March 17, 1999 ; Released: March 19, 1999

By the Director, Legal Services Group,
Compliance & Information Bureau:

1. Before the Compliance and Information Bureau ("the Bureau") is a Notice of Apparent Liability ("NAL") for a monetary forfeiture issued on November 18, 1998 to James R. Farlow and the response thereto filed by Mr. Farlow. The NAL proposed imposition of a forfeiture against Mr. Farlow in the amount of $7,000 pursuant to 47 U.S.C ï¿½ 503(b) and 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 1.80 for willful violation of 47 U.S.C. ï¿½ 303(n) and 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.426 (CB Rule 26). For the reasons stated below, we affirm the $7,000 forfeiture amount.

BACKGROUND
2. On March 10, 1998, the Bureau's Norfolk, Virginia, Resident Agent Office received a complaint from an individual, in Thomasville, North Carolina, who had been experiencing interference to her home electronic equipment from Mr. Farlow's citizens band ("CB") radio operation. The complaint also contained allegations of use of a linear amplifier by Mr. Farlow in violation of the rules governing CB radio service, 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ï¿½ 95.401 - 95.428. In response to another complaint concerning Mr. Farlow's CB radio operation, on September 8, 1998, an agent from the Norfolk Office went to the Thomasville, North Carolina, area to investigate the complaint.

3. While there, the agent confirmed that the interference was still a problem for Mr. Farlow's neighbors. In order to determine whether Mr. Farlow's CB radio operation was still the source of the interference, the agent decided that an inspection of Mr. Farlow's equipment would be necessary. At 6:30 p.m. the agent knocked on the front door of 103 Browning Drive, the residence of Mr. Farlow. Mr. Farlow came from the back of his house in reply to the agent's knock. The agent properly identified himself as a representative of the FCC, showed Mr. Farlow his official badge, and asked to inspect Mr. Farlow's CB radio station. Mr. Farlow then led the agent to his back door where he hesitated and then asked the agent for a search warrant. The agent explained to Mr. Farlow that both ï¿½ 303(n), and ï¿½ 95.426 (CB Rule 26), authorize inspection of all CB radio stations by the FCC and a search warrant was not necessary. Nevertheless, Mr. Farlow refused to allow the agent entry to his residence in order to inspect the station. After observing a CB radio in the truck parked in the driveway at 103 Browning Drive, Thomasville, North Carolina, the agent also requested that he be allowed access to inspect Mr. Farlow's mobile CB radio station. Mr. Farlow again refused to allow the agent to inspect his CB station. Subsequent to the request for inspection, the Bureau's Norfolk Office issued the subject NAL on November 18, 1998, for the willful violations of ï¿½ 303(n) and ï¿½ 95.426 (CB Rule 26).

4. In responding to the NAL, Mr. Farlow contends that he attempted to resolve the interference complaints of his neighbors although he had doubts that his CB radio station was the source of the interference. Furthermore, Mr. Farlow states that, at the time of the attempted inspection, he no longer had the CB equipment at his residence because of all the complaints from his neighbors. Mr. Farlow's response to the NAL further contends that he was unable to let the agent into his house as his wife was indisposed. Mr. Farlow also claims that the agent did not have a search warrant, and that the agent would not allow him the time to call his attorney. Furthermore, Mr. Farlow asserts that he had recently been threatened by neighbors and felt uncomfortable allowing a "total stranger [who] shows up after business hours in a used faded Explorer without any recognizable law enforcement, no search warrant, no previous paperwork or anything to explain my rights." Finally, Mr. Farlow states that he did not know who the FCC was, nor did he know the rules and regulations associated with operating a CB radio station.

DISCUSSION

5. The Bureau's Norfolk Office issued the forfeiture pursuant to ï¿½ 503 and ï¿½1.80. In assessing the forfeiture amount, the Norfolk Office followed the forfeiture standards established in ï¿½ 503 and The Commission's Forfeiture Policy Statement and Amendment of Section 1.80 of the Rules to Incorporate Guidelines, 12 FCC Rcd 17087 (1997), recon. pending ("Policy Statement"). It is required by ï¿½ 503(b) that the Commission take into account the nature, circumstances, extent and gravity of the violation and, with respect to the violator, the degree of culpability, any history of prior offenses, ability to pay, and other such matters as justice may require. See, 47 U.S.C. ï¿½ 503(b)(2)(D).

6. We have reviewed Mr. Farlow's arguments concerning the events of September 8, 1998, and we find that none of them are sufficient to eliminate the

liability associated with the noted violations. We believe that if Mr. Farlow no longer had CB radio equipment in his residence that he should have stated so at the time of the request for inspection. He did not. In fact, a neighbor of Mr. Farlow's had identified Mr. Farlow's voice in a transmission that caused interference to her home electronic equipment only a few days prior to the inspection. Additionally, a neighbor observed Mr. Farlow removing the CB radio equipment from his residence on September 9, 1998, the day after the attempted inspection. Moreover, the FCC agent visually observed that a mobile CB radio station was present in Mr. Farlow's truck on September 8, 1998. Mr. Farlow does not offer an explanation as to why he denied the agent entry to inspect his truck. Mr. Farlow does not offer any mitigating circumstances which would excuse his refusal to allow inspection of his CB radio station. The hour of the attempted inspection, 6:30 p.m., was during daylight hours, and the agent presented legitimate FCC identification credentials, which according to the agent, was acknowledged by Mr. Farlow as being "official."

7. Under ï¿½ 303(n) the FCC has the "authority to inspect all radio installations associated with stations required to be licensed by any Act, or which the Commission by rule has authorized to operate without a license under Section 307(e)(1)." 47 U.S.C. ï¿½ 303(n). This definition expressly includes CB radio stations as those that the FCC is authorized to inspect. Likewise, under ï¿½ 95.426, "[i]f an authorized FCC representative requests to inspect your CB station, you must make your CB station and records available for inspection." 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.426(a). Thus, an FCC agent does not need a search warrant to inspect a radio station. See Norfolk Southern Railway Company, 11 FCC Rcd 519 (CIB 1996) (the right to inspect a station is one of the cornerstones of the FCC's ability to ensure compliance with the Communications Act and the Commission's Rules). Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.402(a)(1), Mr. Farlow, as a CB operator, had the responsibility to be familiar with the CB rules and to comply with these rules. "The Commission expects its licensees (including CB users authorized by CB Rule 4, 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.404, to operate without an individual station authorization) to be familiar with those rules that govern the class of station they operate. Claims of unfamiliarity with rules cannot be used to justify mitigation of penalties." William J. McCray, Jr., 9 FCC Rcd 1897 (FOB 1994). As such, the violations of ï¿½ 303(n) and ï¿½ 95.426 were willful.[1]

8. In sum, we have examined Mr. Farlow's response in conjunction with the Policy Statement and the above statutory factors and conclude that Mr. Farlow has failed to provide a sufficient justification for reducing the forfeiture amount. We therefore affirm the forfeiture amount of $7,000.

9. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. ï¿½ 503(b), 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 1.80, and the Policy Statement, 12 FCC Rcd 17087, James R. Farlow IS LIABLE FOR A MONETARY FORFEITURE in the amount of $7,000 for willful violation of 47 U.S.C. ï¿½ 303(n) and 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.426 (CB Rule 26).

10. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to 47 C.F.R. ï¿½1.80(f), James R. Farlow shall, within thirty (30) days of the release of this Forfeiture Order, pay the amount of $7,000. Payment of the forfeiture shall be made in U.S. dollars, by check, credit card or money order drawn on a U.S. financial institution payable to the Federal Communications Commission.[2] The remittance should be marked

NAL/Acct. No. 915NF0001, and mailed to the following address:

Federal Communications Commission
Post Office Box 73482
Chicago, IL 60673-7482

Petitions for reconsideration pursuant to 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 1.106, or applications for review pursuant to 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 1.115 should be sent to:

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554
ATTN: Mail Stop 1500E3-DLH
Compliance and Information Bureau

Forfeiture penalties not paid within 30 days will be referred to the U.S. Attorney for recovery in a civil suit. 47 U.S.C. ï¿½ 504(a).

11. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, a copy of this Order shall be sent certified mail, return receipt requested, to James R. Farlow, 103 Browning Drive, Thomasville, North Carolina 27360.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Ricardo M. Durham
Director, Legal Services Group
Compliance Division
Compliance and Information Bureau

Footnotes:
1 Section 312(f)(1) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. ï¿½ 312(f)(1), which also applies to ï¿½ 503(b), provides: "[t]he term 'willful,' when used with reference to the commission or omission of any act, means the conscious and deliberate commission or omission of such act, irrespective of any intent to violate any provision of this Act or any rule or regulation of the Commission authorized by this Act or by a treaty ratified by the United States." See Southern California Broadcasting Co., 6 FCC Rcd 4387 (1991).

2 Requests for installment plans should be mailed to: Chief, Billings and Collections, Mail Stop 1110A2, 445 12th Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20554. Payment of the forfeiture in installments may be considered as a separate matter in accordance with 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 1.1914. Please contact Chief, Billings and Collections for information regarding credit card payments.

1097. Heres ANOTHER one

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001207234237.28490.00003540@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 62493

Hardee har har

****
Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of

Amendment of Section 95.413 of the
Commission's Rules Prohibiting Communications
or Attempts to Communicate with Citizens Band
Radio Service Stations More Than 250 Kilometers
Away
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

RM-9807

ORDER

Adopted: August 18, 2000 Released: August 21, 2000

By the Associate Chief, Wireless Telecommunication Bureau:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. We have before us a petition (Petition) filed by Alan Dixon (Dixon) requesting amendment of Section 95.413 of the Commission's Rules to authorize stations in the Citizens Band (CB) Radio Service to communicate with other CB Radio Service stations more than 250 kilometers (km) (155.3 miles) away, and to communicate with stations in foreign countries. Based on our review of the record, we conclude that issuance of a Notice of Proposed Rule Making and the commencement of a separate proceeding regarding this matter is not warranted. Dixon's request is inconsistent with the purpose of the CB Radio Service and could fundamentally alter the nature of the service. Therefore, we deny Dixon's Petition.
II. BACKGROUND

2. The CB Radio Service is a private, two-way, short-distance voice communications service for personal or business activities of the general public. It allows any individual, other than a representative of a foreign government or a federal government agency, to transmit two-way plain language communications, i.e., communications without codes or coded messages, only to other CB stations, to units of his or her own CB station or to authorized government stations on CB frequencies. These communications may be about a person's personal or business activities or those of members of his or her immediate family living in the same household; emergencies; or traveler assistance information. Communications also may be transmitted concerning civil defense activities in connection with official tests or drills conducted by, or actual emergencies announced by, the civil defense agency with authority over the area in which the station is located. The Rules prohibit a CB station from communicating with, or attempting to communicate with, any CB station more than 250 kilometers (155.3 miles) away, and from communicating with stations in other countries, except General Radio Service stations in Canada.

3. On November 3, 1999, Dixon filed a petition for rule making requesting amendment of the CB Radio Service rules to eliminate the prohibition against CB Radio Service stations communicating with other CB Radio Service stations more than 250 km away and to allow CB Radio Service stations to communicate with stations in other countries, subject to any restrictions or exclusions that may be imposed by either the international Radio Regulations (Radio Regulations) or the government of any country subject to the Radio Regulations. Dixon states that CB operators should not be constrained from contacting distant CB stations in order to facilitate communications for personal convenience, navigation, travelers assistance, and emergency and disaster communications. In addition, Dixon states that the propagation characteristics of frequencies allocated to the CB Radio Service are well understood to be capricious and unpredictable, and that a user should not need a technical understanding of radio wave propagation, or a frame of reference limited to an arbitrary geographic radius. He requests amendment of the rules to eliminate restrictions on the distance CB Radio Service stations may communicate, and states that allowing such communications will not substantially alter the primary use of the CB Radio Service as a short-range communications service.

4. The Commission sought comment on Dixon's Petition on January 14, 2000. Comments and

reply comments were received from CB Radio Service operators and organizations representing them, amateur
radio operators and the American Radio Relay League, Inc. (ARRL), and the National Association of
Broadcasters (NAB). CB Radio Service operators generally support the proposed rule amendment. They
state that the present rule is unenforceable, and that communications in excess of 250 km are inadvertent
and beyond the operator's control due to the eleven-year sun spot cycle or other natural phenomena. Jock
Elliott and others state that the phenomenon of communicating beyond 250 km can be avoided only by
relocating the entire CB Radio Service to different spectrum.
5. The ARRL opposes the Petition on the grounds that this proposal seeks to redefine the purpose of the
CB Radio Service by allowing long-distance CB communications, which would undermine the core distinction
between the CB Radio Service and the Amateur Radio Service. Similarly, Collin Dvork (Dvork) states that the
rule should not be revised because the CB Radio Service is not a DX (long-distance) service, and someone
who wants DX should obtain an amateur radio license and use bands that are allocated for it. The NAB also
opposes the Dixon Petition. It states that the restriction in Section 95.413(a)(9) is necessary to deter CB operators
from operating at power levels in excess of those permitted under the Commission's rules, and that consumers
must be protected from illegal CB radio transmissions that interfere with radio, television, telephone, and other
forms of electronic communication.
III. DISCUSSION
6.After consideration of the record, we believe that the requested revisions to the rules are
inconsistent with the purpose of the CB Radio Service. As an initial matter, we agree with the ARRL that
the purpose of the CB Radio Service is to provide for short-distance personal and business
radiocommunications and we note that this purpose has not changed since the service's initial
authorization. We also note that the Commission was aware that the frequency band it authorized for the
CB Radio Service was affected by the eleven-year sunspot cycle and that communications on this band
could be propagated over great distances. Nevertheless, the Commission found that the public interest,
convenience and necessity was served by the allocation of these channels to what was then called the
Citizens Radio Service for short-distance personal and business radiocommunications. We conclude
that the main premise of the Dixon Petition that CB Radio Service

communications over 250 km are
unavoidable due to the propagation characteristics of frequencies allocated to
the CB Radio Service was
previously considered by the Commission, so this characteristic alone is not a
sufficient reason to amend
Section 95.413 of the Commission's Rules.
7.Further, we are concerned that, if Section 95.413 were revised to eliminate
the prohibition
against communications between CB Radio Service stations more than 250 km away,
then the CB Radio
Service would be used by individuals to transmit communications that are
similar to those transmitted by
amateur stations. This result, we believe, would fundamentally change the
nature of the service, in fact, it
would be transformed from a short-distance voice communications service, where
long-distance
communications inadvertently can occur, to an examination-free amateur
radio-type service, in which long-
distance communications would become permissible communications. We note that
the Commission stated
it had no intention of creating a service paralleling the amateur service when
it authorized the Citizens
Radio Service, and it did not intend that CB Radio Service stations be used for
technical radio experiments
or for general contacts of a random nature. In this regard, Dixon and others
state that the CB Radio
Service already is used for long-distance personal convenience communications
and that such use should
be permitted. We also note that some individuals used the Citizens Radio
Service in this manner before
the Commission specifically prohibited CB Radio Service stations from
communicating with distant
stations. Thus, the concern expressed by the ARRL that this proposal would
lessen or eliminate the core
distinction between the CB Radio Service and the Amateur Radio Service is a
valid concern. The Petition
presents no compelling reason to propose a rule change that would undermine the
purpose underlying the CB
Radio Service rules. Rather, we agree with Dvork that someone wishing to
engage in amateur service type
communications should seek an amateur radio license and use bands that are
expressly allocated for these
types of communication.
8.Similarly, in the Authorization Order the Commission considered whether
communications with
foreign stations should be permitted, and decided that Citizens Radio Service
stations should be limited to
exchanging messages with government stations relating to civil defense and
other stations in the Citizens
Radio Service. Communications with FCC-licensed stations in other radio

services and foreign stations
were prohibited. In this regard, we note that the Citizens Radio Service,
unlike the amateur service, is not
a service recognized in the international Radio Regulations, and that allowing
Citizens Radio Service
stations to communicate without regard to the distance between station would
greatly increase the potential
for interference with stations licensed by other countries. We also note that
the internationally permitted
use and allocation applicable to the CB Radio Service frequency band has not
changed since the
Authorization Order was adopted. For these reasons, we conclude that the
proposed revisions to the
rules are inconsistent with the basis and purpose of the CB Radio Service.
9.With regard to NAB's opposition to the Petition on the basis that consumers
must be protected
from illegal transmissions on CB Radio Service channels that cause interference
with other electronic
communications, we agree with Dixon that such transmissions already are
prohibited and that, as NAB
notes, we take enforcement action against violators of the rules. Therefore,
we believe that the concerns
expressed by NAB are addressed in the Commission's Rules. We also note,
moreover, that equipment that
is designed to receive transmissions from broadcast stations, and other
electronic equipment such as
telephone instruments and computer devices, may unintentionally receive the
transmissions of CB stations
that are operated in accordance with the rules. In that the equipment that is
receiving these transmissions
generally is authorized under Part 15 of our Rules on the condition that
interference that may be caused
by the operation of an authorized radio station must be accepted, we agree with
Mr. Ort that the rules
presently address the issue of undesired reception of authorized CB Radio
Service station transmissions by
broadcast receivers.
IV. CONCLUSION
10.Amending the rules to permit long-distance and international communications
would undermine
the purpose of the CB Radio Service rules, and compromise one of the core
distinctions between the CB
Radio Service and the Amateur Radio Service. Therefore, we conclude that the
request in the above-
captioned petition for rule making is unnecessary and does not warrant further
consideration at this time.
11.Alternate formats of this Order (computer diskette, large print, audio
cassette and Braille) are
available to persons with disabilities by contacting Martha Contee at (202)
418-0260, TTY (202) 418-

2555, or by e-mail at <mcontee@fcc.gov>. This Order also is available at the Commission's internet site at <http//www.fcc.gov>.

V. ORDERING CLAUSES

12. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED, pursuant to Sections 4(i) and (j) and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 154(i), (j), 303(r), and Section 1.401(e) of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R. 1.401(e), that the petition for rule making filed by Alan Dixon on November 3, 1999, RM-9807, IS HEREBY DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

13. This action is taken under delegated authority pursuant to Sections 0.131 and 0.331 of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R. 0.131, 0.331.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Diane J. Cornell
Associate Chief, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau

1098. A third one...hahahah

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001207234741.28527.00003439@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 62494

NEWS
Report No. CI 98-6 COMPLIANCE & INFORMATION ACTION April 27, 1998

FCC INSPECTION PROGRAM REDUCES NUMBER OF NEW YORK CITY TAXI
CABS FOUND OPERATING CB RADIOS ON ILLEGAL CHANNELS

The FCC Compliance and Information Bureau announced today that an
inspection
program of New York City taxicabs has reduced the number of cab drivers
illegally using
CB radios on amateur radio band frequencies.

Following receipt of numerous complaints that New York City taxis were
interfering
with amateur "ham radio" bands, (10 Meter Radio Band), agents from the Bureau's
New
York field office began an investigation in early January, and verified that
cab drivers were
not using CB radios on designated CB channels, but were using amateur radio
frequencies.

In cooperation with the New York City Taxi and Limousine Commission (TLC)
which governs all taxi cabs, FCC investigators began to make periodic, random
inspections
of cab drivers' CB radios at the TLC taxi inspection facility in Woodside, NY,
where all
New York City taxi cabs undergo an inspection once every four months. At first,
FCC
investigators found that nearly every taxi CB radio inspected had been modified
to operate
out of the legal CB band and in the amateur radio band. Verbal warnings were
issued to all
those found to be in violation and a warning letter was sent to their homes.

After 2-3 months of random FCC inspections, evidence of CB frequency
violations
began decreasing dramatically. Current inspections indicate that only one out
of every 10
New York cabs operating CB radios are transmitting on improper channels. The
FCC
reported that amateur radio operators have stated that taxi cab operation
intrusions into the
amateur 10 meter radio band appear to have disappeared.

In addition to the FCC inspections, the TLC conducted a mailout campaign
to all taxi

owners advising them of FCC regulations related to CB operations. During the inspections
the FCC agents explained to each cab driver why they were operating illegally, how they
were interfering with amateur radio users, and what the proper frequency is for legal CB
channel transmissions. Since the beginning of the inspection program, the CB radios in
approximately 100 taxis were checked. TLC rules permit taxi drivers to have CB radios in
their cabs as long as they meet FCC regulations.
- FCC -

News Media Contact: David Fiske (202) 418-0500
CIB Contact: John Winston (202) 418-1100

1099. Re: Trucker Vacation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: glc1173@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001207234925.21294.00003414@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 62495
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Packrat quoted me:
How a nationwide
trucker's strike can end Algore's coup fast

and replied:
>I thought unions were Democrat and urged >their members to vote as such.
>Wouldn't teamsters be a, what's that >word, union.

You're forgetting that much of the trucking industry is not unionized.
"Owner-operators" - yes, the men and women who drive their own tractors who
first popularized CB - are not unionized. Many "company" drivers aren't either
- even though they definitely are "labor."
Truckers have both the most to lose in Algore's coup attempt - because if he
and his global-warming hangups win, diesel will soar to European price levels
(and U.S. truckers are barely making it now, with huge numbers of repod
tractors glutting the market in turn hurting truck makers) - and a lot of
power, because if even 5% of truckers strike, that means manufacturers cannot
count on adequate supplies of key parts (nor can they reliably ship product).
How a nationwide
trucker's strike can end Algore's coup fast
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Dissident news - plus immigration, gun rights, nationwide weather
Al Gore - in his own words
ALAMANCE INDEPENDENT:
official newspaper of the Vast Right Wing Conspiracy
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

1100. another bust by FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001207234938.28527.00003440@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 62496

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAAH

****
Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)
) NAL/Acct. No. 915ST0003
William L. Leavell) Case No. 99ST10
Seattle, Washington 98818)

FORFEITURE ORDER

Adopted: February 8, 2000 Released: February 9, 2000

By the Chief, Enforcement Bureau:

1. This order imposes a forfeiture against William L. Leavell, in the amount of $2,000, pursuant to Section 503(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended ("the Act"), 47 U.S.C. 503(b), and Section 1.80 of the Commission's Rules ("the Rules"), 47 C.F.R. 1.80, for willful violation of Section 303(n) of the Act, 47 U.S.C. 303(n), and Section 95.426 of the Rules, 47 C.F.R. 95.426, regarding refusal to allow an inspection of radio station equipment.

BACKGROUND

2. On November 2, 1998, agents of the Commission's Seattle Field Office investigated complaints that Mr. Leavell's Citizens Band ("CB") radio operations were causing widespread interference to telephones and home electronic devices in the area. Mr. Leavell was not on the air when the agents arrived in the neighborhood, but spoke with the agents when they knocked at the door, identified himself as the CB operator, and admitted to having CB radio equipment on the premises. However, when the agents asked to inspect the CB equipment, Mr. Leavell stated that he was ill and did not want to allow inspection at that time. The agents made arrangements to come back the next morning to inspect the station. On November 3, 1998, the agents found Mr. Leavell's CB equipment to be FCC type-accepted and installed in a correct manner. They informed Mr. Leavell that his station, as inspected on November 3, 1998, was in compliance with the CB rules, but that future complaints of

severe interference would indicate that
he had modified the equipment or added equipment to his station which does not
comply with the FCC's CB rules for
type-accepted devices. The Seattle Field Office subsequently sent Mr. Leavell
a written warning letter, on November
4, 1998, describing the inspection findings and reminding him that operating
with modified equipment or power
amplifiers in the CB band would invalidate his operating authority for the CB
radio. The letter also stated that
operating non-FCC Type-Accepted CB equipment would be considered unlicensed
operation in violation of Section
301 of the Act, and could subject him to penalties under Sections 501, 503
and/or 510 of the Act.

3. On December 17, 1998, agents from the Seattle Field Office conducted
another investigation of Mr.
Leavell's CB operations, in response to additional complaints from Mr.
Leavell's neighbors. Based on their
knowledge of CB radio systems, their engineering experience, and the power of
the CB radio signal as received on
the equipment in the agents' vehicle, the agents determined that the field
strength of the signal transmitting from Mr.
Leavell's station exceeded the expected level for an FCC Type-Accepted four
watt CB transmitter. When questioned,
Mr. Leavell admitted that he had been operating the CB radio at the time the
agents were monitoring, but he refused
to allow the agents to inspect his transmitting system. Even upon being
informed that refusal to allow an inspection
of his CB equipment was punishable by fine, Mr. Leavell refused to allow the
inspection as requested. On March
4, 1999, the Seattle Field Office issued a Notice of Apparent Liability ("NAL")
in the amount of $11,000,
citing Mr. Leavell for operating a CB radio with excessive power and refusal to
allow an inspection.

4. In his written response to the NAL, Mr. Leavell denies that he
operated his CB radio with
greater power than allowed by the Rules, and contends that he did not deny
permission to inspect his equipment
because the Rules do not require CB operators to provide immediate availability
of the equipment. He further
claims that the Commission is in violation of Federal law and proper procedure
because: (1) he received no
citation of the violation prior to imposition of the forfeiture; (2) he wasn't
granted a personal interview with
the Commission to discuss the violation; (3) he was denied a fact-finding
hearing before the Commission or
an administrative law judge; and (4) any forfeiture penalty issued against him
cannot exceed $10,000.

DISCUSSION

5. By operating a CB radio station, Mr. Leavell is responsible for knowledge of, and compliance with, the applicable sections of the Rules. See 47 C.F.R. 95.402. As noted in the NAL, section 95.426 of the Rules requires a CB radio operator to make his/her CB station and the station's records available for inspection by an authorized FCC representative. 47 C.F.R. 95.426; see also 47 U.S.C. 303(n). The right to inspect a station is one of the cornerstones of the Commission's ability to ensure compliance with the Act and the Rules. See Norfolk Southern Railway Company, 11 FCC Rcd 519 (1996). A refusal to allow or a significant delay in inspection can often shelter egregious violations and is thus can frustrate enforcement efforts. Id. Hence, the FCC is not required to inspect by appointment. Mr. Leavell refused to allow properly identified FCC agents to inspect his radio station when requested, in violation of both the Act and the Rules. His refusal to allow the inspection effectively prevented the Commission from verifying his claim that he was not operating above the power limits established in the Rules when the agents detected non-compliant, higher signal levels on December 17, 1998.

6. Although we reject the procedural arguments raised by Mr. Leavell as noted below, under all the circumstances here, we believe it is appropriate to lower the amount of this forfeiture to $2,000. With regard to the procedural claims, we note that Section 503(b)(5) of the Act, 47 U.S.C. 503(b)(5), provides that a warning must be given initially to a party who is not a licensee unless the party is engaged in activities for which a license or some form of Commission authorization is required. Although CB operators are not required to have individual licenses, they operate under the authority granted by section 95.404 of the Rules, 47 C.F.R. 95.404. Because Mr. Leavell operates under section 95.404, which is effectively a blanket license to CB operators, the Commission was not required to send him a citation prior to assessing a forfeiture, or to grant him an opportunity for a personal interview. Similarly, Mr. Leavell is not entitled to a "fact-finding hearing" as a matter of right, because section 503(b)(3)(A) of the Act explicitly states that such hearings may be held at the discretion of the Commission. 47 U.S.C. 503(b)(3)(A). We also note that the Commission has authority to impose forfeitures of up to $11,000 per day, per violation. Nonetheless, we do recognize that Mr. Leavell may have misunderstood his obligations to allow inspection. Taking into account all the factors required under 47 U.S.C. 503(b)(2)(D), we find that the proposed forfeiture should be reduced to $2,000.

ORDERING CLAUSES

7. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 503(b) of the Act, and Section 1.80 of the Rules, 47 C.F.R. 1.80, William L. Leavell IS LIABLE FOR A MONETARY FORFEITURE in the amount of $2,000 for violation of Section 303(n) of the Act and Section 95.426 of the Rules.

8. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 1.80(f) of the Rules, William L. Leavell shall, within thirty (30) days of the release of this Forfeiture Order, pay the full amount of the forfeiture. Forfeitures shall be paid by check, credit card, or money order payable to the Federal Communications Commission. The remittance should be marked "NAL/Acct. No. 915ST0003" and mailed to the following address:

Federal Communications Commission
Post Office Box 73482
Chicago, IL 60673-7482

Petitions for reconsideration pursuant to Section 1.106 of the Rules, 47 C.F.R. 1.106, or applications for review pursuant to Section 1.115 of the Rules, 47 C.F.R. 1.115, should be sent to:

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554
ATTN: Technical & Public Safety Division
Enforcement Bureau

Forfeiture penalties not paid within 30 days will be referred to the U.S. Attorney for recovery in a civil suit. See 47 U.S.C. 504(a).

9. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this Order shall be sent certified mail, return receipt requested, to William L. Leavell, in care of his counsel of record.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

David H. Solomon
Chief, Enforcement Bureau

1101. Re: N8WWM-A PROUD ARRL MEMBER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001207235251.28527.00003441@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 62497

>
>A question to the proud ARRL member. Which of the Amateur's code applies to
>you?
>
>1) CONSIDERATE
>2) Loyal
>3) Progressive
>4) FRIENDLY
>5) BALANCED
>6) Patriotic
>
>Thanks in advance for your answer
>
>73s from Rochester, NY
>Jim

All of the above when on the air...Any that I want when dealing with the slime
in this sewer.

1102. Re: Heres one for you illegals...yippee!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <hRZX5.1122$d62.86039@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 62498

Almost 2 years old. Old news.

Jim AA2QA

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001207233932.28473.00003836@ng-cr1.aol.com...
> N8WWM loves you all...happy bust you clowns!
>
> ---
> ------
>
>
> Before the
> FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
> Washington, D.C. 20554
>
>
>
> In the Matter of
>
> James R. Farlow
> Thomasville, North Carolina
>
>)
>)
>)
>)
>)
>)
> NAL/Acct. 915NF0001
>
>
>
>
> FORFEITURE ORDER
>
>
>
> Adopted: March 17, 1999 ; Released: March 19, 1999
>
>
>
> By the Director, Legal Services Group,
> Compliance & Information Bureau:

>
>
>
>
> 1. Before the Compliance and Information Bureau ("the Bureau") is a Notice
of
> Apparent Liability ("NAL") for a monetary forfeiture issued on November
18,
> 1998 to James R. Farlow and the response thereto filed by Mr. Farlow. The
NAL
> proposed imposition of a forfeiture against Mr. Farlow in the amount of
$7,000
> pursuant to 47 U.S.C § 503(b) and 47 C.F.R. § 1.80 for willful violation
of 47
> U.S.C. § 303(n) and 47 C.F.R. § 95.426 (CB Rule 26). For the reasons
stated
> below, we affirm the $7,000 forfeiture amount.
>
>
>
> BACKGROUND
> 2. On March 10, 1998, the Bureau's Norfolk, Virginia, Resident Agent
Office
> received a complaint from an individual, in Thomasville, North Carolina,
who
> had been experiencing interference to her home electronic equipment from
Mr.
> Farlow's citizens band ("CB") radio operation. The complaint also
contained
> allegations of use of a linear amplifier by Mr. Farlow in violation of the
> rules governing CB radio service, 47 C.F.R. §§ 95.401 - 95.428. In
response to
> another complaint concerning Mr. Farlow's CB radio operation, on September
8,
> 1998, an agent from the Norfolk Office went to the Thomasville, North
Carolina,
> area to investigate the complaint.
>
>
> 3. While there, the agent confirmed that the interference was still a
problem
> for Mr. Farlow's neighbors. In order to determine whether Mr. Farlow's CB
radio
> operation was still the source of the interference, the agent decided that
an
> inspection of Mr. Farlow's equipment would be necessary. At 6:30 p.m. the
agent
> knocked on the front door of 103 Browning Drive, the residence of Mr.
Farlow.

> Mr. Farlow came from the back of his house in reply to the agent's knock. The
> agent properly identified himself as a representative of the FCC, showed Mr.
> Farlow his official badge, and asked to inspect Mr. Farlow's CB radio station.
> Mr. Farlow then led the agent to his back door where he hesitated and then
> asked the agent for a search warrant. The agent explained to Mr. Farlow that
> both § 303(n), and § 95.426 (CB Rule 26), authorize inspection of all CB radio
> stations by the FCC and a search warrant was not necessary. Nevertheless, Mr.
> Farlow refused to allow the agent entry to his residence in order to inspect
> the station. After observing a CB radio in the truck parked in the driveway at
> 103 Browning Drive, Thomasville, North Carolina, the agent also requested that
> he be allowed access to inspect Mr. Farlow's mobile CB radio station. Mr.
> Farlow again refused to allow the agent to inspect his CB station. Subsequent
> to the request for inspection, the Bureau's Norfolk Office issued the subject
> NAL on November 18, 1998, for the willful violations of § 303(n) and § 95.426
> (CB Rule 26).
>
>
> 4. In responding to the NAL, Mr. Farlow contends that he attempted to resolve
> the interference complaints of his neighbors although he had doubts that his CB
> radio station was the source of the interference. Furthermore, Mr. Farlow
> states that, at the time of the attempted inspection, he no longer had the CB
> equipment at his residence because of all the complaints from his neighbors.
> Mr. Farlow's response to the NAL further contends that he was unable to let the
> agent into his house as his wife was indisposed. Mr. Farlow also claims that
> the agent did not have a search warrant, and that the agent would not allow him
> the time to call his attorney. Furthermore, Mr. Farlow asserts that he had
> recently been threatened by neighbors and felt uncomfortable allowing a "total
> stranger [who] shows up after business hours in a used faded Explorer without

> any recognizable law enforcement, no search warrant, no previous paperwork
or
> anything to explain my rights." Finally, Mr. Farlow states that he did not
know
> who the FCC was, nor did he know the rules and regulations associated with
> operating a CB radio station.
>
>
>
> DISCUSSION
>
> 5. The Bureau's Norfolk Office issued the forfeiture pursuant to § 503 and
> §1.80. In assessing the forfeiture amount, the Norfolk Office followed the
> forfeiture standards established in § 503 and The Commission's Forfeiture
> Policy Statement and Amendment of Section 1.80 of the Rules to Incorporate
> Guidelines, 12 FCC Rcd 17087 (1997), recon. pending ("Policy Statement").
It is
> required by § 503(b) that the Commission take into account the nature,
> circumstances, extent and gravity of the violation and, with respect to
the
> violator, the degree of culpability, any history of prior offenses,
ability to
> pay, and other such matters as justice may require. See, 47 U.S.C. §
> 503(b)(2)(D).
>
>
> 6. We have reviewed Mr. Farlow's arguments concerning the events of
September
> 8, 1998, and we find that none of them are sufficient to eliminate the
> liability associated with the noted violations. We believe that if Mr.
Farlow
> no longer had CB radio equipment in his residence that he should have
stated so
> at the time of the request for inspection. He did not. In fact, a neighbor
of
> Mr. Farlow's had identified Mr. Farlow's voice in a transmission that
caused
> interference to her home electronic equipment only a few days prior to the
> inspection. Additionally, a neighbor observed Mr. Farlow removing the CB
radio
> equipment from his residence on September 9, 1998, the day after the
attempted
> inspection. Moreover, the FCC agent visually observed that a mobile CB
radio
> station was present in Mr. Farlow's truck on September 8, 1998. Mr. Farlow
does
> not offer an explanation as to why he denied the agent entry to inspect
his
> truck. Mr. Farlow does not offer any mitigating circumstances which would

> excuse his refusal to allow inspection of his CB radio station. The hour
of the
> attempted inspection, 6:30 p.m., was during daylight hours, and the agent
> presented legitimate FCC identification credentials, which according to
the
> agent, was acknowledged by Mr. Farlow as being "official."
>
>
> 7. Under § 303(n) the FCC has the "authority to inspect all radio
installations
> associated with stations required to be licensed by any Act, or which the
> Commission by rule has authorized to operate without a license under
Section
> 307(e)(1)." 47 U.S.C. § 303(n). This definition expressly includes CB
radio
> stations as those that the FCC is authorized to inspect. Likewise, under §
> 95.426, "[i]f an authorized FCC representative requests to inspect your CB
> station, you must make your CB station and records available for
inspection."
> 47 C.F.R. § 95.426(a). Thus, an FCC agent does not need a search warrant
to
> inspect a radio station. See Norfolk Southern Railway Company, 11 FCC Rcd
519
> (CIB 1996) (the right to inspect a station is one of the cornerstones of
the
> FCC's ability to ensure compliance with the Communications Act and the
> Commission's Rules). Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 95.402(a)(1), Mr. Farlow, as
a CB
> operator, had the responsibility to be familiar with the CB rules and to
comply
> with these rules. "The Commission expects its licensees (including CB
users
> authorized by CB Rule 4, 47 C.F.R. § 95.404, to operate without an
individual
> station authorization) to be familiar with those rules that govern the
class of
> station they operate. Claims of unfamiliarity with rules cannot be used to
> justify mitigation of penalties." William J. McCray, Jr., 9 FCC Rcd 1897
(FOB
> 1994). As such, the violations of § 303(n) and § 95.426 were willful.[1]
>
>
> 8. In sum, we have examined Mr. Farlow's response in conjunction with the
> Policy Statement and the above statutory factors and conclude that Mr.
Farlow
> has failed to provide a sufficient justification for reducing the
forfeiture
> amount. We therefore affirm the forfeiture amount of $7,000.
>

>
> 9. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. &#65533; 503(b), 47
C.F.R. &#65533;
> 1.80, and the Policy Statement, 12 FCC Rcd 17087, James R. Farlow IS
LIABLE FOR
> A MONETARY FORFEITURE in the amount of $7,000 for willful violation of 47
> U.S.C. &#65533; 303(n) and 47 C.F.R. &#65533; 95.426 (CB Rule 26).
>
>
> 10. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to 47 C.F.R. &#65533;1.80(f), James R.
Farlow
> shall, within thirty (30) days of the release of this Forfeiture Order,
pay the
> amount of $7,000. Payment of the forfeiture shall be made in U.S. dollars,
by
> check, credit card or money order drawn on a U.S. financial institution
payable
> to the Federal Communications Commission.[2] The remittance should be
marked
> NAL/Acct. No. 915NF0001, and mailed to the following address:
>
>
>
> Federal Communications Commission
> Post Office Box 73482
> Chicago, IL 60673-7482
>
> Petitions for reconsideration pursuant to 47 C.F.R. &#65533; 1.106, or
applications
> for review pursuant to 47 C.F.R. &#65533; 1.115 should be sent to:
>
>
>
> Office of the Secretary
> Federal Communications Commission
> 445 12th Street, S.W.
> Washington, D.C. 20554
> ATTN: Mail Stop 1500E3-DLH
> Compliance and Information Bureau
>
> Forfeiture penalties not paid within 30 days will be referred to the U.S.
> Attorney for recovery in a civil suit. 47 U.S.C. &#65533; 504(a).
>
>
>
>
> 11. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, a copy of this Order shall be sent
certified
> mail, return receipt requested, to James R. Farlow, 103 Browning Drive,

> Thomasville, North Carolina 27360.
>
>
>
> FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
>
>
>
> Ricardo M. Durham
> Director, Legal Services Group
> Compliance Division
> Compliance and Information Bureau
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> ---
> Footnotes:
> 1 Section 312(f)(1) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47
U.S.C. &#65533;
> 312(f)(1), which also applies to &#65533; 503(b), provides: "[t]he term
'willful,'
> when used with reference to the commission or omission of any act, means
the
> conscious and deliberate commission or omission of such act, irrespective
of
> any intent to violate any provision of this Act or any rule or regulation
of
> the Commission authorized by this Act or by a treaty ratified by the
United
> States." See Southern California Broadcasting Co., 6 FCC Rcd 4387 (1991).
>
> 2 Requests for installment plans should be mailed to: Chief, Billings and
> Collections, Mail Stop 1110A2, 445 12th Street S.W., Washington, D.C.
20554.
> Payment of the forfeiture in installments may be considered as a separate
> matter in accordance with 47 C.F.R. &#65533; 1.1914. Please contact Chief,
Billings
> and Collections for information regarding credit card payments.
>

1103. Re: A third one...hahahah

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <_RZX5.1123$d62.85950@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 62499

This is real old news and was well known when it happened.

Jim AA2QA

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001207234741.28527.00003439@ng-cr1.aol.com...
> NEWS
> Report No. CI 98-6 COMPLIANCE & INFORMATION ACTION April 27,
1998
>
> FCC INSPECTION PROGRAM REDUCES NUMBER OF NEW YORK CITY TAXI
> CABS FOUND OPERATING CB RADIOS ON ILLEGAL CHANNELS
>
> The FCC Compliance and Information Bureau announced today that an
> inspection
> program of New York City taxicabs has reduced the number of cab drivers
> illegally using
> CB radios on amateur radio band frequencies.
>
> Following receipt of numerous complaints that New York City taxis
were
> interfering
> with amateur "ham radio" bands, (10 Meter Radio Band), agents from the
Bureau's
> New
> York field office began an investigation in early January, and verified
that
> cab drivers were
> not using CB radios on designated CB channels, but were using amateur
radio
> frequencies.
>
> In cooperation with the New York City Taxi and Limousine Commission
(TLC)
> which governs all taxi cabs, FCC investigators began to make periodic,
random
> inspections
> of cab drivers' CB radios at the TLC taxi inspection facility in Woodside,
NY,
> where all
> New York City taxi cabs undergo an inspection once every four months. At
first,
> FCC
> investigators found that nearly every taxi CB radio inspected had been
modified

> to operate
> out of the legal CB band and in the amateur radio band. Verbal warnings
were
> issued to all
> those found to be in violation and a warning letter was sent to their
homes.
>
> After 2-3 months of random FCC inspections, evidence of CB frequency
> violations
> began decreasing dramatically. Current inspections indicate that only one
out
> of every 10
> New York cabs operating CB radios are transmitting on improper channels.
The
> FCC
> reported that amateur radio operators have stated that taxi cab operation
> intrusions into the
> amateur 10 meter radio band appear to have disappeared.
>
> In addition to the FCC inspections, the TLC conducted a mailout
campaign
> to all taxi
> owners advising them of FCC regulations related to CB operations. During
the
> inspections
> the FCC agents explained to each cab driver why they were operating
illegally,
> how they
> were interfering with amateur radio users, and what the proper frequency
is for
> legal CB
> channel transmissions. Since the beginning of the inspection program, the
CB
> radios in
> approximately 100 taxis were checked. TLC rules permit taxi drivers to
have CB
> radios in
> their cabs as long as they meet FCC regulations.
> - FCC -
>
> News Media Contact: David Fiske (202) 418-0500
> CIB Contact: John Winston (202) 418-1100
>

1104. Re: Heres ANOTHER one

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: glc1173@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001207235829.21294.00003416@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 62500
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Aaron quoted the FCC:
>We have before us a petition (Petition) >filed by Alan Dixon (Dixon)
>requesting amendment of
>Section 95.413 of the Commission's >Rules to authorize stations in the
>Citizens
>Band (CB) Radio Service to
>communicate with other CB Radio Service >stations more than 250 kilometers
>(km)
>(155.3 miles) away, and
>to communicate with stations in foreign >countries. Based on our review of
>the
>record, we conclude that
>issuance of a Notice of Proposed Rule >Making and the commencement of a
>separate
>proceeding regarding this
>matter is not warranted.

I don't use a linear or otherwise operate illegally - but I will (try to)
educate you on how Washington works in reality.
Why did the FCC give up on the longtime legal requirement that CBers use
official callsigns in every conversation? Was it because of some pressing
demand from the general public - as opposed to CBers? NO - it was simply
because about all CBers suddenly stopped using them at once. You don't have to
be black, female, and named Rosa Parks to do "civil disobedience."
Same with the 55mph federal speed limit; it finally was rescinded - not due
to lots of people writing their congresscritters, but due to near-universal
nonobservance.
How a nationwide
trucker's strike can end Algore's coup fast
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Dissident news - plus immigration, gun rights, nationwide weather
Al Gore - in his own words
ALAMANCE INDEPENDENT:
official newspaper of the Vast Right Wing Conspiracy
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

1105. Re: A third one...hahahah

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90pqns$p60$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62501

GLC1173 wrote:

>Aaron quoted the FCC:
>We have before us a petition (Petition) >filed by Alan Dixon (Dixon)
>requesting amendment of
>Section 95.413 of the Commission's >Rules to authorize stations in the
>Citizens

Aaron did not quote the FCC. Aaron did not write that post. N8WWM wrote
it.

Please get your facts straight.

Sincerely.
Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1106. ANOTHER CB BUST---haw haw haw

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001208000058.28527.00003446@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 62502

Another good HAW HAW
****
Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)
)

Cellular Systems Northwest, Inc.
) NAL/Acct. No. 815ST0001
Enumclaw, Washington)
)

FORFEITURE ORDER

Adopted: March 9, 1999 Released: March 15, 1999

By the Director, Legal Services Group,
Compliance and Information Bureau:

1. Before the Compliance and Information Bureau ("the Bureau") is a
Notice of Apparent
Liability ("NAL") for monetary forfeiture issued on June 19, 1998 to Cellular
Systems Northwest, Inc.
("CSNW"), and the July 3, 1998 response thereto filed by CSNW. The NAL
proposed imposition of a
forfeiture in the amount of $7,000 pursuant to Section 503(b) of the
Communications Act of 1934, as amended
("the Act"), 47 U.S.C. 503(b), and Section 1.80 of the Commission's Rules
("the Rules"), 47 C.F.R. 1.80,
for willful and repeated violations of Section 302 of the Act, 47 U.S.C. 302,
and Sections 2.803 and 2.815
of the Rules, 47 C.F.R. 2.803 and 2.815. For the reasons stated below, we
affirm the $7,000 forfeiture
amount.

BACKGROUND

2. CSNW is a consumer electronics retailer located in Enumclaw,
Washington. On July 9, 1997
and March 19, 1998, CSNW offered to sell and sold linear amplifiers for use
with a Citizen's Band ("CB")
radio to two different FCC agents from the Bureau's Seattle, Washington Field
Office who were posing as
members of the general public. The agents specifically asked about devices for
use with a CB radio, i.e., within

the CB frequency band, which ranges from 26.965 MHz to 27.405 MHz. The offer for sale and the sale by
CSNW violated Section 302 of the Act and Sections 2.803 and 2.815 of the Rules. As a result of these
violations, the District Director of the Bureau's Seattle Field Office issued the subject NAL for $7,000 on June
19, 1998 to CSNW.

DISCUSSION

3. The Bureau's Seattle Office issued the forfeiture pursuant to Section 503 of the Act and
Section 1.80 of the Rules. The base forfeiture amount for this type of violation is $7,000. In assessing the
forfeiture amount, the Seattle Office, following the forfeiture standards established in Section 503 of the Act
and The Commission's Forfeiture Policy Statement and Amendment of Section 1.80 of the Rules to
Incorporate Guidelines, 12 FCC Rcd 17087 (1997) ("Policy Statement"), recon. pending concluded that a
monetary forfeiture in the amount of $7,000 would be warranted. In reviewing the response to the NAL,
Section 503(b) of the Act requires that we take into account the nature, circumstances, extent and gravity of
the violation and, with respect to the violator, the degree of culpability, any history of prior offenses, ability
to pay, and other such matters as justice may require. See 47 U.S.C. 503(b)(2)(D).

4. CSNW's response to the NAL, dated July 3, 1998, was received by the Bureau on July 7,
1998. The response requested that the forfeiture be waived, claiming that CSNW never intended to offer or
recommend amplifiers for CB use and that it was explained to the FCC agents that use of amplifiers on CB
radios was illegal. CSNW contends that if the transactions at issue were in violation of the Act, such violation
was not intentional.

5. As a company that sells CB radio equipment and accessories, CSNW must comply with the
sections of the Act and the Rules that relate to the marketing of radio frequency devices. 47 U.S.C. 302;
47 C.F.R. 2.1 et seq. Section 2.815 of the Rules prohibits the sale or offer for sale of any external radio
frequency power amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on any frequency or frequencies between 24
MHz and 35 MHz, which includes the CB frequency band (26.965 MHz to 27.405 MHz). Upon specific
requests from two different FCC agents on two separate occasions, CSNW employees offered to sell (and on

one occasion sold) amplifiers which could be used with CB radios, in violation of Section 2.815 of the Rules.
Section 302 of the Act and Section 2.803 of the Rules prohibit the marketing, including the sale and offer for
sale, of radio frequency devices that do not comport with the Act or Rules. These violations were therefore
willful under the Act because they were not caused by accident or mistake. Thus, pursuant to
Section 312(f)(1) of the Act, whether CSNW intended to violate the rules is irrelevant to this matter.

6. Finally, in its response, CSNW states that it is a "small town retailer," and that it has ceased
selling amplification devices for any communications since receiving the NAL. Remedial action to correct a
violation, while commendable, will not nullify a forfeiture penalty. See generally KGVL, Inc., 42 FCC 2d 258,
259 (1973) (subsequent corrective action will not excuse past violations). Furthermore, to the extent the
reference to its status as a "small town retailer" was meant to demonstrate that the fine assessed will impose
a financial hardship on CSNW, we note that CSNW had ample opportunity to submit financial statements
prepared under generally acceptable accounting procedures for the most recent three year period in support of
any claim of inability to pay, as outlined in footnote two of the NAL. See Ellwood Beach Broadcasting, Ltd.,
8 FCC Rcd 453, 454 (1993) (respondent's failure to submit any financial documents or other evidence deemed
insufficient showing of inability to pay); Liability of Macau Traders, Inc., 13 FCC Rcd 228, 233 (MMB
1998) (no reduction of forfeiture warranted due to undue financial hardship where violator provided no
documentary evidence upon which to evaluate its financial condition or ability to pay). In the absence of any
such evidence, and of any other mitigating evidence, we decline to reduce the amount of the forfeiture. We
therefore find that $7,000 is the appropriate amount for the noted violations.

ORDERING CLAUSES

7. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 503(b) of the Act, 47 U.S.C.
503(b), and Section 1.80 of the Rules, 47 C.F.R. 1.80, Cellular Systems Northwest, Inc. IS LIABLE FOR
A MONETARY FORFEITURE in the amount of $7000 for violation of Section 302 of the Act, 47 U.S.C.
302, and Sections 2.803 and 2.815 of the Rules, 47 C.F.R. 2.803 and 2.815.

8. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 1.80(f) of the Rules, 47 C.F.R.

1.80(f), Cellular Systems Northwest, Inc. shall, within thirty (30) days of the release of this Forfeiture Order, pay the full amount of the forfeiture. Payment of the forfeiture shall be made in U.S. dollars by check, credit card or money order drawn on a U.S. financial institution, with the appropriate documentation, made payable to the Federal Communications Commission. The remittance should be marked "NAL/Acct. No. 815ST0001" and mailed to the following address:

Federal Communications Commission
Post Office Box 73482
Chicago, IL 60673-7482

Petitions for reconsideration pursuant to Section 1.106 of the Rules, 47 C.F.R. 1.106, or applications for review pursuant to Section 1.115 of the Rules, 47 C.F.R. 1.115, should be sent to:

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554
ATTN: Mail Stop 1500E3-DLH
Compliance and Information Bureau

9. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this Order shall be sent certified mail, return-receipt requested, to Cellular Systems Northwest, Inc. and its President, Gregory A. Thomson, at 1502 Cole Street, Enumclaw, WA 98022.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Ricardo M. Durham
Director, Legal Services Group
Compliance and Information Bureau

1107. More good FCC stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001208000437.28466.00003927@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 62503

Here are a couple more tidbits from fcc website

HIGH PROFILE FIELD ACTIVITIES

INTERFERENCE TO SENATE HEARING:
During the Senate Communications Deregulation Hearing of March 21, 1995, in Washington,
D.C., participants heard a loud, disruptive blast of interference on the public address system.
The meeting proceeded but the Senate requested the FCC to assist in resolving the problem.
Engineers from the Baltimore and Laurel Offices visited the area and conducted tests with Senate
personnel and verified that the problem could be duplicated using nearby CB radio transceivers.
They also found that a CB transmitter operated by a taxi outside the Senate building could be
heard through the audio system. To solve the problem, the engineers installed several filtering
devices at the microphone inputs to the main audio mixer.

UNCLAIMED MAIL TRIGGERS INSPECTION:
The Atlanta, GA, Office received several complaints of interference to home electronic
entertainment equipment in the Gaston, SC, area. The FCC staff sent a warning letter to the
CB radio operator who the neighbors had identified as causing interference. The staff hoped
to pressure the CBer to stop using illegal overpowered equipment if indeed he had some.
Because the FCC's warning letter was returned unclaimed, FCC agents from the CIB Powder
Springs Office made an inspection and uncovered illegal high powered equipment. The CBer
surrendered the equipment to the FCC staff.

1108. F/S MRK3 EAGLE'S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: win7@webworkz.com
Message-ID: <3a306cdb_1@vienna7.his.com>
Source record: 62504

THEY ARE UP FOR AUCTION AT

http://www.isale.net/

1109. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90prgi$pm0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62505

Furthermore I feel that eBay did the right thing in banning auctions for illegal cb amplifiers. A step further might be a more restrictive ban on the so-called "export" radios that are designed for CB operation and are responsible for 100% of the trucker incursions into the 10 meter amateur frequencies.

Sincerely,
Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1110. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:00:00 UTC
From: David Little <dalite01@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <90pqch$t7r$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 62506
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Put me on the list in agreement also

Jim Rice <HassenBenSober@kumputer.net> wrote in message
news:l0YX5.47697$II2.4267986@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "Ross Archer" <archer@topnow.com> wrote in message
> news:3A304964.BE49717D@topnow.com...
> > > I take it you haven't taken an Extra written exam lately then?
> > > Wouldn't figuring complex impedances, antenna lengths, etc.
> > > count as technical?

> > Admittedly, it's not as technical as drawing schematics of
plate-modulated
> > final stages, but the average non-technical fellow is still going
> > to have to study quite a bit for an Extra exam. These days, with
> > radios out of the box, the need to be a GROL too is much more limited.
> >
> > The fundamental tension seems to be between those who wish to keep
things
> > an exclusive club / preserve the past and those who feel that unless
> > we as a group move towards new technologies and higher frequencies,
> > we will lose our justification for existance. If the latter is true,
and
> if
> > we ignore the threat, "they" will probably nibble off our
> > frequencies over years until we're down to 40 channelized frequencies
and
> maybe
> > two modes (sound familiar?) So in other words, we become a CB service
> > if we fail to justify our existence just as much as if we lower
standards
> too
> > far.
> >
> > Now, CW is a fine pastime and I can't imagine why someone would
> > criticize those who enjoy working it, but we need the sort of techical
> > minds in the hobby who may not be interested enough in CW to otherwise
> > get in. IMHO, of course. :)
>
> Well Spoken, and true, IMOHO
>
>

1111. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:16:51 UTC
From: glc1173@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001207191651.28852.00003838@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 62462
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Aaron wrote:
>Recent approval of HR2346 indicates that >the general public has little
>if any tolerance or sympathy for illegal >Citizens Band activities,
>especially when they infringe on the rights >of the average person to
>operate a consumer device without being >subject to unreasonable
>interference.

LOBBYISTS. Have you ever heard of them? They - not any public consensus -
are how federal legislation gets passed (or not passed).
Don't you get it? Congress routinely passes thousand-page bills very
few - if any - congresscritters even read before voting on. Bills are commonly
drafted by lobbyists. Forget your junior-high civics class.
The fact that nobody thought much before passing HR 2346 is easily shown by
the simple question of whether or not local police have the technical
wherewithal to tell whether the reason Ms. Smith's stereo is playing her
neighbor's CB instead of music is the fault of her stereo having inadequate
shielding and filtering - or of him having a linear.
How a nationwide
trucker's strike can end Algore's coup fast
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Dissident news - plus immigration, gun rights, nationwide weather
Al Gore - in his own words
ALAMANCE INDEPENDENT:
official newspaper of the Vast Right Wing Conspiracy
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

1112. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:24:33 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90p9nrceu1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62464

In article <3A3024F9.9EC92493@bob.com>,
Bob <bob@bob.com> wrote:
> Good troll, good. Every angle of HR2346 has been covered here, so go
> back using your crappy deja news search feature and read some of it.
>

This is exactly the kind of puzzling (to me) attitude I am talking about. Why is it that if I express an opinion that supports legal CB I am called a "troll"?

Last time I checked, the name of this group was "rec.radio.cb", not "rec.radio.illegal".

Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1113. Schematic for Texas Star DX1600 remote head

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:29:46 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <_XVX5.122107$U46.4103008@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 62463

Does anyone have a schematic for the Texas Star remote head or to what pins it connects power to in 1/4 phono jack?

Thanks,

Packrat
spam@n7uic.net

1114. Re: Illegal trolls.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:32:26 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001207193226.21453.00002239@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Source record: 62465

Exactly right. The illegals are so funny and so transparent.

>> Recent approval of HR2346 indicates that the general public has little
>> if any tolerance or sympathy for illegal Citizens Band activities,
>> especially when they infringe on the rights of the average person to
>> operate a consumer device without being subject to unreasonable
>> interference. It would seem to me the CB community would be vitally
>> interested in cleaning up its act. Instead, I have observed an
>> ostrich-like mentality that continues to deny the problem, combative
>> postures that challenge and defy the law and praise the illegitimate,
>> and laughable propaganda that attempts to stampede the amateur
>> community. Especially here in rec.radio.cb discussion of legal vs
>> illegal should be pursued rather than squelched. Honest debate in the
>> tradition of Mark Fuller should be encouraged. It's your choice. It's
>> your band. You can clean it up or continue to allow other people to soil
>> it.
>>
>> Aaron Voobner
>>
>> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>> Before you buy.
>

1115. 1mangang and friends are sweatin it now...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:46:17 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001207194617.21453.00002241@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Source record: 62466

N8WWMisGreat.com announces a superb value...

SPECIAL BONUS...Get ALL of 1mangang's best posts included in a pamphlet if you order this smash hit book now...supplies are limited...this book is going fast...all the hams in America have already ordered or are about to order...As an added bonus 1mangang has agreed to pose at his DX station. He is proud of his Radio Shack 3 channel hand held and 1 pill amp, and wants you to see it all.
If you order in the next 20 minutes you will ALSO get a photo of 1mangang massaging his balls in jealousy during the tripped out trio's wedding!

Special announcement about the 3 special kids!
Folks, you need the following book...Twistie, tnom and Scottiekins have, with adult supervision, drooled into a dictaphone the following masterpiece of authorship and it is now available at your local book store...Don't miss "Muskrat Love", the heartwarming story of 3 illegal CB'ers who find love and marriage through uniting in hatred for N8WWM, the mean 'ole hammie they wish they were 1% equal to in quality of flame issues.
While their Sparkomatic and 2 pill amp combo splashes all 40 channels and into 10 meters, listen to the 3 sweet little CB'ers plot, plan and generally scheme to out flame the greatest flamer of all time. Read about their use of electronic components as aids to their sagging libido's. Learn why no female relatives ever come to visit. Look at the special 13 page photo insert that shows the dried snot on their microphone! This and much, much more.

Folks, this book is on par with the smash hit "I am faithful to my wife", by Bill Clinton, and will become recognized as a major literary accomplishment. The trippin' trio have outdone themselves this time, and you have just gotta read it to believe it. Only 29.95 now at your local bookseller or order online at
www.N8WWMisGreat.com

1116. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 00:49:25 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001207194925.21453.00002245@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Source record: 62467

>
>Last time I checked, the name of this group was "rec.radio.cb", not
>"rec.radio.illegal".
>
>Aaron Voobner

Exactly right again. These dweebs act like they have some rights, but they have none. They are criminals with small brains who ignore the reality of their idiotic actions.

1117. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 01:02:34 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90pbv8$e6g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62468
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

In article <20001207191651.28852.00003838@ng-cl1.aol.com>,
glc1173@aol.com (GLC1173) wrote:

LOBBYISTS. Have you ever heard of them? They - not any public
consensus -
> are how federal legislation gets passed (or not passed).
> Don't you get it? Congress routinely passes thousand-page
bills very
> few - if any - congresscritters even read before voting on. Bills are
commonly
> drafted by lobbyists. Forget your junior-high civics class.
> The fact that nobody thought much before passing HR 2346 is easily
shown by
> the simple question of whether or not local police have the technical
> wherewithal to tell whether the reason Ms. Smith's stereo is playing
her
> neighbor's CB instead of music is the fault of her stereo having
inadequate
> shielding and filtering - or of him having a linear.

I am sorry, GLC, you are entirely wrong. What's more, you have no facts
to support your argument, only anti-law enforcement bitterness and vague
conspiracy theories.

Here are the facts.

HR2346, or bills like it, have been under consideration by various
branches of the legislature since 1997. A bill that required three years
of study, refinement and debate can hardly be termed something "nobody
thought much about".

Below is an excerpt from the Congressional Record available at
http://eon.law.harvard.edu/openlaw/DVD/dmca/cr12my98s.txt

I urge you to go to the URL and read all of it. It clearly indicates
that public outcry motivated this legislation, not "lobbyists", as you
suggest.

Sincerely,
Aaron Voobner

****
[Congressional Record: May 12, 1998 (Senate)]
The Senator from Arizona [Mr. McCAIN], for Mr. Feingold,
proposes an amendment numbered 2390.
[[Page S4692]]

For the past 3 years, I have worked with constituents who have been bothered by persistent interference of nearby CB radio transmissions in some cases caused by unlawful use of radio equipment. In each case, the constituents have sought my help in securing an FCC investigation of the complaint.

Let me give an example of the kind of frustrations people have experienced in attempting to deal with these problems. Shannon Ladwig, a resident of Beloit, WI has been fighting to end CB interference with her home electronic equipment that has been plaguing her family for over a year. Shannon worked within the existing system, asking for an FCC investigation, installing filtering equipment on her telephone,attempting to work with the neighbor causing the interference, and soon. Nothing has been effective.

Here are some of the annoyances Shannon has experienced. Her answering machine picks up calls for which there is no audible ring, and at times records ghost messages. Often, she cannot get a dial tone when she or her family members wish to place an outgoing call. During telephone conversations, the content of the nearby CB transmission can frequently be heard and on occasion, her phone conversations are inexplicably cut off. Ms. Ladwig's TV transmits audio from the CB transmission rather than the television program her family is watching. Shannon never knows if the TV program she taped with her VCR will actually record the intended program or whether it will contain profanity from a nearby CB radio conversation.

Shannon did everything she could to solve the problem and a year later she still feels like a prisoner in her home, unable to escape the broadcasting whims of a CB operator using illegal equipment with impunity.
****

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1118. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 01:26:02 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A302893.63F572B6@some.net>
Source record: 62469
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

The cb mentality does not allow for reasonable thinking.

aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:

> In article <20001207191651.28852.00003838@ng-cl1.aol.com>,
> glc1173@aol.com (GLC1173) wrote:
>
> LOBBYISTS. Have you ever heard of them? They - not any public
> consensus -
> > are how federal legislation gets passed (or not passed).
> > Don't you get it? Congress routinely passes thousand-page
> bills very
> > few - if any - congresscritters even read before voting on. Bills are
> commonly
> > drafted by lobbyists. Forget your junior-high civics class.
> > The fact that nobody thought much before passing HR 2346 is easily
> shown by
> > the simple question of whether or not local police have the technical
> > wherewithal to tell whether the reason Ms. Smith's stereo is playing
> her
> > neighbor's CB instead of music is the fault of her stereo having
> inadequate
> > shielding and filtering - or of him having a linear.
>
> I am sorry, GLC, you are entirely wrong. What's more, you have no facts
> to support your argument, only anti-law enforcement bitterness and vague
> conspiracy theories.
>

1119. Re: 1mangang and friends are slappin chickenhawk now...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 01:44:24 UTC
From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90pedn$g1a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62470

In article <20001207194617.21453.00002241@ng-fl1.aol.com>,
n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> N8WWMisGay.com announces a superb value...
>
> SPECIAL BONUS...Get ALL of 1mangang's >best posts included in a pamphlet if you
> order this smash hit book >now...supplies are limited...this book >is going
> fast...all the hams in America have >already heard about N8WWMs limp wrist.
> an added bonus 1mangang has agreed to >post at his DX station, as a badge of >honor the lips He is proud of cutting >from N8WWm.
> His Radio Shack 3 channel hand held and >1 pill amp, does not allow N8WWm to be >heard.
> If you order in the next 20 minutes you >will ALSO get a photo of 1mangang >ripping N8WWms balls through his >esophogeous. N8WWm is beside himself >with jealousy during the tripped out >mind blanks he draws upon

>
>
> hey chicken hawk you running out of >things to say you chicken hawk lil boyz >azz is getting repetitively LAME aint >payday just around the corner can you >feel the red blood of america creeping >round you backdoor chicken hawk boy the >writing is on the wall
>
> Special announcement about the 3 >special kids!
> Folks, you need the following >book...Twistie, tnom and Scottiekins >have, with
> adult supervision done dropped and cut >lips on N8wwm as he drooled into a >dictaphone the following piece of >tetanus left over from childhood years.
> shipping lips and now available at your >local manure supply store ...Don't miss
> "Muskrat Love", the heartwarming story >of N8Wmms illegal fantasies concerning >same sex engagements that never stray >far from his chickenhawk ways, who finds >love and
> marriage through uniting with gerbils >for N8WWM, the intellectually challenged >'ole chickenhawk wishes he could be >normal but it was dna encoded >listen to the sweet little gerbils as >you guess what N8wmm is doing with them >as he plans to generally scheme
> to out flame the flamest of drag queens > self admitted...the greatest flamer of >all time. Read about his use of
> electronic components as he aids to his >sagging libido. Learn why no female
> animals ever come near him, or male for >that matter. Look at the special 13 page >photo insert that
> shows the dried snot on his mamas >tetanus infested boob that resulted in >his sexual identity crisis. This and >much, much more.
>
> Folks, this book is on par with the >smash hit "I am faithful to my wife", by
> Bill Clinton, and will become >recognized as a major literary >accomplishment.
> The self admitted flamer has outdone >himself this time, and you have just >gotta
> read it to believe it. Only 29.95 now >at your local bookseller or order online
> at

> www.N8WWMisachickenhawk.com
>
>

>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1120. Re: Peakaboo!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 01:53:56 UTC
From: lordfkaos@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001207205356.19908.00002915@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 62471

>
>Nightmare . I bumped it down on you too, Sounded good round the Cowpen.
>Pain Cruisin this Mobile got down!!!!!!

Appreciate it Pain, you got a baaaad mobile too. Hope to hear you out there
again soon!

>
>Nightmare . I bumped it down on you too, Sounded good round the Cowpen.
>Pain Cruisin this Mobile got down!!!!!!

NIGHTMARE motor van around the Big Trees got down!

1121. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 01:55:19 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <90pf27ain0@208.207.71.150>
Source record: 62472
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Bill Turner wrote:
>
> On 7 Dec 2000 15:21:47 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> >> > And how many EXTRA class Hams,can even solder a mic connector ???
> ----------
>
> Come to think of it, what does SOLDERING have to do with a radio operator's
> license? An assembler's or technician's certificate, yes, but why a radio
> license?

I used to hold a Radiotelephone First Class Operators License (it's
still here somewhere in a drawer). In order to exercise the privileges
that license conferred, when working on commercial radio station
equipment, I darn sure had to know how to solder. As I remember it, a
ham radio license was almost the same. Of course that was then, this is
now and it is all somehow different.

1122. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 02:07:34 UTC
From: glc1173@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001207210734.28278.00000244@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 62473
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Aaron quoted me:
>>LOBBYISTS. Have you ever heard of >>them? They - not any public
>>consensus -
>> are how federal legislation gets passed >>(or not passed).
>> Don't you get it? Congress routinely >>passes thousand-page
>>bills very
>> few - if any - congresscritters even read >>before voting on. Bills are
>>commonly
>> drafted by lobbyists. Forget your >>junior-high civics class.

and replied:
>I am sorry, GLC, you are entirely wrong. >What's more, you have no facts
>to support your argument, only anti-law >enforcement bitterness and vague
>conspiracy theories.

Do you have any idea how many thousand-page-plus each bills Congress passes
yearly?
Do you think most congresscritters actually read - much less study - that
much stuff? As a lawyer, I can tell you that the existing federal statutes
require a whole floor-to-ceiling set of bookshelves for - and that's just for
statutes, not regulations.
How a nationwide
trucker's strike can end Algore's coup fast
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Dissident news - plus immigration, gun rights, nationwide weather
Al Gore - in his own words
ALAMANCE INDEPENDENT:
official newspaper of the Vast Right Wing Conspiracy
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

1123. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 13:00:47 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A30DB7F.E0281120@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62508

aaronboobner@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> I agree with you wholeheartedly in principle. However there still
> remains the fact that my local 40 channels are mostly unusable due to
> the shenanigans of CB miscreants whose attitude and methods I see
> refelected and encouraged by many of the posters here.
>

May I suggest you get your ham license and find another band?

Every 11 years the sunspot cycle makes it rough. I rarely turn
it on during the day. When I do, I get on sideband, say hello
around the country for 15 to 20 minutes, and then turn it off
until the sun goes down. Ain't no use in complaining. If you
can't stand the heat, get out of the kitchen.

1124. Re: amplifier for my CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 13:05:09 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A30DC85.E4FDB241@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62509

You one sick pup!

DON'T TRY IT FOLKS!. And if you do, the easy way out
is to CRTL-ALT-DEL and kill the web browser.

oh8mfh wrote:
>
> Try at www. puta.com

1125. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 13:21:22 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001208082122.29080.00001014@nso-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 62510

In article <3A30DB7F.E0281120@hotmail.com>, "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)"
<upplus@hotmail.com> writes:

>May I suggest you get your ham license and find another band?
>
>Every 11 years the sunspot cycle makes it rough. I rarely turn
>it on during the day. When I do, I get on sideband, say hello
>around the country for 15 to 20 minutes, and then turn it off
>until the sun goes down. Ain't no use in complaining. If you
>can't stand the heat, get out of the kitchen.

So very true!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

1126. Re: Trucker Vacation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 13:36:49 UTC
From: Buckeye Web Design <webdoc@bright.net>
Message-ID: <3A30E3F1.E332C7B8@bright.net>
Source record: 62507
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

GLC1173 wrote:
>
> Packrat quoted me:
> How a nationwide
> trucker's strike can end Algore's coup fast
>
> and replied:
> >I thought unions were Democrat and urged >their members to vote as such.
> >Wouldn't teamsters be a, what's that >word, union.
>
> You're forgetting that much of the trucking industry is not unionized.
> "Owner-operators" - yes, the men and women who drive their own tractors who
> first popularized CB - are not unionized. Many "company" drivers aren't either
> - even though they definitely are "labor."
> Truckers have both the most to lose in Algore's coup attempt - because if he
> and his global-warming hangups win, diesel will soar to European price levels
> (and U.S. truckers are barely making it now, with huge numbers of repod
> tractors glutting the market in turn hurting truck makers) - and a lot of
> power, because if even 5% of truckers strike, that means manufacturers cannot
> count on adequate supplies of key parts (nor can they reliably ship product).
> How a nationwide
> trucker's strike can end Algore's coup fast
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> Dissident news - plus immigration, gun rights, nationwide weather
> Al Gore - in his own words
> ALAMANCE INDEPENDENT:
> official newspaper of the Vast Right Wing Conspiracy
>

If truckers would stop driving for just 3 days
and I mean EVERY driver. it would take the US 3 weeks to catch up.
Everything in this country depends on trucks, the clothing we wear, the
food we eat. Sure the railroad still exists, but do you see a rail line
going up to the back door of your local Wal-Mart or your local Grocery
Store? No, in fact industry in this country is so tight now they have
all gone to JIT or Just In Time, no more backup inventory it costs money
so they use rucks as roving warehouses.

The only problem wih all this is..... you can't get 2 truck drivers to
agree on what day it is let alone when or if they should strike

1127. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 13:55:26 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21791-3A30E84E-20@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62512

Yo' aaron, I don't mind discussing legal cb, but theres only so far you can go with it. What I don't like is when folks attempt to RAM the legal issues down our throat, which I am quite certain you have the good sense not to do!

1128. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 13:59:36 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21791-3A30E948-21@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62514

Yea, now that ebay bowed to the demi-gods, we only have a score or so auctions left to choose from.

1129. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 14:03:02 UTC
From: matthew@pinky.uniqsys.com
Message-ID: <slrn931qgk.lhm.matthew@pinky.uniqsys.com>
Source record: 62511
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Just Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> spewed forth:
matthew@pinky.uniqsys.com (Matthew T. Jachimstal) opened the coffin lid and croaked...
#
>I got my Extra on April 15, 2000, and I've been soldering (including mic
>connectors) for 15 years.
#
And now for your next question....
#
How many people have you Elmered??

At least 5, the last being my girlfriend.

Matthew

--
Matthew T. Jachimstal | Phone: (419) 861-3331 .~.
Software Engineer | FAX: (419) 861-3340 /V\
Unique Systems, Inc. | http://www.uniqsys.com // \\
6920 Spring Valley Drive, Suite 106 | matthew@uniqsys.com /( )\
Holland, OH 43528 | ^`~'^

1130. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 14:06:29 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21791-3A30EAE5-22@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62515

Ah yes, the miscreants, it's ALL their fault on why you can't use your radio. Wouldn't have ANYTHING to do with the high sunspot activity, correct? I mean, since you can talk across country during the day barefoot, but you can't talk across town, those awful radio ops in your receive from DX land just absolutely have to be running illegal power, right so far? And therefore, since only DX is coming into your radio, and it's (gasp) illegal and a (shudder) criminal activity to talk to said DX, you are unable to use your radio? Is that the gist of your point? Damn, and I thought there were much more important things to worry about in life.

1131. Re: amplifier for my CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 14:09:24 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21791-3A30EB94-23@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62513

All I saw was spam for a regular nudie porn site.

1132. Re: Only purpose of the new regs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 14:12:08 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21793-3A30EC38-1@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62522

Well put, picloud. But we mustn't forget the hordes of sheoples that WANT to be told what to do, that NEED to be told what to do, and don't even realize it.

1133. Re: Newbie Q about 'deadkey' and 'swing' .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 14:16:05 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <m5r13tkcr2g87he02ek5hrfsjlhthoqlck@4ax.com>
Source record: 62516

Greetings!

;-)

True, but it only makes the radio work *harder*...

The smarter ones just use a power mike to handle all the yelling.;-)

On Thu, 07 Dec 2000 09:50:20 -0500, while the modem was pondering it's
navel, sideband <sideband@cac.net> dissertated the following:

:+> On or about Sat, 02 Dec 2000 10:33:18 -0500, Handy Andy
:+> <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>, using the forum rec.radio.cb did say:
:+> :"Swings to 20" means it's putting out up to 20 watts as you talk into
:+> :the mike [Carrier plus audio (modulation)] - naturally you're going to
:+> :have to talk pretty loud into the mike to hit that 20 watt figure
:+> :constantly.
:+>
:+> So yelling into your mic DOES make your CB work better! ::snicker::
:+>
:+> -SSB

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:

... You know your radio is really Modulating when...
... Elvis shows up at your doorstep and asks to use
... your Microphone!!!

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

1134. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 14:17:17 UTC
From: Edward Dowdy <ellhatespamdowdy@home.com>
Message-ID: <N36Y5.323$g6.9151@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>
Source record: 62517
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I sure hope they are tongue-in-cheek.

--
Please reply to eldowdy@home.com. Spam is a pain!

"David Little" <dalite01@sprynet.com> wrote in message
news:90pqnt$rf1$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> And here we have the essence of the subject of discussion
>
> Unless these comments are made tongue-in-cheek.
>
> If this is the prevailing view by those holding the top-rung of the
ladder,
> it becomes self-evident why those interested in taking the hobby to
greater
> heights are being restricted and the rest are suffering the consequences.
>
> David
> KD4NUE
>
>
> Bill Turner <w7ti@jps.net> wrote in message
> news:j6n03tcisdjjdjqaq3b5qqkppl3fd67umg@4ax.com...
> > On Thu, 7 Dec 2000 18:21:11 -0500, "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com>
> wrote:
> >
> > >Once we became extra class we no longer had to do such mundane tasks as
> > >soldering. these tasks are best relegated to those that have not
achieved
> > >our exalted status. We are gods of amateur radio!
> > ____
> >
> > Finally a comment that makes sense!!
> >
> > 73, Bill W7TI
>
>

1135. Re: Only purpose of the new regs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 14:18:20 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21794-3A30EDAC-1@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62523

Ha! One can be under investigation at any time for ANY reason, and wrongful prosecution takes place all the time....it just doesn't make the mainstream media as frequently, unless it is a capital offense.

1136. Re:MOVE ON - Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 14:18:51 UTC
From: Pappy@sgi.net
Message-ID: <3A30EDCB.E9E7B27@sgi.net>
Source record: 62518
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Wake up, this isn't a CB or scanning problem. It's a "HAM" problem. Take
this crap elsewhere.....

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" wrote:

> I guess some people can't see a problem and they wouldn't complain even if
> the sewer backed up unto their dinner plate.
>
> KB7ADL
>
> elIhatespamdowdy@home.com (Edward Dowdy) wrote in
> <97gX5.109796$a7.1783496@news1.rdc1.il.home.com>:
>
> >You make me very proud I renewed!
> >
> >Ed KA9EES
> >
> >--
> >Please reply to eldowdy@home.com. Spam is a pain!
> >
> >
> >
> >"Wouff Hong" <no-ARRL@all.com> wrote in message
> >news:Rotten_radio_League@arrl...
> >> The ARRL, America's Rotten Radio League, screwed us over a year ago.
> >Cancel
> >> your membership before they screw you again. The ARRL board of
> >> directors wants to turn amateur radio into CB! If you cancel your
> >> membership the
> >only
> >> people the ARRL board of directors will be able to screw is each
> >> other.
> >Just
> >> wait, 13 months from now the CW bands will shrink, and CW testing
> >> will be ended. Thank you ARRL for turning our ham bands into SHIT!
> >>
> >
> >
> >

1137. Re: Only purpose of the new regs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 14:21:10 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21791-3A30EE56-24@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62524

Actually, HER name is Cooter Mae, and she is a coon and hog hound.

1138. Re: THE LOTTERY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 14:35:27 UTC
From: lzboyz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001208093527.05425.00002558@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 62519

This is great !!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>Subject: THE LOTTERY
>From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD (COLD as ICE)
>Date: 08 Dec 2000 04:00:21 GMT
>
>>>A man gets home, screeches his car into the
>>>driveway, runs into the house,
>>>slams the door and shouts at the top of
>>>his lungs,
>>>"Honey, pack your bags, I won the damn lottery!
>>>
>>>The wife says, "Ohmigod! No shit?! What
>>>should I pack, beach stuff or mountain stuff?"
>>>
>>>The husband yells back, "It doesn't matter.
>>>Just get the fuck out!"
>
>COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
>mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ------------------- Headers --------------------
>
>Path: lobby!newstf02.news.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
>Lines: 14
>X-Admin: news@aol.com
>From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD (COLD as ICE)
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
>Date: 08 Dec 2000 04:00:21 GMT
>Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
>X-Newsreader: Session Scheduler
>Subject: THE LOTTERY
>Message-ID: <20001207230021.19715.00001107@nso-fs.aol.com>
>
>

1139. Scanner Dweeb comic # 87 (All I want for Christmas)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 14:38:57 UTC
From: scannerdweeb@aol.comicstrip
Message-ID: <20001208093857.18054.00007745@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 62520

The 87th episode of
The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb comic strip
titled " All I want for Christmas "
is ready for your viewing pleasure at
http://www.ScannerDweeb.com
And thanks for your support of the hobby of radio !

See them first !
Want to be sent new comic strips
or special episodes via your e-mail
before they are put on the web site ?
Subscribe to the ScannerDweeb eGroup
http://www.egroups.com/group/ScannerDweeb

The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb comic strip
http://www.ScannerDweeb.com
http://scannerdweeb.netfirms.com
http://www.ScanShack.com
Special monthly episodes, not shown
on the web site, can ONLY be seen
in Popular Communications magazine !

1140. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 14:43:37 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <90qs2p$ah6$0@208.207.71.166>
Source record: 62521
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Ross Archer wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > David Little wrote:
> > >
> > > This is an example of what self-regulating conditions is about. Some
> > > problems tend to cure them selves and go away without intervention.
> > >
> > > This is the epitome of the concept "My father beat me when I was a child,
> > > and you're Damn right, I'm gonna beat my kids too".
> > >
> > > No body mentioned taking disipline out of the regiment of licensing
> > > requirements. This is the reason that Ham radio faces the current peril it
> > > is experiencing in shrinking numbers and likelihood of spectrum privileges
> > > to match the numbers. Any attempt to allow transfusion of new technical
> > > lifeblood is viewed as a fatal stab wound.
> >
> > Noble thought but dead wrong in the implementation. Tests today require
> > no technical knowledge whatever should that be the route to the license
> > preferred by the applicant, and why shouldn't it be?
>
> I take it you haven't taken an Extra written exam lately then?
> Wouldn't figuring complex impedances, antenna lengths, etc.
> count as technical?

Don't be misled by the technical complexity that appears to be present
on the tests. It is an illusion.
If it was actually a test, instead of a spoonfed memory exercise where
ALL the questions with ALL their *exact* answers were prepublished
-*together*-, mind you- then you'd have a point. As it is, all anyone
has to do is download the question pool, which has with each question a
set of possible answers with the correct answer noted. All one needs do
is immediately discard all incorrect distractors and study the questions
with the remaining correct answer as pairs, read each set of questions
through several times, and go take the exam. The correct answers just
jump out at you-they are the familiar ones, the only ones you've seen
before, and the others are not. It's a no-brainer and unless you are a
memoryless dolt, you can't miss. Do it three times and you now have the
Extra class license, quite without acquiring any knowledge whatever, or
as near that as possible.
No, I haven't taken an Extra class exam since shortly after Incentive
Licensing came in in '68. What I *did* do is administer them for 8 years

as a VE team leader.

> Admittedly, it's not as technical as drawing schematics of plate-modulated
> final stages, but the average non-technical fellow is still going
> to have to study quite a bit for an Extra exam. These days, with
> radios out of the box, the need to be a GROL too is much more limited.

Somebody gotta know something about what all of us are doing scattering
all that RF around. Can you imagine a longterm Amateur Radio Service
surviving, and being supported, where few of its practicioners actually
know anything about what they are doing except operating the gear? You
really believe any FCC would or could continue to support it? Can you
say "history repeats itself" as on 27 mhz?

> The fundamental tension seems to be between those who wish to keep things
> an exclusive club / preserve the past and those who feel that unless
> we as a group move towards new technologies and higher frequencies,
> we will lose our justification for existance.

Someboduy here on the net keeps saying "Those who forget the past
forfeit the future". Nowhere do I see that exhibited more clearly than
in ham radio. No one has ever suggested that time stop or progress be
stimied. Nothing in all that suggests we should simply abandon
everything not of todays design.

If the latter is true, and if
> we ignore the threat, "they" will probably nibble off our
> frequencies over years until we're down to 40 channelized frequencies and maybe
> two modes (sound familiar?)

So why do you think "they" won' get whatever part of "out" spectrum
that is needed for the public good and commercial develop[ment anyway???
History tells us that is how it has been and will be in the future. Hams
got kicked to "200 Meters and Down" sinply because we were the way of
commercial development. Not that long ago we had access to ALL spectrum
above VHF. Look at what has happened up there in more recent years.
Nothing has changed nor will it. When it is needed, specrum WILL be
taken from ham allocations and probably not replaced. But FCC has been
really generous over the years in allowing USA hams access to parts of
the spectrum not needed or abandoned by commercials, so we probably will
pick up some more, especially in LF and VLF areas. Change is ubiquitous.
This is not a freeze-framed area, it is continually in flux. Anyone who
believes we can "preserve" out spectrum is selfdelusional.

So in other words, we become a CB service
> if we fail to justify our existence just as much as if we lower standards too
> far.
>

Licensing a service loaded with people who really believe that because
they passed some "tests" which tested little except their ability to
make word associations does little or nothing to keep the ARS from
becoming another CB, with an attitude.

> Now, CW is a fine pastime and I can't imagine why someone would
> criticize those who enjoy working it, but we need the sort of techical
> minds in the hobby who may not be interested enough in CW to otherwise
> get in. IMHO, of course. :)

So who said a single word about CW? This discussion is strictly about
the lowered technical requirements, which by all accounts some persons
of influence are working hard to see that they are reduced much further,
in fact all the way to none (W5YI for one).

1141. Re: LET'S ANALYZE HR 2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 14:48:15 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g58z4k0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Source record: 62525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 07 Dec 2000 21:44:50 -0600, Martin wrote:

>From the Congressional Record,
>this bill was on steamroller, so methinks that
>local govt types lobbied heavy for the bill.

A pure power grab.

The one entity that had a vested interest to preserve in not
letting the bill pass, the FCC, sat back and did nothing (if
not quietly supporting giving away their authority).

Sickening.

73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP
(Retired FCC District Director)

1142. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 14:51:30 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <90qshiaut0@208.207.71.166>
Source record: 62526
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
Bill Turner wrote:
>
> On 8 Dec 2000 01:55:19 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> > I used to hold a Radiotelephone First Class Operators License (it's
> >still here somewhere in a drawer). In order to exercise the privileges
> >that license conferred, when working on commercial radio station
> >equipment, I darn sure had to know how to solder.
> ----------------------------------------------------------------------
>
> I have a first class commercial license and I don't recall any soldering test.
> Please give details about yours.
>

'Twas on the job. If the current didn't flow through the joint I
woulda flunked.
```

1143. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 14:51:44 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <t31tcue1l3au4c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62527

"Major Tom" <ground@control.com> wrote in message
news:NFUX5.88$2Y.21012@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
> I think the general public doesnt have a clue about HR2346 . The only
people
> affected will be people who are suffering from interference whether it is
> from a illegal or legal station there complaints may be heard by a police
> officer . Many of the so called effected devices fall under part 15 they
> must accept undesirable operation. End of Story.

Not really. What you said is mostly true only if after the FCC investigates and finds the design of the Part 15 device is crappy. Now if the Part 15 device is well designed, for example with good filtering etc., then the problem becomes more interesting. In the case of Ham Radio's Part 97 rules the Amateur station "may be" restricted from using the frequencies causing the problem completely, and or operation on those frequencies may be restricted between certain hours in the evening and on the weekend. The case might just be the Part 15 device is so close to the transmitter it will screw up unless it was in a Lead box. So, in the interest of keeping the "peace" the FCC can modify the transmitting station's operation, even if the transmitting station's equipment meets all of the FCC's technical standards!

In the case of a CB operator operating on illegal frequencies, power levels, and modes will end up drawing attention to themselves, and then finally being noticed by the FCC. So just because it is a Part 15 device that is experiencing a problem, with RFI, does not automatically mean that no investigation will take place. So the illegal operator will still have to be looking over their shoulder wondering if, or when that knock on the door will come, and a FCC field engineer wants to inspect your station.

If you take the time to read some of the FCC enforcement postings by N8WWM you will discover that the FCC does not need a warrant to inspect your station at any time, it is in the rules, and applies to everyone, including Hams. One CBer discovered this the hard way when he refused to let the FCC field engineer inside to look at his equipment. He got a $7,000 fine for his refusal. Just think, for a little bit of effort to get a General class Ham license that same $7,000 could have paid for a nice new FT-1000 top-of-the-line Yaesu H.F. rig, full legal limit H.F. amplifier, likely a tower, and H.F. antennas too. Instead it ended up in the FCC's pocket. What a waste of money, paying a fine that could have been so easily avoided if he was interested in working on H.F., particularly DX. And being a "licensed" Ham the RFI complaint likely would have caused him little to no problem with the FCC too.

The intend behind HR2346 is primarily of handling RFI issues caused by CB radio operations being blamed on Ham Radio operators. As most of the posters

on this news group have already guessed the local LEO's will not be pulling people over just because there is a big antenna on the vehicle. On the other hand if one is pulled over for other reasons, and the cop is in a bad mood, you may have your radio equipment checked. If anyone on the road will have a problem I suspect it will be the over-the-road truck drivers. These people have to pull in to weight stations on the highway. You ask these guys and they will tell you all about the "safety" inspections they go through. Now the local cop at the weight station can check the driver's radio equipment too.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

1144. Re: ANOTHER CB BUST---haw haw haw

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 15:00:28 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001208100028.23494.00003360@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 62528

1..someone to pay attention to him......2...a brand new fcc approved dildo.....3...to be "accepted"....4...3 goats and a sheep for his sexual gratification.....5...is to turn back time , so he can become a cb'er...6 is for his children not to come out like him...7 a cobra 148...8...a palomar 2-pill {he wants big watts}..chuckle...9...a cb cop badge.....and finally last but not least....just to be Heard......lol post any other christmas wish i might of missed......

1145. Re: A third one...hahahah

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 15:00:47 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001208100047.23494.00003361@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 62530

1..someone to pay attention to him......2...a brand new fcc approved dildo.....3...to be "accepted"....4...3 goats and a sheep for his sexual gratification.....5...is to turn back time , so he can become a cb'er...6 is for his children not to come out like him...7 a cobra 148...8...a palomar 2-pill {he wants big watts}..chuckle...9...a cb cop badge.....and finally last but not least....just to be Heard......lol post any other christmas wish i might of missed......

1146. Re: Heres ANOTHER one

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 15:01:24 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001208100124.23494.00003362@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 62529

1..someone to pay attention to him......2...a brand new fcc approved dildo.....3...to be "accepted"....4...3 goats and a sheep for his sexual gratification.....5...is to turn back time , so he can become a cb'er...6 is for his children not to come out like him...7 a cobra 148...8...a palomar 2-pill {he wants big watts}..chuckle...9...a cb cop badge.....and finally last but not least....just to be Heard......lol post any other christmas wish i might of missed......

1147. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 15:03:07 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <90qt7bdca0@208.207.71.166>
Source record: 62531
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

What is really being objected to is you guys insisting that the "top rung" be dragged down to the "lowest level rung". If you can't see what that does to the the ARS then further discussion is probably futile. No one is saying that things should not change, now, in the past nor the future. But not all change is for the better, and much change that is made simply to appease the "squeaky wheels" is detrimental, as always. When protesters decide what the changes will be, what makes that automatically a positive thing? Take a look at Dick Mcullom's post on "Wet Firecracker Report" and see just what an "influx" the new regulations have had on total numbers of hams. If that is a precursor, the future doesn't look to bright for your goal of increasisng numbers of hams. I really believe that handing out free licenses on streetcorners would have about the same effect. None. Blame the 'net, that's where everone has gone. Including us.

David Little wrote:
>
> And here we have the essence of the subject of discussion
>
> Unless these comments are made tongue-in-cheek.
>
> If this is the prevailing view by those holding the top-rung of the ladder,
> it becomes self-evident why those interested in taking the hobby to greater
> heights are being restricted and the rest are suffering the consequences.
>
> David
> KD4NUE
>
> Bill Turner <w7ti@jps.net> wrote in message
> news:j6n03tcisdjjdjqaq3b5qqkppl3fd67umg@4ax.com...
> > On Thu, 7 Dec 2000 18:21:11 -0500, "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com>
> wrote:
> >
> > >Once we became extra class we no longer had to do such mundane tasks as
> > >soldering. these tasks are best relegated to those that have not achieved
> > >our exalted status. We are gods of amateur radio!
> > ____
> >
> > Finally a comment that makes sense!!
> >
> > 73, Bill W7TI

1148. Re: LET'S ANALYZE HR 2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 15:13:34 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90qtqn$j37$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62533

In article <3A306A01.2D34@bellsouth.net>,
joxendi@bellsouth.net wrote:
> N8wwm wrote:
>
> >
> > Exactly. I hope the locals need hams to help 'em hunt these
dweebs...I will be
> > the first volunteer...and I will post my busts right here...Oh Joy,
Oh Goodie
> > goodie!!!
>
> Now, I KNOW you're off your rocker! I wouldn't touch the local
enforce-
> ment thing with a 50 foot pole. I have seen some insulting posts,
taunt
> ing posts, and trolling posts, but you just beat all. To not only
sup-
> port this law, but actively want to get involved shows how unthinking
> you really are. I just don't know what else to say. (Sigh).
>
> Jerry
>
>

tell em jerry. they could get killed, know what i mean jelly bean, cd
is out.....

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1149. Re: ??? (Triple 3)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 15:17:33 UTC
From: Triple 3 <333@railbuggies.com>
Message-ID: <3A30FB8B.29B96FD8@railbuggies.com>
Source record: 62532

yeah I know, but that's not the only details from
the 70's that I am sketchy about :)

Bill Nelson wrote:
>
> Triple 3 wrote:
>
> : Anyway, seems like I remember a time when cb business was a no-no.
>
> You remember wrong.
>
> At one time, there were channels reserved for communication between a
> "base" and it's "mobiles", all using the same call. These generally were
> business users. Other channels allowed communication between units with
> different calls. These were generally non-business users.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

1150. 257 on the wheels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 15:19:34 UTC
From: ripsy@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001208101934.21519.00002314@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Source record: 62534

glad we got in to you today, pretty busy out there this morning..
did'nt think the 1x2 gooserider was going to be able to make it threw..
next time i'll just have to start with the 1x4.. ha,ha,ha
130 central mn. standing buy

1151. Re: LET'S ANALYZE HR 2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 15:26:07 UTC
From: Steve <ai7w@NoSpam.com>
Message-ID: <3a30fda3_2@huge.aa.net>
Source record: 62535
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

It seems to me that the FCC sat back and did nothing for a long time before this bill was passed.
Steve

Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote in message news:cuvyxnansvbet.g58z4k0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net...
> On Thu, 07 Dec 2000 21:44:50 -0600, Martin wrote:
>
> >From the Congressional Record,
> >this bill was on steamroller, so methinks that
> >local govt types lobbied heavy for the bill.
>
> A pure power grab.
>
> The one entity that had a vested interest to preserve in not
> letting the bill pass, the FCC, sat back and did nothing (if
> not quietly supporting giving away their authority).
>
> Sickening.
>
>
> 73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP
> (Retired FCC District Director)
>
>
>
>

1152. Re: PEAKABOO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 15:38:41 UTC
From: "Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com>
Message-ID: <90qva0$kc6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62536

I did hear you in there, but you know how it gets on there, plus
Squirrel, is alot badder man than myself.....take it easy Pain&#65533; is gone!

```
In article <90q6si$bss0$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>,
"G SEVEN" <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net> wrote:
> I heard Pain yesterday here in So. Cal. on 27.025, I was calling him
until
> Squirrel key down and told me to talk to my radio. I obliged...
>
> KRS
>
> <Two-Five-seven@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:17702-3A30679D-80@storefull-146.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> > No doubt about it Pain,I do appreciate that reciever cleaning you
gave
> > me today.I had a feeling that was you I was talking to.I actually
asked
> > you if it was you in another thread on this NG.Don't be a stranger
to
> > 26.915,It was good hearing you and peakaboo in there.
> > Later my friend.
> >
> > Pain wrote:
> >
> > "257 you sounded real good this morning in my recieve!!!! Take it
easy
> > Pain Gone!!! "
> >
> > 257 NH. On the wheels
> >
>
>

--

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.
```

1153. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 15:51:55 UTC
From: Bill Turner <w7ti@jps.net>
Message-ID: <ti023t8sgqaofg2ila6r8ddchriq5bsati@4ax.com>
Source record: 62537
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On 8 Dec 2000 15:03:07 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> What is really being objected to is you guys insisting that the "top
>rung" be dragged down to the "lowest level rung". If you can't see what
>that does to the the ARS then further discussion is probably futile.

I do believe things were better in the old days when "rungs" didn't exist like they do today. IMO there should only be one license class. You should have to prove you know enough to get on the air and not cause QRM around the world. Everything beyond that can be learn-as-you-go.

Unfortunately, a lot of hams like to view their extra class license as some kind of exclusive club they belong to, one which requires hazing of the unwashed masses in order to get in. Again, IMO, this is wrong, and discourages a lot of fine folks who would make good hams given a chance.

And yes, I do have one of those extras. Look it up.

73, Bill W7TI

1154. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 15:55:39 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21791-3A31047B-35@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62538

Geez, Leland, we can agree to disagree without problems, but if you start rolling in feces, the inevitable outcome is that you will stink. I'd watch who I quote if I were you. It took awhile for you to even get any positive attention when you first came here because of the way you came off. Did you forget? You had a small success in removing your foot from your mouth once, is it that hard to keep it out?

1155. Re: amplifier for my CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 16:21:39 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A310A93.E4585F32@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62541

Every time I closed a window, another would pop open. I just killed
the browser and started over. I didn't try to enter something in the
address box, just hit ALT-F4. I refuse to help any porno sites.

twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
>
> All I saw was spam for a regular nudie porn site.

1156. Re: Heres one for you illegals...yippee!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 16:24:59 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001208112459.01956.00001674@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 62539

wow, two years old. why didnt you post the newer ones of the ham guys being busted for working 11 meters? it happened right here in my local area. they only went after the hams freebanding and left us regular guys alone.

>
>N8WWM loves you all...happy bust you clowns!
>
>--
>------
>
>
>Before the
>FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
>Washington, D.C. 20554
>
>
>
>In the Matter of
>
>James R. Farlow
>Thomasville, North Carolina
>
>)
>)
>)
>)
>)
>)
> NAL/Acct. 915NF0001
>
>
>
>
>FORFEITURE ORDER
>
>
>
>Adopted: March 17, 1999 ; Released: March 19, 1999
>
>
>
>By the Director, Legal Services Group,
>Compliance & Information Bureau:
>

>
>
>
>1. Before the Compliance and Information Bureau ("the Bureau") is a Notice of
>Apparent Liability ("NAL") for a monetary forfeiture issued on November 18,
>1998 to James R. Farlow and the response thereto filed by Mr. Farlow. The NAL
>proposed imposition of a forfeiture against Mr. Farlow in the amount of
>$7,000
>pursuant to 47 U.S.C ï¿½ 503(b) and 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 1.80 for willful violation of
>47
>U.S.C. ï¿½ 303(n) and 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.426 (CB Rule 26). For the reasons stated
>below, we affirm the $7,000 forfeiture amount.
>
>
>
>BACKGROUND
>2. On March 10, 1998, the Bureau's Norfolk, Virginia, Resident Agent Office
>received a complaint from an individual, in Thomasville, North Carolina, who
>had been experiencing interference to her home electronic equipment from Mr.
>Farlow's citizens band ("CB") radio operation. The complaint also contained
>allegations of use of a linear amplifier by Mr. Farlow in violation of the
>rules governing CB radio service, 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ï¿½ 95.401 - 95.428. In response
>to
>another complaint concerning Mr. Farlow's CB radio operation, on September 8,
>1998, an agent from the Norfolk Office went to the Thomasville, North
>Carolina,
>area to investigate the complaint.
>
>
>3. While there, the agent confirmed that the interference was still a problem
>for Mr. Farlow's neighbors. In order to determine whether Mr. Farlow's CB
>radio
>operation was still the source of the interference, the agent decided that an
>inspection of Mr. Farlow's equipment would be necessary. At 6:30 p.m. the
>agent
>knocked on the front door of 103 Browning Drive, the residence of Mr. Farlow.
>Mr. Farlow came from the back of his house in reply to the agent's knock. The
>agent properly identified himself as a representative of the FCC, showed Mr.
>Farlow his official badge, and asked to inspect Mr. Farlow's CB radio
>station.
>Mr. Farlow then led the agent to his back door where he hesitated and then
>asked the agent for a search warrant. The agent explained to Mr. Farlow that
>both ï¿½ 303(n), and ï¿½ 95.426 (CB Rule 26), authorize inspection of all CB
>radio
>stations by the FCC and a search warrant was not necessary. Nevertheless, Mr.
>Farlow refused to allow the agent entry to his residence in order to inspect
>the station. After observing a CB radio in the truck parked in the driveway
>at
>103 Browning Drive, Thomasville, North Carolina, the agent also requested
>that

>he be allowed access to inspect Mr. Farlow's mobile CB radio station. Mr.
>Farlow again refused to allow the agent to inspect his CB station. Subsequent
>to the request for inspection, the Bureau's Norfolk Office issued the subject
>NAL on November 18, 1998, for the willful violations of ï¿½ 303(n) and ï¿½ 95.426
>(CB Rule 26).
>
>
>4. In responding to the NAL, Mr. Farlow contends that he attempted to resolve
>the interference complaints of his neighbors although he had doubts that his
>CB
>radio station was the source of the interference. Furthermore, Mr. Farlow
>states that, at the time of the attempted inspection, he no longer had the CB
>equipment at his residence because of all the complaints from his neighbors.
>Mr. Farlow's response to the NAL further contends that he was unable to let
>the
>agent into his house as his wife was indisposed. Mr. Farlow also claims that
>the agent did not have a search warrant, and that the agent would not allow
>him
>the time to call his attorney. Furthermore, Mr. Farlow asserts that he had
>recently been threatened by neighbors and felt uncomfortable allowing a
>"total
>stranger [who] shows up after business hours in a used faded Explorer without
>any recognizable law enforcement, no search warrant, no previous paperwork or
>anything to explain my rights." Finally, Mr. Farlow states that he did not
>know
>who the FCC was, nor did he know the rules and regulations associated with
>operating a CB radio station.
>
>
>
>DISCUSSION
>
>5. The Bureau's Norfolk Office issued the forfeiture pursuant to ï¿½ 503 and
>ï¿½1.80. In assessing the forfeiture amount, the Norfolk Office followed the
>forfeiture standards established in ï¿½ 503 and The Commission's Forfeiture
>Policy Statement and Amendment of Section 1.80 of the Rules to Incorporate
>Guidelines, 12 FCC Rcd 17087 (1997), recon. pending ("Policy Statement"). It
>is
>required by ï¿½ 503(b) that the Commission take into account the nature,
>circumstances, extent and gravity of the violation and, with respect to the
>violator, the degree of culpability, any history of prior offenses, ability
>to
>pay, and other such matters as justice may require. See, 47 U.S.C. ï¿½
>503(b)(2)(D).
>
>
>6. We have reviewed Mr. Farlow's arguments concerning the events of September
>8, 1998, and we find that none of them are sufficient to eliminate the
>liability associated with the noted violations. We believe that if Mr. Farlow
>no longer had CB radio equipment in his residence that he should have stated

>so
>at the time of the request for inspection. He did not. In fact, a neighbor of
>Mr. Farlow's had identified Mr. Farlow's voice in a transmission that caused
>interference to her home electronic equipment only a few days prior to the
>inspection. Additionally, a neighbor observed Mr. Farlow removing the CB
>radio
>equipment from his residence on September 9, 1998, the day after the
>attempted
>inspection. Moreover, the FCC agent visually observed that a mobile CB radio
>station was present in Mr. Farlow's truck on September 8, 1998. Mr. Farlow
>does
>not offer an explanation as to why he denied the agent entry to inspect his
>truck. Mr. Farlow does not offer any mitigating circumstances which would
>excuse his refusal to allow inspection of his CB radio station. The hour of
>the
>attempted inspection, 6:30 p.m., was during daylight hours, and the agent
>presented legitimate FCC identification credentials, which according to the
>agent, was acknowledged by Mr. Farlow as being "official."
>
>
>7. Under ï¿½ 303(n) the FCC has the "authority to inspect all radio
>installations
>associated with stations required to be licensed by any Act, or which the
>Commission by rule has authorized to operate without a license under Section
>307(e)(1)." 47 U.S.C. ï¿½ 303(n). This definition expressly includes CB radio
>stations as those that the FCC is authorized to inspect. Likewise, under ï¿½
>95.426, "[i]f an authorized FCC representative requests to inspect your CB
>station, you must make your CB station and records available for inspection."
>47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.426(a). Thus, an FCC agent does not need a search warrant to
>inspect a radio station. See Norfolk Southern Railway Company, 11 FCC Rcd 519
>(CIB 1996) (the right to inspect a station is one of the cornerstones of the
>FCC's ability to ensure compliance with the Communications Act and the
>Commission's Rules). Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.402(a)(1), Mr. Farlow, as a
>CB
>operator, had the responsibility to be familiar with the CB rules and to
>comply
>with these rules. "The Commission expects its licensees (including CB users
>authorized by CB Rule 4, 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.404, to operate without an individual
>station authorization) to be familiar with those rules that govern the class
>of
>station they operate. Claims of unfamiliarity with rules cannot be used to
>justify mitigation of penalties." William J. McCray, Jr., 9 FCC Rcd 1897 (FOB
>1994). As such, the violations of ï¿½ 303(n) and ï¿½ 95.426 were willful.[1]
>
>
>8. In sum, we have examined Mr. Farlow's response in conjunction with the
>Policy Statement and the above statutory factors and conclude that Mr. Farlow
>has failed to provide a sufficient justification for reducing the forfeiture
>amount. We therefore affirm the forfeiture amount of $7,000.
>

>
>9. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. ï¿½ 503(b), 47 C.F.R.
>ï¿½
>1.80, and the Policy Statement, 12 FCC Rcd 17087, James R. Farlow IS LIABLE
>FOR
>A MONETARY FORFEITURE in the amount of $7,000 for willful violation of 47
>U.S.C. ï¿½ 303(n) and 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.426 (CB Rule 26).
>
>
>10. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to 47 C.F.R. ï¿½1.80(f), James R.
>Farlow
>shall, within thirty (30) days of the release of this Forfeiture Order, pay
>the
>amount of $7,000. Payment of the forfeiture shall be made in U.S. dollars, by
>check, credit card or money order drawn on a U.S. financial institution
>payable
>to the Federal Communications Commission.[2] The remittance should be marked
>NAL/Acct. No. 915NF0001, and mailed to the following address:
>
>
>
>Federal Communications Commission
>Post Office Box 73482
>Chicago, IL 60673-7482
>
>Petitions for reconsideration pursuant to 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 1.106, or applications
>for review pursuant to 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 1.115 should be sent to:
>
>
>
>Office of the Secretary
>Federal Communications Commission
>445 12th Street, S.W.
>Washington, D.C. 20554
>ATTN: Mail Stop 1500E3-DLH
>Compliance and Information Bureau
>
>Forfeiture penalties not paid within 30 days will be referred to the U.S.
>Attorney for recovery in a civil suit. 47 U.S.C. ï¿½ 504(a).
>
>
>
>
>11. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, a copy of this Order shall be sent certified
>mail, return receipt requested, to James R. Farlow, 103 Browning Drive,
>Thomasville, North Carolina 27360.
>
>
>
>FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

>
>
>
>Ricardo M. Durham
>Director, Legal Services Group
>Compliance Division
>Compliance and Information Bureau
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>--
>------
>Footnotes:
>1 Section 312(f)(1) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C.
>ï¿½
>312(f)(1), which also applies to ï¿½ 503(b), provides: "[t]he term 'willful,'
>when used with reference to the commission or omission of any act, means the
>conscious and deliberate commission or omission of such act, irrespective of
>any intent to violate any provision of this Act or any rule or regulation of
>the Commission authorized by this Act or by a treaty ratified by the United
>States." See Southern California Broadcasting Co., 6 FCC Rcd 4387 (1991).
>
>2 Requests for installment plans should be mailed to: Chief, Billings and
>Collections, Mail Stop 1110A2, 445 12th Street S.W., Washington, D.C. 20554.
>Payment of the forfeiture in installments may be considered as a separate
>matter in accordance with 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 1.1914. Please contact Chief, Billings
>and Collections for information regarding credit card payments.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

1157. Re: Wanted: Siltronix

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 17:07:04 UTC
From: Skipp <skipp@pilot.ucdavis.edu>
Message-ID: <90r4foisi1@mark.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 62542

If you plan to only swap for the radio.. then your probably on your own.
If you would like to bid on the radio, Ebay has them all the time, various
models and extras with the stations at auction. If you'd like to take a
look at my siltronix web page, go to:

http://sonic.ucdavis.edu/siltronix

hope that holds some interest for you...

cheers
skipp

: Bill <grassa@mpinet.net> wrote:
: Looking for a MINT or as close to as possible Siltronix 1011D with the
: "40" channel version VFO dial (markings)...Siltronix
: LA-550...Meter/Speaker/Power-meter/Freq Display....

: Will swap Brand new Saturn Turbo or whatever for the right
: setup...Wanted for viewing only....

: Bill

1158. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 17:13:58 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <t325no9m88997d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62543

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21791-3A31047B-35@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net...
> Geez, Leland, we can agree to disagree without problems, but if you
> start rolling in feces, the inevitable outcome is that you will stink.
> I'd watch who I quote if I were you. It took awhile for you to even get
> any positive attention when you first came here because of the way you
> came off. Did you forget? You had a small success in removing your foot
> from your mouth once, is it that hard to keep it out?

Never had my foot my in my mouth, foot is too big. Seriously, N8's did not make up those FCC enforcement proceedings, I found them on the Internet myself some time back. I just wanted to reference those proceedings without having to post them myself. You have to admit that $7,000 is not small change, unless you are rich, and is meant to hurt while sending a signal to other like minded operators that the FCC is not joking around when dealing with rules violations. So if one wants to thumb their nose as the FCC by operating in an illegal fashion, and the fine one may get, is it really worth it in the end? I'm sure somebody is going to say it can't happen to me, just to some other guy, well some day that "other guy" may be you. There are no guarantees with illegal, or pirate, type operations no matter how safe you think you are playing the "game". The really sad part is that some of those here, on this news group, will find their tale of woe sooner or later posted on the Internet, by the FCC, and then may finally understand it really is NOT a "game" as far as the FCC is concerned. I would like to see people clean up their act before that happens, and avoid taking a class at the expensive school of hard knocks. Of course if one chooses not to do so the government needs money.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

1159. Re: Firestick "Marketing Voodo" or real range ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 17:14:37 UTC
From: Skipp <skipp@pilot.ucdavis.edu>
Message-ID: <90r4ttisi2@mark.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 62544

Hi Joe,

Great to see you over here this news group. The antennas with loaded coils like you describ (fire stick's and valors) use the wire to make an electricly longer antenna for the physical size. By nature, a 3/4 or 5/8 wave antenna will have more gain than a 1/4 wave of similar size.

Yes, you should notice a little more gain out of an electricly larger antenna. Another thing to think about it the angle of radiation (the direction in which the majority of the signal leaves the antenna). Different types of antennas have different angles of departure for the majority of the signal. If your talking common ground wave (car to car), one type of antenna might be better than another. If your talking skywave (talking skip or dx operation), there are antenna which work better for that mode too.

Fire Sticks work very well...

cheers
skipp@pilot.ucdavis.edu

: Joe <joeamp@mindspring.com> wrote:
: Can anyone confirm these "coilsticks" have more range than a standard base
: or center loaded trunk mount cheap "O" properly grounded and tuned to near
: zero SWR.
: Or is all the hype "marketing Voodoo"
: I am doing a installation for a buddy who just wants a setup for channel 19
: with 4 -5 watts.

1160. Re: Peakaboo!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 17:17:29 UTC
From: "Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com>
Message-ID: <90r533$pq2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62545

Nightmare, I appreciate it man!! Bump it on ya later ...Pain&#65533; is gone!

In article <20001207205356.19908.00002915@ng-ft1.aol.com>,
lordfkaos@aol.com (LORDFKAOS) wrote:
> >
> >Nightmare . I bumped it down on you too, Sounded good round the
Cowpen.
> >Pain Cruisin this Mobile got down!!!!!!
>
> Appreciate it Pain, you got a baaaad mobile too. Hope to hear you out
there
> again soon!
>
> >
> >Nightmare . I bumped it down on you too, Sounded good round the
Cowpen.
> >Pain Cruisin this Mobile got down!!!!!!
>
> NIGHTMARE motor van around the Big Trees got down!
>

--
MR.PAIN&#65533;

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1161. Re: LET'S ANALYZE HR 2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 17:32:31 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g596yf3.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Source record: 62546
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Fri, 8 Dec 2000 15:26:07 -0000, Steve wrote:

>It seems to me that the FCC sat back and did nothing for a long time before
>this bill was passed.

Sat back??? More like was held back. The first ten years that
I was "on the street" (mid-60s to mid-70s) we did a LOT of CB
enforcement....and then a new hierarchy came to Washington and
the field folks' water was cut off and we could not get any
enforcement cases through the pipe.

The real issue, though, is not who will enforce CB or whether
CB enforcement was done at all. The real issue is whether
spectrum management (of which enforcement is an integral part)
is a national activity or a local activity...the very core of
the argument on Federalism versus States Rights.

I cannot see my former colleagues at the appropriate ministries
in foreign countries negotiating agreements with or for that
matter respecting any actions of the Communications Regulatory
Agency of South Podunk Junction.

73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

1162. Re: LET'S ANALYZE HR 2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 17:38:25 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A311C91.C8@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 62547

Well, cd,

I was trying to say that without being *too* explicit. LOL! One needs to analyze just *exactly* the consequences of one's actions before acting. THAT, I don't think Leland is doing. I wouldn't get involved with this for nuttin'!

Jerry

1163. Re: More good FCC stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 17:42:05 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A311D6D.4818@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 62598

GLC1173 wrote:
>
> Aaron quoted the FCC:
> >The Atlanta, GA, Office received several >complaints of interference to home
> >electronic
> >entertainment equipment in the Gaston, >SC, area.

Where is "GASTON", SC? I never heard of it. I live in Gaston County,
NC, but I haven't heard of a "Gaston", SC. Anybody know where this is?

Jerry
>

1164. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 17:51:32 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <90r731$rf7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62599
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

In article <90qs2p$ah6$0@208.207.71.166>,
Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> Ross Archer wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > > David Little wrote:
> > > >
> > > > This is an example of what self-regulating conditions is
about. Some
> > > > problems tend to cure them selves and go away without
intervention.
> > > >
> > > > This is the epitome of the concept "My father beat me when I
was a child,
> > > > and you're Damn right, I'm gonna beat my kids too".
> > > >
> > > > No body mentioned taking disipline out of the regiment of
licensing
> > > > requirements. This is the reason that Ham radio faces the
current peril it
> > > > is experiencing in shrinking numbers and likelihood of spectrum
privileges
> > > > to match the numbers. Any attempt to allow transfusion of new
technical
> > > > lifeblood is viewed as a fatal stab wound.
> > >
> > > Noble thought but dead wrong in the implementation. Tests today
require
> > > no technical knowledge whatever should that be the route to the
license
> > > preferred by the applicant, and why shouldn't it be?
> >
> > I take it you haven't taken an Extra written exam lately then?
> > Wouldn't figuring complex impedances, antenna lengths, etc.
> > count as technical?

Such things could be considered "technical" if the person being tested
actually had to do them to pass the test. As Dick points out below,
such is not the case at all.
>
> Don't be misled by the technical complexity that appears to be

present
> on the tests. It is an illusion.

Agreed.

> If it was actually a test, instead of a spoonfed memory exercise
where
> ALL the questions with ALL their *exact* answers were prepublished
> -*together*-, mind you- then you'd have a point. As it is, all anyone
> has to do is download the question pool, which has with each question
a
> set of possible answers with the correct answer noted. All one needs
do
> is immediately discard all incorrect distractors and study the
questions
> with the remaining correct answer as pairs, read each set of questions
> through several times, and go take the exam. The correct answers just
> jump out at you-they are the familiar ones, the only ones you've seen
> before, and the others are not. It's a no-brainer and unless you are a
> memoryless dolt, you can't miss. Do it three times and you now have
the
> Extra class license, quite without acquiring any knowledge whatever,
or
> as near that as possible.

That's been true for almost two decades. The recent changes made the situation worse, because the number of tests and the number of questions have been drastically reduced. Most of what remains is, of necessity, about the regulations and safety.

There are several websites that will let you take a simulated written test. Go to any of them and try one of the simulated tests, and see how many questions you get on complex impedances - or Ohm's Law for DC circuits, for that many. Note also how few really different questions on a given subject exist.

What it boils down to is that a person can be almost totally ignorant of lots of stuff that's "on the test" and still pass. Plus if you fail, just pony up another fee and try again at the same session.

> No, I haven't taken an Extra class exam since shortly after Incentive
> Licensing came in in '68. What I *did* do is administer them for 8
years
> as a VE team leader.

I took the Extra in 1970 - "the old way". Every so often I take a sample test online. In my experience the post-April-15-2000 written tests are easier than the ones that immediately preceded them. There is no comparison to the tests of the '60s and '70s - and those ancient tests weren't very hard, anyway.
>
> > Admittedly, it's not as technical as drawing schematics of plate-

modulated
> > final stages, but the average non-technical fellow is still going
> > to have to study quite a bit for an Extra exam.

That depends entirely on the person. I never studied for any amateur exam. Didn't need to - had to learn the stuff to get on the air.

> These days, with
> > radios out of the box, the need to be a GROL too is much more limited.

One of the purposes of the amateur radio service is for hams to be technically knowledgeable. The license tests used to support that goal much more than they do today.
>
> Somebody gotta know something about what all of us are doing scattering
> all that RF around. Can you imagine a longterm Amateur Radio Service
> surviving, and being supported, where few of its practicioners actually
> know anything about what they are doing except operating the gear? You
> really believe any FCC would or could continue to support it? Can you
> say "history repeats itself" as on 27 mhz?

Exactly.
>
> > The fundamental tension seems to be between those who wish to keep things
> > an exclusive club

"Exclusive club"? Not at all. Never has been, at least not in the USA. All anyone needed to do was pass the tests and you get a license. No age limit, no quota, and the tests were never that hard. A few years ago, an 8 year old got an Extra.

>/ preserve the past and those who feel that unless
> > we as a group move towards new technologies and higher frequencies,
> > we will lose our justification for existance.

Since 1991, all amateur privileges above 30 MHz have been available to anyone who could pass the Tech written test. In April that test was reduced to just 35 questions.
>
> Someboduy here on the net keeps saying "Those who forget the past
> forfeit the future". Nowhere do I see that exhibited more clearly than
> in ham radio. No one has ever suggested that time stop or progress be
> stimied. Nothing in all that suggests we should simply abandon
> everything not of todays design.

Exactly. Those who want to try out higher frequencies have plenty of room to do so. WITHOUT a code test or multiple written tests.
>
> If the latter is true, and if

> > we ignore the threat, "they" will probably nibble off our
> > frequencies over years until we're down to 40 channelized
frequencies and maybe
> > two modes (sound familiar?)
>
> So why do you think "they" won' get whatever part of "out" spectrum
> that is needed for the public good and commercial develop[ment
anyway???
> History tells us that is how it has been and will be in the future.
Hams
> got kicked to "200 Meters and Down" sinply because we were the way of
> commercial development. Not that long ago we had access to ALL
spectrum
> above VHF. Look at what has happened up there in more recent years.
> Nothing has changed nor will it. When it is needed, specrum WILL be
> taken from ham allocations and probably not replaced. But FCC has been
> really generous over the years in allowing USA hams access to parts of
> the spectrum not needed or abandoned by commercials, so we probably
will
> pick up some more, especially in LF and VLF areas. Change is
ubiquitous.
> This is not a freeze-framed area, it is continually in flux. Anyone
who
> believes we can "preserve" out spectrum is selfdelusional.
>
> So in other words, we become a CB service
> > if we fail to justify our existence just as much as if we lower
standards too
> > far.
> >
>
> Licensing a service loaded with people who really believe that
because
> they passed some "tests" which tested little except their ability to
> make word associations does little or nothing to keep the ARS from
> becoming another CB, with an attitude.

That's the problem, right there.
>
> > Now, CW is a fine pastime and I can't imagine why someone would
> > criticize those who enjoy working it,

Yet some folks do - at every opportunity. Why do you think that is?

> but we need the sort of techical
> > minds in the hobby who may not be interested enough in CW to
otherwise
> > get in. IMHO, of course. :)

They don't need to know CW to get a license. Just an HF license.

A decade ago, some folks predicted a technical revolution in ham radio would occur because of the dropping of the code test for the Tech. Didn't happen. Why should anyone think it will happen if all code testing disappears?
>
> So who said a single word about CW? This discussion is strictly about
> the lowered technical requirements, which by all accounts some persons
> of influence are working hard to see that they are reduced much further,
> in fact all the way to none (W5YI for one).

Yup.
>
73 de Jim, N2EY
--
FISTS #4360
BIT #0001

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1165. Re: LET'S ANALYZE HR 2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 18:11:01 UTC
From: glc1173@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001208131101.12540.00003134@ng-bg1.aol.com>
Source record: 62600
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Phil wrote:
>Sat back??? More like was held back. >The first ten years that
> I was "on the street" (mid-60s to >mid-70s) we did a LOT of CB
> enforcement....and then a new hierarchy >came to Washington and
> the field folks' water was cut off and we >could not get any
> enforcement cases through the pipe.

I'd put it another way.
"Mid-70s" was just when CB moved from being used by a fistful of
"commercial" users to - suddenly - seemingly being in every car.
At that point, no amount of budget money could keep up with the reality of
CB - for instance, that callsign requirements went simply because so few of the
so many users would use them.
No amount of FCC payroll could change CB from what it was as a result of the
trucker revolts of the mid-70s that popularized it - a very-informal two-way
radio service.
How a nationwide
trucker's strike can end Algore's coup fast

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Dissident news - plus immigration, gun rights, nationwide weather
Al Gore - in his own words
ALAMANCE INDEPENDENT:
official newspaper of the Vast Right Wing Conspiracy
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

1166. Re: Trucker Vacation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 18:24:23 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a311bee@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 62601
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Only DUMMIES or Earth Worshippin' Commies voted for gore, wether truckers
or 4 wheelers!!!
Dave!!!!!!

GLC1173 wrote in message <20001207234925.21294.00003414@ng-fd1.aol.com>...
Packrat quoted me:
How a
nationwide
trucker's strike can end Algore's coup fast

and replied:
>I thought unions were Democrat and urged >their members to vote as such.
>Wouldn't teamsters be a, what's that >word, union.

You're forgetting that much of the trucking industry is not unionized.
"Owner-operators" - yes, the men and women who drive their own tractors
who
first popularized CB - are not unionized. Many "company" drivers aren't
either
- even though they definitely are "labor."
Truckers have both the most to lose in Algore's coup attempt - because if
he
and his global-warming hangups win, diesel will soar to European price
levels
(and U.S. truckers are barely making it now, with huge numbers of repod
tractors glutting the market in turn hurting truck makers) - and a lot of
power, because if even 5% of truckers strike, that means manufacturers
cannot
count on adequate supplies of key parts (nor can they reliably ship
product).
How a
nationwide
trucker's strike can end Algore's coup fast
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Dissident news - plus immigration, gun rights, nationwide weather
Al Gore - in his own words
ALAMANCE INDEPENDENT:
official newspaper of the Vast Right Wing Conspiracy
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

1167. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 18:44:06 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90ra7aomf1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 62602
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

To remove any doubt, the remarks were made with my tongue firmly planted in my cheek. As a confirmed homebrewer I can assure you there are soldering iron burns on both the workbench and the carpet!

My present rig is a modified Heathkit VFO converted to transistor operation feeding an SB10 I assembled for use on a DX100 in the 60's. This drives a pair of 6146's which feed a pair of 4-400's (Both pieces homebrew) when I need a bit of a kick. My receiver is a purchased Collins 75A4 with an outboard DSP homebrew unit.

73 W4JLE
"David Little" <dalite01@sprynet.com> wrote in message
news:90pqnt$rf1$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> And here we have the essence of the subject of discussion
>
> Unless these comments are made tongue-in-cheek.
>
> If this is the prevailing view by those holding the top-rung of the
ladder,
> it becomes self-evident why those interested in taking the hobby to
greater
> heights are being restricted and the rest are suffering the consequences.
>
> David
> KD4NUE
>
>
> Bill Turner <w7ti@jps.net> wrote in message
> news:j6n03tcisdjjdjqaq3b5qqkppl3fd67umg@4ax.com...
> > On Thu, 7 Dec 2000 18:21:11 -0500, "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com>
> wrote:
> >
> > >Once we became extra class we no longer had to do such mundane tasks as
> > >soldering. these tasks are best relegated to those that have not
achieved
> > >our exalted status. We are gods of amateur radio!
> > ____
> >
> > Finally a comment that makes sense!!
> >
> > 73, Bill W7TI
>
>

1168. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 19:26:14 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7245-3A3135D6-8@storefull-125.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62604

Yea, I didn't say they were made up. For every isolated token cb bust, I can give you a ratio, currently at about 150 hams busted for every cber. I don't think it's sending much of a signal. After all, the hammies are being popped left and right, even Riley himself said complaints are down, which is why the enforcement log was scaled back to every two weeks. If it's meant to send a signal, the hammies don't know it judging by the actions taken by the feds. I respect your positon, as you are also aware of mine, I don't believe in being a jerk off on the air or off, hammie or no. The busts will go on, after repeated warnings. If one can't get his act together AFTER a warning (in some cases, multiple warnings) hammie, cber, whatever, then the stupidity factor comes into play and my opinion is they should be popped if they are causing harmful, intentional, or malicious interference that may be deemed a safety issue. See, but once again, not every one will suffer. Ever know one of those people that have too much money? Well, I do. We were hauling butt on a wilderness trip and he was driving well over the speed limit. Was it safe? No! Was it stupid on my behalf to ride with him? Maybe. But it was MY choice. I pointed to one of those signs that showed "speeding fines doubled in this area" and the fines were posted, and they weren't cheap. He said, and I quote, "I can afford that", and hammered down. A few busts are all that is gonna come, and only in certain areas. In some areas, like some mentioned, it's going to be a revenue enhancer until the court steps in and caps it. I totally and wholeheartedly agree with you, if one breaks the law, one should be willing to pay the piper, if it comes full circle. If you want to speed, be prepared to pay the fine. Nothing more, nothing less.

1169. Re: [FWD] Help! Talk to non-ham on my HT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 19:27:29 UTC
From: jhnwil876@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001208142729.15137.00001551@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Source record: 62603

>Subject: Re: [FWD] Help! Talk to non-ham on my HT?
>From: "The Packrat"

I can only speak about the British regulations, here if a ham wants to transmit legally on the Business bands known as PMR in the U.K then he must use a type accepted radio and so theoretically he could programme a GP300 to operate on the business band that he is licenced for and have some channels programmed for the ham band that he is also licenced for.

He cant legally use a Ham radio to transmitt on a business band because it is not type accepted however he is allowed to use any radio he wants or even to build one for operation on the Ham bands providing that he does not interfere with anyone else.

But I know that Hams often use their own radio's to use on the business band they are licenced for and police and other agencies often use Ham radio's to replace outdated equipment.

Regards,

John

1170. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 19:32:20 UTC
From: Bob <bob@bob.com>
Message-ID: <3A313744.517199BA@bob.com>
Source record: 62540

Now you're getting it. What did you intend to accomplish with your post? What was it? You know the camp is divided here....did you think *your* truths where going to change the minds of people around here?

Your comments are like going to a women's convention and shouting out, "Pro-life, Pro-life everybody!". Your comments accomplish nothing, change nothing, effect nothing...and serve only to piss people off who disagree with you and nothing more. Is that intelligent to start conversation like that?

I guess when you run Radio Shack equipment and only have your antenna 20 feet from the ground per regulation, there isn't much to talk about.

Please respond, beat the dead horse.

Bob.

aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:

What's your problem, Bob? You don't seem to

> like someone expressing views in support of legal CB operation.
>

1171. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 19:45:18 UTC
From: fingerbang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90rdoc$1h1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62606

That's right, we still have the right to speak just like
you. The difference between us to you, were here to learn
and advise on CB related issues. Legal or illegal, we have
the right to discuss this. YOU, on the other-hand only want
to cause hate & discontent between CB'ers & Hams.

FiNgErBaNg.....!!!!!!

In article <20001207194925.21453.00002245@ng-fl1.aol.com>,
n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> >
> >Last time I checked, the name of this group was "rec.radio.cb", not
> >"rec.radio.illegal".
> >
> >Aaron Voobner
>
> Exactly right again. These dweebs act like they have some rights, but
they have
> none. They are criminals with small brains who ignore the reality of
their
> idiotic actions.
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1172. Re: WHAT THE HELL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 19:46:24 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <90rdvi$aq88$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 62605

I cant say I understrand your post Mod, but I will agree Its only radio :)

KRS

Mod Squad <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message
news:G92Y5.19377$i4.61113@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com...
> It's all in fun thats why i don't upgrade been running 8pill for 10 years
> has you here i'm always laughing when i'm on the radio. G seven stop
showing
> your weakness stand tall and smile it's only radio. Seasons Greetings
> Aloha
>
>
> "G SEVEN" <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:90q79v$5roo$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> > Dr. when he says my 16 pill he is referring to a box of mine being used
on
> > the Islands. I personally don't run pills on a base, very dirty.
> >
> > KRS
> >
> >)======}||||||||||||||||||}>----------
<mailto:dr_kavorkian@hotmail.com>
> > wrote in message news:IRWX5.306$n52.85049@nnrp3.sbc.net...
> > > The only time I hear you beating California is late in the evening in
> the
> > > states. When it is dark in Cali you still have daylight. I am just
being
> > > honest. On an average you give my radio a 9. The guys in Cali give my
> > radio
> > > a 30 but there signal dies off long before yours does.
> > > "Mod Squad" <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:nvoX5.15028$i4.45866@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com...
> > > > I know how u feel your 16 pill on a 10 element beam can't deal with
my
> 8
> > > > pill mobile shame on the mess
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "G SEVEN" <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:90l153$3eq4$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > <typo> should have said,"gost roger."
> > > > >
> > > > > KRS
> > > > >

> > > > > Mod Squad <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:oPhX5.14856$i4.41892@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com...
> > > > > > I spend more time live on the superbowl getting my rogers
> something
> > > most
> > > > > of
> > > > > > you can't do. Aloha
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "TJ1072" <tj1072@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:20001205214029.10146.00002061@ng-md1.aol.com...
> > > > > > > What happened to all the good cb argueing out here on the ole
> > rec.cb
> > > > > > group? Or
> > > > > > > should we now call it the rec.hamgeek group? Whats with all
the
> > > stupid
> > > > > ass
> > > > > > ham
> > > > > > > crap? So the local police have authority with high power cb
> > > now....Big
> > > > > > frickin
> > > > > > > deal!!!!... Let these cops start arguin with guys like CD and
> Wild
> > > > Bill.
> > > > > > Now
> > > > > > > these are some REAL cb Defenders!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > 937...chicago...gettin off this maul so professor can talk!!!!
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1173. FS:TEXAS STAR DX3200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 20:08:13 UTC
From: izolgd111@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001208150813.28238.00000384@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 62607

ANYONE WANNA BUY A ALMOST ONLY 8 MONTHS OLD NEW TEXAS STAR DX 3200 LINEAR WITH
REMOTE AND FAN KIT PAID WELL OVER 2600.00 MAKE REASONABLE OFFERS IT WILL DO
3200 WATTS PLUS!!!
I NEED THE XMAS CASH.
SEE YA
EMAIL ME DIRECT!!

1174. Re: WHAT THE HELL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 20:11:52 UTC
From: Mod Squad <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <cgbY5.20210$i4.63202@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 62608

Thats good enough for me. G Seven I know that your a good man. I respect your work. Aloha

"G SEVEN" <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:90rdvi$aq88$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> I cant say I understrand your post Mod, but I will agree Its only radio :)
>
> KRS
>
> Mod Squad <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:G92Y5.19377$i4.61113@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com...
> > It's all in fun thats why i don't upgrade been running 8pill for 10 years
> > has you here i'm always laughing when i'm on the radio. G seven stop
> showing
> > your weakness stand tall and smile it's only radio. Seasons Greetings
> > Aloha
> >
> >
> > "G SEVEN" <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > news:90q79v$5roo$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> > > Dr. when he says my 16 pill he is referring to a box of mine being used
> on
> > > the Islands. I personally don't run pills on a base, very dirty.
> > >
> > > KRS
> > >
> > >)======}||||||||||||||||||}>----------
> <mailto:dr_kavorkian@hotmail.com>
> > > wrote in message news:IRWX5.306$n52.85049@nnrp3.sbc.net...
> > > > The only time I hear you beating California is late in the evening in
> > the
> > > > states. When it is dark in Cali you still have daylight. I am just
> being
> > > > honest. On an average you give my radio a 9. The guys in Cali give my
> > > radio
> > > > a 30 but there signal dies off long before yours does.
> > > > "Mod Squad" <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:nvoX5.15028$i4.45866@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com...
> > > > > I know how u feel your 16 pill on a 10 element beam can't deal with

> my
> > 8
> > > > > pill mobile shame on the mess
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > "G SEVEN" <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:90l153$3eq4$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > > <typo> should have said,"gost roger."
> > > > > >
> > > > > > KRS
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Mod Squad <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:oPhX5.14856$i4.41892@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com...
> > > > > > > I spend more time live on the superbowl getting my rogers
> > something
> > > > most
> > > > > > of
> > > > > > > you can't do. Aloha
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "TJ1072" <tj1072@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:20001205214029.10146.00002061@ng-md1.aol.com...
> > > > > > > What happened to all the good cb argueing out here on the
ole
> > > rec.cb
> > > > > > group? Or
> > > > > > > should we now call it the rec.hamgeek group? Whats with all
> the
> > > > stupid
> > > > > > ass
> > > > > > > ham
> > > > > > > crap? So the local police have authority with high power cb
> > > > now....Big
> > > > > > frickin
> > > > > > > deal!!!!... Let these cops start arguin with guys like CD
and
> > Wild
> > > > > Bill.
> > > > > > Now
> > > > > > > these are some REAL cb Defenders!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > 937...chicago...gettin off this maul so professor can
talk!!!!
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >

> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1175. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 20:20:44 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <90rfql$37g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62609
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

In article <90nsqm$5bn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <%5DX5.18621$sS2.367868@typhoon.austin.rr.com>,
> "Rick Gilliam" <tigwelder@austin.rr.com> wrote:
> > yea no shit...I had to take a 20 wpm cw test to get my extra class
> license
> > now you can get one with just 5 WPM why even bother that means
you
> just
> > have to learn the chatacters
>
> Which is "a knowledge of Morse" as required by ITU.

Exactly. And that's all it is - a basic knowledge.
>
> > and pass the written tests
>
> Gosh, a 5wpm test and a written exam -was- the original amateur exam
> back in 1918.

Yup - but the written test was somewhat different!

And in 1919 the test speed was doubled to 10 wpm.

> If it was good enough back then when morse was a valid
> means of encoding information, its more than good enough now.

When did Morse stop being "a valid means of encoding information"? From
what I hear and do on the ham bands, it's still in wide use and
quite "valid".

> Don't
> forget, youse guys whined and complained about the shift from spark to
> cw as well.

That shift happened more than 75 years ago. About 30 years before I was
even born.

And it happened voluntarily. Tube transmitters gave results that were
so much better than spark rigs of the same cost, and used up so much
less spectrum, that it was a done deal by 1924.

73 de Jim, N2EY
--
FISTS #4360
BIT #0001

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1176. Re: FS:TEXAS STAR DX3200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 20:28:34 UTC
From: Mod Squad <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <SvbY5.20211$i4.63471@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 62610

you paid to much

"IZOLGD111" <izolgd111@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001208150813.28238.00000384@ng-fe1.aol.com...
> ANYONE WANNA BUY A ALMOST ONLY 8 MONTHS OLD NEW TEXAS STAR DX 3200 LINEAR
WITH
> REMOTE AND FAN KIT PAID WELL OVER 2600.00 MAKE REASONABLE OFFERS IT WILL
DO
> 3200 WATTS PLUS!!!
> I NEED THE XMAS CASH.
> SEE YA
> EMAIL ME DIRECT!!
>

1177. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 20:30:01 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <90rgc0$3mp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62611
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

In article <ti023t8sgqaofg2ila6r8ddchriq5bsati@4ax.com>,
w7ti@jps.net wrote:
> On 8 Dec 2000 15:03:07 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
> > What is really being objected to is you guys insisting that the "top
> >rung" be dragged down to the "lowest level rung". If you can't see
what
> >that does to the the ARS then further discussion is probably futile.
> ____
>
> I do believe things were better in the old days when "rungs" didn't
exist like
> they do today.

When was that, Bill?

Since at least the end of WW2, there have been multiple amateur radio
license classes here in the USA. There have always been "rungs" - just
the number and their spacing has changed.

> IMO there should only be one license class. You should have to
> prove you know enough to get on the air and not cause QRM around the
world.
> Everything beyond that can be learn-as-you-go.

The result would be a license that was at least the equivalent of the
current Extra - as a first-and-only step.
>
> Unfortunately, a lot of hams like to view their extra class license
as some kind
> of exclusive club they belong to, one which requires hazing of the
unwashed
> masses in order to get in.

Some of them obviously do. They're mistaken, of course. No way is it
an "exclusive club" anybody who can pass the tests gets the license.
Heck, they even let ME in!

> Again, IMO, this is wrong, and discourages a lot of
> fine folks who would make good hams given a chance.

Bad attitudes exist on all sides. Good ones, too. I try to focus on the
latter.
>
> And yes, I do have one of those extras. Look it up.

>
Me too. Vintage 1970. Gawd, it doesn't seem that long ago.

73 de Jim, N2EY
-
FISTS #4360
BIT #0001

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1178. Re: Firestick "Marketing Voodo" or real range ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 21:00:30 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A314BEE.769571B5@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62612

Are you saying Firestiks work well of groundwave or DX? you didn't
specify. I had an opportunity today to test my installation, again.
I was heard in Texas, Kansas and the Mojave today on SSB, and the
bayou on AM from my mobile. I use KW-7's exclusively.

Skipp wrote:
>
> Hi Joe,
>
> Great to see you over here this news group. The antennas with loaded coils
> like you describ (fire stick's and valors) use the wire to make an
> electricly longer antenna for the physical size. By nature, a 3/4 or 5/8
> wave antenna will have more gain than a 1/4 wave of similar size.
>
> Yes, you should notice a little more gain out of an electricly larger
> antenna. Another thing to think about it the angle of radiation (the
> direction in which the majority of the signal leaves the antenna).
> Different types of antennas have different angles of departure for the
> majority of the signal. If your talking common ground wave (car to car),
> one type of antenna might be better than another. If your talking skywave
> (talking skip or dx operation), there are antenna which work better for
> that mode too.
>
> Fire Sticks work very well...
>
> cheers
> skipp@pilot.ucdavis.edu
>
> : Joe <joeamp@mindspring.com> wrote:
> : Can anyone confirm these "coilsticks" have more range than a standard base
> : or center loaded trunk mount cheap "O" properly grounded and tuned to near
> : zero SWR.
> : Or is all the hype "marketing Voodoo"
> : I am doing a installation for a buddy who just wants a setup for channel 19
> : with 4 -5 watts.

1179. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 21:01:09 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <90ri6l$860$0@208.207.71.141>
Source record: 62613
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Bill Turner wrote:
>
>
> Unfortunately, a lot of hams like to view their extra class license as some kind
> of exclusive club they belong to, one which requires hazing of the unwashed
> masses in order to get in. Again, IMO, this is wrong, and discourages a lot of
> fine folks who would make good hams given a chance.

I think its quite odd that I have held an Extra for over 30 years and
so far I have yet to meet a single Extra that fits your mold. OTOH, I
have met manyh, and been one, who have done everything reasonably
possible to help newcomers get into the hobby. Including starting up a
VE team, the only one in the region, and running it for eight years,
until it virtually licensed so many other hams who eventually started
their own teams and the ours became redundant, so it closed shop.And I
didn't just run a VE team, I operated under the philosophy that getting
a ham radio license should be no more difficult than necessary. But the
open question pools are a travesty indeed almost fraudulant. Why pretend
to test complex subject matter when no actual learning is required to
pass any of the tests?
The fact that I and some others know that radiotelegraph code remains a
viable and effective while simple, easy to implement mode of
communications which can be used most effectively within ham radio, even
though its use has been abandoned by other services, seems to be the
main sticking point. Anyone who supports code and any sort of code test
within ham radio is automatically a target. So be it.

Dick W0EX

1180. Re: Trucker Vacation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 21:14:28 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <UacY5.125660$U46.4214970@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 62614
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

It would seem that there are an awful lot of Dummies or Earth Worshippin' Commies in our country. Just look at the polls.

"Dave or Debby" wrote in message news:3a311bee@news.planetc.com...
Only DUMMIES or Earth Worshippin' Commies voted for gore, wether truckers or 4 wheelers!!!
Dave!!!!!!

GLC1173 wrote in message <20001207234925.21294.00003414@ng-fd1.aol.com>...
Packrat quoted me:
How a
nationwide
trucker's strike can end Algore's coup fast

and replied:
>I thought unions were Democrat and urged >their members to vote as such.
>Wouldn't teamsters be a, what's that >word, union.

You're forgetting that much of the trucking industry is not unionized. "Owner-operators" - yes, the men and women who drive their own tractors who
first popularized CB - are not unionized. Many "company" drivers aren't either
- even though they definitely are "labor."
Truckers have both the most to lose in Algore's coup attempt - because if he
and his global-warming hangups win, diesel will soar to European price levels
(and U.S. truckers are barely making it now, with huge numbers of repod tractors glutting the market in turn hurting truck makers) - and a lot of power, because if even 5% of truckers strike, that means manufacturers cannot
count on adequate supplies of key parts (nor can they reliably ship product).
How a
nationwide
trucker's strike can end Algore's coup fast
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Dissident news - plus immigration, gun rights, nationwide weather
Al Gore - in his own words
ALAMANCE INDEPENDENT:
official newspaper of the Vast Right Wing Conspiracy
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

1181. Re: Ham ...?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 21:22:57 UTC
From: bendowersagain@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001208162257.03987.00003300@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 62615

>Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:
>
>:> I've always wondered......where does the name "Ham" come from (as far as
>:> radio of course)?
>:>
>:> Does it "stand" for something?
>
>: It was a term of derision used among commercial ops in the same sense as
>: 'ham' actor. It beats another term current at the time, Plug, as in plug
>: horse.
>
>I doubt if that is true, as there were Hams before there were commercial
>operators.
>
>From all that I have read, the deriviation is unknown.
>
>--
>Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>
>
>
Where does the name Ham come from?
:Thigh cut especially of pork from the upper thigh region.
You know,the upper part near the butt end. Other wise the stinky end near the
pooper and pisser.
A name very fitting of the group it represents.

1182. Re: Dummy Loads - What liquid do you fill them with ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 21:35:20 UTC
From: MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A31557E.4C322C71@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62616

JR wrote:
> And just how did you measure this impedance so that you know is so deadly close to 50 ohms
> at 27 MHz?

With a VSWR bridge. Funny, when the load is exactly 50 Ohms, there is no deflection in the reverse direction.

> I know perfectly well how to series/parallel resistances/impedances to arrive at another
> resistance/impedance. I just wondered if you did. As far as basic electronics, my entire
> career has been in electronics/computers. I was probably working in electronics before you
> were born.

I will resist the temptation to pose a question to you that will embarrass you even further.

MC

1183. Re: dbi.....explination?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 21:42:32 UTC
From: MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A31572D.70E238A7@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62617

It looks like I may have opened up can of red wrigglers here. I think we are both saying basically the same thing. However, for the purpose of comparison I think it very important to compare antenna gains without any reference to ground proximity. As for HF, much of this is skywave anyway and the atmospheric conditions can, and do, have a much greater affect on signal strength than any antenna placement.

MC

tnom@mucks.net wrote:
>
> On Wed, 06 Dec 2000 01:24:54 GMT, MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >I'm no antenna expert, but dBi is not equal to a dipole in free space.
> >It is equal to an isotropic antenna. The definition of isotropic is
> >-Identical in all directions; invariant with respect to direction.- A
> >dipole in free space does not radiated equally in all directions under
> >any theoretical condition. A good example of an isotropic antenna is the
> >sun. (this is of course if you believe in the wave theory and not the
> >particle theory of light <grin>). I think we are all in agreement that
> >it radiates its energy equally in all directions.
> >
> >As for splitting dBd into different groups. This is a bunch of hogwash.
> >Antennas are measured in anechoic chambers which are, you guessed it,
> >equal to free space. There are no reflections and no reactive components
> >to skew results.
>
> I don't disagree with any thing you said, however, if you used a
> dipole for your reference antenna, and this reference was not in a
> anechoic chamber, but instead close enough to the ground as to
> cause ground effects, then how do you reference your simple test?
>
> If your test antenna showed a gain of 1.3db over your ground effect
> reference dipole then how do we describe this? One thing we do
> know is it would NOT be proper to give your test antenna a gain of
> 1.3db. The term 1.3db does not give you a reference. A antenna db
> figure without a reference is not proper. Is it proper to give your
> test antenna gain of 1.3dbd?????? 1.3dbd does reference a dipole.
> Furthermore we can not use 1.3dbi .You only have ONE proper choice,
> 1.3dbd.
>
> That is why using dbd instead of dbi can skew the numbers. A
> isotropic antenna by definition is a mathematical model that does
> not change. A dipole can either be in free space, or it can also be in

> close proximity to the ground. The simple term dbd does not
> distinguish between the two of them.

1184. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 21:49:58 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <t32luoqiv8c646@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62619

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:7245-3A3135D6-8@storefull-125.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Yea, I didn't say they were made up. For every isolated token cb bust,
> I can give you a ratio, currently at about 150 hams busted for every
> cber.

Where did you get that number? The FCC has the same number of field engineers to do Ham Radio enforcement as they do CB Radio. I would suspect the numbers to be about even. You may hear more about Hams getting busted because the rest of the Hams want to be assured that the FCC is keeping an eye on rogue operators. Remember we, Hams, have been BEGGING the FCC to do something about the small group of idiots we have on our bands, so the FCC makes it a point to publicize it more.

> I don't think it's sending much of a signal.

I guess you don't mine spending $7,000 on a fine I take it? I can think of better uses for the money than giving it to the FCC myself.

> After all, the
> hammies are being popped left and right, even Riley himself said
> complaints are down, which is why the enforcement log was scaled back to
> every two weeks.

That's good. Remember Hams asked for the actions, unlike the CB Radio group here. We don't like rule violators. Why, because we have a LOT to lose, spectrum, and our operating privileges. Not to be snotty about it, but Hams can and do operate modes you CBer's can't because you don't have the access to the spectrum to do it in. We can't afford to lose spectrum because some idiots can't follow the rules. For example the Hams in Australia just lost the bottom 10 MHz of their 70 cm band, and they may lose the top 10 MHz too. The 70 cm band is the lowest UHF band that has enough spectrum to run fast scan TV for example. http://www.icircuits.com/dats/dats.htm To do that one needs at least 4 to 6 MHz of bandwidth. Not only that, but here in the USA we have to share all of our bands above 148 MHz with other users. Hams are listed as secondary users on these bands so we have to watch how we operate.

> If it's meant to send a signal, the hammies don't know
> it judging by the actions taken by the feds.

Huh?! You just got done saying that FCC Ham enforcement activies are going down, so it has sent a signal. Clean up your act, or get busted.

> I respect your positon, as
> you are also aware of mine, I don't believe in being a jerk off on the
> air or off, hammie or no.

One can be operating legal, and still be a jerk, while another can be a fine fellow and be operating illegaly as you can get.

> The busts will go on, after repeated warnings.

The FCC people are not total jerks, but some times they feel they need to set an example. Some of the FCC enforcement actions posted by N8 point that out.

> If one can't get his act together AFTER a warning (in some
> cases, multiple warnings) hammie, cber, whatever, then the stupidity
> factor comes into play and my opinion is they should be popped if they
> are causing harmful, intentional, or malicious interference that may be
> deemed a safety issue.

Ah, now we get in to another area here. It all depends one who you ask if it is one of the above items. So for all the activity that can be collectively called illegal operations, whose point of view matters when that FCC letter comes in the mail? Yours or the FCC? Do you think they really care if you can "prove" nobody was harmed by the illegal operations? If CBers want addtional spectrum they would do themselfs good by cleaning up the band. Right now if I was at the FCC and was asked about increasing the 11m band for more channels how would you justifiy it, given the current mess? Why would I want to create a bigger problem than I already have?

> See, but once again, not every one will suffer.

Life is not perfect.

> Ever know one of those people that have too much money? Well, I do. We
> were hauling butt on a wilderness trip and he was driving well over the
> speed limit. Was it safe? No! Was it stupid on my behalf to ride with
> him? Maybe. But it was MY choice. I pointed to one of those signs that
> showed "speeding fines doubled in this area" and the fines were posted,
> and they weren't cheap. He said, and I quote, "I can afford that", and
> hammered down.

Can he afford a $7,000 speeding ticket? Some CBer's get hit with even more for their "speeding" operations.

> A few busts are all that is gonna come, and only in
> certain areas.

That is a fool's view - that nothing is going to change. If life has any lessons at all you will discover that things NEVER stay the same. At some point CB Radio enforcement will be stepped up. The bill just signed in to law proves that. I am not expecting a great deal to change with that bill, but you can see the wind has changed direction, and a storm may be on the way. One that some on this news group will look back on, and wished they had seen it coming.

> In some areas, like some mentioned, it's going to be a
> revenue enhancer until the court steps in and caps it.

As long as they law is being enforced fairly there is little to no legal reason to stop it.

> I totally and
> wholeheartedly agree with you, if one breaks the law, one should be
> willing to pay the piper, if it comes full circle. If you want to speed,
> be prepared to pay the fine. Nothing more, nothing less.

Then it would be a very interesting question to ask the news group, and see how many could careless about paying a big FCC fine along the lines of $7,000 to as much as $20,000. I am not talking about going to court to fight it and so on, just paying the fine, and shutting up. I doubt many even have given it, the fines, a thought.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

1185. Re: ...duh Dipole Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 21:50:35 UTC
From: MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A315907.67EB8E4@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62618

I think you are splitting hairs, Gary. A dipole is considered to be a simple, half-wave resonant antenna. Extending this past the ideal length is considered a zepp design. It raises the feedpoint impedance and introduces reactive component. It is hardly naturally resonant.

MC

oh8mfh wrote:
>
> Dear Jesus, a dipole is not only half-wave - it can be full-wave, 3/4 and so
> on. Or did I misunderstand you? 73 Gary

1186. Re: Firestick "Marketing Voodo" or real range ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 21:52:23 UTC
From: methusaleh11@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90rl6m$7q0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62624

In article <3A314BEE.769571B5@hotmail.com>,
"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Are you saying Firestiks work well of groundwave or DX? you didn't
> specify. I had an opportunity today to test my installation, again.
> I was heard in Texas, Kansas and the Mojave today on SSB, and the
> bayou on AM from my mobile. I use KW-7's exclusively.
>

How much power were you running?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1187. Re: BIG RADIO FOR SALE PUTS OUT TO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 21:55:41 UTC
From: MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A315A42.46F8A9E@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62620

Using an FT-1000 for CB radio is akin to using a Lamborghini to deliver milk.

elmerpee@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> Yaesu FT-1000 HF/CW,SSB,AM,FM and CB Bands
> Clean Like New 150 wats output digital readout
> Blows Smoke and Kicks Ass with Big D-104 mikephone
> $5000.00 shipped
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1188. Re: Davis RF 50OHM LOW LOSS 9913 EQUIV COAX?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 21:57:11 UTC
From: MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A315A9D.639CD704@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62621

This is exactly why I recommend Times Microwave LMR-400 to anyone considering Belden 9913. It's 100% solid dielectric and takes all of the same connectors. Price is generally about the same.

MC

Alan Martin wrote:
>
> Anyone familiar with this coax? My swr recently jumped from 1 to just
> over 2. I think some moisture might have gotten in and since its only
> about 20 degrees out side i figure it might have frozen. Does this coax
> have a history of this or what. Any other Ideas would be welcome.
>
> AL

1189. More powerful than HR 2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 21:57:42 UTC
From: Curious One <curious@bigpill.com>
Message-ID: <HPcY5.182$LZ3.52203@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 62622

HR 2510!!!!!!!!!!!!!

216 on the left coast said that.

1190. Re: Ham ...?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 21:59:12 UTC
From: MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A315B16.1F17B683@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62623

I -don't- think it is an acronym for -Handsome Articulate & Masculine-.

:-) MC

Ken wrote:
>
> I've always wondered......where does the name "Ham" come from (as far as
> radio of course)?
>
> Does it "stand" for something?
>
> Ken

1191. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 21:59:35 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90rlk3$871$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62625

In article <21791-3A31047B-35@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net>,
twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
> Geez, Leland, we can agree to disagree without problems, but if you
> start rolling in feces, the inevitable outcome is that you will stink.
> I'd watch who I quote if I were you. It took awhile for you to even
get
> any positive attention when you first came here because of the way you
> came off. Did you forget? You had a small success in removing your
foot
> from your mouth once, is it that hard to keep it out?

This is exactly what I'm talking about.

A licensed amateur being "censured" and "threatened" by an anonymous self-proclaimed *illegal because his opinion too strongly upholds the legal aspects of CB? Outrageous!

If someone disagrees, why should they pretend otherwise? Why should a person avoid saying anything that would make the illegal operators feel threatened or uncomfortable?

Leland; where's your self respect? You NEVER need to apologize for being an amateur or supporting legal behavior.

*I don't know what else to refer to you as, Twistedhead. You do in fact cheerfully support illegal CB operation.

Very sincerely,
Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1192. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 22:12:26 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90rmc5$8pu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62626

In article <3A313744.517199BA@bob.com>,
Bob <bob@bob.com> wrote:
> Now you're getting it. What did you intend to accomplish with your
>post?
> What was it?

Well Bob, I intended to express my opinion, pro-legal CB. Perhaps you
feel that oughtn't be allowed?

>You know the camp is divided here....did you think *your*
> truths where going to change the minds of people around here?

No. But perhaps my opinions might balance some of the more outlandish
"run 5000 watts, use any frequency" attitudes that are so popular here.

> Your comments are like going to a women's convention and shouting out,
> "Pro-life, Pro-life everybody!". Your comments accomplish nothing,
>change
> nothing, effect nothing...and serve only to piss people off who
>disagree
> with you and nothing more. Is that intelligent to start conversation
>like
> that?

You know Bob, I'm quite certain there are many issues that you support.
Ones that you would willingly stand on the street corner with a picket
sign and shout your little heart out about if given half a chance, so
let's not embarass ourselves with that line of argument.

> I guess when you run Radio Shack equipment and only have your antenna
>20
> feet from the ground per regulation, there isn't much to talk about.

Bob, it sounds like you are attracted by the thrill of doing
something illegal. Driving 25mph in a 25mph zone must be agony for you.
Sorry.

>
> Please respond, beat the dead horse.
>
> Bob.

The horse is quite alive, Bob.

Sincerely,
Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1193. Re: ...duh Dipole Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 22:29:34 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A3150B5.3ECFF3CB@some.net>
Source record: 62627

I think you are splitting hairs. A dipole antenna is any straight radiator usually fed at the center. I can be any length, half wave, 3/4 wave, full wave or any other length. The half wave is most commonly used as the feed point matches approx 50 ohms and can be used with 50 ohm coax without any other matching device. So not being a half wave length does not mean it is no longer a dipole.

MC 10kW Jesus wrote:

> I think you are splitting hairs, Gary. A dipole is considered to be a
> simple, half-wave resonant antenna. Extending this past the ideal length
> is considered a zepp design. It raises the feedpoint impedance and
> introduces reactive component. It is hardly naturally resonant.
>
> MC
>
> oh8mfh wrote:
> >
> > Dear Jesus, a dipole is not only half-wave - it can be full-wave, 3/4 and so
> > on. Or did I misunderstand you? 73 Gary

1194. Re: Firestick "Marketing Voodo" or real range ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 22:33:06 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3161A2.46E5943B@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62628

Power? A KW-7 is only good for 750 watts PEP.
No superbowl station here.

According to the crybabies on here, you need several K's to talk
across the street! <g> I wish I had a big radio!

1195. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 22:35:08 UTC
From: Major Tom <ground@control.com>
Message-ID: <wmdY5.214$La3.4998@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 62629

aaron how is your 40 channels unusable what exactly is happening . What
state are you in?
Thanks
<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:90qbga$5cc$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <20001208014600.02515.00003293@ng-cc1.aol.com>,
> nick250@aol.com (Nick250) wrote:
> > Aaron, it seems to me that the whining, juvenile posts about HR2346 on
> this
> > newsgroup are equally divided between hammies and cbers. However, the
> cbers
> > who post in this newsgroup no more represent the thinking of the
> general cber
> > user population than the hammies who post here represent the ham user
> community
> > as a whole. Therefore its best not to take either side too seriously.
> Its
> > mostly a lot of hot air, dick waving and trolling.
> >
> > Nick
>
> Nick,
>
> I agree with you wholeheartedly in principle. However there still
> remains the fact that my local 40 channels are mostly unusable due to
> the shenanigans of CB miscreants whose attitude and methods I see
> refelected and encouraged by many of the posters here.
>
> If it weren't for that, I couldn't care less.
>
> Aaron Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1196. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 22:58:04 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90rp1p$b38$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62632

"Major Tom" ground@control.com wrote:

>aaron how is your 40 channels unusable what exactly is happening . What
>state are you in?
>Thanks

Tom, I am in So. Cal. When the splatter from the "superbowl" channels is not making the band unusable, the rude and inconsiderate behavior of various overpowered local "channel masters", foul-mouthed teenagers, music and sound effect players, and other anonymous jammers renders the 40 channels unusable for any normal conversation. (And yes, this is after the "skip" has subsided for the day).

What irks me are those who say "if you don't like it, leave". As if, rather than stand up for what one believes in, one must succumb to the will of idiots.

Extremely sincerely,
Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1197. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 23:01:08 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001208180108.07617.00004287@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 62630

> twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
>> Geez, Leland, we can agree to disagree without problems, but if you
>> start rolling in feces, the inevitable outcome is that you will stink.
>> I'd watch who I quote if I were you

>
>A licensed amateur being "censured" and "threatened" by an anonymous
>self-proclaimed *illegal because his opinion too strongly upholds the
>legal aspects of CB? Outrageous!
>

Exactly...but I don't mind. It shows the generally low class and low mentality
of the illegals, thus proving my points far beyond my ability to do so.

1198. Re: BIG RADIO FOR SALE PUTS OUT TO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 23:02:54 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001208180254.07617.00004288@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 62631

>Using an FT-1000 for CB radio is akin to using a Lamborghini to deliver
>milk.
>
>elmerpee@my-deja.com wrote:
>>
>> Yaesu FT-1000 HF/CW,SSB,AM,FM and CB Bands
>> Clean Like New 150 wats output digital readout
>> Blows Smoke and Kicks Ass with Big D-104 mikephone
>> $5000.00 shipped
>>

they just wanna think they are hot stuff, when in fact they are pathetic
wannabees and neverwillbees.

1199. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 23:10:37 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001208181037.07617.00004292@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 62633

>Hams, have been BEGGING the FCC to do something about the small group of idiots we have on our bands, so the FCC
>makes it a point to publicize it more.

Exactly. The illegal CB wonks are cockroaches, and thus fear light.

Weak post twisiekins, go back to personal attacks. That post of yours<below> was dumb. At least some of the others were dumb AND funny.

Twistie, taking a break from a 3 way circlejerk with Scottiekins and tnom, dribbled forth these words, which only emphasize the fact of his profound hydrocephalicism:

>For every isolated token cb bust,
>> I can give you a ratio, currently at about 150 hams busted for every
>> cber.

Cbers are afraid of busts, Legal hams welcome them. Period. Poor babies, these CB wannabees.

1200. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 23:14:53 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <NXdY5.125716$U46.4221199@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 62634

This is the exact reason every radio now comes factory direct, instead of an option, with an off switch.

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:90rp1p$b38$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
"Major Tom" ground@control.com wrote:

>aaron how is your 40 channels unusable what exactly is happening . What
>state are you in?
>Thanks

Tom, I am in So. Cal. When the splatter from the "superbowl" channels is not making the band unusable, the rude and inconsiderate behavior of various overpowered local "channel masters", foul-mouthed teenagers, music and sound effect players, and other anonymous jammers renders the 40 channels unusable for any normal conversation. (And yes, this is after the "skip" has subsided for the day).

What irks me are those who say "if you don't like it, leave". As if, rather than stand up for what one believes in, one must succumb to the will of idiots.

Extremely sincerely,
Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1201. LAZER 400 6 element Beam 4 sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 23:15:31 UTC
From: big124john@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001208181531.14991.00000969@ng-xc1.aol.com>
Source record: 62635

Hello All,

I have a Lazer 400 6 element beam, partially put together but then decided it was too big for my application, antenna has NEVER BEEN USED. I think I paid around $479.99 plus shipping for it approximately 6 months ago from Copper Electronics, would like to get $350 plus shipping or consider trading for a very nice Cobra 2000GTL or possibly a Yaesu FT101 series rig. I live in Southeast Washington State, would consider delivering within a 200 mile range, or can ship if needed. These antennas are similar to the Moonraker but much larger (31 foot boom) and built more sturdy. Please feel free to email with questions.

Thanks for reading,

John

1202. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 23:28:47 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90rqr9cil1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62638

> "Major Tom" ground@control.com wrote:
>
> >aaron how is your 40 channels unusable what exactly is happening .
What
> >state are you in?
> >Thanks
>
> Tom, I am in So. Cal. When the splatter from the "superbowl" channels
is
> not making the band unusable, the rude and inconsiderate behavior of
> various overpowered local "channel masters", foul-mouthed teenagers,
> music and sound effect players, and other anonymous jammers renders
the
> 40 channels unusable for any normal conversation. (And yes, this is
> after the "skip" has subsided for the day).
>
> What irks me are those who say "if you don't like it, leave". As if,
> rather than stand up for what one believes in, one must succumb to the
> will of idiots.
>
> Extremely sincerely,
> Aaron Voobner

"The Packrat" spam@n7uic.net replied:

>This is the exact reason every radio now comes factory direct, instead
>of an
>option, with an off switch.

So if a gang moved onto your front porch, you'd stop using the porch
rather than call the police.

Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1203. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 23:31:06 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001208183106.07611.00004116@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 62636

>This is the exact reason every radio now comes factory direct, instead of an
>option, with an off switch.
>

Too bad they don't come with an anti-moron switch.

1204. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 23:31:44 UTC
From: glc1173@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001208183144.14835.00001048@ng-xc1.aol.com>
Source record: 62637
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Aaron wrote:
>Tom, I am in So. Cal. When the splatter >from the "superbowl" channels is
>not making the band unusable, the rude >and inconsiderate behavior of
>various overpowered local "channel >masters", foul-mouthed teenagers,
>music and sound effect players, and other >anonymous jammers renders the
>40 channels unusable for any normal >conversation.

I suspect California - particularly its big urban areas - is extremely
atypical of CB.
I'm both a ham and a CBer - and here in North Carolina, Ch. 19 is rather
inactive. It's much more active in Virginia as you approach D.C. - but neither
there nor here does it have practically any profanity. Nor does it have
practically any kids on it, neither there nor here.
As a ham, I know Southern California has long had troubles within its
ham-radio licensees' ham operations. Compared to the courteous and helpful
attitudes here, I think Southern California's CB - and ham - problems are much
more a comment on the local culture than on either CB or ham radio.
N4GSV,
Matt
How a nationwide
trucker's strike can end Algore's coup attempt
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Dissident news - plus immigration, gun rights, nationwide weather
Al Gore - in his own words
ALAMANCE INDEPENDENT:
official newspaper of the Vast Right Wing Conspiracy
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

1205. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 23:50:03 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90rs3a$dh4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62640
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

GLC1173 wrote:
<>

Thank you Matt. I take you at your word that your area does not have a problem with CB. But that doesn't change the fact that mine does. That's why I strongly support HR2346, eBay restrictions, and the shutting down of illegal amplifier manufacturers.

Most sincerely,
Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1206. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-08 23:53:04 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27918-3A317460-26@storefull-127.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62643

You don't seem to be paying attention, Leland. The ratio was a number I believe can be confirmed by contacting the FCC directly and asking them the disciplinary rate of hams vs. cbers in any given year. It is probably greater than that. They go after cbers in the future, it may change.
I realize this is hard for you, but pay attention..real close.. I never said I was willing to pay any damn fine. If I choose to operate illegally, then I am taking the chance. By taking the chance, I would therefore agree to a fine if I should get popped for such an act. If you can't do the time....and the law pertaining to cb is taken just as likely as the speed limits..a gamble of sorts. IF I choose to operate.
I'm not one of these guys (but you probably are) who tries and talks his way out of a speeding ticket. You pull me over, I sign my ticket and go on my way. If you can't distinguish between the lines, move on.
Secondly, everything you said about other bands, now sit up pay attention again, I DON"T CARE! They have no bearing in my life unless I am just scanning broad spectrum on auto. for whatever happens to come up. I don't waste my time, for you it may not be, for me, it don't apply so what you said got chucked in the outhouse.
Thirdly, the wind has what? The only storm heading your way Leland is the booming thunder and crackling amps dropping the maul on you when you get out on the cb band preaching!
Fourth, I don't speak for the group, just myself.
Fifth, I didn't ask for more spectrum. This has what to do with the topic?
And lastly, since you continue to refer to trolls to clarify, validate, lend credibility, and back up your position, it speaks for itself.

1207. Re: Inspection authority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 00:00:38 UTC
From: Rich Myer <n8fgb@bignet.net>
Message-ID: <t32td5houjaf9f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62639

Such a refusal may lead to revocation of a license, maximum monetary
forfeiture, or other Commission sanctions.
Maximum monertary forfiture.solds like second mortgage to me
"picloud" <A@A.com> wrote in message
news:t30f6ii61qlnf5@corp.supernews.com...
> I copied this for the good of the ng:
>
> Q: What happens if I do not allow the FCC agent to inspect my equipment?
>
>
> A: Failure to allow inspection forecloses the opportunity to resolve the
> problem. Thus, refusal to allow inspection is a serious challenge to the
> Commission's authority to inspect radio stations and is a violation of the
> Rules. Such a refusal may lead to revocation of a license, maximum
monetary
> forfeiture, or other Commission sanctions.
>
> So, if you have a CB transmitter you could lose your license, hmmm...
sounds
> like a HAM problem to me!
>
>
> --
> Cheers,
>
> piCloud
>
>

1208. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 00:02:13 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27919-3A317685-18@storefull-127.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62644

Since you volunteered yourself as Mr. Scott's advocated mouthpiece, no one is "threatening' anyone. Is it my fault you came to a battle of wits unprepared and feel inferior? No. It isn't. What would you expect if I was to come to the hammie groups and start preaching about this or that? The topic is cb. Period. There are all kinds of laws, don't mean we shouldn't talk about them. You are the type that wants "censorship", not I sir. If it isn't what is your definition of legal, you seem to have a problem with that. Here's a solution. A child safety program that disallows users to enter what may be offensive or questionable dialogue. Or maybe not read it? Now why didn't you think of that?

1209. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 00:05:55 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A317763.CA73DE25@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62641

Every post where you reference me just goes to show your
jealousy of CB'ers that can go with the flow. Your "lowly
tech" ticket is nothing more then licensed CB.

Stop crying, start buying. Maybe you can shut down 11 meters.

Where should I ship your new pocket protector? The old
one is letting the ink drip down your shirt.

1210. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 00:13:10 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A317916.54DAC787@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62642

aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:

> That's why I strongly support the
> shutting down of illegal amplifier manufacturers.

And all that will do is make unskilled and unknowing people
build them in their basement. Those amps will be even dirtier
then the ones you're bitching about now, because they won't
understand the basic concepts of amplifier design. They will
blindly use diagrams found on the internet and build for maximum
dead key with no regard to harmonics, purity and stability.

1211. pwr48n cbpacket bbs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 00:33:31 UTC
From: cbpwr@huleboer.dk
Message-ID: <90rukr$fc6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62645

Hello!

Visit PWR48N CB-Packet-Radio BBS at the web:

pwr48n.terrashare.com

Greetingz from Sysop.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1212. Re: FS:TEXAS STAR DX3200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 00:43:13 UTC
From: mobile51@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001208194313.01975.00010291@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 62646

you paid to much

"IZOLGD111" <izolgd111@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001208150813.28238.00000384@ng-fe1.aol.com...
> ANYONE WANNA BUY A ALMOST ONLY 8 MONTHS OLD NEW TEXAS STAR DX 3200 LINEAR
WITH
> REMOTE AND FAN KIT PAID WELL OVER 2600.00 MAKE REASONABLE OFFERS IT WILL
DO
> 3200 WATTS PLUS!!!

No, he paid WAY TOO MUCH.. 1300 would have been too much.

1213. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 01:03:06 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <exfY5.11305$Ei1.765178@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 62647

Despite the inevitable flames, there are a few truths to keep in mind. True, part 15 devices must accept interference - but I'd like to share an experience I had. I did work for a large corporation doing EMC (electromagnetic compatibility) testing on manufactured equipment. These were also sold in Europe; due to this, they had to be compliant with European standards to receive CE marking. One test I ran subjected the equipment to RF radiation. The device under test could not have any errors, nor any change in operation, when subjected to an RF field of 3 V/M. We usually tested at 6 V/M since the frequency skips were larger and the test would complete faster. Should it fail, the devices would be retested at 3 V/M. There are no such rules for devices in the United States. To put 3 V/M in perspective, a cell phone can hit you with close to 60 V/M when you have it in use. A dipole on your roof may put your entire house in a range of 2 to 30 V/M or so depending upon how far away in the house you are (with a legal 5 watt CB). The safety limit is around 60 V/M so you are very compliant. Would you believe we BLEW the monitor up at 3 V/M??? It started tearing at 6 V/M around 220 MHz and we retested at 3 V/M - and the monitor started tearing and then went kaput around the same place. A different monitor solved the problem. The point is, the stuff they sell in the US is often not very immune to RF interference. We can argue until the cows come home, but when Aunt Tilly and Uncle Henry start complaining again and again ... I honestly don't know how anyone facing this problem will find the law to be.

Best of 73s from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:t31tcue1l3au4c@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Major Tom" <ground@control.com> wrote in message
> news:NFUX5.88$2Y.21012@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
> > I think the general public doesnt have a clue about HR2346 . The only
> people
> > affected will be people who are suffering from interference whether it
is
> > from a illegal or legal station there complaints may be heard by a
police
> > officer . Many of the so called effected devices fall under part 15 they
> > must accept undesirable operation. End of Story.
>
> Not really. What you said is mostly true only if after the FCC
investigates
> and finds the design of the Part 15 device is crappy. Now if the Part 15
> device is well designed, for example with good filtering etc., then the

> problem becomes more interesting. In the case of Ham Radio's Part 97 rules
> the Amateur station "may be" restricted from using the frequencies causing
> the problem completely, and or operation on those frequencies may be
> restricted between certain hours in the evening and on the weekend. The
case
> might just be the Part 15 device is so close to the transmitter it will
> screw up unless it was in a Lead box. So, in the interest of keeping the
> "peace" the FCC can modify the transmitting station's operation, even if
the
> transmitting station's equipment meets all of the FCC's technical
standards!
>
> In the case of a CB operator operating on illegal frequencies, power
levels,
> and modes will end up drawing attention to themselves, and then finally
> being noticed by the FCC. So just because it is a Part 15 device that is
> experiencing a problem, with RFI, does not automatically mean that no
> investigation will take place. So the illegal operator will still have to
be
> looking over their shoulder wondering if, or when that knock on the door
> will come, and a FCC field engineer wants to inspect your station.
>
> If you take the time to read some of the FCC enforcement postings by
N8WWM
> you will discover that the FCC does not need a warrant to inspect your
> station at any time, it is in the rules, and applies to everyone,
including
> Hams. One CBer discovered this the hard way when he refused to let the FCC
> field engineer inside to look at his equipment. He got a $7,000 fine for
his
> refusal. Just think, for a little bit of effort to get a General class Ham
> license that same $7,000 could have paid for a nice new FT-1000
> top-of-the-line Yaesu H.F. rig, full legal limit H.F. amplifier, likely a
> tower, and H.F. antennas too. Instead it ended up in the FCC's pocket.
What
> a waste of money, paying a fine that could have been so easily avoided if
he
> was interested in working on H.F., particularly DX. And being a "licensed"
> Ham the RFI complaint likely would have caused him little to no problem
with
> the FCC too.
>
> The intend behind HR2346 is primarily of handling RFI issues caused by CB
> radio operations being blamed on Ham Radio operators. As most of the
posters
> on this news group have already guessed the local LEO's will not be
pulling
> people over just because there is a big antenna on the vehicle. On the
other
> hand if one is pulled over for other reasons, and the cop is in a bad

mood,
> you may have your radio equipment checked. If anyone on the road will have
a
> problem I suspect it will be the over-the-road truck drivers. These people
> have to pull in to weight stations on the highway. You ask these guys and
> they will tell you all about the "safety" inspections they go through. Now
> the local cop at the weight station can check the driver's radio equipment
> too.
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
>

1214. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 01:11:19 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90s0rj$h5g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62399

In article <27919-3A317685-18@storefull-127.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
> Since you volunteered yourself as Mr. Scott's advocated mouthpiece,
no
> one is "threatening' anyone. Is it my fault you came to a battle of
wits
> unprepared and feel inferior? No. It isn't. What would you expect if I
> was to come to the hammie groups and start preaching about this or
that?
> The topic is cb. Period. There are all kinds of laws, don't mean we
> shouldn't talk about them. You are the type that wants "censorship",
not
> I sir. If it isn't what is your definition of legal, you seem to have
a
> problem with that. Here's a solution. A child safety program that
> disallows users to enter what may be offensive or questionable
dialogue.
> Or maybe not read it? Now why didn't you think of that?
>

Mr. Twistedhead,

In point of fact, I *choose* to read your comments and I *choose* to
respond. And you, sir, are quite wrong to suggest that I (or anyone
else) should keep his opinions off this newsgroup because they do not
fit with your desire to promote a rosy view of lawless radio operation.

I believe I have a legitimate place in this discussion. Expressing
opinions that conflict with your (dare I say, batty) views is *not*
"preaching". It is disagreeing. You have every opportunity to go to the
ham groups and disagree all you want with the opinions you find
expressed there. No one will stop you, or even attempt to stop you --
unlike this group.

Sincerely,
Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1215. Joke of da Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 01:17:57 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001208201757.05351.00001045@nso-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 62398

There are several men sitting around in the locker room of a private club
after exercising. Suddenly a cell phone on one of the benches rings.
One
of the men picks it up, and the following conversation ensues:
- "Hello?"
- "Honey, it's me. Are you at the club?"
- "Yes."
- "Great! I am at the mall two blocks from where you are. I just saw a
beautiful
mink coat. It's absolutely gorgeous!! Can I buy it?"
- "What's the price?"
- "Only $1,500.00."
- "Well, OK, go ahead and get it, if you like it that much..."
- "Ahhh, and I also stopped by the Mercedes dealership and saw the
2001models. I saw one I really liked. I spoke with the salesman, and he
gave me a really good price...and since we need to exchange the BMW that
we
bought last year..."
- "What price did he quote you?"
- "Only $60,000..."
- "OK, but for that price I want it with all the options."
- "Great! But before we hang up, something else..."
- "What?"
- "It might look like a lot, but I was reconciling your bank account
and...I
stopped by the real estate agent this morning and saw the house we had
looked at last year. It's on sale!! Remember? The one with a pool,
English Garden, acre of park area, beachfront property..."
- "How much are they asking?"
- "Only $450,000 - a magnificent price...and I see that we have that much
in
the bank to cover..."
- "Well, then go ahead and buy it, but just bid $420,000. OK?"
- "OK, sweetie...Thanks! I'll see you later!! I love you!!!"
- "Bye...I do too..."
The man hangs up, closes the phone's flap, and raises his hand while
holding
the phone and asks to all those present:
- "Does anyone know who this phone belongs to?"

Have a Nice Day

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

1216. Joke of da Day #3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 01:32:44 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001208203244.05351.00001046@nso-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 62400

<< Subject: Fw: For The Ladies

A man and a woman are riding next to each other in
first class on a plane.

The woman sneezes, then takes a tissue and gently
wipes it between her legs.

The man isn't sure he saw what she did, and decides
he is probably hallucinating.

A few minutes pass. The woman sneezes again. She
takes a tissue and gently wipes it between her legs.

The man is about to go nuts. He can't believe
that he's seeing what he's seeing.

A few more minutes pass. The woman sneezes yet
again. She takes a tissue and gently wipes it between her
legs yet again.

The man has finally had all he can handle.

He turns to the woman and says, "Three times you've sneezed,
and three times you've taken a tissue and wiped it between
your legs! What kind of signals are you sending me, or are
you just trying to drive me crazy?"

The woman replies, "I am sorry to have disturbed you, sir.
I have a rare condition such that when I sneeze, I have an orgasm."

The man, now feeling badly, says, "Oh, I'm sorry. What are you
taking for it?"

The woman looks at him and says, "Pepper."

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

1217. Joke of da Day #2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 01:32:47 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001208203247.05351.00001047@nso-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 62401

<< Subject: Fwd: Black for 5 Minutes(what all white people need)
>
>
>
> Subject: Fwd: Black for 5 Minutes
>
> A little white boy was watching his mother in
> the
> kitchen making a
> chocolate cake from scratch. While the mother
> had
> ; her head turned, the
> little white boy went to the table, dipped both
> ; hands in the chocolate
> ;frosting and covered his face with it. The
> mother
> turned around to see
> what the boy was doing and said "Boy, what the
> hell are you doing?" The
> ;son replied "Look, Mama! I'm black!!!" The
> mother became enraged and
> ;slapped the crap out of her son. She then said
> "Boy, go show your father
> what you've done!" The boy then walked into
> the
> ; den where his father was
> ;reading and said "Look Daddy! I'm black!!!"
> The
> father put his magazine
> down and had a very puzzled look on his face
> from
> seeing the chocolate on
> ;the boy's face. The father said "Come here,
> boy!"
> The boy came to him
> ;and the father smacked his son across his head.
> The father angrily said
> Now go show your grandpa what you've done!!"
> The
> boy then slowly walked
> ;to his grandpa who was on the porch and said
> "Um..Grandpa. Look what I
> ;did. I'm black now" The grandfather said
> gruffly

> "Come here, boy!? The
> grandfather took the boy over his knee and
> proceeded to spank him.
> That'll teach you! Now go back in the kitchen
> with your mama!!" The boy
> ;walks back in the kitchen and the mother said
> "I
> hope you've learned your
> ;lesson, young man!!" The boy says with a scowl
> on
> his face "Heck yeah!
> ;I've been black for 5 minutes and I hate you
> white
> muthafuckers already!"

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

1218. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 01:43:11 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001208204311.05351.00001048@nso-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 62402

In article <3A317916.54DAC787@hotmail.com>, "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)"
<upplus@hotmail.com> writes:

>> That's why I strongly support the
>> shutting down of illegal amplifier manufacturers.
>
>And all that will do is make unskilled and unknowing people
>build them in their basement. Those amps will be even dirtier
>then the ones you're bitching about now, because they won't
>understand the basic concepts of amplifier design. They will
>blindly use diagrams found on the internet and build for maximum
>dead key with no regard to harmonics, purity and stability.

So very TRUE!!!!
It would actually be worse!!!!!!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

1219. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 01:47:39 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A318F3B.27CDE4E8@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62403

COLD as ICE wrote:

> So very TRUE!!!!
> It would actually be worse!!!!!!

Would that be called, "just desserts?"

1220. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 02:00:01 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90s3mvjae1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62404

In article <3A317916.54DAC787@hotmail.com>,
"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>
> aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > That's why I strongly support the
> > shutting down of illegal amplifier manufacturers.
>
> And all that will do is make unskilled and unknowing people
> build them in their basement. Those amps will be even dirtier
> then the ones you're bitching about now, because they won't
> understand the basic concepts of amplifier design. They will
> blindly use diagrams found on the internet and build for maximum
> dead key with no regard to harmonics, purity and stability.
>

icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD (COLD as ICE) writes:

>So very TRUE!!!!
>It would actually be worse!!!!!!

Not a very well-thought-out answer, fellas.

Basement-built amps...unskilled builders...no regard for harmonics,
purity, stability? Check it out: this is exactly what is being produced
NOW. Nothing but good can come of getting these pieces of trash off the
market and off the airwaves.

Yours,
Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1221. Re: Stepping in someones pile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 02:41:05 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t336u6s4k7i43d@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 62405

SQWWASSSSHHHHHH!
(come on?)

Jeff

"MutantTV" <mutanttv@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001207163413.20201.00001135@ng-mg1.aol.com...
> "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" upplus@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> <<This was such a good trolling thread on Wed, Dec 6, 2000,
> that it had to be repeated on Thursday? Are you running
> an echo keyboard?
>
> Dennis Lassetter wrote:
> >
> > Why is it that so many people think that all CB is good for is to see
> > who they can block out or coverup with their radio signal?CB radio is
> > not a game or contest.
> > It is intended for communications for the general public who might have
> > a need for such.Seems here lately that people cannot use the radio in
> > its intended manner without someone trying to cut them off.We refer to
> > it as "Stepping in someones pile".
> > I suppose it will never get back to being what it was designed and
> > intended to be but I can still wish. Thanks for reading and Have a Merry
> > Christmas.
> >
> > Dennis in Ga.
>
>
> I don't understand what what so 'trolling' about that post. The guy wants
to
> see the assholes get booted off cb.....so normal people can use it. You
sound
> like you might be one of the assholes he's talking about?
>
>

1222. Re: Ham ...?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 02:48:12 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t337bh8om5p5f2@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 62406

"Bendowersagain" <bendowersagain@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001208162257.03987.00003300@ng-ct1.aol.com...
> >Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:
> >
> >:> I've always wondered......where does the name "Ham" come from (as far
as
> >:> radio of course)?
> >:>
> >:> Does it "stand" for something?
> >
> >: It was a term of derision used among commercial ops in the same sense
as
> >: 'ham' actor. It beats another term current at the time, Plug, as in
plug
> >: horse.
> >
> >I doubt if that is true, as there were Hams before there were commercial
> >operators.
> >
> >From all that I have read, the deriviation is unknown.
> >
> >--
> >Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
> >
> >
> >
> Where does the name Ham come from?
> :Thigh cut especially of pork from the upper thigh region.
> You know,the upper part near the butt end. Other wise the stinky end near
the
> pooper and pisser.
> A name very fitting of the group it represents.

LOL
Not that I agree, but funny as hell anyway. :-)

Jeff

1223. TROLL ALERT!__was: Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 02:49:23 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t337dol3qld30c@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 62407

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:90p2ps$6qm$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Recent approval of HR2346 indicates that the general public has little
> if any tolerance or sympathy for illegal Citizens Band activities,
> especially when they infringe on the rights of the average person to
> operate a consumer device without being subject to unreasonable
> interference. It would seem to me the CB community would be vitally
> interested in cleaning up its act. Instead, I have observed an
> ostrich-like mentality that continues to deny the problem, combative
> postures that challenge and defy the law and praise the illegitimate,
> and laughable propaganda that attempts to stampede the amateur
> community. Especially here in rec.radio.cb discussion of legal vs
> illegal should be pursued rather than squelched. Honest debate in the
> tradition of Mark Fuller should be encouraged. It's your choice. It's
> your band. You can clean it up or continue to allow other people to soil
> it.
>
> Aaron Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1224. FCC Enforcement Actions on CB?? Where

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 02:55:51 UTC
From: TLNixon@home.com
Message-ID: <3a319e93.47992339@news.moline1.il.home.com>
Source record: 62408

Does anyone know where, or if FCC CB enforcement actions are posted anywhere online?

I've tried the FCC Web Site, but could not find it. I know how and where to find the Amateur Enforcement items, but not CB?? anyone know if this also is public information?? Interested in checking on some local enforcement action.

1225. Re: LET'S ANALYZE HR 2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 03:03:41 UTC
From: Oh Yeah! <maps75@pvtnetworks.net>
Message-ID: <t338ed46kp1q0d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62409

Hey Dick: And I use that term literally. If you had
read the entire bill, Mr. Al Gore the vice president
signed off on it. So much for your intelligence. Go
back to 10-160 meters where you are probably
not welcome either.

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001207231736.28473.00003834@ng-cr1.aol.com.
..
> >This bill was passed not because of the ARRL or
the EVIL HAMMIES but too
> >Many Local Jurisdictions complained to the FCC
about a "ChannelMaster"
> >or
> >other fool messing around with a High Power
radio and got no response,
> >since they (the locals) were powerless, the
suffering continued. Down
> >here in
> >Louisiana, the neighborhood "Channelmasters"
loved blocking out Cable TV
> >and causing misery, so the localities here have
asked Mr. Tauzin for
> >help
> >and here it is. Not perfect but then we don't
live in a perfect world.
> >Abuse by the localities exist for those using
their radios in compliance
> >with
> >Part 95 and 97. If a community passes
legislation on this matter, it
> >would
> >behoove the radio hobbyist to stay tuned into
locla events and how this
> >will
> >play out.
>
> Exactly. I hope the locals need hams to help 'em
hunt these dweebs...I will be
> the first volunteer...and I will post my busts
right here...Oh Joy, Oh Goodie

> goodie!!!!
>
> Oh God, is Bill clinton my hero!<in this
situation only>
>

1226. what is this new law on CB enforcement?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 03:07:34 UTC
From: pinball1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001208220734.08448.00003062@ng-mk1.aol.com>
Source record: 62410

I am new to this group. Could someone tell me where to get info explaining the new law passed on CB radio and local enforcement

1227. Re: TROLL ALERT!__was: Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 03:11:33 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90s7t3mbm1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62411

In article <t337dol3qld30c@news.supernews.com>,
"Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote nothing.

I'm guessing this is the same Jeff Mayner that was trying to sell his
illegal export radio on eBay and was frustrated when the auction was
shut down.

Stands to reason you want anybody (like me) who supports the eBay policy
not to have a voice on this newsgroup.

Too bad. From my POV you're the troll. Anyone else think so too?

Sincerely,
Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1228. RECOUNT, OH NOOOO! TWIST,.....HELP!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 03:18:51 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90s8aommp1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62413

TWIST GO DOWN THERE AND VOLUNTEER FOR THE RECOUNT ,
PLEASSSSSSSSSSSSSEEEEEEEEEEEEE!!!!!!!!!!!! YOU ARE A FAIR MAN, I
CAN LIVE WITH THAT. OTHERWISE,.....1,000,000 TEXAS NATIONAL
GUARDSMAN WILL COME DOWN THERE AND START SWINGING THOSE BASEBALL BATS
AND STOMP A MUDHOLE IN THE FLORIDA SUPREME COURT'S ASS AND WALK IT
DRY , GOOD BUDDY,.....COMMODE,....KATMAN IS OUT.....

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1229. Re: TROLL ALERT!__was: Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 03:28:04 UTC
From: jrubu@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001208222804.21057.00000456@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 62412

<< I'm guessing this is the same Jeff Mayner that was trying to sell his illegal export radio on eBay and was frustrated when the auction was shut down.

Too bad. From my POV you're the troll. Anyone else think so too? >>

me. i do.

1230. Re: WHAT THE HELL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 03:28:11 UTC
From: cabbagebrains@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90s8s8$n49$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62416

Hey I agree, the hell with the hamms and what the hell are they doin
here in the first place? dang its like they think they own the airwaves
32-15 Alaska

In article <20001205214029.10146.00002061@ng-md1.aol.com>,
tj1072@aol.com (TJ1072) wrote:
> What happened to all the good cb argueing out here on the ole rec.cb
group? Or
> should we now call it the rec.hamgeek group? Whats with all the
stupid ass ham
> crap? So the local police have authority with high power cb
now....Big frickin
> deal!!!!... Let these cops start arguin with guys like CD and Wild
Bill. Now
> these are some REAL cb Defenders!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> 937...chicago...gettin off this maul so professor can talk!!!!
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1231. Re: TROLL ALERT!__was: Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 03:30:56 UTC
From: ramirez327948198@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001208223056.21051.00000490@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 62414

>Too bad. From my POV you're the troll. Anyone else >think so too?
>
>Sincerely,
>Aaron Voobner

Yep. I do, too.

(Looks like VOOBNERMANIA is taking hold of this newsgroup!)

1232. 425

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 03:34:42 UTC
From: cabbagebrains@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90s98j$n7o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62417

Who the hell is the asswipe on 425 that comes on every fricken day at 1pm pacific time??? Nothing more than his likes that totally PISS me off! Do everyone a favor, track his station down and put it out!

32-15.....alaska

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1233. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 03:34:54 UTC
From: "Robert C. Pectol" <kk7av@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <3A31A85E.27C375BF@qwest.net>
Source record: 62415
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

cooncat@privacy.nu wrote:
>
> And how many EXTRA class Hams,can even solder a mic connector ???

Probably more than all the lower classes combined!!!
--

\\ - - //
(@ @)
+---------------oOOo-(_)-oOOo-------------+
| Rob Pectol - kk7av@qwest.net |
| Linux Mandrake 7.0 and Helix GNOME |
| Linux Registered User#: 193226 |
| ICQ#: 368394 |
+------------------------Oooo-------------+
oooO ( )
( )) /
\ ((_/
_)

1234. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 03:51:29 UTC
From: cabbagebrains@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90sa80$o0f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62419

No No no.....Hams please do everyone a favor..STAY OUT OF THIS DISCUSSION... its that simple, CB is going to be around for another millenia so please keep your arrogant one sided veiws to your selves.

In article <20001130220031.09170.00001691@ng-cf1.aol.com>, n8wwm@aol.com (N8wwm) wrote:
> This is the text of the QRZ.com story. I present it without comment.
>
> Hi,
> My name is George, and I am an Extra Class ham in Missouri. I am taking a
> survey of ham operators across the U.S. that are interested as I am, in the
> possiblity of the FCC giving 11m back to the hams. As you my be aware of, the
> 11m band used to be part of the amateur radio spectrum until the mid 1950's
> when the FCC created the Citizens Band.
>
> Ever since then the CB band has went from being a licensed part of the radio
> spectrum, to being unlicensed, and I may add, mostly unregulated by the FCC,
> save for the ocassional "big bust" on illegal operators.
>
> So, I would like to know what other ham operators think about presenting a
> proposal to the FCC and ARRL requesting them to give 11m back to the hams, and
> make 26.965 through 27.405 (the CB band), a CW, RTTY, and Packet, band for the
> Technicians. I suggest "low power", I recommend setting a power limit of 10
> watts maxiumum, which SHOULD keep the CB'ers from infiltrating on to the band.
> And, as with all the ham bands, only LICENSED operators will be allowed.
>
> I realize some my think this to be a "radical" approach, but I would like to
> point out the fact that the FCC as of 11/13/00, created the Multi-Use Radio
> Service (MURS), that is essentially, a VHF CB band. In case you haven't heard

> about this, it is posted on the ARRL website: www.arrl.org. With the creation
> of this new band, and with the widespread use of the General Purpose Radio
> Service, and Family Radio Service, it is my opinion that the 11m CB band has
> outlived it's usefulness and is now a "pirate" ham band, with high power
> linears and out-of-band operators infringing upon the 10m ham spectrum.
>
> If this proposal is considered, I think that it will help eliminate the
> numerous problems of enforcement on the 11m band today. But, like I said in the
> beginning, I am only taking a survey, send me your e-mails and let me know what
> you think.
>
> 73's de N0RRS
>
>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1235. COBRA 148F

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 03:55:38 UTC
From: m1976 <m1976@ametro.net>
Message-ID: <90sa7vmjl1@news.datasync.com>
Source record: 62418

does anyone know where the d-35 or what they call the varactor diode is in one of these 148s that has the frequency counter ? i have one that was made in malaysia but i can't find the diode the place for it is there but the diode is not. also this thing has a problem with the frequency the channel selector does not work . signal is missing from the loop mix. loop is unlocked and the clarifier does'nt work either . replaced mb8719 pll chip and also 11.325 crystal as well as a couple vco chips still no signal. if anyone has any information on this we would surely welcome it. thanks

1236. Re: pwr48n cbpacket bbs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 04:01:37 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001208230137.10521.00000677@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 62420

>
>
>Visit PWR48N CB-Packet-Radio BBS at the web:
>
>pwr48n.terrashare.com
>
>Greetingz from Sysop.
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.
>
>
>
>
>
>That is illegal in USA

1237. Re: FS:TEXAS STAR DX3200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 04:02:59 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001208230259.10521.00000679@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 62421

```
>g-ch1.aol.com>
>
>
>you paid to much
>
>
>"IZOLGD111" <izolgd111@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20001208150813.28238.00000384@ng-fe1.aol.com...
>> ANYONE WANNA BUY A ALMOST ONLY 8 MONTHS OLD NEW TEXAS STAR DX 3200 LINEAR
>WITH
>> REMOTE AND FAN KIT PAID WELL OVER 2600.00 MAKE REASONABLE OFFERS IT WILL
>DO
>> 3200 WATTS PLUS!!!
>
>No, he paid WAY TOO MUCH.. 1300 would have been too much.
>
>

Plus it isnt legal
```

1238. Tired of this sh!t?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 04:17:09 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A31B245.5053FBA7@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62422

New moderated, members only group:
http://www.egroups.com/group/rec-radio-cb

1239. MODULATOR FOR SALE ON ISALE.NET

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 04:22:58 UTC
From: win7@webworkz.com
Message-ID: <3a31b562_2@vienna7.his.com>
Source record: 62423

go to http://www.isale.net

1240. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 04:32:04 UTC
From: Major Tom <ground@control.com>
Message-ID: <8BiY5.558$La3.139844@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 62424

Yes your must be on all the time
"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001208183106.07611.00004116@ng-fy1.aol.com...
> >This is the exact reason every radio now comes factory direct, instead of
an
> >option, with an off switch.
> >
>
> Too bad they don't come with an anti-moron switch.

1241. Re: 27.555 USB is Officially...lost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 04:40:17 UTC
From: Serial # 19781010 <u4ea3@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <RIiY5.59566$15.12560168@news1.rdc1.az.home.com>
Source record: 62425

Dis isa Aldo! Senda mea DaMoney!

"At942" <at942@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001205185725.08153.00000017@ng-fw1.aol.com...
> Looks like the boys in Phoenix have won the battle and the war. 27.555 is
no
> longer the international call freq and has turned in to the official
JAMMING
> freq. We as responcible alfa tango members must realize defeat and try to
come
> to some type of settlement with those big Phoenix stations or risk loosing
our
> DX club all together. We must be men enough to realize that the group of
10 or
> so idividuals in Phoenix that are Jamming the international call freq are
both
> organized and financed beyond our capabilities. We must negotiate some
type of
> settlemen or move to a different freq. It has been 5 months now and they
only
> grow stronger in both signal strength, and number of stations...as we alfa
> tangos grow weaker and less in stations.

1242. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 04:40:36 UTC
From: AB2HR <ab2hr@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <tie33tsnov5nla3n6r2b0isken3m081lsn@4ax.com>
Source record: 62428

On Fri, 08 Dec 2000 19:13:10 -0500, "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)"
<upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
>
>aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:
>
>> That's why I strongly support the
>> shutting down of illegal amplifier manufacturers.
>
>And all that will do is make unskilled and unknowing people
>build them in their basement. Those amps will be even dirtier
>then the ones you're bitching about now, because they won't
>understand the basic concepts of amplifier design. They will
>blindly use diagrams found on the internet and build for maximum
>dead key with no regard to harmonics, purity and stability.

... so you're saying that we should allow the commercial production of
illegal amplifiers so that we'll have a higher quality of contraband.
Hmmm, can anyone out there say "circular logic?"

1243. Re: Inspection authority

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 04:45:16 UTC
From: TR@sky.net
Message-ID: <3a316266.168521103@news.idsi.net>
Source record: 62426

On Thu, 7 Dec 2000 18:05:46 -0800, "picloud" <A@A.com> wrote:

>I copied this for the good of the ng:
>
>Q: What happens if I do not allow the FCC agent to inspect my equipment?
>
>
>A: Failure to allow inspection forecloses the opportunity to resolve the
>problem. Thus, refusal to allow inspection is a serious challenge to the
>Commission's authority to inspect radio stations and is a violation of the
>Rules. Such a refusal may lead to revocation of a license, maximum monetary
>forfeiture, or other Commission sanctions.
>
>So, if you have a CB transmitter you could lose your license, hmmm... sounds
>like a HAM problem to me!

More CBer type mentallity. Nope, obviously a CBer problem! By using
CB you automaticlly agree to the FCC rules of usage. Don't abide by
the FEDERAL rules? Then you are FEDERALLY screwed. Simple.

>Cheers,
>
>piCloud

1244. Re: Only purpose of the new regs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 04:45:19 UTC
From: TR@sky.net
Message-ID: <3a316303.168678932@news.idsi.net>
Source record: 62427

You are a moron. Just stating the obvious....

On Thu, 7 Dec 2000 18:20:10 -0800, "picloud" <A@A.com> wrote:

>Fortunately there is one more or less Libertarian crowd left in radio land
>and it is on the CB bands where the feds just can't get to us .. yet. If
>the courts award Gore the presidency look out!
>
>The only purpose of the new regs was to find another group for whom the
>fourth amendment would no longer apply -HAM radio operators. There is a
>certain stereotype that suggests HAM's may be especially compliant, that
>might even welcome such regs and feel privileged to have their privacy
>invaded.
>
>These are the people you meet who think compulsory lie detector tests, drug
>tests, searches, etc. are fine under the "if you have nothing to hide"
>theory.
>
>Forget about the integrity and dignity of free men. These kinds of people
>have always been with us and are often a majority of the population. Don't
>forget there was a lot of sympathy for the Nazi's in this country before it
>became politically intolerable to express such sentiments.
>
>The people who approve these kinds of regs are the kinds of people who
>helped Hitler and Mussolini, Stalin and Mao, rise to power. The American
>revolution nearly failed because of the large number of them in the
>colonies. So they are with us an always will be.
>
>You just have to keep fighting for your freedom. Vote, write letters, write
>guest editorials in your local paper and when you're on a dark road and find
>a guy like N8wwm and there is no one else around .. shoot him, for the sake
>of freedom!
>
>--
>Cheers,
>
>piCloud
>
>

1245. Re: BIG RADIO FOR SALE PUTS OUT TO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 05:04:10 UTC
From: DX401 <lightnin24@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <90sf7n$59r$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 62435

At least one thing about them, they do know something about HF. You still trying to get five words a minute. Technician Forever wannabe
"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20001208180254.07617.00004288@ng-fy1.aol.com...
> >Using an FT-1000 for CB radio is akin to using a Lamborghini to deliver
> >milk.
> >
> >elmerpee@my-deja.com wrote:
> >>
> >> Yaesu FT-1000 HF/CW,SSB,AM,FM and CB Bands
> >> Clean Like New 150 wats output digital readout
> >> Blows Smoke and Kicks Ass with Big D-104 mikephone
> >> $5000.00 shipped
> >>
>
> they just wanna think they are hot stuff, when in fact they are pathetic
> wannabees and neverwillbees.

1246. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 05:11:05 UTC
From: glc1173@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001209001105.12461.00003529@ng-bg1.aol.com>
Source record: 62429
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

AB2HR quoted Scott:
>>And all that will do is make unskilled and >>unknowing people
>>build them in their basement. Those >>amps will be even dirtier
>>then the ones you're bitching about now, >>because they won't
>>understand the basic concepts of >>amplifier design. They will
>>blindly use diagrams found on the >>internet and build for maximum
>>dead key with no regard to harmonics, >>purity and stability.

and replied:
>... so you're saying that we should allow >the commercial production of
>illegal amplifiers so that we'll have a higher >quality of contraband.

CB rules - like traffic law - has always been driven by reality,
rather than legislative or judicial functions.
Just as the 55 mph national speed limit was repealed by Congress only long
after years of practically nobody obeying it - leading to a de facto
speed limit at least 10 mph higher, under which you wouldn't be ticketed, the
FCC dumped the callsign/licensing requirement after several years of almost
nobody obeying it in the late 70s when CB spread from truckers to seemingly
every car.
Now the posted speed limit has been adjusted to what was already enforcement
reality for years. CB may similarly be headed - if slowly - in that direction;
don't cause trouble and the FCC will leave you alone.
If linears are a big problem, I sure don't hear it here in North Carolina or
in neighboring Virginia (all the way to D.C. suburbs). You don't hear anything
on Ch. 19 unless you are within a couple miles of an interstate or other major
road - so obviously nobody's using that much power anywhere in this area.
N4GSV,
Matt (both CBer and ham)
How a nationwide
trucker's strike can end Algore's coup attempt

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Dissident news - plus immigration, gun rights, nationwide weather
Al Gore - in his own words
ALAMANCE INDEPENDENT:
official newspaper of the Vast Right Wing Conspiracy
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

1247. Re: 257 on the wheels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 05:12:34 UTC
From: Two-Five-seven@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7290-3A31BF42-112@storefull-142.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62434

Hello 130.If you have that 1x4 handy spark it up,theres a lot of big strappers in there that'll keep you on hold for quite some time.(not on purpose though,thats really not how it is on 915.

Now if I could just find out who the mental defectant is thats recording me and playing my voice back over the air ALL DAY LONG EVERY DAY.I'm convinced it's someone in the 'pile.434 wichita swung his 8 element around and said I'm probably right.
Gets a little nerve racking trying to talk DX with someone and all of a sudden you can't hear them because you're own voice is covering them up! I supposewhoever it is will dry up and blow away soon enough,or maybe he'll pop a few pills running a key for 6 or 7 hours a day.

It was a pleasure talking to you,and I'm sure I'll put it on you again

257 NH. On the wheels

1248. Re: Davis RF 50OHM LOW LOSS 9913 EQUIV COAX?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 05:29:18 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <wjjY5.5952$g77.590682@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 62721

LMR 400....good stuff!

"MC 10kW Jesus" <qsl27@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3A315A9D.639CD704@hotmail.com...
> This is exactly why I recommend Times Microwave LMR-400 to anyone
> considering Belden 9913. It's 100% solid dielectric and takes all of the
> same connectors. Price is generally about the same.

> > Alan Martin wrote
> > Anyone familiar with this coax? My swr recently jumped from 1 to just
> > over 2. I think some moisture might have gotten in and since its only
> > about 20 degrees out side i figure it might have frozen. Does this coax
> > have a history of this or what. Any other Ideas would be welcome.
> >
> > AL

1249. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 05:32:17 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <90sg51$91q@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 62430
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

It is great to see all you extra class (whatever the hell that is) patting
yourselves on the ass but why don't you do it in your own NG.

"Robert C. Pectol" <kk7av@qwest.net> wrote in message
news:3A31A85E.27C375BF@qwest.net...
> cooncat@privacy.nu wrote:
> >
> > And how many EXTRA class Hams,can even solder a mic connector ???
>
>
> Probably more than all the lower classes combined!!!
> --
>
> \\ - - //
> (@ @)
> +---------------oOOo-(_)-oOOo-------------+
> | Rob Pectol - kk7av@qwest.net |
> | Linux Mandrake 7.0 and Helix GNOME |
> | Linux Registered User#: 193226 |
> | ICQ#: 368394 |
> +-----------------------Oooo-------------+
> oooO ( )
> ( )) /
> \ ((_/
> _)

1250. Re: what is this new law on CB enforcement?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 05:35:02 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <90sga6$91k@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 62431

It is not a new law!! It is only a bill that gives the local authorities the limited ability to enforce FCC rules if they choose do so.
"Pinball 1" <pinball1@aol.com> wrote in message news:20001208220734.08448.00003062@ng-mk1.aol.com...
> I am new to this group. Could someone tell me where to get info explaining the
> new law passed on CB radio and local enforcement

1251. Re: TROLL ALERT!__was: Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 05:37:07 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <90sge3$al5@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 62432

I agree trying to sell good illegal CB equipment on E-Bay is criminal. Put a price on it and post it here and it will sell.

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:90s7t3mbm1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <t337dol3qld30c@news.supernews.com>,
> "Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote nothing.
>
> I'm guessing this is the same Jeff Mayner that was trying to sell his
> illegal export radio on eBay and was frustrated when the auction was
> shut down.
>
> Stands to reason you want anybody (like me) who supports the eBay policy
> not to have a voice on this newsgroup.
>
> Too bad. From my POV you're the troll. Anyone else think so too?
>
> Sincerely,
> Aaron Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1252. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 05:41:26 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <90sgm6$939@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 62433

going to put a couple million radios out of service. Should be interesting.
How many CBers are interested in turning the 10 meter band into a pirate
band?

<cabbagebrains@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:90sa80$o0f$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> No No no.....Hams please do everyone a favor..STAY OUT OF THIS
> DISCUSSION... its that simple, CB is going to be around for another
> millenia so please keep your arrogant one sided veiws to your selves.
>
>
>
> In article <20001130220031.09170.00001691@ng-cf1.aol.com>,
> n8wwm@aol.com (N8wwm) wrote:
> > This is the text of the QRZ.com story. I present it without comment.
> >
> > Hi,
> > My name is George, and I am an Extra Class ham in Missouri. I am
> taking a
> > survey of ham operators across the U.S. that are interested as I am,
> in the
> > possiblity of the FCC giving 11m back to the hams. As you my be aware
> of, the
> > 11m band used to be part of the amateur radio spectrum until the mid
> 1950's
> > when the FCC created the Citizens Band.
> >
> > Ever since then the CB band has went from being a licensed part of
> the radio
> > spectrum, to being unlicensed, and I may add, mostly unregulated by
> the FCC,
> > save for the ocassional "big bust" on illegal operators.
> >
> > So, I would like to know what other ham operators think about
> presenting a
> > proposal to the FCC and ARRL requesting them to give 11m back to the
> hams, and
> > make 26.965 through 27.405 (the CB band), a CW, RTTY, and Packet,
> band for the
> > Technicians. I suggest "low power", I recommend setting a power limit
> of 10
> > watts maxiumum, which SHOULD keep the CB'ers from infiltrating on to
> the band.
> > And, as with all the ham bands, only LICENSED operators will be

> allowed.
> >
> > I realize some my think this to be a "radical" approach, but I would
> like to
> > point out the fact that the FCC as of 11/13/00, created the Multi-Use
> Radio
> > Service (MURS), that is essentially, a VHF CB band. In case you
> haven't heard
> > about this, it is posted on the ARRL website: www.arrl.org. With the
> creation
> > of this new band, and with the widespread use of the General Purpose
> Radio
> > Service, and Family Radio Service, it is my opinion that the 11m CB
> band has
> > outlived it's usefulness and is now a "pirate" ham band, with high
> power
> > linears and out-of-band operators infringing upon the 10m ham
> spectrum.
> >
> > If this proposal is considered, I think that it will help eliminate
> the
> > numerous problems of enforcement on the 11m band today. But, like I
> said in the
> > beginning, I am only taking a survey, send me your e-mails and let me
> know what
> > you think.
> >
> > 73's de N0RRS
> >
> >
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1253. PeekaBoo Caffeno?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 06:17:56 UTC
From: brass <cc@knology.net>
Message-ID: <3A31CE94.5AF7B255@knology.net>
Source record: 62437

yay . . . nay

Brass

1254. Re: FS:TEXAS STAR DX3200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 06:46:29 UTC
From: oneqck76ta@aol.com.com.com
Message-ID: <20001209014629.02444.00003133@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 62436

>Plus it isnt legal
>

Maybe it hasn't been modified. Then it would be legal as long as it wasn't used for the CB band! He didn't say it was modified! Some peoples kids!

Later

oneqck76ta@aol.com
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta

You've got "459" out of Minneapolis Minnesota and I'm reading the mail

**********Hippie Freak**********
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta/cbradio

1255. FS DVM Fluke 8050A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 07:25:44 UTC
From: kipecs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001209022544.10893.00000799@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Source record: 62439

FS Fluke Digital Bench Meter 8050A with Probes, NO MANUAL $90.00 plus shipping
USPS COD Only .
Contact Cliff 330-518-6875 email KipECS@aol.com

1256. FS Fluke 37 Bench DVM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 07:26:17 UTC
From: kipecs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001209022617.10893.00000800@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Source record: 62438

FS Fluke Digital Bench Meter Model 37 With Probes. AC and Battery Powered With manual $90.00. Shipping USPS COD Only
Contact Cliff 330-518-6875 email KipECS@aol.com

1257. FS Fluke 8024B DVM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 07:26:56 UTC
From: kipecs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001209022656.10893.00000801@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Source record: 62440

FS Fluke Digital Handheld Meter Model 8024B (Very Clean) With Probes and Soft Case. $90.00 Plus Shipping USPS COD Only.
Contact Cliff 330-518-6875 email KipECS@aol.com

1258. FS Bird Dummy Load

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 07:27:33 UTC
From: kipecs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001209022733.10893.00000802@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Source record: 62442

FS Bird Termaline Dummy Laod , 150 Watt 50 Ohm Antenna Load Resistor.CLEAN
$55.00 plus shipping USPS COD Only
Contact Cliff 330-518-6875 email KipECS@aol.com

1259. FS Bird Terminated Watt meter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 07:28:05 UTC
From: kipecs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001209022805.10893.00000803@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Source record: 62441

FS Bird Load Terminated RF Watt Meter, Bird Model 612 Two Range 20/80 Watt 50 Ohm 30-500Mhz , CLEAN $85.00 Plus shipping USPS COD Only
Contact Cliff 330-518-6875 email KipECS@aol.com

1260. FS 170' Hardline Andrew LDF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 07:28:48 UTC
From: kipecs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001209022848.10893.00000804@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Source record: 62443

FS 170' Andrew LDF 6-50 Antenna Hard Line $200.00 plus shipping. Delivered In Ohio FREE. Contact Cliff 330-518-6875 email KipECS@aol.com

1261. FS RF mv Meter Boonton DMVM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 07:29:40 UTC
From: kipecs@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001209022940.10893.00000805@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Source record: 62444

FS Boonton Model 92BD RF MilliVolt Meter with Digital Display and Probe Good To 1000Mhz. $200.00 Plus Shipping , works fine NO MANUAL . Ship USPS COD Only Contact Cliff 330-518-6875 email KipECS@aol.com

1262. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 07:46:37 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209024637.18599.00000018@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 62445

>>And all that will do is make unskilled and unknowing people
>>build them in their basement. Those amps will be even dirtier
>>then the ones you're bitching about now, because they won't
>>understand the basic concepts of amplifier design. They will
>>blindly use diagrams found on the internet and build for maximum
>>dead key with no regard to harmonics, purity and stability.
>
>... so you're saying that we should allow the commercial production of
>illegal amplifiers so that we'll have a higher quality of contraband.
>Hmmm, can anyone out there say "circular logic?"

exactly...that is how these morons think...

1263. Re: Tired of this sh!t?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 07:50:01 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209025001.18599.00000019@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 62446

>Subject: Tired of this sh!t?
>From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" upplus@hotmail.com
>Date: 12/8/2000 23:17 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <3A31B245.5053FBA7@hotmail.com>
>
>New moderated, members only group:
>http://www.egroups.com/group/rec-radio-cb
Scottiekins admits defeat...AND MOVES AWAY!!!!
LOL!

1264. Re: FS:TEXAS STAR DX3200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 07:51:23 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209025123.18599.00000020@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 62447

>>> ANYONE WANNA BUY A ALMOST ONLY 8 MONTHS OLD NEW TEXAS STAR DX 3200 LINEAR
>>WITH
>>> REMOTE AND FAN KIT PAID WELL OVER 2600.00 MAKE REASONABLE OFFERS IT WILL
>>DO
>>> 3200 WATTS PLUS!!!
>>
>>No, he paid WAY TOO MUCH.. 1300 would have been too much.
>>
>>
>
>Plus it isnt legal
>

if it works 25-34 mhz it is illegal

1265. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 07:53:13 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209025313.18599.00000021@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 62698

>From: "CitySlicker" not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net
>Date: 12/9/2000 0:41 AM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <90sgm6$939@dispatch.concentric.net>
>
>going to put a couple million radios out of service. Should be interesting.
>How many CBers are interested in turning the 10 meter band into a pirate
>band?
>
><cabbagebrains@my-deja.com> wrote in message
>news:90sa80$o0f$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>> No No no.....Hams please do everyone a favor..STAY OUT OF THIS
>> DISCUSSION... its that simple, CB is going to be around for another
>> millenia so please keep your arrogant one sided veiws to your selves.
>>
>>
>>
>> In article <20001130220031.09170.00001691@ng-cf1.aol.com>,

just give the FCC more people to bust and ambigger police force to bust em
with!

1266. Re: what is this new law on CB enforcement?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 07:53:57 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209025357.18599.00000022@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 62699

>It is not a new law!! It is only a bill that gives the local authorities the
>limited ability to enforce FCC rules if they choose do so.
>

That is correct

1267. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 07:55:13 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209025513.18599.00000023@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 62700

>The topic is cb. Period.

thats right, since you aint talkin bout the regular 40 cahnnels...shut up twistiefag

1268. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 07:56:53 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209025653.18599.00000024@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 62701

>
>Every post where you reference me just goes to show your
>jealousy of CB'ers that can go with the flow. Your "lowly
>tech" ticket is nothing more then licensed CB.
>

you wouldnt know boy...you do not even qualify for no code tech

1269. STOP ME!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 07:59:28 UTC
From: supahman@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90soov$1qk$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62702

With all the "hooplah" going on here with Jerry Oxidine, TR@sky.net, Mr. Voobner, and our resident "JACKASS Basement dweller..." N8WWM, I'd like to present my troll here...

I'm a CBer. I will use the Citizen Band as I see fit. If I am inspected one day by our resident FCC Agent, I will let him/her inspect, pay the fine, get more equipment and operate again. Preach, troll, voice your opinion on this here NG and I still will not stop. DX on 11 meter is good enough to do without getting a license.

Speaking of resident FCC Agent, I have spoken to one that works on a Military Installation here and asked this person "why is the FCC not conducting any station inspections here?" ANSWER: "there is no money in trying to incriminate small time stations. We have bigger fish to fry..." So, for that matter, it just boosted my operative conscience and for the moment have put myself in a safe zone. I probably run the cleanest stations this side of the Mississippi. 5KW carrier, no harmonics, no bleedover. Better than my HAM friend two blocks from me who in my opinion has a clean station too but interferes with his neighbors 1987 General Electric clock radio. That's it!

I've thought about joining the ranks of the Amateurs in town. But the attitude I got when I walked into the towns' library (after one the Hams noticed a 55 coil on my pick-up truck) and was asked if I was a CBer, I said "yes!" and got the... you know, the "NO-CODE Technician" attitude of "holier than thou", frown of the brow, 1000 yard stare from these individuals made me absolutely furious. I haven't even taken the test yet and they were already banging away with "hmmmmmmm's" and the "hawwwwwww's" of how CB has gotten way out of hand and how "folks like yourself should be ashamed of..." this and that and on and on and on. I politely told the VE's that were there to hold onto the test for me (because obviously I wasn't gonna be taking this "right of passage into the realm of radio" written examination with the Devil and his agents lurking above me waiting for a sign of weakness before they snatch me and throw me a bottomless pit of argon asses (Extra Class licensees')) and left. To clarify, I politely told the HAM to take that damned test and shove it up you know where.

There was no need for that kind of attitude. I was willing to give up my wicked ways and return to the fold. I've learned what I know as a CBer from Cber's and HAM's alike. They taught me the way to use the force (radio) and to become a Jedi (radio operator) with theories and history, with lengths and resonance, with sine wave and modulation, all of which I have attained in good faith. And to be treated as a delinquent of the "cloth" just amplified the "there is no where for me

to turn..." I love radio. And I will continue to operate as a radio operator. And if by chance I should attain a ticket, so shall be it.

But for now, as I've said before...
"I'm a CBer. I will use the Citizen Band as I see fit. If I am inspected one day by our resident FCC Agent, I will let him/her inspect, pay the fine, get more equipment and operate again. Preach, troll, voice your opinion on this here NG and I still will not stop. DX on 11 meter is good enough to do without getting a license."

I am SUPAHMAN. And I am 10-7...

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1270. Re: STOP ME!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 08:12:57 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90spi8$28e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62704

You spelled "superman" wrong.

Always,
Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1271. Re: TROLL ALERT!__was: Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 08:15:26 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t33qh7p09tj089@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 62703

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:90s7t3mbm1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <t337dol3qld30c@news.supernews.com>,
> "Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote nothing.
>
> I'm guessing this is the same Jeff Mayner that was trying to sell his
> illegal export radio on eBay and was frustrated when the auction was
> shut down.

What??
And that radio would be?

>
> Stands to reason you want anybody (like me) who supports the eBay policy
> not to have a voice on this newsgroup.

A troll is a troll is a troll...

>
> Too bad. From my POV you're the troll. Anyone else think so too?

Hardly.

Jeff

>
> Sincerely,
> Aaron Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1272. Re: STOP ME!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 08:17:15 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90spqa$2hg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62707

You spelled "superman" wrong.

Always,
Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1273. Re: STOP ME!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 08:17:42 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <90sq1f$cndk$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 62705

This post is a keeper, hey Supahman well said my man.

peace,
KRS
A.K.A. Gettoway Driver and avid CB operator
So. CA, top side of this ruff & tuff TJ Border.

<supahman@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:90soov$1qk$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> With all the "hooplah" going on here with Jerry Oxidine, TR@sky.net,
> Mr. Voobner, and our resident "JACKASS Basement dweller..." N8WWM, I'd
> like to present my troll here...
>
> I'm a CBer. I will use the Citizen Band as I see fit. If I am
> inspected one day by our resident FCC Agent, I will let him/her
> inspect, pay the fine, get more equipment and operate again. Preach,
> troll, voice your opinion on this here NG and I still will not stop.
> DX on 11 meter is good enough to do without getting a license.
>
> Speaking of resident FCC Agent, I have spoken to one that works on a
> Military Installation here and asked this person "why is the FCC not
> conducting any station inspections here?" ANSWER: "there is no money
> in trying to incriminate small time stations. We have bigger fish to
> fry..." So, for that matter, it just boosted my operative conscience
> and for the moment have put myself in a safe zone. I probably run the
> cleanest stations this side of the Mississippi. 5KW carrier, no
> harmonics, no bleedover. Better than my HAM friend two blocks from me
> who in my opinion has a clean station too but interferes with his
> neighbors 1987 General Electric clock radio. That's it!
>
> I've thought about joining the ranks of the Amateurs in town. But the
> attitude I got when I walked into the towns' library (after one the
> Hams noticed a 55 coil on my pick-up truck) and was asked if I was a
> CBer, I said "yes!" and got the... you know, the "NO-CODE Technician"
> attitude of "holier than thou", frown of the brow, 1000 yard stare from
> these individuals made me absolutely furious. I haven't even taken the
> test yet and they were already banging away with "hmmmmmmm's" and
> the "hawwwwwww's" of how CB has gotten way out of hand and how "folks
> like yourself should be ashamed of..." this and that and on and on and
> on. I politely told the VE's that were there to hold onto the test for
> me (because obviously I wasn't gonna be taking this "right of passage
> into the realm of radio" written examination with the Devil and his
> agents lurking above me waiting for a sign of weakness before they
> snatch me and throw me a bottomless pit of argon asses (Extra Class
> licensees')) and left. To clarify, I politely told the HAM to take
> that damned test and shove it up you know where.

>
> There was no need for that kind of attitude. I was willing to give up
> my wicked ways and return to the fold. I've learned what I know as a
> CBer from Cber's and HAM's alike. They taught me the way to use the
> force (radio) and to become a Jedi (radio operator) with theories and
> history, with lengths and resonance, with sine wave and modulation, all
> of which I have attained in good faith. And to be treated as a
> delinquent of the "cloth" just amplified the "there is no where for me
> to turn..." I love radio. And I will continue to operate as a radio
> operator. And if by chance I should attain a ticket, so shall be it.
>
> But for now, as I've said before...
> "I'm a CBer. I will use the Citizen Band as I see fit. If I am
> inspected one day by our resident FCC Agent, I will let him/her
> inspect, pay the fine, get more equipment and operate again. Preach,
> troll, voice your opinion on this here NG and I still will not stop.
> DX on 11 meter is good enough to do without getting a license."
>
> I am SUPAHMAN. And I am 10-7...
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1274. Re: TROLL ALERT!__was: Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 08:27:55 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t33r8kjujaoq12@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 62706

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:90s7t3mbm1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <t337dol3qld30c@news.supernews.com>,
> "Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote nothing.
>
> I'm guessing this is the same Jeff Mayner that was trying to sell his
> illegal export radio on eBay and was frustrated when the auction was
> shut down.
>
> Stands to reason you want anybody (like me) who supports the eBay policy
> not to have a voice on this newsgroup.
>
> Too bad. From my POV you're the troll. Anyone else think so too?
>
> Sincerely,
> Aaron Voobner

You know, if I had had the rotten luck to be born with the last name of "Voobner", well, I guess I'd be an old, bitter man also. Really, to be born with a last name that sounded like a schoolyard insult...You Voobner! or, Don't be such a stupid voobner!, or simply, "Voobner voobner"... I feel your pain and I forgive you your transgressions. It must have been incredibly tough for you. ;-)

Jeff

>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1275. Re: PeekaBoo Caffeno?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 08:31:31 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t33rfbrlhqjd81@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 62708

"brass" <cc@knology.net> wrote in message
news:3A31CE94.5AF7B255@knology.net...
> yay . . . nay
>
> Brass
>

I'm sorry, but is this a new flavor at Starbuck's? :-)

Jeff

1276. Re: TROLL ALERT!__was: Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 08:36:53 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90sqv5$49o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62710

In article <t33qh7p09tj089@news.supernews.com>,
"Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> <aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:90s7t3mbm1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > In article <t337dol3qld30c@news.supernews.com>,
> > "Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote nothing.
> >
> > I'm guessing this is the same Jeff Mayner that was trying to sell
his
> > illegal export radio on eBay and was frustrated when the auction was
> > shut down.
>
> What??
> And that radio would be?

Pardon me. It was an illegal radio you were looking to BUY on eBay, not
sell. I have a hard time sorting out all these illegal doings. Anyway,
the point is, you were frustrated by EBay's crackdown. (see below)

Aaron Voobner

****
In article <t2d4v4sm5c0356@news.supernews.com>,
"Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote:
> Damn, the eBay police are quick!
>
> Jeff
>
> "marc" <marc@shoppersplace.com> wrote in message
> news:3A25C61A.3DEA6639@shoppersplace.com...
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=514284359
****

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1277. Re: STOP ME!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 08:42:24 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <90srfv$b8sg$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 62709

Who's trying to write "superman"?

KRS

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:90spqa$2hg$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> You spelled "superman" wrong.
>
> Always,
> Aaron Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1278. Re: TROLL ALERT!__was: Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 08:52:40 UTC
From: Beebe Rebozo <not@this.net>
Message-ID: <BpmY5.3354$EI3.29350@open-news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 62717

"Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:t33r8kjujaoq12@news.supernews.com...
>
> <aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:90s7t3mbm1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > In article <t337dol3qld30c@news.supernews.com>,
> > "Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote nothing.
> >
> > I'm guessing this is the same Jeff Mayner that was trying to sell his
> > illegal export radio on eBay and was frustrated when the auction was
> > shut down.
> >
> > Stands to reason you want anybody (like me) who supports the eBay policy
> > not to have a voice on this newsgroup.
> >
> > Too bad. From my POV you're the troll. Anyone else think so too?
> >
> > Sincerely,
> > Aaron Voobner
>
> You know, if I had had the rotten luck to be born with the last name of
> "Voobner", well, I guess I'd be an old, bitter man also. Really, to be
born
> with a last name that sounded like a schoolyard insult...You Voobner! or,
> Don't be such a stupid voobner!, or simply, "Voobner voobner"... I feel
your
> pain and I forgive you your transgressions. It must have been incredibly
> tough for you. ;-)
>

A tad on the anal side too.

BeBe

> Jeff
>
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
>
>

1279. Re: Only purpose of the new regs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 09:01:01 UTC
From: Beebe Rebozo <not@this.net>
Message-ID: <pxmY5.3355$EI3.29285@open-news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 62715

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:90phriinm1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <t30gch6a7m5ie7@corp.supernews.com>,
> "picloud" <A@A.com> wrote:
> > The people who approve these kinds of regs are the kinds of people who
> > helped Hitler and Mussolini, Stalin and Mao, rise to power. The
> American
> > revolution nearly failed because of the large number of them in the
> > colonies. So they are with us an always will be.
> >
> > You just have to keep fighting for your freedom. Vote, write letters,
> write
> > guest editorials in your local paper and when you're on a dark road
> and find
> > a guy like N8wwm and there is no one else around .. shoot him, for the
> sake
> > of freedom!
>
> Your crackpot libertarian ideas are protected under the freedom of
> speech amendment - but calling for the murder of another individual via
> the Internet makes you liable for investigation and prosecution by
> authorities.
>
> Sincerely,
> Aaron Voobner

And your just the little voobner buckaroo to call attention to it, eh
Voobner? Were you sheltered as a child? Told "No" a little too often? I can
see you, staring out the window with longing, not able to play with the
other neighborhood children because mother says they're dirty little
poopie-birds, right Voobs? Did Mommie tell you what to do if one of those
dirty little neighborhood girls wanted to see your little "tallywhacker?"
Mustn't mustn't, you'll get it all dirty!
Yeesh. Makes my skin crawl just thinking about what it must be like to
be you.

Jeff

>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1280. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 09:03:13 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90ssgg$52n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62711

In article <t33r8kjujaoq12@news.supernews.com>,
"Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote:

> You know, if I had had the rotten luck to be born with the last name
of
> "Voobner", well, I guess I'd be an old, bitter man also. Really, to be
born
> with a last name that sounded like a schoolyard insult...You Voobner!
or,
> Don't be such a stupid voobner!, or simply, "Voobner voobner"... I
feel your
> pain and I forgive you your transgressions. It must have been
incredibly
> tough for you. ;-)
>
> Jeff

Can't put together a logical argument to support your points, so you
resort to adolescent taunting?

I am so disappointed.

Look here Jeff, if you are going to attack me personally, you will need
some personal information. Here you go (and all you other trolls pay
attention too) :

*I am 32 years old, not a ham, but studying to become one.
*I have a MA in business and an AA in optical science.
*The Voobner family has distinguished itself in some of the most famous
battles fought by US forces. Check your military history.
*The Voobner kids are 11 and 13, both honor students.
*My personal vehicle is a Ford Ranger.
*My middle name is Harold.
*The Voobner dog is named Weldon, after the German physiognomyst.

Have fun.

Sincerely,
Aaron H. Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1281. Re: A third one...hahahah

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 09:06:52 UTC
From: Beebe Rebozo <not@this.net>
Message-ID: <UCmY5.3356$El3.29345@open-news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 62713

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:90pqns$p60$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> GLC1173 wrote:
>
> >Aaron quoted the FCC:
> >We have before us a petition (Petition) >filed by Alan Dixon (Dixon)
> >requesting amendment of
> >Section 95.413 of the Commission's >Rules to authorize stations in the
> >Citizens
>
> Aaron did not quote the FCC. Aaron did not write that post. N8WWM wrote
> it.
>
> Please get your facts straight.
>
> Sincerely.
> Aaron Voobner

Aaron likes to speak of himself in the third person as most anal retentive,
repressed individuals often do. Explains a lot, IMO.

Jeff

>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1282. Re: TROLL ALERT!__was: Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 09:14:38 UTC
From: Beebe Rebozo <not@this.net>
Message-ID: <bKmY5.3357$El3.29424@open-news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 62714

Gad, but you are one to go on, aren't you? I was simply making a statement about how the radio had been removed so quickly. How do you turn that into attempting to "sell" and then realizing your error, into attempting to "buy" an illegal radio? You are an self-important little goose-egg, aren't you?
Does Mommie know how late your staying up?

Jeff

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:90sqv5$49o$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <t33qh7p09tj089@news.supernews.com>,
> "Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote:
> >
> > <aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> > news:90s7t3mbm1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > > In article <t337dol3qld30c@news.supernews.com>,
> > > "Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote nothing.
> > >
> > > I'm guessing this is the same Jeff Mayner that was trying to sell
> his
> > > illegal export radio on eBay and was frustrated when the auction was
> > > shut down.
> >
> > What??
> > And that radio would be?
>
> Pardon me. It was an illegal radio you were looking to BUY on eBay, not
> sell. I have a hard time sorting out all these illegal doings. Anyway,
> the point is, you were frustrated by EBay's crackdown. (see below)
>
> Aaron Voobner
>
> ********************
> In article <t2d4v4sm5c0356@news.supernews.com>,
> "Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote:
> > Damn, the eBay police are quick!
> >
> > Jeff
> >
> > "marc" <marc@shoppersplace.com> wrote in message
> > news:3A25C61A.3DEA6639@shoppersplace.com...
> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=514284359
> ******************
>

>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1283. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 09:15:53 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <90step$4k1q$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 62712

You for got one thing on your list.

KRS

> *I am 32 years old, not a ham, but studying to become one.
> *I have a MA in business and an AA in optical science.
> *The Voobner family has distinguished itself in some of the most famous
> battles fought by US forces. Check your military history.
> *The Voobner kids are 11 and 13, both honor students.
> *My personal vehicle is a Ford Ranger.
> *My middle name is Harold.
> *The Voobner dog is named Weldon, after the German physiognomyst.
> * I am a flamin fag homo sexual

> Have fun.
>
> Sincerely,
> Aaron H. Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1284. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 09:45:03 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A31FF1F.6F44498D@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62716

You say you're not a troll, but you should read the messages that
you've posted and the unkind responses you get from your posts.

A troll post is a one that is posted only for shock value and
the ensuing responses that one gets from them. Many of your posts
are rude and condescending. Maybe you should reconsider, and ask
yourself why you were not accepted the the new group.

aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> In article <t33r8kjujaoq12@news.supernews.com>,
> "Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > You know, if I had had the rotten luck to be born with the last name
> of
> > "Voobner", well, I guess I'd be an old, bitter man also. Really, to be
> born
> > with a last name that sounded like a schoolyard insult...You Voobner!
> or,
> > Don't be such a stupid voobner!, or simply, "Voobner voobner"... I
> feel your
> > pain and I forgive you your transgressions. It must have been
> incredibly
> > tough for you. ;-)
> >
> > Jeff
>
> Can't put together a logical argument to support your points, so you
> resort to adolescent taunting?
>
> I am so disappointed.
>
> Look here Jeff, if you are going to attack me personally, you will need
> some personal information. Here you go (and all you other trolls pay
> attention too) :
>
> *I am 32 years old, not a ham, but studying to become one.
> *I have a MA in business and an AA in optical science.
> *The Voobner family has distinguished itself in some of the most famous
> battles fought by US forces. Check your military history.
> *The Voobner kids are 11 and 13, both honor students.
> *My personal vehicle is a Ford Ranger.
> *My middle name is Harold.
> *The Voobner dog is named Weldon, after the German physiognomyst.
>

> Have fun.
>
> Sincerely,
> Aaron H. Voobner
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1285. Re: hams taking 11 meters back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 11:22:57 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <mAoY5.5987$g77.651888@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 62730

Both you clowns have been trolled and bit the bait like a large-mouth bass

"CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net> wrote in message
news:90sgm6$939@dispatch.concentric.net...
> going to put a couple million radios out of service. Should be
interesting.
> How many CBers are interested in turning the 10 meter band into a pirate
> band?
>
> <cabbagebrains@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:90sa80$o0f$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > No No no.....Hams please do everyone a favor..STAY OUT OF THIS
> > DISCUSSION... its that simple, CB is going to be around for another
> > millenia so please keep your arrogant one sided veiws to your selves.
> >
> >
> >
> > In article <20001130220031.09170.00001691@ng-cf1.aol.com>,
> > n8wwm@aol.com (N8wwm) wrote:
> > > This is the text of the QRZ.com story. I present it without comment.
> > >
> > > Hi,
> > > My name is George, and I am an Extra Class ham in Missouri. I am
> > taking a
> > > survey of ham operators across the U.S. that are interested as I am,
> > in the
> > > possiblity of the FCC giving 11m back to the hams. As you my be aware
> > of, the
> > > 11m band used to be part of the amateur radio spectrum until the mid
> > 1950's
> > > when the FCC created the Citizens Band.
> > >
> > > Ever since then the CB band has went from being a licensed part of
> > the radio
> > > spectrum, to being unlicensed, and I may add, mostly unregulated by
> > the FCC,
> > > save for the ocassional "big bust" on illegal operators.
> > >
> > > So, I would like to know what other ham operators think about
> > presenting a
> > > proposal to the FCC and ARRL requesting them to give 11m back to the
> > hams, and
> > > make 26.965 through 27.405 (the CB band), a CW, RTTY, and Packet,
> > band for the

> > > Technicians. I suggest "low power", I recommend setting a power limit
> > of 10
> > > watts maxiumum, which SHOULD keep the CB'ers from infiltrating on to
> > the band.
> > > And, as with all the ham bands, only LICENSED operators will be
> > allowed.
> > >
> > > I realize some my think this to be a "radical" approach, but I would
> > like to
> > > point out the fact that the FCC as of 11/13/00, created the Multi-Use
> > Radio
> > > Service (MURS), that is essentially, a VHF CB band. In case you
> > haven't heard
> > > about this, it is posted on the ARRL website: www.arrl.org. With the
> > creation
> > > of this new band, and with the widespread use of the General Purpose
> > Radio
> > > Service, and Family Radio Service, it is my opinion that the 11m CB
> > band has
> > > outlived it's usefulness and is now a "pirate" ham band, with high
> > power
> > > linears and out-of-band operators infringing upon the 10m ham
> > spectrum.
> > >
> > > If this proposal is considered, I think that it will help eliminate
> > the
> > > numerous problems of enforcement on the 11m band today. But, like I
> > said in the
> > > beginning, I am only taking a survey, send me your e-mails and let me
> > know what
> > > you think.
> > >
> > > 73's de N0RRS
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
>
>

1286. Re: FS:TEXAS STAR DX3200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 11:24:29 UTC
From: dethmetalx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001209062429.18054.00008011@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 62718

its illegal depending who owns it.
so whoever decides it is their business to say whats illegal or not, as if it wpuld stop someone from buying it, you need to shut you god damn mouth.
and N8WWM.....im half tempted to drive to toledo from detroit and give you a lesson on whats legal or not you asshole.
someone should ban you and you need to keep yourself on the amatuer boards.....what about when all those people got popped down on 75/80 a short while ago? bet you didnt go on the ham boards sayin hahahaha, did ya punk.

1287. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 11:46:29 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a321b90.4303154@news.cet.com>
Source record: 62719

On Sat, 09 Dec 2000 04:45:03 -0500, "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)"
<upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:

>You say you're not a troll, but you should read the messages that
>you've posted and the unkind responses you get from your posts.
>
>A troll post is a one that is posted only for shock value and
>the ensuing responses that one gets from them. Many of your posts
>are rude and condescending. Maybe you should reconsider, and ask
>yourself why you were not accepted the the new group.

Does you mean that the only people allowed to post to this NG must
support the unlawful use of the citizen's band?

Sparky

1288. Re: TROLL ALERT!__was: Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 12:00:44 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <%7pY5.4953$4W.578054@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 62731

Beebe, did you flunk out of shrink school?

"Beebe Rebozo" <not@this.net> wrote in message
news:BpmY5.3354$El3.29350@open-news.pacbell.net...
>
> "Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:t33r8kjujaoq12@news.supernews.com...
> >
> > <aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> > news:90s7t3mbm1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > > In article <t337dol3qld30c@news.supernews.com>,
> > > "Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote nothing.
> > >
> > > I'm guessing this is the same Jeff Mayner that was trying to sell his
> > > illegal export radio on eBay and was frustrated when the auction was
> > > shut down.
> > >
> > > Stands to reason you want anybody (like me) who supports the eBay
policy
> > > not to have a voice on this newsgroup.
> > >
> > > Too bad. From my POV you're the troll. Anyone else think so too?
> > >
> > > Sincerely,
> > > Aaron Voobner
> >
> > You know, if I had had the rotten luck to be born with the last name of
> > "Voobner", well, I guess I'd be an old, bitter man also. Really, to be
> born
> > with a last name that sounded like a schoolyard insult...You Voobner!
or,
> > Don't be such a stupid voobner!, or simply, "Voobner voobner"... I feel
> your
> > pain and I forgive you your transgressions. It must have been incredibly
> > tough for you. ;-)
> >
>
> A tad on the anal side too.
>
> BeBe
>
> > Jeff
> >
> > >

> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > Before you buy.
> >
> >
>
>

1289. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 12:41:48 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
Message-ID: <3A322861.3C8BFE02@home.com>
Source record: 62720

If this NG dealt with CB, the primary topics would include ways of properly wiring microphones and antennas, how to check properly for tuning of antennas, etc. A quick read shows little of this and much bellyaching about amplifier quality, how to find a good 'tech' who can tweak the radio, complaints about all those hams who hate CBers but usually go unnamed, where to buy 'export' radios at a good price, what's going on at 27.555 (a non CB frequency), and so forth. Yep, the real CBer is apparently in a minority here.

Sparky wrote:

> Does you mean that the only people allowed to post to this NG must
> support the unlawful use of the citizen's band?
>
> Sparky

1290. Re: Davis RF 50OHM LOW LOSS 9913 EQUIV COAX?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 12:57:16 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A322C2C.3163DA92@qnet.com>
Source record: 62734

Hello The Packet:

Yes you can use PL-259 connectors on it. I have supplied several lengths
of the LMR-400 coax with PL-259 connectors soldered onto the ends for
guys who want new coax with the PL-259 connectors already soldered on,
for their antennas.

Jay in the Great Mojave Desert

The Packrat wrote:
>
> and at $.60/ft its isn't all that expensive. Can you use normal PL-259's on
> it?
>
> "Cap'n Crunch" <kcfamily@tir.com> wrote in message
> news:wjjY5.5952$g77.590682@nntp2.onemain.com...
> LMR 400....good stuff!
>
> "MC 10kW Jesus" <qsl27@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3A315A9D.639CD704@hotmail.com...
> > This is exactly why I recommend Times Microwave LMR-400 to anyone
> > considering Belden 9913. It's 100% solid dielectric and takes all of the
> > same connectors. Price is generally about the same.
>
> > > Alan Martin wrote
> > > Anyone familiar with this coax? My swr recently jumped from 1 to just
> > > over 2. I think some moisture might have gotten in and since its only
> > > about 20 degrees out side i figure it might have frozen. Does this coax
> > > have a history of this or what. Any other Ideas would be welcome.
> > >
> > > AL

1291. Re: STOP ME!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 13:13:57 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <IcqY5.5994$g77.670921@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 62735

<supahman@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:90soov$1qk$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>
>
> I've thought about joining the ranks of the Amateurs in town. But the
> attitude I got when I walked into the towns' library (after one the
> Hams noticed a 55 coil on my pick-up truck) and was asked if I was a
> CBer, I said "yes!" and got the... you know, the "NO-CODE Technician"
> attitude of "holier than thou", frown of the brow, 1000 yard stare from
> these individuals made me absolutely furious. I haven't even taken the
> test yet and they were already banging away with "hmmmmmmm's" and
> the "hawwwwwww's" of how CB has gotten way out of hand and how "folks
> like yourself should be ashamed of..." this and that and on and on and
> on. I politely told the VE's that were there to hold onto the test for
> me (because obviously I wasn't gonna be taking this "right of passage
> into the realm of radio" written examination with the Devil and his
> agents lurking above me waiting for a sign of weakness before they
> snatch me and throw me a bottomless pit of argon asses (Extra Class
> licensees')) and left. To clarify, I politely told the HAM to take
> that damned test and shove it up you know where.

If that is what you encountered, good for you. And that comes from an old Extra with rosin in his veins and burn holes in the carpet. I think you may have us OT Extras figured wrong but I am coming to believe that you may have the codeless contingent figured just about right. In fact I am coming to look at them as a major wart on the ass of the hobby. I do a post-restructuring analysis of amateur licenses on another group just to stir the old shit just a bit; one of the things that pops right up is that Techs don't advance in the hobby. So we seem to have created a collection of 'hams' who come storming in claiming equality without doing a whole lot, get stepped on, and go out stepping on people like you but would never stoop to a simple-minded code test.

Do yourself a favor, use the facilities of QRZ.com, AH0A.org, and me if you need to. Get the code test out of the way and take the verstunken Extra. Then go back to the club, piss on the Techs' shoes, and tell them it is raining. Make some cryptic comments about 'real radio' in the process with a knowing, superior air. Have some fun with the dangling hemorrhoids.

Can't say that I totally approve of what you are doing but who asked my opinion? What I do know is that I have seen any number of 'outlaws' become some very good amateurs with not a lot of help and even less preaching. Elmered a fair number myself.

Go ye and sin some more.

Dick McCollum NBK

1292. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 14:03:47 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9159-3A323BC3-14@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62848

What? You live in NC and 19 is INACTIVE? Ever heard of a town called Charlotte? One VERY busy hub and is the exact opposite of what you descrbe.

1293. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 14:09:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9160-3A323CFC-7@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62850

I in no way said anything in my posts that suggested you not be able to post your suggestions or opinions. When it descends to a level of trolling, that is when all logic and merit has been exhausted on the posters behalf..besides, I feel you should post whatever you want, even if you ARE wrong!

1294. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 14:11:37 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9161-3A323D99-2@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62849

I in no way said anything in my posts that suggested you not be able to post your suggestions or opinions. When it descends to a level of trolling, that is when all logic and merit has been exhausted on the posters behalf..besides, I feel you should post whatever you want, even if you ARE wrong!

1295. Re: RECOUNT, OH NOOOO! TWIST,.....HELP!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 14:44:47 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9160-3A32455F-9@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62855

YEA things are getting mighty interesting again here in the land of sand, CD! Gore buying his way through this and that, Bush filing suits to stop this and that, Gore filing suits, etc. They are both clowns who are acting like the babysitter just took away the toy they were fighting over. I think this is the one that we are gonna get what we deserve, hopefully the apathy in the country will do a reversal by next election and we can just elect a king and be done with it. What bothers me more than either of the candidates is that the next interim sittingduck that gets to call Pennsylvania Avenue home for the next 4 years is more than likely going to have the line-item veto power. As I said, the Royal post is about to get even more soiled. Still don't see how they can do it this way and call it fair. Recount? Do the entire state and military ballots ONCE, and whoever objects or cries the loudest is automatically disqualified. CD, at this point, I'd take a write-in candidate over both of them. Damn feds are everywhere,,,tell ya' one damn thing though, there is almost as much activity on the bands that we aren't supposed to hear down here as there was during the little Cuban boy Elian's circus...maybe more. It definitely is interesting spying on all those supposed "secure" transmissions between the media whores and the regimes that are-a-fightin'! If ya' got the capabilities and ya' know what I mean, tune on in...you won't believe the sheeeeit you hear! Nuthin' is surprising. But you DO have a point. I hate to use the term "Federal protection" as in Marshall Law, but the plan is in place down here just in case! It keeps on going and going...

1296. Re: RECOUNT, OH NOOOO! TWIST,.....HELP!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 14:48:50 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6049-3A324652-4@storefull-127.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62856

YEA things are getting mighty interesting again here in the land of sand, CD! Gore buying his way through this and that, Bush filing suits to stop this and that, Gore filing suits, etc. They are both clowns who are acting like the babysitter just took away the toy they were fighting over. I think this is the one that we are gonna get what we deserve, hopefully the apathy in the country will do a reversal by next election and we can just elect a king and be done with it. What bothers me more than either of the candidates is that the next interim sittingduck that gets to call Pennsylvania Avenue home for the next 4 years is more than likely going to have the line-item veto power. As I said, the Royal post is about to get even more soiled. Still don't see how they can do it this way and call it fair. Recount? Do the entire state and military ballots ONCE, and whoever objects or cries the loudest is automatically disqualified. CD, at this point, I'd take a write-in candidate over both of them. Damn feds are everywhere,,,tell ya' one damn thing though, there is almost as much activity on the bands that we aren't supposed to hear down here as there was during the little Cuban boy Elian's circus...maybe more. It definitely is interesting spying on all those supposed "secure" transmissions between the media whores and the regimes that are-a-fightin'! If ya' got the capabilities and ya' know what I mean, tune on in...you won't believe the sheeeeit you hear! Nuthin' is surprising. But you DO have a point. I hate to use the term "Federal protection" as in Marshall Law, but the plan is in place down here just in case! It keeps on going and going...

1297. looking for PLLO2A Rotary switch mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 14:50:23 UTC
From: mrevo <mrevo@mailexcite.com>
Message-ID: <3A3246AF.F0FFC73B@mailexcite.com>
Source record: 62723

I picked up a old midland ssb base rig with the PLLO2A chip. I have seen in the past and can't find now,a rotary switch mod. If someone can send it to me or direct me to a web site that has it,it would be greatly appreciated.
73

1298. WTB PRIDE AMP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 14:51:21 UTC
From: mrevo <mrevo@mailexcite.com>
Message-ID: <3A3246E9.70438A36@mailexcite.com>
Source record: 62722

I have a friend looking to buy a Pride amp,or other amp that uses the ceramic tubes like the pride.
Email with price/condition.
73

1299. For Sale or swap list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 14:51:58 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <iGrY5.6222$hp.716267@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 62724

President HR2510 10/11m rig, cosmetics quite nice, shows minimal use, good and loud, operates 100%, stock mike, manual included. Hate to sell it but new toy forces me to let it go. $195

Uniden PC-76 XL 40 channel AM mobile, with internal Connex dual pot echo board installed nicely (no extra holes, uses Delta Tune position), peaked and tweaked for big audio, stock mike, looks good. Too nice a radio to let sit gathering dust on the shelf won't fit in the mini truck, $70

Cobra 139 XLR 23 ch. AM/SSB base rig, came from an elderly gent's estate and will probably need some work from long term storage, looks super, stock mike. Gotta be worth $25

HyGain IV 40 ch. AM only base rig, with that good PLL02a chip, easy to convert, runs great, looks like hell, great bench or garage rig, $25 will throw a hand mike in the box, you wire it up.

Turner Plus 3 amplified base mike, decent shape, wired for the HyGain above, 5 pin DIN, $30. Or sell both of 'em for $50 together.

Uniden Pro510E 40 ch mobile, looks OK, think someone tried to "peak" it and cranked the VCO til she unlocked, stock mike, U-Fix $15

More stuff to follow as I dig farther back on the shelves....

Terms: Prepaid MO only, shipping via USPS insured not included, will consider swaps. looking for 25LTD, AM/SSB mobiles, scanners, amps, etc.. Email replies to wdecker1@rochester.rr.com

1300. Re: Firestick "Marketing Voodo" or real range ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 14:52:07 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <l1g43tckr9jpvjd7n07be0dj828739ejq1@4ax.com>
Source record: 62725

Greetings!

My thoughts?

The top-loading of the coil section, being above the ground losses that are incurred using loading that is closer to the other element [that being ground image] are better in many cases, but not all.

The top-loaded antennas lose against a base-load whip due to physical constraints and wind loading present.

The winding of the load is a key importance - as well as it's location and the overall length of antenna.

If you use the same number of windings near the bottom of the whip, next to the ground plane image, you have a higher "Q" factor to deal with and affects the ability to capture signals. They've got to work thru the "Q" of the coil.

If the coil wind was longer, not necessarily in diameter, only as if we relate to porportional diameter to length, the coil working the other interactive surface [the ground plane] has less loss and starts to arrive at gain factors closer to the gain of the simple top-load.

But them, here's the problem, the definition. A base load with a smaller porportion of the coil [smaller coil] to whip length, has the greater loss, than a base-loaded coil of larger length and diameter with the same whip length. You use less winds spaced apart to keep whip length nearly the same - which affects "Q" and loss and brings this type of antenna closer [read:close but not at] to the performance of a top-loaded antenna.

The spacing of the winds and the conductor diameter are the biggest culprits in the loss game.

One way to get around this type of problem in comparison results, are to use a different electrical length and adjust the winds accordingly on top-loaded antennas.

Thats why you see "5/8th wave tuned, or 3/4 wave tuned". The 5/8ths is the maximum length you can acheive with a single lobe [ground wave] radiation pattern, the 3/4 give you multi-lobed results - skywave and ground wave.

You can get 5/8th wave properties out of a top loaded antenna, but you can't acheive those same results with the base loaded types. Simply due to the location, diameter, and number of turns needed for whip length make the physical problems hard to work out and therefore are

shorter in length.

Remember, we are talking about electrical characteristics, not actual comparisons to the true 5/8th wave antennas. You still suffer far greater losses with any antenna that is shorter than it's 1/4 wave length counterpart.

You can compromise this by affecting the electrical properties. You can lengthen the coil winds by also increasing the length and diameter of the radiator form. You capture and radiate with greater efficiency with the longer antennas, but still suffer from losses in the coil winds.

And once again, we have the adage, use the longest antenna acceptable to you and your vehicle.

Ask him if he can tolerate a 1/4 wave [108"] long antenna on his vehicle. If so, you're answer is already in front of you. Use the 1/4 wave whip and call it good. If not, then use a top-loaded 5/8th wave antenna for his needs. Unless he likes to talk skip, then a 3/4 wave tuned would be good - the closer the length of these top-loaded antennas are to the 1/4 wave length, the better off you are in performance.

This is from a guy that uses 4 watts...so your results with his set-ups should be similar.

On Wed, 6 Dec 2000 14:19:51 -0500, while the modem was pondering it's navel, "Joe" <joeamp@mindspring.com> dissertated the following:

:+> Can anyone confirm these "coilsticks" have more range than a standard base
:+> or center loaded trunk mount cheap "O" properly grounded and tuned to near
:+> zero SWR.
:+> Or is all the hype "marketing Voodoo"
:+> I am doing a installation for a buddy who just wants a setup for channel 19
:+> with 4 -5 watts.
:+>
:+>

. Pow! POP! BLAM!
Regards! (0) (0) (~) (~) (~)
.:+> Andy <+: *
... You know your antenna is too long when, /
... streetlights explode as you pass under /
... them... ___ /
... ____/ ____ /
... [_.-._____.-._]|
... (0) (0) ==)) --))

http://ww.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

1301. Re: 425

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 15:04:43 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001209100443.28413.00003859@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 62726

whats he doing?

>Who the hell is the asswipe on 425 that comes on every fricken day at
>1pm pacific time??

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

1302. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 15:08:53 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001209100853.28413.00003861@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 62727

the exact same thing has been done in holland witht he drug trade and has worked great. theres is a much safer society then ours.

>
>... so you're saying that we should allow the commercial production of
>illegal amplifiers so that we'll have a higher quality of contraband.
>Hmmm, can anyone out there say "circular logic?"
>
>
>
>
>
>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

1303. Re: 257 on the wheels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 15:10:57 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001209101057.28413.00003863@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 62728

youd be suprised what good blowing fans and a little cool air to blow can do.

>I supposewhoever it is will dry up and blow away soon enough,or maybe
>he'll pop a few pills running a key for 6 or 7 hours a day.
>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

1304. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 15:12:36 UTC
From: The Landshark <s3buell@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <UZrY5.363$N46.279411@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 62729

Very impressive.....
But your thirty two years old, father, husband,
why don't you act it?

The Landshark

--
It's a Buell-tiful Day for a ride
through the Canyons.

1999 Ford F-150 Super Cab
1998 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
1983 Honda 1100F
BFD #666
<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:90ssgg$52n$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <t33r8kjujaoq12@news.supernews.com>,
> "Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> > You know, if I had had the rotten luck to be born with the last name
> of
> > "Voobner", well, I guess I'd be an old, bitter man also. Really, to be
> born
> > with a last name that sounded like a schoolyard insult...You Voobner!
> or,
> > Don't be such a stupid voobner!, or simply, "Voobner voobner"... I
> feel your
> > pain and I forgive you your transgressions. It must have been
> incredibly
> > tough for you. ;-)
> >
> > Jeff
>
>
> Can't put together a logical argument to support your points, so you
> resort to adolescent taunting?
>
> I am so disappointed.
>
> Look here Jeff, if you are going to attack me personally, you will need
> some personal information. Here you go (and all you other trolls pay
> attention too) :
>
> *I am 32 years old, not a ham, but studying to become one.
> *I have a MA in business and an AA in optical science.

> *The Voobner family has distinguished itself in some of the most famous
> battles fought by US forces. Check your military history.
> *The Voobner kids are 11 and 13, both honor students.
> *My personal vehicle is a Ford Ranger.
> *My middle name is Harold.
> *The Voobner dog is named Weldon, after the German physiognomyst.
>
> Have fun.
>
> Sincerely,
> Aaron H. Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

1305. Re: Davis RF 50OHM LOW LOSS 9913 EQUIV COAX?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 15:41:15 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <vosY5.128035$U46.4308502@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 62732

and at $.60/ft its isn't all that expensive. Can you use normal PL-259's on
it?

"Cap'n Crunch" <kcfamily@tir.com> wrote in message
news:wjjY5.5952$g77.590682@nntp2.onemain.com...
LMR 400....good stuff!

"MC 10kW Jesus" <qsl27@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3A315A9D.639CD704@hotmail.com...
> This is exactly why I recommend Times Microwave LMR-400 to anyone
> considering Belden 9913. It's 100% solid dielectric and takes all of the
> same connectors. Price is generally about the same.

> > Alan Martin wrote
> > Anyone familiar with this coax? My swr recently jumped from 1 to just
> > over 2. I think some moisture might have gotten in and since its only
> > about 20 degrees out side i figure it might have frozen. Does this coax
> > have a history of this or what. Any other Ideas would be welcome.
> >
> > AL

1306. Re: Speech Processor Update...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 15:47:54 UTC
From: The Landshark <s3buell@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <KssY5.387$N46.283419@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 62733

Up again all night????? How do you
do it Brian? I mean stay up all night
and supposedly go to work the next
day. Chemical support? or just
plain old fortitude.

The Landshark

--
It's a Buell-tiful Day for a ride
through the Canyons.

1999 Ford F-150 Super Cab
1998 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
1983 Honda 1100F
BFD #666
"Professor" <bgriffey@kwom.com> wrote in message
news:UPpX5.21466$PX1.236625@e420r-sjo3.usenetserver.com...
> Hey... you got a new Maytag box huh. Good for you. Maybe you can put some
> shingles on this one and make it last longer!
>
> --
>
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> "Professor"
> http://personal.kwom.com/bgriffey/
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "The Landshark" <s3buell@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:KzkX5.571$cp2.192064@news.pacbell.net...
> > Oh Brian, if I'm a twit, well then you should
> > take lessons. I been a little busy buying my
> > third home and haven't had much time to play.
> > But if you like, come out here and I'll rent
> > you one of my homes as a section 8 housing. I'm
> > sure you qualify quite easily.
>
>
>
>
>
>

1307. Re: FS:TEXAS STAR DX3200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 16:02:19 UTC
From: Major Tom <ground@control.com>
Message-ID: <fIsY5.664$Wd.409003@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 62736

What if he buys i for a door stop, why don't you mind your own business
stupid.
"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001209025123.18599.00000020@ng-fw1.aol.com...
> >>> ANYONE WANNA BUY A ALMOST ONLY 8 MONTHS OLD NEW TEXAS STAR DX 3200
LINEAR
> >>WITH
> >>> REMOTE AND FAN KIT PAID WELL OVER 2600.00 MAKE REASONABLE OFFERS IT
WILL
> >>DO
> >>> 3200 WATTS PLUS!!!
> >>
> >>No, he paid WAY TOO MUCH.. 1300 would have been too much.
> >>
> >>
> >
> >Plus it isnt legal
> >
>
> if it works 25-34 mhz it is illegal

1308. Info on N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 16:27:23 UTC
From: superswangthang@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3a325d61.1324509@netnews.att.net>
Source record: 62737

N8WWM Lookups: 155
DOUGLAS W. ADAIR
5319 0 SECOR RD
TOLEDO OH 43623
USA

Oh! It Don't mean a THANG.,if it ain't got that SWANG!

http://www.geocities.com/superswangthang/

1309. FS Browning Golden Eagle MK III

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 17:21:40 UTC
From: Brian K3VR <k3vr@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <90tpn0$o4h$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62739

Guys, I have a nice vintage Browning CB. All parts, knobs, covers, meters, etc. are in great shape. Transmitter and Receiver combo. Receiver looks great, works well, Transmitter looks great too, lights up, but doesn't transmit, prob needs a new tube or something? 9/10 cosmetically. All knobs, etc. are original. Pics on request. $200 and I will pay half the shipping in US.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1310. Re: Illegal CB&Ham Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 17:29:32 UTC
From: rsmtmn@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001209122932.04372.00004264@ng-cm1.aol.com>
Source record: 62738

Aaron Says>>Furthermore I feel that eBay did the right thing in banning auctions for
illegal cb amplifiers. A step further might be a more restrictive ban on the so-called "export" radios that are designed for CB operation and are responsible for 100% of the trucker incursions into the 10 meter amateur frequencies.
Sincerely,
Aaron Voobner

Hmmm, maybe they will do the same for 90+ % of the ham radios that have been modified and made to transmit out of band? How many CB'ers do you hear jamming public safety frequencies huh? Mostly its hams...
Until the FCC started taking a stand (mostly because one of them is an active ham operator), the ham bands were in the same shape as the Citizens Band if you figure out the percentage of operators in both radio services. One only needs to read World Radio or some of the other publications and then do alittle math.
Sorry, but the Amateur Radio Operators have no better status then the CB'ers..To say they do is only lying to themselves.

One other note, I'm also a Amateur Radio Operator and also work in the public safety field. Right now we have a ham running around the Minneapolis/St. Paul area jamming our emergencyfrequencies.
Geez, and can't remember that last time a CB'er was caught for doing that... *lol

Some of these guys need to take their heads out of the sand and face relatity. Your NO Better than anyone else and to believe otherwise is a joke.

Far more emergency traffic has pass over the Citizens Band airwaves then *ALL* of the Amateur frequencies combined.
One only face the facts and keep personnal opinion out of it. Facts are Facts.

Both radio service have there pluses and minues.

1311. Re: Davis RF 50OHM LOW LOSS 9913 EQUIV COAX?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 17:32:58 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <e1uY5.128081$U46.4314829@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 62740

cool - maybe next spring I'll get about 300 ft of this and climb the tree
out here

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3A322C2C.3163DA92@qnet.com...
Hello The Packet:

Yes you can use PL-259 connectors on it. I have supplied several lengths
of the LMR-400 coax with PL-259 connectors soldered onto the ends for
guys who want new coax with the PL-259 connectors already soldered on,
for their antennas.

Jay in the Great Mojave Desert

The Packrat wrote:
>
> and at $.60/ft its isn't all that expensive. Can you use normal PL-259's
on
> it?
>
> "Cap'n Crunch" <kcfamily@tir.com> wrote in message
> news:wjjY5.5952$g77.590682@nntp2.onemain.com...
> LMR 400....good stuff!
>
> "MC 10kW Jesus" <qsl27@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3A315A9D.639CD704@hotmail.com...
> > This is exactly why I recommend Times Microwave LMR-400 to anyone
> > considering Belden 9913. It's 100% solid dielectric and takes all of the
> > same connectors. Price is generally about the same.
>
> > > Alan Martin wrote
> > > Anyone familiar with this coax? My swr recently jumped from 1 to just
> > > over 2. I think some moisture might have gotten in and since its only
> > > about 20 degrees out side i figure it might have frozen. Does this
coax
> > > have a history of this or what. Any other Ideas would be welcome.
> > >
> > > AL

1312. Re: Info on N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 17:34:28 UTC
From: drivebyshootah@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001209123428.01963.00008315@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 62741

For all we know this may be an imposter, not saying that it is but if someone knows this guy Doug personally or lives near hm lets find out the truth.

1313. Re: More good FCC stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 17:36:35 UTC
From: drivebyshootah@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001209123635.01963.00008316@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 62742

More undocumented claims.

1314. Re: More good FCC stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 17:49:05 UTC
From: drivebyshootah@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001209124905.01963.00008318@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 62743

Like we couldnt find the exact same amount of cases or more with illegal ham operators. This is clearly a case of a fuk up with no time on his hands

1315. Re: Info on N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 17:55:04 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <zkuY5.6614$g77.717259@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 62744

"DriveByShootah" <drivebyshootah@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001209123428.01963.00008315@ng-ch1.aol.com...
> For all we know this may be an imposter, not saying that it is but if
someone
> knows this guy Doug personally or lives near hm lets find out the truth.
>

Presumably so that some illiterate loser can live up to his 'handle'???

1316. Re: Info on N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 18:08:13 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <25t43t403pivrp7k1sujraiag45he780sa@4ax.com>
Source record: 62745

On Sat, 09 Dec 2000 16:27:23 GMT, superswangthang@yahoo.com
(Swangthangman) wrote:

>N8WWM Lookups: 155
>DOUGLAS W. ADAIR
>5319 0 SECOR RD
>TOLEDO OH 43623
>USA

This is either the wrong Doug or his former address. His current
address, work and home, was previously posted under another
thread.

1317. Holiday Mood vwith Debbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 18:38:43 UTC
From: elmerpee@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90tu7i$rk0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62747

Its begaing too look alot like EASTER every where i go.Debbie called me last week and said she wanted my hot body and wants the big Elmer in here big radio.WOW BLOW IT BABY that all i coing too say about that!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1318. Re: For Sale or swap list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 18:41:37 UTC
From: elmerpee@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90tud0rmf1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62649

In article <iGrY5.6222$hp.716267@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>,
"Bill" <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com> wrote:
> President HR2510 10/11m rig, cosmetics quite nice, shows minimal use,
good
> and loud, operates 100%, stock mike, manual included. Hate to sell it
but
> new toy forces me to let it go. $195
>
> Uniden PC-76 XL 40 channel AM mobile, with internal Connex dual pot
echo
> board installed nicely (no extra holes, uses Delta Tune position),
peaked
> and tweaked for big audio, stock mike, looks good. Too nice a radio
to let
> sit gathering dust on the shelf won't fit in the mini truck, $70
>
> Cobra 139 XLR 23 ch. AM/SSB base rig, came from an elderly gent's
estate and
> will probably need some work from long term storage, looks super,
stock
> mike. Gotta be worth $25
>
> HyGain IV 40 ch. AM only base rig, with that good PLL02a chip, easy to
> convert, runs great, looks like hell, great bench or garage rig, $25
will
> throw a hand mike in the box, you wire it up.
>
> Turner Plus 3 amplified base mike, decent shape, wired for the HyGain
above,
> 5 pin DIN, $30. Or sell both of 'em for $50 together.
>
> Uniden Pro510E 40 ch mobile, looks OK, think someone tried to "peak"
it and
> cranked the VCO til she unlocked, stock mike, U-Fix $15
>
> More stuff to follow as I dig farther back on the shelves....
>
> Terms: Prepaid MO only, shipping via USPS insured not included, will
> consider swaps. looking for 25LTD, AM/SSB mobiles, scanners, amps,
etc..
> Email replies to wdecker1@rochester.rr.com
>
> Shit Bill you trying too sale that damm junk again for a high price
one can get a better price at walmart that buying your junk!!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1319. NEW LAW ON 11 Meter!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 18:43:20 UTC
From: elmerpee@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90tug7$rn7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62648

The NEW LAW Means too GET OFF YOUR DEAD ASS And Hammer Hammer Blow
Smoke and Kick ASS DuDe

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1320. Re: Illegal CB&Ham Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 18:49:06 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209134906.19901.00000004@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 62746

> Sorry, but the Amateur Radio Operators have no better status then the
>CB'ers..To say they do is only lying to themselves.
>

We are accountable, and we are not as a whole group operating illegally, as the entire group between 27.405 and 28.00
and below 26.965 is. The whole lot are illegal in those cases. You are illegal as soon as you key the mike. Hell, you are illegal if you run a non type accepted piece of gear, especially amplifiers, and there are NO rigs the general public can buy that are type accepted for operation on those illegal freqs. Who is lying to themself now?

1321. Re: Info on N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 18:51:05 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209135105.19901.00000005@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 62650

Like I am worried. As I said before, come on over and set down, and extra plate at the table aint no trouble.

Anytime you want fellas.

1322. Re: STOP ME!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 18:58:58 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209135858.19901.00000007@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 62651

> Preach,
>troll, voice your opinion on this here NG and I still will not stop.
>DX on 11 meter is good enough to do without getting a license."
>
I never wanted to stop anyone from operating illegally. They will get theirs when the new laws take effect. I just think it is funny how all the illegals whined about the bill that was passed, and I poked at 'em about it. Keep operating there, keep on deluding yourself that no one will bust you. I think it is funny.

1323. Re: FS:TEXAS STAR DX3200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 19:02:22 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209140222.19901.00000010@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 62652

>N8WWM.....im half tempted to drive to toledo from detroit and give you a
>lesson on whats legal or not you asshole.

Anytime you mental defective. You know where I live. I will even set another
plate for ya.

1324. Re: FS:TEXAS STAR DX3200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 19:05:35 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209140535.19901.00000012@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 62653

And the amplifier is illegal, period. It is not FCC type accepted and also puts out an excessive power level.

The only amplifiers that are legal between 25 and 34 mhz are those modified for 10 meters by hams, or sold for export. Export means out of the USA, you doo-da.

Come on down and visit anytime. Just contemplate the consequences.

1325. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 19:07:32 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209140732.19901.00000013@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 62654

>From: timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com)
>Date: 12/9/2000 10:08 AM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <20001209100853.28413.00003861@ng-cr1.aol.com>
>
>the exact same thing has been done in holland witht he drug trade and has
>worked great. theres is a much safer society then ours.
>
>>
>>... so you're saying that we should allow the commercial production of
>>illegal amplifiers so that we'll have a higher quality of contraband.
>>Hmmm, can anyone out there say "circular logic?"
>>

This business about drugs and Holland coming from one of the biggest "I will be illegal always" guys out there. Funny.

1326. Re: WHAT THE HELL???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 19:12:52 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209141252.19901.00000014@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 62655

>
>Hey I agree, the hell with the hamms and what the hell are they doin
>here in the first place? dang its like they think they own the airwaves
>32-15 Alaska
>

Because we are entitled to our opinions too. You dont like mine I dont like yours...tough stuff on both parts.

1327. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 19:14:06 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209141406.19901.00000015@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 62656

>It is great to see all you extra class (whatever the hell that is) patting
>yourselves on the ass but why don't you do it in your own NG.
>

Cuz we are here, CB guys go to ham ng's...so what?

1328. Re: 425

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 19:15:12 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209141512.19901.00000016@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 62657

>
>Who the hell is the asswipe on 425 that comes on every fricken day at
>1pm pacific time??? Nothing more than his likes that totally PISS me
>off! Do everyone a favor, track his station down and put it out!
>
>32-15.....alaska

An illegal operator complaining of illegal activity...Hey maybe you could call the FCC.

1329. Re: 257 on the wheels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 19:17:19 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209141719.19901.00000017@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 62658

>Now if I could just find out who the mental defectant is thats recording
>me and playing my voice back over the air ALL DAY LONG EVERY DAY.

Whoever has that recorder has quite a sense of humor.

1330. Re: Info on N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 19:18:42 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <JyvY5.952$JE.268415@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 62659

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:25t43t403pivrp7k1sujraiag45he780sa@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 09 Dec 2000 16:27:23 GMT, superswangthang@yahoo.com
> (Swangthangman) wrote:
>
> >N8WWM Lookups: 155
> >DOUGLAS W. ADAIR
> >5319 0 SECOR RD
> >TOLEDO OH 43623
> >USA
>
>
> This is either the wrong Doug or his former address. His current
> address, work and home, was previously posted under another
> thread.

If it is not current, our fearless crusader is in violation of Part 97.
This is the listing for n8wwm in the FCC base.

Dick N�BK

1331. Re: BIG RADIO FOR SALE PUTS OUT TO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 19:29:51 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209142951.19901.00000018@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 62660

Lightnin24@hotmail.com drooled:

>At least one thing about them, they do know something about HF. You still
>trying to get five words a minute. Technician Forever wannabe
>"N8wwm"
N8wwm replied:
Actually, after working more DX in cycle 21 illegally than all these weenies combined, I got bored. 6 meters has been a blast, and I am working on CW ONLY because I want to get on the 6 meter spotting net on 10 meters.

I am a Technician because the things I wanted to do are allowed under my privileges. If they were not I would have long ago upgraded.

I am certain that my technical knowledge exceeds the average Technician and I know my experience does. Not that it matters, we are all equal in regard to privileges.

Lotsa people operated illegally in the past and are now hams. I am sure some of you are drooling at my admission. It was a case of seeing the light and going to it that made me take the test in the first place, aside from being bored with 11 meters. I was off 11 for at least 5-6 years before I even got my Technician ticket.

Now, slam me some more. I really don't care. Call me anything you want, I really don't care. It brightens my day to read the
silly ranting on here.

1332. Re: LET'S ANALYZE HR 2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 19:34:17 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209143417.19901.00000019@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 62661

maps75@pvtnetworks.net drooled the following garbage from his squirrel turd encrusted mouth:

>Hey Dick: And I use that term literally. If you
>had
>read the entire bill, Mr. Al Gore the vice
>president
>signed off on it. So much for your intelligence.
>Go
>back to 10-160 meters where you are probably
>not welcome either

dum da dum dum...lame as hell...

1333. PLLO2A Rotary switch mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 19:36:24 UTC
From: mrevo <mrevo@mailexcite.com>
Message-ID: <3A3289B8.6E3DC9D0@mailexcite.com>
Source record: 62662

Anyone have this mod?

1334. Re: Info on N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 19:37:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209143700.19901.00000021@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 62663

>
>>N8WWM Lookups: 155
>>DOUGLAS W. ADAIR
>>5319 0 SECOR RD
>>TOLEDO OH 43623
>>USA
>
>
>This is either the wrong Doug or his former address. His current
>address, work and home, was previously posted under another
>thread.
>
>

Nope, that's me and tha'ts for real...if you look in QRZyou will notice it
changed on 11/30/00.

1335. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 19:38:51 UTC
From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90u1ob$u7q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62665

In article <20001209140732.19901.00000013@ng-fw1.aol>.com>,
>n8wwm@chickenhawk.com >(N8wwmrectumringer) wrote:
> >From: timnebo@aol.comedy >(www.kp82.com)
> >Date: 12/9/2000 10:08 AM Eastern Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20001209100853.28413.00003861@ng-cr1.aol.com>
> >
> >the exact same thing has been done in holland witht he drug trade and has
> >worked great. theres is a much safer society then ours.
> >
> >>
> >>... so you're saying that we should allow the commercial production of
> >>illegal amplifiers so that we'll have a higher quality of contraband.
> >>Hmmm, can anyone out there say "circular logic?"
> >>
>
> This business about drugs and Holland coming from one of the biggest "I will be
> illegal always" guys out there. Funny.
>
>
>the only funny thing chickenhawk is your >slip its showing but then again youre >too
stupid to notice since youre always >out searching for homos say something >stupid for the
masses and tell us way >you keep bringing up homo activity you >little chickenhawk self
admitted flamer >you are slipping and you know it >chickenhawk all your posts are about
>homo activity thanks for clarifying >chickenhawk

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1336. I'm outa here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 19:39:00 UTC
From: hillbilly <hillbilly001@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <90u1ok$u7t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62666

I'm outa here, tired of all the ass biting. they should change the name
of this group the the ABA....ass biters society....

later kids, I'll be back when ya all grow up.

Dave KG5XR
http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
On Bull Shoales Lake, Ark/Mo State Line
Fishing is great & crappie are biting

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1337. Re: More good FCC stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 19:43:41 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209144341.19901.00000025@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 62664

>
>Like we couldnt find the exact same amount of cases or more with illegal ham
>operators. This is clearly a case of a fuk up with no time on his hands
>

Maybe so, as far as illegal hams go...thats OK...they are EASIER to bust because the callsign gives even more accountability. Amateurs have more to lose. Three cheers for the FCC if they do bust 'em.

You miss my point completely drivebyshootah...

Illegal is illegal, regardless of having a ham license or not. I make no distinction. All this "hammies this hammies that" stuff is just a bunch of bluster. So what? Bust 'em all, I say.

1338. Re: STOP ME!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 19:48:53 UTC
From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90u2b4ulq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62668

In article <20001209135858.19901.00000007@ng-fw1.aol.com>,
>n8wwm(chickenhawk)@rectumringer.com(N8ww>m) wrote:
> > Preach,
> >troll, voice your opinion on this here NG and I still will not stop.
> >DX on 11 meter is good enough to do without getting a license."
> >
> I never wanted to stop anyone from operating illegally. They will get theirs
> when the new laws take effect. I just think it is funny how all the illegals
> whined about the bill that was passed, and I poked at 'em about it. Keep
> operating there, keep on deluding yourself that no one will bust you. I think
> it is funny.
>
>chickenhawk chickenhawk pull your slip >its still showing we will keep on >slamming ducks like you on air and ok we >will keep on operating as we always have >running off little fags like your >chickenhawk ass and not worry

>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1339. Re: Tired of this sh!t?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 19:50:08 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A328CF0.E411E740@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62667

N8wwm spewed forth:

> Scottiekins admits defeat...AND MOVES AWAY!!!!

It ain't defeat...it's the equivalent of turning the knob
to get away from a music playing carrier tosser.

Judging from the overwhelming response of the flocks
signing on, many feel the same way. It's like going
to HF, we know you aren't going to be there.

1340. Re: I'm outa here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 20:03:15 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209150315.19356.00000075@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 62669

Oh Geezus Dave, gimme a freakin break...poor widdle innocent Daviekins didnt bite anyone, did he?

>I'm outa here, tired of all the ass biting. they should change the name
>of this group the the ABA....ass biters society....
>
>later kids, I'll be back when ya all grow up.
>
>Dave KG5XR
>http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
>On Bull Shoales Lake, Ark/Mo State Line
>Fishing is great & crappie are biting
And the men are men and the sheep are nervous.

1341. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 20:05:48 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209150548.19356.00000076@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 62670

1mangang is incapable of anything but lame assed posts like this...hahahah
Plus the coward wont use his real name...'cuz he likes to act like he is so
friggin smart in the NG under his true identity...

>>the only funny thing chickenhawk is your >slip its showing but then again
>youre >too stupid to notice since youre always >out searching for homos say
>something >stupid for the masses and tell us way >you keep bringing up homo
>activity you >little chickenhawk self admitted flamer >you are slipping and
>you know it >chickenhawk all your posts are about >homo activity thanks for
>clarifying >chickenhawk
>
>

1342. Re: Tired of this sh!t?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 20:07:37 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209150737.19356.00000077@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 62671

>
>N8wwm spewed forth:
>
>> Scottiekins admits defeat...AND MOVES AWAY!!!!
>

Scottiekins whined:

>It ain't defeat...it's the equivalent of turning the knob
>to get away from a music playing carrier tosser.
>

Yes, when you cant step on him change the freq. Yep Yep...mercy me them hammies this them hammies that.

1343. Re: TROLL ALERT!__was: Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 20:10:39 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t354e42e7up2b5@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 62672

"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message
news:%7pY5.4953$4W.578054@nntp1.onemain.com...
> Beebe, did you flunk out of shrink school?

Actually, when Richard was kicked out of the White House, and his
transgressions caused my "bidness" to dry up, I have just been taking a few
night courses at the local Jr. College.
Still get out on the boat now and then, mostly when the Tarpon are bitin
'.

>
> "Beebe Rebozo" <not@this.net> wrote in message
> news:BpmY5.3354$El3.29350@open-news.pacbell.net...
> >
> > "Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> > news:t33r8kjujaoq12@news.supernews.com...
> > >
> > > <aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> > > news:90s7t3mbm1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > > > In article <t337dol3qld30c@news.supernews.com>,
> > > > "Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote nothing.
> > > >
> > > > I'm guessing this is the same Jeff Mayner that was trying to sell
his
> > > > illegal export radio on eBay and was frustrated when the auction was
> > > > shut down.
> > > >
> > > > Stands to reason you want anybody (like me) who supports the eBay
> policy
> > > > not to have a voice on this newsgroup.
> > > >
> > > > Too bad. From my POV you're the troll. Anyone else think so too?
> > > >
> > > > Sincerely,
> > > > Aaron Voobner
> > >
> > > You know, if I had had the rotten luck to be born with the last name
of
> > > "Voobner", well, I guess I'd be an old, bitter man also. Really, to be
> > born
> > > with a last name that sounded like a schoolyard insult...You Voobner!
> or,
> > > Don't be such a stupid voobner!, or simply, "Voobner voobner"... I
feel
> > your

> > > pain and I forgive you your transgressions. It must have been
incredibly
> > > tough for you. ;-)
> > >
> >
> > A tad on the anal side too.
> >
> > BeBe
> >
> > > Jeff
> > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > > Before you buy.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1344. N8WWM almost becomes female

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 20:10:52 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209151052.19356.00000078@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 62673

From nearly laughing my sack off at you lame little sissies calling me names.

LAME LAME LAME...but thanks for playing. You get a complimentary set of coffe mugs as a consolation prize.

Merry Christmas, I hope the brain implants work and you all can get jobs at McDonalds.

1345. Re: Info on N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 20:14:32 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t354lceo8i8mf3@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 62674

"DriveByShootah" <drivebyshootah@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001209123428.01963.00008315@ng-ch1.aol.com...
> For all we know this may be an imposter, not saying that it is but if
someone
> knows this guy Doug personally or lives near hm lets find out the truth.
>

Maybe some can't handle the truth. (Jack Nicholson) Then again, maybe just ignoring this fella is the best way to handle him? Just my opinion. I guess I've gotten soft in my old age. 10 years ago I would surely be calling for his nuts in a jar.

Jeff

1346. New book on CB is out.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 20:16:03 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209151603.19356.00000080@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 62675

This book is highly reccomended by 1mangang, who is the father of the brides. He has been selling it for me and made enough money for his sex change operation:
Special announcement about the 3 special kids!
Folks, you need the following book...Twistie, tnom and Scottiekins have, with adult supervision, drooled into a dictaphone the following masterpiece of authorship and it is now available at your local book store...Don't miss "Muskrat Love", the heartwarming story of 3 illegal CB'ers who find love and marriage through uniting in hatred for N8WWM, the mean 'ole hammie they wish they were 1% equal to in quality of flame issues.
While their Sparkomatic and 2 pill amp combo splashes all 40 channels and into 10 meters, listen to the 3 sweet little CB'ers plot, plan and generally scheme to out flame the greatest flamer of all time. Read about their use of electronic components as aids to their sagging libido's. Learn why no female relatives ever come to visit. Look at the special 13 page photo insert that shows the dried snot on their microphone! This and much, much more.

Folks, this book is on par with the smash hit "I am faithful to my wife", by Bill Clinton, and will become recognized as a major literary accomplishment. The trippin' trio have outdone themselves this time, and you have just gotta read it to believe it. Only 29.95 now at your local bookseller or order online at
www.N8WWMisGreat.com

1347. Re: Info on N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 20:18:27 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <kr453t8jdck3j37pmuag7i9ocmkse9o3hv@4ax.com>
Source record: 62676

On Sat, 9 Dec 2000 13:18:42 -0600, "Richard McCollum"
<rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:

>
><tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
>news:25t43t403pivrp7k1sujraiag45he780sa@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 09 Dec 2000 16:27:23 GMT, superswangthang@yahoo.com
>> (Swangthangman) wrote:
>>
>> >N8WWM Lookups: 155
>> >DOUGLAS W. ADAIR
>> >5319 0 SECOR RD
>> >TOLEDO OH 43623
>> >USA
>>
>>
>> This is either the wrong Doug or his former address. His current
>> address, work and home, was previously posted under another
>> thread.
>
>If it is not current, our fearless crusader is in violation of Part 97.
>This is the listing for n8wwm in the FCC base.
>
>Dick Nï¿½BK
>
Turn him in. he lives in Chicago.

1348. Spam From Kp82.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 20:20:21 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001209152021.04296.00001240@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 62677

look at all the fun were having on 11 meters. world wide dx, local comms at night, and all types of digital modes. its a load of fun and you dont have to study a thing. see you on the freeband.

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

1349. Re: what is this new law on CB enforcement?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 20:20:59 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <t3554sopkk1v61@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62678

Well, close...

It does NOT allow local authorities to enforce federal laws
with regards to CB.

It allows local communities (ie states) to pass their
OWN laws, it they choose to, which can then be enforced
by the local authorities.

These new 'local laws' are only 'subsets' of what the fed
now says they can pass of the federal laws. Ie, a state
can 'copy' a federal law and now enforce it. Or it can
copy part of a federal law, and enforce it. But it cannot
create NEW law, or even copy parts of federal laws
EXCEPT for those area specifically allowed for in this
bill.

Mike

CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net> wrote in message
news:90sga6$91k@dispatch.concentric.net...
> It is not a new law!! It is only a bill that gives the local authorities
the
> limited ability to enforce FCC rules if they choose do so.
> "Pinball 1" <pinball1@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20001208220734.08448.00003062@ng-mk1.aol.com...
> > I am new to this group. Could someone tell me where to get info
explaining
> the
> > new law passed on CB radio and local enforcement
>
>

1350. Re: Newbie Q about 'deadkey' and 'swing' .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 20:23:05 UTC
From: sideband <sideband@cac.net>
Message-ID: <is453to0fu0sm7ulaao49aavo099mbeduo@4ax.com>
Source record: 62679

Andy:

That was sarcasm.....

I've always been of the opinion that a plain, ordinary
noise-cancelling mic, and a well tuned radio, will give the cleanest,
strongest audio... That opinion has been confirmed by reports from
many people about the 29's, 76's, 148's, and Grant's that I've run in
the past and present, stating that my radio had good, strong, clean
audio, was HIGHLY readable, with no distortion or overmodulation
apparent. The radios look good on the scope, too, showing a clean
curve at the output stage.

Power mics, in my opinion, just pull in alot of background noise, and
actually make the radio work too hard trying to clip back all the
excess audio input, as well as eating up a bunch of expensive 7 volt,
or even more 9 volt batteries...

Just my two cents... But I don't yell into the my Astatic 636L... I
don't need to.

-SSB

On or about Fri, 08 Dec 2000 09:16:05 -0500, Handy Andy
<CodysPC@Iserve.Net>, using the forum rec.radio.cb did say:

:Greetings!
:
:;-)
:
:True, but it only makes the radio work *harder*...
:
:The smarter ones just use a power mike to handle all the yelling.;-)
:
:On Thu, 07 Dec 2000 09:50:20 -0500, while the modem was pondering it's
:navel, sideband <sideband@cac.net> dissertated the following:
:
::+> On or about Sat, 02 Dec 2000 10:33:18 -0500, Handy Andy
::+> <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>, using the forum rec.radio.cb did say:
::+> :"Swings to 20" means it's putting out up to 20 watts as you talk into
::+> :the mike [Carrier plus audio (modulation)] - naturally you're going to
::+> :have to talk pretty loud into the mike to hit that 20 watt figure
::+> :constantly.
::+>
::+> So yelling into your mic DOES make your CB work better! ::snicker::
::+>

::+> -SSB
:
:
:Regards!
::+> Andy <+:
:
:... You know your radio is really Modulating when...
:... Elvis shows up at your doorstep and asks to use
:... your Microphone!!!
:
:http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

1351. Re: Info on N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 20:45:01 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209154501.12052.00004520@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 62680

>>If it is not current, our fearless crusader is in violation of Part 97.
>>This is the listing for n8wwm in the FCC base.
>>
>>Dick Nï¿½BK
>>
>Turn him in. he lives in Chicago.
>
>

Another dum dum...Yes, Turn me in...please turn me in. Call Riley now...

1352. Re: Newbie Q about 'deadkey' and 'swing' .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 20:57:21 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <t35795t66v8d39@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62682

A LOT of the issue with power mics is from the 'old days' with crystal mics. Crystals put out VOLTS! Where dynamic mics put out tens of millivolts. Even with some simple amplifiers, dynamic mics just didn't put out enough 'oomph' to let the older rigs audio limiters go into compression. As a result, the big 'crystal' mics always seemed to do a better job.

But with modern rigs, and modern mics, there is MUCH less of an advantage to power mics. In fact, most of their advantage is that you can turn them DOWN when it's not needed. They still amplify, but then attenuate to be marginally better than a stock dynamic mic. If they didn't, they'd really sound like crap on most rigs (hmm, come to think of it... No, never mind...)

Actually, the BEST setup is to get a mic that can drive your rig to where the audio limiters compress without distortion. If a power mic can do that, then it's a good investment. But I have to say that MOST of the rigs in the last 10 or 15 years have mic preamps built in that will more than do what is necessary in place of a power mic.

However... Power mics DO have the trait that a lot of them 'tamper' with the spectrum. More bass, more highs, more midrage, whatever the manufacturer thinks makes him unique. If you like the sound of your power mic, fine.

So, power mics DO have some advantages.

A volume control that can be turned down.

A frequency response that you may like better than stock

An output level that MAY be better matched to your rig.

Overall, if you like them, fine.

Mike

sideband <sideband@cac.net> wrote in message news:is453to0fu0sm7ulaao49aavo099mbeduo@4ax.com...

> Andy:
>
> That was sarcasm.....
>
> I've always been of the opinion that a plain, ordinary
> noise-cancelling mic, and a well tuned radio, will give the cleanest,
> strongest audio... That opinion has been confirmed by reports from
> many people about the 29's, 76's, 148's, and Grant's that I've run in
> the past and present, stating that my radio had good, strong, clean
> audio, was HIGHLY readable, with no distortion or overmodulation
> apparent. The radios look good on the scope, too, showing a clean
> curve at the output stage.
>
> Power mics, in my opinion, just pull in alot of background noise, and
> actually make the radio work too hard trying to clip back all the
> excess audio input, as well as eating up a bunch of expensive 7 volt,
> or even more 9 volt batteries...
>
> Just my two cents... But I don't yell into the my Astatic 636L... I
> don't need to.
>
> -SSB
>
> On or about Fri, 08 Dec 2000 09:16:05 -0500, Handy Andy
> <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>, using the forum rec.radio.cb did say:
>
> :Greetings!
> :
> :;-)
> :
> :True, but it only makes the radio work *harder*...
> :
> :The smarter ones just use a power mike to handle all the yelling.;-)
> :
> :On Thu, 07 Dec 2000 09:50:20 -0500, while the modem was pondering it's
> :navel, sideband <sideband@cac.net> dissertated the following:
> :
> ::+> On or about Sat, 02 Dec 2000 10:33:18 -0500, Handy Andy
> ::+> <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>, using the forum rec.radio.cb did say:
> ::+> :"Swings to 20" means it's putting out up to 20 watts as you talk
into
> ::+> :the mike [Carrier plus audio (modulation)] - naturally you're going
to
> ::+> :have to talk pretty loud into the mike to hit that 20 watt figure
> ::+> :constantly.
> ::+>
> ::+> So yelling into your mic DOES make your CB work better! ::snicker::
> ::+>
> ::+> -SSB
> :

> :
> :Regards!
> ::+> Andy <+:
> :
> :... You know your radio is really Modulating when...
> :... Elvis shows up at your doorstep and asks to use
> :... your Microphone!!!
> :
> :http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm
>

1353. Re: Spam From Kp82.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 20:58:40 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90u6dv$1mu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62684

In article <20001209152021.04296.00001240@ng-fp1.aol.com>,
timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com) wrote:
> look at all the fun were having on 11 meters. world wide dx, local
>comms at night, and all types of digital modes. its a load of fun and
>you dont have to study a thing. see you on the freeband.
>
> 73 de Tim
> Knight Patrol #82
> http://www.kp82.com

You are poaching on unauthorized frequencies. This is typical of the "looter mentality" of criminals, Tim, i.e. "if no one's looking it's OK to steal". Are you a criminal, Tim? Why act like one?

Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1354. Re: Info on N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 21:01:01 UTC
From: superswangthang@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3a329d79.5525349@netnews.att.net>
Source record: 62683

On 09 Dec 2000 18:51:05 GMT, n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:

>Like I am worried. As I said before, come on over and set down, and extra plate
>at the table aint no trouble.
>
>Anytime you want fellas.

Nah we'll just pull a drive by and shoot yer house up or while your at
work, we'll rape yer ol' lady, fuck yer daughter and shoot yer dawg.

I have friends in Toledo, so watch it.

Oh! It Don't mean a THANG.,if it ain't got that SWANG!

http://www.geocities.com/superswangthang/

1355. Re: STOP ME!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 21:01:05 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A329D91.7C57@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 62681

supahman@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> With all the "hooplah" going on here with Jerry Oxidine, TR@sky.net,
> Mr. Voobner, and our resident "JACKASS Basement dweller..." N8WWM, I'd
> like to present my troll here...
>
Now what did *I* post that got you after me?

Jerry

1356. Re: For Sale or swap list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 21:07:08 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <0axY5.16841$la1.3054777@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 62685

Begone, foul creature, back under your bridge....

elmerpee@my-deja.com regurgitated the following nonsense.....
<snip>

1357. Re: Spam From Kp82.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 21:14:14 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A3292D1.6E464E6E@some.net>
Source record: 62686

You just defined the cb mentality to a T.

aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:

> In article <20001209152021.04296.00001240@ng-fp1.aol.com>,
> timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com) wrote:
> > look at all the fun were having on 11 meters. world wide dx, local
> >comms at night, and all types of digital modes. its a load of fun and
> >you dont have to study a thing. see you on the freeband.
> >
> > 73 de Tim
> > Knight Patrol #82
> > http://www.kp82.com
>
> You are poaching on unauthorized frequencies. This is typical of the
> "looter mentality" of criminals, Tim, i.e. "if no one's looking it's OK
> to steal". Are you a criminal, Tim? Why act like one?
>
> Aaron Voobner
>

1358. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 21:18:55 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90u7jr$2jv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62689

In article <3A322861.3C8BFE02@home.com>,
Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com> wrote:
> If this NG dealt with CB, the primary topics would include ways of
> properly wiring microphones and antennas, how to check properly for
> tuning of antennas, etc. A quick read shows little of this and much
> bellyaching about amplifier quality, how to find a good 'tech' who can
> tweak the radio, complaints about all those hams who hate CBers but
> usually go unnamed, where to buy 'export' radios at a good price,
>what's going on at 27.555 (a non CB frequency), and so forth. Yep, the
>real CBer is apparently in a minority here.
>
> Sparky wrote:
>
> > Does you mean that the only people allowed to post to this NG must
> > support the unlawful use of the citizen's band?
> >
> > Sparky

I couldn't agree with you more, Gary and Sparky.

I was initially shocked to read this newsgroup and find it utterly dominated by illegal freebanders, high power junkies, and peddlers of CB amps. I was appalled to find what amounts to a "school for RF criminals". Discussions on everything from how to modify FRS radios...to transmitting on HF airliner frequencies!

What's amazing is that when responsible individuals protest this illegal focus - they are shouted down by the pro-illegal contingent. What's even more amazing is that they stay down.

As far as I can see, rec.radio.cb is mostly used for trafficking of export radios, modified amateur transceivers, and contraband CB amps. This stuff ends up in the hands of truckers and freebanders who (by mistake or by design) show up on the amateur frequencies. Therefore, it is every ham's right to come into rec.radio.cb and shout - LOUDLY.

Sincerely,
Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1359. CB WHINERS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 21:24:01 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209162401.23142.00004451@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 62687
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

>
>It allows local communities (ie states) to pass their
>OWN laws, it they choose to, which can then be enforced
>by the local authorities.
>
Exactly!
Or enforce existing public nuisance laws against illegal ops. It will likely be a double whammy, the locals will make a misdemeanor bust, and then after conviction the FCC can act if it wants, as they have reserved the right to do so.
Probably will be on the order of what the local cops do now when someone has a loud stereo going at 3AM, or something of that nature.

Again, the simple truth that the folks on here just hate: Legal operators, be they CB or Amateur service users, will be exempt from enforcement. The only ones impacted will be the illegals. Read the bill.

I can't wait til the first bust. These guys think they have a right to use illegal equipment on frequencies that are allocated to other services. All I hear is "hammies this and hammies that". Whiners, all of 'em. They operate like fools and when something gets done about it they start blaming others for causing the problem. Typical of children.

When people come to this NG and support an issue they do not like they make threats, scream, holler...just like they do on the CB band. Their actions here are strong proof that the new legislation is timely and needed.

What we basically have here is a tribe of aboriginal stone age heathens with no concept of accountability. It is high time some fannies were blistered by the law and I am one who is happy to see it.

They can cuss me out, they can call me names, they can publish my address in the NG, they can threaten to come to my home, ,they can do what they wanna do...every time they do it just adds proof to my words. I knew my info was in the public domain from the start, unlike these clowns who hide behind phony email addresses, made up callsigns, and silly ideas about right and wrong that can be poked wide open in 1 second of rational thought.

Anyone who disagrees with my point of view is welcome to do so, I promise I wont treat you like these guys treat those who disagree.

In the meantime...

Merry Christmas...maybe you should beat up someone who disagrees with you in the name of God. Better yet, tie 'em to a truck and drag 'em for 5 miles. Either of those actions is bound to get you taken seriously. I mean, anyone who

behaves like that OUGHT to get what they want...right...sure...of course. Maybe if you do any of those actions the freqs. you play on will be given to you by the FCC. Maybe if you do it enough you will even get all the ham and commercial bands.

1360. Re: Spam From Kp82.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 21:25:17 UTC
From: Major Tom <ground@control.com>
Message-ID: <1rxY5.2040$La3.482420@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 62688

aaron, what do you care what Tim is do you have a criminal record? do you have any traffic tickets? Do you pay all your taxes that you are supposed to? Ya know what don't answer because who cares
<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:90u6dv$1mu$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <20001209152021.04296.00001240@ng-fp1.aol.com>,
> timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com) wrote:
> > look at all the fun were having on 11 meters. world wide dx, local
> >comms at night, and all types of digital modes. its a load of fun and
> >you dont have to study a thing. see you on the freeband.
> >
> > 73 de Tim
> > Knight Patrol #82
> > http://www.kp82.com
>
> You are poaching on unauthorized frequencies. This is typical of the
> "looter mentality" of criminals, Tim, i.e. "if no one's looking it's OK
> to steal". Are you a criminal, Tim? Why act like one?
>
> Aaron Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1361. Re: Info on N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 21:30:08 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209163008.23142.00004452@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 62690

Superswangthang, a known asshole and moron, gutlessly hiding behind a yahoo account, drooled impotently the following words:

>Nah we'll just pull a drive by and shoot yer house up or while your at
>work, we'll rape yer ol' lady, fuck yer daughter and shoot yer dawg.
>
>I have friends in Toledo, so watch it.
>
N8WWM, with superior command of language, more ability to think than superswangthang ever thought possible, and a because he is a generally better human being, uttered this intelligent and totally correct reply:

Send em over then Bevis. I wish one of you would try it. Then you can all read about what happens. That would shut your yellow asses up, I am certain.

1362. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 21:34:13 UTC
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <3A32A555.2CFD@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 62695

Leland C. Scott wrote:
>
> "Major Tom" <ground@control.com> wrote in message
> news:NFUX5.88$2Y.21012@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
> > I think the general public doesnt have a clue about HR2346 . The only
> people
> > affected will be people who are suffering from interference whether it is
> > from a illegal or legal station there complaints may be heard by a police
> > officer . Many of the so called effected devices fall under part 15 they
> > must accept undesirable operation. End of Story.
>
> Not really. What you said is mostly true only if after the FCC investigates
> and finds the design of the Part 15 device is crappy.

ONLY is your operative word.

> Now if the Part 15
> device is well designed, for example with good filtering etc., then the
> problem becomes more interesting. In the case of Ham Radio's Part 97 rules
> the Amateur station "may be" restricted from using the frequencies causing
> the problem completely, and or operation on those frequencies may be
> restricted between certain hours in the evening and on the weekend. The case
> might just be the Part 15 device is so close to the transmitter it will
> screw up unless it was in a Lead box. So, in the interest of keeping the
> "peace" the FCC can modify the transmitting station's operation, even if the
> transmitting station's equipment meets all of the FCC's technical standards!
>
When have you seen the FCC Van out for Part 15, lately?

> In the case of a CB operator operating on illegal frequencies, power levels,
> and modes will end up drawing attention to themselves, and then finally
> being noticed by the FCC.

And the Congressman, Senators, State Govt and City Hall here it first
and
political pressure is finally put.

So just because it is a Part 15 device that is
> experiencing a problem, with RFI, does not automatically mean that no
> investigation will take place. So the illegal operator will still have to be
> looking over their shoulder wondering if, or when that knock on the door
> will come, and a FCC field engineer wants to inspect your station.
>
> If you take the time to read some of the FCC enforcement postings by N8WWM
> you will discover that the FCC does not need a warrant to inspect your
> station at any time, it is in the rules, and applies to everyone, including

> Hams. One CBer discovered this the hard way when he refused to let the FCC
> field engineer inside to look at his equipment. He got a $7,000 fine for his
> refusal. Just think, for a little bit of effort to get a General class Ham
> license that same $7,000 could have paid for a nice new FT-1000
> top-of-the-line Yaesu H.F. rig, full legal limit H.F. amplifier, likely a
> tower, and H.F. antennas too. Instead it ended up in the FCC's pocket. What
> a waste of money, paying a fine that could have been so easily avoided if he
> was interested in working on H.F., particularly DX. And being a "licensed"
> Ham the RFI complaint likely would have caused him little to no problem with
> the FCC too.
>
> The intend behind HR2346 is primarily of handling RFI issues caused by CB
> radio operations being blamed on Ham Radio operators. As most of the posters
> on this news group have already guessed the local LEO's will not be pulling
> people over just because there is a big antenna on the vehicle. On the other
> hand if one is pulled over for other reasons, and the cop is in a bad mood,
> you may have your radio equipment checked. If anyone on the road will have a
> problem I suspect it will be the over-the-road truck drivers.

Look at hr 2346, there must be probable cause before a Commercial
vehicle can be
pulled over. That is, he must be caught in the ACT, no fishing trips
allowed
based upon Antenna or it must be in plain sight.

Martin D. Wade
N5PZJ

> These people
> have to pull in to weight stations on the highway. You ask these guys and
> they will tell you all about the "safety" inspections they go through. Now
> the local cop at the weight station can check the driver's radio equipment
> too.
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

1363. Re: STOP ME!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 21:35:02 UTC
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <3A32A586.61F5@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 62696

N8wwm wrote:
>
> > Preach,
> >troll, voice your opinion on this here NG and I still will not stop.
> >DX on 11 meter is good enough to do without getting a license."
> >
> I never wanted to stop anyone from operating illegally. They will get theirs
> when the new laws take effect. I just think it is funny how all the illegals
> whined about the bill that was passed, and I poked at 'em about it. Keep
> operating there, keep on deluding yourself that no one will bust you. I think
> it is funny.

Remember the Words of Ben Franklin, "Experience is a dear school, fools
learn in no other."

Hams amd CBer's need to ponder these words.
Martin

1364. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 21:35:09 UTC
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <3A32A58D.12AF@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 62697

N8wwm wrote:
>
> >From: timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com)
> >Date: 12/9/2000 10:08 AM Eastern Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20001209100853.28413.00003861@ng-cr1.aol.com>
> >
> >the exact same thing has been done in holland witht he drug trade and has
> >worked great. theres is a much safer society then ours.
> >
Really, Check out Amsterdam and the junkies in the park.

> >>
> >>... so you're saying that we should allow the commercial production of
> >>illegal amplifiers so that we'll have a higher quality of contraband.
> >>Hmmm, can anyone out there say "circular logic?"
> >>
>
> This business about drugs and Holland coming from one of the biggest "I will be
> illegal always" guys out there. Funny.

See Amsterdam.

1365. Re: STOP ME!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 21:36:23 UTC
From: Major Tom <ground@control.com>
Message-ID: <rBxY5.2065$La3.486330@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 62691

The same laws are in effect today as they were last week and 10 years ago as well as 30 years ago, the FCC is still out there They cannot go after the cb shop who sells export radios or the amp makers, or the technicans that work on them there towns can only enact a statute or ordinance regulating the use of a cb radio not authorized by the commision or un authorized operation between 24 and 35. they cannot go after anything else that is the FCC's area.

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> I never wanted to stop anyone from operating illegally. They will get
theirs
> when the new laws take effect. I just think it is funny how all the
illegals
> whined about the bill that was passed, and I poked at 'em about it. Keep
> operating there, keep on deluding yourself that no one will bust you. I
think
> it is funny.
>

1366. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 21:40:37 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90u8sk$3il$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62748

In article <3A31FF1F.6F44498D@hotmail.com>,
"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
> You say you're not a troll, but you should read the messages that
> you've posted and the unkind responses you get from your posts.
>
> A troll post is a one that is posted only for shock value and
> the ensuing responses that one gets from them. Many of your posts
> are rude and condescending. Maybe you should reconsider, and ask
> yourself why you were not accepted the the new group.

Jeff,

I want you to listen closely because I am going to let you in on a
little something here.

You guys in this newsgroup create your own trolls.

It's true. I didn't believe it myself until I looked into it. Anyone who
does a little research into the newsgroup archives will see it very
clearly.

It's a pattern. Someone comes in here (usually a ham, sometimes not) who
disagrees with the illegal focus of the group. Their initial posts are
factual and not inflammatory - yet they are rudely told to go away.
Their name and address is posted. Threats are made. Personal attacks and
profanity are unleashed against them. Naturally, the attacked person
verbally retaliates.

And you have successfully created another "troll".

It happened to Mark Fuller. Leland Scott. Dwight Stewart. And others.

Now you are doing it to me.

Sounds like you guys truly want another troll. You certainly are working
hard enough at it. OK, you've got one.

Sincerely,
Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1367. Re: Tired of this sh!t?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 21:42:02 UTC
From: Major Tom <ground@control.com>
Message-ID: <KGxY5.1132$Wd.552915@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 62692

No thats just it the cb people arent enamoured with hams do you see any running to the ham NG and pulling the same pussy hide behind the mile/keyboard mentality as you are doing here trying to get a rise out of the general population on the NG trust me in time you will go and another jerk-off will take your place I can guarantee that, it happens all the time you are no different.

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20001209150737.19356.00000077@ng-fw1.aol.com...
> >
> >N8wwm spewed forth:
> >
> >> Scottiekins admits defeat...AND MOVES AWAY!!!!
> >
>
> Scottiekins whined:
>
> >It ain't defeat...it's the equivalent of turning the knob
> >to get away from a music playing carrier tosser.
> >
>
> Yes, when you cant step on him change the freq. Yep Yep...mercy me them hammies
> this them hammies that.

1368. Re: 425

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 21:43:50 UTC
From: Major Tom <ground@control.com>
Message-ID: <qIxY5.1135$Wd.553552@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 62693

yep just like you Ill bet when someone passes you on the highway over the speed limit you try to play Joe cop and give him a citizens citation. All the while you secretly do the same thing

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20001209141512.19901.00000016@ng-fw1.aol.com...
> >
> >Who the hell is the asswipe on 425 that comes on every fricken day at
> >1pm pacific time??? Nothing more than his likes that totally PISS me
> >off! Do everyone a favor, track his station down and put it out!
> >
> >32-15.....alaska
>
> An illegal operator complaining of illegal activity...Hey maybe you could call
> the FCC.

1369. Re: Info on N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 21:44:25 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209164425.23142.00004454@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 62694

Re: Your user superswangthang

http://www.geocities.com/superswangthang/

The equipment on this page is illegal. They are amplifiers that work on radio frequencies between 25 and 34 megahertz. They are specifically banned under FCC regulations because they cause harmful interference to other radio services.

The following is a copy of a recent news item that may be of interest to you.
FCC to Monitor Auction Site for Illegal Items
NEWINGTON, CT, Oct 24, 2000--The FCC says it has reached an agreement with the eBay auction site that's aimed at curtailing the sale of clearly illegal radio equipment.

FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth says eBay has agreed to cooperate in removing advertisements in which the item for sale "is clearly non-certified." Hollingsworth said most of the equipment involved falls into the CB category, including illegal amplifiers.

"I've got a whole folder of people who have been complaining about this and will be delighted to know that the Commission is taking action," said the ARRL Regulatory Information Branch's John Hennessee, N1KB.

Hollingsworth said a review team within the Technical and Public Safety Division of the FCC Enforcement Bureau is screening eBay ads each week. He said the practice could be extended to other auction site if the FCC learns of similar problems.

Hollingsworth credits complaints from the Amateur Radio community with getting the new system in place. "I've been collecting complaints for a year, but the amateur community really generated it," he said. Hollingsworth says he sees about 10 complaints a week about auction site advertisements--sometimes several about the same ad--and has been forwarding all complaints he receives to a "duty team" within Technical and Public Safety. He cautions that complaints should be based on clear-cut FCC rules violations, however, such as attempts to sell illegal linear amplifiers.

"Amateurs can keep sending me items, addressed to fccham@fcc.gov," Hollingsworth said.

Also, here is a copy of this person's email threat to me, made on the newsgroup rec.radio.cb

Subject: Re: Info on N8WWM
From: superswangthang@yahoo.com (Swangthangman)
Date: 12/9/2000 16:01 PM Eastern Standard Time
Message-id: <3a329d79.5525349@netnews.att.net>

On 09 Dec 2000 18:51:05 GMT, n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:

>Like I am worried. As I said before, come on over and set down, and extra
plate
>at the table aint no trouble.
>
>Anytime you want fellas.

Nah we'll just pull a drive by and shoot yer house up or while your at
work, we'll rape yer ol' lady, fuck yer daughter and shoot yer dawg.

I have friends in Toledo, so watch it.

Oh! It Don't mean a THANG.,if it ain't got that SWANG!

http://www.geocities.com/superswangthang/

1370. Re: Spam From Kp82.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 21:47:21 UTC
From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90u998$3u5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62752

In article <90u6dv$1mu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <20001209152021.04296.00001240@ng-fp1.aol.com>,
> timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com) wrote:
> > look at all the fun were having on 11 meters. world wide dx, local
> >comms at night, and all types of digital modes. its a load of fun and
> >you dont have to study a thing. see you on the freeband.
> >
> > 73 de Tim
> > Knight Patrol #82
> > http://www.kp82.com
>
> You are poaching on unauthorized frequencies. This is typical of the
> "looter mentality" of criminals, Tim, i.e. "if no one's looking it's OK
> to steal". Are you a criminal, Tim? Why act like one?
>
> Aaron Voobner
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>
>hey goober if it dont apply to you toss >it out the window how are you affected >by these frequencies the answer is youre >not and have to much time on your hands >and want to be good sam. and radio kop >keep your lips in the cb band but off >the bowl and you might start being heard
>goober

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1371. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 21:50:02 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209165002.23142.00004457@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 62749

>
>I couldn't agree with you more, Gary and Sparky.
>
>I was initially shocked to read this newsgroup and find it utterly
>dominated by illegal freebanders, high power junkies, and peddlers of CB
>amps. I was appalled to find what amounts to a "school for RF
>criminals". Discussions on everything from how to modify FRS radios...to
>transmitting on HF airliner frequencies!
>
>What's amazing is that when responsible individuals protest this illegal
>focus - they are shouted down by the pro-illegal contingent. What's
>even more amazing is that they stay down.
>
>As far as I can see, rec.radio.cb is mostly used for trafficking of
>export radios, modified amateur transceivers, and contraband CB amps.
>This stuff ends up in the hands of truckers and freebanders who (by
>mistake or by design) show up on the amateur frequencies. Therefore, it
>is every ham's right to come into rec.radio.cb and shout - LOUDLY.
>
>Sincerely,
>Aaron Voobner
>

I agree totally.

1372. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 21:54:07 UTC
From: Major Tom <ground@control.com>
Message-ID: <3SxY5.2244$La3.492495@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 62750

Please show me posts regarding transmitting on HF airline freqs and mods to
frs radios .
<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:90u7jr$2jv$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <3A322861.3C8BFE02@home.com>,
> Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com> wrote:
> > If this NG dealt with CB, the primary topics would include ways of
> > properly wiring microphones and antennas, how to check properly for
> > tuning of antennas, etc. A quick read shows little of this and much
> > bellyaching about amplifier quality, how to find a good 'tech' who can
> > tweak the radio, complaints about all those hams who hate CBers but
> > usually go unnamed, where to buy 'export' radios at a good price,
> >what's going on at 27.555 (a non CB frequency), and so forth. Yep, the
> >real CBer is apparently in a minority here.
> >
> > Sparky wrote:
> >
> > > Does you mean that the only people allowed to post to this NG must
> > > support the unlawful use of the citizen's band?
> > >
> > > Sparky
>
>
> I couldn't agree with you more, Gary and Sparky.
>
> I was initially shocked to read this newsgroup and find it utterly
> dominated by illegal freebanders, high power junkies, and peddlers of CB
> amps. I was appalled to find what amounts to a "school for RF
> criminals". Discussions on everything from how to modify FRS radios...to
> transmitting on HF airliner frequencies!
>
> What's amazing is that when responsible individuals protest this illegal
> focus - they are shouted down by the pro-illegal contingent. What's
> even more amazing is that they stay down.
>
> As far as I can see, rec.radio.cb is mostly used for trafficking of
> export radios, modified amateur transceivers, and contraband CB amps.
> This stuff ends up in the hands of truckers and freebanders who (by
> mistake or by design) show up on the amateur frequencies. Therefore, it
> is every ham's right to come into rec.radio.cb and shout - LOUDLY.
>
> Sincerely,
> Aaron Voobner
>

>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1373. Superswang is crazy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 21:56:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209165600.23142.00004458@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 62751

Just to make it clear superswang, I sent that to yahoo and geocities, and am forwarding your threats to the FBI. You don't make threats like that without them being dealt with. Now you crazies are gonna see someone really blowing smoke...You made the threats, now see what happens when you do dumb stuff like that.

Re: Your user superswangthang

http://www.geocities.com/superswangthang/

The equipment on this page is illegal. They are amplifiers that work on radio frequencies between 25 and 34 megahertz. They are specifically banned under FCC regulations because they cause harmful interference to other radio services.

The following is a copy of a recent news item that may be of interest to you.

FCC to Monitor Auction Site for Illegal Items
NEWINGTON, CT, Oct 24, 2000--The FCC says it has reached an agreement with the eBay auction site that's aimed at curtailing the sale of clearly illegal radio equipment.

FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth says eBay has agreed to cooperate in removing advertisements in which the item for sale "is clearly non-certified." Hollingsworth said most of the equipment involved falls into the CB category, including illegal amplifiers.

"I've got a whole folder of people who have been complaining about this and will be delighted to know that the Commission is taking action," said the ARRL Regulatory Information Branch's John Hennessee, N1KB.

Hollingsworth said a review team within the Technical and Public Safety Division of the FCC Enforcement Bureau is screening eBay ads each week. He said the practice could be extended to other auction site if the FCC learns of similar problems.

Hollingsworth credits complaints from the Amateur Radio community with getting the new system in place. "I've been collecting complaints for a year, but the amateur community really generated it," he said. Hollingsworth says he sees about 10 complaints a week about auction site advertisements--sometimes several about the same ad--and has been forwarding all complaints he receives to a "duty team" within Technical and Public Safety. He cautions that complaints should be based on clear-cut FCC rules violations, however, such as attempts to sell illegal linear amplifiers.

"Amateurs can keep sending me items, addressed to fccham@fcc.gov," Hollingsworth said.

Also, here is a copy of this person's email threat to me, made on the newsgroup rec.radio.cb

Subject: Re: Info on N8WWM
From: superswangthang@yahoo.com (Swangthangman)
Date: 12/9/2000 16:01 PM Eastern Standard Time
Message-id: <3a329d79.5525349@netnews.att.net>

On 09 Dec 2000 18:51:05 GMT, n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:

>Like I am worried. As I said before, come on over and set down, and extra plate
>at the table aint no trouble.
>
>Anytime you want fellas.

Nah we'll just pull a drive by and shoot yer house up or while your at work, we'll rape yer ol' lady, fuck yer daughter and shoot yer dawg.

I have friends in Toledo, so watch it.

Oh! It Don't mean a THANG.,if it ain't got that SWANG!

http://www.geocities.com/superswangthang/

1374. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 22:01:01 UTC
From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90ua2r$4et$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62755

In article <20001209150548.19356.00000076@ng-fw1.aol.com>,
n8wwm(rectumringer)@chickenhawk.com (N8wwm) rectumringer wrote:
> 1mangang is capable of all kinds of things but my lame assed posts like this...hahahah
> Plus i am a coward wont use my real name...'cuz I likes to act like a homo
> hes so friggin smart in the NG under his actual identity...
>
> >>the only funny thing chickenhawk is your >slip its showing but then again
> >youre >too stupid to notice since youre always >out searching for homos say
> >something >stupid for the masses and tell us way >you keep bringing up homo
> >activity you >little chickenhawk self admitted flamer >you are slipping and
> >you know it >chickenhawk all your posts are about >homo activity thanks for
> >clarifying >chickenhawk
> >
> >
>
>
>stop stuttering chickenhawk answer the >question why so much referring to homo >activity
in case you missed it i posted >here when you were suckling that tetanus >infested left
boob of yo momma >chickenhawk boy buy a book of adjectives >and use it # of times
chickenhawk used >word lame-23

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1375. Re: Info on N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 22:01:45 UTC
From: Major Tom <ground@control.com>
Message-ID: <dZxY5.1136$Wd.560142@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 62753

That is no e mail threat you stupid dork it is a post from a
NG
"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001209164425.23142.00004454@ng-fv1.aol.com...
>
> Re: Your user superswangthang
>
> http://www.geocities.com/superswangthang/
>
> The equipment on this page is illegal. They are amplifiers that work on
radio
> frequencies between 25 and 34 megahertz. They are specifically banned
under FCC
> regulations because they cause harmful interference to other radio
services.
>
> The following is a copy of a recent news item that may be of interest to
you.
> FCC to Monitor Auction Site for Illegal Items
> NEWINGTON, CT, Oct 24, 2000--The FCC says it has reached an agreement with
the
> eBay auction site that's aimed at curtailing the sale of clearly illegal
radio
> equipment.
>
> FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth says
eBay
> has agreed to cooperate in removing advertisements in which the item for
sale
> "is clearly non-certified." Hollingsworth said most of the equipment
involved
> falls into the CB category, including illegal amplifiers.
>
> "I've got a whole folder of people who have been complaining about this
and
> will be delighted to know that the Commission is taking action," said the
ARRL
> Regulatory Information Branch's John Hennessee, N1KB.
>
> Hollingsworth said a review team within the Technical and Public Safety
> Division of the FCC Enforcement Bureau is screening eBay ads each week. He
said
> the practice could be extended to other auction site if the FCC learns of
> similar problems.

>
> Hollingsworth credits complaints from the Amateur Radio community with
getting
> the new system in place. "I've been collecting complaints for a year, but
the
> amateur community really generated it," he said. Hollingsworth says he
sees
> about 10 complaints a week about auction site advertisements--sometimes
several
> about the same ad--and has been forwarding all complaints he receives to a
> "duty team" within Technical and Public Safety. He cautions that
complaints
> should be based on clear-cut FCC rules violations, however, such as
attempts to
> sell illegal linear amplifiers.
>
> "Amateurs can keep sending me items, addressed to fccham@fcc.gov,"
> Hollingsworth said.
>
>
>
> Also, here is a copy of this person's email threat to me, made on the
newsgroup
> rec.radio.cb
>
> Subject: Re: Info on N8WWM
> From: superswangthang@yahoo.com (Swangthangman)
> Date: 12/9/2000 16:01 PM Eastern Standard Time
> Message-id: <3a329d79.5525349@netnews.att.net>
>
> On 09 Dec 2000 18:51:05 GMT, n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
>
> >Like I am worried. As I said before, come on over and set down, and extra
> plate
> >at the table aint no trouble.
> >
> >Anytime you want fellas.
>
> Nah we'll just pull a drive by and shoot yer house up or while your at
> work, we'll rape yer ol' lady, fuck yer daughter and shoot yer dawg.
>
> I have friends in Toledo, so watch it.
>
>
>
> Oh! It Don't mean a THANG.,if it ain't got that SWANG!
>
> http://www.geocities.com/superswangthang/
>
>

>
>
>

1376. Re: Info on N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 22:06:30 UTC
From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90uad3$4ii$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62758

In article <20001209143700.19901.00000021@ng-fw1.aol.com>,
n8wwm(rectumringer)@chickenawk.com (N8wwm)rectumringer wrote:
> >
> >>N8WWM Lookups: 155
> >>DOUGLAS W. ADAIR
> >>5319 0 SECOR RD
> >>TOLEDO OH 43623
> >>USA
> >
> >
> >This is either the wrong Doug or his former address. His current
> >address, work and home, was previously posted under another
> >thread.
> >
> >
>
> Nope, that's me and tha'ts for real...if you look in QRZyou will notice it
> changed on 11/30/00.
>
>
> here ya go chickenhawk windy city huh

>

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1377. Re: Info on N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 22:08:16 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209170816.23142.00004459@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 62754

Minor Dumb <Major Tom>drooled:

>That is no e mail threat you stupid dork it is a post from a
> NG
N8wwm said:
You are the dork, he is in deep doo doo now.

I dont care if it was by passenger pigeon, it was a threat of violence. I will take it seriously and pursue remedies. Just proves what I say about people like him.

1378. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 22:18:08 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209171808.12028.00004152@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 62757

>n8wwm(rectumringer)@chickenhawk.com (N8wwm) rectumringer wrote:
>> 1mangang is capable of all kinds of things but my lame assed posts like
>this...hahahah
>> Plus i am a coward wont use my real name...'cuz I likes to act like a homo
>> hes so friggin smart in the NG under his actual identity...
>>
>> >>the only funny thing chickenhawk is your >slip its showing but then again
>> >youre >too stupid to notice since youre always >out searching for homos
>say
>> >something >stupid for the masses and tell us way >you keep bringing up
>homo
>> >activity you >little chickenhawk self admitted flamer >you are slipping
>and
>> >you know it >chickenhawk all your posts are about >homo activity thanks
>for
>> >clarifying >chickenhawk
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>>stop stuttering chickenhawk answer the >question why so much referring to
>homo >activity in case you missed it i posted >here when you were suckling
>that tetanus >infested left boob of yo momma >chickenhawk boy buy a book of
>adjectives >and use it # of times chickenhawk used >word lame-23
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.
>
>
>
>
>

LAme lame lame...little boy lamer and his toy computer...at it again.

1379. Re: STOP ME!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 22:20:30 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209172030.12028.00004154@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 62759

>
>The same laws are in effect today as they were last week and 10 years ago as
>well as 30 years ago, the FCC is still out there They cannot go after the
>cb shop who sells export radios or the amp makers, or the technicans that
>work on them there towns can only enact a statute or ordinance regulating
>the use of a cb radio not authorized by the commision or un authorized
>operation between 24 and 35. they cannot go after anything else that is the
>FCC's area.
>

Wrongo dude, They can declare an interfering illegal a public nuisance.

They can close down a shop selling illegal items by simply passing a law now.

They will not usurp the FCC, they will enforce the law.

Dream on.

1380. Re: New book on CB is out.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 22:21:31 UTC
From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90ub96$5b2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62762

In article <20001209151603.19356.00000080@ng-fw1.aol.com>,
n8wwm@chickenhawk.com (N8wwm) rectumringer wrote:
> This book is highly reccomended by 1mangang, who is my father
> He has been selling it for me and made enough money for my sons sex change
> operation:
> Special announcement about the special folks who exposed N8 aka.rectumringer
> Folks, you need the following book...Twistie, tnom and Scottiekins have, with
> exact precision, revealed and reduced rectumringer into admitting he was homo made him
the fool he is today look forthe following masterpiece of
> authorship and it is now available at your local book store...Don't miss
> "Muskrat Love", the heartwarming story of 3 gerbils who find love and
> marriage through uniting in wedlock for N8WWM, the mean 'ole hammie they wish
> were 1% equal to a man instead of a fag
> While my Sparkomatic and 2 pill amp combo splashes all 40 channels and into
> 10 meters, listen to the 3 sweet little gerbils squeal as I play them plan and then they
will generally scheme
> to rip the very life from the lungs of the greatest flamer of all time. Read about my use
of
> electronic components as aids to my sagging libido's. Learn why no female
> relatives ever come to visit. Look at the special 13 page photo insert that
> shows the dried snot on my microphone! This and much, much more.
>
> Folks, this book is on par with the smash hit "I am faithful to my wife", by
> Bill Clinton, and will become recognized as a major literary accomplishment.
> The trippin' trio have outdone themselves this time, and you have just gotta
> read it to believe it. Only 29.95 now at your local bookseller or order online
> at
> www.N8WWMisGay.com
>
>
>chickenhawk why are you so obsesed with >sex of your own species have someone >explain to
your young ass what a >chickenhawk is maybe youll give it up >after all science proved its
in your >genetics so which one came in your room >late at night momma or one of your many
>good uncles 150 more bonus points if >chickenhawk can find another term >besides lame

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1381. Re: I'm outa here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 22:23:14 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209172314.12028.00004155@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 62760

>Subject: Re: I'm outa here
>From: "-=PEAKABOO=-" peakaboo@keydowns.com
>Date: 12/9/2000 17:28 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <EcyY5.58$EF1.65592@nnrp1.sbc.net>
>
>I'm gone too. This is nothing like this group used to be.
>

Dont let the screen door hitya in the butt.

1382. Re: Info on N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 22:25:35 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209172535.12028.00004156@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 62761

1mangang lamely uttered thru the squirrel turds:
>> here ya go chickenhawk windy city huh
>
N8WWM, to help him clarify the situation, said:
Nope...Toledo...come visit...enjoy the hospitality.

1383. Re: I'm outa here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 22:28:59 UTC
From: -=PEAKABOO=- <peakaboo@keydowns.com>
Message-ID: <EcyY5.58$EF1.65592@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Source record: 62756

I'm gone too. This is nothing like this group used to be.

"hillbilly" <hillbilly001@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:90u1ok$u7t$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>
>
> I'm outa here, tired of all the ass biting. they should change the name
> of this group the the ABA....ass biters society....
>
> later kids, I'll be back when ya all grow up.
>
> Dave KG5XR
> http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
> On Bull Shoales Lake, Ark/Mo State Line
> Fishing is great & crappie are biting
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

1384. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 22:34:14 UTC
From: roy140@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10395-3A32B366-4@storefull-135.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62858

local police have no idea who legal or not. will they have meters to check power. will a legal station be coming throught a cheap telephone. the dummies in washington didnt no what they were doing

1385. 1mangang is LAME!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 22:38:31 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209173831.12028.00004161@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 62763

1mangang: little boy twerp and his toy computer...poor child...

LAME LAME LAME

Do something original...make up a post about me instead of cloning mine. C'mon man, is that all ya got? No imagination at all...snipping up my post like that...geez...

Actually, I dont think you have what it takes to do anything but call names. Poor helpless dweeb.

For your punishment you are sentenced to listen to the Beach Boys song "When I grow up to be a man" 1000 times.

You cant hang with the best, sonny. You are outta yer league.

1386. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 22:40:55 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90ucdm$671$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62765

In article <3SxY5.2244$La3.492495@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
"Major Tom" <ground@control.com> wrote:
> Please show me posts regarding transmitting on HF airline freqs and
mods to
> frs radios .

Yeah, Tom, it sounds like something you'd be "into". LOL.

Your friend,
Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1387. Re: WTB PRIDE AMP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 22:48:40 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209174840.12058.00004410@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 62764

>Subject: WTB PRIDE AMP
>From: mrevo mrevo@mailexcite.com
>Date: 12/9/2000 9:51 AM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <3A3246E9.70438A36@mailexcite.com>
>
>I have a friend looking to buy a Pride amp,or other amp that uses the
>ceramic tubes like the pride.
>Email with price/condition.
>73
That amp is illegal
>
NEWINGTON, CT, Oct 24, 2000--The FCC says it has reached an agreement with the eBay auction site that's aimed at curtailing the sale of clearly illegal radio equipment.

FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth says eBay has agreed to cooperate in removing advertisements in which the item for sale "is clearly non-certified." Hollingsworth said most of the equipment involved falls into the CB category, including illegal amplifiers.

1388. One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 23:07:47 UTC
From: n4jzh@knology.net
Message-ID: <3a32b689.433967574@news.knology.net>
Source record: 62767

Doug,
From one ham to another, GIVE IT A REST!
If you want to set an example, BE THE EXAMPLE.
your postings are redundant and childish.
Everybody is aware of the enforcement issue and what is illegal
or not, all of your postings will do nothing to help you it just
makes you look stupid. so GIVE IT UP! the info on QRZ I see you are a
Technician if this is so,
shouldnt you be trying to learn the code or seeking further
advancement in ham radio or are you going hang out here on this NG to
continue this childish behavior? the reason I posted my question to
you here is
(1) The cross posting to other groups as to your behavior.
(2) Your actions and how they represent Ham Radio.
(3) Dont see many other hams here acting like you do if so they to
should follow what I said in the second line of this post.
If you disagree with me do such through email.
73 de op N4JZH (General Class)
Donald F. Smith Jr.
Hanahan,S.C. 29406
n4jzh@knology.net

1389. Re: I'm outa here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 23:12:27 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A32BC5B.1897146A@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62768

Hey Dave and Peek:

Join the old gang at:
http://www.egroups.com/group/rec-radio-cb
Grab a seat, the bar is filling fast!

-=PEAKABOO=- wrote:
>
> I'm gone too. This is nothing like this group used to be.
>
> "hillbilly" <hillbilly001@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:90u1ok$u7t$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> >
> >
> > I'm outa here, tired of all the ass biting. they should change the name
> > of this group the the ABA....ass biters society....
> >
> > later kids, I'll be back when ya all grow up.
> >
> > Dave KG5XR
> > http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
> > On Bull Shoales Lake, Ark/Mo State Line
> > Fishing is great & crappie are biting
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >

1390. Threats in rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 23:20:16 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90uenc$7ts$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62772

It has come to my attention that a number of persons posting opinions supporting legal CB operation in this newsgroup have been the recipients of death threats and/or threats of bodily harm from anonymous persons who hold opposite views.

However childishly stated or delivered these threats might be, I can assure you they are taken VERY seriously by federal authorities. A personal affadavit has been forwarded to the FBI Cyber Crimes unit along with a request that this newsgroup be monitored for purposes of investigation of these threats.

Some of you may be awaren that an individual on this newsgroup was threatened and subsequently murdered 4 years ago via a package bomb delivered to his home. I have no doubt the FBI will not hesitate to act on this matter immediately.

Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1391. Re: 257 on the wheels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 23:20:20 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209182020.12046.00004198@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 62769

>You are the reason the
>news group has become so funny. All the REAL radio operatqrs set back and
>laugh at you.

Good. Although from what I have seen, the real operators hardly come
here...Sheep rapists like you are prevalent.

Back under your rock, you CB dweeb.

Take your lame assed "your mama" post down the road to the rec.4thGrader.humor
NG where it came from.

When I first had someone slam a "your mama" at me I was a lot younger....That's
old stuff, and was thought up by better flamers than you. You aint shit and you
know it.

Try something original and they may let you take off your diaper and bib.

1392. Re: 257 on the wheels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 23:20:33 UTC
From: -=PEAKABOO=- <peakaboo@keydowns.com>
Message-ID: <_YyY5.68$EF1.74254@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Source record: 62766

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001209141719.19901.00000017@ng-fw1.aol.com...
> Whoever has that recorder has quite a sense of humor.

So does your mama, we both laughed as the other half of you ran down our legs. Sorry you little trick. The part that ran down our legs must of been the section of your brain that does the thinking. You are the reason the news group has become so funny. All the REAL radio operatqrs set back and laugh at you. You should invest this time you waste into Morse code practice and attempt to obtain a real license.

"I am passing out lethal injects,
To those whiny no-code rejects!"

--

)=====}||||||||||||||||||}>----------
****DR-KAVORKIAN****
----------<{||||||||||||||||||{=====(
"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001209141719.19901.00000017@ng-fw1.aol.com...
> >Now if I could just find out who the mental defectant is thats recording
> >me and playing my voice back over the air ALL DAY LONG EVERY DAY.
>
> Whoever has that recorder has quite a sense of humor.
>

1393. Re: One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 23:25:08 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A32BF54.6A2DB478@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62774

Hey Donnie,

That whiny attitude does more to keep the highly technical folks away from ham radio, then being able to be looked up in a database.

It also keeps regular CB'ers from ever thinking about becoming an amateur. If you saw some of the emails I have received, you would know firsthand that many won't ever see a VE because of actions like Doug's. I hope Doug is proud of his anti-amateur behavior.

1394. Re: One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 23:27:18 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209182718.12046.00004200@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 62770

Don,
If you want to correspond on the issue by email then dont post it to the group

If you dont like my posts dont read them.

I have said several times here that my actions represent me, nothing else. I dont care what a whole class of criminals think about me. The hell with em.

They wanna slam me for expressing my opinion, I slam back. It is fun and harmless, except to the defectives on here that take it so seriously. I can take all the name calling on earth. My self esteem is not challenged by anything said on here.

This is a bunch of lamers who cant do anything to a valid arguement but shout it down.

73 de N8WWM<Technician Class>
Doug Adair
Toledo, OH 43623
N8WWM@aol.com

1395. Re: I'm outa here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 23:27:53 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209182753.12046.00004201@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 62771

Think Ill go too...might be fun

1396. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 23:30:52 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t35g5gh9gfikda@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 62773

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:90u8sk$3il$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <3A31FF1F.6F44498D@hotmail.com>,
> "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > You say you're not a troll, but you should read the messages that
> > you've posted and the unkind responses you get from your posts.
> >
> > A troll post is a one that is posted only for shock value and
> > the ensuing responses that one gets from them. Many of your posts
> > are rude and condescending. Maybe you should reconsider, and ask
> > yourself why you were not accepted the the new group.
>
>
> Jeff,
>
> I want you to listen closely because I am going to let you in on a
> little something here.
>
> You guys in this newsgroup create your own trolls.
>
> It's true. I didn't believe it myself until I looked into it. Anyone who
> does a little research into the newsgroup archives will see it very
> clearly.
>
> It's a pattern. Someone comes in here (usually a ham, sometimes not) who
> disagrees with the illegal focus of the group. Their initial posts are
> factual and not inflammatory - yet they are rudely told to go away.
> Their name and address is posted. Threats are made. Personal attacks and
> profanity are unleashed against them. Naturally, the attacked person
> verbally retaliates.
>
> And you have successfully created another "troll".
>
> It happened to Mark Fuller. Leland Scott. Dwight Stewart. And others.
>
> Now you are doing it to me.
>
> Sounds like you guys truly want another troll. You certainly are working
> hard enough at it. OK, you've got one.
>
> Sincerely,
> Aaron Voobner

Voober,
Please take a look at the headers in the post you're answering before you start casting aspersions and accusations you cannot backup.
While I did not author the post you are responding to, I suppose I must admit to agreeing to it. I have never in my Internet Life gone out of my way to go to any BBS', News Groups or any other forum and tell the dwellers that reside there I do not agree with their stated position and that what they are doing is an illegal activity. You, on the other hand, are going out of your way to create an uncomortable atmosphere in this News Group, simply because you do not, for whatever reason, agree with the "alleged" illegal activity here.
Voober, I want to let you in on a little secret: Nobody that posts in this News Group would deliberately go out of their way to call you out on your statements if you would just keep your draconian and Republican inspired views to yourself. You cannot stop anyone from discussing amplifiers, export radios and the like. What do you expect? A mass conversion? I find it amusing that you're "studying" to become a Ham. Most of the posters in here could, no doubt in my mind, trot on down to wherever the tests are given and easily pass the no-code portion of the Tech Exam. Learn 13 words per minute and I'm sure a lot of them could also pass the Extra Exam. Some choose not to. Some already are Hams and choose not to wear their status on their sleeves like it makes them better. You, on the other hand, because you are "studying" to become a Ham think that "studying" gives you the right to come into this News Group and start acting like you are above it all, thus implying, that we are beneath you.
Listen closely, Voober: I, and a lot of others, simply do not care what you think and will continue to conduct ourselves in whatever manner we see fit. You do not matter. Get it?

Jeff

1397. Re: One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 23:38:17 UTC
From: donethattoo43623@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001209183817.12082.00004260@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 62775

>
>That whiny attitude does more to keep the highly technical folks
>away from ham radio, then being able to be looked up in a database.
>

You guys on the illegal freqs are the whiners here...legal ops dont care.

1398. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 23:42:23 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209184223.12076.00004021@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 62776

> I, and a lot of others, simply do not care what
>you think and will continue to conduct ourselves in whatever manner we see
>fit. You do not matter. Get it?
>
>Jeff

And the rest of us will crack up when you get busted....

1399. Re: Threats in rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 23:45:50 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209184550.12076.00004022@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 62777

>It has come to my attention that a number of persons posting opinions
>supporting legal CB operation in this newsgroup have been the recipients
>of death threats and/or threats of bodily harm from anonymous persons
>who hold opposite views.
>

Yep...they holler about freedom and then threaten opposing views. Typical.
Have I threatened anyone in here? Nope.
That's OK though...I know me one dude here who is gonna make the papers.
I am carrying this through to the limit.

1400. Re: Spam From Kp82.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 23:46:46 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001209184646.26711.00003712@ng-bk1.aol.com>
Source record: 62778

Mr Voobner,
Why do you care what i am or what i may do? if my freebanding is directly affecting your mental health i apoligize.

>You are poaching on unauthorized frequencies. This is typical of the
>"looter mentality" of criminals, Tim, i.e. "if no one's looking it's OK
>to steal". Are you a criminal, Tim? Why act like one?
>
>Aaron Voobner

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

1401. Re: Newbie Q about 'deadkey' and 'swing' .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 23:50:05 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001209185005.26711.00003713@ng-bk1.aol.com>
Source record: 62779

this is the main reason. stock mics just arent made with good enough fidelity.

>A frequency response that you may like better
> than stock

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

1402. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 23:52:41 UTC
From: Beebe Rebozo <not@this.net>
Message-ID: <gBzY5.3362$El3.29741@open-news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 62882

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001209184223.12076.00004021@ng-cj1.aol.com...
> > I, and a lot of others, simply do not care what
> >you think and will continue to conduct ourselves in whatever manner we
see
> >fit. You do not matter. Get it?
> >
> >Jeff
>
> And the rest of us will crack up when you get busted....

My first question to you is, "How in the hell did you get past my
filter?"
My second question to you is, "Whom do you think is going to "Bust" me
for discussing what you term as illegal activity on this News Group?

Jeff

1403. Re: Threats in rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 23:52:52 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209185252.12040.00004164@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 62780

This is the threat that was posted...
How dumb can you get? Now he has a federal case going. This guy proves every point I made about the "law unto themselves" mindframe of lots of people on here. Stupid Stupid Stupid.

Subject: Re: Info on N8WWM
From: superswangthang@yahoo.com
(Swangthangman)
Date: 12/9/2000 16:01 PM Eastern Standard Time
Message-id: <3a329d79.5525349@netnews.att.net>

On 09 Dec 2000 18:51:05 GMT, n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:

>Like I am worried. As I said before, come on over and set down, and extra plate
>at the table aint no trouble.
>
>Anytime you want fellas.

Nah we'll just pull a drive by and shoot yer house up or while your at work, we'll rape yer ol' lady, fuck yer daughter and shoot yer dawg.

I have friends in Toledo, so watch it.

Oh! It Don't mean a THANG.,if it ain't got that SWANG!

http://www.geocities.com/superswangthang

1404. Re: Threats in rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 23:54:06 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001209185406.26711.00003716@ng-bk1.aol.com>
Source record: 62781

hi boobner. if you guys would stop being assholes you wouldnt recieve a threat from who ever it was. its not your opinion that people do not like, its your attitude and way you deliver your opinions. wonder what flavor doughnuts the fbi men are eating these days?

>
>It has come to my attention that a number of persons posting opinions
>supporting legal CB operation in this newsgroup have been the recipients
>of death threats and/or threats of bodily harm from anonymous persons
>who hold opposite views.
>
>However childishly stated or delivered these threats might be, I can
>assure you they are taken VERY seriously by federal authorities. A
>personal affadavit has been forwarded to the FBI Cyber Crimes unit along
>with a request that this newsgroup be monitored for purposes of
>investigation of these threats.
>
>Some of you may be awaren that an individual on this newsgroup was
>threatened and subsequently murdered 4 years ago via a package bomb
>delivered to his home. I have no doubt the FBI will not hesitate to act
>on this matter immediately.
>
>Aaron Voobner

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

1405. Re: STOP ME!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 23:55:16 UTC
From: Beebe Rebozo <not@this.net>
Message-ID: <HDzY5.3363$EI3.29795@open-news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 62881

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:3A329D91.7C57@bellsouth.net...
> supahman@my-deja.com wrote:
> >
> > With all the "hooplah" going on here with Jerry Oxidine, TR@sky.net,
> > Mr. Voobner, and our resident "JACKASS Basement dweller..." N8WWM, I'd
> > like to present my troll here...
> >
> Now what did *I* post that got you after me?
>
> Jerry

Perhaps guilt by association? You don't seem too offensive to me. That being said, when a person lies down with swine, they tend to begin to smell like them. How's that for a butchered metaphor?

Jeff

1406. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 23:56:12 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001209185612.26711.00003717@ng-bk1.aol.com>
Source record: 62782

exactly, instead of them roaming the streets bothering people there all alone doing what they do.

>Really, Check out Amsterdam and the junkies in the park.

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

1407. Re: One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-09 23:57:41 UTC
From: n4jzh@knology.net
Message-ID: <3a32c626.437965587@news.knology.net>
Source record: 62784

On Sat, 09 Dec 2000 23:07:47 GMT, n4jzh@knology.net (Donnie) wrote:

> Doug,
> From one ham to another, GIVE IT A REST!
> If you want to set an example, BE THE EXAMPLE.
> your postings are redundant and childish.
> Everybody is aware of the enforcement issue and what is illegal
> or not, all of your postings will do nothing to help you it just
>makes you look stupid. so GIVE IT UP! the info on QRZ I see you are a
>Technician if this is so,
> shouldnt you be trying to learn the code or seeking further
>advancement in ham radio or are you going hang out here on this NG to
>continue this childish behavior? the reason I posted my question to
>you here is
> (1) The cross posting to other groups as to your behavior.
> (2) Your actions and how they represent Ham Radio.
> (3) Dont see many other hams here acting like you do if so they to
>should follow what I said in the second line of this post.
> If you disagree with me do such through email.
> 73 de op N4JZH (General Class)
> Donald F. Smith Jr.
> Hanahan,S.C. 29406
> n4jzh@knology.net

Scott,
you are correct, I am an ARRL VE and
even as a General Class Operator I have
elmered quite a few folks from CB free band
to ham radio, however I dont endorse Doug's behavior
it does detract from ham radio at large.
i did recieve a email from him but his actions here and there
speak for his childish behavior.
73 N4JZH Donnie

1408. Kp82 proves N8WWM right again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 00:04:20 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209190420.12040.00004165@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 62783

Kp82<made up illegal callsign> dribbled forth the following nonsense:
>Mr Voobner,
>Why do you care what i am or what i may do? if my freebanding is directly
>affecting your mental health i apoligize.
>

N8WWM eloquently and accurately rebutted:
First, it is APOLOGIZE...good thing FCC tests don't have a spelling section, huh guys?

Second, your response to Aaron just confirms his thesis.

Third, it is not "freebanding". You are not in some unallocated spot in the spectrum, you are illegally intruding on other radio services' legally allocated space. Not that criminals like you give a crap about THAT minor little issue. You spew other anti ham and "hammies this and hammies that" stuff to obfuscate the fact that you want to work HF DX, but will not obtain an amateur license that would legally entitle you to do so. You say things like "Well, that mean 'ole N8WWM has made me NEVER want to be a ham!!" Just more hogwash to cover up the fact that you are breaking the law and do not care.

Fourth, I think you are all a buncha little piggies squealing cuz someone shined a light on you. Poor babies. My heart bleeds.

1409. Re: Threats in rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 00:13:20 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209191320.12040.00004169@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 62786

Kp82<made up, illegal callsign>spewed forth even more dribble:

. if you guys would stop being assholes you wouldnt recieve a
>threat
>from who ever it was. its not your opinion that people do not like, its your
>attitude and way you deliver your opinions. wonder what flavor doughnuts the
>fbi men are eating these days?
>

N8WWM, as usual, reduced his arguement to used kitty litter with these words:

Ahhhh NOW I get it Tim: Deliver the words how we want them delivered or we will threaten you...ahhhh...Well, guess what you facist twerp, I am not the one the Feds are gonna be dealing with, so again you show the immaturity that comes from being in denial of reality.

Your sentence is to be confined to channel 6 with a Sparkomatic CB and a gutter clip center loaded whip on your eaves trough for a period of 10 years. It is remotely possible that in 10 years you will be able to make coherent, realistic conversation, but I doubt it.

How pathetic can one get?

1410. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 00:13:29 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A32CAA9.1738BBF9@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62785

Martin wrote:

> Really, Check out Amsterdam and the junkies in the park.

You know where they are and they are not breaking into your
car to steal your radio to sell for 5 bucks.

1411. Re: One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 00:17:34 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209191734.12040.00004170@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 62787

> Scott,
> you are correct, I am an ARRL VE and
> even as a General Class Operator I have
> elmered quite a few folks from CB free band
> to ham radio, however I dont endorse Doug's behavior
> it does detract from ham radio at large.
> i did recieve a email from him but his actions here and there
> speak for his childish <BUT 100% truthful!!> behavior.
> 73 N4JZH Donnie

Geeze, Donnie...I didnt even threaten you..and would not ever. You express your thoughts, I express mine, hey thats fine.

1412. Re: Threats in rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 00:20:20 UTC
From: Beebe Rebozo <not@this.net>
Message-ID: <b%zY5.3364$EI3.29765@open-news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 62883

Is THAT what happened to Chuck??

Jeff

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:90uenc$7ts$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> It has come to my attention that a number of persons posting opinions
> supporting legal CB operation in this newsgroup have been the recipients
> of death threats and/or threats of bodily harm from anonymous persons
> who hold opposite views.
>
> However childishly stated or delivered these threats might be, I can
> assure you they are taken VERY seriously by federal authorities. A
> personal affadavit has been forwarded to the FBI Cyber Crimes unit along
> with a request that this newsgroup be monitored for purposes of
> investigation of these threats.
>
> Some of you may be awaren that an individual on this newsgroup was
> threatened and subsequently murdered 4 years ago via a package bomb
> delivered to his home. I have no doubt the FBI will not hesitate to act
> on this matter immediately.
>
> Aaron Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1413. Re: One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 00:26:40 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90uiko$9ig$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 62788

You are right on Donnie and may I associate myself with your remarks.

73 Fred W4JLE (Extra Class)

"Donnie" <n4jzh@knology.net> wrote in message
news:3a32b689.433967574@news.knology.net...
> Doug,
> From one ham to another, GIVE IT A REST!
> If you want to set an example, BE THE EXAMPLE.
> your postings are redundant and childish.
> Everybody is aware of the enforcement issue and what is illegal
> or not, all of your postings will do nothing to help you it just
> makes you look stupid. so GIVE IT UP! the info on QRZ I see you are a
> Technician if this is so,
> shouldnt you be trying to learn the code or seeking further
> advancement in ham radio or are you going hang out here on this NG to
> continue this childish behavior? the reason I posted my question to
> you here is
> (1) The cross posting to other groups as to your behavior.
> (2) Your actions and how they represent Ham Radio.
> (3) Dont see many other hams here acting like you do if so they to
> should follow what I said in the second line of this post.
> If you disagree with me do such through email.
> 73 de op N4JZH (General Class)
> Donald F. Smith Jr.
> Hanahan,S.C. 29406
> n4jzh@knology.net

1414. Re: Threats in rec.radio.cb, chickenhawk cracks..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 00:29:38 UTC
From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90uipeaqm1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62789

In article <20001209184550.12076.00004022@ng-cj1.aol.com>,
n8wwm(rectumringer)@chickenhawk.com (N8wwm) rectumringer wrote:
> >It has come to my attention that a number of persons posting opinions
> >supporting legal CB operation in this newsgroup have been the recipients
> >of death threats and/or threats of bodily harm from anonymous persons
> >who hold opposite views.
> >
>
> Yep...they holler about freedom and then threaten opposing views. Typical.
> Have I threatened anyone in here? Nope.
> That's OK though...I know me one dude here who is gonna make the papers.
> I am carrying this through to the limit.
>
>
>
>HARDEEHARRHARRR whats the matter chicken >hawk boy nobodys could bug you remember >nobody could make you mad >HARRDEEHARRHARR someone sure got you >riled for you to make a personal >vendetta >HARRDEEHARRR all passive like >and try acting cool but failing >miserably now youre just another >chickenhawk fairy that the feds will >dismiss as an unhappy bitter baby like >all the rest who think they are above >everyone and can patrol the air its our >turn to laugh now chickenhawk as you >seethe with anger over something you can >not control HARRDEEHARRHARR theyll tell >you to go play with your hamsters when >your complaints arrive about talk on the >net chickenhawk HARRDEEHARRRHARRR since >you allegedly received death threats >cmon and post them for the world to see
>nobody is anonymous on the net youre >just too stupid to know it chickenhawk

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1415. Re: 1mangang drops maul on chickenhawk again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 00:46:07 UTC
From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90ujoebek1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62792

In article <20001209173831.12028.00004161@ng-cj1.aol.com>,
n8wwm(rectumringer)@chickenhawk.com (N8wwm) rectumringer wrote:
> 1mangang: 1mangang is greatly intimidating which is why i attack
> I am
> GAY GAY GAY
>
> Do something original...make up a post about me instead of cloning mine. C'mon
> man, is that all ya got? No imagination at all...snipping up my post like
> that...geez...
>
> Actually, I dont think you have what it takes to do anything but call names.
> Poor helpless dweeb.
>
> For your punishment you are sentenced to listen to the Beach Boys song "When I
> grow up to be a man" 1000 times.
>
> You cant hang with the best, sonny. You are outta yer league.
>
>
>
>check your posts chickenhawk you come on >here calling names and dictating like a >little Caesar and now you can't take it >takes your medicine chickenhawk why >ignore the main question of why you keep >bringing up homo activity chickenhawk

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1416. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 00:51:08 UTC
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <3A32D37C.4A72@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 62793

Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay) wrote:
>
> Martin wrote:
>
> > Really, Check out Amsterdam and the junkies in the park.
>
> You know where they are and they are not breaking into your
> car to steal your radio to sell for 5 bucks.
Petty crime there is a lot worse than here, purse snatching and
mugging is everywhere.

1417. Re: One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 00:58:07 UTC
From: Ron Austin <daustin@kalnet.net>
Message-ID: <3A32D51F.35B2DD96@kalnet.net>
Source record: 62790

this has been going on quite awhile ,you can't have battle of wits with someone thats unarmed . If you ignore" IT" and " IT" doesn't get any attention "IT" will dissapear, listen to some of swap nets on the ham bands, it is hard to ignore{people} like "IT" but it is the best way see i didn't ignore "IT" either.

Donnie wrote:

> Doug,
> From one ham to another, GIVE IT A REST!
> If you want to set an example, BE THE EXAMPLE.
> your postings are redundant and childish.
> Everybody is aware of the enforcement issue and what is illegal
> or not, all of your postings will do nothing to help you it just
> makes you look stupid. so GIVE IT UP! the info on QRZ I see you are a
> Technician if this is so,
> shouldnt you be trying to learn the code or seeking further
> advancement in ham radio or are you going hang out here on this NG to
> continue this childish behavior? the reason I posted my question to
> you here is
> (1) The cross posting to other groups as to your behavior.
> (2) Your actions and how they represent Ham Radio.
> (3) Dont see many other hams here acting like you do if so they to
> should follow what I said in the second line of this post.
> If you disagree with me do such through email.
> 73 de op N4JZH (General Class)
> Donald F. Smith Jr.
> Hanahan,S.C. 29406
> n4jzh@knology.net

1418. Re: Threats in rec.radio.cb, chickenhawk cracks..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 00:58:26 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209195826.12125.00004046@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 62791

>>HARDEEHARRHARRR whats the matter chicken >hawk boy nobodys could bug you
>remember >nobody could make you mad

I am not mad semen lips, I am delighted.

1419. Re: Threats in rec.radio.cb, chickenhawk cracks..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 01:00:18 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209200018.12125.00004048@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 62794

```
>Subject: Re: Threats in rec.radio.cb, chickenhawk cracks..
>From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
>Date: 12/9/2000 19:29 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <90uipe$aqm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
>

Come on you lame little boy, try harder.
```

1420. 1mangang is an inferior human being<if even that>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 01:09:53 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209200953.18712.00002298@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 62796

You are not as good of a human being as me. You will never be.
I am more intelligent than you.
My life is better than yours.
You do not bother me. Keep it up but 'cmon, at least be original.
You aint in my league, sonny boy. Never have been, never will be. You are an inferior and insignificant drop of cat turd in the sandbox of life.
Talk yer garbage all you want, it changes nothing.
I am better than you.

1421. Re: Ch 13 Lynrd Skynrd 3 wheeler idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 01:11:25 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209201125.18712.00002299@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 62797

>Who are the dumbasses on ch 13 tonight playing Lynrd Skynrd while going on
>and on about thier 3 wheeler? It's not a 2 way conversation, just 2 idiots
>playing a record while they discuss some piece of shit 3 wheeler (engine and
>transmission ok, bad ignition). What boobs!
>

One of em is 1mangang. He took time off from his skin flute lessons to mess
with the band.

1422. 1mangang's immediate family

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 01:15:26 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209201526.18712.00002301@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 62851

For your punishment you are sentenced to listen to the Beach Boys song "When I grow up to be a man" 1000 times.

Yo daddys in the jailhouse, Yo mama's out on bail, Yo sistah's on da corner yellin "Bootie for sale!!" last time I seen her, I aint seen her since, she was yankin off a trucker thru the truckstop fence.

Go back to rec.4thGrade.humor 1mangang...you's a lamer of da furss dagree!

1423. 1mangang goes to hospital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 01:23:58 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209202358.18712.00002302@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 62852

Apparently, he somehow broke the 6LQ6 tube he placed in his lower bowel.

Hospital spokesmen report he was carried in by paramedic's screaming "chickenhawk, chickenhawk, chickenhawk", and fought 5 nurses and 3 orderlies for posession of the Emergency room computer system. All of this while massaging his muffin at the same time.
After the thorazine wears off, 1mangang will be placed in a home and given a Sparkomatic cb with a tape of N8wwm hidden inside. This will provide the needed therapy to get him back to his hateful self, as the tape will play the words "lamer, lamer, lamer!" at random intervals. He will be released from the home after he admits the superiority of N8WWM.

1424. Re: Threats in rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 01:24:26 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90um07$d42$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62853

In article <20001209185406.26711.00003716@ng-bk1.aol.com>,
timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com) wrote:
> hi boobner. if you guys would stop being assholes you wouldnt recieve
>a threat from who ever it was. its not your opinion that people do not
>like, its your attitude and way you deliver your opinions. wonder what
>flavor doughnuts the fbi men are eating these days?

I'm afraid I must disagree.

You want hams (and others) to come into this newsgroup, hat in hand,
terrified of "offending" illegal freebanders and high powered jammers,
fearing they may accidentally alienate a future ham convert.

An "Aw shucks I don't care what frequency ya transmit on or what power
ya use, long as I don't hear ya" attitude is what the illegals want to
hear. And hams go along with it - because they assume 'you get more
flies with honey than vinegar'.

I challenge that assumption.

CBers who truly desire to upgrade to a radio hobby more substantial than
CB do not need recruitment. They take proactive steps on their own to a
path that leads to ham radio.

The hardcore illegals will never come to ham radio. And with their
criminal "it's OK to steal if you don't get caught" attitude, you
wouldn't want them if they did. So why fear "offending" them?

Why pretend that illegal transmissions on 10 meters are OK?

Why fear offending people who think all rules, including those of the
FCC, are a joke?

Why soft-pedal the idea that transmitting on public service, government,
and aircraft frequencies is dangerous and irresponsible?

Why look the other way when 25-32Mhz export radios are flagrantly traded
and sold to unlicensed individuals?

Why show any respect at all to people who openly violate the rules of
common courtesy and respect for others by unleashing giant
spectrum-splattering amplifiers on the public airwaves?

Of course, even criminals have rights. They have the right of free
speech, and the right to post their little fantasies about freeband
radio and big amplifiers and such -- but they don't have the right to go
unanswered.

Seriously,
Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1425. Re: Threats in rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 01:33:53 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209203353.18712.00002303@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 62854

>
>Of course, even criminals have rights. They have the right of free
>speech, and the right to post their little fantasies about freeband
>radio and big amplifiers and such -- but they don't have the right to go
>unanswered.
>
>

Thats right Aaron...let the weenies have it...buncha freaks of nature.

1426. Re: amplifier for my CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 01:50:29 UTC
From: Pete Stephenson <pete@stop.mail-abuse.org>
Message-ID: <90unh4ebf1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62857

In article <3A310A93.E4585F32@hotmail.com>,
"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Every time I closed a window, another would pop open. I just killed
> the browser and started over. I didn't try to enter something in the
> address box, just hit ALT-F4. I refuse to help any porno sites.

Try getting WebWasher: http://www.webwasher.com/ -- it's free for non-commercial use.

I have it set to strip out all JavaScript popup windows whenever I surf. You can set it to strip out advertisments, scripts, applets, etc, etc, etc. Quite handy. :)

--
Pete Stephenson
pete@stop.mail-abuse.org
PGP Key: 0xCD063DA6

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1427. Re: 1mangang goes to hospital to have foot removed from chickenhawks ass

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 02:35:05 UTC
From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90uq4q$g23$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62859

In article <20001209202358.18712.00002302@ng-cn1.aol.com>,
n8wwm(rectumringer)@chickenhawk.com (N8wwm) rectumringer wrote:
> Apparently, he somehow broke his foot off in chickenhawks ass.
>
> Hospital spokesmen report he was carried in by paramedic's screaming
> "chickenhawk, chickenhawk, chickenhawk", and fought 5 nurses and 3 orderlies
> for posession of his foot back. Chickenhawk had to be tranquilized before releasing his buttocks. All of this while
> massaging his muffin at the same time.
> After the thorazine wears off, chickenhawk will be placed in a home and given a
> Sparkomatic cb with a gerbil and tapes of 1mangang bangin' chickehawks wife. This will provide the needed
> therapy to get him back to his hateful self, as the tape will play the words
> "fagginflamer,fagginflamer,fagginflamer at random intervals. He will be released from the home
> after he admits the superiority of 1mangang.
>
>
>
>
>chickenhawk i am really touched from all the attention you devote me but you must stick to small animals that are hungry they seem to know you better and they will lovingly return your androgyne attention

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1428. Re: Threats in rec.radio.cb, chickenhawk cracks..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 02:41:29 UTC
From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90uqgpgcj1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62860

In article <20001209200018.12125.00004048@ng-cj1.aol.com>,
n8wwm(rectumringer)@chickenhawk.com (N8wwm) rectumringer wrote:
> >Subject: Re: Threats in rec.radio.cb, chickenhawk cracks..
> >From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
> >Date: 12/9/2000 19:29 PM Eastern Standard Time
> >Message-id: <90uipeaqm1@nnrp1.deja.com>
> >
>
> Come on you lame little boy, try harder.
>
>
>
>there you go again chickenhawk bringing up sexual innuendo with a male is it possible you are really a bitch with an attitude if not why so much sexual references chickenhawk we all know why you avoid the question like the plague those childhood years really stacked chickenhawks closet

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1429. Re: 1mangang terrifies chickenhawk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 02:55:53 UTC
From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90urbngtk1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62866

In article <20001209201526.18712.00002301@ng-cn1.aol.com>,
n8wwm(rectumringer)@aol.chickenhawk.com (N8wwm) rectumringer wrote:
> For your punishment you are sentenced to listen to the Beach Boys song "When I
> grow up to be a man" 1000 times.
>
> Yo daddys in the jailhouse, Yo mama's out on bail, Yo sistah's on da corner
> yellin "Bootie for sale!!" last time I seen her, I aint seen her since, she was
> yankin off a trucker thru the truckstop fence.
>
> Go back to rec.4thGrade.humor 1mangang...you's a lamer of da furss dagree!
>
>
>
>HARRDEEHARRRHARRR cmon chickenhawk tell us some more about how youre following through with one on the forum HARRDEEHARR glad youre delighted chickenhawk cause so are we HARRDEEHARRRRHARRRHARRR your cheap attempt at reinforcing your ego by telling yourself what a good person you are was explicitly ripped off from saturday night live stewart smalley i am a good person i am better than you and gosh darn it people like me HARRDEEEHARRRHARRRHARRRHARR chickenhawk couldnt afford therapy due to his late night invasions as a child look it turned him into a chickenhawk and he turned to tv as his mentor to assist with chickenhawks screwed up sexuality and same sex fetishes

HARRRDEEEEHARRRHARRRRHARRRRDEEEEHARRRR you will never be anything let alone better than me chickenhawk youre nothing but a 32 year old punk ass chckenhawk kid who just aint had his clock cleaned in awhile shame your family has to put up with your secret chickenhawking they will eventually find out you know HARRRDEEEHARRRRHARRRHARRR

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1430. Re: Info on N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 02:56:04 UTC
From: Garvin@truss.net
Message-ID: <3a32f07c.22695467@news.idsi.net>
Source record: 62861

On Sat, 09 Dec 2000 21:01:01 GMT, superswangthang@yahoo.com
(Swangthangman) wrote:

>On 09 Dec 2000 18:51:05 GMT, n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
>
>>Like I am worried. As I said before, come on over and set down, and extra plate
>>at the table aint no trouble.
>>
>>Anytime you want fellas.
>
>Nah we'll just pull a drive by and shoot yer house up or while your at
>work, we'll rape yer ol' lady, fuck yer daughter and shoot yer dawg.

Typical moronic CBer mentality.

>I have friends in Toledo, so watch it.

Oooooh, how many? Half a hand's worth I'd imagine...

1431. Re: 1mangang goes to hospital to have foot removed from chickenhawks ass

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 02:57:14 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209215714.26606.00003235@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 62862

>i am really touched from all the attention you devote me but you must stick
>to small animals that are hungry they seem to know you better and they will
>lovingly return your androgyne attention
>

I am not at all impressed..you gotta get better. You MUST be original. Do a slam like that one of mine about the 6LQ6 tube from scratch. I will then evaluate and grade your slam for grammar, punctuation, neatness, and originality.
You can do it if you try. Come on 1mangangfart, try....

1432. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 02:58:32 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209215832.26606.00003236@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 62863

>
>local police have no idea who legal or not. will they have meters to
>check power. will a legal station be coming throught a cheap
>telephone. the dummies in washington didnt no what they were
>doing

Yeah they did, legal stations are exempt from the new laws.

1433. Re: Threats in rec.radio.cb, chickenhawk cracks..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 03:00:25 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209220025.26606.00003237@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 62864

>>there you go again chickenhawk bringing up sexual innuendo with a male is it
>possible you are really a bitch with an attitude if not why so much sexual
>references chickenhawk we all know why you avoid the question like the plague
>those childhood years really stacked chickenhawks closet
>
Lame lame lame...try harder. Thanx for playin' though. Now here is your cofee mug set with the N8WWM logo...go home and think harder and maybe I will let you on my show again sometime.

1434. Re: N8WWM almost becomes female secret fantasy revealed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 03:01:00 UTC
From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90urla$h7i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62867

In article <20001209151052.19356.00000078@ng-fw1.aol.com>,
n8wwm(rectumringer)@chickenhawk.com (N8wwm) rectumringer wrote:
> From nearly laughing my sack off at you lame little sissies calling me names.
>
> LAME LAME LAME...but thanks for playing. You get a complimentary set of coffe
> mugs as a consolation prize.
>
> Merry Christmas, I hope the brain implants work and you all can get jobs at
> McDonalds.
>
>
>
>your title says it all chickenhawk

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1435. Re: One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 03:02:55 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <aas53t44fd8fnmmjt65dflqfm5iksqkb2a@4ax.com>
Source record: 62865

snip
>They wanna slam me for expressing my opinion, I slam back. It is fun and
>harmless, except to the defectives on here that take it so seriously. I can
>take all the name calling on earth. My self esteem is not challenged by
>anything said on here.

Defective????????????? The most defective one on this group
proves it every day. If he wasn't so defective he would sense that
his postings are doing nothing useful. At least nothing other than
making a fool out of himself.

1436. Re: One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 03:07:12 UTC
From: n4jzh@knology.net
Message-ID: <3a32f13b.448996414@news.knology.net>
Source record: 62868

On Sat, 09 Dec 2000 23:07:47 GMT, n4jzh@knology.net (Donnie) wrote:

> Doug,
> From one ham to another, GIVE IT A REST!
> If you want to set an example, BE THE EXAMPLE.
> your postings are redundant and childish.
> Everybody is aware of the enforcement issue and what is illegal
> or not, all of your postings will do nothing to help you it just
>makes you look stupid. so GIVE IT UP! the info on QRZ I see you are a
>Technician if this is so,
> shouldnt you be trying to learn the code or seeking further
>advancement in ham radio or are you going hang out here on this NG to
>continue this childish behavior? the reason I posted my question to
>you here is
> (1) The cross posting to other groups as to your behavior.
> (2) Your actions and how they represent Ham Radio.
> (3) Dont see many other hams here acting like you do if so they to
>should follow what I said in the second line of this post.
> If you disagree with me do such through email.
> 73 de op N4JZH (General Class)
> Donald F. Smith Jr.
> Hanahan,S.C. 29406
> n4jzh@knology.net

Doug,
They have a name for hams like you, LIDS
your actions both on the internet and the air detract from our
wonderful hobby. Once you become a slow coder you'll fit right in with
the crowd on 3.950 14.313 3.901 and elsewhere the LID crowd hangs out.
With an attitude like yours I'll be buying your gear from you a year
from now, ask yourself WHY? I need not explain.
As for our C.B. Associates, I certainly hope this hasn't discouraged
anyone from becoming a ham. You will find there are a few good MEN out
there,
73 de op N4JZH Donnie
n4jzh@knology.net

1437. Re: One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 03:18:12 UTC
From: n4jzh@knology.net
Message-ID: <3a32f4e2.449931154@news.knology.net>
Source record: 62871

On Sat, 09 Dec 2000 23:07:47 GMT, n4jzh@knology.net (Donnie) wrote:

> Doug,
> From one ham to another, GIVE IT A REST!
> If you want to set an example, BE THE EXAMPLE.
> your postings are redundant and childish.
> Everybody is aware of the enforcement issue and what is illegal
> or not, all of your postings will do nothing to help you it just
>makes you look stupid. so GIVE IT UP! the info on QRZ I see you are a
>Technician if this is so,
> shouldnt you be trying to learn the code or seeking further
>advancement in ham radio or are you going hang out here on this NG to
>continue this childish behavior? the reason I posted my question to
>you here is
> (1) The cross posting to other groups as to your behavior.
> (2) Your actions and how they represent Ham Radio.
> (3) Dont see many other hams here acting like you do if so they to
>should follow what I said in the second line of this post.
> If you disagree with me do such through email.
> 73 de op N4JZH (General Class)
> Donald F. Smith Jr.
> Hanahan,S.C. 29406
> n4jzh@knology.net

Tom,
you are correct sir,
I was fortunate not to have that type of experience.
I was welcomed into Ham Radio with open arms because I had the
desire to change and learn. I too came from years of CB,Freeband,
amplifiers,e.t.c. now that is behind me we certainly try to set an
example of how you can be a GOOD ham. I sit here and see Doug stoop
lower and lower into the sewer to bad when he realizes it, it will be
to late.
73 N4JZH Donnie

1438. Re: One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 03:18:47 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <lgt53tg7o38kjs18vbv40nnr0jenonrf0s@4ax.com>
Source record: 62869

snip
> you are correct, I am an ARRL VE and
> even as a General Class Operator I have
> elmered quite a few folks from CB free band
> to ham radio, however I dont endorse Doug's behavior
> it does detract from ham radio at large.
> i did recieve a email from him but his actions here and there
> speak for his childish behavior.
> 73 N4JZH Donnie

It would be nice and a GREAT EXAMPLE for more Hams like
yourself to not act similar to N8WWM, but to speak up, and
by doing so, not condone this behavior.

However, it is common knowledge that one of the most popular
pastimes of many Hams is to put down and bash anything related
to CB. This happens here, AND on the Amateur bands. It's to bad.
I thought more Hams would be smarter than this. All it really does is
display a hollier than thou attitude that will never entice a CB'er to
enter the faltering Amateur ranks. Of course the main reason for
this bashing is to compensate for inferior feelings. Some how the
misguided think that when you put someone down it's suppose
to bring you up. It's to bad that some have to compensate this way.

1439. Re: STOP ME!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 03:22:48 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <90usv1$e6f$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 62870

Have you calculated the RF exposure to your family of 5KW on 27 MHz?

<supahman@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:90soov$1qk$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
Mississippi. 5KW carrier, no
> harmonics, no bleedover. Better than my HAM friend two blocks from me

1440. Re: Threats in rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 03:28:08 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <eJCY5.5278$4W.719534@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 62872

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:90um07$d42$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <20001209185406.26711.00003716@ng-bk1.aol.com>,
> timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com) wrote:
>
> I'm afraid I must disagree.
>
> You want hams (and others) to come into this newsgroup, hat in hand,
> terrified of "offending" illegal freebanders and high powered jammers,
> fearing they may accidentally alienate a future ham convert.

I come here for pure recreation. Jacking up an illegal who knows full well he is illegal just is not a hell of a lot of fun. I've had an Amateur ticket for 46 years, an Extra for 25 or so, and commercial tickets. That and a dollar bill will get me a coffee at Mac and Don's. There is a time and place for everything: preaching Christianity on the road between Mecca and Medina and 4-watt 40 channel operation on this newsgripe probably are not the time and place. Repent ye sinners is not a generally effective message.
>
> An "Aw shucks I don't care what frequency ya transmit on or what power
> ya use, long as I don't hear ya" attitude is what the illegals want to
> hear. And hams go along with it - because they assume 'you get more
> flies with honey than vinegar'.
>
> I challenge that assumption.

With all due respect I am not hunting converts. You correctly note below:
>
> CBers who truly desire to upgrade to a radio hobby more substantial than
> CB do not need recruitment. They take proactive steps on their own to a
> path that leads to ham radio.

The path tends to be littered with those who demand repentance and a lot of mea culpas. Like anything else, change springs from dissatisfaction with the present state of affairs. For whatever reason someone decides at one extreme that CB is not where it's at and at the other that he is hanging with a bunch of losers. The latter is illustrated here on a regular basis. There is not a whole lot you, me, or anyone else is likely to do to change or accelerate the process.

>
> The hardcore illegals will never come to ham radio. And with their
> criminal "it's OK to steal if you don't get caught" attitude, you
> wouldn't want them if they did. So why fear "offending" them?

I don't.
>
> Why pretend that illegal transmissions on 10 meters are OK?

The village idiot knows better than that.

>
> Why fear offending people who think all rules, including those of the
> FCC, are a joke?
>
> Why soft-pedal the idea that transmitting on public service, government,
> and aircraft frequencies is dangerous and irresponsible?

Forty-five meters has been going on nearly as long as I am old. I don't
think it is necessary beyond pointing out that these are functioning
aircraft freqs to a functioning adult without a sermon is required.
>
> Why look the other way when 25-32Mhz export radios are flagrantly traded
> and sold to unlicensed individuals?

You, as an unlicensed individual (at least under this name), can legally buy
Amateur gear. Putting it on the air is a different issue. Export radios
are by and large jokes; there is a real question who is the gyper and who is
the gypee. Would you have me perform a citizen's arrest or simply yammer
about it?
>
> Why show any respect at all to people who openly violate the rules of
> common courtesy and respect for others by unleashing giant
> spectrum-splattering amplifiers on the public airwaves?

I would doubt that there are as many on this newsgripe doing it as talk
about it.

>
> Of course, even criminals have rights. They have the right of free
> speech, and the right to post their little fantasies about freeband
> radio and big amplifiers and such -- but they don't have the right to go
> unanswered.

Assuming I agreed, which was more effective: the propaganda of Radio Moscow
or the professional news operations of the BBC?

>
> Seriously,
> Aaron Voobner

Dick N&#65533;BK

1441. Re: Ch 13 Lynrd Skynrd 3 wheeler idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 03:41:22 UTC
From: Beebe Rebozo <not@this.net>
Message-ID: <GXCY5.3367$El3.29895@open-news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 62885

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001209201125.18712.00002299@ng-cn1.aol.com...
> >Who are the dumbasses on ch 13 tonight playing Lynrd Skynrd while going
on
> >and on about thier 3 wheeler? It's not a 2 way conversation, just 2
idiots
> >playing a record while they discuss some piece of shit 3 wheeler (engine
and
> >transmission ok, bad ignition). What boobs!
> >
>
> One of em is 1mangang. He took time off from his skin flute lessons to
mess
> with the band.

You are very close to becoming 1mangang's SFB. If I were you, and I thank the gods that I am not, I would be more circumspect in my following him around the News Group. Just my opinion.

Jeff

1442. Re: One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 03:42:16 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90uu2oisu1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62873

> Scott,
> you are correct, I am an ARRL VE and
> even as a General Class Operator I have
> elmered quite a few folks from CB free band
> to ham radio, however I dont endorse Doug's behavior
> it does detract from ham radio at large.
> i did recieve a email from him but his actions here and there
> speak for his childish behavior.
> 73 N4JZH Donnie
>

Donnie,

When you "elmered" those folks from CB freeband to ham radio did you hush your mouth and say nothing when they discussed plans to run illegal equipment on the 10 meter ham band? Did you turn shyly away when they recounted with joy, the pleasures of dropping the maul on mud ducks? Did you say "I have no opinion" when they sought to put a high power splatterbox on the air? Did you shrug your shoulders and say "that doesn't concern me" when they set up businesses to market radios specifically designed to operate illegally in the 25 - 32 Mhz spectrum?

Of course you didn't. But you want other hams to do that in rec.radio.cb? Maybe that is your idea of being a ham, sir, but it is not mine. Hams should set an example, as you say, sir. The example being that when they see criminal behavior they call it criminal behavior.

Although I am not yet a ham, I will not stay quiet nor shrink from criticism by a few cretins who do not belong in any radio service, let alone ham radio. My voice will not be silenced.

Aaron H. Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1443. Re: Info on N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 03:42:43 UTC
From: Beebe Rebozo <not@this.net>
Message-ID: <WYCY5.3368$El3.29829@open-news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 62886

<Garvin@truss.net> wrote in message news:3a32f07c.22695467@news.idsi.net...
> On Sat, 09 Dec 2000 21:01:01 GMT, superswangthang@yahoo.com
> (Swangthangman) wrote:
>
> >On 09 Dec 2000 18:51:05 GMT, n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> >
> >>Like I am worried. As I said before, come on over and set down, and
extra plate
> >>at the table aint no trouble.
> >>
> >>Anytime you want fellas.
> >
> >Nah we'll just pull a drive by and shoot yer house up or while your at
> >work, we'll rape yer ol' lady, fuck yer daughter and shoot yer dawg.
>
> Typical moronic CBer mentality.
>
> >I have friends in Toledo, so watch it.
>
> Oooooh, how many? Half a hand's worth I'd imagine...
>
>

2.5 friends? Come on...

Jeff

1444. Re: N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 03:57:04 UTC
From: regionalk9ny@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001209225704.28253.00000203@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 62874

Doug,
There is nothing wrong with posting your opinion however, there is a point were you begin to bother and harrass people in the newsgroup. If you dont like CB radio and enjoy the hobby of Amateur Radio, thats fine to but dont down other people for not sharing your interests. I have been an amateur radio operator for 11 years, and I do agree that there is no need for people to run 7000 watts to talk locally, but you cannot put down the more honest people on the CB band. I have in my years, met just as many boring knucleheads involved with ham radio as I have with CB radio. I have a handful of friends here that chat locally on the CB band and have no interest in becoming hams, does this mean that because I am a ham and they are not I should deem them enemies? I do occassionally hook up my old Cobra 148 GTL and tune to the local channel to say hi. I have met alot of good people from ham radio and also CB. You have to keep in mind my friend, that ALL radio operators, regardless of privledges, do have feelings and DO share a common interest, the love of radio. I think that if you opened you eyes and truley appreciated your hobby, you would not bash your fellow radio operator, regardless of status. Maybe this helps your already poor esteem. Apparently you have to make fun of others to make yourself feel better. Remeber Carma, what you dish out will come back to haunt you someday. Good luck on your future endeavors.
Glenn- KB2GQZ
a "I know code" ham

1445. Re: One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 04:01:38 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209230138.02456.00002988@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 62875

>They have a name for hams like you, LIDS
> your actions both on the internet and the air detract from our
>wonderful hobby. Once you become a slow coder you'll fit right in with
>the crowd on 3.950 14.313 3.901 and elsewhere the LID crowd hangs out.
>With an attitude like yours I'll be buying your gear from you a year
>from now, ask yourself WHY? I need not explain.
> As for our C.B. Associates, I certainly hope this hasn't discouraged
>anyone from becoming a ham. You will find there are a few good MEN out
>there,
> 73 de op N4JZH Donnie
> n4jzh@knology.net
>
>
>
>

I am not on the air and would never conduct myself that way on the air. These guys do all the time. Listen to 11 meters and see. That is my point. You give me crap for acting just like they do...OK Donnie...give those acting this way on and off the air equal treatment...noooooo....never...you are afraid of being slammed back. I think you are being hypocritical.

1446. Re: One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 04:02:14 UTC
From: Beebe Rebozo <not@this.net>
Message-ID: <dfDY5.3369$El3.29881@open-news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 62884

Why do you continue to make these arguments as if you are a licensed Ham? I'm beginning to wonder if you have ever operated a CB radio. What could possibly have happened to make you so bitter and angry towards CB users? Actually, when I spend a little time thinking about it, you seem to be this way towards everything in general, so why *NOT* CB. It follows...

Jeff

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:90uu2oisu1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > Scott,
> > you are correct, I am an ARRL VE and
> > even as a General Class Operator I have
> > elmered quite a few folks from CB free band
> > to ham radio, however I dont endorse Doug's behavior
> > it does detract from ham radio at large.
> > i did recieve a email from him but his actions here and there
> > speak for his childish behavior.
> > 73 N4JZH Donnie
> >
>
> Donnie,
>
> When you "elmered" those folks from CB freeband to ham radio did you
> hush your mouth and say nothing when they discussed plans to run illegal
> equipment on the 10 meter ham band? Did you turn shyly away when they
> recounted with joy, the pleasures of dropping the maul on mud ducks? Did
> you say "I have no opinion" when they sought to put a high power
> splatterbox on the air? Did you shrug your shoulders and say "that
> doesn't concern me" when they set up businesses to market radios
> specifically designed to operate illegally in the 25 - 32 Mhz spectrum?
>
> Of course you didn't. But you want other hams to do that in
> rec.radio.cb? Maybe that is your idea of being a ham, sir, but it is
> not mine. Hams should set an example, as you say, sir. The example
> being that when they see criminal behavior they call it criminal
> behavior.
>
> Although I am not yet a ham, I will not stay quiet nor shrink from
> criticism by a few cretins who do not belong in any radio service, let
> alone ham radio. My voice will not be silenced.
>
> Aaron H. Voobner

>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1447. Off topic and funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 04:03:14 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001209230314.02456.00002989@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 62877

The Supreme Court has ruled that they cannot have a nativity scene anywhere ï¿½in Washington, D.C.

This wasn't because of any religious reasons. They just couldn't find three wise men and a virgin.

1448. WTB Mobile AC BOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 04:03:29 UTC
From: kimdb@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001209230329.10101.00003577@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 62876

Want to buy good used Mobile AC Box

Contact me at kimdb@aol.com

1449. Ch 13 Lynrd Skynrd 3 wheeler idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 04:04:23 UTC
From: bomar <bomar76nospam@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <t35ll2epofn910@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62795

Who are the dumbasses on ch 13 tonight playing Lynrd Skynrd while going on and on about thier 3 wheeler? It's not a 2 way conversation, just 2 idiots playing a record while they discuss some piece of shit 3 wheeler (engine and transmission ok, bad ignition). What boobs!

1450. Want to talk CB and no BS from trolls?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 04:10:13 UTC
From: rollingradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001209231013.18117.00008486@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 62878

Want it like the old days? Remember before Sean and Dennis and now everyone bailing out due to assinine children?
Let's go.
http://www.egroups.com/group/rec-radio-cb

1451. Re: Off topic and funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 04:13:36 UTC
From: B-Squared <rbethman@home.com>
Message-ID: <QpDY5.157748$RP.29507669@news1.rdc1.md.home.com>
Source record: 62879

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001209230314.02456.00002989@ng-fb1.aol.com...
>
> The Supreme Court has ruled that they cannot have a nativity scene
anywhere in
> Washington, D.C.
>
> This wasn't because of any religious reasons. They just couldn't find
three
> wise men and a virgin.
>

1452. Re: Off topic and funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 04:14:45 UTC
From: B-Squared <rbethman@home.com>
Message-ID: <VqDY5.157753$RP.29508096@news1.rdc1.md.home.com>
Source record: 62880

And this you had to steal from another post. Go play with your no code.
Leave it rest.
73 de N0DGN
Bob

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001209230314.02456.00002989@ng-fb1.aol.com...
>
> The Supreme Court has ruled that they cannot have a nativity scene
anywhere in
> Washington, D.C.
>
> This wasn't because of any religious reasons. They just couldn't find
three
> wise men and a virgin.
>

1453. Check this Pic Out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 04:51:03 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <gg263tkr38sg0lpejcllakjrdgj4kbe8ms@4ax.com>
Source record: 62887

Go to: alt.binaries.pictures.dorks or
alt.binaries.pictures.fun

Look for cereal.jpg

1454. CB COLLECTORS ! BRAND NEW PACE CB-113 BASE IN THE BOX !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 04:51:32 UTC
From: win7@webworkz.com
Message-ID: <3a330d93_1@vienna7.his.com>
Source record: 62888

THIS RADIO IS 23 CHANNEL PACE CB-113 BASE RADIO..AND IS ABSOLUTELY BRAND NEW
.IN IT'S ORIGINAL BOX WITH ALL THE PAPERS,BOOK,etc....
NO SCRATCHES ..COMES WITH THE STOCK MIC,AND IT TO IS THE BOX WITH THE RADIO.
YOU MIGHT EVEN WANT TO SEND IN THE FCC LICENCE FORM THAT COMES WITH IT..HE
HE !
$75.00 + SHIPPING..

1455. Re: STOP ME!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 05:08:07 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90v33lmdd1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62889

In article <HDzY5.3363$El3.29795@open-news.pacbell.net>,
"Beebe Rebozo" <not@this.net> wrote:
> > Jerry
> Perhaps guilt by association? You don't seem too offensive to me.
That
> being said, when a person lies down with swine, they tend to begin to
smell
> like them. How's that for a butchered metaphor?
>
> Jeff
>

Yes, be careful Jerry. Don't be too offensive, i.e. don't criticize
illegal CB. You don't want to get on this anonymous cretin's bad side.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1456. Re: 257 on the wheels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 05:10:33 UTC
From: Two-Five-seven@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26948-3A331049-71@storefull-146.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62891

Tim wrote:

>youd be suprised what good blowing< >fans and a little cool air to blow can do<

Hi Tim,I realize that but I thought that somebody as mentally stunted as this cretin is he wouldn't know a thing about duty cycle or heat dissipation!

257 NH. On the wheels

1457. Re: 257 on the wheels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 05:19:24 UTC
From: Two-Five-seven@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26951-3A33125C-6@storefull-146.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62892

someone trolled:

>Whoever has that recorder has quite a sense of humor<

And do you also think it's funny having all of those strange men "visiting" you're wife while you're at work.Probably humming the company song as you work, hows that song go?Hold the pickles hold the lettuce.

257 NH. On the wheels

1458. F/S COLLECTORS RADIO PACE CB-113 BASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 05:20:26 UTC
From: win7@webworkz.com
Message-ID: <3a331459$1_2@vienna7.his.com>
Source record: 62890

THIS RADIO IS A 23 CHANNEL PACE CB-113 BASE.IN THE ORIGINAL BOX WITH ALL THE PAPERS AND THE MIC.***MINT*** CONDITION !
$75.00 + SHIPPING

1459. Re: STOP ME!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 06:05:08 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t3678tbvmlom9e@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 62893

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:90v33lmdd1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <HDzY5.3363$El3.29795@open-news.pacbell.net>,
> "Beebe Rebozo" <not@this.net> wrote:
> > > Jerry
> > Perhaps guilt by association? You don't seem too offensive to me.
> That
> > being said, when a person lies down with swine, they tend to begin to
> smell
> > like them. How's that for a butchered metaphor?
> >
> > Jeff
> >
>
> Yes, be careful Jerry. Don't be too offensive, i.e. don't criticize
> illegal CB. You don't want to get on this anonymous cretin's bad side.

Wow! Don't pop anything important in that overworked brain of your's,
Voobster.
It's just me, posting from a different account. Ease up. I'd hate to
think I caused a whipping to those lovely children of yours 'cause I upset
you.

Jeff

>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1460. Re: One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 06:11:03 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <v0FY5.6937$g77.829320@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 62894

"Ron Austin" <daustin@kalnet.net> wrote in message
news:3A32D51F.35B2DD96@kalnet.net...
> this has been going on quite awhile ,you can't have battle of wits with
> someone thats unarmed . If you ignore" IT" and " IT" doesn't get any
> attention "IT" will disappear........

Best advice I've heard yet.....
How 'bout it....... do the rest of ya' have what it takes to not "have the
last word"?

I've seem more QRM effectively eliminated by IGNORING it than any other way.

1461. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 06:11:23 UTC
From: glc1173@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001210011123.22869.00004065@ng-fq1.aol.com>
Source record: 62895
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Twistedhed wrote:
>You live in NC and 19 is INACTIVE? Ever >heard of a town called
>Charlotte? One VERY busy hub and is >the exact opposite of what you
>descrbe.

I live in Burlington - and, particularly once a mile or two from I-85, Ch. 19 is dead.
Even on the Interstate, Ch. 19 traffic is rather light - particularly compared to Virginia's D.C. suburbs. Even driving to and from Greensboro this afternoon, Ch. 19 had rather little on it.
The only places near here where Ch. 19 picks up appreciably are near the big area truckstops - Petro and Flying J in Mebane, Truckstops of America in Gibsonville.
More ways to fight
Algore's coup - and to screw Blue Nation for supporting him!!
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Dissident news - plus immigration, gun rights, nationwide weather
Al Gore - in his own words
ALAMANCE INDEPENDENT:
official newspaper of the Vast Right Wing Conspiracy
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

1462. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 06:18:53 UTC
From: ONE37 <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t367nodvq75d64@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62896

"Gary Danaher" <gdanaher@home.com> wrote in message
news:3A322861.3C8BFE02@home.com...
> If this NG dealt with CB, the primary topics would include ways of
> properly wiring microphones and antennas, how to check properly for
> tuning of antennas, etc. A quick read shows little of this and much
> bellyaching about amplifier quality, how to find a good 'tech' who can
> tweak the radio, complaints about all those hams who hate CBers but
> usually go unnamed, where to buy 'export' radios at a good price, what's
> going on at 27.555 (a non CB frequency), and so forth. Yep, the real
> CBer is apparently in a minority here.
>

For the past couple of years I've been on this newsgroup, I've seen questions like that posted here. Check Deja News archives. But for some reason Gary, you and a few other HAMs trolled on those postings too (if I remembered accurately). But the newsgroups are for opinions too... I'm sorry. But for the life of GOD, people already know this stuff!!!

Now for the "freebander" and the "hi-powered" CBer, IT IS ILLEGAL!!! And we know this stuff too! Its like the reference used before, if you speed in a car, and you know it's illegal, why do you still speed and deny doing it? It's like the CBer. They know its illegal but they do it anyway. One day the speeding will kill somebody on the highways and the hi-powered CB equipment will cause Cancer in the operator. But GEEEEZ! Some of the folks on here sound like "nagging neighbors." They sound like broken records...

This is just my opinion...

Ipo Camacho a.k.a. Mr. 137 (ONE37)
Downtown MojaveCAL on the side... reading the mail!

--

"...reality is a frame of mind. stupidity is a state of mind..."

-remove NOSPAM from address to reply-

1463. Re: STOP ME!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 06:20:52 UTC
From: ONE37 <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t367rcl2of278c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62897

Awesome posting.

Laterz.

--

"...reality is a frame of mind. stupidity is a state of mind"

-remove NOSPAM from address to reply-

<supahman@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:90soov$1qk$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> With all the "hooplah" going on here with Jerry Oxidine, TR@sky.net,
> Mr. Voobner, and our resident "JACKASS Basement dweller..." N8WWM, I'd
> like to present my troll here...
>
> I'm a CBer. I will use the Citizen Band as I see fit. If I am
> inspected one day by our resident FCC Agent, I will let him/her
> inspect, pay the fine, get more equipment and operate again. Preach,
> troll, voice your opinion on this here NG and I still will not stop.
> DX on 11 meter is good enough to do without getting a license.
>
> Speaking of resident FCC Agent, I have spoken to one that works on a
> Military Installation here and asked this person "why is the FCC not
> conducting any station inspections here?" ANSWER: "there is no money
> in trying to incriminate small time stations. We have bigger fish to
> fry..." So, for that matter, it just boosted my operative conscience
> and for the moment have put myself in a safe zone. I probably run the
> cleanest stations this side of the Mississippi. 5KW carrier, no
> harmonics, no bleedover. Better than my HAM friend two blocks from me
> who in my opinion has a clean station too but interferes with his
> neighbors 1987 General Electric clock radio. That's it!
>
> I've thought about joining the ranks of the Amateurs in town. But the
> attitude I got when I walked into the towns' library (after one the
> Hams noticed a 55 coil on my pick-up truck) and was asked if I was a
> CBer, I said "yes!" and got the... you know, the "NO-CODE Technician"
> attitude of "holier than thou", frown of the brow, 1000 yard stare from
> these individuals made me absolutely furious. I haven't even taken the
> test yet and they were already banging away with "hmmmmmmm's" and
> the "hawwwwwww's" of how CB has gotten way out of hand and how "folks
> like yourself should be ashamed of..." this and that and on and on and
> on. I politely told the VE's that were there to hold onto the test for
> me (because obviously I wasn't gonna be taking this "right of passage
> into the realm of radio" written examination with the Devil and his
> agents lurking above me waiting for a sign of weakness before they
> snatch me and throw me a bottomless pit of argon asses (Extra Class

> licensees')) and left. To clarify, I politely told the HAM to take
> that damned test and shove it up you know where.
>
> There was no need for that kind of attitude. I was willing to give up
> my wicked ways and return to the fold. I've learned what I know as a
> CBer from Cber's and HAM's alike. They taught me the way to use the
> force (radio) and to become a Jedi (radio operator) with theories and
> history, with lengths and resonance, with sine wave and modulation, all
> of which I have attained in good faith. And to be treated as a
> delinquent of the "cloth" just amplified the "there is no where for me
> to turn..." I love radio. And I will continue to operate as a radio
> operator. And if by chance I should attain a ticket, so shall be it.
>
> But for now, as I've said before...
> "I'm a CBer. I will use the Citizen Band as I see fit. If I am
> inspected one day by our resident FCC Agent, I will let him/her
> inspect, pay the fine, get more equipment and operate again. Preach,
> troll, voice your opinion on this here NG and I still will not stop.
> DX on 11 meter is good enough to do without getting a license."
>
> I am SUPAHMAN. And I am 10-7...
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1464. Re: Threats in rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 06:24:14 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <TcFY5.6940$g77.830549@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 62798

Well said, Dick!

"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message
news:eJCY5.5278$4W.719534@nntp1.onemain.com...
>
> <aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:90um07$d42$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > In article <20001209185406.26711.00003716@ng-bk1.aol.com>,
> > timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com) wrote:
> >
> > I'm afraid I must disagree.
> >
> > You want hams (and others) to come into this newsgroup, hat in hand,
> > terrified of "offending" illegal freebanders and high powered jammers,
> > fearing they may accidentally alienate a future ham convert.
>
> I come here for pure recreation. Jacking up an illegal who knows full
well
> he is illegal just is not a hell of a lot of fun. I've had an Amateur
> ticket for 46 years, an Extra for 25 or so, and commercial tickets. That
> and a dollar bill will get me a coffee at Mac and Don's. There is a time
and
> place for everything: preaching Christianity on the road between Mecca and
> Medina and 4-watt 40 channel operation on this newsgripe probably are not
> the time and place. Repent ye sinners is not a generally effective
message.
> >
> > An "Aw shucks I don't care what frequency ya transmit on or what power
> > ya use, long as I don't hear ya" attitude is what the illegals want to
> > hear. And hams go along with it - because they assume 'you get more
> > flies with honey than vinegar'.
> >
> > I challenge that assumption.
>
> With all due respect I am not hunting converts. You correctly note below:
> >
> > CBers who truly desire to upgrade to a radio hobby more substantial than
> > CB do not need recruitment. They take proactive steps on their own to a
> > path that leads to ham radio.
>
> The path tends to be littered with those who demand repentance and a lot
of
> mea culpas. Like anything else, change springs from dissatisfaction with

> the present state of affairs. For whatever reason someone decides at one
> extreme that CB is not where it's at and at the other that he is hanging
> with a bunch of losers. The latter is illustrated here on a regular
basis.
> There is not a whole lot you, me, or anyone else is likely to do to change
> or accelerate the process.
>
> >
> > The hardcore illegals will never come to ham radio. And with their
> > criminal "it's OK to steal if you don't get caught" attitude, you
> > wouldn't want them if they did. So why fear "offending" them?
>
> I don't.
> >
> > Why pretend that illegal transmissions on 10 meters are OK?
>
> The village idiot knows better than that.
>
> >
> > Why fear offending people who think all rules, including those of the
> > FCC, are a joke?
> >
> > Why soft-pedal the idea that transmitting on public service, government,
> > and aircraft frequencies is dangerous and irresponsible?
>
> Forty-five meters has been going on nearly as long as I am old. I don't
> think it is necessary beyond pointing out that these are functioning
> aircraft freqs to a functioning adult without a sermon is required.
> >
> > Why look the other way when 25-32Mhz export radios are flagrantly traded
> > and sold to unlicensed individuals?
>
> You, as an unlicensed individual (at least under this name), can legally
buy
> Amateur gear. Putting it on the air is a different issue. Export radios
> are by and large jokes; there is a real question who is the gyper and who
is
> the gypee. Would you have me perform a citizen's arrest or simply yammer
> about it?
> >
> > Why show any respect at all to people who openly violate the rules of
> > common courtesy and respect for others by unleashing giant
> > spectrum-splattering amplifiers on the public airwaves?
>
> I would doubt that there are as many on this newsgripe doing it as talk
> about it.
>
> >
> > Of course, even criminals have rights. They have the right of free

> > speech, and the right to post their little fantasies about freeband
> > radio and big amplifiers and such -- but they don't have the right to go
> > unanswered.
>
> Assuming I agreed, which was more effective: the propaganda of Radio
Moscow
> or the professional news operations of the BBC?
>
> >
> > Seriously,
> > Aaron Voobner
>
> Dick NBK
>
>

1465. Re: One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 06:30:48 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90v7uppjq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62800

In article <dfDY5.3369$El3.29881@open-news.pacbell.net>,
> "Beebe Rebozo" <not@this.net> wrote:
> Why do you continue to make these arguments as if you are a licensed Ham? I'm beginning to wonder if you have ever operated a CB radio. What could possibly have happened to make you so bitter and >angry towards CB users?

Thanks for your inquiry, Beebe.

A) How do you know how a licensed ham would make his arguments? You're "projecting" as the psychiatrists say.

B) Yes, I have operated CB radio. Three guesses as to what I found on the local band.

C) Not angry towards CB users.....just towards illegal CB operators who throw thier illegality in my face. If you remember how this discussion started, it was all about HR2346. Rather quickly, various low-grade intellects in this newsgroup attempted to explain the merits of lawlessness to me. Then a few goat-turds began hurling insults at me. The rest, as they say, is history.

So tell me something about yourself. Are you on Parole or a Work-Release Program?

Your buddy,
Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1466. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 06:32:41 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a332371.22480857@news.cet.com>
Source record: 62799

On Sat, 9 Dec 2000 09:11:37 -0500 (EST), twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:

> I in no way said anything in my posts that suggested you not be able to
>post your suggestions or opinions. When it descends to a level of
>trolling, that is when all logic and merit has been exhausted on the
>posters behalf..besides, I feel you should post whatever you want, even
>if you ARE wrong!

Trolling is not illegal.

Sparky

1467. The New Bitch in the group.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 06:50:31 UTC
From: Miles Davis <MDD327@AOL.COM>
Message-ID: <90vb0vopc1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net>
Source record: 62802

Here we go again. How long is it going to take for you guys to shit can him this time. If you prolong these silly battles with a mud duck you only have more feather to clean up later.

--
.......ain't taking a damn thing back!

Miles Davis

1468. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 06:54:13 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a332563.22979027@news.cet.com>
Source record: 62801

On Sat, 9 Dec 2000 22:18:53 -0800, "ONE37" <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
wrote:

>For the past couple of years I've been on this newsgroup, I've seen
>questions like that posted here. Check Deja News archives. But for some
>reason Gary, you and a few other HAMs trolled on those postings too (if I
>remembered accurately). But the newsgroups are for opinions too... I'm
>sorry. But for the life of GOD, people already know this stuff!!!
>
>Now for the "freebander" and the "hi-powered" CBer, IT IS ILLEGAL!!! And we
>know this stuff too! Its like the reference used before, if you speed in a
>car, and you know it's illegal, why do you still speed and deny doing it?
>It's like the CBer. They know its illegal but they do it anyway. One day
>the speeding will kill somebody on the highways and the hi-powered CB
>equipment will cause Cancer in the operator. But GEEEEZ! Some of the folks
>on here sound like "nagging neighbors." They sound like broken records...
>
>This is just my opinion...

These illegal operators are intruding on the rights of responsible and
law-abiding citizens, the people that "citizen's band" was intend for.
If they are going to try and drive me off the air by their illegal
acts, I am going to try and drive them back with my legal acts. That
includes the NGs. With the new law, legal operaters can help to clean
up the airwaves with simple DF equipment and a FS meter, and
cooperation with their local law enforcement.

That is just _my_ opinion.

Sparky

1469. FA Galaxy DX 99v & D104 mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 07:13:08 UTC
From: SwTempo <swtempo@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <4SwzOqPms4TEst63HF=3miuqxG3f@4ax.com>
Source record: 62803

I have for auction a Galaxy DX 99v and Astatic D104 mic. Check it out
at http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/46466498

1470. FA Galaxy DX 99v & D104 mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 07:16:40 UTC
From: SwTempo <swtempo@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <IS0zOqrJroeLLqKZRy1flvxXawuU@4ax.com>
Source record: 62804

I have for auction a Galaxy DX 99v and Astatic D104 mic. Check it out
at http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/46466498

1471. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 07:24:38 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90vb3krhr1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62807

In article <3a332563.22979027@news.cet.com>,
sparky@cet.com (Sparky) wrote:
> These illegal operators are intruding on the rights of responsible and
> law-abiding citizens, the people that "citizen's band" was intend for.
> If they are going to try and drive me off the air by their illegal
> acts, I am going to try and drive them back with my legal acts. That
> includes the NGs. With the new law, legal operaters can help to clean
> up the airwaves with simple DF equipment and a FS meter, and
> cooperation with their local law enforcement.
>
> That is just _my_ opinion.
>
> Sparky
>

Awesome post, Sparky!!!

Your pal,
Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1472. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 07:31:53 UTC
From: ONE37 <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t36c0o3hidevd8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62805

"Sparky" <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message
news:3a332563.22979027@news.cet.com...
> On Sat, 9 Dec 2000 22:18:53 -0800
>
> These illegal operators are intruding on the rights of responsible and
> law-abiding citizens, the people that "citizen's band" was intend for.

Hey Sparkles? What rights are they "illegal operators" intruding on? Right to privacy? Right to Free Speech? Due Process? What? Talk all you want on the radio. Nobody's doing crap to you.

> If they are going to try and drive me off the air by their illegal
> acts, I am going to try and drive them back with my legal acts. That
> includes the NGs.

So what you're trying to say is because you were picked on in grade school, you're going to get back at them on someone else? Is that it? Because someone offended you elsewhere, you're gonna take it out on people here who fit the same uh... "modeus operandi", if you will? Some of you posters' here are brand new, what do you know of this group? If you haven't done anything wrong, then you must be in the clear. But if you're going to come here and pose a "nuissance" to everyone, then you might as well take the flames.

>With the new law, legal operaters can help to clean
> up the airwaves with simple DF equipment and a FS meter, and
> cooperation with their local law enforcement.

Yes. I do agree with you here. But not to take it out on people that do frequent this newsgroup and have to hear you spew "the speed limit is 55 and you were doing 56. YOU BROKE THE SPEED LIMIT THUS YOU VIOLATED MY RIGHTS AND I AM GOING TO PLACE YOU UNDER CITIZENS ARREST, YOU CRIMINAL!!!!AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAHHHHHHHHRG!"

> That is just _my_ opinion.
>
No. That was your opinion.

> Sparky

...read below

--

"...reality is a frame of mind. stupidity is a state of mind"

1473. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 07:32:55 UTC
From: ONE37 <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t36c2lop313nf9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62806

"Sparky" <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message
news:3a332371.22480857@news.cet.com...
> On Sat, 9 Dec 2000 09:11:37 -0500 (EST), twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > I in no way said anything in my posts that suggested you not be able to
> >post your suggestions or opinions. When it descends to a level of
> >trolling, that is when all logic and merit has been exhausted on the
> >posters behalf..besides, I feel you should post whatever you want, even
> >if you ARE wrong!
>
> Trolling is not illegal.
>
> Sparky

Being a nuissance is...

--

"...reality is a frame of mind. stupidity is a state of mind"

1474. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 08:33:32 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <90vf4t$v4s$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62808

In article <t36c0o3hidevd8@corp.supernews.com>,
"ONE37" <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM> wrote:
>
> Hey Sparkles? What rights are they "illegal operators" intruding on?
Right
> to privacy? Right to Free Speech? Due Process? What? Talk all you
want
> on the radio. Nobody's doing crap to you.
>
> > If they are going to try and drive me off the air by their illegal
> > acts, I am going to try and drive them back with my legal acts. That
> > includes the NGs.
>
> So what you're trying to say is because you were picked on in grade
school,
> you're going to get back at them on someone else? Is that it?
Because
> someone offended you elsewhere, you're gonna take it out on people
here who
> fit the same uh... "modeus operandi", if you will? Some of you
posters'
> here are brand new, what do you know of this group? If you haven't
done
> anything wrong, then you must be in the clear. blah blah blah etc.
>etc. more gangsterish posturing....

Hello AC137,

Forgive me if I'm mistaken, but your response to Sparky sounds awfully unfriendly and well, dear me, downright "bully-ish". Do you always come off like that? Maybe it's something you want to work on in the future.

Anyway, you're darn right, some of us posters are brand new. Maybe you could explain your little group to us, i.e. what are you guys into, etc.

Aaron H. Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1475. Re: STOP ME!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 09:06:11 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <90vhbl$b3fs$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 62809

Please back up those claims with analytical data, I have yet to see any at that frequency. Do you care to share somthing other then lip service?

KRS

Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message news:90usv1$e6f$1@iac5.navix.net...
> Have you calculated the RF exposure to your family of 5KW on 27 MHz?
>
> <supahman@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:90soov$1qk$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Mississippi. 5KW carrier, no
> > harmonics, no bleedover. Better than my HAM friend two blocks from me
>
>
>

1476. Re: STOP ME!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 09:11:58 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <90vhmh$3evo$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 62810

Experience is a fancy word for mistakes, and I f you know any thing about Ben Franklin then you would know he was a self taught man.

KRS

Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com> wrote in message news:3A32A586.61F5@mobiletel.com...
> N8wwm wrote:
> >
> > > Preach,
> > >troll, voice your opinion on this here NG and I still will not stop.
> > >DX on 11 meter is good enough to do without getting a license."
> > >
> > I never wanted to stop anyone from operating illegally. They will get theirs
> > when the new laws take effect. I just think it is funny how all the illegals
> > whined about the bill that was passed, and I poked at 'em about it. Keep
> > operating there, keep on deluding yourself that no one will bust you. I think
> > it is funny.
>
> Remember the Words of Ben Franklin, "Experience is a dear school, fools
> learn in no other."
>
> Hams amd CBer's need to ponder these words.
> Martin

1477. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 09:47:15 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a334176.476089@news.cet.com>
Source record: 62811

On Sat, 9 Dec 2000 23:31:53 -0800, "ONE37" <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
wrote:

>"Sparky" <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message
>news:3a332563.22979027@news.cet.com...
>> On Sat, 9 Dec 2000 22:18:53 -0800
>>
>> These illegal operators are intruding on the rights of responsible and
>> law-abiding citizens, the people that "citizen's band" was intend for.
>
>Hey Sparkles? What rights are they "illegal operators" intruding on? Right
>to privacy? Right to Free Speech? Due Process? What? Talk all you want
>on the radio. Nobody's doing crap to you.

Except for stepping on my signal, interfering with my broadcast radio,
TV and CATV reception (yes, cable), and sometimes my computer. As an
electronics tech it always interferes with my work. And even the
police department has had incidents where unfiltered linears trounced
their comm. Then there are the idiots that chase you all over the
spectrum trying to pick a fight "just because it's fun", and because
they are under the mistaken idea that they can hide behind their
microphone. Maybe you don't do those things, but a lot of people do. I
hope you are not defending their actions.

>> If they are going to try and drive me off the air by their illegal
>> acts, I am going to try and drive them back with my legal acts. That
>> includes the NGs.
>
>So what you're trying to say is because you were picked on in grade school,
>you're going to get back at them on someone else? Is that it? Because
>someone offended you elsewhere, you're gonna take it out on people here who
>fit the same uh... "modeus operandi", if you will? Some of you posters'
>here are brand new, what do you know of this group?

If the newgroup archives went back far enough, you would find that I
have been on the web for well over ten years, and in and out of this
NG for a lot of that time under four different names (as my ISP
changed). You should really think about what you say before you say
it.

>If you haven't done
>anything wrong, then you must be in the clear. But if you're going to come
>here and pose a "nuissance" to everyone, then you might as well take the
>flames.

I'm glad you mentioned (but misspelled) nuisance. Why don't you spend
a few minutes and look for the legal definition of that word. You
might find a few court cases where illegal radio operators have been
found guilty of causing a nuisance. When you finish that, look for any
cases where a poster on a newsgroup has been found guilty of the same.

>>With the new law, legal operaters can help to clean
>> up the airwaves with simple DF equipment and a FS meter, and
>> cooperation with their local law enforcement.
>
>Yes. I do agree with you here. But not to take it out on people that do
>frequent this newsgroup and have to hear you spew "the speed limit is 55 and
>you were doing 56. YOU BROKE THE SPEED LIMIT THUS YOU VIOLATED MY RIGHTS
>AND I AM GOING TO PLACE YOU UNDER CITIZENS ARREST, YOU
>CRIMINAL!!!!AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAHHHHHHHHRG!"

Assuming that this is your way of saying that the FCC regs are
insignificant, then perhaps you should enlighten the readers as to
what laws they should and should not obey.

If you don't like a post, ignore it. No one is making you read it. If
you want to argue, that's fine too, it just keeps you off the air a
bit longer. But it is impossible for me to ignore a jerk down the
street with a 1KW penis-compensator. So as long as the illegal
operators continue to whine about getting caught, I will continue to
laugh.

Sparky, ignoring the grade-school banter.

1478. Re: The New Bitch in the group.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 09:59:08 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A3353EC.8248127@qnet.com>
Source record: 62826

Hello Miles Davis: How are you ah doing? Hope your firing on all eight!

Whens the next get together or shootout?

Yep I get a kick out of all the Hoo Raw here. Just as all the dust clears ya lookin around and wondering when the next complainant will be arriving. I kind of figure it as as a time interval thing. In like a lion and out like a lamb.

Jay at jay123a@qnet.com In the Great Mojave Desert, ... just down the road ah ways from the fillin station.

We got both kinds of music Rock & Roll and Country & Western, monitor CB Channels 12 AM and 38 LSB

Miles Davis wrote:
>
> Here we go again. How long is it going to take for you guys to shit can him
> this time. If you prolong these silly battles with a mud duck you only have
> more feather to clean up later.
>
> --
> ....ain't taking a damn thing back!
>
> Miles Davis

1479. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 10:16:02 UTC
From: ONE37 <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t36lkv960b3v51@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62812

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:90vf4t$v4s$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>
> Hello AC137,
>
Hello right back at ya "Voobie"!

> Forgive me if I'm mistaken,

You are forgiven.

> ...but your response to Sparky sounds awfully
> unfriendly and well, dear me, downright "bully-ish". Do you always come
> off like that? Maybe it's something you want to work on in the future.
>

No sir I don't believe I sounded "awfully unfriendly" and well, "dear you",
no where near "bully-ish". Do I come off like that always you ask? Nope.
As a matter of fact I post when I feel I need to post. I'll tell you or
anybody like it is without getting personal. Read the post again. It
wasn't personal. It mentioned his hostility to the group... his personal
hostility to the group from personal experience. I just mearly analyzed his
posting.

> Anyway, you're darn right, some of us posters are brand new. Maybe you
> could explain your little group to us, i.e. what are you guys into, etc.
>

No, its not my group. Its our (yours and mine) group. And what are we
into??? hmmmmmmmmmm... oh crap! RADIO! The last time I checked... Come on
man?

Listen here...

Alot of the old crew here had some pretty heated debates with each other and
with the technically knowledgable Hams' that did and still do frequent this
group. And sometimes the debates are rather friendly in nature but heated
in technical discussion. I liked that. Hell I loved it! Guys would go
days on end about the "102 INCH STAINLESS STEEL WHIP" debate (speak up
fellas... you know who you are). I mean coax debates on formulas and loss,
antenna debates on take-off angles, resonation, blah, blah, blah! Man, you
name it. You just don't know... those were the good old days. Hell it was
just a few months ago to a year!

Nowadays, there is name calling, criminal this, criminal that. "Repent thou
vengeful sinners! REPENT! The end is near!" Give it a rest! There are a
few people here that do post stuff that deal with illegal equipment. SO?

I've been to a forum totally irrelavent to the forums nature but involved MARIJUANA. It was about 4X4's! Why don't you go there and post the relevance of legality. Their excuse was "well if the President can do it, so can I..." attitude! Geeez!

These guys here know their stuff. Not all the "teckis" here are illegals. I know of a few here like Gary Danaher, Richard McCollum, Jay in the Mojave, and a few others I can't remember that have some pretty good info to put out. They, too, got into some heated debates with other regulars to this group. And the last time I checked, they were all HAMS!

I've learnt alot since moving here from Hawaii. I've learnt alot while I was in Hawaii too. But I've learned alot more coming here to this NG and reading, posting, learning and applying some of the stuff from here.

And with a newcomer, like yourself, who is studying to be a Amateur Radio Operator shouldn't be asking questions on personal intentions but on technical intentions. Learn about radio. Not the psychology of a persons posting... And, friend, maybe its something YOU need to work on in the future.

"Thus endeth the sermon..."

>
> Aaron H. Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

--

ONE37

"... reality is a frame of mind... stupidity is a state of mind."

1480. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 10:40:18 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a335945.6571281@news.cet.com>
Source record: 62813

On Sun, 10 Dec 2000 02:16:02 -0800, "ONE37" <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
wrote:

><aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
>news:90vf4t$v4s$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>>
>> Hello AC137,
>>
>Hello right back at ya "Voobie"!

Just back from the party, huh?

>No sir I don't believe I sounded "awfully unfriendly" and well, "dear you",
>no where near "bully-ish". Do I come off like that always you ask? Nope.
>As a matter of fact I post when I feel I need to post. I'll tell you or
>anybody like it is without getting personal.

Well, after your weak performance as a pop-psychologist, telling me
that I was picked on as a kid, etc, certainly sounded personal. The
truth is that I have never backed down from a fight in my life. But I
guess that isn't "like it is" in your world.

>Read the post again. It
>wasn't personal. It mentioned his hostility to the group... his personal
>hostility to the group from personal experience. I just mearly analyzed his
>posting.

Read _my_ post again. I have no hostility to the group, only to the
attitudes of certain, unspecific posters.

>> Anyway, you're darn right, some of us posters are brand new. Maybe you
>> could explain your little group to us, i.e. what are you guys into, etc.
>>
>
>No, its not my group. Its our (yours and mine) group. And what are we
>into??? hmmmmmmmmmm... oh crap! RADIO! The last time I checked... Come on
>man?
>
>Listen here...
>
>Alot of the old crew here had some pretty heated debates with each other and
>with the technically knowledgable Hams' that did and still do frequent this
>group. And sometimes the debates are rather friendly in nature but heated
>in technical discussion. I liked that. Hell I loved it! Guys would go
>days on end about the "102 INCH STAINLESS STEEL WHIP" debate (speak up
>fellas... you know who you are). I mean coax debates on formulas and loss,

>antenna debates on take-off angles, resonation, blah, blah, blah! Man, you
>name it. You just don't know... those were the good old days. Hell it was
>just a few months ago to a year!

You should have been here at the beginning. You just don't know...

>Nowadays, there is name calling, criminal this, criminal that. "Repent thou
>vengeful sinners! REPENT! The end is near!" Give it a rest! There are a
>few people here that do post stuff that deal with illegal equipment. SO?
>I've been to a forum totally irrelavent to the forums nature but involved
>MARIJUANA. It was about 4X4's! Why don't you go there and post the
>relevance of legality. Their excuse was "well if the President can do it,
>so can I..." attitude! Geeez!

Read my other post.

>These guys here know their stuff. Not all the "teckis" here are illegals.
>I know of a few here like Gary Danaher, Richard McCollum, Jay in the Mojave,
>and a few others I can't remember that have some pretty good info to put
>out. They, too, got into some heated debates with other regulars to this
>group. And the last time I checked, they were all HAMS!

I'm a tech but I'm not a ham. Never have been. But I have my BS in EE
and was a radio tech in the USMC almost 20 years ago. Been in the
field ever since. I do a lot of antique radio restoration, but I have
a large collection of vintage CB radios. Your arguments are moot.

>I've learnt alot since moving here from Hawaii. I've learnt alot while I
>was in Hawaii too. But I've learned alot more coming here to this NG and
>reading, posting, learning and applying some of the stuff from here.

Hawaii to California to the Usenet. A real man-o-the-world. Keep
learning.

>And with a newcomer, like yourself, who is studying to be a Amateur Radio
>Operator shouldn't be asking questions on personal intentions but on
>technical intentions.

And you shouldn't be drawing conclusions on things you know nothing
about, namely, the life history and intentions of the person you are
criticizing.

>Learn about radio. Not the psychology of a persons
>posting... And, friend, maybe its something YOU need to work on in the
>future.

Speak for yourself.

>"Thus endeth the sermon..."

Thank you. Now go preach to your mirror.

Sparky

1481. The Antenna Man CB radios!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 11:05:00 UTC
From: The Antenna Man <buzzards@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <wrJY5.425002$4d.54424777@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 62814

Hi all! here are some inexpensive CB radios for your consideration. Packaged deals are available. Thanks for looking!
http://user.auctions.yahoo.com/user/mikewj2d

1482. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 11:13:26 UTC
From: ONE37 <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t36p0q21t7ik67@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62815

"Sparky" <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message
news:3a334176.476089@news.cet.com...

> Except for stepping on my signal, interfering with my broadcast radio,
> TV and CATV reception (yes, cable), and sometimes my computer. As an
> electronics tech it always interferes with my work. And even the
> police department has had incidents where unfiltered linears trounced
> their comm. Then there are the idiots that chase you all over the
> spectrum trying to pick a fight "just because it's fun", and because
> they are under the mistaken idea that they can hide behind their
> microphone. Maybe you don't do those things, but a lot of people do. I
> hope you are not defending their actions.
>
Are you an avid CBer/HAM or just a HAM? You know as well as I do that when it comes down to having a neighbor running illegal equipment and bleeding your stuff, you know that you on your part have to accept the interference as stated on your electronic device. Let me refresh your memory. As quoted...

"This device complies with Part 15 of the FCC rules. Operation is subject to the following 2 conditions: this device may not cause harmful interference and this device must accept any interference received including interference causing undesired operation"

I know how it is when you have someone who chases you around and tries to pick a fight with you. Its not like you have a special situation. As a matter of fact, a gentleman I helped setting up of his base station thought it was funny to key a carrier everywhere I went. "Never cut off the hands that feed you." His station was gone. He tried to pick a fight. Little did he know that the branch of service I am with and the job that I do requires every person to be well vamped in some form of Martial Arts and the ways of Street Fighting. Too bad for his 275 pound useless flesh sporting framework; breathing my precious, smoggy air. His ass... excuse me... butt got stretched up and down the street. And the COPS took his ass away. Not all people will result to personal altercations, but dammit! Take care of your business. Like my old MasterChief friend of mine told me..."Son! Don't just stand there and bitch! Do something about it! It ain't gonna fix itself with you crying over it!" as he put a swift size 11EE boot up my arse. Sometimes I hated that guy...

Anyways...

> If the newgroup archives went back far enough, you would find that I
> have been on the web for well over ten years, and in and out of this
> NG for a lot of that time under four different names (as my ISP
> changed). You should really think about what you say before you say

> it.
>
Have you been ran off this NG before resulting to a different screenname
everytime you tried to "raise H&D?" You are no different than the guys
following you around the spectrum trying to pick a fight with you. Hell,
that's probably why they did it in the first place... Why don't you post
under your original handle. I haven't...

> I'm glad you mentioned (but misspelled) nuisance. Why don't you spend
> a few minutes and look for the legal definition of that word.
>
What are you? An electronic technician or a damned spelling bee judge?

>You
> might find a few court cases where illegal radio operators have been
> found guilty of causing a nuisance. When you finish that, look for any
> cases where a poster on a newsgroup has been found guilty of the same.
>
Do you want to be the first? How 'bout your compadre's here who does the
same?

> Assuming that this is your way of saying that the FCC regs are
> insignificant, then perhaps you should enlighten the readers as to
> what laws they should and should not obey.
>
First of all, ASSumptions are the mother of all foul-ups!!! Never assume...
because you will make an ASS out of U, and make ME look good...

Never said anything about the FCC regs as being insignificant. Everyone has
the right to rather CHOSE to obey the law or not. I cannot stand here and
force you to make decisions... you do it your damned self! You're a grown
man!

> If you don't like a post, ignore it. No one is making you read it. If
> you want to argue, that's fine too, it just keeps you off the air a
> bit longer.
>
Right back at you. Practice what you preach!

> But it is impossible for me to ignore a jerk down the
> street with a 1KW penis-compensator. So as long as the illegal
> operators continue to whine about getting caught, I will continue to
> laugh.
>
Free will... boy is that something...

> Sparky, ignoring the grade-school banter.
>
An electronic technician named SPARKY... that's scary.
--

ONE37

"... reality is a frame of mind... stupidity is a state of mind."

1483. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 11:42:20 UTC
From: ONE37 <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t36qn1kv8gv079@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62816

"Sparky" <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message
news:3a335945.6571281@news.cet.com...
>
> Just back from the party, huh?
>
Party? A blanket party? Nope missed it.

> Well, after your weak performance as a pop-psychologist, telling me
> that I was picked on as a kid, etc, certainly sounded personal. The
> truth is that I have never backed down from a fight in my life. But I
> guess that isn't "like it is" in your world.
>
Read the post again. It wasn't personal.

> Read _my_ post again. I have no hostility to the group, only to the
> attitudes of certain, unspecific posters.
>
Unspecific posters... sounds kinda general. Spit it out Gunny! The group!
Quit beating around the bush! That was shady, SPARKLES... Attitudes?
There is no attitude, just opinions.

> You should have been here at the beginning. You just don't know...
>
Maybe I should've. But between fighting a war, someone of your rate in the
USMC as a radio technician wouldn't know, and picking up the slack you guys
left us (joke), I never had a chance...

>Read my other post.
>
What for Gunny?

> I'm a tech but I'm not a ham. Never have been. But I have my BS in EE
> and was a radio tech in the USMC almost 20 years ago. Been in the
> field ever since. I do a lot of antique radio restoration, but I have
> a large collection of vintage CB radios. Your arguments are moot.
>
Wait... hang on... ok... I'm done clapping for you. This whole post is
moot.

> Hawaii to California to the Usenet. A real man-o-the-world. Keep
> learning.
>
And your point is? Get with the now, Gunny? We don't travel by shipliner
no more...

> And you shouldn't be drawing conclusions on things you know nothing
> about, namely, the life history and intentions of the person you are
> criticizing.
>
You, Gunny, posted your opinion to my posting. Was it not? And it was
Voobie that responded also. I merely analyzed your posting. Relax Gunny...
Paris Island couldn't have been that bad. Stop by NAB Coronado sometime.

> >Learn about radio. Not the psychology of a persons
> >posting... And, friend, maybe its something YOU need to work on in the
> >future.
>
> Speak for yourself.
>
Already did. Read that line again.

> Thank you. Now go preach to your mirror.
>
Nope.

> Sparky
The last guy I knew that had that handle got court-martialed. Did you...
nevermind... read below.

"Thus endeth the sermon..." again...

--

ONE37

"... reality is a frame of mind... stupidity is a state of mind."

1484. Re: Newbie Q about 'deadkey' and 'swing' .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 11:46:53 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <ijq63t8qcppkf6kgbd1b3i81v88l2lua0p@4ax.com>
Source record: 62817

Greetings!

Yes, indeed it was a touch of sarcasm in that post.

However, it is a fact that many people just getting into CB radio, overlook the fact that the best sound is obtained by using a stock mike.

Granted, a well-tuned radio will do the job - and quite well. I use the stock mikes on all my equipment that I can locate the stock mike for. And I tune my radios to match that effect.

But then, I have an edge, I have plenty of spare radios to make a radio check unnecessary - I can hear the results myself.

The problem lies in how the new person interpets the very first "radio check" they ask for. They really don't know how they sound until someone gives them feedback.

In 2 falls out of 3 - the return reply is sounds good. But, "How does it sound?" They'll reply, "Is the mike gain turned up all the way?"

"What?"

"Er, it sounds good driver."

Next day the kid goes out to the store and buys that power mike that costs just as much as the radio did.

I can't fault them for that, or you, but the end result is out of all the radios I hear in this neck of the woods, the loudest ones win out, and those use power mikes. I can't deny this. Nor can anyone else.

If you think it was sarcasm, it's more like frustration at the efforts people make to obtain "swing" at the cost of audio quality.

On Sat, 09 Dec 2000 15:23:05 -0500, while the modem was pondering it's navel, sideband <sideband@cac.net> dissertated the following:

:+> Andy:
:+>
:+> That was sarcasm.....
:+>
:+> I've always been of the opinion that a plain, ordinary
:+> noise-cancelling mic, and a well tuned radio, will give the cleanest,
:+> strongest audio... That opinion has been confirmed by reports from
:+> many people about the 29's, 76's, 148's, and Grant's that I've run in
:+> the past and present, stating that my radio had good, strong, clean

:+> audio, was HIGHLY readable, with no distortion or overmodulation
:+> apparent. The radios look good on the scope, too, showing a clean
:+> curve at the output stage.
:+>
:+> Power mics, in my opinion, just pull in alot of background noise, and
:+> actually make the radio work too hard trying to clip back all the
:+> excess audio input, as well as eating up a bunch of expensive 7 volt,
:+> or even more 9 volt batteries...
:+>
:+> Just my two cents... But I don't yell into the my Astatic 636L... I
:+> don't need to.
:+>
:+> -SSB
:+>
:+> On or about Fri, 08 Dec 2000 09:16:05 -0500, Handy Andy
:+> <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>, using the forum rec.radio.cb did say:
:+>
:+> :Greetings!
:+> :
:+> :;-)
:+> :
:+> :True, but it only makes the radio work *harder*...
:+> :
:+> :The smarter ones just use a power mike to handle all the yelling.;-)
:+> :
:+> :On Thu, 07 Dec 2000 09:50:20 -0500, while the modem was pondering it's
:+> :navel, sideband <sideband@cac.net> dissertated the following:
:+> :
:+> ::+> On or about Sat, 02 Dec 2000 10:33:18 -0500, Handy Andy
:+> ::+> <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>, using the forum rec.radio.cb did say:
:+> ::+> :"Swings to 20" means it's putting out up to 20 watts as you talk into
:+> ::+> :the mike [Carrier plus audio (modulation)] - naturally you're going to
:+> ::+> :have to talk pretty loud into the mike to hit that 20 watt figure
:+> ::+> :constantly.
:+> ::+>
:+> ::+> So yelling into your mic DOES make your CB work better! ::snicker::
:+> ::+>
:+> ::+> -SSB
:+> :
:+> :
:+> :Regards!
:+> ::+> Andy <+:
:+> :
:+> :... You know your radio is really Modulating when...
:+> :... Elvis shows up at your doorstep and asks to use
:+> :... your Microphone!!!
:+> :
:+> :http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:

... You know your radio is really Modulating when...
... Elvis shows up at your doorstep and asks to use
... your Microphone!!!

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

1485. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 12:09:12 UTC
From: ONE37 <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t36s9gilvs2k46@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62818

> Well, after your weak performance as a pop-psychologist, telling me
> that I was picked on as a kid, etc, certainly sounded personal.

Metaphorical joke, not an inference, Gunny.

> The truth is that I have never backed down from a fight in my life. But I
> guess that isn't "like it is" in your world.

But you let guys run you around "...all over the spectrum trying to pick a fight "just because it's fun", and because they are under the mistaken idea that they can hide behind their microphone..." blah, blah, blah... KICK THEIR ASS, GUNNY? Don't back down now! HOOOOOOOOOOOOOOYAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAHHHHH!!! Remember that?

> Maybe you don't do those things, but a lot of people do. I
> hope you are not defending their actions.

Am I? Am I not? That would be the questions... I feel that everyone has the freedom to do whatever the hell they want. In or outside the law. But on the same token, those people who choose to dwell on the outside should also have the conscience to "pay the piper" if they are caught. Its just the nature of the gamble. I speed. I choose to speed. When caught (3 times) I need to deal with the consequences. People drink on duty. I'm sure you have (and don't lie about it... all Marines do it). And if caught, time to see the Platoon Seargent, huh? Take it for Prima Facie... I just think there shouldn't be a need for babysitting.

> Sparky
>

--

ONE37

"... reality is a frame of mind... stupidity is a state of mind."

1486. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 12:12:30 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a3367cd.365525@news.cet.com>
Source record: 62819

On Sun, 10 Dec 2000 03:13:26 -0800, "ONE37" <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
wrote:

>Are you an avid CBer/HAM or just a HAM?

Neither.

>You know as well as I do that when
>it comes down to having a neighbor running illegal equipment and bleeding
>your stuff, you know that you on your part have to accept the interference
>as stated on your electronic device. Let me refresh your memory. As
>quoted...
>
>"This device complies with Part 15 of the FCC rules. Operation is subject
>to the following 2 conditions: this device may not cause harmful
>interference and this device must accept any interference received including
>interference causing undesired operation"

You have selectively disregarded the first part: Harmful interference.

<snip useless anecdote>

>Anyways...
>
>Have you been ran off this NG before resulting to a different screenname
>everytime you tried to "raise H&D?" You are no different than the guys
>following you around the spectrum trying to pick a fight with you. Hell,
>that's probably why they did it in the first place... Why don't you post
>under your original handle. I haven't...

Another assumption. You are really sounding hypocritical.

>> I'm glad you mentioned (but misspelled) nuisance. Why don't you spend
>> a few minutes and look for the legal definition of that word.
>>
>What are you? An electronic technician or a damned spelling bee judge?

Again, look up the legal definition of 'nuisance'. I pointed out the
spelling to make it easier for you to find. That's ok, I knew you
wouldn't look it up anyway.

>>You
>> might find a few court cases where illegal radio operators have been
>> found guilty of causing a nuisance. When you finish that, look for any
>> cases where a poster on a newsgroup has been found guilty of the same.
>>
>Do you want to be the first? How 'bout your compadre's here who does the

>same?

Is that a threat? I think you will be suprised to know that you can
stop at any time. I am not forcing you to read any of my posts (see
your own statement on 'free will' below). This is a public forum: if
you feel like you are being harassed, that's your problem. If you
would rather not respond, that is your choice. But don't shift blame
because _you_ keep reading and writing.

I would much rather you continued your arguments. Even though they
make you look foolish, I think you might just come up with a good one
. . . eventually.

>> Assuming that this is your way of saying that the FCC regs are
>> insignificant, then perhaps you should enlighten the readers as to
>> what laws they should and should not obey.
>>
>First of all, ASSumptions are the mother of all foul-ups!!! Never assume...
>because you will make an ASS out of U, and make ME look good...

Gee, that's original. At least it might have been when Abbott and
Costello did it. However, if my interpretation of your schoolyard
dribble was wrong, then correct me. But after reading your other
posts, it is clear that you consider yourself justified to disregard
any laws that inconvenience you.

>Never said anything about the FCC regs as being insignificant. Everyone has
>the right to rather CHOSE to obey the law or not.

I suppose that's in the Bill of Rights. I'll rephrase the question:
Which laws do you choose to obey and which do you choose to ignore? I
already know of a couple laws that you seem proud to ignore. What's
your justification?

>I cannot stand here and
>force you to make decisions... you do it your damned self! You're a grown
>man!

The first thing you have said that has made sense.

>> If you don't like a post, ignore it. No one is making you read it. If
>> you want to argue, that's fine too, it just keeps you off the air a
>> bit longer.
>>
>Right back at you. Practice what you preach!

Oh yeah? Well, rubber and glue . . . oops, recess is over.

>> But it is impossible for me to ignore a jerk down the
>> street with a 1KW penis-compensator. So as long as the illegal
>> operators continue to whine about getting caught, I will continue to
>> laugh.
>>
>Free will... boy is that something...

Yes it is. So why do you feel the need to criticize me for exercising
my free will?

>> Sparky, ignoring the grade-school banter.
>>
>An electronic technician named SPARKY... that's scary.

You don't know the half of it.

Before you start foaming at the mouth again, try to put some thought
into what you want to say. If you are going to defend illegal
operation, then at least give a valid argument instead of division,
composition, and ignoratio elenchi.

Sparky

1487. Re: Illegal CB&Ham Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 12:16:17 UTC
From: John Amero <" johnaNO§PAM"@island.net>
Message-ID: <3A337411.E8E726E5@island.net>
Source record: 62823

Lately all you hear and read is this crap of pro "illegal"
CB and anti "illegal" CB and is becoming the same issue as
on guns pros and anties. There will always be 10 meter
converted down rigs and legal CB expanded rigs by back porch
Mr. fix-it fools who use this stuff to cause grief just as
the killing with an hand gun does.

And as long as you are going have these 10 KW keydowns and
Mr. porch fix-it mod riggers we are going to have nothing
but trouble with back porch Mr. fix-it fools. Far to many
think that a pair of pliers and a screw driver and a 110%
modulation will work magic. Just look at some comments on
here on clipping the mod limiter and how to install a 500
watt linear off the cigarette lighter socket, and those that
believe the tuning a radio/antenna system is only necessary
for splitting hares on TX and RX performance.

The same with a hand gun killing... all you hear is about
that on the news for the next month!!!!
When a CBer of Ham gets busted that is all you hear for the
next month.

WHEN are the good things that come from these things going
to get publicity!???? HUH? In many cases where nature has
taken its toll the only communications will be CB and Ham.
There will be no cell phones or any fancy communications.

I am and into both hobbies and am getting sick and tired of
hearing or reading nothing about negative crap about our...
my... legal and rightful hobbies and the implication of
toughfer rules. Be careful what you ask for or you will get
it and a hell off a lot more.... no rights at all.
John

RSMTMN wrote:
>
> Aaron Says>>Furthermore I feel that eBay did the right thing in banning
> auctions for
> illegal cb amplifiers. A step further might be a more restrictive ban on
> the so-called "export" radios that are designed for CB operation and are
> responsible for 100% of the trucker incursions into the 10 meter amateur
> frequencies.
> Sincerely,
> Aaron Voobner
> --
> Hmmm, maybe they will do the same for 90+ % of the ham radios that have been

> modified and made to transmit out of band? How many CB'ers do you hear jamming
> public safety frequencies huh? Mostly its hams...
> Until the FCC started taking a stand (mostly because one of them is an active
> ham operator), the ham bands were in the same shape as the Citizens Band if you
> figure out the percentage of operators in both radio services. One only needs
> to read World Radio or some of the other publications and then do alittle math.
> Sorry, but the Amateur Radio Operators have no better status then the
> CB'ers..To say they do is only lying to themselves.
>
> One other note, I'm also a Amateur Radio Operator and also work in the public
> safety field. Right now we have a ham running around the Minneapolis/St. Paul
> area jamming our emergencyfrequencies.
> Geez, and can't remember that last time a CB'er was caught for doing that...
> *lol
>
> Some of these guys need to take their heads out of the sand and face relatity.
> Your NO Better than anyone else and to believe otherwise is a joke.
>
> Far more emergency traffic has pass over the Citizens Band airwaves then *ALL*
> of the Amateur frequencies combined.
> One only face the facts and keep personnal opinion out of it. Facts are Facts.
>
>
> Both radio service have there pluses and minues.

--
JOHN AMERO, Parksville, B. C. Canada E-Mail to:-
johnaNOï¿½PAM@island.net
**NOTE: REMOVE the_ NOï¿½PAM _in the email address for
reply.**
Check out my Web Site at- http://www.island.net/~johna/ ICQ
UIN 2166985
... or Business- email to- Director of Business Development,
JohnAmero@singaporerental.com
Also see index at above web site or
http://singaporerental.com/

1488. Re: I'm outa here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 12:22:36 UTC
From: John Amero <" johnaNO§PAM"@island.net>
Message-ID: <3A33758C.69A37601@island.net>
Source record: 62828

What is this Kindergarten speak?

N8wwm wrote:
>
> Oh Geezus Dave, gimme a freakin break...poor widdle innocent Daviekins didnt
> bite anyone, did he?
>
> >I'm outa here, tired of all the ass biting. they should change the name
> >of this group the the ABA....ass biters society....
> >
> >later kids, I'll be back when ya all grow up.
> >
> >Dave KG5XR
> >http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
> >On Bull Shoales Lake, Ark/Mo State Line
> >Fishing is great & crappie are biting
> And the men are men and the sheep are nervous.

--
JOHN AMERO, Parksville, B. C. Canada E-Mail to:-
johnaNOï¿½PAM@island.net
**NOTE: REMOVE the_ NOï¿½PAM _in the email address for
reply.**
Check out my Web Site at- http://www.island.net/~johna/ ICQ
UIN 2166985
... or Business- email to- Director of Business Development,
JohnAmero@singaporerental.com
Also see index at above web site or
http://singaporerental.com/

1489. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 12:23:54 UTC
From: ONE37 <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t36t565e7j7u74@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62820

> Sparky

blah, blah, blah... You're like a hounding, nagging girlfriend with a wart on its nose. You just won't go away. Well, keep on with your useless posting, your bottomless pit of nagging inferno... I'll sit back and laugh at your seamless gibberish.

ONE37

"... reality is a frame of mind... stupidity is a state of mind."

1490. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 12:32:57 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a337761.4353794@news.cet.com>
Source record: 62821

On Sun, 10 Dec 2000 04:09:12 -0800, "ONE37" <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
wrote:

>> Maybe you don't do those things, but a lot of people do. I
>> hope you are not defending their actions.
>
>Am I? Am I not? That would be the questions... I feel that everyone has
>the freedom to do whatever the hell they want. In or outside the law.

I guess that's where we differ. I believe in something besides
anarchy.

BTW, before you make another personal innuendo about my military
career, I ask that you look up a little history on the 24th MAU. I
don't think you will, so I'll just say that you don't have the market
cornered on war. Let's just leave it at that, unless you wish to
discuss the topic elsewhere.

Sparky

1491. Re: Threats in rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 12:34:49 UTC
From: John Amero <" johnaNO§PAM"@island.net>
Message-ID: <3A337869.77391A78@island.net>
Source record: 62827

Gees, just like I posted earlier... this friggen world is
gone totally nuts!!!!

aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> It has come to my attention that a number of persons posting opinions
> supporting legal CB operation in this newsgroup have been the recipients
> of death threats and/or threats of bodily harm from anonymous persons
> who hold opposite views.
>
> However childishly stated or delivered these threats might be, I can
> assure you they are taken VERY seriously by federal authorities. A
> personal affadavit has been forwarded to the FBI Cyber Crimes unit along
> with a request that this newsgroup be monitored for purposes of
> investigation of these threats.
>
> Some of you may be awaren that an individual on this newsgroup was
> threatened and subsequently murdered 4 years ago via a package bomb
> delivered to his home. I have no doubt the FBI will not hesitate to act
> on this matter immediately.
>
> Aaron Voobner
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

--
JOHN AMERO, Parksville, B. C. Canada E-Mail to:-
johnaNOï¿½PAM@island.net
**NOTE: REMOVE the_ NOï¿½PAM _in the email address for
reply.**
Check out my Web Site at- http://www.island.net/~johna/ ICQ
UIN 2166985
... or Business- email to- Director of Business Development,
JohnAmero@singaporerental.com
Also see index at above web site or
http://singaporerental.com/

1492. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 12:44:13 UTC
From: ONE37 <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t36ubcsot93ff5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62822

The 24th MAU. I'll give you that.

But NAB Coronado... don't think too hard, Gunny. You might have an aneurysm.

--

ONE37

"... reality is a frame of mind... stupidity is a state of mind."

1493. FS..BOOK"BREAKER,BREAKER,10-4"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 12:55:16 UTC
From: bobco@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <3a397d30.44447332@news.i-2000.com>
Source record: 62824

FS..Very nice copy of 25 year old book entitled 'BREAKER,
BREAKER, TEN-FOUR"...This is the book that got many of us started in
;CB radio...in very fine condition...$10.00 to your door via priority
mail.....please remove the (ns) from my adr when responding, or
just click on bobco@i-2000.com

1494. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 13:08:02 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a337f4b.6379648@news.cet.com>
Source record: 62825

On Sun, 10 Dec 2000 04:44:13 -0800, "ONE37" <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
wrote:

>
>The 24th MAU. I'll give you that.
>
>But NAB Coronado... don't think too hard, Gunny. You might have an
>aneurysm.

You don't even know what the hell I'm talking about. You haven't
answered one single relavent question. You have admitted that you
don't care about any law. My point has been made by you. Thank you.

Sparky, done and gone!

1495. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 14:09:12 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2501-3A338E88-31@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62830

Hey GLC, what freq do you hang at? We hold late night round tables and NC is always in there this time of year, right now the coast is coming in really good here in the 'pile!

1496. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 14:12:11 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2502-3A338F3B-20@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62843

Never said it was, Sparky. But it negates all credibility from the
post, does it not?

1497. Re: amplifier for my CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 14:12:47 UTC
From: oh8mfh <oh8mfh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9102up$7vb$1@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 62829

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> kirjoitti viestiss&#65533;
news:3A30DC85.E4FDB241@hotmail.com...
> You one sick pup!

Flattery will get you nowhere, son.

1498. Re: ...duh Dipole Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 14:14:52 UTC
From: oh8mfh <oh8mfh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <91032m$84v$1@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 62831

Yep: di means two and pole means, well, er pole. Gary

1499. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 14:17:02 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
Message-ID: <3A338FF5.4EA91536@home.com>
Source record: 62832

ONE37 wrote:

> "Gary Danaher" <gdanaher@home.com> wrote in message
> news:3A322861.3C8BFE02@home.com...
> > If this NG dealt with CB, the primary topics would include ways of
> > properly wiring microphones and antennas, how to check properly for
> > tuning of antennas, etc. A quick read shows little of this and much
> > bellyaching about amplifier quality, how to find a good 'tech' who can
> > tweak the radio, complaints about all those hams who hate CBers but
> > usually go unnamed, where to buy 'export' radios at a good price, what's
> > going on at 27.555 (a non CB frequency), and so forth. Yep, the real
> > CBer is apparently in a minority here.
> >
>
> For the past couple of years I've been on this newsgroup, I've seen
> questions like that posted here. Check Deja News archives. But for some
> reason Gary, you and a few other HAMs trolled on those postings too (if I
> remembered accurately).

Unfortunately, you do NOT remember correctly. Your apology is accepted.

1500. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 14:19:34 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
Message-ID: <3A33908D.1E3B2A93@home.com>
Source record: 62833

ONE37 wrote:

>
> Are you an avid CBer/HAM or just a HAM? You know as well as I do that when
> it comes down to having a neighbor running illegal equipment and bleeding
> your stuff, you know that you on your part have to accept the interference
> as stated on your electronic device.

This is only true if the transmitted signal is generated legally. If you are creating an illegal signal, then the owner of the Pat 15 device does NOT have to just accept it.

1501. Re: Tired of this sh!t?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 14:20:19 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001210092019.29080.00001118@nso-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 62839

In article <KGxY5.1132$Wd.552915@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>, "Major Tom"
<ground@control.com> writes:

>No thats just it the cb people arent enamoured with hams do you see any
>running to the ham NG and pulling the same pussy hide behind the
>mile/keyboard mentality as you are doing here trying to get a rise out of
>the general population on the NG trust me in time you will go and another
>jerk-off will take your place I can guarantee that, it happens all the time
>you are no different.

So true! I have been here since the beginning and I can guarantee that! New
jerks will come they always do. And isn't it funny that cbers really are not at
all interested in hams or going to the many ham groups. Funny because the cb
guys only have this group but there are several ham groups to choose from.
Why is it that cbers are not interested in hams, but hams are interested in
cbers???

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

1502. Re: Tired of this sh!t?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 14:20:20 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001210092020.29080.00001120@nso-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 62834

In article <3A31B245.5053FBA7@hotmail.com>, "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)"
<upplus@hotmail.com> writes:

>New moderated, members only group:
>http://www.egroups.com/group/rec-radio-cb

Is this the group that wont allow that n8wwm kid to join?

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

1503. Re: Tired of this sh!t?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 14:20:20 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001210092020.29080.00001119@nso-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 62837

In article <3A328CF0.E411E740@hotmail.com>, "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)"
<upplus@hotmail.com> writes:

>N8wwm spewed forth:
>
>> Scottiekins admits defeat...AND MOVES AWAY!!!!
>
>It ain't defeat...it's the equivalent of turning the knob
>to get away from a music playing carrier tosser.
>
>Judging from the overwhelming response of the flocks
>signing on, many feel the same way. It's like going
>to HF, we know you aren't going to be there.

This is what we have been telling n8wwm to do.....
JUST TURN OFF THE SWITCH ON YOUR RADIO.
THIS IS THE EQUIVALENT TO THAT. OR CHANGING THE CHANNEL!!!!
THE MAIN DIFFERENCE IS YOU CAN NEVER COME TO THIS CHANNEL!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

1504. Re: FS:TEXAS STAR DX3200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 14:20:21 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001210092021.29080.00001121@nso-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 62838

In article <20001209062429.18054.00008011@ng-fi1.aol.com>, dethmetalx@aol.com (DetHMetaLx) writes:

>so whoever decides it is their business to say whats illegal or not, as if it
>wpuld stop someone from buying it, you need to shut you god damn mouth.
>and N8WWM.....im half tempted to drive to toledo from detroit and give you a
>lesson on whats legal or not you asshole.
>someone should ban you and you need to keep yourself on the amatuer
>boards.....what about when all those people got popped down on 75/80 a short
>while ago? bet you didnt go on the ham boards sayin hahahaha, did ya punk.

WOW!!!
There surely is a lot of love going towards n8wwm

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

1505. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 14:20:21 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001210092021.29080.00001122@nso-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 62840

In article <t36lkv960b3v51@corp.supernews.com>, "ONE37"
<ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM> writes:

>Listen here...
>
>Alot of the old crew here had some pretty heated debates with each other and
>with the technically knowledgable Hams' that did and still do frequent this
>group. And sometimes the debates are rather friendly in nature but heated
>in technical discussion. I liked that. Hell I loved it! Guys would go
>days on end about the "102 INCH STAINLESS STEEL WHIP" debate (speak up
>fellas... you know who you are). I mean coax debates on formulas and loss,
>antenna debates on take-off angles, resonation, blah, blah, blah! Man, you
>name it. You just don't know... those were the good old days. Hell it was
>just a few months ago to a year!
>
>Nowadays, there is name calling, criminal this, criminal that. "Repent thou
>vengeful sinners! REPENT! The end is near!" Give it a rest! There are a
>few people here that do post stuff that deal with illegal equipment. SO?
>I've been to a forum totally irrelavent to the forums nature but involved
>MARIJUANA. It was about 4X4's! Why don't you go there and post the
>relevance of legality. Their excuse was "well if the President can do it,
>so can I..." attitude! Geeez!
>
>These guys here know their stuff. Not all the "teckis" here are illegals.
>I know of a few here like Gary Danaher, Richard McCollum, Jay in the Mojave,
>and a few others I can't remember that have some pretty good info to put
>out. They, too, got into some heated debates with other regulars to this
>group. And the last time I checked, they were all HAMS!
>
>I've learnt alot since moving here from Hawaii. I've learnt alot while I
>was in Hawaii too. But I've learned alot more coming here to this NG and
>reading, posting, learning and applying some of the stuff from here.
>
>And with a newcomer, like yourself, who is studying to be a Amateur Radio
>Operator shouldn't be asking questions on personal intentions but on
>technical intentions. Learn about radio. Not the psychology of a persons
>posting... And, friend, maybe its something YOU need to work on in the
>future.
>
>"Thus endeth the sermon..."

THE ABSOLUTE BEST POST 137, speaking as one of the OLD Originals of the group!
Can we say.....
" THOSE WERE THE DAYS!!!!!!!!!!"
That is why I no longer talk shop I just send jokes to lighten the mood!

I hear that there is now a private board that conversations of old can go on but, it is regulated against some undesireables!!!!! Preach on my brother and congrads on your new baby!!!!!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

1506. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 14:20:22 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001210092022.29080.00001123@nso-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 62835

In article <90s3mvjae1@nnrp1.deja.com>, aaronvoobner@my-deja.com writes:

>Basement-built amps...unskilled builders...no regard for harmonics,
>purity, stability? Check it out: this is exactly what is being produced
>NOW. Nothing but good can come of getting these pieces of trash off the
>market and off the airwaves.

Obviously there are quite a few who would disagree with you aaron, but hey
that's what makes america great!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

1507. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 14:20:22 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001210092022.29080.00001124@nso-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 62836

In article <3A318F3B.27CDE4E8@hotmail.com>, "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)"
<upplus@hotmail.com> writes:

>COLD as ICE wrote:
>
>> So very TRUE!!!!
>> It would actually be worse!!!!!!
>
>Would that be called, "just desserts?"

Yup!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

1508. Re: The New Bitch in the group.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 14:23:27 UTC
From: Train <b.h@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <zIMY5.3069$La3.790938@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 62841

Hey Miles.......LTNT Buddy....Most of us have the "block sender option on
our NG readers and filter out the lamers that just like to start shit like
that N8WW guy.
I recomend this action highly for good filtering of assholes!
Have a happy.......
Train
tra1n@aol.com
train@cbmods.com
www.cbmods.com

"Miles Davis" <MDD327@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:90vb0vopc1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net...
> Here we go again. How long is it going to take for you guys to shit can
him
> this time. If you prolong these silly battles with a mud duck you only
have
> more feather to clean up later.
>
>
>
> --
> ....ain't taking a damn thing back!
>
> Miles Davis
>

1509. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 14:27:13 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14996-3A3392C1-3@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62844

How is an illegal operator on triple 5 running no power affecting you? He's not. Q: How is he trying to "run you off the air?" A: Again, he's not. One thing you are failing to recognize is the human nature retribution factor. Not saying it's right, but if you go sticking your fingers where they don't belong you may get them stuck in the cookie jar, HARD! The cops are not going to give your house security protection or follow you to the store or to work, that op that isn't bothering you that you so meticulously went out of your way to hassle may have a beef to settle now. If you wanna play citizen's arrestor, you damn well better have the cajones to back it up. And if you have a family, you're priorities are TWISTED to so callously put your personal crusade above your family's well being.

1510. Re: Please help this gentleman with his request.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 14:39:04 UTC
From: Rob Ennis <rennis@twave.net>
Message-ID: <3A339588.C0971193@twave.net>
Source record: 62842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.family.radio

thepolishdude@my-deja.com wrote:

> From: "medlad" <medlad@email.msn.com>
> Date: 12/6/00 9:44AM
> Subject: scanner
> Can you talk with your chat room scanner buddies and have them send any
> information on Okaloosa County, Fort Walton Beach area frequencies.....
> You can give them my e-mail address and let them send the info directly
> to me... let me know if there are any questions.. The big question is
> that my brother is wondering if Fort Walton Beach went trunking or
> not...
>
> Also, if you could; please send this guy any and all frequencies for all
> the municipalities in Okaloosa County-Florida and surrounding counties.
> If not the frequencies then at least the web page addresses.
>
> Thanks a zillion, people
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

Medlad...below are all of the police frequencies in Okaloosa (that are not
trunking systems). For your own search abilities...go to
www.perconcorp.com/scripts/foxweb.exe/PROCSUBM?\0\FCC\RS_County\SPECTRUM\SPECTRUM

On this page, it indicates that Okaloosa does have trunking frequencies
assigned but I can't tell you (from N. C.) if they are active, you'll have
to check for yourself...
http://www.perconcorp.com/scripts/foxweb.exe/SRCH_ENG?\0\FCC\RS_County\SPECTRUM\SPECTRUM

The "Radio Service Codes" in the search fields are "PP" for public service
and "YP" for trunked public service

For all of your frequency needs go to
http://www.perconcorp.com/datafinder/index.html

Happy hunting...Rob

FREQUENCY CALLSIGN COMPANY NAME RS CITY
STATE COUNTY LAT / LONG
========== ======== ======================== == ====================
== ==================== ==============
39.1600 KNGG365 FLORIDA, STATE OF PP FORT WALTON BEACH
FL OKALOOSA 302622/0863816
39.9400 KNGG365 FLORIDA, STATE OF PP FORT WALTON BEACH

FL OKALOOSA 302622/0863816
45.0600 KIA285 FLORIDA, STATE OF PP CRESTVIEW
FL OKALOOSA 304540/0863418
45.1000 WPAG364 FLORIDA, STATE OF PP HOLT
FL OKALOOSA 304155/0864508
45.2200 KGU768 FLORIDA, STATE OF PP HOLT
FL OKALOOSA 304155/0864508
45.2600 WPAG364 FLORIDA, STATE OF PP HOLT
FL OKALOOSA 304155/0864508
45.4200 KNFD519 FLORIDA, STATE OF PP CRESTVIEW
FL OKALOOSA 304330/0863153
45.4600 KNBF371 FLORIDA, STATE OF PP CRESTVIEW
FL OKALOOSA 304622/0863402
45.4600 KNGX651 FLORIDA, STATE OF PP FORT WALTON BEACH
FL OKALOOSA 302729/0863659
45.5400 KJK85 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP
FL OKALOOSA /
45.8200 KNBF371 FLORIDA, STATE OF PP CRESTVIEW
FL OKALOOSA 304622/0863402
45.8200 KNGX651 FLORIDA, STATE OF PP FORT WALTON BEACH
FL OKALOOSA 302729/0863659
154.6800 KIA285 FLORIDA, STATE OF PP CRESTVIEW
FL OKALOOSA 304540/0863418
154.6800 KIA285 FLORIDA, STATE OF PP SHALIMAR
FL OKALOOSA 302641/0863455
154.9200 KIA285 FLORIDA, STATE OF PP CRESTVIEW
FL OKALOOSA 304540/0863418
154.9500 KJK85 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP
FL OKALOOSA /
154.9500 KJK85 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP SHALIMAR
FL OKALOOSA 302641/0863455
154.9500 KJK85 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP CRESTVIEW
FL OKALOOSA 304544/0863331
155.3700 KAQ276 FORT WALTON BEACH, CITY O PP FORT WALTON BEACH
FL OKALOOSA 302420/0863558
155.3700 KAQ276 FORT WALTON BEACH, CITY O PP FORT WALTON BEACH
FL OKALOOSA 302759/0863659
155.3700 KIA285 FLORIDA, STATE OF PP CRESTVIEW
FL OKALOOSA 304540/0863418
155.3700 KJK85 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP
FL OKALOOSA /
155.3700 KJK85 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP SHALIMAR
FL OKALOOSA 302641/0863455
155.3700 KJK85 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP CRESTVIEW
FL OKALOOSA 304544/0863331
155.3700 WNKT630 NICEVILLE, CITY OF PP NICEVILLE
FL OKALOOSA 303134/0862945
453.2500 WNKT630 NICEVILLE, CITY OF PP NICEVILLE
FL OKALOOSA 303202/0862838
453.2500 WNKT630 NICEVILLE, CITY OF PP NICEVILLE

FL OKALOOSA 303202/0862838
453.5000 WPKF607 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP FORT WALTON BEACH
FL OKALOOSA 302717/0863730
453.5000 WPKF607 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP CRESTVIEW
FL OKALOOSA 304540/0863418
453.5000 WPKF607 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP CRESTVIEW
FL OKALOOSA 304540/0863418
453.7000 WPKF607 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP FORT WALTON BEACH
FL OKALOOSA 302717/0863730
453.7000 WPKF607 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP FORT WALTON BEACH
FL OKALOOSA 302717/0863730
453.7000 WPKF607 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP CRESTVIEW
FL OKALOOSA 304540/0863418
453.8750 WPLH760 FORT WALTON BEACH, CITY O PP FORT WALTON BEACH
FL OKALOOSA 302430/0863752
453.8750 WPLH760 FORT WALTON BEACH, CITY O PP FORT WALTON BEACH
FL OKALOOSA 302430/0863752
458.2500 WNKT630 NICEVILLE, CITY OF PP NICEVILLE
FL OKALOOSA 303202/0862838
458.2500 WNKT630 NICEVILLE, CITY OF PP
FL OKALOOSA /
458.5000 WPKF607 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP FORT WALTON BEACH
FL OKALOOSA 302717/0863730
458.5000 WPKF607 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP CRESTVIEW
FL OKALOOSA 304540/0863418
458.7000 WPKF607 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP FORT WALTON BEACH
FL OKALOOSA 302717/0863730
458.7000 WPKF607 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP CRESTVIEW
FL OKALOOSA 304540/0863418
458.8758 WPLH760 FORT WALTON BEACH, CITY O PP FORT WALTON BEACH
FL OKALOOSA 302430/0863752
460.0750 KAQ276 FORT WALTON BEACH, CITY O PP FORT WALTON BEACH
FL OKALOOSA 302420/0863558
460.0750 KAQ276 FORT WALTON BEACH, CITY O PP FORT WALTON BEACH
FL OKALOOSA 302759/0863659
460.2750 KAQ276 FORT WALTON BEACH, CITY O PP FORT WALTON BEACH
FL OKALOOSA 302420/0863558
460.2750 KAQ276 FORT WALTON BEACH, CITY O PP FORT WALTON BEACH
FL OKALOOSA 302759/0863659
460.2750 KJK85 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP
FL OKALOOSA /
460.2750 KJK85 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP SHALIMAR
FL OKALOOSA 302641/0863455
460.2750 KJK85 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP CRESTVIEW
FL OKALOOSA 304544/0863331
460.3000 KJK85 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP
FL OKALOOSA /
460.3000 KJK85 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP SHALIMAR
FL OKALOOSA 302641/0863455
460.3000 KJK85 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP CRESTVIEW

FL OKALOOSA 304544/0863331
460.3250 WNKT630 NICEVILLE, CITY OF PP NICEVILLE
FL OKALOOSA 303202/0862838
460.3250 WNKT630 NICEVILLE, CITY OF PP NICEVILLE
FL OKALOOSA 303202/0862838
460.3250 WPCY616 VALPARAISO, CITY OF PP VALPARAISO
FL OKALOOSA 303034/0863005
460.3250 WPCY616 VALPARAISO, CITY OF PP
FL OKALOOSA /
460.4750 KJK85 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP
FL OKALOOSA /
460.4750 KJK85 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP SHALIMAR
FL OKALOOSA 302641/0863455
460.4750 KJK85 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP SHALIMAR
FL OKALOOSA 302641/0863455
460.4750 KJK85 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP CRESTVIEW
FL OKALOOSA 304544/0863331
460.4750 KJK85 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP CRESTVIEW
FL OKALOOSA 304544/0863331
460.5250 KJK85 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP
FL OKALOOSA /
460.5250 KJK85 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP SHALIMAR
FL OKALOOSA 302641/0863455
460.5250 KJK85 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP CRESTVIEW
FL OKALOOSA 304544/0863331
461.0000 WPKY844 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP FORT WALTON BEACH
FL OKALOOSA 302442/0863714
465.2750 KJK85 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP
FL OKALOOSA /
465.2750 KJK85 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP SHALIMAR
FL OKALOOSA 302641/0863455
465.2750 KJK85 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP CRESTVIEW
FL OKALOOSA 304544/0863331
465.2750 WNDP463 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP
FL OKALOOSA /
465.2750 WNDP463 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP
FL OKALOOSA /
465.2750 WNDP463 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP ELGIN AFB
FL OKALOOSA 303300/0862945
465.2750 WNKT630 NICEVILLE, CITY OF PP NICEVILLE
FL OKALOOSA 303202/0862838
465.2750 WNKT630 NICEVILLE, CITY OF PP NICEVILLE
FL OKALOOSA 303202/0862838
465.2750 WNKT630 NICEVILLE, CITY OF PP
FL OKALOOSA /
465.3000 KJK85 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP
FL OKALOOSA /
465.3000 KJK85 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP SHALIMAR
FL OKALOOSA 302641/0863455
465.3000 KJK85 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP CRESTVIEW

FL OKALOOSA 304544/0863331
465.3250 WNKT630 NICEVILLE, CITY OF PP NICEVILLE
FL OKALOOSA 303202/0862838
465.3250 WPCY616 VALPARAISO, CITY OF PP
FL OKALOOSA /
465.4750 KJK85 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP
FL OKALOOSA /
465.4750 KJK85 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP SHALIMAR
FL OKALOOSA 302641/0863455
465.4750 KJK85 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP CRESTVIEW
FL OKALOOSA 304544/0863331
465.5250 KJK85 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP
FL OKALOOSA /
465.5250 KJK85 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP SHALIMAR
FL OKALOOSA 302641/0863455
465.5250 KJK85 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP CRESTVIEW
FL OKALOOSA 304544/0863331
466.0000 WPKY844 OKALOOSA, COUNTY OF PP
FL OKALOOSA 302641/0863455

1511. Re: N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 14:46:12 UTC
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <3A33972F.DFC@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 62845

Regional K9 NY wrote:
>
> Doug,
> There is nothing wrong with posting your opinion however, there is a point
> were you begin to bother and harrass people in the newsgroup. If you dont like
> CB radio and enjoy the hobby of Amateur Radio, thats fine to but dont down
> other people for not sharing your interests. I have been an amateur radio
> operator for 11 years, and I do agree that there is no need for people to run
> 7000 watts to talk locally, but you cannot put down the more honest people on
> the CB band. I have in my years, met just as many boring knucleheads involved
> with ham radio as I have with CB radio. I have a handful of friends here that
> chat locally on the CB band and have no interest in becoming hams, does this
> mean that because I am a ham and they are not I should deem them enemies? I do
> occassionally hook up my old Cobra 148 GTL and tune to the local channel to say
> hi. I have met alot of good people from ham radio and also CB. You have to keep
> in mind my friend, that ALL radio operators, regardless of privledges, do have
> feelings and DO share a common interest, the love of radio. I think that if you
> opened you eyes and truley appreciated your hobby, you would not bash your
> fellow radio operator, regardless of status. Maybe this helps your already poor
> esteem. Apparently you have to make fun of others to make yourself feel better.
> Remeber Carma, what you dish out will come back to haunt you someday. Good luck
> on your future endeavors.
> Glenn- KB2GQZ
> a "I know code" ham
>
>
Leading by example is the best way to teach. I don't have a "maul" to
drop
on the mudducks in the Pickup, the neighbors don't hear my ham or cb on
the
their stereo. Talk up radio. Nagging about the Ops who run 10KW gets
You
nowhere but alienated. A radio OP I know used to run a hell of a rig in
his truck
complete with 500 watts output, I did tell him "casually" that the
set-up
might cause some problems in the future by that ended the Lecture and we
went on
to more radio talk etc. About 10 months later, he was over to the house
while
in town to talk radio and "sheepishly" admitted that there had been a
problem
with his setup. He had been on a run out West to California and then up
the

West Coast and had keyed up in a Parking Lot in Seattle (He had the not too
sweet experience to learn this was the Restaurant the Local FCC office ate lunch
when he bled into the PA). He lost a 2950, Amp and was delayed for about 2 hrs
to unload while these erstwhile public servants done whatever FCC types do when
distrubed from lunch. By surrendering the equipment he avoided any nasty
surprises and was allowed to go on his way. Talk about being in the wrong place
at the wrong time, Hank's luck is such that he refuses to even buy lottery tickets
for the neighborhood pool! He had to run back to The Louisiana Bayou country
to his lovely Claudia with a MUDDUCK setup that could make me chuckle. He wanted
to make damn sure that thing wasn't over 4 watts and could he get some ham study
material? A local radio shop had the audio tapes for the test since as trucker
ole Hank usually listened to Books on Tape and this would be perfect. A few month
later he happened upon a test session in CA and he was on his way.

Moral of Story-Don't preach, lead by example. I don't look forward to seeing
anyone busted by the locals, I hope reasoning will work as to the why-for and
why-do but if it doesn't then grown people take their own responsibility for
their actions. Good Radio Ops aren't lectured and hounded, they are cultivated
by their own curiosity and desire.

Be an Elmer, not an old sour-puss.

Martin N5PZJ Landman

1512. Re: One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 14:59:16 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20700-3A339A44-25@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62846

Wrong! That is not how this started. It was a discussion of the bills merits alright, UNTIL certain heathens came in and began to TROLL! Reread and see who began the proverbial name calling, cross posting, and ran in here like bulls in a china shop, multiple and remote postings and infantle-like actions and words.

1513. Re: One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 15:19:54 UTC
From: davemade1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001210101954.18085.00007881@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 62847

this is what hapens when one has no life. he must have a neighbor bleeding him over or something and is mad at the cb community.

1514. 100Watt to 1400watt HF 80-10M Amplifiers Sale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 15:20:32 UTC
From: Justin DePolis <justinde@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <FbNY5.466$It.322037@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 62948

Hello, I have alot of 80-10 meter amplifiers for sale, after the closing of
a retail outlet, below is a price list:

Mobile Units: (these units require about 30 watts drive, have selectable
power output, and have a 20DB receive pre-amplifier)

KLV 100 watt unit: $89.99
Mirage 300 watt unit: $175.00
Mirage 300 watt unit with thermal protection: $ 225.00

The units below all have thermal protection, and SWR protection:

Mirage 600 watt: $399.99
Mirage 800 watt: $435.99
Mirage 1200 watt: $799.99

Base Models: (all base units 110 volts)

Tube Type: (these units use the 811-A, or EL-519)

KLV 200 watt: $234.99
KLV 400 watt: $434.99
KLV 1200 watt:$699.99

Solid State:

Mirage 300 watt: $599.99
Mirage 500 watt: $699.99

My name is Justin, and I can be contacted at (909) 279-4193,
or at: justinde@pacbell.net
You can also check out my web site for more information:
http://www.geocities.com/ham2way2000/index.html
Quantity pricing available, and prices can be negotiable, thanks, and 73's.
(attached to the post is a picture of the base units)

1515. N8WWM LID

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 15:32:35 UTC
From: youdontknow1x@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23394-3A33A213-14@storefull-113.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62949

NAME SAY IT ALL (LID)

1516. Re: One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 15:57:26 UTC
From: The Landshark <s3buell@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <rJNY5.494$ly4.321293@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 62950

You know this all started with him
posting on here on November 11, 2000
trying to cell an old time CB. Don't understand.

The Landshark

--
It's a Buell-tiful Day for a ride
through the Canyons.

1999 Ford F-150 Super Cab
1998 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
1983 Honda 1100F
BFD #666
"Donnie" <n4jzh@knology.net> wrote in message
news:3a32b689.433967574@news.knology.net...
> Doug,
> From one ham to another, GIVE IT A REST!
> If you want to set an example, BE THE EXAMPLE.
> your postings are redundant and childish.
> Everybody is aware of the enforcement issue and what is illegal
> or not, all of your postings will do nothing to help you it just
> makes you look stupid. so GIVE IT UP! the info on QRZ I see you are a
> Technician if this is so,
> shouldnt you be trying to learn the code or seeking further
> advancement in ham radio or are you going hang out here on this NG to
> continue this childish behavior? the reason I posted my question to
> you here is
> (1) The cross posting to other groups as to your behavior.
> (2) Your actions and how they represent Ham Radio.
> (3) Dont see many other hams here acting like you do if so they to
> should follow what I said in the second line of this post.
> If you disagree with me do such through email.
> 73 de op N4JZH (General Class)
> Donald F. Smith Jr.
> Hanahan,S.C. 29406
> n4jzh@knology.net
>

1517. FA: COBRA 29 GTL (eBay)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 16:02:42 UTC
From: kf6ezz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001210110242.23172.00004107@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 62951

http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=elcam

1518. Re: Spam From Kp82.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 16:04:16 UTC
From: The Landshark <s3buell@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <wYNY5.1$Pv3.688@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 62952

O.K. Aaron, give it a rest. You and others have already
explained on previous post that it is illegal.

The Landshark

--
It's a Buell-tiful Day for a ride
through the Canyons.

1999 Ford F-150 Super Cab
1998 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
1983 Honda 1100F
BFD #666
<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:90u6dv$1mu$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <20001209152021.04296.00001240@ng-fp1.aol.com>,
> timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com) wrote:
> > look at all the fun were having on 11 meters. world wide dx, local
> >comms at night, and all types of digital modes. its a load of fun and
> >you dont have to study a thing. see you on the freeband.
> >
> > 73 de Tim
> > Knight Patrol #82
> > http://www.kp82.com
>
> You are poaching on unauthorized frequencies. This is typical of the
> "looter mentality" of criminals, Tim, i.e. "if no one's looking it's OK
> to steal". Are you a criminal, Tim? Why act like one?
>
> Aaron Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

1519. Re: Threats in rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 16:15:44 UTC
From: The Landshark <s3buell@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <wYNY5.2$Pv3.458@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 62953

So what your saying, as a law abiding citizen
I should go chase down every speeder, report
every car with a loud stereo, report my neighbor
watering his lawn on the wrong day, call the police
when the neighbors dog is barking, call the police
when I see someone littering, call the highway patrol
to report a car with neon lights, call the police because
my neighbor has trailer in his driveway for more than
2 days..... The list is endless.....
"When all these things happen we will then be living
in a secure safe place to raise our children"

Nazi Germany!!!!! You and all the other so called Hitler
youth should take a lesson from history.

The Landshark

--
It's a Buell-tiful Day for a ride
through the Canyons.

1999 Ford F-150 Super Cab
1998 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
1983 Honda 1100F
BFD #666
<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:90um07$d42$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <20001209185406.26711.00003716@ng-bk1.aol.com>,
> timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com) wrote:
> > hi boobner. if you guys would stop being assholes you wouldnt recieve
> >a threat from who ever it was. its not your opinion that people do not
> >like, its your attitude and way you deliver your opinions. wonder what
> >flavor doughnuts the fbi men are eating these days?
>
> I'm afraid I must disagree.
>
> You want hams (and others) to come into this newsgroup, hat in hand,
> terrified of "offending" illegal freebanders and high powered jammers,
> fearing they may accidentally alienate a future ham convert.
>
> An "Aw shucks I don't care what frequency ya transmit on or what power
> ya use, long as I don't hear ya" attitude is what the illegals want to
> hear. And hams go along with it - because they assume 'you get more
> flies with honey than vinegar'.
>

> I challenge that assumption.
>
> CBers who truly desire to upgrade to a radio hobby more substantial than
> CB do not need recruitment. They take proactive steps on their own to a
> path that leads to ham radio.
>
> The hardcore illegals will never come to ham radio. And with their
> criminal "it's OK to steal if you don't get caught" attitude, you
> wouldn't want them if they did. So why fear "offending" them?
>
> Why pretend that illegal transmissions on 10 meters are OK?
>
> Why fear offending people who think all rules, including those of the
> FCC, are a joke?
>
> Why soft-pedal the idea that transmitting on public service, government,
> and aircraft frequencies is dangerous and irresponsible?
>
> Why look the other way when 25-32Mhz export radios are flagrantly traded
> and sold to unlicensed individuals?
>
> Why show any respect at all to people who openly violate the rules of
> common courtesy and respect for others by unleashing giant
> spectrum-splattering amplifiers on the public airwaves?
>
> Of course, even criminals have rights. They have the right of free
> speech, and the right to post their little fantasies about freeband
> radio and big amplifiers and such -- but they don't have the right to go
> unanswered.
>
>
> Seriously,
> Aaron Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

1520. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 16:54:24 UTC
From: ONE37 <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t37cvcb1u05aa4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62954

> Sparky, done and gone!

No. Don't go! I already answered your question. Now answer mine... why are you taking it personal out here when the people who offended you is there near you. Read your first post, Gunny? You need to take some kind of medication before your forehead caves the hell in.
--

ONE37

"... reality is a frame of mind... stupidity is a state of mind."

1521. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 16:58:42 UTC
From: ONE37 <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t37d7efr2f3847@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62955

> THE ABSOLUTE BEST POST 137, speaking as one of the OLD Originals of the group!
> Can we say........
> " THOSE WERE THE DAYS!!!!!!!!!!"
> That is why I no longer talk shop I just send jokes to lighten the mood!
> I hear that there is now a private board that conversations of old can go on
> but, it is regulated against some undesireables!!!!! Preach on my brother and
> congrads on your new baby!!!!!
>
> COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
> mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!

Thanx ICE. I'm AUDI5000 too. Can't say no more. Some people are so closed minded on legalities. And yet little do they know, I'm not illegal ;-) ... Laterz ICE. I'll see you on the other one.
--

ONE37

"... reality is a frame of mind... stupidity is a state of mind."

1522. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 16:59:57 UTC
From: ONE37 <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t37d9pltivua73@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62956

"Gary Danaher" <gdanaher@home.com> wrote in message
news:3A33908D.1E3B2A93@home.com...

> This is only true if the transmitted signal is generated legally. If you
are
> creating an illegal signal, then the owner of the Pat 15 device does NOT
have to
> just accept it.

I'm sure you meant PART 15. But thanks for clarifying that for me.

--

ONE37

"... reality is a frame of mind... stupidity is a state of mind."

1523. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 17:01:28 UTC
From: ONE37 <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t37dcjte9532ab@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62957

> Unfortunately, you do NOT remember correctly. Your apology is accepted.
>
Ok then.
--

ONE37

"... reality is a frame of mind... stupidity is a state of mind."

1524. Re: One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 17:03:55 UTC
From: bendowersagain@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001210120355.08973.00003859@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 62958

>aaronvoobner@my-deja.com said

>B) Yes, I have operated CB radio. Three guesses as to what I found on
>the local band.

>C) Not angry towards CB users.....just towards illegal CB operators who
>throw thier illegality in my face

>his discussion
>started, it was all about HR2346. Rather quickly, various low-grade
>intellects in this newsgroup attempted to explain the merits of
>lawlessness

Mr Voobner
First, I am sorry you have been mistreated while trying to use your CB radio in a legal manor. Most of us know that there are many who prey on folks like you, much like N8WWM does to some here on this ng.(I assume he use to be a former CB bully) I might suggest that you may be a little thin skinned, and may need to toughen up some.
Now regaurding HR2346. I do not condone breaking the law. But I do beleave that HR2346 is a lazy mans cop out. If the right thing were done, this law, HR2346, dersigned to provide enforcement, to help prevent interference, to consumer products such as TVs, VCRs, ect, would not be necessary.
Now Mr. Voobner. You made mention to, "low-grage intellects". So, with that in mind, I would like to ask you what I mean, by a lazy mans cop out ? Do you have a clue as to what I may be talking about? Are you capable of such thought?

I will be looking forward to your comments.
Comments from others are a course welcomed.

Benny L Dowers

1525. galaxy 99 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 17:11:33 UTC
From: myway625@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001210121133.03398.00002982@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Source record: 62959

A couple off the led bars in my freq. display don,t lite up. How can i fix this? Thanks

1526. Re: Illegal CB&Ham Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 17:31:52 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001210123152.21037.00000910@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 62960

ive never once read about somebody advising another cb'er to run a 500 amplifier off a cigarette lighter. i'd love to see that post, and the mod ripping guys dont last long around here either. something tells me either you havent been paying close attention or your only hearing what you want to hear.

>And as long as you are going have these 10 KW keydowns and
>Mr. porch fix-it mod riggers we are going to have nothing
>but trouble with back porch Mr. fix-it fools. Far to many
>think that a pair of pliers and a screw driver and a 110%
>modulation will work magic. Just look at some comments on
>here on clipping the mod limiter and how to install a 500
>watt linear off the cigarette lighter socket, and those that
>believe the tuning a radio/antenna system is only necessary
>for splitting hares on TX and RX performance

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

1527. Windsor 23Ch radio info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 18:05:41 UTC
From: Cory Papenfuss <papenfus@juneau.ece.uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <VBPY5.8167$4h2.137117@vixen.cso.uiuc.edu>
Source record: 62961

Hello all. I recently got ahold of an old Windsor 23Ch radio that seems to work fine. I was just wondering if anyone knew anything about it or links about it (calibration, mods, specs, etc). Doesn't look like there's a single IC on the board, so it's probably a pretty simple set. Just looking for some info.

Thanks,
-Cory

--
Humorous .sig's I've seen around:

1: You're not *really* working in the lab
unless there's smoke in the air.
2: What do you get when you mix FreeBSD and linux? A daemonic penguin who has just fed on the souls of a bunch of herring.
3: #define QUESTION (2b || !(2b))

1528. 257 ON THE WHEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 18:07:34 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001210130734.17427.00005493@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 62962

Hey 257....you were soundin real good in chicago today...Good hearin you out there..hope to put it on ya again when we can...Happy Holidays to you.

937....chicago

1529. Re: Illegal CB&Ham Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 18:11:44 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
Message-ID: <3A33C6D3.B12AA91B@home.com>
Source record: 62963

"www.kp82.com" wrote:

> ive never once read about somebody advising another cb'er to run a 500
> amplifier off a cigarette lighter.

(snip)

....but you do have to admit there is a whale of lot of voodoo here specificly regarding how to wire a radio, the length of patch cables, etc. I don't remember the one about the 500 watt amp via the lighter plug, either (15 amp fuse might have trouble with it), but that wasn't the point of the guy's comment.

1530. Re: Off topic and funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 18:25:36 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210132536.19944.00003134@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 62965

```
>Go play with your no code.
>Leave it rest.
>73 de N0DGN
>Bob

Why your mom didnt
```

1531. Re: Illegal CB&Ham Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 18:26:53 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <1qh73t4qff6ml8nf4rbpn24u2qcgrec90b@4ax.com>
Source record: 62964

Greetings!

On Sun, 10 Dec 2000 04:16:17 -0800, while the modem was ice fishing
with the Mouse, John Amero <" johnaNOï¿½PAM"@island.net> dissertated the
following:

:+> And as long as you are going have these 10 KW keydowns and
:+> Mr. porch fix-it mod riggers we are going to have nothing
:+> but trouble with back porch Mr. fix-it fools. Far to many
:+> think that a pair of pliers and a screw driver and a 110%
:+> modulation will work magic. Just look at some comments on
:+> here on clipping the mod limiter and how to install a 500
:+> watt linear off the cigarette lighter socket, and those that
:+> believe the tuning a radio/antenna system is only necessary
:+> for splitting hares on TX and RX performance.

Can you post those please? I'd like to know, they need all the help
they can get.

I can't prevent someone from being stupid. I can only answer them on
the questions they pose to the N.G. What they do with that information
is entirely up to them.

Sure, some of us hang around out here bashing the winners in the
latest keydown, slamming a personality or two about their ways, or
complaints about engine noise. I can handle that bandwidth.

There's always a sore loser in any group. I'm sure you know what I
mean.

You might be foregetting a point of why some of us lurk around here
still. We try to help those get started in radio.

Since you're a radio fan, all of us are, thats why we are here.

Now, if all you want to do is bash around people, the good ones avoid
you and those like you, like the plague. Just don't make a general
statement that others can interpet as applying to them. Misinterpeted
accusations, It's the fastest way to make the fur fly.

It's all this effort in "one upmanship" that is killing this N.G. and
those USENETS related to the radio hobby.

Some of us walk a fine line on complex issues. It's hard to keep it
simple when dealing with others' emotions.

I'll handle a simple question about radio anyday the best I can, just
keep the politics of the hobby out of it.

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:
.
... You CERTIANLY are a CB'er when... .----------------.
... your idea of a GOOD TIME is | .----. |0| | ||\
... answering a lady breakers 10-36! | | 19 | .-. .-.| |
... | `----' (0) (0)| |
... `----------------' |
... _____________\|

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

1532. Re: COBRA 148F

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 18:33:03 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <7ti73tkmf877cd3ufuif202qo3cprp6fn9@4ax.com>
Source record: 62966

Greetings!

Check the bottom of the board, I used to own one of these silly things
[Sorry but IMHO they are problems from the word go].

Where D35 is on top, there should be a black square that is the
varactor, soldered to the foil trace side.

Don't ask me why...I don't know why they put it there.

On Fri, 8 Dec 2000 21:55:38 -0600, while the modem was ice fishing
with the Mouse, "m1976" <m1976@ametro.net> dissertated the following:

:+> does anyone know where the d-35 or what they call the varactor diode is in
:+> one of these 148s that has the frequency counter ? i have one that was made
:+> in malaysia but i can't find the diode the place for it is there but the
:+> diode is not. also this thing has a problem with the frequency the channel
:+> selector does not work . signal is missing from the loop mix. loop is
:+> unlocked and the clarifier does'nt work either . replaced mb8719 pll chip
:+> and also 11.325 crystal as well as a couple vco chips still no signal. if
:+> anyone has any information on this we would surely welcome it. thanks
:+>

Regards!
:+> Andy <+: (```~~~~''')
. ^^ ||||| ^^
... You know you're a CB'er when... \\ //
... you spend more time fixing .-----------.
... your radio... | [ ] o o o |\
... than talking on it! '__________|\|
. `------------'

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

1533. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 18:46:30 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <agj73tkvrqp9rvh6jpjlgprmp14h5u3e2e@4ax.com>
Source record: 62967

Greetings!

On Thu, 07 Dec 2000 22:26:12 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
the Mouse, aaronvoobner@my-deja.com dissertated the following:

:+> Recent approval of HR2346 indicates that the general public has little
:+> if any tolerance or sympathy for illegal Citizens Band activities,
:+> especially when they infringe on the rights of the average person to
:+> operate a consumer device without being subject to unreasonable
:+> interference.

Yes, I would like to quit hearing "squash" in my radio when I'm
talking to someone else. It's someone elses opinion, does that give me
the right to complain? Can I get the Power company to shut off their
power so the rest of us can talk?

:+> It would seem to me the CB community would be vitally
:+> interested in cleaning up its act.

You know, I've been wondering about that...Where should we start?

:+> Instead, I have observed an
:+> ostrich-like mentality that continues to deny the problem, combative
:+> postures that challenge and defy the law and praise the illegitimate,
:+> and laughable propaganda that attempts to stampede the amateur
:+> community. Especially here in rec.radio.cb discussion of legal vs
:+> illegal should be pursued rather than squelched.

See above...under "squash"...

:+> Honest debate in the
:+> tradition of Mark Fuller should be encouraged. It's your choice. It's
:+> your band. You can clean it up or continue to allow other people to soil
:+> it.

Mark was not very honest. In fact, I mentioned the efforts of Driving
a radio while intoxicated. Do I have the right to rip out the guys
radio from his drunkard hands? I have yet to hear a legitimate answer.

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:
. .-----------------.
... You know you're a Mud-Duck when... | 0 |3 5 7 9 30+|
... asking for a "Ray-didio check", they |===|=============|
... answer with "You're barely gettin' `-----------------'
... the weeds wet!"

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

1534. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 19:15:11 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210141511.02551.00003422@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 62968

>And if you have a family, you're
>priorities are TWISTED to so callously put your personal crusade above
>your family's well being.
>
>
>

See what I mean....the criminals are threatening you if you complain about
them...
Thats an example of the screwed up mentality here.

1535. Re: Ch 13 Lynrd Skynrd 3 wheeler idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 19:16:56 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210141656.02551.00003423@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 62969

>>
>> One of em is 1mangang. He took time off from his skin flute lessons to
>mess
>> with the band.
>
>You are very close to becoming 1mangang's SFB. If I were you, and I thank
>the gods that I am not, I would be more circumspect in my following him
>around the News Group. Just my opinion.
>
>Jeff

thats cuz yer a sissy like him. I could care less...bring it on you weenies
dont bother me. .

1536. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 19:19:03 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210141903.02551.00003427@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 62971

> YOU BROKE THE SPEED LIMIT THUS YOU VIOLATED MY RIGHTS
>AND I AM GOING TO PLACE YOU UNDER CITIZENS ARREST, YOU
>CRIMINAL!!!!AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAHHHHHHHHRG!"

Right, and you vomit breaths cant stand someone doing it so you threaten them...stick it where the sun dont shine boy.

1537. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 19:19:35 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A33D747.3E4@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 62970
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

LOL!

I can vouch for Charlotte, TW! I live just west of it! PLENTY of
activity!

73

Jerry

GLC1173 wrote:
>
> Twistedhed wrote:
> >You live in NC and 19 is INACTIVE? Ever >heard of a town called
> >Charlotte? One VERY busy hub and is >the exact opposite of what you
> >descrbe.
>
> I live in Burlington - and, particularly once a mile or two from I-85, Ch.
> 19 is dead.
> Even on the Interstate, Ch. 19 traffic is rather light - particularly
> compared to Virginia's D.C. suburbs. Even driving to and from Greensboro this
> afternoon, Ch. 19 had rather little on it.
> The only places near here where Ch. 19 picks up appreciably are near the big
> area truckstops - Petro and Flying J in Mebane, Truckstops of America in
> Gibsonville.
> More ways to fight
> Algore's coup - and to screw Blue Nation for supporting him!!
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> Dissident news - plus immigration, gun rights, nationwide weather
> Al Gore - in his own words
> ALAMANCE INDEPENDENT:
> official newspaper of the Vast Right Wing Conspiracy
>

1538. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 19:20:21 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210142021.02551.00003428@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 62972

>
>Anyway, you're darn right, some of us posters are brand new. Maybe you
>could explain your little group to us, i.e. what are you guys into, etc.
>
>

They are into criminality and hate it when someone calls them the moronic
roaches that they are.

1539. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 19:21:31 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210142131.02551.00003429@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 62974

>
>If you don't like a post, ignore it. No one is making you read it. If
>you want to argue, that's fine too, it just keeps you off the air a
>bit longer. But it is impossible for me to ignore a jerk down the
>street with a 1KW penis-compensator. So as long as the illegal
>operators continue to whine about getting caught, I will continue to
>laugh.
>

Right as rain and the fairies here cant stand it.

1540. Re: 257 on the wheels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 19:22:19 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A33D7EB.2888A954@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62973

Two-Five-seven@webtv.net wrote:

> Probably humming the company
> song as you work, hows that song go?Hold the pickles hold the lettuce.

The song was, "Two all beef patties, special sauce, lettuce, cheese,
pickles, onions on a sesame seed bun."

BK won't have him!!!

1541. Re: 257 on the wheels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 19:26:31 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210142631.02551.00003432@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 62975

>And do you also think it's funny having all of those strange men
>"visiting" you're wife while you're at work.Probably humming the company
>song as you work, hows that song go?Hold the pickles hold the lettuce.
>
>257 NH. On the wheels
>

No dum dum..that was your horse semen encrusted sister.

1542. Re: Tired of this sh!t?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 19:27:31 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A33D923.6552B6E1@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62986

COLD as ICE wrote:
>
> In article <3A31B245.5053FBA7@hotmail.com>, "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)"
> <upplus@hotmail.com> writes:
>
> >New moderated, members only group:
> >http://www.egroups.com/group/rec-radio-cb
>
> Is this the group that wont allow that n8wwm kid to join?

That's it. The bar is filling up quick!

BTW, Dougiekins said he's going to join it. Funny, I haven't seen
his application...

1543. Re: 257 on the wheels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 19:27:38 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210142738.02551.00003433@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 62976

>Hi Tim,I realize that but I thought that somebody as mentally stunted as 257
NH
> wouldn't know a thing about duty cycle or heating his balls with a waffle
iron
>
>
>257 NH. On the wheels
>

1544. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 19:28:39 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210142839.02551.00003434@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 62977

>> > I, and a lot of others, simply do not care what
>> >you think and will continue to conduct ourselves in whatever manner we
>see
>> >fit. You do not matter. Get it?
>> >
>> >Jeff
>>
>> And the rest of us will crack up when you get busted....
>
> My first question to you is, "How in the hell did you get past my
>filter?"
> My second question to you is, "Whom do you think is going to "Bust" me
>for discussing what you term as illegal activity on this News Group?
>
>Jeff
>
>
>

I am better than you...nyaa nyaa nyaa

1545. Re: 100Watt to 1400watt HF 80-10M Amplifiers Sale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 19:31:04 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210143104.02551.00003435@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 62978

duly reported to the FCC

1546. Superswangthang shut the hell up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 19:37:36 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210143736.02551.00003436@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 62979

Didn't he?
I am delighted to be the spearhead of shutting that dweeb down by making sure he gets busted for his threats.
Who wants to be next, sissies? You keep butting your heads into a wall with me because you are too dumb to get the fact that you cant do anything to me, about me, or with me.
Most of you are criminal jerks and will get your just desserts from the law. I love it.
Nyaa Nyaa Nyaa! N8WWM is better than you! Nyaa nyaa nyaa!

1547. Re: Threats in rec.radio.cb, chickenhawk cracks..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 19:40:32 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A33DC30.AB197E33@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62980

Hey Doug,

Your mother called and said it's spelled "coffee".
She also said to come in for dinner, and that you were coming
through the cable TV on channel 18 with your 2 meter radio.

1548. Re: 100Watt to 1400watt HF 80-10M Amplifiers Sale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 19:53:30 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A33DF3A.46B8@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 62981

N8wwm wrote:
>
> duly reported to the FCC

Did the guy *SAY* they were for CB?

1549. Scottiekins drools more squirrel turds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 20:05:54 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210150554.21576.00000060@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 62982

Scottiekins dribbled:

>Hey Doug,
your mother called and said it's spelled "coffee".
>She also said to come in for dinner, and that you were coming
>through the cable TV on channel 18 with your 2 meter radio.
N8WWM answered:
Mom died in 93, and I am on 6 meters...so it wd be channel 2 if any.
Dont forget to sell copies of your book...
I need the money.

Special announcement about the 3 special kids!
Folks, you need the following book...Twistie, tnom and Scottiekins have, with adult supervision, drooled into a dictaphone the following masterpiece of authorship and it is now available at your local book store...Don't miss "Muskrat Love", the heartwarming story of 3 illegal CB'ers who find love and marriage through uniting in hatred for N8WWM, the mean 'ole hammie they wish they were 1% equal to in quality of flame issues.
While their Sparkomatic and 2 pill amp combo splashes all 40 channels and into 10 meters, listen to the 3 sweet little CB'ers plot, plan and generally scheme to out flame the greatest flamer of all time. Read about their use of electronic components as aids to their sagging libido's. Learn why no female relatives ever come to visit. Look at the special 13 page photo insert that shows the dried snot on their microphone! This and much, much more.

Folks, this book is on par with the smash hit "I am faithful to my wife", by Bill Clinton, and will become recognized as a major literary accomplishment. The trippin' trio have outdone themselves this time, and you have just gotta read it to believe it. Only 29.95 now at your local bookseller or order online at
www.N8WWMisGreat.com

1550. Re: 100Watt to 1400watt HF 80-10M Amplifiers Sale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 20:09:51 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210150951.21576.00000065@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 62983

>N8wwm wrote:
>>
>> duly reported to the FCC
Jerry dribbled:
>Did the guy *SAY* they were for CB?
>
>
N8WWM said:
Nope. However, he did say they cover 10 meters. It is illegal to sell any
amplifier covering the range of 25-34mhz out of the box.

1551. Re: Illegal CB&Ham Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 20:13:25 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <GrRY5.5497$4W.831081@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 62987

"Gary Danaher" <gdanaher@home.com> wrote in message
news:3A33C6D3.B12AA91B@home.com...
>
>
> "www.kp82.com" wrote:
>
> > ive never once read about somebody advising another cb'er to run a 500
> > amplifier off a cigarette lighter.
>
> (snip)
>
> ....but you do have to admit there is a whale of lot of voodoo here
specificly
> regarding how to wire a radio, the length of patch cables, etc. I don't
remember
> the one about the 500 watt amp via the lighter plug, either (15 amp fuse
might
> have trouble with it), but that wasn't the point of the guy's comment.
>
>

Didn't we hear about plans to run a 10kw amp from a single 2-3kw LN
alternator 'cause it was AC'?

1552. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 20:13:58 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A33E406.5120@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 62984

>
> Yeah they did, legal stations are exempt from the new laws.

Well, not exactly..... It says *LICENSED* services. What about the legal CBer who happens to live near an "illegal" one? What if the cops mistakenly go to the legal guys' house and issue a citation to him? If this happens, the legal CBer has to take time, funds to prove his innocence. What if his legal station *does* interfere with Widow Brown's TV? Cops visit and "make" him shut it down--even though he has violated NO laws? How is this fair? What if the "interferee" has certain "connections" downtown?

I know, Leland, why you spew so much hatred against CBers. What you *really* want is for there to be NO CB, eh? Would THAT allow you to "climax" in your *dreams* about CBers? Would that finally make you go away? But that isn't going to happen, so you might as well go away anyway!

Jerry

1553. Re: I'm outa here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 20:16:29 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A33E49D.6DAF@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 62985

Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay) wrote:
Scott,

AWWW! Who'll pore ole Leland rant at when faced with a blank page?
LOL!

73

Jerry

> Hey Dave and Peek:
>
> Join the old gang at:
> http://www.egroups.com/group/rec-radio-cb
> Grab a seat, the bar is filling fast!
>
> -=PEAKABOO=- wrote:
> >
> > I'm gone too. This is nothing like this group used to be.
> >
> > "hillbilly" <hillbilly001@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> > news:90u1ok$u7t$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > I'm outa here, tired of all the ass biting. they should change the name
> > > of this group the the ABA....ass biters society....
> > >
> > > later kids, I'll be back when ya all grow up.
> > >
> > > Dave KG5XR
> > > http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
> > > On Bull Shoales Lake, Ark/Mo State Line
> > > Fishing is great & crappie are biting
> > >
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > Before you buy.
> > >

1554. Re: Florida View

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 20:16:48 UTC
From: elmerpee@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <910obcqvs1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62990

In article <337-3A292E5B-53@storefull-125.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
> Wellllll, we ARE number one in college football!
>
>
And SUCK DICKS TOO

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1555. Re: One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 20:21:56 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <910ol0rab1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62989

In article <20001210120355.08973.00003859@ng-ca1.aol.com>,
bendowersagain@aol.com (Bendowersagain) wrote:
> >aaronvoobner@my-deja.com said
>
> >B) Yes, I have operated CB radio. Three guesses as to what I found on
> >the local band.
>
> >C) Not angry towards CB users.....just towards illegal CB operators
who
> >throw thier illegality in my face
>
> >his discussion
> >started, it was all about HR2346. Rather quickly, various low-grade
> >intellects in this newsgroup attempted to explain the merits of
> >lawlessness
>
> Mr Voobner
> First, I am sorry you have been mistreated while trying to use your CB
radio in
> a legal manor. Most of us know that there are many who prey on folks
like you,
> much like N8WWM does to some here on this ng.(I assume he use to be a
former
> CB bully) I might suggest that you may be a little thin skinned, and
may need
> to toughen up some.
> Now regaurding HR2346. I do not condone breaking the law. But I do
beleave that
> HR2346 is a lazy mans cop out. If the right thing were done, this law,
HR2346,
> dersigned to provide enforcement, to help prevent interference, to
consumer
> products such as TVs, VCRs, ect, would not be necessary.
> Now Mr. Voobner. You made mention to, "low-grage intellects". So, with
that in
> mind, I would like to ask you what I mean, by a lazy mans cop out ?
Do you
> have a clue as to what I may be talking about? Are you capable of such
thought?
>
> I will be looking forward to your comments.
> Comments from others are a course welcomed.
>
> Benny L Dowers

>
>

Hello Ben,

Glad you jumped in to save "Beebe Rebozo's" bacon.

Anyhow, you ask me if I know what you mean by a lazy man's cop out. I will answer honestly sir, I have not a clue what YOU mean or what YOU are thinking. (Sorry for the caps, just for emphasis). I only *truly* know what I mean when *I* say things.

My support of HR2346 is based on an interpretation of the facts.

There are folks who will argue that "the FCC should enforce, not the local cops". But I think they know in their heart of hearts that the FCC will never achieve the massive, gargantuan level of funding it would take to enforce CB. So I think they are just blowin' smoke, Ben. They want things to stay the same, linears on the air, no enforcement, etc.

My beef is also with the illegal contingent in rec.radio.cb who get all freaked out by folks like me discussing HR2346. All these mentions of "legalities" makes them feel, well, uncomfortable. Then they get all huffy and start calling names. Then I get blamed for being a troll, notwithstanding that my points are always intelligently made and I attack only if attacked first.

But back to your question, Benny. "Do I have a clue what you're talking about?" you ask. Well, honestly, no. As I said, I can't read your mind, Ben. I look forward to your comments.

Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1556. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 20:27:30 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <910p0h$8fc$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 62988
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Now that we have seen both extremes in this one message, maybe more rational people can find a spot in the middle.

When you get one from the left, and one from the right, playing "Ain't it awful" at the same time in goes from the sublime to the ridiculous

If anything threatens Amateur Radio, it is people who instead of operating what ever mode it is they champion, get on the internet and lament the future of amateur radio.

"Noel" <zzzz@oisin.demon.co.uk> wrote in message news:in803t8vj1a3j619g3gq60urachmou115u@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 05 Dec 2000 23:36:18 GMT, no-ARRL@all.com (Wouff Hong) wrote:
>
> >Just wait, 13 months from now the CW bands will shrink, and CW testing will be
> >ended. Thank you ARRL for turning our ham bands into SHIT!
>
> If CW testing isn't ended, eventually there won't be anyone on the
> amateur bands, as all the old brigade will have passed away.
>
>
> --
> Noel

1557. Re: I'm outa here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 20:41:25 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210154125.10515.00000786@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 62992

```
>> Join the old gang at:
>> http://www.egroups.com/group/rec-radio-cb
>> Grab a seat, the bar is filling fast!
>>

Because of the POWER of the great N8WWM! these dweebs tried EVERYTHING...then
they had to leave...
```

1558. Re: Superswang is crazy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 20:55:36 UTC
From: don'tyouwishyouknew@fuckyouasshole.fu
Message-ID: <3a33ecd7.1998297@netnews.att.net>
Source record: 62993

Oh, does that make you feel like a man???

Like those guys have time nonsense like that...

get real

get a life

got milk?

On 09 Dec 2000 21:56:00 GMT, n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:

>Just to make it clear superswang, I sent that to yahoo and geocities, and am
>forwarding your threats to the FBI. You don't make threats like that without
>them being dealt with. Now you crazies are gonna see someone really blowing
>smoke...You made the threats, now see what happens when you do dumb stuff like
>that.
>
>Re: Your user superswangthang
>
>http://www.geocities.com/superswangthang/
>
>The equipment on this page is illegal. They are amplifiers that work on radio
>frequencies between 25 and 34 megahertz. They are specifically banned under FCC
>regulations because they cause harmful interference to other radio services.
>
>The following is a copy of a recent news item that may be of interest to you.
>
>FCC to Monitor Auction Site for Illegal Items
>NEWINGTON, CT, Oct 24, 2000--The FCC says it has reached an agreement with the
>eBay auction site that's aimed at curtailing the sale of clearly illegal radio
>equipment.
>
>FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement Riley Hollingsworth says eBay
>has agreed to cooperate in removing advertisements in which the item for sale
>"is clearly non-certified." Hollingsworth said most of the equipment involved
>falls into the CB category, including illegal amplifiers.
>
>"I've got a whole folder of people who have been complaining about this and
>will be delighted to know that the Commission is taking action," said the ARRL
>Regulatory Information Branch's John Hennessee, N1KB.
>
>Hollingsworth said a review team within the Technical and Public Safety
>Division of the FCC Enforcement Bureau is screening eBay ads each week. He said
>the practice could be extended to other auction site if the FCC learns of
>similar problems.

>
>Hollingsworth credits complaints from the Amateur Radio community with getting
>the new system in place. "I've been collecting complaints for a year, but the
>amateur community really generated it," he said. Hollingsworth says he sees
>about 10 complaints a week about auction site advertisements--sometimes several
>about the same ad--and has been forwarding all complaints he receives to a
>"duty team" within Technical and Public Safety. He cautions that complaints
>should be based on clear-cut FCC rules violations, however, such as attempts to
>sell illegal linear amplifiers.
>
>"Amateurs can keep sending me items, addressed to fccham@fcc.gov,"
>Hollingsworth said.
>
>
>
>Also, here is a copy of this person's email threat to me, made on the newsgroup
>rec.radio.cb
>
>Subject: Re: Info on N8WWM
>From: superswangthang@yahoo.com (Swangthangman)
>Date: 12/9/2000 16:01 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <3a329d79.5525349@netnews.att.net>
>
>On 09 Dec 2000 18:51:05 GMT, n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
>
>>Like I am worried. As I said before, come on over and set down, and extra
>plate
>>at the table aint no trouble.
>>
>>Anytime you want fellas.
>
>Nah we'll just pull a drive by and shoot yer house up or while your at
>work, we'll rape yer ol' lady, fuck yer daughter and shoot yer dawg.
>
>I have friends in Toledo, so watch it.
>
>
>
>Oh! It Don't mean a THANG.,if it ain't got that SWANG!
>
>http://www.geocities.com/superswangthang/
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>

N8WWM's Gay Lover

1559. Re: 1mangang is LAME!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 20:55:54 UTC
From: don'tyouwishyouknew@fuckyouasshole.fu
Message-ID: <3a33ed12.2057254@netnews.att.net>
Source record: 62994

and YOUR one to talk

Loser

On 09 Dec 2000 22:38:31 GMT, n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:

>1mangang: little boy twerp and his toy computer...poor child...
>
>LAME LAME LAME
>
>Do something original...make up a post about me instead of cloning mine. C'mon
>man, is that all ya got? No imagination at all...snipping up my post like
>that...geez...
>
>Actually, I dont think you have what it takes to do anything but call names.
>Poor helpless dweeb.
>
>For your punishment you are sentenced to listen to the Beach Boys song "When I
>grow up to be a man" 1000 times.
>
>You cant hang with the best, sonny. You are outta yer league.

N8WWM's Gay Lover

1560. Re: N8WWM LID

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 20:58:12 UTC
From: don'tyouwishyouknew@fuckyouasshole.fu
Message-ID: <3a33ed69.2143974@netnews.att.net>
Source record: 62995

On Sun, 10 Dec 2000 09:32:35 -0600 (CST), youdontknow1x@webtv.net
wrote:

>NAME SAY IT ALL (LID)
>

I thought asshole was a more proper title...

and not to mention Loser....

one person says he wants to kick his ass... and he says he's gonna
tell the fucking FBI, what a moron...

N8WWM's Gay Lover

1561. Re: Superswangthang shut the hell up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 21:00:17 UTC
From: don'tyouwishyouknew@fuckyouasshole.fu
Message-ID: <3a33edc0.2230950@netnews.att.net>
Source record: 62996

On 10 Dec 2000 19:37:36 GMT, n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:

>Didn't he?

Mother fucker, I never went anywhere... It just so happens that I have a fucking job and a fucking life, (of which you seriously need to get yourself BTW) and I have better things to do than sit in front my my computer, with my dick in my hand and jack off while reading this newsgroup...

so, in short?

FUCK YOU!

signed,

N8WWM's Gay Lover

N8WWM's Gay Lover

1562. Re: Threats in rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 21:03:01 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <910r23$t3h$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62901

In article <wYNY5.2$Pv3.458@news.pacbell.net>,
"The Landshark" <s3buell@pacbell.net> wrote:
> So what your saying, as a law abiding citizen
> I should go chase down every speeder, report
> every car with a loud stereo, report my neighbor
> watering his lawn on the wrong day, call the police
> when the neighbors dog is barking, call the police
> when I see someone littering, call the highway patrol
> to report a car with neon lights, call the police because
> my neighbor has trailer in his driveway for more than
> 2 days..... The list is endless.....
> "When all these things happen we will then be living
> in a secure safe place to raise our children"
>
> Nazi Germany!!!!! You and all the other so called Hitler
> youth should take a lesson from history.
>
> The Landshark
>

No Sharky,

Barney-Fife-ism or Nazism is not what this is about.

What I am advocating is that one should take personal responsibility for one's actions. Being a good neighbor to others within the radio spectrum is not all that difficult. It makes sense. It creates a more enjoyable experience for everyone.

You wouldn't pee on a public street simply because you felt the urge. You wouldn't buy a 50,000 watt stereo and blast music at 4AM from your roof. You wouldn't stand idly by while looters robbed your vacationing neighbors house.

Of course you wouldn't.

The fact that there are laws against all of those things is beside the point. It's not about the laws. It's about consideration for others.

The radio spectrum regs are there to protect the rights of others. When you ignore them you are in effect saying to others "I, me, only me matters, screw everyone else".

Asking you to have consideration for other people is hardly what I'd call Nazism.

Always,
Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1563. Re: Superswangthang shut the hell up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 21:03:20 UTC
From: Stephen J <kb2rgz@snip.net>
Message-ID: <t37rrtp6cfbv85@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62997

Somebody call me?
N8wwm <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001210143736.02551.00003436@ng-fb1.aol.com...
> Didn't he?
> I am delighted to be the spearhead of shutting that dweeb down by making
sure
> he gets busted for his threats.
> Who wants to be next, sissies? You keep butting your heads into a wall
with me
> because you are too dumb to get the fact that you cant do anything to me,
about
> me, or with me.
> Most of you are criminal jerks and will get your just desserts from the
law. I
> love it.
> Nyaa Nyaa Nyaa! N8WWM is better than you! Nyaa nyaa nyaa!

1564. Re: Superswangthang shut the hell up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 21:06:24 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210160624.10521.00000999@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 62898

>Mother fucker, I never went anywhere...

Maybe not but you will be. HA HA ASSWIPE.

1565. Re: Superswang is crazy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 21:07:53 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210160753.10521.00001000@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 62899

>get a life
>

I like mine as it is. You obviously dont like yours.

1566. Re: Why Do U Respond To n8wwn Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 21:08:35 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210160835.10521.00001001@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 62900

Cuz they are idiots, why else?

They have to be to think they bother me.

1567. Re: Superswangthang shut the hell up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 21:10:18 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210161018.10521.00001002@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 62902

Sissy, hiding behind a phoney address.

You are a degenerate moron who is gonna be singing the blues over your bust.

Sissy.

1568. Re: 27.555 USB is Officially...lost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 21:10:40 UTC
From: Serial # 19781010 <u4ea3@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <kjSY5.63070$15.13323121@news1.rdc1.az.home.com>
Source record: 62903

Disa Isa Aldo! Senda Mea DaMoney!

"At942" <at942@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001205185725.08153.00000017@ng-fw1.aol.com...
> Looks like the boys in Phoenix have won the battle and the war. 27.555 is
no
> longer the international call freq and has turned in to the official
JAMMING
> freq. We as responcible alfa tango members must realize defeat and try to
come
> to some type of settlement with those big Phoenix stations or risk loosing
our
> DX club all together. We must be men enough to realize that the group of
10 or
> so idividuals in Phoenix that are Jamming the international call freq are
both
> organized and financed beyond our capabilities. We must negotiate some
type of
> settlemen or move to a different freq. It has been 5 months now and they
only
> grow stronger in both signal strength, and number of stations...as we alfa
> tangos grow weaker and less in stations.

1569. Re: 1mangang is LAME!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 21:12:50 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210161250.10521.00001003@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 62904

A weak dork sissy hiding behind a phoney email address.

For your punishment you are sentenced to listen to the Beach Boys song "When I grow up to be a man" 5000 times.

Another lame ass who tries to fence with the greatest. I pity you.

>and YOUR one to talk
>
>
>Loser
>

1570. Re: Illegal CB&Ham Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 21:31:42 UTC
From: John Amero <" johnaNO§PAM"@island.net>
Message-ID: <3A33F63E.D6DBED1E@island.net>
Source record: 62912

Thank you.:-)) It is is comforting so see that some one is
thinking on here and taking the post in the context that it
was meant... more than just picking grammar apart.
Cheers,
John

Gary Danaher wrote:
>
> "www.kp82.com" wrote:
>
> > ive never once read about somebody advising another cb'er to run a 500
> > amplifier off a cigarette lighter.
>
> (snip)
>
> ....but you do have to admit there is a whale of lot of voodoo here specificly
> regarding how to wire a radio, the length of patch cables, etc. I don't remember
> the one about the 500 watt amp via the lighter plug, either (15 amp fuse might
> have trouble with it), but that wasn't the point of the guy's comment.

--
JOHN AMERO, Parksville, B. C. Canada E-Mail to:-
johnaNOï¿½PAM@island.net
**NOTE: REMOVE the_ NOï¿½PAM _in the email address for
reply.**
Check out my Web Site at- http://www.island.net/~johna/ ICQ
UIN 2166985
... or Business- email to- Director of Business Development,
JohnAmero@singaporerental.com
Also see index at above web site or
http://singaporerental.com/

1571. Re: Superswangthang shut the hell up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 21:33:04 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210163304.22613.00000804@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 62905

>Mother fucker, I never went anywhere..

I will make you a deal...
You keep your mom off the street...I will keep my pants on.

1572. Re: PeekaBoo Caffeno?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 21:42:04 UTC
From: James Dunham <jdunhamjr@home.com>
Message-ID: <MMSY5.11881$x6.6666863@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 62906

Peekaboo.....get it.....get it....get it!!!!!!!!!!Keep up the good work....contact me...same address
"brass" <cc@knology.net> wrote in message news:3A31CE94.5AF7B255@knology.net...
> yay . . . nay
>
> Brass
>

1573. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 21:51:51 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <5OSY5.1963$JE.493857@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 62907
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Noel" wrote in message ...
> > Quoting Wouff Hong:
> >Just wait, 13 months from now the CW bands will shrink, and CW testing
will be
> >ended. Thank you ARRL for turning our ham bands into SHIT!
>
> If CW testing isn't ended, eventually there won't be anyone on the
> amateur bands, as all the old brigade will have passed away.

Well, I think the jury's still out on that one Noel.......

After an initial surge of new licensees in the USA (I see you're from England) under the No-Code Tech provisions, new licensing (whether with or without Code @ 5wpm) has dropped off to approximately the same levels (or less) that we saw before the advent of a No-Code license here. IMHO, even if we did away with the Code provisions of H.F. licensing (an event that may be coming down the pike in another 5 or so years), we'd see about the same thing........ an initial rush of new applicants for 6 months to a year, and then the same slow decline in overall amateur numbers.

As Fred posted.... the decline in amateur numbers has little to do with licensing requirements and far more to do with other hobbies/distractions available today (computers, internet..etc).

Besides..... the old brigade (your words) are gonna be around for a long time yet... Most of the so-called newcomers to ham radio I've seen are in their 30's and 40's and many seem interested in earning H.F. privileges, 5wpm or not...... the teens of today just have too many other entertainment outlets clamoring for their attention to consider ham radio.... Nintendo, TV, MTV, the internet, pagers, cell phones..etc.... 5wpm Code has almost nothing to do with the lack of teens coming into Ham radio.

1574. Re: Threats in rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 22:06:50 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210170650.26606.00003354@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 62908

>Asking you to have consideration for other people is hardly what I'd
>call Nazism.
>
>

Exactly, but these pea brains do not grasp the concept. That is what I have said all along...they think operating illegally is OK because they are self centered, adolescent minded sociopaths.

And when you say "hey, you are wrong to do that" they threaten to shoot you and rape your family.

THAT is what the Nazis were all about. They said: "Do not oppose us or we will kill you"
A bunch of low mental age type crud from lame sissies who can't get ham licenses, that's all it is.
If these individuals are not living proof that more enforcement is needed I do not know what is.

They hate me cuz they KNOW I am right, and threaten me because the law makes them feel threatened themselves. Lame little sissies hiding behind fake addresses and filthy words and threats, that's all it is. "Hammies this and hammies that", thats all I hear on this ng, or should I call it a BG for "bullshit group" or MG for "moron group".

I will be at Dayton this year with a T-shirt that has my call on it.

1575. Re: Florida View

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 22:09:08 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2503-3A33FF04-19@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62911

Congrats elmerpee, you are the second to make my kill file this year. Are you a coward as well, junior? My door is always open here in the 'pile..it's always unlocked, just walk right in, through the front gate, up the path, around the curve to the right..you'll see the light on...cmon..what are you waiting for?..Someone let me know if he returns the invite...From interstate 75 South take 580 west through Tampa to the town of Oldsmar. Hang a left (south) on Forest Lakes Boulevard to SR 590..go left (east) to any street on your right and take it (right) to Bayshore. Go left on Bayshore (east) all the way toward the end. The bay is on your due right. Look to your left right before the power plant, the white gate (that is ALWAYS open) with the green mailbox is mine. Ask anyone you may see in the vicinity that you are here to see the guy with the radios. Where are you, junior?

1576. Re: One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 22:13:10 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <910v5m$8f9@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 62909

I NEED A PORK REST!!!!!
It seems that there are more Hams on this newsgroup than on the ham groups.
Why don't you take your discussions pertaining to ham radio, Merit badges
and whatever other ham related topic to the Ham groups? Don't bother with
the excuse that you also use CB too that is no reason for all this ham
related, referenced posts on this NG.
If you CBers would like to move over to one of the Ham groups post your
response here and we will begin some threads on their NG. There BS is
getting as old as their rules and regulations.

"Donnie" <n4jzh@knology.net> wrote in message
news:3a32f4e2.449931154@news.knology.net...
> On Sat, 09 Dec 2000 23:07:47 GMT, n4jzh@knology.net (Donnie) wrote:
>
> > Doug,
> > From one ham to another, GIVE IT A REST!
> > If you want to set an example, BE THE EXAMPLE.
> > your postings are redundant and childish.
> > Everybody is aware of the enforcement issue and what is illegal
> > or not, all of your postings will do nothing to help you it just
> >makes you look stupid. so GIVE IT UP! the info on QRZ I see you are a
> >Technician if this is so,
> > shouldnt you be trying to learn the code or seeking further
> >advancement in ham radio or are you going hang out here on this NG to
> >continue this childish behavior? the reason I posted my question to
> >you here is
> > (1) The cross posting to other groups as to your behavior.
> > (2) Your actions and how they represent Ham Radio.
> > (3) Dont see many other hams here acting like you do if so they to
> >should follow what I said in the second line of this post.
> > If you disagree with me do such through email.
> > 73 de op N4JZH (General Class)
> > Donald F. Smith Jr.
> > Hanahan,S.C. 29406
> > n4jzh@knology.net
>
> Tom,
> you are correct sir,
> I was fortunate not to have that type of experience.
> I was welcomed into Ham Radio with open arms because I had the
> desire to change and learn. I too came from years of CB,Freeband,
> amplifiers,e.t.c. now that is behind me we certainly try to set an
> example of how you can be a GOOD ham. I sit here and see Doug stoop
> lower and lower into the sewer to bad when he realizes it, it will be

> to late.
> 73 N4JZH Donnie

1577. Re: Scottiekins drools more squirrel turds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 22:13:53 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A340021.9768287B@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62910

Dougiekins spewed, all over his monitor and keyboard:
>
> Scotti wrote:
>
> >Hey Doug,
> your mother called and said it's spelled "coffee".
> >She also said to come in for dinner, and that you were coming
> >through the cable TV on channel 18 with your 2 meter radio.

> N8WWM spewed:

> Mom died in 93, and I am on 6 meters...so it w[oul]d be channel 2 if any.

Sorry 'bout your mom. If you didn't open your radio and hack it, it wouldn't splatter TV channel 2. You seem to forget I've been in radio for 24 years. I know lots of hams. Some are good, some are bad. It's just human nature for some to be pricks.

Aaron and yourself make good bedfellows. Just watch out for pricks!

> Dont forget to sell copies of your book...
> I need the money.

An admission of brokeness! However, I would like to see the book, since you love me so much to take time from learning CW to better yourself to write a book about me. Still, flattery will get you nowhere because you have nowhere to go.

Someone said you were 32. Wrong! Doug is 42.

1578. Re: what is this new law on CB enforcement?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 22:15:16 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <910v9k$8es@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 62913

Does the bill allow for any federal funding to enact or enforce. How about
training?
"Mike Yetsko" <joe@user.com> wrote in message
news:t3554sopkk1v61@corp.supernews.com...
> Well, close...
>
> It does NOT allow local authorities to enforce federal laws
> with regards to CB.
>
> It allows local communities (ie states) to pass their
> OWN laws, it they choose to, which can then be enforced
> by the local authorities.
>
> These new 'local laws' are only 'subsets' of what the fed
> now says they can pass of the federal laws. Ie, a state
> can 'copy' a federal law and now enforce it. Or it can
> copy part of a federal law, and enforce it. But it cannot
> create NEW law, or even copy parts of federal laws
> EXCEPT for those area specifically allowed for in this
> bill.
>
> Mike
>
> CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net> wrote in message
> news:90sga6$91k@dispatch.concentric.net...
> > It is not a new law!! It is only a bill that gives the local authorities
> the
> > limited ability to enforce FCC rules if they choose do so.
> > "Pinball 1" <pinball1@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20001208220734.08448.00003062@ng-mk1.aol.com...
> > > I am new to this group. Could someone tell me where to get info
> explaining
> > the
> > > new law passed on CB radio and local enforcement
> >
> >
>
>

1579. Re: One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 22:29:24 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <TITY5.6088$4W.850365@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 62916

"Bendowersagain" wrote in message.....
> Mr Voobner
> First, I am sorry you have been mistreated while trying to use your CB
radio in
> a legal manor. Most of us know that there are many who prey on folks like
you,
> much like N8WWM does to some here on this ng.(I assume he use to be a
former
> CB bully) I might suggest that you may be a little thin skinned, and may
need
> to toughen up some.
> Now regaurding HR2346. I do not condone breaking the law. But I do beleave
that
> HR2346 is a lazy mans cop out. If the right thing were done, this law,
HR2346,
> dersigned to provide enforcement, to help prevent interference, to
consumer
> products such as TVs, VCRs, ect, would not be necessary.
> Now Mr. Voobner. You made mention to, "low-grage intellects". So, with
that in
> mind, I would like to ask you what I mean, by a lazy mans cop out ? Do
you
> have a clue as to what I may be talking about? Are you capable of such
thought?

Voobner......
I think you missed the point of what Ben was saying...
Essentially, inregards to the recent Bill, he's saying the FCC and the Feds are giving up on any REAL 'centralized' (Federal-Government-led) enforcement... instead they're 'passing along' that mission (SHOULD they decide to take it) to local government... Passing it along WITHOUT funding for enforcement, I might add, as well as restricting any 'local law enforcement' to the letter of the FCC code as spelled out by the Federal Government (which of course leaves the LOCAL authority in the lurch for any 'mis-directed' fines or impoundments).
Most of you should see through this new law for what it is... an excuse for the FCC and the Feds to say they did SOMETHING, when in fact all they did was shift the burden of unfunded enforcement activity to the local level, while keeping the sole authority for same to themselves.
IMHO, I doubt you'll see ANY local authorities rushing to do the FCC's job, while incurring the burden of funding.... NOWHERE in the bill does it spell out the local authority's ability to fund enforcement with fines at the local level... It only allows them to enforce (as enacted locally) pre-existing FCC rules without any funding from the FCC.

Kind'a like your neighbor deciding NOT to shovel the sidewalk in front of his house in the winter and the Township telling you that if you'd like to walk to the corner without having to trudge through a foot of snow that you can do it yourself (but if the mailman falls on an icy spot you left on the nieghbors walk, YOU pay.).

1580. Re: Check this Pic Out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 22:34:11 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3404E3.C622283B@hotmail.com>
Source record: 62914

Just post it here. Don't worry about the phone line freaks. I have
bandwidth!!

Also, get yourself a hotmail or yahoo account and join the action:
http://www.egroups.com/group/rec-radio-cb

I know you use a fake email on here, so I didn't bother to send
you an invitation. You _ARE_ invited.

tnom@mucks.net wrote:
>
> Go to: alt.binaries.pictures.dorks or
> alt.binaries.pictures.fun
>
> Look for cereal.jpg

1581. Re: Newbie Q about 'deadkey' and 'swing' .....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 22:37:13 UTC
From: sideband <sideband@cac.net>
Message-ID: <t7183t8md70kqnog09vgubtfd1mjtngppm@4ax.com>
Source record: 62915

On or about Sat, 9 Dec 2000 15:57:21 -0500, "Mike Yetsko"
<joe@user.com>, using the forum rec.radio.cb did say:
:So, power mics DO have some advantages.
:
: A volume control that can be turned down.

That's what the Mic Gain/ Dynamic control is for.
:
: A frequency response that you may like better
: than stock

Stock mic's do stink, yes... But you can't make a radio transmit audio
outside the range it was designed for. Most radios transmit between
300 and 3000 Hz audio, and having a mic that goes beyond that range
does no good for your transmitted signal.. it just forces the radio to
clip those freq's out.

: An output level that MAY be better matched to your
: rig.

Again, this is what the dynamic/mic gain controls are for.

:
:Overall, if you like them, fine.

Again, like I said... it was just my two cents... your mileage may
vary.
:
:Mike
:

73's

-SSB

1582. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 22:42:27 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <9110sj$3pf$6@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 62919

N8wwm <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote:
:>
:>... so you're saying that we should allow the commercial production of
:>illegal amplifiers so that we'll have a higher quality of contraband.
:>Hmmm, can anyone out there say "circular logic?"

: exactly...that is how these morons think...

And how do you know how others think?

I personally would like to see the power restriction eased. Require that
any amplifiers be type accepted, and bust people who run dirty equipment,
(just like what happens in the Ham bands - when the FCC feels like it).

Sure, there would still be some that made and sold illegal amplifiers.
However, if the volume of legal amps was high enough, then the price would
be low enough that the illegal manufacturers would not make much money.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

1583. Re: what is this new law on CB enforcement?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 22:59:06 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <9111rq$3pf$7@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 62920

N8wwm <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote:
:>It is not a new law!! It is only a bill that gives the local authorities the
:>limited ability to enforce FCC rules if they choose do so.

: That is correct

Yes, and no. It is a new law that allows local authorities to write
laws/ordinances to enforce FCC rules about illegal operation. The part
that is worrysome is that there is no restriction on the penalties
that the local authorities can impose.

Now, this will work fine in some areas, where there are conscientious
and fair Hams who will work with law enforcement to ensure that only
illegal operations will be busted. But there will be many other areas
where the local authorities will either act without proper knowledge
or evidence of illegal operation - or follow the guidance of some Ham
who will do anything to get a CBer busted, regardless of whether they
are obeying the regulations or not.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

1584. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 23:05:05 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <911271$3pf$8@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 62925

Sparky <sparky@cet.com> wrote:
:>
:>Am I? Am I not? That would be the questions... I feel that everyone has
:>the freedom to do whatever the hell they want. In or outside the law.

: I guess that's where we differ. I believe in something besides
: anarchy.

What the person is proposing is not anarchy - that is a lack of laws.
He is proposing civil disobedience, a bit of a difference.

In the case of anarchy, there are no laws to break. In the case of
civil disobedience, you can go to prison (or worse) for breaking the
existing laws.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

1585. 3 Third Graders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 23:05:32 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001210180532.05077.00000133@nso-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 62917

Three third graders, a Jewish kid, an Italian kid and
=A0=A0=A0=A0a Hillbilly kid
=A0=A0are on
=A0=A0=A0=A0the playground at recess. The Jewish kid suggests that they
play a new
=A0=A0=A0=A0game.
=A0=A0=A0=A0"Lets see who has the largest penis," he says. "Okay." They
all agree.
=A0=A0=A0=A0The Jewish kid pulls down his zipper and whips it out.
"That's
=A0=A0=A0=A0nothing,"says
=A0=A0=A0=A0the Italian kid. He whips his out. His is a couple of inches
longer.
=A0=A0=A0=A0Now not to be outdone, the Hillbilly kid whips his out. It
is byfar the
=A0=A0=A0=A0biggest, dwarfing the other two in both length and girth.
The Jewish
=A0=A0=A0=A0and
=A0=A0=A0=A0Italian kid are stunned and amazed. "Wow that thing is
huge!" they
=A0=A0=A0=A0exclaim.
=A0=A0=A0=A0That night, eating dinner at home, the Hillbilly kid's
mother askshim
=A0=A0=A0=A0what
=A0=A0=A0=A0he did at school today. "Oh, we worked on a science
project,had a math
=A0=A0=A0=A0test
=A0=A0=A0=A0and read out loud from a new book ... and during
=A0=A0recess, my friends and
=A0=A0=A0=A0I
=A0=A0=A0=A0played 'Let's see who has the largest penis."
=A0=A0=A0=A0What kind of game is that, honey?" says the mother. "Well,
me, Sidney
=A0=A0=A0=A0and
=A0=A0=A0=A0Anthony each pulled out our cranks, and I had the biggest!
The other
=A0=A0=A0=A0kids
=A0=A0=A0=A0say its because I'm a Hillbilly. Is that true, Mom?
=A0=A0=A0=A0The Mom replies: "No, Honey. It's because you're
twenty-three"

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

1586. Re: Superswangthang shut the hell up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 23:07:54 UTC
From: Major Tom <ground@control.com>
Message-ID: <e1UY5.10541$Wd.1052277@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 62918

hahaha nw8wwm's gay lover you hit it right on the head. and don't sweat the small stuff he doesnt have a leg to stand on nor a real pair a balls to go between them.

"N8WWM's Gay Lover" <don'tyouwishyouknew@fuckyouasshole.fu> wrote in message news:3a33edc0.2230950@netnews.att.net...
> On 10 Dec 2000 19:37:36 GMT, n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
>
> >Didn't he?
>
>
> Mother fucker, I never went anywhere... It just so happens that I have
> a fucking job and a fucking life, (of which you seriously need to get
> yourself BTW) and I have better things to do than sit in front my my
> computer, with my dick in my hand and jack off while reading this
> newsgroup...
>
> so, in short?
>
>
> FUCK YOU!
>
> signed,
>
> N8WWM's Gay Lover
>
>
> N8WWM's Gay Lover
>

1587. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 23:13:19 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <9112mf$3pf$9@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 62927

In article <3a332563.22979027@news.cet.com>,
sparky@cet.com (Sparky) wrote:
: These illegal operators are intruding on the rights of responsible and
: law-abiding citizens, the people that "citizen's band" was intend for.
: If they are going to try and drive me off the air by their illegal
: acts, I am going to try and drive them back with my legal acts. That
: includes the NGs. With the new law, legal operaters can help to clean
: up the airwaves with simple DF equipment and a FS meter, and
: cooperation with their local law enforcement.

Are you ready to defend yourself against a lot of lawsuits? I hope you are
well trained in antenna/propagation theory - and can prove this expertise
in court.

I certainly would not want the job - and I am probably more qualified than
most who post here - since I make my living as an RF engineer.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

1588. Re: Superswangthang shut the hell up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 23:19:46 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210181946.19840.00003535@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 62921

>From: "Major Dumb" grunt@bendova.com

Major Dumb, in his Downs Syndrome affected voice, drooled the following gibberish:
>hahaha nw8wwm's gay lover you hit it right on the head.

Another lame attempt to be humorous. It fails as usual.

Drooled Superswangthang, sissily hiding behind an anonymous address:
> Mother fucker, I never went anywhere...

Again, you keep yer mama home and I will keep my pants on, but I'm tellin ya...yer mama's gonna get mighty pissed about not gettin' any. Maybe you can pick up the slack for me, it would be within your general character to do so. Slimebag.

1589. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 23:22:27 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <91137j$3pf$10@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 62924

aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:

: It's a pattern. Someone comes in here (usually a ham, sometimes not) who
: disagrees with the illegal focus of the group. Their initial posts are
: factual and not inflammatory - yet they are rudely told to go away.

And yet they don't leave when they see that they are not wanted here. That defines their posts as inflammatory (trolls).

: Their name and address is posted. Threats are made. Personal attacks and
: profanity are unleashed against them. Naturally, the attacked person
: verbally retaliates.

"Naturally"? Hardly. There is no need to "retaliate". There are a few Hams who post here who do not do so - even when they have been "attacked" by intolerant posters.

: And you have successfully created another "troll".

: It happened to Mark Fuller. Leland Scott. Dwight Stewart. And others.

: Now you are doing it to me.

: Sounds like you guys truly want another troll. You certainly are working
: hard enough at it. OK, you've got one.

Aaron. Do you have the habit of banging your head against the wall, just to get a response out of others? Posting here with posts about illegal operation (especially when the belittle others or attack them in some way) is the newsgroup equivalent.

All you will accomplish is convince even more CBers (legal or illegal operators makes no difference) that all Hams are a bunch of pompous asses, and that all Hams hate CBers.

I know neither belief is true for all Hams, although it is for some. But why are you so determined to convince other CBers that it IS true?

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

1590. Superswangthang is in trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 23:27:33 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210182733.19840.00003537@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 62922

He knows it, too. He stepped on his inchworm weenie bigtime. I bet he gets his BB sized balls barbecued for this post. When you make violent threats on the internet you get in trouble. Period. We will be reading about this fella in the papers soon. Lampooning is one thing, threats are another. You can call me ANYTHING you want, and many have. The line got crossed here by some retard, and he is gonna pay the consequences. ANYONE who makes violent threats to another person is in the wrong. Period. No mitigation, no excuse. Watch and see what happens. Below is the stupid post he made.

Subject: Re: Info on N8WWM
From: superswangthang@yahoo.com
(Swangthangman)
Date: 12/9/2000 16:01 PM Eastern Standard Time
Message-id: <3a329d79.5525349@netnews.att.net>

On 09 Dec 2000 18:51:05 GMT, n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:

>Like I am worried. As I said before, come on over and set down, and extra
plate
>at the table aint no trouble.
>
>Anytime you want fellas.

Nah we'll just pull a drive by and shoot yer house up or while your at work, we'll rape yer ol' lady, fuck yer daughter and shoot yer dawg.

I have friends in Toledo, so watch it.

Oh! It Don't mean a THANG.,if it ain't got that SWANG!

1591. Re: FS:TEXAS STAR DX3200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 23:29:55 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <9113lj$3pf$11@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 62926

COLD as ICE <icecoldnyc@aol.comicecold> wrote:

:>so whoever decides it is their business to say whats illegal or not, as if it
:>wpuld stop someone from buying it, you need to shut you god damn mouth.
:>and N8WWM.....im half tempted to drive to toledo from detroit and give you a
:>lesson on whats legal or not you asshole.
:>someone should ban you and you need to keep yourself on the amatuer
:>boards.....what about when all those people got popped down on 75/80 a short
:>while ago? bet you didnt go on the ham boards sayin hahahaha, did ya punk.

: WOW!!!
: There surely is a lot of love going towards n8wwm

Yep. He is trying to stir things up on this newsgroup, and others are
feeding him. Don't expect him to go away any time soon - the fish are
too easy to catch.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

1592. N8WWM LID, a better man than you though

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 23:30:07 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210183007.19840.00003539@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 62923

>> one person says he wants to kick his ass... and he says he's gonna
>> tell the fucking FBI, what a moron...
>>

You got it wrong, it was a threat to shoot and rape people and kill pets. Of course I could have this wrong, he might want to rape the pets too.

1593. Re: The New Bitch in the group.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 23:41:24 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A3414A4.E277452F@qnet.com>
Source record: 63019

Hello Bill: Nope it ain't like in the Movie "Blues Brothers"

I mean just what I say, "We got both kinds of music Rock & Roll and Country & Western"

I have a old tube stereo receiver in the garage that internal local oscillator drifts. When ya fire it up on a cold morning ya ah listing 2 Rock & Roll, then as it gets warm it drifts lower in frequency and I then hear a Country Western station.

I have loud speakers made for the outside. They are Electro Voice outside speakers. They are just regular speakers, sprayed coated with Scott Guard to protect them from the cold and moisture. I walk all over the antenna range and listen to my tunes all day, and the type of music I listen to is dependent of the radio tempature.

Early in the morning your ah rockin and rollin, then you switch over to Country & Western. Love the old Hank music. Makes ya want to pull a cork and start to ta ah dancin. But the antenna range rules say:

"No pulling the cork and guzzling until all the antenna data is recorded, ah! And the test equipment is covered up and put back.....

Now I must admit that I cheap on occasion, with the music selection. The neighbour likes Country & Western, I like Rock n Roll at times. So I have made a cassette tape with both kinds of music on it, and play it a few times a week. Its got Hank, Patsy Cline, Box Car Willey, Rolling Stones, Beach Boys, and a bunch of other greats.

The great music seems to keep my going at times. Especially when I hit a brick wall with a antenna problem.

Jay in the Great Mojave Desert.

Bill Nelson wrote:
>
> Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote:
>
> : We got both kinds of music Rock & Roll and Country & Western, monitor CB
> : Channels 12 AM and 38 LSB
>
> Shouldn't that be "We got both kinds of music - Rock and Roll"
> (or Country and Western - if you wish)?
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

1594. Re: Threats in rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 23:41:52 UTC
From: Major Tom <ground@control.com>
Message-ID: <4xUY5.3843$La3.997881@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 62928

I highly doubt you will be at dayton and i truly don't think you are who you say you are. You know why people want to threaten you because you think you are Mr. Funny Man you have insulted just about anyone and everyone who posts in the NG ham cber or both, you talk shit and talk with no respect like you are some bad ass but you are the one hiding behind the keyboard trying to break balls get a rise or just create bullshit you are as fake and as phony as anyone else is So what you preach about illegal vs legal I think everyone in here is a big Boy and knows right from wrong we don't need to here your pencil geek ass to tell us what we already know I do critisize the others who have continued to give you air time by replying to your jackass replies not to say the others arent equal You seem to conviently leave out that there are alot of hams in this NG who are regulars that have passed every element the FCC has put out for hams so to make an assinine post that they cannot pass the test is nothing more than stupid when you are only a simple no code tech and why do they want to become a ham for those that don't have there licenses already? Because with smacked asses like you running around on the vhf-uhf bands. Well then why havent you upgraded ? because its all you need didnt you say, well who are you to determine what anyone elses radio needs are. You like to come out here and cry well I am only stating the law and you guys want to do this to me and do that to me your posts contain much more than, it is your provoking, name calling hide behind the keyboard, fake/phony using name that is everything you despise, and call people who post here. You are a dick with ears no doubt and I will not be one to get into a long dissertation with you and post ever 5 minutes such as yourself

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001210170650.26606.00003354@ng-fu1.aol.com...
> >Asking you to have consideration for other people is hardly what I'd
> >call Nazism.
> >
> >
>
> Exactly, but these pea brains do not grasp the concept. That is what I
have
> said all along...they think operating illegally is OK because they are
self
> centered, adolescent minded sociopaths.
>
> And when you say "hey, you are wrong to do that" they threaten to shoot
you and
> rape your family.
>
> THAT is what the Nazis were all about. They said: "Do not oppose us or we

will
> kill you"
> A bunch of low mental age type crud from lame sissies who can't get ham
> licenses, that's all it is.
> If these individuals are not living proof that more enforcement is needed
I do
> not know what is.
>
> They hate me cuz they KNOW I am right, and threaten me because the law
makes
> them feel threatened themselves. Lame little sissies hiding behind fake
> addresses and filthy words and threats, that's all it is. "Hammies this
and
> hammies that", thats all I hear on this ng, or should I call it a BG for
> "bullshit group" or MG for "moron group".
>
> I will be at Dayton this year with a T-shirt that has my call on it.
>
>
>
>

1595. Re: STOP ME!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 23:46:05 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <9114jt$3pf$12@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 62938

Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:

: If that is what you encountered, good for you. And that comes from an old
: Extra with rosin in his veins and burn holes in the carpet. I think you may
: have us OT Extras figured wrong but I am coming to believe that you may have
: the codeless contingent figured just about right. In fact I am coming to
: look at them as a major wart on the ass of the hobby. I do a
: post-restructuring analysis of amateur licenses on another group just to
: stir the old shit just a bit; one of the things that pops right up is that
: Techs don't advance in the hobby. So we seem to have created a collection
: of 'hams' who come storming in claiming equality without doing a whole lot,
: get stepped on, and go out stepping on people like you but would never stoop
: to a simple-minded code test.

: Do yourself a favor, use the facilities of QRZ.com, AH0A.org, and me if you
: need to. Get the code test out of the way and take the verstunken Extra.
: Then go back to the club, piss on the Techs' shoes, and tell them it is
: raining. Make some cryptic comments about 'real radio' in the process with
: a knowing, superior air. Have some fun with the dangling hemorrhoids.

: Can't say that I totally approve of what you are doing but who asked my
: opinion? What I do know is that I have seen any number of 'outlaws' become
: some very good amateurs with not a lot of help and even less preaching.
: Elmered a fair number myself.

Thank you, Dick. I wish there were more Hams that would post such
courteous and well thought out responses. It would do more to get
CBers to become Hams than all the idiots who think blasting CBers
for illegal operations is going to do anything positive.

I agree with you about the current testing. ANYONE can get an Extra ticket
these days. No real knowledge of electronic theory is necessary, and the
5wpm code test is a joke - although it does fulfill the CW familiarity that
is required by international agreements.

I also agree about the general run of codeless Techs. Most of the ones I
have encountered know less about electronics than some of the CBers that
the feel are beneath contempt. That is not true for all of them - some
are professional engineers who probably are more proficient than most of
the Extra class licencees, but are content with operations above 30 MHz.
These few see no need to upgrade - when they are mostly interested in
experimentation in the EHF frequency bands.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

1596. Possibly crazy but pretty funny sometimes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 23:47:50 UTC
From: heardallthat@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001210184750.10459.00000712@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 62929

I have to admit, I find N8wwm, although frequently asinine, a lot funnier than most of the other flamers here.

If this ng is gonna be "flame war", then can we get some quality flaming going?

1597. Re: Threats in rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 23:49:57 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001210184957.04296.00001520@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 62930

who should we consider when using the freebands? its been abandoned, nobody but us uses it any more

>Asking you to have consideration for other people is hardly what I'd
>call Nazism.
>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

1598. Re: 27.555 USB is Officially...lost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 23:51:29 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001210185129.04296.00001522@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 62931

de money is a sended

>Disa Isa Aldo! Senda Mea DaMoney!

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

1599. Re: Threats in rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 23:51:31 UTC
From: heardallthat@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001210185131.10459.00000713@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 62932

>I highly doubt you will be at dayton and i truly don't think you are who you
>say you are.

I hope he is.

1600. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 23:55:23 UTC
From: Major Tom <ground@control.com>
Message-ID: <LJUY5.10558$Wd.1071464@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 62933

No aaron another stupids assumption on your part. I am not into listening to air liners I have been a ham since 1978. Your still waiting to take the test arent you?
and I have probably already done that when I was a kid and that is what got me involved in 2 way radio. But pleas feel free to back up what you say. If you have fact post the facts , If you have law on your side qoute the Law and if you are full of shit continue to do what you have been doing

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:90ucdm$671$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <3SxY5.2244$La3.492495@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
> "Major Tom" <ground@control.com> wrote:
> > Please show me posts regarding transmitting on HF airline freqs and
> mods to
> > frs radios .
>
> Yeah, Tom, it sounds like something you'd be "into". LOL.
>
> Your friend,
> Aaron Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1601. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 23:56:48 UTC
From: Major Tom <ground@control.com>
Message-ID: <4LUY5.3863$La3.1003178@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 62934

haha we all know what pal stands for hahahahahahahahaah

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:90vb3krhr1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <3a332563.22979027@news.cet.com>,
> sparky@cet.com (Sparky) wrote:
> > These illegal operators are intruding on the rights of responsible and
> > law-abiding citizens, the people that "citizen's band" was intend for.
> > If they are going to try and drive me off the air by their illegal
> > acts, I am going to try and drive them back with my legal acts. That
> > includes the NGs. With the new law, legal operaters can help to clean
> > up the airwaves with simple DF equipment and a FS meter, and
> > cooperation with their local law enforcement.
> >
> > That is just _my_ opinion.
> >
> > Sparky
> >
>
> Awesome post, Sparky!!!
>
> Your pal,
> Aaron Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1602. Re: Superswangthang is in trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-10 23:59:50 UTC
From: Barry D <x123x123@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <WNUY5.96921$3u1.25845650@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 62935

N8wwm <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001210182733.19840.00003537@ng-ft1.aol.com...
> He knows it, too. He stepped on his inchworm weenie bigtime. I bet he gets
his
> BB sized balls barbecued for this post. When you make violent threats on
the
> internet you get in trouble. Period. We will be reading about this fella
in the
> papers soon. Lampooning is one thing, threats are another. You can call me
> ANYTHING you want, and many have. The line got crossed here by some
retard, and
> he is gonna pay the consequences. ANYONE who makes violent threats to
another
> person is in the wrong. Period. No mitigation, no excuse. Watch and see
what
> happens. Below is the stupid post he made.
>

Not much chance of anything happening. No way to prove that this guy made these threats. Newgroup posts are easy to fake. I doubt you even reported him. I am sure your tone and attitude towards people is very different when you are face to face with people. Soon you will get bored with your 15 minutes of NG fame and some other low life will come in to take your place. I have been reading this group for a long time and have seen your type come and go. Your embarassing yourself with every post you make, and surely cannot be proud of your accomplishments in this NG. I actually pity you. Your life must be very shallow and perhaps you are getting a thrill from your actions. I can only hope you stop before you get yourself into trouble.

Good luck you poor man.

Barry D.

1603. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 00:01:07 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210190107.10469.00000676@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 62936

>All you will accomplish is convince even more CBers (legal or illegal
>operators makes no difference) that all Hams are a bunch of pompous
>asses, and that all Hams hate CBers.
>
>I know neither belief is true for all Hams, although it is for some.
>But why are you so determined to convince other CBers that it IS true?
>

I wish to clarify that I do not hate Cbers. I
hate no one. I have only successfully slammed the hell out of those who slammed me. Those who threaten violence are criminals. Period.
I am genuinely apologetic to those legal CBers<damn few> in this ng.
Criminals should not expect an apology for someone being pleased that their chances to be nailed have increased. That attitude is prevalent here, and I frankly don't care what illegals think. Machts nichts. If you are illegal, The average person does not support your activities or your interference with other services.

1604. Re: Possibly crazy but pretty funny sometimes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 00:04:52 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210190452.10469.00000677@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 62937

>
>I have to admit, I find N8wwm, although frequently asinine, a lot funnier
>than
>most of the other flamers here.
>

Thank you Heardallthat. These folks can't take it, that's all. They are a classic example of dishing it out but not taking it, and have been doing it for years. That is why it is stupid to accuse me of starting this crap.

That is the mentality of people who operate in a criminal fashion in any part of their lives. They blame others.

1605. Re: The New Bitch in the group.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 00:06:02 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <9115pa$3pf$13@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 62943

Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote:

: We got both kinds of music Rock & Roll and Country & Western, monitor CB
: Channels 12 AM and 38 LSB

Shouldn't that be "We got both kinds of music - Rock and Roll"
(or Country and Western - if you wish)?

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

1606. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 00:08:50 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <9115uf$54b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 62942

In article <91137j$3pf$10@bashir.peak.org>,
Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote:
> Aaron. Do you have the habit of banging your head against the wall,
just
> to get a response out of others? Posting here with posts about illegal
> operation (especially when the belittle others or attack them in some
> way) is the newsgroup equivalent.
>
> All you will accomplish is convince even more CBers (legal or illegal
> operators makes no difference) that all Hams are a bunch of pompous
> asses, and that all Hams hate CBers.
>
> I know neither belief is true for all Hams, although it is for some.
> But why are you so determined to convince other CBers that it IS true?
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

Bill,

If you can get this newsgroup re-named "rec.radio.illegal" or even
rec.radio.cb.illegal" - I will be gone.

Until then, I will excercise my right to publicly disagree with those
who throw their illegality in legal CBers (and hams) faces.

Sincerely as always,
Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1607. Re: what is this new law on CB enforcement?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 00:10:59 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <91163j$do4$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 62940

The results may well hinge on those that responsibly operate on the CB band
to begin reining in those that think laws are for everyone else. It is time
for those that normally remain silent, and by their actions allow the
renegades to speak for them, to make their voices heard.

"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message >
> Now, this will work fine in some areas, where there are conscientious
> and fair Hams who will work with law enforcement to ensure that only
> illegal operations will be busted. But there will be many other areas
> where the local authorities will either act without proper knowledge
> or evidence of illegal operation - or follow the guidance of some Ham
> who will do anything to get a CBer busted, regardless of whether they
> are obeying the regulations or not.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

1608. Re: Superswangthang is in trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 00:11:17 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210191117.02557.00003291@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 62939

>Good luck you poor man.
>
>Barry D.
>

Another sissy little leaguer

1609. Re: Superswangthang is in trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 00:14:19 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210191419.02557.00003292@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 62941

> I can only hope you stop before you get yourself into
>trouble.

No, you FEAR that I will not. Lame lame lame...man someone do something
original.

1610. For Sale Uniden HR 2510

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 00:39:12 UTC
From: mmorjoseph@socal.rr.com
Message-ID: <k9883tgs0so90ogv6lmajlkhe22dclc3d8@4ax.com>
Source record: 62944

Selling a real nice Uniden HR 2510 made by President
and all the Mods and is Tweaked and Peaked...
comes with original Box and Mobile Bracket also...
Includes the Stock Mic and Power Astaic 575-B mic
total price for all is 200.00 plus shipping from so cal
email me for any info....

1611. Re: 100Watt to 1400watt HF 80-10M Amplifiers Sale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 00:39:18 UTC
From: ncbam <mines@mail.com>
Message-ID: <WmVY5.10362$U4.982511@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 62945

Read Fool, Oh you have to have a education to do that.

1612. Re: what is this new law on CB enforcement?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 00:47:43 UTC
From: Major Tom <ground@control.com>
Message-ID: <PuVY5.10580$Wd.1090634@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 62946

Fred what it will come down to is hams will also try and police the cb band some at any cost, perhaps an old vendetta when he was on the cbband hell I know i have a few people lined up to take down and why not i have been a ham for 22 years I can supply all the help the police in my area need. Or for that matter across my state i have contact with every police dept in my state over 400 i do radar repair work for them so perhaps I will offer my services as a expert in the field . perhaps we can even rattle a few hams cages very easy to set up a covert radio and antenna next to a ham and start wipeing out the neighbors phone, with 1kw into a dipole in a garage, attic, tool shed. This is what can happen and it will only cause undue problems for all on the radio no matter what band you operate on ... Have a good Day
"Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
news:91163j$do4$1@iac5.navix.net...
> The results may well hinge on those that responsibly operate on the CB band
> to begin reining in those that think laws are for everyone else. It is time
> for those that normally remain silent, and by their actions allow the
> renegades to speak for them, to make their voices heard.
>
>
> "Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message >
> > Now, this will work fine in some areas, where there are conscientious
> > and fair Hams who will work with law enforcement to ensure that only
> > illegal operations will be busted. But there will be many other areas
> > where the local authorities will either act without proper knowledge
> > or evidence of illegal operation - or follow the guidance of some Ham
> > who will do anything to get a CBer busted, regardless of whether they
> > are obeying the regulations or not.
> >
> > --
> > Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
> >
>
>

1613. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 00:55:26 UTC
From: Illegal CB Op's 4 ever <ismellass@blowme.com>
Message-ID: <2CVY5.3944$La3.1025076@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 62947

and we will excersize our right to call you a crotchless panty wearing monkey spanking derilict loser sidewalk sissy who has no life. Why not go bother some one in rec.radio.abortion and express your views there why not go debate the presidential election, move to florida something that has some real basis in our lives why not put your energy towards saving the planet from global warming I hate to see you waste all your so called talents in this stupid friggin newsgroup. Illegal CB OP's 4 EVER, you can pry my amplifer from my cold dead hands. Put me in jail while rapist,murderers, run free and pedophiles run rampart on the internet.

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:9115uf$54b$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <91137j$3pf$10@bashir.peak.org>,
> Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote:
> > Aaron. Do you have the habit of banging your head against the wall,
> just
> > to get a response out of others? Posting here with posts about illegal
> > operation (especially when the belittle others or attack them in some
> > way) is the newsgroup equivalent.
> >
> > All you will accomplish is convince even more CBers (legal or illegal
> > operators makes no difference) that all Hams are a bunch of pompous
> > asses, and that all Hams hate CBers.
> >
> > I know neither belief is true for all Hams, although it is for some.
> > But why are you so determined to convince other CBers that it IS true?
> >
> > --
> > Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>
>
> Bill,
>
> If you can get this newsgroup re-named "rec.radio.illegal" or even
> rec.radio.cb.illegal" - I will be gone.
>
> Until then, I will excercise my right to publicly disagree with those
> who throw their illegality in legal CBers (and hams) faces.
>
> Sincerely as always,
> Aaron Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/

> Before you buy.

1614. Re: Threats in rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 01:01:52 UTC
From: The Landshark <s3buell@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <DIVY5.367$Pv3.142764@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 62998

No sorry, Nazi's had people brain
washed into turning everyone in. You couldn't
listen to the BBC, if you got caught it was the
concentration camp for you. Yes folks, they had everyone
snitching on people, ones they didn't like, they fabricated
the lie so they would be led away to the re-education camps.
You make your point then move on, otherwise you are no
better than the Nazi's and the same people out here your
complaining about.

The Landshark
--
It's a Buell-tiful Day for a ride
through the Canyons.

1999 Ford F-150 Super Cab
1998 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
1983 Honda 1100F
BFD #666
"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001210170650.26606.00003354@ng-fu1.aol.com...
> >Asking you to have consideration for other people is hardly what I'd
> >call Nazism.
> >
> >
>
> Exactly, but these pea brains do not grasp the concept. That is what I
have
> said all along...they think operating illegally is OK because they are
self
> centered, adolescent minded sociopaths.
>
> And when you say "hey, you are wrong to do that" they threaten to shoot
you and
> rape your family.
>
> THAT is what the Nazis were all about. They said: "Do not oppose us or we
will
> kill you"
> A bunch of low mental age type crud from lame sissies who can't get ham
> licenses, that's all it is.
> If these individuals are not living proof that more enforcement is needed
I do
> not know what is.
>

> They hate me cuz they KNOW I am right, and threaten me because the law
makes
> them feel threatened themselves. Lame little sissies hiding behind fake
> addresses and filthy words and threats, that's all it is. "Hammies this
and
> hammies that", thats all I hear on this ng, or should I call it a BG for
> "bullshit group" or MG for "moron group".
>
> I will be at Dayton this year with a T-shirt that has my call on it.
>
>
>
>
>

1615. Re: The New Bitch in the group.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 01:12:06 UTC
From: hillbilly <hillbilly001@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <9119l2$838$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63000

In article <9115pa$3pf$13@bashir.peak.org>,
Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote:
> Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote:
>
> : We got both kinds of music Rock & Roll and Country & Western,
monitor CB
> : Channels 12 AM and 38 LSB
>
> Shouldn't that be "We got both kinds of music - Rock and Roll"
> (or Country and Western - if you wish)?
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>
>
really it should be we "have" not we got
--
Dave KG5XR
http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
On Bull Shoales Lake, Ark/Mo State Line
Fishing is great & crappie are biting

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1616. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 01:16:03 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210201603.02543.00003474@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 62999

Another sissy hiding behind an anonymous address. Lame. Pansy. Sissy.

This clown is so sensless I won't even waste a joke.

>Subject: Re: Illegal CB
>From: "Illegal CB Op's 4 ever" ismellass@blowme.com
>Date: 12/10/2000 19:55 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <2CVY5.3944$La3.1025076@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
>
>and we will excersize our right to call you a crotchless panty wearing
>monkey spanking derilict loser sidewalk sissy who has no life. Why not go
>bother some one in rec.radio.abortion and express your views there why not
>go debate the presidential election, move to florida something that has
>some real basis in our lives why not put your energy towards saving the
>planet from global warming I hate to see you waste all your so called
>talents in this stupid friggin newsgroup. Illegal CB OP's 4 EVER, you can
>pry my amplifer from my cold dead hands. Put me in jail while
>rapist,murderers, run free and pedophiles run rampart on the internet.
>

1617. MURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 01:21:21 UTC
From: Jim Hawthorne <PDRM82@freezone.co.uk>
Message-ID: <3a342c36_2@news.telinco.net>
Source record: 63001

Dear Reader,

One is a niave Limey, asking this one - so please bear with me on this occasion.

I've heard some Americans recently talking about some called MURS that is a new special form of the extension of the CB licence type operation in the US.

Please could someone tell me what is new about is, if I was having my leg pulled about data Tx/Rx on it, if the same could be said for TV images?

If it does exist, please could you tell me where I could find images of the sets that are being used for this in both base, mobile & handheld systems. I would be interested in finding out more about this before visit Stateside later next year.

Yours,

Jim Hawthorne.

1618. Major Dumb strikes again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 01:21:47 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210202147.02543.00003475@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 63002

"Major Dumb" grunt@bendoval.com, drooled nonsensically, in his moronic, mentally defective manner:

>perhaps we can even rattle a few hams
>cages very easy to set up a covert radio and antenna next to a ham and start
>wipeing out the neighbors phone, with 1kw into a dipole in a garage, attic,
>tool shed.

Yeah, and that shows your mentality. My word for you is LIMITED.

1619. Re: F/A MRK3 EAGLE'S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 01:24:42 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A342CDA.396FAEA1@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63003

> THEY ARE UP FOR AUCTION AT

That's just a subtle difference. :)

One that some can't grasp!

1620. For sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 01:30:38 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210203038.02520.00003594@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 63004

Special announcement about the 3 special kids!
Folks, you need the following book...Twistie, tnom and Scottiekins have, with adult supervision, drooled into a dictaphone the following masterpiece of authorship and it is now available at your local book store...Don't miss "Muskrat Love", the heartwarming story of 3 illegal CB'ers who find love and marriage through uniting in hatred for N8WWM, the mean 'ole hammie they wish they were 1% equal to in quality of flame issues.
While their Sparkomatic and 2 pill amp combo splashes all 40 channels and into 10 meters, listen to the 3 sweet little CB'ers plot, plan and generally scheme to out flame the greatest flamer of all time. Read about their use of electronic components as aids to their sagging libido's. Learn why no female relatives ever come to visit. Look at the special 13 page photo insert that shows the dried snot on their microphone! This and much, much more.

Folks, this book is on par with the smash hit "I am faithful to my wife", by Bill Clinton, and will become recognized as a major literary accomplishment. The trippin' trio have outdone themselves this time, and you have just gotta read it to believe it. Only 29.95 now at your local bookseller or order online at
www.N8WWMisGreat.com

1621. Re: No Code Tech who is pro-CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 01:35:54 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A342F7A.3B7D9FF8@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63005
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Just think how much time you could spend studying CW if you
would stop flaming and trolling.

Common sense is a lot harder to learn.

N8wwm wrote:
>
> That's me. I also think the test should be harder. General theory
> was pretty easy. Now I gotta get the CW done.

1622. Re: For sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 01:39:01 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A343035.9EB33ACC@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63008

It's time to come up with something new. Lamer.

1623. Re: No Code Tech who is pro-CW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 01:45:26 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210204526.02520.00003598@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 63006

Scottiekins spastically grunted:

>Just think how much time you could spend studying CW if you
>would stop flaming and trolling.
>
N8WWM eloquently replied:
Lame, sissified, effeminate...as usual

Scottiekins and gang need money...buy this book...
Special announcement about the 3 special kids!
Folks, you need the following book...Twistie, tnom and Scottiekins have, with adult supervision, drooled into a dictaphone the following masterpiece of authorship and it is now available at your local book store...Don't miss "Muskrat Love", the heartwarming story of 3 illegal CB'ers who find love and marriage through uniting in hatred for N8WWM, the mean 'ole hammie they wish they were 1% equal to in quality of flame issues.
While their Sparkomatic and 2 pill amp combo splashes all 40 channels and into 10 meters, listen to the 3 sweet little CB'ers plot, plan and generally scheme to out flame the greatest flamer of all time. Read about their use of electronic components as aids to their sagging libido's. Learn why no female relatives ever come to visit. Look at the special 13 page photo insert that shows the dried snot on their microphone! This and much, much more.

Folks, this book is on par with the smash hit "I am faithful to my wife", by Bill Clinton, and will become recognized as a major literary accomplishment. The trippin' trio have outdone themselves this time, and you have just gotta read it to believe it. Only 29.95 now at your local bookseller or order online at
www.N8WWMisGreat.com

1624. Re: MURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 01:45:44 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
Message-ID: <3A34318F.A16C561@home.com>
Source record: 63007

MURS is a new unlicensed service which will permit emissions on 151.82, 151.88, 151.94, 154.57, 154.60 MHz with a maximum PEP of 2 watts. You can research the specifics on the net via www.fcc.gov, and look up the Part 95 rules. Voice, data, and imaging are permitted on these discrete frequencies. As far as commercial equipment is concerned, since this is a new service, I am not aware of anything at this time which could be used on these frequencies. No doubt, sdomething will be coming along very soon.

Jim Hawthorne wrote:

> Dear Reader,
>
> One is a niave Limey, asking this one - so please bear with me on this
> occasion.
>
> I've heard some Americans recently talking about some called MURS that is a
> new special form of the extension of the CB licence type operation in the
> US.
>
> Please could someone tell me what is new about is, if I was having my leg
> pulled about data Tx/Rx on it, if the same could be said for TV images?
>
> If it does exist, please could you tell me where I could find images of the
> sets that are being used for this in both base, mobile & handheld systems. I
> would be interested in finding out more about this before visit Stateside
> later next year.
>
> Yours,
>
> Jim Hawthorne.

1625. Re: For sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 01:49:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210204900.02520.00003599@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 63009

Scottiekins drooled:
>
>It's time to come up with something new. Lamer.
>
>

I will when you do....

Special announcement about the 3 special kids!
Folks, you need the following book...Twistie, tnom and Scottiekins have, with adult supervision, drooled into a dictaphone the following masterpiece of authorship and it is now available at your local book store...Don't miss "Muskrat Love", the heartwarming story of 3 illegal CB'ers who find love and marriage through uniting in hatred for N8WWM, the mean 'ole hammie they wish they were 1% equal to in quality of flame issues.
While their Sparkomatic and 2 pill amp combo splashes all 40 channels and into 10 meters, listen to the 3 sweet little CB'ers plot, plan and generally scheme to out flame the greatest flamer of all time. Read about their use of electronic components as aids to their sagging libido's. Learn why no female relatives ever come to visit. Look at the special 13 page photo insert that shows the dried snot on their microphone! This and much, much more.

Folks, this book is on par with the smash hit "I am faithful to my wife", by Bill Clinton, and will become recognized as a major literary accomplishment. The trippin' trio have outdone themselves this time, and you have just gotta read it to believe it. Only 29.95 now at your local bookseller or order online at
www.N8WWMisGreat.com

1626. Re: 3 Third Graders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 01:51:07 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210205107.02520.00003600@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 63010

The hillbilly was Superswangthang

>Three third graders, a Jewish kid, an Italian kid and
>=A0=A0=A0=A0a Hillbilly kid
>=A0=A0are on
>=A0=A0=A0=A0the playground at recess. The Jewish kid suggests that they
>play a new
>=A0=A0=A0=A0game.
>=A0=A0=A0=A0"Lets see who has the largest penis," he says. "Okay." They
>all agree.
>=A0=A0=A0=A0The Jewish kid pulls down his zipper and whips it out.
>"That's
>=A0=A0=A0=A0nothing,"says
>=A0=A0=A0=A0the Italian kid. He whips his out. His is a couple of inches
>longer.
>=A0=A0=A0=A0Now not to be outdone, the Hillbilly kid whips his out. It
>is byfar the
>=A0=A0=A0=A0biggest, dwarfing the other two in both length and girth.
>The Jewish
>=A0=A0=A0=A0and
>=A0=A0=A0=A0Italian kid are stunned and amazed. "Wow that thing is
>huge!" they
>=A0=A0=A0=A0exclaim.
>=A0=A0=A0=A0That night, eating dinner at home, the Hillbilly kid's
>mother askshim
>=A0=A0=A0=A0what
>=A0=A0=A0=A0he did at school today. "Oh, we worked on a science
>project,had a math
>=A0=A0=A0=A0test
>=A0=A0=A0=A0and read out loud from a new book ... and during
>=A0=A0recess, my friends and
>=A0=A0=A0=A0I
>=A0=A0=A0=A0played 'Let's see who has the largest penis."
>=A0=A0=A0=A0What kind of game is that, honey?" says the mother. "Well,
>me, Sidney
>=A0=A0=A0=A0and
>=A0=A0=A0=A0Anthony each pulled out our cranks, and I had the biggest!
>The other
>=A0=A0=A0=A0kids
>=A0=A0=A0=A0say its because I'm a Hillbilly. Is that true, Mom?
>=A0=A0=A0=A0The Mom replies: "No, Honey. It's because you're
>twenty-three"

1627. FRED HAMBRECHT GETS IT RIGHT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 01:51:22 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <911buo$9qo$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63014

In article <91163j$do4$1@iac5.navix.net>,
"Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

<<The results may well hinge on those that responsibly operate on the CB band to begin reining in those that think laws are for everyone else. It is time for those that normally remain silent, and by their actions allow the renegades to speak for them, to make their voices heard.>>

Fred,

So eloquently put.

By remaining silent we let the renegades speak for us.

Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1628. Re: MURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 01:56:31 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A34344F.42BFD03A@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63011

I've got 5 Jobcoms on 154.57. I almost tossed them last year. Now I can
legally use them to mess with the local country clubs!! <jk>

Gary Danaher wrote:
>
> MURS is a new unlicensed service which will permit emissions on 151.82, 151.88,
> 151.94, 154.57, 154.60 MHz with a maximum PEP of 2 watts. You can research the
> specifics on the net via www.fcc.gov, and look up the Part 95 rules. Voice,
> data, and imaging are permitted on these discrete frequencies. As far as
> commercial equipment is concerned, since this is a new service, I am not aware
> of anything at this time which could be used on these frequencies. No doubt,
> something will be coming along very soon.

1629. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 02:03:04 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a3435b7.4897167@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63012

On Sun, 10 Dec 2000 08:54:24 -0800, "ONE37" <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
wrote:

>> Sparky, done and gone!
>
>No. Don't go! I already answered your question. Now answer mine... why
>are you taking it personal out here when the people who offended you is
>there near you. Read your first post, Gunny? You need to take some kind of
>medication before your forehead caves the hell in.

Let's clarify something here. Here is my first post:

>These illegal operators are intruding on the rights of responsible and
>law-abiding citizens, the people that "citizen's band" was intend for.
>If they are going to try and drive me off the air by their illegal
>acts, I am going to try and drive them back with my legal acts. That
>includes the NGs. With the new law, legal operaters can help to clean
>up the airwaves with simple DF equipment and a FS meter, and
>cooperation with their local law enforcement.

Stop jerking off on your keyboard and think about this for a minute.
Illegal operators are intruding on the rights of legal operators. So
why should legal operators not intrude on the usenet conversations of
illegal operators? Different venue, same effect. One difference is
that the illegals can't turn up the power and walk all over the legal
operators. Another difference is that what I am doing is not illegal.

It is only your misperception that I am taking it 'personal'.

Now here is your response:

>Hey Sparkles? What rights are they "illegal operators" intruding on? Right
>to privacy? Right to Free Speech? Due Process? What? Talk all you want
>on the radio. Nobody's doing crap to you.
<snip>
>So what you're trying to say is because you were picked on in grade school,
>you're going to get back at them on someone else? Is that it? Because
>someone offended you elsewhere, you're gonna take it out on people here who
>fit the same uh... "modeus operandi", if you will? Some of you posters'
>here are brand new, what do you know of this group? If you haven't done
>anything wrong, then you must be in the clear. But if you're going to come
>here and pose a "nuissance" to everyone, then you might as well take the
>flames.
<snip>
>. . . But not to take it out on people that do
>frequent this newsgroup and have to hear you spew "the speed limit is 55 and

>you were doing 56. YOU BROKE THE SPEED LIMIT THUS YOU VIOLATED MY RIGHTS
>AND I AM GOING TO PLACE YOU UNDER CITIZENS ARREST, YOU
>CRIMINAL!!!!AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAHHHHHHHHRG!"

I could have considered this to be a personal attack, or just whining. Instead, I continued hoping that you might come up with an intelligent comment. Boy, was I wrong.

Sparky

1630. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 02:03:11 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a3435dc.4934736@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63013

On Sun, 10 Dec 2000 09:27:13 -0500 (EST), twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:

> How is an illegal operator on triple 5 running no power affecting you?
>He's not.

Correct. I don't care if someone jerks off outside the legal band,
unless he bleeds all over me.

>One thing you are failing to recognize is the human nature
>retribution factor. Not saying it's right, but if you go sticking your
>fingers where they don't belong you may get them stuck in the cookie
>jar, HARD! The cops are not going to give your house security protection
>or follow you to the store or to work, that op that isn't bothering you
>that you so meticulously went out of your way to hassle may have a beef
>to settle now. If you wanna play citizen's arrestor, you damn well
>better have the cajones to back it up.

Out of all the threats I have received over the years, only two have
ever showed up. One tried to run me down, and is currently in jail.
The other changed his mind and ran away. So if you want to make a
threat, you damn well better have the balls to follow through on it!

Sparky

1631. FOR SALE GALAXY SATURN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 02:07:19 UTC
From: Carl/Melanie <melanie@mail.icongrp.com>
Message-ID: <3A3436D6.49308A9C@mail.icongrp.com>
Source record: 63015

BASE RADIO ALL MODE NICE RADIO ASKING 250.00
vortec98@hotmail.com

1632. Need help, for a newbie !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 02:36:02 UTC
From: nahuela@ifrance.com
Message-ID: <910pmk$j0l$2@wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 62991

hello i am a newbie in the CB, i would like know all
the information of CB, what materiel i need to got any
CB, in my room, ...

1633. Re: 100Watt to 1400watt HF 80-10M Amplifiers Sale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 02:47:00 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A344024.4546@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 63018

First off, the only time I dribble is when I play basketball. Second, since he didn't say the amp was for CB, just why did you have to "dribble" about it, legal or not. I know the law as well as you do. I had to learn more than *YOU* did to get my ticket. NANA NANA BOO BOO! (Sorry, guys, I couldn't help it!) (Excluding N8WWM) Forgive me, fellas? LOL!

Jerry

N8wwm wrote:
>
> >N8wwm wrote:
> >>
> >> duly reported to the FCC
> Jerry dribbled:
> >Did the guy *SAY* they were for CB?
> >
> >
> N8WWM said:
> Nope. However, he did say they cover 10 meters. It is illegal to sell any
> amplifier covering the range of 25-34mhz out of the box.

1634. Re: what is this new law on CB enforcement?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 02:49:42 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3440C6.68DC4C22@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63016

Fred Hambrecht wrote:
>
> The results may well hinge on those that responsibly operate on the CB band
> to begin reining in those that think laws are for everyone else. It is time
> for those that normally remain silent, and by their actions allow the
> renegades to speak for them, to make their voices heard.

A responsible CB operator doesn't use foul language or use his/her
radio in a manner that splatters other channels. I have no problem
with someone using power in the mobile as long as it is clean. Using
power on a base is unnecessary and only irritates the neighbors. I
know full well how much and how far you can electronically disturb
the neighborhood with a 4 watt radio whether it is clipped or not.

Roger beeps are the quickest way to splatter and interfere.

1635. Re: what is this new law on CB enforcement?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 03:15:20 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a3444c8.206298@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63017

On Sun, 10 Dec 2000 21:49:42 -0500, "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)"
<upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Fred Hambrecht wrote:
>>
>> The results may well hinge on those that responsibly operate on the CB band
>> to begin reining in those that think laws are for everyone else. It is time
>> for those that normally remain silent, and by their actions allow the
>> renegades to speak for them, to make their voices heard.
>
>A responsible CB operator doesn't use foul language or use his/her
>radio in a manner that splatters other channels. I have no problem
>with someone using power in the mobile as long as it is clean. Using
>power on a base is unnecessary and only irritates the neighbors. I
>know full well how much and how far you can electronically disturb
>the neighborhood with a 4 watt radio whether it is clipped or not.

I agree. I would like to see more legal power be made available in the
band, as long as it meets the same tight standards required on other
bands. But the key word here is 'responsible'. There are too many that
can't show responsibility with what little they have been granted.

Sparky

1636. rec.radio.ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 03:41:18 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <911icu$ej3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63020

To the hard-core keydown guys, export radio and amp dealers, swing mod techs, freeband clubbers, radio pirates and HF bootleggers:

I have a solution to all this unpleasantness.

Why not apply to Usenet for your own special little newsgroup? Call it rec.radio.illegal. Since your charter would state that topics are to be strictly confined to illegal aspects of radio, you could post there and be within your rights not to be challenged. Heck, you could even have a moderator to keep everybody in line (or out of line, as it were).

Thinking of you,
Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1637. 27.555 USB is ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 03:50:57 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <911iv0$f08$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63021

27.555 MHZ is assigned to North Island Naval Air Station Base Utility "India".

If you saw a military vehicle with the keys in it and nobody seemed to be using it, would you steal it?

Why steal their frequency then?

Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1638. Re: rec.radio.ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 04:01:41 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001210230141.03919.00002921@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 63022

I think I could speak for all of us REAL cb'ers here: GET FUCKED!

937....chicago

>Subject: rec.radio.ILLEGAL
>From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
>Date: 12/10/00 9:41 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <911icu$ej3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
>
>To the hard-core keydown guys, export radio and amp dealers, swing mod
>techs, freeband clubbers, radio pirates and HF bootleggers:
>
>I have a solution to all this unpleasantness.
>
>Why not apply to Usenet for your own special little newsgroup? Call it
>rec.radio.illegal. Since your charter would state that topics are to be
>strictly confined to illegal aspects of radio, you could post there and
>be within your rights not to be challenged. Heck, you could even have a
>moderator to keep everybody in line (or out of line, as it were).
>
>Thinking of you,
>Aaron Voobner
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1639. ILLEGAL Op Taunts Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 04:01:52 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <911jjefej1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63024

In article <IcqY5.5994$g77.670921@nntp2.onemain.com>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:
>
> Can't say that I totally approve of what you are doing but who asked
my
> opinion? What I do know is that I have seen any number of 'outlaws'
become
> some very good amateurs with not a lot of help and even less
preaching.
> Elmered a fair number myself.
>
> Go ye and sin some more.
>
> Dick McCollum N&#65533;BK

Dick,

I'm sure you're a great Elmer - but I have more respect for an Elmer
who'd disapprove a bit more strongly when some bozo announces their
intention to run an illegal 5KW radio station.

Aaron

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1640. Assist. needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 04:06:04 UTC
From: egabr127@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001210230604.02504.00003550@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 63023

Hello, I am in New Orleans and i am looking for a Cookie Amp.
Does anyone know how i can contact Cookie ???

Any Info offered is appreciated.

1641. HEY 257 ON THE WHEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 04:13:43 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001210231343.03919.00002927@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 63025

hey 257...you were soundin good today in ole chi-town. Hope we can put it on you again sometime. Happy Holidays.

937....chicago

1642. Only ILLEGAL ops will be punished

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 04:40:48 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210234048.23309.00004954@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 63026

That is my point. You clowns try to verbally beat me up to cover up the fact that you are ILLEGAL. Plus, none of you ILLEGAL ops could hold a candle to the great N8WWM.

Buncha illiterate nutcases with ILLEGAL radios and ILLEGAL amps. Criminals.

1643. Tired of this Sh!t!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 04:41:35 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A345AFF.B927EAA2@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63027

Apply for inclusion the the non-trolled version of rec.radio.cb:
http://www.egroups.com/group/rec-radio-cb
Contrary to earlier posting, it is non-moderated.

Group description:

What news:rec.radio.cb should be.

This group is for people to discuss CB
radio related issued without having to
put up with unsolicited ads and BS.
Flamers and spammers need not apply.
Stuff for sale should be posted on
USENET. I will ban spammers and
people who feel the need to troll.

I want to see at least one post from
all members in the next week or so.
Lurkers will be unsubscribed and will
have to send me an email to be reinstated!!!

1644. Re: rec.radio.ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 04:42:07 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <911m01$l0s$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 63028

Your grand command of the English language is hereby noted.

"TJ1072" <tj1072@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001210230141.03919.00002921@ng-cs1.aol.com...
> I think I could speak for all of us REAL cb'ers here: GET FUCKED!
>
> 937....chicago
>

1645. HEY <ILLEGAL>257 ON THE WHEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 04:42:37 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210234237.23309.00004955@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 63029

Ahhh...more illegal operation. You are not supposed to talk over 150 miles on CB. however you were likely not even on the legal 40 channels. Illegal activity, I see.

>hey 257...you were soundin good today in ole chi-town. Hope we can put it on
>you again sometime. Happy Holidays.
>
>937....chicago

1646. Re: ILLEGAL Op Taunts Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 04:44:09 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210234409.23309.00004956@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 63031

>> Dick McCollum Nï¿½BK
>
>Dick,
>
>I'm sure you're a great Elmer - but I have more respect for an Elmer
>who'd disapprove a bit more strongly when some bozo announces their
>intention to run an illegal 5KW radio station.
>
>Aaron
>

Dick is a coward...he is afraid of the ILLEGAL ops, so he sucks up to them and disses those who uphold an anti-ILLEGAL stance. What a guy, huh?

1647. Re: Assist. needed for ILLEGAL amplifier

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 04:44:52 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210234452.23309.00004957@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 63030

ILLEGAL
>Hello, I am in New Orleans and i am looking for a Cookie Amp.
>Does anyone know how i can contact Cookie ???
>
>Any Info offered is appreciated.

1648. Re: rec.radio.ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 04:45:52 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210234552.23309.00004960@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 63032

>
>Why not apply to Usenet for your own special little newsgroup? Call it
>rec.radio.illegal.

That is what the swine oughta do. They are criminals. Legal CBers oughta be
hopping mad at these ILLEGAL jerks.

1649. Tech who is pro-CW AND not an ILLEGAL OPERATOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 04:48:35 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001210234835.23309.00004965@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 63033

And think how much of an ILLEGAL op you are, criminal.

>From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" upplus@hotmail.com
>Date: 12/10/2000 20:35 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <3A342F7A.3B7D9FF8@hotmail.com>
>
>Just think how much time you could spend studying CW if you
>would stop flaming and trolling.
>

1650. Re: Tech who is pro-CW AND not an ILLEGAL OPERATOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 04:58:47 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A345F07.2EFBDD29@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63034

#1 I use an unclipped 40 channel radio
#2 I don't cuss on my radio
#3 I never hear anybody complain that I'm causing bleedover.
#4 AM should be disallowed. SSB and FM are much better
#5 I don't cause hate and discontent (like you do here)

How do you like dem apples?

N8wwm wrote:
>
> And think how much of an ILLEGAL op you are, criminal.

1651. Re: Assist. needed for ILLEGAL amplifier

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 05:06:33 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3460D9.B9CEFE7A@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63035

I for one do NOT condone the activity on my local channel 22. It is not fair for them to splatter the whole band. Why don't you come to Baltimore and make those homey's shut up? I value my life more then you do. I'm not going to tell them how to operate their radio. I would like you to make them operate legally. LOL!

I don't argue with them. I do know several of them. I find by asking nicely, they will turn it down. If you cuss them, they'll crank it up more just to piss you off. You would not make it long as a CB'er here. Come on over and make it your personal crusade to clean up the Baltimore, Maryland airwaves. We're all waiting. Come on.

N8wwm wrote:
>
> ILLEGAL
> >Hello, I am in New Orleans and i am looking for a Cookie Amp.
> >Does anyone know how i can contact Cookie ???
> >
> >Any Info offered is appreciated.

1652. Re: HEY 257 ON THE WHEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 05:10:00 UTC
From: Two-Five-seven@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3381-3A3461A8-27@storefull-143.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63037

Sure enough 937 it was a pleasure talking with you.I'm sure I'll put it on you again sometime.And once again happy holidays to you.

257 NH. On the wheels

1653. Tech is pro-CW AND not an ILLEGAL OPERATOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 05:13:26 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211001326.23309.00004975@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 63036

Scottiekins drooled:

>#1 I use an unclipped 40 channel radio
>#2 I don't cuss on my radio
>#3 I never hear anybody complain that I'm causing bleedover.
>#4 AM should be disallowed. SSB and FM are much better
>#5 I don't cause hate and discontent (like you do here)
>
You are illegal. You operate outside the 40 channels by your own admission.
As for mode changes...anyone operating
FM on the CB band is ILLEGAL.

The only reason I "cause hate and discontent" is you ILLEGALS don't like me
telling ya off, dweeb.

Back under your rock, you swine.

>#3 I never hear anybody complain that I'm causing bleedover.
You wouldnt listen if they did, jerk.

Special announcement about the 3 special kids!
Folks, you need the following book...Twistie, tnom and Scottiekins have, with
adult supervision, drooled into a dictaphone the following masterpiece of
authorship and it is now available at your local book store...Don't miss
"Muskrat Love", the heartwarming story of 3 illegal CB'ers who find love and
marriage through uniting in hatred for N8WWM, the mean 'ole hammie they wish
they were 1% equal to in quality of flame issues.
While their Sparkomatic and 2 pill amp combo splashes all 40 channels and into
10 meters, listen to the 3 sweet little CB'ers plot, plan and generally scheme
to out flame the greatest flamer of all time. Read about their use of
electronic components as aids to their sagging libido's. Learn why no female
relatives ever come to visit. Look at the special 13 page photo insert that
shows the dried snot on their microphone! This and much, much more.

Folks, this book is on par with the smash hit "I am faithful to my wife", by
Bill Clinton, and will become recognized as a major literary accomplishment.
The trippin' trio have outdone themselves this time, and you have just gotta
read it to believe it. Only 29.95 now at your local bookseller or order online
at
www.N8WWMisGreat.com

1654. Confession

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 05:16:05 UTC
From: Two-Five-seven@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3380-3A346315-82@storefull-143.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63055

I did reply to the POS troll stalking this news group one time.Even
though I new better.I'm here now to say It won't happen again.And to say
to the others on the NG. that if you simply stop replying to him,not
even once you will have taken away his reason for existing here.
Happy Holidays to all.......... yeah even the trolls!

257 NH. On the wheels

1655. Re: Assist. needed for ILLEGAL amplifier

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 05:17:54 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211001754.23309.00004976@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 63038

No, you are just an ILLEGAL sissy.

>From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" upplus@hotmail.com
>Date: 12/11/2000 0:06 AM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <3A3460D9.B9CEFE7A@hotmail.com>
>
>I for one do NOT condone the activity on my local channel 22.<cuz he is
jealous> It
>is not fair for them to splatter the whole band. Why don't you
>come to Baltimore and make those homey's shut up? I value my life<pathetic
that it is>
>more then you do. <you are right there, I do not value your life>I'm not going
to tell them how to operate their
>radio. I would like you to make them operate legally. LOL!<in Scottiekins
case, LOL means LIPS ON LIZARD>
>
>I don't argue with them. <cuz you aint shit>I do know several of them. I find
by
>asking nicely, they will turn it down. If you cuss them, they'll
>crank it up more just to piss you off. You would not make it long
>as a CB'er here.<or anywhere, my IQ is higher than room temperature> Come on
over and make it your personal crusade to
>clean up the Baltimore, Maryland airwaves. We're all waiting. Come on.
<Scottiekins, I pay my taxes to have that service happen>

Special announcement about the 3 special kids!
Folks, you need the following book...Twistie, tnom and Scottiekins have, with adult supervision, drooled into a dictaphone the following masterpiece of authorship and it is now available at your local book store...Don't miss "Muskrat Love", the heartwarming story of 3 illegal CB'ers who find love and marriage through uniting in hatred for N8WWM, the mean 'ole hammie they wish they were 1% equal to in quality of flame issues.
While their Sparkomatic and 2 pill amp combo splashes all 40 channels and into 10 meters, listen to the 3 sweet little CB'ers plot, plan and generally scheme to out flame the greatest flamer of all time. Read about their use of electronic components as aids to their sagging libido's. Learn why no female relatives ever come to visit. Look at the special 13 page photo insert that shows the dried snot on their microphone! This and much, much more.

Folks, this book is on par with the smash hit "I am faithful to my wife", by Bill Clinton, and will become recognized as a major literary accomplishment. The trippin' trio have outdone themselves this time, and you have just gotta read it to believe it. Only 29.95 now at your local bookseller or order online at
www.N8WWMisGreat.com

1656. ILLEGAL OPERATOR 257 ON THE WHEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 05:20:11 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211002011.23309.00004978@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 63039

>From: Two-Five-seven@webtv.net
>Date: 12/11/2000 0:10 AM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <3381-3A3461A8-27@storefull-143.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
The moron drooled:
>Sure enough 937 it was a pleasure talking with you.I'm sure I'll put it
>on you again sometime.And once again happy holidays to you.
>257 NH. On the wheels<he should actually have said "on his knees".

TEN FOUR GOOD BUDDY

1657. Just in time for Christmas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 05:21:21 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211002121.23309.00004979@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 63040

Special announcement about the 3 special kids!
Folks, you need the following book...Twistie, tnom and Scottiekins have, with adult supervision, drooled into a dictaphone the following masterpiece of authorship and it is now available at your local book store...Don't miss "Muskrat Love", the heartwarming story of 3 illegal CB'ers who find love and marriage through uniting in hatred for N8WWM, the mean 'ole hammie they wish they were 1% equal to in quality of flame issues.
While their Sparkomatic and 2 pill amp combo splashes all 40 channels and into 10 meters, listen to the 3 sweet little CB'ers plot, plan and generally scheme to out flame the greatest flamer of all time. Read about their use of electronic components as aids to their sagging libido's. Learn why no female relatives ever come to visit. Look at the special 13 page photo insert that shows the dried snot on their microphone! This and much, much more.

Folks, this book is on par with the smash hit "I am faithful to my wife", by Bill Clinton, and will become recognized as a major literary accomplishment. The trippin' trio have outdone themselves this time, and you have just gotta read it to believe it. Only 29.95 now at your local bookseller or order online at
www.N8WWMisGreat.com

1658. Re: Tech is pro-CW AND not an ILLEGAL OPERATOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 05:24:06 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3464F6.90B03B1A@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63056

> You are illegal. You operate outside the 40 channels by your own admission.

Find me one post where _I_ said I use illegal frequencies.

> As for mode changes...anyone operating
> FM on the CB band is ILLEGAL.

Just because I said FM is better does not mean I use it.
How do I put FM in a TRC-451 which is the RS version of a
Cobra 146. FYI, it has a uPD2824 chip in it, just like my
PC-122's. Look up that PLL and tell me how to modify it.

Here's a source:
http://www.superior.net/~haggis/cb.html

(Don't you feel stupid now?)

1659. Re: Assist. needed for ILLEGAL amplifier

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 05:25:34 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A34654E.8A799FB5@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63041

N8wwm wrote:
>
> No, you are just an ILLEGAL sissy.

What's the matter, you don't want to be shot by a black man?
Sissy.

1660. Re: HEY <ILLEGAL>257 ON THE WHEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 05:27:04 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001211002704.19499.00006868@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 63042

Stick it in yer ass

>Subject: HEY <ILLEGAL>257 ON THE WHEELS
>From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm)
>Date: 12/10/00 10:42 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20001210234237.23309.00004955@ng-fv1.aol.com>

>Ahhh...more illegal operation. You are not supposed to talk over 150 miles on
>CB. however you were likely not even on the legal 40 channels. Illegal
>activity, I see.
>

1661. Re: Just in time for Christmas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 05:28:08 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001211002808.19499.00006869@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 63044

Stick it in yer ass

>Subject: Just in time for Christmas
>From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm)
>Date: 12/10/00 11:21 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20001211002121.23309.00004979@ng-fv1.aol.com>
>
>
>Special announcement about the 3 special kids!
>Folks, you need the following book...Twistie, tnom and Scottiekins have, with
>adult supervision, drooled into a dictaphone the following masterpiece of
>authorship and it is now available at your local book store...Don't miss
>"Muskrat Love", the heartwarming story of 3 illegal CB'ers who find love and
>marriage through uniting in hatred for N8WWM, the mean 'ole hammie they wish
>they were 1% equal to in quality of flame issues.
>While their Sparkomatic and 2 pill amp combo splashes all 40 channels and
>into
>10 meters, listen to the 3 sweet little CB'ers plot, plan and generally
>scheme
>to out flame the greatest flamer of all time. Read about their use of
>electronic components as aids to their sagging libido's. Learn why no female
>relatives ever come to visit. Look at the special 13 page photo insert that
>shows the dried snot on their microphone! This and much, much more.
>
>Folks, this book is on par with the smash hit "I am faithful to my wife", by
>Bill Clinton, and will become recognized as a major literary accomplishment.
>The trippin' trio have outdone themselves this time, and you have just gotta
>read it to believe it. Only 29.95 now at your local bookseller or order
>online
>at
>www.N8WWMisGreat.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1662. Re: Tech who is pro-CW AND not an ILLEGAL OPERATOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 05:28:36 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001211002836.19499.00006870@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 63043

Stick it in yer ass

>Subject: Tech who is pro-CW AND not an ILLEGAL OPERATOR
>From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm)
>Date: 12/10/00 10:48 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20001210234835.23309.00004965@ng-fv1.aol.com>

>And think how much of an ILLEGAL op you are, criminal.
>

1663. Re: Tech is pro-CW AND not an ILLEGAL OPERATOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 05:30:04 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001211003004.19499.00006871@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 63046

Stick it in yer ass

>Subject: Tech is pro-CW AND not an ILLEGAL OPERATOR
>From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm)
>Date: 12/10/00 11:13 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20001211001326.23309.00004975@ng-fv1.aol.com>
>
>

>You are illegal. You operate outside the 40 channels by your own admission.
>As for mode changes...anyone operating
>FM on the CB band is ILLEGAL.
>

>The only reason I "cause hate and discontent" is you ILLEGALS don't like me
>telling ya off, dweeb.
>
>Back under your rock, you swine.
>
>

1664. Re: ILLEGAL Op Taunts Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 05:30:34 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001211003034.19499.00006872@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 63045

Stick it in yer ass

>Subject: Re: ILLEGAL Op Taunts Group
>From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm)
>Date: 12/10/00 10:44 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20001210234409.23309.00004956@ng-fv1.aol.com>
>

>Dick is a coward...he is afraid of the ILLEGAL ops, so he sucks up to them
>and
>disses those who uphold an anti-ILLEGAL stance. What a guy, huh?
>
>
>

1665. Re: Assist. needed for ILLEGAL amplifier

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 05:31:17 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001211003117.19499.00006873@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 63047

Stick it in yer ass

>Subject: Re: Assist. needed for ILLEGAL amplifier
>From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm)
>Date: 12/10/00 10:44 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20001210234452.23309.00004957@ng-fv1.aol.com>

>ILLEGAL
>>Hello, I am in New Orleans and i am looking for a Cookie Amp.
>>Does anyone know how i can contact Cookie ???
>>
>>Any Info offered is appreciated.
>
>
>

1666. Re: Assist. needed for ILLEGAL amplifier

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 05:31:52 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001211003152.19499.00006874@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 63048

Stick it in yer ass

>Subject: Re: Assist. needed for ILLEGAL amplifier
>From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm)
>Date: 12/10/00 11:17 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20001211001754.23309.00004976@ng-fv1.aol.com>
>

>No, you are just an ILLEGAL sissy.

>>From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" upplus@hotmail.com
>>Date: 12/11/2000 0:06 AM Eastern Standard Time
>>Message-id: <3A3460D9.B9CEFE7A@hotmail.com>
>>
>>I for one do NOT condone the activity on my local channel 22.<cuz he is
>jealous> It
>>is not fair for them to splatter the whole band. Why don't you
>>come to Baltimore and make those homey's shut up? I value my life<pathetic
>that it is>
>>more then you do. <you are right there, I do not value your life>I'm not
>going
>to tell them how to operate their
>>radio. I would like you to make them operate legally. LOL!<in Scottiekins
>case, LOL means LIPS ON LIZARD>
>>
>>I don't argue with them. <cuz you aint shit>I do know several of them. I
>find
>by
>>asking nicely, they will turn it down. If you cuss them, they'll
>>crank it up more just to piss you off. You would not make it long
>>as a CB'er here.<or anywhere, my IQ is higher than room temperature> Come on
>over and make it your personal crusade to
>>clean up the Baltimore, Maryland airwaves. We're all waiting. Come on.
><Scottiekins, I pay my taxes to have that service happen>
>
>
>
>Special announcement about the 3 special kids!
>Folks, you need the following book...Twistie, tnom and Scottiekins have, with
>adult supervision, drooled into a dictaphone the following masterpiece of
>authorship and it is now available at your local book store...Don't miss
>"Muskrat Love", the heartwarming story of 3 illegal CB'ers who find love and
>marriage through uniting in hatred for N8WWM, the mean 'ole hammie they wish
>they were 1% equal to in quality of flame issues.
>While their Sparkomatic and 2 pill amp combo splashes all 40 channels and

>into
>10 meters, listen to the 3 sweet little CB'ers plot, plan and generally
>scheme
>to out flame the greatest flamer of all time. Read about their use of
>electronic components as aids to their sagging libido's. Learn why no female
>relatives ever come to visit. Look at the special 13 page photo insert that
>shows the dried snot on their microphone! This and much, much more.
>
>Folks, this book is on par with the smash hit "I am faithful to my wife", by
>Bill Clinton, and will become recognized as a major literary accomplishment.
>The trippin' trio have outdone themselves this time, and you have just gotta
>read it to believe it. Only 29.95 now at your local bookseller or order
>online
>at
>www.N8WWMisGreat.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1667. Re: Only ILLEGAL ops will be punished

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 05:32:33 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001211003233.19499.00006875@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 63049

Stick it in yer ass

>Subject: Only ILLEGAL ops will be punished
>From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm)
>Date: 12/10/00 10:40 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20001210234048.23309.00004954@ng-fv1.aol.com>
>

>That is my point. You clowns try to verbally beat me up to cover up the fact
>that you are ILLEGAL. Plus, none of you ILLEGAL ops could hold a candle to
>the
>great N8WWM.
>
>

>Buncha illiterate nutcases with ILLEGAL radios and ILLEGAL amps. Criminals.

1668. Re: Just in time for Christmas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 05:33:47 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A34673B.1EA66320@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63050

You are making the new group so much more popular by the minute.

The consensus is folks are tired of the bitching, moaning and fighting. Many have written that they will never get their ticket and you are one of the causes. They say that whiny, conceited, self centered types like N8WWM and Voobner have forever tarnished their view of amateur radio. there are 3 members using their callsigns and 4 others I know have their ticket on the new group. Thank you for making the new group THE PLACE TO BE.

1669. Re: Threats from Illegals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 05:37:46 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <911p7a$j9a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63061

In article <20001210184957.04296.00001520@ng-fp1.aol.com>,
timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com) wrote:
> who should we consider when using the freebands? its been abandoned,
nobody but
> us uses it any more

> 73 de Tim
> Knight Patrol #82
> http://www.kp82.com
>

Now Tim, it's been explained to you time and time again who's bands
those are you're operating on. You're simply in denial.

Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1670. Re: Just in time for Christmas-ILLEGALS get pissed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 05:41:33 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211004133.12125.00004265@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 63051

>From: tj1072@aol.com (Windy City Faggot)
>Date: 12/11/2000 0:28 AM Eastern Standard Time
>

>Stick it in yer ass
>

Nope, thats what YOU do, queerbait

1671. Re: ILLEGAL Op Taunts Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 05:42:41 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211004241.12125.00004266@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 63052

>From: tj1072@aol.com (Windy City Deepthroater)
>Date: 12/11/2000 0:30 AM Eastern Standard Time

Nope, thats what YOU do.

1672. Re: Tech is pro-CW AND not an ILLEGAL OPERATOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 05:44:31 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211004431.12125.00004267@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 63053

>From: tj1072@aol.com (Windy City penis slurper)
>Date: 12/11/2000 0:30 AM Eastern Standard Time

>Stick it in yer ass
Nope, thats what YOU do.

1673. Re: Assist. needed for ILLEGAL amplifier

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 05:45:27 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211004527.12125.00004268@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 63054

>From: tj1072@aol.com (Windy City back door boy)
>Date: 12/11/2000 0:31 AM Eastern Standard Time

>Stick it in yer ass

Nope, thats what YOU do.

1674. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 05:45:48 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <3A346A0B.D2CA5312@cts.com>
Source record: 63059
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

dimpledchad wrote:

> It's already spiraling downward Bill. Not by a present lack
> of operators or technology, but a minus growth of new Op's.
> What kid tell me want's to speak on a ham radio and use 9600
> baud packet radio when instead they can be
> on the Internet with 56K or DSL, streaming audio and
> video, realtime chatrooms and who know what else
> is comming sown the supply chain?

Well you guys never mention the biggest drawback of ham radio....

NO CHICKS!!!!!

nuff said

Mark

1675. Re: Assist. needed for ILLEGAL amplifier

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 05:46:25 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211004625.12125.00004269@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 63057

>ILLEGAL amplifier
>From: tj1072@aol.com (Windy City testicle licker)
>Date: 12/11/2000 0:31 AM Eastern Standard Time

>Stick it in yer ass

Nope, thats what YOU do.

1676. Re: Only ILLEGAL ops will be punished

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 05:47:28 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211004728.12125.00004270@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 63058

>From: tj1072@aol.com (Windy City jizz eater)
>Date: 12/11/2000 0:32 AM Eastern Standard Time

>Stick it in yer ass

Nope, that's what YOU do.

1677. Re: HEY <ILLEGAL>257 ON THE WHEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 05:48:18 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211004818.12125.00004272@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 63060

>From: tj1072@aol.com (Windy city sperm lover)
>Date: 12/11/2000 0:27 AM Eastern Standard Time
>

>Stick it in yer ass

Nope, that's what YOU do.

1678. Re: Assist. needed for ILLEGAL amplifier

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 05:50:07 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211005007.12125.00004273@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 63062

Scottiekins drooled:
>What's the matter, you don't want to be shot in by a black man?
>Sissy.
>

N8WWM replied: sorry, I am heterosexual. To each his own, though. Enjoy, you queer.

1679. Re: Illegals Celebrate Christmas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 05:50:26 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <911pv1joo1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63068

Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" upplus@hotmail.com wrote:

<<You are making the new group so much more popular by the minute.

The consensus is folks are tired of the bitching, moaning and
fighting. Many have written that they will never get their ticket
and you are one of the causes. They say that whiny, conceited,
self centered types like N8WWM and Voobner have forever tarnished
their view of amateur radio. there are 3 members using their callsigns
and 4 others I know have their ticket on the new group. Thank you
for making the new group THE PLACE TO BE.>>

Many people with tickets and callsigns are in business selling crappy
amps to CBers. They'll get along fine with you in rec.radio.illegal I am
sure.

Me, I'm going to get my ticket and operate legally. And someday soon I
hope to turn on my CB radio and not hear any overpowered
penis-compensating amplifiers and foulmouthed children.

Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1680. Re: Just in time for Christmas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 05:51:15 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211005115.12125.00004274@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 63063

>From: tj1072@aol.com (Windy City brown eye lover)
>Date: 12/11/2000 0:28 AM Eastern Standard Time
>

>Stick it in yer ass

Nope, thats what YOU do. Fairy.

1681. Re: Threats from Illegals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 05:52:44 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211005244.12125.00004275@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 63065

>In article <20001210184957.04296.00001520@ng-fp1.aol.com>,
> timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com) wrote:
>> who should we consider when using the freebands? its been abandoned,
>nobody but
>> us uses it any more
>
>> 73 de Tim
>> Knight Patrol #82
>> http://www.kp82.com
>>
>
>
>Now Tim, it's been explained to you time and time again who's bands
>those are you're operating on. You're simply in denial.
>
>Aaron Voobner
>

ABSOFREAKINLOUTELY

1682. Re: Davis RF 50OHM LOW LOSS 9913 EQUIV COAX?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 05:57:49 UTC
From: MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A346E46.30DD8934@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63066

The connectors for 9913 and LMR400 are unique to 3/8" semi-rigid cables.
That said, you can force a regular RG-8 PL-259 onto it and for 11m (or
lower freq) applications it should work well enough. There are
third-party connectors specifically made for LMR400 and 9913 that are
more cost effective than the Times name brand units.

MC

The Packrat wrote:
>
> and at $.60/ft its isn't all that expensive. Can you use normal PL-259's on
> it?
>
> "Cap'n Crunch" <kcfamily@tir.com> wrote in message
> news:wjjY5.5952$g77.590682@nntp2.onemain.com...
> LMR 400....good stuff!
>
> "MC 10kW Jesus" <qsl27@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3A315A9D.639CD704@hotmail.com...
> > This is exactly why I recommend Times Microwave LMR-400 to anyone
> > considering Belden 9913. It's 100% solid dielectric and takes all of the
> > same connectors. Price is generally about the same.
>
> > > Alan Martin wrote
> > > Anyone familiar with this coax? My swr recently jumped from 1 to just
> > > over 2. I think some moisture might have gotten in and since its only
> > > about 20 degrees out side i figure it might have frozen. Does this coax
> > > have a history of this or what. Any other Ideas would be welcome.
> > >
> > > AL

1683. Re: ...duh Dipole Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 05:59:11 UTC
From: MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A346E98.3F2945BF@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63067

Point taken, but for the purpose of antenna gain comparison a dipole is
as I've described.

MC

JR wrote:
>
> I think you are splitting hairs. A dipole antenna is any straight radiator usually
> fed at the center. I can be any length, half wave, 3/4 wave, full wave or any
> other length. The half wave is most commonly used as the feed point matches approx
> 50 ohms and can be used with 50 ohm coax without any other matching device. So not
> being a half wave length does not mean it is no longer a dipole.
>
> MC 10kW Jesus wrote:
>
> > I think you are splitting hairs, Gary. A dipole is considered to be a
> > simple, half-wave resonant antenna. Extending this past the ideal length
> > is considered a zepp design. It raises the feedpoint impedance and
> > introduces reactive component. It is hardly naturally resonant.
> >
> > MC
> >
> > oh8mfh wrote:
> > >
> > > Dear Jesus, a dipole is not only half-wave - it can be full-wave, 3/4 and so
> > > on. Or did I misunderstand you? 73 Gary

1684. Messenger 124-M

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 06:02:37 UTC
From: -=PEAKABOO=- <peakaboo@keydowns.com>
Message-ID: <cYZY5.339$B51.130659@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Source record: 63064

Does anyone have any info on this radio. Maybe a schematic, power mods or channel mods?

Thanks a lot

--

-=PEAKABOO=-

"Trying Not To Choke,
On That 10 Ohm Smoke"

Check Out My Home Page
http://www.geocities.com/MotorCity/Highway/5648/boos_page.html
http://www.geocities.com/watergate_rollin

1685. Re: Assist. needed for ILLEGAL amplifier

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 06:04:36 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A346E74.3D7835D8@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63069

Scott wrote:
> >What's the matter, you don't want to be shot in by a black man?
> >Sissy.
> >
>
> N8WWM drooled: sorry, I am homosexual. To each his own, though. Enjoy, I'm
> queer.

Huh? What does being capped have to do with homosexuality? You called me a sissy because I'm not going to tell the homeys how to operate their radios?

Get out of the closet. The whole NG knows how you are. I'm done with you.

1686. Re: Only ILLEGAL ops will be punished

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 06:06:49 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001211010649.02076.00007603@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 63071

Snappy comeback

>Subject: Re: Only ILLEGAL ops will be punished
>From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm)
>Date: 12/10/00 11:47 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20001211004728.12125.00004270@ng-cj1.aol.com>
>
>>From: tj1072@aol.com (Windy City jizz eater)
>>Date: 12/11/2000 0:32 AM Eastern Standard Time
>
>>Stick it in yer ass
>
>Nope, that's what YOU do.
>
>
>
>
>
>

1687. Re: Assist. needed for ILLEGAL amplifier

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 06:07:25 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001211010725.02076.00007604@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 63070

Snappy comeback

>Subject: Re: Assist. needed for ILLEGAL amplifier
>From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm)
>Date: 12/10/00 11:46 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20001211004625.12125.00004269@ng-cj1.aol.com>
>
>>ILLEGAL amplifier
>>From: tj1072@aol.com (Windy City testicle licker)
>>Date: 12/11/2000 0:31 AM Eastern Standard Time
>
>>Stick it in yer ass
>
>Nope, thats what YOU do.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1688. Re: Assist. needed for ILLEGAL amplifier

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 06:08:08 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001211010808.02076.00007605@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 63073

Snappy comeback

>Subject: Re: Assist. needed for ILLEGAL amplifier
>From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm)
>Date: 12/10/00 11:45 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20001211004527.12125.00004268@ng-cj1.aol.com>
>
>>From: tj1072@aol.com (Windy City back door boy)
>>Date: 12/11/2000 0:31 AM Eastern Standard Time
>
>>Stick it in yer ass
>
>Nope, thats what YOU do.
>
>
>
>
>
>

1689. Re: Tech is pro-CW AND not an ILLEGAL OPERATOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 06:08:34 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001211010834.02076.00007606@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 63072

Snappy comeback

>Subject: Re: Tech is pro-CW AND not an ILLEGAL OPERATOR
>From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm)
>Date: 12/10/00 11:44 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20001211004431.12125.00004267@ng-cj1.aol.com>
>
>>From: tj1072@aol.com (Windy City penis slurper)
>>Date: 12/11/2000 0:30 AM Eastern Standard Time
>
>
>>Stick it in yer ass
>Nope, thats what YOU do.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1690. Re: ILLEGAL Op Taunts Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 06:09:05 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001211010905.02076.00007607@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 63074

Snappy comeback

>Subject: Re: ILLEGAL Op Taunts Group
>From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm)
>Date: 12/10/00 11:42 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20001211004241.12125.00004266@ng-cj1.aol.com>
>
>>From: tj1072@aol.com (Windy City Deepthroater)
>>Date: 12/11/2000 0:30 AM Eastern Standard Time
>
>Nope, thats what YOU do.
>
>

1691. Re: Just in time for Christmas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 06:10:21 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001211011021.02076.00007608@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 63076

Snappy comeback

>Subject: Re: Just in time for Christmas
>From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam (the stink star poking hamgeek)
>Date: 12/10/00 11:51 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20001211005115.12125.00004274@ng-cj1.aol.com>
>
>>From: tj1072@aol.com (Windy City brown eye lover)
>>Date: 12/11/2000 0:28 AM Eastern Standard Time
>>
>
>>Stick it in yer ass
>
>Nope, thats what YOU do. Fairy.
>
>
>
>
>
>

1692. Re: Illegals Celebrate Christmas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 06:10:30 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A346FD6.61BA6E9@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63075

aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:

>
> Me, I'm going to get my ticket and operate legally. And someday soon I
> hope to turn on my CB radio and not hear any overpowered
> penis-compensating amplifiers and foulmouthed children.

And instead you'll turn on your radio to hear old farts talking
about their ailments, surgeries and heart conditions. You'll be
wearing a pocket protector emblazed with your callsign that
will be color coordinated with your embroidered hat.

Don't think that ham radio is a cure-all. You WILL be disappointed.
Both groups will have special people with attitudes like yours.

(My apologies to the few good hams out there.)

1693. Re: Confession that 257 is ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 06:26:56 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211012656.02514.00003873@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 63077

>Happy Holidays to all..... yeah even the trolls!
>
>257 NH. On the wheels

OK 257....Happy holidays to you too.

1694. Re: Illegals Celebrate Christmas, ILLEGALLY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 06:33:06 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211013306.02514.00003874@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 63078

"Scottiekins (Unit 69 Who loves oral sex with men)" upplus@hotmail.com drooled the following:
>(My apologies to the few good hams out there.)
He apologizes cuz he is such a sorry piece of humanity that he can't even be honest about his ILLEGALITY.

Have fun, criminal.

Special announcement about the 3 special kids!
Folks, you need the following book...Twistie, tnom and Scottiekins have, with adult supervision, drooled into a dictaphone the following masterpiece of authorship and it is now available at your local book store...Don't miss "Muskrat Love", the heartwarming story of 3 illegal CB'ers who find love and marriage through uniting in hatred for N8WWM, the mean 'ole hammie they wish they were 1% equal to in quality of flame issues.
While their Sparkomatic and 2 pill amp combo splashes all 40 channels and into 10 meters, listen to the 3 sweet little CB'ers plot, plan and generally scheme to out flame the greatest flamer of all time. Read about their use of electronic components as aids to their sagging libido's. Learn why no female relatives ever come to visit. Look at the special 13 page photo insert that shows the dried snot on their microphone! This and much, much more.

Folks, this book is on par with the smash hit "I am faithful to my wife", by Bill Clinton, and will become recognized as a major literary accomplishment. The trippin' trio have outdone themselves this time, and you have just gotta read it to believe it. Only 29.95 now at your local bookseller or order online at
www.N8WWMisGreat.com

1695. Superswangthang is ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 06:34:44 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211013444.02514.00003876@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 63079

Adverising ILLEGAl amplifiers. Plus he is a sissyboy, hiding behind phoney email addresses.

He called me MF...OK...but hey his mama was horny and so was I.

1696. Assist. needed~!eNd ThreaD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 06:38:27 UTC
From: brass <cc@knology.net>
Message-ID: <3A347663.328B98F6@knology.net>
Source record: 63084

offline assisted

Brass

1697. Confession-By Superswangthang-ILLEGAL AMP DEALER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 06:40:52 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211014052.02514.00003877@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 63080

My name is Superswangthang...I am a jackass and a moronic foul mouthed dweeb.

I called N8wwm a MF...I am sorry ...I talked to my mom and she says she lusted after him....My mistake.

I realize I am a numb nut redneck without a clue. Please help me learn how to use indoor plumbing.

I bow before the superiority of N8wwm. He is a genius compared to my pale and inconsequential existence. My mom wants to bow before him too.

1698. Re: ILLEGAL Op Taunts Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 06:43:05 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211014305.02514.00003878@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 63081

>From: tj1072@aol.com (Windy City jizz eater)
>Date: 12/11/2000 1:09 AM Eastern Standard Time

>Snappy comeback

Thats what yer momma said when I got hard again.

1699. If you live in Florida please read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 06:56:58 UTC
From: Speedbuggy714 <galaxy1@zoomnet.net>
Message-ID: <n__Y5.6262$4W.929924@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 63082

Hello,
If you live in Florida especially in or around Lake Panasoffkee
please give me an email. You may be able to help me locate or
give me some information on someone I am trying to locate. I need
some information on a Jeff Dees that sells tubes in Florida and
on Ebay. Any help is important to me and would be very much
appreciated.

Thanks!
Mike

My email is galaxy1@zoomnet.net

--
www.bcity.com/dino

1700. Re: what is this new law on CB enforcement?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 07:15:42 UTC
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <3A347F1E.33D8@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 63085

CitySlicker wrote:
>
> Does the bill allow for any federal funding to enact or enforce. How about
> training?

No funding, the fine part ($$) is fuzzy however Case Law will allow the
Locals to mirror Fed actions. Will this become a REVENUE ENHANCEMENT
item?
Time will tell. "Training" is to given to the locals by the FCC but the
limiting words are "to the extent possible" so maybe just a guide or
brochure (IMHO).
Martin

> "Mike Yetsko" <joe@user.com> wrote in message
> news:t3554sopkk1v61@corp.supernews.com...
> > Well, close...
> >
> > It does NOT allow local authorities to enforce federal laws
> > with regards to CB.
> >
> > It allows local communities (ie states) to pass their
> > OWN laws, it they choose to, which can then be enforced
> > by the local authorities.
> >
> > These new 'local laws' are only 'subsets' of what the fed
> > now says they can pass of the federal laws. Ie, a state
> > can 'copy' a federal law and now enforce it. Or it can
> > copy part of a federal law, and enforce it. But it cannot
> > create NEW law, or even copy parts of federal laws
> > EXCEPT for those area specifically allowed for in this
> > bill.
> >
> > Mike
> >
> > CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net> wrote in message
> > news:90sga6$91k@dispatch.concentric.net...
> > > It is not a new law!! It is only a bill that gives the local authorities
> > the
> > > limited ability to enforce FCC rules if they choose do so.
> > > "Pinball 1" <pinball1@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20001208220734.08448.00003062@ng-mk1.aol.com...
> > > > I am new to this group. Could someone tell me where to get info
> > explaining
> > > the
> > > > new law passed on CB radio and local enforcement

> > >
> > >
> >
> >

1701. Sale! 100Watt to 1400watt HF80-10M Amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 07:19:26 UTC
From: Justin DePolis <justinde@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <Be%Y5.235$ry6.241450@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 63083

Hello, I have alot of 80-10 meter amplifiers for sale, after the closing of
a retail outlet, below is a price list:

Mobile Units: (these units require about 30 watts drive, have selectable
power output, and have a 20DB receive pre-amplifier)

KLV 100 watt unit: $89.99
Mirage 300 watt unit: $175.00
Mirage 300 watt unit with thermal protection: $ 225.00

The units below all have thermal protection, and SWR protection:

Mirage 600 watt: $399.99
Mirage 800 watt: $435.99
Mirage 1200 watt: $799.99

Base Models: (all base units 110 volts)

Tube Type: (these units use the 811-A, or EL-519)

KLV 200 watt: $234.99
KLV 400 watt: $434.99
KLV 1200 watt:$699.99

Solid State:

Mirage 300 watt: $599.99
Mirage 500 watt: $699.99

My name is Justin, and I can be contacted at (909) 279-4193,
or at: justinde@pacbell.net
You can also check out my web site for more information:
http://www.geocities.com/ham2way2000/index.html
Quantity pricing available, and prices can be negotiable, thanks, and 73's.
(attached to the post is a picture of the base units)

1702. Making A Messenger 124-M Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 07:23:38 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <911vdonhf1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63086

In article <cYZY5.339$B51.130659@nnrp1.sbc.net>,
"-=PEAKABOO=-" <peakaboo@keydowns.com> wrote:
> Does anyone have any info on this radio. Maybe a schematic, power mods
or
> channel mods?
>
> Thanks a lot
>
> --
>
> -=PEAKABOO=-

What channels are you trying to transmit on?

PS> I saw your page. I'm stunned. You actually put that much power on
the air? Why?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1703. Re: 257 ON THE WHEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 07:26:34 UTC
From: Two-Five-seven@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29422-3A3481AA-84@storefull-146.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63087

937, is this a double post,or did I already talk to you again ;-)

257 NH. On the wheels

1704. Re: Illegal for CB 100Watt to 1400watt HF 80-10M Amplifiers Sale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 07:38:02 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91208rnvr1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63089

In article <FbNY5.466$It.322037@news.pacbell.net>,
"Justin DePolis" <justinde@pacbell.net> wrote:
> Hello, I have alot of 80-10 meter amplifiers for sale, after the
closing of
> a retail outlet, below is a price list

Hello Justin,

How come your ad for these 80-10 meter amplifiers is posted in the CB
group?

Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1705. Re: Sale! Illegal for CB 100Watt to 1400watt HF80-10M Amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 07:46:18 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <9120oa$oc7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63092

In article <Be%Y5.235$ry6.241450@news.pacbell.net>,
"Justin DePolis" <justinde@pacbell.net> wrote:
> Hello, I have alot of 80-10 meter amplifiers for sale..

Justin,

Not only did you post your amplifier sale once, but twice here in the CB newsgroup.

Of course, you are free to post anything you want, but a thinking person might conclude from your actions that you wish to sell these amps to CBers. Is that true?

Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1706. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 07:52:26 UTC
From: ONE37 <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t391kogf4t4i7d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63088

One thing is for certain. You have not done the same. You have not showed me one lick of evidence that I vouch for illegal operators, you have not expressed enough to veer the illegal op's to the path of righteousness, you have not even showed me any significant evidence that you are a man of "justice" for these hobbies; CB and Amateur. None. You sat here and whined and argued with me. I've "fucked" with you for the past 24 and a half hours and all you did was replied to my post with "no" relevance at all. Just bitched, and that's all you did.

You, Professor of Electronic Engineering, would have gotten my attention if you had something "intelligent" to say upon your first post. But you had to argue with me. Why don't you explain to me how you can better the illegal op's without referring to troll remarks? You can't and you won't! You'd rather see the buggers fry in jail then to help out a fellow "shipmate" all the while bitching about it.

>> Sparky, done and gone!

I think this was the most intelligent thing you've said so far. Once again...

> > Sparky
>
> blah, blah, blah... You're like a hounding, nagging girlfriend with a wart
> on its nose. You just won't go away. Well, keep on with your useless
> posting, your bottomless pit of nagging inferno... I'll sit back and laugh
> at your seamless gibberish.
>
>
--

ONE37

"... reality is a frame of mind... stupidity is a state of mind."

1707. Re: Messenger 124-M

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 07:55:32 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <g0293toscqa2eecr6r92d567ag18v1t12h@4ax.com>
Source record: 63090

Greetings!

Sorry, don't have the radio info, I was admiring your page.

Gawd! It musta' been fun! Lotta' work though...

I'm curious about how you got those wattmeters to be backlit using
fluorescent lighting.

On Mon, 11 Dec 2000 00:02:37 -0600, while the modem was ice fishing
with the Mouse, "-=PEAKABOO=-" <peakaboo@keydowns.com> dissertated the
following:

:+> Does anyone have any info on this radio. Maybe a schematic, power mods or
:+> channel mods?
:+>
:+> Thanks a lot

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:

... You know your radio is really Modulating when...
... Elvis shows up at your doorstep and asks to use
... your Microphone!!!

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

1708. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 08:03:55 UTC
From: ONE37 <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t392abmpqlv08b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63091

"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:911271$3pf$8@bashir.peak.org...
> Sparky <sparky@cet.com> wrote:
> :>
> :>Am I? Am I not? That would be the questions... I feel that everyone
has
> :>the freedom to do whatever the hell they want. In or outside the law.
>
> : I guess that's where we differ. I believe in something besides
> : anarchy.
>
> What the person is proposing is not anarchy - that is a lack of laws.
> He is proposing civil disobedience, a bit of a difference.
>
> In the case of anarchy, there are no laws to break. In the case of
> civil disobedience, you can go to prison (or worse) for breaking the
> existing laws.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

Bill,

I've known of you for sometime here on the NG, and most of the posting you have put up here were interesting. But what I was trying to say was I do not believe that anyone should interfere with their neighbors affairs. "Mind you own business..." is the term to be precise. I wouldn't want you snooping around in my affairs let alone myself in yours. Now if I inferred "lawlessness", "civil disobedience" or "breaking of existing laws", that was not my intentions. My main agenda is to have everyone just get along. Even if they are bad or good Apples. Let them do whatever they want. Eventually, it'll catch up with them.

"SUPAHMAN" (or if that is how it was supposed to be spelled) was right. The "hmmmmmm" and "hawwwwws" gets to a point where no one cares anymore. And thinking of legalities is the last thing on those individuals minds.

"Ease up... the world is not going to shit all at once. It already is..."

--

ONE37

"... reality is a frame of mind... stupidity is a state of mind."

1709. Please don't do crack, here's why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 08:12:47 UTC
From: Miles Davis <MDD327@AOL.COM>
Message-ID: <912492$2pj$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
Source record: 63093

This saturday night someone broke into my Suburban. Do to their diminished capacity they made off with the following:
A Magum Deltaforce
A 4-pill that I made
A console that runs the radio equipment
A electronic phone book
A cheap stereo
A EQ that didn't work
My medicine?

What did they leave behind?
Two cellphones
A $400.00 pool cue
8 batteries
An $80.00 cordless drill W/charger
Approx. $400.00 worth of hand tools
The 8-pill and the 32-pill.

While I was scratching my head about the first MoFo, One of my neighbors walks up and says "You need to have your alarm checked it was going off all night". I never said a word to him, my mother would have beeen proud of me.

Everything except the medicine has been listed on my insurance and police report.

1710. Re: Tired of this sh!t?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 08:17:22 UTC
From: oh8mfh <oh8mfh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9122gd$82l$1@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 63094

Dear Scotty, you are doing what I decided to do in the late 1980's: I decided to leave the garbage channels crowded with shouting, no-receiver CBers, and went to the ham bands. Guess what: the garbage operators were there, too, with their CB callsigns. So, rest assured, if a ham wants to fool you by joining the new group, he will. Cheating machines is real easy. Not to worry about me, though. I wouldn't even consider joining the hi quality Cbers group. Will you do the same for me- no CB on ten or other ham bands? Once again: legal CB is a good hobby, so after the "freebanders" have left this ng, we can talk.

:-) Gary, oh8mfh

1711. Re: Tired of this Illegal sh!t?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 08:23:00 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <9122t3$ql5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63095

In article <KGxY5.1132$Wd.552915@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
"Major Tom" <ground@control.com> wrote:
> No thats just it the cb people arent enamoured with hams do you see
>any running to the ham NG and pulling the same pussy hide behind the
> mile/keyboard mentality as you are doing here

Reality Check:

rec.radio.amateur is loaded with anonymous illegals flaming the hams.

Aaron

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1712. Re: rec.radio.ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 08:35:08 UTC
From: oh8mfh <oh8mfh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9123ho$8mp$1@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 63096

Dear Aaron, that's exactly what Scott and his bunch are doing. So, after some time, all of them will have moved to the new, members-only, illegals-only group. Decent Cbers and anyone else intersted in radios can stay here. Good riddance of bad rubbish - BTW: why don't they call the new group like you suggested, so nobody in his right mind would join it? 73, Gary, oh8mfh, friend of legal radio communication

1713. Re: Tired of this Sh!t!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 08:37:04 UTC
From: oh8mfh <oh8mfh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9123lb$8nr$1@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 63097

You scare us shitless, Scotty. It'll be your own sand box, don't worry.
Kitty litter doesn't mix with hams. Gary

1714. Re: DAVEMADE BUSTED???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 09:05:27 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <9125cm$s90$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63098

> I heard a rumor
> Davemade was busted. True?
>

From what I hear, X-Force, Davemade, Skullcracka, TrainBuilt, NoName, the whole pack of illegal amp manufacturers are being looked into by the feds.

Aaron

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1715. Sale! 100Watt to 1400watt HF80-10M Amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 09:37:18 UTC
From: Justin DePolis <justinde@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <Rf1Z5.48708$Wq1.18859190@nnrp5-w.sbc.net>
Source record: 63099

Hello, I have alot of 80-10 meter amplifiers for sale, after the closing of
a retail outlet, below is a price list:

Mobile Units: (these units require about 30 watts drive, have selectable
power output, and have a 20DB receive pre-amplifier)

KLV 100 watt unit: $89.99
Mirage 300 watt unit: $175.00
Mirage 300 watt unit with thermal protection: $ 225.00

The units below all have thermal protection, and SWR protection:

Mirage 600 watt: $399.99
Mirage 800 watt: $435.99
Mirage 1200 watt: $799.99

Base Models: (all base units 110 volts)

Tube Type: (these units use the 811-A, or EL-519)

KLV 200 watt: $234.99
KLV 400 watt: $434.99
KLV 1200 watt:$699.99

Solid State:

Mirage 300 watt: $599.99
Mirage 500 watt: $699.99

My name is Justin, and I can be contacted at (909) 279-4193,
or at: justinde@pacbell.net
You can also check out my web site for more information:
http://www.geocities.com/ham2way2000/index.html
Quantity pricing available, and prices can be negotiable, thanks, and 73's.
(attached to the post is a picture of the base units)

1716. Re: DAVEMADE BUSTED???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 10:51:28 UTC
From: Bradley Fritz <BF6565@cz.net>
Message-ID: <t39c43sa8qe529@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63100

What do you know? You don't know shit. Quit hiding behind Deja News punk!
And go back to your radio restore crap business... Sparky!

Brad

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:9125cm$s90$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>
> > I heard a rumor
> > Davemade was busted. True?
> >
>
> From what I hear, X-Force, Davemade, Skullcracka, TrainBuilt, NoName,
> the whole pack of illegal amp manufacturers are being looked into by the
> feds.
>
> Aaron
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1717. Re: STOP ME!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 10:53:19 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <Wj2Z5.2258$JE.611636@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 63101

"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:9114jt$3pf$12@bashir.peak.org...
> Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:
>
> : If that is what you encountered, good for you. And that comes from an
old
> : Extra with rosin in his veins and burn holes in the carpet. I think you
may
> : have us OT Extras figured wrong but I am coming to believe that you may
have
> : the codeless contingent figured just about right. In fact I am coming
to
> : look at them as a major wart on the ass of the hobby. I do a
> : post-restructuring analysis of amateur licenses on another group just to
> : stir the old shit just a bit; one of the things that pops right up is
that
> : Techs don't advance in the hobby. So we seem to have created a
collection
> : of 'hams' who come storming in claiming equality without doing a whole
lot,
> : get stepped on, and go out stepping on people like you but would never
stoop
> : to a simple-minded code test.
>
> : Do yourself a favor, use the facilities of QRZ.com, AH0A.org, and me if
you
> : need to. Get the code test out of the way and take the verstunken
Extra.
> : Then go back to the club, piss on the Techs' shoes, and tell them it is
> : raining. Make some cryptic comments about 'real radio' in the process
with
> : a knowing, superior air. Have some fun with the dangling hemorrhoids.
>
> : Can't say that I totally approve of what you are doing but who asked my
> : opinion? What I do know is that I have seen any number of 'outlaws'
become
> : some very good amateurs with not a lot of help and even less preaching.
> : Elmered a fair number myself.
>
> Thank you, Dick. I wish there were more Hams that would post such
> courteous and well thought out responses. It would do more to get
> CBers to become Hams than all the idiots who think blasting CBers
> for illegal operations is going to do anything positive.
>

> I agree with you about the current testing. ANYONE can get an Extra ticket
> these days. No real knowledge of electronic theory is necessary, and the
> 5wpm code test is a joke - although it does fulfill the CW familiarity
that
> is required by international agreements.
>
> I also agree about the general run of codeless Techs. Most of the ones I
> have encountered know less about electronics than some of the CBers that
> the feel are beneath contempt. That is not true for all of them - some
> are professional engineers who probably are more proficient than most of
> the Extra class licencees, but are content with operations above 30 MHz.
> These few see no need to upgrade - when they are mostly interested in
> experimentation in the EHF frequency bands.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

I am not sure -- outside of the code tests -- that the exams are any easier.
What is different is the resources available to prepare for them -- so
damned much better. There is simply no excuse for blaming a claque of
losers for one's own inertia. You want it or you don't and it ain't that
hard to get.

1718. Re: Sale! 100Watt to 1400watt HF80-10M Amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 10:54:39 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <912bpe$f2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63102

In article <Rf1Z5.48708$Wq1.18859190@nnrp5-w.sbc.net>,
"Justin DePolis" <justinde@pacbell.net> wrote:
> Hello, I have alot of 80-10 meter amplifiers for sale, after the
closing of
> a retail outlet, below is a price list

Third time you posted. You must REALLY want to get some business from
CBers.

Aaron

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1719. 100Watt to 1400watt HF80-10M Amplifiers SALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 11:02:19 UTC
From: Justin DePolis <justinde@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <yv2Z5.48711$Wq1.18879384@nnrp5-w.sbc.net>
Source record: 63103

Hello, I have alot of 80-10 meter amplifiers for sale, after the closing of
a retail outlet, below is a price list:

Mobile Units: (these units require about 30 watts drive, have selectable
power output, and have a 20DB receive pre-amplifier)

KLV 100 watt unit: $89.99
Mirage 300 watt unit: $175.00
Mirage 300 watt unit with thermal protection: $ 225.00

The units below all have thermal protection, and SWR protection:

Mirage 600 watt: $399.99
Mirage 800 watt: $435.99
Mirage 1200 watt: $799.99

Base Models: (all base units 110 volts)

Tube Type: (these units use the 811-A, or EL-519)

KLV 200 watt: $234.99
KLV 400 watt: $434.99
KLV 1200 watt:$699.99

Solid State:

Mirage 300 watt: $599.99
Mirage 500 watt: $699.99

My name is Justin, and I can be contacted at (909) 279-4193,
or at: justinde@pacbell.net
You can also check out my web site for more information:
http://www.geocities.com/ham2way2000/index.html
Quantity pricing available, and prices can be negotiable, thanks, and 73's.
(attached to the post is a picture of the base units)

1720. Re: rec.radio.ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 11:03:50 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <912cak$r1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63104

In article <9123ho$8mp$1@news.kolumbus.fi>,
"oh8mfh" <oh8mfh@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Dear Aaron, that's exactly what Scott and his bunch are doing. So,
after
> some time, all of them will have moved to the new, members-only,
> illegals-only group. Decent Cbers and anyone else interested in radios
can
> stay here. Good riddance of bad rubbish - BTW: why don't they call the
new
> group like you suggested, so nobody in his right mind would join it?
73,
> Gary, oh8mfh, friend of legal radio communication

Gary,

Thank you for your wise words. The "illegals-only" group has done a great service to rec.radio.cb by bailing out. Safe in their own little clubhouse they can discuss things like the pleasures of squashing other cb users with amplifiers...or how the law doesn't apply to them....and how unfair it is that they can't transmit anywhere they want without a license.

Aaron H. Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1721. Re: DAVEMADE BUSTED???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 11:23:22 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <912df8$1k4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63105

In article <t39c43sa8qe529@corp.supernews.com>,
"Bradley Fritz" <BF6565@cz.net> wrote:
> What do you know? You don't know shit. Quit hiding behind Deja News
>punk!
> And go back to your radio restore crap business... Sparky!
>
> Brad
>

Bradley,

No need to get huffy. I'm just saying I *heard* that DaveMade, X-Force, Skullcracka, TrainBuilt, NoName (and all the rest) are next on Riley Hollingsworth's hit list. Probably because concerned people (like me) wrote letters and complained to the FCC about the proliferation of illegal high powered amplifiers messing up their consumer devices. It's similar to the recent grassroots public outcry responsible for getting HR2346 passed. Surely you don't want these illegal devices to be openly sold and marketed to CBers, do you? And I do agree that no one should hide behind false identities. DaveMade, X-Force, Skullcracka, TrainBuilt, NoName need to come out and tell us their real names and addresses.

Aaron H. Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1722. TOTALLY ILLEGAL HF80-10M Amplifiers SALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 11:32:24 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <912e09$206$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63106

In article <yv2Z5.48711$Wq1.18879384@nnrp5-w.sbc.net>,
"Justin DePolis" <justinde@pacbell.net> wrote:
> Hello, I have alot of 80-10 meter amplifiers for sale, after the
closing of
> a retail outlet, below is a price list...

Justin,

This is the FOURTH time you posted in rec.radio.cb. You must REALLY want to get those little cloud-warmers into the hands of CBers huh? Well, don't fret, I think I have a customer for you. Please send info on your fine CB amps ASAP to rholling@fcc.gov

Tell him Aaron sent you.

Aaron H. Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1723. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 11:46:13 UTC
From: "Steven J Robeson", K4YZ <k4yz@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <912eq4$2f6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63107
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

In article <3A346A0B.D2CA5312@cts.com>,
markj <markj@cts.com> wrote:

> Well you guys never mention the biggest drawback of ham radio....
>
> NO CHICKS!!!!!
>
> nuff said

Hmmmmmmmm......methinks you have been using the rubber duckie the
wrong way......

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1724. rec.radio.cb.flame

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 11:48:47 UTC
From: John Amero <" johnaNO§PAM"@island.net>
Message-ID: <3A34BF1F.2F6B6D86@island.net>
Source record: 63108

Yes!!! Go ahead you fools and fight like hell... you all
will end up loosing it all.

Damn, this N/G is getting to be a big waste of time!!!!!
John
--
JOHN AMERO, Parksville, B. C. Canada E-Mail to:-
johnaNOï¿½PAM@island.net
**NOTE: REMOVE the_ NOï¿½PAM _in the email address for
reply.**
Check out my Web Site at- http://www.island.net/~johna/ ICQ
UIN 2166985
... or Business- email to- Director of Business Development,
JohnAmero@singaporerental.com
Also see index at above web site or
http://singaporerental.com/

1725. Re: rec.radio.ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 13:18:42 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a34d399.355584@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63109

On Mon, 11 Dec 2000 10:35:08 +0200, "oh8mfh" <oh8mfh@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>Dear Aaron, that's exactly what Scott and his bunch are doing. So, after
>some time, all of them will have moved to the new, members-only,
>illegals-only group. Decent Cbers and anyone else intersted in radios can
>stay here. Good riddance of bad rubbish - BTW: why don't they call the new
>group like you suggested, so nobody in his right mind would join it? 73,

And then we can get back to what this NG was intended for: the
discussion of a legitimate hobby. Who knows, maybe if the legals did
the same on the air . . .

>Gary, oh8mfh, friend of legal radio communication

Sparky, FLRC

1726. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 13:25:46 UTC
From: TR@sky.net
Message-ID: <3a34d571.51159824@news.idsi.net>
Source record: 63110

On Sun, 10 Dec 2000 06:54:13 GMT, sparky@cet.com (Sparky) wrote:

>On Sat, 9 Dec 2000 22:18:53 -0800, "ONE37" <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
>wrote:
>
>>For the past couple of years I've been on this newsgroup, I've seen
>>questions like that posted here. Check Deja News archives. But for some
>>reason Gary, you and a few other HAMs trolled on those postings too (if I
>>remembered accurately). But the newsgroups are for opinions too... I'm
>>sorry. But for the life of GOD, people already know this stuff!!!
>>
>>Now for the "freebander" and the "hi-powered" CBer, IT IS ILLEGAL!!! And we
>>know this stuff too! Its like the reference used before, if you speed in a
>>car, and you know it's illegal, why do you still speed and deny doing it?
>>It's like the CBer. They know its illegal but they do it anyway. One day
>>the speeding will kill somebody on the highways and the hi-powered CB
>>equipment will cause Cancer in the operator. But GEEEEZ! Some of the folks
>>on here sound like "nagging neighbors." They sound like broken records...
>>
>>This is just my opinion...
>
>These illegal operators are intruding on the rights of responsible and
>law-abiding citizens, the people that "citizen's band" was intend for.
>If they are going to try and drive me off the air by their illegal
>acts, I am going to try and drive them back with my legal acts. That
>includes the NGs. With the new law, legal operaters can help to clean
>up the airwaves with simple DF equipment and a FS meter, and
>cooperation with their local law enforcement.
>
>That is just _my_ opinion.
>
>Sparky

Well said and a good attitude. Cbers can do what hams do to find a
trouble station. DF them and report them. Legal CBers CAN help to
clean up 11m, but will they DO IT? Time will tell....

1727. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 13:33:39 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a34d62e.1016771@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63111

On Sun, 10 Dec 2000 23:52:26 -0800, "ONE37" <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
wrote:

>snip proof of lack of reading comprehension<

You still don't understand, so I'll just give you the reason that I
did it because your buttons are easy to push. Satisfied?

Sparky, FLRC

1728. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 13:58:44 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4879-3A34DD94-9@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63115

I hear ya' Jerry! I usually get through Charlotte a couple of times a year. Used to stay at Carowinds campground until they updated and modernized (read:ruined) it. Now I take it all the way to Mt. Airy before stopping. Not going north this year for the holidays, but a spring venture is in the works, probably around May. I'll let ya' know, maybe we can tag on the air as I crawl through that infamous Charlotte traffic.

1729. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 14:01:10 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4879-3A34DE26-10@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63113

No. You're statement of, " so why should legal operators not intrude on usenet...." shows it is personal.

1730. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 14:08:55 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4878-3A34DFF7-26@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63114

I don't make threats. Don't have to. Shame that one can't talk about human behavior without you guys jumping all over the poster and mindlessly drawing the incorrect conclusion and misconstrued conception that one who talks about it is guilty of it. As I said, my door is always open to the golden cowards, directions as well. And, oh yea, I guarantee I won't run when someone comes a looking with malice in their heart. Coffee, a beer, or, if you can get past the dogs, whatever you want!

1731. Re: rec.radio.ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 14:13:02 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4878-3A34E0EE-28@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63121

HA ha! Get the trolls to pat you on your fanny! Hell Aaron, stop giving yourself so much credit. Not one of you whiners have out up a good "challenge" yet. Ya'll just flame!

1732. Re: Sale! Illegal for CB 100Watt to 1400watt HF80-10M Amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 14:16:07 UTC
From: The Landshark <s3buell@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <0k5Z5.532$Pv3.293285@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 63112

No, he figures with all the hams
operators hanging out here he
might have a better chance to sell it.

The Landshark

--
It's a Buell-tiful Day for a ride
through the Canyons.

1999 Ford F-150 Super Cab
1998 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
1983 Honda 1100F
BFD #666
<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:9120oa$oc7$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <Be%Y5.235$ry6.241450@news.pacbell.net>,
> "Justin DePolis" <justinde@pacbell.net> wrote:
> > Hello, I have alot of 80-10 meter amplifiers for sale..
>
> Justin,
>
> Not only did you post your amplifier sale once, but twice here in the CB
> newsgroup.
>
> Of course, you are free to post anything you want, but a thinking person
> might conclude from your actions that you wish to sell these amps to
> CBers. Is that true?
>
> Aaron Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

1733. Re: Please don't do crack, here's why

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 14:22:01 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <912nu4$9fb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63117

In article <912492$2pj$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>,
"Miles Davis" <MDD327@AOL.COM> wrote:
> This saturday night someone broke into my Suburban. Do to their
diminished
> capacity they made off with the following:
> A Magum Deltaforce
> A 4-pill that I made
> A console that runs the radio equipment
> A electronic phone book
> A cheap stereo
> A EQ that didn't work
> My medicine?
>
> What did they leave behind?
> Two cellphones
> A $400.00 pool cue
> 8 batteries
> An $80.00 cordless drill W/charger
> Approx. $400.00 worth of hand tools
> The 8-pill and the 32-pill.
>
> While I was scratching my head about the first MoFo, One of my
neighbors
> walks up and says "You need to have your alarm checked it was going
off all
> night". I never said a word to him, my mother would have beeen proud
of me.
>
> Everything except the medicine has been listed on my insurance and
police
> report.
>
>

sorry to hear about it, nothing worse than a thief. is this the long
nose that you are running 4 alts on ? how does your system handle that
32 pill?,.......cd is out.......

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1734. Re: If you live in Florida please read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 14:23:46 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <912o1c$9ga$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63116

In article <n__Y5.6262$4W.929924@nntp1.onemain.com>,
"Speedbuggy714" <galaxy1@zoomnet.net> wrote:
> Hello,
> If you live in Florida especially in or around Lake Panasoffkee
> please give me an email. You may be able to help me locate or
> give me some information on someone I am trying to locate. I need
> some information on a Jeff Dees that sells tubes in Florida and
> on Ebay. Any help is important to me and would be very much
> appreciated.
>
> Thanks!
> Mike
>
> My email is galaxy1@zoomnet.net
>
> --
> www.bcity.com/dino
>
> is that the guy that moonlights as a rip off artist?,.......cd is
out...

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1735. Re: rec.radio.ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 14:25:06 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4881-3A34E3C2-3@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63127

Actually Fred, YOUR ignorance is showing. The "F" word was indeed brought to us by the proper vernacular of English.

Fuck (fuk) 1) To have sexual intercourse with.
2) Slang. to treat unfairly or harshly.
3)Slang. to meddle
4)Fuck around, slang. A) To behave in a frivolous or meddlesome way. B) To engage in promiscuous sex.
5) fuck off, slang. A) to shirk one's ability; malinger. B) GO AWAY:used as an exclamation. C) Waste time.
6) waste time.
7) fuck up, slang. A) to bungle or botch; ruin. B) To act stupidly or carelessly; cause trouble; mess up-interj.

So, looks like it applies quite appropriately.

You're quite welcome!

1736. Re: rec.radio.ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 14:28:42 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4879-3A34E49A-18@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63124

Don't want to transmit "anywhere we want". Just where you guys aren't!
You already said you don't care if one transmits and it doesn't bleed or
bother you..now you write the opposite. Hmm, seems like you folks have
your own, how do you say, "hypocrisy" going on!

1737. Re: DAVEMADE BUSTED???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 14:30:50 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4879-3A34E51A-19@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63120

You heard wrong. 'Nuff said!

1738. ATTN: Ohio CB'ers info on N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 14:31:11 UTC
From: dukkhakoti@aol.comblahblah
Message-ID: <20001211093111.15131.00001974@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Source record: 63118

goto ARRL.org

do a callsign search

write down his name and address

i wonder if he is this big mouthed in person .

maby some of us criminals could sit down and have a cup of coffe with him .

#22 north central illinois mobile

1739. Re: rec.radio.cb.flame

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 14:31:12 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <912ofh$9ng$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63119

In article <3A34BF1F.2F6B6D86@island.net>,
John Amero <" =?iso-8859-1?Q?johnaNO=A7PAM?="@island.net> wrote:
> Yes!!! Go ahead you fools and fight like hell... you all
> will end up loosing it all.
>
> Damn, this N/G is getting to be a big waste of time!!!!!
> John
> --
> JOHN AMERO, Parksville, B. C. Canada E-Mail to:-
> johnaNO PAM@island.net
> **NOTE: REMOVE the_ NO PAM _in the email address for
> reply.**
> Check out my Web Site at- http://www.island.net/~johna/ ICQ
> UIN 2166985
> ... or Business- email to- Director of Business Development,
> JohnAmero@singaporerental.com
> Also see index at above web site or
> http://singaporerental.com/
>

it would appear certain hamsters have come over here from the ham radio
ng, with the expressed purpose of shutting this place down. as i have
stated before, they are upset about many things some of us do, but i
guess the main thing that upsets them is our freedom of operation, and
our freedom of expression. they have been towing the line so long with
uncle and that dinasaur organization , the arrl , that they don't even
know that they are really just pimps for the man,.....cd is
out......

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1740. Re: DAVEMADE BUSTED???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 14:32:10 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4878-3A34E56A-32@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63123

Tell us your addy first, goobner, since it was your idea!

1741. Re: Sale! 100Watt to 1400watt HF80-10M Amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 14:34:36 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4878-3A34E5FC-35@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63122

And that's more than one comment from you about it. You got no reply,
so you tried again. That makes you a troll.

1742. Re: Tired of this sh!t?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 14:37:23 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4880-3A34E6A3-3@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63125

Freebanders are going nowhere. The other group is so we don't wear out
the kill file.

1743. Re: Making A Messenger 124-M Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 14:39:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4879-3A34E71D-20@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63126

Because he can.

1744. Re: Illegal for CB 100Watt to 1400watt HF 80-10M Amplifiers Sale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 14:40:20 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4878-3A34E754-37@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63136

The same reason the hammie cops are posting here.

1745. Re: what is this new law on CB enforcement?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 14:46:13 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4880-3A34E8B5-4@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63134

That would be great if it became a revenue enhancer. Then the 'pile can get even louder, since radio generated revenue won't hold a pimple to the revenue generated from the drug busts in the Port-of-Miami, it wil be enforced about as much as jaywalking. Maybe after the new year it will be time to pump the mobile up a bit more...maybe a baby driver...maybe an 8 pill....

1746. Re: Threats from Illegals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 14:56:55 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4878-3A34EB37-38@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63135

You get more ignorant by the day, Voobner. And that is not an insult, I mean it in a sincere way that you really have no clue what is going on within the bands. Pay attention next time, I'm not going to teach you anymore. There are a FEW, A FEW that are used. Modern technology has surpassed...oh, never mind.

1747. Re: 27.555 USB is ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 14:58:37 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4879-3A34EB9D-24@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63132

Allocation and utilization are separate issues. Now why couldn't you have thought of that on your own?

1748. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 14:59:33 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <t39qvl2op96r81@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63128

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:27918-3A317460-26@storefull-127.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> You don't seem to be paying attention, Leland. The ratio was a number I
> believe can be confirmed by contacting the FCC directly and asking them
> the disciplinary rate of hams vs. cbers in any given year.

I simply asked you where you got the number from. I guess it did not come from the FCC otherwise you would have stated so directly. So I am still wondering where did that number come from? I am not saying anybody is wrong, but I would like to know the source of your information.

> It is probably greater than that. They go after cbers in the future, it
may change.
> I realize this is hard for you, but pay attention..real close.. I never
> said I was willing to pay any damn fine. If I choose to operate
> illegally, then I am taking the chance. By taking the chance, I would
> therefore agree to a fine if I should get popped for such an act. If you
> can't do the time....and the law pertaining to cb is taken just as
> likely as the speed limits..a gamble of sorts. IF I choose to operate.

I see that at least you had the idea of a fine cross your mine. I wonder how many others on this news group, who operate in an illegal manner, have thought about it? I really think many on this news group think they are playing a game with the FCC by ignoring the parameters under which the 11m band is to operate.

> I'm not one of these guys (but you probably are) who tries and talks his
> way out of a speeding ticket. You pull me over, I sign my ticket and go
> on my way. If you can't distinguish between the lines, move on.
> Secondly, everything you said about other bands, now sit up pay
> attention again, I DON"T CARE!

You really should care. The point being that even Hams have had their bands moved, or eliminated. Assuming that can't happen to the 11m band is foolish. Where did you think the 11m band came from in the first place? The FCC has created the FRS service, and now the MURS service. The FCC left the door open to expanding the MURS channels past the current 5. Also the original intention was to create a V/UHF CB Radio service, not a H.F. based one. At some point the FCC "may" remove the 11m band from CB Radio use. I see the moves by the FCC as the writing on the wall where someday the 11m CB radio band will be allocated to other uses. You have to admit that 11m no longer serves the original intention of providing a short range communication system for the general public for both private, and business uses. It has turned in to a "Hobby Radio" band. This is a situation that make little sense since that is one of the reasons the Amateur Radio Service exists. Sooner or later the FCC is going to correct that, so the real question is

not IF, but WHEN that will happen.

> They have no bearing in my life unless I
> am just scanning broad spectrum on auto. for whatever happens to come
> up. I don't waste my time, for you it may not be, for me, it don't apply
> so what you said got chucked in the outhouse.

Like I said above the 11m band "could" someday end up "chucked in the outhouse" too.

> Thirdly, the wind has what? The only storm heading your way Leland is
> the booming thunder and crackling amps dropping the maul on you when you
> get out on the cb band preaching!

I did the same thing some time back, about cell phone use, and the movement to out law their use mobile too. I got flamed for that, and just like ere - Oh no, that is NEVER going to happen. Well guess what? It did! Little by little, city by city, laws are popping up to pop people using cell phones while driving. The people that thought I was dumb no longer think my views had no merit. Now they are pissed because they did not see it coming in time to do anything about it. Well myself, and a few others, did bring up the issue, but nobody wanted to believe it could happen, oh well.

So lets look at things again, FRS, now MURS, and finaly your Good-Buddy Bill in the White House signed that CB radio enforcement bill in to law. That game is being played, and the ball is in motion. And most CBers don't have a clue about what is going on.

> Fourth, I don't speak for the group, just myself.
> Fifth, I didn't ask for more spectrum. This has what to do with the
> topic?

CBer's cried for a long time, and got the channels expanded from 23 to 40 in case you forgot about that. Some would like to see that expanded again. So the issue of additional spectrum is a real issue for CBers too.

> And lastly, since you continue to refer to trolls to clarify, validate,
> lend credibility, and back up your position, it speaks for itself.

What I read here is you are uncomfortable with the idea that the FCC does more than send a warning letter to CBers violating the Part 95 rules. Your statement above is for your benefit, not mine. I know the FCC is not playing games with rule violators. You can dismiss the cases posted by N8 anyway you like, but those CBers paid real fines, and got what was coming to them. Denying that does not put those $7000 fines back in their wallets I can assure you.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

1749. Re: TOTALLY LEGAL HF80-10M Amplifiers SALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 15:00:36 UTC
From: Stervarino <captain@navy.org>
Message-ID: <l26Z5.4962$925.245735@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 63129

All of these amps are legally manufactured amateur amplifiers and it is painfully obvious there are apparently many amateurs on this NG which would create a viable venue for the sale of these items. One can advertise anything anywhere one wants too. The last time I checked Aaron there was still an American flag flying over the White House so go try your intimidation tactics elsewhere because no one here is really frightened by you or your RHollingsworth email address threats.

1750. Re: MURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 15:01:43 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4880-3A34EC57-5@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63131

Thanks, Gary. The bands are already getting crowded here in the 'pile.
Already mods to cover the bands here in the 'pile with more powered amps
and rigs are making the rounds.

1751. Re: Sale! Illegal for CB 100Watt to 1400watt HF80-10M Amplifiers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 15:09:58 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4878-3A34EE46-40@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63133

Don't worry about Goobner, Justin. Y'all will probably get an anonymous email from Goobner and he'll buy your stuff. He is quite enamored with you, I'd watch my backside!

1752. Re: DAVEMADE BUSTED???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 15:12:44 UTC
From: Broken Stator <stanley@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <1lr93tge0mvk01nosrfi7ov3t7lqghbmm8@4ax.com>
Source record: 63130

No, this is just a marketing release to pick up more sales. Maybe I
should buy one before it's too late... maybe two.... maybe three.

>> > I heard a rumor
>> > Davemade was busted. True?
>> >
>>
>> From what I hear, X-Force, Davemade, Skullcracka, TrainBuilt, NoName,
>> the whole pack of illegal amp manufacturers are being looked into by the
>> feds.
>>
>> Aaron
>
---
change cold to hot in email address
to reply

1753. Re: DAVEMADE BUSTED???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 15:14:59 UTC
From: Stervarino <captain@navy.org>
Message-ID: <bg6Z5.4963$925.245796@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 63139

And who did you here this from, some blue-hair on 80 meters who spewed this information forth in between complaining about his flaming hemorrhoid and gout conditions?

Hey Aaron, I hear that the 70 cm. band, a large portion of the 40 meter band and morse code is going to go poof the next time the WARC meets in 2002.

I think you as an amateur radio enthusiast have much greater problems than Xforce, Davemade and the like. Dont waste your time emailing Riley et als becuase they know all about it, and they still choose to do nothing because there arent many complaints from the general public.....the only complaints are coming from fat ass hams who think they are Radio Rambos'.

1754. Re: rec.radio.cb.flame

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 15:16:18 UTC
From: B-Squared <rbethman@home.com>
Message-ID: <6d6Z5.162653$RP.30199348@news1.rdc1.md.home.com>
Source record: 63137

Glad you specified "certain hamsters". I might add VERY FEW. A lot of us
1) Came from 11 meters
2) Used to be freebanders (Before you folks figured out how to get MORE
channels from
a Uniden Upd858)
3) Support those asking questions that really want to learn.

We "hamsters" also have freedom of operation within the limits of the rules and regulations. I shoot skip, I run over a KW. I have PLENTY of frequency space. Especially the ability to go to other bands when 10 and 11 meters aren't doing so well.

I am NOT a pimp for "da man". I was a member of the ARRL, but decided almost twenty years ago that they did not do service to those whom thought they did.

As to illegal activities, those that do them assume the risks involved. If you don't splatter all over my receiver - I won't bother you. If you do - I'll try and come talk to you and show you how to clean up the signal. If I can help you learn - I've started you in the direction that you may wish to follow.

Cheers!

N0DGN

<curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message >
> it would appear certain hamsters have come over here from the ham radio
> ng, with the expressed purpose of shutting this place down. as i have
> stated before, they are upset about many things some of us do, but i
> guess the main thing that upsets them is our freedom of operation, and
> our freedom of expression. they have been towing the line so long with
> uncle and that dinasaur organization , the arrl , that they don't even
> know that they are really just pimps for the man,.....cd is
> out......
>

1755. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 15:18:34 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <t39s39gavk9nb3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63138

"Martin" <mdwade@mobiletel.com> wrote in message
news:3A32A555.2CFD@mobiletel.com...

> Look at hr 2346, there must be probable cause before a Commercial
> vehicle can be
> pulled over. That is, he must be caught in the ACT, no fishing trips
> allowed
> based upon Antenna or it must be in plain sight.

These people have to pull in to weight stations on the highway. You ask these guys and they will tell you all about the "safety" inspections they go through. Now
the local cop at the weight station can check the driver's radio equipment too.

This is going to be interesting. The cop already has them "pulled over" so to speak, and the "probable cause" is the law that requires them to pull in to an open weight station. Once there they can go over it with a fine tooth comb. So if they "discover" the amplifier while doing a safety inspection, too bad for the truck driver it seems. This is no different than a cop pulling somebody over for some BS reason, then searching the vehicle, and then "discovering" contraband. It happens all the time, and if you check the law the LEO's don't need a search warrant either. The jails are full of people that get busted like this every day, so if it can't be done, then why are they in jail?

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

1756. Re: TOTALLY ILLEGAL HF80-10M Amplifiers SALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 15:20:28 UTC
From: B-Squared <rbethman@home.com>
Message-ID: <0h6Z5.162654$RP.30200065@news1.rdc1.md.home.com>
Source record: 63140

Aaron - First go and get you license. Second learn the laws and rules. If I have amps previously manufactured with 10 meters included - I can sell them. Where he chooses to advertise them doesn't matter.

New made amps with 10 meters included CAN be sold with the caveat that they ARE supposed to be for export.

Send this to rholling@fcc.gov if that is what you want.

N0DGN (General - Going to Extra already can do 35WPM)

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> Justin,
>
> This is the FOURTH time you posted in rec.radio.cb. You must REALLY want
> to get those little cloud-warmers into the hands of CBers huh? Well,
> don't fret, I think I have a customer for you. Please send info on your
> fine CB amps ASAP to rholling@fcc.gov
>
> Tell him Aaron sent you.
>
> Aaron H. Voobner

1757. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 15:20:59 UTC
From: nicolayp@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <912rcjcao1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63142
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Hi,

Hmm, so the only difference between a shitty HAM and a real HAM is the fact that you know how to do beep-beep ? Maybe we should introduce an exam on computers and software to gain access on VHF/UHF as well (otherwise we would get those shitty beep-beep HAMs that think a wire between two trees is an antenna their as well) ?

Isn't this crazy ? Personally I have full respect for people who study this mode, but I don't see NO reason at all why it is used to give exclusive access to decameter bands.

Just get rid of the requirement for CW (and the corresponding license class) on certain segments (the current non-CW segments on HF - and they shouldn't shrink), and keep those CW-segments exclusive for those people that still want to do the exam (that way the different license classes can remain the same as well).

Is this so hard in our HAM world ?

73s Paul
ON1DJU

> > On Tue, 05 Dec 2000 23:36:18 GMT, no-ARRL@all.com (Wouff Hong) wrote:
> > >Just wait, 13 months from now the CW bands will shrink, and CW testing
> will be
> > > >ended. Thank you ARRL for turning our ham bands into SHIT!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1758. Re: rec.radio.cb.flame

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 15:26:43 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211102643.02432.00003882@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 63141

>Subject: rec.radio.cb.flame
>From: John Amero "=?iso-8859-1?Q?johnaNO=A7PAM?="@island.net
>Date: 12/11/2000 6:48 AM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <3A34BF1F.2F6B6D86@island.net>
>
>Yes!!! Go ahead you fools and fight like hell... you all
>will end up loosing it all.
>
>Damn, this N/G is getting to be a big waste of time!!!!!
>John
>--

Then leave, Lamer

1759. First it was Mark Fullerbull, then Joe Ty, Hightower, Kapoopski, Leland no-code, and now a whole new batch of Rambos

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 15:44:19 UTC
From: Stervarino <captain@navy.org>
Message-ID: <wl6Z5.4964$925.245753@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 63143

Ill just bet these guys are haunting the crap out of their police departments begging them to be volunteers to enforce this new law.

SQAUD ROOM: Ok guys listen up; Johnson you take the10 kilo cocaine shipment; Harris you take the jewelry store heist; Smith you're on that mob killing and Voobner, well, you follow that guy with the amp in his car and when your done get back here and clean that shithouse.

1760. Re: Tired of this sh!t?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 15:53:32 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A34F87C.180A0D92@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63144

Hey pal, I don't freeband, so stop accusing me of that. I have gotten on channel 19 and told the truckers to stay out of 10 meters. I felt like Boobner, pounding my head against the wall. Accuse. lie, slander, whatever. When you catch me on an illegal frequency, then you can say something. You, like the trolling hams (and wannabe hams), think that all CB'ers use extra channels. Where's your proof? The bigger problem is the homeys in the inner city that run way too much in their mobiles on legal channels. Since the purpose of the new law is to go after interfering operators, I needn't worry. Go think up some new flame bait.

oh8mfh wrote:

> Will you do the same for me- no CB on ten or other ham bands?

1761. Why is it that Tech's are the biggest complainers about CB amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 16:05:15 UTC
From: Stervarino <captain@navy.org>
Message-ID: <%07Z5.4965$925.245939@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 63146

Technician class operators are at the bottom rung of the amateur license scale and they most likely have some radio roots to CB in the past. Why is it that every couple of years some of these Techs are compelled to come to this NG and attempt to enforce some law by starting an ill conceived enforcement campaign?

Wouldnt their time be better spent learning more about amateur radio and accelling up their own license classes?

If you search the past NG posting's you''ll find that 90% of the CB NG flamers are Technician class license holders. Its painfully obvious that the higher class license holders dont consider CB amps a great threat because they either dont care or they dont have the time. What does this tell you?

1762. Re: 257 ON THE WHEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 16:10:31 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001211111031.10059.00003383@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 63145

It should have only been sent once...ya got me?? Well...take it easy again..LOL

937...chicago

1763. Re: ILLEGAL Op Taunts Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 16:11:47 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001211111147.10059.00003384@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 63147

Hey n8wwm...why don't we get off of moms...i just got off yours

1764. Re: Amplifiers For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 16:34:04 UTC
From: ripsy@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001211113404.10955.00001082@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Source record: 63148

damn. you got ripped.
these base units sell for
klv200 $189
klv400 $279
klv550 $289
klv1000$489

1765. Re: Confession

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 16:42:21 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t3a0vsoe05icad@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 63149

257,
I feel your anguish and I hold you in high esteem for your admission. I too have been guilty of responding to certain, unmentionable "Trolls" in this group and I too, will do my best to cease this behavior in the future. You are a guiding light in the Troll infested landscape this News Group has become. Hopefully, others too can take up the challenge you have put forth and begin to go down that long hard road to recovery.

Jeff

<Two-Five-seven@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:3380-3A346315-82@storefull-143.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> I did reply to the POS troll stalking this news group one time.Even
> though I new better.I'm here now to say It won't happen again.And to say
> to the others on the NG. that if you simply stop replying to him,not
> even once you will have taken away his reason for existing here.
> Happy Holidays to all.......... yeah even the trolls!
>
> 257 NH. On the wheels
>

1766. Re: STOP ME!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 16:47:15 UTC
From: BARNEYBASHER1@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20665-3A350513-13@storefull-296.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63152

10-4 on that

1767. FS: Joshnson Messenger 250

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 16:47:51 UTC
From: profile1x@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001211114751.20339.00004411@ng-bj1.aol.com>
Source record: 63150

23 channel base in like new condition in original box with manual and mic. $45 shipped.

1768. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 17:06:56 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <t3a2efmmgq0fb2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63151

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:3A33E406.5120@bellsouth.net...

> I know, Leland, why you spew so much hatred against CBers. What you
> *really* want is for there to be NO CB, eh? Would THAT allow you to
> "climax" in your *dreams* about CBers? Would that finally make you
> go away? But that isn't going to happen, so you might as well go away
> anyway!

Hey Jerry, you screwed up just like Twisted did, you don't know who you are replying to. All you have to do is check the headers on the posts. N8 has his own agenda, and I have mine, they are not the same, and neither are we, me and N8.

You are a smart guy you should be able to figure that out I hope. It should be VERY obvious the tone and content of my posts compared to N8's are not the same. N8 pokes fun at the illegal operators, I on the other hand point out what they are doing is wrong, and ask them to try to justify it, which of course they can't.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

1769. Re: ILLEGAL Op Taunts Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 17:27:17 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211122717.24885.00000027@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 63153

tj1072@aol.com (Windy city clown)
Date: 12/11/2000 11:11 AM Eastern Standard Time
Drooled forth the following garbage:
>Hey n8wwm...why don't we get off of moms...i just got off yours
>

I hope you didn't but somehow it would not suprise me at all. She has been dead since 93.That's very likely to be your only source for gratification, so I'm not as shocked as normally would be the case, upon hearing such a confession.

1770. Re: rec.radio.ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 17:28:38 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211122838.24885.00000029@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 63154

>Subject: Re: rec.radio.ILLEGAL
>From: twistedhed@webtv.net
>Date: 12/11/2000 9:13 AM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <4878-3A34E0EE-28@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
>
> HA ha! Get the trolls to pat you on your fanny! Hell Aaron, stop giving
>yourself so much credit. Not one of you whiners have out up a good
>"challenge" yet.

Why waste good challenges on easy targets?

1771. Re: ATTN: Ohio CB'ers info on N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 17:49:23 UTC
From: heardallthat@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001211124923.25221.00000007@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 63155

>ATTN: Ohio CB'ers info on N8WWM
>From: dukkhakoti@aol.comblahblah (beaker)
>Date: 12/11/2000 9:31 AM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <20001211093111.15131.00001974@ng-mj1.aol.com>
>
>goto ARRL.org
>
>do a callsign search
>
>write down his name and address
>
>i wonder if he is this big mouthed in person .
>
>maby some of us criminals could sit down and have a cup of coffe with him .
>------------------------
>#22 north central illinois mobile
>
>
Others in this NG have already done that. He claims not to be concerned about it, and has even offered to set an extra plate at the table.
The result there was that N8WWM was threatened with being shot, and his wife and child raped. This was a few days ago.

I think he is asinine, sometimes funny, and a pest. BUT I agree with one thing he said: Threats of violence are way over the line.
That kind of thing will also get you in FEDERAL trouble bigtime. A guy got killed as a result of a flame war on here a few years ago. They take that stuff very seriously.

1772. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 18:03:28 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A3516F0.72FC@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 63156

TW,

AWRIGHT! I'll at least buy you a cup o' coffee. Man, hasn't the board
gone downhill? I'm jus' a-sittin' on the side reading........

73

Jerry

twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
>
> I hear ya' Jerry! I usually get through Charlotte a couple of times a
> year. Used to stay at Carowinds campground until they updated and
> modernized (read:ruined) it. Now I take it all the way to Mt. Airy
> before stopping. Not going north this year for the holidays, but a
> spring venture is in the works, probably around May. I'll let ya' know,
> maybe we can tag on the air as I crawl through that infamous Charlotte
> traffic.

1773. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 18:11:09 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4878-3A3518BD-67@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63157

You have a Jekyll/Hyde persona. One day you post in condescension, I return with such, and you return to the merits. OK. This is a simple way to teach you something again, Leland. Numbers and statistics can be construed or manipulated any way you want, sort of like your view of more than 4 watts, freebanders and "criminals". Take the total number of actions taken by the FCC last year. Take away the commercial FM stations, pirate radio stations, companies whose licensing for their 2 way business radios has expired, manufacturers, etc., etc., and then total the number of individuals ONLY. Then, subtract the hammies. What you are left with is representational of cbers that had action taken against them, and for informational purposes, a ratio can be deduced from the above. I'll confess, I didn't use the entire year, I used the month of December and multiplied that figure times twelve. If you are asking me for a link, do your own homework. Let me know what figure you arrive at.

Your hammie bands are in way more danger, due to spectrum auctions and other miscellaneous obstructions, of getting the ax, long before 11 meter is going anywhere. The writing has been on the wall since the seventies, only now it is covered with graffiti.

Cell phone analogy? Ok! Walking and chewing gum. Some can, some can't. Since some people are incapable of driving and talking, lets ban it for everyone. Theres that Jeckyll/Hyde thing again..or am I mistaken? Was your position on the cell phone law banning them pro or con?

FRS and MURS, already good mods and power exceeding legal limits. They gave us more freqs to play with and you're pissed over it, which is why you even brought it up, attempting to create a tie-in somehow with the new regulations passed. The only tie-in that may exist is they probably gave us those to alleviate the 11 meter band a bit. Hell, at least they are attempting to HELP us!

I'm not uncomfortable with anything, Leland. I can't dismiss anything by the troll you refer, 'cause I CAN'T SEE IT! But I can dismiss your posts when you attempt to use a chainsaw to vasectomize yourself by referring to it. I saw enough to know that anyone that aligns himself with it is a troll, no matter what it posts now. It's credibility, as yours will probably soon be, is shot, even if it may happen to be correct (which it never was).

1774. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 18:19:37 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29779-3A351AB9-21@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63158

They aren't locals at the weigh stations, Leland. They are DOT, State and once in a while, County. The law yields power to local jurisdictions. Think a State trooper is gonna call the local boys to check out the big bad truckers cb? Think again!

1775. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 18:25:47 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29780-3A351C2B-4@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63160

Where was that screw up, Leland? If I replied to a post misakenly, I can admit it, and if necessary, apologize.

1776. Re: Why is it that Tech's are the biggest complainers about CB amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 18:33:09 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29778-3A351DE5-44@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63159

It tells me that the real hammies don't accept the techie class, either. They aren't accepted by what they thought, so they take out their inadequacies and shortcomings by returning to their roots, that somehow, in their mentally capacitance challenged lives, lends their malnourished egos a temporary fix for what ails 'em! My apologies to the new techs out there that conduct themselves with respect.

1777. Re: ATTN: Ohio CB'ers info on N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 18:51:35 UTC
From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9137nk$ne7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63161

In article <20001211124923.25221.00000007@ng-fw1.aol.com>,
heardallthat@aol.com (HeardAllThat) wrote:
> Others in this NG have already done that. He claims not to be
concerned about
> it, and has even offered to set an extra plate at the table.
> The result there was that N8WWM was threatened with being shot, and
his wife
> and child raped. This was a few days ago.
>
> I think he is asinine, sometimes funny, and a pest. BUT I agree with
one thing
> he said: Threats of violence are way over the line.
> That kind of thing will also get you in FEDERAL trouble bigtime. A
guy got
> killed as a result of a flame war on here a few years ago. They take
that stuff
> very seriously.
>
I AGREE WITH YOU 100%
HE IS A PAIN IN THE ASS AND I ALSO WISH HE WOULD GO AWAY BUT, I DONT
WANT HIM DEAD!
HOW QUICKLY WE FORGET THAT THIS SAME SHIT HAPPENED YEARS AGO AND THE
GUY GOT MURDERED! LET US HOPE AND PRAY THAT NOTHING BAD HAPPENS TO
N8WWM. HE DESERVES TO BE IGNORED BUT NOT KILLED OR HARMED! AND FOR
THOSE WHO DONT KNOW..... YES THIS HAS INDEED HAPPENED ON THIS NEWSGROUP
BEFORE FOR THE SAME BULLSHIT. DIFFERENCE WAS THE END RESULT WAS DEATH!
NOT TO SCARE YOU GUYS BUT YOU HAVE TO BE CAREFUL IN SUCH A PUBLIC
FORUM. YOU NEVER REALLY KNOW WHO YOU ARE DEALING WITH AND SOME PEOPLE
FEEL SO STRONG ABOUT SOMETHING THAT THEY DO NOT MIND DYING OR GOING TO
JAIL FOR IT!
IT HAS HAPPENED BEFORE LET US PRAY IT DOES NOT HAPPEN AGAIN!!!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1778. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 18:57:09 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29779-3A352385-25@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63164

Thanks for the coffee offer, Jerry! Same goes for you if ya' ever in the 'pile. Yea, amazing ain't it? Sit too long and the bags o' sand get too heavy.

1779. Re: Tired of this sh!t?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 19:03:27 UTC
From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9138dq$o63$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63163

In article <20001210092019.29080.00001118@nso-cl.aol.com>,
icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD (COLD as ICE) wrote:
> In article <KGxY5.1132$Wd.552915@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>, "Major Tom"
> <ground@control.com> writes:
>
> >No thats just it the cb people arent enamoured with hams do you see
any
> >running to the ham NG and pulling the same pussy hide behind the
> >mile/keyboard mentality as you are doing here trying to get a rise
out of
> >the general population on the NG trust me in time you will go and
another
> >jerk-off will take your place I can guarantee that, it happens all
the time
> >you are no different.
>
> So true! I have been here since the beginning and I can guarantee
that! New
> jerks will come they always do. And isn't it funny that cbers really
are not at
> all interested in hams or going to the many ham groups. Funny because
the cb
> guys only have this group but there are several ham groups to choose
from.
> Why is it that cbers are not interested in hams, but hams are
interested in
> cbers???

GOOD POINT! WHY IS IT THAT ONE IS INTERESTED IN THE OTHER BUT THE OTHER
IS NOT?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1780. HEY 257 On the wheels!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 19:07:38 UTC
From: oneqck76ta@aol.com.com.com
Message-ID: <20001211140738.04459.00001350@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 63162

257, You were shure make alot of noise on this end today! Great sounding setup ya got and nice talking at ya and have a safe happly holidays. '459' Minneapolis and I'm reading the mail

Later,
oneqck76ta@aol.com
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta

You've got "459" out of Minneapolis Minnesota and I'm reading the mail

**********Hippie Freak**********
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta/cbradio

1781. Re: First it was Mark Fullerbull, then Joe Ty, Hightower, Kapoopski, Leland no-code, and now a whole new batch of Rambos

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 19:16:00 UTC
From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <913958opp1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63166

In article <wI6Z5.4964$925.245753@news.direcpc.com>,
"Stervarino" <captain@navy.org> wrote:
> Ill just bet these guys are haunting the crap out of their police
> departments begging them to be volunteers to enforce this new law.
>
> SQAUD ROOM: Ok guys listen up; Johnson you take the10 kilo cocaine
shipment;
> Harris you take the jewelry store heist; Smith you're on that mob
killing
> and Voobner, well, you follow that guy with the amp in his car and
when your
> done get back here and clean that shithouse.
>
>

ROTFLMAO!!!!!!!!

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1782. Re: First it was Mark Fullerbull, then Joe Ty, Hightower, Kapoopski, Leland no-code, and now a whole new batch of Rambos

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 19:26:41 UTC
From: "Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com>
Message-ID: <9139p7$pb1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63169

Hahahahahahahaha thats too shitty !!! LMAO

MR.PAIN&#65533;

In article <913958opp1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com> wrote:
> In article <wI6Z5.4964$925.245753@news.direcpc.com>,
> "Stervarino" <captain@navy.org> wrote:
> > Ill just bet these guys are haunting the crap out of their police
> > departments begging them to be volunteers to enforce this new law.
> >
> > SQAUD ROOM: Ok guys listen up; Johnson you take the10 kilo cocaine
> shipment;
> > Harris you take the jewelry store heist; Smith you're on that mob
> killing
> > and Voobner, well, you follow that guy with the amp in his car and
> when your
> > done get back here and clean that shithouse.
> >
> >
>
> ROTFLMAO!!!!!!!!
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

--

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1783. WTS:D-104 Silver Eagle Kenwood MC-60 Desk Mikes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 19:27:45 UTC
From: Bootlegger <jcgarand@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <91369hsdt1@ns2.htc.net>
Source record: 63167

WTS: D-104 Silver Eagle Microphone. Used in excellent condition $55.00 shipped
Kenwood MC-60 Desk Microphone. Used in excellent condition $65.00 shipped.

Email me for more info.

Bob Young
N9MSS

1784. Why N8WWM is like a deadkeyer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 19:27:48 UTC
From: ch15a@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001211142748.26571.00004214@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 63165

N8wwwm is like a deadkeyer because:

Each time you let him know that you are paying attention to what he says, he just is encouraged to say more.

The easiest way to make him go away is to refuse to acknowledge that you even know he is posting/transmitting.

Deadkeyers are usually juvenile newbies who have personality problems and can't get notice from people in normal ways.

Why do you care what a ham-ster thinks anyways. Who died and made him King of the newsgroup?

1785. Re: Why is it that Tech's are the biggest complainers about CB amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 19:36:06 UTC
From: "Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com>
Message-ID: <913ab5pqo1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63171

I agree Head , He got tired of getting his lipstick Smeared, on 11meters. He then went and got his " tech pass", once he went to 2meters, he could'nt fit in there either, because the other (and not every ham operator is this way) ham would not give him the time of the day too. So where does that leave him? rec.radio.cb harassing people, that he would not be able to, unless it's done sitting at a keyboard!! MR.PAIN&#65533;

In article <29778-3A351DE5-44@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
> It tells me that the real hammies don't accept the techie class,
> either. They aren't accepted by what they thought, so they take out
> their inadequacies and shortcomings by returning to their roots, that
> somehow, in their mentally capacitance challenged lives, lends their
> malnourished egos a temporary fix for what ails 'em! My apologies to
the
> new techs out there that conduct themselves with respect.
>
>

--

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1786. Davis RF 50 ohm low loss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 19:38:40 UTC
From: Alan Martin <chief007@home.com>
Message-ID: <3A352DB2.2F4EF286@home.com>
Source record: 63168

Well I appreciate the info and will be getting the LMR when I get my tower up. I found after posting that when my house was re-sided a few weeks back the contractor bent the coax at a sharp angle. after straightening i got it back to 1.5/1 so it will do till spring.(its snowing like crazy right now)where is a good source for the LMR?

AL Martin

1787. FS: Realistic TRC-212 40 ch Walkie-Talkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 19:47:15 UTC
From: y@y.com
Message-ID: <3a352f43.448008772@news.seimac>
Source record: 63170

I have a Realistic TRC-212 (21-1662) for sale. This is the full size 40 channel unit with carrying case. High/low TX power switch. Around 5 watts output on high. LCD display with TX and receive meters. Great shape. Original long (around 5 feet) center loaded antenna. Has external antenna, power and charging connections. Has an 5-pin external mic connector as well. Requires 8 'AA's or 10 'AA's rechargeables and you can recharge the batteries WITHOUT taking the batteries out.

Asking only $ 45.00 (US$) INCLUDING shipping from Canada
Great deal ! ! !!
A Picture can be e-mailed.

Homer
glapierre@seimac.com

1788. Re: rec.radio.ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 19:52:15 UTC
From: WildBill <wildbill@hose.com>
Message-ID: <PfaZ5.34$Oz5.53642@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63172

I got one for you aaron start your own usenet. rec.gerbil.fucker i can guarantee you and your "pal" dougiepoo will be quite at home there

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:911icu$ej3$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> To the hard-core keydown guys, export radio and amp dealers, swing mod
> techs, freeband clubbers, radio pirates and HF bootleggers:
>
> I have a solution to all this unpleasantness.
>
> Why not apply to Usenet for your own special little newsgroup? Call it
> rec.radio.illegal. Since your charter would state that topics are to be
> strictly confined to illegal aspects of radio, you could post there and
> be within your rights not to be challenged. Heck, you could even have a
> moderator to keep everybody in line (or out of line, as it were).
>
> Thinking of you,
> Aaron Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1789. Re: First it was Mark Fullerbull, then Joe Ty, Hightower, Kapoopski, Leland no-code, and now a whole new bat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 19:57:15 UTC
From: oneqck76ta@aol.com.com.com
Message-ID: <20001211145715.20411.00004502@ng-bj1.aol.com>
Source record: 63173

>In article <wI6Z5.4964$925.245753@news.direcpc.com>,
> "Stervarino" <captain@navy.org> wrote:
>> Ill just bet these guys are haunting the crap out of their police
>> departments begging them to be volunteers to enforce this new law.
>>
>> SQAUD ROOM: Ok guys listen up; Johnson you take the10 kilo cocaine
>shipment;
>> Harris you take the jewelry store heist; Smith you're on that mob
>killing
>> and Voobner, well, you follow that guy with the amp in his car and
>when your
>> done get back here and clean that shithouse.
>>
>>
>
>ROTFLMAO!!!!!!!!
>
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.
>
>
>

ROFLMAO!! Good one!!

Later,
oneqck76ta@aol.com
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta

You've got "459" out of Minneapolis Minnesota and I'm reading the mail

**********Hippie Freak**********
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta/cbradio

1790. FS: BRAND NEW 2 TUBER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 19:59:27 UTC
From: rh3333@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001211145927.20864.00005050@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 63174

I have a brand new 2 tuber - with 2 each 3-500Z Graphite tubes which was built for me to be very 'narrow banded', to cut out most of the bleed over. Unit has a built in keying curcuit so you do not need a foot key. Needs about 50-60 watts for dead key + 300-400 watts of swang to get the maximum output. Unit was build by Mr. FM, in Florida. $1650
Serious Email inquiries only PLEASE

1791. Re: Tired of this Illegal sh!t?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 20:00:33 UTC
From: WildBill <wildbill@hose.com>
Message-ID: <BnaZ5.39$uI1.53039@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63175

are they cbers ? No I don't think so we dont give a flying hoot about hams

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:9122t3$ql5$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <KGxY5.1132$Wd.552915@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
> "Major Tom" <ground@control.com> wrote:
> > No thats just it the cb people arent enamoured with hams do you see
> >any running to the ham NG and pulling the same pussy hide behind the
> > mile/keyboard mentality as you are doing here
>
> Reality Check:
>
> rec.radio.amateur is loaded with anonymous illegals flaming the hams.
>
> Aaron
>
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1792. Re: rec.radio.ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 20:12:21 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211151221.04473.00000012@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 63176

>Subject: Re: rec.radio.ILLEGAL
"WildBill" wildbill@Billlovesdahose.com, while fantasizing about methods to increase his IQ to high double digits, drooled a spit and rabbit turd mixture on his keyboard as he spewed:

>I got one for you aaron start your own usenet. rec.gerbil.fucker i can
>guarantee you and your "pal" dougiepoo will be quite at home there
>

Wild Bill is on a trip. To the mental ward, I'd wager.

1793. FS: BRAND NEW 2 TUBER ILLEGAL AMPLIFIER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 20:14:49 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211151449.04473.00000013@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 63177

>From: rh3333@aol.com (RH3333)
>Date: 12/11/2000 14:59 PM Eastern Standard Time

>I have a brand new 2 tuber - with 2 each 3-500Z Graphite tubes which was
>built
>for me to be very 'narrow banded', to cut out most of the bleed over. Unit
>has a built in keying curcuit so you do not need a foot key. Needs about
>50-60
>watts for dead key + 300-400 watts of swang to get the maximum output. Unit
>was build by Mr. FM, in Florida. $1650
>Serious Email inquiries only PLEASE
>
>

That is ILLEGAL. You will likely get a serious inquiry, but not to purchase.

1794. FS: LARGE CERMANIC TRANSMITTING TUBES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 20:25:51 UTC
From: rh3333@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001211152551.20864.00005053@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 63178

I have the following transmitting tubes available
4 - 4CX1500B - PULL - $395
2 - 4CX1000A7 NEW - $495
1 - 3CX1200A NEW - $450
1 - 3CPX5000A - PULL - $525
1 - 3CX10,000A7 - PULL - $850
1 - 3CX15,000H3 - REBUILT/PULL - $900
1 - 3CW20,000A7- NEW - $1400
1 - 4CX15,000A7 - PULL - $900

2 - 3CX800A7- ONE/MATCH PAIR - NEW - $295/EACH or $650/PAIR

10 - 4PR1000A- - PULLS GUARANTEED NOT TO BE DOA BUT UNTESTED
$125/EA BUY 5 GET 1 FREE
4 - SOCKETS FOR ABOVE 4-1000A/4PR1000A - $45/EA

>>>Serious inquires ONLY -please<<

1795. Why Tech's complain about ILLEGAL CB amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 20:27:34 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211152734.04473.00000014@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 63179

Mr. Pain ï¿½ registered moron
mrpain@playwithpuppies.com dribbled:
>I agree Head , He got tired of getting his lipstick Smeared, on
>11meters. He then went and got his " tech pass", once he went to
>2meters, he could'nt fit in there either, because the other (and not
>every ham operator is this way) ham would not give him the time of the
>day too. So where does that leave him? rec.radio.cb harassing people,
>that he would not be able to, unless it's done sitting at a keyboard!!
>MR.PAINï¿½<--That little R means he is a registered moron.

Hey Mr. Plain...
Call me what you want, say what you want...All you are doing is proving my point. Only the illegal guys like you and your wives here are the ones upset by the laws. I am NOT complaining. I am laughing at your pathetic antics.
By the way...I am a good op on the air. I would never pollute the radio the way geeks like you do. You and your kind are criminals.

1796. Why N8WWM is like a GOD?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 20:30:15 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211153015.04473.00000016@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 63180

You cant escape the truth of my words. You are ILLEGAL.

1797. Because you are ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 20:38:38 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211153838.04473.00000017@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 63181

>From: oneqck69er@aol.cum.cum.cum (Hippie Freak) Drooled the following
inanity:
>
>ROTFLMAO!!!!!!!!<which means ReallyOverjoyedToFeelLubeMeAssOpen ferbiz>

N8wwm DESTROYED the turkey with these words:
I am in the right no matter how much you bitch and complain. Funny how the
rabbit and squirrel turd munchers out there are all surfacing. Some damn strong
living arguements for selective breeding on here. You mouse balls are ILLEGAL.

>ROTFLMAO!!!!!!!!

1798. MORE Illegal sh!t?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 20:46:57 UTC
From: heardallthat@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001211154657.04455.00000012@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 63182

>Subject: Re: Tired of this Illegal sh!t?
>From: "WildBill" wildbill@lovesdahose.com

>are they cbers ? No I don't think so we dont give a flying hoot about hams
>

WillieBoy...You ENVY us hams.

1799. Attention egroups members

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 20:48:16 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A353D90.AAA557E8@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63183

All members must post at least once before Friday December 15, 2000.
Anyone not posting will be canned Friday night.

The lurkers will be weeded out quickly.

http://www.egroups.com/group/rec-radio-cb

1800. LARGE CERMANIC TRANSMITTING TUBES-ILLEGAL FOR CB!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 21:09:54 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211160954.22559.00000880@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 63184

>Subject: FS: LARGE CERMANIC TRANSMITTING TUBES
>From: rh3333@aol.com (RH3333)
>Date: 12/11/2000 15:25 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <20001211152551.20864.00005053@ng-fc1.aol.com>
>
>I have the following transmitting tubes available
>4 - 4CX1500B - PULL - $395
>2 - 4CX1000A7 NEW - $495
>1 - 3CX1200A NEW - $450
>1 - 3CPX5000A - PULL - $525
>1 - 3CX10,000A7 - PULL - $850
>1 - 3CX15,000H3 - REBUILT/PULL - $900
>1 - 3CW20,000A7- NEW - $1400
>1 - 4CX15,000A7 - PULL - $900
>
>2 - 3CX800A7- ONE/MATCH PAIR - NEW - $295/EACH or $650/PAIR
>
>10 - 4PR1000A- - PULLS GUARANTEED NOT TO BE DOA BUT UNTESTED
> $125/EA BUY 5 GET 1 FREE
> 4 - SOCKETS FOR ABOVE 4-1000A/4PR1000A - $45/EA
>
>>>>Serious inquires ONLY -please<<
>
>
>
>
>
>

1801. **ILLEGAL HF80-10M Amplifiers!!!**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 21:10:12 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <913frhuod1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63185

In article <l26Z5.4962$925.245735@news.direcpc.com>,
"Stervarino" <captain@navy.org> wrote:
> All of these amps are legally manufactured amateur amplifiers and it
is
> painfully obvious there are apparently many amateurs on this NG which
would
> create a viable venue for the sale of these items. One can advertise
> anything anywhere one wants too. The last time I checked Aaron there
was
> still an American flag flying over the White House so go try your
> intimidation tactics elsewhere because no one here is really
frightened by
> you or your RHollingsworth email address threats.

Steve,

Are you threatened by my posting Hollingsworth's email address?

Please don't be.

Of course this guy is free to advertise his illegal amps in the CB
newsgroup.

But who is kidding who?

We don't have to play that game where we pretend that 10 meter-enabled
HF amps designed for DC operation and advertised (heavily) in a CB
newsgroup are perfectly innocent ham gear, do we, Steve?

You know, Steve as well as I do that this guy is a businessman (not
unlike yourself) who derives needed INCOME from selling illegal goods to
CBers. When confronted with that fact, he denies it, of course.

Just like all criminals and black marketeers delude themselves that what
they're doing is just trying to make a living.

Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1802. FLRC vs **rec.radio.ILLEGAL***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 21:14:18 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <913g35$v2h$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63186

In article <3a34d399.355584@news.cet.com>,
sparky@cet.com (Sparky) wrote:
> On Mon, 11 Dec 2000 10:35:08 +0200, "oh8mfh" <oh8mfh@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Dear Aaron, that's exactly what Scott and his bunch are doing. So,
after
> >some time, all of them will have moved to the new, members-only,
> >illegals-only group. Decent Cbers and anyone else intersted in radios
can
> >stay here. Good riddance of bad rubbish - BTW: why don't they call
the new
> >group like you suggested, so nobody in his right mind would join it?
73,
>
> And then we can get back to what this NG was intended for: the
> discussion of a legitimate hobby. Who knows, maybe if the legals did
> the same on the air . . .
>
> >Gary, oh8mfh, friend of legal radio communication
>
> Sparky, FLRC

Thanks Sparky. I think you are right. Your posts are very courageous.

Aaron, FLRC

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1803. Re: Threats in rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 21:31:57 UTC
From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <913h4d$vr8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63187

In article <eJCY5.5278$4W.719534@nntp1.onemain.com>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:
>
> <aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:90um07$d42$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > In article <20001209185406.26711.00003716@ng-bk1.aol.com>,
> > timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com) wrote:
> >
> > I'm afraid I must disagree.
> >
> > You want hams (and others) to come into this newsgroup, hat in hand,
> > terrified of "offending" illegal freebanders and high powered
jammers,
> > fearing they may accidentally alienate a future ham convert.
>
> I come here for pure recreation. Jacking up an illegal who knows
full well
> he is illegal just is not a hell of a lot of fun. I've had an Amateur
> ticket for 46 years, an Extra for 25 or so, and commercial tickets.
That
> and a dollar bill will get me a coffee at Mac and Don's. There is a
time and
> place for everything: preaching Christianity on the road between
Mecca and
> Medina and 4-watt 40 channel operation on this newsgripe probably are
not
> the time and place. Repent ye sinners is not a generally effective
message.
> >
> > An "Aw shucks I don't care what frequency ya transmit on or what
power
> > ya use, long as I don't hear ya" attitude is what the illegals want
to
> > hear. And hams go along with it - because they assume 'you get more
> > flies with honey than vinegar'.
> >
> > I challenge that assumption.
>
> With all due respect I am not hunting converts. You correctly note
below:
> >
> > CBers who truly desire to upgrade to a radio hobby more substantial
than

> > CB do not need recruitment. They take proactive steps on their own to a
> > path that leads to ham radio.
>
> The path tends to be littered with those who demand repentance and a lot of
> mea culpas. Like anything else, change springs from dissatisfaction with
> the present state of affairs. For whatever reason someone decides at one
> extreme that CB is not where it's at and at the other that he is hanging
> with a bunch of losers. The latter is illustrated here on a regular basis.
> There is not a whole lot you, me, or anyone else is likely to do to change
> or accelerate the process.
>
> >
> > The hardcore illegals will never come to ham radio. And with their
> > criminal "it's OK to steal if you don't get caught" attitude, you
> > wouldn't want them if they did. So why fear "offending" them?
>
> I don't.
> >
> > Why pretend that illegal transmissions on 10 meters are OK?
>
> The village idiot knows better than that.
>
> >
> > Why fear offending people who think all rules, including those of the
> > FCC, are a joke?
> >
> > Why soft-pedal the idea that transmitting on public service, government,
> > and aircraft frequencies is dangerous and irresponsible?
>
> Forty-five meters has been going on nearly as long as I am old. I don't
> think it is necessary beyond pointing out that these are functioning
> aircraft freqs to a functioning adult without a sermon is required.
> >
> > Why look the other way when 25-32Mhz export radios are flagrantly traded
> > and sold to unlicensed individuals?
>
> You, as an unlicensed individual (at least under this name), can legally buy

> Amateur gear. Putting it on the air is a different issue. Export
radios
> are by and large jokes; there is a real question who is the gyper and
who is
> the gypee. Would you have me perform a citizen's arrest or simply
yammer
> about it?
> >
> > Why show any respect at all to people who openly violate the rules
of
> > common courtesy and respect for others by unleashing giant
> > spectrum-splattering amplifiers on the public airwaves?
>
> I would doubt that there are as many on this newsgripe doing it as
talk
> about it.
>
> >
> > Of course, even criminals have rights. They have the right of free
> > speech, and the right to post their little fantasies about freeband
> > radio and big amplifiers and such -- but they don't have the right
to go
> > unanswered.
>
> Assuming I agreed, which was more effective: the propaganda of Radio
Moscow
> or the professional news operations of the BBC?
>
> >
> > Seriously,
> > Aaron Voobner
>
> Dick NBK
>

EXCELLENT POST DICK!
I WONDER IF IT WILL GET THROUGH TO THEM?

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1804. Manufacturers of illegal amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 21:35:31 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <913hb2$65$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63188

In article <wI6Z5.4964$925.245753@news.direcpc.com>,
"Stervarino" <captain@navy.org> wrote:
> Ill just bet these guys are haunting the crap out of their police
> departments begging them to be volunteers to enforce this new law.

I don't understand why this should concern you so vitally, Steve.
Unless of course, you're doing something ILLEGAL.

Aaron H. Voobner, FLRC

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1805. Aaron Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 22:08:44 UTC
From: DX401 <lightnin24@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <913k08$ek5$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 63197

Mr. Aaron Boobner also know as the Dumb Boob continues to defecate on this newsgroup. However, him being a ham you would think he would be able to hang with his own kind. Of course, they even reject him outright and that is why he came to rec.radio.cb because the crap on the ham groups is nothing but boring geezers bitching and moaning about their gout.

1806. Re: Why Tech's complain about ILLEGAL CB amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 22:10:25 UTC
From: "Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com>
Message-ID: <913jcc$1sr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63191

But What you don't get, is If i break the Law , I'm responsible, Not You , Not your fellow Hammies, I wont do the Crime , If i cant do the time!!! So what, and Why is it any of your Business what I do, or anyone else for that matter, unless it impacts you, If I sold dope to your kids , that would impact your life. But there are millions of people out there that have no earthly Idea that anything cb-related goes on, why because it does not have an impact on their Life. So Get Over it!!! You continue to Call me Names like MORON , and other stupid little Games !!!! Like what you did to my e-mail address that was funny too !!!! Keep it up Troll !!!! You are one pathetic person who has nothing better to do with your time !! Have a good Day!!!!

MR.PAIN&#65533;

In article <20001211152734.04473.00000014@ng-cn1.aol.com>,
n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> Mr. Pain &#65533; registered moron
> mrpain@playwithpuppies.com dribbled:
> >I agree Head , He got tired of getting his lipstick Smeared, on
> >11meters. He then went and got his " tech pass", once he went to
> >2meters, he could'nt fit in there either, because the other (and not
> >every ham operator is this way) ham would not give him the time of
the
> >day too. So where does that leave him? rec.radio.cb harassing people,
> >that he would not be able to, unless it's done sitting at a
keyboard!!
> >MR.PAIN&#65533;<--That little R means he is a registered moron.
>
> Hey Mr. Plain...
> Call me what you want, say what you want...All you are doing is
proving my
> point. Only the illegal guys like you and your wives here are the
ones upset by
> the laws. I am NOT complaining. I am laughing at your pathetic
antics.
> By the way...I am a good op on the air. I would never pollute the
radio the way
> geeks like you do. You and your kind are criminals.
>
>

--

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1807. Re: Manufacturers of illegal amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 22:13:24 UTC
From: kmorris210@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001211171324.20534.00003942@ng-bj1.aol.com>
Source record: 63189

>> Ill just bet these guys are haunting the crap out of their police
>> departments begging them to be volunteers to enforce this new law.
>
>I don't understand why this should concern you so vitally, Steve.
>Unless of course, you're doing something ILLEGAL.
>
>Aaron H. Voobner, FLRC
>
>
>

I don't recall him saying he was woried.Why don't you people worry about your own problems. Or better yet, insted of talking crap call the FCC cause guess what, I run a splater box for an amp, a modifed CB RADIO so I can go to an illegal freq. or not a CB freq I should say.

Later

Some peoples kids!

1808. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 22:20:44 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a3552b7.1356647@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63190

On Mon, 11 Dec 2000 09:08:55 -0500 (EST), twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:

> I don't make threats. Don't have to. Shame that one can't talk about
>human behavior without you guys jumping all over the poster and
>mindlessly drawing the incorrect conclusion and misconstrued conception
>that one who talks about it is guilty of it.

I think the intent was clear: to intimidate. I don't intimidate.

>As I said, my door is
>always open to the golden cowards, directions as well. And, oh yea, I
>guarantee I won't run when someone comes a looking with malice in their
>heart. Coffee, a beer, or, if you can get past the dogs, whatever you
>want!

I'll be sure to pass along your invite.

Sparky, FLRC

1809. Re: First it was Mark Fullerbull, then Joe Ty, Hightower, Kapoopski, Leland no-code, and now a whole new batch of Rambos

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 22:25:03 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <913k97$gd3$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 63192

I am curious as to why you feel you have to subjugate the rest of us to your profanity. Surly your intelligence level is far beyond the level indicated in your post.

"Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com> wrote in message news:9139p7$pb1$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Hahahahahahahaha thats too shitty !!! LMAO
>
>
> MR.PAIN&#65533;

1810. Re: rec.radio.ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 22:30:01 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a3553c3.1624187@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63193

On Mon, 11 Dec 2000 09:28:42 -0500 (EST), twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:

> Don't want to transmit "anywhere we want". Just where you guys aren't!

I agree with you there. Leave the legal band to legal operators.
Create your own illegal band and corresponding ng. Need any help?

Sparky, FLRC

1811. Re: First it was Mark Fullerbull, then Joe Ty, Hightower, Kapoopski, Leland no-code, and now a whole new batch of Rambos

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 22:36:47 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a35567b.2319812@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63194

On Mon, 11 Dec 2000 17:25:03 -0500, "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com>
wrote:

>I am curious as to why you feel you have to subjugate the rest of us to your
>profanity. Surly your intelligence level is far beyond the level indicated
>in your post.
>
>
>"Mr. Pain " <mrpain@keydowns.com> wrote in message
>news:9139p7$pb1$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>> Hahahahahahahaha thats too shitty !!! LMAO
>>
>>
>> MR.PAIN

Perhaps it is a compensation for the inability to properly
communicate? That might also apply to someone that needs four thousand
watts instead of four . . .

Sparky, FLRC

1812. Re: Illegal for CB 100Watt to 1400watt HF 80-10M Amplifiers Sale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 23:09:00 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <913mq7$4td$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63195

In article <4878-3A34E754-37@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
> The same reason the hammie cops are posting here.
>
>

Twist,

You need to include in your post a quote from the post you are
answering. Otherwise your answers appear as nonsequitors.

BTW: Who are the "hammie cops"?

Aaron, FLRC

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1813. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 23:19:09 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1163-3A3560ED-50@storefull-125.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63299

No! The intent was to INFORM! This is a ng and you are trying to turn it into a circle jerk competition between you and a few other bottom feeders. A ng INFORMS. THIS is a threat; "If I ever am fortunate enough to meet one of you circle jerks, say, oh, I don't know, at DAYTON, I WILL educate y'all with some good 'ole southern hospitality". Can you now distinguish the difference between the EXAMPLES I gave? For extra credit, go back and read the post about the proper useage of the "F" word and how it is applied in proper vernacular. Apply it. English lesson over!

Pass it along? Whatever floats your boat. But instead, you may want to c'mon down and chew on some gator tail, or vice-versa. The invite stands!

1814. Re: **ILLEGAL HF80-10M Amplifiers!!!**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 23:21:17 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1164-3A35616D-11@storefull-125.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63300

And just like other stupid laws, black markets prevail.

1815. Re: Attention egroups members

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 23:22:28 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <YidZ5.4627$pH1.91094@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 63196

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3A353D90.AAA557E8@hotmail.com...
> All members must post at least once before Friday December 15, 2000.
> Anyone not posting will be canned Friday night.
>
> The lurkers will be weeded out quickly.
>
> http://www.egroups.com/group/rec-radio-cb

Count me among em, Scott.

1816. Re: ATTN: Ohio CB'ers info on N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 23:42:50 UTC
From: dukkhakoti@aol.comblahblah
Message-ID: <20001211184250.20976.00004095@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 63298

Pointing out information that is public knowledge is not illegal .

and if he thinks that he can piss off that many people and not have real world effects , he is sadly mistaken .

i do not wish him personal harm .

people can learn lessons in other ways .

i am sure people are inventive .

#22 north central illinois mobile

1817. Re: Aaron Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 23:46:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A356738.98A322B8@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63301

He's trying to become a ham. He is having trouble remembering the answers!

DX401 wrote:
>
> Mr. Aaron Boobner also know as the Dumb Boob continues to defecate on this
> newsgroup. However, him being a ham you would think he would be able to hang
> with his own kind. Of course, they even reject him outright and that is why
> he came to rec.radio.cb because the crap on the ham groups is nothing but
> boring geezers bitching and moaning about their gout.

1818. Why Tolerate Illegal CBers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 23:53:11 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <913pd4$78a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63303

Okay. I know what you're thinking. Aaron's just beating a dead horse here. But honestly, take a look at this post - from a Mr. "Pain", (I assume his CB transmissions cause others pain and he's proud of it?). Anyway, take a quick read through:

Mr. Pain mrpain@keydowns.com wrote:
<<What you don't get, is If i break the Law , I'm responsible, Not You , Not your fellow Hammies, I wont do the Crime , If i cant do the time!!! So what, and Why is it any of your Business what I do, or anyone else for that matter, unless it impacts you, If I sold dope to your kids , that would impact your life. But there are millions of people out there that have no earthly Idea that anything cb-related goes on, why because it does not have an impact on their Life. So Get Over it!!!>>

Here's the issue I'd like to bring up.

Illegals like Mr. Pain exist because they have never in their life come up against peer pressure to do otherwise. They feel it is their right to do anything they want - however antisocial, inconsiderate or harmful to others - and have nobody say anything to them about it.

Unbelievable, huh?

But it's true. This guy is positively INDIGNANT that someone dares intrude on his rights to be as bad a boy as he can. Because, up until now, nobody has intruded. Guys like him say "Don't pick on me, there's much worse in the world" etc. etc. They will make any and every excuse for their actions. They desperately want you to ignore them. They want you to feel it's hopeless to stop them. Or stupid. Or impossible. (You watch - some of the stuff they will come up with to defend themselves is inspired)

This is why the CB band in many areas is such a cesspool. Good people just don't get involved. Unless it impacts them personally, they'll "mind their own business". Which is what Mr. Pain feels is the way everyone ought to be.

So you now have a generation of radio criminals that have never before felt any peer pressure to be considerate of others or obey any rules. Because no one has ever said "hey, what you're doing is wrong".

In fact, what you've got here in rec.radio.cb is a negative peer pressure. "You're not cool if you're not operating illegally" seems to be the operative slogan.

Pretty sad, huh?

Is there any hope?

Stay tuned.

Sincerely,
Aaron Voobner
FLRC, (Friend Of Legal Radio Communications)

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1819. FS: NEW 6146b TUBES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-11 23:54:23 UTC
From: rh3333@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001211185423.02101.00002938@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 63302

NEW Jolida Brand of 6146-B tubes - $14.00/ea + shipping

1820. Re: ATTN: Ohio CB'ers info on N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 00:16:44 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211191644.19834.00003741@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 63304

>Subject: Re: ATTN: Ohio CB'ers info on N8WWM
>From: dukkhakoti@aol.comblahblah (beaker)
>Date: 12/11/2000 18:42 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <20001211184250.20976.00004095@ng-fc1.aol.com>
>
>Pointing out information that is public knowledge is not illegal .
>
>and if he thinks that he can piss off that many people and not have real
>world
>effects , he is sadly mistaken .
>
>i do not wish him personal harm .
>
>people can learn lessons in other ways .

Posting my info was not a complaint of mine. I don't care. I knew going in what would happen in that regard. I have seen it here before. This has gone on long before me, and will go on afterward. That is exactly why I pissed and moaned so much on this NG. This NG rarely allows opposing viewpoints, and it is funny to watch the jumping up and down over them.

Violent threats are over the line. If a flame war pisses me off I just don't go there.
People, you can call me anything you want. I have never threatened anyone here, this is all done for humorous purposes. It has been gleefully "done back" to me as well. I don't care, I laughed.

Whatever your feeling about my posts, they threaten no one, and no one has a right to make violent threats on the NG, or anywhere for that matter.

Don't hand me this "that's what you get" bullcrap. That is a totally unreasoned arguement, and bases itself on the idea that there is some right in this world to cause harm to others.

Anyone is welcome to come to my home. Just come with peaceful intent or be prepared. Also, will respond intelligently and in a non-sarcastic manner to anyone who wishes to attempt to justify the pro-Illegal point of view.

Think or say anything you want about me; it is water off a duck's back. I can take it and dish it out, so who gives a rats rectum?

As for people with this violent threat mentality: Think before you post. The ass you save may be your own.

1821. Re: (FS) Dave Made 5Pillp-ILLEGAL DEVICE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 00:19:01 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211191901.19834.00003742@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 63305

><bubblepuppy73@my-deja.com> wrote in message
>news:90llvg$b3h$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>> In article <BHhX5.14854$i4.41901@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>,
>> "Mod Squad" <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote:
>> > The only reason you don't have your 16pill is because you both didn't
>> tell
>> > me the truth and i felt you deserve each other. Son i let it be.
>> Aloha
>> >
>> > <bubblepuppy73@my-deja.com> wrote in message
>> > news:90jve4$1hp$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>> > > In article <01c05f00$25d61640$ee686f40@eniac>,
>> > > "Bill Eitner" <kd6tas@netzero.net> wrote:
>> > > > Watch out for ads like this. I just got ripped off to
>> > > > the tune of $400 by some guy in Arkansas that used a
>> > > > screen name and was advertising a Dave 5-pill for $300.
>> > > > To get my money he upped the ante to a Galaxy 99 and
>> > > > the 5-pill for $400. And now, on top of that, I get
>> > > > crank phone calls from the guy.
>> > > > --
>> > > > bigmanmoney@my-deja.com wrote in article
>> > > <90c9mj$4rm$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>> > > > > Dave Made 5pill with side band for sale. The box is in good
>> shape.
>> > > > > Asking $300.00 you pay the shipping.
>> > > > >
>> > > > >
>> > > > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>> > > > > Before you buy.
>> > > > >
>> > > >
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > Hi Bill. I read your post and just had to throw my comments in. I
>> sent
>> > > a guy in Hawaii $750.00 for a 16 pill box and after all the
>> bullshit,
>> > > and lies , you guessed it , I

1822. Re: 100Watt to 1400watt HF 80-10M Amplifiers Sale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 00:21:52 UTC
From: ripsy@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001211192152.21074.00004243@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 63306

don't worr,y at those price's no one will buy them anyways!!

1823. Why Tech's complain about ILLEGAL CB amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 00:22:09 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <913r3a$8g7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63307

In article <%07Z5.4965$925.245939@news.direcpc.com>,
"Stervarino" <captain@navy.org> wrote:
> Technician class operators are at the bottom rung of the amateur
license
> scale and they most likely have some radio roots to CB in the past.
Why is
> it that every couple of years some of these Techs are compelled to
come to
> this NG and attempt to enforce some law by starting an ill conceived
> enforcement campaign?>>

Steve,

Why are you so concerned with an enforcement campaign? Why would you
want to prevent an enforcement of CB rules?

Aaron, FLRC

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1824. Re: Why Tolerate Illegal CBers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 00:26:05 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22927-3A35709D-19@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63312

No one EVER said to us, "thats wrong"? LMAO! I told you guys not to give yourselves so much credit. What? You think you guys are the FIRST in all these years to say this? LMAO! You proved my earlier post Voobner!

1825. Re: Illegal for CB 100Watt to 1400watt HF 80-10M Amplifiers Sale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 00:30:12 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22926-3A357194-46@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63313

You asked why he was posting his stuff for sale in here Vooob, and I replied the same reasons the hammie cops post in here. Because he wants to. You know who the hammie cops are.

1826. NEW 6146b TUBES-ILLEGAL ON CB BAND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 00:30:14 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211193014.19834.00003745@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 63308

True.

1827. Re: First it was Mark Fullerbull, then Joe Ty, Hightower, Kapoopski, Leland no-code,ALL LEGAL OPS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 00:32:01 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211193201.19834.00003746@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 63309

true

1828. Do NOT Tolerate Illegal CBers!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 00:33:21 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211193321.19834.00003747@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 63310

Report them, tape them, RAT OUT the amp builders.

POST YOUR RAT OUTS TO FCCHAM@FCC.GOV

1829. ILLEGAL 100Watt to 1400watt HF 80-10M Amplifiers Sale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 00:33:49 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211193349.19834.00003748@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 63311

```
>Subject: Re: 100Watt to 1400watt HF 80-10M Amplifiers Sale!
>From: ripsy@aol.com (Ripsy)
>Date: 12/11/2000 19:21 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <20001211192152.21074.00004243@ng-fc1.aol.com>
>
>don't worr,y at those price's no one will buy them anyways!!
>
>
>
>
>
>
```

1830. Re: Aaron Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 01:09:20 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t3aum58onvsd14@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 63314

He is not a Ham. He is studying to become a Half-Ham.

Jeff

"DX401" <lightnin24@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:913k08$ek5$1@dagobah.blueriver.net...
> Mr. Aaron Boobner also know as the Dumb Boob continues to defecate on this
> newsgroup. However, him being a ham you would think he would be able to
hang
> with his own kind. Of course, they even reject him outright and that is
why
> he came to rec.radio.cb because the crap on the ham groups is nothing but
> boring geezers bitching and moaning about their gout.
>
>

1831. Re: Why Tolerate Illegal CBers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 01:10:48 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <913tukaqq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63315

In article <913pd4$78a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:
> Okay. I know what you're thinking. Aaron's just beating a dead horse
> here. But honestly, take a look at this post - from a Mr. "Pain", (I
> assume his CB transmissions cause others pain and he's proud of it?).
> Anyway, take a quick read through:
>
> Mr. Pain • mrpain@keydowns.com wrote:
> <<What you don't get, is If i break the Law , I'm responsible, Not
> You , Not your fellow Hammies, I wont do the Crime , If i cant do the
> time!!! So what, and Why is it any of your Business what I do, or
> anyone else for that matter, unless it impacts you, If I sold dope to
> your kids , that would impact your life. But there are millions of
> people out there that have no earthly Idea that anything cb-related
> goes on, why because it does not have an impact on their Life. So Get
> Over it!!!>>
>
> Here's the issue I'd like to bring up.
>
> Illegals like Mr. Pain exist because they have never in their life
come
> up against peer pressure to do otherwise. They feel it is their right
> to do anything they want - however antisocial, inconsiderate or
harmful
> to others - and have nobody say anything to them about it.
>
> Unbelievable, huh?
>
> But it's true. This guy is positively INDIGNANT that someone dares
> intrude on his rights to be as bad a boy as he can. Because, up until
> now, nobody has intruded. Guys like him say "Don't pick on me,
there's
> much worse in the world" etc. etc. They will make any and every excuse
> for their actions. They desperately want you to ignore them. They
want
> you to feel it's hopeless to stop them. Or stupid. Or impossible. (You
> watch - some of the stuff they will come up with to defend themselves
is
> inspired)
>
> This is why the CB band in many areas is such a cesspool. Good people
> just don't get involved. Unless it impacts them personally, they'll
> "mind their own business". Which is what Mr. Pain feels is the way

> everyone ought to be.
>
> So you now have a generation of radio criminals that have never before
> felt any peer pressure to be considerate of others or obey any rules.
> Because no one has ever said "hey, what you're doing is wrong".
>
> In fact, what you've got here in rec.radio.cb is a negative peer
> pressure. "You're not cool if you're not operating illegally" seems to
> be the operative slogan.
>
> Pretty sad, huh?
>
> Is there any hope?
>
> Stay tuned.
>
> Sincerely,
> Aaron Voobner
> FLRC, (Friend Of Legal Radio Communications)
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

boobie , the only peer pressure i fell is the fact that certain mudducks try to get underneath my carrier, and that my friend, i will not allow,.....cd is out

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1832. Re: First it was Mark Fullerbull, then Joe Ty, Hightower, Kapoopski, Leland no-code, and now a whole new batch of Rambos

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 01:13:18 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <913u3b$b11$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63316

In article <913k97$gd3$1@iac5.navix.net>,
"Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
> I am curious as to why you feel you have to subjugate the rest of us
to your
> profanity. Surly your intelligence level is far beyond the level
indicated
> in your post.
>
> "Mr. Pain ☹" <mrpain@keydowns.com> wrote in message
> news:9139p7$pb1$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > Hahahahahahahaha thats too shitty !!! LMAO
> >
> >
> > MR.PAIN☹
>
>

no i am curious,..... slick, don't worry about it, he has always been
that way, heck, if you weren't hanging around here, you wouldn't see
it. by the way, why are you here??????????,.....cd is out...

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1833. Re: Why is it that Tech's are the biggest complainers about CB amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 01:21:00 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
Message-ID: <3A357D31.BED90448@home.com>
Source record: 63317

More techs complain because there are proportionately more techs than other classes. I complain too, but I'm an extra, so I complain less than the techs.

Stervarino wrote:

> Technician class operators are at the bottom rung of the amateur license
> scale and they most likely have some radio roots to CB in the past. Why is
> it that every couple of years some of these Techs are compelled to come to
> this NG and attempt to enforce some law by starting an ill conceived
> enforcement campaign?
>
> Wouldnt their time be better spent learning more about amateur radio and
> accelling up their own license classes?
>
> If you search the past NG posting's you''ll find that 90% of the CB NG
> flamers are Technician class license holders. Its painfully obvious that the
> higher class license holders dont consider CB amps a great threat because
> they either dont care or they dont have the time. What does this tell you?

1834. Re: Aaron Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 01:21:05 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001211202105.22707.00001039@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 63318

>Subject: Re: Aaron Boobner
>From: "Jeff Mayner" bk43@pacbell.net
>Date: 12/11/2000 20:09 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <t3aum58onvsd14@news.supernews.com>
>
>He is not a Ham. He is studying to become a Half-Ham.
>
>Jeff
>
>"DX401" <lightnin24@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:913k08$ek5$1@dagobah.blueriver.net...
"DX401" <lightnin24@hotmail.com continues to eat defecation on this
>> newsgroup. However, him being a ham you would think he would be able to
>hang
>> with his own kind. Of course, they even reject him outright and that is
>why
>> he came to rec.radio.cb because the crap on the ham groups is nothing but
>> boring geezers bitching and moaning about their gout.
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1835. Re: Attention egroups members

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 01:21:15 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <913ui7$b9d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63319

In article <3A353D90.AAA557E8@hotmail.com>,
"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
> All members must post at least once before Friday December 15, 2000.
> Anyone not posting will be canned Friday night.
>
> The lurkers will be weeded out quickly.
>
> http://www.egroups.com/group/rec-radio-cb
>

and you sir are a dictator,....cd is out.....

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1836. Re: Illegals Complain About Being Mistreated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 01:21:34 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <913uiq$b9g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63321

In article <913u3b$b11$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:
> no i am curious,..... slick, don't worry about it, he has always been
> that way, heck, if you weren't hanging around here, you wouldn't see
> it. by the way, why are you here??????????,.....cd is out...
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

So let's hear the excuses about why you need to operate illegally.

Aaron,
FLRC

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1837. Re: Aaron Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 01:23:52 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <913un4bhu1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63320

In article <3A356738.98A322B8@hotmail.com>,
"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
> He's trying to become a ham. He is having trouble remembering the
answers!
>
> DX401 wrote:
> >
> > Mr. Aaron Boobner also know as the Dumb Boob continues to defecate
on this
> > newsgroup. However, him being a ham you would think he would be
able to hang
> > with his own kind. Of course, they even reject him outright and
that is why
> > he came to rec.radio.cb because the crap on the ham groups is
nothing but
> > boring geezers bitching and moaning about their gout.
>

heck all he has to do is get a couple of his butthole good buddy
generals to sign the slip saying he passed. piece of cake. hell, how do
you think a lot of these idiots get to be hamsters, they
cheat,.....cd is out....

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1838. Illegal Ops Fear Aaron Voobner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 01:25:52 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <913uqsbkc1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63326

In article <913k08$ek5$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>,
"DX401" <lightnin24@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Mr. Aaron Boobner also know as the Dumb Boob continues to defecate on
this
> newsgroup. However, him being a ham you would think he would be able
to hang
> with his own kind. Of course, they even reject him outright and that
is why
> he came to rec.radio.cb because the crap on the ham groups is nothing
but
> boring geezers bitching and moaning about their gout.
>
>

I am not yet a ham but will be soon. And I will operate legally. And someday I hope to turn on the CB and not hear jammers and foul-mouthed kids equipped with amplifiers sold to them by men with no conscience.

Aaron,
FLRC

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1839. Re: 27.555 USB is ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 01:35:24 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <whfZ5.136334$U46.4561818@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 63322

IGNORE HIM

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:911iv0$f08$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
27.555 MHZ is assigned to North Island Naval Air Station Base Utility
"India".

If you saw a military vehicle with the keys in it and nobody seemed to
be using it, would you steal it?

Why steal their frequency then?

Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1840. Re: rec.radio.ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 01:35:39 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <LhfZ5.136336$U46.4561787@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 63325

IGNORE HIM

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:911icu$ej3$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
To the hard-core keydown guys, export radio and amp dealers, swing mod techs, freeband clubbers, radio pirates and HF bootleggers:

I have a solution to all this unpleasantness.

Why not apply to Usenet for your own special little newsgroup? Call it rec.radio.illegal. Since your charter would state that topics are to be strictly confined to illegal aspects of radio, you could post there and be within your rights not to be challenged. Heck, you could even have a moderator to keep everybody in line (or out of line, as it were).

Thinking of you,
Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1841. Re: Multi-Use Radio Service (MURS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 01:35:47 UTC
From: N9NWO <2121d@gte.net>
Message-ID: <gj0b3t0d1epbetgsvsj3rind9ulvptb35r@4ax.com>
Source record: 63324
Cross-posted to: misc.survivlism,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 10 Dec 2000 01:04:14 -0800, Sierra_Madre
<Sierra_Madre@hidden-mountain.com> wrote:

:Multi-Use Radio Service (MURS)
:
:
:Is MURS "VHF CB"?
:
:Yes. MURS is the only "VHF Citizens Band" in the United States
:available for general two-way voice, data and image
:communications.
:
:MURS is one of five Citizens Band Radio Services.
:
:What are the MURS channels?
:
: Channel (MHz)
:
: 151.820 MHz
: 151.880 MHz
: 151.940 MHz
: 154.570 MHz
: 154.600 MHz

The old color dot freqs

:Do I need a license to operate a MURS unit?
:
:No license will be issued. You are allowed to operate a
:MURS unit if you are not a representative of a foreign government,
:and if you cooperate in the selection and use of channels in order to
:reduce interference to others.
:
:
:http://www.provide.net/~prsg/murshome.htm

Big deal, I posted the same info a month ago.

1842. Re: FLRC vs **rec.radio.ILLEGAL***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 01:36:00 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <4ifZ5.136339$U46.4561506@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 63323

IGNORE HIM

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:913g35$v2h$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
In article <3a34d399.355584@news.cet.com>,
sparky@cet.com (Sparky) wrote:
> On Mon, 11 Dec 2000 10:35:08 +0200, "oh8mfh" <oh8mfh@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Dear Aaron, that's exactly what Scott and his bunch are doing. So,
after
> >some time, all of them will have moved to the new, members-only,
> >illegals-only group. Decent Cbers and anyone else intersted in radios
can
> >stay here. Good riddance of bad rubbish - BTW: why don't they call
the new
> >group like you suggested, so nobody in his right mind would join it?
73,
>
> And then we can get back to what this NG was intended for: the
> discussion of a legitimate hobby. Who knows, maybe if the legals did
> the same on the air . . .
>
> >Gary, oh8mfh, friend of legal radio communication
>
> Sparky, FLRC

Thanks Sparky. I think you are right. Your posts are very courageous.

Aaron, FLRC

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1843. Re: Why Tolerate Illegal CBers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 01:44:14 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <913vtdcfm1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63327

In article <22927-3A35709D-19@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
> No one EVER said to us, "thats wrong"? LMAO! I told you guys not to
give
> yourselves so much credit. What? You think you guys are the FIRST in
all
> these years to say this? LMAO! You proved my earlier post Voobner!
>

The fact that someone told you it was wrong and you ignored it only
proves you're stubborn. So go ahead and explain to me about your right
to operate illegally. I'm all ears.

Aaron H. Voobner, FLRC

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1844. Re: Why is it that Tech's are the biggest complainers about CB amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 01:47:50 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <erfZ5.4661$pH1.120300@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 63264

"Stervarino" <captain@navy.org> wrote in message
news:%07Z5.4965$925.245939@news.direcpc.com...
> Technician class operators are at the bottom rung of the amateur license
> scale and they most likely have some radio roots to CB in the past. Why is
> it that every couple of years some of these Techs are compelled to come to
> this NG and attempt to enforce some law by starting an ill conceived
> enforcement campaign?
>
> Wouldnt their time be better spent learning more about amateur radio and
> accelling up their own license classes?
>
> If you search the past NG posting's you''ll find that 90% of the CB NG
> flamers are Technician class license holders. Its painfully obvious that
the
> higher class license holders dont consider CB amps a great threat because
> they either dont care or they dont have the time. What does this tell you?
>
>

I am not about to argue with what is a simple observation of fact. What I am going to argue with is the interpretation of this 'fact' by some in Pain with Twisted Heads. It starts with the misconception on both sides that CB is in some manner a subset of Amateur Radio; it is not, any more than it or Amateur Radio is in any sense a subset of commercial or Military communication, neither of which has a lot to do with broadcast services. There is no inherent conflict or competition but neither is there a whole lot of commonality. Reasoning on one service from one's experience in the other is not the path to wisdom.

The Tech license covers a multitude of sins ranging from the microwave experimenter thru EME thru satellite junkies to 'bring-home-bread-and-milk' wives and a way to use VHF for hunting trips with the 14-year old. It is not and never was a 'gateway' class to Amateur radio. Joining the auxiliary is not the way to join the American Legion or VFW.

Not that it was not thought of as such or even advertised as such on both sides of the divide. And here lies the problem. And it is not a new one although a Novice at least had some more truth in advertising. Licensing looks the same, no clue there; much like MURS being CB for reasons of non-licensed convenience to the FCC and no better reason than that.

So the codeless Tech is not considered in general to be part of the fraternity although they can serve a purpose in a club much like the auxiliary. Some of us nicer guys do recognize that they wandered in from the cold and try to warm them up a bit with a bit of soup but the next step

is theirs, not ours.

If the next step is to regale us with bowl stories and imply that it is our job to accept him, we introduce him to the last four and suggest they start a poker game. If he comes out of the Broadcast Service we introduce him to the program committee chair and hustle him for a tour of the station. Equal opportunity grumps us old Extras. If he is in the service business, he gets put to work on the QRP project which is more than likely a CW transceiver which both gives us someone who can solder and delivers the hint that one joins the fraternity these days at 5wpm. Hey man, we are almost as good as Southern Baptists at separating sheep and goats.

If we get a lot of codeless drivel, even though a good number agree with him, he will be chalked up as an idiot for no better reason that we have someone at war with reality. Wandering in with your hat on backwards and some remnants of pubic hair around one's chin probably isn't a great way to win friends and influence people either. Spare the attitude, OK?

So yes, if the original purpose of getting the Codeless Tech was a status game, it will fail miserably at the local radio club. So it is no giant surprise that it becomes a status game on 11 meters, out of default if nothing else. Now that anyone can buy a rig to talk to the drive-up at Mac and Don's, what the hell else is there left to do?

There is no proselyte like a convert. But even this does not explain WWM or for that matter the good Mr. Voobner (who I do not believe to be real).

Your conclusion re amps and OTs is again based on experience from another service. Those of us who even know what they are (most amateurs run barefoot at 100-200 watts quite happily) find them more hilarious than dangerous. Most importantly, we have learned years ago that one does not change behavior thru criticism or crusades. Or for that matter regulations.

Time to go do a bit of ditty-bopping.

Dick McCollum NBK

1845. Re: Threats in rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 01:55:54 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <9140ja$942@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 63329

Take it to the mountain top. Those interested will follow. But do the rest of us a favor and stop littering this NG with your dribble.

"BRAND SPANKIN' NEW" <brandnew@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:913h4d$vr8$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <eJCY5.5278$4W.719534@nntp1.onemain.com>,
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:
> >
> > <aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> > news:90um07$d42$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > > In article <20001209185406.26711.00003716@ng-bk1.aol.com>,
> > > timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com) wrote:
> > >
> > > I'm afraid I must disagree.
> > >
> > > You want hams (and others) to come into this newsgroup, hat in hand,
> > > terrified of "offending" illegal freebanders and high powered
> jammers,
> > > fearing they may accidentally alienate a future ham convert.
> >
> > I come here for pure recreation. Jacking up an illegal who knows
> full well
> > he is illegal just is not a hell of a lot of fun. I've had an Amateur
> > ticket for 46 years, an Extra for 25 or so, and commercial tickets.
> That
> > and a dollar bill will get me a coffee at Mac and Don's. There is a
> time and
> > place for everything: preaching Christianity on the road between
> Mecca and
> > Medina and 4-watt 40 channel operation on this newsgripe probably are
> not
> > the time and place. Repent ye sinners is not a generally effective
> message.
> >
> > An "Aw shucks I don't care what frequency ya transmit on or what
> power
> > ya use, long as I don't hear ya" attitude is what the illegals want
> to
> > hear. And hams go along with it - because they assume 'you get more
> > flies with honey than vinegar'.
> >
> > I challenge that assumption.
> >

> > With all due respect I am not hunting converts. You correctly note
> below:
> > >
> > > CBers who truly desire to upgrade to a radio hobby more substantial
> than
> > > CB do not need recruitment. They take proactive steps on their own
> to a
> > > path that leads to ham radio.
> >
> > The path tends to be littered with those who demand repentance and a
> lot of
> > mea culpas. Like anything else, change springs from dissatisfaction
> with
> > the present state of affairs. For whatever reason someone decides at
> one
> > extreme that CB is not where it's at and at the other that he is
> hanging
> > with a bunch of losers. The latter is illustrated here on a regular
> basis.
> > There is not a whole lot you, me, or anyone else is likely to do to
> change
> > or accelerate the process.
> >
> > >
> > > The hardcore illegals will never come to ham radio. And with their
> > > criminal "it's OK to steal if you don't get caught" attitude, you
> > > wouldn't want them if they did. So why fear "offending" them?
> >
> > I don't.
> > >
> > > Why pretend that illegal transmissions on 10 meters are OK?
> >
> > The village idiot knows better than that.
> >
> > >
> > > Why fear offending people who think all rules, including those of
> the
> > > FCC, are a joke?
> > >
> > > Why soft-pedal the idea that transmitting on public service,
> government,
> > > and aircraft frequencies is dangerous and irresponsible?
> >
> > Forty-five meters has been going on nearly as long as I am old. I
> don't
> > think it is necessary beyond pointing out that these are functioning
> > aircraft freqs to a functioning adult without a sermon is required.
> > >
> > > Why look the other way when 25-32Mhz export radios are flagrantly

> traded
> > > and sold to unlicensed individuals?
> >
> > You, as an unlicensed individual (at least under this name), can
> legally buy
> > Amateur gear. Putting it on the air is a different issue. Export
> radios
> > are by and large jokes; there is a real question who is the gyper and
> who is
> > the gypee. Would you have me perform a citizen's arrest or simply
> yammer
> > about it?
> > >
> > > Why show any respect at all to people who openly violate the rules
> of
> > > common courtesy and respect for others by unleashing giant
> > > spectrum-splattering amplifiers on the public airwaves?
> >
> > I would doubt that there are as many on this newsgripe doing it as
> talk
> > about it.
> >
> > >
> > > Of course, even criminals have rights. They have the right of free
> > > speech, and the right to post their little fantasies about freeband
> > > radio and big amplifiers and such -- but they don't have the right
> to go
> > > unanswered.
> >
> > Assuming I agreed, which was more effective: the propaganda of Radio
> Moscow
> > or the professional news operations of the BBC?
> >
> > >
> > > Seriously,
> > > Aaron Voobner
> >
> > Dick N&#65533;BK
> >
>
> EXCELLENT POST DICK!
> I WONDER IF IT WILL GET THROUGH TO THEM?
>
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1846. Re: Aaron Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 01:59:38 UTC
From: N8wwm <n8wwm@aol.comnospam>
Message-ID: <3A35868A.96E12A53@aol.comnospam>
Source record: 63328

Why are you responding to me? I thought I was a dictator?

curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> In article <3A356738.98A322B8@hotmail.com>,
> "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > He's trying to become a ham. He is having trouble remembering the
> answers!
> >
> > DX401 wrote:
> > >
> > > Mr. Aaron Boobner also know as the Dumb Boob continues to defecate
> on this
> > > newsgroup. However, him being a ham you would think he would be
> able to hang
> > > with his own kind. Of course, they even reject him outright and
> that is why
> > > he came to rec.radio.cb because the crap on the ham groups is
> nothing but
> > > boring geezers bitching and moaning about their gout.
> >
>
> heck all he has to do is get a couple of his butthole good buddy
> generals to sign the slip saying he passed. piece of cake. hell, how do
> you think a lot of these idiots get to be hamsters, they
> cheat,.......cd is out....
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1847. Re: Aaron Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 01:59:50 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <9140ru$n52$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 63330

Normally I let most misinformation pass, yours deserves special comment. First in order to get a license, you must take a test administered by a certified Volunteer Examiner team. (VE) consisting of 3 members. To state that one could get a license from a couple of his buddies is totally incorrect. Years ago it was possible, under the Conditional Class license, it was administered by a general class licensee if you lived more than 150 miles from an FCC office. That ended when the VE's took over the tests. Even a hint of impropriety gets full and immediate investigation, as evidenced by the scandal in P.R.

For you to suggest otherwise is irresponsible and not founded in facts.
<curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:913un4bhu1@nnrp1.deja.com...

>
> heck all he has to do is get a couple of his butthole good buddy
> generals to sign the slip saying he passed. piece of cake. hell, how do
> you think a lot of these idiots get to be hamsters, they
> cheat,.......cd is out....

1848. Re: Why Tech's complain about ILLEGAL CB amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 02:01:45 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <9140u9$c4m@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 63331

Maybe because they are out of date. 4 watt radios may have been fine when Christ wore sandals. But the needs are different today. If the regs were changed to allow higher power it might just create a stimulus to the industry to provide better cleaner gear instead of gray market imports and old chassis designs that the techs can soup up and the radio still has its type accepted sticker.

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:913r3a$8g7$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <%07Z5.4965$925.245939@news.direcpc.com>,
> "Stervarino" <captain@navy.org> wrote:
> > Technician class operators are at the bottom rung of the amateur
> license
> > scale and they most likely have some radio roots to CB in the past.
> Why is
> > it that every couple of years some of these Techs are compelled to
> come to
> > this NG and attempt to enforce some law by starting an ill conceived
> > enforcement campaign?>>
>
> Steve,
>
> Why are you so concerned with an enforcement campaign? Why would you
> want to prevent an enforcement of CB rules?
>
> Aaron, FLRC
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1849. Re: Illegals Complain About Being Mistreated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 02:24:54 UTC
From: Illegal CB Op's 4 ever <ismellass@blowme.com>
Message-ID: <W%fZ5.557$ul1.177434@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63332

Why do you feel the need to ask a stupid question. Why Because thats what he choses to do . Just like you you chose to force your will upon people who dont give a rats ass, you knowwhat i say Tell it to someone who cares.
.

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:913uiq$b9g$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <913u3b$b11$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:
> > no i am curious,..... slick, don't worry about it, he has always been
> > that way, heck, if you weren't hanging around here, you wouldn't see
> > it. by the way, why are you here??????????,.....cd is out...
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
> >
>
> So let's hear the excuses about why you need to operate illegally.
>
> Aaron,
> FLRC
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1850. Re: rec.radio.ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 02:28:35 UTC
From: Illegal CB Op's 4 ever <ismellass@blowme.com>
Message-ID: <n3gZ5.558$uI1.179291@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63333

if rec.radio.illegal was a usenet would you frequent there as well? We can
also post here and not be challenged it is a free world isnt it?

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:911icu$ej3$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> To the hard-core keydown guys, export radio and amp dealers, swing mod
> techs, freeband clubbers, radio pirates and HF bootleggers:
>
> I have a solution to all this unpleasantness.
>
> Why not apply to Usenet for your own special little newsgroup? Call it
> rec.radio.illegal. Since your charter would state that topics are to be
> strictly confined to illegal aspects of radio, you could post there and
> be within your rights not to be challenged. Heck, you could even have a
> moderator to keep everybody in line (or out of line, as it were).
>
> Thinking of you,
> Aaron Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1851. Re: Do NOT Tolerate Illegal CBers!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 02:31:37 UTC
From: The Landshark <s3buell@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <_4gZ5.2351$Fd2.141947@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 63334

Yes, be a good little storm trooper.....

The Landshark

--
It's a Buell-tiful Day for a ride
through the Canyons.

1999 Ford F-150 Super Cab
1998 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
1983 Honda 1100F
BFD #666
"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001211193321.19834.00003747@ng-ft1.aol.com...
> Report them, tape them, RAT OUT the amp builders.
>
> POST YOUR RAT OUTS TO FCCHAM@FCC.GOV
>

1852. Re: MORE Illegal sh!t?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 02:33:09 UTC
From: Wild Bill <wildbill@wild.com>
Message-ID: <F7gZ5.561$uI1.180986@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63335

No haha why should I have been a ham for 22 years what is there to envy i put my pants on the same today as i did then.

"HeardAllThat" <heardallthat@aol.com> wrote in message news:20001211154657.04455.00000012@ng-cn1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Tired of this Illegal sh!t?
> >From: "WildBill" wildbill@lovesdahose.com
>
> >are they cbers ? No I don't think so we dont give a flying hoot about hams
> >
>
> WillieBoy...You ENVY us hams.

1853. Re: Why Tech's complain about ILLEGAL CB amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 02:33:15 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <Q5gZ5.4672$pH1.129895@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 63271

"CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net> wrote in message
news:9140u9$c4m@dispatch.concentric.net...
> Maybe because they are out of date. 4 watt radios may have been fine when
> Christ wore sandals. But the needs are different today. If the regs were
> changed to allow higher power it might just create a stimulus to the
> industry to provide better cleaner gear instead of gray market imports and
> old chassis designs that the techs can soup up and the radio still has its
> type accepted sticker.
>

The four watt limit dates from the days when the first video i.f. was at
25.75 MHz and it took cast-iron cajones to work on 27 MHz. It never did
make any sense. Amateur practice on 28 was to run about 20-25 minimum. The
Gonset Communicators of the day, known as Gooney boxes, did, however, use a
modulation system that held it to 80 percent or so.

Allowing 25 would probably just make linear building a tad easier as will
the upcoming move to 36-42 volt electrical systems in cars. The biggest
problem of 11 meters is sunspots.

Dick NBK

1854. DaveMade rumor?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 02:44:56 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <9143f6$f8g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63336

The rumor about DaveMade being busted turned out to be untrue.

OK, I admit it.

Stevearino (catain@navy.org) was right.

I know nothing about this stuff. I need to learn.

Is it true DaveMade is run by a guy in New Jersey aka "Concrete Man" and
is financed by or finances a sideline cocaine business?

Is XForce the company out of Chicago run by Dennis Ostrowski and
co-owned by CoaxKid, Inc. ?

Is NoName a basement operation run by one guy in the New Orleans area?

Hope somebody can help. Thanks,

Aaron, FLRC

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1855. Re: rec.radio.ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 02:52:07 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <9143slfef1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63338

In article <n3gZ5.558$uI1.179291@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
"Illegal CB Op's 4 ever" <ismellass@blowme.com> wrote:
> if rec.radio.illegal was a usenet would you frequent there as well?

I have stated before that if you can successfully petition UseNet to
create "rec.radio.illegal" or "rec.radio.cb.illegal" I will not set foot
in it.

Aaron Voobner, FLRC

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1856. Re: Attention egroups members

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 02:53:31 UTC
From: n3qxc <n3qxc@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <LqgZ5.190535$td5.27218499@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 63337

N3QXC making his presence known. And by the way... Any good techs here that like to chat about radio repair/mods?

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3A353D90.AAA557E8@hotmail.com...
> All members must post at least once before Friday December 15, 2000.
> Anyone not posting will be canned Friday night.
>
> The lurkers will be weeded out quickly.
>
> http://www.egroups.com/group/rec-radio-cb

1857. Re: Only ILLEGAL ops will be punished

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 03:01:27 UTC
From: Mike Frye <km6ab@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3A359507.A46F0C0C@pacbell.net>
Source record: 63251

I am actually embarrassed that N8wwm is associated with the amateur community. If you think hams look to up you as a do-gooder your out in left field pal....

1858. Re: Illegals Complain About Being Mistreated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 03:22:54 UTC
From: Stervarino <captain@navy.org>
Message-ID: <sihZ5.4989$925.248360@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 63344

> So let's hear the excuses about why you need to operate illegally.
>
> Aaron,
> FLRC

So lets here the excuses why theres no Voobner on QRZ.COM?

1859. Re: HEY 257 On the wheels!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 03:28:14 UTC
From: Two-Five-seven@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24322-3A359B4E-16@storefull-144.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63342

Hello 459,seems every time I turn on the radio these days I run into someone who participates on this NG.And every one of them has got a superior sounding station,yourself included.Also every one of them conducts themselves in a respectful manner while on the air.And thats just fine by me!Judging from the sound of the station you're running I know I'll be hearing you in there again.
Happy holidays my and keep it safe my friend.

459 wrote:

257, You were shure make alot of noise on this end today! Great sounding setup ya got and nice talking at ya and have a safe happly holidays.
'459' Minneapolis and I'm reading the mail

257 NH. On the wheels

1860. Re: Confession

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 03:39:36 UTC
From: Two-Five-seven@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24321-3A359DF8-55@storefull-144.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63341

Hello Jeff thanks for the reply.I guess I've had enough of watching so many fish getting shot in one barrel.It's a very simple solution,take away ANY AND ALL attention and they always go away. Respond to them and you become their enabler.In very simple terms,If you keep responding to them,even once,you become the reason for them to stay.
I'm for one am not going to be one of them.And as far as running off to some other NG.,piss on that I'M GOING NOWHERE.
Merry Christmas to all

257 NH. On the wheels

1861. test msg (6 meter)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 03:42:44 UTC
From: n3qxc <n3qxc@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <U8hZ5.190634$td5.27247223@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 63340

Please ignore this message.

1862. Re: Superswangthang is in trouble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 03:43:11 UTC
From: Bilbo Baggins Eq <Bilbobaggins@hates.spam>
Message-ID: <9146ol$7n7$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 63339

Well said.
Barry D <x123x123@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:WNUY5.96921$3u1.25845650@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
>
> N8wwm <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20001210182733.19840.00003537@ng-ft1.aol.com...
> > He knows it, too. He stepped on his inchworm weenie bigtime. I bet he
gets
> his
> > BB sized balls barbecued for this post. When you make violent threats on
> the
> > internet you get in trouble. Period. We will be reading about this fella
> in the
> > papers soon. Lampooning is one thing, threats are another. You can call
me
> > ANYTHING you want, and many have. The line got crossed here by some
> retard, and
> > he is gonna pay the consequences. ANYONE who makes violent threats to
> another
> > person is in the wrong. Period. No mitigation, no excuse. Watch and see
> what
> > happens. Below is the stupid post he made.
> >
>
> Not much chance of anything happening. No way to prove that this guy made
> these threats. Newgroup posts are easy to fake. I doubt you even
reported
> him. I am sure your tone and attitude towards people is very different
when
> you are face to face with people. Soon you will get bored with your 15
> minutes of NG fame and some other low life will come in to take your
place.
> I have been reading this group for a long time and have seen your type
come
> and go. Your embarassing yourself with every post you make, and surely
> cannot be proud of your accomplishments in this NG. I actually pity you.
> Your life must be very shallow and perhaps you are getting a thrill from
> your actions. I can only hope you stop before you get yourself into
> trouble.
>
> Good luck you poor man.
>
> Barry D.
>

>

1863. Re: 257 ON THE WHEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 03:43:24 UTC
From: Two-Five-seven@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24321-3A359EDC-56@storefull-144.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63248

937 I got you 10-8 you are full quieting my recieve!

257 NH. On the wheels

1864. Re: test msg (6 meter)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 03:50:39 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A35A08F.477D5E62@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63343

You must be sending out some major spurious emissions.
We are on 11 meters. I know a real good tech near Westminster.
He has a general radiotelephone license, not a truck stop type.

AKA WMC69

1865. Re: DaveMade rumor?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 04:02:47 UTC
From: MR123IL@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19255-3A35A367-64@storefull-292.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63347

You don't even have a clue man!!!!

1866. Re: Illegals Complain About Being Mistreated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 04:05:36 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A35A410.BADF0CC9@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63345

He can't remember the answers to the questions.
He hasn't passed the simple test to be a tech.

Stervarino wrote:

> So lets here the excuses why theres no Voobner on QRZ.COM?

1867. Re: test msg (6 meter)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 04:07:37 UTC
From: n3qxc <n3qxc@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <dwhZ5.190686$td5.27261608@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 63346

Very spurious emissions!.... Digital communications is very rich in harmonics. Just sending 1 newsgroup message I've disrupted over 600Mhz of bandwidth! And I've only got a 300 watt power supply in my computer!.... Pretty cool huh!

On a lighter side of things... How goes it?

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3A35A08F.477D5E62@hotmail.com...
> You must be sending out some major spurious emissions.
> We are on 11 meters. I know a real good tech near Westminster.
> He has a general radiotelephone license, not a truck stop type.
>
> AKA WMC69

1868. Re: DaveMade rumor?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 04:26:07 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A35A8DF.9D56C17C@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63250

The part about NoName let me know he's clueless.

MR123IL@webtv.net wrote:
>
> You don't even have a clue man!!!!

1869. Re: Why is it that Tech's are the biggest complainers about CB amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 04:27:52 UTC
From: Miles Davis <MDD327@AOL.COM>
Message-ID: <914bf7$a8v$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
Source record: 63249

Would you say that you complain less because you have more options? I do not have a amateur ticket, and I don't complain at all. This by no means makes me better than the next guy. Over the years I've been able to realize that I have options. I can go to another frequency, change antenna directions, wait my turn or turn the damn thing off. I live in an area that has a host of issues that can prevent communications. We even have a preacher that basically says "If you ain't talking about JESUS, you ain't talking!" We used to fight for hours. The on going joke was I'd make him stop talking about JESUS and start talking about me. Since then I found out that JESUS was pretty cool so I had to find something else to do. To this day I can ask him to stand by so that I can make my call and my contact and I will go to another frequency.

I will be the first to admit that I don't use CB the way it was intended to be used. However, I do not throw dead carriers, play music, ghost talk or plug ears. It's not my style. Neither is complaining. I just don't think is as effective as using one of my many options.

--
.......ain't taking a damn thing back!

Miles Davis
Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com> wrote in message
news:3A357D31.BED90448@home.com...
> More techs complain because there are proportionately more techs than
other
> classes. I complain too, but I'm an extra, so I complain less than the
techs.
>
> Stervarino wrote:
>
> > Technician class operators are at the bottom rung of the amateur license
> > scale and they most likely have some radio roots to CB in the past. Why
is
> > it that every couple of years some of these Techs are compelled to come
to
> > this NG and attempt to enforce some law by starting an ill conceived
> > enforcement campaign?
> >
> > Wouldnt their time be better spent learning more about amateur radio and
> > accelling up their own license classes?
> >
> > If you search the past NG posting's you''ll find that 90% of the CB NG
> > flamers are Technician class license holders. Its painfully obvious that
the

> > higher class license holders dont consider CB amps a great threat
because
> > they either dont care or they dont have the time. What does this tell
you?
>

1870. Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 04:42:50 UTC
From: Andrew <akocsar@smartt.com>
Message-ID: <914a4u$uv3$1@newsfeed.smartt.com>
Source record: 63252

If the resistance of a length of coax (6, 10, or 50 ') is approximately 50 ohms, why do the antenna manufacturers reccomend an 18 ' length. I'm assuming now that there is more to the match than the resistance of a particular length of cable.
Thanks,
Andrew

1871. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 05:04:43 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A35B1F2.19DE8F17@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63253

The reason for 18 feet is so if you have a mismatch, the voltage at a half wavelength is at a null or minimum. If your SWR is 1.5:1 or less, any length of coax will work fine. 50 ohms is an AC impedance. The measured DC resistance is a function of distance and size of the conductor.

I personally wouldn't use RG-58 for tying newspapers. Make sure the center conductor is STRANDED for mobile use. Solid stuff will break quickly from flexing back and forth.

Andrew wrote:
>
> If the resistance of a length of coax (6, 10, or 50 ') is approximately 50
> ohms, why do the antenna manufacturers reccomend an 18 ' length. I'm
> assuming now that there is more to the match than the resistance of a
> particular length of cable.
> Thanks,
> Andrew

1872. Re: DaveMade rumor?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 05:12:43 UTC
From: Wild Bill <wildbill@wild.com>
Message-ID: <ftiZ5.618$ul1.247148@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63254

haha the funny part is everything he said was all completly untrue. he couldnt even get one thing right by accident LOL aaron you had better go back and check your self before you wreck yourself. and perhaps you Better watch out slander and defemation with your untrue claims may land you in a heap of legal trouble,and trying to defend yourself will cost money are you ready for that

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:9143f6
>
> Is it true DaveMade is run by a guy in New Jersey aka "Concrete Man" and
> is financed by or finances a sideline cocaine business?
>
> Is XForce the company out of Chicago run by Dennis Ostrowski and
> co-owned by CoaxKid, Inc. ?
>
> Is NoName a basement operation run by one guy in the New Orleans area?
>
> Hope somebody can help. Thanks,
>
> Aaron, FLRC
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1873. Re: Illegals Complain About Being Mistreated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 05:14:15 UTC
From: Wild Bill <wildbill@wild.com>
Message-ID: <HuiZ5.1906$Oz5.263412@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63255

really many 10 year olds have passed it first time out what a lame loser
"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3A35A410.BADF0CC9@hotmail.com...
> He can't remember the answers to the questions.
> He hasn't passed the simple test to be a tech.
>
> Stervarino wrote:
>
> > So lets here the excuses why theres no Voobner on QRZ.COM?

1874. Motorola Repeaters & Misc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 05:17:03 UTC
From: tracomm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001212001703.18186.00008441@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 63257

We also just pulled some Motorola MSF-5000 Multi User Repeaters units off the air, these are 100 watt units, checked two out today, have 1 unit with a Zetron Model 37 Repeater Controller (any PL & any DPL, off air programmable) and another MSF-5000 with a CSI 154 Controller, (any PL & any DPL, off air programmable), the repeaters are programmable with internal duplexers. Price for these units is $2000.00 your choice.
Have another MSF-5000 coming out of service with a Zetron 45B controller, multi user & INTERCONNECT Controller for $2500.00.
Also just picked up a MSF-5000 single user repeater (convertible to multi user) with a second satellite receiver and voter system, excellent shape for $3000.00.
Will have a couple more MSF-5000 coming out of service soon, another Zetron Model 37 controller and a couple with Zetron 38 Panels installed.
These make super UHf trunking repeater systems, have a total of 5 or 6.
Also pulling out a extra clean TAIT 100 watt programmable unit, with Comm Spec panel and duplexer, $3000.00.
Would sell any of the above units without controllers for $200.00 less each.
The MSF-5000's and the TAIT are UPS shippable
Would consider an interesting trade.
These units are programmable for GMRS SERVICE
www.traelectronics

1875. neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 05:17:48 UTC
From: Chas <eat@joes.com>
Message-ID: <9B29335BD0224362.18BD83ED49D54271.A8683CEF8A2D3F38@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 63256

Why do some of you CB people run illegal power?

All you do is interfere with other peoples lives. How would you like to wake up in the middle of the night hearing voices. It was coming from my phone witch was on the receiver. Echoing whistles and other odd noises on my stereo while watching a rented DVD movie. I bet he is running several hundred watts. Saw the amp setting on his desk the first time I complained. How bad he bleeds varies, I guess to how much power he has on. Turning screws and cutting circuits in the radio dirtying up the signal is bad enough but amplifying it just makes it worse.
I hope the US government makes people get CB licenses again.
Congress has a bill pending to give local police authority to in force FCC rules governing CBs. God I hope it passes. A few bad apples are going to kill it for all of you.

Just had to vent a little. My new neighbor is driving me mad.

Chas

1876. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 05:20:39 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A35B5A7.F9EA263E@oio.net>
Source record: 63258

Andrew wrote:
>
> If the resistance of a length of coax (6, 10, or 50 ') is approximately 50
> ohms, why do the antenna manufacturers reccomend an 18 ' length. I'm
> assuming now that there is more to the match than the resistance of a
> particular length of cable.
> Thanks,
> Andrew

Andrew,
That 50 ohms isn't resistance, it's impedance. Resistance
and impedance are not the same. Impedance is found in AC circuits,
and is a combination of resistance and either capacitive, or
inductive reactance.
There is no logical reason for manufacturers using a length
of 18 feet for a feed line, other than it seems to be an 'average'
distance from the radio and where you mount an antenna. 18 feet
has no electrical significance to frequencies in the 27 Mhz range,
it is ~not~ an electrical 1/2 wave length.
'Doc

1877. Re: DaveMade rumor?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 05:29:44 UTC
From: fab5freddy <fab5@earthlair.com>
Message-ID: <cJiZ5.622$uI1.255244@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63259

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:9143f6$f8g$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> The rumor about DaveMade being busted turned out to be untrue.
>
> OK, I admit it.
>
> Stevearino (catain@navy.org) was right.
>
> I know nothing about this stuff. I need to learn.
>
> Is it true DaveMade is run by a guy in New Jersey aka "Concrete Man" and
> is financed by or finances a sideline cocaine business?
==========
Yes, I have heard he is a east Coast Mobster he got his name by fitting guys
with "Concrete" shoes and throwing them off the piers in south philadelphia
if they crossed him of course I am sure it is all a front for the cocaine
business .. "wink wink
>
> Is XForce the company out of Chicago run by Dennis Ostrowski and
> co-owned by CoaxKid, Inc. ?
==========
Again, correct coax kid closed down there web page to devote more time to
xforce Ostrowski is the brains behind the operation and 1 of the founding
fathers he splits time working for lucent as an engineer the pay sucks so he
moonlights with illegal amps as the real bread winner

>
> Is NoName a basement operation run by one guy in the New Orleans area?
==========
Yes, no name is a basement operation all the way take a good look at one of
there amps and you will see this. but they are not in new Orleans you see
the water table is to high in NO so a basement is out of the question there.
my sources say they are in Maryland ... Hope this helps clear up any
mis-understandings
>
> Hope somebody can help. Thanks,
>
> Aaron, FLRC
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1878. Re: 257 ON THE WHEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 05:32:06 UTC
From: fab5freddy <fab5@earthlair.com>
Message-ID: <qLiZ5.2003$Oz5.270186@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63260

now you sound like a hambo on a repeater

<Two-Five-seven@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:24321-3A359EDC-56@storefull-144.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> 937 I got you 10-8 you are full quieting my recieve!
>
>
>
>
>
> 257 NH. On the wheels
>

1879. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 05:33:03 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A35B88F.E2520E67@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63261

I'll bet he's running a fiberglass bleed stick.

1880. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 06:02:16 UTC
From: oh8mfh <oh8mfh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <914ev4$1kk$1@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 63263

Scott,
Since you have a ng of your own, why don't you stay there? The person writing the message posed a question, a decent one.
The solution: take the neighbor's name and address and complain about the interference. That simple. Gary

1881. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 06:15:19 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A35C277.BE9AC64F@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63265

Antron 99's and similar fiberglass antennas are known to cause
interference. A slight mismatch causes the shield to become part
of the antenna and radiate.

You have a NG of your own. Actually several. They start with
rec.radio.amateur.*. Why don't you stay there?

oh8mfh wrote:
>
> Scott,
> Since you have a ng of your own, why don't you stay there? The person
> writing the message posed a question, a decent one.
> The solution: take the neighbor's name and address and complain about the
> interference. That simple. Gary

1882. Re: DaveMade rumor?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 06:21:22 UTC
From: MR123IL@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19255-3A35C3E2-70@storefull-292.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63269

MAN YOU ARE SO WRONG IT'S NOT EVEN FUNNY!
YOU NEED TO RE THINK WHO YOUR TALKING ABOUT MAN..

DENNIS O. HAD NEVER DID WRONG BY THE "NG" AND DAVE IS NOT CONCRETE MAN !

CO-AXE KID WHO THE HELL IS THAT?

LATER AND GOOD LUCK...

"MR.123ILL."

1883. Re: FCC broadcast on 10m

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 06:33:48 UTC
From: Chas <eat@joes.com>
Message-ID: <E8E7FB92523CCEF6.4C65CCBB9E230AF9.FD8873B2B034948C@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 63266

As a non radio user I hope the FCC gets help and cracks down on illegal power used on CBs esp base stations. My new neighbor is bleeding into phones in the area and on my stereo. Several complaints by me and others have got him using the amp less but still turns it on for a few minutes every night.
Can you imagine waking up hearing a voice coming from the phone setting on the table at 2AM. I don't think he cares to much about the FCC. Told me the FCC doesn't have the manpower to do anything.

As for the 28mhz problem the population keeps growing so the bands seem to be getting smaller. Add the lack of in forcing rules and this is what you get. The FCC should have gotten a handle on this before it got out of hand. Reading this newsgroup shows how widespread it is with all the illegal radios and amps openly sold. It will take major resources to fix it now.

Chas

>> > The message was essentially a warning, broadcast on 10m in AM
>mode, for
>> >the benefit of unlicensed stations.The 10m AM broadcast by the FCC
>(30 over
>> >9 at my location) was Riley Hollingsworth's voice in a beacon-style,
>> >repeated, message along the lines of..."This is an FCC monitoring
>station.
>> >Unlicensed operation on this band is punishable by fines, etc and
>causes
>> >interference to licensed users. Citizen Band type operation is only
>legal
>> >between XX & XXMhz (insert CB band edges). Unlicensed operation in
>this band
>> >must cease immediately"
>>
>> Pretty arrogant and ignorant considering that 10m is a worldwide band
>and the
>> FCC has zilch enforcement power outside the United States.
>>
>>
>
>Pretty arrogant and ignorant considering that the United States has
>signed international agreements as to what services exist where. I
>remember one time whilst on Guam Island, the radiomen were putting up
>40 Kw transmitters with many simultaneous channels of teletype on 80
>meters (an international ham band). I wrote down the carrier frequency
>and the number of channels being keyed, the bearing, signal intensity,

>and equipment used to monitor this. I sent a telegram to the FCC in
>Washington. 3 days later, a blast came down to the Navy station from
>no less than the CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS! In it, he stated that the
>United States had international agreements, that the frequency in use
>was an international amateur frequency, and henceforth all
>transmissions in the amateur bands will cease. End of message. The
>problem, as I see it, is that if the HFers keep moving both up and down
>from alloted channels, sooner or later another service will complain,
>or, worse, another country. I talked to Webster, NY from Guam using 15
>watts of sideband on 10 meters. Guam is roughly 14 degrees North of
>the equator and South of Japan - in the Marianas. While not halfway
>around the world, it is probably pushing a good 10,000 miles to the
>Eastern seaboard of the U.S. If 15 watts can do this, imagine what
>1500 watts can do!
>
>73s from Rochester, NY
>Jim
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

1884. Re: Illegals Complain About Being Mistreated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 06:37:02 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A34682A.D8841215@some.net>
Source record: 63267

Have you passed it?

Wild Bill wrote:

> really many 10 year olds have passed it first time out what a lame loser
> "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3A35A410.BADF0CC9@hotmail.com...
> > He can't remember the answers to the questions.
> > He hasn't passed the simple test to be a tech.
> >
> > Stervarino wrote:
> >
> > > So lets here the excuses why theres no Voobner on QRZ.COM?

1885. Re: FCC broadcast on 10m

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 06:43:38 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A3469B4.6236832F@some.net>
Source record: 63268

There is a bill that has just been passed and signed into law that lets the local law enforcement agencies aid the FCC in taking care of this type of problem. Talk to your local police.

Chas wrote:

> As a non radio user I hope the FCC gets help and cracks down on
> illegal power used on CBs esp base stations. My new neighbor is
> bleeding into phones in the area and on my stereo. Several complaints
> by me and others have got him using the amp less but still turns it on
> for a few minutes every night.
> Can you imagine waking up hearing a voice coming from the phone
> setting on the table at 2AM. I don't think he cares to much about the
> FCC. Told me the FCC doesn't have the manpower to do anything.
>
> As for the 28mhz problem the population keeps growing so the bands
> seem to be getting smaller. Add the lack of in forcing rules and this
> is what you get. The FCC should have gotten a handle on this before it
> got out of hand. Reading this newsgroup shows how widespread it is
> with all the illegal radios and amps openly sold. It will take major
> resources to fix it now.
>
> Chas
>

1886. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 06:53:23 UTC
From: Ipo Camacho <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t3bihsf6dha811@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63270

Snappy comeback, Gunny. You keep posting... and I keep fuckin' witcha.
Thats all I have to say there... DEVIL PUP!!!

"Sparky" <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message
news:3a34d62e.1016771@news.cet.com...
> On Sun, 10 Dec 2000 23:52:26 -0800, "ONE37" <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
> wrote:
>
> >snip proof of lack of reading comprehension<
>
> You still don't understand, so I'll just give you the reason that I
> did it because your buttons are easy to push. Satisfied?
>
> Sparky, FLRC

1887. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 06:56:05 UTC
From: oh8mfh <oh8mfh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <914i40$3db$1@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 63272

Because anyone can be a CB operator, even the old lady from across the street. No licence required whatsoever. That's why.
And if you claim that a certain vertical type is more interference prone than any other, then you definitely don't belong even here, Scottie-boy. Go back to your rec.radio.illegal.cb. There you can convince people to believe baloney like that. BTW: Shouldn't you be in bed?

1888. Re: Making A Messenger 124-M Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 07:58:54 UTC
From: Dana <dana@randomc.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10012120248470.9528-100000@cluster2>
Source record: 63273

That would be kinda hard to do now.

On Mon, 11 Dec 2000 twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:

> Date: Mon, 11 Dec 2000 09:39:25 -0500 (EST)
> From: twistedhed@webtv.net
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> Subject: Re: Making A Messenger 124-M Illegal
>
> Because he can.
>
>
>

1889. Re: Why Tolerate Illegal CBers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 08:05:20 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a35dbda.972886@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63274

On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 01:44:14 GMT, aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:

>In article <22927-3A35709D-19@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
> twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
>> No one EVER said to us, "thats wrong"? LMAO! I told you guys not to
>give
>> yourselves so much credit. What? You think you guys are the FIRST in
>all
>> these years to say this? LMAO! You proved my earlier post Voobner!
>>
>
>The fact that someone told you it was wrong and you ignored it only
>proves you're stubborn. So go ahead and explain to me about your right
>to operate illegally. I'm all ears.

I'll up that challenge and ask for a _valid_ argument.

Sparky, FLRC

1890. Re: Why Tech's complain about ILLEGAL CB amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 08:12:27 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a35dcba.1197035@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63275

On 12 Dec 2000 02:01:45 GMT, "CitySlicker"
<not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net> wrote:

>Maybe because they are out of date. 4 watt radios may have been fine when
>Christ wore sandals. But the needs are different today.

I have never found the need for any more than the legal limit. If
anybody needs more than that, they are not using the band for what it
was intended for, and they should think about getting their ham
liscense. Unfortunately, too many operators are either too lazy or too
stupid to do that. I'm still waiting (in another post) for any valid
justification that proves otherwise.

>If the regs were
>changed to allow higher power it might just create a stimulus to the
>industry to provide better cleaner gear instead of gray market imports and
>old chassis designs that the techs can soup up and the radio still has its
>type accepted sticker.

Agreed. But I also think that allowing higher power would send up a
flag for the illegals to run even more power in order to step on the
legals.

Sparky, FLRC

1891. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 08:23:45 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a35dfd3.1990262@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63276

On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 05:04:43 GMT, "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:

>I personally wouldn't use RG-58 for tying newspapers. Make sure the >center conductor is STRANDED for mobile use. Solid stuff will break >quickly from flexing back and forth.

Just to add that as long as your SWR is low, the shorter the coax the better. Also avoid using foam dielectrics for mobile installs - it is very prone to shorts under even moderate heat (the vehicle interior in summer can be enough).

Sparky, FLRC

1892. Re: Why is it that Tech's are the biggest complainers about CB amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 08:26:06 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <914nvp$bp76$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 63277

U tell em Miles......

KRS

Miles Davis <MDD327@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:914bf7$a8v$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net...
> Would you say that you complain less because you have more options? I do
not
> have a amateur ticket, and I don't complain at all. This by no means makes
> me better than the next guy. Over the years I've been able to realize that
I
> have options. I can go to another frequency, change antenna directions,
wait
> my turn or turn the damn thing off. I live in an area that has a host of
> issues that can prevent communications. We even have a preacher that
> basically says "If you ain't talking about JESUS, you ain't talking!" We
> used to fight for hours. The on going joke was I'd make him stop talking
> about JESUS and start talking about me. Since then I found out that JESUS
> was pretty cool so I had to find something else to do. To this day I can
ask
> him to stand by so that I can make my call and my contact and I will go to
> another frequency.
>
> I will be the first to admit that I don't use CB the way it was intended
to
> be used. However, I do not throw dead carriers, play music, ghost talk or
> plug ears. It's not my style. Neither is complaining. I just don't think
is
> as effective as using one of my many options.
>
> --
> ....ain't taking a damn thing back!
>
> Miles Davis
> Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com> wrote in message
> news:3A357D31.BED90448@home.com...
> > More techs complain because there are proportionately more techs than
> other
> > classes. I complain too, but I'm an extra, so I complain less than the
> techs.
> >
> > Stervarino wrote:
> >
> > > Technician class operators are at the bottom rung of the amateur
license

> > > scale and they most likely have some radio roots to CB in the past.
Why
> is
> > > it that every couple of years some of these Techs are compelled to
come
> to
> > > this NG and attempt to enforce some law by starting an ill conceived
> > > enforcement campaign?
> > >
> > > Wouldnt their time be better spent learning more about amateur radio
and
> > > accelling up their own license classes?
> > >
> > > If you search the past NG posting's you''ll find that 90% of the CB NG
> > > flamers are Technician class license holders. Its painfully obvious
that
> the
> > > higher class license holders dont consider CB amps a great threat
> because
> > > they either dont care or they dont have the time. What does this tell
> you?
> >
>

1893. Re: Illegals Complain About Being Mistreated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 08:39:08 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <914o7c$v1b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63279

In article <sihZ5.4989$925.248360@news.direcpc.com>,
"Stervarino" <captain@navy.org> wrote:
>
> > So let's hear the excuses about why you need to operate illegally.
> >
> > Aaron,
> > FLRC
>
> So lets here the excuses why theres no Voobner on QRZ.COM?
>

Stervarino,

I do not have my ticket yet but hope to very soon.

I see you're desperately looking for info on me.

Aaron Voobner, FLRC

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1894. Re: DaveMade rumor?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 08:43:50 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <914og5$v49$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63280

Thank you to all those who sent info via email.

Aaron, FLRC

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1895. Re: Aaron Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 08:45:35 UTC
From: Robert <classic48@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <914egg$4942$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 63262

I fully agree!

DX401 wrote in message <913k08$ek5$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>...
>Mr. Aaron Boobner also know as the Dumb Boob continues to defecate on this
>newsgroup. However, him being a ham you would think he would be able to
hang
>with his own kind. Of course, they even reject him outright and that is why
>he came to rec.radio.cb because the crap on the ham groups is nothing but
>boring geezers bitching and moaning about their gout.
>
>

1896. Re: FCC broadcast on 10m

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 08:46:27 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <914ol2vdj1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63281

In article
<E8E7FB92523CCEF6.4C65CCBB9E230AF9.FD8873B2B034948C@lp.airnews.net>,
Chas <eat@joes.com> wrote:
> As a non radio user I hope the FCC gets help and cracks down on
> illegal power used on CBs esp base stations. My new neighbor is
> bleeding into phones in the area and on my stereo. Several complaints
> by me and others have got him using the amp less but still turns it on
> for a few minutes every night.
> Can you imagine waking up hearing a voice coming from the phone
> setting on the table at 2AM. I don't think he cares to much about the
> FCC. Told me the FCC doesn't have the manpower to do anything.
>
> As for the 28mhz problem the population keeps growing so the bands
> seem to be getting smaller. Add the lack of in forcing rules and this
> is what you get. The FCC should have gotten a handle on this before it
> got out of hand. Reading this newsgroup shows how widespread it is
> with all the illegal radios and amps openly sold. It will take major
> resources to fix it now.
>
> Chas

Chas you are so right. Hopefully local police will soon be able to make
a dent in the illegal operation.

Aaron, FLRC

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1897. Clarification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 08:52:48 UTC
From: Ipo Camacho <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t3bphu20904dbf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63278

Ok.

From what I gathered and ascertained from this group, there is a contingent of personalities that do not agree with "illegal" CB radio operation. Fine.

There are, at the same time, frequent posters here who do run illegal CB radio operation... that's fine too.

To justify the means? What justification does a person need to explain in his or her activities? To run illegal CB radio equipment is that individuals "CHOICE." If anyone should take that Freedom of Choice away from any one person is guilty of being a downright COMMUNIST. That is a rather large term to opinionate on but... damn!

Let say for instance, now this is just an example, rec.radio.cb's beloved "heckler" N8WWM was gay (don't take this personal Doug... its just an instance), and his sexual/intimate partner, Gunny Sparkles (just an example), indulged in homosexual activities. Under Local Ordinances, State and Federal law, homosexuality is illegal... right? But people are starting to "look the other way" or "ignorantly not notice such pursuit and indulgence..." "don't ask, don't pursue" might I add.

Now take for instance myself, ONE37, running a 50Kw splatterbox (which I cannot afford on a Military man's salary) of unknown proportion talking to, lets say, a guy in NYC on 27.025. Illegal as all of hell under now State and FCC regulations and pursued with vengeance and malice. Why?

Is it now that we are looking for a justifiable necessity to blast a harmless entity??? We sure can clean up the airwaves but we can't clean up our sense of morality, our sense of values, our sense of ethics??? Is it because its easier??? A scapegoat for fear of offending??? Justify this please.

My justification for the Illegal OP is simple. It is fun, it is harmless and it is with accord of a sense to spread the goodwill to all who wish to be communicable. Just like Amateur Radio but without a license. See, the 13wpm code testing in the old days was a chore to be had and a challenge to be passed. I respect HAMs who aquired this talent. But since the law written by the hams, of the hams, "for the people" (FCC Reg Title 47 CFR PART 95 Subpart D Citizens Band (CB) Radio Service) for governing bandwidth HAMs did not want in the first place (now are trying to take back), tends to have me lean in a "no favor of" direction. Don't get me wrong. I do respect HAMs (code or not) but to a certain extent now due to the rivalry between radio services and harsh examples projected by a few here as well.

Bash homosexuality... for they bring tarnished values, achromatize morality to our nations children and causing an incurable disease not only found in

African monkeys, drug users and blood transfusion infectees, but in homosexuals as well. Step up law enforcement in bars, clubs, taverns, streets, highways, waterways and such. Drunk drivers and speeders claim more lives each year thru vehicular manslaughter than people dying from Cancer. Smack child molestors, mass murderers, gang-bangers and "mud-slinging NO CODES" with death penalties for being "straight stupid" in their endeavors as idiots.

Did any of the CBers chase you off the frequency into higher and lower bands? Let it go. Pride can be very volatile. It could result in serious consequences. Does it really break your heart to hear a little bit of QRM from 27.085, 27.025 and 26.915? They are within their assigned frequencies. Now for freebanders, well, malicious interference with an intent to cause disturbance to the Amateur Radio Service is pursuable. Triangulate there postion and take them down. But not here on this NG. A majority of the people here are legally operating within the PART 95 parameters. Don't bash them all... Ham and CBer.

I, myself, and a few here on the NG don't want to "fuck" with the local HAMs. Never intended to do so in the first place. Sorry I got a little longwinded, but damn, let the bashing end.

--

ONE37

"... reality is a frame of mind... stupidity is a state of mind."

1898. Illegals Fear Aaron Voobner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 08:59:56 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <914peavrd1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63282

In article <913k08$ek5$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>,
"DX401" <lightnin24@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Mr. Aaron Boobner also know as the Dumb Boob continues to defecate on
this
> newsgroup. However, him being a ham you would think he would be able
to hang
> with his own kind. Of course, they even reject him outright and that
is why
> he came to rec.radio.cb because the crap on the ham groups is nothing
but
> boring geezers bitching and moaning about their gout.
>

Can't respond to my ideas, so you attack me personally. That's weak.

Sincerely,
Aaron, FLRC

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1899. Excuses To Stay Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 09:34:04 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <914rec$16j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63283

IpoCamacho wrote:

<<Ok.

From what I gathered and ascertained from this group, there is a contingent of personalities that do not agree with "illegal" CB radio operation. Fine.

There are, at the same time, frequent posters here who do run illegal CB radio operation... that's fine too.

To justify the means? What justification does a person need to explain in his or her activities? To run illegal CB radio equipment is that individuals "CHOICE." If anyone should take that Freedom of Choice away from any one person is guilty of being a downright COMMUNIST. That is a rather large term to opinionate on but... damn!>>

Ipo,

I read the rest of your post, but let's stop right here, as it brings up a vital point. As I have explained before, merely wishing to take the rap for one's illegal activity does not justify doing whatever one pleases.

Freedom of choice does not extend to actions which affect other people. Illegal radio operation affects other people. You can read for yourself posts in this very newsgroup from individuals who complain of their consumer devices being affected by high power linears. To say nothing of the thousands of legal CBers who are victimized by selfish inconsiderate idiots who have made the band a cesspool of profanity and chaos.

How can you deny this is true? To deny it is to close your eyes and turn your back on reality. Mi amigo Camacho, no one wants to take your freedom of choice away. But if you have no sense of personal responsibility for your actions then society can and will prevent you from victimizing others.

What you subscribe to, my friend, is the Law Of The Jungle; survival of the fittest; a contest of the strong against the weak; a predator's quest for prey. But a civilized society must evolve beyond this primitive code, Camacho, and you, as a man, as an hombre, must also evolve, too.

I hope someday to turn on my CB radio and not find bullies making sport out of victimizing others and foul-mouthed children equipped with linears sold to them by men with no conscience.

Sincerely,
Aaron H. Voobner, a friend of legal radio communicati

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1900. FA: Amp Tubes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 09:48:48 UTC
From: Philip de Cadanet <phil@invest.fsbusiness.co.uk>
Message-ID: <lHnRheAASfN6EwNM@invest.fsbusiness.co.uk>
Source record: 63532

Description and picture at the following link:

4 New 6KG6A/EL519
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBatISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1201768738

Good luck should you decide to bid.

Phil / UK

1901. Who's running a old Hy-Gain Big Gun II?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 09:55:17 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A35F605.4207B7BA@qnet.com>
Source record: 63242

Hello All: Anybody running a Big Gun 11 Quad Beam Antenna?

Need a photo of it please. Have a friend over seas, that we need identify a 4 element quad beam antenna. This antenna has the duel driven elements for both vertical and horizontal.

Thanks for any help here.

Jay in the Great Mojave Desert.

1902. Re: Only ILLEGAL ops will be punished

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 09:58:32 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001212045832.04509.00000155@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 63284

>From: Mike Frye km6ab@pacbell.net
>Date: 12/11/2000 22:01 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <3A359507.A46F0C0C@pacbell.net>
>
>I am actually embarrassed that N8wwm is associated with the amateur
>community. If you think hams look to up you as a do-gooder your out in
>left field pal....
>
Oh yeah? Well, we already know you live in the land of fruits and nuts. Aside
from that fact, I have never claimed to represent anything but myself.
Take your officious posturing outta my face, clown.

1903. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 10:02:47 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001212050247.04509.00000156@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 63285

>Because anyone can be a CB operator, even the old lady from across the
>street. No licence required whatsoever.

NO BRAINS EITHER...THAT IS PROFOUNDLY EVIDENT

1904. illegal who really cares

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 10:11:10 UTC
From: jvillafane@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001212051110.25909.00001734@ng-mf1.aol.com>
Source record: 63286

who really gives a shit about running illegal it is not like you spend your money on the equipment they are using radar detectors are illegal but we still use them
i'm more concern about drugs dealer trying to sell drugs to my kids enjoy

1905. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 10:13:59 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A35FA67.FF8AA83@qnet.com>
Source record: 63241

Hello Andrew: The coax does have resistance, but it is not a consideration in the way you question is said. If a long length of coax is used it will have a amount of loss, and make the antenna look as if it has a wider bandwidth than what it really has.

The coax is a broad band transmission line, meaning it is designed to transfer RF Energy from one to end to the other, at a impedance of 50 ohms, which means a voltage to current ratio of 50. Or for every unit of current there will 50 units of voltage. Simple meaning of 50 ohms you won't see in the books.

The coax lengths will not change the coaxes impedance. If the transmitter and antenna are 50 ohms impedance the coax being a transmission line at 50 ohms impedance, will allow for maxuim power transfer. How ever some SWR is seen in practical antennas, and a small amount is allowed.

This subject gets thrashed around quit a bit. If the antenna isn't properly grounded of has some kind of problem, then changing the length of coax will change the SWR. But I have used what ever length will reach the radio to the antenna.

Yes there is quit a large amount of things to consider about matching. And most everyone does not understand it all, I don't. Many times I half to look in books to get a guidance or idea. Also don't be afraid to find things out by trial and error. Everybody else does.

Jay in the Mojave

Andrew wrote:
>
> If the resistance of a length of coax (6, 10, or 50 ') is approximately 50
> ohms, why do the antenna manufacturers reccomend an 18 ' length. I'm
> assuming now that there is more to the match than the resistance of a
> particular length of cable.
> Thanks,
> Andrew

1906. Re: Tired of this sh!t?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 10:14:08 UTC
From: oh8mfh <oh8mfh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <914tnbaka1@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 63287

Scott,
If you do not freeband, then I owe you an apology. For some reason your attitude doesn't come thru from your messages - many of them do not discourage the use of illegal gear, on the contrary. Could of course be I misunderstand your messages. If you're a legal Cber, fine, and 73 to you.
Gary, oh8mfh

1907. Re: illegal who really cares

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 10:30:29 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001212053029.04548.00000175@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 63288

>who really gives a shit about running illegal it is not like you spend your
>money on the equipment they are using radar detectors are illegal but we
>still
>use them
>i'm more concern about drugs dealer trying to sell drugs to my kids enjoy
>
>
>

Another person justifying criminality with bullshit

1908. Re: Confession

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 10:34:03 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001212053403.04548.00000176@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 63289

257 NH dribbled:
>I'M GOING NOWHERE.

N8wwm said:
I agree

1909. Re: DaveMade rumor?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 10:39:11 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001212053911.04548.00000177@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 63290

```
>Subject: Re: DaveMade rumor?
>From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
>Date: 12/12/2000 3:43 AM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <914og5$v49$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
>
>Thank you to all those who sent info via email.
>
>Aaron, FLRC
>

They will all be busted soon enough...I will laugh.
```

1910. Re: Tired of this sh!t?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 10:39:50 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001212053950.04548.00000178@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 63291

>Scott,
>If you do not freeband, then I owe you an apology.

If he says he does not he lies.

1911. Re: Clarification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 10:41:28 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001212054128.04548.00000179@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 63292

>To justify the means? What justification does a person need to explain in
>his or her activities? To run illegal CB radio equipment is that
>individuals "CHOICE." If anyone should take that Freedom of Choice away
>from any one person is guilty of being a downright COMMUNIST. That is a
>rather large term to opinionate on but... damn!

Nope, taking away that FREEDOM as you call it is called compliance with the
law.

1912. Re: illegal who really cares

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 10:47:55 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a36021b.10766398@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63293

On 12 Dec 2000 10:30:29 GMT, n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:

>>who really gives a shit about running illegal it is not like you spend your
>>money on the equipment they are using radar detectors are illegal but we
>>still
>>use them
>>i'm more concern about drugs dealer trying to sell drugs to my kids enjoy
>>
>>
>>
>
>Another person justifying criminality with bullshit

I don't know about that, but it is certainly comparing apples to
oranges, to grapefruits, to lemons, . . .

Sparky, FLRC

1913. Re: Clarification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 11:54:21 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a3608e2.12501369@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63294

On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 00:52:48 -0800, "Ipo Camacho"
<ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM> wrote:

>To justify the means? What justification does a person need to explain in
>his or her activities? To run illegal CB radio equipment is that
>individuals "CHOICE." If anyone should take that Freedom of Choice away
>from any one person is guilty of being a downright COMMUNIST. That is a
>rather large term to opinionate on but... damn!

As per your previous posts, you hold that a person has the right to
make any choice regardless of the law. To the extent that a person can
choose to break the law, I agree, but they do not have a 'right' to do
so. There are reasons that laws exist. If a law is unreasonable or in
conflict with another law, then there are accepted ways of changing
the law. It is not generally acceptable to break the law until it is
repealed de facto. Yes, this happens, but very rarely, and it is
unlikely that it will happen here.

>Let say for instance, now this is just an example, rec.radio.cb's beloved
>"heckler" N8WWM was gay (don't take this personal Doug... its just an
>instance), and his sexual/intimate partner, Gunny Sparkles (just an
>example), indulged in homosexual activities. Under Local Ordinances, State
>and Federal law, homosexuality is illegal... right? But people are starting
>to "look the other way" or "ignorantly not notice such pursuit and
>indulgence..." "don't ask, don't pursue" might I add.

What a person does in their own privacy is their business _unless_ it
negatively affects the rights of others. This would not happen if all
operators were to use equipment that meets strict requirements and had
a thorough understanding of the general aspects of radio communication
and electronics. The reality is that some do and some don't. The
operators that choose to not act responsibly cause problems for
others, including non-operators (which the operator usually ignores).
Another effect of illegal operators is that their actions will
continue to tightly restrict the band, and never allow restrictions to
be loosened. For that reason, even operators that act responsibly in
every other respect should be opposed to these idiots, and many are.

>Now take for instance myself, ONE37, running a 50Kw splatterbox (which I
>cannot afford on a Military man's salary) of unknown proportion talking to,
>lets say, a guy in NYC on 27.025. Illegal as all of hell under now State
>and FCC regulations and pursued with vengeance and malice. Why?

Because radius expands with power. If everyone pumped 50KW, it would
only allow a hundred or so operators to work at any one time in the
entire US. But then they would be talking over themselves, and then

they would want more power, etc. There must be a cap. There is a cap for every service and band, even broadcast. That's another reason why laws, and the FCC, exist.

>Is it now that we are looking for a justifiable necessity to blast a >harmless entity??? We sure can clean up the airwaves but we can't clean up >our sense of morality, our sense of values, our sense of ethics??? Is it >because its easier??? A scapegoat for fear of offending??? Justify this >please.

This is not a reason not to restrain it. Part of a society is to have moral and ethical standards by which the populus needs to abide. There will always be a few that deviate from the norm. Those that deviate severely are severely punished. But anyone that chooses to eat on all fours at a nice restaurant will probably catch some flack as well, and rightly so. Most people would discourage such behavior.

>My justification for the Illegal OP is simple. It is fun, it is harmless >and it is with accord of a sense to spread the goodwill to all who wish to >be communicable.

It is not harmless, because illegal operators are depriving the rights of those that wish to legally pursue the same "goodwill" you speak of.

>Just like Amateur Radio but without a license. See, the >13wpm code testing in the old days was a chore to be had and a challenge to >be passed.

Then why should they not get a license? Because they choose not to? Then they choose to break the law, deviate from the accepted standard of behavior, and accept the consequences from legal authorities and society.

>Bash homosexuality... for they bring tarnished values, achromatize morality >to our nations children and causing an incurable disease not only found in >African monkeys, drug users and blood transfusion infectees, but in >homosexuals as well. Step up law enforcement in bars, clubs, taverns, >streets, highways, waterways and such. Drunk drivers and speeders claim >more lives each year thru vehicular manslaughter than people dying from >Cancer. Smack child molestors, mass murderers, gang-bangers and >"mud-slinging NO CODES" with death penalties for being "straight stupid" in >their endeavors as idiots.

I'll let that last paragraph stand on it's own merits.

Sparky, FLRC

1914. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 11:55:37 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a3611f2.14820962@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63295

On Mon, 11 Dec 2000 23:17:48 -0600, Chas <eat@joes.com> wrote:

<snip>
>Just had to vent a little. My new neighbor is driving me mad.
>
>
>Chas

If your neighbor is unwilling to voluntarily cooperate, there are a few things you can do.

First, log all incidents caused by his operation. Log the time, date, description of the incident, and how it affected you.

Second, notify the FCC and the local law enforcement and send them a copy of your log, CC'd to your neighbor. Continue logging.

If the operator is still unwilling to cooperate, you need to talk with an attorney, because you may have local and state laws which say that your neighbor is causing and maintaining a public nuisance. If so, not only can the courts force him to abate that nuisance, you could also receive compensation for damages. But always talk to an attorney first.

Sparky, FLRC (Friend of Legal Radio Communication)

1915. Re: Clarification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 12:13:31 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001212071331.04449.00000151@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 63296

>On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 00:52:48 -0800, "Ipo Camacho"
><ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM> wrote:
>

>Smack child molestors, mass murderers, gang-bangers and
>>"mud-slinging NO CODES" with death penalties for being "straight stupid" in
>>their endeavors as idiots.
>
Another person trying to justify criminality with bullshit

1916. Re: Tired of this sh!t?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 12:14:42 UTC
From: Gary <heppu@boxi.net>
Message-ID: <9154mt$g9g$1@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 63297

One can but trust a man's word, eh? :-) Gary

1917. Re: Clarification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 12:31:00 UTC
From: Train <b.h@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <8UoZ5.4250$Oz5.370126@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63198

Are you trying to equate talking skip to taking it in the ass?
You need to seek out help as soon as possible there Ipo.....
Train
tra1n@aol.com
train@cbmods.com
www.cbmods.com

"Ipo Camacho" <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:t3bphu20904dbf@corp.supernews.com...
> Ok.
>
> From what I gathered and ascertained from this group, there is a
contingent
> of personalities that do not agree with "illegal" CB radio operation.
Fine.
>
> There are, at the same time, frequent posters here who do run illegal CB
> radio operation... that's fine too.
>
> To justify the means? What justification does a person need to explain in
> his or her activities? To run illegal CB radio equipment is that
> individuals "CHOICE." If anyone should take that Freedom of Choice away
> from any one person is guilty of being a downright COMMUNIST. That is a
> rather large term to opinionate on but... damn!
>
> Let say for instance, now this is just an example, rec.radio.cb's beloved
> "heckler" N8WWM was gay (don't take this personal Doug... its just an
> instance), and his sexual/intimate partner, Gunny Sparkles (just an
> example), indulged in homosexual activities. Under Local Ordinances,
State
> and Federal law, homosexuality is illegal... right? But people are
starting
> to "look the other way" or "ignorantly not notice such pursuit and
> indulgence..." "don't ask, don't pursue" might I add.
>
> Now take for instance myself, ONE37, running a 50Kw splatterbox (which I
> cannot afford on a Military man's salary) of unknown proportion talking
to,
> lets say, a guy in NYC on 27.025. Illegal as all of hell under now State
> and FCC regulations and pursued with vengeance and malice. Why?
>
> Is it now that we are looking for a justifiable necessity to blast a
> harmless entity??? We sure can clean up the airwaves but we can't clean
up

> our sense of morality, our sense of values, our sense of ethics??? Is it
> because its easier??? A scapegoat for fear of offending??? Justify this
> please.
>
> My justification for the Illegal OP is simple. It is fun, it is harmless
> and it is with accord of a sense to spread the goodwill to all who wish to
> be communicable. Just like Amateur Radio but without a license. See, the
> 13wpm code testing in the old days was a chore to be had and a challenge
to
> be passed. I respect HAMs who aquired this talent. But since the law
> written by the hams, of the hams, "for the people" (FCC Reg Title 47 CFR
> PART 95 Subpart D Citizens Band (CB) Radio Service) for governing
bandwidth
> HAMs did not want in the first place (now are trying to take back), tends
to
> have me lean in a "no favor of" direction. Don't get me wrong. I do
> respect HAMs (code or not) but to a certain extent now due to the rivalry
> between radio services and harsh examples projected by a few here as well.
>
> Bash homosexuality... for they bring tarnished values, achromatize
morality
> to our nations children and causing an incurable disease not only found in
> African monkeys, drug users and blood transfusion infectees, but in
> homosexuals as well. Step up law enforcement in bars, clubs, taverns,
> streets, highways, waterways and such. Drunk drivers and speeders claim
> more lives each year thru vehicular manslaughter than people dying from
> Cancer. Smack child molestors, mass murderers, gang-bangers and
> "mud-slinging NO CODES" with death penalties for being "straight stupid"
in
> their endeavors as idiots.
>
> Did any of the CBers chase you off the frequency into higher and lower
> bands? Let it go. Pride can be very volatile. It could result in
serious
> consequences. Does it really break your heart to hear a little bit of QRM
> from 27.085, 27.025 and 26.915? They are within their assigned
frequencies.
> Now for freebanders, well, malicious interference with an intent to cause
> disturbance to the Amateur Radio Service is pursuable. Triangulate there
> postion and take them down. But not here on this NG. A majority of the
> people here are legally operating within the PART 95 parameters. Don't
bash
> them all... Ham and CBer.
>
> I, myself, and a few here on the NG don't want to "fuck" with the local
> HAMs. Never intended to do so in the first place. Sorry I got a little
> longwinded, but damn, let the bashing end.
>
> --
>

>
>
> ONE37
>
> ---
>
> "... reality is a frame of mind... stupidity is a state of mind."
>
>

1918. Re: DaveMade rumor?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 12:35:40 UTC
From: Stervarino <captain@navy.org>
Message-ID: <0ApZ5.5019$925.250044@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 63199

> Is it true DaveMade is run by a guy in New Jersey aka "Concrete Man" and
> is financed by or finances a sideline cocaine business?

AHHHH! This is a great one! Concrete Mans name is Dennis. Im sure a guy named Dennis owns a company called "DAVE"-made. Are you one of those guys that just throws a lot BS out there in order to see if it sticks to the wall?

1919. Happy Holidays

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 12:43:16 UTC
From: Lou <tech@dp.net>
Message-ID: <9156ue$bj8$1@news.dp.net>
Source record: 63202

HAPPY HOLIDAYS TO ALL!

--
Thank you,

Lou/Tech@DP.NET

C.L. Furlong Enterprises
514 Railroad Street
P.O. Box 289
Stockdale, PA 15483
Phone: 724-938-7808
E-Mail: Tech@DP.NET

Electronics: Repairs, Parts (new & salvage), Older manuals (Svc, Training, Study), FCC Licenses Service
Gifts: New items for most anyone or occasion and Fund Raising plans.

Also, year round Hamfest and Flea market tables, featuring lots of good deals on used items such as ham items, test equipment, CBs, one of a kinds, slightly blemished items, returns, etc. If you are looking for anything no matter how strange it may seem, e-mail or call us. If we don't have it, we may be able to get it.

1920. Re: Illegals Complain About Being Mistreated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 12:49:15 UTC
From: Stervarino <captain@navy.org>
Message-ID: <2ApZ5.5020$925.250044@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 63200

> I do not have my ticket yet but hope to very soon.
>
> I see you're desperately looking for info on me.

Aaron believe me no one here is that desperate. I am part owner of an ISP. Within seconds I can perform a TraceRT which would tell me you real IS provider and your individual logon hex code, which you are not worth the time. It was you, in a previous posting that directed the group to QRZ.COM. It was also you, who, in a previous posting, stated that personal threats would not be tolerated. Yet you are demonstrating, with some sort of immature coat-tailing, a non viable attempt to intimidate people via newsgroup threads. You are in fact facilitating the same threats that you've previously posted that you are against, only they are in a disguised form. No-one here really cares or is intimidated by your tactics. All you are doing is eating up NG bandwidth.

BTW stop timeing out the repeater.

1921. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 13:19:22 UTC
From: The Phoneman <phoneman01@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01c0643d$f7f22c80$82878685@clip>
Source record: 63201

Move.

Chas <eat@joes.com> wrote in article
<9B29335BD0224362.18BD83ED49D54271.A8683CEF8A2D3F38@lp.airnews.net>...
> Why do some of you CB people run illegal power?
>
> All you do is interfere with other peoples lives. How would you like
> to wake up in the middle of the night hearing voices. It was coming
> from my phone witch was on the receiver. Echoing whistles and other
> odd noises on my stereo while watching a rented DVD movie. I bet he is
> running several hundred watts. Saw the amp setting on his desk the
> first time I complained. How bad he bleeds varies, I guess to how much
> power he has on. Turning screws and cutting circuits in the radio
> dirtying up the signal is bad enough but amplifying it just makes it
> worse.
> I hope the US government makes people get CB licenses again.
> Congress has a bill pending to give local police authority to in force
> FCC rules governing CBs. God I hope it passes. A few bad apples are
> going to kill it for all of you.
>
> Just had to vent a little. My new neighbor is driving me mad.
>
>
> Chas
>

1922. Re: Aaron Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 13:44:14 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16976-3A362BAE-2@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63210

Years ago it was possible? Freddy, check out the busts two weeks ago..
One was a testing center in Arizona that got popped for fraud (exams)
and some hammies with it. Go stand by Voobner. He's not really aware
what has been happening either.

1923. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 13:47:09 UTC
From: methusaleh11@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <915a8sbav1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63203

> power he has on. Turning screws and cutting circuits in the radio
> dirtying up the signal is bad enough but amplifying it just makes it
> worse.

Wow, what radio is modified by turning screws and cutting circuits?
Never heard of that before unless you mean a modulation adjust and then
he cut out a board and put in a different one.

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1924. Re: Why Tolerate Illegal CBers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 13:56:24 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16976-3A362E88-3@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63208

Explain what, Voobner?

1925. Re: Why Tolerate Illegal CBers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 13:57:53 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16976-3A362EE1-4@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63205

What challenge, Spark? He made a post, I nullified it, now he's putting
on the spin.

1926. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 13:59:39 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16974-3A362F4B-19@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63206

What's your address Chad? Theres a few here who would like to be of assistance.

1927. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 14:01:04 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16976-3A362FA0-5@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63209

Yea, Gary. We're still waiting.

1928. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 14:03:03 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16975-3A363017-9@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63207

Certain verticals ARE more prone to interference than others. For you to believe otherwise, you certainly don't belong here.

1929. Re: Why Tech's complain about ILLEGAL CB amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 14:13:08 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16974-3A363274-24@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63214

(sigh) You can tell your're new at this Voob! But that's ok, too. There IS no campaign. No matter how you wish it, want it, think it, pray for it, dream about it, lust after it, there IS no campaign. Just another token law to hush a few of your squeaky wheels. Been happening forever. You guys are the ones deluding yourselves. You think this law is the first of it' s kind? There are dozens. DOZENS that have been passed over the years. A SIMPLE search of congressional records yields four others this year ALONE! What band are you planning on hanging at Voobner? What band do your Swazi cohorts hang at?

1930. Re: DaveMade rumor?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 14:14:18 UTC
From: WildBill <wildbill@hose.com>
Message-ID: <_oqZ5.1139$ul1.382798@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63204

so you condone the spreading of incorrect information that may cause harm to those who have done no wrong. .. Just wait Doug your name may appear somewhere you don't like it to and we will be laughing.

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20001212053911.04548.00000177@ng-cn1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: DaveMade rumor?
> >From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
> >Date: 12/12/2000 3:43 AM Eastern Standard Time
> >Message-id: <914og5$v49$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
> >
> >Thank you to all those who sent info via email.
> >
> >Aaron, FLRC
> >
>
> They will all be busted soon enough...I will laugh.

1931. Re: Why is it that Tech's are the biggest complainers about CB amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 14:26:28 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16974-3A363594-26@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63213

Hell, Richard. Nowhere did I imply that it WAS indeed a subset of hammie radio. And nowhere did I utilize the word "fact" you so loosely tossed out. He asked a question about techies and I gave my opinion, nothing more, nothing less. Don't read too far into it. Why flick your booger at me now after all this time? I only disagree with you saying MOST hammies run barefoot. Thats pure golden BS if I ever came across it, and a stereo typical view as well. MAYBE, just MAYBE, in your area, they do. But in no way possible can you say that with a straight face AND actually believe it. They lie. I have heard them lie. Hitting repeaters with legal limits from short distances, etc., hitting each other with a kw or two to talk across town. Please Richard. Maybe these newbies will accept this, but cut the rest of us some slack. You want to slam me with the issue of freebanding, fine. But that stuff about barefoot hammies is all malarkey and deep down, I know that you KNOW it is true.

1932. For Sale-2510, PC76, Plus 3, other stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 14:29:34 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <iDqZ5.20357$hp.3019988@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 63211

HR2510, 10/11M coverage, looks and runs very well, stock mike and manual, hate to sell it but other hobby requires some cash. $195

Uniden PC76XL 40 channel AM only, with cleanly installed Connex dual pot echo, peaked and tuned for big audio, nice shape, $75

HyGain IV 40 channel base AM only, looks ugly but runs just fine, with the good old PLL02a chip, for easy mods. No mike, will throw in a stock type hand mike for you to wire up, OR.....

Turner Plus 3 base amplified mike in good shape wired for Hy Gain above. Both for $50, mike alone $30, radio alone $25

Cobra 139 23 channel AM/SSB base, looks very good, gonna need some attention from long storage. Gotta be worth $20.

Sylvania SWR/Power meter, reads modulation as well, and has a 12V lead for backlite, small enough for mobile installation, 10/100W scales, pretty good shape, $17

Another SWR/PWR meter, no lite, nice cond. $12

Uniden Pro 510E 40 ch. micro mobile, lights up, sometimes it runs fine, sometimes it seems to go out of lock, cold solder joint? VCO adjustment? U-Fixit. Looks good, w/mike. $15

Will consider swaps for some of this, looking for Wilson 1000 mag mount antenna, 25 LTD/PC66 for small truck installation, scanners, amps, whatcha got?

Prepaid MO only, shipping/ins via USPS extra. Email replies please.

1933. Re: Why is it that Tech's are the biggest complainers about CB amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 14:39:15 UTC
From: Stervarino <captain@navy.org>
Message-ID: <CdrZ5.5025$925.250528@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 63216

> Your conclusion re amps and OTs is again based on experience from another
> service. Those of us who even know what they are (most amateurs run
> barefoot at 100-200 watts quite happily) find them more hilarious than
> dangerous. Most importantly, we have learned years ago that one does not
> change behavior thru criticism or crusades. Or for that matter
regulations.
>

Very well put Dick. I wish other Amateurs would follow your perspectives. It appears that once a Technician class operator, one who's origins were from the CB arena, acquires his license, he somehow feels that he has broken free of some sort of CB bondage. In addition, it appears this same person then feels they have some kind of *authorization* of sorts which predisposes them to look backwards into their origins and attempt to right the wrongs of others to whom they've left behind in the CB hobby. Basically, they now feel that they are the Gen. Douglas MacArthurs of CB radio; "I will be back" and "this time I have the muscle". The muscle obviously being the Tech license itself, which we both know is a misnomer.

As eloquently stated by you, CB is NOT a sub-hobby nor does it have a symbiotic relationship with amateur radio. Yet these very individuals (some Techs) are compelled to look backwards into their own past and try to coalesce these two distinctively different pastimes. The reason for this appears to be two-fold. The first is because of the confusion the general public may have with the difference between Amateurs and CBers, and secondly, these Techs apparently did not have an amplifier large enough that permitted them to say what they wanted to say when they were on CB.

It seems that these are the biggest underlying gripes that Amateurs have with CBers. Its not that these CBers are steadily interferring with anything (cable tv took care of 90% of the TVI problems), its because they (Amateurs) may be accidently mistaken for a CBer by the general public. Ive personally been in the presence of Amateurs who've been accidentally labeled a "CBer" by a member of the general public only because of the obtrusive antenna on the Amateur's car. This person became highly insulted, so much so, that he began a rant describing the differences between Amateur radio and CB radio. All this to a person who really didnt care. I think that this is the very reason why every once in a while you'll see these individuals bombard this NG with hyberbole. We both know all of the rantings are NOT going to change anything because the FCC has much larger problems to police (ie. illegal commercials, pirate radio, etc.), and the government does not have the funds to initiate covert nationwide CB SWAT Teams just to satisfy some disgruntled individuals. I know I dont want my tax dollars spent on such nonsense. Alternatively, FCC enforcement of CB was needed in the 70's when broadcast TV was legitimately being interferred with however those days are long gone.

The beef that these Tech's have with CBers is not about illegalities....Its about appearences and self righteousness.

PS: I wonder if these same people tell speeders to slow down on the highways?

1934. Re: Clarification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 14:40:54 UTC
From: WildBill <wildbill@hose.com>
Message-ID: <WNqZ5.4757$Oz5.408706@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63212

what difference does it make if your a ham or a cber if you run 1500 watts ,
none the only thing is you need a license what does the piece of paper do
nothing you can wipe your ass with it it doesnt mean anything it means you
rememberd a few answers to questions on a test it doesnt mean you are any
better of an operator than the next guy. go stick your lips on a tail pipe.
"Sparky" <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message
news:3a3608e2.12501369@news.cet.com...
> On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 00:52:48 -0800, "Ipo Camacho"
> <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM> wrote:
>
> >To justify the means? What justification does a person need to explain
in
> >his or her activities? To run illegal CB radio equipment is that
> >individuals "CHOICE." If anyone should take that Freedom of Choice away
> >from any one person is guilty of being a downright COMMUNIST. That is a
> >rather large term to opinionate on but... damn!
>
> As per your previous posts, you hold that a person has the right to
> make any choice regardless of the law. To the extent that a person can
> choose to break the law, I agree, but they do not have a 'right' to do
> so. There are reasons that laws exist. If a law is unreasonable or in
> conflict with another law, then there are accepted ways of changing
> the law. It is not generally acceptable to break the law until it is
> repealed de facto. Yes, this happens, but very rarely, and it is
> unlikely that it will happen here.
>
> >Let say for instance, now this is just an example, rec.radio.cb's beloved
> >"heckler" N8WWM was gay (don't take this personal Doug... its just an
> >instance), and his sexual/intimate partner, Gunny Sparkles (just an
> >example), indulged in homosexual activities. Under Local Ordinances,
State
> >and Federal law, homosexuality is illegal... right? But people are
starting
> >to "look the other way" or "ignorantly not notice such pursuit and
> >indulgence..." "don't ask, don't pursue" might I add.
>
> What a person does in their own privacy is their business _unless_ it
> negatively affects the rights of others. This would not happen if all
> operators were to use equipment that meets strict requirements and had
> a thorough understanding of the general aspects of radio communication
> and electronics. The reality is that some do and some don't. The
> operators that choose to not act responsibly cause problems for
> others, including non-operators (which the operator usually ignores).
> Another effect of illegal operators is that their actions will

> continue to tightly restrict the band, and never allow restrictions to
> be loosened. For that reason, even operators that act responsibly in
> every other respect should be opposed to these idiots, and many are.
>
> >Now take for instance myself, ONE37, running a 50Kw splatterbox (which I
> >cannot afford on a Military man's salary) of unknown proportion talking
to,
> >lets say, a guy in NYC on 27.025. Illegal as all of hell under now State
> >and FCC regulations and pursued with vengeance and malice. Why?
>
> Because radius expands with power. If everyone pumped 50KW, it would
> only allow a hundred or so operators to work at any one time in the
> entire US. But then they would be talking over themselves, and then
> they would want more power, etc. There must be a cap. There is a cap
> for every service and band, even broadcast. That's another reason why
> laws, and the FCC, exist.
>
> >Is it now that we are looking for a justifiable necessity to blast a
> >harmless entity??? We sure can clean up the airwaves but we can't clean
up
> >our sense of morality, our sense of values, our sense of ethics??? Is it
> >because its easier??? A scapegoat for fear of offending??? Justify this
> >please.
>
> This is not a reason not to restrain it. Part of a society is to have
> moral and ethical standards by which the populus needs to abide. There
> will always be a few that deviate from the norm. Those that deviate
> severely are severely punished. But anyone that chooses to eat on all
> fours at a nice restaurant will probably catch some flack as well, and
> rightly so. Most people would discourage such behavior.
>
> >My justification for the Illegal OP is simple. It is fun, it is harmless
> >and it is with accord of a sense to spread the goodwill to all who wish
to
> >be communicable.
>
> It is not harmless, because illegal operators are depriving the rights
> of those that wish to legally pursue the same "goodwill" you speak of.
>
> >Just like Amateur Radio but without a license. See, the
> >13wpm code testing in the old days was a chore to be had and a challenge
to
> >be passed.
>
> Then why should they not get a license? Because they choose not to?
> Then they choose to break the law, deviate from the accepted standard
> of behavior, and accept the consequences from legal authorities and
> society.
>
> >Bash homosexuality... for they bring tarnished values, achromatize

morality
> >to our nations children and causing an incurable disease not only found
in
> >African monkeys, drug users and blood transfusion infectees, but in
> >homosexuals as well. Step up law enforcement in bars, clubs, taverns,
> >streets, highways, waterways and such. Drunk drivers and speeders claim
> >more lives each year thru vehicular manslaughter than people dying from
> >Cancer. Smack child molestors, mass murderers, gang-bangers and
> >"mud-slinging NO CODES" with death penalties for being "straight stupid"
in
> >their endeavors as idiots.
>
> I'll let that last paragraph stand on it's own merits.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

1935. Re: Why Tech's complain about ILLEGAL CB amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 14:54:06 UTC
From: Stervarino <captain@navy.org>
Message-ID: <DrrZ5.5026$925.250553@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 63219

<> Why are you so concerned with an enforcement campaign? Why would you
> want to prevent an enforcement of CB rules?

Im not concerned with a CB enforcement campaign because like many others, I confidently know there will never be one by the FCC. The problem is not large enough to be justified as it was in the 70's. The biggest problem Im concerned about you using up all of this bandwidth with your nonsequential propaganda. You're attempting to change minds that wont be changed. You remind me of AL Gore speaking to the Wall Street Business Mens Asso.

Do they really want to here him?......NO. Are they impressed?..........NO.

Take your concerns, if they are legitimate, to the sources that you believe can make a difference.

1936. Re: Multi-Use Radio Service (MURS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 15:04:50 UTC
From: McGovern <mugoven@mugoven.com>
Message-ID: <3A363FA9.6E623E1@mugoven.com>
Source record: 63215
Cross-posted to: misc.survivlism,alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9NWO wrote:

> Big deal, I posted the same info a month ago.

Sounds like someone's a little insecure, thereby needing to feel superior
and/or competetive...
But the "dumbfuck" comment was also a little harsh.

Bottom line: newsgroups are always getting new subscribers, the vast
majority of whom do not go back and read old posts from a month ago.

1937. FA> Cherokee CBS-500 & Echomax 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 15:10:42 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001212101042.25881.00001602@ng-mf1.aol.com>
Source record: 63217

Thanks for reading..
Listed a few items on ebay that you might be interested in,
please take a look...

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1201931369

Title of item: Cherokee CBS-500 Base CB Radio (broken)
Minimum bid: $9.99
Reserve price (if any): $0.00
Quantity: 1
Auction Ends on: Tuesday, Dec 19, 2000 at 06:40:32 PST

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1201932330

Title of item: Echomax 2000 CB/Ham Radio Microphone(broken)
Minimum bid: $1.00
Reserve price (if any): $0.00
Quantity: 1
Auction Ends on: Tuesday, Dec 19, 2000 at 06:53:49 PST

Thanks again for taking a look & happy bidding...

1938. Re: Why Tech's complain about ILLEGAL CB amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 15:14:53 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001212101453.06118.00000109@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 63218

not true dick, we love the sunspots. the band would be boring if it was the same day in and day out like some of the lower hf bands. here we can have long extended periods of quiteness during lulls and at night or we can have world wide rip roaring dx during the high times like now. most cb'ers have lived and operated thru more than one sunspot cycle and are still around.

> The biggest
>problem of 11 meters is sunspots

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

1939. Re: Aaron Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 15:28:48 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A364430.72@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 63392

twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
> Yep!

There have been some crooked VEs and fraudulent licenses. But over the past couple years, a bunch of VEs have gotten in deep doo doo. Some were "selling" licenses (sending in altered tests for cash). VE administered tests are receiving close scrutiny these days. A fair number of new "hams" were recalled for new tests, and some were revoked outright. Others retested and flunked. I doubt there's much of that going on right now.

Jerry

> Years ago it was possible? Freddy, check out the busts two weeks ago..
> One was a testing center in Arizona that got popped for fraud (exams)
> and some hammies with it.

1940. Re: illegal who really cares

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 15:33:04 UTC
From: snowman937@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001212103304.08255.00003449@ng-mi1.aol.com>
Source record: 63220

>From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm)
>Date: 12/12/00 4:30 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20001212053029.04548.00000175@ng-cn1.aol.com>

>Another person justifying criminality with bullshit

It's not bullshit though. He is exactly right. Yes, amplifiers are illegal on cb. Going out of band on cb is illegal. But don't you think that there are MUCH worse crimes out there that truly need more attention than a cb radio??? Come on now n8. I know you're not that nieve.

937...chicago

1941. Re: Aaron Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 15:33:51 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <915ggvgli1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63221

In article <16976-3A362BAE-2@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
> Years ago it was possible? Freddy, check out the busts two weeks
ago..
> One was a testing center in Arizona that got popped for fraud (exams)
> and some hammies with it. Go stand by Voobner. He's not really aware
> what has been happening either.
>
>

hey twist, remember when freddie came on this ng, and starting talking
all that stuff about helping us be hams and whatnot. now look at him,
he is still here after all these weeks. i guess him and the rest of
this cadre of ham rifraff seem to be enjoying themselves jumping on cb
types, oh yes and old freddie is mistaken , it is still quite possible
to get your ham license without taking the test. it is amazing what
$300.00 a piece in payoff money can do, hmmmmm????? remember freddie,
its just like a lot of you say. you all used to be cber's. so i do sir,
know how you good buddies think. thank you.,.......cd is out.....

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

1942. Re: Aaron Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 15:57:49 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <915hv9$cj6$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 63222

Thank you for your kind reply. I am personally unaware of any where you can get a Ham ticket for $300.00. If you have knowledge that you would care to send private e-mail I would appreciate it. As to being a former CB, I regret to say I was not, not unless you count my legal operations on 11 meters when it was still a ham band.

73 Fred W4JLE (Licensed for 44/100 of the time radio has been in existence)
<curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:915ggvgli1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <16976-3A362BAE-2@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
> twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
> > Years ago it was possible? Freddy, check out the busts two weeks
> ago..
> > One was a testing center in Arizona that got popped for fraud (exams)
> > and some hammies with it. Go stand by Voobner. He's not really aware
> > what has been happening either.
> >
> >
>
> hey twist, remember when freddie came on this ng, and starting talking
> all that stuff about helping us be hams and whatnot. now look at him,
> he is still here after all these weeks. i guess him and the rest of
> this cadre of ham rifraff seem to be enjoying themselves jumping on cb
> types, oh yes and old freddie is mistaken , it is still quite possible
> to get your ham license without taking the test. it is amazing what
> $300.00 a piece in payoff money can do, hmmmmm????? remember freddie,
> its just like a lot of you say. you all used to be cber's. so i do sir,
> know how you good buddies think. thank you.,.......cd is out.....
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1943. Re: Clarification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 16:02:02 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <915i77cod1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 63223

Let me understand what your saying, unlawful CBers pack fudge when not operating on the superbowl? Homosexuals equate to CBers running amps? I am totally confused!

"Ipo Camacho>
> Let say for instance, now this is just an example, rec.radio.cb's beloved
> "heckler" N8WWM was gay (don't take this personal Doug... its just an
> instance), and his sexual/intimate partner, Gunny Sparkles (just an
> example), indulged in homosexual activities. Under Local Ordinances,
State
> and Federal law, homosexuality is illegal... right? But people are
starting
> to "look the other way" or "ignorantly not notice such pursuit and
> indulgence..." "don't ask, don't pursue" might I add.
>

1944. Re: Tired of this sh!t?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 16:06:56 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A364D2B.BE31F0DA@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63224

I used to freeband. But after an incident with a local, I put that
radio away. I live way too close to the Laurel monitoring station.
I run a clean radio, don't cuss and don't splatter. Who cares what
I run from my mobile. I don't key near stores and homes. I try to
NOT interfere with TV's and phones. I haven't heard any complaints.

I don't like cussing and overmodulation, so I stay on sideband.

oh8mfh wrote:
>
> Scott,
> If you do not freeband, then I owe you an apology. For some reason your
> attitude doesn't come thru from your messages - many of them do not
> discourage the use of illegal gear, on the contrary. Could of course be I
> misunderstand your messages. If you're a legal Cber, fine, and 73 to you.
> Gary, oh8mfh

1945. Re: Tired of this sh!t?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 16:07:26 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <52jc3t0o0809t2bl0ji8lefrig1m3990jl@4ax.com>
Source record: 63225

Greetings!

Just to stop and point out something.

I've been on this NG for over 5 years. It's a lot of typing indeed.
One thing I've learned is...

If you can answer the question, please do so. If you feel it's not
right to publicly post that information due to the legalities, then
it's far kinder to say nothing at all and Email direct if inclined to
at least say something.

I've been flamed numerous times for "forcing legal operation" down a
throat or two, but it wasn't the intention at the time.

I now simply throw it out their with a disclaimer...

FOR EDUCATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY.

There, that covers it...;-)

On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 12:14:08 +0200, while the modem was ice fishing
with the Mouse, "oh8mfh" <oh8mfh@hotmail.com> dissertated the
following:

:+> Scott,
:+> If you do not freeband, then I owe you an apology. For some reason your
:+> attitude doesn't come thru from your messages - many of them do not
:+> discourage the use of illegal gear, on the contrary. Could of course be I
:+> misunderstand your messages. If you're a legal Cber, fine, and 73 to you.
:+> Gary, oh8mfh
:+>

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:

... You know you're a kinky CB'er when...
... Your opinion of CB is...
... "It's the only interactive device that lets
... you play with the knobs as you watch the show!"

1946. Re: Attention egroups members

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 16:10:55 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <9fjc3tkvnotch5ilk9amf91mvdkg9880b9@4ax.com>
Source record: 63226

Greetings!

Did somebody call?

;-)

On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 02:53:31 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
the Mouse, "n3qxc" <n3qxc@hotmail.com> dissertated the following:

:+> N3QXC making his presence known. And by the way... Any good techs here that
:+> like to chat about radio repair/mods?
:+>
:+>
:+> "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
:+> news:3A353D90.AAA557E8@hotmail.com...
:+> > All members must post at least once before Friday December 15, 2000.
:+> > Anyone not posting will be canned Friday night.
:+> >
:+> > The lurkers will be weeded out quickly.
:+> >
:+> > http://www.egroups.com/group/rec-radio-cb
:+>

Regards!
.:+> Andy <+: | BEEP!
... You really are a CB'er when... | _____ BEEP!
... your CB antenna is longer than |/ ___
... your SUV! |_,-.__,-._|
... (o) (o)
http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

1947. Re: Why Tolerate Illegal CBers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 16:13:48 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <jkjc3t0307mm0pec2iulftvakbrospd8ot@4ax.com>
Source record: 63227

Greetings!

Using "third-party" tricks lands a lot of posters in the "filter
file"...

On Mon, 11 Dec 2000 23:53:11 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
the Mouse, aaronvoobner@my-deja.com dissertated the following:

:+> Okay. I know what you're thinking. Aaron's just beating a dead horse
:+> here. But honestly, take a look at this post - from a Mr. "Pain", (I
:+> assume his CB transmissions cause others pain and he's proud of it?).
:+> Anyway, take a quick read through:
:+>
:+> Mr. Pain ï¿½ mrpain@keydowns.com wrote:
:+> <<What you don't get, is If i break the Law , I'm responsible, Not
:+> You , Not your fellow Hammies, I wont do the Crime , If i cant do the
:+> time!!! So what, and Why is it any of your Business what I do, or
:+> anyone else for that matter, unless it impacts you, If I sold dope to
:+> your kids , that would impact your life. But there are millions of
:+> people out there that have no earthly Idea that anything cb-related
:+> goes on, why because it does not have an impact on their Life. So Get
:+> Over it!!!>>
:+>
:+> Here's the issue I'd like to bring up.
:+>
:+> Illegals like Mr. Pain exist because they have never in their life come
:+> up against peer pressure to do otherwise. They feel it is their right
:+> to do anything they want - however antisocial, inconsiderate or harmful
:+> to others - and have nobody say anything to them about it.
:+>
:+> Unbelievable, huh?
:+>
:+> But it's true. This guy is positively INDIGNANT that someone dares
:+> intrude on his rights to be as bad a boy as he can. Because, up until
:+> now, nobody has intruded. Guys like him say "Don't pick on me, there's
:+> much worse in the world" etc. etc. They will make any and every excuse
:+> for their actions. They desperately want you to ignore them. They want
:+> you to feel it's hopeless to stop them. Or stupid. Or impossible. (You
:+> watch - some of the stuff they will come up with to defend themselves is
:+> inspired)
:+>
:+> This is why the CB band in many areas is such a cesspool. Good people
:+> just don't get involved. Unless it impacts them personally, they'll
:+> "mind their own business". Which is what Mr. Pain feels is the way
:+> everyone ought to be.

:+>
:+> So you now have a generation of radio criminals that have never before
:+> felt any peer pressure to be considerate of others or obey any rules.
:+> Because no one has ever said "hey, what you're doing is wrong".
:+>
:+> In fact, what you've got here in rec.radio.cb is a negative peer
:+> pressure. "You're not cool if you're not operating illegally" seems to
:+> be the operative slogan.
:+>
:+> Pretty sad, huh?
:+>
:+> Is there any hope?
:+>
:+> Stay tuned.
:+>
:+> Sincerely,
:+> Aaron Voobner
:+> FLRC, (Friend Of Legal Radio Communications)
:+>
:+>
:+> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
:+> Before you buy.

. ---(((=======@@@@@@@@@@=======)))----''
Regards! ````~~~~~~~##########~~~~~~~''''
.:+> Andy <+: \\ ===== //
. \ === //
... You've GOTTA be a CB'er when | == |
... You can name all the CB's / -- /
... used in the movie / -- /
... TWISTER! / - /
. `` ^^((*****@@****)))^^ ''
.---

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

1948. Re: Why is it that Tech's are the biggest complainers about CB amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 16:21:46 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A36509A.7788@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 63393

twistedhed,

I don't have stats about how many hams run power *all* the time, but I know I don't, and I don't own an HF amp at home. I have a small 90 watt VHF amp I use to work simplex and distant repeaters, but I operate the local ones with 5 watts. With the 100 watt rig (HF), I can talk all over the world and I don't think it is worth the trouble or expense to have a 1500 watter. I was testing a 600 watt radio once in anticipation of selling it. I kept hearing a "zzzzzzzzfffft" which I couldn't seem to locate. I thought something was arcing inside the radio, so I concentrated on watching the rig as I transmitted. It didn't do it all the time, just at random, but I kept watching the rig so I could find what was arcing. At one point I happened to glance away from the radio towards the wall bulkhead connectors when.....ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZTT! It was coming from the wall feedthru. Just a simple matter of a loose connection. This issue plus TVI, RF exposure, and maintenance have caused me to decide not to actively pursue "high" power amps. I wouldn't say I would *never* run power, but since I have been able to operate in a satisfactory way withOUT an amp, I just see no real need for it. I can't say how many hams always run maximum power--never really thought about it. Venturing a guess and judging from what I hear on the HF bands, I'd say it's about 50%-maybe a little more, maybe a little less. The ones I talk to who *ARE* running extra power are usually running about 500-600 watts, again that's about 40-60% of the ones I converse with. Of course, none of this is meant to dispute what you say, I'm just offering an observation. One good thing about the Amateur HF bands, I can always find somewhere to work where it is relatively quiet-except when they are having those pesky contests. Surely, my attitude *may* not be understood by those who believe that an amp is de rigeur at all times. My comm training comes from the military, and I always subscribe to the military rule and to the "gentleman's agreement" (unwritten rule) in civilian radio: Use only the amount of power necessary to establish and maintain communications. So, if I can reliably talk to a station with 5 watts, then I should use 5 watts. If it takes 100 watts,.....and so on. Therefore, I use the rig "barefoot" most all the time. I do have an amp in the mobile, but it hasn't been turned on in weeks. I really don't need the thing, but I keep it in case I run into a rare DX station and really *need* the extra ooomph. Still, I could do without it.

73

Jerry
twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
>

> Hell, Richard. Nowhere did I imply that it WAS indeed a subset of
> hammie radio. And nowhere did I utilize the word "fact" you so loosely
> tossed out. He asked a question about techies and I gave my opinion,
> nothing more, nothing less. Don't read too far into it. Why flick your
> booger at me now after all this time? I only disagree with you saying
> MOST hammies run barefoot. Thats pure golden BS if I ever came across
> it, and a stereo typical view as well. MAYBE, just MAYBE, in your area,
> they do. But in no way possible can you say that with a straight face
> AND actually believe it. They lie. I have heard them lie. Hitting
> repeaters with legal limits from short distances, etc., hitting each
> other with a kw or two to talk across town. Please Richard. Maybe these
> newbies will accept this, but cut the rest of us some slack. You want to
> slam me with the issue of freebanding, fine. But that stuff about
> barefoot hammies is all malarkey and deep down, I know that you KNOW it
> is true.

1949. Tram

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 16:22:06 UTC
From: Angel4_69@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10435-3A3650AE-15@storefull-224.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63232

I need schematics for tram d201A-40 channel. Thanks

1950. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 16:22:37 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <915jdr$d89$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 63228

The value of a piece of coax is it's surge impedance determined by the diameter and spacing of the inner and outer conductors.

18 feet represents a half wave and has the characteristic of repeating at the near end exactly what it sees at the far end. If you were to short the far end, the radio would see a short. Now lets connect a 1/4 wave length of coax and leave the end open, the radio would see a short, conversely if you were to short the far end the radio would think that nothing was connected to the back of the radio. Have you heard someone say "I adjusted my SWRs by adding/subtracting coax" They really did not adjust the SWR, they simply picked a length of coax that transformed the actual load to a 50 ohm load that allowed the SWR meter to see 50 ohms and indicate 1:1. What the actual load at the antenna is, is anyone's guess. Take the earlier case of the 1/4 wave length with a short looking open, obviously I can adjust the length to a 50 ohm point and have 1:1 on a piece of shorted coax. You might ask will it get out? Nope, but it makes my SWR meter happy. SWR means nothing except that a conjunctive match allows the greatest transfer of power. The best SWR I have on my ham antenna without a tuner is about 8:1 and it gets worse on other bands! The tuner allows my radio to see 50 ohms and the radio works great! I have 167 countries on 75 meters which is no small feat. On 10 meters I have worked nearly that many on a single contest weekend.

In short SWR's are the source of more myths and urban legends than the internet ;>}

"Andrew" <akocsar@smartt.com> wrote in message
news:914a4u$uv3$1@newsfeed.smartt.com...
> If the resistance of a length of coax (6, 10, or 50 ') is approximately 50
> ohms, why do the antenna manufacturers reccomend an 18 ' length. I'm
> assuming now that there is more to the match than the resistance of a
> particular length of cable.
> Thanks,
> Andrew
>
>

1951. tubes fs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 16:28:03 UTC
From: Bob Bieker <tubes@internetbci.com>
Message-ID: <nmsZ5.124688$DG3.2469576@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 63229

Matched pairs of 6js6c tubes &12by7a driver 70.00 (35.00ea)
Matched pairs of ge 6jb6a or 6146w and 12by7a or 6cl6 driver 38.00 (19.00ea)

6lq6 35.00 6kd6 32.00 6lf6 40.00 6jg6 25.00 6kv6 35.00 30kd6 20.00
35lr6 15.00 24lq6 18.00 31lq6 15.00 12by7a 7.00 6gw8 5.00 6ba6 3.00
Used tubes 50% off
Other tubes are available
For further information www.internetbci.net/tubes
email tubes@internetbci.com

Happy holidays!!

1952. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 16:30:44 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <915jtbdec1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 63230

You are technically correct about the 1/2 wave thing. But! if you think about it, a perfect 1/4 wave antenna has an impedance of 25 ohms. The normal mobile installation is about 37 ohms do to losses and imperfect ground plane. If you put the 18 foot on a smith chart you will see it does a good job of transforming the 37 to 50 and makes everyone's SWR meter happy. I took some liberties in a previous post by calling it a half wave for illustrative purposes, but the idea is the same.

73 Fred W4JLE (Licensed for 44/100 of the existence of radio) ;>)

"'Doc" <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message news:3A35B5A7.F9EA263E@oio.net...
>
>
> There is no logical reason for manufacturers using a length
> of 18 feet for a feed line, other than it seems to be an 'average'
> distance from the radio and where you mount an antenna. 18 feet
> has no electrical significance to frequencies in the 27 Mhz range,
> it is ~not~ an electrical 1/2 wave length.
> 'Doc

1953. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 16:39:48 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <7tjc3toehb3quoaekkd2o2hj97qbjjqj7a@4ax.com>
Source record: 63231

Greetings!

Well, to answer the question, let's clear some things up...

You mention resistance of the coax, er - it's the Impedance of the coax. It's a measure of RF impedance in an ohmic value. This value is arrived at when tests doen to the coax are broken down from a series of different measurements, of capacitance, inductance at RF frequencies - and the coax is "rated" with this impedance.

Now, to answer the question. If you look at the Manufacturers recommended lengths, you'll find other "impedance" types used, like RG-59 which has a 72 - 75 ohm impedance and it too requires a specific length for a particular set-up [co-phased]. Some antenna makers recommened other lengths too, like 9 feet or 21 feet for certain types of antennas in their brand lines.

Why coax length? Well, if you can't tune the antenna, the antenna was designed [coiled, wrapped, tested, sold] to show a resonance, or low SWR if you use a particular length. Some other things need to also included in the above statement. One being where it's mounted, how it's mounted, and what type of mount are you using. [Hint: Mount and location play a big role here]

If you did all your homework right, you can buy that antenna and use any length of coax you want. Sometimes that doesn't work, because the person doing the homework decided to mount the antenna somewhere it doesn't like to be mounted at or he's using the wrong type of mount. So, along comes that little "placard" that screws up the whole mess and you're forced to use that particular length just so you can use the radio with that antenna.

Which brings up, "match" or SWR, a ratio. The power going to the antenna and from the antenna. Both types of power are directly related. You have one direction with something always going in the other direction. How much is going in the *wrong* direction [reflected] can hurt your radio - as well as create a lot of interesting and frustrating problems that can be solved if you simply re-do the installation properly and re-tune the antenna to make it show the low ratio of power absorbed by the antenna [Forward - going to the antenna] and using it properly [Ideal SWR 1:1] so it isn't returned out of shape [Reflected - going away from the antenna thru this coax] and thereby increasing the ratio. SWR of 2:1 for example.

It's not necessary to acheive an SWR of 1:1 or to simplfy, SWR of 1 - if you don't get this you might want to double check your work to see

if things are set-up right. Anything with an SWR of 1.5 or *less* on
the meter reading for reflected or SWR setting is acceptable.

Whats on your mind?

On Mon, 11 Dec 2000 20:42:50 -0800, while the modem was ice fishing
with the Mouse, "Andrew" <akocsar@smartt.com> dissertated the
following:

:+> If the resistance of a length of coax (6, 10, or 50 ') is approximately 50
:+> ohms, why do the antenna manufacturers reccomend an 18 ' length. I'm
:+> assuming now that there is more to the match than the resistance of a
:+> particular length of cable.
:+> Thanks,
:+> Andrew
:+>

Regards! |
.:+> Andy <+: |
. |
... You could be a CB'er when |
... The radio and the antenna]|[
... costs more than the car ---^---
... is worth... /_______\
. |oo|===|oo|
. http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc [=========]
. |_| |_|
http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

1954. Re: Aaron Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 16:55:00 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <915l8v$l84$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63233

In article <915hv9$cj6$1@iac5.navix.net>,
"Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
> Thank you for your kind reply. I am personally unaware of any where
you can
> get a Ham ticket for $300.00. If you have knowledge that you would
care to
> send private e-mail I would appreciate it. As to being a former CB, I
regret
> to say I was not, not unless you count my legal operations on 11
meters when
> it was still a ham band.
>
> 73 Fred W4JLE (Licensed for 44/100 of the time radio has been in
existence)
> <curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:915ggvgli1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > In article <16976-3A362BAE-2@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
> > twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
> > > Years ago it was possible? Freddy, check out the busts two weeks
> > ago..
> > > One was a testing center in Arizona that got popped for fraud
(exams)
> > > and some hammies with it. Go stand by Voobner. He's not really
aware
> > > what has been happening either.
> > >
> > >
> >
> > hey twist, remember when freddie came on this ng, and starting
talking
> > all that stuff about helping us be hams and whatnot. now look at
him,
> > he is still here after all these weeks. i guess him and the rest of
> > this cadre of ham rifraff seem to be enjoying themselves jumping on
cb
> > types, oh yes and old freddie is mistaken , it is still quite
possible
> > to get your ham license without taking the test. it is amazing what
> > $300.00 a piece in payoff money can do, hmmmmm????? remember
freddie,
> > its just like a lot of you say. you all used to be cber's. so i do
sir,
> > know how you good buddies think. thank you.,.......cd is out.....
> >

> >
> > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > Before you buy.
>
>

yes i know of some cases with the funds i mentioned having passed hands. freddie this is going on, believe me, even today. as you said , many of you hams used to be cbers and now you are hams. well some of you are legit and some of you cheated. so what. i don't care. and i am not going to finger anyone for doing it. it is their business. come on now freddie , cash talks and credit walks and some of your ham radio generals will be, shall we say, corrupted for a certain sum of money, after all you all used to be cbers , right.... so you have to understand, cbers are a crazy bunch , but many of them can be resourceful at times. now having said that , i will say this. people who live in glass houses should not throw stones. or as they say in texas freddie, if you raise a bunch of baby black birds you had better watch out. they may grow up and peck your eys out pal. so you guys go across the street, and straighten out your bunch , then come over here and complain about 11 meters,.....cd is out....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

1955. WHAT IS IT YOU WANT AARON??????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 16:56:22 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <915lbhlhg1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63235

WHAT DO YOU WANT AARON. WHY ARE YOU HERE???????,.......cd is out...

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

1956. N8 WILL NEVER BE 10-8 BECAUSE.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 16:57:20 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <915ldb$li8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63234

HE'S HANGING AROUND WITH THE WRONG GUYS, 10-4,.....cd is out....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

1957. Re: Illegal Ops Fear Aaron Voobner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 17:02:39 UTC
From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <915ln9lnk1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63236

In article <913uqsbkc1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:
> I am not yet a ham but will be soon. And I will operate legally. And
> someday I hope to turn on the CB and not hear jammers and foul-mouthed
> kids equipped with amplifiers sold to them by men with no conscience.
>
> Aaron,
> FLRC

What a shame! What an uneventful life you must lead!!!
Is that your highest asperation????
Perhaps you should get a new hobby and make sure it is not in radio!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

1958. Re: illegal who really cares

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 17:06:33 UTC
From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <915lui$m2n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63237

In article <20001212103304.08255.00003449@ng-mi1.aol.com>,
snowman937@aol.com (Snowman937) wrote:
> >From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm)
> >Date: 12/12/00 4:30 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20001212053029.04548.00000175@ng-cn1.aol.com>
>
> >Another person justifying criminality with bullshit
>
> It's not bullshit though. He is exactly right. Yes, amplifiers are
illegal on
> cb. Going out of band on cb is illegal. But don't you think that
there are MUCH
> worse crimes out there that truly need more attention than a cb
radio??? Come
> on now n8. I know you're not that nieve.
>
> 937...chicago
>

This is true but for some reason they have attched themselves to
something like cb radio activities.
Personally...
I WOULD RATHER HAVE A NEIGHBOR WHO HAS AN ILLEGAL AMPLIFIER THAN HAVE A
NEIGHBOR WHO IS SELLING/USING ILLEGAL DRUGS!!!!
I THINK I MIGHT BE IN MORE DANGER FROM THE LATTER....
DO YOU HAMSTERS DISAGREE WITH THIS?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

1959. Re: illegal who really cares

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 17:14:50 UTC
From: fingerbang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <915me2mav1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63238

In article <20001212103304.08255.00003449@ng-mi1.aol.com>,
snowman937@aol.com (Snowman937) wrote:
> >From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm)
> >Date: 12/12/00 4:30 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20001212053029.04548.00000175@ng-cn1.aol.com>
>
> >Another person justifying criminality with bullshit
>
> It's not bullshit though. He is exactly right. Yes, amplifiers are
illegal on
> cb. Going out of band on cb is illegal. But don't you think that
there are MUCH
> worse crimes out there that truly need more attention than a cb
radio??? Come
> on now n8. I know you're not that nieve.
>
> 937...chicago
>

Of course he is..... It's not a right to talk on the CB, nor is
it a right to drive. Put into retrospect, it's a privilege to use a
CB, just like driving. You go out of band or use external power you
chance getting caught. Same goes for driving over the speed limit, loud
exhaust, burning out, power chips, wide tires, bright lights, etc, etc.
I just don't understand why their not out chasing down speeders or
on the car newsgroups that advertise such illegal equipment. That's
right, it is no more severe than speeding or driving with open headers.
Operating a CB is just the same as operating a car, the only
difference is that the do-gooders that drive have enough sense to hang
back and say "he'll get his one day" and you know he will. It's the
same for the CB band, I've known a few people that have gotten in
trouble, mostly in heavily populated areas, not rural.
On the other hand in the past 26 years I have never had one problem
with running power & out of band, that includes a Ham living next door.
I moved to a new home in 1993 and 2 neighbors that came over to tell me
that I was coming over their stereo. I worked with them and eventually
cured all problems and haven't had a complaint since.
The moral of this story is..... You can do this activity without
harming others, just don't venture into or around the lower 10 meter
band and thing should be fine.

FiNgErBaNg

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

1960. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 17:23:48 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <915n0j$ej3$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 63239

Coax impedance is designed for a particular value using the formula:

Zo = 138 log (b/a)

Zo = Characteristic impedance
b = inside diameter of the outer conductor
a = outside diameter of the inner conductor

"Handy Andy" <CodysPC@Iserve.Net> wrote in message
news:7tjc3toehb3quoaekkd2o2hj97qbjjqj7a@4ax.com...

> It's a measure of RF impedance in an ohmic value. This value is
> arrived at when tests doen to the coax are broken down from a series
> of different measurements, of capacitance, inductance at RF
> frequencies - and the coax is "rated" with this impedance.

1961. CBer CONTEST: Is Voobner really Fuller in disguise?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 17:27:55 UTC
From: Stervarino <captain@navy.org>
Message-ID: <kitZ5.5030$925.251056@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 63243

Lets have some fun! If you guess it right, you'll qualify to get one of these:

1st prize: An echo box with its control stuck on 5 second delay.

2nd prize: A inline microphone noise toy that sounds like my irritating mother inlaw after she been constipated for 3 days because shes eaten half a wheel of Brie cheese.

3rd prize: A free Copper Electronics Catalog chock full of export radios and Videos on how to convert them to the CB bands.

1962. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 17:28:39 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <c1oc3tsh24a0t1r1vbgn68puq7inqujd5p@4ax.com>
Source record: 63240

Greetings!

Thank you. I had a heck of a time looking for that formula.

On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 12:23:48 -0500, while the modem was ice fishing
with the Mouse, "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> dissertated the
following:

:+> Coax impedance is designed for a particular value using the formula:
:+>
:+> Zo = 138 log (b/a)
:+>
:+>
:+> Zo = Characteristic impedance
:+> b = inside diameter of the outer conductor
:+> a = outside diameter of the inner conductor
:+>
:+>
:+> "Handy Andy" <CodysPC@Iserve.Net> wrote in message
:+> news:7tjc3toehb3quoaekkd2o2hj97qbjjqj7a@4ax.com...
:+>
:+> > It's a measure of RF impedance in an ohmic value. This value is
:+> > arrived at when tests doen to the coax are broken down from a series
:+> > of different measurements, of capacitance, inductance at RF
:+> > frequencies - and the coax is "rated" with this impedance.
:+>
:+>

1963. Re: Only ILLEGAL ops will be punished

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 17:28:48 UTC
From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <915n84$n9e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63245

In article <20001212045832.04509.00000155@ng-cn1.aol.com>,
n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> >From: Mike Frye km6ab@pacbell.net
> >Date: 12/11/2000 22:01 PM Eastern Standard Time
> >Message-id: <3A359507.A46F0C0C@pacbell.net>
> >
> >I am actually embarrassed that N8wwm is associated with the amateur
> >community. If you think hams look to up you as a do-gooder your out
in
> >left field pal....
> >
> Oh yeah? Well, we already know you live in the land of fruits and
nuts. Aside
> from that fact, I have never claimed to represent anything but
myself.
> Take your officious posturing outta my face, clown.
>

Here we see that n8wwm doesn't appreciate his fellow hams telling him
how unhappy they are with his behavior. But guess what??? He wants them
to shut up and get out of his face!!!!!!!!!!
Curious! Isn't that what we wanted from you n8wwm???

>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

1964. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 17:29:20 UTC
From: fingerbang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <915n95$n9v$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63246

In article
<9B29335BD0224362.18BD83ED49D54271.A8683CEF8A2D3F38@lp.airnews.net>,
Chas <eat@joes.com> wrote:
> Why do some of you CB people run illegal power?
>
> All you do is interfere with other peoples lives. How would you like
> to wake up in the middle of the night hearing voices. It was coming
> from my phone witch was on the receiver. Echoing whistles and other
> odd noises on my stereo while watching a rented DVD movie. I bet he is
> running several hundred watts. Saw the amp setting on his desk the
> first time I complained. How bad he bleeds varies, I guess to how much
> power he has on. Turning screws and cutting circuits in the radio
> dirtying up the signal is bad enough but amplifying it just makes it
> worse.
> I hope the US government makes people get CB licenses again.
> Congress has a bill pending to give local police authority to in force
> FCC rules governing CBs. God I hope it passes. A few bad apples are
> going to kill it for all of you.
>
> Just had to vent a little. My new neighbor is driving me mad.
>
> Chas
>
Hi Chas,
Well I can throw in my 2 cents..... First I would try to talk to him,
if's that possible, then explain to him that his radio transmissions
are ruining your quality of life. Maybe work a schedule out so he can
transmit at times it won't affect you. Second ask him to help stop
the noise from coming over your stereo & phone, maybe that will stop
the interferance. If all else fails do what was already reccomended,
make logs and keep them accurate.
I must encourage you to first talk to him before going to the
authorites, because you still have to live next to this person.

Good Luck,

FiNgErBaNg

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

1965. Re: Clarification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 17:33:19 UTC
From: Ibrahim Ibn Abdul-Qaadir <reply@newsgroup.only.please>
Message-ID: <zjtZ5.1203$ul1.436858@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63244

"Sparky" <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message
news:3a3608e2.12501369@news.cet.com...
> On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 00:52:48 -0800, "Ipo Camacho"
> <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM> wrote:

I don't mean to intrude on your conversation with ONE37, but this is a matter that I think is worth commenting on.

>There are reasons that laws exist. If a law is unreasonable or in
> conflict with another law, then there are accepted ways of changing
> the law. It is not generally acceptable to break the law until it is
> repealed de facto. Yes, this happens, but very rarely, and it is
> unlikely that it will happen here.

CB laws *have* been repealed "de facto" for the last couple decades in the US, and by your guideline (as well as in practice) it is generally acceptable to break these laws. It is logical to arrive at the conclusion that CB laws are for the most part laws which, if broken in a responsible manner, do not have a significant impact on society.

I do recognize that there are instances in which the breaking of CB laws has resulted in interference to consumer electronics items, although whether or not the interference would have occurred if type-accepted CB equipment was in use is not always an easily answered question. In any case the burden to fix the problem lies with the one causing it, even a legal rig, if he is a courteous and responsible operator.

> >Let say for instance, now this is just an example, rec.radio.cb's beloved
> >"heckler" N8WWM was gay (don't take this personal Doug... its just an
> >instance), and his sexual/intimate partner, Gunny Sparkles (just an
> >example), indulged in homosexual activities. Under Local Ordinances,
State
> >and Federal law, homosexuality is illegal... right? But people are
starting
> >to "look the other way" or "ignorantly not notice such pursuit and
> >indulgence..." "don't ask, don't pursue" might I add.

ONE37, It appears that fags would be in violation of an entirely different subset of "de facto" repealed laws EXCEPT in cases such as for military service in which faggotry can easily be shown to have major negative effects on readiness and morale. If there are instances in which the breaking of CB laws WOULD have similar major negative effects on a critical element of society, I am not aware of them and would support properly written legislation to address it. I can only conclude from this that butt-piracy laws are more necessary than CB laws.

> What a person does in their own privacy is their business _unless_ it
> negatively affects the rights of others. This would not happen if all
> operators were to use equipment that meets strict requirements and had
> a thorough understanding of the general aspects of radio communication
> and electronics.

I would generally agree, with the understanding that you describe a perfect world. Even radio operators using stock equipment can easily overload the grossly inadequate filtering of most consumer audio components, so the responsible operator either filters his output or purchases filters and instructs the complainant on their use. As far as the rights of others to share the band, I call your attention to the fact that there are 40 legal channels plus two sidebands each on a CB radio. If one is in use, whether legally or not, there are 39 others to go to. If someone is splattering over 20 of them, there are still 20 others. If the entire band is being stepped on, check your sunspot schedule.

>The reality is that some do and some don't. The
> operators that choose to not act responsibly cause problems for
> others, including non-operators (which the operator usually ignores).

You are absolutely correct that it is the irresponsible operators that ignore legitimate complaints.
There are surely irresponsible radio operators (CB, Ham, Military AND Commercial) who ignore complaints of interference, but to my knowledge NO operator in this NG would refuse to try to fix the problem.

> Another effect of illegal operators is that their actions will
> continue to tightly restrict the band, and never allow restrictions to
> be loosened. For that reason, even operators that act responsibly in
> every other respect should be opposed to these idiots, and many are.

It's not the illegal operators, it's the irresponsible ones.
The CB band hasn't been "tightly restricted" for decades, and the new law will most likely only affect areas in which there have been major trouble with irresponsible operation, as it should. Second, I'm almost sure everyone in this group opposes the idiots who act irresponsibly whether legal or not.

> >My justification for the Illegal OP is simple. It is fun, it is harmless
> >and it is with accord of a sense to spread the goodwill to all who wish
to
> >be communicable.
>
> It is not harmless, because illegal operators are depriving the rights
> of those that wish to legally pursue the same "goodwill" you speak of.

There is not one single act one can perform which is absolutely without risk of harm. The realist will be aware that it is the degree of harm which something entails that defines its value, or lack thereof. I don't believe responsible radio operation, even if illegal, causes any appreciable harm to anyone.

> >Just like Amateur Radio but without a license. See, the
> >13wpm code testing in the old days was a chore to be had and a challenge

to
> >be passed.
>
> Then why should they not get a license? Because they choose not to?

Being licensed is a VOLUNTARY and self-imposed agreement to obey the law, unlike CB laws. I wouldn't feel dishonest operating a CB illegally, because the "agreement" to obey CB laws is IMPLIED, not signed for or verbally agreed to. Perhaps that's a compensation you feel I'm not entitled to, and I'm well aware that it's no defense should I be required to allow agents to inspect my station or confiscate my equipment, but I wouldn't feel that I had lied to anyone, and I think you'll find that most CBers (legal or not) are by nature good and honest people.

> Then they choose to break the law, deviate from the accepted standard
> of behavior, and accept the consequences from legal authorities and
> society.

> Sparky, FLRC

That is correct. They accept responsibility and accountability for addressing what little harm stands to be done as well as for the legal consequences. I think level-headed and reasonable posters should take this into consideration. Nobody likes to be hassled for no good reason. If there were good reason, I think we would have seen something besides the same juvenile trolling, finger-pointing, thread-chasing and badgering we've seen from textbook self-esteem cases since day one. I believe a legal radio op who is a jackass is worse than an illegal op who is responsible and friendly, and I believe most would agree.

73

Ibrahim, FRROLN (Friend of Responsible Radio Operators, Legal or Not)

1966. Re: rec.radio.ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 17:42:43 UTC
From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <915o2h$o09$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63349

In article <9143slfef1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <n3gZ5.558$uI1.179291@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
> "Illegal CB Op's 4 ever" <ismellass@blowme.com> wrote:
> > if rec.radio.illegal was a usenet would you frequent there as well?
>
> I have stated before that if you can successfully petition UseNet to
> create "rec.radio.illegal" or "rec.radio.cb.illegal" I will not set
foot
> in it.

I SINCERLY DOUBT THAT!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

1967. Re: illegal who really cares

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 17:43:14 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <915o53$f38$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 63247

I would rather have a neighbor that plays checkers than one who shoots the neighborhood dogs. That makes as much sense as your message. Come on, you can't justify an illegal act by comparing it with another illegal act. This moral relativism is one of the major problems in today's society. "I use marjanuia, but I don't do Coke" or "I don't steal anything from people who can't afford it" This is a cop out. If you need to justify your actions in relation to another illegal action you are still ILLEGAL. That being said, there is NO justification for your actions. What you do leaves you open to censure from others and if this upsets you change your ways. If it dosn't bother you, don't try to convince others you have a God given right because it is a lesser crime.

"BRAND SPANKIN' NEW" <brandnew@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:915lui$m2n$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > I WOULD RATHER HAVE A NEIGHBOR WHO HAS AN ILLEGAL AMPLIFIER THAN HAVE A
> NEIGHBOR WHO IS SELLING/USING ILLEGAL DRUGS!!!!
> I THINK I MIGHT BE IN MORE DANGER FROM THE LATTER....
> DO YOU HAMSTERS DISAGREE WITH THIS?
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

1968. Re: Whole Base station set-up $350 takes all.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 17:49:40 UTC
From: Ron Austin <daustin@kalnet.net>
Message-ID: <3A366534.64A1745D@kalnet.net>
Source record: 63348

people in hell want ice water too

Northwest Corner wrote:

> This is a complete base unit with Boomer 500,silver eagle,and cherokee
> cbs-1000 am/lsb/usb that has 400 channels it has been peaked and tuned
> all for $350.Items will not be DOA.
>
> ---
> [Image]

1969. Re: ATTN: Ohio CB'ers info on N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 17:50:38 UTC
From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <915ohaoge1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63350

In article <9137nk$ne7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com> wrote:
> In article <20001211124923.25221.00000007@ng-fw1.aol.com>,
> heardallthat@aol.com (HeardAllThat) wrote:
> > Others in this NG have already done that. He claims not to be
> concerned about
> > it, and has even offered to set an extra plate at the table.
> > The result there was that N8WWM was threatened with being shot, and
> his wife
> > and child raped. This was a few days ago.
> >
> > I think he is asinine, sometimes funny, and a pest. BUT I agree with
> one thing
> > he said: Threats of violence are way over the line.
> > That kind of thing will also get you in FEDERAL trouble bigtime. A
> guy got
> > killed as a result of a flame war on here a few years ago. They take
> that stuff
> > very seriously.
> >
> I AGREE WITH YOU 100%
> HE IS A PAIN IN THE ASS AND I ALSO WISH HE WOULD GO AWAY BUT, I DONT
> WANT HIM DEAD!
> HOW QUICKLY WE FORGET THAT THIS SAME SHIT HAPPENED YEARS AGO AND THE
> GUY GOT MURDERED! LET US HOPE AND PRAY THAT NOTHING BAD HAPPENS TO
> N8WWM. HE DESERVES TO BE IGNORED BUT NOT KILLED OR HARMED! AND FOR
> THOSE WHO DONT KNOW..... YES THIS HAS INDEED HAPPENED ON THIS
NEWSGROUP
> BEFORE FOR THE SAME BULLSHIT. DIFFERENCE WAS THE END RESULT WAS DEATH!
> NOT TO SCARE YOU GUYS BUT YOU HAVE TO BE CAREFUL IN SUCH A PUBLIC
> FORUM. YOU NEVER REALLY KNOW WHO YOU ARE DEALING WITH AND SOME PEOPLE
> FEEL SO STRONG ABOUT SOMETHING THAT THEY DO NOT MIND DYING OR GOING TO
> JAIL FOR IT!
> IT HAS HAPPENED BEFORE LET US PRAY IT DOES NOT HAPPEN AGAIN!!!
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

1970. **All Righty Then**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 17:57:07 UTC
From: fingerbang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <915oteotk1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63352

O.k. since 11/21 when Dale posted that he was trying to sell his CB, he has been on a crusade to rid the world of illegal activities on the CB band. Well enough is enough!!! Please if you and Aaron & Sparky are as grown up as you say, why don't you guys act that age. Now most of the response to your post have been less than stellar, that doesn't mean that you have to be less than stellar too. You might want to be careful, because even though what your doing is noble, that doesn't mean some psycho might not come over and take you up on the extra plate of food. Also when making original post stick to the subject "REC.RADIO.CB" you might end up getting complaints to your ISP concerning off topics post. Sure telling people that their amp is illegal, out of bands is illegal and such is on topic, but posting that your "GOD" is not with-in topic. Posting that Scott, twist and such are children is not with-in topic.
Make your point then move on, continually whining about it does nothing but make you look worse than the offenders, especially to the people you trying to impress.

FiNgErBaNg

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

1971. Re: Clarification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 18:04:17 UTC
From: fingerbang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <915paq$p53$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63353

Great post!!!!! Very well said!!!!
Thank you,
FiNgErBaNg

In article <zjtZ5.1203$uI1.436858@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
"Ibrahim Ibn Abdul-Qaadir" <reply@newsgroup.only.please> wrote:
>
> "Sparky" <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message
> news:3a3608e2.12501369@news.cet.com...
> > On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 00:52:48 -0800, "Ipo Camacho"
> > <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM> wrote:
>
> I don't mean to intrude on your conversation with ONE37, but this is a
> matter that I think is worth commenting on.
>
> >There are reasons that laws exist. If a law is unreasonable or in
> > conflict with another law, then there are accepted ways of changing
> > the law. It is not generally acceptable to break the law until it is
> > repealed de facto. Yes, this happens, but very rarely, and it is
> > unlikely that it will happen here.
>
> CB laws *have* been repealed "de facto" for the last couple decades
in the
> US, and by your guideline (as well as in practice) it is generally
> acceptable to break these laws. It is logical to arrive at the
conclusion
> that CB laws are for the most part laws which, if broken in a
responsible
> manner, do not have a significant impact on society.
>
> I do recognize that there are instances in which the breaking of CB
laws has
> resulted in interference to consumer electronics items, although
whether or
> not the interference would have occurred if type-accepted CB
equipment was
> in use is not always an easily answered question. In any case the
burden to
> fix the problem lies with the one causing it, even a legal rig, if he
is a
> courteous and responsible operator.
>
> > >Let say for instance, now this is just an example, rec.radio.cb's
beloved

> > >"heckler" N8WWM was gay (don't take this personal Doug... its just
an
> > >instance), and his sexual/intimate partner, Gunny Sparkles (just an
> > >example), indulged in homosexual activities. Under Local
Ordinances,
> State
> > >and Federal law, homosexuality is illegal... right? But people are
> starting
> > >to "look the other way" or "ignorantly not notice such pursuit and
> > >indulgence..." "don't ask, don't pursue" might I add.
>
> ONE37, It appears that fags would be in violation of an entirely
different
> subset of "de facto" repealed laws EXCEPT in cases such as for
military
> service in which faggotry can easily be shown to have major negative
effects
> on readiness and morale. If there are instances in which the breaking
of CB
> laws WOULD have similar major negative effects on a critical element
of
> society, I am not aware of them and would support properly written
> legislation to address it. I can only conclude from this that butt-
piracy
> laws are more necessary than CB laws.
>
> > What a person does in their own privacy is their business _unless_
it
> > negatively affects the rights of others. This would not happen if
all
> > operators were to use equipment that meets strict requirements and
had
> > a thorough understanding of the general aspects of radio
communication
> > and electronics.
>
> I would generally agree, with the understanding that you describe a
perfect
> world. Even radio operators using stock equipment can easily overload
the
> grossly inadequate filtering of most consumer audio components, so the
> responsible operator either filters his output or purchases filters
and
> instructs the complainant on their use. As far as the rights of
others to
> share the band, I call your attention to the fact that there are 40
legal
> channels plus two sidebands each on a CB radio. If one is in use,
whether
> legally or not, there are 39 others to go to. If someone is

splattering over
> 20 of them, there are still 20 others. If the entire band is being
stepped
> on, check your sunspot schedule.
>
> >The reality is that some do and some don't. The
> > operators that choose to not act responsibly cause problems for
> > others, including non-operators (which the operator usually
ignores).
>
> You are absolutely correct that it is the irresponsible operators that
> ignore legitimate complaints.
> There are surely irresponsible radio operators (CB, Ham, Military AND
> Commercial) who ignore complaints of interference, but to my
knowledge NO
> operator in this NG would refuse to try to fix the problem.
>
> > Another effect of illegal operators is that their actions will
> > continue to tightly restrict the band, and never allow restrictions
to
> > be loosened. For that reason, even operators that act responsibly in
> > every other respect should be opposed to these idiots, and many are.
>
> It's not the illegal operators, it's the irresponsible ones.
> The CB band hasn't been "tightly restricted" for decades, and the new
law
> will most likely only affect areas in which there have been major
trouble
> with irresponsible operation, as it should. Second, I'm almost sure
everyone
> in this group opposes the idiots who act irresponsibly whether legal
or not.
>
> > >My justification for the Illegal OP is simple. It is fun, it is
harmless
> > >and it is with accord of a sense to spread the goodwill to all who
wish
> to
> > >be communicable.
> >
> > It is not harmless, because illegal operators are depriving the
rights
> > of those that wish to legally pursue the same "goodwill" you speak
of.
>
> There is not one single act one can perform which is absolutely
without risk
> of harm. The realist will be aware that it is the degree of harm which
> something entails that defines its value, or lack thereof. I don't
believe

> responsible radio operation, even if illegal, causes any appreciable
harm to
> anyone.
>
> > >Just like Amateur Radio but without a license. See, the
> > >13wpm code testing in the old days was a chore to be had and a
challenge
> to
> > >be passed.
> >
> > Then why should they not get a license? Because they choose not to?
>
> Being licensed is a VOLUNTARY and self-imposed agreement to obey the
law,
> unlike CB laws. I wouldn't feel dishonest operating a CB illegally,
because
> the "agreement" to obey CB laws is IMPLIED, not signed for or verbally
> agreed to. Perhaps that's a compensation you feel I'm not entitled
to, and
> I'm well aware that it's no defense should I be required to allow
agents to
> inspect my station or confiscate my equipment, but I wouldn't feel
that I
> had lied to anyone, and I think you'll find that most CBers (legal or
not)
> are by nature good and honest people.
>
> > Then they choose to break the law, deviate from the accepted
standard
> > of behavior, and accept the consequences from legal authorities and
> > society.
>
> > Sparky, FLRC
>
> That is correct. They accept responsibility and accountability for
> addressing what little harm stands to be done as well as for the legal
> consequences. I think level-headed and reasonable posters should take
this
> into consideration. Nobody likes to be hassled for no good reason. If
there
> were good reason, I think we would have seen something besides the
same
> juvenile trolling, finger-pointing, thread-chasing and badgering
we've seen
> from textbook self-esteem cases since day one. I believe a legal
radio op
> who is a jackass is worse than an illegal op who is responsible and
> friendly, and I believe most would agree.
>
> 73

>
> Ibrahim, FRROLN (Friend of Responsible Radio Operators, Legal or Not)
>
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

1972. 3's and 8's from Georgia 231

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 18:05:17 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <915pebfij1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 63351

As I enter with my flameproof suit I was asked to pass on all the good numbers from Georgia231 who has gone 10-7.

This person has been the bain of existence to all his neighbors around here, he was handed a copy of the latest federal law, along with the purposed local laws. It was made clear that he would be the target of the first test case under the new law. I observed him trying to justify his rights to do what he wanted on the CB band. He stated that he had heard the FCC no longer controlled CB along with a litany of other excuses. The local law officer explained in a respectful manner that he would shortly have jurisdiction and he should consider this as his final warning. "Hey! you mean your serious?" was his response, the officer responded "as serious as a heart attack" at that point he walked back in the house and brought out what he refereed to as a "ModgeBox" and handed it over to the officer. I believe that this law is going to work. Any way Ga231 sends all the good numbers...

1973. Re: Why Tech's complain about ILLEGAL CB amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 18:14:57 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <915q0hfpp1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 63354

Not to be argumentative Sir! We used up to 1000 Watts DC input on 11 meters prior to it becoming a Citizens Band. The 4 watt limit was set as sufficient to enable the purpose of CB which was SHORT range personal and business communications. Now the old 21 MC IF's were somewhat bothered regardless of power on 15 meters. ;>}

73 Fred W4JLE (Licensed for 44/100 of the time radio has been in existence)

"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> > The four watt limit dates from the days when the first video i.f. was at
> 25.75 MHz and it took cast-iron cajones to work on 27 MHz. It never did
> make any sense. Amateur practice on 28 was to run about 20-25 minimum. The
> Gonset Communicators of the day, known as Gooney boxes, did, however, use a
> modulation system that held it to 80 percent or so.
>
> Allowing 25 would probably just make linear building a tad easier as will
> the upcoming move to 36-42 volt electrical systems in cars. The biggest
> problem of 11 meters is sunspots.
>
> Dick N�BK
>
>

1974. Re: illegal who really cares

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 18:32:57 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12455-3A366F59-4@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63357

And if your neghbor was cheating at checkers, would you go run and raise cane over it? The intensity is the same in many instances, especially when no one is bothering you. We aren't trying to convince any one of any thing. You folks grab the bull and get gored when you start asking us to explain ourselves and don't like the answers, so all you can argue is, "it's the law". Happened in every single post so far.

1975. WANTED: Raytheon, Apelco, Webster, Allstate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 18:37:50 UTC
From: k5dh@raytheon.com
Message-ID: <2guZ5.61$mz3.1553@dfw-service2.ext.raytheon.com>
Source record: 63355
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Greetings from the frozen wastelands of Texas...

I'm looking for 1960s CB gear from Raytheon and Apelco,
also certain models from Webster and Allstate (Sears).

73,
Dean, K5DH
Visit my Raytheon CB radio web site:
http://www.qsl.net/k5dh/raytheon.html

1976. WANTED: Knob and crystals for Sonar Model "E"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 18:39:31 UTC
From: k5dh@raytheon.com
Message-ID: <DhuZ5.62$mz3.1398@dfw-service2.ext.raytheon.com>
Source record: 63356
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

I'm looking for a knob for a Sonar Model "E" (all five knobs are the same, so it doesn't matter which one you have!). I am also looking for crystals for that radio (or any that are for a 455 KHz i-f).

73,
Dean, K5DH
Visit my Raytheon CB radio web site:
http://www.qsl.net/k5dh/raytheon.html

1977. Re: Excuses To Stay Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 18:42:56 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12453-3A3671B0-24@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63359

"Illegal radio operation affects other people."
,.............Voobner

Not all of them do, Voob. And not all of them run power, either. Other than that, the only ones it may affect, are the listeners, especially if it's a GOOD pirate radio station, complete with news, political commentary that espouses the virtues of free radio and anti-government coalitions working hard in this country so that the thought police and occasional well intended never gain control. And that's a GOOD thing!

1978. Re: FCC broadcast on 10m

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 18:47:50 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12453-3A3672D6-25@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63360

It will be just as selectively enforced, like any other low priority infraction, or more likely, not enforcedat all.

1979. MeRrY cHrIsTmAs FrOm Da GrAsS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 19:10:13 UTC
From: drdamage165@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001212141013.17245.00000080@ng-xa1.aol.com>
Source record: 63358

Merry christmas all from DR. DAMAGE in the grass
SOUTHSIDE OF THE BIG ISLAND 12'in THIS DAMAGE BUILT MOTORTRUCK
GOT DOWN!!!!!!!

p.s. lets see if i get busted for transmitting to everyone a 150 miles and more
away !!!!!!

LOL ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½

1980. Re: Why is it that Tech's are the biggest complainers about CBamps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 19:19:31 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <QTuZ5.18300$JE.904882@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 63362

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:16974-3A363594-26@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Hell, Richard. Nowhere did I imply that it WAS indeed a subset of
> hammie radio. And nowhere did I utilize the word "fact" you so loosely
> tossed out. He asked a question about techies and I gave my opinion,
> nothing more, nothing less. Don't read too far into it. Why flick your
> booger at me now after all this time? I only disagree with you saying
> MOST hammies run barefoot. Thats pure golden BS if I ever came across
> it, and a stereo typical view as well. MAYBE, just MAYBE, in your area,
> they do. But in no way possible can you say that with a straight face
> AND actually believe it. They lie. I have heard them lie. Hitting
> repeaters with legal limits from short distances, etc., hitting each
> other with a kw or two to talk across town. Please Richard. Maybe these
> newbies will accept this, but cut the rest of us some slack. You want to
> slam me with the issue of freebanding, fine. But that stuff about
> barefoot hammies is all malarkey and deep down, I know that you KNOW it
> is true.
>

Well, perhaps we can agree to disagree cordially. I don't own a linear, never have. Among those I frequently klatch with, one -- a contester -- does out of 11. The most I ever put out on 2 meter FM was 25 out of my old Kenwood. Some run amps with HTs which seems sensible. The only thing I really know about hi-power is from babysitting some 5 and 10 kw broadcast stations.

I am also not going to slam you or anything else about freebanding. Given the way CB developed it was damned near inevitable. As you respect the 28 line, or at least know what it is, no huhu there either.

But you seem to have an investment in a picture of the amateur community that from my experience is flat wrong. I would ask you why the most popular hf rigs on the market typically run 100-200 watts which is far in excess of the drive requirements of any linear including the GG class B which almost goes out of its way to waste drive power. Having an automatic antenna tuner is a bit of overkill if all it sees is the input of an amp. There is sure no evidence from the gear that power is universal.

Power on the ham bands tends to be inverse to frequency: There is more heat between 3.75 and 4.0 MHz than anywhere else. It tends to be popular on 20 for the same reason it is popular on CB: lots of stations in a little space. But on 15, the WARC bands, and certainly 10 it drops off rapidly. The 10.1 MHz band is limited to 200 watts. As I am too young at 57 for 75 meters, my operations have tended to be on bands where the same investment in the antenna structure pays far higher dividends than the investment in an amp.

Being a cheapskate and liking antennas anyway, guess where my spare cash went???

Top band is a special case. For many years power was limited in the 1.8-2.0 band (160 meters) so most of the classic amps were 80 thru 10. Still are in a number of cases because where 3 to 30 is feasible if difficult, 1.8 thru 30 is not possible without mucho dinero. A lot of the power on 160 is homebrew. As you probably know, it is the multiband nature of Amateur Radio that makes the equipment a lot different from single-band CB gear. Of more recent years, digital modes have made some demands on hardware for no better reason than it is continuous carrier, essentially FM. Using PSK31 for example one will learn about heat dissipation in a hell of a hurry. Those playing with Antique Modulation on CB will be right at home.

While there might be some who fire up a kw to hit the local repeater, they are few and far between. I have worked Argentinians at 5kw on 6 meters but no American even running the 1.5 or anywhere near it. When the propagation gods smile, it does not take heat; when they frown, there isn't enough heat in the world.

Twist, I just don't think the evidence supports your case. That's all.

Dick N&#65533;BK

1981. Re: 3's and 8's from Georgia 231

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 19:20:58 UTC
From: WildBill <wildbill@hose.com>
Message-ID: <uUuZ5.5097$Oz5.478528@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63361

fred please let us see a copy of the statute or ordinace the local town is going to be passing otherwise the police cannot enforce anything at this point.

"Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message news:915pebfij1@iac5.navix.net...
> As I enter with my flameproof suit I was asked to pass on all the good
> numbers from Georgia231 who has gone 10-7.
>
> This person has been the bain of existence to all his neighbors around here,
> he was handed a copy of the latest federal law, along with the purposed
> local laws. It was made clear that he would be the target of the first test
> case under the new law. I observed him trying to justify his rights to do
> what he wanted on the CB band. He stated that he had heard the FCC no
> longer controlled CB along with a litany of other excuses. The local law
> officer explained in a respectful manner that he would shortly have
> jurisdiction and he should consider this as his final warning. "Hey! you
> mean your serious?" was his response, the officer responded "as serious as a
> heart attack" at that point he walked back in the house and brought out what
> he refereed to as a "ModgeBox" and handed it over to the officer. I believe
> that this law is going to work. Any way Ga231 sends all the good numbers...
>
>
>

1982. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 19:22:45 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5267-3A367B05-17@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63368

Hey Sparky, why is a shorter length better?

1983. Re: Why N8WWM is like a deadkeyer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 19:30:26 UTC
From: ch15a@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001212143026.27737.00000014@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 63363

I forgot to add that not only is he a boil on our collective behinds, but also that his one track mind is on a very narrow track.

His only response to my post was " You are ILLEGAL "

Very amusing since I didn't mention what radios or amps I own. Or, if I own any at all. It seems the Ham-sters won't play with N8 so he comes over to our play ground crying and whining about things that don't seem to bother anyone else here.

Oh yeah, who died and made N8 King of the group? I wan't a recount.

>N8wwwm is like a deadkeyer
because:
>
>Each time you let him know that you are paying attention to what he says, he
>just is encouraged to say more.
>
>The easiest way to make him go away is to refuse to acknowledge that you even
>know he is posting/transmitting.
>
>Deadkeyers are usually juvenile newbies who have personality problems and
>can't
>get notice from people in normal ways.
>
>Why do you care what a ham-ster thinks anyways. Who died and made him King of
the newsgroup?
>
>
>
>
>

1984. why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 19:40:56 UTC
From: picloud <A@A.com>
Message-ID: <t3cv9pmogncsad@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63364

Hey, I plugged my radio into a 100 watt amp and when I drive through certain neighborhoods the garage doors start opening. I left my radio on while I was having a couple of drinks and the TV in the bar had a lot of interference. I went out to my 18 and shut the radio off and the TV was fine after that. Since I wasn't broadcasting, this makes no sense to me. I am not an E-freak, however, anybody got an answer?

--
Cheers,

piCloud

1985. Re: rec.radio.ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 19:43:59 UTC
From: picloud <A@A.com>
Message-ID: <t3cv9r4b0i47ae@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63365

I think this is an outstanding idea. I would guess the nw8 nerd would come
and harangue with his juvenile perspective anyway

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:911icu$ej3$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> To the hard-core keydown guys, export radio and amp dealers, swing mod
> techs, freeband clubbers, radio pirates and HF bootleggers:
>
> I have a solution to all this unpleasantness.
>
> Why not apply to Usenet for your own special little newsgroup? Call it
> rec.radio.illegal. Since your charter would state that topics are to be
> strictly confined to illegal aspects of radio, you could post there and
> be within your rights not to be challenged. Heck, you could even have a
> moderator to keep everybody in line (or out of line, as it were).
>
> Thinking of you,
> Aaron Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1986. Re: Clarification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 19:44:13 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A3520A9.AA980C89@some.net>
Source record: 63367

It means I am operating legally and without it, you are not.

WildBill wrote:

> what difference does it make if your a ham or a cber if you run 1500 watts ,
> none the only thing is you need a license what does the piece of paper do
> nothing you can wipe your ass with it it doesnt mean anything it means you
> rememberd a few answers to questions on a test it doesnt mean you are any
> better of an operator than the next guy. go stick your lips on a tail pipe.
> "Sparky" <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message

1987. Re: Excuses To Stay Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 19:45:13 UTC
From: picloud <A@A.com>
Message-ID: <t3cv9slf3ccmaf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63366

Hey, good for you, its not PC, but he's right..
<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:914rec$16j$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> IpoCamacho wrote:
>
> <<Ok.
>
> From what I gathered and ascertained from this group, there is a
> contingent of personalities that do not agree with "illegal" CB radio
> operation. Fine.
>
> There are, at the same time, frequent posters here who do run illegal CB
> radio operation... that's fine too.
>
> To justify the means? What justification does a person need to explain
> in his or her activities? To run illegal CB radio equipment is that
> individuals "CHOICE." If anyone should take that Freedom of Choice away
> from any one person is guilty of being a downright COMMUNIST. That is a
> rather large term to opinionate on but... damn!>>
>
> Ipo,
>
> I read the rest of your post, but let's stop right here, as it brings up
> a vital point. As I have explained before, merely wishing to take the
> rap for one's illegal activity does not justify doing whatever one
> pleases.
>
> Freedom of choice does not extend to actions which affect other people.
> Illegal radio operation affects other people. You can read for yourself
> posts in this very newsgroup from individuals who complain of their
> consumer devices being affected by high power linears. To say nothing of
> the thousands of legal CBers who are victimized by selfish inconsiderate
> idiots who have made the band a cesspool of profanity and chaos.
>
> How can you deny this is true? To deny it is to close your eyes and turn
> your back on reality. Mi amigo Camacho, no one wants to take your
> freedom of choice away. But if you have no sense of personal
> responsibility for your actions then society can and will prevent you
> from victimizing others.
>
> What you subscribe to, my friend, is the Law Of The Jungle; survival
> of the fittest; a contest of the strong against the weak; a predator's
> quest for prey. But a civilized society must evolve beyond this
> primitive code, Camacho, and you, as a man, as an hombre, must also

> evolve, too.
>
> I hope someday to turn on my CB radio and not find bullies making sport
> out of victimizing others and foul-mouthed children equipped with
> linears sold to them by men with no conscience.
>
> Sincerely,
> Aaron H. Voobner, a friend of legal radio communicati
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

1988. Re: illegal who really cares

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 19:55:48 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3682C4.E0F5C8A9@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63369

twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
>
> And if your neghbor was cheating at checkers, would you go run and
> raise cane over it?

No, I would take my case all the way to the US Supreme Court! :)

1989. Sunny and 50 today...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 20:05:04 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3684F0.DB6274B8@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63370

It was so nice out today, I changed the oil in my vehicles.

You may send all your snow to Baltimore, I want to go
four wheeling. That's snow. Not sleet or freezing rain.

K5DH wrote:
>
> Greetings from the frozen wastelands of Texas...

1990. Re: why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 20:06:13 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A368535.4C5464CE@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63371

Do you turn on all the touch lamps and motion lights too?

picloud wrote:
>
> Hey, I plugged my radio into a 100 watt amp and when I drive through certain
> neighborhoods the garage doors start opening. I left my radio on while I
> was having a couple of drinks and the TV in the bar had a lot of
> interference. I went out to my 18 and shut the radio off and the TV was
> fine after that. Since I wasn't broadcasting, this makes no sense to me. I
> am not an E-freak, however, anybody got an answer?
>
> --
> Cheers,
>
> piCloud

1991. Re: Why is it that Tech's are the biggest complainers about CB amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 20:13:08 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <uDvZ5.8382$pH1.300799@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 63372

"Stervarino" <captain@navy.org> wrote in message
news:CdrZ5.5025$925.250528@news.direcpc.com...
>
> > Your conclusion re amps and OTs is again based on experience from
another
> > service. Those of us who even know what they are (most amateurs run
> > barefoot at 100-200 watts quite happily) find them more hilarious than
> > dangerous. Most importantly, we have learned years ago that one does
not
> > change behavior thru criticism or crusades. Or for that matter
> regulations.
> >
>
>
> Very well put Dick. I wish other Amateurs would follow your perspectives.
It
> appears that once a Technician class operator, one who's origins were from
> the CB arena, acquires his license, he somehow feels that he has broken
> free of some sort of CB bondage. In addition, it appears this same person
> then feels they have some kind of *authorization* of sorts which
predisposes
> them to look backwards into their origins and attempt to right the wrongs
of
> others to whom they've left behind in the CB hobby. Basically, they now
feel
> that they are the Gen. Douglas MacArthurs of CB radio; "I will be back"
and
> "this time I have the muscle". The muscle obviously being the Tech license
> itself, which we both know is a misnomer.
>
> As eloquently stated by you, CB is NOT a sub-hobby nor does it have a
> symbiotic relationship with amateur radio. Yet these very individuals
(some
> Techs) are compelled to look backwards into their own past and try to
> coalesce these two distinctively different pastimes. The reason for this
> appears to be two-fold. The first is because of the confusion the general
> public may have with the difference between Amateurs and CBers, and
> secondly, these Techs apparently did not have an amplifier large enough
that
> permitted them to say what they wanted to say when they were on CB.
>
> It seems that these are the biggest underlying gripes that Amateurs have
> with CBers. Its not that these CBers are steadily interferring with
anything

> (cable tv took care of 90% of the TVI problems), its because they
(Amateurs)
> may be accidently mistaken for a CBer by the general public. Ive
personally
> been in the presence of Amateurs who've been accidentally labeled a "CBer"
> by a member of the general public only because of the obtrusive antenna on
> the Amateur's car. This person became highly insulted, so much so, that he
> began a rant describing the differences between Amateur radio and CB
radio.
> All this to a person who really didnt care. I think that this is the very
> reason why every once in a while you'll see these individuals bombard this
> NG with hyberbole. We both know all of the rantings are NOT going to
change
> anything because the FCC has much larger problems to police (ie. illegal
> commercials, pirate radio, etc.), and the government does not have the
funds
> to initiate covert nationwide CB SWAT Teams just to satisfy some
disgruntled
> individuals. I know I dont want my tax dollars spent on such nonsense.
> Alternatively, FCC enforcement of CB was needed in the 70's when broadcast
> TV was legitimately being interferred with however those days are long
gone.
>
> The beef that these Tech's have with CBers is not about
illegalities....Its
> about appearences and self righteousness.
>
> PS: I wonder if these same people tell speeders to slow down on the
> highways?
>

There is in the Amateur community a long-standing ethos about operation within the rules. It has led to some of the most incredible seabag-lawyering known to man and to at least one FCC guy of my acquaintance from my broadcast days. He couldn't believe it either. There is the classic story of a repeater group somewhere in the mountains of Idaho which found that it could not do what it needed to do within the power limits on repeaters, based on HAAT or height above average terrain, and filed for permission against the advice of everyone including the local FCC office which told them to do it, shut up, and if anyone complains we'll let you know. They were the exception in regulations that of necessity were designed to keep someone from blanketing NYC from a hill in New Hampshire. No one gave a rat's ass about raising the rf absorption of a bunch of mountain goats and the increased coverage served some sort of reasonable local purpose. But no, they had to be legal and given the problems inherent in writing regs that allowed one to pump rf over mountain goats but not people, the Commission simply denied the request.

Apparently that part rubs off, damfino. I do not understand the attitude. Yes, the ham bands are generally pretty well self-policed although probably not as well as a few decades ago. Idiocy abounds as in the SoCal repeater

wars and some digital/SSTV conflicts with their neighbors. Anyone around for the AM/SSB wars can remember when 14.205 (the low end of the US phone band in those days, all AM, and known as Kilowatt Alley) made the bowl sound peaceful and serene. The 3.9-4.0 segment of 75 that is not Amateur outside of the Americas has been a cesspool longer than I am old. Riley could spend his life there. He would have to spend some time on two meters as well. Moons ago, I was the pres of a club in St. Louis that thru some interesting wheeling and dealing got a functioning 110 watt GE repeater intact with outside heated case and all off the courthouse in an Illinois county. For some period, I owned the duplexers. We put up a machine designed to be talked on with processed audio and a patch that could decode anything from audibility to square wave. The entrenched powers were none too happy with us, a rather strack organization which were most certainly not. Seems they were unhappy that when our 146.85 machine when their .97 was up came thru on their .91 machine. When it was all said and done, they got a dramatic reading of the riot act and a lesson in how old Micors could be the world's largest broadband mixers (power was always on) without proper antenna isolation. I had a 7805 oscillate with rather interesting results so there is no big Red S on my sweatshirt either. These things can and do get out of hand.

Our club was in general people who made their living and reputations outside of Amateur radio or radio in general, had a far higher proportion of Advanced and Extra than any club in the area, and generally did not take things all that seriously. We had a non-existent call sign for use by the bread-and-milk wives; they were all Sally (imagine telling YOUR wife she had to take a code test) so we too tended to believe rules were to be tactfully disregarded, key word tactfully.

Perhaps the key is where one gets his identity and sense of achievement and, of course, a little ego-boo. The gung-ho shall inherit the earth; God knows they deserve it.

Dick NBK

1992. Re: Why Tech's complain about ILLEGAL CB amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 20:14:53 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <7FvZ5.8384$pH1.301035@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 63373

"www.kp82.com" <timnebo@aol.comedy> wrote in message
news:20001212101453.06118.00000109@ng-ff1.aol.com...
> not true dick, we love the sunspots. the band would be boring if it was
the
> same day in and day out like some of the lower hf bands. here we can have
long
> extended periods of quiteness during lulls and at night or we can have
world
> wide rip roaring dx during the high times like now. most cb'ers have lived
and
> operated thru more than one sunspot cycle and are still around.
>
> > The biggest
> >problem of 11 meters is sunspots

Take your word for it. Not a lot different than ten.

1993. (fwd) Re: Wayne Green was right after all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 20:18:02 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3687FA.4A4F834F@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63374

Keep on whining, boys. All us CB'ers are going to laugh
when you have to come back to the only band left!

wayne was right folks wrote:
>
> Take a look at the FCC webpage at www.fcc.gov the next
> chance you have some free time boys. Look at all those front
> page articles about Spectrum being freed up for commercial
> services! Spectrum that was originally in the venue of ham radio.
> Looks like Wayne writing in 73 magazine editorials for all those
> years was 100% on target and the cows are finally comming home.
>
> I love it.
> Long live code!
> BAAAHAWW HA HA HAWW!!

1994. Aaron Answers Illegals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 20:25:05 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <9161io$q2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63377

Sorry, but there are so many questions directed at me that I thought I'd centralize theme here instead of making people look all over the newsgroup. Also I have no need to answer some of the more ignorant personal attacks. Their message reflects poorly on their owners.

Okay, first up is Stervarino. He says I am Mark Fuller In Disguise. Sterve, I researched Mark Fuller's posts and it is obvious that he took a lot of abuse in this newsgroup for posing the same kinds of questions. I see you are a ham who is not concerned that illegal CB operations have been slowly expanding upward in frequency. It is no longer uncommon or rare to hear truckers and other AM CBers in the 10 meter CW band. Now the only reason I can think of why you might condone this kind of activity is that maybe you make money selling this stuff to CBers. I could be wrong.

In a later post Sterve denies that there is any CB problem. Please Sterve, just look here in this NG at the thread entitled "neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos". If that doesn't convince you, ask yourself if the mountain of letters and complaints from Vernon Ehlers constituents were imaginary or if they were in fact real people being victimized by CBers.

Wild Bill accuses me of spreading incorrect information about illegal amp makers. Bill, I don't understand. If "the FCC don't care" as you guys say, and "no one is gonna enforce the rules" as you guys are fond of saying, then why should DaveMade, XForce, NoName, SkullCracka, etc. be in hiding? Why don't they post big fat photos of themselves on the web along with an 800 number that says CALL ME FOR ILLEGAL AMPS. I don't get it. If they're not worried, why hide?

Fingerbang wants me to stop. because he says responses to my posts have been less than stellar. Not true, only a portion of responses have been attacks on me. There are quite a few proponents of legal CB in the newsgroup as well. Do you want them to go away, too?

Twistedhead says (in essence) there are much worse crimes than CB rule violations and so that justifies doing whatever he wants on CB. (Sigh) Twist, you are incorrigible. Others have made much more eleoquent arguments than I on the subject of personal responsibility. I will leave you to read them.

Lastly I am asked what is it I want. It's simple. Someday I want to turn on my CB radio and not hear overpowered bullies victimizing other CB users "because they can".

Sincerely,
Aaron H. Voobner, FLRC

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

1995. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 20:25:54 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <t3d2fe8c48jac9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63375

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:4878-3A3518BD-67@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> You have a Jekyll/Hyde persona.

I don't think so. You would like me to lose my focus on the issues, and to jump right in playing your game with the rules you make up along the way. I'm not going to do that.

> One day you post in condescension, I
> return with such, and you return to the merits. OK. This is a simple way
> to teach you something again, Leland. Numbers and statistics can be
> construed or manipulated any way you want,

That is true so your number of 150 is suspect, and "highly questionable".

> Take the total number of
> actions taken by the FCC last year. Take away the commercial FM
> stations, pirate radio stations, companies whose licensing for their 2
> way business radios has expired, manufacturers, etc., etc., and then
> total the number of individuals ONLY. Then, subtract the hammies. What
> you are left with is representational of cbers that had action taken
> against them, and for informational purposes, a ratio can be deduced
> from the above. I'll confess, I didn't use the entire year, I used the
> month of December and multiplied that figure times twelve. If you are
> asking me for a link, do your own homework. Let me know what figure you
> arrive at.

You still have not stated "for the record" your source of data. You would like me to believe it is the FCC, but you stop short of stating so. Why? Are you really sure you have the complete list of enforcement actions taken for the month of December?

> Your hammie bands are in way more danger, due to spectrum auctions and
> other miscellaneous obstructions, of getting the ax, long before 11
> meter is going anywhere. The writing has been on the wall since the
> seventies, only now it is covered with graffiti.

Actually with the 40 channels on the H.F. 11m band, the 14 channels on the UHF FRS band, and now the 5 VHF MURS channels, all lumped together as "CB Radio" under Part 95, you CB operators are in the same boat too. So just wait when some of your fellow CBers find out they like operating on the V/UHF bands also, and the 11m group tells them their bands don't matter. It is going to get interesting because you CBers are going to have to deal with the same issues among yourselves as we Hams have had to deal with for the past 80 years. That will be fun to watch.

> Cell phone analogy? Ok! Walking and chewing gum. Some can, some can't.
> Since some people are incapable of driving and talking, lets ban it for
> everyone. Theres that Jeckyll/Hyde thing again..or am I mistaken? Was
> your position on the cell phone law banning them pro or con?

I have not made up my mind on the issue as of yet. I do admit I have been cut off by more than a few mobile cell phone users however. What I was pointing out, on another news group, there is hard scientific studies that support the point of view that cell phone use while driving has serious safety issues that need to be addressed. The others on that news group said no way. Well our elected officials have used the studies I mentioned as a solid basis to pass laws restricting mobile cell phone use. They are no longer laughing at the idea that laws banning mobile cell phone use would ever be passed. The unthinkable can happen.

> FRS and MURS, already good mods and power exceeding legal limits. They
> gave us more freqs to play with and you're pissed over it, which is why
> you even brought it up, attempting to create a tie-in somehow with the
> new regulations passed.

I'm not pissed, but the people in the GMRS sure are about some of "their frequencies" being turned over for "CB Radio" use! Don't believe me, check out some of the comments filed with the FCC, or just search the Internet.

It is also interesting that you NOW claim there are mod's for FRS radios, and MURS too. You also say that people are using above legal limit power too? Wow! That is truly an amazing turn from what I have been hearing from others on this news group. You just admitted that FRS, and soon MURS will turn out just like 11m has, a big cesspool of illegal operations. Funny I remember bringing that point up before, and was told that was not going to happen. Humm... things do change, and the truth will finally be told, and by a CBer of all people. Thanks Twisted, there is hope for you yet.

> The only tie-in that may exist is they probably
> gave us those to alleviate the 11 meter band a bit. Hell, at least they
> are attempting to HELP us!

The only help the FCC provided was creating a set of channels where people can do what the 11m band originally was meant to provide, a short range communication service for use by the general public, and business. Instead it degenerated in to a cesspool of foul language, and all manner of illegal operations 24x7.

> I'm not uncomfortable with anything, Leland.

Sure you are. You just wish that those postings about FCC enforcement action. agaisnt CBers would just disappear along with any mention of illegal operations. It readly does not make any difference who posted the information, the action was taken by the FCC, not the poster. You hear bad news, and you want to shoot the messanger that brought it. Many people have done that, and it does not change the fact it happened.

> I can't dismiss anything by the troll you refer, 'cause I CAN'T SEE IT!

I can believe that. As long as you have it in your head it's a troll you will never open your mind to new ideas, and new understandings.

> posts when you attempt to use a chainsaw to vasectomize yourself by
> referring to it.

When the discussion gets to the point where you use language like the above I realize you are no longer thinking in a rational manner. Or you just want to distract me from the main point.

> I saw enough to know that anyone that aligns himself
> with it is a troll, no matter what it posts now.

You would like to think I have aligned myself with N8 because that would make it easy for you to dismiss what you read, and thus you don't have to think about it, and be bothered by the ideas you would find there in. In other words it gives you an excuse to stick you head in the sand so to speak, hope the issue goes away by itself, and not have to deal with it.

> It's credibility, as yours will probably soon be, is shot, even if it may
happen to
> be correct (which it never was).

I will give you a hint, credibility was never an issue with me. This is something that you have invented, and tried to elevate above the true issues, so you can distract, and sidetrack the points being made.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

1996. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 20:27:55 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <t3d2j72u5anre2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63376

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:29780-3A351C2B-4@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Where was that screw up, Leland? If I replied to a post misakenly, I
> can admit it, and if necessary, apologize.

Apology accepted.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

1997. Re: Aaron Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 20:31:22 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <DUvZ5.8388$pH1.303116@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 63378

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:16976-3A362BAE-2@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Years ago it was possible? Freddy, check out the busts two weeks ago..
> One was a testing center in Arizona that got popped for fraud (exams)
> and some hammies with it. Go stand by Voobner. He's not really aware
> what has been happening either.
>

Twist, if you are referring to the Prescott AZ caper, it involved testees modifying CSCEs (credits from previous tests) beyond the 365 day limit. The test session itself was not under suspicion. In my VE days, we would have taken the CSCE at face and included them for audit in the test report. Seems that is what they did. The system does work.

Dick N&#65533;BK

1998. Vs: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 20:44:32 UTC
From: Gary <gary@larry.hi>
Message-ID: <9162on$48m$1@news.koti.tpo.fi>
Source record: 63380

Besides, if a vertical has been built as a vertical - according to RF theory, that is, it works the same as any other vertical built according to the same theory. Real simple. Of course, Cbers sometimes buy antennas based on different judgement than hams. What's the point in buying expensive tubes of aluminium instead of building an antenna yourself? That's how I got started. 73, Gary

1999. MOVIE: Vertical Limit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 20:51:40 UTC
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91634s01jq@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 63390
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.shortwave

It seems that there are a few Hollywood screenwriters that are hams trying to hang on to the past.

I saw the movie "Vertical Limit" last night. There's a scene where the climbing party, after surviving an avalanche, is trapped in a mountain cave with a broken radio. They use morse code by keying the mic button on and off, communicating to base camp that they are okay. The dialog goes something like, "Dad taught us morse code when we were kids. He said it might save our life some day".

Maybe at one time, but today, only in a cheesy Hollywood movie.

So I'm going to ruin this movie: The girl, her brother, and a nurse that's part of the rescue party, are the only survivors. Scott Glenn's character saves everyone by cutting himself and the billionare asshole (played by Bill Paxton) loose, when too many people are hanging on one line, and the cam won't hold the weight. Glenn's character planned to kill the billionare anyway, since he felt that the billinare was responsible for his wife's death four years earlier, in a previous climbing expedition. After this act of self-sacrifice/revenge, they all live happily ever after.

There. I saved everyone the price of a ticket.

Tom - N8ECW

2000. Vs: (fwd) Re: Wayne Green was right after all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 20:54:24 UTC
From: Gary <gary@larry.hi>
Message-ID: <9163b8$4ek$1@news.koti.tpo.fi>
Source record: 63381

The only garbage band? Ever wonder why hams don't call CQ the same way as the 27.555 bunch? Come and work me on HF if you can (any of the bands, we're okay on all of them, as well as the VHF upwards...) Gary (wow, I wish I had forty channels, too)

2001. Re: Aaron Answers Illegals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 20:59:52 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3691C8.AD4AA826@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63379

Come back in 5 years when the sunspot cycle is at a low.
CB comes and goes in 11 year cycles. It also cycles to
high and low activity in 6 month cycles. Maybe you can
make the connections as to the reasons why.

Here's a hint: when the skip is low, less power is used
because less power is needed to talk more then five or
ten miles. I've been on the CB since 1977. Did you get
yours for Christmas 1999? Your Kraco must have been a
stocking stuffer. Remember that most hams cut their
teeth on CB. When they get tired of CB, they get their
ticket. When they get tired of that, they come back to CB.

Tonight is net night for our local CB SSB club. We have
about a 50/50 mix of CB'ers and dual operators. Some talk
about meeting on other bands after the net. That's fine
with me. Us single banders can use the channel to talk
to each other with less interruptions, since half the
channel goes to 10 or 6 or 2 meters! If you don't like
what you're hearing, hurry up and pass the test. If I
don't like what I'm hearing, I change the channel or
turn it off. Those knobs are on my TRC-451. It works
real good for a radio that was in somebody's junk box!

aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:
> Someday I want to turn
> on my CB radio and not hear overpowered bullies victimizing other CB
> users "because they can".

2002. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 21:01:12 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <ja4d3tk8qqhc2hoja15bp97n4hdu3i7oea@4ax.com>
Source record: 63382

snip
>why do the antenna manufacturers reccomend an 18 ' length.
snip

Because that length may reduce the possibility of you having
a problem with your installation.

2003. Re: (fwd) Re: Wayne Green was right after all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 21:34:00 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A3699C8.A5AC0E00@oio.net>
Source record: 63383

Scott,
I just finished looking at the FCC home page, and
can't find anything about reallocation of amateur band
frequencies. Most of the 'new' allocations are above
24 GHZ, and only one was in an amateur band at all.
That was in the 'chunk' taken out of the 220 band a
number of years ago, and never utilized. If you want
to 'believe' in ol'Wayne, be my guest. But I'd do so
with a large 'grain of salt'.
'Doc

2004. Re: Aaron Answers Illegals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 21:41:21 UTC
From: WildBill <wildbill@hose.com>
Message-ID: <5YwZ5.5162$Oz5.517975@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63384

aaron, Yes i am accusing you of spreading false information of which can end in legal issue for you if it continues . The people you mentioned that are so called selling amps building them selling cocaine etc etc are farther from the truth than you can ever know. And by you accusing these people falsely by either rumour or whatever means you used to gather your information, this information is unfounded and untrue and is pure bullshit speculation on your part which shows how much and or how little you actually do know. Don't accuse me and put words in my mouth I never said in any of my posts the FCC doesnt care, or no one is gonna enforce the rules etc, again pure bs on your part. Why should they post there pictures on any web site what will that do sell more amps . Better Yet why not post your picture on the web so I can print it out and wipe my ass with it. Do you think these people are stupid di you think they dont know amps are illegal . Why are you hiding

Wild bill
FIRO "Friend Of Illegal Radio Operators

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:9161io$q2$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Wild Bill accuses me of spreading incorrect information about illegal
> amp makers. Bill, I don't understand. If "the FCC don't care" as you
> guys say, and "no one is gonna enforce the rules" as you guys are fond
> of saying, then why should DaveMade, XForce, NoName, SkullCracka, etc.
> be in hiding? Why don't they post big fat photos of themselves on the
> web along with an 800 number that says CALL ME FOR ILLEGAL AMPS. I
> don't get it. If they're not worried, why hide?
>
>
> Sincerely,
> Aaron H. Voobner, FLRC
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2005. Re: (fwd) Re: Wayne Green was right after all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 21:44:57 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A369C59.EBE4D28B@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63386

It might be a troll message. I forwarded it from rram.

'Doc wrote:
>
> Scott,
> I just finished looking at the FCC home page, and
> can't find anything about reallocation of amateur band
> frequencies. Most of the 'new' allocations are above
> 24 GHZ, and only one was in an amateur band at all.
> That was in the 'chunk' taken out of the 220 band a
> number of years ago, and never utilized. If you want
> to 'believe' in ol'Wayne, be my guest. But I'd do so
> with a large 'grain of salt'.
> 'Doc

2006. Re: Illegals Fear Aaron Voobner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 21:45:45 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001212164545.22623.00001094@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 63388

get a license first, then open your big trap until then shut up
...{illegal}...yeah im talking to you aaron

2007. Re: Clarification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 21:46:01 UTC
From: WildBill <wildbill@hose.com>
Message-ID: <t0xZ5.1278$ul1.497821@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63387

JR the point remains we are both doing the same exact thing transmtting on a radio. Point being we are not murdering people and you are taking up a collection for the homeless. Your sheet of paper doesnt make you a better operator a more skilled or even more knowledgeable for that matter. So dont act like we are doing anything differently than ham ops . BTW i have also been a ham for 22 years so suck my dick. i been there and got the T -shirt
"JR" <jr@some.net> wrote in message news:3A3520A9.AA980C89@some.net...
> It means I am operating legally and without it, you are not.
>
> WildBill wrote:
>
> > what difference does it make if your a ham or a cber if you run 1500 watts ,
> > none the only thing is you need a license what does the piece of paper do
> > nothing you can wipe your ass with it it doesnt mean anything it means you
> > rememberd a few answers to questions on a test it doesnt mean you are any
> > better of an operator than the next guy. go stick your lips on a tail pipe.
> > "Sparky" <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message
>

2008. cross post to the Ham NG's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 21:47:45 UTC
From: WildBill <wildbill@hose.com>
Message-ID: <52xZ5.1280$ul1.498548@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63389

I say fukem all lets cross post to all the ham NG's any bullshit you can think of post it there lets start a little game of suck my ass

2009. Re: Aaron Answers Illegals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 21:53:48 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001212165348.22707.00001254@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 63391

aaron is a douchebag "wanna be" ham....trying to enforce the law when he has no certification.....Sounds like you got picked on in school alot....give it up

2010. Europeen and french repeaters lists and beacons lists.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 22:03:58 UTC
From: F6GKD <f6gkd@wanadoo.fr>
Message-ID: <9167c9ook1@wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 63394

http://www.ref-union.org/cnrr/

http://www.ref-union.org/balises/
--
F6GKD

2011. Re: STOP ME!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 22:11:47 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <DoxZ5.26$fw5.649@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63395

Thank you for that post! You have finally put into words some of what I have been feeling but neglected to mention. I, for one, have not seen the necessity for morse in quite a while, but, after dropping my extra license, 1st phone and telegraph tickets back in the early '80s, I was amazed at the 'test' when I decided to regain my tickets in 1993. Heck, I didn't even bother with the resonant frequency problems - didn't want to extract square roots by hand and didn't bring a calculator (don't ask about my slipstick - lost that in the '70s). Since you only need a passing score, I just marked off a bunch of answers to finish the test. The CW test was a joke. Multiple guess. On the GROL, I found out there was no extra charge to test for the (what is it? GMDSS?) maritime distress signalling whatchamacallit so I took it. They apologized profusely - I failed that GMD thingy by one question - and I know absolutely ZILCH about it! Yep, true story. So I settled for the GROL and extra. As far as some of the codeless techs posting to the ng - my suggestion to them would be either QRT or ZBM2. Thanks again for a most interesting (and true) post.

73s from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message
news:IcqY5.5994$g77.670921@nntp2.onemain.com...
>
> <supahman@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:90soov$1qk$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> >
> >
> > I've thought about joining the ranks of the Amateurs in town. But the
> > attitude I got when I walked into the towns' library (after one the
> > Hams noticed a 55 coil on my pick-up truck) and was asked if I was a
> > CBer, I said "yes!" and got the... you know, the "NO-CODE Technician"
> > attitude of "holier than thou", frown of the brow, 1000 yard stare from
> > these individuals made me absolutely furious. I haven't even taken the
> > test yet and they were already banging away with "hmmmmmmm's" and
> > the "hawwwwwww's" of how CB has gotten way out of hand and how "folks
> > like yourself should be ashamed of..." this and that and on and on and
> > on. I politely told the VE's that were there to hold onto the test for
> > me (because obviously I wasn't gonna be taking this "right of passage
> > into the realm of radio" written examination with the Devil and his
> > agents lurking above me waiting for a sign of weakness before they
> > snatch me and throw me a bottomless pit of argon asses (Extra Class
> > licensees')) and left. To clarify, I politely told the HAM to take
> > that damned test and shove it up you know where.
>

> If that is what you encountered, good for you. And that comes from an old
> Extra with rosin in his veins and burn holes in the carpet. I think you
may
> have us OT Extras figured wrong but I am coming to believe that you may
have
> the codeless contingent figured just about right. In fact I am coming to
> look at them as a major wart on the ass of the hobby. I do a
> post-restructuring analysis of amateur licenses on another group just to
> stir the old shit just a bit; one of the things that pops right up is that
> Techs don't advance in the hobby. So we seem to have created a collection
> of 'hams' who come storming in claiming equality without doing a whole
lot,
> get stepped on, and go out stepping on people like you but would never
stoop
> to a simple-minded code test.
>
> Do yourself a favor, use the facilities of QRZ.com, AH0A.org, and me if
you
> need to. Get the code test out of the way and take the verstunken Extra.
> Then go back to the club, piss on the Techs' shoes, and tell them it is
> raining. Make some cryptic comments about 'real radio' in the process
with
> a knowing, superior air. Have some fun with the dangling hemorrhoids.
>
> Can't say that I totally approve of what you are doing but who asked my
> opinion? What I do know is that I have seen any number of 'outlaws'
become
> some very good amateurs with not a lot of help and even less preaching.
> Elmered a fair number myself.
>
> Go ye and sin some more.
>
> Dick McCollum NBK
>
>

2012. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 22:13:42 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-E16506.17134212122000@nntp.lucent.com>
Source record: 63397

In article <914a4u$uv3$1@newsfeed.smartt.com>, "Andrew"
<akocsar@smartt.com> wrote:

> If the resistance of a length of coax (6, 10, or 50 ') is approximately 50
> ohms, why do the antenna manufacturers reccomend an 18 ' length. I'm
> assuming now that there is more to the match than the resistance of a
> particular length of cable.
> Thanks,
> Andrew

No respectable manufacturer recommends an 18' length.
There is no need for any particular length.

2013. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 22:16:08 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-989E5B.17160812122000@nntp.lucent.com>
Source record: 63398

In article <ja4d3tk8qqhc2hoja15bp97n4hdu3i7oea@4ax.com>, tnom@mucks.net wrote:

> snip
> >why do the antenna manufacturers reccomend an 18 ' length.
> snip
>
> Because that length may reduce the possibility of you having
> a problem with your installation.

Total nonsense. There is NO guarantee and NO likelihood that 18' of coax will reduce the possibility of installation problems.

2014. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 22:19:43 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-613617.17194312122000@nntp.lucent.com>
Source record: 63399

In article <7tjc3toehb3quoaekkd2o2hj97qbjjqj7a@4ax.com>,
Handy Andy, codyspc@iserv.net wrote:

> Greetings!
>
> Well, to answer the question, let's clear some things up...
>
> You mention resistance of the coax, er - it's the Impedance of the
> coax.

It is the characteristic impedance of the coax.

> Why coax length? Well, if you can't tune the antenna, the antenna was
> designed [coiled, wrapped, tested, sold] to show a resonance, or low
> SWR if you use a particular length.

Total nonsense. Coax length does NOT affect SWR.

> If you did all your homework right, you can buy that antenna and use
> any length of coax you want.

Even if you didn't do your homework, you can use any length of coax
you want.

2015. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 22:20:44 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <2p8d3tk651ofmrbqbmlhqhqq92ocf7h0oh@4ax.com>
Source record: 63396

snip
>why do the antenna manufacturers reccomend an 18 ' length.
snip

18 feet is a good choice.

To just name a few. Firestik, K-40, Wilson,and Antenna Specialist recommend an 18 foot length of coax. Others manufacturers either don't use an 18 foot length, or have no recommendation at all.

Some on this group will tell you to use any length, or use the shortest length possible. The only problem with this is that it is based on having no benefit to you other than the cost of the coax, or the convenience of having no excess coax length to deal with.

If you are asking for a coax length to use in the first place then I assume that you are going to get the diagonals out and cut a piece. If so what would you end up with if you used the shortest length possible? Assume a 8 foot length of RG58. RG58 has a power loss of 3.17% at this length. Now choose an 18 foot section of RG58. RG58 at this length has a power loss of 7.15%. The difference between the two choices is about .19db or for a four watt signal you loose .16 watts. No one will ever be able to notice this difference at all. Moral of the story?.............There is no performance benefit in using the 8 foot length.

Conclusion: If you are operating without problems, and are using an existing length of mobile coax that is not 18 feet then don't worry about it. However if you are starting from scratch, and want to use the best choice, then go with the 18 foot length.

Here are some web sights that recommend 18 feet. Some even explain why.

http://www.firestik.com/FAQ.htm

http://www.wilsonantenna.com/swrf.htm

http://www.wilsonantenna.com/coax.htm

http://64.70.218.95/k40cb/CBfaq.htm#What

2016. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 22:25:27 UTC
From: Chas <eat@joes.com>
Message-ID: <35F6B08F26D0D72B.CC95E4FBC6D6115E.E2A10F03ACF324F9@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 63400

I don't know much about CBs but I had one in my car 20 years ago.
Don't people cut the limiter circuit and turn up the outputs so you
can be louder?
(peak and tune) as the CB shops call it. This overdrives the radio
and causes splatter and harmonics to get out of hand. Amplify that and
you have trouble. A earlier post asked if he has a fiberglass antenna.
Yes he does. He told me it is a Antron. That could be compounding the
problem if it's a known bleeder.
Lived next to a ham years ago. I never had any trouble with his
radios. He was retired and spend a lot of time on it too. I think it
was the 4mhz area he liked.
My neighbor was silent last night. Guess enough neighbors complained
to take it serious. I know one was going to talk with him this last
weekend.

Chas

On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 13:47:09 GMT, methusaleh11@my-deja.com wrote:

>
>Wow, what radio is modified by turning screws and cutting circuits?
>Never heard of that before unless you mean a modulation adjust and then
>he cut out a board and put in a different one.
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
>Before you buy.

2017. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 22:30:06 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-8AD389.17300612122000@nntp.lucent.com>
Source record: 63401

In article <915jdr$d89$1@iac5.navix.net>, "Fred Hambrecht"
<Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

> 18 feet represents a half wave and has the characteristic of repeating at
> the near end exactly what it sees at the far end.

Only if the velocity facter were 1.0. This is almost certainly not the
case.

> Have you heard someone say "I adjusted my SWRs by adding/subtracting coax"

Only someone who doesn't know what he is talking about.

> They really did not adjust the SWR, they simply picked a length of coax
> that transformed the actual load to a 50 ohm load that allowed the SWR
> meter to see 50 ohms and indicate 1:1.

There is NO length of 50 ohm coax that will transform an non-50 ohm load
into 50 ohms. It simply cannot be done!

> Take the earlier case of the 1/4 wave length with a short looking open,
> obviously I can adjust the length to a 50 ohm point and have 1:1 on a
> piece of shorted coax.

Total nonsense. This is impossible. I guess you can spin straw into
gold, too.

> SWR means nothing except that a conjunctive match allows the greatest
> transfer of power.

It is a "conjugate" match.

SWR certainly does mean something! A conjugate match with a high SWR
will have higher losses than a conjugate match with a low SWR.
Furthermore, most CBs do not provide any mechanism for creating a
conjugate match to a non-50 ohm load. Thus, you must have a 50 ohm
load for a conjugate match. That is, you must have an SWR close
to 1:1 for a conjugate match and the highest transfer of power.

> In short SWR's are the source of more myths and urban legends than the
> internet ;>}

Yeah. And you keep spreading them!

2018. Re: Aaron Answers Illegals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 22:33:12 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <916938$7nt$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63406

In article <9161io$q2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:
> Sorry, but there are so many questions directed at me that I thought
I'd
> centralize theme here instead of making people look all over the
> newsgroup. Also I have no need to answer some of the more ignorant
> personal attacks. Their message reflects poorly on their owners.
>
> Okay, first up is Stervarino. He says I am Mark Fuller In Disguise.
> Sterve, I researched Mark Fuller's posts and it is obvious that he
took
> a lot of abuse in this newsgroup for posing the same kinds of
questions.
> I see you are a ham who is not concerned that illegal CB operations
have
> been slowly expanding upward in frequency. It is no longer uncommon or
> rare to hear truckers and other AM CBers in the 10 meter CW band. Now
> the only reason I can think of why you might condone this kind of
> activity is that maybe you make money selling this stuff to CBers. I
> could be wrong.
>
> In a later post Sterve denies that there is any CB problem. Please
> Sterve, just look here in this NG at the thread entitled "neighbor
> bleeds on phones and stereos". If that doesn't convince you, ask
> yourself if the mountain of letters and complaints from Vernon Ehlers
> constituents were imaginary or if they were in fact real people being
> victimized by CBers.
>
> Wild Bill accuses me of spreading incorrect information about illegal
> amp makers. Bill, I don't understand. If "the FCC don't care" as you
> guys say, and "no one is gonna enforce the rules" as you guys are fond
> of saying, then why should DaveMade, XForce, NoName, SkullCracka, etc.
> be in hiding? Why don't they post big fat photos of themselves on the
> web along with an 800 number that says CALL ME FOR ILLEGAL AMPS. I
> don't get it. If they're not worried, why hide?
>
> Fingerbang wants me to stop. because he says responses to my posts
have
> been less than stellar. Not true, only a portion of responses have
been
> attacks on me. There are quite a few proponents of legal CB in the
> newsgroup as well. Do you want them to go away, too?
>
> Twistedhead says (in essence) there are much worse crimes than CB rule

> violations and so that justifies doing whatever he wants on CB. (Sigh)
> Twist, you are incorrigible. Others have made much more eleoquent
> arguments than I on the subject of personal responsibility. I will
> leave you to read them.
>
> Lastly I am asked what is it I want. It's simple. Someday I want to
turn
> on my CB radio and not hear overpowered bullies victimizing other CB
> users "because they can".
>
> Sincerely,
> Aaron H. Voobner, FLRC
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

ok if that is what you want, then why are you here? what's the
matter? did some big strapper put you on the back of the bus? did
somebody piss in your cornflakes? as captain binghamtom would say,
what, what, what?????? by the way , with all the bands at your
disposal, why do you want to talk on a cb, i mean you guys keep telling
us how better you are than us and that you can talk anywhere and do it
legally. i mean , what is it about the 11 meter band that has that hold
on you voobner,....comode, comode,.....cd is out.....
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2019. Re: Why is it that Tech's are the biggest complainers about CB amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 22:35:01 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11199-3A36A815-85@storefull-125.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63410

And I don't doubt you in any way whatsoever, Jerry. As the ops go..those that do and those that don't......in both services. I know what the hams around me run, some are my buds, others aren't, as you say, there are many who don't, there are probably just as many that do. I don't have a number and I wouldn't venture to guesstimate. I can give you a good example of lower band useage, though. If I'm sitting at the beach in my truck with the bowl on, or, lets say 38 lsb for the sake of it to take away any issues of running power for the moment, and one of my local hammies (who I and others in the area thought was a good decent op until we found him on another CB channel bragging about his power of shutting us all down on our local freq) blasts through the bands all the way from 10, I don't go up to his freq and plug his ears, or start junk with him, even though I very easily could. I switch the band down a notch, and the lower I go the less I hear until he is gone. Off topic a bit, but to reiterate, yea, there are those who do and those who don't. The ones 'round here like power, and I don't have a problem with it...unless they start a pissing contest, or, like the hammies would have a legitimate gripe if a cber went to 10, he comes down to the cb band starting a problem. I have no problem talking to anyone, hammie or not, on the cb band, and I could care less what they are running. If I can hear you, I will talk to you.

2020. Re: FS: NEW 6146b TUBES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 22:35:27 UTC
From: lzboyz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001212173527.18044.00002687@nso-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 63403

Don`t be stupid like I was. Buy`em from someone you can trust.
73
Randy
>Subject: FS: NEW 6146b TUBES
>From: rh3333@aol.com (RH3333)
>Date: 11 Dec 2000 23:54:23 GMT
>
>NEW Jolida Brand of 6146-B tubes - $14.00/ea + shipping
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ------------------- Headers --------------------
>
>Path: lobby!newstf02.news.aol.com!audrey05.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
>Lines: 1
>X-Admin: news@aol.com
>From: rh3333@aol.com (RH3333)
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
>Date: 11 Dec 2000 23:54:23 GMT
>Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
>Subject: FS: NEW 6146b TUBES
>Message-ID: <20001211185423.02101.00002938@ng-da1.aol.com>
>
>

2021. Re: FS: BRAND NEW 2 TUBER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 22:35:28 UTC
From: lzboyz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001212173528.18044.00002689@nso-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 63404

Don`t be stupid like I was.
Avoid this like the plague !!!!!
73
Randy

>Subject: FS: BRAND NEW 2 TUBER
>From: rh3333@aol.com (RH3333)
>Date: 11 Dec 2000 19:59:27 GMT
>
>I have a brand new 2 tuber - with 2 each 3-500Z Graphite tubes which was
>built
>for me to be very 'narrow banded', to cut out most of the bleed over. Unit
>has a built in keying curcuit so you do not need a foot key. Needs about
>50-60
>watts for dead key + 300-400 watts of swang to get the maximum output. Unit
>was build by Mr. FM, in Florida. $1650
>Serious Email inquiries only PLEASE
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ------------------- Headers --------------------
>
>Path: lobby!newstf02.news.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
>Lines: 6
>X-Admin: news@aol.com
>From: rh3333@aol.com (RH3333)
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
>Date: 11 Dec 2000 19:59:27 GMT
>Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
>Subject: FS: BRAND NEW 2 TUBER
>Message-ID: <20001211145927.20864.00005050@ng-fc1.aol.com>
>
>

2022. Re: FS: LARGE CERMANIC TRANSMITTING TUBES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 22:35:28 UTC
From: lzboyz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001212173528.18044.00002688@nso-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 63405

Don`t be stupid like I was. Buy`em from someone you can trust
73
Randy

>Subject: FS: LARGE CERMANIC TRANSMITTING TUBES
>From: rh3333@aol.com (RH3333)
>Date: 11 Dec 2000 20:25:51 GMT
>
>I have the following transmitting tubes available
>4 - 4CX1500B - PULL - $395
>2 - 4CX1000A7 NEW - $495
>1 - 3CX1200A NEW - $450
>1 - 3CPX5000A - PULL - $525
>1 - 3CX10,000A7 - PULL - $850
>1 - 3CX15,000H3 - REBUILT/PULL - $900
>1 - 3CW20,000A7- NEW - $1400
>1 - 4CX15,000A7 - PULL - $900
>
>2 - 3CX800A7- ONE/MATCH PAIR - NEW - $295/EACH or $650/PAIR
>
>10 - 4PR1000A- - PULLS GUARANTEED NOT TO BE DOA BUT UNTESTED
> $125/EA BUY 5 GET 1 FREE
> 4 - SOCKETS FOR ABOVE 4-1000A/4PR1000A - $45/EA
>
>>>>Serious inquires ONLY -please<<
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ------------------- Headers --------------------
>
>Path: lobby!newstf02.news.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
>Lines: 17
>X-Admin: news@aol.com
>From: rh3333@aol.com (RH3333)
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
>Date: 11 Dec 2000 20:25:51 GMT
>Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
>Subject: FS: LARGE CERMANIC TRANSMITTING TUBES
>Message-ID: <20001211152551.20864.00005053@ng-fc1.aol.com>
>

>

2023. Re: Aaron Answers Illegals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 22:35:47 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <916982$7rb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63412

In article <5YwZ5.5162$Oz5.517975@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
"WildBill" <wildbill @hose.com> wrote:
> aaron, Yes i am accusing you of spreading false information of which
can end
> in legal issue for you if it continues . The people you mentioned
that are
> so called selling amps building them selling cocaine etc etc are
farther
> from the truth than you can ever know. And by you accusing these
people
> falsely by either rumour or whatever means you used to gather your
> information, this information is unfounded and untrue and is pure
bullshit
> speculation on your part which shows how much and or how little you
actually
> do know. Don't accuse me and put words in my mouth I never said in
any of my
> posts the FCC doesnt care, or no one is gonna enforce the rules
etc, again
> pure bs on your part. Why should they post there pictures on any web
site
> what will that do sell more amps . Better Yet why not post your
picture on
> the web so I can print it out and wipe my ass with it. Do you think
these
> people are stupid di you think they dont know amps are illegal . Why
are you
> hiding
>
> Wild bill
> FIRO "Friend Of Illegal Radio Operators
>
> <aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:9161io$q2$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > Wild Bill accuses me of spreading incorrect information about
illegal
> > amp makers. Bill, I don't understand. If "the FCC don't care" as you
> > guys say, and "no one is gonna enforce the rules" as you guys are
fond
> > of saying, then why should DaveMade, XForce, NoName, SkullCracka,
etc.
> > be in hiding? Why don't they post big fat photos of themselves on
the
> > web along with an 800 number that says CALL ME FOR ILLEGAL AMPS. I

> > don't get it. If they're not worried, why hide?
> >
> >
> > Sincerely,
> > Aaron H. Voobner, FLRC
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>
>

oh come on , wild bill , you know damn good and well voobner know what he is talking about and that all the info he described is true and accurate, comode, comode,.....cd is out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2024. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 22:36:04 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-EBD20F.17360412122000@nntp.lucent.com>
Source record: 63408

In article <915jtbdec1@iac5.navix.net>, "Fred Hambrecht"
<Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

> The normal mobile installation is about 37 ohms do to losses and
> imperfect ground plane.

Not that it really matters but I reject your premise that a normal
mobile installation is about 37 ohms. Given all the variables,
there is no way to say what the normally found impedance is.

> If you put the 18 foot on a smith chart you will see it does a
> good job of transforming the 37 to 50 and makes everyone's SWR meter
> happy.

Total nonsense. It does no such thing. There is no length of 50 ohm
coax that will transform 37 ohms into 50 ohms.

> I took some liberties in a previous post by calling it a half wave for
> illustrative purposes, but the idea is the same.

You took some liberties with the facts, too.

> 73 Fred W4JLE (Licensed for 44/100 of the existence of radio) ;>)

Oh, boy.

2025. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 22:37:27 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-DCF1D0.17372712122000@nntp.lucent.com>
Source record: 63407

In article <3A35B1F2.19DE8F17@hotmail.com>, "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:

> The reason for 18 feet is so if you have a mismatch, the voltage at
> a half wavelength is at a null or minimum.

There is no guarantee of any "null or minumum" at 18 feet.
There is no reason to recommend 18 feet.

2026. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 22:38:01 UTC
From: Chas <eat@joes.com>
Message-ID: <EBF57D362268D3A6.156DB5AF8A06B8A1.F111472484CA781B@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 63402

Thanks
Logging is the next step I guess. Tried ferrite RF chokes on the
speaker wires and power cable. Bought a surge protector with RFI
filter built in too.They cut the interference down some. Half as loud.
Got two filters from the phone company. Don't know yet if they work.
Going to start monitoring when he talks using a shortwave receiver.
He was quiet last night.

Chas

On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 17:29:20 GMT, fingerbang@my-deja.com wrote:

>>
> Hi Chas,
>Well I can throw in my 2 cents..... First I would try to talk to him,
>if's that possible, then explain to him that his radio transmissions
>are ruining your quality of life. Maybe work a schedule out so he can
>transmit at times it won't affect you. Second ask him to help stop
>the noise from coming over your stereo & phone, maybe that will stop
>the interferance. If all else fails do what was already reccomended,
>make logs and keep them accurate.
> I must encourage you to first talk to him before going to the
>authorites, because you still have to live next to this person.
>
> Good Luck,
>
> FiNgErBaNg
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com
>http://www.deja.com/

2027. Re: MOVIE: Vertical Limit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 22:39:59 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <9169ft$864$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63413
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

In article <91634s01jq@enews2.newsguy.com>,
tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) wrote:
> It seems that there are a few Hollywood screenwriters that are hams
trying to
> hang on to the past.
>
> I saw the movie "Vertical Limit" last night. There's a scene where
the climbing
> party, after surviving an avalanche, is trapped in a mountain cave
with a
> broken radio. They use morse code by keying the mic button on and
off,
> communicating to base camp that they are okay. The dialog goes
something like,
> "Dad taught us morse code when we were kids. He said it might save
our life
> some day".
>
> Maybe at one time, but today, only in a cheesy Hollywood movie.
>
> So I'm going to ruin this movie: The girl, her brother, and a nurse
that's part
> of the rescue party, are the only survivors. Scott Glenn's character
saves
> everyone by cutting himself and the billionare asshole (played by
Bill Paxton)
> loose, when too many people are hanging on one line, and the cam
won't hold the
> weight. Glenn's character planned to kill the billionare anyway,
since he felt
> that the billinare was responsible for his wife's death four years
> earlier, in a previous climbing expedition. After this act of
> self-sacrifice/revenge, they all live happily ever after.
>
> There. I saved everyone the price of a ticket.
>
> Tom - N8ECW
>
>
well tom the movie is already ruined by the footage they are using to
advertise it. silly at its best. and they must think we are a bunch of
total idiots. i mean, all these extreme scenes where there is no way,
and i do mean no way people can do this stuff, especially the scene

where this idiot makes a running jump at trying to snag the side of a outcrop with his climbing ax, oh please give me a break,.....cd is out........

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2028. Re: cross post to the Ham NG's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 22:43:34 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <9169mj$8ah$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63414

In article <52xZ5.1280$uI1.498548@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
"WildBill" <wildbill @hose.com> wrote:
> I say fukem all lets cross post to all the ham NG's any bullshit you
can
> think of post it there lets start a little game of suck my ass
>
>

well i think its about time, i don't know if they know these
malcontents are over here spreading their idea of holiday's greetings.
i would be willing to bet that most of the old heads over there
probably ran these pussy's off of the ham radio newsgroups because of
their flagrant misuse of their computer terminals coupled with their
collective lack of radio knowledges, comode, comode,.....cd is out....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2029. Re: FCC broadcast on 10m

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 22:44:55 UTC
From: Chas <eat@joes.com>
Message-ID: <6D0E2E5F99E349AC.CA85121D95E60090.1EC57A342545C6F3@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 63409

If enough neighbors complain I think some action will be taken. A simple warning may do it. Don't wish to see anyone fined unless they don't cooperate.

Chas

On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 13:47:50 -0500 (EST), twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:

> It will be just as selectively enforced, like any other low priority >infraction, or more likely, not enforcedat all.

2030. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 22:45:57 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <9169r3$8dl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63420

In article
<9B29335BD0224362.18BD83ED49D54271.A8683CEF8A2D3F38@lp.airnews.net>,
Chas <eat@joes.com> wrote:
> Why do some of you CB people run illegal power?
>
> All you do is interfere with other peoples lives. How would you like
> to wake up in the middle of the night hearing voices. It was coming
> from my phone witch was on the receiver. Echoing whistles and other
> odd noises on my stereo while watching a rented DVD movie. I bet he is
> running several hundred watts. Saw the amp setting on his desk the
> first time I complained. How bad he bleeds varies, I guess to how much
> power he has on. Turning screws and cutting circuits in the radio
> dirtying up the signal is bad enough but amplifying it just makes it
> worse.
> I hope the US government makes people get CB licenses again.
> Congress has a bill pending to give local police authority to in force
> FCC rules governing CBs. God I hope it passes. A few bad apples are
> going to kill it for all of you.
>
> Just had to vent a little. My new neighbor is driving me mad.
>
> Chas
>

isn't it strange how this guy shows up about the time this ng is being
assaulted by do-gooder hamsters, food for thought,.......cd is out..

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2031. Re: Why Tech's complain about ILLEGAL CB amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 22:48:50 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <pVxZ5.8442$pH1.323456@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 63411

All twelve of us. The only reason I showed up on 11 was with another high school student doing some fiddling with A4 which was only legal on 11 meters and ran about 55 watts to an old John Meck T-60. A kw was certainly legal but one had to put up with people with torches and nooses on his front lawn. Even in the days leading up to the greatest sunspot cycle of all time, the band was pretty dead.

The video if was 26.75, the sound was 21.25 in the old sets. On some of them 10 meters took courage.

We can agree to disagree but I still think the 4 watt limit sewed the seeds of its own downfall.

Dick N&#65533;BK, first licensed November 1954.

"Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
news:915q0hfpp1@iac5.navix.net...
> Not to be argumentative Sir! We used up to 1000 Watts DC input on 11
meters
> prior to it becoming a Citizens Band. The 4 watt limit was set as
sufficient
> to enable the purpose of CB which was SHORT range personal and business
> communications. Now the old 21 MC IF's were somewhat bothered regardless
of
> power on 15 meters. ;>}
>
> 73 Fred W4JLE (Licensed for 44/100 of the time radio has been in
existence)
>
>
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> > The four watt limit dates from
the
> days when the first video i.f. was at
> > 25.75 MHz and it took cast-iron cajones to work on 27 MHz. It never did
> > make any sense. Amateur practice on 28 was to run about 20-25 minimum.
> The
> > Gonset Communicators of the day, known as Gooney boxes, did, however,
use
> a
> > modulation system that held it to 80 percent or so.
> >
> > Allowing 25 would probably just make linear building a tad easier as
will
> > the upcoming move to 36-42 volt electrical systems in cars. The biggest
> > problem of 11 meters is sunspots.

> >
> > Dick N&#65533;BK
> >
> >
>
>

2032. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 22:49:56 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-85CABC.17495612122000@nntp.lucent.com>
Source record: 63417

In article <2p8d3tk651ofmrbqbmlhqhqq92ocf7h0oh@4ax.com>, tnom@mucks.net
wrote:

> snip
> >why do the antenna manufacturers reccomend an 18 ' length.
> snip
>
> 18 feet is a good choice.

Total nonsense. There is no reason to use 18 feet.

> To just name a few. Firestik, K-40, Wilson,and Antenna Specialist
> recommend an 18 foot length of coax. Others manufacturers either
> don't use an 18 foot length, or have no recommendation at all.

I have only seen Firestik recommend 18 feet. I attempted to
get them to justify that recommendation and all I got was a
cheap personal attack. There is no justification for 18'.

> Some on this group will tell you to use any length, or use the
> shortest length possible. The only problem with this is that it
> is based on having no benefit to you other than the cost of the
> coax, or the convenience of having no excess coax length to deal
> with.

Plus lower losses.

> If you are asking for a coax length to use in the first place then I
> assume that you are going to get the diagonals out and cut a piece.
> If so what would you end up with if you used the shortest length
> possible? Assume a 8 foot length of RG58. RG58 has a power loss of
> 3.17% at this length. Now choose an 18 foot section of RG58. RG58
> at this length has a power loss of 7.15%. The difference between the
> two choices is about .19db or for a four watt signal you loose .16
> watts. No one will ever be able to notice this difference at all.
> Moral of the story?.............There is no performance benefit in
> using the 8 foot length.

You are contradicting yourself. You said there is a 0.19 dB benefit;
then, you said there is no benefit. I admit it is a trivial benefit
but it is there. There are NO advantages of using 18 feet over 8 feet.

> Conclusion: If you are operating without problems, and are using an
> existing length of mobile coax that is not 18 feet then don't worry
> about it. However if you are starting from scratch, and want to use
> the best choice, then go with the 18 foot length.

There is no reason to use 18'. You have provided no justification
that it is the "best choice."

> Here are some web sights that recommend 18 feet. Some even explain
> why.
>
>
> http://www.firestik.com/FAQ.htm

These Firestik guys don't know what they are talking about.

> http://www.wilsonantenna.com/swrf.htm

This site parrots the Firestik site. Obviously, plagiarized or
written by the same guy.

> http://www.wilsonantenna.com/coax.htm

Same as above.

> http://64.70.218.95/k40cb/CBfaq.htm#What

I can't believe the myths on these pages! They are a true
disservice to CB radio. Not only do they carry the coax length
myths, they also propagate the myth that rolling your coax in
a loop makes an RF choke. It does no such thing to the signal
within the coax.

2033. Re: cross post to the Ham NG's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 22:53:57 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A36AC85.DFA62971@oio.net>
Source record: 63416

WildBill wrote:
>
> I say fukem all lets cross post to all the ham NG's any bullshit you can
> think of post it there lets start a little game of suck my ass

Nothing like causing more problems...
'Doc

2034. Re: why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 22:55:25 UTC
From: Chas <eat@joes.com>
Message-ID: <C18C41A70BECDE3C.8BEAB12F6E17044F.5585015BD56F7E11@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 63415

My guess is your poorly made amp was picking up a close CB signal and rebroadcasting it.

On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 15:06:13 -0500, "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Do you turn on all the touch lamps and motion lights too?
>
>picloud wrote:
>>
>> Hey, I plugged my radio into a 100 watt amp and when I drive through certain
>> neighborhoods the garage doors start opening. I left my radio on while I
>> was having a couple of drinks and the TV in the bar had a lot of
>> interference. I went out to my 18 and shut the radio off and the TV was
>> fine after that. Since I wasn't broadcasting, this makes no sense to me. I
>> am not an E-freak, however, anybody got an answer?
>>
>> --
>> Cheers,
>>
>> piCloud

2035. Re: Why Tech's complain about ILLEGAL CB amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 22:58:59 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001212175859.12106.00004654@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 63419

>The biggest
>> >problem of 11 meters is ILLEGAL operators
>

2036. Re: STOP ME!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 22:59:11 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <u2yZ5.18516$JE.930900@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 63418

Thank you, sir. When QLF doesn't apply, ZBM2 is all that's left.

"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:DoxZ5.26$fw5.649@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Thank you for that post! You have finally put into words some of what I
> have been feeling but neglected to mention. I, for one, have not seen the
> necessity for morse in quite a while, but, after dropping my extra
license,
> 1st phone and telegraph tickets back in the early '80s, I was amazed at
the
> 'test' when I decided to regain my tickets in 1993. Heck, I didn't even
> bother with the resonant frequency problems - didn't want to extract
square
> roots by hand and didn't bring a calculator (don't ask about my
slipstick -
> lost that in the '70s). Since you only need a passing score, I just
marked
> off a bunch of answers to finish the test. The CW test was a joke.
> Multiple guess. On the GROL, I found out there was no extra charge to
test
> for the (what is it? GMDSS?) maritime distress signalling whatchamacallit
> so I took it. They apologized profusely - I failed that GMD thingy by one
> question - and I know absolutely ZILCH about it! Yep, true story. So I
> settled for the GROL and extra. As far as some of the codeless techs
> posting to the ng - my suggestion to them would be either QRT or ZBM2.
> Thanks again for a most interesting (and true) post.
>
> 73s from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message
> news:IcqY5.5994$g77.670921@nntp2.onemain.com...
> > >
> > > <supahman@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:90soov$1qk$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > I've thought about joining the ranks of the Amateurs in town. But the
> > > > attitude I got when I walked into the towns' library (after one the
> > > > Hams noticed a 55 coil on my pick-up truck) and was asked if I was a
> > > > CBer, I said "yes!" and got the... you know, the "NO-CODE Technician"
> > > > attitude of "holier than thou", frown of the brow, 1000 yard stare

from
> > > these individuals made me absolutely furious. I haven't even taken
the
> > > test yet and they were already banging away with "hmmmmmmm's" and
> > > the "hawwwwwww's" of how CB has gotten way out of hand and how "folks
> > > like yourself should be ashamed of..." this and that and on and on and
> > > on. I politely told the VE's that were there to hold onto the test
for
> > > me (because obviously I wasn't gonna be taking this "right of passage
> > > into the realm of radio" written examination with the Devil and his
> > > agents lurking above me waiting for a sign of weakness before they
> > > snatch me and throw me a bottomless pit of argon asses (Extra Class
> > > licensees')) and left. To clarify, I politely told the HAM to take
> > > that damned test and shove it up you know where.
> >
> > If that is what you encountered, good for you. And that comes from an
old
> > Extra with rosin in his veins and burn holes in the carpet. I think you
> may
> > have us OT Extras figured wrong but I am coming to believe that you may
> have
> > the codeless contingent figured just about right. In fact I am coming
to
> > look at them as a major wart on the ass of the hobby. I do a
> > post-restructuring analysis of amateur licenses on another group just to
> > stir the old shit just a bit; one of the things that pops right up is
that
> > Techs don't advance in the hobby. So we seem to have created a
collection
> > of 'hams' who come storming in claiming equality without doing a whole
> lot,
> > get stepped on, and go out stepping on people like you but would never
> stoop
> > to a simple-minded code test.
> >
> > Do yourself a favor, use the facilities of QRZ.com, AH0A.org, and me if
> you
> > need to. Get the code test out of the way and take the verstunken
Extra.
> > Then go back to the club, piss on the Techs' shoes, and tell them it is
> > raining. Make some cryptic comments about 'real radio' in the process
> with
> > a knowing, superior air. Have some fun with the dangling hemorrhoids.
> >
> > Can't say that I totally approve of what you are doing but who asked my
> > opinion? What I do know is that I have seen any number of 'outlaws'
> become
> > some very good amateurs with not a lot of help and even less preaching.
> > Elmered a fair number myself.

> >
> > Go ye and sin some more.
> >
> > Dick McCollum N&#65533;BK
> >
> >
>
>

2037. Re: DaveMade rumor?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 23:02:16 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001212180216.12106.00004656@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 63421

>Subject: Re: DaveMade rumor?
>From: "WildBill" wildbill@iluvdahose.com

Bill,

I do not recall anyone posting anything beyond some first names and states
people were allegedly in. Maybe a city, Chicago???

Wild Bill spewed:
>so you condone the spreading of incorrect information that may cause harm to
>those who have done no wrong. .

2038. Twistie trippin again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 23:07:21 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001212180721.12106.00004658@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 63422

Twistie said:

>But that stuff about
>barefoot hammies is all malarkey and deep down, I know that you KNOW it

N8WWM sez: Twistie, I see the psychological control of arguement attempt you are laying down here. You are trying to change the arguement to a different issue.
Most hams are legal. Most CBers are not. Period.
That's the focus, not the stuff yer sayin'.

2039. Re: MOVIE: Vertical Limit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 23:12:05 UTC
From: kg9qm@nomail.net
Message-ID: <9hyZ5.46$75.54894@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 63423
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.shortwave

So, what your saying is that Dad was right?

In article <91634s01jq@enews2.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com was of the
opinion...
>
.....
>"Dad taught us morse code when we were kids. He said it might save our life
>some day".
>
.....
>... they all live happily ever after.
>
>Tom - N8ECW
>

2040. Another shamless Plug, Siltronix web page again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 23:36:56 UTC
From: Skipp <skipp@pilot.ucdavis.edu>
Message-ID: <916cqo$j8b$2@mark.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 63424

Hi there,

I've updated the Siltronix web page again, have a look if you get a moment. thanks

http://sonic.ucdavis.edu in the amateur radio pages

cheers
skipp@pilot.ucdavis.edu

2041. Re: STOP ME!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 23:39:13 UTC
From: Guyton
Message-ID: <3A36B721.74D6@bayou.com>
Source record: 63425

ZBM2 = "Place a qualified operator on this circuit"
Its been 40 years since I've seen that z-sig. James has gotta
be a ex-navy radio operator! :))

Pat Guyton

KF5ER
JAMES HAMPTON wrote:
>
> Thank you for that post! You have finally put into words some of what I
> have been feeling but neglected to mention. I, for one, have not seen the
> necessity for morse in quite a while, but, after dropping my extra license,
> 1st phone and telegraph tickets back in the early '80s, I was amazed at the
> 'test' when I decided to regain my tickets in 1993. Heck, I didn't even
> bother with the resonant frequency problems - didn't want to extract square
> roots by hand and didn't bring a calculator (don't ask about my slipstick -
> lost that in the '70s). Since you only need a passing score, I just marked
> off a bunch of answers to finish the test. The CW test was a joke.
> Multiple guess. On the GROL, I found out there was no extra charge to test
> for the (what is it? GMDSS?) maritime distress signalling whatchamacallit
> so I took it. They apologized profusely - I failed that GMD thingy by one
> question - and I know absolutely ZILCH about it! Yep, true story. So I
> settled for the GROL and extra. As far as some of the codeless techs
> posting to the ng - my suggestion to them would be either QRT or ZBM2.
> Thanks again for a most interesting (and true) post.
>
> 73s from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message
> news:IcqY5.5994$g77.670921@nntp2.onemain.com...
> >
> > <supahman@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:90soov$1qk$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > I've thought about joining the ranks of the Amateurs in town. But the
> > > attitude I got when I walked into the towns' library (after one the
> > > Hams noticed a 55 coil on my pick-up truck) and was asked if I was a
> > > CBer, I said "yes!" and got the... you know, the "NO-CODE Technician"
> > > attitude of "holier than thou", frown of the brow, 1000 yard stare from
> > > these individuals made me absolutely furious. I haven't even taken the
> > > test yet and they were already banging away with "hmmmmmmm's" and
> > > the "hawwwwwww's" of how CB has gotten way out of hand and how "folks
> > > like yourself should be ashamed of..." this and that and on and on and

> > > on. I politely told the VE's that were there to hold onto the test for
> > > me (because obviously I wasn't gonna be taking this "right of passage
> > > into the realm of radio" written examination with the Devil and his
> > > agents lurking above me waiting for a sign of weakness before they
> > > snatch me and throw me a bottomless pit of argon asses (Extra Class
> > > licensees')) and left. To clarify, I politely told the HAM to take
> > > that damned test and shove it up you know where.
> >
> > If that is what you encountered, good for you. And that comes from an old
> > Extra with rosin in his veins and burn holes in the carpet. I think you
> may
> > have us OT Extras figured wrong but I am coming to believe that you may
> have
> > the codeless contingent figured just about right. In fact I am coming to
> > look at them as a major wart on the ass of the hobby. I do a
> > post-restructuring analysis of amateur licenses on another group just to
> > stir the old shit just a bit; one of the things that pops right up is that
> > Techs don't advance in the hobby. So we seem to have created a collection
> > of 'hams' who come storming in claiming equality without doing a whole
> lot,
> > get stepped on, and go out stepping on people like you but would never
> stoop
> > to a simple-minded code test.
> >
> > Do yourself a favor, use the facilities of QRZ.com, AH0A.org, and me if
> you
> > need to. Get the code test out of the way and take the verstunken Extra.
> > Then go back to the club, piss on the Techs' shoes, and tell them it is
> > raining. Make some cryptic comments about 'real radio' in the process
> with
> > a knowing, superior air. Have some fun with the dangling hemorrhoids.
> >
> > Can't say that I totally approve of what you are doing but who asked my
> > opinion? What I do know is that I have seen any number of 'outlaws'
> become
> > some very good amateurs with not a lot of help and even less preaching.
> > Elmered a fair number myself.
> >
> > Go ye and sin some more.
> >
> > Dick McCollum Nï¿½BK
> >
> >

2042. Re: illegal who really cares

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 23:44:45 UTC
From: Sidekick <sidekick1975@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <wWwZ5.8408$pH1.312388@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 63385

What Radio out there is not illegal? If it was so bad they would take sites off of the internet that tells you how to do it. Like they did with the pipe bomb that was used in Colorado.

JVillafane <jvillafane@aol.com> wrote in message news:20001212051110.25909.00001734@ng-mf1.aol.com...
> who really gives a shit about running illegal it is not like you spend your
> money on the equipment they are using radar detectors are illegal but we still
> use them
> i'm more concern about drugs dealer trying to sell drugs to my kids enjoy

2043. Hotmail Sucks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 23:50:01 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A36B9A9.9AC3FC12@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63428

I may have to get an email address from somewhere else. Their damn servers have been up and down for so long. If I'm taking too long to respond to an email, now you know what's up.

2044. Re: Why Tech's complain about ILLEGAL CB amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 23:53:42 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A36BA86.3FFE9409@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63427

This must be a quote because it's got quote marks in front of it.
Who did you cut and paste this original thought from?

N8wwm wrote:
>
> >The biggest
> >> >problem of 11 meters is ILLEGAL operators
> >

2045. Re: illegal who really cares

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-12 23:55:47 UTC
From: Chas <eat@joes.com>
Message-ID: <2205DFD6165294A6.E5474BEA0F21E2CF.35A7DC625143B54D@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 63426

Both are a neighborhood nuisance.
The CBer for bleeding on home electronics.
Sure the drug dealer would be a higher priority but I don't want the
illegal amps bombarding my phone and stereo either.

CB Illegal amps are cheap made. Without proper shielding, and
filtering, it amplifies a signal from a modified radio (peaked and
tuned) not outputting a clean signal.
I bet half or more of the extra power a peaked and tuned radio puts
out is splattered into the channels above and below the one your
talking on. Harmonics go up to. That causes interference with TVs.The
bandwidth filter can't handle more than 4 watts. Wasn't designed to.
Then the cheap amp multiples the problem.
All electronic gear have to meet FFC interference rules. Even TVs,
stereos and computers. Look at the manuals for your home electronic
gear. Black market amps don't do this. Neither do modified radios.

Chas

On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 17:06:33 GMT, BRAND SPANKIN' NEW
>
>This is true but for some reason they have attched themselves to
>something like cb radio activities.
>Personally...
>I WOULD RATHER HAVE A NEIGHBOR WHO HAS AN ILLEGAL AMPLIFIER THAN HAVE A
>NEIGHBOR WHO IS SELLING/USING ILLEGAL DRUGS!!!!
>I THINK I MIGHT BE IN MORE DANGER FROM THE LATTER....
>DO YOU HAMSTERS DISAGREE WITH THIS?
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com
>http://www.deja.com/

2046. RFD: rec.radio.cb.dxclubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 00:01:04 UTC
From: Neil Wiger <neilwiger@neilwiger.com>
Message-ID: <976665664.11110@isc.org>
Source record: 63430
Cross-posted to: news.announce.newgroups,news.groups,rec.radio.cb

REQUEST FOR DISCUSSION (RFD)
unmoderated group rec.radio.cb.dxclubs

This is a formal Request For Discussion (RFD) for the creation of a world-wide unmoderated Usenet newsgroup rec.radio.cb.dxclubs. This is not a Call for Votes (CFV); you cannot vote at this time. Procedural details are below.

Newsgroup line:
rec.radio.cb.dxclubs Sky wave propagation on 27mhz.

RATIONALE: rec.radio.cb.dxclubs

rec.radio.cb.dxclubs will create a Usenet forum for the discussion of the popular hobby of long distance communications performed during periods of solar storms and the aurora.

CHARTER: rec.radio.cb.dxclubs

rec.radio.cb.dxclubs is for the sharing of news and member information of established and new radio dx groups.

END CHARTER.

PROCEDURE:

This is a request for discussion, not a call for votes. In this phase of the process, any potential problems with the proposed newsgroups should be raised and resolved. The discussion period will continue for a minimum of 21 days (starting when the first RFD for this proposal is posted to news.announce.newsgroups), after which a Call For Votes (CFV) may be posted by a neutral vote taker if the discussion warrants it. Please do not attempt to vote until this happens.

All discussion of the proposal should be posted to news.groups.

This RFD attempts to comply fully with the Usenet newsgroup creation guidelines outlined in "How to Create a New Usenet Newsgroup" and "How to Format and Submit a New Group Proposal". Please refer to these documents (available in news.announce.newgroups) if you have any questions about the process.

DISTRIBUTION:

news.announce.newgroups
news.groups
rec.radio.cb

Proponent: Neil Wiger <neilwiger@neilwiger.com>

2047. Re: why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 00:01:18 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <LZyZ5.19286$g77.1442427@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 63431

Second Chas' emotion. Is that one of those where the power is on all the time??? Congratulations, you now have a really phenomenal broadband mixer/retransmitter sitting in your car

Dick N�BK

"Chas" <eat@joes.com> wrote in message news:C18C41A70BECDE3C.8BEAB12F6E17044F.5585015BD56F7E11@lp.airnews.net...
> My guess is your poorly made amp was picking up a close CB signal and
> rebroadcasting it.
>
> On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 15:06:13 -0500, "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)"
> <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Do you turn on all the touch lamps and motion lights too?
> >
> >picloud wrote:
> >>
> >> Hey, I plugged my radio into a 100 watt amp and when I drive through certain
> >> neighborhoods the garage doors start opening. I left my radio on while I
> >> was having a couple of drinks and the TV in the bar had a lot of
> >> interference. I went out to my 18 and shut the radio off and the TV was
> >> fine after that. Since I wasn't broadcasting, this makes no sense to me. I
> >> am not an E-freak, however, anybody got an answer?
> >>
> >> --
> >> Cheers,
> >>
> >> piCloud
>

2048. Re: Clarification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 00:01:35 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A36BC5F.3158@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 63613

I will respond to some of your points. Please, fellas, don't get mad because what I say is my own opinion and not meant to flame, heckle, or harass anyone.

>
> To justify the means? What justification does a person need to explain in
> his or her activities? To run illegal CB radio equipment is that
> individuals "CHOICE."

Indeed. But one should be aware of and take responsibility FOR his actions.

If anyone should take that Freedom of Choice away
> from any one person is guilty of being a downright COMMUNIST.

That is why the laws are there. If one group has the "choice" to operate with huge amount of power, or on frequencies he/she is not assigned, what happens to the "choice" of the person, group, or organi-zation who may be *bound* to that frequency due to their own licenses or equipment restrictions?
>
> Now take for instance myself, ONE37, running a 50Kw splatterbox (which I
> cannot afford on a Military man's salary) of unknown proportion talking to,
> lets say, a guy in NYC on 27.025. Illegal as all of hell under now State
> and FCC regulations and pursued with vengeance and malice. Why?

Because CB was never meant to be a "hobby" operation. It was designed to have LOW power to prevent interference to consumer devices (as much as possible) and to lessen the effects of the band's own propagation characteristics. The current deal about going after operators who in-terfere with Mrs. Jones TV with excessive power or "modded" radios came about due to complaints to Congressmen from consumers, not from hams. The fact is, the situation with illegal radios and ever-increasing power has been escalating for years. IMO, as more people were able to "get away" with it, the more the same folks told newcomers, Hey, this is the way to do it, and, We found these "quiet" *channels* down below channel 1. It created a market for channel expanders, mods, and higher and high er powered radios. Of course, this couldn't help but increase the in-terference to other consumers and other radio services, as well.. FCC's funding had been reduced, and after the huge CB boom of the 70s, sim-ply couldn't keep up. That created the atmosphere of, "They can't do anything about it". Due to the great number of illegal operators, the increasing amount of interference, it created a backlash within the non-radio community who don't care a whit about radio, but only want to watch Monday Night Football without having the TV swamped by a CBer down the street--or even a ham for that matter. It took several years

for the local enforcement thing to get passed, but it did pass. Mainly because of a few who mess it up for all.

>
> Is it now that we are looking for a justifiable necessity to blast a
> harmless entity???
No! The result of the "illegality" issue came about because of a few who run Mega-watts, operate radios that have been peaked, "clipped", and otherwised "boogered" up so that they no longer operate within the design specs. Such equipment *will* create extra wide signals and harmonics that find their way into other equipment that was not designed to accept such "spurs" and power. Of course, I think consumer electronics should be better filtered to reject, at least, some of the products generated. But it is difficult to amelioriate very high power nearby which can "swamp" or paralyze a receiver. Anyway, there must be a kind of happy medium that allows everybody to enjoy whatever sort of electronics they choose whether it be a TV, stereo, PA amp, or a two way radio.

We sure can clean up the airwaves but we can't clean up
> our sense of morality, our sense of values, our sense of ethics??? Is it
> because its easier??? A scapegoat for fear of offending??? Justify this
> please.
>
> My justification for the Illegal OP is simple. It is fun, it is harmless.
Taken within the entire scope of illegal radio activity which includes running those modded rigs, amps, and freebanding, it is NOT harmless. I would have no problem with CBers having more power than allowed now, but I am only one and cannot help the restrictions that exist. One of the troubles with the CB amps is that a lot of them are not well filtered and/or designed to prevent harmonics. The builders are only going to do so much, the less put into them-the more profit made, and likely just don't care. Again, such amps cause spurious emissions that create mischief for other people, groups, and radio services.

Freebanding. I am sure that this practice got started when someone listened above/below the CB band, or swapped their crystals around in the old rock-bound days. Hearing no activity, the assumption was made that this area was "free" or unassigned. I can see how, having been accustomed to the constant chatter on CB, an untrained operator could conclude that nobody used this area. What we are talking about in these areas are forestry, forest products, itinerant, and military frequencies. True, you don't hear much, and what you *DO* hear is likely to be pulse generations, digital comms, and, rarely, voice. A lot of the "freeband" spectrum is not even under the FCC's jurisdiction. That's why there is not anywhere for the current CB band to expand. However, whichever agency assigns bandwidth there, they do not require that the receiving entity use said freqs. a certain amount of time. NOW! Let's say a CBer (or even an illegal ham) gets on the "freeband" with a modified radio and a dirty amp. Now, said amp is putting out a 4th harmonic. 27.965 X 4=111.86 MHZ----right in the middle of the aviation navaids.

Tell me how creating a dangerous situation for an airliner or even a small plane is "harmless"? It could only take just once to cause the loss of hundreds of lives. What if one of *YOUR* relatives was aboard that plane? Would it be "harmless" then? You see, that is the part that the average citizen is not aware of. Without some other knowledge or training as to the whys, hows, and wherefore's, most of us aren't equipped to make an accurate decision as to what is harmless or not. That is NOT to say that people are stupid, it just means that *most* of us are not privy to the training necessary to decide if what we do is OK where radio is concerned. The laws are not perfect, to be sure, and can sometimes be changed to meet current needs or demands as technology changes. The laws that make certain kinds of radio operation illegal are not there just to hinder, but they are there to meet operational needs and the need for safety as it pertains to lives and property. Thusly, lines are drawn--and they may not meet *everybodys* wants---, and again, not always perfectly, to allow as much freedom of action as possible for as many radio services as possible.

>

and it is with accord of a sense to spread the goodwill to all who wish to
> be communicable.

The "goodwill" gets lost in the fray when someone's operating ability, or someone's life, is lost because of those who choose to disobey laws. Again, these laws may not be perfect, or acceptable to everyone, but they are there to protect the rights of as many as possible and, actually, to *facilitate* the convenience or neccesity of radio communications for all.

But since the law
> written by the hams, of the hams, "for the people" (FCC Reg Title 47 CFR
> PART 95 Subpart D Citizens Band (CB) Radio Service) for governing bandwidth
> HAMs did not want in the first place (now are trying to take back),
1. Ham Radio is governed by a set of regulations put in place by FCC and international treaties. Hams, tho some regulatory agents may *BE* hams, do not control the laws governing CB (and shouldn't be bitchin' in the newsgroup, i.e. making inflammatory posts and insults).

2. Amateurs are *not* trying to take 11 meters back. That was a rumor spread on the newsgroup to flame, and get stuff started. Ten meters is quite adequate.
>
Does it really break your heart to hear a little bit of QRM
> from 27.085, 27.025 and 26.915? They are within their assigned frequencies.
Some QRM is inevitable anytime a band is subject to maximum use. Incidentally, 26.915 is not within "assigned" frequencies for CB. Channel 1 is 26.965. Maybe you made a typo. No quarrel with QRM. Yet much QRM is caused by huge amps, modded radios, and uncaring ops.

> Now for freebanders, well, malicious interference with an intent to cause
> disturbance to the Amateur Radio Service is pursuable. Triangulate there
> postion and take them down.
Agreed. As well as hams who operate illegally and cause deliberate
QRM to other hams AND CBers.

But not here on this NG.
Agreed.

A majority of the
> people here are legally operating within the PART 95 parameters. Don't bash
> them all... Ham and CBer.
Absolutely!
>
Sorry I got a little
> longwinded, but damn, let the bashing end.

Agreed. I am ashamed of those who come in and constantly bait and
insult people with slanderous, libelous, statements which only cause
all of us grief. Now I have posted some info here in this message that
will, likely, not be agreed with by all. However, to those, who disa-
gree, I only ask that you examine this post and, I am sure, you will
find nothing that is directed to any one individual, nor will you find
anything derogatory. It is an OPINION which is based upon facts and
my own experiences in 35+ years of radio (which includes CB). I only
ask that, while you are most welcome to disagree, you respect my opinion
as I have respected yours in the past.

Most respectful 73,

Jerry
> --
>
> ONE37
>
> ---

2049. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 00:06:44 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A36BD94.1402@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 63615

Fred,

But! You would do much better with a resonant antenna in place of
a "tuner". The tuner does the same thing that that "flawed" coax
you spoke of does. YOu still have a mismatched antenna.

Jerry

Fred Hambrecht wrote:
>
> The value of a piece of coax is it's surge impedance determined by the
> diameter and spacing of the inner and outer conductors.
>
> 18 feet represents a half wave and has the characteristic of repeating at
> the near end exactly what it sees at the far end. If you were to short the
> far end, the radio would see a short. Now lets connect a 1/4 wave length of
> coax and leave the end open, the radio would see a short, conversely if you
> were to short the far end the radio would think that nothing was connected
> to the back of the radio. Have you heard someone say "I adjusted my SWRs by
> adding/subtracting coax" They really did not adjust the SWR, they simply
> picked a length of coax that transformed the actual load to a 50 ohm load
> that allowed the SWR meter to see 50 ohms and indicate 1:1. What the actual
> load at the antenna is, is anyone's guess. Take the earlier case of the 1/4
> wave length with a short looking open, obviously I can adjust the length to
> a 50 ohm point and have 1:1 on a piece of shorted coax. You might ask will
> it get out? Nope, but it makes my SWR meter happy. SWR means nothing except
> that a conjunctive match allows the greatest transfer of power. The best SWR
> I have on my ham antenna without a tuner is about 8:1 and it gets worse on
> other bands! The tuner allows my radio to see 50 ohms and the radio works
> great! I have 167 countries on 75 meters which is no small feat. On 10
> meters I have worked nearly that many on a single contest weekend.
>
> In short SWR's are the source of more myths and urban legends than the
> internet ;>}
>
> "Andrew" <akocsar@smartt.com> wrote in message
> news:914a4u$uv3$1@newsfeed.smartt.com...
> > If the resistance of a length of coax (6, 10, or 50 ') is approximately 50
> > ohms, why do the antenna manufacturers reccomend an 18 ' length. I'm
> > assuming now that there is more to the match than the resistance of a
> > particular length of cable.
> > Thanks,
> > Andrew
> >
> >

2050. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 00:09:34 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A36BE3E.1A47@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 63614

tnom@mucks.net wrote:
>
> snip
> >why do the antenna manufacturers reccomend an 18 ' length.
> snip
>
> Because that length may reduce the possibility of you having
> a problem with your installation.

.......and keep you from find the *REAL* reason the system is
mismatched.

Jerry

2051. Re: MOVIE: Vertical Limit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 00:11:35 UTC
From: Dante'@inferno.net
Message-ID: <916ernqmv1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 63429
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <91634s01jq@enews2.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com says...
>
>It seems that there are a few Hollywood screenwriters that are hams trying to
>hang on to the past.
>
snip
Have you ever noticed that Hollywood never gets anything about any radio
correct?
With the possible exception of "Sink The Bismark", where a "spy" simply sent a
CW message every other instance is unlikley at best.
Die Hard #1...What are the chances that the "bad guys" would operate on teh
same frequency as the local pd. Or even "The Man From U.N.C.L.E"'s little ink
pen transceiver. Yea, open channel D please. Just what type batteries fit in
it and what frequency did it operate on so that no matter where our guys
where, from the middle of a desert to the bottom of a mine shaft they could
reach HQ.
As a general rule, everything TV or HW produces with a radio is garbage.
But then almost everything they produce is garbage.

2052. Installations on ATV's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 00:19:14 UTC
From: kc8mca <kc8mca@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6gzZ5.4$nx6.2133@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Source record: 63432

Greetings! Anybody know of any websites containing installations of radio communications equipment on ATV's? I would like to put one on the Big Bear. Could use some ideas. I couldn't find any. Thanks

Dan

2053. Re: Sunny and 50 today...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 00:19:39 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A36C09B.295D@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 63616

Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay) wrote:
>
sleet or freezing rain.

Yeah,

That's what the WX man is threatening us here in NC with tomorrow nite.

Hope he misses it.

Jerry

2054. Re: Coax Kid Washed Up?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 00:27:20 UTC
From: BENJAMIN HOOK <bsh5@coventry.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <3A36C268.53220298@coventry.ac.uk>
Source record: 63436

can i join here?

dickie_g_rosenfelt@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> In article <kdnN5.5106$I3.301744@typhoon1.ba-dsg.net>,
> "Wild Bill" <wildbill@wild.comspam> wrote:
> > Professor when you feel man enough to train me I am available. I
> already
> > have had my training at camp lejune many years ago. I answer peoples
> posts
> > that are either laden with bullshit and need someone to step up to
> the plate
> > and refute your bs.
> >
> > "Professor" <bgriffey@kwom.com> wrote in message
> > news:vmmN5.19887$_h3.104065@e420r-sjo3.usenetserver.com...
> > > Hilbilly, I don't know which comment of WildBill's you are
> commenting
> > on...
> > > but it doesn't really matter. We all know that all his comments put
> > > together... how does that go?... don't amount to a "hill of beans".
> I see
> > > him fighting with nearly everyone out here. He needs to be taught a
> > lesson.
> > > Not by me though... I don't train monkeys.
> > >
> > > --
> > >
> > > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > > "Professor"
> > > http://personal.kwom.com/bgriffey/
> > > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > >
> > >
> > > "hillbilly" <hillbilly001@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> > > news:8u4mjttpm1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > > > hey Bill, don't underestamate the professor..... sure he's an
> ass-
> > > > hole.... but I think thats the way he wants it.... a real
> sleeper,
> > > > don't turn your back on him.....
> > > >
> > > > ain't that right fesser?
> > > >

> > > > --
> > > > Dave KG5XR/WB5CYX ex- WB5LWZ, WN5DQG,AAT6YC,AR5ALP
> > > > http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
> > > > On Bull Shoales Lake, Ark/Mo State Line
> > > > Fishing is great & crappie are biting
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> > > > Before you buy.
> > > >
> > >Hmmm, step up to the plate. Wow I can see it now. Professors head
> going over the centerfield wall, he, he
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

2055. Re: illegal who really cares

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 00:38:44 UTC
From: fab5freddy <fab5@earthlair.com>
Message-ID: <oyzZ5.5253$Oz5.586809@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63434

I have an expensive amp for 11 meters is that one ok? it is type accepted
for the ham bands in fact I picked it up at one of your local Ham fests
thats right I bought this purdy 11 meter / hambo amp at a good old pencil
pocket protector, hush puppie wearing black plastic eyeglasses with the tape
in the middle wearing Ham fest Thats right them there boys with licenses
were selling them by the car load(actually make that station wagon load)
right in front of all the other hambos and they didnt seem to have a problem
with it Well i know old Riley even had a field day at the Dayton fest where
there were more illegal amps than the Carters got liver pills. go clean up
your own mess

"Chas" <eat@joes.com> wrote in message
> Then the cheap amp multiples the problem.
> All electronic gear have to meet FFC interference rules. Even TVs,
> stereos and computers. Look at the manuals for your home electronic
> gear. Black market amps don't do this. Neither do modified radios.
>
>
> Chas
>

2056. CB interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 00:39:57 UTC
From: m2steven <m2steven@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <RzzZ5.1835$Kk.43139@news3.atl>
Source record: 63435

I personally run CB generally from my car. This way, I hardly ever interfere with my neighbors. I had an A*#hole neighbor who ruined my tv. phone, etc on a constant basis. After asking him nice to power down during peak tv hours, and him not doing so, he eventually "ruined" his rig by transmitting into a shorted piece of coax.

If someone is being an ass, call the police. If that doesn't work, defend yourself. It is SO easy to stop illegal radiation.

2057. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 00:44:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28151-3A36C669-16@storefull-127.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63442

Not only him CD, a few others "suddenly appeared" as well.

2058. Re: illegal who really cares

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 00:50:20 UTC
From: charlie m <cranky1@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3A36C7CC.83790917@charter.net>
Source record: 63437

I think sometimes that the real problem is the way "the radio laws" were made and are currently enforced. I think the original poster's intention is to point out that breaking "the law" isn't considered wrong by many people because a lot of the times "the law" is perceived as being wrong!

If "they" passed a law that said you weren't allowed to breath, some folks would accuse you of being a criminal just as soon as you took a breath. If this is justifying criminal activity, then I guess most of us are "criminals" in somebody's book somewhere. Crazy example I know, but just think about all the unreasonable laws you know of. I doubt anyone agrees with every law that is passed by their local or federal government and just goes along with it.

Have you ever been caught for speeding? Have you ever not reported income on you taxes? The list is endless. Sure, we can all join in the political process, vote, protest etc. That's a great idea. Some of us do that. Some abide by most of the laws and work within the legal process to get unfair ones changed. Many don't! (probably most of us). Some people realize that big brother has just gotten so big that he can't handle enforcing all the laws he's created. Now ain't that a shame? Come on now, who is really a criminal, an illegal Cber or a drug dealer? Depends on your standard of reference or definition now doesn't it! Where do you draw the line. Drugs? I hope so. Radio regulations? Yeah, well, maybe that ones debatable. Does this mean that I condone randomly breaking any law you don't like? Absolutely not! I am just pointing out where a lot of people are coming from. Be careful who you consider a "criminal". You might should look in the mirror and realize that if you judge yourself by the same type of standards, you could be one also!

(reply to email by removing the dot in "c.mcmanus@charter.net"

Sidekick wrote:

> What Radio out there is not illegal? If it was so bad they would take sites
> off of the internet that tells you how to do it. Like they did with the
> pipe bomb that was used in Colorado.
>
> JVillafane <jvillafane@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20001212051110.25909.00001734@ng-mf1.aol.com...
> > who really gives a shit about running illegal it is not like you spend
> your
> > money on the equipment they are using radar detectors are illegal but we
> still
> > use them
> > i'm more concern about drugs dealer trying to sell drugs to my kids enjoy

2059. Re: MOVIE: Vertical Limit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 00:50:30 UTC
From: King Pineapple <saddlehill@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <qJzZ5.16706$U4.1577571@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63438
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.shortwave

Speaker to Animals <Dante'@inferno.net> wrote in message
news:916ernqmv1@news.uky.edu...
> In article <91634s01jq@enews2.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com says...
> >
> >It seems that there are a few Hollywood screenwriters that are hams
trying to
> >hang on to the past.
> >
> snip
> Have you ever noticed that Hollywood never gets anything about any radio
> correct?

Except for the old Three Stooges movies...

Try the independent film called "Genghis Blues"

2060. Re: MOVIE: Vertical Limit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 00:56:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <916hf7$el6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

In article <916ernqmv1@news.uky.edu>,
IT is not a real name!!! wrote:
> In article <91634s01jq@enews2.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com
says...
> >
> >It seems that there are a few Hollywood screenwriters that are hams
trying to
> >hang on to the past.
> >
> snip
> Have you ever noticed that Hollywood never gets anything about any
radio
> correct?
> With the possible exception of "Sink The Bismark", where a "spy"
simply sent a
> CW message every other instance is unlikley at best.
> Die Hard #1...What are the chances that the "bad guys" would operate
on teh
> same frequency as the local pd. Or even "The Man From U.N.C.L.E"'s
little ink
> pen transceiver. Yea, open channel D please. Just what type batteries
fit in
> it and what frequency did it operate on so that no matter where our
guys
> where, from the middle of a desert to the bottom of a mine shaft they
could
> reach HQ.
> As a general rule, everything TV or HW produces with a radio is
garbage.
> But then almost everything they produce is garbage.
>
>
Totally incorrect....I remember several Disney cartoons that had some
accurate CW... hardy har har.

Oh, and yes Hollywood things we are idiots. There all
Demoncrats....and they THINK they have a handle on it.....WRONG!!

Dan/W4NTI

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2061. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 01:03:42 UTC
From: Chas <eat@joes.com>
Message-ID: <07F11CAE088B8321.E17E111CF373D969.F718D413A6E67D86@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 63439

I am not a HAM. If it was a ham op next door I would be in there
newsgroup complaining.
If the guy next door didn't run a linear amp I likely would have
never looked up this newsgroup.
I don't think all CBers are bad. Don't take it so hard. I like a
no harm no foul rule.
If your neighbor had his stereo up loud late at night would you tell
him to turn it down? Sure you would. If he didn't turn it down you
would call the police so you could sleep.

Chas

On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 22:45:57 GMT, curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:

>
>isn't it strange how this guy shows up about the time this ng is being
>assaulted by do-gooder hamsters, food for thought,.......cd is out..
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com
>http://www.deja.com/

2062. Re: Aaron Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 01:11:01 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23138-3A36CCA5-18@storefull-124.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63445

That's what I mean, CD. Incorrect posts by these guys that are nullified with correct info and then they change it and refer back to the law issues. You can tell who's new to semi-new to radio among our new crusaders, that's alright, hehe what a wakeup call they got comin' when they actually realize no one cares and it's been this way for quite awhile!

2063. Re: Why Tech's complain about ILLEGAL CB amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 01:14:10 UTC
From: fab5freddy <fab5@earthlair.com>
Message-ID: <C3AZ5.1394$uI1.578975@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63441

So what, then don't turn that dial to that band there are plenty more. Its like going to a bad neighborhood you know that trouble may lie ahead and you enter at your own risk except no one is going to get killed or mugged I don't condone all the activites that go on 11 meters If you live out away from people and are no harm to a neighbor I say So what run a few extra watts I don't like the freebanders going on 10 meters If they contain themselves to certain set of freqs not infringe upon others right to peace in there own home void of interference then they can act like fools all they want it is a non formal radio service where people have a hobby albeit some are rule breakers but certainly I dont call it a criminal act whatsoever It is the same if you speed down the road are you labeled a criminal?
Why not get on the radio in your own area and preach your gospel to the people who may affect your radio Instead you troll on here trying to get the whole world to listen But you really are not interested in helping anyone you are here to be a asshole and cause trouble That is your only goal here.

> N8wwm wrote:
> >
> > >The biggest
> > >> >problem of 11 meters is ILLEGAL operators
> > >

2064. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 01:36:06 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
Message-ID: <3A36D24B.D359A12A@home.com>
Source record: 63443

Here's a topic for a new discussion. If it is true, by what measure is the degree of bleed determined, and what antenna characteristics are most liekly to generate a 'bleeding' antenna? Does the nature/type of the transmitter interact with the antenna to create the 'bleed'?

twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:

> Certain verticals ARE more prone to interference than others. For you
> to believe otherwise, you certainly don't belong here.

2065. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 01:44:22 UTC
From: dickie_g_rosenfelt@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <916k9mgta1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63446
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <9169r3$8dl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article
> <9B29335BD0224362.18BD83ED49D54271.A8683CEF8A2D3F38@lp.airnews.net>,
> Chas <eat@joes.com> wrote:
> > Why do some of you CB people run illegal power?
> >
> > All you do is interfere with other peoples lives. How would you like
> > to wake up in the middle of the night hearing voices. It was coming
> > from my phone witch was on the receiver. Echoing whistles and other
> > odd noises on my stereo while watching a rented DVD movie. I bet he
is
> > running several hundred watts. Saw the amp setting on his desk the
> > first time I complained. How bad he bleeds varies, I guess to how
much
> > power he has on. Turning screws and cutting circuits in the radio
> > dirtying up the signal is bad enough but amplifying it just makes it
> > worse.
> > I hope the US government makes people get CB licenses again.
> > Congress has a bill pending to give local police authority to in
force
> > FCC rules governing CBs. God I hope it passes. A few bad apples are
> > going to kill it for all of you.
> >
> > Just had to vent a little. My new neighbor is driving me mad.
> >
> > Chas
> >
>
> isn't it strange how this guy shows up about the time this ng is being
> assaulted by do-gooder hamsters, food for thought,.......cd is out..
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

You know a lot of ham guy's radios bleed on their neighbor's stuff,
too. Why is it ok for them to do it and not us?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2066. Re: Why Tech's complain about ILLEGAL CB amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 01:46:12 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t3dl7ffueecb82@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 63444

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:913r3a$8g7$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <%07Z5.4965$925.245939@news.direcpc.com>,
> "Stervarino" <captain@navy.org> wrote:
> > Technician class operators are at the bottom rung of the amateur
> license
> > scale and they most likely have some radio roots to CB in the past.
> Why is
> > it that every couple of years some of these Techs are compelled to
> come to
> > this NG and attempt to enforce some law by starting an ill conceived
> > enforcement campaign?>>

>
> Steve,
>
<The rest snipped>

Doesn't it just give you the "Uggies" when Voober uses your first name?
Yechh...

Jeff

2067. Re: CB interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 02:03:26 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A36D8EE.FE1367F9@oio.net>
Source record: 63447

m2steven wrote:
>
> I personally run CB generally from my car. This way, I hardly ever
> interfere with my neighbors. I had an A*#hole neighbor who ruined my tv.
> phone, etc on a constant basis. After asking him nice to
> power down during peak tv hours, and him not doing so, he eventually
> "ruined" his rig by transmitting into a shorted piece of coax.
>
> If someone is being an ass, call the police. If that doesn't work, defend
> yourself. It is SO easy to
> stop illegal radiation.

m2steven,
I don't know about where you live, but it's legal
to shoot trespassers in this state...
'Doc

2068. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 02:12:32 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A36DB10.FDFE612E@oio.net>
Source record: 63548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

dickie,
You know, it isn't a 'cut-n-dried' matter of hams can,
and CB'ers can't. It depends on circumstances. If you think
that hams don't catch hell because of RFI, your mistaken...
'Doc
PS - By the way, cross-posting is a stupid idea.

2069. ILLEGAL!!!!!!!!!!!!937

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 02:18:35 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001212211835.10000.00006417@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 63549

MAN O MAN.....IM FUCKIN ILLEGAL AS ALL HELL AND I AM SOOOOOOOOO
HAPPY.....I EVEN GET OUT ON MY 2METER 440 RIG AND SWEAR LIKE HELL!!!!!!!!!
I NEVER I.D. GOD I JUST LOOOOOVE BREAKIN THE RULES....WOOOOOHOOO...SO COME ON
ALL MY FELLOW ILLEGAL SKIP ROLLIN CB'ERS...LETS ALL GET OUT AND HAMMER
DOWN!!!!!!! (YES BELIEVE IT OR NOT I AM A HAM OPERATOR AS WELL) OH YEA I
ALMOST FORGOT...WHATS UP N8?????...LOL

937 AND THIS BAD ACTIN ILLEGAL MOBILE IN CHI TOWN JUST GOT
DOOOOOOWWWWWNNNNNN!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

2070. Re: MOVIE: Vertical Limit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 02:28:40 UTC
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <916mso0n2u@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 63560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <9hyZ5.46$75.54894@news1.iquest.net>, kg9qm@nomail.net says...
>
>So, what your saying is that Dad was right?

"You're" engaging in a little selective editing, aren't you? Go back and read
the part where I said this only happens in a cheesy Hollywood movie nowadays.

Tom - N8ECW

2071. Re: Clarification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 02:36:06 UTC
From: Ipo Camacho <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t3dnrao8fpik89@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63550
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

"Train" wrote in message
news:8UoZ5.4250$Oz5.370126@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
> Are you trying to equate talking skip to taking it in the ass?
> You need to seek out help as soon as possible there Ipo........
> Train
> tra1n@aol.com
> train@cbmods.com
> www.cbmods.com
>

No, no, no... LMAO! Just the legalities, Train. Just the legalities.
LMAO...

Ipo Camacho a.k.a. ONE37

--

"...reality is a frame of mind. stupidity is a state of mind"

-remove NOSPAM from address to reply-

2072. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 02:38:02 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <VfBZ5.18742$JE.974380@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 63562

"Gary Danaher" <gdanaher@home.com> wrote in message
news:3A36D24B.D359A12A@home.com...
> Here's a topic for a new discussion. If it is true, by what measure is
> the degree of bleed determined, and what antenna characteristics are most
> liekly to generate a 'bleeding' antenna? Does the nature/type of the
> transmitter interact with the antenna to create the 'bleed'?

My own experience on which I claim no particular expertise is that the power line, either indirectly from radiation or directly via a ground loop is the biggest single culprit in this kind of interference. When the neighbor who shares a distribution transformer with you is the complainer, it may be as simple as feeding the transmitter thru a cheater plug and removing the connection between electrical system ground and station ground. I know, we grew up to be 'grounding' freaks but sometimes two is one too many.

Dick NBK

2073. Re: Clarification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 02:39:30 UTC
From: Ipo Camacho <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t3do1m5kqdto07@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63551

"Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
news:915i77cod1@iac5.navix.net...
> Let me understand what your saying, unlawful CBers pack fudge when not
> operating on the superbowl? Homosexuals equate to CBers running amps? I
am
> totally confused!
>

Well, Fredarica, I'm not sure... do you?

Ipo Camacho a.k.a. ONE37

--

"...reality is a frame of mind. stupidity is a state of mind"

-remove NOSPAM from address to reply-

2074. Re: WHAT IS IT YOU WANT AARON??????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 02:44:04 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t3dojsptc62te4@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 63554

<curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:915lbhlhg1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> WHAT DO YOU WANT AARON. WHY ARE YOU HERE???????,.......cd is out...
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

Isn't it obvious, cd? He's become tired of the "Straight" life and wants to walk on the wild side. I think he's picked you for his first conquest. Run for your life!

Jeff

2075. Re: Illegal Ops Fear Aaron Voobner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 02:44:07 UTC
From: William <k7kkg@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uiod3tki4dcu3vt3lcd6713elgip9lq3ns@4ax.com>
Source record: 63555

On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 01:25:52 GMT, aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:

>I am not yet a ham but will be soon. And I will operate legally. And
>someday I hope to turn on the CB and not hear jammers and foul-mouthed
>kids equipped with amplifiers sold to them by men with no conscience.

You still live with your mother don't you.

2076. Re: Clarification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 02:44:13 UTC
From: Ipo Camacho <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t3doail0pro076@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63552

"Ibrahim Ibn Abdul-Qaadir" <reply@newsgroup.only.please> wrote in message
news:zjtZ5.1203$uI1.436858@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
>
> "Sparky" <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message
> news:3a3608e2.12501369@news.cet.com...
> > On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 00:52:48 -0800, "Ipo Camacho"
> > <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM> wrote:
>
> I don't mean to intrude on your conversation with ONE37, but this is a
> matter that I think is worth commenting on.
>
> >There are reasons that laws exist. If a law is unreasonable or in
> > conflict with another law, then there are accepted ways of changing
> > the law. It is not generally acceptable to break the law until it is
> > repealed de facto. Yes, this happens, but very rarely, and it is
> > unlikely that it will happen here.
>
> CB laws *have* been repealed "de facto" for the last couple decades in the
> US, and by your guideline (as well as in practice) it is generally
> acceptable to break these laws. It is logical to arrive at the conclusion
> that CB laws are for the most part laws which, if broken in a responsible
> manner, do not have a significant impact on society.
>
> I do recognize that there are instances in which the breaking of CB laws
has
> resulted in interference to consumer electronics items, although whether
or
> not the interference would have occurred if type-accepted CB equipment was
> in use is not always an easily answered question. In any case the burden
to
> fix the problem lies with the one causing it, even a legal rig, if he is a
> courteous and responsible operator.
>
> > >Let say for instance, now this is just an example, rec.radio.cb's
beloved
> > >"heckler" N8WWM was gay (don't take this personal Doug... its just an
> > >instance), and his sexual/intimate partner, Gunny Sparkles (just an
> > >example), indulged in homosexual activities. Under Local Ordinances,
> State
> > >and Federal law, homosexuality is illegal... right? But people are
> starting
> > >to "look the other way" or "ignorantly not notice such pursuit and
> > >indulgence..." "don't ask, don't pursue" might I add.
>

> ONE37, It appears that fags would be in violation of an entirely different
> subset of "de facto" repealed laws EXCEPT in cases such as for military
> service in which faggotry can easily be shown to have major negative
effects
> on readiness and morale. If there are instances in which the breaking of
CB
> laws WOULD have similar major negative effects on a critical element of
> society, I am not aware of them and would support properly written
> legislation to address it. I can only conclude from this that butt-piracy
> laws are more necessary than CB laws.
>
> > What a person does in their own privacy is their business _unless_ it
> > negatively affects the rights of others. This would not happen if all
> > operators were to use equipment that meets strict requirements and had
> > a thorough understanding of the general aspects of radio communication
> > and electronics.
>
> I would generally agree, with the understanding that you describe a
perfect
> world. Even radio operators using stock equipment can easily overload the
> grossly inadequate filtering of most consumer audio components, so the
> responsible operator either filters his output or purchases filters and
> instructs the complainant on their use. As far as the rights of others to
> share the band, I call your attention to the fact that there are 40 legal
> channels plus two sidebands each on a CB radio. If one is in use, whether
> legally or not, there are 39 others to go to. If someone is splattering
over
> 20 of them, there are still 20 others. If the entire band is being stepped
> on, check your sunspot schedule.
>
> >The reality is that some do and some don't. The
> > operators that choose to not act responsibly cause problems for
> > others, including non-operators (which the operator usually ignores).
>
> You are absolutely correct that it is the irresponsible operators that
> ignore legitimate complaints.
> There are surely irresponsible radio operators (CB, Ham, Military AND
> Commercial) who ignore complaints of interference, but to my knowledge NO
> operator in this NG would refuse to try to fix the problem.
>
> > Another effect of illegal operators is that their actions will
> > continue to tightly restrict the band, and never allow restrictions to
> > be loosened. For that reason, even operators that act responsibly in
> > every other respect should be opposed to these idiots, and many are.
>
> It's not the illegal operators, it's the irresponsible ones.
> The CB band hasn't been "tightly restricted" for decades, and the new law
> will most likely only affect areas in which there have been major trouble
> with irresponsible operation, as it should. Second, I'm almost sure
everyone

> in this group opposes the idiots who act irresponsibly whether legal or
not.
>
> > >My justification for the Illegal OP is simple. It is fun, it is
harmless
> > >and it is with accord of a sense to spread the goodwill to all who wish
> to
> > >be communicable.
> >
> > It is not harmless, because illegal operators are depriving the rights
> > of those that wish to legally pursue the same "goodwill" you speak of.
>
> There is not one single act one can perform which is absolutely without
risk
> of harm. The realist will be aware that it is the degree of harm which
> something entails that defines its value, or lack thereof. I don't believe
> responsible radio operation, even if illegal, causes any appreciable harm
to
> anyone.
>
> > >Just like Amateur Radio but without a license. See, the
> > >13wpm code testing in the old days was a chore to be had and a
challenge
> to
> > >be passed.
> >
> > Then why should they not get a license? Because they choose not to?
>
> Being licensed is a VOLUNTARY and self-imposed agreement to obey the law,
> unlike CB laws. I wouldn't feel dishonest operating a CB illegally,
because
> the "agreement" to obey CB laws is IMPLIED, not signed for or verbally
> agreed to. Perhaps that's a compensation you feel I'm not entitled to, and
> I'm well aware that it's no defense should I be required to allow agents
to
> inspect my station or confiscate my equipment, but I wouldn't feel that I
> had lied to anyone, and I think you'll find that most CBers (legal or not)
> are by nature good and honest people.
>
> > Then they choose to break the law, deviate from the accepted standard
> > of behavior, and accept the consequences from legal authorities and
> > society.
>
> > Sparky, FLRC
>
> That is correct. They accept responsibility and accountability for
> addressing what little harm stands to be done as well as for the legal
> consequences. I think level-headed and reasonable posters should take this
> into consideration. Nobody likes to be hassled for no good reason. If
there

> were good reason, I think we would have seen something besides the same
> juvenile trolling, finger-pointing, thread-chasing and badgering we've
seen
> from textbook self-esteem cases since day one. I believe a legal radio op
> who is a jackass is worse than an illegal op who is responsible and
> friendly, and I believe most would agree.
>
> 73
>
> Ibrahim, FRROLN (Friend of Responsible Radio Operators, Legal or Not)
>
>

Very nice. Alot of thought was put into this.

Ipo Camacho a.k.a. ONE37

"... reality is a frame of mind... stupidity is a state of mind."

2077. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 02:44:46 UTC
From: "Ed Hare, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <HqBZ5.163$d5.1235559@news.ntplx.net>
Source record: 63556
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<dickie_g_rosenfelt@my-deja.com> wrote:

> You know a lot of ham guy's radios bleed on their neighbor's stuff,
> too. Why is it ok for them to do it and not us?

If RFI is caused by spurious signals from the transmitter, it is NOT okay for a ham, CBer or even your local police transmitter to cause that kind of RFI.

If it is a case of fundamental overload, the cure can only be applied to the affected device.

Info on RFI can be found at:

http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/rfigen.html

and

http://www.arrl.org/catalog/6834

73,
Ed Hare, W1RFI

2078. Re: MOVIE: Vertical Limit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 02:46:23 UTC
From: fedya@banet.spam
Message-ID: <9008D61BDfedyabanetnet@32.97.166.128>
Source record: 63553
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.shortwave

Somebody claiming to be tlbryant@my-deja.com (T. L. Bryant) wrote in
<91634s01jq@enews2.newsguy.com>:

>It seems that there are a few Hollywood screenwriters that are hams
>trying to hang on to the past.
>
>I saw the movie "Vertical Limit" last night. There's a scene where the
>climbing party, after surviving an avalanche, is trapped in a mountain
>cave with a broken radio. They use morse code by keying the mic button
>on and off, communicating to base camp that they are okay. The dialog
>goes something like, "Dad taught us morse code when we were kids. He
>said it might save our life some day".
>
>Maybe at one time, but today, only in a cheesy Hollywood movie.
>
>So I'm going to ruin this movie:

[silly Hollywood plot deleted]

>There. I saved everyone the price of a ticket.

The ad I saw suggested that the action was taking place at an altitude of
about 26,000 feet, but also showed helicopters. I didn't think
helicopters could be used at that altitude. ;-) Can anybody tell me if
this is actually true?

I'm waiting for Hollywood to do a movie on the Amiraults, a true story
which probably doesn't fit what Hollywood likes to portray. :-(

--
Ted from New York
To reply by e-mail, change .spam to .net

2079. Help Needed: CB radio on an aluminum car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 02:57:39 UTC
From: joe6268@excite.com
Message-ID: <3a36e448.10556412@news.dreamscape.com>
Source record: 63557

I'm having trouble getting my Cobra radio to work. I have a portable magnetic antenna temporarily velcroed to the rear deck lid of my car. The reception is intermittent and the radio just squeals (according to the unfortunate folks who have listened to my transmissions) when I key the mike. I have been reading about different type antennas, but the ones for aluminum and fiberglass bodies can't seemed to be mounted on my Audi A8.

I don't live near a CB shop, so I am trying to figure it out myself. Any help or suggestions would be appreciated.

2080. Re: Excuses To Stay Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 03:14:28 UTC
From: Ipo Camacho <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t3dq39fgmlhp3f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63558

Aaron,

Your post has been taken into consideration. I, too, have thought about the shear legalities myself. I, too, have also "dibbed and dabbed" into the realm of CB Amplifiers and Super-modified radios. But you have to remember, there is no "Excuse to stay illegal..." its a "CHOICE". I chose to do so. I, myself, have to live with the fact that "yes", I was doing something illegal. But that WAS my choice. And everyone here, illegal or not, has that same right to chose. Now, like Ibrahim quoted... "It's not the illegal operators, it's the irresponsible ones." Let this be a commonality with which we can agree on...

VR,

ONE37

"... reality is a frame of mind... stupidity is a state of mind."

2081. Re: rec.radio.cb.dxclubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 03:20:03 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <916psvler1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63561

In article <916nvb$b46$1@panix2.panix.com>,
phil@panix.com (Phil Gustafson) wrote:
> ru.igarashi@usask.ca writes:
> >In news.groups Neil Wiger <neilwiger@neilwiger.com> wrote:
> >> REQUEST FOR DISCUSSION (RFD)
> >> unmoderated group rec.radio.cb.dxclubs
> >
> >>Newsgroup line:
> >>rec.radio.cb.dxclubs Sky wave propagation on 27mhz.
> >
> >What does 'dx' stand for? Is that a standard acronym for this
> >activity? I'm not comfortable with this name, but I might let
> >it go, too. Only on 27 mhz? That description doesn't match
> >the group name all that well. The group isn't for discussing
> >the propagation (i.e. a technical group), it's about some aspect
> >of radio communication.
> >
> 'dx' is standard amateur-radio jargon for long-distance work for its
own
> sake. That is, you don't necessarily have anything to say, you just
> want to talk to someone far away or in a place you haven't talked to
> yet. 'cb' is "Citizens Band", which is at about 27 MHz.
>
> However, unless FCC regulation 95.413 has been changed in the last few
> months, dx work (communicating or attempting to communicate at
distances
> greater than 250 km) is explicitly illegal on the cb band. So is
> international communication on that band.
>
> Phil Gustafson, ex-K1LUU
>
> --
>))
> ((Phil Gustafson Urban Legends FAQ:
http://www.urbanlegends.com
> C|~~| Java FAQ: http://www.afu.com
> `--' <phil@panix.com>
>

I agree. I believe creation of a newsgroup devoted to 11 meter DX would
attempt to legitimize what is clearly a violation of present FCC
regulations specifically prohibiting such communications and encourage
the proliferation of illegal RF amplifiers and further promote operation
on the so called"freeband" frequencies.

"rec.radio.cb.dxclubs" is, in practice, a euphemism for
"rec.radio.cb.illegal". If you are going to create a newsgroup devoted
to illegal cb radio it should at least bear a title more in keeping with
its content.

Sincerely,
Aaron H. Voobner

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2082. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 03:20:05 UTC
From: Chas <eat@joes.com>
Message-ID: <1E4B56A51C9CF9BD.AA0D45AAA93E266E.2372EE494A872587@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 63559

It's not ok.
Hams with there ticket at stake are more likely to clean it up when asked. A radio bleeding is a radio bleeding. I don't care who the offender is.
Instead of defending your use of illegal CB power I was hoping the group would have tips on filtering either on my end or the offenders. After looking at the posts here I see that doesn't happen. So I just complained.

You hams and CBers can argue till the cows come home. Instead you should teach each other how to fix these RFI problems that hurt both hobbyist.

This has gotten me nowhere so I will leave your newsgroup.

Bye
Chas

On Wed, 13 Dec 2000 01:44:22 GMT, dickie_g_rosenfelt@my-deja.com wrote:

>You know a lot of ham guy's radios bleed on their neighbor's stuff,
>too. Why is it ok for them to do it and not us?
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com
>http://www.deja.com/

2083. Re: ILLEGAL!!!!!!!!!!!937

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 03:25:22 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <916q6u$lk2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63564

In article <20001212211835.10000.00006417@ng-md1.aol.com>,
tj1072@aol.com (TJ1072) wrote:
> MAN O MAN.....IM FUCKIN ILLEGAL AS ALL HELL AND I AM SOOOOOOOOO
> HAPPY.....I EVEN GET OUT ON MY 2METER 440 RIG AND SWEAR LIKE
HELL!!!!!!!!!
> I NEVER I.D. GOD I JUST LOOOOOVE BREAKIN THE
RULES....WOOOOOHOOO...SO COME ON
> ALL MY FELLOW ILLEGAL SKIP ROLLIN CB'ERS...LETS ALL GET OUT AND HAMMER
> DOWN!!!!!!! (YES BELIEVE IT OR NOT I AM A HAM OPERATOR AS WELL) OH
YEA I
> ALMOST FORGOT...WHATS UP N8?????...LOL
>
> 937 AND THIS BAD ACTIN ILLEGAL MOBILE IN CHI TOWN JUST GOT
> DOOOOOOWWWWWNNNNNN!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>

hey woo woo, the only reason you get out is because the head sled is no
where near your location,...comode, comode,.......cd is out......

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2084. Re: WHAT IS IT YOU WANT AARON??????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 03:26:55 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <916q9p$ll1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63563

In article <t3dojsptc62te4@news.supernews.com>,
"Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> <curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:915lbhlhg1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > WHAT DO YOU WANT AARON. WHY ARE YOU HERE???????,.......cd is out...
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>
> Isn't it obvious, cd? He's become tired of the "Straight" life and
wants to
> walk on the wild side. I think he's picked you for his first conquest.
> Run for your life!
>
> Jeff
>
>

jefro, are you saying boobie is a shim,...comode, comode,....cd is
out......

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2085. Re: Illegals Fear Aaron Voobner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 03:28:30 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <916qcplss1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63566

In article <914peavrd1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <913k08$ek5$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>,
> "DX401" <lightnin24@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > Mr. Aaron Boobner also know as the Dumb Boob continues to defecate
on
> this
> > newsgroup. However, him being a ham you would think he would be able
> to hang
> > with his own kind. Of course, they even reject him outright and that
> is why
> > he came to rec.radio.cb because the crap on the ham groups is
nothing
> but
> > boring geezers bitching and moaning about their gout.
> >
>
> Can't respond to my ideas, so you attack me personally. That's weak.
>
> Sincerely,
> Aaron, FLRC
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

hey voobner, do you have any swing in your thing, comode,
comode,.......cd is out......

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2086. Re: Hotmail Sucks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 03:38:05 UTC
From: bomar <bomar76nospam@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <t3dh86ie3of4ef@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63433

I thought it was just me... Damn they really suck!
Haven't been able to get my hotmail email from Thursady till today, and
today's been on and off.
"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3A36B9A9.9AC3FC12@hotmail.com...
> I may have to get an email address from somewhere else. Their damn
> servers have been up and down for so long. If I'm taking too long
> to respond to an email, now you know what's up.

2087. Re: Help Needed: CB radio on an aluminum car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 03:40:59 UTC
From: m2steven <m2steven@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <zdCZ5.2890$lV3.60491@news1.atl>
Source record: 63565

You have probably messed up the connection of the bottom of the antenna to the car. The car is half the antenna. the squeel is probably rf energy being forced into the radio due to messed up radiation pattern. make sure that the bottom of the antenna is flush against the metal. or, buy a different type of antenna. the bottom line is that the
car is 1/2 of your antenna. if there is no connection to the car (generally, unless you're using a 5/8 wave antenna or something)...you got problems.
"joe" <joe6268@excite.com> wrote in message
news:3a36e448.10556412@news.dreamscape.com...
> I'm having trouble getting my Cobra radio to work. I have a portable
> magnetic antenna temporarily velcroed to the rear deck lid of my car.
> The reception is intermittent and the radio just squeals (according to
> the unfortunate folks who have listened to my transmissions) when I
> key the mike. I have been reading about different type antennas, but
> the ones for aluminum and fiberglass bodies can't seemed to be mounted
> on my Audi A8.
>
> I don't live near a CB shop, so I am trying to figure it out myself.
> Any help or suggestions would be appreciated.

2088. Looking for New/Used GrantXL's for a good price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 04:05:52 UTC
From: Ipo Camacho <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t3dt3oa43k3m45@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63567

Hi all.

Looking for any Pre-96 new or used Uniden GrantXL's out there that are NOT modified (peaked or tweaked). Preparing for a 4X4 run and everyone in the group wants one of these for SSB (like mine). Please email me with your offers. I will probably need 5. Thanx for looking.

Ipo Camacho

"...reality is a frame of mind. stupidity is a state of mind"

-remove NOSPAM from address to reply-

2089. Re: rec.radio.cb.dxclubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 04:13:58 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A36F786.CD6ED5E4@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63568

Hey Aaron, do you think we really care that you use a Mac from AOL?

You must be bozoradio@aol.com.

2090. Re: Looking for New/Used GrantXL's for a good price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 04:16:03 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A36F803.6C098B81@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63569

You could also get used 148's or PC-122's or TRC-465's to do the same thing.

Ipo Camacho wrote:
>
> Hi all.
>
> Looking for any Pre-96 new or used Uniden GrantXL's out there that are NOT
> modified (peaked or tweaked). Preparing for a 4X4 run and everyone in the
> group wants one of these for SSB (like mine). Please email me with your
> offers. I will probably need 5. Thanx for looking.
>
> Ipo Camacho
>
> "...reality is a frame of mind. stupidity is a state of mind"
>
> -remove NOSPAM from address to reply-

2091. Re: Illegals Fear Aaron Voobner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 04:16:58 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A36F83A.8C947BB@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63570

curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:

> hey voobner, do you have any swing in your thing, comode,
> comode,.......cd is out......

Ain't no swing in a one inch thing!!!

2092. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 04:20:56 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <916tf3$od5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63572

In article <VfBZ5.18742$JE.974380@nntp3.onemain.com>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:
>
> "Gary Danaher" <gdanaher@home.com> wrote in message
> news:3A36D24B.D359A12A@home.com...
> > Here's a topic for a new discussion. If it is true, by what measure
is
> > the degree of bleed determined, and what antenna characteristics
are most
> > liekly to generate a 'bleeding' antenna? Does the nature/type of the
> > transmitter interact with the antenna to create the 'bleed'?
>
> My own experience on which I claim no particular expertise is that
the power
> line, either indirectly from radiation or directly via a ground loop
is the
> biggest single culprit in this kind of interference. When the
neighbor who
> shares a distribution transformer with you is the complainer, it may
be as
> simple as feeding the transmitter thru a cheater plug and removing the
> connection between electrical system ground and station ground. I
know, we
> grew up to be 'grounding' freaks but sometimes two is one too many.
>
> Dick N&#65533;BK
>
>

it has been my experience that you just cant get the bleedover out of
your neighbor's stuff, no matter what you do. especially if you are
running power or a radio that swings more than 4 watts. and thats the
bottom line , no doubt about it. some would say, if you aint bleedin ,
you aint talkin. heck i think the best thing to do is either come to an
agreement with the neighbor somehow, someway, but of utmost importance
is keep the lines of communication open or it could just get worse. if
someone complains about your base station, and is adamant about it, the
best thing to do is get away from the base station thing, get mobile.
you can't tell me, that if a ham or anyone else is pumping 1500 on the
base, you are not going to stop any neighbor within a 1/4 mile of your
location from watching channel 2,......cd is out......

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2093. Re: WHAT IS IT YOU WANT AARON??????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 04:21:15 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A36F93B.D5F4991E@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63571

Look at this:
X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 4.01; AOL 4.0; Mac_PPC) (MAC LOVER)
X-Http-Proxy:1.0 x61.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client 205.188.195.24

Name: spider-we014.proxy.aol.com
Address: 205.188.195.24

He thought he could hide behind a my-deja address.

curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:

> jefro, are you saying boobie is a shim,...comode, comode,....cd is
> out......

2094. Re: Help Needed: CB radio on an aluminum car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 04:30:47 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A36FB77.2EF873BE@oio.net>
Source record: 63573

joe,
Instead if velcro, find a magnet (strong) and place it
under the rear deck, under the mag-mount. Should hold it
okay. Aluminum works fine as the 'other' part of the
antenna, just not very well with magnets...
'Doc

2095. Gemtronics ..GTX66

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 04:34:08 UTC
From: jim <b-757@carolina.rr.com>
Message-ID: <07ud3tcld6dvkqtg39lqoig7p60nmjm781@4ax.com>
Source record: 63574

I know that nobody will read this or even care..and that I will
probably recieve some spam,or flame, since I am not asking about, or
talking about some problems with the illegal use of 11 meters...but
actually I dont give a s-it...all I want to know is...does anybody
have a service manual or schmatic for a Gemtronics GTX-66....oh
...and yes flame me about my spelling and lack of grammer...Thanks

2096. Re: Why Tech's complain about ILLEGAL CB amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 04:43:15 UTC
From: glc1173@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001212234315.28286.00001070@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 63575
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Fab5freddy wrote:
>If you live out away
>from people and are no harm to a neighbor >I say So what run a few extra
>watts

Before wasting your cash on a thousand-watt linear, ask yourself this - who
are you going to be able to talk with on it?
If you can't hear them, you can't talk with them. That will limit any
advantage of that linear to conversations with some other guy who also has one.
And he may well be in Brazil - in Portuguese. Sure, you'll still be able to
talk to the guy a mile away in English - but you hardly need to spend hundreds
on a linear to do that!
More ways to fight
Algore's coup - and to screw Blue Nation
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Dissident news - plus immigration, gun rights, nationwide weather
Al Gore - in his own words
ALAMANCE INDEPENDENT:
official newspaper of the Vast Right Wing Conspiracy
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

2097. Re: ILLEGAL!!!!!!!!!!!937

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 04:46:37 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001212234637.10152.00004522@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 63576

>hey woo woo, the only reason you get out is because the head sled is no
>where near your location,...comode, comode,.......cd is out......
>
>

Oh hell yea....thats why i get out....no head sleds over
here....woooohoooo....whats up danny???...where ya been...i missed
ya...actually im not gonna give ya shit...its the holiday season...ill send a
friendly wave your way this time....happy holidays to you and yours cd....

937....chicagos meanist mud-duck!!!!!!...LOL

2098. Re: 3's and 8's from Georgia 231

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 04:50:57 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <916v92$3h9$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 63577

If you noticed I said PURPOSED law, I will get a copy as soon as the city fathers put the polishing touches on it and scan it for upload. I take a bit of pride in having written a good portion of it. My concern was to insure that CBers operating within the law had protection, particularly in regards to part 15 devices, stereo, and other audio equipment that was subject to audio rectification. I think that most CBers will find that if they are operating within the law, their local ham interference committee will go to bat for them. Those operating high power, broadbanded signals, and outside the 40 channels will be just as quickly turned in. While many jurisdictions may not want to get involved, those that do will make life less than bearable for renegades. Of real interest in our town is the use of profanity as defined by the FCC is being give the same consideration as any other violation. I had no input in that regard, but apparently one of the ladies in town got cussed with the "F" word by a trucker and they intend to fine violators.

I will keep you informed as to what finally passes.

73 Fred W4JLE (Licensed for 44/100 of the existence of radio)

"WildBill" <wildbill @hose.com> wrote in message
news:uUuZ5.5097$Oz5.478528@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
> fred please let us see a copy of the statute or ordinace the local town is
> going to be passing otherwise the police cannot enforce anything at this
> point.
>
> "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
> news:915pebfij1@iac5.navix.net...
> > As I enter with my flameproof suit I was asked to pass on all the good
> > numbers from Georgia231 who has gone 10-7.
> >
> > This person has been the bain of existence to all his neighbors around
> here,
> > he was handed a copy of the latest federal law, along with the purposed
> > local laws. It was made clear that he would be the target of the first
> test
> > case under the new law. I observed him trying to justify his rights to
do
> > what he wanted on the CB band. He stated that he had heard the FCC no
> > longer controlled CB along with a litany of other excuses. The local law
> > officer explained in a respectful manner that he would shortly have
> > jurisdiction and he should consider this as his final warning. "Hey! you
> > mean your serious?" was his response, the officer responded "as serious
as
> a

> > heart attack" at that point he walked back in the house and brought out
> what
> > he refereed to as a "ModgeBox" and handed it over to the officer. I
> believe
> > that this law is going to work. Any way Ga231 sends all the good
> numbers...
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2099. Re: Help Needed: CB radio on an aluminum car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 04:53:21 UTC
From: glc1173@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001212235321.28286.00001075@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 63578
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Joe wrote:
>I'm having trouble getting my Cobra radio >to work. I have a portable
>magnetic antenna temporarily velcroed to >the rear deck lid of my car.
>The reception is intermittent and the radio >just squeals (according to
>the unfortunate folks who have listened to >my transmissions) when I
>key the mike. I have been reading about >different type antennas, but
>the ones for aluminum and fiberglass >bodies can't seemed to be mounted
>on my Audi A8.

I'm too poor for Audi A8s, so I don't know much about them - but here are
some suggestions:
Does the car have a steel roof? If so, take the Velcro off that mag mount -
and stick it on the car's roof, snaking the coax in through a seldom-used door
between door and door jamb (many use rear left door for this).
If the car has an aluminum trunk lid, go to a local two-way radio installer
- of the kind who puts two-way radios in patrol cars - and get a
"trunk-lip-mount" antenna. It clamps onto the edge of your trunk lid.
N4GSV,
Matt
How a nationwide
trucker's strike can end Algore's coup
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Dissident news - plus immigration, gun rights, nationwide weather
Al Gore - in his own words
ALAMANCE INDEPENDENT:
official newspaper of the Vast Right Wing Conspiracy
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

2100. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 04:58:33 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <916vna$3ms$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 63580

Just a quick comment, I run 1500 watts on occasion and never put a wiggle on the TV and I am 60 miles from the Atlanta TV stations. I do get into the cordless phones when operating on 20 meters.

<curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:916tf3$od5can't tell me, that if a ham or anyone else is pumping 1500 on the
> base, you are not going to stop any neighbor within a 1/4 mile of your
> location from watching channel 2,......cd is out......
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2101. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 05:00:21 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <916vp5$91p$0@208.207.71.174>
Source record: 63579
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

dickie_g_rosenfelt@my-deja.com wrote:
>

> You know a lot of ham guy's radios bleed on their neighbor's stuff,
> too. Why is it ok for them to do it and not us?

Maybe because they know how to make a proper tourniquet?

2102. Re: Looking for New/Used GrantXL's for a good price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 05:18:33 UTC
From: Ipo Camacho <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t3e1c8l9gi2bc2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63581

Tried to offer these guys my 148 and all but I guess these guys are pretty
much set in getting Grants. Don't ask me why...

Ipo Camacho
--

"...reality is a frame of mind. stupidity is a state of mind"

-remove NOSPAM from address to reply-

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3A36F803.6C098B81@hotmail.com...
> You could also get used 148's or PC-122's or TRC-465's to do the same
thing.
>
> Ipo Camacho wrote:
> >
> > Hi all.
> >
> > Looking for any Pre-96 new or used Uniden GrantXL's out there that are
NOT
> > modified (peaked or tweaked). Preparing for a 4X4 run and everyone in
the
> > group wants one of these for SSB (like mine). Please email me with your
> > offers. I will probably need 5. Thanx for looking.
> >
> > Ipo Camacho
> >
> > "...reality is a frame of mind. stupidity is a state of mind"
> >
> > -remove NOSPAM from address to reply-

2103. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 05:22:34 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91712l$r3a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63583
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <916vp5$91p$0@208.207.71.174>,
Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>
>
> dickie_g_rosenfelt@my-deja.com wrote:
> >
>
> > You know a lot of ham guy's radios bleed on their neighbor's stuff,
> > too. Why is it ok for them to do it and not us?
>
> Maybe because they know how to make a proper tourniquet?
>

would you mind applying one to hambrecht's neck and twist
reaaaaaallllllllllll hard.....cd is out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2104. Re: Help Needed: CB radio on an aluminum car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 05:24:40 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A370818.FB6B202A@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63582
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

> If the car has an aluminum trunk lid, go to a local two-way radio installer
> - of the kind who puts two-way radios in patrol cars - and get a
> "trunk-lip-mount" antenna. It clamps onto the edge of your trunk lid.

If you go this route, make sure to put a ground strap or two from
the mount to the body. Hinges are not a good RF ground. Even if you
drill the trunk to put a mount in it, use straps.

> How a nationwide
> trucker's strike can end Algore's coup

You can put a fork in Gore, he's finished!!!

2105. Re: ILLEGAL!!!!!!!!!!!937

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 05:30:38 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <9171hvrgq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63584

In article <20001212234637.10152.00004522@ng-md1.aol.com>,
tj1072@aol.com (TJ1072) wrote:
> >hey woo woo, the only reason you get out is because the head sled is
no
> >where near your location,...comode, comode,.......cd is out......
> >
> >
>
> Oh hell yea....thats why i get out....no head sleds over
> here....woooohoooo....whats up danny???...where ya been...i missed
> ya...actually im not gonna give ya shit...its the holiday
season...ill send a
> friendly wave your way this time....happy holidays to you and yours
cd....
>
> 937....chicagos meanist mud-duck!!!!!!...LOL
>

your are lucky i am in a good mood so i will say this. merry christmas
and a happy new year from the big horn, thats texas for all these
hamster mudducks who are calling this news group their new adopted
home. i got a question for ya. the next time you see one of those bug
catchers on some mudducks car, just drop the maul on him and put him
out of his misery. but whatever you do 937, do not , i repeat, do not
ever get out on certain channels and key up. because if i am out there
i will drop the wrecking ball on your xforce box and put you on the
back of the bus, comode, comode.,.........cd is out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2106. Re: DaveMade rumor?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 05:37:09 UTC
From: MR123IL@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10318-3A370B05-13@storefull-294.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63586

If the "FCC" is going to get "DAVEMADE,X-FORCE,& NO-NAME"
Don't you think it would had been done by now?
I'm not saying it can't happen it can!
But people like you are the one's they need to be looking four...

YOUR the guy that is running your big mouth trying to start SHIT!!
So if you are a big hammbone that is running over power you need to
check your self man!

And if you are not that big bad hammbone than
you just wish you could talk some skip!

But they will not sell you a amp because you are a ASSHOLE......

Later and yes I am "Mr.123ILL."

2107. Re: Help Needed: CB radio on an aluminum car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 05:46:33 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t3e3a53a7qfi65@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 63585

"joe" <joe6268@excite.com> wrote in message
news:3a36e448.10556412@news.dreamscape.com...
> I'm having trouble getting my Cobra radio to work. I have a portable
> magnetic antenna temporarily velcroed to the rear deck lid of my car.
> The reception is intermittent and the radio just squeals (according to
> the unfortunate folks who have listened to my transmissions) when I
> key the mike. I have been reading about different type antennas, but
> the ones for aluminum and fiberglass bodies can't seemed to be mounted
> on my Audi A8.
>
> I don't live near a CB shop, so I am trying to figure it out myself.
> Any help or suggestions would be appreciated.

Buy a "Puddle Jumper" (If you have an A8, you can undoubtedly afford
one) and use that as your radio car. I love CB but I just cannot see
sticking an antenna on that car.
This does not make me a "Bad Man", does it? :-)

Jeff

2108. Re: Help Needed: CB radio on an aluminum car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 05:49:38 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t3e3fu4mcjtmd5@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 63587

"'Doc" <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message news:3A36FB77.2EF873BE@oio.net...
>
>
> joe,
> Instead if velcro, find a magnet (strong) and place it
> under the rear deck, under the mag-mount. Should hold it
> okay. Aluminum works fine as the 'other' part of the
> antenna, just not very well with magnets...
> 'Doc

That's a damn good idea. I still like the "Puddle Jumper" idea better...

Jeff

2109. Re: Clarification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 05:50:59 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <9172o3gio3@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 63589

WildBill wrote:

: what difference does it make if your a ham or a cber if you run 1500 watts ,
: none the only thing is you need a license what does the piece of paper do
: nothing you can wipe your ass with it it doesnt mean anything it means you
: rememberd a few answers to questions on a test it doesnt mean you are any
: better of an operator than the next guy. go stick your lips on a tail pipe.
: "Sparky" <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message

It means a bit more than that. The Ham will be operating on legal frequencies
and using an amplifier that meets FCC requirements for purity of signal. If
the Ham causes interference on other frequencies, he will hear about it
sooner or later from the volunteer monitors.

Most CBers that run power use amplifiers that do not meed FCC requirements
for signal purity - although some use Ham linears, and are capable of
tuning them properly. Most of those CBers run SSB stations, and rarely
get on AM.

There are many CBers that are good radio operators. Communication with
them is generally very pleasant. There are also a lot of bad operators
who tend to make life miserable for the rest of US.

There are also some Hams that are bad operators, although they generally
tend to be better than the average CBer. This may be due to the monitoring
that occurs - with the chance of losing their license if it is not corrected.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

2110. Re: Help Needed: CB radio on an aluminum car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 05:55:54 UTC
From: glc1173@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001213005554.28881.00004111@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 63588
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Doc wrote:
>> Instead if velcro, find a magnet >>(strong) and place it
>> under the rear deck, under the >>mag-mount. Should hold it
>> okay. Aluminum works fine as the >>'other' part of the
>> antenna, just not very well with >>magnets...

I wouldn't do it. The slippage of the mag mount (from vibration as you drive) atop the trunk lid might scratch the paint.
The trunk lid flexes enough as you drive that a mag mount to anything "under" the trunk lid itself probably won't hold firmly at 60 mph.
N4GSV,
Matt
How a nationwide
trucker's strike can end Algore's coup
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Dissident news - plus immigration, gun rights, nationwide weather
Al Gore - in his own words
ALAMANCE INDEPENDENT:
official newspaper of the Vast Right Wing Conspiracy
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

2111. Re: Why is it that Tech's are the biggest complainers about CBamps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 06:05:57 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <9173k5gio4@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 63590

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:16974-3A363594-26@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
: booger at me now after all this time? I only disagree with you saying
: MOST hammies run barefoot. Thats pure golden BS if I ever came across
: it, and a stereo typical view as well. MAYBE, just MAYBE, in your area,
: they do. But in no way possible can you say that with a straight face
: AND actually believe it. They lie. I have heard them lie. Hitting

But he is right. Most Hams on HF do only run a barefoot station. With
all the frequencies available, there is no need for more in most cases.

There are exceptions, as with just about everything. There ARE a few
Hams who insist on running more power than necessary.

And I have found this to be true for every part of the country that I
have visited.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

2112. Re: 3's and 8's from Georgia 231

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 07:00:49 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <9176r0$v9v$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63592

In article <uUuZ5.5097$Oz5.478528@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
"WildBill" <wildbill @hose.com> wrote:
> fred please let us see a copy of the statute or ordinace the local
town is
> going to be passing otherwise the police cannot enforce anything at
this
> point.
>
> "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
> news:915pebfij1@iac5.navix.net...
> > As I enter with my flameproof suit I was asked to pass on all the
good
> > numbers from Georgia231 who has gone 10-7.
> >
> > This person has been the bain of existence to all his neighbors
around
> here,
> > he was handed a copy of the latest federal law, along with the
purposed
> > local laws. It was made clear that he would be the target of the
first
> test
> > case under the new law. I observed him trying to justify his rights
to do
> > what he wanted on the CB band. He stated that he had heard the FCC
no
> > longer controlled CB along with a litany of other excuses. The
local law
> > officer explained in a respectful manner that he would shortly have
> > jurisdiction and he should consider this as his final
warning. "Hey! you
> > mean your serious?" was his response, the officer responded "as
serious as
> a
> > heart attack" at that point he walked back in the house and brought
out
> what
> > he refereed to as a "ModgeBox" and handed it over to the officer. I
> believe
> > that this law is going to work. Any way Ga231 sends all the good
> numbers...
> >
> >
> >

>
>

hambrecht, i think you guys buffaloed that guy and you ought to be ashamed to do such a thing. i feel you had absolutely no authority whatsoever, to do this and the only damn reason you got away with it is because of the ignorance of this individual, nothing more and nothing less. try this on a older , wiser and more experienced individual and see what happens, shame on you herr hambrecht, shame , shame , shame. are we to deduce by this post that you are now a rat for the man. well old buddy, you know what happens to rats , don't you???????????,......cd is out........

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2113. Re: DaveMade rumor?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 07:01:48 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <9176sq$va8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63591

In article <10318-3A370B05-13@storefull-294.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
MR123IL@webtv.net wrote:
> If the "FCC" is going to get "DAVEMADE,X-FORCE,& NO-NAME"
> Don't you think it would had been done by now?
> I'm not saying it can't happen it can!
> But people like you are the one's they need to be looking four...
>

I seem to have ruffled some feathers here, but I don't know why. Some of you guys get all jumpy and rush in to try and scare people off if you think the illegal amp makers identities are about to be uncovered. I swear, it's ODD.

If the FCC is not interested in busting the illegal amp makers, then there's no harm in providing their real names and addresses, is there? Furthermore, if the CB laws are a joke that nobody pays attention to and CB enforcement is such a low priority with the FCC, then what's the big worry?

Why don't DaveMade and XForce and all the rest take out full page ads in POPULAR COMMUNICATIONS? You guys could have a party.

Aaron

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2114. Re: 3's and 8's from Georgia 231

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 07:06:34 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91775o$vd1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63594

In article <916v92$3h9$1@iac5.navix.net>,
"Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
> If you noticed I said PURPOSED law, I will get a copy as soon as the
city
> fathers put the polishing touches on it and scan it for upload. I
take a bit
> of pride in having written a good portion of it. My concern was to
insure
> that CBers operating within the law had protection, particularly in
regards
> to part 15 devices, stereo, and other audio equipment that was
subject to
> audio rectification. I think that most CBers will find that if they
are
> operating within the law, their local ham interference committee will
go to
> bat for them. Those operating high power, broadbanded signals, and
outside
> the 40 channels will be just as quickly turned in. While many
jurisdictions
> may not want to get involved, those that do will make life less than
> bearable for renegades. Of real interest in our town is the use of
profanity
> as defined by the FCC is being give the same consideration as any
other
> violation. I had no input in that regard, but apparently one of the
ladies
> in town got cussed with the "F" word by a trucker and they intend to
fine
> violators.
>
> I will keep you informed as to what finally passes.
>
> 73 Fred W4JLE (Licensed for 44/100 of the existence of radio)
>
> "WildBill" <wildbill @hose.com> wrote in message
> news:uUuZ5.5097$Oz5.478528@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
> > fred please let us see a copy of the statute or ordinace the local
town is
> > going to be passing otherwise the police cannot enforce anything at
this
> > point.
> >
> > "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message

> > news:915pebfij1@iac5.navix.net...
> > > As I enter with my flameproof suit I was asked to pass on all the
good
> > > numbers from Georgia231 who has gone 10-7.
> > >
> > > This person has been the bain of existence to all his neighbors
around
> > here,
> > > he was handed a copy of the latest federal law, along with the
purposed
> > > local laws. It was made clear that he would be the target of the
first
> > test
> > > case under the new law. I observed him trying to justify his
rights to
> do
> > > what he wanted on the CB band. He stated that he had heard the
FCC no
> > > longer controlled CB along with a litany of other excuses. The
local law
> > > officer explained in a respectful manner that he would shortly
have
> > > jurisdiction and he should consider this as his final
warning. "Hey! you
> > > mean your serious?" was his response, the officer responded "as
serious
> as
> > a
> > > heart attack" at that point he walked back in the house and
brought out
> > what
> > > he refereed to as a "ModgeBox" and handed it over to the officer.
I
> > believe
> > > that this law is going to work. Any way Ga231 sends all the good
> > numbers...
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

yeh right hambrecht, i think it is a foregone conclusion about what will happen to cber's, courtesy of your radio activism. and oh please spare me about that moronic statement, about hams going to bat for cbers. now that silly misstatement makes me want to hurl. now hambrect you and your cop buddies need to quit pussy footing around and go out in the hood and start busting big radios. now that i want to see, he,

he, ... good buddy.......cd is out..........

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2115. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 07:13:04 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <9177ji$6ss$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 63593

I normally don't get into battles over nonsense. If you choose to believe that most mobile installations don't fall in the 37 ohm region, far be it from me to try to convince you otherwise. On the other side of the coin with simply an extra class license and a masters in electrical engineering would I ever approach a problem with the complexities of transmission line theory with a simple "nonsense. But! far be it for me to not allow YOU that freedom. I would invite you to engage in private e-mail and I think I can show you your statement is possibly in error. I would ask you what is the impedance at the receiver end if the far end of an 18 foot piece of 50 ohm coax is connected to a 37 ohm load at 27.185 MHz? Assume a velocity factor of .65

"Coax Length Police" <anylength@willdo.com> wrote in message news:anylength-EBD20F.17360412122000@nntp.lucent.com...
> In article <915jtbdec1@iac5.navix.net>, "Fred Hambrecht"
> <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
>
> > The normal mobile installation is about 37 ohms do to losses and
> > imperfect ground plane.
>
> Not that it really matters but I reject your premise that a normal
> mobile installation is about 37 ohms. Given all the variables,
> there is no way to say what the normally found impedance is.
>
> > If you put the 18 foot on a smith chart you will see it does a
> > good job of transforming the 37 to 50 and makes everyone's SWR meter
> > happy.
>
> Total nonsense. It does no such thing. There is no length of 50 ohm
> coax that will transform 37 ohms into 50 ohms.
>
> > I took some liberties in a previous post by calling it a half wave for
> > illustrative purposes, but the idea is the same.
>
> You took some liberties with the facts, too.
>
> > 73 Fred W4JLE (Licensed for 44/100 of the existence of radio) ;>)
>
> Oh, boy.

2116. Is These Prices Too High on a Davemade or what ? Look>>> Prices Below.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 07:15:04 UTC
From: CreoleCat@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18076-3A3721F8-15@storefull-232.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63596

Davemade M200 3 pill $245=Davemade M400 5 pill $335=Davemade M400se 2x4 pill $365,Davemade 800 8 pill $635,Davemade m800 2x8 $725,Davemade 16 pill $1,3000.00
I am comparing the prices to the builders here in california.What you think does it really matter about the price or is Davemade the best amp you can buy for watts and quality for such a high price.

2117. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 07:42:08 UTC
From: Steve Hanis <wxfreak@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <kLFZ5.42468$EA6.4414832@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 63595

Try to work it out with him. If all else fails contact the FCC and ask for assistance in getting your local PD involved under the new law: Public Law No: 106-521
http://thomas.loc.gov/cgi-bin/bdquery/z?d106:HR02346:@@@X
Or get a walkie-talkie on an unfiltered supply and plug his ears.

"Chas" <eat@joes.com> wrote in message news:9B29335BD0224362.18BD83ED49D54271.A8683CEF8A2D3F38@lp.airnews.net...
> Why do some of you CB people run illegal power?
>
> All you do is interfere with other peoples lives. How would you like
> to wake up in the middle of the night hearing voices. It was coming
> from my phone witch was on the receiver. Echoing whistles and other
> odd noises on my stereo while watching a rented DVD movie. I bet he is
> running several hundred watts. Saw the amp setting on his desk the
> first time I complained. How bad he bleeds varies, I guess to how much
> power he has on. Turning screws and cutting circuits in the radio
> dirtying up the signal is bad enough but amplifying it just makes it
> worse.
> I hope the US government makes people get CB licenses again.
> Congress has a bill pending to give local police authority to in force
> FCC rules governing CBs. God I hope it passes. A few bad apples are
> going to kill it for all of you.
>
> Just had to vent a little. My new neighbor is driving me mad.
>
>
> Chas

2118. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 08:02:58 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-335559.02554313122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 63597

Fred:

You won't battle over nonsense but you'll spread it. You made your
inaccurate claim publicly. We should set the record straight publicly.

You gave me your credentials. Now, use all that knowledge endowed
upon you to give me the answer to my question which I insist you cannot:
What length of 50 ohm coax will transform 37 ohms to 50 ohms?

Go ahead. Lay a little complex transmission line theory on me!
I can take it!

Plot it on a Smith Chart and watch your impedance spin round and round
without ever getting any closer to that 50 ohm point in the middle.
Anyone with an MSEE should know that.

Here is the answer to your question (I welcome serious and meaningful
challenges -- we are here to promote understanding and stamp out myths):

An 18 foot piece of 50 ohm coax with a 0.65 velocity factor will
transform a 37 ohm impedance to a 67.1 - j3.8 ohm impedance.
It is no closer to 50 ohms. In fact, the SWR is identical (it has
to be!).

In article <9177ji$6ss$1@iac5.navix.net>, "Fred Hambrecht"
<Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

> I normally don't get into battles over nonsense. If you choose to believe
> that most mobile installations don't fall in the 37 ohm region, far be it
> from me to try to convince you otherwise. On the other side of the coin with
> simply an extra class license and a masters in electrical engineering would
> I ever approach a problem with the complexities of transmission line theory
> with a simple "nonsense. But! far be it for me to not allow YOU that
> freedom. I would invite you to engage in private e-mail and I think I can
> show you your statement is possibly in error. I would ask you what is the
> impedance at the receiver end if the far end of an 18 foot piece of 50 ohm
> coax is connected to a 37 ohm load at 27.185 MHz? Assume a velocity
> factor of .65
>
> "Coax Length Police" <anylength@willdo.com> wrote in message
> news:anylength-EBD20F.17360412122000@nntp.lucent.com...
> > In article <915jtbdec1@iac5.navix.net>, "Fred Hambrecht"
> > <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
> >
> > > The normal mobile installation is about 37 ohms do to losses and
> > > imperfect ground plane.
> >

> > Not that it really matters but I reject your premise that a normal
> > mobile installation is about 37 ohms. Given all the variables,
> > there is no way to say what the normally found impedance is.
> >
> > > If you put the 18 foot on a smith chart you will see it does a
> > > good job of transforming the 37 to 50 and makes everyone's SWR meter
> > > happy.
> >
> > Total nonsense. It does no such thing. There is no length of 50 ohm
> > coax that will transform 37 ohms into 50 ohms.
> >
> > > I took some liberties in a previous post by calling it a half wave
> > > for
> > > illustrative purposes, but the idea is the same.
> >
> > You took some liberties with the facts, too.
> >
> > > 73 Fred W4JLE (Licensed for 44/100 of the existence of radio) ;>)
> >
> > Oh, boy.
>
>

2119. Re: Illegals Fear Aaron Voobner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 08:08:17 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <917bef$f102$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 63498

I got more swang in my thang then Voobner got in his ding a ling,

and Im steppin,
KRS

Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay) <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3A36F83A.8C947BB@hotmail.com...
>
>
> curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > hey voobner, do you have any swing in your thing, comode,
> > comode,.......cd is out......
>
> Ain't no swing in a one inch thing!!!

2120. Re: My point has been made

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 08:24:52 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <917cdm$ff58$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 63503

If I stereo typed Hams as some have stereo typed us, then I would be perpetuating the same ignorance that some hams have proscribed to think about us.....What did I just say?

KRS

picloud <A@A.com> wrote in message news:t30f6ei9mcvaf0@corp.supernews.com...
> Yeah, but he does reinforce a certain stereotype ...
>
>
> G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:90e4j1$519i$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> > This is true, I know a few HAMS that are great people regardless of our
> > indifference in radios operation.
> >
> > KRS
> >
> >
> > B-Squared <rbethman@home.com> wrote in message
> > news:VkwW5.143090$RP.26838476@news1.rdc1.md.home.com...
> > > "He" is NOT indicative of the majority.
> > >
> > > N0DGN
> > >
> > > "Hippie Freak" <oneqck76ta@aol.comSpAmSuX> wrote in message
> > > news:20001202162326.10765.00002518@ng-fa1.aol.com...
> > > > >I am gonna sit back a while, chill out, and let you guys run your
> jaws.
> > > Have
> > > > > >fun on the CB...I am sure some of you will make the newspapers...
> > > > > >
> > > > > >Quietly chuckling
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > And to think I was going to actually try and get my ham ticket. This
> guy
> > > has
> > > > > opened my eyes and I have decided not to because who would want to
> > > hangout and
> > > > > talk to a bunch of self centered idiots like him. I would like to
> > > thankyou. I
> > > > > think I will stick to my good old CB. Where everyone seem to get
along

> > > just
> > > > fine.
> > > >
> > > > Later
> > > >
> > > > oneqck76ta@aol.com
> > > > http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta
> > > >
> > > > You've got '459' out of Minneapolis Minnesota and i'm back quiet!
> > > >
> > > > ****Hippie Freak****
> > > > http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta/cbradio
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2121. Re: Looking for New/Used GrantXL's for a good price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 08:25:25 UTC
From: Mod Squad <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <VnGZ5.149$L15.528@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 63501

Get the uniden grant LT same radio same audio

"Ipo Camacho" <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:t3dt3oa43k3m45@corp.supernews.com...
> Hi all.
>
> Looking for any Pre-96 new or used Uniden GrantXL's out there that are NOT
> modified (peaked or tweaked). Preparing for a 4X4 run and everyone in the
> group wants one of these for SSB (like mine). Please email me with your
> offers. I will probably need 5. Thanx for looking.
>
> Ipo Camacho
>
> "...reality is a frame of mind. stupidity is a state of mind"
>
> -remove NOSPAM from address to reply-
>
>

2122. Re: illegal who really cares

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 08:28:57 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a373326.4277998@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63499

On 12 Dec 2000 15:33:04 GMT, snowman937@aol.com (Snowman937) wrote:

>It's not bullshit though. He is exactly right. Yes, amplifiers are illegal on
>cb. Going out of band on cb is illegal. But don't you think that there are MUCH
>worse crimes out there that truly need more attention than a cb radio??? Come
>on now n8. I know you're not that nieve.
>
>
>937...chicago

It is naive to think that rapists should be ignored as long as there
are unsolved murders.

Sparky, FLRC

2123. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 08:29:03 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a37334c.4316061@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63500

On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 17:30:06 -0500, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>In article <915jdr$d89$1@iac5.navix.net>, "Fred Hambrecht"
><Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
<snip>
>> They really did not adjust the SWR, they simply picked a length of coax
>> that transformed the actual load to a 50 ohm load that allowed the SWR
>> meter to see 50 ohms and indicate 1:1.
>
>There is NO length of 50 ohm coax that will transform an non-50 ohm load
>into 50 ohms. It simply cannot be done!

It is called a "transmission line transformer", and is used quite
often.

>> Take the earlier case of the 1/4 wave length with a short looking open,
>> obviously I can adjust the length to a 50 ohm point and have 1:1 on a
>> piece of shorted coax.
>
>Total nonsense. This is impossible. I guess you can spin straw into
>gold, too.

You obviously have never tried it. It happens because it creates
standing waves along the entire length of the coax. It is commonly
called a "shorted stub".

>> SWR means nothing except that a conjunctive match allows the greatest
>> transfer of power.
>
>It is a "conjugate" match.
>
>SWR certainly does mean something! A conjugate match with a high SWR
>will have higher losses than a conjugate match with a low SWR.
>Furthermore, most CBs do not provide any mechanism for creating a
>conjugate match to a non-50 ohm load. Thus, you must have a 50 ohm
>load for a conjugate match. That is, you must have an SWR close
>to 1:1 for a conjugate match and the highest transfer of power.
>
>> In short SWR's are the source of more myths and urban legends than the
>> internet ;>}

As everyone knows, a dummy load presents a 1:1 match. It doesn't
radiate very well. SWR is a good indicator of impedance mismatch, but
it needs to be measured not only at the radio/coax junction but also
at the coax/antenna junction. And it still is not an accurate measure

of power transfer, because the antenna may have some reactance that the SWR bridge does not detect because of opposing reactance somewhere else in the system. In that case, an SWR of 1.5:1 may radiate better than a 1:1match.

Sparky, FLRC

2124. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 08:32:52 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <1rce3ts1vc3gponubr97j81d5n5issdgg4@4ax.com>
Source record: 63502

Greetings!

Then please answer why Radio Shack, Francis, Firestik, and the ARRL
recommend using specific lengths of coax for the use of Transmatch.

Then clarify the difference between coax length, and Transmatch - and
how location can directly affect outcome.

On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 17:19:43 -0500, while the modem was ice fishing
with the Mouse, Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com> dissertated
the following:

:+> Even if you didn't do your homework, you can use any length of coax
:+> you want.

Regards!
.:+> Andy <+: | BEEP!
... You really are a CB'er when... | _____ BEEP!
... your CB antenna is longer than |/ ___
... your SUV! |_,-.__,-._|
... (o) (o)
http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

2125. Re: Excuses To Stay Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 08:46:54 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a3735b3.4931770@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63504

On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 19:14:28 -0800, "Ipo Camacho"
<ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM> wrote:

>Aaron,
>
>Your post has been taken into consideration. I, too, have thought about the
>shear legalities myself. I, too, have also "dibbed and dabbed" into the
>realm of CB Amplifiers and Super-modified radios. But you have to remember,
>there is no "Excuse to stay illegal..." its a "CHOICE". I chose to do so.
>I, myself, have to live with the fact that "yes", I was doing something
>illegal. But that WAS my choice. And everyone here, illegal or not, has
>that same right to chose. Now, like Ibrahim quoted... "It's not the illegal
>operators, it's the irresponsible ones." Let this be a commonality with
>which we can agree on...

An operator that runs power using every consideration for interference
may indeed be responsible _except_ for the fact that he is operating
outside the limits. So for that reason, in conjunction with reasons
that I have previously stated, I don't agree that the operator is
being responsible.

Sparky, FLRC

2126. Re: CB interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 09:00:32 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a3739f4.6020878@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63505

On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 20:03:26 -0600, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote:

>> If someone is being an ass, call the police. If that doesn't work, defend
>> yourself. It is SO easy to
>> stop illegal radiation.
>
>m2steven,
> I don't know about where you live, but it's legal
>to shoot trespassers in this state...
> 'Doc

I don't know where you live, but it is illegal in every US state to
shoot a trespasser. Forced entry is a different story.

Sparky, FLRC

2127. Re: Is These Prices Too High on a Davemade or what ? Look>>> PricesBelow.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 09:01:58 UTC
From: Miles Davis <MDD327@AOL.COM>
Message-ID: <917fvhlet1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
Source record: 63506

You Davemade guys need to have a talk with Dave. A little bit of success is going to his head. $13,000.00 is way too much for a 16-pill! ROTFLMAO!!!!!!
"West Side" <CreoleCat@webtv.net> wrote in message news:18076-3A3721F8-15@storefull-232.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Davemade M200 3 pill $245=Davemade M400 5 pill $335=Davemade M400se 2x4
> pill $365,Davemade 800 8 pill $635,Davemade m800 2x8 $725,Davemade 16
> pill $1,3000.00
> I am comparing the prices to the builders here in california.What you
> think does it really matter about the price or is Davemade the best amp
> you can buy for watts and quality for such a high price.
>

2128. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 09:13:58 UTC
From: oh8mfh <oh8mfh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <917eii$ph2$1@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 63507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

It's not okay for hams to cause interference, but we at least know the limits. Besides, according to radio regulations, we're required to contact the neighbor who suffers from the interference. Simple things like chokes and stubs, hi/lo pass filters often help. No problem. Gary

2129. Re: Hotmail Sucks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 09:27:53 UTC
From: meghertz@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <917fel$679$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63509

I think it's worth the full price we've paid for it.

On the one hand
"don't knock it, it's free", but on the other
"if you're going to provide a service, get it right!"

I must say though, that I've had fewer problems with it than with
my other accounts.

MegHz

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2130. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 09:28:02 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <38ge3to0epqtng32d3s363smar2vb9uv9c@4ax.com>
Source record: 63508

Greetings!

Hi George, How's Lucent treating you?

Happy Holidays!

On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 17:49:56 -0500, while the modem was ice fishing
with the Mouse, Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com> dissertated
the following:

:+> In article <2p8d3tk651ofmrbqbmlhqhqq92ocf7h0oh@4ax.com>, tnom@mucks.net
:+> wrote:
:+>
:+> > snip
:+> > >why do the antenna manufacturers reccomend an 18 ' length.
:+> > snip
:+> >
:+> > 18 feet is a good choice.
:+>
:+> Total nonsense. There is no reason to use 18 feet.
:+>
:+> > To just name a few. Firestik, K-40, Wilson,and Antenna Specialist
:+> > recommend an 18 foot length of coax. Others manufacturers either
:+> > don't use an 18 foot length, or have no recommendation at all.
:+>
:+> I have only seen Firestik recommend 18 feet. I attempted to
:+> get them to justify that recommendation and all I got was a
:+> cheap personal attack. There is no justification for 18'.
:+>
:+> > Some on this group will tell you to use any length, or use the
:+> > shortest length possible. The only problem with this is that it
:+> > is based on having no benefit to you other than the cost of the
:+> > coax, or the convenience of having no excess coax length to deal
:+> > with.
:+>
:+> Plus lower losses.
:+>
:+> > If you are asking for a coax length to use in the first place then I
:+> > assume that you are going to get the diagonals out and cut a piece.
:+> > If so what would you end up with if you used the shortest length
:+> > possible? Assume a 8 foot length of RG58. RG58 has a power loss of
:+> > 3.17% at this length. Now choose an 18 foot section of RG58. RG58
:+> > at this length has a power loss of 7.15%. The difference between the
:+> > two choices is about .19db or for a four watt signal you loose .16
:+> > watts. No one will ever be able to notice this difference at all.

:+> > Moral of the story?.............There is no performance benefit in
:+> > using the 8 foot length.
:+>
:+> You are contradicting yourself. You said there is a 0.19 dB benefit;
:+> then, you said there is no benefit. I admit it is a trivial benefit
:+> but it is there. There are NO advantages of using 18 feet over 8 feet.
:+>
:+> > Conclusion: If you are operating without problems, and are using an
:+> > existing length of mobile coax that is not 18 feet then don't worry
:+> > about it. However if you are starting from scratch, and want to use
:+> > the best choice, then go with the 18 foot length.
:+>
:+> There is no reason to use 18'. You have provided no justification
:+> that it is the "best choice."
:+>
:+> > Here are some web sights that recommend 18 feet. Some even explain
:+> > why.
:+> >
:+> >
:+> > http://www.firestik.com/FAQ.htm
:+>
:+> These Firestik guys don't know what they are talking about.
:+>
:+> > http://www.wilsonantenna.com/swrf.htm
:+>
:+> This site parrots the Firestik site. Obviously, plagiarized or
:+> written by the same guy.
:+>
:+> > http://www.wilsonantenna.com/coax.htm
:+>
:+> Same as above.
:+>
:+> > http://64.70.218.95/k40cb/CBfaq.htm#What
:+>
:+> I can't believe the myths on these pages! They are a true
:+> disservice to CB radio. Not only do they carry the coax length
:+> myths, they also propagate the myth that rolling your coax in
:+> a loop makes an RF choke. It does no such thing to the signal
:+> within the coax.

. ---(((=======@@@@@@@@@@=======)))----''
Regards! ````~~~~~~~##########~~~~~~~''''
.:+> Andy <+: \\ ===== //
. \ === //
... You've GOTTA be a CB'er when | == |
... You can name all the CB's / -- /
... used in the movie / -- /
... TWISTER! / - /
. `` ^^((*****@@****)))^^ ''
.---

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

2131. Re: MOVIE: Vertical Limit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 09:56:23 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A3747C7.94F270EB@qnet.com>
Source record: 63519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.shortwave

Hello Tom: That was a good one, I got a big kick out that one.

"There. I saved everyone the price of a ticket"

Perfect!

Jay at jay123a@qnet.com ..... In the Great Mojave Desert, just down
the road ah ways from the fillin station.

"T. L. Bryant" wrote:
>
> It seems that there are a few Hollywood screenwriters that are hams trying to
> hang on to the past.
>
> I saw the movie "Vertical Limit" last night. There's a scene where the climbing
> party, after surviving an avalanche, is trapped in a mountain cave with a
> broken radio. They use morse code by keying the mic button on and off,
> communicating to base camp that they are okay. The dialog goes something like,
> "Dad taught us morse code when we were kids. He said it might save our life
> some day".
>
> Maybe at one time, but today, only in a cheesy Hollywood movie.
>
> So I'm going to ruin this movie: The girl, her brother, and a nurse that's part
> of the rescue party, are the only survivors. Scott Glenn's character saves
> everyone by cutting himself and the billionare asshole (played by Bill Paxton)
> loose, when too many people are hanging on one line, and the cam won't hold the
> weight. Glenn's character planned to kill the billionare anyway, since he felt
> that the billinare was responsible for his wife's death four years
> earlier, in a previous climbing expedition. After this act of
> self-sacrifice/revenge, they all live happily ever after.
>
> There. I saved everyone the price of a ticket.
>
> Tom - N8ECW

2132. Re: Help Needed: CB radio on an aluminum car

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 10:11:55 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <t3ej2i962pof6f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63510

Neat idea!!! (The magnet below the body!) You'd
just have to pick a point where there were no extra
re-enforcing ribs.

Most mag mount antennas use a 'capacitive coupling'
to the car body, and velcro would give many times the
gap that was originally there, greatly lowering the
coupling. However, that doesn't sound like his
problem. He said his reception was intermittent. I'd
get that issue fixed first!

But I wonder... Velcro? Someone was willing to put
'stick on velcro' on the deck? Why not just drill a
hole for a permanent antenna? Or a trunk lip mount
with a base loaded coil?

Or (shudder) one of the through the glass cellular
lookalikes.

Mike

'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message news:3A36FB77.2EF873BE@oio.net...
>
>
> joe,
> Instead if velcro, find a magnet (strong) and place it
> under the rear deck, under the mag-mount. Should hold it
> okay. Aluminum works fine as the 'other' part of the
> antenna, just not very well with magnets...
> 'Doc

2133. Re: why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 10:25:17 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <t3ejnqqrq509ff@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63511

I built a 2M amp one time that had 1W in from my
Kenwood TR-2400 and had 160W out.

One day there was an accident, and I ended up parked
next to the firetruck. Everytime the truck tried to transmit
my amp would click-click-click... And it was wiping
out everything around, and the fire base couldn't make
out what the truck guy was saying.

You COULD be getting interference from something
'outside' the CB system into the amp. It could be picking
up hash from a vehicle computer and amplifying it.

Sounds like a crappy amp. I'd dump it. Seriously, it's
either got something wrong or is a really bad design.
You may find an 'external' cause, but even still, the
amp shouldn't be doing this.

Just remember, you only have so much power (and I'm
NOT referring to a government limit). If your amp is
wasting it's resources on power out of band, that's just
even less you have in band where you want it.

CLEAN power should always be an issue!

It could even be the amp itself, oscillating because it's
unstable. Hey, RS had problems with one of their TV
amps a few years ago. If a customer bought the 300
ohm version, and used unshielded 300 ohm twinlead,
and 'taped' the input and output leads together (to make
the wires look neat up at the antenna (I know, I know,
but some customers did!)) the coupling between the
cables could cause the amp to oscillate, and the
antenna now became a beam transmitter that could
cause interference for miles...

Besides not working worth a glob of snot.

Mike

picloud <A@A.com> wrote in message news:t3cv9pmogncsad@corp.supernews.com...
> Hey, I plugged my radio into a 100 watt amp and when I drive through
certain
> neighborhoods the garage doors start opening. I left my radio on while I
> was having a couple of drinks and the TV in the bar had a lot of
> interference. I went out to my 18 and shut the radio off and the TV was
> fine after that. Since I wasn't broadcasting, this makes no sense to me.

I
> am not an E-freak, however, anybody got an answer?
>
> --
> Cheers,
>
> piCloud
>
>

2134. Re: Clarification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 10:51:51 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <9vIZ5.8712$pH1.470515@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 63515

"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:9172o3gio3@bashir.peak.org...
> WildBill wrote:
>
> : what difference does it make if your a ham or a cber if you run 1500
watts ,
> : none the only thing is you need a license what does the piece of paper
do
> : nothing you can wipe your ass with it it doesnt mean anything it means
you
> : rememberd a few answers to questions on a test it doesnt mean you are
any
> : better of an operator than the next guy. go stick your lips on a tail
pipe.
> : "Sparky" <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message
>
> It means a bit more than that. The Ham will be operating on legal
frequencies
> and using an amplifier that meets FCC requirements for purity of signal.
If
> the Ham causes interference on other frequencies, he will hear about it
> sooner or later from the volunteer monitors.
>
> Most CBers that run power use amplifiers that do not meed FCC requirements
> for signal purity - although some use Ham linears, and are capable of
> tuning them properly. Most of those CBers run SSB stations, and rarely
> get on AM.
>
> There are many CBers that are good radio operators. Communication with
> them is generally very pleasant. There are also a lot of bad operators
> who tend to make life miserable for the rest of US.
>
> There are also some Hams that are bad operators, although they generally
> tend to be better than the average CBer. This may be due to the monitoring
> that occurs - with the chance of losing their license if it is not
corrected.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

I think the biggest difference is that activity is identified. It is a
whole lot easier to be a dipstick when you are the Velvet Codpiece rather
than KXnXXX. It is the difference between talking about cars and signing
your name at the bottom of a purchase order.

Kind of applies to newsgripe postings as well.

Dick N&#65533;BK

2135. HR2510

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 11:04:25 UTC
From: wlfdad@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <917l3n$a11$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63512

Can anyone help me find out why my talkback does not work. Also my rogerbeep does not work. I just bought this radio used and can only find DISABLE talkback mods!!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2136. Is The Price Of Getting Caught With An Illegal Davemade Too High?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 11:11:59 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <917lhsadv1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63513

In article <917fvhlet1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>,
"Miles Davis" <MDD327@AOL.COM> wrote:
> You Davemade guys need to have a talk with Dave. A little bit of
success is
> going to his head. $13,000.00 is way too much for a 16-pill!
ROTFLMAO!!!!!!
> "West Side" <CreoleCat@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:18076-3A3721F8-15@storefull-232.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> > Davemade M200 3 pill $245=Davemade M400 5 pill $335=Davemade M400se
2x4
> > pill $365,Davemade 800 8 pill $635,Davemade m800 2x8 $725,Davemade
16
> > pill $1,3000.00
> > I am comparing the prices to the builders here in california.What
you
> > think does it really matter about the price or is Davemade the best
amp
> > you can buy for watts and quality for such a high price.
> >
>

Guy selling illegal amps in WA state got fined $70,000 by FCC. Is that
too high a price?

Aaron

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2137. WTB: Siltronix FD-1011

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 11:20:43 UTC
From: Bill Grassa <grassa@mpinet.net>
Message-ID: <3A375B8B.E12DE12D@mpinet.net>
Source record: 63514

Need a nice shape Siltronix FD-1011 (The 40 channel printout on the chart on the front only!!). Pay cash and fedex or swap new stuff..

Bill
http://home.mpinet.net/grassa

2138. Re: why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 11:48:47 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <vkJZ5.8715$pH1.478550@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 63516

"Mike Yetsko" <joe@user.com> wrote in message
news:t3ejnqqrq509ff@corp.supernews.com...
> I built a 2M amp one time that had 1W in from my
> Kenwood TR-2400 and had 160W out.
>
> One day there was an accident, and I ended up parked
> next to the firetruck. Everytime the truck tried to transmit
> my amp would click-click-click... And it was wiping
> out everything around, and the fire base couldn't make
> out what the truck guy was saying.
>

This was one of those Class C jobs where the amp B+ is on all the time and comes up on drive?
Now you know what happens when things come in via the antenna. Amps like this have to have some fairly major isolation hardware between them and the antenna or just what you describe happens all too frequently. The amp isn't dirty, it is just missing the supporting cast.

Dick NBK

2139. Re: problem with xforce regulator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 12:22:33 UTC
From: "A. Billings" <artbillings@att.net>
Message-ID: <3A3769BC.B5A01939@att.net>
Source record: 63517

We repair Dave made products, including voltage regulators and Amps.
We also build and sell voltage regulators.

"http://www43.pair.com/billings/"
>>
>>

beaker wrote:

> >>>Yup he built them in the first place!
>
> COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
> mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!<<<
>
> funny i thaught motorola had something to do with it .
>
> dave is a joke
> ------------------------
> #22 north central illinois mobile

--
Billings Electronics

"http://www43.pair.com/billings/"

2140. Voltage Regulators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 12:31:34 UTC
From: "A. Billings" <artbillings@att.net>
Message-ID: <3A376BD9.D0711EC9@aol.com>
Source record: 63518

We repair voltage regulators and Amps. We also build and sell voltage regulators.

Please reply through one of the addresses listed below.

Billings Electronics

"billings@pair.com"
"http://www43.pair.com/billings/"

2141. Re: Is These Prices Too High on a Davemade or what ? Look>>> PricesBelow.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 13:14:32 UTC
From: WildBill <wildbill@hose.com>
Message-ID: <YCKZ5.6101$Oz5.828888@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63520

goto www.expresselectronics.com and check the prices on the xforce amps you will be happy as hell with daves prices then.

"West Side" <CreoleCat@webtv.net> wrote in message news:18076-3A3721F8-15@storefull-232.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Davemade M200 3 pill $245=Davemade M400 5 pill $335=Davemade M400se 2x4
> pill $365,Davemade 800 8 pill $635,Davemade m800 2x8 $725,Davemade 16
> pill $1,3000.00
> I am comparing the prices to the builders here in california.What you
> think does it really matter about the price or is Davemade the best amp
> you can buy for watts and quality for such a high price.
>

2142. Re: cross post to the Ham NG's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 13:16:01 UTC
From: WildBill <wildbill@hose.com>
Message-ID: <lEKZ5.2260$ul1.808188@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63521

thats right Doc a dose of the same medicine
"'Doc" <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message news:3A36AC85.DFA62971@oio.net...
>
>
> WildBill wrote:
> >
> > I say fukem all lets cross post to all the ham NG's any bullshit you can
> > think of post it there lets start a little game of suck my ass
>
> Nothing like causing more problems...
> 'Doc

2143. Re: Aaron Answers Illegals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 13:20:17 UTC
From: WildBill <wildbill@hose.com>
Message-ID: <IIKZ5.2261$uI1.809489@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63522

oh yeah sorry i forgot .

<curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:916982$7rb$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <5YwZ5.5162$Oz5.517975@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
> "WildBill" <wildbill @hose.com> wrote:
> > aaron, Yes i am accusing you of spreading false information of which
> can end
> > in legal issue for you if it continues . The people you mentioned
> that are
> > so called selling amps building them selling cocaine etc etc are
> farther
> > from the truth than you can ever know. And by you accusing these
> people
> > falsely by either rumour or whatever means you used to gather your
> > information, this information is unfounded and untrue and is pure
> bullshit
> > speculation on your part which shows how much and or how little you
> actually
> > do know. Don't accuse me and put words in my mouth I never said in
> any of my
> > posts the FCC doesnt care, or no one is gonna enforce the rules
> etc, again
> > pure bs on your part. Why should they post there pictures on any web
> site
> > what will that do sell more amps . Better Yet why not post your
> picture on
> > the web so I can print it out and wipe my ass with it. Do you think
> these
> > people are stupid di you think they dont know amps are illegal . Why
> are you
> > hiding
> >
> > Wild bill
> > FIRO "Friend Of Illegal Radio Operators
> >
> > <aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> > news:9161io$q2$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > > Wild Bill accuses me of spreading incorrect information about
> illegal
> > > amp makers. Bill, I don't understand. If "the FCC don't care" as you
> > > guys say, and "no one is gonna enforce the rules" as you guys are
> fond
> > > of saying, then why should DaveMade, XForce, NoName, SkullCracka,

> etc.
> > > be in hiding? Why don't they post big fat photos of themselves on
> the
> > > web along with an 800 number that says CALL ME FOR ILLEGAL AMPS. I
> > > don't get it. If they're not worried, why hide?
> > >
> > >
> > > Sincerely,
> > > Aaron H. Voobner, FLRC
> > >
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > http://www.deja.com/
> >
> >
>
>
> oh come on , wild bill , you know damn good and well voobner know what
> he is talking about and that all the info he described is true and
> accurate, comode, comode,......cd is out.........
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2144. Re: Looking for New/Used GrantXL's for a good price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 13:21:38 UTC
From: oldmantube@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001213082138.08214.00004461@ng-mi1.aol.com>
Source record: 63523

I have a Grant , older model in white box , new in box never used , all paperwork ,Email me if interested .

don

2145. Re: DaveMade rumor?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 13:27:41 UTC
From: WildBill <wildbill@hose.com>
Message-ID: <hPKZ5.6124$Oz5.831376@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63524

aaron the part you dont understand is the people you named have nothing to do with the companies you listed. So what you are doing the same thing keeping bad rumours going, all at the risk of hurting innocent people because you want to act like Joe Cop check your sources. I guess the guys at davemade and xforce and noname have nothing to worry about since don't even know the correct info about them.

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:9176sq$va8$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <10318-3A370B05-13@storefull-294.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
> MR123IL@webtv.net wrote:
> > If the "FCC" is going to get "DAVEMADE,X-FORCE,& NO-NAME"
> > Don't you think it would had been done by now?
> > I'm not saying it can't happen it can!
> > But people like you are the one's they need to be looking four...
> >
>
> I seem to have ruffled some feathers here, but I don't know why. Some of
> you guys get all jumpy and rush in to try and scare people off if you
> think the illegal amp makers identities are about to be uncovered. I
> swear, it's ODD.
>
> If the FCC is not interested in busting the illegal amp makers, then
> there's no harm in providing their real names and addresses, is there?
> Furthermore, if the CB laws are a joke that nobody pays attention to and
> CB enforcement is such a low priority with the FCC, then what's the big
> worry?
>
> Why don't DaveMade and XForce and all the rest take out full page
> ads in POPULAR COMMUNICATIONS? You guys could have a party.
>
> Aaron
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2146. Re: Is These Prices Too High on a Davemade or what ? Look>>> PricesBelow.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 13:32:09 UTC
From: maul13@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001213083209.04095.00001737@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Source record: 63525

I think you are wright... A lot of people just don't get it..... You get only what you pay for.....

2147. Re: Is The Price Of Getting Caught With An Illegal Davemade Too High?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 13:34:44 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17962-3A377AF4-7@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63530

Was he a hammie, Voob? Fess up!

2148. DAVEMADE WORLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 13:35:50 UTC
From: davemadeva@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001213083550.04095.00001738@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Source record: 63526

It's not really a davemade world... GOD just left him in charge for a little while.....

2149. Davemade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 13:37:37 UTC
From: davemadeva@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001213083737.04095.00001739@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Source record: 63527

Oh yes is there anyone out there that can build a better amp than Dave.....still looking.... No need.....

2150. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 13:45:50 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-EEA91B.08383513122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 63528

In article <3a37334c.4316061@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 17:30:06 -0500, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >There is NO length of 50 ohm coax that will transform an non-50 ohm load
> >into 50 ohms. It simply cannot be done!
>
> It is called a "transmission line transformer", and is used quite
> often.

Give me one example of a tranmission line transformer that uses a
50 ohm transmission line to transform a non-50 ohm load into 50 ohms.
It cannot be done.

Hint: If you place a 50 ohm termination at the end of a 50 ohm
transmission line, what is the input impedance as you vary the
length of the line? Answer: 50 ohms! The 50 ohm impedance is
NEVER transformed to a non-50 ohm impedance.

> >> Take the earlier case of the 1/4 wave length with a short looking
> >> open,
> >> obviously I can adjust the length to a 50 ohm point and have 1:1 on a
> >> piece of shorted coax.
> >
> >Total nonsense. This is impossible. I guess you can spin straw into
> >gold, too.
>
> You obviously have never tried it. It happens because it creates
> standing waves along the entire length of the coax. It is commonly
> called a "shorted stub".

We're not talking about shorted stubs. Regardless, you will never
see 50 ohms at the input of a shorted stub regardless of the stub
length. Give me the length of coax that I need to see 50 ohms at
the input of a shorted stub. You cannot.

> As everyone knows, a dummy load presents a 1:1 match.

Yes, if it is 50 ohms.

> It doesn't
> radiate very well. SWR is a good indicator of impedance mismatch, but
> it needs to be measured not only at the radio/coax junction but also
> at the coax/antenna junction.

Not true. The SWR at both locations is the same.

Keep this in mind. The SWR is, in essence, a measure of the percentage
of reflected power. If the power traveling backward at the antenna
is not equal to the power traveling back at the radio, where did the
power go (or come from). Power must be conserved. It is a basic
law of physics.

> And it still is not an accurate measure
> of power transfer, because the antenna may have some reactance that
> the SWR bridge does not detect because of opposing reactance somewhere
> else in the system. In that case, an SWR of 1.5:1 may radiate better
> than a 1:1match.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

So you are saying that an antenna that radiates 96% of the power works
better than an antenna that radiates 100% of the power.

2151. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 13:49:40 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-9E3822.08422513122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 63529

In article <1rce3ts1vc3gponubr97j81d5n5issdgg4@4ax.com>,
codyspc@iserv.net wrote:

> Greetings!
>
> Then please answer why Radio Shack, Francis, Firestik, and the ARRL
> recommend using specific lengths of coax for the use of Transmatch.

Firestick doesn't know what they are talking about.

Quote me any of the other references and I'll be glad to address them.

> Then clarify the difference between coax length, and Transmatch - and
> how location can directly affect outcome.

The coax length does affect impedance but not SWR. With a Transmatch,
you create a conjugate match to the coax input impedance. Varying
the coax length will change the coax input impedance and lose
your conjugate match. But it really doesn't matter because you
merely retune the Transmatch to get your conjugate match back.

No particular length of coax is required to use a Transmatch.

> On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 17:19:43 -0500, while the modem was ice fishing
> with the Mouse, Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com> dissertated
> the following:
>
> :+> Even if you didn't do your homework, you can use any length of coax
> :+> you want.
>
>
> Regards!
> .:+> Andy <+: | BEEP!
> ... You really are a CB'er when... | _____ BEEP!
> ... your CB antenna is longer than |/ ___
> ... your SUV! |_,-.__,-._|
> ... (o) (o)
> http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

2152. Re: HR2510

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 13:55:42 UTC
From: oneqck76ta@aol.com.com.com
Message-ID: <20001213085542.04361.00001515@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 63531

>Can anyone help me find out why my talkback does
>not work. Also my rogerbeep does not work. I just
>bought this radio used and can only find DISABLE
>talkback mods!!

Send me an E-mail address that will accept attacments, I have a little
schematic for putting talk back in a 2510.

Later,
oneqck76ta@aol.com
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta

You've got "459" out of Minneapolis Minnesota and I'm reading the mail

**********Hippie Freak**********
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta/cbradio

2153. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 14:06:31 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17963-3A378267-3@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63533

In most cicumstances the degree doesn't matter. Example: same radio, stock mic, say, 25 watts. Bleeds (devices in home) like hell on the Antron. Switch to metal antenna, no bleed. Before you ask, yes, both antennas were on the tower (not at the same time), same height. Eliminated cooincidental results by repeated comparisons and radios. Try it with 2 whips in a mobile application with some power and have a portable TV on hand with rabbit ears. Same result. Certainly the transmitter CAN be the culprit, but not always. There are countless conditions that COULD be responsible, but I have found replacing a fiberglass with a metal antenna has ALWAYS (in my instances) reduced bleed when attempting to cure it. When I am asked locally for an opinion on bleedover, the first thing I ask is, "What type antenna you on?" Process of elimination from there.

2154. Re: CB interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 14:15:11 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17962-3A37846F-11@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63535

HA! Wrong again, Sparky! In the sandpile, we can pump you full of lead for poking your head into our barn, mingling with our livestock IN THE FIELD, and a host of others. Don't believe me? College kids have been dusted picking those 'ol hallucinogenic magic mushrooms "out here in the fields" and swamps. Man, if them thar swamps could only talk.

2155. Re: illegal who really cares

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 14:24:24 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2249-3A378698-1@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63536

Hehe...the supreme court might not have that power yet, Scott! Latest word is Goreski found a "needle in a haystack loophole"....stay tuned, and I have a slight inkling CD might be on his way to the 'pile!

2156. Re: HR2510

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 14:27:53 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A378769.BD9A936C@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63534

Do the opposite of those mods to restore the useless feedback
generating talkback. A much more accurate sounding type of
talkback is to use an earphone with another receiver.

wlfdad@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> Can anyone help me find out why my talkback does
> not work. Also my rogerbeep does not work. I just
> bought this radio used and can only find DISABLE
> talkback mods!!
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2157. Re: Aaron Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 14:34:46 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2249-3A378906-2@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63537

I am referring to Prescott and I cited it to show him that garbage goes on. It 's just like cb. You have those who don't get caught and those who do.. Another way to look at it more realistically and to draw a semi-correct inference is, for all the hammies they pop, how many more are there operating illegally and cheating testers that DON'T get caught?

2158. Re: 3's and 8's from Georgia 231

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 14:39:53 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2247-3A378A39-18@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63538

Nice story. Too bad it was a dream. What? It wasn't a dream? What police force accepted this equipment? I want to call them and ask if they did what was required of them in properly dispensing the confiscated item. Also, I would need the name of the cop, or the guy who turned it in to confirm. My pleasure.

2159. Re: 3's and 8's from Georgia 231

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 14:41:35 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2247-3A378A9F-19@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63542

Purposed? Don't you mean proposed? Damn, I thought I had a southern accent!

2160. Re: 3's and 8's from Georgia 231

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 14:43:27 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2247-3A378B0F-20@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63539

JUST TELL ME THE TOWN FREDDY! I'll do the rest!

2161. Re: Why Tech's complain about ILLEGAL CB amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 14:57:34 UTC
From: Stervarino <captain@navy.org>
Message-ID: <%YMZ5.5081$925.254966@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 63547

>
> Doesn't it just give you the "Uggies" when Voober uses your first name?
> Yechh...

You know it!

2162. Re: Why is it that Tech's are the biggest complainers about CBamps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 15:01:13 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2250-3A378F39-1@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63541

That's all cool, Richard. We can see this differently. I don't listen to the hammie bands on the road so I can't say what takes place in other areas. I can only say what happens here with frequent occurence. I too, know of two hammies in close proximity who don't have any power to run. These are the ancients of hams. All the younger (baby boom and down) hammie generation here is blinded by watts out, not giving any respect to the main portion of their station, the antenna. Matter of fact, there is a guy who talks on ten meter around here and nothing else with a damn expensive set-up, and get this, he uses an Antron. Talk about splatter? My God! Same one I mentioned in another post about me in the truck at the beach.
Some of those radios that SAY they do 100-200 out of the box are used just for what you said they exceed, drivers for the big boxes, whether home brewed or not. How many watts does it take to drive JRC's top of the line amp? As you say, I see 'em one way here, and you see 'em another way there. By the way, just where is THERE? You in the midwest?

2163. Re: Why is it that Tech's are the biggest complainers about CBamps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 15:07:12 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2247-3A3790A0-21@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63540

As I said to Richard, Bill, I can only go by what I see here. And it is the exact opposite of what you describe. Maybe I'm in hammie hell here and don't even know it, except for the occasional bleed.

2164. Re: rec.radio.cb.dxclubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 15:12:35 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2248-3A3791E3-8@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63546

Tsk, Tsk, Voobner, what's the matter, don't like the loophole? I thought it was pretty clever, myself.

2165. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 15:18:38 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <ae3f3tcr2uilfg67ffj3r8b8t5n50feddk@4ax.com>
Source record: 63543

Greetings!

Ok, I see your point, and here we go again. As far as Radio Shack, take a trip to their store and ask for the manual to their Deluxe Magnetic Mount CB antenna - or any CB antenna that has a pamphlet with the wrap. They are WARNING you not to trim the coax. I'd like to know why, aside from ruining their pretty job of soldering the PL259 end on. ;-) These are the complete kits - antenna, coax, mount.

Adjusting coax length affects impedance. A typical SWR meter not only looks for voltage, but impedance - correct?

Then what we see at the end of a section of coax is a conjugate imepdance with the coax and the antenna working together. If everything is put together right, the coax isn't part of the equation. It's simply an unbalanced feedline to a balanced load.

e.g. - 25ohms - 5j [a capacitive loading scheme]

As we can look at the smith chart, if I'm not mistaken, I can see SWR ranging from 2.7 to around 1.2 - roughly 180 ohm [using no formula to figure this as an unbalanced feed line of about 6 feet - just notes] to 36 ohms at about 17 feet in length of adjustement range if we use a typical section of RG 58 coax.

Now if I was wrong in the above, please correct me.

Ok, if I'm right, how come the above equation works for developing a working low SWR using a section of coax? If nothing else - does this mean that we are making a transmatch using a coaxial line?

Now, all I'm going to help you with is give you this hint. A lot of base loaded antennas on the market offer a lot of capacitance. With the above conjugate equation, this is about the typical CB'er install that has a poor ground. The antenna is asking for length. Adjusting the coax - means that the antenna is not resoant at it's current location and configuration - we need to do something.

Now, before you slam me as being irrelevant - there are quite a few different set-ups that have poor ground at the antenna radiator location. With this type of conjugate matching scheme of using 18 feet or trimming to low SWR, they can at least operate the antenna - only it is not resonate and the coax becomes part of the antennas radiator system. They simply are willing to sacrifice the performance otherwise possible with a properly installed antenna system, just so they can have an antenna that doesn't destroy their radio.

Otherwise, the concepts of the "Help" radios and their ability to work
in an emergency under less than ideal conditions, would be severely
compromised to the point that they become a civil liability to the
public. I don't see these radios getting banned.

On Wed, 13 Dec 2000 13:49:40 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
the Mouse, Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com> dissertated the
following:

:+> In article <1rce3ts1vc3gponubr97j81d5n5issdgg4@4ax.com>,
:+> codyspc@iserv.net wrote:
:+>
:+> > Greetings!
:+> >
:+> > Then please answer why Radio Shack, Francis, Firestik, and the ARRL
:+> > recommend using specific lengths of coax for the use of Transmatch.
:+>
:+> Firestick doesn't know what they are talking about.
:+>
:+> Quote me any of the other references and I'll be glad to address them.
:+>
:+> > Then clarify the difference between coax length, and Transmatch - and
:+> > how location can directly affect outcome.
:+>
:+> The coax length does affect impedance but not SWR. With a Transmatch,
:+> you create a conjugate match to the coax input impedance. Varying
:+> the coax length will change the coax input impedance and lose
:+> your conjugate match. But it really doesn't matter because you
:+> merely retune the Transmatch to get your conjugate match back.
:+>
:+> No particular length of coax is required to use a Transmatch.
:+>
:+> > On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 17:19:43 -0500, while the modem was ice fishing
:+> > with the Mouse, Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com> dissertated
:+> > the following:
:+> >
:+> > :+> Even if you didn't do your homework, you can use any length of coax
:+> > :+> you want.
:+> >
:+> >
:+> > Regards!
:+> > .:+> Andy <+: | BEEP!
:+> > ... You really are a CB'er when... | _____ BEEP!
:+> > ... your CB antenna is longer than |/ ___
:+> > ... your SUV! |_,-.__,-._|
:+> > ... (o) (o)
:+> > http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

. Pow! POP! BLAM!
Regards! (0) (0) (~) (~) (~)
.:+> Andy <+: *
... You know your antenna is too long when, /

```
... streetlights explode as you pass under /
... them... ___ /
... ____/ \____ /
... [_.-._____.-._]|
... (0) (0) ==)) --))
```

http://ww.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

2166. Re: Aaron Answers Illegals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 15:21:33 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2250-3A3793FD-2@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63545

Thank you for the incorrigible tag. I'll wear it as a badge of honor. I NEVER ONCE used the word "justify" or any aspect of it. On the contrary, it is you seeking justification, from freebanders and power pushers on THEIR actions. You aren't OWED an explanation. That is what you are failing to compute, Voobner. You continue to seek out the sublime potentate on your behalf, but it is an optical illusion.

The incorrigible one

2167. Re: Davemade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 15:28:07 UTC
From: lowrider667@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001213102807.06142.00000247@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 63544

yeah NO NAME > Oh yes is there anyone out there that can build a better amp

2168. The Return of Mark Fuller

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 15:43:47 UTC
From: Stervarino <captain@navy.org>
Message-ID: <2ZMZ5.5082$925.254966@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 63598

I guess Mark has gotten bored over the last year so he's returned to finish what he started a year ago only this time with a new name.

Snipped from the posting "ILLEGAL CB" dated 12/07/00 written by aaronvoobner@my-deja.com <aaronvoobner@my-deja.com>

>Honest debate in the tradition of Mark Fuller should be encouraged. It's your
>choice. It's your band. You can clean it up or continue to allow other people to soil
>it.

Only Mark Fuller himself would be arrogant and egotistical enough to actually believe that he himself previously created some sort of tradition. Hey Mark, its a tradition in your own mind. Do you actually think they are going to write a book about you when this is over? After you lose your battles here on this NG are you going to call all of our employers and tell them we are using the company email system for personal recreation like you did to Dennis last year?

Half of your postings here on this NG contain blatant lies because we both know that you already have an amateur radio license so why dont you stop this BS charrade.....

2169. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 15:49:36 UTC
From: Radio Station WGTG <wgtg@ellijay.com>
Message-ID: <9185qt02hjm@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 63606
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

let me tell you one thing! if YOUR neighbor has RFI from your transmitters
do nothing! if you do YOU are admitting in court YOU are at fault you have
LOST your protection in court! you open your self up to various types
lawsuits the best thing is do nothing!
Ed Hare, W1RFI <w1rfi@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:HqBZ5.163$d5.1235559@news.ntplx.net...
> <dickie_g_rosenfelt@my-deja.com> wrote:
>
> > You know a lot of ham guy's radios bleed on their neighbor's stuff,
> > too. Why is it ok for them to do it and not us?
>
> If RFI is caused by spurious signals from the transmitter, it is NOT okay
> for a ham, CBer or even your local police transmitter to cause that kind
of
> RFI.
>
> If it is a case of fundamental overload, the cure can only be applied to
the
> affected device.
>
> Info on RFI can be found at:
>
> http://www.arrl.org/tis/info/rfigen.html
>
> and
>
> http://www.arrl.org/catalog/6834
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>

2170. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 15:50:14 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2247-3A379AB6-22@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63604

Leland,, your focus was done lost long before I ever entered your world..nice try, but I am not to blame. Of course it is questionable, I admitted that in my post and explained how I arrived at the consensus. Yes, I am certain I calculated all of the actions taken by the FCC in December of '99 if it was ON RECORD..that means available to the public under most circumstances, in this instant. Now if you are not aware of being able to acquire such information, you certainly are not going to get it from me. I want your kind as far away as possible from my playground!
Cell phone laws? Government ramming more laws down our throats? Bub, you are preaching to the choir. That is the most intelligent thing I have read from you yet. Maybe there is hope for you after all, Leland. This coming from an aspiring (?) hammie, no less.
Not only is the VHF thing going to be fun to watch, it's going to be fun to listen to, instead of all that boring QUIET! It will be the same as the rest of all hammie AND cb, he with the biggest toy wins. That's pretty cut and dried, Voobner. Who would disagree with that?
You told me your opinion, I still think they gave us those bands to help. Spin it all you want.
I don't want y'all to disappear along with your posts, just focus on the merits and screw the gut shots and repetitive referrals of, "because it's the law". All laws are not good, Voobner, and minds are like books, they only function when they are open.
And since I refer to one who gets extremely personal talking about sex, peoples mothers, and calling names instead of arguing the merit, a troll, and you cannot fathom why, I can now see why.
I don't give a damn who you align yourself with. As long as you don't resort to the BS IT attempted, we can have rationale discussions.
Now I will give you a hint, Leland. Credibility is ALWAYS an issue when posting.

2171. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 15:52:19 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2247-3A379B33-23@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63603

Point out the mistaken post, Leland. Nice try and you actually flatter me to consider me so important as to attempt a failed extraction of an apology from.....

the incorrigible one

2172. Re: How to be a UnaBasher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 15:55:37 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A379BF9.BC9034CC@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63599

Some of you flamers may learn something from this.

K0HB wrote:
>
> A few inbred UnaBashers regularly show up here bashing ARRL, CQ,
> Morse Code, CB, Republicans, Technicians, Extras, Herbie, or some
> other random subject or group that pissed them off this morning.
>
> Most of us view them with some level of disdain, but others
> view them with admiration and would like to emulate them. For
> those who'd like to refine their bashing efforts, I thought
> I'd post some rules that they (or you) could follow to become
> a really 599+, full gallon, state-of-the-art UnaBasher.
>
> Rules for Successful Bashing -
>
> 1. Be anonymous. Most of us know who you are anyhow, but you'll
> impress N0VFP and KC8JBO.
> 2. Use 10% fact. 90% suggestion. The facts will lend credibility
> to your unfounded suggestions.
> 3. Use multiple ISP's, handles and aliases.
> 4. Use two or more aliases to simulate a discussion. The
> 'pit bull' tries this, but is always betrayed because all
> his messages come from the same IP address.
> 5. Identify the "gurus" on the newsgroup and suck up to them.
> 6. Give the appearance of being open minded.
> 7. Be bold in your statements. Dummy newbies follow strength.
> 8. Write headlines in caps with catchy statements.
> 9. Don't worry about being labeled a "Basher". Newbies won't
> know your history.
> 10. When identified put up a brief fight, then back off. Return
> in a week unless your foe is a weak in reasoning powers.
> 11. Form your bash as a question, not a statement. Like
> "Does ARRL hate technology?", or "Why can't most Extras
> figure out Ohms law?" People can refute your
> statement, but nobody can answer such dumb questions.
> 12. Three or four aliases can dominate a newsgroup and wear
> down the target.
> 13. Bait the target into personal debates putting their
> focus/efforts on you and not the facts. Divert their
> attention from facts. Facts are your enemy.
> 14. Do not fall for challenges on the truth of what
> you are saying. Bashing is a game and you are playing
> it with your own rules. Ignore embarrassing questions

> which betray your lack of research, or call the questioner
> a Nazi or a liar. (See Anderson and Robeson for
> instruction.)
>
> Your are a:
>
> Grade "B" UnaBasher:
> If you post lots of old news, respond to all positive posts with a
> negative side. Never respond to being called a Basher.
>
> Grade "C" UnaBasher:
> Very good way with words, always claims to be your "friend" taking the
> positive poster into confidence, sucks up, and then uses the
> relationship to bash somebody else.
>
> Grade "D" UnaBasher:
> Needs to learn the basics about being convincing when making a
> negative statement. Has no anonimity whatsoever, and tends to
> use quotations of others (preferably of dead people), rather
> than original thinking to make their point.
>
> Grade "F" UnaBasher:
> A complete idiot, most readers are not convinced he knows
> anything about amateur radio in general, let alone the current
> topic of conversation. The type that says something "sucks", but gives
> no rationale, shows up every so often but no regular schedule.
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2173. Re: The Return of Mark Fuller

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 16:14:02 UTC
From: Stervarino <captain@navy.org>
Message-ID: <rfNZ5.5084$925.255213@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 63602

>I guess guys like him cant
> do anything without blowing his own horn at the same time.
>

Its also known as being a "pompous ass"

2174. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 16:20:46 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a37a1c1.2972925@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63605

On Wed, 13 Dec 2000 13:45:50 GMT, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

<snip>
I'll concede my previous remarks only because I don't think they are
as important as what follows.

>> It doesn't
>> radiate very well. SWR is a good indicator of impedance mismatch, but
>> it needs to be measured not only at the radio/coax junction but also
>> at the coax/antenna junction.
>
>Not true. The SWR at both locations is the same.

Only when the source and load have the same impedance, and that
doesn't happen often in mobile installs. Measure SWR at both
connections when the impedance of the antenna is different from the
radio. Then change the length of your coax. The SWR changes at the
radio/coax connection, but not at the coax/antenna connection.

When there is a souce-to-load mismatch you will always have a standing
wave. But when the transmission line is any multiple of a 1/4-wave
(times velocity factor, of course), that standing wave will not be
seen at the source, and the reflected power is radiated through the
transmission line. This is something I have seen for over 20 years,
and it doesn't change just because someone says that it's impossible.

>Keep this in mind. The SWR is, in essence, a measure of the percentage
>of reflected power.

It is a measure of the percentage of reflected power seen at the point
being measured. Different points along the transmission line will
"see" differently, because of the nature of standing waves.

> If the power traveling backward at the antenna
>is not equal to the power traveling back at the radio, where did the
>power go (or come from). Power must be conserved. It is a basic
>law of physics.

As I said before, when you have standing waves, the transmission line
radiates. Find a mismatched system and move a FSM or RF voltmeter
along the length of the transmission line. You will see hot spots
corresponding to the standing wave. After all, an antenna is actually
nothing more than a standing wave generator. Standing waves on the
transmission line are used advantageously by some coaxial dipole
antennas.

I might point out that it is usually better not to radiate your signal
from the transmission line because harmonics are easily generated and
your signal is wasted. An easy way to avoid this is to match the
antenna to the radio and transmission line by adding an L-network at
the base of the antenna. Many mobile antennas already have one
built-in.

>> And it still is not an accurate measure
>> of power transfer, because the antenna may have some reactance that
>> the SWR bridge does not detect because of opposing reactance somewhere
>> else in the system. In that case, an SWR of 1.5:1 may radiate better
>> than a 1:1match.
>>
>> Sparky, FLRC
>
>So you are saying that an antenna that radiates 96% of the power works
>better than an antenna that radiates 100% of the power.

No, I am saying that SWR must be correctly measured in order to mean
anything.

Sparky, FLRC

2175. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 16:26:26 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A37A347.79A81820@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63607
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

That ought to go over like a fart in church!

Radio Station WGTG wrote:
>
> let me tell you one thing! if YOUR neighbor has RFI from your transmitters
> do nothing! if you do YOU are admitting in court YOU are at fault you have
> LOST your protection in court! you open your self up to various types
> lawsuits the best thing is do nothing!

2176. Re: ILLEGAL!!!!!!!!!!!937

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 16:41:53 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001213114153.04485.00000487@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 63608

bj1072@anal.com (TJ1072) dribbled incoherently:

>ALMOST FORGOT...WHATS UP N8?????...LOL
>
N8wwm sparklingly replied:
Not a damn thing right now, just laughing at your silly ass. Merry Christmas:

2177. Re: illegal who really cares

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 16:43:08 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001213114308.04485.00000489@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 63610

If an illegal really cared he wouldn't be illegal.

2178. Re: CB interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 16:46:13 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a37a65a.4149309@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63609

On Wed, 13 Dec 2000 09:15:11 -0500 (EST), twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:

> HA! Wrong again, Sparky! In the sandpile, we can pump you full of lead
>for poking your head into our barn, mingling with our livestock IN THE
>FIELD, and a host of others. Don't believe me?

Not really. But to give you the benefit of the doubt, tell me what
state has these laws and I'll look them up for myself.

> College kids have been
>dusted picking those 'ol hallucinogenic magic mushrooms "out here in the
>fields" and swamps.

Now _there's_ a good reason to waste a human life.

Sparky, FLRC

2179. Re: Why Tech's complain about ILLEGAL CB amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 16:49:28 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001213114928.04485.00000492@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 63611

"fag5freddy" fag5@turdlair.com dribbled through the mouse turds on his keyboard:

>But you really are not interested in helping
>anyone you are here to be a asshole and cause trouble That is your only goal
>here.

To which N8wwm replied:
No, my goal is to make turd sniffers like you jump up and down. Thank you, you helped.

2180. Re: rec.radio.cb.dxclubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 16:58:49 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <ngaf3t0etcq41i5u76kt698g567im3e30n@4ax.com>
Source record: 63612
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb.dxclubs

Greetings!

DX clubs exist in all countries, just look at all the www sites
promoting this activity.

With that in mind, the ITU doesn't care about DX - only possible
problems with interference issues.

Since the 'net is available to all, it is perfectly legal to offer
such a NG. It is what you do with the information afterwards is left
for intepetation.

On Wed, 13 Dec 2000 03:20:03 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
the Mouse, aaronvoobner@my-deja.com dissertated the following:

:+> In article <916nvb$b46$1@panix2.panix.com>,
:+> phil@panix.com (Phil Gustafson) wrote:
:+> > ru.igarashi@usask.ca writes:
:+> > >In news.groups Neil Wiger <neilwiger@neilwiger.com> wrote:
:+> > >> REQUEST FOR DISCUSSION (RFD)
:+> > >> unmoderated group rec.radio.cb.dxclubs
:+> > >
:+> > >>Newsgroup line:
:+> > >>rec.radio.cb.dxclubs Sky wave propagation on 27mhz.
:+> > >
:+> > >What does 'dx' stand for? Is that a standard acronym for this
:+> > >activity? I'm not comfortable with this name, but I might let
:+> > >it go, too. Only on 27 mhz? That description doesn't match
:+> > >the group name all that well. The group isn't for discussing
:+> > >the propagation (i.e. a technical group), it's about some aspect
:+> > >of radio communication.
:+> > >
:+> > 'dx' is standard amateur-radio jargon for long-distance work for its
:+> own
:+> > sake. That is, you don't necessarily have anything to say, you just
:+> > want to talk to someone far away or in a place you haven't talked to
:+> > yet. 'cb' is "Citizens Band", which is at about 27 MHz.
:+> >
:+> > However, unless FCC regulation 95.413 has been changed in the last few
:+> > months, dx work (communicating or attempting to communicate at
:+> distances
:+> > greater than 250 km) is explicitly illegal on the cb band. So is
:+> > international communication on that band.
:+> >
:+> > Phil Gustafson, ex-K1LUU

:+> >
:+> > --
:+> >))
:+> > ((Phil Gustafson Urban Legends FAQ:
:+> http://www.urbanlegends.com
:+> > C|~~| Java FAQ: http://www.afu.com
:+> > `--' <phil@panix.com>
:+> >
:+>
:+> I agree. I believe creation of a newsgroup devoted to 11 meter DX would
:+> attempt to legitimize what is clearly a violation of present FCC
:+> regulations specifically prohibiting such communications and encourage
:+> the proliferation of illegal RF amplifiers and further promote operation
:+> on the so called"freeband" frequencies.
:+>
:+> "rec.radio.cb.dxclubs" is, in practice, a euphemism for
:+> "rec.radio.cb.illegal". If you are going to create a newsgroup devoted
:+> to illegal cb radio it should at least bear a title more in keeping with
:+> its content.
:+>
:+> Sincerely,
:+> Aaron H. Voobner
:+>
:+>
:+> Sent via Deja.com
:+> http://www.deja.com/

Regards! |
.:+> Andy <+: |
. |
... You could be a CB'er when |
... The radio and the antenna]|[
... costs more than the car ---^---
... is worth... /_______\
. |oo|===|oo|
. http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc [=========]
. |_| |_|
http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

2181. Re: 3's and 8's from Georgia 231

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 17:05:14 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <918aa1$j6l$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 63617

With all due respect, no one was "buffaloed", this individual was approached and a shot was fired across the bow. No action was to be taken on this trip other than inform him that shortly he would be in peril. Would you rather the LEO wait until the law was passed and arrest him? I thought it was handled in a fair manner, your right to think otherwise is fine with me. You do not however, have leave to impugn me with your "herr hambrecht" comments. I have endeavored to avoid any confrontation with those that choose to find FCC regulations do not apply to them, at no time have I used derogatory terms towards any member of this newsgroup. I would thank you to reciprocate. If you choose otherwise you will understand if I refrain from any further communications with you.

73 Fred W4JLE

<curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:9176r0>

> hambrecht, i think you guys buffaloed that guy and you ought to be
> ashamed to do such a thing. i feel you had absolutely no authority
> whatsoever, to do this and the only damn reason you got away with it is
> because of the ignorance of this individual, nothing more and nothing
> less. try this on a older , wiser and more experienced individual and
> see what happens, shame on you herr hambrecht, shame , shame , shame.
> are we to deduce by this post that you are now a rat for the man. well
> old buddy, you know what happens to rats , don't
> you???????????,......cd is out........
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2182. Re: Hotmail Sucks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 17:09:08 UTC
From: meghertz@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <918afdrpd1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63618

> I must say though, that I've had fewer problems with it than with
> my other accounts.

...until today - I haven't been able to log into the ****ing thing
for hours now.

"Due to an internal error your request cannot be processed.
We apologize for the inconvenience. Please try again later."

Get it right, you ****ers!

MegHz

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2183. Re: Davemade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 17:16:52 UTC
From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <918ats$s38$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63620

In article <20001213102807.06142.00000247@ng-ff1.aol.com>,
lowrider667@aol.com (Lowrider667) wrote:
> yeah NO NAME > Oh yes is there anyone out there that can build a
better amp
>
>
THIS KID 667 NEVER STOPS!
NONAME IS NOBODY

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2184. Re: Is The Price Of Getting Caught With An Illegal Davemade Too High?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 17:20:14 UTC
From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <918b44sel1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63619

In article <917lhsadv1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:
> Guy selling illegal amps in WA state got fined $70,000 by FCC. Is that
> too high a price?
>
> Aaron
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

I DUNNO! WHAT DO YOU THINK VOOBIE???

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2185. Re: Davemade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 17:48:22 UTC
From: WildBill <wildbill@hose.com>
Message-ID: <GDOZ5.23$gT4.38231@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63621

yeah no name he buys all his shit from dave haha

"Lowrider667" <lowrider667@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001213102807.06142.00000247@ng-ff1.aol.com...
> yeah NO NAME > Oh yes is there anyone out there that can build a better
amp
>
>

2186. Re: The Return of Mark Fuller

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 17:56:46 UTC
From: Big Boss <shackhappy@msn.com>
Message-ID: <E9NZ5.5083$925.255010@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 63600

AHHHH. Good observation Steve. I guess that slipped by many of us. It also now explains many similarities in the postings. I guess guys like him cant do anything without blowing his own horn at the same time.

2187. Re: My point has been made

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 18:12:33 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t3ff0m39am02e5@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 63623

"G SEVEN" <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:917cdm$ff58$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> If I stereo typed Hams as some have stereo typed us, then I would be
> perpetuating the same ignorance that some hams have proscribed to think
> about us.....What did I just say?

I don't know but it frightens me to realize I understand what you're saying!

Jeff

>
> KRS
>
> picloud <A@A.com> wrote in message
news:t30f6ei9mcvaf0@corp.supernews.com...
> > Yeah, but he does reinforce a certain stereotype ...
> >
> >
> > G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > news:90e4j1$519i$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> > > This is true, I know a few HAMS that are great people regardless of
our
> > > indifference in radios operation.
> > >
> > > KRS
> > >
> > >
> > > B-Squared <rbethman@home.com> wrote in message
> > > news:VkwW5.143090$RP.26838476@news1.rdc1.md.home.com...
> > > > "He" is NOT indicative of the majority.
> > > >
> > > > N0DGN
> > > >
> > > > "Hippie Freak" <oneqck76ta@aol.comSpAmSuX> wrote in message
> > > > news:20001202162326.10765.00002518@ng-fa1.aol.com...
> > > > > >I am gonna sit back a while, chill out, and let you guys run your
> > jaws.
> > > > > Have
> > > > > >fun on the CB...I am sure some of you will make the newspapers...
> > > > > >
> > > > > >Quietly chuckling
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >

> > > > > And to think I was going to actually try and get my ham ticket.
This
> > guy
> > > > has
> > > > > opened my eyes and I have decided not to because who would want
to
> > > > hangout and
> > > > > talk to a bunch of self centered idiots like him. I would like to
> > > > thankyou. I
> > > > > think I will stick to my good old CB. Where everyone seem to get
> along
> > > > just
> > > > > fine.
> > > > >
> > > > > Later
> > > > >
> > > > > oneqck76ta@aol.com
> > > > > http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta
> > > > >
> > > > > You've got '459' out of Minneapolis Minnesota and i'm back quiet!
> > > > >
> > > > > ****Hippie Freak****
> > > > > http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta/cbradio
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2188. Re: HR2510

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 18:16:13 UTC
From: Dave Clemence <*******davesradio@alltel.net**********>
Message-ID: <918781$i01$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 63601

the 2510 is not supposed to have talkback in it from the factory but some of them had a low raspy form of talk back that wasn't supposed to be there..... the mods that you are seeing are the ones to clean it up....the problem was that the switching transistors would not completley shut off the receiver and feed back became a problem. reversing these mods will just make it squeel with feedback....

dave
(hillbilly)

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3A378769.BD9A936C@hotmail.com...
> Do the opposite of those mods to restore the useless feedback
> generating talkback. A much more accurate sounding type of
> talkback is to use an earphone with another receiver.
>
> wlfdad@my-deja.com wrote:
> >
> > Can anyone help me find out why my talkback does
> > not work. Also my rogerbeep does not work. I just
> > bought this radio used and can only find DISABLE
> > talkback mods!!
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/

2189. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 18:17:33 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <t3ffap98d2hdb6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63625

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:2247-3A379B33-23@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Point out the mistaken post, Leland. Nice try and you actually flatter
> me to consider me so important as to attempt a failed extraction of an
> apology from.....

Remember this little bit of shameful crap you wrote, quoted below, in the "hams taking 11 meters back" thread posted on 12/1/00? I guess you did not even read my post, in reply to you, about your mistaken identification of me as N8, in that thread.

> You lie, Leland. You falsely make accusations of people calling you
> names and cussing you and have no idea who you are replying to...case
> proved by the number of invalid postings by yourself. Man, you must be
> getting it from all angles..thats gotta leave a skid mark right across
> your forehead! Once again, they are laughing AT you.

You remember it now Twisted? I hope so. You stuck your foot in your mouth big time because you thought I was posting as N8. By the way he, N8, must being doing a good job of getting under your skin, judging by your reply I quoted above!

I don't post under false names, or CB type "Handles", because I don't need to hide my identity from anyone, including even you. Just because I am not posting does not mean I am not reading some of the more "interesting threads" on this news group. That's how I know what you wrote, and where.

So like I said if you apologized I can accept it. No harm done. I can be an adult about this.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

2190. Re: 3's and 8's from Georgia 231

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 18:17:35 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16-3A37BD3F-2@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63628

Damn, Fred. Some of your colleagues that feel the same way you do, also accused me of being "closed minded" for ignoring people simply because of their belief. Does the same not apply to you? That "herr" tag may stick.

2191. Re: Sunny and 50 today...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 18:18:44 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <6ZOZ5.11229$pH1.525753@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 63624

Sunny & 50 degrees? Rain and sleet?
We should be so lucky!... Got 14" of snow day before yesterday and it's about 13 degrees out now. Sub was just plowed late last night ('twas fun fightin' my way out thru the snow!) Only 24% of dayshift showed for work yesterday... less on midnights the night before.

2192. Re: CB interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 18:28:07 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13-3A37BFB7-9@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63627

Nope. Terrible reason for dusting someone. But they were trespassing and 'ol man cotton was tired of them busting down his fences, tearing up his land, etc., etc., put up electric fences, they were cut and destroyed and het posted the shit outta his property, but why are you picking and choosing which laws you like? Didn't you express the opinion that we should obey the law because because because? If you think you OR your property is in danger, you can open fire here. Sorta like the car thief. If I look out the back and see someone in one of the vehicles by the barn, after checking to make sure the kid and 'ol lady are in bed, there are three things I'm gonna do. 1) Spray you with lead 2) Call the coroner and sheriff to remove your dead carcass and 3) shoot my dogs.

2193. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 18:32:06 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13-3A37C0A6-10@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63626

Now here is an interesting concept, Scott. I suppose it COULD open you up to a certain degree of guilt admition if any action was taken by the op. I do applaud the hammie that had the gonads to post that AND sign his call, though, if it's real.

2194. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 18:48:17 UTC
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3A37C471.927403CC@IEEE.org>
Source record: 63629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" wrote:
> That ought to go over like a fart in church!

So that was you, eh?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2195. Re: Sunny and 50 today...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 19:01:19 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12-3A37C77F-25@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63630

Well, dammit, Capn, send us at least a cool front. Unseasonably warm now and it's deer season and the damn mosquitos are eating me alive. By 11:00 AM, it's time to get out of the woods 'cause I'm pouring sweat and the deer can smell me 2 or 3 swamps away.

2196. Re: Davemade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 19:15:18 UTC
From: wpbrock3rd@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001213141518.10152.00004739@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 63631

Yes! need I say more?

2197. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 19:15:18 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17962-3A37CAC6-35@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63637

Wellllllllll, then Leland, I guess some sort of gratuitous vocabulary would be proper in this certain instant. Consider yourself served! Yea, I remember that one. No excuses here. I did think you were it. After all, look how many multiples he has used and your posts were similar. BUT, since you just HAD to go on about the troll, he wasn't getting under my skin. I responded to his BS, when I realized that is what he wanted, he became the invisible man. Don't be so quick to judge someone by what you perceive to be a certain tone of voice..if you step back and have a good look at what it was directed at, you will see it wasn't shameful at all. On the contrary, it was dead on in content, my aim was bad. So, I guess I sort of owe ya' one. (Did I just say that?) Ok! The next time you goof, I'll let it go without saying a word. Good for one time only. Now we're square.

2198. Re: Davemade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 19:21:39 UTC
From: jvillafane@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001213142139.08495.00003952@ng-mk1.aol.com>
Source record: 63632

yes bladerunner out of ct they will handle volts &high dead key ask the good old boys in theytona beach.fl about blade made

2199. Re: Is These Prices Too High on a Davemade or what ? Look>>> Prices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 19:24:42 UTC
From: glc1173@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001213142442.29542.00000328@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 63633
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

West Side wrote:
>Davemade M200 3 pill $245=Davemade >M400 5 pill $335=Davemade M400se 2x4
>pill $365,Davemade 800 8 pill >$635,Davemade m800 2x8 >$725,Davemade >16
>pill $1,3000.00
>I am comparing the prices to the builders >here in california.

Forget linears! Why? Because the only time you will be able to talk
further with one is if the guy you are talking with also spent hundreds on his!
If you can't hear them, you can't talk to them. That means that those
spending hundreds on a linear are likely to find only a few extra people to
talk to - "extra" in the sense of not being so near that they could have talked
with them anyway.
Get a GOOD antenna with the SWR as low as possible - and don't settle until
SWR is under 1.5 to 1. You'll get much more results for much less cash - and
you'll even be amazed at improved reception.
N4GSV,
Matt
More ways to fight
Algore's coup - and to screw Blue Nation!
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Dissident news - plus immigration, gun rights, nationwide weather
Al Gore - in his own words
ALAMANCE INDEPENDENT:
official newspaper of the Vast Right Wing Conspiracy
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

2200. Re: MOVIE: Vertical Limit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 19:32:01 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <918irh$3u9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63635
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

In article <916hf7$el6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <916ernqmv1@news.uky.edu>,
> IT is not a real name!!! wrote:
> > In article <91634s01jq@enews2.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com
> says...
> > >
> > >It seems that there are a few Hollywood screenwriters that are hams
> trying to
> > >hang on to the past.
> > >
> > snip
> > Have you ever noticed that Hollywood never gets anything about any
> radio
> > correct?
> > With the possible exception of "Sink The Bismark", where a "spy"
> simply sent a
> > CW message every other instance is unlikley at best.
> > Die Hard #1...What are the chances that the "bad guys" would operate
> on teh
> > same frequency as the local pd. Or even "The Man From U.N.C.L.E"'s
> little ink
> > pen transceiver. Yea, open channel D please. Just what type
batteries
> fit in
> > it and what frequency did it operate on so that no matter where our
> guys
> > where, from the middle of a desert to the bottom of a mine shaft
they
> could
> > reach HQ.
> > As a general rule, everything TV or HW produces with a radio is
> garbage.
> > But then almost everything they produce is garbage.
> >
> >
> Totally incorrect....I remember several Disney cartoons that had some
> accurate CW... hardy har har.
>
> Oh, and yes Hollywood things we are idiots.

You mean "thinks," don't you, dropout?

> There all
> Demoncrats....and they THINK they have a handle on it.....WRONG!!
>

At LEAST Democrats have a handle on the English language..you can't say
the same, MORON.

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2201. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 19:32:40 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A37CED8.27A04B9E@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63634
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

No, I wear activated charcoal underwear!! It was that fat lady
three rows back with that sh!t eating grin on her face.

Cecil wrote:
>
> "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" wrote:
> > That ought to go over like a fart in church!
>
> So that was you, eh?
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2202. Re: CB interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 19:37:16 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A37CFEC.2388B69A@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63638

What did the dog do(o)?

twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
> 3) shoot my dogs.

2203. Re: How to be a UnaBasher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 19:37:23 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <918j5i$446$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63640

In article <3A379BF9.BC9034CC@hotmail.com>,
"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Some of you flamers may learn something from this.

Yeah, that child raper "Hands" has his head up his ample ASS.

> K0HB wrote:
> >
> > A few inbred UnaBashers regularly show up here bashing ARRL, CQ,
> > Morse Code, CB, Republicans, Technicians, Extras, Herbie, or some
> > other random subject or group that pissed them off this morning.
> >
> > Most of us view them with some level of disdain, but others
> > view them with admiration and would like to emulate them. For
> > those who'd like to refine their bashing efforts, I thought
> > I'd post some rules that they (or you) could follow to become
> > a really 599+, full gallon, state-of-the-art UnaBasher.
> >
> > Rules for Successful Bashing -
> >
> > 1. Be anonymous. Most of us know who you are anyhow, but you'll
> > impress N0VFP and KC8JBO.
> > 2. Use 10% fact. 90% suggestion. The facts will lend credibility
> > to your unfounded suggestions.
> > 3. Use multiple ISP's, handles and aliases.
> > 4. Use two or more aliases to simulate a discussion. The
> > 'pit bull' tries this, but is always betrayed because all
> > his messages come from the same IP address.
> > 5. Identify the "gurus" on the newsgroup and suck up to them.
> > 6. Give the appearance of being open minded.
> > 7. Be bold in your statements. Dummy newbies follow strength.
> > 8. Write headlines in caps with catchy statements.
> > 9. Don't worry about being labeled a "Basher". Newbies won't
> > know your history.
> > 10. When identified put up a brief fight, then back off. Return
> > in a week unless your foe is a weak in reasoning powers.
> > 11. Form your bash as a question, not a statement. Like
> > "Does ARRL hate technology?", or "Why can't most Extras
> > figure out Ohms law?" People can refute your
> > statement, but nobody can answer such dumb questions.
> > 12. Three or four aliases can dominate a newsgroup and wear
> > down the target.
> > 13. Bait the target into personal debates putting their
> > focus/efforts on you and not the facts. Divert their
> > attention from facts. Facts are your enemy.

> > 14. Do not fall for challenges on the truth of what
> > you are saying. Bashing is a game and you are playing
> > it with your own rules. Ignore embarrassing questions
> > which betray your lack of research, or call the questioner
> > a Nazi or a liar. (See Anderson and Robeson for
> > instruction.)
> >
> > Your are a:
> >
> > Grade "B" UnaBasher:
> > If you post lots of old news, respond to all positive posts with a
> > negative side. Never respond to being called a Basher.
> >
> > Grade "C" UnaBasher:
> > Very good way with words, always claims to be your "friend" taking
the
> > positive poster into confidence, sucks up, and then uses the
> > relationship to bash somebody else.
> >
> > Grade "D" UnaBasher:
> > Needs to learn the basics about being convincing when making a
> > negative statement. Has no anonimity whatsoever, and tends to
> > use quotations of others (preferably of dead people), rather
> > than original thinking to make their point.
> >
> > Grade "F" UnaBasher:
> > A complete idiot, most readers are not convinced he knows
> > anything about amateur radio in general, let alone the current
> > topic of conversation. The type that says something "sucks", but
gives
> > no rationale, shows up every so often but no regular schedule.
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2204. Need TRAM 201-A parts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 19:40:14 UTC
From: Cliff <cliffn@balista.com>
Message-ID: <3A37D09E.2440DF73@balista.com>
Source record: 63453

Need Synthesizer board PCB "C" and 40 channel
dial indicator..... E- Mail me, if you have

Cliff

2205. Re: Why N8WWM is like a deadkeyer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 19:42:16 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <siQZ5.145081$U46.4733613@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 63636

looks like all N8???'s messages have disappeared off my news server 2 days ago.

"Ch15a" <ch15a@aol.com> wrote in message news:20001212143026.27737.00000014@ng-fw1.aol.com...
I forgot to add that not only is he a boil on our collective behinds, but also
that his one track mind is on a very narrow track.

His only response to my post was " You are ILLEGAL "

Very amusing since I didn't mention what radios or amps I own. Or, if I own any at all. It seems the Ham-sters won't play with N8 so he comes over to our
play ground crying and whining about things that don't seem to bother anyone else here.

Oh yeah, who died and made N8 King of the group? I wan't a recount.

>N8wwwm is like a deadkeyer
because:
>
>Each time you let him know that you are paying attention to what he says, he
>just is encouraged to say more.
>
>The easiest way to make him go away is to refuse to acknowledge that you even
>know he is posting/transmitting.
>
>Deadkeyers are usually juvenile newbies who have personality problems and
>can't
>get notice from people in normal ways.
>
>Why do you care what a ham-ster thinks anyways. Who died and made him King of
the newsgroup?
>
>
>
>
>

2206. Yet another antenna myth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 19:42:28 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A37D124.A03C9D49@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63639

GLC1173 wrote:
>
> Get a GOOD antenna with the SWR as low as possible - and don't settle until
> SWR is under 1.5 to 1. You'll get much more results for much less cash - and
> you'll even be amazed at improved reception.

So you're saying if my SWR is 1.5:1, I'll get out better by
making my SWR lower.

$10,000 question: Is that extra 4% worth unresonating my antenna?

Hint: Resonance is better then 1:1.

2207. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 19:50:48 UTC
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3A37D317.4E64FE63@IEEE.org>
Source record: 63645
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" wrote:
> No, I wear activated charcoal underwear!! It was that fat lady
> three rows back with that sh!t eating grin on her face.

Oh, the same one who sang when the U.S.Supreme Court decision
was handed down?
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2208. Re: ILLEGAL!!!!!!!!!!!937

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 19:53:05 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001213145305.07533.00010393@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 63641

>From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm)
>Date: 12/13/00 10:41 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20001213114153.04485.00000487@ng-cn1.aol.com>

N8wwm said while wiping his chin after smoking the bologna pony:>Not a damn
thing right now, just laughing at your silly ass. Merry Christmas:
>

TJ1072 nicely replied:

Merry Christmas right back N8...Take it easy.

2209. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 19:56:33 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <RvQZ5.145082$U46.4733886@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 63642

Umm yeah and amateur radio amps on the 10 meter band don't do shit to your phones. OK genius. Have you tried any compromises with your neighbor as to when he can talk? Have you even tried? Or are you just bitching about it because you don't want to talk to the guy about how it messes up your cheap phone. Are you going off half cocked or are you being civil and trying to come to a compromise. You can't have it all your way. Either have your equipment fixed or work out times that are prime time for your TV watching. Your equipment can be setup to not have those problems. The phone is easy, all you need is a properly installed RF Choke and the phone company normally has these on hand. Venting doesn't do any good other than get you all hopping mad about something you can resolve on your own.

"Chas" <eat@joes.com> wrote in message
news:9B29335BD0224362.18BD83ED49D54271.A8683CEF8A2D3F38@lp.airnews.net...
Why do some of you CB people run illegal power?

All you do is interfere with other peoples lives. How would you like to wake up in the middle of the night hearing voices. It was coming from my phone witch was on the receiver. Echoing whistles and other odd noises on my stereo while watching a rented DVD movie. I bet he is running several hundred watts. Saw the amp setting on his desk the first time I complained. How bad he bleeds varies, I guess to how much power he has on. Turning screws and cutting circuits in the radio dirtying up the signal is bad enough but amplifying it just makes it worse.
I hope the US government makes people get CB licenses again.
Congress has a bill pending to give local police authority to in force FCC rules governing CBs. God I hope it passes. A few bad apples are going to kill it for all of you.

Just had to vent a little. My new neighbor is driving me mad.

Chas

2210. Re: How to be a UnaBasher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 19:58:06 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A37D4E3.16D86FA9@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63643

KC8JBO wrote:
>
> In article <3A379BF9.BC9034CC@hotmail.com>,
> "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > Some of you flamers may learn something from this.
>
> Yeah, that child raper "Hands" has his head up his ample ASS.

You need to go back to RRAM. I don't respond to you over there.

2211. Re: ILLEGAL!!!!!!!!!!!937

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 19:58:31 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001213145831.07533.00010394@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 63644

>Subject: Re: ILLEGAL!!!!!!!!!!!937
>From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
>Date: 12/12/00 11:30 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <9171hvrgq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
>
>

>your are lucky i am in a good mood so i will say this. merry christmas
>and a happy new year from the big horn, thats texas for all these
>hamster mudducks who are calling this news group their new adopted
>home. i got a question for ya. the next time you see one of those bug
>catchers on some mudducks car, just drop the maul on him and put him
>out of his misery. but whatever you do 937, do not , i repeat, do not
>ever get out on certain channels and key up. because if i am out there
>i will drop the wrecking ball on your xforce box and put you on the
>back of the bus, comode, comode.,.....cd is out.....
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com
>http://www.deja.com/
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Well...i am glad that that you are in a good mood...Could you please let me
know what channels i could key up on. I dont want you to key on my x-force box.
Course...thats just the driver...comode, comode....Well if i do catch ya out
there hopefully you wont just drop the hammer down but you'll actually come
back to this station....hope to put it on ya one day mr. cd....your 937 Snowman
in chi-town is gone....

2212. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 19:59:20 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-656997.14592013122000@nntp.lucent.com>
Source record: 63646

In article <3a37a1c1.2972925@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> On Wed, 13 Dec 2000 13:45:50 GMT, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >> It doesn't
> >> radiate very well. SWR is a good indicator of impedance mismatch, but
> >> it needs to be measured not only at the radio/coax junction but also
> >> at the coax/antenna junction.
> >
> >Not true. The SWR at both locations is the same.
>
> Only when the source and load have the same impedance,

No, the SWR is dependent only on the load impedance and the
characterisic impedance of the coax.

> and that
> doesn't happen often in mobile installs. Measure SWR at both
> connections when the impedance of the antenna is different from the
> radio. Then change the length of your coax. The SWR changes at the
> radio/coax connection, but not at the coax/antenna connection.

No, it doesn't. The SWR is the same at both ends.

> When there is a souce-to-load mismatch you will always have a standing
> wave.

Standing waves are created by a mismatch between the load and the
transmission line only. The source impedance does not affect SWR.

> But when the transmission line is any multiple of a 1/4-wave
> (times velocity factor, of course), that standing wave will not be
> seen at the source,

This is absolutely false. When there is reflected power at the
antenna, it goes back to the radio regardless of the line length.
To suggest that there is some length of coax that will make the
reflected power disappear is nonsense. Where did the reflected
power go?

> and the reflected power is radiated through the
> transmission line. This is something I have seen for over 20 years,
> and it doesn't change just because someone says that it's impossible.

"Reflected power is radiated through the transmission line"???

> >Keep this in mind. The SWR is, in essence, a measure of the percentage
> >of reflected power.
>
> It is a measure of the percentage of reflected power seen at the point
> being measured. Different points along the transmission line will
> "see" differently, because of the nature of standing waves.

Nonsense. If I measure forward power at the input to a transmission
line, I will get the same forward power measurement at the output
of the transmission line. Similarly, if I measure reflected power
at the output of a transmission line, I will measure the same reflected
power at the input to the transmission line. Power MUST be conserverd.

An SWR meter merely measures forward power and reflected power to
determine the ratio of the two. The power is constant all along
a transmission line. Where could it go (or come from)?

> > If the power traveling backward at the antenna
> >is not equal to the power traveling back at the radio, where did the
> >power go (or come from). Power must be conserved. It is a basic
> >law of physics.
>
> As I said before, when you have standing waves, the transmission line
> radiates.

You are really over the top here. Why would a shielded coax radiate?
How can the RF pass through the shield?

> Find a mismatched system and move a FSM or RF voltmeter
> along the length of the transmission line.

You are confusing common mode currents (currents on the outside
of the transmission line) with the RF within the transmission line.
We are not talking about common mode currents.

> I might point out that it is usually better not to radiate your signal
> from the transmission line because harmonics are easily generated and
> your signal is wasted.

Common mode currents certainly are undesirable. However, they
generate no harmonics.

> An easy way to avoid this is to match the
> antenna to the radio and transmission line by adding an L-network at
> the base of the antenna. Many mobile antennas already have one
> built-in.
>
> >> And it still is not an accurate measure
> >> of power transfer, because the antenna may have some reactance that
> >> the SWR bridge does not detect because of opposing reactance somewhere
> >> else in the system. In that case, an SWR of 1.5:1 may radiate better
> >> than a 1:1match.
> >>
> >> Sparky, FLRC

> >
> >So you are saying that an antenna that radiates 96% of the power works
> >better than an antenna that radiates 100% of the power.
>
> No, I am saying that SWR must be correctly measured in order to mean
> anything.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

SWR meters are not sensitive to any "opposing reactance somewhere
else in the system." Again, this is nonsense. All an SWR meter do
is measure forward power and reflected power. Power is power.

2213. Re: ILLEGAL!!!!!!!!!!!937

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 20:03:06 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001213150306.07533.00010395@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 63647

P.S. CD...Why would a little x-force guy like me have you so fired up all the time that you would want to drop the hammer on me so bad???? Just wondering.

2214. Re: HR2510

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 20:04:46 UTC
From: truth@planetc.com
Message-ID: <918koo$5n1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63450

In article <917l3n$a11$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
wlfdad@my-deja.com wrote:
> Can anyone help me find out why my talkback does
> not work. Also my rogerbeep does not work. I just
> bought this radio used and can only find DISABLE
> talkback mods!!
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

You don't need rodger BEEP and this unit doesn't have talk back,
becauase you DO NOT have ECHO, which "talk back" IS for the purpose of
hearing an echo. The 1st 2510 had talk back--that's WHY the mod to get
rid of it. Trade it for a Galaxy!!!
Dave!!!!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2215. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 20:07:41 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A37D70D.4A807371@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63448
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
Cecil wrote:
>
> "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" wrote:
> > No, I wear activated charcoal underwear!! It was that fat lady
> > three rows back with that sh!t eating grin on her face.
>
> Oh, the same one who sang when the U.S.Supreme Court decision
> was handed down?

I stopped paying attention to that 3 weeks ago. The TV news should
have called it "Indecision 2000".
```

2216. Re: How to be a UnaBasher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 20:10:24 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A37D7B0.D6E91DBD@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63449

> KC8JBO wrote:

> > Yeah, that child raper "Hands" has his head up his ample ASS.

You sure did cancel your post quick. Too late, I saw it and
quoted it for all to see.

2217. Re: Hotmail Sucks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 20:10:34 UTC
From: ngabuckaroo223@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001213151034.27828.00001235@ng-me1.aol.com>
Source record: 63451

go to www.ureach.com and sign up for a free email address, mine is mariettabuck@ureach.com, but i use AOL ALOt more often

North GA. Buckaroo "223"

2218. Re: HR2510

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 20:11:23 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A37D800.30705F26@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63452

Dave/Debby is back!

truth@planetc.com wrote:

2219. FS: NOS BC-200 & BC-760 Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 20:18:52 UTC
From: truth@planetc.com
Message-ID: <918lj2$6em$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63455

I have convertable for the forbidden receivable 869-890 car phone band
1-BC-200 xlt NOS in the box, with new battery, for around $250-300+,
AND a few BC-760's that are ALSO NOS brand new in the box convertable
for around $250-300+ each. Don't call me E-BAY, call me CB-BAY !!!!

I'm a CB shop with 21 years experience that also sold scanners, and
grabbed these NOS units in the OLD days when they were out, and they
have NEVER been sold. E mail me with your BIDS ! truth@planetc.com

I have sold numerous items to people on the CB newsgroup nationally,
plus I fear GOD in that what HE will do to me if I even think
of "ripping anyone off." The Bible says that 4 times the value comes
from my hide as punishment; and I can't afford that! Dave!!!!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2220. Re: 3's and 8's from Georgia 231

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 20:19:31 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <918lk8$6md$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63456

In article <16-3A37BD3F-2@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
> Damn, Fred. Some of your colleagues that feel the same way you do,
also
> accused me of being "closed minded" for ignoring people simply because
> of their belief. Does the same not apply to you? That "herr" tag may
> stick.
>
>

geez, twist isn't this the same guy that said he turned me in to uncle
because of my black kitty cat, oh my. getting pissed because somebody
called him 'herr'. well i say if the shoe fits wear it. and also this
is the same guy who came on here a few weeks ago trying to get people
to become hams. well he has blown his wad as far as i am concerned. he
has been weighed in the balances and found to be wanting. you know what
is it about this thing called cb radio, my , my. all these hamster
nazis bragging about their abilities to go anywhere and talk all over
the world and do it legally, but seemingly having this preoccupation
with 11 meters for some strange reason. go figure. ok freddie, you
don't have to talk to me anymore. i will go stand in the corner and cry
for a while and i may loose some sleep over it , but all in all i will
be ok,.....cd is out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2221. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 20:20:16 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-5150E7.15201613122000@nntp.lucent.com>
Source record: 63454

In article <ae3f3tcr2uilfg67ffj3r8b8t5n50feddk@4ax.com>,
codyspc@iserv.net wrote:

> Greetings!
>
> Ok, I see your point, and here we go again. As far as Radio Shack,
> take a trip to their store and ask for the manual to their Deluxe
> Magnetic Mount CB antenna - or any CB antenna that has a pamphlet with
> the wrap. They are WARNING you not to trim the coax. I'd like to know
> why, aside from ruining their pretty job of soldering the PL259 end
> on. ;-) These are the complete kits - antenna, coax, mount.

Why don't you tell us why? There is no reason.

> Adjusting coax length affects impedance. A typical SWR meter not only
> looks for voltage, but impedance - correct?

A typical SWR meter measures power (in each direction) and is
designed for a particular impedance.

> Then what we see at the end of a section of coax is a conjugate
> imepdance with the coax and the antenna working together.

We see an impedance. It is not a "conjugate" impedance.

> If
> everything is put together right, the coax isn't part of the equation.
> It's simply an unbalanced feedline to a balanced load.

It is simply a feedline to a load.

> e.g. - 25ohms - 5j [a capacitive loading scheme]
>
> As we can look at the smith chart, if I'm not mistaken, I can see SWR
> ranging from 2.7 to around 1.2 - roughly 180 ohm [using no formula to
> figure this as an unbalanced feed line of about 6 feet - just notes]
> to 36 ohms at about 17 feet in length of adjustement range if we use a
> typical section of RG 58 coax.
>
> Now if I was wrong in the above, please correct me.

You are mistaken. The SWR is constant at all the points.
From a Smith chart, you can calculate the SWR from the magnitude of the
reflection coefficient. The reflection coefficient magnitude is the
distance from the center of the Smith chart to your impedance point.
At you vary the transmission line length, your impedance point
travels in a circle of constant radius around the center point.

That radius equals your reflection coefficient magnitude.
Since it is constant, the SWR is constant. In other words, as you
vary the transmission line length, you are moving around the Smith
chart in a circle of constant SWR.

> Ok, if I'm right... [snip]

> Now, all I'm going to help you with is give you this hint. A lot of
> base loaded antennas on the market offer a lot of capacitance. With
> the above conjugate equation, this is about the typical CB'er install
> that has a poor ground. The antenna is asking for length. Adjusting
> the coax - means that the antenna is not resoant at it's current
> location and configuration - we need to do something.
>
> Now, before you slam me as being irrelevant - there are quite a few
> different set-ups that have poor ground at the antenna radiator
> location. With this type of conjugate matching scheme of using 18 feet
> or trimming to low SWR, they can at least operate the antenna - only
> it is not resonate and the coax becomes part of the antennas radiator
> system. They simply are willing to sacrifice the performance otherwise
> possible with a properly installed antenna system, just so they can
> have an antenna that doesn't destroy their radio.

You are referring to common mode currents here. I understand that,
when they occur, common mode currents can be difficult to deal with.
But using 18 feet of coax is not a solution; it provides no probability
of helping you better than any other length. So don't bother.

2222. Re: FS: NOS BC-200 & BC-760 Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 20:41:23 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A37DEF3.C8A0E23A@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63457

I have a Pro-2026 on my for sale page that is the same as a BC-760.
http://www.abs.net/~the420

truth@planetc.com wrote:
>
> I have convertable for the forbidden receivable 869-890 car phone band
> 1-BC-200 xlt NOS in the box, with new battery, for around $250-300+,
> AND a few BC-760's that are ALSO NOS brand new in the box convertable
> for around $250-300+ each. Don't call me E-BAY, call me CB-BAY !!!!

2223. Fear of Mark Fuller?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 20:42:05 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <918mus$7u9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63459

In article <2ZMZ5.5082$925.254966@news.direcpc.com>,
"Stervarino" <captain@navy.org> wrote:
> I guess Mark has gotten bored over the last year so he's returned to
finish
> what he started a year ago only this time with a new name.
>
> Snipped from the posting "ILLEGAL CB" dated 12/07/00 written by
> aaronvoobner@my-deja.com <aaronvoobner@my-deja.com>
>
> >Honest debate in the tradition of Mark Fuller should be encouraged.
It's
> your
> >choice. It's your band. You can clean it up or continue to allow
other
> people to soil
> >it.
>
> Only Mark Fuller himself would be arrogant and egotistical enough to
> actually believe that he himself previously created some sort of
tradition.
> Hey Mark, its a tradition in your own mind. Do you actually think
they
are
> going to write a book about you when this is over? After you lose your
> battles here on this NG are you going to call all of our employers and
tell
> them we are using the company email system for personal recreation
like you
> did to Dennis last year?
>
> Half of your postings here on this NG contain blatant lies because we
both
> know that you already have an amateur radio license so why dont you
stop
> this BS charrade.....................................
>
>

Hello Sterve,

I'm so gratified that you researched all my posts. I'm flattered to think that there are teams of people working on "uncovering" or "exposing" me. But honestly, all you'll find is Aaron Harold Voobner in there, nothing more.

No I'm not Mark Fuller. I read the Usnet archive and ran across Mark's posts and became curious. I agree with many of his points, especially about the detrimental effects of CB amplifiers and the criminal focus of many CBers devoted to freebanding, etc. What surprised me is the depth of reaction to his opinions and the inability of inarticulate cretins to defend their ilegality or do any more than hurl profanities at him.

Which brings us to me, and this newsgroup.

Sterve, I wonder if you can help me out here, something is really puzzling me.

I think people should operate their CB radios legally. That's my philosophy in a nutshell.

Why this concept should provoke such an extremely violent reaction in rec.radio.cb I cannot for the life of me understand.

I notice people are upset that there is too much "legality" in the newsgroup. They want the focus back on "illegality". They get extremely cranky if you mention the top five illegal amp-makers in any context that might prove risky to them. Some people don't want the black-market system to change. Maybe these are the people that are profiting from illegal CB. That's just a guess.

Mark challenged people to act responsibly. What's so UPSETTING to you about that? He said, "if you don't like the CB rules, get them changed". It is possible. That's the way responsible people go about getting things done. But no. Instead you guys would prefer to slink around in alleyways wearing "Born To Lose" tattoos, acting like "bad dudes", romanticizing your incorrigibility.

Lastly, I didn't know Mark was a ham. I don't see any Mark Fuller listed in the ham databases, QRZ, etc. If you have Mark's callsign, please provide it here. I'd like to look him up.

Sincerely,
Aaron H. Voobner

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2224. Re: CB interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 20:48:42 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27176-3A37E0AA-9@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63460

By that time they have failed to do their job and all those years of scuffling with hogs made 'em mellow! Time to get some new pups to break in at that point. Ever see a coon or hog dog being trained? The PETA folks will be all over me, but nothing turns a dog meaner towards a hog or coon than getting it's ass chewed a bit when it's a puppy. Whichever animal does the ass kickin' when the dog is a pup is usually the one that dictates which kind of dog you have. Got a pack now that has two lil stubborn bitches only a year old....a coupla times we had to pull them off the hogs before they ruined 'em. 'Course 'ol Cooter Mae is getting up there in years, now. She don't tangle with the hogs like she used too, she leaves that for her younger descendants, but was a time she was the meanest hog and coon dog in the county, and like a kitten with most people. But let her get a whiff of hog or coon, or an errant bobcat or so, and she goes rabid until the blood is spilled and she gets the heart, and she prefers it warm. She's slow, but at 16 she hasn't lost the scent yet, and she taught me never to doubt her. I've seen the whole damn pack baying and howling after something, only for 'ol Cooter Mae to digress and go her own way and bring the game around. She has never failed me. She can break in a pup in record time as well. I'll shut up now. Get me talkin' hounds and I can go on forever.

2225. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 20:48:55 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <t3fo6jq36ampbc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63458

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:2247-3A379AB6-22@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Leland,, your focus was done lost long before I ever entered your
> world..nice try, but I am not to blame. Of course it is questionable, I
> admitted that in my post and explained how I arrived at the consensus.

I have no doubt you can do simple math. I just wanted to know your source of data.

> Yes, I am certain I calculated all of the actions taken by the FCC in
> December of '99 if it was ON RECORD..that means available to the public
> under most circumstances, in this instant.

I am not convinced that the FCC posts ALL of the actions taken. They have a great many things to watch. Given that, I am sure that only a fraction makes it on to the web. Somebody has to be paid to do it. And as others have posted the FCC is not exactly flush with funds right now. So I think we see just the tip of the old iceberg so to speak.

> Now if you are not aware of
> being able to acquire such information, you certainly are not going to
> get it from me.

Well I can read that two ways. One you don't have a source. Or two, the one you used was not the FCC. Otherwise what is the harm in going on the record with your source? I can get find sources of data, I just want you to go on the record as to where you got your information.

> I want your kind as far away as possible from my
> playground!

Last time I checked the 11m band was for use by the public. It is not your exclusive play ground. You have to share the 11m band with other users. That is a point I think is totally lost on some of the others here. They think they own 11m, and nobody else has any legitimate interest on what happens there.

> Cell phone laws? Government ramming more laws down our throats? Bub,
> you are preaching to the choir.

We are getting this crammed down our throats because many people don't care, or don't believe there is a problem. So the good old USA government solves the problem for us. Well that is what happens when you deny the evidence.

> That is the most intelligent thing I
> have read from you yet. Maybe there is hope for you after all, Leland.
> This coming from an aspiring (?) hammie, no less.

> Not only is the VHF thing going to be fun to watch, it's going to be
> fun to listen to, instead of all that boring QUIET!

That is what is worrying the Hams. The five channels, on MURS, will not be enough. CBers will be out there modifying Ham 2m rigs, because you can get them cheap, and more power too, 50 watts. Given the track record of CBers operating on the "freeband", and showing up on the bottom end of 10m, has more than a few Ham expecting the same thing to happen with MURS. Namely CBers looking for clear frequencies showing up on the top end of 2m. It is just too easy to spin the VFO knob on that modified 2m rig, and I'm sure some will try it.

> It will be the same
> as the rest of all hammie AND cb, he with the biggest toy wins. That's
> pretty cut and dried, Voobner. Who would disagree with that?
> You told me your opinion, I still think they gave us those bands to
> help. Spin it all you want.

A recent issue of QST had a nice write up on the back ground of how the MURS channels came about, and why. There is also some history of why the first "CB" band ended up on 11m when the original intent was to put it up on UHF. You should find that article interesting reading.

> I don't want y'all to disappear along with your posts, just focus on
> the merits and screw the gut shots and repetitive referrals of, "because
> it's the law".

Why beat around the bush? Call a spade a spade. If what I am refering to is the law, well..., it's the law.

> All laws are not good,

I will agree with that. However there are ways to change laws, without having to break them. In fact Alan Dixon, a Ham by the way who writes for Popular Communications I think, when out on behave of the CBers to request the 150 mile DX limit be removed from the rules. He did not have any luck, but at least he tried to change the rules by working within the system. His luck may have been much different if there was not so much out-and-out illegal activity on 11m. The FCC maybe, IMHO, was afraid to make a bad situation worse. The CBers pushing for illegal operations are not doing themselves, or their fellow CBers, any good.

I am sure if the CBers as a group can demonstrate that they can operate legally would make a much better impression with the FCC, and may have resulted in more "privileges" be given. Its just like a parent with a kid. If the kid behaves themselves, and follows the rules, then later the parent "trusts" them, and the kid is rewarded with greater freedoms. In other words one has to prove they can be trusted FIRST. All this illegal activity on 11m does is prove the opposite.

> Voobner, and minds are like books,
> they only function when they are open.

The purpose of a book is to convey facts , and new ideas. One of the problems with a mind is the in ability to acept the "facts" by denying them. I see a lot of this on the news group.

> And since I refer to one who gets extremely personal talking about sex,
> peoples mothers, and calling names instead of arguing the merit, a
> troll, and you cannot fathom why, I can now see why.

Have you had even a gram of success with the above method? He likes to press your buttons, and you reward "him" by a spirited performance! Who do you think I think is the smarter of the two?

> I don't give a damn who you align yourself with.

That's good. So now you don't need to mention it any more, right?

> As long as you don't resort to the BS IT attempted, we can have rationale
> discussions.

It's only BS if one choses to consider it as such.

> Now I will give you a hint, Leland. Credibility is ALWAYS an issue when
> posting.

No. The idea is more important. If I can get somebody to think about something I have posted, the post has served it purpose. Credibility is simply how one perceives something, an idea, or a person. In time even an idea that seems un-creditable can change in to a creditable one with a simple change in one's own attitude.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

2226. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 21:08:27 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17962-3A37E54B-40@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63461

This is your freebie, Leland. Enjoy!

2227. Re: Fear of Mark Fuller?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 21:19:32 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24024-3A37E7E4-59@storefull-125.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63466

Hehehe...way to go Stervarino...outted and how! He was perusing the archives...heheheh! Sure!

2228. Re: 3's and 8's from Georgia 231

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 21:22:46 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24024-3A37E8A6-60@storefull-125.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63465

That shoe is gettin' kinda tight!

2229. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 21:32:25 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a37e9c7.21410424@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63462

On Wed, 13 Dec 2000 14:59:20 -0500, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>snip categorical denial of reality<

I am not going to sit here and argue with someone that doesn't have
his facts straight about something that anyone can read for themself.
If anyone wants to find out what a standing wave is, and how to get
the best efficiency from their system, go to the library and check out
a book on electronic communication. There are a couple textbooks I can
recommend that are fairly common. One is by Shrader and is easy to
read. Another is by Kellejian and is more technical and thorough. If
you have the time, also try reading something on electromagnetics.

Sparky, FLRC

2230. Re: CB interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 21:32:56 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a37eaee.21704986@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63463

On Wed, 13 Dec 2000 13:28:07 -0500 (EST), twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:

> Nope. Terrible reason for dusting someone. But they were trespassing
>and 'ol man cotton was tired of them busting down his fences, tearing up
>his land, etc., etc., put up electric fences, they were cut and
>destroyed and het posted the shit outta his property, but why are you
>picking and choosing which laws you like? Didn't you express the opinion
>that we should obey the law because because because? If you think you OR
>your property is in danger, you can open fire here.

Where is "here"? I know that lethal force can be used to defend if
there is substantial reason to believe that serious injury or death is
imminent, but I still don't believe that there is a state that allows
a landowner to use lethal force for trespassing. And I am not talking
about one law being more important than another, although it seems
that this state you speak of has forgotten the Constitutional concept
of 'due process'. So what state are you talking about?

Sparky, FLRC

2231. Re: MOVIE: Vertical Limit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 21:36:54 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <918q5laso1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63468
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

In article <918irh$3u9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <916hf7$el6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > In article <916ernqmv1@news.uky.edu>,
> > IT is not a real name!!! wrote:
> > > In article <91634s01jq@enews2.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com
> > says...
> > > >
> > > >It seems that there are a few Hollywood screenwriters that are
hams
> > trying to
> > > >hang on to the past.
> > > >
> > > snip
> > > Have you ever noticed that Hollywood never gets anything about any
> > radio
> > > correct?
> > > With the possible exception of "Sink The Bismark", where a "spy"
> > simply sent a
> > > CW message every other instance is unlikley at best.
> > > Die Hard #1...What are the chances that the "bad guys" would
operate
> > on teh
> > > same frequency as the local pd. Or even "The Man From U.N.C.L.E"'s
> > little ink
> > > pen transceiver. Yea, open channel D please. Just what type
> batteries
> > fit in
> > > it and what frequency did it operate on so that no matter where
our
> > guys
> > > where, from the middle of a desert to the bottom of a mine shaft
> they
> > could
> > > reach HQ.
> > > As a general rule, everything TV or HW produces with a radio is
> > garbage.
> > > But then almost everything they produce is garbage.
> > >
> > >
> > Totally incorrect....I remember several Disney cartoons that had
some

> > accurate CW... hardy har har.
> >
> > Oh, and yes Hollywood things we are idiots.
>
> You mean "thinks," don't you, dropout?
>
> > There all
> > Demoncrats....and they THINK they have a handle on it.....WRONG!!
> >
>
> At LEAST Democrats have a handle on the English language..you can't
say
> the same, MORON.
>
> --
> 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> Yaesu FT-90R
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>
I know Roger, my poor ole fingers keep slipping, and my brain is so
drugged up with presicriptions that I just cant cope any longer.

BUt you know something ?? I don't get on here and spew filthy bullcrap
every single day like a juvenile delinquent trying to find a 'nich'.

Take a vote Roger and see who they want out of here. Shall I call you
Al?

Dan/W4NTI

--
"Just tellin the truth, and they cain't stand it."

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2232. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 21:45:36 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10012132144560.30105-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 63464
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Wed, 13 Dec 2000, Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay) wrote:
> Cecil wrote:
> > "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" wrote:
> > > No, I wear activated charcoal underwear!! It was that fat lady
> > > three rows back with that sh!t eating grin on her face.
> >
> > Oh, the same one who sang when the U.S.Supreme Court decision
> > was handed down?
>
> I stopped paying attention to that 3 weeks ago. The TV news should
> have called it "Indecision 2000".

And that's exactly what NBC news called it the day after the election.
Evidentally, you weren't paying attention to it then either - or to the fact
that this is being posted to RADIO-related newsgroups, not political ones.

2233. Re: why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 21:48:41 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <918qtipsl1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 63467

What you were seeing was sufficient input from the antenna to trigger the keying relay, as soon as the relay picks up the signal is gone and it unkeys to once again repeat the cycle. Because it has no drive it generates a heck of a lot of RF noise.

"Mike Yetsko" <joe@user.com> wrote in message news:t3ejnqqrq509ff@corp.supernews.com...
> I built a 2M amp one time that had 1W in from my
> Kenwood TR-2400 and had 160W out.
>
> One day there was an accident, and I ended up parked
> next to the firetruck. Everytime the truck tried to transmit
> my amp would click-click-click... And it was wiping
> out everything around, and the fire base couldn't make
> out what the truck guy was saying.
>
> You COULD be getting interference from something
> 'outside' the CB system into the amp. It could be picking
> up hash from a vehicle computer and amplifying it.
>
> Sounds like a crappy amp. I'd dump it. Seriously, it's
> either got something wrong or is a really bad design.
> You may find an 'external' cause, but even still, the
> amp shouldn't be doing this.
>
> Just remember, you only have so much power (and I'm
> NOT referring to a government limit). If your amp is
> wasting it's resources on power out of band, that's just
> even less you have in band where you want it.
>
> CLEAN power should always be an issue!
>
> It could even be the amp itself, oscillating because it's
> unstable. Hey, RS had problems with one of their TV
> amps a few years ago. If a customer bought the 300
> ohm version, and used unshielded 300 ohm twinlead,
> and 'taped' the input and output leads together (to make
> the wires look neat up at the antenna (I know, I know,
> but some customers did!)) the coupling between the
> cables could cause the amp to oscillate, and the
> antenna now became a beam transmitter that could
> cause interference for miles...
>
> Besides not working worth a glob of snot.

>
> Mike
>
> picloud <A@A.com> wrote in message
news:t3cv9pmogncsad@corp.supernews.com...
> > Hey, I plugged my radio into a 100 watt amp and when I drive through
> certain
> > neighborhoods the garage doors start opening. I left my radio on while
I
> > was having a couple of drinks and the TV in the bar had a lot of
> > interference. I went out to my 18 and shut the radio off and the TV was
> > fine after that. Since I wasn't broadcasting, this makes no sense to
me.
> I
> > am not an E-freak, however, anybody got an answer?
> >
> > --
> > Cheers,
> >
> > piCloud
> >
> >
>
>

2234. Re: CB interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 22:03:49 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24025-3A37F245-33@storefull-125.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63470

Well, hell Sparky! Everyone knows I'm in the land of sand! Our laws are extremely similar to TX. from what I understand, and you can cite all the federal propaganda you want! If you have reasonable 'cause to believe you or your property is in IMMEDIATE danger, or feel your life is threatened in any way, you can shoot. Matter of fact, you can walk around with a pistol on your hip in public, as log as it's not concealed. Yea, we got some good laws and it keeps the crime down..if only them boys in Miami could figure out that everyone is packing, there would be way less that assumed room temperature. Justice is carried out differently in different states..it's the INTERPRETATION of justice by the court that makes it such.

2235. Re: Davemade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 22:13:22 UTC
From: jvillafane@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001213171322.25973.00001917@ng-mf1.aol.com>
Source record: 63469

yes bladerunner from ct

2236. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 22:22:49 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A369738.413DBC54@some.net>
Source record: 63471

That proves you don't know what you are talking about, SWR on the transmission line or radiating the signal from the transmission line *does not* generate harmonics.

Sparky wrote:

>
> I might point out that it is usually better not to radiate your signal
> from the transmission line because harmonics are easily generated and
> your signal is wasted.

2237. Re: Is These Prices Too High on a Davemade or what ? Look>>> PricesBelow.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 22:24:18 UTC
From: Mod Squad <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <mGSZ5.3$Z36.489@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 63472

thats because his 8pill takes out other 16pills

"Miles Davis" <MDD327@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:917fvhlet1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net...
> You Davemade guys need to have a talk with Dave. A little bit of success
is
> going to his head. $13,000.00 is way too much for a 16-pill!
ROTFLMAO!!!!!!
> "West Side" <CreoleCat@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:18076-3A3721F8-15@storefull-232.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> > Davemade M200 3 pill $245=Davemade M400 5 pill $335=Davemade M400se 2x4
> > pill $365,Davemade 800 8 pill $635,Davemade m800 2x8 $725,Davemade 16
> > pill $1,3000.00
> > I am comparing the prices to the builders here in california.What you
> > think does it really matter about the price or is Davemade the best amp
> > you can buy for watts and quality for such a high price.
> >
>
>

2238. Re: MOVIE: Vertical Limit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 22:31:17 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <918tbl$dr2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

In article <918q5laso1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
> In article <918irh$3u9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > In article <916hf7$el6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > > In article <916ernqmv1@news.uky.edu>,
> > > IT is not a real name!!! wrote:
> > > > In article <91634s01jq@enews2.newsguy.com>, tlbryant@my-deja.com
> > > says...
> > > > >
> > > > >It seems that there are a few Hollywood screenwriters that are
> hams
> > > trying to
> > > > >hang on to the past.
> > > > >
> > > > snip
> > > > Have you ever noticed that Hollywood never gets anything about
any
> > > radio
> > > > correct?
> > > > With the possible exception of "Sink The Bismark", where a "spy"
> > > simply sent a
> > > > CW message every other instance is unlikley at best.
> > > > Die Hard #1...What are the chances that the "bad guys" would
> operate
> > > on teh
> > > > same frequency as the local pd. Or even "The Man From
U.N.C.L.E"'s
> > > little ink
> > > > pen transceiver. Yea, open channel D please. Just what type
> > batteries
> > > fit in
> > > > it and what frequency did it operate on so that no matter where
> our
> > > guys
> > > > where, from the middle of a desert to the bottom of a mine shaft
> > they
> > > could
> > > > reach HQ.
> > > > As a general rule, everything TV or HW produces with a radio is
> > > garbage.
> > > > But then almost everything they produce is garbage.

> > > >
> > > >
> > > Totally incorrect....I remember several Disney cartoons that had
> some
> > > accurate CW... hardy har har.
> > >
> > > Oh, and yes Hollywood things we are idiots.
> >
> > You mean "thinks," don't you, dropout?
> >
> > > There all
> > > Demoncrats....and they THINK they have a handle on it.....WRONG!!
> > >
> >
> > At LEAST Democrats have a handle on the English language..you can't
> say
> > the same, MORON.
> >
> I know Roger, my poor ole fingers keep slipping, and my brain is so
> drugged up with presicriptions that I just cant cope any longer.

Admitting the drug abuse, are you, RACIST?

> BUt you know something ?? I don't get on here and spew filthy
bullcrap
> every single day like a juvenile delinquent trying to find a 'nich'.

Sure you do. Plus, your "a-1" operation consists of telling somebody
to "go to hell" on the air, just because you disagree with them.

> Take a vote Roger and see who they want out of here.

the internet is not a DEMOCRACY, Dumbass Dan. You right-wingers THRIVE
on denying others their rights of free speech, but are quite vocal
about expressing theirs. Pretty soon, you'll be goosestepping up at the
so-called "liberty net."

> Shall I call you Al?

Al? Oh, you mean the guy who tried to LEGITIMATELY get voted into
office. Hell, FUCKHEAD, I could care less what you call me. Now, get
back to felching your dead mommy.

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2239. Re: How to be a UnaBasher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 22:32:57 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <918tep$ds0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63474

In article <3A37D4E3.16D86FA9@hotmail.com>,
"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>
> KC8JBO wrote:
> >
> > In article <3A379BF9.BC9034CC@hotmail.com>,
> > "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > Some of you flamers may learn something from this.
> >
> > Yeah, that child raper "Hands" has his head up his ample ASS.
>
> You need to go back to RRAM. I don't respond to you over there.

Well, BREAKER 1-9, this is UNIT 9 calling Unit 69.... How's that cber?

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2240. Re: How to be a UnaBasher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 22:33:36 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <918tg0dsf1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63476

In article <3A37D7B0.D6E91DBD@hotmail.com>,
"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>
> > KC8JBO wrote:
>
> > > Yeah, that child raper "Hands" has his head up his ample ASS.
>
> You sure did cancel your post quick. Too late, I saw it and
> quoted it for all to see.
>

I didn't cancel anything DUMBASS.
--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2241. For Mark - Re: Vertical Limit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 22:34:41 UTC
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <918ti10af7@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 63482
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Mark,

If you'd bothered to check QRZ before making the statement that I'm too lazy to learn the code, you'd have noted that the date on my license is February 26, 1997, and that it's an Extra class license. The code testing requirement at that time was still 20 WPM, and I still think that code testing at any speed above 5 WPM is a worthless hazing ritual.

Yes, the use of morse code in the movie, in that given situation, was appropriate. However, a movie is not reality. In reality, the odds of such a situation happening are about the same as the odds of the San Diego Chargers making it to the Super Bowl this year.

Anyone who finds old Road Runner cartoons entertaining, especially the ones where Wile E. Coyote is always falling off of a cliff, will enjoy Vertical Limit.

Tom - N8ECW

2242. Re: Mark Fuller Archives?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 22:37:20 UTC
From: 607 <UNIT607@WORLDWIDE-DX.MYBRUTHAAAH>
Message-ID: <ASSZ5.150$Tq1.106517@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63473

Where did you find archives with Mark Fuller's postings?

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:918mus$7u9$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <2ZMZ5.5082$925.254966@news.direcpc.com>,
> "Stervarino" <captain@navy.org> wrote:
> > I guess Mark has gotten bored over the last year so he's returned to
> finish
> > what he started a year ago only this time with a new name.
> >
> > Snipped from the posting "ILLEGAL CB" dated 12/07/00 written by
> > aaronvoobner@my-deja.com <aaronvoobner@my-deja.com>
> >
> > >Honest debate in the tradition of Mark Fuller should be encouraged.
> It's
> > your
> > >choice. It's your band. You can clean it up or continue to allow
> other
> > people to soil
> > >it.
> >
> > Only Mark Fuller himself would be arrogant and egotistical enough to
> > actually believe that he himself previously created some sort of
> tradition.
> > Hey Mark, its a tradition in your own mind. Do you actually think
> they
> are
> > going to write a book about you when this is over? After you lose your
> > battles here on this NG are you going to call all of our employers and
> tell
> > them we are using the company email system for personal recreation
> like you
> > did to Dennis last year?
> >
> > Half of your postings here on this NG contain blatant lies because we
> both
> > know that you already have an amateur radio license so why dont you
> stop
> > this BS charrade.....................................
> >
> >
>
>
> Hello Sterve,

>
> I'm so gratified that you researched all my posts. I'm flattered to
> think that there are teams of people working on "uncovering" or
> "exposing" me. But honestly, all you'll find is Aaron Harold Voobner in
> there, nothing more.
>
> No I'm not Mark Fuller. I read the Usnet archive and ran across Mark's
> posts and became curious. I agree with many of his points, especially
> about the detrimental effects of CB amplifiers and the criminal focus of
> many CBers devoted to freebanding, etc. What surprised me is the depth
> of reaction to his opinions and the inability of inarticulate cretins to
> defend their ilegality or do any more than hurl profanities at him.
>
> Which brings us to me, and this newsgroup.
>
> Sterve, I wonder if you can help me out here, something is really
> puzzling me.
>
> I think people should operate their CB radios legally. That's my
> philosophy in a nutshell.
>
> Why this concept should provoke such an extremely violent reaction in
> rec.radio.cb I cannot for the life of me understand.
>
> I notice people are upset that there is too much "legality" in the
> newsgroup. They want the focus back on "illegality". They get extremely
> cranky if you mention the top five illegal amp-makers in any context
> that might prove risky to them. Some people don't want the black-market
> system to change. Maybe these are the people that are profiting from
> illegal CB. That's just a guess.
>
> Mark challenged people to act responsibly. What's so UPSETTING to you
> about that? He said, "if you don't like the CB rules, get them
> changed". It is possible. That's the way responsible people go about
> getting things done. But no. Instead you guys would prefer to slink
> around in alleyways wearing "Born To Lose" tattoos, acting like "bad
> dudes", romanticizing your incorrigibility.
>
> Lastly, I didn't know Mark was a ham. I don't see any Mark Fuller listed
> in the ham databases, QRZ, etc. If you have Mark's callsign, please
> provide it here. I'd like to look him up.
>
> Sincerely,
> Aaron H. Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2243. Re: illegal who really cares

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 22:39:22 UTC
From: fingerbang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <918tqp$e1i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63478

In article <20001213114308.04485.00000489@ng-cn1.aol.com>,
n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> If an illegal really cared he wouldn't be illegal.
>
Well, now we know that you really don't want to debate the issue.
All you want is to stir up the shit. There were quite a few well said
answers posted here and all you say is " If an illegal really cared he
wouldn't be illegal." That just proves that you don't give a damm about
whether any law is broken or not, all you want to do is piss everyone
off. If you wanted to debate the issue you would, but you don't.

FiNgErBaNg

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2244. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 22:48:45 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A37FCCD.3F5A3579@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63477
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"D. Stussy" wrote:
>
> On Wed, 13 Dec 2000, Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay) wrote:
> > Cecil wrote:
> > > "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" wrote:
> > > > No, I wear activated charcoal underwear!! It was that fat lady
> > > > three rows back with that sh!t eating grin on her face.
> > >
> > > Oh, the same one who sang when the U.S.Supreme Court decision
> > > was handed down?
> >
> > I stopped paying attention to that 3 weeks ago. The TV news should
> > have called it "Indecision 2000".
>
> And that's exactly what NBC news called it the day after the election.
> Evidentally, you weren't paying attention to it then either - or to the fact
> that this is being posted to RADIO-related newsgroups, not political ones.

Well I watch very little TV. BTW, my computer is on RRCB. Damn crossposts.

2245. Re: illegal who really cares

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 23:03:08 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001213180308.00455.00000034@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 63479

fingerhiznose@my-deja.com, reflecting the childish position of the trailer trash, said:

>all you say is " If an illegal really cared he
>wouldn't be illegal." That just proves that you don't give a damm about
>whether any law is broken or not, all you want to do is piss everyone
>off. If you wanted to debate the issue you would, but you don't.
>
N8wwm sliced to the heart of the issue with:
There is no debating the issue. Illegal is Illegal. Period.

2246. Re: Clarification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 23:03:25 UTC
From: fingerbang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <918v7o$fd6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63480

```
In article <3A36BC5F.3158@bellsouth.net>,
joxendi@bellsouth.net wrote:
> I will respond to some of your points. Please, fellas, don't get mad
> because what I say is my own opinion and not meant to flame, heckle,
or
> harass anyone.
>
> >
> > To justify the means? What justification does a person need to
explain in
> > his or her activities? To run illegal CB radio equipment is that
> > individuals "CHOICE."
>
> Indeed. But one should be aware of and take responsibility FOR his
> actions.
>

>
> Most respectful 73,
>
> Jerry
```

Hi Jerry,
Though I may not agree with you, a point is brought up..... "Taking responsibility for his actions."
One must take responsibility for his action, no matter what the situation is. My point is if I break the laws on CB, it really isn't any worse than a vehicle code law being broken. You can drive drunk hit a van head on and kill 15 people, you must be ready to take responsibility. The same goes for operating out of band or with a linear, you must be ready to take responsibility. I speed, I am responsible, I pay the fine and decide if I will do it again. I just don't understand people like Dale or Aaron out here, like it is some form of Holy Crusade. At least when talking with you there can be discussion about it.

```
fInGeRbAnG

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/
```

2247. Did you get what you paid for 13?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 23:14:17 UTC
From: 308birdman@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <918vs2$fv5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63481

In article <20001213083209.04095.00001737@ng-mh1.aol.com>,
maul13@aol.com (Maul13) wrote:
> I think you are wright... A lot of people just don't get it......
You get
> only what you pay for......
>
Or maybe you need to borrow my X-Force so you can make the Watergate
next time. Or my Davemade, or my Cracka' -- It's not the type of
amplifier you run! I mean there is no real secret to the DC amplifier.
It's setup, & antenna!

The Man in the Dodge Ram

See you next year,13
Oh, yeah, my bind squirrel found another nut! hehe
One, One, One, One......

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2248. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 23:21:01 UTC
From: fingerbang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91908mgdc1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63483

In article
<1E4B56A51C9CF9BD.AA0D45AAA93E266E.2372EE494A872587@lp.airnews.net>,
Chas <eat@joes.com> wrote:
> It's not ok.
> Hams with there ticket at stake are more likely to clean it up when
> asked. A radio bleeding is a radio bleeding. I don't care who the
> offender is.
> Instead of defending your use of illegal CB power I was hoping the
> group would have tips on filtering either on my end or the offenders.
> After looking at the posts here I see that doesn't happen. So I just
> complained.
>
> You hams and CBers can argue till the cows come home. Instead you
> should teach each other how to fix these RFI problems that hurt both
> hobbyist.
>
> This has gotten me nowhere so I will leave your newsgroup.
>
> Bye
> Chas
>
> On Wed, 13 Dec 2000 01:44:22 GMT, dickie_g_rosenfelt@my-deja.com
> wrote:
>
> >You know a lot of ham guy's radios bleed on their neighbor's stuff,
> >too. Why is it ok for them to do it and not us?
> >
> >
> >Sent via Deja.com
> >http://www.deja.com/
>
>

Hello Chas,
Sorry to see you leave with that attitude. But I will say it was never
this way Before. All these people came out of the woodwork and started
screaming ‘Witch CB‘r‘ and now when someone does complain they take it
that way.
One other thing you might try is putting .008 caps between the
positive & negative of the speaker and see it that reduces the problem
a little.
Good Luck,

fInGeRbAnG

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2249. Re: Davemade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 23:26:12 UTC
From: 308birdman@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <9190idgid1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63485

I run them all. If it was my money, I'd have to buy a Dave. Look at what you did at the 1 break you attended. You won the 2 pill on a 12 volt system! You got to admit that's pretty amazing, considering what you were up against! Don't know what you've done since you went with No Name, but I hope its been nothing but good.
Take care 667, hope to see ya round the band!

The Man in the Dodge Ram

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2250. Re: Davemade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 23:28:51 UTC
From: 308birdman@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <9190nb$gk1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63484

You're the last duck on my list & all I have is time.

Merry X-mas see you next year.

The Man in the Dodge Ram

>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2251. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 23:34:45 UTC
From: RanHefner <ranhefner@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <91912lgvi1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63486

In article <914ev4$1kk$1@news.kolumbus.fi>,
"oh8mfh" <oh8mfh@hotmail.com> wrote:
> The solution: take the neighbor's name and address and complain about
the
> interference. That simple. Gary
>
>

I guess the needle in the coax solution is out?!
--
Randy A. Hefner
http://talk.to/frs
http://go.to/qx3

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2252. Wanted will trade Comstat 35 scehmetic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 23:42:23 UTC
From: Joe <joeamp@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9191fl$r2i$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 63487

I want to do up a Comstat 35 I just picket up need schematic, I have Sams CB-20 with the (25A) to scan for trade

2253. Re: rec.radio.ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 23:46:34 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <uTTZ5.145172$U46.4738063@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 63488

Why not rec.radio.cb.legal - why does it have to be illegal?

YOU can go to the legal one and we will stay here like we have for the years past

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:9143slfef1@nnrp1.deja.com...
In article <n3gZ5.558$uI1.179291@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
"Illegal CB Op's 4 ever" <ismellass@blowme.com> wrote:
> if rec.radio.illegal was a usenet would you frequent there as well?

I have stated before that if you can successfully petition UseNet to create "rec.radio.illegal" or "rec.radio.cb.illegal" I will not set foot in it.

Aaron Voobner, FLRC

Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
Before you buy.

2254. Re: Illegals Complain About Being Mistreated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 23:49:44 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <sWTZ5.145174$U46.4738647@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 63489

Now Steve, a login hex code? Get real!

"Stervarino" <captain@navy.org> wrote in message
news:2ApZ5.5020$925.250044@news.direcpc.com...

> I do not have my ticket yet but hope to very soon.
>
> I see you're desperately looking for info on me.

Aaron believe me no one here is that desperate. I am part owner of an ISP. Within seconds I can perform a TraceRT which would tell me you real IS provider and your individual logon hex code, which you are not worth the time. It was you, in a previous posting that directed the group to QRZ.COM. It was also you, who, in a previous posting, stated that personal threats would not be tolerated. Yet you are demonstrating, with some sort of immature coat-tailing, a non viable attempt to intimidate people via newsgroup threads. You are in fact facilitating the same threats that you've previously posted that you are against, only they are in a disguised form. No-one here really cares or is intimidated by your tactics. All you are doing is eating up NG bandwidth.

BTW stop timeing out the repeater.

2255. Re: Yet another antenna myth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 23:50:26 UTC
From: glc1173@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001213185026.23873.00004608@ng-fs1.aol.com>
Source record: 63490
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Scott quoted me:
>> Get a GOOD antenna with the SWR as >>low as possible - and don't settle
until
>> SWR is under 1.5 to 1. You'll get much >>more results for much less cash -
>>and
>> you'll even be amazed at improved >>reception.

and replied:
>So you're saying if my SWR is 1.5:1, I'll >get out better by
>making my SWR lower.

The gains will probably be small after you're down to 1.5:1 - but from my
own experience, I can tell you that the performance increase from getting down
from 2.5:1 to 1.2:1 is ENORMOUS.
More ways to fight
Algore's coup - and to screw Blue Nation!
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Dissident news - plus immigration, gun rights, nationwide weather
Al Gore - in his own words
ALAMANCE INDEPENDENT:
official newspaper of the Vast Right Wing Conspiracy
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

2256. Re: ILLEGAL!!!!!!!!!!!937

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 23:51:24 UTC
From: CJ <CJ926@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3A380B12.B04DA9E0@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63491

937 can't talk when Caliber 45 have the hammer down!

TJ1072 wrote:
>
> >hey woo woo, the only reason you get out is because the head sled is no
> >where near your location,...comode, comode,.......cd is out......
> >
> >
>
> Oh hell yea....thats why i get out....no head sleds over
> here....woooohoooo....whats up danny???...where ya been...i missed
> ya...actually im not gonna give ya shit...its the holiday season...ill send a
> friendly wave your way this time....happy holidays to you and yours cd....
>
> 937....chicagos meanist mud-duck!!!!!!...LOL

2257. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 23:54:19 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
Message-ID: <3A380BC5.BB4085DF@home.com>
Source record: 63492
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

dickie_g_rosenfelt@my-deja.com wrote:

> You know a lot of ham guy's radios bleed on their neighbor's stuff,
> too. Why is it ok for them to do it and not us?
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

It all gets back to the rules regulating Part 15 devices. If the licensed station is operating within legal guidelines, then the Part 15 device must tolerate, or perhaps more accurately, the owner of the Part 15 device must tolerate the nuisance. There was a research study some years ago which evaluated interference complaints, and a good many of them came from 4 watt CB stations who were operating cleanly and within legal guidelines. That didn't mean that something was wrong with the station--quite to the contrary. The Part 15 device was defective. Try to convince your neighbor of this though.

2258. Re: Davemade IS ILLEGAL STUFF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-13 23:58:06 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001213185806.26536.00004577@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 63493

>Davemade

ILLEGAL AMPLIFIERS

2259. Re: Mark Fuller Archives?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 00:03:01 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <9192ngiet1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63494

In article <ASSZ5.150$Tq1.106517@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
"607" <UNIT607@WORLDWIDE-DX.MYBRUTHAAAH> wrote:
> Where did you find archives with Mark Fuller's postings?
>

Deja has archives of rec.radio.cb going way back. You need to learn how to perform complex searches.

Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2260. The real Mark will be upset...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 00:15:44 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <9193fa$j28$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63699

I was wrong. QRZ turns up THREE Mark Fullers as hams.

Wait till they find out that you geniuses are posting erroneous information about them. How would you feel if someone was using your name in a public forum in a derogatory manner? I know I'd be rather concerned.

Aaron

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2261. Re: ILLEGAL!!!!!!!!!!!937

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 00:17:50 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <9193j7$j3t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63698

In article <20001213150306.07533.00010395@ng-cs1.aol.com>,
tj1072@aol.com (TJ1072) wrote:
> P.S. CD...Why would a little x-force guy like me have you so fired up
all the
> time that you would want to drop the hammer on me so bad???? Just
wondering.
>

well you pissed me off when i visited your fair city. you said you
would come over and shine my boots boy.....i waited for a couple of
hours on the curb over at the ritz and you were a johnny no show, so i
had to catch a cab over to the keydown, just pissed me off royally,
comode, comode,......cd is out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2262. Re: Fear of Mark Fuller?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 00:20:47 UTC
From: fab5freddy <fab5@earthlair.com>
Message-ID: <znUZ5.422$gT4.139186@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63496

aaron, you did more than mention the name of amp makers you involved and named innocent people in your quest to be a asshole. perhaps your name could be posted on another NG citing you are a pedophile or better yet involved in some other illegal activitie that would warrant some type of investigation in your life warranted or not. See how you like it. Where did you say you were from aaron ? texas?

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:918mus$7u9

>They get extremely
> cranky if you mention the top five illegal amp-makers in any context
> that might prove risky to them. Some people don't want the black-market
> system to change. Maybe these are the people that are profiting from
> illegal CB. That's just a guess.

2263. Re: Davemade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 00:22:07 UTC
From: fab5freddy <fab5@earthlair.com>
Message-ID: <PoUZ5.424$gT4.139132@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63495

no way no mudduck beats me with a 12 volt system and a bullshit antenna system.

<308birdman@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:9190idgid1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> I run them all. If it was my money, I'd have to buy a Dave. Look at
> what you did at the 1 break you attended. You won the 2 pill on a 12
> volt system! You got to admit that's pretty amazing, considering what
> you were up against! Don't know what you've done since you went with No
> Name, but I hope its been nothing but good.
> Take care 667, hope to see ya round the band!
>
> The Man in the Dodge Ram
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2264. Aaron On Hiatus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 00:24:02 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <9193uqjfv1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63700

I'll be out of town until the 19th.

So you guys are free to scream and shout with joy about how wonderful it is to be illegal, how unfair the rules are, how big and powerful your amp is, how no one can stop you on the freeband, etc.

Enjoy. See you when I get back.

Aaron H. Voobner

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2265. Re: Why Tech's complain about ILLEGAL CB amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 00:25:35 UTC
From: Miles Davis <MDD327@AOL.COM>
Message-ID: <91962t$qq3$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
Source record: 63497

The part that you don't seem to realize is, most CBers can see by these silly ass statements that getting a ticket will only result in subjecting themselves to more of the same. I am more than capable of passing the exams, I've proved that to myself. The time and work I've put into my equipment proves I'm not Lazy. It is by choice I will not seek an endorsement by the FCC nor the ARRL that will open the door to more complainers. I'm not making this up, just look at this group. I think this group has become a fair representation of what it is like using the amateur bands wouldn't you?

.....ain't taking a damn thing back!

Miles Davis

Sparky <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message
news:3a35dcba.1197035@news.cet.com...
> On 12 Dec 2000 02:01:45 GMT, "CitySlicker"
> <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net> wrote:
>
> >Maybe because they are out of date. 4 watt radios may have been fine when
> >Christ wore sandals. But the needs are different today.
>
> I have never found the need for any more than the legal limit. If
> anybody needs more than that, they are not using the band for what it
> was intended for, and they should think about getting their ham
> liscense. Unfortunately, too many operators are either too lazy or too
> stupid to do that. I'm still waiting (in another post) for any valid
> justification that proves otherwise.
>
> >If the regs were
> >changed to allow higher power it might just create a stimulus to the
> >industry to provide better cleaner gear instead of gray market imports
and
> >old chassis designs that the techs can soup up and the radio still has
its
> >type accepted sticker.
>
> Agreed. But I also think that allowing higher power would send up a
> flag for the illegals to run even more power in order to step on the
> legals.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

2266. Re: Davemade IS ILLEGAL STUFF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 00:27:27 UTC
From: MR123IL@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26625-3A3813EF-34@storefull-291.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63711

SO!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

DO YOU NEED ONE I CAN GET IT?

2267. Re: Why is it that Tech's are the biggest complainers about CB amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 00:30:19 UTC
From: Miles Davis <MDD327@AOL.COM>
Message-ID: <9196bpqqm1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
Source record: 63701

Hey man, this is insanity!

--
.......ain't taking a damn thing back!

Miles Davis
G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:914nvp$bp76$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> U tell em Miles......
>
> KRS
>
> Miles Davis <MDD327@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:914bf7$a8v$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net...
> > Would you say that you complain less because you have more options? I do
> not
> > have a amateur ticket, and I don't complain at all. This by no means
makes
> > me better than the next guy. Over the years I've been able to realize
that
> I
> > have options. I can go to another frequency, change antenna directions,
> wait
> > my turn or turn the damn thing off. I live in an area that has a host of
> > issues that can prevent communications. We even have a preacher that
> > basically says "If you ain't talking about JESUS, you ain't talking!" We
> > used to fight for hours. The on going joke was I'd make him stop talking
> > about JESUS and start talking about me. Since then I found out that
JESUS
> > was pretty cool so I had to find something else to do. To this day I can
> ask
> > him to stand by so that I can make my call and my contact and I will go
to
> > another frequency.
> >
> > I will be the first to admit that I don't use CB the way it was intended
> to
> > be used. However, I do not throw dead carriers, play music, ghost talk
or
> > plug ears. It's not my style. Neither is complaining. I just don't think
> is
> > as effective as using one of my many options.
> >
> > --
> > ....ain't taking a damn thing back!

> >
> > Miles Davis
> > Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com> wrote in message
> > news:3A357D31.BED90448@home.com...
> > > More techs complain because there are proportionately more techs than
> > other
> > > classes. I complain too, but I'm an extra, so I complain less than the
> > techs.
> > >
> > > Stervarino wrote:
> > >
> > > > Technician class operators are at the bottom rung of the amateur
> license
> > > > scale and they most likely have some radio roots to CB in the past.
> Why
> > is
> > > > it that every couple of years some of these Techs are compelled to
> come
> > to
> > > > this NG and attempt to enforce some law by starting an ill conceived
> > > > enforcement campaign?
> > > >
> > > > Wouldnt their time be better spent learning more about amateur radio
> and
> > > > accelling up their own license classes?
> > > >
> > > > If you search the past NG posting's you''ll find that 90% of the CB
NG
> > > > flamers are Technician class license holders. Its painfully obvious
> that
> > the
> > > > higher class license holders dont consider CB amps a great threat
> > because
> > > > they either dont care or they dont have the time. What does this
tell
> > you?
> > >
> >
>
>

2268. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 00:30:23 UTC
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <9194avjtc1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63706
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

In article <90rfql$37g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
n2ey@aol.com wrote:
> In article <90nsqm$5bn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > In article <%5DX5.18621$sS2.367868@typhoon.austin.rr.com>,
> > "Rick Gilliam" <tigwelder@austin.rr.com> wrote:
> > > yea no shit...I had to take a 20 wpm cw test to get my extra class
> > license
> > > now you can get one with just 5 WPM why even bother that means
> you
> > just
> > > have to learn the chatacters
> >
> > Which is "a knowledge of Morse" as required by ITU.
>
> Exactly. And that's all it is - a basic knowledge.

Right again. Never did it say to have multiple license classes based
upon ever faster morse examinations.

> > > and pass the written tests
> >
> > Gosh, a 5wpm test and a written exam -was- the original amateur exam
> > back in 1918.
>
> Yup - but the written test was somewhat different!

Diagram a cat whicker?

> And in 1919 the test speed was doubled to 10 wpm.

Ohmygawd! When morse was highly relevant it was doubled all the way to
10wpm which is where most people get stuck at when trying to get to
13wpm. Perhaps there was a valid reason for selecting 10wpm.

> > If it was good enough back then when morse was a valid
> > means of encoding information, its more than good enough now.
>
> When did Morse stop being "a valid means of encoding information"?
From
> what I hear and do on the ham bands, it's still in wide use and
> quite "valid".

Only because hams were forced to learn it. Amateur radio has become an
anachronism.

> > Don't
> > forget, youse guys whined and complained about the shift from spark
to
> > cw as well.
>
> That shift happened more than 75 years ago. About 30 years before I
was
> even born.

Fair enough. Would you have whined about it if you could have?

> And it happened voluntarily. Tube transmitters gave results that were
> so much better than spark rigs of the same cost, and used up so much
> less spectrum, that it was a done deal by 1924.

We need more done deals like that. It's much less traumatic than the
gradual "weaning" from code that is currently stressing the service.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2269. Re: Davemade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 00:31:44 UTC
From: MR123IL@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26627-3A3814F0-7@storefull-291.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63710

I CAN WITH JUNK PARTS IF I HAD TO!!!!

2270. Re: 3's and 8's from Georgia 231

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 00:35:10 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <freak'Onature@aola.com>
Message-ID: <2BUZ5.206$Tq1.151058@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63702

oh Curious One your squared circle friend agrees with you

bigpoppapump I am your hookup

<curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:918lk8
> is it about this thing called cb radio, my , my. all these hamster
> nazis bragging about their abilities to go anywhere and talk all over
> the world and do it legally, but seemingly having this preoccupation
> with 11 meters for some strange reason.

2271. Re: Why is it that Tech's are the biggest complainers about CBamps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 00:35:45 UTC
From: Miles Davis <MDD327@AOL.COM>
Message-ID: <9196vc$qt0$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
Source record: 63707

Hey 'Head, you think this is some kind of Fraternity hazing thing? You no,
First, you have to go get beat up by the CBers.

--
.......ain't taking a damn thing back!

Miles Davis
<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:2247-3A3790A0-21@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> As I said to Richard, Bill, I can only go by what I see here. And it is
> the exact opposite of what you describe. Maybe I'm in hammie hell here
> and don't even know it, except for the occasional bleed.
>

2272. Re: MOVIE: Vertical Limit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 00:36:57 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A36B68C.BCF7AEED@some.net>
Source record: 63703
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Lets see, Gore and the demodummies demanded a recount and Bush won the recount, so they wanted another and Bush won that one too. So they demanded a hand recount of only counties that would favor Gore and still Bush was winning so they wanted recount again and change the rules to count dimple chads (no votes) to count for Gore and throw out the overseas ballots that would favor Bush. In other words, Gore wanted keep recounting and changing the rules in hopes that he could somehow come up with the votes he needed to steal the election Yep that was a "legitimate" try to get voted into office.

If you had not included your ham call I would swear by the language you use you were just a cber.

KC8JBO wrote:

>
> Al? Oh, you mean the guy who tried to LEGITIMATELY get voted into
> office. Hell, FUCKHEAD, I could care less what you call me. Now, get
> back to felching your dead mommy.
>
> --
> 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
> Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> Yaesu FT-90R
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2273. Re: illegal who really cares

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 00:37:03 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <freak'Onature@aola.com>
Message-ID: <PCUZ5.209$Tq1.151717@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63704

your right why not cut to the chase an asshole is an asshole and n8wwm is an asshole.

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20001213180308.00455.00000034@ng-fw1.aol.com...
>
> fingerhiznose@my-deja.com, reflecting the childish position of the trailer
> trash, said:
>
> >all you say is " If an illegal really cared he
> >wouldn't be illegal." That just proves that you don't give a damm about
> >whether any law is broken or not, all you want to do is piss everyone
> >off. If you wanted to debate the issue you would, but you don't.
> >
> N8wwm sliced to the heart of the issue with:
> There is no debating the issue. Illegal is Illegal. Period.
>
>

2274. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 00:40:05 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g5izoe0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Source record: 63705
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Wed, 13 Dec 2000 23:54:19 GMT, Gary Danaher wrote:

>CB stations who were operating cleanly and within legal guidelines. That
>didn't mean that something was wrong with the station--quite to the
>contrary. The Part 15 device was defective. Try to convince your neighbor of
>this though.

By the numbers:

1. Get for them, or have them get for themselves, the
Interference Handbook from the FCC Call Center (1-888-CALL-FCC)
which has a good explanation of overload and audio
rectification from the consumers' standpoint.

2. Tell them that you will be available to make a reasonable
number of on-the-air tests to help them see if whatever they
try is effective.

3. "Pull the plug" on contacts with them about this until they
ask for an on-the-air test.

4. Do not, under any circumstances, modify or add anything to
their Home Electronics/Entertainment Equipment, but cooperate
with their service tech (by explaining and educating, not doing
repair work) if s/he requests.

5. Do not go "off the air" unless you are NOT in compliance with
the FCC Regs for the service in which you are operating (Amateur,
CB, MURS. GMRS, etc).

73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP
ARRL Volunteer Counsel

2275. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 00:42:44 UTC
From: Dan K Nelson <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <8IUZ5.1596$kW2.299862@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 63709
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Radio Station WGTG" <wgtg@ellijay.com> wrote in message
news:9185qt02hjm@enews3.newsguy.com...
> let me tell you one thing! if YOUR neighbor has RFI from your transmitters
> do nothing! if you do YOU are admitting in court YOU are at fault you
have
> LOST your protection in court! you open your self up to various types
> lawsuits the best thing is do nothing!

That attitude is no doubt why the legislation was passed allowing local
authorities to enforce FCC regulations regarding unlicensed transmitters...

It is not the act of helping, but rather the manner in which you address
your neighbor's complaints that will determine if you give up your
protections or not. However, just blowing them off will surely motivate them
to escalate the matter to other authorities.

73 de W6DKN

2276. Re: Yet another antenna myth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 00:42:56 UTC
From: Miles Davis <MDD327@AOL.COM>
Message-ID: <91973gqte1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
Source record: 63708

Scott! Scott! get off of him. Stop Scott you're going to kill
him...Scottttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttt!

--
.......ain't taking a damn thing back!

Miles Davis
Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay) <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3A37D124.A03C9D49@hotmail.com...
>
>
> GLC1173 wrote:
> >
> > Get a GOOD antenna with the SWR as low as possible - and don't settle
until
> > SWR is under 1.5 to 1. You'll get much more results for much less
cash - and
> > you'll even be amazed at improved reception.
>
> So you're saying if my SWR is 1.5:1, I'll get out better by
> making my SWR lower.
>
> $10,000 question: Is that extra 4% worth unresonating my antenna?
>
> Hint: Resonance is better then 1:1.

2277. Re: DaveMade rumor?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 00:43:31 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91953ikeq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63713

In article <hPKZ5.6124$Oz5.831376@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
"WildBill" <wildbill @hose.com> wrote:
> aaron the part you dont understand is the people you named have
nothing to
> do with the companies you listed. So what you are doing the same
thing
> keeping bad rumours going, all at the risk of hurting innocent people
> because you want to act like Joe Cop check your sources. I guess the
guys
> at davemade and xforce and noname have nothing to worry about since
don't
> even know the correct info about them.

Bill,

Dennis is on record in this newsgroup archives as being the designer of XForce products. Are you telling me he lied?

Also, I mentioned "a guy in New Jersey named Dave" as the proprietor of DaveMade. How is it that I identified anybody?

You guys are WAY too jittery. What's up?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2278. Re: Yet another antenna myth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 00:48:45 UTC
From: Miles Davis <MDD327@AOL.COM>
Message-ID: <9197ed$qv5$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
Source record: 63712
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

This is the part that I don't understand, as much as this stuff costs why
are we checking performance at 2.5:1 instead of working on lowering the swr?

--
.......ain't taking a damn thing back!

Miles Davis
GLC1173 wrote in message
news:20001213185026.23873.00004608@ng-fs1.aol.com...
> Scott quoted me:
> >> Get a GOOD antenna with the SWR as >>low as possible - and don't settle
> until
> >> SWR is under 1.5 to 1. You'll get much >>more results for much less
cash -
> >>and
> >> you'll even be amazed at improved >>reception.
>
> and replied:
> >So you're saying if my SWR is 1.5:1, I'll >get out better by
> >making my SWR lower.
>
> The gains will probably be small after you're down to 1.5:1 - but from
my
> own experience, I can tell you that the performance increase from getting
down
> from 2.5:1 to 1.2:1 is ENORMOUS.
> More ways to
fight
> Algore's coup - and to screw Blue Nation!
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> Dissident news - plus immigration, gun rights, nationwide weather
> Al Gore - in his own words
> ALAMANCE INDEPENDENT:
> official newspaper of the Vast Right Wing Conspiracy
>

2279. Re: MOVIE: Vertical Limit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 00:49:02 UTC
From: Cecil <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3A3818FE.63AFC80C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 63718
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

JR wrote:
>
> Lets see, Gore and the demodummies demanded a recount and Bush won the
> recount, so they wanted another and Bush won that one too. So they demanded
> a hand recount of only counties that would favor Gore and still Bush was
> winning so they wanted recount again and change the rules to count dimple
> chads (no votes) to count for Gore and throw out the overseas ballots that
> would favor Bush. In other words, Gore wanted keep recounting and changing
> the rules in hopes that he could somehow come up with the votes he needed to
> steal the election Yep that was a "legitimate" try to get voted into office.

One of the talk show hosts said it pretty well today. The football game
was in the fourth overtime with Bush leading by one point. Gore had the
ball on the ten yard line. All he had to do was kick a field goal. He
instead chose to run the clock out with two illegal procedure plays.
--
http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

2280. Re: Is The Price Of Getting Caught With An Illegal Davemade Too High?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 00:51:28 UTC
From: Miles Davis <MDD327@AOL.COM>
Message-ID: <9197jg$r00$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
Source record: 63714

I don't know, was he an amateur?

--
.....ain't taking a damn thing back!

Miles Davis
<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:917lhsadv1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <917fvhlet1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>,
> "Miles Davis" <MDD327@AOL.COM> wrote:
> > You Davemade guys need to have a talk with Dave. A little bit of
> success is
> > going to his head. $13,000.00 is way too much for a 16-pill!
> ROTFLMAO!!!!!!
> > "West Side" <CreoleCat@webtv.net> wrote in message
> > news:18076-3A3721F8-15@storefull-232.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> > > Davemade M200 3 pill $245=Davemade M400 5 pill $335=Davemade M400se
> 2x4
> > > pill $365,Davemade 800 8 pill $635,Davemade m800 2x8 $725,Davemade
> 16
> > > pill $1,3000.00
> > > I am comparing the prices to the builders here in california.What
> you
> > > think does it really matter about the price or is Davemade the best
> amp
> > > you can buy for watts and quality for such a high price.
> > >
> >
>
> Guy selling illegal amps in WA state got fined $70,000 by FCC. Is that
> too high a price?
>
> Aaron
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2281. Re: ILLEGAL!!!!!!!!!!!937

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 00:55:14 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001213195514.07521.00007511@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 63715

LOL...I thought i saw you post that you couldn't make it out here...Anyways...i got promoted to bellboy....im gone

2282. Re: ILLEGAL!!!!!!!!!!!937

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 00:57:15 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001213195715.07521.00007513@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 63716

> CJ CJ926@worldnet.att.net
>Date: 12/13/00 5:51 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3A380B12.B04DA9E0@worldnet.att.net>
>
>

>937 can't talk when Caliber 45 have the hammer down!

Thats funny...I don't recall you stopping me yet!!!....Nobody likes a little boy that brings a hammer to maul fight!!!!!..when you hear me out there gimme a shout...ill let ya have some airtime

2283. Re: Mark Fuller Archives?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 01:02:24 UTC
From: Stervarino <captain@navy.org>
Message-ID: <6eVZ5.5100$925.256122@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 63720

> Deja has archives of rec.radio.cb going way back. You need to learn how
> to perform complex searches.
>

Save the BS Mark. The pre 12/99 Deja archives were down until just last week because Deja-news was updating their server and it just so happens I too was trying to research a posting from a different newsgroup just two weeks ago, which I couldnt because the files were unavailable. You can verify this by emailing them directly.

2284. WANTED: Siltronix stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 01:04:13 UTC
From: Bill <grassa@mpinet.net>
Message-ID: <3A381C8C.6A529677@mpinet.net>
Source record: 63717

Need a nice shape Siltronix FD-1011 (The 40 channel printout on the chart on the front only!!). Pay cash and fedex or swap new stuff..

Also Siltronix Speaker , Mic , Meter.....

Bill
http://home.mpinet.net/grassa

2285. Re: The Return of Mark Fuller

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 01:06:07 UTC
From: Stervarino <captain@navy.org>
Message-ID: <9eVZ5.5101$925.256122@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 63721

www.deja.com then click on Search Discussions. At the bottom of the page click on Complete Archive. While you're there look at Fullers misspelled words and then go back and look at Arrons postings. I guess there were no evening English classes in Arizona during the past year.

2286. Re: Aaron On Hiatus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 01:09:21 UTC
From: Stervarino <captain@navy.org>
Message-ID: <beVZ5.5102$925.256122@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 63722

>
> So you guys are free to scream and shout with joy about how wonderful it
> is to be illegal, how unfair the rules are, how big and powerful your
> amp is, how no one can stop you on the freeband, etc.

OK Mark. When you get back make sure you open a new Deja account with a new
name.

2287. Re: Mark Fuller Archives?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 01:11:20 UTC
From: Stervarino <captain@navy.org>
Message-ID: <EgVZ5.5103$925.256376@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 63724

www.deja.com then click on Search Discussions. At the bottom of the page click on Complete Archive. While you're there look at Fullers misspelled words and then go back and look at Arrons postings. I guess there were no evening English classes in Arizona during the past year.

2288. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 01:20:35 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g5j1g64.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Source record: 63723
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 00:42:44 GMT, Dan K Nelson wrote:

>> do nothing! if you do YOU are admitting in court YOU are at fault you
>have
>> LOST your protection in court! you open your self up to various types
>> lawsuits the best thing is do nothing!
>
>That attitude is no doubt why the legislation was passed allowing local
>authorities to enforce FCC regulations regarding unlicensed transmitters...

The legislation was passed because the local authorities in
Beloit, Wisconsin did not like the FCC telling the consumer the
truth: that it was their cheap Home Electronic/Entertainment
Equipment that needed to be upgraded and protected fromoverload
and audio rectification.

They jumped to the conclusion that all would be right in God's
Heaven if they, the locals, could be the radio cops and REALLY
show them (the FCC). They had enough juice to convince Senator
Feingold (D-Wisc) to start the ball rolling on such usurpation
of FCC jurisdiction, and the powers that be inside and outside
of the FCC who could have stopped such hijacking on exclusive
Federal jurisdiction grounds stood around and did nothing.

The authority granted to local governments to pass and enforce
statutes and ordinances about CB radio by the Feingold Bill
says not one word about interference investigation or
resolution. All they are authorized to do (wrongly, in my
professional opinion) is to enforce FCC regulations dealing
with use of non-type-accepted equipment and overpower and
out-of-band operation (as if they know what they are doing, but
that's another matter).

The first local government who passes a statute or ordinance
which confers upon themselves any authority to investigate and
resolve interference complaints in any manner other than as
permitted above or by referring the complainant to the FCC is
setting themselves up for being on the receiving end of a
restraining order in Federal District Court, because the
Federal Courts of Appeal have held for many years that the FCC
has exclusive jurisdiction in matters of interference
resolution.

I'm just itching for that one....

>It is not the act of helping, but rather the manner in which you address
>your neighbor's complaints that will determine if you give up your
>protections or not.

The protection exists - and is enforceable - no matter what
your neighbor thinks about it.....

>However, just blowing them off will surely motivate them
>to escalate the matter to other authorities.

Let the good times roll, baybee....

The only "other authority" that has any jurisdiction is the
FCC and it will give them the same line as detailed above.

73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP
Principal Attorney (retired)
Communications Law Center
San Francisco, CA

2289. Re: Looking for New/Used GrantXL's for a good price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 01:22:32 UTC
From: Ipo Camacho <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t3g7vtj0q1c7ea@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63719

"Mod Squad" <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message
news:VnGZ5.149$L15.528@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com...
>
> Get the uniden grant LT same radio same audio
>
>

UNIT... that's right. You told me that. Lemme see if the guys like 'em...

Ipo a.k.a. ONE37

"... reality is a frame of mind... stupidity is a state of mind."

2290. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 01:36:48 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <91987g$grq@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 63725
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Is there a reason this is being cross posted to the rec.radio.cb? It doesn't have any place here.

"Brian" <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:9194avjtc1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <90rfql$37g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> n2ey@aol.com wrote:
> > In article <90nsqm$5bn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote:
> > > In article <%5DX5.18621$sS2.367868@typhoon.austin.rr.com>,
> > > "Rick Gilliam" <tigwelder@austin.rr.com> wrote:
> > > > yea no shit...I had to take a 20 wpm cw test to get my extra class
> > > license
> > > > now you can get one with just 5 WPM why even bother that means
> > you
> > > just
> > > > have to learn the chatacters
> > >
> > > Which is "a knowledge of Morse" as required by ITU.
> >
> > Exactly. And that's all it is - a basic knowledge.
>
> Right again. Never did it say to have multiple license classes based
> upon ever faster morse examinations.
>
> > > > and pass the written tests
> > >
> > > Gosh, a 5wpm test and a written exam -was- the original amateur exam
> > > back in 1918.
> >
> > Yup - but the written test was somewhat different!
>
> Diagram a cat whicker?
>
> > And in 1919 the test speed was doubled to 10 wpm.
>
> Ohmygawd! When morse was highly relevant it was doubled all the way to
> 10wpm which is where most people get stuck at when trying to get to
> 13wpm. Perhaps there was a valid reason for selecting 10wpm.
>
> > > If it was good enough back then when morse was a valid
> > > means of encoding information, its more than good enough now.
> >

> > When did Morse stop being "a valid means of encoding information"?
> From
> > what I hear and do on the ham bands, it's still in wide use and
> > quite "valid".
>
> Only because hams were forced to learn it. Amateur radio has become an
> anachronism.
>
> > > Don't
> > > forget, youse guys whined and complained about the shift from spark
> to
> > > cw as well.
> >
> > That shift happened more than 75 years ago. About 30 years before I
> was
> > even born.
>
> Fair enough. Would you have whined about it if you could have?
>
> > And it happened voluntarily. Tube transmitters gave results that were
> > so much better than spark rigs of the same cost, and used up so much
> > less spectrum, that it was a done deal by 1924.
>
> We need more done deals like that. It's much less traumatic than the
> gradual "weaning" from code that is currently stressing the service.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2291. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 01:37:37 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <919891$i24@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 63726

I think you guys should take this to e-mail as it seems to be a personal issue.

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:17962-3A37E54B-40@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> This is your freebie, Leland. Enjoy!
>

2292. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 01:57:58 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <9199f6$24l$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 63727
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Radio Station WGTG <wgtg@ellijay.com> wrote:
>let me tell you one thing! if YOUR neighbor has RFI from your transmitters
>do nothing! if you do YOU are admitting in court YOU are at fault you have
>LOST your protection in court! you open your self up to various types
>lawsuits the best thing is do nothing!

Since you're posting to an amateur NG, can you provide references to
court cases where such actions were taken against hams who had approached
their neighbors, willing to help reduce RFI?

KH6O

2293. Re: MOVIE: Vertical Limit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 01:59:27 UTC
From: tlbryant@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <9199hv011qc@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 63734
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

In article <3A3818FE.63AFC80C@IEEE.org>, Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org says...
>
>JR wrote:
>>
>> Lets see, Gore and the demodummies demanded a recount and Bush won the
>> recount, so they wanted another and Bush won that one too. So they demanded
>> a hand recount of only counties that would favor Gore and still Bush was
>> winning so they wanted recount again and change the rules to count dimple
>> chads (no votes) to count for Gore and throw out the overseas ballots that
>> would favor Bush. In other words, Gore wanted keep recounting and changing
>> the rules in hopes that he could somehow come up with the votes he needed to
>> steal the election Yep that was a "legitimate" try to get voted into office.
>
>One of the talk show hosts said it pretty well today. The football game
>was in the fourth overtime with Bush leading by one point. Gore had the
>ball on the ten yard line. All he had to do was kick a field goal. He
>instead chose to run the clock out with two illegal procedure plays.
>--
>http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

Cecil, you just described the infamous "red right 88" play of the 1981 AFC
championship game.

Tom - N8ECW

2294. Re: Clarification

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 02:09:51 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A382BEF.61D1@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 63728

Exactly!

The whole key is that I can still respect and disagree at the same time. If someone attempts to present facts (tho it may be only from one's own impressions of law), or opinions, and does it in a mature, reasoned manner, then we have a discourse of gentlemen instead of the immature baiting and flaming we have been reading. I will admit that a *little* of the spicy posts liven things up for a short time, but it gets old really fast! LOL!

Best 73 to ya!

Jerry

fingerbang@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> In article <3A36BC5F.3158@bellsouth.net>,
> joxendi@bellsouth.net wrote:
> > I will respond to some of your points. Please, fellas, don't get mad
> > because what I say is my own opinion and not meant to flame, heckle,
> or
> > harass anyone.
> >
> > > >
> > > To justify the means? What justification does a person need to
> explain in
> > > his or her activities? To run illegal CB radio equipment is that
> > > individuals "CHOICE."
> >
> > Indeed. But one should be aware of and take responsibility FOR his
> > actions.
> >
>
> >
> > Most respectful 73,
> >
> > Jerry
>
> Hi Jerry,
> Though I may not agree with you, a point is brought up..... "Taking
> responsibility for his actions."
> One must take responsibility for his action, no matter what the
> situation is. My point is if I break the laws on CB, it really isn't
> any worse than a vehicle code law being broken. You can drive drunk hit
> a van head on and kill 15 people, you must be ready to take

> responsibility. The same goes for operating out of band or with a
> linear, you must be ready to take responsibility. I speed, I am
> responsible, I pay the fine and decide if I will do it again. I just
> doni¿½t understand people like Dale or Aaron out here, like it is some
> form of Holy Crusade. At least when talking with you there can be
> discussion about it.
>
> fInGeRbAnG
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2295. CB website UK biased

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 02:12:34 UTC
From: Magnum <mag2781@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <918e3g$k6m$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 63622

Hello people.. im in the process of building a Website for CB radio which is primarily for UK but has a lot of handy repair info for FCC rigs. Its up and running now but will be worked on nearly every day for a while. Comments and help with repair tips appreciated and the site can be found by clicking www.megastiff.co.uk and following the links or clicking www.geocities.com/mag2781/cb_radio.html cheers...Graham

2296. Re: Davemade IS ILLEGAL STUFF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 02:19:46 UTC
From: bigmanmoney@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <919anuomp1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63729

"N8wwm" Ahui Hou Malama Pono MANU MAKE OI

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2297. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 02:38:55 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A36D318.E1AAE001@some.net>
Source record: 63730
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

The legislation was passed because people are tired of interference caused by the illegal operations of cb operators running illegal power, illegal radios driving crappy designed amps splattering all over the radio spectrum. The cb community brought this legislation upon themselves by refusing to operate legally.

Phil Kane wrote:

>
> The legislation was passed because the local authorities in
> Beloit, Wisconsin did not like the FCC telling the consumer the
> truth: that it was their cheap Home Electronic/Entertainment
> Equipment that needed to be upgraded and protected fromoverload
> and audio rectification.
>
>

2298. Re: Davemade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 02:40:38 UTC
From: maul13@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001213214038.04095.00001908@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Source record: 63731

High Deadkey Well we know he is a Duck.....

2299. Re: Davemade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 02:41:09 UTC
From: maul13@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001213214109.04095.00001909@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Source record: 63732

PLEASE

2300. Re: HR2510

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 02:49:50 UTC
From: wlfdad@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <919cgd$q39$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63739

In article <918koo$5n1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
truth@planetc.com wrote:
> In article <917l3n$a11$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> wlfdad@my-deja.com wrote:
> > Can anyone help me find out why my talkback does
> > not work. Also my rogerbeep does not work. I just
> > bought this radio used and can only find DISABLE
> > talkback mods!!
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
> >
>
> You don't need rodger BEEP and this unit doesn't have talk back,
> becauase you DO NOT have ECHO, which "talk back" IS for the purpose of
> hearing an echo. The 1st 2510 had talk back--that's WHY the mod to
get
> rid of it. Trade it for a Galaxy!!!
> Dave!!!!
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
> Well your a smart one..I have echo...CONNEX BOARD!!! It's roger
not "rodger" beep...If you can't awnser a question in a "NORMAL"
fashion your nothing more than a WASTE OF SKIN. Have a pleasant evening
NIMROD!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2301. Re: illegal who really cares

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 02:51:48 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <8BWZ5.6866$d62.499785@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63733

Scott - (or Scottikens as dingdong would say)

Don't give me that garbage about supreme courts. Do you know how many justices sit on the Florida Supreme court? They don't even know, they're still counting!

Oh well ...

Best to you all from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3A3682C4.E0F5C8A9@hotmail.com...
>
>
> twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
> >
> > And if your neghbor was cheating at checkers, would you go run and
> > raise cane over it?
>
> No, I would take my case all the way to the US Supreme Court! :)

2302. Re: illegal who really cares

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 02:54:44 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <UDWZ5.6871$d62.499965@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63735

Everyone has an opinion on interference. You have pointed out the whole crux of the problem. Don't be nasty, if you work with people and don't quote regulations, most problems are easily fixed.

73s from Rochester, NY
Jim

<fingerbang@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:915me2mav1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <20001212103304.08255.00003449@ng-mi1.aol.com>,
> snowman937@aol.com (Snowman937) wrote:
> > >From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm)
> > >Date: 12/12/00 4:30 AM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <20001212053029.04548.00000175@ng-cn1.aol.com>
> >
> > >Another person justifying criminality with bullshit
> >
> > It's not bullshit though. He is exactly right. Yes, amplifiers are
> illegal on
> > cb. Going out of band on cb is illegal. But don't you think that
> there are MUCH
> > worse crimes out there that truly need more attention than a cb
> radio??? Come
> > on now n8. I know you're not that nieve.
> >
> > 937...chicago
> >
>
> Of course he is..... It's not a right to talk on the CB, nor is
> it a right to drive. Put into retrospect, it's a privilege to use a
> CB, just like driving. You go out of band or use external power you
> chance getting caught. Same goes for driving over the speed limit, loud
> exhaust, burning out, power chips, wide tires, bright lights, etc, etc.
> I just don't understand why their not out chasing down speeders or
> on the car newsgroups that advertise such illegal equipment. That's
> right, it is no more severe than speeding or driving with open headers.
> Operating a CB is just the same as operating a car, the only
> difference is that the do-gooders that drive have enough sense to hang
> back and say "he'll get his one day" and you know he will. It's the
> same for the CB band, I've known a few people that have gotten in
> trouble, mostly in heavily populated areas, not rural.
> On the other hand in the past 26 years I have never had one problem
> with running power & out of band, that includes a Ham living next door.
> I moved to a new home in 1993 and 2 neighbors that came over to tell me
> that I was coming over their stereo. I worked with them and eventually

> cured all problems and haven't had a complaint since.
> The moral of this story is..... You can do this activity without
> harming others, just don't venture into or around the lower 10 meter
> band and thing should be fine.
>
> FiNgErBaNg
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2303. Re: illegal who really cares

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 02:56:37 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <FFWZ5.6875$d62.498455@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63736

I don't know about the whole picture, but you are correct in your assertion
that N8*** is an a******e.

73's from Rochester, NY
Jim

"BigPoppaPump" <freak'Onature@aola.com> wrote in message
news:PCUZ5.209$Tq1.151717@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
> your right why not cut to the chase an asshole is an asshole and n8wwm is
an
> asshole.
>
>
> "N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20001213180308.00455.00000034@ng-fw1.aol.com...
> >
> > fingerhiznose@my-deja.com, reflecting the childish position of the
trailer
> > trash, said:
> >
> > >all you say is " If an illegal really cared he
> > >wouldn't be illegal." That just proves that you don't give a damm about
> > >whether any law is broken or not, all you want to do is piss everyone
> > >off. If you wanted to debate the issue you would, but you don't.
> > >
> > N8wwm sliced to the heart of the issue with:
> > There is no debating the issue. Illegal is Illegal. Period.
> >
> >
>
>

2304. Re: Happy Holidays

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 02:57:46 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <KGWZ5.6879$d62.500050@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63737

Heavens, a non-flamer!

Best to you and yours,

Jim

"Lou" <tech@dp.net> wrote in message news:9156ue$bj8$1@news.dp.net...
> HAPPY HOLIDAYS TO ALL!
>
> --
> Thank you,
>
> Lou/Tech@DP.NET
>
> C.L. Furlong Enterprises
> 514 Railroad Street
> P.O. Box 289
> Stockdale, PA 15483
> Phone: 724-938-7808
> E-Mail: Tech@DP.NET
>
> Electronics: Repairs, Parts (new & salvage), Older manuals (Svc, Training,
> Study), FCC Licenses Service
> Gifts: New items for most anyone or occasion and Fund Raising plans.
>
> Also, year round Hamfest and Flea market tables, featuring lots of good
> deals on used items such as ham items, test equipment, CBs, one of a
kinds,
> slightly blemished items, returns, etc. If you are looking for anything
no
> matter how strange it may seem, e-mail or call us. If we don't have it, we
> may be able to get it.
>
>

2305. Re: N8 WILL NEVER BE 10-8 BECAUSE.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 02:58:45 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <FHWZ5.6882$d62.500443@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63738

He doesn't even have a life. Don't worry about it.

73s from Rochester, NY
Jim

<curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:915ldb$li8$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> HE'S HANGING AROUND WITH THE WRONG GUYS, 10-4,.....cd is out....
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2306. Re: (fwd) Re: Wayne Green was right after all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 03:03:37 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <dMWZ5.6890$d62.500994@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63740

Doc,

I have a lot a faith in you. Your posts are usually right on the money. I am not sure about Wayne Green, however. I'm not saying you're wrong, I'm just saying that I'll wait until all the info is in.

73s from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"'Doc" <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message news:3A3699C8.A5AC0E00@oio.net...
>
> Scott,
> I just finished looking at the FCC home page, and
> can't find anything about reallocation of amateur band
> frequencies. Most of the 'new' allocations are above
> 24 GHZ, and only one was in an amateur band at all.
> That was in the 'chunk' taken out of the 220 band a
> number of years ago, and never utilized. If you want
> to 'believe' in ol'Wayne, be my guest. But I'd do so
> with a large 'grain of salt'.
> 'Doc

2307. Re: Aaron Answers Illegals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 03:09:22 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001213220922.05077.00000180@nso-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 63742

I would much prefer a title that says...
Aaron Finally Leaves CB Newsgroup

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

2308. Re: WHAT IS IT YOU WANT AARON??????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 03:09:38 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001213220938.05077.00000181@nso-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 63741

In article <915lbhlhg1@nnrp1.deja.com>, curious_dan@my-deja.com writes:

>WHAT DO YOU WANT AARON. WHY ARE YOU HERE???????,.......cd is out...

He is not sure himself. He just cant stop coming here now! I think he is addicted!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

2309. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 03:11:17 UTC
From: Radio Station WGTG <wgtg@ellijay.com>
Message-ID: <919dp3015vp@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 63753
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

check with your lawyer first before you do anything! you are under NO OBLIGATION to do anything! FCC rule part 15 is clear look at part 15 the label on the device ..... MUST accept interference..... it's not your problem it is the owners!
you make it yours YOU WILL PAY $$$$ we know that people want to do the right thing and help; no one wants to be a thorn in someone's side but in this nation doing the right thing went out the door 25 years ago[good example; car accident ...you render assistance....person is "allegedly" hurt by your actions ..... you get sued because you are not trained .... your found negligent .... now your ruined! happens every day to good folks like you doing the right thing] your "loving" neighbors will gig you if you make the wrong move ....(just like the accident victim or the victims family) a word to the wise! stay with the law (FCC part 15) or PAY big $$$$$$$$BUCKS defending yourself!

Dan K Nelson <w6dkn@qsl.net> wrote in message
news:8IUZ5.1596$kW2.299862@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> "Radio Station WGTG" <wgtg@ellijay.com> wrote in message
> news:9185qt02hjm@enews3.newsguy.com...
> > let me tell you one thing! if YOUR neighbor has RFI from your transmitters
> > do nothing! if you do YOU are admitting in court YOU are at fault you
> have
> > LOST your protection in court! you open your self up to various types
> > lawsuits the best thing is do nothing!
>
> That attitude is no doubt why the legislation was passed allowing local
> authorities to enforce FCC regulations regarding unlicensed transmitters...
>
> It is not the act of helping, but rather the manner in which you address
> your neighbor's complaints that will determine if you give up your
> protections or not. However, just blowing them off will surely motivate them
> to escalate the matter to other authorities.
>
> 73 de W6DKN
>
>
>

2310. Re: The real Mark will be upset...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 03:12:41 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <freak'Onature@aola.com>
Message-ID: <JUWZ5.753$gT4.203990@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63743

aaron I want to ask you the same thing? Again you do not follow what you preach. As you named innocent people being connected with illegal amps and selling cocaine. You are no better than what you call everyone else.

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:9193fa$j28$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> I was wrong. QRZ turns up THREE Mark Fullers as hams.
>
> Wait till they find out that you geniuses are posting erroneous
> information about them. How would you feel if someone was using your
> name in a public forum in a derogatory manner? I know I'd be rather
> concerned.
>
> Aaron
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2311. sore looserman ticket is down the shithole.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 03:14:59 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <919dve$rb3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63745

piss on these crybabies, may they rot the assholes,.............cd is out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2312. Re: illegal who really cares

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 03:15:51 UTC
From: The Landshark <s3buell@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <WYWZ5.337$zO6.112700@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 63744

You see that is the way a good little
storm trooper is, blind and can not see.
All he's concerned about is illegal,illegal
that's it. Now I wonder if he speeds, gives
back the extra change giving by accident,
dropped a piece of litter, driven his car
after one to many drinks, gotten oral sex
from a woman (illegal in some states) the list
is endless for our little storm trooper.
No he's not willing to see it like a judge
would, that's clear. At least a judge is going to
see this with an open mind, not a closed trap.

The Landshark

--
It's a Buell-tiful Day for a ride
through the Canyons.

1999 Ford F-150 Super Cab
1998 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
1983 Honda 1100F
BFD #666
"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001213180308.00455.00000034@ng-fw1.aol.com...
>
> fingerhiznose@my-deja.com, reflecting the childish position of the trailer
> trash, said:
>
> >all you say is " If an illegal really cared he
> >wouldn't be illegal." That just proves that you don't give a damm about
> >whether any law is broken or not, all you want to do is piss everyone
> >off. If you wanted to debate the issue you would, but you don't.
> >
> N8wwm sliced to the heart of the issue with:
> There is no debating the issue. Illegal is Illegal. Period.
>
>
>

2313. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 03:16:53 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <919e31rdk1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63749

In article <kLFZ5.42468$EA6.4414832@typhoon.kc.rr.com>,
"Steve Hanis" <wxfreak@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Try to work it out with him. If all else fails contact the FCC and
ask for
> assistance in getting your local PD involved under the new law:
Public Law
> No: 106-521
> http://thomas.loc.gov/cgi-bin/bdquery/z?d106:HR02346:@@@X
> Or get a walkie-talkie on an unfiltered supply and plug his ears.
>
> "Chas" <eat@joes.com> wrote in message
>
news:9B29335BD0224362.18BD83ED49D54271.A8683CEF8A2D3F38@lp.airnews.net..
.
> > Why do some of you CB people run illegal power?
> >
> > All you do is interfere with other peoples lives. How would you like
> > to wake up in the middle of the night hearing voices. It was coming
> > from my phone witch was on the receiver. Echoing whistles and other
> > odd noises on my stereo while watching a rented DVD movie. I bet he
is
> > running several hundred watts. Saw the amp setting on his desk the
> > first time I complained. How bad he bleeds varies, I guess to how
much
> > power he has on. Turning screws and cutting circuits in the radio
> > dirtying up the signal is bad enough but amplifying it just makes it
> > worse.
> > I hope the US government makes people get CB licenses again.
> > Congress has a bill pending to give local police authority to in
force
> > FCC rules governing CBs. God I hope it passes. A few bad apples are
> > going to kill it for all of you.
> >
> > Just had to vent a little. My new neighbor is driving me mad.
> >
> >
> > Chas
>
>

oh come on steve, do you have to the call the damn cops, oh
please,.......cd is out.........

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2314. Way worse than any Voober!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 03:21:50 UTC
From: rmoretta@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001213222150.21667.00005412@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Source record: 63747

Behold:

http://www.angelfire.com/hiphop/w7sns/w7sns.htm

2315. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 03:21:57 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g5j7lw0.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Source record: 63746
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 02:38:55 GMT, JR wrote:

>> The legislation was passed because the local authorities in
>> Beloit, Wisconsin did not like the FCC telling the consumer the
>> truth: that it was their cheap Home Electronic/Entertainment
>> Equipment that needed to be upgraded and protected fromoverload
>> and audio rectification.
>>
>>
>The legislation was passed because people are tired of
>interference caused by the illegal operations of cb operators
>running illegal power, illegal radios driving crappy designed
>amps splattering all over the radio spectrum.

You may be right about people being "tired" of "interference",
but please see my extensive posts in rec.radio.amateur.policy
for the history and analysis of the Feingold Bill which is a
pure pizzin' contest between local jurisdiction and Federal
jurisdiction.

I stand on my statements about the causative factors of that
Bill, which I have been tracking and opposing on jurisdictional
grounds for several years. What professional background do you
bring to substantiate your claims?

>The cb community brought this legislation upon themselves by refusing
>to operate legally.

No doubt.

73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP
Principal Attorney (retired)
Communications Law Center
San Francisco, CA

From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
Beaverton (Washington County), Oregon

2316. Re: DaveMade rumor?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 03:25:40 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <freak'Onature@aola.com>
Message-ID: <U4XZ5.757$gT4.209531@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63748

aaron you are wrong agin, xforce is a copy of davemade so #12 dennis did not design the amp for them, and you stated that a guy named Concrete Man not dave in Nj was the guy that runs davemade and that they are part of some cocaine ring. are you fucking nutz or what ? Dont answer that but you are dead wrong again on all counts. Thats the problem you are researching deja news trying to piece togther newsgroup posts to come out here and start shit. But the best thing is half or more of the shit posted in hear is bullshit and alot of bragging,cb myths and lies so your internet detective kit has failed you. You are searching the post in hopes of finding ammo to use against people who post hear. You must be un-employed and have no wife or girl friend since anyone who wastes as much of thier time as you do is in need of some serious medical help
<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:91953ikeq1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <hPKZ5.6124$Oz5.831376@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
> "WildBill" <wildbill @hose.com> wrote:
> > aaron the part you dont understand is the people you named have
> nothing to
> > do with the companies you listed. So what you are doing the same
> thing
> > keeping bad rumours going, all at the risk of hurting innocent people
> > because you want to act like Joe Cop check your sources. I guess the
> guys
> > at davemade and xforce and noname have nothing to worry about since
> don't
> > even know the correct info about them.
>
> Bill,
>
> Dennis is on record in this newsgroup archives as being the designer of
> XForce products. Are you telling me he lied?
>
> Also, I mentioned "a guy in New Jersey named Dave" as the proprietor of
> DaveMade. How is it that I identified anybody?
>
> You guys are WAY too jittery. What's up?
>
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2317. Re: Aaron On Hiatus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 03:37:06 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <freak'Onature@aola.com>
Message-ID: <CfXZ5.762$gT4.213961@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63751

n8wmm and aaron both post from aol perhaps it is the same asshole do a search on aaron voobner anywhere usa there is no such person. seems to me he gets on aol then signs on to his deja news account why else would you get a deja account when you could post from aol's NG ...makes you wonder ...

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:9193uqjfv1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> I'll be out of town until the 19th.
>
> So you guys are free to scream and shout with joy about how wonderful it
> is to be illegal, how unfair the rules are, how big and powerful your
> amp is, how no one can stop you on the freeband, etc.
>
> Enjoy. See you when I get back.
>
> Aaron H. Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2318. Re: Davemade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 03:39:16 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <freak'Onature@aola.com>
Message-ID: <EhXZ5.1054$Tq1.226532@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63752

bladerunner is a coke addict and - his work is sloppy
"JVillafane" <jvillafane@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001213171322.25973.00001917@ng-mf1.aol.com...
> yes bladerunner from ct

2319. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 03:40:29 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-594423.22331313122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 63750

In article <3a37e9c7.21410424@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> On Wed, 13 Dec 2000 14:59:20 -0500, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >snip categorical denial of reality<
>
> I am not going to sit here and argue with someone that doesn't have
> his facts straight about something that anyone can read for themself.

Translation: "I cannot disprove anything you wrote."

I posed a number of questions that point out what appear to be problems
in what you claim. If you have the facts straight, why don't you help
us out? Just answer the questions.

> If anyone wants to find out what a standing wave is, and how to get
> the best efficiency from their system, go to the library and check out
> a book on electronic communication. There are a couple textbooks I can
> recommend that are fairly common. One is by Shrader and is easy to
> read. Another is by Kellejian and is more technical and thorough. If
> you have the time, also try reading something on electromagnetics.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

Why don't you give us one quote that disproves anything that I have
said?

2320. Re: illegal who really cares

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 03:45:33 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <919fossos1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63754

In article <PCUZ5.209$Tq1.151717@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
"BigPoppaPump" <freak'Onature@aola.com> wrote:
> your right why not cut to the chase an asshole is an asshole and
n8wwm is an
> asshole.
>
> "N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20001213180308.00455.00000034@ng-fw1.aol.com...
> >
> > fingerhiznose@my-deja.com, reflecting the childish position of the
trailer
> > trash, said:
> >
> > >all you say is " If an illegal really cared he
> > >wouldn't be illegal." That just proves that you don't give a damm
about
> > >whether any law is broken or not, all you want to do is piss
everyone
> > >off. If you wanted to debate the issue you would, but you don't.
> > >
> > N8wwm sliced to the heart of the issue with:
> > There is no debating the issue. Illegal is Illegal. Period.
> >
> >
>
>

uh huh, and you are a genetic freak, big poppa. tell me. do you really
have the biggest arms in the world, comode, comode??????? ps, don't
ever come south, i got something for you good buddy. you know it really
isn't a davemade world,.....cd is out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2321. Re: MOVIE: Vertical Limit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 03:47:40 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <919fsqsqa1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63755
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

In article <3A36B68C.BCF7AEED@some.net>,
JR <jr@some.net> wrote:
> Lets see, Gore and the demodummies demanded a recount and Bush won the
> recount, so they wanted another and Bush won that one too. So they
demanded
> a hand recount of only counties that would favor Gore and still Bush
was
> winning so they wanted recount again and change the rules to count
dimple
> chads (no votes) to count for Gore and throw out the overseas ballots
that
> would favor Bush. In other words, Gore wanted keep recounting and
changing
> the rules in hopes that he could somehow come up with the votes he
needed to
> steal the election Yep that was a "legitimate" try to get voted into
office.
>
> If you had not included your ham call I would swear by the language
you use
> you were just a cber.

Like I give a shit what you think of me. Didn't you listen close to
what the Weasel-elect said about reuniting the country? Oh, It was only
another LIE......

> KC8JBO wrote:
>
> >
> > Al? Oh, you mean the guy who tried to LEGITIMATELY get voted into
> > office. Hell, FUCKHEAD, I could care less what you call me. Now, get
> > back to felching your dead mommy.
> >
> > --
> > 73 de Roger, KC8JBO
> > EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
> > Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
> > Yaesu FT-90R
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>
>

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2322. Re: sore looserman ticket is down the shithole.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 03:53:43 UTC
From: elmerpee@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <919g85$t5l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63756

```
In article <919dve$rb3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:
> piss on these crybabies, may they rot the assholes,.............cd is
> out........
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>
I wounder if George W Bush drink Bush Beer and ownes stock in the
company i bet his running mate does!!! Bet he love women with lots of
BUSH

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/
```

2323. Re: WHAT IS IT YOU WANT AARON??????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 03:57:54 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <919gfv$t94$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63758

In article <20001213220938.05077.00000181@nso-mf.aol.com>,
icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD (COLD as ICE) wrote:
> In article <915lbhlhg1@nnrp1.deja.com>, curious_dan@my-deja.com
writes:
>
> >WHAT DO YOU WANT AARON. WHY ARE YOU HERE???????,.......cd is out...
>
> He is not sure himself. He just cant stop coming here now! I think he
is
> addicted!
>
> COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It
don't
> mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!
>

i think you are right cold, there is just something about this cb radio stuff. even the hams that used to be cber's just can't seem to shake the feeling. imagine that. by their own admissions, these guys can go wherever they want and talk legally but somehow they have time to come on 11 meters and key up their stock qrp mudduck radios. this is something i cannot fathom. why on earth would these guys come on 11 meters, i mean the heterodyne, the skip , etc ,is so bad, hell you cannot even talk local until it simmers down everynight. but boy i tell you, these guys look for anything and everything they can to try and get somebody in trouble on the 11 meter band. i ask you . who the hell invited these mudducks to come on here and talk all this noise about legalities, comode, comode,.......cd is out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2324. Re: WHAT IS IT YOU WANT AARON??????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 03:59:20 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <919gil$t9p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63759

In article <3A36F93B.D5F4991E@hotmail.com>,
"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Look at this:
> X-Http-User-Agent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 4.01; AOL 4.0;
Mac_PPC) (MAC LOVER)
> X-Http-Proxy:1.0 x61.deja.com:80 (Squid/1.1.22) for client
205.188.195.24
>
> Name: spider-we014.proxy.aol.com
> Address: 205.188.195.24
>
> He thought he could hide behind a my-deja address.
>
> curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > jefro, are you saying boobie is a shim,...comode, comode,....cd is
> > out......
>

are you sure about those numbers?,...i inserted those numbers in the
whois info request and i got nothing, they do look legit
however,......cd is out.......

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2325. Re: DaveMade rumor?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 04:03:51 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <919gr4tkl1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63760

In article <U4XZ5.757$gT4.209531@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
"BigPoppaPump" <freak'Onature@aola.com> wrote:
> aaron you are wrong agin, xforce is a copy of davemade so #12 dennis
did not
> design the amp for them, and you stated that a guy named Concrete Man
not
> dave in Nj was the guy that runs davemade and that they are part of
some
> cocaine ring. are you fucking nutz or what ? Dont answer that but you
are
> dead wrong again on all counts. Thats the problem you are researching
deja
> news trying to piece togther newsgroup posts to come out here and
start
> shit. But the best thing is half or more of the shit posted in hear is
> bullshit and alot of bragging,cb myths and lies so your internet
detective
> kit has failed you. You are searching the post in hopes of finding
ammo to
> use against people who post hear. You must be un-employed and have no
wife
> or girl friend since anyone who wastes as much of thier time as you do
is in
> need of some serious medical help

Dear Big Poppa,

Honest to God, I'm trying to pack for my trip and you people are keeping me here in this newsgroup with your nonsensical replies.

Look. I heard DaveMade is run by a guy in New Jersey. I also heard he deals cocaine. So now what? Is this as of yet unidentified guy in New Jersey going to sue me, Aaron Voobner, for defamation of character? I can just see it now:

DAVE: Your honor, Aaron Voobner said my illegal CB amplifier company is supported by the sale of cocaine.

JUDGE: What is your complaint, sir?

DAVE: Thanks Judge, you can call me Dave, or my handle, Concrete Man. The things is, your honor, I don't want my illegal CB amplifier company associated with drugs...

You guys need to get your act together. Hit the books. Figure out what rights a manufacturer of FCC-certified-illegal devices has or doesn't have. - Aaron

---

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2326. Re: Mark Fuller Archives?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 04:04:54 UTC
From: 607 <UNIT607@WORLDWIDE-DX.MYBRUTHAAAH>
Message-ID: <GFXZ5.1091$Tq1.237864@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63757

Hey Big Voob, I asked -only- WHERE and -not- HOW. You OK little man? Never thought I'd see the day when The Voob got rattled by a simple polite question.

Anyways, the reason I was asking was that I go straight to the power search page and they haven't had anything before 15 May 1999 available for a long time. Weird how the simple search has all the archives but the power search doesn't.....

Poor kid's coming apart like a stuffed animal donated to the orphanage. Y'all should take it easy on the little guy when he's ready to come back. No wonder he's gotta "go out of town".....

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:9192ngiet1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <ASSZ5.150$Tq1.106517@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
> "607" <UNIT607@WORLDWIDE-DX.MYBRUTHAAAH> wrote:
> > Where did you find archives with Mark Fuller's postings?
> >
>
> Deja has archives of rec.radio.cb going way back. You need to learn how
> to perform complex searches.
>
> Aaron Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2327. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 04:23:23 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <freak'Onature@aola.com>
Message-ID: <%WXZ5.1121$Tq1.246015@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63761

Coax Length i personally agree with you on all your points you have stated with fact and formula the others with hot air. Personaly i use multiples of 6'/ 1/4 w I know it doesnt change the actual swr but it changes what i see at the meter i check the swr at the 1/2 wave point so it repeats 0 deg / 180 deg If i am not mistaken.

"Coax Length Police" <anylength@willdo.com> wrote in message news:anylength-85CABC.17495612122000@nntp.lucent.com...
> In article <2p8d3tk651ofmrbqbmlhqhqq92ocf7h0oh@4ax.com>, tnom@mucks.net
> wrote:
>
> > snip
> > >why do the antenna manufacturers reccomend an 18 ' length.
> > snip
> >
> > 18 feet is a good choice.
>
> Total nonsense. There is no reason to use 18 feet.
>
> > To just name a few. Firestik, K-40, Wilson,and Antenna Specialist
> > recommend an 18 foot length of coax. Others manufacturers either
> > don't use an 18 foot length, or have no recommendation at all.
>
> I have only seen Firestik recommend 18 feet. I attempted to
> get them to justify that recommendation and all I got was a
> cheap personal attack. There is no justification for 18'.
>
> > Some on this group will tell you to use any length, or use the
> > shortest length possible. The only problem with this is that it
> > is based on having no benefit to you other than the cost of the
> > coax, or the convenience of having no excess coax length to deal
> > with.
>
> Plus lower losses.
>
> > If you are asking for a coax length to use in the first place then I
> > assume that you are going to get the diagonals out and cut a piece.
> > If so what would you end up with if you used the shortest length
> > possible? Assume a 8 foot length of RG58. RG58 has a power loss of
> > 3.17% at this length. Now choose an 18 foot section of RG58. RG58
> > at this length has a power loss of 7.15%. The difference between the
> > two choices is about .19db or for a four watt signal you loose .16
> > watts. No one will ever be able to notice this difference at all.
> > Moral of the story?.............There is no performance benefit in

> > using the 8 foot length.
>
> You are contradicting yourself. You said there is a 0.19 dB benefit;
> then, you said there is no benefit. I admit it is a trivial benefit
> but it is there. There are NO advantages of using 18 feet over 8 feet.
>
> > Conclusion: If you are operating without problems, and are using an
> > existing length of mobile coax that is not 18 feet then don't worry
> > about it. However if you are starting from scratch, and want to use
> > the best choice, then go with the 18 foot length.
>
> There is no reason to use 18'. You have provided no justification
> that it is the "best choice."
>
> > Here are some web sights that recommend 18 feet. Some even explain
> > why.
> >
> >
> > http://www.firestik.com/FAQ.htm
>
> These Firestik guys don't know what they are talking about.
>
> > http://www.wilsonantenna.com/swrf.htm
>
> This site parrots the Firestik site. Obviously, plagiarized or
> written by the same guy.
>
> > http://www.wilsonantenna.com/coax.htm
>
> Same as above.
>
> > http://64.70.218.95/k40cb/CBfaq.htm#What
>
> I can't believe the myths on these pages! They are a true
> disservice to CB radio. Not only do they carry the coax length
> myths, they also propagate the myth that rolling your coax in
> a loop makes an RF choke. It does no such thing to the signal
> within the coax.

2328. Re: Yet another antenna myth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 04:48:44 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <919jh6$7vg$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 63762

The thread started with mobile antennas. SWR is the absolute poorest way to adjust a mobile. The best way is with a simple field strength meter made with a cheap meter movement a germanium diode and a pot. Adjust for max out and the heck with SWR. As you so aptly pointed out the losses are miniscule do to SWR. An SWR of 10 to 1 will cause an additional loss of less than 5%, now your radio may have shut down because of the high SWR but the losses are not meaningful. Anyone worrying about reducing a 1.5:1 down to 1.2:1 must also enjoy picking fly deification out of the pepper. I use nonresonant antennas on my ham stuff (130 Foot 12" diameter cage fed with ladderline and at the output of the tuner my very best SWR is about 8:1 and is as high as 16 to 1 on some frequencies.

Of course I use a tuner so my radio is looking at 50 ohms. The swr is unaffected and still exists with little effect on my signal.

>
> So you're saying if my SWR is 1.5:1, I'll get out better by
> making my SWR lower.
>
> $10,000 question: Is that extra 4% worth unresonating my antenna?
>
> Hint: Resonance is better then 1:1.

2329. Re: CB interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 05:11:22 UTC
From: Bilbo Baggins Eq <Bilbobaggins@hates.spam>
Message-ID: <919klptce1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 63763

how about the Colorado make my day law, or so they called it at the time.
Sparky <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message
news:3a37eaee.21704986@news.cet.com...
> On Wed, 13 Dec 2000 13:28:07 -0500 (EST), twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > Nope. Terrible reason for dusting someone. But they were trespassing
> >and 'ol man cotton was tired of them busting down his fences, tearing up
> >his land, etc., etc., put up electric fences, they were cut and
> >destroyed and het posted the shit outta his property, but why are you
> >picking and choosing which laws you like? Didn't you express the opinion
> >that we should obey the law because because because? If you think you OR
> >your property is in danger, you can open fire here.
>
> Where is "here"? I know that lethal force can be used to defend if
> there is substantial reason to believe that serious injury or death is
> imminent, but I still don't believe that there is a state that allows
> a landowner to use lethal force for trespassing. And I am not talking
> about one law being more important than another, although it seems
> that this state you speak of has forgotten the Constitutional concept
> of 'due process'. So what state are you talking about?
>
> Sparky, FLRC

2330. Re: FA> Cherokee CBS-500 & Echomax 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 05:11:29 UTC
From: BARNEYBASHER1@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6228-3A385681-18@storefull-295.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63764

Who the hell pissed him off??

2331. Re: CB interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 05:35:30 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <919m72sga2@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 63767

Sparky <sparky@cet.com> wrote:
: On Wed, 13 Dec 2000 09:15:11 -0500 (EST), twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:

:> HA! Wrong again, Sparky! In the sandpile, we can pump you full of lead
:>for poking your head into our barn, mingling with our livestock IN THE
:>FIELD, and a host of others. Don't believe me?

: Not really. But to give you the benefit of the doubt, tell me what
: state has these laws and I'll look them up for myself.

Texas.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

2332. Re: Yet another antenna myth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 05:44:04 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <919mn4sga3@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 63769

```
: >So you're saying if my SWR is 1.5:1, I'll >get out better by
: >making my SWR lower.
:
: The gains will probably be small after you're down to 1.5:1 - but from
: my
: own experience, I can tell you that the performance increase from getting
: down
: from 2.5:1 to 1.2:1 is ENORMOUS.

It depends. What you say is true if you have a long run of lossy coax.

But if you ran ladder-line, the SWR is essentially immaterial. It is not
unusual to run 10:1 or worse on some antenna systems, and essentially ALL
of the power is radiated. This is because ladder line is very close to
being lossless - and is considered to be lossless for all practical
purposes.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
```

2333. You can try this:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 05:48:50 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A385F42.53720416@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63765

I like the way they write spam these days. It came to another mailbox
I have addressed to somebody else. If you want to try it, go ahead.
If you can make enough people buy a stock, it will go up. Fake demand...

Message 1/1 From John Dec 13, 00 08:22:27 PM -0600

Return-Path: <launchsell@yahoo.com>
Reply-To: launchsell@yahoo.com
Subject: hot stock
To: grrxdxrxc@hotmail.com
Date: Wed, 13 Dec 2000 20:22:27 -0600

From: John Gillespie
Subject: The deal that I promised you=2E

Dear Friend,

We don't like to brag, but did you miss out on our last four plays?

NTAP NETWORK APPLIANCE INC
Bought at 11=2E Sold at 79=2E A 626% return=2E

MERQ MERCURY INTERACTIVE CORP
Bought at 17=2E51=2E Sold at 90=2E A 412% return=2E

BOBJ BUSINESS OBJECTS
Bought at 17=2E3125=2E Sold at 89=2E A 415% return=2E

ANEN ANAREN MICROWAVE INC
Bought at 13=2E082=2E Sold at 106=2E A 710=2E27% return=2E

These plays were great but as you know, I love buying $10 and $15
stock and riding them into the $100 range but the above returns are
nothing compared to the opportunity that is coming up in the next few
days=2E

The great thing about this stock is that it is currently unknown and
selling for, get this:

=2E55 cents a share=2E

I believe this stock is going to hit the $5 range before the end of
the year=2E

Just keep reading=2E

The company Q Seven Systems (Ticker Symbol: QSSY) creates cutting
edge software for casino and gambling sites=2E The fastest growing
sector of the internet=2E But more importantly, a sector that has been

totally untouched by the drop in the NASDAQ earlier in the year=2E The great thing is that this market is moving so fast that it is sneaking under the radar of almost all Wall Street Analysts=2E It sounds crazy but most companies that support casino sites were actually up after the crash=2E Inside investors know that casino sites are here to stay without the problems of non profitable =2Ecom companies=2E

The price right now is at $=2E55 a share

The strategy:

Buy: as many shares as you can handle at any price below $1=2E50

Your fellow investor,

John Gillespy
President/ CEO
Private Online Stock Trader Club=2E

Note: To be removed please email us at launchsell@yahoo=2Ecom

This E-mail is confidential and intended for the exclusive use of the addressee(s) only. You should not disclose its contents to any other person. I
f
you are not the intended recipient please notify the sender named above immediately.

2334. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 05:56:56 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <919nf8sga4@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 63766
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Radio Station WGTG wrote:
:
: let me tell you one thing! if YOUR neighbor has RFI from your transmitters
: do nothing! if you do YOU are admitting in court YOU are at fault you have
: LOST your protection in court! you open your self up to various types
: lawsuits the best thing is do nothing!

This is not true. All it means is that you are willing to help the neighbor fix the inadequate design of the consumer equipment that is receiving the interference. If your station is legal, and you end up in court, it had better be Federal Court and be the result of a federal summons.

In other words, local courts have no jurisdiction over legal stations.

Of course, you can be sued over just about everything. Whether the person filing the suit will have a chance depends on the suit. For interference problems from a legal station - the court would throw the case out.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

2335. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 05:58:17 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <919nhpsga5@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 63770
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In rec.radio.cb oh8mfh <oh8mfh@hotmail.com> wrote:
: It's not okay for hams to cause interference, but we at least know the
: limits. Besides, according to radio regulations, we're required to contact
: the neighbor who suffers from the interference. Simple things like chokes
: and stubs, hi/lo pass filters often help. No problem. Gary

Not true. There is not requirement to try to solve the interference
problem. But it is usually a good practice to try. Best is to get a
local Ham club involved - rather than trying to do it yourself.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

2336. Re: HR2510

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 06:01:31 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <919nnrsga6@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 63768

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:

wlfdad@my-deja.com wrote:
:
: Can anyone help me find out why my talkback does
: not work. Also my rogerbeep does not work. I just
: bought this radio used and can only find DISABLE
: talkback mods!!

In my opinion, those are the only proper talkback and
beep mods.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

2337. Re: Way worse than any Voober!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 06:10:29 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001214011029.19870.00003985@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 63771

>Subject: Way worse than any Voober!
>From: rmorpoundapudda@anal.cum (RMoretta)

>http://www.angelfire.com/hiphop/w7sns/w7sns.htm
>
NOPE HE IS MY HERO! Just like Bill Clinton!
N8WWM

2338. Re: N8 WILL NEVER BE 10-8 BECAUSE.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 06:12:52 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001214011252.19870.00003986@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 63773

Not true, you just dont like what I say here...

>He doesn't even have a life. Don't worry about it.
>
>73s from Rochester, NY
>Jim
>

>> HE'S HANGING AROUND WITH THE WRONG GUYS, 10-4,.....cd is out....
>>
>>

2339. Re: WANTED: ILLEGAL Siltronix stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 06:14:31 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001214011431.19870.00003987@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 63774

Plus the fact that the stuff was junk when it was new, drifts like mad.

>Need a nice shape Siltronix FD-1011 (The 40 channel printout on the
>chart on the front only!!). Pay cash and fedex or swap new stuff..
>
>Also Siltronix Speaker , Mic , Meter.....
>
>
>Bill
>http://home.mpinet.net/grassa
>

2340. Re: Aaron On Hiatus from spanking ILLEGAL ops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 06:16:27 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001214011627.19870.00003988@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 63775

>n8wmm and aaron both post from aol perhaps it is the same asshole do a
>search on aaron voobner anywhere usa there is no such person.

I dont know who aaron is but he isnt me...as you can see I post and let myself be known. I am not him, he is not me, and I do not know the guy!

2341. Re: Sunny and 50 today...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 06:17:49 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <fuZZ5.24036$JE.1221830@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 63772

Hee heeee.......... blew as hard as I could in your direction, but I only got yesterday's storm as far south as Missouri.............. BTW we got another 6" tonight... about 20 degrees out.. just came in from shoveling drive.BRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRRR. Driving home was like driving in 4" of snow cones... ICY

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:12-3A37C77F-25@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Well, dammit, Capn, send us at least a cool front. Unseasonably warm
> now and it's deer season and the damn mosquitos are eating me alive. By
> 11:00 AM, it's time to get out of the woods 'cause I'm pouring sweat and
> the deer can smell me 2 or 3 swamps away.
>

2342. Re: Davemade IS ILLEGAL STUFF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 06:19:21 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001214011921.19870.00003989@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 63776

919anuomp1@nnrp1.deja.com, hiding like a girl, dribbled stupidly:

"N8wwm" Ahui Hou Malama Pono MANU MAKE OI

N8wwm answered sparklingly:
Hey man, I dont know whatcha said, but yak now, like..."chinga tu madre"
right back atcha.

2343. Davemade IS ILLEGAL STUFF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 06:20:46 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001214012046.19870.00003990@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 63777

ILLEGAL

2344. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 06:22:04 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <919oucsga7@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 63782

Sparky <sparky@cet.com> wrote:
:>
:>Not true. The SWR at both locations is the same.

: Only when the source and load have the same impedance, and that
: doesn't happen often in mobile installs. Measure SWR at both
: connections when the impedance of the antenna is different from the
: radio. Then change the length of your coax. The SWR changes at the
: radio/coax connection, but not at the coax/antenna connection.

Not true. The SWR is identical everywhere on the coax. That does not mean that your SWR meter won't show different readings at different locations. But if it does, it is wrong. That is a problem with the meter.

There are a couple of reasons why changing the coax length gives you an APPARENT change in SWR. RF currents on the outside of the coax is one. The phases of the forward and reverse voltages/currents inside the coax is another.

: When there is a souce-to-load mismatch you will always have a standing
: wave. But when the transmission line is any multiple of a 1/4-wave
: (times velocity factor, of course), that standing wave will not be
: seen at the source, and the reflected power is radiated through the
: transmission line. This is something I have seen for over 20 years,
: and it doesn't change just because someone says that it's impossible.

Again wrong. There will not be a standing wave unless there is a mismatch between the transmission line and antenna. (or between two sections of coax of different impedances). It does not matter what kind of mismatch there is between the source and the load. All that effects is the percent of the generated power that is transferred into the coax.

If there is a SWR, the reflected power will reach the source/coax junction. What happens when it gets there depends on a number of variables.

:>Keep this in mind. The SWR is, in essence, a measure of the percentage
:>of reflected power.

: It is a measure of the percentage of reflected power seen at the point
: being measured. Different points along the transmission line will
: "see" differently, because of the nature of standing waves.

Wrong. Apparent SWR is what is seen at the measuring point. True SWR can often only be measured at the antenna.

:> If the power traveling backward at the antenna
:>is not equal to the power traveling back at the radio, where did the
:>power go (or come from). Power must be conserved. It is a basic
:>law of physics.

: As I said before, when you have standing waves, the transmission line
: radiates. Find a mismatched system and move a FSM or RF voltmeter
: along the length of the transmission line. You will see hot spots
: corresponding to the standing wave. After all, an antenna is actually
: nothing more than a standing wave generator. Standing waves on the
: transmission line are used advantageously by some coaxial dipole
: antennas.

Again, you are generalizing. There is no need for the transmission line to radiate - regardless of whether there is a mismatch or not. If all the power is confined to the inside of the coax, as it should be, then it will not radiate. If there is coupling to the outside of the coax, then the coax will radiate - with or without standing waves.

For example, with ladder lines, there may be a 10:1 or higher SWR. But the radiated power is almost immeasurable. There is a slight amount of radiation, as the two wires are separated, so the fields do not perfectly cancel.

: I might point out that it is usually better not to radiate your signal
: from the transmission line because harmonics are easily generated and
: your signal is wasted. An easy way to avoid this is to match the
: antenna to the radio and transmission line by adding an L-network at
: the base of the antenna. Many mobile antennas already have one
: built-in.

Harmonics are not generated, if they are not there in the first place. But if the coax is a resonant length for a harmonic, it will radiate that harmonic efficiently.

Matching networks are no guarantee that any currents on the outside of the coax will be reduced, much less eliminated.

:>So you are saying that an antenna that radiates 96% of the power works
:>better than an antenna that radiates 100% of the power.

: No, I am saying that SWR must be correctly measured in order to mean
: anything.

What you should say that SWR, of itself, is not very important. I can have an antenna with a 2:1 mismatch that radiates better than if I tune the antenna for a 1:1 match. Why? In the first case, the antenna is resonant, so radiates all power fed into it. All that is necessary is that the transmission line losses are negligible - pretty much true for low values of SWR. In the second case, the radiation efficiency of the antenna is much lower, as it is not resonant. That means much of the power is lost through heating - rather than radiated as a signal.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

2345. A bust happens from new law!! HAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 06:23:20 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001214012320.19870.00003991@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 63778

Yeehawwwwww! I told you lily livered sapsuckers it was gonna happen!!!

HAHAHAHAHAHHHAHAHAHHAHAHHAHAHAHHAHAHAHHHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHHAHAHA!

Subject: I got to obseve a bust!
From: "Fred Hambrecht" Fred@w4jle.com
Date: 12/12/2000 21:13 PM Eastern Standard Time
Message-id: <916m17rlj1@iac5.navix.net>

I had a chance to ride with one of the local police today on a visit to a CBers house. He had been asked a number of times to cease and desist with his overpowered illegal operations.

This person has been the bane of existence to all his neighbors around here, he was handed a copy of the latest federal law, along with the purposed local laws. It was made clear that he would be the target of the first test case under the new law. I observed him trying to justify his rights to do what he wanted on the CB band. He stated that he had heard the FCC no longer controlled CB along with a litany of other excuses. The local law officer explained in a respectful manner that he would shortly have jurisdiction and he should consider this as his final warning. "Hey! you mean your serious?" was his response, the officer responded "as serious as a heart attack" at that point he walked back in the house and brought out what he refereed to as a "ModgeBox" and handed it over to the officer. I believe that this law is going to work. Any way Ga231 sends all the good numbers... He's going 10-7

2346. Re: Way worse than any Voober!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 06:25:40 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3867E4.2F96718E@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63779

Make sure to go to the bottom of the page and select
"1-WORST" then click "VOTE"!

RMoretta wrote:
>
> Behold:
>
> http://www.angelfire.com/hiphop/w7sns/w7sns.htm

2347. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 06:31:57 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <919pgtsga8@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 63781

Handy Andy <CodysPC@iserve.net> wrote:

: Ok, I see your point, and here we go again. As far as Radio Shack,
: take a trip to their store and ask for the manual to their Deluxe
: Magnetic Mount CB antenna - or any CB antenna that has a pamphlet with
: the wrap. They are WARNING you not to trim the coax. I'd like to know
: why, aside from ruining their pretty job of soldering the PL259 end
: on. ;-) These are the complete kits - antenna, coax, mount.

: Adjusting coax length affects impedance. A typical SWR meter not only
: looks for voltage, but impedance - correct?

Wrong. Adjust coax length affects the input impedance of the coax. It
does not affect the impedance of the coax, or the match between the coax
and the antenna.

: Then what we see at the end of a section of coax is a conjugate
: imepdance with the coax and the antenna working together. If
: everything is put together right, the coax isn't part of the equation.
: It's simply an unbalanced feedline to a balanced load.

Nope.

: e.g. - 25ohms - 5j [a capacitive loading scheme]

: As we can look at the smith chart, if I'm not mistaken, I can see SWR
: ranging from 2.7 to around 1.2 - roughly 180 ohm [using no formula to
: figure this as an unbalanced feed line of about 6 feet - just notes]
: to 36 ohms at about 17 feet in length of adjustement range if we use a
: typical section of RG 58 coax.

If you use the Smith Chart correctly, you will see a constant SWR of
approximately 2:1 - anywhere along the feedline length.

: Ok, if I'm right, how come the above equation works for developing a
: working low SWR using a section of coax? If nothing else - does this
: mean that we are making a transmatch using a coaxial line?

No.

: Now, all I'm going to help you with is give you this hint. A lot of
: base loaded antennas on the market offer a lot of capacitance. With
: the above conjugate equation, this is about the typical CB'er install
: that has a poor ground. The antenna is asking for length. Adjusting
: the coax - means that the antenna is not resoant at it's current
: location and configuration - we need to do something.

Adjusting the coax will not change the resonant frequency of the
antenna. That can only be changed by adjustments to the antenna.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

2348. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 06:35:32 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <919pnksga9@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 63787

```
: I can't believe the myths on these pages! They are a true
: disservice to CB radio. Not only do they carry the coax length
: myths, they also propagate the myth that rolling your coax in
: a loop makes an RF choke. It does no such thing to the signal
: within the coax.

Well, it does, to currents on the outside of the coax. I hope they do
not claim that it affects the signals inside the coax.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
```

2349. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 06:36:12 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3A386A33.8FDAC4C6@sccoast.net>
Source record: 63780
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> You may be right about people being "tired"
> of "interference", but please see my extensive
> posts in rec.radio.amateur.policy for the
> history and analysis of the Feingold Bill which
> is a pure pizzin' contest between local
> jurisdiction and Federal jurisdiction.
>
> I stand on my statements about the causative
> factors of that Bill, which I have been tracking
> and opposing on jurisdictional grounds for several
> years. What professional background do you bring
> to substantiate your claims? [snip]
>
> 73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP
> Principal Attorney (retired)
> Communications Law Center
> San Francisco, CA

Phil, you need to get off the power trip about your qualifications. First of all, they are not all that impressive. Second, since most people in these newsgroups seem to judge opinions on their own merit, not by the real or imagined qualifications of the person posting, I suspect that JR, or anyone else who post opinions in this newsgroup, has just as much credibility behind those opinions as you do.

That is especially true when you're flat wrong, which you are. As we've discussed before, the situation today in the Citizens Band is the direct result of many years of failed FCC enforcement.

Yes, like you so often point out, because of budget restraints, the FCC today does not have the money, resources, or personnel, to properly enforce the Citizens Band. But that excuse (yes, excuse) was not true in the 70's when this problem first started. Nor was it true in the early 80's when illegal operations in the citizens band was rare, and therefore more easily controllable.

But, the FCC sat on its butt all those years until the problem got completely out of control, and now you, and many at the FCC, want massive amounts of money to be given to the FCC so that it can attempt to get the problem under control.

Now, I realise that, since you once were employed at the FCC, you don't want to accept this description of the situation, but the facts

speak for themselves.

Which brings us to today, and this legislation. It is the feeling of many people, myself included, that it would be foolish to give more money to the FCC so they can attempt to do a job that they have already demonstrated they cannot do. Nor is there any mood in this country to see another greatly expanded enforcement agency in Washington.

Oh, I'm sure that you're still insisting that the 20, or so, enforcement agents at the FCC can handle the estimated 25 million CB'ers, so there is no need for extra personnel, but I think most people are smart enough to see right through that nonsense. To enforce the citizens band properly, the FCC would have to hire hundreds of new enforcement agents, which is simply not desirable in the current trend of government downsizing.

So, in the end, that leaves this legislation as the only possible solution to the problem. And no, it is not the perfect solution, but it is at least something that has a chance to resolve some of the local problems caused by illegal operators in the citizens band. Or, to put it another way, it obviously has more of a chance to work then the current FCC enforcement efforts.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

2350. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 06:49:41 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <9cqg3tc652lmva2f16kevlsb7fhkh9cidp@4ax.com>
Source record: 63783
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote:
>In other words, local courts have no jurisdiction over legal stations.

But it can cost you dearly in lawyer's fees to prove that the court has no jurisdiction. Didn't that happen recently in Ark or Ala (Help me out here someone)? The ham was taken to a local court for interfering with his neighbor's electronics. As I recall the ham was finally exonerated, but not until after lots of grief for him...

>Of course, you can be sued over just about everything. Whether the person
>filing the suit will have a chance depends on the suit.

Especially if you make the neighbor hate you enough. Sometimes even worse things than lawsuits can happen if you make someone hate you enough... (Even in NGs, right Steve...)

>For interference problems from a legal station - the court would
>throw the case out.

Maybe not. Lots of bad court decisions have to be appealed. Lawyers love it. Bottom line: *if possible* complete cooperation with your neighbor is usually best...

2351. Re: Way worse than any Voober!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 06:52:31 UTC
From: Miles Davis <MDD327@AOL.COM>
Message-ID: <919spt$t8q$1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>
Source record: 63784

I did it twice.
"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3A3867E4.2F96718E@hotmail.com...
> Make sure to go to the bottom of the page and select
> "1-WORST" then click "VOTE"!
>
>
> RMoretta wrote:
> >
> > Behold:
> >
> > http://www.angelfire.com/hiphop/w7sns/w7sns.htm

2352. Re: WHAT IS IT YOU WANT AARON??????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 06:53:59 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A386E87.B9F2B4B6@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63785

How about your numbers? A fake my-deja.com address...
a DSL line in Houston...swbell.net...should I probe
some more????

Tell Miss Janet WT56, I said hello. I talk to her every few days.
Make sure she's OK. Help her put her Christmas lights up. If I
wasn't 1500 miles away, I would have helped her.

I also talk to E.W. 202 every few days on his way to work.

curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:

> are you sure about those numbers?,...i inserted those numbers in the
> whois info request and i got nothing, they do look legit
> however,......cd is out.......

2353. Re: A bust happens from new law!! HAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 06:54:26 UTC
From: kc2elo <kirk_mefford@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <919qr1$4v2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63786

Aren't you getting a little excited too soon? This guy wasn't busted.
He wasn't fined, jailed, or even arrested. So where is the "busted"
part? A warning is not being "busted". He might have been "caught" so
to speak but there wasn't anything the officer could do to him at that
point so the guy got off scott free. The guy with the radio could have
easily shut the door on the officer and gone back to what he was doing
if he wanted to. Granted if he had then yeah, he would be busted
eventually but so far he wasn't, so try again bucko.

In article <20001214012320.19870.00003991@ng-ft1.aol.com>,
n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> Yeehawwwwww! I told you lily livered sapsuckers it was gonna happen!!!
>
> HAHAHAHAHAHHHAHAHAHHAHAHHAHAHAHHAHAHAHHHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHHAHAHA!
>
> Subject: I got to obseve a bust!
> From: "Fred Hambrecht" Fred@w4jle.com
> Date: 12/12/2000 21:13 PM Eastern Standard Time
> Message-id: <916m17rlj1@iac5.navix.net>
>
> I had a chance to ride with one of the local police today on a visit
to a
> CBers house. He had been asked a number of times to cease and desist
with
> his overpowered illegal operations.
>
> This person has been the bane of existence to all his neighbors
around here,
> he was handed a copy of the latest federal law, along with the
purposed
> local laws. It was made clear that he would be the target of the
first test
> case under the new law. I observed him trying to justify his rights
to do
> what he wanted on the CB band. He stated that he had heard the FCC no
> longer controlled CB along with a litany of other excuses. The local
law
> officer explained in a respectful manner that he would shortly have
> jurisdiction and he should consider this as his final warning. "Hey!
you
> mean your serious?" was his response, the officer responded "as
serious as a
> heart attack" at that point he walked back in the house and brought
out what

> he refereed to as a "ModgeBox" and handed it over to the officer. I
believe
> that this law is going to work. Any way Ga231 sends all the good
numbers...
> He's going 10-7
>
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2354. Re: Illegal Op Busted Thanks to HR2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 07:10:10 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <919rog$5h7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63788

I love this part...

> He stated that he had heard the FCC no longer controlled CB, along
>with a litany of other excuses.

...and he was busted anyway.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2355. FS: Barely Used Texas Star DX-400 $200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 07:42:07 UTC
From: Christopher Jou <jouc@oit.edu>
Message-ID: <3A3879CE.61DED346@oit.edu>
Source record: 63791

I have a barely used Texas Star DX-400 for sale. It's already been converted to 10 meters. I'd give it a 10 out of 10. Hasn't been mobile, still includes the mounting bracket. Makes a good Christmas present. Asking $200 + 15 shipped USPS
Lower 48 only thanks!

Chris

2356. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 07:47:50 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A387B26.42EE1B31@oio.net>
Source record: 63789
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Aaron,
There were two cases in Mississippi, don't know about
Arkansas, and at least two cases that I know of in Oklahoma.
One of the cases in Oklahoma did cost the ham a few bucks,
but there were extenuating cercumstances. The other case
that I'm aware of in Oklahoma, cost the ham involved about
$3.00 to make the response to charges 'look' good by putting
it in a folder. Also cost maybe 45 minutes, including waiting
till the case was heard. You don't need a lawyer.
(Been there, done that, cost me $3.00)
'Doc

2357. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 07:48:54 UTC
From: Steve Hanis <wxfreak@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <GX_Z5.54048$_O.4653411@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 63790

<curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:919e31rdk1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <kLFZ5.42468$EA6.4414832@typhoon.kc.rr.com>,
> "Steve Hanis" <wxfreak@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > Try to work it out with him. If all else fails contact the FCC and
> ask for
> > assistance in getting your local PD involved under the new law:
> Public Law
> > No: 106-521
> > http://thomas.loc.gov/cgi-bin/bdquery/z?d106:HR02346:@@@X
> > Or get a walkie-talkie on an unfiltered supply and plug his ears.
> >
> > "Chas" <eat@joes.com> wrote in message
> >
> news:9B29335BD0224362.18BD83ED49D54271.A8683CEF8A2D3F38@lp.airnews.net..
> .
> > > Why do some of you CB people run illegal power?
> > >
> > > All you do is interfere with other peoples lives. How would you like
> > > to wake up in the middle of the night hearing voices. It was coming
> > > from my phone witch was on the receiver. Echoing whistles and other
> > > odd noises on my stereo while watching a rented DVD movie. I bet he
> is
> > > running several hundred watts. Saw the amp setting on his desk the
> > > first time I complained. How bad he bleeds varies, I guess to how
> much
> > > power he has on. Turning screws and cutting circuits in the radio
> > > dirtying up the signal is bad enough but amplifying it just makes it
> > > worse.
> > > I hope the US government makes people get CB licenses again.
> > > Congress has a bill pending to give local police authority to in
> force
> > > FCC rules governing CBs. God I hope it passes. A few bad apples are
> > > going to kill it for all of you.
> > >
> > > Just had to vent a little. My new neighbor is driving me mad.
> > >
> > >
> > > Chas
> >
> >
>
> oh come on steve, do you have to the call the damn cops, oh
> please,.......cd is out.........

>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

What would you suggest?

2358. Re: Way worse than any Voober!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 07:57:59 UTC
From: Steve Hanis <wxfreak@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <b4%Z5.54050$_O.4654879@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 63792

That's frequency Art Bell runs. I'll be dog gone.

"RMoretta" <rmoretta@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001213222150.21667.00005412@ng-fj1.aol.com...
> Behold:
>
> http://www.angelfire.com/hiphop/w7sns/w7sns.htm
>

2359. F.S. Cobra 2000 GTL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 08:50:35 UTC
From: lowrida24@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001214035035.10885.00001822@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Source record: 63793

I have a cobra 2000 in great shape. it has channels, variable power and ext spkr. It also comes with box, and manual.It was made in 1992. This is the best looking cobra 2000 I have ever seen. I am asking $300 plus shipping.

2360. Re: Aaron On Hiatus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 09:53:42 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A3898A6.868CE802@qnet.com>
Source record: 63850

Hello B.P.P.: Yes we see this all the time.

The poster or posters uses aol, deja, and other anonymous address's.
Nothing new. Posting anonymously really blows any credibility away.

Wonder why they post anonymously, maybe they don't want mother to find
out.

Jay in the Mojave

BigPoppaPump wrote:
>
> n8wmm and aaron both post from aol perhaps it is the same asshole do a
> search on aaron voobner anywhere usa there is no such person. seems to me he
> gets on aol then signs on to his deja news account why else would you get a
> deja account when you could post from aol's NG ...makes you wonder ...
>
> <aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:9193uqjfv1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > I'll be out of town until the 19th.
> >
> > So you guys are free to scream and shout with joy about how wonderful it
> > is to be illegal, how unfair the rules are, how big and powerful your
> > amp is, how no one can stop you on the freeband, etc.
> >
> > Enjoy. See you when I get back.
> >
> > Aaron H. Voobner
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/

2361. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 09:54:58 UTC
From: RadioFree <OldMcDonald@eieio.com>
Message-ID: <SN0_5.1061$gT4.323819@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63794
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3A386A33.8FDAC4C6@sccoast.net...
> Phil Kane wrote:
> >
> > You may be right about people being "tired"
> > of "interference", but please see my extensive
> > posts in rec.radio.amateur.policy for the
> > history and analysis of the Feingold Bill which
> > is a pure pizzin' contest between local
> > jurisdiction and Federal jurisdiction.
> >
> > I stand on my statements about the causative
> > factors of that Bill, which I have been tracking
> > and opposing on jurisdictional grounds for several
> > years. What professional background do you bring
> > to substantiate your claims? [snip]
> >
> > 73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP
> > Principal Attorney (retired)
> > Communications Law Center
> > San Francisco, CA
>
>
> Phil, you need to get off the power trip about your qualifications.
> First of all, they are not all that impressive. Second, since most

What are your qualifications, Dwight? I mean, *besides* announcing the
passage of HR2346 with a big grin and wielding it like a club in every group
that could possibly be of interest to CBers... Like this little gem from
Dwight in RRC:

Message-ID: <3A27619E.22EAE3F6@sccoast.net>

I was just wondering something about HR-2346? Since this allows
local legislators to write laws based on FCC regulations, will that include
the forfeiture amounts spelled out in the FCC regulations? In other words,
are we talking about local courts handing out fines up to $20,000 for each
rule violation? If so, that will certainly encourage local law enforcement
officers to go after CB'ers with a vengeance (there are very few $20,000
speeding tickets).

I can see the glee on your face as you wrote that...

> people in these newsgroups seem to judge opinions on their own merit,

Or total lack thereof...

> not by the real or imagined qualifications of the person posting, I

I bet you wouldn't say that to Riley.... Or Mr. Feingold....

> suspect that JR, or anyone else who post opinions in this newsgroup, has
> just as much credibility behind those opinions as you do.

Dwight, you and JR are possessors of neither credibility nor qualification to speak to the causative factors of HR2346. You're a gang of two CB haters angry that anyone could oppose the legislation of your dreams on something as meaningless to you as "jurisdictional grounds", which for those who care about proper implementation of legislation is a VERY meaningful thing, your evident hatred of CB and CBers notwithstanding, and my opposition to HR2346 (although I am a legal CBer) also notwithstanding.

<SNIP "cause everybody hates CBers just like us" Rant>

Other than the fact that JR is a longtime asinine troll in rec.radio.cb... An endless source of such monosyllabic one-liners as "typical cb'er" and "what did you expect
from a cb'er", and Technician Dwight being a more literate anti-CBer hammering out his vengeance on the CBers in misc.transport.road, misc.transport.trucking, and of course rec.radio.cb, sure, they've both got HARD opinion credibility on the causative factors of the Feingold Bill. In their pants, that is.

RadioFree

2362. WTB MIC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 10:12:47 UTC
From: Rod Marsh <rredbeak2@dingoblue.net.au>
Message-ID: <3a389f1c$0$19424$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 63795

HI guys,im after a GME TX 3000 mic,the one with the telephone plug fitted instead of the regualr one.Cheers,Rod

2363. Re: cross post to the Ham NG's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 11:03:56 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A38A91C.5A290A0F@qnet.com>
Source record: 63855

Hello Wild Bill: I am all for going toe to toe and nose to nose, but
the guys on the ham newsgroups don't like the flamers either.

Just look at rec.radio.amateur.misc a few days maybe a week back, one of
the bozo's said "come on over to rec.radio.cb and help out. The lions
majority said he was a ass hole, and who cares.

You handle these Mommas boys, bet wetting, butterfly chasing, tree
hugging people like anyone else whos wants attention, you just don't
give to them.

Beside most all the hams where CB'ers at one time or another, any way.
So cross posting to the ham ng's won't make any money IMHO.

Jay in the Great Mojave Desert.

WildBill wrote:
>
> thats right Doc a dose of the same medicine
> "'Doc" <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message news:3A36AC85.DFA62971@oio.net...
> >
> >
> > WildBill wrote:
> > >
> > > I say fukem all lets cross post to all the ham NG's any bullshit you can
> > > think of post it there lets start a little game of suck my ass
> >
> > Nothing like causing more problems...
> > 'Doc

2364. Re: Aaron On Hiatus from spanking ILLEGAL ops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 12:46:08 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001214074608.22707.00001585@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 63796

Dont let the screen door hit you in the ass...and oh yeah go study so you too can be Legal...lol....p.s. take n8wwm wit'ya

2365. Re: Davemade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 12:49:58 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001214074958.22707.00001586@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 63849

i run ghostrider right now with dave made driver,,,,,but bladerunner is a good box either ac or dc cause i had an 8-pill that was mean ...big volts and i talked skip on it all day {22vdc charge}

2366. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 12:53:20 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a38c2be.5481460@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63797

On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 03:40:29 GMT, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>In article <3a37e9c7.21410424@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
>wrote:
>
>> On Wed, 13 Dec 2000 14:59:20 -0500, Coax Length Police
>> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >snip categorical denial of reality<
>>
>> I am not going to sit here and argue with someone that doesn't have
>> his facts straight about something that anyone can read for themself.
>
>Translation: "I cannot disprove anything you wrote."
>
>I posed a number of questions that point out what appear to be problems
>in what you claim. If you have the facts straight, why don't you help
>us out? Just answer the questions.
<snip>
>Why don't you give us one quote that disproves anything that I have
>said?

I'll do better. Starting at page 513 of Robert Kellejian's "Applied
Electronic Communication", ISBN 0-574-21535-2, summarized. My own
comments are in parentheses.

When the impedances of a source and a load are mismatched, part or all
of the incident power is reflected. (This is NOT a standing wave.) The
reflected power is sent back to the source and dissipated in the
source impedance.

Add a transmission line. When the source impedance is equal to the
line impedance, and assuming a lossless line, it acts as if the load
is directly connected to the source, and reflected power from a load
mismatch originates from the line/load connection.

Alright, now you have incident and reflected power travelling along
the same transmission line. The phase velocities are the same, but the
phase relationships are different, and their phasing interaction is
what causes standing waves. They are called 'standing' waves because
they don't move, and can actually be measured along the transmission
line.

A standing wave along a transmission line behaves just like an
antenna, in that it has points of high voltage and points of high

current which are 1/4w apart from each other. The point that has high voltage is also a point of high impedance, and the point of high current is a point of low impedance. When this happens, the transmission line does not behave as predicted because the line is designed for a fixed impedance. Power is therefore lost in the transmission line and is radiated away as both heat and RF.

Back to the phase relationships. When the coax length changes, so does the phase relationship, and therefore the location of the standing wave (assuming the mismatch, and the incident and reflected power are the same). If the standing wave detector is fixed to the source, and the length of the coax is varied for 1/4 wave, it will detect a change in the standing wave equivalent to the full amplitude variation of the standing wave, including a zero point where it will not be detected. (Bear in mind that the reflected power does not change, but the standing wave is simply moved to a point along the transmission line where it cannot be detected.)

Now back to the transmission line. Granted no line is perfect. But a perfect coaxial transmission line will contain all the RF within the shield. This is assuming that the impedance of the power transmitted through the line is equal to that of the line. When it is not equal, as in the case of a standing wave, containment fails and the shield radiates RF. If the transmission line is a multiple of 1/4w and positioned in a straight line, no harmonics are radiated. But as we all know, that is almost never the case. (In the case of mobile installs, however, the harmonics are generally contained within the vehicle).

If this doesn't satisfy you, I guess you'll have to actually read a book, and then learn how to use a meter.

Sparky, FLRC

2367. Re: CB interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 12:53:30 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a38c2c2.5485744@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63848

On Wed, 13 Dec 2000 17:03:49 -0500 (EST), twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:

> Well, hell Sparky! Everyone knows I'm in the land of sand! Our laws are
>extremely similar to TX. from what I understand, and you can cite all
>the federal propaganda you want! If you have reasonable 'cause to
>believe you or your property is in IMMEDIATE danger, or feel your life
>is threatened in any way, you can shoot.

Life, but not property. I checked several states, and none of them allows the use of 'deadly' or 'lethal force' to be used in defence of property, only in defence of life or defence from serious bodily harm. Those must have been really nasty mushrooms for that farmer to think his life was in danger and shoot those kids. Are you sure he wasn't grazing in the field himself?

Sparky, FLRC

2368. Re: Do NOT Tolerate Illegal CBers!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 13:02:59 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001214080259.22707.00001588@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 63851

n8wwm=rat.....who would of thought that!?

2369. Re: CB interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 13:35:12 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18701-3A38CC90-11@storefull-124.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63853

Who knows Sparky? He may have been worried they were gonna steal his still. He was convicted of charges of posessing illegal firearms, and that's it. Jury trial, everything else was dropped. I remember the families were outraged, then you had the media here saying if they weren't druggies, they wouldn't of been there. The guy is still on his farm, but he has a low profile now and keeps to himself. It really divided the County at times.

2370. Re: WHAT IS IT YOU WANT AARON??????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 13:36:18 UTC
From: Mr Mushroom <mrmshrm@excite.com>
Message-ID: <m14_5.7217$d62.541143@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63852

Watta Mess!
<curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:919gfv$t94$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <20001213220938.05077.00000181@nso-mf.aol.com>,
> icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD (COLD as ICE) wrote:
> > In article <915lbhlhg1@nnrp1.deja.com>, curious_dan@my-deja.com
> writes:
> >
> > >WHAT DO YOU WANT AARON. WHY ARE YOU HERE???????,.......cd is out...
> >
> > He is not sure himself. He just cant stop coming here now! I think he
> is
> > addicted!
> >
> > COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It
> don't
> > mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!
> >
>
>
> i think you are right cold, there is just something about this cb radio
> stuff. even the hams that used to be cber's just can't seem to shake
> the feeling. imagine that. by their own admissions, these guys can go
> wherever they want and talk legally but somehow they have time to come
> on 11 meters and key up their stock qrp mudduck radios. this is
> something i cannot fathom. why on earth would these guys come on 11
> meters, i mean the heterodyne, the skip , etc ,is so bad, hell you
> cannot even talk local until it simmers down everynight. but boy i tell
> you, these guys look for anything and everything they can to try and
> get somebody in trouble on the 11 meter band. i ask you . who the hell
> invited these mudducks to come on here and talk all this noise about
> legalities, comode, comode,.......cd is out.................
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2371. Re: Illegal Op Busted Thanks to HR2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 13:37:46 UTC
From: kc2elo <kirk_mefford@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <91aif9mbn1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63854

In article <919rog$5h7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:
> I love this part...
>
> > He stated that he had heard the FCC no longer controlled CB, along
> >with a litany of other excuses.
>
> ...and he was busted anyway.

once again....
NO he wasn't "busted"

damn why can't you people read.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2372. Re: Yet another antenna myth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 13:46:44 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A38CF44.C99FE999@qnet.com>
Source record: 63872

Hello JR: Stick to perching about all us criminals, with that Barny Fife "arrest grandma for jay walking" attitude. Remember Andy wants to you to keep your bullet in your shirt pocket.

My antenna tuner matches the impedance of the coax to the antenna, thus transferring max power. Thats why it works so well.

Ladder line! yeah right when it starts to win shoot outs.

Jay in the Mojave

JR wrote:
>
> So what's the problem? Bill N. is correct. Some antenna systems operate
> with a high SWR. A long wire fed with ladder line is a good antenna and a
> high SWR is expected, that is why it is fed with a tuner and the antenna
> works very well. You show your ignorance of the subject.
>
> twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
>
> > Well, there you have it. Freddy, in all his infinite wisdom, endorses
> > SWR of 10 to 1 and higher. "Nuff said!

2373. Re: Is These Prices Too High on a Davemade or what ? Look>>> Prices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 13:51:06 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18701-3A38D04A-12@storefull-124.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63856

I agree, get a good antenna, lowest match possible, excellent grounding.....and then add your big box....don't forget to recalibrate and tune.

2374. Re: Yet another antenna myth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 13:54:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18701-3A38D0F8-13@storefull-124.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63858

Well, there you have it. Freddy, in all his infinite wisdom, endorses
SWR of 10 to 1 and higher. "Nuff said!

2375. Re: Why is it that Tech's are the biggest complainers about CBamps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 14:04:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18701-3A38D350-14@storefull-124.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63857

Never looked at it that way, Miles...maybe it is and they'll soon be gooooooooone!

2376. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 14:17:22 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <2clh3t8omqvjlr99c4i1v09f4s8f59e676@4ax.com>
Source record: 63859

Greetings!

Sorry George...I see that you will always troll for stuff - and you
pulled the plug this time.

On Wed, 13 Dec 2000 15:20:16 -0500, while the modem was ice fishing
with the Mouse, Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com> dissertated
the following:

<TROLL_FILTER>

...[...]... Hmmm, pretty empty ...

</TROLL_FILTER>

Sorry George, there's nothing left to troll on about.

I think you need to re-work the Smith Chart.

You missed the point about Phase...you're going in circles.

Regards! |
.:+> Andy <+: |
. |
... You could be a CB'er when |
... The radio and the antenna]|[
... costs more than the car ---^---
... is worth... /_______\
. |oo|===|oo|
. http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc [=========]
. |_| |_|
http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

2377. FS. 2KW BASE AMP.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 14:23:20 UTC
From: ripsy@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001214092320.10885.00001844@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Source record: 63860

KODIAK TWO. BASE TUBE AMP. 110V.
EXELLENT CONDITION 2KW PEP $700 + SHIPPING FROM MN.
ALSO HAVE PALOMAR 300A BASE TUBE AMP 650 PEP. $300 + SHIPPING
DAVEMADE M-400 $300
GOOSERIDER 1X2
X-FORCE 2X4
TRAM 201 COVERS 26.570-27.660. UNLOCKED CLARIFIER. L/M/H/POWER. STOCK D104 MIC
TIME TO CLEAN OUT THE CLOSET
CAN EXCEPT VISA/MASTERCARDS THREW BILLPOINT

2378. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 14:32:06 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <9klh3t0kvhv3oujvnv9q42opcgflsg9r87@4ax.com>
Source record: 63861

Greetings!

Whap! Whap!

Hello, you guys are stuck with the "antenna" part. Never getting past the damn tree to see the forest.

"Antenna System" because the antenna, now includes the coax. It's now the antenna! Yes, thats right. A simple unbalanced feedline now acts like a radiator. Ponderous thought isn't it?

[WOW!] You guys should check your glasses. You're not reading the textbooks expiration dates correct.

Boy, you gotta' luv these guys...

I'm not going connect all the dots for you. Many people believe what they want. I've taken the time to at least make an effort.

Quite wasting my time on this. If you guys can't figure out the difference between which end of the coax you should be using, then maybe you shouldn't post such contradictory stuff.

Hey, the problem with the whole coax length issue is dealing with the antenna location. It's simply not resonate there, but just a few feet away, that very same antenna - *WHAM* BINGO - a 1:1 SWR - WHAZZAP?

Boy are you guys a lot of help - the answer to the problem in most of the cases is due to location.

But, no...no one wants to cooperate and share the idea and focus on the end result.

I have yet to hear anything of a logical answer to the kids question, from you or George. If coax length doesn't matter - you guys are really naive.

I tried and it's pretty close to the answer you'd get "out there". And since I don't see any of your posts answering, nor GeorgesMAC - I think you guy like to troll. You never answer this type of question ever, and all you guys think up on this, is the SAME crap and BS I see everytime.

Bother me later. When you have an understanding of what I'm talking about.

You guys need a life.

On 14 Dec 2000 06:31:57 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with the
Mouse, Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> dissertated the following:

:+> Handy Andy <CodysPC@iserve.net> wrote:
:+>
:+> : Ok, I see your point, and here we go again. As far as Radio Shack,
:+> : take a trip to their store and ask for the manual to their Deluxe
:+> : Magnetic Mount CB antenna - or any CB antenna that has a pamphlet with
:+> : the wrap. They are WARNING you not to trim the coax. I'd like to know
:+> : why, aside from ruining their pretty job of soldering the PL259 end
:+> : on. ;-) These are the complete kits - antenna, coax, mount.
:+>
:+> : Adjusting coax length affects impedance. A typical SWR meter not only
:+> : looks for voltage, but impedance - correct?
:+>
:+> Wrong. Adjust coax length affects the input impedance of the coax. It
:+> does not affect the impedance of the coax, or the match between the coax
:+> and the antenna.
:+>
:+> : Then what we see at the end of a section of coax is a conjugate
:+> : imepdance with the coax and the antenna working together. If
:+> : everything is put together right, the coax isn't part of the equation.
:+> : It's simply an unbalanced feedline to a balanced load.
:+>
:+> Nope.
:+>
:+> : e.g. - 25ohms - 5j [a capacitive loading scheme]
:+>
:+> : As we can look at the smith chart, if I'm not mistaken, I can see SWR
:+> : ranging from 2.7 to around 1.2 - roughly 180 ohm [using no formula to
:+> : figure this as an unbalanced feed line of about 6 feet - just notes]
:+> : to 36 ohms at about 17 feet in length of adjustement range if we use a
:+> : typical section of RG 58 coax.
:+>
:+> If you use the Smith Chart correctly, you will see a constant SWR of
:+> approximately 2:1 - anywhere along the feedline length.
:+>
:+> : Ok, if I'm right, how come the above equation works for developing a
:+> : working low SWR using a section of coax? If nothing else - does this
:+> : mean that we are making a transmatch using a coaxial line?
:+>
:+> No.
:+>
:+> : Now, all I'm going to help you with is give you this hint. A lot of
:+> : base loaded antennas on the market offer a lot of capacitance. With
:+> : the above conjugate equation, this is about the typical CB'er install
:+> : that has a poor ground. The antenna is asking for length. Adjusting
:+> : the coax - means that the antenna is not resoant at it's current
:+> : location and configuration - we need to do something.
:+>
:+> Adjusting the coax will not change the resonant frequency of the

:+> antenna. That can only be changed by adjustments to the antenna.

. Pow! POP! BLAM!
Regards! (0) (0) (~) (~) (~)
.:+> Andy <+: *
... You know your antenna is too long when, /
... streetlights explode as you pass under /
... them... ___ /
... ____/ ____ /
... [_.-._____.-._]|
... (0) (0) ==)) --))

http://ww.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

2379. Re: CB interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 14:41:34 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a38dafb.212285@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63862

On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 08:35:12 -0500 (EST), twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:

> Who knows Sparky? He may have been worried they were gonna steal his
>still. He was convicted of charges of posessing illegal firearms, and
>that's it. Jury trial, everything else was dropped.

Probably a technicality. That sucks sewage for the kid's families.

> I remember the
>families were outraged, then you had the media here saying if they
>weren't druggies, they wouldn't of been there.

That's no excuse, they could have been kids out cow-tipping, or just
looking for a lost dog.

> The guy is still on his
>farm, but he has a low profile now and keeps to himself. It really
>divided the County at times.

Sparky, FLRC

2380. Re: Yet another antenna myth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 14:42:32 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <8vmh3t0r9vjvl7290kk0q5deof4lke1pk2@4ax.com>
Source record: 63863

Greetings!

Is that what that stuff is?

On Wed, 13 Dec 2000 23:48:44 -0500, while the modem was ice fishing
with the Mouse, "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> dissertated the
following:

:+>... Anyone worrying about reducing a 1.5:1 down to 1.2:1 must
:+> also enjoy picking fly deification out of the pepper.

The idea of F/S testing YES! go, man - go!

Circle the Wagons! We're under siege!

Regards! ___________
:+> Andy <+: | Notice! |
. | |
... You know your CB is working \ \
... when...the FCC sends you a QSL _________\
... card and a Summons!

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

2381. Re: Fear of Mark Fuller?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 14:44:53 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <v3nh3t0f2ppm8gjsbi8poospuelvht3kf0@4ax.com>
Source record: 63864

Greetings!

On Wed, 13 Dec 2000 20:42:05 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
the Mouse, aaronvoobner@my-deja.com dissertated the following:

...[...]...

:+> Which brings us to me, and this newsgroup.
:+>
:+> Sterve, I wonder if you can help me out here, something is really
:+> puzzling me.
:+>
:+> I think people should operate their CB radios legally. That's my
:+> philosophy in a nutshell.

Does this include DARUI - Driving A Radio Under Influence?

Your thoughts?

Regards! _____
:+> Andy <+: | Notice! |
. | |
... You know your CB is working \ \
... when...the FCC sends you a QSL _________\
... card and a Summons!

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

2382. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 14:49:01 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3A38DDC4.60371332@sccoast.net>
Source record: 63865
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

RadioFree wrote:
>
> What are your qualifications, Dwight? I mean,
> *besides* announcing the passage of HR2346
> with a big grin and wielding it like a club
> in every group that could possibly be of
> interest to CBers... Like this little gem
> from Dwight in RRC:

My qualifications are none of your business, RadioFree. I'm not about to get into a "my qualifications are bigger than your qualifications" debate. Besides, like I said in the previous message, qualifications are not an issue in these newsgroups unless you choose make them so (which Phil did).

As for my messages about HR-2346, if I remember correctly, I've only posted three messages about this subject since the passage of HR-2346 (and one of those related to marine radio regulations, not anything about HR-2346 itself). So your suggestion that I've been posting comments about HR-2346 "in every group that could possibly be of interest to CB'ers" is a boldfaced lie.

In fact, the only reason I posted a response in this thread was because it is cross-posted to a couple of ham radio newsgroups (which is where I saw it). I very rarely even look at the CB radio newsgroup, simply because I'm not really that interested in CB radio today.

> Dwight, you and JR are possessors of neither
> credibility nor qualification to speak to the
> causative factors of HR2346.

RadioFree, I don't really care what you think about either my credibility, or my qualifications. First of all, after your obvious lie above (and below), I certainly have far more credibility than you do. And you don't know a thing about my qualifications, so you have no mandate to judge them.

> You're a gang of two CB haters angry that anyone
> could oppose the legislation of your dreams on
> something as meaningless to you as "jurisdictional
> grounds", which for those who care about proper
> implementation of legislation is a VERY meaningful
> thing, [snip]

When I see meaningful "jurisdictional grounds," then I'll be glad to discuss them. However, so far, all that I've seen is a bunch of nonsense. If there were the "jurisdictional grounds" you claim, then certainly the lawyers at the FCC, the Congress, or the White House, would have already addressed them long ago. In other words, they simply don't exist (other than in your mind).

And it is a little absurd to suggest that just because I support HR-2346, I therefore hate CB'ers. HR-2346 is not anti-CB legislation. Instead, it is legislation designed to address those who abuse the citizens band radio service through the use of improper radio equipment, or improper radio operations.

> [snip] Dwight [snip] hammering out his vengeance on the
> CBers in misc.transport.road, misc.transport.trucking,
> and of course rec.radio.cb,

Sir, you really are a liar. I've never posted a single message, on any subject, in either one of those transport newsgroups. In fact, neither of those newsgroups are even listed as available through my newsgroup server. Therefore, not only do you lack overall credibility, you don't rate very high as a truthful human being either.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

2383. The Antenna Man inexpensive CB radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 15:03:20 UTC
From: The Antenna Man <buzzards@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Yi5_5.445184$4d.58391931@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 63866

Hello, please check out my CB radios on Yahoo. I offer multi-purchase deals. Thank you for looking!

Look here: http://user.auctions.yahoo.com/user/mikewj2d

2384. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 15:27:44 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A378747.7D4A407F@some.net>
Source record: 63867
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Like you do, now that's a laugh.

RadioFree wrote:

>
> Dwight, you and JR are possessors of neither credibility nor qualification
> to speak to the causative factors of HR2346.

2385. Re: Yet another antenna myth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 15:35:54 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A37893A.D2D0BE89@some.net>
Source record: 63868

So what's the problem? Bill N. is correct. Some antenna systems operate with a high SWR. A long wire fed with ladder line is a good antenna and a high SWR is expected, that is why it is fed with a tuner and the antenna works very well. You show your ignorance of the subject.

twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:

> Well, there you have it. Freddy, in all his infinite wisdom, endorses
> SWR of 10 to 1 and higher. "Nuff said!

2386. PRESIDENT G W BUSH,.....FINALLY.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 15:46:50 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91aq17$t6q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63870

thanks for the memories comrade algoreski. oh yes, sorry but no pardon for clintonoski or the clintonistas either. you are going to jail boy. and another thing. we don't want to hear about the sand pile again for a very long time, please. oh yes, i bet prime minister had to put in a call to algoreski requesting his million dollars back, because now he is back in deep doo doo especially after dubya cleans out the vermin in the justice department,.....cd is out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2387. Re: illegal who really cares

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 15:49:31 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91aq67$t92$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63869

In article <WYWZ5.337$zO6.112700@news.pacbell.net>,
"The Landshark" <s3buell@pacbell.net> wrote:
> You see that is the way a good little
> storm trooper is, blind and can not see.
> All he's concerned about is illegal,illegal
> that's it. Now I wonder if he speeds, gives
> back the extra change giving by accident,
> dropped a piece of litter, driven his car
> after one to many drinks, gotten oral sex
> from a woman (illegal in some states) the list
> is endless for our little storm trooper.
> No he's not willing to see it like a judge
> would, that's clear. At least a judge is going to
> see this with an open mind, not a closed trap.
>
> The Landshark
>
> --
> It's a Buell-tiful Day for a ride
> through the Canyons.
>
> 1999 Ford F-150 Super Cab
> 1998 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
> 1983 Honda 1100F
> BFD #666
> "N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20001213180308.00455.00000034@ng-fw1.aol.com...
> >
> > fingerhiznose@my-deja.com, reflecting the childish position of the
trailer
> > trash, said:
> >
> > >all you say is " If an illegal really cared he
> > >wouldn't be illegal." That just proves that you don't give a damm
about
> > >whether any law is broken or not, all you want to do is piss
everyone
> > >off. If you wanted to debate the issue you would, but you don't.
> > >
> > N8wwm sliced to the heart of the issue with:
> > There is no debating the issue. Illegal is Illegal. Period.
> >
> >
> >

>
>

well shark, have you ever road around without your helment
on?,...hmmm?,....cd is out......

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2388. Re: DaveMade rumor?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 16:10:50 UTC
From: WildBill <wildbill@hose.com>
Message-ID: <ei6_5.1412$gT4.405599@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63871

AARON DAVES HANDLE IS NOT CONCRETE MAN GET IT THRU YOUR THICK HEAD DAVES HANDLE WAS SIDEWINDER HE NO LONGER USES A RADIO .

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:919gr4tkl1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>
>
> In article <U4XZ5.757$gT4.209531@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
> "BigPoppaPump" <freak'Onature@aola.com> wrote:
> > aaron you are wrong agin, xforce is a copy of davemade so #12 dennis did not
> > design the amp for them, and you stated that a guy named Concrete Man not
> > dave in Nj was the guy that runs davemade and that they are part of some
> > cocaine ring. are you fucking nutz or what ? Dont answer that but you are
> > dead wrong again on all counts. Thats the problem you are researching deja
> > news trying to piece togther newsgroup posts to come out here and start
> > shit. But the best thing is half or more of the shit posted in hear is
> > bullshit and alot of bragging,cb myths and lies so your internet detective
> > kit has failed you. You are searching the post in hopes of finding ammo to
> > use against people who post hear. You must be un-employed and have no wife
> > or girl friend since anyone who wastes as much of thier time as you do is in
> > need of some serious medical help
>
> Dear Big Poppa,
>
> Honest to God, I'm trying to pack for my trip and you people are keeping
> me here in this newsgroup with your nonsensical replies.
>
> Look. I heard DaveMade is run by a guy in New Jersey. I also heard he
> deals cocaine. So now what? Is this as of yet unidentified guy in New
> Jersey going to sue me, Aaron Voobner, for defamation of character? I
> can just see it now:
>
> ----------
>

> DAVE: Your honor, Aaron Voobner said my illegal CB amplifier company is
> supported by the sale of cocaine.
>
> JUDGE: What is your complaint, sir?
>
> DAVE: Thanks Judge, you can call me Dave, or my handle, Concrete Man.
> The things is, your honor, I don't want my illegal CB amplifier company
> associated with drugs...
>
> ---
>
> You guys need to get your act together. Hit the books. Figure out what
> rights a manufacturer of FCC-certified-illegal devices has or doesn't
> have. - Aaron
> ---
> ---
>
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2389. Re: Yet another antenna myth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 17:34:49 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <pv7_5.4770$sw.176897@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 63873

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:18701-3A38D0F8-13@storefull-124.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Well, there you have it. Freddy, in all his infinite wisdom, endorses
> SWR of 10 to 1 and higher. "Nuff said!
>

Within the context, his statement is absolutely correct. Again,
multi-band operation is the largest single difference between Amateur and
CB practice.

While we are on the subject, the input impedance of the universe is 377
ohms. You want standing waves, the near field of your perfectly matched
antenna is cluttered with them. Given that the base of a non-loaded
vertical is about 34 ohms and extends to something like 1000-3000 at the
tip, it sure as hell has a 10:1 mismatch at various points along it.

All in how you look at it and what the problem is.

Dick NBK

2390. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 17:40:43 UTC
From: fire228@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91b0mq$3gn$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Phil,

Congratulations on being one of the only people
in the usenet newsgroups able to properly address
the situation surrounding the controversy regarding
HR2346.

I know that in 1974 during the gas crunch that the Electronic Industries Association was under economical pressure to keep the end costs of their consumer electronic equipment to a baseline figure but at the same time had to do something to offset the rising cost of petroleum byproducts used in the manufacturing of same, namely plastics, so they eliminated the curcuitry necessary to prevent such anomalies as front-end harmonic and fundamental overload to said equipment. Now, I'm not saying there was any type of a conspiracy going on here, but if memory serves me correctly, wasn't the FCCs enforcement budget sort of lacking in or about that same time frame?

<snipped>

I had never heard the "story" about the feingold papers, or the story behind what got the ball rolling. Another case of some supposed protection in exchange for our rights via the usual path of "usurpation" of federal authority by the states". Now I am hearing that the newly created "Federal Motor Carriers Safety Administration" is confiscating export radios from truck drivers out on the roads, I just don't know what "authority figures" they are using to enforce this. I suspect it's probably DOT or local law enforcement. Not sure yet but more information should be forthcoming. I have been told that the officers have detailed lists of the manufacturers model names and numbers at the inspection points. Unless the driver can provide a copy of an amateur license authorizing voice communications in the 10 meter amateur band their equipment is being confiscated "on the spot"!

Soon....more updates on my web site at:

http://www.angelfire.com/az/firecommunications/

Very 73,
NAO911/Jack

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.g5j1g64.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>,
"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam> wrote:
> On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 00:42:44 GMT, Dan K Nelson wrote:
>
> >> do nothing! if you do YOU are admitting in court YOU are at fault

you
> >have
> >> LOST your protection in court! you open your self up to various
types
> >> lawsuits the best thing is do nothing!
> >
> >That attitude is no doubt why the legislation was passed allowing
local
> >authorities to enforce FCC regulations regarding unlicensed
transmitters...
>
> The legislation was passed because the local authorities in
> Beloit, Wisconsin did not like the FCC telling the consumer the
> truth: that it was their cheap Home Electronic/Entertainment
> Equipment that needed to be upgraded and protected fromoverload
> and audio rectification.
>
> They jumped to the conclusion that all would be right in God's
> Heaven if they, the locals, could be the radio cops and REALLY
> show them (the FCC). They had enough juice to convince Senator
> Feingold (D-Wisc) to start the ball rolling on such usurpation
> of FCC jurisdiction, and the powers that be inside and outside
> of the FCC who could have stopped such hijacking on exclusive
> Federal jurisdiction grounds stood around and did nothing.
>
> The authority granted to local governments to pass and enforce
> statutes and ordinances about CB radio by the Feingold Bill
> says not one word about interference investigation or
> resolution. All they are authorized to do (wrongly, in my
> professional opinion) is to enforce FCC regulations dealing
> with use of non-type-accepted equipment and overpower and
> out-of-band operation (as if they know what they are doing, but
> that's another matter).
>
> The first local government who passes a statute or ordinance
> which confers upon themselves any authority to investigate and
> resolve interference complaints in any manner other than as
> permitted above or by referring the complainant to the FCC is
> setting themselves up for being on the receiving end of a
> restraining order in Federal District Court, because the
> Federal Courts of Appeal have held for many years that the FCC
> has exclusive jurisdiction in matters of interference
> resolution.
>
> I'm just itching for that one....
>
> >It is not the act of helping, but rather the manner in which you
address
> >your neighbor's complaints that will determine if you give up your
> >protections or not.

>
> The protection exists - and is enforceable - no matter what
> your neighbor thinks about it.....
>
> >However, just blowing them off will surely motivate them
> >to escalate the matter to other authorities.
>
> Let the good times roll, baybee....
>
> The only "other authority" that has any jurisdiction is the
> FCC and it will give them the same line as detailed above.
>
> 73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP
> Principal Attorney (retired)
> Communications Law Center
> San Francisco, CA
>
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2391. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 17:42:52 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <91b0qs$f42$0@208.207.71.173>
Source record: 63874
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dwight, you truly are a genuine blockhead. But we already knew that.

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Phil Kane wrote:
> >
> > You may be right about people being "tired"
> > of "interference", but please see my extensive
> > posts in rec.radio.amateur.policy for the
> > history and analysis of the Feingold Bill which
> > is a pure pizzin' contest between local
> > jurisdiction and Federal jurisdiction.
> >
> > I stand on my statements about the causative
> > factors of that Bill, which I have been tracking
> > and opposing on jurisdictional grounds for several
> > years. What professional background do you bring
> > to substantiate your claims? [snip]
> >
> > 73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP
> > Principal Attorney (retired)
> > Communications Law Center
> > San Francisco, CA
>
> Phil, you need to get off the power trip about your qualifications.
> First of all, they are not all that impressive. Second, since most
> people in these newsgroups seem to judge opinions on their own merit,
> not by the real or imagined qualifications of the person posting, I
> suspect that JR, or anyone else who post opinions in this newsgroup, has
> just as much credibility behind those opinions as you do.
>
> That is especially true when you're flat wrong, which you are. As
> we've discussed before, the situation today in the Citizens Band is the
> direct result of many years of failed FCC enforcement.
>
> Yes, like you so often point out, because of budget restraints, the
> FCC today does not have the money, resources, or personnel, to properly
> enforce the Citizens Band. But that excuse (yes, excuse) was not true
> in the 70's when this problem first started. Nor was it true in the
> early 80's when illegal operations in the citizens band was rare, and
> therefore more easily controllable.
>
> But, the FCC sat on its butt all those years until the problem got

> completely out of control, and now you, and many at the FCC, want
> massive amounts of money to be given to the FCC so that it can attempt
> to get the problem under control.
>
> Now, I realise that, since you once were employed at the FCC, you
> don't want to accept this description of the situation, but the facts
> speak for themselves.
>
> Which brings us to today, and this legislation. It is the feeling of
> many people, myself included, that it would be foolish to give more
> money to the FCC so they can attempt to do a job that they have already
> demonstrated they cannot do. Nor is there any mood in this country to
> see another greatly expanded enforcement agency in Washington.
>
> Oh, I'm sure that you're still insisting that the 20, or so,
> enforcement agents at the FCC can handle the estimated 25 million
> CB'ers, so there is no need for extra personnel, but I think most people
> are smart enough to see right through that nonsense. To enforce the
> citizens band properly, the FCC would have to hire hundreds of new
> enforcement agents, which is simply not desirable in the current trend
> of government downsizing.
>
> So, in the end, that leaves this legislation as the only possible
> solution to the problem. And no, it is not the perfect solution, but it
> is at least something that has a chance to resolve some of the local
> problems caused by illegal operators in the citizens band. Or, to put
> it another way, it obviously has more of a chance to work then the
> current FCC enforcement efforts.
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net

2392. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 17:47:18 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <91b154$p43$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 63875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

With all due respect, I would take Phil's explanation as the Gospel. What you have said, certainly was a part of the chain, however, the actual legislation was as Phil described.

"JR" <jr@some.net> wrote in message news:3A36D318.E1AAE001@some.net...
> The legislation was passed because people are tired of interference caused by
> the illegal operations of cb operators running illegal power, illegal radios
> driving crappy designed amps splattering all over the radio spectrum. The cb
> community brought this legislation upon themselves by refusing to operate
> legally.
>
> Phil Kane wrote:
>
> >
> > The legislation was passed because the local authorities in
> > Beloit, Wisconsin did not like the FCC telling the consumer the
> > truth: that it was their cheap Home Electronic/Entertainment
> > Equipment that needed to be upgraded and protected fromoverload
> > and audio rectification.
> >
> >
>

2393. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 17:55:03 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g5kb870.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Source record: 63877
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Wed, 13 Dec 2000 22:11:17 -0500, Radio Station WGTG wrote:

>check with your lawyer first before you do anything! you are under NO
>OBLIGATION to do anything! FCC rule part 15 is clear look at part 15 the
>label on the device .... MUST accept interference..... it's not your
>problem it is the owners!

Before we go much further, Don, please be informed that I AM
a communications attorney specializing in this field.

The FCC requires licensees (including legal CB/FRS/MURS/Part 15
device operators who are covered under blanket authorizations)
to cooperate to the extent of making reasonable transmissions
to enable the affected device owner's technician to determine
the effectiveness of suppression/protection measures.

A good way to attract lightning from the FCC for operation
"not in the public interest" is to not so cooperate.....

I know this to be a fact because when I was on the FCC staff
(District Director, San Ftancisco) I and several of my
counterparts in other districts initiated the policy and
it became nationwide many years ago.

73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

2394. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 17:55:04 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g5kbl81.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Source record: 63878
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 14 Dec 2000 05:56:56 GMT, Bill Nelson wrote:

> Radio Station WGTG wrote:
>:
>: let me tell you one thing! if YOUR neighbor has RFI from your transmitters
>: do nothing! if you do YOU are admitting in court YOU are at fault you have
>: LOST your protection in court! you open your self up to various types
>: lawsuits the best thing is do nothing!
>
>This is not true. All it means is that you are willing to help the neighbor
>fix the inadequate design of the consumer equipment that is receiving the
>interference. If your station is legal, and you end up in court, it had
>better be Federal Court and be the result of a federal summons.
>
>In other words, local courts have no jurisdiction over legal stations.

In fact, the Federal Courts of Appeal have held that even THEY
do not have jurisdiction to hear nuisance or damage cases
arising from interference complaints - that only the FCC has
jurisdiction where interference is alleged - and they cannot
and will not hear any case except an appeal from a FCC ruling
and then only on procedural grounds, not factual grounds.

73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

2395. Re: Illegal CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 17:59:58 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <t3i2lpl7ksk22c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63879

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:17962-3A37CAC6-35@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Wellllllllll, then Leland, I guess some sort of gratuitous vocabulary
> would be proper in this certain instant. Consider yourself served! Yea,
> I remember that one. No excuses here. I did think you were it. After
> all, look how many multiples he has used and your posts were similar.
> BUT, since you just HAD to go on about the troll, he wasn't getting
> under my skin. I responded to his BS, when I realized that is what he
> wanted, he became the invisible man. Don't be so quick to judge someone
> by what you perceive to be a certain tone of voice..if you step back and
> have a good look at what it was directed at, you will see it wasn't
> shameful at all. On the contrary, it was dead on in content, my aim was
> bad. So, I guess I sort of owe ya' one. (Did I just say that?) Ok! The
> next time you goof, I'll let it go without saying a word. Good for one
> time only. Now we're square.
>

OK.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

2396. Re: Yet another antenna myth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 18:00:44 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14411-3A390ACC-10@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63884

The subject is swr, NOT ladder line OR antenna tuners to bring it in line. How many cbers use ladder lines for normal communicatios? And don't tell me about hammies that use them AND cb. This is the cb ng, and if you stopped trolling long enough and took the time to see what room you were posting to this time,, you would realize this. I wondered how long it was gonna take you to try and wave your stink my way! You bring your little 10 to 1 swr on cb and you pick the freq, you ain't even gotta talk, JR, since we know you will be breaking the law, we wouldn't want you outted as a lawbreaker. (I know more than you would care to guess.) Just give me a time and a freq and you go ahead and deadkey with a swr of 10 to 1 for one minute, see if anyone hears your deadkey on the eleven meter. I'll even make the announcement for you that you are about to do it. See who hears you.
You could damn near utilize a piece of wood for an antenna with an MFJ tuner in theory, but the notion is ridiculous on cb. The post appears to lend credibility that a high swr on cb is ok. It is not, for optimum performance. There are exceptions to just about every rule. Please tell me where this appies to cb.
If I missed anything, I am sure you will defa...er, let me know.

2397. Re: Illegal Op Busted Thanks to HR2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 18:05:16 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001214130516.22749.00001225@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 63880

>once again....
>NO he wasn't "busted"
>
>damn why can't you people read.
>

I can read well. I consider losing his amplifier to be a pretty good bust. Now, if some of the slimers who make 'em get busted soon...that will be even better.

2398. Re: Do NOT Tolerate Illegal CBers!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 18:06:23 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001214130623.22749.00001226@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 63881

>n8wwm=rat.....who would of thought that!?
>

I will rat out illegals ANYTIME.

2399. Re: FS. ILLEGAL 2KW BASE AMP.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 18:09:13 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001214130913.22749.00001227@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 63882

ILLEGAL ILLEGAL ILLEGAL

>Subject: FS. 2KW BASE AMP.
>From: ripsy@aol.com (Ripsy)
>Date: 12/14/2000 9:23 AM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <20001214092320.10885.00001844@ng-cu1.aol.com>
>
>KODIAK TWO. BASE TUBE AMP. 110V.
>EXELLENT CONDITION 2KW PEP $700 + SHIPPING FROM MN.
>ALSO HAVE PALOMAR 300A BASE TUBE AMP 650 PEP. $300 + SHIPPING
>DAVEMADE M-400 $300
>GOOSERIDER 1X2
>X-FORCE 2X4
>TRAM 201 COVERS 26.570-27.660. UNLOCKED CLARIFIER. L/M/H/POWER. STOCK D104
>MIC
>TIME TO CLEAN OUT THE CLOSET
>CAN EXCEPT VISA/MASTERCARDS THREW BILLPOINT

2400. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 18:14:07 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <91b2lf$j4s$2@208.207.71.173>
Source record: 63883
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> RadioFree wrote:
> >
> > What are your qualifications, Dwight? I mean,
> > *besides* announcing the passage of HR2346
> > with a big grin and wielding it like a club
> > in every group that could possibly be of
> > interest to CBers... Like this little gem
> > from Dwight in RRC:
>
> My qualifications are none of your business, RadioFree. I'm not about
> to get into a "my qualifications are bigger than your qualifications"
> debate.

Would that perchance be because you lack them?

Besides, like I said in the previous message, qualifications
> are not an issue in these newsgroups unless you choose make them so
> (which Phil did).
>

Had you good sense you'd know by know that Phil Kane does NOT lack
them.
End of story.

2401. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 18:18:15 UTC
From: RadioFree <OldMcDonald@eieio.com>
Message-ID: <H98_5.1805$Tq1.458957@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63885
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message >

<snip>
> As for my messages about HR-2346, if I remember correctly, I've only
> posted three messages about this subject since the passage of HR-2346
<snip>

More than 50 (closer to 150, but I'm trying to be conservative) cross-posted replies split between TWO MISC.TRANSPORT groups from a Technician Class individual with the call sign W5NET and the name Dwight Stewart arrived at misc.transport.road and/or misc.transport.trucking. The archived posts are available for anyone to count and confirm at Deja.com. I don't lie, sir.

Here are just a few excerpts from your 50+ posts to BOTH misc.transport.trucking AND misc.transport.road. I've already shown a good one from rec.radio.cb - but I'll include it again, since you felt the need to snip it from your response without explanation or admission. All excerpts (excluding the first) were found in EITHER or BOTH misc.transport groups you deny ever posting in.

I was just wondering something about HR-2346? Since this allows local legislators to write laws based on FCC regulations, will that include the forfeiture amounts spelled out in the FCC regulations? In other words, are we talking about local courts handing out fines up to $20,000 for each rule violation? If so, that will certainly encourage local law enforcement officers to go after CB'ers with a vengeance (there are very few $20,000 speeding tickets).

And I guess that is where we differ in our outlook. You see possible widespread police abuse, while I see a possible solution to a widespread abuse problem that has existed for years. With our different outlooks, I seriously doubt that we would ever agree.

If you don't agree with that, then I suggest that you gather up all your CB buddies and rush out to change those laws. However, don't count on my support - I agree with the laws in question (including the proposed one).

Therefore, I don't really share your concern. But, in the end, we'll have to watch this carefully to see how well it is working. Until then, I'm not worried.

Second, it should help to reduce the bad public impression that hobby radio in general has thanks to antics of the CB'ers over the years.

So, the only solution left is to allow local enforcement. In other words, in my opinion, even bad local enforcement would be far better than what we have now from the FCC (which is virtually no enforcement).

> Sir, you really are a liar. I've never posted a single message, on
> any subject, in either one of those transport newsgroups. In fact,

Especially not with the subject "Re: HR 2346 STATE & LOCAL COPS TO ENFORCE CB RADIO REGS" which was the topic in EVERY SINGLE ONE of the 50+ replies I reference. Strange that you wouldn't remember posting or replying there even ONCE.

-Ahem-

> neither of those newsgroups are even listed as available through my
> newsgroup server. Therefore, not only do you lack overall credibility,
> you don't rate very high as a truthful human being either.

Sir, if those groups aren't available through your server, I'd like to know how so many of your "Enforcement-By-Any-Means-Necessary" replies got there. YOU are a liar as well as a fool, sir, in denying what is so easily proven. Does your server allow you access to Deja, Sir? Go and reveal to your right hand what your left hand has been posting... SIR, before calling anyone a liar.

You called me a liar when I was only pointing out the myriad of reasons you and JR, of all people, would maintain HR2346's causative factor was primarily some Great Mandate of The Interference-Weary American People (which anyone without your bias should know is ridiculous) in direct contradiction of the more sensible and likely explanation given by a contributor with *actual professional background* and thus *qualification* and *credibility* you and JR did not and do not have as the result of your desire to see enforcement no matter how unwisely implemented. I put it to you yet again, having shown MUCH more proof than necessary to back it:

Dwight, you and JR are possessors of neither credibility nor qualification to speak to the causative factors of HR2346.

RadioFree

2402. Re: Yet another antenna myth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 18:32:37 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9237-3A391245-4@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63888

I agree Richard, that mismatches occur along many points, my point was that it is not conducive to cb radio operation to have a high swr that presents itself on the meter (let's assume the meter is close enough) and certainly nowhere near a 10 to 1 is deemed acceptable. I know they were talking about other bands, and yes, JR, I will admit my ignorance to a point about the other bands, see, I don't go there, so why would I care what happens there? DINGDINGDING! NOW I UNDERSTAND why you come here to play, JR!

2403. Re: Do NOT Tolerate Illegal CBers!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 18:35:52 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001214133552.12064.00004957@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 63886

bet you cried to your teacher cause you saw johhny cheating on his test too

2404. Re: MOVIE: Vertical Limit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 18:38:54 UTC
From: "Prof. Curt M. Breneman" <brenec@rpi.edu>
Message-ID: <3A3913BD.2663@rpi.edu>
Source record: 63887
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.shortwave

-Snip -
> Or even "The Man From U.N.C.L.E"'s little ink
> pen transceiver. Yea, open channel D please. Just what type batteries fit in
> it and what frequency did it operate on so that no matter where our guys
> where, from the middle of a desert to the bottom of a mine shaft they could
> reach HQ.

Well, just think of how strange it seemed then, but not so much now.
While the "mine shaft" thing is a stretch, some of the CDMA and PCS
phones are getting pretty small and have very low power consumption
these days... Who would have thought that there would be so much
wireless infrastructure that these devices wouldn't need much direct
range? Direct satellite links are now a normal thing too. Maxwell
Smart's "shoe phone" was a sci-fi joke at the time, but it seems like a
complete dinosaur now - right? Even the oldest hand-held analog
cellular phones were more compact.

Curt Breneman
RPI Chemistry
KC2EBP

2405. Re: CB interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 18:45:50 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9235-3A39155E-39@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63891

Yea, it does suck for the famlies, Spark! However, ignorance of the law don't cut ya' no slack. You wouldn't steal a piece of gum in Singapore. A wrongful death civil suit was filed or supposed to be filed...never heard about it again.

2406. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 19:02:13 UTC
From: fire228@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91b5fh$7s8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63890

******

You wrote:

Given all the variables,
there is no way to say what the normally found impedance is.

Yes there is. It's called a noise bridge....
measures impedance, capacitive and inductive reactance....

can't be the coax police without one....

http://www.angelfire.com/az/firecommunications/

In article <anylength-EBD20F.17360412122000@nntp.lucent.com>,
Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
> In article <915jtbdec1@iac5.navix.net>, "Fred Hambrecht"
> <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
>
> > The normal mobile installation is about 37 ohms do to losses and
> > imperfect ground plane.
>
> Not that it really matters but I reject your premise that a normal
> mobile installation is about 37 ohms. Given all the variables,
> there is no way to say what the normally found impedance is.
>
> > If you put the 18 foot on a smith chart you will see it does a
> > good job of transforming the 37 to 50 and makes everyone's SWR meter
> > happy.
>
> Total nonsense. It does no such thing. There is no length of 50 ohm
> coax that will transform 37 ohms into 50 ohms.
>
> > I took some liberties in a previous post by calling it a half wave
for
> > illustrative purposes, but the idea is the same.
>
> You took some liberties with the facts, too.
>
> > 73 Fred W4JLE (Licensed for 44/100 of the existence of radio) ;>)
>
> Oh, boy.
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2407. Re: Way worse than any Voober!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 19:03:12 UTC
From: Unit 663 <chevy402@vilinet.net>
Message-ID: <559_5.5145$925.261378@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 63892

This is great. Can you believe there are actually people bored enough with their own lives that they have to resort to this. Can you imagine when this guy calls the enforcement officers over at the FCC; Agent Harris its Wally again. Oh no, Tell him Im outta town.

2408. Re: Fear of Mark Fuller?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 19:08:16 UTC
From: Unit 663 <chevy402@vilinet.net>
Message-ID: <b59_5.5146$925.261378@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 63893

What gives you the right to slander people by accusing them of selling cocaine and posting the names of "supposed" illegal amp company owners without any substantial facts to support you claims. I think your postings qualify as slanderous abuse and they will be sent to abuse@deja.com

2409. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 19:08:44 UTC
From: RadioFree <OldMcDonald@eieio.com>
Message-ID: <0V8_5.1448$gT4.446444@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63889
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"JR" <jr@some.net> mumbled in message news:3A378747.7D4A407F@some.net...

>>> Like you do, now that's a laugh.

"Other than the fact that JR is a longtime asinine troll in rec.radio.cb...
An endless source of such monosyllabic one-liners as "typical cb'er" and
"what did you expect from a cb'er"

I said it before, I call 'em like I see 'em...

RadioFree

2410. Re: Illegal Op Busted Thanks to HR2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 19:14:58 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9235-3A391C32-43@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63897

I don't believe a word of it. I'm still waiting for him to give me a
town of where this happened.

2411. Re: FS. ILLEGAL 2KW BASE AMP.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 19:15:27 UTC
From: Unit 663 <chevy402@vilinet.net>
Message-ID: <d59_5.5147$925.261378@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 63894

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001214130913.22749.00001227@ng-fh1.aol.com...
> ILLEGAL ILLEGAL ILLEGAL

All this from another no-code lid that doesnt have the time or the intelligence to learn the amateur radio hobby. What should really be illegal is the fact that the FCC is handing out Tech licenses like Christmas Candy. ....Answering 30 infantile questions and spelling your name the right way gets you a ticket? No wonder why real hams dont take you guys seriously.

2412. Techs refuse to learn code so they hang out on rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 19:19:53 UTC
From: Unit 663 <chevy402@vilinet.net>
Message-ID: <na9_5.5148$925.261382@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 63895

N8WWM: Its too hard.....Its too hard.....please stop! I cant take it..............

2413. Opinions Wanted: Getting back into CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 19:37:05 UTC
From: davelufkin@iname.com
Message-ID: <91b7h0$9pg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63648

Hey all:

I'm looking to get back into the hobby after a hiatus of many years. Now that I have some money to spend, I'm pretty sure that I'll go for a nice base station, as opposed to a mobile unit [I already have a cheap RS mobile, anyway]. I'd like to go sideband, for distance.

Most importantly, I want to stay legal. Call me strange, but I like to play that way.

Anyway, I'm openly soliciting your opinions on this question: What's the best model in current production of a AM/SSB base station?

I've done a little looking around, and it looks as if the Uniden Washington may be the only one. Is this true?

Please reply here or via email to davelufkin@iname.com

Thanks.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2414. Re: Yet another antenna myth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 19:44:17 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A37C36D.DC0F25D8@some.net>
Source record: 63896

Jay in the Mojave wrote:

>
> My antenna tuner matches the impedance of the coax to the antenna, thus
> transferring max power. Thats why it works so well.

Oh, is your antenna tuner connected right at the antenna? If not then all it is doing is matching the output of your transmitter to a mismatched coax/antenna system. Makes the transmitter see a good impedance but the mismatch between the coax and antenna is still there.

>
>
> Ladder line! yeah right when it starts to win shoot outs.

The juvenile practice of winning shootouts is not a concern of the ham community...communicating is. Ladder line is a good, very low loss transmission line, but you have to know how to use it, something far beyond your capability.

2415. 257 on the wheels...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 19:50:47 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18701-3A392497-42@storefull-124.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63653

Sounding good in the 'pile! Let me know when ya' get down this way. All was well until you started telling me about Clearwater and suddenly no receive and my swrs went sky high...knew what it was immediately. *$#@*! Turkey Vultures perching on my antenna...damn things do more damage to my ears than any of the hurricanes we been through! I keep saying I'm gonna run a damn current through the tower one day...enough to barbecue those damn things!

2416. Re: Yet another antenna myth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 19:55:10 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A37C5FA.A686BBD8@some.net>
Source record: 63650

And you keep showing your ignorance of antenna systems. An SWR of 10:1 on certain antenna systems is perfectly acceptable and will radiate perfectly well. The cb crowd is so full of myth about SWR is is really funny. You will try to get a 1.5:1 SWR down ot 1:1 thinking you are really going to improve the signal. You can work you mighty little magic and get your SWR down to 1:1 and I will use a system where the SWR is say 3:1 and as long as I use a tuner to get max transfer of power from the transmitter to the coax and antenna, you won't see any difference in the signals on the receiving end. I did not wave my stink you way, you stuck you nose in it.

twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:

> The subject is swr, NOT ladder line OR antenna tuners to bring it in
> line. How many cbers use ladder lines for normal communicatios? And
> don't tell me about hammies that use them AND cb. This is the cb ng, and
> if you stopped trolling long enough and took the time to see what room
> you were posting to this time,, you would realize this. I wondered how
> long it was gonna take you to try and wave your stink my way! You bring
> your little 10 to 1 swr on cb and you pick the freq, you ain't even
> gotta talk, JR, since we know you will be breaking the law, we wouldn't
> want you outted as a lawbreaker. (I know more than you would care to
> guess.) Just give me a time and a freq and you go ahead and deadkey with
> a swr of 10 to 1 for one minute, see if anyone hears your deadkey on the
> eleven meter. I'll even make the announcement for you that you are about
> to do it. See who hears you.
> You could damn near utilize a piece of wood for an antenna with an MFJ
> tuner in theory, but the notion is ridiculous on cb. The post appears to
> lend credibility that a high swr on cb is ok. It is not, for optimum
> performance. There are exceptions to just about every rule. Please tell
> me where this appies to cb.
> If I missed anything, I am sure you will defa...er, let me know.

2417. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 19:57:56 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a392514.2419885@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63649

On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 19:02:13 GMT, fire228@my-deja.com wrote:

> ******
>
> You wrote:
>
> Given all the variables,
> there is no way to say what the normally found impedance is.
>
> Yes there is. It's called a noise bridge....
> measures impedance, capacitive and inductive reactance....
>
> can't be the coax police without one....

I just use a homebrew impedance bridge and it works fine. It cost me
about a buck and a half to build, and it's accurate to about ±10%.

Sparky, FLRC

2418. Re: Yet another antenna myth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 19:59:03 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A37C6E3.5B3D9998@some.net>
Source record: 63651

The same rule applies to the cb band as any amateur band. You could just as easily use a long wire antenna fed with ladder line and a tuner, where the system operates with a high SWR. Why do you think that just because it is 27MHz that it won't work?

twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:

> I agree Richard, that mismatches occur along many points, my point was
> that it is not conducive to cb radio operation to have a high swr that
> presents itself on the meter (let's assume the meter is close enough)
> and certainly nowhere near a 10 to 1 is deemed acceptable. I know they
> were talking about other bands, and yes, JR, I will admit my ignorance
> to a point about the other bands, see, I don't go there, so why would I
> care what happens there? DINGDINGDING! NOW I UNDERSTAND why you come
> here to play, JR!

2419. Re: Opinions Wanted: Getting back into CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 20:08:07 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a392697.2806612@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63652

On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 19:37:05 GMT, davelufkin@iname.com wrote:

>Hey all:
>
>I'm looking to get back into the hobby after a hiatus of many years.
>Now that I have some money to spend, I'm pretty sure that I'll go for a
>nice base station, as opposed to a mobile unit [I already have a cheap
>RS mobile, anyway]. I'd like to go sideband, for distance.
>
>Most importantly, I want to stay legal. Call me strange, but I like to
>play that way.

I don't call that strange, but some other people here will probably
jump down your throat.

>Anyway, I'm openly soliciting your opinions on this question: What's
>the best model in current production of a AM/SSB base station?
>
>I've done a little looking around, and it looks as if the Uniden
>Washington may be the only one. Is this true?

Electronically, most CBs nowdays are pretty much the same, and more
than half of other brand names are actually made by Uniden. It is more
a matter of personal preference and features. If you got the money,
get all the bells and whistles. But the most important thing to pay
attention to is the antenna, because at four watts, that is where your
signal will either fly or flop.

Sparky, FLRC (Friend of Legal Radio Communication)

2420. FS competition regulators (Dave Made)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 20:17:48 UTC
From: wpbrock3rd@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001214151748.17323.00013150@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 63654

For sale, 2 new Dave Made competition regulators (built in the garden State), These will run cap banks or batteries. 275.00 each. E-mail me for more information. Thanks, WPB

2421. Re: Why Tolerate Illegal CBers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 20:30:24 UTC
From: madv@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91bal1cdu1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63657

Hi there,

I'm a LEGAL Portuguese CB user and u know what??
At least in Portugal, I don't think there's a reason to pay the license (around $62.5 USD) as I've done.
- The restrictions imposed by who rules - or at least was supposed to rule - the CB use are very restrictive.
- We can have, as I suppose we all have all around the World, worst legal operators than some illegal ones. I think it's all a matter of being of some persons.

No matter what, you always find abusive, rude, ... CB users.

I hope you could get my point. My english skills aren't as u can see, great deal.

Best regards,

Madv
(Portugal, Lisbon)

In article <913pd4$78a$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:
> Okay. I know what you're thinking. Aaron's just beating a dead horse
> here. But honestly, take a look at this post - from a Mr. "Pain", (I
> assume his CB transmissions cause others pain and he's proud of it?).
> Anyway, take a quick read through:
>
> Mr. Pain • mrpain@keydowns.com wrote:
> <<What you don't get, is If i break the Law , I'm responsible, Not
> You , Not your fellow Hammies, I wont do the Crime , If i cant do the
> time!!! So what, and Why is it any of your Business what I do, or
> anyone else for that matter, unless it impacts you, If I sold dope to
> your kids , that would impact your life. But there are millions of
> people out there that have no earthly Idea that anything cb-related
> goes on, why because it does not have an impact on their Life. So Get
> Over it!!!>>
>
> Here's the issue I'd like to bring up.
>
> Illegals like Mr. Pain exist because they have never in their life
come
> up against peer pressure to do otherwise. They feel it is their right
> to do anything they want - however antisocial, inconsiderate or
harmful

> to others - and have nobody say anything to them about it.
>
> Unbelievable, huh?
>
> But it's true. This guy is positively INDIGNANT that someone dares
> intrude on his rights to be as bad a boy as he can. Because, up until
> now, nobody has intruded. Guys like him say "Don't pick on me,
there's
> much worse in the world" etc. etc. They will make any and every excuse
> for their actions. They desperately want you to ignore them. They
want
> you to feel it's hopeless to stop them. Or stupid. Or impossible. (You
> watch - some of the stuff they will come up with to defend themselves
is
> inspired)
>
> This is why the CB band in many areas is such a cesspool. Good people
> just don't get involved. Unless it impacts them personally, they'll
> "mind their own business". Which is what Mr. Pain feels is the way
> everyone ought to be.
>
> So you now have a generation of radio criminals that have never before
> felt any peer pressure to be considerate of others or obey any rules.
> Because no one has ever said "hey, what you're doing is wrong".
>
> In fact, what you've got here in rec.radio.cb is a negative peer
> pressure. "You're not cool if you're not operating illegally" seems to
> be the operative slogan.
>
> Pretty sad, huh?
>
> Is there any hope?
>
> Stay tuned.
>
> Sincerely,
> Aaron Voobner
> FLRC, (Friend Of Legal Radio Communications)
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2422. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 20:39:47 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-B613A6.15323214122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 63655

In article <9klh3t0kvhv3oujvnv9q42opcgflsg9r87@4ax.com>,
codyspc@iserv.net wrote:

> Greetings!
>
> Whap! Whap!
>
> Hello, you guys are stuck with the "antenna" part. Never getting past
> the damn tree to see the forest.
>
> "Antenna System" because the antenna, now includes the coax. It's now
> the antenna! Yes, thats right. A simple unbalanced feedline now acts
> like a radiator. Ponderous thought isn't it?

When does it act like a radiator?

If you gave us a complete thought here, we could debate it.

> [WOW!] You guys should check your glasses. You're not reading the
> textbooks expiration dates correct.
>
> Boy, you gotta' luv these guys...
>
> I'm not going connect all the dots for you. Many people believe what
> they want. I've taken the time to at least make an effort.
>
> Quite wasting my time on this. If you guys can't figure out the
> difference between which end of the coax you should be using, then
> maybe you shouldn't post such contradictory stuff.

Why don't you cite one example of our "contradictory stuff"?
Then, we could discuss it. You're just rambling here.

> Hey, the problem with the whole coax length issue is dealing with the
> antenna location. It's simply not resonate there, but just a few feet
> away, that very same antenna - *WHAM* BINGO - a 1:1 SWR - WHAZZAP?

If the antenna is not resonant, the SWR, by definition is not 1:1.
A "few feet" of coax will not change that. The SWR is still not 1:1.

There. I pointed out some "contradictory stuff" in your post.
Help us out here. Stop whining and explain yourself.
Stop posting this crap if you can't back it up.

> Boy are you guys a lot of help - the answer to the problem in most of
> the cases is due to location.
>

> But, no...no one wants to cooperate and share the idea and focus on
> the end result.
>
> I have yet to hear anything of a logical answer to the kids question,
> from you or George. If coax length doesn't matter - you guys are
> really naive.

Back it up with some facts. Quote us from one of your textbooks with
the expiration date. You can't.

> I tried and it's pretty close to the answer you'd get "out there". And
> since I don't see any of your posts answering, nor GeorgesMAC - I
> think you guy like to troll. You never answer this type of question
> ever, and all you guys think up on this, is the SAME crap and BS I see
> everytime.

On the contrary, you are posting the crap. This stuff about requring
18' of coax or varying the coax length to change the SWR is all
nonsense. You can't find one reputable text book to back you up.
But you still post it. You perpetuate the myths.

> Bother me later. When you have an understanding of what I'm talking
> about.

Since you can't back it up, I guess we will never understand you.

> You guys need a life.

You call that a life when you sit around posting old wives' tales
on newsgroups? You are one swingin' dude.

2423. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 20:41:50 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-10D7AC.15343514122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 63656

In article <2clh3t8omqvjlr99c4i1v09f4s8f59e676@4ax.com>,
codyspc@iserv.net wrote:

> I think you need to re-work the Smith Chart.
>
> You missed the point about Phase...you're going in circles.

You apparently cannot point out anything wrong with it.
All you have is a cheap, personal attack.

2424. Re: CB interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 20:44:43 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <%ia_5.2180$pi2.149622@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63658

I see a lot of different thoughts in this thread and just wanted to mention what happened here in Rochester, NY, a few years back (less than 5, I don't remember exaclty when). Seems a guy heard noise in his garage. He looked out his second story window and someone was stealing a bicycle from his garage. He shot and killed the guy. It did not go to the grand jury. You may not use lethal force just for trespassing, but if someone is stealing (or damaging) property, the property owner CAN protect his property. It gets even easier if someone breaks into your house. I think that farmers have had a bad rap concerning shooting folks. They have a much larger area to protect, and some fools think they have to right to just do as they please. This can cause problems and my gut feeling is that property owners do have more rights than most believe.

73s from Rochester, NY
Jim

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:17962-3A37846F-11@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> HA! Wrong again, Sparky! In the sandpile, we can pump you full of lead
> for poking your head into our barn, mingling with our livestock IN THE
> FIELD, and a host of others. Don't believe me? College kids have been
> dusted picking those 'ol hallucinogenic magic mushrooms "out here in the
> fields" and swamps. Man, if them thar swamps could only talk.
>

2425. Re: Aaron On Hiatus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 21:04:45 UTC
From: DX401 <lightnin24@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <91bdc5uia1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 63664

Good loser
<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:9193uqjfv1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> I'll be out of town until the 19th.
>
> So you guys are free to scream and shout with joy about how wonderful it
> is to be illegal, how unfair the rules are, how big and powerful your
> amp is, how no one can stop you on the freeband, etc.
>
> Enjoy. See you when I get back.
>
> Aaron H. Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2426. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 21:15:17 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a393861.943227@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63659

On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 20:39:47 GMT, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>If the antenna is not resonant, the SWR, by definition is not 1:1.

Interesting thought. Standing waves are caused by an impedance mismatch. It has nothing to do with resonance. A non-resonant antenna can indeed have an impedance of 50 ohms, and in that case the SWR would be 1:1. A resonant dipole has an impedance of 73 ohms, and a

-wave vertical is 36.5, neither of which will hand you a 1:1 SWR.

>Stop posting this crap if you can't back it up.

I did back it up.

>Back it up with some facts. Quote us from one of your textbooks with
>the expiration date. You can't.

I summarized almost a dozen pages. If you want xerox copies, go to the library.

>Since you can't back it up, I guess we will never understand you.

Only because you are illiterate.

Sparky, FLRC

2427. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 21:21:51 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-5555EA.16142214122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 63660

In article <3a38c2be.5481460@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> I'll do better. Starting at page 513 of Robert Kellejian's "Applied
> Electronic Communication", ISBN 0-574-21535-2, summarized. My own
> comments are in parentheses.
>
> When the impedances of a source and a load are mismatched, part or all
> of the incident power is reflected. (This is NOT a standing wave.) The
> reflected power is sent back to the source and dissipated in the
> source impedance.
>
> Add a transmission line. When the source impedance is equal to the
> line impedance, and assuming a lossless line, it acts as if the load
> is directly connected to the source, and reflected power from a load
> mismatch originates from the line/load connection.
>
> Alright, now you have incident and reflected power travelling along
> the same transmission line. The phase velocities are the same, but the
> phase relationships are different, and their phasing interaction is
> what causes standing waves. They are called 'standing' waves because
> they don't move, and can actually be measured along the transmission
> line.
>
> A standing wave along a transmission line behaves just like an
> antenna, in that it has points of high voltage and points of high
> current which are 1/4w apart from each other. The point that has high
> voltage is also a point of high impedance, and the point of high
> current is a point of low impedance. When this happens, the
> transmission line does not behave as predicted because the line is
> designed for a fixed impedance. Power is therefore lost in the
> transmission line and is radiated away as both heat and RF.

Why is the transmission line not "behaving as predicted"?
Why is power "therefore" lost?

> Back to the phase relationships. When the coax length changes, so does
> the phase relationship, and therefore the location of the standing
> wave (assuming the mismatch, and the incident and reflected power are
> the same). If the standing wave detector is fixed to the source, and
> the length of the coax is varied for 1/4 wave, it will detect a change
> in the standing wave equivalent to the full amplitude variation of the
> standing wave, including a zero point where it will not be detected.
> (Bear in mind that the reflected power does not change, but the
> standing wave is simply moved to a point along the transmission line

> where it cannot be detected.)

By the way, it says the standing wave has changed -- not the standing wave ratio (SWR). You can measure standing waves on a transmission line with a simple volt meter. That is what this article is referring to. An SWR meter, on the other hand, uses directional couplers to selectively measure forward power and reflected power which, as you admit, is constant all along the transmission line.

An SWR meter will render the same reading all along a transmission line because it is measuring forward and reflected power which are constant. A volt meter will show peaks and nulls of the standing waves as it is moved along the transmission line because it measures voltage which is the sum of the forward voltage and the reflected voltage.

> Now back to the transmission line. Granted no line is perfect. But a
> perfect coaxial transmission line will contain all the RF within the
> shield.

Yes (assuming no common mode currents).

> This is assuming that the impedance of the power transmitted
> through the line is equal to that of the line.

This doesn't make sense. Are you saying this came from the book? The characteristic impedance of the transmission line determines the relationship of the voltage and current of the power transmitted through the line.

> When it is not equal,
> as in the case of a standing wave, containment fails and the shield
> radiates RF.

This is not true. This didn't come from that book, did it? Standing wave indicate merely that you have power traveling in both directions on the transmission line. The voltages and currents of the two signals add together to produce what can be seen as standing waves. There is NO reason for the transmission line to radiate any RF. If it doesn't radiate when the signal goes up the coax, why would it radiate when a signal comes down the coax?

> If the transmission line is a multiple of 1/4w and
> positioned in a straight line, no harmonics are radiated.

This is way over the top. This can't be in the book. The power within a transmission line is not radiated regardless of the length. Also, a transmission line will not create any harmonics. It is a linear, passsive device which is incapable of creating harmonics.

Give me the exact quote of the paragraph that states this. Either it doesn't exist or you've got it way out of context.

> But as we
> all know, that is almost never the case. (In the case of mobile
> installs, however, the harmonics are generally contained within the
> vehicle).

What is this talking about?

> If this doesn't satisfy you, I guess you'll have to actually read a
> book, and then learn how to use a meter.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

Well, your book did nothing to contradict what I said about SWR --
Standing Wave Ratio. You've confused a "standing wave detector"
(a voltmeter in layman's terms) with an SWR meter. I know how to
use a meter and I even know what meter I'm using.

The part that says a transmission line's "containment fails" because
of standing waves is not true. I think you took a little too much
liberty in summarizing the text. Quote me the exact text verbatim
which you believe states this and we can discuss it.

2428. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 21:24:10 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-69D5C2.16165414122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 63661

In article <91b5fh$7s8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, fire228@my-deja.com wrote:

> ******
>
> You wrote:
>
> Given all the variables,
> there is no way to say what the normally found impedance is.
>
> Yes there is. It's called a noise bridge....
> measures impedance, capacitive and inductive reactance....
>
> can't be the coax police without one....
>
>
> http://www.angelfire.com/az/firecommunications/

Yes. Of course, you can obtain the impedance empirically
by measuring it.

Fred was telling me that my antenna was 37 ohms without
even looking at it. He must be psychic.

2429. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 21:26:56 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-4DA02E.16194014122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 63662

In article <3a392514.2419885@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> I just use a homebrew impedance bridge and it works fine. It cost me
> about a buck and a half to build, and it's accurate to about ±10%.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

What do you need an impedance bridge for? Fred already told you
that your impedance is 37 ohms. ;-)

In article <915jtbdec1@iac5.navix.net>, "Fred Hambrecht"
<Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

> The normal mobile installation is about 37 ohms do to losses and
> imperfect ground plane.

2430. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 21:37:50 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A37DE09.3FEEE0A5@some.net>
Source record: 63663

If you have harmonics that are coming from the transmitter and not being generated in the coax.

Sparky wrote:

>
> (In the case of mobile
> installs, however, the harmonics are generally contained within the
> vehicle).
>
>

2431. Maybe this will cause some skip to come rolling in

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 21:59:36 UTC
From: thepolishdude@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91bfs5goq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63665
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

An eclipse is scheduled to occur on Christmas afternoon. Can eclipses cause skip to happen?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2432. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 22:17:06 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <k6hi3tcc7qo363tip082hgj7qre373ee0i@4ax.com>
Source record: 63666

Don't worry about solving the coax question.

When I posted early in this thread that there
may be a usefulness to a18 foot section my
purpose was two fold. I knew that it would
stir things up. The two reasons were:

1. To tell it like it is

2. Most importantly get the newsgroup off the
"Illegal CB" or "Bash the CB"ers" threads and
back to what it is suppose to be.

With almost 50 follow ups I think number two is
working. Now for number one??????

2433. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 22:39:58 UTC
From: Brian <brianburke@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <91bi7timl1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63667
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

In article <91987g$grq@dispatch.concentric.net>,
"CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net> wrote:
> Is there a reason this is being cross posted to the rec.radio.cb? It
doesn't
> have any place here.

Cityslicker, do a little footwork and determine which of your
compatriots on .cb felt it appropriate to cross post there. Then send
the message to them, not me. I don't add .cb to anything.

Good luck, Brian

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2434. Re: WANTED: ILLEGAL Siltronix stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 22:40:55 UTC
From: jeffmayner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91bi9niok1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63668

In article <20001214011431.19870.00003987@ng-ft1.aol.com>,
n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> Plus the fact that the stuff was junk when it was new, drifts like
mad.

You really have nothing to add to this News Group except this Holier
than thou drivel, do you?
Have you no shame, what so ever?

Jeff

>
> >Need a nice shape Siltronix FD-1011 (The 40 channel printout on the
> >chart on the front only!!). Pay cash and fedex or swap new stuff..
> >
> >Also Siltronix Speaker , Mic , Meter.....
> >
> >
> >Bill
> >http://home.mpinet.net/grassa
> >
>
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2435. Re: A bust happens from new law!! HAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 22:45:23 UTC
From: jeffmayner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91bii2$j3r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63669

In article <20001214012320.19870.00003991@ng-ft1.aol.com>,
n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> Yeehawwwwww! I told you lily livered sapsuckers it was gonna happen!!!
>
> HAHAHAHAHAHHHAHAHAHHAHAHHAHAHAHHAHAHAHHHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHHAHAHA!
>
> Subject: I got to obseve a bust!
> From: "Fred Hambrecht" Fred@w4jle.com
> Date: 12/12/2000 21:13 PM Eastern Standard Time
> Message-id: <916m17rlj1@iac5.navix.net>
>
> I had a chance to ride with one of the local police today on a visit
to a
> CBers house. He had been asked a number of times to cease and desist
with
> his overpowered illegal operations.
>
> This person has been the bane of existence to all his neighbors
around here,
> he was handed a copy of the latest federal law, along with the
purposed
> local laws. It was made clear that he would be the target of the
first test
> case under the new law. I observed him trying to justify his rights
to do
> what he wanted on the CB band. He stated that he had heard the FCC no
> longer controlled CB along with a litany of other excuses. The local
law
> officer explained in a respectful manner that he would shortly have
> jurisdiction and he should consider this as his final warning. "Hey!
you
> mean your serious?" was his response, the officer responded "as
serious as a
> heart attack" at that point he walked back in the house and brought
out what
> he refereed to as a "ModgeBox" and handed it over to the officer. I
believe
> that this law is going to work. Any way Ga231 sends all the good
numbers...
> He's going 10-7
>
>

Why does this not surprise me? One hammy (Fred) going on a ride-a-long with another (Dick-brained N8wwm) acting as his stupid, following bitch. Oh there is no shame in Ham-land. No shame...

Jeff

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2436. Re: Yet another antenna myth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 23:00:54 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14411-3A395126-24@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63670

(sigh) It's the way you respond, JR..like a chip exists on your shoulder or you have an ax to grind. Not me! Don't confuse me with the crowd that swears by flat line. I like a little movement in my meter, ever so slight, lets me know everything is working. I know the difference is negligible. Hell, I wouldn't give a damn if my swr was 1:8, matter of fact, it is if I go higher. I'm tuned for what some may consider objectionable, but I know the loss is so minute that it doesn't matter. You are correct, I stuck my nose in this one. But identical (ok, to be reasonable, lets use "as close as possible") setups side by side, with a 3 vs. a flat, and what is it 6 or 7 db to equal a "S' unit? I think I'd take ya' up on that challenge. Is there a chart, page or link existing anywhere that gives at least ballpark figures showing how much loss occurs with each increased step relating to each small increments of rising swrs without taking into other factors, such as coax, antenna, etc?

2437. Re: Yet another antenna myth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 23:06:52 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14410-3A39528C-71@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63671

Of course you CAN, I never said it won't work. I took issue with the "high swr is acceptable on cb" idea as a whole, because simply put, I believe the audience of cbers actually utilizing this method is negligible and the ladder line will not outperform the majority of cbers base antennas.

2438. Re: ILLEGAL!!!!!!!!!!!937

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 23:17:08 UTC
From: CJ <CJ926@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3A39548A.69EA389D@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63672

The hammer is lighter than the maul and I be hitting you quick before
you can lift the maul. The hammer is great for the sleath attack.

Hows your good buddy "bobbie"? - #1 A--Hole.

Southwest side puts everyone back of the bus.

CJ--926 123rd & what?
TJ1072 wrote:
>
> > CJ CJ926@worldnet.att.net
> >Date: 12/13/00 5:51 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <3A380B12.B04DA9E0@worldnet.att.net>
> >
> >
>
> >937 can't talk when Caliber 45 have the hammer down!
>
> Thats funny...I don't recall you stopping me yet!!!....Nobody likes a little
> boy that brings a hammer to maul fight!!!!!..when you hear me out there gimme a
> shout...ill let ya have some airtime

2439. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 23:34:56 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <freak'Onature@aola.com>
Message-ID: <AOc_5.203$T1.54020@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63674

Coax, these guys just don't get it. Dont they know that you know you could measure it but we were told the normal was 37 ohms if it is 37 ohms why do you need the bridge?

"Coax Length Police" <anylength@willdo.com> wrote in message news:anylength-69D5C2.16165414122000@news.ptd.net...
> In article <91b5fh$7s8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, fire228@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > ******
> >
> > You wrote:
> >
> > Given all the variables,
> > there is no way to say what the normally found impedance is.
> >
> > Yes there is. It's called a noise bridge....
> > measures impedance, capacitive and inductive reactance....
> >
> > can't be the coax police without one....
> >
> >
> > http://www.angelfire.com/az/firecommunications/
>
> Yes. Of course, you can obtain the impedance empirically
> by measuring it.
>
> Fred was telling me that my antenna was 37 ohms without
> even looking at it. He must be psychic.

2440. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 23:38:06 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a3959d6.9508780@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63673

On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 21:21:51 GMT, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>Why is the transmission line not "behaving as predicted"?

What happens when you pump a 1500 ohm source into a 50 ohm coax? It
radiates. Try it.

>By the way, it says the standing wave has changed -- not the standing
>wave ratio (SWR). You can measure standing waves on a transmission
>line with a simple volt meter. That is what this article is referring
>to.

Really? How do you know if you haven't read it?

> An SWR meter, on the other hand, uses directional couplers to
>selectively measure forward power and reflected power which, as you
>admit, is constant all along the transmission line.

You are again forgetting phase.

>An SWR meter will render the same reading all along a transmission
>line because it is measuring forward and reflected power which are
>constant.

As I said before, try it. You will find out that you are wrong.

> A volt meter will show peaks and nulls of the standing waves
>as it is moved along the transmission line because it measures voltage
>which is the sum of the forward voltage and the reflected voltage.

Yes, according to the phase relationship. BTW, I have never tried to
measure it with a simple voltmeter, not that it matters.

>> This is assuming that the impedance of the power transmitted
>> through the line is equal to that of the line.
>
>This doesn't make sense. Are you saying this came from the book?
>The characteristic impedance of the transmission line determines
>the relationship of the voltage and current of the power transmitted
>through the line.

The characteristic impedance of the line is the voltage-to-current
ratio at which the line propogates a signal. You can feed the line
with any impedance, but if you feed it with an impedance other than
the characteristic impedance of the line, you will just lose power as
the line dissipates the excess voltage or current.

>> When it is not equal,
>> as in the case of a standing wave, containment fails and the shield
>> radiates RF.
>
>This is not true. This didn't come from that book, did it?

From the chapter on transmission lines, almost word-for-word.

>Standing wave indicate merely that you have power traveling
>in both directions on the transmission line.

Absolutely wrong. A standing wave is the _phase relationship_ between
the incident and reflected power.

> The voltages and
>currents of the two signals add together to produce what can be
>seen as standing waves. There is NO reason for the transmission
>line to radiate any RF. If it doesn't radiate when the signal
>goes up the coax, why would it radiate when a signal comes down
>the coax?

That question shows a complete lack of understanding of what a
standing wave is, and that you haven't understood a word I said.

>> If the transmission line is a multiple of 1/4w and
>> positioned in a straight line, no harmonics are radiated.
>
>This is way over the top. This can't be in the book.

It is. It is the application of antenna theory to the subject at hand.

>The power within a transmission line is not radiated
>regardless of the length. Also, a transmission line will
>not create any harmonics. It is a linear, passsive device
>which is incapable of creating harmonics.
>
>Give me the exact quote of the paragraph that states this.
>Either it doesn't exist or you've got it way out of context.

I have provided more information than I really wanted to, and I have
referenced all of it. Not only that, the same information is found in
five other books I own, and none of this is disputed in any of them.
Plus it is consistant with what I have observed over the past twenty
years in many different fields of radio, and can be proven by anybody
that gets off their lazy ass and trys it. I backed up what I said, now
you do the same. Because until you do I am not going to copy paragraph
after paragraph when all you are going to do is say that the book is
wrong, and do so without logical argument or reference. i.e., put up
or shut up.

Sparky, FLRC

2441. Re: Aaron On Hiatus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 23:42:50 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001214184250.00798.00002462@nso-ff.aol.com>
Source record: 63675

In article <9193uqjfv1@nnrp1.deja.com>, aaronvoobner@my-deja.com writes:

>I'll be out of town until the 19th.

Is it a possibility that you wont come back?????
That would be greatly appreciated!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

2442. Re: Davemade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 23:42:50 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001214184250.00798.00002463@nso-ff.aol.com>
Source record: 63676

In article <EhXZ5.1054$Tq1.226532@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>, "BigPoppaPump"
<freak'Onature@aola.com> writes:

>bladerunner is a coke addict and - his work is sloppy

Now you stop that right now!
Hey give me a land line!!!!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

2443. Re: DaveMade rumor?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 23:42:51 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001214184251.00798.00002464@nso-ff.aol.com>
Source record: 63677

In article <ei6_5.1412$gT4.405599@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>, "WildBill" <wildbill
@hose.com> writes:

>AARON DAVES HANDLE IS NOT CONCRETE MAN GET IT THRU YOUR THICK HEAD DAVES
>HANDLE WAS SIDEWINDER HE NO LONGER USES A RADIO .

And I might say he hasn't used the radio for at least 7 years..... maybe more.
He (dave) has not even been to involved. he has allowed others in his employ
to make the amps which he perfected years ago. Maybe every so often he may
check in to see what is going on but, believe me (and I have never lied on this
group) dave the creator of the davemade amp has not built a DC powered amp
since about hmmm 8-10 years??? Hey Dennis (Concrete Man's real name) correct me
if I am wrong because besides you and me I REALLY DONT THINK THERE ARE TOO MANY
PEOPLE (IF ANY) WHO ACTUALLY KNOW DAVE AND WOULD EVEN CONSIDER HIM A
FRIEND!!!!!!!!
NUFF SAID!!!
Been in this shit before the internet and before every tom, dick and voobner
could get on the internet and buy an amplifier for cb!!!!!!!
I apologize in advance for and Tom or Dick I might have offended!!!!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

2444. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 23:44:01 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <freak'Onature@aola.com>
Message-ID: <5Xc_5.58$cl3.59549@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63678

Sorry Andy you cannot provide any facts or any reason we are to believe what you say is true other than because you said it it must be true. I picked this one up on msnbc over the election from all the lawyers. "

When you have facts on your side argue the facts, when you have Law on your side, argue the Law, when you have neither pound the table .. Seems to be you are pounding the table an awful lot ...

"Handy Andy" <CodysPC@Iserve.Net> wrote in message news:9klh3t0kvhv3oujvnv9q42opcgflsg9r87@4ax.com...
> Greetings!
>
> Whap! Whap!
>
> Hello, you guys are stuck with the "antenna" part. Never getting past
> the damn tree to see the forest.
>
> "Antenna System" because the antenna, now includes the coax. It's now
> the antenna! Yes, thats right. A simple unbalanced feedline now acts
> like a radiator. Ponderous thought isn't it?
>
> [WOW!] You guys should check your glasses. You're not reading the
> textbooks expiration dates correct.
>
> Boy, you gotta' luv these guys...
>
> I'm not going connect all the dots for you. Many people believe what
> they want. I've taken the time to at least make an effort.
>
> Quite wasting my time on this. If you guys can't figure out the
> difference between which end of the coax you should be using, then
> maybe you shouldn't post such contradictory stuff.
>
> Hey, the problem with the whole coax length issue is dealing with the
> antenna location. It's simply not resonate there, but just a few feet
> away, that very same antenna - *WHAM* BINGO - a 1:1 SWR - WHAZZAP?
>
> Boy are you guys a lot of help - the answer to the problem in most of
> the cases is due to location.
>
> But, no...no one wants to cooperate and share the idea and focus on
> the end result.
>
> I have yet to hear anything of a logical answer to the kids question,
> from you or George. If coax length doesn't matter - you guys are

> really naive.
>
> I tried and it's pretty close to the answer you'd get "out there". And
> since I don't see any of your posts answering, nor GeorgesMAC - I
> think you guy like to troll. You never answer this type of question
> ever, and all you guys think up on this, is the SAME crap and BS I see
> everytime.
>
> Bother me later. When you have an understanding of what I'm talking
> about.
>
> You guys need a life.
>
> On 14 Dec 2000 06:31:57 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with the
> Mouse, Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> dissertated the following:
>
> :+> Handy Andy <CodysPC@iserve.net> wrote:
> :+>
> :+> : Ok, I see your point, and here we go again. As far as Radio Shack,
> :+> : take a trip to their store and ask for the manual to their Deluxe
> :+> : Magnetic Mount CB antenna - or any CB antenna that has a pamphlet
with
> :+> : the wrap. They are WARNING you not to trim the coax. I'd like to
know
> :+> : why, aside from ruining their pretty job of soldering the PL259 end
> :+> : on. ;-) These are the complete kits - antenna, coax, mount.
> :+>
> :+> : Adjusting coax length affects impedance. A typical SWR meter not
only
> :+> : looks for voltage, but impedance - correct?
> :+>
> :+> Wrong. Adjust coax length affects the input impedance of the coax. It
> :+> does not affect the impedance of the coax, or the match between the
coax
> :+> and the antenna.
> :+>
> :+> : Then what we see at the end of a section of coax is a conjugate
> :+> : imepdance with the coax and the antenna working together. If
> :+> : everything is put together right, the coax isn't part of the
equation.
> :+> : It's simply an unbalanced feedline to a balanced load.
> :+>
> :+> Nope.
> :+>
> :+> : e.g. - 25ohms - 5j [a capacitive loading scheme]
> :+>
> :+> : As we can look at the smith chart, if I'm not mistaken, I can see
SWR
> :+> : ranging from 2.7 to around 1.2 - roughly 180 ohm [using no formula
to

> :+> : figure this as an unbalanced feed line of about 6 feet - just notes]
> :+> : to 36 ohms at about 17 feet in length of adjustement range if we use
a
> :+> : typical section of RG 58 coax.
> :+>
> :+> If you use the Smith Chart correctly, you will see a constant SWR of
> :+> approximately 2:1 - anywhere along the feedline length.
> :+>
> :+> : Ok, if I'm right, how come the above equation works for developing a
> :+> : working low SWR using a section of coax? If nothing else - does this
> :+> : mean that we are making a transmatch using a coaxial line?
> :+>
> :+> No.
> :+>
> :+> : Now, all I'm going to help you with is give you this hint. A lot of
> :+> : base loaded antennas on the market offer a lot of capacitance. With
> :+> : the above conjugate equation, this is about the typical CB'er
install
> :+> : that has a poor ground. The antenna is asking for length. Adjusting
> :+> : the coax - means that the antenna is not resoant at it's current
> :+> : location and configuration - we need to do something.
> :+>
> :+> Adjusting the coax will not change the resonant frequency of the
> :+> antenna. That can only be changed by adjustments to the antenna.
>
> . Pow! POP! BLAM!
> Regards! (0) (0) (~) (~) (~)
> .:+> Andy <+: *
> ... You know your antenna is too long when, /
> ... streetlights explode as you pass under /
> ... them... ___ /
> ... ____/ ____ /
> ... [_.-._____.-._]|
> ... (0) (0) ==)) --))
>
> http://ww.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

2445. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 23:48:33 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a395b46.9876613@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63679

On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 23:34:56 GMT, "BigPoppaPump"
<freak'Onature@aola.com> wrote:

>Coax, these guys just don't get it. Dont they know that you know you could
>measure it but we were told the normal was 37 ohms if it is 37 ohms why do
>you need the bridge?

In order to walk over all this BS and get to the facts.

Sparky, FLRC

2446. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 23:51:32 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <freak'Onature@aola.com>
Message-ID: <82d_5.208$T1.60051@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63680

jr, sparky says it can(harmonics) so it must be true. I will now spread this new cb myth that i have learned.

"JR" <jr@some.net> wrote in message news:3A37DE09.3FEEE0A5@some.net...
> If you have harmonics that are coming from the transmitter and not being
> generated in the coax.
>
> Sparky wrote:
>
> >
> > (In the case of mobile
> > installs, however, the harmonics are generally contained within the
> > vehicle).
> >
> >
>

2447. Re: Yet another antenna myth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 23:52:14 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <21d_5.31303$JE.1336380@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 63681

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:14410-3A39528C-71@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Of course you CAN, I never said it won't work. I took issue with the
> "high swr is acceptable on cb" idea as a whole, because simply put, I
> believe the audience of cbers actually utilizing this method is
> negligible and the ladder line will not outperform the majority of cbers
> base antennas.
>

Surprise, surprise, Twist. I agree with you 100%. Again, the issue is
that CB operation is single band where Amateur operation is multi-band. A
dipole with ladder line is a functional way to operate nearly everywhere
(at least within tuner range and not on some unmatchable freqs). The
antenna may operate as everything from a dipole to a top-loaded vertical
with some cute variations in between. Running balanced line obviously
requires some major attention to system balance. For CB it is complexity
without reward.

His point, and a good one, is that SWR is something of a fetish on 27 MHz,
generating complexity without reward.

Dick N&#65533;BK

2448. Re: Maybe this will cause some skip to come rolling in

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-14 23:55:37 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A395DF9.CA7DB105@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63682
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

??????????

Why would a heavenly body moving through the Earth's shadow
have to do with signals bouncing off the upper atmosphere?

The moon is much farther away. Light takes about 3 seconds
to make it here from the moon at 186,000 miles per second.

thepolishdude@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> An eclipse is scheduled to occur on Christmas afternoon. Can eclipses
> cause skip to happen?
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2449. 2 driving 8

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 00:04:55 UTC
From: schwerd5@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001214190455.07794.00000557@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 63683

looking for satellite reciever and new 10ft. dish c-band and ku.4dtv reciever ok. will trade 250 2 pill amp and 8pill cookie box. both amps used very very little. trade or sale 500.00. email at SCHWERD@aol.com

2450. Re: Davemade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 00:07:00 UTC
From: revdrolddude@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001214190700.10146.00005264@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 63684

>I run them all. If it was my money, I'd have to buy a Dave. Look at
>what you did at the 1 break you attended. You won the 2 pill on a 12
>volt system! You got to admit that's pretty amazing, considering what
>you were up against! Don't know what you've done since you went with No
>Name, but I hope its been nothing but good.
>Take care 667, hope to see ya round the band!
>
>The Man in the Dodge Ram

He has done nothing!, but I see he's back on here trying to regain what he never had!
RESPECT!

2451. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 00:08:52 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <oid_5.131382$DG3.2589903@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 63685

"Wild Bill" <wildbill@wild.comspam> wrote in message
news:AhwT5.8773$TE3.494032@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
> tj1072, It is kinda funny you are pushing customer service regarding
illegal
> amplifiers,

No, what is funny is the fact that DaveMade pushes amps, and they have
people that do, but seemingly forget about the guy who only buys one or
two amps, and small ones.

Seems, if ya want to do business with the guys in DaveMade County USA,
you have to have deep pockets.

And that, my friend, is bullshit.

If they want to make people happy, provide customer service.

If they want to make a buck, fuck the customer after he spends his money.

but that is to be expected since you are part of there
> production line at xforce.

Blah blah blah.

DaveMade and their cronies have been pushing that line of shit for so long
that it gets worse and worse every time I read it.

Who gives a shit who builds what.

Bottom line. For 3 years, I have heard the common every day driver asking
questions and getting nothing in response... Especially since Dennis left
the news-
group (not Number 12, but Dennis at DM).

XForce always seems helpful.

That means a LOT to the guys who are spending their hard earned cash on a
small
2+4 or 6 pill.

But i am wondering where do all the davemades
> come from if there customer service sucks?

It comes from Dennis and the rest, who are busy building amps and NOT
providing answers to the questions.

Gee, X-Force has a good name, and a reputation for customer service.

So, I guess, a manufacturer can provide both, huh?

Someone must be happy somewhere
> since there are many out on the airwaves . Down here at our shop in Va we
> have a somewhat informed customer base they dont come to us asking how
> many watts will my amp do with a mirage 88 deadkeying 2.5 watts swinging
35
> pushing a 5 pill at 14.25 volts, well how about at 16.89 volts will it do
> the same. etc etc.

Thats great.

I guess these guys where born knowing all this information, too, huh?

Some people are so fucking narrow minded it makes me sick.

They know what they want If not they can buy a texas
> star , palomar, I dont need to sell them a davemade for the sake of
selling
> a amp

This comment you made above serves no purpose in the conversation.

If you sell someone a Texas Star just because they don't know how many watts
a Class C box will do at X volts, your an idiot.

You sell someone a Texas Star because they want clean, dependable power.

When i want to buy a rebuilt motor for my car i dont call Hendricks
> Motor Sports and ask them how many horses can I expect with one of there
> motors in my olds cutlass work car, how many miles to the gallon Ill bet
> they wouldnt even talk to me

I happen to know different.

My family has been involved in racing vehicles for over 40 years.

Most all engine builders spend the time to inform their customers, that way
people don't get pissed because they came in and ordered a motor with brand
X
pistons, brand Y cam with Z duration at A lift, and this carb or that fuel
injection
system.

Its called CUSTOMER SERVICE.

Your so far gone from reality it amazes me.

you ask why because i am not in thier league
> even though there motors are some of the best out there used on the
Nascar,
> Busch and grand National series.

Your now going to equate DaveMade to Nascar? Highly doubtful.

The people in the know will get thru to
> them and will get a great amp I don't think davemade wants to or needs to
> sell amps to the whole world maybe thats xforce amps goal. you had better

> bow down to the snake because without it you would still be using pirate
> amps in west Chicago.

Blah Blah Blah.

Bow down to this... Customer service always wins in the end.

People get tired of the "order, and get forgotten about" syndrome that
DaveMade
seems to have gotten as of the past couple years.

I am not downing their product, just the way they interface. They grab
people,
suck them into "their way of doing business", and make the guy with a
question
feel like a total asshole for asking it.

Give me a break. The world doesn't operate that way.

At least, not the real world..... Like Real World Electronics :)

(end plug for Sean)

Toll Free
The Man your Mommy Calls Daddy

>
> WildBill
> Love Country VA.
>
> "TJ1072" <tj1072@aol.com> wrote in message
> > Wow....is that really what people do???...they go buy from someone else
> when
> > they cant get any sort of customer service. If i remember correctly when
> they
> > started the whole x-force thing, and correct me if i'm wrong Dan, but
> the
> > biggest thing they pushed for over dave was just that...customer
> service.
> I
> > have a davemade box and i think it is an awesome amp. Obviously i will
> buy
> > x-force A: cause i know the guys, B: because they are closer and C:
> when
> you
> > have a question it gets answered in a helpful way, but you could bet yer
> > ass if
> > i never got any of my questions answered or just no response i would not
> > buy
> > anything from them. I just see quite a few people posting out here that
> > they
> > can never get ahold of anyone from davemade. Of course, as soon as they
> > post a

> > complaint all of a sudden everyone knows an e-mails address that will
get
> your
> > question answered.
> >
> >
> >
> > 937..chicago
>
>
>

2452. Re: Opinions Wanted: Getting back into CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 00:09:55 UTC
From: Jesse Stanley <superstar@eurekanet.com>
Message-ID: <3a3960b4$0$1512$4ca6cf20@news.eurekanet.com>
Source record: 63686

Go with a Uniden Washington its a 40 channel AM/SSB Base nice radio
<davelufkin@iname.com> wrote in message news:91b7h0$9pg$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Hey all:
>
> I'm looking to get back into the hobby after a hiatus of many years.
> Now that I have some money to spend, I'm pretty sure that I'll go for a
> nice base station, as opposed to a mobile unit [I already have a cheap
> RS mobile, anyway]. I'd like to go sideband, for distance.
>
> Most importantly, I want to stay legal. Call me strange, but I like to
> play that way.
>
> Anyway, I'm openly soliciting your opinions on this question: What's
> the best model in current production of a AM/SSB base station?
>
> I've done a little looking around, and it looks as if the Uniden
> Washington may be the only one. Is this true?
>
> Please reply here or via email to davelufkin@iname.com
>
> Thanks.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2453. Re: amp ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 00:11:24 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <Mkd_5.85376$IP1.2889890@news1.giganews.com>
Source record: 63687

Been gone from the group for a while, but your welcome.

Toll Free

Remember, REAL technicians are the ones who make it happen!

"Lil' Bump (In the middle of the road)" <zip406@peoplepc.com> wrote in
message news:3a21532f@flexnet239.uunt.net...
> Thanks, Tollfree I really appreciate It!
>
>
> "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
> news:EoVT5.19614$%j3.177974@news6.giganews.com...
> > No it doesn't.
> >
> > It serves twofold purpose.
> >
> > The more capacitance you have from collector to collector, the more
> current
> > the transistors are allowed to draw. When tuning an amp for volts, this
> > means using less C-C Capacitance.
> >
> > However, the REAL use of them is to tune the reactance out of the
> > transformers. See, those windings on the ferrite transformers have
> > inductance. The capacitor cancels out the inductance of the windings,
> > making it appear to be a true resistive impendance, rather than a
> "complex"
> > impedance.
> >
> > Hope that helps.
> >
> >
> > Toll Free
> >
> > "'Doc" <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message news:3A1F94B3.1C802166@oio.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > "Lil' Bump (In the middle of the road)" wrote:
> > > >
> > > > What does the capacitor on the input and out transformer of a
> transistor
> > amp
> > > > > do???
> > >
> > > It blocks DC...

> > > 'Doc
> >
> >
>
>
>

2454. Re: Way worse than any Voober!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 00:13:27 UTC
From: Jesse Stanley <superstar@eurekanet.com>
Message-ID: <3a396188$0$1515$4ca6cf20@news.eurekanet.com>
Source record: 63688

Yeah some people have no Life how pathetic is this world coming to ?

Unit 663 <chevy402@vilinet.net> wrote in message
news:559_5.5145$925.261378@news.direcpc.com...
> This is great. Can you believe there are actually people bored enough with
> their own lives that they have to resort to this. Can you imagine when
this
> guy calls the enforcement officers over at the FCC; Agent Harris its Wally
> again. Oh no, Tell him Im outta town.
>
>

2455. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 00:26:30 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a3963f5.260069@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63689

On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 23:51:32 GMT, "BigPoppaPump"
<freak'Onature@aola.com> wrote:

>jr, sparky says it can(harmonics) so it must be true. I will now spread this
>new cb myth that i have learned.

I can't count the times when a driver has complained that his VHF
kicks whenever he keys up the CB, and then I find out that the coax or
install is crap. Run the SA in the cab and you'll find all kinds of
things floating around inside. Replacing the coax and reinstalling the
radio properly usually cleans it up.

Sparky, FLRC

2456. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 00:45:47 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a39651e.4676725@news.gate.net>
Source record: 63690

On Wed, 13 Dec 2000 14:59:20 -0500, Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
wrote:

*In article <3a37a1c1.2972925@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
*wrote:
*
*> On Wed, 13 Dec 2000 13:45:50 GMT, Coax Length Police
*> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
*>
*> >> It doesn't
*> >> radiate very well. SWR is a good indicator of impedance mismatch, but
*> >> it needs to be measured not only at the radio/coax junction but also
*> >> at the coax/antenna junction.
*> >
*> >Not true. The SWR at both locations is the same.
*>
*> Only when the source and load have the same impedance,
*
*No, the SWR is dependent only on the load impedance and the
*characterisic impedance of the coax.
*
*> and that
*> doesn't happen often in mobile installs. Measure SWR at both
*> connections when the impedance of the antenna is different from the
*> radio. Then change the length of your coax. The SWR changes at the
*> radio/coax connection, but not at the coax/antenna connection.
*
*No, it doesn't. The SWR is the same at both ends.
*
*> When there is a souce-to-load mismatch you will always have a standing
*> wave.
*
*Standing waves are created by a mismatch between the load and the
*transmission line only. The source impedance does not affect SWR.
*
*> But when the transmission line is any multiple of a 1/4-wave
*> (times velocity factor, of course), that standing wave will not be
*> seen at the source,
*
*This is absolutely false. When there is reflected power at the
*antenna, it goes back to the radio regardless of the line length.
*To suggest that there is some length of coax that will make the
*reflected power disappear is nonsense. Where did the reflected
*power go?

****

If the source impedance is the same as the characteristic impedance as the line, any reflected power from the load is absorbed in the source and is dissapated as heat. Thus energy is conserved. Also some of the reflected power as well as the incident power is lost to heating of the coax depending or IR losses as well as losses contributing due to SWR.

****
*> and the reflected power is radiated through the
*> transmission line. This is something I have seen for over 20 years,
*> and it doesn't change just because someone says that it's impossible.
*
*"Reflected power is radiated through the transmission line"???
****

I agree power can only be radiated either by the load or the source. The transmission line will radiate power that is dissa-pated as heat not as RF.

****
*> >Keep this in mind. The SWR is, in essence, a measure of the percentage
*> >of reflected power.
*>
*> It is a measure of the percentage of reflected power seen at the point
*> being measured. Different points along the transmission line will
*> "see" differently, because of the nature of standing waves.
*
*Nonsense. If I measure forward power at the input to a transmission
*line, I will get the same forward power measurement at the output
*of the transmission line. Similarly, if I measure reflected power
*at the output of a transmission line, I will measure the same reflected
*power at the input to the transmission line. Power MUST be conserverd.
*
*An SWR meter merely measures forward power and reflected power to
*determine the ratio of the two. The power is constant all along
*a transmission line. Where could it go (or come from)?
****

In a lossles line yes. In lossy lines, power lost is by heat.

****
*> > If the power traveling backward at the antenna
*> >is not equal to the power traveling back at the radio, where did the
*> >power go (or come from). Power must be conserved. It is a basic
*> >law of physics.
*>
*> As I said before, when you have standing waves, the transmission line
*> radiates.
*
*You are really over the top here. Why would a shielded coax radiate?
*How can the RF pass through the shield?
****

If the net current in a closed area about a conductor is zero, the magnetic field is zero. Ampere's Law. Thus in a coaxial transmission line the currrent induced in the outer shield is 180 degrees out of phase of that induced in the center conductor. This will be in either direction power is flowing. Thus the net currrent is zero at any point on the coax. Net current of zero, no magmnetic field, no radiation.

****
*> Find a mismatched system and move a FSM or RF voltmeter
*> along the length of the transmission line.
*
*You are confusing common mode currents (currents on the outside
*of the transmission line) with the RF within the transmission line.
*We are not talking about common mode currents.
****

True. danhg those tricky common mode currents. hate them. they can be pains in the butt at times to gert rid of.

****
*> I might point out that it is usually better not to radiate your signal
*> from the transmission line because harmonics are easily generated and
*> your signal is wasted.
*
*Common mode currents certainly are undesirable. However, they
*generate no harmonics.
*
*> An easy way to avoid this is to match the
*> antenna to the radio and transmission line by adding an L-network at
*> the base of the antenna. Many mobile antennas already have one
*> built-in.
*>
*> >> And it still is not an accurate measure
*> >> of power transfer, because the antenna may have some reactance that
*> >> the SWR bridge does not detect because of opposing reactance somewhere
*> >> else in the system. In that case, an SWR of 1.5:1 may radiate better
*> >> than a 1:1match.
*> >>
*> >> Sparky, FLRC
*> >
*> >So you are saying that an antenna that radiates 96% of the power works
*> >better than an antenna that radiates 100% of the power.
*>
*> No, I am saying that SWR must be correctly measured in order to mean
*> anything.
*>
*> Sparky, FLRC
*
*SWR meters are not sensitive to any "opposing reactance somewhere
*else in the system." Again, this is nonsense. All an SWR meter do
*is measure forward power and reflected power. Power is power.
****

SWR is best measured at the load. From that it is easier to calculate the actual load impedance if one knows where the minimums and maximums occur on the trasnmission line. But then that will require much more complex measurements than with a SWR bridge.

james

2457. Re: Maybe this will cause some skip to come rolling in

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 00:46:30 UTC
From: Gene Thompson <thompson@cachemedia.com>
Message-ID: <3A3969E6.EB33E701@cachemedia.com>
Source record: 63691
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Firstly, the earth is moving through the shadow of the moon, not
the other way around. Secondly, sunlight DOES have a lot to
do with radio propagation by ionizing earth's atmosphere to
lower altitudes than it would be otherwise. This is why HF travels
farther at night, the reflective layer of ionization is much higher then.
As for the eclipse, since it is only partial, my guess is that radio
propagation will not be greatly affected, but who knows?
Perhaps the moon shadow will act like a local twilight?

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" wrote:

> ???????????
>
> Why would a heavenly body moving through the Earth's shadow
> have to do with signals bouncing off the upper atmosphere?
>
> The moon is much farther away. Light takes about 3 seconds
> to make it here from the moon at 186,000 miles per second.
>
> thepolishdude@my-deja.com wrote:
> >
> > An eclipse is scheduled to occur on Christmas afternoon. Can eclipses
> > cause skip to happen?
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/

2458. Re: Maybe this will cause some skip to come rolling in

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 01:00:21 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A396D26.FA90D155@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63692
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

So I got the shadow backwards, my niece and nephew were here
making a LOT of noise. As far as nighttime propagation, the
D layer is the one that absorbs RF. It fades rapidly after dark.

Gene Thompson wrote:
>
> Firstly, the earth is moving through the shadow of the moon, not
> the other way around. Secondly, sunlight DOES have a lot to
> do with radio propagation by ionizing earth's atmosphere to
> lower altitudes than it would be otherwise. This is why HF travels
> farther at night, the reflective layer of ionization is much higher then.
> As for the eclipse, since it is only partial, my guess is that radio
> propagation will not be greatly affected, but who knows?
> Perhaps the moon shadow will act like a local twilight?
>
> "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" wrote:
>
> > ???????????
> >
> > Why would a heavenly body moving through the Earth's shadow
> > have to do with signals bouncing off the upper atmosphere?
> >
> > The moon is much farther away. Light takes about 3 seconds
> > to make it here from the moon at 186,000 miles per second.
> >
> > thepolishdude@my-deja.com wrote:
> > >
> > > An eclipse is scheduled to occur on Christmas afternoon. Can eclipses
> > > cause skip to happen?
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > http://www.deja.com/

2459. email bomb - don't read!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 01:02:15 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A396D98.3933E3D0@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63693

This is actually a test message.

2460. Re: Davemade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 01:02:49 UTC
From: maul13@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001214200249.04165.00001950@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Source record: 63694

That is so very Correct

2461. Re: DaveMade rumor?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 01:07:24 UTC
From: maul13@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001214200724.04165.00001953@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Source record: 63696

Finaly someone out theer who really knows what is going on... Thanks ice cold for clearing that up for all the MO RONS out there... See ya # 13 in V.A

2462. Re: Did you get what you paid for 13?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 01:11:05 UTC
From: maul13@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001214201105.04165.00001954@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Source record: 63697

Ya I got what I paid for I think winning the 2 and 4 pill at Jams break in N.C told you that...

2463. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 01:14:11 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-37A12F.20065514122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 63695

In article <3a393861.943227@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 20:39:47 GMT, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >If the antenna is not resonant, the SWR, by definition is not 1:1.
>
> Interesting thought. Standing waves are caused by an impedance
> mismatch.

Yes.

> It has nothing to do with resonance.

Since a 1:1 match requires the impedance to be 50 ohms and
50 ohms is resonant, resonance is required for a 1:1 match.

> A non-resonant antenna
> can indeed have an impedance of 50 ohms,

50 ohms is, by definition, resonant. There is no imaginary
component in the impedance so it is resonant.

> and in that case the SWR
> would be 1:1. A resonant dipole has an impedance of 73 ohms, and a
> ½-wave vertical is 36.5, neither of which will hand you a 1:1 SWR.

Yes. Resonance does not guarantee a 1:1 SWR. However a 1:1 SWR
requires resonance.

> ...you are illiterate.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

Well, literate one, go use your literacy skills and look up the
definition for resonance.

2464. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 01:22:29 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a3b71fa.7968735@news.gate.net>
Source record: 63800

On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 20:41:50 GMT, Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
wrote:

*In article <2clh3t8omqvjlr99c4i1v09f4s8f59e676@4ax.com>,
*codyspc@iserv.net wrote:
*
*> I think you need to re-work the Smith Chart.
*>
*> You missed the point about Phase...you're going in circles.
*
*You apparently cannot point out anything wrong with it.
*All you have is a cheap, personal attack.
****

He is hung up on thae term conjugate impedance. He is love with that term. Don't know what it means but is sounds good. One day he might just understand how a Smith Chart actually works. Nice handy tool.

james

2465. Re: N8 WILL NEVER BE 10-8 BECAUSE.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 01:25:11 UTC
From: swells8069@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001214202511.02504.00004385@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 63799

N8 is an ass on the other NGs too.Just ignore him .Pretend he is a hemroid he will go away.Dont judge all hams by one ass.
KG4JNL
Steve

2466. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 01:27:17 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-09F58E.20200014122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 63798

In article <3a3963f5.260069@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> I can't count the times when a driver has complained that his VHF
> kicks whenever he keys up the CB, and then I find out that the coax or
> install is crap. Run the SA in the cab and you'll find all kinds of
> things floating around inside. Replacing the coax and reinstalling the
> radio properly usually cleans it up.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

Your observation may be correct but you are arriving at the wrong
conclusion.

It sounds like the scenario you witnessed was caused by common mode
currents. These are currents which flow on the outside of the
coax shield. The signals you are picking up aren't coming out of
the cable but rather down the outside from the antenna. This can
be caused by a bad ground or an inadequate counterpoise (ground plane)
for your antenna.

By the way, just as a note, the normal, desirable currents in a
coax are differential currents. The current in the center conductor
is equal and opposite to the shield current. The net differential
current (sum of center conductor current and shield current) along the
transmission line is always zero. Thus, it does not radiate.

Common mode currents, on the other hand, travel only on the outside
of the shield. There is no counteracting current to be found. So they
do indeed radiate.

2467. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 01:31:10 UTC
From: Jesse Stanley <superstar@eurekanet.com>
Message-ID: <3a3973bf$0$1508$4ca6cf20@news.eurekanet.com>
Source record: 63801

I completely agree Toll

Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:oid_5.131382$DG3.2589903@news2.giganews.com...
>
> "Wild Bill" <wildbill@wild.comspam> wrote in message
> news:AhwT5.8773$TE3.494032@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
> > tj1072, It is kinda funny you are pushing customer service regarding
> illegal
> > amplifiers,
>
>
> No, what is funny is the fact that DaveMade pushes amps, and they have
> people that do, but seemingly forget about the guy who only buys one or
> two amps, and small ones.
>
> Seems, if ya want to do business with the guys in DaveMade County USA,
> you have to have deep pockets.
>
> And that, my friend, is bullshit.
>
> If they want to make people happy, provide customer service.
>
> If they want to make a buck, fuck the customer after he spends his money.
>
>
> but that is to be expected since you are part of there
> > production line at xforce.
>
>
> Blah blah blah.
>
> DaveMade and their cronies have been pushing that line of shit for so long
> that it gets worse and worse every time I read it.
>
> Who gives a shit who builds what.
>
> Bottom line. For 3 years, I have heard the common every day driver asking
> questions and getting nothing in response... Especially since Dennis left
> the news-
> group (not Number 12, but Dennis at DM).
>
> XForce always seems helpful.
>

> That means a LOT to the guys who are spending their hard earned cash on a
> small
> 2+4 or 6 pill.
>
> But i am wondering where do all the davemades
> > come from if there customer service sucks?
>
> It comes from Dennis and the rest, who are busy building amps and NOT
> providing answers to the questions.
>
> Gee, X-Force has a good name, and a reputation for customer service.
>
> So, I guess, a manufacturer can provide both, huh?
>
>
> Someone must be happy somewhere
> > since there are many out on the airwaves . Down here at our shop in Va
we
> > have a somewhat informed customer base they dont come to us asking how
> > many watts will my amp do with a mirage 88 deadkeying 2.5 watts swinging
> 35
> > pushing a 5 pill at 14.25 volts, well how about at 16.89 volts will it
do
> > the same. etc etc.
>
>
> Thats great.
>
> I guess these guys where born knowing all this information, too, huh?
>
> Some people are so fucking narrow minded it makes me sick.
>
>
> They know what they want If not they can buy a texas
> > star , palomar, I dont need to sell them a davemade for the sake of
> selling
> > a amp
>
>
> This comment you made above serves no purpose in the conversation.
>
> If you sell someone a Texas Star just because they don't know how many
watts
> a Class C box will do at X volts, your an idiot.
>
> You sell someone a Texas Star because they want clean, dependable power.
>
>
> When i want to buy a rebuilt motor for my car i dont call Hendricks
> > Motor Sports and ask them how many horses can I expect with one of there

> > motors in my olds cutlass work car, how many miles to the gallon Ill bet
> > they wouldnt even talk to me
>
>
> I happen to know different.
>
> My family has been involved in racing vehicles for over 40 years.
>
> Most all engine builders spend the time to inform their customers, that
way
> people don't get pissed because they came in and ordered a motor with
brand
> X
> pistons, brand Y cam with Z duration at A lift, and this carb or that fuel
> injection
> system.
>
> Its called CUSTOMER SERVICE.
>
> Your so far gone from reality it amazes me.
>
>
> you ask why because i am not in thier league
> > even though there motors are some of the best out there used on the
> Nascar,
> > Busch and grand National series.
>
>
> Your now going to equate DaveMade to Nascar? Highly doubtful.
>
>
>
> The people in the know will get thru to
> > them and will get a great amp I don't think davemade wants to or needs
to
> > sell amps to the whole world maybe thats xforce amps goal. you had
better
> > bow down to the snake because without it you would still be using pirate
> > amps in west Chicago.
>
>
> Blah Blah Blah.
>
> Bow down to this... Customer service always wins in the end.
>
> People get tired of the "order, and get forgotten about" syndrome that
> DaveMade
> seems to have gotten as of the past couple years.
>
> I am not downing their product, just the way they interface. They grab

> people,
> suck them into "their way of doing business", and make the guy with a
> question
> feel like a total asshole for asking it.
>
>
> Give me a break. The world doesn't operate that way.
>
> At least, not the real world..... Like Real World Electronics :)
>
> (end plug for Sean)
>
>
> Toll Free
> The Man your Mommy Calls Daddy
>
>
> >
> > WildBill
> > Love Country VA.
> >
> > "TJ1072" <tj1072@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > Wow....is that really what people do???...they go buy from someone
else
> > when
> > > they cant get any sort of customer service. If i remember correctly
when
> > they
> > > started the whole x-force thing, and correct me if i'm wrong Dan, but
> the
> > > biggest thing they pushed for over dave was just that...customer
> service.
> > I
> > > have a davemade box and i think it is an awesome amp. Obviously i will
> buy
> > > x-force A: cause i know the guys, B: because they are closer and C:
> when
> > you
> > > have a question it gets answered in a helpful way, but you could bet
yer
> > ass if
> > > i never got any of my questions answered or just no response i would
not
> > buy
> > > anything from them. I just see quite a few people posting out here
that
> > they
> > > can never get ahold of anyone from davemade. Of course, as soon as
they
> > post a

> > > complaint all of a sudden everyone knows an e-mails address that will
> get
> > your
> > > question answered.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > 937..chicago
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2468. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 01:52:25 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001214205225.10120.00004841@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 63802

>Subject: Re: Is X-Force that bad???
>From: "Toll Free"

My point exactly toll...You couldn't have put it better...when you boil it down what do you get?..PEOPLE WANT TO BE SOLD!!! I dont care what you are selling or doing. Business is business is business. They all work the same. Take care toll

937...chicago

2469. Re: Don't renew your 2001 League Membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 01:55:25 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <91btmd$4tr@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 63803
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

Must be one the new ham tech class. You guys (hams) are creating monsters.
Glad to see them migrating to your side of the airwaves.

"Brian" <brianburke@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:91bi7timl1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <91987g$grq@dispatch.concentric.net>,
> "CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net> wrote:
> > Is there a reason this is being cross posted to the rec.radio.cb? It
> doesn't
> > have any place here.
>
> Cityslicker, do a little footwork and determine which of your
> compatriots on .cb felt it appropriate to cross post there. Then send
> the message to them, not me. I don't add .cb to anything.
>
> Good luck, Brian
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2470. Re: ILLEGAL!!!!!!!!!!!937

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 01:57:49 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001214205749.10120.00004842@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 63804

>Subject: Re: ILLEGAL!!!!!!!!!!!937
>From: CJ CJ926@worldnet.att.net
>Date: 12/14/00 5:17 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3A39548A.69EA389D@worldnet.att.net>

>The hammer is lighter than the maul and I be hitting you quick before
>you can lift the maul.: Ok...but once the maul is lifted and drops then
what?? i rest my case

>Hows your good buddy "bobbie"? - #1 A--Hole.: UH....do you have me confused
with someone or is that a joke that only you get????

>Southwest side puts everyone back of the bus. : puts everyone AT THE back of
the bus...now you're forgetting words...slow down..nobody is gonna take that
computer away from you

2471. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 01:58:57 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A397AE1.7A09@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 63911

Sparky wrote:
>
> On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 20:39:47 GMT, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >If the antenna is not resonant, the SWR, by definition is not 1:1.

This IS correct!!
>
> Interesting thought. Standing waves are caused by an impedance
> mismatch.
Not necessarily. Sure, it *can*, but a non-resonant antenna will do so
as well.
It has nothing to do with resonance.

The heck it don't!! If that were true you could run a 102" whip on
any band.

A non-resonant antenna
> can indeed have an impedance of 50 ohms, and in that case the SWR
> would be 1:1.
Yes, but rarely.

A resonant dipole has an impedance of 73 ohms, and a
> ï¿½-wave vertical is 36.5, neither of which will hand you a 1:1 SWR.
>
And you won't "tune" it by cutting coax, either!

Jerry

2472. Re: Illegal Op Busted Thanks to HR2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 02:01:34 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <91bu1u$4u1@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 63805

I agree this has to be one of the smallest or fastest acting towns in the US. An officer walking around with "proposed" regulations makes me want to scratch an itch. I guess the informant now will go into the Mayberry Witness protection program along with the other radio nerds that want to play Radio Cop.
<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:9235-3A391C32-43@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> I don't believe a word of it. I'm still waiting for him to give me a
> town of where this happened.
>

2473. Re: cross post to the Ham NG's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 02:05:20 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <91bu90$4es@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 63806

Your right Jay but it seems like we have to threaten to trash their NG every couple of week because they can't control their own. I just wish that all the fish in the CB ng would ignore their BS completely and then maybe they would stay in the Nintendo groups where they belong.

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message news:3A38A91C.5A290A0F@qnet.com...
> Hello Wild Bill: I am all for going toe to toe and nose to nose, but
> the guys on the ham newsgroups don't like the flamers either.
>
> Just look at rec.radio.amateur.misc a few days maybe a week back, one of
> the bozo's said "come on over to rec.radio.cb and help out. The lions
> majority said he was a ass hole, and who cares.
>
> You handle these Mommas boys, bet wetting, butterfly chasing, tree
> hugging people like anyone else whos wants attention, you just don't
> give to them.
>
> Beside most all the hams where CB'ers at one time or another, any way.
> So cross posting to the ham ng's won't make any money IMHO.
>
> Jay in the Great Mojave Desert.
>
> WildBill wrote:
> >
> > thats right Doc a dose of the same medicine
> > "'Doc" <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message news:3A36AC85.DFA62971@oio.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > WildBill wrote:
> > > >
> > > > I say fukem all lets cross post to all the ham NG's any bullshit you
can
> > > > think of post it there lets start a little game of suck my ass
> > >
> > > Nothing like causing more problems...
> > > 'Doc

2474. Re: European and french fry bacons

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 02:14:01 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A397E69.A3925917@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63807

Made you look!!

2475. FS GALAXY SATURN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 02:25:03 UTC
From: Carl/Melanie <melanie@mail.icongrp.com>
Message-ID: <3A3980FE.8E4065AD@mail.icongrp.com>
Source record: 63808

GALAXY BASE ALL MODE NICE RADIO. $250.00+ SHIPPING

2476. Re: amp ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 03:05:27 UTC
From: Bill Eitner <kd6tas@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <01c06643$d35cf320$a8746f40@eniac>
Source record: 63809

I think 'Doc was (wrongly) referring to the cap
in a negative feedback loop. Its purpose is
twofold as well. It blocks DC, and it also
sets the self-resonant frequency and bandwidth
of the loop.

Hi Toll.

--
Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in article
<Mkd_5.85376$IP1.2889890@news1.giganews.com>...
> Been gone from the group for a while, but your welcome.
>
>
>
> Toll Free
>
> Remember, REAL technicians are the ones who make it happen!
>
>
>
>
> "Lil' Bump (In the middle of the road)" <zip406@peoplepc.com> wrote in
> message news:3a21532f@flexnet239.uunt.net...
> > Thanks, Tollfree I really appreciate It!
> >
> >
> > "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
> > news:EoVT5.19614$%j3.177974@news6.giganews.com...
> > > No it doesn't.
> > >
> > > It serves twofold purpose.
> > >
> > > The more capacitance you have from collector to collector, the more
> > current
> > > the transistors are allowed to draw. When tuning an amp for volts,
this
> > > means using less C-C Capacitance.
> > >
> > > However, the REAL use of them is to tune the reactance out of the
> > > transformers. See, those windings on the ferrite transformers have
> > > inductance. The capacitor cancels out the inductance of the
windings,
> > > making it appear to be a true resistive impendance, rather than a
> > "complex"

> > > impedance.
> > >
> > > Hope that helps.
> > >
> > >
> > > Toll Free
> > >
> > > "'Doc" <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message
news:3A1F94B3.1C802166@oio.net...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Lil' Bump (In the middle of the road)" wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > What does the capacitor on the input and out transformer of a
> > transistor
> > > > amp
> > > > > do???
> > > > >
> > > > It blocks DC...
> > > > 'Doc
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>

2477. Re: 257 on the wheels...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 03:06:04 UTC
From: BTS111@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19119-3A398A9C-68@storefull-142.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63810

Hey Twistedhed,It was a blast hearing you in there today.I was just taking care of buisness with the snow plow (yea I know ha ha ha)when I heard a new voice call my numbers and low an behold it was you.Do you know Tampa bay 100 or 41 or 101?I talk to those guys all the time.I talked to Pain and peakaboo today too.
I usually have really good conditions in the pile so don't be a stranger.You know where to find me.
Oh,I also spoke to a Lowrider in the pile again today,but I don't know if it's the same one who posts here or not.It was great hearing from you my friend,and happy holidays to you.

Sully

All rights (If I have any left) are reserved.

2478. Re: LAME N.G./ I tried

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 03:16:07 UTC
From: phoneman <phoneman01@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <X1g_5.1442$rE1.165573@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 63811

The cb'ers need to start a moderated group to keep the unwanted
posts and those posting out of the NG. Namely you know who.
Very simple solution.
Clip

Programbo5 <programbo5@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001130220922.20357.00002449@ng-bj1.aol.com...
> >From: "-=PEAKABOO=-" peakaboo@keydowns.com
>
> >This NG gets lamer everyday. What ever happened to the good amp arguments
we
> >used to have?
>
> I tried to start a Keydown message board/chat room but no one posted
messages
> or everyone was in the chat at different times....My intent was to make it
a
> place for all the amp guys to have it out or discuss set-ups
>
> The internet is more than a global pornography network

2479. Re: 257 on the wheels...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 03:18:46 UTC
From: Two-Five-seven@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17610-3A398D96-57@storefull-148.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63813

PS Yeah twist that was me replying to you You can e-mail me to either account. Some day I'll put it on you ground plane. When in Clearwater again.

257 NH. On the wheels

2480. Pain--Peakaboo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 03:23:14 UTC
From: Two-Five-seven@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17612-3A398EA2-9@storefull-148.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63814

Good talking to you guys today.Hey Peak whats up you didn't sound you're
usual chipper self today?
Well I hope santa is good to you guys.BTW that 85 has got a nice
sounding radio.
Merrry Christmas

257 NH. On the wheels

2481. Re: Yet another antenna myth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 03:41:09 UTC
From: Gudule <gudule88@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <ppg_5.71989$J32.2945929@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 63812

A SWR of 1.5 is a 3% loss, and 3% in db is just about nil, so when u get it this low, it useless to try to gain anything by getting it lower.

The main reason for having a low swr is to protect the radio, but at the same time you end up having minimum loss , maximum transfer of the power to the antenna, thus maximum performance.

But even with lo or hi swr, the swr signal bounce off from the radio to the antennna, back and forth, zillions of times, and eventually is radiated by the antenna anyway .

2482. Re: 257 on the wheels...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 04:09:13 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27457-3A399969-45@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63815

Yea...101 could be one I know...there's a few of them here. It was great tagging you out there, strong, steady, signal holding at 8 plus. Went out and took one of the elements down and unbent the thing, ought to be ok now. Merry Xmas right back..until we do it again....

2483. Re: Maybe this will cause some skip to come rolling in

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 04:13:41 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27457-3A399A75-46@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63818

Ain't that called, "moonbounce"? LMAO! Sorry, I couldn't resist!

2484. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 04:22:44 UTC
From: RAFman <rafman2@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <o0h_5.8608$h67.442422@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63816

A real joke: It is a shame you call yourselves hams!

What a bunch of bullcrap. Some bust! So where exactly did this happen? Did you hand him the "Seach Warrant" along with the "purposed local laws"? If the law is "proposed", you are in violation of harrassment laws & trespassing, at least. Why not catch real criminals (if thou fat ass can run after a real one)? If it were me, you would need to leave my POSTED property or risk removal by force if necessary. No discussion involved. All you anusholes did was intimidate someone while committing crimes yourself, no "bust" involved! The House Resolution is a bunch of bullcrap soliciting (& obviously getting) dumbass volunteer footsoldiers to fill the void of thier FCC Brownshirt rollovers who can't quite "get to it". You will need a Search Warrant to enter homes cause you cannot just enter unless the occupant is dumb enough to let you in. The resolution doesn't void the Constitutional protection from Illegal Search & Seizure. So you preyed upon an unsuspecting CBer, B.F.D.!

Maybe next, you will knock over a Spot-A-Pot with an old lady inside, in mid-squeeze, taking a big, fat Riley!

Rafman

N8wwm <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001214012320.19870.00003991@ng-ft1.aol.com...
> Yeehawwwwww! I told you lily livered sapsuckers it was gonna happen!!!
> HAHAHAHAHAHHHAHAHAHHAHAHHAHAHAHHAHAHAHHHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHHAHAHA!
>
> Subject: I got to obseve a bust!
> From: "Fred Hambrecht" Fred@w4jle.com
> Date: 12/12/2000 21:13 PM Eastern Standard Time
> Message-id: <916m17rlj1@iac5.navix.net>
>
> I had a chance to ride with one of the local police today on a visit to a
> CBers house. He had been asked a number of times to cease and desist with
> his overpowered illegal operations.
>
> This person has been the bane of existence to all his neighbors around
here,
> he was handed a copy of the latest federal law, along with the purposed
> local laws. It was made clear that he would be the target of the first
test
> case under the new law. I observed him trying to justify his rights to do
> what he wanted on the CB band. He stated that he had heard the FCC no
> longer controlled CB along with a litany of other excuses. The local law
> officer explained in a respectful manner that he would shortly have

> jurisdiction and he should consider this as his final warning. "Hey! you
> mean your serious?" was his response, the officer responded "as serious as
a
> heart attack" at that point he walked back in the house and brought out
what
> he refereed to as a "ModgeBox" and handed it over to the officer. I
believe
> that this law is going to work. Any way Ga231 sends all the good
numbers...
> He's going 10-7

2485. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 04:22:52 UTC
From: Bill Eitner <kd6tas@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <01c0664e$96eef9a0$a8746f40@eniac>
Source record: 63817

I'm surprised you wasted your time on this Toll.
(More interesting comments below.)

Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in article
<oid_5.131382$DG3.2589903@news2.giganews.com>...
>
> "Wild Bill" <wildbill@wild.comspam> wrote in message
> news:AhwT5.8773$TE3.494032@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
> > tj1072, It is kinda funny you are pushing customer service regarding
> illegal
> > amplifiers,
>
>
> No, what is funny is the fact that DaveMade pushes amps, and they have
> people that do, but seemingly forget about the guy who only buys one or
> two amps, and small ones.
>
> Seems, if ya want to do business with the guys in DaveMade County USA,
> you have to have deep pockets.
>
> And that, my friend, is bullshit.
>
> If they want to make people happy, provide customer service.
>
> If they want to make a buck, fuck the customer after he spends his money.

That formula won't work for long.
If they want to make a buck and have an attitude
too (aka no customer service and/or talk down to
customers that don't have the required minimum
knowledge level), they will have to continue to
provide leading edge products that people will
want no matter what they have to go through to
get them.

> but that is to be expected since you are part of there
> > production line at xforce.
>
>
> Blah blah blah.
>
> DaveMade and their cronies have been pushing that line of shit for so
long
> that it gets worse and worse every time I read it.
>

> Who gives a shit who builds what.
>
> Bottom line. For 3 years, I have heard the common every day driver
asking
> questions and getting nothing in response... Especially since Dennis
left
> the news-
> group (not Number 12, but Dennis at DM).
>
> XForce always seems helpful.

Do they? Or is it their wise choice of distributors
that makes the difference? I believe it's the latter.
All DM (or any other serious amp builder for that matter)
has to do is associate themselves with a knowledgable
distributor that maintains enough of a presence here
(on the 'net in general) and can handle the PR (public
relations) chores in exchange for a piece of the profits.

DM had that and lost it to X-Force.

> That means a LOT to the guys who are spending their hard earned cash on a
> small
> 2+4 or 6 pill.
>
> But i am wondering where do all the davemades
> > come from if there customer service sucks?
>
> It comes from Dennis and the rest, who are busy building amps and NOT
> providing answers to the questions.
>
> Gee, X-Force has a good name, and a reputation for customer service.
>
> So, I guess, a manufacturer can provide both, huh?

See above.

> Someone must be happy somewhere
> > since there are many out on the airwaves . Down here at our shop in Va
we
> > have a somewhat informed customer base they dont come to us asking
how
> > many watts will my amp do with a mirage 88 deadkeying 2.5 watts
swinging
> 35
> > pushing a 5 pill at 14.25 volts, well how about at 16.89 volts will it
do
> > the same. etc etc.
>
>
> Thats great.

I agree. The above "Wild Bill" statement strikes me
as being self-serving, retarded, and probably false.
How can any shop have a "customer base" where every
guy has the answers to those kinds of questions? If
they can answer those questions, they don't need the
services of a shop--they can just mail order whatever
they want and build their own systems.

> I guess these guys where born knowing all this information, too, huh?

LOL.

> Some people are so fucking narrow minded it makes me sick.

Or so self-serving that they'll lie just to make
some minor point.

> They know what they want If not they can buy a texas
> > star , palomar, I dont need to sell them a davemade for the sake of
> selling
> > a amp
>
>
> This comment you made above serves no purpose in the conversation.

I agree. If they are that smart they don't need
the services of a shop at all.

> If you sell someone a Texas Star just because they don't know how many
watts
> a Class C box will do at X volts, your an idiot.

LOL.

> You sell someone a Texas Star because they want clean, dependable power.

And/or want to talk sideband, and/or don't want to
wait for some overpriced, custom amp to show up.

> When i want to buy a rebuilt motor for my car i dont call Hendricks
> > Motor Sports and ask them how many horses can I expect with one of
there
> > motors in my olds cutlass work car, how many miles to the gallon Ill
bet
> > they wouldnt even talk to me
>
>
> I happen to know different.
>
> My family has been involved in racing vehicles for over 40 years.
>
> Most all engine builders spend the time to inform their customers, that
way
> people don't get pissed because they came in and ordered a motor with
brand

> X
> pistons, brand Y cam with Z duration at A lift, and this carb or that
fuel
> injection
> system.
>
> Its called CUSTOMER SERVICE.
>
> Your so far gone from reality it amazes me.

I agree to a degree.

> you ask why because i am not in thier league
> > even though there motors are some of the best out there used on the
> Nascar,
> > Busch and grand National series.
>
>
> Your now going to equate DaveMade to Nascar? Highly doubtful.
>
>
>
> The people in the know will get thru to
> > them and will get a great amp I don't think davemade wants to or needs
to
> > sell amps to the whole world maybe thats xforce amps goal. you had
better
> > bow down to the snake because without it you would still be using
pirate
> > amps in west Chicago.
>
>
> Blah Blah Blah.
>
> Bow down to this...

LOL.

> Customer service always wins in the end.

I agree. Plus, with the 'net being as mainstream as
it is, what were the "secrets" to building solid-state
comp amps with 12 volt pills are becoming common knowledge.
Believe it or not, there is a limit to what a 2879 can
be made to do. Dave doesn't have an endless stream of
tricks up his sleeve. In fact, pill-for-pill, there are
probably 3 or more builders who can get DM level per-
formance out of their 12 volt pill amps. That in and
of itself may be why Dave wants to distance himself from
that market. In other words, the "leading edge" in that
area is no longer solely his.

> People get tired of the "order, and get forgotten about" syndrome that
> DaveMade
> seems to have gotten as of the past couple years.
>
> I am not downing their product, just the way they interface. They grab
> people,
> suck them into "their way of doing business", and make the guy with a
> question
> feel like a total asshole for asking it.

See above. In my opinion, Dave never wanted to do
business that way (trucker and neophyte hand-holding).

> Give me a break. The world doesn't operate that way.

DM does--and it's not necessarily a bad thing.
With the "intrusion" of the Internet, it is
assumed that every company is a whore to the
"God Almighty Dollar." We're shocked when we
run across a company doesn't follow the norm.

> At least, not the real world..... Like Real World Electronics :)
>
> (end plug for Sean)

Sean's a whore. That's why he's not here anymore.
If Dave can live as comfortably as he desires without
being a whore, then he's got Sean beat by a country
mile.

> Toll Free
> The Man your Mommy Calls Daddy

--

-=[Bill Eitner]=-

Link to A.M. Tutorial, rec.radio.cb FAQ
and The Dark Side:
http://kd6tas.conk.com

2486. Re: Maybe this will cause some skip to come rolling in

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 04:47:24 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A39A25E.5F583A8C@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63819

Wait, no, EME.

twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
>
> Ain't that called, "moonbounce"? LMAO! Sorry, I couldn't resist!

2487. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 05:01:51 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a3c727f.8102279@news.gate.net>
Source record: 63820

On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 09:32:06 -0500, Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net> wrote:

*Greetings!
*
*Whap! Whap!
*
*Hello, you guys are stuck with the "antenna" part. Never getting past
*the damn tree to see the forest.
*
*"Antenna System" because the antenna, now includes the coax. It's now
*the antenna! Yes, thats right. A simple unbalanced feedline now acts
*like a radiator. Ponderous thought isn't it?
****

If you can dispove Ampere's circuital Law then I will believe that a balanced or unbalanced transmission line can radiate. Andy you flat do not understand what you are talking about.

The net current induced by the forward wave has the current in the shield 180 degrees out of phase from that induced in the center conductor of a coaxial transmission line. Now we take a circle outside the coax at any distance greater than the outer diameter of the shield. Ampere's law states that the net current in a conductor will determine the strength of the magnetic field inscribed by the area of the circle. Well if the net current is zero, I don't care what form of standard acceptable mathematics will yield an answer other than zero magnitic field. No magnetic filed not radiation. SIMPLE. The same applies to the reflected power. In the coax we have two electromagnetic waves travelling along the coax. The direction and magnitude of those waves are determined by the cross product of the E and H fileds. It is these same E and H vector fields of the wave that induces currents in the inner and outer conductors. The same E and H vector fields also induce a voltage potential between the two conductors.

****
*[WOW!] You guys should check your glasses. You're not reading the
*textbooks expiration dates correct.
*
*Boy, you gotta' luv these guys...
*
*I'm not going connect all the dots for you. Many people believe what
*they want. I've taken the time to at least make an effort.
****

The problem is we don't know where your dots are and we flat don't have the time to find them.

****
*Quite wasting my time on this. If you guys can't figure out the
*difference between which end of the coax you should be using, then
*maybe you shouldn't post such contradictory stuff.
*
*Hey, the problem with the whole coax length issue is dealing with the
*antenna location. It's simply not resonate there, but just a few feet
*away, that very same antenna - *WHAM* BINGO - a 1:1 SWR - WHAZZAP?
****

Maybe you should take some time and really try and understand what is going on.

****
*Boy are you guys a lot of help - the answer to the problem in most of
*the cases is due to location.
*
*But, no...no one wants to cooperate and share the idea and focus on
*the end result.
*
*I have yet to hear anything of a logical answer to the kids question,
*from you or George. If coax length doesn't matter - you guys are
*really naive.
****

coax length has only an effect on the impedance seen by the source. It has nothing to do with the SWR on the transmission line. SWR is a constant that is derived solely from the characteristic impedance of the transmission line and the load impedance. If you place and SWR bridge at the source and adjust the coax length, you will find some length where the reflection of the load's impedance is equal to 50 Ohms. Gee the source is 50 Ohms and the SWR bridge is designed to work in a 50 Ohm system. Gosh I wonder why the SWR meter reads 1:1??????????????????????

Have you ever wondered ANDY??????????????????

It is because the source wants to see the characteristic impedance it is designed for. In this case it is 50 Ohms. Looking into the coax toward the load wee see a complex impedance that is equal to 50 Ohms. This also happens to be the charcteristic impedance of the line. The source is happy and all is well with the source. There are problems with this kind of matching.
1) It is generally a high Q match. That is a frequency changes rapidly the match condition will cease to exist.

2) It can degrade over time as the characteristics of the coax change due to ageing and exposure to the sun and mositure.

Now why does SWR change with the meter at the source and the coax length changes?

This can best be seen on a Smith Chart. The center of the Smnith Chart is 50 Ohms. We can plot the load's impedance on the chart. For this discussion it really does not matter what it is, as long as it is not 50 Ohms real or complex. Okay now that we have plotted the load's impednace, we need to draw a circle on the Smith Chart. The distance from the load to the center of the Smith Chart is

the radius of the circle. This circle is now our circle of constant SWR. This is made possible due to the fact that the radius of the circle is the reflection coefficient. The reflection coefficient is used to determine the SWR. Thus we have a circle of constant SWR since the load and the characteristic impedance of the coax remains constant. As we move away from the load toward the source along the circle of constant SWR there will be two points on the circle whose complex impedance will equal 50 Ohms. That is the square root of the sum of the squares of the real and imaginary parts will equal 50. These two points are arrived at by moving along the coax from the load toward the source. It should be noted that the two point will be half way arround the circle of constant SWR. Every time we move half way around the Smith CHart we have traveled one quarter of a wavelength along the coax. So from the load's impedance we now know two things:

1) the SWR
2) how far along the coax that two points where the load's impdenace ir reflected back to the source is equal to 50 Ohms.

It should be noted that the same two points are reached everytime we go a halfwave down the coax. Either one of these two points can be our starting point. Since the impedance seen looking into the coax toward the load is now 50 Ohms, the source is 50 Ohms, the SWR meter reads 1:1.

We can effectively move the SWR bridge along the coax. This is very easy to do. Just place a random length of 50 Ohm coax between the source and the SWR meter. remove the same length from between the load and the SWR meter to keep the overall length the same. Measure the SWR. Will it change?
Yes!
Why?????

We have now moved our measuring point a distance away from the source toward the load. Now let's go back to our starting point on the Smith Chart. We can see can go two directions along the cirlce of constant SWR. We must move along the circle in a direction towards the load. This can be seen on the outer edge of the chart. It tells you which way is toward the load and which way toward the source. We move along the circle so many portions of a wavelength. This is determined by the distance the meter was moved from the source in wavelengths. Now at that pointon the circle we now have a new impedance looking into the coax toward the load. It is no longer 50 Ohms. It will be some complex impedance though. There can be two values of pure resistance. Though it cannot be a pure 50 ohm resistance as the radius of the circle of constant SWR is greater than zero and 50 Ohms is the center of the circle. Now this impedance will be the controlling factor in mesuring the new SWR. It should be the the same value as plotted on the Smith Chart.

No let us return the SWR meter back to the source. Again we measure SWR and it is 1:1. One more note about this condition. We do not have a conjugate match condition.
Why?
To obtain a conjugate match we are matching the real portion of a complex impedance while cancelling the effects of the imaginary part. This can be done by presenting a load to a complex source that is equal in the real part and opposite in the imaginary part. This can been seen mathematically. Let our

source be R + jX. To conjugate match this part we would need to present a load of R - jX. This is not the case in the transfer of power via electromagnetic waves. Let's go back to the Smith Chart again. Remember we plotted a circle of constant SWR. The center line of the Smith Chart is the line of pure resistance. Our circle crosses it at only two points. Again since the radius of the circle is greater than zero, these crossings cannot be at 50 Ohms pure resistance. Also by moving the source a prescribed distance from the load there are two conditions where the impednace looking back to the load is 50 Ohms. They are a quater wave apart and the pair exist every half wave distance from the load. Yet when we replace the load and the coax with a 50 Ohm resistor we also have a 1:1 SWR reading. Interesting. In a conjugate match condition we can only have one solution for a given source. From the Smith Chart we see that we have two conditons R1 + jX1 and R1 - jX1 that gives us a 1:1 SWR. In practice we actually find three conditions. This would mean that we now have three solutions to a situation that says we should have only one. Instead we look to transmission line theory and we see that the source is considered matched to a transmission line when the characteristic impednace is equal to that of the source. The key word here is characteristic. We now can simplify our load and transmission line to one simple lumped impedance. If this is equal to the charateristic impedance of the transmission line and that equals what the source wants to see then we have a matched condition.

james

****

*I tried and it's pretty close to the answer you'd get "out there". And
*since I don't see any of your posts answering, nor GeorgesMAC - I
*think you guy like to troll. You never answer this type of question
*ever, and all you guys think up on this, is the SAME crap and BS I see
*everytime.
*
*Bother me later. When you have an understanding of what I'm talking
*about.
*
*You guys need a life.
*
*On 14 Dec 2000 06:31:57 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with the
*Mouse, Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> dissertated the following:
*
*:+> Handy Andy <CodysPC@iserve.net> wrote:
*:+>
*:+> : Ok, I see your point, and here we go again. As far as Radio Shack,
*:+> : take a trip to their store and ask for the manual to their Deluxe
*:+> : Magnetic Mount CB antenna - or any CB antenna that has a pamphlet with
*:+> : the wrap. They are WARNING you not to trim the coax. I'd like to know
*:+> : why, aside from ruining their pretty job of soldering the PL259 end
*:+> : on. ;-) These are the complete kits - antenna, coax, mount.
*:+>
*:+> : Adjusting coax length affects impedance. A typical SWR meter not only
*:+> : looks for voltage, but impedance - correct?

*:+>
*:+> Wrong. Adjust coax length affects the input impedance of the coax. It
*:+> does not affect the impedance of the coax, or the match between the coax
*:+> and the antenna.
*:+>
*:+> : Then what we see at the end of a section of coax is a conjugate
*:+> : imepdance with the coax and the antenna working together. If
*:+> : everything is put together right, the coax isn't part of the equation.
*:+> : It's simply an unbalanced feedline to a balanced load.
*:+>
*:+> Nope.
*:+>
*:+> : e.g. - 25ohms - 5j [a capacitive loading scheme]
*:+>
*:+> : As we can look at the smith chart, if I'm not mistaken, I can see SWR
*:+> : ranging from 2.7 to around 1.2 - roughly 180 ohm [using no formula to
*:+> : figure this as an unbalanced feed line of about 6 feet - just notes]
*:+> : to 36 ohms at about 17 feet in length of adjustement range if we use a
*:+> : typical section of RG 58 coax.
*:+>
*:+> If you use the Smith Chart correctly, you will see a constant SWR of
*:+> approximately 2:1 - anywhere along the feedline length.
*:+>
*:+> : Ok, if I'm right, how come the above equation works for developing a
*:+> : working low SWR using a section of coax? If nothing else - does this
*:+> : mean that we are making a transmatch using a coaxial line?
*:+>
*:+> No.
*:+>
*:+> : Now, all I'm going to help you with is give you this hint. A lot of
*:+> : base loaded antennas on the market offer a lot of capacitance. With
*:+> : the above conjugate equation, this is about the typical CB'er install
*:+> : that has a poor ground. The antenna is asking for length. Adjusting
*:+> : the coax - means that the antenna is not resoant at it's current
*:+> : location and configuration - we need to do something.
*:+>
*:+> Adjusting the coax will not change the resonant frequency of the
*:+> antenna. That can only be changed by adjustments to the antenna.
*
*. Pow! POP! BLAM!
*Regards! (0) (0) (~) (~) (~)
*.:+> Andy <+: *
*... You know your antenna is too long when, /
*... streetlights explode as you pass under /
*... them... ___ /
*... ____/ ____ /
*... [_.-._____.-._]|
*... (0) (0) ==)) --))
*
* http://ww.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

2488. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 05:07:01 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a3da6a6.21455115@news.gate.net>
Source record: 63823

On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 17:49:56 -0500, Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
wrote:

*In article <2p8d3tk651ofmrbqbmlhqhqq92ocf7h0oh@4ax.com>, tnom@mucks.net
*wrote:
*
*> snip
*> >why do the antenna manufacturers reccomend an 18 ' length.
*> snip
*>
*> 18 feet is a good choice.
*
*Total nonsense. There is no reason to use 18 feet.
*
*> To just name a few. Firestik, K-40, Wilson,and Antenna Specialist
*> recommend an 18 foot length of coax. Others manufacturers either
*> don't use an 18 foot length, or have no recommendation at all.
*
*I have only seen Firestik recommend 18 feet. I attempted to
*get them to justify that recommendation and all I got was a
*cheap personal attack. There is no justification for 18'.
*
*> Some on this group will tell you to use any length, or use the
*> shortest length possible. The only problem with this is that it
*> is based on having no benefit to you other than the cost of the
*> coax, or the convenience of having no excess coax length to deal
*> with.
*
*Plus lower losses.
*
*> If you are asking for a coax length to use in the first place then I
*> assume that you are going to get the diagonals out and cut a piece.
*> If so what would you end up with if you used the shortest length
*> possible? Assume a 8 foot length of RG58. RG58 has a power loss of
*> 3.17% at this length. Now choose an 18 foot section of RG58. RG58
*> at this length has a power loss of 7.15%. The difference between the
*> two choices is about .19db or for a four watt signal you loose .16
*> watts. No one will ever be able to notice this difference at all.
*> Moral of the story?.............There is no performance benefit in
*> using the 8 foot length.
*
*You are contradicting yourself. You said there is a 0.19 dB benefit;
*then, you said there is no benefit. I admit it is a trivial benefit
*but it is there. There are NO advantages of using 18 feet over 8 feet.

*
*> Conclusion: If you are operating without problems, and are using an
*> existing length of mobile coax that is not 18 feet then don't worry
*> about it. However if you are starting from scratch, and want to use
*> the best choice, then go with the 18 foot length.
*
*There is no reason to use 18'. You have provided no justification
*that it is the "best choice."
*
*> Here are some web sights that recommend 18 feet. Some even explain
*> why.
*>
*>
*> http://www.firestik.com/FAQ.htm
*
*These Firestik guys don't know what they are talking about.
*
*> http://www.wilsonantenna.com/swrf.htm
*
*This site parrots the Firestik site. Obviously, plagiarized or
*written by the same guy.
*
*> http://www.wilsonantenna.com/coax.htm
*
*Same as above.
*
*> http://64.70.218.95/k40cb/CBfaq.htm#What
*
*I can't believe the myths on these pages! They are a true
*disservice to CB radio. Not only do they carry the coax length
*myths, they also propagate the myth that rolling your coax in
*a loop makes an RF choke. It does no such thing to the signal
*within the coax.
****

Rolling the coax up in a coil is good for common mode problems though. Ferrite
torroids are better though

james

2489. Re: Is The Price Of Getting Caught With An Illegal Davemade Too High?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 05:12:03 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a3ea7c5.21742515@news.gate.net>
Source record: 63822

On Wed, 13 Dec 2000 11:11:59 GMT, aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:

*In article <917fvhlet1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>,
* "Miles Davis" <MDD327@AOL.COM> wrote:
*> You Davemade guys need to have a talk with Dave. A little bit of
*success is
*> going to his head. $13,000.00 is way too much for a 16-pill!
*ROTFLMAO!!!!!!
*> "West Side" <CreoleCat@webtv.net> wrote in message
*> news:18076-3A3721F8-15@storefull-232.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
*> > Davemade M200 3 pill $245=Davemade M400 5 pill $335=Davemade M400se
*2x4
*> > pill $365,Davemade 800 8 pill $635,Davemade m800 2x8 $725,Davemade
*16
*> > pill $1,3000.00
*> > I am comparing the prices to the builders here in california.What
*you
*> > think does it really matter about the price or is Davemade the best
*amp
*> > you can buy for watts and quality for such a high price.
*> >
*>
*
*
*Guy selling illegal amps in WA state got fined $70,000 by FCC. Is that
*too high a price?
*
*Aaron
*
*
*Sent via Deja.com
*http://www.deja.com/
****

I guess that the price of doing business. I bet that going to eat into the P&L
statement. I wonder if he can claim that as a bussiness expense??

james

2490. Re: PRESIDENT G W BUSH,.....FINALLY.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 05:14:41 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a3fa885.21934673@news.gate.net>
Source record: 63821

On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 15:46:50 GMT, curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:

*thanks for the memories comrade algoreski. oh yes, sorry but no pardon
*for clintonoski or the clintonistas either. you are going to jail boy.
*and another thing. we don't want to hear about the sand pile again for
*a very long time, please. oh yes, i bet prime minister had to put in a
*call to algoreski requesting his million dollars back, because now he
*is back in deep doo doo especially after dubya cleans out the vermin in
*the justice department,......cd is out.........
*
*
*Sent via Deja.com
*http://www.deja.com/
****

So instead of sex in the oral office, it's snorting in the oval office.

james

2491. Re: PRESIDENT G W BUSH,.....FINALLY.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 05:16:26 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a40a8ea.22034818@news.gate.net>
Source record: 63824

On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 15:46:50 GMT, curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:

*thanks for the memories comrade algoreski. oh yes, sorry but no pardon
*for clintonoski or the clintonistas either. you are going to jail boy.
*and another thing. we don't want to hear about the sand pile again for
*a very long time, please. oh yes, i bet prime minister had to put in a
*call to algoreski requesting his million dollars back, because now he
*is back in deep doo doo especially after dubya cleans out the vermin in
*the justice department,......cd is out.........
*
*
*Sent via Deja.com
*http://www.deja.com/
****

One other comment. I hope you are not a typical republikan. IF so then that reaffirms why I am and independant.

james

2492. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 05:28:34 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3A39ABF8.3667160E@sccoast.net>
Source record: 63825
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Had you good sense you'd know by know that
> Phil Kane does NOT lack them.
> End of story.

I never said he did lack them, Dick. I said that qualifications did not give Phil more credibility to speak about this issue in this newsgroup than anyone else, which I assume you would agree with. Or are you now saying that nobody in this newsgroup is allowed to talk about a subject if they lack the "proper" qualifications?

However, that is exactly what Phil was saying to JR when, after posting his own qualifications once again with his signiture, he challenged JR's qualifications by asking,"what professional background do you bring to substantiate your claims?"

I then went further to suggest that qualifications (of any kind) are not a clear indication that the person actually knows what he is talking about, which I assume you would also agree with. I went further to suggest that this is especially true if the person is wrong (which Phil is in this case).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

2493. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 05:37:44 UTC
From: Andrew <akocsar@smartt.com>
Message-ID: <91caf1mpb1@newsfeed.smartt.com>
Source record: 63826

Thanks to everyone for all the replies to my original post. I hope I didn't get everyone too stirred up with the original question. I'm just a simple electrician that knows little about radio stuff and was wanting to put a chunk of cable between the antenna and radio and have it work reasonably well :)
I guess there is a lot to learn about this topic.

<jdaggett@gate.net> wrote in message news:3a3da6a6.21455115@news.gate.net...
> On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 17:49:56 -0500, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com>
> wrote:
>
> *In article <2p8d3tk651ofmrbqbmlhqhqq92ocf7h0oh@4ax.com>, tnom@mucks.net
> *wrote:
> *
> *> snip
> *> >why do the antenna manufacturers reccomend an 18 ' length.
> *> snip
> *>
> *> 18 feet is a good choice.
> *
> *Total nonsense. There is no reason to use 18 feet.
> *
> *> To just name a few. Firestik, K-40, Wilson,and Antenna Specialist
> *> recommend an 18 foot length of coax. Others manufacturers either
> *> don't use an 18 foot length, or have no recommendation at all.
> *
> *I have only seen Firestik recommend 18 feet. I attempted to
> *get them to justify that recommendation and all I got was a
> *cheap personal attack. There is no justification for 18'.
> *
> *> Some on this group will tell you to use any length, or use the
> *> shortest length possible. The only problem with this is that it
> *> is based on having no benefit to you other than the cost of the
> *> coax, or the convenience of having no excess coax length to deal
> *> with.
> *
> *Plus lower losses.
> *
> *> If you are asking for a coax length to use in the first place then I
> *> assume that you are going to get the diagonals out and cut a piece.
> *> If so what would you end up with if you used the shortest length
> *> possible? Assume a 8 foot length of RG58. RG58 has a power loss of
> *> 3.17% at this length. Now choose an 18 foot section of RG58. RG58

> *> at this length has a power loss of 7.15%. The difference between the
> *> two choices is about .19db or for a four watt signal you loose .16
> *> watts. No one will ever be able to notice this difference at all.
> *> Moral of the story?.............There is no performance benefit in
> *> using the 8 foot length.
> *
> *You are contradicting yourself. You said there is a 0.19 dB benefit;
> *then, you said there is no benefit. I admit it is a trivial benefit
> *but it is there. There are NO advantages of using 18 feet over 8 feet.
> *
> *> Conclusion: If you are operating without problems, and are using an
> *> existing length of mobile coax that is not 18 feet then don't worry
> *> about it. However if you are starting from scratch, and want to use
> *> the best choice, then go with the 18 foot length.
> *
> *There is no reason to use 18'. You have provided no justification
> *that it is the "best choice."
> *
> *> Here are some web sights that recommend 18 feet. Some even explain
> *> why.
> *>
> *>
> *> http://www.firestik.com/FAQ.htm
> *
> *These Firestik guys don't know what they are talking about.
> *
> *> http://www.wilsonantenna.com/swrf.htm
> *
> *This site parrots the Firestik site. Obviously, plagiarized or
> *written by the same guy.
> *
> *> http://www.wilsonantenna.com/coax.htm
> *
> *Same as above.
> *
> *> http://64.70.218.95/k40cb/CBfaq.htm#What
> *
> *I can't believe the myths on these pages! They are a true
> *disservice to CB radio. Not only do they carry the coax length
> *myths, they also propagate the myth that rolling your coax in
> *a loop makes an RF choke. It does no such thing to the signal
> *within the coax.
> **********
>
> Rolling the coax up in a coil is good for common mode problems though.
Ferrite
> torroids are better though
>
> james
>

2494. Re: Did you get what you paid for 13?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 05:42:52 UTC
From: 308birdman@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91cb0s$6c7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63828

Yeah, especially the 4 pill, that was the best. (except for the 8 of course!) Against the fellow who didn't want to take the lid off his driver! Boy you sure bumped his head! I couldn't help but laugh! Take care 13, just wanted to put it on ya! hehe

I'll see ya

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2495. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 05:47:38 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <eobj3t87ib1i9s4g6bbvek6v520kn6odom@4ax.com>
Source record: 63827
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote:
>Aaron,
> There were two cases in Mississippi, don't know about
>Arkansas, and at least two cases that I know of in Oklahoma.
>One of the cases in Oklahoma did cost the ham a few bucks,
>but there were extenuating cercumstances. The other case
>that I'm aware of in Oklahoma, cost the ham involved about
>$3.00 to make the response to charges 'look' good by putting
>it in a folder. Also cost maybe 45 minutes, including waiting
>till the case was heard. You don't need a lawyer.
> (Been there, done that, cost me $3.00)
> 'Doc

Here is the case I was thinking of:
From www.arrl.org:

Mississippi Ham Awaits Court Decision in RFI Case
NEWINGTON, CT, Oct 29, 1999--A Mississippi ham arrested for interfering with his neighbors' telephones is awaiting a decision on his request to throw out the complaint on the grounds that the state court has no jurisdiction in the matter. ARRL member Bennie Stewart, KJ6TY, of Meridian, was arrested and charged September 10 after a neighbor filed a complaint with the Lauderdale County Justice Court.

Stewart, a ham for 12 years, appeared in court October 26. He says his attorney, Felecia Perkins, filed a request to dismiss the complaint, brought under a Mississippi law that makes it illegal to "intentionally obstruct, injure, break or destroy, or in any manner interrupt any telegraph or telephone line or communication thereon between any two points." Stewart has maintained that only the FCC has jurisdiction, and his station is operating properly. The case has been continued while the court mulls its decision.

Stewart's neighbors also were in court but did not have an opportunity to speak. "My attorney told me, 'Your neighbors are mad because you're not going to jail'," Stewart said. If convicted, he faces a fine of up to $500, six months in jail, or both.

Stewart said the prosecutor had wanted a group of hams to inspect his station, but he said one of the group that was supposed to conduct the inspection called him Thursday to say the group had decided not to get involved in the case.

"It should have never gotten to this point in the first place," he says, adding that he's tried to work with his neighbors regarding

telephone interference ever since the first complaints arose three or four years ago. "I put myself on a schedule," he said, operating on 40, 20, and 10 meter SSB only on weekdays between 8 AM and noon when his neighbors were at work. He says he never operates on weekends. Stewart says he stayed off the air for a while after his arrest, but he has since resumed limited operation.

He says he runs a Kenwood TS-440 barefoot. Although he does have a beam, he says he got rid of his Heath SB-220 linear amplifier after the RFI complaints surfaced.

"The problem is not here," he says of his station.

A retired professional photographer, Stewart--who's 60 and confined to a wheelchair--spent ten years in California after his retirement, but he moved back to his native Mississippi in 1993. His arrest shocked his friend and generated a lot of media attention. "My phone hasn't stopped ringing," he said. The case also has attracted the attention of the Amateur Radio community.

Stewart described his arrest--which he says took place in front of his three-year-old granddaughter--as a traumatic experience.

"It was humiliating," he said. "They bodily arrested me, and I'm in a wheelchair!" Stewart said he was taken to the sheriff's department, where he was booked and a mug shot taken. He had to post a $500 cash bond to avoid spending time in jail, he said.

Up until now, Stewart says, he's had no problems with the law. "I've never had a parking ticket," he said.

The pending legal issues aside, Stewart said he has tried several ways of dealing with the interference complaints beyond restricting his operating hours. He said he invested $175 in a 900-MHz cordless telephone that proved to be totally interference-free when installed at one complaining neighbor's home. Stewart says he offered to let the man use the telephone indefinitely as long as they both lived in the neighborhood, but the man refused the offer.

He's also offered filters, different telephones and other remedies, but says his neighbors have refused to cooperate.

Stewart says he expects the court to rule on the case very soon.

2496. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 06:22:11 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <91cdajfhv0@208.206.142.89>
Source record: 63830
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Had you good sense you'd know by know that
> > Phil Kane does NOT lack them.
> > End of story.
>
> I never said he did lack them, Dick. I said that qualifications did
> not give Phil more credibility to speak about this issue in this
> newsgroup than anyone else, which I assume you would agree with. Or are
> you now saying that nobody in this newsgroup is allowed to talk about a
> subject if they lack the "proper" qualifications?
>

Well Dwight some people realize that its not just that you have
something to say, it's WHAT you say.

> However, that is exactly what Phil was saying to JR when, after
> posting his own qualifications once again with his signiture, he
> challenged JR's qualifications by asking,"what professional background
> do you bring to substantiate your claims?"
>

Commonly the guy with the best qualification gets to set the pace.If
anyone here is situated so as to be credible at asking another's
qualifications, it is Phil. It is NOT you. Of course you may say what
you wish, with egg accumulating on your face according to the extent of
your, well, cluelessness.

> I then went further to suggest that qualifications (of any kind) are
> not a clear indication that the person actually knows what he is talking
> about, which I assume you would also agree with.

You would ass-u-me wrong.

I went further to
> suggest that this is especially true if the person is wrong (which Phil
> is in this case).

So you know that what you say is exactly correct and that what Phil
says is not.
Yeah, Right, Dwight!

One of these days you'll probably walk into a Mack truck that you were
sure was only a mirage.

2497. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 06:44:25 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A39BDCC.ED3F55B5@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63831

There are a lot of experts who aren't. Those with an open mind tend
to argue the least. We can all learn something new every day.

Andrew wrote:
>
> Thanks to everyone for all the replies to my original post. I hope I didn't
> get everyone too stirred up with the original question. I'm just a simple
> electrician that knows little about radio stuff and was wanting to put a
> chunk of cable between the antenna and radio and have it work reasonably
> well :)
> I guess there is a lot to learn about this topic.

2498. Re: For Mark - Re: Vertical Limit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 06:47:02 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <91cep6jeg0@208.206.142.89>
Source record: 63832
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

m2steven wrote:
>
> My main gripe about CB is that if you speak well, and don't have an echo on
> your signal, and you have a nice,
> clear signal, literally NO ONE will talk to you....I
> mean.....Shit..!.......

I guess I'd have to ask - What did you expect???

2499. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 06:49:29 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3A39BEDB.4370C8A0@sccoast.net>
Source record: 63833
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

RadioFree wrote:
>
> More than 50 (closer to 150, but I'm trying to
> be conservative) cross-posted replies split
> between TWO MISC.TRANSPORT groups from a
> Technician Class individual with the call
> sign W5NET and the name Dwight Stewart arrived
> at misc.transport.road and/or misc.transport.trucking.
> The archived posts are available for anyone to count
> and confirm at Deja.com. I don't lie, sir.

Again, you are a liar. I will repeat what I said before - while I have certainly never posted anything about HR-2346 in those newsgroups, I have never posted a single message, on any subject, to either of these transport newsgroups. Again, neither of those newsgroups are even listed as available through my newsgroup server.

In fact, I just checked with Deja.com to see if someone was using my callsign, or my name, in these newsgroups and it verified that there were no posts under the name "Dwight Stewart," or under the callsign "W5NET," in either of those newsgroups. If anyone would like to verify this for themselves, click on the link below and enter either my name or callsign in the search block.

http://www.deja.com/usenet/

I've posted a lot of messages over the last six or seven years, so don't be surprised at the number of total messages to search through (I was). If you want to make the search a little easier, enter the word "transport" after either the name or callsign to narrow the search.

You can also search for both "Dwight Stewart" and "HR-2346" to see that I was correct when I said that I've only posted 3 messages about HR-2346 since its passage in the rec.radio.cb newsgroup, instead of the hundreds that RadioFree claims.

> Especially not with the subject "Re: HR 2346 STATE
> & LOCAL COPS TO ENFORCE CB RADIO REGS" which was
> the topic in EVERY SINGLE ONE of the 50+ replies I
> reference. Strange that you wouldn't remember posting
> or replying there even ONCE.

Oh, so that is the crap that you're talking about. You need to get your facts straight (and learn how to use deja.com). That was a thread in one of these ham radio newsgroups about 3 months ago that appears to

have been crossposted to those newsgroups. In fact, if you read some of the messages, you'll see that I was talking to ham operators (Cecil, David Little, Phil, etc.).

Again, I've never posted a single message directly to those trucking newsgroups. To verify that, search directly in those newsgroups using my name or callsign (which will only list messages directly posted to a thread in those newsgroups, not crossposted messages in a thread from another newsgroup). I cannot be held responsible for where messages I've replied to were crossposted.

But, regardless, since those messages were posted three months ago (prior to the passage of HR-2346) how do those messages support your claim that I was "announcing the passage of HR2346 with a big grin and wielding it like a club in every group that could possibly be of interest to CBers?"

> You called me a liar when I was only pointing out
> the myriad of reasons you and JR, of all people,
> would maintain HR2346's [snip]

No, I called you a liar because you claimed that I was "announcing the passage of HR2346 with a big grin and wielding it like a club in every group that could possibly be of interest to CBers." Which, in spite of the messages from a ham radio newsgroup discussion you found above (posted three months ago, prior to the passage of HR-2346), is a boldfaced lie.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

2500. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 07:05:17 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3A39C28B.BB9B360D@sccoast.net>
Source record: 63834
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Dwight, you truly are a genuine blockhead.
> But we already knew that.

Couldn't dispute what I wrote, could you? Easier to ridicule me
instead of offering ligitimate debate?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

2501. Re: For Mark - Re: Vertical Limit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 07:14:08 UTC
From: Bill Meacham <"DONTSPAM exray"@coqui.net>
Message-ID: <3A39C4C0.FBB176D7@coqui.net>
Source record: 63835
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> m2steven wrote:
> >
> > My main gripe about CB is that if you speak well, and don't have an echo on
> > your signal, and you have a nice,
> > clear signal, literally NO ONE will talk to you....I
> > mean.....Shit..!.......
>
> I guess I'd have to ask - What did you expect???

You have to have a 'roger-beep' to be considered credible.
Beep! Or a drunken vocal equivalent. Beep!
--
-Bill, Beep!

2502. Re: FCC broadcast on 10m

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 07:40:56 UTC
From: wlfdad@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91chu8$b73$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63836

I want to know if anyone looked on the back of any of these devices (Telephones, shelf stereos etc..) They all say they must receive outside interference! I am not saying the neigbor next door running 2kw on 11 meter is not doing something illegal...just saying my barefoot radio on 11 meter "washed" the neighbor! Oh well is what i say..stop buying hairdryer phones(Conair)! I respect my neighbors but lets try to worked it out when i am running a legal rig with 4 watt legal power.

926 in the GREAT LAKES just got DOOOOWWWWWNNNNNNN

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2503. Re: For Mark - Re: Vertical Limit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 08:03:40 UTC
From: m2steven <m2steven@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <mvi_5.3305$Kk.104585@news3.atl>
Source record: 63829
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

My apologies. I personally like the code and frankly, it helped my general class wife save the life of a non-hearing man who was a ham. The man was in the hospital and was fortunate to know that my wife had just received her general class license. During a breathing emergency one evening, he was able to tap out
his symptoms to my wife, who relayed to the physician on call. The code was his only reliable communications device, so I think that it's use in a movie is appropriate. And yes, there are lots of hams behind the scenes of movies that get ham "plugs" placed into the script. I think morse code will always have a place, in the same
manner as bow-hunting, hand reloading of firearms, survival stuff (whatever that is), and many other things
which require a bit of study and skill to participate. The fact that the military has replaced ham operators in
favor of more modern equipment is really not the death knell for the code. My personal form of communication
at the moment is 5 watt am cb - line of sight. Something romantic about talking to some stranger 20 miles away for me... Anyway,,,the movie was fast paced, filled with well done gags, and went by very fast. Not quite as good as "Cliffhanger" which was super exciting, but good, nevertheless.

My main gripe about CB is that if you speak well, and don't have an echo on your signal, and you have a nice,
clear signal, literally NO ONE will talk to you....I
mean.....Shit..!.......

Thanks for the well reasoned resonse to my perhaps to hastily formed reply to your post....

Regards ----- Mark
"T. L. Bryant" <tlbryant@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:918ti10af7@enews3.newsguy.com...
> Mark,
>
> If you'd bothered to check QRZ before making the statement that I'm too lazy to
> learn the code, you'd have noted that the date on my license is February 26,
> 1997, and that it's an Extra class license. The code testing requirement at
> that time was still 20 WPM, and I still think that code testing at any speed
> above 5 WPM is a worthless hazing ritual.

>
> Yes, the use of morse code in the movie, in that given situation, was
> appropriate. However, a movie is not reality. In reality, the odds of such
a
> situation happening are about the same as the odds of the San Diego
Chargers
> making it to the Super Bowl this year.
>
> Anyone who finds old Road Runner cartoons entertaining, especially the
ones
> where Wile E. Coyote is always falling off of a cliff, will enjoy Vertical
> Limit.
>
> Tom - N8ECW
>

2504. Re: Illegal for CB 100Watt to 1400watt HF 80-10M Amplifiers Sale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 09:00:23 UTC
From: Jeff Herrings <president@whitehouse.gov>
Message-ID: <H4l_5.165229$j6.18691341@news1.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 63837

Actually, if you had a worth while news reader, it would keep track and USE
the thread information
accordingly. Guess what this would do? It would allow you to KNOW for
which message a reply
belongs. Geee, imagine that.

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:913mq7$4td$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <4878-3A34E754-37@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
> twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
> > The same reason the hammie cops are posting here.
> >
> >
>
> Twist,
>
> You need to include in your post a quote from the post you are
> answering. Otherwise your answers appear as nonsequitors.
>
> BTW: Who are the "hammie cops"?
>
> Aaron, FLRC
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

2505. Re: PRESIDENT G W BUSH,.....FINALLY.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 09:16:46 UTC
From: Jeff Herrings <president@whitehouse.gov>
Message-ID: <2kl_5.165268$j6.18691844@news1.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 63838

<jdaggett@gate.net> wrote in message news:3a40a8ea.22034818@news.gate.net...
> On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 15:46:50 GMT, curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> *thanks for the memories comrade algoreski. oh yes, sorry but no pardon
> *for clintonoski or the clintonistas either. you are going to jail boy.
> *and another thing. we don't want to hear about the sand pile again for
> *a very long time, please. oh yes, i bet prime minister had to put in a
> *call to algoreski requesting his million dollars back, because now he
> *is back in deep doo doo especially after dubya cleans out the vermin in
> *the justice department,......cd is out.........
> *
> *
> *Sent via Deja.com
> *http://www.deja.com/
> ******************************
>
> One other comment. I hope you are not a typical republikan. IF so then
that
> reaffirms why I am and independant.
>
> james
>

James,

You certainly are AN independant. First off, republican is NOT spelled
with a K. Secondly,
it is not proper to start a sentence with "IF so then." Thirdly, you should
investigate the
difference between "AND" and "AN."

Jeff

2506. Re: rec.radio.ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 09:23:18 UTC
From: Jeff Herrings <president@whitehouse.gov>
Message-ID: <aql_5.165286$j6.18691865@news1.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 63839

Hey Aaron! Isn't it time that you conduct a request for a newsgroup by the name of ALT.FAT.IDIOTS ?

Let me get down to business by disecting your message piece by piece.

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:911icu$ej3$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> To the hard-core keydown guys, export radio and amp dealers, swing mod

^^^ Ta Ta.

> techs, freeband clubbers, radio pirates and HF bootleggers:
>
> I have a solution to all this unpleasantness.
>
> Why not apply to Usenet for your own special little newsgroup? Call it
> rec.radio.illegal. Since your charter would state that topics are to be
^^^^^^ Since? Come now, you really should know better.

> strictly confined to illegal aspects of radio, you could post there and
^^^ should be a semi-colon

> be within your rights not to be challenged. Heck, you could even have a
> moderator to keep everybody in line (or out of line, as it were).
>
> Thinking of you,
> Aaron Voobner

Ranoa Vernoob ?

>
>
> Sent via Deja.com http://www.deja.com/
> Before you buy.

I have come to the conclusion that you are not of the higher intellect of which I wish to communicate with.

Good day.

2507. base station antennas and trees?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 10:47:39 UTC
From: johndec@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91cssc$jp6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63841

I want to erect a 1/2 or 5/8 wave vertical ground plane antenna at home to use as a base station antenna. My problem is that where I live is rented and I doubt that either the landlord or the neighbours would approve of such a large antenna sitting on top of the roof. At the rear of the property there are several large trees in which I could hide the antenna. My question is: Would being surrounded by trees effect the efficiency of the antenna? The land slopes rather steeply from rear to front so the house wouldn't really block the signal.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2508. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 10:59:50 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a39f98c.2125817@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63840

On Fri, 15 Dec 2000 01:14:11 GMT, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

<snip>
>
>Since a 1:1 match requires the impedance to be 50 ohms and
>50 ohms is resonant, resonance is required for a 1:1 match.
>
>> A non-resonant antenna
>> can indeed have an impedance of 50 ohms,
>
>50 ohms is, by definition, resonant. There is no imaginary
>component in the impedance so it is resonant.
>
>> and in that case the SWR
>> would be 1:1. A resonant dipole has an impedance of 73 ohms, and a
>> ¼-wave vertical is 36.5, neither of which will hand you a 1:1 SWR.
>
>Yes. Resonance does not guarantee a 1:1 SWR. However a 1:1 SWR
>requires resonance.

You're high as a kite! Show me one authoritative source that says "50
ohms is, by definition, resonant." Hint: there isn't one, because
there is no fixed impedance for resonance - the two are mutually
exclusive.

And I suppose a 50 ohm fixed resistor is resonant too . . . hahaha!

Sparky, laughing hysterically.

2509. Re: base station antennas and trees?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 11:36:15 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A3A022F.8BE9E18@oio.net>
Source record: 63842

johndec@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> I want to erect a 1/2 or 5/8 wave vertical ground plane antenna at home
> to use as a base station antenna. My problem is that where I live is
> rented and I doubt that either the landlord or the neighbours would
> approve of such a large antenna sitting on top of the roof. At the rear
> of the property there are several large trees in which I could hide the
> antenna. My question is: Would being surrounded by trees effect the
> efficiency of the antenna? The land slopes rather steeply from rear to
> front so the house wouldn't really block the signal.
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

John,
Anything around an antenna can/will affect it to some extent.
How much it is affected just depends. With the idea that anything
is better than nothing, you might try it ans see how it works.
An alternative is to ground mount the antenna in your yard, as
far from surrounding objects as possible.
'Doc

2510. Scanner Dweeb comic # 88 (Up a tree)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 12:09:40 UTC
From: scannerdweeb@aol.comicstrip
Message-ID: <20001215070940.08231.00005566@ng-mi1.aol.com>
Source record: 63843

The 88th episode of
The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb comic strip
titled " Up a tree... "
is ready for your viewing pleasure at
http://www.ScannerDweeb.com
And thanks for your support of the hobby of radio !

See them first !
Want to be sent new comic strips
or special episodes via your e-mail
before they are put on the web site ?
Subscribe to the ScannerDweeb eGroup

http://www.egroups.com/group/ScannerDweeb

The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb comic strip
http://www.ScannerDweeb.com
http://scannerdweeb.netfirms.com
http://www.ScanShack.com
Special monthly episodes, not shown
on the web site, can ONLY be seen
in Popular Communications magazine !

2511. WANTED: CB TECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 13:19:20 UTC
From: fmrnycer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001215081920.02456.00004004@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 63844

WEST PALM BEACH, FLORIDA, PER DIEM

2512. Re: base station antennas and trees?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 13:34:11 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a3a1db0.11377548@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63845

On Fri, 15 Dec 2000 10:47:39 GMT, johndec@my-deja.com wrote:

>I want to erect a 1/2 or 5/8 wave vertical ground plane antenna at home
>to use as a base station antenna. My problem is that where I live is
>rented and I doubt that either the landlord or the neighbours would
>approve of such a large antenna sitting on top of the roof. At the rear
>of the property there are several large trees in which I could hide the
>antenna. My question is: Would being surrounded by trees effect the
>efficiency of the antenna? The land slopes rather steeply from rear to
>front so the house wouldn't really block the signal.

Just to toss out an option, take a look at the CCD antenna. They
require a lot of work to build, and require an antenna matching unit
and ground rod, but the results are fantastic. You can hang them
almost anywhere and in any position, they have very low noise and very
low angle of radiation. I built two of them, one for my base, and the
other is portable and can be hung in minutes.

Sparky, FLRC

2513. Re: base station antennas and trees?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 13:41:52 UTC
From: youknowitoke@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001215084152.01702.00002169@ng-mc1.aol.com>
Source record: 63846

John, a few things things to keep in mind...if putting the ant. in a tree the most practical of your limited options than go ahead and do it, just make sure you pull out all the stops when it comes to mounting because you dont want the antenna to come down in a year or 2 in a big storm.Depending where you live also....keep in mind that in the summer the moisture in the leaves on the tress may SLIGHTLY effect your performance on tx/rx and/or SLIGHTLY rise your SWR. One more thing, if you live in a climate with below freezing winters expect to have problems on TX and SWR when snow or freezing rain lands on the branches surrounding the antenna, but all in all it sounds like a good idea to throw the ant. up in a tree in your situation, good luck and 73's.

2514. Re: base station antennas and trees?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 13:50:30 UTC
From: The Antenna Man <buzzards@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Gkp_5.455894$4d.59101000@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 63926

I have had a Big Stick in a tree for the last 22 years and it seems to do very well. Although being that I am in the north east and the leaves hide the antenna during the summer, I am not able to decree very much difference between the signal readings from the regulars that I talk to between the winter and summer. I do however, believe the doc is right. My antenna is in an old oak tree, if I were to put it in a pine tree I think the pine sap would create a sort of capacitance with the ground and suck off some of the signal. Being that as it may, any antenna is better than no antenna! Happy Holidays all!!!
The Antenna Man

2515. Re: WANTED: CB TECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 14:09:33 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001215090933.12169.00004692@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 63847

i know one in daytona beach if interested e-mail me

2516. Re: base station antennas and trees?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 14:11:04 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001215091104.12169.00004693@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 63898

use a dipole

2517. Re: Pain--Peakaboo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 14:11:49 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001215091149.12169.00004694@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 63899

what channel do you guys talk on?

2518. Re: base station antennas and trees?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 14:21:44 UTC
From: bob <bob0058@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <91d9di$st3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63900

Well a 5/8 wave for 11 meters would definitly be to BIG to put up in a tree. The way I did that one was to use the most practical choice...a 1/2 vertical dipole...otherwise known as the "antron-99" or a clone. Most 1/2 wave verticals for CB are alike in many ways as far as performance. one isnt necessarily better than another. You really dont need a ground plane kit for it either...not necessary and you wont notice anything different. I have been into ham radio for 11 years and have done work with alot of antennas. Most cb'ers dont know the difference between a 1/2 wave and a 5/8 wave. The 5/8 wave is definitly a better gain antenna but for 11 meters, antennas cut for this area of the spectrum ar very BIG and UNWEILDY. Better suited for a tower or rooftop. Well anyway, i mounted an antron to a 15' section of pipe, a 10' and 5' radio shack masts put together. i drilled holes in the pipe straight through at 3' intervals. that way, when i snaked it straight up the tree from the ground, against the trunk i secured it to the most vertical section of the top of tree i could get to. the 15' mast helped get the antenna above tree top. it wasnt a real tall tree, about maybe 35 to 40 feet high. i ran nylon rope thru the drilled holes and around the branch that was most vertical so antenna would look straight. these holes and the rope going thru stop antenna from spinning and falling out of tree. just tie the rope tight around branch. then secur coax with a little extra slack so it doessnt hang down and pull out of connector. leave enuff slack to allow for tree movement in winds. then run coax into house. anyway, thats the way i did it and you can tie another rope to antenna top, climb as high in tree as you can, then pull antenna up tree using rope. bob

In article <91cssc$jp6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
johndec@my-deja.com wrote:
> I want to erect a 1/2 or 5/8 wave vertical ground plane antenna at
home
> to use as a base station antenna. My problem is that where I live is
> rented and I doubt that either the landlord or the neighbours would
> approve of such a large antenna sitting on top of the roof. At the
rear
> of the property there are several large trees in which I could hide
the
> antenna. My question is: Would being surrounded by trees effect the
> efficiency of the antenna? The land slopes rather steeply from rear
to
> front so the house wouldn't really block the signal.
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2519. Re: Pain--Peakaboo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 14:39:13 UTC
From: oneqck76ta@aol.com.com.com
Message-ID: <20001215093913.04447.00001907@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 63901

>what channel do you guys talk on?

26.915

oneqck76ta@aol.com
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta

You've got "459" out of Minneapolis Minnesota and I'm reading the mail

**********Hippie Freak**********
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta/cbradio

2520. Re: PRESIDENT G W BUSH,.....FINALLY.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 14:40:17 UTC
From: Rastus <jfayard@ionet.net>
Message-ID: <91d8rl$hmk@news-central.tiac.net>
Source record: 63902

A product of the decaying public school system?

"Jeff Herrings" <president@whitehouse.gov> wrote in message
news:2kl_5.165268$j6.18691844@news1.rdc1.va.home.com...
>
> <jdaggett@gate.net> wrote in message
news:3a40a8ea.22034818@news.gate.net...
> > On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 15:46:50 GMT, curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:
> >
> > *thanks for the memories comrade algoreski. oh yes, sorry but no pardon
> > *for clintonoski or the clintonistas either. you are going to jail boy.
> > *and another thing. we don't want to hear about the sand pile again for
> > *a very long time, please. oh yes, i bet prime minister had to put in a
> > *call to algoreski requesting his million dollars back, because now he
> > *is back in deep doo doo especially after dubya cleans out the vermin in
> > *the justice department,......cd is out.........
> > *
> > *
> > *Sent via Deja.com
> > *http://www.deja.com/
> > ******************************
> >
> > One other comment. I hope you are not a typical republikan. IF so then
> that
> > reaffirms why I am and independant.
> >
> > james
> >
>
> James,
>
> You certainly are AN independant. First off, republican is NOT spelled
> with a K. Secondly,
> it is not proper to start a sentence with "IF so then." Thirdly, you
should
> investigate the
> difference between "AND" and "AN."
>
> Jeff
>
>
>

2521. Re: base station antennas and trees?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 14:58:31 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17236-3A3A3197-4@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63905

Stick an Antron way up high in a tree. Bungee cord that sucker tight
and as high as you can. I use this application for camping all the time.
Works really well.

2522. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 15:07:59 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <9ack3t832fbt25bi3qd4cacupcpjo9llco@4ax.com>
Source record: 63903

Greetings!

On Fri, 15 Dec 2000 05:01:51 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
the Mouse, jdaggett@gate.net dissertated the following:

...[...]... a lot of stuff snipped

:+> coax length has only an effect on the impedance seen by the source. It has
:+> nothing to do with the SWR on the transmission line. SWR is a constant that is
:+> derived solely from the characteristic impedance of the transmission line and
:+> the load impedance. If you place and SWR bridge at the source and adjust the
:+> coax length, you will find some length where the reflection of the load's
:+> impedance is equal to 50 Ohms. Gee the source is 50 Ohms and the SWR bridge is
:+> designed to work in a 50 Ohm system. Gosh I wonder why the SWR meter reads
:+> 1:1??????????????????????
:+>
:+> Have you ever wondered ANDY??????????????????

Yes, and if you look at the ORIGINAL post I made, I never claimed
anything about what you're screaming about above. If anything, you've
confirmed what I've been saying all along. Which is what I care about,
and doing the Homework properly during the install prevents the issue
about what you and I are agreeing to - after all the shouting.

I just trim off the fat.

Thank you for re-interating what I said earlier. The only difference
is your "quote :source impedance" - which any common CB'er is going to
see. I see then end of the coax that goes to the radio. Simple...

My whole point? If you must use the antenna at the location you
choose, then you must accept the issue of having to make the system
appear resonate so you can operate the radio.

That may include adjusting the antenna

or

adjusting the length of the coax because of failure of above
to show low SWR during attempts to tune.

Now, if everyone can take a Valithium - we'll look at possible reasons
why a Manufacturer wants someone to use 18 feet of coax when the
manufacturer designed the antenna to at least be resonate with an
18-foot section of coax, even though the antenna is not resonate at
the location it's in due to poor ground.

Hint: Counterpoise is not equal.

There's two sides to every element...

stay tuned...

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:
... _
... You know you're a VERSATILE CB'er when... / \
... Your antenna doubles as a fishing rod and / |
... you have the trophies to prove it! 0/ (^)
... |/\ /_\

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

2523. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 15:12:25 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <quck3tc0il1u01jida1nikem7eu0sa4ps5@4ax.com>
Source record: 63904

Greetings!

Reflections, and Reflections Revisited - both from ARRL - I have a copy if you want the PDF of the latter. It explains not only the issue regarding coax length and use in antenna systems, but also SWR problems are not as serious as you think.

To quote verbatim is too lengthy. The end result is if the antenna can be made resonate using proper counterpoise - SWR should not matter. If the antenna is working a poorly designed or conductive counterpoise, you can have feedline radiation and possible damaging SWR - using coax to reduce the effect by adjusting the length to remove the unwanted reactive components, can at least provide a saftey margin for low-tolerant SWR equipment.

There! Give my regards to Gore...

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:

On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 23:44:01 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with the Mouse, "BigPoppaPump" <freak'Onature@aola.com> dissertated the following:

:+> Sorry Andy you cannot provide any facts or any reason we are to believe what
:+> you say is true other than because you said it it must be true. I picked
:+> this one up on msnbc over the election from all the lawyers. "
:+>
:+> When you have facts on your side argue the facts, when you have Law on your
:+> side, argue the Law, when you have neither pound the table .. Seems to be
:+> you are pounding the table an awful lot ...
:+>
:+>
:+>
:+> "Handy Andy" <CodysPC@Iserve.Net> wrote in message
:+> news:9klh3t0kvhv3oujvnv9q42opcgflsg9r87@4ax.com...
:+> > Greetings!
:+> >
:+> > Whap! Whap!
:+> >
:+> > Hello, you guys are stuck with the "antenna" part. Never getting past
:+> > the damn tree to see the forest.
:+> >
:+> > "Antenna System" because the antenna, now includes the coax. It's now
:+> > the antenna! Yes, thats right. A simple unbalanced feedline now acts
:+> > like a radiator. Ponderous thought isn't it?

:+> >
:+> > [WOW!] You guys should check your glasses. You're not reading the
:+> > textbooks expiration dates correct.
:+> >
:+> > Boy, you gotta' luv these guys...
:+> >
:+> > I'm not going connect all the dots for you. Many people believe what
:+> > they want. I've taken the time to at least make an effort.
:+> >
:+> > Quite wasting my time on this. If you guys can't figure out the
:+> > difference between which end of the coax you should be using, then
:+> > maybe you shouldn't post such contradictory stuff.
:+> >
:+> > Hey, the problem with the whole coax length issue is dealing with the
:+> > antenna location. It's simply not resonate there, but just a few feet
:+> > away, that very same antenna - *WHAM* BINGO - a 1:1 SWR - WHAZZAP?
:+> >
:+> > Boy are you guys a lot of help - the answer to the problem in most of
:+> > the cases is due to location.
:+> >
:+> > But, no...no one wants to cooperate and share the idea and focus on
:+> > the end result.
:+> >
:+> > I have yet to hear anything of a logical answer to the kids question,
:+> > from you or George. If coax length doesn't matter - you guys are
:+> > really naive.
:+> >
:+> > I tried and it's pretty close to the answer you'd get "out there". And
:+> > since I don't see any of your posts answering, nor GeorgesMAC - I
:+> > think you guy like to troll. You never answer this type of question
:+> > ever, and all you guys think up on this, is the SAME crap and BS I see
:+> > everytime.
:+> >
:+> > Bother me later. When you have an understanding of what I'm talking
:+> > about.
:+> >
:+> > You guys need a life.
:+> >
:+> > On 14 Dec 2000 06:31:57 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with the
:+> > Mouse, Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> dissertated the following:
:+> >
:+> > :+> Handy Andy <CodysPC@iserve.net> wrote:
:+> > :+>
:+> > :+> : Ok, I see your point, and here we go again. As far as Radio Shack,
:+> > :+> : take a trip to their store and ask for the manual to their Deluxe
:+> > :+> : Magnetic Mount CB antenna - or any CB antenna that has a pamphlet
:+> with
:+> > :+> : the wrap. They are WARNING you not to trim the coax. I'd like to
:+> know
:+> > :+> : why, aside from ruining their pretty job of soldering the PL259 end

:+> > :+> : on. ;-) These are the complete kits - antenna, coax, mount.
:+> > :+>
:+> > :+> : Adjusting coax length affects impedance. A typical SWR meter not
:+> only
:+> > :+> : looks for voltage, but impedance - correct?
:+> > :+>
:+> > :+> Wrong. Adjust coax length affects the input impedance of the coax. It
:+> > :+> does not affect the impedance of the coax, or the match between the
:+> coax
:+> > :+> and the antenna.
:+> > :+>
:+> > :+> : Then what we see at the end of a section of coax is a conjugate
:+> > :+> : imepdance with the coax and the antenna working together. If
:+> > :+> : everything is put together right, the coax isn't part of the
:+> equation.
:+> > :+> : It's simply an unbalanced feedline to a balanced load.
:+> > :+>
:+> > :+> Nope.
:+> > :+>
:+> > :+> : e.g. - 25ohms - 5j [a capacitive loading scheme]
:+> > :+>
:+> > :+> : As we can look at the smith chart, if I'm not mistaken, I can see
:+> SWR
:+> > :+> : ranging from 2.7 to around 1.2 - roughly 180 ohm [using no formula
:+> to
:+> > :+> : figure this as an unbalanced feed line of about 6 feet - just notes]
:+> > :+> : to 36 ohms at about 17 feet in length of adjustement range if we use
:+> a
:+> > :+> : typical section of RG 58 coax.
:+> > :+>
:+> > :+> If you use the Smith Chart correctly, you will see a constant SWR of
:+> > :+> approximately 2:1 - anywhere along the feedline length.
:+> > :+>
:+> > :+> : Ok, if I'm right, how come the above equation works for developing a
:+> > :+> : working low SWR using a section of coax? If nothing else - does this
:+> > :+> : mean that we are making a transmatch using a coaxial line?
:+> > :+>
:+> > :+> No.
:+> > :+>
:+> > :+> : Now, all I'm going to help you with is give you this hint. A lot of
:+> > :+> : base loaded antennas on the market offer a lot of capacitance. With
:+> > :+> : the above conjugate equation, this is about the typical CB'er
:+> install
:+> > :+> : that has a poor ground. The antenna is asking for length. Adjusting
:+> > :+> : the coax - means that the antenna is not resoant at it's current
:+> > :+> : location and configuration - we need to do something.
:+> > :+>
:+> > :+> Adjusting the coax will not change the resonant frequency of the
:+> > :+> antenna. That can only be changed by adjustments to the antenna.
:+> >

:+> > . Pow! POP! BLAM!
:+> > Regards! (0) (0) (~) (~) (~)
:+> > .:+> Andy <+: *
:+> > ... You know your antenna is too long when, /
:+> > ... streetlights explode as you pass under /
:+> > ... them... ___ /
:+> > ... ____/ ____ /
:+> > ... [_.-._____.-._]|
:+> > ... (0) (0) ==)) --))
:+> >
:+> > http://ww.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm
:+>

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:
.
... You know you're a DEDICATED CB'er when ## ########
... you listen to CONVOY for the 1,237th # # # #
... time, and it still brings a tear to # ## # #
... your eyes! # # ##
. ## ##
http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

2524. Re: Yet another antenna myth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 15:29:28 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <uqdk3tkdpmsg3ugirf6o62e3f16rc5kfq3@4ax.com>
Source record: 63906

Greetings!

Why make it more complex than it already is?

Why use a tuner?

Just tune the antenna for maximum F/S deflection - and SWR should be
low enough to be tolerable. Just use what the antenna maker wants you
to use, thats simple 50 ohm mounting and coax hardware - let alone the
coax. [Co phasing is a little different]

I would like to continue using 50 ohm coax. Ladderline just isn't the
stuff dreams are made of in typical commutes back and forth to work.

Now, Ladderline on a base? You have my interest - It's not going
anywhere...;-)

One Important note though.

The owners manual of any CB radio recommends SWR of 3:1 can cause
transmitter damage. And many radios are rated for 30:1 SWR mismatch
transmitting time - rated in minutes...

Personal experience shows that rating to be a lot quicker - like a few
seconds!

On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 19:55:10 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
the Mouse, JR <jr@some.net> dissertated the following:

:+> And you keep showing your ignorance of antenna systems. An SWR of 10:1 on
:+> certain antenna systems is perfectly acceptable and will radiate perfectly
:+> well. The cb crowd is so full of myth about SWR is is really funny. You will
:+> try to get a 1.5:1 SWR down ot 1:1 thinking you are really going to improve
:+> the signal. You can work you mighty little magic and get your SWR down to
:+> 1:1 and I will use a system where the SWR is say 3:1 and as long as I use a
:+> tuner to get max transfer of power from the transmitter to the coax and
:+> antenna, you won't see any difference in the signals on the receiving end.
:+> I did not wave my stink you way, you stuck you nose in it.
:+>
:+> twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
:+>
:+> > The subject is swr, NOT ladder line OR antenna tuners to bring it in
:+> > line. How many cbers use ladder lines for normal communicatios? And
:+> > don't tell me about hammies that use them AND cb. This is the cb ng, and
:+> > if you stopped trolling long enough and took the time to see what room
:+> > you were posting to this time,, you would realize this. I wondered how
:+> > long it was gonna take you to try and wave your stink my way! You bring

:+> > your little 10 to 1 swr on cb and you pick the freq, you ain't even
:+> > gotta talk, JR, since we know you will be breaking the law, we wouldn't
:+> > want you outted as a lawbreaker. (I know more than you would care to
:+> > guess.) Just give me a time and a freq and you go ahead and deadkey with
:+> > a swr of 10 to 1 for one minute, see if anyone hears your deadkey on the
:+> > eleven meter. I'll even make the announcement for you that you are about
:+> > to do it. See who hears you.
:+> > You could damn near utilize a piece of wood for an antenna with an MFJ
:+> > tuner in theory, but the notion is ridiculous on cb. The post appears to
:+> > lend credibility that a high swr on cb is ok. It is not, for optimum
:+> > performance. There are exceptions to just about every rule. Please tell
:+> > me where this appies to cb.
:+> > If I missed anything, I am sure you will defa...er, let me know.

. Pow! POP! BLAM!
Regards! (0) (0) (~) (~) (~)
.:+> Andy <+: *
... You know your antenna is too long when, /
... streetlights explode as you pass under /
... them... ___ /
... ____/ ____ /
... [_.-._____.-._]|
... (0) (0) ==)) --))

http://ww.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

2525. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 15:33:31 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91ddkbni1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63908

```
In article <01c0664e$96eef9a0$a8746f40@eniac>,
"Bill Eitner" <kd6tas@netzero.net> wrote:
> I'm surprised you wasted your time on this Toll.
> (More interesting comments below.)
>
> Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in article
> <oid_5.131382$DG3.2589903@news2.giganews.com>...
> >
> > "Wild Bill" <wildbill@wild.comspam> wrote in message
> > news:AhwT5.8773$TE3.494032@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
> > > tj1072, It is kinda funny you are pushing customer service
regarding
> > illegal
> > > amplifiers,
> >
> >
> > No, what is funny is the fact that DaveMade pushes amps, and they
have
> > people that do, but seemingly forget about the guy who only buys
one or
> > two amps, and small ones.
> >
> > Seems, if ya want to do business with the guys in DaveMade County
USA,
> > you have to have deep pockets.
> >
> > And that, my friend, is bullshit.
> >
> > If they want to make people happy, provide customer service.
> >
> > If they want to make a buck, fuck the customer after he spends his
money.
>
> That formula won't work for long.
> If they want to make a buck and have an attitude
> too (aka no customer service and/or talk down to
> customers that don't have the required minimum
> knowledge level), they will have to continue to
> provide leading edge products that people will
> want no matter what they have to go through to
> get them.
>
> > but that is to be expected since you are part of there
> > > production line at xforce.
```

> >
> >
> > Blah blah blah.
> >
> > DaveMade and their cronies have been pushing that line of shit for
so
> long
> > that it gets worse and worse every time I read it.
> >
> > Who gives a shit who builds what.
> >
> > Bottom line. For 3 years, I have heard the common every day driver
> asking
> > questions and getting nothing in response... Especially since
Dennis
> left
> > the news-
> > group (not Number 12, but Dennis at DM).
> >
> > XForce always seems helpful.
>
> Do they? Or is it their wise choice of distributors
> that makes the difference? I believe it's the latter.
> All DM (or any other serious amp builder for that matter)
> has to do is associate themselves with a knowledgable
> distributor that maintains enough of a presence here
> (on the 'net in general) and can handle the PR (public
> relations) chores in exchange for a piece of the profits.
>
> DM had that and lost it to X-Force.
>
> > That means a LOT to the guys who are spending their hard earned
cash on a
> > small
> > 2+4 or 6 pill.
> >
> > But i am wondering where do all the davemades
> > > come from if there customer service sucks?
> >
> > It comes from Dennis and the rest, who are busy building amps and
NOT
> > providing answers to the questions.
> >
> > Gee, X-Force has a good name, and a reputation for customer service.
> >
> > So, I guess, a manufacturer can provide both, huh?
>
> See above.
>
> > Someone must be happy somewhere

> > > since there are many out on the airwaves . Down here at our shop
in Va
> we
> > > have a somewhat informed customer base they dont come to us
asking
> how
> > > many watts will my amp do with a mirage 88 deadkeying 2.5 watts
> swinging
> > 35
> > > pushing a 5 pill at 14.25 volts, well how about at 16.89 volts
will it
> do
> > > the same. etc etc.
> >
> >
> > Thats great.
>
> I agree. The above "Wild Bill" statement strikes me
> as being self-serving, retarded, and probably false.
> How can any shop have a "customer base" where every
> guy has the answers to those kinds of questions? If
> they can answer those questions, they don't need the
> services of a shop--they can just mail order whatever
> they want and build their own systems.
>
> > I guess these guys where born knowing all this information, too,
huh?
>
> LOL.
>
> > Some people are so fucking narrow minded it makes me sick.
>
> Or so self-serving that they'll lie just to make
> some minor point.
>
> > They know what they want If not they can buy a texas
> > > star , palomar, I dont need to sell them a davemade for the sake
of
> > selling
> > > a amp
> >
> >
> > This comment you made above serves no purpose in the conversation.
>
> I agree. If they are that smart they don't need
> the services of a shop at all.
>
> > If you sell someone a Texas Star just because they don't know how
many
> watts

> > a Class C box will do at X volts, your an idiot.
>
> LOL.
>
> > You sell someone a Texas Star because they want clean, dependable
power.
>
> And/or want to talk sideband, and/or don't want to
> wait for some overpriced, custom amp to show up.
>
> > When i want to buy a rebuilt motor for my car i dont call Hendricks
> > > Motor Sports and ask them how many horses can I expect with one of
> there
> > > motors in my olds cutlass work car, how many miles to the gallon
Ill
> bet
> > > they wouldnt even talk to me
> >
> >
> > I happen to know different.
> >
> > My family has been involved in racing vehicles for over 40 years.
> >
> > Most all engine builders spend the time to inform their customers,
that
> way
> > people don't get pissed because they came in and ordered a motor
with
> brand
> > X
> > pistons, brand Y cam with Z duration at A lift, and this carb or
that
> fuel
> > injection
> > system.
> >
> > Its called CUSTOMER SERVICE.
> >
> > Your so far gone from reality it amazes me.
>
> I agree to a degree.
>
> > you ask why because i am not in thier league
> > > even though there motors are some of the best out there used on
the
> > Nascar,
> > > Busch and grand National series.
> >
> >
> > Your now going to equate DaveMade to Nascar? Highly doubtful.

> >
> >
> >
> > The people in the know will get thru to
> > > them and will get a great amp I don't think davemade wants to or
needs
> to
> > > sell amps to the whole world maybe thats xforce amps goal. you
had
> better
> > > bow down to the snake because without it you would still be using
> pirate
> > > amps in west Chicago.
> >
> >
> > Blah Blah Blah.
> >
> > Bow down to this...
>
> LOL.
>
> > Customer service always wins in the end.
>
> I agree. Plus, with the 'net being as mainstream as
> it is, what were the "secrets" to building solid-state
> comp amps with 12 volt pills are becoming common knowledge.
> Believe it or not, there is a limit to what a 2879 can
> be made to do. Dave doesn't have an endless stream of
> tricks up his sleeve. In fact, pill-for-pill, there are
> probably 3 or more builders who can get DM level per-
> formance out of their 12 volt pill amps. That in and
> of itself may be why Dave wants to distance himself from
> that market. In other words, the "leading edge" in that
> area is no longer solely his.
>
> > People get tired of the "order, and get forgotten about" syndrome
that
> > DaveMade
> > seems to have gotten as of the past couple years.
> >
> > I am not downing their product, just the way they interface. They
grab
> > people,
> > suck them into "their way of doing business", and make the guy with
a
> > question
> > feel like a total asshole for asking it.
>
> See above. In my opinion, Dave never wanted to do
> business that way (trucker and neophyte hand-holding).

>
> > Give me a break. The world doesn't operate that way.
>
> DM does--and it's not necessarily a bad thing.
> With the "intrusion" of the Internet, it is
> assumed that every company is a whore to the
> "God Almighty Dollar." We're shocked when we
> run across a company doesn't follow the norm.
>
> > At least, not the real world..... Like Real World Electronics :)
> >
> > (end plug for Sean)
>
> Sean's a whore. That's why he's not here anymore.
> If Dave can live as comfortably as he desires without
> being a whore, then he's got Sean beat by a country
> mile.
>
> > Toll Free
> > The Man your Mommy Calls Daddy
>
> --
> ---
> -=[Bill Eitner]=-
>
> Link to A.M. Tutorial, rec.radio.cb FAQ
> and The Dark Side:
> http://kd6tas.conk.com
> ---
>

I agree bill. when i first got on here about 3 or 4 years ago, that is the first time i heard of davemade. considering sonny was in retirement and no one i knew of around here was building , i decided to get a m800 from them. that experience was good. the amp is good quality and built like a boat anchor. however, it has also been my experience that they do have a attitude and it seems one hand doesn't know what the other is doing, especially when you start asking questions about their products. i think they make good stuff, but they are very slow to help you, to answer questions, and seem to have this 'don't give a damn attitude'. which is surprising. if they were more helpful, lowered their prices, and sped up their communication with potential customers, they would do better. but i get the feeling they just don't care about what anyone thinks or says. if they think they are king of the hill, it is a mistake and only a matter of time before this will be passe'. looks like xforce has taken the ball and ran with it, but i think these prices are way out of line,....cd is out....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2526. Re: Maybe this will cause some skip to come rolling in

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 15:35:30 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <qbek3tkf0dhuq5hsfv2gdj7thv2akoc09u@4ax.com>
Source record: 63907
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Greetings!

No, solar radiation in excess causes skip. You might see some
interesting if not awe inspiring glimpes at the suns corona or be able
to see ejecta and prominences off of the suns limb - but skip event
[if you're talking 11 meters] is due to solar radiation from the sun.

Maybe you're confusing this with EME - Earth Moon Earth bounce?

[Reflecting signals off the moon and back to earth.]

On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 21:59:36 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
the Mouse, thepolishdude@my-deja.com dissertated the following:

:+> An eclipse is scheduled to occur on Christmas afternoon. Can eclipses
:+> cause skip to happen?
:+>
:+>
:+> Sent via Deja.com
:+> http://www.deja.com/

. ---(((=======@@@@@@@@@@=======)))----''
Regards! ````~~~~~~~##########~~~~~~~''''
.:+> Andy <+: \\ ===== //
. \ === //
... You've GOTTA be a CB'er when | == |
... You can name all the CB's / -- /
... used in the movie / -- /
... TWISTER! / - /
. `` ^^((*****@@****)))^^ ''
.---

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

2527. Re: Opinions Wanted: Getting back into CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 16:14:01 UTC
From: Jim <kc2hbs@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3A3A4546.8B26FE65@earthlink.net>
Source record: 63910

davelufkin@iname.com wrote:

> Anyway, I'm openly soliciting your opinions on this question: What's
> the best model in current production of a AM/SSB base station?
>
> I've done a little looking around, and it looks as if the Uniden
> Washington may be the only one. Is this true?
>

Dave,

I use a Uniden Washington with an I-Max 2000 antenna. If you use this setup

you will have a hard time staying within FCC's 155 mile rule :)

73's

2528. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 16:28:35 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <o8hk3tcmbk1ugmcbi20nnehi4i18ob7v64@4ax.com>
Source record: 63912

Greetings!

Your quite welcome Andrew.

As you can see, we all agree on one point...

Tune your antenna.

Others agree on other points, myself included,

Install the antenna properly.

While others, including myself, have a widely varying opinion on just
what to answer regarding the 18' included in the message...

Some say it don't matter...

Some don't care...

Myself, I have my reasons why I say the things I do.

We just waste a lot of bandwidth with the finer points that maybe
someday you can see for yourself. ;-) It's not hard to see the answer,
many people just have a differeing viewpoint about it.

Right now, put that antenna up, hook up the radio, tune it all up -
and enjoy the time you have for it.

Happy Holidays!
:+> Andy <+:

On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 21:37:44 -0800, while the modem was ice fishing
with the Mouse, "Andrew" <akocsar@smartt.com> dissertated the
following:

:+> Thanks to everyone for all the replies to my original post. I hope I didn't
:+> get everyone too stirred up with the original question. I'm just a simple
:+> electrician that knows little about radio stuff and was wanting to put a
:+> chunk of cable between the antenna and radio and have it work reasonably
:+> well :)
:+> I guess there is a lot to learn about this topic.
:+>
:+> <jdaggett@gate.net> wrote in message news:3a3da6a6.21455115@news.gate.net...
:+> > On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 17:49:56 -0500, Coax Length Police
:+> <anylength@willdo.com>
:+> > wrote:
:+> >
:+> > *In article <2p8d3tk651ofmrbqbmlhqhqq92ocf7h0oh@4ax.com>, tnom@mucks.net
:+> > *wrote:

:+> > *
:+> > *> snip
:+> > *> >why do the antenna manufacturers reccomend an 18 ' length.
:+> > *> snip
:+> > *>
:+> > *> 18 feet is a good choice.
:+> > *
:+> > *Total nonsense. There is no reason to use 18 feet.
:+> > *
:+> > *> To just name a few. Firestik, K-40, Wilson,and Antenna Specialist
:+> > *> recommend an 18 foot length of coax. Others manufacturers either
:+> > *> don't use an 18 foot length, or have no recommendation at all.
:+> > *
:+> > *I have only seen Firestik recommend 18 feet. I attempted to
:+> > *get them to justify that recommendation and all I got was a
:+> > *cheap personal attack. There is no justification for 18'.
:+> > *
:+> > *> Some on this group will tell you to use any length, or use the
:+> > *> shortest length possible. The only problem with this is that it
:+> > *> is based on having no benefit to you other than the cost of the
:+> > *> coax, or the convenience of having no excess coax length to deal
:+> > *> with.
:+> > *
:+> > *Plus lower losses.
:+> > *
:+> > *> If you are asking for a coax length to use in the first place then I
:+> > *> assume that you are going to get the diagonals out and cut a piece.
:+> > *> If so what would you end up with if you used the shortest length
:+> > *> possible? Assume a 8 foot length of RG58. RG58 has a power loss of
:+> > *> 3.17% at this length. Now choose an 18 foot section of RG58. RG58
:+> > *> at this length has a power loss of 7.15%. The difference between the
:+> > *> two choices is about .19db or for a four watt signal you loose .16
:+> > *> watts. No one will ever be able to notice this difference at all.
:+> > *> Moral of the story?.............There is no performance benefit in
:+> > *> using the 8 foot length.
:+> > *
:+> > *You are contradicting yourself. You said there is a 0.19 dB benefit;
:+> > *then, you said there is no benefit. I admit it is a trivial benefit
:+> > *but it is there. There are NO advantages of using 18 feet over 8 feet.
:+> > *
:+> > *> Conclusion: If you are operating without problems, and are using an
:+> > *> existing length of mobile coax that is not 18 feet then don't worry
:+> > *> about it. However if you are starting from scratch, and want to use
:+> > *> the best choice, then go with the 18 foot length.
:+> > *
:+> > *There is no reason to use 18'. You have provided no justification
:+> > *that it is the "best choice."
:+> > *
:+> > *> Here are some web sights that recommend 18 feet. Some even explain
:+> > *> why.

:+> > *>
:+> > *>
:+> > *> http://www.firestik.com/FAQ.htm
:+> > *
:+> > *These Firestik guys don't know what they are talking about.
:+> > *
:+> > *> http://www.wilsonantenna.com/swrf.htm
:+> > *
:+> > *This site parrots the Firestik site. Obviously, plagiarized or
:+> > *written by the same guy.
:+> > *
:+> > *> http://www.wilsonantenna.com/coax.htm
:+> > *
:+> > *Same as above.
:+> > *
:+> > *> http://64.70.218.95/k40cb/CBfaq.htm#What
:+> > *
:+> > *I can't believe the myths on these pages! They are a true
:+> > *disservice to CB radio. Not only do they carry the coax length
:+> > *myths, they also propagate the myth that rolling your coax in
:+> > *a loop makes an RF choke. It does no such thing to the signal
:+> > *within the coax.
:+> > **********
:+> >
:+> > Rolling the coax up in a coil is good for common mode problems though.
:+> Ferrite
:+> > torroids are better though
:+> >
:+> > james
:+> >
:+>

. Pow! POP! BLAM!
Regards! (0) (0) (~) (~) (~)
.:+> Andy <+: *
... You know your antenna is too long when, /
... streetlights explode as you pass under /
... them... ___ /
... ____/ ____ /
... [_.-._____.-._]|
... (0) (0) ==)) --))

http://ww.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

2529. Re: Pain--Peakaboo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 16:33:51 UTC
From: "Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com>
Message-ID: <91dh5f$444$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63915

```
In article <20001215091149.12169.00004694@ng-cj1.aol.com>,
punisha413fl@aol.com (Punisha413fl) wrote:
> what channel do you guys talk on?
>
```

I can usually be found on 26.915 in the mornings, and usually
sandbaggin on tha bowl in the evenings!!!
MR.PAIN&#65533;

```
Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/
```

2530. Re: Opinions Wanted: Getting back into CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 16:38:38 UTC
From: advanspec@aol.comburger
Message-ID: <20001215113838.25857.00001959@ng-mf1.aol.com>
Source record: 63914

The Texas Ranger TR-696F-SSB is the current "biggest & best" of the FCC approved base CB radios available today. It has a 6 digit freq counter, dual meters, variable talkback, weatherband & more. The Washington is a less expensive alternative, & is the only other FCC approved CB base currently being made.....
http://advancedspec.freeyellow.com

2531. Re: FCC broadcast on 10m

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 16:39:39 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <eHr_5.4$V4.1006@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 63913

<wlfdad@my-deja.com> wrote in message ...
> I want to know if anyone looked on the back of any of these devices
> (Telephones, shelf stereos etc..) They all say they must receive
> outside interference! I am not saying the neigbor next door running 2kw
> on 11 meter is not doing something illegal...just saying my barefoot
> radio on 11 meter "washed" the neighbor! Oh well is what i say..stop
> buying hairdryer phones(Conair)! I respect my neighbors but lets try to
> worked it out when i am running a legal rig with 4 watt legal power.

Been there, done that......
Used to have a neighbor whose phone would get trashed every time my kids would use their 40 channel Walkie-Talkies... He refused to believe it was his $100 Cobra cordless phone that was at fault (maybe, seein' as how it was a Cobra, it was DESIGNED to also pick up CB!). What eventually convinced him to try a different phone was my bringing over an unaffected (RFI-Proof) phone from my home and plugging it in at his home... Voila! NO RFI.. even with the base station running.

I've used toroidal chokes and other methods over the years to help neighbors with RFI prone TVs, stereos, and phones with pretty good success. For phone RFI, you can go to the phone company for some relief by requesting a line filter on the main line that enters the home.... usually provided free of charge. When it comes to other appliances in your neighbors home, I've offered to help with advice, but I don't get personally involved in the installation of filters and such. I've found that personal involvement will only get YOU blamed should that appliance fail later (...musta' been your modification that ruined it, right?).

2532. Re: Yet another antenna myth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 16:41:49 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91dhkb$4jd$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63918

In article <uqdk3tkdpmsg3ugirf6o62e3f16rc5kfq3@4ax.com>,
codyspc@iserv.net wrote:
> Greetings!
>
> Why make it more complex than it already is?
>
> Why use a tuner?
>
> Just tune the antenna for maximum F/S deflection - and SWR should be
> low enough to be tolerable. Just use what the antenna maker wants you
> to use, thats simple 50 ohm mounting and coax hardware - let alone the
> coax. [Co phasing is a little different]
>
> I would like to continue using 50 ohm coax. Ladderline just isn't the
> stuff dreams are made of in typical commutes back and forth to work.
>
> Now, Ladderline on a base? You have my interest - It's not going
> anywhere...;-)
>
> One Important note though.
>
> The owners manual of any CB radio recommends SWR of 3:1 can cause
> transmitter damage. And many radios are rated for 30:1 SWR mismatch
> transmitting time - rated in minutes...
>
> Personal experience shows that rating to be a lot quicker - like a few
> seconds!
>
> On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 19:55:10 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
> the Mouse, JR <jr@some.net> dissertated the following:
>
> :+> And you keep showing your ignorance of antenna systems. An SWR of
10:1 on
> :+> certain antenna systems is perfectly acceptable and will radiate
perfectly
> :+> well. The cb crowd is so full of myth about SWR is is really
funny. You will
> :+> try to get a 1.5:1 SWR down ot 1:1 thinking you are really going
to improve
> :+> the signal. You can work you mighty little magic and get your SWR
down to
> :+> 1:1 and I will use a system where the SWR is say 3:1 and as long
as I use a
> :+> tuner to get max transfer of power from the transmitter to the

coax and
> :+> antenna, you won't see any difference in the signals on the
receiving end.
> :+> I did not wave my stink you way, you stuck you nose in it.
> :+>
> :+> twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
> :+>
> :+> > The subject is swr, NOT ladder line OR antenna tuners to bring
it in
> :+> > line. How many cbers use ladder lines for normal communicatios?
And
> :+> > don't tell me about hammies that use them AND cb. This is the
cb ng, and
> :+> > if you stopped trolling long enough and took the time to see
what room
> :+> > you were posting to this time,, you would realize this. I
wondered how
> :+> > long it was gonna take you to try and wave your stink my way!
You bring
> :+> > your little 10 to 1 swr on cb and you pick the freq, you ain't
even
> :+> > gotta talk, JR, since we know you will be breaking the law, we
wouldn't
> :+> > want you outted as a lawbreaker. (I know more than you would
care to
> :+> > guess.) Just give me a time and a freq and you go ahead and
deadkey with
> :+> > a swr of 10 to 1 for one minute, see if anyone hears your
deadkey on the
> :+> > eleven meter. I'll even make the announcement for you that you
are about
> :+> > to do it. See who hears you.
> :+> > You could damn near utilize a piece of wood for an antenna
with an MFJ
> :+> > tuner in theory, but the notion is ridiculous on cb. The post
appears to
> :+> > lend credibility that a high swr on cb is ok. It is not, for
optimum
> :+> > performance. There are exceptions to just about every rule.
Please tell
> :+> > me where this appies to cb.
> :+> > If I missed anything, I am sure you will defa...er, let me
know.
>
> . Pow! POP! BLAM!
> Regards! (0) (0) (~) (~) (~)
> .:+> Andy <+: *
> ... You know your antenna is too long when, /
> ... streetlights explode as you pass under /
> ... them... ___ /

> ... ____/ ____ /
> ... [_.-._____.-._]|
> ... (0) (0) ==)) --))
>
> http://ww.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm
>

dr handy andy. please continue posting. i enjoy reading your posts and
i always learn a lot. cd is out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2533. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 16:48:38 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <mmik3tof11lhltrv9385je6vdr8dlam8p3@4ax.com>
Source record: 63916

Greetings!

Aw man...[punch]

You mean to tell me [Whap!]

<sock>

That this whole barroom brawl [Smash]

crunch

Was a troll? <whack!>

[Hand me that chair will you?]

On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 17:17:06 -0500, while the modem was ice fishing
with the Mouse, tnom@mucks.net dissertated the following:

:+> Don't worry about solving the coax question.
:+>
:+> When I posted early in this thread that there
:+> may be a usefulness to a18 foot section my
:+> purpose was two fold. I knew that it would
:+> stir things up. The two reasons were:
:+>
:+> 1. To tell it like it is
:+>
:+> 2. Most importantly get the newsgroup off the
:+> "Illegal CB" or "Bash the CB"ers" threads and
:+> back to what it is suppose to be.
:+>
:+> With almost 50 follow ups I think number two is
:+> working. Now for number one??????
:+>
:+>
:+>

Regards!
.:+> Andy <+: | BEEP!
... You really are a CB'er when... | _____ BEEP!
... your CB antenna is longer than |/ ___
... your SUV! |_,-.__,-._|
... (o) (o)
http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

2534. Re: Cobra 142GTL Base

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 16:49:45 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <JYr_5.150221$U46.4915090@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 63917

go to a major local library and see if they have Howard Sams on hand and photo copy it. There is a catalog and you find the right one. In your case you want CB-219. You might be able to get a copy of it from Howard Sams web page for about $20.

"Sidekick" <sidekick1975@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:rbr_5.9991$sw.402735@nntp2.onemain.com...
Could someone send me a website address to find the schematics for this radio.
sidekick@usmo.com

2535. Re: Is The Price Of Getting Caught With An Illegal Davemade Too High?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 16:57:18 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <O3s_5.150234$U46.4915024@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 63919

Aaron it was only $7000 you had too many 0's on your number - do you really
like lying to people?

<jdaggett@gate.net> wrote in message news:3a3ea7c5.21742515@news.gate.net...
On Wed, 13 Dec 2000 11:11:59 GMT, aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:

*In article <917fvhlet1@nnrp1.phx.gblx.net>,
* "Miles Davis" <MDD327@AOL.COM> wrote:
*> You Davemade guys need to have a talk with Dave. A little bit of
*success is
*> going to his head. $13,000.00 is way too much for a 16-pill!
*ROTFLMAO!!!!!!
*> "West Side" <CreoleCat@webtv.net> wrote in message
*> news:18076-3A3721F8-15@storefull-232.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
*> > Davemade M200 3 pill $245=Davemade M400 5 pill $335=Davemade M400se
*2x4
*> > pill $365,Davemade 800 8 pill $635,Davemade m800 2x8 $725,Davemade
*16
*> > pill $1,3000.00
*> > I am comparing the prices to the builders here in california.What
*you
*> > think does it really matter about the price or is Davemade the best
*amp
*> > you can buy for watts and quality for such a high price.
*> >
*>
*
*
*Guy selling illegal amps in WA state got fined $70,000 by FCC. Is that
*too high a price?
*
*Aaron
*
*
*Sent via Deja.com
*http://www.deja.com/
****

I guess that the price of doing business. I bet that going to eat into the
P&L
statement. I wonder if he can claim that as a bussiness expense??

james

2536. Re: 2 driving 8

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 17:04:47 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <Pas_5.150247$U46.4915877@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 63920

we would have to assume a local trade with such a large item.

"SCHWERD5" <schwerd5@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001214190455.07794.00000557@ng-ff1.aol.com...
looking for satellite reciever and new 10ft. dish c-band and ku.4dtv
reciever
ok. will trade 250 2 pill amp and 8pill cookie box. both amps used very
very
little. trade or sale 500.00. email at SCHWERD@aol.com

2537. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 17:20:05 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g5lxig1.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Source record: 63921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Fri, 15 Dec 2000 05:47:38 GMT, Aaron Jones wrote:

>Here is the case I was thinking of:
>From www.arrl.org:
>
>Mississippi Ham Awaits Court Decision in RFI Case
>NEWINGTON, CT, Oct 29, 1999--A Mississippi ham arrested for
>interfering with his neighbors' telephones is awaiting a decision on
>his request to throw out the complaint on the grounds that the state
>court has no jurisdiction in the matter. ARRL member Bennie Stewart,
>KJ6TY, of Meridian, was arrested and charged September 10 after a
>neighbor filed a complaint with the Lauderdale County Justice Court.

...

>Stewart says he expects the court to rule on the case very soon.

IIRC the Stewart case was dismissed for lack of jurisdiction
(as some of us has been claiming for YEARS).

I don't recall the exact number, but at least five Federal
Appellate Circuits have declared in cases referred to them that
the FCC has exclusive jurisdiction in radio interference
cases. There are 12 or 13 such Circuit Courts nationwide,
depending on whether one counts the US Court of Appeals for the
Federal Circuit, which deals in Federal Tax and Patent appeals
only. In general, one Circuit will defer to a finding in a
"sister" Circuit in matters which have not yet been brought up
in their own Circuit.

73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

2538. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 17:36:47 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <23kk3t4nhvtgr21nu68nr474s5786h3iu9@4ax.com>
Source record: 63922
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:
>Easier to ridicule me [by calling me a blockhead]
>instead of offering ligitimate debate?

The pot calling the kettle...

Quote from Dwight Stewart to Tim on 4-26-00:
"God damn you, you stupid son-of-a-bitch, asshole. Leave me the fuck alone. I've already told you that I'm a conservative republican, not a communist. How dare you, knowing that I've worked in the recent past (and possibly again in the future) as a DoD employee, even ask me if I might be a communist working secretly towards some unstated agenda.... What the hell is wrong with you? Are you on drugs?.... Are you high right now?...."

2539. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 17:45:18 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <91dlbe$9op@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 63923
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

What is the best base antenna to use with a 5000 watt linear amplifier for
11 meter operation?

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3A39C28B.BB9B360D@sccoast.net...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Dwight, you truly are a genuine blockhead.
> > But we already knew that.
>
>
> Couldn't dispute what I wrote, could you? Easier to ridicule me
> instead of offering ligitimate debate?
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net

2540. Cobra 142GTL Base

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 18:02:34 UTC
From: Sidekick <sidekick1975@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <rbr_5.9991$sw.402735@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 63909

Could someone send me a website address to find the schematics for this
radio.
sidekick@usmo.com

2541. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 18:15:59 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20001215131559.26606.00003928@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 63924

>Did
>you hand him the "Seach Warrant" along with the "purposed local laws"? If
>the law is "proposed", you are in violation of harrassment laws &
>trespassing, at least.

Not if it's federal law, which it is - the locality would make a local law to make it easier for them to prosecute. Under federal law, the offender would have to appear before the federal magistrate so the town wouldn't get any of the "glory".

>If it were me, you would need to leave my POSTED property
>or risk removal by force if necessary. No discussion involved.

If the police believe that a crime has been, is being or will be commited, they have probable cause to come onto your "POSTED" property. The complaints of the neighbors is enough probable cause that a crime has been commited under the new federal law.

>You will need a Search
>Warrant to enter homes cause you cannot just enter unless the occupant is
>dumb enough to let you in. The resolution doesn't void the Constitutional
>protection from Illegal Search & Seizure.

I'm just curious - could someone who's a little more familiar with the law clairify if the law requires the officer to obtain a search warrent, or do they operate under the same authority as the FCC does to inspect you equipment at any time, thus negating the need for a warrant? If that's the case, without a warrant the cop couldn't arrest you for the crack you have sitting next to your CB, but he could take the illegal amp you're running.. again, I am not totally familiar with the law, so I don't know if they need a warrant.. anyone know?

2542. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 18:47:04 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <IGt_5.150358$U46.4919955@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 63925

I would suggest the Star duster as it will give your neighbors the most
bleedover before it melts down.

"CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net> wrote in message
news:91dlbe$9op@dispatch.concentric.net...
What is the best base antenna to use with a 5000 watt linear amplifier for
11 meter operation?

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3A39C28B.BB9B360D@sccoast.net...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Dwight, you truly are a genuine blockhead.
> > But we already knew that.
>
>
> Couldn't dispute what I wrote, could you? Easier to ridicule me
> instead of offering ligitimate debate?
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net

2543. Re: base station antennas and trees?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 19:00:18 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t3kqi4j10p6ta7@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 63927

Good opportunity to experiment with a "sloper", eh?

Jeff

<johndec@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:91cssc$jp6$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> I want to erect a 1/2 or 5/8 wave vertical ground plane antenna at home
> to use as a base station antenna. My problem is that where I live is
> rented and I doubt that either the landlord or the neighbours would
> approve of such a large antenna sitting on top of the roof. At the rear
> of the property there are several large trees in which I could hide the
> antenna. My question is: Would being surrounded by trees effect the
> efficiency of the antenna? The land slopes rather steeply from rear to
> front so the house wouldn't really block the signal.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2544. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 19:16:51 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a3a6d35.172903@news.cet.com>
Source record: 63928

On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 20:58:57 -0500, Jerry Oxendine
<joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>Sparky wrote:
>>
>> On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 20:39:47 GMT, Coax Length Police
>> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >If the antenna is not resonant, the SWR, by definition is not 1:1.
>
>This IS correct!!

I see your point, but a fixed resistor is not resonant, yet it will
give a 1:1 SWR.

>>
>> Interesting thought. Standing waves are caused by an impedance
>> mismatch.
>Not necessarily. Sure, it *can*, but a non-resonant antenna will do so
>as well.

True.

>>It has nothing to do with resonance.
>
>The heck it don't!! If that were true you could run a 102" whip on
>any band.

You can if it is properly matched.

>> A non-resonant antenna
>> can indeed have an impedance of 50 ohms, and in that case the SWR
>> would be 1:1.
>Yes, but rarely.

Dummy loads aren't rare.

>> A resonant dipole has an impedance of 73 ohms, and a
>> ¼-wave vertical is 36.5, neither of which will hand you a 1:1 SWR.
>>
>And you won't "tune" it by cutting coax, either!

To a point. You can make a linear balun out of coax that will work
fine, but that usually requires a coax of a different impedance.

Sparky, FLRC

2545. Re: Maybe this will cause some skip to come rolling in

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 19:18:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3A6E70.376F9B20@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63929
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Don't look directly at the sun! Use welding goggles or a
pinhole camera. Even obscured by the moon, the stuff is
way too bright. It will cause permanent eye damage.

Handy Andy wrote:
>
> Greetings!
>
> No, solar radiation in excess causes skip. You might see some
> interesting if not awe inspiring glimpes at the suns corona or be able
> to see ejecta and prominences off of the suns limb - but skip event
> [if you're talking 11 meters] is due to solar radiation from the sun.
>
> Maybe you're confusing this with EME - Earth Moon Earth bounce?
>
> [Reflecting signals off the moon and back to earth.]

2546. Cans for Hunger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 19:19:21 UTC
From: poisenivy <franc1@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <g9u_5.46$pm.1977@news2.atl>
Source record: 63930

> Subject: Cans for Hunger
>
> hey friends, this is super simple and won't take but a minute, but will
> make > a huge difference. >
>
> > Please take a minute to help the hungry!
> >
> > Click Cans for Hunger
>
> > Here is an easy way to make a difference this holiday season. >
> Campbell's is donating a can of soup to the needy for every person that
> goes > to > their site and votes for their favorite NFL team. Go to the
> site and it is > right there, very easy to do. It will only take a few
> minutes of your time > to fill some empty tummies with warm soup this
> winter. Please forward > this message to everyone in your address book
too.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > <<http://www.chunky.com/click_cans.cfm>>
> > > > > > >
>
>

2547. Re: Illegal Op Busted Thanks to HR2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 19:28:43 UTC
From: Oh Yeah! <maps75@pvtnetworks.net>
Message-ID: <t3kse4oeruoc1f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63931

Techie, go back under the rock from which your
family resides. You're probably as
popular
on the ham freqs (two meters is ham
CB)
as you are here.

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001214130516.22749.00001225@ng-fh1.aol.com.
..
> >once again....
> >NO he wasn't "busted"
> >
> >damn why can't you people read.
> >
>
> I can read well. I consider losing his amplifier
to be a pretty good bust. Now,
> if some of the slimers who make 'em get busted
soon...that will be even better.

2548. Re: Maybe this will cause some skip to come rolling in

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 19:37:55 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <706-3A3A7313-9@storefull-124.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63932

Since you said "obscured by the moon", I guess it's a lunar eclipse. I think the solar eclipse is the one you can look at with no damage.

2549. Aaron Voobner and n8wmm are 1 in the same.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 19:48:55 UTC
From: WildBill <wildbill@hose.com>
Message-ID: <HAu_5.1306$cl3.378682@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63933

aaron said he was going away for the weekend, and since then n8wmm has been unheard from so you tell me who is who

2550. Re: For Mark - Re: Vertical Limit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 19:56:19 UTC
From: Tim Tyler <timtyler@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <3A3A7763.7D30A0F8@mindspring.com>
Source record: 63935
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Based on how many newsgroups he cross-posted to, I think it's most
likely a 'personal problem' that no one wants to talk with (or to) him.

> m2steven wrote:
> >
> > My main gripe about CB is that if you speak well, and don't have an echo on
> > your signal, and you have a nice,
> > clear signal, literally NO ONE will talk to you....I
> > mean.....Shit..!.......

--
TimTyler@mindspring.com
http://www.mindspring.com/~timtyler

2551. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 19:56:59 UTC
From: Dan K Nelson <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <pHu_5.1557$ju6.193410@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 63934
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

*** Troll filter disabled ***

"CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net> wrote in message
news:91dlbe$9op@dispatch.concentric.net...
> What is the best base antenna to use with a 5000 watt linear amplifier for
> 11 meter operation?
>

I think it's called a "Jailbird 5000". You can hook them up as a duplex
feed, using a standard 75 ohm splitter, in common with your TV coaxial
cable, thereby saving you the expense of buying a separate run of feedline.

Not sure who sells them, but if you give Riley Hollingsworth a call, or
email him at www.fcc.gov, he will surely point you in the right direction.

I heard that, I did there, Roger-D there good buddy there, May the good lord
take a liking to you there, all the good numbers there, your walking the dog
there, really blowing smoke there, we're off and clear there and back quiet
there...

73

:>)

*** Troll filter enabled ***

2552. Re: Aaron Voobner and n8wmm are 1 in the same.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 20:06:47 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <p7uk3tcd2h6j5hbhlti8eaq7vkhieh8a99@4ax.com>
Source record: 63936

Yes, I believe you are correct. In the real world they are both
called by the name Dick. This they have in common.

2553. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 20:10:12 UTC
From: Mod Squad <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <EUu_5.2343$Z36.17340@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 63937

100% right

"Coax Length Police" <anylength@willdo.com> wrote in message
news:anylength-09F58E.20200014122000@news.ptd.net...
> In article <3a3963f5.260069@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
> wrote:
>
> > I can't count the times when a driver has complained that his VHF
> > kicks whenever he keys up the CB, and then I find out that the coax or
> > install is crap. Run the SA in the cab and you'll find all kinds of
> > things floating around inside. Replacing the coax and reinstalling the
> > radio properly usually cleans it up.
> >
> > Sparky, FLRC
>
> Your observation may be correct but you are arriving at the wrong
> conclusion.
>
> It sounds like the scenario you witnessed was caused by common mode
> currents. These are currents which flow on the outside of the
> coax shield. The signals you are picking up aren't coming out of
> the cable but rather down the outside from the antenna. This can
> be caused by a bad ground or an inadequate counterpoise (ground plane)
> for your antenna.
>
> By the way, just as a note, the normal, desirable currents in a
> coax are differential currents. The current in the center conductor
> is equal and opposite to the shield current. The net differential
> current (sum of center conductor current and shield current) along the
> transmission line is always zero. Thus, it does not radiate.
>
> Common mode currents, on the other hand, travel only on the outside
> of the shield. There is no counteracting current to be found. So they
> do indeed radiate.

2554. TV Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 20:16:01 UTC
From: Christian <combsad@cox.rr.com>
Message-ID: <91du63$43s$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 63938

Could an outside TV antenna be used as a CB Antenna?

2555. Re: TV Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 20:49:56 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <91e05k$9ng@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 63941

No!!

"Christian" <combsad@cox.rr.com> wrote in message
news:91du63$43s$1@bob.news.rcn.net...
> Could an outside TV antenna be used as a CB Antenna?
>
>

2556. Re: TV Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 20:50:25 UTC
From: hillbilly <hillbilly001@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <91e06e$i6t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63942

No

--
Dave KG5XR
http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
On Bull Shoales Lake, Ark/Mo State Line
Fishing is great & crappie are biting

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2557. New news group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 20:51:50 UTC
From: fingerbang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91e092$i89$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63943

Might I suggest a new group for some of you.....

REC.RADIO.LEGAL.CB
REC.RADIO.CB.LEGAL
REC.RADIO.CB.NOIILEGAL

FiNgErBaNg

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2558. Re: TV Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 20:53:27 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <bxv_5.150899$U46.4926126@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 63940

A TV antenna is tuned for signals above 50MHz. Your CB transmits around 27MHz which would require an antenna that resonates at that frequency. A 3 element CB beam is about 11.5ft long and 18ft wide. TV antennas are too small and do not resonate at 27MHz.

The feedpoint of most TV antennas is also 300ohms, your CB needs an impedance match of 50ohms. TV antennas generally have a transformer to get your 300ohm impedance down to 75 for the type of coax it uses. CBs use 50 ohm coax.

Examples of 50 ohm coax: (for CB)
RG58
RG8/U mini foam
RG8
RG213
9913
LMR-400

Examples of 75 ohm coax: (not for CB)
RG-6
RG-59

"Christian" <combsad@cox.rr.com> wrote in message
news:91du63$43s$1@bob.news.rcn.net...
Could an outside TV antenna be used as a CB Antenna?

2559. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 21:11:08 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <91e1dc$9o5@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 63944

The FCC may have the right to inspect your equipment but that does give them the right to enter your home without your permission or a warrant. I believe this is why they will usually show up with the local authority the second time with a warrant in hand. This is one of the reasons enforcement is so time consuming, costly and difficult. It will be one of the same problems the locals will have. They will have to prove just cause to the judge in order to obtain the search warrant. The fact that you have an antenna on your roof should not suffice. This Bill is a feel good bill and it will have little impact unless the Feds can tie some substantial dollars to it that will entice the locals to enforce it.

"Andy in RI" <riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message news:20001215131559.26606.00003928@ng-fu1.aol.com...
> >Did
> >you hand him the "Seach Warrant" along with the "purposed local laws"? If
> >the law is "proposed", you are in violation of harrassment laws &
> >trespassing, at least.
>
> Not if it's federal law, which it is - the locality would make a local law to
> make it easier for them to prosecute. Under federal law, the offender would
> have to appear before the federal magistrate so the town wouldn't get any of
> the "glory".
>
> >If it were me, you would need to leave my POSTED property
> >or risk removal by force if necessary. No discussion involved.
>
> If the police believe that a crime has been, is being or will be commited, they
> have probable cause to come onto your "POSTED" property. The complaints of the
> neighbors is enough probable cause that a crime has been commited under the new
> federal law.
>
> >You will need a Search
> >Warrant to enter homes cause you cannot just enter unless the occupant is
> >dumb enough to let you in. The resolution doesn't void the Constitutional
> >protection from Illegal Search & Seizure.
>
> I'm just curious - could someone who's a little more familiar with the law
> clairify if the law requires the officer to obtain a search warrent, or do

they
> operate under the same authority as the FCC does to inspect you equipment
at
> any time, thus negating the need for a warrant? If that's the case,
without a
> warrant the cop couldn't arrest you for the crack you have sitting next to
your
> CB, but he could take the illegal amp you're running.. again, I am not
totally
> familiar with the law, so I don't know if they need a warrant.. anyone
know?

2560. Re: Aaron Voobner and n8wmm are 1 in the same.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 21:11:52 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <91e1eo$9nq@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 63945

I believe you meant "DickHead"

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:p7uk3tcd2h6j5hbhlti8eaq7vkhieh8a99@4ax.com...
> Yes, I believe you are correct. In the real world they are both
> called by the name Dick. This they have in common.
>
>

2561. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 21:16:11 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-68E9D7.16161115122000@nntp.lucent.com>
Source record: 63946

In article <3a3959d6.9508780@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 21:21:51 GMT, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >Why is the transmission line not "behaving as predicted"?
>
> What happens when you pump a 1500 ohm source into a 50 ohm coax? It
> radiates. Try it.

It does no such thing.

> >By the way, it says the standing wave has changed -- not the standing
> >wave ratio (SWR). You can measure standing waves on a transmission
> >line with a simple volt meter. That is what this article is referring
> >to.
>
> Really? How do you know if you haven't read it?

What are you talking about? I read what you posted.
If there is something to add to this, post it.

What you posted said that they used a "standing wave detector."
What it described was entirely consistent with using a simple
voltmeter and inconsistent with using a genuine SWR meter.

> > An SWR meter, on the other hand, uses directional couplers to
> >selectively measure forward power and reflected power which, as you
> >admit, is constant all along the transmission line.
>
> You are again forgetting phase.

Once you separate forward power from reflected power (as done with
a directional coupler), the forward voltage is in phase with the
forward current and the reflected voltage is in phase with the
reflected current AT ALL POINTS ALONG THE LINE. The relationship
between the forward (and reflected) voltage to the forward (and
reflected) current is the characteristic impedance of the transmission
line -- in this case 50.
Vf = If * 50.
Vr = Ir * 50.

Vf and If are in phase.
Vr and Ir are in phase.

> >An SWR meter will render the same reading all along a transmission
> >line because it is measuring forward and reflected power which are
> >constant.
>
> As I said before, try it. You will find out that you are wrong.

The theory says this is right and the theory is based on countless
observations and tests performed over many decades. If you can
correctly show otherwise, you will be a giant in the field and
rewrite all known theory.

> > A volt meter will show peaks and nulls of the standing waves
> >as it is moved along the transmission line because it measures voltage
> >which is the sum of the forward voltage and the reflected voltage.
>
> Yes, according to the phase relationship. BTW, I have never tried to
> measure it with a simple voltmeter, not that it matters.
>
> >> This is assuming that the impedance of the power transmitted
> >> through the line is equal to that of the line.
> >
> >This doesn't make sense. Are you saying this came from the book?
> >The characteristic impedance of the transmission line determines
> >the relationship of the voltage and current of the power transmitted
> >through the line.
>
> The characteristic impedance of the line is the voltage-to-current
> ratio at which the line propogates a signal. You can feed the line
> with any impedance, but if you feed it with an impedance other than
> the characteristic impedance of the line, you will just lose power as
> the line dissipates the excess voltage or current.

The line does no such thing. I don't know where you have heard this.

> >> When it is not equal,
> >> as in the case of a standing wave, containment fails and the shield
> >> radiates RF.
> >
> >This is not true. This didn't come from that book, did it?
>
> From the chapter on transmission lines, almost word-for-word.

Give it to us word-for-word. No almosts. I want to know exactly
what it says.

> >Standing wave indicate merely that you have power traveling
> >in both directions on the transmission line.
>
> Absolutely wrong. A standing wave is the _phase relationship_ between
> the incident and reflected power.

It is not wrong. If you have power traveling in both directions,
there certainly will be a phase relationship between the two.

If I am wrong, answer this question. How can you have standing
waves if you have power traveling in only one direction on a
transmission line?

I can't wait for your answer. Standing waves are, by definition,
the interaction of forward and reflected signals.

> > The voltages and
> >currents of the two signals add together to produce what can be
> >seen as standing waves. There is NO reason for the transmission
> >line to radiate any RF. If it doesn't radiate when the signal
> >goes up the coax, why would it radiate when a signal comes down
> >the coax?
>
> That question shows a complete lack of understanding of what a
> standing wave is, and that you haven't understood a word I said.

What you say makes no sense and has no rational explanation.

And you still haven't answered my question. If a signal traveling
up a coax does not radiate, why would a signal traveling down a
coax radiate? As I correctly said, standing waves merely indicate
that you have signals simultaneously traveling both up an down the
coax.

> >> If the transmission line is a multiple of 1/4w and
> >> positioned in a straight line, no harmonics are radiated.
> >
> >This is way over the top. This can't be in the book.
>
> It is. It is the application of antenna theory to the subject at hand.

Give us the book quotation word for word. By the way, I'd call
this transmission line theory; not antenna theory. Why are you
talking about antennas?

> >The power within a transmission line is not radiated
> >regardless of the length. Also, a transmission line will
> >not create any harmonics. It is a linear, passsive device
> >which is incapable of creating harmonics.
> >
> >Give me the exact quote of the paragraph that states this.
> >Either it doesn't exist or you've got it way out of context.
>
> I have provided more information than I really wanted to,

I'll say.

> and I have
> referenced all of it. Not only that, the same information is found in
> five other books I own, and none of this is disputed in any of them.

I'm disputing it. I'll give you $100 if you can find five books
that support this. Start posting the quotes.

> Plus it is consistant with what I have observed over the past twenty
> years in many different fields of radio, and can be proven by anybody
> that gets off their lazy ass and trys it. I backed up what I said,
> now you do the same. Because until you do I am not going to copy paragraph
> after paragraph when all you are going to do is say that the book is
> wrong, and do so without logical argument or reference. i.e., put up
> or shut up.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

I have put up. I've put up some basic questions that you cannot
answer. I've shot holes in your argument and you can't plug them.

You have said that you "summarized" the text that you posted.
I want to know what is says word for word -- no summaries.
Furthermore, you've injected your interpretations which aren't
even supported by the text you posted.

The SWR is constant all along the line and an SWR meter will read
the same SWR all along the line. You have not shown *anything*
that contradicts this. However, you claim it is not true (probably
from your misinterpretation of a "standing wave detector" for an
SWR meter).

This nonsense that a coax radiates with the presence of standing
waves is way over the top. I'd like to know exactly what the book
says to suggest this. If it indeed says this, I'll take it up with
the author. I won't make you defend his work.

2562. Re: **All Righty Then**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 21:21:22 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <91e20i$9no@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 63947

That is a good idea. Reporting these cross posting off topic dweebs to their ISP I bet that will work faster than a complaint to the FCC.
Keep on impressing me boys.

<fingerbang@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:915oteotk1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> O.k. since 11/21 when Dale posted that he was trying to sell his CB, he
> has been on a crusade to rid the world of illegal activities on the CB
> band. Well enough is enough!!! Please if you and Aaron & Sparky are as
> grown up as you say, why don't you guys act that age. Now most of the
> response to your post have been less than stellar, that doesn't mean
> that you have to be less than stellar too. You might want to be
> careful, because even though what your doing is noble, that doesn't
> mean some psycho might not come over and take you up on the extra plate
> of food. Also when making original post stick to the
> subject "REC.RADIO.CB" you might end up getting complaints to your ISP
> concerning off topics post. Sure telling people that their amp is
> illegal, out of bands is illegal and such is on topic, but posting that
> your "GOD" is not with-in topic. Posting that Scott, twist and such are
> children is not with-in topic.
> Make your point then move on, continually whining about it does
> nothing but make you look worse than the offenders, especially to the
> people you trying to impress.
>
> FiNgErBaNg
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2563. Re: Aaron Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 21:24:02 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <91e25i$9nj@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 63948

Sounds similar to the comments that were made when it was discovered
truckers could buy a CDL in Illinois.

"Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
news:9140ru$n52$1@iac5.navix.net...
> Normally I let most misinformation pass, yours deserves special comment.
> First in order to get a license, you must take a test administered by a
> certified Volunteer Examiner team. (VE) consisting of 3 members. To state
> that one could get a license from a couple of his buddies is totally
> incorrect. Years ago it was possible, under the Conditional Class license,
> it was administered by a general class licensee if you lived more than 150
> miles from an FCC office. That ended when the VE's took over the tests.
Even
> a hint of impropriety gets full and immediate investigation, as evidenced
by
> the scandal in P.R.
>
> For you to suggest otherwise is irresponsible and not founded in facts.
> <curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:913un4bhu1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>
> >
> > heck all he has to do is get a couple of his butthole good buddy
> > generals to sign the slip saying he passed. piece of cake. hell, how do
> > you think a lot of these idiots get to be hamsters, they
> > cheat,.......cd is out....
>
>
>

2564. Re: Aaron Voobner and n8wmm are 1 in the same.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 21:25:56 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3A8C64.E139710E@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63949

They both hail from AOL.

WildBill wrote:
>
> aaron said he was going away for the weekend, and since then n8wmm has been
> unheard from so you tell me who is who

2565. Re: Pain--Peakaboo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 21:29:46 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001215162946.22545.00001718@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 63950

ok cool ,looking foward to dx'n with you guys....see ya soon

2566. Re: Pain--Peakaboo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 21:30:43 UTC
From: Two-Five-seven@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14225-3A3A8D83-35@storefull-147.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63957

Hello Punisha,
Stop in on 915 sometime if you're in the pile I'll here you.Does you're handle match you're screen name?
I've talked to quite a few who post here. Most recently twistedhed,Until a couple of tweety birds took him out by sitting on his antenna.

Merry Christmas

257 NH. On the wheels

2567. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 21:31:16 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-5A4489.16311615122000@nntp.lucent.com>
Source record: 63952

In article <3a39f98c.2125817@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> On Fri, 15 Dec 2000 01:14:11 GMT, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >
> >Since a 1:1 match requires the impedance to be 50 ohms and
> >50 ohms is resonant, resonance is required for a 1:1 match.
> >
> >> A non-resonant antenna
> >> can indeed have an impedance of 50 ohms,
> >
> >50 ohms is, by definition, resonant. There is no imaginary
> >component in the impedance so it is resonant.
> >
> >> and in that case the SWR
> >> would be 1:1. A resonant dipole has an impedance of 73 ohms, and a
> >> ?-wave vertical is 36.5, neither of which will hand you a 1:1 SWR.
> >
> >Yes. Resonance does not guarantee a 1:1 SWR. However a 1:1 SWR
> >requires resonance.
>
> You're high as a kite! Show me one authoritative source that says "50
> ohms is, by definition, resonant." Hint: there isn't one, because
> there is no fixed impedance for resonance - the two are mutually
> exclusive.
>
> And I suppose a 50 ohm fixed resistor is resonant too . . . hahaha!
>
> Sparky, laughing hysterically

Hey Sparky, you seemed to have conveniently snipped out an important
challenge. I'll repost it here to save you the trouble of going
back to look for it:

I wrote:
: Well, literate one, go use your literacy skills and look up the
: definition for resonance

Well, what is the definition of resonance?

Show me a resonant impedance that has a nonzero imaginary term.
There is none.

Yes, a 50 ohm resistor is not resonant but we were discussing
antennas. Weren't we?

Resonance implies that there are cancelled out reactances at
work. The net reactance is zero. That is, the imaginary
component of the impedance is zero.

An antenna passes through resonance when the frequency is varied
such that the imaginary part of the impedance passes from negative
to positive or from positive to negative. That is, when the
imaginary part of the impedance passes through zero. The antenna
is resonant at the frequency when the imaginary part of the impedance
is zero.

So, given this, what do you think an antenna is when its impedance
is 50 + j0 ohms?

Answer: resonant

Furthermore, the impedance required for an SWR of 1:1 is 50 + j0 ohms.
Given that 50 + j0 ohms is resonant and it is a *requirement* for
an SWR of 1:1, we can say
AN SWR of 1:1 REQUIRES RESONANCE.

Get it?

Your turn, hysterical one.

2568. Re: TV Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 21:31:24 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3A8DAC.F3CB5811@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63951

You can use one for your scanner. Your neighbors use
their cordless phones to talk about you all the time.

Christian wrote:
>
> Could an outside TV antenna be used as a CB Antenna?

2569. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 21:38:04 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-AE7C8B.16380415122000@nntp.lucent.com>
Source record: 63955

In article <3a3b71fa.7968735@news.gate.net>, jdaggett@gate.net wrote:

> He is hung up on thae term conjugate impedance. He is love with that
> term. Don't know what it means but is sounds good. One day he might
> just understand how a Smith Chart actually works. Nice handy tool.
>
> james

I'll say. He sees a "conjugate impedance" looking into the coax.
He has some sort of "conjugate equation" (I don't know what that
was referring to).
He gets a "conjugate matching scheme" with 18 feet of coax.

Smith Charts are handy; a nice RF design software package is nicer.
;-)

2570. Re: Maybe this will cause some skip to come rolling in

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 21:38:54 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3A8F6E.AD075D15@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63953

That post was the first I heard about it.

A solar eclipse is the one not to be directly viewed.
You can stare at the moon as long as you want.

A lunar eclipse can only occur during a full moon.
A solar eclipse can only occur during a new moon.

Looking at my calendar, the new moon occurs on the 25th.
It must be a solar type of eclipse.

twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
>
> Since you said "obscured by the moon", I guess it's a lunar eclipse. I
> think the solar eclipse is the one you can look at with no damage.

2571. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 21:39:35 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-17BC90.16393515122000@nntp.lucent.com>
Source record: 63956

In article <k6hi3tcc7qo363tip082hgj7qre373ee0i@4ax.com>, tnom@mucks.net
wrote:

> When I posted early in this thread that there
> may be a usefulness to a18 foot section my
> purpose was two fold. I knew that it would
> stir things up. The two reasons were:
>
> 1. To tell it like it is
>
> 2. Most importantly get the newsgroup off the
> "Illegal CB" or "Bash the CB"ers" threads and
> back to what it is suppose to be.

Oh, so you're a troll! ;-)

2572. I Pinned Santa's Coax!!!! HE! HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 21:39:48 UTC
From: scsiudma@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001215163948.02233.00000493@ng-df1.aol.com>
Source record: 63954

I bet I get coal in my stocking!!!

2573. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 21:45:40 UTC
From: wlfdad@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91e3e2$l0j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63961

> I'm just curious - could someone who's a little more familiar with
the law
> clairify if the law requires the officer to obtain a search warrent,
or do they
> operate under the same authority as the FCC does to inspect you
equipment at
> any time, thus negating the need for a warrant? If that's the case,
without a
> warrant the cop couldn't arrest you for the crack you have sitting
next to your
> CB, but he could take the illegal amp you're running.. again, I am
not totally
> familiar with the law, so I don't know if they need a warrant..
anyone know?
I am familiar with law in general(Not saying how) ANY Search needs a warrant...this warrant needs to state exactly WHAT they are searching for and WHERE their going to find and/or search for it! My bag of crack falls under a different area of the law and believe it or not...if this happened the person searched could get a GOOD lawyer and get off on the crack charges! You don't need to take my word for it...ask a COP or a LAWYER. It's kind of A loop hole...people who get busted know they did wrong so they don't fight it. NOBODY can search my house without a warrant stating what they are looking for...not even the FEDS!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2574. Re: Aaron Voobner and n8wmm are 1 in the same.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 21:47:51 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t3l4c92f82q450@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 63958

"WildBill" <wildbill @hose.com> wrote in message
news:HAu_5.1306$cl3.378682@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
> aaron said he was going away for the weekend, and since then n8wmm has
been
> unheard from so you tell me who is who
>
>

My opinion?
They are not one in the same but they *are* both self serving, little
whining bitches that this NG can do well without.

Jeff

2575. Re: Aaron Voobner and n8wmm are 1 in the same.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 21:49:49 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t3l4fu7l6k8ja9@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 63959

Scary.
I just got a mental image of two guys, arm in arm, standing on a giant AOL sign yelling, "CQ...CQ..."

Jeff

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3A3A8C64.E139710E@hotmail.com...
> They both hail from AOL.
>
> WildBill wrote:
> >
> > aaron said he was going away for the weekend, and since then n8wmm has been
> > unheard from so you tell me who is who

2576. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 21:57:06 UTC
From: RadioFree <OldMcDonald@eieio.com>
Message-ID: <Ssw_5.15$L3.11677@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63960
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3A39BEDB.4370C8A0@sccoast.net...

>Again, you are a liar. I will repeat what I said before - while I
>have certainly never posted anything about HR-2346 in those newsgroups,

Your name and HR 2346 in the searchbox at deja.com will show the transport threads you claimed NEVER to have posted to. That was your challenge and you have been busted. You are positively *pathetic* in your attempt to blame me for your mistake.

> > Especially not with the subject "Re: HR 2346 STATE
> > & LOCAL COPS TO ENFORCE CB RADIO REGS" which was
> > the topic in EVERY SINGLE ONE of the 50+ replies I
> > reference. Strange that you wouldn't remember posting
> > or replying there even ONCE.

> Oh, so that is the crap that you're talking about. You need to get

YES, that IS the CRAP I'm talking about which you (again) say NEVER happened but STILL deny after PROOF has been shown. Foolishly, cowardly, and in complete negation of any honor or credibility you may have thought yourself to possess.

> your facts straight (and learn how to use deja.com). That was a thread

My facts are *too* straight, evidently, and you seem to want to re-word your challenges to me without admission or apology now that you've been busted. I would say I am more familiar with deja.com than is comfortable for you.

> in one of these ham radio newsgroups about 3 months ago that appears to
> have been crossposted to those newsgroups. In fact, if you read some of

What's this? "Appears to have been crossposted to those newsgroups"? You mean those transport newsgroups? Your challenge to me was:

>I've never posted a single message, on
>any subject, in either one of those transport newsgroups. In fact,
>neither of those newsgroups are even listed as available through my
>newsgroup server.

Whether or not you realize it, your server has access to those groups if you have been crossposting messages to them. Unless you believe in magic. You challenged me and I produced. You're a *spankard* for not being *man* enough to own up to it, apologize for calling me a liar, and THEN re-word your challenge, if you thought it could help your position.

> Again, I've never posted a single message directly to those trucking

You've never posted a single message *DIRECTLY*... Usually the word "Again" precedes something you've already said. In this case it does not, and shows the re-wording I charge you with yet AGAIN... AGAIN, DO YOUR RESEARCH before calling anyone a liar.

Shame, thou dishonest and duplicitous SPANKARD!

This willingness of yours to dodge the facts to support your position is PRECISELY the reason I challenged your credibility. In all truth there was no need for me to reference any number of your posts in any newsgroup, but I did and have met your challenge with ample proof. Face it and get over it.

I have shown that your weak attempt to challenge a professionally qualified and credible individual's opinion as to the causative factors of HR2346 is a direct result of your CB enforcement fetish - no matter the cost to operators, legal or not, and regardless of the impact of "jurisdictional issues" which you (without any qualification to speak of) consider "nonsense".

Since your stated opinion is without question neither credible nor qualified (that HR2346 is primarily the response to some great mandate from the citizens at large), I am within my scope of understanding to take issue with *your* claim.

Simply put, if you think many folks outside hobby radio give a rat's tail about CB, you're suffering from delusions, misinformed, or driven by unreasonable bias. It's definitely all of the above in your case. You cannot speak on the subject objectively with any credibility at all.

I have already proven this main point. I will not be answering to your "new and improved" challenges, for your status as a backpedaling SPANKARD makes it unnecessary.

RadioFree

2577. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 22:18:35 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a3a9536.185038@news.gate.net>
Source record: 63963

On Fri, 15 Dec 2000 10:07:59 -0500, Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net> wrote:

*Greetings!
*
*On Fri, 15 Dec 2000 05:01:51 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
*the Mouse, jdaggett@gate.net dissertated the following:
*
*...[...]... a lot of stuff snipped
*
*:+> coax length has only an effect on the impedance seen by the source. It has
*:+> nothing to do with the SWR on the transmission line. SWR is a constant that
is
*:+> derived solely from the characteristic impedance of the transmission line
and
*:+> the load impedance. If you place and SWR bridge at the source and adjust
the
*:+> coax length, you will find some length where the reflection of the load's
*:+> impedance is equal to 50 Ohms. Gee the source is 50 Ohms and the SWR bridge
is
*:+> designed to work in a 50 Ohm system. Gosh I wonder why the SWR meter reads
*:+> 1:1??????????????????????
*:+>
*:+> Have you ever wondered ANDY??????????????????
*
*Yes, and if you look at the ORIGINAL post I made, I never claimed
*anything about what you're screaming about above. If anything, you've
*confirmed what I've been saying all along. Which is what I care about,
*and doing the Homework properly during the install prevents the issue
*about what you and I are agreeing to - after all the shouting.
****

What shouting. I thought I gave a very well thought out dissertatiion on what
happens when coax length is adjusted. I do not claim to be an expert, but I do
know something about the subject.

****
*I just trim off the fat.

*Thank you for re-interating what I said earlier. The only difference
*is your "quote :source impedance" - which any common CB'er is going to
*see. I see then end of the coax that goes to the radio. Simple...
****

I am not sure I really iterated what you said earlier by what you trrimmed of
was not fat.

Andy May I point out ot you that what I mean bt source impedance.
IT IS NOT WHAT IS LOOKING INTO THE COAX. The source impedance is the impedance
of the transmitter.

****
*My whole point? If you must use the antenna at the location you
*choose, then you must accept the issue of having to make the system
*appear resonate so you can operate the radio.
*
* That may include adjusting the antenna
*
* *or*
*
* adjusting the length of the coax because of failure of above
* to show low SWR during attempts to tune.
*
*Now, if everyone can take a Valithium - we'll look at possible reasons
*why a Manufacturer wants someone to use 18 feet of coax when the
*manufacturer designed the antenna to at least be resonate with an
*18-foot section of coax, even though the antenna is not resonate at
*the location it's in due to poor ground.
*
*Hint: Counterpoise is not equal.
*
*There's two sides to every element...
*
*stay tuned...
****

Oh I can't wait to here this. I need a good laugh. You are right about one
thing. In a mobile situation ground is poor and ground losses are higher than
say a ground mounted vertical. There really is no reason for 18 feet unless the
manufacturer of the antenna is doing something strange with the construction of
the antenna. The only poossible scenario is that when using load coils in the
construction, the antenna is designed to be highly inductive. In this manner the
feed point impedance maybe raised such that a specific length of coax will
transform that impedance to 50 Ohms. If that is the case then that is truely a
poor design. As I stated before, that type of matching is good if one were to
operate over a very small band of frequencies. That technique worked well when
the CB band was only 23 channels. For 40 channel and beyond then there are
better means than requireing a fix cable length. IF a manufacturer does require
this, then in my opinion, that is a poor designed antenna.

regards
james

****
*
*Regards!
*:+> Andy <+:
*... _
*... You know you're a VERSATILE CB'er when... / \

```
*... Your antenna doubles as a fishing rod and / |
*... you have the trophies to prove it! 0/ (^)
*... |/\ /_\
*
*http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm
```

2578. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 22:19:31 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a3b98d3.1109579@news.gate.net>
Source record: 63962

On Fri, 15 Dec 2000 11:48:38 -0500, Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net> wrote:

*Greetings!
*
*Aw man...[punch]
*
*You mean to tell me [Whap!]
*
*<sock>
*
*That this whole barroom brawl [Smash]
*
**crunch*
*
*Was a troll? <whack!>
*
*[Hand me that chair will you?]
*
****

Is this the writings of an intelligent being?

james

****
*
*On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 17:17:06 -0500, while the modem was ice fishing
*with the Mouse, tnom@mucks.net dissertated the following:
*
*:+> Don't worry about solving the coax question.
*:+>
*:+> When I posted early in this thread that there
*:+> may be a usefulness to a18 foot section my
*:+> purpose was two fold. I knew that it would
*:+> stir things up. The two reasons were:
*:+>
*:+> 1. To tell it like it is
*:+>
*:+> 2. Most importantly get the newsgroup off the
*:+> "Illegal CB" or "Bash the CB"ers" threads and
*:+> back to what it is suppose to be.
*:+>
*:+> With almost 50 follow ups I think number two is
*:+> working. Now for number one??????
*:+>

*:+>
*:+>
*
*
*Regards!
*.:+> Andy <+: | BEEP!
*... You really are a CB'er when... | _____ BEEP!
*... your CB antenna is longer than |/ ___
*... your SUV! |_,-.__,-._|
*... (o) (o)
* http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

2579. Re: TV Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 22:26:18 UTC
From: ngabuckaroo223@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001215172618.17227.00000498@ng-xa1.aol.com>
Source record: 63965

NO!!!!!!!!!!

North GA. Buckaroo "223"

2580. Re: TV Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 22:28:34 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a3c99fa.1404849@news.gate.net>
Source record: 63964

On Fri, 15 Dec 2000 15:16:01 -0500, "Christian" <combsad@cox.rr.com> wrote:

*Could an outside TV antenna be used as a CB Antenna?
*
****

there is a scheme that can use the TV antenna as a capacitance top hat. But one thing about that scenario is that noone will be able to use it for anything else. Thus you will not be able to watch tv especially when tranmitting on the CB. Besides after all the work to get it setup and operating, you could just put up a thin peice of wire about 18 feet long and do better. Granted it can be done but ther are much better approaches. I would only incorparate a tv antenna as a last resort.

james

2581. Re: PRESIDENT G W BUSH,.....FINALLY.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 22:33:50 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a3d9b4b.1741400@news.gate.net>
Source record: 63966

On Fri, 15 Dec 2000 09:16:46 GMT, "Jeff Herrings" <president@whitehouse.gov>
wrote:

*
*<jdaggett@gate.net> wrote in message news:3a40a8ea.22034818@news.gate.net...
*> On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 15:46:50 GMT, curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:
*>
*> *thanks for the memories comrade algoreski. oh yes, sorry but no pardon
*> *for clintonoski or the clintonistas either. you are going to jail boy.
*> *and another thing. we don't want to hear about the sand pile again for
*> *a very long time, please. oh yes, i bet prime minister had to put in a
*> *call to algoreski requesting his million dollars back, because now he
*> *is back in deep doo doo especially after dubya cleans out the vermin in
*> *the justice department,......cd is out.........
*> *
*> *
*> *Sent via Deja.com
*> *http://www.deja.com/
*> ******************************
*>
*> One other comment. I hope you are not a typical republikan. IF so then
*that
*> reaffirms why I am and independant.
*>
*> james
*>
*
*James,
*
* You certainly are AN independant. First off, republican is NOT spelled
*with a K. Secondly,
*it is not proper to start a sentence with "IF so then." Thirdly, you should
*investigate the
*difference between "AND" and "AN."
*
*Jeff
*
****

jeff

i wrote that late at night and yes i know the difference between "and" and "an"
Finally i should like for you to try and understand the real meaning as to why i
spelled republikan the way i did. think hard and long. maybe the the kris
kristopherson movie "amerika" will stir your memory?

james

oh and by the way, i am personally using all lower case letters.

ee

cummmings

was

one of

my favorite

poets.

2582. Re: TV Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 22:38:52 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3A9D7C.3FCAEBBD@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63967

No what? No, you can use it for a CB antenna, or
no, your neighbors are not talking about you.

Buckaroo wrote:
>
> NO!!!!!!!!!!
>
> North GA. Buckaroo "223"

2583. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 22:38:56 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <44x_5.151124$U46.4930268@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 63968

don't forget to pull the other newsgroups out of the posts

people are trying to stir shit up

"RadioFree" <OldMcDonald@eieio.com> wrote in message
news:Ssw_5.15$L3.11677@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3A39BEDB.4370C8A0@sccoast.net...

>Again, you are a liar. I will repeat what I said before - while I
>have certainly never posted anything about HR-2346 in those newsgroups,

Your name and HR 2346 in the searchbox at deja.com will show the transport threads you claimed NEVER to have posted to. That was your challenge and you have been busted. You are positively *pathetic* in your attempt to blame me for your mistake.

> > Especially not with the subject "Re: HR 2346 STATE
> > & LOCAL COPS TO ENFORCE CB RADIO REGS" which was
> > the topic in EVERY SINGLE ONE of the 50+ replies I
> > reference. Strange that you wouldn't remember posting
> > or replying there even ONCE.

> Oh, so that is the crap that you're talking about. You need to get

YES, that IS the CRAP I'm talking about which you (again) say NEVER happened but STILL deny after PROOF has been shown. Foolishly, cowardly, and in complete negation of any honor or credibility you may have thought yourself to possess.

> your facts straight (and learn how to use deja.com). That was a thread

My facts are *too* straight, evidently, and you seem to want to re-word your challenges to me without admission or apology now that you've been busted. I would say I am more familiar with deja.com than is comfortable for you.

> in one of these ham radio newsgroups about 3 months ago that appears to
> have been crossposted to those newsgroups. In fact, if you read some of

What's this? "Appears to have been crossposted to those newsgroups"? You mean those transport newsgroups? Your challenge to me was:

>I've never posted a single message, on
>any subject, in either one of those transport newsgroups. In fact,
>neither of those newsgroups are even listed as available through my
>newsgroup server.

Whether or not you realize it, your server has access to those groups if you have been crossposting messages to them. Unless you believe in magic. You challenged me and I produced. You're a *spankard* for not being *man* enough to own up to it, apologize for calling me a liar, and THEN re-word your challenge, if you thought it could help your position.

> Again, I've never posted a single message directly to those trucking

You've never posted a single message *DIRECTLY*... Usually the word "Again" precedes something you've already said. In this case it does not, and shows the re-wording I charge you with yet AGAIN... AGAIN, DO YOUR RESEARCH before calling anyone a liar.

Shame, thou dishonest and duplicitous SPANKARD!

This willingness of yours to dodge the facts to support your position is PRECISELY the reason I challenged your credibility. In all truth there was no need for me to reference any number of your posts in any newsgroup, but I did and have met your challenge with ample proof. Face it and get over it.

I have shown that your weak attempt to challenge a professionally qualified and credible individual's opinion as to the causative factors of HR2346 is a direct result of your CB enforcement fetish - no matter the cost to operators, legal or not, and regardless of the impact of "jurisdictional issues" which you (without any qualification to speak of) consider "nonsense".

Since your stated opinion is without question neither credible nor qualified (that HR2346 is primarily the response to some great mandate from the citizens at large), I am within my scope of understanding to take issue with *your* claim.

Simply put, if you think many folks outside hobby radio give a rat's tail about CB, you're suffering from delusions, misinformed, or driven by unreasonable bias. It's definitely all of the above in your case. You cannot speak on the subject objectively with any credibility at all.

I have already proven this main point. I will not be answering to your "new and improved" challenges, for your status as a backpedaling SPANKARD makes it unnecessary.

RadioFree

2584. Re: PRESIDENT G W BUSH,.....FINALLY.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 22:40:29 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a3e9c58.2010865@news.gate.net>
Source record: 63971

On Fri, 15 Dec 2000 08:40:17 -0600, "Rastus" <jfayard@ionet.net> wrote:

*A product of the decaying public school system?
****

graduated from high school "National Honor Society" probably before you were
born.

My how one derives an (please note the correct usage of the word "an") opinion
when one makes a mistake. Gosh I hope you guys never make an error. I just truly
do not think the world is ready for that.

james

then again I can admit when i make a mistake and i know i am not perfect.

****
*
*
*
*"Jeff Herrings" <president@whitehouse.gov> wrote in message
*news:2kl_5.165268$j6.18691844@news1.rdc1.va.home.com...
*>
*> <jdaggett@gate.net> wrote in message
*news:3a40a8ea.22034818@news.gate.net...
*> > On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 15:46:50 GMT, curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:
*> >
*> > *thanks for the memories comrade algoreski. oh yes, sorry but no pardon
*> > *for clintonoski or the clintonistas either. you are going to jail boy.
*> > *and another thing. we don't want to hear about the sand pile again for
*> > *a very long time, please. oh yes, i bet prime minister had to put in a
*> > *call to algoreski requesting his million dollars back, because now he
*> > *is back in deep doo doo especially after dubya cleans out the vermin in
*> > *the justice department,......cd is out.........
*> > *
*> > *
*> > *Sent via Deja.com
*> > *http://www.deja.com/
*> > ******************************
*> >
*> > One other comment. I hope you are not a typical republikan. IF so then
*> that
*> > reaffirms why I am and independant.
*> >
*> > james
*> >

*>
*> James,
*>
*> You certainly are AN independant. First off, republican is NOT spelled
*> with a K. Secondly,
*> it is not proper to start a sentence with "IF so then." Thirdly, you
*should
*> investigate the
*> difference between "AND" and "AN."
*>
*> Jeff
*>
*>
*>
*

2585. Re: TV Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 22:42:24 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <k7x_5.151156$U46.4930581@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 63969

Oh Scott you troll!! :)

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3A3A8DAC.F3CB5811@hotmail.com...
You can use one for your scanner. Your neighbors use
their cordless phones to talk about you all the time.

Christian wrote:
>
> Could an outside TV antenna be used as a CB Antenna?

2586. Re: Yet another antenna myth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 22:44:40 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a3f9e6b.2541525@news.gate.net>
Source record: 63970

On Fri, 15 Dec 2000 10:29:28 -0500, Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net> wrote:

*Greetings!
*
*Why make it more complex than it already is?
*
*Why use a tuner?
*
*Just tune the antenna for maximum F/S deflection - and SWR should be
*low enough to be tolerable. Just use what the antenna maker wants you
*to use, thats simple 50 ohm mounting and coax hardware - let alone the
*coax. [Co phasing is a little different]
*
*I would like to continue using 50 ohm coax. Ladderline just isn't the
*stuff dreams are made of in typical commutes back and forth to work.
*
*Now, Ladderline on a base? You have my interest - It's not going
*anywhere...;-)
*
*One Important note though.
*
*The owners manual of any CB radio recommends SWR of 3:1 can cause
*transmitter damage. And many radios are rated for 30:1 SWR mismatch
*transmitting time - rated in minutes...
****

a 30:1 SWR for all practical means is a short. I sure would not operate any
transistor into that lind of load for several minutes.

james

****
*Personal experience shows that rating to be a lot quicker - like a few
*seconds!
*
*
*
*On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 19:55:10 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
*the Mouse, JR <jr@some.net> dissertated the following:
*
*:+> And you keep showing your ignorance of antenna systems. An SWR of 10:1 on
*:+> certain antenna systems is perfectly acceptable and will radiate perfectly
*:+> well. The cb crowd is so full of myth about SWR is is really funny. You
will
*:+> try to get a 1.5:1 SWR down ot 1:1 thinking you are really going to improve

*:+> the signal. You can work you mighty little magic and get your SWR down to
*:+> 1:1 and I will use a system where the SWR is say 3:1 and as long as I use
a
*:+> tuner to get max transfer of power from the transmitter to the coax and
*:+> antenna, you won't see any difference in the signals on the receiving end.
*:+> I did not wave my stink you way, you stuck you nose in it.
*:+>
*:+> twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
*:+>
*:+> > The subject is swr, NOT ladder line OR antenna tuners to bring it in
*:+> > line. How many cbers use ladder lines for normal communicatios? And
*:+> > don't tell me about hammies that use them AND cb. This is the cb ng, and
*:+> > if you stopped trolling long enough and took the time to see what room
*:+> > you were posting to this time,, you would realize this. I wondered how
*:+> > long it was gonna take you to try and wave your stink my way! You bring
*:+> > your little 10 to 1 swr on cb and you pick the freq, you ain't even
*:+> > gotta talk, JR, since we know you will be breaking the law, we wouldn't
*:+> > want you outted as a lawbreaker. (I know more than you would care to
*:+> > guess.) Just give me a time and a freq and you go ahead and deadkey with
*:+> > a swr of 10 to 1 for one minute, see if anyone hears your deadkey on the
*:+> > eleven meter. I'll even make the announcement for you that you are about
*:+> > to do it. See who hears you.
*:+> > You could damn near utilize a piece of wood for an antenna with an MFJ
*:+> > tuner in theory, but the notion is ridiculous on cb. The post appears to
*:+> > lend credibility that a high swr on cb is ok. It is not, for optimum
*:+> > performance. There are exceptions to just about every rule. Please tell
*:+> > me where this appies to cb.
*:+> > If I missed anything, I am sure you will defa...er, let me know.
*
*. Pow! POP! BLAM!
*Regards! (0) (0) (~) (~) (~)
*.:+> Andy <+: *
*... You know your antenna is too long when, /
*... streetlights explode as you pass under /
*... them... ___ /
*... ____/ ____ /
*... [_.-._____.-._]|
*... (0) (0) ==)) --))
*
* http://ww.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

2587. Re: Wheres Hillbiilly Dave?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 22:47:05 UTC
From: Dave Clemence <*******davesradio@alltel.net**********>
Message-ID: <91dvrr$7d0$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 63939

oh I'm lurking back in the shadows

dave

"Ken" <klajr2@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:x3g_5.1050$1w4.106870@nnrp3.sbc.net...
>
>
>

2588. Re: Aaron Voobner and n8wmm are 1 in the same.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 22:50:21 UTC
From: "Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com>
Message-ID: <91e77b$o90$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63972

Well, maybe since when Voobie, and N8wwm disappeared at the same time
they are either:
1.) Lobbying congress to bust all cb'ers and to outlaw 2879s.
2.) In Cancun, on a Butt buddie rendevous.
3.) Playing bundarts in N8wwms Garage
4.) N8wwm is showing Ol Voobie how to play the Skin flute.
5.) Studying together to test for their General class Ticket,so they
can finally be the men they think they are, and feel real accomplished
What do you think?????

MR.PAIN&#65533;

In article <t3l4c92f82q450@news.supernews.com>,
"Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote:
>
> "WildBill" <wildbill @hose.com> wrote in message
> news:HAu_5.1306$cl3.378682@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
> > aaron said he was going away for the weekend, and since then n8wmm
has
> been
> > unheard from so you tell me who is who
> >
> >
>
> My opinion?
> They are not one in the same but they *are* both self serving, little
> whining bitches that this NG can do well without.
>
> Jeff
>
>

--

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2589. Re: I Pinned Santa's Coax!!!! HE! HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 23:22:13 UTC
From: "Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com>
Message-ID: <91e92vpml1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63973

I hope he did'nt blow up that 16 pill I sold him!!!!!!!!!!!!!
MR.PAIN&#65533;

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2590. Re: Maybe this will cause some skip to come rolling in

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 23:39:57 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9811-3A3AABCD-42@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63977

I thought a lunar eclipse was when the full moon appeared in front of the sun, (dangerous to look at) and a solar eclipse was when the sun appeared in front of the moon(ok to look at)...or do I have it backwards?

2591. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 23:43:53 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <n3bl3tkph8lmbq4g4b3ff63f1kllfg2up0@4ax.com>
Source record: 63974

Greetings!

You're funny!

LOL!

On Fri, 15 Dec 2000 22:18:35 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
the Mouse, jdaggett@gate.net dissertated the following:
:+> **********
:+>
:+> What shouting. I thought I gave a very well thought out dissertatiion on what
:+> happens when coax length is adjusted. I do not claim to be an expert, but I do
:+> know something about the subject.

Regards!
:+> Andy <+: (```~~~~''')
. ^^ ||||| ^^
... You know you're a CB'er when... \\ //
... you spend more time fixing .-----------.
... your radio... | [ ] o o o |\
... than talking on it! '__________|\|
. `------------'

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

2592. FCC and police have an amp!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 23:44:38 UTC
From: oneqck76ta@aol.com.com.com
Message-ID: <20001215184438.22468.00004983@ng-bk1.aol.com>
Source record: 63976

Just a question but what the hell would the police or FCC do with my amps when they find me and come them. They going to use them them selves or take a few and make one super huge amp? Imagine a local officer using his new 150 pill amp on the police freq! Doesn't look like anyone will get over him! What do they do with them once they take them? I know they put them back out on the streets so others can get busted! Just a question for thought.

Later
oneqck76ta@aol.com
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta

You've got "459" out of Minneapolis Minnesota and I'm reading the mail

**********Hippie Freak**********
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta/cbradio

2593. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 23:45:11 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <h6bl3tcgr2jf5adc1lp28uqkkvbpi0spq2@4ax.com>
Source record: 63975

Greetings!

<bozo_bin>

On Fri, 15 Dec 2000 22:19:31 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
the Mouse, jdaggett@gate.net dissertated the following:

:+> On Fri, 15 Dec 2000 11:48:38 -0500, Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net> wrote:
:+>
:+> *Greetings!
:+> *
:+> *Aw man...[punch]
:+> *
:+> *You mean to tell me [Whap!]
:+> *
:+> *<sock>
:+> *
:+> *That this whole barroom brawl [Smash]
:+> *
:+> **crunch*
:+> *
:+> *Was a troll? <whack!>
:+> *
:+> *[Hand me that chair will you?]
:+> *
:+> **********
:+>
:+> Is this the writings of an intelligent being?
:+>
:+>
:+> james
:+>
:+>
:+> **********
:+> *
:+> *On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 17:17:06 -0500, while the modem was ice fishing
:+> *with the Mouse, tnom@mucks.net dissertated the following:
:+> *
:+> *:+> Don't worry about solving the coax question.
:+> *:+>
:+> *:+> When I posted early in this thread that there
:+> *:+> may be a usefulness to a18 foot section my
:+> *:+> purpose was two fold. I knew that it would
:+> *:+> stir things up. The two reasons were:
:+> *:+>

```
:+> *:+> 1. To tell it like it is
:+> *:+>
:+> *:+> 2. Most importantly get the newsgroup off the
:+> *:+> "Illegal CB" or "Bash the CB"ers" threads and
:+> *:+> back to what it is suppose to be.
:+> *:+>
:+> *:+> With almost 50 follow ups I think number two is
:+> *:+> working. Now for number one??????
:+> *:+>
:+> *:+>
:+> *:+>
:+> *
:+> *
:+> *Regards!
:+> *.:+> Andy <+: | BEEP!
:+> *... You really are a CB'er when... | _____ BEEP!
:+> *... your CB antenna is longer than |/ \___
:+> *... your SUV! |_,-.__,-._|
:+> *... (o) (o)
:+> * http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm
```

2594. Re: Maybe this will cause some skip to come rolling in

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 23:48:34 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3AADDC.4D4AC7FB@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63978

twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
>
> .or do I have it
> backwards?

Yes.

2595. Re: FCC and police have an amp!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 23:54:12 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3AAF2E.952A891A@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63979

Hippie Freak wrote:
>
> Just a question, but what the hell would the police do with my amps when
> they find me and come for them. What do they do
> with them once they take them? I know they put them back out on the streets so
> others can get busted! Just a question for thought.

The police would mark them and use them for controlled buys.

The FCC would destroy them.

2596. Re: (fwd) Re: Wayne Green was right after all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-15 23:58:34 UTC
From: Buzz <!turtle@owc.net>
Message-ID: <kubl3t0irs7vgros5di9s3mr07njkab36t@4ax.com>
Source record: 63980

On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 15:18:02 -0500, "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)"
<upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:

:{Keep on whining, boys. All us CB'ers are going to laugh
:{when you have to come back to the only band left!
:{
:{wayne was right folks wrote:
I went there and ...What the Hell are you talking about?

:{>
:{> Take a look at the FCC webpage at www.fcc.gov the next
:{> chance you have some free time boys. Look at all those front
:{> page articles about Spectrum being freed up for commercial
:{> services! Spectrum that was originally in the venue of ham radio.
:{> Looks like Wayne writing in 73 magazine editorials for all those
:{> years was 100% on target and the cows are finally comming home.
:{>
:{> I love it.
:{> Long live code!
:{> BAAAHAWW HA HA HAWW!!

2597. Re: FCC and police have an amp!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 00:00:23 UTC
From: Buzz <!turtle@owc.net>
Message-ID: <83cl3t0so3an96n8lk70cdh90m2gk0e900@4ax.com>
Source record: 63981

Probably take a Sledge Hammer to it!
On 15 Dec 2000 23:44:38 GMT, oneqck76ta@aol.com.com.com (Hippie Freak)
wrote:

:{Just a question but what the hell would the police or FCC do with my amps when
:{they find me and come them. They going to use them them selves or take a few
:{and make one super huge amp? Imagine a local officer using his new 150 pill amp
:{on the police freq! Doesn't look like anyone will get over him! What do they do
:{with them once they take them? I know they put them back out on the streets so
:{others can get busted! Just a question for thought.
:{
:{Later
:{oneqck76ta@aol.com
:{http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta
:{
:{You've got "459" out of Minneapolis Minnesota and I'm reading the mail
:{
:{**********Hippie Freak**********
:{http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta/cbradio

2598. Re: Maybe this will cause some skip to come rolling in

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 00:10:35 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19990-3A3AB2FB-76@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63985

Hokay! Gotcha!

2599. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 00:17:26 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <m2dl3t883i43tvdoktuhce30o0lveqju8t@4ax.com>
Source record: 63982

On Fri, 15 Dec 2000 16:39:35 -0500, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>In article <k6hi3tcc7qo363tip082hgj7qre373ee0i@4ax.com>, tnom@mucks.net
>wrote:
>
>> When I posted early in this thread that there
>> may be a usefulness to a18 foot section my
>> purpose was two fold. I knew that it would
>> stir things up. The two reasons were:
>>
>> 1. To tell it like it is
>>
>> 2. Most importantly get the newsgroup off the
>> "Illegal CB" or "Bash the CB"ers" threads and
>> back to what it is suppose to be.
>
>Oh, so you're a troll! ;-)

Influencing the subject matter in a positive way is trolling?

2600. Re: Maybe this will cause some skip to come rolling in

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 00:20:40 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <t3ldei1khdkc06@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63983

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:9811-3A3AABCD-42@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> I thought a lunar eclipse was when the full moon appeared in front of
> the sun, (dangerous to look at) and a solar eclipse was when the sun
> appeared in front of the moon(ok to look at)...or do I have it
> backwards?
>

Sun appears in front of the moon??? (Hmm, THAT
would get the soothsayers going!)

You mean when the EARTH blocks the light from the sun
ON the moon!

2601. Re: TV Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 00:26:17 UTC
From: hillbilly <hillbilly001@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <91ecr4sgq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 63984

In article <20001215172618.17227.00000498@ng-xa1.aol.com>,
ngabuckaroo223@aol.com (Buckaroo) wrote:
> NO!!!!!!!!!!
>
> North GA. Buckaroo "223"
>
Yes Buckie-pooh, TV antennas are good scanner antennas ..
especially if turned vertical...
--
Dave KG5XR
http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
On Bull Shoales Lake, Ark/Mo State Line
Fishing is great & crappie are biting

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2602. Re: Maybe this will cause some skip to come rolling in

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 00:49:28 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19990-3A3ABC18-78@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63987

Yea, Mike..I did a quick search..the lunar is when the moon and sun are on opposite sides of the earth, hence the shadow...(chuckle)...never did pay attention in space sciences exept to learn the planets.

2603. Wanted Please - Cobra 148GTL-DX SSB Rig

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 01:08:38 UTC
From: Pete <pete@keirle.fsnet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <91efen$98q$2@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 63986

Hello, readers.
After a long break from the UK 11metre radio scene, I'd like to get back into the hobby again.
I started when CB radio first came to these shores way back in the mid-seventies. And yep -
I guess I'm a seasoned 'breaker'..! I turned to Short-Wave listening for many years and also got
into designing/building my own HF radio equipment.
Did I get into Amateur Radio..? Yeah but, apart from too many rules and regulations, todays
Amateurs have forgotten how to have fun. And radio can and should be real fun. (Just ask the
operators of the now-gone, Radio Caroline - Remember them... yeah, of course!)

Pirate..? Yep - that's me. I guess I been around for as long as the "Echo-Charlie" band. So that's
where you come in...
I'd love to own a Cobra 148GTL-DX again. Looking at these newsgroups I see a lot of good and
clever people still using AM/SSB and I miss all this. So do you or anybody you may know have
such a set which I could buy..? I'm not too bothered about the appearance as long as it's in a
reasonable working condition. I 'm interested in non-working sets, too.

Well, thanks for reading and I'd sure appreciate any help that fellow operators may be able to offer.

Thanks again, good luck and 73's...
Pete.

pete@keirle.fsnet.co.uk
http://www.keirle.fsnet.co.uk

2604. A Thank you to the CB ng

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 01:27:23 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <%xz_5.260$ug7.25871@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63988

There are a lot of flamers on the ng. Many of them are hams. I have stated in the past that the flames do no good and, indeed, put off a lot of folks. For what is it is worth, I received an e-mail from a guy that asked if we had served together in Vietnam. We had. We are corresponding and I would ask everyone to remember that the whole world can see our posts. Go ahead and be an idiot, but it might be benificial if you are a reasonable individual and don't make flames. I'm having a ball recalling many incidents in the US Navy. Understand that there are a lot of decent folks that read the newgroups - don't be an idiot! For what it is worth, I have met a lot of CBers that could dust me - if you want to disagree, fine - come on, try and dust me! Lots of luck and best of 73's (to N8**** - I did NOT say 88 and I will not - and 73's can be taken as a singular collective!)

73s from Rochester, NY
Jim

2605. Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 01:30:04 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <s9cl3t0en56uc05frh5ktlgetbhmcmiq9a@4ax.com>
Source record: 63989

Hi Folks.

All this message is for is my own appology for all that wasted bandwidth regarding Coax Length and if it's really necessary.

The truth is, Coax length shouldn't matter. If you find out that using a specific length takes care of the problem, you can either...

A. Live with it.
B. Take steps to correct the problem.

I see a widely varying range of different opinions and I'm not here to debate them. An open mind is needed, check the flames at the door.

The "colors" that this subject brought up has been interesting and downright funny at times. [Embarassingly funny - and I mean that, If I offended anyone - My Appologies.]

However, no one has taken a stance regarding the issue around mobile antenna installs and problems with location. Very few have noticed what I've seen for years regarding this Coax Length issue.

If it's in a bad location, you'll have SWR problems.

If the mount isn't the right one, or poorly done, you'll have SWR problems.

With the above two reasons, my experience has been to correct the problems by relocating the antenna. In virtually all of the installs I've worked on, this was the beneficial solution. Only in rare instances was the mount at fault and it's usually due to corrosion.

However, some people never budge on re-locating the antenna and so therefore the coax length issue comes up.

In my own investigation of this, the coax length issue means the antenna is not resonate due to a number of factors. Most, if not all, are associated with the counterpoise, or the conductivity and reactive components the ground plane provides, or works against the antenna, at that particular location on the vehicle.

Sure you can swap antennas, sometimes changing to a different loading scheme can work better at the location than others. But, are you willing to waste the time on that? Some do. However, some don't.

On my pages, I suggest using a simple mag-mount so the new CB'er can see how the antenna will look on the vehicle. There's more to that, the installer [namely me] can also locate areas that are better to use

the antenna at versus where the antennas owner wants to try it at.

An MFJ-259 is a handy tool for working out the locations fine tuning, you can see how the antenna will work at the locations tried.

So, why does an antenna work better at some locations on a vehicle than others?

Why does the SWR vary between locations on the vehicle? Ground? Metal panel not connected to the vehicle?

That is what piqued my interest. And my efforts to locate the information regarding this issue, seems to contradict what others firmly believe.

There is a reason for why things are done the way they are by antenna manufacturers. To ensure the user a viable means to use the antenna in a wider range of locations and environment conditions without suffering severe/critical damage to a CB radio.

The above, to me, is the most important advancement in the realm of antenna design and construction.

They are thinking about us folks. We're important.

I'm not talking about making it easier for us installers... nor are they trying to get us to buy coax by the truckload...

Far from it.

It's a liability. The antenna has to work in order to sell, it not going to make it in the real world if it fails.

------> It's so the user can use the radio to get help.

That, my friends, is the most important issue. If you have any questions about that, see the subject line.

This is what makes the NG so fun to be on.

My best advice to the new CB'er, don't make it hard on yourself. Take the time to read, review, learn about those claims the antenna makers will say. You can't avoid it. It's not going away.

The best clues are the antenna placards that have the installation instructions with them that can be read without having to open the package. Compare those instructions with others of the peg-boards at the local CB shop. A lot of dust on the shelves? Complain! You may need to go elsewhere. The people there at the store may not want your business if they don't keep items moving thru the store. A dusty item is a dead product.

Some will try to sell the antenna on the ease of tuning...
Some will try to claim their antenna puts out more signal...

Some will claim flexibility in mounting locations...
Some will claim they've been in this biz for XXX centuries...

All have valid points, but there is a difference, and it's within you to make the right decision. You have to put a system together, so choose carefully.

One such point is guarantee, will the maker stand behind the product, or just leave you standing there? Read the fine print.

Remember, the person that has to be made happy is you, no one else.

Can't stand the sales pressure? Go elsewhere. A dealer may have the right to sell antennas. However, they don't have the right to shove it down your throat.

If you are reading this message, then that means you have the ability to research. Take the time to read what those companies claim out here on the 'net. Their reputation is based upon their own knowledge base. If you're not getting the answers you need, look elsewhere. There are plenty of resources out here to help you.

Don't ever settle on the first one you see, take time to look at your options. Then if it's the one you really want - then go for it. Buy what the antenna needs for installation.

Don't settle for second rate, buy and use quality. You're selling yourself short if you skimp on parts. You're going to have problems - don't waste money on sales. That type of antenna, or the parts for it, may be on sale for reasons the sales clerks really don't want you to know.

If you're planning to put your system together properly, or you're having someone do it for you, take the time to ask questions. Annoy the heck out of sales people at the shop if you don't get answers. Never assume that what they do is correct for your needs. Ask other CB'ers if they've dealt with the shop - get their opinions.

If you find yourself putting up an antenna in your own mind, take the time to work it thru and then see how others did it. Compare notes.

Coax? It's part of the big three requirements for using a CB radio. Radio, antenna, coax - those make up the chain. A weak link in the chain, if you don't pay attention, can leave you frustrated.

Don't worry about coax length, don't concern yourself with it. Use quality parts - and pay attention to details with all aspects of the install. Location, mounting, power, and routing all can have an impact on your system.

If you have to buy coax, buy the stuff with the pre-molded and sealed ends, and use mounts that can take those types of

connectors and are able to be sealed from the environment.

If you want a DIY custom install, it's your responsibility now. If you don't pay attention to sealing, bolts, insulation and preventing water entry - bash yourself on this.

Location? If it looks cool there, are you really sure it will work there? Keep your options open. You're leaving yourself open for problems if you don't take the time to locate the antenna in a spot it needs in order to work properly.

Hint: No antenna will work like it claims if you don't install it like the manufacturer recommends. They can't guarantee their antenna will perform like they claim unless you put it together properly - and that means location too.

Questions? My box is open.

Thank you.
:+> Andy <+:
codyspc@iserv.net

2606. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 01:51:04 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <91ehq8$8o4$0@208.207.71.169>
Source record: 63990
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Dwight, you truly are a genuine blockhead.
> > But we already knew that.
>
> Couldn't dispute what I wrote, could you? Easier to ridicule me
> instead of offering ligitimate debate?

First you've gotta write something worthy of debate instead of drivel.

2607. 11 METRE PACKET RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 02:03:05 UTC
From: warren chambers <micron@flexi.net.au>
Message-ID: <PZz_5.53$887.12129@nsw.nnrp.telstra.net>
Source record: 63991

Tune in to 27.420 or 27.430 for Australian 11 mtr Packet Radio also SSTV on
27.420 ,
De AU122

2608. Re: Maybe this will cause some skip to come rolling in

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 02:04:18 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t3ljd2muhaqtd7@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 63992

"Mike Yetsko" <joe@user.com> wrote in message
news:t3ldei1khdkc06@corp.supernews.com...
> <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:9811-3A3AABCD-42@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> > I thought a lunar eclipse was when the full moon appeared in front of
> > the sun, (dangerous to look at) and a solar eclipse was when the sun
> > appeared in front of the moon(ok to look at)...or do I have it
> > backwards?
> >
>
> Sun appears in front of the moon??? (Hmm, THAT
> would get the soothsayers going!)

I think the Sun would have a hard time fitting between the Earth and the Moon, wouldn't it? What's the diameter of the Sun anyway? To think I took a year of Astronomy. Dad's money gone to waste...

Jeff

>
> You mean when the EARTH blocks the light from the sun
> ON the moon!
>
>
>

2609. Re: Yet another antenna myth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 02:05:27 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A3ACDE7.CC102E01@qnet.com>
Source record: 64006

Hello JR: Yes my tuner is directly connected to the antenna.

I have a (another) remote tuner mounted to the ground plane radial bracket for a 20 meter Mastodon 5000 5/8 wavelength ground plane antenna, it tunes everywhere! Looks bitchen, 43 feet high! Works great on 12, 11, and 10.

I would say winning the shootouts first, kicking everybody's ass, then calling them juvenile. That's how its done.

You should slide on down to a shootout, its great to meet all the people, make friends, look at all the hardware, learn by seeing looking, and talking to all the shootout contestants. You may even become interested and want to but a Chevy Suburban, with 8 alternators, and 96 pill amp.

We could get ya a ball cap that says "JR Shootout Champ" and some half dressed honey hanging all over ya. You could sign autographs and charge each one a few beers for a technical lecher.

Ladder line transmission line, no not beyond my capability. I use it to wrap up all the sticks and weeds for the trash guy. Use it for speaker wire also. How do ya crimp a "F" connector on to that stuff?

Jay in the Mojave

JR wrote:
>
> Jay in the Mojave wrote:
>
> >
> > My antenna tuner matches the impedance of the coax to the antenna, thus
> > transferring max power. Thats why it works so well.
>
> Oh, is your antenna tuner connected right at the antenna? If not then all it is
> doing is matching the output of your transmitter to a mismatched coax/antenna
> system. Makes the transmitter see a good impedance but the mismatch between the
> coax and antenna is still there.
>
> >
> >
> > Ladder line! yeah right when it starts to win shoot outs.
>
> The juvenile practice of winning shootouts is not a concern of the ham
> community...communicating is. Ladder line is a good, very low loss transmission
> line, but you have to know how to use it, something far beyond your capability.

2610. Re: FCC and police have an amp!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 02:18:56 UTC
From: Ron Austin <daustin@kalnet.net>
Message-ID: <3A3AD10F.A72C1584@kalnet.net>
Source record: 63993

if they found any pills they would pop them and get f....up on their own

Buzz wrote:

> Probably take a Sledge Hammer to it!
> On 15 Dec 2000 23:44:38 GMT, oneqck76ta@aol.com.com.com (Hippie Freak)
> wrote:
>
> :{Just a question but what the hell would the police or FCC do with my amps when
> :{they find me and come them. They going to use them them selves or take a few
> :{and make one super huge amp? Imagine a local officer using his new 150 pill amp
> :{on the police freq! Doesn't look like anyone will get over him! What do they do
> :{with them once they take them? I know they put them back out on the streets so
> :{others can get busted! Just a question for thought.
> :{
> :{Later
> :{oneqck76ta@aol.com
> :{http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta
> :{
> :{You've got "459" out of Minneapolis Minnesota and I'm reading the mail
> :{
> :{**********Hippie Freak**********
> :{http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta/cbradio

2611. BlackStone Amps Return January 2001!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 02:29:35 UTC
From: CreoleCat@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29288-3A3AD38F-28@storefull-235.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63994

We will be informing all on the newsgroups that the wold famous BlackStone amp will be back in production this January.I hope all you have not forgotten me Alvin Stone in the Bayou country BlackStone assistant.I have 2 amps already built a Blackstone 2 pill and 8 pill will do 3500 watts peak on bulldog 10k meter 20vdc.

2612. Re: Pain--Peakaboo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 02:53:30 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001215215330.10459.00001668@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 63995

257....I GO BY THE HANDLE 413....I RARELY LEAVE CHANNEL SIX.... BUT ALSO I HAVE
A COBRA 148 WITH NO CHANNELS SO I CANT GO TO YOUR FREQ...BUT HOPEFULLY 1 DAY
I'LL GET TO PUT A SPOKE ON YA....73'S

2613. Re: Maybe this will cause some skip to come rolling in

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 03:27:49 UTC
From: mdemeo@frontiernet.net
Message-ID: <3a3be0b9.53025888@news.frontiernet.net>
Source record: 63996
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Good question. Yes, skip does happen during the eclipse duration. I remember reading something on this during the last total eclipse a few years back. The AM MW band became active for the duration.
Mike

On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 21:59:36 GMT, thepolishdude@my-deja.com wrote:

>An eclipse is scheduled to occur on Christmas afternoon. Can eclipses
>cause skip to happen?
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com
>http://www.deja.com/

2614. Re: Pain--Peakaboo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 03:56:26 UTC
From: Two-Five-seven@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1373-3A3AE7EA-108@storefull-141.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63997

Sure enough 413.I do talk on the bowl once in a while,when the mood strikes me.I was in there thursday after I talked to twistedhed.I talked to Gravy Train in the wheat fields and another loud station out of Missouri.If conditions are right you'll hear me,I just can't compete when the real big dogs are on the hunt!I will stop in and call you're #'s .Do you have any specific times I'd be more likely to hook up with you?
Happy Holidays to you 413.

BTW Cute kid on you're site.You're son?

257 NH. On the wheels

2615. Re: Maybe this will cause some skip to come rolling in

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 04:26:54 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a3aedd3.228896@news.gate.net>
Source record: 63998
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 21:59:36 GMT, thepolishdude@my-deja.com wrote:

*An eclipse is scheduled to occur on Christmas afternoon. Can eclipses
*cause skip to happen?
*
*
*Sent via Deja.com
*http://www.deja.com/
****

E-layer propogation can happen at anytime. The E-layer of the ionosphere is not as reliant on the solar event induced ionization. F-layer ionization generally has solar events that effect the level of ionization. Since the sun is being eclisped by the moon there is little chance that F-layer propogation will be enhanced. E-layer is less predictable, so who knows.

james

2616. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 04:28:35 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <freak'Onature@aola.com>
Message-ID: <TbC_5.303$TC3.151920@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64000

Andy I can agree different locations will give you different swr, but changing the length of coax will not correct the problem. Hell if i put up an antenna next to a steel shed this is a bad location and will result in a bad swr changing the length of coax doesnt make a lick of difference and won't change the swr In the past 2 weeks I have installed about 60 2.4 ghz antennas and the same number of 800mhz antenas on the same style vehicle, with the 2.4 we want the coax to be as short as possible just enough to make the connection since rg 58 is rather lossy at this frequency

"Handy Andy" <CodysPC@Iserve.Net> wrote in message
news:s9cl3t0en56uc05frh5ktlgetbhmcmiq9a@4ax.com...
> Hi Folks.
>
> All this message is for is my own appology for all that wasted
> bandwidth regarding Coax Length and if it's really necessary.
>
> The truth is, Coax length shouldn't matter. If you find out that using
> a specific length takes care of the problem, you can either...
>
> A. Live with it.
> B. Take steps to correct the problem.
>
> I see a widely varying range of different opinions and I'm not here to
> debate them. An open mind is needed, check the flames at the door.
>
> The "colors" that this subject brought up has been interesting and
> downright funny at times. [Embarassingly funny - and I mean that, If I
> offended anyone - My Appologies.]
>
> However, no one has taken a stance regarding the issue around mobile
> antenna installs and problems with location. Very few have noticed
> what I've seen for years regarding this Coax Length issue.
>
> If it's in a bad location, you'll have SWR problems.
>
> If the mount isn't the right one, or poorly done, you'll have SWR
> problems.
>
> With the above two reasons, my experience has been to correct the
> problems by relocating the antenna. In virtually all of the installs
> I've worked on, this was the beneficial solution. Only in rare
> instances was the mount at fault and it's usually due to corrosion.
>
> However, some people never budge on re-locating the antenna and so

> therefore the coax length issue comes up.
>
> In my own investigation of this, the coax length issue means the
> antenna is not resonate due to a number of factors. Most, if not all,
> are associated with the counterpoise, or the conductivity and reactive
> components the ground plane provides, or works against the antenna, at
> that particular location on the vehicle.
>
> Sure you can swap antennas, sometimes changing to a different loading
> scheme can work better at the location than others. But, are you
> willing to waste the time on that? Some do. However, some don't.
>
> On my pages, I suggest using a simple mag-mount so the new CB'er can
> see how the antenna will look on the vehicle. There's more to that,
> the installer [namely me] can also locate areas that are better to use
> the antenna at versus where the antennas owner wants to try it at.
>
> An MFJ-259 is a handy tool for working out the locations fine tuning,
> you can see how the antenna will work at the locations tried.
>
> So, why does an antenna work better at some locations on a vehicle
> than others?
>
> Why does the SWR vary between locations on the vehicle? Ground? Metal
> panel not connected to the vehicle?
>
> That is what piqued my interest. And my efforts to locate the
> information regarding this issue, seems to contradict what others
> firmly believe.
>
> There is a reason for why things are done the way they are
> by antenna manufacturers. To ensure the user a viable means
> to use the antenna in a wider range of locations and
> environment conditions without suffering severe/critical
> damage to a CB radio.
>
> The above, to me, is the most important advancement in the
> realm of antenna design and construction.
>
> They are thinking about us folks. We're important.
>
> I'm not talking about making it easier for us installers...
> nor are they trying to get us to buy coax by the truckload...
>
> Far from it.
>
> It's a liability. The antenna has to work in order to sell,
> it not going to make it in the real world if it fails.
>
> ------> It's so the user can use the radio to get help.

>
> *That, my friends, is the most important issue. If you have any
> questions about that, see the subject line.*
>
> This is what makes the NG so fun to be on.
>
> My best advice to the new CB'er, don't make it hard on yourself. Take
> the time to read, review, learn about those claims the antenna makers
> will say. You can't avoid it. It's not going away.
>
> The best clues are the antenna placards that have the installation
> instructions with them that can be read without having to open the
> package. Compare those instructions with others of the peg-boards at
> the local CB shop. A lot of dust on the shelves? Complain! You may
> need to go elsewhere. The people there at the store may not want your
> business if they don't keep items moving thru the store. A dusty item
> is a dead product.
>
> Some will try to sell the antenna on the ease of tuning...
> Some will try to claim their antenna puts out more signal...
> Some will claim flexibility in mounting locations...
> Some will claim they've been in this biz for XXX centuries...
>
> All have valid points, but there is a difference, and it's
> within you to make the right decision. You have to put a
> system together, so choose carefully.
>
> One such point is guarantee, will the maker stand behind the
> product, or just leave you standing there? Read the fine
> print.
>
> Remember, the person that has to be made happy is you,
> no one else.
>
> Can't stand the sales pressure? Go elsewhere. A dealer may
> have the right to sell antennas. However, they don't have the
> right to shove it down your throat.
>
> If you are reading this message, then that means you have the ability
> to research. Take the time to read what those companies claim out here
> on the 'net. Their reputation is based upon their own knowledge base.
> If you're not getting the answers you need, look elsewhere. There are
> plenty of resources out here to help you.
>
> Don't ever settle on the first one you see, take time to look at your
> options. Then if it's the one you really want - then go for it. Buy
> what the antenna needs for installation.
>
> Don't settle for second rate, buy and use quality. You're selling
> yourself short if you skimp on parts. You're going to have problems -

> don't waste money on sales. That type of antenna, or the parts for it,
> may be on sale for reasons the sales clerks really don't want you to
> know.
>
> If you're planning to put your system together properly, or you're
> having someone do it for you, take the time to ask questions. Annoy
> the heck out of sales people at the shop if you don't get answers.
> Never assume that what they do is correct for your needs. Ask other
> CB'ers if they've dealt with the shop - get their opinions.
>
> If you find yourself putting up an antenna in your own mind, take the
> time to work it thru and then see how others did it. Compare notes.
>
> Coax? It's part of the big three requirements for using a CB radio.
> Radio, antenna, coax - those make up the chain. A weak link in the
> chain, if you don't pay attention, can leave you frustrated.
>
> Don't worry about coax length, don't concern yourself with
> it. Use quality parts - and pay attention to details with all
> aspects of the install. Location, mounting, power, and routing
> all can have an impact on your system.
>
> If you have to buy coax, buy the stuff with the pre-molded and
> sealed ends, and use mounts that can take those types of
> connectors and are able to be sealed from the environment.
>
> If you want a DIY custom install, it's your responsibility
> now. If you don't pay attention to sealing, bolts, insulation
> and preventing water entry - bash yourself on this.
>
> Location? If it looks cool there, are you really sure it will
> work there? Keep your options open. You're leaving yourself
> open for problems if you don't take the time to locate the
> antenna in a spot it needs in order to work properly.
>
> Hint: No antenna will work like it claims if you don't install
> it like the manufacturer recommends. They can't guarantee
> their antenna will perform like they claim unless you put
> it together properly - and that means location too.
>
> Questions? My box is open.
>
> Thank you.
> :+> Andy <+:
> codyspc@iserv.net

2617. Re: Aaron Voobner and n8wmm are 1 in the same.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 04:28:36 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <freak'Onature@aola.com>
Message-ID: <UbC_5.304$TC3.151770@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 63999

I think they are one in the same as well they both hail from aol is correct
so why would you post to ng thu deja news when you could use your aol
account. because when you use aol NG reader it comes up with your screen
name
"Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com> wrote in message
news:91e77b$o90$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Well, maybe since when Voobie, and N8wwm disappeared at the same time
> they are either:
> 1.) Lobbying congress to bust all cb'ers and to outlaw 2879s.
> 2.) In Cancun, on a Butt buddie rendevous.
> 3.) Playing bundarts in N8wwms Garage
> 4.) N8wwm is showing Ol Voobie how to play the Skin flute.
> 5.) Studying together to test for their General class Ticket,so they
> can finally be the men they think they are, and feel real accomplished
> What do you think?????
>
> MR.PAIN&#65533;
>
>
>
> In article <t3l4c92f82q450@news.supernews.com>,
> "Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote:
> >
> > "WildBill" <wildbill @hose.com> wrote in message
> > news:HAu_5.1306$cl3.378682@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
> > > aaron said he was going away for the weekend, and since then n8wmm
> has
> > been
> > > unheard from so you tell me who is who
> > >
> > >
> >
> > My opinion?
> > They are not one in the same but they *are* both self serving, little
> > whining bitches that this NG can do well without.
> >
> > Jeff
> >
> >
>
> --
>
>

> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2618. Re: New news group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 04:29:36 UTC
From: Big Dog 326 <scott_326@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <91er3c$716$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64001

In article <91e092$i89$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
fingerbang@my-deja.com wrote:
> Might I suggest a new group for some of you.....
>
> REC.RADIO.LEGAL.CB
> REC.RADIO.CB.LEGAL
> REC.RADIO.CB.NOIILEGAL
>
> FiNgErBaNg
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>
What a DUMB ASSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS!!! I hear your uncle ernie says you
have a pretty mouth.............
--
THANK YOU: Scott!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2619. Wanted: Old Ford type CB with controls on mike

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 04:47:22 UTC
From: J&A Braun <2brauns@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ApC_5.213$pm.14401@news2.atl>
Source record: 64002

I've got a friend who has been restoring his old 78 Bronco and would like to find the CB handset for the truck. It still has the amp/control box and plug in the dash, but somebody has taken his handset.

Do any of you have any connections or know of a place that might have this radio handset? I believe it should have all the channel changers, readout, volume control and the mic itself on the unit. If someone has a complete Ford unit that is this style then I'm sure he may be interested in that also.

Thanks,
Jeremy

2620. Re: New news group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 04:55:17 UTC
From: The Landshark <s3buell@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <ZzC_5.124$Sw.143351@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 64003

I think he meant that for the legal
eagles out there.

The Landshark

--
It's a Buell-tiful Day for a ride
through the Canyons.

1999 Ford F-150 Super Cab
1998 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
1983 Honda 1100F
BFD #666
"Big Dog 326" <scott_326@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:91er3c$716$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <91e092$i89$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> fingerbang@my-deja.com wrote:
> > Might I suggest a new group for some of you.....
> >
> > REC.RADIO.LEGAL.CB
> > REC.RADIO.CB.LEGAL
> > REC.RADIO.CB.NOIILEGAL
> >
> > FiNgErBaNg
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
> >
> What a DUMB ASSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS!!! I hear your uncle ernie says you
> have a pretty mouth.............
> --
> THANK YOU: Scott!
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

2621. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 05:02:28 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <freak'Onature@aola.com>
Message-ID: <EHC_5.341$TC3.164945@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64004

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message news:oid_5.131382
> No, what is funny is the fact that DaveMade pushes amps, and they have
> people that do, but seemingly forget about the guy who only buys one or
> two amps, and small ones.
==========
How could you say this when has dave ever pushed a amp on anyone he doesnt even sell them he doesnt care about the business If you knew anything you would know mr gunn is who owns and runs www.davemade.com he has the dm business at this point in time not dave, and if you really knew anything this is one of the main reasons x force started 3 years ago because dave had no desire to build amps so 123 split and you should know the rest

>
> Seems, if ya want to do business with the guys in DaveMade County USA,
> you have to have deep pockets.
==========
Untrue again , prove your lie many out there have bought many small amps from them

>
> And that, my friend, is bullshit.
==========
and so are you go back under your rock
>
> If they want to make people happy, provide customer service.>
==========
they must provide just enough customer service since i hear hundereds and hundreds of them across the band
>

> DaveMade and their cronies have been pushing that line of shit for so long
> that it gets worse and worse every time I read it.
> Who gives a shit who builds what.
>
> Bottom line. For 3 years, I have heard the common every day driver asking
> questions and getting nothing in response... Especially since Dennis left
> the news-
> group (not Number 12, but Dennis at DM).
==========
davemades are not for the average guy they are not for ssber they are not for truckers, they are not for the rookie operator, can they use them there yes, but his business was all about competition thats his market you guys have made him out to be a supplier of everyone have any of you ever heard dave himself say anything post anything No i dont think he would
what is wrong with that

>
> XForce always seems helpful.
==========
yes they need to be they are /were a fledgling company getting there balls
broke about being a dave copy so they had to do something davemade didnt
which was talk to every tom dick and harry who wanted or was just curious
about an amp

>
> That means a LOT to the guys who are spending their hard earned cash on a
> small
> 2+4 or 6 pill.
==========
they are davemades major sellers the 3 pills and 5 pills and if they plunnk
down there hard earned cash it will be cheaper than a comparable amp on the
market

>
> It comes from Dennis and the rest, who are busy building amps and NOT
> providing answers to the questions.
==========
perhaps they have reasons for not answering every question posed to them
maybe some are a waste of time where as a davemade is not for them, maybe
they only want to deal with people they know or feel comfortable with,
remeber amplifiers are still illegal. you can run your business how you
want and i guess they do the same.

>
> Gee, X-Force has a good name, and a reputation for customer service.
> So, I guess, a manufacturer can provide both, huh?
==========
the good name came from daves design they provided the rest.

>

> Thats great.
> I guess these guys where born knowing all this information, too, huh?
----------==========
no they learn it just like you do or they try it themselves .

>
> Some people are so fucking narrow minded it makes me sick.
==========
take a good look in the mirror

>
>
> I happen to know different.
>
> My family has been involved in racing vehicles for over 40 years.
==========
I am impressed
>

> Most all engine builders spend the time to inform their customers, that
way
> people don't get pissed because they came in and ordered a motor with
brand
> X
> pistons, brand Y cam with Z duration at A lift, and this carb or that fuel
> injection
> system.
==========
I think the point is again the average guy doesnt go to s speed shop for an
engine for his work car... uhh get the connection why should a trucker or a
guy who wants a ssb amp go to a guy who builds competition amps
>
> Its called CUSTOMER SERVICE.
>
>
> Your now going to equate DaveMade to Nascar? Highly doubtful.
==========
You are a moron he was saying why would a average person go to a
Nascar/Busch builder for a motor they are race car motors just as his amps
are race amps ... You are really thicked headed
>
>
>
>
>
> Blah Blah Blah.
>
> Bow down to this... Customer service always wins in the end.
>
> People get tired of the "order, and get forgotten about" syndrome that
> DaveMade
> seems to have gotten as of the past couple years.
==========
again mr gunn in North Carolina is the person selling Davemade

>
> I am not downing their product, just the way they interface. They grab
> people,
> suck them into "their way of doing business", and make the guy with a
> question
> feel like a total asshole for asking it.
>
>
> Give me a break. The world doesn't operate that way.
==========
How would you know you walk around with your eyes shut

2622. Re: I Pinned Santa's Coax!!!! HE! HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 05:09:39 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3AF913.E672CE37@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64005

That big lump of coal you're gonna get will be useful during
a cold winter night!

SCSI UDMA wrote:
>
> I bet I get coal in my stocking!!!

2623. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 05:15:30 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <91etpi$46t$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 64010
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

JR, I would place more credibility in Phil Kane's comment since he's
a former FCC attorney.
KH6O

In article <3A36D318.E1AAE001@some.net>, JR <jr@some.net> wrote:
>The legislation was passed because people are tired of interference caused by
>the illegal operations of cb operators running illegal power, illegal radios
>driving crappy designed amps splattering all over the radio spectrum. The cb
>community brought this legislation upon themselves by refusing to operate
>legally.

>Phil Kane wrote:
>> The legislation was passed because the local authorities in
>> Beloit, Wisconsin did not like the FCC telling the consumer the
>> truth: that it was their cheap Home Electronic/Entertainment
>> Equipment that needed to be upgraded and protected fromoverload
>> and audio rectification.

2624. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 05:15:41 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a3bf0df.1008969@news.gate.net>
Source record: 64009

On Fri, 15 Dec 2000 20:30:04 -0500, Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net> wrote:

*Hi Folks.
*
*All this message is for is my own appology for all that wasted
*bandwidth regarding Coax Length and if it's really necessary.
*
*The truth is, Coax length shouldn't matter. If you find out that using
*a specific length takes care of the problem, you can either...
*
*A. Live with it.
*B. Take steps to correct the problem.
*
*I see a widely varying range of different opinions and I'm not here to
*debate them. An open mind is needed, check the flames at the door.
*
*The "colors" that this subject brought up has been interesting and
*downright funny at times. [Embarassingly funny - and I mean that, If I
*offended anyone - My Appologies.]
*
*However, no one has taken a stance regarding the issue around mobile
*antenna installs and problems with location. Very few have noticed
*what I've seen for years regarding this Coax Length issue.
*
*If it's in a bad location, you'll have SWR problems.
*
*If the mount isn't the right one, or poorly done, you'll have SWR
*problems.
***8

Andy

yes location of the antenna in a mobile installation does have an affect on the performance. The main single parameter that is affected is the ground loss. This affects the efficiency of the antenna as well as the feed impedance of the antenna. I have never denied that. I have stated and will always state that adjusting the coax length is not the proper way to address that problem. It is at best a last ditch effort to make the antenna work when nothing else will work. If the antenna is in a bad location, adjusting the coax length is not going to help the antenna radiate any better. It will just satisfy the transmitter.

****
*With the above two reasons, my experience has been to correct the
*problems by relocating the antenna. In virtually all of the installs

*I've worked on, this was the beneficial solution. Only in rare
*instances was the mount at fault and it's usually due to corrosion.
****

yes an mobile antenna should have as much metal under it at all possibilties.

****
*However, some people never budge on re-locating the antenna and so
*therefore the coax length issue comes up.
*
*In my own investigation of this, the coax length issue means the
*antenna is not resonate due to a number of factors. Most, if not all,
*are associated with the counterpoise, or the conductivity and reactive
*components the ground plane provides, or works against the antenna, at
*that particular location on the vehicle.
*
*Sure you can swap antennas, sometimes changing to a different loading
*scheme can work better at the location than others. But, are you
*willing to waste the time on that? Some do. However, some don't.
*
*On my pages, I suggest using a simple mag-mount so the new CB'er can
*see how the antenna will look on the vehicle. There's more to that,
*the installer [namely me] can also locate areas that are better to use
*the antenna at versus where the antennas owner wants to try it at.
*
*An MFJ-259 is a handy tool for working out the locations fine tuning,
*you can see how the antenna will work at the locations tried.
*
*So, why does an antenna work better at some locations on a vehicle
*than others?
****

the answer lies in the antenna construction and the vehicle type.

****
*Why does the SWR vary between locations on the vehicle? Ground? Metal
*panel not connected to the vehicle?
****

Mainly due to the construction of the vehicle. Different locations on a
particular vehicle will yield different ground losses. A given antenna has two
parts that make up the feed impednace. First is the grouond losses and the
second is the radiation resistance of the antenna. For a given antenna the
radiation resistance is dependant on the physical length and any load components
to electrically lengthen or shorten the antenna. Ground losses add in depending
on the type of antenna and it's location on the vehicle and height above ground.
Other losses that affect the overal feed point impednace is the presence of
other metal structures. For example, a trailer attached to a vehicle will alter
the feed impedance. Thus moving the antenna around on variuos spots on the
vehicle will affect SWR as the feedpoint impedance is altered mainly due ground
loss changes. The radiation resistance will vary some but only if large metal
objects, as explained above, are introduced into the mix.

****
*That is what piqued my interest. And my efforts to locate the
*information regarding this issue, seems to contradict what others
*firmly believe.
*
* There is a reason for why things are done the way they are
* by antenna manufacturers. To ensure the user a viable means
* to use the antenna in a wider range of locations and
* environment conditions without suffering severe/critical
* damage to a CB radio.
* The above, to me, is the most important advancement in the
* realm of antenna design and construction.
*
* They are thinking about us folks. We're important.
*
* I'm not talking about making it easier for us installers...
* nor are they trying to get us to buy coax by the truckload...
*
* Far from it.
*
* It's a liability. The antenna has to work in order to sell,
* it not going to make it in the real world if it fails.
*
*------> It's so the user can use the radio to get help.
*
****

manufacturers are more interested in getting your money. It is called capitalism. What ever gimmick they can create to make you buy their product over another is fair game. There are some laws about total fraud, but if they push the truth a little that is acceltable. Manufacturers play games with specs. They use term like typical. Thus they do not have to actually say what the minimum or maximum is, just what the typical performance is.

There is no liability in antenna sales. A couple of well placed words on the packaging and they are released from anyliability if the product does not work in all conditions. With suitable selected words, they don't even have to refund the cost of the product if it does not work. I think your blind faith in the manufacturers is very nice and works in the land of OZ, but Toto we are in Kansas.

****

**That, my friends, is the most important issue. If you have any
questions about that, see the subject line.
*
*This is what makes the NG so fun to be on.
*
*My best advice to the new CB'er, don't make it hard on yourself. Take
*the time to read, review, learn about those claims the antenna makers
*will say. You can't avoid it. It's not going away.
****

Better yet try and learn what the terms mean as to what the antenna makers are using on their advertisements. Reading specs and comparing them is worthless unless you understand what they mean.

****
*The best clues are the antenna placards that have the installation
*instructions with them that can be read without having to open the
*package. Compare those instructions with others of the peg-boards at
*the local CB shop. A lot of dust on the shelves? Complain! You may
*need to go elsewhere. The people there at the store may not want your
*business if they don't keep items moving thru the store. A dusty item
*is a dead product.
*
* Some will try to sell the antenna on the ease of tuning...
* Some will try to claim their antenna puts out more signal...
* Some will claim flexibility in mounting locations...
* Some will claim they've been in this biz for XXX centuries...
*
* All have valid points, but there is a difference, and it's
* within you to make the right decision. You have to put a
* system together, so choose carefully.
*
* One such point is guarantee, will the maker stand behind the
* product, or just leave you standing there? Read the fine
* print.
*
* Remember, the person that has to be made happy is you,
* no one else.
*
* Can't stand the sales pressure? Go elsewhere. A dealer may
* have the right to sell antennas. However, they don't have the
* right to shove it down your throat.
*
*If you are reading this message, then that means you have the ability
*to research. Take the time to read what those companies claim out here
*on the 'net. Their reputation is based upon their own knowledge base.
*If you're not getting the answers you need, look elsewhere. There are
*plenty of resources out here to help you.
*
*Don't ever settle on the first one you see, take time to look at your
*options. Then if it's the one you really want - then go for it. Buy
*what the antenna needs for installation.
*
*Don't settle for second rate, buy and use quality. You're selling
*yourself short if you skimp on parts. You're going to have problems -
*don't waste money on sales. That type of antenna, or the parts for it,
*may be on sale for reasons the sales clerks really don't want you to
*know.
*
*If you're planning to put your system together properly, or you're
*having someone do it for you, take the time to ask questions. Annoy

*the heck out of sales people at the shop if you don't get answers.
*Never assume that what they do is correct for your needs. Ask other
*CB'ers if they've dealt with the shop - get their opinions.
*
*If you find yourself putting up an antenna in your own mind, take the
*time to work it thru and then see how others did it. Compare notes.
*
*Coax? It's part of the big three requirements for using a CB radio.
*Radio, antenna, coax - those make up the chain. A weak link in the
*chain, if you don't pay attention, can leave you frustrated.
*
* Don't worry about coax length, don't concern yourself with
* it. Use quality parts - and pay attention to details with all
* aspects of the install. Location, mounting, power, and routing
* all can have an impact on your system.
*
* If you have to buy coax, buy the stuff with the pre-molded and
* sealed ends, and use mounts that can take those types of
* connectors and are able to be sealed from the environment.
*
* If you want a DIY custom install, it's your responsibility
* now. If you don't pay attention to sealing, bolts, insulation
* and preventing water entry - bash yourself on this.
*
* Location? If it looks cool there, are you really sure it will
* work there? Keep your options open. You're leaving yourself
* open for problems if you don't take the time to locate the
* antenna in a spot it needs in order to work properly.
*
* Hint: No antenna will work like it claims if you don't install
* it like the manufacturer recommends. They can't guarantee
* their antenna will perform like they claim unless you put
* it together properly - and that means location too.
****

Best yet make sure the manufacturer claims for mounting are practical. Remember that is a tatic that can divest them of any product responsibility. They can setup an antenna system on specific vehicle and get their claimed performance. IF you do not follow exactly as listed, then you deviated from the design criteria. They are no longer responsible for any performance degradation at the point.

james

****
*Questions? My box is open.
*
*Thank you.
*:+> Andy <+:
*codyspc@iserv.net

2625. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 05:17:40 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a3cfaa7.3513756@news.gate.net>
Source record: 64008

On Fri, 15 Dec 2000 16:38:04 -0500, Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
wrote:

*In article <3a3b71fa.7968735@news.gate.net>, jdaggett@gate.net wrote:
*
*> He is hung up on thae term conjugate impedance. He is love with that
*> term. Don't know what it means but is sounds good. One day he might
*> just understand how a Smith Chart actually works. Nice handy tool.
*>
*> james
*
*I'll say. He sees a "conjugate impedance" looking into the coax.
*He has some sort of "conjugate equation" (I don't know what that
*was referring to).
*He gets a "conjugate matching scheme" with 18 feet of coax.
*
*Smith Charts are handy; a nice RF design software package is nicer.
*;-)
****

Snmith charts are nice for very quick and general ball park answers. If it can't
be done on a Smith Chart, mostly it can't be done in the RF world.

james

2626. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 05:18:27 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a3dfb12.3620946@news.gate.net>
Source record: 64007

On Fri, 15 Dec 2000 18:45:11 -0500, Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net> wrote:

*Greetings!
*
*<bozo_bin>
* **************************

yep I am right!

james

****
*On Fri, 15 Dec 2000 22:19:31 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
*the Mouse, jdaggett@gate.net dissertated the following:
*
*:+> On Fri, 15 Dec 2000 11:48:38 -0500, Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net> wrote:
*:+>
*:+> *Greetings!
*:+> *
*:+> *Aw man...[punch]
*:+> *
*:+> *You mean to tell me [Whap!]
*:+> *
*:+> *<sock>
*:+> *
*:+> *That this whole barroom brawl [Smash]
*:+> *
*:+> **crunch*
*:+> *
*:+> *Was a troll? <whack!>
*:+> *
*:+> *[Hand me that chair will you?]
*:+> *
*:+> ************************************
*:+>
*:+> Is this the writings of an intelligent being?
*:+>
*:+>
*:+> james
*:+>
*:+>
*:+> **
*:+> *
*:+> *On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 17:17:06 -0500, while the modem was ice fishing
*:+> *with the Mouse, tnom@mucks.net dissertated the following:

*:+> *
*:+> *:+> Don't worry about solving the coax question.
*:+> *:+>
*:+> *:+> When I posted early in this thread that there
*:+> *:+> may be a usefulness to a18 foot section my
*:+> *:+> purpose was two fold. I knew that it would
*:+> *:+> stir things up. The two reasons were:
*:+> *:+>
*:+> *:+> 1. To tell it like it is
*:+> *:+>
*:+> *:+> 2. Most importantly get the newsgroup off the
*:+> *:+> "Illegal CB" or "Bash the CB"ers" threads and
*:+> *:+> back to what it is suppose to be.
*:+> *:+>
*:+> *:+> With almost 50 follow ups I think number two is
*:+> *:+> working. Now for number one??????
*:+> *:+>
*:+> *:+>
*:+> *:+>
*:+> *
*:+> *
*:+> *Regards!
*:+> *.:+> Andy <+: | BEEP!
*:+> *... You really are a CB'er when... | _____ BEEP!
*:+> *... your CB antenna is longer than |/ ___
*:+> *... your SUV! |_,-.__,-._|
*:+> *... (o) (o)
*:+> * http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

2627. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 05:21:09 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a3efb46.3672597@news.gate.net>
Source record: 64011

On Fri, 15 Dec 2000 18:43:53 -0500, Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net> wrote:

*Greetings!
*
*You're funny!
*
*LOL!
****

don't worry I just happen to read this group again because I needed a good laugh
and had time to waste on mindless nothings.

Season's Greeetings

james

****
*On Fri, 15 Dec 2000 22:18:35 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
*the Mouse, jdaggett@gate.net dissertated the following:
*:+> **********************
*:+>
*:+> What shouting. I thought I gave a very well thought out dissertatiion on
what
*:+> happens when coax length is adjusted. I do not claim to be an expert, but I
do
*:+> know something about the subject.
*
*Regards!
*:+> Andy <+: (```~~~~''')
*. ^^ ||||| ^^
*... You know you're a CB'er when... \\ //
*... you spend more time fixing .-----------.
*... your radio... | [ ] o o o |\
*... than talking on it! '__________|\|
*. `------------'
*
* http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

2628. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 05:29:24 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91eujh$9et$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64012

In article <EHC_5.341$TC3.164945@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
"BigPoppaPump" <freak'Onature@aola.com> wrote:
>
> "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:oid_5.131382
> > No, what is funny is the fact that DaveMade pushes amps, and they
have
> > people that do, but seemingly forget about the guy who only buys
one or
> > two amps, and small ones.
> ==========
> How could you say this when has dave ever pushed a amp on anyone he
doesnt
> even sell them he doesnt care about the business If you knew anything
you
> would know mr gunn is who owns and runs www.davemade.com he has the dm
> business at this point in time not dave, and if you really knew
anything
> this is one of the main reasons x force started 3 years ago because
dave had
> no desire to build amps so 123 split and you should know the rest
>
> >
> > Seems, if ya want to do business with the guys in DaveMade County
USA,
> > you have to have deep pockets.
> ==========
> Untrue again , prove your lie many out there have bought many small
amps
> from them
>
> >
> > And that, my friend, is bullshit.
> ==========
> and so are you go back under your rock
> >
> > If they want to make people happy, provide customer service.>
> ==========
> they must provide just enough customer service since i hear hundereds
and
> hundreds of them across the band
> >
>
> > DaveMade and their cronies have been pushing that line of shit for

so long
> > that it gets worse and worse every time I read it.
> > Who gives a shit who builds what.
> >
> > Bottom line. For 3 years, I have heard the common every day driver
asking
> > questions and getting nothing in response... Especially since
Dennis left
> > the news-
> > group (not Number 12, but Dennis at DM).
> ==
> davemades are not for the average guy they are not for ssber they are
not
> for truckers, they are not for the rookie operator, can they use
them there
> yes, but his business was all about competition thats his market you
guys
> have made him out to be a supplier of everyone have any of you ever
heard
> dave himself say anything post anything No i dont think he would
> what is wrong with that
>
> >
> > XForce always seems helpful.
> ================================
> yes they need to be they are /were a fledgling company getting there
balls
> broke about being a dave copy so they had to do something davemade
didnt
> which was talk to every tom dick and harry who wanted or was just
curious
> about an amp
>
> >
> > That means a LOT to the guys who are spending their hard earned
cash on a
> > small
> > 2+4 or 6 pill.
> ================================
> they are davemades major sellers the 3 pills and 5 pills and if they
plunnk
> down there hard earned cash it will be cheaper than a comparable amp
on the
> market
>
> >
> > It comes from Dennis and the rest, who are busy building amps and
NOT
> > providing answers to the questions.
> ==

> perhaps they have reasons for not answering every question posed to
them
> maybe some are a waste of time where as a davemade is not for them,
maybe
> they only want to deal with people they know or feel comfortable with,
> remeber amplifiers are still illegal. you can run your business how
you
> want and i guess they do the same.
>
> >
> > Gee, X-Force has a good name, and a reputation for customer service.
> > So, I guess, a manufacturer can provide both, huh?
> ==========
> the good name came from daves design they provided the rest.
>
> >
>
> > Thats great.
> > I guess these guys where born knowing all this information, too,
huh?
> ------------==========
> no they learn it just like you do or they try it themselves .
>
> >
> > Some people are so fucking narrow minded it makes me sick.
> ==========
> take a good look in the mirror
>
> >
> >
> > I happen to know different.
> >
> > My family has been involved in racing vehicles for over 40 years.
> ==========
> I am impressed
> >
> > Most all engine builders spend the time to inform their customers,
that
> way
> > people don't get pissed because they came in and ordered a motor
with
> brand
> > X
> > pistons, brand Y cam with Z duration at A lift, and this carb or
that fuel
> > injection
> > system.
> ==========
> I think the point is again the average guy doesnt go to s speed shop
for an

> engine for his work car... uhh get the connection why should a
trucker or a
> guy who wants a ssb amp go to a guy who builds competition amps
> >
> > Its called CUSTOMER SERVICE.
> >
> >
> > Your now going to equate DaveMade to Nascar? Highly doubtful.
> ==
> You are a moron he was saying why would a average person go to a
> Nascar/Busch builder for a motor they are race car motors just as his
amps
> are race amps ... You are really thicked headed
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Blah Blah Blah.
> >
> > Bow down to this... Customer service always wins in the end.
> >
> > People get tired of the "order, and get forgotten about" syndrome
that
> > DaveMade
> > seems to have gotten as of the past couple years.
> ==
> again mr gunn in North Carolina is the person selling Davemade
>
> >
> > I am not downing their product, just the way they interface. They
grab
> > people,
> > suck them into "their way of doing business", and make the guy with
a
> > question
> > feel like a total asshole for asking it.
> >
> >
> > Give me a break. The world doesn't operate that way.
> ==
> How would you know you walk around with your eyes shut
>
>

hey pump, you a bad boy or what????????????, cd is out.................

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2629. Re: BlackStone Amps Return January 2001!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 05:32:16 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91eup0$9h9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64013

In article <29288-3A3AD38F-28@storefull-235.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
CreoleCat@webtv.net (West Side) wrote:
> We will be informing all on the newsgroups that the wold famous
> BlackStone amp will be back in production this January.I hope all you
> have not forgotten me Alvin Stone in the Bayou country BlackStone
> assistant.I have 2 amps already built a Blackstone 2 pill and 8 pill
> will do 3500 watts peak on bulldog 10k meter 20vdc.
>
>

who cares,.....cd is out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2630. Re: Wheres Hillbiilly Dave?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 05:35:26 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91euuv$9ji$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64014

In article <91dvrr$7d0$1@iac5.navix.net>,
"Dave Clemence" <*******davesradio@alltel.net**********> wrote:
> oh I'm lurking back in the shadows
>
> dave
>
> "Ken" <klajr2@swbell.net> wrote in message
> news:x3g_5.1050$1w4.106870@nnrp3.sbc.net...
> >
> >
> >
>
>

hillybilly had been busy. he has been busy wiring the clinton library
for security over at the little rock bus station,.....cd is
out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2631. Re: Is These Prices Too High on a Davemade or what ? Look>>> Prices Below.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 05:36:37 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91ev16$9jo$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64016

In article <18076-3A3721F8-15@storefull-232.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
CreoleCat@webtv.net (West Side) wrote:
> Davemade M200 3 pill $245=Davemade M400 5 pill $335=Davemade M400se
2x4
> pill $365,Davemade 800 8 pill $635,Davemade m800 2x8 $725,Davemade 16
> pill $1,3000.00
> I am comparing the prices to the builders here in california.What you
> think does it really matter about the price or is Davemade the best
amp
> you can buy for watts and quality for such a high price.
>
>

the freeking prices are way out of line , comode, comode, smell the
coffee,.....cd is out........

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2632. Re: Is These Prices Too High on a Davemade or what ? Look>>> Prices Below.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 05:36:38 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91ev18$9jp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64015

In article <18076-3A3721F8-15@storefull-232.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
CreoleCat@webtv.net (West Side) wrote:
> Davemade M200 3 pill $245=Davemade M400 5 pill $335=Davemade M400se
2x4
> pill $365,Davemade 800 8 pill $635,Davemade m800 2x8 $725,Davemade 16
> pill $1,3000.00
> I am comparing the prices to the builders here in california.What you
> think does it really matter about the price or is Davemade the best
amp
> you can buy for watts and quality for such a high price.
>
>

the freeking prices are way out of line , comode, comode, smell the
coffee,.....cd is out........

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2633. Re: PRESIDENT G W BUSH,.....FINALLY.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 06:13:09 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91f15j$b4p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64018

In article <3a3fa885.21934673@news.gate.net>,
jdaggett@gate.net wrote:
> On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 15:46:50 GMT, curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> *thanks for the memories comrade algoreski. oh yes, sorry but no
pardon
> *for clintonoski or the clintonistas either. you are going to jail
boy.
> *and another thing. we don't want to hear about the sand pile again
for
> *a very long time, please. oh yes, i bet prime minister had to put in
a
> *call to algoreski requesting his million dollars back, because now he
> *is back in deep doo doo especially after dubya cleans out the vermin
in
> *the justice department,......cd is out.........
> *
> *
> *Sent via Deja.com
> *http://www.deja.com/
> ********************************
>
> So instead of sex in the oral office, it's snorting in the oval
office.
>
> james
>
>

my friend you have been listening to the wrong sources. did you do
drugs when you were young and stupid? well i did. and i can tell you i
wish i hadn't. i am sure pres elect george wishes he hadn't also. but
what needs to be gleened from all this is this. what has been learned.
had gw learned from his mistakes. i think so. his life has not been
perfect. and neither had mine. clintonoski has never released his
medical records for public viewing. i believe we may never know what
all went on in the white house while he was pres. all i can say is that
i have read things and heard things. oh well he will be gone soon. and
the fumigation will begin soon. no more homos, no more smoking and
drugging, no more blow jobs from interns. oh can you smell what the
head is cookin? this is our house, you know. our house not his. our
house and for him to do these things there, well all i can say that it
is a disgrace, a disgrace. when a man such as the pres of the usa, can
without hesitation, cheat on his wife in the white house, then all i

can say, he is not trustworthy, and not wothy of the office he holds. he is after all cheating on us, isn't he???? this office, pres of the usa, is a special one. the most powerful man in the world. is it not one to be taken lightly. no sir, i am not a republican. but all i can say is that at this time they tend to lean in more of a direction that i want to take. you say you are a independent. maybe i am too. but i know what i want and am not ashamed to say it. these are perilous times we live in. we do not need a man holding the president's chair that has the judgement of a teenager. clintonoski has a terrible character flaw, actually he should never have been elected pres. but whatever. a good economy made the masses more forgiving. what can be said about americans. during the american revolutionary war only thirty percent of the people were in agreement to rebell against the british government. thirty percent. what price citizenship???????????? the dems tried to steal the last election, do you know that???? and you know what???? they failed at their own game. this was all planned . of course you know this????? you know the last time we had a similar situation was in 1960 with the nixon/kennedy election. kennedy stole the election. only
because nixon , for the good of the country , was willing to concede. so what happened? well how about vietnam. who knows what would have happened if kennedy had not been allowed to be pres. it was after all mcnamara's war. so for the loss of 58, 000 men, tell me, is it important who we elect as president???????? we must fight and now. no more giving in. no more 'getting along'. i understand 'them'. oh yes, i do. we must destroy them before they do the same to us. think about it jagget,.....cd is out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2634. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 06:15:09 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a3b05ff.434401@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64017

On Fri, 15 Dec 2000 16:16:11 -0500, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

<snip>
>The theory says this is right and the theory is based on countless
>observations and tests performed over many decades. If you can
>correctly show otherwise, you will be a giant in the field and
>rewrite all known theory.

That explains everything. Theory, not reality.

>This nonsense that a coax radiates with the presence of standing
>waves is way over the top. I'd like to know exactly what the book
>says to suggest this. If it indeed says this, I'll take it up with
>the author. I won't make you defend his work.

Just like a theorist. If their theory says the sky is yellow they will
argue such until they are blue in the face, even if you make them look
up in the sky and see it for themselves.

I suspect that your "theories" came from the web, because I haven't
seen any credible sources of information that support your claims, and
you seem unwilling to provide them as I have.

Sparky, FLRC

2635. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 06:27:52 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a3b0a27.1498354@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64019

On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 17:17:06 -0500, tnom@mucks.net wrote:

>Don't worry about solving the coax question.
>
>When I posted early in this thread that there
>may be a usefulness to a18 foot section my
>purpose was two fold. I knew that it would
>stir things up. The two reasons were:
>
>1. To tell it like it is
>
>2. Most importantly get the newsgroup off the
> "Illegal CB" or "Bash the CB"ers" threads and
> back to what it is suppose to be.
>
>With almost 50 follow ups I think number two is
>working. Now for number one??????

Easy. A standing wave creates a fixed point of high-voltage on the line. It is possible that the voltage at this point will exceed the maximum voltage rating of the radio's final. So the line is cut to a length where the radio is at the lowest voltage point on the standing wave, which is easy to do when the band is as narrow as the 11m band.

Sparky, FLRC

2636. Re: Wanted: Old Ford type CB with controls on mike

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 06:50:28 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a3b0f5b.2830395@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64020

On Fri, 15 Dec 2000 23:47:22 -0500, "J&A Braun"
<2brauns@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>I've got a friend who has been restoring his old 78 Bronco and would like to
>find the CB handset for the truck. It still has the amp/control box and
>plug in the dash, but somebody has taken his handset.
>
>Do any of you have any connections or know of a place that might have this
>radio handset? I believe it should have all the channel changers, readout,
>volume control and the mic itself on the unit. If someone has a complete
>Ford unit that is this style then I'm sure he may be interested in that
>also.
>
>Thanks,
>Jeremy

I have a handset that uses a 14-pin round connector, it goes to an
in-dash AM/FM/CB/8-track, but I think it is for a Nissan. I might be
similar.

Sparky, FLRC

2637. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 06:55:21 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <EQM_5.10593$sw.584225@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 64115

"CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net> wrote in message
news:91e1dc$9o5@dispatch.concentric.net...
> The FCC may have the right to inspect your equipment but that does not
give them
> the right to enter your home without your permission or a warrant.

...This is true... you have to give YOUR permission for them to enter and inspect your station. Refusal to allow inspection though (local LEO or no, search warrant or not), will in most cases earn you a fine or the threat of one if they beleive you are the source of the problem signal. ....Kinda' like refusing the breathalizer test in a DWI... you're presumed guilty (..and just like a DWI case where the driver refuses the test, the FCC will have their "observations", recordings, RF fingerprinting, etc...) If you decide to fight them in court over the fine (..and they have DEEP pockets for attorneys), they'll show up with plenty of 'evidence' whether they ever get into your station or not.

> they will usually show up with the local authority the second
> time with a warrant in hand. This is one of the reasons enforcement is so
> time consuming, costly and difficult.

Actually, the FCC needs no search warrant, and no judge's authority. The local LEO is usually there in case there's trouble.

> They will have to prove just cause to the judge in
> order to obtain the search warrant. The fact that you have an antenna on
> your roof should not suffice.

As noted above... they'll already have the 'evidence' before they come knocking. (or asking for a warrant)

>This Bill is a feel good bill and it will
> have little impact unless the Feds can tie some substantial dollars to it
> that will entice the locals to enforce it.

Here I agree totally.... another case of a law passed leaves it up to local authorities to pass laws that mirror the FCC's (says as much in the bill... you can't enact or enforce stricter rules than what they already have) and then leaves the responsibility for financial support of enforcement, prosecution, and possible liabilities squarely in the local authority's lap! Unless the FCC makes it ABUNDANTLY profitable to do THEIR job FOR them, I can't imagine very many Local Authorities getting involved.

2638. ICECOLD Little Johnny Joke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 07:32:48 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001216023248.25185.00000539@nso-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 64021

You must be surprised that I'm writing you today, the 26th of
> December. Well I would very much like to clear up some things that
> have occurred since the beginning of the month! While filled with
> illusion I wrote you a letter, I asked for a bicycle, an electric
> train set, a pair of roller blades, and a football uniform. I had
>the
> best grades in the whole school. I'm not going to lie to you Santa,
> there was no one in my entire neighborhood that behaved better than
> me. With my parents, my brothers, my friends and with my neighbors,
>I
> would go on errands and even help the elderly cross the street.
>There
> was virtually nothing I wouldn't do for humanity!
>
> WHAT BALLS YOU HAVE LEAVING ME A FUCKING YO-YO, A STUPID ASS WHISTLE,
> AND A PAIR OF SOCKS!!! WHAT THE FUCK WERE YOU THINKING, YOU FAT SON
> OF A BITCH, THAT YOU'VE TAKEN ME FOR A SUCKER THE WHOLE FUCKING YEAR,
> TO COME OUT WITH SOME SHIT LIKE THIS UNDER THE DAMN TREE. AS IF YOU
> HADN'T FUCK ME ENOUGH. YOU GAVE THAT LITTLE SHIT HEAD ACROSS THE
> STREET SO MANY FUCKING TOYS, THAT HE CAN'T EVEN WALK INTO HIS DAMN
> HOUSE!!! DON'T LET ME SEE YOU TRYING TO FIT YOUR BIG FAT STANK ASS
> DOWN MY CHIMNEY NEXT YEAR!!! I'LL FUCK YOU UP!!!
> I'LL THROW ROCKS AT THOSE STUPID ASS REINDEERS OF YOURS, AND SCARE
> THEM
> THE FUCK AWAY SO YOU'LL HAVE TO WALK YOUR BIG FAT ASS EVERYWHERE,
>JUST
> LIKE I HAVE TO DO SINCE YOU DIDN'T GET ME THAT FUCKING BIKE, YOU PUNK
> BITCH!!! YOU KNOW WHAT SANTA . . . FUCK YOU!!! NEXT YEAR YOU'LL
> FIND OUT HOW BAD I CAN REALLY BE . . . YOU'VE BEEN STEPPING ON
>THIS
> MOTHERFUCKER FAR TOO LONG!!! SO WATCH YOUR BACK NEXT YEAR, YOU FAT
> ASS PUNK BASTARD!!!
>
> Sincerely Fucking Yours,
>
> Little Johnny!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

2639. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 07:35:22 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <91f5vq$m06$0@208.207.71.137>
Source record: 64022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> JR, I would place more credibility in Phil Kane's comment since he's
> a former FCC attorney.
>

Actually he's a ~30 year employee and former Engineer in Charge of the
San Francisco FCC District, an EE, AND a communications law attorney.

> In article <3A36D318.E1AAE001@some.net>, JR <jr@some.net> wrote:
> >The legislation was passed because people are tired of interference caused by
> >the illegal operations of cb operators running illegal power, illegal radios
> >driving crappy designed amps splattering all over the radio spectrum. The cb
> >community brought this legislation upon themselves by refusing to operate
> >legally.
>
> >Phil Kane wrote:
> >> The legislation was passed because the local authorities in
> >> Beloit, Wisconsin did not like the FCC telling the consumer the
> >> truth: that it was their cheap Home Electronic/Entertainment
> >> Equipment that needed to be upgraded and protected fromoverload
> >> and audio rectification.

2640. Re: N8 WILL NEVER BE 10-8 BECAUSE.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 07:45:09 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001216024509.25185.00000541@nso-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 64023

In article <20001214202511.02504.00004385@ng-fb1.aol.com>, swells8069@aol.com (Swells8069) writes:

>N8 is an ass on the other NGs too.Just ignore him .Pretend he is a hemroid he
>will go away.Dont judge all hams by one ass.
>KG4JNL
>Steve

I heard that!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

2641. Re: DaveMade rumor?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 07:45:09 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001216024509.25185.00000542@nso-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 64024

In article <20001214200724.04165.00001953@ng-mh1.aol.com>, maul13@aol.com (Maul13) writes:

>Finaly someone out theer who really knows what is going on... Thanks ice cold
>for clearing that up for all the MO RONS out there... See ya # 13 in V.A
>

And you know that! No problem buddy!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

2642. Re: Aaron Voobner and n8wmm are 1 in the same.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 07:45:09 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001216024509.25185.00000540@nso-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 64025

In article <UbC_5.304$TC3.151770@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>, "BigPoppaPump"
<freak'Onature@aola.com> writes:

>I think they are one in the same as well they both hail from aol is correct
>so why would you post to ng thu deja news when you could use your aol
>account. because when you use aol NG reader it comes up with your screen
>name

Exactly! I have been here for years and I have always used the AOL newsreader.
People go through deja via aol when there is something to hide!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

2643. WTB/DEMCO DEMON 500 PLUS AMP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 07:59:45 UTC
From: win7@webworkz.com
Message-ID: <3a3b22bb$1_4@vienna7.his.com>
Source record: 64026

I NEED A FACEPLATE AND THE METER FOR ONE OF THESE AMPS.
WHAT DO YOU HAVE ?

2644. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 08:15:53 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3A3B24B4.405F9A05@sccoast.net>
Source record: 64027
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Aaron Jones wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:
> >Easier to ridicule me [by calling me a blockhead]
> >instead of offering ligitimate debate?
>
> The pot calling the kettle...
>
> Quote from Dwight Stewart to Tim on 4-26-00:
> "God damn you, you stupid son-of-a-bitch, asshole. Leave me the fuck
> alone. I've already told you that I'm a conservative republican, not
> a communist. How dare you, knowing that I've worked in the recent
> past (and possibly again in the future) as a DoD employee, even ask me
> if I might be a communist working secretly towards some unstated
> agenda.... What the hell is wrong with you? Are you on drugs?.... Are
> you high right now?...."

Post the message I replied to, Aaron. In fact, post the many messages posted by Tim in the weeks leading up to that. The response above was the result of a several week harrassment campaign in several newsgroups and by email.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

2645. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 08:46:07 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3A3B2BC4.8ABF5DFD@sccoast.net>
Source record: 64028
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

RadioFree wrote:
>
> "Dwight Stewart" wrote...
>
> > Again, you are a liar. I will repeat what
> > I said before - while I have certainly never
> > posted anything about HR-2346 in those newsgroups,
>
> Your name and HR 2346 in the searchbox at deja.com
> will show the transport threads you claimed NEVER
> to have posted to. That was your challenge and you
> have been busted. You are positively *pathetic* in
> your attempt to blame me for your mistake.

Look, I'm not going to sit here and argue with you over this. Your misrepresentation of the facts gave the impression that I was a troublemaker going around posting in "in every group that could possibly be of interest to CBers," in some vague effort to harass CB'ers, which is simply not true. Instead, I have responded to existing threads posted in the ham radio newsgroups (I'm not responsible for where the thread was crossposted to before I joined the conversation).

It's like this discussion. Contrary to your claim that I'm "announcing the
passage of HR2346 with a big grin and wielding it like a club in every group that could possibly be of interest to CBers," I simply responded to a massage I read in rec.radio.amateur.misc. I didn't crosspost this discussion to rec.radio.cb (someone did that long before I joined this thread), and I'm not "announcing" anything, nor am I "wielding it like a club in every group that could be of interest to CBers." To suggest otherwise is a total misrepresentation of the facts (which is exactly what you did).

And again, I go back to what you originally said. You claimed that I was "announcing the passage of HR2346 with a big grin and wielding it like a club in every group that could possibly be of interest to CBers." Again, like I said before, that is a lie. I have only posted a handful of message since the passage of of HR-2346 (counting this discussion), and none of them announced "the passage of HR2346 with a big grin."

And, with that, I have nothing more to say about this. I didn't join this discussion so that I could get into an argument with you about my past posts. Instead, I offered detailed opinion about the enforcement efforts of the FCC (or lack thereof), and the issues surrounding

HR-2346. If you can't offer any ligitimate dispute about what I posted, then don't waste my time with trivia like this.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

2646. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 11:12:38 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A3B4E26.F5900FE9@qnet.com>
Source record: 64037

Hello C.L.P.: Great posts, this is what this ng is was ment for,
thrashing around these technical issues. And getting some place, in
other words learning something.

The comment/subject on "Common Mode Currents" needs to be discussed and
thrashed around here on the ng.

What are common mode currents, in a antenna installation?

How can they be detected?

How can they be removed?

I would love to hear this subject discussed. Maybe a new thread ah?
A lot of the guys on the ng group have a lot of good points, views,
comments, and replies. This is great!

Jay in the Great Mojave Desert ......readen the mail

Coax Length Police wrote:

> Common mode currents, on the other hand, travel only on the outside
> of the shield. There is no counteracting current to be found. So they
> do indeed radiate.

2647. Re: FCC and police have an amp!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 11:15:12 UTC
From: dethmetalx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001216061512.08056.00007565@ng-mi1.aol.com>
Source record: 64029

the police would not use them for controlled buys jackass.....nothing illegal about purchasing one, stupid.
i would assume they would turn them over to the fcc to be destroyed/melted, if they didnt keep them for their own personal use

2648. Re: cross post to the Ham NG's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 12:46:37 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A3B642D.B280F923@qnet.com>
Source record: 64106

Hello City Slicker: Yep me to.

But your always going to have these type of goof balls complaining and whining about every little thing. All groups have them.

Its been said a lot here on the ng, and I think it's quit true. That old Mark here was beat up a lot in school.

Jay in the Mojave

CitySlicker wrote:
>
> Your right Jay but it seems like we have to threaten to trash their NG every
> couple of week because they can't control their own. I just wish that all
> the fish in the CB ng would ignore their BS completely and then maybe they
> would stay in the Nintendo groups where they belong.
>
> "Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
> news:3A38A91C.5A290A0F@qnet.com...
> > Hello Wild Bill: I am all for going toe to toe and nose to nose, but
> > the guys on the ham newsgroups don't like the flamers either.
> >
> > Just look at rec.radio.amateur.misc a few days maybe a week back, one of
> > the bozo's said "come on over to rec.radio.cb and help out. The lions
> > majority said he was a ass hole, and who cares.
> >
> > You handle these Mommas boys, bet wetting, butterfly chasing, tree
> > hugging people like anyone else whos wants attention, you just don't
> > give to them.
> >
> > Beside most all the hams where CB'ers at one time or another, any way.
> > So cross posting to the ham ng's won't make any money IMHO.
> >
> > Jay in the Great Mojave Desert.
> >
> > WildBill wrote:
> > >
> > > thats right Doc a dose of the same medicine
> > > "'Doc" <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message news:3A36AC85.DFA62971@oio.net...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > WildBill wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > I say fukem all lets cross post to all the ham NG's any bullshit you
> can

> > > > > think of post it there lets start a little game of suck my ass
> > > >
> > > > Nothing like causing more problems...
> > > > 'Doc

2649. Re: FCC and police have an amp!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 12:48:08 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <vtJ_5.34$V4.75040@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 64030

"Hippie Freak" <oneqck76ta@aol.com.com.com> wrote in message
news:20001215184438.22468.00004983@ng-bk1.aol.com...
> Just a question but what the hell would the police or FCC do with my
amps when
> they find me and come them. They going to use them them selves or take a
few
> and make one super huge amp? Imagine a local officer using his new 150
pill amp
> on the police freq! Doesn't look like anyone will get over him! What do
they do
> with them once they take them? I know they put them back out on the
streets so
> others can get busted! Just a question for thought.
>
> Later

More than likely, they will be taken to the fixer of their radios and he will be asked if they have any value. When the fixer finishes laughing and regains his breath, the pills will be removed for sale to someone who just cooked his finals and the rest consigned to duty as doorstops, anchors, and landfill. Help me spell junque.

Things have quieted down on Public Service freqs but there are power wars breaking out now and again as tropo causes the local Roscoe P Coltrain to escalate. I have personally seen 250 watt police rigs mounted on places where the entire jurisdiction could be seen line-of-sight and 5 or ten quite sufficient.

As a practical matter, the local gendarmes are not likely to use gear not type-accepted for no better reason than liability if something stupid should happen.

Given that the politzei are at various places on VHF/UHF/SHF spectrum these days, it is doubtful that the CB amp would work at all.

Dick NBK

2650. Re: WANTED: CB TECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 12:51:45 UTC
From: Hillbilly Dave <******davesradio@alltel.net******>
Message-ID: <91focf$1j6$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 64031

how much ya gonna pay???

dave

FMRNYCER <fmrnycer@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001215081920.02456.00004004@ng-fb1.aol.com...
> WEST PALM BEACH, FLORIDA, PER DIEM

2651. Dawa mc330 mic compressor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 13:04:36 UTC
From: Signal <signal@primus.com.au>
Message-ID: <3a3b69a0@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 64032

hello all has anyone got any info on a Dawa mc 330 mic compressor having lots of trouble wiring it to my radio

signal

2652. Re: One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 13:16:19 UTC
From: Karl <karljb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3A3B6AC7.6C4A189B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 64033

i am also a ham and agree with what Donald says. Doug if you have so much time on your hands. get involved in some community programs where you can help.
karl:k7arl

Donnie wrote:

> Doug,
> From one ham to another, GIVE IT A REST!
> If you want to set an example, BE THE EXAMPLE.
> your postings are redundant and childish.
> Everybody is aware of the enforcement issue and what is illegal
> or not, all of your postings will do nothing to help you it just
> makes you look stupid. so GIVE IT UP! the info on QRZ I see you are a
> Technician if this is so,
> shouldnt you be trying to learn the code or seeking further
> advancement in ham radio or are you going hang out here on this NG to
> continue this childish behavior? the reason I posted my question to
> you here is
> (1) The cross posting to other groups as to your behavior.
> (2) Your actions and how they represent Ham Radio.
> (3) Dont see many other hams here acting like you do if so they to
> should follow what I said in the second line of this post.
> If you disagree with me do such through email.
> 73 de op N4JZH (General Class)
> Donald F. Smith Jr.
> Hanahan,S.C. 29406
> n4jzh@knology.net

--

(* ~ *) Karl

2653. Re: ICECOLD Little Johnny Joke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 13:21:53 UTC
From: poisenivy <franc1@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <%%J_5.509$YI.28416@news1.atl>
Source record: 64034

..."from the diary of Little Johnny(AKA Icecold) age 8 Teehee
"COLD as ICE" <icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD> wrote in message
news:20001216023248.25185.00000539@nso-cv.aol.com...
> You must be surprised that I'm writing you today, the 26th of
> > December. Well I would very much like to clear up some things that
> > have occurred since the beginning of the month! While filled with
> > illusion I wrote you a letter, I asked for a bicycle, an electric
> > train set, a pair of roller blades, and a football uniform. I had
> >the
> > best grades in the whole school. I'm not going to lie to you Santa,
> > there was no one in my entire neighborhood that behaved better than
> > me. With my parents, my brothers, my friends and with my neighbors,
> >I
> > would go on errands and even help the elderly cross the street.
> >There
> > was virtually nothing I wouldn't do for humanity!
> >
> > WHAT BALLS YOU HAVE LEAVING ME A FUCKING YO-YO, A STUPID ASS WHISTLE,
> > AND A PAIR OF SOCKS!!! WHAT THE FUCK WERE YOU THINKING, YOU FAT SON
> > OF A BITCH, THAT YOU'VE TAKEN ME FOR A SUCKER THE WHOLE FUCKING YEAR,
> > TO COME OUT WITH SOME SHIT LIKE THIS UNDER THE DAMN TREE. AS IF YOU
> > HADN'T FUCK ME ENOUGH. YOU GAVE THAT LITTLE SHIT HEAD ACROSS THE
> > STREET SO MANY FUCKING TOYS, THAT HE CAN'T EVEN WALK INTO HIS DAMN
> > HOUSE!!! DON'T LET ME SEE YOU TRYING TO FIT YOUR BIG FAT STANK ASS
> > DOWN MY CHIMNEY NEXT YEAR!!! I'LL FUCK YOU UP!!!
> > I'LL THROW ROCKS AT THOSE STUPID ASS REINDEERS OF YOURS, AND SCARE
> > THEM
> > THE FUCK AWAY SO YOU'LL HAVE TO WALK YOUR BIG FAT ASS EVERYWHERE,
> >JUST
> > LIKE I HAVE TO DO SINCE YOU DIDN'T GET ME THAT FUCKING BIKE, YOU PUNK
> > BITCH!!! YOU KNOW WHAT SANTA . . . FUCK YOU!!! NEXT YEAR YOU'LL
> > FIND OUT HOW BAD I CAN REALLY BE . . . YOU'VE BEEN STEPPING ON
> >THIS
> > MOTHERFUCKER FAR TOO LONG!!! SO WATCH YOUR BACK NEXT YEAR, YOU FAT
> > ASS PUNK BASTARD!!!
> >
> > Sincerely Fucking Yours,
> >
> > Little Johnny!
>
> COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It
don't
> mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!

2654. Re: BlackStone Amps Return January 2001!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 13:39:01 UTC
From: Train <b.h@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <3a3b708a@excalibur.gbmtech.net>
Source record: 64035

You have about as much chance as Joker would if he wanted to start making
Cookie amps on his own.
Train
tra1n@aol.com
train@cbmods.com
www.cbmods.com

"West Side" <CreoleCat@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:29288-3A3AD38F-28@storefull-235.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> We will be informing all on the newsgroups that the wold famous
> BlackStone amp will be back in production this January.I hope all you
> have not forgotten me Alvin Stone in the Bayou country BlackStone
> assistant.I have 2 amps already built a Blackstone 2 pill and 8 pill
> will do 3500 watts peak on bulldog 10k meter 20vdc.
>

2655. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 14:09:05 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3A3B7758.66D6F6ED@sccoast.net>
Source record: 64036
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Kim-W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dwight Stewart" wrote...
> >
> > I cannot be held responsible for where
> > messages I've replied to were crossposted.
>
> You cannot? When I first hit "Reply to Group" the groups
> rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.amateur.policy, and
> rec.radio.cb (in that order) were in the "Newsgroups"
> address line. I was responsible for removing them,
> since I am posting *only* to the newsgroup from which I
> received this message, rec.radio.amateur.policy.

Kim, we've already discussed this. If you delete newsgroups in a crossposted thread, many of the participants in the discussion will not see your comments, since many are not in the newsgroups you don't delete.

In fact, in an existing thread with an ongoing discussion, it seems a little rude to join in that discussion and then exclude existing participants by deleting their newsgroups.

Of course, if there are a lot of newsgroups listed (especially newsgroups not at all related to the discussion, and where there are no existing participants), then that might warrant some newsgroup editing.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

2656. FS: DX-394

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 14:19:13 UTC
From: north@rn.com
Message-ID: <3a3b79e8.63493278@news.peterboro.net>
Source record: 64038

```
For sale dx-394 general communications reciever
150khz to 30mhz
am,usb,lsb digital green display
160 channel memory
excellent condition must sell
$100.00 Firm
```

2657. Re: A Thank you to the CB ng

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 14:22:03 UTC
From: Mobile 77 <dominator@titlex.net>
Message-ID: <p_K_5.5235$925.268789@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 64039

"> had served together in Vietnam. We had. We are corresponding and I
would
> ask everyone to remember that the whole world can see our posts. Go ahead
> and be an idiot, but it might be benificial if you are a reasonable
> individual and don't make flames.

I agree with you James but keep in mind that some US CBer's dont have the exclusive patent on being idiots, its a worldwide phenomenon. Cant you picture some guy over in Arabia trying to strap his Xforce Amp to his camels rump?

2658. Texas Ranger 966

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 14:22:27 UTC
From: "Robert L. Brewster" <rbrewste@sstelco.com>
Message-ID: <3a3b79b1_1@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 64108

Does anyone have one of these and if so what do you think of it?

2659. Re: 11 METRE PACKET RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 14:35:06 UTC
From: Bobbo <racer@ide.com>
Message-ID: <F5L_5.5237$925.268885@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 64040

What mode? FM, LSB, or USB?

2660. Re: WANTED: CB TECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 14:57:16 UTC
From: fmrnycer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001216095716.04515.00000924@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 64042

>Subject: Re: WANTED: CB TECH
>From: "Hillbilly Dave" ******davesradio@alltel.net******
>Date: 12/16/2000 7:51 AM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <91focf$1j6$1@iac5.navix.net>
>
>how much ya gonna pay???
>
>dave
Im a dealer. My customer is the payor. How much ya gonna pay me for referring
my customer to you?

2661. Re: ILLEGAL 11 METRE PACKET RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 14:57:25 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001216095725.04467.00000986@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 64041

Thats against the law

2662. Re: PRESIDENT G W BUSH,.....FINALLY.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 14:59:07 UTC
From: Rastus <jfayard@ionet.net>
Message-ID: <91fub3$8d@news-central.tiac.net>
Source record: 64043

If before I was born, then twas before the late 60's decline initiation in education. As for the "National Honor Society", grats, since you had to be a better than average student to receive that....

Also, the comment was an amusement adder to the immediate thread it followed....not a comment as to perfection in your thread once removed---there has only been one perfect man on earth, and He was crucified.

Next, in a similarly close Florida congressional race in one of the "issue" counties, the Republicans had tried to count dimples just two years or so prior to this experience, and were roundly and rightfully sent home by the Democrats. Now, however, since the shoe was on the other foot no mention was made of this by the Dems (since they had flip-flopped?). Also I've been told it's possible to create dimples when stuffing 5 or 6 ballots in to punch at one time...the last one or two has torn hanging chads or is dimpled.....thus no way should hanging or dimpled ever be counted.....the voting methodology should be changed.....but despite the problem just prior (see above, two years or so past) the canvassing boards (Dems) saw no reason to change the ballot machines and thus lodged either no, or practically no protests depending on the county involved....I'm not saying there were illegal votes, but there is a possiblity of illegal votes having been created by the "stuffing" process.

I also have no illusions that votes are illegally created in elections.....the cemeteries vote in Louisiana and the nursing homes are emptied onto buses in New Orleans to vote....Louisiana legally allows an assistant to help someone vote....people vote even if they can't remember their own name. Remember it's not important that we vote....but vote responsibly according to one's own principles and beliefs....to vote without consideration of issues to just "go vote" is not responsible.

<jdaggett@gate.net> wrote in message news:3a3e9c58.2010865@news.gate.net...
> On Fri, 15 Dec 2000 08:40:17 -0600, "Rastus" <jfayard@ionet.net> wrote:
>
> *A product of the decaying public school system?
> **********
>
> graduated from high school "National Honor Society" probably before you were
> born.
>
> My how one derives an (please note the correct usage of the word "an") opinion
> when one makes a mistake. Gosh I hope you guys never make an error. I just

truly
> do not think the world is ready for that.
>
> james
>
> then again I can admit when i make a mistake and i know i am not perfect.
>
>
> ************************
> *
> *
> *
> *"Jeff Herrings" <president@whitehouse.gov> wrote in message
> *news:2kl_5.165268$j6.18691844@news1.rdc1.va.home.com...
> *>
> *> <jdaggett@gate.net> wrote in message
> *news:3a40a8ea.22034818@news.gate.net...
> *> > On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 15:46:50 GMT, curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:
> *> >
> *> > *thanks for the memories comrade algoreski. oh yes, sorry but no
pardon
> *> > *for clintonoski or the clintonistas either. you are going to jail
boy.
> *> > *and another thing. we don't want to hear about the sand pile again
for
> *> > *a very long time, please. oh yes, i bet prime minister had to put in
a
> *> > *call to algoreski requesting his million dollars back, because now
he
> *> > *is back in deep doo doo especially after dubya cleans out the vermin
in
> *> > *the justice department,......cd is out.........
> *> > *
> *> > *
> *> > *Sent via Deja.com
> *> > *http://www.deja.com/
> *> > ********************************
> *> >
> *> > One other comment. I hope you are not a typical republikan. IF so
then
> *> that
> *> > reaffirms why I am and independant.
> *> >
> *> > james
> *> >
> *>
> *> James,
> *>
> *> You certainly are AN independant. First off, republican is NOT
spelled

> *> with a K. Secondly,
> *> it is not proper to start a sentence with "IF so then." Thirdly, you
> *should
> *> investigate the
> *> difference between "AND" and "AN."
> *>
> *> Jeff
> *>
> *>
> *>
> *
>

2663. Aaron Voobner and n8wmm are 1 in the same in opposing illegal CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 15:03:43 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001216100343.04467.00000989@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 64044

Mr. Pain ï¿½Registered Moron mrpaininhisnuts@keyclowwns.com
while munching squirrel shit cookies, typed the following drivel while also
screwing his 1st cousin. :

>Well, maybe since when Voobie, and N8wwm disappeared at the same time
>they are either:
>1.) Lobbying congress to bust all cb'ers and to outlaw 2879s.
>2.) In Cancun, on a Butt buddie rendevous.
>3.) Playing bundarts in N8wwms Garage
>4.) N8wwm is showing Ol Voobie how to play the Skin flute.
>5.) Studying together to test for their General class Ticket,so they
>can finally be the men they think they are, and feel real accomplished
>What do you think?????

N8WWM, in his usual perfect style, replied:
Yeah, and you pussies bitch about flaming and trolling.
Oh by the way, your mom says she doesn't need you to pick her up tonight.
Back under your rock, you fairy.

2664. Aaron Voobner and n8wmm are 1 in the same in opposing illegals.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 15:08:24 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001216100824.04467.00000990@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 64045

"Jeff Mayner" bk43@pacmyass.net,
while fingerbanging his poodle, dribbled forth the following nonsense:

>My opinion?
>They are not one in the same but they *are* both self serving, little
>whining bitches that this NG can do well without.

N8WWM, sparklingly retorted:
Thats cuz you criminal pussies can't take the truth. You are just another one
of the twatal orifices blowing shit. Your words have no meaning.

2665. Jeff Mayner is a baloney pole smoker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 15:12:33 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001216101233.04467.00000991@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 64047

>Why does this not surprise me? One hammy (Fred) going on a ride-a-long
>with another (Dick-brained N8wwm) acting as his stupid, following
>bitch. Oh there is no shame in Ham-land. No shame...
>
>Jeff

Another fag boy illegal spittin shit because he aint nothin' but a illegal CB dweeb. What a twatal orifice.

2666. Re: PRESIDENT G W BUSH,.....FINALLY.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 15:13:31 UTC
From: Rastus <jfayard@ionet.net>
Message-ID: <91fv5u$56a@news-central.tiac.net>
Source record: 64046

Movies do not stir my memory towards reality, as movies are not reality (i.e. not the real world, an imitation of reality). Movies, documentaries excluded of course, are entertainment and one should maintain the presence of mind to keep the two separate.

<jdaggett@gate.net> wrote in message news:3a3d9b4b.1741400@news.gate.net...
> On Fri, 15 Dec 2000 09:16:46 GMT, "Jeff Herrings"
<president@whitehouse.gov>
> wrote:
>
> *
> *<jdaggett@gate.net> wrote in message
news:3a40a8ea.22034818@news.gate.net...
> *> On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 15:46:50 GMT, curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:
> *>
> *> *thanks for the memories comrade algoreski. oh yes, sorry but no pardon
> *> *for clintonoski or the clintonistas either. you are going to jail boy.
> *> *and another thing. we don't want to hear about the sand pile again for
> *> *a very long time, please. oh yes, i bet prime minister had to put in a
> *> *call to algoreski requesting his million dollars back, because now he
> *> *is back in deep doo doo especially after dubya cleans out the vermin
in
> *> *the justice department,......cd is out.........
> *> *
> *> *
> *> *Sent via Deja.com
> *> *http://www.deja.com/
> *> ******************************
> *>
> *> One other comment. I hope you are not a typical republikan. IF so then
> *that
> *> reaffirms why I am and independant.
> *>
> *> james
> *>
> *
> *James,
> *
> * You certainly are AN independant. First off, republican is NOT spelled
> *with a K. Secondly,
> *it is not proper to start a sentence with "IF so then." Thirdly, you
should
> *investigate the
> *difference between "AND" and "AN."

> *
> *Jeff
> *
> **
>
> jeff
>
> i wrote that late at night and yes i know the difference between "and" and
"an"
> Finally i should like for you to try and understand the real meaning as to
why i
> spelled republikan the way i did. think hard and long. maybe the the kris
> kristopherson movie "amerika" will stir your memory?
>
> james
>
> oh and by the way, i am personally using all lower case letters.
>
> ee
>
> cummmings
>
> was
>
> one of
>
> my favorite
>
>
> poets.
>
>
>

2667. Re: Aaron Voobner and n8wmm are 1 in the same in opposing illegals.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 15:28:04 UTC
From: Rastus <jfayard@ionet.net>
Message-ID: <91g016$7as@news-central.tiac.net>
Source record: 64098

What is it that is in you that sparks such perverted writing? This kind of "talk" is what is expected from sex offenders in prison, why are your doing this?

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20001216100824.04467.00000990@ng-cn1.aol.com...
> "Jeff Mayner" bk43@pacmyass.net,
> while fingerbanging his poodle, dribbled forth the following nonsense:
>
> >My opinion?
> >They are not one in the same but they *are* both self serving, little
> >whining bitches that this NG can do well without.
>
> N8WWM, sparklingly retorted:
> Thats cuz you criminal pussies can't take the truth. You are just another one
> of the twatal orifices blowing shit. Your words have no meaning.
>
>
>

2668. Re: Yet another antenna myth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 15:28:19 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001216102819.06184.00000974@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 64099

hi fred, that is not the best way to tune your antenna while using some of the very very large amps that are being heard daily on 11 meters from mobile stations.

>
>The thread started with mobile antennas. SWR is the absolute poorest way to
>adjust a mobile. The best way is with a simple field strength meter made
>with a cheap meter movement a germanium diode and a pot. Adjust for max out
>and the heck with SWR. As you so aptly pointed out the losses are miniscule
>do to SWR. An SWR of 10 to 1 will cause an additional loss of less than 5%,
>now your radio may have shut down because of the high SWR but the losses are
>not meaningful. Anyone worrying about reducing a 1.5:1 down to 1.2:1 must
>also enjoy picking fly deification out of the pepper. I use nonresonant
>antennas on my ham stuff (130 Foot 12" diameter cage fed with ladderline and
>at the output of the tuner my very best SWR is about 8:1 and is as high as
>16 to 1 on some frequencies.
>
>Of course I use a tuner so my radio is looking at 50 ohms. The swr is
>unaffected and still exists with little effect on my signal.
>
>>
>> So you're saying if my SWR is 1.5:1, I'll get out better by
>> making my SWR lower.
>>
>> $10,000 question: Is that extra 4% worth unresonating my antenna?
>>
>> Hint: Resonance is better then 1:1.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

2669. Re: One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 15:29:20 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001216102920.25185.00000546@nso-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 64101

In article <3A3B6AC7.6C4A189B@earthlink.net>, Karl <karljb@earthlink.net>
writes:

>i am also a ham and agree with what Donald says. Doug if you have so much
>time on your hands. get involved in some community programs where you can
>help.
>karl:k7arl

Ditto!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

2670. Re: Arron and N8WWM 10-7? I guess he was Fuller after all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 15:30:06 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <freak'Onature@aola.com>
Message-ID: <2UL_5.725$TC3.309440@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64100

No he aaron/n8wmm is away for the weekend thats why neither one has posted since then.

"Mobile 77" <dominator@titlex.net> wrote in message news:01L_5.5236$925.268833@news.direcpc.com...
>
>
>

2671. Re: FCC broadcast on 10m

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 15:31:06 UTC
From: ngabuckaroo223@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001216103106.03216.00002180@ng-ma1.aol.com>
Source record: 64102

10-4

North GA. Buckaroo "223"

2672. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 15:36:41 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <freak'Onature@aola.com>
Message-ID: <d_L_5.728$TC3.311784@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64105

Danny, just bad enough....

<curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message >
> hey pump, you a bad boy or what???????????, cd is out.....
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2673. Re: Is These Prices Too High on a Davemade or what ? Look>>> Prices Below.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 15:38:27 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <freak'Onature@aola.com>
Message-ID: <T%L_5.1273$L3.307976@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64104

cd, you are correct the prices are way out of line they are much cheaper
than xforce or noname
<curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:91ev18$9jp$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <18076-3A3721F8-15@storefull-232.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
> CreoleCat@webtv.net (West Side) wrote:
> > Davemade M200 3 pill $245=Davemade M400 5 pill $335=Davemade M400se
> 2x4
> > pill $365,Davemade 800 8 pill $635,Davemade m800 2x8 $725,Davemade 16
> > pill $1,3000.00
> > I am comparing the prices to the builders here in california.What you
> > think does it really matter about the price or is Davemade the best
> amp
> > you can buy for watts and quality for such a high price.
> >
> >
>
> the freeking prices are way out of line , comode, comode, smell the
> coffee,.....cd is out........
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2674. Re: FCC and police have an amp!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 15:38:47 UTC
From: Rich Myer <n8fgb@bignet.net>
Message-ID: <t3n2vrr85m2k7e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64103

whaat do u expect from a guy who drives a Chevy.
Rich
"Hippie Freak" <oneqck76ta@aol.com.com.com> wrote in message
news:20001215184438.22468.00004983@ng-bk1.aol.com...
> Just a question but what the hell would the police or FCC do with my amps
when
> they find me and come them. They going to use them them selves or take a
few
> and make one super huge amp? Imagine a local officer using his new 150
pill amp
> on the police freq! Doesn't look like anyone will get over him! What do
they do
> with them once they take them? I know they put them back out on the
streets so
> others can get busted! Just a question for thought.
>
> Later
> oneqck76ta@aol.com
> http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta
>
> You've got "459" out of Minneapolis Minnesota and I'm reading the mail
>
> **********Hippie Freak**********
> http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta/cbradio
>

2675. Re: 11 METRE PACKET RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 15:49:48 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001216104948.06184.00000979@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 64107

were using martin1 on 27.700usb daily. lots and lots of traffic from europe and the number of users here in the states is growing. i listen during my local time around 0000 zulu on 420 when i'm hearing the pacific but i never hear any tx'ing of digital modes. what mode sstv are you guys using.

>Tune in to 27.420 or 27.430 for Australian 11 mtr Packet Radio also SSTV on
>27.420 ,
> De AU122

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

2676. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 16:07:11 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <91g3vf$9ot@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 64109
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

I wish all you smart hams could figure out how to use your NG and stop
posting this dribble to rec.radio.cb Thanks.

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3A3B7758.66D6F6ED@sccoast.net...
> Kim-W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > "Dwight Stewart" wrote...
> > >
> > > I cannot be held responsible for where
> > > messages I've replied to were crossposted.
> >
> > You cannot? When I first hit "Reply to Group" the groups
> > rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.amateur.policy, and
> > rec.radio.cb (in that order) were in the "Newsgroups"
> > address line. I was responsible for removing them,
> > since I am posting *only* to the newsgroup from which I
> > received this message, rec.radio.amateur.policy.
>
>
> Kim, we've already discussed this. If you delete newsgroups in a
> crossposted thread, many of the participants in the discussion will not
> see your comments, since many are not in the newsgroups you don't delete.
>
> In fact, in an existing thread with an ongoing discussion, it seems a
> little rude to join in that discussion and then exclude existing
> participants by deleting their newsgroups.
>
> Of course, if there are a lot of newsgroups listed (especially
> newsgroups not at all related to the discussion, and where there are no
> existing participants), then that might warrant some newsgroup editing.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net

2677. Re: cross post to the Ham NG's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 16:09:55 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <91g44j$9oq@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 64110

Beat Up is putting it nice. I understood there are blow-up dolls that look like him.

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message news:3A3B642D.B280F923@qnet.com...
> Hello City Slicker: Yep me to.
>
> But your always going to have these type of goof balls complaining and
> whining about every little thing. All groups have them.
>
> Its been said a lot here on the ng, and I think it's quit true. That old
> Mark here was beat up a lot in school.
>
>
> Jay in the Mojave
>
> CitySlicker wrote:
> >
> > Your right Jay but it seems like we have to threaten to trash their NG every
> > couple of week because they can't control their own. I just wish that all
> > the fish in the CB ng would ignore their BS completely and then maybe they
> > would stay in the Nintendo groups where they belong.
> >
> > "Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
> > news:3A38A91C.5A290A0F@qnet.com...
> > > Hello Wild Bill: I am all for going toe to toe and nose to nose, but
> > > the guys on the ham newsgroups don't like the flamers either.
> > >
> > > Just look at rec.radio.amateur.misc a few days maybe a week back, one of
> > > the bozo's said "come on over to rec.radio.cb and help out. The lions
> > > majority said he was a ass hole, and who cares.
> > >
> > > You handle these Mommas boys, bet wetting, butterfly chasing, tree
> > > hugging people like anyone else whos wants attention, you just don't
> > > give to them.
> > >
> > > Beside most all the hams where CB'ers at one time or another, any way.
> > > So cross posting to the ham ng's won't make any money IMHO.
> > >
> > > Jay in the Great Mojave Desert.

> > >
> > > WildBill wrote:
> > > >
> > > > thats right Doc a dose of the same medicine
> > > > "'Doc" <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message
news:3A36AC85.DFA62971@oio.net...
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > WildBill wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I say fukem all lets cross post to all the ham NG's any bullshit
you
> > can
> > > > > > think of post it there lets start a little game of suck my ass
> > > > >
> > > > > Nothing like causing more problems...
> > > > > 'Doc

2678. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 16:15:53 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <ZyM_5.92054$IP1.3167174@news1.giganews.com>
Source record: 64111

The new law states that the "Local Municipalities" are now allowed to create and enforce their own laws about or pertaining to CB radio.

Other than the comment I made above, the rest is open to interpretation, and will have to be muddled through in the courts.

TollHolio

"Andy in RI" <riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message news:20001215131559.26606.00003928@ng-fu1.aol.com...
> >Did
> >you hand him the "Seach Warrant" along with the "purposed local laws"? If
> >the law is "proposed", you are in violation of harrassment laws &
> >trespassing, at least.
>
> Not if it's federal law, which it is - the locality would make a local law to
> make it easier for them to prosecute. Under federal law, the offender would
> have to appear before the federal magistrate so the town wouldn't get any of
> the "glory".
>
> >If it were me, you would need to leave my POSTED property
> >or risk removal by force if necessary. No discussion involved.
>
> If the police believe that a crime has been, is being or will be commited, they
> have probable cause to come onto your "POSTED" property. The complaints of the
> neighbors is enough probable cause that a crime has been commited under the new
> federal law.
>
> >You will need a Search
> >Warrant to enter homes cause you cannot just enter unless the occupant is
> >dumb enough to let you in. The resolution doesn't void the Constitutional
> >protection from Illegal Search & Seizure.
>
> I'm just curious - could someone who's a little more familiar with the law
> clairify if the law requires the officer to obtain a search warrent, or do they
> operate under the same authority as the FCC does to inspect you equipment at
> any time, thus negating the need for a warrant? If that's the case,

without a
> warrant the cop couldn't arrest you for the crack you have sitting next to
your
> CB, but he could take the illegal amp you're running.. again, I am not
totally
> familiar with the law, so I don't know if they need a warrant.. anyone
know?

2679. Re: FCC and police have an amp!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 16:16:11 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91g4g7$2k7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64114

In article <20001215184438.22468.00004983@ng-bk1.aol.com>,
oneqck76ta@aol.com.com.com (Hippie Freak) wrote:
> Just a question but what the hell would the police or FCC do with my
amps when
> they find me and come them. They going to use them them selves or
take a few
> and make one super huge amp? Imagine a local officer using his new
150 pill amp
> on the police freq! Doesn't look like anyone will get over him! What
do they do
> with them once they take them? I know they put them back out on the
streets so
> others can get busted! Just a question for thought.
>
> Later
> oneqck76ta@aol.com
> http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta
>
> You've got "459" out of Minneapolis Minnesota and I'm reading the mail
>
> **********Hippie Freak**********
> http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta/cbradio
>
>

not to change the subject but in the old days when i went to the shop ,
i would, from time to time see police officers buying foot warmers,
etc. there was a time when some police where into this stuff. heck
there used to be some of them that would drop the maul on you and of
course me being the nice guy that i am , i did my civic duty and
informed them that i wasn't paying them to talk on a cb radio. but that
was a long time ago, and i just had to reminisce,...head out.......

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2680. Re: Is These Prices Too High on a Davemade or what ? Look>>> Prices Below.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 16:18:20 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91g4k8$2la$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64113

In article <T%L_5.1273$L3.307976@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
"BigPoppaPump" <freak'Onature@aola.com> wrote:
> cd, you are correct the prices are way out of line they are much
cheaper
> than xforce or noname
> <curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:91ev18$9jp$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > In article <18076-3A3721F8-15@storefull-232.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
> > CreoleCat@webtv.net (West Side) wrote:
> > > Davemade M200 3 pill $245=Davemade M400 5 pill $335=Davemade
M400se
> > 2x4
> > > pill $365,Davemade 800 8 pill $635,Davemade m800 2x8
$725,Davemade 16
> > > pill $1,3000.00
> > > I am comparing the prices to the builders here in california.What
you
> > > think does it really matter about the price or is Davemade the
best
> > amp
> > > you can buy for watts and quality for such a high price.
> > >
> > >
> >
> > the freeking prices are way out of line , comode, comode, smell the
> > coffee,.....cd is out.....
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>
>

hmm, i thought no name was much cheaper than either davemade or xforce.
i do not understand why xforce is charging so much for their stuff.
there will come a day, and it may not be too far off, that they will be
lucky to give them away, if we go into another recession,.....head
out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2681. Re: DaveMade rumor?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 16:19:29 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <pCM_5.66042$%j3.841890@news6.giganews.com>
Source record: 64112

> Bill,
>
> Dennis is on record in this newsgroup archives as being the designer of
> XForce products. Are you telling me he lied?
>

No, he isn't.

Dennis is on record on this newsgroup as having said that he designed a new
input
circuit that made the amps super efficient. He helps, but is not the
"designer of X-force"

2682. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 16:49:20 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91g6ef$47d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64116

In article <d_L_5.728$TC3.311784@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
"BigPoppaPump" <freak'Onature@aola.com> wrote:
> Danny, just bad enough....
>
> <curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message >
> > hey pump, you a bad boy or what???????????, cd is
out.................
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>
>

hey pump whats the markup on a xforce 32 pill????,..comode,
comode.....head out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2683. HOWARD STERN....$100 MILLION, 5 YEARS.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 16:57:09 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91g6t4$4d9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64117

geez, talk about sex every morning and get paid $100,000,000 for 5 years. what a deal. are new yorkers really that bored???????,....head out......

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2684. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 17:12:45 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <91g7qi$87d$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 64118

With all do respect, I am afraid that your knowledge exceeds your understanding. When one claims expertise in a subject, first some of the simplest things must be understood. You stated disagreement in a prior message that a shorted 1/4 wave length of coax is an infinite impedance, nor could changing the length of a transmission live vary impedance. Both of these are basic to understanding the more complex issues you choose to address. It appears that you ALWAYS assume that the antenna is 50 ohm with +/-J = 0. If this were true many of the things you say would apply, unfortunately such is not the case. Resonance, is defined as the condition where XL=XC with no resistive value specified. For example the resonance of free space is 377 ohms. You have narrowed the universe to a specific set of conditions which has no application in the real world. A million CBers have changed the length of their coax and observed the SWR change. Why?

"Coax Length Police" <anylength@willdo.com> wrote in message news:anylength-> Furthermore, the impedance required for an SWR of 1:1 is 50 + j0 ohms.
> Given that 50 + j0 ohms is resonant and it is a *requirement* for
> an SWR of 1:1, we can say
> AN SWR of 1:1 REQUIRES RESONANCE.
>
> Get it?
>
> Your turn, hysterical one.

2685. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 17:19:21 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <0nN_5.9706$S62.548576@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 64119

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message ...
> The new law states that the "Local Municipalities" are now allowed to
create
> and enforce their own laws about or pertaining to CB radio.

Well, kind of..... they may enact laws that mirror or reflect existing FCC regs.. they may NOT go beyond what's already on the books. The legislation includes no $$$ for enforcement, no $$$ (or waiver) for legal liabilities incurred or equipment required, and no $$$ for prosecution... the legislation also refuses to grant local authority any REAL regulatory or ultimate JURISDICTIONARY power.

A case of a Federal Beauracracy (with congress's help) sidestepping it's job if ever I saw one. Kind'a like the FBI telling the local cops "you can do our job for us, for free, incurring all liability, and even prosecute if you like.... but only if we (the FBI) can have final say on whether your 'busts' are valid". I seriously doubt many local authorities will be chomping at the bit to join this rodeo!

2686. N8WWM is a asshole!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 17:38:17 UTC
From: don'tyouwishyouknew@fuckyouasshole.fu
Message-ID: <368c5b80.1230656@netnews.att.net>
Source record: 64120

N8WWM is a cock sucking bastard child... with no life.

and he's a queer too!

I ought to know!

SuperSwangThang@Yahoo.com

N8WWM's Gay Lover

2687. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 17:49:12 UTC
From: Aaron Jones <nomail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <odan3tg0l4fn1k7tm814jjsik2ij9hb4s7@4ax.com>
Source record: 64121
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:
>Easier to ridicule me [by calling me a blockhead]
>instead of offering ligitimate debate?

The pot calling the kettle...

More Dwight Stewart:
4-23-00 to Donald Burkhart:
I guess this proves that no matter how stupid you are, there is always
someone out there that is more so.

5-21-00 to John Douglas:
If they're stupid for not knowing the answer, what does that make you
when you also don't know the answer?

2688. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 17:52:17 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-312671.12513616122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 64122

In article <3a38c2be.5481460@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> ...Power is therefore lost in the
> transmission line and is radiated away as both heat and RF.

[snip]

> (Bear in mind that the reflected power does not change, but the
> standing wave is simply moved to a point along the transmission line
> where it cannot be detected.)

If the reflected power does not change, how is the transmission
line radiating power? Where is the power coming from?
You say power is radiated from the line but power along the line
is constant. Explain that.

> ...a perfect coaxial transmission line will contain all the RF within the
> shield. This is assuming that the impedance of the power transmitted
> through the line is equal to that of the line.

How can "power tranmitted through the line" not have the impedance
of the line?

> When it is not equal,
> as in the case of a standing wave, containment fails and the shield
> radiates RF. If the transmission line is a multiple of 1/4w and
> positioned in a straight line, no harmonics are radiated. But as we
> all know, that is almost never the case. (In the case of mobile
> installs, however, the harmonics are generally contained within the
> vehicle).
>
> If this doesn't satisfy you, I guess you'll have to actually read a
> book, and then learn how to use a meter.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

Speaking of using a meter, look what you wrote earlier. I was
speaking of using an SWR meter. And, as I suggested, you were
speaking of using a voltmeter. A voltmeter will show standing
waves which change along the line. An SWR meter will show the
Standing Wave Ratio which is constant -- just as I said.

In article <3a37a1c1.2972925@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> Find a mismatched system and move a FSM or RF voltmeter
> along the length of the transmission line. You will see hot spots
> corresponding to the standing wave.

2689. Re: ILLEGAL 11 METRE PACKET RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 17:53:26 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <freak'Onature@aola.com>
Message-ID: <q_N_5.774$TC3.360274@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64123

Now your an expert on other countrys laws ... lay down you asshole..
"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001216095725.04467.00000986@ng-cn1.aol.com...
> Thats against the law

2690. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 18:02:57 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A3BAE51.492@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 64124

Yes, Guys,

Please listen to these two!! You'll save yourselves a lot of grief as well as a lot of useless labor. It really boils down to this: if you are "tuning" your antenna or chasing resonance/matching by cutting coax, you are masking the real problem and "fooling" your test equipment as well as yourself. If you will do just what Handy Andy and PP have just written, you will have a correct and properly performing antenna instal-lation.

Without trying to be a smart aleck or flaming anybody, I wish to present the following antenna installation for your perusal.

I operate a motor driven all band ham antenna`mounted via a ball mount directly on the left fender of the car. Pressing a switch at the opera-ting position causes the loading coil to move up or down within a hollow tube. The coil is surrounded at the top of this tube by "fingerstock" which are springy little contacts. As the coil is moved up/down within these fingers, ANY frequency between 3.6 and 30 MHZ can be operated with a 50 ohm match and 1:1 SWR (usually, but never more that 1:4). Using the assertion that coax must be 18 feet @ 27 MHZ, at 3.6 MHZ I "must" have almost 130 feet, right? At 7.2 MHZ (40 meters), I'd have to have around 65 feet, right. At 20 meters, around 30 feet,------and so on in ever decreasing lengths as frequency increased. So, I'd have to have 10, or 12, or ??? different lengths of coax in my vehicle. Where in the world would I put all the extra coax in a small station wagon? Er, uh, we have another problem. Since the antenna tunes infinitely to ANY frequency AND continuously, having enough "lengths" of coax to operate all these frequencies is impossible! So, what DO I use? One foot to a 12V changeover relay (I'll explain its purpose in a minute), 9" to an amp, about 6" to the radio. All of these components are mount-within 2 feet of the antenna in the rear of the car! Bu..bu..bu.but, that can't WORK! Ya gotta figure all those various current nodes, and "correct" coax lengths to nullify various anomalies along its length, right? BULLSH**! OK! OK! I'm jerkin' yer chain a little here.
How do I *know* the system works without all the coax length hooey? That 12V relay switches out the amp and radio and connects the antenna direct ly to an MFJ 259 Analyzer on the dash. By setting the frequency I want to operate on the 259, and operating the antenna motor to place the antenna into resonance (for practical use, let's separate matching and resonance into two different catagories), I simply observe the meters on the 259 until I get the lowest SWR and approximately a 50 ohm match, which doesn't *have* to be exactly 50 ohms. Then I switch back to the radio and start talking. I have also a field strength meter on the dash that lets me make comparisons or fine tune for max output. But I have

found that my tuning method nets me max performance anyway--which tells me I have the best performance possible from a multiband system.

Again, according to the coax length gurus, my system *CAN'T* work because I don't have "certain" lengths of coax for each frequency I work including 11 meters. Yet, it works well, allows me to talk anywhere I can hear, and is as efficient as any mobile system around. That's no brag--it just comes from 35 years of training and experience.

What I want, and the reason I wrote this, is for the coax length guys to explain WHY/HOW this multi band system works with 4 short jumpers, NONE of which are over 12" long. IF one *must* have 18' of coax at 27 MHZ, then one *must* have 130' at 3.6 MHZ, right? BTW, another reason, is to get away from the stupid "illegal this, illegal that" stuff! Think of it as a puzzle and a legitimate discussion of radio which this board is really for.

Best 73

Jerry

BigPoppaPump wrote:
>
> Andy I can agree different locations will give you different swr, but
> changing the length of coax will not correct the problem. Hell if i put up
> an antenna next to a steel shed this is a bad location and will result in a
> bad swr changing the length of coax doesnt make a lick of difference and
> won't change the swr In the past 2 weeks I have installed about 60 2.4 ghz
> antennas and the same number of 800mhz antenas on the same style vehicle,
> with the 2.4 we want the coax to be as short as possible just enough to make
> the connection since rg 58 is rather lossy at this frequency
>
> "Handy Andy" <CodysPC@Iserve.Net> wrote in message
> news:s9cl3t0en56uc05frh5ktlgetbhmcmiq9a@4ax.com...
> > Hi Folks.
> >
> > All this message is for is my own appology for all that wasted
> > bandwidth regarding Coax Length and if it's really necessary.
> >
> > The truth is, Coax length shouldn't matter. If you find out that using
> > a specific length takes care of the problem, you can either...
> >
> > A. Live with it.
> > B. Take steps to correct the problem.
> >
> > I see a widely varying range of different opinions and I'm not here to
> > debate them. An open mind is needed, check the flames at the door.
> >
> > The "colors" that this subject brought up has been interesting and
> > downright funny at times. [Embarassingly funny - and I mean that, If I
> > offended anyone - My Appologies.]
> >

> > However, no one has taken a stance regarding the issue around mobile
> > antenna installs and problems with location. Very few have noticed
> > what I've seen for years regarding this Coax Length issue.
> >
> > If it's in a bad location, you'll have SWR problems.
> >
> > If the mount isn't the right one, or poorly done, you'll have SWR
> > problems.
> >
> > With the above two reasons, my experience has been to correct the
> > problems by relocating the antenna. In virtually all of the installs
> > I've worked on, this was the beneficial solution. Only in rare
> > instances was the mount at fault and it's usually due to corrosion.
> >
> > However, some people never budge on re-locating the antenna and so
> > therefore the coax length issue comes up.
> >
> > In my own investigation of this, the coax length issue means the
> > antenna is not resonate due to a number of factors. Most, if not all,
> > are associated with the counterpoise, or the conductivity and reactive
> > components the ground plane provides, or works against the antenna, at
> > that particular location on the vehicle.
> >
> > Sure you can swap antennas, sometimes changing to a different loading
> > scheme can work better at the location than others. But, are you
> > willing to waste the time on that? Some do. However, some don't.
> >
> > On my pages, I suggest using a simple mag-mount so the new CB'er can
> > see how the antenna will look on the vehicle. There's more to that,
> > the installer [namely me] can also locate areas that are better to use
> > the antenna at versus where the antennas owner wants to try it at.
> >
> > An MFJ-259 is a handy tool for working out the locations fine tuning,
> > you can see how the antenna will work at the locations tried.
> >
> > So, why does an antenna work better at some locations on a vehicle
> > than others?
> >
> > Why does the SWR vary between locations on the vehicle? Ground? Metal
> > panel not connected to the vehicle?
> >
> > That is what piqued my interest. And my efforts to locate the
> > information regarding this issue, seems to contradict what others
> > firmly believe.
> >
> > There is a reason for why things are done the way they are
> > by antenna manufacturers. To ensure the user a viable means
> > to use the antenna in a wider range of locations and
> > environment conditions without suffering severe/critical
> > damage to a CB radio.

> >
> > The above, to me, is the most important advancement in the
> > realm of antenna design and construction.
> >
> > They are thinking about us folks. We're important.
> >
> > I'm not talking about making it easier for us installers...
> > nor are they trying to get us to buy coax by the truckload...
> >
> > Far from it.
> >
> > It's a liability. The antenna has to work in order to sell,
> > it not going to make it in the real world if it fails.
> >
> > ------> It's so the user can use the radio to get help.
> >
> > *That, my friends, is the most important issue. If you have any
> > questions about that, see the subject line.*
> >
> > This is what makes the NG so fun to be on.
> >
> > My best advice to the new CB'er, don't make it hard on yourself. Take
> > the time to read, review, learn about those claims the antenna makers
> > will say. You can't avoid it. It's not going away.
> >
> > The best clues are the antenna placards that have the installation
> > instructions with them that can be read without having to open the
> > package. Compare those instructions with others of the peg-boards at
> > the local CB shop. A lot of dust on the shelves? Complain! You may
> > need to go elsewhere. The people there at the store may not want your
> > business if they don't keep items moving thru the store. A dusty item
> > is a dead product.
> >
> > Some will try to sell the antenna on the ease of tuning...
> > Some will try to claim their antenna puts out more signal...
> > Some will claim flexibility in mounting locations...
> > Some will claim they've been in this biz for XXX centuries...
> >
> > All have valid points, but there is a difference, and it's
> > within you to make the right decision. You have to put a
> > system together, so choose carefully.
> >
> > One such point is guarantee, will the maker stand behind the
> > product, or just leave you standing there? Read the fine
> > print.
> >
> > Remember, the person that has to be made happy is you,
> > no one else.
> >
> > Can't stand the sales pressure? Go elsewhere. A dealer may

> > have the right to sell antennas. However, they don't have the
> > right to shove it down your throat.
> >
> > If you are reading this message, then that means you have the ability
> > to research. Take the time to read what those companies claim out here
> > on the 'net. Their reputation is based upon their own knowledge base.
> > If you're not getting the answers you need, look elsewhere. There are
> > plenty of resources out here to help you.
> >
> > Don't ever settle on the first one you see, take time to look at your
> > options. Then if it's the one you really want - then go for it. Buy
> > what the antenna needs for installation.
> >
> > Don't settle for second rate, buy and use quality. You're selling
> > yourself short if you skimp on parts. You're going to have problems -
> > don't waste money on sales. That type of antenna, or the parts for it,
> > may be on sale for reasons the sales clerks really don't want you to
> > know.
> >
> > If you're planning to put your system together properly, or you're
> > having someone do it for you, take the time to ask questions. Annoy
> > the heck out of sales people at the shop if you don't get answers.
> > Never assume that what they do is correct for your needs. Ask other
> > CB'ers if they've dealt with the shop - get their opinions.
> >
> > If you find yourself putting up an antenna in your own mind, take the
> > time to work it thru and then see how others did it. Compare notes.
> >
> > Coax? It's part of the big three requirements for using a CB radio.
> > Radio, antenna, coax - those make up the chain. A weak link in the
> > chain, if you don't pay attention, can leave you frustrated.
> >
> > Don't worry about coax length, don't concern yourself with
> > it. Use quality parts - and pay attention to details with all
> > aspects of the install. Location, mounting, power, and routing
> > all can have an impact on your system.
> >
> > If you have to buy coax, buy the stuff with the pre-molded and
> > sealed ends, and use mounts that can take those types of
> > connectors and are able to be sealed from the environment.
> >
> > If you want a DIY custom install, it's your responsibility
> > now. If you don't pay attention to sealing, bolts, insulation
> > and preventing water entry - bash yourself on this.
> >
> > Location? If it looks cool there, are you really sure it will
> > work there? Keep your options open. You're leaving yourself
> > open for problems if you don't take the time to locate the
> > antenna in a spot it needs in order to work properly.
> >

> > Hint: No antenna will work like it claims if you don't install
> > it like the manufacturer recommends. They can't guarantee
> > their antenna will perform like they claim unless you put
> > it together properly - and that means location too.
> >
> > Questions? My box is open.
> >
> > Thank you.
> > :+> Andy <+:
> > codyspc@iserv.net

2691. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 18:03:15 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <freak'Onature@aola.com>
Message-ID: <D7O_5.1595$L3.365068@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64125

```
It is quite substantial cd, I can guarantee beyond a shadow of a doubt it
costs about $1000 for parts to build from there i know you can do the math.
<curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:91g6ef$47d$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <d_L_5.728$TC3.311784@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
> "BigPoppaPump" <freak'Onature@aola.com> wrote:
> > Danny, just bad enough....
> >
> > <curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message >
> > > hey pump, you a bad boy or what???????????, cd is
> out.................
> > >
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > http://www.deja.com/
> >
> >
>
> hey pump whats the markup on a xforce 32 pill????,..comode,
> comode.....head out.....
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
```

2692. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 18:04:16 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-115524.13033516122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 64126

In article <3a3b05ff.434401@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> On Fri, 15 Dec 2000 16:16:11 -0500, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >The theory says this is right and the theory is based on countless
> >observations and tests performed over many decades. If you can
> >correctly show otherwise, you will be a giant in the field and
> >rewrite all known theory.
>
> That explains everything. Theory, not reality.

If you don't believe the theory, how can you quote any books?

I'm still not convinced that you actually quoted the book since
you said you "summarized" it and now you refuse to quote the
sections verbatim.

I will get the book this week from my work library.

> >This nonsense that a coax radiates with the presence of standing
> >waves is way over the top. I'd like to know exactly what the book
> >says to suggest this. If it indeed says this, I'll take it up with
> >the author. I won't make you defend his work.
>
> Just like a theorist. If their theory says the sky is yellow they will
> argue such until they are blue in the face, even if you make them look
> up in the sky and see it for themselves.

But the theory says the sky is blue. It is caused by Rayleigh
scattering. Care to "summarize" a book that states differently?

> I suspect that your "theories" came from the web, because I haven't
> seen any credible sources of information that support your claims, and
> you seem unwilling to provide them as I have.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

Well no one actually wrote that a coax does not radiate because it
is common knowledge. It would be stating the obvious.

I believe you have either mis-"summarized" or used text that is
entirely out of context.

I'll get your book. We'll settle this.

2693. Re: Is These Prices Too High on a Davemade or what ? Look>>> Prices Below.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 18:08:41 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <freak'Onature@aola.com>
Message-ID: <JcO_5.1605$L3.366489@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64127

yes you are correct no name does charge less for some and more for others.
cd i know you follow the market we are headed that way and our poor old G.W.
Bush will catch the blame but remember this daymy friend because the
democrats will be singing this song in 4 years and is of there own accord.
cd, remember big poppa pump is your hookup. Holler If ya! hear me.

and the poppa is gone.
<curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:91g4k8$2la$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <T%L_5.1273$L3.307976@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
> "BigPoppaPump" <freak'Onature@aola.com> wrote:
> > cd, you are correct the prices are way out of line they are much
> cheaper
> > than xforce or noname
> > <curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> > news:91ev18$9jp$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > > In article <18076-3A3721F8-15@storefull-232.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
> > > CreoleCat@webtv.net (West Side) wrote:
> > > > Davemade M200 3 pill $245=Davemade M400 5 pill $335=Davemade
> M400se
> > > 2x4
> > > > pill $365,Davemade 800 8 pill $635,Davemade m800 2x8
> $725,Davemade 16
> > > > pill $1,3000.00
> > > > I am comparing the prices to the builders here in california.What
> you
> > > > think does it really matter about the price or is Davemade the
> best
> > > amp
> > > > you can buy for watts and quality for such a high price.
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > > the freeking prices are way out of line , comode, comode, smell the
> > > coffee,.....cd is out.....
> > >
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > http://www.deja.com/
> >
> >
>
> hmm, i thought no name was much cheaper than either davemade or xforce.

> i do not understand why xforce is charging so much for their stuff.
> there will come a day, and it may not be too far off, that they will be
> lucky to give them away, if we go into another recession,.......head
> out.....
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2694. Re: DaveMade rumor?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 18:13:15 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <freak'Onature@aola.com>
Message-ID: <%gO_5.1608$L3.368658@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64129

yeah and what happened to it ? it proved over time not to work to
well.otherwise it would be used.
what is the big deal with the input anyhow if it did have a bad swr all you
would need to do is drive it with more watts , the watts would still end up
the same on output

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:pCM_5.66042$%j3.841890@news6.giganews.com...
> > Bill,
> >
> > Dennis is on record in this newsgroup archives as being the designer of
> > XForce products. Are you telling me he lied?
> >
>
>
> No, he isn't.
>
> Dennis is on record on this newsgroup as having said that he designed a
new
> input
> circuit that made the amps super efficient. He helps, but is not the
> "designer of X-force"
>
>

2695. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 18:14:24 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A3BB100.6CF8@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 64128

While you are correct that FCC agents cannot come into your house without permission, they have the right to inspect your equipment. Now if they are allowed into your home, they may NOT "search" for anything, i.e. rifle thru drawers, examine personal papers, etc. But when one obtains a license or operates a CB radio, he gives "tacit" approval for FCC to inspect their radio station. When/If an FCC agent comes to your door, he already has a pretty good idea that *you* are the source of whatever difficulty at hand. Their equipment is very good. If the agents are not allowed to inspect the station at the time of the "offense", then it gives the "offender" a chance to get rid of the amp, modded ham radio, etc, that drew FCC's attention. So, if you refuse the "surprise" inspection, this is a case where you will still get some sort of citation, fine, or whatever. To refuse inspection is a violation in and of itself, so ya just can't win, eh?

73

Jerry

wlfdad@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > I'm just curious - could someone who's a little more familiar with
> the law
> > clairify if the law requires the officer to obtain a search warrent,
> or do they
> > operate under the same authority as the FCC does to inspect you
> equipment at
> > any time, thus negating the need for a warrant? If that's the case,
> without a
> > warrant the cop couldn't arrest you for the crack you have sitting
> next to your
> > CB, but he could take the illegal amp you're running.. again, I am
> not totally
> > familiar with the law, so I don't know if they need a warrant..
> anyone know?
> I am familiar with law in general(Not saying how) ANY Search needs a
> warrant...this warrant needs to state exactly WHAT they are searching
> for and WHERE their going to find and/or search for it! My bag of crack
> falls under a different area of the law and believe it or not...if this
> happened the person searched could get a GOOD lawyer and get off on the
> crack charges! You don't need to take my word for it...ask a COP or a
> LAWYER. It's kind of A loop hole...people who get busted know they did
> wrong so they don't fight it. NOBODY can search my house without a
> warrant stating what they are looking for...not even the FEDS!
>

> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2696. Re: N8WWM is a asshole!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 18:14:24 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <freak'Onature@aola.com>
Message-ID: <4iO_5.785$TC3.367233@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64131

```
i thought you were going to jail? i guess n8wmm's charges didnt stick haha
"N8WWM's Gay Lover" <don'tyouwishyouknew@fuckyouasshole.fu> wrote in message
news:368c5b80.1230656@netnews.att.net...
> N8WWM is a cock sucking bastard child... with no life.
>
>
> and he's a queer too!
>
> I ought to know!
>
> SuperSwangThang@Yahoo.com
>
> N8WWM's Gay Lover
>
```

2697. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 18:14:29 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-4034C8.13134216122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 64130

In article <2p8d3tk651ofmrbqbmlhqhqq92ocf7h0oh@4ax.com>, tnom@mucks.net
wrote:

> 18 feet is a good choice.
>
> Here are some web sights that recommend 18 feet. Some even
> explain why.
>
> http://www.firestik.com/FAQ.htm
>
> http://www.wilsonantenna.com/swrf.htm
>
> http://www.wilsonantenna.com/coax.htm
>
> http://64.70.218.95/k40cb/CBfaq.htm#What

The Wilson and Firestik links are essentially duplicates. They
were either written by the same person or plagiarized.

Furthermore, the last link doesn't agree with the first three.
It says to use coax with a length that is a multiple of 3'.

What's the dillio? You can't even get these sites that perpetuate
myths and old wives' tales to agree.

Above and beyond that, the second link refers to cophased antennas
as well as single antennas. In that case, a fixed coax length is
required to provide the necessary quarter wave matching transformer.

By the way, NONE of those links explain why you need 18' AS YOU CLAIMED.

2698. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 18:14:58 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-5B0C86.13141716122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 64132

In article <ja4d3tk8qqhc2hoja15bp97n4hdu3i7oea@4ax.com>, tnom@mucks.net wrote:

> snip
> >why do the antenna manufacturers reccomend an 18 ' length.
> snip
>
> Because that length may reduce the possibility of you having
> a problem with your installation.

Tell us how.

2699. Re: FCC and police have an amp!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 18:29:42 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A3BB496.31F7@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 64134

Rich Myer wrote:
>
> whaat do u expect from a guy who drives a Chevy.
> Rich

1. I expect him to be able to get from point A to point B without being F-ound O-n R-oad D-ead.

2. I expect him to arrive much fresher from his journey having enjoyed the much smoother ride and economy of his Chevy.

3. I expect his CB will be easier to install and less prone to internal-ly generated noise!

4. I expect him not to have to pull the gas tank to install a filter on the F-irst O-n R-epair D-ay fuel pump!

5. Finally, I expect him not to learn to spell FORD backwards---which is: D-river R-eturning O-n F-oot!
> >
LOL! LMAO! Been there, done all that, got the T-shirt, etc.

CHEVY FOREVER!

Best 73

Jerry

2700. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 18:31:20 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a3bb3be.8811363@news.gate.net>
Source record: 64133

On Sat, 16 Dec 2000 12:12:45 -0500, "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

*With all do respect, I am afraid that your knowledge exceeds your
*understanding. When one claims expertise in a subject, first some of the
*simplest things must be understood. You stated disagreement in a prior
*message that a shorted 1/4 wave length of coax is an infinite impedance, nor
*could changing the length of a transmission live vary impedance. Both of
*these are basic to understanding the more complex issues you choose to
*address. It appears that you ALWAYS assume that the antenna is 50 ohm with
*+/-J = 0. If this were true many of the things you say would apply,
*unfortunately such is not the case. Resonance, is defined as the condition
*where XL=XC with no resistive value specified. For example the resonance of
*free space is 377 ohms. You have narrowed the universe to a specific set of
*conditions which has no application in the real world. A million CBers have
*changed the length of their coax and observed the SWR change. Why?
****

Actually the intrinsdic impedance of free spaace is 377 Ohms. Impedance has
nothing to do with resonance. Impadance is nothing but a complex number.

50 Ohms impedance can be an infinte combinations of R and X. There is an
infinite solutions for 50 Ohms.

transmission lines less than a quarter wave are often used for lumped constants.
Thus the transmission line can be either a capacitor or an inductor depending if
it is shorted or open at one end.

james

****
*
*"Coax Length Police" <anylength@willdo.com> wrote in message
*news:anylength-> Furthermore, the impedance required for an SWR of 1:1 is 50
*+ j0 ohms.
*> Given that 50 + j0 ohms is resonant and it is a *requirement* for
*> an SWR of 1:1, we can say
*> AN SWR of 1:1 REQUIRES RESONANCE.
*>
*> Get it?
*>
*> Your turn, hysterical one.
*

2701. Re: N8WWM is a asshole!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 18:32:49 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91gcgi$8i8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64135

In article <368c5b80.1230656@netnews.att.net>,
don'tyouwishyouknew@fuckyouasshole.fu (N8WWM's Gay Lover) wrote:
> N8WWM is a cock sucking bastard child... with no life.
>
> and he's a queer too!
>
> I ought to know!
>
> SuperSwangThang@Yahoo.com
>
> N8WWM's Gay Lover
>
>

hey , hey , why don't you just tell him what you really think, comode,
comode, ...head out.......

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2702. FS BENCHER YA-1 LOW PASS FILTER $45 SHIPPED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 18:45:55 UTC
From: mwin11 <mwin11@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <DLO_5.4167$IY2.423924@typhoon.mn.mediaone.net>
Source record: 64136
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Hi i have a bencher low pass filter forsale
1.5kw 5kw peak. $50 shipped
Uniden 60 xlt-1 scanner $45 shipped
Cobra wx-45 hand-held cb $45 shipped
Shipping us only please.
Feel free to make offers.
mwin11@hotmail.com

2703. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 18:49:35 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <91gdg5$ai5$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 64137

I totally agree, changing the length of coax, particularly on a mobile installation, which is how this thread started, introduces various values of XL or XC which in combination with the antenna lets a radio see 50 ohms.

No 1/4 mobile antenna is 50 ohms. A perfect 1/4 wave over perfect ground is 25 ohms and it increases from there do to losses. By moving the antenna from resonance and introducing some reactance we can make it look like 50 ohms.

<jdaggett@gate.net> wrote in message news:3a3bb3be.8811363@news.gate.net...
> On Sat, 16 Dec 2000 12:12:45 -0500, "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com>
wrote:
>
> *With all do respect, I am afraid that your knowledge exceeds your
> *understanding. When one claims expertise in a subject, first some of the
> *simplest things must be understood. You stated disagreement in a prior
> *message that a shorted 1/4 wave length of coax is an infinite impedance,
nor
> *could changing the length of a transmission live vary impedance. Both of
> *these are basic to understanding the more complex issues you choose to
> *address. It appears that you ALWAYS assume that the antenna is 50 ohm
with
> *+/-J = 0. If this were true many of the things you say would apply,
> *unfortunately such is not the case. Resonance, is defined as the
condition
> *where XL=XC with no resistive value specified. For example the resonance
of
> *free space is 377 ohms. You have narrowed the universe to a specific set
of
> *conditions which has no application in the real world. A million CBers
have
> *changed the length of their coax and observed the SWR change. Why?
> **********
>
> Actually the intrinsdic impedance of free spaace is 377 Ohms. Impedance
has
> nothing to do with resonance. Impadance is nothing but a complex number.
>
> 50 Ohms impedance can be an infinte combinations of R and X. There is an
> infinite solutions for 50 Ohms.
>
> transmission lines less than a quarter wave are often used for lumped
constants.
> Thus the transmission line can be either a capacitor or an inductor
depending if
> it is shorted or open at one end.

>
>
> james
>
> ****************************
> *
> *"Coax Length Police" <anylength@willdo.com> wrote in message
> *news:anylength-> Furthermore, the impedance required for an SWR of 1:1 is
50
> *+ j0 ohms.
> *> Given that 50 + j0 ohms is resonant and it is a *requirement* for
> *> an SWR of 1:1, we can say
> *> AN SWR of 1:1 REQUIRES RESONANCE.
> *>
> *> Get it?
> *>
> *> Your turn, hysterical one.
> *
>

2704. Re: Aaron Voobner and n8wmm are 1 in the same in opposing illegals.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 19:13:37 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001216141337.26500.00004645@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 64138

>"Ratsass" jfayard@ionet.net, with his acrid puke defiling his keyboard, typed
the following horse manure:

>This kind of
>"talk" is what is expected from sex offenders in prison, why are your doing
>this?
N8WWM sparklingly retorted:
Yeah, right. I think you need to look back in the past threads and see what has
been posted here by others. You think what I post is rank? I won't give you any
examples, just look back over the past 30 days or so. Also, turn on a CB and
listen. All I am doing is giving a group of degenerate wannabes their own
medicine right back in their faces. They all like to dish it out but can't take
it. Period.

2705. Re: FCC and police have an amp!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 19:22:23 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001216142223.26500.00004648@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 64139

>(Hippie Freak)
>oneqck69widdudes@aol.com
While munching his grandma's carpet, spewed the following ridiculous moronic drivel:
>
>Just a question but what the hell would the police or FCC do with my amps
>when
>they find me and come them. They going to use them them selves or take a few
>and make one super huge amp? Imagine a local officer using his new 150 pill
>amp
>on the police freq! Doesn't look like anyone will get over him! What do they
>do
>with them once they take them? I know they put them back out on the streets
>so
>others can get busted! Just a question for thought.
>

N8WWM sparklingly replied:
Another little whinebaby bitching about things that have no merit or bearing on the question. The issue is that operating outside the regular 40 channels with modified equipment, amps, etc is illegal. You clowns jsut think you can eat your squirrel turd cookies and blah blah on illegal frequencies at will. The party is gonna end boys, and I will laugh my socks off when it does.

2706. Re: Wheres Hillbiilly Dave?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 19:23:28 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001216142328.26500.00004650@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 64140

Munching his squirrel turd cookies and picking his nose, most likely.

2707. Re: Aaron Voobner and n8wmm are 1 in the same in opposing illegals.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 19:26:29 UTC
From: Rastus <jfayard@ionet.net>
Message-ID: <91ge27$t5s@news-central.tiac.net>
Source record: 64141

Again, in the name of Jesus, why are you doing this?

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001216141337.26500.00004645@ng-fu1.aol.com...
> >"Ratsass" jfayard@ionet.net, with his acrid puke defiling his keyboard,
typed
> the following horse manure:
>
> >This kind of
> >"talk" is what is expected from sex offenders in prison, why are your
doing
> >this?
> N8WWM sparklingly retorted:
> Yeah, right. I think you need to look back in the past threads and see
what has
> been posted here by others. You think what I post is rank? I won't give
you any
> examples, just look back over the past 30 days or so. Also, turn on a CB
and
> listen. All I am doing is giving a group of degenerate wannabes their own
> medicine right back in their faces. They all like to dish it out but can't
take
> it. Period.

2708. Re: Do NOT Tolerate Illegal CBers!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 19:32:01 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001216143201.26500.00004652@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 64142

punishesHisPooper@aol.com (Punisha413fl), in his dweeb like fashion sputtered the following trash, in leiu of the intelligent thought he is incapable of:

>bet you cried to your teacher cause you saw johhny cheating on his test to

N8wwm Sparklingly replied:
Bet you cried to your teacher cause you were to dumb to TAKE the test. By the way, dope, your spelling of "to" is messed up. Shoulda spelled it "too". When I come out to visit you at the retard ranch and bring you a dictionary.

2709. Re: Techs refuse to learn code so they hang out on rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 19:34:06 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001216143406.26500.00004653@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 64143

>chevy402@vilinet.net, a suirrel turd munching moron, dribbled:

>N8WWM: Its too hard.....Its too hard.....please stop! I cant take
>it..............
>

N8WWM replied: Even if that was true...I am legal and you are not...nyaa nyaaa
nyaa!

2710. Re: 2 driving 8 is ILLEGAL on CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 19:37:42 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001216143742.26500.00004654@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 64144

ILLEGAL ILLEGAL ILLEGAL...

2711. 11 METRE PACKET RADIO is ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 19:39:48 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001216143948.26500.00004656@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 64145

>Subject: Re: 11 METRE PACKET RADIO
>From: "Bobbo" racer@ide.com
>Date: 12/16/2000 9:35 AM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <F5L_5.5237$925.268885@news.direcpc.com>
>
>What mode? FM, LSB, or USB?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2712. SuperSwangThang is a gutless punk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 19:42:32 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001216144232.26500.00004657@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 64146

Hiding behind a phony email address. Seeya next time I visit the mental ward, retard boy.

By the way, It is saturday, why aren't you watching cartoons.

2713. Re: Wheres Hillbiilly Dave?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 19:48:55 UTC
From: allantennas@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26105-3A3BC727-73@storefull-118.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64051

Ya know what N8 you are one sorry S.O.B.!!
Get a life Man.

2714. ICS Electronics Multi-Mode Terminal Unit - Can You Help Me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 20:00:02 UTC
From: Pete <pete@keirle.fsnet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <91ghlhmao2@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 64147

Hello readers,

Can you help me with any information on the ICS Electronics Ltd. All-Mode Terminal Unit?
I purchased this at a rally in Deven and all I can tell you is that it decodes the following modes...

CMD
ARQ
FEC
RTTY
CW
ASCII

The front panel has a LED segment tuning display, Wide/Narrow + Nor/Rev switching and a
cluster of status LED's on the right-hand side.
The rear panel has two 5-pin DIN sockets marked 'Terminal' and 'Xcvr', three presets for audio
In/Out and PTT and a DC supply socket.

The unit is made by ICL, Arundel, W.Susssex.

My unit was bought "as seen" and is not working. I would appreciate any info. at all. Perhaps
you have some idea of the pin designations for the two DIN sockets..?

Well, thanks for reading this and thanks in anticipation for any possible info.

73's and best regards...
Pete.

pete@keirle.fsnet.co.uk
http://www.keirle.fsnet.co.uk

2715. Re: HOWARD STERN....$100 MILLION, 5 YEARS.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 20:02:19 UTC
From: ngabuckaroo223@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001216150219.01557.00001447@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Source record: 64048

Yea really? I didnt know that

North GA. Buckaroo "223"

2716. Re: FCC and police have an amp!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 20:03:27 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3BCA8F.2C1D2FEF@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64049

Rich Myer wrote:
>
> whaat do u expect from a guy who drives a Chevy.

Hey, Pal,

My heavy Chevy has over 210,000 miles on it's original motor.
It starts right up every day. Goes where I want it to. It
brings me back all the time. Chevy Power!

2717. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 20:18:50 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a3bccbd.168454@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64050

On Sat, 16 Dec 2000 18:04:16 GMT, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>I will get the book this week from my work library.

It's about time.

>Well no one actually wrote that a coax does not radiate because it
>is common knowledge. It would be stating the obvious.

And it's obvious that the earth is flat . . .

>I'll get your book. We'll settle this.

I'm waiting. In the meantime, please continue with your misinformation
campaign - it's very entertaining.

Sparky, FLRC

2718. N8wwm fairy flaming faggot still here?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 20:21:48 UTC
From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91gisn$dc3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64055

I should have known chickenhawk was still here and the first post I read by him refers to what else homosexual thoughts chickenhawk got it bad he lashes out at those he is attracted to and holds secret desire for come on chickenhawk boy be my bitch puppet on a string when I say to say something stupid for the masses you jump chickenhawk now go back to your circle jerk chickenhawk but say something queer before you go so the new folks know what a chickenhawk is

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2719. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 20:22:02 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a3bceb4.671348@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64052

On Sat, 16 Dec 2000 12:12:45 -0500, "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com>
wrote:

>With all do respect, I am afraid that your knowledge exceeds your
>understanding. When one claims expertise in a subject, first some of the
>simplest things must be understood. You stated disagreement in a prior
>message that a shorted 1/4 wave length of coax is an infinite impedance, nor
>could changing the length of a transmission live vary impedance. Both of
>these are basic to understanding the more complex issues you choose to
>address. It appears that you ALWAYS assume that the antenna is 50 ohm with
>+/-J = 0. If this were true many of the things you say would apply,
>unfortunately such is not the case. Resonance, is defined as the condition
>where XL=XC with no resistive value specified. For example the resonance of
>free space is 377 ohms. You have narrowed the universe to a specific set of
>conditions which has no application in the real world. A million CBers have
>changed the length of their coax and observed the SWR change. Why?

Because he can't admit that he's wrong, and his pride won't even allow
him to cut some coax to see for himself.

Sparky, FLRC

2720. Re: ILLEGAL 11 METRE PACKET RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 20:26:38 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001216152638.10973.00002583@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Source record: 64053

you really should use your newsgroup killfiles on n8wwm and the likes. its done wonders for me when it comes to enjoying the group. i hadnt even known he wrote a thing until i seen your reply.

>Subject: Re: ILLEGAL 11 METRE PACKET RADIO
>From: "BigPoppaPump" freak'Onature@aola.com
>Date: 12/16/00 9:53 AM Pacific Standard Time
>Message-id: <q_N_5.774$TC3.360274@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
>
>Now your an expert on other countrys laws ... lay down you asshole..
>"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
>news:20001216095725.04467.00000986@ng-cn1.aol.com...
>> Thats against the law

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

2721. FS: Pyramid 35 Amp Power Supply - $115 Shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 20:29:50 UTC
From: "Alex J. (KC0AK)" <alexjent@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <91gj9i$fogo$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64054
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

Have for sale a Pyramid Model PS-36K power supply in very good condition surplus to my needs . Works fine.

Pic available via: http://pages.prodigy.net/alexjent/PS-36K.jpg

Note: There is a scratch on the voltage meter face. The picture shows it much worse than it actually is due to shadow from flash.

$115 shipped to you via UPS ground.

--
Thanks,
Alex

KC0AK
Independence, MN 55357
USA

2722. Re: FCC and police have an amp!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 20:32:47 UTC
From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91gjhgdpu1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64058

In article <20001216142223.26500.00004648@ng-fu1.aol.com>,
n8wwm(chickenhawk)@.chickenhawk.com (N8wwm)chickenhawk wrote:
> >(Hippie Freak)
> >oneqck69widdudes@aol.com
> While munching his grandma's carpet, spewed the following ridiculous moronic
> drivel:
> >
> >Just a question but what the hell would the police or FCC do with my amps
> >when
> >they find me and come them. They going to use them them selves or take a few
> >and make one super huge amp? Imagine a local officer using his new 150 pill
> >amp
> >on the police freq! Doesn't look like anyone will get over him! What do they
> >do
> >with them once they take them? I know they put them back out on the streets
> >so
> >others can get busted! Just a question for thought.
> >
>
> N8WWM (chickenhawk)faggishly cried:
> Another little whinebaby bitching about things that have no merit or bearing on
> the question. The issue is that operating outside the regular 40 channels with
> modified equipment, amps, etc is illegal. You clowns jsut think you can eat
> your squirrel turd cookies and blah blah on illegal frequencies at will. The
> party is gonna end boys, and I will laugh my socks off when it does.
>
>well why don't you hold your breath until it do come down chickenhawk

yes we talk on any frequency at will withoout fear of reprisal its really
great to watch your faggot chickenhawk ass cry and snivel and yell about
legalities the thing is we dont think chickenhawk we know we can so we do and
there aint a shittin thing you can do about it chickenhawk except come in
here and cry like the bitch chickenhawk you is oh life is great when you aint
a chickenhawk

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2723. Re: FCC and police have an amp!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 20:35:58 UTC
From: oneqck76ta@aol.com.com.com
Message-ID: <20001216153558.01925.00002930@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 64057

>>(Hippie Freak)
>>oneqck69widdudes@aol.com
>While munching his grandma's carpet, spewed the following ridiculous moronic
>drivel:
>>
>>Just a question but what the hell would the police or FCC do with my amps
>>when
>>they find me and come them. They going to use them them selves or take a few
>>and make one super huge amp? Imagine a local officer using his new 150 pill
>>amp
>>on the police freq! Doesn't look like anyone will get over him! What do they
>>do
>>with them once they take them? I know they put them back out on the streets
>>so
>>others can get busted! Just a question for thought.
>>
>
>N8WWM sparklingly replied:
>Another little whinebaby bitching about things that have no merit or bearing
>on
>the question. The issue is that operating outside the regular 40 channels
>with
>modified equipment, amps, etc is illegal. You clowns jsut think you can eat
>your squirrel turd cookies and blah blah on illegal frequencies at will. The
>party is gonna end boys, and I will laugh my socks off when it does.
>
>
>
>

And where in this did I say I was operating outside the 40 CB band? I read it twice and I didn't see any where that I was outside the CB band. And where did I say I was even keying up with an amp? Just stated that I had some. And where did I say my stuff was modified? Didn't say that either! Didn't say I was using them did I! Get your facts straight before ya say anything nextime!! Oh well, Untill next time.....

Later
oneqck76ta@aol.com
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta

You've got "459" out of Minneapolis Minnesota and I'm reading the mail

**********Hippie Freak**********
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta/cbradio

2724. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 20:39:08 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a3bd176.1377738@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64056

On Sat, 16 Dec 2000 13:02:57 -0500, Jerry Oxendine
<joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>Yes, Guys,
>
>Please listen to these two!! You'll save yourselves a lot of grief as
>well as a lot of useless labor. It really boils down to this: if you
>are "tuning" your antenna or chasing resonance/matching by cutting coax,
>you are masking the real problem and "fooling" your test equipment as
>well as yourself.
<snip>

This is exactly the point that I have been trying to make all along.
Changing the length of the coax does not reduce or eliminate reflected
power, and therefore standing waves. This can only be done by properly
tuning your antenna. But for the person that doesn't want to build an
L- or Pi-network, you can cut the coax to reduce the harmful effects
of standing waves on your radio at the expense of radiated power. A
poor substitute, IMO.

Sparky, FLRC

2725. Re: ILLEGAL 11 METRE PACKET RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 20:45:00 UTC
From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91gk8d$e8s$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64062

In article <20001216095725.04467.00000986@ng-cn1.aol.com>,
n8wwm(chickenhawk)@chickenhawk.com (N8wwm)chickenhawk typed with a limp wrist
and nuts slapping off his chin:

> Thats against the law
>
>so is sodomy in some states but we dont >care that you do it chickenhawk
>11 meter packet sure is illegal >chickenhawk and a great deal of fun I >dont think it would be half the fun it >really is without you out here >reinforcing it each day whenever I see >you calling something illegal >chickenhawk I run right out and do it >and it brings me much satisfaction sort >of like your wife I was worried about >that used nasty shit but once you get >past that tiny two inches of used >puntang up front the rest is pretty good >there chickenhawk

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2726. Re: Wanted Please - Cobra 148GTL-DX SSB Rig

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 20:45:35 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001216154535.10973.00002587@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Source record: 64059

try the uk cb news group

>
>Hello, readers.
>After a long break from the UK 11metre radio scene, I'd like to get back
>into the hobby again.
>I started when CB radio first came to these shores way back in the
>mid-seventies. And yep -
>I guess I'm a seasoned 'breaker'..! I turned to Short-Wave listening for
>many years and also got
>into designing/building my own HF radio equipment.
>Did I get into Amateur Radio..? Yeah but, apart from too many rules and
>regulations, todays
>Amateurs have forgotten how to have fun. And radio can and should be real
>fun. (Just ask the
>operators of the now-gone, Radio Caroline - Remember them... yeah, of
>course!)
>
>Pirate..? Yep - that's me. I guess I been around for as long as the
>"Echo-Charlie" band. So that's
>where you come in...
>I'd love to own a Cobra 148GTL-DX again. Looking at these newsgroups I see a
>lot of good and
>clever people still using AM/SSB and I miss all this. So do you or anybody
>you may know have
>such a set which I could buy..? I'm not too bothered about the appearance
>as long as it's in a
>reasonable working condition. I 'm interested in non-working sets, too.
>
>Well, thanks for reading and I'd sure appreciate any help that fellow
>operators may be able to offer.
>
>Thanks again, good luck and 73's...
>Pete.
>
>pete@keirle.fsnet.co.uk
>http://www.keirle.fsnet.co.uk
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

2727. Re: WANTED: CB TECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 20:46:30 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001216154630.10973.00002588@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Source record: 64060

hahahaha u wish

>Im a dealer. My customer is the payor. How much ya gonna pay me for
>referring
>my customer to you?

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

2728. Re: 2 driving 8 is ILLEGAL on CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 20:52:01 UTC
From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91gklg$em4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64063

In article <20001216143742.26500.00004654@ng-fu1.aol.com>,
n8wwm(chickenawk)@chickenhawk.com (N8wwm) chickenhawk regurgitated:
> ILLEGAL ILLEGAL ILLEGAL...
>
>
>keep yelling chickenhawk it makes it all >worth while

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2729. Re: SuperSwangThang is a gutless punk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 20:52:24 UTC
From: oneqck76ta@aol.com.com.com
Message-ID: <20001216155224.01925.00002931@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 64061

Look again. He posed his real address at the bottom of the post. I swear you get dumber by the day!

>
>Hiding behind a phony email address.
>
oneqck76ta@aol.com
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta

You've got "459" out of Minneapolis Minnesota and I'm reading the mail

**********Hippie Freak**********
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta/cbradio

2730. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 20:57:40 UTC
From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91gl02eqr1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64065

In article <914a4u$uv3$1@newsfeed.smartt.com>,
"Andrew" <akocsar@smartt.com> wrote:
> If the resistance of a length of coax (6, 10, or 50 ') is approximately 50
> ohms, why do the antenna manufacturers reccomend an 18 ' length. I'm
> assuming now that there is more to the match than the resistance of a
> particular length of cable.
> Thanks,
> Andrew
>
>
>trying to convince someone that coax >length dont matter is like trying to >tell
chickenhawk the virtues of >heterosexuality some will never get it

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2731. Re: Do NOT Tolerate Illegal CBers!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 21:03:53 UTC
From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91glbm$f5u$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64066

In article <20001216143201.26500.00004652@ng-fu1.aol.com>,
n8wwm(chickenhawk)@chickenhawk.comn (N8wwm)chickenhawk gurgled through a full
mouth:

>
> punishesHisPooper@aol.com (Punisha413fl), in his dweeb like fashion sputtered
> the following trash, in leiu of the intelligent thought he is incapable of:
>
> >bet you cried to your teacher cause you saw johhny cheating on his test to
>
> N8wwm faggingly cried:
> Bet you cried to your teacher cause you were to dumb to TAKE the test. By the
> way, dope, your spelling of "to" is messed up. Shoulda spelled it "too".
> When I come out to visit you at the retard ranch and bring you a dictionary.
>
>
>
>the only ranch youre familiar with is >the chickenhawk farm

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2732. Re: Do NOT Tolerate Illegal CBers!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 21:05:55 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <TOQ_5.804$g37.82107@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64064

N8WWM DE AA2QA. ZBM2.

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001216143201.26500.00004652@ng-fu1.aol.com...
>
> punishesHisPooper@aol.com (Punisha413fl), in his dweeb like fashion
sputtered
> the following trash, in leiu of the intelligent thought he is incapable
of:
>
>
> >bet you cried to your teacher cause you saw johhny cheating on his test
to
>
> N8wwm Sparklingly replied:
> Bet you cried to your teacher cause you were to dumb to TAKE the test. By
the
> way, dope, your spelling of "to" is messed up. Shoulda spelled it "too".
> When I come out to visit you at the retard ranch and bring you a
dictionary.
>
>
>

2733. Re: Techs refuse to learn code so they hang out on rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 21:10:27 UTC
From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91glnv$fj2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64072

In article <20001216143406.26500.00004653@ng-fu1.aol.com>,
n8wwm(chickenhawk)@chickenhawk.com (N8wwm)chickenhawk wrote:
> >chevy402@vilinet.net, a suirrel turd munching moron, dribbled:
>
> >N8WWM(chickenhawk): Its too hard.....Its too hard.....please stop! I cant take
> >it.............
> >
>
> N8WWM(chickenhawk) cried: Even if that was true...I am legal and you are not...nyaa nyaaa
> nyaa!
>
>chickenhawks second fag admission
>its true
>and there aint nothing you can do about >either one chickenhawk

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2734. Re: Wheres Hillbiilly Dave?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 21:12:03 UTC
From: truth@planetc.com
Message-ID: <91glqv$fk1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64071

In article <20001216142328.26500.00004650@ng-fu1.aol.com>,
n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> Munching his squirrel turd cookies and picking his nose, most likely.
>

SO is that's how that HICK fixes CB's ? Actually they eat the squirrl
without the turds and dem boogers are better than parafin wax for dem
coils!
Dave!!!!!!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2735. WTD: Keys - where the hell did I put them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 21:12:37 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <9VQ_5.155608$U46.5065596@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 64067

I can't find my keys. Anyone seen them anywhere?

2736. Re: N8wwm fairy flaming faggot still here?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 21:12:57 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001216161257.12114.00005426@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 64068

From: 1mangayboy@my-deja.com, while munching his sister's carpet, incoherently spewed through her yeast infection:

>
>I should have known chickenhawk was still here and the first post I read by
>him refers to what else homosexual thoughts chickenhawk got it bad he lashes
>out at those he is attracted to and holds secret desire for come on
>chickenhawk boy be my bitch puppet on a string when I say to say something
>stupid for the masses you jump chickenhawk now go back to your circle jerk
>chickenhawk but say something queer before you go so the new folks know what
>a chickenhawk is
>

That's all you can do you sissy dweeb. Whine and insult. Whine some more you queen, it cracks me up. While you are at it, go visit your doctor for that big zit on your shoulders....oops...sorry...that's your head!

2737. Re: HOWARD STERN....$100 MILLION, 5 YEARS.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 21:16:21 UTC
From: truth@planetc.com
Message-ID: <91gm31$fn8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64077

In article <91g6t4$4d9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:
> geez, talk about sex every morning and get paid $100,000,000 for 5
> years. what a deal. are new yorkers really that bored???????,....head
> out......
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>
NO, most of them are STUPID & WICKED. They put in Hillary, remember?
Also Howie may just be in a LAKE OF FIRE up to his eyeballs within 5
years, so all them worthless paper dollars won't get him out of there
for his vile mouth!!!
Dave!!!!!!!!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2738. Re: N8wwm fairy flaming faggot still here?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 21:16:28 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001216161628.12114.00005428@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 64070

>1mangayboy@my-deja.com, I got some news for ya. The tests came back, your mother has syphillis. You better get treatment before your fallopian tubs rust up.

2739. Re: SuperSwangThang is a gutless punk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 21:21:18 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001216162118.12114.00005429@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 64074

oneqckat69w/guys@aol.com.com.com (Hippie Freak) dribbled:

>Look again. He posed his real address at the bottom of the post. I swear you
>get dumber by the day!
>

N8wwm replied:
Yer correct, my mistake. I did get the gutless punk part right, though.

2740. STOP CROSS POSTINGRe: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 21:23:55 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <L3R_5.155622$U46.5066729@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 64076

Stop the cross posts

"Aaron Jones" <nomail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:odan3tg0l4fn1k7tm814jjsik2ij9hb4s7@4ax.com...
Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:
>Easier to ridicule me [by calling me a blockhead]
>instead of offering ligitimate debate?

The pot calling the kettle...

More Dwight Stewart:
4-23-00 to Donald Burkhart:
I guess this proves that no matter how stupid you are, there is always
someone out there that is more so.

5-21-00 to John Douglas:
If they're stupid for not knowing the answer, what does that make you
when you also don't know the answer?

2741. MURS Questions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 21:24:36 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <q3R_5.982$YI.43377@news1.atl>
Source record: 64075

What's the calling freq?

What will be the truckers freq?

Thanks

2742. Re: Aaron Voobner and n8wmm are 1 in the same in opposing illegals.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 21:26:18 UTC
From: ")======}||||||||||||||||||}>----------"
Message-ID: <GXQ_5.262$635.43657@nnrp2.sbc.net>
Source record: 64069

LID LID LID LID LID LID LID LID LID!!!!!!!!!

--

)=====}||||||||||||||||||}>----------
****DR-KAVORKIAN****
----------<{||||||||||||||||||{=====(
"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001216141337.26500.00004645@ng-fu1.aol.com...
> >"Ratsass" jfayard@ionet.net, with his acrid puke defiling his keyboard,
typed
> the following horse manure:
>
> >This kind of
> >"talk" is what is expected from sex offenders in prison, why are your
doing
> >this?
> N8WWM sparklingly retorted:
> Yeah, right. I think you need to look back in the past threads and see
what has
> been posted here by others. You think what I post is rank? I won't give
you any
> examples, just look back over the past 30 days or so. Also, turn on a CB
and
> listen. All I am doing is giving a group of degenerate wannabes their own
> medicine right back in their faces. They all like to dish it out but can't
take
> it. Period.
>

2743. Re: Aaron Voobner and n8wmm are 1 in the same in opposing illegals.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 21:31:13 UTC
From: 1mangang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91gmv1ggi1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64079

In article <20001216141337.26500.00004645@ng-fag.com>,
n8wwm(chickenhawk)@chickenhawk.com (N8wwm)chickenhawk cooed:
> >"Ratsass" jfayard@ionet.net, with his acrid puke defiling his keyboard, typed
> the following horse manure:
>
> >This kind of
> >"talk" is what is expected from sex offenders in prison, why are your doing
> >this?
> N8WWM(chickenhawk) ugly and retarded:
> Yeah, right. I think you need to look back in the past threads and see what I
> have posted here about others. You think what I post is rank? I won't give you any
> examples, just look back over the past 30 days or so. Also, turn on a CB and
> listen. All I am doing is attempting at giving a group of cbers a bj. I want their own
> right back in my face. They all like to dish it out but can't take a queer like me
>Im on my Period.
>
>lying ass chickenhawk look back at the >past 30 days or so and see who started >talking
about queers and same sex when >she couldnt handle the pressure it all >came from your lame
bitch ass >chickenhawk

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2744. Re: Aaron Voobner and n8wmm are 1 in the same in opposing illegals.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 21:31:41 UTC
From: ")======}||||||||||||||||||}>----------"
Message-ID: <I0R_5.263$635.44991@nnrp2.sbc.net>
Source record: 64073

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message Your words have no meaning.

Nor do yours LID!!!!!!
I see more curse words in your post than I hear on any CB channel in my
area!!
By LID!!!!

--

)=====}||||||||||||||||||}>----------
****DR-KAVORKIAN****
----------<{||||||||||||||||||{=====(
>
>
>

2745. 1mangang is a CB dweeble

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 21:32:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001216163200.12114.00005433@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 64078

You better get that VD cleaned up, little boy. Your ma says she dont wanna romp with you 'til ya do.
Crying? Hardly so. Actually I am
laughing my butt of at the venom you spew...Thanx a bunch. It proves my point about CB morons so completely.
"Mercy me good buddy, them hammies this them hammies that"

1manbuttlik, while licking the cat turds out of his mustache, pleadingly cried:
>yes we talk on any frequency at will withoout fear of reprisal its really
>great to watch your faggot chickenhawk ass cry and snivel and yell about
>legalities the thing is we dont think chickenhawk we know we can so we do and
>there aint a shittin thing you can do about it chickenhawk except come in
>here and cry like the bitch chickenhawk you is oh life is great when you aint
>a chickenhawk
>
>

2746. FS: NOS BC-200 and BC-760xlt Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 21:44:46 UTC
From: truth@planetc.com
Message-ID: <91gnod$h0q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64080

Yep, I have 1 left NEW in the box 200 xlt, and a few BC-760xlt scanners left, the old convertable ones. E mail me if interested, around $300 each. Never been sold before from CB shop. Dave truth@planetc.com

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2747. Re: Aaron Voobner and n8wmm are 1 in the same in opposing illegals.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 21:53:10 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <freak'Onature@aola.com>
Message-ID: <avR_5.849$TC3.440144@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64081

n8wmm instead of helping be the solution to the problem you are just adding
to the problem and quite frankly on th ng you are the problem. I honestly
dont think you want to help clean the 11 meter band you just want to
contribute hate and discontent
"Rastus" <jfayard@ionet.net> wrote in message
news:91ge27$t5s@news-central.tiac.net...
> Again, in the name of Jesus, why are you doing this?
>
>
> "N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20001216141337.26500.00004645@ng-fu1.aol.com...
> > >"Ratsass" jfayard@ionet.net, with his acrid puke defiling his keyboard,
> typed
> > the following horse manure:
> >
> > >This kind of
> > >"talk" is what is expected from sex offenders in prison, why are your
> doing
> > >this?
> > N8WWM sparklingly retorted:
> > Yeah, right. I think you need to look back in the past threads and see
> what has
> > been posted here by others. You think what I post is rank? I won't give
> you any
> > examples, just look back over the past 30 days or so. Also, turn on a CB
> and
> > listen. All I am doing is giving a group of degenerate wannabes their
own
> > medicine right back in their faces. They all like to dish it out but
can't
> take
> > it. Period.
>
>

2748. Today's Joke.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 21:54:28 UTC
From: scsiudma@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001216165428.08495.00004431@ng-mk1.aol.com>
Source record: 64083

Here's a joke for you: A guy picks up a tipsy babe in a bar. They go to her place, and to warm things up, he starts to go down on her. He's eating away, when suddenly out pops a little piece of carrot. "Hmm," he thinks, spitting it out, and then he continues to lick her. A few moments later, out comes a sliver of celery. "This is weird," he thinks to himself. Once again, down he goes. This time, out pops a small piece of balogna. This is too much for the guy. He looks up at her and says, "What's the matter? Are you sick or something?"
"No," she says, "but the guy before you was!"

2749. Re: Techs refuse to learn code so they hang out on rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 21:54:36 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <freak'Onature@aola.com>
Message-ID: <wwR_5.852$TC3.440991@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64082

how do you know if he is legal are not you are making assinine statements
again.
"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001216143406.26500.00004653@ng-fu1.aol.com...
> >chevy402@vilinet.net, a suirrel turd munching moron, dribbled:
>
> >N8WWM: Its too hard.....Its too hard.....please stop! I cant take
> >it.............
> >
>
> N8WWM replied: Even if that was true...I am legal and you are not...nyaa
nyaaa
> nyaa!

2750. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 21:56:14 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <freak'Onature@aola.com>
Message-ID: <2yR_5.1663$L3.450234@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64084

sorry sparky it is you that is spreading mis information

"Sparky" <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message
news:3a3bccbd.168454@news.cet.com...
> On Sat, 16 Dec 2000 18:04:16 GMT, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >I will get the book this week from my work library.
>
> It's about time.
>
> >Well no one actually wrote that a coax does not radiate because it
> >is common knowledge. It would be stating the obvious.
>
> And it's obvious that the earth is flat . . .
>
> >I'll get your book. We'll settle this.
>
> I'm waiting. In the meantime, please continue with your misinformation
> campaign - it's very entertaining.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

2751. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 22:18:22 UTC
From: Bob <bob@bob.com>
Message-ID: <3A3BEA2D.B3EC0353@bob.com>
Source record: 64085

Trust me, this is no place to argue coax length. Whatever people want to believe is fine - it won't hurt them. You will talk till you're blue in the face, but some people here have their minds made up. They believe it so blindly they won't hear real discussion.

Handy Andy believes you can "conjugate match" with the right length of 50 Ohm coax! Holy smokes!

Bob.

2752. Re: NOS BC-200 and BC-760xlt Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 22:46:25 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <91grc1$9nk@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 64086

Convertible to what?

<truth@planetc.com> wrote in message news:91gnod$h0q$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Yep, I have 1 left NEW in the box 200 xlt, and a few BC-760xlt scanners
> left, the old convertable ones. E mail me if interested, around $300
> each. Never been sold before from CB shop. Dave truth@planetc.com
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

2753. Re: STOP CROSS POSTINGRe: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 22:49:26 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <91grhm$9ni@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 64087

It is useless; unless someone can develop a license program and a multiple choice answer test these newbie hams will never figure it out.

"The Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message news:L3R_5.155622$U46.5066729@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> Stop the cross posts
>
>
> "Aaron Jones" <nomail@nomail.com> wrote in message
> news:odan3tg0l4fn1k7tm814jjsik2ij9hb4s7@4ax.com...
> Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:
> >Easier to ridicule me [by calling me a blockhead]
> >instead of offering ligitimate debate?
>
> The pot calling the kettle...
>
> More Dwight Stewart:
> 4-23-00 to Donald Burkhart:
> I guess this proves that no matter how stupid you are, there is always
> someone out there that is more so.
>
> 5-21-00 to John Douglas:
> If they're stupid for not knowing the answer, what does that make you
> when you also don't know the answer?
>
>
>
>

2754. Re: Aaron Voobner and n8wmm are 1 in the same in opposing illegals.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 22:51:53 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t3nsgc6bn2lo43@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 64088

Rastus,
I guess it shows that a license does not a human being make...

Jeff

"Rastus" <jfayard@ionet.net> wrote in message
news:91g016$7as@news-central.tiac.net...
> What is it that is in you that sparks such perverted writing? This kind
of
> "talk" is what is expected from sex offenders in prison, why are your
doing
> this?
>
>
> "N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20001216100824.04467.00000990@ng-cn1.aol.com...
> > "Jeff Mayner" bk43@pacmyass.net,
> > while fingerbanging his poodle, dribbled forth the following nonsense:
> >
> > >My opinion?
> > >They are not one in the same but they *are* both self serving, little
> > >whining bitches that this NG can do well without.
> >
> > N8WWM, sparklingly retorted:
> > Thats cuz you criminal pussies can't take the truth. You are just
another
> one
> > of the twatal orifices blowing shit. Your words have no meaning.
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2755. Re: HOWARD STERN....$100 MILLION, 5 YEARS.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 22:56:02 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t3nso4qtjeejc1@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 64089

It's a funny world we live in. Starving people in our cities and radio wienies and foreign baseball players are paid 10's of millions of dollars per year.
Some sad shit, for sure...

Jeff

<curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:91g6t4$4d9$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> geez, talk about sex every morning and get paid $100,000,000 for 5
> years. what a deal. are new yorkers really that bored???????,....head
> out......
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2756. Re: HOWARD STERN....$100 MILLION, 5 YEARS.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 22:58:08 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t3nss2qo26c41a@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 64090

Dave,
Chill. He's half Jewish, was raised in the Jewish faith and he has raised his children in the Jewish faith. Threats of Lakes of Fire aren't going to scare Howie! LOL...

Jeff

<truth@planetc.com> wrote in message news:91gm31$fn8$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <91g6t4$4d9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:
> > geez, talk about sex every morning and get paid $100,000,000 for 5
> > years. what a deal. are new yorkers really that bored???????,....head
> > out......
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
> >
> NO, most of them are STUPID & WICKED. They put in Hillary, remember?
> Also Howie may just be in a LAKE OF FIRE up to his eyeballs within 5
> years, so all them worthless paper dollars won't get him out of there
> for his vile mouth!!!
> Dave!!!!!!!!
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2757. Re: FCC and police have an amp!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 22:58:29 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A3BF246.7C48@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 64168

Hey, Scott!

I'm not as far along as you, but my '87 Chevy Celebrity, which I bought new, has 198,387 miles on it. Engine and tranny have NEVER been opened except for routine service--it is all original except for the usual repair stuff like brakes, belts, alternators (3 in 13 years) and one CV axle last summer. It starts, runs without any ticks, clicks, unusual noises, or smoke. I have kept it for my "radio" car. Since 1973 when I said a very angry goodbye to Ford, I've driven nothing but GM since. I get excellent service, economy and reliability from Chevrolet. With the troubles I had with Fords, INCLUDING lots of trouble getting rid of ignition noise, you couldn't RUN fast enough to give me one! LOL! I also have a 1993 Lumina Z34 coupe with 70,000 miles and it gives no trouble, either. It is my "pampered" car that only gets driven on sunny days and to church on Sunday. I wouldn't trade either, or both, plus boot for one NEW Ford!

Chevy Forever!!

73

Jerry

Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay) wrote:
>
> Rich Myer wrote:
> >
> > whaat do u expect from a guy who drives a Chevy.
>
> Hey, Pal,
>
> My heavy Chevy has over 210,000 miles on it's original motor.
> It starts right up every day. Goes where I want it to. It
> brings me back all the time. Chevy Power!

2758. Re: Today's Joke.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 23:00:21 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t3nt08jesejp6c@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 64092

"SCSI UDMA" <scsiudma@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001216165428.08495.00004431@ng-mk1.aol.com...
> Here's a joke for you: A guy picks up a tipsy babe in a bar. They go to
her
> place, and to warm things up, he starts to go down on her. He's eating
away,
> when suddenly out pops a little piece of carrot. "Hmm," he thinks,
spitting it
> out, and then he continues to lick her. A few moments later, out comes a
sliver
> of celery. "This is weird," he thinks to himself. Once again, down he
goes.
> This time, out pops a small piece of balogna. This is too much for the
guy. He
> looks up at her and says, "What's the matter? Are you sick or something?"
> "No," she says, "but the guy before you was!"

Oh man!
Hope nobodies eating stew when they read this!
LOL

Jeff

2759. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 23:00:23 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <91gs67$9ng@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 64091

You raise another point. The locals do not have the equipment to develop a case. They would have to rely on the FCC to provide and operate the equipment so there would be enough evidence to stand up to a trial. A recording of your radio bleeding over someone's TV does not mean you are running illegal equipment.

This is where they have control over the Hams. If a ham refuses his ticket gets pulled there is no eminent penalty to a CB operator unless they FCC has gone to the time and expense to monitor you and then fine you.

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:3A3BB100.6CF8@bellsouth.net...
> While you are correct that FCC agents cannot come into your house
> without permission, they have the right to inspect your equipment. Now
> if they are allowed into your home, they may NOT "search" for anything,
> i.e. rifle thru drawers, examine personal papers, etc. But when one
> obtains a license or operates a CB radio, he gives "tacit" approval for
> FCC to inspect their radio station. When/If an FCC agent comes to your
> door, he already has a pretty good idea that *you* are the source of
> whatever difficulty at hand. Their equipment is very good. If the
> agents are not allowed to inspect the station at the time of the
> "offense", then it gives the "offender" a chance to get rid of the amp,
> modded ham radio, etc, that drew FCC's attention. So, if you refuse the
> "surprise" inspection, this is a case where you will still get some sort
> of citation, fine, or whatever. To refuse inspection is a violation in
> and of itself, so ya just can't win, eh?
>
> 73
>
> Jerry
>
>
>
>
>
> wlfdad@my-deja.com wrote:
> >
> > > I'm just curious - could someone who's a little more familiar with
> > the law
> > > clairify if the law requires the officer to obtain a search warrent,
> > or do they
> > > operate under the same authority as the FCC does to inspect you
> > equipment at
> > > any time, thus negating the need for a warrant? If that's the case,
> > without a

> > > warrant the cop couldn't arrest you for the crack you have sitting
> > next to your
> > > CB, but he could take the illegal amp you're running.. again, I am
> > not totally
> > > familiar with the law, so I don't know if they need a warrant..
> > anyone know?
> > I am familiar with law in general(Not saying how) ANY Search needs a
> > warrant...this warrant needs to state exactly WHAT they are searching
> > for and WHERE their going to find and/or search for it! My bag of crack
> > falls under a different area of the law and believe it or not...if this
> > happened the person searched could get a GOOD lawyer and get off on the
> > crack charges! You don't need to take my word for it...ask a COP or a
> > LAWYER. It's kind of A loop hole...people who get busted know they did
> > wrong so they don't fight it. NOBODY can search my house without a
> > warrant stating what they are looking for...not even the FEDS!
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/

2760. H.R. 2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 23:04:26 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <91gsgu$a55k$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64165

For any one who wants to know exactly how it whent down in congress and what was said, here it is.

KRS

--
Congressional Record article 2 of 23

AUTHORIZING ENFORCEMENT OF REGULATIONS ON CITIZENS BAND RADIO EQUIPMENT
(House of Representatives - September 27, 2000)

[Page: H8275] GPO's PDF
Mr. BURR of North Carolina. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 2346) to authorize the enforcement by State and local governments of certain Federal Communications Commission regulations regarding use of citizens band radio equipment.

The Clerk read as follows:

H.R. 2346

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
SECTION 1. ENFORCEMENT OF REGULATIONS REGARDING CITIZENS BAND RADIO EQUIPMENT.
Section 302 of the Communications Act of 1934 (47 U.S.C. 302a) is amended by adding at the end the following:
`(f)(1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), a State or local government may enact a statute or ordinance that prohibits a violation of the following regulations of the Commission under this section:

`(A) A regulation that prohibits a use of citizens band radio equipment not authorized by the Commission.

`(B) A regulation that prohibits the unauthorized operation of citizens band radio equipment on a frequency between 24 MHz and 35 MHz.
`(2) A station that is licensed by the Commission pursuant to section 301 in any radio service for the operation at issue shall not be subject to action by a State or local government under this subsection. A State or local government statute or ordinance enacted for purposes of this subsection shall identify the exemption available under this paragraph.
`(3) The Commission shall provide technical guidance to State and local governments regarding the detection and determination of violations of the regulations specified in paragraph (1).
`(4)(A) In addition to any other remedy authorized by law, a person affected

by the decision of a State or local government enforcing a statute or ordinance under paragraph (1) may submit to the Commission an appeal of the decision on the grounds that the State or local government, as the case may be, enacted a statute or ordinance outside the authority provided in this subsection.
`(B) A person shall submit an appeal on a decision of a State or local government to the Commission under this paragraph, if at all, not later than 30 days after the date on which the decision by the State or local government becomes final, but prior to seeking judicial review of such decision.
`(C) The Commission shall make a determination on an appeal submitted under subparagraph (B) not later than 180 days after its submittal.
`(D) If the Commission determines under subparagraph (C) that a State or local government has acted outside its authority in enforcing a statute or ordinance, the Commission shall preempt the decision enforcing the statute or ordinance.
`(5) The enforcement of statute or ordinance that prohibits a violation of a regulation by a State or local government under paragraph (1) in a particular case shall not preclude the Commission from enforcing the regulation in that case concurrently.
`(6) Nothing in this subsection shall be construed to diminish or otherwise affect the jurisdiction of the Commission under this section over devices capable of interfering with radio communications.
`(7) The enforcement of a statute or ordinance by a State or local government under paragraph (1) with regard to citizens band radio equipment on board a `commercial motor vehicle', as defined in section 31101 of title 49, United States Code, shall require probable cause to find that the commercial motor vehicle or the individual operating the vehicle is in violation of the regulations described in paragraph (1). Probable cause shall be defined in accordance with the technical guidance provided by the Commission under paragraph (3).'.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. Burr) and the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. Markey) each will control 20 minutes.

The Chair recognizes the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. Burr).

GENERAL LEAVE
Mr. BURR of North Carolina. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks and include extraneous material on H.R. 2346 .

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from North Carolina?

There was no objection.

Mr. BURR of North Carolina. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I support H.R. 2346 . It is an important initiative to improve compliance with FCC rules governing citizens band radio service.

Citizens band radio service can serve some very important functions. For instance, many people use CB radios in order to communicate in times of emergency. America's trucking community uses CB radios to report accidents and traffic problems on our Nation's highways and roadways. Many other people use CBs for simply short-distance communications, and others use it as a source of entertainment.

These constructive uses, however, are being overshadowed by the practice of a few bad actors. A number of individuals have taken advantage of the unlicensed nature of CB radio to operate outside the boundaries of FCC rules. In particular, a recurrent problem is CB users boosting their signal strength with power amplifiers. Further, some CB users operate outside the permit frequencies allocated for CB radio service.

When these violations occur, unexpected and potentially harmful interference can result for others who use the service. Traditionally, Congress has looked to the FCC to enforce its rules. In fact, current communications statutes give the FCC great authority to enforce its rules and take remedial action when the rules are not followed.

Unfortunately, the FCC has made clear that reported violations regarding CB radios will be investigated only as time, manpower and priorities permit. The FCC has also indicated that it will only investigate CB violations where there is convincing evidence that results from a violation of the rules has occurred, and then only on a low-priority basis.

H.R. 2346 is an effort to provide a back-up enforcement mechanism. Under H.R. 2346 , a State or local government is given authority to enact a statute or ordinance requiring operators of CB radio service within their jurisdiction to obey FCC rules. Violators would be subject to enforcement by State or local government.

The bill is carefully drafted so as not to interfere with the FCC's enforcement authority and provides suspected offenders with an appeals process.

This noncontroversial bill was reported from the Committee on Commerce by voice vote and enjoys bipartisan support.

I commend the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. Ehlers) for his work on this bill, and ask all Members to support its passage.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

[Page: H8276] GPO's PDF
Mr. MARKEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. Ehlers) and the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. Dingell) from Michigan have spent a considerable amount of time dealing with an issue which I think should be of great concern to everyone because of the increase in its occurrence as a phenomenon.

We have millions of CB operators across the country. They have a lot of fun with it, and they do not really cause anybody any problems at all. They are kind of like the original Internet, in a lot of ways. They are out there with their own separate sets of networks on which they are able to communicate, and it is really a great thing for our country.

[TIME: 1315]

But there has been a rising incidence of individuals using CB frequencies abusively. They actually build towers in their neighborhoods, and they start broadcasting over the CB frequency.

It has several severe adverse consequences for all of the rest of the people who live in the neighborhood. It has the effect of interfering with television broadcast reception. It has the impact of interfering with telephone reception. It has the impact of interfering with every electronic piece of equipment in the home.

Moreover, it has even more consequences. That is, the content of many of these CB frequency broadcasters is profane, and it interferes with the ability of families to be able to live in peace and quiet without having someone in the neighborhood broadcasting in a way that actually goes into the homes of others who live in that community.

The Federal Communications Commission does not have the resources to be able to deal with this essentially local phenomenon, this set of brush fires that are cropping up increasingly across the country in community after community.

What this legislation does is to give to the States the ability to move in and to enforce the laws which ensure that these neighborhood nightmares, these nuisances are shut down, and that those individuals use the CB frequency in the same way that the millions of others in America who use the CB frequency use it, that is, for their own enjoyment and not in a way which creates a nuisance for everyone else in their community.

Mr. Speaker, the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. Ehlers) and the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. Dingell), in my opinion, have done an excellent job on this legislation. I thank the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. Burr) for bringing it out to the floor at this time.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. BURR of North Carolina. Mr. Speaker, I yield such time as he may consume to the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. Ehlers), the bill's author.

Mr. EHLERS. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. Burr) for yielding me this time.

Mr. Speaker, I rise in support of the legislation that is before us which will combat unlawful use of citizen band radios. First of all, I want to thank the gentleman from Virginia (Chairman Bliley), the gentleman from Louisiana (Mr. Tauzin), the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. Dingell), and the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. Markey) for their assistance in bringing this legislation to the floor. I also thank the gentleman from North

Carolina (Mr. Burr) for his active efforts here.

Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the time that they all have taken to address this problem and pass it through the Committee on Commerce.

This legislation is not only important to my district, but to many other cities that are dealing with the same problems that this bill addresses. For several years, many of my constituents have been fighting a losing battle against illegal CB radio operators. Most CB radio operators use their equipment within the low-power levels prescribed by the FCC rules and regulations and do not cause any problems. However, some users illegally boost the range of their home-based CB equipment by using high-powered external linear amplifiers. Also, occasionally, they modify the frequencies illegally.

When the CB level is amplified above legal levels, or the frequency is changed, it causes interference with television, radio and phone signals and damages other electronic equipment in the surrounding houses. The interference can be so bad that surrounding residents hear CB conversations over their televisions, radios, and phones. This can be extremely frustrating as telephone conversations can be cut off, television signals can be distorted, and other electronic equipment can suffer interference.

Sometimes it is so bad that neighbors have to suffer through profane and abusive language that is being picked up by their own television sets, radios, or telephones.

This is not an isolated problem. Most of the cosponsors of this legislation have exactly the same problems in their districts, and that is true of many other areas of the country as well.

The Federal Communications Commission (the FCC), knows about the problem and has outlawed the sale and the use of these amplifiers. However, they are still on sale for other purposes and can be easily modified for use with CB radios. Even worse, the FCC does not have the personnel to enforce the law. Localities are powerless to help, because the FCC has a total preemption over enforcing regulations regarding CB radio use.

The legislation before us will allow State and local authorities to enforce the FCC regulations regarding CB equipment and frequencies. This would be a narrow exemption from the total Federal preemption of CB radio regulation enforcement and would give residents recourse against an unlawful CB operator by capitalizing on the enforcement capabilities of local government and on the FCC's years of experience in setting rules governing CB use. In other words, the best of both worlds.

The intent of this provision is to allow State and local governments to pass ordinances that will mimic Federal law and allow for its enforcement.

Mr. Speaker, this legislation, let me emphasize, does not change what equipment is and is not legal. People who are operating CB equipment in accordance with the FCC rules will not be affected at all by this legislation. I have also worked with the ham radio operators (amateur radio

operators) on this provision to ensure that their concerns about this legislation were addressed. Frankly, the ham radio operators in my district are very pleased with the bill. They were the ones who initiated it by asking me to address this particular problem, because it affected them as well.

The bill also contains a provision that exempts anyone who possesses a ham radio license from this legislation.

Lastly, the legislation contains a provision that specifically restates that local law enforcement officials must have just cause to investigate whether or not someone is operating an illegal amplifier before they take action against someone.

Just to summarize in a nutshell, we have a real Catch-22 at the moment. The Federal Government has the power to enforce these laws. Not only that, we preempt the law from other communities so that they cannot enforce them. And yet the Federal Government, through the FCC, does not enforce them. So we tell people we will enforce it, but we cannot enforce it. This bill resolves that problem by allowing those on the scene, the local law enforcement agencies, to deal with the problem that the Federal Government has preempted but does not enforce. I believe that this will be beneficial to everyone.

Mr. Speaker, in closing, I urge the House to approve this legislation. It is supported by the Committee on Commerce, the FCC, and local law enforcement officials. Again, I thank the leaders of the Committee on Commerce for bringing this bill to the floor.

Mr. MARKEY. Mr. Speaker, I do not have any other requests to speak at this time; and with the request to all Members to support this good piece of legislation, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. BURR of North Carolina. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. Markey). Again, I thank the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. Dingell) and the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. Ehlers), the authors of this bill. I have no additional speakers, and I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. Walden of Oregon). The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. Burr) that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 2346 .

The question was taken; and (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

2761. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 23:11:47 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <91gsrj$i2o@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 64093

"Cap'n Crunch" <kcfamily@tir.com> wrote in message
news:EQM_5.10593$sw.584225@nntp2.onemain.com...
> "CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net> wrote in message
> news:91e1dc$9o5@dispatch.concentric.net...
> > The FCC may have the right to inspect your equipment but that does not
> give them
> > the right to enter your home without your permission or a warrant.
>
> ...This is true... you have to give YOUR permission for them to enter and
> inspect your station. Refusal to allow inspection though (local LEO or
no,
> search warrant or not), will in most cases earn you a fine or the threat
of
> one if they beleive you are the source of the problem signal.
.......Kinda'
> like refusing the breathalizer test in a DWI... you're presumed guilty
> (..and just like a DWI case where the driver refuses the test, the FCC
will
> have their "observations", recordings, RF fingerprinting, etc...) If you
> decide to fight them in court over the fine (..and they have DEEP pockets
> for attorneys), they'll show up with plenty of 'evidence' whether they
ever
> get into your station or not.

Either the FCC or locals have to have the evidence at the end of the day. I
don't see my town investing in the equipment to gather the evidence.
>
> > they will usually show up with the local authority the second
> > time with a warrant in hand. This is one of the reasons enforcement is
so
> > time consuming, costly and difficult.
>
> Actually, the FCC needs no search warrant, and no judge's authority. The
> local LEO is usually there in case there's trouble.

They still will need a warrant to enter your home. I think anyone of
intelligence that recieves such a warning would make sure his or her house
got in order real quick. But maybe I am assuming a lot.
>
> > They will have to prove just cause to the judge in
> > order to obtain the search warrant. The fact that you have an antenna on
> > your roof should not suffice.
>
> As noted above... they'll already have the 'evidence' before they come
> knocking. (or asking for a warrant)

>
> >This Bill is a feel good bill and it will
> > have little impact unless the Feds can tie some substantial dollars to
it
> > that will entice the locals to enforce it.
>
> Here I agree totally.... another case of a law passed leaves it up to
local
> authorities to pass laws that mirror the FCC's (says as much in the
bill...
> you can't enact or enforce stricter rules than what they already have) and
> then leaves the responsibility for financial support of enforcement,
> prosecution, and possible liabilities squarely in the local authority's
lap!
> Unless the FCC makes it ABUNDANTLY profitable to do THEIR job FOR them, I
> can't imagine very many Local Authorities getting involved.

They (feds) would also have to provide the proper equipment and training to allow the locals to substantiate a wrong doing that would hold up in court. My guess is there will be some examples set, especially in the areas whose representative penned this bill. However, in the big picture it will be fart in a tornado. Except for those poor Bubba's that insists on talking over his neighbors TV's and don't head the warning signs.

>
>

2762. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 23:19:25 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a3bf830.226510@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64094

On Sat, 16 Dec 2000 17:18:22 -0500, Bob <bob@bob.com> wrote:

>Trust me, this is no place to argue coax length. Whatever people want to
>believe is fine - it won't hurt them. You will talk till you're blue in
>the face, but some people here have their minds made up. They believe it
>so blindly they won't hear real discussion.

Yeah, but arguing it is fun anyway.

Sparky, FLRC

2763. H.R. 2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-16 23:28:48 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <91h261$9juq$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64164

If you read the following sentences I believe this will sum it all up for CBers who want to know what they have to do in order to continue with operations as normal and not have to worry local authorities invading there privacy.

Remember, a Ham can talk on 11 meters but a CBer can talk on Amateur frequencies. Go down a take the test, "be exempt"(notice I didn't say legal), and who knows you may even enjoy the benefits Amateur service provides.

KRS

The bill also contains a provision that exempts anyone who possesses a ham radio license from this legislation.

Lastly, the legislation contains a provision that specifically restates that local law enforcement officials must have just cause to investigate whether or not someone is operating an illegal amplifier before they take action against someone.

2764. Re: HOWARD STERN....$100 MILLION, 5 YEARS.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 00:12:48 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <4yT_5.1101$JH3.88771@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64095

Some years ago (maybe 5?) he was going to run for gouvernor of New York.
Why didn't he? He would have had to reveal all sources of his income.

73s from Rochester NEW YORK (home of the thinking-impaired)
Jim

<curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:91g6t4$4d9$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> geez, talk about sex every morning and get paid $100,000,000 for 5
> years. what a deal. are new yorkers really that bored???????,....head
> out......
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2765. Re: New news group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 00:18:00 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <YCT_5.1103$JH3.88869@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64096

There was a 'legal CB' bulletin board - which finally died due to the efforts of a few brain-challenged individuals whose only purpose in life was to post a ton of 'it's illegal to' messages. This naturally caused a lot of flames and the board owner shut it down.
Sigh .....

73s from Rochester, NY
Jim

<fingerbang@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:91e092$i89$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Might I suggest a new group for some of you.........
>
> REC.RADIO.LEGAL.CB
> REC.RADIO.CB.LEGAL
> REC.RADIO.CB.NOIILEGAL
>
>
> FiNgErBaNg
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2766. Re: STOP CROSS POSTINGRe: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 00:24:24 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3C07B8.5E0192DE@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64097

Since you didn't cross post your message,
the CP'ers didn't see it!!

The Packrat wrote:
>
> Stop the cross posts

2767. Re: WTD: Keys - where the hell did I put them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 00:33:35 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
Message-ID: <3A3C0982.3891D6F3@home.com>
Source record: 64148

Here they are. You must of left them here by mistake.

The Packrat wrote:

> I can't find my keys. Anyone seen them anywhere?

2768. Coax Length [My experience]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 00:37:17 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3C0ABD.913FD148@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64149

I had 18 feet of coax in my Jeep. I cut it down to 7 feet so I wouldn't have a coil of leftover coax to get in the way. I, nor anybody else noticed a lick of difference. I use a KW-7 on the bumper that I've never tuned. I have about a 1.2:1 SWR. Coax length DOES NOT matter. Using good coax makes more difference then length. IMO, RG-58 sucks!

2769. Re: New news group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 00:43:13 UTC
From: The Landshark <s3buell@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <5ZT_5.327$Df3.111584@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 64150

I'm sure you're right, but I believe
they were suggesting a Newsgroup.

The Landshark

--
It's a Buell-tiful Day for a ride
through the Canyons.

1999 Ford F-150 Super Cab
1998 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
1983 Honda 1100F
BFD #666
"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:YCT_5.1103$JH3.88869@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> There was a 'legal CB' bulletin board - which finally died due to the
> efforts of a few brain-challenged individuals whose only purpose in life
was
> to post a ton of 'it's illegal to' messages. This naturally caused a lot
of
> flames and the board owner shut it down.
> Sigh .....
>
> 73s from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
> <fingerbang@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:91e092$i89$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > Might I suggest a new group for some of you.........
> >
> > REC.RADIO.LEGAL.CB
> > REC.RADIO.CB.LEGAL
> > REC.RADIO.CB.NOIILEGAL
> >
> >
> > FiNgErBaNg
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>
>
>

2770. Re: MURS Questions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 00:44:09 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3C0C59.DF898FB@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64151

Prophet Nutsack!! Are you tired of hammies chewing you out?
Us CB'ers will chew you up AND spit you out. Go back now while
you still have fingers to type with.

Lloyd Davies wrote:
>
> What's the calling freq?

146.940 -600
>
> What will be the truckers freq?

147.135 +600
>
> Thanks

2771. Re: NOS BC-200 and BC-760xlt Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 00:45:45 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3C0CB9.6B883A65@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64152

CitySlicker wrote:
>
> Convertible to what?

Cell phone band.

I have a PRO-2026 convertible for sale:
http://www.abs.net/~the420

>
> <truth@planetc.com> wrote in message
> > Yep, I have 1 left NEW in the box 200 xlt, and a few BC-760xlt scanners
> > left, the old convertable ones. E mail me if interested, around $300
> > each. Never been sold before from CB shop. Dave truth@planetc.com
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
> >

2772. Re: HOWARD STERN....$100 MILLION, 5 YEARS.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 00:57:53 UTC
From: Coyote Jumper <fdecker@ezaccess.net>
Message-ID: <t3o415k1m6pu7b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64153

Another reason why I left New York, heh heh!

<curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:91g6t4$4d9$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> geez, talk about sex every morning and get paid $100,000,000 for 5
> years. what a deal. are new yorkers really that bored???????,....head
> out......
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2773. free cb radio club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 01:24:46 UTC
From: Whiskey Tango 155 <toomyg@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <3a3c15dd$1@news.pcom.net>
Source record: 64154

check it out we got a free nonmember ship message board a dxers contacts
message board
and of coarse the dxers 11 meter club house signup is free
it all begins here at
http://www.geocities.com/toomyg/freeclub.html

2774. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 01:27:59 UTC
From: "Chet (KB1EHI)" <alena@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <zEU_5.4131$1t.158573@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 64155
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

"CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net> wrote in message
news:91g3vf$9ot@dispatch.concentric.net...
> I wish all you smart hams could figure out how to use your NG and stop
> posting this dribble to rec.radio.cb Thanks.
>
Here here! Please take this discussion elsewhere. All people have a right to express their own opinion and WHAT EVER THEIR CREDENTIALS, you have the right to accept them or not.
Thank you City Slicker

2775. Re: Aaron Voobner and n8wmm are 1 in the same in opposing illegals.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 01:37:42 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001216203742.12088.00005788@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 64156

>1mangayboy@my-deja.com, while idiotically stroking his winkie and licking the
dried squirrel turds out of his mustache, blathered:

>n8wwm(chickenhawk)@chickenhawk.com (N8wwm)chickenhawk cooed:
>> >"Ratsass" jfayard@ionet.net, with his acrid puke defiling his keyboard,
>typed
>> the following horse manure:
>>
>> >This kind of
>> >"talk" is what is expected from sex offenders in prison, why are your
>doing
>> >this?
>> N8WWM(chickenhawk) ugly and retarded:
>> Yeah, right. I think you need to look back in the past threads and see what
>I
>> have posted here about others. You think what I post is rank? I won't give
>you any
>> examples, just look back over the past 30 days or so. Also, turn on a CB
>and
>> listen. All I am doing is attempting at giving a group of cbers a bj. I
>want their own
>> right back in my face. They all like to dish it out but can't take a queer
>like me

N8wwm said: Come on you tired, sad little boy-Do something original instead of
just manipulating my posts. Hey, you are one jealous little sod, aren't you?
You just can't measure up to that mean 'ole hammie N8wwm.
Back under your rock, fairy.

2776. You know who I am

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 02:00:13 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3C1E2C.554D27@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64157

Stolen from another newsgroup:

THE GREAT POLICE OFFICER

Well, Mr. Citizen, it seems you've figured me out. I seem to fit neatly into the category where you've placed me.

I'm stereotyped, standardized, characterized, classified, grouped, and always typical. Unfortunately, the reverse is true . . . I can never figure you out.

From birth you teach your children that I'm the bogeyman, then you're shocked when they identify with my traditional enemy . . . the criminal!

You accuse me of coddling criminals . . . until I catch your kids doing wrong.

You may take an hour for lunch and several coffee breaks each day, but point me out as a loafer for having one cup. You pride yourself on your manners, but think nothing of disrupting my meals with your troubles.

You raise hell with the guy who cuts you off in traffic, but let me catch you doing the same thing and I'm picking on you. You know all the traffic laws . . . but you've never gotten a single ticket you deserve.

You shout "foul" if you observe me driving fast to a call, but raise the roof if I take more than ten seconds to respond to your complaint.

You call it part of my job if someone strikes me, but call it police brutality if I strike back. You wouldn't think of telling your dentist how to pull a tooth or your doctor how to take out an appendix, yet your always willing give me pointers on the law.

You talk to me in a manner that would get you a bloody nose from anyone else, but expect me to take it without batting an eye.

You yell something's got to be done to fight crime, but you can't be bothered to get involved.

You have no use for me at all, but of course it's OK if I change a flat for your wife, deliver your child in the back of the patrol car, or perhaps save your son's life with mouth to mouth breathing, or work many hours overtime looking for your lost daughter.

So, Mr. Citizen, you can stand there on your soapbox and rant and rave about the way I do my work, calling me every name in the book, but never stop to think that your property, family, or maybe even your life depends on me or one of my buddies.

Yes, Mr. Citizen, it's me . . . the lousy cop!

The author of this article was Trooper Mitchell Brown of the Virginia State Police. He was killed in the line of duty two months after writing the article.

2777. Re: HOWARD STERN....$100 MILLION, 5 YEARS.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 02:11:29 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91h7cfsep1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64159

In article <t3o415k1m6pu7b@corp.supernews.com>,
"Coyote Jumper" <fdecker@ezaccess.net> wrote:
> Another reason why I left New York, heh heh!
>
> <curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:91g6t4$4d9$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > geez, talk about sex every morning and get paid $100,000,000 for 5
> > years. what a deal. are new yorkers really that
bored???????,....head
> > out......
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>
>

well i am sure his wife is getting half , since she got tired of his
stuff and divorced his silly butt,.....cd is out......

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2778. hey prozac, have you got that 3 - 3000 a7 mobile running yet???????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 02:14:06 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91h7hcsfe1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64160

oops, are we supposed to talk about such things with that fink n8,
hanging around,....cd is out....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2779. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 02:18:44 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3A3C227E.A7D949DA@sccoast.net>
Source record: 64158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

CitySlicker wrote:
>
> I wish all you smart hams could figure
> out how to use your NG and stop posting
> this dribble to rec.radio.cb Thanks.

Well, I tell you what, you learn how to ignore message threads you
don't want to participate in and then you won't have to worry about the dribble.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

2780. Re: WTB/DEMCO DEMON 500 PLUS AMP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 02:21:19 UTC
From: ngabuckaroo223@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001216212119.03248.00002597@ng-ma1.aol.com>
Source record: 64161

I have never even seen on of these amps, are they 10 meter?

North GA. Buckaroo "223"

2781. Re: ILLEGAL 11 METRE PACKET RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 02:41:43 UTC
From: Brad KA8TOK <KA8TOK@four-way.net>
Message-ID: <du9o3t0puqn6ghtvu0ev47dqj7hnemm320@4ax.com>
Source record: 64162

In the USA it is. in other countries it is not.

On 16 Dec 2000 14:57:25 GMT, n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:

>Thats against the law

2782. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 02:50:34 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3A3C29ED.42EBCA73@sccoast.net>
Source record: 64163
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> First you've gotta write something worthy
> of debate instead of drivel.

So you're saying that a person has no right to discuss an issue in this newsgroup if they lack proper qualifications? Or the enforcement problem in the citizens band is not the result of many years of failed FCC enforcement? Or that budget restraints were not used as an excuse? Or that some in the FCC are not now seeking more money to expand the enforcement division? Or that people do want to see a greatly expanded enforcement agency in Washington? Or that the 20, or so, existing enforcement personnel can control the estimated 25 million CB'ers? Or that the FCC would not have to hire hundreds of new enforcement agents? Or that this legislation is not a possible solution to the problem?

All of those points were raised in my message, and more. Now, if you can't find something worthy in all that, either you have no defense for your position at all or you want to avoid debate entirely so the existing lack of enforcement in the citizens band is not challenged. I strongly suspect the latter is the real reason behind the attempts by many CB'ers to avoid debate. So what is your reason, Dick?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

2783. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 03:19:15 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3A3C309F.72329946@sccoast.net>
Source record: 64166
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> JR, I would place more credibility in
> Phil Kane's comment since he's a
> former FCC attorney.

Look, I don't want to keep trashing Phil, but when he first started talking about this legislation a few months ago, he didn't even know who sponsored the bill. He was ranting about some congressman who proposed similar legislation several years ago and was not even involved with this legislation.

He also fails to note that FCC policy has changed greatly since he left the FCC. For one thing, the FCC was directly involved in the creation of this legislation, and appears to fully support it.

And finally, he keep talking about some jurisdictional issue that simply does not exist. If it did exist, it would have been addressed by lawyers at the FCC, the House, the Senate, or the White House, long ago.

Now, I have no problem if Phil wants to oppose this legislation on a personal level (everyone has a right to do that). But, when he passes himself off as an expert on this legislation, or on constitutional issues (jurisdiction), I have to point out that the evidence simply does not support that. The bill itself mentions the sponsors, the congessional record clearly mentions FCC involvement in this legislation, and there is no evidence whatsoever of a jurisdiction issue.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

2784. Re: H.R. 2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 03:42:26 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <MAW_5.9880$S62.655317@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 64167

"G SEVEN" <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:91h261$9juq$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> If you read the following sentences I believe this will sum it all up
for
> CBers who want to know what they have to do in order to continue with
> operations as normal and not have to worry local authorities invading
there
> privacy.
>
> Remember, a Ham can talk on 11 meters but a CBer can talk on Amateur
> frequencies. Go down a take the test, "be exempt"(notice I didn't say
> legal), and who knows you may even enjoy the benefits Amateur service
> provides.
>
> KRS
> ---

> ---

> ---------------
> The bill also contains a provision that exempts anyone who possesses a
ham
> radio license from this legislation.
>
> Lastly, the legislation contains a provision that specifically restates
that
> local law enforcement officials must have just cause to investigate
whether
> or not someone is operating an illegal amplifier before they take action
> against someone.
>

I recall being pilloried for suggesting that this might cause a run on
Codeless Tech licenses :-)

The just cause or probable cause you suggest is not a whole hell of a lot
let it be said. When the local court decides what it will accept as
'expert' testimony, what sort of mediation drill they may wish to use
(like the local amateur club), and lay in a stock of the RFI papers from
Cousin Charlie to duck the issue entirely where possible, the PC is likely
to be the radio fixer for the police department, a receiver or freq
counter, and a R/S field strength meter.

Might it just be simpler to give up Antique Modulation?? Couldn't resist
:-).

Dick N&#65533;BK

2785. Re: H.R. 2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 04:10:47 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7668-3A3C3CC7-19@storefull-124.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64170

Maybe the entire matter was poorly conceived to intentionally cause a run on codeless techs, therefore it would be inevitable some of those taking the test would leave cb and then become "exempt", by being a hammie, and a side effect, also maybe intended, would be alleviation of some of the traffic from 11 meter.

2786. Re: H.R. 2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 04:24:23 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <91hf90$l324$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64169

Ha, ha, simpler yes, give up, no. What's going to be funny, is when ARL starts bragging about how Amateur radio has revived it self. Do you think the Hams will attribute there success to us 11 meter boys publicly. I think we all know the answer to that.

KRS

> Might it just be simpler to give up Antique Modulation?? Couldn't resist
> :-).
>
> Dick N&#65533;BK
>
>

2787. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 04:29:50 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A3C413E.3198@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 64388

Well, I wasn't referring to the local enforcment thing. I believe, if
I read it right, the original poster asked if the FCC agents must have a
warrant to enter the property. Since they aren't there to "search" your
house and only to inspect the station, the laws apply to ANY civilian
radio station including a CB station. The simple fact is, that if ANY
operator is visited and refuses to allow the inspection, he will have
already violated the regulation that requires the inspection be allowed.
You *can* refuse entry, but in so doing, you are already inviolation of
that particular portion of the regulations.

73

Jerry
CitySlicker wrote:
>
> You raise another point. The locals do not have the equipment to develop a
> case. They would have to rely on the FCC to provide and operate the
> equipment so there would be enough evidence to stand up to a trial. A
> recording of your radio bleeding over someone's TV does not mean you are
> running illegal equipment.
>
> This is where they have control over the Hams. If a ham refuses his ticket
> gets pulled there is no eminent penalty to a CB operator unless they FCC has
> gone to the time and expense to monitor you and then fine you.
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:3A3BB100.6CF8@bellsouth.net...
> > While you are correct that FCC agents cannot come into your house
> > without permission, they have the right to inspect your equipment. Now
> > if they are allowed into your home, they may NOT "search" for anything,
> > i.e. rifle thru drawers, examine personal papers, etc. But when one
> > obtains a license or operates a CB radio, he gives "tacit" approval for
> > FCC to inspect their radio station. When/If an FCC agent comes to your
> > door, he already has a pretty good idea that *you* are the source of
> > whatever difficulty at hand. Their equipment is very good. If the
> > agents are not allowed to inspect the station at the time of the
> > "offense", then it gives the "offender" a chance to get rid of the amp,
> > modded ham radio, etc, that drew FCC's attention. So, if you refuse the
> > "surprise" inspection, this is a case where you will still get some sort
> > of citation, fine, or whatever. To refuse inspection is a violation in
> > and of itself, so ya just can't win, eh?
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Jerry

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > wlfdad@my-deja.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > I'm just curious - could someone who's a little more familiar with
> > > the law
> > > > clairify if the law requires the officer to obtain a search warrent,
> > > or do they
> > > > operate under the same authority as the FCC does to inspect you
> > > equipment at
> > > > any time, thus negating the need for a warrant? If that's the case,
> > > without a
> > > > warrant the cop couldn't arrest you for the crack you have sitting
> > > next to your
> > > > CB, but he could take the illegal amp you're running.. again, I am
> > > not totally
> > > > familiar with the law, so I don't know if they need a warrant..
> > > anyone know?
> > > I am familiar with law in general(Not saying how) ANY Search needs a
> > > warrant...this warrant needs to state exactly WHAT they are searching
> > > for and WHERE their going to find and/or search for it! My bag of crack
> > > falls under a different area of the law and believe it or not...if this
> > > happened the person searched could get a GOOD lawyer and get off on the
> > > crack charges! You don't need to take my word for it...ask a COP or a
> > > LAWYER. It's kind of A loop hole...people who get busted know they did
> > > wrong so they don't fight it. NOBODY can search my house without a
> > > warrant stating what they are looking for...not even the FEDS!
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > http://www.deja.com/

2788. Re: H.R. 2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 04:54:36 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <91hh1n$k7sa$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64171

I don't usually proscribe to conspiracy theories, but then again I don't entirely rule them out.

KRS

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:7668-3A3C3CC7-19@storefull-124.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Maybe the entire matter was poorly conceived to intentionally cause a
> run on codeless techs, therefore it would be inevitable some of those
> taking the test would leave cb and then become "exempt", by being a
> hammie, and a side effect, also maybe intended, would be alleviation of
> some of the traffic from 11 meter.
>

2789. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 05:03:27 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <91hhev$br0$1@208.206.142.77>
Source record: 64172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > First you've gotta write something worthy
> > of debate instead of drivel.
>
> So you're saying that a person has no right to discuss an issue in
> this newsgroup if they lack proper qualifications?

No, I'm saying that you should make a serious attempt to excerise your
brain before you hit the keyboard.

2790. Re: New news group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 05:06:04 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <0RX_5.1279$g37.108038@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64173

I understand. I mentioned the 'legal CB' messageboard just so everyone would know that certain knuckleheads would go into those newsgroups and make themselves a general pain 3 feet below the neck. If one tries to make a disclaimer or comment as to the group is for discussing legal operations only, certain individuals seem driven to post there even more than on boards that state they do not care how folks operate. This suggests to me that these aforementioned individuals are not there trying to force their opinions on others, they are there for one reason only. That reason is to flame as many folks as they can. Unfortunately, there is no sanity test given for people to access the internet.

73s from Rochester, NY
Jim

"The Landshark" <s3buell@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:5ZT_5.327$Df3.111584@news.pacbell.net...
> I'm sure you're right, but I believe
> they were suggesting a Newsgroup.
>
> The Landshark
>
> --
> It's a Buell-tiful Day for a ride
> through the Canyons.
>
> 1999 Ford F-150 Super Cab
> 1998 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
> 1983 Honda 1100F
> BFD #666
> "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:YCT_5.1103$JH3.88869@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > There was a 'legal CB' bulletin board - which finally died due to the
> > efforts of a few brain-challenged individuals whose only purpose in life
> was
> > to post a ton of 'it's illegal to' messages. This naturally caused a
lot
> of
> > flames and the board owner shut it down.
> > Sigh .....
> >
> > 73s from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
> >
> > <fingerbang@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> > news:91e092$i89$1@nnrp1.deja.com...

> > > Might I suggest a new group for some of you.....
> > >
> > > REC.RADIO.LEGAL.CB
> > > REC.RADIO.CB.LEGAL
> > > REC.RADIO.CB.NOIILEGAL
> > >
> > >
> > > FiNgErBaNg
> > >
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > http://www.deja.com/
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2791. Re: HOWARD STERN....$100 MILLION, 5 YEARS.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 05:17:35 UTC
From: Joe <joeamp@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <91hi9gvge1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 64174

Dont listen to him, it was his own un confirmed annowncment.
He will do anything for attention, that results in ratings.
Asshole also pays his production people and interns peanuts

Jeff Mayner wrote in message ...
>It's a funny world we live in. Starving people in our cities and radio
>wienies and foreign baseball players are paid 10's of millions of dollars
>per year.
>Some sad shit, for sure...
>
>Jeff
>
><curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
>news:91g6t4$4d9$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>> geez, talk about sex every morning and get paid $100,000,000 for 5
>> years. what a deal. are new yorkers really that bored???????,....head
>> out......
>>
>>
>> Sent via Deja.com
>> http://www.deja.com/
>
>

2792. Re: H.R. 2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 05:27:32 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91his2$4cl$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64176

In article <91hh1n$k7sa$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>,
"G SEVEN" <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net> wrote:
> I don't usually proscribe to conspiracy theories, but then again I
don't
> entirely rule them out.
>
> KRS
>
> <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:7668-3A3C3CC7-19@storefull-124.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> > Maybe the entire matter was poorly conceived to intentionally cause
a
> > run on codeless techs, therefore it would be inevitable some of
those
> > taking the test would leave cb and then become "exempt", by being a
> > hammie, and a side effect, also maybe intended, would be
alleviation of
> > some of the traffic from 11 meter.
> >
>
>

hmmm, so what you are saying is that if i am out in the county park somwhere, talking skip, and a constable pulls up and ask to see my equipment, etc. and i pull out my no code license ,....that's it????????, how do they know its a real license? i think there are many things that must fall together before this thing takes hold and around here, well i really don't think they are going to worry about it too much anymore. heck there are not a lot of big stations operating around here anymore and the ones that do are run by men in their fifties who have been doing it for a long time , and even they don't operate that much anymore and less and less as time goes by. there is no ground swell of kids getting into the hobby. and so therefore, i predict that the majority of action will go against truck drivers operating foot warmers. think about it, they are there, they are already stopping them for spitting on the sidewalk around here, why not add a fine for illegal radio equipment. because otherwise, there will slim pickens in this department , at least around here, ...cd is out..

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2793. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 05:31:58 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3A3C4F9D.95AC810E@sccoast.net>
Source record: 64175
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> No, I'm saying that you should make a
> serious attempt to excerise your
> brain before you hit the keyboard.

Okay, Dick, I'll send all drafts to you for approval before posting.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

2794. Re: H.R. 2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 05:57:27 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16425-3A3C55C7-38@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64180

Well, I AM the paranoid one here....

2795. Re: H.R. 2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 06:03:07 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16425-3A3C571B-39@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64181

Thats probably even a better one than I came up with CD. The whole damn thing was intended to screw with the truckers. Yea, why not? Easy pickins, shooting ducks in a barrel, and they won't desroy the stuff, they'll auction it off, tag it with a homing device, or at the least an invisible-to-the-eye mark and pop different drivers over and over with the same box. Or maybe there exists a subterfuge plot to get all truckers hammie licenses.

2796. Re: New news group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 06:10:13 UTC
From: The Landshark <s3buell@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <FMY_5.195$C61.203561@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 64178

I agree..... I believe there are
a few people like that here.....

The Landshark

--
It's a Buell-tiful Day for a ride
through the Canyons.

1999 Ford F-150 Super Cab
1998 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
1983 Honda 1100F
BFD #666
"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:0RX_5.1279$g37.108038@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I understand. I mentioned the 'legal CB' messageboard just so everyone
> would know that certain knuckleheads would go into those newsgroups and
make
> themselves a general pain 3 feet below the neck. If one tries to make a
> disclaimer or comment as to the group is for discussing legal operations
> only, certain individuals seem driven to post there even more than on
boards
> that state they do not care how folks operate. This suggests to me that
> these aforementioned individuals are not there trying to force their
> opinions on others, they are there for one reason only. That reason is to
> flame as many folks as they can. Unfortunately, there is no sanity test
> given for people to access the internet.
>
> 73s from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
> "The Landshark" <s3buell@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:5ZT_5.327$Df3.111584@news.pacbell.net...
> > I'm sure you're right, but I believe
> > they were suggesting a Newsgroup.
> >
> > The Landshark
> >
> > --
> > It's a Buell-tiful Day for a ride
> > through the Canyons.
> >
> > 1999 Ford F-150 Super Cab
> > 1998 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
> > 1983 Honda 1100F

> > BFD #666
> > "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:YCT_5.1103$JH3.88869@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > There was a 'legal CB' bulletin board - which finally died due to the
> > > efforts of a few brain-challenged individuals whose only purpose in
life
> > was
> > > to post a ton of 'it's illegal to' messages. This naturally caused a
> lot
> > of
> > > flames and the board owner shut it down.
> > > Sigh .....
> > >
> > > 73s from Rochester, NY
> > > Jim
> > >
> > > <fingerbang@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> > > news:91e092$i89$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > > > Might I suggest a new group for some of you.........
> > > >
> > > > REC.RADIO.LEGAL.CB
> > > > REC.RADIO.CB.LEGAL
> > > > REC.RADIO.CB.NOIILEGAL
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > FiNgErBaNg
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > > http://www.deja.com/
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

2797. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 06:12:12 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <wHY_5.9914$S62.678296@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 64177

"CitySlicker" wrote in message...
<Quoting Cap'n>.....
> > Actually, the FCC needs no search warrant, and no judge's authority.
The
> > local LEO is usually there in case there's trouble.

> They still will need a warrant to enter your home. I think anyone of
> intelligence that recieves such a warning would make sure his or her house
> got in order real quick. But maybe I am assuming a lot.

They may need a warrant to ENTER your home against your will (in which case
you can bet there'll be a LEO standing beside the agent), but a visual
inspection of your station is NOT neccessary or even usual for the FCC to
levy a fine. They will ASK politely once... if DENIED entry, they may not
even bother to seek a warrant and instead issue the fine or seek a
judgement.... like I said, by the time they arrive at your doorstep, they've
already gathered all the evidence they need to make thier case in court if
neccessary. IN SHORT... they don't need to enter your home to 'prove
anything'.... refusing them entry in all likelyhood will get you exactly
what you're trying to avoid... the fine.

> They (feds) would also have to provide the proper equipment and training
to
> allow the locals to substantiate a wrong doing that would hold up in
court.

Nothing in the legislation spels that out, although I'd assume they'll offer
some sort of handy-dandy 'guide-book' for the Local LEOs (perhaps... "RF
Policework for Dummies").

> My guess is there will be some examples set, especially in the areas whose
> representative penned this bill. However, in the big picture it will be
fart
> in a tornado. Except for those poor Bubba's that insists on talking over
his
> neighbors TV's and don't head the warning signs.

I'd agree..... It might cause a few problems for some of the "high-profile"
players.

2798. Re: Do NOT Tolerate Illegal CBers!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 06:15:51 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <91hlmtreq1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 64182

You must be an old military operator... As I remember "Put a qualified
operator on the circuit"
"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:TOQ_5.804$g37.82107@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> N8WWM DE AA2QA. ZBM2.
>

2799. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 06:16:10 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <7LY_5.10818$sw.719058@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 64179

Well said Jerry!

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:3A3BAE51.492@bellsouth.net...
> Yes, Guys,
>
> Please listen to these two!! You'll save yourselves a lot of grief as
> well as a lot of useless labor. It really boils down to this: if you
> are "tuning" your antenna or chasing resonance/matching by cutting coax,
> you are masking the real problem and "fooling" your test equipment as
> well as yourself. If you will do just what Handy Andy and PP have just
> written, you will have a correct and properly performing antenna instal-
> lation.
>
> Without trying to be a smart aleck or flaming anybody, I wish to present
> the following antenna installation for your perusal.
>
> I operate a motor driven all band ham antenna`mounted via a ball mount
> directly on the left fender of the car. Pressing a switch at the opera-
> ting position causes the loading coil to move up or down within a hollow
> tube. The coil is surrounded at the top of this tube by "fingerstock"
> which are springy little contacts. As the coil is moved up/down within
> these fingers, ANY frequency between 3.6 and 30 MHZ can be operated with
> a 50 ohm match and 1:1 SWR (usually, but never more that 1:4). Using
> the assertion that coax must be 18 feet @ 27 MHZ, at 3.6 MHZ I "must"
> have almost 130 feet, right? At 7.2 MHZ (40 meters), I'd have to have
> around 65 feet, right. At 20 meters, around 30 feet,------and so on
> in ever decreasing lengths as frequency increased. So, I'd have to
> have 10, or 12, or ??? different lengths of coax in my vehicle. Where
> in the world would I put all the extra coax in a small station wagon?
> Er, uh, we have another problem. Since the antenna tunes infinitely to
> ANY frequency AND continuously, having enough "lengths" of coax to
> operate all these frequencies is impossible! So, what DO I use? One
> foot to a 12V changeover relay (I'll explain its purpose in a minute),
> 9" to an amp, about 6" to the radio. All of these components are mount-
> within 2 feet of the antenna in the rear of the car! Bu..bu..bu.but,
> that can't WORK! Ya gotta figure all those various current nodes, and
> "correct" coax lengths to nullify various anomalies along its length,
> right? BULLSH**! OK! OK! I'm jerkin' yer chain a little here.
> How do I *know* the system works without all the coax length hooey? That
> 12V relay switches out the amp and radio and connects the antenna direct
> ly to an MFJ 259 Analyzer on the dash. By setting the frequency I want
> to operate on the 259, and operating the antenna motor to place the
> antenna into resonance (for practical use, let's separate matching and

> resonance into two different catagories), I simply observe the meters on
> the 259 until I get the lowest SWR and approximately a 50 ohm match,
> which doesn't *have* to be exactly 50 ohms. Then I switch back to the
> radio and start talking. I have also a field strength meter on the dash
> that lets me make comparisons or fine tune for max output. But I have
> found that my tuning method nets me max performance anyway--which tells
> me I have the best performance possible from a multiband system.
>
> Again, according to the coax length gurus, my system *CAN'T* work
> because I don't have "certain" lengths of coax for each frequency I work
> including 11 meters. Yet, it works well, allows me to talk anywhere I
> can hear, and is as efficient as any mobile system around. That's no
> brag--it just comes from 35 years of training and experience.
>
> What I want, and the reason I wrote this, is for the coax length guys to
> explain WHY/HOW this multi band system works with 4 short jumpers, NONE
> of which are over 12" long. IF one *must* have 18' of coax at 27 MHZ,
> then one *must* have 130' at 3.6 MHZ, right? BTW, another reason, is
> to get away from the stupid "illegal this, illegal that" stuff! Think
> of it as a puzzle and a legitimate discussion of radio which this board
> is really for.
>
> Best 73
>
> Jerry
>
>
>
>
> BigPoppaPump wrote:
> >
> > Andy I can agree different locations will give you different swr, but
> > changing the length of coax will not correct the problem. Hell if i put
up
> > an antenna next to a steel shed this is a bad location and will result
in a
> > bad swr changing the length of coax doesnt make a lick of difference
and
> > won't change the swr In the past 2 weeks I have installed about 60 2.4
ghz
> > antennas and the same number of 800mhz antenas on the same style
vehicle,
> > with the 2.4 we want the coax to be as short as possible just enough to
make
> > the connection since rg 58 is rather lossy at this frequency
> >
> > "Handy Andy" <CodysPC@Iserve.Net> wrote in message
> > news:s9cl3t0en56uc05frh5ktlgetbhmcmiq9a@4ax.com...
> > > Hi Folks.
> > >

> > > All this message is for is my own appology for all that wasted
> > > bandwidth regarding Coax Length and if it's really necessary.
> > >
> > > The truth is, Coax length shouldn't matter. If you find out that using
> > > a specific length takes care of the problem, you can either...
> > >
> > > A. Live with it.
> > > B. Take steps to correct the problem.
> > >
> > > I see a widely varying range of different opinions and I'm not here to
> > > debate them. An open mind is needed, check the flames at the door.
> > >
> > > The "colors" that this subject brought up has been interesting and
> > > downright funny at times. [Embarassingly funny - and I mean that, If I
> > > offended anyone - My Appologies.]
> > >
> > > However, no one has taken a stance regarding the issue around mobile
> > > antenna installs and problems with location. Very few have noticed
> > > what I've seen for years regarding this Coax Length issue.
> > >
> > > If it's in a bad location, you'll have SWR problems.
> > >
> > > If the mount isn't the right one, or poorly done, you'll have SWR
> > > problems.
> > >
> > > With the above two reasons, my experience has been to correct the
> > > problems by relocating the antenna. In virtually all of the installs
> > > I've worked on, this was the beneficial solution. Only in rare
> > > instances was the mount at fault and it's usually due to corrosion.
> > >
> > > However, some people never budge on re-locating the antenna and so
> > > therefore the coax length issue comes up.
> > >
> > > In my own investigation of this, the coax length issue means the
> > > antenna is not resonate due to a number of factors. Most, if not all,
> > > are associated with the counterpoise, or the conductivity and reactive
> > > components the ground plane provides, or works against the antenna, at
> > > that particular location on the vehicle.
> > >
> > > Sure you can swap antennas, sometimes changing to a different loading
> > > scheme can work better at the location than others. But, are you
> > > willing to waste the time on that? Some do. However, some don't.
> > >
> > > On my pages, I suggest using a simple mag-mount so the new CB'er can
> > > see how the antenna will look on the vehicle. There's more to that,
> > > the installer [namely me] can also locate areas that are better to use
> > > the antenna at versus where the antennas owner wants to try it at.
> > >
> > > An MFJ-259 is a handy tool for working out the locations fine tuning,
> > > you can see how the antenna will work at the locations tried.

> > >
> > > So, why does an antenna work better at some locations on a vehicle
> > > than others?
> > >
> > > Why does the SWR vary between locations on the vehicle? Ground? Metal
> > > panel not connected to the vehicle?
> > >
> > > That is what piqued my interest. And my efforts to locate the
> > > information regarding this issue, seems to contradict what others
> > > firmly believe.
> > >
> > > There is a reason for why things are done the way they are
> > > by antenna manufacturers. To ensure the user a viable means
> > > to use the antenna in a wider range of locations and
> > > environment conditions without suffering severe/critical
> > > damage to a CB radio.
> > >
> > > The above, to me, is the most important advancement in the
> > > realm of antenna design and construction.
> > >
> > > They are thinking about us folks. We're important.
> > >
> > > I'm not talking about making it easier for us installers...
> > > nor are they trying to get us to buy coax by the truckload...
> > >
> > > Far from it.
> > >
> > > It's a liability. The antenna has to work in order to sell,
> > > it not going to make it in the real world if it fails.
> > >
> > > ------> It's so the user can use the radio to get help.
> > >
> > > *That, my friends, is the most important issue. If you have any
> > > questions about that, see the subject line.*
> > >
> > > This is what makes the NG so fun to be on.
> > >
> > > My best advice to the new CB'er, don't make it hard on yourself. Take
> > > the time to read, review, learn about those claims the antenna makers
> > > will say. You can't avoid it. It's not going away.
> > >
> > > The best clues are the antenna placards that have the installation
> > > instructions with them that can be read without having to open the
> > > package. Compare those instructions with others of the peg-boards at
> > > the local CB shop. A lot of dust on the shelves? Complain! You may
> > > need to go elsewhere. The people there at the store may not want your
> > > business if they don't keep items moving thru the store. A dusty item
> > > is a dead product.
> > >
> > > Some will try to sell the antenna on the ease of tuning...

> > > Some will try to claim their antenna puts out more signal...
> > > Some will claim flexibility in mounting locations...
> > > Some will claim they've been in this biz for XXX centuries...
> > >
> > > All have valid points, but there is a difference, and it's
> > > within you to make the right decision. You have to put a
> > > system together, so choose carefully.
> > >
> > > One such point is guarantee, will the maker stand behind the
> > > product, or just leave you standing there? Read the fine
> > > print.
> > >
> > > Remember, the person that has to be made happy is you,
> > > no one else.
> > >
> > > Can't stand the sales pressure? Go elsewhere. A dealer may
> > > have the right to sell antennas. However, they don't have the
> > > right to shove it down your throat.
> > >
> > > If you are reading this message, then that means you have the ability
> > > to research. Take the time to read what those companies claim out here
> > > on the 'net. Their reputation is based upon their own knowledge base.
> > > If you're not getting the answers you need, look elsewhere. There are
> > > plenty of resources out here to help you.
> > >
> > > Don't ever settle on the first one you see, take time to look at your
> > > options. Then if it's the one you really want - then go for it. Buy
> > > what the antenna needs for installation.
> > >
> > > Don't settle for second rate, buy and use quality. You're selling
> > > yourself short if you skimp on parts. You're going to have problems -
> > > don't waste money on sales. That type of antenna, or the parts for it,
> > > may be on sale for reasons the sales clerks really don't want you to
> > > know.
> > >
> > > If you're planning to put your system together properly, or you're
> > > having someone do it for you, take the time to ask questions. Annoy
> > > the heck out of sales people at the shop if you don't get answers.
> > > Never assume that what they do is correct for your needs. Ask other
> > > CB'ers if they've dealt with the shop - get their opinions.
> > >
> > > If you find yourself putting up an antenna in your own mind, take the
> > > time to work it thru and then see how others did it. Compare notes.
> > >
> > > Coax? It's part of the big three requirements for using a CB radio.
> > > Radio, antenna, coax - those make up the chain. A weak link in the
> > > chain, if you don't pay attention, can leave you frustrated.
> > >
> > > Don't worry about coax length, don't concern yourself with
> > > it. Use quality parts - and pay attention to details with all

> > > aspects of the install. Location, mounting, power, and routing
> > > all can have an impact on your system.
> > >
> > > If you have to buy coax, buy the stuff with the pre-molded and
> > > sealed ends, and use mounts that can take those types of
> > > connectors and are able to be sealed from the environment.
> > >
> > > If you want a DIY custom install, it's your responsibility
> > > now. If you don't pay attention to sealing, bolts, insulation
> > > and preventing water entry - bash yourself on this.
> > >
> > > Location? If it looks cool there, are you really sure it will
> > > work there? Keep your options open. You're leaving yourself
> > > open for problems if you don't take the time to locate the
> > > antenna in a spot it needs in order to work properly.
> > >
> > > Hint: No antenna will work like it claims if you don't install
> > > it like the manufacturer recommends. They can't guarantee
> > > their antenna will perform like they claim unless you put
> > > it together properly - and that means location too.
> > >
> > > Questions? My box is open.
> > >
> > > Thank you.
> > > :+> Andy <+:
> > > codyspc@iserv.net

2800. Re: LAME N.G./ I tried

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 06:41:37 UTC
From: brass <cc@knology.net>
Message-ID: <3A3C6021.177B5CFA@knology.net>
Source record: 64194

Been done for well over a year now . . .

We just stop in to see our old and I did say OUR . . .
and will say again OUR group . . .

We all knew that this would happen
so it did . . .

Brass

2801. Re: ILLEGAL 11 METRE PACKET RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 06:55:45 UTC
From: Mike Frye <km6ab@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3A3C6371.9F355C3D@pacbell.net>
Source record: 64183

In the US it would be against FCC rules and regulations. What "law"
would that be?

2802. Re: BlackStone Amps Return January 2001!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 06:58:23 UTC
From: brass <cc@knology.net>
Message-ID: <3A3C640F.2E63C570@knology.net>
Source record: 64198

He can't see . . . u know it I know it and

he sure as hell knows it . . .

who wants a eight pill with 4 relays in it to make it work

Brass of the Real Mcoy'

2803. Re: BlackStone Amps Return January 2001!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 06:59:31 UTC
From: brass <cc@knology.net>
Message-ID: <3A3C6453.50FC75F@knology.net>
Source record: 64199

Train . . .

You are being to honest . . .

ready to get out of the cold and head back down here . . .

tell the wife we said Hello . . .

Brass

2804. Re: H.R. 2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 07:01:38 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <91hog1$939o$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64185

and so therefore, i
> predict that the majority of action will go against truck drivers
> operating foot warmers.

For revenue yes, for making a example big ops will be sited.
Is this a real thing? Yes. I have some friends in Stockton Ca. that are going through heat at the moment, actually its been a couple of weeks it may have died down by now

KRS

>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2805. Re: Maybe this will cause some skip to come rolling in

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 07:02:19 UTC
From: Roger N2nhy <rogern2nhy@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3A3C6469.5AF96F05@earthlink.net>
Source record: 64184
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Wellll...

In the moon's shadow, the degree of ionization should be different from surrounding areas that are illuminated. The gradient between the areas should do *something* unusual to radio signal refraction, but as a nearly total ignoramus on the subject, I am not in a position to say what that something might be.

Any rocket scientist out there care to alleviate my abysmal ignorance? ;-))

Thanks,

Roger, N2NHY

jdaggett@gate.net wrote:

> On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 21:59:36 GMT, thepolishdude@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> *An eclipse is scheduled to occur on Christmas afternoon. Can eclipses
> *cause skip to happen?
> *
> *
> *Sent via Deja.com
> *http://www.deja.com/
> **********
>
> E-layer propogation can happen at anytime. The E-layer of the ionosphere is not
> as reliant on the solar event induced ionization. F-layer ionization generally
> has solar events that effect the level of ionization. Since the sun is being
> eclisped by the moon there is little chance that F-layer propogation will be
> enhanced. E-layer is less predictable, so who knows.
>
> james

2806. kenwood ubz-lf48

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 07:04:14 UTC
From: Matt <mbilston@telstra.easymail.com.au>
Message-ID: <01c067f7$f8dd2740$b0ba8ec6@default>
Source record: 64186
Cross-posted to:
aus.radio.amateur.digital,aus.radio.amateur.misc,aus.radio.amateur.wicen,aus.radio.cb,it.hobby.radio-cb,rec.radio.cb

does anyboy have a schematic for the expensive hand mic, so I can add a scan button to mine.

any help appreciated matt.

2807. Re: HOWARD STERN....$100 MILLION, 5 YEARS.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 07:13:30 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001217021330.00575.00000133@nso-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 64187

In article <t3o415k1m6pu7b@corp.supernews.com>, "Coyote Jumper"
<fdecker@ezaccess.net> writes:

>Another reason why I left New York, heh heh!
>

You might be in one of the 38 other markets he is in.

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

2808. Re: HOWARD STERN....$100 MILLION, 5 YEARS.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 07:13:30 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001217021330.00575.00000134@nso-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 64189

In article <91g6t4$4d9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, curious_dan@my-deja.com writes:

>geez, talk about sex every morning and get paid $100,000,000 for 5
>years. what a deal. are new yorkers really that bored???????,....head
>out......

No offense cd but, Stern is in 39 markets in the US of A alone. That means 38 other states and/or cities play Howard Stern in the morning and eventhough he is a NYer
he seems to be played all over the country.
And I must agree that is a nice paycheck for just bullshitting all day which is what I have told him he does!!!! He has been doing it since 1973, now that is a long time doing that stuff and making a good living at it!!!
What Cha Say?

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

2809. BY THE WAY....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 07:13:31 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001217021331.00575.00000135@nso-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 64188

For all the ham spammers like the n8w kid...
Since someone mentioned Howard Stern I thought I would add this....

HOWARD STERN HAS A DAVEMADE AMP AND TALKS ON THE CB RADIO.
How do I know????
Because he is an aquaintance of mine and purchased the amp from a friend of mine!
He also used to live quite close to me but, since the divorce lives in Manhattan now!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

2810. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 07:13:35 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <91hp6g$nqvu$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64190

What? That's like trying to convict someone with out having the murder weapon. Well then again they convicted O.J., yes I guess anything is possible.

Go get the measly license people!!!!!

KRS

p.s. If you do decide to get your license it will open the door for inspection as well, kinda of a double edge sword.

), but a visual
> inspection of your station is NOT neccessary or even usual for the FCC to
> levy a fine.

2811. Re: BY THE WAY....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 07:16:07 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <91hpb7$h8a6$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64191

Damn, you would think the radio would be the last place he would want to
spend his time.

KRS

COLD as ICE <icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD> wrote in message
news:20001217021331.00575.00000135@nso-dd.aol.com...
> For all the ham spammers like the n8w kid...
> Since someone mentioned Howard Stern I thought I would add this....
>
> HOWARD STERN HAS A DAVEMADE AMP AND TALKS ON THE CB RADIO.
> How do I know????
> Because he is an aquaintance of mine and purchased the amp from a friend
of
> mine!
> He also used to live quite close to me but, since the divorce lives in
> Manhattan now!
>
>
> COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It
don't
> mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

2812. Re: HOWARD STERN....$100 MILLION, 5 YEARS.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 07:20:44 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <91hpjt$ktre$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64193

Yeah, and if Howard stern can beat the FCC we can!!! Yeah right. Stern is backed by a syndicate of radio stations that has very deep pockets and as Ice mentioned, plays coast to coast.

KRS

COLD as ICE <icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD> wrote in message news:20001217021330.00575.00000134@nso-dd.aol.com...
> In article <91g6t4$4d9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, curious_dan@my-deja.com writes:
>
> >geez, talk about sex every morning and get paid $100,000,000 for 5
> >years. what a deal. are new yorkers really that bored???????,....head
> >out......
>
> No offense cd but, Stern is in 39 markets in the US of A alone. That means 38
> other states and/or cities play Howard Stern in the morning and eventhough he
> is a NYer
> he seems to be played all over the country.
> And I must agree that is a nice paycheck for just bullshitting all day which is
> what I have told him he does!!!! He has been doing it since 1973, now that is a
> long time doing that stuff and making a good living at it!!!
> What Cha Say?
>
> COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
> mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

2813. Re: MURS Questions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 07:23:04 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <rQZ_5.864$pm.47653@news2.atl>
Source record: 64192

Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay) wrote in message
<3A3C0C59.DF898FB@hotmail.com>...
>Prophet Nutsack!! Are you tired of hammies chewing you out?
>Us CB'ers will chew you up AND spit you out. Go back now while
>you still have fingers to type with.
>
>Lloyd Davies wrote:
>>
>> What's the calling freq?
>
>146.940 -600
>>
>> What will be the truckers freq?
>
>147.135 +600
>>
>> Thanks

Listen turds for brains, All i did was ask a on-topic question. Keep it
up, and Your ass is grass!

2814. Re: HOWARD STERN....$100 MILLION, 5 YEARS.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 07:27:13 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001217022713.19360.00000216@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 64195

I THINK HOWARD STERN IS THE MAN!!!

2815. Re: LAME N.G./ I tried

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 07:35:36 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <91hqfq$23cs$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64197

Hello Brass, shame on the mess.

KRS

brass <cc@knology.net> wrote in message
news:3A3C6021.177B5CFA@knology.net...
> Been done for well over a year now . . .
>
> We just stop in to see our old and I did say OUR . . .
> and will say again OUR group . . .
>
> We all knew that this would happen
> so it did . . .
>
> Brass
>

2816. Re: Pain--Peakaboo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 07:36:42 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001217023642.19360.00000217@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 64196

YEAH LOOKING FOWARD TO IT AND YEAH THATS MY LIL BOY...5 YRS OLD ALREADY KEYS IT DOWN!

2817. Re: One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 07:52:38 UTC
From: WE0H <mikereid1@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <Of__5.2170$dR.771249@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 64200

I also agree. Just leave these people alone. They enjoy whatever they do with radio. "To each his own" is what I like to say. I started my radio hobby with the CB band. I never would have discovered amateur radio without that. Yea, I used bootleg equipment from time to time, but if that is what you operate, more power to YA. Enjoy the hobby. As for Mr. Doug Adair, buzz off buddy.
73 es good DX, Mike> WE0H.

2818. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 08:08:30 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20001217030830.26360.00004953@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 64201

>The FCC may have the right to inspect your equipment but that does give them
>the right to enter your home without your permission or a warrant.

You are correct - you don't HAVE to let them in - then again, you face serious
fines and penalties if you don't let them in to inspect your equipment!
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
http://www.riradiobuff.com
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.
2 meter all mode email reflector: http://egroups.com/group/2mallmode
New England Ham email reflector: http://egroups.com/group/newenglandham

2819. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 08:09:31 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20001217030931.26360.00004954@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 64202

>They still will need a warrant to enter your home

No they don't, because they won't enter your home without your permission.. if
you give your permission, a warrant isn't needed...
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
http://www.riradiobuff.com
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.
2 meter all mode email reflector: http://egroups.com/group/2mallmode
New England Ham email reflector: http://egroups.com/group/newenglandham

2820. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 08:12:15 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20001217031215.26360.00004955@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 64203

>I am familiar with law in general(Not saying how) ANY Search needs a
>warrant..

Not a consent search.. or a search incident to apprehension.. or a pat down search.. or a search for everyone's safety.. there are quite a number of searches that don't need a warrant.. but, as has already been stated - the FCC and local police will not get a search warrant - they aren't searching for anything - they don't go in your closets and under your bed - you invite them in to inspect your equipment - just don't let the cop fool you into thinking that he can arrest you for that crack you got sitting next to your D-104!
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
http://www.riradiobuff.com
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.
2 meter all mode email reflector: http://egroups.com/group/2mallmode
New England Ham email reflector: http://egroups.com/group/newenglandham

2821. Still looking for mark sidewinder 46

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 08:40:21 UTC
From: Mans0n <ibrewbeer@cia.gov.hahaha>
Message-ID: <ltuo3tg4ocsudj0lj0q2fqbbv8ben3npig@4ax.com>
Source record: 64204

I am still looking any help appreciated

Thanks

2822. Re: WANTED: CB TECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 08:42:13 UTC
From: Mans0n <ibrewbeer@cia.gov.hahaha>
Message-ID: <g1vo3t0b5h3csubf2evv782kmjm9ih7ekh@4ax.com>
Source record: 64205

pehaps its not a cb tech you need there how about a good voteamatic
tech?

On 15 Dec 2000 13:19:20 GMT, fmrnycer@aol.com (FMRNYCER) wrote:

>WEST PALM BEACH, FLORIDA, PER DIEM

2823. N8wwm Getting a Good "Cuffing."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 10:44:17 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t3p682g8iaaif0@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 64206

Mother bear (Hams) is correcting her baby bear's (N8wwm) poor behavior.
Gotta love it and I, for one, appreciate it.

Jeff

2824. Help! I need a spare for a Lincoln

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 11:55:02 UTC
From: Tom Shaw <tommy2ooo@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <91i9g9$4o1$1@neptunium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 64207

Im looking for a supplier for a channel changer switch. Anyone???

Im totally stuck...I cant get them over here . Anyone know where I might get one new??

Regards,
Tom Shaw

2825. FS Sam's Photofact CB Radio Books #16-22-44

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 12:21:34 UTC
From: bobco@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <3a49afca.42209297@news.i-2000.com>
Source record: 64208

FS Sam;s Photofact CB Radio Series #16--22--44 in good
shape...$10.00+$3.20 shipping for the three......pse remove the
(ns) from my adr, or click on bobco@i-2000.com

2826. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 12:35:21 UTC
From: RadioFree <OldMcDonald@eieio.com>
Message-ID: <dq2%5.2104$TC3.658604@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64209
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3A3B2BC4.8ABF5DFD@sccoast.net...

> Look, I'm not going to sit here and argue with you over this. Your

You've nothing to argue about. Below is an example of your CB enforcement fetish and willingness to deliberately troll CB-related forums with questions only an imbecile would honestly ask. Again.

Message-ID: <3A27619E.22EAE3F6@sccoast.net>

I was just wondering something about HR-2346? Since this allows local legislators to write laws based on FCC regulations, will that include the forfeiture amounts spelled out in the FCC regulations? In other words, are we talking about local courts handing out fines up to $20,000 for each rule violation? If so, that will certainly encourage local law enforcement officers to go after CB'ers with a vengeance (there are very few $20,000 speeding tickets).

> It's like this discussion. Contrary to your claim that I'm
> "announcing the
> passage of HR2346 with a big grin and wielding it like a club in every
> group that could possibly be of interest to CBers," I simply responded
> to a massage I read in rec.radio.amateur.misc. I didn't crosspost this

You responded to crossposted discussions, and further, I claim you took advantage of the crosspost situation to troll. If you disagree, please tell me what other possible reason could there have been to post the foolishness above. This post alone shows the degree to which your enforcement fetish encourages you to entertain hopes of cruel and unusual punishment visited upon the slightest violation (intentional or not).

> And, with that, I have nothing more to say about this. I didn't join
> this discussion so that I could get into an argument with you about my
> past posts.

Nor did I. You denied the existence of posts which were only a corollary to my statements, I proved them, and you are now backpedaling and attempting to shirk responsibility for what you had originally denied to exist. You showed lack of credibility on this issue better than I ever could.

>Instead, I offered detailed opinion about the enforcement
> efforts of the FCC (or lack thereof), and the issues surrounding
> HR-2346. If you can't offer any ligitimate dispute about what I posted,
> then don't waste my time with trivia like this.

Very well then, instead of waiting for you to shed your spankard's skin, become a man and apologize for calling me a liar, I'll take you up on your offer to legitimately dispute, dissect and expose the idiocy of this "detailed" opinion of yours, and re-examine the enforcement fetish and unreasonable bias causing you to uphold it. There's more than one way to skin a spankard. See my response to your original post.

RadioFree

2827. Re: Today's Joke.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 13:22:26 UTC
From: Tom Shaw <tommy2ooo@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <91iek6aep1@plutonium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 64210

hehehe mildly amusing...put a smile on my face :)

Tom

"Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:t3nt08jesejp6c@news.supernews.com...
>
> "SCSI UDMA" <scsiudma@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20001216165428.08495.00004431@ng-mk1.aol.com...
> > Here's a joke for you: A guy picks up a tipsy babe in a bar. They go to
> her
> > place, and to warm things up, he starts to go down on her. He's eating
> away,
> > when suddenly out pops a little piece of carrot. "Hmm," he thinks,
> spitting it
> > out, and then he continues to lick her. A few moments later, out comes a
> sliver
> > of celery. "This is weird," he thinks to himself. Once again, down he
> goes.
> > This time, out pops a small piece of balogna. This is too much for the
> guy. He
> > looks up at her and says, "What's the matter? Are you sick or
something?"
> > "No," she says, "but the guy before you was!"
>
> Oh man!
> Hope nobodies eating stew when they read this!
> LOL
>
> Jeff
>
>
>

2828. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 13:37:42 UTC
From: Garvin@Truss.net
Message-ID: <3a3cc189.40214932@news.idsi.net>
Source record: 64211

On Sat, 16 Dec 2000 13:14:24 -0500, Jerry Oxendine
<joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>While you are correct that FCC agents cannot come into your house
>without permission, they have the right to inspect your equipment. Now
>if they are allowed into your home, they may NOT "search" for anything,
>i.e. rifle thru drawers, examine personal papers, etc. But when one
>obtains a license or operates a CB radio, he gives "tacit" approval for
>FCC to inspect their radio station. When/If an FCC agent comes to your
>door, he already has a pretty good idea that *you* are the source of
>whatever difficulty at hand. Their equipment is very good. If the
>agents are not allowed to inspect the station at the time of the
>"offense", then it gives the "offender" a chance to get rid of the amp,
>modded ham radio, etc, that drew FCC's attention. So, if you refuse the
>"surprise" inspection, this is a case where you will still get some sort
>of citation, fine, or whatever. To refuse inspection is a violation in
>and of itself, so ya just can't win, eh?
>
>73
>
>Jerry

Jerry is absolutely correct. Well said.

2829. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 13:40:42 UTC
From: Garvin@Truss.net
Message-ID: <3a3cc1dd.40298832@news.idsi.net>
Source record: 64212

On 16 Dec 2000 23:00:23 GMT, "CitySlicker"
<not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net> wrote:

>You raise another point. The locals do not have the equipment to develop a
>case. They would have to rely on the FCC to provide and operate the
>equipment so there would be enough evidence to stand up to a trial. A
>recording of your radio bleeding over someone's TV does not mean you are
>running illegal equipment.
>
>This is where they have control over the Hams. If a ham refuses his ticket
>gets pulled there is no eminent penalty to a CB operator unless they FCC has
>gone to the time and expense to monitor you and then fine you.

The majority of hams would welcome Mr. FCC right into their homes.
No big deal at all. The penalty for the CBer however will come when
they come back with a warrent and take all radio equipment in the
house and maybe issue a 2nd fine.

>"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>news:3A3BB100.6CF8@bellsouth.net...
>> While you are correct that FCC agents cannot come into your house
>> without permission, they have the right to inspect your equipment. Now
>> if they are allowed into your home, they may NOT "search" for anything,
>> i.e. rifle thru drawers, examine personal papers, etc. But when one
>> obtains a license or operates a CB radio, he gives "tacit" approval for
>> FCC to inspect their radio station. When/If an FCC agent comes to your
>> door, he already has a pretty good idea that *you* are the source of
>> whatever difficulty at hand. Their equipment is very good. If the
>> agents are not allowed to inspect the station at the time of the
>> "offense", then it gives the "offender" a chance to get rid of the amp,
>> modded ham radio, etc, that drew FCC's attention. So, if you refuse the
>> "surprise" inspection, this is a case where you will still get some sort
>> of citation, fine, or whatever. To refuse inspection is a violation in
>> and of itself, so ya just can't win, eh?
>>
>> 73
>>
>> Jerry
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> wlfdad@my-deja.com wrote:
>> >

>> > > I'm just curious - could someone who's a little more familiar with
>> > the law
>> > > clairify if the law requires the officer to obtain a search warrent,
>> > or do they
>> > > operate under the same authority as the FCC does to inspect you
>> > equipment at
>> > > any time, thus negating the need for a warrant? If that's the case,
>> > without a
>> > > warrant the cop couldn't arrest you for the crack you have sitting
>> > next to your
>> > > CB, but he could take the illegal amp you're running.. again, I am
>> > not totally
>> > > familiar with the law, so I don't know if they need a warrant..
>> > anyone know?
>> > I am familiar with law in general(Not saying how) ANY Search needs a
>> > warrant...this warrant needs to state exactly WHAT they are searching
>> > for and WHERE their going to find and/or search for it! My bag of crack
>> > falls under a different area of the law and believe it or not...if this
>> > happened the person searched could get a GOOD lawyer and get off on the
>> > crack charges! You don't need to take my word for it...ask a COP or a
>> > LAWYER. It's kind of A loop hole...people who get busted know they did
>> > wrong so they don't fight it. NOBODY can search my house without a
>> > warrant stating what they are looking for...not even the FEDS!
>> >
>> > Sent via Deja.com
>> > http://www.deja.com/
>
>

2830. Re: BY THE WAY....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 16:10:21 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001217111021.02432.00005003@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 64213

does he have a long nose suburban too? lol

2831. Re: WANTED: CB TECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 16:11:17 UTC
From: fmrnycer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001217111117.12169.00004948@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 64214

>Subject: Re: WANTED: CB TECH
>From: Mans0n ibrewbeer@cia.gov.hahaha
>Date: 12/17/2000 3:42 AM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <g1vo3t0b5h3csubf2evv782kmjm9ih7ekh@4ax.com>
>
>
>pehaps its not a cb tech you need there how about a good voteamatic
>tech?
>
>

okay...you got me there...sorry we screwed the election up

2832. Re: MURS Questions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 16:12:49 UTC
From: WE0H <mikereid1@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <GA5%5.468$201.136522@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 64215

Here is a link for more info on the MURS.
http://provide.net/~prsg/murshome.htm
73's Mike> WE0H.

2833. Re: HOWARD STERN....$100 MILLION, 5 YEARS.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 16:45:09 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91iqilujk1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64217

In article <20001217021330.00575.00000134@nso-dd.aol.com>,
icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD (COLD as ICE) wrote:
> In article <91g6t4$4d9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, curious_dan@my-deja.com
writes:
>
> >geez, talk about sex every morning and get paid $100,000,000 for 5
> >years. what a deal. are new yorkers really that bored???????,....head
> >out......
>
> No offense cd but, Stern is in 39 markets in the US of A alone. That
means 38
> other states and/or cities play Howard Stern in the morning and
eventhough he
> is a NYer
> he seems to be played all over the country.
> And I must agree that is a nice paycheck for just bullshitting all
day which is
> what I have told him he does!!!! He has been doing it since 1973, now
that is a
> long time doing that stuff and making a good living at it!!!
> What Cha Say?
>
> COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It
don't
> mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

yep you are right. forgot about that. he isn't here, but we have a
pair, at least we used to, that were similar to him in style and
content. what do you think about the i man?,.......cd is out....
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2834. Re: N8wwm Getting a Good "Cuffing."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 16:46:24 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91iqkvukb1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64219

In article <t3p682g8iaaif0@news.supernews.com>,
"Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote:
> Mother bear (Hams) is correcting her baby bear's (N8wwm) poor
behavior.
> Gotta love it and I, for one, appreciate it.
>
> Jeff
>
>

what happened, did rolley tell him to quit being an ass?,..cd is out....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2835. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 16:49:43 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <91iqr7$1bs$0@208.207.71.160>
Source record: 64216
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >
> > JR, I would place more credibility in
> > Phil Kane's comment since he's a
> > former FCC attorney.
>
> Look, I don't want to keep trashing Phil,

THen have the good sense to stop. I'm sure he's not worried in the
least about that but you sure are wearing an omelet.

but when he first started
> talking about this legislation a few months ago, he didn't even know who
> sponsored the bill. He was ranting about some congressman who proposed
> similar legislation several years ago and was not even involved with
> this legislation.

I can guarantee that Phil can find out more with a single phone call
than you'll ever know.

2836. Re: Are you protected from EMF waves?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 16:52:31 UTC
From: KC8JBO <kc8jbo@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <91ir0e$uv1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64218
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Anonymous" <anonymous@anonymous.anonymous> wrote in message
news:3a3caa6c_2@anonymous...
>
> If you are a user of mobile phone, computers, or any electrical
> appliance then you really ought to continue reading this further...

Except for anonymous@anoymous.anonymous, who has to have Dr. Seuss read
and explained to him. He was the only 15-year-old to graduate from
his elementary school.

> As science progresses, more and more facts are stacking up from tens
of
> researches which proves without a shred of doubt that..

Anonymous posters really have non-decended scrotums. Anonymous here has
a criminal record and has to register whenever he moves beause of his
registered sex offender status.

> POWERFUL ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATION MAY CAUSE ABNORMAL
> REACTIONS, PHYSICALLY AND MENTALLY, SUCH AS DEGENERATING THE
> FACULTY OF MEMORY, TINNITUS, FAINTING, DIZZINES, FATIGUE, AND EVEN
> GENETIC DEFORMATION.

Check out Anoymous' picture at "Ripley's Beleive it or Not" website
under the "Afterbirth FREAKS that lived" section.

> If you have an amateur radio operator or a CB radio user in your
> neighborhood, you will be able to directly observe the deleterious
> effects that EMF waves have had on such individuals. Loss of
> coordination, inability to reason, and bouts of uncontrollable rage
are
> typical behavior patterns.

Anonymous' behavior however, stems from his wife-beating, alcoholic
father who used to sexually abuse him on a nightly basis.

> Fortunately, if you have not been exposed for an extended period of
time
> to such waves, the effects are reversable. If you are an amateur or a
> CB operator, cease radio transmissions now and see if you don't start
to
> feel normal after a few weeks.

Then you and go on eating and felching binges just like Anonymous...

> Failing in that, you should at least make yourself a cap out of
> household aluminum foil and you should wear that cap whenever you are
> using a radio or a computer.

Typically, Anonymous wears women's panties when he posts....LARGE
women's size!

> See the fine WorldRadio article by KC8JBO on aluminum foil headwear
for
> further information.

Additionally, you can catch Anonymous' articles on
alt.fan.pedophiles.crossdressers for his many experiences molesting
underage boys.
Isn't that right, George?

--
73 de Roger, KC8JBO
EM99 |ARRL|VE [ARRL & W5YI]|ARES |RACES
Yaesu FT-920 |Inverted Vee @ 40 ft.
Yaesu FT-90R

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2837. ||||| FS: TOWER |||||

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 16:56:56 UTC
From: looking@U.com
Message-ID: <3a3cf03b.12806843@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 64221

FS 55' CZ-454-FS crank up-lay down Tristao tower with Towtec 102A electric raising winch. All mounting hardware. 3-20' sections, nests @20'11".

From shipping docs:

"Safely supports most tri-band beams such as the Mosley TA-33 and TA-26 and the HyGain TH6 DXX and others in 75 mph winds without guying (over 16 sq ft in 50 mph wind.) Designed for the ham with no space for guy wires. Safely and easily lowered during very high wind conditions. Large top section sizes for installing CDR and other rotators inside the top section for maximum strength. Removable rotator mounting plate furnished with all models. These free standing CZ crank up towers have 12-3/8" OD top sections and "FS" type hinged bases. Three 1'X36" anchor bolts and hinged base flanges are included for complete installation without a wall bracket."

Prefer pick up in Charleston, SC area. A very well priced unit @ 900 firm. Interested parties E-MAIL ONLY n4zn@amsat.org . Please, no tire kickers, no critics. You may leave a name/phone number to call.

2838. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 16:57:42 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <91ira6jd1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 64220
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Note: Follow-ups set to just rec.radio.amateur.misc

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:
> Kim, we've already discussed this. If you delete newsgroups in a
>crossposted thread, many of the participants in the discussion will not
>see your comments, since many are not in the newsgroups you don't delete.

The usual procedure is to re-direct the thread, using the header's
"Followup-To:" line to just the group you're posting/reading, and
advise the readers that you've re-adjusted that line:

"Follow-ups set to just rec.radio.amateur.misc"

That will allow your comment to go to all NGs in the NG line one last
time, but any follow-up after that will appear on just r.r.a.m. Anyone
participating in the locked-out NGs will then be advised that if they
wish to continue participation, they'll need to come over to the NG
to which the thread was re-directed. (Brian Cantor was one smart
cookie when he wrote the Usenet software!)

I did that with this post; everyone on all 3 NGs will see it but any
follow-up to my comments will appear just here on r.r.a.m; my first
line above advises everyone of that.

Jeff KH6O

2839. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 17:03:09 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <91irkd$12b$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 64222
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Follow-ups set to just rec.radio.cb

CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net> wrote:
>I wish all you smart hams could figure out how to use your NG and stop
>posting this dribble to rec.radio.cb Thanks.

Well, Dork, the original poster of this thread *was* on r.r.cb.
Even his signature slang, "bleeds," in the Subject line testifies
to that. You can thank him for the initial x-post to all three
NGs.

Jeff KH6O

2840. mic wiring for a SS4900B?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 17:19:22 UTC
From: pkenn59788@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001217121922.00423.00000337@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 64223

Can anyone tell me how to wire up a D-104 mb for the Superstar 4900 B base radio?
Thanks, and happy holidays

Paul

2841. Re: DaveMade rumor?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 17:29:05 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <BJ6%5.142787$DG3.2823708@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 64224

"BigPoppaPump" <freak'Onature@aola.com> wrote in message
news:%gO_5.1608$L3.368658@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
> yeah and what happened to it ?

It was scrapped because the average idiot couldn't believe that a pair of transistors didn't need another transistor to drive them with.

it proved over time not to work to
> well.otherwise it would be used.

It proved that the idiot who buys an amp can't figure out that it doesn't take 100 watts to drive a 2X2879 amp.

I can build an input circuit on a 2 pill that will allow it to do just as much as as a 1X2 with a stock radio driving it.

As a matter of fact, all my amps have a similiar style input circuit.

However, most morons out their believe "Well, DaveMade makes a 1X2 amp, so that means that ANY amp can be driven by a 2290".

> what is the big deal with the input anyhow

That comment alone proves you know jack shit buddy.

if it did have a bad swr all you
> would need to do is drive it with more watts , the watts would still end up
> the same on output

Moronic comment #4.

Toll Free

>
>
> "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
> news:pCM_5.66042$%j3.841890@news6.giganews.com...
> > > Bill,
> > >
> > > Dennis is on record in this newsgroup archives as being the designer of
> > > XForce products. Are you telling me he lied?
> > >
> >
> >
> > No, he isn't.
> >

> > Dennis is on record on this newsgroup as having said that he designed a
> new
> > input
> > circuit that made the amps super efficient. He helps, but is not the
> > "designer of X-force"
> >
> >
>
>

2842. SBE Console II SSB base FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 17:30:46 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <aL6%5.28154$7g.3299386@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 64225

SBE Console II with nicely done rotary switch crystal mod with 40+ channels available, (goes to .605) cosmetics really nice, stock mike, runs like gangbusters!!! Great RX, great audio, unlocked, even the "On the Air" lights work properly, including orig. manual, etc., tough to find one in this condition that runs this well, $75

ppd MO only, shipping/ins. extra, email replies please, trades considered

2843. Browning LTD AM/SSB mobile FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 17:33:41 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <VN6%5.28172$7g.3301220@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 64227

23 Ch with expansion for extra freq's, no extra holes, lots of pretty gold plating, orig. mike, bracket, shows some normal wear but in nice condition, runs great. Best offer or swap, shipping extra, ppd. MO only email replies please

2844. Re: Fear of Mark Fuller?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 17:35:13 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <IP6%5.101923$IP1.3325314@news1.giganews.com>
Source record: 64226

>
> Mark challenged people to act responsibly. What's so UPSETTING to you
> about that?

What is so upsetting is that when Dennis Ostrowski put Mark in check on various technical debates, proving him either an idiot or wrong, Mark went so far as to write his employer, and nearly had him terminated.

In return (I have heard), Mark had the living fuck beat out of him. I would have agreed on that opinion.

What is on a newsgroup stays on a newsgroup. You don't go after someones job because they seemingly are more intelligent than you, and you are incapable of having a debate with them on technical topics, nor do you do it because more people choose to follow their lines of thinking than yours.

You seemingly forgot to add that to your post.

He said, "if you don't like the CB rules, get them
> changed". It is possible. That's the way responsible people go about
> getting things done. But no. Instead you guys would prefer to slink
> around in alleyways wearing "Born To Lose" tattoos, acting like "bad
> dudes", romanticizing your incorrigibility.

Please show proof.

>
> Lastly, I didn't know Mark was a ham. I don't see any Mark Fuller listed
> in the ham databases, QRZ, etc. If you have Mark's callsign, please
> provide it here. I'd like to look him up.

Sure.

Look for him in the Pheonix area. If he is in fact, still alive.

Toll Free

>
> Sincerely,
> Aaron H. Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2845. HR2510 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 17:36:03 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <7Q6%5.28187$7g.3302388@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 64228

Nice clean rig, shows light normal use, 10/11M coverage, stock mike, manual, runs just fine, ready to go for $185 plus shipping, ins., or consider swaps, email replies please

2846. Uniden PC76 w/Connex echo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 17:37:18 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <iR6%5.28196$7g.3303307@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 64229

Internal dual pot echo, good clean rig, peaked/tweaked for big audio, ready to go, stock mike, $75 shipped USPS ppd. MO only

2847. Re: MURS Questions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 17:44:28 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3CFB7C.EDA7B9AD@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64230

Look, fat ass, here's your anonymous trolling post in RRAM 12-16-00:

The local CB'ers have gotten wind of the 'new' MURS frequencies that have
been recently been made available. Wouldn't you know it? The word is
spreading fast.
One of the locals has a two mtr. Ham rig he bought at a Hamfest and has
opened up...he is telling all his buddies to follow suit and 'get on the
air'. Two watts? Heck, they couldn't care less about the power
restrictrions! This guy is saying he's gonna put up some beams, get his wife
a rig and go for it.
The mess has begun. It will get worse. This clown said, "Nobody gonna
enforce it." if he runs fifty watts or more.
I think that the FCC really made a big mistake on this call and it won't be
long before these CB'ers begin to screw up the neighboring VHF freqs. with
their overpowered and broad-banded outlaw rigs.
The local CB'er was telling his buddies to spend a couple of hundred bucks
and buy an FM Ham rig from AES and modify it.
It is already happening. It will get worse.

Then you replied to yourself in RRAM 12-16-00 an hour and a half later:

Anonymous wrote in message <3a3b23d7_1@anonymous>...
>The local CB'ers have gotten wind of the 'new' MURS frequencies that have
>been recently been made available. Wouldn't you know it? The word is
>spreading fast.
>One of the locals has a two mtr. Ham rig he bought at a Hamfest and has
>opened up...he is telling all his buddies to follow suit and 'get on the
>air'. Two watts? Heck, they couldn't care less about the power
>restrictrions! This guy is saying he's gonna put up some beams, get his wife
>a rig and go for it.

I would not have a problem with using my dual-band HT with MURS . It's
been modded for out-of-band. Same goes for a 440Ht to use on FRS too.
All you need to do is get the shortest antenna possible and use the lowest
power, and noone will even notice.

>The mess has begun. It will get worse. This clown said, "Nobody gonna
>enforce it." if he runs fifty watts or more.
>I think that the FCC really made a big mistake on this call and it won't be
>long before these CB'ers begin to screw up the neighboring VHF freqs. with
>their overpowered and broad-banded outlaw rigs.
>The local CB'er was telling his buddies to spend a couple of hundred bucks
>and buy an FM Ham rig from AES and modify it.
>It is already happening. It will get worse.
>

It's going to happen for sure, but they will ruin it for the repsonsible
operators like myself. You can do alot with 2 watts and a good antenna.
Of course the truckers are probably allready using this MURS now anyway.

You're just pissed I made the connection!!

Lloyd Davies wrote:
>
> Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay) wrote in message
> <3A3C0C59.DF898FB@hotmail.com>...
> >Prophet Nutsack!! Are you tired of hammies chewing you out?
> >Us CB'ers will chew you up AND spit you out. Go back now while
> >you still have fingers to type with.
> >
> >Lloyd Davies wrote:
> >>
> >> What's the calling freq?
> >
> >146.940 -600
> >>
> >> What will be the truckers freq?
> >
> >147.135 +600
> >>
> >> Thanks
>
> Listen turds for brains, All i did was ask a on-topic question. Keep it
> up, and Your ass is grass!

Are you going to stuff it up there? I wouldn't give you the pleasure!!
When are you going to drive over here to do it? Commode, Commode!!

2848. Re: BY THE WAY....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 17:49:26 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3CFCA6.B468FE07@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64231

Punisha413fl wrote:
>
> does he have a long nose suburban too? lol

And cuss and swear a lot?

2849. Re: N8wwm Getting a Good "Cuffing."

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 18:06:20 UTC
From: exportsrus@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001217130620.10059.00005150@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 64232

Its more like the new President said in a public anouncement with CNBC that the first of cuts to get the federal money system back where it needed to be was to do away with certain organizations. The first on his list was, yes you guessed it correct, the FCC. Seems like the president likes his communications as well.

>
>In article <t3p682g8iaaif0@news.supernews.com>,
> "Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote:
>> Mother bear (Hams) is correcting her baby bear's (N8wwm) poor
>behavior.
>> Gotta love it and I, for one, appreciate it.
>>
>> Jeff
>>
>>
>
>what happened, did rolley tell him to quit being an ass?,..cd is out....
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com
>http://www.deja.com/
>

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Thanks, Steve
Exports R Us
www.xportsrus.com
We also have the best wholesale prices for cb shops and dealers.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

2850. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 18:24:32 UTC
From: exportsrus@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001217132432.10059.00005151@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 64233

Amazing how out of the woodworks comes someone to the rescue of DaveMade Amps when the real deal comes out. X Force I am glad to say just picked up to more LARGE distributors in the world. I will continue to promote this proven product as it is one of the BEST and most improved line of amps in the world. Get ready, we have some more new changes on the way with innovation being the leader of the success. X Force is the main choice of most consumers.

>"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message news:oid_5.131382
>> No, what is funny is the fact that DaveMade pushes amps, and they have
>> people that do, but seemingly forget about the guy who only buys one or
>> two amps, and small ones.
>==========
>How could you say this when has dave ever pushed a amp on anyone he doesnt
>even sell them he doesnt care about the business If you knew anything you
>would know mr gunn is who owns and runs www.davemade.com he has the dm
>business at this point in time not dave, and if you really knew anything
>this is one of the main reasons x force started 3 years ago because dave had
>no desire to build amps so 123 split and you should know the rest
>
>>
>> Seems, if ya want to do business with the guys in DaveMade County USA,
>> you have to have deep pockets.
>==========
>Untrue again , prove your lie many out there have bought many small amps
>from them
>
>
>>
>> And that, my friend, is bullshit.
>==========
>and so are you go back under your rock
>>
>> If they want to make people happy, provide customer service.>
>==========
>they must provide just enough customer service since i hear hundereds and
>hundreds of them across the band
>>
>
>> DaveMade and their cronies have been pushing that line of shit for so long
>> that it gets worse and worse every time I read it.
>> Who gives a shit who builds what.
>>
>> Bottom line. For 3 years, I have heard the common every day driver asking
>> questions and getting nothing in response... Especially since Dennis left

>> the news-
>> group (not Number 12, but Dennis at DM).
>==
>davemades are not for the average guy they are not for ssber they are not
>for truckers, they are not for the rookie operator, can they use them there
>yes, but his business was all about competition thats his market you guys
>have made him out to be a supplier of everyone have any of you ever heard
>dave himself say anything post anything No i dont think he would
>what is wrong with that
>
>
>>
>> XForce always seems helpful.
>================================
>yes they need to be they are /were a fledgling company getting there balls
>broke about being a dave copy so they had to do something davemade didnt
>which was talk to every tom dick and harry who wanted or was just curious
>about an amp
>
>
>>
>> That means a LOT to the guys who are spending their hard earned cash on a
>> small
>> 2+4 or 6 pill.
>==================================
>they are davemades major sellers the 3 pills and 5 pills and if they plunnk
>down there hard earned cash it will be cheaper than a comparable amp on the
>market
>
>
>>
>> It comes from Dennis and the rest, who are busy building amps and NOT
>> providing answers to the questions.
>==
>perhaps they have reasons for not answering every question posed to them
>maybe some are a waste of time where as a davemade is not for them, maybe
>they only want to deal with people they know or feel comfortable with,
>remeber amplifiers are still illegal. you can run your business how you
>want and i guess they do the same.
>
>>
>> Gee, X-Force has a good name, and a reputation for customer service.
>> So, I guess, a manufacturer can provide both, huh?
>==
>the good name came from daves design they provided the rest.
>
>>
>
>
>> Thats great.

>> I guess these guys where born knowing all this information, too, huh?
>------------==
>no they learn it just like you do or they try it themselves .
>
>>
>> Some people are so fucking narrow minded it makes me sick.
>==
>take a good look in the mirror
>
>>
>>
>> I happen to know different.
>>
>> My family has been involved in racing vehicles for over 40 years.
>===
>I am impressed
>>
>> Most all engine builders spend the time to inform their customers, that
>way
>> people don't get pissed because they came in and ordered a motor with
>brand
>> X
>> pistons, brand Y cam with Z duration at A lift, and this carb or that fuel
>> injection
>> system.
>===
>I think the point is again the average guy doesnt go to s speed shop for an
>engine for his work car... uhh get the connection why should a trucker or a
>guy who wants a ssb amp go to a guy who builds competition amps
>>
>> Its called CUSTOMER SERVICE.
>>
>>
>> Your now going to equate DaveMade to Nascar? Highly doubtful.
>==
>You are a moron he was saying why would a average person go to a
>Nascar/Busch builder for a motor they are race car motors just as his amps
>are race amps ... You are really thicked headed
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> Blah Blah Blah.
>>
>> Bow down to this... Customer service always wins in the end.
>>
>> People get tired of the "order, and get forgotten about" syndrome that
>> DaveMade
>> seems to have gotten as of the past couple years.

>==========
>again mr gunn in North Carolina is the person selling Davemade
>
>
>
>>
>> I am not downing their product, just the way they interface. They grab
>> people,
>> suck them into "their way of doing business", and make the guy with a
>> question
>> feel like a total asshole for asking it.
>>
>>
>> Give me a break. The world doesn't operate that way.
>==========
>How would you know you walk around with your eyes shut
>
>
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Thanks, Steve
Exports R Us
www.xportsrus.com
We also have the best wholesale prices for cb shops and dealers.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

2851. Re: Are you protected from EMF waves?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 18:29:12 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <91j0m2$e3u$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 64234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

And even more dangerous is DHMO a substance so corrosive it reduces hardened steel to powder, so dangerous that less than a half of a cup kills! This substance is used by food manufactures with out our knowledge, causes acid rain, and we do nothing! Read about this terrible menace at: http://www.dhmo.org/

Do it today!

"Anonymous" <anonymous@anonymous.anonymous> wrote in message news:3a3caa6c_2@anonymous...
>
> If you are a user of mobile phone, computers, or any electrical
> appliance then you really ought to continue reading this further...
>
> As science progresses, more and more facts are stacking up from tens of
> researches which proves without a shred of doubt that..
>
> POWERFUL ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATION MAY CAUSE ABNORMAL REACTIONS,
> PHYSICALLY AND MENTALLY, SUCH AS DEGENERATING THE FACULTY OF MEMORY,
> TINNITUS, FAINTING, DIZZINES, FATIGUE, AND EVEN GENETIC DEFORMATION.
>
> If you have an amateur radio operator or a CB radio user in your
> neighborhood, you will be able to directly observe the deleterious
> effects that EMF waves have had on such individuals. Loss of
> coordination, inability to reason, and bouts of uncontrollable rage are
> typical behavior patterns.
>
> Fortunately, if you have not been exposed for an extended period of time
> to such waves, the effects are reversable. If you are an amateur or a
> CB operator, cease radio transmissions now and see if you don't start to
> feel normal after a few weeks.
>
> Failing in that, you should at least make yourself a cap out of
> household aluminum foil and you should wear that cap whenever you are
> using a radio or a computer.
>
> See the fine WorldRadio article by KC8JBO on aluminum foil headwear for
> further information.
>
> --------== Posted Anonymously via Newsfeeds.Com ==-------
> Featuring the worlds only Anonymous Usenet Server
> -----------== http://www.newsfeeds.com ==----------

2852. Re: DAVEMADE WORLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 18:35:45 UTC
From: exportsrus@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001217133545.10059.00005155@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 64235

Yea that was 3 years ago and then he took back over what was his rein in the first place. Then he elected new board members and named them the FORCE of his will and might in the CB Radio world. The group of his choosings are named X FORCE AMPS. Good Day

>
> It's not really a davemade world... GOD just left him in charge for a
>little
>while.....

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Thanks, Steve
Exports R Us
www.xportsrus.com
We also have the best wholesale prices for cb shops and dealers.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

2853. Merry X-Mas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 18:38:24 UTC
From: exportsrus@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001217133824.10059.00005156@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 64236

With not even a week to go we would like to wish all here in the group a Very Merry X-MAS from X Force Comp. Amps.

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Thanks, Steve
Exports R Us
www.xportsrus.com
We also have the best wholesale prices for cb shops and dealers.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

2854. Re: BY THE WAY....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 18:41:01 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <91j1fq$lp5o$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64237

Long nose yes, Suburban?

KRS

Punisha413fl <punisha413fl@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001217111021.02432.00005003@ng-fb1.aol.com...
> does he have a long nose suburban too? lol

2855. Re: Merry X-Mas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 18:42:25 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <91j1ie$mmis$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64238

Merry Christmas to you and yours right back :)

peace,
KRS

EXPORTSRUS <exportsrus@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001217133824.10059.00005156@ng-md1.aol.com...
> With not even a week to go we would like to wish all here in the group a
Very
> Merry X-MAS from X Force Comp. Amps.
>
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> Thanks, Steve
> Exports R Us
> www.xportsrus.com
> We also have the best wholesale prices for cb shops and dealers.
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

2856. Re: Are you protected from EMF waves?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 18:49:49 UTC
From: Keith Wood <kw@bctv.com>
Message-ID: <3A3D0ACD.DAABEB9A@bctv.com>
Source record: 64240
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Fred Hambrecht wrote:
>
> And even more dangerous is DHMO a substance so corrosive it reduces hardened
> steel to powder, so dangerous that less than a half of a cup kills! This
> substance is used by food manufactures with out our knowledge, causes acid
> rain, and we do nothing! Read about this terrible menace at:
> http://www.dhmo.org/
>
> Do it today!

I don't have time to check out the site, but if it's the stuff I think
it is, the problem is that most people think that they're immune. I've
even seen some fools DRINK the stuff!

2857. Re: Wanted: Old Ford type CB with controls on mike

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 18:53:47 UTC
From: J&A Braun <2brauns@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <lV7%5.142$xU2.22135@news1.atl>
Source record: 64239

Thanks Sparky,
I'll see my friend tomorrow and will ask if that is similar to what he is looking for.
Jeremy

"Sparky" <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message news:3a3b0f5b.2830395@news.cet.com...
> On Fri, 15 Dec 2000 23:47:22 -0500, "J&A Braun"
> <2brauns@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>
> >I've got a friend who has been restoring his old 78 Bronco and would like to
> >find the CB handset for the truck. It still has the amp/control box and
> >plug in the dash, but somebody has taken his handset.
> >
> >Do any of you have any connections or know of a place that might have this
> >radio handset? I believe it should have all the channel changers, readout,
> >volume control and the mic itself on the unit. If someone has a complete
> >Ford unit that is this style then I'm sure he may be interested in that
> >also.
> >
> >Thanks,
> >Jeremy
>
> I have a handset that uses a 14-pin round connector, it goes to an
> in-dash AM/FM/CB/8-track, but I think it is for a Nissan. I might be
> similar.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

2858. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 19:12:16 UTC
From: Bob <bob@bob.com>
Message-ID: <3A3D1010.112912AC@bob.com>
Source record: 64241

I just read through everyone of your posts.

Lets say the load is 25 + j0 Ohms. If the 50 ohm coax line is 1/8 a wavelength long, what complex impedance does the generator see? What SWR does that result in? What if the line is a 1/4 wavelength long? And what if the line is 3/8 wavelength long? What if the line is 1/2 a wavelength long?

Enough quoting books, lets have some numbers.

Bob

Sparky wrote:
>
> On Sat, 16 Dec 2000 18:04:16 GMT, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >I will get the book this week from my work library.
>
> It's about time.
>
> >Well no one actually wrote that a coax does not radiate because it
> >is common knowledge. It would be stating the obvious.
>
> And it's obvious that the earth is flat . . .
>
> >I'll get your book. We'll settle this.
>
> I'm waiting. In the meantime, please continue with your misinformation
> campaign - it's very entertaining.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

2859. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 19:28:07 UTC
From: Bob <bob@bob.com>
Message-ID: <3A3D13C7.E674427E@bob.com>
Source record: 64242

jdaggett@gate.net wrote:
One day he might just understand how a
> Smith Chart actually works. Nice handy tool.
>
> james

Hey.....he knows how it works. He just didn't tell you the coax was lossy as hell! 2.7 to 1.2 SWR change from the source to load! Holy shit, what kind of coax is that?

Bob.

2860. Re: mic wiring for a SS4900B?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 20:05:20 UTC
From: Hillbilly Dave <******davesradio@alltel.net******>
Message-ID: <91j65hgoc1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 64243

I'll let you know tomorrow when I get in the shop.... it only uses 3
wires...

Dave
KG5XR
Daves Radio Electronics
http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
On Bull Shoales Lake
Ark/Mo State Line

PKenn59788 <pkenn59788@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001217121922.00423.00000337@ng-ck1.aol.com...
> Can anyone tell me how to wire up a D-104 mb for the Superstar 4900 B base
> radio?
> Thanks, and happy holidays
>
> Paul

2861. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 20:15:08 UTC
From: Bob <bob@bob.com>
Message-ID: <3A3D1ECC.9830CE98@bob.com>
Source record: 64244

Ok Fred,

Lets say the load is 25 + j0 Ohms. If the 50 ohm coax line is 1/8 a
wavelength long, what complex impedance does the generator see? What SWR
does that result in? What if the line is a 1/4 wavelength long? And what
if the line is 3/8 wavelength long? What if the line is 1/2 a wavelength
long?

Number please. If your making a claim the coax length changes SWR and
your
qualified to make such a claim...fill in the numbers.

Scott.

Fred Hambrecht wrote:
>
> I totally agree, changing the length of coax, particularly on a mobile
> installation, which is how this thread started, introduces various values of
> XL or XC which in combination with the antenna lets a radio see 50 ohms.
>
> No 1/4 mobile antenna is 50 ohms. A perfect 1/4 wave over perfect ground is
> 25 ohms and it increases from there do to losses. By moving the antenna from
> resonance and introducing some reactance we can make it look like 50 ohms.
>
> <jdaggett@gate.net> wrote in message news:3a3bb3be.8811363@news.gate.net...
> > On Sat, 16 Dec 2000 12:12:45 -0500, "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com>
> wrote:
> >
> > *With all do respect, I am afraid that your knowledge exceeds your
> > *understanding. When one claims expertise in a subject, first some of the
> > *simplest things must be understood. You stated disagreement in a prior
> > *message that a shorted 1/4 wave length of coax is an infinite impedance,
> nor
> > *could changing the length of a transmission live vary impedance. Both of
> > *these are basic to understanding the more complex issues you choose to
> > *address. It appears that you ALWAYS assume that the antenna is 50 ohm
> with
> > *+/-J = 0. If this were true many of the things you say would apply,
> > *unfortunately such is not the case. Resonance, is defined as the
> condition
> > *where XL=XC with no resistive value specified. For example the resonance
> of
> > *free space is 377 ohms. You have narrowed the universe to a specific set
> of
> > *conditions which has no application in the real world. A million CBers

> have
> > *changed the length of their coax and observed the SWR change. Why?
> > **********
> >
> > Actually the intrinsdic impedance of free spaace is 377 Ohms. Impedance
> has
> > nothing to do with resonance. Impadance is nothing but a complex number.
> >
> > 50 Ohms impedance can be an infinte combinations of R and X. There is an
> > infinite solutions for 50 Ohms.
> >
> > transmission lines less than a quarter wave are often used for lumped
> constants.
> > Thus the transmission line can be either a capacitor or an inductor
> depending if
> > it is shorted or open at one end.
> >
> >
> > james
> >
> > **********
> > *
> > *"Coax Length Police" <anylength@willdo.com> wrote in message
> > *news:anylength-> Furthermore, the impedance required for an SWR of 1:1 is
> 50
> > *+ j0 ohms.
> > *> Given that 50 + j0 ohms is resonant and it is a *requirement* for
> > *> an SWR of 1:1, we can say
> > *> AN SWR of 1:1 REQUIRES RESONANCE.
> > *>
> > *> Get it?
> > *>
> > *> Your turn, hysterical one.
> > *
> >

2862. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 20:19:44 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nospam.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.g5q0e62.pminews@ca.news.verio.net>
Source record: 64245
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sat, 16 Dec 2000 22:19:15 -0500, Dwight Stewart wrote:

> Look, I don't want to keep trashing Phil,

Prove it by action.....

>but when he first started
>talking about this legislation a few months ago, he didn't even know who
>sponsored the bill. He was ranting about some congressman who proposed
>similar legislation several years ago and was not even involved with
>this legislation.

The ORIGINAL version of the bill was introduced in the Senate
by Senator Feingold about two terms ago and sailed through
the Senate. It did not emerge from committee in the House.
This was repeated last term with the same result. In the
present term it made it through because this time House
sponsors took the Feingold Bill and introduced it concurently
as the HR Bill that it is known as in this discussion.

The only differences between the original Feingold measure and
the one that finally made it through bouth houses and was
signed by the Prez are the exemption for the holders of valid
FCC station licenses introduced in the second version of the
Senate bill at the urging of the ARRL and the provision which
requires probable cause for enforcement against a commercial
carrier vehicle, no doubt introduced upon pressure by the
trucking industry to guard against real or perceived arbitrary
enforcement actions.

>He also fails to note that FCC policy has changed greatly since he
>left the FCC.

For the worse.

There are more and more people coming into power positions
within the agency who know not and care not what proper
radio regulatory enforcement is and why it is necessary.

Riley is a rare throwback to the "old days".

>For one thing, the FCC was directly involved in the
>creation of this legislation, and appears to fully support it.

The non-enforcement people surely do. It's easier to let
someone else do one's distasteful job than to shoulder the
responsibility and demand and get the resources to do it

properly.

>And finally, he keep talking about some jurisdictional issue that
>simply does not exist. If it did exist, it would have been addressed by
>lawyers at the FCC, the House, the Senate, or the White House, long ago.

If the FCC didn't speak up, none of the others could care.

And as I said, it's easier to let someone else do one's
distasteful job than to shoulder the responsibility and demand
and get the resources to do it properly.

73 de Phil Kane - K2ASP

2863. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 20:33:58 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <mb7q3tog89he17k71ejc071qd2g7odgh6j@4ax.com>
Source record: 64246

Greetings!

But, this is what makes it fun...

Lets get back to that example equation...

25 - 5j = 25 ohms, but a capacitive element.

Happened when I was using a 4' cheap fiberglass antenna with a mount picked up at a local garage sale...read:abused and cheap.

Now, if we were to really figure this out, we'd have the answer of 25 ohms, meaning we should be seeing a 2.7 SWR. We should be able to cancel out the capacitive reactance by simply substituting extra inductance.

OK, the antenna was shot, and it was too short. Now what?

Thats when the grins and giggles come in. It's dealing with a typical SWR meter, from your local CB shop. You know those kind, written with funny looking symbols showing country of origin - Hint:China.

Now you folks are bashing coax length, and you rightfully should. But, when the atypical CB'er comes across a bargain bin deal, well, we know the story - I was one of them...;-)

Take a look again at that smith chart. George was pretty close to the mark - we should see circles that intersect each other.

Ok, you with me so far?

You have to realize that we need to add inductance, so since we are dealing with a 25 ohm load on a 50 ohm coax, we shouldn't even get close to a low SWR. Correct?

Take a look at the opposing phase angle when we get coax to maximum inductance length on the "supposed open" line. We're at the 3/8 wave length - about 9 feet - well we should now see that SWR at 2.7 to 2.0.

Ok, so far, we are seeing that. But, there is a problem. The only way I could even see any SWR change was to try another patch cord.

Ok, here goes. We're adding another 9 foot patch cord on that silly mag mount antenna mess. The original cord was only 8 feet.

Check the SWR, I'm now at 1.2 - what is wrong?

OK folks, I'm going to let you in on a special secret. This thread shows how gullible you folks really are when it come to

troubleshooting problems in the real world. You don't ask for
clarification if all the bugs have been worked out. It's what I've
been saying all along...

The antenna was cut short, yet it can show a 1.2 SWR when the coax is
set for 17 feet. What was done wrong?

There are clues here.

Cheap antenna - mount was included with only 8 feet of coax.

Those two can easily point out the problems with the antenna setup.

Can you figure it out?

On Sat, 16 Dec 2000 17:18:22 -0500, while the modem was ice fishing
with the Mouse, Bob <bob@bob.com> dissertated the following:

:+> Trust me, this is no place to argue coax length. Whatever people want to
:+> believe is fine - it won't hurt them. You will talk till you're blue in
:+> the face, but some people here have their minds made up. They believe it
:+> so blindly they won't hear real discussion.
:+>
:+> Handy Andy believes you can "conjugate match" with the right length of
:+> 50 Ohm coax! Holy smokes!
:+>
:+> Bob.

Regards! |
.:+> Andy <+: |
. |
... You could be a CB'er when |
... The radio and the antenna]|[
... costs more than the car ---^---
... is worth... /_______\
. |oo|===|oo|
. http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc [=========]
. |_| |_|
http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

2864. Re: DAVEMADE WORLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 20:44:01 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91j8ig$8v5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64247

In article <20001217133545.10059.00005155@ng-md1.aol.com>,
exportsrus@aol.com (EXPORTSRUS) wrote:
> Yea that was 3 years ago and then he took back over what was his rein
in the
> first place. Then he elected new board members and named them the
FORCE of his
> will and might in the CB Radio world. The group of his choosings are
named X
> FORCE AMPS. Good Day
>
> >
> > It's not really a davemade world... GOD just left him in charge
for a
> >little
> >while.....
>
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> Thanks, Steve
> Exports R Us
> www.xportsrus.com
> We also have the best wholesale prices for cb shops and dealers.
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
>

well ,well , well if it isn't xforce r us. tell me how much is your
cut? ,...comode, comode,......head out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2865. Re: FCC and police have an amp!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 21:44:01 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3D33A7.CF132155@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64248

Hippie Freak wrote:
>
> >N8WWM typical reply:
> >Another little whinebaby bitching about things that have no merit or bearing
> >on
> >the question. The issue is that operating outside the regular 40 channels
> >with
> >modified equipment, amps, etc is illegal. You clowns jsut think you can eat
> >your squirrel turd cookies and blah blah on illegal frequencies at will. The
> >party is gonna end boys, and I will laugh my socks off when it does.
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> And where in this did I say I was operating outside the 40 CB band? I read it
> twice and I didn't see any where that I was outside the CB band. And where did
> I say I was even keying up with an amp? Just stated that I had some. And where
> did I say my stuff was modified? Didn't say that either! Didn't say I was using
> them did I! Get your facts straight before ya say anything nextime!! Oh well,
> Untill next time.....

In that boys eyes, all CB'ers use extra power AND do it on extra channels.
I've been accused of having extra channels so many times, I bust out laughing!

Maybe I can afford extra channels when I finish suing them for defamation
of character. This is a litigious society.

2866. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 21:59:55 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <91jd0rhjo1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 64250

Handy Andy <codyspc@iserv.net> wrote:
>when the atypical CB'er comes across a bargain bin deal,

Don't you mean "typical"? The prefix a- means "not."

2867. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 22:14:28 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <freak'Onature@aola.com>
Message-ID: <8Va%5.2584$TC3.850758@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64249

Andy, you are already making mistakes on the basics 25 ohms is a 2:1 swr
just the same as 100 ohms being a 2:1 swr ... I dont know where you get 2.7?

"Handy Andy" <CodysPC@Iserve.Net> wrote in message
news:mb7q3tog89he17k71ejc071qd2g7odgh6j@4ax.com...
> Greetings!
>
> But, this is what makes it fun...
>
> Lets get back to that example equation...
>
> 25 - 5j = 25 ohms, but a capacitive element.
>
> Happened when I was using a 4' cheap fiberglass antenna with a mount
> picked up at a local garage sale...read:abused and cheap.
>
> Now, if we were to really figure this out, we'd have the answer of 25
> ohms, meaning we should be seeing a 2.7 SWR. We should be able to
> cancel out the capacitive reactance by simply substituting extra
> inductance.
>
> OK, the antenna was shot, and it was too short. Now what?
>
> Thats when the grins and giggles come in. It's dealing with a typical
> SWR meter, from your local CB shop. You know those kind, written with
> funny looking symbols showing country of origin - Hint:China.
>
> Now you folks are bashing coax length, and you rightfully should. But,
> when the atypical CB'er comes across a bargain bin deal, well, we know
> the story - I was one of them...;-)
>
> Take a look again at that smith chart. George was pretty close to the
> mark - we should see circles that intersect each other.
>
> Ok, you with me so far?
>
> You have to realize that we need to add inductance, so since we are
> dealing with a 25 ohm load on a 50 ohm coax, we shouldn't even get
> close to a low SWR. Correct?
>
> Take a look at the opposing phase angle when we get coax to maximum
> inductance length on the "supposed open" line. We're at the 3/8 wave
> length - about 9 feet - well we should now see that SWR at 2.7 to 2.0.
>

> Ok, so far, we are seeing that. But, there is a problem. The only way
> I could even see any SWR change was to try another patch cord.
>
> Ok, here goes. We're adding another 9 foot patch cord on that silly
> mag mount antenna mess. The original cord was only 8 feet.
>
> Check the SWR, I'm now at 1.2 - what is wrong?
>
> OK folks, I'm going to let you in on a special secret. This thread
> shows how gullible you folks really are when it come to
> troubleshooting problems in the real world. You don't ask for
> clarification if all the bugs have been worked out. It's what I've
> been saying all along...
>
> The antenna was cut short, yet it can show a 1.2 SWR when the coax is
> set for 17 feet. What was done wrong?
>
> There are clues here.
>
> Cheap antenna - mount was included with only 8 feet of coax.
>
> Those two can easily point out the problems with the antenna setup.
>
> Can you figure it out?
>
>
>
> On Sat, 16 Dec 2000 17:18:22 -0500, while the modem was ice fishing
> with the Mouse, Bob <bob@bob.com> dissertated the following:
>
> :+> Trust me, this is no place to argue coax length. Whatever people want
to
> :+> believe is fine - it won't hurt them. You will talk till you're blue
in
> :+> the face, but some people here have their minds made up. They believe
it
> :+> so blindly they won't hear real discussion.
> :+>
> :+> Handy Andy believes you can "conjugate match" with the right length of
> :+> 50 Ohm coax! Holy smokes!
> :+>
> :+> Bob.
>
>
> Regards! |
> .:+> Andy <+: |
> . |
> ... You could be a CB'er when |
> ... The radio and the antenna]|[
> ... costs more than the car ---^---

```
> ... is worth... /_______\
> . |oo|===|oo|
> . http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc [=========]
> . |_| |_|
> http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm
```

2868. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 22:20:41 UTC
From: Rat Bastard <Ratpatrol@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Z_a%5.2585$TC3.853335@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64251

No problem when the local police come a knocking just tell them you sell Xforce. I am sure Riley has seen your web site and any other pertinent info you have to offer him. I am taking this as a admission of guilt you stating that you are selling illegal amplifers and all
ABC Ya!

"EXPORTSRUS" <exportsrus@aol.com> wrote in message news:20001217132432.10059.00005151@ng-md1.aol.com...
> Amazing how out of the woodworks comes someone to the rescue of DaveMade Amps
> when the real deal comes out. X Force I am glad to say just picked up to more
> LARGE distributors in the world. I will continue to promote this proven
> product as it is one of the BEST and most improved line of amps in the world.
> Get ready, we have some more new changes on the way with innovation being the
> leader of the success. X Force is the main choice of most consumers.
>
> >"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message news:oid_5.131382
> >> No, what is funny is the fact that DaveMade pushes amps, and they have
> >> people that do, but seemingly forget about the guy who only buys one or
> >> two amps, and small ones.
> >==========
> >How could you say this when has dave ever pushed a amp on anyone he doesnt
> >even sell them he doesnt care about the business If you knew anything you
> >would know mr gunn is who owns and runs www.davemade.com he has the dm
> >business at this point in time not dave, and if you really knew anything
> >this is one of the main reasons x force started 3 years ago because dave had
> >no desire to build amps so 123 split and you should know the rest
> >
> >>
> >> Seems, if ya want to do business with the guys in DaveMade County USA,
> >> you have to have deep pockets.
> >==========
> >Untrue again , prove your lie many out there have bought many small amps
> >from them
> >
> >
> >>
> >> And that, my friend, is bullshit.
> >==========

> >and so are you go back under your rock
> >>
> >> If they want to make people happy, provide customer service.>
> >==========
> >they must provide just enough customer service since i hear hundereds and
> >hundreds of them across the band
> >>
> >
> >> DaveMade and their cronies have been pushing that line of shit for so
long
> >> that it gets worse and worse every time I read it.
> >> Who gives a shit who builds what.
> >>
> >> Bottom line. For 3 years, I have heard the common every day driver
asking
> >> questions and getting nothing in response... Especially since Dennis
left
> >> the news-
> >> group (not Number 12, but Dennis at DM).
> >==========
> >davemades are not for the average guy they are not for ssber they are not
> >for truckers, they are not for the rookie operator, can they use them
there
> >yes, but his business was all about competition thats his market you guys
> >have made him out to be a supplier of everyone have any of you ever heard
> >dave himself say anything post anything No i dont think he would
> >what is wrong with that
> >
> >
> >>
> >> XForce always seems helpful.
> >==========
> >yes they need to be they are /were a fledgling company getting there
balls
> >broke about being a dave copy so they had to do something davemade didnt
> >which was talk to every tom dick and harry who wanted or was just
curious
> >about an amp
> >
> >
> >>
> >> That means a LOT to the guys who are spending their hard earned cash on
a
> >> small
> >> 2+4 or 6 pill.
> >==========
> >they are davemades major sellers the 3 pills and 5 pills and if they
plunnk
> >down there hard earned cash it will be cheaper than a comparable amp on
the

> >market
> >
> >
> >>
> >> It comes from Dennis and the rest, who are busy building amps and NOT
> >> providing answers to the questions.
> >==
> >perhaps they have reasons for not answering every question posed to them
> >maybe some are a waste of time where as a davemade is not for them, maybe
> >they only want to deal with people they know or feel comfortable with,
> >remeber amplifiers are still illegal. you can run your business how you
> >want and i guess they do the same.
> >
> >>
> >> Gee, X-Force has a good name, and a reputation for customer service.
> >> So, I guess, a manufacturer can provide both, huh?
> >==
> >the good name came from daves design they provided the rest.
> >
> >>
> >
> >
> >> Thats great.
> >> I guess these guys where born knowing all this information, too, huh?
> >------------==
> >no they learn it just like you do or they try it themselves .
> >
> >>
> >> Some people are so fucking narrow minded it makes me sick.
> >==
> >take a good look in the mirror
> >
> >>
> >>
> >> I happen to know different.
> >>
> >> My family has been involved in racing vehicles for over 40 years.
> >===
> >I am impressed
> >>
> >> Most all engine builders spend the time to inform their customers, that
> >way
> >> people don't get pissed because they came in and ordered a motor with
> >brand
> >> X
> >> pistons, brand Y cam with Z duration at A lift, and this carb or that
fuel
> >> injection
> >> system.
> >===

> >I think the point is again the average guy doesnt go to s speed shop for
an
> >engine for his work car... uhh get the connection why should a trucker or
a
> >guy who wants a ssb amp go to a guy who builds competition amps
> >>
> >> Its called CUSTOMER SERVICE.
> >>
> >>
> >> Your now going to equate DaveMade to Nascar? Highly doubtful.
> >==
> >You are a moron he was saying why would a average person go to a
> >Nascar/Busch builder for a motor they are race car motors just as his
amps
> >are race amps ... You are really thicked headed
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Blah Blah Blah.
> >>
> >> Bow down to this... Customer service always wins in the end.
> >>
> >> People get tired of the "order, and get forgotten about" syndrome that
> >> DaveMade
> >> seems to have gotten as of the past couple years.
> >==
> >again mr gunn in North Carolina is the person selling Davemade
> >
> >
> >
> >>
> >> I am not downing their product, just the way they interface. They grab
> >> people,
> >> suck them into "their way of doing business", and make the guy with a
> >> question
> >> feel like a total asshole for asking it.
> >>
> >>
> >> Give me a break. The world doesn't operate that way.
> >==
> >How would you know you walk around with your eyes shut
> >
> >
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> Thanks, Steve
> Exports R Us
> www.xportsrus.com
> We also have the best wholesale prices for cb shops and dealers.

> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

2869. Re: DAVEMADE WORLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 22:24:59 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <iamyourhookup@eatme.com>
Message-ID: <%2b%5.2586$TC3.854948@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64252

If things are so grand in xforce land why do they need you out here as a cheerleader? 3 years ago one of the biggest dick jobs in the cb industry went down suffice to say you condone people fucking others . I would hate to buy a cb or anything from you then. I guess thats why expresselectronics does more business then you.

"EXPORTSRUS" <exportsrus@aol.com> wrote in message news:20001217133545.10059.00005155@ng-md1.aol.com...
> Yea that was 3 years ago and then he took back over what was his rein in the
> first place. Then he elected new board members and named them the FORCE of his
> will and might in the CB Radio world. The group of his choosings are named X
> FORCE AMPS. Good Day
>
> >
> > It's not really a davemade world... GOD just left him in charge for a
> >little
> >while.....
>
>
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> Thanks, Steve
> Exports R Us
> www.xportsrus.com
> We also have the best wholesale prices for cb shops and dealers.
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

2870. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 22:32:13 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <m3b%5.481$V4.482485@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 64253

> > but a visual
> > inspection of your station is NOT necessary or even usual for the FCC to
> > levy a fine.

"G SEVEN" wrote in message...
> What? That's like trying to convict someone with out having the murder
> weapon

.....Happens all the time.... it's called circumstantial evidence.

> p.s. If you do decide to get your license it will open the door for
> inspection as well, kinda of a double edge sword.

.......I'll put it here again... but it's been posted MANY times before...
it is a MYTH that NOT having a license frees you from ever having to let the
FCC inspect your station. Those who beleive this myth would probably think
they can drive 95 mph in Ohio because the OHIO State patrol has no authority
over their Michigan drivers license.... it's the same faulty logic. If you
operate a radio, licensed or not, FCC rules apply. (anything that
transmits... and many that don't, are under FCC jurisdiction).
......If you'd like to do the research yourself, go to FCC.gov... from
there, use the the link go to the enforcement burea page and look around the
lower center of the page for a link to a page detailing FCC inspection
policy and related information.

2871. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 22:32:13 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <N6b%5.11017$sw.836713@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 64254

> > but a visual
> > inspection of your station is NOT necessary or even usual for the FCC to
> > levy a fine.

"G SEVEN" wrote in message...
> What? That's like trying to convict someone with out having the murder
> weapon

.....Happens all the time.... it's called circumstantial evidence.

> p.s. If you do decide to get your license it will open the door for
> inspection as well, kinda of a double edge sword.

.......I'll put it here again... but it's been posted MANY times before...
it is a MYTH that NOT having a license frees you from ever having to let the
FCC inspect your station. Those who beleive this myth would probably think
they can drive 95 mph in Ohio because the OHIO State patrol has no authority
over their Michigan drivers license.... it's the same faulty logic. If you
operate a radio, licensed or not, FCC rules apply. (anything that
transmits... and many that don't, are under FCC jurisdiction).
......If you'd like to do the research yourself, go to FCC.gov... from
there, use the the link go to the enforcement burea page and look around the
lower center of the page for a link to a page detailing FCC inspection
policy and related information.

2872. Re: MURS Questions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 22:40:57 UTC
From: dukkhakoti@aol.comblahblah
Message-ID: <20001217174057.01869.00003080@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 64255

what does the murs freq's matter , from what i read the FCC cannot decide on what the radios should be , the freq's are approved , but no radios .

#22 north central illinois mobile

2873. Re: Browning LTD AM/SSB mobile FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 22:43:03 UTC
From: ngabuckaroo223@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001217174303.25437.00003932@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 64256

YES! i love them

>23 Ch

North GA. Buckaroo "223"

2874. Re: Cans for Hunger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 22:51:05 UTC
From: dukkhakoti@aol.comblahblah
Message-ID: <20001217175105.01869.00003083@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 64257

> Subject: Cans for Hunger
>
> hey friends, this is super simple and won't take but a minute, but will
> make > a huge difference. >
>
> > Please take a minute to help the hungry!
> >
> > Click Cans for Hunger
>
> > Here is an easy way to make a difference this holiday season. >
> Campbell's is donating a can of soup to the needy for every person that
> goes > to > their site and votes for their favorite NFL team. Go to the
> site and it is > right there, very easy to do. It will only take a few
> minutes of your time > to fill some empty tummies with warm soup this
> winter. Please forward > this message to everyone in your address book
too.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > <<http://www.chunky.com/click_cans.cfm>>

i wonder if they would take all those burnt up dave made and no name amps ,
those are made from old tin cans arn't they ?

#22 north central illinois mobile

2875. Re: BY THE WAY....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 23:06:31 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <iamyourhookup@eatme.com>
Message-ID: <XFb%5.2676$TC3.868914@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64258

Ice, hehe How would you know this I mean you are from long island and so is Howard i mean how could you possibly know this.haha don't tell them his handle though they dont deserve to know it. btw you did say it was a davemade not a xforce
"COLD as ICE" <icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD> wrote in message news:20001217021331.00575.00000135@nso-dd.aol.com...
> For all the ham spammers like the n8w kid...
> Since someone mentioned Howard Stern I thought I would add this....
>
> HOWARD STERN HAS A DAVEMADE AMP AND TALKS ON THE CB RADIO.
> How do I know????
> Because he is an aquaintance of mine and purchased the amp from a friend of
> mine!
> He also used to live quite close to me but, since the divorce lives in
> Manhattan now!
>
>
> COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
> mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

2876. Re: Merry X-Mas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 23:49:00 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001217184900.18192.00010403@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 64259

Merry Christmas to you as well Steve. Hopefully you will find a school teacher in your stocking...LOL

937....Snowman...chicago

2877. Re: Cans for Hunger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-17 23:50:47 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3D5157.B8AA59F3@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64260

Heats sinks are made from aluminum. Circuit board are made from fiberglass. The traces are made from copper. Tin is a part of solder. The other part of solder is lead. That leaves what to make cans from?

beaker wrote:

> i wonder if they would take all those burnt up dave made and no name amps ,
> those are made from old tin cans arn't they ?
> ------------------------
> #22 north central illinois mobile

2878. installation question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 00:30:59 UTC
From: ckrepp@aol.comonover
Message-ID: <20001217193059.00835.00002845@ng-mm1.aol.com>
Source record: 64261

I want to put up a base station in the rather small town I live in. I was thinking about putting the pole right next to a tree and securing it there. The tree is at the back of my yard and was thinking of running my coax underground to the house.
Would that have any effect on the performance of the radio? Would I get any type of performance troubles being right next to the tree?
Thanks.

2879. Re: installation question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 00:45:14 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5585-3A3D5E1A-53@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64264

I've ran coax underground.The best result I had was to run it through pvc pipe first and then bury it. This gives it protection from elements and vermin. The tree may or may not affect it. Will your antenna be above the tree?

2880. Re: Cans for Hunger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 00:46:29 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5585-3A3D5E65-54@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64263

Which amp would you endorse, 22?

2881. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 00:52:08 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5587-3A3D5FB8-14@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64262

Ha! Just where does it say it is illegal to manufacture amps for
EXPORT? Go back to the Gordon West tapes.

2882. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 00:56:45 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5585-3A3D60CD-55@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64265

I said the same thing awhile back, Phil. There were four similar bills,
if I remember correctly, before 2346 that seeked to do the same thing.
Keep setting 'em straight!

2883. Re: installation question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 01:19:13 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A3D6611.C8FC3A0@oio.net>
Source record: 64266

Ckrepp wrote:
>
> I want to put up a base station in the rather small town I live in. I was
> thinking about putting the pole right next to a tree and securing it there. The
> tree is at the back of my yard and was thinking of running my coax underground
> to the house.
> Would that have any effect on the performance of the radio? Would I get any
> type of performance troubles being right next to the tree?
> Thanks.
>
> ---

Ckrepp,
The antenna being next to a tree will affect it to some extent,
how much is something you'll just have to try, to see. There are
types of coax that can be burried with no ill affects, just ask
the manufacturer (Sorry, I can't rememeber the ones that can be
burried).
'Doc

2884. Re: Cans for Hunger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 01:28:33 UTC
From: dukkhakoti@aol.comblahblah
Message-ID: <20001217202833.20882.00005656@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 64267

>>Which amp would you endorse, 22?<<

i have only had experience with x-forces . and i am kinda partial cause i know the x-force guys .

and it was a joke i know they all get there parts from the same place's they are all made of the same stuff .

#22 north central illinois mobile

2885. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 01:28:59 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A3D685B.236EFCD9@oio.net>
Source record: 64269

Handy Andy,
I think you had better go back and try that again. Your
doing exactly what you are arguing against. Your 'cure'
with 50 ohm coax won't work, (try using 75 ohm), as a
conjugate match in this instance.... sorry.
'Doc

2886. Re: Maybe this will cause some skip to come rolling in

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 01:30:44 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <8Nd%5.2175$JH3.159602@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64268

Scott, I think you have a point. I suspect there will be no descernable difference on the upper HF bands (including 11 meters). If skip were in, I don't know if the shadow would last long enough for the F layer to move much towards it's nightime position; however, I do suspect that the D layer would rapidly de-ionize (is that a word?). Essentially, I would suspect the lower HF frequencies would enjoy a minor 'enhancement'. The 7 HMz band would be allowed a lower take off angle without being completely absorbed by the D layer, hence you might see some longer distances achievable. This would, of course, be in the direction of the moon's shadow. The 80 meter band may enjoy some high angle skip for a short while during the day - again in the path of the shadow. For the most part, however, I doubt the hams will be sitting at their rigs drooling over the thought of working a station several hundred miles away just because the time is not 'right' for that kind of propogation; obviously, just waiting until nightfall will allow you the normal thousands of miles range. This is pure conjecture on my part and I doubt if anyone will notice it. However, you make a good point about the D layer - it absorbs the lower HF during the daytime and it rapidly disappears without constant solar radiation. Thanks Scott, for an interesting point on the D layer. Gee it seems good to see some posts other than the inane 'illegal' posts, doesn't it!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3A396D26.FA90D155@hotmail.com...
> So I got the shadow backwards, my niece and nephew were here
> making a LOT of noise. As far as nighttime propagation, the
> D layer is the one that absorbs RF. It fades rapidly after dark.
>
> Gene Thompson wrote:
> >
> > Firstly, the earth is moving through the shadow of the moon, not
> > the other way around. Secondly, sunlight DOES have a lot to
> > do with radio propagation by ionizing earth's atmosphere to
> > lower altitudes than it would be otherwise. This is why HF travels
> > farther at night, the reflective layer of ionization is much higher
then.
> > As for the eclipse, since it is only partial, my guess is that radio
> > propagation will not be greatly affected, but who knows?
> > Perhaps the moon shadow will act like a local twilight?
> >
> > "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" wrote:
> >
> > > ??????????

> > >
> > > Why would a heavenly body moving through the Earth's shadow
> > > have to do with signals bouncing off the upper atmosphere?
> > >
> > > The moon is much farther away. Light takes about 3 seconds
> > > to make it here from the moon at 186,000 miles per second.
> > >
> > > thepolishdude@my-deja.com wrote:
> > > >
> > > > An eclipse is scheduled to occur on Christmas afternoon. Can
eclipses
> > > > cause skip to happen?
> > > >
> > > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > > http://www.deja.com/

2887. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 01:32:23 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A3D6927.47B8BE0C@oio.net>
Source record: 64271

Handy Andy,
1. Over simplification.
2. Incorrect conclusions.
3. More "magic" numbers, lengths, and mounts.
'Doc

2888. Re: base station antennas and trees?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 01:34:17 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <tQd%5.2181$JH3.160093@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64270

I believe Doc is absolutly correct. Try it and see how it works. The best antenna I ever had was strung amongst trees. It ran 30 to 50 feet in height and was several hundred feet long. I worked the world with that thing and 65 watts.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"'Doc" <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message news:3A3A022F.8BE9E18@oio.net...
>
>
> johndec@my-deja.com wrote:
> >
> > I want to erect a 1/2 or 5/8 wave vertical ground plane antenna at home
> > to use as a base station antenna. My problem is that where I live is
> > rented and I doubt that either the landlord or the neighbours would
> > approve of such a large antenna sitting on top of the roof. At the rear
> > of the property there are several large trees in which I could hide the
> > antenna. My question is: Would being surrounded by trees effect the
> > efficiency of the antenna? The land slopes rather steeply from rear to
> > front so the house wouldn't really block the signal.
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>
> John,
> Anything around an antenna can/will affect it to some extent.
> How much it is affected just depends. With the idea that anything
> is better than nothing, you might try it ans see how it works.
> An alternative is to ground mount the antenna in your yard, as
> far from surrounding objects as possible.
> 'Doc

2889. Re: base station antennas and trees?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 01:38:38 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <yUd%5.2191$JH3.160475@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64272

Sparky, forgive an old guy, but I haven't heard of the CCD antenna.
Perhaps you could post (or e-mail me) a URL where I could read up on the
thing. I'm always looking for something that could give a good accounting
of itself on a city lot. Thanks for the help!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Sparky" <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message
news:3a3a1db0.11377548@news.cet.com...
> On Fri, 15 Dec 2000 10:47:39 GMT, johndec@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> >I want to erect a 1/2 or 5/8 wave vertical ground plane antenna at home
> >to use as a base station antenna. My problem is that where I live is
> >rented and I doubt that either the landlord or the neighbours would
> >approve of such a large antenna sitting on top of the roof. At the rear
> >of the property there are several large trees in which I could hide the
> >antenna. My question is: Would being surrounded by trees effect the
> >efficiency of the antenna? The land slopes rather steeply from rear to
> >front so the house wouldn't really block the signal.
>
> Just to toss out an option, take a look at the CCD antenna. They
> require a lot of work to build, and require an antenna matching unit
> and ground rod, but the results are fantastic. You can hang them
> almost anywhere and in any position, they have very low noise and very
> low angle of radiation. I built two of them, one for my base, and the
> other is portable and can be hung in minutes.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

2890. Re: installation question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 01:47:53 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
Message-ID: <3A3D6C84.5A5D1A9A@home.com>
Source record: 64273

Don't forget to use Coax Seal or something similar to keep the moisure out.
You will have some condensation in the pvc, but you want to avoid having
the thing just sitting in water, If nothing else, it will begin to get a
bit smelly.

twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:

> I've ran coax underground.The best result I had was to run it through
> pvc pipe first and then bury it. This gives it protection from elements
> and vermin. The tree may or may not affect it. Will your antenna be
> above the tree?

2891. Re: DAVEMADE WORLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 02:02:57 UTC
From: maul13@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001217210257.13318.00004986@ng-bd1.aol.com>
Source record: 64275

Well I guess that answers it... It really is a DAVEMADE world......
accept to substitutes

2892. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 02:03:41 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3A3D707C.2B2A92D4@sccoast.net>
Source record: 64274
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

RadioFree wrote:
>
> You responded to crossposted discussions, and
> further, I claim you took advantage of the
> crosspost situation to troll.

RadioFree, if you truly believe that I took advantage of the crosspost situation to troll, then you also have to believe that you are taking advantage of this thread's crossposting to ham radio newsgroups to troll.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

2893. Re: Merry X-Mas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 02:05:24 UTC
From: ngabuckaroo223@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001217210524.03302.00002759@ng-ma1.aol.com>
Source record: 64276

MERRY CHRISTMAS!!! HO HO HO!!!

North GA. Buckaroo "223"

2894. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 03:23:08 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-E75298.22154817122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 64277

In article <mb7q3tog89he17k71ejc071qd2g7odgh6j@4ax.com>,
codyspc@iserv.net wrote:

> Greetings!
>
> But, this is what makes it fun...
>
> Lets get back to that example equation...
>
> 25 - 5j = 25 ohms, but a capacitive element.
>
> Happened when I was using a 4' cheap fiberglass antenna with a mount
> picked up at a local garage sale...read:abused and cheap.
>
> Now, if we were to really figure this out, we'd have the answer of 25
> ohms, meaning we should be seeing a 2.7 SWR. We should be able to
> cancel out the capacitive reactance by simply substituting extra
> inductance.

The SWR at 25 - 5j ohms is 2.03:1.

> OK, the antenna was shot, and it was too short. Now what?
>
> Thats when the grins and giggles come in. It's dealing with a typical
> SWR meter, from your local CB shop. You know those kind, written with
> funny looking symbols showing country of origin - Hint:China.

Don't knock 'em. Those cheap SWR meters generally work well. It
doesn't take much.

> Now you folks are bashing coax length, and you rightfully should. But,
> when the atypical CB'er comes across a bargain bin deal, well, we know
> the story - I was one of them...;-)
>
> Take a look again at that smith chart. George was pretty close to the
> mark - we should see circles that intersect each other.
>
> Ok, you with me so far?
>
> You have to realize that we need to add inductance, so since we are
> dealing with a 25 ohm load on a 50 ohm coax, we shouldn't even get
> close to a low SWR. Correct?

If depends if you call 2.03:1 low.

> Take a look at the opposing phase angle when we get coax to maximum
> inductance length on the "supposed open" line. We're at the 3/8 wave
> length - about 9 feet - well we should now see that SWR at 2.7 to 2.0.

No.

With 3/8 wavelength of transmission line, the impedance is 47.2 -34.9j
ohms. The SWR is 2.03:1. Hmmm, same SWR!

> Ok, so far, we are seeing that. But, there is a problem. The only way
> I could even see any SWR change was to try another patch cord.
>
> Ok, here goes. We're adding another 9 foot patch cord on that silly
> mag mount antenna mess. The original cord was only 8 feet.
>
> Check the SWR, I'm now at 1.2 - what is wrong?

Your example is what is wrong.

Add another 3/8 wavelength of transmission line, and the impedance
becomes 96.2 + 19.2j ohms. The SWR is 2.03:1. Hmmm, same SWR!

> OK folks, I'm going to let you in on a special secret. This thread
> shows how gullible you folks really are when it come to
> troubleshooting problems in the real world. You don't ask for
> clarification if all the bugs have been worked out. It's what I've
> been saying all along...

We're gullible if we accept your example.

> The antenna was cut short, yet it can show a 1.2 SWR when the coax is
> set for 17 feet. What was done wrong?

Your measurements (or calculations).

> There are clues here.
>
> Cheap antenna - mount was included with only 8 feet of coax.
>
> Those two can easily point out the problems with the antenna setup.
>
> Can you figure it out?

I did. The coax length did nothing to the SWR. Your example is flawed.

2895. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 03:34:06 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-25F553.22264617122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 64278

In article <91g7qi$87d$1@iac5.navix.net>, "Fred Hambrecht"
<Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

> With all do respect, I am afraid that your knowledge exceeds your
> understanding. When one claims expertise in a subject, first some of the
> simplest things must be understood. You stated disagreement in a prior
> message that a shorted 1/4 wave length of coax is an infinite impedance,

I said no such thing.

> nor could changing the length of a transmission live vary impedance.

Again, I said no such thing.

> Both of
> these are basic to understanding the more complex issues you choose to
> address. It appears that you ALWAYS assume that the antenna is 50 ohm
> with +/-J = 0.

I did no such thing.

> If this were true many of the things you say would apply,
> unfortunately such is not the case. Resonance, is defined as the
> condition where XL=XC with no resistive value specified.

OK. What is the imaginary component (the part multiplied by j) of
an impedance when XL=XC?

Answer: zero

> For example the resonance of free space is 377 ohms.

No, that is the "characteristic impedance" of free space.

> You have narrowed the universe to a specific set of conditions which
> has no application in the real world. A million CBers have
> changed the length of their coax and observed the SWR change. Why?

If so, then something else has changed. Perhaps the attenuation
was changed by virtue of a different line length. Attenuation
is a function of line length, is it not?

Perhaps their transmission line isn't truly 50 ohms or perhaps they
have a bad meter.

Now, answer these questions:
1) What is the antenna impedance (including imaginary part) when
the SWR is 1:1?
2) Is that impedance resonant?

3) Since it is resonant, can we not say that resonance is a
requirement for a 1:1 SWR?

> "Coax Length Police" <anylength@willdo.com> wrote in message
> news:anylength-> Furthermore, the impedance required for an SWR of 1:1 is
> 50
> + j0 ohms.
> > Given that 50 + j0 ohms is resonant and it is a *requirement* for
> > an SWR of 1:1, we can say
> > AN SWR of 1:1 REQUIRES RESONANCE.
> >
> > Get it?
> >
> > Your turn, hysterical one.

2896. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 03:37:21 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-B9FBAB.22300117122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 64279

In article <3a3bb3be.8811363@news.gate.net>, jdaggett@gate.net wrote:

> 50 Ohms impedance can be an infinte combinations of R and X. There is an
> infinite solutions for 50 Ohms.

No. 50 ohms is 50 + j0 ohms. There is only one combination of R and X.
If there is a reactive component, it is not 50 ohms.

2897. Re: Davemade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 03:39:23 UTC
From: lowrider667@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001217223923.15095.00003469@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Source record: 64281

no the only thing no name buys from dave made is the case the amp go,s in .
have a good day >yeah no name he buys all his shit from dave haha

2898. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 03:41:02 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3A3D8737.812F6943@sccoast.net>
Source record: 64282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On Dwight Stewart wrote:
>
> > He also fails to note that FCC policy has
> > changed greatly since he left the FCC.
>
> For the worse.
>
> There are more and more people coming into
> power positions within the agency who know
> not and care not what proper radio regulatory
> enforcement is and why it is necessary.
>
> Riley is a rare throwback to the "old days".

Darn, Phil, why do you wait till now to respond with some substance to the things I've said? I've got work to do and have to back out of these discussions (so pardon me if I'm a little slow to respond to future comments).

Anyway, I'll agree with you that this legislation is not the best solution. And, like you, I'd rather see the FCC handle this situation. But, what I've been trying to say all along is, in the current environment in Washington, is that realistic?

In many government agencies, budget cuts and downsizing has become the holy grail. With this in mind, do you really think that the FCC is going to get more money, and more staff?

At the same time, complaints from consumers has reached an all-time high. Yes, you and I know this is because of the flood of new electronic devices in the home (often poorly designed to handle radio interference), but try telling all that to some consumer (or some congressman) that can't record his favorite show with his new VCR.

In the end, this leaves the FCC between a rock and a hard place - complaints and budget cuts, or complaints and downsizing. Put yourself back into the FCC today, with this situation. What would you do?

In the end, it appears the FCC decided to cut its losses, to throw a radio service it didn't particularily like anyway to the wolves, and to concentrate more heavily on the radio services it could handle.

Its a dirty deal. Its an unfair deal. And I'm sure a lot of CB'ers feel betrayed. But, at the same time, many would argue that the FCC was

spending way too much of its time, and resources, on this one radio service anyway (one radio service out of many).

Anyway, its a done deal now. The goal now has to be to keep an eye on local legislators to make sure they handle this situation properly. It may be years before all this settles down to some level of normalcy again.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net

2899. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 03:41:49 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-C0971F.22342917122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 64280

In article <91gdg5$ai5$1@iac5.navix.net>, "Fred Hambrecht"
<Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

> I totally agree, changing the length of coax, particularly on a mobile
> installation, which is how this thread started, introduces various values of
> XL or XC which in combination with the antenna lets a radio see 50 ohms.

And you are totally wrong. Give just one example of your claim. You
can use any length of 50 ohm transmission line with any antenna
impedance that is not 50 ohms. The impedance changes but never gets
to 50 ohms. The SWR does not change.

> No 1/4 mobile antenna is 50 ohms. A perfect 1/4 wave over perfect ground
> is 25 ohms and it increases from there do to losses. By moving the antenna
> from resonance and introducing some reactance we can make it look like 50
> ohms.

If it is not resonant, then it is not 50 ohms.
Give just one example to show how to do this.
I'll give you $100 if you can.

2900. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 03:48:38 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-12ABCA.22411817122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 64283

In article <3A3D1ECC.9830CE98@bob.com>, Bob <bob@bob.com> wrote:

> Ok Fred,
>
> Lets say the load is 25 + j0 Ohms. If the 50 ohm coax line is 1/8 a
> wavelength long, what complex impedance does the generator see? What SWR
> does that result in? What if the line is a 1/4 wavelength long? And what
> if the line is 3/8 wavelength long? What if the line is 1/2 a wavelength
> long?
>
> Number please. If your making a claim the coax length changes SWR and
> your qualified to make such a claim...fill in the numbers.
>
> Scott.

Well, Fred simply has "an extra class license and a masters in electrical engineering" so he doesn't want to "approach a problem with the complexities of transmission line theory." (his words)

He said he could use an 18 feet piece of 50 ohm coax to match a 37 ohm load. But he quieted down when I challenged him to show how.

I just posted the answer to a very similar problem in another post. However, it was 25 - j5 ohms instead of 25 + j0 ohms.

And guess what? The SWR never changed. Go figure.

2901. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 03:59:52 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-3FAE9A.22523217122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 64284

In article <3a39651e.4676725@news.gate.net>, jdaggett@gate.net wrote:

> If the source impedance is the same as the characteristic impedance as
> the line, any reflected power from the load is absorbed in the source
> and is dissapated as heat. Thus energy is conserved. Also some of the
> reflected power as well as the incident power is lost to heating of the
> coax depending or IR losses as well as losses contributing due to SWR.

I'm not saying this is right but it does contradict what Sparky is
saying. Sparky says energy is radiated from the line.

Are you going to accept this, Sparky? jdaggett is contradicting you.

> I agree power can only be radiated either by the load or the source. The
> transmission line will radiate power that is dissa-pated as heat not as
> RF.

Aw, man, Sparky! Are you going to tolerate this? Go get 'em!

> If the net current in a closed area about a conductor is zero, the
> magnetic field is zero. Ampere's Law. Thus in a coaxial transmission
> line the currrent induced in the outer shield is 180 degrees out of
> phase of that induced in the center conductor. This will be in either
> direction power is flowing. Thus the net currrent is zero at any point
> on the coax. Net current of zero, no magmnetic field, no radiation.

Sparky, he used Ampere's law to contradict you. That's gotta be in
your book! Quick! Look it up! "Summarize" another definition.

;-)

2902. Mic wiring for old set.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 04:21:55 UTC
From: Michael <MRPowers@erols.com>
Message-ID: <91k3lnkds1@autumn.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 64286

Need mic wiring for a Sparkomatic CB-1023 to a Turner +3B or a Astatic D104 Siler Eagle. Trying to ressurect an old setup that I used when I was young. Still have all the stuff but for some reason the mic plug is gone. Got another and have been trying to wire it up but with no luck.

Thanks
Michael

2903. Re: Merry X-Mas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 04:24:07 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Gig%5.457$xU2.68426@news1.atl>
Source record: 64285

EXPORTSRUS wrote in message
<20001217133824.10059.00005156@ng-md1.aol.com>...
>With not even a week to go we would like to wish all here in the group a
Very
>Merry X-MAS from X Force Comp. Amps.

Why must you take CHRIST out of Christmas? If it were'nt for Him, you
would not have a reason to keep this pagan holiday season going.

2904. Re: MURS Questions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 04:28:40 UTC
From: Lloyd Davies <n0vfp@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <%mg%5.458$xU2.69333@news1.atl>
Source record: 64287

Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay) wrote in message
<3A3CFB7C.EDA7B9AD@hotmail.com>...
> Look, fat ass, here's your anonymous trolling post in RRAM 12-16-00:
>
>The local CB'ers have gotten wind of the 'new' MURS frequencies that have
>been recently been made available. Wouldn't you know it? The word is
>spreading fast.

First of all, crap for brains, I did not post that post. And secondly, I came to this NG to ask a question about MURS. I did not ask for a flame, namecalling, or anything else. Acting like this makes you no better than this N8WWM that keeps harrassing you folks in here.

Now can I post here in the future without your egg-headed comments?

2905. Re: Do NOT Tolerate Illegal CBers!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 04:34:53 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Ntg%5.2447$JH3.172344@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64288

Good memory, Fred. Correct. I probably shouldn't join in the fray, but my concern is that if someone simply writes to flame, it does not reflect well on the amateur community. Certainly, if someone asks a question, an honest answer is in order - otherwise, I fear the average individual reading this newsgroup will get a bad impression of hams (could well be some SWLs 'reading the mail' so to speak). By the way, a fellow I served with in Vietnam waters had seen a few posts and sent me an e-mail asking who I was - he sent a second e-mail before I had read the first stating yes, I was - he looked it up on qrz.com and saw the old callsign. You just never know who is reading. It was a blast from the past - and a welcome one, I might add. We were both radiomen attached to Commander, Amphibious Group 1 in the US Navy back around 1968 - 1970.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
news:91hlmtreq1@iac5.navix.net...
> You must be an old military operator... As I remember "Put a qualified
> operator on the circuit"
> "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:TOQ_5.804$g37.82107@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > N8WWM DE AA2QA. ZBM2.
> >
>
>

2906. Re: Mic wiring for old set.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 04:38:37 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3D94CD.BAF727DF@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64289

See if this helps for the Astatic:
http://www.astatic.com/ASTATIC_Communication_Hookup.html

Michael wrote:
>
> Need mic wiring for a Sparkomatic CB-1023 to a Turner +3B or a Astatic D104
> Siler Eagle. Trying to ressurect an old setup that I used when I was young.
> Still have all the stuff but for some reason the mic plug is gone. Got
> another and have been trying to wire it up but with no luck.
>
> Thanks
> Michael

2907. Re: MURS Questions?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 04:59:04 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3D99A1.5309D8EE@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64290

Let's look at your original post:

What's the calling freq?

What will be the truckers freq?

How can any of this be determined since the FCC has only approved the frequencies, but not any equipment? Sounds like a troll to me.

To put it another way, the FCC has said that no CB frequencies, other then channel 9 (27.085), will be reserved for the exclusive use of any operator or mode.

Shall I use my Jobcom radios on 154.57 any way I see fit? It would be fun to mess with the local country clubs and hotels. Hell, I'm gonna hook it to my scanner antenna and see if any of the local hams I talk to on my CB would like to make the trip on simplex!

2908. Re: Merry X-Mas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 05:00:05 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3D99DE.C6FCD5EF@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64291

Lloyd Davies wrote:
>
> Why must you take CHRIST out of Christmas? If it were'nt for Him, you
> would not have a reason to keep this pagan holiday season going.

Oh, Christ.

2909. Re: LAME N.G./ I tried

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 06:24:54 UTC
From: ")======}||||||||||||||||||}>----------"
Message-ID: <9Xh%5.2060$zp4.146928@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Source record: 64292

Yeah hello Brass, this NG went to hell in a hand basket. I will bet that the dudes that did the snobby posting will have his day.
Peace

--

-=PEAKABOO=-

"Trying Not To Choke,
On That 10 Ohm Smoke"

Check Out My Home Page
http://www.geocities.com/MotorCity/Highway/5648/boos_page.html
http://www.geocities.com/watergate_rollin

"G SEVEN" <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:91hqfq$23cs$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> Hello Brass, shame on the mess.
>
> KRS
>
> brass <cc@knology.net> wrote in message
> news:3A3C6021.177B5CFA@knology.net...
> > Been done for well over a year now . . .
> >
> > We just stop in to see our old and I did say OUR . . .
> > and will say again OUR group . . .
> >
> > We all knew that this would happen
> > so it did . . .
> >
> > Brass
> >
>
>
>

2910. Re: BlackStone Amps Return January 2001!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 06:29:56 UTC
From: ")======}||||||||||||||||||}>----------"
Message-ID: <U%h%5.2061$zp4.147353@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Source record: 64293

I agree, who cares. He needs to push that stuff on BAM BAM.
<curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:91eup0$9h9$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <29288-3A3AD38F-28@storefull-235.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
> CreoleCat@webtv.net (West Side) wrote:
> > We will be informing all on the newsgroups that the wold famous
> > BlackStone amp will be back in production this January.I hope all you
> > have not forgotten me Alvin Stone in the Bayou country BlackStone
> > assistant.I have 2 amps already built a Blackstone 2 pill and 8 pill
> > will do 3500 watts peak on bulldog 10k meter 20vdc.
> >
> >
>
> who cares,.....cd is out.....
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

2911. Re: For Mark - Re: Vertical Limit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 06:57:33 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <91kcgt$92j$5@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 64294
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

m2steven wrote:
:
: My main gripe about CB is that if you speak well, and don't have an echo on
: your signal, and you have a nice,
: clear signal, literally NO ONE will talk to you....I
: mean.....Shit..!.......

That depends on whom you talk to. I don't use echo or a beep, and have no
problems with talking to people.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

2912. Re: H.R. 2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 07:02:27 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <91kcq3$92j$6@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 64296

curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:

: hmmm, so what you are saying is that if i am out in the county park
: somwhere, talking skip, and a constable pulls up and ask to see my
: equipment, etc. and i pull out my no code license ,....that's
: it????????, how do they know its a real license? i think there are

Not if he monitored your signal on the CB band. Your Ham license is
not applicable in that case.

It will be interesting to see just what latitude the states and local
authorities will be allowed by the courts. For example, will they allow
inspection of CB stations where there is no evidence of illegal operation?

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

2913. Re: H.R. 2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 07:03:37 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <91kcs9$92j$7@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 64295

G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net> wrote:

: For revenue yes, for making a example big ops will be sited.
: Is this a real thing? Yes. I have some friends in Stockton Ca. that are
: going through heat at the moment, actually its been a couple of weeks it may
: have died down by now

From the local authorities? I don't believe California has passed any
enabling legislation yet - so they would not have any jurisdiction.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

2914. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 07:07:56 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <91kd4c$92j$8@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 64348

Andy in RI <riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote:
: and local police will not get a search warrant - they aren't searching for
: anything - they don't go in your closets and under your bed - you invite them
: in to inspect your equipment - just don't let the cop fool you into thinking
: that he can arrest you for that crack you got sitting next to your D-104!

Actually, he can, if you are stupid enough to leave it in plain sight.
Now, if it is in a drawer of the desk, and he discovers it while doing
a station inspection - then he cannot make the drug arrest. This has
already been determined by the Supreme Court - if I recall correctly.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

2915. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 07:23:18 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <fmer3tgdaugepfegmj7oo6ejpdtkqh7ivf@4ax.com>
Source record: 64297

Greetings!

Ya' gotta love these people.

Not one of you got the hint.

Sorry dudes, you folks really know how to ramble on.

This thread is case and point.

If a CB'er doesn't put their stuff together right, you have a problem.

I've re-created it for you and you still don't understand...

Sigh...You guys are phonies. You haven't even tried...

On Sun, 17 Dec 2000 19:32:23 -0600, while the modem was ice fishing
with the Mouse, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> dissertated the following:

:+>
:+>
:+> Handy Andy,
:+> 1. Over simplification.
:+> 2. Incorrect conclusions.
:+> 3. More "magic" numbers, lengths, and mounts.
:+> 'Doc

2916. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 07:50:28 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <91kfk4$k6g$1@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 64351

Bob <bob@bob.com> wrote:
: I just read through everyone of your posts.

: Lets say the load is 25 + j0 Ohms. If the 50 ohm coax line is 1/8 a
: wavelength long, what complex impedance does the generator see? What SWR
: does that result in? What if the line is a 1/4 wavelength long? And what
: if the line is 3/8 wavelength long? What if the line is 1/2 a wavelength
: long?

Figure the input impedance yourself. My apologies for the nested parens,
and the lack of Greek symbols.

r(in) = ra(1+(tan^2 bl))/(1-xa(tan bl)^2+(ra(tan bl))^2)
x(in) = (xa(1-(tan^2 bl))+(1-ra^2-xa^2)(tan bl))/(same denominator as above)

l is line length (in feet or meters)

This is the normalized value - so is valid for a 1 ohm line.

To convert to another line impedance, use the following:

ra=Ra/Z0 , Rin = Z0(rin)
xa=Xa/Z0 , Xin = X0(xin)

Ra and Xa are the load resistance and reactance and Rin and Xin are
the resistance and impedance at the input end of the line.

bl(degrees) = 1.2f(MHz) x l(meters) or 0.367f(MHz) x l(feet)

: Enough quoting books, lets have some numbers.

For all line lengths, the SWR will be 2:1, although your SWR meter may
not indicate that value at all measurement points.

If the load impedance is 0 = eg, the antenna is resonant, then the
following will be approximate results from the above equations:

1/8 wave line length xin = 0.5 for ra=0.5 and 1 for ra<=0.1
1/4 wave line length xin = 0 for all ra
3/8 wave line length xin = same as 1/8 wave
1/4 wave line length xin = same as 1/4 wave

1/8 wave line length rin = 0.1 for ra=0.1 and 1 for ra>=0.5
1/4 wave line length rin = 8 for ra=0.1 and about 1 for ra>=0.5
3/8 wave is same as 1/8 wave
1/2 wave is same as 1/4 wave

Remember that these are normalized values.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

2917. Re: Merry X-Mas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 07:54:24 UTC
From: nealbfinn@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001218025424.28385.00006013@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 64349

<< > Why must you take CHRIST out of Christmas? If it were'nt for Him, you
> would not have a reason to keep this pagan holiday season going.
>>

Actually, X-Mas is the Greek spelling of the holiday. X in Greek represents
"che" which stands for Christ

887 on the beach in SoCal

2918. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 08:11:06 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <3qfr3t0jas9vqn2mpr37nimtn21obh2d7s@4ax.com>
Source record: 64350

Greetings!

I'll go into it further, all the "coax police" are acting like the Keystone Kops on this...it's hilarious!

Ya' gotta love these people.

Not one of you got the hint.

I guess I'll have to connect all the dots...

If you remember the Magnetic mount, and how I got it, being used and abused. The antenna itself too.

No, I didn't replace the coax,

No, I didn't check the PL259 connector,

All I did see is RG-58 on the jacket.

It was 8 feet long.

I didn't check for shorts, or opens...

But, in the end, I was able to see an SWR of 1.2 - but the meter was never steady.

Now, with the above in mind.

Did I tell you the location I put this at - no.

It was on the trunk lid of my 76' Chevy Nova. You know the type, 262 V8 Rochester 2GC carb, and all unibody rusted hulk of a vehicle.

Now, if things worked like they should - I will say again, I should have seen a 2 SWR.

The first time I did. Actually it was a 2.7 SWR - placing you hand on the coax or meter at the wrong spot gives you an unsteady SWR reading.

Thats a good clue...

This was using the original coax that came with the mount.

I grabbed a barrel connector and added on a 9 foot patch cord.

Now the SWR was 1.2.

Did I check continuity? No.

What finally came out was the 8 foot coax section not only was bad, it has a weak short due to water entry at the mount.

So what it means is, even though it should have been RG-58 - it wasn't perfect coax to begin with.

Adding on a patch cord in length did something to the SWR.

The mess would show a near pefect SWR on some days, others it wouldn't.

The point I'm trying to bring up with all these people making these assumptions...

Did you ever bother to ask the guy what they did by themselves in an attempt to correct a problem?

Considering I had a 1.2 SWR - I could have just left it at that. And for a while I did, it was only a cheap TRC-412 at the time I was using anyways.

The only reason why I have some information - is because I had kept the antenna and mount in a shed. When I moved to my current location I came across that antenna and with my MFJ I wanted to see something. I can't re-create the car, so I used the Aspire.

The reason why I kept it was because of the friendships it created. There's a lot of memories for a person starting out in something new.

This is what makes me laugh at you guys, you too literal in terms and are not willing to make an effort to open your mind to other possible reasons why things work the way they do.

Sorry dudes, you folks really know how to ramble on.

This thread is case and point.

If a CB'er doesn't put their stuff together right, you have a problem.

You never ask "Why?" or "What is the problem?"

I've re-created it for you and you still don't understand...

Sigh...You guys are phonies. You haven't even tried...

Ask the person if they've done their homework and put the stuff together right. This is why the coax length stuff comes up in the first place.

Improper assembly.
Didn't double check their work.

The two sentences above are the ones you guys should really be looking at when it comes to your conduct to people that ask a question about coax.

I don't have to go into this any further, the atypical [no one in particular] CB'er is no one special - just wants to use a radio.

They don't have any special tools, not one clue about a smith chart. They'll be dammed if they're going to a Dennys and ask a bunch of Hammies sitting for coffee he was invited to join over his radio, and ask "Coax length" questions. [The reactions to this topic are as close to textbook cases of Signmund's own signature line with a mix of Twain too - LOL!]

If this thread stays on deja-news - I hope that the persons having the problems with antennas read this. It's a perfect example on how people over-react to such questions.

Some know how to answer, others know an answer, but only one has asked what the problem is.

Think about your conduct.

On Sun, 17 Dec 2000 19:32:23 -0600, while the modem was ice fishing with the Mouse, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> dissertated the following:

:+>
:+>
:+> Handy Andy,
:+> 1. Over simplification.
:+> 2. Incorrect conclusions.
:+> 3. More "magic" numbers, lengths, and mounts.
:+> 'Doc

Regards!
.:+> Andy <+: | BEEP!
... You really are a CB'er when... | _____ BEEP!
... your CB antenna is longer than |/ ___
... your SUV! |_,-.__,-._|
... (o) (o)
http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

2919. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 08:52:24 UTC
From: oh8mfh <oh8mfh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <91kj66$6ct$1@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 64352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Sorry, Bill, I forgot to mention: I'm in Finland, and our FCC requires us to negotiate with the neighbors, which is a good thing. I have installed small things like ferrite chokes and filters in their homes and they're quite happy to live next to a ham. 73, Gary, oh8mfh

2920. Re: Aaron On Hiatus

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 09:50:10 UTC
From: oh8mfh <oh8mfh@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <91kmig$bf4$1@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 64353

Hiya there, Jay

As you remember, I've used my call sign all the time when necessary. The result was that some imbecile punched in my call at qrz.com and published it here. Why the heck? Besides, CB handles aren't too official, either?
BTW: I just loved your msg about your old radio changing from one radio station to another as the receiver got warmer - no garbage music for you! 73
Gary, oh8mfh

2921. Re: SBE Console II SSB base FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 11:26:30 UTC
From: egabr127@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001218062630.25483.00004157@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 64354

I have a 23 channel sbe trinidad, Do you have a picture of your radio?
if i see it i might be interested inmatching the two

2922. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 11:59:12 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a3dfbbc.9867001@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64355

On Mon, 18 Dec 2000 03:41:49 GMT, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>If it is not resonant, then it is not 50 ohms.
>Give just one example to show how to do this.
>I'll give you $100 if you can.

Example #1: Connect two 100ohm resistors in parallel, and connect them
across the end of the coax.

If that isn't enough, see Examples #2, 3 and 4.

Sparky, FLRC

2923. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 11:59:17 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a3dfc12.9953124@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64356

On Mon, 18 Dec 2000 03:34:06 GMT, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>Now, answer these questions:
>1) What is the antenna impedance (including imaginary part) when
>the SWR is 1:1?

The antenna impedance can be any combination within the boundaries of
the equation

Z = (R² + X²)^½

There is an infinite number of possible combinations of resistance,
capacitive reactance and inductive reactance which will yield 50 ohms.
Using an arbitrary value, 22.36 + j44.72 ohms = 50 ohms impedance at
the antenna. This is Example #2.

>2) Is that impedance resonant?

Certainly not, and the question is moot: 'impedance' is neither
resonant nor non-resonant.

I think _you_ need to look up the definition of resonance. The
effective impedance of a resonant circuit can be _any_ value depending
upon the values of the components, including any resistive component
that may be present.

>3) Since it is resonant, can we not say that resonance is a
>requirement for a 1:1 SWR?

No, since it is not resonant. A 1:1 SWR can be achieved with only a
resistive component, which does not contain any capacitive or
inductive reactance, and therefore cannot be resonant. That was
Example #1.

I suspect you will now say that an antenna must resonate in order to
be called an antenna. For Example #3, take a look at the antenna on a
modern scanner that covers 30MHz to over 1GHz. That antenna is not
resonant, and neither is the AM antenna attached to your car radio.

"Only transmitters", you say, ignoring the law of reciprocity. Sure,
it is more efficient to make the antenna resonant, but it is not
required. When the antenna is too short, as in the case of most AM
broadcast stations, a non-radiating reactive element is added to
cancel the reactance of the antenna and bring it to resonance. I'm
sure you have heard the terms "center-loading" and "base-loading". But
wait; you said that a resistor is not an antenna - does that hold true
for a non-radiating reactance? I guess that doesn't matter anymore

since neither of them radiate when the reactive antenna does. That was Example #4.

You wanted one example, I gave you four. Where's my money?

Sparky, still waiting for your references.

2924. Re: Davemade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 12:55:15 UTC
From: wpbrock3rd@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001218075515.17406.00009015@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 64357

I can see you are still in the dark! if you are going to post, tell the truth!

Re: Davemade
>From: lowrider667@aol.com (Lowrider667)
>Date: 12/17/00 10:39 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <20001217223923.15095.00003469@ng-mj1.aol.com>
>
>no the only thing no name buys from dave made is the case the amp go,s in .
>have a good day >yeah no name he buys all his shit from dave haha
>

2925. Re: Are you protected from EMF waves?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 12:58:36 UTC
From: jimmy john <jj@sandwitch.org>
Message-ID: <4A8A59F2BDCDD311BFC800508BA36AC80614D999@www.premiersi.com>
Source record: 64358
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Hell, old age (and running for political office) does that! Are you sure
its EMF we need to worry about?

"Anonymous" <anonymous@anonymous.anonymous> wrote in message
news:3a3caa6c_2@anonymous...
>
> If you are a user of mobile phone, computers, or any electrical
> appliance then you really ought to continue reading this further...
>
> As science progresses, more and more facts are stacking up from tens of
> researches which proves without a shred of doubt that..
>
> POWERFUL ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATION MAY CAUSE ABNORMAL REACTIONS,
> PHYSICALLY AND MENTALLY, SUCH AS DEGENERATING THE FACULTY OF MEMORY,
> TINNITUS, FAINTING, DIZZINES, FATIGUE, AND EVEN GENETIC DEFORMATION.
>

2926. Re: 11 METRE PACKET RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 13:30:01 UTC
From: warren chambers <micron@flexi.net.au>
Message-ID: <vdo%5.17$rp.4998@nsw.nnrp.telstra.net>
Source record: 64359

Been listening for you guys over there but only hear europe and canada, we use martin 1 and scottie 1 sstv and 1200 baud packet hope to catch you soon on air de 43PC122 / AU122 Warren
"www.kp82.com" <timnebo@aol.comedy> wrote in message news:20001216104948.06184.00000979@ng-ff1.aol.com...
> were using martin1 on 27.700usb daily. lots and lots of traffic from europe and
> the number of users here in the states is growing. i listen during my local
> time around 0000 zulu on 420 when i'm hearing the pacific but i never hear any
> tx'ing of digital modes. what mode sstv are you guys using.
>
>
>
>
>
> >Tune in to 27.420 or 27.430 for Australian 11 mtr Packet Radio also SSTV on
> >27.420 ,
> > De AU122
>
>
> 73 de Tim
> Knight Patrol #82
> http://www.kp82.com

2927. Re: Davemade IS ILLEGAL STUFF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 14:02:44 UTC
From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <91l5e1$ki9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64360

In article <20001213185806.26536.00004577@ng-fu1.aol.com>,
n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> >Davemade
>
> ILLEGAL AMPLIFIERS
>

BUT, VERY WELL BUILT!!!!! CLEAR AUDIO!!!!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2928. Re: DAVEMADE WORLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 14:07:43 UTC
From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <91l5nc$kt2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64361

In article <20001217210257.13318.00004986@ng-bd1.aol.com>,
maul13@aol.com (Maul13) wrote:
> Well I guess that answers it... It really is a DAVEMADE
world......
> accept to substitutes
>
TRUE TRUE!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2929. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 14:11:44 UTC
From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <91l5us$l0s$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64362

In article <EHC_5.341$TC3.164945@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
"BigPoppaPump" <freak'Onature@aola.com> wrote:
>
> "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:oid_5.131382
> > No, what is funny is the fact that DaveMade pushes amps, and they
have
> > people that do, but seemingly forget about the guy who only buys
one or
> > two amps, and small ones.
> ==========
> How could you say this when has dave ever pushed a amp on anyone he
doesnt
> even sell them he doesnt care about the business If you knew anything
you
> would know mr gunn is who owns and runs www.davemade.com he has the dm
> business at this point in time not dave, and if you really knew
anything
> this is one of the main reasons x force started 3 years ago because
dave had
> no desire to build amps so 123 split and you should know the rest
>
> >
> > Seems, if ya want to do business with the guys in DaveMade County
USA,
> > you have to have deep pockets.
> ==========
> Untrue again , prove your lie many out there have bought many small
amps
> from them
>
> >
> > And that, my friend, is bullshit.
> ==========
> and so are you go back under your rock
> >
> > If they want to make people happy, provide customer service.>
> ==========
> they must provide just enough customer service since i hear hundereds
and
> hundreds of them across the band
> >
>
> > DaveMade and their cronies have been pushing that line of shit for

so long
> > that it gets worse and worse every time I read it.
> > Who gives a shit who builds what.
> >
> > Bottom line. For 3 years, I have heard the common every day driver
asking
> > questions and getting nothing in response... Especially since
Dennis left
> > the news-
> > group (not Number 12, but Dennis at DM).
> ==
> davemades are not for the average guy they are not for ssber they are
not
> for truckers, they are not for the rookie operator, can they use
them there
> yes, but his business was all about competition thats his market you
guys
> have made him out to be a supplier of everyone have any of you ever
heard
> dave himself say anything post anything No i dont think he would
> what is wrong with that
>
> >
> > XForce always seems helpful.
> ================================
> yes they need to be they are /were a fledgling company getting there
balls
> broke about being a dave copy so they had to do something davemade
didnt
> which was talk to every tom dick and harry who wanted or was just
curious
> about an amp
>
> >
> > That means a LOT to the guys who are spending their hard earned
cash on a
> > small
> > 2+4 or 6 pill.
> ================================
> they are davemades major sellers the 3 pills and 5 pills and if they
plunnk
> down there hard earned cash it will be cheaper than a comparable amp
on the
> market
>
> >
> > It comes from Dennis and the rest, who are busy building amps and
NOT
> > providing answers to the questions.
> ==

> perhaps they have reasons for not answering every question posed to
them
> maybe some are a waste of time where as a davemade is not for them,
maybe
> they only want to deal with people they know or feel comfortable with,
> remeber amplifiers are still illegal. you can run your business how
you
> want and i guess they do the same.
>
> >
> > Gee, X-Force has a good name, and a reputation for customer service.
> > So, I guess, a manufacturer can provide both, huh?
> ==========
> the good name came from daves design they provided the rest.
>
> >
>
> > Thats great.
> > I guess these guys where born knowing all this information, too,
huh?
> ------------==========
> no they learn it just like you do or they try it themselves .
>
> >
> > Some people are so fucking narrow minded it makes me sick.
> ==========
> take a good look in the mirror
>
> >
> >
> > I happen to know different.
> >
> > My family has been involved in racing vehicles for over 40 years.
> ==========
> I am impressed
> >
> > Most all engine builders spend the time to inform their customers,
that
> way
> > people don't get pissed because they came in and ordered a motor
with
> brand
> > X
> > pistons, brand Y cam with Z duration at A lift, and this carb or
that fuel
> > injection
> > system.
> ==========
> I think the point is again the average guy doesnt go to s speed shop
for an

> engine for his work car... uhh get the connection why should a
trucker or a
> guy who wants a ssb amp go to a guy who builds competition amps
> >
> > Its called CUSTOMER SERVICE.
> >
> >
> > Your now going to equate DaveMade to Nascar? Highly doubtful.
> ==
> You are a moron he was saying why would a average person go to a
> Nascar/Busch builder for a motor they are race car motors just as his
amps
> are race amps ... You are really thicked headed
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Blah Blah Blah.
> >
> > Bow down to this... Customer service always wins in the end.
> >
> > People get tired of the "order, and get forgotten about" syndrome
that
> > DaveMade
> > seems to have gotten as of the past couple years.
> ==
> again mr gunn in North Carolina is the person selling Davemade
>
> >
> > I am not downing their product, just the way they interface. They
grab
> > people,
> > suck them into "their way of doing business", and make the guy with
a
> > question
> > feel like a total asshole for asking it.
> >
> >
> > Give me a break. The world doesn't operate that way.
> ==
> How would you know you walk around with your eyes shut
>
>

RIGHT ON DENNIS!!!!!!!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2930. Re: Wanted: Old Ford type CB with controls on mike

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 14:19:50 UTC
From: k5dh@raytheon.com
Message-ID: <a2p%5.105$mz3.1984@dfw-service2.ext.raytheon.com>
Source record: 64368

In article <ApC_5.213$pm.14401@news2.atl>, 2brauns@bellsouth.net says...
>
>I've got a friend who has been restoring his old 78 Bronco and would like to
>find the CB handset for the truck. It still has the amp/control box and
>plug in the dash, but somebody has taken his handset.
>
>Do any of you have any connections or know of a place that might have this
>radio handset? I believe it should have all the channel changers, readout,
>volume control and the mic itself on the unit. If someone has a complete
>Ford unit that is this style then I'm sure he may be interested in that
>also.

Check with auto salvage yards, especially those who specialize in
Ford parts. Often, the mics were pulled and stored indoors, but
the main units were crushed with the stripped vehicles.

I remember the CB unit you're describing. I was in college in '78
and worked part-time at a Texaco filling station. The boss had a
brand new '78 Bronco with that CB unit installed in it. Nice set!

73,
Dean, K5DH
Visit my Raytheon CB radio web site:
http://www.qsl.net/k5dh/raytheon.html

2931. Tram

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 14:21:17 UTC
From: Ricky133@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7242-3A3E1D5D-11@storefull-225.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64369

I need Schematics for D201/D201A, Thanks. RR

2932. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 14:21:52 UTC
From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <91l6hrler1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64364

In article <20001217132432.10059.00005151@ng-md1.aol.com>,
exportsrus@aol.com (EXPORTSRUS) wrote:
> Amazing how out of the woodworks comes someone to the rescue of
DaveMade Amps
> when the real deal comes out. X Force I am glad to say just picked
up to more
> LARGE distributors in the world. I will continue to promote this
proven
> product as it is one of the BEST and most improved line of amps in
the world.
> Get ready, we have some more new changes on the way with innovation
being the
> leader of the success. X Force is the main choice of most consumers.

BUT AS WAS SAID EARLIER... MAKING THESE AMPS IS ILLEGAL!!!!
DAVEMADE MAKES HIS AMPS FOR COMPETITION ONLY OR SHOULD I SAY MAINLY.
NOW THAT YOU HAVE ALOT OF GUYS WANTING THESE GOOD QUALITY AMPS AND THEN
VIA THIS INTERNET SHIT, CAME A MANY LITTLE GROUPS OF GUYS WANTING TO
CAPITALIZE ON THIS AND SELL A PRODUCT. SOME COPIED SOME IDEAS BUT
MAINLY DID THEIR OWN CONSTRUCTION (NONAME) AND SOME JUST COPIED THE
WHOLE DAMN DAVEMADE DESIGN, EXCEPT FOR CHANGING THE COLOR (X-FORCE) NOW
INSTEAD OF THE SELECT FEW THAT USED TO HAVE ACCESS TO SOME OF THE OLDER
BUILDERS AND DESIGNERS (DAVEMADE) YOU HAVE A WHOLE LOT OF GUYS JUST
CLICKING THEIR MOUSE AND GETTING AN AMP!!
NOW THAT IS THE SHORTENED VERSION OF THE GOSPEL TRUTH!!!!
BRAND SPANKIN' NEW HAS SPOKEN!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2933. Re: WANTED: CB TECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 14:22:09 UTC
From: hillbilly <hillbilly001@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <91l6ic$lf0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64365

Sorry, but I'm not that hard up for business.... You'll have to pay me
to take him now...

dave

> Im a dealer. My customer is the payor. How much ya gonna pay me for
referring
> my customer to you?
>
>

--
Dave KG5XR
http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
On Bull Shoales Lake, Ark/Mo State Line
Fishing is great & crappie are biting

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2934. Re: installation question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 14:23:58 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <eb6s3tkoqkfqentso523a9p2875f8iatme@4ax.com>
Source record: 64363

Greetings!

Well, I currently use a tree to hold my antenna. It works pretty well and to this day I've had no problems.

In regards to the tree, you'll find performance during the winter months to be a little better than in the spring and summer. Something about all those leaves and water coursing thru the trunks - creates a little bit of an attenuation problem if the antenna is up there in the canopy versus above the treeline.

Performance? Well, in light of trees - they are good lightning attractors. Take steps to avoid direct strikes to the radio equipment if you can. Make a break point by the house if you can, so the coax can be disconnected outside, to reduce this problem from entering the house.

As far as "burying" the line. You can, but you should seal it up well. An expansive foam injected into the conduit line can help keep out rodents, water and insects that might like to burrow into the conduit. You mentione PVC so use the right adhesive [that purple primer too] and go ahead and bury it.

However, as a method to help it, make some right angle joints at the ends too - to help create an upside down U or J-shape for a method to drain off water that would otherwise want to follow down the coax and cause penetration into the pipe. Set these vertical and allow the coax to droop down. Any water on the coax exposed on the outside can drain off, the internals of the conduit can stay dry allowing the water to drip off staying outside.

Once you've sealed it off and have the drip droops planned, you can bury it - at least 8 inches if you can to reduce exposure. If it's sealed properly, you can bury it at a depth in the frost line as long as you don't have excessively long runs. Otherwise frost and ice might cause a crack or two. The sealant can help prevent bursting or collapse if the ground heaves during the thaw. If you don't want to bury it, you can route it along a line like the patio porch or along the foundation above the ground too.

The biggest problem with water entry is at the coax ends - where the connectors need to be sealed well. That is the biggest problem and causes the coax to get ruined real quick.

On 18 Dec 2000 00:30:59 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with the Mouse, ckrepp@aol.comonover (Ckrepp) dissertated the following:

:+> I want to put up a base station in the rather small town I live in. I was
:+> thinking about putting the pole right next to a tree and securing it there. The
:+> tree is at the back of my yard and was thinking of running my coax underground
:+> to the house.
:+> Would that have any effect on the performance of the radio? Would I get any
:+> type of performance troubles being right next to the tree?
:+> Thanks.
:+>
:+>
:+> --

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:
... _
... You know you're a VERSATILE CB'er when... / \
... Your antenna doubles as a fishing rod and / |
... you have the trophies to prove it! 0/ (^)
... |/\ /_\

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

2935. Re: BY THE WAY....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 14:25:23 UTC
From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <91l6oe$lp9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64366

In article <20001217021331.00575.00000135@nso-dd.aol.com>,
icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD (COLD as ICE) wrote:
> For all the ham spammers like the n8w kid...
> Since someone mentioned Howard Stern I thought I would add this....
>
> HOWARD STERN HAS A DAVEMADE AMP AND TALKS ON THE CB RADIO.
> How do I know????
> Because he is an aquaintance of mine and purchased the amp from a
friend of
> mine!
> He also used to live quite close to me but, since the divorce lives in
> Manhattan now!
>
> COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It
don't
> mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!
>
HEY MR COLD, YOU HAVE SUCH GOOD CONNECTIONS WITH DAVEMADE THAT YOU
PROBABLY SOLD IT TO HIM HAHAHAHHAHA!
THAT IS ONE LONG NOSE HALF JEW!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2936. Re: ICECOLD Little Johnny Joke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 14:39:57 UTC
From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <91l7js$ma6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64370

In article <%%J_5.509$Yl.28416@news1.atl>,
"poisenivy" <franc1@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> ..."from the diary of Little Johnny(AKA Icecold) age 8 Teehee
> "COLD as ICE" <icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD> wrote in message
> news:20001216023248.25185.00000539@nso-cv.aol.com...
> > You must be surprised that I'm writing you today, the 26th of
> > > December. Well I would very much like to clear up some things that
> > > have occurred since the beginning of the month! While filled with
> > > illusion I wrote you a letter, I asked for a bicycle, an electric
> > > train set, a pair of roller blades, and a football uniform. I had
> > >the
> > > best grades in the whole school. I'm not going to lie to you
Santa,
> > > there was no one in my entire neighborhood that behaved better
than
> > > me. With my parents, my brothers, my friends and with my
neighbors,
> > >I
> > > would go on errands and even help the elderly cross the street.
> > >There
> > > was virtually nothing I wouldn't do for humanity!
> > >
> > > WHAT BALLS YOU HAVE LEAVING ME A FUCKING YO-YO, A STUPID ASS
WHISTLE,
> > > AND A PAIR OF SOCKS!!! WHAT THE FUCK WERE YOU THINKING, YOU FAT
SON
> > > OF A BITCH, THAT YOU'VE TAKEN ME FOR A SUCKER THE WHOLE FUCKING
YEAR,
> > > TO COME OUT WITH SOME SHIT LIKE THIS UNDER THE DAMN TREE. AS IF
YOU
> > > HADN'T FUCK ME ENOUGH. YOU GAVE THAT LITTLE SHIT HEAD ACROSS THE
> > > STREET SO MANY FUCKING TOYS, THAT HE CAN'T EVEN WALK INTO HIS DAMN
> > > HOUSE!!! DON'T LET ME SEE YOU TRYING TO FIT YOUR BIG FAT STANK ASS
> > > DOWN MY CHIMNEY NEXT YEAR!!! I'LL FUCK YOU UP!!!
> > > I'LL THROW ROCKS AT THOSE STUPID ASS REINDEERS OF YOURS, AND SCARE
> > > THEM
> > > THE FUCK AWAY SO YOU'LL HAVE TO WALK YOUR BIG FAT ASS EVERYWHERE,
> > >JUST
> > > LIKE I HAVE TO DO SINCE YOU DIDN'T GET ME THAT FUCKING BIKE, YOU
PUNK
> > > BITCH!!! YOU KNOW WHAT SANTA . . . FUCK YOU!!! NEXT YEAR YOU'LL
> > > FIND OUT HOW BAD I CAN REALLY BE . . . YOU'VE BEEN STEPPING ON
> > >THIS
> > > MOTHERFUCKER FAR TOO LONG!!! SO WATCH YOUR BACK NEXT YEAR, YOU FAT

> > > ASS PUNK BASTARD!!!
> > >
> > > Sincerely Fucking Yours,
> > >
> > > Little Johnny!
> >
> > COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says..
It
> don't
> > mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!
>

WHAT IS WRONG WITH THIS BITCH? WHY IS SHE SWING ON COLD'S NUTS?
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2937. Re: Are you protected from EMF waves?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 14:42:32 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <91l7oo$t3v$2@208.206.142.92>
Source record: 64367
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

> "Anonymous" <anonymous@anonymous.anonymous> wrote in message
> news:3a3caa6c_2@anonymous...
> >
> > If you are a user of mobile phone, computers, or any electrical
> > appliance then you really ought to continue reading this further...
> >
> > As science progresses, more and more facts are stacking up from tens of
> > researches which proves without a shred of doubt that..
> >
> > POWERFUL ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATION MAY CAUSE ABNORMAL REACTIONS,
> > PHYSICALLY AND MENTALLY, SUCH AS DEGENERATING THE FACULTY OF MEMORY,
> > TINNITUS, FAINTING, DIZZINES, FATIGUE, AND EVEN GENETIC DEFORMATION.
> >

What, no flattus???

2938. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 14:53:37 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91l8dfmti1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64372

In article <s9cl3t0en56uc05frh5ktlgetbhmcmiq9a@4ax.com>,
codyspc@iserv.net wrote:
> Hi Folks.
>
> All this message is for is my own appology for all that wasted
> bandwidth regarding Coax Length and if it's really necessary.
>
> The truth is, Coax length shouldn't matter. If you find out that using
> a specific length takes care of the problem, you can either...
>
> A. Live with it.
> B. Take steps to correct the problem.
>
> I see a widely varying range of different opinions and I'm not here to
> debate them. An open mind is needed, check the flames at the door.
>
> The "colors" that this subject brought up has been interesting and
> downright funny at times. [Embarassingly funny - and I mean that, If I
> offended anyone - My Appologies.]
>
> However, no one has taken a stance regarding the issue around mobile
> antenna installs and problems with location. Very few have noticed
> what I've seen for years regarding this Coax Length issue.
>
> If it's in a bad location, you'll have SWR problems.
>
> If the mount isn't the right one, or poorly done, you'll have SWR
> problems.
>
> With the above two reasons, my experience has been to correct the
> problems by relocating the antenna. In virtually all of the installs
> I've worked on, this was the beneficial solution. Only in rare
> instances was the mount at fault and it's usually due to corrosion.
>
> However, some people never budge on re-locating the antenna and so
> therefore the coax length issue comes up.
>
> In my own investigation of this, the coax length issue means the
> antenna is not resonate due to a number of factors. Most, if not all,
> are associated with the counterpoise, or the conductivity and reactive
> components the ground plane provides, or works against the antenna, at
> that particular location on the vehicle.
>
> Sure you can swap antennas, sometimes changing to a different loading

> scheme can work better at the location than others. But, are you
> willing to waste the time on that? Some do. However, some don't.
>
> On my pages, I suggest using a simple mag-mount so the new CB'er can
> see how the antenna will look on the vehicle. There's more to that,
> the installer [namely me] can also locate areas that are better to use
> the antenna at versus where the antennas owner wants to try it at.
>
> An MFJ-259 is a handy tool for working out the locations fine tuning,
> you can see how the antenna will work at the locations tried.
>
> So, why does an antenna work better at some locations on a vehicle
> than others?
>
> Why does the SWR vary between locations on the vehicle? Ground? Metal
> panel not connected to the vehicle?
>
> That is what piqued my interest. And my efforts to locate the
> information regarding this issue, seems to contradict what others
> firmly believe.
>
> There is a reason for why things are done the way they are
> by antenna manufacturers. To ensure the user a viable means
> to use the antenna in a wider range of locations and
> environment conditions without suffering severe/critical
> damage to a CB radio.
>
> The above, to me, is the most important advancement in the
> realm of antenna design and construction.
>
> They are thinking about us folks. We're important.
>
> I'm not talking about making it easier for us installers...
> nor are they trying to get us to buy coax by the truckload...
>
> Far from it.
>
> It's a liability. The antenna has to work in order to sell,
> it not going to make it in the real world if it fails.
>
> ------> It's so the user can use the radio to get help.
>
> *That, my friends, is the most important issue. If you have any
> questions about that, see the subject line.*
>
> This is what makes the NG so fun to be on.
>
> My best advice to the new CB'er, don't make it hard on yourself. Take
> the time to read, review, learn about those claims the antenna makers
> will say. You can't avoid it. It's not going away.

>
> The best clues are the antenna placards that have the installation
> instructions with them that can be read without having to open the
> package. Compare those instructions with others of the peg-boards at
> the local CB shop. A lot of dust on the shelves? Complain! You may
> need to go elsewhere. The people there at the store may not want your
> business if they don't keep items moving thru the store. A dusty item
> is a dead product.
>
> Some will try to sell the antenna on the ease of tuning...
> Some will try to claim their antenna puts out more signal...
> Some will claim flexibility in mounting locations...
> Some will claim they've been in this biz for XXX centuries...
>
> All have valid points, but there is a difference, and it's
> within you to make the right decision. You have to put a
> system together, so choose carefully.
>
> One such point is guarantee, will the maker stand behind the
> product, or just leave you standing there? Read the fine
> print.
>
> Remember, the person that has to be made happy is you,
> no one else.
>
> Can't stand the sales pressure? Go elsewhere. A dealer may
> have the right to sell antennas. However, they don't have the
> right to shove it down your throat.
>
> If you are reading this message, then that means you have the ability
> to research. Take the time to read what those companies claim out here
> on the 'net. Their reputation is based upon their own knowledge base.
> If you're not getting the answers you need, look elsewhere. There are
> plenty of resources out here to help you.
>
> Don't ever settle on the first one you see, take time to look at your
> options. Then if it's the one you really want - then go for it. Buy
> what the antenna needs for installation.
>
> Don't settle for second rate, buy and use quality. You're selling
> yourself short if you skimp on parts. You're going to have problems -
> don't waste money on sales. That type of antenna, or the parts for it,
> may be on sale for reasons the sales clerks really don't want you to
> know.
>
> If you're planning to put your system together properly, or you're
> having someone do it for you, take the time to ask questions. Annoy
> the heck out of sales people at the shop if you don't get answers.
> Never assume that what they do is correct for your needs. Ask other
> CB'ers if they've dealt with the shop - get their opinions.

>
> If you find yourself putting up an antenna in your own mind, take the
> time to work it thru and then see how others did it. Compare notes.
>
> Coax? It's part of the big three requirements for using a CB radio.
> Radio, antenna, coax - those make up the chain. A weak link in the
> chain, if you don't pay attention, can leave you frustrated.
>
> Don't worry about coax length, don't concern yourself with
> it. Use quality parts - and pay attention to details with all
> aspects of the install. Location, mounting, power, and routing
> all can have an impact on your system.
>
> If you have to buy coax, buy the stuff with the pre-molded and
> sealed ends, and use mounts that can take those types of
> connectors and are able to be sealed from the environment.
>
> If you want a DIY custom install, it's your responsibility
> now. If you don't pay attention to sealing, bolts, insulation
> and preventing water entry - bash yourself on this.
>
> Location? If it looks cool there, are you really sure it will
> work there? Keep your options open. You're leaving yourself
> open for problems if you don't take the time to locate the
> antenna in a spot it needs in order to work properly.
>
> Hint: No antenna will work like it claims if you don't install
> it like the manufacturer recommends. They can't guarantee
> their antenna will perform like they claim unless you put
> it together properly - and that means location too.
>
> Questions? My box is open.
>
> Thank you.
> :+> Andy <+:
> codyspc@iserv.net
>

cool, i agree with this post. i have a question for ya. how much space
should there be between the roof of a long nose and the coil of a
center loaded antenna in order to get good swr match???,.....head
out....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2939. Re: Merry X-Mas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 14:58:23 UTC
From: exportsrus@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001218095823.18085.00010508@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 64371

I know now what you thought of her pictures. Now I just need to get her to put the bikini own, go too the shoot outs, and keep the winning X Force name winning more while looking ever so fine.
>
>Merry Christmas to you as well Steve. Hopefully you will find a school
>teacher
>in your stocking...LOL
>
>937....Snowman...chicago
>

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Thanks, Steve
Exports R Us
www.xportsrus.com
We also have the best wholesale prices for cb shops and dealers.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

2940. Re: Merry X-Mas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 15:00:59 UTC
From: exportsrus@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001218100059.18085.00010509@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 64373

It was not intended the way you took it. X Force-X Mas, Have a Merry Christmas
and a Happy New Year. No harm intended.

>
>EXPORTSRUS wrote in message
><20001217133824.10059.00005156@ng-md1.aol.com>...
>>With not even a week to go we would like to wish all here in the group a
>Very
>>Merry X-MAS from X Force Comp. Amps.
>
>Why must you take CHRIST out of Christmas? If it were'nt for Him, you
>would not have a reason to keep this pagan holiday season going.
>
>
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Thanks, Steve
Exports R Us
www.xportsrus.com
We also have the best wholesale prices for cb shops and dealers.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

2941. Website is finally up! Come and take a look!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 15:06:23 UTC
From: Maveric169 <maveric169@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <91l8u5hju1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 64374

www.geocities.com/quantumrobotics

2942. Re: DAVEMADE WORLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 15:06:52 UTC
From: exportsrus@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001218100652.18085.00010511@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 64375

That Sir is a Need To Know Answer. No just joking early this morning. My cut is what I want it to be. X Force has been the best that I have seen in a long time, and until someone shows me something better, well you know the rest of the story. I just made a statement to the statement posted as to my belief. Merry Christmas.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Thanks, Steve
Exports R Us
www.xportsrus.com
We also have the best wholesale prices for cb shops and dealers.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

2943. Re: DAVEMADE WORLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 15:14:43 UTC
From: exportsrus@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001218101443.18085.00010512@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 64376

This is when your true stupidity shows the most. Davemade could not furnish the orders that we were selling fast enough at the time. Nothing against Davemade due to the fact that there products are good too. I just made a business move that has worked out much better. As for Express, they are a great company as well. Ask the CEO how much he spends when we send him products of all sorts. Hope you have a Merry Christmas and eat dinner without your panties in your crack.

>
>If things are so grand in xforce land why do they need you out here as a
>cheerleader? 3 years ago one of the biggest dick jobs in the cb industry
>went down suffice to say you condone people fucking others . I would hate to
>buy a cb or anything from you then. I guess thats why expresselectronics
>does more business then you.
>
>
>"EXPORTSRUS" <exportsrus@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20001217133545.10059.00005155@ng-md1.aol.com...
>> Yea that was 3 years ago and then he took back over what was his rein in
>the
>> first place. Then he elected new board members and named them the FORCE
>of his
>> will and might in the CB Radio world. The group of his choosings are
>named X
>> FORCE AMPS. Good Day
>>
>> >
>> > It's not really a davemade world... GOD just left him in charge for a
>> >little
>> >while.....
>>
>>
>> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
>> Thanks, Steve
>> Exports R Us
>> www.xportsrus.com
>> We also have the best wholesale prices for cb shops and dealers.
>> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Thanks, Steve
Exports R Us
www.xportsrus.com
We also have the best wholesale prices for cb shops and dealers.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

2944. Re: DAVEMADE WORLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 15:16:58 UTC
From: exportsrus@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001218101658.18085.00010513@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 64377

No, not really. Just here on the group due to the fact that their lips are
getting cut off on the radio. At least that does not happen on the newsgroup
so easy. Merry Christmas

>
> Well I guess that answers it... It really is a DAVEMADE world......
> accept to substitutes
>
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Thanks, Steve
Exports R Us
www.xportsrus.com
We also have the best wholesale prices for cb shops and dealers.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

2945. Re: ICECOLD Little Johnny Joke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 15:17:19 UTC
From: poisenivy <franc1@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <fUp%5.1065$xU2.91542@news1.atl>
Source record: 64378

He is a friend and a good sport, more than I can say for you You sick
creep.
"BRAND SPANKIN' NEW" <brandnew@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:91l7js$ma6$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <%%J_5.509$Yl.28416@news1.atl>,
> "poisenivy" <franc1@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> > ..."from the diary of Little Johnny(AKA Icecold) age 8 Teehee
> > "COLD as ICE" <icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD> wrote in message
> > news:20001216023248.25185.00000539@nso-cv.aol.com...
> > > You must be surprised that I'm writing you today, the 26th of
> > > > December. Well I would very much like to clear up some things that
> > > > have occurred since the beginning of the month! While filled with
> > > > illusion I wrote you a letter, I asked for a bicycle, an electric
> > > > train set, a pair of roller blades, and a football uniform. I had
> > > >the
> > > > best grades in the whole school. I'm not going to lie to you
> Santa,
> > > > there was no one in my entire neighborhood that behaved better
> than
> > > > me. With my parents, my brothers, my friends and with my
> neighbors,
> > > >I
> > > > would go on errands and even help the elderly cross the street.
> > > >There
> > > > was virtually nothing I wouldn't do for humanity!
> > > >
> > > > WHAT BALLS YOU HAVE LEAVING ME A FUCKING YO-YO, A STUPID ASS
> WHISTLE,
> > > > AND A PAIR OF SOCKS!!! WHAT THE FUCK WERE YOU THINKING, YOU FAT
> SON
> > > > OF A BITCH, THAT YOU'VE TAKEN ME FOR A SUCKER THE WHOLE FUCKING
> YEAR,
> > > > TO COME OUT WITH SOME SHIT LIKE THIS UNDER THE DAMN TREE. AS IF
> YOU
> > > > HADN'T FUCK ME ENOUGH. YOU GAVE THAT LITTLE SHIT HEAD ACROSS THE
> > > > STREET SO MANY FUCKING TOYS, THAT HE CAN'T EVEN WALK INTO HIS DAMN
> > > > HOUSE!!! DON'T LET ME SEE YOU TRYING TO FIT YOUR BIG FAT STANK ASS
> > > > DOWN MY CHIMNEY NEXT YEAR!!! I'LL FUCK YOU UP!!!
> > > > I'LL THROW ROCKS AT THOSE STUPID ASS REINDEERS OF YOURS, AND SCARE
> > > > THEM
> > > > THE FUCK AWAY SO YOU'LL HAVE TO WALK YOUR BIG FAT ASS EVERYWHERE,
> > > >JUST
> > > > LIKE I HAVE TO DO SINCE YOU DIDN'T GET ME THAT FUCKING BIKE, YOU
> PUNK

> > > > BITCH!!! YOU KNOW WHAT SANTA . . . FUCK YOU!!! NEXT YEAR YOU'LL
> > > > FIND OUT HOW BAD I CAN REALLY BE . . . YOU'VE BEEN STEPPING ON
> > > >THIS
> > > > MOTHERFUCKER FAR TOO LONG!!! SO WATCH YOUR BACK NEXT YEAR, YOU FAT
> > > > ASS PUNK BASTARD!!!
> > > >
> > > > Sincerely Fucking Yours,
> > > >
> > > > Little Johnny!
> > >
> > > COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says..
> It
> > don't
> > > mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!
> >
>
> WHAT IS WRONG WITH THIS BITCH? WHY IS SHE SWING ON COLD'S NUTS?
> >
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

2946. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 15:20:09 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17996-3A3E2B29-1@storefull-124.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64382

Complaints have reached an all time high? From where does that bit of incorrect information come from? Riley himself said complaints have DECLINED, which is why the enforcement log was scaled back to twice a month. Does this sound like an increase to you? Forget it. Go listen to Riley himself tell you complaints are down.

2947. Re: neighbor bleeds on phones and stereos.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 15:23:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17995-3A3E2BD4-11@storefull-124.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64383

..one more thing. Why do you think cbers would feel betrayed? I think it's just the opposite, once you look at it for what it really is, which is a token nod to the few complaints about cb.

2948. Re: base station antennas and trees?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 15:29:13 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a3e2c2d.1620397@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64379

On Mon, 18 Dec 2000 01:38:38 GMT, "JAMES HAMPTON"
<j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Sparky, forgive an old guy, but I haven't heard of the CCD antenna.
>Perhaps you could post (or e-mail me) a URL where I could read up on the
>thing. I'm always looking for something that could give a good accounting
>of itself on a city lot. Thanks for the help!
>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim

Alta-Vista will get you started. You can also find it in some ARRL
manuals and a couple magazine articles. If you want to skip the math,
download "hamcalc" by George Murphy, VE3ERP.

Sparky

2949. Re: Davemade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 15:29:58 UTC
From: lowrider667@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001218102958.20944.00002540@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Source record: 64380

hey pee wee you have no room to talk ya act like 5 year old

2950. Re: Merry X-Mas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 15:36:30 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17994-3A3E2EFE-18@storefull-124.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64381

...and I thought "X" was a Roman numeral.

2951. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 16:08:42 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-42C747.11084218122000@nntp.lucent.com>
Source record: 64385

In article <3a3b0a27.1498354@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> Easy. A standing wave creates a fixed point of high-voltage on the
> line. It is possible that the voltage at this point will exceed the
> maximum voltage rating of the radio's final. So the line is cut to a
> length where the radio is at the lowest voltage point on the standing
> wave, which is easy to do when the band is as narrow as the 11m band.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

Wouldn't it make more sense to eliminate the standing waves
than to cut up your coax?

2952. Re: WTD: Keys - where the hell did I put them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 16:19:13 UTC
From: Triple 3 <333@railbuggies.com>
Message-ID: <3A3E38FF.C915BAD@railbuggies.com>
Source record: 64386

If you help me find my truck, we'll drive outa here !

The Packrat wrote:
>
> I can't find my keys. Anyone seen them anywhere?

2953. Re: For Mark - Re: Vertical Limit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 16:34:01 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3E3C79.159A9334@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64387
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

And I for three need no beeps or echo. Real sidebanders don't use that crap.

Bill Nelson wrote:
>
> m2steven wrote:
> :
> : My main gripe about CB is that if you speak well, and don't have an echo on
> : your signal, and you have a nice,
> : clear signal, literally NO ONE will talk to you....I
> : mean.....Shit..!.......
>
> That depends on whom you talk to. I don't use echo or a beep, and have no
> problems with talking to people.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

2954. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 16:36:24 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-63BD1E.11362418122000@nntp.lucent.com>
Source record: 64389

In article <3a3c727f.8102279@news.gate.net>, jdaggett@gate.net wrote:

> If you can dispove Ampere's circuital Law then I will believe that a
> balanced or unbalanced transmission line can radiate. Andy you flat
> do not understand what you are talking about.
>
> The net current induced by the forward wave has the current in the
> shield 180 degrees out of phase from that induced in the center
> conductor of a coaxial transmission line. Now we take a circle
> outside the coax at any distance greater than the outer diameter
> of the shield. Ampere's law states
> that the net current in a conductor will determine the strength of the
> magnetic field inscribed by the area of the circle. Well if the net current
> is zero, I don't care what form of standard acceptable mathematics will
> yield an answer other than zero magnitic field. No magnetic filed not
> radiation. SIMPLE. The same applies to the reflected power. In the coax
> we have two electromagnetic waves travelling along the coax. The direction
> and magnitude of those waves are determined by the cross product of the
> E and H fileds. It is these same E and H vector fields of the wave that
> induces currents in the inner and outer conductors. The same E and H
> vector fields also induce a voltage potential between the two conductors.

Right on! The only time a transmission line will radiate is when it
has common mode currents which flow on the outside (and not on the
inside). In this case, the net current is nonzero and it does radiate.
But, we are not discussing this case.

I agree with you, jdaggett, but Sparky needs a reference.

> coax length has only an effect on the impedance seen by the source. It
> has nothing to do with the SWR on the transmission line. SWR is a constant
> that is derived solely from the characteristic impedance of the transmission
> line and the load impedance.

Right on, again!

> If you place and SWR bridge at the source and adjust the coax length, you
> will find some length where the reflection of the load's impedance is
> equal to 50 Ohms. Gee the source is 50 Ohms and the SWR bridge is
> designed to work in a 50 Ohm system. Gosh I wonder why the SWR meter
> reads
> 1:1??????????????????????

You were on a roll but you lost me here. How is the reflection of the
load's impedance equal to 50 ohms at some length?

> Now why does SWR change with the meter at the source and the coax length
> changes?
>
> This can best be seen on a Smith Chart. The center of the Smnith Chart is
> 50 Ohms. We can plot the load's impedance on the chart. For this discussion
> it really does not matter what it is, as long as it is not 50 Ohms real or
> complex.
> Okay now that we have plotted the load's impednace, we need to draw a
> circle on the Smith Chart. The distance from the load to the center of the
> Smith Chart is the radius of the circle. This circle is now our circle of
> constant SWR.
> This is made possible due to the fact that the radius of the circle is the
> reflection coefficient. The reflection coefficient is used to determine the
> SWR.
> Thus we have a circle of constant SWR since the load and the characteristic
> impedance of the coax remains constant.

You're rollin' again!

> As we move away from the load toward the source along the circle of constant
> SWR there will be two points on the circle whose complex impedance will equal
> 50 Ohms.

Aw, man! You lost it again. There is only one 50 ohm point on the
whole Smith chart. It is dead center. And the circle of constant SWR
revolves around it and never gets closer to it.

> That is the square root of the sum of the squares of the real and imaginary
> parts will equal 50.

What you describe here is the magnitude of the impedance. It is not the
impedance.

> These two points are arrived at by moving along the coax
> from the load toward the source. It should be noted that the two point will be
> half way arround the circle of constant SWR.
> Every time we move half way around the Smith CHart we have traveled one quarter
> of a wavelength along the coax. So from the load's impedance we now know two
> things:
>
> 1) the SWR
> 2) how far along the coax that two points where the load's impdenace ir
> reflected back to the source is equal to 50 Ohms.

The coax input impedance is never 50 ohms in this case.

> It should be noted that the same two points are reached everytime we go a
> halfwave down the coax. Either one of these two points can be our
> starting point. Since the impedance seen looking into the coax toward the
> load is now 50 Ohms, the source is 50 Ohms, the SWR meter reads 1:1.

No. Absolutely not.

The SWR is 1:1 only when the impedance is 50 +j0 ohms. You had it right and now are contradicting yourself. You said the impedance travels on a circle of constant SWR and now you are saying the SWR is different. What gives? If the magnitude of the reflection coefficient (the distance from the Smith chart center to the impedance point) has not changed, how could the SWR change? You said yourself that the reflection coefficient determines the SWR.

2955. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 16:38:50 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-0F84EB.11385018122000@nntp.lucent.com>
Source record: 64390

In article <9ack3t832fbt25bi3qd4cacupcpjo9llco@4ax.com>,
codyspc@iserv.net wrote:

> Now, if everyone can take a Valithium -

Is that like a dilithium crystal, Mr. Spock?
You should take a Valium.

> we'll look at possible reasons
> why a Manufacturer wants someone to use 18 feet of coax when the
> manufacturer designed the antenna to at least be resonate with an
> 18-foot section of coax, even though the antenna is not resonate at
> the location it's in due to poor ground.
>
> Hint: Counterpoise is not equal.
>
> There's two sides to every element...
>
> stay tuned...

We're waiting.

2956. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 16:46:04 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <91lf10$i61$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 64391

They have a term for those that break the law and think they have an out. It is "Jail house Lawyers". I have read more misinformation on here! As you have so ably pointed out if one goes to the regulations, there in big bold letters it says that inspection of a CB radio station is authorized with OUT a search warrant and that refusal to allow inspection is a violation punishable by fines, even if the station turns out to be totally legal. Even more amazing is that if someone attempts to provide information, people are more concerned about a spelling error (purposed rather than proposed) than the information being provided. My mail box has been filled by some of the filthiest messages one can imagine by those that have taken umbrage with the information provided. I can only hope that the lowlifes are first on the target list, they are a disgrace to CB operators everywhere.

73 Fred W4JLE

"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:91kd4c$92j$8@bashir.peak.org...
> Andy in RI <riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote:
> : and local police will not get a search warrant - they aren't searching
for
> : anything - they don't go in your closets and under your bed - you invite
them
> : in to inspect your equipment - just don't let the cop fool you into
thinking
> : that he can arrest you for that crack you got sitting next to your
D-104!
>
> Actually, he can, if you are stupid enough to leave it in plain sight.
> Now, if it is in a drawer of the desk, and he discovers it while doing
> a station inspection - then he cannot make the drug arrest. This has
> already been determined by the Supreme Court - if I recall correctly.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

2957. Re: Do NOT Tolerate Illegal CBers!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 16:53:51 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <91lffhicg1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 64393

I think you will find the majority of the flames seem to come from no-code technician's that suddenly get radio religion and come back to witness to the errant brethren.

"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:Ntg%5.2447$JH3.172344@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Good memory, Fred. Correct. I probably shouldn't join in the fray, but my
> concern is that if someone simply writes to flame, it does not reflect well
> on the amateur community. Certainly, if someone asks a question, an honest
> answer is in order - otherwise, I fear the average individual reading this
> newsgroup will get a bad impression of hams (could well be some SWLs
> 'reading the mail' so to speak). By the way, a fellow I served with in
> Vietnam waters had seen a few posts and sent me an e-mail asking who I was -
> he sent a second e-mail before I had read the first stating yes, I was - he
> looked it up on qrz.com and saw the old callsign. You just never know who
> is reading. It was a blast from the past - and a welcome one, I might add.
> We were both radiomen attached to Commander, Amphibious Group 1 in the US
> Navy back around 1968 - 1970.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
> "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
> news:91hlmtreq1@iac5.navix.net...
> > You must be an old military operator... As I remember "Put a qualified
> > operator on the circuit"
> > "JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:TOQ_5.804$g37.82107@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > N8WWM DE AA2QA. ZBM2.
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

2958. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 16:54:49 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A3E4159.3285@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 64392

G Seven,

Not really. The regulations clearly spell out FCC's authority to in-
spect ANY station within their jurisdiction. In a nutshell, even tho
CB stations do not hold a "paper" license, they *are* licensed in the
sense that, by the act of operating a CB station, you are agreeing to
abide by the rules which include that inspection authority. There is
a reason why the rules give the this option. As was previously said,
when the agent(s) show up at your door, they already *know* that the
problem (interference, excessive power, etc) is coming from your loca-
tion. Their equipment is quite accurate. So, if the inspection is re-
fused, the operator has time to get rid of the "evidence" thereby negat-
ing FCC's ability to enforce the regulations. Since, by operating a
station, licensed or not, you have *agreed* to abide by the federal law
and, again, that includes allowing your station to be inspected, you
forfeit any "right" to refuse. So, while it is your prerogative to
refuse to allow entry without a warrant, they STILL have you on a
violation--refusal to allow the inspection. Gotcha either way, eh?
Having a license, ham or otherwise, isn't an advantage or disadvantage
here.

73

Jerry
G SEVEN wrote:
>
> What? That's like trying to convict someone with out having the murder
> weapon. Well then again they convicted O.J., yes I guess anything is
> possible.
>
> Go get the measly license people!!!!!
>
> KRS
>
> p.s. If you do decide to get your license it will open the door for
> inspection as well, kinda of a double edge sword.
>
>), but a visual
> > inspection of your station is NOT neccessary or even usual for the FCC to
> > levy a fine.

2959. Re: Merry X-Mas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 16:58:42 UTC
From: WildBill <wildbill@hose.com>
Message-ID: <6nr%5.3588$L3.1190820@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64395

What is x force winning?

"EXPORTSRUS" <exportsrus@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001218095823.18085.00010508@ng-fi1.aol.com...
> I know now what you thought of her pictures. Now I just need to get her
to put
> the bikini own, go too the shoot outs, and keep the winning X Force name
> winning more while looking ever so fine.
> >
> >Merry Christmas to you as well Steve. Hopefully you will find a school
> >teacher
> >in your stocking...LOL
> >
> >937....Snowman...chicago
> >
>
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> Thanks, Steve
> Exports R Us
> www.xportsrus.com
> We also have the best wholesale prices for cb shops and dealers.
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

2960. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 16:58:49 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-9C2B9C.11584918122000@nntp.lucent.com>
Source record: 64396

In article <3a3dfc12.9953124@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> On Mon, 18 Dec 2000 03:34:06 GMT, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >Now, answer these questions:
> >1) What is the antenna impedance (including imaginary part) when
> >the SWR is 1:1?
>
> The antenna impedance can be any combination within the boundaries of
> the equation
>
> Z = (R² + X²)^²

No.

Z = R + jX

You are calculating the magnitude of the impedance; not the impedance.

> There is an infinite number of possible combinations of resistance,
> capacitive reactance and inductive reactance which will yield 50 ohms.
> Using an arbitrary value, 22.36 + j44.72 ohms = 50 ohms impedance at
> the antenna. This is Example #2.

Absolutely false, there is only one 50 ohm impedance: 50 + j0 ohms.
This is the ONLY impedance which yields an SWR of 1:1.

> >2) Is that impedance resonant?
>
> Certainly not, and the question is moot: 'impedance' is neither
> resonant nor non-resonant.

Impedance is, indeed, not necessarily resonant or non-resonant.
However, for a perfect match, the impedance must be resonant.

> I think _you_ need to look up the definition of resonance. The
> effective impedance of a resonant circuit can be _any_ value depending
> upon the values of the components, including any resistive component
> that may be present.

The impedance of a resonant circuit is, by definition, the resistive
component; there is no imaginary component in a resonant circuit.

> >3) Since it is resonant, can we not say that resonance is a
> >requirement for a 1:1 SWR?

>
> No, since it is not resonant. A 1:1 SWR can be achieved with only a
> resistive component, which does not contain any capacitive or
> inductive reactance, and therefore cannot be resonant. That was
> Example #1.

??? You make no sense.
You say a 1:1 SWR must have only a resistive component (the definition
of resonance) but cannot be resonant.
Let me paraphrase you: "It must be resonant but it cannot be resonant."

> I suspect you will now say that an antenna must resonate in order to
> be called an antenna. For Example #3, take a look at the antenna on a
> modern scanner that covers 30MHz to over 1GHz. That antenna is not
> resonant, and neither is the AM antenna attached to your car radio.

An antenna need not be resonant. But, for a perfect match, it
must be resonant.

> "Only transmitters", you say, ignoring the law of reciprocity.

What are you talking about? You are again attempting to put
words in my mouth. I said no such thing.

> Sure,
> it is more efficient to make the antenna resonant, but it is not
> required. When the antenna is too short, as in the case of most AM
> broadcast stations, a non-radiating reactive element is added to
> cancel the reactance of the antenna and bring it to resonance.

I have no problem with this statement.

> I'm
> sure you have heard the terms "center-loading" and "base-loading". But
> wait; you said that a resistor is not an antenna

Do you think a resistor is an antenna?

> does that hold true
> for a non-radiating reactance? I guess that doesn't matter anymore
> since neither of them radiate when the reactive antenna does. That was
> Example #4.
>
> You wanted one example, I gave you four. Where's my money?
>
> Sparky, still waiting for your references

Example #2 was inaccurate. Your definition of impedance is wrong.
You do not understand that there is only one impedance, 50 + j0 ohms,
where the SWR is 1:1.
Example #1 was inaccurate. You have contradictory definitions of
resonance.
Example #3 proves nothing.
Example #4 attributes a statement to me that I never made yet

still proves nothing.

You're going to have to work harder, Sparky.

2961. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 16:59:21 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a3e423f.418198@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64394

On Mon, 18 Dec 2000 11:08:42 -0500, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>In article <3a3b0a27.1498354@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
>wrote:
>
>> Easy. A standing wave creates a fixed point of high-voltage on the
>> line. It is possible that the voltage at this point will exceed the
>> maximum voltage rating of the radio's final. So the line is cut to a
>> length where the radio is at the lowest voltage point on the standing
>> wave, which is easy to do when the band is as narrow as the 11m band.
>>
>> Sparky, FLRC
>
>Wouldn't it make more sense to eliminate the standing waves
>than to cut up your coax?

Yes it would, but that wasn't the question.

Sparky, FLRC

2962. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 17:00:55 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-1C99FB.12005518122000@nntp.lucent.com>
Source record: 64397

In article <3a3bceb4.671348@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> On Sat, 16 Dec 2000 12:12:45 -0500, "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com>
> wrote:
>
> >With all do respect, I am afraid that your knowledge exceeds your
> >understanding.

By the way, this comes from the man who can't answer my follow up
questions.

> Because he can't admit that he's wrong, and his pride won't even allow
> him to cut some coax to see for himself.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

Maybe I should cut your coax! ;-)

2963. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 17:03:22 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-E462A7.12032218122000@nntp.lucent.com>
Source record: 64301

In article <3a3dfbbc.9867001@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> On Mon, 18 Dec 2000 03:41:49 GMT, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >If it is not resonant, then it is not 50 ohms.
> >Give just one example to show how to do this.
> >I'll give you $100 if you can.
>
> Example #1: Connect two 100ohm resistors in parallel, and connect them
> across the end of the coax.

Go back and reread the post. It was referring to an antenna;
not resistors.

> If that isn't enough, see Examples #2, 3 and 4.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

I already dispelled those examples. Read my follow-up post.

2964. Re: BY THE WAY....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 17:06:22 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91lg61$u3q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64304

In article <XFb%5.2676$TC3.868914@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
"BigPoppaPump" <iamyourhookup@eatme.com> wrote:
> Ice, hehe How would you know this I mean you are from long island
and so is
> Howard i mean how could you possibly know this.haha don't tell them
his
> handle though they dont deserve to know it. btw you did say it was a
> davemade not a xforce
> "COLD as ICE" <icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD> wrote in message
> news:20001217021331.00575.00000135@nso-dd.aol.com...
> > For all the ham spammers like the n8w kid...
> > Since someone mentioned Howard Stern I thought I would add this....
> >
> > HOWARD STERN HAS A DAVEMADE AMP AND TALKS ON THE CB RADIO.
> > How do I know????
> > Because he is an aquaintance of mine and purchased the amp from a
friend
> of
> > mine!
> > He also used to live quite close to me but, since the divorce lives
in
> > Manhattan now!
> >
> >
> > COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says..
It
> don't
> > mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!
>
>

for real, is it true?????? howard stern is a cber or used to be and
owns a davemade 8 pill. well either you guys need to go and get you
some cowboy boots and hats and some chewing tobacco and whittling
knifes, because that is the tallest tale i have heard in a long time
because it sure is getting deep around here or its true. its true, its
true????????,.....cd is out.......

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2965. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 17:07:26 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <91lg91inp1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 64298

First if you can supply with the name of your banker for proof you have $100.00 I will give you enough examples to last a life time.

Your statement below is pure bovine excrement. If I were to have a folded dipole antenna, the 1:1 value would be 300 ohms. A standard dipole is 72 ohms, let me put the shoe on the other foot. Show me any antenna that is 50 ohms at resonance without the aid of a matching network.

"Coax Length Police" <
> If it is not resonant, then it is not 50 ohms.
> Give just one example to show how to do this.
> I'll give you $100 if you can.

2966. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 17:08:59 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <91lgbtion1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 64299

Please quote your source for this statement.

"Coax Length Police" <anylength@willdo.com> wrote in message
news:anylength-B9FBAB.22300117122000@news.ptd.net...
> In article <3a3bb3be.8811363@news.gate.net>, jdaggett@gate.net wrote:
>
> > 50 Ohms impedance can be an infinte combinations of R and X. There is an
> > infinite solutions for 50 Ohms.
>
> No. 50 ohms is 50 + j0 ohms. There is only one combination of R and X.
> If there is a reactive component, it is not 50 ohms.

2967. Re: H.R. 2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 17:12:33 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A3E4581.66F6@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 64300

curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:
> hmmm, so what you are saying is that if i am out in the county park
> somwhere, talking skip, and a constable pulls up and ask to see my
> equipment, etc. and i pull out my no code license ,....that's
> it????????, how do they know its a real license?

CD, it depends. Might work, might not. If you are talking skip on CB, they *might* be able to pop ya regardless of license. Then again, due to the license, they may have to refer it directly to FCC. The federal law says that they *may* (the locals) write ordinances regarding interference. So the officers would have to be responding to a complaint about you interfering with Widow Jones' TV, for example. They won't be able to hassle you just because they see you talking on a radio.
i think there are
> many things that must fall together before this thing takes hold and
> around here,

It's probably not as much a concern as N8 and A Voob want it to be! Lot of towns won't even know about it--or care if they do. I just don't like the idea of locals getting into the radio enforcement
>
why not
> add a fine for illegal radio equipment. because otherwise, there will
> slim pickens in this department , at least around here, ...cd is out..
>
Locals still won't be able to just walk up, see an "illegal" radio and take it away. I believe you would have legal recourse against "rambo" cops if they did this. The new law, as written, just doesn't give them that authority. There has to be evidence of interference before they can do anything.

Jerry

2968. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 17:16:36 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <91lgq6iup1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 64303

Same old debate tactics, "he didn't respond so I am right" I just do not engage in nonsense. I made a very basic statement that can be checked in any text book.

1. A shorted 1/4 length of coax is seen as an open.

2. A shorted 1/2 length of coax is seen as a short.

You disagreed with these easily checked premises, at that point to continue a technical discussion with you would prove futile. You have the advantage of creating new electrical law, where as I am bound by the facts. That puts me at a disadvantage I can not hope to over come, ergo you win pick up the marbles.

"Coax Length Police" <anylength@willdo.com> wrote in message news:anylength-12ABCA.22411817122000@news.ptd.net...
> In article <3A3D1ECC.9830CE98@bob.com>, Bob <bob@bob.com> wrote:
>
> > Ok Fred,
> >
> > Lets say the load is 25 + j0 Ohms. If the 50 ohm coax line is 1/8 a
> > wavelength long, what complex impedance does the generator see? What SWR
> > does that result in? What if the line is a 1/4 wavelength long? And what
> > if the line is 3/8 wavelength long? What if the line is 1/2 a wavelength
> > long?
> >
> > Number please. If your making a claim the coax length changes SWR and
> > your qualified to make such a claim...fill in the numbers.
> >
> > Scott.
>
> Well, Fred simply has "an extra class license and a masters in
> electrical engineering" so he doesn't want to "approach a problem with
> the complexities of transmission line theory." (his words)
>
> He said he could use an 18 feet piece of 50 ohm coax to match a 37 ohm
> load. But he quieted down when I challenged him to show how.
>
> I just posted the answer to a very similar problem in another post.
> However, it was 25 - j5 ohms instead of 25 + j0 ohms.
>
> And guess what? The SWR never changed. Go figure.

2969. Re: H.R. 2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 17:18:06 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A3E46CD.6958@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 64302

th,

I think I read that, due to lobbying by the Truckers' Association(s), they won't be able to do that under the existing law. It only applies to local interference. A truck wouldn't necessarily be in a given area long enough either to cause *much* interference or be tracked. I don't think the DMV/HP guys can just sit at a weigh station poppin' guys for illegal(?) radios. If I am wrong, can you fill me in?

73

Jerry

twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
>
> Thats probably even a better one than I came up with CD. The whole damn
> thing was intended to screw with the truckers. Yea, why not? Easy
> pickins, shooting ducks in a barrel, and they won't desroy the stuff,
> they'll auction it off, tag it with a homing device, or at the least an
> invisible-to-the-eye mark and pop different drivers over and over with
> the same box. Or maybe there exists a subterfuge plot to get all
> truckers hammie licenses.

2970. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 17:26:55 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A3E48DF.241@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 64306

Hmmmm, I looked "into" a piece of coax and all I saw was a center
braided wire, a white dialectric, and a braided shield. I never could
"find" that darned impedance! LOL!!

J

Coax Length Police wrote:
>
> In article <3a3b71fa.7968735@news.gate.net>, jdaggett@gate.net wrote:
>
> > He is hung up on thae term conjugate impedance. He is love with that
> > term. Don't know what it means but is sounds good. One day he might
> > just understand how a Smith Chart actually works. Nice handy tool.
> >
> > james
>
> I'll say. He sees a "conjugate impedance" looking into the coax.
> He has some sort of "conjugate equation" (I don't know what that
> was referring to).
> He gets a "conjugate matching scheme" with 18 feet of coax.
>
> Smith Charts are handy; a nice RF design software package is nicer.
> ;-)

2971. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 17:27:19 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a3e4883.2022063@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64305

On Mon, 18 Dec 2000 12:16:36 -0500, "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com>
wrote:

>Same old debate tactics, "he didn't respond so I am right" I just do not
>engage in nonsense. I made a very basic statement that can be checked in any
>text book.

You are making the assumption that he can read a textbook. He has
provided no evidence that he can.

Sparky, FLRC

2972. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 17:31:04 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14405-3A3E49D8-7@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64309

Hey Jer, consider this scenario...a complaint is called in, a team of hammies aiding cops, or whatever they decide to use comes to ones door to "inspect". I understand they CANNOT enter under any circumstance unless they have a warrant or evidence a crime is committed. What if the operator isn't home? Does it automatically go to the "refused to let inspect" file and get treated as such? Oh, and someone mentioned another scenario. Cop comes to the door to inform you they have a complaint, there is drugs and/or paraphanalia in view of the officers sight. Can they enter? Of course they can, no warrant needed when the officer has reason to believe a crime is being perpetuated. Oh, one may get off with a good lawyer and a loophole or two, but make no mistake, one WILL initially go to jail. Same with domestic violence. If a neighbor calls and reports a disturbance, the officer arrives and knocks at the door, nobody answers, but the cop can hear what he PERCEIVES to be the woman (or man in some cases) getting the shit beat out of her, can he enter? Absolutely!

2973. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 17:36:02 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14404-3A3E4B02-13@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64308

The misinformation I think lies with the "right to inspect" clause. You can refuse entry without refusing inspection of your station. Here is another clause for ya' to chew on Freddie, if an illegal op gets a visit by any LEO requesting to see the station, just say, "Sure, wait here, I'll go get it." Like many have stated, the law, as it sits, is too vague to have teeth, save truckers.

2974. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 17:41:33 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14404-3A3E4C4D-14@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64314

See my previous post, Jer. It says "refusal to let inspect.....".
Well, here is what may be first challenge to the law. "Yes, sir,
certainly you can see my radio. Wait out here, I'll go get it".
While this may not seem likely, it can definitely be employed, and if
it came down to the wire in court, methinks said offender would get off
since you actually "Allowed" for an inspection. They would have to
redefine inspection and use the word "entry" or some similar term...and
then it would get REAL sticky. I don't see the Feds granting that broad
authority....and I'M the paranoid one.

2975. Re: You know who I am

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 17:43:44 UTC
From: wilsonb33@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001218124344.08428.00000415@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Source record: 64307

Very good

2976. Re: mic wiring for a SS4900B?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 17:47:18 UTC
From: pkenn59788@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001218124718.02076.00009527@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 64310

I would appreciate it. I haven't been able to figure it out. The stock mic has no high end.
I'll look for your response, and thanks.

Paul

2977. Re: H.R. 2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 17:48:48 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14404-3A3E4E00-15@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64313

I dunno, Jer, someone on here posted something about them laying in wait at the scales and such to check truckers. I posted back that it is usually DOT, State, and County Cops at the scales, not local cops.

2978. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 18:00:42 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a3e5029.3980304@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64311

On Mon, 18 Dec 2000 11:58:49 -0500, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>> The antenna impedance can be any combination within the boundaries of
>> the equation
>>
>> Z = (R&#65533; + X&#65533;)^&#65533;
>
>No.
>
>Z = R + jX
>
>You are calculating the magnitude of the impedance; not the impedance.

What is a vector? Do you just buy the book for the pictures?

>> There is an infinite number of possible combinations of resistance,
>> capacitive reactance and inductive reactance which will yield 50 ohms.
>> Using an arbitrary value, 22.36 + j44.72 ohms = 50 ohms impedance at
>> the antenna. This is Example #2.
>
>Absolutely false, there is only one 50 ohm impedance: 50 + j0 ohms.
>This is the ONLY impedance which yields an SWR of 1:1.

And you can't even do math.

>> >2) Is that impedance resonant?
>>
>> Certainly not, and the question is moot: 'impedance' is neither
>> resonant nor non-resonant.
>
>Impedance is, indeed, not necessarily resonant or non-resonant.
>However, for a perfect match, the impedance must be resonant.

An antenna is a load, just like any other load with reactance and/or
resistance. There is no electromagnetic property that makes an antenna
any different than any other reactance or resistance, because they all
have both to some extent.

>> I think _you_ need to look up the definition of resonance. The
>> effective impedance of a resonant circuit can be _any_ value depending
>> upon the values of the components, including any resistive component
>> that may be present.
>
>The impedance of a resonant circuit is, by definition, the resistive
>component; there is no imaginary component in a resonant circuit.

That wasn't what I said. You can have an infinite number of values for
any LCR network that is resonant at a given frequency. THAT is what I
said.

>> >3) Since it is resonant, can we not say that resonance is a
>> >requirement for a 1:1 SWR?
>>
>> No, since it is not resonant. A 1:1 SWR can be achieved with only a
>> resistive component, which does not contain any capacitive or
>> inductive reactance, and therefore cannot be resonant. That was
>> Example #1.
>
>??? You make no sense.
>You say a 1:1 SWR must have only a resistive component (the definition
>of resonance) but cannot be resonant.
>Let me paraphrase you: "It must be resonant but it cannot be resonant."

Let me paraphrase for myself: "A 1:1 SWR _can_ be achieved with only a
resistive component . . ." Don't substitute my words for yours.

>> I suspect you will now say that an antenna must resonate in order to
>> be called an antenna. For Example #3, take a look at the antenna on a
>> modern scanner that covers 30MHz to over 1GHz. That antenna is not
>> resonant, and neither is the AM antenna attached to your car radio.
>
>An antenna need not be resonant. But, for a perfect match, it
>must be resonant.

. . . oh, brother. Read a goddam book!

>> I'm
>> sure you have heard the terms "center-loading" and "base-loading". But
>> wait; you said that a resistor is not an antenna
>
>Do you think a resistor is an antenna?

Yes, albeit a very poor one, but for all practical purposes it is not.
That is not the point here, though.

>> does that hold true
>> for a non-radiating reactance? I guess that doesn't matter anymore
>> since neither of them radiate when the reactive antenna does. That was
>> Example #4.
>>
>> You wanted one example, I gave you four. Where's my money?
>>
>> Sparky, still waiting for your references
>
>Example #2 was inaccurate. Your definition of impedance is wrong.

It is the same definition that has been used for over a hundred years.
Did you change that, too?

>You do not understand that there is only one impedance, 50 + j0 ohms,
>where the SWR is 1:1.

Where is your reference? A Smith chart? That is only a tool to be used in combination with intelligence. If what you say is true, then there should be no need for 75 ohm coax, or even ladder line. Or baluns. Or any other type of antenna matching device.

>Example #1 was inaccurate. You have contradictory definitions of
>resonance.

There is nothing contradictory about the definition I used. It is the same definition that is used by EE's daily.

>Example #3 proves nothing.

Except that non-resonant antennas work.

>Example #4 attributes a statement to me that I never made yet
>still proves nothing.

To you. But to a thinking, open-minded individual . . .

>You're going to have to work harder, Sparky.

And you are going to have to read a book. Does that scare you? Are you afraid that you will find out that you are wrong about most of the BS you have been dishing out?

I expected you to find some reason to welch on your offer. But I didn't expect such a lame excuse as "that proves nothing". You are the only one here that continues to make claims that are in conflict with many, if not all authoritative references, and you cannot provide anything to rebuke the claims of others. I don't know why you keep flapping your gums without any way to support your ideas, but until you do, many people here will consider you to be either a liar or just an ignorant troll. Your choice.

Sparky, FLRC

2979. Re: Do NOT Tolerate Illegal CBers!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 18:10:12 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <Dis%5.4205$9T2.40375@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 64312

Excellent analogy..... doesn't affect all hams though & some seem to get
over it quicker than others.

"Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message ...
> I think you will find the majority of the flames seem to come from no-code
> technician's that suddenly get radio religion and come back to witness to
> the errant brethren.

2980. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 18:24:52 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <bws%5.11916$sw.991210@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 64315

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:14405-3A3E49D8-7@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net...
> Hey Jer, consider this scenario...a complaint is called in, a team of
> hammies aiding cops, or whatever they decide to use comes to ones door
> to "inspect". I understand they CANNOT enter under any circumstance
> unless they have a warrant or evidence a crime is committed. What if the
> operator isn't home? Does it automatically go to the "refused to let
> inspect" file and get treated as such

They would probably return if it was evident that INDEED no-one was home.
If cars are in the drive and lights are on in the house, they may assume
you're refusing the inspection though. I would think they would attempt (at
that point) to visit while you're on the air. They WILL correspond with you
via certified mail... if you then refuse to answer or grant an inspection,
fines will probably follow.

2981. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 18:35:05 UTC
From: MR123IL@webtv.net
Message-ID: <895-3A3E58D9-26@storefull-292.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64320

DO you really think Dave got out of bed one day and came up with this
grate amp?
No..
He used outher peoples input and research too.
So until you can even make a watt you need to keep trying to talk skip
BRAKER!!!!

YES I AM MR.123ILL.

2982. Northstar 9500 mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 18:38:26 UTC
From: wlfdad@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91llj2$370$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64321

I know most people hate Northstar radios...sorry...i just need some mod files...schematics would be cool...any help anyone could give would be appreciated! Thank you

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

2983. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 18:40:17 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20001218134017.26594.00004923@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 64316

>Actually, he can, if you are stupid enough to leave it in plain sight.
>Now, if it is in a drawer of the desk, and he discovers it while doing
>a station inspection - then he cannot make the drug arrest. This has
>already been determined by the Supreme Court - if I recall correctly.
>

I beg to differ - he is not in your house under standard "consentual search" laws.. he is there enforcing FCC regulations checking your equipment, NOT as a police officer but as a sort of quasi-FCC agent.. If it had been different, like he heard screaming from the house, knocked on your door, and you let him in, he'd be there in a totally different capacity.

It would be interesting to see this sort of scenario and see how it played out in the courts.. I bet there would be quite a precedence set with that one..
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
http://www.riradiobuff.com
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.
2 meter all mode email reflector: http://egroups.com/group/2mallmode
New England Ham email reflector: http://egroups.com/group/newenglandham

2984. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 18:41:38 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20001218134138.26594.00004924@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 64317

>They have a term for those that break the law and think they have an out. It
>is "Jail house Lawyers". I have read more misinformation on here! As you
>have so ably pointed out if one goes to the regulations, there in big bold
>letters it says that inspection of a CB radio station is authorized with OUT
>a search warrant and that refusal to allow inspection is a violation
>punishable by fines, even if the station turns out to be totally legal. Even
>more amazing is that if someone attempts to provide information, people are
>more concerned about a spelling error (purposed rather than proposed) than
>the information being provided. My mail box has been filled by some of the
>filthiest messages one can imagine by those that have taken umbrage with the
>information provided. I can only hope that the lowlifes are first on the
>target list, they are a disgrace to CB operators everywhere.
>
>73 Fred W4JLE
>

Thank you for your lack of insight into the actual topic. We appretiate you
bitching about messages where people bitch about things.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
http://www.riradiobuff.com
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.
2 meter all mode email reflector: http://egroups.com/group/2mallmode
New England Ham email reflector: http://egroups.com/group/newenglandham

2985. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 18:43:05 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <91llsclbp1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 64318

Jerry it is the sort of brownish stuff that is about 3 microns thick that is located on the extreme circumference of the non dialetric portion of the inner conductor. If you look real close you can see the 50 engraved in it. A common mistake that many people make, is the failure to observe it. An MFJ259B enlarges the letters and makes them easier to see, once the coax is carefully cut to 18 feet. ;>}

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:3A3E48DF.241@bellsouth.net...
> Hmmmm, I looked "into" a piece of coax and all I saw was a center
> braided wire, a white dialectric, and a braided shield. I never could
> "find" that darned impedance! LOL!!
>
> J
>
>
> Coax Length Police wrote:
> >
> > In article <3a3b71fa.7968735@news.gate.net>, jdaggett@gate.net wrote:
> >
> > > He is hung up on thae term conjugate impedance. He is love with that
> > > term. Don't know what it means but is sounds good. One day he might
> > > just understand how a Smith Chart actually works. Nice handy tool.
> > >
> > > james
> >
> > I'll say. He sees a "conjugate impedance" looking into the coax.
> > He has some sort of "conjugate equation" (I don't know what that
> > was referring to).
> > He gets a "conjugate matching scheme" with 18 feet of coax.
> >
> > Smith Charts are handy; a nice RF design software package is nicer.
> > ;-)

2986. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 18:43:17 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20001218134317.26594.00004925@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 64319

>The misinformation I think lies with the "right to inspect" clause. You
>can refuse entry without refusing inspection of your station. Here is
>another clause for ya' to chew on Freddie, if an illegal op gets a visit
>by any LEO requesting to see the station, just say, "Sure, wait here,
>I'll go get it." Like many have stated, the law, as it sits, is too
>vague to have teeth, save truckers.

That's an interesting point - again, I am not really familiar with the new law, so can someone confirm if this is correct? Do you actually have to take the FCC to where your radio is hooked up, or can you bring your radio to them? If this is the case, it seems like quite a loop hole - all someone would have to do is bring a $40 Radio Shack CB to them and say "this is what I'm using"...
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
http://www.riradiobuff.com
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.
2 meter all mode email reflector: http://egroups.com/group/2mallmode
New England Ham email reflector: http://egroups.com/group/newenglandham

2987. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 18:51:26 UTC
From: Bob <Bob@bob.com>
Message-ID: <3A3E5CAD.2822DA2D@bob.com>
Source record: 64384

Thanks Bill, I already know the answers.

If you would like to know how these other guys come up with different SWR with different lengths, let them answer the question.

They make statements like input impedance is transformed by different lengths of 50 Ohm coax (it is) but whatever value it is is black magic. Half these people don't even know what reactance is.

Certainly none of them know how to use a Smith Chart.

Bob.

Bill Nelson wrote:

> Bob <bob@bob.com> wrote:
> : I just read through everyone of your posts.
>
> : Lets say the load is 25 + j0 Ohms. If the 50 ohm coax line is 1/8 a
> : wavelength long, what complex impedance does the generator see? What SWR
> : does that result in? What if the line is a 1/4 wavelength long? And what
> : if the line is 3/8 wavelength long? What if the line is 1/2 a wavelength
> : long?
>
> Figure the input impedance yourself. My apologies for the nested parens,
> and the lack of Greek symbols.
>
> r(in) = ra(1+(tan^2 bl))/(1-xa(tan bl)^2+(ra(tan bl))^2)
> x(in) = (xa(1-(tan^2 bl))+(1-ra^2-xa^2)(tan bl))/(same denominator as above)
>
> l is line length (in feet or meters)
>
> This is the normalized value - so is valid for a 1 ohm line.
>
> To convert to another line impedance, use the following:
>
> ra=Ra/Z0 , Rin = Z0(rin)
> xa=Xa/Z0 , Xin = X0(xin)
>
> Ra and Xa are the load resistance and reactance and Rin and Xin are
> the resistance and impedance at the input end of the line.
>
> bl(degrees) = 1.2f(MHz) x l(meters) or 0.367f(MHz) x l(feet)
>
> : Enough quoting books, lets have some numbers.
>

> For all line lengths, the SWR will be 2:1, although your SWR meter may
> not indicate that value at all measurement points.
>
> If the load impedance is 0 = eg, the antenna is resonant, then the
> following will be approximate results from the above equations:
>
> 1/8 wave line length xin = 0.5 for ra=0.5 and 1 for ra<=0.1
> 1/4 wave line length xin = 0 for all ra
> 3/8 wave line length xin = same as 1/8 wave
> 1/4 wave line length xin = same as 1/4 wave
>
> 1/8 wave line length rin = 0.1 for ra=0.1 and 1 for ra>=0.5
> 1/4 wave line length rin = 8 for ra=0.1 and about 1 for ra>=0.5
> 3/8 wave is same as 1/8 wave
> 1/2 wave is same as 1/4 wave
>
> Remember that these are normalized values.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

2988. looking for an old radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 18:53:53 UTC
From: ricky <blnknshp@amel.tds.net>
Message-ID: <3A3E5C62.93E2ACD5@amel.tds.net>
Source record: 64322

hey i'm interested in buying an old tram or gemtronics or robyn base radio thats in good condtion and if the price is reasonable. e-mail me at blnknshp@amel.tds.net

2989. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 19:39:00 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-CAB115.14390018122000@nntp.lucent.com>
Source record: 64323

In article <91lgq6iup1@iac5.navix.net>, "Fred Hambrecht"
<Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

> Same old debate tactics, "he didn't respond so I am right" I just do not
> engage in nonsense. I made a very basic statement that can be checked in
> any
> text book.
>
> 1. A shorted 1/4 length of coax is seen as an open.
>
> 2. A shorted 1/2 length of coax is seen as a short.
>
> You disagreed with these easily checked premises,

I said no such thing. You are putting false words in my mouth because
you cannot answer my direct question to your assertion that you can
match a 37 ohm load with 50 ohm coax.

> ...at that point to
> continue a technical discussion with you would prove futile. You have
> the advantage of creating new electrical law, where as I am bound by
> the facts. That puts me at a disadvantage I can not hope to over come,
> ergo you win pick up the marbles.

You wrote:

: If you put the 18 foot on a smith chart you will see it does a
: good job of transforming the 37 to 50 and makes everyone's SWR meter
: happy.

Now back up your claim. What length of transmission line is required
to match 37 ohms to 50 ohms?

2990. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 19:50:52 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-371069.14505218122000@nntp.lucent.com>
Source record: 64324

In article <3a3e4883.2022063@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> On Mon, 18 Dec 2000 12:16:36 -0500, "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Same old debate tactics, "he didn't respond so I am right" I just do not
> >engage in nonsense. I made a very basic statement that can be checked in
> >any text book.
>
> You are making the assumption that he can read a textbook. He has
> provided no evidence that he can.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

Sparky, you refuse to quote your textbooks verbatim.

And you cannot answer simple questions to your claims. You have claimed
that reflected power is constant while a transmission line radiates.
How are both simultaneously possible? Where is the radiated energy
coming from if the reflected power stays constant all along the line?

How can RF radiate through the shield of a coax?

I shoot holes in your claims and you cannot respond.

Hey Sparky, answer Fred's question (which he refuses to answer despite
having an extra class ham license and a masters in electrical
engineering): What length of 50 ohm coax is needed to match a 37 ohm
load to 50 ohms?

You are allowed to use all the textbooks you want to justify Fred's
claim. You simply can't. Fred can't either.

Stop the myths and old wives' tales.

2991. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 19:58:55 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3E6C7F.C0B58C71@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64325

Oh, no. My seven foot piece of coax in my Jeep is only 19.44 ohms.

Fred Hambrecht wrote:
>
> Jerry it is the sort of brownish stuff that is about 3 microns thick that is
> located on the extreme circumference of the non dialetric portion of the
> inner conductor. If you look real close you can see the 50 engraved in it. A
> common mistake that many people make, is the failure to observe it. An
> MFJ259B enlarges the letters and makes them easier to see, once the coax is
> carefully cut to 18 feet. ;>}

2992. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 20:07:04 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26081-3A3E6E68-22@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64326

Andy wrote, ".....we appreciate you bitching about messages where people bitch about things."

LMAO

2993. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 20:17:34 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <t3ss7m3iu2ipee@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64327

"Handy Andy" <CodysPC@Iserve.Net> wrote in message
news:3qfr3t0jas9vqn2mpr37nimtn21obh2d7s@4ax.com...
> The first time I did. Actually it was a 2.7 SWR - placing you hand on
> the coax or meter at the wrong spot gives you an unsteady SWR reading.
>
> Thats a good clue...

That sounds like you may also have had a small amount of antenna current flowing on the outside of the coax shield too. Antenna currents flowing on the outside of a coax cable are known for screwing up readings on SWR meters. By grabbing the SWR meter, or the cable at different places, which alters the coupling of the coax cable to the surroundings, can result in a different amount of antenna current flowing on the outside of the coax. If all of the RF current was confined to the inside of the coax, and the antenna well grounded at the base, you should have not seen any variation in SWR reading. By changing the length of coax cable the position, and magnitude of the current node maximum, on the outside, was moved relative to the SWR meter, thus the SWR meter reading would change. All of the cheap HF SWR meters I have seen use a toroid type current transformer to measure the current on the coax cable. That type of current transformer can't tell the difference between current flow on the inside of the coax cable, and that on the outside. One way to find out if you have antenna currents flowing on the outside of the coax would be to wind up a bunch of turns of the coax on a toroid at the base of the antenna, and try your experiment again. If the reactance of the toroid choke is high enough the antenna current, flowing on the coax shield should be reduced to a small value. I had to do this with a 5/8 wl 2m magnet mount antenna, I use as a 1/4 wl antenna on 6m, to keep my mobile rig from reducing output power from a bad SWR reading, using the rig's internal SWR metering circuit. The clue was the changing SWR reading when moving the coax cable around inside of my van.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

2994. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 20:21:24 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-7F6197.15212418122000@nntp.lucent.com>
Source record: 64328

In article <3a3e5029.3980304@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> On Mon, 18 Dec 2000 11:58:49 -0500, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >> The antenna impedance can be any combination within the boundaries of
> >> the equation
> >>
> >> Z = (R² + X²)^²
> >
> >No.
> >
> >Z = R + jX
> >
> >You are calculating the magnitude of the impedance; not the impedance.
>
> What is a vector? Do you just buy the book for the pictures?

Why do you ask? Do you ask nonresponsive questions to change the
subject?

> >> There is an infinite number of possible combinations of resistance,
> >> capacitive reactance and inductive reactance which will yield 50 ohms.
> >> Using an arbitrary value, 22.36 + j44.72 ohms = 50 ohms impedance at
> >> the antenna. This is Example #2.
> >
> >Absolutely false, there is only one 50 ohm impedance: 50 + j0 ohms.
> >This is the ONLY impedance which yields an SWR of 1:1.
>
> And you can't even do math.

Show me where I'm wrong. Bzzzt. You can't do it.

Do you believe an impedance of 22.36 + j44.72 ohms will yield a 1:1 SWR?

> >> >2) Is that impedance resonant?
> >>
> >> Certainly not, and the question is moot: 'impedance' is neither
> >> resonant nor non-resonant.
> >
> >Impedance is, indeed, not necessarily resonant or non-resonant.
> >However, for a perfect match, the impedance must be resonant.
>
> An antenna is a load, just like any other load with reactance and/or
> resistance. There is no electromagnetic property that makes an antenna

> any different than any other reactance or resistance, because they all
> have both to some extent.

OK.

> >> I think _you_ need to look up the definition of resonance. The
> >> effective impedance of a resonant circuit can be _any_ value depending
> >> upon the values of the components, including any resistive component
> >> that may be present.
> >
> >The impedance of a resonant circuit is, by definition, the resistive
> >component; there is no imaginary component in a resonant circuit.
>
> That wasn't what I said. You can have an infinite number of values for
> any LCR network that is resonant at a given frequency. THAT is what I
> said.

OK. And when you find the impedance of that resonant circuit, what
is the imaginary component? That is, what is the number multiplied
by j?

By the way, that is not what you said. You said the "effective
impedance of a resonant circuit can be _any_ value."
You were talking circuit impedance; not L and C and R components.
The circuit impedance would be the sum of the L and C and R impedances.
To be resonant, there is only one value of the imaginary component of
this impedance; it must be zero.

> >> >3) Since it is resonant, can we not say that resonance is a
> >> >requirement for a 1:1 SWR?
> >>
> >> No, since it is not resonant. A 1:1 SWR can be achieved with only a
> >> resistive component, which does not contain any capacitive or
> >> inductive reactance, and therefore cannot be resonant. That was
> >> Example #1.
> >
> >??? You make no sense.
> >You say a 1:1 SWR must have only a resistive component (the definition
> >of resonance) but cannot be resonant.
> >Let me paraphrase you: "It must be resonant but it cannot be resonant."
>
> Let me paraphrase for myself: "A 1:1 SWR _can_ be achieved with only a
> resistive component . . ." Don't substitute my words for yours.

Hold on. You left something out. You ALSO said "which does not contain
any capacitive or inductive reactance." This is equivalent to saying
that the imaginary component of the impedance MUST be zero -- exactly
what you get with resonance.

So, in essense, you said a 1:1 SWR can only be achieved with a resonant
circuit. Remember, we are talking about antennas. Do you think the
antenna behaves exactly like a resistor? If I increase (or decrease)

the frequency, will the impedance stay at 50 ohms?

> >> I suspect you will now say that an antenna must resonate in order to
> >> be called an antenna. For Example #3, take a look at the antenna on a
> >> modern scanner that covers 30MHz to over 1GHz. That antenna is not
> >> resonant, and neither is the AM antenna attached to your car radio.
> >
> >An antenna need not be resonant. But, for a perfect match, it
> >must be resonant.
>
> . . . oh, brother. Read a goddam book!

Again, do you honestly believe an impedance of 22.36 + j44.72 ohms will
yield a 1:1 SWR?

> >Example #2 was inaccurate. Your definition of impedance is wrong.
>
> It is the same definition that has been used for over a hundred years.
> Did you change that, too?

No. You are calculating the magnitude of the impedance. This in NOT
what determines the SWR.

> >You do not understand that there is only one impedance, 50 + j0 ohms,
> >where the SWR is 1:1.
>
> Where is your reference? A Smith chart? That is only a tool to be used
> in combination with intelligence. If what you say is true, then there
> should be no need for 75 ohm coax, or even ladder line. Or baluns. Or
> any other type of antenna matching device.

Well, I am referring to a 50 ohm system which virtually all CBs are.
This is a CB Radio newsgroup, remember?

A Smith chart is normalized to the characteristic impedance of the
system. But a perfect antenna match ALWAYS requires a resonant load
impedance.

> >Example #1 was inaccurate. You have contradictory definitions of
> >resonance.
>
> There is nothing contradictory about the definition I used. It is the
> same definition that is used by EE's daily.

You think "22.36 + j44.72 ohms = 50 ohms"
No good EE believes this.

> >Example #3 proves nothing.
>
> Except that non-resonant antennas work.

Well, yes. But it proves nothing to support your argument.

> >Example #4 attributes a statement to me that I never made yet
> >still proves nothing.
>
> To you. But to a thinking, open-minded individual . . .
>
> >You're going to have to work harder, Sparky.
>
> And you are going to have to read a book. Does that scare you? Are you
> afraid that you will find out that you are wrong about most of the BS
> you have been dishing out?

I have my facts straight. :-)

> I expected you to find some reason to welch on your offer. But I
> didn't expect such a lame excuse as "that proves nothing".

You were challenged to prove your case. You were citing some
facts that were irrelevant to your claims. I could say "2 + 2 = 4".
We all know its true but so what? It doesn't support our discussion
here.

> You are the
> only one here that continues to make claims that are in conflict with
> many, if not all authoritative references,

NOT!

> and you cannot provide
> anything to rebuke the claims of others.

I have provided some fundamental questions which you cannot or will not
answer.

> I don't know why you keep
> flapping your gums without any way to support your ideas, but until
> you do, many people here will consider you to be either a liar or just
> an ignorant troll. Your choice.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

Hee hee! Sparky, I am not the only one who has contradicted you here in
this newsgroup.

You think "22.36 + j44.72 ohms = 50 ohms"
And you think this impedance will yield a 1:1 SWR.

Let's take a vote and see how many on this newsgroup agree with you.
Let's hear it!

I vote that you are wrong.

2995. Re: WTD: Keys - where the hell did I put them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 20:41:09 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3E7675.75128648@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64329

I haven't seen them. You'll find them in the last place you look.

The Packrat wrote:
>
> I can't find my keys. Anyone seen them anywhere?

2996. Re: DAVEMADE WORLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 22:17:14 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001218171714.02076.00009600@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 64330

>Subject: Re: DAVEMADE WORLD
>From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW brandnew@hotmail.com
>Date: 12/18/00 8:07 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <91l5nc$kt2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>

>TRUE TRUE!

WAAAAAZZZZZZZZZZZUUUPPPPP!!!!!!!!!

2997. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 22:21:07 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <he3t3tckd4mrbem3d726dtqtjchhsg5iq9@4ax.com>
Source record: 64427

Who said the transmission line length can't be used
reduce the swr????

Follow this link:

http://people.delphi.com/CecilMoore/mystery.htm

2998. Re: 11 METRE PACKET RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 22:32:20 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001218173220.08376.00000023@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Source record: 64333

theres not many of us doing it here in the states. only two that i know of including me and i have been working. i'll try to get on at 0 hours utc whenever possible and catch you guys. i got a email from your friend in tasmania, thanks for telling some people about my litte site.

>Been listening for you guys over there but only hear europe and canada, we
>use martin 1 and scottie 1 sstv and 1200 baud packet hope to catch you soon
>on air de 43PC122 / AU122 Warren

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

2999. FS: T.S. 350 HDV $75.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 22:39:25 UTC
From: M1v1@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26867-3A3E921D-80@storefull-114.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64335

For Sale Texas Star 350 HDV CW Transmitter.
Excellent condition. $75.00 plus shipping.

3000. Re: 11 METRE PACKET RADIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 22:39:52 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001218173952.08376.00000024@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Source record: 64334

also, i hope you dont mind warren but i added your sstv image to my site. if you want i can take it down.

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

3001. Re: DAVEMADE WORLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 23:10:53 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <iamyourhookup@eatme.com>
Message-ID: <1Qw%5.118$Xz4.60764@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64337

I bought a xforce 5 pill 1x4 and compared it my 4 year old davemade
it was built and tuned the exact same way which i figured it would be from
what i have heard but as far as i can see nothing has changed it has the
same caps and wraps of wire, the chassis is different guess you cant really
change a succesful amp
"EXPORTSRUS" <exportsrus@aol.com> wrote in message X Force has been the
best that I have seen in a long
> time, and until someone shows me something better, well you know the rest
of
> the story.

3002. Re: T.S. 350 HDV $75.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 23:26:49 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Z2x%5.24190$7I.2834138@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 64338

sold!
M1v1@webtv.net wrote in message
<26867-3A3E921D-80@storefull-114.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
For Sale Texas Star 350 HDV CW Transmitter.
Excellent condition. $75.00 plus shipping.

3003. Spares for a Lincoln...anyone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 23:42:46 UTC
From: Tom Shaw <tommy2ooo@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <91m7b9$78f$1@uranium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 64339
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

Im looking for a channel changer switch for a Lincoln. Can anyone give me details to what type of switch it is, or where I might get another one new? I would appreciate any help on this.

Regards,
Tom Shaw

3004. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 23:49:37 UTC
From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <91m7qfkks1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64344

In article <895-3A3E58D9-26@storefull-292.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
MR123IL@webtv.net wrote:
> DO you really think Dave got out of bed one day and came up with this
> grate amp?

Well actually I am sure he got the idea from a good many sources
however, I personally think it is a GREAT AMP! NOT A GRATE AMP????
Hey aren't you the guy that ripped off dave and took his design and
stuff to chicago in the 1st place to help start the Xforce amp???

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3005. Re: Davemade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 23:53:51 UTC
From: wpbrock3rd@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001218185351.25170.00000853@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 64341

>
>hey pee wee you have no room to talk ya act like 5 year old

Boy, That hurts 667! I think I will need years of therapy for that, but what I won't need is a reality check, I know what's up! see ya next year, I buy you a coke, and I bring some cards so you will have something to do while the rest of us key. Have a great Christmas

3006. Re: ICECOLD Little Johnny Joke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 23:54:08 UTC
From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <91m82u$kp9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64345

In article <fUp%5.1065$xU2.91542@news1.atl>,
"poisenivy" <franc1@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> He is a friend and a good sport, more than I can say for you You
sick
> creep.

HE IS YOUR FRIEND???????????
BULLSHIT!
WITH FRIENDS LIKE YOU WHO NEEDS ENEMIES?
RIDICULOUS!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3007. Re: DAVEMADE WORLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 23:55:53 UTC
From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <91m867krh1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64346

In article <20001218171714.02076.00009600@ng-ch1.aol.com>,
tj1072@aol.com (TJ1072) wrote:
> >Subject: Re: DAVEMADE WORLD
> >From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW brandnew@hotmail.com
> >Date: 12/18/00 8:07 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <91l5nc$kt2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
>
> >TRUE TRUE!
>
> WAAAAAZZZZZZZZZZZUUUPPPPP!!!!!!!!!
>
JUST SITTIN BACK DRINKIN A BUD AND KEYING MY DAVEMADE AMP.
I FINALLY GOT ONE YA KNOW!!!!!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3008. Re: installation question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-18 23:58:34 UTC
From: ckrepp@aol.comonover
Message-ID: <20001218185834.01688.00002449@ng-mc1.aol.com>
Source record: 64343

Thanks for all the great answers. Sounds like I will not be having too much trouble. I really don't expect to need to get out very much farther than around town and night time will prove to be better I'm sure. I hadn't thought of running it through pvc pipe and that is a very good idea. Thanks again everybody for your answers. Merry Christmas and a Happy and safe New Year to all!

3009. Re: Merry X-Mas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 00:22:25 UTC
From: Dave Clemence <*******davesradio@alltel.net**********>
Message-ID: <91m2ifrsp1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 64331

merry merry and happy happy from

dave
Daves Radio Electronics

"EXPORTSRUS" <exportsrus@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001217133824.10059.00005156@ng-md1.aol.com...
> With not even a week to go we would like to wish all here in the group a
Very
> Merry X-MAS from X Force Comp. Amps.
>
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> Thanks, Steve
> Exports R Us
> www.xportsrus.com
> We also have the best wholesale prices for cb shops and dealers.
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

3010. Re: mic wiring for a SS4900B?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 00:28:41 UTC
From: Dave Clemence <*******davesradio@alltel.net**********>
Message-ID: <91m2u7$s3q$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 64332

Pin # 1 Audio (white)
2 shield & Blue just the shield on the older mics
3 xmit (red)

thats all....

dave

"Hillbilly Dave" <******davesradio@alltel.net******> wrote in message
news:91j65hgoc1@iac5.navix.net...
> I'll let you know tomorrow when I get in the shop.... it only uses 3
> wires...
>
> Dave
> KG5XR
> Daves Radio Electronics
> http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
> On Bull Shoales Lake
> Ark/Mo State Line
>
> PKenn59788 <pkenn59788@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20001217121922.00423.00000337@ng-ck1.aol.com...
> > Can anyone tell me how to wire up a D-104 mb for the Superstar 4900 B
base
> > radio?
> > Thanks, and happy holidays
> >
> > Paul
>
>

3011. E F Johnson transmitters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 00:33:57 UTC
From: Argus <argus2400@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <V1y%5.3058$US4.299487@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 64347

Would it be worth starting an 11 meter club for users of the old Johnson Ranger, Valiant, 500 transmitters? Do any of you guys use these boat anchors? I use the 150 watt Valiant II. I know that from time-to-time parts, repair procedures, modification, etc. are an issue for all of us.

Argus

3012. Re: E F Johnson transmitters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 00:55:24 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3EB1FC.E2C593F7@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64398

Too late.
http://www.egroups.com/group/Vintage_CB

Argus wrote:
>
> Would it be worth starting an 11 meter club for users of the old Johnson
> Ranger, Valiant, 500 transmitters? Do any of you guys use these boat
> anchors? I use the 150 watt Valiant II. I know that from time-to-time
> parts, repair procedures, modification, etc. are an issue for all of us.
>
> Argus

3013. cancel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 01:03:18 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <t3tcumqb0qii07@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.info

<91k1lf$8as$1@news.hawaii.edu> was cancelled from within trn.

3014. Re: DAVEMADE WORLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 01:09:34 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <iamyourhookup@eatme.com>
Message-ID: <izy%5.153$u31.90260@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64400

brandnew, old poppa pump says he wants to ride shotgun in your mobile of course we would have to finish all all beverages befoe we get behinds the wheel since i dont drinks and drives, then we can fire up the Old Gold if ya smell what i am cooking ...

"BRAND SPANKIN' NEW" <brandnew@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> JUST SITTIN BACK DRINKIN A BUD AND KEYING MY DAVEMADE AMP.
> I FINALLY GOT ONE YA KNOW!!!!!
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

3015. Re: DAVEMADE WORLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 01:37:34 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001218203734.01951.00010506@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 64402

>Subject: Re: DAVEMADE WORLD
>From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW brandnew@hotmail.com Wrote:>JUST SITTIN BACK DRINKIN
A BUD AND KEYING MY DAVEMADE AMP.
>I FINALLY GOT ONE YA KNOW!!!!!

YO, WHERE'S DUKIE???

3016. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 01:39:25 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a3eb404.5213371@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64401

On Mon, 18 Dec 2000 15:21:24 -0500, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>> What is a vector? Do you just buy the book for the pictures?
>
>Why do you ask? Do you ask nonresponsive questions to change the
>subject?

I guess you couldn't read the relevant question: What is a vector?

>Do you believe an impedance of 22.36 + j44.72 ohms will yield a 1:1 SWR?

Yes. "Show me where I'm wrong. Bzzzt. You can't do it", because you
would be changing one of the fundamental principles of electronics
that has existed for decades.

>> An antenna is a load, just like any other load with reactance and/or
>> resistance. There is no electromagnetic property that makes an antenna
>> any different than any other reactance or resistance, because they all
>> have both to some extent.
>
>OK.

Therefore, it doesn't matter if your load is a non-reactive resistor
or a resonant antenna, or even a non-resonant antenna: if the
impedance is the same, you will get the same SWR. Hence, a
non-resonant 50 ohm resistor provides a 1:1 match, and satisfies your
$100 challenge.

>> That wasn't what I said. You can have an infinite number of values for
>> any LCR network that is resonant at a given frequency. THAT is what I
>> said.
>
>OK. And when you find the impedance of that resonant circuit, what
>is the imaginary component? That is, what is the number multiplied
>by j?

Zero. Have I said anything else? No.

>By the way, that is not what you said. You said the "effective
>impedance of a resonant circuit can be _any_ value."

It is no different except in construction.

>You were talking circuit impedance; not L and C and R components.
>The circuit impedance would be the sum of the L and C and R impedances.

That's what a resonant circuit is made of, you dope! The impedance of an ac circuit is found by the equation I gave earlier, where R is the net resistance and X is the net reactance. This is called "rectangular notation", it is a vector quantity, and it works the same way it has worked since it was first contrived. Maybe your hangup is that you haven't considered that an LCR circuit can be operated outside of resonance. It will then have reactance, regardless of the fact that it is resonant at another frequency. Even within the circuit's bandwidth there will be reactance when not on it's center frequency.

I might add that this is why the SWR changes along the bandwidth.

>To be resonant, there is only one value of the imaginary component of
>this impedance; it must be zero.

No problem.

>> Let me paraphrase for myself: "A 1:1 SWR _can_ be achieved with only a
>> resistive component . . ." Don't substitute my words for yours.
>
>Hold on. You left something out. You ALSO said "which does not contain
>any capacitive or inductive reactance." This is equivalent to saying
>that the imaginary component of the impedance MUST be zero -- exactly
>what you get with resonance.

Ok. I'll rewrite this for a fourth-grade reading level: A 1:1 SWR can be achieved with just a resistor. It can also be achieved with a combination of resistance and reactance, or even just reactance. The resistor has no (practical) capacitive or inductive reactance. Therefore, the resistor is not resonant. I have satisfied the requirements of your challenge.

>So, in essense, you said a 1:1 SWR can only be achieved with a resonant
>circuit.

There you go sticking words where they don't belong. I never said, "can _only_ be achieved". You added words again.

> Remember, we are talking about antennas.

We are talking about the load at the end of a transmission line.

> Do you think the
>antenna behaves exactly like a resistor?

No. And that wasn't your question.

> If I increase (or decrease)
>the frequency, will the impedance stay at 50 ohms?

In an LCR circuit, no. In a purely resistive circuit, yes. That's the difference between an antenna and a resistor. Duh . . .

>> >Example #2 was inaccurate. Your definition of impedance is wrong.
>>
>> It is the same definition that has been used for over a hundred years.

>> Did you change that, too?
>
>No. You are calculating the magnitude of the impedance. This in NOT
>what determines the SWR.

To quote your challenge:
>If it is not resonant, then it is not 50 ohms.
>Give just one example to show how to do this.
>I'll give you $100 if you can.
In consideration of that, I think the generally accepted definition of
impedance is very relavent, and that is what I have used.

>> >You do not understand that there is only one impedance, 50 + j0 ohms,
>> >where the SWR is 1:1.
>>
>> Where is your reference? A Smith chart? That is only a tool to be used
>> in combination with intelligence. If what you say is true, then there
>> should be no need for 75 ohm coax, or even ladder line. Or baluns. Or
>> any other type of antenna matching device.
>
>Well, I am referring to a 50 ohm system which virtually all CBs are.
>This is a CB Radio newsgroup, remember?

Please correct this if incorrect, but this is your argument: There is
only one impedance, 50 + j0 ohms, where the SWR is 1:1 because this is
a CB newsgroup, and virtually all CBs use a 50 ohm system.

>A Smith chart is normalized to the characteristic impedance of the
>system. But a perfect antenna match ALWAYS requires a resonant load
>impedance.

I don't like the word "perfect". The most efficient match is the one
that transfers the most power from the source into the load. Resonance
is not required. The most efficient antenna is the one that transfers
the most power delivered to it into space. A resonant antenna is very
efficient, but not necessarily the most efficient.

Again I will add that a hertzian dipole, a resonant antenna, has a
small amount of inductive reactance.

This again satifies your criteria.

>> >Example #1 was inaccurate. You have contradictory definitions of
>> >resonance.
>>
>> There is nothing contradictory about the definition I used. It is the
>> same definition that is used by EE's daily.
>
>You think "22.36 + j44.72 ohms = 50 ohms"
>No good EE believes this.

I would like to see you qualify that.

>> >Example #3 proves nothing.
>>
>> Except that non-resonant antennas work.
>
>Well, yes. But it proves nothing to support your argument.

It does, as I just stated. However, it was not to support my argument, but to provide the example you wanted. Since you agree with it, it has done so.

>I have my facts straight. :-)

You say so, so it must be true. Profound. An undeniable reference. Undeniable, that is, to yourself. Another reason why you won't read a book?

>> I expected you to find some reason to welch on your offer. But I
>> didn't expect such a lame excuse as "that proves nothing".
>
>You were challenged to prove your case.

I did. It is not my fault that you can't understand the answers.

>> You are the
>> only one here that continues to make claims that are in conflict with
>> many, if not all authoritative references,
>
>NOT!

Powerful argument . . .

>Hee hee! Sparky, I am not the only one who has contradicted you here in
>this newsgroup.
>
>You think "22.36 + j44.72 ohms = 50 ohms"
>And you think this impedance will yield a 1:1 SWR.
>
>Let's take a vote and see how many on this newsgroup agree with you.
>Let's hear it!
>
>I vote that you are wrong.

Sure, like the majority is never wrong. Better yet, why don't you put up some more money for someone to prove me wrong? That will go over great after welching on your other offer. Even better, why don't _you_ prove me wrong? I think the best option is for you to read a book and learn something about the subject.

Sparky, FLRC

3017. Cobra Nightwatch feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 01:50:00 UTC
From: m2steven <m2steven@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Cpx%5.16$fJ1.2966@news2.atl>
Source record: 64340

I just purchased a little Cobra 25 NW ST, and I have to say, this Nightwatch feature is the best advance in CB radio in 30 years. (actually, there is not much you can do, legally, do a cb radio to improve it). The soundtracker feature actually does work, and the visibility of the faceplate of the radio is simply stunning. Not only is is pretty, it's
dead-on readable in lowlight to dark conditions.
Check it out if you get the chance.

3018. Re: Opinions Wanted: Getting back into CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 01:54:37 UTC
From: m2steven <m2steven@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <0ux%5.19$fJ1.3135@news2.atl>
Source record: 64342

You could also use the Uniden Grant mobile rig with a power supply, it's an
excellent radio as well.
As for the I-max 2000 antenna, it is excellent.
It is also somewhat of a large antenna. If space is
a consideration, the standard Antron 99 with optional radial kit is a very
good performing antenna. Without the radial kit, it's a simple 18 foot
fiberglass rod. I've had one up for 4 years, and it
handles tornado season in Alabama with aplomb.
"Jim" <kc2hbs@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3A3A4546.8B26FE65@earthlink.net...
> davelufkin@iname.com wrote:
>
> > Anyway, I'm openly soliciting your opinions on this question: What's
> > the best model in current production of a AM/SSB base station?
> >
> > I've done a little looking around, and it looks as if the Uniden
> > Washington may be the only one. Is this true?
> >
>
> Dave,
>
> I use a Uniden Washington with an I-Max 2000 antenna. If you use this
setup
>
> you will have a hard time staying within FCC's 155 mile rule :)
>
> 73's
>
>
>
>

3019. Re: DAVEMADE WORLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 01:56:34 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91mf8fqnh1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64409

In article <izy%5.153$u31.90260@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
"BigPoppaPump" <iamyourhookup@eatme.com> wrote:
> brandnew, old poppa pump says he wants to ride shotgun in your mobile
of
> course we would have to finish all all beverages befoe we get behinds
the
> wheel since i dont drinks and drives, then we can fire up the Old
Gold if ya
> smell what i am cooking ...
>
> "BRAND SPANKIN' NEW" <brandnew@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > JUST SITTIN BACK DRINKIN A BUD AND KEYING MY DAVEMADE AMP.
> > I FINALLY GOT ONE YA KNOW!!!!!
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>
>

cool, you guys can go bump it down with howard stern, hello come in, 10-
4 break, break,.......cd is out......

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3020. cancel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 01:56:43 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <t3tg2rsdcq9u08@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64403
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.broadcasting,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.info

<91k1lf$8as$1@news.hawaii.edu> was cancelled from within trn.

3021. Re: Davemade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 01:57:36 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <kgz%5.147221$DG3.2951700@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 64404

What a joke.

People get all bent out of shape because someone else said DaveMade was a
bunch of coke dealers.

Now it is OK for the DaveMade fan club to do the same?

Get real.

Toll Free

"BigPoppaPump" <freak'Onature@aola.com> wrote in message
news:EhXZ5.1054$Tq1.226532@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
> bladerunner is a coke addict and - his work is sloppy
> "JVillafane" <jvillafane@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20001213171322.25973.00001917@ng-mf1.aol.com...
> > yes bladerunner from ct
>
>

3022. Re: QUESTION THAT NO ONE HAS ASKED???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 01:59:05 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <Jhz%5.147222$DG3.2952566@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 64405

I call it my OC3 shell.

155 megabits of ping flood will put any modem, cable modem, isdn, T1, DSL or T3 back quiet.

lol

Toll Free

"CarriageCarWash" <carriagecarwash@aol.com> wrote in message news:20001128111540.02557.00002087@ng-cc1.aol.com...
> >icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD (COLD as ICE)
> >Date: 11/28/00 9:04 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20001128100447.22821.00000656@nso-fq.aol.com>
>
> >DOES THIS MEAN THAT MORE CB'ERS WILL BE ON THESE NEWSGROUPS BECAUSE THEY WILL
> >NO LONGER BE ABLE TO ENJOY THEIR HOBBY???
> >
>
> >COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
> >mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> Fear not ice!!!....someone will find a way to amplify computers!!..LOL...LOL
>
>
> 937.....

3023. Re: Has anyone heard of a amp builder call pirate in california?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 02:00:18 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <_iz%5.74887$%j3.912573@news6.giganews.com>
Source record: 64406

Or stay with a clean amp that has bias on it.

Texas Star, some Palomars and Messenger are some of the cleaner amps on the market today.

Toll Free

"RollingRadios" <rollingradios@aol.com> wrote in message news:20001127234426.23659.00002382@ng-cg1.aol.com...
> >From: CreoleCat@webtv.net
> >i've heard many great things about this
> >builder.I have tried them all Davemade and X-force
>
> Pirate boxes look nice, but don't come close to the performance or matching of
> the XF and DM. Usually high input swr, and output is not as much nor as "clean"
> as XF.
> If your brother is new to trucking and wishes to stay in the good graces of his
> boss and truck, you may stick with a big two pill or small four pill. More
> likely not to hurt the computer or other electronics of the newer trucks, and
> will perform well.

3024. weak receive

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 02:01:56 UTC
From: Gary Hasley <g_dhasley@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <91m50p$t7s$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 64336

I have an 11 year old Cobra 2000 which has served me well. The receive
has been falling off for a long time. I suspect a leakage in the RF amp but
haven't took time to check it yet. Guess what I am asking is has anyone else
experienced this with a 2000 and came up with the problem? I first thought
it was antenna trouble but my Rad Shack 465 has all kinds of ears.
Thanks
Gary

3025. Re: Anyone ever seen a Galaxy Saturn do this....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 02:02:10 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <Jkz%5.74897$%j3.910861@news6.giganews.com>
Source record: 64407

Personally, you need to check the channel switch.

If that comes out OK, then you need to check the input to the binary adders.

If that is OK, then you have a PLL problem, or in rare cases, VCO.

If you can't figure out how to check the truth tables of the channel selector, you have absolutely NO business inside that radio.

Toll Free

"DetHMetaLx" <dethmetalx@aol.com> wrote in message news:20001128135326.01993.00004678@ng-ch1.aol.com...
> i tried fixing it with parts from my 88 and now the 88 does similar stuff so i
> know its not the ribbon cable in the 88.
> i personally think it is no further than the adder IC`s and the diodes.
> i ordered new ic`from rf parts so ill find out when they get here

3026. Re: Davemade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 02:02:42 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91mfjv$r3i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64410

In article <20001218185351.25170.00000853@ng-ch1.aol.com>,
wpbrock3rd@aol.com (WPBROCK3RD) wrote:
> >
> >hey pee wee you have no room to talk ya act like 5 year old
>
> Boy, That hurts 667! I think I will need years of therapy for that,
but what I
> won't need is a reality check, I know what's up! see ya next year, I
buy you a
> coke, and I bring some cards so you will have something to do while
the rest of
> us key. Have a great Christmas
>
>

oh come on pee wee, you mean to tell me you mean old davemade guys
slapped 667's no name powered long nose around this year. well ain't
that something,....cd is out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3027. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 02:07:16 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <Ypz%5.74925$%j3.911948@news6.giganews.com>
Source record: 64408

Look at the manufacturers of past that have done the same.

Got too big for their britches.

Toll Free

> I agree bill. when i first got on here about 3 or 4 years ago, that is
> the first time i heard of davemade. considering sonny was in retirement
> and no one i knew of around here was building , i decided to get a m800
> from them. that experience was good. the amp is good quality and built
> like a boat anchor. however, it has also been my experience that they
> do have a attitude and it seems one hand doesn't know what the other is
> doing, especially when you start asking questions about their products.
> i think they make good stuff, but they are very slow to help you, to
> answer questions, and seem to have this 'don't give a damn attitude'.
> which is surprising. if they were more helpful, lowered their prices,
> and sped up their communication with potential customers, they would do
> better. but i get the feeling they just don't care about what anyone
> thinks or says. if they think they are king of the hill, it is a
> mistake and only a matter of time before this will be passe'. looks
> like xforce has taken the ball and ran with it, but i think these
> prices are way out of line,....cd is out....
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

3028. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 02:20:51 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <bgA%5.75283$%j3.915220@news6.giganews.com>
Source record: 64416

"BigPoppaPump" <freak'Onature@aola.com> wrote in message
news:EHC_5.341$TC3.164945@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
>
> "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message news:oid_5.131382
> > No, what is funny is the fact that DaveMade pushes amps, and they have
> > people that do, but seemingly forget about the guy who only buys one or
> > two amps, and small ones.
> ==========
> How could you say this when has dave ever pushed a amp on anyone he doesnt
> even sell them he doesnt care about the business If you knew anything you
> would know mr gunn is who owns and runs www.davemade.com he has the dm
> business at this point in time not dave,

Mr Gunn owns a website.

He is NOT the money and driving force behind the company you fool.

and if you really knew anything
> this is one of the main reasons x force started 3 years ago because dave
had
> no desire to build amps so 123 split and you should know the rest
>

Your story seems different from the rest of the worlds.

> >
> > Seems, if ya want to do business with the guys in DaveMade County USA,
> > you have to have deep pockets.
> ==========
> Untrue again , prove your lie many out there have bought many small amps
> from them
>

And how many sales have been lost because of a total lack of concern for
"the little guy".

XForce wouldn't have gotten so popular if Dennis, Danny, Steve, et all
wouldn't have gotten
out here and helped people out.

>
> >
> > And that, my friend, is bullshit.
> ==========
> and so are you go back under your rock

bzzzttttt

> >
> > If they want to make people happy, provide customer service.>
> ==========
> they must provide just enough customer service since i hear hundereds and
> hundreds of them across the band

No, they provided a product that someone on this newsgroup pushed when
Texas Star was not building amps during the bust in the mid 90s.

Go out to the west coast in the mid 90s, and not a fucking sole heard of
DaveMade, unless
they where into keydowns and / or on the internet. Simple fact of life.

> >
>
> > DaveMade and their cronies have been pushing that line of shit for so
long
> > that it gets worse and worse every time I read it.
> > Who gives a shit who builds what.
> >
> > Bottom line. For 3 years, I have heard the common every day driver
asking
> > questions and getting nothing in response... Especially since Dennis
left
> > the news-
> > group (not Number 12, but Dennis at DM).
> ==========
> davemades are not for the average guy they are not for ssber they are not
> for truckers, they are not for the rookie operator,

You claim something different than what the DaveMade promotional bullshit
spews then.

Seems I can order a DaveMade (if it ever arrives) with SSB.

Wrong on point 1.

And they have been pushed by the retailers to EVERY CLASS OF OPERATOR.

If that ISN'T what their intended use was, then the manufacturer is at fault
for NOT
pulling in the reigns and shutting the supply off to the truck driver.

Sony does the same shit with their playstations at Christmas time.

Create a demand (they have that because they do make a decent box), then
don't make enough to
go around.

BAMM

All of a sudden, the falsely made lack of supply increases the price. Not
rocket science.

can they use them there
> yes, but his business was all about competition thats his market you guys
> have made him out to be a supplier of everyone have any of you ever heard
> dave himself say anything post anything No i dont think he would
> what is wrong with that

And what the fuck does your last comment have to do with this conversation?

>
>
> >
> > XForce always seems helpful.
> ==========
> yes they need to be they are /were a fledgling company getting there balls
> broke about being a dave copy so they had to do something davemade didnt
> which was talk to every tom dick and harry who wanted or was just curious
> about an amp

And it worked. And it put a hurtin on DaveMade, as evidenced by Concrete
Man's
personal vendetta he brought to this newsgroup when Danny left.

>
>
> >
> > That means a LOT to the guys who are spending their hard earned cash on
a
> > small
> > 2+4 or 6 pill.
> ==========
> they are davemades major sellers the 3 pills and 5 pills and if they
plunnk
> down there hard earned cash it will be cheaper than a comparable amp on
the
> market

So is Texas Star.

I would like to compare actual units sold of the DaveMade line to something
like the Texas Star..

Dave wouldn't even compare.

>
>
> >
> > It comes from Dennis and the rest, who are busy building amps and NOT
> > providing answers to the questions.
> ==========
> perhaps they have reasons for not answering every question posed to them
> maybe some are a waste of time where as a davemade is not for them,

And because someone writes and asks, "How many watts will my box do at 21
volts

with this much drive" they are automatcially assumed an idiot, and not
worthy to run
a DaveMade.

Give it up. It is assinine, the lack of support that band of builders
provides.

maybe
> they only want to deal with people they know or feel comfortable with,
> remeber amplifiers are still illegal. you can run your business how you
> want and i guess they do the same.

And they lost market share, and XForce gained.

What does that tell you?

>
> >
> > Gee, X-Force has a good name, and a reputation for customer service.
> > So, I guess, a manufacturer can provide both, huh?
> ==========
> the good name came from daves design they provided the rest.
>
> >

So, I guess "The Design" wasn't enuff, was it.

And Dave stole that from Helge Granberg.

So he is no better than Danny, is he?

>
>
> > Thats great.
> > I guess these guys where born knowing all this information, too, huh?
> ----------==========
> no they learn it just like you do or they try it themselves .

Oh, by asking questions, and playin with their stuff?

Wait.. They COULDN'T have learned it like me, since you guys don't HAVE to
answer any questions, and REFUSE to sell to someone who HAS to ask.

>
> >
> > Some people are so fucking narrow minded it makes me sick.
> ==========
> take a good look in the mirror

I have.

>
> >
> >
> > I happen to know different.

> >
> > My family has been involved in racing vehicles for over 40 years.
> ==========
> I am impressed
> >
> > Most all engine builders spend the time to inform their customers, that
> way
> > people don't get pissed because they came in and ordered a motor with
> brand
> > X
> > pistons, brand Y cam with Z duration at A lift, and this carb or that
fuel
> > injection
> > system.
> ==========
> I think the point is again the average guy doesnt go to s speed shop for
an
> engine for his work car... uhh get the connection why should a trucker or
a
> guy who wants a ssb amp go to a guy who builds competition amps

And why should someone who is wanting to step up to the battle box amps have
to
put up with the bullshit that asshole put out?

They shouldn't.

I can go to any engine builder here in town and tell him what I want, and he
will answer my
questions.

Thats called Customer Service.

Again, they don't provide it, because they are elitist.

> >
> > Its called CUSTOMER SERVICE.
> >
> >
> > Your now going to equate DaveMade to Nascar? Highly doubtful.
> ==========
> You are a moron he was saying why would a average person go to a
> Nascar/Busch builder for a motor they are race car motors just as his amps
> are race amps ... You are really thicked headed

And the analogy was "DaveMade = Nascar"

Simple English comprehension my friend.. Something your lacking.

> >
> >
> >
> >

> >
> > Blah Blah Blah.
> >
> > Bow down to this... Customer service always wins in the end.
> >
> > People get tired of the "order, and get forgotten about" syndrome that
> > DaveMade
> > seems to have gotten as of the past couple years.
> ==
> again mr gunn in North Carolina is the person selling Davemade

So if I order one from someone on the West coast, it came from Gunn?

NOT.

>
>
>
> >
> > I am not downing their product, just the way they interface. They grab
> > people,
> > suck them into "their way of doing business", and make the guy with a
> > question
> > feel like a total asshole for asking it.
> >
> >
> > Give me a break. The world doesn't operate that way.
> ==
> How would you know you walk around with your eyes shut

That comment doesn't even deserve a remark in retribution.

Have a nice day. The way you phrased your comments, and the analogies you
tried to destroy proved
that your just as much lost as the rest of the crowd on the East side.

Toll Free

>
>
>

3029. Re: ICECOLD Little Johnny Joke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 02:22:44 UTC
From: poisenivy <franc1@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3Ez%5.1714$xU2.115669@news1.atl>
Source record: 64411

I rest my case. LOL

"BRAND SPANKIN' NEW" <brandnew@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:91m82u$kp9$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <fUp%5.1065$xU2.91542@news1.atl>,
> "poisenivy" <franc1@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> > He is a friend and a good sport, more than I can say for you You
> sick
> > creep.
>
> HE IS YOUR FRIEND???????????
> BULLSHIT!
> WITH FRIENDS LIKE YOU WHO NEEDS ENEMIES?
> RIDICULOUS!
>
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

3030. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 02:23:13 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <DgA%5.75286$%j3.915220@news6.giganews.com>
Source record: 64417

Davey woke up one day, and said "I will ground the base of the
transistors"... This will turn them off for a greater amount of time.

Then I will reduce the collector to collector capacitance. This will keep
the runaway current problem under control.

Wait.. Helge Granberg figured that out in the 60s.

Toll Free

<MR123IL@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:895-3A3E58D9-26@storefull-292.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> DO you really think Dave got out of bed one day and came up with this
> grate amp?
> No..
> He used outher peoples input and research too.
> So until you can even make a watt you need to keep trying to talk skip
> BRAKER!!!!
>
> YES I AM MR.123ILL.
>

3031. Re: DAVEMADE WORLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 02:30:50 UTC
From: maul13@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001218213050.04360.00005420@ng-cm1.aol.com>
Source record: 64412

Yea all your buying is a copy of Daves amp.... Sure it will run the same...
The only difference is the color of tha chassis

3032. Re: Has anyone heard of a amp builder call pirate in california?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 02:37:26 UTC
From: maul13@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001218213726.04360.00005423@ng-cm1.aol.com>
Source record: 64413

Ya and some of the biggest pieces of junk on the market also.....

3033. Re: Davemade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 02:48:07 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <iamyourhookup@eatme.com>
Message-ID: <H%z%5.295$Xz4.115382@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64414

Glad to see you got the joke ...I know blade personally to bad you cannot
say the same thing.
"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:kgz%5.147221$DG3.2951700@news2.giganews.com...
> What a joke.
>
> People get all bent out of shape because someone else said DaveMade was a
> bunch of coke dealers.
>
> Now it is OK for the DaveMade fan club to do the same?
>
>
> Get real.
>
> Toll Free
>
>
>
>
> "BigPoppaPump" <freak'Onature@aola.com> wrote in message
> news:EhXZ5.1054$Tq1.226532@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
> > bladerunner is a coke addict and - his work is sloppy
> > "JVillafane" <jvillafane@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20001213171322.25973.00001917@ng-mf1.aol.com...
> > > yes bladerunner from ct
> >
> >
>
>

3034. SOCIAL SECURITY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 02:51:15 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001218215115.13466.00002974@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 64415

Social Security application
A retired gentlemen went into the social security office to apply for Social Security. After waiting in line a long time he got to the counter.=A0 The woman behind the counter asked him for his drivers license to verify his age. He looked in his pockets and realized he had left his wallet at home. He told the woman that he was very sorry but he seemed to have left his wallet at home. "Will I have to go home and come back now?" he asks. The woman says, "Unbutton your shirt." So he opens his shirt revealing lots of curly silver hair. She says, "That silver hair on your chest is proof enough for me," and she processed his Social Security application. When he gets home, the man excitedly tells his wife about his experience at the Social Security office. She said, "You should have dropped your pants, you might have qualified for disability, too."

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

3035. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 03:17:21 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A3ED341.73A3@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 64418

LMAO

Fred Hambrecht wrote:
>
> Jerry it is the sort of brownish stuff that is about 3 microns thick that is
> located on the extreme circumference of the non dialetric portion of the
> inner conductor. If you look real close you can see the 50 engraved in it. A
> common mistake that many people make, is the failure to observe it. An
> MFJ259B enlarges the letters and makes them easier to see, once the coax is
> carefully cut to 18 feet. ;>}
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:3A3E48DF.241@bellsouth.net...
> > Hmmmm, I looked "into" a piece of coax and all I saw was a center
> > braided wire, a white dialectric, and a braided shield. I never could
> > "find" that darned impedance! LOL!!
> >
> > J
> >
> >
> > Coax Length Police wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <3a3b71fa.7968735@news.gate.net>, jdaggett@gate.net wrote:
> > >
> > > > He is hung up on thae term conjugate impedance. He is love with that
> > > > term. Don't know what it means but is sounds good. One day he might
> > > > just understand how a Smith Chart actually works. Nice handy tool.
> > > >
> > > > james
> > >
> > > I'll say. He sees a "conjugate impedance" looking into the coax.
> > > He has some sort of "conjugate equation" (I don't know what that
> > > was referring to).
> > > He gets a "conjugate matching scheme" with 18 feet of coax.
> > >
> > > Smith Charts are handy; a nice RF design software package is nicer.
> > > ;-)

3036. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 03:20:12 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <91mk5cguu4@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 64423

Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
: They have a term for those that break the law and think they have an out. It
: is "Jail house Lawyers". I have read more misinformation on here! As you
: have so ably pointed out if one goes to the regulations, there in big bold
: letters it says that inspection of a CB radio station is authorized with OUT
: a search warrant and that refusal to allow inspection is a violation
: punishable by fines, even if the station turns out to be totally legal. Even
: more amazing is that if someone attempts to provide information, people are
: more concerned about a spelling error (purposed rather than proposed) than
: the information being provided. My mail box has been filled by some of the
: filthiest messages one can imagine by those that have taken umbrage with the
: information provided. I can only hope that the lowlifes are first on the
: target list, they are a disgrace to CB operators everywhere.

Sure they can inspect your station, with your permission. But, they need
a warrant to force that inspection. Of course, if you refuse, then you will
probably be hit with a fine (and maybe a warrant served by a Federal Marshal).

To verify this, just take a look at the FCC actions against several radio
amateurs recently. They refused inspection, and have been hit with fines
and (if I recall correctly) loss of license in at least one case.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

3037. Re: Davemade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 03:21:54 UTC
From: lowrider667@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001218222154.08308.00000094@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Source record: 64420

oh your so funny >Subject: Re: Davemade
>From: wpbrock3rd@aol.com (WPBROCK3RD)
>Date: 12/18/2000 6:53 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <20001218185351.25170.00000853@ng-ch1.aol.com>
>
>>
>>hey pee wee you have no room to talk ya act like 5 year old
>
>
>Boy, That hurts 667! I think I will need years of therapy for that, but what
>I
>won't need is a reality check, I know what's up! see ya next year, I buy you
>a
>coke, and I bring some cards so you will have something to do while the rest
>of
>us key. Have a great Christmas
>

3038. Re: Davemade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 03:23:38 UTC
From: lowrider667@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001218222338.08308.00000095@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Source record: 64421

oh your so funny ... i ran dave made boxes all summer long >you mean to tell me you mean old davemade guys
>slapped 667's no name powered long nose around this year. well ain't >that something,....cd is out....

3039. Re: H.R. 2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 03:24:30 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A3ED4EE.2597@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 64419

TH, Yeah, but I did read somewhere that this wasn't supposed to allow cops (whoever they may be working for) to lay in wait or "stalk" truckers over their radios. Not to say that couldn't change, tho. There is the 10 meter issue that *could* cause something like that to happen. I mean, the people up in Wisconsin and Michigan got the HR2346 thing going, and if hams start griping about trucks up in 10 meters, who knows? Any-hoo, they can't do much with them now the way it is written. I wouldn't be surprised if some jurisdiction *tried* it IF there could/should/would be money in the deal!

Jerry

twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
>
> I dunno, Jer, someone on here posted something about them laying in
> wait at the scales and such to check truckers. I posted back that it is
> usually DOT, State, and County Cops at the scales, not local cops.

3040. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 03:33:56 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <91mkvp$8c4$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 64422

Sparky, there is no need arguing with someone who does not understand the most rudimentary basics. You have pointed out the correct formula for impedance, he refuses to buy it as fact. He operates under his own set of electrical laws and neither you nor I have a chance of getting anywhere in an attempt to educate him.

He has never understood the basic fact that a shorted 1/4 is seen as an open or infinite Z and that a shorted 1/2 wave is seen as a short or 0 Z. It would stand to reason that any impedance can be derived between these two values regardless of the value of the coax.

He refuses to see that the resultant vector is by definition the impedance and the angle of the vector the phase angle.

"Sparky" <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message
news:3a3e5029.3980304@news.cet.com...
> On Mon, 18 Dec 2000 11:58:49 -0500, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >> The antenna impedance can be any combination within the boundaries of
> >> the equation
> >>
> >> Z = (R² + X²)^²
> >
> >No.
> >
> >Z = R + jX
> >
> >You are calculating the magnitude of the impedance; not the impedance.
>
> What is a vector? Do you just buy the book for the pictures?
>
> >> There is an infinite number of possible combinations of resistance,
> >> capacitive reactance and inductive reactance which will yield 50 ohms.

3041. Re: Opinions Wanted: Getting back into CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 03:36:20 UTC
From: Michael1998@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14329-3A3ED7B4-96@storefull-132.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64424

The Uniden Grant is a nice little radio for the price.

3042. Re: T.S. 350 HDV $75.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 03:37:24 UTC
From: M1v1@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26966-3A3ED7F4-37@storefull-115.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64425

Unit is not sold! Please note, I only accept money orders.

3043. Re: DAVEMADE WORLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 03:53:01 UTC
From: softtail423@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001218225301.07718.00009931@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 64426

Who cares its all about money and XFORCE is doing it!

3044. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 04:57:40 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a3ee8b2.18699271@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64429

On Mon, 18 Dec 2000 22:33:56 -0500, "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com>
wrote:

>Sparky, there is no need arguing with someone who does not understand the
>most rudimentary basics. You have pointed out the correct formula for
>impedance, he refuses to buy it as fact. He operates under his own set of
>electrical laws and neither you nor I have a chance of getting anywhere in
>an attempt to educate him.

Very true. I have no intention of educating him. As far as I'm
concerned he is beyond hope and reason. But it allows an excellent
opportunity to clear up misconceptions that people like him propogate.
I do agree, however, that it has gone beyond the scope of most CB
enthusiasts.

>He has never understood the basic fact that a shorted 1/4 is seen as an open
>or infinite Z and that a shorted 1/2 wave is seen as a short or 0 Z. It
>would stand to reason that any impedance can be derived between these two
>values regardless of the value of the coax.
>
>He refuses to see that the resultant vector is by definition the impedance
>and the angle of the vector the phase angle.

He refuses to read the facts for himself. He refuses to admit when he
is wrong. He refuses to make good on his $100 challenge. He is a liar,
a welcher and a troll. His words are no longer any good here and will
be ignored.

Sparky, FLRC

3045. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 05:02:06 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <sYB%5.5531$V4.781914@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 64430

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:he3t3tckd4mrbem3d726dtqtjchhsg5iq9@4ax.com...
> Who said the transmission line length can't be used
> reduce the swr????
>
> Follow this link:
>
> http://people.delphi.com/CecilMoore/mystery.htm
>
>

Cecil (if you want him directly, go up to rec.radio.amateur.policy) is a retired engineer for Texas Instruments and a pretty knowledgeable soul.

The purpose of the switchable lengths of ladder line is to make the coax-to-openwire transition at a current node, not to vary the SWR on the ladder line.

In this discussion, no one has pointed out the obvious difference between installations of this kind and the classic CB mobile: there is some sort of tuning or isolation mechanism between the transmitter and the antenna feed. In this case it is a shitpot of ferrite chokes in addition to what amounts to a tuning mechanism. The transmitter finals are not subjected to amazing reverse voltages nor is it expected to iron out reactances. It allows power reflected from the load to simply be reflected back to the load. The SWR on the ladder line can get to be pretty phenomenal with not a lot of loss.

This is not a particularly new stunt. It is often necessary to adjust feedline length to keep the values of current and voltage in a tuner within manageable bounds. In the pre-coax days, balanced feedlines were often used with link coupling or some other form of tuned, balanced output. This one adapts an old idea to the reality of modern transceivers.

At the risk of sounding like a broken record, multi-band operation is the major difference between Amateur and CB practices.

Dick N&#65533;BK

3046. FS:Family Radio pair by audiovox

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 05:09:09 UTC
From: marc <marc@shoppersplace.com>
Message-ID: <3A3EED74.A2DED2A4@shoppersplace.com>
Source record: 64428

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1202687024&r=0&t=0&showTutorial=0&ed=977704509&indexURL=0&rd=1

3047. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 05:09:49 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <91mqmp$jtis$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64431

Great explanation and I see where you are coming from, but having a license would give you a advantage because the new law exempts Hams from the being bothered by local police. Unless like some have mentioned, the local police cant differentiate between a CBer and a Ham and continue with citation till court clears up the matter.

KRS

Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:3A3E4159.3285@bellsouth.net...
> G Seven,
>
> Not really. The regulations clearly spell out FCC's authority to in-
> spect ANY station within their jurisdiction. In a nutshell, even tho
> CB stations do not hold a "paper" license, they *are* licensed in the
> sense that, by the act of operating a CB station, you are agreeing to
> abide by the rules which include that inspection authority. There is
> a reason why the rules give the this option. As was previously said,
> when the agent(s) show up at your door, they already *know* that the
> problem (interference, excessive power, etc) is coming from your loca-
> tion. Their equipment is quite accurate. So, if the inspection is re-
> fused, the operator has time to get rid of the "evidence" thereby negat-
> ing FCC's ability to enforce the regulations. Since, by operating a
> station, licensed or not, you have *agreed* to abide by the federal law
> and, again, that includes allowing your station to be inspected, you
> forfeit any "right" to refuse. So, while it is your prerogative to
> refuse to allow entry without a warrant, they STILL have you on a
> violation--refusal to allow the inspection. Gotcha either way, eh?
> Having a license, ham or otherwise, isn't an advantage or disadvantage
> here.
>
> 73
>
> Jerry
> G SEVEN wrote:
> >
> > What? That's like trying to convict someone with out having the murder
> > weapon. Well then again they convicted O.J., yes I guess anything is
> > possible.
> >
> > Go get the measly license people!!!!!
> >
> > KRS
> >
> > p.s. If you do decide to get your license it will open the door for

> > inspection as well, kinda of a double edge sword.
> >
> >), but a visual
> > > inspection of your station is NOT neccessary or even usual for the FCC
to
> > > levy a fine.

3048. Re: MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 05:25:30 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <91mrga$99b$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 64432
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Michael Brown <Michael1998@webtv.net> wrote:
>At least with MURS they won't be showing their asses to the entire world
>when the skip is rolling in like the C.B.er's do.

Skip doesn't roll in. Skip refers to the distance ("skip zone") that
a skywave signal misses (skips over) from the point that it leaves
Earth to the point that it returns after being refracted from the
ionosphere:

ionosphere
/\
/ \
/ \
/ \
* *
xmtr rcvr

<---skip zone--->

A W6 might be in QSO with a W1, yet a W0 in between might not hear either
side of the QSO due to being in the skip zone.

>It's probably just a
>matter of time before FRS goes to hell as well once people figure out a
>way to boost the power and add antennas with it. Time will tell.
>KU4UV

On VHF, the best distance one can expect to get is line of sight,
whether he uses 1w or 100w.

KH6O

3049. Re: Has anyone heard of a amp builder call pirate in california?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 05:38:44 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3EF464.61843088@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64433

Give 'em hell, Toll!

Maul13 wrote:
>
> Ya and some of the biggest pieces of junk on the market also.....

3050. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 05:39:39 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <91msep$n22u$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64435

I would beg to differ with you on one point my friend, there are allot of CBers that you call low lives that simply don't agree with the present 4 watt/ no skip rule and choose to break the law yes. Does this make them a low life? No. They just have made the conscious choice to operate beyond what the regs allow. There are plenty of ops that run there stations as good and if not better then Ham operators, fact. They have taken all the normal necessary steps to prevent TVI as any Ham would do so.

My point is there are people since the beginning of time who challenge law and have changed law through defiance. These people are pioneers for the future. Whether you see them as low life or not I am sorry. Sometimes simple logic and the power of reason dictates a persons mind, and in effect he does what he feels is exceptable as long as it isn't at the expense of others.

Now you will reply, "well there are bands allocated for that kind of broadcasting already". Well the problem with that is WE don't want to be part of that group because of what it represents. So my friend perhaps you should ask your self why is it that your organization doesn't appeal to the mass population that chooses to stay in there present band? Why? Lazy? please... there practically giving out tech licenses for free. Times are a changin and there will be a attempt to stop the Will of the populace for a season but, as with any laws that is made in vain and with out Reason, it shall fall.

peace.
KRS

Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
news:91lf10$i61$1@iac5.navix.net...
> They have a term for those that break the law and think they have an out.
It
> is "Jail house Lawyers". I have read more misinformation on here! As you
> have so ably pointed out if one goes to the regulations, there in big bold
> letters it says that inspection of a CB radio station is authorized with
OUT
> a search warrant and that refusal to allow inspection is a violation
> punishable by fines, even if the station turns out to be totally legal.
Even
> more amazing is that if someone attempts to provide information, people
are
> more concerned about a spelling error (purposed rather than proposed) than
> the information being provided. My mail box has been filled by some of the
> filthiest messages one can imagine by those that have taken umbrage with
the

> information provided. I can only hope that the lowlifes are first on the
> target list, they are a disgrace to CB operators everywhere.
>
> 73 Fred W4JLE
>
> "Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
> news:91kd4c$92j$8@bashir.peak.org...
> > Andy in RI <riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote:
> > : and local police will not get a search warrant - they aren't searching
> for
> > : anything - they don't go in your closets and under your bed - you
invite
> them
> > : in to inspect your equipment - just don't let the cop fool you into
> thinking
> > : that he can arrest you for that crack you got sitting next to your
> D-104!
> >
> > Actually, he can, if you are stupid enough to leave it in plain sight.
> > Now, if it is in a drawer of the desk, and he discovers it while doing
> > a station inspection - then he cannot make the drug arrest. This has
> > already been determined by the Supreme Court - if I recall correctly.
> >
> > --
> > Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
> >
>
>

3051. FA:Family Radio pair by audiovox

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 05:41:05 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3EF4F1.DB4BE6CA@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64434

You can buy a pair of FRS radios for under $40.00.

BTW, FS is for sale. Ebay is an AUCTION HOUSE! There is a big difference.

marc wrote:
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1202687024&r=0&t=0&showTutorial=0&ed=977704509&indexURL=0&rd=1

3052. Re: H.R. 2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 05:45:17 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <91mspb$ib30$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64436

I don't know the details exactly, all I know is it came out in the paper and TV regarding the interference. Whether or not the local moved in to fix the problem I don't know.

KRS

Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message news:91kcs9$92j$7@bashir.peak.org...
> G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net> wrote:
>
> : For revenue yes, for making a example big ops will be sited.
> : Is this a real thing? Yes. I have some friends in Stockton Ca. that are
> : going through heat at the moment, actually its been a couple of weeks it may
> : have died down by now
>
> From the local authorities? I don't believe California has passed any
> enabling legislation yet - so they would not have any jurisdiction.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

3053. Re: MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 05:59:05 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <JOC%5.2762$ug7.265270@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64437
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

VHF? Line of sight? Don't believe that one. Tropospheric effects are comming into play at this frequency, sporadic E propogation exists, and at the 100 watt level, you're looking at 2,000 mile distances (although not often). Hmm ..

Jim

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message news:91mrga$99b$1@news.hawaii.edu...
> Michael Brown <Michael1998@webtv.net> wrote:
> >At least with MURS they won't be showing their asses to the entire world
> >when the skip is rolling in like the C.B.er's do.
>
> Skip doesn't roll in. Skip refers to the distance ("skip zone") that
> a skywave signal misses (skips over) from the point that it leaves
> Earth to the point that it returns after being refracted from the
> ionosphere:
>
> ionosphere
> /\
> / \
> / \
> / \
> * *
> xmtr rcvr
>
> <---skip zone--->
>
> A W6 might be in QSO with a W1, yet a W0 in between might not hear either
> side of the QSO due to being in the skip zone.
>
> >It's probably just a
> >matter of time before FRS goes to hell as well once people figure out a
> >way to boost the power and add antennas with it. Time will tell.
> >KU4UV
>
> On VHF, the best distance one can expect to get is line of sight,
> whether he uses 1w or 100w.
>
> KH6O

3054. Re: MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 06:17:02 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3EFD71.8DE1C991@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64438
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Why is this suddenly cross posted? Up until now, this thread was only on RRAM. Is this like trolling? You all can't stir up enough shit? Just because some hammie says "CB" in a post, we have to see it? 16 posts before this one were only seen on RRAM. What's wrong Jeff, bored in paradise? I've been to Honolulu. I've seen the roving bands of native Hawaiians on mopeds. I rode a moped around Diamond Head. A major city in paradise is still a major city. I preferred the Big Island and Maui over Honolulu even if I was only a stone's throw away from Pearl Harbor and Wikiki. Well happy holidays anyway.

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> Michael Brown <Michael1998@webtv.net> wrote:
> >At least with MURS they won't be showing their asses to the entire world
> >when the skip is rolling in like the C.B.er's do.
>
> Skip doesn't roll in. Skip refers to the distance ("skip zone") that
> a skywave signal misses (skips over) from the point that it leaves
> Earth to the point that it returns after being refracted from the
> ionosphere:
>
> ionosphere
> /\
> / \
> / \
> / \
> * *
> xmtr rcvr
>
> <---skip zone--->
>
> A W6 might be in QSO with a W1, yet a W0 in between might not hear either
> side of the QSO due to being in the skip zone.
>
> >It's probably just a
> >matter of time before FRS goes to hell as well once people figure out a
> >way to boost the power and add antennas with it. Time will tell.
> >KU4UV
>
> On VHF, the best distance one can expect to get is line of sight,
> whether he uses 1w or 100w.
>
> KH6O

3055. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 07:00:06 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A3F0776.3ABCB78E@oio.net>
Source record: 64439

Fred Hambrecht,
What you're trying to describe is called a conjugate match,
and will not 'work' as you tried to explain. I have no interest
in fooling an SWR meter, would rather match impedances and gain
the power wasted in fooling the SWR meter. By the way, that
18 feet isn't anywhere close to an electrical 1/2 wave length.
'Doc
(licensed for 67% of my life)

3056. Re: MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 07:08:19 UTC
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Message-ID: <t82u3tksgohjcul3tf7sic813cbn6bgajb@4ax.com>
Source record: 64508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:

->Skip doesn't roll in. Skip refers to the distance

Haven't been on the bands for very long, have you Sonny?

->On VHF, the best distance one can expect to get is line of sight,
->whether he uses 1w or 100w.

Somebody want to explain ducting to this old boy?

Jim
Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

3057. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 07:09:05 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A3F0991.A131F87@oio.net>
Source record: 64441

Fred,
18 feet of coax is ~not~ an electrical 1/2 wave length
at 27 Mhz. You want to back up and try that again??
'Doc

3058. Re: E F Johnson transmitters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 07:12:27 UTC
From: -=PEAKABOO=- <peakaboo@keydowns.com>
Message-ID: <PJD%5.283$8N4.168724@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Source record: 64440

I am for it. I dig the old Johnsons!

-=PEAKABOO=-

"Argus" <argus2400@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:V1y%5.3058$US4.299487@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Would it be worth starting an 11 meter club for users of the old Johnson
> Ranger, Valiant, 500 transmitters? Do any of you guys use these boat
> anchors? I use the 150 watt Valiant II. I know that from time-to-time
> parts, repair procedures, modification, etc. are an issue for all of us.
>
> Argus
>
>
>

3059. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 07:21:34 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A3F0C7E.25DDCFB@oio.net>
Source record: 64442

Sparky,
Hate to tell you this, but you're wrong, all the way through. Theory is just as important as empirical data, they are inter-dependant. All 'conjugate' matching is done with at least two feedlines of differing characteristic impedances. Can't do it any other way. If what you are saying is true, there are lots of people in the radio 'biz' doing it wrong, and earning a very nice living! Your wrong, give it up.
'Doc

3060. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 07:28:06 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A3F0E06.24B20253@oio.net>
Source record: 64443

Sparky,
WHOA!! I missed something here. Your saying harmonics
are generated by coax? Or to be more accurate, spurious
emissions are generated by the feed line?
(You can't be serious...)
'Doc

3061. Re: Mod Squad (Merry Xmas To The Group)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 07:28:37 UTC
From: Train <tra1n@aol.com>
Message-ID: <F6E%5.473669$4d.61190879@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 64444

Holiday Greetings right back to you from me(289) Lucky 13 and our BIG
brother....606 in the Garden!!!!
Train
tra1n@aol.com
train@cbmods.com
www.cbmods.com

"Mod Squad" <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message
news:KVA%5.15839$Z36.55606@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com...
>
>
>

3062. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 07:52:41 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A3F13C9.4405E1E@oio.net>
Source record: 64445

Ooooo... I like that one.
'Doc

3063. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 08:04:57 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A3F16A9.3811022B@oio.net>
Source record: 64446

Handy Andy,
Don't worry about connecting the dots. You might
check those 'dots' to see how many of them are 'fly-
specks', and not really 'dots' (or maybe they're chads?).
Seriously, Andy, your misconstruing the results of
inaccurate empirical testing (experience) and then trying
to justify your conclusions using theory that you do not
understand.
'Doc
Confusius say; "Grasp left ottic appendage firmly between
right thumb and index finger. Grasp right ottic appendage
firmly between left thumb and index finger. With vigour,
extract caudal extremity from rectal orifice."

3064. Re: H.R. 2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 08:13:06 UTC
From: Chas <eat@joes.com>
Message-ID: <34A565291B3BCC92.1F64CA668916F942.2C65E8F337FA674D@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 64447

I'm just glad that a end may be in sight for my problem. The goof two houses down bleeding on my stereo bragging about his 1200 watt linear to a fellow CB good buddy.
That is who the law is for. CBers who go a little to far and disturbs the neighbors.

I will call my state and local government to pass laws to enforce this.
I have to do what I have to for peace and quiet.

Chas

3065. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 08:15:08 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A3F190C.4A13FF1A@oio.net>
Source record: 64548

Fred Hambrecht wrote:
> ------clipped-------
Resonance, is defined as the condition
> where XL=XC with no resistive value specified.
> ------clipped-------

Exactly! And, if you express resonance by writing "50 ohms"
you are actually saying "50-0j, or 50+0j", correct? And
by using your definition, 50 ohms ~is~ expressing resonance,
there is no imaginary component.
'Doc

3066. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 08:28:25 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A3F1C29.57721602@oio.net>
Source record: 64549

Sparky,
Are you really Al Gore?
'Doc

3067. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 09:09:53 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A3F25E1.523ED169@oio.net>
Source record: 64550

Coax Length Police,
You really should be ashamed of yourself! It's like
shooting fish in a barrel. When it's a lack of information,
it's called ignorance. When you change the information to
suit your purpose, it's called stupid. You can cure
ignorance, but you can't cure stupid.
'Doc
PS - But it is fun, isn't it...

PSS - (They use 18 feet because it's a length that will
reach from the antenna to the radio in the ~average~
installation.)

3068. Re: MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 09:17:13 UTC
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Message-ID: <ap9u3t8scumaup066ngm4pkpj1asdrgo5q@4ax.com>
Source record: 64532
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman)
shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:

->Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com> barfed:

->>jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:

->
->To assist you in understanding what's apparently a new meaning
->to you for an old term, here's a tutorial from the League's
->Antenna Book, Page 17, 10th Ed.:

Oh, Geezuz. Quoting from a monument to ignorance isn't making you any points.

You give new meaning to "potty trained at gunpoint".

Debate over from this end.

Jim
Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

3069. Re: Mod Squad (Merry Xmas To The Group)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 09:36:16 UTC
From: Miles Davis <MDD327@AOL.COM>
Message-ID: <91ncftibc1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net>
Source record: 64551

Hey Mod Squad,
Tell all the good time gang Miles Davis said MELE KALIKIMAKA!!

"Mod Squad" <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote in message
news:KVA%5.15839$Z36.55606@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com...
>
>
>

3070. Has the dust settled yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 09:37:30 UTC
From: Gary <heppu@boxi.net>
Message-ID: <91na4c$k6u$1@news.kolumbus.fi>
Source record: 64552

OK, so the new e-group has taken the freebanders, linears and 6.5 MHz'ers
and we're still here. Am I still a troll? Or just another person interested
in radios, although I am licensed.
Anyway, 73 to everyone, be good - it's almost Xmas!

;-)) Gary, oh8mfh

3071. Re: E F Johnson transmitters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 09:39:50 UTC
From: Miles Davis <MDD327@AOL.COM>
Message-ID: <91ncmvibs1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net>
Source record: 64553

You beat me to it.

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3A3EB1FC.E2C593F7@hotmail.com...
> Too late.
> http://www.egroups.com/group/Vintage_CB
>
> Argus wrote:
> >
> > Would it be worth starting an 11 meter club for users of the old
Johnson
> > Ranger, Valiant, 500 transmitters? Do any of you guys use these boat
> > anchors? I use the 150 watt Valiant II. I know that from time-to-time
> > parts, repair procedures, modification, etc. are an issue for all of us.
> >
> > Argus

3072. Re: Anyone ever seen a Galaxy Saturn do this....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 11:29:45 UTC
From: dethmetalx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001219062945.18139.00012641@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 64554

figure out how to check my ass fool

3073. Re: MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 11:55:11 UTC
From: Hillbilly Dave <******davesradio@alltel.net******>
Message-ID: <91ni6djmj1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 64555
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

James you know better than that..... some temp inversion maybe. but spar. E ?. if any it will be so seldom (if at all) it wouldn't be a factor...

dave

JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:JOC%5.2762$ug7.265270@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> VHF? Line of sight? Don't believe that one. Tropospheric effects are
> comming into play at this frequency, sporadic E propogation exists, and at
> the 100 watt level, you're looking at 2,000 mile distances (although not
> often). Hmm ..
>
> Jim
>
> "Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
> news:91mrga$99b$1@news.hawaii.edu...
> > Michael Brown <Michael1998@webtv.net> wrote:
> > >At least with MURS they won't be showing their asses to the entire world
> > >when the skip is rolling in like the C.B.er's do.
> >
> > Skip doesn't roll in. Skip refers to the distance ("skip zone") that
> > a skywave signal misses (skips over) from the point that it leaves
> > Earth to the point that it returns after being refracted from the
> > ionosphere:
> >
> > ionosphere
> > /\
> > / \
> > / \
> > / \
> > * *
> > xmtr rcvr
> >
> > <---skip zone--->
> >
> > A W6 might be in QSO with a W1, yet a W0 in between might not hear either
> > side of the QSO due to being in the skip zone.
> >
> > >It's probably just a
> > >matter of time before FRS goes to hell as well once people figure out a
> > >way to boost the power and add antennas with it. Time will tell.

> > >KU4UV
> >
> > On VHF, the best distance one can expect to get is line of sight,
> > whether he uses 1w or 100w.
> >
> > KH6O
>
>

3074. Re: Anyone ever seen a Galaxy Saturn do this....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 12:05:39 UTC
From: bob <bob0058@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <91niuhknr1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64556

Galaxies...saturns....they are all pieces of JUNK! They are flimsy replicas of a CB radio that can operate on unauthorized frequencies. They are high priced pieces of junk and can be easily sold to FOOLS like you. take the cover off one and take the cover off a REAL radio like an ICOM or KENWOOD. compare the innards.....not to mention the cavalcade of CRETINS who call themselves "TECHNICIANS" who botch up many a radio. I was into CB about 12 years ago and apparently the mentality hasnt changed one bit. I sold out and became a HAM and have REAL RADIOS. most cb'ers are running around like chickens with their heads cut off scrambling to decipher the mystery of what radio to get, what antenna to get, where is a good "technician".....well at least here in rhode island, the cb craze has mostly died down. you tune across the cb band and usually dont hear anybody like in 1987. there were big mouth, high powered cb'ers all over the dial. now you are lucky if you hear 2 grannies chatting. the fcc busted alot of them and they never returned and many became HAMS. which is good i guess if you were experiencing TVI problems. anyway....trash the TOY radios and get with the program.

In article <20001127193824.17337.00002580@ng-cg1.aol.com>, dethmetalx@aol.com (DetHMetaLx) wrote:
> If i turn on the radio and put it on ch 20, it goes to 27.325...but if i flip
> the 10kc switch up, it goes to 20.
> Ch 1,2,3 & 4 are both 4 (27.005)
> ch 16 & 17 are 27.165
> ch 18 & 19 are 27.185 or sometimes 27.195
> it is very eratic throughout the band and no channels are right.
> I thought it might have been the MC14008B Chips, so i took them out of my
> working DX 88 and put them in, same thing.
> So i took them out and switched them back.....now my 88 is screwed up too, but
> the channels are different than the saturn.
> most of the channels are 10kc high and the clarifier dont slide down like it
> used to.
> At random times, it wil just freak out and jump to somewhere else after it is
> done oscilatting. ex. ch 34 would be 27.995.
> Switch bands and its just hit up big time.
> Any suggestions?
> I ordered 14008 and 145106 ic`s for both and see what happens
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3075. Re: Anyone ever seen a Galaxy Saturn do this....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 12:45:03 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001219074503.02438.00005170@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 64557

HEY "BOB".. SOME PEOPLE STILL LIKE THESE TYPE OF RADIOS...ITS JUST A MATTER OF
OPINION....I MYSELF LIKE A GOOD OL FASHIONED 40 CHANNEL COBRA 148.....I HAVE MY
DADS {DECEASED} COBRA.....NEVER HAD A PROBLEM WITH IT....SINCE 83'
NEVER BEEN CLIPPED OR ANYTHING BESIDES A VARIABLE.....HAS A STOCK MIC ...AND
I GUARANTEE YOU, YOU GET OUT ON ANY OF THEM 40 CB CHANNELS YOU LL HAVE THAT
ICON BEGGIN YOU TO GET BACK ON 10 METERS......AND BY THE WAY I HEAR A WHOLE
LOTTA GUYS COMING OUT OF RI....51, TWO DUECES,1200....MR. WILSON TO NAME A FEW
,SO ...GET YOUR FACTS STRAIGHT JACK...I MEAN "BOB"
.SOUNDS TO ME LIKE YOUVE BEEN KEYED ON TOO MANY TIMES AND ARE RESENTFUL....GO
BACK TO THE HAM ROOM .....S EE YA.....DEFINITLY WOULDNT WANT TO BE YA!!!!!

3076. Re: Has the dust settled yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 12:47:54 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001219074754.02438.00005171@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 64558

SEE WHAT A FEW BAD APPLES DO? MESSED IT UP FOR ALL THE GOOD LICENSED OPERATORS....THANK YOUR COLLEAGUE N8WWNM

3077. Re: Mod Squad (Merry Xmas To The Group)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 12:49:15 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001219074915.02438.00005172@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 64559

RIGHT BACK MOD SQUAD 413 ON THE PILE WAVIN AND GONE

3078. Re: Has the dust settled yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 13:34:44 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001219083444.10449.00002045@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 64560

punishmybootie413fl@anal.com, dribbled forth the following, as he cleaned the rat droppings off his keyboard:
>SEE WHAT A FEW BAD APPLES DO? MESSED IT UP FOR ALL THE GOOD LICENSED
>OPERATORS....THANK YOUR COLLEAGUE N8WWNM
>

N8wwm sparklingly replied:
Dum Dum couldn't even get my call right.
Hey dork, there are NO good illegal CB'ers. You are all ILLEGAL. Buncha sissies who dish out crap but whine when you get some. Waaahhhh Wahhhh Wahhhh! that's all you clowns do.

3079. DAVEMADE AMPS ARE ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 13:37:22 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001219083722.10449.00002046@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 64561

Bwahhahahahahah!

3080. Punishmybootie413@aol.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 13:38:14 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001219083814.10449.00002047@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 64562

Another CB clown with his silliness. Wimp.

3081. Re: Anyone ever seen a Galaxy Saturn do this....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 13:39:51 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26270-3A3F6527-12@storefull-124.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64563

Sounds to me like a cber cut Bob's lips off!

3082. Re: MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 13:43:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26270-3A3F65E4-13@storefull-124.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64571

Dear Jeffrey,

You are wrong on both counts. He wasn't getting technical when he said the skip "rolls in". And VHF is only good for line of sight? Tell you ain't got much experience.

3083. Re: Punishmybootie413@aol.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 13:45:59 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001219084559.10469.00002182@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 64564

pUNK

3084. Re: DAVEMADE AMPS ARE ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 13:46:40 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001219084640.10469.00002183@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 64565

SOUNDS LIKE THEY JUST RAMMED YOU REAL HARD.....HURT HUH?

3085. Re: WANTED: CB TECH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 13:49:02 UTC
From: fmrnycer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001219084902.12052.00005945@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 64566

>Subject: Re: WANTED: CB TECH
>From: hillbilly hillbilly001@my-deja.com
>Date: 12/18/2000 9:22 AM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <91l6ic$lf0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
>
>Sorry, but I'm not that hard up for business.... You'll have to pay me
>to take him now...
>
>dave
>

I didnt ask this NG who was hard up for business. Theres plenty techs on this NG that want work referred to them. Thanks anyway son.

3086. Re: Has the dust settled yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 13:54:09 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001219085409.10469.00002184@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 64567

N 8HATEWORLDWIDE MORON @ASSHOLE. COM.. HAPPILY REMOVING PUNISHA'S413 SEMEN DROPINGS FROM HIS CHIN AND LEFT EYE SAYS WHILE STILL GURGGLING,Dum Dum couldn't even get my call right.
Hey dork, there are NO good illegal CB'ers. You are all ILLEGAL. Buncha sissies who dish out crap but whine when you get some. Waaahhhh Wahhhh Wahhhh! that's all you clowns do.
WHICH PUNISHA 413 REPLIES WHILE WIPING N8WWM'S WIFE SALIVA OF HIS SCROTUM.......GO GET A LIFE AND LEAVE US ALONE.....THE ONLY DORK IS YOU FACE IT .....BET $ YOU HAVE A PEN IN YOUR LEFT SHIRT POCKET....

3087. Re: Anyone ever seen a Galaxy Saturn do this....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 13:56:59 UTC
From: The Phoneman <phoneman01@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01c069c3$26c110a0$82878685@clip>
Source record: 64568

FUCK YOU Bob.....The man asked a simple question, he wasn't
asking for some rat-bastard ham skum like you to spout forth
a bunch of garbage concerning construction techniques of
CB vs Ham equipment. Go back where you belong.

bob <bob0058@my-deja.com> wrote in article <91niuhknr1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> Galaxies...saturns....they are all pieces of JUNK! They are flimsy
> replicas of a CB radio that can operate on unauthorized frequencies.
> They are high priced pieces of junk and can be easily sold to FOOLS
> like you. take the cover off one and take the cover off a REAL radio
> like an ICOM or KENWOOD. compare the innards.....not to mention the
> cavalcade of CRETINS who call themselves "TECHNICIANS" who botch up
> many a radio. I was into CB about 12 years ago and apparently the
> mentality hasnt changed one bit. I sold out and became a HAM and have
> REAL RADIOS. most cb'ers are running around like chickens with their
> heads cut off scrambling to decipher the mystery of what radio to get,
> what antenna to get, where is a good "technician".....well at least
> here in rhode island, the cb craze has mostly died down. you tune
> across the cb band and usually dont hear anybody like in 1987. there
> were big mouth, high powered cb'ers all over the dial. now you are
> lucky if you hear 2 grannies chatting. the fcc busted alot of them and
> they never returned and many became HAMS. which is good i guess if you
> were experiencing TVI problems. anyway....trash the TOY radios and get
> with the program.
>
> In article <20001127193824.17337.00002580@ng-cg1.aol.com>,
> dethmetalx@aol.com (DetHMetaLx) wrote:
> > If i turn on the radio and put it on ch 20, it goes to 27.325...but
> if i flip
> > the 10kc switch up, it goes to 20.
> > Ch 1,2,3 & 4 are both 4 (27.005)
> > ch 16 & 17 are 27.165
> > ch 18 & 19 are 27.185 or sometimes 27.195
> > it is very eratic throughout the band and no channels are right.
> > I thought it might have been the MC14008B Chips, so i took them out
> of my
> > working DX 88 and put them in, same thing.
> > So i took them out and switched them back.....now my 88 is screwed up
> too, but
> > the channels are different than the saturn.
> > most of the channels are 10kc high and the clarifier dont slide down
> like it
> > used to.
> > At random times, it wil just freak out and jump to somewhere else

> after it is
> > done oscilatting. ex. ch 34 would be 27.995.
> > Switch bands and its just hit up big time.
> > Any suggestions?
> > I ordered 14008 and 145106 ic`s for both and see what happens
> >
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

3088. Re: Anyone ever seen a Galaxy Saturn do this....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 14:02:56 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001219090256.10481.00002091@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 64569

ANOTHER ADD ON TO MY "IM KEYING BUT I CANT GET OUT" LIST, ALONG WITH VOOBNER
AND N8WWM

3089. Re: Has the dust settled yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 14:05:10 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001219090510.10481.00002093@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 64570

STUPID MORON WHY DONT YOU READ MY POSTS IN DEPTH BEFORE OPENING YOUR TRAP..I SAID LICENSED OPERATORS......WHAT A DORK.....MATTER OF FACT FROM NOW ON TALK TO THE HAND

3090. Re: DAVEMADE WORLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 14:21:29 UTC
From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <91nqt2$r2n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64572

In article <20001218225301.07718.00009931@ng-cs1.aol.com>,
softtail423@aol.com (Softtail423) wrote:
> Who cares its all about money and XFORCE is doing it!
>
WHAT THE FUCK DOES THAT MEAN?
XFORCE IS A DIRECT COPY OF DAVE AND WE ALL KNOW IT!
IS IT A GOOD AMP?
OF COURSE BECAUSE DAVEMADE IS A GOOD AMP.
AS I SAID BEFORE XFORCE HAS TAKEN THE INTERNET AND SOLD TO ANY AND
EVERYONE. DAVEMADE ALWAYS HAD A UNIQUE CLIENTELLE AND IT APPEARS THEY
JUST DONT JUMP AND SELL TO EVERY AND ANYONE!
WHY???
DUH!!! THIS AMPLIFIER STUFF IS ILLEGAL?????

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3091. Re: DAVEMADE WORLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 14:23:39 UTC
From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <91nr14$r48$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64573

In article <izy%5.153$u31.90260@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
"BigPoppaPump" <iamyourhookup@eatme.com> wrote:
> brandnew, old poppa pump says he wants to ride shotgun in your mobile
of
> course we would have to finish all all beverages befoe we get behinds
the
> wheel since i dont drinks and drives, then we can fire up the Old
Gold if ya
> smell what i am cooking ...
>
> "BRAND SPANKIN' NEW" <brandnew@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > JUST SITTIN BACK DRINKIN A BUD AND KEYING MY DAVEMADE AMP.
> > I FINALLY GOT ONE YA KNOW!!!!!

HEY YOU SOUND LIKE MY OLD FRIEND PINHEAD....
WELL COME ON DOWN BUDDY AND WE CAN DRINK A COUPLE AND THEN YOU CAN SEE
MY DAVEMADE IN ACTION IN MY BAD ASS MOBILE

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3092. Re: DAVEMADE WORLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 14:26:15 UTC
From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <91nr60$r7n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64574

In article <91mf8fqnh1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <izy%5.153$u31.90260@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,

> cool, you guys can go bump it down with howard stern, hello come in,
10-
> 4 break, break,.......cd is out......

YEAH YOU GUYS BRING OLE HOWIE STERN THE HALF JEW BOY DOWN HERE TO OLE
CACKY LACKY!!!!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3093. Re: Davemade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 14:31:47 UTC
From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <91nrgk$rk0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64575

In article <20001218222338.08308.00000095@ng-fl1.aol.com>,
lowrider667@aol.com (Lowrider667) wrote:
> oh your so funny ... i ran dave made boxes all summer long

SO THAT MEANS THAT YOUR DUMB ASS FINALLY GOT SMART AND GOT RID OF THAT
NONAME SHIT!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3094. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 14:43:31 UTC
From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <91ns6i$s5d$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64576

In article <bgA%5.75283$%j3.915220@news6.giganews.com>,
"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote:
> Have a nice day. The way you phrased your comments, and the
analogies you
> tried to destroy proved
> that your just as much lost as the rest of the crowd on the East side.
>
> Toll Free

TOLL THAT IS THE DUMBEST SHIT YOU HAVE EVER SAID. AND YOU DONT OFTEN
SAY STUPID THINGS.
THAT IS AS RIDICULOUS AS SAYING THAT EVERYONE ON THE WEST COAST MUST BE
GANG BANGERS!
DAMN!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3095. Re: Punishmybootie413@aol.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 14:52:24 UTC
From: carriagecarwash@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001219095224.09007.00005169@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 64577

>Subject: Re: Punishmybootie413@aol.com
>From: punisha413fl@aol.com (Punisha413fl)

Man....put 413 and n8 in the ring...i'd make a hell of a lot of money on that bet. What's up 413!!!!!!!!!!!!!

937....chi-town

3096. Re: DAVEMADE AMPS ARE ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 14:53:59 UTC
From: carriagecarwash@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001219095359.09007.00005170@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 64578

>DAVEMADE AMPS ARE ILLEGAL

NO SHIT???? AND ALL THIS TIME I THOUGHT I COULD RUN ANYTHING I WANTED. BY THE WAY N8....HAVE YOU EVER GONE OVER THE SPEED LIMIT??? LOL

937

3097. Re: Mod Squad (Merry Xmas To The Group)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 14:55:42 UTC
From: carriagecarwash@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001219095542.09007.00005172@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 64579

>Subject: Re: Mod Squad (Merry Xmas To The Group)

Merry Christmas right back to you and yours mod...

937

3098. Re: DAVEMADE WORLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 15:12:39 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91ntt1tnf1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64584

In article <20001218203734.01951.00010506@ng-ch1.aol.com>,
tj1072@aol.com (TJ1072) wrote:
> >Subject: Re: DAVEMADE WORLD
> >From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW brandnew@hotmail.com Wrote:>JUST SITTIN
BACK DRINKIN
> A BUD AND KEYING MY DAVEMADE AMP.
> >I FINALLY GOT ONE YA KNOW!!!!!
>
> YO, WHERE'S DUKIE???
>

its probably in illinois, hello come in,.....cd is out....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3099. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 15:14:07 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <qfuu3t0hvt0tnq3iek2rn0rt3dil29evag@4ax.com>
Source record: 64583

Greetings!

Sorry Doc, no sense in wasting any more time in this thread. I'm having a good chuckle at the banter that is going on.

Quite frankly - someone, and it's certainly not me [judged by the anal orfice you spewed out below] made this whole thread a lot more complex than any coax could ever acheive.

I'm really enjoying this. I like to see people get beat up like you're getting out on this thread. I hope you're enjoying this too! ;-)

No one wins in these debates, all have valid points. You simply are no longer qualified if you post the carp like below.

Maybe it is something you should have excerised before posting this...

On Tue, 19 Dec 2000 02:04:57 -0600, while the modem was ice fishing with the Mouse, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> dissertated the following:

:+> Confusius say; "Grasp left ottic appendage firmly between
:+> right thumb and index finger. Grasp right ottic appendage
:+> firmly between left thumb and index finger. With vigour,
:+> extract caudal extremity from rectal orifice."

What a sig that would make - LOL!
Regards!
:+> Andy <+:
.
... You know you're a DEDICATED CB'er when ## ########
... you listen to CONVOY for the 1,237th # # # #
... time, and it still brings a tear to # ## # #
... your eyes! # # ##
. ## ##
http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

3100. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 15:16:01 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a3f7b8f.6062072@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64580

On Tue, 19 Dec 2000 01:21:34 -0600, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote:

>Theory is just as important as empirical data, they are inter-
>dependant.

I agree. Only a crackpot would create a theory that disputes the
empirical data. Only a putz refuses to provide evidentiary support for
his theory (or his warped interpretation of existing theory).

> All 'conjugate' matching is done with at least two
>feedlines of differing characteristic impedances.

Conjugate matching is done with differing impedances, however two
feedlines are not always required. Ever hear of a delta-feed?

Sparky, FLRC

3101. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 15:16:06 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a3f7bb4.6098267@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64581

On Tue, 19 Dec 2000 01:28:06 -0600, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote:

>
>
>Sparky,
> WHOA!! I missed something here. Your saying harmonics
>are generated by coax? Or to be more accurate, spurious
>emissions are generated by the feed line?
> (You can't be serious...)
> 'Doc

Then I guess the ARRL doesn't take it's publications very seriously.

Sparky, FLRC

3102. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 15:16:09 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a3f7bb8.6102332@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64582

On Tue, 19 Dec 2000 02:28:25 -0600, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote:

>
>
>Sparky,
> Are you really Al Gore?
> 'Doc

At least I don't hide behind an anonymous email like your buddy anylength. You two seem to share some commonalities, like disputing facts without logical explanation or authoritative support. And asking stupid questions when you have no foundation to ask intelligent ones. Are you really anylength?

Sparky, FLRC

3103. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 15:30:57 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <v3vu3tca70gf299nf29unsn18a29d6dpto@4ax.com>
Source record: 64585

Greetings!

Well, the extension needs to be set so that the coil is above the roofline. Higher clearance the better. [Thinking that you're using a mirror mount with a Wilson? Roof made of fiberglass? Is their a foil shield underneath all the headliner trim? Hows the antenna mounted now?]

Extension? The long shaft that allows the coil to be at different heights from the mount.

Not sure of your set-up [Duals? Roof Mount? Reefer mount?] - but I'm thinking you're going to need at least a 12" shaft on those mirror brackets. The coil base should at least clear the roofline. Some makers offer a shaft to extend their coils to 31" high. But, you'll need to shorten the stinger [top whip] because the extra height the lower shaft adds, changes the tuning length of the antenna.

The trailer is going to add to this too.

Not sure if the above stuff is going to help, but I do have questions for ya' if you can answer them, we may be able to nail down something that'll work.

On Mon, 18 Dec 2000 14:53:37 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with the Mouse, curious_dan@my-deja.com dissertated the following:

:+> cool, i agree with this post. i have a question for ya. how much space
:+> should there be between the roof of a long nose and the coil of a
:+> center loaded antenna in order to get good swr match???,.....head
:+> out....
:+>
:+>
:+> Sent via Deja.com
:+> http://www.deja.com/

. ---(((=======@@@@@@@@@@=======)))----''
Regards! ````~~~~~~~##########~~~~~~~''''
.:+> Andy <+: \\ ===== //
. \ === //
... You've GOTTA be a CB'er when | == |
... You can name all the CB's / -- /
... used in the movie / -- /
... TWISTER! / - /
. `` ^^((*****@@****)))^^ ''
.----------

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

3104. Re: DAVEMADE AMPS ARE ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 15:34:16 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <SbL%5.15313$sw.1194981@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 64586

One more large mouth bass hooked and gaffed.

Dick N&#65533;BK

"CarriageCarWash" <carriagecarwash@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001219095359.09007.00005170@ng-ca1.aol.com...
> >DAVEMADE AMPS ARE ILLEGAL
>
> NO SHIT???? AND ALL THIS TIME I THOUGHT I COULD RUN ANYTHING I WANTED.
BY THE
> WAY N8....HAVE YOU EVER GONE OVER THE SPEED LIMIT??? LOL
>
> 937

3105. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 15:40:34 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <otvu3tke84ngqphir3o3r7tbpun6ocmq7c@4ax.com>
Source record: 64587

Greetings!

It's replies like this that helps me relax and realize that there are
people that are down to earth on this topic...and is why I put those
pages up...to help prevent problems...

Funny thing about my situation, not too long ago - I was asked by one
of my neighbors if I could take a look at a CB setup.

Chevy S10 needs a CB. No big deal, kid has the whip - only wants to
use it "part time" so...

<RUMAGING_SOUNDS>

[Hey this looks interesting...mind a little rust?]

</RUMMAGING_SOUNDS>

The rest is history...LOL!

[Thank you]

On Mon, 18 Dec 2000 15:17:34 -0500, while the modem was ice fishing
with the Mouse, "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> dissertated
the following:

:+> > Thats a good clue...
:+>
:+> That sounds like you may also have had a small amount of antenna current
:+> flowing on the outside of the coax shield too. Antenna currents flowing on
:+> the outside of a coax cable are known for screwing up readings on SWR
:+> meters. By grabbing the SWR meter, or the cable at different places, which
:+> alters the coupling of the coax cable to the surroundings, can result in a
:+> different amount of antenna current flowing on the outside of the coax. If
:+> all of the RF current was confined to the inside of the coax, and the
:+> antenna well grounded at the base, you should have not seen any variation in
:+> SWR reading. By changing the length of coax cable the position, and
:+> magnitude of the current node maximum, on the outside, was moved relative to
:+> the SWR meter, thus the SWR meter reading would change. All of the cheap HF
:+> SWR meters I have seen use a toroid type current transformer to measure the
:+> current on the coax cable. That type of current transformer can't tell the
:+> difference between current flow on the inside of the coax cable, and that on
:+> the outside. One way to find out if you have antenna currents flowing on the
:+> outside of the coax would be to wind up a bunch of turns of the coax on a
:+> toroid at the base of the antenna, and try your experiment again. If the
:+> reactance of the toroid choke is high enough the antenna current, flowing on
:+> the coax shield should be reduced to a small value. I had to do this with a

:+> 5/8 wl 2m magnet mount antenna, I use as a 1/4 wl antenna on 6m, to keep my
:+> mobile rig from reducing output power from a bad SWR reading, using the
:+> rig's internal SWR metering circuit. The clue was the changing SWR reading
:+> when moving the coax cable around inside of my van.

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:
. .-----------------.
... You know you're a Mud-Duck when... | 0 |3 5 7 9 30+|
... asking for a "Ray-didio check", they |===|=============|
... answer with "You're barely gettin' `-----------------'
... the weeds wet!"

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

3106. Re: Northstar 9500 mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 15:44:29 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <2i0v3t80lg52lf0a7ea7sqgcnod3k5s942@4ax.com>
Source record: 64588

Greetings!

Did you try www.cbtricks.com ?

On Mon, 18 Dec 2000 18:38:26 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
the Mouse, wlfdad@my-deja.com dissertated the following:

:+> I know most people hate Northstar radios...sorry...i just need some mod
:+> files...schematics would be cool...any help anyone could give would be
:+> appreciated! Thank you
:+>
:+>
:+> Sent via Deja.com
:+> http://www.deja.com/

. ---(((=======@@@@@@@@@@=======)))----''
Regards! ````~~~~~~~##########~~~~~~~''''
.:+> Andy <+: \\ ===== //
. \ === //
... You've GOTTA be a CB'er when | == |
... You can name all the CB's / -- /
... used in the movie / -- /
... TWISTER! / - /
. `` ^^((*****@@****)))^^ ''
.---

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

3107. Re: Cobra Nightwatch feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 15:46:39 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <sj0v3t8f3njumh1o2qdjooc3ejodhle2p8@4ax.com>
Source record: 64589

Greetings!

I'm glad Cobra did a "black face" with the translucent lettering for
the backlight to shine thru.

If you want a real night light, check out a Nightrider 100 or 150 -
GAWD, you'll need sunglasses. You can read by it at night! [I'm not
kidding!]

On Mon, 18 Dec 2000 17:50:00 -0800, while the modem was ice fishing
with the Mouse, "m2steven" <m2steven@bellsouth.net> dissertated the
following:

:+> I just purchased a little Cobra 25 NW ST, and I have to say, this Nightwatch
:+> feature is the best advance in CB radio in 30 years. (actually, there is not
:+> much you can do, legally, do a cb radio to improve it). The soundtracker
:+> feature actually does work, and the visibility of the faceplate of the radio
:+> is simply stunning. Not only is is pretty, it's
:+> dead-on readable in lowlight to dark conditions.
:+> Check it out if you get the chance.
:+>

. |##### ######## ####|\
Regards! |### ######### ###| |
.:+> Andy <+: |## ########### ##| |
. |# #| |
... You know that your LINEAR |## ########### ##| |
... is working when...driving |### ######### ###| |
... thru road construction... |##### ######## #####| |
... The "Lane Change Arrow" __||_______||____\|
... points in the OTHER direction || ||
... When you key up!

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

3108. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 15:58:06 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <eb1v3t860epf6mjj8ucmbarpvs2vrl6ot6@4ax.com>
Source record: 64590

Greetings!

And so it is...now we're getting somewhere...

On Fri, 15 Dec 2000 01:27:17 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
the Mouse, Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com> dissertated the
following:

:+> In article <3a3963f5.260069@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
:+> wrote:
:+>
:+> > I can't count the times when a driver has complained that his VHF
:+> > kicks whenever he keys up the CB, and then I find out that the coax or
:+> > install is crap. Run the SA in the cab and you'll find all kinds of
:+> > things floating around inside. Replacing the coax and reinstalling the
:+> > radio properly usually cleans it up.
:+> >
:+> > Sparky, FLRC
:+>
:+> Your observation may be correct but you are arriving at the wrong
:+> conclusion.
:+>
:+> It sounds like the scenario you witnessed was caused by common mode
:+> currents. These are currents which flow on the outside of the
:+> coax shield. The signals you are picking up aren't coming out of
:+> the cable but rather down the outside from the antenna. This can
:+> be caused by a bad ground or an inadequate counterpoise (ground plane)
:+> for your antenna.
:+>
:+> By the way, just as a note, the normal, desirable currents in a
:+> coax are differential currents. The current in the center conductor
:+> is equal and opposite to the shield current. The net differential
:+> current (sum of center conductor current and shield current) along the
:+> transmission line is always zero. Thus, it does not radiate.
:+>
:+> Common mode currents, on the other hand, travel only on the outside
:+> of the shield. There is no counteracting current to be found. So they
:+> do indeed radiate.

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:

... You know your radio is really Modulating when...
... Elvis shows up at your doorstep and asks to use
... your Microphone!!!

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

3109. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 15:59:03 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <dd1v3t49jd6tv5l47vo8mbvh14c8296l9l@4ax.com>
Source record: 64591

Greetings!

No, when they're cornered, they resort to cheap attacks...

On Tue, 19 Dec 2000 15:16:09 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
the Mouse, sparky@cet.com (Sparky) dissertated the following:

:+> On Tue, 19 Dec 2000 02:28:25 -0600, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote:
:+>
:+> >
:+> >
:+> >Sparky,
:+> > Are you really Al Gore?
:+> > 'Doc
:+>
:+> At least I don't hide behind an anonymous email like your buddy
:+> anylength. You two seem to share some commonalities, like disputing
:+> facts without logical explanation or authoritative support. And asking
:+> stupid questions when you have no foundation to ask intelligent ones.
:+> Are you really anylength?
:+>
:+> Sparky, FLRC

3110. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 16:18:32 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-82CDDC.11183219122000@nntp.lucent.com>
Source record: 64593

In article <he3t3tckd4mrbem3d726dtqtjchhsg5iq9@4ax.com>, tnom@mucks.net wrote:

> Who said the transmission line length can't be used
> reduce the swr????
>
> Follow this link:
>
> http://people.delphi.com/CecilMoore/mystery.htm

He is using 450 ohm transmission line as an impedance transformer to match to 50 ohms. That CAN be done.

You CANNOT use a 50 ohm transmission line to match to a 50 ohm system. This is what we are talking about. You can cut your 50 ohm coax all you want, the SWR will not change.

By the way, note that his graphic shows his 450 ohm matching system matching to a 50 ohm transmission line which can be "Any Length" (his words).

Yours is another example of an accurate presentation taken out of context to inaccurately support the claims.

3111. Re: DAVEMADE WORLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 16:20:06 UTC
From: WildBill <wildbill@hose.com>
Message-ID: <WUL%5.1118$Xz4.250913@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64592

brand new these guys just don't get it dave never sold to every tom dick or harry, and before all "The new Kids On the Block came around he was selling to Noname and the current owner of Xforce they all were buying davemades and wanted a piece of the pie to or didnt want to wait I guess so its like talking to the wall. he was around way before the internet became popular and his name was made before this time from the east coast to the midwest to down south. the jealous ones will argue, but a fact is a fact and you cannot dispute the facts.

"BRAND SPANKIN' NEW" <brandnew@hotmail.com> wrote in message >
> softtail423@aol.com (Softtail423) wrote:
> > Who cares its all about money and XFORCE is doing it!
> >
> WHAT THE FUCK DOES THAT MEAN?
> XFORCE IS A DIRECT COPY OF DAVE AND WE ALL KNOW IT!
> IS IT A GOOD AMP?
> OF COURSE BECAUSE DAVEMADE IS A GOOD AMP.
> AS I SAID BEFORE XFORCE HAS TAKEN THE INTERNET AND SOLD TO ANY AND
> EVERYONE. DAVEMADE ALWAYS HAD A UNIQUE CLIENTELLE AND IT APPEARS THEY
> JUST DONT JUMP AND SELL TO EVERY AND ANYONE!
> WHY???
> DUH!!! THIS AMPLIFIER STUFF IS ILLEGAL?????
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

3112. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 16:30:48 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-684369.11304819122000@nntp.lucent.com>
Source record: 64596

In article <3A3F25E1.523ED169@oio.net>, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote:

> Coax Length Police,
> You really should be ashamed of yourself! It's like
> shooting fish in a barrel. When it's a lack of information,
> it's called ignorance. When you change the information to
> suit your purpose, it's called stupid. You can cure
> ignorance, but you can't cure stupid.
> 'Doc
> PS - But it is fun, isn't it...
>
> PSS - (They use 18 feet because it's a length that will
> reach from the antenna to the radio in the ~average~
> installation.)

I know. I don't care if one person believes it. I just hate
it when they perpetuate these myths and old wives' tales and
try to get others to believe it. Those web sites are a disgrace.
Those Firestik guys should defend their claims or take them down.
I tried once to get them to do it but they wouldn't -- and couldn't.

By the way, have you noticed that no one has challenged my points
describing the problems on those sites? Furthermore, no one has ever
been able to challenge my calculations when I show how coax length
does not affect SWR. But they keep rambling. They say they have "seen
it" but can't reconcile their misconclusions with theory. Then, they
ridicule the theory (after they have even quoted some). They can't show
that I am wrong but it doesn't stop the namecalling. They tout
their degrees and licenses but can't answer a few questions
challenging their claims.

It is as you said -- shooting fish in a barrel. I just want others
to see.

3113. Re: Cobra Nightwatch feature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 16:30:59 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3F8D5A.3E5B03F3@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64594

"twï¿½ï¿½." wrote:

> Soundtrackers suck!!!

But a Trunktracker is the coolest thing since sliced bread.

3114. Re: QUESTION THAT NO ONE HAS ASKED???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 16:32:45 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3F8DC4.4D174E20@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64595

"twï¿½ï¿½." wrote:

>
> Hmmmm, I seem to get faster than that. Of course I went inside the cable
> modem and changed some things.

Can you point me to a link?

3115. Re: MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 16:33:43 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001219113343.13029.00008058@nso-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 64597

In article <14329-3A3ED428-94@storefull-132.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
Michael1998@webtv.net (Michael Brown) writes:

>At least with MURS they won't be showing their asses to the entire world
>when the skip is rolling in like the C.B.er's do. It's probably just a
>matter of time before FRS goes to hell as well once people figure out a
>way to boost the power and add antennas with it.

They already have. It's called "go to the next hamfest and buy a used dualband handheld that's been modded for extended TX coverage." For slightly more $$$ than a high-end Motorola Talkabout, they now have 5 watts & external antenna capability on both FRS and MURS.

3116. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 16:57:31 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-096CA5.11573119122000@nntp.lucent.com>
Source record: 64498

In article <91lg91inp1@iac5.navix.net>, "Fred Hambrecht"
<Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

> First if you can supply with the name of your banker for proof you have
> $100.00 I will give you enough examples to last a life time.
>
> Your statement below is pure bovine excrement. If I were to have a folded
> dipole antenna, the 1:1 value would be 300 ohms. A standard dipole is 72
> ohms, let me put the shoe on the other foot. Show me any antenna that is
> 50 ohms at resonance without the aid of a matching network.
>
> "Coax Length Police" <
> > If it is not resonant, then it is not 50 ohms.
> > Give just one example to show how to do this.
> > I'll give you $100 if you can.

Fred, you are changing the subject. I know you're getting a little
uncomfortable in your indefensible position. But that's OK. I will
address the original subject and your new changed subject.

The original subject is whether or not a 50 ohm antenna is resonant.

You say "resonance, is defined as the condition where XL=XC..."
That much is correct. And, when XL=XC, there is no imaginary term
in the impedance. The impedance is R + j0.

50 ohms is 50 + j0 ohms. It is resonant. Period. End of story.

And, since 50 ohms is a requirement for a 1:1 SWR, resonance is
also a requirement for a 1:1 SWR. If you do not have resonance,
you do not have 50 ohms and you cannot have a 1:1 SWR.

Now to your changed subject:
Yes, there are 50 ohm antennas. You guys who keep quoting your 72 ohm
dipoles and 37 ohm ground planes are referring to ideal antennas as
studied in most texts. That makes sense; you want to minimize the
number of variables when approaching a new topic in a text book.

However, the reality is that there are other variables which affect
antenna impedance. The most notable is probably the diameter of the
elements. As this diameter is increased from the ideal and unreal
infinitely thin element in your text to the real and common width
found in actually realized antennas, the impedance changes and the
antenna becomes more broadbanded. Many of the heavier-duty antenna
books show plots of impedance versus element diameter and many of
the impedances clearly pass through 50 ohms.

I think you will find many CBers who disagree with your premise which, in effect, states that a 1:1 SWR in unachievable on an antenna without a matching network.

By the way, Fred, you never answered my question on how you match a 37 ohm load with 50 ohm transmission line. Answer the question or concede that you are wrong. Hint: you are wrong.

3117. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 17:12:45 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A3F970D.12D2@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 64499

G SEVEN wrote:
>
Unless like some have mentioned, the local police
> cant differentiate between a CBer and a Ham and continue with citation till
> court clears up the matter.
>
> KRS
>

>G Seven,

That's the part I object to. The cops, for the most part, aren't going to know the difference between a legal CB radio, an amp from a power supply, a ham from a CBer. It might be rare, but *somebody's* gonna run into the rambo "I-am-the-law-boy" type who THINKS he knows everything, and like you said, the radio op will end up taking time off from work and going to court just to prove his innocence. The ham will end up having his case thrown out for lack of jurisdiction, but what about the CBer who is innocent? AAAAHHH! WE can hope that *most* local law doesn't even get involved. They may not. I hope not.

Jerry

3118. Re: H.R. 2346

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 17:29:50 UTC
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <3A3F9B0E.7841@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 64500

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> TH, Yeah, but I did read somewhere that this wasn't supposed to allow
> cops (whoever they may be working for) to lay in wait or "stalk"
> truckers over their radios. Not to say that couldn't change, tho. There
> is the 10 meter issue that *could* cause something like that to happen.
> I mean, the people up in Wisconsin and Michigan got the HR2346 thing go-
> ing, and if hams start griping about trucks up in 10 meters, who knows?
> Any-hoo, they can't do much with them now the way it is written. I
> wouldn't be surprised if some jurisdiction *tried* it IF there
> could/should/would be money in the deal!
>
> Jerry
The definition of "commercial Carrier" has been defined by the law and
it states
that there must be "probable Cause" to stop a trucker which means that
Officer Friendly must "observe" the violation. This is to prevent
LEOs from going on a fishing trip by stopping all trucks with
an antenna.

BTW

Has any local jurisdictions passed any Laws yet?
Martin

>
> twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
> >
> > I dunno, Jer, someone on here posted something about them laying in
> > wait at the scales and such to check truckers. I posted back that it is
> > usually DOT, State, and County Cops at the scales, not local cops.

3119. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 17:42:13 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-F602CD.12421319122000@nntp.lucent.com>
Source record: 64504

In article <3a3eb404.5213371@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> On Mon, 18 Dec 2000 15:21:24 -0500, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >> What is a vector? Do you just buy the book for the pictures?
> > >
> > >Why do you ask? Do you ask nonresponsive questions to change the
> > >subject?
> >
> > I guess you couldn't read the relevant question: What is a vector?

What is relevant about it?

OK, I'll tell you. A vector is an expression of both magnitude and
direction.

Now you tell me what this equation of yours means:
Z = (R<illegible> + X<illegible>)^<illegible>
Identify all the variables and their meanings.

> > >Do you believe an impedance of 22.36 + j44.72 ohms will yield a 1:1 SWR?
> >
> > Yes. "Show me where I'm wrong. Bzzzt. You can't do it", because you
> > would be changing one of the fundamental principles of electronics
> > that has existed for decades.

Plot that impedance on the Smith Chart.
Is it in the middle?
No.
Is there a non-zero reflection coefficient corresponding to that point?
Yes.
What is the reflection coefficient if the SWR is 1:1?
Zero.
Since your reflection coefficient is not zero, can the SWR be 1:1?
No.

Sparky, try to show me what is wrong with the preceding sequence of
logic.

> >> An antenna is a load, just like any other load with reactance and/or
> >> resistance. There is no electromagnetic property that makes an antenna
> >> any different than any other reactance or resistance, because they all
> >> have both to some extent.
> > >
> > >OK.

>
> Therefore, it doesn't matter if your load is a non-reactive resistor
> or a resonant antenna, or even a non-resonant antenna: if the
> impedance is the same, you will get the same SWR. Hence, a
> non-resonant 50 ohm resistor provides a 1:1 match, and satisfies your
> $100 challenge.

We were talking about antennas. You cannot find an antenna impedance
that is non-resonant and yet still yields a 1:1 SWR. Admit it.
You failed.

> >> That wasn't what I said. You can have an infinite number of values for
> >> any LCR network that is resonant at a given frequency. THAT is what I
> >> said.
> >
> >OK. And when you find the impedance of that resonant circuit, what
> >is the imaginary component? That is, what is the number multiplied
> >by j?
>
> Zero. Have I said anything else? No.

OK. But you did say that, for a 1:1 SWR, the impedance need not
be resonant. I was trying to determine if you misunderstood resonance
or misunderstood SWR. I will accept that you understand resonance.
I see now that it is the SWR part you do not understand. I'll wait to
see your response to my sequence up above.

> >By the way, that is not what you said. You said the "effective
> >impedance of a resonant circuit can be _any_ value."
>
> It is no different except in construction.

If the imaginary component MUST be zero, as you now agree, the effective
impedance of a resonant circuit cannot be _any_ value, can it?
It can only be _any_ value where the imaginary component is zero.

> >You were talking circuit impedance; not L and C and R components.
> >The circuit impedance would be the sum of the L and C and R impedances.
>
> That's what a resonant circuit is made of, you dope!

No kidding. But, as I said, we were talking about impedances which
consist of a real part and an imaginary part. We were not directly
discussing L and C and R values.

> The impedance of
> an ac circuit is found by the equation I gave earlier, where R is the
> net resistance and X is the net reactance.

Yes, but what is:
Z = (R<illegible> + X<illegible>)^<illegible>

The correct equation is:
Z = R + jX

> This is called "rectangular
> notation", it is a vector quantity, and it works the same way it has
> worked since it was first contrived.

My correct equation is in rectangular coordinates also known as
a Cartesian plane.

> Maybe your hangup is that you
> haven't considered that an LCR circuit can be operated outside of
> resonance. It will then have reactance, regardless of the fact that it
> is resonant at another frequency. Even within the circuit's bandwidth
> there will be reactance when not on it's center frequency.

No argument here (except for who has the hangup).

> I might add that this is why the SWR changes along the bandwidth.

Agreed.

> >To be resonant, there is only one value of the imaginary component of
> >this impedance; it must be zero.
>
> No problem.
>
> >> Let me paraphrase for myself: "A 1:1 SWR _can_ be achieved with only a
> >> resistive component . . ." Don't substitute my words for yours.

You said it "therefore cannot be resonant." Stop snipping out what you
wrote.

> >Hold on. You left something out. You ALSO said "which does not contain
> >any capacitive or inductive reactance." This is equivalent to saying
> >that the imaginary component of the impedance MUST be zero -- exactly
> >what you get with resonance.
>
> Ok. I'll rewrite this for a fourth-grade reading level: A 1:1 SWR can
> be achieved with just a resistor. It can also be achieved with a
> combination of resistance and reactance, or even just reactance. The
> resistor has no (practical) capacitive or inductive reactance.
> Therefore, the resistor is not resonant. I have satisfied the
> requirements of your challenge.

Again, we are not talking about resistors. And let's analyze what
this fourth-grader wrote:
"[A 1:1 SWR] can also be achieved with a combination of resistance and
reactance, or even just reactance."

So, you are saying a 1:1 SWR can be achieved with JUST REACTANCE.
Give me the impedance of a circuit with JUST REACTANCE that will
yield an SWR of 1:1.

> To quote your challenge:
> >If it is not resonant, then it is not 50 ohms.
> >Give just one example to show how to do this.
> >I'll give you $100 if you can.
> In consideration of that, I think the generally accepted definition of
> impedance is very relavent, and that is what I have used.

It depends on what the definition of "it" is. :-D
"IT" is what we have been talking about -- AN ANTENNA!
Stop using words out of context.

> Please correct this if incorrect, but this is your argument: There is
> only one impedance, 50 + j0 ohms, where the SWR is 1:1 because this is
> a CB newsgroup, and virtually all CBs use a 50 ohm system.

Let me reword it.

For the context of this CB newsgroup discussion, we should only concern
ourselves with the virtually universal system impedance of 50 ohms.
My argument is that, at that system impedance, there is only one antenna
impedance, 50 + j0 ohms, that provides a 1:1 SWR. Since that impedance
is resonant, resonance is a REQUIREMENT for a 1:1 SWR.

> >A Smith chart is normalized to the characteristic impedance of the
> >system. But a perfect antenna match ALWAYS requires a resonant load
> >impedance.
>
> I don't like the word "perfect". The most efficient match is the one
> that transfers the most power from the source into the load. Resonance
> is not required. The most efficient antenna is the one that transfers
> the most power delivered to it into space. A resonant antenna is very
> efficient, but not necessarily the most efficient.

BUT, for the virtually universal CB radio system impedance of 50 ohms,
my statement is total fact. Again, this is a CB radio newsgroup.
Many hams seem to forget this. They pull out examples of ladder lines
and hardware that virtually no CBer uses.

> >You think "22.36 + j44.72 ohms = 50 ohms"
> >No good EE believes this.
>
> I would like to see you qualify that.

No qualifier is needed. No good EE believes that.
It is nonsense. Quote us a reference.

> >You think "22.36 + j44.72 ohms = 50 ohms"
> >And you think this impedance will yield a 1:1 SWR.

>...That will go over
> great after welching on your other offer.

You failed the challenge, pure and simple.

> Even better, why don't _you_
> prove me wrong?

Answer my questions and challenges above and the fallacy
of your claims will emerge.

> I think the best option is for you to read a book and
> learn something about the subject.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

Hee hee!

3120. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 17:43:29 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-7D254E.12432919122000@nntp.lucent.com>
Source record: 64505

In article <3a3f7bb4.6098267@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> On Tue, 19 Dec 2000 01:28:06 -0600, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote:
>
> >Sparky,
> > WHOA!! I missed something here. Your saying harmonics
> >are generated by coax? Or to be more accurate, spurious
> >emissions are generated by the feed line?
> > (You can't be serious...)
> > 'Doc
>
> Then I guess the ARRL doesn't take it's publications very seriously.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

The ARRL makes no such claim.

3121. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 17:44:13 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <91o6q7$t0n$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 64503

An eloquent rational such as yours, makes no more of a case for breaking the law than the profane one normally offered. I personally have a problem with the "I am going to do my own thing" attitude, like it is some god given right to break the law because you want to.

Setting forth all the rational thoughts, excuses, and justifications for breaking the law amounts to nothing!

You have two choices, get the law changed or get in a radio service that permits the types of operation you choose to engage in. The first is unlikely, and you have obviated the second. Ergo you are a law breaker, simple as that. Now you can get upset for me pointing out the facts, but! it changes nothing. How does one explain to their children that only some rules apply some of the time?

"G SEVEN" <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:91msep$n22u$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> I would beg to differ with you on one point my friend, there are allot of
> CBers that you call low lives that simply don't agree with the present 4
> watt/ no skip rule and choose to break the law yes. Does this make them a
> low life? No. They just have made the conscious choice to operate beyond
> what the regs allow. There are plenty of ops that run there stations as good
> and if not better then Ham operators, fact. They have taken all the normal
> necessary steps to prevent TVI as any Ham would do so.
>
>

3122. Re: E F Johnson transmitters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 17:44:17 UTC
From: danayeo@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <R7N%5.2155$xU2.147969@news1.atl>
Source record: 64502

I like those old rigs also. Why don't we start an egroup list for such discussions? Wouldn't that be neat? Might just do that.

On 2000-12-19 MDD327@AOL.COM said:
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
You beat me to it.
"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3A3EB1FC.E2C593F7@hotmail.com...
> Too late.
> http://www.egroups.com/group/Vintage_CB
> Argus wrote:
> >
> > Would it be worth starting an 11 meter club for users of the old Johnson
> > Ranger, Valiant, 500 transmitters? Do any of you guys use >these boat > anchors? I use the 150 watt Valiant II. I know >that from time-to-time > parts, repair procedures, modification, >etc. are an issue for all of us. >
> > Argus

Net-Tamer V 1.13 Beta - Registered

3123. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 17:44:46 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-0BD6B2.12444619122000@nntp.lucent.com>
Source record: 64506

In article <3a3f7b8f.6062072@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> I agree. Only a crackpot would create a theory that disputes the
> empirical data. Only a putz refuses to provide evidentiary support for
> his theory (or his warped interpretation of existing theory).

Hee hee. Three words for you, Sparky. Pot, kettle, black.

3124. Vs: Has the dust settled yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 17:48:49 UTC
From: Gary <gary@larry.hi>
Message-ID: <91o73e$t5h$1@news.koti.tpo.fi>
Source record: 64512

> SEE WHAT A FEW BAD APPLES DO? MESSED IT UP FOR ALL THE GOOD LICENSED
> OPERATORS....THANK YOUR COLLEAGUE N8WWNM

Sure looks like the dust ain't hit the ground. I was just curious. Whaddya mean by "a few bad apples"? Licensed hams or the illegal CB apples? Sticks and stones may break our bones... Gary, oh8mfh (Nevertheless, enjoy ur Xmas)

3125. Vs: DAVEMADE AMPS ARE ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 17:50:34 UTC
From: Gary <gary@larry.hi>
Message-ID: <91o76n$t6h$1@news.koti.tpo.fi>
Source record: 64513

Merry Xmas, Dick. See ya later, take care. Gotta let the folks cool down a bit, maybe later we can talk. 73, Gary, oh8mfh

3126. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 17:52:03 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <91o78u$t5v$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 64507

As was pointed out in the message, I said myself it was not a 1/2 wave. I asked the reader to assume it was for illustrative purposes.

Fred W4JLE
Licensed for 44% of existence of radio.
can't tell you percent of life as I haven't lived it all yet :>)

"'Doc" <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message news:3A3F0991.A131F87@oio.net...
>
>
> Fred,
> 18 feet of coax is ~not~ an electrical 1/2 wave length
> at 27 Mhz. You want to back up and try that again??
> 'Doc

3127. Re: DAVEMADE AMPS ARE ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 17:53:51 UTC
From: ")======}||||||||||||||||||}>----------"
Message-ID: <o6N%5.125$nK2.10474@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Source record: 64501

Silence is golden. If you ignore the fools, the fools disappear....

"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message
news:SbL%5.15313$sw.1194981@nntp2.onemain.com...
> One more large mouth bass hooked and gaffed.
>
> Dick N&#65533;BK
>
> "CarriageCarWash" <carriagecarwash@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20001219095359.09007.00005170@ng-ca1.aol.com...
> > >DAVEMADE AMPS ARE ILLEGAL
> >
> > NO SHIT???? AND ALL THIS TIME I THOUGHT I COULD RUN ANYTHING I WANTED.
> BY THE
> > WAY N8....HAVE YOU EVER GONE OVER THE SPEED LIMIT??? LOL
> >
> > 937
>
>
>

3128. Re: SOCIAL SECURITY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 17:54:28 UTC
From: poisenivy <franc1@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <xhN%5.2160$xU2.148321@news1.atl>
Source record: 64509

My other half liked this one, he will be eliigble 2001. LOL

"COLD as ICE" <icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD> wrote in message
news:20001218215115.13466.00002974@nso-cr.aol.com...
> Social Security application
> A retired gentlemen went into the social security office to apply for
> Social Security. After waiting in line a long time he got to the
> counter.=A0 The woman behind the counter asked him for his drivers
> license to verify his age. He looked in his pockets and realized he had
> left his wallet at home. He told the woman that he was very sorry but
> he seemed to have left his wallet at home. "Will I have to go home and
> come back now?" he asks. The woman says, "Unbutton your shirt." So he
> opens his shirt revealing lots of curly silver hair. She says, "That
> silver hair on your chest is proof enough for me," and she processed his
> Social Security application. When he gets home, the man excitedly tells
> his wife about his experience at the Social Security office. She said,
> "You should have dropped your pants, you might have qualified for
> disability, too."
>
> COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It
don't
> mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

3129. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 17:56:32 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <91o7ha$2r$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 64510

The resistive portion is represented by Q, the figure of merit for the resonant circuit. If I have a series circuit consisting of a resistor, a cap, and an inductor, are you saying the resistor doesn't count?

"'Doc" <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message news:3A3F190C.4A13FF1A@oio.net...
>
>
> Fred Hambrecht wrote:
> > ------clipped-------
> Resonance, is defined as the condition
> > where XL=XC with no resistive value specified.
> > ------clipped-------
>
> Exactly! And, if you express resonance by writing "50 ohms"
> you are actually saying "50-0j, or 50+0j", correct? And
> by using your definition, 50 ohms ~is~ expressing resonance,
> there is no imaginary component.
> 'Doc

3130. Re: E F Johnson transmitters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 17:57:13 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A3FA190.4792579C@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64511

Someone already did. It's at:
http://www.egroups.com/group/Vintage_CB

You'll see that referenced in quoted parts in this message.

danayeo@bellsouth.net wrote:
>
> I like those old rigs also. Why don't we start an egroup list for such
> discussions? Wouldn't that be neat? Might just do that.
>
> On 2000-12-19 MDD327@AOL.COM said:
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> You beat me to it.
> "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in
> message news:3A3EB1FC.E2C593F7@hotmail.com...
> > Too late.
> > http://www.egroups.com/group/Vintage_CB
> > Argus wrote:
> > >
> > > Would it be worth starting an 11 meter club for users of the
> old Johnson
> > > Ranger, Valiant, 500 transmitters? Do any of you guys use
> >these boat > anchors? I use the 150 watt Valiant II. I know
> >that from time-to-time > parts, repair procedures, modification,
> >etc. are an issue for all of us. >
> > > Argus
>
> Net-Tamer V 1.13 Beta - Registered

3131. Re: MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 18:03:56 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <91o7ucoao1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 64515
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>VHF? Line of sight? Don't believe that one.

Normal day-to-day propagation at 150 MHz is LOS.

>Tropospheric effects are
>comming into play at this frequency, sporadic E propogation exists, and
>at the 100 watt level, you're looking at 2,000 mile distances

Not for consistant day-to-day communication.

>(although not often).

Now you understand.

Jeff KH6O

3132. Re: Anyone ever seen a Galaxy Saturn do this....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 18:13:18 UTC
From: ")======}||||||||||||||||||}>----------"
Message-ID: <EoN%5.149$nK2.12123@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Source record: 64514

"bob" <bob0058@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:91niuhknr1@nnrp1.deja.com...
=SNIP=
mystery of what radio to get,
what antenna to get, where is a good "technician".
=SNIP=

This is exactly what you probably did when you planned on getting you
ticket. Oh the mystery, Icom? Yaesu? Kenwood? . What antenna? Hummm?
Cushcraft? Butternut? Dipole? Sloper?
And what if my radio quits? who can repair it?

Don't be a dick!

--

)=====}||||||||||||||||||}>----------
****DR-KAVORKIAN****
----------<{||||||||||||||||||{=====(

3133. Re: MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 18:19:55 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <91o8sb$p1v$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 64516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Jim Weir shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:
>Jeffrey Herman wrote:

>>Skip doesn't roll in. Skip refers to the distance ("skip zone") that
>>a skywave signal misses (skips over) from the point that it leaves
>>Earth to the point that it returns after being refracted from the
>>ionosphere:
>>
>> ionosphere
>> /\
>> / \
>> / \
>> / \
>> * *
>> xmtr rcvr
>>
>> <---skip zone--->

>Haven't been on the bands for very long, have you Sonny?

Almost 25 years.

See Page 17 of the ARRL Antenna Book (Tenth Edition) for an
explanation of "skip zone" -- the region on the earth that
a sky wave skips. My diagram above comes from that page.

Apparently you, too, misunderstand that term.

>>On VHF, the best distance one can expect to get is line of sight,
>>whether he uses 1w or 100w.

>Somebody want to explain ducting to this old boy?

Tropo ducting is not a normal day-to-day phenomenon. I'm surprised
you don't know that.

Jeff KH6O

3134. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 18:23:21 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <91o93ktd1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 64517

Doc I will make a flat statement. NO CB mobile antenna is 50 ohms at resonance.

"'Doc" <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message news:3A3D685B.236EFCD9@oio.net...
>
>
> Handy Andy,
> I think you had better go back and try that again. Your
> doing exactly what you are arguing against. Your 'cure'
> with 50 ohm coax won't work, (try using 75 ohm), as a
> conjugate match in this instance.... sorry.
> 'Doc

3135. Punisha is a lamer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 18:27:47 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001219132747.26557.00005293@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 64518

Punishmybootie@anal.com, while deep throating his brother's baloney pole, dribbled forth this unoriginal and boring post:

>THE ONLY DORK HAS MY FACE ON IT
>ANYTIME YOU WANT.....BET $ YOU HAVE A PEN IN YOUR LEFT SHIRT POCKET, SO SIGN A CHECK TO PAY FOR THE EXCELLENT KNOB BOB I GAVE YOU, PLEASE MR. N8wwm...

To which N8wwm, totally outclassing the lame and ineffective little pickle polisher known as punishmybootie, sparklingly replied:

OK, I always believe in paying for good service.
Punishmybootie, you aint in the big leagues yet with your flaming. You gotta try harder, son. I promise I will let you in my fan club as soon as you come up with something original. Use the Force, Luke.

3136. ILEEGAL CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 18:30:11 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001219133011.26557.00005294@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 64519

YES!!!! NAIL THE PUNK MORON CB DWEEB!
I am SO glad this law passed.
Bye Bye CB clowns!

>I'm just glad that a end may be in sight for my problem. The goof two
>houses down bleeding on my stereo bragging about his 1200 watt linear
>to a fellow CB good buddy.
>That is who the law is for. CBers who go a little to far and disturbs
>the neighbors.
>
>I will call my state and local government to pass laws to enforce
>this.
>I have to do what I have to for peace and quiet.
>

3137. Re: DAVEMADE WORLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 18:40:23 UTC
From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <91oa2n$9io$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64521

In article <WUL%5.1118$Xz4.250913@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
"WildBill" <wildbill @hose.com> wrote:
> brand new these guys just don't get it dave never sold to every tom
dick or
> harry, and before all "The new Kids On the Block came around he was
> selling to Noname and the current owner of Xforce they all were buying
> davemades and wanted a piece of the pie to or didnt want to wait I
guess so
> its like talking to the wall. he was around way before the internet
became
> popular and his name was made before this time from the east coast
to the
> midwest to down south. the jealous ones will argue, but a fact is a
fact
> and you cannot dispute the facts.

So TRUE WILD BILL!!!!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3138. Re: DAVEMADE AMPS ARE ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 18:40:42 UTC
From: MR123IL@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27341-3A3FABAA-20@storefull-295.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64524

Hay Frank,
Now how fast is that "BLOWN 5.0"
Marry x-mas man....

MR.123ILL.

3139. Re: MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 18:42:16 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <91oa68qga1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 64522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com> barfed:
>jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:

>>Skip doesn't roll in. Skip refers to the distance ("skip zone")

>Haven't been on the bands for very long, have you Sonny?

It's really funny to think that someone of your supposed
qualifications doesn't understand "skip zone" as outlined
in the ARRL Antenna Book. The term doesn't not refer to
the "skipping" of radio waves across the sky! Radio waves
do not "skip" -- they only refract when passing from one
medium to another -- just like light.

To assist you in understanding what's apparently a new meaning
to you for an old term, here's a tutorial from the League's
Antenna Book, Page 17, 10th Ed.:

"...For some distance, then, depending on the critical angle,
there is a region about the transmitter where the sky-wave
signal will not be heard. This "silent" region, extending
from the limit of the useful ground wave to the distant point
where the sky-wave signal can first be heard, is called the
skip zone, because all signals skip over it. The skip zone
is indicated by the skip distance in Fig. 1-8."

Here's a sketch of that Figure 1-8:

ionosphere
/\
/ \
/ \
/ \
* *
xmtr rcvr

<---skip distance--->

>Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST

...but who doesn't know what "skip zone" means. That pretty much
distroys any credibility you have on here.

73, Jeff KH6O

3140. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 18:43:27 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A3E4C93.2F333DC8@some.net>
Source record: 64520

At resonance, the antenna looks just like a non-reactive resistance as far as the feedline is concerned.

Sparky wrote:

> Ok. I'll rewrite this for a fourth-grade reading level: A 1:1 SWR can
> be achieved with just a resistor. It can also be achieved with a
> combination of resistance and reactance, or even just reactance. The
> resistor has no (practical) capacitive or inductive reactance.
> Therefore, the resistor is not resonant. I have satisfied the
> requirements of your challenge.
>

3141. Re: MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 18:56:45 UTC
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <91ob17acc1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64523
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

In article <91mrga$99b$1@news.hawaii.edu>,
jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:

> On VHF, the best distance one can expect to get is line of sight,
> whether he uses 1w or 100w.

Horse-hockey. I regularly (once a week sked) talk to my friends in
Duluth, over 150 miles distant, on 144.250MHz using horizontal
polarization (no, we don't use a repeater).

73, Hans, K0HB

--
"1943 Poster Child for The National Precocious Little Bastard Campaign"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3142. Re: Skip (was: MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 19:16:14 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <91oc5u$sd6$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 64525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay) <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
>Why is this suddenly cross posted? Up until now, this thread was only
>on RRAM.

Because this sub-thread is purely about the definition of "skip,"
one of the most misused terms on ALL the bands. Even a supposedly
long-time licensed amateur like Jim Weir doesn't understand it.

>Is this like trolling?

What's "like" trolling? How does one troll for "like"? (Isn't "like"
an irregular verb?)

>You all can't stir up enough shit? Just because some hammie says
>"CB" in a post, we have to see it? 16 posts before this one were only
>seen on RRAM.

This is what's called a sub-thread -- I even re-named the subject
line to calm you down. Now do you have any comments regarding the
context of what I wrote (see below)?

>What's wrong Jeff, bored in paradise? I've been to Honolulu. I've seen
>the roving bands of native Hawaiians on mopeds.

The only native Hawaiians left are living on Niihau; only a very small
handful on Oahu. What you saw were other tourists like yourself:

>I rode a moped around Diamond Head.

...creating a traffic dilemma for the rest of us. Honolulu is the
tenth largest city in the country with all the traffic nightmares
that accompany that ranking, and the tourists weave their 15 mph
mo-peds from lane to lane, running stop signs and signal lights.
Next time you visit, please rent a bicycle, instead!

Jeff KH6O

>Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>> Skip doesn't roll in. Skip refers to the distance ("skip zone") that
>> a skywave signal misses (skips over) from the point that it leaves
>> Earth to the point that it returns after being refracted from the
>> ionosphere:
>>
>> ionosphere
>> /\
>> / \
>> / \

>> / \
>> * *
>> xmtr rcvr
>>
>> <---skip zone--->
>>
>> A W6 might be in QSO with a W1, yet a W0 in between might not hear either
>> side of the QSO due to being in the skip zone.
>> KH6O

3143. DAVEMADE AMPS are ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 19:19:52 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001219141952.10873.00000032@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 64526

<wildbill@iLOVEdahose.com> , while munching a cat turd and textured vegetable protein sandwich, drooled forth:
>but a fact is a
>fact
>> and you cannot dispute the facts.

N8wwm sparklingly replied:
And the fact is that those amps are ILLEGAL>

3144. Re: MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 19:23:08 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26271-3A3FB59C-14@storefull-124.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64531

AHHH, but Jeff, it IS normal, just not all that frequent ALL the time. Besides, you said "VHF AT BEST" is good for line of sight. Wrong. At best, it's good for way farther. If you would have said at LEAST, you would have been correct.

3145. MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 19:24:30 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001219142430.10873.00000033@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 64527

I bet MURS is a mess. That's what ya get when you let a buncha zombies like the trash in this NG use any band of frequencies.
Wonder when we will see the first 'DaveMade' MURS amplifier. Likely they are already being produced. Morons.

"2000 Poster Child for The National Nail Illegal CBers Campaign"

3146. Re: MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 19:31:41 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <91od2tt1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 64529
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com> wrote:
>jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:

>> On VHF, the best distance one can expect to get is line of sight,
>> whether he uses 1w or 100w.

>Horse-hockey. I regularly (once a week sked) talk to my friends in
>Duluth, over 150 miles distant, on 144.250MHz using horizontal
>polarization (no, we don't use a repeater).

That's great, Hans. Tell us the ASL elevations of the antennas.
Line-of-sight between two points can certainly reach 150 miles,
as you can prove to yourself using our old friend D = 1.41*sqrt(H).
And let's not forget special circumstances such as knife-edge
refraction. But what works for you is not a given normal situation
for everyone else. (Are you telling us that everyone can expect
to transmit 150 miles on a MUR stubby antenna?)

Now just to be sure, why not provide the callsigns of these "friends"
so we can confirm these QSOs?

Jeff KH6O

3147. Re: MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 19:33:24 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15820-3A3FB804-52@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64530

As I said, Jeff, he wasn't referring to skip in the technical sense, you know that, and so do the rest of us. He was referring to long distance communication, which you basically said can't happen.

3148. Re: DAVEMADE AMPS ARE ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 19:37:38 UTC
From: carriagecarwash@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001219143738.04005.00005367@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 64528

>Subject: Re: DAVEMADE AMPS ARE ILLEGAL
>From: MR123IL@webtv.net
>Date: 12/19/00 12:40 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <27341-3A3FABAA-20@storefull-295.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
>

>Hay Frank,
>Now how fast is that "BLOWN 5.0"
>Marry x-mas man....
>
>MR.123ILL.
>

Believe it or not dan...its gone....sold it..LOL...i just can't keep anything to long. I had it cookin pretty good though. Had some nice aluminum heads...and added a custom grind roller cam. Take it easy...Merry X-mas..

937...chicago

3149. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 19:41:33 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15820-3A3FB9ED-54@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64537

Simple Freddie. As you say, "I personally have a problem"..... that part says it all. Yeppers, YOU sure do, remember, it is YOUR PERSONAL PROBLEM, not mine, not anyone elses, and an old adage aptly applies here...."Misery loves company". Since you self-admittedly have a personal problem, you wish others would share in it. Too bad for you!!!

3150. Re: DAVEMADE AMPS are ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 20:02:03 UTC
From: oneqck76ta@aol.com.com.com
Message-ID: <20001219150203.20314.00002822@ng-mg1.aol.com>
Source record: 64533

>
>N8wwm sparklingly replied:
>And the fact is that those amps are ILLEGAL
>
>
>

Umm, Tell us something we don't know!

Later
oneqck76ta@aol.com
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta

You've got "459" out of Minneapolis Minnesota and I'm reading the mail

**********Hippie Freak**********
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta/cbradio

3151. Re: Skip (was: MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 20:03:39 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1677-3A3FBF1B-26@storefull-127.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64538

LMAO!

like-adj.,prep., adverb,, conj., n., v.

Or, in your failed illustration: like-akin.

From Webster's Encyclopedic Dictionary.

Get it right, or not at all.

Yes, LIKE trolling.

Sounds LIKE an ass in the grass.

3152. Re: Anyone ever seen a Galaxy Saturn do this....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 20:06:31 UTC
From: dethmetalx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001219150631.05846.00008913@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 64534

well asshole.
i have a general ticket.....which is probably higher than you since your probably a no code tech.
i used to have a TS-450S/AT....and i sold it cause it is for old pieces of shit like you.
I dont talk side band like you damn ham/wanna be ham fags.
I KNOW what i am doing with a radio, i just wanted someone elses opinion that may have seen it before so i didnt have to spend alot of time on it.
you fucking cocksucker

3153. Re: Northstar 9500 mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 20:07:41 UTC
From: dethmetalx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001219150741.05846.00008915@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 64535

they are almost the same as a galaxy.
get a galaxy scam and use that as a reference unless the problem is more in
depth

3154. Re: MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 20:09:36 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <91ofa0$21d$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 64536
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Jeffrey Herman <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote:
>On VHF, the best distance one can expect to get is line of sight,
>whether he uses 1w or 100w.

You all have missed the key word, "expect," in my statement above. VHF propagation anomalies exist, such as knife-edge refraction when a mountain peak is between the two stations, tropospheric refraction during a temperature inversion, tropospheric ducting in the tropics and over large bodies of water, sporadic E (unpredictable and short-lived, and occurs 1/10 as often on 2m as on 6m), Auroral, Auroral E (rare at 2m), and meteor scatter.

Can one "expect" any or all these phenomenon to consistently exist on a day-to-day basis? Of course not. Let me quote from the ARRL VHF Manual: "Radio waves travel in straight lines unless forced to do otherwise. In some respects, VHF waves are less easily reflected than waves of lower frequency, so consistent VHF communication with low power tends to be essentially local in character, covering only slightly more than line-of-sight distances."

Jeff KH6O

3155. Re: DAVEMADE AMPS are ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 20:38:29 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001219153829.12046.00005725@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 64539

>oneqck69W/dudes@anal.com dribbled the following through the dried animal
fluids on his TRS80:

>Umm, Tell us something we don't know!

N8wwm sparklingly replied:
OK, I will: shit

3156. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 20:39:33 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <wFP%5.7814$V4.887217@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 64540

"Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
news:91o93ktd1@iac5.navix.net...
> Doc I will make a flat statement. NO CB mobile antenna is 50 ohms at
> resonance.

Fred, you are digging yourself a bigger hole and wheel-spinning at that.
Just tap the loading coil for 50 ohms. Kind of like they did for your 5/8
on two meters.

Dick N�BK

3157. Re: MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 20:41:09 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001219154109.12046.00005726@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 64541

I dont know about MURS, but on 2 SSB I have contacted FLA, New Mexico, Minnesota, Quebec, and a lot more with a single 12 el. beam at 40 feet and only 80 watts.

3158. Re: DAVEMADE AMPS are ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 20:41:56 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <oIP%5.10007$9T2.245685@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 64542

He is up to two large-mouth bass trolled, hooked, and gaffed.

Dick N&#65533;BK

"Hippie Freak" <oneqck76ta@aol.com.com.com> wrote in message
news:20001219150203.20314.00002822@ng-mg1.aol.com...
>
> >
> >N8wwm sparklingly replied:
> >And the fact is that those amps are ILLEGAL
> >
> >
> >
>
> Umm, Tell us something we don't know!

3159. Re: Punishmybootie413@aol.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 20:58:39 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001219155839.26524.00005402@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 64545

NADA 937 ... JUST LOWERING MYSELF TO N8WWM'S LEVEL
.....HOPE EVERYTHING IS WELL ON YOUR END... AND YEAH ...YOU WILL MAKE A WHOLE LOTTA MONEY , I GUARANTEE IT!!!!

3160. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 20:58:59 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <91oi6j$9or@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 64544

"or get in a radio service that permits the types of operation you choose to engage in."
This is the crux of much of the debate. The Ham community trying to bolster their ranks wit the CB operators
many capable CB operators who have chosen NOT to succumb to the beuacracy and stodgy out dated rules and regulations. Fred if you wish your beloved radio service to continue then all you hams had better petition for the new laws and regs the would seduce the CB community to your ranks. Like labor unions no members no strength.

As far as breaking the law it is just another form of speeding, illegal parking, J walking and thousands of other basically unenforceable laws on the books. So that argument is falling on deaf ears If you haven't noticed.

Some of you Ham operators are up in arms over 10 meter now that the skip cycle is peaking. 2 years from now there will be no interest. So you have a wasted band that could be utilized to free up some air space for the CB community.
Radio regs should be changed to allow 100 watt radios. This would spawn a whole new stimulus to the Cb radio manufacturers. Better quality radios would be developed as well as related equipment. Quality manufacturers like Uniden, Kenwood etc would rush to the opportunity to develop legal 10/11 meter radios for CB use. They realize that there is no interest in 4 watt radios they are inadequate today. And if they can't get a modifiable radio type accepted there is no money it. So they continue to build the old chassis by the thousands so the techs can soup them up and provide their users with a functional radio. In the mean time sub par radios pour in from China, Taiwan etc. under the guise of 10 meter amateur radios or export only designs.

Would this stop higher power amp use? probably not. But a large percentage of users would find the 100 watt capable radio with improved receivers and user friendly state of the art electronics all they would need. Most of those dirty little 1 and 2 pill would fade away as well as many of the poorly modified, ripped and clipped radios.

If you want to preserve Ham radio you better find a solution in putting your arms around the CBing public and providing some stimulus to those 10/11 meter bands. Bills like the one just passed are not a solution it is inflammatory and could cause some serious harm to those concerned ham operators that want to assist in the local authorities enforcement.

"Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message news:91o6q7$t0n$1@iac5.navix.net...
> An eloquent rational such as yours, makes no more of a case for breaking the

> law than the profane one normally offered. I personally have a problem
with
> the "I am going to do my own thing" attitude, like it is some god given
> right to break the law because you want to.
>
> Setting forth all the rational thoughts, excuses, and justifications for
> breaking the law amounts to nothing!
>
> You have two choices, get the law changed or get in a radio service that
> permits the types of operation you choose to engage in. The first is
> unlikely, and you have obviated the second. Ergo you are a law breaker,
> simple as that. Now you can get upset for me pointing out the facts, but!
it
> changes nothing. How does one explain to their children that only some
> rules apply some of the time?
>
>
> "G SEVEN" <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:91msep$n22u$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> > I would beg to differ with you on one point my friend, there are allot
of
> > CBers that you call low lives that simply don't agree with the present 4
> > watt/ no skip rule and choose to break the law yes. Does this make them
a
> > low life? No. They just have made the conscious choice to operate beyond
> > what the regs allow. There are plenty of ops that run there stations as
> good
> > and if not better then Ham operators, fact. They have taken all the
normal
> > necessary steps to prevent TVI as any Ham would do so.
> >
> >
>
>

3161. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 21:02:51 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a3fc575.11021580@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64543

On Tue, 19 Dec 2000 12:42:13 -0500, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>Now you tell me what this equation of yours means:
> Z = (R² + X²)^½

It is not 'my' equation. The fact that you don't know what it is
indicates that you still have much to learn on this topic . . .

>Identify all the variables and their meanings.

. . . and that you ignore other's posts.

>> >> An antenna is a load, just like any other load with reactance and/or
>> >> resistance. There is no electromagnetic property that makes an antenna
>> >> any different than any other reactance or resistance, because they all
>> >> have both to some extent.
>> >
>> >OK.
<snip>
>We were talking about antennas. You cannot find an antenna impedance
>that is non-resonant and yet still yields a 1:1 SWR. Admit it.
>You failed.

You contradicted yourself, and I am tired of repeating myself.

>I see now that it is the SWR part you do not understand. I'll wait to
>see your response to my sequence up above.

SWR = Vmax/Vmin or = Imax/Imin.

>If the imaginary component MUST be zero, as you now agree, the effective
>impedance of a resonant circuit cannot be _any_ value, can it?
>It can only be _any_ value where the imaginary component is zero.

Fine. What's your point? That because a resonant circuit has no
reactance, then a non-reactive circuit must be resonant? Have you ever
heard the phrase, "positing the consequent"?

>> >You were talking circuit impedance; not L and C and R components.
>> >The circuit impedance would be the sum of the L and C and R impedances.
>>
>> That's what a resonant circuit is made of, you dope!
>
>No kidding. But, as I said, we were talking about impedances which
>consist of a real part and an imaginary part. We were not directly
>discussing L and C and R values.

Then what were we discussing? Antennas? Antennas have all three components, and that is what makes them work in an AC circuit. And the combination of the three is what comprises the circuit's impedance. What's the problem here?

>Yes, but what is:
> Z = (R² + X²)^²
>
>The correct equation is:
> Z = R + jX
>
>> This is called "rectangular
>> notation", it is a vector quantity, and it works the same way it has
>> worked since it was first contrived.
>
>My correct equation is in rectangular coordinates also known as
>a Cartesian plane.

They are equivalent, and you would know that if you actually studied the topic. Try studying a bit of math,too, especially the chapter on complex numbers.

>> >> Let me paraphrase for myself: "A 1:1 SWR _can_ be achieved with only a
>> >> resistive component . . ." Don't substitute my words for yours.
>
>You said it "therefore cannot be resonant." Stop snipping out what you
>wrote.

Let's read what I wrote:
>No, since it is not resonant. A 1:1 SWR can be achieved with only a
>resistive component, which does not contain any capacitive or
>inductive reactance, and therefore cannot be resonant.
A resistor has no (practical) capacitive or inductive reactance, so it can't be considered to be resonant. A resistor can provide a 1:1 SWR. Seems pretty clear to me, that is, as long as you go back and read the whole thing instead of pulling select phrases to suit your argument.

>Again, we are not talking about resistors.

No, _you_ are not talking about resistors because to do so would be to admit that you are wrong. I am talking about a load with an impedance. But if you want an example of an antenna, take the example where the antenna impedance equals 22.36 + j44.72, then add a capacitor to cancel the inductive reactance. The antenna is not resonant, and the impedance is not reactive. Where's my money?

>So, you are saying a 1:1 SWR can be achieved with JUST REACTANCE.
>Give me the impedance of a circuit with JUST REACTANCE that will
>yield an SWR of 1:1.

You now have the equation, figure it out.

>> To quote your challenge:
>> >If it is not resonant, then it is not 50 ohms.

>> >Give just one example to show how to do this.
>> >I'll give you $100 if you can.
>> In consideration of that, I think the generally accepted definition of
>> impedance is very relavent, and that is what I have used.
>
>It depends on what the definition of "it" is. :-D
>"IT" is what we have been talking about -- AN ANTENNA!

Great. And your definition of an antenna? Must it be resonant, even when I have shown, and you have agreed, that an antenna does not need to resonate? Must it radiate, even if that has no bearing on the transmission line? What difference does it make to the transmission line whether ZL is a resistor or an antenna? None, and you have agreed to that point. Where's my money?

>My argument is that, at that system impedance, there is only one antenna
>impedance, 50 + j0 ohms, that provides a 1:1 SWR.

Wrong. A reactive antenna can provide a 1:1 SWR, as I have indicated before. You can deny it all you want, and I'm sure you will, but the facts remain within your sight.

> Since that impedance
>is resonant,

Wrong again. That impedance, 50 + 0j ohms, is not necessarily resonant, since it is the same impedance as a resistor, the resistor being non-resonant. And any reactance in the antenna can be canceled with another reactive component. Would you like an example of a resistor that radiates? It is called an "antenna." Not that it changes what happens on the coax, which it doesn't . . .

>Again, this is a CB radio newsgroup.
>Many hams seem to forget this.

I am not a ham. I am an electronics engineer. And you?

>> I think the best option is for you to read a book and
>> learn something about the subject.

Don't forget the chapter in your math book that deals with imaginary numbers. You might want to pick up a logic textbook so you can actually see that your arguments, right or wrong, are almost completely based on logical fallacies. I gave you one, you find the rest.

And where are your references? I just want one authoritative reference that supports your claim that "50 ohms is, by definition, resonant." I'll type out an entire chapter from each book if you provide just this one reference. And don't forget the qualifier - that means you can't just say it's so, you need an author with proper credentials in a published paper or book that can be independantly verified. ISBN required. Hell, I'll even give _you_ $100 if you can do that.

Sparky, FLRC

3162. Re: MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 21:08:37 UTC
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <91oioghgk1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64547
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

In article <91od2tt1@news.hawaii.edu>,
jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:

> Now just to be sure, why not provide the callsigns of these "friends"
> so we can confirm these QSOs?

Their callsigns are posted at http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy

73, Hans, K0HB

--
"1943 Poster Child for The National Precocious Little Bastard Campaign"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3163. Re: Vs: Has the dust settled yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 21:15:12 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001219161512.02557.00004934@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 64546

I THOUGHT HAMS WERE SUPPOSED TO BE SMART.....IS MY GRAMMER THAT MESSED UP THAT THEY DONT UNDER STAND WHAT I MEAN, WHEN I SAY LICENCED OPERATORS? ANY WAY I REST MY CASE ...I KNOW N8WWM CANT KEY ON ME AND I KNOW HE CANT KICK MY ASS SO IAINT SWEATIN IT....GOD BLESS YOU HAMS ..AND YES IVE BEEN ILLEGAL FOR OVER 15YRS....AND WHAT?????

3164. Re: Punisha is a lamer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 21:23:05 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001219162305.02543.00004747@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 64598

WOW ...YOU STUMPED ME.....ITS BETTER TO BE STUMPED VERBALLY THAN
PHYSICALLY{ASK YOUR WIFE N8WWM} LOL

3165. Re: MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 21:24:22 UTC
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <91ojluidl1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64600
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

In article <91ofa0$21d$1@news.hawaii.edu>,
jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:

> Can one "expect" any or all these phenomenon to consistently exist
> on a day-to-day basis? Of course not. Let me quote from the ARRL VHF
> Manual: "Radio waves travel in straight lines unless forced to do
> otherwise. In some respects, VHF waves are less easily reflected than
> waves of lower frequency, so consistent VHF communication with low
> power tends to be essentially local in character, covering only
> slightly more than line-of-sight distances."

Get your face out of that ill-informed "manual" and get on the air to
see what is being done in real life.

VHF SSB'ers routinely (as in "consistently") communicate far beyond
line-of-sight without benefit of exotic propagation modes or monster
amps/antennas. Here in the midwest, daily communications on 150-mile
paths, with simple yagis at 40 feet, driven with desktop rigs (50W or
less) are so common as to be unremarkable. The CW guys down around
144.075 consider 150-miles as "local".

73, Hans, K0HB
--
"1943 Poster Child for The National Precocious Little Bastard Campaign"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3166. Re: Vs: Has the dust settled yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 21:24:24 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001219162424.02543.00004748@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 64599

THER GOES YOUR AWNSER FOR NOT BEING ALLOWED IN E-GROUP,,

3167. Re: MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 21:24:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5553-3A3FD209-23@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64604

Still not valid, don't give a damn what book you quote from. Many "rules" have proved to be just theories. Many can, and do, expect, AND work long distance on VHF, on a fairly regular basis, without the use of repeaters.

3168. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 21:32:30 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <91ok5j$5vj$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 64601

With all due respect, the items you have outlined are not within the scope of CB. Yes, we would like to see CBers interested in long distance communications etc join us. That being said, CBers are not required to keep ham radio going. Our numbers are larger now than they have ever been. I am sorry that your ears are plugged, but in spite of the protestations the FCC has said (as a result of the new law) that with the help of locals the problem would be addressed on the local level to remove the blatant violators. Hams had nothing to do with the law, But! our interference committee's have been in existence since 11 meters was a hamband and are a source of information for the local police. I would not disagree that some of the hams are salivating over the prospect of busting CBers but much of that can be placed at your own doorsteps.

I came in this conference with one purpose, that was to help anyone who had an interest in Amateur radio. No matter how reasoned a ham may be, there are those that vilify any who enter here. This will create animosities that justifies one helping to bust an illegal CBer.

I will admit that out of desperation I finally told a Cber in private mail to set himself and his trailer on fire, I am not easy to upset, but this idiot went way over the top sending filthy, vile messages to me. I have dealt with 2 others, one a ham by turning their messages invisible :>)

"CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net> wrote in message news:91oi6j$9or@dispatch.concentric.net...
> "or get in a radio service that permits the types of operation you choose to
> engage in."
> This is the crux of much of the debate. The Ham community trying to bolster
> their ranks wit the CB operators
> many capable CB operators who have chosen NOT to succumb to the beuacracy
> and stodgy out dated rules and regulations. Fred if you wish your beloved
> radio service to continue then all you hams had better petition for the new
> laws and regs the would seduce the CB community to your ranks. Like labor
> unions no members no strength.
>

3169. Re: DAVEMADE AMPS ARE ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 21:39:40 UTC
From: Raped Ape <rapedape@earthworks.com>
Message-ID: <wAQ%5.1478$u31.299554@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64602

Today you guys are Buddies tommorow one of you will stab the other in the back. must be the water up there in illinois!

"CarriageCarWash" <carriagecarwash@aol.com> wrote in message news:20001219143738.04005.00005367@ng-ct1.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: DAVEMADE AMPS ARE ILLEGAL
> >From: MR123IL@webtv.net
> >Date: 12/19/00 12:40 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <27341-3A3FABAA-20@storefull-295.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
> >
>
> >Hay Frank,
> >Now how fast is that "BLOWN 5.0"
> >Marry x-mas man....
> >
> >MR.123ILL.
> >
>
>
>
> Believe it or not dan...its gone....sold it..LOL...i just can't keep anything
> to long. I had it cookin pretty good though. Had some nice aluminum heads...and
> added a custom grind roller cam. Take it easy...Merry X-mas..
>
> 937...chicago

3170. Re: DAVEMADE AMPS are ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 21:41:00 UTC
From: Raped Ape <rapedape@earthworks.com>
Message-ID: <MBQ%5.1169$Xz4.301406@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64603

I have a better fact you are an asshole ... Now thats a fact Jack!

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001219141952.10873.00000032@ng-fw1.aol.com...
>
> <wildbill@iLOVEdahose.com> , while munching a cat turd and textured
vegetable
> protein sandwich, drooled forth:
> >but a fact is a
> >fact
> >> and you cannot dispute the facts.
>
> N8wwm sparklingly replied:
> And the fact is that those amps are ILLEGAL>
>
>

3171. HOW STUPID IS N8WWM?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 22:08:31 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001219170831.26488.00005163@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 64607

N8WWM took a ruler to bed to see how long she slept.
... N8WWM sent me a fax with a stamp on it.
... N8WWM thought a quarterback was a refund.
... N8WWM tried to put M&M's in alphabetical order.
... N8WWM thought Boyz II Men was a day care center.
...N8WWM thought Eartha Kitt was a set of garden tools.
...N8WWM thought General Motors was in the army.
...N8WWM thought Meow Mix was a CD for cats.
...N8WWM thought TuPac Shakur was a Jewish holiday.
...under "education" on N8WWM job application,N8WWM put "Hooked On Phonics."
..N8WWM tripped over a cordless phone.
...N8WWM spent 20 minutes looking at the orange juice can because it said "concentrate."
...N8WWM told me to meet HER at the corner of "WALK" and "DON'T WALK."
...at the bottom of the application where it says "sign here,"N8WWM put "Sagittarius."
...N8WWM asked for a price check at the Dollar Store.
...N8WWM studied for a blood test.
...N8WWM thought SHE needed a token to get on "Soul Train."
...N8WWM sold the car for gas money!
...when N8WWM missed the 44 bus, N8WWM took the 22 bus twice instead.
...when N8WWM went to the airport and saw a sign that said "Airport Left," N8WWM turned around and went home.
...when N8WWM heard that 90% of all crimes occur around the home, N8WWM moved.
...N8WWM thinks Taco Bell is the Mexican phone company.
...if N8WWM spoke her mind, N8WWM be speechless.
...N8WWM thought that N8WWM could not use her AM radio in the evening.
... N8WWM had a shirt that said "TGIF," which N8WWM thought stood for "This Goes In Front."

AND THE NERVE TO CALL HIMSELF A HAM.....LOL

3172. Re: MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 22:08:38 UTC
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Message-ID: <2vmv3t0llr1ssjt1r1nps123h0dns0mtt9@4ax.com>
Source record: 64605
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:

->In article <91ofa0$21d$1@news.hawaii.edu>,
-> jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
-
->Get your face out of that ill-informed "manual" and get on the air to
->see what is being done in real life.

Hans, Hans, Hans. Cut the poor bugger some slack. If he quotes from the ARRL
anything it is obvious that any slice of life will mean nothing to him.
Don'cha remember the first rule of argument?

Never mudwrestle with a pig. You won't win, and the pig likes it.

Jim
Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

3173. Re: DAVEMADE AMPS ARE ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 22:08:45 UTC
From: carriagecarwash@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001219170845.04040.00005331@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 64606

>Subject: Re: DAVEMADE AMPS ARE ILLEGAL
>From: "Raped Ape" rapedape@earthworks.com
>Date: 12/19/00 3:39 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <wAQ%5.1478$u31.299554@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>

>Today you guys are Buddies tommorow one of you will stab the other in the
>back. must be the water up there in illinois!

Not for nothin there mr. "raped ape" but as you read back in previous posts when have you ever seen me bad mouth dan. I dont have a problem with him. He's helped me out before. You best do your homework before you try and get a rumor to float.

937...chicago

3174. TRUE STORY....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 22:12:27 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001219171227.26488.00005166@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 64608

N8WWM sent a fax to his wife.
>
>
> Dear Wife,
> You must realize that you are 54 years old, and I have certain needs
> that you are no longer able to satisfy. I am otherwise happy with you
> as a wife and sincerely hope that you will not be hurt or offended to
> learn that by the time you receive this letter, I will be at the Grand
Hotel
> with my AARON VOOBNER. I'll be home before midnight.
> Your Husband ....
>
>
> When he arrived at the hotel, there was a faxed letter waiting for him
>
> that read as follows:
> Dear N8WWM,
> You too are 54 years old, and by the time you receive this letter, I
> will be at the Breakwater Hotel with the 18-year-old pool boy. Since
> you are Ham...and you think youre smart, you will appreciate the fact that
18 goes into
> 54 more times than 54 goes into 18. Therefore, don't wait up.
> What a dork......
>

3175. Re: Punishmybootie413@aol.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 22:16:37 UTC
From: carriagecarwash@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001219171637.04040.00005333@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 64609

>Subject: Re: Punishmybootie413@aol.com
>From: punisha413fl@aol.com (Punisha413fl) wrote:>NADA 937 ... JUST LOWERING
MYSELF TO N8WWM'S LEVEL
>.....HOPE EVERYTHING IS WELL ON YOUR END... AND YEAH ...YOU WILL MAKE A WHOLE
>LOTTA MONEY , I GUARANTEE IT!!!!

I'LL HOLD EM YOU HIT EM...THEN YOU HOLD EM I'LL HIT EM!!!!!!!!! LOL

3176. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 22:30:07 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A3E81B5.73FBDCCE@some.net>
Source record: 64610

But that is the cb mentality.

Fred Hambrecht wrote:

> "I am going to do my own thing" attitude, like it is some god given
> right to break the law because you want to.

3177. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 22:37:28 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A3E836C.D49CA109@some.net>
Source record: 64611

Why should the hams feel any need to give up 10 meters to a bunch of cbers who care nothing about operating legally, spewing foul language on the air, keying down over everyone else and turn 10 meters into another sewer pit of communication. If you want to run 100 watt radios, how about getting off you dead butt and get a ham license and *earn* the privilege to use 10 meters legally?

CitySlicker wrote:

>
> Some of you Ham operators are up in arms over 10 meter now that the skip
> cycle is peaking. 2 years from now there will be no interest. So you have a
> wasted band that could be utilized to free up some air space for the CB
> community.

3178. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 22:48:13 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A3FE5AD.38E0@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 64612

CitySlicker wrote:
>
> "or get in a radio service that permits the types of operation you choose to
> engage in."
> This is the crux of much of the debate. The Ham community trying to bolster
> their ranks wit the CB operators
> many capable CB operators who have chosen NOT to succumb to the beuacracy
> and stodgy out dated rules and regulations.
This points one of the myths and wishes of *some* people. "Stodgy and outdated" rules, as you call them, are there for good reason. The simple fact is, without them, chaos would reign. For any communications service to be viable, usable, and effective there *has* to be some sort of guidelines. Without those rules and regs you "hate", the Amateur Service would be useless, its well-documented history of public service made null and void, and it would die of an internal cancer of confusion, chaos, and jammed frequencies. This would then lead to the rapid loss of spectrum that everyone often discusses. Even now, contrary to the mislead belief of some, the Amateur Service is NOT dead or dying. It will continue to live because of its recognition of value to the community, state, and nation, AND because of the rules and regulations that permit the maximum use of bands by the most people. Yes, it is true that a piece of paper (license) an operator doth not make. Some of the commitment to courtesy, technical learning, on-air discipline, and volunteerism has to come from the indivual.
Fred if you wish your beloved
> radio service to continue then all you hams had better petition for the new laws and regs
the would seduce the CB community to your ranks.

As I said above, the Amateur Service is NOT dead or dying. I am curious as to what you would replace the current regulations with? Correct me if I am wrong, but it sounds like you want the Amateur Service to be just like CB: go down to Kmart, buy a radio, and start talking. That, as I already stated, would lead to the demise of Amateur Radio, instead of the other way around. There *HAS* to be some sort of guidelines as to training, knowledge of electronics, and on-air discipline in order for Amateur Radio to justify its existence. One of the things we are missing here is that there *IS* a fundamental difference in the way hams (most of them)and CBers approach radio. On the ham side, there is MUCH more to radio than talking on one. There's building, testing, EME, satellite, weather tracking for NWS, disaster relief, and on and on. On the CB side it's mainly talking to friends, neighbors, doing skip, putting up beams, and stuff. But the main emphasis is on talking. I am not saying that is a bad thing. I'm just saying that the philosophies are different. As long as Amateur Radio continues to render service to the

community and the nation as a whole, there is no danger of it going away IMHO. There is something to be said for quality of operation in time of emergency as opposed to chaos caused by untrained and undisciplined operators who "just want to get in on the action".
Like labor unions no members no strength.
Amateur Radio's strength lies, not necessarily in "quantity", but in "quality". Of course, in ANY group, there are "renegades" who always cost that particular group "points" with other people/groups. The majority of hams operate professionally and within the regulations which give them plenty of leeway as written.

> As far as breaking the law it is just another form of speeding, illegal parking, J walking and thousands of other basically unenforceable laws on the books. So that argument is falling on deaf ears If you haven't noticed.
> If you are speeding, have a blowout and wreck-perhaps destroying someone else's lives and property, it suddenly becomes VERY personal especially to those *others* you have affected by your disregard for the laws that are there to protect YOU as well as others. If you jaywalk and get hit by a car, it becomes personal. If you park illegally....say...in the way of an ambulance at a hospital, you *may* cost the life of a heart patient. And so on.

> Some of you Ham operators are up in arms over 10 meter now that the skip cycle is peaking. 2 years from now there will be no interest. So you have a wasted band that could be utilized to free up some air space for the CB community.

What has 10 meters to do with the "skip cycle"? What the hams object to are the ones who encroach there such as the truckers with their "export" radios. What will hams do when 10 meters is not subject to "skip"?
The same thing lots of us do now. We talk to our local ham friends, use the local 10 meter FM repeaters--the same thing CBers do in the "down" cycle (except for the FM repeaters). Ten meters is NEVER wasted. It is used all the time whether there is "skip" or not.

> Radio regs should be changed to allow 100 watt radios.

What is the magic of a "100 watt" radio? If the "skip" dies away and limits 11 meters just like 10, why do you need 100 watts to talk to the guy 5 miles away? Now I'm just presenting facts here--no flames. But CB was never intended to be a "hobby" band. Surely, you already know that. I don't really care what power you run within your own "turf", but IF CB is operated the way it was intended, one doesn't need 100 watts. For local talk, I think realistically, they should make it 25 watts. You would be surprised what the ham guys do with the HTX10 on 25 watts.

This would spawn a
> whole new stimulus to the Cb radio manufacturers. Better quality radios
> would be developed as well as related equipment. Quality manufacturers like
> Uniden, Kenwood etc would rush to the opportunity to develop legal 10/11
> meter radios for CB use. They realize that there is no interest in 4
> watt radios.

I doubt power has anything to do with it, IMHO.

> Would this stop higher power amp use? probably not. But a large percentage
> of users would find the 100 watt capable radio with improved receivers and
> user friendly state of the art electronics all they would need. Most of
> those dirty little 1 and 2 pill would fade away as well as many of the
> poorly modified, ripped and clipped radios.
>
> If you want to preserve Ham radio you better find a solution in putting your
> arms around the CBing public and providing some stimulus to those 10/11
> meter bands.

See above. There is already enough "stimulus". Just look at all the
bandwidth available to the hams. Even above 30 MHZ, there's lots to
do! Do I ever run into the "buttholes" like N8*** on ham radio? SURE!
But there's so much more, so many more freqs I can go to, it really
isn't an issue. I just ignore 'em and go find a nice group to join. If
I want foreign DX, I can most always find it on 20 meters. Nets? They
are everywhere. Technical discussions? Ditto.

Bills like the one just passed are not a solution it is
> inflammatory and could cause some serious harm to those concerned ham
> operators that want to assist in the local authorities enforcement.

Contrary to some beliefs, this bill was NOT pushed by hams. It got
started because some non-radio types in Wisconsin and Michigan were be-
ing swamped with hi-powered, unstable CB radios. They contacted FCC and
got very little help (I guess that's because of their lack of funds??)
They contacted their congressmen (Feingold and Ehlers)who, in turn,
also contacted FCC. They got very little either. This pissed them off,
to put it bluntly. So they sponsored several bills over the last sev-
eral years. The only ham involvement thus far was that ARRL lobbied for
and got an exemption for the Amateur Service. Now. I think, like you,
that for self-righteous hams to get personally involved is foolish. I
wouldn't touch it with a 10 foot pole! I totally agree that this new law
will have some unforseen, inconvenient, and even tragic results.

Best 73

Jerry

> > >
> > >
> >
> >

3179. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 23:09:22 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A3FEAA2.D646B486@oio.net>
Source record: 64613

Fred,
Which is beside the point. Stick to what we're talking
about. You keep making to irrelevant, and mostly incorrect
statements. (That hole your digging is just getting deeper)
'Doc

3180. Re: Illegal Ops Fear Aaron Voobner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 23:18:41 UTC
From: ricky <blnknshp@amel.tds.net>
Message-ID: <3A3FEBF3.6700D82E@amel.tds.net>
Source record: 64614

hehe you might not be a ham yet but you sure are a butthole

William wrote:
>
> On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 01:25:52 GMT, aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> >I am not yet a ham but will be soon. And I will operate legally. And
> >someday I hope to turn on the CB and not hear jammers and foul-mouthed
> >kids equipped with amplifiers sold to them by men with no conscience.
>
> You still live with your mother don't you.

3181. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 23:19:23 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A3FECFB.6A8898C3@oio.net>
Source record: 64615

Handy Andy,
Your right in one respect, "They ain't got a clue".
Unfortunately,
you fall into that catagory. Your doing your self, and all those
who 'listen' to you a disfavor by keeping this semi-literate stuff
going, and especially when you set up a web page to do it.
'Doc

3182. Re: CB interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 23:24:04 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A3FEE14.6E6A38EE@oio.net>
Source record: 64616

Sparky wrote:
>
> On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 20:03:26 -0600, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote:
>
> >> If someone is being an ass, call the police. If that doesn't work, defend
> >> yourself. It is SO easy to
> >> stop illegal radiation.
> > >
> > >m2steven,
> > > I don't know about where you live, but it's legal
> > >to shoot trespassers in this state...
> > > 'Doc
>
> I don't know where you live, but it is illegal in every US state to
> shoot a trespasser. Forced entry is a different story.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

Don't bet too much on that (OK)...
'Doc

3183. Re: One ugly cuss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 23:49:31 UTC
From: bendowersagain@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001219184931.27806.00002108@ng-me1.aol.com>
Source record: 64617

N8WWM in the flesh. I kind of feel sorry for the guy now. Fat, ugly, and without a women. Kind of explains his actions, doesn't it? I suppose, in order to help him cope with his loneliness and deep depression, we should all respond to his lame postings as often as possible.
Check out his home page below.

http://hometown.aol.com/n8wwm/

3184. Re: DAVEMADE WORLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 23:52:56 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <sxS%5.149927$DG3.3028741@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 64618

>or didnt want to wait

That right there is the main reason for the other companies springing up.

People got tired of waiting.

Waiting for product to be shipped.
Waiting for questions to be answered
Waiting for phone calls to be returned.

Bottom line... Make your customer feel like he is dogshit, he will go to
the next person in line.

Toll Free

3185. Re: Davemade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 23:55:42 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <9AS%5.76761$%j3.932326@news6.giganews.com>
Source record: 64619

Oh boy.

DC class ain't my thang boy.

Neither is the East Coast.

DC.. Had that mastered back in the 80s.

But, have a nice day.

Toll Free

"BigPoppaPump" <iamyourhookup@eatme.com> wrote in message
news:H%z%5.295$Xz4.115382@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
> Glad to see you got the joke ...I know blade personally to bad you cannot
> say the same thing.
> "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
> news:kgz%5.147221$DG3.2951700@news2.giganews.com...
> > What a joke.
> >
> > People get all bent out of shape because someone else said DaveMade was
a
> > bunch of coke dealers.
> >
> > Now it is OK for the DaveMade fan club to do the same?
> >
> >
> > Get real.
> >
> > Toll Free
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > "BigPoppaPump" <freak'Onature@aola.com> wrote in message
> > news:EhXZ5.1054$Tq1.226532@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
> > > bladerunner is a coke addict and - his work is sloppy
> > > "JVillafane" <jvillafane@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20001213171322.25973.00001917@ng-mf1.aol.com...
> > > > yes bladerunner from ct
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

3186. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-19 23:59:10 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <iDS%5.149953$DG3.3030780@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 64620

Well,

I was just trying to bow down to the intelligence level of the people I was having to have a literary war with..

Please see the post above where someone was slamming a poster because he said DaveMade people dealt coke... Then I questioned him about him saying that Blade was a coke user / dealer.

It wasn't serious, after all... This is CB radio!

Have a good one.

Toll Free

"BRAND SPANKIN' NEW" <brandnew@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:91ns6i$s5d$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <bgA%5.75283$%j3.915220@news6.giganews.com>,
> "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote:
> > Have a nice day. The way you phrased your comments, and the
> analogies you
> > tried to destroy proved
> > that your just as much lost as the rest of the crowd on the East side.
> >
> > Toll Free
>
> TOLL THAT IS THE DUMBEST SHIT YOU HAVE EVER SAID. AND YOU DONT OFTEN
> SAY STUPID THINGS.
> THAT IS AS RIDICULOUS AS SAYING THAT EVERYONE ON THE WEST COAST MUST BE
> GANG BANGERS!
> DAMN!
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

3187. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 00:11:28 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A3FF930.5B23042D@oio.net>
Source record: 64623

Sparky wrote:
>
> On Fri, 15 Dec 2000 16:16:11 -0500, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >The theory says this is right and the theory is based on countless
> >observations and tests performed over many decades. If you can
> >correctly show otherwise, you will be a giant in the field and
> >rewrite all known theory.
>
> That explains everything. Theory, not reality.
>
You didn't read that too well Sparky. He said theory based
on empiric data over a long period of time. That isn't 'theory',
it's 'fact'. (you blew that one Sparky)

> >This nonsense that a coax radiates with the presence of standing
> >waves is way over the top. I'd like to know exactly what the book
> >says to suggest this. If it indeed says this, I'll take it up with
> >the author. I won't make you defend his work.
>
> Just like a theorist. If their theory says the sky is yellow they will
> argue such until they are blue in the face, even if you make them look
> up in the sky and see it for themselves.
>
Sounded like a reasonable request to me. And as far as jumping
to the conclusion that he's a theorist, that was disproved above.
It just sounds like you "paraphrased" a bit too much and are now
'covering' your self (CYA).

> I suspect that your "theories" came from the web, because I haven't
> seen any credible sources of information that support your claims, and
> you seem unwilling to provide them as I have.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

3188. Re: One ugly cuss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 00:13:01 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001219191301.12162.00004877@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 64621

wow....n8wwm.....it must suck to be you!

3189. Re: TRUE STORY....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 00:13:46 UTC
From: carriagecarwash@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001219191346.04187.00004962@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 64622

>Subject: TRUE STORY....
>From: punisha413fl@aol.com (Punisha413fl)
>Date: 12/19/00 4:12 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20001219171227.26488.00005166@ng-fu1.aol.com>
>

> N8WWM sent a fax to his wife.
>>
>>
>> Dear Wife,
>> You must realize that you are 54 years old, and I have certain needs
>> that you are no longer able to satisfy. I am otherwise happy with you
>> as a wife and sincerely hope that you will not be hurt or offended to
>> learn that by the time you receive this letter, I will be at the Grand
>Hotel
>> with my AARON VOOBNER. I'll be home before midnight.
>> Your Husband ....
>>
>>
>> When he arrived at the hotel, there was a faxed letter waiting for him
>>
>> that read as follows:
>> Dear N8WWM,
>> You too are 54 years old, and by the time you receive this letter, I
>> will be at the Breakwater Hotel with the 18-year-old pool boy. Since
>> you are Ham...and you think youre smart, you will appreciate the fact that
>18 goes into
>> 54 more times than 54 goes into 18. Therefore, don't wait up.
>> What a dork......
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>

LMFAO!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

3190. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 00:14:10 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A3FF9D2.FFD33B33@oio.net>
Source record: 64624

Sparky wrote:
>
> On Tue, 19 Dec 2000 01:21:34 -0600, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote:
>
> >Theory is just as important as empirical data, they are inter-
> >dependant.
>
> I agree. Only a crackpot would create a theory that disputes the
> empirical data. Only a putz refuses to provide evidentiary support for
> his theory (or his warped interpretation of existing theory).
>
> > All 'conjugate' matching is done with at least two
> >feedlines of differing characteristic impedances.
>
> Conjugate matching is done with differing impedances, however two
> feedlines are not always required. Ever hear of a delta-feed?
>
> Sparky, FLRC

Yes, I have heard of a delta match, but a delta match is ~not~
a conjugate match Sparky. Your question has no bearing on this
at all. What's your point?
'Doc

3191. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 00:26:10 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A3FFCA2.FF36CD8@oio.net>
Source record: 64625

Sparky wrote:
>
> On Tue, 19 Dec 2000 01:28:06 -0600, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >Sparky,
> > WHOA!! I missed something here. Your saying harmonics
> >are generated by coax? Or to be more accurate, spurious
> >emissions are generated by the feed line?
> > (You can't be serious...)
> > 'Doc
>
> Then I guess the ARRL doesn't take it's publications very seriously.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

If you want to assume that, then you centainly may. But I
don't make that assumption, I haven't seen any reason to make
that assumption. Where do you see the disagreement?
'Doc

3192. WTB:Clean 100 watt amp?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 00:32:48 UTC
From: n4cdg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001219193248.07749.00009506@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 64626

Hi,

What is the cleanest 100 watt amp I can find for both 10 and 11 meters?

Thanks,
Chris

3193. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 00:33:46 UTC
From: wlfdad@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91oupa$s58$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64627

If a neighbor calls
and reports a disturbance, the officer arrives and knocks at the door,
nobody answers, but the cop can hear what he PERCEIVES to be the woman
(or man in some cases) getting the shit beat out of her, can he enter?
Absolutely!
This is absolutely false! He can not beat down your door. If he did
that every hard ass cop would stop and "inspect" random houses! Not
likely...If there we're gunshots i could believe it.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3194. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 01:03:55 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a40018a.26402722@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64628

On Tue, 19 Dec 2000 18:11:28 -0600, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote:

<snip>
> Sounded like a reasonable request to me. And as far as jumping
> to the conclusion that he's a theorist, that was disproved above.
> It just sounds like you "paraphrased" a bit too much and are now
> 'covering' your self (CYA).

It "sounds like" you are making subjective comments without providing
anything useful. So can you provide the references that he can't, or
are you just his flunkie coming to make sure he doesn't drown alone?

>> I suspect that your "theories" came from the web, because I haven't
>> seen any credible sources of information that support your claims, and
>> you seem unwilling to provide them as I have.

Sparky, FLRC

3195. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 01:09:24 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A4006C4.6B8DF244@oio.net>
Source record: 64630

Sparky wrote:
>
> On Tue, 19 Dec 2000 02:28:25 -0600, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote:
>
> > >
> > >
> > >Sparky,
> > > Are you really Al Gore?
> > > 'Doc
>
> At least I don't hide behind an anonymous email like your buddy
> anylength. You two seem to share some commonalities, like disputing
> facts without logical explanation or authoritative support. And asking
> stupid questions when you have no foundation to ask intelligent ones.
> Are you really anylength?
>
> Sparky, FLRC

Sparky,
I couldn't care less what your email address is, what
"anylength's"
email address is, or what color your hair is.
I have not disputed any facts in this thread. I have, and do
dispute your claims, which are not fact.
I have asked several intelligent questions of you in this thread
and have received nonsense for answers. I seemed to have heard a lot
of that type of responce during the last several weeks, during the
election. I wondered if you were the person that I heard, since you
and he share a commonality.
No, I am not "anylength".

That is four direct answers to questions you've asked. I wish you
would make the same number of direct answers to questions put to you.
'Doc
PS - (Not going to hold my breath till that happens though...)

3196. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 01:10:35 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a400658.27632987@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64629

On Tue, 19 Dec 2000 18:14:10 -0600, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote:

>> > All 'conjugate' matching is done with at least two
>> >feedlines of differing characteristic impedances.
>>
>> Conjugate matching is done with differing impedances, however two
>> feedlines are not always required. Ever hear of a delta-feed?
>>
>> Sparky, FLRC
>
> Yes, I have heard of a delta match, but a delta match is ~not~
> a conjugate match Sparky. Your question has no bearing on this
> at all. What's your point?
> 'Doc

A delta-match is the linear equivalent. The principle is the same, in that the impedance is transformed through the variation of the distributed constants of the transmission line. In one case the variation is stepped, the other is linear. Now, how does that have no bearing on this?

Sparky, FLRC

3197. Re: One ugly cuss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 01:16:51 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <04204ts7h8q173lvk518i6vurnte8s4ckv@4ax.com>
Source record: 64633

On 19 Dec 2000 23:49:31 GMT, bendowersagain@aol.com (Bendowersagain) wrote:

>N8WWM in the flesh. I kind of feel sorry for the guy now. Fat, ugly, and
>without a women. Kind of explains his actions, doesn't it? I suppose, in order
>to help him cope with his loneliness and deep depression, we should all respond
>to his lame postings as often as possible.
>Check out his home page below.
>
>http://hometown.aol.com/n8wwm/

I think (after viewing the pics) we can better understand how
he has developed into the person he is today. With his looks
he has had no choice. The only attention he can possibly
muster is of a negative variety.

Conclusion:

From a personality stand point and from a visual stand point one
thing can be said for sure.

WHAT A LOSER!

3198. VHF Propagation(was MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 01:24:28 UTC
From: John Bowers <jb2101@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <3A400A4C.4A2CD3A3@my-deja.com>
Source record: 64631
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
> K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com> wrote:
> >jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:
>
> >> On VHF, the best distance one can expect to get is line of sight,
> >> whether he uses 1w or 100w.
>
> >Horse-hockey. I regularly (once a week sked) talk to my friends in
> >Duluth, over 150 miles distant, on 144.250MHz using horizontal
> >polarization (no, we don't use a repeater).
>
> That's great, Hans. Tell us the ASL elevations of the antennas.
> Line-of-sight between two points can certainly reach 150 miles,
> as you can prove to yourself using our old friend D = 1.41*sqrt(H).
> And let's not forget special circumstances such as knife-edge
> refraction. But what works for you is not a given normal situation

My own experience agrees with Hans'. Secondly, if you're going to pretend to have done any heavy VHF use, then learn the difference between DIFfraction and REfraction. What you have referred to as edge refraction is actually edge diffraction - very common in hilly and mountainous terrain.

VHF propagation is very seldom optical line of sight with edge diffraction sometimes helping, but with atmospheric refraction often extending the range well beyond the optical horizon. The VHF Antenna Manual explains such things in detail, and offers nomographs and formulas for calculating your station gain, because the simplistic geometric formula you gave elsewhere is only a first order approximation. Geometric propagation models seldom agree with wave propagation models in a realistic environment, and wave propagation models come closest to observations.

Hans was trying to tell you that VHF users are aware of the pessimistic nature of geometric models by virtue of their own experience.

Never argue with experience. You'll just look foolish to those of us who have accumulated it.

==

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3199. FA: MOTOROLA SPEAKER (eBay)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 01:34:09 UTC
From: kf6ezz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001219203409.26614.00004812@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 64632

http://cgi6.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewListedItems&userid=elcam

3200. Re: MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 01:36:45 UTC
From: John Bowers <jb2101@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <3A400D2D.1392D191@my-deja.com>
Source record: 64634
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

K0HB wrote:

>
> Get your face out of that ill-informed "manual" and get on the air to
> see what is being done in real life.
>
> VHF SSB'ers routinely (as in "consistently") communicate far beyond
> line-of-sight without benefit of exotic propagation modes or monster
> amps/antennas. Here in the midwest, daily communications on 150-mile
> paths, with simple yagis at 40 feet, driven with desktop rigs (50W or
> less) are so common as to be unremarkable. The CW guys down around
> 144.075 consider 150-miles as "local".

Actually, the manuals aren't too bad. Jeff is simply not reading the
advanced chapters.

But then, someone who doesn't know the difference between diffraction
and refraction, and someone who apparently hasn't operated non-repeater
VHF isn't to be taken too seriously.

My experience agrees with yours, even when operating in the past from
the very hilly terrain of western Pennsylvania. 10 watts of A.M. into
an 11 element, horizontally polarized Yagi was more than sufficient to
maintain reliable contacts with stations 110 miles away from me and with
a hill apparently blocking the path in that direction.

The ARRL VHF handbook I used made it quite clear why that kind of
operation was possible once you plugged the correct numbers into the
correct places. It also made it quite clear to me why appliance
operators like Jeff would be quite baffled by such a reality. (He
probably hasn't found the part about station gain yet.)

===

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3201. Re: DAVEMADE WORLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 01:42:17 UTC
From: MR123IL@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4450-3A400E79-63@storefull-297.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64641

Got that shit right!!
Hay TollFree,
I think you are wasting your time on the
nominds on this "NG"

It's look to me they will say anything short of boinking your momma and
they might even say they will do that..

Have a good one man.
MR.123ILL.

3202. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 01:51:53 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20001219205153.01243.00004396@ng-cv1.aol.com>
Source record: 64635

>If a neighbor calls
>and reports a disturbance, the officer arrives and knocks at the door,
>nobody answers, but the cop can hear what he PERCEIVES to be the woman
> (or man in some cases) getting the shit beat out of her, can he enter?
> Absolutely!
> This is absolutely false! He can not beat down your door. If he did
>that every hard ass cop would stop and "inspect" random houses! Not
>likely...If there we're gunshots i could believe it.

If a police officer has reason to believe that a crime has been commited, is
being commited or is about to be commited, that gives him a legal right to
enter your house without a warrent. That's the definition of probable cause!
Period. That's the law. Believe, I know. Hearing a woman screaming for help as
he walks down the street gives him that probable cause.. now, if he's walking
to your door and putting his ear to the door, that's quite illegal...
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
http://www.riradiobuff.com
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.
2 meter all mode email reflector: http://egroups.com/group/2mallmode
New England Ham email reflector: http://egroups.com/group/newenglandham

3203. Re: HOW STUPID IS N8WWM?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 01:52:26 UTC
From: MR123IL@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4450-3A4010DA-64@storefull-297.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64642

SHIT!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

3204. Re: One ugly cuss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 01:54:03 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <SgU%5.19905$sw.1267017@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 64636

Glorioski Buffalo Bob, N8WWM is now up to five (count 'em) five large mouth bass trolled, hooked, and gaffed.

#1 Carriage Carwash -- apparently a wiper
#2 Hippie Freak -- blown mind
#3 Bend over Again or something like that
#4 Punisha -- either a rapper or illiterate
#5 the ever reliable Tnom, bites on anything

The five of you working together couldn't pour piss out of a boot with directions on the sole and a spigot in the heel.

Dick N&#65533;BK

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message news:04204ts7h8q173lvk518i6vurnte8s4ckv@4ax.com...
> On 19 Dec 2000 23:49:31 GMT, bendowersagain@aol.com (Bendowersagain)
> wrote:
>
> >N8WWM in the flesh. I kind of feel sorry for the guy now. Fat, ugly, and
> >without a women. Kind of explains his actions, doesn't it? I suppose, in order
> >to help him cope with his loneliness and deep depression, we should all respond
> >to his lame postings as often as possible.
> >Check out his home page below.
> >
> >http://hometown.aol.com/n8wwm/
>
> I think (after viewing the pics) we can better understand how
> he has developed into the person he is today. With his looks
> he has had no choice. The only attention he can possibly
> muster is of a negative variety.
>
> Conclusion:
>
> From a personality stand point and from a visual stand point one
> thing can be said for sure.
>
> WHAT A LOSER!
>
>
>

3205. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 01:55:45 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A4011A1.ABA7C1AD@oio.net>
Source record: 64638

Fred Hambrecht wrote:
>
> The resistive portion is represented by Q, the figure of merit for the
> resonant circuit. If I have a series circuit consisting of a resistor, a
> cap, and an inductor, are you saying the resistor doesn't count?
>

Fred,
"Q" has no application in this, lets stick to what we're
talking about. The point was, if there were no reactances,
Xl = Xc = 0, and there is a 50 ohm resistive element left,
then you have a 50 ohm resonant antenna. In this instance
your bringing up 'Q' is merely obfuscation of the fact that
you 'screwed up'.
'Doc

3206. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 02:03:58 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a41f602.2206847@news.gate.net>
Source record: 64637

On Mon, 18 Dec 2000 03:11:06 -0500, Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net> wrote:

*Greetings!
*
*I'll go into it further, all the "coax police" are acting like the
*Keystone Kops on this...it's hilarious!
*
*Ya' gotta love these people.
*
*Not one of you got the hint.
*
*I guess I'll have to connect all the dots...
*
*If you remember the Magnetic mount, and how I got it, being used and
*abused. The antenna itself too.
*
*No, I didn't replace the coax,
*
*No, I didn't check the PL259 connector,
*
*All I did see is RG-58 on the jacket.
*
*It was 8 feet long.
*
*I didn't check for shorts, or opens...
*
*But, in the end, I was able to see an SWR of 1.2 - but the meter was
*never steady.
*
*Now, with the above in mind.
*
*Did I tell you the location I put this at - no.
*
*It was on the trunk lid of my 76' Chevy Nova. You know the type, 262
*V8 Rochester 2GC carb, and all unibody rusted hulk of a vehicle.
*
*Now, if things worked like they should - I will say again, I should
*have seen a 2 SWR.
*
*The first time I did. Actually it was a 2.7 SWR - placing you hand on
*the coax or meter at the wrong spot gives you an unsteady SWR reading.
*
*Thats a good clue...
*

*This was using the original coax that came with the mount.
*
*I grabbed a barrel connector and added on a 9 foot patch cord.
*
*Now the SWR was 1.2.
*
*Did I check continuity? No.
*
*What finally came out was the 8 foot coax section not only was bad, it
*has a weak short due to water entry at the mount.
*
*So what it means is, even though it should have been RG-58 - it wasn't
*perfect coax to begin with.
*
*Adding on a patch cord in length did something to the SWR.
*
*The mess would show a near pefect SWR on some days, others it
*wouldn't.
*
*The point I'm trying to bring up with all these people making these
*assumptions...
*
* Did you ever bother to ask the guy what they did by
* themselves in an attempt to correct a problem?
*
*Considering I had a 1.2 SWR - I could have just left it at that. And
*for a while I did, it was only a cheap TRC-412 at the time I was using
*anyways.
*
*The only reason why I have some information - is because I had kept
*the antenna and mount in a shed. When I moved to my current location I
*came across that antenna and with my MFJ I wanted to see something. I
*can't re-create the car, so I used the Aspire.
*
*The reason why I kept it was because of the friendships it created.
*There's a lot of memories for a person starting out in something new.
*
*This is what makes me laugh at you guys, you too literal in terms and
*are not willing to make an effort to open your mind to other possible
*reasons why things work the way they do.
*
*Sorry dudes, you folks really know how to ramble on.
*
*This thread is case and point.
*
*If a CB'er doesn't put their stuff together right, you have a problem.
*
*You never ask "Why?" or "What is the problem?"
*
*I've re-created it for you and you still don't understand...

*
*Sigh...You guys are phonies. You haven't even tried...
****

I see from a more elaborate description of the problem that you have common mode current on the outside shield. Common mode current will affect the acurracy of most low teir to mid teir SWR meters. By placing your hand on the SWR meter or coax the common mode currents are reduced. The same thing should happen if you were to coil the coax. Also placing a ferrite core over the coax and the common mode currents will go away. Common mode currents are very possible and very likely to happen in mobile installations due to vehicle construction and condition. Common mode currents due not in any way alter the SWR at the antenna. Until you can accept that then there is little or no more that I can due to help you understand what is happening.
When placing the transciever and feedline in close proximity of the field of the antenna, common mode currents most likely will occur. As you so nicely stated the condition of the original section of coax is in poor shape. Damaged coax can lead to inconsistant readings. When SWR measurements are taken there are these variables that affect the meter reading:

1) common mode currents.
2) load impedance.
3) coax condition.
4) meter tolerance to mismatch.

Common mode currents unbalance the currents that are induced when a RF wave travels down a coax. Yes a coax feed is unbalanced. The unbalance is in respect to the currents that are induced into the antenna and not in the coax. By unbalancing the currents in the feedline we introduce error in the SWR measurement.

Load impdance must remain constant for constant SWR measururements. In your case there appears to be a fraying of the coax at or near the antenna feedpoint. Water intrusion at this point can cause damage to the dielectric. Chlorides in the water and in the coax now have a medium in which to create RF impedance bumps in the coax. Literally at the point of damage the characteristic impedance is altered. At this point simple mathematical models now become more complex. Instaed of one continuous homogeneous impednce along the coax, we have a series of complex impedances that need to be accounted for. This does help in trying to make an SWR measurement.

Finally the meter itself can be avariable. Bridge type meters are designed to work in 50 Ohm system. How accurate they are when trying to measure above 3:1 is very dubious. In fact most meters start to loose accuracy above 3:1. Most Solid state transmitters do not liek to operate at SWR greater than 3:1. At SWR greater than 3:1, the meters do not detect other problems that occur at these SWR levels. That is for another discussion later.

I have already explained how SWR and matching occur. Yes coax length can be used to satify the needs of the transmitter. Yes when you put a SWR meter at the transmitter with said length, the SWR can read as low as 1.1:1. The fact is the SWR at the antenna is not affected. This technique of matching is in my opinion

a last ditch effort to obtain a match condition.

```
*****************************************
*Ask the person if they've done their homework and put the stuff
*together right. This is why the coax length stuff comes up in the
*first place.
*
*Improper assembly.
*Didn't double check their work.
*
*The two sentences above are the ones you guys should really be looking
*at when it comes to your conduct to people that ask a question about
*coax.
*
*I don't have to go into this any further, the atypical [no one in
*particular] CB'er is no one special - just wants to use a radio.
*
*They don't have any special tools, not one clue about a smith chart.
*They'll be dammed if they're going to a Dennys and ask a bunch of
*Hammies sitting for coffee he was invited to join over his radio, and
*ask "Coax length" questions. [The reactions to this topic are as close
*to textbook cases of Signmund's own signature line with a mix of Twain
*too - LOL!]
*
*If this thread stays on deja-news - I hope that the persons having the
*problems with antennas read this. It's a perfect example on how people
*over-react to such questions.
*
*Some know how to answer, others know an answer, but only one has asked
*what the problem is.
*
*Think about your conduct.
*********************************
```

I have thought about my conduct. I see little that I would change. Yes my explanations are very detailed and lengthy. I meant them to be. There are many myths about RF wave propogation, especially in transmission lines, that abound not only in CB but in Amatuer Radio. There are many over simplifications that lead to distortion of what really is happening. SWR is not just inserting a meter in line and taking a measurement. SWR is a condition that exists on the transmission line. It is soley determined by two things, the load impedance and the characteristic impedance of the transmission line. Inline SWR measuremets are primarily used to determine the state of mismatch if one should exist. When SWR readings are taken at the transmitter end of the coax, the SWR readnings determine any mismatch from the transmitter to the coax. Not transmitter to the antenna. While the antenna and the coax can be construed as one circuit, it is not. The coax is a two port element, ie an input and an output with a tranfer function to describe what ocurrs to the signal while it travels from the input to the output. The antenna is another device in itself. The two make up a system. Remember they are two distinct items in a system.

I challenge you to take an antenna that has a high SWR. Place a SWR meter at the transmitter. Measure the SWR. Now add coax to make the SWR as low as possible while maintaining the SWR meter at the transmitter. Then move the SWR meter to the base of the antenna. Mesure SWR there. See if there is a difference. IF there is, then try and justify why?

I have tried to shed light into what is happening when one just inserts a meter inline to read SWR. I generally do not like to get into pissing contests, but I have gotten into this one. I will stand behind all my posts until proven wrong. When one makes a bold statement without truely understanding what is happening, I like to inject knowledge as to why some things happen. I do not knock what one learns from practical experience. Practical experience is a good teacher. Practical experience does not necessarily teach thoroughly what is happening in many electronic situations. RF propogation and transmission lines are one. Yes one can experiment and derive results. These are legitimate results. They are true and tried and they correct problems. But they are obtained from trial and error. I try to give reason to the trial and why the errors ocurr. Sometimes I succed and sometimes I do not.

Also one note. I do agree to some extent that some of the amatuer radio publications are at times somewhat over simplified. Remember this, the intent of some of the amatuer publications is to introduce concepts of electronics to people that are not formally educated in the vast area of electronics. The lower amatuer radio licenses cover only the concepts of many electrical laws. Most are deal with ciruits. Antenna theory and RF propogation are not heavily tested. The intent of the Amatuer Radio Service and the CB Radio Service was to not restrict membership to those who are degreed engineers. It is to open to the vast population. I encourage those to question. Question incite conversatrion and a discussion of ideas. This leads to a net gain in knowledge. That is the main importance of a discussion group. Exchange of ideas and the quest for knowledge.

james

****

*On Sun, 17 Dec 2000 19:32:23 -0600, while the modem was ice fishing
*with the Mouse, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> dissertated the following:
*
*:+>
*:+>
*:+> Handy Andy,
*:+> 1. Over simplification.
*:+> 2. Incorrect conclusions.
*:+> 3. More "magic" numbers, lengths, and mounts.
*:+> 'Doc
*
*
*Regards!
*.:+> Andy <+: | BEEP!
*... You really are a CB'er when... | _____ BEEP!
*... your CB antenna is longer than |/ ___
*... your SUV! |_,-.__,-._|
*... (o) (o)

* http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

3207. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 02:05:15 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A4013DB.C7DE4C33@oio.net>
Source record: 64640

Let's see, in this post you've contradicted yourself about
resonance, reactances, conjugate matching, and impedance
matching. What you claim in ~this~ post, you have refuted
in previous ones. Make up you mind Sparky, you can't have
it both ways. Or better yet, go back and read those texts
you've been 'paraphrasing' and see what they really said.
Paraphrasing requires understanding, which you obviously do
not have.
'Doc

Sparky wrote:
>
> On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 20:58:57 -0500, Jerry Oxendine
> <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>
> >Sparky wrote:
> >>
> >> On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 20:39:47 GMT, Coax Length Police
> >> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >If the antenna is not resonant, the SWR, by definition is not 1:1.
> >>
> >>This IS correct!!
>
> I see your point, but a fixed resistor is not resonant, yet it will
> give a 1:1 SWR.
>
> >>
> >> Interesting thought. Standing waves are caused by an impedance
> >> mismatch.
> >>Not necessarily. Sure, it *can*, but a non-resonant antenna will do so
> >>as well.
>
> True.
>
> >>It has nothing to do with resonance.
> >>
> >>The heck it don't!! If that were true you could run a 102" whip on
> >>any band.
>
> You can if it is properly matched.
>
> >> A non-resonant antenna

> >> can indeed have an impedance of 50 ohms, and in that case the SWR
> >> would be 1:1.
> >Yes, but rarely.
>
> Dummy loads aren't rare.
>
> >> A resonant dipole has an impedance of 73 ohms, and a
> >> ï¿½-wave vertical is 36.5, neither of which will hand you a 1:1 SWR.
> >>
> >And you won't "tune" it by cutting coax, either!
>
> To a point. You can make a linear balun out of coax that will work
> fine, but that usually requires a coax of a different impedance.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

3208. Re: One ugly cuss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 02:06:53 UTC
From: Hillbilly Dave <******davesradio@alltel.net******>
Message-ID: <91p4d3efi1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 64639

I was mad at him, but not anymore..... just feel sorry for him now....
god, thats ugly !

dave kg5xr
(hillbilly)

3209. Re: Davemade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 02:14:02 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <iamyourhookup@eatme.com>
Message-ID: <KBU%5.1298$Xz4.362518@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64643

DC? who said anything about DC bladerunner
"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:9AS%5.76761$%j3.932326@news6.giganews.com...
> Oh boy.
>
> DC class ain't my thang boy.
>
> Neither is the East Coast.
>
>
> DC.. Had that mastered back in the 80s.
>
> But, have a nice day.
>
>
>
> Toll Free
>
>
>
> "BigPoppaPump" <iamyourhookup@eatme.com> wrote in message
> news:H%z%5.295$Xz4.115382@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
> > Glad to see you got the joke ...I know blade personally to bad you
cannot
> > say the same thing.
> > "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
> > news:kgz%5.147221$DG3.2951700@news2.giganews.com...
> > > What a joke.
> > >
> > > People get all bent out of shape because someone else said DaveMade
was
> a
> > > bunch of coke dealers.
> > >
> > > Now it is OK for the DaveMade fan club to do the same?
> > >
> > >
> > > Get real.
> > >
> > > Toll Free
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >

> > > "BigPoppaPump" <freak'Onature@aola.com> wrote in message
> > > news:EhXZ5.1054$Tq1.226532@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
> > > > bladerunner is a coke addict and - his work is sloppy
> > > > "JVillafane" <jvillafane@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:20001213171322.25973.00001917@ng-mf1.aol.com...
> > > > > yes bladerunner from ct
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

3210. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 02:15:54 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A40165A.108AAFE3@oio.net>
Source record: 64644

Handy Andy,
Oh, I am enjoying this too. I've made notes of several
of the pseudo-tech-speak phrases and plan to use them when
I want to see people laugh, I should at least thank you for
them, and I do!
I was going to advise you to 'get back on the porch', but
I see that you had that in mind anyway. Thank you for saving
me the trouble.
As you said, this has deteriorated in to some very basic
ideas. But when some people's ignorance is shown to need
such a digression, it just has to be done to show them the
error of their ways. The curative for ignorance is knowledge,
but there's no cure for stupid. Now go take your medicine.
'Doc

3211. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 02:30:37 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a431420.9917600@news.gate.net>
Source record: 64646

On Mon, 18 Dec 2000 14:53:37 GMT, curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:

snip the unrelated parts:

*
*cool, i agree with this post. i have a question for ya. how much space
*should there be between the roof of a long nose and the coil of a
*center loaded antenna in order to get good swr match???,.....head
*out....
*
*
*Sent via Deja.com
*http://www.deja.com/
****

by definition, a center loaded antenna is an antenna where the distance between the coax feed point and the coil will be equal to the distance from the coil to the end of the antenna. I gather that this installation is on a tractor. IF so, then yes the coil should be away from the metal of the vehicle as far as possible. The metal of the tractor and/or the trailer will alter the load coil's inductance and thus affect the antenna's impedance. This will lead to a difficult time is obtaining a low SWR measurement. I would mount the antenna as high as possible. Try and get the load coil as far away from the metal structure. This may result in the antenna being mounted on the mirror arm. There are trade offs for this. On the mirror arm there is less metal under the antenna. Therefore the radiation pattern is affected. But you most likely will be able to obtain a match. Again if the antenna coil is to close to the body of the tractor then a difficult match will be seen and also radiation pattern will be affected usually for the worse.

james

3212. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 02:31:36 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <91p5md$f3b$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 64647

Doc, you know better than that. At least I hope you do. Assume you have the mythical 50 ohm antenna matched with perfect coax and resonance is achieved to perfection. Now you decide to switch to another channel on CB or QSY 10 Khz on ham, are you still 50 ohms?

"'Doc" <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message news:3A4011A1.ABA7C1AD@oio.net...
>
>
> Fred Hambrecht wrote:
> >
> > The resistive portion is represented by Q, the figure of merit for the
> > resonant circuit. If I have a series circuit consisting of a resistor, a
> > cap, and an inductor, are you saying the resistor doesn't count?
> >
>
> Fred,
> "Q" has no application in this, lets stick to what we're
> talking about. The point was, if there were no reactances,
> Xl = Xc = 0, and there is a 50 ohm resistive element left,
> then you have a 50 ohm resonant antenna. In this instance
> your bringing up 'Q' is merely obfuscation of the fact that
> you 'screwed up'.
> 'Doc

3213. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 02:33:09 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A401A65.E4C0B40@oio.net>
Source record: 64450

Coax Length Police,
I can understand that! By the way, the information on one
of the sites about coax. It was taken (out of context) from an
email they received about the ~really~ screwed up information they
formerly had on their site. They re-wrote it a bit, changes a few
words here and there, and are now using the result. The original
email wasn't copy-righted so they were free to do what they wanted
with it. In the long run, it really doesn't matter. The ones who
are smart enough to wonder about it will find out the truth. The
ones who don't wonder will just continue being 'taken'. " It ain't
my job to mind'em this week! "...
'Doc

3214. Re: Coax Length [My experience]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 02:35:42 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a441a12.11440488@news.gate.net>
Source record: 64645

On Sat, 16 Dec 2000 19:37:17 -0500, "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)"
<upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:

*I had 18 feet of coax in my Jeep. I cut it down to 7 feet so I wouldn't
*have a coil of leftover coax to get in the way. I, nor anybody else
*noticed a lick of difference. I use a KW-7 on the bumper that I've
*never tuned. I have about a 1.2:1 SWR. Coax length DOES NOT matter.
*Using good coax makes more difference then length. IMO, RG-58 sucks!
****

RG-58 is not bad coax for low to medium power applications and short distances.
It is used by many antenna manufacturers due to it's cost. If the antenna is 50
Ohms then this shows any coax length will work. I would have kept the extra
length coiled up especially if one operates over 100 watts in the car. The
coiled coax will help prevent common mode currents that can build up on coax
when used in mobile installations.

james

3215. Re: One ugly cuss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 02:36:54 UTC
From: oneqck76ta@aol.com.com.com
Message-ID: <20001219213654.04465.00002658@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 64449

>
>
>I was mad at him, but not anymore..... just feel sorry for him now....
>god, thats ugly !
>

LOL, I know what ya mean!!

Later
oneqck76ta@aol.com
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta

You've got "459" out of Minneapolis Minnesota and I'm reading the mail

**********Hippie Freak**********
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta/cbradio

3216. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 02:41:40 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-232794.21341819122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 64448

In article <3a40018a.26402722@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> On Tue, 19 Dec 2000 18:11:28 -0600, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> > >This nonsense that a coax radiates with the presence of standing
> > >waves is way over the top. I'd like to know exactly what the book
> > >says to suggest this. If it indeed says this, I'll take it up with
> > >the author. I won't make you defend his work.
> >
> > Just like a theorist. If their theory says the sky is yellow they will
> > argue such until they are blue in the face, even if you make them look
> > up in the sky and see it for themselves.
>
> It "sounds like" you are making subjective comments without providing
> anything useful. So can you provide the references that he can't, or
> are you just his flunkie coming to make sure he doesn't drown alone?
>
> Sparky, FLRC

Sparky, what useful comments did you provide to my original request?
You dodged the issue, plain and simple. We want straight answers.

3217. Re: One ugly cuss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 02:47:44 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <17704toftkd1eeqfnviehtpt7d5pc64du3@4ax.com>
Source record: 64452

On Tue, 19 Dec 2000 19:54:03 -0600, "Richard McCollum"
<rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:

>Glorioski Buffalo Bob, N8WWM is now up to five (count 'em) five large
>mouth bass trolled, hooked, and gaffed.
>
>#1 Carriage Carwash -- apparently a wiper
>#2 Hippie Freak -- blown mind
>#3 Bend over Again or something like that
>#4 Punisha -- either a rapper or illiterate
>#5 the ever reliable Tnom, bites on anything
>#6 Richard, aka Dick Mccumm shows synpathy for n8wwm

3218. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 02:48:31 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-9ADB39.21410919122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 64451

In article <91o7ha$2r$1@iac5.navix.net>, "Fred Hambrecht"
<Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

> "'Doc" <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message news:3A3F190C.4A13FF1A@oio.net...
> >
> >
> > Fred Hambrecht wrote:
> > > ------clipped-------
> > Resonance, is defined as the condition
> > > where XL=XC with no resistive value specified.
> > > ------clipped-------
> >
> > Exactly! And, if you express resonance by writing "50 ohms"
> > you are actually saying "50-0j, or 50+0j", correct? And
> > by using your definition, 50 ohms ~is~ expressing resonance,
> > there is no imaginary component.
> > 'Doc
>
> The resistive portion is represented by Q,

No, it isn't.

> the figure of merit for the resonant circuit.

'Q' is not a "figure of merit." It is simply a ratio of a
circuit reactance to the circuit resistance. Having a high
Q is not necessarily good or bad; it depends upon the application.

And, once again, you have changed the subject and sidestepped
the issue at hand.

> If I have a series circuit consisting of a resistor, a
> cap, and an inductor, are you saying the resistor doesn't count?

Who cares?

The real question is: do you agree with what Doc wrote above?
If not, why not? Stop dodging the issue.

3219. Re: One ugly cuss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 02:50:17 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001219215017.02450.00004729@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 64453

10-4 DICK MCSCROTUM......

3220. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 02:54:39 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a451db8.12374815@news.gate.net>
Source record: 64454

On Tue, 19 Dec 2000 17:19:23 -0600, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote:

*
*
*Handy Andy,
* Your right in one respect, "They ain't got a clue".
*Unfortunately,
*you fall into that catagory. Your doing your self, and all those
*who 'listen' to you a disfavor by keeping this semi-literate stuff
*going, and especially when you set up a web page to do it.
* 'Doc
****

Most of his web pages are very good practical information that I have little quarrel over. There are some over simplifications but no real distortion of fact from the simplifcation. His web page on SWR I have grave objections to some of the information on it and I care not to address that here. I have addressed it to some extent in other posts and he ridicules me for objecting to his ideas. One day I will mark up and send him his corrected web page. Maybe I will post it here.

james

3221. Re: One ugly cuss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 03:00:02 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <zeV%5.8877$V4.934941@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 64459

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:17704toftkd1eeqfnviehtpt7d5pc64du3@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 19 Dec 2000 19:54:03 -0600, "Richard McCollum"
> <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:
>
> >Glorioski Buffalo Bob, N8WWM is now up to five (count 'em) five large
> >mouth bass trolled, hooked, and gaffed.
> >
> >#1 Carriage Carwash -- apparently a wiper
> >#2 Hippie Freak -- blown mind
> >#3 Bend over Again or something like that
> >#4 Punisha -- either a rapper or illiterate
> >#5 the ever reliable Tnom, bites on anything
> >#6 Richard, aka Dick Mccumm shows synpathy for n8wwm
>

N8WWM is a first-rate horse's patoot and I have no sympathy for him
whatsoever. The question is why you clowns respond to a troll the village
idiot could see thru.

3222. Re: DAVEMADE AMPS ARE ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 03:02:39 UTC
From: Ipo Camacho <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t4081p1gjdt61a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64455

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001219083722.10449.00002046@ng-fn1.aol.com...
> Bwahhahahahahah!

And your point is?
--

ONE37

"... reality is a frame of mind... stupidity is a state of mind."

3223. Re: DAVEMADE AMPS ARE ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 03:09:02 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <unV%5.19976$sw.1282805@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 64462

"Ipo Camacho" <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:t4081p1gjdt61a@corp.supernews.com...
> "N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20001219083722.10449.00002046@ng-fn1.aol.com...
> > Bwahhahahahahah!
>
> And your point is?
> --
>
>
>
> ONE37
> "... reality is a frame of mind... stupidity is a state of mind." Ain't
that the Lord's own truth.

Add one more fish to N8WWM's creel. We are up to six.

3224. Re: Maybe this will cause some skip to come rolling in

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 03:16:06 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a482176.13332353@news.gate.net>
Source record: 64456
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 17 Dec 2000 07:02:19 GMT, Roger N2nhy <rogern2nhy@earthlink.net> wrote:

*Wellll...
*
*In the moon's shadow, the degree of ionization should be different from
surrounding
*areas that are illuminated. The gradient between the areas should do
something
*unusual to radio signal refraction, but as a nearly total ignoramus on the
subject,
*I am not in a position to say what that something might be.
*
*Any rocket scientist out there care to alleviate my abysmal ignorance? ;-))
*
*Thanks,
*
*Roger, N2NHY
****

If there is any differences from it will most likely in the areas most affected,
at least 60% coverage IMO. Besides the eclipse is ocurring near noon local time
for me. Never thought of the gradients. The conical shape the shadow produces
could produce an interesting reflection. It will be moving and the effects most
likely would be seen before and after the maximum coverage. Dang, I won't be
home for Christmas. WOuld be interesting if someone is not doing soome kind of
experiment though.

james

*jdaggett@gate.net wrote:
*
*> On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 21:59:36 GMT, thepolishdude@my-deja.com wrote:
*>
*> *An eclipse is scheduled to occur on Christmas afternoon. Can eclipses
*> *cause skip to happen?
*> *
*> *
*> *Sent via Deja.com
*> *http://www.deja.com/
*> **********
*>
*> E-layer propogation can happen at anytime. The E-layer of the ionosphere is
not
*> as reliant on the solar event induced ionization. F-layer ionization

generally
*> has solar events that effect the level of ionization. Since the sun is being
*> eclisped by the moon there is little chance that F-layer propogation will be
*> enhanced. E-layer is less predictable, so who knows.
*>
*> james

3225. Re: One ugly cuss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 03:17:01 UTC
From: Ron Austin <daustin@kalnet.net>
Message-ID: <3A4024AD.4168001C@kalnet.net>
Source record: 64457

looks like the doctor should have slapped his mother for having something that ugly

Bendowersagain wrote:

> N8WWM in the flesh. I kind of feel sorry for the guy now. Fat, ugly, and
> without a women. Kind of explains his actions, doesn't it? I suppose, in order
> to help him cope with his loneliness and deep depression, we should all respond
> to his lame postings as often as possible.
> Check out his home page below.
>
> http://hometown.aol.com/n8wwm/

3226. Re: One ugly cuss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 03:17:10 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91p8bi$3eu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64461

In article <91p4d3efi1@iac5.navix.net>,
"Hillbilly Dave" <******davesradio@alltel.net******> wrote:
> I was mad at him, but not anymore..... just feel sorry for him
now....
> god, thats ugly !
>
> dave kg5xr
> (hillbilly)
>
>

you should talk,.....dad,.....cd is out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3227. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 03:28:43 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-0667A2.22211519122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 64458

In article <3a3fc575.11021580@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> On Tue, 19 Dec 2000 12:42:13 -0500, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >Now you tell me what this equation of yours means:
> > Z = (R&#65533; + X&#65533;)^&#65533;

Oh, OK. I found out where you got this equation and, as I suspected,
you are using it out of context. Furthermore, it is the MAGNITUDE of
the impedance -- not the impedance -- EXACTLY AS I SAID. It does not
fully specify the impedance.

I'll type slowly so you can follow along. The following quote is
verbatim (word for word) from the 1989 ARRL Handbook, page 2-25.
I will convert some letters to capitals for emphasis.

---- Begin exact quote from 1989 ARRL Handbook, page 2-25 ----

Converting from Rectangular to Polar Form

The easiest way to perform multiplication or division on a complex
number is the use of polar form. Although it is possible to multiply
complex numbers in their rectangular form, it is actually easier to
first convert rectangular form to polar form and then perform the
operations necessary. The MAGNITUDE of an impedance in polar form is
equal to the distance the plotted impedance is from the origin. The
Pythagorean Theorem provides a simple means of calculating this
distance. The MAGNITUDE is equal to

Z = sqrt(R^2 + X^2)

---- End exact quote from 1989 ARRL Handbook, page 2-25 ----

So, you are calculating the MAGNITUDE of the impedance in polar
form. However, this 'Z' term does not fully specify the impedance; you
must specify the angle also. The ARRL provides information for
calculating this next but you conveniently omitted it.

I have to admit, however, the ARRL Handbook is confusing. What it
correctly calls magnitude here, it incorrectly calls impedance in the
subsequent section. That is the source of your confusion. Blame the
ARRL.

Let me add some more correct quotes to hopefully help your
understanding:

---- Begin exact quote from 2000 ARRL Handbook, page 6.54 ----

Impedance is the complex combination of resistance and reactance.
Since there is a 90° phase difference between resistance and
reactance (whether inductive or capacitive), simply adding the
two values will not yield what actually happens in a circuit. Therefore,
expressions like °Z = R ° X° can be misleading, because they
suggest simple addition. As a result, impedance is often expressed
°Z = R ° jX.°

---- End exact quote from 2000 ARRL Handbook, page 6.54 ----

Hmmm. Look familiar? That is the equation I wrote.

---- Begin exact quote from 2000 ARRL Handbook, page 6.55 to 6-56 ----
---- text substituted for non realizable Greek characters ----

Combining the resultant impedance with the angle provides the impedance
in polar coordinate form:
Z (at angle theta).
The expressions R ° jX and Z (at angle theta) both provide the same
information, but in two different forms.

---- End exact quote from 2000 ARRL Handbook, 6.55 to 6-56 ----

You omitted the calculation for the angle so your 'Z' did not fully
represent the impedance. My "R ° jX" did.

3228. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 03:36:12 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a4925eb.14473368@news.gate.net>
Source record: 64460

On Sat, 16 Dec 2000 13:49:35 -0500, "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

*I totally agree, changing the length of coax, particularly on a mobile
*installation, which is how this thread started, introduces various values of
*XL or XC which in combination with the antenna lets a radio see 50 ohms.
*
*No 1/4 mobile antenna is 50 ohms. A perfect 1/4 wave over perfect ground is
*25 ohms and it increases from there do to losses. By moving the antenna from
*resonance and introducing some reactance we can make it look like 50 ohms.
****

In fact an infinitely thin 1/4 antenna over a perfect ground is 36.5 + j24.5 Ohms. Half that of an infinetley thin half wave dipole. 73+j49 Ohms. if I remember correctly. Yes the magnitude of the impedance can be raised to 50 Ohms But that will not yeild in a 1:1 SWR. One needs to add some matching network to transform the real portion up to 50 Ohms. This can be done by a gamma match, hairpin match, beta match or lumped constants. A least desirable method is to adjust coax length. It's Q is to high and thus SWR bandwidth is to small. For a vertical antenna a gamma match is ideal to raise the real portion of the impedance up to 50 Ohms. IF one carefully examine the construction of the gamma match you will see it is nothing more that a transmission line transformer.

Remember only the real portion of the antenna impedance radiates power.

james

****

*<jdaggett@gate.net> wrote in message news:3a3bb3be.8811363@news.gate.net...
*> On Sat, 16 Dec 2000 12:12:45 -0500, "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com>
*wrote:
*>
*> *With all do respect, I am afraid that your knowledge exceeds your
*> *understanding. When one claims expertise in a subject, first some of the
*> *simplest things must be understood. You stated disagreement in a prior
*> *message that a shorted 1/4 wave length of coax is an infinite impedance,
*nor
*> *could changing the length of a transmission live vary impedance. Both of
*> *these are basic to understanding the more complex issues you choose to
*> *address. It appears that you ALWAYS assume that the antenna is 50 ohm
*with
*> *+/-J = 0. If this were true many of the things you say would apply,
*> *unfortunately such is not the case. Resonance, is defined as the
*condition
*> *where XL=XC with no resistive value specified. For example the resonance
*of

*> *free space is 377 ohms. You have narrowed the universe to a specific set
*of
*> *conditions which has no application in the real world. A million CBers
*have
*> *changed the length of their coax and observed the SWR change. Why?
*> **********
*>
*> Actually the intrinsdic impedance of free spaace is 377 Ohms. Impedance
*has
*> nothing to do with resonance. Impadance is nothing but a complex number.
*>
*> 50 Ohms impedance can be an infinte combinations of R and X. There is an
*> infinite solutions for 50 Ohms.
*>
*> transmission lines less than a quarter wave are often used for lumped
*constants.
*> Thus the transmission line can be either a capacitor or an inductor
*depending if
*> it is shorted or open at one end.
*>
*>
*> james
*>
*> **********
*> *
*> *"Coax Length Police" <anylength@willdo.com> wrote in message
*> *news:anylength-> Furthermore, the impedance required for an SWR of 1:1 is
*50
*> *+ j0 ohms.
*> *> Given that 50 + j0 ohms is resonant and it is a *requirement* for
*> *> an SWR of 1:1, we can say
*> *> AN SWR of 1:1 REQUIRES RESONANCE.
*> *>
*> *> Get it?
*> *>
*> *> Your turn, hysterical one.
*> *
*>
*

3229. Re: One ugly cuss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 03:47:18 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <DXV%5.19992$sw.1291907@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 64465

Heavens to Murgatroyd we are up to seven fish trolled, hooked, gaffed and creeled by N8WWM.
Sure as hell doesn't take a lot to bag your limit on this newsgripe.

"Ron Austin" <daustin@kalnet.net> wrote in message news:3A4024AD.4168001C@kalnet.net...
> looks like the doctor should have slapped his mother for having something that ugly
>
> Bendowersagain wrote:
>
> > N8WWM in the flesh. I kind of feel sorry for the guy now. Fat, ugly, and
> > without a women. Kind of explains his actions, doesn't it? I suppose, in order
> > to help him cope with his loneliness and deep depression, we should all respond
> > to his lame postings as often as possible.
> > Check out his home page below.
> >
> > http://hometown.aol.com/n8wwm/
>

3230. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 03:52:33 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <91pae7huq1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 64463

The most cogent statement to date on this issue.

> On Sat, 16 Dec 2000 13:49:35 -0500, <jdaggett@gate.net> wrote in message news:3a4925eb.14473368@news.gate.net...

> Remember only the real portion of the antenna impedance radiates power.
>

3231. Re: One ugly cuss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 04:15:55 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <UlW%5.20002$sw.1295789@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 64466

And Mr 123 from IL makes eight. What a bunch of maroons.

"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message
news:DXV%5.19992$sw.1291907@nntp2.onemain.com...
> Heavens to Murgatroyd we are up to seven fish trolled, hooked, gaffed
and
> creeled by N8WWM.
> Sure as hell doesn't take a lot to bag your limit on this newsgripe.
>
>
> "Ron Austin" <daustin@kalnet.net> wrote in message
> news:3A4024AD.4168001C@kalnet.net...
> > looks like the doctor should have slapped his mother for having
> something that ugly
> >
> > Bendowersagain wrote:
> >
> > > N8WWM in the flesh. I kind of feel sorry for the guy now. Fat, ugly,
> and
> > > without a women. Kind of explains his actions, doesn't it? I
suppose,
> in order
> > > to help him cope with his loneliness and deep depression, we should
> all respond
> > > to his lame postings as often as possible.
> > > Check out his home page below.
> > >
> > > http://hometown.aol.com/n8wwm/
> >
>
>

3232. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 04:18:53 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A3ED373.A2348CE4@some.net>
Source record: 64464

It is amazing at how the cbers run down the amateur service with the required testing to qualify for a licensee, and talk about it's "stodgy out of date rules and regulations", but can't seem to realize that because of the cb attitude
of total disregard of rules is why 11 meters is the sewer pit of radio communications. Those so called outdated rules and regulations that 99.99% of the hams follow is why there is no comparison between ham radio and the chicken band.
The last thing the amateur community wants to do is bolster the ranks with cb operators as the last thing wanted on the ham bands is cb type operation, , but if you want to become a *ham operator*, and abide by those "stodgy outdated
rules and regulations", which keep the amateur bands civil and useful then welcome aboard.

Jerry Oxendine wrote:

> his points one of the myths and wishes of *some* people. "Stodgy and
> outdated" rules, as you call them, are there for good reason. The
> simple
> fact is, without them, chaos would reign.
>
> CitySlicker wrote:
> >
> > "or get in a radio service that permits the types of operation you choose to
> > engage in."
> > This is the crux of much of the debate. The Ham community trying to bolster
> > their ranks wit the CB operators
> > many capable CB operators who have chosen NOT to succumb to the beuacracy
> > and stodgy out dated rules and regulations.

3233. Re: DaveMade rumor?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 05:52:21 UTC
From: brass <cc@knology.net>
Message-ID: <3A404915.52011716@knology.net>
Source record: 64473

Since March 1993 Concrete and Rock . . . and Davie . . .

but there has been alot of his building going onv . . .

There are 5 builders in the USA that stick their NEcKs out for all . . .

that tune like Dave
one even goes a little lower on the slug (half)

Dave being one of the five
There are two others present on the group . . .
the others are to old at it to be so young

grittnn them thrr teeths

Brass

3234. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 06:02:05 UTC
From: brass <cc@knology.net>
Message-ID: <3A404B5D.51DF8851@knology.net>
Source record: 64474

Cut it Out Toll . . .

3235. Re: Coax Length [My experience]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 06:07:05 UTC
From: ")======}||||||||||||||||||}>----------"
Message-ID: <PRX%5.634$%33.137143@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Source record: 64467

<jdaggett@gate.net> wrote in message news:3a441a12.11440488@news.gate.net...
> On Sat, 16 Dec 2000 19:37:17 -0500, "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)"
> <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
If the antenna is 50
> Ohms then this shows any coax length will work. I would have kept the
extra
> length coiled up especially if one operates over 100 watts in the car. The
> coiled coax will help prevent common mode currents that can build up on
coax
> when used in mobile installations.
>
> james

Well Said!
>

3236. Re: TRUE STORY....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 06:10:04 UTC
From: ")======}||||||||||||||||||}>----------"
Message-ID: <AUX%5.635$%33.137590@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Source record: 64468

That is more than likely the truthe!!!!
"CarriageCarWash" <carriagecarwash@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001219191346.04187.00004962@ng-ct1.aol.com...
> >Subject: TRUE STORY....
> >From: punisha413fl@aol.com (Punisha413fl)
> >Date: 12/19/00 4:12 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20001219171227.26488.00005166@ng-fu1.aol.com>
> >
>
>
>
> > N8WWM sent a fax to his wife.
> >>
> >>
> >> Dear Wife,
> >> You must realize that you are 54 years old, and I have certain needs
> >> that you are no longer able to satisfy. I am otherwise happy with you
> >> as a wife and sincerely hope that you will not be hurt or offended to
> >> learn that by the time you receive this letter, I will be at the Grand
> >Hotel
> >> with my AARON VOOBNER. I'll be home before midnight.
> >> Your Husband ....
> >>
> >>
> >> When he arrived at the hotel, there was a faxed letter waiting for him
> >>
> >> that read as follows:
> >> Dear N8WWM,
> >> You too are 54 years old, and by the time you receive this letter, I
> >> will be at the Breakwater Hotel with the 18-year-old pool boy. Since
> >> you are Ham...and you think youre smart, you will appreciate the fact
that
> >18 goes into
> >> 54 more times than 54 goes into 18. Therefore, don't wait up.
> >> What a dork......
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> LMFAO!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>

3237. Re: One ugly cuss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 06:24:05 UTC
From: ")======}||||||||||||||||||}>----------"
Message-ID: <J5Y%5.638$%33.139988@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Source record: 64469

No wonder he is so mad, with a head like that there is no way in hell he could ever get laid. I would be mad too if I looked like a dick with ears.

--

)=====}||||||||||||||||||}>----------
****DR-KAVORKIAN****
----------<{||||||||||||||||||{=====(
"Bendowersagain" <bendowersagain@aol.com> wrote in message news:20001219184931.27806.00002108@ng-me1.aol.com...
> N8WWM in the flesh. I kind of feel sorry for the guy now. Fat, ugly, and
> without a women. Kind of explains his actions, doesn't it? I suppose, in order
> to help him cope with his loneliness and deep depression, we should all respond
> to his lame postings as often as possible.
> Check out his home page below.
>
> http://hometown.aol.com/n8wwm/
>

3238. Results of New Law??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 06:29:31 UTC
From: maniac9188@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001220012931.28092.00000406@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 64472

Has anyone had ANY local law enforcement confrontations, involving the bill that was signed on 11/29?? Just wondering.... So much talk on what will happen, and how much things will change, and all..... Just wondering if anything is actually happening anywhere .... Please include TRUE instances only.
Maniac.

3239. TO==N8WWM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 06:34:59 UTC
From: ")======}||||||||||||||||||}>----------"
Message-ID: <WfY%5.639$%33.141482@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Source record: 64470

I found a link on his page, on that link I found these lyrics. He should read how true these words realy are.

"I feel I've been beaten down
By the words of men who have no grounds

I've seen the wicked fruit of your vine
Destroy the man who lacks a strong mind
Human pride sings a vengeful song
Inspired by the times you've been walked on
My stage is shared by many millions
Who lift their hands up high because they feel this
We are one We are strong
The more you hold us down the more we press on

I know I can't hold the hate inside my mind
Cause what consumes your thoughts controls your life
So I'll just ask a question
What if?
What if your words could be judged like a crime?"

3240. Re: DAVEMADE AMPS ARE ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 06:36:51 UTC
From: ")======}||||||||||||||||||}>----------"
Message-ID: <HhY%5.640$%33.142596@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Source record: 64471

At least they have the balls to post who they are!!!

"Raped Ape" <rapedape@earthworks.com> wrote in message
news:wAQ%5.1478$u31.299554@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
> Today you guys are Buddies tommorow one of you will stab the other in the
> back. must be the water up there in illinois!
>
> "CarriageCarWash" <carriagecarwash@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20001219143738.04005.00005367@ng-ct1.aol.com...
> > >Subject: Re: DAVEMADE AMPS ARE ILLEGAL
> > >From: MR123IL@webtv.net
> > >Date: 12/19/00 12:40 PM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <27341-3A3FABAA-20@storefull-295.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
> > >
> >
> > >Hay Frank,
> > >Now how fast is that "BLOWN 5.0"
> > >Marry x-mas man....
> > >
> > >MR.123ILL.
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> > Believe it or not dan...its gone....sold it..LOL...i just can't keep
> anything
> > to long. I had it cookin pretty good though. Had some nice aluminum
> heads...and
> > added a custom grind roller cam. Take it easy...Merry X-mas..
> >
> > 937...chicago
>
>
>

3241. Re: MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 06:41:30 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <91pkaq$9kp$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 64475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com> wrote:
>jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) wrote:

>> waves of lower frequency, so consistent VHF communication with low
>> power tends to be essentially local in character, covering only
>> slightly more than line-of-sight distances."

>Get your face out of that ill-informed "manual" and get on the air to
>see what is being done in real life.
>VHF SSB'ers routinely (as in "consistently") communicate far beyond
>line-of-sight

The topic is MURS, whose users will be using 1-2 watts on FM with rubber
flex antennas. Attempting to make these folks believe they'll be able
to communicate over a distance of 150 miles is an outrageous lie.

Jeff KH6O

3242. Re: MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 06:46:41 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <91pkkh$9oq$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 64476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com> wrote:
>Hans, Hans, Hans. Cut the poor bugger some slack. If he quotes from the ARRL
>*anything* it is obvious that any slice of life will mean nothing to him.
>Don'cha remember the first rule of argument?
>Never mudwrestle with a pig. You won't win, and the pig likes it.

Jim, name-calling doesn't deflect the fact that you don't know
the definitions of "skip," "skip zone," and "skip distance."

Jeff KH6O

3243. FA: Galaxy DX 99v & D-104 mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 08:03:12 UTC
From: SwTempo <swtempo@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <tWdAOmhvKh40Zcnti=W7BBMOqelO@4ax.com>
Source record: 64477

See my auction at http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/47113254

3244. Re: One ugly cuss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 10:42:02 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <e7314tgovbr06vh6c1kdc7j9bru2j6m41b@4ax.com>
Source record: 64478

On Tue, 19 Dec 2000 22:15:55 -0600, "Richard McCollum"
<rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:

>And Mr 123 from IL makes eight. What a bunch of maroons.

It seems to me that you have responded more than any other
to this thread. Have you been trolled???

The original thread was not a troll by n8wwm as you have
previously stated. It is not even a troll. It is only the truth being
exposed. It is something that deserves to be told. Accurate
comments followed it up..

Is it your contention that n8wwm is not ugly? You are invited to
respond to the thread. Keep your comments on topic. The topic is
n8wwm is one ugly ass.

3245. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 11:45:24 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A409BD4.3FAE593F@oio.net>
Source record: 64479

It's very simple Sparky, it isn't relavent to this because
it isn't/wasn't what we were talking about at all. It is
merely more obfuscation on your part. Have you gotten as
far as the "straight answer/direct answer" post? If so, how
about providing a few?
'Doc

Sparky wrote:
>
> On Tue, 19 Dec 2000 18:14:10 -0600, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote:
>
> >> > All 'conjugate' matching is done with at least two
> >> >feedlines of differing characteristic impedances.
> >>
> >> Conjugate matching is done with differing impedances, however two
> >> feedlines are not always required. Ever hear of a delta-feed?
> >>
> >> Sparky, FLRC
> >>
> > Yes, I have heard of a delta match, but a delta match is ~not~
> > a conjugate match Sparky. Your question has no bearing on this
> > at all. What's your point?
> > 'Doc
>
> A delta-match is the linear equivalent. The principle is the same, in
> that the impedance is transformed through the variation of the
> distributed constants of the transmission line. In one case the
> variation is stepped, the other is linear. Now, how does that have no
> bearing on this?
>
> Sparky, FLRC

3246. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 12:03:51 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A40A027.6C951552@oio.net>
Source record: 64480

Fred,
There's two ways of answering that question, one way is to say
that if it's a perfect antenna, then nothing would change. But
that's a 'cop out' answer, and garbage. Unless the 'Q' is very
low, the 'match' will have some reactive component. What I ~should~
have said was that introducing another 'property' of an antenna at
this point, is only asking for trouble. Sparky can't keep to the
subject now! Giving him another 'area of obfuscation', is like
beating your self on the head with your own bat.
'Doc

Fred Hambrecht wrote:
>
> Doc, you know better than that. At least I hope you do. Assume you have the
> mythical 50 ohm antenna matched with perfect coax and resonance is achieved
> to perfection. Now you decide to switch to another channel on CB or QSY 10
> Khz on ham, are you still 50 ohms?
>
> "'Doc" <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message news:3A4011A1.ABA7C1AD@oio.net...
> >
> >
> > Fred Hambrecht wrote:
> > >
> > > The resistive portion is represented by Q, the figure of merit for the
> > > resonant circuit. If I have a series circuit consisting of a resistor, a
> > > cap, and an inductor, are you saying the resistor doesn't count?
> > >
> >
> > Fred,
> > "Q" has no application in this, lets stick to what we're
> > talking about. The point was, if there were no reactances,
> > Xl = Xc = 0, and there is a 50 ohm resistive element left,
> > then you have a 50 ohm resonant antenna. In this instance
> > your bringing up 'Q' is merely obfuscation of the fact that
> > you 'screwed up'.
> > 'Doc

3247. 165 amp alternators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 13:12:22 UTC
From: maul13@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001220081222.21697.00005503@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Source record: 64482

I have 2 165 amp big case alternatotrs... New not used or installed one time but new.... Asking $200 a piece any one interrested please email me ... Price does not inclued shipping..
Thanks #13 V.A

3248. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 13:15:32 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a40a539.4044567@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64481

On Wed, 20 Dec 2000 03:28:43 GMT, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>Oh, OK. I found out where you got this equation and, as I suspected,
>you are using it out of context. Furthermore, it is the MAGNITUDE of
>the impedance -- not the impedance -- EXACTLY AS I SAID. It does not
>fully specify the impedance.

And the equation Z = R ± jX does not specify magnitude until you make the term 'j' a real number. And how do you do that? Square it. What is the equation you end up with? Z = (R² + X²)^½. That is how you get a 'real' value of impedance. Even the ARRL isn't confusing about this.

>I have to admit, however, the ARRL Handbook is confusing. What it
>correctly calls magnitude here, it incorrectly calls impedance in the
>subsequent section. That is the source of your confusion. Blame the
>ARRL.

So you are saying that _you_ are correct, but all the wisdom and experience of the engineers at the ARRL amounts to squat? Just what are your qualifications?

Polar notation does not give you a real value. That is what rectangular notation is for, because rectangular notation is the application of the Pythagorean Theorem to polar notation, i.e. angles, i.e. planar coordinates, i.e. VECTORS. You read, but you do not understand what you are reading. That is why it is confusing to you. The ARRL isn't wrong, you are.

Check this out:

(22.36² + j44.72²)^½ = 50 ohms
(73² - j0²)^½ = 73 ohms

The resonant antenna is mismatched and the reactive, non-resonant antenna is matched. Now look at a different example in polar form:

Zsource = 37 + j15 ohms
Zload = 37 - j15 ohms

Is that an impedance match? Yes. Will it reflect power? No. Is the load resonant? No.

This means that the only way to eliminate standing waves is to properly match the antenna to the coax/source. Then, and only then, do you not need to worry about the length of the coax (except to make it as short as possible), which is what I have held all along.

And you omitted the rest of my post.

Sparky, FLRC

3249. Re: One ugly cuss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 13:23:54 UTC
From: Hillbilly Dave <******davesradio@alltel.net******>
Message-ID: <91qbon$187$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 64484

well I was born this way but in his case it looks self inflicted...

Dave KG5XR
On Bull Sholes Lake, Ark./Mo state line
http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html

<curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:91p8bi$3eu$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <91p4d3efi1@iac5.navix.net>,
> "Hillbilly Dave" <******davesradio@alltel.net******> wrote:
> > I was mad at him, but not anymore..... just feel sorry for him
> now....
> > god, thats ugly !
> >
> > dave kg5xr
> > (hillbilly)
> >
> >
>
> you should talk,.....dad,.....cd is out.....
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

3250. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 13:24:54 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a40b295.7464087@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64483

On Wed, 20 Dec 2000 05:45:24 -0600, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote:

> It's very simple Sparky, it isn't relavent to this because
>it isn't/wasn't what we were talking about at all. It is
>merely more obfuscation on your part. Have you gotten as
>far as the "straight answer/direct answer" post? If so, how
>about providing a few?
> 'Doc

Well, let's see what you were talking about . . .

>> >> > All 'conjugate' matching is done with at least two
>> >> >feedlines of differing characteristic impedances.

Looks like I was right on the subject, unless you really intended to
say something else. Sorry, I can't "divine" your intent.

Sparky, FLRC

3251. Re: One ugly cuss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 13:37:23 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001220083723.26585.00004882@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 64485

I am LOVING the comments you dildos are putting up about my web page. Call me anything you want, all it does is prove my point.

Thank you so much, fans.

Laughin' my ass off.

3252. Re: HOW STUPID IS N8WWM?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 13:38:46 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001220083846.26585.00004883@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 64486

Apparently I am not as stupid as those who bite on my trolls.

LOL

3253. Re: A bust happens from new law!! HAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 13:57:27 UTC
From: Professor <bgriffey@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <91qds4$v31$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64489

Good!
These people who have been asked numberous times to stop... and who
have thumbed their nose at all... need to be 10-7.

> Subject: I got to obseve a bust!
> From: "Fred Hambrecht" Fred@w4jle.com
> Date: 12/12/2000 21:13 PM Eastern Standard Time
> Message-id: <916m17rlj1@iac5.navix.net>
>
> I had a chance to ride with one of the local police today on a visit
to a
> CBers house. He had been asked a number of times to cease and desist
with
> his overpowered illegal operations.
>
> This person has been the bane of existence to all his neighbors
around here,
> he was handed a copy of the latest federal law, along with the
purposed
> local laws. It was made clear that he would be the target of the
first test
> case under the new law. I observed him trying to justify his rights
to do
> what he wanted on the CB band. He stated that he had heard the FCC no
> longer controlled CB along with a litany of other excuses. The local
law
> officer explained in a respectful manner that he would shortly have
> jurisdiction and he should consider this as his final warning. "Hey!
you
> mean your serious?" was his response, the officer responded "as
serious as a
> heart attack" at that point he walked back in the house and brought
out what
> he refereed to as a "ModgeBox" and handed it over to the officer. I
believe
> that this law is going to work. Any way Ga231 sends all the good
numbers...
> He's going 10-7

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3254. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 14:02:10 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a40bbaa.9789447@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64487

On Wed, 20 Dec 2000 02:41:40 GMT, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>Sparky, what useful comments did you provide to my original request?
>You dodged the issue, plain and simple. We want straight answers.

You ignore straight answers.

3255. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 14:13:07 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a40bc1d.9904240@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64488

On Wed, 20 Dec 2000 13:15:32 GMT, sparky@cet.com (Sparky) wrote:

>And you omitted the rest of my post.

There is really no need to reply. You can't support your claims and you refute any authority in conflict with your position. You claim to be some sort of coax guru but you don't even know how to add vectors. Your arguments are logically flawed and substantially deficient. When you can no longer ignore the issues you send your buddy to try and disrupt the exchange.

Let me know when you finish school and then maybe we can have an intelligent conversation.

Sparky, FLRC

3256. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 14:16:11 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1513-3A40BF2B-6@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64493

Check with the LEOs. If they perceive a crime is being committed and someones life they believe in danger, they can do what they want. The key word here is "perceive". Who are the courts gonna believe, the cop, or the guy that was alllegedly beating his 'ol lady? Mitigating circumstances come into play, but they can enter.

3257. Re: HOW STUPID IS N8WWM?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 14:18:03 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001220091803.12796.00005169@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 64490

good one n8 i give up youre too easy ....ill leave you alone ok .....son

3258. Re: DAVEMADE AMPS ARE ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 14:20:04 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001220092004.12796.00005170@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 64491

hey richard are you n8wwm's lover or wnat?...sure looks like it

3259. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 14:20:12 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1513-3A40C01C-8@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64494

I don't personally feel it IS a sewer pit. Some times, SOME channels,
but not even all the time. Seek and ye shall find. The sewer pits exist,
but not as a whole. They are on the hammie bands as well.

3260. Re: One ugly cuss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 14:22:00 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001220092200.12796.00005171@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 64492

and your point is?.............

3261. Re: MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 14:35:18 UTC
From: K0HB <k0hb@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <91qg36$12p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

In article <3A400D2D.1392D191@my-deja.com>,
John Bowers <jb2101@my-deja.com> wrote:

> It also made it quite clear to me why appliance
> operators like Jeff would be quite baffled by such a reality. (He
> probably hasn't found the part about station gain yet.)

His Mommy didn't teach him about skip either.

73, Hans, K0HB

--
"1943 Poster Child for The National Precocious Little Bastard Campaign"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3262. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 14:48:20 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <vgh14t8mdpbo8a1l3j7co19t1o23ds4v1c@4ax.com>
Source record: 64495

Greetings!

Thanks Doc,

But one question that is still in my mind...

It deals with elements and surface area available for ground.

What occurs when there is not enough surface area to make the antenna
resoant at 50 ohms?

Hint, 8 feet of ground surface, but trying to work a 9 foot antenna.

It's when things are not equal.

When you can answer that, I will go to bed.

On Tue, 19 Dec 2000 20:15:54 -0600, while the modem was ice fishing
with the Mouse, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> dissertated the following:

Regards!
.:+> Andy <+: | BEEP!
... You really are a CB'er when... | _____ BEEP!
... your CB antenna is longer than |/ ___
... your SUV! |_,-.__,-._|
... (o) (o)
http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

3263. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 14:50:49 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <%E306.9022$V4.1025166@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 64497

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:1513-3A40C01C-8@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> I don't personally feel it IS a sewer pit. Some times, SOME channels,
> but not even all the time. Seek and ye shall find. The sewer pits exist,
> but not as a whole. They are on the hammie bands as well.
>

Give a nincompoop an audience with a mike or keyboard and licenses become
a bit irrelevant. Some of the amateur slime pits are older than I am.
Not a lot to be said on either side of the divide.

Dick N�BK, aging Boy Wonder.

3264. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 14:53:30 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <vqh14tcc8705o85i96l0al66t03l5rheni@4ax.com>
Source record: 64798

Greetings!

Then let me add fuel to this fire.

Doc, you're a wonderful read. But do you have *any* CB antenna
experience?

I don't care about the "rules" you quote from a book...

I mean *Real* Breaker, Breaker - CB experience?

I posted a challenge a few threads above.

If you can answer that question...we'll all know.

On Tue, 19 Dec 2000 17:09:22 -0600, while the modem was ice fishing
with the Mouse, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> dissertated the following:

:+>
:+>
:+> Fred,
:+> Which is beside the point. Stick to what we're talking
:+> about. You keep making to irrelevant, and mostly incorrect
:+> statements. (That hole your digging is just getting deeper)
:+> 'Doc

. ---(((=======@@@@@@@@@@=======)))----''
Regards! ````~~~~~~~##########~~~~~~~''''
.:+> Andy <+: \\ ===== //
. \ === //
... You've GOTTA be a CB'er when | == |
... You can name all the CB's / -- /
... used in the movie / -- /
... TWISTER! / - /
. `` ^^((*****@@****)))^^ ''
.---

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

3265. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 14:56:13 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <avh14tceeglvumj2cckvt30jknlpef2v9g@4ax.com>
Source record: 64799

Greetings!

Hmm. Until you can prove otherwise, by experience and not theory,
then I will consider your request.

Quoting theory is fine for those that only take it with theory. It's
all on paper.

Now for the rest of us in the real world...I have something
different...

Now, about that 9 foot antenna on an 8 foot ground image.

Care to elaborate on the function of a coaxial dipole?

On Tue, 19 Dec 2000 17:19:23 -0600, while the modem was ice fishing
with the Mouse, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> dissertated the following:

:+>
:+>
:+> Handy Andy,
:+> Your right in one respect, "They ain't got a clue".
:+> Unfortunately,
:+> you fall into that catagory. Your doing your self, and all those
:+> who 'listen' to you a disfavor by keeping this semi-literate stuff
:+> going, and especially when you set up a web page to do it.
:+> 'Doc

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:

... You know your radio is really Modulating when...
... Elvis shows up at your doorstep and asks to use
... your Microphone!!!

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

3266. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 14:57:06 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <91qhc2$9oh@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 64800

My use of CB is strictly mobile. Highway communication. A Ham radio would not fit the need until all the Truckers,and service people on the highways began using them.
The Ham community wants the 10 meter band when it suites them. Like today's current skip cycles. 2 years from now the band will be dead and unused.
Regarding by dead butt; I own a successful 5 person sales agency, spend 40-50K miles a year on the highways. So earning my ham radio merit badge just isn't a priority. Especially, if all I had to communicate with were narrow minded geeks like yourself. If you didn't understand my post than you better thank God for multiple choice answers on tests.

"JR" <jr@some.net> wrote in message news:3A3E836C.D49CA109@some.net...
> Why should the hams feel any need to give up 10 meters to a bunch of cbers who
> care nothing about operating legally, spewing foul language on the air, keying
> down over everyone else and turn 10 meters into another sewer pit of
> communication. If you want to run 100 watt radios, how about getting off you
> dead butt and get a ham license and *earn* the privilege to use 10 meters
> legally?
>
> CitySlicker wrote:
>
> >
> > Some of you Ham operators are up in arms over 10 meter now that the skip
> > cycle is peaking. 2 years from now there will be no interest. So you have a
> > wasted band that could be utilized to free up some air space for the CB
> > community.
>

3267. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 15:06:17 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <91qht9$9oe@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 64801

I would like to see the look on the judges face when the officer explained he had reason to believe the neighbors TV was being molested by an illegal radio and he had to kick in the door to save the TV from any further violation. Boy this is Sitcom material.

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:1513-3A40BF2B-6@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Check with the LEOs. If they perceive a crime is being committed and
> someones life they believe in danger, they can do what they want. The
> key word here is "perceive". Who are the courts gonna believe, the cop,
> or the guy that was alllegedly beating his 'ol lady? Mitigating
> circumstances come into play, but they can enter.
>

3268. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 15:14:29 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <91qicl$9oe@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 64802

Fred one more thing, why did you feel compelled to come to this NG "to help anyone who had
an interest in Amateur radio" people in this NG don't really care about the Ham world and they know how to find their way to the amateur NG. Are you a troll? Are you trying to impress someone here? 10K amps in a mobile is what is impressive here or haven't you noticed. I am sorry to here that some of the mental midgets resorted to improper e-mail. Somehow I think you may have encouraged it.
Take your Ham gospel where it belongs. If you have something of value to add regarding CB radio then by all means post it here. I think your bright enough to know the difference.

"Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
news:91ok5j$5vj$1@iac5.navix.net...
> With all due respect, the items you have outlined are not within the scope
> of CB. Yes, we would like to see CBers interested in long distance
> communications etc join us. That being said, CBers are not required to keep
> ham radio going. Our numbers are larger now than they have ever been. I am
> sorry that your ears are plugged, but in spite of the protestations the FCC
> has said (as a result of the new law) that with the help of locals the
> problem would be addressed on the local level to remove the blatant
> violators. Hams had nothing to do with the law, But! our interference
> committee's have been in existence since 11 meters was a hamband and are a
> source of information for the local police. I would not disagree that some
> of the hams are salivating over the prospect of busting CBers but much of
> that can be placed at your own doorsteps.
>
> I came in this conference with one purpose, that was to help anyone who had
> an interest in Amateur radio. No matter how reasoned a ham may be, there are
> those that vilify any who enter here. This will create animosities that
> justifies one helping to bust an illegal CBer.
>
> I will admit that out of desperation I finally told a Cber in private mail
> to set himself and his trailer on fire, I am not easy to upset, but this
> idiot went way over the top sending filthy, vile messages to me. I have
> dealt with 2 others, one a ham by turning their messages invisible :>)
>
>
> "CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net> wrote in message
> news:91oi6j$9or@dispatch.concentric.net...

> > "or get in a radio service that permits the types of operation you
choose
> to
> > engage in."
> > This is the crux of much of the debate. The Ham community trying to
> bolster
> > their ranks wit the CB operators
> > many capable CB operators who have chosen NOT to succumb to the
beuacracy
> > and stodgy out dated rules and regulations. Fred if you wish your
beloved
> > radio service to continue then all you hams had better petition for the
> new
> > laws and regs the would seduce the CB community to your ranks. Like
labor
> > unions no members no strength.
> >
>
>

3269. Re: Results of New Law??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 15:16:48 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <91qih0$9oo@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 64803

Anything you would here now would be BS. Most local authorities aren't aware of the bill let alone passing legislation to enforce it.

"Maniac9188" <maniac9188@aol.com> wrote in message news:20001220012931.28092.00000406@ng-fm1.aol.com...
> Has anyone had ANY local law enforcement confrontations, involving the bill
> that was signed on 11/29?? Just wondering.... So much talk on what will happen,
> and how much things will change, and all..... Just wondering if anything is
> actually happening anywhere .... Please include TRUE instances only.
> Maniac.

3270. Re: WTB:Clean 100 watt amp?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 15:20:13 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <91qind$9oh@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 64804

Chris, unless you are looking for a driver for a larger amp a 100 watts doesn't buy you much. A uniden 76 can be modified to put out 50+ watts Galaxy and Ranger have radios that can easily do that too. Go for a Texas Star 350 or Cobra 350 Blue Face not much difference in price over a 100 watt but far greater talk power.

"N4CDG" <n4cdg@aol.com> wrote in message news:20001219193248.07749.00009506@ng-cs1.aol.com...
> Hi,
>
> What is the cleanest 100 watt amp I can find for both 10 and 11 meters?
>
> Thanks,
> Chris

3271. Re: Vs: Has the dust settled yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 15:21:09 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <91qip5$9os@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 64805

Why do you have to talk so loud. No need for an amp here.

"Punisha413fl" <punisha413fl@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001219161512.02557.00004934@ng-fb1.aol.com...
> I THOUGHT HAMS WERE SUPPOSED TO BE SMART.....IS MY GRAMMER THAT MESSED UP
THAT
> THEY DONT UNDER STAND WHAT I MEAN, WHEN I SAY LICENCED OPERATORS? ANY
WAY I
> REST MY CASE ...I KNOW N8WWM CANT KEY ON ME AND I KNOW HE CANT KICK MY ASS
SO
> IAINT SWEATIN IT....GOD BLESS YOU HAMS ..AND YES IVE BEEN ILLEGAL FOR OVER
> 15YRS....AND WHAT?????

3272. Re: One ugly cuss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 15:24:20 UTC
From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <91qiut$3nu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64806

In article <20001220092200.12796.00005171@ng-ce1.aol.com>,
punisha413fl@aol.com (Punisha413fl) wrote:
> and your point is?.............
>
THAT I (N8W WHATEVER) IS ONE UGLY LONELY FUCK!!!!!!!!!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3273. Re: Anyone ever seen a Galaxy Saturn do this....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 15:32:06 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001220103206.08350.00000314@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Source record: 64807

bob, do you know what you speak? one of the longest running and most succesful
11 meter nets was and is ran by tom up there in rhode island.

>hear anybody like in 1987. there
>were big mouth, high powered cb'ers all over the dial. now you are
>lucky if you hear 2 grannies chatting. the fcc busted alot of them and
>they never returned and many became HAMS. which is good i guess if you
>were experiencing TVI problems.

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

3274. Left Coast Radio: What happened?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 15:32:57 UTC
From: Endless, Nameless <nirtourettesvana@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <91qjf9$48g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64808

Went to their site and there is another dealer there. Did they get
bought out or something?

--

"Move along, nothing to see here"

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3275. Re: One ugly cuss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 15:48:34 UTC
From: "Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com>
Message-ID: <91qkcf$50f$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64809

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!! No wonder you're bitter!!!! Were you one of Jerry's kids???? I left you a dollar in the jar at 7-11. You call me a registered moron. What a Dipshit !!!!!!
MR.PAIN&#65533;

In article <20001220083723.26585.00004882@ng-fu1.aol.com>,
n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> I am LOVING the comments you dildos are putting up about my web page. Call me
> anything you want, all it does is prove my point.
>
> Thank you so much, fans.
>
> Laughin' my ass off.
>
>

--

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3276. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 16:12:19 UTC
From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <91qlop$6g3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64810

In article <iDS%5.149953$DG3.3030780@news2.giganews.com>,
"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote:
> Well,
>
> I was just trying to bow down to the intelligence level of the people
I was
> having to have a literary war with..
>
> Please see the post above where someone was slamming a poster because
he
> said DaveMade people dealt coke... Then I questioned him about him
saying
> that Blade was a coke user / dealer.
>
> It wasn't serious, after all... This is CB radio!
>
> Have a good one.
>
> Toll Free

WELL THANKS TOLL, I JUST DIDN'T WANT TO THINK YOU THOUGHT THAT WAY.
HAVE A HAPPY HOLIDAY!!!!!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3277. Re: TRUE STORY....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 16:29:22 UTC
From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <91qmom$79e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64813

In article <20001219171227.26488.00005166@ng-fu1.aol.com>,
punisha413fl@aol.com (Punisha413fl) wrote:
> N8WWM sent a fax to his wife.
> >
> >
> > Dear Wife,
> > You must realize that you are 54 years old, and I have certain needs
> > that you are no longer able to satisfy. I am otherwise happy with
you
> > as a wife and sincerely hope that you will not be hurt or offended
to
> > learn that by the time you receive this letter, I will be at the
Grand
> Hotel
> > with my AARON VOOBNER. I'll be home before midnight.
> > Your Husband ....
> >
> >
> > When he arrived at the hotel, there was a faxed letter waiting for
him
> >
> > that read as follows:
> > Dear N8WWM,
> > You too are 54 years old, and by the time you receive this letter, I
> > will be at the Breakwater Hotel with the 18-year-old pool boy. Since
> > you are Ham...and you think youre smart, you will appreciate the
fact that
> 18 goes into
> > 54 more times than 54 goes into 18. Therefore, don't wait up.
> > What a dork......
> >

TOO DAMN FUNNY!!!!
POOR N8WWM HE APPEARS TO LIKE UM BIG DICK IN SMALL ASSHOLE!!
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3278. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 16:31:00 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-D251DD.11233720122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 64811

In article <3a40bc1d.9904240@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> ...You claim to
> be some sort of coax guru but you don't even know how to add vectors.

Hey, vector boy, you believe:
22.36 + j44.72 ohms = 50 ohms

which means you believe:
50 (at 0 degrees) ohms is equal to 50 (at 63.43 degrees) ohms.

Weren't you the one who asked me what a vector was? (Yes)
Is a vectar at 0 degrees equal to a vector at 63.43 degrees? (No)
If I made you drive northeast for 50 miles, would you be at the
destination which is 50 miles to the east? (No)

Correct me where I'm wrong. ;-)

3279. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 16:45:40 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-3D9242.11381720122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 64812

In article <3a40a539.4044567@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> On Wed, 20 Dec 2000 03:28:43 GMT, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >Oh, OK. I found out where you got this equation and, as I suspected,
> >you are using it out of context. Furthermore, it is the MAGNITUDE of
> >the impedance -- not the impedance -- EXACTLY AS I SAID. It does not
> >fully specify the impedance.
>
> And the equation Z = R + jX does not specify magnitude until you make
> the term 'j' a real number. And how do you do that? Square it. What is
> the equation you end up with? Z = (R + X)^. That is how you get a
> 'real' value of impedance. Even the ARRL isn't confusing about this.

Sparky, you're out of your league here. "Z = R + jX" does indeed
specify the magnitude of the impedance AND it specifies the angle.
The ARRL Handbook clearly shows how to find magnitude and angle
from these values.

You are really hung up on these polar coordinates. In general, there
is really no need to EVER specify an impedance in polar coordinates.
The ARRL Handbook was merely attempting to make the math a little easier
for non-engineering types like yourself who don't have access to an
engineering calculator which readily handles impedance in rectangular
coordinates.

Most engineers use rectangular coordinates for impedance (by the way,
this is confirmed by the ARRL Handbook). The reason being that
rectangular coordinates are more readily plotted on a Smith chart which
specifies impedance in terms of real and imaginary components. Try
plotting 38 (at an angle of 42 degrees) ohms on a Smith chart. You have
to convert to rectangular coordinates first.

> >I have to admit, however, the ARRL Handbook is confusing. What it
> >correctly calls magnitude here, it incorrectly calls impedance in the
> >subsequent section. That is the source of your confusion. Blame the
> >ARRL.
>
> So you are saying that _you_ are correct, but all the wisdom and
> experience of the engineers at the ARRL amounts to squat? Just what
> are your qualifications?

Well, if the ARRL Handbook contradicts itself, how can it be correct?
The reality is that the ARRL Handbook was written for non-engineers. It

does not adhere to strict mathematical definitions because doing so
would make it far too difficult for folks like you to follow.

> Polar notation does not give you a real value. That is what
> rectangular notation is for, because rectangular notation is the
> application of the Pythagorean Theorem to polar notation, i.e. angles,
> i.e. planar coordinates, i.e. VECTORS. You read, but you do not
> understand what you are reading. That is why it is confusing to you.

Polar and rectangular coordinates are simply two ways of specifying the
EXACT same thing. However, you were providing only HALF of the
impedance information in polar coordinates; you were providing ONLY the
MAGNITUDE but not the phase. Thus, you were not fully describing the
impedance.

> The ARRL isn't wrong, you are.

Then answer this:
If the ARRL calls 'Z' the MAGNITUDE of the IMPEDANCE and then later
calls it the IMPEDANCE, how can both be correct?

Is 'Z' the MAGNITUDE of the impedance?
Or is 'Z' the IMPEDANCE?

The equation they provide is for MAGNITUDE.
However, 'Z' is the universally accepted term for IMPEDANCE.
The magnitude of Z is correctly written as |Z|.
Perhaps the typesetter left this out.

> Check this out:
>
> (22.36 + j44.72)^ = 50 ohms
> (73 - j0)^ = 73 ohms
>
> The resonant antenna is mismatched and the reactive, non-resonant
> antenna is matched.

You are wrong. Neither is matched. Let us correctly apply the ARRL
Handbook formulas to achieve the correct answers:

22.36 + j44.72 ohms = 50 (at 63.43 degrees) ohms
73 - j0 ohms = 73 (at 0 degrees) ohms

Now, for a match, the impedance MUST be 50 + 0j ohms.

50 + j0 ohms = 50 (at 0 degrees) ohms

50 (at 0 degrees) ohms is NOT equal to 50 (at 63.43 degrees) ohms.

Weren't you the one who asked me what a vector was? (Yes)
Is a vectar at 0 degrees equal to a vector at 63.43 degrees? (No)
If I made you drive northeast for 50 miles, would you be at the
destination which is 50 miles to the east? (No)

> Now look at a different example in polar form:
>
> Zsource = 37 + j15 ohms
> Zload = 37 - j15 ohms

This is not polar form; it is rectangular form.

> Is that an impedance match? Yes. Will it reflect power? No. Is the
> load resonant? No.

Well, let's assume we are using a transmission line with a
characteristic impedance of 50 ohm as used by the overwhelming majority
of CBers (this is a CB radio newsgroup, remember?).

Is that an impedance match? Only if the transmission line is a multiple
of 1/2 wavelength; this is the only condition that will allow the source
impedance to be a conjugate match to the impedance seen on the coax
input.

Will it reflect power?
At the antenna? Yes.
Into the radio? Only if the transmission line is not a multiple of 1/2
wavelength. If the coax is a 1/2 wave multiple, a conjugate match is
established and no power reflects into the radio despite the fact that
there is power traveling in both directions and standing waves on the
transmission line.

> This means that the only way to eliminate standing waves is to
> properly match the antenna to the coax/source.

The only way to eliminate standing waves is to match the antenaa to the
characteristic impedance of the coax. You will have to achieve 50 ohms
(i.e. 50 + j0 ohms or 50 [at 0 degrees] ohms). Got it?

> Then, and only then, do you not need to worry about the length of the
> coax (except to make it as short as possible), which is what I have
> held all along.

Even with a mismatched antenna, changing the coax length does not change
the SWR so you NEVER have to worry about changing the length of the coax
because it doesn't matter.

3280. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 17:19:54 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <91qpo5$6vv$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 64814

You apparently have read but one of my posts, or you would know that I have added a couple of other things as well. Contrary to your opinions, I have hooked up a total of 17 people with elmers. These people did indeed have an interest. If it was but one, that would make my time worth while. Most of my private e-mail is from folks that want out of the melee but are afraid to get ragged on by the loud mouths in the newsgroup.

"CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net> wrote in message news:91qicl$9oe@dispatch.concentric.net...
> Fred one more thing, why did you feel compelled to come to this NG "to help
> anyone who had
> an interest in Amateur radio" people in this NG don't really care about the
> Ham world and they know how to find their way to the amateur NG. Are you a
> troll? Are you trying to impress someone here? 10K amps in a mobile is what
> is impressive here or haven't you noticed. I am sorry to here that some of
> the mental midgets resorted to improper e-mail. Somehow I think you may have
> encouraged it.
> Take your Ham gospel where it belongs. If you have something of value to add
> regarding CB radio then by all means post it here. I think your bright
> enough to know the difference.
>
> "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
> news:91ok5j$5vj$1@iac5.navix.net...
> > With all due respect, the items you have outlined are not within the scope
> > of CB. Yes, we would like to see CBers interested in long distance
> > communications etc join us. That being said, CBers are not required to
> keep
> > ham radio going. Our numbers are larger now than they have ever been. I am
> > sorry that your ears are plugged, but in spite of the protestations the
> FCC
> > has said (as a result of the new law) that with the help of locals the
> > problem would be addressed on the local level to remove the blatant
> > violators. Hams had nothing to do with the law, But! our interference
> > committee's have been in existence since 11 meters was a hamband and are a
> > source of information for the local police. I would not disagree that some

> > of the hams are salivating over the prospect of busting CBers but much
of
> > that can be placed at your own doorsteps.
> >
> > I came in this conference with one purpose, that was to help anyone who
> had
> > an interest in Amateur radio. No matter how reasoned a ham may be, there
> are
> > those that vilify any who enter here. This will create animosities that
> > justifies one helping to bust an illegal CBer.
> >
> > I will admit that out of desperation I finally told a Cber in private
mail
> > to set himself and his trailer on fire, I am not easy to upset, but this
> > idiot went way over the top sending filthy, vile messages to me. I have
> > dealt with 2 others, one a ham by turning their messages invisible :>)
> >
> >
> > "CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net> wrote in message
> > news:91oi6j$9or@dispatch.concentric.net...
> > > "or get in a radio service that permits the types of operation you
> choose
> > to
> > > engage in."
> > > This is the crux of much of the debate. The Ham community trying to
> > bolster
> > > their ranks wit the CB operators
> > > many capable CB operators who have chosen NOT to succumb to the
> beuacracy
> > > and stodgy out dated rules and regulations. Fred if you wish your
> beloved
> > > radio service to continue then all you hams had better petition for
the
> > new
> > > laws and regs the would seduce the CB community to your ranks. Like
> labor
> > > unions no members no strength.
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

3281. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 17:25:56 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <91qq3e$72k$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 64815

Dick, My previous message stated WITHOUT some matching network. I agree than you can match a number of ways, 1/4 wave stubs, tapped coils etc. The statement was made that a whip antenna could be cut to resonance and by the posters definition it was 50 ohms. He claimed that all resonant antennas were 50 ohms.

I challenged him to come up with a single antenna that was 50 ohms with out some type of matching. Heck I have matched rain gutters with a gamma match.

Fred W4JLE

"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message
news:wFP%5.7814$V4.887217@nntp3.onemain.com...
>
> "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
> news:91o93ktd1@iac5.navix.net...
> > Doc I will make a flat statement. NO CB mobile antenna is 50 ohms at
> > resonance.
>
> Fred, you are digging yourself a bigger hole and wheel-spinning at that.
> Just tap the loading coil for 50 ohms. Kind of like they did for your 5/8
> on two meters.
>
> Dick NBK
>
>

3282. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 17:26:56 UTC
From: Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com>
Message-ID: <91qq5a$733$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 64816

As you failed to quote, I am unsure as to what statement your post applies.

"'Doc" <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message news:3A3FEAA2.D646B486@oio.net...
>
>
> Fred,
> Which is beside the point. Stick to what we're talking
> about. You keep making to irrelevant, and mostly incorrect
> statements. (That hole your digging is just getting deeper)
> 'Doc

3283. Punishmybootie413 tries again...and fails egregiously

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 17:29:47 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001220122947.19846.00004781@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 64817

punishmybootie413@anal.com, while munching his kitty's carpet, drooled through the encrusted stuff on his lips and mustache:

>good one n8 i give up youre too easy ....ill leave you alone ok .....son

N8wwm sparklingly replied:
Son??? shoot...I would have been yer daddy but a poodle beat me over the back fence.

3284. Re: DAVEMADE AMPS are ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 17:32:22 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001220123222.19846.00004783@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 64818

rapedHishand@turdworks.com dribbled:
>I have a better fact you are an asshole ... Now thats a fact Jack!
>
Well, thats where you have it wrong...I am a partial asshole. Just to
sociopaths who operate illegal radios. Other than that I am a swell guy. I say
hello to people and I'm kind to cats.

3285. Re: Results of New Law??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 17:38:24 UTC
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <3A40EE90.4EA7@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 64820

CitySlicker wrote:
>
> Anything you would here now would be BS. Most local authorities aren't aware
> of the bill let alone passing legislation to enforce it.
>
Its only been out a month and the Local Yokels (State Legislatures and
Local
Govt Boards) have had the time to be exposed, lets wait to see what
develops.
I suspect if there is a problem in an area, there will be a Law.

Martin N5PZJ

> "Maniac9188" <maniac9188@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20001220012931.28092.00000406@ng-fm1.aol.com...
> > Has anyone had ANY local law enforcement confrontations, involving the
> bill
> > that was signed on 11/29?? Just wondering.... So much talk on what will
> happen,
> > and how much things will change, and all..... Just wondering if
> anything is
> > actually happening anywhere .... Please include TRUE instances only.
> > Maniac.

3286. MissPainincoochie tries and fails.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 17:40:25 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001220124025.19846.00004784@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 64819

misspainindacoochie@keyclowns.com
dribbled forth the following bullshit while muching his squirrel turds:
>You call me a
>registered moron. What a Dipshit !!!!!!
>MR.PAINï¿½, Registered moron.

N8wwm sparklingly replied:
Bevis my boy, you have it wrong. I am not bitter about anything. I chuckle at jive ass registered morons like you who take the crap seriously.

Bitter about what? Bitter because I can pull yer freakin chain anytime I want?

Hey Painindacoochie, you can join my fan club now if you want. I have more than 10 responses from you. That qualifies you to get the secret N8wwm decoder ring, too. Aintcha happy now?

3287. Re: Vs: Has the dust settled yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 17:46:12 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001220124612.19846.00004785@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 64821

Punishmybootie413@anal.com dribbled:
>I THOUGHT HAMS WERE SUPPOSED TO BE SMART.....IS MY GRAMMER THAT MESSED UP
>THAT
>THEY DONT UNDER STAND WHAT I MEAN, WHEN I SAY LICENCED OPERATORS? ANY WAY
>I
>REST MY CASE ...I KNOW N8WWM CANT KEY ON ME AND I KNOW HE CANT KICK MY ASS
>SO
>IAINT SWEATIN IT....GOD BLESS YOU HAMS ..AND YES IVE BEEN ILLEGAL FOR OVER
>15YRS....AND WHAT?????

N8wwm sparklingly replied:
You aint sweatin cuz ya aint got the brains to sweat it, CB dork head.
And by the way, I dont kick asses like you, I have a 4 year old that handles my light work.
Cant key up on you? Hey if I was the sort to do that I would be ILLEGAL, like you.
Shows your moron mentality, Bevis.
If brains were height you'd be 7 inches tall.

3288. Re: MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 17:48:09 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001220124809.13029.00008329@nso-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 64822

"The RF World According to Jeffery Herman" is gospel truth!

How can you possibly doubt such a master? After all, he *is* a state government employee. They know *everything*, dontcha know?

3289. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 17:56:20 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A40F2C4.7C96F87E@oio.net>
Source record: 64823

Sparky wrote:
>
> On Wed, 20 Dec 2000 05:45:24 -0600, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote:
>
> > It's very simple Sparky, it isn't relavent to this because
> >it isn't/wasn't what we were talking about at all. It is
> >merely more obfuscation on your part. Have you gotten as
> >far as the "straight answer/direct answer" post? If so, how
> >about providing a few?
> > 'Doc
>
> Well, let's see what you were talking about . . .
>
> >> >> > All 'conjugate' matching is done with at least two
> >> >> >feedlines of differing characteristic impedances.
>
> Looks like I was right on the subject, unless you really intended to
> say something else. Sorry, I can't "divine" your intent.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

There is no need for any 'divine intervention', I said
exactly what I meant. Unfortunately, you can't say/do
the same. I do see what your intent is, though. No matter
what anyone says to disagree with you, you will find fault
with it, even when what was said is correct. That tells
me that you know that you have already been proven wrong,
and you simply can not acknowledge it. Thats sad...
'Doc

3290. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 17:57:51 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A40F31F.8F88238C@oio.net>
Source record: 64824

Sparky wrote:
>
> On Wed, 20 Dec 2000 02:41:40 GMT, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >Sparky, what useful comments did you provide to my original request?
> >You dodged the issue, plain and simple. We want straight answers.
>
> You ignore straight answers.

Sparky,
How can you say that? You haven't provided any
straight answers yet.
'Doc

3291. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 18:11:34 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A40F656.1010ABFC@oio.net>
Source record: 64825

Sparky wrote:
>
> On Wed, 20 Dec 2000 03:28:43 GMT, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
--------clipped-------
> Polar notation does not give you a real value. That is what
> rectangular notation is for, because rectangular notation is the
> application of the Pythagorean Theorem to polar notation, i.e. angles,
> i.e. planar coordinates, i.e. VECTORS. You read, but you do not
> understand what you are reading. That is why it is confusing to you.
> The ARRL isn't wrong, you are.

Polar notation doesn't give you a real value? Oh come on Sparky!
Of course polar coordinates are 'real'. Not only in electronics,
but in many other applications. How about navigation, isn't the
TACAN nav-aides real, how about when an aircraft is given a vector
to fly (polar coordinates, direction and distance)? Polar notation
is very real Sparky, but I'm beginning to wonder about you...
'Doc

3292. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 18:15:17 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A40F735.3E53450A@oio.net>
Source record: 64826

Handy Andy wrote:
>
> Greetings!
>
> Thanks Doc,
>
> But one question that is still in my mind...
>
> It deals with elements and surface area available for ground.
>
> What occurs when there is not enough surface area to make the antenna
> resoant at 50 ohms?
>
> Hint, 8 feet of ground surface, but trying to work a 9 foot antenna.
>
> It's when things are not equal.
>
> When you can answer that, I will go to bed.
>

I wish I had seen this earlier so you could have gone to bed
at a reasonable hour.
What do you do when there isn't enough surface area to make
an antenna resonant at 50 ohms? One answer is to load it. Now,
go to bed.
'Doc

3293. Re: Left Coast Radio: What happened?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 18:16:22 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A40F776.752591CE@oio.net>
Source record: 64827

Endless, Nameless wrote:
>
> Went to their site and there is another dealer there. Did they get
> bought out or something?
>
> --
>
> "Move along, nothing to see here"
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

If the 'other' dealer was EEI, they were bought out.
'Doc

3294. FS: Galaxy DX-959

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 18:43:52 UTC
From: GW <gary041@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <I5706.319$Im4.21885@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64828

For sale: Nice condition Galaxy DX-959 with original box,
manual, mic, power cord and mounting bracket. Radio is
a 9 out of 10, never been mobile. Has built in 5 digit counter
AM,USB,LSB, large S-meter, and variable talkback.
$100 shipping included from NJ.

Too many other features to list here, email for details.
To see a photo of this radio go here:
http://www.bills2way.com/equip/dx959.html

Thanks,
Gary

3295. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 19:03:12 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1513-3A410270-19@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64832

Uh-uh! We were not talking about them kicking your door in for radio interference. We were talking about laws, not rules and regulatons. What you refer to IS sitcom material, but no one alluded to that scenario other than you.

3296. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 19:05:51 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1513-3A41030F-20@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64833

17, huh? Name one, just ONE, who is a regular here.

3297. Re: DAVEMADE AMPS ARE ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 19:08:05 UTC
From: Raped Ape <rapedape@earthworks.com>
Message-ID: <ps706.1778$Xz4.500426@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64829

oh yeah you should take there advise ! who the hell are you? besides
=====}|||||||||||||||||||}>----------" <mailto:dr_kavorkian@hotmail.com>
wrote in message news:HhY%5.640$%33.142596@nnrp3.sbc.net...
> At least they have the balls to post who they are!!!
>
> "Raped Ape" <rapedape@earthworks.com> wrote in message
> news:wAQ%5.1478$u31.299554@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
> > Today you guys are Buddies tommorow one of you will stab the other in
the
> > back. must be the water up there in illinois!
> >
> > "CarriageCarWash" <carriagecarwash@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20001219143738.04005.00005367@ng-ct1.aol.com...
> > > >Subject: Re: DAVEMADE AMPS ARE ILLEGAL
> > > >From: MR123IL@webtv.net
> > > >Date: 12/19/00 12:40 PM Central Standard Time
> > > >Message-id: <27341-3A3FABAA-20@storefull-295.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
> > > >
> > >
> > > >Hay Frank,
> > > >Now how fast is that "BLOWN 5.0"
> > > >Marry x-mas man....
> > > >
> > > >MR.123ILL.
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Believe it or not dan...its gone....sold it..LOL...i just can't keep
> > anything
> > > to long. I had it cookin pretty good though. Had some nice aluminum
> > heads...and
> > > added a custom grind roller cam. Take it easy...Merry X-mas..
> > >
> > > 937...chicago
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3298. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 19:14:03 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <c1124toqk04vbp86ng12a8hjo33vp4kehd@4ax.com>
Source record: 64830

Greetings!

Is that your answer? "Load"?

LOL!

I'll leave the light on for you.

Why?

1. Unable to answer.
2. No clue as to what I'm asking.
3. I won.

Sorry Doc,

I won't change my pages just for that kind of answer.

On Wed, 20 Dec 2000 12:15:17 -0600, while the modem was ice fishing
with the Mouse, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> dissertated the following:

:+> I wish I had seen this earlier so you could have gone to bed
:+> at a reasonable hour.
:+> What do you do when there isn't enough surface area to make
:+> an antenna resonant at 50 ohms? One answer is to load it. Now,
:+> go to bed.
:+> 'Doc

Regards! |
.:+> Andy <+: |
. |
... You could be a CB'er when |
... The radio and the antenna]|[
... costs more than the car ---^---
... is worth... /_______\
. |oo|===|oo|
. http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc [=========]
. |_| |_|
http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

3299. Re: DAVEMADE WORLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 19:19:19 UTC
From: Raped Ape <rapedape@earthworks.com>
Message-ID: <XC706.1788$Xz4.501978@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64834

Hay isnt that right from the backstabbers mouth? sounds like un-employment
suits you well ...

mind as well buddie up with anyone who will listen right 123

<MR123IL@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:4450-3A400E79-63@storefull-297.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Got that shit right!!
> Hay TollFree,
> I think you are wasting your time on the
> nominds on this "NG"
>
> It's look to me they will say anything short of boinking your momma and
> they might even say they will do that..
>
> Have a good one man.
> MR.123ILL.
>

3300. Re: One ugly cuss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 19:26:32 UTC
From: ")======}||||||||||||||||||}>----------"
Message-ID: <fz706.43$1h3.18427@nnrp2.sbc.net>
Source record: 64831

Do you see him posting?
"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message
news:DXV%5.19992$sw.1291907@nntp2.onemain.com...
> Heavens to Murgatroyd we are up to seven fish trolled, hooked, gaffed and
> creeled by N8WWM.
> Sure as hell doesn't take a lot to bag your limit on this newsgripe.
>
>
> "Ron Austin" <daustin@kalnet.net> wrote in message
> news:3A4024AD.4168001C@kalnet.net...
> > looks like the doctor should have slapped his mother for having
> something that ugly
> >
> > Bendowersagain wrote:
> >
> > > N8WWM in the flesh. I kind of feel sorry for the guy now. Fat, ugly,
> and
> > > without a women. Kind of explains his actions, doesn't it? I suppose,
> in order
> > > to help him cope with his loneliness and deep depression, we should
> all respond
> > > to his lame postings as often as possible.
> > > Check out his home page below.
> > >
> > > http://hometown.aol.com/n8wwm/
> >
>
>
>

3301. Vs: Vs: Has the dust settled yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 19:30:09 UTC
From: Gary <gary@larry.hi>
Message-ID: <91r1df$a1n$1@news.koti.tpo.fi>
Source record: 64837

WTF do you mean? I'm right here, and the freebanders etc. are enjoying their "privacy". So it goes, as Kurt Vonnegut usta say. Gary

3302. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 19:37:50 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A410A8E.2B1EB0DE@oio.net>
Source record: 64836

Handy A',
I wouldn't ask you to change your 'page' for anything!
I won't even ask you to change you mind. Both provide too
much amusement.
Did you ever think that ~you~ may not know what ~I~
was talking about? Probably not, but that's okay too...
'Doc
PS - Do you remember an old song about a "Winner"?

3303. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 19:39:32 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001220143932.04443.00001737@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 64835

"ShittyLicker" not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net, dribbled through his bites of squirrel turds:

>10K amps in a mobile is what
>is impressive here or haven't you noticed.

N8wwm brilliantly responded:
Yeah, and that's why you clowns have this new law. A buncha sociopathic weirdos who think a radiois a penis extension. That is all you power freaks are.

3304. Re: Way worse than any Voober!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 20:16:27 UTC
From: ssb411d@cs.com
Message-ID: <20001220151627.08786.00006172@ng-fz1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 64838

I notice the website's been changed. The voting function has disappeared and the tone is noticeably less "militant."(Although an image of a "black" helicopter has appeared.) The picture of his QTH(It appeared to be a trailer home.) has also disappeared.

He's probably a decent guy...albeit this site does indicate that somethings amiss. I actually feel a little sorry for the guy. Just think what must it take for a person to get to this point...alot more than rf interference.

Merry Christmas and Happy New Year to all in the group.

73 de Bert
SSB411D

3305. Re: MissPainincoochie tries and fails.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 20:22:30 UTC
From: "Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com>
Message-ID: <91r4dt$k26$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64839

N8wwm Dribbled the following jibberish with Ho-HOs and Twinkies smeared on his face after molesting the boy next door:

In article <20001220124025.19846.00004784@ng-ft1.aol.com>,
n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> misspainindacoochie@keyclowns.com
> dribbled forth the following bullshit while muching his squirrel turds:
> >You call me a
> >registered moron. What a Dipshit !!!!!!
> >MR.PAIN&#65533;, Registered moron.
>
> N8wwm sparklingly replied:
> Bevis my boy, you have it wrong. I am not bitter about anything. I chuckle at
> jive ass registered morons like you who take the crap seriously.
>
> Bitter about what? Bitter because I can pull yer freakin chain anytime I want?
>
> Hey Painindacoochie, you can join my fan club now if you want. I have more than
> 10 responses from you. That qualifies you to get the secret N8wwm decoder ring,
> too. Aintcha happy now?
>
>

--
MR.PAIN&#65533; Replied :
You can't do any better than that Fatboy????? That registered moron shit is kinda old and so are the squirrel droppings, but that's cool Im not the registered child molester. Do you think you you could get your mind off of food long enough to come up with something else Fatass???? There's a donut in it for you, maybe even some chocolate!!!! I might even give you the dried french fries under my seat if your a good little fatboy!!!!

Oh yeah, Whats up with that hairdo ? Ever heard of shampoo? That is some Nasty, Greasy looking funk.If I were you I'de shave that stank off!

Why dont you join the Mr.Pain&#65533; Fanclub you'de have alot more fun! you might loose more than 50 pounds , and yes you might even meet some honeys that If I got them real drunk might even give you a peice!!
Thats assuming they could find your little Pepe under the ole spare

tire!
Have fun with this one, because it only brings a smile to my face , and
I'm back out Eat a Twinkie&#65533; for me!!!
Mr.Pain&#65533;

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3306. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 20:32:06 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <tk524ts833k1l1mjedot7qklf2mt62blr3@4ax.com>
Source record: 64840

Greetings!

Considering that you haven't answered any questions as of late, I
guess I won.

Thank you.

You may reside on the porch.

And you're in my filter box.

On Wed, 20 Dec 2000 13:37:50 -0600, while the modem was ice fishing
with the Mouse, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> dissertated the following:

<old_phart>
...[...]...
</old_phart>

Hmmm...thats what I thought...

3307. sbe landmaster ? any info ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 20:37:49 UTC
From: phrawg@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91r5atkqv1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64841

Just aquired a condition 9+ SBE Landmaster ssb base station with original desk mic, manual, schematic etc. Have not seen any mentioned or for sale anywhere. Anyone know if this is a rare one or any idea of value ? Any history of where in the line this came out or when ? Works perfect after just a puff of control cleaner in all of the pots and switches. Thanks, The Phrawg

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3308. correction SBE is a Landcommand not master

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 20:49:14 UTC
From: phrawg@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91r606led1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64842

SBE base mentioned is a land commander. Was thinking of Pace commercial vhf products. The Phrawg

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3309. Re: Way worse than any Voober!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 20:59:23 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91r6j5$m07$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64844

In article <559_5.5145$925.261378@news.direcpc.com>,
"Unit 663" <chevy402@vilinet.net> wrote:
> This is great. Can you believe there are actually people bored enough
with
> their own lives that they have to resort to this. Can you imagine
when this
> guy calls the enforcement officers over at the FCC; Agent Harris its
Wally
> again. Oh no, Tell him Im outta town.
>
>

well it makes you wonder where these guys come from and why do they
think the way they do. being a tattletale is a trait that seems to be
prevalent in the ham ranks these days, judging from what has transpired
on this ng, the last few weeks. i wonder if many hams are proud of this
new tradition?,.....cd is out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3310. BROWNING MARK 3 (LATE VERSION) EXCELLENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 20:59:33 UTC
From: dwight136@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001220155933.12479.00005702@ng-bg1.aol.com>
Source record: 64843

HAVE A BROWNING MARK 3 THAT IS AS PRETTY AS THEY COME ONLY HAS ONE MINOR HAIRLINE NICK ON FRONT OF THE RECEIVER HAVE TO LOOK HARD TO SEE IT ! THIS BROWNING HAS NOT BEEN MODIFIED OR BEEN INTO ...IT IS CLEAN AND SHINNY INSIDE OUT AND WORKS 100% WOW WHAT A PING ..I WILL SHIP THIS TO YOUR DOOR FOR $600.00 FIRM ...THIS IS A REAL COLLECTORS RIG ...

3311. Re: DAVEMADE AMPS are ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 21:20:16 UTC
From: bendowersagain@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001220162016.27911.00002032@ng-me1.aol.com>
Source record: 64845

> n8wwm@aol.comnospam said

>Other than that I am a smelly guy. I say
>hello to people and I'm kind of fat.

Ok. So your a smelly friendly fat guy. Whats your point?

3312. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 21:58:02 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <91ra1a$9oc@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 64846

A crime is crime according to many here. So if they can kick in your door
for any perceived violation why would that exclude radio violations?
<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:1513-3A410270-19@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Uh-uh! We were not talking about them kicking your door in for radio
> interference. We were talking about laws, not rules and regulatons. What
> you refer to IS sitcom material, but no one alluded to that scenario
> other than you.
>

3313. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 22:04:24 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <91rad8$9oo@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 64648

One was enough thank you. I peruse this NG often and I have yet to see a post here regarding "How do I become a Ham operator" Now I may have missed one or two but I don't think I could overlook 17. Fred, I think your knee deep in Bull hockey and are creating your own little fantasy world. Take it back to the Ham groups where life is the town of Mayberry. CBers represent the real world.

"Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
news:91qpo5$6vv$1@iac5.navix.net...
> You apparently have read but one of my posts, or you would know that I
have
> added a couple of other things as well. Contrary to your opinions, I have
> hooked up a total of 17 people with elmers. These people did indeed have
an
> interest. If it was but one, that would make my time worth while. Most of
my
> private e-mail is from folks that want out of the melee but are afraid to
> get ragged on by the loud mouths in the newsgroup.
>
>
> "CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net> wrote in message
> news:91qicl$9oe@dispatch.concentric.net...
> > Fred one more thing, why did you feel compelled to come to this NG "to
> help
> > anyone who had
> > an interest in Amateur radio" people in this NG don't really care about
> the
> > Ham world and they know how to find their way to the amateur NG. Are you
a
> > troll? Are you trying to impress someone here? 10K amps in a mobile is
> what
> > is impressive here or haven't you noticed. I am sorry to here that some
of
> > the mental midgets resorted to improper e-mail. Somehow I think you may
> have
> > encouraged it.
> > Take your Ham gospel where it belongs. If you have something of value to
> add
> > regarding CB radio then by all means post it here. I think your bright
> > enough to know the difference.
> >
> > "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
> > news:91ok5j$5vj$1@iac5.navix.net...
> > > With all due respect, the items you have outlined are not within the

> scope
> > > of CB. Yes, we would like to see CBers interested in long distance
> > > communications etc join us. That being said, CBers are not required to
> > keep
> > > ham radio going. Our numbers are larger now than they have ever been.
I
> am
> > > sorry that your ears are plugged, but in spite of the protestations
the
> > FCC
> > > has said (as a result of the new law) that with the help of locals the
> > > problem would be addressed on the local level to remove the blatant
> > > violators. Hams had nothing to do with the law, But! our interference
> > > committee's have been in existence since 11 meters was a hamband and
are
> a
> > > source of information for the local police. I would not disagree that
> some
> > > of the hams are salivating over the prospect of busting CBers but much
> of
> > > that can be placed at your own doorsteps.
> > >
> > > I came in this conference with one purpose, that was to help anyone
who
> > had
> > > an interest in Amateur radio. No matter how reasoned a ham may be,
there
> > are
> > > those that vilify any who enter here. This will create animosities
that
> > > justifies one helping to bust an illegal CBer.
> > >
> > > I will admit that out of desperation I finally told a Cber in private
> mail
> > > to set himself and his trailer on fire, I am not easy to upset, but
this
> > > idiot went way over the top sending filthy, vile messages to me. I
have
> > > dealt with 2 others, one a ham by turning their messages invisible :>)
> > >
> > >
> > > "CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net> wrote in message
> > > news:91oi6j$9or@dispatch.concentric.net...
> > > > "or get in a radio service that permits the types of operation you
> > choose
> > > to
> > > > engage in."
> > > > This is the crux of much of the debate. The Ham community trying to
> > > bolster
> > > > their ranks wit the CB operators

> > > > many capable CB operators who have chosen NOT to succumb to the
> > beuacracy
> > > > and stodgy out dated rules and regulations. Fred if you wish your
> > beloved
> > > > radio service to continue then all you hams had better petition for
> the
> > > new
> > > > laws and regs the would seduce the CB community to your ranks. Like
> > labor
> > > > unions no members no strength.
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

3314. Re: One ugly cuss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 22:10:16 UTC
From: ")======}||||||||||||||||||}>----------"
Message-ID: <NY906.78$M62.35415@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Source record: 64847

Ignore him PAIN.
"Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com> wrote in message
news:91qkcf$50f$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!! No wonder you're bitter!!!! Were you one of
> Jerry's kids???? I left you a dollar in the jar at 7-11. You call me a
> registered moron. What a Dipshit !!!!!!
> MR.PAIN&#65533;
>
>
>
> In article <20001220083723.26585.00004882@ng-fu1.aol.com>,
> n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> > I am LOVING the comments you dildos are putting up about my web page.
> Call me
> > anything you want, all it does is prove my point.
> >
> > Thank you so much, fans.
> >
> > Laughin' my ass off.
> >
> >
>
> --
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

3315. Re: Punishmybootie413 tries again...and fails egregiously

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 22:11:57 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001220171157.23172.00005460@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 64649

YOURE RUNNING OUT OF GAS , SINCE YOUVE BEEN EXPOSED,... I GIVE YOU CREDIT FOR STAYING IN THE NG AFTER ALL THAT......TRY TO FLAME BETTER...CANT FLAME A FLAMER......YOUR ONLY A FLAMING FAG AS YOUR PIX SHOW......LOSER....GET OUTTA HERE

3316. Re: Vs: Has the dust settled yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 22:15:33 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001220171533.23172.00005461@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 64650

YOU BETTER WATCH WHO YOU CALL DORK........"DORK"......N8WWM<<<<DORK

3317. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 22:17:10 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A412FE6.B5636B25@oio.net>
Source record: 64651

Handy Andy wrote:
>
> Greetings!
>
> Considering that you haven't answered any questions as of late, I
> guess I won.
>
> Thank you.
>
> You may reside on the porch.
>
> And you're in my filter box.

Thank you! And you may continue to guess.
'Doc

3318. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-20 23:22:37 UTC
From: MR123IL@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2790-3A413F3D-50@storefull-292.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64652

What a big KISS??????????

3319. Repeaters lists + radio-mobile softward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 00:03:24 UTC
From: F6GKD <f6gkd@wanadoo.fr>
Message-ID: <91rhc3$j6n$1@wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 64653

http://www.ref-union.org/cnrr/
--
f6gkd (CNRR)

3320. New Law?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 00:20:46 UTC
From: Dave <cubit@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <91rifs$fv24$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64654

Please excuse if this has been covered, but I'm new to the group.

I gather there is a new Federal law allowing States to make laws on CB and then enforce them.

Can the States enhance the permitted features? For example, could they allow 25 watts FM?
Could they allow Spread Spectrum transceivers?
CTCSS?
Digital transmitter identification?

3321. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 01:01:23 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a414dcf.209743@news.gate.net>
Source record: 64655

On Wed, 20 Dec 2000 09:56:13 -0500, Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net> wrote:

*Greetings!
*
*Hmm. Until you can prove otherwise, by experience and not theory,
*then I will consider your request.
****

This is not a test. You will not get an "F" if you don't try it. That is fine with me. I have already shown mathematically some of the theory on more than one occasion with you. You seem to keep rejecting the ideas that I propose. That again is fine with me. Just believe this, when warranted I will correct your invalid and erroneous statements. If you choose to accept them, then fine. Otherwise it will not hurt me at all.

****
*Quoting theory is what you have stated fine for those that only take it with
theory. It's
*all on paper.
****

Mock theory all you wish. It is from the teory that basic concepts of RF transmission line and wwave propogation are derived. Mock the theory and you mock the very basis for the knowledge that you seek and yet refuse to accept. Because I know some of the "theory", I can take practical knowledge and apply basic concepts of electronics that you mock and build understanding of what I see happening in the real world. You sit and observe and have little idea of what is happening and wonder why. Your knowledge is gained from practical experience. There is nothing wrong with that. By many of your posts and from your web page I can tell your knowledge is incomplete and when I and others try to enhance and correct your knowledge, we at times will conflict with your preconceived ideas. When this happens, you and others revert to attacks. You mock the very knowledge that you seek. To me that is foolish. If you wish to do so, then be that way. Your actions or words will not prevent me from correcting you when I feel compelled to do so.

****
*Now for the rest of us in the real world...I have something
*different...
****

What makes you think that I do not live in the real world?

****
*Now, about that 9 foot antenna on an 8 foot ground image.
*

*Care to elaborate on the function of a coaxial dipole?
*
****

Which antenna do you require explanation on. A nine foot antenna over an 8 foot diameter ground plane or a coaxial sleave antenna? They are two diferent antennea. Also what is the operating frequency band in which the antennae operate? That information will help to generate a more specific answer.

james

****
*On Tue, 19 Dec 2000 17:19:23 -0600, while the modem was ice fishing
*with the Mouse, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> dissertated the following:
*
*:+>
*:+>
*:+> Handy Andy,
*:+> Your right in one respect, "They ain't got a clue".
*:+> Unfortunately,
*:+> you fall into that catagory. Your doing your self, and all those
*:+> who 'listen' to you a disfavor by keeping this semi-literate stuff
*:+> going, and especially when you set up a web page to do it.
*:+> 'Doc
*
*
*Regards!
*:+> Andy <+:
*
*... You know your radio is really Modulating when...
*... Elvis shows up at your doorstep and asks to use
*... your Microphone!!!
*
*http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm
*

3322. Re: MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 01:08:30 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <91rl6eejk1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 64656
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Jim Weir:
>Jeffrey:
>>Jim Weir:
>>>jeffrey:

>>To assist you in understanding what's apparently a new meaning
>>to you for an old term, here's a tutorial from the League's
>>Antenna Book, Page 17, 10th Ed.:

>Oh, Geezuz. Quoting from a monument to ignorance isn't making you any points.

It's a definition, fer cryin' out loud. The definitions of skip, skip
zone, and skip distance don't vary from one reference to another.

Don't feel bad about misusing and misunderstanding those terms -- that's
a common mistake.

>You give new meaning to "potty trained at gunpoint".

Tossing insults won't deflect the fact that you misunderstood the
definition of "skip."

>Debate over from this end.

There is no debate. I'm right, you're wrong.

Jeff KH6O

3323. Re: VHF Propagation(was MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 01:21:27 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <91rlunffg1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 64659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

John Bowers <jb2101@my-deja.com> wrote:
>My own experience agrees with Hans'.

Conclusions based upon anecdotal data ("Same here // me too // I agree")
should always be approached cautiously no matter what statistical
analysis have been performed on them. Now unless you're prepared to
state the statistical methods and operations you've performed in
your long-term VHF experiments, I stand by my original statement:
One should *expect* LOS communications on VHF, especially the MURS
users with their HTs, coupld of watts, and stubby helical antenna.

>Secondly, if you're going to
>pretend to have done any heavy VHF use, then learn the difference
>between DIFfraction and REfraction.

Yes, I regret the mistake, and I do know the diference. Our lighthouse
Fresnel lenses work on the principal of both refraction and differaction,
and I understand the principals fully.

>VHF propagation is very seldom optical line of sight with edge
>diffraction sometimes helping, but with atmospheric refraction often
>extending the range well beyond the optical horizon.

The topic concerns the new radio service MURS. To lead users of this
new CB service into believing that they can *expect* greater than
LOS communication on a regular day-to-day basis is just plain wrong.

Jeff KH6O

3324. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 01:25:52 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a425834.2871031@news.gate.net>
Source record: 64657

On Mon, 18 Dec 2000 17:21:07 -0500, tnom@mucks.net wrote:

*Who said the transmission line length can't be used
*reduce the swr????
*
*Follow this link:
*
*http://people.delphi.com/CecilMoore/mystery.htm
*
****

When using coax to match a transmitter to an antenna the term SWR, as seen from a meter located at the transmitter/coax junction, is not exactly the correct termonolgy. Instead we are not measureing the SWR at the antenna, but we are using a SWR meter to determine a matched condition. We can see a matched condition by two means:

1) adjusting the antenna and/or antenna matching network
2) adjsuting the length of coax

With the SWR meter at the transmitter/coax junction we will see the same results. That in no way is an acurrate measurement of the SWR on the line itself. Measureing the SWR on a transmission line is not just inserting a meter and reading some numbers. Most measurements taken at the transmitter output are just an indication of match to the transmission line. Ideally it is best to match the load to the characteristic impedance of the transmssion line and also the transmitter to the transmission line. In this situation the transmision line becomes nothing more than a very low loss conduit for the RF wave to travel down to the antenna. Introduce a mismatch at the antenna end and we introduce more obstructions in the conduit. Now due to some very nice laws, the length of the conduit can be altered to allow the transmitter to think that the load on the other end of the conduit is matched. In reality it is not. By adjusting the length we reduce some of the affects the antenna mismatch introduces. We do not total reduce the obstruction in the conduit but we reduce them some. The transmitter is happy, but we could have a more efficient system.

james

3325. Re: New Law?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 01:29:59 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
Message-ID: <3A415C58.2F719C09@home.com>
Source record: 64658

You sort of answered your own question in a sense. The various states will be able to create law to enforce existing federal law, id est, they could only allow local law enforcement to handle the many complaints and issues arrising from illegal use of CB equipment. This would in no way permit states to allow greater privileges. Since rf crosses state and national boundaries, the issue of who gets to use what ultimatesly is a federal matter.

Dave wrote:

> Please excuse if this has been covered, but I'm new to the group.
>
> I gather there is a new Federal law allowing States to make laws on CB and
> then enforce them.
>
> Can the States enhance the permitted features? For example, could they
> allow 25 watts FM?
> Could they allow Spread Spectrum transceivers?
> CTCSS?
> Digital transmitter identification?

3326. Re: MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 01:38:01 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <91rmtp$g9s$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 64660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

John Bowers <jb2101@my-deja.com> wrote:
>But then, someone who doesn't know the difference between diffraction
>and refraction, and someone who apparently hasn't operated non-repeater
>VHF isn't to be taken too seriously.

In the three years I worked at Coast Guard Radio Honolulu, we had only one reported case of tropo ducting permitting comms between us and the West Coast (CG Radio Long Beach). Those channels were busy 24 and 7, yet only one reported case (the operators were to log everything possible, especially any propagation anomalies). For you to mislead prospective users of this new CB service, MURS, into believing that they have greater than LOS comms with an HT is nonsense.

>It also made it quite clear to me why appliance
>operators like Jeff would be quite baffled by such a reality.

Appliance operator? Most of my HF transmitters are homebuilt from scratch (transmitters for 160m, 80m, 40m, and 30m). I began building Heathkits when I was an 8-year-old young pup in 1962, and have been building gear since. Go back to the '93 and '94 archives and look up the circuits I used to post on here with their ASCII schematics, trying to encourage others to build their own gear. My current project is the rebuilding of a Galaxy GT-550 xcvr with the ultimate goal of rewiring the final stage so it will run 6146s instead of the expensive 6LB6s.

Jeff KH6O

3327. Re: VHF Propagation(was MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 01:52:46 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <91rnpe$h6v$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 64661
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

John Bowers <jb2101@my-deja.com> wrote:
>My own experience agrees with Hans'.

Conclusions based upon anecdotal data ("Same here // me too // I agree")
should always be approached cautiously no matter what statistical
analysis has been performed. Now unless you're prepared to state the
statistical methods and operations you've performed in your long-term MURS
VHF experiments, I stand by my original statement:
One should *expect* LOS communications on VHF, especially the MURS
users with their HTs, 1 or 2 watts of output power, and stubby helical
antennas.

>Secondly, if you're going to
>pretend to have done any heavy VHF use, then learn the difference
>between DIFfraction and REfraction.

Yes, I regret the mistake, and I do know the diference. Our lighthouse
Fresnel lenses work on the principles of both refraction and differaction,
and I understand the principles fully.

>VHF propagation is very seldom optical line of sight with edge
>diffraction sometimes helping, but with atmospheric refraction often
>extending the range well beyond the optical horizon.

The topic concerns the new radio service MURS. To lead users of this
new CB service into believing that they can *expect* greater than
LOS communication on a regular day-to-day basis is just plain wrong.

Jeff KH6O

3328. Re: New Law?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 02:07:49 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A4165F5.1458325A@oio.net>
Source record: 64662

Dave,
Very simply, no.
'Doc

3329. Re: MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 02:36:26 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001220213626.04468.00009734@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 64663

In article <91rl6eejk1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) writes:

>There is no debate. I'm right, you're wrong.

Arrogant, too.

3330. Sidebanders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 02:38:14 UTC
From: skypilot@writeme.com
Message-ID: <stq24tgpv77buq9q1ltua26ehk8rpn2ebh@4ax.com>
Source record: 64664

I have a question for all single sidebanders out there.

Why is everyone congregating on Channel 38 lower????

Last time I checked, channels 36 through 40 were considered as sideband channels. I hear very little activity outside of channel 38L.

It is bad enough that the number of SSBers has dwindled over the years. If were do not use these channels then we will certainly be relegated to that one channel in the future.

Make your contact on channels 38L and then move another channel.

Cheers

Brian AE966
SSB-8092A

Brian J. Rueger | Hampton Div. of Fire & Rescue | "Who dares wins"
Lieutenant/Paramedic | EMS-3 (Station-10) | Hampton,Va.
B.S. Comm/I/SEL Pilot | MSgt, USAF (Ret.) 49199 | NREMT-P
Home page: http://members.visi.net/~brueger
"Life's Too Short To Drink LITE beer."

3331. Re: Results of New Law??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 02:39:48 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <t42rg98v43cd16@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64665

Look, get this straight.

This 'new law' does NOTHING with regard to your local cops.

NOTHING!!

Can people get that straight? NOTHING!!!!

What it does is allow LOCAL LEGISLATORS to pass
certain specific and LIMITED laws.

How about we stop the panic that keeps flying
around on this?

Mike

Maniac9188 <maniac9188@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001220012931.28092.00000406@ng-fm1.aol.com...
> Has anyone had ANY local law enforcement confrontations, involving the
bill
> that was signed on 11/29?? Just wondering.... So much talk on what will
happen,
> and how much things will change, and all..... Just wondering if
anything is
> actually happening anywhere .... Please include TRUE instances only.
> Maniac.

3332. Re: One ugly cuss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 02:43:13 UTC
From: MR123IL@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4703-3A416E41-66@storefull-296.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64668

AND A BIG ASS IT IS!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

3333. Re: Sidebanders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 02:55:36 UTC
From: jscotty@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001220215536.20329.00003497@ng-mg1.aol.com>
Source record: 64666

We use 37 lower in michigan and 38
lower.73,Scotty

3334. Re: TRUE STORY....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 02:56:26 UTC
From: MR123IL@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4703-3A41715A-67@storefull-296.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64670

That's good too good!!

3335. Re: WTB:Clean 100 watt amp?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 03:03:38 UTC
From: MR123IL@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4703-3A41730A-69@storefull-296.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64669

Call and get a X-force and ask if they can re-tune it for the freq. you need!

Or do the same with a Dave made!
Get them to do it to a 2 pill 2879 amp

That will do 100 watts on prob.

3336. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 03:19:50 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a435cc7.4042759@news.gate.net>
Source record: 64667

On Wed, 20 Dec 2000 09:48:20 -0500, Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net> wrote:

*Greetings!
*
*Thanks Doc,
*
*But one question that is still in my mind...
*
*It deals with elements and surface area available for ground.
*
*What occurs when there is not enough surface area to make the antenna
*resoant at 50 ohms?
****

Very few if any are ever resonat at 50 Ohms.

*Hint, 8 feet of ground surface, but trying to work a 9 foot antenna.
****

A nine foot vertical over a 8 foot diameter ground field (solid or mesh):

1) if it is a 1/4 lambda will have a radiation impedance of 36.5 + j24.5 Ohms. Now this will occur only at a very narrow set of frequencies. About 10 or 20 Hetrz. Essentially one frequency.

2) As frequency is decreased from that point the real part will change some. The the reactive part wil vary far greater. The imaginary part will actually reduce in value with respect to a tangent function. In fact at some point the imaginary part will reach zero. This is due to the fact that as a frequency goes up the physical length is now less than 1/4 lambda. As frequency keeps goping up the imaginary part will cross zero and become negative. This is where the antenna now has a capaictive component.

3) Now if we were to start at the frequency where the antenna is a 1/4 lambda and go up in frequency the imaginary part again will follow a tangent curve and become ever increasingly more inductive.

4) Now this is only covers the radiation resistance of the antenna. You have now introduced a ground plane. What you have failed to mention is where is the ground plane relative to the physical Earth. This is important. I would need to know that to help determine where the image of the antenna will be located. Since a 1/4 lambda antenna is not a complete antenna, it must have and image located in the ground relative to the hiegth above the surface of the Earth. These are essential in calculating ground losses. Ground losses are necessary to add tothe calculatoin as they effect the efficeincy of the overall system.

5) Also one other thought on radiation resistance. Since the 8 foot ground plane is not quite 1/4 wave length at the operating frequency, the ideal 36.5 = j24.5 Ohms is not quite the starting radiation resistance. It is though a close starting point.

6) Now if we let this antenna alone a tried to feed it with 50 Ohm coax what is our SWR? The magnitude of the impedance is roughly 44 Ohms. That will gives us a close match since 44 is very close to 50. But that does not satisfy the equation for SWR. To calculate SWR we first must know the load reflection coefficient. We can do that because we know the load impedance and the characteristic impedacne of the coax. Form the valules given we obtain a reflection coefficient of -.323 at angle of 85.6 degrees. For SWR we are only interested in the magnitude of the reflection coefficient, .323. The negative sign is not needed for SWR calculation as it tells us the phase of the reflected wave. Now SWR is found by:

S = 1 + .323 / 1 - .323 or about 1.95 for a load impedance of 36.5 + j24.5.

Now if the frequency were altered such that the imaginary part of the antenna were zero we get reflection coefficients of .156 and a SWR of 1.36. This will be the lowest we can achieve with this example.

Now one more note of importance. Since we have not accounted for grounds affect on the radiation impedance, this is only but an estimate of SWR. In reality the SWR can be vary greatly depending on ground. Unless you measure ground conductivity, the efects of grouond are dificult to estimate. computers are best suited for estimating ground effects. Even if ground effects were known the same proceedure above will be used.

7) Now how do I get the SWR at the transmitter to see 1 or 1:1? Well we can adjust the length of the coax until the SWR read 1:1. Yes that will work. But remember SWR is 1 + RC over 1 - RC, where RC is the reflection coefficient. The reflection coefficient is the difference over the sum of the characteristic impedance and th eload impedance. Now by adjusting the coax length have we changed any of the two? NO. Thus SWR on the line still remains constant. Now there a unique principal that allows the impedance looking into a coax to vary versus length. This impedance also varies with respect to a tangential curve. One thing about this principal is the load must remain constant for all lengths tried and the characteristic impedance of the line must remain constant. With that we can adjust the length until we get the desired impedance we want looking into th coax with some load on the other end. If we adjust the length until the impedance looking into the coax is 50 Ohms, and place a SWR meter at that point we would get the desired results. Again this has done notyhing tothe characteristic impedance of the line or the afffected the impedance of the load. The SWR on th eline is still the same. ALl we have done is changed the impedance looking into the coax to make the transmitter thinks it sees an matched condition.

Now why is this abad method to obtain a match? The load will vary greatly with ground variations, with frequency and with any surroundings that change. You have now added three variables that will affect the load impedance. Any combination of these will either change the load slowly or rapidly. Generally the later is the case. Now that length of coax is no longer valid because instead of one variable changing, frequency, we have added two others. Thus the

impedance seen looking into our coax no longer satisfies our matching problem.

Added some form of matching network at the base of the antenna to raise the resistive portion up to 50 Ohms is more preferred. ALso one other feature of the matching network used is that the reactive components can be tuned out so that the antennna will appear resitive. How this is done is best left for another time. Again depending on the quality of the matching network components, the SWR bandwidth can remain more usable.

This is very long winded but you asked for it. You wanted an explanation.

I think it is beddy bye time?

james

****
*It's when things are not equal.
*
*When you can answer that, I will go to bed.
*
*
*On Tue, 19 Dec 2000 20:15:54 -0600, while the modem was ice fishing
*with the Mouse, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> dissertated the following:
*
*
*
*Regards!
*.:+> Andy <+: | BEEP!
*... You really are a CB'er when... | _____ BEEP!
*... your CB antenna is longer than |/ ___
*... your SUV! |_,-.__,-._|
*... (o) (o)
* http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm
*

3337. Re: Left Coast Radio: What happened?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 03:28:10 UTC
From: Jesse Stanley <superstar@eurekanet.com>
Message-ID: <3a417b18$0$1515$4ca6cf20@news.eurekanet.com>
Source record: 64672

Actually Rich sold his last radios he had in stock to another dealer and
sold the Domain to EEI

'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message news:3A40F776.752591CE@oio.net...
>
>
> Endless, Nameless wrote:
> >
> > Went to their site and there is another dealer there. Did they get
> > bought out or something?
> >
> > --
> >
> > "Move along, nothing to see here"
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>
> If the 'other' dealer was EEI, they were bought out.
> 'Doc

3338. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 03:33:19 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a457839.11069886@news.gate.net>
Source record: 64671

On Mon, 18 Dec 2000 12:08:59 -0500, "Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

*Please quote your source for this statement.
*
*"Coax Length Police" <anylength@willdo.com> wrote in message
*news:anylength-B9FBAB.22300117122000@news.ptd.net...
*> In article <3a3bb3be.8811363@news.gate.net>, jdaggett@gate.net wrote:
*>
*> > 50 Ohms impedance can be an infinte combinations of R and X. There is an
*> > infinite solutions for 50 Ohms.
*>**********************************

This is true for z = (r + x).

****
*> No. 50 ohms is 50 + j0 ohms. There is only one combination of R and X.
*> If there is a reactive component, it is not 50 ohms.
*
****

50 + j0 is the same as 50 Ohm pure resistance. By that definition there is but
only one solution. Yes there can be an infinite solution to give a magnitude of
50 Ohms but only one solution that yields a pure resistance.

james

3339. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 03:58:32 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a477c35.12090046@news.gate.net>
Source record: 64674

On Wed, 20 Dec 2000 13:15:32 GMT, sparky@cet.com (Sparky) wrote:

*On Wed, 20 Dec 2000 03:28:43 GMT, Coax Length Police
*<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
*
*>Oh, OK. I found out where you got this equation and, as I suspected,
*>you are using it out of context. Furthermore, it is the MAGNITUDE of
*>the impedance -- not the impedance -- EXACTLY AS I SAID. It does not
*>fully specify the impedance.
*
*And the equation Z = R ? jX does not specify magnitude until you make
*the term 'j' a real number. And how do you do that? Square it. What is
*the equation you end up with? Z = (R2 + X2)^?. That is how you get a
*'real' value of impedance. Even the ARRL isn't confusing about this.
****

You never change the "j" term into a real number. All you have done is stated
the magnitude of the impedance. With each magnitude of the impedance there is a
corresponding angle. Thus that completes the exponential form of the impedance.
All you have done is started to change the trigonometric form to exponential.
You hve left off theta. Yes the real portion is easier to see in exponetial
form. To calculate SWR and the reflection coeficient you need the whole
impedance not just the magnitude.

****
*>I have to admit, however, the ARRL Handbook is confusing. What it
*>correctly calls magnitude here, it incorrectly calls impedance in the
*>subsequent section. That is the source of your confusion. Blame the
*>ARRL.
*
*So you are saying that _you_ are correct, but all the wisdom and
*experience of the engineers at the ARRL amounts to squat? Just what
*are your qualifications?
*
*Polar notation does not give you a real value. That is what
*rectangular notation is for, because rectangular notation is the
*application of the Pythagorean Theorem to polar notation, i.e. angles,
*i.e. planar coordinates, i.e. VECTORS. You read, but you do not
*understand what you are reading. That is why it is confusing to you.
*The ARRL isn't wrong, you are.
*
*Check this out:
*
*(22.362 + j44.722)^? = 50 ohms
*(732 - j02)^? = 73 ohms

*
*The resonant antenna is mismatched and the reactive, non-resonant
*antenna is matched. Now look at a different example in polar form:
*
*Zsource = 37 + j15 ohms
*Zload = 37 - j15 ohms
****

these look like trig form to me.

****
*Is that an impedance match? Yes. Will it reflect power? No. Is the
*load resonant? No.
****

For circuits it is a conjugate match. Works great lasts a long time. But for antennae you have left out one item. The characteristic impedacne of the coax. Where does that come into your scenario. Have you forgotten that? Matching an antenna to the coax the real portion of the antenna must match the characteristic impedance of the coax.

****
*This means that the only way to eliminate standing waves is to
*properly match the antenna to the coax/source. Then, and only then, do
*you not need to worry about the length of the coax (except to make it
*as short as possible), which is what I have held all along.
*
*And you omitted the rest of my post.
*
*Sparky, FLRC
****

To properly match everything you have a two step approach. You must match the source to the coax and the load to the coax. In this scenario the coax becomes a very nice low loss conduit for the RF wave to travel down with no reflections to obstruct them.

james

3340. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 03:59:36 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-26D596.22522520122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 64673

In article <91qq3e$72k$1@iac5.navix.net>, "Fred Hambrecht"
<Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

> The statement was made that a whip antenna could be cut to resonance and
> by the posters definition it was 50 ohms. He claimed that all resonant
> antennas were 50 ohms.

Who claimed that? Not me. You aren't putting incorrect words in my
mouth again, are you?

I said for a 1:1 SWR (for a 50 ohm system like the overwhelming majority
of CBs), the load must be 50 ohms. Furthermore, if it is 50 ohms, it is
resonant. I showed that.

> I challenged him to come up with a single antenna that was 50 ohms with
> out some type of matching. Heck I have matched rain gutters with a gamma
> match.
>
> Fred W4JLE

And I responded to the challenge but you already forgot. Did you never
see a 1:1 SWR without a matching network? I have seen many.
Every ground plane is not 37 ohms and every dipole is not 73 ohms.
These are the resistances for the theoretical infinitely thin antenna.

I challenged you to show how you can, as you claim, match a 37 ohm load
by changing the length of your 50 ohm coax. I'm STILL waiting. You
cannot answer the question and will not concede that you are wrong.

3341. Re: Results of New Law??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 04:08:13 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <91rvnd$9op@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 64675

never happen there are more arm chair lawyers here than there are arm chair quarterbacks.

"Mike Yetsko" <joe@user.com> wrote in message
news:t42rg98v43cd16@corp.supernews.com...
> Look, get this straight.
>
> This 'new law' does NOTHING with regard to your local cops.
>
> NOTHING!!
>
> Can people get that straight? NOTHING!!!!
>
> What it does is allow LOCAL LEGISLATORS to pass
> certain specific and LIMITED laws.
>
> How about we stop the panic that keeps flying
> around on this?
>
> Mike
>
>
> Maniac9188 <maniac9188@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20001220012931.28092.00000406@ng-fm1.aol.com...
> > Has anyone had ANY local law enforcement confrontations, involving the
> bill
> > that was signed on 11/29?? Just wondering.... So much talk on what will
> happen,
> > and how much things will change, and all..... Just wondering if
> anything is
> > actually happening anywhere .... Please include TRUE instances only.
> > Maniac.
>
>

3342. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 04:37:56 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a487fef.13044342@news.gate.net>
Source record: 64677

On Tue, 19 Dec 2000 01:39:25 GMT, sparky@cet.com (Sparky) wrote:

*On Mon, 18 Dec 2000 15:21:24 -0500, Coax Length Police
*<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
*
*>> What is a vector? Do you just buy the book for the pictures?
*>
*>Why do you ask? Do you ask nonresponsive questions to change the
*>subject?
*
*I guess you couldn't read the relevant question: What is a vector?
*
*>Do you believe an impedance of 22.36 + j44.72 ohms will yield a 1:1 SWR?
*
*Yes. "Show me where I'm wrong. Bzzzt. You can't do it", because you
*would be changing one of the fundamental principles of electronics
*that has existed for decades.
****

22.36 + j44.72 yields a magnitude of the reflection coefficient of about .61.

P = [(22.36 + j44.72) - (50 + j0)]/[22.36 + j44.72) + (50 + j0)]

believe me this yields about .61

Now we calculate SWR.

S = (1 + .61) / (1 - .61)
= 1.61/.39
= 4.13

I dare say that will yield a 1:1 SWR. 4.13:1 yes.

Do I win the challenge?

james

****
*>> An antenna is a load, just like any other load with reactance and/or
*>> resistance. There is no electromagnetic property that makes an antenna
*>> any different than any other reactance or resistance, because they all
*>> have both to some extent.
*>
*>OK.
*
*Therefore, it doesn't matter if your load is a non-reactive resistor
*or a resonant antenna, or even a non-resonant antenna: if the

*impedance is the same, you will get the same SWR. Hence, a
*non-resonant 50 ohm resistor provides a 1:1 match, and satisfies your
*$100 challenge.
****

Is non-reactive resistance the same as purely resistive impedance?
Yes a 50 Ohm resistor will yield a SWR of 1:1 with 50 Ohm coax. For an antenna to yield a SWR of 1:1 the whole impedance must be transformed up to 50 + j0. You can't just disregard the imaginary part of the impedance. Correct is does not radiate any power, but it is part of the impedance that can not be ignored.

****
*>> That wasn't what I said. You can have an infinite number of values for
*>> any LCR network that is resonant at a given frequency. THAT is what I
*>> said.
*>
*>OK. And when you find the impedance of that resonant circuit, what
*>is the imaginary component? That is, what is the number multiplied
*>by j?
*
*Zero. Have I said anything else? No.
*
*>By the way, that is not what you said. You said the "effective
*>impedance of a resonant circuit can be _any_ value."
*
*It is no different except in construction.
*
*>You were talking circuit impedance; not L and C and R components.
*>The circuit impedance would be the sum of the L and C and R impedances.
*
*That's what a resonant circuit is made of, you dope! The impedance of
*an ac circuit is found by the equation I gave earlier, where R is the
*net resistance and X is the net reactance. This is called "rectangular
*notation", it is a vector quantity, and it works the same way it has
*worked since it was first contrived. Maybe your hangup is that you
*haven't considered that an LCR circuit can be operated outside of
*resonance. It will then have reactance, regardless of the fact that it
*is resonant at another frequency. Even within the circuit's bandwidth
*there will be reactance when not on it's center frequency.
****

What you gave earlier is just the magnitude of the impedance. That is only half of the impedance. What about theta = invtan(X/R)? just hoping it goes away?

****
*I might add that this is why the SWR changes along the bandwidth.
*
*>To be resonant, there is only one value of the imaginary component of
*>this impedance; it must be zero.
*
*No problem.

*
*>> Let me paraphrase for myself: "A 1:1 SWR _can_ be achieved with only a
*>> resistive component . . ." Don't substitute my words for yours.
*>
*>Hold on. You left something out. You ALSO said "which does not contain
*>any capacitive or inductive reactance." This is equivalent to saying
*>that the imaginary component of the impedance MUST be zero -- exactly
*>what you get with resonance.
*
*Ok. I'll rewrite this for a fourth-grade reading level: A 1:1 SWR can
*be achieved with just a resistor. It can also be achieved with a
*combination of resistance and reactance, or even just reactance. The
*resistor has no (practical) capacitive or inductive reactance.
*Therefore, the resistor is not resonant. I have satisfied the
*requirements of your challenge.
****

gee 0 + j50 is pure reactance. Let's see what the magnitude is? Yep the square root of 50 squared is 50. But I thought that only real power can be radiated by real components. Since the impedance is imaginary the power radiated is reactive power. Reactive power is radiated as heat if I remember correctly. If you want a coffee warmer i guess a 50 Ohm reactive load will do the job.

Would you like to do the experiment I did in my RF lab? You ought to measure a carbon composition resistor at about 2 Ghz. If you have 1/2 inch leads you maybe lucky to get within +/- 30% on +/- 5% part.

****
*>So, in essense, you said a 1:1 SWR can only be achieved with a resonant
*>circuit.
*
*There you go sticking words where they don't belong. I never said,
*"can _only_ be achieved". You added words again.
*
*> Remember, we are talking about antennas.
*
*We are talking about the load at the end of a transmission line.
*
*> Do you think the
*>antenna behaves exactly like a resistor?
*
*No. And that wasn't your question.
*
*> If I increase (or decrease)
*>the frequency, will the impedance stay at 50 ohms?
*
*In an LCR circuit, no. In a purely resistive circuit, yes. That's the
*difference between an antenna and a resistor. Duh . . .
*
*>> >Example #2 was inaccurate. Your definition of impedance is wrong.
*>>

*>> It is the same definition that has been used for over a hundred years.
*>> Did you change that, too?
*>
*>No. You are calculating the magnitude of the impedance. This in NOT
*>what determines the SWR.
*
*To quote your challenge:
*>If it is not resonant, then it is not 50 ohms.
*>Give just one example to show how to do this.
*>I'll give you $100 if you can.
*In consideration of that, I think the generally accepted definition of
*impedance is very relavent, and that is what I have used.
*
*>> >You do not understand that there is only one impedance, 50 + j0 ohms,
*>> >where the SWR is 1:1.
*>>
*>> Where is your reference? A Smith chart? That is only a tool to be used
*>> in combination with intelligence. If what you say is true, then there
*>> should be no need for 75 ohm coax, or even ladder line. Or baluns. Or
*>> any other type of antenna matching device.
*>
*>Well, I am referring to a 50 ohm system which virtually all CBs are.
*>This is a CB Radio newsgroup, remember?
*
*Please correct this if incorrect, but this is your argument: There is
*only one impedance, 50 + j0 ohms, where the SWR is 1:1 because this is
*a CB newsgroup, and virtually all CBs use a 50 ohm system.
****

Well if one were using 93 Ohm coax 93+j0 will yield a 1:1 SWR.

****
*>A Smith chart is normalized to the characteristic impedance of the
*>system. But a perfect antenna match ALWAYS requires a resonant load
*>impedance.
*
*I don't like the word "perfect". The most efficient match is the one
*that transfers the most power from the source into the load. Resonance
*is not required. The most efficient antenna is the one that transfers
*the most power delivered to it into space. A resonant antenna is very
*efficient, but not necessarily the most efficient.
*
*Again I will add that a hertzian dipole, a resonant antenna, has a
*small amount of inductive reactance.
*
*This again satifies your criteria.
*
*>> >Example #1 was inaccurate. You have contradictory definitions of
*>> >resonance.
*>>
*>> There is nothing contradictory about the definition I used. It is the

*>> same definition that is used by EE's daily.
*>
*>You think "22.36 + j44.72 ohms = 50 ohms"
*>No good EE believes this.
*
*I would like to see you qualify that.
*
*>> >Example #3 proves nothing.
*>>
*>> Except that non-resonant antennas work.
*>
*>Well, yes. But it proves nothing to support your argument.
*
*It does, as I just stated. However, it was not to support my argument,
*but to provide the example you wanted. Since you agree with it, it has
*done so.
*
*>I have my facts straight. :-)
*
*You say so, so it must be true. Profound. An undeniable reference.
*Undeniable, that is, to yourself. Another reason why you won't read a
*book?
*
*>> I expected you to find some reason to welch on your offer. But I
*>> didn't expect such a lame excuse as "that proves nothing".
*>
*>You were challenged to prove your case.
*
*I did. It is not my fault that you can't understand the answers.
*
*>> You are the
*>> only one here that continues to make claims that are in conflict with
*>> many, if not all authoritative references,
*>
*>NOT!
*
*Powerful argument . . .
*
*>Hee hee! Sparky, I am not the only one who has contradicted you here in
*>this newsgroup.
*>
*>You think "22.36 + j44.72 ohms = 50 ohms"
*>And you think this impedance will yield a 1:1 SWR.
*>
*>Let's take a vote and see how many on this newsgroup agree with you.
*>Let's hear it!
*>
*>I vote that you are wrong.
*
*Sure, like the majority is never wrong. Better yet, why don't you put

*up some more money for someone to prove me wrong? That will go over
*great after welching on your other offer. Even better, why don't _you_
*prove me wrong? I think the best option is for you to read a book and
*learn something about the subject.
*
*Sparky, FLRC
****

I am getting tired

jaems

3343. Re: One ugly cuss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 04:43:16 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t432jilfejrt43@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 64678

I have not looked, as of yet. Is it as bad as Chuck?

Jeff

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:04204ts7h8q173lvk518i6vurnte8s4ckv@4ax.com...
> On 19 Dec 2000 23:49:31 GMT, bendowersagain@aol.com (Bendowersagain)
> wrote:
>
> >N8WWM in the flesh. I kind of feel sorry for the guy now. Fat, ugly, and
> >without a women. Kind of explains his actions, doesn't it? I suppose, in
order
> >to help him cope with his loneliness and deep depression, we should all
respond
> >to his lame postings as often as possible.
> >Check out his home page below.
> >
> >http://hometown.aol.com/n8wwm/
>
> I think (after viewing the pics) we can better understand how
> he has developed into the person he is today. With his looks
> he has had no choice. The only attention he can possibly
> muster is of a negative variety.
>
> Conclusion:
>
> From a personality stand point and from a visual stand point one
> thing can be said for sure.
>
> WHAT A LOSER!
>
>
>

3344. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 04:43:47 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-1B7313.23363520122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 64676

In article <3a435cc7.4042759@news.gate.net>, jdaggett@gate.net wrote:

> A nine foot vertical over a 8 foot diameter ground field (solid or
> mesh):
>
> 1) if it is a 1/4 lambda will have a radiation impedance of 36.5 + j24.5
> Ohms.

I don't know why we should care but OK.

> 6) Now if we let this antenna alone a tried to feed it with 50 Ohm coax
> what is our SWR? The magnitude of the impedance is roughly 44 Ohms.

The magnitude of the impedance does not determine SWR.
The impedance determines SWR.

> That will gives us a close match since 44 is very close to 50.

Is 1.95:1 (as you calculate below) a "very close" match?
You have just demonstrated the problem with attempting to use
the magnitude of the impedance to estimate the match.

> But that does not satisfy the equation for SWR.

Yes, so why did you use it to estimate a very close match?

> To calculate SWR we first must know the load reflection coefficient.

Now you're cookin'.

> We can do that because we know the load impedance and the characteristic
> impedacne of the coax. Form the valules given we obtain a reflection
> coefficient of -.323 at angle of 85.6 degrees.

Hmmm, I get 0.311 at 103 degrees. Why do you specify your magnitude
as a negative number?

> For SWR we are only interested in the magnitude of the
> reflection coefficient, .323.

Absolutely correct.

> The negative sign is not needed for SWR calculation as it tells us
> the phase of the reflected wave.

Again, correct.

> Now SWR is found by:
>

> S = 1 + .323 / 1 - .323 or about 1.95 for a load impedance of 36.5 +
> j24.5.
>
> Now if the frequency were altered such that the imaginary part of the
> antenna were zero we get reflection coefficients of .156 and a SWR of
> 1.36.

True, but if the frequency were altered, the real part of the impedance
would most likely change, too.

> This will be the lowest we can achieve with this example.

Not sure what that means.

> Now one more note of importance. Since we have not accounted for grounds
> affect on the radiation impedance, this is only but an estimate of SWR.
> In reality the SWR can be vary greatly depending on ground. Unless you
> measure ground conductivity...

Why measure ground conductivity? Just measure the damn SWR and you'll
know what it is! You must be a government employee.

> 7) Now how do I get the SWR at the transmitter to see 1 or 1:1? Well we
> can adjust the length of the coax until the SWR read 1:1. Yes that will
> work.

NOT! That will not work.
Go back and recalculate your reflection coefficient using a different
length of transmission line. What's that you say? The line length
was not part of the calculation? That's right!
The SWR is independent of the line length.

> But remember SWR is 1 + RC over 1 - RC, where RC is the reflection
> coefficient. The reflection coefficient is the difference over the sum
> of the characteristic impedance and th eload impedance. Now by adjusting
> the coax length have we changed any of the two? NO. Thus SWR on the line
> still remains constant.

I just said that.

> Now there a unique principal that allows the impedance looking into a
> coax to vary versus length.

I don't know that I'd call it "unique" but yes.

> This impedance also varies with respect to a tangential curve.

Say what?

> One thing about this principal is the load must remain constant for all
> lengths tried and the characteristic impedance of the line must remain
> constant. With that we can adjust the length until we get the desired
> impedance we want looking into th coax with some load on the other end.

Well, you are only going to get certain impedances and none of them will
be the desired 50 ohms.

> If we adjust the length until the impedance looking into the coax is
> 50 Ohms,

Can't be done.

> and place a SWR meter at that point we would get the desired results.

Since varying the length NEVER achieves a 50 ohm input impedance, you
will NEVER get the desired results.

> Again this has done notyhing tothe characteristic impedance of the line
> or the affected the impedance of the load.

Yeah, but it has violated the rules of physics.

Consider this: the SWR measurement is, in essence, a measure of the
reflected power (just assume for the moment that forward power is
relatively constant). The impedance seen at the input to the
transmission line is related to that reflected power. The phase
of the reflected power changes with transmission line length but
the magnitude (power) does not.

The ONLY way you will see 50 ohms at the input to the transmission
line is if there is no reflected power. Since there is reflected
power coming back down the coax from the antenna, there is NO length
of coax that will prevent it from showing up at the radio. You will
NEVER see 50 ohms at the coax input when there is reflected power
at the antenna. It simply cannot happen.

> The SWR on th eline is still the same. ALl we have done is changed the
> impedance looking into the coax to make the transmitter thinks it sees
> an matched condition.

No it doesn't.

> Now why is this abad method to obtain a match?

Because it doesn't work.

3345. Re: One ugly cuss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 04:47:29 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t432rem71gh908@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 64679

What are those? Before and after but same as before pics?

Yeesh...

Jeff

"Bendowersagain" <bendowersagain@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001219184931.27806.00002108@ng-me1.aol.com...
> N8WWM in the flesh. I kind of feel sorry for the guy now. Fat, ugly, and
> without a women. Kind of explains his actions, doesn't it? I suppose, in
order
> to help him cope with his loneliness and deep depression, we should all
respond
> to his lame postings as often as possible.
> Check out his home page below.
>
> http://hometown.aol.com/n8wwm/

3346. Re: One ugly cuss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 04:48:37 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t432to1mfcgv3b@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 64680

LOL...

Jeff

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:17704toftkd1eeqfnviehtpt7d5pc64du3@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 19 Dec 2000 19:54:03 -0600, "Richard McCollum"
> <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote:
>
> >Glorioski Buffalo Bob, N8WWM is now up to five (count 'em) five large
> >mouth bass trolled, hooked, and gaffed.
> >
> >#1 Carriage Carwash -- apparently a wiper
> >#2 Hippie Freak -- blown mind
> >#3 Bend over Again or something like that
> >#4 Punisha -- either a rapper or illiterate
> >#5 the ever reliable Tnom, bites on anything
> >#6 Richard, aka Dick Mccumm shows synpathy for n8wwm
>
>

3347. Re: Vs: Has the dust settled yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 05:03:11 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t433oslkt6936d@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 64681

"Gary" <gary@larry.hi> wrote in message
news:91r1df$a1n$1@news.koti.tpo.fi...
> WTF do you mean? I'm right here, and the freebanders etc. are enjoying
their
> "privacy". So it goes, as Kurt Vonnegut usta say.

He's not dead yet.

Gary
>
>

3348. Put de Amp in de Caar...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 05:09:43 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t434566651rp4e@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 64682

I'll be on 38L tomorrow, 12/21 at around Noon Pacific Time, testing the "conditions". Call is "019" out of Ventura, Cali. If anyone is interested and can rationalize talking to a "Scoff-law". ;-)

Jeff
Ventura, CA

3349. Palomar 300 Base Linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 05:14:22 UTC
From: 4rags <4rags@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <OBBekzwaAHA.433@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 64683

Good Working Condition...Buyer Pays Freight..From Alabama....Swings 400 pep...new tubes...125.00
Golf418@msn.com

3350. Re: Sidebanders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 05:29:19 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A41952F.2073FDC9@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64685

skypilot@writeme.com wrote:
>
> I have a question for all single sidebanders out there.
>
> Why is everyone congregating on Channel 38 lower????

Because it's like a big cluster f*ck on sideband.

> Last time I checked, channels 36 through 40 were considered as sideband
> channels. I hear very little activity outside of channel 38L.

I call CQ and tell 'em to go to another one. Then it's easy to pick a few out.

> It is bad enough that the number of SSBers has dwindled over the years. If
> were do not use these channels then we will certainly be relegated to that
> one channel in the future.
>
> Make your contact on channels 38L and then move another channel.

Don't make mine all noisy. It's hard enough to talk to my skip buddies
as it is with all the damn Mexicans and AM'ers with their cheap AM only
radios that the carrier frequency changes when they modulate.

3351. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 05:31:12 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a4a8aa9.15790138@news.gate.net>
Source record: 64684

On Wed, 20 Dec 2000 13:15:32 GMT, sparky@cet.com (Sparky) wrote:

*On Wed, 20 Dec 2000 03:28:43 GMT, Coax Length Police
*<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
*
*>Oh, OK. I found out where you got this equation and, as I suspected,
*>you are using it out of context. Furthermore, it is the MAGNITUDE of
*>the impedance -- not the impedance -- EXACTLY AS I SAID. It does not
*>fully specify the impedance.
*
*And the equation Z = R ? jX does not specify magnitude until you make
*the term 'j' a real number. And how do you do that? Square it. What is
*the equation you end up with? Z = (R2 + X2)^?. That is how you get a
*'real' value of impedance. Even the ARRL isn't confusing about this.
****

By equating "Z" with the algebraic equality used in your first equation, you have defined "Z" as a complex quantity. The form of the impedance now in the trigonometric form. Your second equation, Z = (R2 + X2)^?, merely describes the magnitude of that impedance. This equation has only done part of the transformation of the impedance to it's exponential form. The inverse tangent of the reactance divided by the resistance will yield the angle. Now the exponential form is complete. Now in this form the real portion is easy to see. It is simply the coefficient of the exponential equation of e raised to the j theta power. Oh my gosh where did that dang "j" come from? I thought we got rid of it? Not hardly. This is taught early in any good EE curriculum. Now we can change that dang "jtheta" term into an angle and low and behold we have the more familiar polar form. Boy did my networks prof hate the term "polar".

****
*>I have to admit, however, the ARRL Handbook is confusing. What it
*>correctly calls magnitude here, it incorrectly calls impedance in the
*>subsequent section. That is the source of your confusion. Blame the
*>ARRL.
*
*So you are saying that _you_ are correct, but all the wisdom and
*experience of the engineers at the ARRL amounts to squat? Just what
*are your qualifications?
*
*Polar notation does not give you a real value. That is what
*rectangular notation is for, because rectangular notation is the
*application of the Pythagorean Theorem to polar notation, i.e. angles,
*i.e. planar coordinates, i.e. VECTORS. You read, but you do not
*understand what you are reading. That is why it is confusing to you.
*The ARRL isn't wrong, you are.

****

geee I thought retangular(trigonometeric) form and polar(exponential) form all pointed to the same spot on the plane? Did I miss something? I thought a vector quantity was direction and magnitude. When did angular coordinates become a vector?
Sorry when you plot an impedance on a Smith Chart you are not plotting a vector quantity. You are plotting a point on a coordiante system. You can express that point by refering it inrelations to two axis or by an angle and distance. That does not quantify as a vector.

****
*Check this out:
*
*(22.362 + j44.722)^? = 50 ohms
*(732 - j02)^? = 73 ohms
*
****

Nice magnitudes but where's the angle?????????????

****
*The resonant antenna is mismatched and the reactive, non-resonant
*antenna is matched. Now look at a different example in polar form:
*
*Zsource = 37 + j15 ohms
*Zload = 37 - j15 ohms
*
*Is that an impedance match? Yes. Will it reflect power? No. Is the
*load resonant? No.
****

Again I reitterate, a nice conjugate match. good for matching a rf amp. Useless for matching an antenna to the coax. Oh buy the way, the load is resonant. Show me where the net reactance of a parallel RC and a parallel RL in parallel containing reactive components of -j15 and +j15 is not zero. Does that not define resonance?

****
*This means that the only way to eliminate standing waves is to
*properly match the antenna to the coax/source. Then, and only then, do
*you not need to worry about the length of the coax (except to make it
*as short as possible), which is what I have held all along.
*
*And you omitted the rest of my post.
*
*Sparky, FLRC
****

When antennae and transmission lines are involved you must match the source (transmitter) to the characteristic impedance of the transmission line. The same hold for the load(antenna). I believe the ARRL Antenna book has two chapters

dedicated to this. Remember what the term characteristic impedance means. The key word is "characteristic". A transmission line is a two port network that has terminal impedances defined by the characteristic impedance. You can model a transmission line as a two block with the input and output defined as impedances of pure resistance as dictated by the value of the charcateristic impedance. Thus the transmitter matches to the input port and the antenna present the required termination impedance. The main diference form circuital two port analysis there is no conjugate match necessary. By definition a transmission line is considered match if the device attached to it's ports are the same as the characteristic impedance of the transmision line. Since impedance must be real, the load and source can not be purely reactive. In reality there is no antenna that is purely resistive for all frequencies. Thus it is desirable to have some matching network at the feed of the antenna. Likewise, the output stage of the transmitter is seldom 50 Ohms. So there is some matching network in the transmitter to transform it's impedance to 50 Ohms. By 50 Ohms I define that as 50 + j0 Ohms.

james

3352. N8WWM's new Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 05:35:10 UTC
From: thafed@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91s4qeehv1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64687

Man I stumbled across N8WWMS new Domain. I always knew he was a big pussy!

www.childmolester.8k.com

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3353. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 05:47:19 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20001221004719.01132.00004629@ng-cv1.aol.com>
Source record: 64686

>A crime is crime according to many here. So if they can kick in your door
>for any perceived violation why would that exclude radio violations?

Because an officer walking down the street can't see the interference you are causing to your neighbor's television set so he can't "perceive" the violation, unless he has been invited into the neighbor's house to witness the TVI (not that they are going to waste their time doing that). Even that, that is not enough probable cause because without proper test equipment, he couldn't determine if the interference is coming from you... of course, under this law, as it has been stated time and time again, that would be enough for him to knock on your door and ask to inspect your station - and that topic has been covered so much, it's pretty much buried.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
http://www.riradiobuff.com
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.
2 meter all mode email reflector: http://egroups.com/group/2mallmode
New England Ham email reflector: http://egroups.com/group/newenglandham

3354. Louder PA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 06:02:04 UTC
From: James Miller <felix69@earthlink.netREMOVETHIS>
Message-ID: <w1h06.3403$Sc1.148057@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 64688

how can i get a louder public address system through my CB? similiar to police issue i guess... any info would be greatly appreciated.

3355. Re: Put de Amp in de Caar...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 06:07:33 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A419E25.F18AFFE2@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64689

Good luck. You're gonna need it. It's f*ckin' noisy on that channel.

There always seems to be some asshole whistling or playing music.

Jeff Mayner wrote:
>
> I'll be on 38L tomorrow, 12/21 at around Noon Pacific Time, testing the
> "conditions". Call is "019" out of Ventura, Cali. If anyone is interested
> and can rationalize talking to a "Scoff-law". ;-)
>
> Jeff
> Ventura, CA

3356. Re: Louder PA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 06:09:28 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A419E98.4D442FEA@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64690

Buy a 35 watt PA amp from the Shack or someplace that will run off
12 volts. Maybe you can hook up one of those audio boosters to it.

James Miller wrote:
>
> how can i get a louder public address system through my CB? similiar to
> police issue i guess... any info would be greatly appreciated.

3357. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 06:45:19 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <91s8tv$ri8$2@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 64693

CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net> wrote:
: The Ham community wants the 10 meter band when it suites them. Like today's
: current skip cycles. 2 years from now the band will be dead and unused.

Actually, the band is useable for skip even at the bottom of the sunspot
cycle. It just is not as frequent or as good.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

3358. Re: Put de Amp in de Caar...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 06:46:37 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t439qtg2c0iked@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 64691

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3A419E25.F18AFFE2@hotmail.com...
> Good luck. You're gonna need it. It's f*ckin' noisy on that channel.
>
> There always seems to be some asshole whistling or playing music.

You mean Mr. Wonderful? He was grooving on some old Patsy Cline yesterday.
:-)

Jeff

>
> Jeff Mayner wrote:
> >
> > I'll be on 38L tomorrow, 12/21 at around Noon Pacific Time, testing the
> > "conditions". Call is "019" out of Ventura, Cali. If anyone is
interested
> > and can rationalize talking to a "Scoff-law". ;-)
> >
> > Jeff
> > Ventura, CA

3359. Re: Punishmybootie413 tries again...and fails egregiously

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 07:05:07 UTC
From: tj1072@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001221020507.02039.00017003@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 64692

>From: punisha413fl@aol.com (Punisha413fl)>YOURE RUNNING OUT OF GAS , SINCE
YOUVE BEEN EXPOSED,... I GIVE YOU CREDIT
>FOR STAYING IN THE NG AFTER ALL THAT......TRY TO FLAME BETTER...CANT FLAME A
>FLAMER......YOUR ONLY A FLAMING FAG AS YOUR PIX SHOW......LOSER....GET OUTTA
>HERE

Hey 413....Don't sugar-coat it!!!!...Tell him how you feel!!!...LOL

937.......

3360. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 07:17:29 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <91saq9$ri8$3@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 64694

Someone, maybe Sparky, posted a URL where he claimed that it supported his contention that coax length affected the SWR. The url is: http://people.delphi.com/CecilMoore/mystery.htm

If you go to the url and read the whole thing, you will find that no such claim is made. I have communicated with Cecil, and know that he knows far too much transmission line theory to make such a claim.

The ladder line is being used as a matching section. No one has claimed that tuned lengths of ladder line cannot be used as impedance matching devices.

Further, the SWR on the ladder line is NOT 1:1. The 1:1 impedance is on the coax that is connected to the ladder line matching section.

For example, going by Cecil's chart, the minimum SWR possible on the coax is not 1:1 unless the SWR on the ladder line is 9:1. To get a 2:1 SWR minimum on the coax, the SWR on the ladder line can be either 4.5:1 or 18:1.

This follows transmission line theory exactly, as I have pointed out before. Just because you can get a good match on your coax, does not mean that the matching section (in this case the ladder line) will have a low SWR.

In the chart given, if the SWR on the ladder line is 1:1, the SWR on the coax is 9:1. Notice the similarity to the coax 1:1 case? This is also exactly as transmission line theory would predict.

Also note that Cecil is using a 1:1 choke at the ladder line to coax connection. This is necessary to stop the high rf currents that would otherwise flow on the outside of the coax. He could have used a balun, but this treatment is just as good, and arguably easier to implement in an outdoor environment.

Further support follows a bit later, when he provides a chart and discussion called "Optimum Length For A Matching Section". He states in the discussion that this matching section is the ladder line.

There is no discussion of coax length, as this is immaterial. Cecil is well aware of the fact that changing the length of the 50 ohm coax will NOT affect the SWR.

Hopefully, this will end this discussion, once and for all.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

3361. Re: N8WWM's new Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 08:38:16 UTC
From: ONE37 <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t43g5j45jb1r5c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64695

<thafed@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:91s4qeehv1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Man I stumbled across N8WWMS new Domain. I always knew he was a big
> pussy!
>
> www.childmolester.8k.com
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

Awww man! Thats cold... muhahahahahahahey!

ONE37

"... reality is a frame of mind... stupidity is a state of mind."

3362. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 08:43:33 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <91sfv0uos1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64702

Fred, I am not making any excuses, just simply pointing out that there are CBer that don't agree with the law and will continue with operations. I am a father of four kids and will instill in them to use there own common sense when making decisions in life. If that includes breaking silly laws then so be it, but he will also know that there is a consequence if caught breaking those laws. With all do respect, we would not enjoy religious, racial, political, social,or any other kind of freedom If we didn't have strong willed people challenging the system. I for one will not be dictated, I am a free thinker and will continue with a sound power of reason.

KRS

Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
news:91o6q7$t0n$1@iac5.navix.net...
> An eloquent rational such as yours, makes no more of a case for breaking
the
> law than the profane one normally offered. I personally have a problem
with
> the "I am going to do my own thing" attitude, like it is some god given
> right to break the law because you want to.
>
> Setting forth all the rational thoughts, excuses, and justifications for
> breaking the law amounts to nothing!
>
> You have two choices, get the law changed or get in a radio service that
> permits the types of operation you choose to engage in. The first is
> unlikely, and you have obviated the second. Ergo you are a law breaker,
> simple as that. Now you can get upset for me pointing out the facts, but!
it
> changes nothing. How does one explain to their children that only some
> rules apply some of the time?
>
>
> "G SEVEN" <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:91msep$n22u$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> > I would beg to differ with you on one point my friend, there are allot
of
> > CBers that you call low lives that simply don't agree with the present 4
> > watt/ no skip rule and choose to break the law yes. Does this make them
a
> > low life? No. They just have made the conscious choice to operate beyond
> > what the regs allow. There are plenty of ops that run there stations as
> good
> > and if not better then Ham operators, fact. They have taken all the
normal

> > necessary steps to prevent TVI as any Ham would do so.
> >
> >
>
>

3363. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 08:48:29 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <91sg88$mpue$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64703

Fred Hambrecht <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote in message
news:91o6q7$t0n$1@iac5.navix.net...

Where in any of my post have I ever said I operate illegally?

KRS

Ergo you are a law breaker,
> simple as that. Now you can get upset for me pointing out the facts, but!
it
> changes nothing. How does one explain to their children that only some
> rules apply some of the time?
>
>
> "G SEVEN" <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:91msep$n22u$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> > I would beg to differ with you on one point my friend, there are allot
of
> > CBers that you call low lives that simply don't agree with the present 4
> > watt/ no skip rule and choose to break the law yes. Does this make them
a
> > low life? No. They just have made the conscious choice to operate beyond
> > what the regs allow. There are plenty of ops that run there stations as
> good
> > and if not better then Ham operators, fact. They have taken all the
normal
> > necessary steps to prevent TVI as any Ham would do so.
> >
> >
>
>

3364. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 08:51:54 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A41C4AA.C0507E82@oio.net>
Source record: 64696

Bill,
I agree with you, I hope it's the end of it too. But I'd
be willing to cover any bets that it isn't (LOL)!
'Doc

3365. Re: One ugly cuss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 09:20:31 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A41CB85.385901B4@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64697

Jeff Mayner wrote:
>
> I have not looked, as of yet. Is it as bad as Chuck?

> > >http://hometown.aol.com/n8wwm/

He still wishes he could be as cool as me. I haven't responded to any of his trolls for about two weeks and I'm still #2 on his love list. He needs to go back to that other thread and count the bass. I say "fï¿½ck him", but only figuratively.

3366. Hello Brass:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 09:59:37 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A41D489.FE0FFDA6@qnet.com>
Source record: 64700

Hello Brass: Incoming call today.

Jay in the Mojave

3367. G.E. Superbase for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 11:36:35 UTC
From: linton32344@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91sq03$tp4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64698

Rare General Electric 3-5875A 40 channel AM/SSB
Base. Comes with A/C line cord, original factory
hand-mic, copy of the original factory manual,
clock and alarm function normally, unit is
excellent in looks and in operation, jpeg image
available upon request.
$300. (shipped) n4ehx@usa.net

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3368. major wt40 ch manual schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 11:55:05 UTC
From: dg <dan.gu@libero.it>
Message-ID: <tcm06.151678$hk4.5551948@news.infostrada.it>
Source record: 64699

I DESIRE IF POSSIBLE SCHEMATIC FOR MAJOR MOD. 40WT CH.
THANK YOU.
dan.gu@libero.it

3369. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 13:39:26 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22991-3A42080E-2@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64701

I always thought it was the other way around, you are actually fooling
it to read maybe a low swr, but in reality, that is all it is doing,
"fooling" it, thus you are still left with an incorrect result.

3370. Re: SuperSwangThang is a gutless punk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 13:47:13 UTC
From: Raped Ape <rapedape@earthworks.com>
Message-ID: <BRn06.3438$u31.783876@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64704

What is phony behind an e mail address? they are all phony no one actually knows who anyone is
"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20001216144232.26500.00004657@ng-fu1.aol.com...
> Hiding behind a phony email address. Seeya next time I visit the mental ward,
> retard boy.
>
> By the way, It is saturday, why aren't you watching cartoons.

3371. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 13:51:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22990-3A420ADD-7@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64706

"a crime is a crime...."

Yea, some see it that way. I know better, and so do most that have common sense and at least an inkling of comprehension of laws vs. rules, guidelines, and regulations. Some will never know.

3372. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 13:52:43 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22990-3A420B2B-8@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64709

Same for eleven meter.

3373. Re: VHF Propagation(was MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 13:59:20 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22990-3A420CB8-9@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64708

With handhelds and rubber ducky antennas, I agree, Jeffey! BUT, with some proper mods and equipment, hell, the sky is the limit. We are mighty thankful of this gift bestowed upon us cbers by the powers that be. More channels without a ticket. I think we'll get even more in the future.

3374. Re: MURS Frequencies a Mess Already...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 14:03:46 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22990-3A420DC2-11@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64707

And in the last 16 years in the 'pile, I've only seen one hurricane. So what? What the hell does that prove?
Your skip, er, tropo ducting is a very real thing, and with properly set up equipment, I assure you, is achievable more than once.

3375. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 14:07:27 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22992-3A420E9F-1@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64710

Well put, G7!

3376. Re: MissPainincoochie tries and fails.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 14:10:30 UTC
From: -=PEAKABOO=- <peakaboo@keydowns.com>
Message-ID: <X0o06.742$Am1.192333@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Source record: 64705

```
Look what I found while using a search engine.
Just type in his call sign and you will be surprised at what you might find.
http://childmolester.8k.com
```

"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001220124025.19846.00004784@ng-ft1.aol.com...
> misspainindacoochie@keyclowns.com
> dribbled forth the following bullshit while muching his squirrel turds:
> >You call me a
> >registered moron. What a Dipshit !!!!!!
> >MR.PAIN, Registered moron.
>
> N8wwm sparklingly replied:
> Bevis my boy, you have it wrong. I am not bitter about anything. I chuckle
at
> jive ass registered morons like you who take the crap seriously.
>
> Bitter about what? Bitter because I can pull yer freakin chain anytime I
want?
>
> Hey Painindacoochie, you can join my fan club now if you want. I have more
than
> 10 responses from you. That qualifies you to get the secret N8wwm decoder
ring,
> too. Aintcha happy now?
>
>
>
>

3377. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 14:21:00 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a420f59.2229955@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64711

On 21 Dec 2000 07:17:29 GMT, Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote:

>Someone, maybe Sparky, posted a URL where he claimed that it supported
>his contention that coax length affected the SWR. The url is:
>http://people.delphi.com/CecilMoore/mystery.htm

Wasn't me. I never made any contention that coax length affected SWR.
However, I _do_ contend that coax length makes a difference as to what
point of the standing wave lands on the radio.

On Mon, 18 Dec 2000 17:21:07 -0500, tnom@mucks.net wrote:

>Who said the transmission line length can't be used
>reduce the swr????
>
>Follow this link:
>
>http://people.delphi.com/CecilMoore/mystery.htm
>
>

BTW, did you ask Cecil if "50 ohms, by definition, is resonant"?

>Hopefully, this will end this discussion, once and for all.

Not likely, but I have about had it. You can't even have a decent
brawl in here without someone fighting dirty - they take all the fun
out of it.

Sparky, FLRC

3378. Re: Louder PA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 14:27:09 UTC
From: methusaleh11@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91t3vo$56v$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64712

In article <w1h06.3403$Sc1.148057@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"James Miller" <felix69@earthlink.netREMOVETHIS> wrote:
> how can i get a louder public address system through my CB? similiar
to
> police issue i guess... any info would be greatly appreciated.
>
>

Check the 'net for a PA called "the Wobbulator" or "the Warbbolator" or
something like that. It's marketed to volunteer firemen, runs about
$150 including 100W speaker, controls, and mic. Also has a nice siren!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3379. Re: N8WWM's new Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 14:30:31 UTC
From: Ron Trepka <w8ron@stratos.net>
Message-ID: <3a4215e6$0$1512$6d93012@news.stratos.net>
Source record: 64713

thafed@my-deja.com wrote:

> Man I stumbled across N8WWMS new Domain. I always knew he was a big
> pussy!
>
> www.childmolester.8k.com
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

Subject:
Re: Troll Exposer 4 was: hams taking 11 meters back
Date:
Thu, 21 Dec 2000 09:03:04 -0500
From:
Ron Trepka <w8ron@stratos.net>
To:
n8wwm@aol.com
References:
1 , 2

Evidently, there is much dissent over this thought. (As replied by
Troll Exposer)

I see the only use for the CB band as viewed from an Amateur Radio Op ,
is that
most new hams come from these ranks.
You won't get new people into the hobby without a try and buy area.
The band is informal and mostly downright
obscene.....but
occasionally you will find nice QSO going on.
I also agree that most ham QSOs are quite boring.
Many will stay there and die there but many move on and up into the ham
ranks and
also die as technicians without using the HF spectrum and making
international
conversations.
I wonder if moving the Citizens Band to VHF would curb the use of the 11
meter band
by OPs or also the fact that they now currently use adjacent bands for
this
purpose.....below and above the CB band and even into 10 meters.

If the operators of the CB band would contain themselves to the
designated band
area , I would oppose the thought of taking back 11 meters.

I think we Amateurs will need to do something about it although I am not sure if it
would still allow unlicensed operators some area to work to test if Ham radio is
for them.

I would support the move and lets see what happens.

Ron

Troll_Exposer@get_a_life.com wrote:

> On 01 Dec 2000 03:00:31 GMT, n8wwm@aol.com (N8wwm) wrote:
>
> >This is the text of the QRZ.com story. I present it without comment.
> > Hi,
> >My name is George, and I am an Extra Class ham in Missouri. I am taking a
> >survey of ham operators across the U.S. that are interested as I am, in the
> >possiblity of the FCC giving 11m back to the hams. As you my be aware of, the
> >11m band used to be part of the amateur radio spectrum until the mid 1950's
> >when the FCC created the Citizens Band.
> >
> >Ever since then the CB band has went from being a licensed part of the radio
> >spectrum, to being unlicensed, and I may add, mostly unregulated by the FCC,
> >save for the ocassional "big bust" on illegal operators.
> >
> >So, I would like to know what other ham operators think about presenting a
> >proposal to the FCC and ARRL requesting them to give 11m back to the hams, and
> >make 26.965 through 27.405 (the CB band), a CW, RTTY, and Packet, band for the
> >Technicians. I suggest "low power", I recommend setting a power limit of 10
> >watts maxiumum, which SHOULD keep the CB'ers from infiltrating on to the band.
> >And, as with all the ham bands, only LICENSED operators will be allowed.
> >
> >I realize some my think this to be a "radical" approach, but I would like to
> >point out the fact that the FCC as of 11/13/00, created the Multi-Use Radio
> >Service (MURS), that is essentially, a VHF CB band. In case you haven't heard
> >about this, it is posted on the ARRL website: www.arrl.org. With the

creation
> >of this new band, and with the widespread use of the General Purpose
Radio
> >Service, and Family Radio Service, it is my opinion that the 11m CB
band has
> >outlived it's usefulness and is now a "pirate" ham band, with high
power
> >linears and out-of-band operators infringing upon the 10m ham
spectrum.
> >
> >If this proposal is considered, I think that it will help eliminate
the
> >numerous problems of enforcement on the 11m band today. But, like I
said in the
> >beginning, I am only taking a survey, send me your e-mails and let me
know what
> >you think.
> >
> >73's de N0RRS
> >
> >
> >

3380. Re: Louder PA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 14:41:02 UTC
From: Miles Davis <MDD327@AOL.COM>
Message-ID: <91t750$l5r$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net>
Source record: 64714

A larger speaker. There is a big difference.
"James Miller" <felix69@earthlink.netREMOVETHIS> wrote in message
news:w1h06.3403$Sc1.148057@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> how can i get a louder public address system through my CB? similiar to
> police issue i guess... any info would be greatly appreciated.
>
>

3381. No Name 8 pill $375.00 plus shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 14:46:47 UTC
From: "for sale moonraker nib! 4 $150.00" <BACKDOORMAN@HOTVOICE.COM>
Message-ID: <91t54k$68j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64715

Amp was bought 1 year ago now i am trying to buy a X-force 16 pill.This amp worked dx pretty good i ran it at 14 volts so amp has never been put to the test.I am asking $375 plus $15.00 for shipping amp was checked out by Cookie in Hammond,la i also have the 2 pill driver for sale also for $110.00 this amp does about 250 watts

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3382. No Name 8 pill $375.00 plus shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 14:52:07 UTC
From: "for sale moonraker nib! 4 $150.00" <BACKDOORMAN@HOTVOICE.COM>
Message-ID: <91t5ej$6cu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64716

Amp was bought 1 year ago now i am trying
to buy a X-force 16 pill.This amp worked
dx pretty good i ran it at 14 volts so
amp has never been put to the test.I am
asking $375 plus $15.00 for shipping amp
was checked out by Cookie in Hammond,la
i also have the 2 pill driver for sale
also for $110.00 this amp does about 250
watts

--
never opened bought from palaco elctronics.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3383. Re: Louder PA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 15:05:03 UTC
From: Buffalosolider <BACKDOORMAN@HOTVOICE.COM>
Message-ID: <91t66p$6uq$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64717

In article <91t750$l5r$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net>,
"Miles Davis" <MDD327@AOL.COM> wrote:
> A larger speaker. There is a big difference.
> "James Miller" <felix69@earthlink.netREMOVETHIS> wrote in message
> news:w1h06.3403$Sc1.148057@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > how can i get a louder public address system through my CB? similiar to
> > police issue i guess... any info would be greatly appreciated.
> >
> >
>
>

-- Do anyone on this board have any blown amps that are not in use that yo
are willing to sell if so call my voice mail at 1-888-962-4526 press 1 pin
number 1*83174.Leave me a number with a contact number and tell me what you
have i am lookingfor 4 to 32 pill amps that have been blown.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3384. Re: DAVEMADE AMPS ARE ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 16:21:35 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001221112135.25185.00000674@nso-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 64718

In article <20001220092004.12796.00005170@ng-ce1.aol.com>, punisha413fl@aol.com (Punisha413fl) writes:

>hey richard are you n8wwm's lover or wnat?...sure looks like it

Hmmm

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

3385. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 16:21:36 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001221112136.25185.00000675@nso-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 64720

In article <91m7qfkks1@nnrp1.deja.com>, BRAND SPANKIN' NEW
<brandnew@hotmail.com> writes:

>In article <895-3A3E58D9-26@storefull-292.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
> MR123IL@webtv.net wrote:
>> DO you really think Dave got out of bed one day and came up with this
>> grate amp?
>
>Well actually I am sure he got the idea from a good many sources
>however, I personally think it is a GREAT AMP! NOT A GRATE AMP????
>Hey aren't you the guy that ripped off dave and took his design and
>stuff to chicago in the 1st place to help start the Xforce amp???
>

LOL a grate amp!!!!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

3386. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 16:21:36 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001221112136.25185.00000676@nso-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 64721

In article <91l6hrler1@nnrp1.deja.com>, BRAND SPANKIN' NEW
<brandnew@hotmail.com> writes:

>BUT AS WAS SAID EARLIER... MAKING THESE AMPS IS ILLEGAL!!!!
>DAVEMADE MAKES HIS AMPS FOR COMPETITION ONLY OR SHOULD I SAY MAINLY.
>NOW THAT YOU HAVE ALOT OF GUYS WANTING THESE GOOD QUALITY AMPS AND THEN
>VIA THIS INTERNET SHIT, CAME A MANY LITTLE GROUPS OF GUYS WANTING TO
>CAPITALIZE ON THIS AND SELL A PRODUCT. SOME COPIED SOME IDEAS BUT
>MAINLY DID THEIR OWN CONSTRUCTION (NONAME) AND SOME JUST COPIED THE
>WHOLE DAMN DAVEMADE DESIGN, EXCEPT FOR CHANGING THE COLOR (X-FORCE) NOW
>INSTEAD OF THE SELECT FEW THAT USED TO HAVE ACCESS TO SOME OF THE OLDER
>BUILDERS AND DESIGNERS (DAVEMADE) YOU HAVE A WHOLE LOT OF GUYS JUST
>CLICKING THEIR MOUSE AND GETTING AN AMP!!
>NOW THAT IS THE SHORTENED VERSION OF THE GOSPEL TRUTH!!!!
>BRAND SPANKIN' NEW HAS SPOKEN!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Damn!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

3387. Re: One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 16:21:37 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001221112137.25185.00000678@nso-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 64719

In article <Of__5.2170$dR.771249@news.uswest.net>, "WE0H" <mikereid1@qwest.net>
writes:

>I also agree. Just leave these people alone. They enjoy whatever they do
>with radio. "To each his own" is what I like to say. I started my radio
>hobby with the CB band. I never would have discovered amateur radio without
>that. Yea, I used bootleg equipment from time to time, but if that is what
>you operate, more power to YA. Enjoy the hobby. As for Mr. Doug Adair, buzz
>off buddy.
>73 es good DX, Mike> WE0H.

What does n8wwm have to say about this?

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

3388. Re: DAVEMADE WORLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 16:21:37 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001221112137.25185.00000677@nso-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 64722

In article <XC706.1788$Xz4.501978@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>, "Raped Ape"
<rapedape@earthworks.com> writes:

>Hay isnt that right from the backstabbers mouth? sounds like un-employment
>suits you well ...
>
>mind as well buddie up with anyone who will listen right 123
>
>
><MR123IL@webtv.net> wrote in message
>news:4450-3A400E79-63@storefull-297.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
>> Got that shit right!!
>> Hay TollFree,
>> I think you are wasting your time on the
>> nominds on this "NG"
>>
>> It's look to me they will say anything short of boinking your momma and
>> they might even say they will do that..
>>
>> Have a good one man.
>> MR.123ILL.

Hahah too damn funny!!!
What did you call 123, A BACK STABBER?????
Why did you call him that?
BACK STABBERS ALWAYS GET STABBED BACK!!!!!!
Who stabbed 123 back?
Nuff said ICE IS GONE!!!!
HAPPY HOLIDAYS TO ALL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

3389. thafedpppinhizface tries...and fails...as usual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 16:36:24 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001221113624.04473.00001602@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 64723

thafedpeepeeinhisface@deja.com, while munching squirrel turds and stroking his needle sized tallywhacker, not to mention pussily hiding under an assumed ID, dribbled forth the following garbage:

>Man I stumbled across N8WWMS new Domain. I always knew he was a big
>pussy!
>
>www.childmolester.8k.com
>

N8wwm sparklingly replied:
Call me what you want ya gutless sissy, I am legal and you are not.

3390. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 16:49:30 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a423476.4131293@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64724

On Thu, 21 Dec 2000 04:37:56 GMT, jdaggett@gate.net wrote:

>22.36 + j44.72 yields a magnitude of the reflection coefficient of about .61.
>
>P = [(22.36 + j44.72) - (50 + j0)]/[22.36 + j44.72) + (50 + j0)]
>
>believe me this yields about .61
>
>Now we calculate SWR.
>
>S = (1 + .61) / (1 - .61)
> = 1.61/.39
> = 4.13
>
>I dare say that will yield a 1:1 SWR. 4.13:1 yes.

That's what I got. I don't know what anylength got, or why he didn't
show this when I first challenged him. I can only guess it is because
he doesn't know half of what he is talking about.

>Do I win the challenge?

Close. Now prove that "50 ohms is, by definition, resonant"

>Is non-reactive resistance the same as purely resistive impedance?
>Yes a 50 Ohm resistor will yield a SWR of 1:1 with 50 Ohm coax. For an antenna
>to yield a SWR of 1:1 the whole impedance must be transformed up to 50 + j0. You
>can't just disregard the imaginary part of the impedance. Correct is does not
>radiate any power, but it is part of the impedance that can not be ignored.

Basic electronics teaches that resistance is only a component of
(complex) impedance. A resistor has impedance, but for the purposes of
discussing these frequencies, it's reactance is negligible. So in an
earlier post I mentioned that the complex impedance of a resistor is
50 + j0 ohms. The problem with anylength is that he was diverting the
subject from standing waves to defining the differences between an
antenna and a load. An antenna is a load. A resistor is a load. The
transmission line doesn't care if that load is an antenna or a
resistor, because if either one is not matched to the line it will
reflect power just the same.

>What you gave earlier is just the magnitude of the impedance. That is only half
>of the impedance. What about theta = invtan(X/R)? just hoping it goes away?

I was hoping that anylength would prove he actually read a book on the
subject, which he didn't.

>gee 0 + j50 is pure reactance. Let's see what the magnitude is? Yep the square
>root of 50 squared is 50. But I thought that only real power can be radiated by
>real components. Since the impedance is imaginary the power radiated is reactive
>power. Reactive power is radiated as heat if I remember correctly.

I disagree with you there, since there are many non-resonant antennas
that are very reactive. EM radiation is caused (in normal radio comm)
by the current in the radiating element, regardless of whether the
element is reactive or not. This is EM fundamentals.

From your other post:

>*Zsource = 37 + j15 ohms
>*Zload = 37 - j15 ohms
>*
>*Is that an impedance match? Yes. Will it reflect power? No. Is the
>*load resonant? No.
>**************************************
>
>Again I reitterate, a nice conjugate match. good for matching a rf amp. Useless
>for matching an antenna to the coax. Oh buy the way, the load is resonant. Show
>me where the net reactance of a parallel RC and a parallel RL in parallel
>containing reactive components of -j15 and +j15 is not zero. Does that not
>define resonance?

The match is resonant, not the load.

Sparky, FLRC

3391. Painincoochie faggily fails-AGAIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 16:54:30 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001221115430.04473.00001606@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 64725

Mr. Pain ï¿½, registered moron, BKA mrpaininhizcoochie@keyclowns.com, while smearing his mother's crotch with the regurgitated jizz he gulped from his brother, uttered the following weak and faggy slams:

>You can't do any better than that Fatboy????? That registered moron
>shit is kinda old and so are the squirrel droppings, but that's cool Im
>not the registered child molester. Do you think you you could get your
>mind off of food long enough to come up with something else Fatass????
>There's a donut in it for you, maybe even some chocolate!!!! I might
>even give you the dried french fries under my seat if your a good
>little fatboy!!!!
>

N8wwm sparklingly replied:
Ya know, it shows your level when you screw with me bout bein fat. And a poor insult it is, too. I am fat, OK I can go on a diet. You, on the other hand, are retarded. What can ya do about that, Bevis?
And no, I do not want to mess with any of your "honeys". Any scagbag who would do you probably contributed to the diseased condition of your mind with some kinda STD. I heard the doctor had to reach through 8 inches of pus and scabs just to deliver your skanky ass.

Re: the squirrel turds and the registered moron lines....
I know it pisses you off, that's why I do it. You wouldn't be crying if it did not.
I, for one, would rather eat a twinkie than a squirrel turd. If you want you can even eat my twinkie.

Back under yer rock, ya fairy.

3392. Re: DAVEMADE AMPS ARE ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 17:00:19 UTC
From: "Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com>
Message-ID: <91tcut$d9b$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64729

Of course he is, aint that right "DICK"?

In article <20001220092004.12796.00005170@ng-ce1.aol.com>,
punisha413fl@aol.com (Punisha413fl) wrote:
> hey richard are you n8wwm's lover or wnat?...sure looks like it
>

--
MR.PAIN&#65533;

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3393. Re: WTB:Clean 100 watt amp?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 17:06:40 UTC
From: William <k7kkg@juno.com>
Message-ID: <62e44tctl1q76qsq5clqb495h3u36vvveh@4ax.com>
Source record: 64726

On Wed, 20 Dec 2000 21:03:38 -0600 (CST), MR123IL@webtv.net wrote:

>Call and get a X-force and ask if they can re-tune it for the freq. you
>need!
>
>Or do the same with a Dave made!
>Get them to do it to a 2 pill 2879 amp
>
>That will do 100 watts on prob.

Do you realize how little a 100W amp helps your signal strength?
Start with at least 300W or don't bother.

3394. Punishmybootie413 tries again...and flops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 17:07:19 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001221120719.04473.00001611@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 64727

Punishmybootie413 dribbled forth:
> YOURE RUNNING OUT OF GAS , SINCE YOUVE BEEN EXPOSED,... I GIVE YOU
CREDIT
>FOR STAYING IN THE NG AFTER ALL THAT..

N8wwm SPARKLINGLY replied:
Exposed? Now Punisyerbootie, how have I been exposed? My info is in the public domain and I knew that when this flame war jumped off. should I be impressed that somebody went to a site or a database? Maybe I ought to be, it sounds like you dork strokers view it as a great accomplishment. That's the difference between you illegal little dweebs and me. I am accountable for what I do on the radio. You are the ones who fear "exposure", as you call it. In fact, if you visit my web page there is a specific greeting to you fruits.

Back under yer rock, ya fruitloop.

3395. ILLEGAL No Name 8 pill $375.00 plus shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 17:08:35 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001221120835.04473.00001612@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 64728

Bwaaaaaahaaaaaa

3396. Painindacoochie strikes out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 17:23:23 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001221122323.04473.00001616@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 64730

Painindacoochie ï¿½ <registered moron>
misspaininmycoochie@keyclowns.com
dribbled through his squirrel semen sauce:

>Of course he is, aint that right "DICK"?

N8wwm Sparklingly replied:
Misspainindacoochie you are so easy to mess with...

Go visit my web page, there is something for you there. I have not received
your fanclub membership fees yet, get on it soon or the price goes up, Bevis.

3397. 4 Sale - Palomar 90A base amp and Courier Centurian SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 17:33:21 UTC
From: U-L-T-R-A@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17042-3A423EE1-44@storefull-102.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64731

Hi, I have a Palomar 90A base linear and a 23 ch Courier Centurian SSB base station for sale. I got it from a man I did some work for. he had it sitting on his kitchen table and I asked about it and he said he had it back in 80 or 81 and it had only been used for about 6 months. He said his Moonraker beam bit the dust in an ice storm so he just put his radio equipment in the closet and forgot about it LOL. He said he had just gotten it off the closet shelf a little bit earlier and that he was just going to sit it out by the dumpster. Well of course I told him that if he didn't want it i would maybe take it off his hands hehehe.

So anyway I checked it all out and it not only looks like new but works like new too. I got out of CB a few years ago when I got into the internet. I have had a Palomar 90 A and a Courier Centurian before and both are awesome units, anyone that has had either knows what I'm talking about. The 90A is a killer amp and is very under-rated on its power output. It hammers it out there on SSB and even improves the audio quality of any radio.
The Courier is an awesome 23 ch SSB cb base station. It is a big and beautiful radio with an analog clock and 2 meters (one is an swr meter) and has on the air light. It is very broad banded and has a tight receiver and killer noise blanker on SSB. I used a Glen 326-G digital vfo on mine and the way I had it tuned it would cover from 26.500 to 28.000 very smooth. I had it tunned more towards the upper freqs because I talked a lot of sideband. Before I put the Glen on it I used a little 6 postition crystal switch box and it worked awesome like that.
I have had many radios since then but none come close to the quality of receiver of the Centurian with its killer rejection and ears. Rangers and Galaxies and the like are a joke compared to it. It puts out 25 to 30 watts on SSB after just retunning without any component change outs.

Ok I better shut up about my old radio days because Im starting to miss it and may not sell this gear after all LOL.
Well since I came accross it for free I am going to sell them for $100.00 each or best offer. I will ship them COD if you prepay the COD fee (sorry but I've been burned on here once) or let me know how you prefer to do it. Just email me with your offer.....Thanks Doug

3398. Re: Louder PA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 17:50:08 UTC
From: SwTempo <swtempo@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9UFCOj6enTINsGJYaBF1D2nHIF5G@4ax.com>
Source record: 64732

Just go to Radio Shack and get yourself the largest speaker that you can fit where ever you're putting your PA. Make sure it a speaker designed for outside use. Then Radio Shack used to sell a little 40 watt audio amp that would be perfect. I did exactly that a few years ago and it was louder than a cops PA

On Thu, 21 Dec 2000 06:02:04 GMT, "James Miller" <felix69@earthlink.netREMOVETHIS> wrote:

>how can i get a louder public address system through my CB? similiar to
>police issue i guess... any info would be greatly appreciated.
>

3399. FA: Cobra 139XLR Base SSB 40ch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 17:51:09 UTC
From: advanspec@aol.comburger
Message-ID: <20001221125109.08478.00000633@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Source record: 64733

For Auction at no reserve: Working Cobra 139XLR 40ch SSB base w/5 tone beep,
mike & vrbl pwr.
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1203096995&r=0&t=0&
showTutorial=0&ed=977772171&indexURL=0&rd=1

3400. Re: WTB:Clean 100 watt amp?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 17:55:47 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001221125547.24678.00006179@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 64734

that totally depends on the power level your starting out with. surely a ham like yourself would know that. 100 watts is a nice improvement over 2

>Do you realize how little a 100W amp helps your signal strength?
>Start with at least 300W or don't bother.

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

3401. FA: Brand New Midland 77-250CXL 40ch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 17:56:36 UTC
From: advanspec@aol.comburger
Message-ID: <20001221125636.08478.00000635@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Source record: 64735

for auction at no reserve: Brand new factory sealed Midland deluxe chrome face 77-250CXL. Details at: http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1203112344&r=0&t=0&showTutorial=0&ed=977775317&indexURL=0&rd=1

3402. FA: 7A/5A Power supply

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 18:04:41 UTC
From: advanspec@aol.comburger
Message-ID: <20001221130441.08464.00000783@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Source record: 64736

For auction PS-9K power supply 5Acont/&a surge regulated. See:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1203117240&r=0&t=0&
showTutorial=0&ed=977776285&indexURL=0&rd=1

3403. Comanche Warrior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 18:19:12 UTC
From: ValleyArts1@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13591-3A4249A0-47@storefull-115.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64740

I purchased a Comanche Warrior 10 meter base station as a second radio and I am having trouble finding the frequency expansion modifications for it.

The Defpom modification site has a mod, but it is too vague, as there are no circuit labels on the smt pcb, only chip component values. The mod also seems like it might be mistyped or outdated.

If anyone could provide any concise, current information on the frequency expansion procedure for this unit, or a link to it, I would really appreciate it. Thank You

3404. Re: DAVEMADE AMPS ARE ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 18:20:26 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <3Qr06.17747$9T2.668731@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 64737

"Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com> wrote in message
news:91tcut$d9b$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Of course he is, aint that right "DICK"?
>
>

Congratulations!! You, the incomparable Mr Pain, are the 47,329th bozo to make that original contribution to American Culture.

3405. DAVEMADE AMPS ARE ILLEGAL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 18:25:13 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001221132513.04540.00001761@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 64738

>From: "Richard McCollum" rmccoll@radiks.net

>Congratulations!! You, the incomparable Mr Pain,<REGISTERED MORON> are the
47,329th bozo to
>make that original contribution to American Culture.

MissPainindacoochie is sooo dumb.

3406. Merry Christmas!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 18:36:37 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <16j44tkbdarvf0pca3e5btp4bp7esc5s8h@4ax.com>
Source record: 64739

Greetings!

Wanted to stop in and wish all of you a Safe and Happy Holiday, and
all the best to you and yours for a properous New Year!

I'll still be around!

Glad Tidings from the Gang in Gowen!
[Gawd's Country Mitten]
Regards!
:+> Andy <+:

3407. Pair of NICE CB mobiles for Sale !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 19:04:19 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001221140419.08449.00000615@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Source record: 64741

TWO "Realistic" (RadioShack)top-of-the-line CB radios*** Both are 40 channel AM units (NO SSB) and are in mint condition and unmodified. Both perform flawlessly and are as-new. They were installed in two show vehicles and both have LESS than ONE HOUR'S total usage EACH!!*****The first is a TRC-418....Has bright red numerical LED channel readout and S-meter:rotary channel selector:Instant channel 9/19 switch:CB/PA switch:ANL (auto noise limiter) feature & switch: and has microphone and mounting bracket.**********The second unit is a TRC-435...has bright red LED numerical channel indicator and S-meter:rotary channel selector:CB/PA switch:ANL feature with switch:Instant channel 9 switch:rotary tone AND gain controls.Has microphone and mounting bracket. Both units are as-new and mint condition both cosmetically AND electronically.Sorry, no pics...(((((.***Will take $80 for BOTH (each one cost almost $100 when bought new)***)))) MONEY ORDER only for payment .Buyer pays fixed shipping fee (INCLUDED IN PRICE!!!) for fully-insured, PRIORITY shipping with damage-proof packaging.Radios will be sent SAME or VERY next day your M.O. is received here.Due to nature of items, they are sold "as-is" altho' are in perfect condition as previously noted.Please...serious interested persons only.Email with any questions you might have. Thank you and Happy Holidays!!
(<:
Klarrg@aol.com (Larry)

3408. Inexpensive CB radios from The Antenna Man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 19:06:27 UTC
From: The Antenna Man <buzzards@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Tws06.4944$nM5.1675762@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 64742

Please have a look at: http://user.auctions.yahoo.com/user/mikewj2d

Packaged deals available.
Thanks you for looking and have a Happy Holiday Season!
Mike, The Antenna Man

3409. Re: Louder PA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 19:13:55 UTC
From: methusaleh11@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91tkpb$k7s$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64743

In article <9UFCOj6enTINsGJYaBF1D2nHIF5G@4ax.com>,
SwTempo <swtempo@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Just go to Radio Shack and get yourself the largest speaker that you
> can fit where ever you're putting your PA. Make sure it a speaker
> designed for outside use. Then Radio Shack used to sell a little 40
> watt audio amp that would be perfect. I did exactly that a few years
> ago and it was louder than a cops PA

How much did you drive the 40W amp with? How could you be louder than a
cop?
Most emergency vehicles have 100 or 120W PA systems, here in MA some
state units even have 350 watt rectangular horns...if Rat Shack can
sell me a 40W unit that will outdo those then they've got one happy
customer coming their way...

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3410. sch&#65533;ma &#65533;lectronique

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 19:18:12 UTC
From: jerome <jerome01@oreka.com>
Message-ID: <91ts8f$1bng$2@news4.isdnet.net>
Source record: 64745

bonjour,

je cherche les plans &#65533;lectroniques de l'alimentation EPS 10-12 d'euro CB

Merci d'avance
ICQ:58434030
Mail: jerome01@oreka.com

3411. Re: WTB:Clean 100 watt amp?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 19:39:32 UTC
From: William <k7kkg@juno.com>
Message-ID: <eqm44t46r035u786fomeovrfeakrvmu9nd@4ax.com>
Source record: 64744

On 21 Dec 2000 17:55:47 GMT, timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com) wrote:

>that totally depends on the power level your starting out with. surely a ham
>like yourself would know that. 100 watts is a nice improvement over 2
>
>>Do you realize how little a 100W amp helps your signal strength?
>>Start with at least 300W or don't bother.

Yeah, but I figure it this way: if you're serious enough about your
CB hobby then you probably have one of the "export" model radios that
easily produces 13W AM and 35W PEP SSB. From there, a 100W amp
just isn't that big of an improvement.....and anyway, anyone who wants
more power now will want even more later, they can skip a couple steps
right from the start by getting a 300W (or more) to start with.
If 100W is good, 300W is better, every trip of the train.

3412. Re: thafedpppinhizface tries...and fails...as usual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 21:27:34 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001221162734.09101.00005205@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 64746

Notice how n8wwm's replies suck now..... youre not fun anymore.....awww somebody took yur lil bitty pride away?......sucker

3413. 2510

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 21:30:42 UTC
From: joe <cougar393@home.com>
Message-ID: <6Eu06.6955$R62.64724@news1.wwck1.ri.home.com>
Source record: 64747

selling a hr-2510 in great condition 160.00/also have a president jackson in a1 shape asking 125.00 please email me at cougar393@home.com

3414. Re: Painincoochie faggily fails-AGAIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 21:30:44 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001221163044.09101.00005206@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 64748

Lamer and lamer by the minute.....yaaaaaawwwwwwnnnnnn.....you suck n8wwm

3415. Re: Punishmybootie413 tries again...and flops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 21:31:37 UTC
From: bendowersagain@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001221163137.12401.00006180@ng-bg1.aol.com>
Source record: 64749

>n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) said

>I am accountable for what I do on the
>radio.
>visit my web page there is a specific greeting to you fruits.

Hey Doug
Are you accountable in this ng? Have you read AOL's Term of Service regarding News Groups? Your post are not needed or welcomed in the ng. Not only are they off the subject line, they are insulting, provoking, and do nothing to further your so called cause. What you are doing can easily be compaired to the so call CB Rambos you complain about. Hell! Even those who share your very own beliefs have abandon you. I think its time for you to scurry back to Ham Land and let things here be as they be .

3416. Re: Punishmybootie413 tries again...and flops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 21:33:49 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001221163349.09101.00005207@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 64750

dont tone it down now ....shit your just getting me started.....it aint my fault you look like Benny Hil....its your mom's and that rottweilers fault.........y oure getting lamer by the second....youll be outta here in 2 weeks tops guaranteed

3417. T.S. 350 hdv

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 21:37:02 UTC
From: wlfdad@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91tt5urtf1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64754

I have been trying to get ahold of you. if you want a sale we can talk.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3418. Re: T.S. 350 HDV $75.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 21:43:29 UTC
From: wlfdad@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91tti0$s3c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64753

In article <26966-3A3ED7F4-37@storefull-115.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
M1v1@webtv.net wrote:
> Unit is not sold! Please note, I only accept money orders.
>
Have been trying to get ahold of you. If you want to sell let me know.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3419. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 21:46:33 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-00584B.16392021122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 64751

In article <3a423476.4131293@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> On Thu, 21 Dec 2000 04:37:56 GMT, jdaggett@gate.net wrote:
>
> >22.36 + j44.72 yields a magnitude of the reflection coefficient of about
> >.61.
> >
> >P = [(22.36 + j44.72) - (50 + j0)]/[22.36 + j44.72) + (50 + j0)]
> >
> >believe me this yields about .61
> >
> >Now we calculate SWR.
> >
> >S = (1 + .61) / (1 - .61)
> > = 1.61/.39
> > = 4.13
> >
> >I dare say that will yield a 1:1 SWR. 4.13:1 yes.
>
> That's what I got. I don't know what anylength got, or why he didn't
> show this when I first challenged him. I can only guess it is because
> he doesn't know half of what he is talking about.

Bzzzt. You most certainly did not. You are changing your story now.
Let me refresh your memory by showing our exchange:

In article <3a3dfc12.9953124@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

: On Mon, 18 Dec 2000 03:34:06 GMT, Coax Length Police
: <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
;
; :Now, answer these questions:
: :1) What is the antenna impedance (including imaginary part) when
: :the SWR is 1:1?
:
: The antenna impedance can be any combination within the boundaries of
: the equation
:
: Z = (R&#65533; + X&#65533;)^&#65533;
:
: There is an infinite number of possible combinations of resistance,
: capacitive reactance and inductive reactance which will yield 50 ohms.
: Using an arbitrary value, 22.36 + j44.72 ohms = 50 ohms impedance at

: the antenna. This is Example #2.

Here, you indicated that 22.36 + j44.72 provides an SWR of 1:1.
And you indicated that there are an infinite number of impedances
that yield an SWR of 1:1.
Sorry, Sparky. Once again, you are wrong.

I did not show any calculations because I could tell just by looking at
it that it was not 1:1 as you claimed. If it is not 50 + j0, it is not
1:1. No calculations are necessary.

3420. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 21:53:14 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-EA716D.16460121122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 64752

In article <3a457839.11069886@news.gate.net>, jdaggett@gate.net wrote:

> *> > 50 Ohms impedance can be an infinte combinations of R and X. There
> *> > is an infinite solutions for 50 Ohms.
>
> This is true for z = (r + x).

Since Z does not equal R + X (it equals R + jX), who cares?

(I wrote this part):
> *> No. 50 ohms is 50 + j0 ohms. There is only one combination of R
> *> and X.
> *> If there is a reactive component, it is not 50 ohms.
>
> 50 + j0 is the same as 50 Ohm pure resistance.

Yes.

> By that definition there is but only one solution.

Yes, and that IS the definition of 50 ohms.

> Yes there can be an infinite solution to give
> a magnitude of 50 Ohms but only one solution that yields a pure
> resistance.

Who cares about the magnitude of the impedance? It tells you
nothing. It is an incomplete description of the impedance.

3421. Re: Put de Amp in de Caar...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 21:59:55 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A427D5B.10B706C3@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64755

I was in there from 2:30 to 3:15 EST. I was making myself heard all over! It was quiet about 3:00 EST because I had the channel pretty much under my control!! I called for you a few times but I didn't hear you come back. Texas, Kansas, Washington State, Idaho, Newfoundland, and others I forgot about were coming back like gangbusters. Try again...

And I did say, "Unit six-nine, Chesapeake Bay!" hehehe.

Jeff Mayner wrote:
>
> "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3A419E25.F18AFFE2@hotmail.com...
> > Good luck. You're gonna need it. It's f*ckin' noisy on that channel.
> >
> > There always seems to be some asshole whistling or playing music.
>
> You mean Mr. Wonderful? He was grooving on some old Patsy Cline yesterday.
> :-)
>
> Jeff
>
> >
> > Jeff Mayner wrote:
> > >
> > > I'll be on 38L tomorrow, 12/21 at around Noon Pacific Time, testing the
> > > "conditions". Call is "019" out of Ventura, Cali. If anyone is
> interested
> > > and can rationalize talking to a "Scoff-law". ;-)
> > >
> > > Jeff
> > > Ventura, CA

3422. Re: A bust happens from new law!! HAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 22:15:21 UTC
From: The Landshark <s3buell@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <viv06.128$Ln.57224@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 64756

Hey Brian, How's the Skywave II coming along?
You got it ready for production? Yup, you might
want to go under-ground with that production line
from the High school solder class.

The Landshark

--
It's a Buell-tiful Day for a ride
through the Canyons.

1999 Ford F-150 Super Cab
1998 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
1983 Honda 1100F
BFD #666
"Professor" <bgriffey@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:91qds4$v31$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Good!
> These people who have been asked numberous times to stop... and who
> have thumbed their nose at all... need to be 10-7.
>
> > Subject: I got to obseve a bust!
> > From: "Fred Hambrecht" Fred@w4jle.com
> > Date: 12/12/2000 21:13 PM Eastern Standard Time
> > Message-id: <916m17rlj1@iac5.navix.net>
> >
> > I had a chance to ride with one of the local police today on a visit
> to a
> > CBers house. He had been asked a number of times to cease and desist
> with
> > his overpowered illegal operations.
> >
> > This person has been the bane of existence to all his neighbors
> around here,
> > he was handed a copy of the latest federal law, along with the
> purposed
> > local laws. It was made clear that he would be the target of the
> first test
> > case under the new law. I observed him trying to justify his rights
> to do
> > what he wanted on the CB band. He stated that he had heard the FCC no
> > longer controlled CB along with a litany of other excuses. The local
> law
> > officer explained in a respectful manner that he would shortly have
> > jurisdiction and he should consider this as his final warning. "Hey!

> you
> > mean your serious?" was his response, the officer responded "as
> serious as a
> > heart attack" at that point he walked back in the house and brought
> out what
> > he refereed to as a "ModgeBox" and handed it over to the officer. I
> believe
> > that this law is going to work. Any way Ga231 sends all the good
> numbers...
> > He's going 10-7
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

3423. Re: Punishmybootie413 tries again...and flops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 22:32:50 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001221173250.12162.00005154@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 64757

```
>.youll be outta here in 2
>weeks tops guaranteed
>

Nope...never.
```

3424. Re: thafedpppinhizface tries...and fails...as usual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 22:34:04 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001221173404.12162.00005155@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 64758

>
>Notice how n8wwm's replies suck now..... youre not fun anymore.......awww
>somebody took yur lil bitty pride away?......sucker

really?
I have been laughing MORE lately...go punish yer bootie...dweeb

3425. Re: Punishmybootie413 tries again...and flops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 22:37:06 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001221173706.12162.00005156@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 64759

>Your post are not needed or welcomed in the ng.

I know<chuckle>

>I think its time for you to scurry back to Ham Land
>and let things here be as they be .

you wish<snicker>

I will cook up some more goodies for y'all.
Sit back and wait.
By the way...I am working on a NEW and IMPROVED web page for you dorks. Get ready.

3426. Re: Punishmybootie413 tries again...and flops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 22:47:02 UTC
From: carriagecarwash@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001221174702.14828.00002965@ng-xc1.aol.com>
Source record: 64760

>punisha413fl@aol.com (Punisha413fl) wrote:>dont tone it down now ....shit
your just getting me started.....it aint my
>fault you look like Benny Hil....its your mom's and that rottweilers
>fault.........y oure getting lamer by the second....youll be outta here in 2
>weeks tops guaranteed

Yea...N8 went out with the hula hoop!!!!!!!!!

937....

3427. Re: correction SBE is a Landcommand not master

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 22:47:50 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <pMv06.118105$IP1.4042956@news1.giganews.com>
Source record: 64762

Which one.

They are all pretty much the same, typical Cybernet PLL02A SSB chassis.

Decent radios, but didn't excel in any one particular area.

Toll Free

<phrawg@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:91r606led1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> SBE base mentioned is a land commander. Was thinking of Pace commercial
> vhf products. The Phrawg
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

3428. Re: 165 amp alternators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 22:48:47 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <sNv06.2147$n5.31620@news6.giganews.com>
Source record: 64761

Ouch.

Sludgehammer sells his for 165.00.

Toll Free

"Maul13" <maul13@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001220081222.21697.00005503@ng-fj1.aol.com...
> I have 2 165 amp big case alternatotrs... New not used or installed one
time
> but new.... Asking $200 a piece any one interrested please email me ...
Price
> does not inclued shipping..
> Thanks #13 V.A

3429. Re: Anyone ever seen a Galaxy Saturn do this....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 22:57:01 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <0Vv06.160751$DG3.3235093@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 64763

I don't think I have to figure anything out.

I told you what was wrong with your radio.

If you can't figure out simple troubleshooting and a truth table, fuck off.
You don't need to be putting your hands in that radio.

You already admitted you fucked it up more than when you started.

Their is a reason a REAL technician charges... Because he knows what the
fuck he is doing. Unlike you, stupid.

Congrats. You made the killfile.

TollHolio!

"DetHMetaLx" <dethmetalx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001219062945.18139.00012641@ng-fi1.aol.com...
> figure out how to check my ass fool

3430. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 22:58:49 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <JWv06.118132$IP1.4044681@news1.giganews.com>
Source record: 64764

Thank you very much.

Yet another intelligent person on the newsgroup who has figured "it" out.

Toll Free

"CarriageCarWash" <carriagecarwash@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001126093643.15600.00001258@ng-ma1.aol.com...
> >In article <AhwT5.8773$TE3.494032@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>, "Wild Bill"
> ><wildbill@wild.comspam> writes:
>
> >>tj1072, It is kinda funny you are pushing customer service regarding
illegal
> >>amplifiers, but that is to be expected since you are part of there
> >>production line at xforce. But i am wondering where do all the davemades
> >>come from if there customer service sucks? Someone must be happy
somewhere
> >>since there are many out on the airwaves . Down here at our shop in Va
we
> >>have a somewhat informed customer base they dont come to us asking how
> >>many watts will my amp do with a mirage 88 deadkeying 2.5 watts swinging
35
> >>pushing a 5 pill at 14.25 volts, well how about at 16.89 volts will it
do
> >>the same. etc etc. They know what they want If not they can buy a texas
> >>star , palomar, I dont need to sell them a davemade for the sake of
selling
> >>a amp When i want to buy a rebuilt motor for my car i dont call
Hendricks
> >>Motor Sports and ask them how many horses can I expect with one of there
> >>motors in my olds cutlass work car, how many miles to the gallon Ill bet
> >>they wouldnt even talk to me you ask why because i am not in thier
league
> >>even though there motors are some of the best out there used on the
Nascar,
> >>Busch and grand National series. The people in the know will get thru to
> >>them and will get a great amp I don't think davemade wants to or needs
to
> >>sell amps to the whole world maybe thats xforce amps goal. you had
better
> >>bow down to the snake because without it you would still be using pirate
> >>amps in west Chicago.
> >
>
>

>
> So in other words you think your shit dont stink and you are to good for
most
> customers. "Sorry sir cant help ya. If you knew a little more about what
you
> are doing then, maybe i would sell you an amp" Case closed. With sales
people
> like yourself dave doesn't have to worry about the fcc. And one more time
i do
> not work for x-force. Nor do i dislike davemade stuff. I just think some
of you
> guys need to get off your davemade high horse.
>
> 937....chicago
>

3431. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 23:02:55 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <y_v06.118147$IP1.4045472@news1.giganews.com>
Source record: 64765

Blah Blah Blah.

Your story is getting old.

X-Forces sales figures show that :)

"Wild Bill" <wildbill@wild.comspam> wrote in message
news:XeaU5.10339$TE3.651052@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
> I think you need to get off of your xforce service high horse as well .

Yeah, people really like to be talked to like their idiots... Or better
yet, not talked to
at all, since they don't know as much as you.

That
> is the only thing you can offer is service since technical know how is not
> part of anyones resume at xforce.

Technical Knowhow? For what? A fucking grounded base amplifier?

Geeze... The DC amplifier is finished. You can't get anything else out of
the 2879.

Dave wasn't the first person to do it, nor will he be the last.

> If you disliked daves stuff you would have to dislike the xforce line
since
> we all know why.

Not one person has said that XForce is superior technically. That is
something
that DaveMade keeps pushing.. That the XForce line is going to die, since
nobody
their can technically improve upon it. And your right. The 2879 has been
completely
"exploited".

However, DaveMade (et al) sure does seem to wanna knock down the people with
the exact same product to offer, as well as customer service...

If ya can't expound on the technology, ya gotta offer something, or ya get
left in the dust.

Toll Free

>
>
> "CarriageCarWash" <carriagecarwash@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20001126093643.15600.00001258@ng-ma1.aol.com...

> > >In article <AhwT5.8773$TE3.494032@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>, "Wild Bill"
> > ><wildbill@wild.comspam> writes:
> >
> > >>tj1072, It is kinda funny you are pushing customer service regarding
> illegal
> > >>amplifiers, but that is to be expected since you are part of there
> > >>production line at xforce. But i am wondering where do all the
davemades
> > >>come from if there customer service sucks? Someone must be happy
> somewhere
> > >>since there are many out on the airwaves . Down here at our shop in Va
> we
> > >>have a somewhat informed customer base they dont come to us asking
how
> > >>many watts will my amp do with a mirage 88 deadkeying 2.5 watts
swinging
> 35
> > >>pushing a 5 pill at 14.25 volts, well how about at 16.89 volts will it
> do
> > >>the same. etc etc. They know what they want If not they can buy a
texas
> > >>star , palomar, I dont need to sell them a davemade for the sake of
> selling
> > >>a amp When i want to buy a rebuilt motor for my car i dont call
> Hendricks
> > >>Motor Sports and ask them how many horses can I expect with one of
there
> > >>motors in my olds cutlass work car, how many miles to the gallon Ill
bet
> > >>they wouldnt even talk to me you ask why because i am not in thier
> league
> > >>even though there motors are some of the best out there used on the
> Nascar,
> > >>Busch and grand National series. The people in the know will get thru
to
> > >>them and will get a great amp I don't think davemade wants to or needs
> to
> > >>sell amps to the whole world maybe thats xforce amps goal. you had
> better
> > >>bow down to the snake because without it you would still be using
pirate
> > >>amps in west Chicago.
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> > So in other words you think your shit dont stink and you are to good for
> most
> > customers. "Sorry sir cant help ya. If you knew a little more about what
> you

> > are doing then, maybe i would sell you an amp" Case closed. With sales
> people
> > like yourself dave doesn't have to worry about the fcc. And one more
time
> i do
> > not work for x-force. Nor do i dislike davemade stuff. I just think some
> of you
> > guys need to get off your davemade high horse.
> >
> > 937....chicago
>
>
>

3432. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 23:08:16 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <z3w06.160771$DG3.3236025@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 64766

> No I am sorry you have made no point at all Ill make my point again

A. That should be two seperate sentences.

B. Yes, he made a valid point, something everyone else has gotten, but you don't.

People want customer service.

If no
> one can get ahold of davemade why are there so many out on the airwaves.

Because their is a select clientele, as well as a shitload of dealers, and a LOT of people
selling them.

DaveMade peaked when Texas Star was shut down in the 90s, due in some part to
the internet explosion, as well as Dennis O. telling people to buy them because he thought
they where the best at the time.

Nobody has said they are technically inferior to the XForce, Cookie, No Name, etc. brands.. Just
that DaveMade has a bunch of self-serving prick attitude having people working for them... And
your attitude is proving it. Whether or not you work "in the DaveMade" camp, you purport your-
self to in the manner you speak and act, and nobody wants to deal with someone who acts like you.

Why should they? They can have damn near the same product with X-Force, and have someone
on the phone when they need it, as well as a product that is easier to get in the first damn
place.

If X-Force would have been around in the mid 90s, DaveMade wouldn't be NEARLY as
popular as it is today. Thats a fact jack.

> Reread the thread and you will see who replied to what, you blamed operator
> error for most all broken xforce or davemade then you post under another "
> suedo" name

A. You have proof it is him

B. It's spelled psuedo

saying you are just a customer then if this is so why do your
> shorts get all bunched up when someone mentions xforce why i tell you why
> you work for them its just that simple.

No, it is much more complex. You just don't get it.

I am sure old Riley knows all about
> you guys anyhow from what i have read on here . Perhaps You can tell mr
> hollingsworth how service oriented that xforce is when he makes a visit.

And I am sure when they show up at one of the DaveMade camps, you will get
off
scott free, because you can say that you don't sell to "everyone", and you
never answer
the phone.

Get real

Toll Free

> .
> "TJ1072" <tj1072@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20001126164339.17295.00002997@ng-cg1.aol.com...
> > >Subject: Re: Is X-Force that bad???
> > >From: "Wild Bill" wildbill@wild.comspam
> > >Date: 11/26/00 9:45 AM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <XeaU5.10339$TE3.651052@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
> >
> > >I think you need to get off of your xforce service high horse as well
> .>That
> > >is the only thing you can offer is service since technical know how is
> not
> > >part of anyones resume at xforce.
> > >If you disliked daves stuff you would have to dislike the xforce line
> since
> > >we all know why.
> > >
> >
> >
> > And service is exactly what they offer people. I just think its kinda
> funny how
> > every time you see a post out here with someone asking about x-force all
> you
> > davemade yo yo's pop up and start sayin "screw that x-force crap...buy
> > dave!!!...only way to go!!!"...then the people TRY to get ahold of a
dave
> amp
> > and its like pullin teeth. So if it is like you said before, that you
guys
> dont
> > want the "uneducated customers" then why bother with all the bad

mouthing?
> That
> > is what i'm wondering about. Take it easy bill...I think i have made my
> point.
> >
> >
> > 937
>
>
>

3433. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 23:10:13 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <n5w06.160780$DG3.3235846@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 64767

> Well for what it's worth with my voice of reason...
> DAVEMADE or X-Force
> SAME DAMN THING!!!!!!!!!!! THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE!
>

Ice, EXACTLY.

But, if you where the new person to CB, would you want to deal with a
company
that wouldn't answer the phone, reply to your emails, and was generally hard
to get
ahold of, or the people who damn near bent over backwards to help you.

That is the WHOLE point people are trying to make here.

Dave is a DC god in the CB community. Nobody is taking that away from him.

His camp just doesn't give a shit about the average customer.

Word is getting around, and with the internet, it is getting around fast.

Toll Free

>
> COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It
don't
> mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!
>

3434. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 23:11:08 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <c6w06.160783$DG3.3236274@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 64768

hahahaha

Never.

Call a spade a spade...

As long as it isn't diamonds :)

Toll Free

"brass" <cc@knology.net> wrote in message
news:3A404B5D.51DF8851@knology.net...
> Cut it Out Toll . . .
>

3435. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 23:11:59 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <27w06.118200$IP1.4046432@news1.giganews.com>
Source record: 64770

Right there, right back.

Toll Free

"BRAND SPANKIN' NEW" <brandnew@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:91qlop$6g3$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <iDS%5.149953$DG3.3030780@news2.giganews.com>,
> "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote:
> > Well,
> >
> > I was just trying to bow down to the intelligence level of the people
> I was
> > having to have a literary war with..
> >
> > Please see the post above where someone was slamming a poster because
> he
> > said DaveMade people dealt coke... Then I questioned him about him
> saying
> > that Blade was a coke user / dealer.
> >
> > It wasn't serious, after all... This is CB radio!
> >
> > Have a good one.
> >
> > Toll Free
>
> WELL THANKS TOLL, I JUST DIDN'T WANT TO THINK YOU THOUGHT THAT WAY.
> HAVE A HAPPY HOLIDAY!!!!!
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

3436. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 23:12:14 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <v7w06.2154$n5.31198@news6.giganews.com>
Source record: 64769

Jealous?

I bet he give j00 a kiss t00 :)

lol

Toll Free

<MR123IL@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:2790-3A413F3D-50@storefull-292.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> What a big KISS??????????
>

3437. Re: 165 amp alternators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 23:15:56 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <iamyourhookup@eatme.com>
Message-ID: <Maw06.408$He.112210@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64771

sledgehammers are used/rebuilt, and he doesnt have anymore left.

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:sNv06.2147$n5.31620@news6.giganews.com...
> Ouch.
>
> Sludgehammer sells his for 165.00.
>
>
>
> Toll Free
>
> "Maul13" <maul13@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20001220081222.21697.00005503@ng-fj1.aol.com...
> > I have 2 165 amp big case alternatotrs... New not used or installed
one
> time
> > but new.... Asking $200 a piece any one interrested please email me ...
> Price
> > does not inclued shipping..
> > Thanks #13 V.A
>
>

3438. Re: 165 amp alternators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 23:19:42 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <iamyourhookup@eatme.com>
Message-ID: <iew06.410$He.113797@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64772

btw they normaly sell for $400+ new so his are a bargin at $200 .
"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:sNv06.2147$n5.31620@news6.giganews.com...
> Ouch.
>
> Sludgehammer sells his for 165.00.
>
>
>
> Toll Free
>
> "Maul13" <maul13@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20001220081222.21697.00005503@ng-fj1.aol.com...
> > I have 2 165 amp big case alternatotrs... New not used or installed
one
> time
> > but new.... Asking $200 a piece any one interrested please email me ...
> Price
> > does not inclued shipping..
> > Thanks #13 V.A
>
>

3439. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 23:36:50 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <iamyourhookup@eatme.com>
Message-ID: <muw06.742$1m.123343@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64773

X Force is popular because it offers similiar performance of a dave The point is they are around because of davemade and another pirate who post's out here ! Now Thats A FACT JACK!!!!! But we already knew that. Have a good one

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message news:z3w06.160771>
> If X-Force would have been around in the mid 90s, DaveMade wouldn't be
> NEARLY as
> popular as it is today. Thats a fact jack.

3440. Re: Merry Christmas!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 23:40:20 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <91u4d4$e87@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 64774

Same to you Andy.

"Handy Andy" <CodysPC@Iserve.Net> wrote in message
news:16j44tkbdarvf0pca3e5btp4bp7esc5s8h@4ax.com...
> Greetings!
>
> Wanted to stop in and wish all of you a Safe and Happy Holiday, and
> all the best to you and yours for a properous New Year!
>
> I'll still be around!
>
> Glad Tidings from the Gang in Gowen!
> [Gawd's Country Mitten]
> Regards!
> :+> Andy <+:
>
>

3441. Re: T.S. 350 HDV $75.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 23:43:26 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <91u4iu$9oj@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 64775

Too good to be true.

<wlfdad@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:91tti0$s3c$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <26966-3A3ED7F4-37@storefull-115.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
> M1v1@webtv.net wrote:
> > Unit is not sold! Please note, I only accept money orders.
> >
> Have been trying to get ahold of you. If you want to sell let me know.
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

3442. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 23:45:50 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <iamyourhookup@eatme.com>
Message-ID: <OCw06.413$He.122598@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64777

He has some pretty good ac mobiles out there to. a fellow named mulehead won ac sky at memphis with a single 3cx3000 tube this year
"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message news:n5w06.160780$DG3.3235846@news2.giganews.com...
> Dave is a DC god in the CB community. Nobody is taking that away from him.
>
> His camp just doesn't give a shit about the average customer.

3443. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 23:47:09 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <91u4pt$9ov@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 64778

Good so lets bury this thread and the chicken$#!+ hams that started it.
Merry Christmas and Happy New Year and a 1KW amp for all.

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:22990-3A420ADD-7@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> "a crime is a crime...."
>
> Yea, some see it that way. I know better, and so do most that have
> common sense and at least an inkling of comprehension of laws vs. rules,
> guidelines, and regulations. Some will never know.
>

3444. Re: Painincoochie faggily fails-AGAIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 23:48:27 UTC
From: "Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com>
Message-ID: <91u4s9$2g5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64781

In article <20001221163044.09101.00005206@ng-ca1.aol.com>,
punisha413fl@aol.com (Punisha413fl) wrote:
> Lamer and lamer by the minute.....yaaaaaawwwwwnnnnnn.....you
suck n8wwm
>

--

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!
MR.PAIN&#65533;

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3445. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 23:51:06 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <iamyourhookup@eatme.com>
Message-ID: <KHw06.414$He.124422@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64779

sounds like sparky is changing his tune hahahahahahahahahahaha

"Sparky" <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message
> Wasn't me. I never made any contention that coax length affected SWR.
> However, I _do_ contend that coax length makes a difference as to what
> point of the standing wave lands on the radio.

3446. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 23:51:23 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <91u51r$9p2@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 64780

It is rarely used that is the point. So open it up and loosen the traffic on 11 Meter. Watch the radio development that would occur if it could be offered to the masses and the power levels increased.

Look at the pathetic FRS band. There have been more radios developed in 1 year than 11meter has had in 10.

"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message news:91s8tv$ri8$2@bashir.peak.org...
> CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net> wrote:
> : The Ham community wants the 10 meter band when it suites them. Like today's
> : current skip cycles. 2 years from now the band will be dead and unused.
>
> Actually, the band is useable for skip even at the bottom of the sunspot
> cycle. It just is not as frequent or as good.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

3447. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 23:53:41 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a429738.2788761@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64776

On Thu, 21 Dec 2000 21:46:33 GMT, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>> >I dare say that will yield a 1:1 SWR. 4.13:1 yes.
>>
>> That's what I got. I don't know what anylength got, or why he didn't
>> show this when I first challenged him. I can only guess it is because
>> he doesn't know half of what he is talking about.
>
>Bzzzt. You most certainly did not. You are changing your story now.
>Let me refresh your memory by showing our exchange:
<snip>

Are you really that naive? I played you and you swallowed the hook
with the bait. I didn't intend to let you off so soon, but that's what
happened. If you can't figure it out then get your buddy Doc to
explain it to you, because you're both in my filter box now.

Sparky, FLRC

3448. Mod Squad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-21 23:54:59 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <172-3A429853-33@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64782

Good to catch you down on the other one...as always, sounding good in the 'pile.....until we do it again....Happy Holidays

3449. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 00:49:33 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7639-3A42A51D-66@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64785

And a Merry Christmas right back at ya' cityslicker! Hope to tag ya'
out there some time soon in all that freeeeeeeeeee space!

3450. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 00:56:17 UTC
From: exportsrus@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001221195617.08231.00007269@ng-mi1.aol.com>
Source record: 64783

Similiar in looks, but perfomance seems to be much better. Thank goodness for motorola is where the real credit belongs Dennis. Both amps are very good and well known in the world. Merry Chrismas to all, and to all an X Force Good Night.

>
>X Force is popular because it offers similiar performance of a dave The
>point is they are around because of davemade and another pirate who post's
>out here ! Now Thats A FACT JACK!!!!! But we already knew that. Have a good
>one
>
>
>"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message news:z3w06.160771>
>> If X-Force would have been around in the mid 90s, DaveMade wouldn't be
>> NEARLY as
>> popular as it is today. Thats a fact jack.
>

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Thanks
Exports R Us
www.xportsrus.com
Distributing OverDrive Communications Products and Accessories
We also have the best wholesale prices for Retailers and Dealers.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

3451. Cookie Boxes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 01:02:13 UTC
From: schwerd5@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001221200213.22286.00005609@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 64784

For Sale: 2 pill 250 watt amp, and 8 pill C-2000. Both amps were used very little. Less than eight hours talking time on both. They are both in excellent condition, and work extremely well. You can run both of these amps on 16 volts if you so desire. I have only run them on 14 volts. I am looking to sell both. Asking $450.00 for the set. Flexible shipping options available. Contact me through my email at www.schwerd5@aol.com

73's

Master Cylinder

3452. Re: 165 amp alternators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 01:38:57 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A42B0B1.5067@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 64786

Maul13,

I was just curious. Do they make 150-200 amp alternators in sizes to
replace the small-case GM 100 amp ones? I've never attempted to replace
mine, but it might be a future option.

Thanks & 73,

Jerry

Maul13 wrote:
>
> I have 2 165 amp big case alternatotrs... New not used or installed one time
> but new.... Asking $200 a piece any one interrested please email me ... Price
> does not inclued shipping..
> Thanks #13 V.A

3453. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 01:40:18 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a429c92.4744989@news.gate.net>
Source record: 64863

On Thu, 21 Dec 2000 04:43:47 GMT, Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
wrote:

*In article <3a435cc7.4042759@news.gate.net>, jdaggett@gate.net wrote:
*
*> A nine foot vertical over a 8 foot diameter ground field (solid or
*> mesh):
*>
*> 1) if it is a 1/4 lambda will have a radiation impedance of 36.5 + j24.5
*> Ohms.
*
*I don't know why we should care but OK.
****

This was done in response to his hypothetical antenna. I added some basic
conditions to show what antenna impedcance will do when frequency is varied.
Nothing more.

****
*> 6) Now if we let this antenna alone a tried to feed it with 50 Ohm coax
*> what is our SWR? The magnitude of the impedance is roughly 44 Ohms.
*
*The magnitude of the impedance does not determine SWR.
*The impedance determines SWR.
****

Correct my intent here is not to prove that the magnitude but to show that the
magnitude cannot be used.

****
*> That will gives us a close match since 44 is very close to 50.
*
*Is 1.95:1 (as you calculate below) a "very close" match?
*You have just demonstrated the problem with attempting to use
*the magnitude of the impedance to estimate the match.
****
CLose enough to be usable. It is just a matter of choice. I have never been one
to try and achiev the perfect match. 1.5 to 1 is good enoght for me. Maybe you
think that 1.5:1 is a bad match. "very" may have been a poor choice of words to
discribe.

****
*> But that does not satisfy the equation for SWR.
*
*Yes, so why did you use it to estimate a very close match?
****

Interresting as to why have you singled this sentence out of context to post
your question. As for close 2:1 is what I consider close enough to work and
1.95:1 is less than 2:1. Besides 2:1 is closer to being matched than 15:1

****
*> To calculate SWR we first must know the load reflection coefficient.
*
*Now you're cookin'.
*
*> We can do that because we know the load impedance and the characteristic
*> impedacne of the coax. Form the valules given we obtain a reflection
*> coefficient of -.323 at angle of 85.6 degrees.
*
*Hmmm, I get 0.311 at 103 degrees. Why do you specify your magnitude
*as a negative number?
****

Correct I went thru my math again and found two errors. one forgot to reset the
calcualtor back to rectangular mode before entering the denominator's complex
impedance. When I did the math over it does come out to be .311, The angle was
wrong because of a algebra error. Should havenever factored out a -1. Donn't
really know why I did. Maybe due to it being late at night and I was somewhat
tired. But yes the angle is 103.

************************************8
*> For SWR we are only interested in the magnitude of the
*> reflection coefficient, .323.
*
*Absolutely correct.
*
*> The negative sign is not needed for SWR calculation as it tells us
*> the phase of the reflected wave.
*
*Again, correct.
*
*> Now SWR is found by:
*>
*> S = 1 + .323 / 1 - .323 or about 1.95 for a load impedance of 36.5 +
*> j24.5.
*>
*> Now if the frequency were altered such that the imaginary part of the
*> antenna were zero we get reflection coefficients of .156 and a SWR of
*> 1.36.
*
*True, but if the frequency were altered, the real part of the impedance
*would most likely change, too.
****

Yes both change.

****
*> This will be the lowest we can achieve with this example.
*

*Not sure what that means.
*
*> Now one more note of importance. Since we have not accounted for grounds
*> affect on the radiation impedance, this is only but an estimate of SWR.
*> In reality the SWR can be vary greatly depending on ground. Unless you
*> measure ground conductivity...
*
*Why measure ground conductivity? Just measure the damn SWR and you'll
*know what it is! You must be a government employee.
****

Ground will affect the antenna's impedance. No I am not a government employee.

****
*> 7) Now how do I get the SWR at the transmitter to see 1 or 1:1? Well we
*> can adjust the length of the coax until the SWR read 1:1. Yes that will
*> work.
*
*NOT! That will not work.
*Go back and recalculate your reflection coefficient using a different
*length of transmission line. What's that you say? The line length
*was not part of the calculation? That's right!
*The SWR is independent of the line length.
****

SWR at the antenna is not affected by line length. I have never said that it
was. I have seen line length adjusted to where a SWR meter reads 1:1. Now I know
that the impedance looking into the coax is not 50+j0. I can look at a Smith
chart and see that. I just am at lost to expalin it mathematically. I have some
ideas but I need to work thruough them first.

****
*> But remember SWR is 1 + RC over 1 - RC, where RC is the reflection
*> coefficient. The reflection coefficient is the difference over the sum
*> of the characteristic impedance and th eload impedance. Now by adjusting
*> the coax length have we changed any of the two? NO. Thus SWR on the line
*> still remains constant.
*
*I just said that.
*
*> Now there a unique principal that allows the impedance looking into a
*> coax to vary versus length.
*
*I don't know that I'd call it "unique" but yes.
*
*> This impedance also varies with respect to a tangential curve.
*
*Say what?
*
*> One thing about this principal is the load must remain constant for all
*> lengths tried and the characteristic impedance of the line must remain

*> constant. With that we can adjust the length until we get the desired
*> impedance we want looking into th coax with some load on the other end.
*
*Well, you are only going to get certain impedances and none of them will
*be the desired 50 ohms.
****

The magnitude will. That I know. The problem is when the magnitude is 50 Ohms
the angle sure is not zero.

****
*> If we adjust the length until the impedance looking into the coax is
*> 50 Ohms,
*
*Can't be done.
*
*> and place a SWR meter at that point we would get the desired results.
*
*Since varying the length NEVER achieves a 50 ohm input impedance, you
*will NEVER get the desired results.
****

Not a 50 +j0 correct. But say with 36.5+j24.5 Ohm load and a 2.6811 meter length
of 50 Ohm lossless coax, you get 50 -j32.74 looking into the coax toward the
load. Now if the output of the transmitter were say 50+j32.74, then that would
satisfy a conjugate match requiremts. But then the generator's reflection
coefficient is not zero. Thus you now have reflections from the generator back
towards the antenna from the fist wave.

****
*> Again this has done notyhing tothe characteristic impedance of the line
*> or the afffected the impedance of the load.
*
*Yeah, but it has violated the rules of physics.
*Consider this: the SWR measurement is, in essence, a measure of the
*reflected power (just assume for the moment that forward power is
*relatively constant). The impedance seen at the input to the
*transmission line is related to that reflected power. The phase
*of the reflected power changes with transmission line length but
*the magnitude (power) does not.
****

In a lossless line yes. the reflected power is dissapated in the transmitter as
heat if the source refelction coefficient is zero. Otherwise it is reflected
back to the load from the source by the source's reflection coefficient. I know
that SWR is constant on the coax. But consider this:

****
*The ONLY way you will see 50 ohms at the input to the transmission
*line is if there is no reflected power. Since there is reflected
*power coming back down the coax from the antenna, there is NO length
*of coax that will prevent it from showing up at the radio. You will

*NEVER see 50 ohms at the coax input when there is reflected power
*at the antenna. It simply cannot happen.
****

Correct the only way to see 50+j0 is to have no reflected power. I have no problem with that. You will see a complex impedance whose magnitude is 50 Ohms. How can that defy the rules of physics?

****
*> The SWR on th eline is still the same. ALl we have done is changed the
*> impedance looking into the coax to make the transmitter thinks it sees
*> an matched condition.
*
*No it doesn't.
*
*> Now why is this abad method to obtain a match?
*
*Because it doesn't work.
****

If it does not work, then why does the meter reading vary with coax length? Is this whole thing of putting a SWR meter any place other than at the feed point nothing more than a watse of time and effort?

The generator is considered matched to the line when it's output is equal to that of the characteristic impedance of the line. So if this is true, then the significance of the input impdance looking into the line towards the load is meaningless for the transmitter?

james

3454. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 01:57:10 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A42B4F6.7530@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 64787

CitySlicker wrote:
>
> It is rarely used that is the point. So open it up and loosen the traffic on
> 11 Meter. Watch the radio development that would occur if it could be
> offered to the masses and the power levels increased.
>
One of the reasons they *don't* open it up for CB is that much of the spectrum above and below 11 meters isn't even under FCC's jurisdiction. FCC isn't the only agency that has "dibs" on that area. There is another agency, National Telecommunications and Information Agency (NTIA), that governs Federal and military spectrum. True, they don't govern *all* of that area, but enough that it would cause some difficulty in replacing frequencies for the agencies that have assignments there. I do know that some USAF bases use some of these frequencies as low power, point-to-point channels. They are rarely heard because their transmissions are short and to the point-maybe only a word or two. The area that is not used by military is assigned to forestry, forest products, and itinerant business. Again, their use is short, to the point business comms.

I have a whole list of semi-classified frequencies assigned to USAF and MARS due to my affiliation with them (I cannot reveal them even though it *is* possible to find them in the public domain). Many of them ARE near 11 meters. I only post this as information, not to argue the point of CB expansion, to give people the reasons why the "freeband" area is not up for grabs right now. Of course, as future modes, needs, and priorities change, who knows......?

73

Jerry
> >

3455. Re: Pair of NICE CB mobiles for Sale !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 01:59:33 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A42B5B1.E1461B78@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64788
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Radio Shack's last top of the line model was a TRC-465 or their
"chrome classic" radio. I thought you had a nice Grant or 148GTL
or maybe a Uniden sideband unit. If you're lucky, you might get
$20.00 a piece for them IF you have the boxes. The Shack is the
last place I'd buy a CB radio from.

KLARRG wrote:
>
> TWO "Realistic" (RadioShack)top-of-the-line CB radios*** Both are 40 channel
> AM units (NO SSB) and are in mint condition and unmodified. Both perform
> flawlessly and are as-new. They were installed in two show vehicles and both
> have LESS than ONE HOUR'S total usage EACH!!*****The first is a TRC-418....Has
> bright red numerical LED channel readout and S-meter:rotary channel
> selector:Instant channel 9/19 switch:CB/PA switch:ANL (auto noise limiter)
> feature & switch: and has microphone and mounting bracket.**********The second
> unit is a TRC-435...has bright red LED numerical channel indicator and
> S-meter:rotary channel selector:CB/PA switch:ANL feature with switch:Instant
> channel 9 switch:rotary tone AND gain controls.Has microphone and mounting
> bracket. Both units are as-new and mint condition both cosmetically AND
> electronically.Sorry, no pics...(((((.***Will take $80 for BOTH (each one cost
> almost $100 when bought new)***)))) MONEY ORDER only for payment .Buyer pays
> fixed shipping fee (INCLUDED IN PRICE!!!) for fully-insured, PRIORITY shipping
> with damage-proof packaging.Radios will be sent SAME or VERY next day your M.O.
> is received here.Due to nature of items, they are sold "as-is" altho' are in
> perfect condition as previously noted.Please...serious interested persons
> only.Email with any questions you might have. Thank you and Happy Holidays!!
> (<:
> Klarrg@aol.com (Larry)

3456. Re: 165 amp alternators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 02:02:50 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A42B676.CBADF75F@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64789

I know a place slightly south of Baltimore that will rebuild a non-CS
Chevy alternator to 125 amps. You may want to check with the local
starter and alternator places. Mine holds voltage real well!

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> Maul13,
>
> I was just curious. Do they make 150-200 amp alternators in sizes to
> replace the small-case GM 100 amp ones? I've never attempted to replace
> mine, but it might be a future option.
>
> Thanks & 73,
>
> Jerry
>
> Maul13 wrote:
> >
> > I have 2 165 amp big case alternatotrs... New not used or installed one time
> > but new.... Asking $200 a piece any one interrested please email me ... Price
> > does not inclued shipping..
> > Thanks #13 V.A

3457. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 02:06:26 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a43b1ec.10211503@news.gate.net>
Source record: 64794

On Thu, 21 Dec 2000 16:49:30 GMT, sparky@cet.com (Sparky) wrote:

*On Thu, 21 Dec 2000 04:37:56 GMT, jdaggett@gate.net wrote:
*
*>22.36 + j44.72 yields a magnitude of the reflection coefficient of about .61.
*>
*>P = [(22.36 + j44.72) - (50 + j0)]/[22.36 + j44.72) + (50 + j0)]
*>
*>believe me this yields about .61
*>
*>Now we calculate SWR.
*>
*>S = (1 + .61) / (1 - .61)
*> = 1.61/.39
*> = 4.13
*>
*>I dare say that will yield a 1:1 SWR. 4.13:1 yes.
*
*That's what I got. I don't know what anylength got, or why he didn't
*show this when I first challenged him. I can only guess it is because
*he doesn't know half of what he is talking about.
*
*>Do I win the challenge?
*
*Close. Now prove that "50 ohms is, by definition, resonant"
****

By 50 Ohms you mean 50+j0? Well any single impedance that has no reactive component is purely resistive. Now a single component that is purely resistive by definition is not resonant. Resonance is a condition where the total reactance of a circuit is zero. This implies that the circuit must have capacitive and inductive elements either in parallel or in series with each other. A circuit element that is purely resistive has niether. Now in the real world a resistor does have reactive components. There will be a point where the resistor is self resonant. At that point the total ractive components that make up a resistor is zero. At that point a resistor is the resonant. The same holds for capacitors and inductors.

****
*>Is non-reactive resistance the same as purely resistive impedance?
*>Yes a 50 Ohm resistor will yield a SWR of 1:1 with 50 Ohm coax. For an antenna
*>to yield a SWR of 1:1 the whole impedance must be transformed up to 50 + j0.
You
*>can't just disregard the imaginary part of the impedance. Correct is does not
*>radiate any power, but it is part of the impedance that can not be ignored.

*
*Basic electronics teaches that resistance is only a component of
*(complex) impedance. A resistor has impedance, but for the purposes of
*discussing these frequencies, it's reactance is negligible. So in an
*earlier post I mentioned that the complex impedance of a resistor is
*50 + j0 ohms. The problem with anylength is that he was diverting the
*subject from standing waves to defining the differences between an
*antenna and a load. An antenna is a load. A resistor is a load. The
*transmission line doesn't care if that load is an antenna or a
*resistor, because if either one is not matched to the line it will
*reflect power just the same.
*
*>What you gave earlier is just the magnitude of the impedance. That is only
half
*>of the impedance. What about theta = invtan(X/R)? just hoping it goes away?
*
*I was hoping that anylength would prove he actually read a book on the
*subject, which he didn't.
*
*>gee 0 + j50 is pure reactance. Let's see what the magnitude is? Yep the square
*>root of 50 squared is 50. But I thought that only real power can be radiated
by
*>real components. Since the impedance is imaginary the power radiated is
reactive
*>power. Reactive power is radiated as heat if I remember correctly.
*
*I disagree with you there, since there are many non-resonant antennas
*that are very reactive. EM radiation is caused (in normal radio comm)
*by the current in the radiating element, regardless of whether the
*element is reactive or not. This is EM fundamentals.
****

I would like to see an antenna that has no real part to it's radiation impedance
and be a radiator? I have never seen a isotropic radiating source. It might
have zero radiation resistance. I wonder, if I had a physically large capacitor
made of two plates, say about 250 pF. How well would it radiate? Let's see, the
electric field will almost all be conatianed between teh two plates. Yes there
will be some fringing effects of the ends. But how far will they extend. Since
they are a small part of the total field and are not fully confined in the
volume between the plates, I guess that would be considered radiating. But since
there is only one field there will be not TEM wave formed so the E field
intensity will deminish quickly as one moves away from the cap. Not very
efficient though.

All antennae have a resistive part. They must.

****
*From your other post:
*
*>*Zsource = 37 + j15 ohms
*>*Zload = 37 - j15 ohms

>
*>*Is that an impedance match? Yes. Will it reflect power? No. Is the
*>*load resonant? No.
*>**************************************
*>
*>Again I reitterate, a nice conjugate match. good for matching a rf amp.
Useless
*>for matching an antenna to the coax. Oh buy the way, the load is resonant.
Show
*>me where the net reactance of a parallel RC and a parallel RL in parallel
*>containing reactive components of -j15 and +j15 is not zero. Does that not
*>define resonance?
*
*The match is resonant, not the load.
*
*Sparky, FLRC
****

Actually the circuit is conjugate matched. If one were to measure the impedance at the junction between the two, you would see 18.5 + j0 Ohms.

james

3458. Re: 165 amp alternators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 02:16:33 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A42B981.1436@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 64790

Scott,

Thanks for the suggestion. I do know of a local shop that does this.
However, he will tell you upfront that such rebuilds are not always
successful insofar as increasing amperage goes. It may work, it may
not. I am going to try that when mine gives out. I just thot there
might be a supplier of high power alternators somewhere.

73

Jerry

Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay) wrote:
>
> I know a place slightly south of Baltimore that will rebuild a non-CS
> Chevy alternator to 125 amps. You may want to check with the local
> starter and alternator places. Mine holds voltage real well!
>
> Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> >
> > Maul13,
> >
> > I was just curious. Do they make 150-200 amp alternators in sizes to
> > replace the small-case GM 100 amp ones? I've never attempted to replace
> > mine, but it might be a future option.
> >
> > Thanks & 73,
> >
> > Jerry
> >
> > Maul13 wrote:
> > >
> > > I have 2 165 amp big case alternatotrs... New not used or installed one time
> > > but new.... Asking $200 a piece any one interrested please email me ... Price
> > > does not inclued shipping..
> > > Thanks #13 V.A

3459. Re: 165 amp alternators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 02:27:59 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <iamyourhookup@eatme.com>
Message-ID: <P_y06.900$1m.191703@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64791

Duh Jerry search the internet

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:3A42B981.1436@bellsouth.net...
> Scott,
>
> Thanks for the suggestion. I do know of a local shop that does this.
> However, he will tell you upfront that such rebuilds are not always
> successful insofar as increasing amperage goes. It may work, it may
> not. I am going to try that when mine gives out. I just thot there
> might be a supplier of high power alternators somewhere.
>
> 73
>
> Jerry
>
>
>
> Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay) wrote:
> >
> > I know a place slightly south of Baltimore that will rebuild a non-CS
> > Chevy alternator to 125 amps. You may want to check with the local
> > starter and alternator places. Mine holds voltage real well!
> >
> > Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> > >
> > > Maul13,
> > >
> > > I was just curious. Do they make 150-200 amp alternators in sizes to
> > > replace the small-case GM 100 amp ones? I've never attempted to
replace
> > > mine, but it might be a future option.
> > >
> > > Thanks & 73,
> > >
> > > Jerry
> > >
> > > Maul13 wrote:
> > > >
> > > > I have 2 165 amp big case alternatotrs... New not used or
installed one time
> > > > but new.... Asking $200 a piece any one interrested please email me
... Price
> > > > does not inclued shipping..

> > > > Thanks #13 V.A

3460. Re: Pair of NICE CB mobiles for Sale !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 02:32:14 UTC
From: RanHefner <ranhefner@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <91uefe$9v1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64792
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Was that really necessary, Scott?

In article <3A42B5B1.E1461B78@hotmail.com>,
"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Radio Shack's last top of the line model was a TRC-465 or their
> "chrome classic" radio. I thought you had a nice Grant or 148GTL
> or maybe a Uniden sideband unit. If you're lucky, you might get
> $20.00 a piece for them IF you have the boxes. The Shack is the
> last place I'd buy a CB radio from.
>
> KLARRG wrote:
> >
> > TWO "Realistic" (RadioShack)top-of-the-line CB radios*** Both are
40 channel
> > AM units (NO SSB) and are in mint condition and unmodified. Both
perform
> > flawlessly and are as-new. They were installed in two show vehicles
and both
> > have LESS than ONE HOUR'S total usage EACH!!*****The first is a TRC-
418....Has
> > bright red numerical LED channel readout and S-meter:rotary channel
> > selector:Instant channel 9/19 switch:CB/PA switch:ANL (auto noise
limiter)
> > feature & switch: and has microphone and mounting
bracket.**********The second
> > unit is a TRC-435...has bright red LED numerical channel indicator
and
> > S-meter:rotary channel selector:CB/PA switch:ANL feature with
switch:Instant
> > channel 9 switch:rotary tone AND gain controls.Has microphone and
mounting
> > bracket. Both units are as-new and mint condition both cosmetically
AND
> > electronically.Sorry, no pics...(((((.***Will take $80 for BOTH
(each one cost
> > almost $100 when bought new)***)))) MONEY ORDER only for
payment .Buyer pays
> > fixed shipping fee (INCLUDED IN PRICE!!!) for fully-insured,
PRIORITY shipping
> > with damage-proof packaging.Radios will be sent SAME or VERY next
day your M.O.
> > is received here.Due to nature of items, they are sold "as-is"
altho' are in

> > perfect condition as previously noted.Please...serious interested
persons
> > only.Email with any questions you might have. Thank you and Happy
Holidays!!
> > (<:
> > Klarrg@aol.com (Larry)
>

--
Randy A. Hefner
http://talk.to/frs
http://go.to/qx3

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3461. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 02:39:48 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <iamyourhookup@eatme.com>
Message-ID: <U9z06.903$1m.196300@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64793

Thats real funny nov 17 i recieved a 2x4 xforce amp for a friend who has no internet access we got it from expresselectronics and it was tested side by side on a bench with my davemade m400se which did almost identical watts at 14.5 volts they both peaked around 1250 watts into a dummy load ... Where is the difference?
why thank motorola we are using toshibas

news:20001221195617.08231.00007269@ng-mi1.aol.com...
> Similiar in looks, but perfomance seems to be much better. Thank goodness for
> motorola is where the real credit belongs Dennis. Both amps are very good and
> well known in the world. Merry Chrismas to all, and to all an X Force Good
> Night.
>
> >
> >X Force is popular because it offers similiar performance of a dave The
> >point is they are around because of davemade and another pirate who post's
> >out here ! Now Thats A FACT JACK!!!!! But we already knew that. Have a good
> >one
> >
> >
> >"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message news:z3w06.160771>
> >> If X-Force would have been around in the mid 90s, DaveMade wouldn't be
> >> NEARLY as
> >> popular as it is today. Thats a fact jack.
> >
>
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> Thanks
> Exports R Us
> www.xportsrus.com
> Distributing OverDrive Communications Products and Accessories
> We also have the best wholesale prices for Retailers and Dealers.
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

3462. Re: 165 amp alternators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 03:28:40 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91uhp3cgp1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64795

In article <3A42B981.1436@bellsouth.net>,
joxendi@bellsouth.net wrote:
> Scott,
>
> Thanks for the suggestion. I do know of a local shop that does this.
> However, he will tell you upfront that such rebuilds are not always
> successful insofar as increasing amperage goes. It may work, it may
> not. I am going to try that when mine gives out. I just thot there
> might be a supplier of high power alternators somewhere.
>
> 73
>
> Jerry
>
> Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay) wrote:
> >
> > I know a place slightly south of Baltimore that will rebuild a non-
CS
> > Chevy alternator to 125 amps. You may want to check with the local
> > starter and alternator places. Mine holds voltage real well!
> >
> > Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> > >
> > > Maul13,
> > >
> > > I was just curious. Do they make 150-200 amp alternators in
sizes to
> > > replace the small-case GM 100 amp ones? I've never attempted to
replace
> > > mine, but it might be a future option.
> > >
> > > Thanks & 73,
> > >
> > > Jerry
> > >
> > > Maul13 wrote:
> > > >
> > > > I have 2 165 amp big case alternatotrs... New not used or
installed one time
> > > > but new.... Asking $200 a piece any one interrested please
email me ... Price
> > > > does not inclued shipping..
> > > > Thanks #13 V.A
>

jerry, we can't in good conscience, discuss this issue while there are scummy ham radio finks in the area. we might get busted for having knowledge of amplifier paraphernalia. however they are available. but you must look, hello come in,.....cd is out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3463. Re: 165 amp alternators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 03:30:53 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91uhtd$cj7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64796

In article <P_y06.900$1m.191703@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
"BigPoppaPump" <iamyourhookup@eatme.com> wrote:
> Duh Jerry search the internet
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:3A42B981.1436@bellsouth.net...
> > Scott,
> >
> > Thanks for the suggestion. I do know of a local shop that does
this.
> > However, he will tell you upfront that such rebuilds are not always
> > successful insofar as increasing amperage goes. It may work, it may
> > not. I am going to try that when mine gives out. I just thot there
> > might be a supplier of high power alternators somewhere.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Jerry
> >
> >
> >
> > Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay) wrote:
> > >
> > > I know a place slightly south of Baltimore that will rebuild a
non-CS
> > > Chevy alternator to 125 amps. You may want to check with the local
> > > starter and alternator places. Mine holds voltage real well!
> > >
> > > Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Maul13,
> > > >
> > > > I was just curious. Do they make 150-200 amp alternators in
sizes to
> > > > replace the small-case GM 100 amp ones? I've never attempted to
> replace
> > > > mine, but it might be a future option.
> > > >
> > > > Thanks & 73,
> > > >
> > > > Jerry
> > > >
> > > > Maul13 wrote:
> > > > >

> > > > > I have 2 165 amp big case alternatotrs... New not used or
> installed one time
> > > > > but new.... Asking $200 a piece any one interrested please
email me
> ... Price
> > > > > does not inclued shipping..
> > > > > Thanks #13
V.A
>
> hey pump , when are you on the bowl? i want to try and catch you out
there. skip from the northeast has been coming in good lately. i have a
couple of days off, and i thought i might give the head sled its maden
voyage. perhaps i will start off at 8000 and see if i can be heard,
hello come in,.....cd is out....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3464. Re: Mod Squad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 03:37:21 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91ui9gcue1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64797

In article <172-3A429853-33@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net>,
twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
> Good to catch you down on the other one...as always, sounding good in
> the 'pile.....until we do it again....Happy Holidays
>
>

hmmm, what other one are you talking about twist? whisper so that fink n8 and his merry band of ham radio rejects don't listen in, hello, come in. and be sure and tell that mudduck with the 8 pill , that i am going to squash him like a grape and put him on the back of the bus once and for all , the next time i hear him out there, comode, comode, and by the way , when are those cotton picken recounts going to be over?????????,.....cd is out.......

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3465. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 03:49:29 UTC
From: SPaNkeNSteiN <goldberg-no-spam@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <977456969.3a42cf49ca2b3@webmail.cotse.com>
Source record: 64848

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message news:z3w06.160771
$DG3.3236025@news2.giganews.com...

> A. That should be two seperate sentences.

That should be "separate".

> > one can get ahold of davemade

Just "hold" would suffice.

> Because their is a select clientele

Should be "there".

> they where the best at the time.

Should be "were".

> B. It's spelled psuedo

It's actually spelled "pseudo".

Keep out of it unless you know what you're doing. Just like radios.

3466. Re: Pair of NICE CB mobiles for Sale !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 04:16:03 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A42D583.7EF588E2@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64849
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

He said NICE mobiles, so I looked. I was and still am disappointed.

RanHefner wrote:
>
> Was that really necessary, Scott?

3467. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 04:18:17 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A42D609.2BFFD775@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64850

SPaNkeNSteiN wrote:

Where did you learn how to capitalize?

3468. Pain,Again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 04:26:01 UTC
From: Two-Five-seven@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17907-3A42D7D9-176@storefull-141.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64855

It was good to put a spoke on you today.That mobile of yours sounds like its wired tight my friend.I couldn't stop laughing on this end,must have been the subject matter huh.LOL

257 NH. On the wheels

3469. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 04:27:02 UTC
From: Bob <bob@bob.com>
Message-ID: <3A42D816.CCFA6F68@bob.com>
Source record: 64851

Coax Length Police,

I am glad you are hanging in there. However, its apparent you are wasting
your time. The people you write to already have their minds made up.

To me, its a shame for many reasons that some people on this board won't think, or pick up some text and learn the errors in their ways.

1) This is all stated very clearly in the ARRL Antenna book.

2) I don't like new comers to have to be confused about simple issues...issues that shouldn't even concern anybody! Its very cut and dry.

3) I don't care how you feel about this, but I (a CBer) don't like to look
like an ass here, having know-it-all CBers saying things like different lengths of coax change SWR readings...or you can have the radio "see" a 1:1 match with the right length of coax. Really, it embarrassing to me.

Another thing, any of these jackasses can bring their mobiles, with the coax cut to the ****perfect***** length (whatever that may be). I will pull up next to them in my mobile, with a random length piece of coax...and guess what. Nobody on earth is going to see a damn bit of difference in our signals. No amount of coax pruning is going to provide some kind of advantage.

Now, this is my final offering. Here is a post on rec.radio.amatuer.antenna concerning coax length and SWR. Notice how 98% of the responses in the post clearly state, with a relatively lossless coax line, SWR is unchanged by coax length?

http://x64.deja.com/viewthread.xp?mhitnum=24&AN=463948440.1&frpage=threadmsg_ct.xp&recnum=%3c370C5BE1.F53A7E1@teleport.com%3e%231/2&back=rec.radio.amateur.antenna

Handy Andy, jdaggett, Fred hamburger, tnom@mucks.net and Sparky need to do some serious reading and quite wasting their time defending such beginner mistakes. They have nothing to lose but pride, a small price to pay for knowledge. BTW, for years I defended the 1/2 wavelength mutiple of coax notion, till I was approached by (many) people people who told me I was wrong. After a month of study, I found I out they were right.

Bob.

Coax Length Police wrote:
>
> In article <3a435cc7.4042759@news.gate.net>, jdaggett@gate.net wrote:

>
> > A nine foot vertical over a 8 foot diameter ground field (solid or
> > mesh):
> >
> > 1) if it is a 1/4 lambda will have a radiation impedance of 36.5 + j24.5
> > Ohms.
>
> I don't know why we should care but OK.
>
> > 6) Now if we let this antenna alone a tried to feed it with 50 Ohm coax
> > what is our SWR? The magnitude of the impedance is roughly 44 Ohms.
>
> The magnitude of the impedance does not determine SWR.
> The impedance determines SWR.
>
> > That will gives us a close match since 44 is very close to 50.
>
> Is 1.95:1 (as you calculate below) a "very close" match?
> You have just demonstrated the problem with attempting to use
> the magnitude of the impedance to estimate the match.
>
> > But that does not satisfy the equation for SWR.
>
> Yes, so why did you use it to estimate a very close match?
>
> > To calculate SWR we first must know the load reflection coefficient.
>
> Now you're cookin'.
>
> > We can do that because we know the load impedance and the characteristic
> > impedacne of the coax. Form the valules given we obtain a reflection
> > coefficient of -.323 at angle of 85.6 degrees.
>
> Hmmm, I get 0.311 at 103 degrees. Why do you specify your magnitude
> as a negative number?
>
> > For SWR we are only interested in the magnitude of the
> > reflection coefficient, .323.
>
> Absolutely correct.
>
> > The negative sign is not needed for SWR calculation as it tells us
> > the phase of the reflected wave.
>
> Again, correct.
>
> > Now SWR is found by:
> >
> > S = 1 + .323 / 1 - .323 or about 1.95 for a load impedance of 36.5 +
> > j24.5.

> >
> > Now if the frequency were altered such that the imaginary part of the
> > antenna were zero we get reflection coefficients of .156 and a SWR of
> > 1.36.
>
> True, but if the frequency were altered, the real part of the impedance
> would most likely change, too.
>
> > This will be the lowest we can achieve with this example.
>
> Not sure what that means.
>
> > Now one more note of importance. Since we have not accounted for grounds
> > affect on the radiation impedance, this is only but an estimate of SWR.
> > In reality the SWR can be vary greatly depending on ground. Unless you
> > measure ground conductivity...
>
> Why measure ground conductivity? Just measure the damn SWR and you'll
> know what it is! You must be a government employee.
>
> > 7) Now how do I get the SWR at the transmitter to see 1 or 1:1? Well we
> > can adjust the length of the coax until the SWR read 1:1. Yes that will
> > work.
>
> NOT! That will not work.
> Go back and recalculate your reflection coefficient using a different
> length of transmission line. What's that you say? The line length
> was not part of the calculation? That's right!
> The SWR is independent of the line length.
>
> > But remember SWR is 1 + RC over 1 - RC, where RC is the reflection
> > coefficient. The reflection coefficient is the difference over the sum
> > of the characteristic impedance and th eload impedance. Now by adjusting
> > the coax length have we changed any of the two? NO. Thus SWR on the line
> > still remains constant.
>
> I just said that.
>
> > Now there a unique principal that allows the impedance looking into a
> > coax to vary versus length.
>
> I don't know that I'd call it "unique" but yes.
>
> > This impedance also varies with respect to a tangential curve.
>
> Say what?
>
> > One thing about this principal is the load must remain constant for all
> > lengths tried and the characteristic impedance of the line must remain
> > constant. With that we can adjust the length until we get the desired

> > impedance we want looking into th coax with some load on the other end.
>
> Well, you are only going to get certain impedances and none of them will
> be the desired 50 ohms.
>
> > If we adjust the length until the impedance looking into the coax is
> > 50 Ohms,
>
> Can't be done.
>
> > and place a SWR meter at that point we would get the desired results.
>
> Since varying the length NEVER achieves a 50 ohm input impedance, you
> will NEVER get the desired results.
>
> > Again this has done notyhing tothe characteristic impedance of the line
> > or the affected the impedance of the load.
>
> Yeah, but it has violated the rules of physics.
>
> Consider this: the SWR measurement is, in essence, a measure of the
> reflected power (just assume for the moment that forward power is
> relatively constant). The impedance seen at the input to the
> transmission line is related to that reflected power. The phase
> of the reflected power changes with transmission line length but
> the magnitude (power) does not.
>
> The ONLY way you will see 50 ohms at the input to the transmission
> line is if there is no reflected power. Since there is reflected
> power coming back down the coax from the antenna, there is NO length
> of coax that will prevent it from showing up at the radio. You will
> NEVER see 50 ohms at the coax input when there is reflected power
> at the antenna. It simply cannot happen.
>
> > The SWR on th eline is still the same. ALl we have done is changed the
> > impedance looking into the coax to make the transmitter thinks it sees
> > an matched condition.
>
> No it doesn't.
>
> > Now why is this abad method to obtain a match?
>
> Because it doesn't work.

3470. Re: Put de Amp in de Caar...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 04:30:48 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001221233048.00658.00005016@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 64852

locked down the whole channel wih a 667v? wish i could have been around to hear
that.

>
>I was in there from 2:30 to 3:15 EST. I was making myself heard all
>over! It was quiet about 3:00 EST because I had the channel pretty
>much under my control!! I called for you a few times but I didn't
>hear you come back. Texas, Kansas, Washington State, Idaho, Newfoundland,
>and others I forgot about were coming back like gangbusters. Try again...
>
>And I did say, "Unit six-nine, Chesapeake Bay!" hehehe.

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

3471. 413

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 04:36:35 UTC
From: Two-Five-seven@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17907-3A42DA53-177@storefull-141.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64856

Give me a couple of times during the day that I'll have a good chance of hearing you on the bowl.I must have talked to 15 or 20 people down there since we discussed this! Thought I had you there once but it was 431 on the pile calling me.Close,but no cigar.

257 NH. On the wheels

3472. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 04:44:52 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-01D767.23373821122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 64853

In article <3a429738.2788761@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> On Thu, 21 Dec 2000 21:46:33 GMT, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >> >I dare say that will yield a 1:1 SWR. 4.13:1 yes.
> >>
> >> That's what I got. I don't know what anylength got, or why he didn't
> >> show this when I first challenged him. I can only guess it is because
> >> he doesn't know half of what he is talking about.
> >
> >Bzzzt. You most certainly did not. You are changing your story now.
> >Let me refresh your memory by showing our exchange:
> <snip>
>
> Are you really that naive? I played you and you swallowed the hook
> with the bait. I didn't intend to let you off so soon, but that's what
> happened. If you can't figure it out then get your buddy Doc to
> explain it to you, because you're both in my filter box now.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

Hee hee! He can't even defend his own statements; he snipped them!

And Freddy Mecury sings:
And another one down and another one down.
Another one bites the dust!
Hey! Gonna get to you.
Another one bites the dust!

3473. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 04:45:35 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a42db16.1567010@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64854

On Thu, 21 Dec 2000 23:51:06 GMT, "BigPoppaPump"
<iamyourhookup@eatme.com> wrote:

>sounds like sparky is changing his tune hahahahahahahahahahaha

Really? Let's see what I said at the beginning of this thread:

>[SWR] is a measure of the percentage of reflected power seen at the point
>being measured. Different points along the transmission line will
>"see" differently, because of the nature of standing waves.
<snip>
>>So you are saying that an antenna that radiates 96% of the power works
>>better than an antenna that radiates 100% of the power.
>
>No, I am saying that SWR must be correctly measured in order to mean
>anything.

Sounds like my tune hasn't really changed. Perhaps you were wearing
earplugs . . .

Sparky, FLRC

3474. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 05:00:01 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a42dc78.1921331@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64857

On Fri, 22 Dec 2000 02:06:26 GMT, jdaggett@gate.net wrote:

>*>Do I win the challenge?
>*
>*Close. Now prove that "50 ohms is, by definition, resonant"
>**********
>
>By 50 Ohms you mean 50+j0?

I'm not sure, you'll have to ask anylength - it's his theory.

>*I disagree with you there, since there are many non-resonant antennas
>*that are very reactive. EM radiation is caused (in normal radio comm)
>*by the current in the radiating element, regardless of whether the
>*element is reactive or not. This is EM fundamentals.
>**********
>
>I would like to see an antenna that has no real part to it's radiation impedance
>and be a radiator?

I don't disagree that an antenna with no real component would not
radiate, but that an antenna with a reactive component does. I refer
to antennas such as those used for AM broadcast and other low
frequency rigs. If it can conduct current, it can radiate, and it need
not resonate to do so.

> I have never seen a isotropic radiating source. It might
>have zero radiation resistance. I wonder, if I had a physically large capacitor
>made of two plates, say about 250 pF. How well would it radiate? Let's see, the
>electric field will almost all be conatianed between teh two plates. Yes there
>will be some fringing effects of the ends. But how far will they extend. Since
>they are a small part of the total field and are not fully confined in the
>volume between the plates, I guess that would be considered radiating. But since
>there is only one field there will be not TEM wave formed so the E field
>intensity will deminish quickly as one moves away from the cap. Not very
>efficient though.

I think I'll avoid opening a can of worms . . . ;-)

>All antennae have a resistive part. They must.

Absolutely.

>Actually the circuit is conjugate matched. If one were to measure the impedance
>at the junction between the two, you would see 18.5 + j0 Ohms.

But if the voltage/current ratio doesn't change, why half the real part? Shouldn't it be 37 plus the additional real impedance of the matched reactances?

Sparky, FLRC

3475. Re: Put de Amp in de Caar...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 05:01:11 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A42E017.CC489046@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64858

"www.kp82.com" wrote:

> wish i could have been around to hear that.

It must have been a good day for DX! I had so many people tell me I sounded like a local. At 3PM, the channel was rather quiet from me talking all over for a half hour. If I heard somebody, they heard me. I talked to some folks 3 or 4 times. It got to the point where I would call a number I heard without saying who I was and they already knew it was their turn to talk to me.

My rebuilt Chevy alternator was doing it's thing. You'll have to check out the regular 40 in the afternoon. I don't use/have any extras on my regular radios. Just a TRC-451 in the big car and a PC-122 with a two-banger Star in my 4x4. No Boomers, DaveMades or XForces. Just clean running Stars. I don't even use a power mike anymore. Don't need to. Crisp and clean. Keeps N8 happy <: (After all, I'm an illegal using an export radio on extra channels, right?) hehehe...

Shut up, Doug. Keep on worshipping the ground I walk on!
(And call me more names. I like that!!!)

3476. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 05:14:59 UTC
From: MR123IL@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16979-3A42E353-114@storefull-293.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64862

Let me help all of you that don't know?
When i had left the "Dave Made Camp"
I really did't change that match on the inside of the amp!

I made the case different so if you had to work on the amp you could do it with out digging into a square hole!

I put more fan's on it.
And more heatsink under it.
Now you can go out and talk on it somewhat longer in "DX"

If i could take anything back i would take what i had took to Chicago and gave away!

I'm really sorry that i started the X-Force line and it got away from me.
But there is nothing that i can do about that now!!

So to answer you all Yes Dave is the man! But he is no longer making the dc amps!

So if you want a good COPY of his work you can get one at "DaveMade NC."

Or if you don't like the color of the "DaveMade" i guess you can get a X-Force from "Steve"!!

It's my bad so i really don't know why you all are getting your panties in a bunch!

Not too long ago i had talk to "Dave" on the phone and he did't even make a big deal over it so you all tell me why are you guy's???

Yes i did work for Dave.
And i did my job and then some...
And i really did't need to start a line of amp's But he hade told me that he is getting out of it....

So "151" was bugging me to do this and i did.
And i'm sorry i did!

I'll give it too Carl i did get him to do it the way that works the best!!!
And he keeps up with the service end of it??

Maybe someday Carl will see what i gave him and understand what he is setting on!

Until then ??????????????????

YES I'M "MR.123ILL."

3477. Re: Cookie Boxes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 05:21:40 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91uod1hbn1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64860

In article <20001221200213.22286.00005609@ng-da1.aol.com>,
schwerd5@aol.com (SCHWERD5) wrote:
> For Sale: 2 pill 250 watt amp, and 8 pill C-2000. Both amps were
used very
> little. Less than eight hours talking time on both. They are both in
> excellent condition, and work extremely well. You can run both of
these amps
> on 16 volts if you so desire. I have only run them on 14 volts. I
am looking
> to sell both. Asking $450.00 for the set. Flexible shipping options
> available. Contact me through my email at www.schwerd5@aol.com
>
> 73's
>
> Master Cylinder
>

got milk??????,.....cd is out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3478. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 05:27:36 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a42e28b.3476752@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64859

On Thu, 21 Dec 2000 23:27:02 -0500, Bob <bob@bob.com> wrote:

>Handy Andy, jdaggett, Fred hamburger, tnom@mucks.net and Sparky need to
>do some serious reading and quite wasting their time defending such
>beginner mistakes. They have nothing to lose but pride, a small price to
>pay for knowledge. BTW, for years I defended the 1/2 wavelength mutiple
>of coax notion, till I was approached by (many) people people who told
>me I was wrong. After a month of study, I found I out they were right.

After 5 years of college and 15 more in the field of electronics
engineering, I have never held any other position than to say what I
have said countless times in this thread. I'll repeat it once more
because you, like some others, obviously didn't read it the first
umpteen times:

If the antenna, transmission line, and radio are properly matched, the
length of the coax doesn't matter (except, of course, for attenuation
per unit length). But if there are standing waves on the line,
regardless of what some of these cheap SWR meters say, there is a
possibility that the standing wave can damage the radio. I know that
happens because I have seen it literally hundreds of times.

Now, for some "serious reading", try going back through the posts and
read what the others have really said, instead of relying on
anylength's I-said-it-so-it-must-be-true school of thought.

Sparky, FLRC

3479. Re: WTB:Clean 100 watt amp?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 05:35:14 UTC
From: MR123IL@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16979-3A42E812-115@storefull-293.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64861

Now all you "HAM" super stars Don't want to brake any "FCC" laws now do ya?????

Yes you can take that 35 watt ssb and drive it into two 2879's
Or you can drive it into four of them..

It look's like the man had asked if he couldd get a clean 100 watt amp not a CB "Brake 19 radio check" Good Buddy!!!!

And if you are going it use it on ssb i would get a class AB amp and not a class C amp..
It will work mutch better for you..

Good luck happy DX to ya..

123ILL.

3480. ATT ICE COLD NY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 05:56:44 UTC
From: MR123IL@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16979-3A42ED1C-117@storefull-293.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64865

Drop me a E-Mail That i can get in-touch with you.

Thank's Mr.123ILL.

3481. Re: Subject Change ==PIRATE PICS==

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 06:13:10 UTC
From: Rickhit@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3a42f07b.292533361@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64864

On Thu, 21 Dec 2000 08:34:31 -0600, "-=PEAKABOO=-"
<peakaboo@keydowns.com> wrote:

>People will gripe about the size of these pics. They will take up less space
>than all of the post by some of the ding dongs that get on here and act high
>and mighty.
>
>Take a look at this pirate. By the pictures it looks to me like it has a
>bias in it. A guy sent me this, and being that the post was about pirates I
>figured I would post it. I dont believe it is the same brand of "pirate" but
>worth looking at.

Does it work on 10 Meters? If it does is it clean enough for
legal Amateur Radio operation on the 10 meter band?
Let me know.

Rick KC2ESD

3482. Looking for info on D&A Maverick 250

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 06:27:22 UTC
From: gadget <gadget@jnlk.com>
Message-ID: <91us87$k2p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64866

I'm looking for a schematic for a Maverick 250. I need to figure out how to hook the meters back up on the front panel. The lower milliammeter is still in place, but whatever was in the uper opening is long gone. If anyone knows what belongs in that opening and how to hook it up, I'd appreeciate it. Thanks!

--
Thain S.

Everyone has a photographic memory, but not all have film

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3483. Re: Put de Amp in de Caar...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 06:43:07 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A42F7FB.69DA8270@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64867

Hey Tim,

I didn't say locked down, I said pretty much under my control.
I kept talking and people kept coming back. I probably could
have kept on going and going and going but I had other things
to do. Besides, I had already talked to just about everyone
by then. It was my 45 minutes. I won't say fame...

"www.kp82.com" wrote:
>
> locked down the whole channel wih a 667v?

It runs real good. Besides, who needs more then that anyway?
If your antenna installation is top notch you don't need it on 11 meters.

If there are any local hams in the area that would like to try 10 meters
from my mobile, send me an email. I can come up with a proper radio for
that. I would just need proof of a proper class of ticket. NW Balto.
My SWR should be low enough at 28.4. I haven't checked it there though.

(Come on Doug, say something. I know it's killing you :) hehehe

> >I was in there from 2:30 to 3:15 EST. I was making myself heard all
> >over! It was quiet about 3:00 EST because I had the channel pretty
> >much under my control!! I called for you a few times but I didn't
> >hear you come back. Texas, Kansas, Washington State, Idaho, Newfoundland,
> >and others I forgot about were coming back like gangbusters. Try again...
> >
> >And I did say, "Unit six-nine, Chesapeake Bay!" hehehe.

3484. Re: Looking for info on D&A Maverick 250

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 06:44:37 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A42F855.E57F36C@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64868

http://www.cbtricks.com/Amp/graphics/MAVER250.gif

gadget wrote:
>
> I'm looking for a schematic for a Maverick 250. I need to figure out how to
> hook the meters back up on the front panel. The lower milliammeter is still
> in place, but whatever was in the uper opening is long gone. If anyone knows
> what belongs in that opening and how to hook it up, I'd appreeciate it.
> Thanks!
>
> --
> Thain S.
>
> Everyone has a photographic memory, but not all have film
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

3485. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 07:11:36 UTC
From: SPaNkeNSteiN <goldberg-no-spam@cotse.com>
Message-ID: <977469096.3a42fea85e8e7@webmail.cotse.com>
Source record: 64869

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3A42D609.2BFFD775@hotmail.com ...

>SPaNkeNSteiN wrote:
>
>Where did you learn how to capitalize?

Same place you learned how to quote.

3486. Re: Maybe this will cause some skip to come rolling in

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 07:45:59 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A4306B7.1A849FE4@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64870
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

http://liftoff.msfc.nasa.gov/news/2000/news-winter.asp

thepolishdude@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> An eclipse is scheduled to occur on Christmas afternoon. Can eclipses
> cause skip to happen?
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

3487. Re: Maybe this will cause some skip to come rolling in

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 07:49:04 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A430770.DC6723E6@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64873
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.mreclipse.com/Special/SEprimer.html

thepolishdude@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> An eclipse is scheduled to occur on Christmas afternoon. Can eclipses
> cause skip to happen?
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

3488. Re: Put de Amp in de Caar...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 07:56:25 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4629e41m0qa08@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 64871

Damn...
Guess conditions weren't flowing this-a ways. I talked to two station outta Arkansas, at or about 12:15 to 12:30. One or two from Texas came back to me but conditions were rough. I was listening for ya, I swear!

Jeff

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3A427D5B.10B706C3@hotmail.com...
> I was in there from 2:30 to 3:15 EST. I was making myself heard all
> over! It was quiet about 3:00 EST because I had the channel pretty
> much under my control!! I called for you a few times but I didn't
> hear you come back. Texas, Kansas, Washington State, Idaho, Newfoundland,
> and others I forgot about were coming back like gangbusters. Try again...
>
> And I did say, "Unit six-nine, Chesapeake Bay!" hehehe.
>
> Jeff Mayner wrote:
> >
> > "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:3A419E25.F18AFFE2@hotmail.com...
> > > Good luck. You're gonna need it. It's f*ckin' noisy on that channel.
> > >
> > > There always seems to be some asshole whistling or playing music.
> >
> > You mean Mr. Wonderful? He was grooving on some old Patsy Cline yesterday.
> > :-)
> >
> > Jeff
> >
> > >
> > > Jeff Mayner wrote:
> > > >
> > > > I'll be on 38L tomorrow, 12/21 at around Noon Pacific Time, testing the
> > > > "conditions". Call is "019" out of Ventura, Cali. If anyone is
> > interested
> > > > and can rationalize talking to a "Scoff-law". ;-)
> > > >
> > > > Jeff
> > > > Ventura, CA

3489. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 08:00:04 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A430A04.73A21E75@oio.net>
Source record: 64872

!! I was right... He IS Al Gore !!
'Doc

3490. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 08:04:42 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A430B1A.1D9FA898@oio.net>
Source record: 64874

Make the best of it Sparky! Your posts don't prove
a thing because they are, as you accussed someone else,
just because you said it, doesn't make it true.
'Doc

3491. Re: Cookie Boxes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 08:09:42 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4632agoi3lif0@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 64875

"SCHWERD5" <schwerd5@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001221200213.22286.00005609@ng-da1.aol.com...
> For Sale: 2 pill 250 watt amp, and 8 pill C-2000. Both amps were used
very
> little. Less than eight hours talking time on both. They are both in
> excellent condition, and work extremely well. You can run both of these
amps
> on 16 volts if you so desire. I have only run them on 14 volts. I am
looking
> to sell both. Asking $450.00 for the set. Flexible shipping options
> available. Contact me through my email at www.schwerd5@aol.com
>
> 73's
>
> Master Cylinder

Master Cylinder I used to know also went by "3808".
You him?

Felix...

3492. Re: Looking for info on D&A Maverick 250

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 10:52:10 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A43325A.F3473D0D@qnet.com>
Source record: 64880

Hello Scott: Great link here. I saved this one.

Someone has re-drawn this diagram at CB Tricks and did a good job. I wonder is there is a simple program that will let you do this?

Jay in the Great Mojave Desert, we got Rock n Roll, Country & Western, and ah new Juke Box in the "Dew Drop on Inn" in down town Mojave. Tuesday is ladies night. And ya got the hole Mojave Desert out back ta park in.

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" wrote:
>
> http://www.cbtricks.com/Amp/graphics/MAVER250.gif
>
> gadget wrote:
> >
> > I'm looking for a schematic for a Maverick 250. I need to figure out how to
> > hook the meters back up on the front panel. The lower milliammeter is still
> > in place, but whatever was in the uper opening is long gone. If anyone knows
> > what belongs in that opening and how to hook it up, I'd appreeciate it.
> > Thanks!
> >
> > --
> > Thain S.
> >
> > Everyone has a photographic memory, but not all have film
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/

3493. Re: HOWARD STERN....$100 MILLION, 5 YEARS.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 11:56:18 UTC
From: Train <tra1n@aol.com>
Message-ID: <CjH06.11045$nM5.2522375@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 64876

Dave,
Howard was raised as a Jew and there is as I remember it....No hell in the Jewish faith for the "lost souls".
Get off your biggoted asshole soapbox and go actually do something. Bible thumping douchebags like you ruin the religion game for those who are really in it to do some good.
train
tra1n@aol.com
train@cbmods.com
www.cbmods.com

<truth@planetc.com> wrote in message news:91gm31$fn8$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <91g6t4$4d9$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:
> > geez, talk about sex every morning and get paid $100,000,000 for 5
> > years. what a deal. are new yorkers really that bored???????,....head
> > out......
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
> >
> NO, most of them are STUPID & WICKED. They put in Hillary, remember?
> Also Howie may just be in a LAKE OF FIRE up to his eyeballs within 5
> years, so all them worthless paper dollars won't get him out of there
> for his vile mouth!!!
> Dave!!!!!!!
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

3494. Re: HOWARD STERN....$100 MILLION, 5 YEARS.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 11:57:32 UTC
From: Train <tra1n@aol.com>
Message-ID: <MkH06.11047$nM5.2522728@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 64877

Jim,
I was passing through Rochester today.....you guys are HEAT impaired
train

"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:4yT_5.1101$JH3.88771@bgtnsc07-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Some years ago (maybe 5?) he was going to run for gouvernor of New York.
> Why didn't he? He would have had to reveal all sources of his income.
>
> 73s from Rochester NEW YORK (home of the thinking-impaired)
> Jim
>
> <curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:91g6t4$4d9$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > geez, talk about sex every morning and get paid $100,000,000 for 5
> > years. what a deal. are new yorkers really that bored???????,....head
> > out......
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>
>

3495. Re: G.E. Superbase for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 12:35:31 UTC
From: Train <tra1n@aol.com>
Message-ID: <nUH06.11054$nM5.2539407@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 64878

You're dreaing Bubba!
train
<linton32344@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:91sq03$tp4$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Rare General Electric 3-5875A 40 channel AM/SSB
> Base. Comes with A/C line cord, original factory
> hand-mic, copy of the original factory manual,
> clock and alarm function normally, unit is
> excellent in looks and in operation, jpeg image
> available upon request.
> $300. (shipped) n4ehx@usa.net
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

3496. Re: Keys - where the hell did I put them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 12:37:52 UTC
From: Train <tra1n@aol.com>
Message-ID: <AWH06.11056$nM5.2540460@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 64879

Since nobody else has said it....I will.....If they were up your ass
you'd know it!
train
"The Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message
news:9VQ_5.155608$U46.5065596@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> I can't find my keys. Anyone seen them anywhere?
>
>

3497. Re: Mod Squad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 14:08:18 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6978-3A436052-24@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64881

CD, you got mail!

3498. Re: T.S. 350 HDV $75.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 14:16:36 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6978-3A436244-25@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64882

Interesting you brought that up Cityslicker...as I was kinda thinkin' the same thing. I know I would never buy from an unknown, but there are exceptions to that as well. Folks here on the ng, the ones I am familar with, even though I don't know them personally, I would trust. But I have always wondered about the folks who post stuff and no one has ever heard of them before or seen a post by them. I was interested in an item here around last Christmas someone was selling, and I did a super indepth search and that email name and addy was nowhere to be found. That was a big enough flag for me.

3499. Crazy magmount antenna setup seen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 14:48:30 UTC
From: methusaleh11@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <91vpjs$9f7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64883

Rolled into an auto parts store in Massachusetts yesterday, saw the most interesting mobile setup I ever could imagine. This guy had a red mid-1990s Chevy Blazer with a large black metal box (about 12x10x4 inches) sitting in the rear center of the roof, just above the rear wing. Attached to the box at about a 60 degree angle to the road was an Antron A99 with a 102" stainless whip replacing the top section. There were several hose clamps with rubber washers in them around the Antron that lead to guy wires that were secured to eye bolts drilled into the vehicle's roof.
I had to speak to the person who owned this, mainly to find out his handle, what the black box was, and if this was his regular setup. Well it was his wife's turn to drive that day, she was clueless about CB but said the box was an electromagnet that was so strong they couldn't use the vehicle's audio system when it was installed. She said her husband built it himself and does indeed drive around "about half the time" with the Antron on the roof, otherwise he just has a 102" whip.
I was just there for a minute and the woman was waiting for something so she couldn't show me the radio, but a quick peek in the cab only revealed a red Road Devil leading to the bottom of the center console.

Just thought you would be interested...

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3500. Re: Christmas Spirit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 15:04:22 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A436D76.857A294B@qnet.com>
Source record: 64899

Hello Dennis: Yes have a happy holiday season, and may the Good Lord bless and protect your family.

Jay in the Great Mojave Desert.

"Dennis W. Ostrowski" wrote:
>
> To the Newsgroup:
>
> I wish you all a safe and happy holiday season.
>
> I also wish you would all learn to get along with each other!
>
> Dennis O.
>
> #12

3501. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 15:34:17 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <75s64tk9trcp6akkmsmp5n8elh4d8h145p@4ax.com>
Source record: 64884

Greetings!

Naahh...since you've brought my name up - I'll resolve my issues.

I don't ever remember even disagreeing with anyone until the fat from anydildowilldo started the foray.

You, and all the rest of the Koaxial Keystone Kops Kopys need to double check their work and RE-READ the posts before inflammatory remarks begin.

All you've done is shown that you, and your crew, are asses. Nice teamwork, but all it's done is made a big mess - there are more questions now, about your methods, than real answers. The Koaxial Kops could have made a lot more sense if they've bothered to explain versus exclaim. That, in it's own light, makes Koaxial Kops, the losers.

I still have my original post to the ORIGNIAL person [Andrew] and the questions that came up.

You guys simply flew off the handle right away - faster than I could even get the mail. You guys did a nice blow job - setup and tear down - got back on that some ol'd train just before it left the station.

<toot-toot>

Thank you for dragging your buttocks back into the bar, you can clean up the mess. You can also explain the scuff marks on your shorts to the old timers that run 80 meters. I'm sure they'd like to hear your physics of the problem just as much as the swill you spew.

It hasn't changed my opinion of any of these Koaxial Kops - in fact it's confirmed it.

BTW - my coaxial line to the CB is 2 feet 6 and 3/4 inches...no, it's not 18 feet even though the Manufacturer recommended that I do so...

LOL!

Flame Away!

On Thu, 21 Dec 2000 23:27:02 -0500, while the modem was ice fishing with the Mouse, Bob <bob@bob.com> dissertated the following:

...Kop Kopys Klipped...

Regards! |
.:+> Andy <+: |
. |

```
... You could be a CB'er when |
... The radio and the antenna ]|[
... costs more than the car ---^---
... is worth... /_______\
. |oo|===|oo|
. http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc [=========]
. |_| |_|
```
http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

PUBLIC DISCLOSURE STATEMENT:
Posted within Usenet guidelines.
Due to the nature of the NewsGroup, the use of filters to block spam, flamers, troll messages, or other messages designed to increase bandwidth, are currently in use.

This message may have replies that are not consistent with the senders own intent. The information contained in the above message is, to the best of my knowledge, accurate.

Due to the use of filters, it will prevent me from seeing replies to your post that may contraindicate this message. If you have any further questions, please feel free to Email me a codyspc [At] iserv [DOT] net.

3502. Re: 165 amp alternators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 16:17:40 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <ujt64tkdeg4e695e8lct8ft4mir82qm0vr@4ax.com>
Source record: 64885

Greetings!

I've checked in getting a replacement for the one in the Tracker I have. I have the 95 amp alternator. This is also on a vehicle that has air conditioning [Soft-top? With A/C? Yeah it sux, but they don't make these any other way - it's all standard now. Only one size of serpentine belt is available for the 2.0 L4 engines the Tracker uses.]

I was asking about replacing the A/C unit in the vehicle, with another alternator - brackets for each are a different bolt pattern. Figures!

There are fewer options for non-standardized GM line vehicles. Suzuki would have to be the ones I contact and the likelyhood of getting parts for the original to upgrade yet keep the OEM - is pretty remote.

[You can thank the GEO concept for that mess]

I don't know of your situation, except for it's a Chevy. But, this is what I've run across while asking questions at the dealships and two custom shops. All said they could do it, but not one gave me a real price - even when I offered to pay up front.

Just so you know, you might want to upgrade the wiring harness yourself if you get involved with it. That alone can cost some big $$$ if you let a shop try and do it. The more you can do on your own - the cheaper it is. GAWD, a new Main Buss block upgrade may be needed, and you might want to check for availability.

Some buss blocks have internal fusible links that only take so many amps before they quit, even though the fuse you replaced to a higher amp rating so you could use the alternator to charge the system should protect the system. [Mine has a rating of 120 amps - in case the battery + cable went to ground or a goofy starter, [AFAIK] even with a fuse of 90 amps in the buss block.]

Custom? Never went past that offer. Doing up brackets - I know of a few people that visit this N.G. from time to time that might be able to direct you to someone that does make a bracket that will hold a different type of alternator if your idea doesn't pan out. Dimensioning, though, might require you to drive it there.

Rebuilding an alternator and changing the diodes and or stator/rotor windings is pretty easy. Getting the beefier OEM parts, you might need to go into "salvage yards" to locate what you want, let alone save some money at doing it yourself.

Just wanted to let you know that I too, have been looking...;-)

On Thu, 21 Dec 2000 21:16:33 -0500, while the modem was ice fishing
with the Mouse, Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> dissertated the
following:

:+> Scott,
:+>
:+> Thanks for the suggestion. I do know of a local shop that does this.
:+> However, he will tell you upfront that such rebuilds are not always
:+> successful insofar as increasing amperage goes. It may work, it may
:+> not. I am going to try that when mine gives out. I just thot there
:+> might be a supplier of high power alternators somewhere.
:+>
:+> 73
:+>
:+> Jerry
:+>
:+>
:+>
:+> Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay) wrote:
:+> >
:+> > I know a place slightly south of Baltimore that will rebuild a non-CS
:+> > Chevy alternator to 125 amps. You may want to check with the local
:+> > starter and alternator places. Mine holds voltage real well!
:+> >
:+> > Jerry Oxendine wrote:
:+> > >
:+> > > Maul13,
:+> > >
:+> > > I was just curious. Do they make 150-200 amp alternators in sizes to
:+> > > replace the small-case GM 100 amp ones? I've never attempted to replace
:+> > > mine, but it might be a future option.
:+> > >
:+> > > Thanks & 73,
:+> > >
:+> > > Jerry
:+> > >
:+> > > Maul13 wrote:
:+> > > >
:+> > > > I have 2 165 amp big case alternatotrs... New not used or installed one time
:+> > > > but new.... Asking $200 a piece any one interrested please email me ... Price
:+> > > > does not inclued shipping..
:+> > > > Thanks #13 V.A

Regards!
.:+> Andy <+: | BEEP!
... You really are a CB'er when... | _____ BEEP!
... your CB antenna is longer than |/ ___
... your SUV! |_,-.__,-._|
... (o) (o)
http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

PUBLIC DISCLOSURE STATEMENT:
Posted within Usenet guidelines.
Due to the nature of the NewsGroup, the use of filters to block spam, flamers, troll messages, or other messages designed to increase bandwidth, are currently in use.

This message may have replies that are not consistent with the senders own intent. The information contained in the above message is, to the best of my knowledge, accurate.

Due to the use of filters, it will prevent me from seeing replies to your post that may contraindicate this message. If you have any further questions, please feel free to Email me a codyspc [At] iserv [DOT] net.

3503. F.S. Galaxy DX-22B Base

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 16:35:05 UTC
From: wayne seagle <kb9tmq@midwest.net>
Message-ID: <bkL06.1802$ad.100733@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 64886

I have a Galaxy DX-22B base radio with a Night Eagle D-104 microphone in excellent condition. I got into ham radio and I can't find much use for it anymore. I'll take $350.00 for it all. Email or call if you are interested.
Thanks!

--
Wayne Seagle
kb9tmq@midwest.net

3504. Scanner Dweeb comic # 89 (Comet interference)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 16:40:27 UTC
From: scannerdweeb@aol.comicstrip
Message-ID: <20001222114027.10095.00008496@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 64888

Happy Holidays !
The 89th episode of
The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb comic strip
titled " Comet interference... "
is ready for your viewing pleasure at
http://www.ScannerDweeb.com
And thanks for your support of the hobby of radio !

See them first !
Want to be sent new comic strips
or special episodes via your e-mail
before they are put on the web site ?
Subscribe to the ScannerDweeb eGroup

http://www.egroups.com/group/ScannerDweeb

The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb comic strip
http://www.ScannerDweeb.com
http://scannerdweeb.netfirms.com
http://www.ScanShack.com
Special monthly episodes, not shown
on the web site, can ONLY be seen
in Popular Communications magazine !

3505. Re: F.S. Galaxy DX-22B Base

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 16:40:52 UTC
From: wayne seagle <kb9tmq@midwest.net>
Message-ID: <EpL06.1803$ad.102073@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 64887

Phone number is 618-937-1246
"wayne seagle" <kb9tmq@midwest.net> wrote in message
news:bkL06.1802$ad.100733@nntp3.onemain.com...
I have a Galaxy DX-22B base radio with a Night Eagle D-104 microphone in excellent condition. I got into ham radio and I can't find much use for it anymore. I'll take $350.00 for it all. Email or call if you are interested.
Thanks!

--
Wayne Seagle
kb9tmq@midwest.net

3506. Re: HOWARD STERN....$100 MILLION, 5 YEARS.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 16:45:01 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A43850D.B36BF4E@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64889

Train wrote:
>
> Dave,
> Howard was raised as a Jew and there is as I remember it....No hell in the
> Jewish faith for the "lost souls".

And if you see Howard, tell him Happy Chanukah.

3507. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 16:55:53 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A438799.A4DBBAF@oio.net>
Source record: 64890

Fred Hambrecht wrote:
>
> As you failed to quote, I am unsure as to what statement your post applies.
>
> "'Doc" <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message news:3A3FEAA2.D646B486@oio.net...
> >
> >
> > Fred,
> > Which is beside the point. Stick to what we're talking
> > about. You keep making to irrelevant, and mostly incorrect
> > statements. (That hole your digging is just getting deeper)
> > 'Doc

Sorry, since it was listed directly under your post, I though you
could follow the link back one step. Here is the reference;
==========
Subject:
Re: Coax Length [My view]
Date:
Tue, 19 Dec 2000 13:23:21 -0500
From:
"Fred Hambrecht" <Fred@w4jle.com>
Organization:
Navix Internet Subscribers
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.cb
References:
1 , 2 , 3 , 4 , 5 , 6

Doc I will make a flat statement. NO CB mobile antenna is 50 ohms at
resonance.

"'Doc" <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message news:3A3D685B.236EFCD9@oio.net
...
>
>
> Handy Andy,
> I think you had better go back and try that again. Your
> doing exactly what you are arguing against. Your 'cure'
> with 50 ohm coax won't work, (try using 75 ohm), as a
> conjugate match in this instance.... sorry.
> 'Doc

==========

I hope this helps remind you of what you said.
'Doc

3508. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 16:59:54 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A43888A.101B7EEA@oio.net>
Source record: 64891

Handy Andy wrote:
>
> Greetings!
>
> Then let me add fuel to this fire.
>
> Doc, you're a wonderful read. But do you have *any* CB antenna
> experience?
>
> I don't care about the "rules" you quote from a book...
>
> I mean *Real* Breaker, Breaker - CB experience?
>
> I posted a challenge a few threads above.
>
> If you can answer that question...we'll all know.
>

I guess I'll have to ask you to repeat your challenge, I
seem to have had a 'senior moment', can't rememebr which/what
it was.
As to experience, what would constitute proof for you? How
about an old CB call (KQV6070), would that do it? Or just what
would be 'good enough' proof for you?
'Doc

3509. Re: 165 amp alternators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 17:06:58 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A438A2D.509A7F04@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64892

Handy Andy wrote:
>
> Greetings!
>
> I've checked in getting a replacement for the one in the Tracker I
> have. I have the 95 amp alternator.
>
> [You can thank the GEO concept for that mess]

For a little bit more you could have bought a Jeep. I looked at the Tracker and now I'm glad I went Jeep. How much clearance do you have under a Tracker? Do you have 235/75R15 tires on it yet? I got my BFG AT K/O's a month before the Exploder thing hit and now you can't find a 235/75R15 anywhere in stock. I put the old P205/75R15 on my big Chevy.

Now, if we could only get some snow.

3510. Re: Coax Length [My view]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 17:08:24 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A438A88.B32C3E83@oio.net>
Source record: 64893

jdaggett,
I've found that most of the people who ridicule theory
have a problem understanding the written word. I know this
is a generalization and so isn't always true. It does seem
to occur quite often, though.
'Doc

3511. Re: T.S. 350 HDV $75.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 17:09:37 UTC
From: oneqck76ta@aol.com.com.com
Message-ID: <20001222120937.08756.00005635@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 64894

I sent him an e-mail about the amp. He is from N. minnesota somewhere he said.
Thats all I know. Way northern.

Later
oneqck76ta@aol.com
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta

You've got "459" out of Minneapolis Minnesota and I'm reading the mail

**********Hippie Freak**********
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta/cbradio

3512. Re: Pain,Again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 17:10:13 UTC
From: "Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com>
Message-ID: <9201tf$gh2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64896

Hey man, I laughed so hard my belly hurt. The subject matter was pretty funny!! Your station was soundin real good on this end too!Bump it on ya later.
Seasons greetings
MR.PAIN&#65533;

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3513. Christmas Spirit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 17:16:58 UTC
From: "Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com>
Message-ID: <3A438C8A.E242128D@lucent.com>
Source record: 64895

To the Newsgroup:

I wish you all a safe and happy holiday season.

I also wish you would all learn to get along with each other!

Dennis O.

#12

3514. Re: 413

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 17:30:06 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001222123006.13714.00000039@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 64897

10-4 im usually on about 100 am - 200 pm....ps 431 is my muddduck...lol
,,,,,it wont be long till we bump it down

3515. Re: WTB:Clean 100 watt amp?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 17:33:28 UTC
From: William <k7kkg@juno.com>
Message-ID: <u2474t4uf84jqra24dco7g06q4repmpbno@4ax.com>
Source record: 64898

On Thu, 21 Dec 2000 23:35:14 -0600 (CST), MR123IL@webtv.net wrote:

>Now all you "HAM" super stars Don't want to brake any "FCC" laws now do
>ya?????

Fuck the FCC!

3516. Re: T.S. 350 HDV $75.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 18:21:51 UTC
From: M1v1@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14319-3A439BBF-2@storefull-114.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64901

Unit was sold two days ago..

3517. Re: Christmas Spirit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 18:50:13 UTC
From: The Landshark <s3buell@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <knN06.27$Ku6.40260@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 64902

I'll second that motion.....
Same to ya Dennis, now if it could
stop snowing, you would ride.

The Landshark

--
It's a Buell-tiful Day for a ride
through the Canyons.

1999 Ford F-150 Super Cab
1998 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
1983 Honda 1100F
BFD #666
"Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com> wrote in message
news:3A438C8A.E242128D@lucent.com...
>
> To the Newsgroup:
>
> I wish you all a safe and happy holiday season.
>
> I also wish you would all learn to get along with each other!
>
> Dennis O.
>
> #12
>

3518. Re: 413

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 19:11:22 UTC
From: Two-Five-seven@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2979-3A43A75A-44@storefull-145.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64906

OK,got you on the 10 to 2.Did your 'mudduck' tell you I was calling for you?I'm guessing no,because he didn't mention anything about knowing you when I was talking to him.
And your right,Its only a matter of time!

413 wrote;

>100 am - 200 pm....ps 431 is my muddduck...lol<

257 NH. On the wheels

3519. Re: 165 amp alternators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 19:12:53 UTC
From: -=PEAKABOO=- <peakaboo@keydowns.com>
Message-ID: <ryN06.159$lg5.16325@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Source record: 64903

You can get a serpintine belt in any length you need. I would replace the factory alternator with whatever you want (you must be crafty to do this). I would feed the radio equipment off of upgraded wire that feeds its own system or battery. Leave the factory wire alone and just run run the vehicle on it like it was designed. I know for a fact I could mount a large ford case alt on one of those vehicles. It just takes a creative mind and the right tools.

--

-=PEAKABOO=-

"Trying Not To Choke,
On That 10 Ohm Smoke"

Check Out My Home Page
http://www.geocities.com/MotorCity/Highway/5648/boos_page.html
http://www.geocities.com/watergate_rollin

"Handy Andy" <CodysPC@Iserve.Net> wrote in message
news:ujt64tkdeg4e695e8lct8ft4mir82qm0vr@4ax.com...
> Greetings!
>
> I've checked in getting a replacement for the one in the Tracker I
> have. I have the 95 amp alternator. This is also on a vehicle that has
> air conditioning [Soft-top? With A/C? Yeah it sux, but they don't make
> these any other way - it's all standard now. Only one size of
> serpentine belt is available for the 2.0 L4 engines the Tracker uses.]
>
> I was asking about replacing the A/C unit in the vehicle, with another
> alternator - brackets for each are a different bolt pattern. Figures!
>
> There are fewer options for non-standardized GM line vehicles. Suzuki
> would have to be the ones I contact and the likelyhood of getting
> parts for the original to upgrade yet keep the OEM - is pretty remote.
>
> [You can thank the GEO concept for that mess]
>
> I don't know of your situation, except for it's a Chevy. But, this is
> what I've run across while asking questions at the dealships and two
> custom shops. All said they could do it, but not one gave me a real
> price - even when I offered to pay up front.
>
> Just so you know, you might want to upgrade the wiring harness
> yourself if you get involved with it. That alone can cost some big $$$
> if you let a shop try and do it. The more you can do on your own - the

> cheaper it is. GAWD, a new Main Buss block upgrade may be needed, and
> you might want to check for availability.
>
> Some buss blocks have internal fusible links that only take so many
> amps before they quit, even though the fuse you replaced to a higher
> amp rating so you could use the alternator to charge the system should
> protect the system. [Mine has a rating of 120 amps - in case the
> battery + cable went to ground or a goofy starter, [AFAIK] even with a
> fuse of 90 amps in the buss block.]
>
> Custom? Never went past that offer. Doing up brackets - I know of a
> few people that visit this N.G. from time to time that might be able
> to direct you to someone that does make a bracket that will hold a
> different type of alternator if your idea doesn't pan out.
> Dimensioning, though, might require you to drive it there.
>
> Rebuilding an alternator and changing the diodes and or stator/rotor
> windings is pretty easy. Getting the beefier OEM parts, you might need
> to go into "salvage yards" to locate what you want, let alone save
> some money at doing it yourself.
>
> Just wanted to let you know that I too, have been looking...;-)
>
> On Thu, 21 Dec 2000 21:16:33 -0500, while the modem was ice fishing
> with the Mouse, Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> dissertated the
> following:
>
> :+> Scott,
> :+>
> :+> Thanks for the suggestion. I do know of a local shop that does this.
> :+> However, he will tell you upfront that such rebuilds are not always
> :+> successful insofar as increasing amperage goes. It may work, it may
> :+> not. I am going to try that when mine gives out. I just thot there
> :+> might be a supplier of high power alternators somewhere.
> :+>
> :+> 73
> :+>
> :+> Jerry
> :+>
> :+>
> :+>
> :+> Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay) wrote:
> :+> >
> :+> > I know a place slightly south of Baltimore that will rebuild a
non-CS
> :+> > Chevy alternator to 125 amps. You may want to check with the local
> :+> > starter and alternator places. Mine holds voltage real well!
> :+> >
> :+> > Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> :+> > >

> :+> > > Maul13,
> :+> > >
> :+> > > I was just curious. Do they make 150-200 amp alternators in sizes
to
> :+> > > replace the small-case GM 100 amp ones? I've never attempted to
replace
> :+> > > mine, but it might be a future option.
> :+> > >
> :+> > > Thanks & 73,
> :+> > >
> :+> > > Jerry
> :+> > >
> :+> > > Maul13 wrote:
> :+> > > >
> :+> > > > I have 2 165 amp big case alternatotrs... New not used or
installed one time
> :+> > > > but new.... Asking $200 a piece any one interrested please
email me ... Price
> :+> > > > does not inclued shipping..
> :+> > > > Thanks #13 V.A
>
>
> Regards!
> .:+> Andy <+: | BEEP!
> ... You really are a CB'er when... | _____ BEEP!
> ... your CB antenna is longer than |/ ___
> ... your SUV! |_,-.__,-._|
> ... (o) (o)
> http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm
>
> PUBLIC DISCLOSURE STATEMENT:
> Posted within Usenet guidelines.
> Due to the nature of the NewsGroup, the use of filters to block spam,
> flamers, troll messages, or other messages designed to increase bandwidth,
> are currently in use.
>
> This message may have replies that are not consistent with the senders own
> intent. The information contained in the above message is, to the best of
> my knowledge, accurate.
>
> Due to the use of filters, it will prevent me from seeing replies to your
> post that may contraindicate this message. If you have any further
questions,
> please feel free to Email me a codyspc [At] iserv [DOT] net.
>

3520. Re: Pain,Again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 19:16:02 UTC
From: Two-Five-seven@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2979-3A43A872-47@storefull-145.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64905

I can't keep myself from laughing even now! Bump it on you later Pain.

Maybe we should pool some money together and send out a gift certificate for a makeover.Oh man I've got to stop this I'm crying from laughing so hard!!!!!!!

257 NH. On the wheels

3521. Magnums CB pages

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 19:26:00 UTC
From: Magnum <magnum@mag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <9209mm$p9e$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 64904

Hi, dunno what hapened to my last posting but here it is again for anyone interested. www.cb-repairs-uk.com basically UK based but many tips and hints and stuff for the novice/semi tech. Regards Graham btw if the banner pisses you off at the bottom go www.geocities.com/mag2781/cb_radio.html

3522. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 20:14:28 UTC
From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <920cmupjd1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64908

In article <16979-3A42E353-114@storefull-293.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
MR123IL@webtv.net wrote:
> Let me help all of you that don't know?
> When i had left the "Dave Made Camp"
> I really did't change that match on the inside of the amp!
>
> I made the case different so if you had to work on the amp you could
do
> it with out digging into a square hole!
>
> I put more fan's on it.
> And more heatsink under it.
> Now you can go out and talk on it somewhat longer in "DX"
>
> If i could take anything back i would take what i had took to Chicago
> and gave away!
>
> I'm really sorry that i started the X-Force line and it got away from
> me.
> But there is nothing that i can do about that now!!
>
> So to answer you all Yes Dave is the man! But he is no longer making
> the dc amps!
>
> So if you want a good COPY of his work you can get one at "DaveMade
NC."
>
> Or if you don't like the color of the "DaveMade" i guess you can get a
> X-Force from "Steve"!!
>
> It's my bad so i really don't know why you all are getting your
panties
> in a bunch!
>
> Not too long ago i had talk to "Dave" on the phone and he did't even
> make a big deal over it so you all tell me why are you guy's???
>
> Yes i did work for Dave.
> And i did my job and then some...
> And i really did't need to start a line of amp's But he hade told me
> that he is getting out of it....
>
> So "151" was bugging me to do this and i did.
> And i'm sorry i did!

>
> I'll give it too Carl i did get him to do it the way that works the
> best!!!
> And he keeps up with the service end of it??
>
> Maybe someday Carl will see what i gave him and understand what he is
> setting on!
>
> Until then ??????????????????
>
> YES I'M "MR.123ILL."
>
>
NOW THIS IS THE ABSOLUTE TRUTH!!!!!!
AND NOTHING ELSE REALLY MATTERS...
123 ADMITS HE STOLE THE DAVEMADE INFO AND BROUGHT IT TO CHICAGO WHERE
IT WAS TURNED INTO XFORCE. HE IS ALSO ADMITTING THAT HE MADE A MISTAKE
AND WISHED THAT HE NEVER TOOK THE DAVEMADE INFO TO CHICAGO. BUT IT IS
TOO LATE FOR THAT BECAUSE THE DAMAGE IS DONE. SO BUY WHATEVER AMP YOU
WANT YOU ARE BASICALLY GETTING THE SAME DAMN THING EXCEPT FOR THE COLOR.
BY THE WAY... WHAT IS THE COLOR OF XFORCE AMPS NOW?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3523. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 20:20:27 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a43b4b7.5018552@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64907

On Fri, 22 Dec 2000 13:19:13 -0800, Bob <Bob@bob.com> wrote:

>Handy Andy wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> You, and all the rest of the Koaxial Keystone Kops Kopys need to
>> double check their work and RE-READ the posts before inflammatory remarks
>> begin.
>
>I agree. Some of arguments here are because people are not clearly stating under
>what conditions their premises are under. Also, you need to read and think about
>what other people say before responding.

Hmm . . . isn't that how I responded to your last post?

>Also, its true...SWR does change with line length. Its value is highest at the
>antenna feedpoint and goes down as you move down
>the coax towards the load....due to feedline lose. Nobody here mentioned that.

Because that is not quite right.

If the load impedance is greater than the line, then the incident and
reflected signal voltages are in phase, the signal currents are out of
phase, and the standing wave has it's maximum voltage and minimum
current at the point of reflection. Conversely, when the load is less
than the line the signal currents will be in phase and the voltages
out of phase, and the standing wave has it's maximum current and
minimum voltage at the reflection point.

So, when VL > Vo, the voltage on the standing wave peaks every
full-wave from the reflection point. It's minimum voltage is seen 1/2
wave from the reflection point, and every full-wave thereafter. When
VL < Vo, the _current_ on the standing wave peaks every full-wave,
and the minimum current is found at 1/2 wave and every full-wave
thereafter.

The result is that, starting at approx 1/4 wave and continuing every
half-wave afterwards, there is a point where the voltage and current
ratio equals the impedance of the line. At this point the standing
wave is indistiguishable from the signal, since it has the same
impedace as the signal.

Given: a coax, 50 + j0, at 27MHz, and V = 0.66. A 1/4 wavelength of
that line will be approx. 6 feet. Another half-wavelength, 12 feet,
gives the infamous value of 18 feet. At both those points, and every
12 feet afterwards, the standing wave will not be detected. The SWR is

not changed, nor will the standing wave disappear.

So why do some meters care and others don't? Because some are cheap, some only measure DC potentials on the line, etc. If you want an accurate measure of your standing wave, regardless of coax length, measure it at the antenna feedpoint. If you want to eliminate standing waves, match your antenna to the coax.

Most textbooks provide a nice little graphic to help the reader visualize just what I have explained here, so if my explanation was inadequate, read a book.

>With 18 feet of coax, you wouldn't hardly see any change in SWR.
>
>I am still waiting to hear what (wave) length of 50 ohm coax I need to achieve a
>1:1 match at the radio when the antennas feedpoint impedance is 37 + j0 Ohms.
>Anyone who claims you
>can adjust the SWR by changing length should be to answer this simple, unanswered
>question.

I do not claim that, so look for an answer for that somewhere else. I am still waiting for a credible source that supports the ridiculous claim that "50 ohms, by definition, is resonant".

Sparky, FLRC

3524. Re: One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 20:21:02 UTC
From: BRAND SPANKIN' NEW <brandnew@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <920d38$q0r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64911

In article <20001221112137.25185.00000678@nso-cv.aol.com>,
icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD (COLD as ICE) wrote:
> In article <Of__5.2170$dR.771249@news.uswest.net>, "WE0H"
<mikereid1@qwest.net>
> writes:
>
> >I also agree. Just leave these people alone. They enjoy whatever
they do
> >with radio. "To each his own" is what I like to say. I started my
radio
> >hobby with the CB band. I never would have discovered amateur radio
without
> >that. Yea, I used bootleg equipment from time to time, but if that
is what
> >you operate, more power to YA. Enjoy the hobby. As for Mr. Doug
Adair, buzz
> >off buddy.
> >73 es good DX, Mike> WE0H.
>
> What does n8wwm have to say about this?

ABSOLUTELY NOTHING! BECAUSE HE KNOWS THAT MOST HAMS DONT APPROVE OF HIM
AND WHAT HE IS DOING ON THIS NEWSGROUP!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3525. WANTED!!!!! Turner CS 1 mike..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 20:21:42 UTC
From: Dwight & Lina Zerkle <lmzerkle@dklb.net>
Message-ID: <qJO06.3715$tk5.194810@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 64909

wanted old turner mike in good shape.
Cs1 Custom special power desk mike.. Gold finish mike they made in the 1970s
in good cond.
no scratches or dings must be in working cond for consideration..

3526. wanted!!!!!! turner JM plus 2 hand mike.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 20:23:08 UTC
From: Dwight & Lina Zerkle <lmzerkle@dklb.net>
Message-ID: <MKO06.3725$tk5.194996@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 64910

in good cond
no battery leakage or dings or scrathes..
original cord, not streched out.

3527. Turner Super Sidekick ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 20:33:04 UTC
From: ARACERX@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17601-3A43BA80-44@storefull-115.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64913

Can anyone tell me how to get the element out of the mic case? Does
the case unscrew,is it a pressed on fitting
Thanks in advance,

David A Van Horn
Calm veteran of the psychic war

3528. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 20:45:29 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <8ie74t4ibai3t972uc0lv5dm8ltevkr5il@4ax.com>
Source record: 64912

Greetings!

Hi Sparky.

You may not know who I am, but I wanted to stop by and offer some tips that might help you understand what they are not wanting you to explain. It's why I get flamed for this too...

One thing that is not mentioned in these posts, is dealing with a known impedance of an antenna over a ground system.

If, say, you were installing an antenna [simple 1/4 wave no special frequency] and you had problems with the antenna, that you know would be resonate at another location using a known ground image area, this antenna you're testing offers a different impedance at the current location - it's not resonate. The antenna shows a different impedance, no mater what - the coax is merely reflecting this information at random lengths. Using a special length, we DO cancel out unwanted reactance [and is why they simply avoided the [25ohm - 5j or - j5] equation - you can get an antenna to show lower SWR when the reactive component is a higher value.

I had to laugh at their antics. They were funny.

What could have cornered them, and what was eventually being discussed but was later avoided [that was the hint] was the coaxial dipole and how they wanted to know about radiating coax.

Thats why I posted conjugate match. They can't get past the fact that the antenna is no longer "the antenna" - both the coax and the antenna are now a single unit. And depeding on how the coax is used, can be the "shorting stub" [open end] or the antenna itself can be the "tuning stub" used with this given length of coax.

I hope I'm correct in the above. I've cornered them before on it. Look at how my mention of it was treated later in these threads.

This is why I was treated the way I was - it didn't dawn on me until later on as these messages were flying about.

I hope this helps you, and I'm simply letting you know that you are a good source of information about antennas. I'm impressed with not only how you conducted yourself, but your knowledge. If you have a web page or two, I would like to link my pages about CB radio installations to yours to help provide more information.

Would you be interested?

Thank you!
:+> Andy <+:
codyspc@iserv.net
On Wed, 13 Dec 2000 08:29:03 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
the Mouse, sparky@cet.com (Sparky) dissertated the following:

:+> On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 17:30:06 -0500, Coax Length Police
:+> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
:+>
:+> >In article <915jdr$d89$1@iac5.navix.net>, "Fred Hambrecht"
:+> ><Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
:+> <snip>
:+> >> They really did not adjust the SWR, they simply picked a length of coax
:+> >> that transformed the actual load to a 50 ohm load that allowed the SWR
:+> >> meter to see 50 ohms and indicate 1:1.
:+> >
:+> >There is NO length of 50 ohm coax that will transform an non-50 ohm load
:+> >into 50 ohms. It simply cannot be done!
:+>
:+> It is called a "transmission line transformer", and is used quite
:+> often.
:+>
:+> >> Take the earlier case of the 1/4 wave length with a short looking open,
:+> >> obviously I can adjust the length to a 50 ohm point and have 1:1 on a
:+> >> piece of shorted coax.
:+> >
:+> >Total nonsense. This is impossible. I guess you can spin straw into
:+> >gold, too.
:+>
:+> You obviously have never tried it. It happens because it creates
:+> standing waves along the entire length of the coax. It is commonly
:+> called a "shorted stub".
:+>
:+> >> SWR means nothing except that a conjunctive match allows the greatest
:+> >> transfer of power.
:+> >
:+> >It is a "conjugate" match.
:+> >
:+> >SWR certainly does mean something! A conjugate match with a high SWR
:+> >will have higher losses than a conjugate match with a low SWR.
:+> >Furthermore, most CBs do not provide any mechanism for creating a
:+> >conjugate match to a non-50 ohm load. Thus, you must have a 50 ohm
:+> >load for a conjugate match. That is, you must have an SWR close
:+> >to 1:1 for a conjugate match and the highest transfer of power.
:+> >
:+> >> In short SWR's are the source of more myths and urban legends than the
:+> >> internet ;>}
:+>
:+> As everyone knows, a dummy load presents a 1:1 match. It doesn't
:+> radiate very well. SWR is a good indicator of impedance mismatch, but
:+> it needs to be measured not only at the radio/coax junction but also

:+> at the coax/antenna junction. And it still is not an accurate measure
:+> of power transfer, because the antenna may have some reactance that
:+> the SWR bridge does not detect because of opposing reactance somewhere
:+> else in the system. In that case, an SWR of 1.5:1 may radiate better
:+> than a 1:1match.
:+>
:+> Sparky, FLRC

3529. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 20:54:13 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001222155413.18652.00000432@nso-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 64914

In article <16979-3A42E353-114@storefull-293.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
MR123IL@webtv.net writes:

>Let me help all of you that don't know?
>When i had left the "Dave Made Camp"
>I really did't change that match on the inside of the amp!
>
>I made the case different so if you had to work on the amp you could do
>it with out digging into a square hole!
>
>I put more fan's on it.
>And more heatsink under it.
>Now you can go out and talk on it somewhat longer in "DX"
>
>If i could take anything back i would take what i had took to Chicago
>and gave away!
>
>I'm really sorry that i started the X-Force line and it got away from
>me.
>But there is nothing that i can do about that now!!
>
>So to answer you all Yes Dave is the man! But he is no longer making
>the dc amps!
>
>So if you want a good COPY of his work you can get one at "DaveMade NC."
>
>Or if you don't like the color of the "DaveMade" i guess you can get a
>X-Force from "Steve"!!
>
>It's my bad so i really don't know why you all are getting your panties
>in a bunch!
>
>Not too long ago i had talk to "Dave" on the phone and he did't even
>make a big deal over it so you all tell me why are you guy's???
>
>Yes i did work for Dave.
>And i did my job and then some...
>And i really did't need to start a line of amp's But he hade told me
>that he is getting out of it....
>
>So "151" was bugging me to do this and i did.
>And i'm sorry i did!
>
>I'll give it too Carl i did get him to do it the way that works the

>best!!!
>And he keeps up with the service end of it??
>
>Maybe someday Carl will see what i gave him and understand what he is
>setting on!
>
>Until then ??????????????????
>
>
>
>YES I'M "MR.123ILL."

I heard that! Well I do appreciate that Mr. 123 was being honest and I give him all the credit in the world for that!
Hey 123 email me anytime man. Everyone knows my email...
ICECOLDNYC@aol.com
Happy Holidays to all!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

3530. Re: Christmas Spirit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 20:54:13 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001222155413.18652.00000431@nso-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 64915

In article <3A438C8A.E242128D@lucent.com>, "Dennis W. Ostrowski"
<dwostrowski@lucent.com> writes:

> To the Newsgroup:
>
> I wish you all a safe and happy holiday season.
>
> I also wish you would all learn to get along with each other!
>
>Dennis O.
>
>#12

Right back at cha old friend!!!!!!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

3531. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 20:54:14 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001222155414.18652.00000433@nso-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 64916

In article <n5w06.160780$DG3.3235846@news2.giganews.com>, "Toll Free"
<tollfree@bigradios.com> writes:

>> Well for what it's worth with my voice of reason...
>> DAVEMADE or X-Force
>> SAME DAMN THING!!!!!!!!!!! THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE!
>>
>
>
>Ice, EXACTLY.
>
>But, if you where the new person to CB, would you want to deal with a
>company
>that wouldn't answer the phone, reply to your emails, and was generally hard
>to get
>ahold of, or the people who damn near bent over backwards to help you.
>
>That is the WHOLE point people are trying to make here.
>
>Dave is a DC god in the CB community. Nobody is taking that away from him.
>
>His camp just doesn't give a shit about the average customer.
>
>Word is getting around, and with the internet, it is getting around fast.

I hear ya Toll. I do understand! I guess if I was a newbie and I really wanted
an amp I would prefer to spend my money where they cater to me as much as
possible. Is that what is happeneing at XForce?
Alot of guys here are so new and dont even realize that it was difficult to get
amps from certain builders. If they didn't know you or at least knew a friend
of yours very well you would NEVER get an amp. Back in the days we knew this
was illegal.
Now as far as the time when dave was really hot well, I tend to disagree with
ya. It may very well have been in the mid 90's but I remember being with dave
myself in the mid 80's (when I first got a davemade) and he was making them
himself then. matter of fact almost all of my davemade amps were built by dave
himself in the 80's. I do have a couple that were built by Concrete Man! In the
middle to late 80's dave had a very good following but as was said before it
was still to a select few, for good reasons, and before this internet stuff,
you really had to know someone to get a good amp from somebody. Of course you
could always find a way to get a Texas Star, but back then all the big boys had
at least their own personal technician. Mine was dave.
Happy Holidays to All!!!
Oh do me a favor tell Shadow that I did appreciate her putting my own words on
her web site "The definition of the Superbowl" but, tell her to please give me

credit for coming up with the words. LOL!!!!!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

3532. Re: Mod Squad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 21:11:15 UTC
From: Mod Squad <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <TrP06.27471$Z36.99610@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 64917

I'll be checking in again hey cd come on down

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:172-3A429853-33@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net...
> Good to catch you down on the other one...as always, sounding good in
> the 'pile.....until we do it again....Happy Holidays
>

3533. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 21:19:13 UTC
From: Bob <Bob@bob.com>
Message-ID: <3A43C551.9A31AEF6@bob.com>
Source record: 64900

Handy Andy wrote:

>
>
> You, and all the rest of the Koaxial Keystone Kops Kopys need to
> double check their work and RE-READ the posts before inflammatory remarks
> begin.

I agree. Some of arguments here are because people are not clearly stating under what conditions their premises are under. Also, you need to read and think about what other people say before responding. For instance, I saw an argument between Coaxlength and somebody else about 50 + j0 defining resonance. It was clear everybody knew an antenna with a complex impedance of Anyvalue + j0 equals a resonant antenna. Fine.

Also, its true...SWR does change with line length. Its value is highest at the antenna feedpoint and goes down as you move down
the coax towards the load....due to feedline lose. Nobody here mentioned that. With 18 feet of coax, you wouldn't hardly see any change in SWR.

I am still waiting to hear what (wave) length of 50 ohm coax I need to achieve a 1:1 match at the radio when the antennas feedpoint impedance is 37 + j0 Ohms. Anyone who claims you
can adjust the SWR by changing length should be to answer this simple, unanswered question.

Bob.

> All you've done is shown that you, and your crew, are asses. Nice
> teamwork, but all it's done is made a big mess - there are more
> questions now, about your methods, than real answers. The Koaxial Kops
> could have made a lot more sense if they've bothered to explain versus
> exclaim. That, in it's own light, makes Koaxial Kops, the losers.
>
> I still have my original post to the ORIGNIAL person [Andrew] and the
> questions that came up.
>
> You guys simply flew off the handle right away - faster than I could
> even get the mail. You guys did a nice blow job - setup and tear down
> - got back on that some ol'd train just before it left the station.
>
> <toot-toot>
>
> Thank you for dragging your buttocks back into the bar, you can clean
> up the mess. You can also explain the scuff marks on your shorts to
> the old timers that run 80 meters. I'm sure they'd like to hear your

> physics of the problem just as much as the swill you spew.
>
> It hasn't changed my opinion of any of these Koaxial Kops - in fact
> it's confirmed it.
>
> BTW - my coaxial line to the CB is 2 feet 6 and 3/4 inches...no, it's
> not 18 feet even though the Manufacturer recommended that I do so...
>
> LOL!
>
> Flame Away!
>
> On Thu, 21 Dec 2000 23:27:02 -0500, while the modem was ice fishing
> with the Mouse, Bob <bob@bob.com> dissertated the following:
>
> ...Kop Kopys Klipped...
>
> Regards! |
> .:+> Andy <+: |
> . |
> ... You could be a CB'er when |
> ... The radio and the antenna]|[
> ... costs more than the car ---^---
> ... is worth... /_______\
> . |oo|===|oo|
> . http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc [=========]
> . |_| |_|
> http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm
>
> PUBLIC DISCLOSURE STATEMENT:
> Posted within Usenet guidelines.
> Due to the nature of the NewsGroup, the use of filters to block spam,
> flamers, troll messages, or other messages designed to increase bandwidth,
> are currently in use.
>
> This message may have replies that are not consistent with the senders own
> intent. The information contained in the above message is, to the best of
> my knowledge, accurate.
>
> Due to the use of filters, it will prevent me from seeing replies to your
> post that may contraindicate this message. If you have any further questions,
> please feel free to Email me a codyspc [At] iserv [DOT] net.

3534. Re: Turner Super Sidekick ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 21:21:25 UTC
From: Joe <joeamp@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <920ghc$g3h$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 64918

Haa Haa Haaa !
....You need to pull the little round plug at the back of the cone out to expose the screw.
Cheers

Racer X wrote in message
<17601-3A43BA80-44@storefull-115.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
Can anyone tell me how to get the element out of the mic case? Does the case unscrew,is it a pressed on fitting
Thanks in advance,

David A Van Horn
Calm veteran of the psychic war

3535. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 21:26:21 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <920gto$st3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64923

In article <20001222155414.18652.00000433@nso-mb.aol.com>,
icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD (COLD as ICE) wrote:
> In article <n5w06.160780$DG3.3235846@news2.giganews.com>, "Toll Free"
> <tollfree@bigradios.com> writes:
>
> >> Well for what it's worth with my voice of reason...
> >> DAVEMADE or X-Force
> >> SAME DAMN THING!!!!!!!!!!! THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE!
> >>
> >
> >
> >Ice, EXACTLY.
> >
> >But, if you where the new person to CB, would you want to deal with a
> >company
> >that wouldn't answer the phone, reply to your emails, and was
generally hard
> >to get
> >ahold of, or the people who damn near bent over backwards to help
you.
> >
> >That is the WHOLE point people are trying to make here.
> >
> >Dave is a DC god in the CB community. Nobody is taking that away
from him.
> >
> >His camp just doesn't give a shit about the average customer.
> >
> >Word is getting around, and with the internet, it is getting around
fast.
>
> I hear ya Toll. I do understand! I guess if I was a newbie and I
really wanted
> an amp I would prefer to spend my money where they cater to me as
much as
> possible. Is that what is happeneing at XForce?
> Alot of guys here are so new and dont even realize that it was
difficult to get
> amps from certain builders. If they didn't know you or at least knew
a friend
> of yours very well you would NEVER get an amp. Back in the days we
knew this
> was illegal.
> Now as far as the time when dave was really hot well, I tend to

disagree with
> ya. It may very well have been in the mid 90's but I remember being
with dave
> myself in the mid 80's (when I first got a davemade) and he was
making them
> himself then. matter of fact almost all of my davemade amps were
built by dave
> himself in the 80's. I do have a couple that were built by Concrete
Man! In the
> middle to late 80's dave had a very good following but as was said
before it
> was still to a select few, for good reasons, and before this internet
stuff,
> you really had to know someone to get a good amp from somebody. Of
course you
> could always find a way to get a Texas Star, but back then all the
big boys had
> at least their own personal technician. Mine was dave.
> Happy Holidays to All!!!
> Oh do me a favor tell Shadow that I did appreciate her putting my own
words on
> her web site "The definition of the Superbowl" but, tell her to
please give me
> credit for coming up with the words. LOL!!!!!
>
> COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It
don't
> mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!
>

yeh , ice but geez, would don't you think xforce is trying to make
their proverbial wad off of this internet thing. i mean don't you think
their prices are way out of line?,.....cd is out....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3536. Re: Pain,Again!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 21:26:52 UTC
From: "Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com>
Message-ID: <920gumstc1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64924

In article <2979-3A43A872-47@storefull-145.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
Two-Five-seven@webtv.net wrote:
> I can't keep myself from laughing even now! Bump it on you later Pain.
>
> Maybe we should pool some money together and send out a gift
certificate
> for a makeover.Oh man I've got to stop this I'm crying from laughing
so
> hard!!!!!!!
>
> 257 NH. On the wheels
>
>
HAHAHAHAHA your killin me !!!!!!

MR.PAIN&#65533;

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3537. Re: wanted!!!!!! turner JM plus 2 hand mike.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 21:27:23 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A43C73B.F749367E@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64919

I've got a JM+3 for parts. You always seem to want the impossible,
nobody wants to sell the stuff in nice condition...

My for sale page:
http://www.abs.net/~the420

Dwight & Lina Zerkle wrote:
>
> in good cond
> no battery leakage or dings or scrathes..
> original cord, not streched out.

3538. Re: T.S. 350 HDV $75.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 21:34:10 UTC
From: wlfdad@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <920hci$t9q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64925

In article <14319-3A439BBF-2@storefull-114.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
M1v1@webtv.net wrote:
> Unit was sold two days ago..
>
Thats cool...I sent a e-mail THREE days ago. Hope their happy...thanks
for the reply.
926 GREAT LAKES and i just got
DDDDDDDDDDDDDDOOOOOOOOWWWNNNNNNNNN

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3539. Re: wanted!!!!!! turner JM plus 2 hand mike.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 21:37:22 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001222163722.01489.00001960@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Source record: 64921

not true, there was a guy not too long ago selling alot of turner mics NOS still in the box. i picked up a perfect shape plus2 desk mic for 65 bucks. best deal ever for me since i had been looking for one forever.

>
>I've got a JM+3 for parts. You always seem to want the impossible,
>nobody wants to sell the stuff in nice condition...

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

3540. Re: Pair of NICE CB mobiles for Sale !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 21:37:32 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A43C99C.1446C07C@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64922
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

KLARRG wrote:
>
> From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" upplus@hotmail.com
> Date: 12/21/2000 8:59 PM Eastern Standard Time
> Message-id: <3A42B5B1.E1461B78@hotmail.com>
>
> Radio Shack's last top of the line model was a TRC-465 or their
> "chrome classic" radio. I thought you had a nice Grant or 148GTL
> or maybe a Uniden sideband unit. If you're lucky, you might get
> $20.00 a piece for them IF you have the boxes. The Shack is the
> last place I'd buy a CB radio from.
>
> Isn't it so special that we are graced with an opinion from a self-proclaimed
> eggspurt!I'm only trying to sell my radios..dont recall having asked for an
> opinion.Like it's said.."Opinions are like assholes......."

...And you don't like to look at any other assholes?!?! LOL!

I looked those models up on the net...overpriced...good luck.

You should have known if you posted those on rec.radio.cb
everyone there would snicker. Happy Holidaze anyway.

3541. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 21:39:58 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a43c33d.322849@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64920

On Fri, 22 Dec 2000 15:45:29 -0500, Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
wrote:

>One thing that is not mentioned in these posts, is dealing with a
>known impedance of an antenna over a ground system.

Well, one thing that I have _never_ seen is a 1/4 wave vertical that measured at the ideal impedance of 36.5 ohms. Or 50 + j0, for that matter. I very rarely see an antenna that isn't reactive to some degree. There are just too many variables to make the definitive claim that any given antenna "must" behave this way or that way.

>snip>Using a special length, we DO cancel out unwanted
>reactance [and is why they simply avoided the [25ohm - 5j or - j5]
>equation - you can get an antenna to show lower SWR when the reactive
>component is a higher value.

The reality is that sometimes even just moving the coax can change the SWR, possibly due to radiation being absorbed by the shield, or even proximity to a ferrous surface. You just can't tell until you get out there and do it.

>I had to laugh at their antics. They were funny.

There are more laughs to come, I'm sure.

>What could have cornered them, and what was eventually being discussed
>but was later avoided [that was the hint] was the coaxial dipole and
>how they wanted to know about radiating coax.

They would have just denied it. No point.

>Thats why I posted conjugate match. They can't get past the fact that
>the antenna is no longer "the antenna" - both the coax and the antenna
>are now a single unit. And depeding on how the coax is used, can be
>the "shorting stub" [open end] or the antenna itself can be the
>"tuning stub" used with this given length of coax.

The shield can indeed radiate when, as you say, it becomes part of the antenna system. This is not always desired or forseen, but it happens. And here's another one for the notebook: When there is RF on the shield, and when that RF is choked short of a multiple of a 1/4 wave from the antenna, you will get harmonics. Sometimes the choke doesn't have do be much, such as close proximity to ferrous materials, or just a kink in the coax. That's why it is good practice to wind excess coax in a figure-8, not a coil.

>This is why I was treated the way I was - it didn't dawn on me until
>later on as these messages were flying about.

They just enjoy pushing people's buttons. They don't care about who's right or wrong, but just that they get their rocks off. So if that is the only way they can communicate, use it to your advantage.

>I hope this helps you, and I'm simply letting you know that you are a
>good source of information about antennas. I'm impressed with not only
>how you conducted yourself, but your knowledge. If you have a web page
>or two, I would like to link my pages about CB radio installations to
>yours to help provide more information.
>
>Would you be interested?

I have a web page, but it has little if nothing to do with CB radio. It deals more with my dabbling in that lowfer stuff, 160 - 190 KHz. It's more of a challenge to get a clean signal out with just one watt and a non-resonant antenna. It's a band for people that know what they are talking about, not for Koaxial Keystone Kops.

Sparky, FLRC

3542. Re: One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 22:31:55 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001222173155.23321.00006343@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 64926

>>
>> >I also agree. Just leave these people alone. They enjoy whatever
>they do
>> >with radio. "To each his own" is what I like to say. I started my
>radio
>> >hobby with the CB band. I never would have discovered amateur radio
>without
>> >that. Yea, I used bootleg equipment from time to time, but if that
>is what
>> >you operate, more power to YA. Enjoy the hobby. As for Mr. Doug
>Adair, buzz
>> >off buddy.
>> >73 es good DX, Mike> WE0H.
>>
>> What does n8wwm have to say about this?
>
>ABSOLUTELY NOTHING! BECAUSE HE KNOWS THAT MOST HAMS DONT APPROVE OF HIM
>AND WHAT HE IS DOING ON THIS NEWSGROUP!
>
>

I really do not give a squat if anyone, no one, or everyone agrees with my
posts.
I disagree with the opinion of WE0H, and think that that opinion is one reason
why the CB band is such a mess.

As for the part about "what is he doing in this ng?" Hey, it out to be obvious
to you, I am pulling the chain of a pack of illegal morons, and having a
hilarious time at it.

Merry Christmas. Hope you clowns all get more squirrel turds under your tree.
Munch on, Bevises.

3543. Re: 413

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 22:41:29 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001222174129.23309.00007041@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 64927

nah hes in orlando im in daytona...about 45 miles away......ill be out there early tommorrow betwwen 900 - 1200 because theres a lil break in orlando tomorrow...hope to bump it down

3544. Merry Christmas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 22:58:54 UTC
From: k8cpa@arrl.net
Message-ID: <3a43dc16.10637042@netnews.att.net>
Source record: 64928

Merry Christmas to everyone in here!

-Chuck

N8WWM's Gay Lover

3545. Re: One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 22:59:25 UTC
From: "Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com>
Message-ID: <920mcb$121$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64929

That's the best ammunition he has is them squirrel turds.....
YAWWWWWNNNNNN!!!!!!!!!! played out like Vanilla Ice!!!!
PAIN&#65533;

In article <20001222173155.23321.00006343@ng-fv1.aol.com>,
n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> >>
> >> >I also agree. Just leave these people alone. They enjoy whatever
> >they do
> >> >with radio. "To each his own" is what I like to say. I started my
> >radio
> >> >hobby with the CB band. I never would have discovered amateur
radio
> >without
> >> >that. Yea, I used bootleg equipment from time to time, but if that
> >is what
> >> >you operate, more power to YA. Enjoy the hobby. As for Mr. Doug
> >Adair, buzz
> >> >off buddy.
> >> >73 es good DX, Mike> WE0H.
> >>
> >> What does n8wwm have to say about this?
> >
> >ABSOLUTELY NOTHING! BECAUSE HE KNOWS THAT MOST HAMS DONT APPROVE OF
HIM
> >AND WHAT HE IS DOING ON THIS NEWSGROUP!
> >
> >
>
> I really do not give a squat if anyone, no one, or everyone agrees
with my
> posts.
> I disagree with the opinion of WE0H, and think that that opinion is
one reason
> why the CB band is such a mess.
>
> As for the part about "what is he doing in this ng?" Hey, it out to
be obvious
> to you, I am pulling the chain of a pack of illegal morons, and
having a
> hilarious time at it.
>
> Merry Christmas. Hope you clowns all get more squirrel turds under
your tree.

> Munch on, Bevises.
>

--

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3546. FS COBRA 148FGTLDX+

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 23:34:06 UTC
From: Carl/Melanie <melanie@mail.icongrp.com>
Message-ID: <3A43E4EE.68EBEC12@mail.icongrp.com>
Source record: 64930

SELLING A COBRA MOBILE ALL MODE IT IS A 12 BANDER VARIBALE POWER
INSTALLED AND THE FINE TUNE IS OPEN UP ON TX TOO. ASKING 360.00+SHIPPING

3547. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-22 23:59:38 UTC
From: MR123IL@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14710-3A43EAEA-47@storefull-291.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64932

I still don't understand why is people having a prob. getting DaveMade amp's

DaveMade "NC" is selling the hell out of them.
So you all should not have any prob. getting one these day's

Shit if you need a repair i'll do that..

Later.....MR.123ILL.

3548. Re: Christmas Spirit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 00:14:56 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <920qq0$ejt@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 64931

Merry Christmas to you too. Everyone does get along can't you tell.

"Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com> wrote in message
news:3A438C8A.E242128D@lucent.com...
>
> To the Newsgroup:
>
> I wish you all a safe and happy holiday season.
>
> I also wish you would all learn to get along with each other!
>
> Dennis O.
>
> #12

3549. Re: One ugly cuss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 00:21:20 UTC
From: lmangang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <920r5s$4si$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64935

In article <20001219184931.27806.00002108@ng-me1.aol.com>,
bendowersagain@aol.com (Bendowersagain) wrote:
> N8WWM in the flesh. I kind of feel sorry for the guy now. Fat, ugly, and
> without a women. Kind of explains his actions, doesn't it? I suppose, in order
> to help him cope with his loneliness and deep depression, we should all respond
> to his lame postings as often as possible.
> Check out his home page below.
>
> http://hometown.aol.com/n8wwm/
> thank you
>well well well elmer chickenhawk fucking fudd you got brass balls spouting the shit you do looking like you do you fat piece of shit trying to grease down and hold on to what oily shit you got left i take back what i said about porking your old lady and gracing her with my dick i must have benn stone drunk at the time any bitch that would use the same bathroom let alone have sex with you is a street corner lizard lot but she dont which is why you is what you is a flaming fairy assed faggot chickenhawk HARRRRDEEEEEHARRRRRHARRRR

see if them brass balls of your fat ass chickenhawk waste of space hiding
behind a keyboard will allow you to come to dayton see you then bitchboy
HARRRDEEEHARRRR imitation is what apes do and you look like a fuckin fag ape
guess thats why you took to hacking posts after you told me not to do it and
get original you aped me you big ugly chickenhawk fuck see you in dayton
chickenhawk

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3550. Re: T.S. 350 HDV $75.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 00:22:12 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <920r7k$f89@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 64933

Especially wanting a Money order only. 75.00 was awful cheap cheap enough that a homeboy should of snatched it up.

C.O.D. or nothing. Box of rocks is a stronger mail fraud case than a money order and a no show. For what its worth 75.oo isn't enough to pursue and isn't enough to be a felony. So a person can collect many 75.00 Money orders with out anyone having much recourse.

Buyer beware!!!

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:6978-3A436244-25@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net...
> Interesting you brought that up Cityslicker...as I was kinda thinkin'
> the same thing. I know I would never buy from an unknown, but there are
> exceptions to that as well. Folks here on the ng, the ones I am familar
> with, even though I don't know them personally, I would trust. But I
> have always wondered about the folks who post stuff and no one has ever
> heard of them before or seen a post by them. I was interested in an item
> here around last Christmas someone was selling, and I did a super
> indepth search and that email name and addy was nowhere to be found.
> That was a big enough flag for me.
>

3551. NEW FREE AUCTION !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 00:24:45 UTC
From: win7@webworkz.com
Message-ID: <3a43f2a6_1@vienna7.his.com>
Source record: 64936

http://www.epier.com/

3552. Re: Crazy magmount antenna setup seen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 00:25:02 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <920rcu$emc@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 64934

Would have like to seen a picture of that. Be interesting driving through a bunch of ducks. Enough watts in the console and you would have a shish kabob.

<methusaleh11@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:91vpjs$9f7$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Rolled into an auto parts store in Massachusetts yesterday, saw the
> most interesting mobile setup I ever could imagine. This guy had a red
> mid-1990s Chevy Blazer with a large black metal box (about 12x10x4
> inches) sitting in the rear center of the roof, just above the rear
> wing. Attached to the box at about a 60 degree angle to the road was an
> Antron A99 with a 102" stainless whip replacing the top section. There
> were several hose clamps with rubber washers in them around the Antron
> that lead to guy wires that were secured to eye bolts drilled into the
> vehicle's roof.
> I had to speak to the person who owned this, mainly to find out his
> handle, what the black box was, and if this was his regular setup. Well
> it was his wife's turn to drive that day, she was clueless about CB but
> said the box was an electromagnet that was so strong they couldn't use
> the vehicle's audio system when it was installed. She said her husband
> built it himself and does indeed drive around "about half the time"
> with the Antron on the roof, otherwise he just has a 102" whip.
> I was just there for a minute and the woman was waiting for something
> so she couldn't show me the radio, but a quick peek in the cab only
> revealed a red Road Devil leading to the bottom of the center console.
>
> Just thought you would be interested...
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

3553. Re: Turner Super Sidekick ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 00:40:34 UTC
From: Hillbilly Dave <******davesradio@alltel.net******>
Message-ID: <920s5d$6am$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 64937

hey joe, I'll never forget the first one of them I tried to get into.....I almost tore it up trying to get in it from the wrong side..... I was about to tape a quarter to it and chunk it off a bluff.....then one day someone (a non tech) showed me where the screw was. boy did I feel stupid....

dave

Joe <joeamp@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:920ghc$g3h$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> Haa Haa Haaa !
> ....You need to pull the little round plug at the back of the cone out to
> expose the screw.
> Cheers
>
>
>
> Racer X wrote in message
> <17601-3A43BA80-44@storefull-115.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
> Can anyone tell me how to get the element out of the mic case? Does
> the case unscrew,is it a pressed on fitting
> Thanks in advance,
>
> David A Van Horn
> Calm veteran of the psychic war
>
>
>

3554. Re: One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 00:40:56 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001222194056.18107.00000002@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 64938

thats deep pain...or maybe mc hammer?LOL

3555. Re: One ugly cuss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 00:49:31 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001222194931.18107.00000003@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 64939

bravo{clap clap clap clap clap}.....beautiful

3556. Re: Turner Super Sidekick ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 00:49:40 UTC
From: ARACERX@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3209-3A43F6A4-73@storefull-112.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64943

So how do I get the plug out? Is it threaded? Do I have to pry it out ?

Thanks again,

David A Van Horn
Calm veteran of the psychic war

3557. Re: One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 00:56:22 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <920t7l$687$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64941

In article <20001222173155.23321.00006343@ng-fv1.aol.com>,
n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> >>
> >> >I also agree. Just leave these people alone. They enjoy whatever
> >they do
> >> >with radio. "To each his own" is what I like to say. I started my
> >radio
> >> >hobby with the CB band. I never would have discovered amateur
radio
> >without
> >> >that. Yea, I used bootleg equipment from time to time, but if that
> >is what
> >> >you operate, more power to YA. Enjoy the hobby. As for Mr. Doug
> >Adair, buzz
> >> >off buddy.
> >> >73 es good DX, Mike> WE0H.
> >>
> >> What does n8wwm have to say about this?
> >
> >ABSOLUTELY NOTHING! BECAUSE HE KNOWS THAT MOST HAMS DONT APPROVE OF
HIM
> >AND WHAT HE IS DOING ON THIS NEWSGROUP!
> >
> >
>
> I really do not give a squat if anyone, no one, or everyone agrees
with my
> posts.
> I disagree with the opinion of WE0H, and think that that opinion is
one reason
> why the CB band is such a mess.
>
> As for the part about "what is he doing in this ng?" Hey, it out to
be obvious
> to you, I am pulling the chain of a pack of illegal morons, and
having a
> hilarious time at it.
>
> Merry Christmas. Hope you clowns all get more squirrel turds under
your tree.
> Munch on, Bevises.

yeh and i hope you get your drive by delivered before christmas good
buddy,.....cd is out.....

>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3558. Re: Mod Squad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 00:58:54 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <920tcd$68p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64940

In article <TrP06.27471$Z36.99610@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>,
"Mod Squad" <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote:
> I'll be checking in again hey cd come on down
>
> <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:172-3A429853-33@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net...
> > Good to catch you down on the other one...as always, sounding good
in
> > the 'pile.....until we do it again....Happy Holidays
> >
>
>

i will as soon as i get my antenna system tuned up. i will try to work
on it tomorrow weather permitting. this two antenna business is a pain
in the ass,.....cd is out....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3559. Re: 165 amp alternators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 01:00:59 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <920tg9$6i2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64942

In article <ujt64tkdeg4e695e8lct8ft4mir82qm0vr@4ax.com>,
codyspc@iserv.net wrote:
> Greetings!
>
> I've checked in getting a replacement for the one in the Tracker I
> have. I have the 95 amp alternator. This is also on a vehicle that has
> air conditioning [Soft-top? With A/C? Yeah it sux, but they don't make
> these any other way - it's all standard now. Only one size of
> serpentine belt is available for the 2.0 L4 engines the Tracker uses.]
>
> I was asking about replacing the A/C unit in the vehicle, with another
> alternator - brackets for each are a different bolt pattern. Figures!
>
> There are fewer options for non-standardized GM line vehicles. Suzuki
> would have to be the ones I contact and the likelyhood of getting
> parts for the original to upgrade yet keep the OEM - is pretty remote.
>
> [You can thank the GEO concept for that mess]
>
> I don't know of your situation, except for it's a Chevy. But, this is
> what I've run across while asking questions at the dealships and two
> custom shops. All said they could do it, but not one gave me a real
> price - even when I offered to pay up front.
>
> Just so you know, you might want to upgrade the wiring harness
> yourself if you get involved with it. That alone can cost some big $$$
> if you let a shop try and do it. The more you can do on your own - the
> cheaper it is. GAWD, a new Main Buss block upgrade may be needed, and
> you might want to check for availability.
>
> Some buss blocks have internal fusible links that only take so many
> amps before they quit, even though the fuse you replaced to a higher
> amp rating so you could use the alternator to charge the system should
> protect the system. [Mine has a rating of 120 amps - in case the
> battery + cable went to ground or a goofy starter, [AFAIK] even with a
> fuse of 90 amps in the buss block.]
>
> Custom? Never went past that offer. Doing up brackets - I know of a
> few people that visit this N.G. from time to time that might be able
> to direct you to someone that does make a bracket that will hold a
> different type of alternator if your idea doesn't pan out.
> Dimensioning, though, might require you to drive it there.
>
> Rebuilding an alternator and changing the diodes and or stator/rotor

> windings is pretty easy. Getting the beefier OEM parts, you might need
> to go into "salvage yards" to locate what you want, let alone save
> some money at doing it yourself.
>
> Just wanted to let you know that I too, have been looking...;-)
>
> On Thu, 21 Dec 2000 21:16:33 -0500, while the modem was ice fishing
> with the Mouse, Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> dissertated the
> following:
>
> :+> Scott,
> :+>
> :+> Thanks for the suggestion. I do know of a local shop that does
this.
> :+> However, he will tell you upfront that such rebuilds are not
always
> :+> successful insofar as increasing amperage goes. It may work, it
may
> :+> not. I am going to try that when mine gives out. I just thot
there
> :+> might be a supplier of high power alternators somewhere.
> :+>
> :+> 73
> :+>
> :+> Jerry
> :+>
> :+>
> :+>
> :+> Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay) wrote:
> :+> >
> :+> > I know a place slightly south of Baltimore that will rebuild a
non-CS
> :+> > Chevy alternator to 125 amps. You may want to check with the
local
> :+> > starter and alternator places. Mine holds voltage real well!
> :+> >
> :+> > Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> :+> > >
> :+> > > Maul13,
> :+> > >
> :+> > > I was just curious. Do they make 150-200 amp alternators in
sizes to
> :+> > > replace the small-case GM 100 amp ones? I've never attempted
to replace
> :+> > > mine, but it might be a future option.
> :+> > >
> :+> > > Thanks & 73,
> :+> > >
> :+> > > Jerry
> :+> > >

> :+> > > Maul13 wrote:
> :+> > > >
> :+> > > > I have 2 165 amp big case alternatotrs... New not used
or installed one time
> :+> > > > but new.... Asking $200 a piece any one interrested please
email me ... Price
> :+> > > > does not inclued shipping..
> :+> > > > Thanks
#13 V.A
>
> Regards!
> .:+> Andy <+: | BEEP!
> ... You really are a CB'er when... | _____ BEEP!
> ... your CB antenna is longer than |/ ___
> ... your SUV! |_,-.__,-._|
> ... (o) (o)
> http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm
>
> PUBLIC DISCLOSURE STATEMENT:
> Posted within Usenet guidelines.
> Due to the nature of the NewsGroup, the use of filters to block spam,
> flamers, troll messages, or other messages designed to increase
bandwidth,
> are currently in use.
>
> This message may have replies that are not consistent with the
senders own
> intent. The information contained in the above message is, to the
best of
> my knowledge, accurate.
>
> Due to the use of filters, it will prevent me from seeing replies to
your
> post that may contraindicate this message. If you have any further
questions,
> please feel free to Email me a codyspc [At] iserv [DOT] net.
>

mr cody, didn't you know that necessity is the mother of invention.
when in doubt improvise,.......cd is out........

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3560. Christmas Joke.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 01:29:00 UTC
From: scsiudma@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001222202900.13767.00003210@ng-mk1.aol.com>
Source record: 64944

A man and wife wake up one morning shortly before Christmas. The woman says "Wow! I just had the most incredible dream. I dreamt of a huge christmas tree, but instead of the usual decorations, it was all hung with penises. Big penises, small penises, pink penises, black penises... but right on top was the most perfect penis I have ever seen!"
"Let me guess," Says the husband, "That penis on top was mine, right?"
"No, it wasn't. I'm not sure whose it was, but it sure wasn't yours!"
"Well, I had an incredible dream last night, too." Said the husband. "I dreamt of a huge Christmas tree as well, but instead of the usual decorations, it was covered in pussies! Big pussies, small pussies, pink pussies, Asian pussies, red pussies... but on top was the most perfect pussy I have ever seen!"
"And that pussy was mine, right?" Asked the wife
"No, yours was holding up the tree!"

3561. Re: Turner Super Sidekick ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 02:11:19 UTC
From: ARACERX@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3209-3A4409C7-95@storefull-112.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64946

Please disregard all prior messages.

THANKS,

David A Van Horn
Calm veteran of the psychic war

3562. Re: 165 amp alternators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 02:45:13 UTC
From: programbo5@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001222214513.12064.00006214@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 64945

>I was just curious. Do they make 150-200 amp alternators in sizes to
>> replace the small-case GM 100 amp ones?

You can buy an Iceberg rebuild kit from J.C.Whitney that includes a 140 amp stator and all bearings/brushes/heatsink for a GM alternator for about $99

The internet is more than a global pornography network

3563. Galaxy 88 or 99. whats the difference?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 02:53:02 UTC
From: kennynoe@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6979-3A44138E-24@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65000

I am considering buying a galaxy radio and would like to know the difference between the 88 and the 99. Also would like opinions on which radio to buy, a galaxy or another....

3564. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 02:55:11 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A44140F.4E1141EB@oio.net>
Source record: 64947

Handy Andy,
I want to thank you for an amusing post. Your
antenna and feed line ideas are almost as good as
the sunday funnies.
'Doc

3565. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 02:56:00 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A441440.AAEE6B73@oio.net>
Source record: 64998

Sparky,
You'd better 'snap' this guy up! His ideas are almost
as funny as your.
'Doc

3566. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 03:00:53 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A441565.6E773CEB@oio.net>
Source record: 64999

Bob,
As you know, you won't get an answer. At best, you'll
be ignored.
You know, if someone would package a length of 50 ohm
coax that would 'match' the 'normal' 1/4 wave antenna's
impedance to 50 - 0j, they could make a fortune! I wonder
why no one's done that...
'Doc

3567. Re: Christmas Spirit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 03:26:48 UTC
From: mobile51@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001222222648.01969.00011469@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 65001

To the Newsgroup:

I wish you all a safe and happy holiday season.

I also wish you would all learn to get along with each other!

Dennis O.

#12

.....Right Back, Dennis!!!
.....

51 is gone!

3568. OT: REAL VIRUS WARNING-NO BS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 03:31:46 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A441CA2.F5E0B11F@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65002
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.autos.makers.jeep+willys

Do not open any email from hahaha@sexyfun.net. It contains a file that is 30K in length. It contains a virus called "W32/Hybris.gen@M" I have received it as joke.exe, midgets.scr and others. I couldn't stand all the fake virus warnings that were floating around a few months ago, and I pointed those folks to http://www.symantec.com/avcenter/index.html to learn about the hoaxes.

For more information about this REAL VIRUS, or for disinfection, go to http://www.sexyfun.net/

3569. Re: Merry Christmas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 03:46:05 UTC
From: youknowitoke@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001222224605.17251.00001368@ng-xa1.aol.com>
Source record: 65003

cock~n~balls

3570. Re: Galaxy 88 or 99. whats the difference?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 03:49:53 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <BhV06.50057$7I.5136948@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 65056

99 has large meter, which I like,and the controls are a bit easier, the 88 has a series of buttons which can be tough in mobile use until you get used to them, 99 has a couple of extra "sound effects" i.e.: a "robot" distortion and a "voice change" which deepens or raises the pitch of your voice. Both of these are about as useful as tits on a boar. The 88 is very similar to the 148DX and a few others, and I believe shares a board with them. I have had a couple of both and like the 99, they seem to be pretty reliable. It depends on what you plan on using the rig for. If SSB is your thing, save yourself some aggravation and money and buy a used 2510 or 2950, if it's a base application for a few bucks more, usually around 350-450 you can fine a nice "real" HF rig like a 140, 430 Kenwood, 720a Icom, 474, 757 Yaesu, etc.

If you want a big loud AM rig with echo and freq's, and SSB doesn'tmatter much, try a 66 or a Connex 3300.
Kenny Noe wrote in message
<6979-3A44138E-24@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net>...
I am considering buying a galaxy radio and would like to know the difference between the 88 and the 99. Also would like opinions on which radio to buy, a galaxy or another....

3571. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 04:26:13 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <9219h5flh2@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 65005

In article <91g7qi$87d$1@iac5.navix.net>, "Fred Hambrecht"
<Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:

: You have narrowed the universe to a specific set of conditions which
: has no application in the real world. A million CBers have
: changed the length of their coax and observed the SWR change. Why?

Let us modify this to make that last statement correct. "Many CBers have changed the length of their coax and observed an apparent SWR change."

Note the "apparent".

Now, here is a quiz for you. A good RF meter (such as a Bird) uses a section of transmission line to tap off the voltages/currents necessary to measure the power on the coax. That line section is 50 ohms.

Tell me what happens when the input impedance of the coax at the output of the meter is not 50 ohms. Is it possible that, due to this mismatch, that some of the reflected power is reflected back towards the antenna, rather than being measured by the meter?

What does this do, in an SWR meter, to the indicated SWR?

Note that we are talking about measured SWR here, not actual SWR on the coax between the meter and the antenna.

Another little quiz.

Place your SWR meter at the antenna. Let us assume that there is a 2:1 indicated SWR.

Now, change the length of the coax between the transmitter and the SWR meter.

Does the SWR meter reading at the antenna change? If so, why? If it doesn't, why not?

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

3572. Re: Maybe this will cause some skip to come rolling in

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 04:31:13 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <9219qhflh3@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 65004
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

thepolishdude@my-deja.com wrote:
:
: An eclipse is scheduled to occur on Christmas afternoon. Can eclipses
: cause skip to happen?

No, it cannot.

When the eclipse happens depends on where you live. On the West coast of
the US, it is at maximum at sunrise.

At best, the coverage is 60% - e.g. only a partial eclipse.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

3573. Re: Crazy magmount antenna setup seen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 04:35:05 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <921a1pflh4@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 65007

```
: I had to speak to the person who owned this, mainly to find out his
: handle, what the black box was, and if this was his regular setup. Well
: it was his wife's turn to drive that day, she was clueless about CB but
: said the box was an electromagnet that was so strong they couldn't use
: the vehicle's audio system when it was installed. She said her husband

Sounds like she didn't know anything about magnets either. Unlikely it
was an electromagnet.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
```

3574. Re: 413

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 04:57:49 UTC
From: Two-Five-seven@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28269-3A4430CD-15@storefull-146.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65008

I'll try and call you around that time,can't gaurantee anything though.Tommorrow I'm waiting to find out what time the pool table installer is going to show up (yeah I know,poor 257)and unfortunately I can't sit out in the driveway pulling the trigger,revving my motor up to 2000 rpm's screaming 413 413 413.
I have a feeling its gonna be rough and tough on the bowl tommorrow!
Do you know Freight Shaker or Double trouble from the Orlando area?

Put it on you soon.

257 NH. On the wheels

3575. Won't He Just Give Up?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 05:02:56 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A443200.3D34433C@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65006

BUSH SEEKS TO ENJOIN SANTA FROM CHECKING LIST TWICE
Lack of Standards Decried

Austin, TX (Dec. 21)--Attorneys for President-Elect George W. Bush filed suit in federal court today, seeking to prevent Santa Claus from making his list and then checking it twice. The complaint seeks an immediate injunction against the beloved Christmas icon, asking the court to effectively ban his traditional practice of checking the list of good boys and girls one additional time before packing his sleigh.

The suit filed in Federal District Court in Austin, asks a federal judge to "hereby order Mr. Claus to cease and desist all repetitive and duplicative list-checking activity, and certify the original list as submitted without amendment, alteration, deletion, or other unnecessary modification."

"There are no standards for deciding who is naughty, and who is nice. It's totally arbitrary and capricious. How many more times does he need to check? This checking, checking and re-checking over and over again must stop now," said former Secretary James Baker.

Baker further claimed that unnamed GOP observers witnessed an elf remove all boys named Justin from the 'nice' list, filing them under 'naughty instead because "everyone knows all boys named Justin are brats."

Bush cited the potential for unauthorized list tampering, blasted what he called the "crazy, crazy mess up there at the North Pole." "Their security is really awful, really bad," said Bush. "My mother just walked right in, told 'em she was Mrs. Claus. They didn't check her ID or nothing."

Meanwhile, Dick Cheney, issued a direct plea to St. Nick himself. "Mr. Claus, I call on you to do the honorable thing, and quit checking your list. The children of the world have had enough. They demand closure now," Cheney said, adding that his granddaughter has already selected a name for the pony she's asked for.

The Rev. Jesse Jackson was quick to respond to the latest development with plans to lead his protesters from Florida to the North Pole via dogsled. The "Rainbow Mush for Justice" is scheduled to leave Friday. "We need red suits and sleighs, not law suits and delays," Jackson said.

Santa Claus could not be reached for comment, but a spokeself said he was "deeply distressed" by news of the pending legal action against him. "He's losing weight, and he hasn't said 'Ho Ho Ho' for days," said the spokeself. Experts feel that future Christmas celebrations could be placed in jeopardy. Santa is apparantly not qualified for any other job, and no one is sure what he might do if he loses this battle.

3576. Re: Mod Squad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 05:16:09 UTC
From: Mod Squad <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <tyW06.27989$Z36.104033@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 65009

Hope to catch you live on the cb band Mod Squad gone

<curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:920tcd$68p$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <TrP06.27471$Z36.99610@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>,
> "Mod Squad" <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com> wrote:
> > I'll be checking in again hey cd come on down
> >
> > <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> > news:172-3A429853-33@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net...
> > > Good to catch you down on the other one...as always, sounding good
> in
> > > the 'pile.....until we do it again....Happy Holidays
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> i will as soon as i get my antenna system tuned up. i will try to work
> on it tomorrow weather permitting. this two antenna business is a pain
> in the ass,.........cd is out....
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

3577. Re: Painincoochie faggily fails-AGAIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 05:19:12 UTC
From: nocodeteckie@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <921ckegvn1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65013

> N8wwm QSO under some serious QRM:

> Ya know, it shows your level when you screw with me bout bein fat.
And a poor
> insult it is, too. I am fat, OK I can go on a diet. You, on the other
hand, are
> retarded. What can ya do about that, Bevis?
> And no, I do not want to mess with any of your "honeys". Any scagbag
who would
> do you probably contributed to the diseased condition of your mind
with some
> kinda STD. I heard the doctor had to reach through 8 inches of pus
and scabs
> just to deliver your skanky ass.
>
> Re: the squirrel turds and the registered moron lines....
> I know it pisses you off, that's why I do it. You wouldn't be crying
if it did
> not.
> I, for one, would rather eat a twinkie than a squirrel turd. If you
want you
> can even eat my twinkie.
>
> Back under yer rock, ya fairy.
>
>

Damn! Hook, line and sinker... Let these guys do what they do Doug.
Don't give in to their slanderous ways. Ok, you're fat... The truth
does hurt doesn't it, Doug? Rendering yourself slammed with immature
name calling and moronic twinkie inferences. Doug shut up and study
your code. I know I am...

> Back under yer rock, ya fairy.

Takes one to know one, Dougy...

73 Mike

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3578. Re: Christmas Spirit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 05:20:09 UTC
From: Mod Squad <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <dCW06.27990$Z36.104120@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 65010

Merry Christmas Dennis Mod Squad gone

"Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com> wrote in message
news:3A438C8A.E242128D@lucent.com...
>
> To the Newsgroup:
>
> I wish you all a safe and happy holiday season.
>
> I also wish you would all learn to get along with each other!
>
> Dennis O.
>
> #12

3579. Check This Out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 05:22:29 UTC
From: Dean <deanntx@tyler.net>
Message-ID: <3A443694.B7E7B139@tyler.net>
Source record: 65011

I want to announce the new Forum Database where you can buy and sell just about anything you like, from CB, Ham, Amplifiers and so on.

The neat thing about this Forum Database is that if you have a website, you can also link up to the same forums and have a web-interlinked forum that can be endless. All subscribers (which is free) can link up to the same forums and reach and unlimited amount of people.

Come on by and check it out at "chargerscastle.com" and take a look at what I am talking about. Once you hit Chargers Castle, click on "The MarketPlace".

I hope you all have a Very Merry Christmas and a Happy New Year!

Charger

3580. Re: FS COBRA 148FGTLDX+

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 05:26:17 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A443778.1013574D@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65012

DAMN! I can get a 2950 for less.

Carl/Melanie wrote:
>
> SELLING A COBRA MOBILE ALL MODE IT IS A 12 BANDER VARIBALE POWER
> INSTALLED AND THE FINE TUNE IS OPEN UP ON TX TOO. ASKING 360.00+SHIPPING

3581. Re: Check This Out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 05:30:41 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A443880.B0167A73@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65014

Forget in. Can't post without loading yet another plug-in.

If I loaded every plug-in, Netscape would take 5 minutes to load!

Dean wrote:
>
> I want to announce the new Forum Database where you can buy and sell
> just about anything you like, from CB, Ham, Amplifiers and so on.
>
> The neat thing about this Forum Database is that if you have a website,
> you can also link up to the same forums and have a web-interlinked forum
> that can be endless. All subscribers (which is free) can link up to the
> same forums and reach and unlimited amount of people.
>
> Come on by and check it out at "chargerscastle.com" and take a look at
> what I am talking about. Once you hit Chargers Castle, click on "The
> MarketPlace".
>
> I hope you all have a Very Merry Christmas and a Happy New Year!
>
> Charger

3582. Re: Check This Out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 05:34:20 UTC
From: Dean <deanntx@tyler.net>
Message-ID: <3A44395C.E2F7B62B@tyler.net>
Source record: 65015

You don't have to load a plug in to post, just ignore it when it comes up and asks you that. That is for only being able to add voice messages to your posts. Give it a shot, it will work, I promise

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" wrote:

> Forget in. Can't post without loading yet another plug-in.
>
> If I loaded every plug-in, Netscape would take 5 minutes to load!
>
> Dean wrote:
> >
> > I want to announce the new Forum Database where you can buy and sell
> > just about anything you like, from CB, Ham, Amplifiers and so on.
> >
> > The neat thing about this Forum Database is that if you have a website,
> > you can also link up to the same forums and have a web-interlinked forum
> > that can be endless. All subscribers (which is free) can link up to the
> > same forums and reach and unlimited amount of people.
> >
> > Come on by and check it out at "chargerscastle.com" and take a look at
> > what I am talking about. Once you hit Chargers Castle, click on "The
> > MarketPlace".
> >
> > I hope you all have a Very Merry Christmas and a Happy New Year!
> >
> > Charger

3583. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 05:41:33 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-23EF5C.00341923122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 65016

In article <3a42e28b.3476752@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> On Thu, 21 Dec 2000 23:27:02 -0500, Bob <bob@bob.com> wrote:
>
> After 5 years of college and 15 more in the field of electronics
> engineering...

Hey Sparky, as you have just proven, credentials mean nothing. I don't
rest on my laurels.

By virtue of the fact that you call it "electronics" engineering,
I'm guessing that you have something less than a Bachelors degree --
probably some sort of associates or technical degree. I'm guessing 5
years of part-time schooling. Let me know if my guess is right.
All good and accredited universities that I am familiar with call
their Bachelors and graduate degrees "electrical" engineering.
And, if you had a bachelor's degree, you probably would have said so.

And despite your vast background, you still think that impedance
is defined as:
Z = (R² + X²)^²

and you think:
22.36 + j44.72 ohms = 50 ohms impedance

Contact your school; they need to recall your diploma. You're
giving them a bad reputation.

3584. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 05:48:15 UTC
From: Bob <bob@bob.com>
Message-ID: <3A443C9F.D62E591A@bob.com>
Source record: 65017

Sparky wrote:

> >Also, its true...SWR does change with line length. Its value is > >highest at the
> >antenna feedpoint and goes down as you move down
> >the coax towards the load....due to feedline lose. Nobody here > >mentioned that.
>
> Because that is not quite right.
>
> If the load impedance is greater than the line, then the incident and
> reflected signal voltages are in phase, the signal currents are out of
> phase, and the standing wave has it's maximum voltage and minimum
> current at the point of reflection. Conversely, when the load is less
> than the line the signal currents will be in phase and the voltages
> out of phase, and the standing wave has it's maximum current and
> minimum voltage at the reflection point.
>
> So, when VL > Vo, the voltage on the standing wave peaks every
> full-wave from the reflection point. It's minimum voltage is seen 1/2
> wave from the reflection point, and every full-wave thereafter. When
> VL < Vo, the _current_ on the standing wave peaks every full-wave,
> and the minimum current is found at 1/2 wave and every full-wave
> thereafter.
>
> The result is that, starting at approx 1/4 wave and continuing every
> half-wave afterwards, there is a point where the voltage and current
> ratio equals the impedance of the line.

Sparky. We are getting to the bottom of your misunderstanding.
The more you talk, the more I see the source of your misunderstanding.

If the "voltage to current" ratio matched the impedance of the line at
any point...it would match it at every point. The amplitude of the
voltage
and current would also remain constant. This means your antenna is
matched to the characteristic impedance of the line, 50 + j0 Ohms in
this case.

To quote the ARRL Antenna Book, 18th edition, page 24-8.

"The mismatch between the load and line is responsible for the
variations in amplitude which, because of their stationary, wave-like
appearance, are called standing waves."

> So why do some meters care and others don't? Because some are cheap,
> some only measure DC potentials on the line, etc. If you want an
> accurate measure of your standing wave, regardless of coax length,

> measure it at the antenna feedpoint. If you want to eliminate standing
> waves, match your antenna to the coax.

Regardless of the error in the measurement of standing-waves, the ratio is constant - no matter what the line length. So why mention coax length at all? Use a Bird directional watt meter, place it where you want
to on the line, read forward power, read reflected power...calculate SWR.
Simple as that. Results super accurate under 100Mhz....

I don't know when I will be on again so, Merry Christmas to everybody reading this.

Bob.

3585. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 06:13:43 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-345027.01062923122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 65018

In article <3a43b4b7.5018552@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> On Fri, 22 Dec 2000 13:19:13 -0800, Bob <Bob@bob.com> wrote:
>
> >Also, its true...SWR does change with line length. Its value is highest
> >at the antenna feedpoint and goes down as you move down
> >the coax towards the load....due to feedline lose. Nobody here mentioned
> >that.
>
> Because that is not quite right.

He is absolutely correct.

> If the load impedance is greater than the line, then the incident and
> reflected signal voltages are in phase, the signal currents are out of
> phase, and the standing wave has it's maximum voltage and minimum
> current at the point of reflection. Conversely, when the load is less
> than the line the signal currents will be in phase and the voltages
> out of phase, and the standing wave has it's maximum current and
> minimum voltage at the reflection point.

What if the load impedance is 40 - j30 ohms? Is it greater or less
than the line impedance?

> So, when VL > Vo, the voltage on the standing wave peaks every
> full-wave from the reflection point.

No, every half wave.

> It's minimum voltage is seen 1/2 wave from the reflection point,
> and every full-wave thereafter.

No, every odd multiple of 1/4 wave.

> When
> VL < Vo, the _current_ on the standing wave peaks every full-wave,

No, every 1/2 wave.

> and the minimum current is found at 1/2 wave and every full-wave
> thereafter.

No, every odd multiple of 1/4 wave.

> The result is that, starting at approx 1/4 wave and continuing every
> half-wave afterwards, there is a point where the voltage and current
> ratio equals the impedance of the line. At this point the standing

> wave is indistiguishable from the signal, since it has the same
> impedace as the signal.

Indistinguishable with a common voltmeter and ammeter but easily
detected with a cheap SWR meter which utilizes directional couplers.
Furthermore, the voltage and current will not have the proper phase
relationship for a 1:1 SWR -- this can be detected.

> Given: a coax, 50 + j0, at 27MHz, and V = 0.66. A 1/4 wavelength of
> that line will be approx. 6 feet. Another half-wavelength, 12 feet,
> gives the infamous value of 18 feet. At both those points, and every
> 12 feet afterwards, the standing wave will not be detected. The SWR is
> not changed, nor will the standing wave disappear.

First of all, an SWR meter will STILL properly detect the correct SWR.

Secondly, this statement ONLY holds when the load impedance has no
imaginary component (j). A load impedance with an imaginary component
will make the peaks and nulls of the standing waves occur at different
points along the coax. Since the load impedance is generally not known,
there is no way to say what reading will be yielded at that "infamous
value of 18 feet."

All this conjecture is essentially meaningless and valueless.

> So why do some meters care and others don't? Because some are cheap,
> some only measure DC potentials on the line, etc. If you want an
> accurate measure of your standing wave, regardless of coax length,
> measure it at the antenna feedpoint. If you want to eliminate standing
> waves, match your antenna to the coax.

Even the cheapest SWR meters don't care. Furthermore, measuring SWR
at the antenna feedpoint is not only unnecessary, it is often
impractical.

> Most textbooks provide a nice little graphic to help the reader
> visualize just what I have explained here, so if my explanation was
> inadequate, read a book.

Where do the voltage maxima occur when the impedance is 40 - j30 ohms?

> >With 18 feet of coax, you wouldn't hardly see any change in SWR.
> >
> >I am still waiting to hear what (wave) length of 50 ohm coax I need to
> >achieve a
> >1:1 match at the radio when the antennas feedpoint impedance is 37 + j0
> >Ohms.
> >Anyone who claims you
> >can adjust the SWR by changing length should be to answer this simple,
> >unanswered
> >question.
>
> I do not claim that, so look for an answer for that somewhere else.

Fred Hambrecht claimed that.

> I am still waiting for a credible source that supports the ridiculous
> claim that "50 ohms, by definition, is resonant".
>
> Sparky, FLRC

Hee hee! You think 50 ohms is not resonant because you wrote:
22.36 + j44.72 ohms = 50 ohms

3586. F.S. RCI 2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 07:16:42 UTC
From: lowrida24@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001223021642.28399.00006463@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 65019

I have an rci 2950 for sale. I am asking $135 plus shipping.

scott

3587. Re: OT: REAL VIRUS WARNING-NO BS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 07:21:45 UTC
From: whonea@codenet.net
Message-ID: <JxX2tWiP5BNp-pn2-NLkOQc0m0d22@anon.none.net>
Source record: 65022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.autos.makers.jeep+willys,rec.radio.cb

On Sat, 23 Dec 2000 03:31:46 "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)"
<upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:

> Do not open any email from hahaha@sexyfun.net. It contains a file that
> is 30K in length. It contains a virus called "W32/Hybris.gen@M" I have
> received it as joke.exe, midgets.scr and others. I couldn't stand all
> the fake virus warnings that were floating around a few months ago,
> and I pointed those folks to http://www.symantec.com/avcenter/index.html
> to learn about the hoaxes.
>
> For more information about this REAL VIRUS, or for disinfection, go to
> http://www.sexyfun.net/

Got notification today from my ISP direct and from corporate IT gurus
about this virus - plus a 'sample' someone sent me. OS/2 ignores it,
so I got a look at it. My subject line was Snowhite and the Seven
Dwarfs - The REAL story!, attachment was midgets.scr (23040). Moved
it to an isolated W98 box and - well, your warning is valid in this
case. The kiddies are at work again, looks like.

--
Will Honea <whonea@codenet.net>

3588. Re: Louder PA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 07:22:31 UTC
From: SwTempo <swtempo@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <UVFEOjojKFjDU91pig73xBvWVua4@4ax.com>
Source record: 65020

On Thu, 21 Dec 2000 19:13:55 GMT, methusaleh11@my-deja.com wrote:

>In article <9UFCOj6enTINsGJYaBF1D2nHIF5G@4ax.com>,
> SwTempo <swtempo@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Just go to Radio Shack and get yourself the largest speaker that you
>> can fit where ever you're putting your PA. Make sure it a speaker
>> designed for outside use. Then Radio Shack used to sell a little 40
>> watt audio amp that would be perfect. I did exactly that a few years
>> ago and it was louder than a cops PA
>
>How much did you drive the 40W amp with? How could you be louder than a
>cop?
>Most emergency vehicles have 100 or 120W PA systems, here in MA some
>state units even have 350 watt rectangular horns...if Rat Shack can
>sell me a 40W unit that will outdo those then they've got one happy
>customer coming their way...
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com
>http://www.deja.com/
The car I had at the time was a 78 Thunderbird which had ALLOT of
space directly behind the grill. I was able to fit a 8 x 4 inch horn
style speaker in that area. The PA circuit on a standard cb radio is 4
watts so that's what I was driving that Rat Shack amp with. Yes, it
was VERY LOUD. Loud enough that at highway speeds people in the car in
front could hear me just fine. Worked better than the dam horn!

3589. F.S. Galaxy 2517

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 07:24:04 UTC
From: lowrida24@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001223022404.28399.00006464@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 65021

I have a galaxy 2517. It is in perfect shape. i am asking $315 plus shipping.

thanks,

scott

3590. Re: Galaxy 88 or 99. whats the difference?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 07:41:27 UTC
From: SwTempo <swtempo@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <+1JEOo0xjtocGbpMQf6XLje+Uxsx@4ax.com>
Source record: 65023

On Fri, 22 Dec 2000 20:53:02 -0600 (CST), kennynoe@webtv.net (Kenny Noe) wrote:

>I am considering buying a galaxy radio and would like to know the >difference between the 88 and the 99. Also would like opinions on >which radio to buy, a galaxy or another....

To get a feature comparison, just go to the Galaxy web site at http://www.galaxyradios.com/featurechart.html
In my opinion, the real difference between the 88 and 99 is the way the look and their features. Performance wise, I feel their the same. Of course you'll get some people saying their 99 is better than the 88 and you'll get people saying their 88 is better. Both radios can be made to be just as loud as the other.
I've had both radios and the one I liked the most was the 99 because it has the really nice BIG meter.
I'm selling my 99 along with a D-104 mic. I got it up for auction at Yahoo Auctions and I'm asking $250, which is about $100 bucks less than brand new, plus it's been professionally modded and it work great. The Auction is ending soon, and at this time the bids are at $220. If no one bids at least $250, I'm going to relist it. The auction is at http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/47113254

3591. Re: REAL VIRUS WARNING-NO BS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 07:48:24 UTC
From: "Harold R. Hickey Jr." <duhickey@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <fNY06.41053$4Z5.620323@nntp0.chicago.il.ameritech.net>
Source record: 65024
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.autos.makers.jeep+willys

i recieved the exact message and attachment...but since i never ever open attachments from anyone it went right into the trash and the trash emptied...i also wiped my cache file to be sure.

3592. FA: Galaxy DX 99v & D-104 mic $250.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 07:50:00 UTC
From: SwTempo <swtempo@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <G1lEOil6ER8xPB6C9K5yC1nUOV1z@4ax.com>
Source record: 65025

I've relisted my auction for a Galaxy DX99v and D-104 mic.
The auction has a reserve and buy price of $250.00
See it at http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/47113254

3593. Re: antenna mounting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 13:34:24 UTC
From: Hillbilly Dave <******davesradio@alltel.net******>
Message-ID: <9229g8$r4f$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 65026

under the seat on the drivers side you will find a pop-out plug.... a
plastic plug. just pop it out and run the coax through it....

dave

Stephen <spj67@eudoramail.com> wrote in message
news:3a43fe46_6@mach4news.aaria.net.nz...
> I was wondering if anybody may have some advise about mounting an antenna.
I
> just got a 2001 silverado and was wondering if anybody knows of a good way
to
> run the antenna wire out of the cab to the bed. I am mounting the antenna
on
> the tool box on the bed but haven't figured a good way to run the wire to
it.
> on my old chevy i just drilled a hole in the back of the cab and in the
bed but
> would not want to do that on a brand new truck, any ideas
>
> please if posible respond to "spj67@eudoramail.com" as I only have news
group
> access very seldom.
>
> thank you
> Stephen.............:)
>

3594. Re: REAL VIRUS WARNING-NO BS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 14:11:05 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <922bov$5ob83$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 65027
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.autos.makers.jeep+willys

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3A441CA2.F5E0B11F@hotmail.com...
> Do not open any email from hahaha@sexyfun.net. It contains a file that
> is 30K in length. It contains a virus called "W32/Hybris.gen@M" I have
> received it as joke.exe, midgets.scr and others. I couldn't stand all
> the fake virus warnings that were floating around a few months ago,
> and I pointed those folks to http://www.symantec.com/avcenter/index.html
> to learn about the hoaxes.
>
> For more information about this REAL VIRUS, or for disinfection, go to
> http://www.sexyfun.net/

This is no joke... my sister had this virus sent to her, with the joke.exe
attachment. Another virus was sent the next day with an attachment called
sexyvirgin.scr.

Luckily she realized what they were and didn't open them.

--
Regards,
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

3595. Hey cd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 14:43:16 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4127-3A44BA04-3@storefull-125.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65031

Hey that email of yours was full or something. If you don't have the freq yet. email me!

3596. 1mangay fails again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 15:04:23 UTC
From: heardallthat@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001223100423.19552.00000008@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 65028

>see you in dayton, said 1mangay while eating catshit sandwiches and drinking
his brothers jizz.

N8wwm sparklingly replied:
I will be there fag boy.

3597. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 15:11:43 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <iamyourhookup@eatme.com>
Message-ID: <Pg316.2506$He.794091@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 65029

that is the problem they are not having a problem getting them I still get
dc amps from NJ as well so there is no excuse a call to either one will get
you what u need
<MR123IL@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:14710-3A43EAEA-47@storefull-291.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> I still don't understand why is people having a prob. getting DaveMade
> amp's
>
> DaveMade "NC" is selling the hell out of them.
> So you all should not have any prob. getting one these day's
>
> Shit if you need a repair i'll do that..
>
> Later.....MR.123ILL.
>

3598. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 15:14:41 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <iamyourhookup@eatme.com>
Message-ID: <Bj316.2518$He.795189@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 65030

Bill these are hams you are talking to they no nothing about Bird Meters
they use the Rad shack meters
"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:9219h5flh2@bashir.peak.org...
> In article <91g7qi$87d$1@iac5.navix.net>, "Fred Hambrecht"
> <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
>
> : You have narrowed the universe to a specific set of conditions which
> : has no application in the real world. A million CBers have
> : changed the length of their coax and observed the SWR change. Why?
>
> Let us modify this to make that last statement correct. "Many CBers have
> changed the length of their coax and observed an apparent SWR change."
>
> Note the "apparent".
>
> Now, here is a quiz for you. A good RF meter (such as a Bird) uses a
> section of transmission line to tap off the voltages/currents necessary
> to measure the power on the coax. That line section is 50 ohms.
>
> Tell me what happens when the input impedance of the coax at the output
> of the meter is not 50 ohms. Is it possible that, due to this mismatch,
> that some of the reflected power is reflected back towards the antenna,
> rather than being measured by the meter?
>
> What does this do, in an SWR meter, to the indicated SWR?
>
> Note that we are talking about measured SWR here, not actual SWR on
> the coax between the meter and the antenna.
>
> Another little quiz.
>
> Place your SWR meter at the antenna. Let us assume that there is a 2:1
> indicated SWR.
>
> Now, change the length of the coax between the transmitter and the
> SWR meter.
>
> Does the SWR meter reading at the antenna change? If so, why? If it
> doesn't, why not?
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

3599. Re: Won't He Just Give Up?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 15:24:05 UTC
From: poisenivy <franc1@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <vs316.5201$xU2.312567@news1.atl>
Source record: 65032

Good one. Just had to forward. LOL
"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3A443200.3D34433C@hotmail.com...
> BUSH SEEKS TO ENJOIN SANTA FROM CHECKING LIST TWICE
> Lack of Standards Decried
>
> Austin, TX (Dec. 21)--Attorneys for President-Elect George W. Bush filed
suit in
> federal court today, seeking to prevent Santa Claus from making his list
and
> then checking it twice. The complaint seeks an immediate injunction
against the
> beloved Christmas icon, asking the court to effectively ban his
traditional
> practice of checking the list of good boys and girls one additional time
before
> packing his sleigh.
>
> The suit filed in Federal District Court in Austin, asks a federal judge
to
> "hereby order Mr. Claus to cease and desist all repetitive and duplicative
> list-checking activity, and certify the original list as submitted without
> amendment, alteration, deletion, or other unnecessary modification."
>
> "There are no standards for deciding who is naughty, and who is nice.
It's
> totally arbitrary and capricious. How many more times does he need to
check?
> This checking, checking and re-checking over and over again must stop
now," said
> former Secretary James Baker.
>
> Baker further claimed that unnamed GOP observers witnessed an elf remove
all
> boys named Justin from the 'nice' list, filing them under 'naughty instead
> because "everyone knows all boys named Justin are brats."
>
> Bush cited the potential for unauthorized list tampering, blasted what he
> called the "crazy, crazy mess up there at the North Pole." "Their
security is
> really awful, really bad," said Bush. "My mother just walked right in,
told 'em
> she was Mrs. Claus. They didn't check her ID or nothing."
>

> Meanwhile, Dick Cheney, issued a direct plea to St. Nick himself. "Mr.
Claus, I
> call on you to do the honorable thing, and quit checking your list. The
> children of the world have had enough. They demand closure now," Cheney
said,
> adding that his granddaughter has already selected a name for the pony
she's
> asked for.
>
> The Rev. Jesse Jackson was quick to respond to the latest development with
plans
> to lead his protesters from Florida to the North Pole via dogsled. The
"Rainbow
> Mush for Justice" is scheduled to leave Friday. "We need red suits and
sleighs,
> not law suits and delays," Jackson said.
>
> Santa Claus could not be reached for comment, but a spokeself said he was
> "deeply distressed" by news of the pending legal action against him.
"He's
> losing weight, and he hasn't said 'Ho Ho Ho' for days," said the
spokeself.
> Experts feel that future Christmas celebrations could be placed in
jeopardy.
> Santa is apparantly not qualified for any other job, and no one is sure
what he
> might do if he loses this battle.

3600. Re: N8wwm's new and improved web page.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 15:26:04 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A44C40C.6982842E@qnet.com>
Source record: 65048

Hello N8wwm: Think this time in the photo we could get a fresh shirt, shave, wash the face, and even comb your hair? Maybe Mom could hold the camera steady to?

Just trying to help you out here.

Jay in the Great Mojave Desert, ... just down the road ah ways from the fillin station.

N8wwm wrote:
>
> Dont worry keyclowns, you can see my page again real soon...new and
> improved...BWAHAHAHHAA!

3601. who has n8wwm's picture?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 15:48:12 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <iamyourhookup@eatme.com>
Message-ID: <0P316.1991$1m.795757@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 65033

I know it was out there he took his page down

3602. Re: Mod Squad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 15:55:36 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001223105536.06160.00002010@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 65035

CD you gonna come bumb it down on the boys on the east side? i remember they ran you hot not too long ago.

>
>i will as soon as i get my antenna system tuned up. i will try to work
>on it tomorrow weather permitting. this two antenna business is a pain
>in the ass,.....cd is out....
>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

3603. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 15:56:50 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a44bd1a.1283157@news.cet.com>
Source record: 65034

On Sat, 23 Dec 2000 00:48:15 -0500, Bob <bob@bob.com> wrote:

>If the "voltage to current" ratio matched the impedance of the line at
>any point...it would match it at every point. The amplitude of the
>voltage
>and current would also remain constant.

That's just plain ridiculous. If your argument were true there would be no standing waves. Nice idea, but no basis in reality.

When the voltages from two signals are in phase, they add to a value greater than both the originals. If the voltage from the incident signal is 50V and the reflected signal is 25V, the voltage of the standing wave, at that point, will be 75V. At that same point the current from the incident signal is out of phase with the current from the reflected signal. Keeping with a 50 ohm line, the current of the incident signal is 1A, and the reflected signal is 0.5A. The difference is 0.5A. Now you have a standing wave of 75V and 0.5A, therefore the impedance _at that point_ is 150 ohms.

Moving 1/4 wave down the coax the phases are reversed. The voltage of the standing wave will be 50 - 25 = 25V, the current will be 1 + 0.5 = 1.5A, so the impedance of the standing wave _at that point_ will be 25V / 1.5A = 16.7 ohms.

This is the application of grade-school math to basic phase interaction. The only thing I misunderstood was your level of comprehension.

>To quote the ARRL Antenna Book, 18th edition, page 24-8.
>
>"The mismatch between the load and line is responsible for the
>variations in amplitude which, because of their stationary, wave-like
>appearance, are called standing waves."

So? Keep reading . . .

Sparky, FLRC

3604. Re: Galaxy 88 or 99. whats the difference?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 15:58:28 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001223105828.06160.00002012@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 65036

they are both extremly low qaulity for the price they cost. they come out of tune from the factory and often with bad solder joints. dont even think about bying one if you use ssb. if you just talk on one channel all day on am then it will be just fine as long as there no strong stations in your area because there recieve really sucks too.

>
>I am considering buying a galaxy radio and would like to know the
>difference between the 88 and the 99. Also would like opinions on
>which radio to buy, a galaxy or another....
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

3605. Re: 1mangay fails again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 16:00:39 UTC
From: bendowersagain@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001223110039.12546.00005116@ng-bg1.aol.com>
Source record: 65037

>N8wwm other wise known as heardallthat@aol.co said>N8wwm
replied:
>I will be there fag boy.
>

Hey Doug. Whats up with the new email tag? By the way, hows the new web sight
doing?

3606. Re: who has n8wwm's picture?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 16:22:57 UTC
From: Death From Above <betabossanova@excite.com>
Message-ID: <922jgtamo1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65039

In article <0P316.1991$1m.795757@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
"BigPoppaPump" <iamyourhookup@eatme.com> wrote:
> I know it was out there he took his page down
>
>

--
Kill them all, let GOD sort them out!

You fellas wont believe the amount of email I got. Stop with the email already. Just give me the frequency where he goes and he can pick the corn out of our shit with his teeth. Aldo is in control and I need a new hypocrite to jam.

Alfa Tango playhouse Arsonist
1800 tollfree
big bad bob
QRM 2000
Betabossanova
Sheriff Bob

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3607. Re: REAL VIRUS WARNING-NO BS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 16:23:02 UTC
From: kizmiaz@mediaone.net
Message-ID: <3A44D150.7205458B@mediaone.net>
Source record: 65038
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.autos.makers.jeep+willys

Ahhh, yet another reason to go w/unix..... ;->

Tom Sevart wrote:
>
> "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3A441CA2.F5E0B11F@hotmail.com...
> > Do not open any email from hahaha@sexyfun.net. It contains a file that
> > is 30K in length. It contains a virus called "W32/Hybris.gen@M" I have
> > received it as joke.exe, midgets.scr and others. I couldn't stand all
> > the fake virus warnings that were floating around a few months ago,
> > and I pointed those folks to http://www.symantec.com/avcenter/index.html
> > to learn about the hoaxes.
> >
> > For more information about this REAL VIRUS, or for disinfection, go to
> > http://www.sexyfun.net/
>
> This is no joke... my sister had this virus sent to her, with the joke.exe
> attachment. Another virus was sent the next day with an attachment called
> sexyvirgin.scr.
>
> Luckily she realized what they were and didn't open them.
>
> --
> Regards,
> Tom Sevart
> Amateur N2UHC
> Registered Monitor KKS0CE

3608. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 17:50:13 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <At516.10057$y91.902713@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 65040

"CitySlicker" wrote in message...
> It is rarely used that is the point. So open it up and loosen the traffic
on
> 11 Meter. Watch the radio development that would occur if it could be
> offered to the masses and the power levels increased.
>
> Look at the pathetic FRS band. There have been more radios developed in 1
> year than 11meter has had in 10.

OK... Lets quickly look at the FRS band you cite as a glowing example......

All those new radio 'developments' you claim for FRS are nothing more than
re-packaging of pre-existing technology from the business/ham bands in the
same frequency range. I might add that the FRS radios operate at FAR lower
power levels than their business band 'cousins'.

3609. Re: 1mangay fails again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 17:57:29 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A44E789.C86B4495@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65041

GONE! You have been removed from egroups:rec-radio-cb. F*cking liar!

From: <heardallthat@aol.com>
Date: Mon Dec 11, 2000 7:47am
Subject: Re: Thank GOD

Amen to that! No radio police!

HeardAllThat wrote:
>
> >see you in dayton, said 1mangay while eating catshit sandwiches and drinking
> his brothers jizz.
>
> N8wwm sparklingly replied:
> I will be there fag boy.

3610. Re: who has n8wwm's picture?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 18:03:01 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A44E8D5.668F23EE@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65042

If I carried a spare tire like that, I'd take the page down too.
http://hometown.aol.com/n8wwm/
I heardallthat I did.

BigPoppaPump wrote:
>
> I know it was out there he took his page down

3611. Re: 1mangay fails again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 18:05:15 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001223130515.19360.00001291@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 65043

>
>Hey Doug. Whats up with the new email tag? By the way, hows the new web sight
>doing?
>
>
>
>
>
>

That wasn't me with the new email tag. Interesting, though. My fans are copying me. Dumbasses.

Web page is gonna be great! will be ready soon as my garage warms up. It is like -1 degrees now, and I am not freezin my butt off to make a sign that will go on it until things warm up. Until then, I have some good Chrismas presents in store for all you keyclowns, so just sit back and get ready to unwrap em!

3612. Using N8wwm's name in vain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 18:07:41 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001223130741.19360.00001293@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 65044

Scottiekins,

That aint me. I do not have the need to hide. I think it is a hoot that someone is doin' that though.

Merry Christmas, you sod.

3613. N8wwm's new and improved web page.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 18:09:53 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001223130953.19360.00001296@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 65045

Dont worry keyclowns, you can see my page again real soon...new and improved...BWAHAHAHHAA!

3614. Re: who has n8wwm's picture?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 18:14:41 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001223131441.19360.00001298@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 65046

while waiting for his boogers to crust up enough to pick his nose,
betabossanova@excite.com licked the catbox liner and simultaneously typed:

>just give me the frequency where he goes and he can pick the corn
>out of our shit with his teeth. Aldo is in control and I need a new hypocrite
>to jam.

N8wwm sparklingly replied:
Another lamer vainly trying to measure up to the already demonstrated
superiority of N8wwm. Poor baby.

3615. Re: Left Coast Radio: What happened?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 18:25:00 UTC
From: Rastus <jfayard@ionet.net>
Message-ID: <922p10$dmt@news-central.tiac.net>
Source record: 65047

It's a shame they're gone. They were courteous and prompt on every
transaction I had with them.

"Jesse Stanley" <superstar@eurekanet.com> wrote in message
news:3a417b18$0$1515$4ca6cf20@news.eurekanet.com...
> Actually Rich sold his last radios he had in stock to another dealer and
> sold the Domain to EEI
>
> 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message news:3A40F776.752591CE@oio.net...
> >
> >
> > Endless, Nameless wrote:
> > >
> > > Went to their site and there is another dealer there. Did they get
> > > bought out or something?
> > >
> > > --
> > >
> > > "Move along, nothing to see here"
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > http://www.deja.com/
> >
> > If the 'other' dealer was EEI, they were bought out.
> > 'Doc
>
>

3616. Re: Using N8wwm's name in vain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 18:43:35 UTC
From: bendowersagain@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001223134335.12540.00005295@ng-bg1.aol.com>
Source record: 65050

>n8wwm@aol.comnospam said or maybe it was heardallthat@aol.com.

>That aint me. I do not have the need to hide.

oops, stumbled a little bit, didn't ya Doug?

3617. Re: OT: REAL VIRUS WARNING-NO BS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 18:44:44 UTC
From: YJ Nate <war@netmagi.com>
Message-ID: <3A44F09F.91BE6EA5@netmagi.com>
Source record: 65049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.autos.makers.jeep+willys

I work for an ISP, i can say first hand that this virus IS real. From what ive seen it comes as an e-mail, ususally titled "Show white and the 7 dwarves..." I have seen a few variants of the virus, it seems to mutate itself into different viruses but i dont know that for sure. I think the only way you can be infected is if you OPEN the attatchment. ive seen the attatchments come in a few different flavors, one was an EXE another was an SCR.

Good Luck.

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" wrote:

> Do not open any email from hahaha@sexyfun.net. It contains a file that
> is 30K in length. It contains a virus called "W32/Hybris.gen@M" I have
> received it as joke.exe, midgets.scr and others. I couldn't stand all
> the fake virus warnings that were floating around a few months ago,
> and I pointed those folks to http://www.symantec.com/avcenter/index.html
> to learn about the hoaxes.
>
> For more information about this REAL VIRUS, or for disinfection, go to
> http://www.sexyfun.net/

--
[]||||||[] []||||||[] []||||||[] []||||||[]

Nate

http://www.netmagi.com/~war/jeep.html

3618. Aaron Voobner Wants To Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 19:15:02 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <922tjk$hk7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65051

Sorry I was late getting back. I have come to the conclusion that this newsgroup is extremely boring reading. The majority of posts feature brain-challenged low-lifes bragging "my amp is bigger than yours" and other such silly feuds. However, the brazen thuggery and underworld aspects displayed by those in the illegal amp business intrigues me greatly.

Reading through some of the "Is XForce That Bad?" thread I see all kinds of information that needs clarification, so maybe someone will come forward and explain it for me. Is Robt. Piland the owner of an illegal CB amplifier factory? Did "Concrete Man" at one time run DaveMade? Why is Dennis O. so panicky about word getting out that he helps illegal amplifier guys with their designs? What's the average yearly profit from an illegal amp company? Who arranges the distribution? How many amps are sold per year (guess-timate) by various underground amp mfgs.? Also, is the business run by gangs like Crips, etc., or do you fellows simply imitate the gangbanger lingo so as to appear more threatening to outsiders?

Since you guys believe that the laws are a joke and are meaningless like a traffic ticket and you'll never get busted in a million years and you're not doing anything wrong anyway - there's really no reason to hide. So let's make a clean breast of it, OK fellows? (Even you, Mr. Jay In The Mojave: add your your ham call to your next post)

And how come there are so many HAMS acting like rules don't matter? I was thoroughly disappointed when I saw one post where a ham advised a CBer that an illegal 300 watt amp would be better for him than an illegal 100 watt amp. It's very sad.

Aaron H. Voobner

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3619. Re: Crazy magmount antenna setup seen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 19:17:53 UTC
From: methusaleh11@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <922touhmh1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65053

> Sounds like she didn't know anything about magnets either. Unlikely it
> was an electromagnet.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

Yeah, I have told a few people about this and one person guessed it could have been some kind of antenna matcher concealed in some kind of mount so the guy could use different radios or bands for who-knows-what reason. The woman did specifically say CB, not ham, but then again you see people selling Motorola trunking radios on eBay as "CBs" so it could be anything...

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3620. Re: Christmas Spirit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 19:23:32 UTC
From: Train <tra1n@aol.com>
Message-ID: <UY616.16194$nM5.3796908@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 65052

Shut up old man!
Just kidding.......I couldn't resist.....Have a merry Christmas my friend!
train
tra1n@aol.com
train@cbmods.com
www.cbmods.com

"Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com> wrote in message
news:3A438C8A.E242128D@lucent.com...
>
> To the Newsgroup:
>
> I wish you all a safe and happy holiday season.
>
> I also wish you would all learn to get along with each other!
>
> Dennis O.
>
> #12

3621. Re: Christmas Spirit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 19:48:21 UTC
From: bigmanmoney@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <922vi4$iv4$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65054

"Mele Kalikimaka" "Haole Makahiki Hou" Dennis from $$$BIG MONEY$$$
In The Mini Grass (Merry Christmas) (Happy New Year)

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3622. Re: Aaron Voobner Wants To Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 20:16:51 UTC
From: BigPoppaPump <iamyourhookup@eatme.com>
Message-ID: <TK716.2740$He.893304@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 65055

aaron you missed a few post 123 ill also stated he started x force. btw why dont post thru your aol accont ... You got questions so do we

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:922tjk$hk7$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Sorry I was late getting back. I have come to the conclusion that this
> newsgroup is extremely boring reading. The majority of posts feature
> brain-challenged low-lifes bragging "my amp is bigger than yours" and
> other such silly feuds. However, the brazen thuggery and underworld
> aspects displayed by those in the illegal amp business intrigues me
> greatly.
>
> Reading through some of the "Is XForce That Bad?" thread I see all kinds
> of information that needs clarification, so maybe someone will come
> forward and explain it for me. Is Robt. Piland the owner of an illegal
> CB amplifier factory? Did "Concrete Man" at one time run DaveMade? Why
> is Dennis O. so panicky about word getting out that he helps illegal
> amplifier guys with their designs? What's the average yearly profit from
> an illegal amp company? Who arranges the distribution? How many amps are
> sold per year (guess-timate) by various underground amp mfgs.? Also, is
> the business run by gangs like Crips, etc., or do you fellows simply
> imitate the gangbanger lingo so as to appear more threatening to
> outsiders?
>
> Since you guys believe that the laws are a joke and are meaningless like
> a traffic ticket and you'll never get busted in a million years and
> you're not doing anything wrong anyway - there's really no reason to
> hide. So let's make a clean breast of it, OK fellows? (Even you, Mr. Jay
> In The Mojave: add your your ham call to your next post)
>
> And how come there are so many HAMS acting like rules don't matter? I
> was thoroughly disappointed when I saw one post where a ham advised a
> CBer that an illegal 300 watt amp would be better for him than an
> illegal 100 watt amp. It's very sad.
>
>
> Aaron H. Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

3623. Re: Aaron Voobner Wants To Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 20:22:10 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <813-3A450972-43@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65062

Join your under-the-bridge fellow dweller in my kill file.This last post solidifies your purpose and identity. My parting words to you will more than likely fall on deaf ears since you demonstrated incredible incomprehension in the past, but, it simply is not illegal to manufacture amplifiers for export, no matter how much you children cry about it! Anything else you say is pure conjecture, guesswork, hallucinations, and in some instances, bordering on slanderous.

3624. Re: N8wwm's new and improved web page.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 20:32:53 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001223153253.03999.00005444@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 65057

>Hello N8wwm: Think this time in the photo we could get a fresh shirt,
>shave, wash the face, and even comb your hair? Maybe Mom could hold the
>camera steady to?
>
>Just trying to help you out here.
>

You don't have to, but I certainly appreciate it...keyclown.

3625. Re: Aaron Voobner Wants To Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 20:34:29 UTC
From: Rat Bastard <ratbasdtard@ham.com>
Message-ID: <p%716.2134$1m.881344@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 65058

You missed this post below a pure admission of guil.... you are bringing up names of people who have nothing to do with amp manufacturing and missing the others who openly admit it.
==========

"EXPORTSRUS" <exportsrus@aol.com> wrote in message news:20001217132432.10059.00005151@ng-md1.aol.com...
> Amazing how out of the woodworks comes someone to the rescue of DaveMade Amps
> when the real deal comes out. X Force I am glad to say just picked up to more
> LARGE distributors in the world. I will continue to promote this proven
> product as it is one of the BEST and most improved line of amps in the world.
> Get ready, we have some more new changes on the way with innovation being the
> leader of the success. X Force is the main choice of most consumers.

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:922tjk$hk7$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Sorry I was late getting back. I have come to the conclusion that this
> newsgroup is extremely boring reading. The majority of posts feature
> brain-challenged low-lifes bragging "my amp is bigger than yours" and
> other such silly feuds. However, the brazen thuggery and underworld
> aspects displayed by those in the illegal amp business intrigues me
> greatly.
>
> Reading through some of the "Is XForce That Bad?" thread I see all kinds
> of information that needs clarification, so maybe someone will come
> forward and explain it for me. Is Robt. Piland the owner of an illegal
> CB amplifier factory? Did "Concrete Man" at one time run DaveMade? Why
> is Dennis O. so panicky about word getting out that he helps illegal
> amplifier guys with their designs? What's the average yearly profit from
> an illegal amp company? Who arranges the distribution? How many amps are
> sold per year (guess-timate) by various underground amp mfgs.? Also, is
> the business run by gangs like Crips, etc., or do you fellows simply
> imitate the gangbanger lingo so as to appear more threatening to
> outsiders?
>
> Since you guys believe that the laws are a joke and are meaningless like
> a traffic ticket and you'll never get busted in a million years and
> you're not doing anything wrong anyway - there's really no reason to
> hide. So let's make a clean breast of it, OK fellows? (Even you, Mr. Jay
> In The Mojave: add your your ham call to your next post)
>

> And how come there are so many HAMS acting like rules don't matter? I
> was thoroughly disappointed when I saw one post where a ham advised a
> CBer that an illegal 300 watt amp would be better for him than an
> illegal 100 watt amp. It's very sad.
>
>
> Aaron H. Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

3626. Galaxy 99V w/100 Watt Twister Installed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 20:38:38 UTC
From: Dean <deanntx@tyler.net>
Message-ID: <3A450D4E.20FC0657@tyler.net>
Source record: 65059

This radio is just a couple of months old, and very rarely used. It is in new condition with a 100 watt Twister installed in the radio. The internal speaker had to be romoved to make room for the Twister, but I will include an external speaker.
I will also include a Astatic D104-M6C Power mic that is in new condition as well.

Asking $350 plus shipping for it all.

3627. Re: Aaron Voobner Wants To Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 20:40:55 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A450DD7.FC35847E@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65060

NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.188.198.32

Name: spider-wj032.proxy.aol.com
Address: 205.188.198.32

He's afraid. Very afraid.

He might be Fuller or N8wwm or heardallthat!

He wants to learn how to use and install a big radio
in his Yugo without stalling the motor.

BigPoppaPump wrote:
>
> aaron you missed a few post 123 ill also stated he started x force. btw why
> dont post thru your aol accont ... You got questions so do we

3628. Re: N8wwm's new and improved web page.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 20:43:56 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A450E8C.AF869409@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65061

Why don't you get your page working? I'm still waiting.

And another thing, why don't you learn CW so you can be
better then a "licensed CB'er"?

3629. solarcom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 21:12:16 UTC
From: toxic_waste@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <9234fdmel1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65064

Does anyone know the URL for Solarcom?

TIA
toxic waste

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3630. Re: N8wwm's new and improved web page.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 21:16:29 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001223161629.10552.00002424@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 65063

>Why don't you get your page working? I'm still waiting.
>
>

read my previous post, keyclown.

3631. FA: Bird Meter/DL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 22:00:49 UTC
From: RF Burns <"RF Burns"@monmouth.com>
Message-ID: <lg916.65050$w35.11378552@news1.rdc1.nj.home.com>
Source record: 65065

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1202527207

3632. Re: solarcom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 22:03:52 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A452148.67A554DC@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65066

It's SOLARCON. N not M.

If you go to lycos.com and type in solarcon you'll get lots 'o links!

toxic_waste@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> Does anyone know the URL for Solarcom?
>
> TIA
> toxic waste
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

3633. Re: N8wwm's new and improved web page.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 22:09:34 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A45229E.A90C99D8@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65067

1) I saw that post but we are ALL still waiting. Start typing...

2) Did you read my previous post? You ignored the part about
learning the code. Why is that? Are you no better then the
CB'ers you scorn?

3) You've used "keyclown" too many times. Get creative already.

N8wwm wrote:
>
> >Why don't you get your page working? I'm still waiting.

(No dummy, I wrote this. Learn how to quote on USENET)
> >
> >
>
> read my previous post, keyclown.

3634. Re: OT: REAL VIRUS WARNING-NO BS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 22:14:30 UTC
From: Don Roy <don@micronetlaw.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.14aeedceaf584023989714@nntp.bestweb.net>
Source record: 65068
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.autos.makers.jeep+willys

What does it do? All I have heard here so far is that it is real and how to recognize it.

Don Roy

In article <3A44F09F.91BE6EA5@netmagi.com>, war@netmagi.com says...
> I work for an ISP, i can say first hand that this virus IS real.

3635. CB dead in Silicon Valley?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 23:25:32 UTC
From: Dave <cubit@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <923cce$4dpg$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 65069

It has been a week now, that I have been listening to CB channels 1 through 23 in San Jose, California. I get static and noise.

I tested this old rig with a channel 14 walkie talkie a few months ago. I could talk to myself OK.

Can it be that there is really nobody left on CB?

When I transmit, my TV set picture shows interference, so I figure I'm getting out, but nobody answers. I even tried channel 9, where all those REACT people used to be. Nothing.

On channel 5 I can hear some intermod singing behind the noise, like skip of 10 weak signals at once, but nothing is intelligible.

Is CB dead?

3636. Re: Christmas Spirit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 23:31:17 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4ade71b0pps62@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65070

"Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com> wrote in message
news:3A438C8A.E242128D@lucent.com...
>
> To the Newsgroup:
>
> I wish you all a safe and happy holiday season.

Same to you, Mr. O.

>
> I also wish you would all learn to get along with each other!

One can always hope, I guess...

jeff

>
> Dennis O.
>
> #12

3637. Re: FS COBRA 148FGTLDX+

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 23:33:10 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4adhnpno2jv95@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65071

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3A443778.1013574D@hotmail.com...
> DAMN! I can get a 2950 for less.

Of course you can. The 2950 isn't even close... Still high for a used DX+
though. Just my opinion.

Jeff

>
> Carl/Melanie wrote:
> >
> > SELLING A COBRA MOBILE ALL MODE IT IS A 12 BANDER VARIBALE POWER
> > INSTALLED AND THE FINE TUNE IS OPEN UP ON TX TOO. ASKING 360.00+SHIPPING

3638. Re: solarcom

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 23:37:40 UTC
From: toxic_waste@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <923d04sjs1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65075

In article <3A452148.67A554DC@hotmail.com>,
"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
> It's SOLARCON. N not M.
>
> If you go to lycos.com and type in solarcon you'll get lots 'o links!
>
>
Thanx Scott, can only put the typo down to it being nearly midnight
here in London!!!

BTW, found what I was looking for.
Merry Xmas
toxic waste

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3639. Re: Christmas Spirit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 23:39:53 UTC
From: ONE37 <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t4admn4q8bpudc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 65072

Take care Dennis and right back at 'cha.

ONE37 a.k.a. Ipo Camacho

"... reality is a frame of mind... stupidity is a state of mind."

"Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com> wrote in message
news:3A438C8A.E242128D@lucent.com...
>
> To the Newsgroup:
>
> I wish you all a safe and happy holiday season.
>
> I also wish you would all learn to get along with each other!
>
> Dennis O.
>
> #12

3640. Re: CB dead in Silicon Valley?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 23:43:33 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@home.com>
Message-ID: <3A4554C8.63835099@home.com>
Source record: 65073

At some point you should have heard something. Check the continuity of the receiving antenna to make sure it isn't open.

Dave wrote:

> It has been a week now, that I have been listening to CB channels 1 through
> 23 in San Jose, California. I get static and noise.
>
> I tested this old rig with a channel 14 walkie talkie a few months ago. I
> could talk to myself OK.
>
> Can it be that there is really nobody left on CB?
>
> When I transmit, my TV set picture shows interference, so I figure I'm
> getting out, but nobody answers. I even tried channel 9, where all those
> REACT people used to be. Nothing.
>
> On channel 5 I can hear some intermod singing behind the noise, like skip of
> 10 weak signals at once, but nothing is intelligible.
>
> Is CB dead?

3641. Re: Aaron Voobner Wants To Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 23:43:45 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4ae5iotrcakb1@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65074

You are delusional.
I'm sorry...

Jeff

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:922tjk$hk7$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Sorry I was late getting back. I have come to the conclusion that this
> newsgroup is extremely boring reading. The majority of posts feature
> brain-challenged low-lifes bragging "my amp is bigger than yours" and
> other such silly feuds. However, the brazen thuggery and underworld
> aspects displayed by those in the illegal amp business intrigues me
> greatly.
>
> Reading through some of the "Is XForce That Bad?" thread I see all kinds
> of information that needs clarification, so maybe someone will come
> forward and explain it for me. Is Robt. Piland the owner of an illegal
> CB amplifier factory? Did "Concrete Man" at one time run DaveMade? Why
> is Dennis O. so panicky about word getting out that he helps illegal
> amplifier guys with their designs? What's the average yearly profit from
> an illegal amp company? Who arranges the distribution? How many amps are
> sold per year (guess-timate) by various underground amp mfgs.? Also, is
> the business run by gangs like Crips, etc., or do you fellows simply
> imitate the gangbanger lingo so as to appear more threatening to
> outsiders?
>
> Since you guys believe that the laws are a joke and are meaningless like
> a traffic ticket and you'll never get busted in a million years and
> you're not doing anything wrong anyway - there's really no reason to
> hide. So let's make a clean breast of it, OK fellows? (Even you, Mr. Jay
> In The Mojave: add your your ham call to your next post)
>
> And how come there are so many HAMS acting like rules don't matter? I
> was thoroughly disappointed when I saw one post where a ham advised a
> CBer that an illegal 300 watt amp would be better for him than an
> illegal 100 watt amp. It's very sad.
>
>
> Aaron H. Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

3642. Re: CB dead in Silicon Valley?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 23:55:02 UTC
From: toxic_waste@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <923e0ltal1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65076

In article <923cce$4dpg$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>,
"Dave" <cubit@prodigy.net> wrote:
> It has been a week now, that I have been listening to CB channels 1
through
> 23 in San Jose, California. I get static and noise.
>
> I tested this old rig with a channel 14 walkie talkie a few months
ago. I
> could talk to myself OK.
>
> Can it be that there is really nobody left on CB?
>
> When I transmit, my TV set picture shows interference, so I figure I'm
> getting out, but nobody answers. I even tried channel 9, where all
those
> REACT people used to be. Nothing.
>
> On channel 5 I can hear some intermod singing behind the noise, like
skip of
> 10 weak signals at once, but nothing is intelligible.
>
> Is CB dead?
>
>
If it makes you feel any better, here in the UK it's been dead for ten
years.

I'm afraid it's gone the same way as the Hula Hoop, Buz Lightyear and
Cabbage Patch Kids!!

Cheers
toxic waste

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3643. Re: Galaxy 88 or 99. whats the difference? to Bill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-23 23:59:22 UTC
From: kennynoe@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1036-3A453C5A-59@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65078

Bill, this is for mobile use in a big truck. I am not interested in the toys such as roger beep and echo. I hate roger beeps with a passion. I am interested in a radio with plenty of power and the extra channels. Another radio that caught my interest was a Ranger 2970. I would be glad to hear opinions on this one. Thanks

3644. Re: Aaron Voobner Wants To Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 00:09:53 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <923esh$9os@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 65077

All of the questions you asked are none of your business. How much money did you make this year. Care to post your tax return? Now be a good little troll and go away. Another one to the kill filter.

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:922tjk$hk7$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Sorry I was late getting back. I have come to the conclusion that this
> newsgroup is extremely boring reading. The majority of posts feature
> brain-challenged low-lifes bragging "my amp is bigger than yours" and
> other such silly feuds. However, the brazen thuggery and underworld
> aspects displayed by those in the illegal amp business intrigues me
> greatly.
>
> Reading through some of the "Is XForce That Bad?" thread I see all kinds
> of information that needs clarification, so maybe someone will come
> forward and explain it for me. Is Robt. Piland the owner of an illegal
> CB amplifier factory? Did "Concrete Man" at one time run DaveMade? Why
> is Dennis O. so panicky about word getting out that he helps illegal
> amplifier guys with their designs? What's the average yearly profit from
> an illegal amp company? Who arranges the distribution? How many amps are
> sold per year (guess-timate) by various underground amp mfgs.? Also, is
> the business run by gangs like Crips, etc., or do you fellows simply
> imitate the gangbanger lingo so as to appear more threatening to
> outsiders?
>
> Since you guys believe that the laws are a joke and are meaningless like
> a traffic ticket and you'll never get busted in a million years and
> you're not doing anything wrong anyway - there's really no reason to
> hide. So let's make a clean breast of it, OK fellows? (Even you, Mr. Jay
> In The Mojave: add your your ham call to your next post)
>
> And how come there are so many HAMS acting like rules don't matter? I
> was thoroughly disappointed when I saw one post where a ham advised a
> CBer that an illegal 300 watt amp would be better for him than an
> illegal 100 watt amp. It's very sad.
>
>
> Aaron H. Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

3645. FS: Antenna's and Misc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 00:31:53 UTC
From: n4jzh@knology.net
Message-ID: <3a45426d.22719188@news.knology.net>
Source record: 64977

Cushcraft 13B2 never opened $110.00 to the door
Cushcraft ARX-2B Used $40.00 to the door.
(2) Radio Shack Rotors/Control Boxes $45.00 ea to the door.
please email me with your questions at.
n4jzh@knology.net Donnie

3646. Re: WTB:Clean 100 watt amp?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 00:42:44 UTC
From: Bill Eitner <kd6tas@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <01c06d42$585e0640$b9645d3f@eniac>
Source record: 65079

The term "100 watts" doesn't mean much until a suffix
is added to it. In other words, is it 100 average, RMS, PEP,
or peak? It's like saying an antenna has 10 "DB" of gain.
Without a reference it doesn't mean anything.

100 average (aka RMS) watts equals 400 peak (aka PEP) watts.

If N4CDG is asking what the cleanest 100 average/400 peak
watt 10/11 meter monoband (as opposed to broadband and band-
switched solid-state HF amps) amp is, I would say the
Blue Face XL450 (can say Cobra, Galaxy, Palomar, or Magnum
on the front panel) is it.
--
CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net> wrote in article
<91qind$9oh@dispatch.concentric.net>...
> Chris, unless you are looking for a driver for a larger amp a 100 watts
> doesn't buy you much. A uniden 76 can be modified to put out 50+ watts
> Galaxy and Ranger have radios that can easily do that too. Go for a Texas
> Star 350 or Cobra 350 Blue Face not much difference in price over a 100
watt
> but far greater talk power.
>
> "N4CDG" <n4cdg@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20001219193248.07749.00009506@ng-cs1.aol.com...
> > Hi,
> >
> > What is the cleanest 100 watt amp I can find for both 10 and 11 meters?
> >
> > Thanks,
> > Chris
>
>
>

3647. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 01:05:34 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <sqia4toea7qkbn71gp85h1uie3nrt95uul@4ax.com>
Source record: 64969

Greetings!

Doc, show me a man that believes "Coax length doesn't matter" and I'll show you "A man that has no experience in putting any radio system together."

There's too many variables in real life to simply discard the fact that coax does what it does.

All I've seen is quoting direct and verbatim from books, but not one shred of direct evidence from the real world to back up the length doesn't matter claims. Koax Kops haven't given anyone any methods or techniques to try for experiements to prove that side of the story. At least I don't get those results. I get results similar to events that I am discussing here - are you on the same page as everyone else?

A dummy load doesn't radiate? LOL! Well, so much for the lossless coax superconductor theories. We just try not to generate interference with other stations - but we've had conversations on dummy loads before and they are very effective for short range stealth "Snipe hunts".

:If coax length didn't work like it does when antennas are not resonate
:due to problems with poor ground images on one location - steps need to
:be taken to fix the problem - either by re-locating the antenna or
:improving ground image area by fixing the mount connections.

And yet someone can re-create these 'myths' - as the Koax Kops call it, simply by putting up an antenna and having problems.

If you look back, and I seem to have to repeat it all the time I'm out here, my posts are for the issue that coax length shouldn't matter you need to fix something.

Don't believe me? Re-read the posts. See the statement ":" above. That is where I stand on this issue, no one can change that.

Simple problems that generate and perpetuate the myth are actually methods that coax properties have, and will always occur, when you use antennas over a conductive surface that is not ideal and try to make it work like a dipole.

"There's two sides to every element" means just that - you need the other half of the image to make the system work. When it's not ideal, no antenna that is resonate on one particular ground will be able to be resonate on all types of surfaces.

By saying "Coax Length doesn't matter" doesn't resolve certain issues.

Don't beleive me? Re-read my posts.

On Fri, 22 Dec 2000 20:55:11 -0600. The monitor used it's electron gun
to shoot holes into a dissertation done by 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> as he
attempted to answer "Re: Coax Question" with the following statement:

:+>
:+> Handy Andy,
:+> I want to thank you for an amusing post. Your
:+> antenna and feed line ideas are almost as good as
:+> the sunday funnies.
:+> 'Doc

. Pow! POP! BLAM!
Regards! (0) (0) (~) (~) (~)
.:+> Andy <+: *
... You know your antenna is too long when, /
... streetlights explode as you pass under /
... them... ___ /
... ____/ ____ /
... [_.-._____.-._]|
... (0) (0) ==)) --))

http://ww.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

3648. Re: Mod Squad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 01:12:20 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <923ihiba1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65081

In article <20001223105536.06160.00002010@ng-ff1.aol.com>,
timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com) wrote:
> CD you gonna come bumb it down on the boys on the east side? i
remember they
> ran you hot not too long ago.
>
> >
> >i will as soon as i get my antenna system tuned up. i will try to
work
> >on it tomorrow weather permitting. this two antenna business is a
pain
> >in the ass,.....cd is out....
> >
>
> 73 de Tim
> Knight Patrol #82
> http://www.kp82.com
>

well i am ironing out a few technical problems. those good buddies are going to get theirs and real soon, hopefully. haven't been on the radio much lately , so i don't know if they have been out there or not. all i can say is that with a longnose, it aint a car, its a way of life,.....cd is out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3649. Re: Hey cd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 01:13:25 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <923ijjbk1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65082

In article <4127-3A44BA04-3@storefull-125.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
> Hey that email of yours was full or something. If you don't have the
> freq yet. email me!
>
>

hey whatty??????..what email you talkin about, come on come on.....cd
is out....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3650. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 01:14:25 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <tdja4t479gk7q90uh11pkhohemm5tvjakd@4ax.com>
Source record: 64970

Greetings!

On Fri, 22 Dec 2000 13:19:13 -0800, while the modem was ice fishing
with the Mouse, Bob <Bob@bob.com> dissertated the following:

:+> Handy Andy wrote:

:+> > You, and all the rest of the Koaxial Keystone Kops Kopys need to
:+> > double check their work and RE-READ the posts before inflammatory remarks
:+> > begin.
:+>
:+> I agree. Some of arguments here are because people are not clearly stating under
:+> what conditions their premises are under. Also, you need to read and think about
:+> what other people say before responding. For instance, I saw an argument between
:+> Coaxlength and somebody else about 50 + j0 defining resonance. It was clear
:+> everybody knew an antenna with a complex impedance of Anyvalue + j0 equals a
:+> resonant antenna. Fine.

It's the above, that makes this interesting...using the Rohm value
with no reactive component shows resonance at that particular
impedance.

With the above in mind, you will need to understand the coax will then
show it's own impedance due to a non-reactive load.

Making the Rohm figure closer to 50 ohms with no reactive component
brings you closer to a 1:1 SWR when you are checking with 50 ohm coax.

:+> I am still waiting to hear what (wave) length of 50 ohm coax I need to achieve a
:+> 1:1 match at the radio when the antennas feedpoint impedance is 37 + j0 Ohms.
:+> Anyone who claims you
:+> can adjust the SWR by changing length should be to answer this simple, unanswered
:+> question.

What is the frequency of interest?

I will also add, since you have no reactive j in the above, you will
see more like an SWR of 1.7 on an SWR meter. Is that acceptable?

Regards!
.:+> Andy <+: | BEEP!
... You really are a CB'er when... | _____ BEEP!
... your CB antenna is longer than |/ ___
... your SUV! |_,-.__,-._|
... (o) (o)
http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

PUBLIC DISCLOSURE STATEMENT:
Posted within Usenet guidelines.
Due to the nature of the NewsGroup, the use of filters to block spam, flamers, troll messages, or other messages designed to increase bandwidth, are currently in use.

This message may have replies that are not consistent with the senders own intent. The information contained in the above message is, to the best of my knowledge, accurate.

Due to the use of filters, it will prevent me from seeing replies to your post that may contraindicate this message. If you have any further questions, please feel free to Email me a codyspc [At] iserv [DOT] net.

3651. Looking for Siltronix Stuff...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 01:24:12 UTC
From: Bill <grassa@mpinet.net>
Message-ID: <3A45503C.F19655B0@mpinet.net>
Source record: 65080

Need a nice shape Siltronix FD-1011 (The 40 channel printout on the chart on the front only!!). Pay cash and fedex or swap new stuff..

Also Looking for a Pristine LA-550 AMP , Siltronix Speaker , Mic , Meter.....

Trade new cb stuff for or buy outright....

http://home.mpinet.net/grassa

3652. Re: RFD: rec.radio.cb.dxclubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 01:30:29 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <v9ka4tsidh13ejsqogv4vt12t19oftcqtm@4ax.com>
Source record: 64971
Cross-posted to: news.groups,rec.radio.cb

Greetings!

I for one am for this, there are many DX clubs in the Egroups that can benefit from this open forum.

On Wed, 13 Dec 2000 00:01:04 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with the Mouse, "Neil Wiger" <neilwiger@neilwiger.com> dissertated the following:

:+> REQUEST FOR DISCUSSION (RFD)
:+> unmoderated group rec.radio.cb.dxclubs
:+>
:+> This is a formal Request For Discussion (RFD) for the creation of a
:+> world-wide unmoderated Usenet newsgroup rec.radio.cb.dxclubs. This is
:+> not a Call for Votes (CFV); you cannot vote at this time. Procedural
:+> details are below.
:+>
:+> Newsgroup line:
:+> rec.radio.cb.dxclubs Sky wave propagation on 27mhz.
:+>
:+> RATIONALE: rec.radio.cb.dxclubs
:+>
:+> rec.radio.cb.dxclubs will create a Usenet forum for the discussion of
:+> the popular hobby of long distance communications performed during
:+> periods of solar storms and the aurora.
:+>
:+> CHARTER: rec.radio.cb.dxclubs
:+>
:+> rec.radio.cb.dxclubs is for the sharing of news and member information
:+> of established and new radio dx groups.
:+>
:+> END CHARTER.

3653. Re: Christmas Spirit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 01:38:02 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <uqka4tghc3qqnl4t6a954qsgte6hajb7o7@4ax.com>
Source record: 64972

Greetings!

We're doing the best we can!

Good to hear from you again.

On Fri, 22 Dec 2000 11:16:58 -0600, while the modem was ice fishing
with the Mouse, "Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com>
dissertated the following:

:+>
:+> To the Newsgroup:
:+>
:+> I wish you all a safe and happy holiday season.
:+>
:+> I also wish you would all learn to get along with each other!
:+>
:+> Dennis O.
:+>
:+> #12

3654. MIDLAND 75-830

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 01:38:27 UTC
From: GUYMO <unknown@unknown.net>
Message-ID: <nsc16.14048$k8.450700@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 65083

I am thinking of buying the Midland 75-830 handheld. I would appreciate any comments on it. Also if there is a better handheld please tell me what it is. Thanks.

3655. Cherokee AH_100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 01:40:07 UTC
From: GUYMO <unknown@unknown.net>
Message-ID: <Xtc16.14055$k8.450975@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 65084

Can anyone tell me if the Cherokee AH-100 is still being made and/or can be purchased new anywhere? Also any comments on its quality would be appreciated.

3656. New Handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 01:42:00 UTC
From: GUYMO <unknown@unknown.net>
Message-ID: <lvc16.14063$k8.451074@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 65085

I am looking to purchase a new handheld cb and would appreciate any help I can get. I really want it to include SSB if such is available in a handheld. Is such a radio available? Comments?

3657. Re: N8wwm's new and improved web page.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 01:44:50 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A455512.90474486@qnet.com>
Source record: 65092

Hello Doug: I'll be happy to help you out. But you will half to want to help your self, this is most of the battle.

"keyclown" What does this mean??????

Jay in the Mojave, third trailer park on the right, just down the road ah ways from the fillin station.

N8wwm wrote:
>
> >Hello N8wwm: Think this time in the photo we could get a fresh shirt,
> >shave, wash the face, and even comb your hair? Maybe Mom could hold the
> >camera steady to?
> >
> >Just trying to help you out here.
> >
>
> You don't have to, but I certainly appreciate it...keyclown.

3658. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 02:26:36 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <amna4tgvbafgssrghf3ujj324b09fa9d3b@4ax.com>
Source record: 64974

Greetings!

On Fri, 22 Dec 2000 21:39:58 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
the Mouse, sparky@cet.com (Sparky) disseminated the following:

:+> On Fri, 22 Dec 2000 15:45:29 -0500, Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
:+> wrote:
:+>
:+> >One thing that is not mentioned in these posts, is dealing with a
:+> >known impedance of an antenna over a ground system.
:+>
:+> Well, one thing that I have _never_ seen is a 1/4 wave vertical that
:+> measured at the ideal impedance of 36.5 ohms. Or 50 + j0, for that
:+> matter. I very rarely see an antenna that isn't reactive to some
:+> degree. There are just too many variables to make the definitive claim
:+> that any given antenna "must" behave this way or that way.

And it states in every antenna book that antennas HAVE reactive
properties - they aren't perfect conductors. Tuning the antenna
changes those properties. But the coax itself can't change it's own
characteristic impedance - it exists.

In some examples, using coaxial baluns for the matching network, you
are using the coaxial lines inherent properties to provide a tolerable
SWR just so the operator can get on the air even when you have a
non-resonate antenna.

A reciprocal event would also incur that if the antenna was tuned for
resonance using a particular type of counterpoise, re-creation of that
counterpoise may not always be possible in the real world. This would
make the antenna appear to be non-resonate, even though the antenna
could use it's reactive properties, in conjunction with, the coaxial
lines own, to appear resonate to the radio.

Only now, we have a requirement that the antenna has to use the coax
to provide a workable SWR for the operator to use their station.

And therefore the antenna and the coax are now a single antenna, the
feed line [read:coax] is now part of the antenna.

Granted, it's not efficient, but it is a workable solution.

:+> >snip>Using a special length, we DO cancel out unwanted
:+> >reactance [and is why they simply avoided the [25ohm - 5j or - j5]
:+> >equation - you can get an antenna to show lower SWR when the reactive
:+> >component is a higher value.
:+>

:+> The reality is that sometimes even just moving the coax can change the
:+> SWR, possibly due to radiation being absorbed by the shield, or even
:+> proximity to a ferrous surface. You just can't tell until you get out
:+> there and do it.

Exactly, it's not a possibility it's a fact. The coax is now part of
the antenna system and the field around the antenna is also being
absorbed into the coaxial outer shield - and why I am suggesting to
the "Koax Kops Kopys" that are out here that they have no basis for
forcing such issues in the real world. The real world simply does not
work that way.

And is why I suggest in vehicle mounting and installs, "mount the
radio to metal inside the vehicle". Just for the purpose of RF having
somewhere to return to without having to use the coax to accomplish
it.

Fixing the problem for a customer is not hard, but if the customer
wants it just the way it is, we have to abide by their wishes.

Don't worry, I did fix the problem in the above example. The solution
was to replace the coax, put on a new PL259, and the kid is fine and
happy with it, even though the mount has a little rust and uses 18' of
coax [LOL]!

:+> >What could have cornered them, and what was eventually being discussed
:+> >but was later avoided [that was the hint] was the coaxial dipole and
:+> >how they wanted to know about radiating coax.
:+>
:+> They would have just denied it. No point.

It's a shame that "Koax Kops Kopys" will never get to understand that
there is a more important function that coax exhibits. It's something
that you *can't* change, and that is it's own reactive properties.

Tuning an antenna is one thing, coax can't change - that seems to be
the hardest part they don't understand. Are we supposed to change the
coaxial line to something that fits when we have a problem? I thought
we were supposed to tune the antenna first, or is what we all agree
to? <It's what makes this whole thread so humorous.>

If you find a sale on 36.5 ohm coax, could you pass that along?

[LOL]

:+> >Thats why I posted conjugate match. They can't get past the fact that
:+> >the antenna is no longer "the antenna" - both the coax and the antenna
:+> >are now a single unit. And depeding on how the coax is used, can be
:+> >the "shorting stub" [open end] or the antenna itself can be the
:+> >"tuning stub" used with this given length of coax.
:+>
:+> The shield can indeed radiate when, as you say, it becomes part of the
:+> antenna system. This is not always desired or forseen, but it happens.

:+> And here's another one for the notebook: When there is RF on the
:+> shield, and when that RF is choked short of a multiple of a 1/4 wave
:+> from the antenna, you will get harmonics. Sometimes the choke doesn't
:+> have do be much, such as close proximity to ferrous materials, or just
:+> a kink in the coax. That's why it is good practice to wind excess coax
:+> in a figure-8, not a coil.

So why are the "Koaxial Keystone Kops Kopys" quad-K's ;-> having such
a hard time understanding this issue?

It's something that a customer wants. We have no choice as installers.
Even though the real solution is known - the customer doesn't want it
fixed, it's working fine!

Gosh, not to sound insulting, I would just fix the problems and be
done with it. I don't know what all the fuss is about...?

In regards to harmonics, - I've seen this, it acts like a wave trap.

The antenna, being reactive, does add it's own reactance and change,
or alter, the waveform when you are dealing with a reactive system
like what I've experienced in your example above. Energy is reflected,
as to what levels depends on the determinant jX factor.

This might help shed some light on that subject.

Some CB radios' in their output network, have a 52-54MHz trap/low pass
filter using a particular wind and capacitance to supposedly attenuate
this.

Problem though, it has a high impedance to arrival of these waves as a
part of noise filtering done for the purpose of input tuning to help
lessen receiver noise. As the harmonics that got past the network
return to the radio, they are not attenuated, so it re-reflects.

When you have radiating coax like in the above example - the radio
itself doesn't reflect or attenuate harmonics in the power to the coax
properly, it forms a tuned circuit using the network inside both the
radio the coax. The radio will actually refuse to attenuate the
harmonics that originally passed thru the network into the coax, as
the antenna appears as a high impedance to this, it reflects this back
to the radio, and the radio's network doesn't attenuate the harmonics
- ad verbatim, and the coaxial line is forced to lose this somehow as
a loss.

A field is generated around the coax at this point, and it's simply
due to the antenna and the radio don't want it. The coax is then
forced to lose this energy and hence a harmonic problem.

There are CB radios that have the 52-54MHz filter that works right.

You can check for this using a MFJ-259B and see how the radio will
react with the 52-54MHz trap by putting the MFJ right to the end of
the radio with a coax patch cord. It makes an interesting view of just

what goes on back there.

:+> >I hope this helps you, and I'm simply letting you know that you are a
:+> >good source of information about antennas. I'm impressed with not only
:+> >how you conducted yourself, but your knowledge. If you have a web page
:+> >or two, I would like to link my pages about CB radio installations to
:+> >yours to help provide more information.
:+> >
:+> >Would you be interested?
:+>
:+> I have a web page, but it has little if nothing to do with CB radio.
:+> It deals more with my dabbling in that lowfer stuff, 160 - 190 KHz.
:+> It's more of a challenge to get a clean signal out with just one watt
:+> and a non-resonant antenna. It's a band for people that know what they
:+> are talking about, not for Koaxial Keystone Kops.

Email me the URL I'd like to take a look at it, please. I'd like to
see your efforts on this. Sounds interesting.

Sometime, I'd like to demonstrate a "coffee can" antenna - a mag-mount
and a random length of coax, with a PL-259 connector on a coffee can.
And this does provide an 1:1 SWR.

A CB'er showed me that trick, "unbelievers" *should understand* that
even when you use a specific length of coaxial line, the mounting
location and counterpoise for a CB is what makes this system work to
determine SWR and how low this ratio will go. Leave it to a CB'er!
LOL!

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:

3659. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 02:33:13 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <2nna4toibq4cme8up1a67d5uqru6578e00@4ax.com>
Source record: 64975

Greetings!

Doc, you're reading the wrong group! That must be your problem, we're here in rec.radio.cb, are you cross posting?

Doc, show me a man that believes "Coax length doesn't matter" and I'll show you "A man that has no experience in putting any radio system together."

There's too many variables in real life to simply discard the fact that coax does what it does. It simply reacts to a reactive load.

All I've seen is quoting direct and verbatim from books, but not one shred of direct evidence from the real world to back up the length doesn't matter claims when real world events place their variables into these setups.

Koax Kops haven't given anyone any methods or techniques to try for experiments to prove that side of the story. At least I don't get those results - it's formulas, no testing the theories. I get results similar to events that I am discussing here - are you on the same page as everyone else?

A dummy load doesn't radiate? LOL! Well, so much for the loss less coax superconductor theories. We just try not to generate interference with other stations - but we've had conversations on dummy loads before and they are very effective for short range stealth "Snipe hunts".

I'll reiterate..
:If coax length didn't work like it does when antennas are not resonate
:due to problems with poor ground images on one location - steps need to
:be taken to fix the problem - either by re-locating the antenna or
:improving ground image area by fixing the mount connections.

And yet someone can re-create these 'myths' - as the Koax Kops call it, simply by putting up an antenna and having problems.

If you look back, and I seem to have to repeat it all the time I'm out here, my posts are for the issue that coax length shouldn't matter, you need to fix something.

Don't believe me? Re-read the posts. See the statement ":" above. That is where I stand on this issue, no one can change that.

Simple problems that generate and perpetuate the myth are actually methods that coax properties have, and will always occur, when you use antennas over a conductive surface that is not ideal and try to make

it work like a dipole.

"There's two sides to every element" means just that - you need the other half of the image to make the system work. When it's not ideal, no antenna that is resonate on one particular ground will be able to be resonate on all types of surfaces.

By saying "Coax Length doesn't matter" doesn't resolve certain issues.

Don't believe me? Re-read my posts.

On Fri, 22 Dec 2000 20:55:11 -0600. The monitor used it's electron gun to shoot holes into a dissertation done by 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> as he attempted to answer "Re: Coax Question" with the following statement:

:+>
:+> Handy Andy,
:+> I want to thank you for an amusing post. Your
:+> antenna and feed line ideas are almost as good as
:+> the sunday funnies.
:+> 'Doc

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:

3660. Re: Aaron Voobner Wants To Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 03:05:41 UTC
From: bendowersagain@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001223220541.23691.00001604@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 65086

>Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake said

>spider-wj032.proxy.aol.com
>Address: 205.188.198.32

>He might be Fuller or N8wwm or heardallthat!

We know who you are, don't we Dougy.

3661. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 03:40:29 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-7181AF.22331523122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 65087

In article <3a43c33d.322849@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> It's a band for people that know what they
> are talking about, not for Koaxial Keystone Kops.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

Hey Sparky, let me refresh your memory about one of those areas
where you believe you know what you are talking about.

In article <3a37a1c1.2972925@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

: As I said before, when you have standing waves, the transmission line
: radiates.

You can't even begin to justify this statement.
It is simply false.

3662. Re: Mod Squad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 04:11:47 UTC
From: lordfkaos@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001223231147.01883.00003963@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 65089

>well i am ironing out a few technical problems. those good buddies are
>going to get theirs and real soon, hopefully. haven't been on the radio
>much lately , so i don't know if they have been out there or not. all i
>can say is that with a longnose, it aint a car, its a way of
>life,........cd is out...

I heard that I did..Hey CD, stop on down on 26.915 & give us a shout when you get that long nose all ironed out..
Happy Holidays!!!!

NIGHTMARE motor van around the Big Trees got down!

3663. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 04:35:53 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <923uf9$kv0$1@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 65094

Sparky <sparky@cet.com> wrote:
: On Fri, 22 Dec 2000 13:19:13 -0800, Bob <Bob@bob.com> wrote:

:>Also, its true...SWR does change with line length. Its value is highest at the
:>antenna feedpoint and goes down as you move down
:>the coax towards the load....due to feedline lose. Nobody here mentioned that.

: Because that is not quite right.

Sorry, Sparky. He is exactly correct. If the feedline has loss, and all have some, the if the SWR is not 1:1 - the SWR changes as you move back towards the source. However, for the transmission lines we use, the change is rather small.

... deleted ...

: Given: a coax, 50 + j0, at 27MHz, and V = 0.66. A 1/4 wavelength of
: that line will be approx. 6 feet. Another half-wavelength, 12 feet,
: gives the infamous value of 18 feet. At both those points, and every
: 12 feet afterwards, the standing wave will not be detected. The SWR is
: not changed, nor will the standing wave disappear.

It certainly can be detected. The voltage and current do not disappear, although the resultants may be reduced or increased.

Think about it. The forward and reflected power have to be constant along the length of transmission line. You cannot have power transfer if either the voltage or the current are zero.

But the vector products of the voltages and currents can be zero - if you have a lossless line and the line termination is either open or shorted.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

3664. Re: CB dead in Silicon Valley?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 04:41:34 UTC
From: glc1173@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001223234134.04481.00003447@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 65090
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Dave wrote:
>It has been a week now, that I have been >listening to CB channels 1 through
>23 in San Jose, California. I get static >and noise.
>I tested this old rig with a channel 14 >walkie talkie a few months ago. I
>could talk to myself OK.
>Can it be that there is really nobody left >on CB?
>When I transmit, my TV set picture >shows interference, so I figure I'm
>getting out, but nobody answers. I even >tried channel 9, where all those
>REACT people used to be. Nothing.
>On channel 5 I can hear some intermod >singing behind the noise, like skip of
>10 weak signals at once, but nothing is >intelligible.
>Is CB dead?

Look at cars when you drive. If it's anything like here (North Carolina),
the days of every car having a CB antenna are over.
CB here in central North Carolina is mainly truckers on Ch. 19 - and other
than 18-wheelers, you see (a few) antennas mainly on pickups used for hunting
or farms.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Dissident news - plus immigration, gun rights, nationwide weather
Al Gore - in his own words
ALAMANCE INDEPENDENT:
official newspaper of the Vast Right Wing Conspiracy
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

3665. Re: 165 amp alternators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 04:48:23 UTC
From: ldd7777@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001223234823.21203.00005765@ng-co1.aol.com>
Source record: 65091

Jerry go to www.powermastermotorsport.com they make bolt on high output new gm,ford and mopar alternators up to 200 plus amps.They work great in small cars.I run a 190 amp delco in a Nissan Altima as a second alternator.

3666. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 04:52:21 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <923ve5$kv0$2@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 65093

Let's discuss why SWR meters can read different values at different
places in the coax.

When you connect coax to a load (either balanced or end-fed), unless
you take precautions to eliminate it, you get currents on the outside
of the coax. This is undesireable.

The currents can come from two sources, 1) coupling from the antenna
to the coax and 2) leakage of current from the inside of the coax
shield to the outside (instead of all the current going into the antenna
or antenna ground - if it has one). Both of these situations can occur,
even if there is a perfect 1:1 match.

Funny thing - if you want to minimize such currents, then the length of
coax to avoid is any odd multiple of a half-wave (antenna formula lengths,
not velocity factor lengths). 18 feet of coax is one of those lengths
to avoid. So people who insist on using 18 feet are guaranteeing that
they are maximizing these undesireable currents on the coax. Divide 492
by 27 to verify this is a resonant 1/2 wave length.

Those currents on the outside of the coax can also cause faulty reading
on an SWR meter. If your SWR reading changes when you touch the meter
or grab the coax, then you definitely have currents on the coax.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

3667. Re: who has n8wwm's picture?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 05:13:12 UTC
From: ONE37 <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t4b16tkq6cgof2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 65095

"BigPoppaPump" <iamyourhookup@eatme.com> wrote in message
news:0P316.1991$1m.795757@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
> I know it was out there he took his page down
>
>

Email me pump...

3668. Re: Mod Squad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 05:15:23 UTC
From: ONE37 <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t4b1b2l201b921@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 65096

Take care SQUAD... You know who it is and I'm back out...

3669. Re: CB dead in Silicon Valley?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 05:58:49 UTC
From: m2steven <m2steven@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <6we16.6523$xU2.329731@news1.atl>
Source record: 65088

more than likely, the folks there wouldn't be caught dead talking on a CB.
CB is generally not a hobby of the intellectually non-challenged.
"Dave" <cubit@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:923cce$4dpg$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> It has been a week now, that I have been listening to CB channels 1
through
> 23 in San Jose, California. I get static and noise.
>
> I tested this old rig with a channel 14 walkie talkie a few months ago. I
> could talk to myself OK.
>
> Can it be that there is really nobody left on CB?
>
> When I transmit, my TV set picture shows interference, so I figure I'm
> getting out, but nobody answers. I even tried channel 9, where all those
> REACT people used to be. Nothing.
>
> On channel 5 I can hear some intermod singing behind the noise, like skip
of
> 10 weak signals at once, but nothing is intelligible.
>
> Is CB dead?
>
>

3670. Hey troy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 06:00:38 UTC
From: "Lil' Bump (In the middle of the road)" <zip406@peoplepc.com>
Message-ID: <3a459161@flexnet239.uunt.net>
Source record: 65097

Anybody heard from Troy????

3671. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 06:05:31 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <8h4b4t0rqaogirmgil5uq8hejm9pll3bub@4ax.com>
Source record: 64978

On 24 Dec 2000 04:52:21 GMT, Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote:

>Let's discuss why SWR meters can read different values at different
>places in the coax.

Hey something to agree on!

>When you connect coax to a load (either balanced or end-fed), unless
>you take precautions to eliminate it, you get currents on the outside
>of the coax. This is undesireable.

The precaution may be to use a balun or to minimize trouble by
de-tuneing the outside of the coax.

>The currents can come from two sources, 1) coupling from the antenna
>to the coax

The above is called "parallel current".

>and 2) leakage of current from the inside of the coax
>shield to the outside (instead of all the current going into the antenna
>or antenna ground

????

>- if it has one). Both of these situations can occur,
>even if there is a perfect 1:1 match.

3. Another type of current can flow. It is caused by the spacial
relationship of the coax to the antenna.This is called "antenna
current".

>Funny thing - if you want to minimize such currents, then the length of
>coax to avoid is any odd multiple of a half-wave (antenna formula lengths,
>not velocity factor lengths). 18 feet of coax is one of those lengths
>to avoid. So people who insist on using 18 feet are guaranteeing that
>they are maximizing these undesireable currents on the coax. Divide 492
>by 27 to verify this is a resonant 1/2 wave length.

The above is backwards.

Parallel type currents WILL cause a change in the SWR reading
with line length. Parallel currents mimic the counterpoise currents.
They tend to make the coax a part of the antenna. With this in mind
the current is highest at the termination and the voltage is at its
lowest at this termination. This repeats itself every half wave. 18
feet is a half wave away and because of this can also be a voltage
minimum. This voltage minimum on the outside of the coax is most

desirable if you don't want the SWR meter to respond to it.This all assumes that conditions are such that unwanted parallel currents are being induced.

>Those currents on the outside of the coax can also cause faulty reading >on an SWR meter. If your SWR reading changes when you touch the meter >or grab the coax, then you definitely have currents on the coax.

It is not really a measurement error since what the instrument reads is the actual SWR on the line, or should I say the instrument is giving a good indication of what type of load your radio is seeing.

The SWR reading on THIS type of line, (unwanted parallel currents) is determined by the composite load of the antenna, and the outside of the coax. Since changing the line length (or position), is one of the components of this composite load, the SWR reading changes too.

Fire extinguisher ready. Flame away.

3672. Re: Aaron Voobner Wants To Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 07:43:39 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <LMh16.13649$y91.1108000@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 64949

"Bendowersagain" <bendowersagain@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001223220541.23691.00001604@ng-fe1.aol.com...

> We know who you are, don't we Dougy.

Having just made a 'threat' on an interstate wire, you might be well advised to lite a small candle or throw an extra dime in the plate for his continued welfare. It is a pretty fair bet you have earned a place on a dipstick data base somewhere.

Play nice with Daddy's compooter.

3673. online cb radio's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 08:04:49 UTC
From: Leon <leon1@home.nl>
Message-ID: <B6i16.843$j7.8494@dbsch1.home.nl>
Source record: 64948

http://members.home.nl.leon1

Click on the scanner page to find the online shortwave radio's
Have fun!!

Bye

3674. Re: Cookie Boxes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 09:11:13 UTC
From: schwerd5@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001224041113.10593.00004018@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 64950

Yes, I'm 3808 (Master Cylinder) from Ventura, California. 73's to you! Where do you remember me from? Have a Merry Christmas.

3675. Re: Mod Squad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 11:33:19 UTC
From: Mod Squad <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3al16.29612$Z36.114187@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 64951

Mele Kalikimaka Ahouli Maka Iki Hou
Ka Wai Ola O Hawaii

"ONE37" <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:t4b1b2l201b921@corp.supernews.com...
> Take care SQUAD... You know who it is and I'm back out...
>
>

3676. FS..BOOK"BREAKER,BREAKER,10-4"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 11:56:08 UTC
From: robco@optonline.net
Message-ID: <3a4be331.483997159@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 64952

FS..Very nice copy of 25 year old book entitled 'BREAKER,
BREAKER, TEN-FOUR"...This is the book that got many of us started in
;CB radio...in very fine condition...$10.00 to your door via priority
mail.....please remove the (ns) from my adr when responding, or
just click on robco@optonline.net

3677. FS Sam's Photofact CB Radio Books #16-22-44

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 12:03:16 UTC
From: robco@optonline.net
Message-ID: <3a57e4d9.484421390@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 64953

FS Sam;s Photofact CB Radio Series #16--22--44 in good
shape...$10.00+$3.20 shipping for the three......pse remove the
(ns) from my adr, or click on robco@optonline.net

3678. GORE & BUSH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 12:32:47 UTC
From: elmerpee@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <924qdf$qo7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64954

Well glad too see the crap over with now sence Bush will be in office for 4 years us Cb'ers can set back and price out gear high and fill Ebay up with more high price radios and junk forsale,I like Ebay you can sale more no-working shit there for a really good price and make money off SUCKER'S Like You Guys,And when the Ham's take over 11 meter then with all the money we made can take a trip and enjoy the wild life with lots of nude girls and the sunny beaches.

Merry Christmas ALL YOU SUCKERS!!!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3679. Merry Christmas 2 All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 12:34:35 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A45ED5B.6996DAF7@qnet.com>
Source record: 64959

Hello rec.radio.cb:

To all a Merry Christmas.

Jay in the Mojave

3680. Re: GORE & BUSH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 14:29:20 UTC
From: bendowersagain@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001224092920.01730.00002675@ng-mc1.aol.com>
Source record: 64955

>elmerpee@my-deja.com said

>UCKER'S Like You Guys,And when the Ham's take over 11 meter

sniff sniff, uh ,What do I smell ? Could it be a fat ugly smelly woman less
troll from Ohio?

3681. Electronics-Global Network

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 14:33:27 UTC
From: sru <stefan1938@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <9251fn$v4v$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64957

Dear members,

MERRY CHRSITMAS & HAPPY NEW YEAR !

You can Buy Electronic Components Online here :

http://electronicsontheweb.intranets.com

Updated Electronics News here :

http://pages.about.com/eglobal/electronics.html

Or to Get OEM or ODM Services (Manufacturing Services) here :

http://pages.about.com/eglobal/index.html

If you want to get High Tech Product Information, you can go here :

http://pages.about.com/eglobal/electronics.html

Updated Power Electronics News here :

http://powerelectronics.intranets.com

E-Business Resources for Power Electronics Industry here:

http://psma.intranets.com

Best regards !

Marketing Department - SRU-Electronics.

(c) Copyright 2000 SRU-Electronics.

--
R&D

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3682. Re: Aaron Voobner Wants To Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 14:38:27 UTC
From: bendowersagain@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001224093827.01730.00002676@ng-mc1.aol.com>
Source record: 64956

>Richard McCollum" rmccoll@radiks.net said

>> We know who you are, don't we Dougy.

>Having just made a 'threat' on an interstate wire,
> It is a pretty fair bet you have earned a place on a
dipstick data base

Richard
I'm not aware that a threat has been implied. Can you enlighten me?

3683. Re: GORE & BUSH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 15:17:39 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8714-3A461393-19@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64960

Ha! The cbers are more likely to take over ten than you are to take over 11. You are only half right. See, we just got more frequencies, there are more NEW exports flooding the market than there ever was and prices are rapidly declining on NEW gear so we don't have to buy your broken castoffs that you sell on ebay 'cause you are too ignorant to have the know how to fix your stuff. Take over, hahhahah! In your wildest, exotic, wet dreams only. Besides, since most cbers can squash you with their driver now, what makes you think incompetents like yourself will ever be able to be heard over most cbers, on ANY band? You aren't a hammie anyway. Just a wannabe and you can't even figure that part out correctly.

3684. Re: OT: REAL VIRUS WARNING-NO BS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 15:53:16 UTC
From: Train <tra1n@aol.com>
Message-ID: <MZo16.22570$nM5.4431654@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 64961
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.autos.makers.jeep+willys

It will flash your bios making the whole system usless.....it won't even
boot.
nasty little sucker this time
train
tra1n@aol.com
train@cbmods.com
www.cbmods.com

"Don Roy" <don@micronetlaw.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.14aeedceaf584023989714@nntp.bestweb.net...
> What does it do? All I have heard here so far is that it is real and how
to
> recognize it.
>
> Don Roy
>
> In article <3A44F09F.91BE6EA5@netmagi.com>, war@netmagi.com says...
> > I work for an ISP, i can say first hand that this virus IS real.

3685. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 16:12:52 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-F36BEA.11053724122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 64962

In article <3a44bd1a.1283157@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> On Sat, 23 Dec 2000 00:48:15 -0500, Bob <bob@bob.com> wrote:
>
> >If the "voltage to current" ratio matched the impedance of the line at
> >any point...it would match it at every point. The amplitude of the
> >voltage
> >and current would also remain constant.
>
> That's just plain ridiculous. If your argument were true there would
> be no standing waves. Nice idea, but no basis in reality.
>
> When the voltages from two signals are in phase, they add to a value
> greater than both the originals. If the voltage from the incident
> signal is 50V and the reflected signal is 25V, the voltage of the
> standing wave, at that point, will be 75V. At that same point the
> current from the incident signal is out of phase with the current from
> the reflected signal. Keeping with a 50 ohm line, the current of the
> incident signal is 1A, and the reflected signal is 0.5A. The
> difference is 0.5A. Now you have a standing wave of 75V and 0.5A,
> therefore the impedance _at that point_ is 150 ohms.
>
> Moving 1/4 wave down the coax the phases are reversed. The voltage of
> the standing wave will be 50 - 25 = 25V, the current will be 1 + 0.5 =
> 1.5A, so the impedance of the standing wave _at that point_ will be
> 25V / 1.5A = 16.7 ohms.
>
> This is the application of grade-school math to basic phase
> interaction. The only thing I misunderstood was your level of
> comprehension.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

This is the problem -- you are using grade school math.
You need to move up to complex numbers.

The example you give here is actually correct but only because
you have given the two impedance points along a transmission line
where the impedance is purely real. At all other points, the
impedance has an imaginary component.

Your example like a stopped clock; it is still correct at two times
during the day.

You say that there are points along the transmission line where the voltage to current ratio equals the characteristic impedance of the transmission line. You are only half right (and half wrong). The MAGNITUDE of the voltage to current ratio does indeed equal the transmission line characteristic impedance at these points but the angle does not. The angle will not be zero degrees as found with a true 1:1 SWR.

Sparky, you had this MAGNITUDE problem before, didn't you?

3686. Dick Heads = Voobner& N8wwm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 16:13:39 UTC
From: NCB555@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9587-3A4620B3-37@storefull-136.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64967

HAPPY HOLIDAYS TO THE REST OF THE GANG

Triple 5
Trip 555
BIG in TEXAS
15 ¢ Junk Station

3687. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 16:33:39 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a462542.11284121@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64963

On 24 Dec 2000 04:35:53 GMT, Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote:

>Sparky <sparky@cet.com> wrote:
>: On Fri, 22 Dec 2000 13:19:13 -0800, Bob <Bob@bob.com> wrote:
>
>:>Also, its true...SWR does change with line length. Its value is highest at the
>:>antenna feedpoint and goes down as you move down
>:>the coax towards the load....due to feedline lose. Nobody here mentioned that.
>
>: Because that is not quite right.
>
>Sorry, Sparky. He is exactly correct. If the feedline has loss, and all have
>some, the if the SWR is not 1:1 - the SWR changes as you move back towards
>the source. However, for the transmission lines we use, the change is rather
>small.

I don't disagree that coax has a certian attenuation. I disagree that
SWR changes with line length, as I explained in the part you . . .

>... deleted ...

>: Given: a coax, 50 + j0, at 27MHz, and V = 0.66. A 1/4 wavelength of
>: that line will be approx. 6 feet. Another half-wavelength, 12 feet,
>: gives the infamous value of 18 feet. At both those points, and every
>: 12 feet afterwards, the standing wave will not be detected. The SWR is
>: not changed, nor will the standing wave disappear.
>
>It certainly can be detected. The voltage and current do not disappear,
>although the resultants may be reduced or increased.
>
>Think about it. The forward and reflected power have to be constant
>along the length of transmission line. You cannot have power transfer
>if either the voltage or the current are zero.

I never said they disappear. I said, "At this point the standing
wave is indistiguishable from the signal, since it has the same
impedace as the signal."

I should have qualified that by saying the problem is with the method
of detection. Some cheap "SWR" meters measure only impedance. Many,
including most that are built into CB radios, measure the RF voltage
in forward phase and compare it to reverse phase, but do not
compensate for the resulting standing wave, which can distort the
measurements. A few meters measure properly. SWR meters are like
anything else: You get what you pay for.

>But the vector products of the voltages and currents can be zero - if
>you have a lossless line and the line termination is either open or
>shorted.

100% reflection. True story.

Sparky, FLRC

3688. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 16:33:47 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a462569.11322843@news.cet.com>
Source record: 64964

On 24 Dec 2000 04:52:21 GMT, Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote:

>When you connect coax to a load (either balanced or end-fed), unless
>you take precautions to eliminate it, you get currents on the outside
>of the coax. This is undesireable.

Ok.

>The currents can come from two sources, 1) coupling from the antenna
>to the coax and 2) leakage of current from the inside of the coax
>shield to the outside

1) Coupling from the antenna to the coax is caused by an inadequate ground. You can think of a balanced line or load as having it's impedance between the two conductors, and an unbalanced line or load as having all it's impedance on one conductor and the ground or shield is zero. One problem with unbalanced lines and loads is when the ground, the conductor that is supposed to have zero impedance, doesn't. An unbalanced load without a good ground may indeed show an unreactive impedance of 50 ohms across it's connections, but the impedance of the ground is not zero, and the impedance of center conductor is not 50 ohms. Result: the antenna couples RF into the coax shield through the unwanted impedance in the ground. Also, the coax, an unbalanced line, expects the full load to be on it's center conductor. So while a 1:1 match is expected, there is really a mismatch because of an inadequate ground, and power is reflected.

2) When a standing wave exists on the inner conductor, there are points where the impedance radically differs from the impedance of the coax. When the impedance is too high, the coax tries to dump the excess potential through the dielectric. A capacitance is established between the center and the shield that is not balanced with inductance. The result is that RF is capacitively coupled to the shield.

> (instead of all the current going into the antenna
>or antenna ground - if it has one). Both of these situations can occur,
>even if there is a perfect 1:1 match.

If you have a 1:1 match, then all the power is going to the load. How can current be leaking from the coax if it is all going to the load?

>Funny thing - if you want to minimize such currents, then the length of
>coax to avoid is any odd multiple of a half-wave

Why?

> (antenna formula lengths,
>not velocity factor lengths). 18 feet of coax is one of those lengths
>to avoid. So people who insist on using 18 feet are guaranteeing that
>they are maximizing these undesireable currents on the coax. Divide 492
>by 27 to verify this is a resonant 1/2 wave length.

Even if the designer intends to make the shield radiate, as in the
case of a coaxial dipole, he still must observe the velocity factor of
the coax. Why should this be any different?

>Those currents on the outside of the coax can also cause faulty reading
>on an SWR meter. If your SWR reading changes when you touch the meter
>or grab the coax, then you definitely have currents on the coax.

You bet. Why? Because the capacitance of your body loads the coax. The
same thing happens with inductive objects.

Sparky, FLRC

3689. Re: Aaron Voobner Wants To Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 16:36:26 UTC
From: Beebe Rebozo <not@this.net>
Message-ID: <fCp16.3489$El3.38255@open-news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 64965

Why his parents gifted him with such a small penis. He is *very* angry about it and will continue to stomp his little feet in an alarming fit until he gets an answer!

Jeff

3690. Boomer 400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 16:42:31 UTC
From: chrishalinar@gateway.net
Message-ID: <20001224114231.04314.00003203@ng-fp1.news.gateway.net>
Source record: 64966

I have a Boomer 400 mobile amp and was woundering if someone knows the specs on this amp, freq range/ in and out power/ current draw/ ect.
Thanks,
Big H

3691. Re: Galaxy 99V w/100 Watt Twister Installed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 16:58:32 UTC
From: wlfdad@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <9259vm$4um$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64968

In article <3A450D4E.20FC0657@tyler.net>,
Dean <deanntx@tyler.net> wrote:
> This radio is just a couple of months old, and very rarely used. It is
> in new condition with a 100 watt Twister installed in the radio. The
> internal speaker had to be romoved to make room for the Twister, but I
> will include an external speaker.
> I will also include a Astatic D104-M6C Power mic that is in new
> condition as well.
>
> Asking $350 plus shipping for it all.
>
What is a twister? I have been in radio for years and never heard the
term twister.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3692. Re: Merry Christmas 2 All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 17:18:13 UTC
From: Jesse Stanley <superstar@eurekanet.com>
Message-ID: <3a462f35$0$1510$4ca6cf20@news.eurekanet.com>
Source record: 64973

Same to you also Jay

Jesse in Ohio
Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3A45ED5B.6996DAF7@qnet.com...
> Hello rec.radio.cb:
>
> To all a Merry Christmas.
>
> Jay in the Mojave

3693. F.S. PALOMAR VC-300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 17:34:46 UTC
From: lowrida24@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001224123446.06103.00002408@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 64976

I have a palomar vc-300.It is in mint shape and box comes with it. I am asking $125 for it plus shipping.

thanks,

scott

3694. TEST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 17:38:16 UTC
From: g h b <ghb_2000@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <3A463488.66152CED@swbell.net>
Source record: 64958

Just testing my news program.

3695. Re: Merry Christmas 2 All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 17:45:43 UTC
From: KF6FFZ@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21407-3A463647-5@storefull-255.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64979

merry christmas to all from kf6ffz

3696. Re: Boomer 400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 18:45:35 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4ch2ger0pb9f6@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 64980

Try: http://www.cbtricks.com/

Jeff

"ChrisHalinar" <chrishalinar@gateway.net> wrote in message
news:20001224114231.04314.00003203@ng-fp1.news.gateway.net...
> I have a Boomer 400 mobile amp and was woundering if someone knows the
specs on
> this amp, freq range/ in and out power/ current draw/ ect.
> Thanks,
> Big H
>

3697. WTB amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 18:46:36 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <gwr16.52691$7I.5915995@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 64981

Looking for good clean used amp, 100-300W, in 100% working order, would really like tube type base model but could get by w/solid state mobile as well, tired of trying to score on eBay with it's inflated prices....reasonably priced replies please. State price/condition in your first email to me at wdecker1@rochester.rr.com . Also have stuff to swap.

3698. New chat room test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 18:58:32 UTC
From: Darkside <davie@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <t4chpi76sqdt28@gxsn.com>
Source record: 64982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

I have just setup a char room hosted om my pc i will leve it open for the next 2 hours to test it you can find it at www.romeo-india.co.uk I would apreciate any help.

thanks
davie 41

3699. Big Bob's New Linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 19:01:21 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A464801.913C78E7@qnet.com>
Source record: 65098

Hello All, thought you would get a kick out this one:

Big Bob just out of prison, said he would return Loud and Clear. Well he did. Last night the local channel rocked!

Big Bob comes on and says that he is back and wants to shoot a little skip and just wants to drop the hammer on a few.

Listing to all this I turn up the volume, and listen to the show.

Big Bob says: "Heah you hear me" Pinning my meter on the Drake R8, from about 5 mils away.

A local responds: "Heay Bob you out of jail, we need to go out and have a few"

Big Bob says: "Why yeah maybe even a few after that, ta toast Santy Clause!"

The local responds: "Yeah I got Christmas money and the car tonight. Wow Bob what's ya running over there a old Voice of America Broadcast Transmitter?"

Big Bob say: "Nope it ain't that big. Its just a pair of 4CX250's drivin a pair of 3CX3000's settin on low power. Don't want to disturb anyone!"

Big Bob comes back on sayin: "Heah why don't all the locals slide on over to my place and we'll toss a few down, the ol lady is ah cookin cookies and stuff. And I got room to park a Boeing 747 and I'll make sures all of ya leaves in ah taxi, all safe, sound, and liquored up!"

Laughing while falling out my chair, drying a tear from laughter. I think at all about all the stations out there hauling buns over to see a old friend recently released from prison and enjoying the Christmas Seasons.

God bless ya Big Bob!

Jay in the Mojave

3700. Re: Galaxy 99V w/100 Watt Twister Installed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 19:11:30 UTC
From: Dean <deanntx@tyler.net>
Message-ID: <3A464A62.32478547@tyler.net>
Source record: 64983

Well some call it a linear, some call it a modulator, it acts more like a modulator which combined with the radio power does well over 100 watt swing, thus creating quite a bit of audio performance.

Dean

wlfdad@my-deja.com wrote:

> In article <3A450D4E.20FC0657@tyler.net>,
> Dean <deanntx@tyler.net> wrote:
> > This radio is just a couple of months old, and very rarely used. It is
> > in new condition with a 100 watt Twister installed in the radio. The
> > internal speaker had to be romoved to make room for the Twister, but I
> > will include an external speaker.
> > I will also include a Astatic D104-M6C Power mic that is in new
> > condition as well.
> >
> > Asking $350 plus shipping for it all.
> >
> What is a twister? I have been in radio for years and never heard the
> term twister.
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

3701. Re: OT: REAL VIRUS WARNING-NO BS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 19:12:06 UTC
From: Nick <nnote@neta.com>
Message-ID: <925glhscv1@news.neta.com>
Source record: 64986
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.autos.makers.jeep+willys

Since your a little in the know, working for and ISP, what can be done about this stuff? I constantly get slammed with spam and spam+viruses and these people should have their hands cut off so that they cant compute...Most of these people don't bother to hide what ISP they are sending out from.
Nick

"YJ Nate" <war@netmagi.com> wrote in message
news:3A44F09F.91BE6EA5@netmagi.com...
> I work for an ISP, i can say first hand that this virus IS real. From
what
> ive seen it comes as an e-mail, ususally titled "Show white and the 7
> dwarves..." I have seen a few variants of the virus, it seems to mutate
> itself into different viruses but i dont know that for sure. I think the
> only way you can be infected is if you OPEN the attatchment. ive seen the
> attatchments come in a few different flavors, one was an EXE another was
an

3702. Re: CB dead in Silicon Valley?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 19:19:14 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001224141914.07629.00006811@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 64984

put it on channel 6 in the day. if you dont hear anything your radio is broke.

>
>It has been a week now, that I have been listening to CB channels 1 through
>23 in San Jose, California. I get static and noise.
>
>I tested this old rig with a channel 14 walkie talkie a few months ago. I
>could talk to myself OK.
>
>Can it be that there is really nobody left on CB?
>
>When I transmit, my TV set picture shows interference, so I figure I'm
>getting out, but nobody answers. I even tried channel 9, where all those
>REACT people used to be. Nothing.
>
>On channel 5 I can hear some intermod singing behind the noise, like skip of
>10 weak signals at once, but nothing is intelligible.
>
>Is CB dead?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

3703. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 19:24:15 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A464D5F.8AF1A41@oio.net>
Source record: 64985

Handy Andy,
I think I'm posting in the right place. I don't cross-post
at all.
The whole point is that I ~can't~ refer back to your post
because they are not correct in most cases. But, your correct
in that if I used your twisted explanations, sure, your posts
would make sense. You know, "garbage in, garbage out'.
Since I do think that the length of the feed line really doesn't
make any difference, I must not be able to make an antenna 'system'
that works. But, the antenna 'systems' I've used over the last
30 some years have worked reasonably well, in some instances, very
well. So, I don't think your opinion as to my antenna making
ability is close to reality (like your ideas about impedance/SWR,
etc).
All joking aside, if you would go back over the theory with
an open mind, I think you would find that you would have to
re-evaluate some of what you've said. You do real well, and
then you seem to take off on a tangent...
'Doc

3704. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 19:36:52 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A465054.2873C960@oio.net>
Source record: 64987

Handy Andy,
The same question;

:+> I am still waiting to hear what (wave) length of 50 ohm coax I
need
to achieve a
:+> 1:1 match at the radio when the antennas feedpoint impedance is 37
+ j0 Ohms.
:+> Anyone who claims you
:+> can adjust the SWR by changing length should be [able] to answer
this
simple, unanswered
:+> question.

The frequency of interest is 27.1 Mhz. What length of 50 ohm
coax???
'Doc

3705. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 19:48:34 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A465312.886EA9BF@oio.net>
Source record: 64988

Sparky,
I just can't believe you really believe that! It's
nonsense.
'Doc

3706. Re: New Handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 19:50:48 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A465398.63EBA8D9@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64990

Any CB; base, mobile or handheld; is limited by the antenna. Handhelds, with their small inferior antennas, are just about useless. Most don't have ANL circuits, which limit their usefulness even more. Get a decent mobile radio and best antenna you can afford. No thru-glass or cellular look-a-likes.

If you need portability, go with FRS or MURS.

GUYMO wrote:
>
> I am looking to purchase a new handheld cb and would appreciate any help I
> can get. I really want it to include SSB if such is available in a handheld.
> Is such a radio available? Comments?

3707. Re: OT: REAL VIRUS WARNING-NO BS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 19:56:28 UTC
From: Bill Beyer <bbeyer@pacifier.comXYZ>
Message-ID: <3a465364_2@news.pacifier.com>
Source record: 64989
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.autos.makers.jeep+willys

You need to remember that many of the more recent viruses are "self replicating" IOW they trick the system they infect into sending out emails with the virus attached to it. The email you get with viruses attached that are easily traceable are usually this variety.

The SPAM mail you get is a different story and should be reported to the ISP of the sender if at all possible. It sometimes takes some work to dig through the headers but if you do there are a couple of good resources for tracing it back to the originator:

For domain names (*.com, *.net, *.org, *.edu) use:

http://www.networksolutions.com/cgi-bin/whois/whois

For IP addresses (xxx.xxx.xxx.xxx) use:

http://www.arin.net/whois/arinwhois.html

Typing the information in will lead you to an email address you can contact to report them. Of course there's always the delete button.
--
/// Friends help you move...Real friends help you move bodies \\\

"Nick" <nnote@neta.com> wrote in message news:925glhscv1@news.neta.com...
> Since your a little in the know, working for and ISP, what can be done
about
> this stuff? I constantly get slammed with spam and spam+viruses and these
> people should have their hands cut off so that they cant compute...Most of
> these people don't bother to hide what ISP they are sending out from.
> Nick

3708. Re: Cherokee AH_100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 20:01:41 UTC
From: rted821836@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001224150141.24700.00007023@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 64991

Not produced anymore!!
FCC problems.

3709. Re: Galaxy 99V w/100 Watt Twister Installed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 20:03:26 UTC
From: rted821836@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001224150326.24700.00007024@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 64992

Its only a 50 watt amplifier. Check the transistor # and you'll see.

3710. Re: FS COBRA 148FGTLDX+

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 20:07:54 UTC
From: rted821836@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001224150754.24700.00007027@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 64993

Quite a bit high for used!!!!
I sell them new for $285 all converted.
Ralph

3711. Re: Aaron Voobner Wants To Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 20:44:47 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <925n7vdue1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64994

Okay, there's quite a number of questions from you all, so let's get right to 'em.

First off, it seems people are working too hard to locate and/or intimidate me. I'm not sure why, except perhaps the illegal amp builders are scared stiff I might turn them in. I won't. I just want some info, that's all.

And, no, I'm not N8WWM. I'm Aaron Harold Voobner. Just a guy who's had his fill of illegal dufuses mucking up his local CB band.

There is also a raging controversy that suggests that many people believe I am Mark Fuller. I would like to restate, that no, I am not Mark, but I have "read" him. However I can see how in certain dim brains anyone who can write an itelligent sentence without mispelling would appear to be Mark Fuller.

A Mr. TollFree writes to say that Mark Fuller was beaten to a pulp by unknown assailants and suggests that CBers/IBers or Dennis Ostrowski performed the vengeful act. I ask that any proof or evidence of this be brought forward, as this is a serious charge against Mr. Ostrowski and/or CBers, Toll.

Mr. Handy Andy writes to proffer his opinion that if I am Mark, I will react in some predictable way to his trick question about "operating CB while intoxicated". Andy, you want my opinion if this should be a prosecutable offense or not. I honestly have no idea. I never thought about it. I suppose that after a few drinks the CBer wouldn't be able to tune his splatterbox and the thing would blow up. End of problem.

Someone else writes to say that mentioning names in connection with illegal CB amp manufacturing could be construed as "slander". Hey, wait a minute. I thought you guys felt that breaking of FCC rules was minor league stuff. If so, then why the beef if someone names names?

My Holiday Thoughts Are With You,
Aaron H. Voobner

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3712. Re: Aaron Voobner Wants To Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 21:12:54 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <925p0b$q88$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64995

Blah, blah, your starting to get boring bud. Next time try to be more creative, I know its hard to out do your last act but if you don't start coming up with new ideas your going to lose your croweds attention. Up till now you have been some what entertaining, keep up the good work.

Merry Christmas,
KRS

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:925n7vdue1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Okay, there's quite a number of questions from you all, so let's get
> right to 'em.
>
> First off, it seems people are working too hard to locate and/or
> intimidate me. I'm not sure why, except perhaps the illegal amp builders
> are scared stiff I might turn them in. I won't. I just want some info,
> that's all.
>
> And, no, I'm not N8WWM. I'm Aaron Harold Voobner. Just a guy who's had
> his fill of illegal dufuses mucking up his local CB band.
>
> There is also a raging controversy that suggests that many people
> believe I am Mark Fuller. I would like to restate, that no, I am not
> Mark, but I have "read" him. However I can see how in certain dim brains
> anyone who can write an itelligent sentence without mispelling would
> appear to be Mark Fuller.
>
> A Mr. TollFree writes to say that Mark Fuller was beaten to a pulp by
> unknown assailants and suggests that CBers/IBers or Dennis Ostrowski
> performed the vengeful act. I ask that any proof or evidence of this be
> brought forward, as this is a serious charge against Mr. Ostrowski
> and/or CBers, Toll.
>
> Mr. Handy Andy writes to proffer his opinion that if I am Mark, I will
> react in some predictable way to his trick question about "operating CB
> while intoxicated". Andy, you want my opinion if this should be a
> prosecutable offense or not. I honestly have no idea. I never thought
> about it. I suppose that after a few drinks the CBer wouldn't be able to
> tune his splatterbox and the thing would blow up. End of problem.
>
> Someone else writes to say that mentioning names in connection with
> illegal CB amp manufacturing could be construed as "slander". Hey, wait
> a minute. I thought you guys felt that breaking of FCC rules was minor
> league stuff. If so, then why the beef if someone names names?
>

> My Holiday Thoughts Are With You,
> Aaron H. Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

3713. The Best Of Mark Fuller

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 21:18:03 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <925p69fdv1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 64996

Since many of you are obsessed with Mark Fuller, I thought I'd post some "golden oldies" (publicly available to all in the Deja archives) that some may find entertaining and informative.

Enjoy,

Aaron Voobner

Subject: Re: Suprise! Hams Aren't Pissed!
Date: 04/12/1999
Author: Mark A. Fuller <mfuller@primenet.com>

> On Fri, 09 Apr 1999 12:34:33 -0500, "Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com> (in <370E3A29.60E6CC4B@lucent.com>) wrote:

>Mark A. Fuller wrote:

>> On 9 Apr 1999 03:40:28 GMT, yrac@aol.com (Yrac) (in <19990408234028.28207.00000382@ng-fs1.aol.com>) wrote:

>> >... The gripes
>> >about amplifiers are coming from other CBers in this Newsgroup.

>> That's absolutely true. I am not a ham.

> Mark, I think it's time you told the truth here.!

>> I am practicing CW because I don't feel I have any other choice. I probably will be a ham soon.

> Did you lose you original Technician license or just fail to inform the >FCC of the move to Arizona?

Please see Message-ID: <37117373.884433599@news.primenet.com>

The people Dennis identifies as me are not me.

Mark
Second Amendment Law Library, recent legal scholarship at:
http://www.2ndlawlib.org/

Subject: Re: Ostrowski's recklessness
Date: 04/12/1999
Author: Mark A. Fuller <mfuller@primenet.com>

> In article <01be84a8$7ec897c0$a134d4cc@pos-staff>
> "Miles Davis" <MDD327@aol.com> writes:
>
>Just think, all of this can be avoided if you just stop complaining!
You've
>stated you want out of CB so get out already.
>
In article <bdmQ2.1836$Et1.21154@news12.ispnews.com>
> "Wildcat" <wildcat@tp.net> writes:
>
>You started this overdrawn saga by posting that you were the champion
of FCC
>Part 95 Regulations on behalf of the people, and that you were an
advocate
>of the liberty that Legislative and Administrative Laws provide us all.
>
Both of you are right. Unfortunately the point was the reckless
misidentification of innocent persons. I have little problem with the
heat from the debate over widespread illegal CB practices. What I have a
problem with is completely unrelated people portrayed as me; with the
person engaging in this reckless behavior acting as if anyone other than
those individuals can give him "permission" for his reckless behavior.
If someone has a beef with me, then have it with me. Using innocent
persons as a weapon against me is, at most,
expected from people who endorse criminal radio behaviors.
>
In article <3416-3711B83E-3@newsd-124.bryant.webtv.net>
FyrCracker@webtv.net writes:
>
>Texas Star CW transmitters may legally be sold and purchased by anyone.
>They may legally be used for CW operation on the 10 meter band by
>amateur operators with the proper license.
>
<chuckle>.> Keep telling yourself that.> It's a lot like the routine by
which "export" radios are sold domestically.> Advertised on the CB
newsgroup; you can listen, but not talk. <winky wink>
>
Either way, "Fyr," I would understand your point if I had attributed
your words to some poor innocent person.> I didn't.> I attributed them
to you.> Our only disagreement is whether posting a well-known source of
"CB linears" and a web page presenting mods and "Expo kit"
installation[1], could lead someone to reasonably conclude you are an
advocate of criminal CB practices.> This is especially relevant when you
had observed:
>
"the FCC is pretty much irrelevant. They have abandoned the CB
>
radio service by not enforcing anything; rules are meaningless
>

unless they are enforced on a consistent basis,..."[2]

I believe I adequately explained my opinion[3] and it is notable that I addressed my disagreement with you and not innocent parties as a means to get even with you.

On Mon, 12 Apr 1999 12:28:46 -0700, The Shark <!Indshark@ix.netcom.com> (in <3712496E.F0E5D7D1@ix.netcom.com>) wrote:
>
>... Are you concerned that you're pissing off the wrong people???
>if so why???
>
>> [1] Mr. Mark A Fuller
>>
>> [2] KE4SUJ FULLER, MARK A LIC ISU 16-NOV-1994
>>
>
> Exactly the same info I came up with over 3 weeks ago. Now I, as
>Dennis, as probably a lot of others that have done the exact same
>thing, feel that this info is correct and valid.

I don't expect much from advocates of criminal activities. I would, however, strongly suggest that you be very careful not to involve innocent people. Legal, illegal? guilty, innocent? I know it doesn't make much sense to you. But in the two cases Dennis presented (and I mentioned above), you will be dealing with people I don't even know. Continuing to allege these people are me is the cowardly thing to do.

>So if not, maybe as "The law abiding citizen" that you are you should
>inform this individual of this and provide legal counsel for him.

It appears Dennis identified two different individuals. And yes, I believe they will be contacted. But your concern was about as genuine as Dennis's wasn't it?

Mark

[1] Message-ID: <11042-366CBF29-192@newsd-151.iap.bryant.webtv.net> (CB Mods including
"Expo kit")
Message-ID: <22196-35C0BA54-19@newsd-153.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
(Texas Star parts posted to CB newsgroup)

[2] Message-ID: <3535-3705DB9E-15@newsd-124.bryant.webtv.net>

[3] Message-ID: <370eab35.702072644@news.primenet.com>
Message-ID: <370f2d44.735372689@news.primenet.com>

Second Amendment Law Library, recent legal scholarship

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3714. WTB power supply

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 21:41:27 UTC
From: swells8069@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001224164127.06499.00000031@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 64997

15 or 20 amp. 13.8 v output
Steve
Swells8069@aol.com

3715. Re: CB dead in Silicon Valley?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 22:28:00 UTC
From: Christopher Jou <jouc@oit.edu>
Message-ID: <3A467870.9579C8BF@oit.edu>
Source record: 65109

It can't be dead, i was talking to some locals on 17. There are some big strappers in San Jose. I myself am only 1 1/2 hours drive away from San Jose, and the CB scene is pretty lively. You might wanna get your radio or antenna checked out.

cj

Dave wrote:

> It has been a week now, that I have been listening to CB channels 1 through
> 23 in San Jose, California. I get static and noise.
>
> I tested this old rig with a channel 14 walkie talkie a few months ago. I
> could talk to myself OK.
>
> Can it be that there is really nobody left on CB?
>
> When I transmit, my TV set picture shows interference, so I figure I'm
> getting out, but nobody answers. I even tried channel 9, where all those
> REACT people used to be. Nothing.
>
> On channel 5 I can hear some intermod singing behind the noise, like skip of
> 10 weak signals at once, but nothing is intelligible.
>
> Is CB dead?

3716. Re: The Best Of Mark Fuller

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 22:36:38 UTC
From: #1 Keyclown <keyclown@n8wwmsmomshouse.com>
Message-ID: <WTu16.2581$1m.1315577@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 65099

hahahaa voobner or who ever you are I truly feel sorry for you I really do
it's Christmas Eve and you have nothing better to do than search deja news
for old CB Radio NG Posts I see u r Looking toTroll a little. Poor fellow
Lets all say a prayer tonite for him fellows
<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:925p69fdv1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Since many of you are obsessed with Mark Fuller, I thought I'd post some
> "golden oldies" (publicly available to all in the Deja archives) that
> some may find entertaining and informative.
>
> Enjoy,
>
> Aaron Voobner
> --
>
>
> Subject: Re: Suprise! Hams Aren't Pissed!
> Date: 04/12/1999
> Author: Mark A. Fuller <mfuller@primenet.com>
> > On Fri, 09 Apr 1999 12:34:33 -0500, "Dennis W. Ostrowski"
> <dwostrowski@lucent.com> (in <370E3A29.60E6CC4B@lucent.com>) wrote:
>
> >Mark A. Fuller wrote:
> >>
> >> On 9 Apr 1999 03:40:28 GMT, yrac@aol.com (Yrac) (in
> >> <19990408234028.28207.00000382@ng-fs1.aol.com>) wrote:
> >>
> >> >... The gripes
> >> >about amplifiers are coming from other CBers in this Newsgroup.
> >>
> >> That's absolutely true. I am not a ham.
> >
> > Mark, I think it's time you told the truth here.!
> >
> >> I am practicing CW because I
> >> don't feel I have any other choice. I probably will be a ham soon.
> >
> > Did you lose you original Technician license or just fail to inform
> the
> >FCC of the move to Arizona?
>
> Please see Message-ID: <37117373.884433599@news.primenet.com>
>
> The people Dennis identifies as me are not me.

>
> Mark
> Second Amendment Law Library, recent legal scholarship at:
> http://www.2ndlawlib.org/
>
>
> Subject: Re: Ostrowski's recklessness
> Date: 04/12/1999
> Author: Mark A. Fuller <mfuller@primenet.com>
> > In article <01be84a8$7ec897c0$a134d4cc@pos-staff>
> "Miles Davis" <MDD327@aol.com> writes:
> >
> >Just think, all of this can be avoided if you just stop complaining!
> You've
> >stated you want out of CB so get out already.
>
> In article <bdmQ2.1836$Et1.21154@news12.ispnews.com>
> "Wildcat" <wildcat@tp.net> writes:
> >
> >You started this overdrawn saga by posting that you were the champion
> of FCC
> >Part 95 Regulations on behalf of the people, and that you were an
> advocate
> >of the liberty that Legislative and Administrative Laws provide us all.
>
> Both of you are right. Unfortunately the point was the reckless
> misidentification of innocent persons. I have little problem with the
> heat from the debate over widespread illegal CB practices. What I have a
> problem with is completely unrelated people portrayed as me; with the
> person engaging in this reckless behavior acting as if anyone other than
> those individuals can give him "permission" for his reckless behavior.
> If someone has a beef with me, then have it with me. Using innocent
> persons as a weapon against me is, at most,
> expected from people who endorse criminal radio behaviors.
>
> In article <3416-3711B83E-3@newsd-124.bryant.webtv.net>
> FyrCracker@webtv.net writes:
> >
> >Texas Star CW transmitters may legally be sold and purchased by anyone.
> >They may legally be used for CW operation on the 10 meter band by
> >amateur operators with the proper license.
>
> <chuckle>. Keep telling yourself that. It's a lot like the routine by
> which "export" radios are sold domestically. Advertised on the CB
> newsgroup; you can listen, but not talk. <winky wink>
>
> Either way, "Fyr," I would understand your point if I had attributed
> your words to some poor innocent person. I didn't. I attributed them
> to you. Our only disagreement is whether posting a well-known source of
> "CB linears" and a web page presenting mods and "Expo kit"

> installation[1], could lead someone to reasonably conclude you are an
> advocate of criminal CB practices. This is especially relevant when you
> had observed:
>
> "the FCC is pretty much irrelevant. They have abandoned the CB
>
> radio service by not enforcing anything; rules are meaningless
>
> unless they are enforced on a consistent basis,..."[2]
>
> I believe I adequately explained my opinion[3] and it is notable that I
> addressed my disagreement with you and not innocent parties as a means
> to get even with you.
>
> On Mon, 12 Apr 1999 12:28:46 -0700, The Shark
> <!lndshark@ix.netcom.com> (in <3712496E.F0E5D7D1@ix.netcom.com>) wrote:
> >
> >... Are you concerned that you're pissing off the wrong people???
> >if so why???
> >
> >> [1] Mr. Mark A Fuller
> >>
> >> [2] KE4SUJ FULLER, MARK A LIC ISU 16-NOV-1994
> >>
> >
> > Exactly the same info I came up with over 3 weeks ago. Now I, as
> >Dennis, as probably a lot of others that have done the exact same
> >thing, feel that this info is correct and valid.
>
> I don't expect much from advocates of criminal activities. I would,
> however, strongly suggest that you be very careful not to involve
> innocent people. Legal, illegal? guilty, innocent? I know it doesn't
> make much sense to you. But in the two cases Dennis presented (and I
> mentioned above), you will be dealing with people I don't even know.
> Continuing to allege these people are me is the cowardly thing to do.
>
> >So if not, maybe as "The law abiding citizen" that you are you should
> >inform this individual of this and provide legal counsel for him.
>
> It appears Dennis identified two different individuals. And yes, I
> believe they will be contacted. But your concern was about as genuine
> as Dennis's wasn't it?
>
> Mark
>
> [1] Message-ID: <11042-366CBF29-192@newsd-151.iap.bryant.webtv.net> (CB
> Mods including
> "Expo kit")
> Message-ID: <22196-35C0BA54-19@newsd-153.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
> (Texas Star parts posted to CB newsgroup)

>
> [2] Message-ID: <3535-3705DB9E-15@newsd-124.bryant.webtv.net>
>
> [3] Message-ID: <370eab35.702072644@news.primenet.com>
> Message-ID: <370f2d44.735372689@news.primenet.com>
>
>
> Second Amendment Law Library, recent legal scholarship
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

3717. FA: 5-7A Power supply Last Day-No Reserve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 22:40:20 UTC
From: advanspec@aol.comburger
Message-ID: <20001224174020.12717.00006244@ng-ce1.aol.com>
Source record: 65100

Last day & No reserve PS-9KX regulated power supply. See:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1203117240&r=0&t=0&
showTutorial=0&ed=977776285&indexURL=0&rd=1

3718. Re: Aaron Voobner Wants To Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 22:55:09 UTC
From: Rat Bastard <ratb@ratsnest.com>
Message-ID: <h9v16.3514$He.1331873@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 65101

ok aaron, you failed again to answer any of the actual questions here are
the few that were asked that you failed to answer. What are you waiting for
.Plus No one asked if you were Fuller you take that assumption. and Yes
the use of names connected with a criminal activity with no proof to back up
your accusations is slander and perhaps much more.. Being an asshole is
priceless we are waiting for your answers.

1)btw why dont post thru your aol account?
2) How much money did
you make this year>
3)Care to post your tax return?
4) where do you live?
<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:925n7vdue1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Okay, there's quite a number of questions from you all, so let's get
> right to 'em.
>
> First off, it seems people are working too hard to locate and/or
> intimidate me. I'm not sure why, except perhaps the illegal amp builders
> are scared stiff I might turn them in. I won't. I just want some info,
> that's all.
>
> And, no, I'm not N8WWM. I'm Aaron Harold Voobner. Just a guy who's had
> his fill of illegal dufuses mucking up his local CB band.
>
> There is also a raging controversy that suggests that many people
> believe I am Mark Fuller. I would like to restate, that no, I am not
> Mark, but I have "read" him. However I can see how in certain dim brains
> anyone who can write an itelligent sentence without mispelling would
> appear to be Mark Fuller.
>
> A Mr. TollFree writes to say that Mark Fuller was beaten to a pulp by
> unknown assailants and suggests that CBers/IBers or Dennis Ostrowski
> performed the vengeful act. I ask that any proof or evidence of this be
> brought forward, as this is a serious charge against Mr. Ostrowski
> and/or CBers, Toll.
>
> Mr. Handy Andy writes to proffer his opinion that if I am Mark, I will
> react in some predictable way to his trick question about "operating CB
> while intoxicated". Andy, you want my opinion if this should be a
> prosecutable offense or not. I honestly have no idea. I never thought
> about it. I suppose that after a few drinks the CBer wouldn't be able to
> tune his splatterbox and the thing would blow up. End of problem.
>

> Someone else writes to say that mentioning names in connection with
> illegal CB amp manufacturing could be construed as "slander". Hey, wait
> a minute. I thought you guys felt that breaking of FCC rules was minor
> league stuff. If so, then why the beef if someone names names?
>
> My Holiday Thoughts Are With You,
> Aaron H. Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

3719. Re: Aaron Voobner Wants To Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 23:22:56 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <yxv16.29591$9T2.1612063@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 65116

"Bendowersagain" <bendowersagain@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001224093827.01730.00002676@ng-mc1.aol.com...
> >Richard McCollum" rmccoll@radiks.net said
>
> >> We know who you are, don't we Dougy.
>
> >Having just made a 'threat' on an interstate wire,
> > It is a pretty fair bet you have earned a place on a
> dipstick data base
>
> Richard
> I'm not aware that a threat has been implied. Can you enlighten me?
>

In and of itself, it isn't. Now assume that he gets pushed in front of the 8 o'clock trolley, has a 16 foot concrete statue of a Toledo Mud Hen dropped on him, gets in a crossfire of Michiganders invading the Toledo Strip, or gets beaten to a bloody pulp by a committee of his neighbors. Now try defending your comment. Not the brightest comment in the history of newsgripes.

Speaking of which, and not wanting to be listed on the bozo file as a 'known associate', mind if I treat you like you had a social disease for a while???

3720. Re: Merry Christmas 2 All

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 23:37:04 UTC
From: drdamage165@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001224183704.10719.00006471@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 65102

merry christmas right back at ya

DR. DAMAGE IN THE GRASS

3721. Re: The Best Of Mark Fuller

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 23:42:29 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <9261l5$kt8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65103

In article <WTu16.2581$1m.1315577@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
"#1 Keyclown" <keyclown@n8wwmsmomshouse.com> wrote:
> hahahaa voobner or who ever you are I truly feel sorry for you I
really do
> it's Christmas Eve and you have nothing better to do than search deja
news
> for old CB Radio NG Posts I see u r Looking toTroll a little. Poor
fellow
> Lets all say a prayer tonite for him fellows

And you have nothing better to do than rig up a fake alias and answer me. Poor fellow. My family is busy in the kitchen preparing a feast for tomorrow so I have SCADS of time to post lots of things for your enjoyment. Later tonight we'll be attending the Bolshoi Ballet while you plink beer cans in the back yard with your BB gun.

Aaron

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3722. Re: Aaron Voobner Wants To Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-24 23:48:13 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <9261vt$l6v$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65104

In article <h9v16.3514$He.1331873@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
"Rat Bastard" <ratb@ratsnest.com> wrote:
> ok aaron, you failed again to answer any of the actual questions here

I am beginning to think N8WWM is right. When one tries to begin a
meaningful discussion about CB - all you fellows can come up with are
childish schoolyard games and personal attacks.

Aaron

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3723. Schematic Palomar 250 HELP.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 00:01:14 UTC
From: cf <cfox007@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A468E4A.4186A564@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 65105

I am looking for a schematic for the palomar 250 amp.
gave one to much gas it popped a capactior .The amp still has output.Is
there a way to up the value for a little more drive?
thanks chris

3724. Re: Aaron Voobner Wants To Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 00:18:49 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A469269.5A1B4A8D@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65107

I think you are an anonymous liar. There are no "Voobner"'s in
any directory searches anywhere on the net. That makes you a troll.

> Aaron H. Voobner
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

Only a troll uses deja.com to post.

3725. Re: Aaron Voobner Wants To Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 00:22:41 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a4691d9.332186@news.cet.com>
Source record: 65106

On Sun, 24 Dec 2000 23:48:13 GMT, aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:

>In article <h9v16.3514$He.1331873@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
> "Rat Bastard" <ratb@ratsnest.com> wrote:
>> ok aaron, you failed again to answer any of the actual questions here
>
>I am beginning to think N8WWM is right. When one tries to begin a
>meaningful discussion about CB - all you fellows can come up with are
>childish schoolyard games and personal attacks.

That behavior should be expected. The real irony is that some of them make a hobby out of communication when they can't even complete a sentence (unless it's in jail).

Sparky, FLRC

3726. Murphys Law Theory!!! (Read)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 00:26:47 UTC
From: "@!@" <ezypezy@ureach.com>
Message-ID: <bvw16.8555$KY1.23037@news1.rivrw1.nsw.optushome.com.au>
Source record: 65108
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Murphy's Comment on the Origin of Murphy's Law

"Murphy's Law was not propounded by Murphy,
but by another man of the same name."

3727. Re: Boomer 400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 00:51:26 UTC
From: Bill Eitner <kd6tas@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <01c06e0c$99b4cdc0$5c645d3f@eniac>
Source record: 65110

ChrisHalinar <chrishalinar@gateway.net> wrote in article
<20001224114231.04314.00003203@ng-fp1.news.gateway.net>...
> I have a Boomer 400 mobile amp and was woundering if someone knows the
specs on
> this amp,

I can give you some generalized info.

> freq range/

It has no output filtering and employs the
broadbanded design that is supposed to cover
3-30 MHz. The downside is that it doesn't
have any gain leveling, so it'll become more
and more unstable due to increasing gain as
the operating frequency decreases. It was
designed as a CB band amp.

> in and out power/

It has quite a bit of gain, but it compresses
when you try to get every watt out of it. Up
to the rating of the transistors (it uses four
70 watt rating transistors) I would say the
gain is around 13 dB or 20 times power multi-
plication. That means 14 in for 280 out. By
the time you get to the label claim (400) the
gain has compressed by at least several dB.
Optimistically, the gain is around 10 dB (10
times power multiplication) at the label claim.
That means 40 in for 400 out. As for a maximum
power spec, I'd say the labelclaim is about as
hard as I'd want to push it.

> current draw/

That depends on how it is operated, but I'd say
around 20 amps is typical.

> ect.

Those amps are pretty unstable, and the bias is
grounded out at the factory even though the label
claim is for AB biasing. Reactivating the bias
(at a reduced (Class B) level) and adding negative
feedback makes those amps alot nicer. If you're
interested, I can provide a specific mod package.

> Thanks,

You're welcome.

> Big H

--

-=[Bill Eitner]=-

Link to A.M. Tutorial, rec.radio.cb FAQ
and The Dark Side:
http://kd6tas.conk.com

3728. Re: Aaron Voobner Wants To Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 00:55:11 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <9265tenno1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65114

In article <3A469269.5A1B4A8D@hotmail.com>,
"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
> I think you are an anonymous liar. There are no "Voobner"'s in
> any directory searches anywhere on the net. That makes you a troll.
>
> > Aaron H. Voobner
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>
> Only a troll uses deja.com to post.
>

Hmm, wait a second, there's no "Scott" or "Scott Unit 69" anywhere on any directory searches on the net. Come to think of it, there's no "Mr.137ILL" or "IceColdNY" or even "Tnom" anywhere on the net. There's no "Twistedhead" listed either. Gosh, golly, gee-willikers! YOU guys must ALL be trolls - since you post anonymously using "hotmail" and other similar accounts.

Also I see your MO is to try and steer the discussion away from illegal activity and onto on the individual who's opinion you don't agree with. You figure if you can get a guy into a name-calling contest, you avoid having to discuss the real issues.

Like who is the guy behind EXPORTS-R-US, and is he the real owner of illegal CB amplifier companies like DaveMade, X-Force, etc?

Aaron H. Voobner

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3729. Re: Louder PA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 01:00:02 UTC
From: Eddie Haskell <harleybum@easyriders.com>
Message-ID: <m_w16.27445$qN6.4349110@typhoon.we.rr.com>
Source record: 65112

I don't know about the Rat-Shack stuff....but I sure like my Unitrol 80K (With airhorn) and my University 100Watt driver behind the grill :) Eddie

3730. Re: Galaxy 88 or 99. whats the difference? to Bill

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 01:02:07 UTC
From: Bill Eitner <kd6tas@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <01c06e0e$196634e0$5c645d3f@eniac>
Source record: 65113

> Bill, this is for mobile use in a big truck. I am not interested in
> the toys such as roger beep and echo. I hate roger beeps with a
> passion. I am interested in a radio with plenty of power and the extra
> channels.

The 88 and 99 are popular, but if you don't need all
the extra stuff, why not try something like an export
Grant or a Midland 79-290. The idea is to not buy a
radio with more crap than you need. I know too many
guys with 99s in trucks where the channel selector is
damn near rusted in place because they never change
channels. Buy what you really need, not what you
think you might use.

> Another radio that caught my interest was a Ranger 2970. I
> would be glad to hear opinions on this one.

A separate radio and amp is a better choice in my
opinion. 2970s have too many bugs.

--

-=[Bill Eitner]=-

Link to A.M. Tutorial, rec.radio.cb FAQ
and The Dark Side:
http://kd6tas.conk.com

3731. Looking for Siltronix Stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 01:05:46 UTC
From: Bill <grassa@mpinet.net>
Message-ID: <3A469D6A.981F1275@mpinet.net>
Source record: 65111

Need a nice shape Siltronix FD-1011 (The 40 channel printout on the chart on the front only!!). Pay cash and fedex or swap new stuff..

Also Looking for a Pristine LA-550 AMP , Siltronix Speaker , Mic , Meter.....

Trade new cb stuff for or buy outright....

http://home.mpinet.net/grassa

3732. Re: OT: REAL VIRUS WARNING-NO BS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 01:16:59 UTC
From: kizmiaz@mediaone.net
Message-ID: <3A469FF4.7292CC73@mediaone.net>
Source record: 65115
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.autos.makers.jeep+willys

Nick wrote:
>
> Since your a little in the know, working for and ISP, what can be done about
> this stuff? I constantly get slammed with spam and spam+viruses and these
> people should have their hands cut off so that they cant compute...Most of
> these people don't bother to hide what ISP they are sending out from.
> Nick

Hi Nick,

The first thing to do is get rid of MS Outlook!! It's a horrible product that should never have been foisted upon the world.

The second thing to do is find better mail and news readers. Qualcomm has a free version of their Eudora mail app, and Forte has a free copy of Agent (newsreader). Both of these progs beat MS Lookout for functionality, ease of use, and security. You can also use Netscape for mail/news, but be sure to disable java in mail & news messages...also, if you can, find an older version (4.X will work nicely)...the latest version (6) is a big hunk o' poo.

The third thing to do is keep your virus sigs up to date, and scan any and all attachments you receive before looking at them. It doesn't matter whether it's from a total stranger, or from your best buddy (who may have inadvertently sent you an infected file)...scan them all.

Chances are, if you use Lookout, you probably also use MS Word. If you do, be sure to disable macros, otherwise an innocent looking document can take advantage of some security holes in the crappy program, and have Word do nasty things to/with your computer.

Regardless of what some tagnut down at Compusa may tell you, Microsoft is not your friend. They do not make computing more fun, nor do they make people more productive. They sell crappy, half-baked products that can often create more problems than they solve.

As for people getting their hands cut off for sending you a virus (and/or reporting them to an ISP), keep in mind that if you receive a virus these days, it's most likely been spread with Outlook. The person who sent it to you had no idea (s)he was doing so. Some simple lines of code the (s)he rec'd in an email had Outlook do it all on its own.

The *only* person to blame if your computer gets a virus is the person looking back at you from the mirror...

>
> "YJ Nate" <war@netmagi.com> wrote in message

> news:3A44F09F.91BE6EA5@netmagi.com...
> > I work for an ISP, i can say first hand that this virus IS real. From
> what
> > ive seen it comes as an e-mail, ususally titled "Show white and the 7
> > dwarves..." I have seen a few variants of the virus, it seems to mutate
> > itself into different viruses but i dont know that for sure. I think the
> > only way you can be infected is if you OPEN the attatchment. ive seen the
> > attatchments come in a few different flavors, one was an EXE another was
> an

3733. Re: Aaron Voobner Wants To Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 01:27:59 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <9267qt$p3h$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65117

```
In article <9265te$nno$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <3A469269.5A1B4A8D@hotmail.com>,
> "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > I think you are an anonymous liar. There are no "Voobner"'s in
> > any directory searches anywhere on the net. That makes you a troll.
> >
> > > Aaron H. Voobner
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > http://www.deja.com/
> >
> > Only a troll uses deja.com to post.
> >
>

this guy must be n8's twin brother,......cd is out.....
> Hmm, wait a second, there's no "Scott" or "Scott Unit 69" anywhere on
> any directory searches on the net. Come to think of it, there's no
> "Mr.137ILL" or "IceColdNY" or even "Tnom" anywhere on the net. There's
> no "Twistedhead" listed either. Gosh, golly, gee-willikers! YOU guys
> must ALL be trolls - since you post anonymously using "hotmail" and
> other similar accounts.
>
> Also I see your MO is to try and steer the discussion away from
illegal
> activity and onto on the individual who's opinion you don't agree
with.
> You figure if you can get a guy into a name-calling contest, you avoid
> having to discuss the real issues.
>
> Like who is the guy behind EXPORTS-R-US, and is he the real owner of
> illegal CB amplifier companies like DaveMade, X-Force, etc?
>
> Aaron H. Voobner
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/
```

3734. Re: OT: REAL VIRUS WARNING-NO BS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 01:45:59 UTC
From: Jerry Bransford <jerrypb@home.com>
Message-ID: <3A46A744.B94D2EE@home.com>
Source record: 65118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.autos.makers.jeep+willys

How do you 'disable macros' in MS Word? I can't find the option or
setting that does that. I have an option 'checked' that says to protect
against macro viruses but that's all I can find.

Jerry

kizmiaz@mediaone.net wrote:
>
> Nick wrote:
> >
> > Since your a little in the know, working for and ISP, what can be done about
> > this stuff? I constantly get slammed with spam and spam+viruses and these
> > people should have their hands cut off so that they cant compute...Most of
> > these people don't bother to hide what ISP they are sending out from.
> > Nick
>
> Hi Nick,
>
> The first thing to do is get rid of MS Outlook!! It's a horrible product that
> should never have been foisted upon the world.
>
> The second thing to do is find better mail and news readers. Qualcomm has a
> free version of their Eudora mail app, and Forte has a free copy of Agent
> (newsreader). Both of these progs beat MS Lookout for functionality, ease of
> use, and security. You can also use Netscape for mail/news, but be sure to
> disable java in mail & news messages...also, if you can, find an older version
> (4.X will work nicely)...the latest version (6) is a big hunk o' poo.
>
> The third thing to do is keep your virus sigs up to date, and scan any and all
> attachments you receive before looking at them. It doesn't matter whether it's
> from a total stranger, or from your best buddy (who may have inadvertently sent
> you an infected file)...scan them all.
>
> Chances are, if you use Lookout, you probably also use MS Word. If you do, be
> sure to disable macros, otherwise an innocent looking document can take
> advantage of some security holes in the crappy program, and have Word do nasty
> things to/with your computer.
>
> Regardless of what some tagnut down at Compusa may tell you, Microsoft is not
> your friend. They do not make computing more fun, nor do they make people more
> productive. They sell crappy, half-baked products that can often create more
> problems than they solve.
>
> As for people getting their hands cut off for sending you a virus (and/or

> reporting them to an ISP), keep in mind that if you receive a virus these days,
> it's most likely been spread with Outlook. The person who sent it to you had no
> idea (s)he was doing so. Some simple lines of code the (s)he rec'd in an email
> had Outlook do it all on its own.
>
> The *only* person to blame if your computer gets a virus is the person looking
> back at you from the mirror...
>
> >
> > "YJ Nate" <war@netmagi.com> wrote in message
> > news:3A44F09F.91BE6EA5@netmagi.com...
> > > I work for an ISP, i can say first hand that this virus IS real. From
> > what
> > > ive seen it comes as an e-mail, ususally titled "Show white and the 7
> > > dwarves..." I have seen a few variants of the virus, it seems to mutate
> > > itself into different viruses but i dont know that for sure. I think the
> > > only way you can be infected is if you OPEN the attatchment. ive seen the
> > > attatchments come in a few different flavors, one was an EXE another was
> > an

--
Jerry Bransford
PP-ASEL KC6TAY
The Zen Hotdog... make me one with everything!
Geezer Jeep: http://www.jjournal.net/jeep/gallery/JBransfordsTJ/

3735. Re: WTB:Clean 100 watt amp?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 01:52:28 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001224205228.02411.00005865@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 65119

bill how much are those amps going these days?

>The term "100 watts" doesn't mean much until a suffix
>is added to it. In other words, is it 100 average, RMS, PEP,
>or peak? It's like saying an antenna has 10 "DB" of gain.
>Without a reference it doesn't mean anything.
>
>100 average (aka RMS) watts equals 400 peak (aka PEP) watts.
>
>If N4CDG is asking what the cleanest 100 average/400 peak
>watt 10/11 meter monoband (as opposed to broadband and band-
>switched solid-state HF amps) amp is, I would say the
>Blue Face XL450 (can say Cobra, Galaxy, Palomar, or Magnum
>on the front panel) is it.
>--

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

3736. Re: Aaron Voobner Wants To Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 01:56:14 UTC
From: bendowersagain@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001224205614.01679.00003283@ng-mc1.aol.com>
Source record: 65120

>"Richard McCollum" rmccoll@radiks.net
Said
>in and of itself, it isn't.

>Speaking of which, and not wanting to be listed on the bozo file as a
>'known associate', mind if I treat you like you had a social disease for a
>while???

To late Good Buddy. We're Pals now.

3737. Re: Aaron Voobner Wants To Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 02:25:49 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A46B02D.E5527137@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65121

I use Hotmail for my newsgroup postings for spam and virus protection. If you were to send me an email, it would not be bounced back as undeliverable. I answer all email. I don't think you searched because I get a lot of spam. So this email address is bought sold and traded daily. The bad thing is I don't get a cent from any of the spammers.

If I was trying to hide, the first thing I would do is get an email from deja.com. I searched "Voobner" on the person search at superpages.com. Not a single "Voobner" in the US.

Who's hiding now? Does N8wwm keep you warm at night?

3738. Re: CB dead in Silicon Valley?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 02:29:29 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A46B109.9C823CF6@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65122

Without trying, I just spoke to a guy in the Mojave Desert at 9:25 PM EST.
Don't know how far Silicon Valley is from southern California, but the left
coast is alive and well and being heard in my radio on the right coast.

>> >
> > Is CB dead?
No, but Paul is. <;

3739. Re: Boomer 400

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 02:36:55 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A46B2C7.BA153C62@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65124

Bill Eitner wrote:
> As for a maximum
> power spec, I'd say the labelclaim is about as
> hard as I'd want to push it.

I would expect the 400 watt limit is either a peak amount
or the amount of DC input watts.

I would think a 4 device amp would use a bit more DC power
then 20 amps. Collector current for each device can be from
10 to 15 amps.

I draw a good 20+ amps from a Texas Star 250+ (I say 250+
because it is no longer in it's stock configuration) That's
enough to be heard coast to coast on sideband in the mobile.
I just did it at 9:25PM EST while running out for coffee.

3740. Re: OT: REAL VIRUS WARNING-NO BS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 02:40:40 UTC
From: Bill Beyer <bbeyer@pacifier.comXYZ>
Message-ID: <3a46b21f$1_1@news.pacifier.com>
Source record: 65123
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.autos.makers.jeep+willys

Also in with regards to using MS Word it's a good idea to make your Normal template read only. Some viruses overwrite the Normal.dot file and use it to replicate themselves. Use the Find...Files command in Windows Explorer and find the normal.dot file and change the attributes to read only. If you want to change it down the road then you can change it back.
--
/// Friends help you move...Real friends help you move bodies \\\

<kizmiaz@mediaone.net> wrote in message
news:3A469FF4.7292CC73@mediaone.net...
>
> Chances are, if you use Lookout, you probably also use MS Word. If you do, be
> sure to disable macros, otherwise an innocent looking document can take
> advantage of some security holes in the crappy program, and have Word do nasty
> things to/with your computer.

3741. WTB Dentron DTR-2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 02:47:05 UTC
From: cf <cfox007@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A46B529.CCA65983@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 65125

WTB Dentron DTR-2000
Must be in good shape,no junk please
thanks chris

3742. Re: OT: REAL VIRUS WARNING-NO BS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 02:59:32 UTC
From: LXIX <post_replys_please@this.address.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <oKy16.4398$aI6.971112@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 65126
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.autos.makers.jeep+willys

"Jerry Bransford" wrote ...
> How do you 'disable macros' in MS Word? I can't find the option or
> setting that does that. I have an option 'checked' that says to protect
> against macro viruses but that's all I can find.

That's the only one.

You can disable windows scripting host.

Rename C:\windows\wscript.exe
to something like wscript.disable.exe

There are few applications out there that take
advantage of windows scripting host. And the
majority of those are viruses.

--LXIX--

3743. Happy Holidays!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 03:14:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A46BB78.91E97387@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65127

Be on the lookout for reindeer droppings. They are not frozen chocolates!

Greetings of the Season to everybody, sandbaggers and all!

3744. Re: Schematic Palomar 250 HELP.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 03:21:59 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A46BD68.BB3A08A1@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65128

Try http://www.cbtricks.com/Amp/ampindx.htm

cf wrote:
>
> I am looking for a schematic for the palomar 250 amp.
> gave one to much gas it popped a capactior .The amp still has output.Is
> there a way to up the value for a little more drive?
> thanks chris

3745. Re: OT: REAL VIRUS WARNING-NO BS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 03:58:52 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <926gl0$66uoh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 65129
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.autos.makers.jeep+willys

"Don Roy" <don@micronetlaw.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.14aeedceaf584023989714@nntp.bestweb.net...
> What does it do? All I have heard here so far is that it is real and how
to
> recognize it.

I don't really want to find out...

--
Regards,
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

3746. Re: CB dead in Silicon Valley?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 04:15:46 UTC
From: glc1173@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001224231546.11747.00005004@ng-mm1.aol.com>
Source record: 65130
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Tim wrote:
>put it on channel 6 in the day. if you dont >hear anything your radio is
>broke.

Ch. 19 activity dropped sharply past two days here in North Carolina -
because so many truckers are off the road for Christmas with their families.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Dissident news - plus immigration, gun rights, nationwide weather
Al Gore - in his own words
ALAMANCE INDEPENDENT:
official newspaper of the Vast Right Wing Conspiracy
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

3747. Re: BROWNING MARK 3 (LATE VERSION) EXCELLENT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 04:23:44 UTC
From: idcdatanet@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001224232344.00835.00003799@ng-mm1.aol.com>
Source record: 65132

I'll give you $400.00 Lemmme Know....

3748. Re: Christmas Spirit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 04:24:39 UTC
From: nealbfinn@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001224232439.17292.00000233@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 65133

<< I wish you all a safe and happy holiday season. >>

Same to you bruddah

Jazz 887 on the beach in Southern Cal

3749. Re: OT: REAL VIRUS WARNING-NO BS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 04:29:56 UTC
From: Jerry Bransford <jerrypb@home.com>
Message-ID: <3A46CDB2.38B9733B@home.com>
Source record: 65134
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.autos.makers.jeep+willys

Thanks LXIX, I took your advice and renamed wscript.exe. Now my system better not crash or I'll come a-lookin' for ya <g>!

Jerry

LXIX wrote:
>
> "Jerry Bransford" wrote ...
> > How do you 'disable macros' in MS Word? I can't find the option or
> > setting that does that. I have an option 'checked' that says to protect
> > against macro viruses but that's all I can find.
>
> That's the only one.
>
> You can disable windows scripting host.
>
> Rename C:\windows\wscript.exe
> to something like wscript.disable.exe
>
> There are few applications out there that take
> advantage of windows scripting host. And the
> majority of those are viruses.
>
> --LXIX--

--
Jerry Bransford
PP-ASEL KC6TAY
The Zen Hotdog... make me one with everything!
Geezer Jeep: http://www.jjournal.net/jeep/gallery/JBransfordsTJ/

3750. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 04:30:11 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-2ECFC9.23225524122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 65131

In article <sqia4toea7qkbn71gp85h1uie3nrt95uul@4ax.com>,
codyspc@iserv.net wrote:

> Greetings!
>
> Doc, show me a man that believes "Coax length doesn't matter" and I'll
> show you "A man that has no experience in putting any radio system
> together."

I guess I'm the exception to your rule. Except for a small number
of installations that relied on quarter wave matching transformers,
I have never had an installation that required a specific length of
coax.

> All I've seen is quoting direct and verbatim from books, but not one
> shred of direct evidence from the real world to back up the length
> doesn't matter claims.

I don't quote specific experiences because they are SINGULARITIES.
Statistically, they mean almost nothing. Theory is based on countless
observations made over many decades. When I have a situation that,
at first look, appears to buck the theory, I study it to reconcile
the difference. My one observation cannot disprove tens of thousands
of observations; there must be an explanation. But that doesn't stop
you. You saw a coax length that appeared to matter; therefore, it
matters to you and you insist to the whole world that it matters
when you've got nothing but a misunderstood observation as your
evidence.

> Koax Kops haven't given anyone any methods or
> techniques to try for experiements to prove that side of the story.

Actually, I've posted quite a bit of information: from calculations
to descriptions of operation. And I'm always there to back it up.

I shoot a hole in these claims and I get no response but cheap,
personal attacks like "Koax Kops will never understand."
Put up or shut up. You can't do it.

> At least I don't get those results.

You see. This is exactly what I said. You say (paraphrased) "I didn't
see it therefore all that theory is nothing."

> And yet someone can re-create these 'myths' - as the Koax Kops call
> it, simply by putting up an antenna and having problems.

Which "myth" are you talking about? Be specific and show us what
is wrong with it -- or shut up.

> By saying "Coax Length doesn't matter" doesn't resolve certain issues.

Such as?

Claiming that a specific length does help is not only patently false,
it perpetuates a misunderstanding about RF and solves nothing.

3751. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 04:43:47 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-DA69E9.23363224122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 65135

In article <3a462542.11284121@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:
>
> On 24 Dec 2000 04:35:53 GMT, Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote:
>
> I don't disagree that coax has a certian attenuation. I disagree that
> SWR changes with line length, as I explained in the part you . . .

And Sparky is wrong again. SWR is, in essence, the ratio of reflected
power to forward power. As you move along a transmission line with
losses from the antenna to the radio, the reflected power diminishes
due to attenuation. Furthermore, the forward power strengthens
due to less attenuation. The attenuation is making the ratio of
reflected power to forward power change. Thus, the SWR changes.

And it can be measured.

> >Think about it. The forward and reflected power have to be constant
> >along the length of transmission line. You cannot have power transfer
> >if either the voltage or the current are zero.
>
> I never said they disappear. I said, "At this point the standing
> wave is indistiguishable from the signal, since it has the same
> impedace as the signal."

Again, Sparky is wrong. The magnitude of the impedance is the same
but the impedance is not. If the SWR were 1:1, the impedance would
have an angle of zero degrees. When there is reflected power (anytime
the SWR is not 1:1), the impedance angle is nonzero at these points.

This IS distinguishable. A simple SWR meter with directional couplers
will detect it.

> I should have qualified that by saying the problem is with the method
> of detection. Some cheap "SWR" meters measure only impedance.

I'd say a meter that can actually measure impedance is good; not cheap.

> Many,
> including most that are built into CB radios, measure the RF voltage
> in forward phase and compare it to reverse phase, but do not
> compensate for the resulting standing wave, which can distort the
> measurements.

Absolutely false. Even cheap and built-in SWR meters use directional
couplers that immunize them from any such measurement "distortion."

3752. Merry Christmas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 05:35:39 UTC
From: jscotty@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001225003539.04147.00002608@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Source record: 65136

Merry Christmas too all.73,Scotty

3753. Re: Galaxy 99V w/100 Watt Twister Installed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 06:36:03 UTC
From: "James S. Burns" <jsburns@cplx.com>
Message-ID: <3A46EAD2.F809672F@cplx.com>
Source record: 65137

I'd be interested in knowing where you got the radio and the twister... It sounds like it should be an excellent combination. I used to run cross country so I know about the major portion of radio shops (even though I haven't been out of the Buckeye for a couple of years) and what lines they handle as well as what services they offer.

Dean wrote:

> This radio is just a couple of months old, and very rarely used. It is
> in new condition with a 100 watt Twister installed in the radio. The
> internal speaker had to be romoved to make room for the Twister, but I
> will include an external speaker.
> I will also include a Astatic D104-M6C Power mic that is in new
> condition as well.
>
> Asking $350 plus shipping for it all.

3754. Re: G.E. Superbase for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 06:53:37 UTC
From: schwerd5@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001225015337.07611.00007246@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 65138

want to trade .have 8- pill amp brand new. 1700 watt pep. SCHWERD5@aol.com

3755. Re: HR2510 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 07:01:33 UTC
From: schwerd5@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001225020133.07611.00007247@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 65139

have a 250 watt cookie built amp.less than 8 hrs. talking time on unit.consider trade.SCHWERD5@aol.com

3756. Re: Turner Super Sidekick ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 07:42:59 UTC
From: -=PEAKABOO=- <peakaboo@keydowns.com>
Message-ID: <wJC16.664$e77.105002@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Source record: 65140

It is a piece of thin aluminum. It is held in place with adhesive, pop it
out with a needle or an icepick.

--

-=PEAKABOO=-

"Trying Not To Choke,
On That 10 Ohm Smoke"

Check Out My Home Page
http://www.geocities.com/MotorCity/Highway/5648/boos_page.html
http://www.geocities.com/watergate_rollin

"Racer X" <ARACERX@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:3209-3A43F6A4-73@storefull-112.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
So how do I get the plug out? Is it threaded? Do I have to pry it out ?

Thanks again,

David A Van Horn
Calm veteran of the psychic war

3757. Re: CB dead in Silicon Valley?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 08:42:22 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <92719e$g5l$9@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 65142

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
: Without trying, I just spoke to a guy in the Mojave Desert at 9:25 PM EST.
: Don't know how far Silicon Valley is from southern California, but the left
: coast is alive and well and being heard in my radio on the right coast.

Not far, it is the San Francisco Bay area.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

3758. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 09:03:19 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <9272gn$7lo$1@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 65141

Handy Andy <CodysPC@iserve.net> wrote:

: Doc, show me a man that believes "Coax length doesn't matter" and I'll
: show you "A man that has no experience in putting any radio system
: together."

It does matter, but not in the way that some claim. E.G - tuning for minimum SWR when the only coax is one length between the rig and the antenna.

: There's too many variables in real life to simply discard the fact
: that coax does what it does.

True. And what it does is precisely defined by known formulas.

: All I've seen is quoting direct and verbatim from books, but not one
: shred of direct evidence from the real world to back up the length
: doesn't matter claims. Koax Kops haven't given anyone any methods or
: techniques to try for experiements to prove that side of the story. At
: least I don't get those results. I get results similar to events that
: I am discussing here - are you on the same page as everyone else?

Would you believe anthing that was not quoted from authority? Have you tried the various experiments that I have outlined in various posts that would allow you to prove or disprove the claims I have made?

: A dummy load doesn't radiate? LOL! Well, so much for the lossless coax
: superconductor theories. We just try not to generate interference with
: other stations - but we've had conversations on dummy loads before and
: they are very effective for short range stealth "Snipe hunts".

Sure, a dummy load radiates. If properly constructed, it radiates little or no detectable radiation. It radiates it as heat instead.

The dummy loads that are commonly available do radiate a bit of rf. As you state, they can be used for Fox Hunts - not "Snipe hunts".

: :If coax length didn't work like it does when antennas are not resonate
: :due to problems with poor ground images on one location - steps need to
: :be taken to fix the problem - either by re-locating the antenna or
: :improving ground image area by fixing the mount connections.

: And yet someone can re-create these 'myths' - as the Koax Kops call
: it, simply by putting up an antenna and having problems.

No, a person can do something that appears (on their SWR meter) to do something that is not happening. I posted the reasons why this occurs.

: If you look back, and I seem to have to repeat it all the time I'm out
: here, my posts are for the issue that coax length shouldn't matter you
: need to fix something.

Unless you are using a matching section of coax with a different impedance
than the regular coax - length does not matter (except for the regular
small additional attenuation you get from resistive losses in the coax.

We are talking about one run of coax with a given characteristic
impedance here. Matching stubs are a different matter, as they are
either open or shorted at one end.

: Simple problems that generate and perpetuate the myth are actually
: methods that coax properties have, and will always occur, when you use
: antennas over a conductive surface that is not ideal and try to make
: it work like a dipole.

It does not matter if the load is bananced or not - the same rules
apply. If you think that earth conductivity does not matter with
dipoles, then you need to get some experience with them.

: "There's two sides to every element" means just that - you need the
: other half of the image to make the system work. When it's not ideal,
: no antenna that is resonate on one particular ground will be able to
: be resonate on all types of surfaces.

Actually, it probably will be resonant - but the load point resistance
will change, as will (most likely) the resonant frequency.

: By saying "Coax Length doesn't matter" doesn't resolve certain issues.

: Don't beleive me? Re-read my posts.

I believe I have addressed all the issues, and explained them, in past
recent posts.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

3759. Re: Fire 'em up!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 11:27:31 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A472F23.4CD637C7@qnet.com>
Source record: 65146

Hello Bill: Yeah I'll bet it is 0800 Hrs where your at!

I still have to fire up the wood burning stove, got the computer on line, wrap a few presents, fire up the coffee pot, and of course I have my ear on about 5 radios (two scanners, the 757, R8, and CB on the local channel), which is all clear at this time. But it's only 0625 now.

Merry Christmas to ya Bill, I am sure you will enjoy the holiday season.

Jay in the Mojave, at jay123a@qnet.com .....just down the road ah ways from the fillin station.

Bill wrote:
>
> It's 0800, kids, let's hear those new radios Santa left under the tree!!!!!!
> The band is OPEN!!!!!! The socks and undrwear can wait!
>
> Merry Christmas!!!!!!!!

3760. Fire 'em up!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 13:17:05 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <INH16.54149$7I.6167980@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 65143

It's 0800, kids, let's hear those new radios Santa left under the tree!!!!!!
The band is OPEN!!!!!! The socks and undrwear can wait!

Merry Christmas!!!!!!!!

3761. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 13:55:18 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <2eke4tgn1ql8imbk7upq86krq2k2ia8vae@4ax.com>
Source record: 65144

snip
>: There's too many variables in real life to simply discard the fact
>: that coax does what it does.
>
>True. And what it does is precisely defined by known formulas.
snip

False. And what it does is not always defined by known formulas.

Unwanted parallel currents are not defined by well known formulas
or the use of a smith chart. This is the crux of the dispute. The
"square heads" of this world must equate these formulas and the
use of the smith chart even if they have to ignore what their own
eyes see. Their own eyes see, if they have any long term experience
with mobile antenna installation, that the SWR reading can change
with different coax lengths. They are either ignorant or intentionally
blind to this happening.

That is why they are :"square heads". They can't see outside of their
neat little organized box. They deny what they can't equate.

3762. Lets hear it.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 14:06:54 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29359-3A47547E-3@storefull-125.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65145

Merry Christmas to everyone. Now let's hear them new big ass amps and rigs that were under the tree! Skips a running!

3763. Re: Fire 'em up!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 16:11:38 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <_kK16.64377$7g.7213057@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 65147

Same thing back at ya, Jay (and everyone else)!
Kids are curled up in respective corners, new Playstation battles being won, CD's listened to, good smells comin' from the kitchen, and even got a chance to sneak into the shack when I was in the barn feeding the critters for a few contacts on .485 with the old Console II. (440SAT getting dusty, old memories of old friends on our hotrodded crystal rigs drinkin' coffee all night long come back every time I key the Plus 2.) Time to put another pot on the fire...life is good.

Jay in the Mojave wrote in message <3A472F23.4CD637C7@qnet.com>...
>Hello Bill: Yeah I'll bet it is 0800 Hrs where your at!
>
>I still have to fire up the wood burning stove, got the computer on
>line, wrap a few presents, fire up the coffee pot, and of course I have
>my ear on about 5 radios (two scanners, the 757, R8, and CB on the local
>channel), which is all clear at this time. But it's only 0625 now.
>
>Merry Christmas to ya Bill, I am sure you will enjoy the holiday season.
>
>Jay in the Mojave, at jay123a@qnet.com .....just down the road ah ways
>from the fillin station.
>
>Bill wrote:
>>
>> It's 0800, kids, let's hear those new radios Santa left under the
tree!!!!!!
>> The band is OPEN!!!!!! The socks and undrwear can wait!
>>
>> Merry Christmas!!!!!!!!

3764. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 16:35:45 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a477753.3796196@news.cet.com>
Source record: 65148

On Mon, 25 Dec 2000 08:55:18 -0500, tnom@mucks.net wrote:

>snip
>>: There's too many variables in real life to simply discard the fact
>>: that coax does what it does.
>>
>>True. And what it does is precisely defined by known formulas.
>snip
>
>False. And what it does is not always defined by known formulas.
>
>Unwanted parallel currents are not defined by well known formulas
>or the use of a smith chart. This is the crux of the dispute. The
>"square heads" of this world must equate these formulas and the
>use of the smith chart even if they have to ignore what their own
>eyes see. Their own eyes see, if they have any long term experience
>with mobile antenna installation, that the SWR reading can change
>with different coax lengths. They are either ignorant or intentionally
>blind to this happening.
>
>That is why they are :"square heads". They can't see outside of their
>neat little organized box. They deny what they can't equate.

Right on the money. You can argue about "ideal" transmission lines and "ideal" antennas all day, but they are only a starting point for the complex set of variables that are met in reality. Just a short list of things that can affect an antenna are: material (ferrous v. non-ferrous); corrosion; deposits (rain, dust, bird droppings, etc.); corona ball; proximity to structures; and the widely variable ground effects. There are many more. You can quickly move up to vector analysis and have integrals coming out of your ears, such as analyzing what happens when you start routing coax. That can be loads of fun if you are a masochist. Put all these variables together and you have what amounts to a "fudge factor".

The ideal system is the best place to start, but follow some simple rules to avoid problems. Like, use 18 feet just in case that bridge was too low, wind excess coax into a figure 8 just in case your jack handle gets lost, and double-ground your radio just in case a cockroach starts chewing on your wires. These are a few of many common practices, and there is a good reason to follow them - ignore them and sooner or later you will have problems.

Sparky, FLRC

3765. Re: CB dead in Silicon Valley?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 17:18:40 UTC
From: Dave <cubit@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <927vkh$4m02$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 65149

Thanks for all the responces. Sounds like I need a new radio. Now, at least, I know which channels to monitor locally.

3766. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 18:13:17 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <L6M16.57$Z4.45442@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 65151

"Sparky" <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message
news:3a477753.3796196@news.cet.com...
> On Mon, 25 Dec 2000 08:55:18 -0500, tnom@mucks.net wrote:
> Right on the money. You can argue about "ideal" transmission lines and
> "ideal" antennas all day, but they are only a starting point for the
> complex set of variables that are met in reality. Just a short list of
> things that can affect an antenna are: material (ferrous v.
> non-ferrous); corrosion; deposits (rain, dust, bird droppings, etc.);
> corona ball; proximity to structures; and the widely variable ground
> effects. There are many more. You can quickly move up to vector
> analysis and have integrals coming out of your ears, such as analyzing
> what happens when you start routing coax. That can be loads of fun if
> you are a masochist. Put all these variables together and you have
> what amounts to a "fudge factor".
>
> The ideal system is the best place to start, but follow some simple
> rules to avoid problems. Like, use 18 feet just in case that bridge
> was too low, wind excess coax into a figure 8 just in case your jack
> handle gets lost, and double-ground your radio just in case a
> cockroach starts chewing on your wires. These are a few of many common
> practices, and there is a good reason to follow them - ignore them and
> sooner or later you will have problems.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

To those of us old enough to remember Tarzan movies on the Saturday
afternoon tube, these common practices are named after the extras dancing
around the fire (in the tropics???) for $27.50 and a bag of used food
stamps. Lacking the time, interest, and money for a proper rehearsal,
they just sort of jumped around bellowing 'ugga-bugga, ugga-bugga'

So all the wondrous 'rules of thumb', rituals, and fetishes are known
collectively in our shop as UGGA-BUGGAs. So if you cannot justify
traditional practice in theory, just start chanting 'Ugga-Bugga,
Ugga-Bugga, Pow-KaPow'.

3767. Re: CB dead in Silicon Valley?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 18:24:29 UTC
From: ZEKESTAR@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22233-3A4790DD-5@storefull-108.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65155

channel 19 is busy in wv.

3768. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 18:25:30 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-8CC716.13181425122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 65152

In article <2eke4tgn1ql8imbk7upq86krq2k2ia8vae@4ax.com>, tnom@mucks.net wrote:

> Unwanted parallel currents are not defined by well known formulas
> or the use of a smith chart.

No argument there. What you call parallel currents are what I call common mode currents -- same thing. I've addressed common mode currents.

> This is the crux of the dispute.

Well, not in every case. There are a number of different claims being made.

> The
> "square heads" of this world must equate these formulas and the
> use of the smith chart even if they have to ignore what their own
> eyes see. Their own eyes see, if they have any long term experience
> with mobile antenna installation, that the SWR reading can change
> with different coax lengths. They are either ignorant or intentionally
> blind to this happening.

I've seen this and I don't deny it. But there are two big problems when discussing common mode current problems with coax length:

1) There is no specific length of coax that is likely to cure, or even help reduce, common mode current problem. These myths that require 18' of coax have no basis in fact.

2) Even if you found a length of coax that did reduce your common mode current problem, it would be a poor solution. There are several good ways to attack this problem; varying the coax length is not one of them.

> That is why they are :"square heads". They can't see outside of their
> neat little organized box. They deny what they can't equate.

Oh, and there is a third problem:

3) Folks who can't understand problems (1) and (2) won't engage in rational discussion/debate/research; they will just call you a "square head."

3769. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 18:29:30 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-DFFEFC.13221425122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 65153

In article <3a477753.3796196@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> Right on the money. You can argue about "ideal" transmission lines and
> "ideal" antennas all day, but they are only a starting point for the
> complex set of variables that are met in reality. Just a short list of
> things that can affect an antenna are: material (ferrous v.
> non-ferrous); corrosion; deposits (rain, dust, bird droppings, etc.);
> corona ball; proximity to structures; and the widely variable ground
> effects. There are many more. You can quickly move up to vector
> analysis and have integrals coming out of your ears, such as analyzing
> what happens when you start routing coax. That can be loads of fun if
> you are a masochist. Put all these variables together and you have
> what amounts to a "fudge factor".
>
> The ideal system is the best place to start, but follow some simple
> rules to avoid problems. Like, use 18 feet just in case that bridge
> was too low, wind excess coax into a figure 8 just in case your jack
> handle gets lost, and double-ground your radio just in case a
> cockroach starts chewing on your wires. These are a few of many common
> practices, and there is a good reason to follow them - ignore them and
> sooner or later you will have problems.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

Hee hee. Here's a man that REQUIRES fudge factors in an attempt
to justify his beliefs.

He is ingoring me because he can't answer my questions.
But he keeps spewing nonsense that he can't back up.

3770. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 18:43:18 UTC
From: maul13@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001225134318.25520.00005176@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 65154

I sure don't have a problem getting them I have 14 of them on the shelf....

3771. Re: OT: REAL VIRUS WARNING-NO BS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 18:59:11 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001225135911.01308.00001462@nso-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 65156

Yeah I got the same thing in an email. I dl it and luckily my antivirus caught it and I just deleted it!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

3772. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 18:59:12 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001225135912.01308.00001463@nso-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 65157

In article <920gto$st3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, curious_dan@my-deja.com writes:

>yeh , ice but geez, would don't you think xforce is trying to make
>their proverbial wad off of this internet thing. i mean don't you think
>their prices are way out of line?,.....cd is out...

They are a bit high. I do think however there are some guys who have no choice
and are still paying that high price!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

3773. TEST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 20:12:23 UTC
From: g h b <ghb_2000@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <3A47AA26.B023A73B@swbell.net>
Source record: 65150

Trying to set up Netscape for Newsgroups

3774. Re: Looking for Siltronix Stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 20:19:07 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001225151907.20930.00007012@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 65159

i have a nice siltronix like you want. works perfect and looks good. make a offer to my email. what do you have to swap?

>
>Need a nice shape Siltronix FD-1011 (The 40 channel printout on the
>chart on the front only!!). Pay cash and fedex or swap new stuff..
>
>Also Looking for a Pristine LA-550 AMP , Siltronix Speaker , Mic ,
>Meter.....
>
>Trade new cb stuff for or buy outright....
>
>http://home.mpinet.net/grassa

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

3775. Looking for Siltronix Stuff...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 20:22:10 UTC
From: Bill <grassa@mpinet.net>
Message-ID: <3A47AC72.C8025361@mpinet.net>
Source record: 65158

Need a nice shape Siltronix FD-1011 (The 40 channel printout on the chart on the front only!!). Pay cash and fedex or swap new stuff..

Also Looking for a Pristine LA-550 AMP , Siltronix Speaker , Mic , Meter.....

Trade new cb stuff for or buy outright....

http://home.mpinet.net/grassa

3776. Re: Aaron Voobner Wants To Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 21:09:17 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001225160917.23864.00003653@nso-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 65163

In article <9265tenno1@nnrp1.deja.com>, aaronvoobner@my-deja.com writes:

>Like who is the guy behind EXPORTS-R-US, and is he the real owner of
>illegal CB amplifier companies like DaveMade, X-Force, etc?

Truth is.....
NOBODY REALLY CARES!!!!!!
Now have a pleasant holiday!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

3777. Re: Aaron Voobner Wants To Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 21:09:18 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001225160918.23864.00003654@nso-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 65161

In article <922tjk$hk7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, aaronvoobner@my-deja.com writes:

>However, the brazen thuggery and underworld
>aspects displayed by those in the illegal amp business intrigues me
>greatly.

WHY?

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

3778. Re: who has n8wwm's picture?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 21:09:19 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001225160919.23864.00003656@nso-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 65160

In article <t4b16tkq6cgof2@corp.supernews.com>, "ONE37"
<ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM> writes:

>Email me pump..

Yeah let's put his picture back up!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

3779. Re: who has n8wwm's picture?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 21:09:19 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001225160919.23864.00003657@nso-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 65162

In article <3A44E8D5.668F23EE@hotmail.com>, "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> writes:

>http://hometown.aol.com/n8wwm/

He took it down already. I think he didn't want people to see the real him!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

3780. Re: N8wwm's new and improved web page.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 21:09:19 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001225160919.23864.00003655@nso-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 65164

In article <20001223153253.03999.00005444@ng-ct1.aol.com>, n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) writes:

>>Hello N8wwm: Think this time in the photo we could get a fresh shirt,
>>shave, wash the face, and even comb your hair? Maybe Mom could hold the
>>camera steady to?
>>
>>Just trying to help you out here.
>>
>
>You don't have to, but I certainly appreciate it...keyclown.

What is a keyclown?

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

3781. Re: MIDLAND 75-830

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 21:17:30 UTC
From: Steve <Skramy@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <KPO16.4916$bU.335852@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 65349

Midland 75-830.
That's what I got. Was looking for a small sized one for my car, but saw this was clearance priced and I figured I needed another toy.
It doesn't have much range with the rubber duck. Especially indoors or in a vehicle. The power supply/antenna attachment is kind of unhandy in a vehicle. It wasn't really meant to be used in a vehicle.
But outdoors it's really handy. I clip it to my belt when I ride bike. I got NiMH's for mine. My charger for my ham handheld works on the battery pack. Has about 2 mile range with full power and rubber duck. It's handy for short communication.
I'm still looking for a pint-sized one for my car. And another Kenwood BC-14 charger if anyone has one.
You might want to price NiCad's or NiMH's & charger before you get one if not included.
SteveS

3782. Re: CB dead in Silicon Valley?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 22:20:38 UTC
From: glc1173@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001225172038.04332.00003786@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 65165
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Doug wrote:
>channel 19 is busy in wv.

It's normally busy here in central N.C. too - just not this weekend, when seemingly all the trucks are off the road so that the truckers can spend Christmas with family.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Dissident news - plus immigration, gun rights, nationwide weather
Al Gore - in his own words
ALAMANCE INDEPENDENT:
official newspaper of the Vast Right Wing Conspiracy
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

3783. Antenna Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 22:28:31 UTC
From: Lou <tech@dp.net>
Message-ID: <928i5e$5rj$1@news.dp.net>
Source record: 65166

So, you wanted a new CB/10 Meter Base Antenna and the jolly guy in red done forgot to drop one off. Well, if you still want one, here you go.

4 - Brand new CB/10 Meter base station antennas being sold on clearance. These are Radio Shack brand stock number # 210-0969. They listed for $79.99 Their newest model lists for $69.99. So you still save at least $10!

$60.00 SHIPPED!

These are on clearance and can not be returned.

Postal Money Order gets it out in same day..... Checks must clear the bank before shipping.

PA residents "must" include 6% tax.

Fell free to inquire of my dealings before you buy.
--
Thank you,

Lou/Tech@DP.NET

C.L. Furlong Enterprises
514 Railroad Street
P.O. Box 289
Stockdale, PA 15483
Phone: 724-938-7808
E-Mail: Tech@DP.NET

Electronics: Repairs, Parts (new & salvage), Older AND New manuals covering such areas as Service, Training, Study, FCC License Service for Amateur, Commercial and 2 way radio station licenses.

Gifts: New items for most anyone or occasion and Fund Raising plans.

Also, year round Hamfest and Flea market type tables, featuring lots of good deals on used items such as ham items, test equipment, CBs, one of a kinds, slightly blemished items, returns, etc. If you are looking for anything no matter how strange it may seem, e-mail or call us. If we don't have it, we may be able to get it.

3784. Re: N8wwm's new and improved web page.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 23:20:03 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <928kqo$1hcs$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 65168

When you use little characters like " :) " to show exspession in your
e-mail.

KRS

COLD as ICE <icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD> wrote in message
news:20001225160919.23864.00003655@nso-fl.aol.com...
> In article <20001223153253.03999.00005444@ng-ct1.aol.com>,
n8wwm@aol.comnospam
> (N8wwm) writes:
>
> >>Hello N8wwm: Think this time in the photo we could get a fresh shirt,
> >>shave, wash the face, and even comb your hair? Maybe Mom could hold the
> >>camera steady to?
> >>
> >>Just trying to help you out here.
> >>
> >
> >You don't have to, but I certainly appreciate it...keyclown.
>
> What is a keyclown?
>
> COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It
don't
> mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!

3785. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-25 23:22:12 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a47d50d.1481546@news.cet.com>
Source record: 65167

On Mon, 25 Dec 2000 18:29:30 GMT, after suffering premature ejaculation during masterbation, Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com> wiped himself with his keyboard and the following keys were pressed:

>Hee hee. Here's a man that REQUIRES fudge factors in an attempt
>to justify his beliefs.
>
>He is ingoring me because he can't answer my questions.
>But he keeps spewing nonsense that he can't back up.

It's Christmas, so to keep with the spirit I'll give you one more chance. We'll take this step by step.

Step #1: What frequencies and/or harmonics of the citizen's band are resonant on 14, 18, and 21 foot lengths of RG-8?

Careful, I'm setting you up, and this isn't a trick question this time.

3786. Re: CB dead in Silicon Valley?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 00:07:38 UTC
From: Bendthelite@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2202-3A47E14A-13@storefull-113.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65170

If your transmissions are causing interference on your television, then im assuming that you are using a magnet mount antenna in your house, or some similar setup?

Buy a Solorcon Antron 99 and sitck the base of it about 36 to 72 feet up in the air. You will hear thousands of transmissions via skywave propagation from all over the United States, and the world, most every day.

3787. Re: N8wwm's new and improved web page.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 00:08:28 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A47E17C.9209BC5F@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65169

We all know those cute little computer symbols called "emoticons,"
where :) means a smile and :(is a frown. Sometimes these are
represented by :-)and :-(respectively.

Well, how about some "ass-cons"?

(_!_) a regular ass

(__!__) a fat ass

(!) a tight ass

(_._) a flat ass

(_^^_) a bubble ass

(_*_) a sore ass

(_!__) a lop-sided ass

{_!_} a swishy ass

(_o_) an ass that's been around

(_O_) an ass that's been around even more

(_x_) kiss my ass

(_X_) leave my ass alone

(_zzz_) a tired ass

(_o^^o_) a wise ass

(_E=mc2_) a smart ass

(_13_) an unlucky ass

(_$_) Money coming out of his ass

(_?_) Dumb ass

N8wwm's ass:
..oo*"""**oo.oo*""*oo..
. oo*" "*o.o*" "*o.
. o" 'o" "o
o o *o
.o o 'o
o o o.
o o o.



You have been e-mooned

3788. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 00:14:51 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A47E2FB.8E415226@oio.net>
Source record: 65171

Sparky wrote:
>
> On Mon, 25 Dec 2000 18:29:30 GMT, after suffering premature
> ejaculation during masterbation, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wiped himself with his keyboard and the
> following keys were pressed:
>
> >Hee hee. Here's a man that REQUIRES fudge factors in an attempt
> >to justify his beliefs.
> >
> >He is ingoring me because he can't answer my questions.
> >But he keeps spewing nonsense that he can't back up.
>
> It's Christmas, so to keep with the spirit I'll give you one more
> chance. We'll take this step by step.
>
> Step #1: What frequencies and/or harmonics of the citizen's band are
> resonant on 14, 18, and 21 foot lengths of RG-8?
>
> Careful, I'm setting you up, and this isn't a trick question this
> time.

Sparky,
First, how about telling what relevance this has to anything?
More obfuscation of the fact that you are unable to answer the
question put to you several times, even after giving you all the
information you requested. Give it up, oh yee of little knowledge.
Your really getting to be a bore with your pseudo technical
fertilizer.
'Doc

3789. ^^ICE^^

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 01:01:45 UTC
From: Gunsmoke <rich@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3a47ec2c$0$77893$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
Source record: 65172

Can ice on your antenna effect your SWR ?

3790. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 01:51:18 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <jcuf4t0heova3b61kl2r2rvrn8j5cb2rmc@4ax.com>
Source record: 65173

snip
>I've seen this and I don't deny it. But there are two big problems
>when discussing common mode current problems with coax length:
>
>1) There is no specific length of coax that is likely to cure, or
>even help reduce, common mode current problem. These myths
>that require 18' of coax have no basis in fact.

I'll have to disagree here. Parallel currents can produce a bad
termination for the transmitter. A proper choice of coax length
won't remove these currents but will reduce the SWR measurement
at the transmitter. Thus creating a better load for the transmitter.
>
>2) Even if you found a length of coax that did reduce your common mode
>current problem, it would be a poor solution. There are several good
>ways to attack this problem; varying the coax length is not one of them.

I'll agree that the best solution is to eliminate parallel currents.
However from a manufacturers standpoint he knows that there
will be some installations that induce unwanted parallel currents.
If this is the case then 18 feet will best mask a parallel current
problem. That is why Wilson, Firestik and others will specifically
call out the 18 foot length.

>> That is why they are :"square heads". They can't see outside of their
>> neat little organized box. They deny what they can't equate.
>
>Oh, and there is a third problem:
>
>3) Folks who can't understand problems (1) and (2) won't engage in
>rational discussion/debate/research; they will just call you a "square
>head."

You dispute the existence of "square heads"?..... Can you say
Chrysler?

3791. Re: ^^ICE^^

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 02:14:53 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A47FF1C.92547403@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65174

The Top Ten List:

Ice, snow, rain, dust, dirt, bugs and birdsh!t.
Corrosion or oxidation at the coax connector or elsewhere.
And the #1 reason: moisture in the coax.

Gunsmoke wrote:
>
> Can ice on your antenna effect your SWR ?

3792. Re: ^^ICE^^

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 02:26:08 UTC
From: youknowitoke@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001225212608.11902.00000930@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Source record: 65175

yep, that about sums it up

3793. Re: ^^ICE^^

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 02:30:48 UTC
From: Marvin Born <mborn@wbns.com>
Message-ID: <3A4802D8.34EC75EB@wbns.com>
Source record: 65176

In a word.. YES.

Gunsmoke wrote:

> Can ice on your antenna effect your SWR ?

3794. Re: Big Bob's New Linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 02:39:31 UTC
From: youknowitoke@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001225213931.11902.00000933@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Source record: 65177

Just wondering, what was bob locked up for anyway?sounds like a pretty cool guy, i just got out of prison myself (for selling acid and weed to a cop) but i havnt gotten back on the air yet, i cant wait though!!!!

3795. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 02:41:07 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a4901dc.5996887@news.gate.net>
Source record: 65178

On 23 Dec 2000 04:26:13 GMT, Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote:

* In article <91g7qi$87d$1@iac5.navix.net>, "Fred Hambrecht"
* <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
*
*: You have narrowed the universe to a specific set of conditions which
*: has no application in the real world. A million CBers have
*: changed the length of their coax and observed the SWR change. Why?
*
*Let us modify this to make that last statement correct. "Many CBers have
*changed the length of their coax and observed an apparent SWR change."
*
*Note the "apparent".
****

Correct. What is measured in not the SWR at the antenna but what the impedance
the transmitter sees looking toward the load at some distance determined by the
coax length. Some equivalent impedance.

****
*Now, here is a quiz for you. A good RF meter (such as a Bird) uses a
*section of transmission line to tap off the voltages/currents necessary
*to measure the power on the coax. That line section is 50 ohms.
*
*Tell me what happens when the input impedance of the coax at the output
*of the meter is not 50 ohms. Is it possible that, due to this mismatch,
*that some of the reflected power is reflected back towards the antenna,
*rather than being measured by the meter?
****

If the source reflection coefficient is not zero, Yes the relected power from
the load will be reflected back to the load from the source. If refelcted back
to the load it would not necessarily be measured.

****
*What does this do, in an SWR meter, to the indicated SWR?
****

If the reflected power from the load is reflected at the SWR meter back toward
the load. Then I- will be zero and any SWR meter will not detect that current.
No reverse current therefore not reverse power. 1:1 reading.

That makes sense and kind of what I was searching for. I knew there had to be a
logical reason for why the SWR meter reads 1:1. Once pointed ou,t is becomes
clear as day.

```
*****************************
*Note that we are talking about measured SWR here, not actual SWR on
*the coax between the meter and the antenna.
*
*Another little quiz.
*
*Place your SWR meter at the antenna. Let us assume that there is a 2:1
*indicated SWR.
*
*Now, change the length of the coax between the transmitter and the
*SWR meter.
*
*Does the SWR meter reading at the antenna change? If so, why? If it
*doesn't, why not?
*******************************8
```

The SWR at the antenna will change only if the load impedance changes or the characteristic impedance of the coax changes.

james

3796. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 02:49:59 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a4a0560.6896565@news.gate.net>
Source record: 65179

On Sat, 23 Dec 2000 15:14:41 GMT, "BigPoppaPump" <iamyourhookup@eatme.com>
wrote:

*Bill these are hams you are talking to they no nothing about Bird Meters
*they use the Rad shack meters

Radio Shack's digital meter uses a dierctional coupler that is callibrated over
2 ot 30 Mhz. At 50 Mhz the power is off by at least 3 dB. I checked mine with a
dual directional coupler and a spectrum analyzer and found it to be as close as
any Bird meter. Though I check the power accuracy only to about 100 watts.
Unable to check higher power levels. What makes Bird meters so nice is with the
proper slug they are usable from 1 to about 500 Mhz and from 5 to 10KW power
levels. Still they are only 5% accuracy.

james

****
*"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
*news:9219h5flh2@bashir.peak.org...
*> In article <91g7qi$87d$1@iac5.navix.net>, "Fred Hambrecht"
*> <Fred@w4jle.com> wrote:
*>
*> : You have narrowed the universe to a specific set of conditions which
*> : has no application in the real world. A million CBers have
*> : changed the length of their coax and observed the SWR change. Why?
*>
*> Let us modify this to make that last statement correct. "Many CBers have
*> changed the length of their coax and observed an apparent SWR change."
*>
*> Note the "apparent".
*>
*> Now, here is a quiz for you. A good RF meter (such as a Bird) uses a
*> section of transmission line to tap off the voltages/currents necessary
*> to measure the power on the coax. That line section is 50 ohms.
*>
*> Tell me what happens when the input impedance of the coax at the output
*> of the meter is not 50 ohms. Is it possible that, due to this mismatch,
*> that some of the reflected power is reflected back towards the antenna,
*> rather than being measured by the meter?
*>
*> What does this do, in an SWR meter, to the indicated SWR?
*>
*> Note that we are talking about measured SWR here, not actual SWR on
*> the coax between the meter and the antenna.
*>

*> Another little quiz.
*>
*> Place your SWR meter at the antenna. Let us assume that there is a 2:1
*> indicated SWR.
*>
*> Now, change the length of the coax between the transmitter and the
*> SWR meter.
*>
*> Does the SWR meter reading at the antenna change? If so, why? If it
*> doesn't, why not?
*>
*> --
*> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
*>
*

3797. Re: online cb radio's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 02:53:20 UTC
From: youknowitoke@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001225215320.11902.00000938@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Source record: 65180

the link doesnt work

3798. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 02:56:54 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a4b0840.7632583@news.gate.net>
Source record: 65181

On Mon, 25 Dec 2000 04:30:11 GMT, Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
wrote:

*In article <sqia4toea7qkbn71gp85h1uie3nrt95uul@4ax.com>,
*codyspc@iserv.net wrote:
*
*> Greetings!
*>
*> Doc, show me a man that believes "Coax length doesn't matter" and I'll
*> show you "A man that has no experience in putting any radio system
*> together."
*
*I guess I'm the exception to your rule. Except for a small number
*of installations that relied on quarter wave matching transformers,
*I have never had an installation that required a specific length of
*coax.
****

Very corect. My 6 meter loop requires a 1/4 wave matching section.

****
*> All I've seen is quoting direct and verbatim from books, but not one
*> shred of direct evidence from the real world to back up the length
*> doesn't matter claims.
*
*I don't quote specific experiences because they are SINGULARITIES.
*Statistically, they mean almost nothing. Theory is based on countless
*observations made over many decades. When I have a situation that,
*at first look, appears to buck the theory, I study it to reconcile
*the difference. My one observation cannot disprove tens of thousands
*of observations; there must be an explanation. But that doesn't stop
*you. You saw a coax length that appeared to matter; therefore, it
*matters to you and you insist to the whole world that it matters
*when you've got nothing but a misunderstood observation as your
*evidence.
****

that is so eloquently stated. Can I repeat it in future references?

****
*> Koax Kops haven't given anyone any methods or
*> techniques to try for experiements to prove that side of the story.
*
*Actually, I've posted quite a bit of information: from calculations
*to descriptions of operation. And I'm always there to back it up.
*

*I shoot a hole in these claims and I get no response but cheap,
*personal attacks like "Koax Kops will never understand."
*Put up or shut up. You can't do it.
****

That is his standard operating proceedure. If you disagree with his belief then
he resorts to attacks when nothing else will work.

****
*> At least I don't get those results.
*
*You see. This is exactly what I said. You say (paraphrased) "I didn't
*see it therefore all that theory is nothing."
*
*> And yet someone can re-create these 'myths' - as the Koax Kops call
*> it, simply by putting up an antenna and having problems.
*
*Which "myth" are you talking about? Be specific and show us what
*is wrong with it -- or shut up.
*
*> By saying "Coax Length doesn't matter" doesn't resolve certain issues.
*
*Such as?
*
*Claiming that a specific length does help is not only patently false,
*it perpetuates a misunderstanding about RF and solves nothing.
****

james

3799. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 02:59:23 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a4c0922.7859430@news.gate.net>
Source record: 65182

On 25 Dec 2000 09:03:19 GMT, Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote:

*Handy Andy <CodysPC@iserve.net> wrote:
*
*: Doc, show me a man that believes "Coax length doesn't matter" and I'll
*: show you "A man that has no experience in putting any radio system
*: together."
*
*It does matter, but not in the way that some claim. E.G - tuning for
*minimum SWR when the only coax is one length between the rig and the
*antenna.
*
*: There's too many variables in real life to simply discard the fact
*: that coax does what it does.
*
*True. And what it does is precisely defined by known formulas.
*
*: All I've seen is quoting direct and verbatim from books, but not one
*: shred of direct evidence from the real world to back up the length
*: doesn't matter claims. Koax Kops haven't given anyone any methods or
*: techniques to try for experiements to prove that side of the story. At
*: least I don't get those results. I get results similar to events that
*: I am discussing here - are you on the same page as everyone else?
*
*Would you believe anthing that was not quoted from authority? Have you
*tried the various experiments that I have outlined in various posts that
*would allow you to prove or disprove the claims I have made?
*
*: A dummy load doesn't radiate? LOL! Well, so much for the lossless coax
*: superconductor theories. We just try not to generate interference with
*: other stations - but we've had conversations on dummy loads before and
*: they are very effective for short range stealth "Snipe hunts".
*
*Sure, a dummy load radiates. If properly constructed, it radiates little
*or no detectable radiation. It radiates it as heat instead.
*
*The dummy loads that are commonly available do radiate a bit of rf. As
*you state, they can be used for Fox Hunts - not "Snipe hunts".
****

I used to talk to a neigbor three houses down the street often into a dummy
load. People thought he was crazy. Dummy loads radiate but not very efficiently.

james
****

*: :If coax length didn't work like it does when antennas are not resonate
*: :due to problems with poor ground images on one location - steps need to
*: :be taken to fix the problem - either by re-locating the antenna or
*: :improving ground image area by fixing the mount connections.
*
*: And yet someone can re-create these 'myths' - as the Koax Kops call
*: it, simply by putting up an antenna and having problems.
*
*No, a person can do something that appears (on their SWR meter) to do
*something that is not happening. I posted the reasons why this occurs.
*
*: If you look back, and I seem to have to repeat it all the time I'm out
*: here, my posts are for the issue that coax length shouldn't matter you
*: need to fix something.
*
*Unless you are using a matching section of coax with a different impedance
*than the regular coax - length does not matter (except for the regular
*small additional attenuation you get from resistive losses in the coax.
*
*We are talking about one run of coax with a given characteristic
*impedance here. Matching stubs are a different matter, as they are
*either open or shorted at one end.
*
*: Simple problems that generate and perpetuate the myth are actually
*: methods that coax properties have, and will always occur, when you use
*: antennas over a conductive surface that is not ideal and try to make
*: it work like a dipole.
*
*It does not matter if the load is bananced or not - the same rules
*apply. If you think that earth conductivity does not matter with
*dipoles, then you need to get some experience with them.
*
*: "There's two sides to every element" means just that - you need the
*: other half of the image to make the system work. When it's not ideal,
*: no antenna that is resonate on one particular ground will be able to
*: be resonate on all types of surfaces.
*
*Actually, it probably will be resonant - but the load point resistance
*will change, as will (most likely) the resonant frequency.
*
*: By saying "Coax Length doesn't matter" doesn't resolve certain issues.
*
*: Don't beleive me? Re-read my posts.
*
*I believe I have addressed all the issues, and explained them, in past
*recent posts.

3800. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 03:13:01 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a4d09d4.8037333@news.gate.net>
Source record: 65183

On Mon, 25 Dec 2000 16:35:45 GMT, sparky@cet.com (Sparky) wrote:

*On Mon, 25 Dec 2000 08:55:18 -0500, tnom@mucks.net wrote:
*
*>snip
*>>: There's too many variables in real life to simply discard the fact
*>>: that coax does what it does.
*>>
*>>True. And what it does is precisely defined by known formulas.
*>snip
*>
*>False. And what it does is not always defined by known formulas.
*>
*>Unwanted parallel currents are not defined by well known formulas
*>or the use of a smith chart. This is the crux of the dispute. The
*>"square heads" of this world must equate these formulas and the
*>use of the smith chart even if they have to ignore what their own
*>eyes see. Their own eyes see, if they have any long term experience
*>with mobile antenna installation, that the SWR reading can change
*>with different coax lengths. They are either ignorant or intentionally
*>blind to this happening.
*>
*>That is why they are :"square heads". They can't see outside of their
*>neat little organized box. They deny what they can't equate.
*
*Right on the money. You can argue about "ideal" transmission lines and
*"ideal" antennas all day, but they are only a starting point for the
*complex set of variables that are met in reality. Just a short list of
*things that can affect an antenna are: material (ferrous v.
*non-ferrous); corrosion; deposits (rain, dust, bird droppings, etc.);
*corona ball; proximity to structures; and the widely variable ground
*effects. There are many more. You can quickly move up to vector
*analysis and have integrals coming out of your ears, such as analyzing
*what happens when you start routing coax. That can be loads of fun if
*you are a masochist. Put all these variables together and you have
*what amounts to a "fudge factor".
****

Yes all the above affect the antenna. SWR is solely determined by the coax impedance and the antenna's impedance. If the antenna's impedance is varying from location to location and from vehicle to vehicle, coax length is not going to alter that fact. Common mode currents induced on the coax in mobile installations are based on vehicle, radsiated power, and antenna type. Antenna location and the vehicle type cannot be used to predict common mode currents. Their influences can only be seen during installation and once recognized should

be taken care of before any antenna adjustments are made.

```
*****************************
*The ideal system is the best place to start, but follow some simple
*rules to avoid problems. Like, use 18 feet just in case that bridge
*was too low, wind excess coax into a figure 8 just in case your jack
*handle gets lost, and double-ground your radio just in case a
*cockroach starts chewing on your wires. These are a few of many common
*practices, and there is a good reason to follow them - ignore them and
*sooner or later you will have problems.
*
*Sparky, FLRC
*************************************
```

Double gropunding maybe overkill. Proper grounding to minimize common mode currents are necessary. There are proper methods to prevent ground loops and keep stable operation of the transciever in mobile operation.

james

3801. If you have Linears, or anything else...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 03:15:52 UTC
From: Dean <deanntx@tyler.net>
Message-ID: <3A480D68.3C30B4CE@tyler.net>
Source record: 65185

If you have Linears for sale, or anything else for that matter, you may want to come over and post them on my MarketPlace. The popularity of the board is growing rapidly as with all the other message areas there as well.

If the link to your website is not already on my Links page, come by and post it as well.

You will find it all at ChargersCastle.com

Charger

3802. Re: online cb radio's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 03:15:57 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A480D6D.1B8F96A4@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65184

He forgot a /. It should be:
http://members.home.nl/leon1/

Also, please quote what you are answering. Not all newsreaders
put the news in threads. It is also easier to follow if you put
at least a small amount of what you are referring to.

You are not the only one here that's doing that. (Hear that guys?)

YoU KnOw I tOkE wrote:
>
> the link doesnt work

3803. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 03:31:16 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <tfuf4tgv32ceffvraen57ru701bde2p8ls@4ax.com>
Source record: 65186

Greetings!

On Mon, 25 Dec 2000 04:30:11 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
the Mouse, Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com> dissertation the
following:

:+> In article <sqia4toea7qkbn71gp85h1uie3nrt95uul@4ax.com>,
:+> codyspc@iserv.net wrote:
:+>
:+> > Greetings!
:+> >
:+> > Doc, show me a man that believes "Coax length doesn't matter" and I'll
:+> > show you "A man that has no experience in putting any radio system
:+> > together."
:+>
:+> I guess I'm the exception to your rule. Except for a small number
:+> of installations that relied on quarter wave matching transformers,
:+> I have never had an installation that required a specific length of
:+> coax.

And this is what makes it difficult to understand the "total" issue of
the stance that "coax doesn't matter".

A simple case is those that purchased such antennas like those for CB,
both Francis, and Wilson, recommend a specific length to avoid issues.

One specific issue is being that location plays a role in the actual
impedance the antenna will exhibit at a point.

While tuning the antenna is the preferred and often the most
successful - we have another problem where the customer wants the
antenna put on only one way, and one location.

This is where the choice is lost. We, as installers, simply
have no choice here. So what does the customer do? It's none
of our business, but that is where the problems lie. They
claim that trimming the coax, or having to run a certain
length
works and is being told to their friends.

Yes, I agree, this is a special case, but why flame those that
do agree unless it's such a special case as above?

Case and point is the fact that antennas for CB, or any particular
type designed using a "test range" or controlled conditions, will
require the purchaser to recreate the ground image as stated in the
antennas own instructions manual for the antenna to provide the

expected level of performance - and low SWR.

If an antenna is designed, tested, wrapped, sold with the recommendations on the placard for a specific length, there are reasons for that. It was designed to give a particular type of performance using the ideal ground plane the antenna was originally designed for. If the counterpoise requirements can't be met, at least you'd see the coaxial impedance the antennas reactive properties will conjugate to and "fool" the meters into showing a tolerable SWR. This doesn't mean they have a 1:1 or so SWR, they have a tolerable SWR the radio can handle.

Wilson, claims that if you place one of their antennas on a vehicle that is a sub-compact, or in a special location on any vehicle, adding a length to the coax brings the SWR down - why? Due to the "controlled conditions" the antenna was designed for, are not being met due to the ground image is changing the antennas own feed point impedance, hence SWR.

Yes, this is a special case, and has occurred to myself personally. A Wilson antenna with it's 18 feet of coax gives an SWR of 1.6 to 1.9 - kind a high but tolerable. Adding a 3-foot patch cord lowers SWR to 1.3 to 1.4 - on a Ford Aspire a sub-compact. Simply not enough ground plane image to make the antenna appear resonate. The Aspires curved roof line also affects feed point impedance - once again the real world takes it's toll on ideal antenna locations.

Francis, Firestik, K40 - all have this same problem. Antenna feedpoint impedance in certain conditions and location on the ground plane, will not be able to be tuned out.

Recommendation that the customer use a specific length of coax is not only a choice, but allows the customer the opportunity to use the antenna even though it's performance may not be ideal. As a side benefit, if the antenna performs well, using the specific length doesn't violate any particular rules - the coax sees a balanced load and doesn't play a role except for being a feed line.

A side benefit is the lack of problems if the coaxial cable ends are kept at factory stock, and sealed. They simply last longer and gives acceptable performance for a longer period of time.

:+> > All I've seen is quoting direct and verbatim from books, but not one
:+> > shred of direct evidence from the real world to back up the length
:+> > doesn't matter claims.
:+>
:+> I don't quote specific experiences because they are SINGULARITIES.
:+> Statistically, they mean almost nothing. Theory is based on countless
:+> observations made over many decades. When I have a situation that,

:+> at first look, appears to buck the theory, I study it to reconcile
:+> the difference. My one observation cannot disprove tens of thousands
:+> of observations; there must be an explanation. But that doesn't stop
:+> you. You saw a coax length that appeared to matter; therefore, it
:+> matters to you and you insist to the whole world that it matters
:+> when you've got nothing but a misunderstood observation as your
:+> evidence.

And then to make a "generalized" statement about coax length does not matter is avoiding an issue regarding those special cases.

Whether it's antenna location, or mounting considerations. The failure of the customer to provide us, as installers, other locations and mounts for options - they go somewhere else. What the end result is, is a mess.

A Van or Caravan, with the whip mounted to the bumper, it's not the best spot, but this is what the customer wants. They do begin to notice the problems with both reception and receive when they try and use the radio for longer distance performance.

Each time something like the above comes into play with having to get the best out of the situation, some of the operators don't want to re-locate the antenna, just *you* tune it in better.

But! we say. It's their choice, and convincing them of another alternative is not an option.

Rule #1, customer is always right.
Rule #2, if customer is wrong - see Rule #1.

That's the point I'm trying to make. The customer isn't bringing in a '76 AMC Pacer and want a roof mount. That's stuff is easy and doesn't require any special length of coax, save one, the length to connect the radio to the antenna.

The antenna manufacturers are not as ignorant of these issues, they want to appease the customer. They are taking steps to advise the user that not everything is the same in the real world.

"Use this length" to reduce the problems is an acceptable solution to an otherwise complex problem where the customer simply cannot accept the antenna being at the location the antenna mfg suggests.

I have yet to find an antenna that works in any location you place it in. There's too many locations a good antenna can't provide a low SWR at, no matter how much time and effort you place in tuning the radiator first. There are vehicles and customers that can't, or will not, compensate the antennas requirements just to have a low SWR for a radio to be used with the antenna at a particular location - bumper and gutter mounts are one of many locations and mounts, that any antenna will have problems working properly. Be-it counterpoise, or

long-term performance.

:+>
:+> > Koax Kops haven't given anyone any methods or
:+> > techniques to try for experiements to prove that side of the story.
:+>
:+> Actually, I've posted quite a bit of information: from calculations
:+> to descriptions of operation. And I'm always there to back it up.
:+>
:+> I shoot a hole in these claims and I get no response but cheap,
:+> personal attacks like "Koax Kops will never understand."
:+> Put up or shut up. You can't do it.

See above. BTW - when you are able to follow thru on the subject of
antenna feedpoint impedance and it's role in the +/- jX factor along
with the ground image affecting the jX parameter, I believe you'll see
what I'm talking about.

To remain focused on only the antenna, you are not seeing how the
ground plane image affects the impedance of the antenna. Ground image
is directly related to how the antennas' feedpoint impedance will
appear.

If the ground is poor, we are going to see problems.

If an antenna is designed for a specific type of ground plane image in
order for it to work properly, the customer may not be able to supply
that special need for the antenna to work properly and give the
expected level of performance - let alone the low SWR.

That's where conjugate matching, IMHO, comes into play.
It's not because the antenna can't be resonate, it's because
the antenna does not have the range of impedance change the
location and counterpoise image require the antenna to have in
order to provide a low/tolerable SWR.

Note: I didn't not say, make the antenna resonate, only
appear to have a low SWR - so the radio can be used even
though performance will be poor.

And we have another problem of having to use that particular
length of coax just so the radio can work both the coax and
the antenna as one unit.

:+>
:+> > At least I don't get those results.
:+>
:+> You see. This is exactly what I said. You say (paraphrased) "I didn't
:+> see it therefore all that theory is nothing."
:+>
:+> > And yet someone can re-create these 'myths' - as the Koax Kops call
:+> > it, simply by putting up an antenna and having problems.
:+>

:+> Which "myth" are you talking about? Be specific and show us what
:+> is wrong with it -- or shut up.

See above. Also keep in mind, "location" and the ability for the
antenna to be tuneable for any impedance a poor, or otherwise
inadequate, ground plane image can throw into the equations.

:+>
:+> > By saying "Coax Length doesn't matter" doesn't resolve certain issues.
:+>
:+> Such as?
:+>
:+> Claiming that a specific length does help is not only patently false,
:+> it perpetuates a misunderstanding about RF and solves nothing.

No, it can provide a tolerable SWR for a customer that didn't want to
give the antenna what it needs, or the physical constraints that
locating the antenna in the ideal spot is not possible. So, therefore
the customer has to suffer, the fate of not being able to use the
radio, or have a choice to at least use the radio even though the
performance is not ideal.

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:

3804. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 03:31:21 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <rb2g4tspa9ujopppsdiak00as3815km67a@4ax.com>
Source record: 65187

Greetings!

On 25 Dec 2000 09:03:19 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with the
Mouse, Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> dissertation the following:

:+> Handy Andy <CodysPC@iserve.net> wrote:
:+>
:+> : All I've seen is quoting direct and verbatim from books, but not one
:+> : shred of direct evidence from the real world to back up the length
:+> : doesn't matter claims. Koax Kops haven't given anyone any methods or
:+> : techniques to try for experiements to prove that side of the story. At
:+> : least I don't get those results. I get results similar to events that
:+> : I am discussing here - are you on the same page as everyone else?
:+>
:+> Would you believe anthing that was not quoted from authority? Have you
:+> tried the various experiments that I have outlined in various posts that
:+> would allow you to prove or disprove the claims I have made?

When I put to the group the conjugate for the 25ohm - j5 - only one
person made an effort, it was George.

BTW - the SWR scale for 25 to 50 ohm mis-match is not 2:1 it's closer
to 2.7:1 - 33 ohms is closer to 2:1 mis-match - why? Read about SWR
meter scaling issues regarding Logarithm, Linear and Scalar.

:+> : A dummy load doesn't radiate? LOL! Well, so much for the lossless coax
:+> : superconductor theories. We just try not to generate interference with
:+> : other stations - but we've had conversations on dummy loads before and
:+> : they are very effective for short range stealth "Snipe hunts".
:+>
:+> Sure, a dummy load radiates. If properly constructed, it radiates little
:+> or no detectable radiation. It radiates it as heat instead.
:+>
:+> The dummy loads that are commonly available do radiate a bit of rf. As
:+> you state, they can be used for Fox Hunts - not "Snipe hunts".

We're special that way, Snipe Hunts are for "troublemakers", Fox Hunts
are for gaming purposes.

:+> : And yet someone can re-create these 'myths' - as the Koax Kops call
:+> : it, simply by putting up an antenna and having problems.
:+>
:+> No, a person can do something that appears (on their SWR meter) to do
:+> something that is not happening. I posted the reasons why this occurs.

Only thing that was not stated was, the antenna made resonate or not?

Since we've had a balky server, I'd like to see the post, you can
Email it to me to save bandwidth for the group.

:+> : If you look back, and I seem to have to repeat it all the time I'm out
:+> : here, my posts are for the issue that coax length shouldn't matter you
:+> : need to fix something.
:+>
:+> Unless you are using a matching section of coax with a different impedance
:+> than the regular coax - length does not matter (except for the regular
:+> small additional attenuation you get from resistive losses in the coax.
:+>
:+> We are talking about one run of coax with a given characteristic
:+> impedance here. Matching stubs are a different matter, as they are
:+> either open or shorted at one end.

One thing that was not mentioned, was how the counterpoise will affect
an antenna designed for one particular type of image. The antenna, any
antenna, will appear non-resonate when it's using a counterpoise that
is not ideal. Does that make the antenna show a high SWR? Yes. Does it
mean the antenna is at fault? No. What it means is the antenna is
simply not resonate at the location it's in.

A good antenna will provide the bandwidth needed to adjust
it's impedance to meet the needs for low SWR, but some
people just won't abide by the antennas requirements and force
the issue of conjugate matching due to the antenna is now
placed in a non-resonate position - even though it can be
made resonate elsewhere on the vehicle or ground image.

I think we're in agreement on this - but since the servers were balky
due to spam traffic, I'll need to see that particular post.

:+> : Simple problems that generate and perpetuate the myth are actually
:+> : methods that coax properties have, and will always occur, when you use
:+> : antennas over a conductive surface that is not ideal and try to make
:+> : it work like a dipole.
:+>
:+> It does not matter if the load is bananced or not - the same rules
:+> apply. If you think that earth conductivity does not matter with
:+> dipoles, then you need to get some experience with them.

See above...my experience shows non-resonance when in proximity on a
vehicle due to poor location, but just on a pole 6 inches above the
earths surface, a 1:1 SWR can be obtained. [8 radial arms of 9 feet
using coaxial braid from mount - "dangle" of 10-12 degrees of slope to
earths surface at 9 foot mark to steel stake 3 feet into earth -
pounded flush to surface].

:+> Actually, it probably will be resonant - but the load point resistance
:+> will change, as will (most likely) the resonant frequency.
:+>
:+> : By saying "Coax Length doesn't matter" doesn't resolve certain issues.
:+>

:+> : Don't beleive me? Re-read my posts.
:+>
:+> I believe I have addressed all the issues, and explained them, in past
:+> recent posts.

I think I'll need to see that Email...

3805. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 03:31:28 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <pi3g4t0m9vpasmrlqs5qm9ibt4s9mj9org@4ax.com>
Source record: 65188

Greetings!

Sorry Doc, no reactive jX component - can't apply.

That's the difference!

If you had a reactive jX component - coax length trim can help you.

So therefore, to answer your question - you simply have a resistive
load, with no reactive elements - you will never achieve the 1:1 SWR.

Is an SWR of 1.7 acceptable?

On Sun, 24 Dec 2000 13:36:52 -0600, while the modem was ice fishing
with the Mouse, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> dissertation the following:

:+>
:+>
:+> Handy Andy,
:+> The same question;
:+>
:+> :+> I am still waiting to hear what (wave) length of 50 ohm coax I
:+> need
:+> to achieve a
:+> :+> 1:1 match at the radio when the antennas feedpoint impedance is 37
:+> + j0 Ohms.
:+> :+> Anyone who claims you
:+> :+> can adjust the SWR by changing length should be [able] to answer
:+> this
:+> simple, unanswered
:+> :+> question.
:+>
:+> The frequency of interest is 27.1 Mhz. What length of 50 ohm
:+> coax???
:+> 'Doc

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:

3806. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 03:39:58 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a4e0d2c.8893270@news.gate.net>
Source record: 65189

On Sat, 23 Dec 2000 21:26:36 -0500, Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net> wrote:

*Greetings!
*
*On Fri, 22 Dec 2000 21:39:58 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
*the Mouse, sparky@cet.com (Sparky) disseminated the following:
*
*:+> On Fri, 22 Dec 2000 15:45:29 -0500, Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
*:+> wrote:
*:+>
*:+> >One thing that is not mentioned in these posts, is dealing with a
*:+> >known impedance of an antenna over a ground system.
*:+>
*:+> Well, one thing that I have _never_ seen is a 1/4 wave vertical that
*:+> measured at the ideal impedance of 36.5 ohms. Or 50 + j0, for that
*:+> matter. I very rarely see an antenna that isn't reactive to some
*:+> degree. There are just too many variables to make the definitive claim
*:+> that any given antenna "must" behave this way or that way.
*
*And it states in every antenna book that antennas HAVE reactive
*properties - they aren't perfect conductors. Tuning the antenna
*changes those properties. But the coax itself can't change it's own
*characteristic impedance - it exists.
*
*In some examples, using coaxial baluns for the matching network, you
*are using the coaxial lines inherent properties to provide a tolerable
*SWR just so the operator can get on the air even when you have a
*non-resonate antenna.
****

While baluns can obtain impedance transformation, their primary function is to
alter "bal"anced feeds to "un"balanced feeds or vice-versa. Only is specific
cases are balus used for matching and balnacing.

****
*A reciprocal event would also incur that if the antenna was tuned for
*resonance using a particular type of counterpoise, re-creation of that
*counterpoise may not always be possible in the real world. This would
*make the antenna appear to be non-resonate, even though the antenna
*could use it's reactive properties, in conjunction with, the coaxial
*lines own, to appear resonate to the radio.
****

An antenna is tuned to resonace by adjusting length or by lumpded constants at
the feed point. The feed line should never be used to resonate an antenna.

****
*Only now, we have a requirement that the antenna has to use the coax
*to provide a workable SWR for the operator to use their station.
*
*And therefore the antenna and the coax are now a single antenna, the
*feed line [read:coax] is now part of the antenna.
****

NO the coax is a conduit fro the TEM wave to travel from the transmitter to the
antenna. The coax is not part of the antenna. That is a very poor design.

****
*Granted, it's not efficient, but it is a workable solution.
*
*:+> >snip>Using a special length, we DO cancel out unwanted
*:+> >reactance [and is why they simply avoided the [25ohm - 5j or - j5]
*:+> >equation - you can get an antenna to show lower SWR when the reactive
*:+> >component is a higher value.
*:+>
*:+> The reality is that sometimes even just moving the coax can change the
*:+> SWR, possibly due to radiation being absorbed by the shield, or even
*:+> proximity to a ferrous surface. You just can't tell until you get out
*:+> there and do it.
*
*Exactly, it's not a possibility it's a fact. The coax is now part of
*the antenna system and the field around the antenna is also being
*absorbed into the coaxial outer shield - and why I am suggesting to
*the "Koax Kops Kopys" that are out here that they have no basis for
*forcing such issues in the real world. The real world simply does not
*work that way.
*And is why I suggest in vehicle mounting and installs, "mount the
*radio to metal inside the vehicle". Just for the purpose of RF having
*somewhere to return to without having to use the coax to accomplish
*it.
*
****

The outer shield can be in the field of the antenna, but that by itself does not
preclude that some current will be induced into the outer shield by that RF
field. Yes the chasis of the radio should always be returned to ground by the
shortest path posible. Relying sometimes on the power cord alone may not be
suffiecient. If you rely on the shield of the coax to provide DC ground to the
radio then you are asking for all kinds of problems.

****
*Fixing the problem for a customer is not hard, but if the customer
*wants it just the way it is, we have to abide by their wishes.
****

Yep if they want junk hten let t hem have junk.

****
*Don't worry, I did fix the problem in the above example. The solution

*was to replace the coax, put on a new PL259, and the kid is fine and
*happy with it, even though the mount has a little rust and uses 18' of
*coax [LOL]!
****

damaged coax and/or conncetors are most problematic items in mobile
installations.

****
*:+> >What could have cornered them, and what was eventually being discussed
*:+> >but was later avoided [that was the hint] was the coaxial dipole and
*:+> >how they wanted to know about radiating coax.
*:+>
*:+> They would have just denied it. No point.
*
*It's a shame that "Koax Kops Kopys" will never get to understand that
*there is a more important function that coax exhibits. It's something
*that you *can't* change, and that is it's own reactive properties.
*
*Tuning an antenna is one thing, coax can't change - that seems to be
*the hardest part they don't understand. Are we supposed to change the
*coaxial line to something that fits when we have a problem? I thought
*we were supposed to tune the antenna first, or is what we all agree
*to? <It's what makes this whole thread so humorous.>
*
*If you find a sale on 36.5 ohm coax, could you pass that along?
****
Tuning the antenna is the best method. Problem is that most antenna do not have
sufficient tuning range for all possible situations. Should never ahve toworry
about the coax length.

How much 36.5 Ohm coax do you wnat? Take two equal lengths of 75 Ohm coax in
parallel and you have 37.5 Ohm coax. If you want 25 Ohm coax do the same as
above for 50 Ohm.

james

*[LOL]
*
*
*:+> >Thats why I posted conjugate match. They can't get past the fact that
*:+> >the antenna is no longer "the antenna" - both the coax and the antenna
*:+> >are now a single unit. And depeding on how the coax is used, can be
*:+> >the "shorting stub" [open end] or the antenna itself can be the
*:+> >"tuning stub" used with this given length of coax.
*:+>
*:+> The shield can indeed radiate when, as you say, it becomes part of the
*:+> antenna system. This is not always desired or forseen, but it happens.
*:+> And here's another one for the notebook: When there is RF on the
*:+> shield, and when that RF is choked short of a multiple of a 1/4 wave
*:+> from the antenna, you will get harmonics. Sometimes the choke doesn't
*:+> have do be much, such as close proximity to ferrous materials, or just

*:+> a kink in the coax. That's why it is good practice to wind excess coax
*:+> in a figure-8, not a coil.
*
*So why are the "Koaxial Keystone Kops Kopys" quad-K's ;-> having such
*a hard time understanding this issue?
*
*It's something that a customer wants. We have no choice as installers.
*Even though the real solution is known - the customer doesn't want it
*fixed, it's working fine!
*
*Gosh, not to sound insulting, I would just fix the problems and be
*done with it. I don't know what all the fuss is about...?
*
*In regards to harmonics, - I've seen this, it acts like a wave trap.
*
*The antenna, being reactive, does add it's own reactance and change,
*or alter, the waveform when you are dealing with a reactive system
*like what I've experienced in your example above. Energy is reflected,
*as to what levels depends on the determinant jX factor.
*
*This might help shed some light on that subject.
*
*Some CB radios' in their output network, have a 52-54MHz trap/low pass
*filter using a particular wind and capacitance to supposedly attenuate
*this.
*
*Problem though, it has a high impedance to arrival of these waves as a
*part of noise filtering done for the purpose of input tuning to help
*lessen receiver noise. As the harmonics that got past the network
*return to the radio, they are not attenuated, so it re-reflects.
*
*When you have radiating coax like in the above example - the radio
*itself doesn't reflect or attenuate harmonics in the power to the coax
*properly, it forms a tuned circuit using the network inside both the
*radio the coax. The radio will actually refuse to attenuate the
*harmonics that originally passed thru the network into the coax, as
*the antenna appears as a high impedance to this, it reflects this back
*to the radio, and the radio's network doesn't attenuate the harmonics
*- ad verbatim, and the coaxial line is forced to lose this somehow as
*a loss.
*
*A field is generated around the coax at this point, and it's simply
*due to the antenna and the radio don't want it. The coax is then
*forced to lose this energy and hence a harmonic problem.
*
*There are CB radios that have the 52-54MHz filter that works right.
*
*You can check for this using a MFJ-259B and see how the radio will
*react with the 52-54MHz trap by putting the MFJ right to the end of
*the radio with a coax patch cord. It makes an interesting view of just

*what goes on back there.
*
*
*:+> >I hope this helps you, and I'm simply letting you know that you are a
*:+> >good source of information about antennas. I'm impressed with not only
*:+> >how you conducted yourself, but your knowledge. If you have a web page
*:+> >or two, I would like to link my pages about CB radio installations to
*:+> >yours to help provide more information.
*:+> >
*:+> >Would you be interested?
*:+>
*:+> I have a web page, but it has little if nothing to do with CB radio.
*:+> It deals more with my dabbling in that lowfer stuff, 160 - 190 KHz.
*:+> It's more of a challenge to get a clean signal out with just one watt
*:+> and a non-resonant antenna. It's a band for people that know what they
*:+> are talking about, not for Koaxial Keystone Kops.
*
*Email me the URL I'd like to take a look at it, please. I'd like to
*see your efforts on this. Sounds interesting.
*
*Sometime, I'd like to demonstrate a "coffee can" antenna - a mag-mount
*and a random length of coax, with a PL-259 connector on a coffee can.
*And this does provide an 1:1 SWR.
*
*A CB'er showed me that trick, "unbelievers" *should understand* that
*even when you use a specific length of coaxial line, the mounting
*location and counterpoise for a CB is what makes this system work to
*determine SWR and how low this ratio will go. Leave it to a CB'er!
*LOL!
*
*
*Regards!
*:+> Andy <+:

3807. Re: online cb radio's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 03:51:12 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20001225225112.17588.00002177@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 65190

>He forgot a /. It should be:
>http://members.home.nl/leon1/

Still doesn't work - when you try going there, it tries downloading a file to your computer and no webpage pops up!
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
http://www.riradiobuff.com
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.
2 meter all mode email reflector: http://egroups.com/group/2mallmode
New England Ham email reflector: http://egroups.com/group/newenglandham

3808. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 04:19:46 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a5013e4.10613481@news.gate.net>
Source record: 65191

I really don't believe this guy.

Does he really comprehend what he reads or does he look through rose collered glasses?

On Sat, 23 Dec 2000 21:33:13 -0500, Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net> wrote:

*Greetings!
*
*Doc, you're reading the wrong group! That must be your problem, we're
*here in rec.radio.cb, are you cross posting?
*
*Doc, show me a man that believes "Coax length doesn't matter" and I'll
*show you "A man that has no experience in putting any radio system
*together."
****

I do not know anything about you or really care to either. But I would be very careful about the above statement. I have been on CB and amatuer radio for over thirty years now and have been through a lot of setups and installations. And for only specific cases, does the length of coax matter. Q-sections are the exception to the rule.

****
*There's too many variables in real life to simply discard the fact
*that coax does what it does. It simply reacts to a reactive load.
****

There are very few variables in coax. Most of them are dealing with the load.

****
*All I've seen is quoting direct and verbatim from books, but not one
*shred of direct evidence from the real world to back up the length
*doesn't matter claims when real world events place their variables
*into these setups.
****

Simply you need to understand what a transmission line is and what an antenna is. Then most of the expalnations given will make sense.

****
*Koax Kops haven't given anyone any methods or techniques to try for
*experiments to prove that side of the story. At least I don't get
*those results - it's formulas, no testing the theories. I get results
*similar to events that I am discussing here - are you on the same page
*as everyone else?
*

*A dummy load doesn't radiate? LOL! Well, so much for the loss less
*coax superconductor theories. We just try not to generate interference
*with other stations - but we've had conversations on dummy loads
*before and they are very effective for short range stealth "Snipe
*hunts".
*
*I'll reiterate..
*:If coax length didn't work like it does when antennas are not resonate
*:due to problems with poor ground images on one location - steps need to
*:be taken to fix the problem - either by re-locating the antenna or
*:improving ground image area by fixing the mount connections.
****

yes it is true by moving the antenna or fixing the mount, antenna impedance is
altered. This is a direct affect on the antenna only. The coax is unaffected.
The change in antennas impedance wil have a direct bearing on SWR.

****
*And yet someone can re-create these 'myths' - as the Koax Kops call
*it, simply by putting up an antenna and having problems.
*
*If you look back, and I seem to have to repeat it all the time I'm out
*here, my posts are for the issue that coax length shouldn't matter,
*you need to fix something.
*
*Don't believe me? Re-read the posts. See the statement ":" above. That
*is where I stand on this issue, no one can change that.
*
*Simple problems that generate and perpetuate the myth are actually
*methods that coax properties have, and will always occur, when you use
*antennas over a conductive surface that is not ideal and try to make
*it work like a dipole.
*
*"There's two sides to every element" means just that - you need the
*other half of the image to make the system work. When it's not ideal,
*no antenna that is resonate on one particular ground will be able to
*be resonate on all types of surfaces.
****

I think yo need to go back an dreread some of those books you have been reading.
This time try and comprehend what they mean. Yes a 1/4 wave antenna needs ground
to complete the missing part. But that will happen regardless of coax length.
You cannot alter the antenna image by coax length. The losses incured by poor
ground affects the efficiency of the antenna. In some cases it can alter the
feed impedance.

****
*By saying "Coax Length doesn't matter" doesn't resolve certain issues.
****

matching the antenna to the desired impedance of the antenna is the ultamate
goal. Antennae generally have some means of doing that. All antennae will not

work the same on any location on any vehicle. In some cases there is not suffiecient adjustments for a particular antenna on a particualr vehicle in a particular location. This is due in part because of the antenna and the vehicle itself. Now adjusting the coax until the SWR meter reads 1:1 makes the meter happy. It in no ways corrects the problem at the antenna. The eficiency of the antenna is not improved because the mismatch at the antenna to the coax still exists. There has been a lot of good information given on this thread. Coax length will never change the mismatch at the antenna/coax boundary. If your sole goal is to show a unknowning customer that the SWR meter on his radio will read 1:1 with coax length, then have at it. Just don't try and justify it here.

Someday there maybe hope for you Andy. I just hope I live long enough to see that day.

james

****
*Don't believe me? Re-read my posts.
*
*On Fri, 22 Dec 2000 20:55:11 -0600. The monitor used it's electron gun
*to shoot holes into a dissertation done by 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> as he
*attempted to answer "Re: Coax Question" with the following statement:
*
*:+>
*:+> Handy Andy,
*:+> I want to thank you for an amusing post. Your
*:+> antenna and feed line ideas are almost as good as
*:+> the sunday funnies.
*:+> 'Doc
*
*
*Regards!
*:+> Andy <+:

3809. Test-please ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 05:28:19 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4gb3jb0f01q51@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65192

Ignore

3810. 12/25 Strange Day on AM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 05:38:18 UTC
From: nealbfinn@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001226003818.24694.00007835@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 65193

Today was wierd in California. I had the east coast and southern states in the morning, Washington and N. Oregon asbout 11:00AM, the midwest after that, all with a thick 3 to 5 level of static covering everything. Could today's eclipse have caused this??

NBF

Jazz 887 on the beach in SoCal

3811. Re: online cb radio's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 05:45:23 UTC
From: oneqck76ta@aol.com.com.com
Message-ID: <20001226004523.05994.00006310@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 65194

>>He forgot a /. It should be:
>>http://members.home.nl/leon1/
>
>Still doesn't work - when you try going there, it tries downloading a file to
>your computer and no webpage pops up!
>=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
>
>
>

Tried to got there and about 10% of the graphics loaded. And every link said
that it was not accepting requests.Oh well

Later,

oneqck76ta@aol.com
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta

You've got "459" out of Minneapolis Minnesota and I'm reading the mail

**********Hippie Freak**********
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta/cbradio

3812. Test--Please Ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 05:54:04 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4gcjuiasi2a65@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65195

Ignore..

3813. Re: online cb radio's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 06:06:04 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A48354C.ADF91D31@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65196

Oh well. It is not an American web site. I know I got there by
replacing the last . with a /. I didn't try any links.

Hippie Freak wrote:
>
> >>He forgot a /. It should be:
> >>http://members.home.nl/leon1/
> >
> >Still doesn't work - when you try going there, it tries downloading a file to
> >your computer and no webpage pops up!
> >=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
> >
> >
> >
>
> Tried to got there and about 10% of the graphics loaded. And every link said
> that it was not accepting requests.Oh well
>
> Later,
>
> oneqck76ta@aol.com
> http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta
>
> You've got "459" out of Minneapolis Minnesota and I'm reading the mail
>
> **********Hippie Freak**********
> http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta/cbradio

3814. Can't figure it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 06:07:37 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4gdd9altsd41b@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65197

I've tried three times to post a for sale radio and it ain't making it too the group. How can this be? Seems as though if I put the radio in the subject header or just FS there, it does not make it. Friggin weird, man. All my tests have made it as you have most likely seen (sorry). Friggin wierd...
Let's see if this gets through...

Jeff

3815. Re: Can't figure it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 06:11:00 UTC
From: Beebe Rebozo <not@this.net>
Message-ID: <UDW16.3494$El3.38519@open-news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 65354

It did.
What the hell is up??

Jeff

"Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:t4gdd9altsd41b@news.supernews.com...
> I've tried three times to post a for sale radio and it ain't making it too
> the group. How can this be? Seems as though if I put the radio in the
> subject header or just FS there, it does not make it. Friggin weird, man.
> All my tests have made it as you have most likely seen (sorry). Friggin
> wierd...
> Let's see if this gets through...
>
> Jeff
>
>
>

3816. FS Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 06:43:43 UTC
From: The Landshark <s3buell@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <j5X16.59997$Wq1.23300798@nnrp5-w.sbc.net>
Source record: 65348

This is a test for Jeff.....

The Landshark

--
It's a Buell-tiful Day for a ride
through the Canyons.

1999 Ford F-150 Super Cab
1998 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
1983 Honda 1100F
BFD #666
"Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:t4gdd9altsd41b@news.supernews.com...
> I've tried three times to post a for sale radio and it ain't making it too
> the group. How can this be? Seems as though if I put the radio in the
> subject header or just FS there, it does not make it. Friggin weird, man.
> All my tests have made it as you have most likely seen (sorry). Friggin
> wierd...
> Let's see if this gets through...
>
> Jeff
>
>
>
>

3817. FS Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 07:07:18 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A4843A6.CA4FFC68@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65350

I still have stuff for sale

http://www.abs.net/~the420

3818. Re: If you have Linears, or anything else...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 07:12:58 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <929gdpsdm1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65351

In article <3A480D68.3C30B4CE@tyler.net>,
Dean <deanntx@tyler.net> wrote:
> If you have Linears for sale, or anything else for that matter, you
may
> want to come over and post them on my MarketPlace. The popularity of
the
> board is growing rapidly as with all the other message areas there as
> well.
>
> If the link to your website is not already on my Links page, come by
and
> post it as well.
>
> You will find it all at ChargersCastle.com
>
> Charger
>
>

hey dean, getting fired on the spot is a texas tradition. don't worry about it. heck , if you are a good tech, then start your own business, work out of your home or whatever,.....like the website though...ps....heck if you know about website stuff, go to work for a internet company doing web design work. heck you could probably work out of your home doing that as well, one of those jobs of the future that are here now,.....cd is out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3819. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 07:30:35 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a4846c0.1530759@news.cet.com>
Source record: 65352

On Tue, 26 Dec 2000 03:39:58 GMT, jdaggett@gate.net wrote:

>How much 36.5 Ohm coax do you wnat? Take two equal lengths of 75 Ohm coax in
>parallel and you have 37.5 Ohm coax. If you want 25 Ohm coax do the same as
>above for 50 Ohm.

I'm sorry, but this is a common misconception. Coax cables are not
resistors, and product-over-sum doesn't work. Two identical coax
cables in parallel split the power, i.e, each coax carries half the
voltage _and_ half the current. The ratio is the same, and so is the
impedance. This is very easy to verify by experiment.

Sparky, FLRC

3820. Re: WTB:Clean 100 watt amp?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 07:42:39 UTC
From: Donald Sherwood <Shardon@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3A484BEE.DD67A96F@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 65353

Who's to say it's not going to be used on a 13 element Yagi?

William wrote:

> On 21 Dec 2000 17:55:47 GMT, timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com) wrote:
>
> >that totally depends on the power level your starting out with. surely a ham
> >like yourself would know that. 100 watts is a nice improvement over 2
> >
> >>Do you realize how little a 100W amp helps your signal strength?
> >>Start with at least 300W or don't bother.
>
> Yeah, but I figure it this way: if you're serious enough about your
> CB hobby then you probably have one of the "export" model radios that
> easily produces 13W AM and 35W PEP SSB. From there, a 100W amp
> just isn't that big of an improvement.....and anyway, anyone who wants
> more power now will want even more later, they can skip a couple steps
> right from the start by getting a 300W (or more) to start with.
> If 100W is good, 300W is better, every trip of the train.

3821. Re: WTB power supply

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 08:08:48 UTC
From: Speedbuggy714 <galaxy1@zoomnet.net>
Message-ID: <NsY16.153$vd4.206163@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 65355

These may be over kill for your application but.....

www.bcity.com/dino

Swells8069 wrote in message
<20001224164127.06499.00000031@ng-fw1.aol.com>...
>15 or 20 amp. 13.8 v output
>Steve
>Swells8069@aol.com

3822. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 08:45:55 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a485abe.6647847@news.cet.com>
Source record: 65356

On Mon, 25 Dec 2000 18:14:51 -0600, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote:

>Sparky,
> First, how about telling what relevance this has to anything?
>More obfuscation of the fact that you are unable to answer the
>question put to you several times, even after giving you all the
>information you requested. Give it up, oh yee of little knowledge.
>Your really getting to be a bore with your pseudo technical
>fertilizer.
> 'Doc

Doc,

Your vigorous and maligned defence of your alias is really entertaining, but heavily misdirected. If you think that I'm a bore, then don't read my future posts, as you have not read my previous ones. If you had read them then you would know that I have answered the question posed by the OP more than once.

Everything that I have stated has come from both theory and experience, and I have disclosed my credentials. Neither you nor your rent-a-cop have done so. I have provided a solid reference, but CLP disputed his only reference, and claimed he would dispute any reference that didn't conform to his own theories. In that light, there is really no reason to continue any discussion with him or you. Regardless:

On Sat, 16 Dec 2000 18:04:16 GMT, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>Well no one actually wrote that a coax does not radiate because it
>is common knowledge. It would be stating the obvious.

(The second closest thing to a legitimate reference by CLP.)

>I believe you have either mis-"summarized" or used text that is
>entirely out of context.

>I'll get your book. We'll settle this.

On his own volition he made this statement, euphemistically calling me a liar. He hasn't followed through on his stated intent to support this accusation, because if he did he would have been forced to admit that he is wrong. It is clear that he would rather ignore the facts, discredit the authorities, and subvert all logic in order to claim victory, even when there is no competition. That's entertainment.

Until it happens that you obtain my reference and prove his allegation, you don't have much to say about what is relavent in my discussion with CLP.

Sparky, FLRC

3823. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 08:56:19 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <929mfj$4r4$4@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 65357

jdaggett@gate.net wrote:

: ******************************
: *What does this do, in an SWR meter, to the indicated SWR?
: ******************************

: If the reflected power from the load is reflected at the SWR meter back toward
: the load. Then I- will be zero and any SWR meter will not detect that current.
: No reverse current therefore not reverse power. 1:1 reading.

: That makes sense and kind of what I was searching for. I knew there had to be a
: logical reason for why the SWR meter reads 1:1. Once pointed ou,t is becomes
: clear as day.

That is not the complete answer, but it can have an effect. Currents on the
outside of the coax can also cause incorrect readings.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

3824. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 09:17:50 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <929nnukpp1@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 65359

jdaggett@gate.net wrote:
: *
: *In some examples, using coaxial baluns for the matching network, you
: *are using the coaxial lines inherent properties to provide a tolerable
: *SWR just so the operator can get on the air even when you have a
: *non-resonate antenna.
: **********

: While baluns can obtain impedance transformation, their primary function is to
: alter "bal"anced feeds to "un"balanced feeds or vice-versa. Only is specific
: cases are balus used for matching and balnacing.

To be more precise, there are three primary purposes for a balun:

1) converting to/from an unbalanced situation to a balanced situation
2) impedance transformation, such as using a 6:1 balun to go from a 50
ohm feeder to a 300 ohm antenna
3) suppression of common mode currents on the outside of coax (using a
choke balun - although calling it a balun is probably stretching the
definition a bit).

: **********
: *A reciprocal event would also incur that if the antenna was tuned for
: *resonance using a particular type of counterpoise, re-creation of that
: *counterpoise may not always be possible in the real world. This would
: *make the antenna appear to be non-resonate, even though the antenna
: *could use it's reactive properties, in conjunction with, the coaxial
: *lines own, to appear resonate to the radio.
: **********

: An antenna is tuned to resonace by adjusting length or by lumpded constants at
: the feed point. The feed line should never be used to resonate an antenna.

The added reactances can be added elsewhere besides the feed point, such as
with a top hat or center-loaded whip. Distributed reactances may also be
used.

: **********
: *Only now, we have a requirement that the antenna has to use the coax
: *to provide a workable SWR for the operator to use their station.
: *
: *And therefore the antenna and the coax are now a single antenna, the
: *feed line [read:coax] is now part of the antenna.
: **********

: NO the coax is a conduit fro the TEM wave to travel from the transmitter to the
: antenna. The coax is not part of the antenna. That is a very poor design.

Correct, but it happens - more frequently than many people would think.
It probably is responsible for most of the misunderstanding about changing
coax line lengths when a mismatch is present. I suspect that almost all
CB and Ham mobile rigs have the outer part of the shield acting as part
of the antenna system - to some degree.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

3825. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 09:25:13 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A4863F9.BCDDB5E3@oio.net>
Source record: 65358

Sparky,
My 'user name' has nothing to do with an accademic degree, it's
a nick-name. I have never claimed to be a 'doctor' of anything, so
it seems to me that ~you~ have the problem, not me.
For the rest of your post, you have proven the claim someone made
about resorting to name calling etc, when you are trying to re-direct
attention from the fact that you ~still~ haven't answered the
question!
Better watch out about throwing those 'stones', your still living in
a glass house, Sparky. There's a few cracks showing, Sparky, better
find that 'ductape'!
'Doc
(non- Ph.D., make you feel better?)

3826. Re: Looking for info on D&A Maverick 250

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 09:35:05 UTC
From: gadget <gadget@jnlk.com>
Message-ID: <929oo9$2en$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65360

Thanks man. Exactly what I needed.

PS. Wherabouts on the Chesapeake? I live in New Jersey just south of
the Twin Spans (Delaware Memorial) and I can usually talk down around
the Chesapeake. Maybe I've talked to you. Call me Nightowl when they're
not mad at me

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
> http://www.cbtricks.com/Amp/graphics/MAVER250.gif
>
--
Thain S.

Everyone has a photographic memory, but not all have film

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3827. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 10:25:35 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A48721F.349E9C57@oio.net>
Source record: 65361

Handy Andy,
I think you just proved my point. Amazing...
'Doc

3828. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 10:32:35 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <929s43$b7m$1@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 65362

Handy Andy <CodysPC@iserve.net> wrote:

: And this is what makes it difficult to understand the "total" issue of
: the stance that "coax doesn't matter".

: A simple case is those that purchased such antennas like those for CB,
: both Francis, and Wilson, recommend a specific length to avoid issues.

The usual claim is that changing the coax length will change the SWR that is on the coax. To CBers, and some Hams, a low indicated SWR is the only acceptable situation. As long as they can get that indication down to the magical 1:1 on their meter, they believe that everything is now perfect with their system and that it cannot be made to perform better. Further, they perpetuate the myth that 18 feet of coax will minimize SWR - where it is actually one of the worst lengths you can use - as it maximizes the rf currents that may appear on the outside of the coax, turning the coax into a radiator (not generally desired).

This is what the people who understand transmission lines here have been objecting to - as changing the length of the coax will NOT affect the SWR in the coax. It may make the transmitter load into the coax better, as coax length will affect the input impedance if there is a mismatch. That is also probably why Wilson and Francis recommend specific coax lengths. I wonder if they consider the velocity factor of the coax?

Changing coax length may also affect what the SWR meter indicates, for the reasons I have posted earlier. But that is one of those cases of "appears to occur".

: One specific issue is being that location plays a role in the actual
: impedance the antenna will exhibit at a point.

Which is part of tuning the antenna. It does not affect the impedance of the coax.

: While tuning the antenna is the preferred and often the most
: successful - we have another problem where the customer wants the
: antenna put on only one way, and one location.

: This is where the choice is lost. We, as installers, simply
: have no choice here. So what does the customer do? It's none
: of our business, but that is where the problems lie. They
: claim that trimming the coax, or having to run a certain
: length
: works and is being told to their friends.

: Yes, I agree, this is a special case, but why flame those that
: do agree unless it's such a special case as above?

So, do it their way. But don't turn around and claim that the length
of the coax does not matter - if you know better.

: Case and point is the fact that antennas for CB, or any particular
: type designed using a "test range" or controlled conditions, will
: require the purchaser to recreate the ground image as stated in the
: antennas own instructions manual for the antenna to provide the
: expected level of performance - and low SWR.

Again, that has no effect on the coax. You are tuning the antenna.

: If an antenna is designed, tested, wrapped, sold with the
: recommendations on the placard for a specific length, there are
: reasons for that. It was designed to give a particular type of
: performance using the ideal ground plane the antenna was originally
: designed for. If the counterpoise requirements can't be met, at least
: you'd see the coaxial impedance the antennas reactive properties will
: conjugate to and "fool" the meters into showing a tolerable SWR. This
: doesn't mean they have a 1:1 or so SWR, they have a tolerable SWR the
: radio can handle.

: Wilson, claims that if you place one of their antennas on
: a vehicle that is a sub-compact, or in a special location
: on any vehicle, adding a length to the coax brings the SWR
: down - why? Due to the "controlled conditions" the antenna
: was designed for, are not being met due to the ground image
: is changing the antennas own feed point impedance, hence SWR.

Because they know the CBer (or Ham) would be less likely to accept their
antenna if they told them that only the indicated SWR would come down,
but the actual SWR would not change. The customer would buy someone elses
antenna instead.

They might also recommend a specific length for the reason I mentioned
above - matching the input impedance of the coax to the radio.

: Yes, this is a special case, and has occurred to myself
: personally. A Wilson antenna with it's 18 feet of coax gives
: an SWR of 1.6 to 1.9 - kind a high but tolerable. Adding a
: 3-foot patch cord lowers SWR to 1.3 to 1.4 - on a Ford Aspire
: a sub-compact. Simply not enough ground plane image to make
: the antenna appear resonate. The Aspires curved roof line also
: affects feed point impedance - once again the real world takes
: it's toll on ideal antenna locations.

But that still does not affect the SWR, even if it appears to do so.

: Francis, Firestik, K40 - all have this same problem. Antenna
: feedpoint impedance in certain conditions and location on the
: ground plane, will not be able to be tuned out.

: Recommendation that the customer use a specific length of
: coax is not only a choice, but allows the customer the
: opportunity to use the antenna even though it's performance
: may not be ideal. As a side benefit, if the antenna performs
: well, using the specific length doesn't violate any particular
: rules - the coax sees a balanced load and doesn't play a
: role except for being a feed line.

The coax will never see a balanced load with a mobile antenna. It
cannot, as the load is not balanced. They could just as well use the
antenna without the extra length, and it would perform just as well.

: A side benefit is the lack of problems if the coaxial cable
: ends are kept at factory stock, and sealed. They simply last
: longer and gives acceptable performance for a longer period
: of time.

Varying the length of coax has no effect here.

: :+> of observations; there must be an explanation. But that doesn't stop
: :+> you. You saw a coax length that appeared to matter; therefore, it
: :+> matters to you and you insist to the whole world that it matters
: :+> when you've got nothing but a misunderstood observation as your
: :+> evidence.

: And then to make a "generalized" statement about coax length does not
: matter is avoiding an issue regarding those special cases.

It may matter to the customer. It may matter to you, as you want to
keep the customer happy. But functionally, it does not matter.

: Whether it's antenna location, or mounting considerations. The failure
: of the customer to provide us, as installers, other locations and
: mounts for options - they go somewhere else. What the end result is,
: is a mess.

: A Van or Caravan, with the whip mounted to the bumper, it's not the
: best spot, but this is what the customer wants. They do begin to
: notice the problems with both reception and receive when they try and
: use the radio for longer distance performance.

Usually, they don't "notice" any problem until they put a Rat Shack
SWR meter in line and notice that there is not a perfect match.

: Each time something like the above comes into play with having
: to get the best out of the situation, some of the operators
: don't want to re-locate the antenna, just *you* tune it in
: better.

: But! we say. It's their choice, and convincing them of another
: alternative is not an option.

: Rule #1, customer is always right.
: Rule #2, if customer is wrong - see Rule #1.

: That's the point I'm trying to make. The customer isn't bringing in a
: '76 AMC Pacer and want a roof mount. That's stuff is easy and doesn't
: require any special length of coax, save one, the length to connect
: the radio to the antenna.

: The antenna manufacturers are not as ignorant of these issues, they
: want to appease the customer. They are taking steps to advise the user
: that not everything is the same in the real world.

No, they are perpetuating a myth, just to make the customer happy.

: "Use this length" to reduce the problems is an acceptable solution to
: an otherwise complex problem where the customer simply cannot accept
: the antenna being at the location the antenna mfg suggests.

Maybe the installer's problems. It does no actual good - except makes
the customer feel better.

: I have yet to find an antenna that works in any location you place it
: in. There's too many locations a good antenna can't provide a low SWR
: at, no matter how much time and effort you place in tuning the
: radiator first. There are vehicles and customers that can't, or will
: not, compensate the antennas requirements just to have a low SWR for a
: radio to be used with the antenna at a particular location - bumper
: and gutter mounts are one of many locations and mounts, that any
: antenna will have problems working properly. Be-it counterpoise, or
: long-term performance.

I assume you mean by "not working properly" to mean that the customer
can measure a non-perfect match, then I agree. If you mean that the
antenna is not working properly because the SWR is 1:6.1 instead of
1:1, then I disagree.

... deleted ...

: To remain focused on only the antenna, you are not seeing how the
: ground plane image affects the impedance of the antenna. Ground image
: is directly related to how the antennas' feedpoint impedance will
: appear.

The ground losses affect the antenna impedance, true. You certainly
want to minimize such loss, as it becomes heat - not radiated signal.

But why do you want to get a 50 ohm impedance, if the antenna when
it is resonant is (for example) 35 ohms? Do you want to increase the
ground losses to 15 ohms, so you will get a perfect match, or would
you rather have the ground losses at 5 ohms, and see some SWR?

As a hint, the efficiency of the antenna is the antenna impedance
divided by the total impedance.

Calculate 35/40 and 35/50 and see.

: If the ground is poor, we are going to see problems.

Maybe.

: If an antenna is designed for a specific type of ground plane image in
: order for it to work properly, the customer may not be able to supply
: that special need for the antenna to work properly and give the
: expected level of performance - let alone the low SWR.

: That's where conjugate matching, IMHO, comes into play.
: It's not because the antenna can't be resonate, it's because
: the antenna does not have the range of impedance change the
: location and counterpoise image require the antenna to have in
: order to provide a low/tolerable SWR.

See above about low SWR.

: Note: I didn't not say, make the antenna resonate, only
: appear to have a low SWR - so the radio can be used even
: though performance will be poor.

It can be used even with what a CBe,r or many Hams, would consider
"high SWR" - and possibly better than with the low SWR.

If the antenna is not resonant, it is NOT performing at its best.

: *And* we have another problem of having to use that particular
: length of coax just so the radio can work both the coax and
: the antenna as one unit.

I have never seen a CB antenna where the length of coax mattered. The
coax/antenna work together exactly the same, no matter what you do to
the coax length. The radio only sees the input impedance to the coax,
it does not even know that an antenna is at the other end.

You may get slightly better coupling from the radio to the coax by
changing the coax length, but that is all.

: :+> Which "myth" are you talking about? Be specific and show us what
: :+> is wrong with it -- or shut up.

: See above. Also keep in mind, "location" and the ability for the
: antenna to be tuneable for any impedance a poor, or otherwise
: inadequate, ground plane image can throw into the equations.

Coax length will not affect the impedance of the antenna, nor do
anything for a poor ground plane.

: :+> Claiming that a specific length does help is not only patently false,
: :+> it perpetuates a misunderstanding about RF and solves nothing.

: No, it can provide a tolerable SWR for a customer that didn't want to
: give the antenna what it needs, or the physical constraints that
: locating the antenna in the ideal spot is not possible. So, therefore
: the customer has to suffer, the fate of not being able to use the
: radio, or have a choice to at least use the radio even though the
: performance is not ideal.

No, the customer would have to suffer learning that he had believed
a myth all these years. If you have a CB antenna that you cannot tune

to under a 2:1 SWR, no matter where you place it on the vehicle, then
you have a defective antenna.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

3829. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 10:42:14 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <929sm6$b7m$2@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 65364

tnom@mucks.net wrote:

:>1) There is no specific length of coax that is likely to cure, or
:>even help reduce, common mode current problem. These myths
:>that require 18' of coax have no basis in fact.

: I'll have to disagree here. Parallel currents can produce a bad
: termination for the transmitter. A proper choice of coax length
: won't remove these currents but will reduce the SWR measurement
: at the transmitter. Thus creating a better load for the transmitter.

The SWR reading at the rig may be reduced - for the reasons I have
posted previously. But it will not reduce the common mode currents
due to SWR - those will remain the same regardless of the length of
the coax.

What can be affected are common mode currents that are coupled to
the coax from the signal radiated by the antenna. But 18 feet is
one of the WORST lengths that you can use for that, as it maximizes
such signal coupling - hint, it is a resonant half-wave.

:>2) Even if you found a length of coax that did reduce your common mode
:>current problem, it would be a poor solution. There are several good
:>ways to attack this problem; varying the coax length is not one of them.

: I'll agree that the best solution is to eliminate parallel currents.
: However from a manufacturers standpoint he knows that there
: will be some installations that induce unwanted parallel currents.
: If this is the case then 18 feet will best mask a parallel current
: problem. That is why Wilson, Firestik and others will specifically
: call out the 18 foot length.

See above. "Mask" is the proper term.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

3830. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 10:48:07 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <dltg4tc8teqjt15aghccv12n16u88etc4u@4ax.com>
Source record: 65363

Greetings!

Thank you. The basis is, antenna is not reactive, provides 37 ohms
resistive at frequency of interest.

Therefore, antenna and ground image will offer same impedance, 37 ohms
non reactive to any length.

On Tue, 26 Dec 2000 04:25:35 -0600, while the modem was ice fishing
with the Mouse, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> dissertation the following:

:+>
:+>
:+> Handy Andy,
:+> I think you just proved my point. Amazing...
:+> 'Doc

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:

3831. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 10:50:43 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <929t63$b7m$3@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 65365

Sparky <sparky@cet.com> wrote:

: It's Christmas, so to keep with the spirit I'll give you one more
: chance. We'll take this step by step.

: Step #1: What frequencies and/or harmonics of the citizen's band are
: resonant on 14, 18, and 21 foot lengths of RG-8?

: Careful, I'm setting you up, and this isn't a trick question this
: time.

On the inside or outside of the coax?

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

3832. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 11:23:56 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <929v4cnsh1@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 65368

Handy Andy <CodysPC@iserve.net> wrote:
:
: On 25 Dec 2000 09:03:19 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with the
: Mouse, Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> dissertation the following:

: :+> Would you believe anthing that was not quoted from authority? Have you
: :+> tried the various experiments that I have outlined in various posts that
: :+> would allow you to prove or disprove the claims I have made?

: When I put to the group the conjugate for the 25ohm - j5 - only one
: person made an effort, it was George.

This has nothing to do with what I asked above.

The conjugate is trivial to determine on a Smith Chart. Why bother, unless
you need it.

: BTW - the SWR scale for 25 to 50 ohm mis-match is not 2:1 it's closer
: to 2.7:1 - 33 ohms is closer to 2:1 mis-match - why? Read about SWR
: meter scaling issues regarding Logarithm, Linear and Scalar.

Meter scaling issues have nothing to do with it. The meter is calibrated
to display the correct SWR.

SWR can be calculated, regardless of the meter scaling - as long as the
meter displays the correct forward and reflected wattages.

: :+> : And yet someone can re-create these 'myths' - as the Koax Kops call
: :+> : it, simply by putting up an antenna and having problems.
: :+>
: :+> No, a person can do something that appears (on their SWR meter) to do
: :+> something that is not happening. I posted the reasons why this occurs.

: Only thing that was not stated was, the antenna made resonate or not?

It does not matter if the antenna is resonant or not. You are unlikely
to get a valid SWR reading on any meter if common mode currents exist
on the coax. For almost all CB and many Ham installations, this will be
the case.

: :+> We are talking about one run of coax with a given characteristic
: :+> impedance here. Matching stubs are a different matter, as they are
: :+> either open or shorted at one end.

: One thing that was not mentioned, was how the counterpoise will affect
: an antenna designed for one particular type of image. The antenna, any
: antenna, will appear non-resonate when it's using a counterpoise that
: is not ideal. Does that make the antenna show a high SWR? Yes. Does it

: mean the antenna is at fault? No. What it means is the antenna is
: simply not resonate at the location it's in.

More correctly, the resonant frequency of the antenna may be shifted somewhat by the non-ideal counterpoise. Absolutely made non-resonant, no way. The counterpoise can also affect the feed point impedance of the antenna. The latter can affect the resonant SWR. A poor counterpoise can also increase the "ground resistance" of the system, reducing the efficiency of the antenna - while at the same time it might actually improve the SWR.

: :+> : Simple problems that generate and perpetuate the myth are actually
: :+> : methods that coax properties have, and will always occur, when you use
: :+> : antennas over a conductive surface that is not ideal and try to make
: :+> : it work like a dipole.
: :+>
: :+> It does not matter if the load is bananced or not - the same rules
: :+> apply. If you think that earth conductivity does not matter with
: :+> dipoles, then you need to get some experience with them.

: See above...my experience shows non-resonance when in proximity on a
: vehicle due to poor location, but just on a pole 6 inches above the
: earths surface, a 1:1 SWR can be obtained. [8 radial arms of 9 feet
: using coaxial braid from mount - "dangle" of 10-12 degrees of slope to
: earths surface at 9 foot mark to steel stake 3 feet into earth -
: pounded flush to surface].

Make that "shifting of resonance", and I would agree.

: I think I'll need to see that Email...

They were is several posts over the last week or so - primarily on this and other "coax length" threads. My primative reader deletes posts that I have read, so I do not have access to them any more. If you have web access, they should be available on dejanews. I don't have web access, so cannot dig them out for you - wish I could.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

3833. Re: ^^ICE^^

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 11:27:21 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <929vapnsh2@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 65367

Gunsmoke <rich@hotmail.com> wrote:

: Can ice on your antenna effect your SWR ?

Yes.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

3834. Re: 12/25 Strange Day on AM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 11:29:55 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <929vfjnsh3@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 65366

Nealbfinn <nealbfinn@aol.com> wrote:
: morning, Washington and N. Oregon asbout 11:00AM, the midwest after that, all
: with a thick 3 to 5 level of static covering everything. Could today's eclipse
: have caused this??

No.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

3835. Re: If you have Linears, or anything else...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 11:57:31 UTC
From: Hillbilly Dave <******davesradio@alltel.net******>
Message-ID: <92a0ucitj1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 65369

hell, my wife has fired me two or three times.....

dave

<curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:929gdpsdm1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <3A480D68.3C30B4CE@tyler.net>,
> Dean <deanntx@tyler.net> wrote:
> > If you have Linears for sale, or anything else for that matter, you
> may
> > want to come over and post them on my MarketPlace. The popularity of
> the
> > board is growing rapidly as with all the other message areas there as
> > well.
> >
> > If the link to your website is not already on my Links page, come by
> and
> > post it as well.
> >
> > You will find it all at ChargersCastle.com
> >
> > Charger
> >
> >
>
> hey dean, getting fired on the spot is a texas tradition. don't worry
> about it. heck , if you are a good tech, then start your own business,
> work out of your home or whatever,.....like the website
> though...ps....heck if you know about website stuff, go to work for a
> internet company doing web design work. heck you could probably work
> out of your home doing that as well, one of those jobs of the future
> that are here now,.........cd is out.............
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

3836. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 12:00:02 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <ko1h4t41fn1fva6c851li2n1hj599mqm7k@4ax.com>
Source record: 65370

snip
>: I'll agree that the best solution is to eliminate parallel currents.
>: However from a manufacturers standpoint he knows that there
>: will be some installations that induce unwanted parallel currents.
>: If this is the case then 18 feet will best mask a parallel current
>: problem. That is why Wilson, Firestik and others will specifically
>: call out the 18 foot length.
>
>See above. "Mask" is the proper term.

I used the word "mask" to confirm what I said earlier. 18 feet
won't remove parallel currents, but it will reduce the effects of
them on the transmitter. A beneficial masking, particularly from
a manufacturers standpoint.

3837. Re: ^^ICE^^

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 12:05:49 UTC
From: Hillbilly Dave <******davesradio@alltel.net******>
Message-ID: <92a1e2$j2a$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 65371

I have alot of mil/spec RG-213 coax 179.95 500 ft roll... good stuff..

Dave (hillbilly)
KG5XR
Daves Radio Electronics
http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html
On Bull Shoales Lake , Ark/Mo State Line

Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay) <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3A47FF1C.92547403@hotmail.com...
> The Top Ten List:
>
> Ice, snow, rain, dust, dirt, bugs and birdsh!t.
> Corrosion or oxidation at the coax connector or elsewhere.
> And the #1 reason: moisture in the coax.
>
> Gunsmoke wrote:
> >
> > Can ice on your antenna effect your SWR ?

3838. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 12:29:06 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <54ug4t0e6e02pjmecvs6r7o2b0ocpnbdjb@4ax.com>
Source record: 65372

Greetings!

Will clarify as we go...

On 26 Dec 2000 10:32:35 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with the
Mouse, Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> dissertation the following:

:+> Handy Andy <CodysPC@iserve.net> wrote:

:+> : A simple case is those that purchased such antennas like those for CB,
:+> : both Francis, and Wilson, recommend a specific length to avoid issues.
:+>
:+> The usual claim is that changing the coax length will change the SWR that
:+> is on the coax. To CBers, and some Hams, a low indicated SWR is the only
:+> acceptable situation. As long as they can get that indication down to the
:+> magical 1:1 on their meter, they believe that everything is now perfect
:+> with their system and that it cannot be made to perform better. Further,
:+> they perpetuate the myth that 18 feet of coax will minimize SWR - where
:+> it is actually one of the worst lengths you can use - as it maximizes
:+> the rf currents that may appear on the outside of the coax, turning the
:+> coax into a radiator (not generally desired).
:+>
:+> This is what the people who understand transmission lines here have been
:+> objecting to - as changing the length of the coax will NOT affect the
:+> SWR in the coax. It may make the transmitter load into the coax better,
:+> as coax length will affect the input impedance if there is a mismatch.
:+> That is also probably why Wilson and Francis recommend specific coax
:+> lengths. I wonder if they consider the velocity factor of the coax?
:+>
:+> Changing coax length may also affect what the SWR meter indicates, for
:+> the reasons I have posted earlier. But that is one of those cases of
:+> "appears to occur".

I realize that it is their objection, but there are problems in trying
to accommodate those that feel it doesn't matter. There is a world of
people and their needs that make the "ideal" antenna offerings nearly
impossible to accommodate all people, all the time.

My apologies to those that feel coax length doesn't matter.
They should understand that a customer is the one that wants
the proverbial "1:1" SWR with a particular antenna and at the
location they choose. Not the other way around where the
location plays a major role in what the antenna wants.

If you come across those kinds of people, you'll know what I
mean.

And "Apparent" SWR is what we get. What I believe in, is to make these customers happy. "Apparent" versus "true" can make or break a system. If there are nay questions, remember the customer is always right.

As far as velocity factor, Wilson wants it's coax to be replaced with the same type RG-8x - and since it's what they use - I believe you are correct.

:+> : One specific issue is being that location plays a role in the actual
:+> : impedance the antenna will exhibit at a point.
:+>
:+> Which is part of tuning the antenna. It does not affect the impedance
:+> of the coax.

Again in agreement. For clarity, my own setups don't require a specific length, nor any of the installs that a customer wants the best and is willing to accept a hole being drilled for permanent mounting. Those are the easy ones.

The hard ones are the customers using a particular mount and antenna type at the location they choose. It's not my choice.

:+>
:+> : While tuning the antenna is the preferred and often the most
:+> : successful - we have another problem where the customer wants the
:+> : antenna put on only one way, and one location.
:+>
:+> : This is where the choice is lost. We, as installers, simply
:+> : have no choice here. So what does the customer do? It's none
:+> : of our business, but that is where the problems lie. They
:+> : claim that trimming the coax, or having to run a certain
:+> : length
:+> : works and is being told to their friends.
:+>
:+> : Yes, I agree, this is a special case, but why flame those that
:+> : do agree unless it's such a special case as above?
:+>
:+> So, do it their way. But don't turn around and claim that the length
:+> of the coax does not matter - if you know better.

Anylength is suggesting the idea. I merely state that if the install is done correctly, coax length is not needed. "Homework" was the word I used at the beginning of this thread.

:+> : Case and point is the fact that antennas for CB, or any particular
:+> : type designed using a "test range" or controlled conditions, will
:+> : require the purchaser to recreate the ground image as stated in the
:+> : antennas own instructions manual for the antenna to provide the
:+> : expected level of performance - and low SWR.
:+>
:+> Again, that has no effect on the coax. You are tuning the antenna.

Some antennas simply don't have the range of tuning in the scenarios
of inadequate ground plane. That is where the "coax length" issue
comes up - the antenna is not resonate, due to image, so conjugate
comes into play, and you're stuck with a poor performing system until
you can fix the problem of location and provide for the antennas
needs.

Now, I don't remember mentioning this makes the antenna
"resonate" - it's simply not the case. The coax and the
antenna have to work together now - as one unit.

:+> Because they know the CBer (or Ham) would be less likely to accept their
:+> antenna if they told them that only the indicated SWR would come down,
:+> but the actual SWR would not change. The customer would buy someone elses
:+> antenna instead.
:+>
:+> They might also recommend a specific length for the reason I mentioned
:+> above - matching the input impedance of the coax to the radio.

The fooling of the SWR meter - we are in agreement here. The customer
doesn't care about coax lengths, they want a low SWR - you'll find
extremists in every realm. ;-)

:+>
:+> : Yes, this is a special case, and has occurred to myself
:+> : personally. A Wilson antenna with it's 18 feet of coax gives
:+> : an SWR of 1.6 to 1.9 - kind a high but tolerable. Adding a
:+> : 3-foot patch cord lowers SWR to 1.3 to 1.4 - on a Ford Aspire
:+> : a sub-compact. Simply not enough ground plane image to make
:+> : the antenna appear resonate. The Aspires curved roof line also
:+> : affects feed point impedance - once again the real world takes
:+> : it's toll on ideal antenna locations.
:+>
:+> But that still does not affect the SWR, even if it appears to do so.

Another point, I've never claimed during the thread that we are tuning
the antenna due to trimming coax. We are simply providing a lower
working SWR - doesn't mean the antenna is resonate, we now have a
requirement that a length of coax is needed to show a low SWR to the
radio.

I guess this is where the interpretations go awry. My web pages
describe the need for location to play a major role in how well an
antenna will work. I don't tell people to use conjugate match to lower
the appearance of SWR unless there are problems that can't be fixed
due to location, and/or the ground image at the location, are poor.
The pages also state that you will have to use this method all the
time and the antenna performance will suffer.

:+>
:+> : Francis, Firestik, K40 - all have this same problem. Antenna
:+> : feedpoint impedance in certain conditions and location on the
:+> : ground plane, will not be able to be tuned out.

:+>
:+> : Recommendation that the customer use a specific length of
:+> : coax is not only a choice, but allows the customer the
:+> : opportunity to use the antenna even though it's performance
:+> : may not be ideal. As a side benefit, if the antenna performs
:+> : well, using the specific length doesn't violate any particular
:+> : rules - the coax sees a balanced load and doesn't play a
:+> : role except for being a feed line.
:+>
:+> The coax will never see a balanced load with a mobile antenna. It
:+> cannot, as the load is not balanced. They could just as well use the
:+> antenna without the extra length, and it would perform just as well.

The topic of low SWR though, is important to a point - I can agree to
the above. The low SWR for the operator allows them to use the antenna
on a greater number of channels.

Some antennas - especially the short ones, don't have the
bandwidth for tuning out the unwanted reactive components
so the operator has this particular problem of having to
use a required length stated by the manufacturer in order to
use the system. Not my choice here - the customers!
:+>
:+> : A side benefit is the lack of problems if the coaxial cable
:+> : ends are kept at factory stock, and sealed. They simply last
:+> : longer and gives acceptable performance for a longer period
:+> : of time.
:+>
:+> Varying the length of coax has no effect here.

But the failure rate of non-factory PL-259 ends makes the antenna fail
at inopportune times. Simply due to water entry and corrosion.
Pre-molded ends reduce these factors for a greater period of time -
less maintenance.

Which brings up the question, if the antenna is resonate at
the location - then any length of coax will work?

I agree to the above.

I suggest the use of the Pre-molded and sealed factory ends
to provide the better contacts for the extremes of weather,
salt, sun and corrosion for a longer period of time.

The above means that, if it works, don't fix it - messing
around with trimming can lead to more problems, even if all
they wanted to do was remove the slack in the cord.

:+> : :+> of observations; there must be an explanation. But that doesn't stop
:+> : :+> you. You saw a coax length that appeared to matter; therefore, it
:+> : :+> matters to you and you insist to the whole world that it matters
:+> : :+> when you've got nothing but a misunderstood observation as your
:+> : :+> evidence.

:+>
:+> : And then to make a "generalized" statement about coax length does not
:+> : matter is avoiding an issue regarding those special cases.
:+>
:+> It may matter to the customer. It may matter to you, as you want to
:+> keep the customer happy. But functionally, it does not matter.

Once again, in agreement - I don't do this stuff to my own setups - I know better. The customer is the problem, they don't have the time to understand what we talk about here on the NG regarding this topic.

Hint, an Expedition, $30,000.00 + vehicle, and they want mirror mounts. Co-phased as well. You think I'm going to argue? This customer is the one that certainly has the income but no understanding of what coax length means.

They just want to talk on their CB radio - no problem here!

:+> : A Van or Caravan, with the whip mounted to the bumper, it's not the
:+> : best spot, but this is what the customer wants. They do begin to
:+> : notice the problems with both reception and receive when they try and
:+> : use the radio for longer distance performance.
:+>
:+> Usually, they don't "notice" any problem until they put a Rat Shack
:+> SWR meter in line and notice that there is not a perfect match.

Or use their radios own "built-in SWR meter".

A typical conversation from the customer that brings it back...

"I thought you said this thing was tuned!"

"It was when it left the shop."

"Check it again" [So we check using the customers stuff]

"What SWR reading is acceptable? An SWR of 1.7 is not going to hurt."

"I want a flat match."

Well, so now what? We fix the problems - and in some cases, swapping to a different length of coax to give the customer what they want is done. No choice, just fix the problems and no, the customer isn't going to say "put it up there". Instead we *have* to fix it at the current location.

There are very few cases where the customer realizes the mistake and in those cases, we go all out and fix the problems for free - glad to know that the customer made up their minds and wants the antenna in a better spot.

:+>
:+> : The antenna manufacturers are not as ignorant of these issues, they
:+> : want to appease the customer. They are taking steps to advise the user

:+> : that not everything is the same in the real world.
:+>
:+> No, they are perpetuating a myth, just to make the customer happy.

Isn't that important? The customer is #1 - the bread and butter of the company. Now, if you mean short sheeting the customer to tune out and give an apparent SWR reading - that's short sighted practice. I've been treated that way before. Customers are not dumb. Ignorant - perhaps, but not dumb. The customer is told what we do, if it's acceptable - we proceed.

:+>
:+> : "Use this length" to reduce the problems is an acceptable solution to
:+> : an otherwise complex problem where the customer simply cannot accept
:+> : the antenna being at the location the antenna mfg suggests.
:+>
:+> Maybe the installer's problems. It does no actual good - except makes
:+> the customer feel better.

An installer does what the customer wants. Whether it means a 2 foot Firestick on a Mirror mount, a 102" on the bumper, or a mag-mount on the hood, we have to do what they ask - negotiation may be possible, but the customer will go somewhere else if they get frustrated.

Some customers do what they want, and then come back and complain "this mount" or "that antenna" is bad. We didn't install it, they bought it and are now returning it.

The above is a lost sale, simply put.

The customer gave up without even trying, let alone asking. [perhaps they're Dutch and don't want to spend $$$ needed to create a working system.]

:+> : I have yet to find an antenna that works in any location you place it
:+> : in. There's too many locations a good antenna can't provide a low SWR
:+> : at, no matter how much time and effort you place in tuning the
:+> : radiator first. There are vehicles and customers that can't, or will
:+> : not, compensate the antennas requirements just to have a low SWR for a
:+> : radio to be used with the antenna at a particular location - bumper
:+> : and gutter mounts are one of many locations and mounts, that any
:+> : antenna will have problems working properly. Be-it counterpoise, or
:+> : long-term performance.
:+>
:+> I assume you mean by "not working properly" to mean that the customer
:+> can measure a non-perfect match, then I agree. If you mean that the
:+> antenna is not working properly because the SWR is 1:6.1 instead of
:+> 1:1, then I disagree.

This scenario is where the customer differentiates the issue of knowing versus not-knowing. Nothing is wrong in the above, but a customer "full-bore set" in their ways to see only a 1:1 SWR is what we run into - not all the time, but they're out there.

:+>
:+> ... deleted ...
:+>
:+> : To remain focused on only the antenna, you are not seeing how the
:+> : ground plane image affects the impedance of the antenna. Ground image
:+> : is directly related to how the antennas' feedpoint impedance will
:+> : appear.
:+>
:+> The ground losses affect the antenna impedance, true. You certainly
:+> want to minimize such loss, as it becomes heat - not radiated signal.
:+>
:+> But why do you want to get a 50 ohm impedance, if the antenna when
:+> it is resonant is (for example) 35 ohms? Do you want to increase the
:+> ground losses to 15 ohms, so you will get a perfect match, or would
:+> you rather have the ground losses at 5 ohms, and see some SWR?
:+>
:+> As a hint, the efficiency of the antenna is the antenna impedance
:+> divided by the total impedance.
:+>
:+> Calculate 35/40 and 35/50 and see.

I know what you're getting at Bill, it's not me here that is
disagreeing with anyone on whether a low SWR and a dummy load of a
performing antenna is better than a good antenna that can perform even
though an SWR of 1:1 is not always attainable or needed.

We do go further in demonstrating F/S readings too, to
help the customer decide the correct location height and type
of antenna that works, when the customer is willing to try
something regarding performance versus just simply having
an antenna so they can use the CB to talk to their
friends.

A Wax pencil and a simple F/S meter can go a long way in
convincing customers that location plays a big role. Swapping
to a different antenna at the location is proof in the
pudding regarding antenna performance. Location to determine
the better spots, and different antenna lengths and types to
show F/S changes make the difference.

If the customer has the time for it, it's well worth the wait
and seeing direct evidence of the changes makes the sale.

See several paragraphs above, the Customer wants results - to tell
them to accept something that they find unacceptable is the issue
regarding coax lengths in the above.

:+>
:+> : If the ground is poor, we are going to see problems.
:+>
:+> Maybe.

Attenuation and poor radiator performance are issues. It's not out of
the question to state that some antennas are not any better than a
dummy load at that same location.

It goes back to the old situation of buying an antenna
that looks "cool" on the car - but has less than stellar
performance. It just looks good. Doesn't perform worth a
carp, but "cruising" to look kewl is what these special
cases want.

...[...]...

:+> : Note: I didn't not say, make the antenna resonate, only
:+> : appear to have a low SWR - so the radio can be used even
:+> : though performance will be poor.
:+>
:+> It can be used even with what a CBe,r or many Hams, would consider
:+> "high SWR" - and possibly better than with the low SWR.
:+>
:+> If the antenna is not resonant, it is NOT performing at its best.
:+>

I'm agreeing with you here. "See fooling SWR" above.

:+> I have never seen a CB antenna where the length of coax mattered. The
:+> coax/antenna work together exactly the same, no matter what you do to
:+> the coax length. The radio only sees the input impedance to the coax,
:+> it does not even know that an antenna is at the other end.
:+>
:+> You may get slightly better coupling from the radio to the coax by
:+> changing the coax length, but that is all.

...[...]...

:+> Coax length will not affect the impedance of the antenna, nor do
:+> anything for a poor ground plane.
:+>
:+> : :+> Claiming that a specific length does help is not only patently false,
:+> : :+> it perpetuates a misunderstanding about RF and solves nothing.
:+>
:+> : No, it can provide a tolerable SWR for a customer that didn't want to
:+> : give the antenna what it needs, or the physical constraints that
:+> : locating the antenna in the ideal spot is not possible. So, therefore
:+> : the customer has to suffer, the fate of not being able to use the
:+> : radio, or have a choice to at least use the radio even though the
:+> : performance is not ideal.
:+>
:+> No, the customer would have to suffer learning that he had believed
:+> a myth all these years. If you have a CB antenna that you cannot tune
:+> to under a 2:1 SWR, no matter where you place it on the vehicle, then
:+> you have a defective antenna.

This is the rub, in some locations you can have an SWR of 2.5 or
above, because the customer wants it there. However, just a few inches

away, the proper location we feel, the SWR is a 1:1.

Does that mean the antenna is defective? No.

The antenna doesn't get what it needs where the customer wants it,
they want it there or "no deal" - I'd love to send them on their way
with that type of an attitude, but we accommodate.

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:

3839. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 13:36:48 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13899-3A489EF0-3@storefull-127.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65373

I have followed this thread, quite amusingly, and I may have missed it completely, but the gist of the matter appears to be a direct contradiction between some folks that neither side has successfully answered without referring to a book and quoting something, but not directly answering the question. The thread seems at a stand still over semantics, IE, you say tomatoe, I say tomato. FORGET impedance, conjugate impedance, matching situations, damaged or inferior coax, test range results vs. "real life situations" , mounting etc., JUST FOR A SECOND! Changing coax line length can SHOW a lower swr, everyone seems to agree, but it appears that no definitive answer has been confirmed as to what I and many others believe, that changing the length unequivocally and unto itself does not affect swr directly and alone, but the domino effect can be endless. The boots required to navigate this thread must be no lower than hip height.

3840. Re: Aaron Voobner Wants To Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 14:35:30 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001226093530.23864.00003688@nso-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 65374

In a message dated 12/26/00 1:50:02 AM Eastern Standard Time,
aaronvoobner@my-deja.com writes:

> >>However, the brazen thuggery and underworld
> >aspects displayed>by those in the illegal amp business intrigues me
> >greatly.
> >
> >WHY?
>
> Because you're such criminals without conscience.

And this intrigues you?
I had hoped you had some other things that would occupy you during the day
and/or night!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

3841. BAD GUY AL;ERT !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 15:49:03 UTC
From: win7@webworkz.com
Message-ID: <3a48bfcf$1_1@vienna7.his.com>
Source record: 65377

RevDoGood@webtv.net (Northwest Corner)
IS NOTHING BUT A CROOK !
I SENT HIM MONEY FOR A SET OF EAGLES HE DOESN'T EVEN HAVE..HE WON'T SEND MONEY BACK OR RADIO'S ! HE NEEDED SOME CHRISTMAS MONEY AND IS TOO SORRY TO GO TO WORK..DO NOT SEND ANY MONEY TO KIM SMITH 2727 JAMES RD VALDOSTA GA 31601..
YOU WILL GO THROUGH HELL LIKE I AM GETTING READY TO..HIS NAME IS BELEIVED TO BE KEN ROSE..JSUT THOUGHT I WOULD LET YOU GUY'S IN ON THAT..MIGHT SAVE YOU A BUCK OR TWO..

3842. notice: bad guy alert !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 15:57:05 UTC
From: win7@webworkz.com
Message-ID: <3a48c1b1_4@vienna7.his.com>
Source record: 65378

RevDoGood@webtv.net (Northwest Corner)
is a crook ! i sent him money for a set of eagles he
doesn't even have.he needed some christmas money and was too sorry to go
work it out !
don't send any money to kim smith 2727 james rd
valdosta ga 31601..you will go through hell just like
i am getting ready for..just thought i would let you guy's in on that..it
might save you a buck or two.

3843. bad guy !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 15:59:30 UTC
From: win7@webworkz.com
Message-ID: <3a48c241$1_2@vienna7.his.com>
Source record: 65379

i beleive his name to be ken rose..

3844. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 16:01:21 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-1BDFB3.10540426122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 65375

In article <3a4846c0.1530759@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> On Tue, 26 Dec 2000 03:39:58 GMT, jdaggett@gate.net wrote:
>
> >How much 36.5 Ohm coax do you wnat? Take two equal lengths of 75 Ohm
> >coax in parallel and you have 37.5 Ohm coax. If you want 25 Ohm coax
> >do the same as above for 50 Ohm.
>
> I'm sorry, but this is a common misconception. Coax cables are not
> resistors, and product-over-sum doesn't work. Two identical coax
> cables in parallel split the power, i.e, each coax carries half the
> voltage _and_ half the current. The ratio is the same, and so is the
> impedance. This is very easy to verify by experiment.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

Sparky is a troll. He is posting total nonsense. He either does not
understand impedance or he intentionally posting inaccurate information
regarding it.

A "common misconception"? I never even saw this idea posted before
so it is not common and it is no misconception.

Two identical cables do indeed split the power but not as Sparky
believes. Half voltage _and_ half current would yield one quarter
power; not half. In reality, each cable would have full voltage and
half the current.

jdaggett is correct.

3845. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 16:03:53 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-2D3386.10563626122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 65376

In article <rb2g4tspa9ujopppsdiak00as3815km67a@4ax.com>,
codyspc@iserv.net wrote:

> BTW - the SWR scale for 25 to 50 ohm mis-match is not 2:1 it's closer
> to 2.7:1 - 33 ohms is closer to 2:1 mis-match - why? Read about SWR
> meter scaling issues regarding Logarithm, Linear and Scalar.

It's 2:1, plain and simple. Don't redefine it because you have an
SWR meter that doesn't work correctly.

3846. RevDoGood@webtv.net (Northwest Corner)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 16:03:57 UTC
From: win7@webworkz.com
Message-ID: <3a48c34d_1@vienna7.his.com>
Source record: 65380

is a thief ! do not send him any money for equipment..he doesn't have any..
especially eagles..he won't send money or radio's
he needed christmas money and is trying crook me.

3847. Re: RevDoGood@webtv.net (Northwest Corner)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 16:43:18 UTC
From: win7@webworkz.com
Message-ID: <3a48cc86_2@vienna7.his.com>
Source record: 65385

he has nothing to do !
a low life..it won't be long before we can't trade anything on the net
because of people like this.
their brain is not too big..i don't think i could go around having to watch
who i delt with and trying to make sure they didn't know me..changing email
etc..
what a life !
YoU KnOw I tOkE wrote in message
<20001226114333.11853.00001257@ng-mo1.aol.com>...
>Good luck getting your money back, that is fucked up of revdogood to be
such a
>schemer...especially around the holidays.

3848. Re: RevDoGood@webtv.net (Northwest Corner)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 16:43:33 UTC
From: youknowitoke@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001226114333.11853.00001257@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Source record: 65382

Good luck getting your money back, that is fucked up of revdogood to be such a schemer...especially around the holidays.

3849. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 16:46:38 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a48ca85.247272@news.cet.com>
Source record: 65381

On Tue, 26 Dec 2000 16:01:21 GMT, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>Two identical cables do indeed split the power but not as Sparky
>believes. Half voltage _and_ half current would yield one quarter
>power; not half.

Oops . . .

> In reality, each cable would have full voltage and
>half the current.

Correct. And what is the impedance of the signal going through just one of the 50 ohm lines? 25 ohms. It still doesn't work because transmission lines do not behave like resistors, just like your watchdog said very early in this thread.

Sparky, FLRC

3850. Good Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 16:51:59 UTC
From: youknowitoke@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001226115159.11853.00001259@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Source record: 65384

I have been out of radio for about a year now...when i went off the air i was using an antron99 which i sold last spring, i know some new models have come on to the scene the past year or 2.In general, I just want to know what is the best base antenna now-a-days? (not including beams)

3851. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 16:54:19 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a48ccd4.838375@news.cet.com>
Source record: 65383

On Tue, 26 Dec 2000 08:36:48 -0500 (EST), twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:

<snip>
>Changing coax line length can SHOW a lower swr, everyone seems
>to agree, but it appears that no definitive answer has been confirmed as
>to what I and many others believe, that changing the length
>unequivocally and unto itself does not affect swr directly and alone,

I agree, coax length does not change the SWR.

>but the domino effect can be endless. The boots required to navigate
>this thread must be no lower than hip height.

Fun, ain't it?

Sparky, FLRC

3852. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 17:09:30 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a48cdc5.1079221@news.cet.com>
Source record: 65386

On Tue, 26 Dec 2000 03:25:13 -0600, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote:

>Sparky,
> My 'user name' has nothing to do with an accademic degree, it's
>a nick-name. I have never claimed to be a 'doctor' of anything, so
>it seems to me that ~you~ have the problem, not me.

I never claimed it does, and that has nothing to do with what I
posted. Does the word "obfuscation" ring a bell?

> For the rest of your post, you have proven the claim someone made
>about resorting to name calling etc, when you are trying to re-direct
>attention from the fact that you ~still~ haven't answered the
>question!

I you had a question I missed, then please repeat it. If it was not
your question, then how and when I answer it is not your problem, and
your posts are, therefore, irrelavent.

>Better watch out about throwing those 'stones', your still living in
>a glass house, Sparky. There's a few cracks showing, Sparky, better
>find that 'ductape'!
> 'Doc
> (non- Ph.D., make you feel better?)

Your advice has been noted and appropriately filed.

3853. Re: OT: REAL VIRUS WARNING-NO BS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 17:12:07 UTC
From: YJ Nate <war@netmagi.com>
Message-ID: <3A48CF4D.86A001E2@netmagi.com>
Source record: 65387
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.autos.makers.jeep+willys

well, most ISP's have an abuse department. For example, at the ISP i work for, if someone gets spam, viruses, or even if they are being attatcked by someone else on the internet, they can send a message to abuse@ptd.net (ptd.net being the ISP i work for) with as much information about where the abuse is coming from, whats happening, or any other information that you have. Other ISP's "should" have similar services, usually the address would be abuse@(insert that ISP's domain here) like abuse@epix.net or abuse@aol.com. If you cant get through to an abuse address try something like postmaster@whatver.com that should get you to the mail administrator at that ISP and they should be able to get you to the right person.

Good Luck!

Nick wrote:

> Since your a little in the know, working for and ISP, what can be done about
> this stuff? I constantly get slammed with spam and spam+viruses and these
> people should have their hands cut off so that they cant compute...Most of
> these people don't bother to hide what ISP they are sending out from.
> Nick
>
> "YJ Nate" <war@netmagi.com> wrote in message
> news:3A44F09F.91BE6EA5@netmagi.com...
> > I work for an ISP, i can say first hand that this virus IS real. From
> what
> > ive seen it comes as an e-mail, ususally titled "Show white and the 7
> > dwarves..." I have seen a few variants of the virus, it seems to mutate
> > itself into different viruses but i dont know that for sure. I think the
> > only way you can be infected is if you OPEN the attatchment. ive seen the
> > attatchments come in a few different flavors, one was an EXE another was
> an

--
[]||||||[] []||||||[] []||||||[] []||||||[]

Nate

http://www.netmagi.com/~war/jeep.html

3854. message won't post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 17:12:28 UTC
From: win7@webworkz.com
Message-ID: <3a48d35b$1_1@vienna7.his.com>
Source record: 65389

??????????????????????????????????????

3855. Re: Looking for info on D&A Maverick 250

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 17:13:24 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A48D1B4.A6735F6D@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65388

At the end of the Patapsco River. That's Baltimore.

gadget wrote:

> PS. Wherabouts on the Chesapeake? I live in New Jersey just south of
> the Twin Spans (Delaware Memorial) and I can usually talk down around
> the Chesapeake.

3856. FA: Great Radio for auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 17:24:14 UTC
From: mobile51@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001226122414.14788.00008564@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 65390

http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/47403229

thanks

3857. Re: did you ever see something like this ? 554

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 17:48:50 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A48DA02.76F9ABF4@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65391
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Thank you for your widespread spam. I have seen it on every
newsgroup I have visited. Do you think we care about your
foreign site?

Is this you? Should we all complain to you or somebody else?

inetnum: 62.58.18.0 - 62.58.18.255
netname: VERSATEL-CUST-WEBSITE4YOU
descr: Versatel FBI customer Website 4 You
country: NL

person: Marc Teichtahl
address: Versatel Telecom International NV
address: Hullenbergweg
address: NL-1011 DS Amsterdam
address: Netherlands
phone: +31-6-21-21-31-04
e-mail: marct@versatel.net
nic-hdl: MT2926-RIPE
remarks: --
remarks: For abuse issues please contact
remarks: abuse@versatel.net
remarks: abuse@zonnet.nl

bob@lenaerts.org wrote:
>
> take a look at http://www.webline.be and see the rates for hosting your site. Its almost
for free. And you must check out its speed.
> WAAAAAUUUW. great.
> take a look
> mmfxfgffwecxosutlffiryqxklpkdwqjhvsjzrkuhfwqisqozkvntrsdrcgrvfdjwbbntlbkyvfwqksvlfzjerd

3858. free CB radio club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 18:20:31 UTC
From: Whiskey Tango 155 <toomyg@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <3a48e15c$1@news.pcom.net>
Source record: 65392

check it out at
http://www.geocities.com/toomyg/freeclub.html

got our own DX contacts message board
and if you wish not to join OUR club check out our free member ship World
Wide Message board

link is on the web page

155

3859. re the sbe landcommand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 18:26:16 UTC
From: phrawg@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92ans3naa1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65395

it is an LCBS-8 i am wondering about. Any real value or unusual rig ? Has original desk mic and schematic and owners manual and 9 out of 10 in condition. Have not seen any floating around on "the Bay" or other places. Thanks, The Phrawg

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3860. Re: FS Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 18:26:50 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4honeb441ma77@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65393

Thanks buddy. I can't figure it out. I am getting a little paranoid. Yeah, logic say's it's horseshit but man, If I put FS or the radio's name (Siltronix) in the title, it ain't posting. Problem's gotta be on my end but it seemed strange that the problem would come on the heels of the radio being kicked off Ebay...
I'm still curious...

Jeff

"The Landshark" <s3buell@pacbell.net> wrote in message news:j5X16.59997$Wq1.23300798@nnrp5-w.sbc.net...
> This is a test for Jeff.....
>
> The Landshark
>
> --
> It's a Buell-tiful Day for a ride
> through the Canyons.
>
> 1999 Ford F-150 Super Cab
> 1998 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
> 1983 Honda 1100F
> BFD #666
> "Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:t4gdd9altsd41b@news.supernews.com...
> > I've tried three times to post a for sale radio and it ain't making it too
> > the group. How can this be? Seems as though if I put the radio in the
> > subject header or just FS there, it does not make it. Friggin weird, man.
> > All my tests have made it as you have most likely seen (sorry). Friggin
> > wierd...
> > Let's see if this gets through...
> >
> > Jeff
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3861. Re: Good Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 18:31:23 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4hovvei31lk02@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65394

You can get a Maco v58 for about the same price as an Antron with the radial kit. The Maco is miles better than the Antron. A little more difficult to assemble and tune but the performance is terrific for that type of antenna. Pretty tough too.

Jeff

"YoU KnOw I tOkE" <youknowitoke@aol.com> wrote in message news:20001226115159.11853.00001259@ng-mo1.aol.com...
> I have been out of radio for about a year now...when i went off the air i was
> using an antron99 which i sold last spring, i know some new models have come on
> to the scene the past year or 2.In general, I just want to know what is the
> best base antenna now-a-days? (not including beams)

3862. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 19:00:17 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <4kqh4ts0icchvoof2l7ckid2uc1e8t411j@4ax.com>
Source record: 65397

Greetings!

You mean to tell me then, that 25 ohms load is 2:1 SWR?

Is that correct?

On Tue, 26 Dec 2000 16:03:53 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
the Mouse, Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com> dissertation the
following:

:+> In article <rb2g4tspa9ujopppsdiak00as3815km67a@4ax.com>,
:+> codyspc@iserv.net wrote:
:+>
:+> > BTW - the SWR scale for 25 to 50 ohm mis-match is not 2:1 it's closer
:+> > to 2.7:1 - 33 ohms is closer to 2:1 mis-match - why? Read about SWR
:+> > meter scaling issues regarding Logarithm, Linear and Scalar.
:+>
:+> It's 2:1, plain and simple. Don't redefine it because you have an
:+> SWR meter that doesn't work correctly.

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:

3863. Re: Big Bob's New Linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 19:17:25 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001226141725.24688.00008027@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 65248

say man, gotta watch them laws. we got them wanna be narc ham types on this group too so watch out.

>
>Just wondering, what was bob locked up for anyway?sounds like a pretty cool
>guy, i just got out of prison myself (for selling acid and weed to a cop) but
>i
>havnt gotten back on the air yet, i cant wait though!!!!
>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

3864. Re: online cb radio's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 19:18:18 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001226141818.24688.00008028@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 65249

works fine here

>Still doesn't work - when you try going there, it tries downloading a file to
>your computer and no webpage pops up!
>=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
>http://www.riradiobuff.com

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

3865. Re: Good Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 19:19:20 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <618-3A48EF38-22@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65250

Go with a good aluminum ground plane.

3866. X Force Amplifier for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 19:52:29 UTC
From: maniac9188@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001226145229.15169.00004096@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Source record: 65251

Hello All..... I have an X Force Model X60012 Amplifier for Sale. It is a 2X6, with 2) 2sc2290's for the Driver section, and 6) 2sc2879's for the final section.It also has "#12"s Biasing circuit installed, along with a Single SideBand Delay, Recieve Amplifier, Upgraded Power leads, and an 18' wired Remote Control. I have pictures of the Amplifier (inside and out), on a WebPage. I would be happy to forward the pictues to all that are intrested.. No Resonable offer will be refused.
Thanks in Advance, and have a Wonderful Holiday
Season.
Maniac..

3867. Re: X Force Amplifier for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 20:24:30 UTC
From: oneqck76ta@aol.com.com.com
Message-ID: <20001226152430.00784.00003807@ng-mm1.aol.com>
Source record: 65252

>
>
>Hello All..... I have an X Force Model X60012 Amplifier for Sale. It is a
>2X6,
>with 2) 2sc2290's for the Driver section, and 6) 2sc2879's for the final
>section.It also has "#12"s Biasing circuit installed, along with a Single
>SideBand Delay, Recieve Amplifier, Upgraded Power leads, and an 18' wired
>Remote Control. I have pictures of the Amplifier (inside and out), on a
>WebPage. I would be happy to forward the pictues to all that are intrested..
>No
>Resonable offer will be refused.
> Thanks in Advance, and have a Wonderful Holiday
>Season.
> Maniac..
>
>
>
>

How about that web site address for the pics?

Later,
oneqck76ta@aol.com
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta

You've got "459" out of Minneapolis Minnesota and I'm reading the mail

**********Hippie Freak**********
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta/cbradio

3868. RevDoGood@webtv.net (Northwest Corner)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 20:51:13 UTC
From: win7@webworkz.com
Message-ID: <3a4906a2_3@vienna7.his.com>
Source record: 65253

THIS GUY IS NOTHING BUT A CROOK !
AND HE THINK'S IT'S FUNNY TO ROB PEOPLE OF THEIR MONEY..TURN'S OUT I'M NOT
THE ONLY PERSON HE HAS ROBBED.OR AT LEAST TRIED TO ROB..KEEP YOUR MONEY IN
YOUR POCKET AND AWAY FROM THIS GUY.HE IS BIG,BLACK, AND LAZY !HE HAS TO ROB
HONEST PEOPLE OUT OF THEIR MONEY TO LIVE..
HE WOULDN'T WORK IN A PIE FACTORY !

3869. Re: X Force Amplifier for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 20:52:08 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92b0dl$u2g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65256

In article <20001226152430.00784.00003807@ng-mm1.aol.com>,
oneqck76ta@aol.com.com.com (Hippie Freak) wrote:
> >
> >
> >Hello All..... I have an X Force Model X60012 Amplifier for Sale. It
is a
> >2X6,
> >with 2) 2sc2290's for the Driver section, and 6) 2sc2879's for the
final
> >section.It also has "#12"s Biasing circuit installed, along with a
Single
> >SideBand Delay, Recieve Amplifier, Upgraded Power leads, and an 18'
wired
> >Remote Control. I have pictures of the Amplifier (inside and out),
on a
> >WebPage. I would be happy to forward the pictues to all that are
intrested..
> >No
> >Resonable offer will be refused.
> > Thanks in Advance, and have a Wonderful
Holiday
> >Season.
> >
Maniac..
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> How about that web site address for the pics?
>
> Later,
> oneqck76ta@aol.com
> http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta
>
> You've got "459" out of Minneapolis Minnesota and I'm reading the mail
>
> **********Hippie Freak**********
> http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta/cbradio
>
> ooooooohhhhhhhhhh, its got a 12 at the end of the model number . dat
means dat its usin a number 12 biasing circuit in
it,......coooooooooooollllllllllllllll !!!!!!!!!!,....cd is out....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3870. Re: re the sbe landcommand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 20:53:38 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92b0gf$u3g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65257

In article <92ans3naa1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
phrawg@my-deja.com wrote:
> it is an LCBS-8 i am wondering about. Any real value or unusual rig ?
> Has original desk mic and schematic and owners manual and 9 out of 10
> in condition. Have not seen any floating around on "the Bay" or other
> places. Thanks, The Phrawg
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

well i always thought they were pretty radios,....cd is out......

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3871. Re: REAL VIRUS WARNING-NO BS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 21:00:23 UTC
From: "kw3u@warwick.net" <kw3u@warwick.net>
Message-ID: <3A4906E7.AF26CA0@warwick.net>
Source record: 65254
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.autos.makers.jeep+willys

ur right, i have received many lately and the norton saw it.
I put hahaha in my message filters to trash, but wonder if
i shud let my isp know?
jim

Tom Sevart wrote:

> "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3A441CA2.F5E0B11F@hotmail.com...
> > Do not open any email from hahaha@sexyfun.net. It contains a file that
> > is 30K in length. It contains a virus called "W32/Hybris.gen@M" I have
> > received it as joke.exe, midgets.scr and others. I couldn't stand all
> > the fake virus warnings that were floating around a few months ago,
> > and I pointed those folks to http://www.symantec.com/avcenter/index.html
> > to learn about the hoaxes.
> >
> > For more information about this REAL VIRUS, or for disinfection, go to
> > http://www.sexyfun.net/
>
> This is no joke... my sister had this virus sent to her, with the joke.exe
> attachment. Another virus was sent the next day with an attachment called
> sexyvirgin.scr.
>
> Luckily she realized what they were and didn't open them.
>
> --
> Regards,
> Tom Sevart
> Amateur N2UHC
> Registered Monitor KKS0CE

3872. Re: Merry X-Mas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 21:05:00 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92b15n$ur6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65258

In article <20001217133824.10059.00005156@ng-md1.aol.com>,
exportsrus@aol.com (EXPORTSRUS) wrote:
> With not even a week to go we would like to wish all here in the group
a Very
> Merry X-MAS from X Force Comp. Amps.
>
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> Thanks, Steve
> Exports R Us
> www.xportsrus.com
> We also have the best wholesale prices for cb shops and dealers.
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Hi Steve,

Can you share some tips for running an illegal business with us?

I would imagine the first thing you do is deny, deny, deny that what you are doing is illegal. Like these CB amps. You probably want to say something like "they are for export only" or "I have no control what people do with my product once it leaves my shop". (Then maybe you push them to cb shops and dealers all over the USA?)

Anyhow, how does the taxes thing work? I mean, I'm sure you don't want to keep records of what you sold to whom, right? Or does it matter?

Is it like a drug business where you don't even bother with taxes? Or are the illegal sales mixed in with the legal sales?

And what about keeping the FCC off your back? Do you have to pay them off, like the mafia did? Or is it some other kind of political deal?

And what about the recent HR2346 legislation that was passed by President Clinton specifically to give localities power to seize illegal CB amplifiers and enforce CB rule violations concerning illegal power amplifiers?

It seems to destroy the excuse that "CB rules are like jaywalking - nobody pays attention to them". I mean, the fact that the Congress passed this legislation must mean that someone really does care about CB rules.

Or am I all wet?

Wondering,

Aaron H. Voobner

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3873. Re: REAL VIRUS WARNING-NO BS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 21:15:18 UTC
From: Steve <mcsp@usa.net>
Message-ID: <3A490A65.6CC2481@usa.net>
Source record: 65255
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.autos.makers.jeep+willys

I have traced the sender, it seems to be a student at the University of Illinois. I contacted the coordinator of the system and he is going to check into it.
~Steve~

"kw3u@warwick.net" wrote:

> ur right, i have received many lately and the norton saw it.
> I put hahaha in my message filters to trash, but wonder if
> i shud let my isp know?
> jim
>
> Tom Sevart wrote:
>
> > "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:3A441CA2.F5E0B11F@hotmail.com...
> > > Do not open any email from hahaha@sexyfun.net. It contains a file that
> > > is 30K in length. It contains a virus called "W32/Hybris.gen@M" I have
> > > received it as joke.exe, midgets.scr and others. I couldn't stand all
> > > the fake virus warnings that were floating around a few months ago,
> > > and I pointed those folks to http://www.symantec.com/avcenter/index.html
> > > to learn about the hoaxes.
> > >
> > > For more information about this REAL VIRUS, or for disinfection, go to
> > > http://www.sexyfun.net/
> >
> > This is no joke... my sister had this virus sent to her, with the joke.exe
> > attachment. Another virus was sent the next day with an attachment called
> > sexyvirgin.scr.
> >
> > Luckily she realized what they were and didn't open them.
> >
> > --
> > Regards,
> > Tom Sevart
> > Amateur N2UHC
> > Registered Monitor KKS0CE

3874. Re: Merry X-Mas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 21:51:31 UTC
From: lmangang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92b3t1ru1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65260

In article <92b15n$ur6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
aaronvoobner(chickenhawklover)@chickenhawkfucker.com, spewed through tickled
tonsils:

> In article <20001217133824.10059.00005156@ng-md1.aol.com>,
> exportsrus@aol.com (EXPORTSRUS) wrote:
> > With not even a week to go we would like to wish all here in the group
> a Very
> > Merry X-MAS from X Force Comp. Amps.
> >
> > ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> > Thanks, Steve
> > Exports R Us
> > www.xportsrus.com
> > We also have the best wholesale prices for cb shops and dealers.
> > ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
>
> Hi Steve,
>
> Can you share some tips for running an illegal business with us?
>
> I would imagine the first thing you do is deny, deny, deny that what you
> are doing is illegal. Like these CB amps. You probably want to say
> something like "they are for export only" or "I have no control what
> people do with my product once it leaves my shop". (Then maybe you push
> them to cb shops and dealers all over the USA?)
>
> Anyhow, how does the taxes thing work? I mean, I'm sure you don't want
> to keep records of what you sold to whom, right? Or does it matter?
>
> Is it like a drug business where you don't even bother with taxes? Or
> are the illegal sales mixed in with the legal sales?
>
> And what about keeping the FCC off your back? Do you have to pay them
> off, like the mafia did? Or is it some other kind of political deal?
>
> And what about the recent HR2346 legislation that was passed by
> President Clinton specifically to give localities power to seize illegal
> CB amplifiers and enforce CB rule violations concerning illegal power
> amplifiers?
>
> It seems to destroy the excuse that "CB rules are like jaywalking -
> nobody pays attention to them". I mean, the fact that the Congress
> passed this legislation must mean that someone really does care about CB

> rules.
>
> Or am I all wet?
>
> Wondering,
>
> Aaron H. Voobner
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>
>
>youre all wet and a chickenhawk lover >but everyone knew that including you >thats why you asked

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3875. Re: Aaron Voobner Wants To Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 21:54:47 UTC
From: lmangang@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92b435$14q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65259

In article <20001225160918.23864.00003654@nso-fl.aol.com>,
icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD (COLD as ICE) wrote:
> In article <922tjk$hk7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
aaronvoobner(chickenhawkfucker)@chickenhawkfucker.com writes:
>
> >However, the brazen thuggery and underworld
> >aspects displayed by those in the illegal amp business intrigues me
> >greatly.
>
> WHY?
>
> COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
> mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!
> >
>beecause chickenhawkfucker wants to >learn and dont know how to ask

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3876. Re: X Force Amplifier for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 22:00:20 UTC
From: jvillafane@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001226170020.06376.00001209@ng-mf1.aol.com>
Source record: 65261

well my offer is $350
enjoy 1iwilly>NO Resonable offer will be refused.

3877. HR-2600 FOR SALE $150.00 O.B.O.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 22:07:49 UTC
From: izolgd111@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001226170749.28786.00007043@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 65263

HR-2600 10 METER RADIO IN THE BOX LIKE NEW $ 150.00 O.B.O.
POSSIBLE TRADES CONSIDERED!
EMAIL DIRECT AT ABOVE EMAIL.

3878. Wanted: 2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 22:08:31 UTC
From: canadian@turbosport.com
Message-ID: <3a491717.778709@news.peterboro.net>
Source record: 65262

Looking for a rci 2950 or 2510 in good condition
willing to swap for cd burner? or ram?

serious offers plz.

thank's

3879. Re: Merry X-Mas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 22:10:53 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A49176D.C0518A9@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65264

aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:

> Or am I all wet?
>
> Dumbfounded,
>
> Aaron H. Voobner

You are a cold, wet anonymous trolling fake.

There are no "Voobner's" in the USA.

You are using AOL. Your posting IP:
Name: spider-wb063.proxy.aol.com
Address: 205.188.192.17
Comes back to:

America Online, Inc (NETBLK-AOL-DTC)
22080 Pacific Blvd
Sterling, VA 20166
US

Netname: AOL-DTC
Netblock: 205.188.0.0 - 205.188.255.255

Coordinator:
America Online, Inc. (AOL-NOC-ARIN) domains@AOL.NET
703-265-4670

3880. Re: X Force Amplifier for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 22:31:55 UTC
From: maniac9188@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001226173155.01738.00003563@ng-mc1.aol.com>
Source record: 65265

This is the address of the webpage, for all that are intrested. And no I'm sorry, I will not take $350.00. but thanks anyway.
Maniac.

http://members.nbci.com/iomega216/xforce/xforce.html

E Mail if intrested..., Thanks.

3881. Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 22:35:55 UTC
From: Sup <jocool@bounce.net>
Message-ID: <3A491D4B.20A34FA7@bounce.net>
Source record: 65266

What is this load of crap? Why is it around Christmas anyway? Is it just a "me too" false holiday to make people who reject structured religion feel worthwhile?, not to mention more profits for the retailers? Are there any other groups without their own "special" holiday? Maybe homosexuals should start their own and call it "RumpWrangle", where they light 2 brown candles on a NAMBLA member roster. Jeeeeeez, what other garbage can we dream up?

3882. Re: Merry Illegal X-Mas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 22:47:17 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92b75j$3fr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65267

In article <3A49176D.C0518A9@hotmail.com>,
"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
> aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > Or am I all wet?
> >
> > Dumbfounded,
> >
> > Aaron H. Voobner
>
> You are a cold, wet anonymous trolling fake.
>
> There are no "Voobner's" in the USA.
>
> You are using AOL. Your posting IP:
> Name: spider-wb063.proxy.aol.com
> Address: 205.188.192.17
> Comes back to:
>
> America Online, Inc (NETBLK-AOL-DTC)
> 22080 Pacific Blvd
> Sterling, VA 20166
> US
>
> Netname: AOL-DTC
> Netblock: 205.188.0.0 - 205.188.255.255
>
> Coordinator:
> America Online, Inc. (AOL-NOC-ARIN) domains@AOL.NET
> 703-265-4670
>

Scott,

I assure you Aaron Voobner is my real name, just as "Scott Unit 69" is your real name. Just because you didn't find any Voobners in your search doesn't mean they do not exist.

But let's get back to the real issue. I have to assume by your angry tone that you believe I am wrong. If that is the case, you might want to explain your position, and elaborate why you believe XForce CB amps are legal. I will listen.

Getting all huffy and devoting your energy to trying to "track me down" makes it look like you are in a big hurry to squelch people who support

legal activity. And I'm sure you don't intend to do that.

Regards,

Aaron H. Voobner

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3883. CROOK ALERT !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 22:54:11 UTC
From: win7@webworkz.com
Message-ID: <3a492372_4@vienna7.his.com>
Source record: 65268

RevDoGood@webtv.net (Northwest Corner)
IS A LIEING NO GOOD 4 NOTHING CROOK !
DO NOT SEND HIM ANY MONEY FOR A PRODUCT YOU WILL NEVER SEE..
I HAVE SEEN A FEW HONEST BLACK PEOPLE BUT HE IS NOT ONE OF THEM !!!

3884. CROOK CROOK CROOK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 22:57:14 UTC
From: win7@webworkz.com
Message-ID: <3a492430_1@vienna7.his.com>
Source record: 65269

RevDoGood@webtv.net (Northwest Corner)
NEEDED MONEY TO BUY HIS DOPE WITH
SO HE SCAMED A FEW PEOPLE..
TOO DAMN LAZY TO GO WORKOUT
THE MONEY !!!

3885. Re: who has n8wwm's picture?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 22:59:23 UTC
From: "Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com>
Message-ID: <92b7sa$3uo$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65270

In article <20001225160919.23864.00003656@nso-fl.aol.com>,
icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD (COLD as ICE) wrote:
> In article <t4b16tkq6cgof2@corp.supernews.com>, "ONE37"
> <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM> writes:
>
> >Email me pump..
>
> Yeah let's put his picture back up!
>
> COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It
don't
> mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!
>
I found it here www.childmolester.8k.com

MR.PAIN&#65533;

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3886. CROOK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 23:04:17 UTC
From: win7@webworkz.com
Message-ID: <3a4925d0_2@vienna7.his.com>
Source record: 65272

RevDoGood@webtv.net (Northwest Corner)
HAS NOTHING TO SELL !
YOU SEND HIM THE MONEY.
SOMEONE ELSE HAS THE RADIO.
HE CAN'T GET IT FOR THAT PRICE ANYMORE.
HE NEEDS MORE MONEY...DAMN KIDS GAMES..
HE IS WORTHLESS !!!!!!!!!! AND HE IS A TRUCK !
THATS RIGHT HE'S A TRUCK,,HE TOLD ME THAT IN SOME EMAIL I RECEIVED FROM
HIM..
A TRUCK ? HELL I'M A AMBULANCE..

3887. Re: CROOK CROOK CROOK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 23:08:12 UTC
From: win7@webworkz.com
Message-ID: <3a4926c9_2@vienna7.his.com>
Source record: 65277

HE HE HE !!! GOOD EVENING 69.....
Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay) wrote in message
<3A492684.3741DA7D@hotmail.com>...
>I heard you the first 17 times.
>
>win7@webworkz.com wrote:
>>
>> RevDoGood@webtv.net (Northwest Corner)
>> NEEDED MONEY TO BUY HIS DOPE WITH
>> SO HE SCAMED A FEW PEOPLE..
>> TOO DAMN LAZY TO GO WORKOUT
>> THE MONEY !!!

3888. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 23:10:54 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <92b8hu$9od@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 65271

Kwanzaa: "is a festive, non-religious celebration, founded in 1966 by
Dr. Maulana Karenga, during which African Americans celebrate
and reflect upon their rich heritage. It begins December 26 and
lasts for seven days. Each day focuses on one of seven principles:
unity, self-determination, collective work and responsibility,
cooperative economics, purpose, creativity and faith."

To each their own. Valentines Day, Sweetest Day, Secretary Day,ETC. If you
choose to celebrate then do so it is everyone's right. Is it commercialism
you bet, Christmas would be forgot by many if it wasn't so commercialized.
.

"Sup" <jocool@bounce.net> wrote in message
news:3A491D4B.20A34FA7@bounce.net...
> What is this load of crap? Why is it around Christmas anyway? Is it just
> a "me too" false holiday to make people who reject structured religion
> feel worthwhile?, not to mention more profits for the retailers? Are
> there any other groups without their own "special" holiday? Maybe
> homosexuals should start their own and call it "RumpWrangle", where they
> light 2 brown candles on a NAMBLA member roster. Jeeeeeez, what other
> garbage can we dream up?
>

3889. Voobner's still trolling with a gashed net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 23:13:47 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A49262B.42881E1A@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65273

> Scott,
>
> I assure you Aaron Voobner is my real name, just as "Scott Unit 69" is
> your real name. Just because you didn't find any Voobners in your
> search doesn't mean they do not exist.

You are a lying troll. Face the facts.

> But let's get back to the real issue. I have to assume by your angry
> tone that you believe I am wrong. If that is the case, you might want
> to explain your position, and elaborate why you believe XForce CB amps
> are legal. I will listen.

I don't use that class of amp, so I don't care about them. I think
they are splatterboxes. Maybe it's just the people that use them
run them too hard to be clean.

> Getting all huffy and devoting your energy to trying to "track me down"
> makes it look like you are in a big hurry to squelch people who support
> legal activity. And I'm sure you don't intend to do that.

You act like I've spent more then 5 minutes on you. That couldn't
be farther from the truth. You aren't even worth 5 minutes.

For all I care, if everyone kept their AM and splatter from the last
five or so channels, that would be fine with me. I rarely use AM.

I guess all you know about CB is channels 6 and 19. You can't factor
in everyone from listening to AM. Oh yeah, and have a nice day!

3890. Re: CROOK CROOK CROOK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 23:15:16 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A492684.3741DA7D@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65274

I heard you the first 17 times.

win7@webworkz.com wrote:
>
> RevDoGood@webtv.net (Northwest Corner)
> NEEDED MONEY TO BUY HIS DOPE WITH
> SO HE SCAMED A FEW PEOPLE..
> TOO DAMN LAZY TO GO WORKOUT
> THE MONEY !!!

3891. Re: Galaxy 99V w/100 Watt Twister Installed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 23:15:52 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <92b8r8$9p1@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 65275

What kind of peak wattage do you get from this combo? A properly tuned 99 should do the mid 50's

"James S. Burns" <jsburns@cplx.com> wrote in message news:3A46EAD2.F809672F@cplx.com...
> I'd be interested in knowing where you got the radio and the twister... It
> sounds like it should be an excellent combination. I used to run cross
> country so I know about the major portion of radio shops (even though I
> haven't been out of the Buckeye for a couple of years) and what lines they
> handle as well as what services they offer.
>
> Dean wrote:
>
> > This radio is just a couple of months old, and very rarely used. It is
> > in new condition with a 100 watt Twister installed in the radio. The
> > internal speaker had to be romoved to make room for the Twister, but I
> > will include an external speaker.
> > I will also include a Astatic D104-M6C Power mic that is in new
> > condition as well.
> >
> > Asking $350 plus shipping for it all.
>

3892. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 23:16:42 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A4926DA.E9AA4BD7@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65276

Another hate mongering troll that got a lump of coal.

3893. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 23:37:46 UTC
From: dethmetalx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001226183746.02060.00017803@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 65279

it should reflect
murder, welfare, laziness, unemployment, dirtiness, drugs, spare ribs &
watermelons

3894. Re: CROOK ALERT !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 23:43:04 UTC
From: win7@webworkz.com
Message-ID: <3a492eea_4@vienna7.his.com>
Source record: 65281

he might as well have...oop's ! my bad...
bomar wrote in message ...
>Did he steal your caps lock key also???
><win7@webworkz.com> wrote in message news:3a492372_4@vienna7.his.com...
>> RevDoGood@webtv.net (Northwest Corner)
>> IS A LIEING NO GOOD 4 NOTHING CROOK !
>> DO NOT SEND HIM ANY MONEY FOR A PRODUCT YOU WILL NEVER SEE..
>> I HAVE SEEN A FEW HONEST BLACK PEOPLE BUT HE IS NOT ONE OF THEM !!!
>>
>>
>
>

3895. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 23:46:44 UTC
From: Beetleguise <bg@servu.com>
Message-ID: <3A492DE4.EA53CFF4@servu.com>
Source record: 65280

What do they give each other, broken Christmas returns?

DetHMetaLx wrote:

> it should reflect
> murder, welfare, laziness, unemployment, dirtiness, drugs, spare ribs &
> watermelons

3896. Re: CROOK ALERT !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 23:51:42 UTC
From: elmerpee@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92baud$66n$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65282

Really what you mean is this Cat is a No Good Piss Drinking Butt-
Fucking Cock Sucking No Hair No Brains Short Dick Pussy Whip Farm Boy
Like You!!!
And thats all i going too say about that!!!

In article <3a492372_4@vienna7.his.com>,
<win7@webworkz.com> wrote:
> RevDoGood@webtv.net (Northwest Corner)
> IS A LIEING NO GOOD 4 NOTHING CROOK !
> DO NOT SEND HIM ANY MONEY FOR A PRODUCT YOU WILL NEVER SEE..
> I HAVE SEEN A FEW HONEST BLACK PEOPLE BUT HE IS NOT ONE OF THEM !!!
>
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3897. Re: HR-2600 FOR SALE $150.00 O.B.O.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 23:55:38 UTC
From: elmerpee@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92bb5o$6gp$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65286

Hey Cat Daddy you big dum ass cock sucking piss for brains short cocker sucker BELIVE YOU BE TOO HIGH ON YOUR JUNK RADIO $10.00 ALL ITS WORTH IT WOULD TAKE A SUCKER TOO PAY THAT PRICE FOR THAT JAP CRAP!!!

In article <20001226170749.28786.00007043@ng-cl1.aol.com>,
izolgd111@aol.com (IZOLGD111) wrote:
> HR-2600 10 METER RADIO IN THE BOX LIKE NEW $ 150.00 O.B.O.
> POSSIBLE TRADES CONSIDERED!
> EMAIL DIRECT AT ABOVE EMAIL.
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3898. Re: Can't figure it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 23:58:02 UTC
From: elmerpee@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92bba8$6i8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65285

Sorry OLD Man they want let you POST Dam Junk Here that thy it want let
you post here!!!

In article <t4gdd9altsd41b@news.supernews.com>,
"Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote:
> I've tried three times to post a for sale radio and it ain't making
it too
> the group. How can this be? Seems as though if I put the radio in the
> subject header or just FS there, it does not make it. Friggin weird,
man.
> All my tests have made it as you have most likely seen (sorry).
Friggin
> wierd...
> Let's see if this gets through...
>
> Jeff
>
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3899. Re: free CB radio club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-26 23:59:41 UTC
From: elmerpee@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92bbdb$6jb$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65284

What this Cock Sucker is trying too say is FUCKOFF!!!

In article <3a48e15c$1@news.pcom.net>,
"Whiskey Tango 155" <toomyg@bigfoot.com> wrote:
> check it out at
> http://www.geocities.com/toomyg/freeclub.html
>
> got our own DX contacts message board
> and if you wish not to join OUR club check out our free member ship
World
> Wide Message board
>
> link is on the web page
>
> 155
>
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3900. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 00:02:42 UTC
From: x-men <bakbone@att.net>
Message-ID: <3A4931A1.D7AF49A1@att.net>
Source record: 65283

CitySlicker wrote:

> Kwanzaa: "is a festive, non-religious celebration, founded in 1966 by
> Dr. Maulana Karenga, during which African Americans celebrate
> and reflect upon their rich heritage.

If their heritage was so rich, why do they steal so much?

> It begins December 26 and
> lasts for seven days. Each day focuses on one of seven principles:
> unity,

Anyone who aint a brother be de enemy

> self-determination,

I WILL steal this car, I WILL steal this car....

> collective work and responsibility,

It's *my* responsibility to work on collecting a welfare check

>
> cooperative economics,

That 7-eleven clerk better cooperate on handing over the cash drawer

> purpose,

The purpose of gettin de money, is to buy crack

> creativity and faith."

Yeah, faith that if the police show up, you can come up with a creative way of getting out of your ho's apartment without them seeing you

3901. Re: HR2510 FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 00:03:40 UTC
From: elmerpee@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92bbkq$6m0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65287

Did i read this $185 for this radio when you can go too yahoo.com and find one for $150.00 or less,Pal you be FUCKED UP to think your shit is worth that price hell get real can get them NEW for $249.00 shit wake up and go back too sleep COCK-SUCKER

In article <7Q6%5.28187$7g.3302388@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>,
"Bill" <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com> wrote:
> Nice clean rig, shows light normal use, 10/11M coverage, stock mike, manual,
> runs just fine, ready to go for $185 plus shipping, ins., or consider swaps,
> email replies please
>
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3902. Re: WTB:Clean 100 watt amp?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 00:28:01 UTC
From: Rat Bastard <ratb@ratsnest.com>
Message-ID: <IIa26.5392$He.2140796@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 65288

well don the likely hood of a 13 element yagi on 27 mhz thats beside the point but a power increase of 100 to 300 would be a 4.5 db gain, which is about 1 s unit gain (4-6)db = 1 s unit on the average s meter.

"Donald Sherwood" <Shardon@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:3A484BEE.DD67A96F@ix.netcom.com...
> Who's to say it's not going to be used on a 13 element Yagi?
>
> William wrote:
>
> > On 21 Dec 2000 17:55:47 GMT, timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com) wrote:
> >
> > >that totally depends on the power level your starting out with. surely a ham
> > >like yourself would know that. 100 watts is a nice improvement over 2
> > >
> > >>Do you realize how little a 100W amp helps your signal strength?
> > >>Start with at least 300W or don't bother.
> >
> > Yeah, but I figure it this way: if you're serious enough about your
> > CB hobby then you probably have one of the "export" model radios that
> > easily produces 13W AM and 35W PEP SSB. From there, a 100W amp
> > just isn't that big of an improvement.....and anyway, anyone who wants
> > more power now will want even more later, they can skip a couple steps
> > right from the start by getting a 300W (or more) to start with.
> > If 100W is good, 300W is better, every trip of the train.
>

3903. No Life Person Alert!!!!!!!!!!!1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 00:39:20 UTC
From: "tw��." <tw��.@��ur��rr�☐.com>
Message-ID: <YSa26.326502$3E6.4480383@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 65289

win7@webworkz.com has no life than to post a Crook Alert more than 15 times
on one newsgroup. GET A LIFE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

3904. Re: X Force Amplifier for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 00:44:49 UTC
From: henderson928@cs.com
Message-ID: <20001226194449.03945.00006708@ng-ct1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 65290

Yes,I would like you ,to send me picture.Dr.14

3905. Re: No Life Person Alert!!!!!!!!!!!1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 00:48:59 UTC
From: win7@webworkz.com
Message-ID: <3a493e5b$1_1@vienna7.his.com>
Source record: 65291

and the fellow that count's them has alot of spare time on his hands.and
alot of empty space in his head..
tw��. wrote in message ...
>win7@webworkz.com has no life than to post a Crook Alert more than 15 times
>on one newsgroup. GET A LIFE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>

3906. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 01:32:27 UTC
From: Rat Bastard <ratb@ratsnest.com>
Message-ID: <LEb26.5410$He.2163998@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 65292

yes i have to agree it is a 2:1 swr What SWR is 100 watts forward and 15 watts reflect? why would it be anything different . You are probably using a MFJ analyzer which stands for mighty fine junk!
"Handy Andy" <CodysPC@Iserve.Net> wrote in message news:4kqh4ts0icchvoof2l7ckid2uc1e8t411j@4ax.com...
> Greetings!
>
> You mean to tell me then, that 25 ohms load is 2:1 SWR?
>
> Is that correct?
>
>
> On Tue, 26 Dec 2000 16:03:53 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
> the Mouse, Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com> dissertation the
> following:
>
> :+> In article <rb2g4tspa9ujopppsdiak00as3815km67a@4ax.com>,
> :+> codyspc@iserv.net wrote:
> :+>
> :+> > BTW - the SWR scale for 25 to 50 ohm mis-match is not 2:1 it's closer
> :+> > to 2.7:1 - 33 ohms is closer to 2:1 mis-match - why? Read about SWR
> :+> > meter scaling issues regarding Logarithm, Linear and Scalar.
> :+>
> :+> It's 2:1, plain and simple. Don't redefine it because you have an
> :+> SWR meter that doesn't work correctly.
>
>
> Regards!
> :+> Andy <+:

3907. Re: did you ever see something like this ? 554

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 01:37:36 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <92bh4t$6mf66$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 65293
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Yes, the local grocery store is having a special on Spam, so I've been
seeing displays like this:

>
mmfxfgffwecxosutlffiryqxklpkdwqjhvsjzrkuhfwqisqozkvntrsdrcgrvfdjwbbntlbkyvfw
qksvlfzjerd

--
Regards,
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

3908. Re: CROOK ALERT !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 02:03:17 UTC
From: BADAB@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13321-3A494DE5-73@storefull-156.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65294

HELP....
148 gtl 300.00

3909. help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 02:10:44 UTC
From: BADAB@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13324-3A494FA4-6@storefull-156.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65297

need a cobra 148 gtl

3910. Re: CROOK ALERT !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 02:25:24 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92bjuh$cu2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65295

In article <3a492eea_4@vienna7.his.com>,
<win7@webworkz.com> wrote:
> he might as well have...oop's ! my bad...
> bomar wrote in message ...
> >Did he steal your caps lock key also???
> ><win7@webworkz.com> wrote in message
news:3a492372_4@vienna7.his.com...
> >> RevDoGood@webtv.net (Northwest Corner)
> >> IS A LIEING NO GOOD 4 NOTHING CROOK !
> >> DO NOT SEND HIM ANY MONEY FOR A PRODUCT YOU WILL NEVER SEE..
> >> I HAVE SEEN A FEW HONEST BLACK PEOPLE BUT HE IS NOT ONE OF THEM !!!
> >>
> >>
> > >
> > >
>
>

win , you should know not to trust anyone that calls themselves a rev,
come on, come on,.............especially a cber......,hello come
in,.......cd is out.......

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3911. Re: CROOK ALERT !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 02:32:30 UTC
From: bomar <bomar76@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <t4iajvdg6p2n45@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 65278

Did he steal your caps lock key also???
<win7@webworkz.com> wrote in message news:3a492372_4@vienna7.his.com...
> RevDoGood@webtv.net (Northwest Corner)
> IS A LIEING NO GOOD 4 NOTHING CROOK !
> DO NOT SEND HIM ANY MONEY FOR A PRODUCT YOU WILL NEVER SEE..
> I HAVE SEEN A FEW HONEST BLACK PEOPLE BUT HE IS NOT ONE OF THEM !!!
>
>

3912. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 02:51:29 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-2016F3.21441126122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 65296

In article <3a48ca85.247272@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> On Tue, 26 Dec 2000 16:01:21 GMT, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> > In reality, each cable would have full voltage and
> >half the current.
>
> Correct. And what is the impedance of the signal going through just
> one of the 50 ohm lines? 25 ohms. It still doesn't work because
> transmission lines do not behave like resistors, just like your
> watchdog said very early in this thread.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

Sparky, your responses are so nonsensical, I have difficulty responding
to them.

If you get full voltage with half current in two lines, you
have a net full voltage and full current.

Why do you think they call it "characteristic impedance"?
Answer: because it defines the relationship between the voltage
and current within the line. E/I = Z

If you know, the relationship between voltage and current in one
line, then you know the relationship between voltage and current
in two parallel lines.

Two 50 ohm lines used in parallel do indeed behave like a single
25 ohm line.

3913. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 03:06:03 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-353819.21584526122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 65298

In article <3a485abe.6647847@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> Everything that I have stated has come from both theory and
> experience...

Give us the theory that shows:
22.36 + j44.72 ohms = 50 ohms impedance
and
22.36 + j44.72 ohms will yield a 1:1 SWR

Give us the theory that makes this the equation for impedance:
Z = (R² + X²)^½

> and I have disclosed my credentials.

You said you went to college. You never said you graduated.
My credentials have you beat by a mile but they don't matter
here.

>I have provided a solid reference

You refuse to quote your references verbatim.

> but CLP disputed his only reference,

It was a reference that gave your formula for impedance above.
I showed how the ARRL Handbook contradicted that definition just
a few paragraphs away in the same book. You couldn't accept or
explain the contradiction. You insist that I am wrong even though
I showed you how you are wrong.

If you understood the theory, you would have recognized the
misprint (or whatever kind of error it is) in the book.

> >I'll get your book. We'll settle this.
>
> On his own volition he made this statement, euphemistically calling
> me a liar.

Your claims are riddled with inaccuracies. Furthermore, you
refuse to quote this source verbatim. What are we to think?

> He hasn't followed through on his stated intent to support
> this accusation, because if he did he would have been forced to admit
> that he is wrong.

Not. I've already shown that your equation above is wrong.
You haven't explained how it possibly could be correct.

I've requested your book. It isn't available in any local
library. It is in one of my company's libraries in Indiana
but the staff there appears to be gone for the holidays. I get
no response.

> It is clear that he would rather ignore the facts,
> discredit the authorities, and subvert all logic in order to claim
> victory, even when there is no competition. That's entertainment.

HA! You are a hoot. You have no facts. You are no authority.
You have no logic. You are correct that you are no competition.

> Until it happens that you obtain my reference and prove his
> allegation, you don't have much to say about what is relavent in my
> discussion with CLP.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

Why can't you just quote it for us? Why do we have to wait for
me to get the book?

Meanwhile, answer my questions.

3914. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 03:10:18 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-690C81.22030026122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 65299

In article <ko1h4t41fn1fva6c851li2n1hj599mqm7k@4ax.com>, tnom@mucks.net wrote:

> I used the word "mask" to confirm what I said earlier. 18 feet
> won't remove parallel currents, but it will reduce the effects of
> them on the transmitter. A beneficial masking, particularly from
> a manufacturers standpoint.

How so?

(I asked you this question before and got no response)

3915. Re: Looking for info on D&A Maverick 250

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 03:19:29 UTC
From: Gudule <gudule88@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <5dd26.19957$f36.1059723@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 65301

When I print the diagram, I only get the left half??? How can i print the whole diagram ???

I need too the operation and alignment instructions for this amp. I have a friend that has one and cant figure out how to adjust it, and of course he played with all the adjustments inside and out !

3916. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 03:25:11 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-A8AE0B.22175326122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 65300

In article <pi3g4t0m9vpasmrlqs5qm9ibt4s9mj9org@4ax.com>,
codyspc@iserv.net wrote:

> Greetings!
>
> Sorry Doc, no reactive jX component - can't apply.
>
> That's the difference!
>
> If you had a reactive jX component - coax length trim can help you.
>
> So therefore, to answer your question - you simply have a resistive
> load, with no reactive elements - you will never achieve the 1:1 SWR.
>
> Is an SWR of 1.7 acceptable?

OK, smart guy, if I have an antenna impedance of 50 + j57.75 ohms,
what length of 50 ohm coax do I need to bring down this 3:1 SWR?

3917. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 03:28:27 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-53EB26.22210926122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 65302

In article <dltg4tc8teqjt15aghccv12n16u88etc4u@4ax.com>,
codyspc@iserv.net wrote:

> Greetings!
>
> Thank you. The basis is, antenna is not reactive, provides 37 ohms
> resistive at frequency of interest.
>
> Therefore, antenna and ground image will offer same impedance, 37 ohms
> non reactive to any length.

Well, if I add 1/8 wavelength of 50 ohm coax, the impedance becomes
47.8 + j14.6 ohms. This has the reactive component that you claim
is necessary and sufficient. So, what length of 50 ohm coax do I need
to match this now?

3918. Last day for BC-760/ 200xlt Scanners on E Bay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 03:32:09 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a49640b@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 65303

Yep, the BC-760 and the 200xlt are both new in the box--listed on e bay.
Both CAN BE converted to receive 869-890 megga seeklos ! Both are NOS now,
stock in the box as their picture shows.

Bidding ends Wednesday. E mail me with questions.
Dave

3919. Wanted: HR- 2600 mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 03:35:41 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a4964df@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 65305

Does anyone have an extra HR-2600 mic laying around? E mail me if you do.
Dave @ truth@planetc.com

3920. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 03:37:54 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-2A9214.22303726122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 65304

In article <929mfj$4r4$4@bashir.peak.org>, Bill Nelson
<billn@spock.peak.org> wrote:

> jdaggett@gate.net wrote:
>
> : ******************************
> : *What does this do, in an SWR meter, to the indicated SWR?
> : ******************************
>
> : If the reflected power from the load is reflected at the SWR meter back
> : toward
> : the load. Then I- will be zero and any SWR meter will not detect that
> : current.
> : No reverse current therefore not reverse power. 1:1 reading.
>
> : That makes sense and kind of what I was searching for. I knew there had
> : to be a
> : logical reason for why the SWR meter reads 1:1. Once pointed ou,t is
> : becomes
> : clear as day.
>
> That is not the complete answer, but it can have an effect. Currents on
> the outside of the coax can also cause incorrect readings.

I am not convinced that currents on the outside of the coax cause an
incorrect reading. Those same currents probably also flow on the
outside of the SWR meter where they do not lend themselves to an
incorrect reading.

However, I agree that these outside currents can affect the reading.
Anything that affects these currents also affects the impedance seen
at the antenna. The position of the cable, the proximity of the cable
to other items, how the radio is attached to ground (if it is attached
to ground).

In other words, in the presence of the outside currents (common mode
currents), changing just about anything will change the impedance -- its
like changing the antenna. Just because the reading has changed in no
way indicates that this new reading is inaccurate. You've changed the
antenna; you've got to expect that the SWR will change.

3921. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 03:44:08 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a4966da@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 65306

Actually they are either Africans OR Americans! The Irish started this junk around 1900 and were promptly yelled at by THE AMERICANS !

Put Kwanza on Channel 6! Tell how the communists tricked the bros to vote for gore, and tell how dem white pinkos killed da bros in the 20's dat was rich! Commies can't have rich bros around, wit dem big boxes and dem caddilak linikers on dem skip talkin' bro channels!!!!!
Dave!!!!!!

"CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net> wrote in message news:92b8hu$9od@dispatch.concentric.net...
Kwanzaa: "is a festive, non-religious celebration, founded in 1966 by Dr. Maulana Karenga, during which African Americans celebrate and reflect upon their rich heritage. It begins December 26 and lasts for seven days. Each day focuses on one of seven principles: unity, self-determination, collective work and responsibility, cooperative economics, purpose, creativity and faith."

To each their own. Valentines Day, Sweetest Day, Secretary Day,ETC. If you choose to celebrate then do so it is everyone's right. Is it commercialism you bet, Christmas would be forgot by many if it wasn't so commercialized.
.

"Sup" <jocool@bounce.net> wrote in message news:3A491D4B.20A34FA7@bounce.net...
> What is this load of crap? Why is it around Christmas anyway? Is it just
> a "me too" false holiday to make people who reject structured religion
> feel worthwhile?, not to mention more profits for the retailers? Are
> there any other groups without their own "special" holiday? Maybe
> homosexuals should start their own and call it "RumpWrangle", where they
> light 2 brown candles on a NAMBLA member roster. Jeeeeeez, what other
> garbage can we dream up?
>

3922. FA: Galaxy DX 99v & D-104 mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 03:56:40 UTC
From: SwTempo <swtempo@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <amhJOhHjcmUZBrOIz1=aDQqBBqd9@4ax.com>
Source record: 65307

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1204096153

3923. Re: CROOK ALERT !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 04:11:25 UTC
From: "Rev. Jesse Jackson" <theMan@theCommunity.com>
Message-ID: <theMan-FF4D09.23040726122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 65308

In article <92bjuh$cu2$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, curious_dan@my-deja.com
wrote:

> win , you should know not to trust anyone that calls themselves a rev,
> come on, come on,.............especially a cber

Ah, excuse me. It is another bald face attempt by the white man
to keep the black man down. You are violating my civil rights.
Wait 'til I tell the community. You are a racist and a bigot.

Rev. Jesse Jackson

3924. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 04:17:45 UTC
From: Rat Bastard <ratb@ratsnest.com>
Message-ID: <J3e26.5475$He.2224273@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 65309

CLP, James,Bill Nelson,Doc all have made handy dandy,sparky, tnom look like schoolboys in re:to coax length.

Sparky what are your credentials you so highly brag of ? was it an online degree. ?

"Coax Length Police" <anylength@willdo.com> wrote in message news:anylength-353819.21584526122000@news.ptd.net...
> In article <3a485abe.6647847@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
> wrote:
>
> > Everything that I have stated has come from both theory and
> > experience...
>
> Give us the theory that shows:
> 22.36 + j44.72 ohms = 50 ohms impedance
> and
> 22.36 + j44.72 ohms will yield a 1:1 SWR
>
> Give us the theory that makes this the equation for impedance:
> Z = (R? + X?)^?
>
> > and I have disclosed my credentials.
>
> You said you went to college. You never said you graduated.
> My credentials have you beat by a mile but they don't matter
> here.
>
> >I have provided a solid reference
>
> You refuse to quote your references verbatim.
>
> > but CLP disputed his only reference,
>
> It was a reference that gave your formula for impedance above.
> I showed how the ARRL Handbook contradicted that definition just
> a few paragraphs away in the same book. You couldn't accept or
> explain the contradiction. You insist that I am wrong even though
> I showed you how you are wrong.
>
> If you understood the theory, you would have recognized the
> misprint (or whatever kind of error it is) in the book.
>
> > >I'll get your book. We'll settle this.

> >
> > On his own volition he made this statement, euphemistically calling
> > me a liar.
>
> Your claims are riddled with inaccuracies. Furthermore, you
> refuse to quote this source verbatim. What are we to think?
>
> > He hasn't followed through on his stated intent to support
> > this accusation, because if he did he would have been forced to admit
> > that he is wrong.
>
> Not. I've already shown that your equation above is wrong.
> You haven't explained how it possibly could be correct.
>
> I've requested your book. It isn't available in any local
> library. It is in one of my company's libraries in Indiana
> but the staff there appears to be gone for the holidays. I get
> no response.
>
> > It is clear that he would rather ignore the facts,
> > discredit the authorities, and subvert all logic in order to claim
> > victory, even when there is no competition. That's entertainment.
>
> HA! You are a hoot. You have no facts. You are no authority.
> You have no logic. You are correct that you are no competition.
>
> > Until it happens that you obtain my reference and prove his
> > allegation, you don't have much to say about what is relavent in my
> > discussion with CLP.
> >
> > Sparky, FLRC
>
> Why can't you just quote it for us? Why do we have to wait for
> me to get the book?
>
> Meanwhile, answer my questions.

3925. Hello again 459

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 04:17:47 UTC
From: Two-Five-seven@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17687-3A496D6B-11@storefull-143.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65313

Good to put a spoke on you again today.
I don't know if you changed anything on you're station or if it was conditions,but you were LOUD LOUD LOUD in NH. today.
Happy holidays to you 459

257 NH. On the wheels

3926. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 04:21:25 UTC
From: Chris Mangano <someone@home.com>
Message-ID: <97e26.179254$j6.21296911@news1.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 65310

They always have to think they have more then us. Typical.
"Sup" <jocool@bounce.net> wrote in message
news:3A491D4B.20A34FA7@bounce.net...
> What is this load of crap? Why is it around Christmas anyway? Is it just
> a "me too" false holiday to make people who reject structured religion
> feel worthwhile?, not to mention more profits for the retailers? Are
> there any other groups without their own "special" holiday? Maybe
> homosexuals should start their own and call it "RumpWrangle", where they
> light 2 brown candles on a NAMBLA member roster. Jeeeeeez, what other
> garbage can we dream up?
>

3927. Cherokee AH100 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 04:22:02 UTC
From: corryATeburgDOTcom
Message-ID: <3a496e5b.13205643@news.elltel.net>
Source record: 65311

FS AH100 excellant shape. Clarifier modified, frequency modification.
With box and stock accessories. $175 obo.
corryATeburgDOTcom

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!>-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3928. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 04:41:14 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <Xne26.123$8h2.68267@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 65312

"Sup" <jocool@bounce.net> wrote in message
news:3A491D4B.20A34FA7@bounce.net...
> What is this load of crap? Why is it around Christmas anyway? Is it just
> a "me too" false holiday to make people who reject structured religion
> feel worthwhile?, not to mention more profits for the retailers? Are
> there any other groups without their own "special" holiday? Maybe
> homosexuals should start their own and call it "RumpWrangle", where they
> light 2 brown candles on a NAMBLA member roster. Jeeeeeez, what other
> garbage can we dream up?
>

Now let me get this straight. You are objecting to a holiday observance having just finished one that was lifted bodily by the early church from several non-Christian sources, could not possibly have occurred in December, cannot be nailed within 15 years by any existing source, would not have been accomplished in the traditional manner by any competent occupying power, and has been celebrated over the years by looting and general mayhem so bad that celebrating Christmas was not fully legal in the US until about 1840.

Deck us all with Boston Charlie, Walla Walla Wash and Kalamazoo.
God Rest ye Merry Merchants, may ye make the Yuletide Pay.
Angels we have heard on High, telling us to go out and Buy.

With due apologies to Pogo and Tom Lehrer, take a pill, get some sun, and contemplate the lack of testicles among those posting under the Velvet Codpiece or somesuch alias.

Dick NBK

3929. Johnson transciever tester

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 05:16:44 UTC
From: dave4eal@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001227001644.07677.00007259@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 65314

Does anyone have an operating guide or owners manual for a Johnson tranciever tester. Is there any where I could download operating istructions for this equipment? If you can help me please email me. Thank you in advance.

3930. FCC website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 05:32:19 UTC
From: n3qxc <n3qxc@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <D9f26.74943$w35.12850475@news1.rdc1.nj.home.com>
Source record: 65315

Great search engine for docs on radio regulation.

http://www.fcc.gov/search/wordsearch.html

3931. Re: New Handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 05:37:40 UTC
From: Michel Donais <donais@gsig-net.qc.ca>
Message-ID: <Kff26.102842$Z2.1247929@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 65316

> and best antenna you can afford. No thru-glass or cellular look-a-likes.
>
> If you need portability, go with FRS or MURS.

Did you know how to raise power to a motorola talkabout FRS

3932. Re: antenna mounting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 06:00:33 UTC
From: No One <NoOne@NoWhere.net>
Message-ID: <5Af26.22745$3B5.786367@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 65317

Look for built-in drains in the cab, under or behind the seats, and inside the bed walls. These usually look like black rubber rings and are there to allow water to run out of the vehicle yet prevent water from getting in. In a car they are found in the wells behind the rear wheels. In trucks they vary but are usually inside the double-walls of the bed to allow water coming in to drain. If you can't see or get to them inside the walls you should be able to see them from underneath the truck.

You can run your wires through the drain-plugs, but be sure to use a little sense about how yours in particular seal up yet still allow draining. You absolutely don't want to plug them up with silicone seal or similar since doing so will let water collect and rust you from the inside out. However you go through them they must be allowed to drain. If water gets IN through them it can still drain out, so make that the important direction for it to still save your truck. You can, of course, spray some silicone LUBRICANT around the inside to keep the inside from getting wet in the first place and allowing the water to slide back out easier if it does get in.

If you don't find drain-plugs in the cab run the wires through the rubber boot around where the steering wheel goes through the firewall, then down and back underneath. You SHOULD seal these holes with silicone seal if the rubber boot doesn't close up on the wires really well.

73,
Bill Stewart, N4CRO
n4cro@hotmail.com

Stephen <spj67@eudoramail.com> wrote in message
news:3a43fe46_6@mach4news.aaria.net.nz...
> I was wondering if anybody may have some advise about mounting an antenna.
I
> just got a 2001 silverado and was wondering if anybody knows of a good way
to
> run the antenna wire out of the cab to the bed. I am mounting the antenna
on
> the tool box on the bed but haven't figured a good way to run the wire to
it.
> on my old chevy i just drilled a hole in the back of the cab and in the
bed but
> would not want to do that on a brand new truck, any ideas
>
> please if posible respond to "spj67@eudoramail.com" as I only have news
group
> access very seldom.
>

> thank you
> Stephen.............:)
>
>

3933. Re: If you have Linears, or anything else...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 06:05:25 UTC
From: Dean <deanntx@tyler.net>
Message-ID: <3A4986A4.39B25724@tyler.net>
Source record: 65318

Yea, I am still looking as far as employment goes. Kinda hard time right now right around the holidays. I have had a few personal matters here in the last two weeks that I have had to deal with as well, but I should be getting on track here shortly.
I really think that me getting fired at CB City was bound to happen soon anyway after further investigation. Seems as though he has put his wife on full time and created a Mom & Pop business and are able to pocket the money they were paying me. I kinda like the part on their new website where it says to email them about their service department. HA! They have no service deaprtment. The only other person there is a 23 year old kid that didn't ever see the inside of a radio 6 months ago, and only knows what I have taught him and that wasn't much. Peak & Tunes and maybe a protection diode or a final is about all he may know how to do and besides that, his soldering is atrocious.

Take care my friend and have a great Holiday

Charger

curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:

> In article <3A480D68.3C30B4CE@tyler.net>,
> Dean <deanntx@tyler.net> wrote:
> > If you have Linears for sale, or anything else for that matter, you
> may
> > want to come over and post them on my MarketPlace. The popularity of
> the
> > board is growing rapidly as with all the other message areas there as
> > well.
> >
> > If the link to your website is not already on my Links page, come by
> and
> > post it as well.
> >
> > You will find it all at ChargersCastle.com
> >
> > Charger
> >
> >
>
> hey dean, getting fired on the spot is a texas tradition. don't worry
> about it. heck , if you are a good tech, then start your own business,
> work out of your home or whatever,.....like the website
> though...ps....heck if you know about website stuff, go to work for a
> internet company doing web design work. heck you could probably work

> out of your home doing that as well, one of those jobs of the future
> that are here now,.....cd is out.....
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

3934. Re: If you have Linears, or anything else...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 06:06:35 UTC
From: Dean <deanntx@tyler.net>
Message-ID: <3A4986EB.E021736C@tyler.net>
Source record: 65319

Hell, you should feel lucky, at least she still keeps you around for
something :)

Charger

Hillbilly Dave wrote:

> hell, my wife has fired me two or three times.....
>
> dave
>
> <curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:929gdpsdm1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > In article <3A480D68.3C30B4CE@tyler.net>,
> > Dean <deanntx@tyler.net> wrote:
> > > If you have Linears for sale, or anything else for that matter, you
> > may
> > > want to come over and post them on my MarketPlace. The popularity of
> > the
> > > board is growing rapidly as with all the other message areas there as
> > > well.
> > >
> > > If the link to your website is not already on my Links page, come by
> > and
> > > post it as well.
> > >
> > > You will find it all at ChargersCastle.com
> > >
> > > Charger
> > >
> > >
> >
> > hey dean, getting fired on the spot is a texas tradition. don't worry
> > about it. heck , if you are a good tech, then start your own business,
> > work out of your home or whatever,.....like the website
> > though...ps....heck if you know about website stuff, go to work for a
> > internet company doing web design work. heck you could probably work
> > out of your home doing that as well, one of those jobs of the future
> > that are here now,.........cd is out.............
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/

3935. Re: Galaxy 99V w/100 Watt Twister Installed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 06:10:34 UTC
From: Dean <deanntx@tyler.net>
Message-ID: <3A4987D9.EEA032B1@tyler.net>
Source record: 65320

It does a little over 100 watts and most of that is swing. Works very well. I would let the setup go for $300 plus shipping, but I could not let it go any cheaper. I would be taking a loss at $300 anyway. You cant hardly buy just the radio out of the box for that price, let alone the twister and the mic that is attatched.

Charger

CitySlicker wrote:

> What kind of peak wattage do you get from this combo? A properly tuned 99
> should do the mid 50's
>
> "James S. Burns" <jsburns@cplx.com> wrote in message
> news:3A46EAD2.F809672F@cplx.com...
> > I'd be interested in knowing where you got the radio and the twister... It
> > sounds like it should be an excellent combination. I used to run cross
> > country so I know about the major portion of radio shops (even though I
> > haven't been out of the Buckeye for a couple of years) and what lines they
> > handle as well as what services they offer.
> >
> > Dean wrote:
> >
> > > This radio is just a couple of months old, and very rarely used. It is
> > > in new condition with a 100 watt Twister installed in the radio. The
> > > internal speaker had to be romoved to make room for the Twister, but I
> > > will include an external speaker.
> > > I will also include a Astatic D104-M6C Power mic that is in new
> > > condition as well.
> > >
> > > Asking $350 plus shipping for it all.
> >

3936. Re: If you have Linears, or anything else...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 06:12:03 UTC
From: Dean <deanntx@tyler.net>
Message-ID: <3A498833.C2BD27EF@tyler.net>
Source record: 65321

Hell, now thats an idea.

Charger

-=PEAKABOO=- wrote:

> Hell I fired myself.
>
> --
> -=PEAKABOO=-
> "Trying Not To Choke,
> On That 10 Ohm Smoke"
> http://www.geocities.com/MotorCity/Highway/5648/boos_page.html
>
> To Send Mail Remove "ode" from the email address
> "Hillbilly Dave" <******davesradio@alltel.net******> wrote in message
> news:92a0ucitj1@iac5.navix.net...
> > hell, my wife has fired me two or three times.....
> >
> > dave
> >
> > <curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> > news:929gdpsdm1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > > In article <3A480D68.3C30B4CE@tyler.net>,
> > > Dean <deanntx@tyler.net> wrote:
> > > > If you have Linears for sale, or anything else for that matter, you
> > > may
> > > > want to come over and post them on my MarketPlace. The popularity of
> > > the
> > > > board is growing rapidly as with all the other message areas there as
> > > > well.
> > > >
> > > > If the link to your website is not already on my Links page, come by
> > > and
> > > > post it as well.
> > > >
> > > > You will find it all at ChargersCastle.com
> > > >
> > > > Charger
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > > hey dean, getting fired on the spot is a texas tradition. don't worry
> > > about it. heck , if you are a good tech, then start your own business,
> > > work out of your home or whatever,.....like the website

> > > though...ps....heck if you know about website stuff, go to work for a
> > > internet company doing web design work. heck you could probably work
> > > out of your home doing that as well, one of those jobs of the future
> > > that are here now,.....cd is out.............
> > >
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > http://www.deja.com/
> >
> >
> >

3937. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 06:37:31 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4j3hm5t3e5h7d@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65322

Richard,
Don''t bother.

Jeff

"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message
news:Xne26.123$8h2.68267@nntp2.onemain.com...
>
> "Sup" <jocool@bounce.net> wrote in message
> news:3A491D4B.20A34FA7@bounce.net...
> > What is this load of crap? Why is it around Christmas anyway? Is it just
> > a "me too" false holiday to make people who reject structured religion
> > feel worthwhile?, not to mention more profits for the retailers? Are
> > there any other groups without their own "special" holiday? Maybe
> > homosexuals should start their own and call it "RumpWrangle", where they
> > light 2 brown candles on a NAMBLA member roster. Jeeeeeez, what other
> > garbage can we dream up?
> >
>
> Now let me get this straight. You are objecting to a holiday observance
> having just finished one that was lifted bodily by the early church from
> several non-Christian sources, could not possibly have occurred in
> December, cannot be nailed within 15 years by any existing source, would
> not have been accomplished in the traditional manner by any competent
> occupying power, and has been celebrated over the years by looting and
> general mayhem so bad that celebrating Christmas was not fully legal in
> the US until about 1840.
>
> Deck us all with Boston Charlie, Walla Walla Wash and Kalamazoo.
> God Rest ye Merry Merchants, may ye make the Yuletide Pay.
> Angels we have heard on High, telling us to go out and Buy.
>
> With due apologies to Pogo and Tom Lehrer, take a pill, get some sun, and
> contemplate the lack of testicles among those posting under the Velvet
> Codpiece or somesuch alias.
>
> Dick NBK
>
>

3938. cookies best f. the rest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 06:40:40 UTC
From: schwerd5@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001227014040.27895.00003641@ng-me1.aol.com>
Source record: 65323

8 pill c-2000 for sale . less than 8 hrs talking time. Amp in excellent condition!! Can be run on 14 or 16 volts. Large cooling fan on amp. Packaged up and all ready for shipping. Asking $425.00. Will consider trade? 73's Master Cylinder is back out.

3939. Re: New Handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 06:52:22 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A4991A6.824796EB@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65324

Michel Donais wrote:
>
> Did you know how to raise power to a motorola talkabout FRS

Is that a question or a statement?

It could be a question without the question mark.
If it's a question, the answer is no.

It could be a badly worded statement.
If it's a statement, my answer is no.

That's my final answer.

3940. Re: If you have Linears, or anything else...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 06:55:51 UTC
From: -=PEAKABOO=- <tappingdatass@hotmail.comode>
Message-ID: <mS526.324$_06.24759@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Source record: 65396

Hell I fired myself.

--
-=PEAKABOO=-
"Trying Not To Choke,
On That 10 Ohm Smoke"
http://www.geocities.com/MotorCity/Highway/5648/boos_page.html

To Send Mail Remove "ode" from the email address
"Hillbilly Dave" <******davesradio@alltel.net******> wrote in message
news:92a0ucitj1@iac5.navix.net...
> hell, my wife has fired me two or three times.....
>
> dave
>
> <curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:929gdpsdm1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > In article <3A480D68.3C30B4CE@tyler.net>,
> > Dean <deanntx@tyler.net> wrote:
> > > If you have Linears for sale, or anything else for that matter, you
> > may
> > > want to come over and post them on my MarketPlace. The popularity of
> > the
> > > board is growing rapidly as with all the other message areas there as
> > > well.
> > >
> > > If the link to your website is not already on my Links page, come by
> > and
> > > post it as well.
> > >
> > > You will find it all at ChargersCastle.com
> > >
> > > Charger
> > >
> > >
> >
> > hey dean, getting fired on the spot is a texas tradition. don't worry
> > about it. heck , if you are a good tech, then start your own business,
> > work out of your home or whatever,.....like the website
> > though...ps....heck if you know about website stuff, go to work for a
> > internet company doing web design work. heck you could probably work
> > out of your home doing that as well, one of those jobs of the future
> > that are here now,.........cd is out.............
> >
> >

> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>
>
>

3941. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 06:56:43 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <wng26.147$8h2.85855@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 65325

You're probably right.

Dick

"Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:t4j3hm5t3e5h7d@news.supernews.com...
> Richard,
> Don"t bother.
>
> Jeff
>
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message
> news:Xne26.123$8h2.68267@nntp2.onemain.com...
> >
> > "Sup" <jocool@bounce.net> wrote in message
> > news:3A491D4B.20A34FA7@bounce.net...
> > > What is this load of crap? Why is it around Christmas anyway? Is it
just
> > > a "me too" false holiday to make people who reject structured
religion
> > > feel worthwhile?, not to mention more profits for the retailers? Are
> > > there any other groups without their own "special" holiday? Maybe
> > > homosexuals should start their own and call it "RumpWrangle", where
they
> > > light 2 brown candles on a NAMBLA member roster. Jeeeeeez, what
other
> > > garbage can we dream up?
> > >
> >
> > Now let me get this straight. You are objecting to a holiday
observance
> > having just finished one that was lifted bodily by the early church
from
> > several non-Christian sources, could not possibly have occurred in
> > December, cannot be nailed within 15 years by any existing source,
would
> > not have been accomplished in the traditional manner by any competent
> > occupying power, and has been celebrated over the years by looting and
> > general mayhem so bad that celebrating Christmas was not fully legal
in
> > the US until about 1840.
> >
> > Deck us all with Boston Charlie, Walla Walla Wash and Kalamazoo.
> > God Rest ye Merry Merchants, may ye make the Yuletide Pay.

> > Angels we have heard on High, telling us to go out and Buy.
> >
> > With due apologies to Pogo and Tom Lehrer, take a pill, get some sun,
and
> > contemplate the lack of testicles among those posting under the Velvet
> > Codpiece or somesuch alias.
> >
> > Dick NBK
> >
> >
>
>

3942. chocolate chip cookies and milk are best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 06:57:32 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A4992DC.2F58F230@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65326

The folks on this group want Davemades and XForces.
Try putting some Dymo labels on it! <g>

Happy new year to 'ya!

3943. Re: RFD: rec.radio.cb.dxclubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 07:49:36 UTC
From: ssb411d@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92c6ugppk1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65327
Cross-posted to: news.groups,rec.radio.cb

You've got my vote. It's a shame that discussion and information are being discouraged. Doesn't personal accountability mean anything anymore. I thought only older repressive regimes tried to control their people by limiting the information available to them.

73 de Bert
SSB411D

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3944. Re: chocolate chip cookies and milk are best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 08:41:22 UTC
From: "BiG-+-+\/oLtZ" <32pills@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <WWh26.489$uD5.202774@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Source record: 65328

It may seem strange but regardless of output power or who built what I would have to run the cookie just because it is not only a davemade world. I have tested them both and I will have to say I like cookie for more reasons than one. I don't run either of them but my choice is still the same.

--

-+-+-+-+-+-+BiG-+-+\/oLtZ+-+-+-+-+-+-

--

)=====}||||||||||||||||||}>---------- ?

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3A4992DC.2F58F230@hotmail.com...
> The folks on this group want Davemades and XForces.
> Try putting some Dymo labels on it! <g>
>
> Happy new year to 'ya!
>

3945. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 08:50:39 UTC
From: -=PEAKABOO=- <tappingdatass@hotmail.comode>
Message-ID: <D3i26.496$uD5.204639@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Source record: 65329

You to this day are still a dumbass!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
"Dave or Debby" <truth@planetc.com> wrote in message
news:3a4966da@news.planetc.com...
> Actually they are either Africans OR Americans! The Irish started this
junk
> around 1900 and were promptly yelled at by THE AMERICANS !
>
> Put Kwanza on Channel 6! Tell how the communists tricked the bros to vote
> for gore, and tell how dem white pinkos killed da bros in the 20's dat was
> rich! Commies can't have rich bros around, wit dem big boxes and dem
> caddilak linikers on dem skip talkin' bro channels!!!!!
> Dave!!!!!!
>
> "CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net> wrote in message
> news:92b8hu$9od@dispatch.concentric.net...
> Kwanzaa: "is a festive, non-religious celebration, founded in 1966 by
> Dr. Maulana Karenga, during which African Americans celebrate
> and reflect upon their rich heritage. It begins December 26 and
> lasts for seven days. Each day focuses on one of seven principles:
> unity, self-determination, collective work and responsibility,
> cooperative economics, purpose, creativity and faith."
>
> To each their own. Valentines Day, Sweetest Day, Secretary Day,ETC. If you
> choose to celebrate then do so it is everyone's right. Is it commercialism
> you bet, Christmas would be forgot by many if it wasn't so commercialized.
> .
>
> "Sup" <jocool@bounce.net> wrote in message
> news:3A491D4B.20A34FA7@bounce.net...
> > What is this load of crap? Why is it around Christmas anyway? Is it just
> > a "me too" false holiday to make people who reject structured religion
> > feel worthwhile?, not to mention more profits for the retailers? Are
> > there any other groups without their own "special" holiday? Maybe
> > homosexuals should start their own and call it "RumpWrangle", where they
> > light 2 brown candles on a NAMBLA member roster. Jeeeeeez, what other
> > garbage can we dream up?
> >
>
>
>
>
>

3946. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 08:56:33 UTC
From: -=PEAKABOO=- <tappingdatass@hotmail.comode>
Message-ID: <99i26.506$uD5.205485@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Source record: 65330

Amen CitySlicker.

Peace

--
-=PEAKABOO=-
"Trying Not To Choke,
On That 10 Ohm Smoke"
http://www.geocities.com/MotorCity/Highway/5648/boos_page.html

To Send Mail Remove "ode" from the email address
"CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net> wrote in message
news:92b8hu$9od@dispatch.concentric.net...
> Kwanzaa: "is a festive, non-religious celebration, founded in 1966 by
> Dr. Maulana Karenga, during which African Americans celebrate
> and reflect upon their rich heritage. It begins December 26 and
> lasts for seven days. Each day focuses on one of seven principles:
> unity, self-determination, collective work and responsibility,
> cooperative economics, purpose, creativity and faith."
>
> To each their own. Valentines Day, Sweetest Day, Secretary Day,ETC. If you
> choose to celebrate then do so it is everyone's right. Is it commercialism
> you bet, Christmas would be forgot by many if it wasn't so commercialized.
> .
>
> "Sup" <jocool@bounce.net> wrote in message
> news:3A491D4B.20A34FA7@bounce.net...
> > What is this load of crap? Why is it around Christmas anyway? Is it just
> > a "me too" false holiday to make people who reject structured religion
> > feel worthwhile?, not to mention more profits for the retailers? Are
> > there any other groups without their own "special" holiday? Maybe
> > homosexuals should start their own and call it "RumpWrangle", where they
> > light 2 brown candles on a NAMBLA member roster. Jeeeeeez, what other
> > garbage can we dream up?
> >
>
>
>

3947. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 09:09:53 UTC
From: -=PEAKABOO=- <tappingdatass@hotmail.comode>
Message-ID: <Eli26.528$uD5.207445@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Source record: 65331

People like you are the reason there is a racism problem. JACKBACK
Peace

--
-=PEAKABOO=-
"Trying Not To Choke,
On That 10 Ohm Smoke"
http://www.geocities.com/MotorCity/Highway/5648/boos_page.html

To Send Mail Remove "ode" from the email address
"x-men" <bakbone@att.net> wrote in message news:3A4931A1.D7AF49A1@att.net...
>
>
> CitySlicker wrote:
>
> > Kwanzaa: "is a festive, non-religious celebration, founded in 1966 by
> > Dr. Maulana Karenga, during which African Americans celebrate
> > and reflect upon their rich heritage.
>
> If their heritage was so rich, why do they steal so much?
>
> > It begins December 26 and
> > lasts for seven days. Each day focuses on one of seven principles:
> > unity,
>
> Anyone who aint a brother be de enemy
>
> > self-determination,
>
> I WILL steal this car, I WILL steal this car....
>
> > collective work and responsibility,
>
> It's *my* responsibility to work on collecting a welfare check
>
> >
> > cooperative economics,
>
> That 7-eleven clerk better cooperate on handing over the cash drawer
>
> > purpose,
>
> The purpose of gettin de money, is to buy crack
>
> > creativity and faith."

>
> Yeah, faith that if the police show up, you can come up with a creative
way of
> getting out of your ho's apartment without them seeing you
>
>
>

3948. Re: X Force Amplifier for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 10:08:51 UTC
From: jvillafane@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001227050851.26003.00003353@ng-mf1.aol.com>
Source record: 65332

>No
>> >Resonable offer will be refused.

my offer is $350

3949. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 10:22:14 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a49c2b3.4570693@news.cet.com>
Source record: 65333

On Wed, 27 Dec 2000 02:51:29 GMT, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>> Correct. And what is the impedance of the signal going through just
>> one of the 50 ohm lines? 25 ohms. It still doesn't work because
>> transmission lines do not behave like resistors, just like your
>> watchdog said very early in this thread.
>>
>> Sparky, FLRC
>
>Sparky, your responses are so nonsensical, I have difficulty responding
>to them.
>
>If you get full voltage with half current in two lines, you
>have a net full voltage and full current.

Given a signal of 50V and 1A. Launch it down two parallel 50 ohm
lines. Each line will see 50V and 0.5A. It doesn't work. How simple of
an explanation do you need?

>Why do you think they call it "characteristic impedance"?
>Answer: because it defines the relationship between the voltage
>and current within the line. E/I = Z

One line. But you have two lines now. One does not equal two, no
matter how hard you might try.

Sparky, FLRC

3950. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 10:22:18 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a49c2d8.4607713@news.cet.com>
Source record: 65334

On Wed, 27 Dec 2000 03:06:03 GMT, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>Give us the theory that shows:
>22.36 + j44.72 ohms = 50 ohms impedance
>and
>22.36 + j44.72 ohms will yield a 1:1 SWR
>
>Give us the theory that makes this the equation for impedance:
>Z = (R² + X²)^²

Like I said before, ask you buddy Doc to explain it to you. At the
same time, ask him how 50 ohms, by definition, is resonant.

>> and I have disclosed my credentials.
>
>You said you went to college. You never said you graduated.
>My credentials have you beat by a mile but they don't matter
>here.

I agree that they don't matter.

>>I have provided a solid reference
>
>You refuse to quote your references verbatim.

And I explained why early in the thread, but I will again below.

>> but CLP disputed his only reference,
>
>It was a reference that gave your formula for impedance above.
>I showed how the ARRL Handbook contradicted that definition just
>a few paragraphs away in the same book. You couldn't accept or
>explain the contradiction.

And you couldn't accept or explain the contradiction in your own
reference, except that it _might_ be a misprint. If you have a problem
with the reference, take it up with the author, just like you said you
would do with my reference.

>If you understood the theory, you would have recognized the
>misprint (or whatever kind of error it is) in the book.

>> He hasn't followed through on his stated intent to support
>> this accusation, because if he did he would have been forced to admit
>> that he is wrong.
>

>Not. I've already shown that your equation above is wrong.
>You haven't explained how it possibly could be correct.

Your accusation came long before I posted the equation you speak of.
You are, as Doc says, obfuscating.

>I've requested your book. It isn't available in any local
>library. It is in one of my company's libraries in Indiana
>but the staff there appears to be gone for the holidays. I get
>no response.

No response from your own company? Ok, then tell me what references
you _do_ have, besides the one you already mentioned but disagree
with, and I will see what is available here. Hell, I might even order
one or two of them. Otherwise, I will be happy to wait until the book
arrives from Indiana.

>> It is clear that he would rather ignore the facts,
>> discredit the authorities, and subvert all logic in order to claim
>> victory, even when there is no competition. That's entertainment.
>
>HA! You are a hoot. You have no facts. You are no authority.
>You have no logic. You are correct that you are no competition.

Classic example of either equivocation or selective interpretation,
depending upon intent. And everything you have said in this thread,
especially this, has been nothing but argumentum ad verecundiam.

>> Until it happens that you obtain my reference and prove his
>> allegation, you don't have much to say about what is relavent in my
>> discussion with CLP.
>>
>> Sparky, FLRC
>
>Why can't you just quote it for us? Why do we have to wait for
>me to get the book?

As I explained long ago, and again is this last post, 'verbatim'
doesn't seem to work for you. Find yourself a book that you are happy
with and that is available here, and then I'll start quoting verbatim
from your selected reference. That way you will have no possible
reason to accuse me of 'misquoting' your own reference.

Sparky, FLRC

3951. Re: One Ham to Another (N8WWM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 10:41:20 UTC
From: Miles Davis <MDD327@AOL.COM>
Message-ID: <92ch27$8o2$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net>
Source record: 65335

When are you guys going to filter this silly MF?
"N8wwm" <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001222173155.23321.00006343@ng-fv1.aol.com...
> >>
> >> >I also agree. Just leave these people alone. They enjoy whatever
> >they do
> >> >with radio. "To each his own" is what I like to say. I started my
> >radio
> >> >hobby with the CB band. I never would have discovered amateur radio
> >without
> >> >that. Yea, I used bootleg equipment from time to time, but if that
> >is what
> >> >you operate, more power to YA. Enjoy the hobby. As for Mr. Doug
> >Adair, buzz
> >> >off buddy.
> >> >73 es good DX, Mike> WE0H.
> >>
> >> What does n8wwm have to say about this?
> >
> >ABSOLUTELY NOTHING! BECAUSE HE KNOWS THAT MOST HAMS DONT APPROVE OF HIM
> >AND WHAT HE IS DOING ON THIS NEWSGROUP!
> >
> >
>
> I really do not give a squat if anyone, no one, or everyone agrees with my
> posts.
> I disagree with the opinion of WE0H, and think that that opinion is one
reason
> why the CB band is such a mess.
>
> As for the part about "what is he doing in this ng?" Hey, it out to be
obvious
> to you, I am pulling the chain of a pack of illegal morons, and having a
> hilarious time at it.
>
> Merry Christmas. Hope you clowns all get more squirrel turds under your
tree.
> Munch on, Bevises.

3952. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 13:30:47 UTC
From: Bill <reaper@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3A49EF06.7FF75782@netscape.net>
Source record: 65336

No, dumb-ass holidays invented to further divide the races is one of the multitude of reasons for racism. To invent a holiday just for African Americans was not very wise to begin with. I would like to know, what is the problem with Christmas? Why couldn't everyone just celebrate that? Why does a particular race have to come up with a separate and distinct holiday not based in any religion? Makes no sense to me. Don't start preaching to me that it is a harmless holiday, it cheapens Christmas and Hanukkah.

-=PEAKABOO=- wrote:

> People like you are the reason there is a racism problem. JACKBACK
> Peace
>
> --
> -=PEAKABOO=-
> "Trying Not To Choke,
> On That 10 Ohm Smoke"
> http://www.geocities.com/MotorCity/Highway/5648/boos_page.html
>
> To Send Mail Remove "ode" from the email address
> "x-men" <bakbone@att.net> wrote in message news:3A4931A1.D7AF49A1@att.net...
> >
> >
> > CitySlicker wrote:
> >
> > > Kwanzaa: "is a festive, non-religious celebration, founded in 1966 by
> > > Dr. Maulana Karenga, during which African Americans celebrate
> > > and reflect upon their rich heritage.
> >
> > If their heritage was so rich, why do they steal so much?
> >
> > > It begins December 26 and
> > > lasts for seven days. Each day focuses on one of seven principles:
> > > unity,
> >
> > Anyone who aint a brother be de enemy
> >
> > > self-determination,
> >
> > I WILL steal this car, I WILL steal this car....
> >
> > > collective work and responsibility,
> >
> > It's *my* responsibility to work on collecting a welfare check
> >

> > >
> > > cooperative economics,
> >
> > That 7-eleven clerk better cooperate on handing over the cash drawer
> >
> > > purpose,
> >
> > The purpose of gettin de money, is to buy crack
> >
> > > creativity and faith."
> >
> > Yeah, faith that if the police show up, you can come up with a creative
> way of
> > getting out of your ho's apartment without them seeing you
> >
> >
> >

3953. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 13:33:44 UTC
From: Bill <reaper@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3A49EFB7.C149F730@netscape.net>
Source record: 65337

The Irish started Kwanzaa? Wait till I tell my Irish neighbor and inform her of her rich heritage!

Dave or Debby wrote:

> Actually they are either Africans OR Americans! The Irish started this junk
> around 1900 and were promptly yelled at by THE AMERICANS !
>

3954. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 13:47:20 UTC
From: Bill <reaper@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3A49F2E8.BAC521CC@netscape.net>
Source record: 65338

Just because you pepper outright lies with a couple facts does not make the whole thing true. Where do you get your disinformation? Christmas has been around for hundreds of years. I know the revised history books feel the need to cheapen Christmas so it makes Kwanzaa appear somewhat equal and it was shoehorned in at Christmas time. If Kwanzaa is not a religious holiday, why it it timed so suspiciously? Why not March or November? It is a second rate holiday no matter how you want to argue it. Christmas and Hanukkah should have been left alone, and Kwanzaa fit in somewhere else on the calendar since it is a non-religious holiday that anyone can celebrate anyway.

>
> Now let me get this straight. You are objecting to a holiday observance
> having just finished one that was lifted bodily by the early church from
> several non-Christian sources, could not possibly have occurred in
> December, cannot be nailed within 15 years by any existing source, would
> not have been accomplished in the traditional manner by any competent
> occupying power, and has been celebrated over the years by looting and
> general mayhem so bad that celebrating Christmas was not fully legal in
> the US until about 1840.

3955. LINEARS AMPS FORSALE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 13:50:42 UTC
From: elmerpee@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92cs3g$89e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65339

Hey if you want a good deal on a Linear AMP 160-11 Meter {HAM USE ONLY|
You know what i mean Virn.Contact Good-Old Boy Justin Depolis at email
justinde@pacbell.net Hey he has a PacBell email addres you might get a
good HOT Computer CHEEP too.He gave me a good deal so want too pass it
one too you.He NOT A HAM so he can get by with saleing these linears
for ham and cb radio.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3956. Re: Wanted: HR- 2600 mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 13:52:31 UTC
From: elmerpee@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92cs6u$8a1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65341

Hey Big Dave you keeping Debbie HOT there in KNOXVILLE,TN ???

In article <3a4964df@news.planetc.com>,
"Dave or Debby" <truth@planetc.com> wrote:
> Does anyone have an extra HR-2600 mic laying around? E mail me if
you do.
> Dave @ truth@planetc.com
>
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3957. Re: Last day for BC-760/ 200xlt Scanners on E Bay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 13:53:33 UTC
From: elmerpee@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92cs8s$8ak$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65340

does the buyer get a snap shot of Debbie big pussy

In article <3a49640b@news.planetc.com>,
"Dave or Debby" <truth@planetc.com> wrote:
> Yep, the BC-760 and the 200xlt are both new in the box--listed on e
bay.
> Both CAN BE converted to receive 869-890 megga seeklos ! Both are
NOS now,
> stock in the box as their picture shows.
>
> Bidding ends Wednesday. E mail me with questions.
> Dave
>
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3958. Re: Kwanzaa -The Simple TRUTH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 14:14:03 UTC
From: Nick Preston <juggernaut@pioneeris.net>
Message-ID: <3A49F92B.EDA6EDE4@pioneeris.net>
Source record: 65342

It was thought up by a wacko black racist and purposely put the day after Christmas to try and detract from this Christian holiday.

It just goes to show how far the American society has fallen that it accepts and promotes a fake holiday thought up by a black racist.

Richard McCollum wrote:

>
> Now let me get this straight. You are objecting to a holiday observance
> having just finished one that was lifted bodily by the early church from
> several non-Christian sources, could not possibly have occurred in
> December, cannot be nailed within 15 years by any existing source, would
> not have been accomplished in the traditional manner by any competent
> occupying power, and has been celebrated over the years by looting and
> general mayhem so bad that celebrating Christmas was not fully legal in
> the US until about 1840.
>

3959. MARRIAGE THOUGHTS (The Woman's Version)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 14:50:01 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001227095001.21588.00003284@nso-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 65343

Getting married is very much like going to a restaurant with friends. You order what you want, then when you see what the other person has, you wish you had ordered that!

At the cocktail party, one woman said to the other, "Aren't you wearing your wedding ring on the wrong finger?" The other replied, "Yes, I am...I married the wrong man!"

After a qurrel, a husband said to his wife, "You know, I was a fool when I married you." She replied, Yes I know dear, but I was in love and didn't notice.!"

A lady put an ad in the classifieds: "Husband Wanted" Next day she received a hundred replies...They all said, " You can Have Mine!"

When a woman steals your husband, there is no better revenge than to let her keep him.

Eighty per cent of all married men cheat in America the other 20 percent cheat in Europe.

Man is incomplete until he is married. Then he is finished.

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

3960. MARRIAGE THOUGHTS (The Man's Version)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 14:50:01 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001227095001.21588.00003283@nso-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 65344

Getting married is very much like going to a restaurant with friends. You order what you want, then when you see what the other person has, you wish you had ordered that!

* This one is especially true when the other "dish" is a hot and creamy looking Italian dish and you got stuck with a "flabby" butt steak.

At the cocktail party, one woman said to the other, "Aren't you wearing your wedding ring on the wrong finger?" The other replied, "Yes, I am...I married the wrong man!"

* This is why some men have taken to wearing their wedding ring on their middle finger. When their wife asks to see if they are wearing their wedding ring, they can show them exactly what they think.

After a quarrel, a husband said to his wife, "You know, I was a fool when I married you." She replied, Yes I know dear, but I was in love and didn't notice.!"

* While this one might be true, at least the men don't change ONCE they are married or in a relationship. They are goofs to start off and that remains the same. What defense do the "nice, sweet and loving" girls that we started dating have to say in their defense ???

A lady put an ad in the classifieds: "Husband Wanted" Next day she received a hundred replies...They all said, " You can Have Mine!"

* Women say things like that, but let them find out that your even LOOKING at another woman and you'll NEVER hear the end of it.

When a woman steals your husband, there is no better revenge than to let her keep him.

* A woman wouldn't need to "steal" a man if the one that he had at home kept him "interested". After all, we know that most guys are lazy. Why would we want to start all over at the beginning with someone new when we've already got what we originally wanted at home ???

Eighty per cent of all married men cheat in America the other 20 percent cheat in Europe.

* MOST men cheat with women. Maybe the woman at home just doesn't realize what she has while the "other woman" does. This brings to mind the old saying "You don't know what you've got until you lose it"......

Man is incomplete until he is married. Then he is finished.

* Nuff said. What more could i add to that ???

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

3961. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 15:06:30 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A49F707.C30B32C0@some.net>
Source record: 65345

Just try inventing a holiday for White Americans or a beauty contest for White American girls only and see the uproar and shouts of racism from certain groups that will cause.

Bill wrote:

> No, dumb-ass holidays invented to further divide the races is one of the
> multitude of reasons for racism. To invent a holiday just for African Americans
> was not very wise to begin with. I would like to know, what is the problem with
> Christmas? Why couldn't everyone just celebrate that? Why does a particular race
> have to come up with a separate and distinct holiday not based in any religion?
> Makes no sense to me. Don't start preaching to me that it is a harmless holiday,
> it cheapens Christmas and Hanukkah.
>

3962. Re: RevDoGood@webtv.net (Northwest Corner)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 15:09:49 UTC
From: win7@webworkz.com
Message-ID: <3a4a0820_1@vienna7.his.com>
Source record: 65202

he has posted pix of himself here in group..
it's been a while though..
COLD as ICE wrote in message
<20001227101402.21588.00003292@nso-fm.aol.com>...
>In article <3a4906a2_3@vienna7.his.com>, <win7@webworkz.com> writes:
>
>>YOUR POCKET AND AWAY FROM THIS GUY.HE IS BIG,BLACK, AND LAZY !HE HAS TO
ROB
>>HONEST PEOPLE OUT OF THEIR MONEY TO LIVE..
>>HE WOULDN'T WORK IN A PIE FACTORY !
>
>You actually saw him???????? How would you know this??? This might make it
>easier to catch him!
>
>COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
>mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

3963. Re: CROOK CROOK CROOK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 15:14:01 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001227101401.21588.00003288@nso-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 65198

In article <3a492430_1@vienna7.his.com>, <win7@webworkz.com> writes:

>NEEDED MONEY TO BUY HIS DOPE WITH
>SO HE SCAMED A FEW PEOPLE..
>TOO DAMN LAZY TO GO WORKOUT
>THE MONEY !!!
>

I know you are venting but do you really think he gives a shit that you are talking about him here???? How did you find out how he looks??? Or are you just guessing?
Do something about it !!!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

3964. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 15:14:01 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001227101401.21588.00003289@nso-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 65201

In article <97e26.179254$j6.21296911@news1.rdc1.va.home.com>, "Chris Mangano" <someone@home.com> writes:

>They always have to think they have more then us. Typical.

With a name like MANGANO... Who is the us???
Are you Italian??? If so most whites dont accept you as US.
Why???
Because most Italians and Spaniards have African blood in them. Why?
Because the Moors invaded all those countries and stayed in the countries for hundres of years. Hence the reason why some Italians, Jews, Spaniards and others every once in a while come up with that CURLY HAIR!!
So mangano you had better check yourself before you reck yourself, because people like dave & debbie will never accept you as one of them.
There is no US mangano!!!!!!!!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

3965. Re: Kwanzaa -The Simple TRUTH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 15:14:01 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001227101401.21588.00003287@nso-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 65346

In article <3A49F92B.EDA6EDE4@pioneeris.net>, Nick Preston
<juggernaut@pioneeris.net> writes:

>It was thought up by a wacko black racist and purposely put the day after
>Christmas to try and detract from this Christian holiday.

Most Blacks are Christian and.....
What the heck does this have to do with CB RADIOS????

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

3966. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 15:14:02 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001227101402.21588.00003290@nso-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 65199

In article <3a4966da@news.planetc.com>, "Dave or Debby" <truth@planetc.com>
writes:

>Tell how the communists tricked the bros to vote
>for gore, and tell how dem white pinkos killed da bros in the 20's dat was
>rich! Commies can't have rich bros around, wit dem big boxes and dem
>caddilak linikers on dem skip talkin' bro channels!!!!!
>Dave!!!!!!

You talk so well for a so-called man of GOD!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

3967. Re: X Force Amplifier for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 15:14:02 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001227101402.21588.00003291@nso-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 65200

In article <20001226173155.01738.00003563@ng-mc1.aol.com>, maniac9188@aol.com (Maniac9188) writes:

>This is the address of the webpage, for all that are intrested. And no I'm
>sorry, I will not take $350.00. but thanks anyway.
> Maniac.
>
>
>http://members.nbci.com/iomega216/xforce/xforce.html

Hey are those really xforce???
They are gold color. So are you sure they are not davemades?

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

3968. Re: RevDoGood@webtv.net (Northwest Corner)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 15:14:02 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001227101402.21588.00003292@nso-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 65347

In article <3a4906a2_3@vienna7.his.com>, <win7@webworkz.com> writes:

>YOUR POCKET AND AWAY FROM THIS GUY.HE IS BIG,BLACK, AND LAZY !HE HAS TO ROB
>HONEST PEOPLE OUT OF THEIR MONEY TO LIVE..
>HE WOULDN'T WORK IN A PIE FACTORY !

You actually saw him???????? How would you know this??? This might make it easier to catch him!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

3969. Re: RevDoGood@webtv.net (Northwest Corner)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 15:22:37 UTC
From: mobile51@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001227102237.08065.00008597@ng-mi1.aol.com>
Source record: 65203

he has posted pix of himself here in group..
it's been a while though..
.....

If he were here to set up a con game, he probably wouldn't have been stupid enough to put his own pic up here. More than likely a chumps pic that he would want to take the fall.

3970. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 15:25:37 UTC
From: stator <jstanton1@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <rb2k4t8nvm7pe7bmem9emchirk3j9e3lml@4ax.com>
Source record: 65206

On Wed, 27 Dec 2000 03:09:53 -0600, "-=PEAKABOO=-"
<tappingdatass@hotmail.comode> wrote:

>People like you are the reason there is a racism problem. JACKBACK
>Peace

And people like Al Sharpten who said in support of Kwanzaa...
"Christmas is too white". I don't think he was talking about the white
powder hoover clinton is known for.
---
change cold to hot in email address
to reply

3971. Re: X Force Amplifier for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 15:26:27 UTC
From: exportsrus@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001227102627.01183.00000023@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 65204

Get real Ice, I never have seen one built that clean inside unless Dave or
Concrete did it themselves. The case sure is silver also.

>
>Hey are those really xforce???
>They are gold color. So are you sure they are not davemades?
>
>COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
>mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!
>

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Thanks
Exports R Us
www.xportsrus.com
Distributing OverDrive Communications Products and Accessories
We also have the best wholesale prices for Retailers and Dealers.
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

3972. Re: chocolate chip cookies and milk are best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 15:32:47 UTC
From: "Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com>
Message-ID: <92d22v$co3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65208

In article <WWh26.489$uD5.202774@nnrp3.sbc.net>,
"BiG-+-+\\/oLtZ" <32pills@yahoo.com> wrote:
> It may seem strange but regardless of output power or who built what
I would
> have to run the cookie just because it is not only a davemade world.
I have
> tested them both and I will have to say I like cookie for more
reasons than
> one. I don't run either of them but my choice is still the same.
>
> --
>
> -+-+-+-+-+-+BiG-+-+\/oLtZ+-+-+-+-+-+-
I have to agree!!!! I don't Run Davemade , or X-Farce. There are better
amps out there!!!!
MR.PAIN&#65533;

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3973. 27.555

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 15:33:22 UTC
From: win7@webworkz.com
Message-ID: <3a4a0da5_3@vienna7.his.com>
Source record: 65209

it sounds like the sidebanders have took 27.555 over again..

3974. Re: Last day for BC-760/ 200xlt Scanners on E Bay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 15:35:28 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a4a0d99@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 65205

GOD will judge your wicked perverted SICK mind!

<elmerpee@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:92cs8s$8ak$1@nnrp1.deja.com...

3975. GOOD DX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 15:36:12 UTC
From: win7@webworkz.com
Message-ID: <3a4a0e4e_2@vienna7.his.com>
Source record: 65210

there is some good dx going on right now
on 27.555

3976. Re: Wanted: HR- 2600 mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 15:36:38 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a4a0ddd@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 65207

Just watch for the BRIMSTONE to hit you, you wicked REPROBATE pee brain!

<elmerpee@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:92cs6u$8a1$1@nnrp1.deja.com...

3977. Re: cookies best f. the rest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 16:04:08 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92d3tk$e5i$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65212

In article <20001227014040.27895.00003641@ng-me1.aol.com>,
schwerd5@aol.com (SCHWERD5) wrote:
> 8 pill c-2000 for sale . less than 8 hrs talking time. Amp in
excellent
> condition!! Can be run on 14 or 16 volts. Large cooling fan on
amp. Packaged
> up and all ready for shipping. Asking $425.00. Will consider
trade? 73's
> Master Cylinder is back out.
>

if it is so good , why are you trying to dump it, comode, comode,....cd
is out.......

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3978. I'm listning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 16:11:13 UTC
From: Hillbilly Dave <******davesradio@alltel.net******>
Message-ID: <92d45v$7v9$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 65211

I'm listning on 27.555 right now 10:10 central time

dave (hillbilly)

3979. Re: 27.555

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 16:26:06 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92d56n$f7g$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65215

In article <3a4a0da5_3@vienna7.his.com>,
<win7@webworkz.com> wrote:
> it sounds like the sidebanders have took 27.555 over again..
>
>

now, now , now. don't say that. you will get the hamster do-gooder
finks started again. oh hell,.....cd is out......

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3980. Re: Kwanzaa -The Simple TRUTH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 16:27:28 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <QLo26.195181$U46.6017032@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 65213

Hey COLD. Most Arians claim to be Christians too.

"COLD as ICE" <icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD> wrote in message
news:20001227101401.21588.00003287@nso-fm.aol.com...
In article <3A49F92B.EDA6EDE4@pioneeris.net>, Nick Preston
<juggernaut@pioneeris.net> writes:

>It was thought up by a wacko black racist and purposely put the day after
>Christmas to try and detract from this Christian holiday.

Most Blacks are Christian and.....
What the heck does this have to do with CB RADIOS????

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

3981. Re: Kwanzaa -The Simple TRUTH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 16:29:27 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92d5cv$fg7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65216

In article <20001227101401.21588.00003287@nso-fm.aol.com>,
icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD (COLD as ICE) wrote:
> In article <3A49F92B.EDA6EDE4@pioneeris.net>, Nick Preston
> <juggernaut@pioneeris.net> writes:
>
> >It was thought up by a wacko black racist and purposely put the day
after
> >Christmas to try and detract from this Christian holiday.
>
> Most Blacks are Christian and.....
> What the heck does this have to do with CB RADIOS????
>
> COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It
don't
> mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!
>

hey cold, i have a pooter question for ya. somehow, when i downloaded a copy of explorer 5.5 from microsoft, included with it much to my chagrin, is about three advertising scripts that immediately start when you start explorer. is there anyway i can get rid of these damn things? they are most annoying. i have looked in windows explorer for them, and damn it i can't find the files, indeed, if they are in files. anyways. thanks,.....cd is out...

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3982. Re: Merry X-Mas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 16:32:05 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <9Qo26.195183$U46.6017751@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 65214

Well HR2346 also included other items like slamming. It wasn't all too get them evil CB'ers. Errr us evil CB'ers.

aaronvoobner@my-deja.com you are a troll and an anonymous coward.

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:92b15n$ur6$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
In article <20001217133824.10059.00005156@ng-md1.aol.com>, exportsrus@aol.com (EXPORTSRUS) wrote:
> With not even a week to go we would like to wish all here in the group a Very
> Merry X-MAS from X Force Comp. Amps.
>
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> Thanks, Steve
> Exports R Us
> www.xportsrus.com
> We also have the best wholesale prices for cb shops and dealers.
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Hi Steve,

Can you share some tips for running an illegal business with us?

I would imagine the first thing you do is deny, deny, deny that what you are doing is illegal. Like these CB amps. You probably want to say something like "they are for export only" or "I have no control what people do with my product once it leaves my shop". (Then maybe you push them to cb shops and dealers all over the USA?)

Anyhow, how does the taxes thing work? I mean, I'm sure you don't want to keep records of what you sold to whom, right? Or does it matter?

Is it like a drug business where you don't even bother with taxes? Or are the illegal sales mixed in with the legal sales?

And what about keeping the FCC off your back? Do you have to pay them off, like the mafia did? Or is it some other kind of political deal?

And what about the recent HR2346 legislation that was passed by President Clinton specifically to give localities power to seize illegal CB amplifiers and enforce CB rule violations concerning illegal power amplifiers?

It seems to destroy the excuse that "CB rules are like jaywalking - nobody pays attention to them". I mean, the fact that the Congress passed this legislation must mean that someone really does care about CB

rules.

Or am I all wet?

Wondering,

Aaron H. Voobner

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3983. FS: Large Ceramic Transmitting Tubes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 16:49:23 UTC
From: rh3333@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001227114923.26829.00000018@ng-cp1.aol.com>
Source record: 65217

TUBES FOR SALE

>4 - 4CX1500B - PULL - $395
>2 - 3CX1000A7 NEW - $450
>1 - 3CX1200A NEW - $495
>1 - 3CPX5000A - PULL - $525 --SOLD
>1 - 3CX10,000A7 - PULL - $850
>1 - 3CX15,000H3 - REBUILT/PULL - $900
>1 - 3CW20,000A7- NEW - $1400
>1 - 4CX15,000A7 - PULL - $900
>
>2 - 3CX800A7- ONE/MATCH PAIR - NEW - $295/EACH or $550/PAIR
>10 - 4PR1000A- - PULLS GUARANTEED NOT TO BE DOA BUT UNTESTED
$125/EA BUY 5 GET 1 FREE
> 4 - SOCKETS FOR ABOVE 4-1000A/4PR1000A - $45/EA

8874-New
8875-Pull

ALSO - SWEEP TUBES AVAILABLE

3984. FS: M-2057 TUBES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 16:51:25 UTC
From: rh3333@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001227115125.26829.00000019@ng-cp1.aol.com>
Source record: 65218

M-2057 tubes - tested under load - 90% MINIMUM most test at 95% - $55/ea + Shipping

3985. Re: Kwanzaa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 17:00:32 UTC
From: poisenivy <franc1@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Wep26.3124$Gc5.104234@news1.atl>
Source record: 65220

I guess the Christmas day truce is over. LOL

3986. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 17:01:08 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-AC7A0B.11534927122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 65219

In article <3a49c2d8.4607713@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> On Wed, 27 Dec 2000 03:06:03 GMT, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >Give us the theory that shows:
> >22.36 + j44.72 ohms = 50 ohms impedance
> >and
> >22.36 + j44.72 ohms will yield a 1:1 SWR
> >
> >Give us the theory that makes this the equation for impedance:
> >Z = (R² + X²)^²
>
> Like I said before, ask you buddy Doc to explain it to you. At the
> same time, ask him how 50 ohms, by definition, is resonant.

But these are your claims. Why can't you back up your claims?
Do you have difficulty backing up these claims.

> >> and I have disclosed my credentials.
> >
> >You said you went to college. You never said you graduated.
> >My credentials have you beat by a mile but they don't matter
> >here.
>
> I agree that they don't matter.

Then why are you making it an issue? Why are you bringing up
credentials if they don't matter?

By the way, you still haven't said if you graduated from college.

> >>I have provided a solid reference
> >
> >You refuse to quote your references verbatim.
>
> And I explained why early in the thread, but I will again below.
>
> >> but CLP disputed his only reference,
> >
> >It was a reference that gave your formula for impedance above.
> >I showed how the ARRL Handbook contradicted that definition just
> >a few paragraphs away in the same book. You couldn't accept or
> >explain the contradiction.
>

> And you couldn't accept or explain the contradiction in your own
> reference, except that it _might_ be a misprint. If you have a problem
> with the reference, take it up with the author, just like you said you
> would do with my reference.

Not so. I explained the contradiction. The one formula -- THE
FORMULA THAT YOU RELY ON -- is incorrect. Either the author is
incorrect or it is a typographical error. You have not given any
explanation how your equation can be correct despite your claims
of knowing the theory.

If a book says two different things, how can they both be correct?

> >If you understood the theory, you would have recognized the
> >misprint (or whatever kind of error it is) in the book.
>
> >> He hasn't followed through on his stated intent to support
> >> this accusation, because if he did he would have been forced to admit
> >> that he is wrong.
> >
> >Not. I've already shown that your equation above is wrong.
> >You haven't explained how it possibly could be correct.
>
> Your accusation came long before I posted the equation you speak of.
> You are, as Doc says, obfuscating.

Not true. I am merely pointing out the errors in your statements --
errors you've made to arrive at incorrect conclusions.

By the way, speaking of obfuscating, you still aren't answering
my questions, are you? You are dancing harder than a politician to
avoid answering the question.

> >I've requested your book. It isn't available in any local
> >library. It is in one of my company's libraries in Indiana
> >but the staff there appears to be gone for the holidays. I get
> >no response.
>
> No response from your own company? Ok, then tell me what references
> you _do_ have, besides the one you already mentioned but disagree
> with, and I will see what is available here. Hell, I might even order
> one or two of them. Otherwise, I will be happy to wait until the book
> arrives from Indiana.

I get no response from the librarians in Indiana.

I've got plenty of references. I already quoted the ARRL Handbook
section which contradicts your equation but you can't seem to find
any explanation for the contradiction.

Furthermore, I quoted it in entirety with no paraphrasing or
"summarizing" -- something you refuse to do with your reference.

> >> It is clear that he would rather ignore the facts,
> >> discredit the authorities, and subvert all logic in order to claim
> >> victory, even when there is no competition. That's entertainment.
> >
> >HA! You are a hoot. You have no facts. You are no authority.
> >You have no logic. You are correct that you are no competition.
>
> Classic example of either equivocation or selective interpretation,
> depending upon intent. And everything you have said in this thread,
> especially this, has been nothing but argumentum ad verecundiam.

Hee hee! Now your going to lay a little Latin on me because you can't
lay a little RF theory on me?

> >> Until it happens that you obtain my reference and prove his
> >> allegation, you don't have much to say about what is relavent in my
> >> discussion with CLP.
> >>
> >> Sparky, FLRC
> >
> >Why can't you just quote it for us? Why do we have to wait for
> >me to get the book?
>
> As I explained long ago, and again is this last post, 'verbatim'
> doesn't seem to work for you. Find yourself a book that you are happy
> with and that is available here, and then I'll start quoting verbatim
> from your selected reference. That way you will have no possible
> reason to accuse me of 'misquoting' your own reference.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

I've quoted a reference and you can't dispute it. Is "magnitude of
the impedance" the same as "impedance" or is it not? Your equation
is for the magnitude of the impedance but you used it as impedance.
You don't know the difference.

3987. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 17:09:33 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-C6859B.12021427122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 65221

In article <3a49c2b3.4570693@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> On Wed, 27 Dec 2000 02:51:29 GMT, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >> Correct. And what is the impedance of the signal going through just
> >> one of the 50 ohm lines? 25 ohms. It still doesn't work because
> >> transmission lines do not behave like resistors, just like your
> >> watchdog said very early in this thread.
> >>
> >> Sparky, FLRC
> > >
> > >Sparky, your responses are so nonsensical, I have difficulty responding
> > >to them.
> > >
> > >If you get full voltage with half current in two lines, you
> > >have a net full voltage and full current.
> >
> > Given a signal of 50V and 1A. Launch it down two parallel 50 ohm
> > lines. Each line will see 50V and 0.5A. It doesn't work. How simple of
> > an explanation do you need?

You obviously don't understand characteristic impedance.
Your explanation doesn't work. You cannot launch 50 ohms at 0.5 amps
down a 50 ohm line. If you could launch 50 volts at 0.5 amps down a
line, it would have to be a 100 ohm line.

This is why you get reflections at the interface to a different
impedance transmission line -- you cannot stuff any voltage and
current down the line. The line will accept what it can and the
rest is reflected. What it can accept is determined by its
characteristic impedance.

Let me give you an example that DOES work:

Let's compare one 25 ohm line to two 50 ohm lines in parallel.

You could launch 50 volts at 2 amps down one 25 ohm line.
You could launch 50 volts at 1 amp down one 50 ohm line.
With two 50 ohm lines, you get a net of 50 volts at 2 amps --
THE SAME AS A SINGLE 25 OHM LINE!

> >Why do you think they call it "characteristic impedance"?
> >Answer: because it defines the relationship between the voltage
> >and current within the line. E/I = Z

>
> One line. But you have two lines now. One does not equal two, no
> matter how hard you might try.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

Yes it does. I just showed you how.

3988. FS: 6JS6 Tubes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 17:09:54 UTC
From: rh3333@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001227120954.26829.00000022@ng-cp1.aol.com>
Source record: 65222

I have a large number of used/tested 6JS6A/B/C tubes available....these have
been tested under a load and all are at least 80% - following prices are good
on all orders placed before 1/01/01 or until all are sold
1-5 - $14/ea
6-10-$10/ea
+shipping

3989. Re: Wanted: 2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 17:23:57 UTC
From: Karl Vesterling <kjv@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <NAp26.54$Q62.15822@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 65223

I know someone WHO WOULD JUST LOVE some RAM, and I think they have a few 2950's in stock. She (Tom's Wife) needs (at least 1) 128MB DIMM for her HP Pavilion. Last time I was over there Tom had at least 2 of them on the shelves. So send an e-mail and ask them... She'd also be interested in the CD Burner, but since you didn't include a model I can't tell if it would work with her machine. (IDE vs SCSI, etc...)

Here's the site where you can find out information on it. With the holidays I don't think Lynn (Tom's wife) has had much time to do inventory, so you'll have to ask them what they have in stock, and how much..

http://kjv.homeip.net/~hr2510/html/radio_service.phtml?manufacturer=Uniden&model=HR2510
http://kjv.homeip.net/~hr2510/html/radio_service.phtml?manufacturer=Ranger&model=RCI2950DX

"wired" <canadian@turbosport.com> wrote in message news:3a491717.778709@news.peterboro.net...
>
> Looking for a rci 2950 or 2510 in good condition
> willing to swap for cd burner? or ram?
>
> serious offers plz.
>
>
> thank's
>
>
>

3990. Re: Wanted: HR- 2600 mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 17:25:36 UTC
From: Karl Vesterling <kjv@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <kCp26.55$Q62.16165@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 65224

I would think that Tom would have a few laying around...

http://kjv.homeip.net/~hr2510/html/radio_service.phtml?manufacturer=Uniden&m
odel=HR2510

"Dave or Debby" <truth@planetc.com> wrote in message
news:3a4964df@news.planetc.com...
> Does anyone have an extra HR-2600 mic laying around? E mail me if you do.
> Dave @ truth@planetc.com
>
>

3991. Re: Kwanzaa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 17:35:54 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92d99qiis1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65225

In article <Wep26.3124$Gc5.104234@news1.atl>,
"poisenivy" <franc1@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> I guess the Christmas day truce is over. LOL
>
>

truce, did you say truce?????????, where, when??????????,....cd is
out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3992. Re: LINEARS AMPS FORSALE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 17:42:19 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92d9lp$j09$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65226

In article <92cs3g$89e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
elmerpee@my-deja.com wrote:
> Hey if you want a good deal on a Linear AMP 160-11 Meter {HAM USE
ONLY|
> You know what i mean Virn.Contact Good-Old Boy Justin Depolis at email
> justinde@pacbell.net Hey he has a PacBell email addres you might get a
> good HOT Computer CHEEP too.He gave me a good deal so want too pass it
> one too you.He NOT A HAM so he can get by with saleing these linears
> for ham and cb radio.
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

what the hell are you talking about??????? haven't you been reading
the mail good buddy???, we are under hamster-weasel fink duress. we
can't talk about such things around here. now if you were to say that
this good buddy was selling, uh, qrp cb virgin radios,
wellllllllllllllllllllll no problem,....cd is out...

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3993. Re: X Force Amplifier for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 17:47:20 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92d9v6$j4p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65227

In article <20001227050851.26003.00003353@ng-mf1.aol.com>,
jvillafane@aol.com (JVillafane) wrote:
>
> >No
> >> >Resonable offer will be refused.
>
> my offer is $350
>

i think that is a fair offer. i mean you don' know if the guy has blown it up, or what and this is cb radio afterall. it never ceases to amaze me how some of these guys think they should get a lot of what they paid for it out of it when they sell it, of course not taking into consideration how much the markup for the damn thing is over at xfarce r us, rogo,.....cd is out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

3994. FS: Radio Shack HTX-10 10 meter radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 18:07:40 UTC
From: N4UJF <bcbnga@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <3A4A2FEC.96A8F83C@alltel.net>
Source record: 65228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

All modes on 10 meters. 25 watts. Absolutely new in the box. Never
turned on. $115. delivered to your door via insured Priority Mail. US
addresses only. VISA or
MasterCard accepted thru PayPal or Yahoo! PayDirect. Money order OK.

Bruce N4UJF

3995. Re: Kwanzaa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 18:08:26 UTC
From: Nick Preston <juggernaut@pioneeris.net>
Message-ID: <3A4A3019.5DC1708B@pioneeris.net>
Source record: 65229

I think everyone agrees, except those without true meaning in their life, that Kwanzaa is a second rate celebration that has no real rooted meaning. It is just a self-serving "celebration" with objects stolen from the genuine religions. But hey, if worshiping idols and yourselves makes you feel like a better person, then go for it.

poisenivy wrote:

> I guess the Christmas day truce is over. LOL

3996. Re: Spares for a Lincoln...anyone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 18:09:03 UTC
From: Karl Vesterling <kjv@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3fq26.63$Q62.16645@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 65230
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

Ask Doc... He's got spares laying around I'm sure...

http://kjv.homeip.net/~hr2510/html/radio_service.phtml?manufacturer=Uniden&m
odel=Lincoln

"Tom Shaw" <tommy2ooo@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:91m7b9$78f$1@uranium.btinternet.com...
> Im looking for a channel changer switch for a Lincoln. Can anyone give me
> details to what type of switch it is, or where I might get another one
new?
> I would appreciate any help on this.
>
> Regards,
> Tom Shaw
>
>
>

3997. NEW Chipswitch Manual available!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 18:13:35 UTC
From: Karl Vesterling <kjv@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <jjq26.64$Q62.16663@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 65231

It's been a few months since I posted this last, but for all you people that have the Uniden HR2510/HR2600/Lincoln with a Chipswitch, I have taken the time to format the document and put together some nice tables and the like. It's entirely searchable and easy to read, table of contents, etc...
DIGITAL ADOBE ACROBAT PDF FORMAT!

I'm sure you'll be happy with this.

http://kjv.homeip.net/~hr2510/html/chipswitch_information.phtml

You'll find the link to the manual at the top of the page. ENJOY!

3998. Re: chocolate chip cookies and milk are best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 18:19:19 UTC
From: Raped Ape <rapedape@earthworks.com>
Message-ID: <Hoq26.142$K84.51528@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 65232

Yes and what are they oh great one other than your opinion?

"Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com> wrote in message
news:92d22v$co3$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <WWh26.489$uD5.202774@nnrp3.sbc.net>,
> "BiG-+-+\\/oLtZ" <32pills@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > It may seem strange but regardless of output power or who built what
> I would
> > have to run the cookie just because it is not only a davemade world.
> I have
> > tested them both and I will have to say I like cookie for more
> reasons than
> > one. I don't run either of them but my choice is still the same.
> >
> > --
> >
> > -+-+-+-+-+-+-+BiG-+-+\/oLtZ+-+-+-+-+-+-
> I have to agree!!!! I don't Run Davemade , or X-Farce. There are better
> amps out there!!!!
> MR.PAIN&#65533;
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

3999. Wanted: Galaxy DX-22B owners manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 18:26:23 UTC
From: wayne seagle <kb9tmq@midwest.net>
Message-ID: <pqq26.9381$Fr.123771@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 65233

Please email me with price!

--
Wayne Seagle
kb9tmq@midwest.net

4000. Re: chocolate chip cookies and milk are best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 18:27:17 UTC
From: _cLoWn-ToWn_ <drdoolittle@aol.com>
Message-ID: <92dca7$6opsi$1@ID-26551.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 65234

```
The better stuff out there is small batch equipment put together by someone
with an understanding of RF and the proper test equipment to assure it is
right.

*********************************************
One Down Clown
*********************************************
```

"Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com> wrote in message
news:92d22v$co3$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <WWh26.489$uD5.202774@nnrp3.sbc.net>,
> "BiG-+-+\\/oLtZ" <32pills@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > It may seem strange but regardless of output power or who built what
> I would
> > have to run the cookie just because it is not only a davemade world.
> I have
> > tested them both and I will have to say I like cookie for more
> reasons than
> > one. I don't run either of them but my choice is still the same.
> >
> > --
> >
> > -+-+-+-+-+-+-+BiG-+-+\/oLtZ+-+-+-+-+-+-
> I have to agree!!!! I don't Run Davemade , or X-Farce. There are better
> amps out there!!!!
> MR.PAIN&#65533;
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

4001. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 18:34:50 UTC
From: -=PEAKABOO=- <tappingdatass@hotmail.comode>
Message-ID: <gDq26.9$cq5.19631@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Source record: 65235

And you seem to have a problem with the fact that it is a holiday dedicated to one race. You are showing your racist attitude. The way I see it is this. For all the bullshit the black race had to put up with during slavery that is the least you could do is give them a little freedom of choice. I happen to be a white man but I don't want my kids being raised believing that one should judge someone because of their racial, creed or religious difference. Maybe I can make a small dent in the problem. When I hear people calling black people lazy I always think about how years ago the whit man was so lazy they had a whole other race doing all the work for them. And doing it with no pay. I am glad I was not a part of that shit. I never hear a white man bitch about an Indian holiday or help the American Indian receives from the government. Explain that one.

--
-=PEAKABOO=-
"Trying Not To Choke,
On That 10 Ohm Smoke"
http://www.geocities.com/MotorCity/Highway/5648/boos_page.html

To Send Mail Remove "ode" from the email address
"Bill" <reaper@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:3A49EF06.7FF75782@netscape.net...
> No, dumb-ass holidays invented to further divide the races is one of the
> multitude of reasons for racism. To invent a holiday just for African
Americans
> was not very wise to begin with. I would like to know, what is the problem
with
> Christmas? Why couldn't everyone just celebrate that? Why does a
particular race
> have to come up with a separate and distinct holiday not based in any
religion?
> Makes no sense to me. Don't start preaching to me that it is a harmless
holiday,
> it cheapens Christmas and Hanukkah.
>
> -=PEAKABOO=- wrote:
>
> > People like you are the reason there is a racism problem. JACKBACK
> > Peace
> >
> > --
> > -=PEAKABOO=-
> > "Trying Not To Choke,
> > On That 10 Ohm Smoke"
> > http://www.geocities.com/MotorCity/Highway/5648/boos_page.html

> >
> > To Send Mail Remove "ode" from the email address
> > "x-men" <bakbone@att.net> wrote in message
news:3A4931A1.D7AF49A1@att.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > CitySlicker wrote:
> > >
> > > > Kwanzaa: "is a festive, non-religious celebration, founded in 1966
by
> > > > Dr. Maulana Karenga, during which African Americans celebrate
> > > > and reflect upon their rich heritage.
> > >
> > > If their heritage was so rich, why do they steal so much?
> > >
> > > > It begins December 26 and
> > > > lasts for seven days. Each day focuses on one of seven principles:
> > > > unity,
> > >
> > > Anyone who aint a brother be de enemy
> > >
> > > > self-determination,
> > >
> > > I WILL steal this car, I WILL steal this car....
> > >
> > > > collective work and responsibility,
> > >
> > > It's *my* responsibility to work on collecting a welfare check
> > >
> > > >
> > > > cooperative economics,
> > >
> > > That 7-eleven clerk better cooperate on handing over the cash drawer
> > >
> > > > purpose,
> > >
> > > The purpose of gettin de money, is to buy crack
> > >
> > > > creativity and faith."
> > >
> > > Yeah, faith that if the police show up, you can come up with a
creative
> > way of
> > > getting out of your ho's apartment without them seeing you
> > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

4002. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 18:46:53 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001227134653.12660.00003594@nso-cd.aol.com>
Source record: 65237

In article <3A49F707.C30B32C0@some.net>, JR <jr@some.net> writes:

>Just try inventing a holiday for White Americans or a beauty contest for
>White
>American girls only and see the uproar and shouts of racism from certain
>groups that
>will cause.

That's been done many times before!!!
Duh!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

4003. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 18:46:54 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001227134654.12660.00003595@nso-cd.aol.com>
Source record: 65236

In article <3A49F2E8.BAC521CC@netscape.net>, Bill <reaper@netscape.net> writes:

>Christmas and Hanukkah should have
>been left alone, and Kwanzaa fit in somewhere else on the calendar since it
>is
>a non-religious holiday that anyone can celebrate anyway.

Oh so now you like Hanukkah????
If you like one then like them all or shut the hell up and have a happy new
year!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

4004. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 18:46:54 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001227134654.12660.00003596@nso-cd.aol.com>
Source record: 65238

In article <3A49EF06.7FF75782@netscape.net>, Bill <reaper@netscape.net> writes:

>No, dumb-ass holidays invented to further divide the races is one of the
>multitude of reasons for racism. To invent a holiday just for African
>Americans
>was not very wise to begin with. I would like to know, what is the problem
>with
>Christmas? Why couldn't everyone just celebrate that? Why does a particular
>race
>have to come up with a separate and distinct holiday not based in any
>religion?
>Makes no sense to me. Don't start preaching to me that it is a harmless
>holiday,
>it cheapens Christmas and Hanukkah.
>

Hey what's wrong with Hanukkah dummy???

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

4005. Re: Kwanzaa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 19:00:29 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001227140029.12660.00003597@nso-cd.aol.com>
Source record: 65239

In article <3A4A3019.5DC1708B@pioneeris.net>, Nick Preston
<juggernaut@pioneeris.net> writes:

>I think everyone agrees, except those without true meaning in their
>life, that Kwanzaa is a second rate celebration that has no real rooted
>meaning. It is just a self-serving "celebration" with objects stolen
>from the genuine religions. But hey, if worshiping idols and yourselves
>makes you feel like a better person, then go for it.

What a sad and sick individual!
If people dont agree with your opinion about Kwanzaa (which has no real place
in this newsgroup) then they must be "without true meaning in their lives"
Wow!!
How much worse can it get for you with the fake email address!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

4006. Re: Kwanzaa -The Simple TRUTH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 19:00:30 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001227140030.12660.00003599@nso-cd.aol.com>
Source record: 65241

In article <QLo26.195181$U46.6017032@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>, "The Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> writes:

>Hey COLD. Most Arians claim to be Christians too.

True that!!!
Go figure???????

And I still ask what the heck does all this have to do with cb radios???
Are there more racists on cb radio???
I wonder where that comes from???

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

4007. Re: Kwanzaa -The Simple TRUTH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 19:00:30 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001227140030.12660.00003598@nso-cd.aol.com>
Source record: 65244

In article <92d5cv$fg7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, curious_dan@my-deja.com writes:

>hey cold, i have a pooter question for ya. somehow, when i downloaded a
>copy of explorer 5.5 from microsoft, included with it much to my
>chagrin, is about three advertising scripts that immediately start when
>you start explorer. is there anyway i can get rid of these damn
>things? they are most annoying. i have looked in windows explorer for
>them, and damn it i can't find the files, indeed, if they are in files.
>anyways. thanks,.......cd is out

Hmmm not sure!!!! I have not dl'ed that yet. Perhaps I should. It appears like there should be some startup file that can control this advertising crap. I am stumped as of now cd.!!!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

4008. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 19:00:31 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001227140031.12660.00003600@nso-cd.aol.com>
Source record: 65240

In article <gDq26.9$cq5.19631@nnrp1.sbc.net>, "-=PEAKABOO=-"
<tappingdatass@hotmail.comode> writes:

>And you seem to have a problem with the fact that it is a holiday dedicated
>to one race. You are showing your racist attitude. The way I see it is this.
>For all the bullshit the black race had to put up with during slavery that
>is the least you could do is give them a little freedom of choice. I happen
>to be a white man but I don't want my kids being raised believing that one
>should judge someone because of their racial, creed or religious difference.
>Maybe I can make a small dent in the problem. When I hear people calling
>black people lazy I always think about how years ago the whit man was so
>lazy they had a whole other race doing all the work for them. And doing it
>with no pay. I am glad I was not a part of that shit. I never hear a white
>man bitch about an Indian holiday or help the American Indian receives from
>the government. Explain that one.

I also are awaiting the answer specifically to these questions...

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

4009. Re: RevDoGood@webtv.net (Northwest Corner)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 19:00:32 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001227140032.12660.00003602@nso-cd.aol.com>
Source record: 65242

In article <20001227102237.08065.00008597@ng-mi1.aol.com>, mobile51@aol.com (Mobile 51) writes:

>he has posted pix of himself here in group..
>it's been a while though..
>.....
>
>If he were here to set up a con game, he probably wouldn't have been stupid
>enough to put his own pic up here. More than likely a chumps pic that he
>would
>want to take the fall.

So true!!!
I will go as far to say that if the pic was of a black guy then most certainly he is a white guy! What do you say about that! Can you smell what I am cooking???

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!

4010. Re: X Force Amplifier for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 19:00:32 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001227140032.12660.00003601@nso-cd.aol.com>
Source record: 65243

In article <20001227102627.01183.00000023@ng-ch1.aol.com>, exportsrus@aol.com (EXPORTSRUS) writes:

>Get real Ice, I never have seen one built that clean inside unless Dave or
>Concrete did it themselves. The case sure is silver also.
>
>
>>
>>Hey are those really xforce???
>>They are gold color. So are you sure they are not davemades?

I am totally confused by your response! Get real about what???
Oh and Merry Christmas!! The box looked gold to me... maybe I am getting old!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

4011. Re: chocolate chip cookies and milk are best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 19:14:45 UTC
From: "Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com>
Message-ID: <92df2ungh1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65245

Dont worry about it !!!! PAIN&#65533;

In article <Hoq26.142$K84.51528@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
"Raped Ape" <rapedape@earthworks.com> wrote:
> Yes and what are they oh great one other than your opinion?
>
> "Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com> wrote in message
> news:92d22v$co3$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > In article <WWh26.489$uD5.202774@nnrp3.sbc.net>,
> > "BiG-+-+\VoLtZ" <32pills@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > It may seem strange but regardless of output power or who built
what
> > I would
> > > have to run the cookie just because it is not only a davemade
world.
> > I have
> > > tested them both and I will have to say I like cookie for more
> > reasons than
> > > one. I don't run either of them but my choice is still the same.
> > >
> > > --
> > >
> > > -+-+-+-+-+-+BiG-+-+\VoLtZ+-+-+-+-+-+-
> > I have to agree!!!! I don't Run Davemade , or X-Farce. There are
better
> > amps out there!!!!
> > MR.PAIN&#65533;
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>
>

--

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4012. Re: Hello again 459

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 19:24:18 UTC
From: oneqck76ta@aol.com.com.com
Message-ID: <20001227142418.29339.00000041@ng-cm1.aol.com>
Source record: 65246

>Good to put a spoke on you again today.
>I don't know if you changed anything on you're station or if it was
>conditions,but you were LOUD LOUD LOUD in NH. today.
>Happy holidays to you 459
>
>257 NH. On the wheels
>
>

Just picked up a new DX 500 for a super price that a guy couldn't pass up. I guess it is working. Nice hearing from you as well. You were sonding good today as well. Happy holidays right back to ya.

oneqck76ta@aol.com
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta

You've got "459" out of Minneapolis Minnesota and I'm reading the mail

**********Hippie Freak**********
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta/cbradio

4013. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 19:42:21 UTC
From: Preston <juggernaut@pioneeris.net>
Message-ID: <3A4A461D.1BF93599@pioneeris.net>
Source record: 65247

-=PEAKABOO=- wrote:

> And you seem to have a problem with the fact that it is a holiday dedicated
> to one race.

Why should a race of people have a holiday?

> You are showing your racist attitude.

Kwanzaa is a racist celebration, I am merely exposing it for what it is.

> The way I see it is this.
> For all the bullshit the black race had to put up with during slavery that
> is the least you could do is give them a little freedom of choice.

I agree that slaves were mistreated to a great degree, probably more than you and I will ever know and am not happy about that fact. However I do not think that two or three generations down the line, a person who had nothing to do with any of it should give another person all kinds of privileges and reparations that THAT SPECIFIC PERSON is not entitled to. If your grandfather stole a car when he was 16, should you right now pay a different car dealer what a new car sells for?

> I happen
> to be a white man but I don't want my kids being raised believing that one
> should judge someone because of their racial, creed or religious difference.

Kwanzaa is void of religion, there is none - and it is specifically timed to detract from Christmas

>
> Maybe I can make a small dent in the problem. When I hear people calling
> black people lazy I always think about how years ago the whit man was so
> lazy they had a whole other race doing all the work for them.

Like I said, slavery was unfortunate. There were few white men who owned slaves, you make it sound like every white family had a dozen or so to do all their work. Many white people did their own work by themselves.

> And doing it
> with no pay. I am glad I was not a part of that shit. I never hear a white
> man bitch about an Indian holiday or help the American Indian receives from
> the government. Explain that one.
>

American Indians practice their own beliefs and do not force the timing into other religious holidays so as to have the effect of interfering with them. Same with the Chinese, they celebrate their own according to their own time and so on

and so on....

4014. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 19:44:34 UTC
From: Preston <juggernaut@pioneeris.net>
Message-ID: <3A4A46A2.55FB4292@pioneeris.net>
Source record: 65448

COLD as ICE wrote:

>
> Hey what's wrong with Hanukkah dummy???
>
>

Did I say there was anything wrong with it? Don't be so paranoid as to read too much into something. I was using it as an example so you would see what I am talking about.

4015. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 19:46:42 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A4A38B3.45676021@some.net>
Source record: 65449

There is a Miss Black America beauty contest that is open only to blacks, just try having a Miss White America beauty contest and exclude blacks and listen to the calls of racism from the Jessie Jacksons. It is OK for them to have their exclusive black whatervers and that is not racism, but the other way around it is I guess. Seems like a double standard to me. The blacks play the race card any time they can.

COLD as ICE wrote:

> In article <3A49F707.C30B32C0@some.net>, JR <jr@some.net> writes:
>
> >Just try inventing a holiday for White Americans or a beauty contest for
> >White
> >American girls only and see the uproar and shouts of racism from certain
> >groups that
> >will cause.
>
> That's been done many times before!!!
> Duh!
>
> COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
> mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

4016. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 19:47:46 UTC
From: Preston <juggernaut@pioneeris.net>
Message-ID: <3A4A4762.7C41C298@pioneeris.net>
Source record: 65450

COLD as ICE wrote:

>
> Oh so now you like Hanukkah????
> If you like one then like them all or shut the hell up and have a happy new
> year!

I only have a problem with Quanta being a come lately "me too" holiday that was stuffed in at Christmas to appease atheists. It has no place being where it is.

4017. Vs: 27.555

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 19:51:39 UTC
From: Gary <gary@larry.hi>
Message-ID: <92dh9t$91u$1@news.koti.tpo.fi>
Source record: 65454

Do you miss us? Well, 27.555 is really a frequency of no interest anyway.
I'll let you use it. :-) Gary

4018. Re: Kwanzaa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 19:57:28 UTC
From: Preston <juggernaut@pioneeris.net>
Message-ID: <3A4A49A8.79C68363@pioneeris.net>
Source record: 65452

What's so sad and sick is a pagan ritual being performed during the Christmas season. That's what is *TRULY* sad and sick! I know people from various religions and backgrounds that are offended by the timing of Kwanzaa. Actually someone (a Hasidic) suggested to me that it should coincide with the cotton harvest :)

COLD as ICE wrote:

> In article <3A4A3019.5DC1708B@pioneeris.net>, Nick Preston
> <juggernaut@pioneeris.net> writes:
>
> >I think everyone agrees, except those without true meaning in their
> >life, that Kwanzaa is a second rate celebration that has no real rooted
> >meaning. It is just a self-serving "celebration" with objects stolen
> >from the genuine religions. But hey, if worshiping idols and yourselves
> >makes you feel like a better person, then go for it.
>
> What a sad and sick individual!
> If people dont agree with your opinion about Kwanzaa (which has no real place
> in this newsgroup) then they must be "without true meaning in their lives"
> Wow!!
> How much worse can it get for you with the fake email address!
>
> COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
> mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

4019. wanted: cb's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 19:58:54 UTC
From: canadian@turbosport.com
Message-ID: <3a4a4a6f.79457944@news.peterboro.net>
Source record: 65453

looking for mobile 40 channel c.b's
new or old, willing to swap for new pc hardware
as i work in a computer store. tell me what you have.
preffer 40 channel ssb/am mobiles.

email me direct

4020. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 19:59:05 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a4a47d7.697602@news.cet.com>
Source record: 65451

On Wed, 27 Dec 2000 17:09:33 GMT, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>You obviously don't understand characteristic impedance.
>Your explanation doesn't work. You cannot launch 50 ohms at 0.5 amps
>down a 50 ohm line. If you could launch 50 volts at 0.5 amps down a
>line, it would have to be a 100 ohm line.

Exactly.

>You could launch 50 volts at 2 amps down one 25 ohm line.
>You could launch 50 volts at 1 amp down one 50 ohm line.
>With two 50 ohm lines, you get a net of 50 volts at 2 amps --
>THE SAME AS A SINGLE 25 OHM LINE!

A 25 ohm coax won't do a whole lot of good with a 50 ohm source, which
is what we were talking about. Remember? The typical CB system? Don't
you remember whining about others giving examples that didn't apply
because they were not used in CB radio?

Sparky, FLRC

4021. Re: Good Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 20:28:43 UTC
From: ngabuckaroo223@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001227152843.18655.00000031@ng-mc1.aol.com>
Source record: 65456

I MAX 2000 is a good one, but i use a Antron99 and it works AWESOME!

North GA. Buckaroo "223"

4022. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 20:33:20 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a4a4a23.1285409@news.cet.com>
Source record: 65455

On Wed, 27 Dec 2000 17:01:08 GMT, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>But these are your claims. Why can't you back up your claims?
>Do you have difficulty backing up these claims.

As I have said too many times before, but doesn't seem to sink into
your head, when you start coming up with stuff like . . .

>Not so. I explained the contradiction. The one formula -- THE
>FORMULA THAT YOU RELY ON -- is incorrect. Either the author is
>incorrect or it is a typographical error. You have not given any
>explanation how your equation can be correct despite your claims
>of knowing the theory.

. . . then it really doesn't matter what kind of reference I use, does
it? You will just say that the author is wrong, or that it has a typo.
Or call me a liar. So I offered that you can provide a reference that
even _you_ don't disagree with. I don't have a problem with that, do
you?

>> Your accusation came long before I posted the equation you speak of.
>> You are, as Doc says, obfuscating.
>
>Not true. I am merely pointing out the errors in your statements --
>errors you've made to arrive at incorrect conclusions.

Just as I did a couple weeks ago, providing a reference that you
refused to dispute, and was subsequently called a liar. So let's start
at the top of the list.

>By the way, speaking of obfuscating, you still aren't answering
>my questions, are you?

No, because you haven't provided any reference which disputes my
reference, and have refused to substantiate your accusation. Until you
do, I don't feel any obligation to answer any of your questions.

>I get no response from the librarians in Indiana.
>
>I've got plenty of references. I already quoted the ARRL Handbook
>section which contradicts your equation but you can't seem to find
>any explanation for the contradiction.

Email me a list. I'll see what I have and what I can get. I'll do the
same. Any problem with that?

>> >> It is clear that he would rather ignore the facts,
>> >> discredit the authorities, and subvert all logic in order to claim
>> >> victory, even when there is no competition. That's entertainment.
>> >
>> >HA! You are a hoot. You have no facts. You are no authority.
>> >You have no logic. You are correct that you are no competition.
>>
>> Classic example of either equivocation or selective interpretation,
>> depending upon intent. And everything you have said in this thread,
>> especially this, has been nothing but argumentum ad verecundiam.
>
>Hee hee! Now your going to lay a little Latin on me because you can't
>lay a little RF theory on me?

Do you want it translated? Because you just did it again.

>I've quoted a reference and you can't dispute it.

After I quoted a reference you coudn't dispute. First things first.

>By the way, you still haven't said if you graduated from college.

You said that credentials don't matter, and I agreed. What's the
problem? You can't even let _that_ rest? Are you on a superiority trip
or something? You never said why your credentials are so impeccable.
So tell everybody all about your credentials and get it out of your
system. And don't forget to disclose your true identity so that they
can be verified.

Sparky, FLRC

4023. New Kenwood

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 20:41:31 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001227154131.08798.00000042@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 65457

had a chance to speak with a fellow working with the new T2000 radio. it sounded pretty good. i was impressed. might have to consider saving to get one myself if they have worked all the bugs out.

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4024. Re: RevDoGood@webtv.net (Northwest Corner)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 20:45:55 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001227154555.08798.00000044@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 65458

so if it would have been a picture of a white guy that would mean a black one did it? i smell what your cooking and its making me sick. leave the politicing to the trolls.

>
>So true!!!
>I will go as far to say that if the pic was of a black guy then most
>certainly
>he is a white guy! What do you say about that! Can you smell what I am
>cooking???

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4025. Re: wanted: cb's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 21:17:43 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A4A5C77.BFD5FA52@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65459

http://www.abs.net/~the420

wired wrote:
>
> looking for mobile 40 channel c.b's
> new or old, willing to swap for new pc hardware
> as i work in a computer store. tell me what you have.
> preffer 40 channel ssb/am mobiles.
>
> email me direct

4026. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 21:22:32 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A4A5D98.E6E16B56@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65461

Preston trolled:

> Why should a race of people have a holiday?

So you are saying Jewish folks shouldn't celebrate Chanukah?

4027. Re: No Life Person Alert!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 21:25:43 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A4A5E57.E96B84A0@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65460

I only took a joking guesstimate of the amount of posts.

How's the weather in Garland, Texas?

"twï¿½iï¿½." wrote, faking an email address but not his IP:
>
> x-no-archive: yes
>
> <win7@webworkz.com> wrote in message news:3a493e5b$1_1@vienna7.his.com...
> > and the fellow that count's them has alot of spare time on his hands.and
> > alot of empty space in his head..
>
> Well, I didn't count them, someone else on this ng did. And for someone that
> posts post after post after post about some jerk needs a life.

4028. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 21:49:59 UTC
From: Lipmobile <tutt@smiles.net>
Message-ID: <3A4A6407.FC248050@smiles.net>
Source record: 65462

Seems peakaboo and ice are noticeably absent after the explanation... wonder why, hmmmmmm?

= PEAKABOO= wrote:

> . Explain that one.

4029. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 21:54:54 UTC
From: Lipmobile <tutt@smiles.net>
Message-ID: <3A4A652D.71C778CC@smiles.net>
Source record: 65463

I did not see that anywhere, or could it be you are you just trying to twist words?
Maybe you should go through the whole thread before posting... if you take the time to look, he has no problem with Hanukkah, just Kwanzaa.

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" wrote:

> So you are saying Jewish folks shouldn't celebrate Chanukah?

4030. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 22:25:40 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A4A6C64.78A02EBC@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65464

Hey Preston/Lipmobile:

You can't just change you posting name and become someone else.
Your ISP is still frontiernet.net and the organization is still
Global Crossing Telecommunications.

We may be CB'ers, but we are not stupid. Troll.

4031. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 22:32:47 UTC
From: joma <puter@hazzard.net>
Message-ID: <3A4A6E0F.53ABE702@hazzard.net>
Source record: 65465

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" wrote:

> but we are not stupid.

Duhhhhh, different users on a public terminal. Dufus!

4032. Re: chocolate chip cookies and milk are best

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 22:42:35 UTC
From: Rat Bastard <ratb@ratsnest.com>
Message-ID: <vfu26.970$0h.77888@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 65466

brilliant answer
"Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com> wrote in message
news:92df2ungh1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Dont worry about it !!!! PAIN&#65533;
>
>
> In article <Hoq26.142$K84.51528@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
> "Raped Ape" <rapedape@earthworks.com> wrote:
> > Yes and what are they oh great one other than your opinion?
> >
> > "Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com> wrote in message
> > news:92d22v$co3$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > > In article <WWh26.489$uD5.202774@nnrp3.sbc.net>,
> > > "BiG-+-+\\/oLtZ" <32pills@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > It may seem strange but regardless of output power or who built
> what
> > > I would
> > > > have to run the cookie just because it is not only a davemade
> world.
> > > I have
> > > > tested them both and I will have to say I like cookie for more
> > > reasons than
> > > > one. I don't run either of them but my choice is still the same.
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > >
> > > > -+-+-+-+-+-+BiG-+-+\/oLtZ+-+-+-+-+-+-
> > > I have to agree!!!! I don't Run Davemade , or X-Farce. There are
> better
> > > amps out there!!!!
> > > MR.PAIN&#65533;
> > >
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > http://www.deja.com/
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> >
> >
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

4033. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 22:52:33 UTC
From: joma <puter@hazzard.net>
Message-ID: <3A4A72B1.1B8373C1@hazzard.net>
Source record: 65467

I saw two postings from two people and traced them to AOL, does that mean they are actually one person?
Frontiernet who is mainly East and deep South, are all over the east coast in case you didn't know. More than one subscriber is needed to turn a profit <grin>

4034. Re: X Force Amplifier for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 22:59:08 UTC
From: Rat Bastard <ratb@ratsnest.com>
Message-ID: <0vu26.532$Og.81412@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 65468

well i hope they solder all the coax to ground on the input combiners on the next amp.

"EXPORTSRUS" <exportsrus@aol.com> wrote in message news:20001227102627.01183.00000023@ng-ch1.aol.com...
> Get real Ice, I never have seen one built that clean inside unless Dave or
> Concrete did it themselves. The case sure is silver also.
>
>
> >
> >Hey are those really xforce???
> >They are gold color. So are you sure they are not davemades?
> >
> >COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
> >mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!
> >
>
>
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
> Thanks
> Exports R Us
> www.xportsrus.com
> Distributing OverDrive Communications Products and Accessories
> We also have the best wholesale prices for Retailers and Dealers.
> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

4035. Re: Hello again 459

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 23:07:39 UTC
From: Two-Five-seven@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19675-3A4A763B-16@storefull-148.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65471

Well I'll tell you what,that 500 is definitely a keeper.Nice loud clear audio.I think I'll be hearing from you more often,no doubt in my mind. Put it on you later.

459 wrote;

Just picked up a new DX 500 for a super price that a guy couldn't pass up. I guess it is working. Nice hearing from you as well. You were sonding good today as well. Happy holidays right back to ya.

257 NH. On the wheels

4036. Hey Mod Squad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 23:08:58 UTC
From: Two-Five-seven@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19674-3A4A768A-43@storefull-148.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65470

Did you get that nickel I rolled you're way?

257 NH. On the wheels

4037. WANTED: Pro-2026

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 23:10:10 UTC
From: canadian@turbosport.com
Message-ID: <3a4a7740.90931532@news.peterboro.net>
Source record: 65469

Looking for the old radio shack pro-2026 mobile scanner
i dont have much money, but i have two pc's that i can
eliminate some bell's and whistles on.

if anyone is willing to swap for one of the following?

CD Burner Samsung 8x4x32
TNT2 video card, agp, (32meg nvidia riva pro)

email me direct

4038. Re: Vs: 27.555

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 23:16:27 UTC
From: Death From Above <betabossanova@excite.com>
Message-ID: <92dt87$3oj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65474

In article <92dh9t$91u$1@news.koti.tpo.fi>,
"Gary" <gary@larry.hi> wrote:
> Do you miss us? Well, 27.555 is really a frequency of no interest anyway.
> I'll let you use it. :-) Gary
>
>

--
Kill them all, let GOD sort them out!
>
>You are not "us". "WE" are betabossanova and you're not it. You never were one and never will be. Since you never were heard, it doesn't quite matter what you think. Have some corn. Aldo in charge.

Alfa Tango Arsonist
Sheriff Bob
QRM 2000
Big Bad Bob
Owner: 27.555

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4039. Re: Johnson transciever tester

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 23:22:01 UTC
From: Dana <dana@randomc.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10012271811430.19185-100000@cluster2>
Source record: 65472

How about that? never heard of one of those either.

On 27 Dec 2000, Dave4eal wrote:

> Date: 27 Dec 2000 05:16:44 GMT
> From: Dave4eal <dave4eal@aol.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> Subject: Johnson transciever tester
>
> Does anyone have an operating guide or owners manual for a Johnson tranciever
> tester. Is there any where I could download operating istructions for this
> equipment? If you can help me please email me. Thank you in advance.
>
>

4040. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-27 23:23:05 UTC
From: Rat Bastard <ratb@ratsnest.com>
Message-ID: <tRu26.539$Og.89316@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 65473

You should follow your own lead Sparky the electrican disclose your shoddy credentials whats that you have none You sidestep the same questions you pose. What are your credentials??? you have brought up the credentials issue
You are a good sidestepper

"Sparky" <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message
> You said that credentials don't matter, and I agreed. What's the
> problem? You can't even let _that_ rest? Are you on a superiority trip
> or something? You never said why your credentials are so impeccable.
> So tell everybody all about your credentials and get it out of your
> system. And don't forget to disclose your true identity so that they
> can be verified.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

4041. Re: Johnson transciever tester

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 00:08:09 UTC
From: Hillbilly Dave <******davesradio@alltel.net******>
Message-ID: <92e046$n1s$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 65475

they were real popular back in the 60's....also thier was a laffette and a courier port a lab tester...

dave

Dana <dana@randomc.com> wrote in message news:Pine.GSO.4.10.10012271811430.19185-100000@cluster2...
> How about that? never heard of one of those either.
>
> On 27 Dec 2000, Dave4eal wrote:
>
> > Date: 27 Dec 2000 05:16:44 GMT
> > From: Dave4eal <dave4eal@aol.com>
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> > Subject: Johnson transciever tester
> >
> > Does anyone have an operating guide or owners manual for a Johnson tranciever
> > tester. Is there any where I could download operating istructions for this
> > equipment? If you can help me please email me. Thank you in advance.
> >
> >
>

4042. Re: Any Brand-New Grant XL's Left in the World?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 00:26:22 UTC
From: zemog@i-55.com
Message-ID: <3A4A88AD.CE6EF3C2@i-55.com>
Source record: 65476

Check out http://www.rrcom.com/rrcom.html

Paul Knight wrote:

> The subject says it all; are there any brand-new, unmodified Grant XL radios
> left in the world? If so, could some kind soul point me towards a dealer?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Paul WD8DKY

4043. Re: X Force Amplifier for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 00:41:29 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <Z_v26.139763$IP1.4732765@news1.giganews.com>
Source record: 65477

Pity you wont take what it is worth.

I paid 350 for my last 8 pill.

Toll Free

"Maniac9188" <maniac9188@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001226173155.01738.00003563@ng-mc1.aol.com...
> This is the address of the webpage, for all that are intrested. And no I'm
> sorry, I will not take $350.00. but thanks anyway.
> Maniac.
>
>
> http://members.nbci.com/iomega216/xforce/xforce.html
>
> E Mail if intrested..., Thanks.

4044. Re: re the sbe landcommand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 00:43:00 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <H0w26.3514$n5.77833@news6.giganews.com>
Source record: 65478

That is a decent radio.

Talks well, but don't expect more than about 18 peaked watts out of it.

Midland / Cybernet chassis.

I would say MAX 200 bones for it

Toll Free

<phrawg@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:92ans3naa1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> it is an LCBS-8 i am wondering about. Any real value or unusual rig ?
> Has original desk mic and schematic and owners manual and 9 out of 10
> in condition. Have not seen any floating around on "the Bay" or other
> places. Thanks, The Phrawg
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

4045. Re: WTB power supply

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 00:45:16 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <w2w26.175726$DG3.3754066@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 65479

I saw the one Phil had.

Great power supply. I would recommend his anytime / anyday.

Toll Free

"Speedbuggy714" <galaxy1@zoomnet.net> wrote in message
news:NsY16.153$vd4.206163@nntp1.onemain.com...
> These may be over kill for your application but.....
>
> www.bcity.com/dino
>
>
>
> Swells8069 wrote in message
> <20001224164127.06499.00000031@ng-fw1.aol.com>...
> >15 or 20 amp. 13.8 v output
> >Steve
> >Swells8069@aol.com
>
>

4046. Re: Has anyone heard of a amp builder call pirate in california?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 00:47:14 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <l4w26.175728$DG3.3754295@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 65480

Your showing that you don't know what the fuck your talking about again.

It is better to be thought a fool, than to open your mouth and prove you
know as little about amps as JSCOTTY again.

Toll Free

(couldn't resist)

"Maul13" <maul13@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001218213726.04360.00005423@ng-cm1.aol.com...
> Ya and some of the biggest pieces of junk on the market also.....

4047. Re: LAME N.G./ I tried

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 00:49:26 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <q6w26.139778$IP1.4733215@news1.giganews.com>
Source record: 65481

Right there, right back.

Hey whatcha gonna do with that catfish, bone?

Toll, workin thiis 4CX5K monster on the gulf is gawn.

Toll Free

"brass" <cc@knology.net> wrote in message
news:3A3C6021.177B5CFA@knology.net...
> Been done for well over a year now . . .
>
> We just stop in to see our old and I did say OUR . . .
> and will say again OUR group . . .
>
> We all knew that this would happen
> so it did . . .
>
> Brass
>

4048. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 00:54:44 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001227195444.12660.00003614@nso-cd.aol.com>
Source record: 65483

In article <3A4A72B1.1B8373C1@hazzard.net>, joma <puter@hazzard.net> writes:

>I saw two postings from two people and traced them to AOL, does that
>mean they are actually one person?
>Frontiernet who is mainly East and deep South, are all over the east
>coast in case you didn't know. More than one subscriber is needed to
>turn a profit <grin>

And why pray tell do you feel the need to come to anyones rescue???
Are you just another incarnation of the same hatemonger?
CB RADIO DUMMY!!! NOT KWANZAA!!!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

4049. Re: Kwanzaa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 00:54:44 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001227195444.12660.00003613@nso-cd.aol.com>
Source record: 65485

In article <3A4A49A8.79C68363@pioneeris.net>, Preston
<juggernaut@pioneeris.net> writes:

>What's so sad and sick is a pagan ritual being performed during the Christmas
>season. That's what is *TRULY* sad and sick! I know people from various
>religions
>and backgrounds that are offended by the timing of Kwanzaa. Actually someone
>(a
>Hasidic) suggested to me that it should coincide with the cotton harvest :)
>

And by this statement you have PROVED that you are indeed a RACIST HATEMONGER
ON A CB NEWSGROUP!!!!
PLONK!!!!
Hope you know what that means!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

4050. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 00:54:45 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001227195445.12660.00003616@nso-cd.aol.com>
Source record: 65482

In article <3A4A461D.1BF93599@pioneeris.net>, Preston
<juggernaut@pioneeris.net> writes:

>Like I said, slavery was unfortunate. There were few white men who owned
>slaves,

But all white men benefitted from the fact that blacks were slaves. Hence if
you didn't own a slave you sure could treat them like slaves whether you owned
them or not! Or should I say mistreat them!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

4051. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 00:54:45 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001227195445.12660.00003615@nso-cd.aol.com>
Source record: 65484

In article <3A4A652D.71C778CC@smiles.net>, Lipmobile <tutt@smiles.net> writes:

>I did not see that anywhere, or could it be you are you just trying to
>twist words?
>Maybe you should go through the whole thread before posting... if you
>take the time to look, he has no problem with Hanukkah, just Kwanzaa.
>

Dont be an asshole hatemonger!
Why could he have no problem with one and have a problem with another.
That's like me saying that I like blacks, but I hate jews.
I like chinese, but I hate the japanese.
I like white guys with brown eyes, but I hate those with blue eyes!
Come on get real. It's just a friggin holiday!!!
Guess what??? You dont have to celebrate it if it offends you. Just like people
who dont want to celebrate other holidays dont have to!
Again I say to the sane folks...
WHAT THE HELL DOES THIS HAVE TO DO WITH CB???
Nuff Said!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

4052. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 01:38:51 UTC
From: Preston <juggernaut@pioneeris.net>
Message-ID: <3A4A99AB.804218CE@pioneeris.net>
Source record: 65487

Seems to be a rush to judgment and right away labeling me a hate monger. I don't have a problem with black people but you insist that others view me that way, why? Are you really the racist hate monger?
I still have not had a reasonable answer as to why Kwanzaa was piggybacked onto Hanukkah and Christmas.

COLD as ICE wrote:

> In article <3A4A72B1.1B8373C1@hazzard.net>, joma <puter@hazzard.net> writes:
>
> >I saw two postings from two people and traced them to AOL, does that
> >mean they are actually one person?
> >Frontiernet who is mainly East and deep South, are all over the east
> >coast in case you didn't know. More than one subscriber is needed to
> >turn a profit <grin>
>
> And why pray tell do you feel the need to come to anyones rescue???
> Are you just another incarnation of the same hatemonger?
> CB RADIO DUMMY!!! NOT KWANZAA!!!
>
> COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
> mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

4053. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 01:42:29 UTC
From: Preston <juggernaut@pioneeris.net>
Message-ID: <3A4A9A85.14884461@pioneeris.net>
Source record: 65488

There's that hate monger label again, I repeat - I have no problem with the black race but Kwanzaa is a major joke. It's easy to have a problem with one and not the other, I like Ford, Chevy, Nissan, Mitsubishi but Yugo was the dumbest thing going and it was a major stupid product. Now I guess you are going to say I hate Yugoslavians?

COLD as ICE wrote:

> In article <3A4A652D.71C778CC@smiles.net>, Lipmobile <tutt@smiles.net> writes:
>
> >I did not see that anywhere, or could it be you are you just trying to
> >twist words?
> >Maybe you should go through the whole thread before posting... if you
> >take the time to look, he has no problem with Hanukkah, just Kwanzaa.
> >
>
> Dont be an asshole hatemonger!
> Why could he have no problem with one and have a problem with another.
> That's like me saying that I like blacks, but I hate jews.
> I like chinese, but I hate the japanese.
> I like white guys with brown eyes, but I hate those with blue eyes!
> Come on get real. It's just a friggin holiday!!!
> Guess what??? You dont have to celebrate it if it offends you. Just like people
> who dont want to celebrate other holidays dont have to!
> Again I say to the sane folks...
> WHAT THE HELL DOES THIS HAVE TO DO WITH CB???
> Nuff Said!
>
> COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
> mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

4054. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 01:42:44 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a4a98bf.187294@news.cet.com>
Source record: 65486

On Wed, 27 Dec 2000 23:23:05 GMT, "Rat Bastard" <ratb@ratsnest.com>
wrote:

>You should follow your own lead Sparky the electrican disclose your shoddy
>credentials whats that you have none You sidestep the same questions you
>pose. What are your credentials??? you have brought up the credentials
>issue

And then I agreed with CLP that credentials don't matter, but
aparently they do. My credentials consist of the ability to form
complete sentences.

>You are a good sidestepper

Thank you, and you should write greeting cards.

Sparky, FLRC

4055. FS..BOOK"BREAKER,BREAKER,10-4"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 01:45:37 UTC
From: robco@optonline.net
Message-ID: <3a509bb3.33556083@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 65489

FS..Very nice copy of 25 year old book entitled 'BREAKER,
BREAKER, TEN-FOUR"...This is the book that got many of us started in
;CB radio...in very fine condition...$10.00 to your door via priority
mail.....please remove the (ns) from my adr when responding, or
just click on robco@optonline.net

4056. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 01:48:42 UTC
From: Preston <juggernaut@pioneeris.net>
Message-ID: <3A4A9BFA.6C8A6325@pioneeris.net>
Source record: 65490

Not so, it's like saying all of Europe benefited from Hitler. Some people did and some did not, most did not so you should not hold the innocent as guilty as the offenders just because of their circumstances put them into the same group. Not to mention this whole race thing is generations later. Were you a slave? Was your dad a slave? I think not, so why the hell would I owe you anything I worked for and is rightfully mine? If you find yourself falling behind, get off your ass and work for it the same way I did.

COLD as ICE wrote:

> But all white men benefitted from the fact that blacks were slaves. Hence if
> you didn't own a slave you sure could treat them like slaves whether you owned
> them or not! Or should I say mistreat them!
>

4057. kenwood ts 140s /wmc60

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 02:38:39 UTC
From: joe <cougar393@home.com>
Message-ID: <PIx26.9458$R62.84542@news1.wwck1.ri.home.com>
Source record: 65491

for sale kenwood ts140s in great shape with mc60 mic 350.00 email me at joecost@home.com

4058. Hello folks:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 02:43:34 UTC
From: "Paul H. Wray" <phwray@cros.net>
Message-ID: <3A4AA8D6.9534F5CA@cros.net>
Source record: 65493

I am trying to find an operator from England. He said he was listed
on the Alpha Tango "club". Can anyone help get a list of Alpha Tango
members?
Please respond directly to phwray@cros.net
Thank you Paul

4059. Re: WANTED: Pro-2026

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 02:45:29 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A4AA949.72CE3455@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65492

wired wrote:

> CD Burner Samsung 8x4x32

What model? What interface? What software?

4060. He's back-was: Was the guy who shot Reagan nuts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 02:49:13 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A4AAA29.83A03B60@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65495

found this posted in rec.radio.amateur.misc:

N8wwm wrote:
>
> I think not. Here's why...
>
> He didn't like Reagan and he wanted to screw Jodie Foster. Perfectly rational.

4061. Re: Pill??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 02:56:13 UTC
From: "Paul H. Wray" <phwray@cros.net>
Message-ID: <3A4AABCD.FC3B446D@cros.net>
Source record: 65496

This is the final power amplifier in a radio circuit. They look more like a watch battery than a "pill"

EarpluggerDX wrote:

> What's a pill??

4062. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 03:01:44 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3A4AAD18.4976@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 65494

Rat Bastard wrote:
You are probably using a
> MFJ analyzer which stands for mighty fine junk!

And it's a hell of a lot better than one of those glorified "Dosy"
meters. LOL!

4063. 972 Alaska

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 03:09:38 UTC
From: kpredwolf@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001227220938.23649.00000104@ng-bg1.aol.com>
Source record: 65497

anybody out there ever talked to 972 Hugh from Alaska and got his qsl card

4064. Re: Kwanzaa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 03:22:45 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a4ab363@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 65398

ChristMASS IS a pagan holiday. Pilgrims & Puritans didn't do it, neither did the early TRUE Church do it! The "Jerimiah Tree" came to the US in 1851 !!!!!
Dave!!!!!!

"COLD as ICE" <icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD> wrote in message news:20001227195444.12660.00003613@nso-cd.aol.com...
In article <3A4A49A8.79C68363@pioneeris.net>, Preston <juggernaut@pioneeris.net> writes:

>What's so sad and sick is a pagan ritual being performed during the Christmas
>season. That's what is *TRULY* sad and sick! I know people from various >religions
>and backgrounds that are offended by the timing of Kwanzaa. Actually someone
>(a
>Hasidic) suggested to me that it should coincide with the cotton harvest :)
>

And by this statement you have PROVED that you are indeed a RACIST HATEMONGER
ON A CB NEWSGROUP!!!!
PLONK!!!!
Hope you know what that means!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

4065. Re: Kwanzaa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 03:31:31 UTC
From: elmerpee@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92ec6kfbb1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65400

In article <3A4A3019.5DC1708B@pioneeris.net>,
Nick Preston <juggernaut@pioneeris.net> wrote:
> I think everyone agrees, except those without true meaning in their
> life, that Kwanzaa is a second rate celebration that has no real
rooted
> meaning. It is just a self-serving "celebration" with objects stolen
> from the genuine religions. But hey, if worshiping idols and
yourselves
> makes you feel like a better person, then go for it.
>
> poisenivy wrote:
>
> > I guess the Christmas day truce is over. LOL

I agree its a NIGGER Thing
>
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4066. Any thoughts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 03:34:51 UTC
From: ssb411d@cs.com
Message-ID: <20001227223451.16063.00000078@ng-fo1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 65399

I had previusly posted that this gent had toned down his site, however, I was in error. The voting button has reappeared and an "Unlicensed Radio Operator's" forum has been added. I'm curious, is this gent truly indicative of amateur ops' sentiments toward 11 meter ops? I want to get my Tech"+" and then my General. Should I keep my mouth shut regarding my 11 meter roots? I have to admit, I find it a bit disturbing. I'd like to believe most hams will extend a helping hand.

http://www.angelfire.com/hiphop/w7sns/w7sns.htm

73 de Bert
SSB411D

4067. Re: LINEARS AMPS FORSALE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 03:36:42 UTC
From: elmerpee@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92ecgafej1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65405

In article <92d9lp$j09$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <92cs3g$89e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> elmerpee@my-deja.com wrote:
> > Hey if you want a good deal on a Linear AMP 160-11 Meter {HAM USE
> ONLY|
> > You know what i mean Virn.Contact Good-Old Boy Justin Depolis at
email
> > justinde@pacbell.net Hey he has a PacBell email addres you might
get a
> > good HOT Computer CHEEP too.He gave me a good deal so want too pass
it
> > one too you.He NOT A HAM so he can get by with saleing these linears
> > for ham and cb radio.
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
> >
>
Hey Good Buddy Dan Dan the Monkey Man how many dick have you SUCK
today,Dum ass if you would READ befor you climb a damm tree you might
learn some thing.COCKSUCKER or in CW .... ..- -.-. -.- . -

> what the hell are you talking about??????? haven't you been reading
> the mail good buddy???, we are under hamster-weasel fink duress. we
> can't talk about such things around here. now if you were to say that
> this good buddy was selling, uh, qrp cb virgin radios,
> wellllllllllllllllllllll no problem,....cd is out...
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4068. Re: MARRIAGE THOUGHTS (The Man's Version)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 03:39:42 UTC
From: elmerpee@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92ecltfmq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65406

In article <20001227095001.21588.00003283@nso-fm.aol.com>,
icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD (COLD as ICE) wrote:
> Getting married is very much like going to a restaurant with friends.
> You order what you want, then when you see what the other person has,
you
> wish you had ordered that!
>
> * This one is especially true when the other "dish" is a hot and
creamy
> looking Italian dish and you got stuck with a "flabby" butt steak.
>
> At the cocktail party, one woman said to the other, "Aren't you
wearing your
> wedding ring on the wrong finger?" The other replied, "Yes, I am...I
married
> the wrong man!"
>
> * This is why some men have taken to wearing their wedding ring on
their
> middle finger. When their wife asks to see if they are wearing their
> wedding ring, they can show them exactly what they think.
>
> After a quarrel, a husband said to his wife, "You know, I was a fool
when I
> married you." She replied, Yes I know dear, but I was in love and
didn't
> notice.!"
>
> * While this one might be true, at least the men don't change ONCE
they are
> married or in a relationship. They are goofs to start off and that
remains
> the same. What defense do the "nice, sweet and loving" girls that we
> started dating have to say in their defense ???
>
> A lady put an ad in the classifieds: "Husband Wanted" Next day she
> received a hundred replies...They all said, " You can Have Mine!"
>
> * Women say things like that, but let them find out that your even
LOOKING
> at another woman and you'll NEVER hear the end of it.
>
> When a woman steals your husband, there is no better revenge than to
let her

> keep him.
>
> * A woman wouldn't need to "steal" a man if the one that he had at
home
> kept him "interested". After all, we know that most guys are lazy.
Why
> would we want to start all over at the beginning with someone new
when we've
> already got what we originally wanted at home ???
>
> Eighty per cent of all married men cheat in America the other 20
percent
> cheat in Europe.
>
> * MOST men cheat with women. Maybe the woman at home just doesn't
realize
> what she has while the "other woman" does. This brings to mind the
old
> saying "You don't know what you've got until you lose it"......
>
> Man is incomplete until he is married. Then he is finished.
>
> * Nuff said. What more could i add to that ???
>
> COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It
don't
> mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!
>
Hey Dude Find them Fuck Them Fool them Forget them the 4-F's CLUB

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4069. Re: RevDoGood@webtv.net (Northwest Corner)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 03:39:43 UTC
From: win7@webworkz.com
Message-ID: <3a4ab7e3_2@vienna7.his.com>
Source record: 65404

i have talked to some of the family on the phone..
duh ! do you think if i dodn't know they were balck that i wouldn't say so ?
damn !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
www.kp82.com wrote in message
<20001227154555.08798.00000044@ng-ff1.aol.com>...
>so if it would have been a picture of a white guy that would mean a black
one
>did it? i smell what your cooking and its making me sick. leave the
politicing
>to the trolls.
>
>
>
>>
>>So true!!!
>>I will go as far to say that if the pic was of a black guy then most
>>certainly
>>he is a white guy! What do you say about that! Can you smell what I am
>>cooking???
>
>
> 73 de Tim
> Knight Patrol #82
>http://www.kp82.com

4070. Re: Any thoughts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 03:44:58 UTC
From: Bobby N2BR <n2br@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <_Gy26.11$242.3281@news-west.eli.net>
Source record: 65401

Hi Burt
No problem with me rember most hams came from 11 meter and most hams still talk on 11meter i have no problem with CB'ers myself hey i was a CB'er one time myself.Welcome too Ham Radio enjoy the hobby its about haveing fun not too be rude too someone now matter if where they come from.

"SSB411D" <ssb411d@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20001227223451.16063.00000078@ng-fo1.news.cs.com...
> I had previusly posted that this gent had toned down his site, however, I
was
> in error. The voting button has reappeared and an "Unlicensed Radio
Operator's"
> forum has been added. I'm curious, is this gent truly indicative of
amateur
> ops' sentiments toward 11 meter ops? I want to get my Tech"+" and then my
> General. Should I keep my mouth shut regarding my 11 meter roots? I have
to
> admit, I find it a bit disturbing. I'd like to believe most hams will
extend a
> helping hand.
>
> http://www.angelfire.com/hiphop/w7sns/w7sns.htm
>
> 73 de Bert
> SSB411D

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.225 / Virus Database: 107 - Release Date: 12/22/00

4071. Re: He's back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 03:45:02 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001227224502.13017.00000033@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 65402

I was never gone dork breath...it was a Christmas truce.

4072. WTB: BASE STATION CB RADIO'S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 03:45:11 UTC
From: wasupdare@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001227224511.01944.00000126@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Source record: 65403

If you have any Cobra, President, Browning, or Tram cb base stations that are just lying around taking up space and you want to sell them please email me at Wasupdare@aol.com. Any working condition, as long as they are cosmetically good. Thank you.

4073. Re: MARRIAGE THOUGHTS (The Man's Version)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 03:54:42 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92edi1$g7p$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65407

In article <92ecltfmq1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
elmerpee@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <20001227095001.21588.00003283@nso-fm.aol.com>,
> icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD (COLD as ICE) wrote:
> > Getting married is very much like going to a restaurant with
friends.
> > You order what you want, then when you see what the other person
has,
> you
> > wish you had ordered that!
> >
> > * This one is especially true when the other "dish" is a hot and
> creamy
> > looking Italian dish and you got stuck with a "flabby" butt steak.
> >
> > At the cocktail party, one woman said to the other, "Aren't you
> wearing your
> > wedding ring on the wrong finger?" The other replied, "Yes, I am...I
> married
> > the wrong man!"
> >
> > * This is why some men have taken to wearing their wedding ring on
> their
> > middle finger. When their wife asks to see if they are wearing
their
> > wedding ring, they can show them exactly what they think.
> >
> > After a quarrel, a husband said to his wife, "You know, I was a fool
> when I
> > married you." She replied, Yes I know dear, but I was in love and
> didn't
> > notice.!"
> >
> > * While this one might be true, at least the men don't change ONCE
> they are
> > married or in a relationship. They are goofs to start off and that
> remains
> > the same. What defense do the "nice, sweet and loving" girls that
we
> > started dating have to say in their defense ???
> >
> > A lady put an ad in the classifieds: "Husband Wanted" Next day she
> > received a hundred replies...They all said, " You can Have Mine!"
> >

> > * Women say things like that, but let them find out that your even
> LOOKING
> > at another woman and you'll NEVER hear the end of it.
> >
> > When a woman steals your husband, there is no better revenge than to
> let her
> > keep him.
> >
> > * A woman wouldn't need to "steal" a man if the one that he had at
> home
> > kept him "interested". After all, we know that most guys are lazy.
> Why
> > would we want to start all over at the beginning with someone new
> when we've
> > already got what we originally wanted at home ???
> >
> > Eighty per cent of all married men cheat in America the other 20
> percent
> > cheat in Europe.
> >
> > * MOST men cheat with women. Maybe the woman at home just doesn't
> realize
> > what she has while the "other woman" does. This brings to mind the
> old
> > saying "You don't know what you've got until you lose it"......
> >
> > Man is incomplete until he is married. Then he is finished.
> >
> > * Nuff said. What more could i add to that ???
> >
> > COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says..
It
> don't
> > mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!
> >
> Hey Dude Find them Fuck Them Fool them Forget them the 4-F's CLUB
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

and you sir are a pathetic individual who doesn't have a clue about life or anything else worthwhile. in the old days scumbags like you used to try and hang around my channel and were told how to act, what to say, and when to talk, by me and that is a fact jack. can you say boner, i knew you could. look it up in your dictionary asshole and tell me what it means. that is if you can read, which is sincerely doubtful and considering your sorry ass, is in all probability(at least the way things are these days), a , God help me here, a.........., a high screwal graduate, well that is about par for the course. i tell you

what dipstick, why don't you go and pay some hamster asshole some money and get your little bitty techy license and be a hamster mudduck. i personally think you would be a absolutely pleasant addition to the two meters area,......this is cd and i am back out..........

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4074. Re: He's back-was: Was the guy who shot Reagan nuts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 03:56:49 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92edlv$ga6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65409

In article <3A4AAA29.83A03B60@hotmail.com>,
"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
> found this posted in rec.radio.amateur.misc:
>
> N8wwm wrote:
> >
> > I think not. Here's why...
> >
> > He didn't like Reagan and he wanted to screw Jodie Foster.
Perfectly rational.
>

well n8 may find it perfectly rational but i don't . matter of fact,
that computer geek who killed all those people yesterday in boston,
looks like n8 with a beard. imagine that,.....cd is out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4075. Re: Hello folks:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 04:01:14 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92edu7gkf1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65410
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

In article <3A4AA8D6.9534F5CA@cros.net>,
"Paul H. Wray" wrote:
>
> --------------B2889F12D5FBFFD87E375A45
> Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>
> I am trying to find an operator from England. He said he was
listed
> on the Alpha Tango "club". Can anyone help get a list of Alpha Tango
> members?
> Please respond directly to phwray@cros.net
> Thank you Paul
>
> --------------B2889F12D5FBFFD87E375A45
> Content-Type: text/html; charset=us-ascii
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>
>
>
> I am trying to find an operator from England. He
> said he was listed on the Alpha Tango "club". Can anyone help get a
list
> of Alpha Tango members?
>
Please respond directly to phwray@cros.net
>
Thank you Paul
>
> --------------B2889F12D5FBFFD87E375A45--
>
>

sir talk to bob on 27.777 usb. he can help you out with your search for
a alpha tango member, comode, comode,......cd is out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4076. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 04:07:49 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <p0z26.7417$bU.538896@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 65408

At least this thread is not of N8 what's - his - name's making ....

Hey, have a safe and happy new year!
(get any radidio goodies for Christmas?)

Jim (in Rochester, NY)

"COLD as ICE" <icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD> wrote in message
news:20001227134654.12660.00003595@nso-cd.aol.com...
> In article <3A49F2E8.BAC521CC@netscape.net>, Bill <reaper@netscape.net>
writes:
>
> >Christmas and Hanukkah should have
> >been left alone, and Kwanzaa fit in somewhere else on the calendar since
it
> >is
> >a non-religious holiday that anyone can celebrate anyway.
>
> Oh so now you like Hanukkah????
> If you like one then like them all or shut the hell up and have a happy
new
> year!
>
> COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It
don't
> mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

4077. cobra 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 04:11:16 UTC
From: cbdxer@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001227231116.20858.00007829@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 65411

I have a new cobra 2000 in the box. It was only taken out to test that it was working and put back into the closet for many years. It is in the original carton and packing material. Does anyone know how much this radio is worth? Thanks, Bill

4078. Re: Kwanzaa -The Simple TRUTH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 04:17:00 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <09z26.7429$bU.542539@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 65412

Curious -

Maybe I can help. I ran into a program called ad-aware. It is freeware (nice!) and I believe I got it off ZD-net. Look up zd-net.com and check out the PC downloads. You can search for ad-aware. I tried it and the darn thing found something like 15 spyware programs in my system and 40 files. It automatically deleted all. This did result in Freelane and Juno no longer working, but I still can get the e-mail off both through the AT&T account. I can also reinstall them if I wish. Everything else works fine - and I'd bet that gets rid of your advertising scripts! Look it up on zd-net and if you can't find it, e-mail me at j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net and I'll see if I have the installation file somewhere on my hard drive (if not, I'll check the other favorite sites, but I think you'll find it).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

<curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:92d5cv$fg7$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <20001227101401.21588.00003287@nso-fm.aol.com>,
> icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD (COLD as ICE) wrote:
> > In article <3A49F92B.EDA6EDE4@pioneeris.net>, Nick Preston
> > <juggernaut@pioneeris.net> writes:
> >
> > >It was thought up by a wacko black racist and purposely put the day
> after
> > >Christmas to try and detract from this Christian holiday.
> >
> > Most Blacks are Christian and.....
> > What the heck does this have to do with CB RADIOS????
> >
> > COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It
> don't
> > mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!
> >
>
> hey cold, i have a pooter question for ya. somehow, when i downloaded a
> copy of explorer 5.5 from microsoft, included with it much to my
> chagrin, is about three advertising scripts that immediately start when
> you start explorer. is there anyway i can get rid of these damn
> things? they are most annoying. i have looked in windows explorer for
> them, and damn it i can't find the files, indeed, if they are in files.
> anyways. thanks,.....cd is out...
>
>

> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

4079. FA: Galaxy DX 99v & D-104 mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 04:24:39 UTC
From: SwTempo <swtempo@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <gMBKOnXFJdY0wJj82IEK3n6nA4Kb@4ax.com>
Source record: 65413

http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/47481747

4080. FA Ranger 2970

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 04:36:03 UTC
From: SwTempo <swtempo@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <wsJKOjL3h3Xw1qP7=dxWmLsQmDqY@4ax.com>
Source record: 65414

http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/47486720

4081. Re: Any thoughts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 04:46:30 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <5yz26.11755$Fr.237624@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 65415

"SSB411D" <ssb411d@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20001227223451.16063.00000078@ng-fo1.news.cs.com...
> I had previusly posted that this gent had toned down his site, however,
I was
> in error. The voting button has reappeared and an "Unlicensed Radio
Operator's"
> forum has been added. I'm curious, is this gent truly indicative of
amateur
> ops' sentiments toward 11 meter ops? I want to get my Tech"+" and then
my
> General. Should I keep my mouth shut regarding my 11 meter roots? I have
to
> admit, I find it a bit disturbing. I'd like to believe most hams will
extend a
> helping hand.

By and large, we will -- some, of course, more than others. In general your 11 meter background is a problem only if you make it one by assuming it has any relevance whatsoever. Might I suggest you add element 3, the General, to your studying. It is essentially a rules and regs section in which the FCC takes you thru all of the nice ways you can get into trouble and discharges its legal and international responsibilities. You really can't practice code more than an hour a day, Element 2 is trivial, and Part 97 makes admirable bathroom reading. Pass the General and you are in the fraternity.

If you haven't found it yet go to http://www.ah0a.org. Joe Speroni's Ham Academy and Morse Academy are first-rate. Some good practice is on http://www.qrz.com. I will open my spam filter for you so feel free to ring my chimes if need be.

Dick McCollum NBK

4082. Cobra 1000gtl, 2000gtl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 04:57:59 UTC
From: dave4eal@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001227235759.03802.00000227@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Source record: 65416

I am looking to buy nonworking cobra base stations. If you have one that you want to sell, and it is in good physical shape. Please email me. Thank you.

4083. FS-Siltronix 1011D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 05:27:18 UTC
From: jeffmayner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92eivj$k6c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65418

Thrown off Ebay with day left to go. Total at time of cancellation was $202.00. First offer at $200.00 will get the radio and I will pay the shipping. Radio is a very clean example. 8950 is strong. Tunes up great. Minimal drift. I sold the D-104 I had been using but I will include another
Non-amplified D-104 and plug to wire up. Pictures upon request. Reply to (bk43@pacbell.net). All legitimate inquiries will be considered. Heck, even the
illegitimate ones will be. ;-)
One question: Are STOCK 1011D's considered an illegal radio or, has eBay
just gone completely off the deep end?

Jeff Mayner
Ventura, CA

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4084. Re: Any thoughts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 05:33:20 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92ejb0kgl1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65419

In article <_Gy26.11$242.3281@news-west.eli.net>,
"Bobby N2BR" <n2br@citlink.net> wrote:
> Hi Burt
> No problem with me rember most hams came from 11 meter and most hams
still
> talk on 11meter i have no problem with CB'ers myself hey i was a
CB'er one
> time myself.Welcome too Ham Radio enjoy the hobby its about haveing
fun not
> too be rude too someone now matter if where they come from.
>
> "SSB411D" <ssb411d@cs.com> wrote in message
> news:20001227223451.16063.00000078@ng-fo1.news.cs.com...
> > I had previusly posted that this gent had toned down his site,
however, I
> was
> > in error. The voting button has reappeared and an "Unlicensed Radio
> Operator's"
> > forum has been added. I'm curious, is this gent truly indicative of
> amateur
> > ops' sentiments toward 11 meter ops? I want to get my Tech"+" and
then my
> > General. Should I keep my mouth shut regarding my 11 meter roots? I
have
> to
> > admit, I find it a bit disturbing. I'd like to believe most hams
will
> extend a
> > helping hand.
> >
> > http://www.angelfire.com/hiphop/w7sns/w7sns.htm
> >
> > 73 de Bert
> > SSB411D
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.225 / Virus Database: 107 - Release Date: 12/22/00
>
>

sir, sir , please, please . you know i will go with the idea that you
think that most hams used to be cbers, but aren't you stretching it a

bit to say, that most hams talk on cb radios? if indeed this is the case, and i submit to you, that is not the case whatsoever. after 20 some odd years of talking on cb radios, i could count on one hand the number of hams who talked with regularity on cb radios in my area. and my area shall we say was a hotbed of cb radio activity up until about 1993 or so, then it started tailing off. but my point is this. why should they talk on a cb radio??? all the skip, noise, etc, etc. geez, if i could go somewhere quiet and carry on a decent conversation and all i had to do was say my call , every ten minutes, then why in the sam hill would i return to my, as you say, roots. no sir, hams don't talk on cb radios and if they do, then they obviously like it better than the ham bands, which is a point that has been broached out here before. which i think is something to be said about anyone thinking that for one minute, by getting uncle to say you are cool because you have a license, that everything will be better. sir, may i remind you , that there are places on the ham bands that make cb look like child's play, with the threats and the cussing and whatnot. no cb is its own world, and when you guys come down to play, then you must love it and you must love it all and not try and change it and make it something it is not. a controlled atmosphere, where all the creative roots are squelched. in cb the end does jusify the means, and if you can do it, then you can do it and you don't need anyone looking over your shoulder to tell you how to do it because you already know how, even without a danm elmer. you guys constantly come on here, complaining about the usage of amps, yet, you want to talk on your cb radios. this while you guys also use what may be construed by any level headed observer, illegal amps utilizing, to wit, 3000a7 tubes and triple 500z boxes. of course i know, that is so you can bust through the qrm pileups, i believe , you guys call it. yeh , we all know about those damn qrm pileups don't we??? and of course you bleed all over your neighbor's stuff, but that is ok isn't it? because you have a piece of paper that says its alright for you to do so, so you do it. now isn't that special. so i think it is imperative to understand that this cb radio as you call it, is a living breathing hobby, and it undergoes changes and since God knows how long, it has not been the habitat of qrp radios, but of radio outlaws, freebanders and assorted good buddies on channel 19 and other mudducks. so tell me its not so bob. do ham extra class operators , you know, the ones that have been at it for a while? do they really talk on cb radios??? i think not,.....cd is out....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4085. Re: Hey Mod Squad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 05:37:59 UTC
From: Mod Squad <modsquad@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <XkA26.36418$Z36.158881@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 65417

I got it i tried to hook up with you guys but conditions wasn't going your way maybe next time.

<Two-Five-seven@webtv.net> wrote in message news:19674-3A4A768A-43@storefull-148.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Did you get that nickel I rolled you're way?
>
> 257 NH. On the wheels
>

4086. Re: FS-Siltronix 1011D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 05:43:57 UTC
From: Joe <joeamp@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <92ejt9$j4n$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 65420

Hope you registered with a business address, you may have some visitors soon

jeffmayner@my-deja.com wrote in message <92eivj$k6c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>Thrown off Ebay with day left to go. Total at time of cancellation was
>$202.00. First offer at $200.00 will get the radio and I will pay the
>shipping. Radio is a very clean example. 8950 is strong. Tunes up great.
>Minimal drift. I sold the D-104 I had been using but I will include
>another
>Non-amplified D-104 and plug to wire up. Pictures upon request. Reply
>to (bk43@pacbell.net). All legitimate inquiries will be considered.
>Heck, even the
>illegitimate ones will be. ;-)
> One question: Are STOCK 1011D's considered an illegal radio or, has
>eBay
>just gone completely off the deep end?
>
>Jeff Mayner
>Ventura, CA
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com
>http://www.deja.com/

4087. Re: Kwanzaa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 05:46:08 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4lkt2lkv011e2@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65421

LOL...
Genuine Religion...

LOL

Jeff

"Nick Preston" <juggernaut@pioneeris.net> wrote in message
news:3A4A3019.5DC1708B@pioneeris.net...
> I think everyone agrees, except those without true meaning in their
> life, that Kwanzaa is a second rate celebration that has no real rooted
> meaning. It is just a self-serving "celebration" with objects stolen
> from the genuine religions. But hey, if worshiping idols and yourselves
> makes you feel like a better person, then go for it.
>
> poisenivy wrote:
>
> > I guess the Christmas day truce is over. LOL
>

4088. Re: Kwanzaa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 05:48:55 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4ll29bv5b0g37@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65422

Do you know anything about history, or are you blinded by your sect's lies?
LOL

Jeff

"Preston" <juggernaut@pioneeris.net> wrote in message
news:3A4A49A8.79C68363@pioneeris.net...
> What's so sad and sick is a pagan ritual being performed during the
Christmas
> season. That's what is *TRULY* sad and sick! I know people from various
religions
> and backgrounds that are offended by the timing of Kwanzaa. Actually
someone (a
> Hasidic) suggested to me that it should coincide with the cotton harvest
:)
>
> COLD as ICE wrote:
>
> > In article <3A4A3019.5DC1708B@pioneeris.net>, Nick Preston
> > <juggernaut@pioneeris.net> writes:
> >
> > >I think everyone agrees, except those without true meaning in their
> > >life, that Kwanzaa is a second rate celebration that has no real rooted
> > >meaning. It is just a self-serving "celebration" with objects stolen
> > >from the genuine religions. But hey, if worshiping idols and yourselves
> > >makes you feel like a better person, then go for it.
> >
> > What a sad and sick individual!
> > If people dont agree with your opinion about Kwanzaa (which has no real
place
> > in this newsgroup) then they must be "without true meaning in their
lives"
> > Wow!!
> > How much worse can it get for you with the fake email address!
> >
> > COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It
don't
> > mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!
>

4089. Re: Kwanzaa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 05:50:55 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4ll62sch47f75@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65423

That's probably the most informed, non-cb statement, I've ever heard you utter, Dave. You're pretty fly for a white guy!
;-)

Jeff

"Dave or Debby" <truth@planetc.com> wrote in message news:3a4ab363@news.planetc.com...
> ChristMASS IS a pagan holiday. Pilgrims & Puritans didn't do it, neither
> did the early TRUE Church do it! The "Jerimiah Tree" came to the US in 1851
> !!!!!
> Dave!!!!!!
>
> "COLD as ICE" <icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD> wrote in message
> news:20001227195444.12660.00003613@nso-cd.aol.com...
> In article <3A4A49A8.79C68363@pioneeris.net>, Preston
> <juggernaut@pioneeris.net> writes:
>
> >What's so sad and sick is a pagan ritual being performed during the
> Christmas
> >season. That's what is *TRULY* sad and sick! I know people from various
> >religions
> >and backgrounds that are offended by the timing of Kwanzaa. Actually
> someone
> >(a
> >Hasidic) suggested to me that it should coincide with the cotton harvest
> :)
> >
>
> And by this statement you have PROVED that you are indeed a RACIST
> HATEMONGER
> ON A CB NEWSGROUP!!!!
> PLONK!!!!
> Hope you know what that means!
>
> COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
> mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!
>
>

4090. Re: He's back-was: Was the guy who shot Reagan nuts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 06:02:58 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4llskqa5lf933@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65424

<curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:92edlv$ga6$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <3A4AAA29.83A03B60@hotmail.com>,
> "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > found this posted in rec.radio.amateur.misc:
> >
> > N8wwm wrote:
> > >
> > > I think not. Here's why...
> > >
> > > He didn't like Reagan and he wanted to screw Jodie Foster.
> Perfectly rational.
> >
>
> well n8 may find it perfectly rational but i don't . matter of fact,
> that computer geek who killed all those people yesterday in boston,
> looks like n8 with a beard. imagine that,.....cd is out.....

Goddamn it!
I knew I'd seen that motherhumper before!
LOL

Jeff

>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

4091. Re: cobra 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 06:09:02 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4lm7vrf7p2j2c@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65425

If it's as you say then you can get a pretty good chunk 'o change for that radio. I've seen good to very good examples go for 300 to 400 bucks. If your 's is really brand new, who knows? I'd venture to say around 500?
Good luck.

Jeff

"CB DXER" <cbdxer@aol.com> wrote in message news:20001227231116.20858.00007829@ng-fc1.aol.com...
> I have a new cobra 2000 in the box. It was only taken out to test that it was
> working and put back into the closet for many years. It is in the original
> carton and packing material. Does anyone know how much this radio is worth?
> Thanks, Bill

4092. Re: Johnson transciever tester

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 06:11:28 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4lmcid30ksq9b@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65426

"Hillbilly Dave" <******davesradio@alltel.net******> wrote in message
news:92e046$n1s$1@iac5.navix.net...
> they were real popular back in the 60's....also thier was a laffette and a
> courier port a lab tester...

Yup. I had one a while ago but sold it. Instruction/Owner's Manual too. Good golly am I stupid for not copying that damn thing, or what? ;-)

jeff

>
> dave
>
> Dana <dana@randomc.com> wrote in message
> news:Pine.GSO.4.10.10012271811430.19185-100000@cluster2...
> > How about that? never heard of one of those either.
> >
> > On 27 Dec 2000, Dave4eal wrote:
> >
> > > Date: 27 Dec 2000 05:16:44 GMT
> > > From: Dave4eal <dave4eal@aol.com>
> > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> > > Subject: Johnson transciever tester
> > >
> > > Does anyone have an operating guide or owners manual for a Johnson
> tranciever
> > > tester. Is there any where I could download operating istructions for
> this
> > > equipment? If you can help me please email me. Thank you in advance.
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>

4093. Re: Any thoughts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 06:47:25 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <92enlt$1kd$7@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 65431

SSB411D <ssb411d@cs.com> wrote:
:I had previously posted that this gent had toned down his site, however, I was
:in error. The voting button has reappeared and an "Unlicensed Radio Operator's"
:forum has been added. I'm curious, is this gent truly indicative of amateur
:ops' sentiments toward 11 meter ops? I want to get my Tech"+" and then my
:General. Should I keep my mouth shut regarding my 11 meter roots? I have to
:admit, I find it a bit disturbing. I'd like to believe most hams will extend a
:helping hand.

Most will be more than willing to help - even if you are not a Ham, as long
as what you want to do is legal.

No, he is not typical - although there are far more like him than there
should be.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

4094. Re: X Force Amplifier for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 06:50:01 UTC
From: softtail423@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001228015001.03725.00000296@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 65427

Maybe more like $600.00 dickhead!!!!Those are the best amps out there and with the best biasing in the us!!!

423

4095. Re: FS-Siltronix 1011D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 06:51:21 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <92ent9$1kd$8@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 65432

jeffmayner@my-deja.com wrote:

: One question: Are STOCK 1011D's considered an illegal radio or, has
: eBay
: just gone completely off the deep end?

Siltronix 1011s are legal for Amateurs, but illegal for CB.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

4096. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 06:52:02 UTC
From: softtail423@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001228015202.03725.00000297@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 65428

Thats cause no one whats them get a life!!

423

4097. Re: 27.555

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 06:56:25 UTC
From: riradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20001228015625.25981.00003877@ng-mf1.aol.com>
Source record: 65429

>it sounds like the sidebanders have took 27.555 over again..

Anything above channel 34, you should expect SSB activity...
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=
http://www.riradiobuff.com
To reply, remove "spamsux" from the email address.
2 meter all mode email reflector: http://egroups.com/group/2mallmode
New England Ham email reflector: http://egroups.com/group/newenglandham

4098. Re: Any thoughts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 06:58:53 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A4AE4AD.E4D74E2D@oio.net>
Source record: 65430

curious_dan,
I certainly can't speak for all of them, but I can say
that I do talk on the CB band occasionally. Why? Because
I have friends there.
'Doc

4099. Re: Any thoughts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 08:16:26 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92essoqrn1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65433

>/www.angelfire.com/hiphop/w7sns/w7sns.htm
>

My impression is that this ham appears to be concerned about illegal incursions onto the 10 meter band. I don't think he just hates CBers for the sake of hating CBers - as some of you erroneously suggest.

Aaron

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4100. Re: Illegal X Force Amplifier for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 08:18:16 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92et07$qs7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65434

In article <20001228015001.03725.00000296@ng-md1.aol.com>,
softtail423@aol.com (Softtail423) wrote:
> Maybe more like $600.00 dickhead!!!!Those are the best amps out there
and with
> the best biasing in the us!!!
>
> 423
>

What about the $10,000 fine for illegal use?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4101. Illegals Need A N.G.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 08:24:30 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92etbs$s6e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65435

In article <X1g_5.1442$rE1.165573@news1.epix.net>,
"phoneman" <phoneman01@hotmail.com> wrote:
> The cb'ers need to start a moderated group to keep the unwanted
> posts and those posting out of the NG. Namely you know who.
> Very simple solution.
> Clip
>
> Programbo5 <programbo5@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20001130220922.20357.00002449@ng-bj1.aol.com...
> > >From: "-=PEAKABOO=-" peakaboo@keydowns.com
> >
> > >This NG gets lamer everyday. What ever happened to the good amp
arguments
> we
> > >used to have?
> >
> > I tried to start a Keydown message board/chat room but no one posted
> messages
> > or everyone was in the chat at different times....My intent was to
make it
> a
> > place for all the amp guys to have it out or discuss set-ups
>
>

Phoneman and Brass: are you guys still in the illegal amp manufacturring
business?

Aaron

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4102. Re: FS-Siltronix 1011D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 09:30:14 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4m21e5dk8dn0d@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65436

"Joe" <joeamp@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:92ejt9$j4n$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> Hope you registered with a business address, you may have some visitors
soon

Why is that Joe?

Jeff

>
> jeffmayner@my-deja.com wrote in message <92eivj$k6c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> >Thrown off Ebay with day left to go. Total at time of cancellation was
> >$202.00. First offer at $200.00 will get the radio and I will pay the
> >shipping. Radio is a very clean example. 8950 is strong. Tunes up great.
> >Minimal drift. I sold the D-104 I had been using but I will include
> >another
> >Non-amplified D-104 and plug to wire up. Pictures upon request. Reply
> >to (bk43@pacbell.net). All legitimate inquiries will be considered.
> >Heck, even the
> >illegitimate ones will be. ;-)
> > One question: Are STOCK 1011D's considered an illegal radio or, has
> >eBay
> >just gone completely off the deep end?
> >
> >Jeff Mayner
> >Ventura, CA
> >
> >
> >Sent via Deja.com
> >http://www.deja.com/
>
>

4103. Re: cobra 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 11:49:01 UTC
From: labtech1@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <20001228064901.07706.00011620@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 65437

>Subject: cobra 2000

>From: cbdxer@aol.com (CB DXER)

>I have a new cobra 2000 in the box. It was only taken out to test that it
>was
>working and put back into the closet for many years. It is in the original
>carton and packing material. Does anyone know how much this radio is worth?

Its not worth anything..... BUT if you send it to me and pay me $20 to take
it, I'll help you make some room in your closet (LOL)

labtech1@nospam.aol.com

4104. Re: RevDoGood@webtv.net (Northwest Corner)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 12:35:33 UTC
From: wpbrock3rd@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001228073533.24924.00000324@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 65438

That's funny, a few people thought I was black, til they meet me at the breaks, but none the less, crooks have no color! they come in all sizes, shapes and yes, color.

I suggest that in the future you be a little smarter about how you do business.
"a fool and his money will soon depart"

>i have talked to some of the family on the phone..
>duh ! do you think if i dodn't know they were balck that i wouldn't say so ?
>damn !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>www.kp82.com wrote in message
><20001227154555.08798.00000044@ng-ff1.aol.com>...
>>so if it would have been a picture of a white guy that would mean a black
>one
>>did it? i smell what your cooking and its making me sick. leave the
>politicing
>>to the trolls.
>>
>>
>>
>>>
>>>So true!!!
>>>I will go as far to say that if the pic was of a black guy then most
>>>certainly
>>>he is a white guy! What do you say about that! Can you smell what I am
>>>cooking???
>>
>>
>> 73 de Tim
>> Knight Patrol #82
>>http://www.kp82.com
>
>
>

4105. Re: FS-Siltronix 1011D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 13:34:08 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19793-3A4B4150-4@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65439

Yea, Joe! I'd like to know also, why would he get visitors?

4106. Re: Any thoughts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 13:53:32 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19792-3A4B45DC-11@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65445

SSB411, this guy is no way indicative of most hammies, although he certainly may have a following among a few of the "gray matter deficiency" afficionados. More than likely a cber ticked him off in one way or another (keyed on him for preaching, stole his wife, slept with his daughters, beat up his son, etc.) and this is actually a sad attempt at getting even. See, folks like this who lash out at a specific target without a real, intended and stated legitimate purpose are usually self-projecting, are slightly aware of it, and somehow receive an inner ego boost by attacking those who they are in envy of the most and are closet admirers of said subject. Happens consistently in here, just look around, we have a few insecure loco's in here, but we got 'em on a string, right where we want them. Don't let hate mongrels dissuade your decision to become a hammie. Do what you want because YOU want to do it, and the rest be damned.

4107. Re: cobra 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 13:58:53 UTC
From: youknowitoke@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001228085853.17251.00001399@ng-xa1.aol.com>
Source record: 65440

you have a gold mine as far as CB band base stations go, if you can spare the room, save it for atleast a few more years, if not then put it up for sale "best offer" you can get a nice buck.
(
)
<ZZZZZZZZZZ>
Spark It Up!
Youknowitoke@aol.com

4108. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 14:01:58 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001228090158.00798.00003334@nso-ff.aol.com>
Source record: 65441

The question has always been to that newbie....

WHAT THE HELL DOES THIS HAVE TO DO WITH CB RADIO!
Perhaps when that is answered we can successfully move on!
Until then ....
PLONK!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

4109. Re: X Force Amplifier for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 14:01:58 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001228090158.00798.00003335@nso-ff.aol.com>
Source record: 65442

In article <20001228015001.03725.00000296@ng-md1.aol.com>, softtail423@aol.com (Softtail423) writes:

>Maybe more like $600.00 dickhead!!!!Those are the best amps out there and
>with
>the best biasing in the us!!!

Oh it's just a silver davemade!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

4110. Re: RevDoGood@webtv.net (Northwest Corner)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 14:01:58 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001228090158.00798.00003336@nso-ff.aol.com>
Source record: 65443

In article <20001228073533.24924.00000324@ng-cg1.aol.com>, wpbrock3rd@aol.com (WPBROCK3RD) writes:

>That's funny, a few people thought I was black, til they meet me at the
>breaks,
>but none the less, crooks have no color! they come in all sizes, shapes and
>yes, color.
>
> I suggest that in the future you be a little smarter about how you do
>business.
>"a fool and his money will soon depart"

AND THAT IS THE ABSOLUTE TRUTH!!!!!!!!!!!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

4111. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 14:04:12 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19792-3A4B485C-12@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65446

Yea, what he said! You don't like it, don't celebrate it. We have an "Arbor Day" each year, do you run out and plant friggin' trees? If it don't apply, throw it out the window. A radio transmit holiday, once a year, a 24 hour amnesty session that includes a suspension of rules, now that's a holiday.

4112. Re: WTB: BASE STATION CB RADIO'S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 14:05:19 UTC
From: youknowitoke@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001228090519.17251.00001400@ng-xa1.aol.com>
Source record: 65444

You should drop a line to cbdxer@aol.com about his cobra 2000

.>If you have any Cobra, President, Browning, or Tram cb base stations that
>are
>just lying around taking up space and you want to sell them please email me
>at
>Wasupdare@aol.com. Any working condition, as long as they are cosmetically
>good. Thank you.

(
)
<ZZZZZZZZZZ>
Spark It Up!
Youknowitoke@aol.com

4113. Re: Is X-Force that bad???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 14:42:46 UTC
From: carriagecarwash@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001228094246.07659.00000171@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 65447

>Re: Is X-Force that bad???
>From: softtail423@aol.com (Softtail423)

>Thats cause no one whats them get a life!!

Whats them??...hooked on phonics didnt work for you.

937....chicago

4114. Re: X Force Amplifier for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 14:48:04 UTC
From: carriagecarwash@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001228094804.07659.00000172@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 65548

>Re: X Force Amplifier for Sale
>From: "Toll Free" tollfree@bigradios.com

>Pity you wont take what it is worth.
>
>I paid 350 for my last 8 pill.
>
>
>Toll Free

$350.00!!!!....Wow thats an awesome price. How is that white tornado for dx???

937...chicago

4115. Re: Kwanzaa -The Simple TRUTH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 14:58:07 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92fkdrbrq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65549

In article <09z26.7429$bU.542539@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> Curious -
>
> Maybe I can help. I ran into a program called ad-aware. It is
freeware
> (nice!) and I believe I got it off ZD-net. Look up zd-net.com and
check out
> the PC downloads. You can search for ad-aware. I tried it and the
darn
> thing found something like 15 spyware programs in my system and 40
files.
> It automatically deleted all. This did result in Freelane and Juno no
> longer working, but I still can get the e-mail off both through the
AT&T
> account. I can also reinstall them if I wish. Everything else works
fine -
> and I'd bet that gets rid of your advertising scripts! Look it up on
zd-net
> and if you can't find it, e-mail me at j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net
and I'll
> see if I have the installation file somewhere on my hard drive (if
not, I'll
> check the other favorite sites, but I think you'll find it).
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
> <curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:92d5cv$fg7$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > In article <20001227101401.21588.00003287@nso-fm.aol.com>,
> > icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD (COLD as ICE) wrote:
> > > In article <3A49F92B.EDA6EDE4@pioneeris.net>, Nick Preston
> > > <juggernaut@pioneeris.net> writes:
> > >
> > > >It was thought up by a wacko black racist and purposely put the
day
> > after
> > > > >Christmas to try and detract from this Christian holiday.
> > >
> > > Most Blacks are Christian and.....
> > > What the heck does this have to do with CB RADIOS????
> > >
> > > COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES

says.. It
> > don't
> > > mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!
> > >
> >
> > hey cold, i have a pooter question for ya. somehow, when i
downloaded a
> > copy of explorer 5.5 from microsoft, included with it much to my
> > chagrin, is about three advertising scripts that immediately start
when
> > you start explorer. is there anyway i can get rid of these damn
> > things? they are most annoying. i have looked in windows explorer
for
> > them, and damn it i can't find the files, indeed, if they are in
files.
> > anyways. thanks,.......cd is out...
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>
>

well james, that is a good program. i downloaded it and it took off
about 10 spy programs that i wasn't aware of. cool. however it didn't
get that damn brouser enhancement thing. it is called gohip and as soon
as i figure out how these things work, i will get it reoved. i
recommend steve gibson over at gibson research, grc.com,. he is hot on
the trail about this intrusive spyware stuff. i am all for individual
rights and privacy. i think it is disgusting for these companies to
follow you around on the net, taking notes on what you do and where you
go,.........cd is out.........

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4116. Re: Any thoughts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 15:00:37 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92fkih$c5m$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65550

In article <19792-3A4B45DC-11@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
> SSB411, this guy is no way indicative of most hammies, although he
> certainly may have a following among a few of the "gray matter
> deficiency" afficionados. More than likely a cber ticked him off in
one
> way or another (keyed on him for preaching, stole his wife, slept with
> his daughters, beat up his son, etc.) and this is actually a sad
attempt
> at getting even. See, folks like this who lash out at a specific
target
> without a real, intended and stated legitimate purpose are usually
> self-projecting, are slightly aware of it, and somehow receive an
inner
> ego boost by attacking those who they are in envy of the most and are
> closet admirers of said subject. Happens consistently in here, just
look
> around, we have a few insecure loco's in here, but we got 'em on a
> string, right where we want them. Don't let hate mongrels dissuade
your
> decision to become a hammie. Do what you want because YOU want to do
it,
> and the rest be damned.
>
>

hey twist, i after e except after c, comode, comode, also how's the
skip this morning in the pile,....cd is out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4117. Re: cobra 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 15:26:03 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001228102603.05430.00000264@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 65551

hillbily dave had one in the same shape in the box he sold for 350

>I have a new cobra 2000 in the box. It was only taken out to test that it
>was
>working and put back into the closet for many years. It is in the original
>carton and packing material. Does anyone know how much this radio is worth?
>Thanks, Bill

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4118. Re: FS-Siltronix 1011D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 15:27:28 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001228102728.05430.00000265@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 65552

i have one with good tubes and 9 out of 10 i'd let go for 100

>
>Thrown off Ebay with ï¿½ day left to go. Total at time of cancellation was
>$202.00. First offer at $200.00 will get the radio and I will pay the
>shipping. Radio is a very clean example. 8950 is strong. Tunes up great.
>Minimal drift. I sold the D-104 I had been using but I will include
>another
>Non-amplified D-104 and plug to wire up. Pictures upon request. Reply
>to (bk43@pacbell.net). All legitimate inquiries will be considered.
>Heck, even the
>illegitimate ones will be. ;-)
> One question: Are STOCK 1011D's considered an illegal radio or, has
>eBay
>just gone completely off the deep end?
>
>Jeff Mayner
>Ventura, CA

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4119. Re: FS-Siltronix 1011D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 16:36:19 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A4B6C03.3D643288@qnet.com>
Source record: 65583

Hello Aron: No people are paying attention to this. If the radio had
two 8950's finials instead of one, it would go for a higher price.
Everybody knows that.

Glad to help out here.

Jay in the Mojave

aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:

> Perfect example of the damage this NG does by fostering a climate where
> people are genuinely confused about what's legal and what's not. I
> don't know what a Siltronix RF output is but I imagine it is more than 4
> watts. It also probably has a VFO. And it's probably not type accepted
> for CB use.
>
> Of course all this appears to mean nothing to the majority of this
> newsgroup.
>
> Aaron H. Voobner
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

4120. Re: FS-Siltronix 1011D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 16:38:26 UTC
From: Joe <joeamp@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <92fqa3$n3b$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 65555

twistedhed@webtv.net wrote in message
Yea, Joe! I'd like to know also, why would he get visitors?

HEY you guys ! I Didnt say *would* I said *MAY* .....read the finre print yourself:
Ebay made some changes with some type of new policy changes regarding what is now being called "intellectual property "and VeRO Program participants
Some hams with nothing else to do are trolling like kids, and keeping a score board on who has the most kills. A amateur licence allows you to become a unlisted VeRO Program participant/complainant.

http://pages.ebay.com/help/community/png-priv.html#3
Sect4 snipps:
We can (and you authorize us to) disclose any information about you to law enforcement or other government officials as we, in our sole discretion, believe necessary or appropriate, in connection with an investigation of fraud, intellectual property infringements, or other activity that is illegal or may expose us to legal liability.

Further, we can (and you authorize us to) disclose your UserID, name, street address, city, state, zip code, country, phone number, email, and company to eBay VeRO Program participants.
EBay does not and cannot verify that sellers have the right or ability to sell or distribute their listed items. However, we are committed to removing infringing or unlicensed items once an authorized.

Verified Rights Owner (VeRO) program, intellectual property and other rights owners, and local, state, federal and international law enforcement can request and may receive your personally identifiable information. For more information about the VeRO program, go to http://pages.ebay.com/help/community/vero-program.html.

twistedhed@webtv.net wrote in message
<19793-3A4B4150-4@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
Yea, Joe! I'd like to know also, why would he get visitors?

4121. Re: Kwanzaa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 16:38:35 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a4b6df0@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 65553

WHO told you I am a HONKEY ? What does "Pretty Fly" mean ?
Dave!

"Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:t4ll62sch47f75@news.supernews.com...
That's probably the most informed, non-cb statement, I've ever heard you
utter, Dave. You're pretty fly for a white guy!
;-)

Jeff

"Dave or Debby" <truth@planetc.com> wrote in message
news:3a4ab363@news.planetc.com...
> ChristMASS IS a pagan holiday. Pilgrims & Puritans didn't do it, neither
> did the early TRUE Church do it! The "Jerimiah Tree" came to the US in
1851
> !!!!!
> Dave!!!!!!
>
> "COLD as ICE" <icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD> wrote in message
> news:20001227195444.12660.00003613@nso-cd.aol.com...
> In article <3A4A49A8.79C68363@pioneeris.net>, Preston
> <juggernaut@pioneeris.net> writes:
>
> >What's so sad and sick is a pagan ritual being performed during the
> Christmas
> >season. That's what is *TRULY* sad and sick! I know people from various
> >religions
> >and backgrounds that are offended by the timing of Kwanzaa. Actually
> someone
> >(a
> >Hasidic) suggested to me that it should coincide with the cotton harvest
> :)
> >
>
> And by this statement you have PROVED that you are indeed a RACIST
> HATEMONGER
> ON A CB NEWSGROUP!!!!
> PLONK!!!!
> Hope you know what that means!
>
> COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It
don't
> mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!
>

>

4122. Re: Kwanzaa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 16:41:39 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a4b6ea7@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 65554

No, I'm NOT like Sam Cooke (don't know nuthin' about HISTORY) see my Sam Cooke offer @ www.planetc.com/users/ministry

I know a lot about History; I know that the History Channels is really the PROPAGANDA Channel; read the 2 Babylons by Alex. Hislop 1864 to learn about all of Babylon's holidays!!!! I know CB history too, cause I IS some of it!!!!!!!
Dave!!!!

"Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote in message news:t4ll29bv5b0g37@news.supernews.com...
Do you know anything about history, or are you blinded by your sect's lies? LOL

Jeff

"Preston" <juggernaut@pioneeris.net> wrote in message news:3A4A49A8.79C68363@pioneeris.net...
> What's so sad and sick is a pagan ritual being performed during the Christmas
> season. That's what is *TRULY* sad and sick! I know people from various religions
> and backgrounds that are offended by the timing of Kwanzaa. Actually someone (a
> Hasidic) suggested to me that it should coincide with the cotton harvest :)
>
> COLD as ICE wrote:
>
> > In article <3A4A3019.5DC1708B@pioneeris.net>, Nick Preston
> > <juggernaut@pioneeris.net> writes:
> > >
> > >I think everyone agrees, except those without true meaning in their
> > >life, that Kwanzaa is a second rate celebration that has no real rooted
> > >meaning. It is just a self-serving "celebration" with objects stolen
> > >from the genuine religions. But hey, if worshiping idols and yourselves
> > >makes you feel like a better person, then go for it.
> > >
> > What a sad and sick individual!
> > If people dont agree with your opinion about Kwanzaa (which has no real place
> > in this newsgroup) then they must be "without true meaning in their lives"
> > Wow!!
> > How much worse can it get for you with the fake email address!

> >
> > COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It
don't
> > mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!
>

4123. Re: Johnson transciever tester

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 16:44:37 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a4b6f58$1@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 65556

Yes yo is stupid, BRO ! (just kidding)!

I have 2 of them. I think they were made in da 70's (I bough my 1st one
from the CB shop I worked @ in '76. Put a new meter movement in it & still
use it daily. I don't think I have a book for it, but I can look and e mail
me in 2 weeks about it when I can get to it.
Dave!

"Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:t4lmcid30ksq9b@news.supernews.com...

"Hillbilly Dave" <******davesradio@alltel.net******> wrote in message
news:92e046$n1s$1@iac5.navix.net...
> they were real popular back in the 60's....also thier was a laffette and a
> courier port a lab tester...

Yup. I had one a while ago but sold it. Instruction/Owner's Manual too. Good
golly am I stupid for not copying that damn thing, or what? ;-)

jeff

>
> dave
>
> Dana <dana@randomc.com> wrote in message
> news:Pine.GSO.4.10.10012271811430.19185-100000@cluster2...
> > How about that? never heard of one of those either.
> >
> > On 27 Dec 2000, Dave4eal wrote:
> >
> > > Date: 27 Dec 2000 05:16:44 GMT
> > > From: Dave4eal <dave4eal@aol.com>
> > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> > > Subject: Johnson transciever tester
> > >
> > > Does anyone have an operating guide or owners manual for a Johnson
> tranciever
> > > tester. Is there any where I could download operating istructions for
> this
> > > equipment? If you can help me please email me. Thank you in advance.
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>

4124. Re: X Force Amplifier for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 16:59:52 UTC
From: Raped Ape <rapedape@earthworks.com>
Message-ID: <ckK26.3622$0h.391773@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 65557

HAHAHA the best biasing what is so special about the biasing do you think
noone else has a amp with bias
hahahaha

"Softtail423" <softtail423@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001228015001.03725.00000296@ng-md1.aol.com...
> Maybe more like $600.00 dickhead!!!!Those are the best amps out there and
with
> the best biasing in the us!!!
>
>
> 423

4125. Re: X Force Amplifier for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 17:09:53 UTC
From: maniac9188@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001228120953.07716.00000109@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 65559

The Amplifier has a "Silver" exterior case. it has a copper (gold color) board. These pictures were taken with a $500 Minolta digital camera, I think they came out great. and for Steve fom Exports r Us, he thinks that the box is a Dave too. he can think what he wants. It IS an X Force unit, that was put together RIGHT. And it DID NOT come from Exports R Us...
Maniac.

4126. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 17:23:16 UTC
From: Raped Ape <rapedape@earthworks.com>
Message-ID: <8GK26.747$Og.405073@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 65561

Sparky, again you should be in politics you talk with alot of hot air coming out, and no real substance. I am glad you can form complete sentences but what does that have to do with you posing a question to someone about thier credentials when yours are totaly lacking in the area of your debate. You asked him his and it seems since yours are no match for CLP you then want to agree with him .

Thank You for the idea of the greeting cards I am also good at ryhmes Hickory dickory dock Sparky is munching some cock. the clock struck 2 and i spilled my goo hickory dickory Dock

"Sparky" <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message
news:3a4a98bf.187294@news.cet.com...
> On Wed, 27 Dec 2000 23:23:05 GMT, "Rat Bastard" <ratb@ratsnest.com>
> wrote:
>
> And then I agreed with CLP that credentials don't matter, but
> aparently they do. My credentials consist of the ability to form
> complete sentences.
>
> >You are a good sidestepper
>
> Thank you, and you should write greeting cards.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

4127. Re: X Force Amplifier for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 17:23:19 UTC
From: maniac9188@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001228122319.07716.00000114@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 65560

For reference purposes only: Dave Made boxes DO NOT have the biasing circuit that is in this particular Amplifier. This was designed by Dennis "#12" Chicago.(as the X600"12", in the model # signifies.) it pulls a little more current, but it works very well with the SSB delay.
Maniac.
If anyone is intrested, Email me .maniac9188@aol.com

4128. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 17:24:23 UTC
From: Raped Ape <rapedape@earthworks.com>
Message-ID: <bHK26.3625$0h.399060@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 65562

I agree Jerry But it is not a presicion meter. a bird meter or coaxial dynamics will be the best choice.

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:3A4AAD18.4976@bellsouth.net...
> Rat Bastard wrote:
> You are probably using a
> > MFJ analyzer which stands for mighty fine junk!
>
> And it's a hell of a lot better than one of those glorified "Dosy"
> meters. LOL!

4129. Re: X Force Amplifier for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 17:35:12 UTC
From: Raped Ape <rapedape@earthworks.com>
Message-ID: <kRK26.750$Og.408929@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 65563

I would check your input combiner(3 port) on the front of the case the bottom coax is not soldered to the board or anything. yes your camera is good I was able to see that mistake And it is a xforce chassis not a davemade. I would like to see you take a pic of the side of the amp which will give a side view of the bias circuit

"Maniac9188" <maniac9188@aol.com> wrote in message news:20001228120953.07716.00000109@ng-cb1.aol.com...
> The Amplifier has a "Silver" exterior case. it has a copper (gold color) board.
> These pictures were taken with a $500 Minolta digital camera, I think they came
> out great. and for Steve fom Exports r Us, he thinks that the box is a Dave
> too. he can think what he wants. It IS an X Force unit, that was put together
> RIGHT. And it DID NOT come from Exports R Us...
> Maniac.

4130. Re: Has anyone heard of a amp builder call pirate in california?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 17:37:45 UTC
From: maniac9188@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001228123745.07716.00000115@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 65564

I used to have a Pirate 1X6. It was a Class "C", with a Recieve Amplifier, and Remote. It worked very well, showed good numbers on the Wattmeter, and didn't pull all that much current. Excellent box for the price.
Maniac.

4131. Re: Any thoughts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 17:41:41 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7356-3A4B7B55-74@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65571

Pouring rain in the 'pile CD, a little from the longhorn, the keystone, and buckeye. I'm sure 257 will break my squelch b4 the day is done!

4132. Re: Any thoughts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 17:54:17 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92fuo7kri1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65565

In article <19792-3A4B45DC-11@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
> SSB411, this guy is no way indicative of most hammies, although he
> certainly may have a following among a few of the "gray matter
> deficiency" afficionados. More than likely a cber ticked him off in
one
> way or another (keyed on him for preaching, stole his wife, slept with
> his daughters, beat up his son, etc.) and this is actually a sad
attempt
> at getting even. See, folks like this who lash out at a specific
target
> without a real, intended and stated legitimate purpose are usually
> self-projecting, are slightly aware of it, and somehow receive an
inner
> ego boost by attacking those who they are in envy of the most and are
> closet admirers of said subject. Happens consistently in here, just
look
> around, we have a few insecure loco's in here, but we got 'em on a
> string, right where we want them. Don't let hate mongrels dissuade
your
> decision to become a hammie. Do what you want because YOU want to do
it,
> and the rest be damned.
>
>

I see some of you have a problem if ANYBODY (especially a ham)
disapproves of illegal operation. You feel you have the right to muzzle
anybody that doesn't jump on your criminal bandwagon. I have seen hams
come into this newsgroup, hat in hand, apologetic and afraid to hurt the
feelings of illegal outbanders. Hogwash. The only "admirers"of illegal
activity are other illegal losers.

Aaron H. Voobner

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4133. Re: FS-Siltronix 1011D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 17:59:55 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7359-3A4B7F9B-3@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65572

Well, Joe! That is very interesting indeed. Thank you for the info.
After I get done writing to ebay about their totalitarian tactics and
let them know there is nothing wrong with listing an amp under
"electronics" or "amateur" listings, I may even add a sig to my email
blasting ebay for their "utilization and abuse of customer information."
I already forwarded a copy to the ACLU, not that it matters, and may
even construct a paranoid web page dedicated to slamming ebay and their
joining with law enforcement. We already have Dare kops in grade schools
with black, padlocked boxes, which our heroic gimps in blue telling our
kids, "If you know anyone who does drugs, write their name and address
or phone number on a piece of paper and drop it in the box."
It's a miserable day when the country turns it's citizens against each
other. Sort of like you said, certain hammies who get their jollies
keeping a kill file of what they can knock off ebay. As the saying goes,
"I didn't speak when they came to get my neighbor because it didn't
involve me. I didn't speak up when they got my other neighbor because it
also didn't involve me. Now they came for me, and there is no one left
to speak up". It's not paranoia when they really are out to get you!

4134. Re: FS-Siltronix 1011D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 18:02:42 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92fv7ular1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65567

In article <92eivj$k6c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
jeffmayner@my-deja.com wrote:
> Thrown off Ebay with day left to go. Total at time of cancellation
was
> $202.00. First offer at $200.00 will get the radio and I will pay the
> shipping. Radio is a very clean example. 8950 is strong. Tunes up
great.
> Minimal drift. I sold the D-104 I had been using but I will include
> another
> Non-amplified D-104 and plug to wire up. Pictures upon request. Reply
> to (bk43@pacbell.net). All legitimate inquiries will be considered.
> Heck, even the
> illegitimate ones will be. ;-)
> One question: Are STOCK 1011D's considered an illegal radio or,
has
> eBay
> just gone completely off the deep end?
>
> Jeff Mayner
> Ventura, CA
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

Perfect example of the damage this NG does by fostering a climate where people are genuinely confused about what's legal and what's not. I don't know what a Siltronix RF output is but I imagine it is more than 4 watts. It also probably has a VFO. And it's probably not type accepted for CB use.

Of course all this appears to mean nothing to the majority of this newsgroup.

Aaron H. Voobner

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4135. Re: 27.555

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 18:05:54 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92fvduldp1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65573

In article <3a4a0da5_3@vienna7.his.com>,
<win7@webworkz.com> wrote:
> it sounds like the sidebanders have took 27.555 over again..
>
>

Yes they are operating illegally.

Aaron H. Voobner

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4136. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 18:09:09 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-03CAEE.13015128122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 65566

In article <3a4a47d7.697602@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> On Wed, 27 Dec 2000 17:09:33 GMT, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
>
> >You obviously don't understand characteristic impedance.
> >Your explanation doesn't work. You cannot launch 50 ohms at 0.5 amps
> >down a 50 ohm line. If you could launch 50 volts at 0.5 amps down a
> >line, it would have to be a 100 ohm line.
>
> Exactly.
>
> >You could launch 50 volts at 2 amps down one 25 ohm line.
> >You could launch 50 volts at 1 amp down one 50 ohm line.
> >With two 50 ohm lines, you get a net of 50 volts at 2 amps --
> >THE SAME AS A SINGLE 25 OHM LINE!
>
> A 25 ohm coax won't do a whole lot of good with a 50 ohm source, which
> is what we were talking about. Remember? The typical CB system? Don't
> you remember whining about others giving examples that didn't apply
> because they were not used in CB radio?
>
> Sparky, FLRC

This example applied directly to the question at hand and your
statements of non-facts.

You could use a quarter wavelength of 25 ohm coax to match a 12.50 ohm
load to your 50 ohm radio.

Despite being able to give a possible way to use 25 ohm coax with a
CB, I agree that we should not diverge too far from the core reason
for the newsgroup.

My reason for being here is to dispel myths and correct inaccuracies.
Your statements were inaccurate. By the way, you do agree with my
example, don't you? You seem, once again, to be getting away
from the substance of the discussion.

So, for you, Sparky, I will change my example.
Let's compare one 50 ohm line to two 100 ohm lines in parallel.

You could launch 50 volts at 1 amp down one 50 ohm line.
You could launch 50 volts at 0.25 amp down one 100 ohm line.

With two 100 ohm lines, you get a net of 50 volts at 1 amp --
THE SAME AS A SINGLE 50 OHM LINE!

4137. Re: FS-Siltronix 1011D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 18:10:31 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4n0gq9vc2h5eb@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65568

Darn you Tim.
But, will you pony up the shipping? ;-)

Jeff

"www.kp82.com" <timnebo@aol.comedy> wrote in message
news:20001228102728.05430.00000265@ng-fm1.aol.com...
> i have one with good tubes and 9 out of 10 i'd let go for 100
>
> >
> >Thrown off Ebay with day left to go. Total at time of cancellation was
> >$202.00. First offer at $200.00 will get the radio and I will pay the
> >shipping. Radio is a very clean example. 8950 is strong. Tunes up great.
> >Minimal drift. I sold the D-104 I had been using but I will include
> >another
> >Non-amplified D-104 and plug to wire up. Pictures upon request. Reply
> >to (bk43@pacbell.net). All legitimate inquiries will be considered.
> >Heck, even the
> >illegitimate ones will be. ;-)
> > One question: Are STOCK 1011D's considered an illegal radio or, has
> >eBay
> >just gone completely off the deep end?
> >
> >Jeff Mayner
> >Ventura, CA
>
>
> 73 de Tim
> Knight Patrol #82
> http://www.kp82.com

4138. Re: FS-Siltronix 1011D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 18:12:13 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4n0k2gprq8713@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65569

Ebay has become "Mother Russia".

Jeff

"Joe" <joeamp@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:92fqa3$n3b$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net...
> twistedhed@webtv.net wrote in message
> Yea, Joe! I'd like to know also, why would he get visitors?
>
> HEY you guys ! I Didnt say *would* I said *MAY* ....read the finre
> print yourself:
> Ebay made some changes with some type of new policy changes regarding what
> is now being called "intellectual property "and VeRO Program participants
> Some hams with nothing else to do are trolling like kids, and keeping a
> score board on who has the most kills. A amateur licence allows you to
> become a unlisted VeRO Program participant/complainant.
>
> http://pages.ebay.com/help/community/png-priv.html#3
> Sect4 snipps:
> We can (and you authorize us to) disclose any information about you to law
> enforcement or other government officials as we, in our sole discretion,
> believe necessary or appropriate, in connection with an investigation of
> fraud, intellectual property infringements, or other activity that is
> illegal or may expose us to legal liability.
>
> Further, we can (and you authorize us to) disclose your UserID, name,
street
> address, city, state, zip code, country, phone number, email, and company
to
> eBay VeRO Program participants.
> EBay does not and cannot verify that sellers have the right or ability to
> sell or distribute their listed items. However, we are committed to
removing
> infringing or unlicensed items once an authorized.
>
> Verified Rights Owner (VeRO) program, intellectual property and other
rights
> owners, and local, state, federal and international law enforcement can
> request and may receive your personally identifiable information. For more
> information about the VeRO program, go to
> http://pages.ebay.com/help/community/vero-program.html.
>
>
>
> twistedhed@webtv.net wrote in message

> <19793-3A4B4150-4@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
> Yea, Joe! I'd like to know also, why would he get visitors?
>
>
>

4139. Re: Kwanzaa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 18:15:24 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4n0pujcpf9v59@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65570

Dave,
Don't get your panties in a twist. This was not directed towards you.

Jeff

"Dave or Debby" <truth@planetc.com> wrote in message
news:3a4b6ea7@news.planetc.com...
> No, I'm NOT like Sam Cooke (don't know nuthin' about HISTORY) see my Sam
> Cooke offer @ www.planetc.com/users/ministry
>
> I know a lot about History; I know that the History Channels is really the
> PROPAGANDA Channel; read the 2 Babylons by Alex. Hislop 1864 to learn
about
> all of Babylon's holidays!!!! I know CB history too, cause I IS some of
> it!!!!!!!
> Dave!!!!
>
> "Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:t4ll29bv5b0g37@news.supernews.com...
> Do you know anything about history, or are you blinded by your sect's
lies?
> LOL
>
> Jeff
>
> "Preston" <juggernaut@pioneeris.net> wrote in message
> news:3A4A49A8.79C68363@pioneeris.net...
> > What's so sad and sick is a pagan ritual being performed during the
> Christmas
> > season. That's what is *TRULY* sad and sick! I know people from various
> religions
> > and backgrounds that are offended by the timing of Kwanzaa. Actually
> someone (a
> > Hasidic) suggested to me that it should coincide with the cotton harvest
> :)
> >
> > COLD as ICE wrote:
> >
> > > In article <3A4A3019.5DC1708B@pioneeris.net>, Nick Preston
> > > <juggernaut@pioneeris.net> writes:
> > >
> > > >I think everyone agrees, except those without true meaning in their
> > > >life, that Kwanzaa is a second rate celebration that has no real
rooted
> > > >meaning. It is just a self-serving "celebration" with objects stolen

> > > >from the genuine religions. But hey, if worshiping idols and
yourselves
> > > >makes you feel like a better person, then go for it.
> > >
> > > What a sad and sick individual!
> > > If people dont agree with your opinion about Kwanzaa (which has no
real
> place
> > > in this newsgroup) then they must be "without true meaning in their
> lives"
> > > Wow!!
> > > How much worse can it get for you with the fake email address!
> > >
> > > COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It
> don't
> > > mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!
> >
>
>
>
>

4140. Re: For the State Side brothers here is your audio quality in BERLIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 18:45:25 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001228134525.09220.00000055@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 65574

stickman from noname country was sounding good in germany

>Subject: For the State Side brothers here is your audio quality in BERLIN

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4141. Re: FS-Siltronix 1011D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 18:45:43 UTC
From: Joe <joeamp@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <92g1pjrs1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 65575

Jeff Mayner wrote in message ...
>Ebay has become "Mother Russia".
>Jeff
Sure looks that way, if you set your member preferances not to have them
Spam you with offers and crap you would not have be notified of what they
did.

Check this out:
You sign up and are automatically given hidden default preferences
Yet you can not set your personal preferences till after you give them your
life info and create the account
, then a screen pops up saying it will take up to 30 day to come into
effect.
If you are a seller "your pants are down to the world"
So you change you settings
But yet you agreed to all the disclaimer's and future changes in your
original agreement so privacy means about nothing !
Big Brother's, the IRS, FCC, TRW system's, and must be building file's on
everybody yet sitting like a pack of wolves.
Why ?:
Note the section "Revenue Enhancement" in the recently passed bill.

>"Joe" <joeamp@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>news:92fqa3$n3b$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net...
>> twistedhed@webtv.net wrote in message
>> Yea, Joe! I'd like to know also, why would he get visitors?
>>
>> HEY you guys ! I Didnt say *would* I said *MAY* ....read the finre
>> print yourself:
>> Ebay made some changes with some type of new policy changes regarding
what
>> is now being called "intellectual property "and VeRO Program participants
>> Some hams with nothing else to do are trolling like kids, and keeping a
>> score board on who has the most kills. A amateur licence allows you to
>> become a unlisted VeRO Program participant/complainant.
>>
>> http://pages.ebay.com/help/community/png-priv.html#3
>> Sect4 snipps:
>> We can (and you authorize us to) disclose any information about you to
law
>> enforcement or other government officials as we, in our sole discretion,
>> believe necessary or appropriate, in connection with an investigation of
>> fraud, intellectual property infringements, or other activity that is
>> illegal or may expose us to legal liability.
>>

>> Further, we can (and you authorize us to) disclose your UserID, name,
>street
>> address, city, state, zip code, country, phone number, email, and company
>to
>> eBay VeRO Program participants.
>> EBay does not and cannot verify that sellers have the right or ability to
>> sell or distribute their listed items. However, we are committed to
>removing
>> infringing or unlicensed items once an authorized.
>>
>> Verified Rights Owner (VeRO) program, intellectual property and other
>rights
>> owners, and local, state, federal and international law enforcement can
>> request and may receive your personally identifiable information. For
more
>> information about the VeRO program, go to
>> http://pages.ebay.com/help/community/vero-program.html.
>>
>>
>>
>> twistedhed@webtv.net wrote in message
>> <19793-3A4B4150-4@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
>> Yea, Joe! I'd like to know also, why would he get visitors?
>>
>>
>>
>
>

4142. Re: FS-Siltronix 1011D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 18:47:13 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001228134713.09220.00000057@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 65576

must you insist on showing your ignorance towards radios while at the same time preaching about them? the radio in question is as legal as any old drake, heathkit, or swan.

>
>Perfect example of the damage this NG does by fostering a climate where
>people are genuinely confused about what's legal and what's not. I
>don't know what a Siltronix RF output is but I imagine it is more than 4
>watts. It also probably has a VFO. And it's probably not type accepted
>for CB use.
>
>Of course all this appears to mean nothing to the majority of this
>newsgroup.
>
>Aaron H. Voobner
>
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com
>http://www.deja.com/
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4143. Re: FS-Siltronix 1011D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 18:48:11 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001228134811.09220.00000059@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 65577

not a chance in the world!!! wonder how much it would be to ship this bad boy,
has to be alot.

>Darn you Tim.
>But, will you pony up the shipping? ;-)
>
>Jeff

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4144. Re: 27.555

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 18:51:24 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001228135124.09220.00000063@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 65578

so what?

>Yes they are operating illegally.

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4145. Computerized Virsuses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 18:54:04 UTC
From: thepolishdude@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92g288nsn1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65579
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What with all those trunking scanners that people are spending good
money on and all those trunking programs that let your computer controll
non-trunkable and trunkable radios and the fact that radios can be
programmed over the air; leads me to some interestingf questions:
1.) Can a computerized virus be sent over the air?
2.) Can a scanner be infected with one or more of these BUGS?
3.) Can a cellphone be infected?
4.) Is there an anti-virus program that can get rid of a
bug like that?
5.) Can those PALM type computers be infected too?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4146. Re: Any thoughts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 19:06:17 UTC
From: Dave Clemence <*******davesradio@alltel.net**********>
Message-ID: <92frpa$o7d$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 65558

in this area about half the amateurs gather on 31 every night and shoot the cow's husband. they can be a little bit looser there and alot of the non hams come there to ask questions and get advice....

Dave (hillbilly)
On Bull Shoales Lake Ark./Mo. State Line
http://www.geocities.com/TheTropics/Island/4371/index.html

"'Doc" <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message news:3A4AE4AD.E4D74E2D@oio.net...
>
>
> curious_dan,
> I certainly can't speak for all of them, but I can say
> that I do talk on the CB band occasionally. Why? Because
> I have friends there.
> 'Doc

4147. Re: FS-Siltronix 1011D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 19:06:21 UTC
From: Joe <joeamp@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <92g2tq$n2r$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 65580

Good Luck
Expect a ironius form letter of legal BS with your name and Email address
meaning nothing.

twistedhed@webtv.net wrote in message
<7359-3A4B7F9B-3@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
Well, Joe! That is very interesting indeed. Thank you for the info.
After I get done writing to ebay about their totalitarian tactics and
let them know there is nothing wrong with listing an amp under
"electronics" or "amateur" listings, I may even add a sig to my email
blasting ebay for their "utilization and abuse of customer information."
I already forwarded a copy to the ACLU, not that it matters, and may
even construct a paranoid web page dedicated to slamming ebay and their
joining with law enforcement. We already have Dare kops in grade schools
with black, padlocked boxes, which our heroic gimps in blue telling our
kids, "If you know anyone who does drugs, write their name and address
or phone number on a piece of paper and drop it in the box."
It's a miserable day when the country turns it's citizens against each
other. Sort of like you said, certain hammies who get their jollies
keeping a kill file of what they can knock off ebay. As the saying goes,
"I didn't speak when they came to get my neighbor because it didn't
involve me. I didn't speak up when they got my other neighbor because it
also didn't involve me. Now they came for me, and there is no one left
to speak up". It's not paranoia when they really are out to get you!

4148. Re: FS-Siltronix 1011D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 19:10:24 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92g36poku1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65581

In article <7359-3A4B7F9B-3@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
> Well, Joe! That is very interesting indeed. Thank you for the info.
> After I get done writing to ebay about their totalitarian tactics and
> let them know there is nothing wrong with listing an amp under
> "electronics" or "amateur" listings, I may even add a sig to my email
> blasting ebay for their "utilization and abuse of customer
information."
> I already forwarded a copy to the ACLU, not that it matters, and may
> even construct a paranoid web page dedicated to slamming ebay and
their
> joining with law enforcement. We already have Dare kops in grade
schools
> with black, padlocked boxes, which our heroic gimps in blue telling
our
> kids, "If you know anyone who does drugs, write their name and address
> or phone number on a piece of paper and drop it in the box."
> It's a miserable day when the country turns it's citizens against
each
> other. Sort of like you said, certain hammies who get their jollies
> keeping a kill file of what they can knock off ebay. As the saying
goes,
> "I didn't speak when they came to get my neighbor because it didn't
> involve me. I didn't speak up when they got my other neighbor because
it
> also didn't involve me. Now they came for me, and there is no one left
> to speak up". It's not paranoia when they really are out to get you!
>
>

Twisty et al,

If you do not like the laws, you are free to change them. I want to go on record as saying that I think your heroic "civil disobedience" is merely an excuse to be a criminal. If you TRULY disagree with the FCC (and Ebay's) policies, you will DO something rather than hiding under a rock while you sneak around breaking the law.

If you believe that CB should be an anonymous lawless alternative to ham radio (unlimited power, no frequency restrictions, no accountability, etc) then, for God's sake, stand up for what you believe in. Organize. Write your congressman. Lobby. Make phone calls. Write to magazines like POPCOMM. If yours is such a sensible idea then surely many others will believe in it, too. Go out and do the work to make the change.

Otherwise, you're merely a common criminal and your arguments are just excuses why you should be allowed to be a criminal and get away with it. You're like someone who goes to the grocery store, walks out without paying, then when arrested, complains and whines that their "rights" are being trampled.

Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4149. Siltronix 1011D Illegal for CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 19:32:06 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92g4fmpun1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65584

In article <20001228134713.09220.00000057@ng-fm1.aol.com>,
timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com) wrote:
> must you insist on showing your ignorance towards radios while at the
same time
> preaching about them? the radio in question is as legal as any old
drake,
> heathkit, or swan.
>

If you infer that I am ignorant of the excuses that criminals make to buy and sell equipment specifically for illegal operation on 10 and 11 meters, yes, you are right.

But I'm learning.

Aaron

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4150. Re: Kwanzaa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 19:37:38 UTC
From: Preston <juggernaut@pioneeris.net>
Message-ID: <3A4B9682.73ECBF1D@pioneeris.net>
Source record: 65582

You are an authority on religious holidays? Where did you study? Don't dabble or try to defend things you obviously know nothing about. And while you are at it, you should throw out those revisionist history books. Although you speak from a politically "don't think for yourself" correct point of view, it is apparent you have been misled very craftfully to believe that any largely organized group is a sect.

Jeff Mayner wrote:

> Do you know anything about history, or are you blinded by your sect's lies?
> Jeff
>

4151. Illegal Mods To FRS Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 20:05:05 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92g6dd$rj5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65585

In article <Kff26.102842$Z2.1247929@nnrp1.uunet.ca>,
"Michel Donais" <donais@gsig-net.qc.ca> wrote:
>
> Did you know how to raise power to a motorola talkabout FRS
>

If it's illegal and stupid -- I'm sure this NG will cheerfully
help you to accomplish it.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4152. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 20:13:43 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a4b9cc3.420065@news.gate.net>
Source record: 65586

On Tue, 26 Dec 2000 14:00:17 -0500, Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net> wrote:

*Greetings!
*
*You mean to tell me then, that 25 ohms load is 2:1 SWR?
*
*Is that correct?
****
Yes:

RC = (ZO - ZL)/(ZL + ZO)

where RC is the reflection coefficient
ZL is the load impedance
ZO is the characteristic impedance of the transmission line

In the example ZO = 50 ZL = 25
Therefore we obtain

RC = (50 - 25)/(50 + 25)
RC = 25/75
RC = .33333

Since the there is no imaginary part of the reflection coefficient the magnitude
will equal the real part. ie .33333

Now we calculate SWR

S = (1 + |RC|)/(1-|RC|)

Where S is the SWR reading and |RC| is the magnitude of the reflection
coefficient. Now from our example we obtain:

S = (1 + .33333) / (1 - .33333)
S = 1.33333/0.666667
S = 1.999985

or close enough to call it 2

james

**********8
*
*On Tue, 26 Dec 2000 16:03:53 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
*the Mouse, Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com> dissertation the
*following:
*
*:+> In article <rb2g4tspa9ujopppsdiak00as3815km67a@4ax.com>,

*:+> codyspc@iserv.net wrote:
*:+>
*:+> > BTW - the SWR scale for 25 to 50 ohm mis-match is not 2:1 it's closer
*:+> > to 2.7:1 - 33 ohms is closer to 2:1 mis-match - why? Read about SWR
*:+> > meter scaling issues regarding Logarithm, Linear and Scalar.
*:+>
*:+> It's 2:1, plain and simple. Don't redefine it because you have an
*:+> SWR meter that doesn't work correctly.
*
*
*Regards!
*:+> Andy <+:

4153. Re: Illegal Mods To FRS Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 20:17:17 UTC
From: Joe <joeamp@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <92g78skrk1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 65587

Its illegal and not worth it, Even if you did manage to get crack the sealed
RF cage and fool with the small surface mount circuitry to squeeze 1 watt
more before killing the final and 3 AA batteries
The increase would be about the same as standing on a garbage can.

aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote in message <92g6dd$rj5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <Kff26.102842$Z2.1247929@nnrp1.uunet.ca>,
> "Michel Donais" <donais@gsig-net.qc.ca> wrote:
>>
>> Did you know how to raise power to a motorola talkabout FRS
>>
>
>
>If it's illegal and stupid -- I'm sure this NG will cheerfully
>help you to accomplish it.
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com
>http://www.deja.com/

4154. Re: FS-Siltronix 1011D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 20:19:18 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12634-3A4BA046-46@storefull-127.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65592

No, you're probably right, my opinion means squat to the jagoffs at Ebay. But enough folks write and tell them why they will never, ever utilize their service again, and state exactly why, well, a snowball effect would be desirable. Look how quick an internet rumor spreads across the web. I can write as good as propaganda espousing the con side of their stance as well as they can with the pro, better in fact. Boycotts have been effective in the past towards all kinds of businesses. Maybe some of their competition auction sites can even put that in their PR, such as, "We don't give your information to ANYONE, unlike Ebay, who through cooperative efforts with law enforcement, compile your personal data and share it with whoever they deem fit". This is pure BS, and I'm not referring to just radios on Ebay. Abuse of this system is already in place just waiting to taken advantage of, in fact, it probably already occured.

4155. Re: Illegal Mods To FRS Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 20:35:11 UTC
From: Joe <joeamp@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <92g85v$2ac$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 65588

Since most here are being already labeled as criminals "robbed of due process" , stealing garbage can may be a cheaper alternative.
All the FRS guys in cities can organize "Hunts" like Easter eggs.
Who ever finds the tallest can's "get's out" the best and go on to national finals.
Grand prize an old Collins 1.5 megawatt AM XMTR and power station in the banana republic.

aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote in message <92g6dd$rj5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <Kff26.102842$Z2.1247929@nnrp1.uunet.ca>,
> "Michel Donais" <donais@gsig-net.qc.ca> wrote:
>>
>> Did you know how to raise power to a motorola talkabout FRS
>>
>
>
>If it's illegal and stupid -- I'm sure this NG will cheerfully
>help you to accomplish it.
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com
>http://www.deja.com/

4156. The Power Generation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 20:43:52 UTC
From: zemog@i-55.com
Message-ID: <3A4BA607.20A84D7B@i-55.com>
Source record: 65591

Costruzioni Elettroniche Bologna, Italy RM (The Power Generation) Mod. 60

I saw one of these in a yard sale, can anyone tell me what exactly what this is used for and has anyone used one of these.

4157. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 20:45:34 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a4ea570.2641271@news.gate.net>
Source record: 65590

On Wed, 27 Dec 2000 03:06:03 GMT, Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
wrote:

*In article <3a485abe.6647847@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
*wrote:
*
*> Everything that I have stated has come from both theory and
*> experience...
*
*Give us the theory that shows:
*22.36 + j44.72 ohms = 50 ohms impedance
*and
*22.36 + j44.72 ohms will yield a 1:1 SWR
*
*Give us the theory that makes this the equation for impedance:
*Z = (R2 + X2)^?
****

|Z| = (R2 + X2)^?

Z = R + jX the last I remember or it can be expressed as
Z = |Z|e^jtheta

and where jtheta = tan-1 (X/R)

james
****

*> and I have disclosed my credentials.
*
*You said you went to college. You never said you graduated.
*My credentials have you beat by a mile but they don't matter
*here.
*
*>I have provided a solid reference
*
*You refuse to quote your references verbatim.
*
*> but CLP disputed his only reference,
*
*It was a reference that gave your formula for impedance above.
*I showed how the ARRL Handbook contradicted that definition just
*a few paragraphs away in the same book. You couldn't accept or
*explain the contradiction. You insist that I am wrong even though
*I showed you how you are wrong.
*

*If you understood the theory, you would have recognized the
*misprint (or whatever kind of error it is) in the book.
*
*> >I'll get your book. We'll settle this.
*>
*> On his own volition he made this statement, euphemistically calling
*> me a liar.
*
*Your claims are riddled with inaccuracies. Furthermore, you
*refuse to quote this source verbatim. What are we to think?
*
*> He hasn't followed through on his stated intent to support
*> this accusation, because if he did he would have been forced to admit
*> that he is wrong.
*
*Not. I've already shown that your equation above is wrong.
*You haven't explained how it possibly could be correct.
*
*I've requested your book. It isn't available in any local
*library. It is in one of my company's libraries in Indiana
*but the staff there appears to be gone for the holidays. I get
*no response.
*
*> It is clear that he would rather ignore the facts,
*> discredit the authorities, and subvert all logic in order to claim
*> victory, even when there is no competition. That's entertainment.
*
*HA! You are a hoot. You have no facts. You are no authority.
*You have no logic. You are correct that you are no competition.
*
*> Until it happens that you obtain my reference and prove his
*> allegation, you don't have much to say about what is relavent in my
*> discussion with CLP.
*>
*> Sparky, FLRC
*
*Why can't you just quote it for us? Why do we have to wait for
*me to get the book?
*
*Meanwhile, answer my questions.

4158. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 20:49:53 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a4fa70d.3055224@news.gate.net>
Source record: 65589

On 26 Dec 2000 10:50:43 GMT, Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote:

*Sparky <sparky@cet.com> wrote:
*
*: It's Christmas, so to keep with the spirit I'll give you one more
*: chance. We'll take this step by step.
*
*: Step #1: What frequencies and/or harmonics of the citizen's band are
*: resonant on 14, 18, and 21 foot lengths of RG-8?
*
*: Careful, I'm setting you up, and this isn't a trick question this
*: time.
*
*On the inside or outside of the coax?
****

ALso is that solid Polyethelyne(PE) dielectric or Foam PE dielectric? The two do have slightly different velocity factors.

james

4159. Re: Siltronix 1011D Illegal for CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 20:53:49 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001228155349.09499.00000258@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 65593

who said anything about cb? 2510's, 1011d's and radios like it are being kicked off even when not converted and sold by hams. they have effeciently fcked it up for themselfs.

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4160. Re: Illegal Mods To FRS Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 20:55:09 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001228155509.09499.00000260@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 65594

wrong aaron, this thread has long been discussed and well talked about amungst the hams in there news groups not here. go ask your local no code tech, i'm sure he already has done it to a few were hf'ers here on rrcb.

> Did you know how to raise power to a motorola talkabout FRS
>>
>
>
>If it's illegal and stupid -- I'm sure this NG will cheerfully
>help you to accomplish it.

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4161. Re: Illegal Mods To FRS Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 20:58:05 UTC
From: advanspec@aol.comburger
Message-ID: <20001228155805.08489.00001544@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Source record: 65595

You would have to at least double the output to get a "hint" of increased range, & I doubt the little frs radio with 3 "Double A" batteries is even capable of anywhere near double the output.....

4162. Re: FS-Siltronix 1011D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 21:06:16 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4naqbig0s6j90@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65596

Voobner,
You asshole. This radio is not a CB. Have you ever operated any kind of communication device? Good lord are you a stupid, uninformed fussbudgeting creep. Shut the hell up and mind your own damn business. Your inane comments do not qualify for comedy, much less an informed opinion. Get lost.

Jeff

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:92fv7ular1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <92eivj$k6c$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> jeffmayner@my-deja.com wrote:
> > Thrown off Ebay with day left to go. Total at time of cancellation
> was
> > $202.00. First offer at $200.00 will get the radio and I will pay the
> > shipping. Radio is a very clean example. 8950 is strong. Tunes up
> great.
> > Minimal drift. I sold the D-104 I had been using but I will include
> > another
> > Non-amplified D-104 and plug to wire up. Pictures upon request. Reply
> > to (bk43@pacbell.net). All legitimate inquiries will be considered.
> > Heck, even the
> > illegitimate ones will be. ;-)
> > One question: Are STOCK 1011D's considered an illegal radio or,
> has
> > eBay
> > just gone completely off the deep end?
> >
> > Jeff Mayner
> > Ventura, CA
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
> >
>
>
> Perfect example of the damage this NG does by fostering a climate where
> people are genuinely confused about what's legal and what's not. I
> don't know what a Siltronix RF output is but I imagine it is more than 4
> watts. It also probably has a VFO. And it's probably not type accepted
> for CB use.
>
> Of course all this appears to mean nothing to the majority of this
> newsgroup.

>
> Aaron H. Voobner
>
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

4163. Re: Illegal Mods To FRS Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 21:06:42 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A4BAB58.FCDA16F6@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65597

I would just get an HT and modify it for out of band if I wanted greater
range on FRS or MURS. The HT has an external antenna connector.

I just posted that to make Aaron's blood pressure boil!

F*ck the troll. Now ignore him. Let him scream into his little
RS radio and RS mag mount on CH 6 all day long!

"www.kp82.com" wrote:
>
> wrong aaron, this thread has long been discussed and well talked about amungst
> the hams in there news groups not here. go ask your local no code tech, i'm
> sure he already has done it to a few were hf'ers here on rrcb.

4164. Re: Computerized Virsuses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 21:14:09 UTC
From: RC <nospam@me.com>
Message-ID: <B2O26.206649$vc3.34769630@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 65498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Good info at this link:

http://enterprisesecurity.symantec.com/article.cfm?articleid=416

A trojan horse (not a virus) is more likely but most items you
listed aren't programmed via RF transmission so they are immune.
If my Palm Pilot gets a bug, I do a manual reset, then a hotsync, which
recovers
all data (including programs) since the last hotsysnc. No big
deal to recover, which takes the "fun" out of it for bug programmers.

Robert

<thepolishdude@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:92g288nsn1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> What with all those trunking scanners that people are spending good
> money on and all those trunking programs that let your computer controll
> non-trunkable and trunkable radios and the fact that radios can be
> programmed over the air; leads me to some interestingf questions:
> 1.) Can a computerized virus be sent over the air?
> 2.) Can a scanner be infected with one or more of these BUGS?
> 3.) Can a cellphone be infected?
> 4.) Is there an anti-virus program that can get rid of a
> bug like that?
> 5.) Can those PALM type computers be infected too?
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

4165. Re: FS-Siltronix 1011D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 21:20:06 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4nbkcnt4o5qbb@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65499

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:92g36poku1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <7359-3A4B7F9B-3@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
> twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
> > Well, Joe! That is very interesting indeed. Thank you for the info.
> > After I get done writing to ebay about their totalitarian tactics and
> > let them know there is nothing wrong with listing an amp under
> > "electronics" or "amateur" listings, I may even add a sig to my email
> > blasting ebay for their "utilization and abuse of customer
> information."
> > I already forwarded a copy to the ACLU, not that it matters, and may
> > even construct a paranoid web page dedicated to slamming ebay and
> their
> > joining with law enforcement. We already have Dare kops in grade
> schools
> > with black, padlocked boxes, which our heroic gimps in blue telling
> our
> > kids, "If you know anyone who does drugs, write their name and address
> > or phone number on a piece of paper and drop it in the box."
> > It's a miserable day when the country turns it's citizens against
> each
> > other. Sort of like you said, certain hammies who get their jollies
> > keeping a kill file of what they can knock off ebay. As the saying
> goes,
> > "I didn't speak when they came to get my neighbor because it didn't
> > involve me. I didn't speak up when they got my other neighbor because
> it
> > also didn't involve me. Now they came for me, and there is no one left
> > to speak up". It's not paranoia when they really are out to get you!
> >
> >
>
> Twisty et al,
>
> If you do not like the laws, you are free to change them.

Law? Ebay makes laws? Where the hell do you get the stuff you spew? Where
does this stupidity come from? Is it genetic? Are your parents as goddamned
clueless as you? Little robot, Aaron Voobner.

I want to go
> on record as saying that I think your heroic "civil disobedience" is
> merely an excuse to be a criminal.

It's his right to expose Ebay's storm trooper tactics. They do not have legality to back them up. They have falsity, innuendo and arbitrariness. Since they hold all the cards, they'll get away with it. Doesn't make them right.

If you TRULY disagree with the FCC
> (and Ebay's) policies, you will DO something rather than hiding under a
> rock while you sneak around breaking the law.

Voobner! Get a fing clue! There is *NO* law being broken, unless you mean the possibility that Ebay is breaking one when they expose your information, without your consent, when there has been *NO* law broken. You're an fing Nazi wannabe, aren't you. "Bring back the Hitler Youth", says Aaron Voobner.

>
> If you believe that CB should be an anonymous lawless alternative to
> ham radio (unlimited power, no frequency restrictions, no
> accountability, etc) then, for God's sake, stand up for what you believe
> in. Organize. Write your congressman. Lobby. Make phone calls. Write
> to magazines like POPCOMM. If yours is such a sensible idea then surely
> many others will believe in it, too. Go out and do the work to make the
> change.
>
> Otherwise, you're merely a common criminal and your arguments are just
> excuses why you should be allowed to be a criminal and get away with it.
> You're like someone who goes to the grocery store, walks out without
> paying, then when arrested, complains and whines that their "rights" are
> being trampled.

You know nothing. You absolutely do not. You enter in on conversations, not to add anything concrete or germane, but to confuse and insert your own agenda, regardless of the content. You are an idiot, Voobner. A classless idiot.

Jeff

>
> Aaron Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

4166. Re: Illegals Need A N.G.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 21:27:32 UTC
From: Phoneman <phoneman01@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <01c07114$922114a0$82878685@clip>
Source record: 65500

Damn Voobner....How'd you know? You're just too smart.
Bet your a rocket scientist at NASA. Oh yes....by the way
Kiss my ASS! Dickhead.
The Phoneman

SNIP
> >
>
> Phoneman and Brass: are you guys still in the illegal amp manufacturring
> business?
>
> Aaron
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

4167. Re: Kwanzaa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 21:28:22 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4nc3p6f3ian7d@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65501

"Preston" <juggernaut@pioneeris.net> wrote in message
news:3A4B9682.73ECBF1D@pioneeris.net...
> You are an authority on religious holidays? Where did you study? Don't
dabble or
> try to defend things you obviously know nothing about. And while you are
at it,
> you should throw out those revisionist history books. Although you speak
from a
> politically "don't think for yourself" correct point of view, it is
apparent you
> have been misled very craftfully to believe that any largely organized
group is
> a sect.

What organized group do you mean? Catholics? Baptists? Mormons? Jews?
Muslims? Those organized groups? The ones that cannot get along and, though
they all believe the same basic theory, will kill anyone else that think
exactly as they do? Paganism was here long before those desert rats left the
"holy" land and spread their own "version" of the big lie, Bub. Who's being
misled? A sect is a sect. Admit it and move on. Also, may I suggest you stop
wearing your sect's beliefs on your sleeve.

Jeff

>
>
> Jeff Mayner wrote:
>
> > Do you know anything about history, or are you blinded by your sect's
lies?
> > Jeff
> >
>

4168. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 21:31:10 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <9tbn4t8iq07kf0ampjghn69crijbonjeeb@4ax.com>
Source record: 65502

Greetings!

Thanks James, have to quote the whole thing though to ask a
question...

On Thu, 28 Dec 2000 20:13:43 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
the Mouse, jdaggett@gate.net dissertation the following:

:+> On Tue, 26 Dec 2000 14:00:17 -0500, Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net> wrote:
:+>
:+> *Greetings!
:+> *
:+> *You mean to tell me then, that 25 ohms load is 2:1 SWR?
:+> *
:+> *Is that correct?
:+> **********
:+> Yes:
:+>
:+> RC = (ZO - ZL)/(ZL + ZO)
:+>
:+> where RC is the reflection coefficient
:+> ZL is the load impedance
:+> ZO is the characteristic impedance of the transmission line
:+>
:+> In the example ZO = 50 ZL = 25
:+> Therefore we obtain
:+>
:+> RC = (50 - 25)/(50 + 25)
:+> RC = 25/75
:+> RC = .33333
:+>
:+> Since the there is no imaginary part of the reflection coefficient the magnitude
:+> will equal the real part. ie .33333

The above is what I have to stop and do a "Whoa" on, now since we are
looking at completely resistive loading, I can understand 2:1 SWR
while using a 25 ohm resistor.

It's the part concerning the magnitude and reflection coefficient.

I need to know why it's being discounted here, is it due to no
reactive loads, or simply plain resistance...?

This may be why I don't see a 2:1 swr when dealing with reactive loads
showing 25ohms, with reactive components.

It's hard to get past this point without further clarity, I think we
might be discounting, or disregarding, an important point here.

:+>
:+> Now we calculate SWR
:+>
:+> S = (1 + |RC|)/(1-|RC|)
:+>
:+> Where S is the SWR reading and |RC| is the magnitude of the reflection
:+> coefficient. Now from our example we obtain:
:+>
:+> S = (1 + .33333) / (1 - .33333)
:+> S = 1.33333/0.666667
:+> S = 1.999985
:+>
:+> or close enough to call it 2
:+>
:+> james

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:

4169. Re: Kwanzaa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 21:39:38 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4ncothk9mabba@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65503

"Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:t4nc3p6f3ian7d@news.supernews.com...
>
> "Preston" <juggernaut@pioneeris.net> wrote in message
> news:3A4B9682.73ECBF1D@pioneeris.net...
> > You are an authority on religious holidays? Where did you study? Don't
> dabble or
> > try to defend things you obviously know nothing about. And while you are
> at it,
> > you should throw out those revisionist history books. Although you speak
> from a
> > politically "don't think for yourself" correct point of view, it is
> apparent you
> > have been misled very craftfully to believe that any largely organized
> group is
> > a sect.
>
> What organized group do you mean? Catholics? Baptists? Mormons? Jews?
> Muslims? Those organized groups? The ones that cannot get along and,
though
> they all believe the same basic theory, will kill anyone else that think
> exactly as they do?

Should read: ...kill anyone else that do not think exactly as they do?...

Sorry

Jeff

Paganism was here long before those desert rats left the
> "holy" land and spread their own "version" of the big lie, Bub. Who's
being
> misled? A sect is a sect. Admit it and move on. Also, may I suggest you
stop
> wearing your sect's beliefs on your sleeve.
>
> Jeff
>
> >
> >
> > Jeff Mayner wrote:
> >
> > > Do you know anything about history, or are you blinded by your sect's
> lies?
> > > Jeff

> > >
> >
>
>

4170. FS:Cookie built 1200 plus $360

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 21:44:17 UTC
From: Buffalo Soldier <BACKDOORMAN@HOTVOICE.COM>
Message-ID: <92gc7gls1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65504

This is one great amp built by Mike better known as Cookie monster this baby is 6 pills 2879 air cooled with a large fan and amp has ssb delay put in it.I use it all this grinding season and amp worked fine i know this is not a davemade or x-force but the quality is a lot similar to one.I use a Modified texas star dx-250hdv for a driver which is for sale $100.00.This would be a great set up for any cross country driver.I also have a 8 pill amp built by No name for sale i posted a few days ago for $375.00 the cookie 6 pill does more than this amp so i am selling it for $375 or best offer no less than $325 amp to me is quality of a texas star.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4171. Re: FS-Siltronix 1011D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 21:53:40 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19792-3A4BB664-52@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65507

HEhehe...I poofed him awhile ago, along with his uninformed and pink crusading compadre and didn't even get to see what he wrote other than what was in your post, Jeff. I certainly would never "steal" anything from anyone, and his analogy is void of comparison. His words are empty and hollow which is why I poofed him. He simply has no merit.

4172. Re: Illegal Mods To FRS Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 21:56:56 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19793-3A4BB728-19@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65508

External antennas to FRS radios are way easier than attempting a mod.
As Tim alluded to, HF rigs are the way to go for this if you really want
it bad enough.

4173. Re: cookies best f. the rest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 22:00:11 UTC
From: Buffalo Soldier <BACKDOORMAN@HOTVOICE.COM>
Message-ID: <92gd58$1bg$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65506

In article
<20001227014040.27895.00003641@ng-me1.aol
.com>,
schwerd5@aol.com (SCHWERD5) wrote:
> 8 pill c-2000 for sale . less than 8
hrs talking time. Amp in excellent
> condition!! Can be run on 14 or 16
volts. Large cooling fan on amp.
Packaged
> up and all ready for shipping. Asking
$425.00. Will consider trade? 73's
> Master Cylinder is back out.
>

If you would sell the 8 pill for about $400 with shipping i would mail you
the payment since i do know you personally.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4174. Re: FS-Siltronix 1011D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 22:03:05 UTC
From: Joe <joeamp@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <92gdb8$3u0$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 65505

Jeff Mayner wrote in message ...
>Ebay has become "Mother Russia".
>Jeff
Sure looks that way, if you set your member preferences not to have them spam you with offers and crap *like most people" you may not have be notified of "things".

Check this out:
You sign up and are automatically given default preferences
Yet you can not set your personal preferences till after you give them your life info and create the account
, then a screen pops up saying it will take up to 30 day's to process your personal preferences to come into
effect.
Till then "your pants are down to the world"
.....So you change you settings
But then you agreed to all the disclaimer's and future changes in your original agreement in the fine print so privacy means about just about nothing !
Big Brother's, the IRS, FCC, TRW, must be building file's on everybody wile sitting like a pack of wolves waiting to feed when they have enough to make up a case with the local "Bubba" sheriff or asshole down the street who does not like you.
Why ?:
Note the sections regarding "Revenue Enhancement" "Local and federal distribution of fine and forfeiture moneys" in the recently passed bill.

4175. Re: Kwanzaa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 22:16:33 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92ge3r$27r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65511

In article <3a4b6df0@news.planetc.com>,
"Dave or Debby" <truth@planetc.com> wrote:
> WHO told you I am a HONKEY ? What does "Pretty Fly" mean ?
> Dave!
>
> "Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:t4ll62sch47f75@news.supernews.com...
> That's probably the most informed, non-cb statement, I've ever heard
you
> utter, Dave. You're pretty fly for a white guy!
> ;-)
>
> Jeff
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@planetc.com> wrote in message
> news:3a4ab363@news.planetc.com...
> > ChristMASS IS a pagan holiday. Pilgrims & Puritans didn't do it,
neither
> > did the early TRUE Church do it! The "Jerimiah Tree" came to the
US in
> 1851
> > !!!!!
> > Dave!!!!!!
> >
> > "COLD as ICE" <icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD> wrote in message
> > news:20001227195444.12660.00003613@nso-cd.aol.com...
> > In article <3A4A49A8.79C68363@pioneeris.net>, Preston
> > <juggernaut@pioneeris.net> writes:
> >
> > >What's so sad and sick is a pagan ritual being performed during the
> > Christmas
> > >season. That's what is *TRULY* sad and sick! I know people from
various
> > >religions
> > >and backgrounds that are offended by the timing of Kwanzaa.
Actually
> > someone
> > >(a
> > >Hasidic) suggested to me that it should coincide with the cotton
harvest
> > :)
> > >
> >
> > And by this statement you have PROVED that you are indeed a RACIST

> > HATEMONGER
> > ON A CB NEWSGROUP!!!!
> > PLONK!!!!
> > Hope you know what that means!
> >
> > COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says..
It
> don't
> > mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!
> >
> >
>
>

ok i will bite, what does it mean, comode, comode, also you can tell me
what superfly means too, hello come in,.....cd is out....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4176. Re: FS:Cookie built 1200 plus $360

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 22:18:04 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92ge6m$28s$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65510

In article <92gc7gls1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
Buffalo Soldier <BACKDOORMAN@HOTVOICE.COM> wrote:
> This is one great amp built by Mike better known as Cookie monster
this baby
> is 6 pills 2879 air cooled with a large fan and amp has ssb delay
put in
> it.I use it all this grinding season and amp worked fine i know this
is not
> a davemade or x-force but the quality is a lot similar to one.I use a
> Modified texas star dx-250hdv for a driver which is for sale
$100.00.This
> would be a great set up for any cross country driver.I also have a 8
pill
> amp built by No name for sale i posted a few days ago for $375.00 the
cookie
> 6 pill does more than this amp so i am selling it for $375 or best
offer no
> less than $325 amp to me is quality of a texas star.
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

ha, ha , ha, the cookie does more than the noname. well aint that a
kick in the pants lowrider, comode, comode,....cd is out....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4177. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 22:26:20 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a4bbd29.314500@news.cet.com>
Source record: 65509

On Thu, 28 Dec 2000 18:09:09 GMT, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>So, for you, Sparky, I will change my example.
>Let's compare one 50 ohm line to two 100 ohm lines in parallel.
>
>You could launch 50 volts at 1 amp down one 50 ohm line.
>You could launch 50 volts at 0.25 amp down one 100 ohm line.

I'll assume you meant to say 0.5 amp, not 0.25 amp.

>With two 100 ohm lines, you get a net of 50 volts at 1 amp --
>THE SAME AS A SINGLE 50 OHM LINE!

Works for me.

Sparky, FLRC

4178. Re: FS:Cookie built 1200 plus $360

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 22:39:48 UTC
From: "Mr. Pain &#65533;" <mrpain@keydowns.com>
Message-ID: <92gffj$394$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65513

Seems to be alot of Cookie Amps for sale, are they set to self destruct
before Jan 1st 2001? Just Kidding!!
MR.PAIN&#65533;

```
In article <92ge6m$28s$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <92gc7g$ls$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> Buffalo Soldier <BACKDOORMAN@HOTVOICE.COM> wrote:
> > This is one great amp built by Mike better known as Cookie monster
> this baby
> > is 6 pills 2879 air cooled with a large fan and amp has ssb delay
> put in
> > it.I use it all this grinding season and amp worked fine i know this
> is not
> > a davemade or x-force but the quality is a lot similar to one.I
use a
> > Modified texas star dx-250hdv for a driver which is for sale
> $100.00.This
> > would be a great set up for any cross country driver.I also have a
8
> pill
> > amp built by No name for sale i posted a few days ago for $375.00
the
> cookie
> > 6 pill does more than this amp so i am selling it for $375 or best
> offer no
> > less than $325 amp to me is quality of a texas star.
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
> >
>
> ha, ha , ha, the cookie does more than the noname. well aint that a
> kick in the pants lowrider, comode, comode,....cd is out....
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

--

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/
```

4179. Re: FS-Siltronix 1011D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 22:42:49 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <6fgn4t81892fej68qbq2p5uae3fuf2h39m@4ax.com>
Source record: 65512

On 28 Dec 2000 18:47:13 GMT, timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com) wrote:

>must you insist on showing your ignorance towards radios while at the same time
>preaching about them? the radio in question is as legal as any old drake,
>heathkit, or swan.
>
snip

If a Sil is so easily snuffed out of E-bay then so should any other
amateur radio.

TS-940s, MP1000, IC706mk2G ect ect. They should all be treated
equally. Just contact the below thread and complain that these
radios can easily be modified to operate on the CB band. This is
illegal.

Therefore E-bay is hereby notified that if they let this illegal
auction continue then it would potentially include E-bay as an
active participant in such illegal activity.

http://pages.ebay.com/help/basics/select-RS.html

4180. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 22:58:00 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <4ufn4t8fbhjr78q7au7ngi00svtvfmdli1@4ax.com>
Source record: 65514

Greetings!

On 26 Dec 2000 11:23:56 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with the
Mouse, Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> dissertation the following:

:+> Handy Andy <CodysPC@iserve.net> wrote:
:+> :
:+> : On 25 Dec 2000 09:03:19 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with the
:+> : Mouse, Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> dissertation the following:
:+>
:+> : :+> Would you believe anthing that was not quoted from authority? Have you
:+> : :+> tried the various experiments that I have outlined in various posts that
:+> : :+> would allow you to prove or disprove the claims I have made?
:+>
:+> : When I put to the group the conjugate for the 25ohm - j5 - only one
:+> : person made an effort, it was George.
:+>
:+> This has nothing to do with what I asked above.
:+>
:+> The conjugate is trivial to determine on a Smith Chart. Why bother, unless
:+> you need it.

[I really didn't want to say this, but you did ask a second time so I
am forced to say the following]

This is where the word "Authority" tends to fly out the window.
"Unless you need it" is a good phrase to use in this.

The subject of coax length, and the issues of "Some see a change" and
others "Simply does not work" are the crux of this.

I firmly believe in something that does occur, classify me a "Some see
a change" and my stance is due to "Apparent" SWR issues.

I also believe, and state correctly, that "You now have to use this
length of coax all the time" due to the "Apparent" SWR issue.

That is my stand.

Why I stand like this on the issue, is because no one can prove to me
otherwise. Sure some can banter on about credibilities, that's their
business.

To use the word "Authority" is self-defeating, laws of physics apply
here, not laws governed by individuals. A well-learned individual may
know what they're talking about, but resolving a simple issue of coax
length regarding antennas and poor locations should be met with an

open mind. Not dictatorship or Totalitarian doctorates. Someone should have the answer, I believe I've found some agreement on this regarding what you and I have been posting about...

I know, that when an antenna is resonate at the factory, over a given ground plane image, that antenna has special requirements that it has that same ground plane available at the operators own location. Otherwise we have a problem with SWR.

Although SWR is not a big problem regarding adequate ground plane, an SWR mis match of as high as 3:1 can be tolerated for almost infinite amount of time by a CB radio when it's operated with an ideal ground plane. Losses? That's another story. But, at this juncture, not a big deal.

To say Coax length doesn't matter, simply doesn't work that way, we are dealing with some simple physics problems. I know something is happening, but not too many other people are willing to really hash this over without a flame-fest erupting. I'm trying to be rational about this.

Coax length? Shouldn't matter, "Unless you need it".

:+> : BTW - the SWR scale for 25 to 50 ohm mis-match is not 2:1 it's closer
:+> : to 2.7:1 - 33 ohms is closer to 2:1 mis-match - why? Read about SWR
:+> : meter scaling issues regarding Logarithm, Linear and Scalar.
:+>
:+> Meter scaling issues have nothing to do with it. The meter is calibrated
:+> to display the correct SWR.
:+>
:+> SWR can be calculated, regardless of the meter scaling - as long as the
:+> meter displays the correct forward and reflected wattages.

I'm waiting for James to come through with a post or two, he mentioned something I must question, regarding reactive loading. I don't believe that the SWR for a 25ohm load [with reactive components] is 2:1 - I had a good example of that in my own life.

Now, resistive? This is where I grind to a halt, James brought up a statement regarding reflection coefficient. I would think that any antenna designer worth their salts would be seriously interested in that value. If the designer won't discount it, I don't think we should either. This helps "re-create" the problem to understand the answers.

I've got ta' stop here and call it a day.

Bill, you brought up a good point about "Shifting of resonance" - you are providing a good perspective on this. I appreciate that.

Happy New Year!

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:

4181. Re: Any Brand-New Grant XL's Left in the World?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 23:02:07 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <92ggpf$eem@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 65515

try www.uniden.com they used to have refurbs at a discount. www.bills2way carried them as well.

<zemog@i-55.com> wrote in message news:3A4A88AD.CE6EF3C2@i-55.com...
> Check out http://www.rrcom.com/rrcom.html
>
> Paul Knight wrote:
>
> > The subject says it all; are there any brand-new, unmodified Grant XL radios
> > left in the world? If so, could some kind soul point me towards a dealer?
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Paul WD8DKY
>

4182. Re: FCC website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 23:11:16 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <92ghak$i2o@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 65516

Thanks for the link. But do you think anyone reallly cares? 4watts and your not s'pose to talk to anyone far away. What else does a person with a simple CB have to know? Oh I forgot no profanity either.

"n3qxc" <n3qxc@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:D9f26.74943$w35.12850475@news1.rdc1.nj.home.com...
> Great search engine for docs on radio regulation.
>
> http://www.fcc.gov/search/wordsearch.html
>
>
>
>

4183. FS//SBE Console ll plus Tram Diamond 10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 23:12:44 UTC
From: dxbricks1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001228181244.08798.00000213@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 65517

Selling a Console ll with a Tram Diamond 10-- works fine and is cosmetically very nice--Price is 90.00 plus actual shipping...Email if interested and I will send Pics and will try to answer any questions ...

K C
Dxbricks1@aol.com

4184. Re: LINEARS AMPS FORSALE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 23:17:07 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <92ghlj$ccv@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 65518

Now, that was intelligent use of the English language. Just like a 10 year trying to string a bunch of 4 letter words together and don't know what they mean. Keep your foul language to yourself or say good-bye to your ISP.

<elmerpee@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:92ecgafej1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <92d9lp$j09$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:
> > In article <92cs3g$89e$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > elmerpee@my-deja.com wrote:
> > > Hey if you want a good deal on a Linear AMP 160-11 Meter {HAM USE
> > ONLY|
> > > You know what i mean Virn.Contact Good-Old Boy Justin Depolis at
> email
> > > justinde@pacbell.net Hey he has a PacBell email addres you might
> get a
> > > good HOT Computer CHEEP too.He gave me a good deal so want too pass
> it
> > > one too you.He NOT A HAM so he can get by with saleing these linears
> > > for ham and cb radio.
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > http://www.deja.com/
> > >
> >
> Hey Good Buddy Dan Dan the Monkey Man how many dick have you SUCK
> today,Dum ass if you would READ befor you climb a damm tree you might
> learn some thing.COCKSUCKER or in CW .... ..- -.-. -.- . -
>
> > what the hell are you talking about??????? haven't you been reading
> > the mail good buddy???, we are under hamster-weasel fink duress. we
> > can't talk about such things around here. now if you were to say that
> > this good buddy was selling, uh, qrp cb virgin radios,
> > wellllllllllllllllllllll no problem,....cd is out...
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
> >
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

4185. cb radio linear amplifier...help pls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 23:30:26 UTC
From: shahar <lev-ari@internet-zahav.net>
Message-ID: <3A4BCD12.6C58EE36@internet-zahav.net>
Source record: 65523

hi
i want to build a 100-1500 watt amp to my mobile cb....
if you have a project you tried or schematics it will help me allot

thanks all
lev-ari@inter.net.il

4186. WANTED: CB and Misc parts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 23:30:31 UTC
From: canadian@turbosport.com
Message-ID: <3a4bccc2.178356963@news.peterboro.net>
Source record: 65519

Looking for older c.b. radios, 40/23 channel
am/ssb and other older gear. working or not

such as antennas,desk mics, amps
meters,filters,etc

willing to swap for pc parts new/used as i work
in a pc store, if your interested in something like
this email me direct and tell me what you have.

4187. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 23:35:06 UTC
From: Rat Bastard <ratb@ratsnest.com>
Message-ID: <K6Q26.3995$0h.509010@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 65520

Andy, throw that MFJ 259 in the trash that has to be what you are using, any maroon would know that 25 ohms or 100 ohms are a 2:1 swr,seems you are arguing things and you dont understand even the simplest equation.

"Handy Andy" <CodysPC@Iserve.Net> wrote in message news:9tbn4t8iq07kf0ampjghn69crijbonjeeb@4ax.com...
> Greetings!
>
> Thanks James, have to quote the whole thing though to ask a
> question...
>
> On Thu, 28 Dec 2000 20:13:43 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
> the Mouse, jdaggett@gate.net dissertation the following:
>
> :+> On Tue, 26 Dec 2000 14:00:17 -0500, Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
wrote:
> :+>
> :+> *Greetings!
> :+> *
> :+> *You mean to tell me then, that 25 ohms load is 2:1 SWR?
> :+> *
> :+> *Is that correct?
> :+> **********
> :+> Yes:
> :+>
> :+> RC = (ZO - ZL)/(ZL + ZO)
> :+>
> :+> where RC is the reflection coefficient
> :+> ZL is the load impedance
> :+> ZO is the characteristic impedance of the transmission line
> :+>
> :+> In the example ZO = 50 ZL = 25
> :+> Therefore we obtain
> :+>
> :+> RC = (50 - 25)/(50 + 25)
> :+> RC = 25/75
> :+> RC = .33333
> :+>
> :+> Since the there is no imaginary part of the reflection coefficient the
magnitude
> :+> will equal the real part. ie .33333
>
> The above is what I have to stop and do a "Whoa" on, now since we are
> looking at completely resistive loading, I can understand 2:1 SWR

> while using a 25 ohm resistor.
>
> It's the part concerning the magnitude and reflection coefficient.
>
> I need to know why it's being discounted here, is it due to no
> reactive loads, or simply plain resistance...?
>
> This may be why I don't see a 2:1 swr when dealing with reactive loads
> showing 25ohms, with reactive components.
>
> It's hard to get past this point without further clarity, I think we
> might be discounting, or disregarding, an important point here.
>
>
> :+>
> :+> Now we calculate SWR
> :+>
> :+> S = (1 + |RC|)/(1-|RC|)
> :+>
> :+> Where S is the SWR reading and |RC| is the magnitude of the reflection
> :+> coefficient. Now from our example we obtain:
> :+>
> :+> S = (1 + .33333) / (1 - .33333)
> :+> S = 1.33333/0.666667
> :+> S = 1.999985
> :+>
> :+> or close enough to call it 2
> :+>
> :+> james
>
>
> Regards!
> :+> Andy <+:

4188. Re: cb radio linear amplifier...help pls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 23:37:36 UTC
From: shahar <lev-ari@internet-zahav.net>
Message-ID: <3A4BCEC0.2248A239@internet-zahav.net>
Source record: 65522

for 100- 150 oops .....

shahar wrote:

> hi
> i want to build a 100-1500 watt amp to my mobile cb....
> if you have a project you tried or schematics it will help me allot
>
> thanks all
> lev-ari@inter.net.il

4189. Re: FS-Siltronix 1011D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 23:42:34 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4njvdkbnp3cf1@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65524

Roger that!

Jeff

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:19792-3A4BB664-52@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> HEhehe...I poofed him awhile ago, along with his uninformed and pink
> crusading compadre and didn't even get to see what he wrote other than
> what was in your post, Jeff. I certainly would never "steal" anything
> from anyone, and his analogy is void of comparison. His words are empty
> and hollow which is why I poofed him. He simply has no merit.
>

4190. Re: FS-Siltronix 1011D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 23:45:03 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4nk42jdc5iv88@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65525

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:6fgn4t81892fej68qbq2p5uae3fuf2h39m@4ax.com...
> On 28 Dec 2000 18:47:13 GMT, timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com) wrote:
>
> >must you insist on showing your ignorance towards radios while at the
same time
> >preaching about them? the radio in question is as legal as any old drake,
> >heathkit, or swan.
> >
> snip
>
> If a Sil is so easily snuffed out of E-bay then so should any other
> amateur radio.
>
> TS-940s, MP1000, IC706mk2G ect ect. They should all be treated
> equally. Just contact the below thread and complain that these
> radios can easily be modified to operate on the CB band. This is
> illegal.
>
> Therefore E-bay is hereby notified that if they let this illegal
> auction continue then it would potentially include E-bay as an
> active participant in such illegal activity.
>
> http://pages.ebay.com/help/basics/select-RS.html

Well, if I was an anal little fussbudget like Voober, I guess I'd jump at
the chance. I'm not.. so I won't...
Good day!

Jeff

4191. Re: FS:Cookie built 1200 plus $360

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-28 23:57:53 UTC
From: Jesse Stanley <superstar@eurekanet.com>
Message-ID: <3a4bd2de$0$1519$4ca6cf20@news.eurekanet.com>
Source record: 65526

Well to be honest Mike AKA Cookie Monster builds a good amp I used to run a 2pill of his 2 1446's did 285 Peak He also had good pricing on them.

Jesse
Mr. Pain &#65533; <mrpain@keydowns.com> wrote in message
news:92gffj$394$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Seems to be alot of Cookie Amps for sale, are they set to self destruct
> before Jan 1st 2001? Just Kidding!!
> MR.PAIN&#65533;
>
> In article <92ge6m$28s$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:
> > In article <92gc7gls1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> > Buffalo Soldier <BACKDOORMAN@HOTVOICE.COM> wrote:
> > > This is one great amp built by Mike better known as Cookie monster
> > this baby
> > > is 6 pills 2879 air cooled with a large fan and amp has ssb delay
> > put in
> > > it.I use it all this grinding season and amp worked fine i know this
> > is not
> > > a davemade or x-force but the quality is a lot similar to one.I
> use a
> > > Modified texas star dx-250hdv for a driver which is for sale
> > $100.00.This
> > > would be a great set up for any cross country driver.I also have a
> 8
> > pill
> > > amp built by No name for sale i posted a few days ago for $375.00
> the
> > cookie
> > > 6 pill does more than this amp so i am selling it for $375 or best
> > offer no
> > > less than $325 amp to me is quality of a texas star.
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > http://www.deja.com/
> > >
> >
> > ha, ha , ha, the cookie does more than the noname. well aint that a
> > kick in the pants lowrider, comode, comode,....cd is out....
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/

> >
>
> --
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

4192. Re: Any thoughts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 00:02:23 UTC
From: b1ff <b1ff@no-spam.com>
Message-ID: <jwQ26.4012$0h.518053@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 65527

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote :

>I see some of you have a problem if ANYBODY (especially a ham)
> disapproves of illegal operation. You feel you have the right to muzzle
> anybody that doesn't jump on your criminal bandwagon.

Lots of legal ops sandbag and/or post here and are welcomed. You haven't initiated or joined in ANY discussion other than to cry about illegals ad nauseam. We heard you the first time, but you're still trolling. Get a clue.

> I have seen hams
> come into this newsgroup, hat in hand, apologetic and afraid to hurt the
> feelings of illegal outbanders.

Translation: "I command you hams to obey and troll this group with me!! Doug, get back in here and troll!! Uh, guys? Doug? Anybody?"

4193. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 00:10:02 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a4bd62a.6715240@news.cet.com>
Source record: 65528

On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 17:36:04 -0500, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>Total nonsense. It does no such thing. There is no length of 50 ohm
>coax that will transform 37 ohms into 50 ohms.

On Thu, 28 Dec 2000 18:09:09 GMT, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>You could use a quarter wavelength of 25 ohm coax to match a 12.50 ohm
>load to your 50 ohm radio.

Since you have proven that you can make a 25 ohm transmission line
from parallel lengths of 50 ohm coax, I believe that you have
seriously contradicted yourself.

Sparky, FLRC

4194. Re: NEW Chipswitch Manual available!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 00:20:44 UTC
From: ngabuckaroo223@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001228192044.19104.00000178@ng-mc1.aol.com>
Source record: 65529

are you >t's been a few months since

North GA. Buckaroo "223"

4195. Re: cb radio linear amplifier...help pls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 00:22:31 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A4BD947.AE5F0BB8@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65530

http://www.communication-concepts.com/

shahar wrote:
>
> for 100- 150 oops .....
>
> shahar wrote:
>
> > hi
> > i want to build a 100-1500 watt amp to my mobile cb....
> > if you have a project you tried or schematics it will help me allot
> >
> > thanks all
> > lev-ari@inter.net.il

4196. Re: FS-Siltronix 1011D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 00:35:39 UTC
From: jacquesclouseau@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3a56dc42.129800052@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 65531

On Thu, 28 Dec 2000 19:10:24 GMT, aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:

>Otherwise, you're merely a common criminal and your arguments are just
>excuses why you should be allowed to be a criminal and get away with it.

Yes, and you're a common jerk.

Jacques
jacquesclouseau@altavista.com

4197. Re: Siltronix 1011D Illegal for CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 00:38:44 UTC
From: jacquesclouseau@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3a57dcfb.129985022@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 65532

On Thu, 28 Dec 2000 19:32:06 GMT, aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:

>In article <20001228134713.09220.00000057@ng-fm1.aol.com>,
> timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com) wrote:
>> must you insist on showing your ignorance towards radios while at the
>same time
>> preaching about them? the radio in question is as legal as any old
>drake,
>> heathkit, or swan.
>>
>
>If you infer that I am ignorant of the excuses that criminals make to
>buy and sell equipment specifically for illegal operation on 10 and 11
>meters, yes, you are right.
>
>But I'm learning.
>
>Aaron

Blah, blah, blah. What a windbag.

Jacques
jacquesclouseau@altavista.com

4198. Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 00:55:01 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92gnd3$9kr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65533

Oh my goodness I don't know where to begin.

Regarding the Siltronix discussion. Someone gloated that "unmodified" amateur radios are being booted off of eBay and that amateurs have screwed themselves by going after illegal CB's. How in heavens can you guys be so proud of having messed up eBay with your illegal activity so that as a result now the legal people have to suffer? This makes no sense to me. I have to sit down and take an aspirin because the very thought of it is distressing me to no end.

Then I see discussions about how to mod FRS radios for higher power. I ask you, what good can come of this? Must you cretins make FRS into the cesspool of anarchy that CB is?

And now the "phoneman" admits that he is in the illegal CB amplifier business. And that he and Piland work for NASA is ironic. Let me ask you guys a question. What if some bozos decided to use the Shuttle Com Link frequencies to "freeband" on? Would that trouble you? Probably not, since breaking FCC rules doesn't seem to matter to you at all.

And regarding the eBay controversy. No, I never said there were eBay "laws". You charming fellows in your vast ignorance were unable to comprehend a sentence containing a compound subject (e.g. "FCC and eBay"). I'm going to have toi start adding footnotes here with message numbers like Fuller did. Is that what you want?

And please check the profanity. It only serves to detract from your credibility. Although I don't know anyone who would think ANY of you anonymous heroes were credible individuals whose word should be given any credence whatsoever.

Aaron H. Voobner
(That's "Mister" Voobner to you)

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4199. Re: FS:Cookie built 1200 plus $360

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 01:26:49 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7356-3A4BE859-171@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65534

You may have a point, Pain, after all, the joke was on the folks that celebrated the new millenium LAST year. The new millennium begins at midnight, this new years eve.

4200. looking for this tube

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 01:55:55 UTC
From: jvillafane@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001228205555.04425.00000271@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 65535

hello to all i'm looking for a 3cx6000a7 use or blown

4201. Tomahawk "HELP"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 02:03:09 UTC
From: revdavek@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8903-3A4BF0DD-82@storefull-223.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65536

I have found the old mods for the Tomahawk for 10 meter - 11 meter but I just bought a new one and the little board where the jumpers were is not there. Can anyone please give me some help on this radio. The old mod was to move te black jumper from pins 1 and 2 over to 2 and 3 and this is not in the new radio.

Thanks,
Dave.

======
Taking my gun away because I might shoot someone is like cutting my tongue out because I might yell, "Fire!" in a crowded theater.

--- Peter Venetoklis

4202. Re: Johnson transciever tester

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 02:05:16 UTC
From: Carl Motsinger <karl@bsharprecords.com>
Message-ID: <FbQ26.4605$Gc5.151925@news1.atl>
Source record: 65521

What difficulties are you having with
operating this equipment?

The 2x4
Dave4eal <dave4eal@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001227001644.07677.00007259@ng-fy1.aol.com...
> Does anyone have an operating guide or owners manual for a Johnson
tranciever
> tester. Is there any where I could download operating istructions for this
> equipment? If you can help me please email me. Thank you in advance.

4203. Re: Need: schematic for Sharp CB-800 please, thanks, dale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 02:47:24 UTC
From: Gudule <gudule88@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <0XS26.4055$n%.211794@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 65537

Its in the RCC237 (canadian equivalent to Sam's)

4204. Voobner is a dickhead (read)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 02:57:03 UTC
From: phoneman <phoneman01@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <34T26.718$qb2.89505@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 65538

1. I never said I worked for NASA. I was poking fun at your
post implying I built linears.
2. If I did build linears, it would be NONE OF YOUR FUCKING
BUSINESS.
3. If I want to use profanity, I will. (refer to number 2)
4. I really don't give a rats ass what you think or what any of
your other ham bastard buddies think about myself or any
of my other CB associates, and I know I am not alone in this thought.
5. PISS OFF Mr. Voobner.

Love, The Phoneman

PS> Learn to read before you spout off. And if you had half a brain
you would know who I am and what I do for a living......duh!

4205. Re: Johnson transciever tester

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 03:06:20 UTC
From: Gudule <gudule88@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <McT26.4061$n%.215057@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 65540

I have the manual (32 pages)

4206. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 03:07:08 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92gv4p$fi1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65541

In article <92gnd3$9kr$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:
> Oh my goodness I don't know where to begin.
>
> Regarding the Siltronix discussion. Someone gloated that "unmodified"
> amateur radios are being booted off of eBay and that amateurs have
> screwed themselves by going after illegal CB's. How in heavens can
you
> guys be so proud of having messed up eBay with your illegal activity
so
> that as a result now the legal people have to suffer? This makes no
> sense to me. I have to sit down and take an aspirin because the very
> thought of it is distressing me to no end.
>
> Then I see discussions about how to mod FRS radios for higher power. I
> ask you, what good can come of this? Must you cretins make FRS into
the
> cesspool of anarchy that CB is?
>
> And now the "phoneman" admits that he is in the illegal CB amplifier
> business. And that he and Piland work for NASA is ironic. Let me ask
> you guys a question. What if some bozos decided to use the Shuttle Com
> Link frequencies to "freeband" on? Would that trouble you? Probably
not,
> since breaking FCC rules doesn't seem to matter to you at all.
>
> And regarding the eBay controversy. No, I never said there were eBay
> "laws". You charming fellows in your vast ignorance were unable to
> comprehend a sentence containing a compound subject (e.g. "FCC and
> eBay"). I'm going to have toi start adding footnotes here with
message
> numbers like Fuller did. Is that what you want?
>
> And please check the profanity. It only serves to detract from your
> credibility. Although I don't know anyone who would think ANY of you
> anonymous heroes were credible individuals whose word should be given
> any credence whatsoever.
>
> Aaron H. Voobner
> (That's "Mister" Voobner to you)
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

you sound more like fuller everyday,.....cd is out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4207. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 03:07:20 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A4BF15D.4F70C056@some.net>
Source record: 65539

It is the cb mentality to screw up anything related to radio communications.

aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:

> Oh my goodness I don't know where to begin.
>
> Regarding the Siltronix discussion. Someone gloated that "unmodified"
> amateur radios are being booted off of eBay and that amateurs have
> screwed themselves by going after illegal CB's. How in heavens can you
> guys be so proud of having messed up eBay with your illegal activity so
> that as a result now the legal people have to suffer? This makes no
> sense to me. I have to sit down and take an aspirin because the very
> thought of it is distressing me to no end.
>
> Then I see discussions about how to mod FRS radios for higher power. I
> ask you, what good can come of this? Must you cretins make FRS into the
> cesspool of anarchy that CB is?
>
> And now the "phoneman" admits that he is in the illegal CB amplifier
> business. And that he and Piland work for NASA is ironic. Let me ask
> you guys a question. What if some bozos decided to use the Shuttle Com
> Link frequencies to "freeband" on? Would that trouble you? Probably not,
> since breaking FCC rules doesn't seem to matter to you at all.
>
> And regarding the eBay controversy. No, I never said there were eBay
> "laws". You charming fellows in your vast ignorance were unable to
> comprehend a sentence containing a compound subject (e.g. "FCC and
> eBay"). I'm going to have toi start adding footnotes here with message
> numbers like Fuller did. Is that what you want?
>
> And please check the profanity. It only serves to detract from your
> credibility. Although I don't know anyone who would think ANY of you
> anonymous heroes were credible individuals whose word should be given
> any credence whatsoever.
>
> Aaron H. Voobner
> (That's "Mister" Voobner to you)
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

4208. Re: looking for this tube

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 03:08:26 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92gv77fil1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65542

In article <20001228205555.04425.00000271@ng-ft1.aol.com>,
jvillafane@aol.com (JVillafane) wrote:
> hello to all i'm looking for a 3cx6000a7 use or blown
>

sir, why art thou looking for the steel?????????,.....cd is
out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4209. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 03:37:30 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92h0tpgva1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65544

> you sound more like fuller everyday,.....cd is out.....

Well what am I supposed to think of that? Is sounding like Mark Fuller supposed to be a really bad thing? As far as I can see, lots of people in this NG started getting very nervous because he was merely bringing up legal issues.

>It is the cb mentality to screw up anything related to radio
>communications.

I don't know if it the CB mentality or just the mentality of the lowlife type of person. I cannot for the life of me get enthused about purposely "keying over" someone else. The idea of "locking up a channel" or "plugging ears" doesn't appeal to me. Intentionally trying to ruin someone else's communication experience seems like a selfish child's game played only by bullies. And running companies that create or sell products that are designed to help people do that sort of thing seems like a very nasty way to make a living.

I am appalled.

Aaron H. Voobner

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4210. Re: FS-Siltronix 1011D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 03:39:42 UTC
From: radiodoc37@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001228223942.25586.00000251@ng-co1.aol.com>
Source record: 65543

Hi Jeff,
Mike here in Simi Valley
How have you been?
Are you interested in doing some trading on that 1011D
Take care
Mike N6KYD
(805) 526-5487

4211. Re: Kwanzaa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 03:53:29 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a4c0c23@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 65545

Ok--Thanks! Paganism was NOT here 1st. Adam & Eve was here, Noah, and then
the true nation of Isreal. Now dey is called "Christians." Which one has
the most CB's ?
Dave!!!!

"Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:t4n0pujcpf9v59@news.supernews.com...
Dave,
Don't get your panties in a twist. This was not directed towards you.

Jeff

"Dave or Debby" <truth@planetc.com> wrote in message
news:3a4b6ea7@news.planetc.com...
> No, I'm NOT like Sam Cooke (don't know nuthin' about HISTORY) see my Sam
> Cooke offer @ www.planetc.com/users/ministry
>
> I know a lot about History; I know that the History Channels is really the
> PROPAGANDA Channel; read the 2 Babylons by Alex. Hislop 1864 to learn
about
> all of Babylon's holidays!!!! I know CB history too, cause I IS some of
> it!!!!!!!
> Dave!!!!
>
> "Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:t4ll29bv5b0g37@news.supernews.com...
> Do you know anything about history, or are you blinded by your sect's
lies?
> LOL
>
> Jeff
>
> "Preston" <juggernaut@pioneeris.net> wrote in message
> news:3A4A49A8.79C68363@pioneeris.net...
> > What's so sad and sick is a pagan ritual being performed during the
> Christmas
> > season. That's what is *TRULY* sad and sick! I know people from various
> religions
> > and backgrounds that are offended by the timing of Kwanzaa. Actually
> someone (a
> > Hasidic) suggested to me that it should coincide with the cotton harvest
> :)
> >
> > COLD as ICE wrote:
> >

> > > In article <3A4A3019.5DC1708B@pioneeris.net>, Nick Preston
> > > <juggernaut@pioneeris.net> writes:
> > >
> > > >I think everyone agrees, except those without true meaning in their
> > > >life, that Kwanzaa is a second rate celebration that has no real
rooted
> > > >meaning. It is just a self-serving "celebration" with objects stolen
> > > >from the genuine religions. But hey, if worshiping idols and
yourselves
> > > >makes you feel like a better person, then go for it.
> > >
> > > What a sad and sick individual!
> > > If people dont agree with your opinion about Kwanzaa (which has no
real
> place
> > > in this newsgroup) then they must be "without true meaning in their
> lives"
> > > Wow!!
> > > How much worse can it get for you with the fake email address!
> > >
> > > COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It
> don't
> > > mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!
> >
>
>
>
>

4212. Siltronix 1011D is Illegal On CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 03:57:13 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92h22l$hv5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65547

In article <12634-3A4BA046-46@storefull-127.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
> No, you're probably right, my opinion means squat to the jagoffs at
> Ebay. But enough folks write and tell them why they will never, ever
> utilize their service again, and state exactly why, well, a snowball
> effect would be desirable. Look how quick an internet rumor spreads
> across the web. I can write as good as propaganda espousing the con
side
> of their stance as well as they can with the pro, better in fact.
> Boycotts have been effective in the past towards all kinds of
> businesses. Maybe some of their competition auction sites can even put
> that in their PR, such as, "We don't give your information to ANYONE,
> unlike Ebay, who through cooperative efforts with law enforcement,
> compile your personal data and share it with whoever they deem fit".
> This is pure BS, and I'm not referring to just radios on Ebay. Abuse
of
> this system is already in place just waiting to taken advantage of, in
> fact, it probably already occured.
>
>

Hey, why not write directly to the FCC, since their federal regulations
are the reason for the eBay policy? Try and convince them that CB
linears and export radios need to be marketed freely. Tell them all
about the conspiracy to abuse your rights as an illegal radio
operator. And be sure and use words like "jagoff" in your argument.

Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4213. Re: Computerized Virsuses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 04:00:13 UTC
From: Dante'@inferno.net
Message-ID: <92h28djfr6@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 65546
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.family,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <92g288nsn1@nnrp1.deja.com>, thepolishdude@my-deja.com says...
>
>What with all those trunking scanners that people are spending good
>money on and all those trunking programs that let your computer controll
>non-trunkable and trunkable radios and the fact that radios can be
>programmed over the air; leads me to some interestingf questions:
>1.) Can a computerized virus be sent over the air?
While it is posible to send anythgin over the air, I would not worry
about my PC/radio becoming infectred by data that I am monitoring.

>2.) Can a scanner be infected with one or more of these BUGS?
Even fancy radios like the PCR1000, that have EERAM, do not accpt a
program that could be infected, but only accept data. Bogus data might require
a hard reset, but that would the worst.

>3.) Can a cellphone be infected?
Not today, but as they make phones smarter, it could happen some day.
>4.) Is there an anti-virus program that can get rid of a
> bug like that?
No bug, no cure..
>5.) Can those PALM type computers be infected too?
This is a funny one, I won a 2 litre Dr. Pepper back in August from a
friend, we had made a bet a year ago that someone would take the time to
create a virus for a Palm computer. I missed the event, but 3 or 4 days before
the bet was to expire Macafee announced a Palm virus and a cure. As the owner
of a brand new plam unit I will have to learn a lot more about their uses and
risks.

4214. KLV ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 04:12:16 UTC
From: DCLIFF@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5785-3A4C0F20-91@bsg-storefull-1111.public.svc.webtv.net>
Source record: 65608

Anyone know about this company?Where is it? How is their quality?

Dennis in GA.

4215. Re: WANTED: CB and Misc parts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 04:14:12 UTC
From: Carl/Melanie <melanie@mail.icongrp.com>
Message-ID: <3A4C0F93.82F84F38@mail.icongrp.com>
Source record: 65598

i try to email you on what i got. my e-mail is vortec98@hotmail.com
e-mail me!!!!!

4216. FOR SALE COBRA 148FGTLDX+

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 04:18:11 UTC
From: Carl/Melanie <melanie@mail.icongrp.com>
Message-ID: <3A4C1082.91276640@mail.icongrp.com>
Source record: 65599

SELLING A ALL MODE MOBILE RADIO. 541 CHANNELS. FINE TUNE IS OPEN UP TO
TRACK TX , AND IT HAS VARABILE POWER INSTALLED. HAVE BOX, PAPERS,
360.00+ SHIPPING

4217. Re: Siltronix 1011D is Illegal On CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 04:18:32 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001228231832.17856.00000060@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 65600

who cares? and if somebody cares why do they? aaron i'd like you to tell me how you personally have been affected by cb'ers that you feel its your life mission to correct our wrong doings.

>Subject: Siltronix 1011D is Illegal On CB

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4218. FS.RG214u Coax Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 04:20:14 UTC
From: henderson928@cs.com
Message-ID: <20001228232014.29647.00000280@ng-cj1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 65601

http://cgi.ebay.aol.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1204175258
I have 40foot,RG214u Coax cable.Right off the roll,left.It is "New",not
used.Please
check my auction on ebay.Thanks for reading

4219. Re: FS-Siltronix 1011D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 04:23:37 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001228232337.17856.00000061@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 65602

think i'm gonna spend some free time doing just that tnom

>
>If a Sil is so easily snuffed out of E-bay then so should any other
>amateur radio.
>
>TS-940s, MP1000, IC706mk2G ect ect. They should all be treated
>equally. Just contact the below thread and complain that these
>radios can easily be modified to operate on the CB band. This is
>illegal.
>
>Therefore E-bay is hereby notified that if they let this illegal
>auction continue then it would potentially include E-bay as an
>active participant in such illegal activity.
>
>http://pages.ebay.com/help/basics/select-RS.html
>
>
>
>
>
>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4220. Re: FS-Siltronix 1011D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 04:24:07 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <92h3l7$8qr$5@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 65606

tnom@mucks.net wrote:

: If a Sil is so easily snuffed out of E-bay then so should any other
: amateur radio.

There is one major difference. The Siltronix was designed for use on 11 meters. From past experience, very few were purchased by Hams for use on 10 meters. Why should they, when only 10 meters was available, and the rigs all drift badly.

: TS-940s, MP1000, IC706mk2G ect ect. They should all be treated
: equally. Just contact the below thread and complain that these
: radios can easily be modified to operate on the CB band. This is
: illegal.

Actually, modification so that they can operate on 11 meters, if performed by a Ham - is totally legal. Whether we like it or not, as CBers, that is how the regulations read. That does not mean that it is legal to use them on 11 meters - although there is an exception in a life-or-death emergency.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

4221. Re: FS:Cookie built 1200 plus $360

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 04:24:40 UTC
From: lowrider667@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001228232440.21074.00006958@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 65603

your so funny cd.... tell him bring the 6 pill cookie to maryland and i,ll cut his duckplucken lips off >ha, ha , ha, the cookie does more than the noname. well aint that a
>kick in the pants lowrider, comode, comode,....cd is out....

4222. Re: FS.RG214u Coax Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 04:26:49 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A4C1289.B2342446@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65609

Watch out, TW is going to repost this changing your subject from
FS to FA. That really pisses him off when folks slip like that!

HENDERSON928 wrote:
>
> http://cgi.ebay.aol.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1204175258
> I have 40foot,RG214u Coax cable.Right off the roll,left.It is "New",not
> used.Please
> check my auction on ebay.Thanks for reading

4223. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 04:28:59 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001228232859.17856.00000063@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 65604

then why are you so interested in what happens on 11 meters? what happens there stays there and doesnt bother you. (well except for on jr's great collins rigs with no selectivity)

>
>I don't know if it the CB mentality or just the mentality of the lowlife
>type of person. I cannot for the life of me get enthused about
>purposely "keying over" someone else. The idea of "locking up a channel"
>or "plugging ears" doesn't appeal to me. Intentionally trying to ruin
>someone else's communication experience seems like a selfish child's
>game played only by bullies. And running companies that create or sell
>products that are designed to help people do that sort of thing seems
>like a very nasty way to make a living.
>
>I am appalled.
>
>Aaron H. Voobner
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com
>http://www.deja.com/
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4224. WTD: Palomar VC-200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 04:33:51 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <PuU26.200243$U46.6170393@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 65605

I'm looking for the VC-200. I believe they called them Voice Controllers. I really don't remember. I know that there is a VC-100 with scramble and a VC-300 with digital recording. I'm looking for the 200. If anyone has one or knows where one is, please let me know.

Thanks,
The Packrat
spam@n7uic.net

4225. Re: Has anyone heard of a amp builder call pirate in california?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 04:45:17 UTC
From: mobile51@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001228234517.03797.00001494@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 65607

I used to have a Pirate 1X6. It was a Class "C", with a Recieve Amplifier, and Remote. It worked very well, showed good numbers on the Wattmeter, and didn't pull all that much current. Excellent box for the price.
Maniac.

.....
.....
Well, you can be sure of one thing...I will believe the forward swing on the meter a lot more when I see the amp meter go the other way proportionately...
It isn't working if it doesn't draw current.

4226. Re: FS-Siltronix 1011D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 04:48:46 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <OIU26.200320$U46.6173218@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 65610

No the trolls on this group browse ebay daily looking for radios to bitch to ebay about being illegal.

<jeffmayner@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:92eivj$k6c$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
Thrown off Ebay with day left to go. Total at time of cancellation was $202.00. First offer at $200.00 will get the radio and I will pay the shipping. Radio is a very clean example. 8950 is strong. Tunes up great. Minimal drift. I sold the D-104 I had been using but I will include another
Non-amplified D-104 and plug to wire up. Pictures upon request. Reply to (bk43@pacbell.net). All legitimate inquiries will be considered. Heck, even the
illegitimate ones will be. ;-)
One question: Are STOCK 1011D's considered an illegal radio or, has eBay
just gone completely off the deep end?

Jeff Mayner
Ventura, CA

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4227. Re: FS-Siltronix 1011D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 04:57:03 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <zQU26.200374$U46.6174169@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 65611

Not just the newsgroup but the majority of the CB population. Get a life and fight a battle that you can win.

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:92fv7ular1@nnrp1.deja.com...

Perfect example of the damage this NG does by fostering a climate where people are genuinely confused about what's legal and what's not. I don't know what a Siltronix RF output is but I imagine it is more than 4 watts. It also probably has a VFO. And it's probably not type accepted for CB use.

Of course all this appears to mean nothing to the majority of this newsgroup.

Aaron H. Voobner

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4228. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 04:59:56 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92h5o9klt1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65614

<<who cares? and if somebody cares why do they? aaron i'd like you to tell me how you personally have been affected by cb'ers that you feel its your life mission to correct our wrong doings.>>

Oh Tim,

Round and round we go, when will we stop, nobody knows. Is it my life mission to curb CBers, Tim? I hope not. I'm just here to add a little reality to the group discussion.

As far as I can see, you guys in rec.radio.cb have been existing in a fantasy dream world for a long time. In this dream world, high powered linears don't mess up anybody's enjoyment of CB, all frequencies between 25 and 35 MHz are open and up for grabs, and everything illegal is OK, as long as you don't get caught.

Now many of you have awakened momentarily from your self-induced dream world and noticed a couple of recent developments happening out in the real world.

#1. The US Government passed HR2346.
#2. eBay banned CB linears and other illegal CB devices.

Now the average person would see that and conclude that "Gosh, maybe I shouldn't be an illegal CBer". But you, Tim, and many of the others in here are evidently not the average person. Perhaps you think you are special. Or that the laws do not pertain to you. Or that you will only obey the laws that are convenient to obey. Or some such quasi-libertarian hooey.

And technicalities. I have never read so many laughable excuses based on technicalities. "It is OK to have a 10 KW CB linear and a VFO tuned to 32 MHz just so long as you don't use it - wink wink". Etc. And seabag lawyering galore. You guys are like naughty schoolboys who know they are wrong and are trying to weasel out of it any way they can.

Whatever the case, by continuing to deny the fact that you have any accountability for your little radio piracy, you hope to stay blissfully in your little dream world.

Sorry to burst your bubble, guys.

Aaron H. Voobner

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4229. Re: cobra 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 05:02:54 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <2WU26.200396$U46.6175611@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 65612

radios are worth what someone will pay for it

"www.kp82.com" <timnebo@aol.comedy> wrote in message
news:20001228102603.05430.00000264@ng-fm1.aol.com...
hillbily dave had one in the same shape in the box he sold for 350

>I have a new cobra 2000 in the box. It was only taken out to test that it
>was
>working and put back into the closet for many years. It is in the original
>carton and packing material. Does anyone know how much this radio is
worth?
>Thanks, Bill

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4230. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 05:13:05 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-F0A880.00054629122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 65613

In article <3a4bd62a.6715240@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 17:36:04 -0500, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >Total nonsense. It does no such thing. There is no length of 50 ohm
> >coax that will transform 37 ohms into 50 ohms.
>
> On Thu, 28 Dec 2000 18:09:09 GMT, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >You could use a quarter wavelength of 25 ohm coax to match a 12.50 ohm
> >load to your 50 ohm radio.
>
> Since you have proven that you can make a 25 ohm transmission line
> from parallel lengths of 50 ohm coax, I believe that you have
> seriously contradicted yourself.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

I did no such thing.

A quarter wavelength of 25 ohm transmission line will match 12.5 ohms
to 50 ohms.

There is no length of 50 ohm transmission line that will match
37 ohms to 50 ohms.

Where did YOU see a contradiction?

4231. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 05:28:19 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-68E0E5.00210029122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 65615

In article <9tbn4t8iq07kf0ampjghn69crijbonjeeb@4ax.com>,
codyspc@iserv.net wrote:

> Greetings!
>
> Thanks James, have to quote the whole thing though to ask a
> question...
>
> On Thu, 28 Dec 2000 20:13:43 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
> the Mouse, jdaggett@gate.net dissertation the following:
>
> :+> On Tue, 26 Dec 2000 14:00:17 -0500, Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
> :wrote:
> :+>
> :+> *Greetings!
> :+> *
> :+> *You mean to tell me then, that 25 ohms load is 2:1 SWR?
> :+> *
> :+> *Is that correct?
> :+> **********
> :+> Yes:
> :+>
> :+> RC = (ZO - ZL)/(ZL + ZO)
> :+>
> :+> where RC is the reflection coefficient
> :+> ZL is the load impedance
> :+> ZO is the characteristic impedance of the transmission line
> :+>
> :+> In the example ZO = 50 ZL = 25
> :+> Therefore we obtain
> :+>
> :+> RC = (50 - 25)/(50 + 25)
> :+> RC = 25/75
> :+> RC = .33333
> :+>
> :+> Since the there is no imaginary part of the reflection coefficient
> :the magnitude
> :+> will equal the real part. ie .33333
>
> The above is what I have to stop and do a "Whoa" on, now since we are
> looking at completely resistive loading, I can understand 2:1 SWR
> while using a 25 ohm resistor.

It didn't have to be completely resistive loading. That's what
was specified.

25 ohms = 25 + j0 ohms

James' calculations were right on the money.

> It's the part concerning the magnitude and reflection coefficient.
>
> I need to know why it's being discounted here, is it due to no
> reactive loads, or simply plain resistance...?

It is not being discounted. Somebody said 25 ohms and that's
what he used for the calculation. What did you expect?

> This may be why I don't see a 2:1 swr when dealing with reactive loads
> showing 25ohms, with reactive components.

If there were a reactive component, then it wouldn't be 25 ohms;
it would be 25 + jX ohms. This, by the way, would create an
SWR greater than 2:1.

> It's hard to get past this point without further clarity, I think we
> might be discounting, or disregarding, an important point here.

Nothing is being discounted or disregarded. For a 25 ohm load
the SWR is 2:1. The calculations are right here on the table.

> :+>
> :+> Now we calculate SWR
> :+>
> :+> S = (1 + |RC|)/(1-|RC|)
> :+>
> :+> Where S is the SWR reading and |RC| is the magnitude of the
> :reflection
> :+> coefficient. Now from our example we obtain:
> :+>
> :+> S = (1 + .33333) / (1 - .33333)
> :+> S = 1.33333/0.666667
> :+> S = 1.999985
> :+>
> :+> or close enough to call it 2
> :+>
> :+> james
>
>
> Regards!
> :+> Andy <+:

4232. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 05:29:54 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-0F84A5.00223529122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 65616

In article <3a4bbd29.314500@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> On Thu, 28 Dec 2000 18:09:09 GMT, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >So, for you, Sparky, I will change my example.
> >Let's compare one 50 ohm line to two 100 ohm lines in parallel.
> >
> >You could launch 50 volts at 1 amp down one 50 ohm line.
> >You could launch 50 volts at 0.25 amp down one 100 ohm line.
>
> I'll assume you meant to say 0.5 amp, not 0.25 amp.

Yes. I stand corrected.

4233. Re: Kwanzaa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 05:49:13 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001229004913.23864.00003933@nso-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 65617

In article <t4ncothk9mabba@news.supernews.com>, "Jeff Mayner"
<bk43@pacbell.net> writes:

>Should read: ...kill anyone else that do not think exactly as they do?...

Exactly! I said this to him in a previous post!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

4234. Re: Kwanzaa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 05:49:13 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001229004913.23864.00003932@nso-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 65618

In article <3a4c0c23@news.planetc.com>, "Dave or Debby" <truth@planetc.com>
writes:

>Ok--Thanks! Paganism was NOT here 1st. Adam & Eve was here, Noah, and then
>the true nation of Isreal. Now dey is called "Christians." Which one has
>the most CB's ?

Pagans????

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

4235. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 06:56:05 UTC
From: ONE37 <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t4od41su19sc1c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 65619

Gee, for a guy who wants to be a Ham and studying for it, sure knows alot about Ham stuff. All of a sudden you are a subject expert. How is that, Voobie?

> Round and round we go, when will we stop, nobody knows. Is it my life
> mission to curb CBers, Tim? I hope not. I'm just here to add a little
> reality to the group discussion.

REALITY? Where have you been, Aaron? The reality is that CB'ers know this stuff already. Besides, you're a funny guy. I get a kick out of you when you keep repeating yourself over and over and over about legal issues every damned day. I'd figure you would be on some HAM University webpage taking the practice exams. Besides, it doesn't take this long to study and test for a Tech license. Did you pass the exam? Or are you so pissed off that you can't get into the fraternity of Legal psycho-babble-dom?

"Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner"

How am I illegal? Have you seen my station or mobile yet? You'd be surprised...

--

ONE37

"... reality is a frame of mind... stupidity is a state of mind."

4236. Re: WTD: Palomar VC-200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 07:01:26 UTC
From: Big Dog 326 <scott_326@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <92hcs5phq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65620

In article <PuU26.200243$U46.6170393@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>,
"The Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote:
> I'm looking for the VC-200. I believe they called them Voice Controllers.
> I really don't remember. I know that there is a VC-100 with scramble and a
> VC-300 with digital recording. I'm looking for the 200. If anyone has one
> or knows where one is, please let me know.
>
> Thanks,
> The Packrat
> spam@n7uic.net
>

I will be listing one on ebay this weekend! Never used, with box and
manual. I purchased it new and never had a need for it. I will post an
ebay link after I get it listed on ebay.

--
THANK YOU: Scott!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4237. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 07:53:00 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92hfss$rh5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65621

In article <t4od41su19sc1c@corp.supernews.com>,
"ONE37" <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM> wrote:
> Gee, for a guy who wants to be a Ham and studying for it, sure knows
alot
> about Ham stuff. All of a sudden you are a subject expert. How is
that,
> Voobie?
>
>

Well, I guess I know the difference between legal and illegal. So that makes me "an expert in ham stuff" to you. Go figure.

Did I say I was studying for a ham license? OK, I guess I did. Well, I wasn't going to tell you, but since you asked, the truth is that I was studying, but you fellows got me so distracted here in rec.radio.cb that I just put it all aside for now.

See what you've accomplished?

You guys ask me what I want. Well for starters I want Mr. 137 to get a nice, clean FCC type approved 40 channel CB rig with no mods and a decent antenna and I want him to be nice to people on the air. For a change.

Then I want Mr. Tim Kp82 or whatever he calls himself to ditch the phoney callsign, put his illegally-operated ham rig away in the closet and never bootleg again. And get a good haircut while he's at it.

Then I want Jay in the Mojave to post his ham callsign accompanied by a confession about why he is ashamed to be a ham and pretends to be an ignorant redneck type of person who drinks and collects welfare.

Oh yeah, I'd like that Curious Dan guy to take all his illegal crap, generators, blowers, pills, amplifiers, coax, and whatever he's smoking and dump it in a big 55 gallon drum and pour gasoline into it and drop a lit match into it.

And just for good measure I'd like Scott Unit 69 to put all his overpowered illegal radio gear in the trunk of Mobile51's car and drive it straight into the Chesapeake Bay at high tide until it sinks.

Last but not least I'd like to see ExportsRUs, Brass, DaveMade, IceColdNYC, XForce, Slash, RazorBlade, GeekBoy, DummyLoad, LawBreaker, Earplugger, Toadstool, and whoever else is involved in manufacturing illegal CB amps and promoting the idiotic "sport" of Keydown Competition to turn themselves in to the nearest FCC field office and beg to be

locked up and have the keys thrown away.

(Oh well. I can dream, can't I?)

Aaron H. Voobner

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4238. Best Online CB Store

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 11:33:48 UTC
From: Paul <P_gilbertson@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A4C7711.465A0064@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65622

I'm looking for a reliable, honest online store with a big inventory of
CB products. Any recommendations? Thanks all.
-Paul

4239. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 11:46:53 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a4c7922.1757050@news.cet.com>
Source record: 65623

On Fri, 29 Dec 2000 05:13:05 GMT, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>A quarter wavelength of 25 ohm transmission line will match 12.5 ohms
>to 50 ohms.
>
>There is no length of 50 ohm transmission line that will match
>37 ohms to 50 ohms.
>
>Where did YOU see a contradiction?

Here:

On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 17:30:06 -0500, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>There is NO length of 50 ohm coax that will transform an non-50 ohm load
>into 50 ohms. It simply cannot be done!

Sparky, FLRC

4240. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 12:38:08 UTC
From: qrm2000@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001229073808.04165.00003241@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Source record: 65624

>I see discussions about how to mod FRS radios for higher power.

Probably the most useless topic on the planet. You already can buy higher power radios for FRS, but guess what? They eat up the batteries quickly, and the range is at best two blocks farther than stock units. Additional power does very little good on FRS.

So is it worth the $100-plus price difference? Not hardly!

QRM

4241. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 12:52:19 UTC
From: qrm2000@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001229075219.04165.00003242@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Source record: 65625

>From: timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com)

>
>then why are you so interested in what happens on 11 meters? what happens
>there
>stays there and doesnt bother you.

This question surfaces about once a year in this NG.

Answer:
(1) Illegal radios cause interference to adjacent frequencies. Overmodulation spills into the 10-meter amateur band--even when the transmitting station is many miles away.

(2) CBers with export radios cannot resist the temptation to try them on every "channel". In some cases, the operator does not even realize he is in the amateur bands because the radio does not have a frequency indicator. The operator sees only switches with BANDS and CHANNELS.

(3) Some mobile CB equipment is so powerful that it kicks off the cruise control on nearby automobiles. No big deal. But what if the driver in a nearby car is wearing a pacemaker which should not be exposed to strong RF fields?

Tim says that what happens on 11 meters "stays there and doesn't bother" anyone. Wrong. It doesn't stay there. And that's why CBers are getting so much attention.

When CB stayed between channels 1 and 40, nobody cared what happened there. You never find hams or the public screaming because a CBer is using channel 19A.

But when you do things that annoy the public or which cause problems for adjacent radio services, be prepared for what you receive!

QRM

4242. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 12:58:44 UTC
From: qrm2000@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001229075844.04165.00003243@ng-mh1.aol.com>
Source record: 65626

>
>Kwanzaa: "is a festive, non-religious celebration, founded in 1966 by
>Dr. Maulana Karenga, during which African Americans celebrate
>and reflect upon their rich heritage.

What ever happened to just being an American. Why do we need wildcard
qualifiers in front of the word?

QRM

4243. Re: Kwanzaa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 13:16:55 UTC
From: Preston <juggernaut@pioneeris.net>
Message-ID: <3A4C8EC7.78809B06@pioneeris.net>
Source record: 65627

I have been watching the conversation turn to an attack on either me or what your perception of what my beliefs may be. This is solid proof that what I said in my original post, that Kwanzaa is a crap holiday, is completely true. It has been proven by those that have chosen to attack me rather than debate using the facts, it just had to come to a personal attack. There is no defending it because there is no real substance to defend. You just can't face the truth because it would mean going against the politically correct system and feel compelled to perpetuate lies and make up half-truths. More attacks against me or what I possibly believe in will only serve to prove my point. I seriously doubt you know anything about Kwanzaa or other religious holidays, other than the liberal propaganda you read in the newspaper.

COLD as ICE wrote:

> >Should read: ...kill anyone else that do not think exactly as they do?...
>
> Exactly! I said this to him in a previous post!

4244. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 13:41:01 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <pu4p4totgi6ihu0vrm9muhio0pss3ji0cl@4ax.com>
Source record: 65628

Greetings!

Let's start simple...
Remove the 1/8 wavelength.

I'm willing to settle for 1.7 SWR - I don't know about you. Especially
if it's pure resistive. No sense in adding to this problem.

On Wed, 27 Dec 2000 03:28:27 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
the Mouse, Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com> dissertation the
following:

:+> In article <dltg4tc8teqjt15aghccv12n16u88etc4u@4ax.com>,
:+> codyspc@iserv.net wrote:
:+>
:+> > Greetings!
:+> >
:+> > Thank you. The basis is, antenna is not reactive, provides 37 ohms
:+> > resistive at frequency of interest.
:+> >
:+> > Therefore, antenna and ground image will offer same impedance, 37 ohms
:+> > non reactive to any length.
:+>
:+> Well, if I add 1/8 wavelength of 50 ohm coax, the impedance becomes
:+> 47.8 + j14.6 ohms. This has the reactive component that you claim
:+> is necessary and sufficient. So, what length of 50 ohm coax do I need
:+> to match this now?

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:

4245. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 13:46:23 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <d25p4t0bstuvgq2d78m6c58cskigrpjla5@4ax.com>
Source record: 65629

Greetings!

First, you might want to check the antenna, it may be assembled
incorrectly.

Did you check the mount?

Remember, the trouble with antennas, is not just the reactance.

Seeing this type of results lends be to believe that the problem lies
in the coaxial connections. Do you have an open ground or are we
measuring at the feedpoint?

Either way, the classic result is that if the antenna and mount can be
fixed first - let's do it.

How am I to trust your word that this antenna will be resonate at the
specific frequency? A 3:1 SWR - are you sure you're not seeing that
now?

On Wed, 27 Dec 2000 03:25:11 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
the Mouse, Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com> dissertation the
following:

:+> In article <pi3g4t0m9vpasmrlqs5qm9ibt4s9mj9org@4ax.com>,
:+> codyspc@iserv.net wrote:
:+>
:+> > Greetings!
:+> >
:+> > Sorry Doc, no reactive jX component - can't apply.
:+> >
:+> > That's the difference!
:+> >
:+> > If you had a reactive jX component - coax length trim can help you.
:+> >
:+> > So therefore, to answer your question - you simply have a resistive
:+> > load, with no reactive elements - you will never achieve the 1:1 SWR.
:+> >
:+> > Is an SWR of 1.7 acceptable?
:+>
:+>
:+> OK, smart guy, if I have an antenna impedance of 50 + j57.75 ohms,
:+> what length of 50 ohm coax do I need to bring down this 3:1 SWR?

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:

4246. Re: Need: schematic for Sharp CB-800 please, thanks, dale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 13:51:00 UTC
From: djenkins@NOSPAMamcoas.com
Message-ID: <3a4d96ac.163897157@198.77.1.4>
Source record: 65630

Would they have a web site?

On Fri, 29 Dec 2000 02:47:24 GMT, "Gudule" <gudule88@sympatico.ca>
wrote:

>Its in the RCC237 (canadian equivalent to Sam's)
>

4247. Re: Has anyone heard of a amp builder call pirate in california?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 14:18:12 UTC
From: "Lil' Bump (In the middle of the road)" <zip406@peoplepc.com>
Message-ID: <3a4c9ddb@flexnet239.uunt.net>
Source record: 65631

I second that!!!

"Mobile 51" <mobile51@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001228234517.03797.00001494@ng-md1.aol.com...
>
> I used to have a Pirate 1X6. It was a Class "C", with a Recieve Amplifier,
and
> Remote. It worked very well, showed good numbers on the Wattmeter, and
didn't
> pull all that much current. Excellent box for the price.
> Maniac.
>
> ....
> ....
> Well, you can be sure of one thing...I will believe the forward swing on
the
> meter a lot more when I see the amp meter go the other way
proportionately...
> It isn't working if it doesn't draw current.

4248. George/AB0PO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 15:11:22 UTC
From: stator <stantley@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <q6ap4tgpollaerihp5p4gc0ifuu0bl5p6k@4ax.com>
Source record: 65632

Who is this guy, George/AB0PO? Why on earth would he want to publish
this article on HAM 11meter that's so inflamatory..."...I for one
think that the creation of a ham sub-band MIGHT just scare enough CB
operators into abiding by the rules, then again it could backfire and
cause even more incroachment by cb operators into our 10m band.".
---
change cold to hot in email address
to reply

4249. Re: Illegal Mods To FRS Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 15:17:09 UTC
From: stator <stantley@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <pkap4t44m9bpkqrgr3sjns2pt2mcq0fd0p@4ax.com>
Source record: 65633

On Thu, 28 Dec 2000 21:06:42 GMT, "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)"
<upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:

>I would just get an HT and modify it for out of band if I wanted greater
>range on FRS or MURS. The HT has an external antenna connector.
>
>I just posted that to make Aaron's blood pressure boil!
>

Get a dual band Alinco and cut the blue wire, then reset the computer.
There you will have MURS, GMRS, and FRS with the wattage you seek.
Also, in a much better designed package than the talkabout.

Did Aaron's just blow a gasket?
---
change cold to hot in email address
to reply

4250. Re: looking for this tube

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 15:23:39 UTC
From: Train <tra1n@aol.com>
Message-ID: <%%136.55974$nM5.8531410@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 65634

Me thinkth he wanteth it for use in thine chariot my good sir.
Train
<curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:92gv77fil1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <20001228205555.04425.00000271@ng-ft1.aol.com>,
> jvillafane@aol.com (JVillafane) wrote:
> > hello to all i'm looking for a 3cx6000a7 use or blown
> >
>
> sir, why art thou looking for the steel?????????,.....cd is
> out.....
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

4251. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 15:33:12 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <521-3A4CAEB8-9@storefull-125.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65636

Hello 2000! Can't call ya' QRM2000 'cause ya' stole that one from bob
on 555. Why would you take one of his aliases?

4252. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 15:33:38 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <t7236.3329$XW4.174975@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 65635

"Sparky" <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message
news:3a4c7922.1757050@news.cet.com...
> On Fri, 29 Dec 2000 05:13:05 GMT, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >A quarter wavelength of 25 ohm transmission line will match 12.5 ohms
> >to 50 ohms.
> >
> >There is no length of 50 ohm transmission line that will match
> >37 ohms to 50 ohms.
> >
> >Where did YOU see a contradiction?
>
> Here:
>
> On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 17:30:06 -0500, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >There is NO length of 50 ohm coax that will transform an non-50 ohm
load
> >into 50 ohms. It simply cannot be done!
>
> Sparky, FLRC

And he hasn't contradicted himself here, either. There are two common stunts of coax to coax matching that I have used: the electrical quarter-wave (one-fourth lamda times velocity factor) section in which a section of coax of an impedance near the geometric mean of the source and load is used at the load -- called a Q section for reasons I have not a clue about -- and the 4:1 coaxial balun that matches the balanced effective 200 ohms or so of a folded dipole in real space to 50 unbalanced pretty well (in spite of loading the dipole with a bit of weight if one is not careful).

While the latter might if one were a bit of a sophist be said to be a contradiction, the Q-section most certainly is not. It requires a coax of *other than 50 ohms* to do its magic. By setting up a situation in which the mismatchs at both ends are equal, power is simply reflected back and forth until it gets it right -- much the same thing the antenna does in the near field to match the 377 ohm input impedance of the universe. It raises the current in the Q section a tad but not enough to be noticeable in terms of IR loss. It is, of course, extremely difficult to cause a mismatch between two pieces of coax with the same characteristic impedance so he hasn't contradicted himself one bit.

Dick N&#65533;BK

4253. Re: FS:Cookie built 1200 plus $360

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 15:39:56 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92ib8bfcq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65637

In article <20001228232440.21074.00006958@ng-fc1.aol.com>,
lowrider667@aol.com (Lowrider667) wrote:
> your so funny cd.... tell him bring the 6 pill cookie to maryland
and i,ll
> cut his duckplucken lips off >ha, ha , ha, the cookie does more than
the
> noname. well aint that a
> >kick in the pants lowrider, comode, comode,....cd is out....
>
>

hey ice cold , check it out. mr no name is talking stuff,.......cd is
out.......

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4254. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 15:43:52 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <523-3A4CB138-1@storefull-125.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65639

1) Dammit! Sent that before I meant to. To correct your erroneous statement, "SOME illegal radios MAY cause interference"

2) You're so far removed from reality you have no clue what is taking place. Most I know with exports can give two squats about anything in the ten meter region and above. The radio has no frequency counter? You really aren't aware of what's going on, are you?

3) If you are on a pacemaker, how much time you figure you got? Most of the new ones are chip implant controls with a sort of "default" self correcting mode when it detects something amiss. Never happened, never will, and you can't cite otherwise.

And lastly, why would the public give a flying leap no matter where one operates since it doesn't affect them? Answer: They don't, and either should you! Please explain how 19A is interfering with other radio services any more than any frequency at random.

4255. Cb radios for sale.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 16:05:24 UTC
From: lowrida24@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001229110524.05430.00000449@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 65638

Galaxy 2517 $300 plus shipping

Cobra 2000Gtl $275 plus shipping

Robyn 520-D $125 plus shipping

ALL radios are in mint shape.

4256. Re: FS:Cookie built 1200 plus $360

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 16:17:58 UTC
From: lowrider667@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001229111758.05313.00000150@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Source record: 65640

ha ha ha hey cd all you got to do is come on down .and get your lips cut clean off . and i,ll run dave amps no name ,skullcracka it don,t matter my truck talks that good . >hey ice cold , check it out. mr no name is talking stuff,.......cd is
>out.......

4257. Re: George/AB0PO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 16:28:26 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <9Q236.2360$8Y.25847@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 65641

"stator" <stantley@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:q6ap4tgpollaerihp5p4gc0ifuu0bl5p6k@4ax.com...
> Who is this guy, George/AB0PO? Why on earth would he want to publish
> this article on HAM 11meter that's so inflamatory..."...I for one
> think that the creation of a ham sub-band MIGHT just scare enough CB
> operators into abiding by the rules, then again it could backfire and
> cause even more incroachment by cb operators into our 10m band.".

I've read that article.... AB0PO is dreaming if he thinks the FCC will
return
11 meters to Amateurs. And hams would be STUPID if they thought all the
equipment that's been manufactured for the 11m band will just disappear
if they took over the band.

NEEEEEEEEEEEVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVEEEER HAPPEN!

4258. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 16:32:37 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-CA9F4A.11251729122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 65642

In article <3a4c7922.1757050@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> On Fri, 29 Dec 2000 05:13:05 GMT, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >A quarter wavelength of 25 ohm transmission line will match 12.5 ohms
> >to 50 ohms.
> >
> >There is no length of 50 ohm transmission line that will match
> >37 ohms to 50 ohms.
> >
> >Where did YOU see a contradiction?
>
> Here:
>
> On Tue, 12 Dec 2000 17:30:06 -0500, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >There is NO length of 50 ohm coax that will transform an non-50 ohm load
> >into 50 ohms. It simply cannot be done!
>
> Sparky, FLRC

There is no contradiction there. That says there is no length of
"50 ohm coax" that will perform a match. The other example is using
"25 ohm coax" to perform a match.

4259. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 16:34:02 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <8W236.4364$XW4.184119@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 65643

"ONE37" <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:t4od41su19sc1c@corp.supernews.com...
> Gee, for a guy who wants to be a Ham and studying for it, sure knows alot
> about Ham stuff. All of a sudden you are a subject expert. How is that,
> Voobie?

Wait'll he gets a license.... you ain't seen nothing yet! ..Seen it too
many times before.

4260. Not a smart move Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 16:34:53 UTC
From: the_weak_one@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92iefd$i9r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65645

As I was scanning down through the flamewars of the NG I couldn't help
but notice one of my old pals being slammed by you Boobner.
Accusing "Phoneman" of build linears is probably not one of the
smartest things you have done lately. I wouldn't want to be the one to
piss phoneman off. Those of you who have had dealings with him
personally and know who he works for know why, I'm sure.
This is one guy you want to be your friend, not foe. Trust me.
I think the exact phrase Phoneman used before he ridded the
rec.radio.cb newsgroup of one of it's more annoying pests like yourself
last time was "You know, the interesting thing about the Internet and
Newsgroups is that you never know WHO or WHAT the person is that is
sitting behind the keyboard of the computer making posts under a
certain name". THINK ABOUT THIS STATEMENT Goobner. Maybe you'll be the
next pest he turns his attention to. I'm sure it wouldn't hurt the
feelings of several of the old timers here. I know it didn't last time
he did us a favor......

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4261. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 16:36:39 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A4CAF09.9826DAFC@some.net>
Source record: 65644

twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:

> 1) Dammit! Sent that before I meant to. To correct your erroneous
> statement, "SOME illegal radios MAY cause interference"
>
> 2) You're so far removed from reality you have no clue what is taking
> place. Most I know with exports can give two squats about anything in
> the ten meter region and above.

Then why do I hear so many cbers with export radios on 10 meters?

> The radio has no frequency counter? You
> really aren't aware of what's going on, are you?

Oh really? Then why when I ask them if they know which frequency they are
on
all they can say is, "I don't know, all I know is I am on channel xx"?

4262. Re: Illegal Mods To FRS Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 16:44:22 UTC
From: GUYMO <unknown@unknown.net>
Message-ID: <Gb336.40781$3B5.1679791@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 65646

This sounds like the answer I was looking for!
Which Alinco and which blue wire?

stator <stantley@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:pkap4t44m9bpkqrgr3sjns2pt2mcq0fd0p@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 28 Dec 2000 21:06:42 GMT, "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)"
> <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >I would just get an HT and modify it for out of band if I wanted greater
> >range on FRS or MURS. The HT has an external antenna connector.
> >
> >I just posted that to make Aaron's blood pressure boil!
> >
>
> Get a dual band Alinco and cut the blue wire, then reset the computer.
> There you will have MURS, GMRS, and FRS with the wattage you seek.
> Also, in a much better designed package than the talkabout.
>
> Did Aaron's just blow a gasket?
> ----------------------------------
> change cold to hot in email address
> to reply
>

4263. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 16:47:45 UTC
From: oneqck76ta@aol.com.com.com
Message-ID: <20001229114745.01844.00000168@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Source record: 65647

>In article <t4od41su19sc1c@corp.supernews.com>,
> "ONE37" <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM> wrote:
>> Gee, for a guy who wants to be a Ham and studying for it, sure knows
>alot
>> about Ham stuff. All of a sudden you are a subject expert. How is
>that,
>> Voobie?
>>
>>
>
>Well, I guess I know the difference between legal and illegal. So that
>makes me "an expert in ham stuff" to you. Go figure.
>
>Did I say I was studying for a ham license? OK, I guess I did. Well, I
>wasn't going to tell you, but since you asked, the truth is that I was
>studying, but you fellows got me so distracted here in rec.radio.cb that
>I just put it all aside for now.
>
>See what you've accomplished?
>
>You guys ask me what I want. Well for starters I want Mr. 137 to get a
>nice, clean FCC type approved 40 channel CB rig with no mods and a
>decent antenna and I want him to be nice to people on the air. For a
>change.
>
>Then I want Mr. Tim Kp82 or whatever he calls himself to ditch the
>phoney callsign, put his illegally-operated ham rig away in the closet
>and never bootleg again. And get a good haircut while he's at it.
>
>Then I want Jay in the Mojave to post his ham callsign accompanied by a
>confession about why he is ashamed to be a ham and pretends to be an
>ignorant redneck type of person who drinks and collects welfare.
>
>Oh yeah, I'd like that Curious Dan guy to take all his illegal crap,
>generators, blowers, pills, amplifiers, coax, and whatever he's smoking
>and dump it in a big 55 gallon drum and pour gasoline into it and drop a
>lit match into it.
>
>And just for good measure I'd like Scott Unit 69 to put all his
>overpowered illegal radio gear in the trunk of Mobile51's car and drive
>it straight into the Chesapeake Bay at high tide until it sinks.
>
>Last but not least I'd like to see ExportsRUs, Brass, DaveMade,
>IceColdNYC, XForce, Slash, RazorBlade, GeekBoy, DummyLoad, LawBreaker,

>Earplugger, Toadstool, and whoever else is involved in manufacturing
>illegal CB amps and promoting the idiotic "sport" of Keydown Competition
>to turn themselves in to the nearest FCC field office and beg to be
>locked up and have the keys thrown away.
>
>(Oh well. I can dream, can't I?)
>
>Aaron H. Voobner
>
>
>

No i'm starting to feel left out! Oh well!

Later,
oneqck76ta@aol.com
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta

You've got "459" out of Minneapolis Minnesota and I'm reading the mail

**********Hippie Freak**********
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta/cbradio

4264. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 16:48:29 UTC
From: oneqck76ta@aol.com.com.com
Message-ID: <20001229114829.01844.00000169@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Source record: 65648

>In article <t4od41su19sc1c@corp.supernews.com>,
> "ONE37" <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM> wrote:
>> Gee, for a guy who wants to be a Ham and studying for it, sure knows
>alot
>> about Ham stuff. All of a sudden you are a subject expert. How is
>that,
>> Voobie?
>>
>>
>
>Well, I guess I know the difference between legal and illegal. So that
>makes me "an expert in ham stuff" to you. Go figure.
>
>Did I say I was studying for a ham license? OK, I guess I did. Well, I
>wasn't going to tell you, but since you asked, the truth is that I was
>studying, but you fellows got me so distracted here in rec.radio.cb that
>I just put it all aside for now.
>
>See what you've accomplished?
>
>You guys ask me what I want. Well for starters I want Mr. 137 to get a
>nice, clean FCC type approved 40 channel CB rig with no mods and a
>decent antenna and I want him to be nice to people on the air. For a
>change.
>
>Then I want Mr. Tim Kp82 or whatever he calls himself to ditch the
>phoney callsign, put his illegally-operated ham rig away in the closet
>and never bootleg again. And get a good haircut while he's at it.
>
>Then I want Jay in the Mojave to post his ham callsign accompanied by a
>confession about why he is ashamed to be a ham and pretends to be an
>ignorant redneck type of person who drinks and collects welfare.
>
>Oh yeah, I'd like that Curious Dan guy to take all his illegal crap,
>generators, blowers, pills, amplifiers, coax, and whatever he's smoking
>and dump it in a big 55 gallon drum and pour gasoline into it and drop a
>lit match into it.
>
>And just for good measure I'd like Scott Unit 69 to put all his
>overpowered illegal radio gear in the trunk of Mobile51's car and drive
>it straight into the Chesapeake Bay at high tide until it sinks.
>
>Last but not least I'd like to see ExportsRUs, Brass, DaveMade,
>IceColdNYC, XForce, Slash, RazorBlade, GeekBoy, DummyLoad, LawBreaker,

>Earplugger, Toadstool, and whoever else is involved in manufacturing
>illegal CB amps and promoting the idiotic "sport" of Keydown Competition
>to turn themselves in to the nearest FCC field office and beg to be
>locked up and have the keys thrown away.
>
>(Oh well. I can dream, can't I?)
>
>Aaron H. Voobner
>
>
>

Now i'm starting to feel left out! Oh well

Later,
oneqck76ta@aol.com
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta

You've got "459" out of Minneapolis Minnesota and I'm reading the mail

**********Hippie Freak**********
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta/cbradio

4265. Re: Best Online CB Store

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 16:51:41 UTC
From: oneqck76ta@aol.com.com.com
Message-ID: <20001229115141.01844.00000170@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Source record: 65649

>
>
>I'm looking for a reliable, honest online store with a big inventory of
>CB products. Any recommendations? Thanks all.
>-Paul
>
>

www.bills2way.com and www.expresselectronics.com
Had real good luck with the two.

Later,
oneqck76ta@aol.com
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta

You've got "459" out of Minneapolis Minnesota and I'm reading the mail

**********Hippie Freak**********
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta/cbradio

4266. Re: KLV ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 16:57:41 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001229115741.00730.00004194@ng-mm1.aol.com>
Source record: 65650

cheap ass italian shit.

>
>Anyone know about this company?Where is it? How is their quality?
>
>Dennis in GA.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4267. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 17:01:37 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001229120137.00730.00004196@ng-mm1.aol.com>
Source record: 65651

aaron, why do you only answer part of my questions? its obvious your ducking like de la hoya. now please tell me how we as illegal and proud operators bother you. you keep saying were hurting other cb'ers so does that make you a cb'er? is it true what we thought about you being upset because guys like me wont let you talk? just another mud duck crying here cuz nobody can hear him on the radio.

>
>Oh Tim,
>
>Round and round we go, when will we stop, nobody knows. Is it my life
>mission to curb CBers, Tim? I hope not. I'm just here to add a little
>reality to the group discussion.
>
>As far as I can see, you guys in rec.radio.cb have been existing in a
>fantasy dream world for a long time. In this dream world, high powered
>linears don't mess up anybody's enjoyment of CB, all frequencies between
>25 and 35 MHz are open and up for grabs, and everything illegal is OK,
>as long as you don't get caught.
>
>Now many of you have awakened momentarily from your self-induced dream
>world and noticed a couple of recent developments happening out in the
>real world.
>
>#1. The US Government passed HR2346.
>#2. eBay banned CB linears and other illegal CB devices.
>
>Now the average person would see that and conclude that "Gosh, maybe I
>shouldn't be an illegal CBer". But you, Tim, and many of the others in
>here are evidently not the average person. Perhaps you think you are
>special. Or that the laws do not pertain to you. Or that you will only
>obey the laws that are convenient to obey. Or some such
>quasi-libertarian hooey.
>
>And technicalities. I have never read so many laughable excuses based on
>technicalities. "It is OK to have a 10 KW CB linear and a VFO tuned to
>32 MHz just so long as you don't use it - wink wink". Etc. And seabag
>lawyering galore. You guys are like naughty schoolboys who know they are
>wrong and are trying to weasel out of it any way they can.
>
>Whatever the case, by continuing to deny the fact that you have any
>accountability for your little radio piracy, you hope to stay blissfully
>in your little dream world.
>

>Sorry to burst your bubble, guys.
>
>Aaron H. Voobner
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com
>http://www.deja.com/
>
>
>
>
>
>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4268. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 17:05:47 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001229120547.00730.00004198@ng-mm1.aol.com>
Source record: 65652

and what will this do for you personally? you'll grow old and die waiting because i think i have a few years on you. and its odd how women love this haircut ;-) i got all the digi cam pics to prove it too hahaha i'm not a idiot with no life that loses sleep over what some guy on the internet that i dont even know is doing.

>Then I want Mr. Tim Kp82 or whatever he calls himself to ditch the
>phoney callsign, put his illegally-operated ham rig away in the closet
>and never bootleg again. And get a good haircut while he's at it.

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4269. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 17:09:51 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001229120951.00730.00004201@ng-mm1.aol.com>
Source record: 65653

yeap, your nobody till boobner talks about you. now i feel like illegal royalty. wonder if i can bootleg on the royal crown freqs without bothering him or hurting his feelings??

>Now i'm starting to feel left out! Oh well

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4270. Re: FS.RG214u Coax Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 17:33:54 UTC
From: Dave <cubit@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <92ii14$c3o2$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 65654

What is RG214u?

I'm somewhat familiar with RG58, RG8, RG59, RG56, RG6, RG274, and Times Microwave LMR-400, but what the heck is RG214U, and why use it?

What is special about RG214U?

4271. Scanner Dweeb comic # 90 (Branching out)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 17:47:47 UTC
From: scannerdweeb@aol.comicstrip
Message-ID: <20001229124747.24949.00000639@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 65655

The 90th episode of
The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb comic strip
titled " Branching out "
is ready for your viewing pleasure at
http://www.ScannerDweeb.com
And thanks for your support of the hobby of radio !

The Adventures of Scanner Dweeb comic strip
http://www.ScannerDweeb.com
http://scannerdweeb.netfirms.com
http://www.ScanShack.com
Special monthly episodes, not shown
on the web site, can ONLY be seen
in Popular Communications magazine !

4272. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 17:59:20 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11814-3A4CD0F8-22@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65658

JR, probably because:

A) you're hearing the minority of cbers on ten, which would probably cooincide with channel 19 on the truckers radios, and B) You're probably looking for it.

The truckers that run on ten have no clue they are even on ten for the most part. And they are in no way indicative of typical export radio users, no more than you are indicative of the, of the, the,.....just who do you crow the loudest for? And why?

4273. Re: Not a smart move Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 18:02:24 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <92ijjg$dla@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 65656

This Boobner guy is just another social reject. You know the type no friends, no girls or guys unless he paying for it and he sits in a dark corner of his basement throwing deadkeys or trolling newsgroups for attention. Ignore him and eventually he'll jump off a roof or pull the trigger.

<the_weak_one@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:92iefd$i9r$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> As I was scanning down through the flamewars of the NG I couldn't help
> but notice one of my old pals being slammed by you Boobner.
> Accusing "Phoneman" of build linears is probably not one of the
> smartest things you have done lately. I wouldn't want to be the one to
> piss phoneman off. Those of you who have had dealings with him
> personally and know who he works for know why, I'm sure.
> This is one guy you want to be your friend, not foe. Trust me.
> I think the exact phrase Phoneman used before he ridded the
> rec.radio.cb newsgroup of one of it's more annoying pests like yourself
> last time was "You know, the interesting thing about the Internet and
> Newsgroups is that you never know WHO or WHAT the person is that is
> sitting behind the keyboard of the computer making posts under a
> certain name". THINK ABOUT THIS STATEMENT Goobner. Maybe you'll be the
> next pest he turns his attention to. I'm sure it wouldn't hurt the
> feelings of several of the old timers here. I know it didn't last time
> he did us a favor......
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

4274. Re: Siltronix 1011D is Illegal On CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 18:05:40 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <92ijpk$j6b@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 65657

That is right who cares what Boobner thinks. Nobody on a CB would waste a breath talking to him. Ignore him.

"www.kp82.com" <timnebo@aol.comedy> wrote in message news:20001228231832.17856.00000060@ng-ck1.aol.com...
> who cares? and if somebody cares why do they? aaron i'd like you to tell me how
> you personally have been affected by cb'ers that you feel its your life mission
> to correct our wrong doings.
>
>
>
> >Subject: Siltronix 1011D is Illegal On CB
>
>
> 73 de Tim
> Knight Patrol #82
> http://www.kp82.com

4275. Re: Best Online CB Store

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 18:20:59 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a4cd77c@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 65659

I believe you are looking for me. What I don't have I can get. E mail me with questions @ truth@planetc.com . Partial CB list @ http://members.aol.com/larrypahl/dudes2.htm
I am on line & off line--analog & digital!!!!! A 1 man operation! Free delivery from K town to Cleveland, Ohio, within 2 miles of the tank trail!
Dave!!!

"Paul" <P_gilbertson@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3A4C7711.465A0064@hotmail.com...
I'm looking for a reliable, honest online store with a big inventory of CB products. Any recommendations? Thanks all.
-Paul

4276. Re: Kwanzaa - what a useless holiday!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 18:41:58 UTC
From: thepolishdude@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92iltlogt1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65661

THat is becasue we are all from someplace else except if you are an Indian as in this qualifier: Native as in Native-American. That is the end of that.

In article <20001229075844.04165.00003243@ng-mh1.aol.com>, qrm2000@aol.com (Qrm2000) wrote:
> >
> >Kwanzaa: "is a festive, non-religious celebration, founded in 1966 by
> >Dr. Maulana Karenga, during which African Americans celebrate
> >and reflect upon their rich heritage.
>
> What ever happened to just being an American. Why do we need wildcard
> qualifiers in front of the word?
>
> QRM
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4277. Psycho Alien Race and "MASS" Murders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 18:56:38 UTC
From: americanmanzo@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92imp3pbl1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65662
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.uk

I'm investigating the recent shooting at the Edgewater Technology
software company?

From the looks of things it appears as if the employee was being
attacked by his "CESS Alien Co-workers". A similar
situation happened here in the Alien Agency AREA called Cincinnati.

An oriental woman working at a packaging facility(re-packing soap
products and batteries for K-Marts and other
department stores)
had an argument with a CO-worker, she then left and came back
with a revolver and a few clips___she then pump a few rounds into
various CO-workers.

They said she was on medication because she was depressed and was
having problems with here CO-workers.

I worked at those packaging facilities and most of those workers are
"ALIENS" or dead people(Aliens also). When a human
works in their presence they are attacked by the alien race. Attacked
meaning, alien hits to the brain and other parts of the
body resulting
in extreme pain, anger, and disorientation. Once the alien race
decides to attack a human___they don't stop until the human is
murdered(Taken Down Unfairly).

So, what I'm saying is that this software worker was probably the only
human on the job and he was trapped by the alien
race
(same thing happened to me when I received a phone call job offer from
a mysterious U.S. Army Colonel in 1987. I was a
graduate
student at North Carolina State University, poor, and without
many friends___this mysterious colonel called me up and offered
me the job of a lifetime. The colonel was from OHIO and this
state is CESS Alien Agency. The only reason they offer jobs to
human beings is to trap and kill them.

I'm still working on the story but it looks like another situation
where the Alien Race has violated the rights of an American
citizen. Also, note the shooters appearance. When the CESS Alien Race
attacks a human being___they refuse to allow the
human to
cut their body hair. If you shave___they deform your face. If
you cut your hair___they hit and torture you.

If you think that human beings become mass murderers because
they have money problems___think again. The are other factors
(CESS Alien Agency) that are probably the cause of the
behavior of this worker.

More information about the CESS Alien Agency and how the Agency
is taking over this country and enslaving/exterminating the human race
can be found on my investigative news magazine.

The only news magazine on the internet that publishes news articles
about the "Alien Agency" and does not articles
written by the "Alien Agency".

I need publicity because I was trapped by the RACE and my life is being
censored. Also, I am a prisoner of the RACE,
being kept on the streets of their cities.

So, I demand publicity. Use shortwave, pirate radio, cb, etc....
There are aliens here and they are abducting human beings and
forcing them to live in their HIVES___were the humans are
sacrificed. Also, please re-post!

My site is being censored so the RACE can write fraudulent history
about me and what they are doing!

Hmmmm? I wonder why the "RACE" is insisting on gun control?

The Amendments stand, including the amendment that gives American
citizens the right to protect themselves with "what-ever" means
necessary? What till they find out who the real enemy is!

Thank you,
--
Wayne Manzo,
Net Publisher, Plaintiff
http://mansue.com
http://alienxnation.tripod.com
513-766-2003-1110(voice,fax)
wmanzo@yahoo.com
wmanzo@onebox.com(all e-comm appears to be censored especially those
emails offering me money in order to sponsor my case, website, and tv
show!)

WAYNE 1:11
THERE IS NO GOD!
THERE ARE ALIENS HERE!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4278. Re: Not a smart move Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 19:11:22 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001229141122.11747.00005684@ng-mm1.aol.com>
Source record: 65664

i agree 1000% and have thought it myself many times.

>Ignore him and eventually he'll jump off a roof or pull the
>trigger.

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4279. Re: Best Online CB Store

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 19:11:24 UTC
From: nick250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001229141124.07706.00012006@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 65663

>www.bills2way.com and www.expresselectronics.com
>Had real good luck with the two.

I also endorse those two sites.

4280. Re: FS:Cookie built 1200 plus $360

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 19:15:27 UTC
From: -=PEAKABOO=- <tappingdatass@hotmail.comode>
Message-ID: <rp536.465$F8.28713@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Source record: 65665

Hey CD, just lay that steel across his lips.

"Lowrider667" <lowrider667@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001229111758.05313.00000150@ng-fl1.aol.com...
> ha ha ha hey cd all you got to do is come on down .and get your lips cut
clean
> off . and i,ll run dave amps no name ,skullcracka it don,t matter my truck
> talks that good . >hey ice cold , check it out. mr no name is talking
> stuff,.......cd is
> >out.......
>
>
>

4281. Aaron Voobner is a Fake Trolling Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 19:26:14 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A4CE556.34C410A9@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65666

Just search for "Voobner" and you'll get ZERO hits!

aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> And just for good measure I'd like Scott Unit 69 to put all his
> overpowered illegal radio gear in the trunk of Mobile51's car and drive
> it straight into the Chesapeake Bay at high tide until it sinks.

You'd have better luck dreaming of a new year. Just dream for 3 more
days and it will be 2001. What channel do you hang on, Aaron? I'd
like to call you so you can hear how clean my stuff is. I'm a
sidebander. The quickest way to be ignored and ridiculed on sideband
is to sound like crap. But you'll never know with your little Radio
Shack AM only pea-picker with cellular look-a-like antenna.

So where is Mobile51? I've never heard 'em on my radio.

4282. Re: FS:Cookie built 1200 plus $360

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 19:32:01 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A4CE6B1.A1241CBB@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65667

Hey, let me know when you're coming on down. I'll roll the
watergate in B-town!!!

-=PEAKABOO=- wrote:
>
> Hey CD, just lay that steel across his lips.
>
> "Lowrider667" <lowrider667@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20001229111758.05313.00000150@ng-fl1.aol.com...
> > ha ha ha hey cd all you got to do is come on down .and get your lips cut
> clean
> > off . and i,ll run dave amps no name ,skullcracka it don,t matter my truck
> > talks that good . >hey ice cold , check it out. mr no name is talking
> > stuff,.......cd is
> > >out.......
> >
> >
> >

4283. Theoretical ? for Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 19:35:15 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001229143515.11747.00005685@ng-mm1.aol.com>
Source record: 65668

ok guys, lets just say for a minute the fcc stepped up enforcement and totally cleaned up all the illegal radios in the USA and our territories. assuming this happened what are you going to do about the hundred of thousands of people in central america, south america, and other places that are still freebanding and encroaching on ten meters??????? the biggest problem is not within our borders therefore you guys worried about cleaning up the states are looking very narrowly at a pig picture and will never even come close to solving the problem. even if 11 meters is totally eleminated it is truely a world wide band that crosses all borders and cant be controlled.

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4284. Re: Psycho Alien Race and "MASS" Murders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 20:07:57 UTC
From: yomama <yo@ma.ma>
Message-ID: <3A4CEF1B.1B1E9C35@ma.ma>
Source record: 65669
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.uk

Hahaha! good one.

Another kook heard from.

4285. Re: Psycho Alien Race and "MASS" Murders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 20:12:24 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4131-3A4CF028-7@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65673

Damn, Wayne! This is old news! We have been talking to these aliens for years on our modified outlaw radios. Only the cbers can do this, as the bands where these aliens make their present known is forbidden to ham operators. Would you like me to send a message for you? The great exalted Garn himself uses a Yaesu (modified, of course) and when the northern lights are zapping us, we can do magic. You did see the movie "Frequency", did you not? Well, where do you think they got the idea? Thats right! They were spying on our transmissions cause the aliens are actually the Flintstones reincarnated, and Dino is head of security. Remember that lab in New York, the whole David Berkowitz and son of sam thing? Dino made him do it. See, what no one mentioned is the lab was wearing one of those voice command type collars and the Garn modded his rig for Dino and Dino actually told the Lab what to say through his collar. The lab was under alien powers of suggestion, much like you have already exposed. Thank you and let me know if Pebbles (Have you seen her since she grew up? Wow) gets a radio.

4286. Re: Psycho Alien Race and "MASS" Murders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 20:37:46 UTC
From: fotoralf@gmx.de
Message-ID: <1emf7wo.148qptj11rdklqN%fotoralf@gmx.de>
Source record: 65671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.uk

<americanmanzo@my-deja.com> wrote:

> I need publicity because I was trapped by the RACE and my life is being
> censored. Also, I am a prisoner of the RACE,
> being kept on the streets of their cities.

Sure. Fine. Easy now. Just sit down here and relax.

Is there a doctor in the room? Someone call an ambulance, please. Quick!

Ralf

--
Ralf R. Radermacher - DL9KCG - Kï¿½ln/Cologne, Germany
http://www.free-photons.de

e-mail from trash accounts (deja, yahoo) automatically rejected

4287. Threats To Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 20:37:46 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92ismq$u94$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65675

In article <92iefd$i9r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
the_weak_one@my-deja.com wrote:
> As I was scanning down through the flamewars of the NG I couldn't help
> but notice one of my old pals being slammed by you Boobner.
> Accusing "Phoneman" of build linears is probably not one of the
> smartest things you have done lately. I wouldn't want to be the one to
> piss phoneman off. Those of you who have had dealings with him
> personally and know who he works for know why, I'm sure.
> This is one guy you want to be your friend, not foe. Trust me.
> I think the exact phrase Phoneman used before he ridded the
> rec.radio.cb newsgroup of one of it's more annoying pests like
yourself
> last time was "You know, the interesting thing about the Internet and
> Newsgroups is that you never know WHO or WHAT the person is that is
> sitting behind the keyboard of the computer making posts under a
> certain name". THINK ABOUT THIS STATEMENT Goobner. Maybe you'll be the
> next pest he turns his attention to. I'm sure it wouldn't hurt the
> feelings of several of the old timers here. I know it didn't last time
> he did us a favor......
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

Oy. Now I'm being threatened by people with names like "phoneman" and
"the weak one" in postings that are simply dripping with melodramatic
touches. Honest to God, it's like a bunch of kids playing "mafia".

Next you'll be threatening to send me package bombs. And for what?
Because you want to stay illegal on CB - and I make you uncomfortable?
Not a good reason.

Aaron H. Voobner

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4288. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 20:40:19 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a4cf3dd.550458@news.cet.com>
Source record: 65670

On Fri, 29 Dec 2000 16:32:37 GMT, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>There is no contradiction there. That says there is no length of
>"50 ohm coax" that will perform a match. The other example is using
>"25 ohm coax" to perform a match.

The other example is using 25 ohm transmission line, which is actually two equal lengths of 50 ohm coax in parallel. Doing a quick calc for a series section transformer yields 0.825' of 25 ohm transmission line (2 x 0.825' of RG-8) followed by 2.484' of RG-8. Total length is 3.309', and total length of RG-8 used is 4.134'.

The amount of 50 ohm coax needed to match a 37 ohm load to a 50 ohm source is 4.134 feet.

Sparky, FLRC

4289. Re: Aaron Voobner is a Fake Trolling Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 20:41:49 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4ptofqkdjs801@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65672

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3A4CE556.34C410A9@hotmail.com...
> Just search for "Voobner" and you'll get ZERO hits!
>
> aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:
> >
> > And just for good measure I'd like Scott Unit 69 to put all his
> > overpowered illegal radio gear in the trunk of Mobile51's car and drive
> > it straight into the Chesapeake Bay at high tide until it sinks.
>
> You'd have better luck dreaming of a new year. Just dream for 3 more
> days and it will be 2001. What channel do you hang on, Aaron? I'd
> like to call you so you can hear how clean my stuff is. I'm a
> sidebander. The quickest way to be ignored and ridiculed on sideband
> is to sound like crap. But you'll never know with your little Radio
> Shack AM only pea-picker with cellular look-a-like antenna.

Personally, I don't think Aaron has a radio. I don't think he ever really wanted to become an Amateur Radio Operator either. I think he's just a lonely little troll that hangs out in various NG's and does his thing. If I had any spare time and energy, I'd go to deja and see if he's posting anywhere else. Got a life though and can't be bothered with Aaron's antics.

Jeff

>
> So where is Mobile51? I've never heard 'em on my radio.

4290. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 20:45:39 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92it5iuoa1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65677

> 1) "SOME illegal radios MAY cause interference".

Some illegal operators MAY be busted by HR2346.

> 2) The radio has no frequency counter?

Not a very accurate one.

> 3) If you are on a pacemaker, how much time you figure you got?

You should die so some twerp can run illegal CB? Real compassionate.

> And lastly, why would the public give a flying leap no matter where
>one operates since it doesn't affect them?

Same excuse gangbangers use to justify their existence.

Aaron

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4291. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 20:55:02 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a4cf886.1743484@news.cet.com>
Source record: 65674

On Wed, 27 Dec 2000 20:33:20 GMT, sparky@cet.com (Sparky) wrote:

>>Not so. I explained the contradiction. The one formula -- THE
>>FORMULA THAT YOU RELY ON -- is incorrect. Either the author is
>>incorrect or it is a typographical error. You have not given any
>>explanation how your equation can be correct despite your claims
>>of knowing the theory.
>
>. . . then it really doesn't matter what kind of reference I use, does
>it? You will just say that the author is wrong, or that it has a typo.
>Or call me a liar. So I offered that you can provide a reference that
>even _you_ don't disagree with. I don't have a problem with that, do
>you?

It has been a couple days, can't you find a reference that you don't
disagree with?

>>I get no response from the librarians in Indiana.
>>
>>I've got plenty of references. I already quoted the ARRL Handbook
>>section which contradicts your equation but you can't seem to find
>>any explanation for the contradiction.
>
>Email me a list. I'll see what I have and what I can get. I'll do the
>same. Any problem with that?

Is Indiana still shut down? What about your other references? If you
are afraid of disclosing your true identity through email, then just
post your list of references, and I'll do the same. Do you want my
list first?

Sparky, FLRC

4292. Re: FS.RG214u Coax Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 20:57:27 UTC
From: stator <stantley@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <hfup4tsrttpenef22n2gtgu5hgsr9upfdn@4ax.com>
Source record: 65676

On Fri, 29 Dec 2000 09:33:54 -0800, "Dave" <cubit@prodigy.net> wrote:

>What is RG214u?
>
>I'm somewhat familiar with RG58, RG8, RG59, RG56, RG6, RG274, and Times
>Microwave LMR-400, but what the heck is RG214U, and why use it?
>
>What is special about RG214U?
>

RG214 is basically RG213 but with 50% more shielding (60db versus
40db) according to times microwave. We use LMR400 at work which
outperforms both RG213 and RG214 hands down for what we do
(microwave). I still use RG8X at home however. Check out
www.timewsmicrowave.com
---
change cold to hot in email address
to reply

4293. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 21:06:35 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a4cfc48.2705001@news.cet.com>
Source record: 65678

On Wed, 27 Dec 2000 20:33:20 GMT, sparky@cet.com (Sparky) wrote:

<snip>
>So tell everybody all about your credentials and get it out of your
>system. And don't forget to disclose your true identity so that they
>can be verified.

Ignored. Just as I thought it would be.

Sparky, FLRC

4294. Re: George/AB0PO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 21:11:16 UTC
From: stator <stantley@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <8oup4t0uvccb6jdfq3h8q3n3psho3iftpn@4ax.com>
Source record: 65679

On Fri, 29 Dec 2000 11:28:26 -0500, "Cap'n Crunch" <kcfamily@tir.com>
wrote:

>"stator" <stantley@coldmail.com> wrote in message
>news:q6ap4tgpollaerihp5p4gc0ifuu0bl5p6k@4ax.com...
>> Who is this guy, George/AB0PO? Why on earth would he want to publish
>> this article on HAM 11meter that's so inflamatory..."...I for one
>> think that the creation of a ham sub-band MIGHT just scare enough CB
>> operators into abiding by the rules, then again it could backfire and
>> cause even more incroachment by cb operators into our 10m band.".
>
>I've read that article.... AB0PO is dreaming if he thinks the FCC will
>return
>11 meters to Amateurs. And hams would be STUPID if they thought all the
>equipment that's been manufactured for the 11m band will just disappear
>if they took over the band.
>
>NEEEEEEEEEEEVVVVVVVVVVVVVVVEEEER HAPPEN!
>

George seems to be off the beaten path due to his dreaming. MURS will
noway take over the 11meter band for CBers. At 150 and something
megahertz, the characteristics are far too different to get people to
switch over. Plus, the wattage is far too restrictive.

I foresee MURS talking over for FRS for people who are tired of the
FRS disposable radio syndrome and want a better quality HT with a
decent amount of wattage but easy on the batteries. Look for someone
like Pryme in this market early.

To more than a HT platform, MURS needs more frequencies than just four
and a maximum wattage of 25 to 50 watts.

Finally, it is no wonder why ARRL and HAMs are up in arms about the
FCC citizens bands lately. Look at it this way, CBers now have
11m/2m/73cm bands (CB,MURS,FRS). Sounds threaten to people like
George, ARRL, and some other HAMS that appear here in rec.radio.cb.

P.S. George (AB0PO) its "encroachment".
---
change cold to hot in email address
to reply

4295. Re: Psycho Alien Race and "MASS" Murders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 21:31:11 UTC
From: Donald Reeve <don236@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <92ivpv$fb06$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 65680
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.uk

Gee, just a few days ago I ran across another goodie like that one--perhaps the two of you can get a movement going. At the very least you could try swapping your medications....

Weirdness follows:

Please take the time to read my letter. This is not a joke and my lawsuit against the United States is just about to leave the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals and go to the Supreme Court.
I am not looking for people to write me and debate the details of my case unless they can forward the information to the needed people for public disclosure or further legal proceedings.

Re: Charles A. Schlund et al, v. Drug Enforcement Administration and the United States of America et al, Case Number CIV98-1875-PHX-ROS on appeal before the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals Docket No. 00-15-126
In my lawsuit are the plans for the fixing of the presidential elections of the United States by George Bush and the CIA. In 1991 and forward I did work with the FBI and others supplying them with information on the CIA's plans and in 1993 The DEA whom I have always known as a covert operation of the CIA did obtain a warrant under perjury while I was working with the FBI and injected CIA designed monitoring and torture devices into my neck to disable me to stop me from stopping their plans to fix the presidential elections of the United States.
After all negotiations with the government failed and the government continued to torture all of my witnesses and me to cover up the fixing of the presidential elections I went to the FBI and they told me I would have to file a civil suit to stop the torture. I did and this suit is now before the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals and is about to be ruled on.

I am unable to properly continue a lawsuit of this magnitude under torture by the Justice Department ot stop me. I am heavily protected by the FBI and many others and all assassinations attempts against me have been stopped. Torture and sleep deprivation are the rule of the day and the CIA using the DEA and others believes that they will be able to cover up the fixing of the elections by the CIA by the use of torture to disable me.
I need help in contacting Al Gore's legal defense team. I need legal help in filing the parts of the appeal needed before the Supreme Court.Could you please forward this to interested parties as you see necessary to proceed with this legal battle.
The ruling by the Supreme Court was not just a legal ruling it was corruption of the highest level and I have the proof to prove this in court.

I understand that what I'm saying is unbelievable to you and it gets much worse but all of this is public and before the court and has been before the courts for four years now.
Please read one of the many letters I wrote last year, which is enclosed and it might help you in understanding my position to a very small degree. Thanks for your help. Charles A. Schlund
To: The Honorable Kenneth W.
Starr 17 June 1999
Independent Counsel
Office of the Independent Counsel
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington D.C. 20004 Phone 202-514-8688
To: The Honorable Janet Reno and Officer in Charge of Human Rights
Attorney General of the United States
950 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
Room 4400
Washington D.C. 20530-0001 Phone 202-514-2008
202-514-1888
To: John Albano OPR DEA and Officer in Charge of Human Rights violations and
Thomas A. Constantine DEA
P.O. Box 28128
Washington D.C. 20005 Phone 202-307-4359
To: Officer in Charge DEA
230 N. First Ave.
Phoenix Arizona Phone
602-664-5600
To: Members of the Congress and Senate of the United States of
America
Washington D.C.
To: All other Federal agencies of the United States Attn:
Human Rights Officer see Presidential Executive Order 13107
From: Charles A. Schlund
8520 North 54 Th. Drive
Glendale Arizona 85302 Phone 602-931-5963
602-931-6420 Fax 602-847-0765
Mobil
602-670-2017 Pager 602-220-2826
Request: That this complaint from Charles A. Schlund against the United States Department of Justice Drug Enforcement Administration and any other or others involved in the Torture of Charles A. Schlund be forced to terminate the torture. This is a demand for relief from torture and a demand for relief from control as filed in my lawsuit against the United States and or as listed in any of the many letters to the United States Department of Justice and or the Drug Enforcement Administration and or others. This letter is a request to all agencies of the United States to comply with Executive Order 13107 of December 10, 1998 and or other laws listed in my lawsuit against the United

States. It is further requested and demanded that my human rights complaint against the United States be addressed. I further request and demand that this letter is forwarded to all agencies of the United States government for action and relief from torture and control. This duty should fall under the responsibility of the DEA's Officer in charge of human rights. I further charge that the United States is in violation of its human rights treaty obligations and all reports should list these treaty violations. This letter is a demand for a written reply from the DEA and the United States Department of Justice on the use of torture against others and myself under cover of law. This letter is also a demand for relief from torture and other human rights violations as set forth in my letters and lawsuit case number CIV98-1875PHXROS In the district of Arizona. This letter is my complaint against the DEA and others for human rights violations against me as a political witness. It is also my demand that the DEA and the United States Department of Justice allow others and my self to give them the information we have with out torturing us to stop us from supplying information to the DEA and or the United States Department of Justice and or others.
Statement: It is my belief that the following information never should have been released to the public or others that do not have a need to know. It is also my belief that do to the inability of the United States Department of Justice under Janet Reno to stop these human rights crimes and injustices and to in act the rules and laws that are necessary to protect the American people that it is now necessary to release this information. I have threatened the DEA with the release of this information if they refused to stop using torture, control and intimidation against others and my self in the cover-up of the information they and I have. The DEA in return satanically tortured me using the cover of law to protect them from justice. The DEA will claim they are only monitoring me. This is a lie the DEA could monitor my home, truck and jobs with out the use of electronic implants injected in both sides of my neck. The only reason the DEA uses these implants is for the purpose of intimidation, threats, control, and torture and to deprive and or limit my freedom of speech under the cover of law.
Subject: The use of Torture and other human rights violations by the United States Department of Justice Drug Enforcement Administration and or others against political witnesses in the United States of America. The refusal of the United States Department of Justice under Janet Reno and the Drug Enforcement Administration under Thomas A. Constantine to stop the use of Torture against political witnesses and or prisoners in the United States of America. The fixing of elections in the United States of America by the use of covert operations. The running of drugs by the Drug Enforcement Administration and the CIA in the United States of America to finance covert operations against the American People. The use of an Electronic Torture and Control Devices to control and torture political witnesses in the United States of America by the Drug Enforcement Administration and or others. Treason against the American people and the Constitution of the United States of America.
Reference: The Constitution and Bill of Rights of the United States

of America, Protection of Human Rights Act, The Convention Against Torture and Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment of June 26, 1987, other International Laws and other laws of the United States of America. My lawsuit against the United States of America Case Number CIV98-1875PHXROS and many letters to Janet Reno, Thomas A. Constantine, Kenneth Starr, John Albano and many others. Presidential Executive Order 13107 of December 10, 1998.

The following is my letter to all parties listed and involved, which is a demand to the United States of America to terminate the use of torture against me as a political witness against corruption in the United States of America. This is being done by corrupt agents of the United States of America and others that are knowingly, deliberately, intentionally and willfully using torture against my witnesses, others and my self. This is being done to cover-up their crimes against the American People and Charles A. Schlund. This is being done under cover of law as a fabricated, created and false investigation against Charles A. Schlund to justify the use of torture and to obstruct justice and tamper with me as a witness against them.

It is well documented that I have repeatedly demanded that the United States Department of Justice investigate my claims of the use of torture against others and myself. This torture has and is being used against me as a political witness against corruption in the Drug Enforcement Administration and others. Janet Reno and the United States Department of Justice have refused to answer or address the use of torture by the Drug Enforcement Administration against others and myself. Torture is a Crime Against Humanity and the United States Department of Justice can not pretend that it doesn't exist in the United States and allow these corrupt agents to use torture under cover of law with total disregard to Human Rights and the laws of the United States of America. Janet Reno must honor her oath of office to the American People and reply to my claims of torture and terminate the use of torture against me and others. This torture can not be allowed to be covered up under the cover of an investigation by the Drug Enforcement Administration. The DEA has substituted the word investigation for the correct word torture. Torture must not be allowed in the United States of America for any reason. Janet Reno must allow political witnesses their freedom of speech with out the use of torture to deprive them of their freedom of speech. The United States Department of Justice must stop the use of torture by anyone including their own agents. If the United States Department of Justice allows torture then they are as guilty as the corrupt agents that are using torture.

Let me start this part of the letter on to day's date 6 April 1999. I started the day by my lawyer coming over for some paper work and my brother coming over to work with me today. We sat in the dinning room and talked for a while, the DEA only tortured me on low power but it still was torture to some extent. Next I left for work and the torture mode was changed from the mode they use on me while I'm home. When I got to Edson an electrical supply house the torture was turned up and I was tortured severally and satanically. I was getting near the point of passing out. Severely is millions of times less then the torture has

been in the past. I left Edson Electric for the job I was doing today, the DEA kept me about 75% or 85% disabled at this job to deprive me of my ability to work adequately to make enough money to finance my lawsuit against them. (At this point my computer locked up and I lost some of this letter, this only happens when I'm typing letters like this or getting information they don't want me to have off the Internet).
To days date is 20 June 1999 I'm being satanically tortured with the CIA designed monitoring and torture devices that the DEA injected into my neck. This torture rises and falls depending on what I say or what I'm doing. If I say anything political the torture is increased. If I type anything political the torture is increased. If I try to repair anything around the home or do taxes or record keeping the torture is dramatically increased to stop me. If I try to go out or do anything pleasurable to me the torture is dramatically increased. If I go to work I'm satanically tortured on the way there, than after I arrive at work the torture is decreased for their photo session to generate fabricated evidence that I'm not under torture. Any time I step out from in front of their camera the torture is dramatically increased to disable me and deprive me of making a living and all other human rights. This has been and is being done to me ever since I read the files I have always called the Don Bolles Papers. These were all the CIA and other documents concerning the systematic overthrow of the United States of America by the Rockefeller's and the CIA in 1977. These were all the files that had been removed from the government and others under order of Nelson Rockefeller because Jimmy Carter had won the presidential election of the United States.
The torture of me is ever changing and varies greatly from day to day and year to year. If I complain about one kind of torture they then change to another kind of torture and then latter they will go back to the same way of torturing me. Some days they use 100's of different modes of torture against me and on other days they don't torture me so severely. Any statement made by me for that day is truthful but the next day may be totally different. At present they use torture against me every day and have for years. I have informed my torturers of the modes that don't torture me and those are the only modes they refuse to use.
Let me explain what my lawsuit is about before I go any further. I was a witness against the United States Department of Justice Drug Enforcement Administration and many others for crimes against the American People and many others. These crimes are listed as follows. The running of drugs by the DEA and the CIA to finance in part covert operations to control the out come of elections in the United States of America and for many other reasons. The creating of crime in the United States of America to justify the creation of new laws to deprive the American People of their civil rights and liberties that are guaranteed to them in the Constitution and Bill of Rights of the United States of America. The torture, control and murder of political witnesses and many others in the United States of America by the DEA and CIA and others under their control and direction. These murders included Federal Judges, Congressmen, Actors, Singers, Police Officers, Federal Agents, Political Witnesses and many others. The use of the United States

Department of Justice to authorize investigations for political reasons and to use these investigations to imprison, torture, discredit or murder citizens of the United States of America. The use of the United States Department of Justice and the Drug Enforcement Administration and others to funnel funds out of the United States of America to fund political investigations to remove witnesses and or others against the Republican Party and others under their protection or control.
In 1991 I went to the FBI and worked with the FBI against the DEA, CIA, Corrupt Judges and many others. In 1992 after the FBI had confirmed to me that they had the DEA for running the drugs in the United States. I then led the FBI into a much larger investigation into why the DEA had been running the drugs and where the money was going. Lets go back to the Don Bolles papers. In these files that had been removed from the CIA and others under orders of Nelson Rockefeller was why the DEA was formed. The following is what I remember from these files. Richard Nixon's brother had been busted running the drugs and Richard Nixon had gotten into trouble doing the break-ins like Water Gate, which was a CIA covert operation. They now needed a Federal Agency that could do these kinds of covert operations under cover of law. They formed the DEA as a covert operation of the CIA. This then enabled the DEA to do the break-ins and bugging operations like Water Gate with a court ordered warrant as drug investigations. Other covert operations like the running of the drugs could be protected by the DEA monitoring the shipments or they could just run the drugs under cover of law as sting operations. After the drug money was laundered at different location and ways like at Valley National Bank in Arizona the money could then be used to fund covert operations. This money was then used to fund political action committees and others to influence elections in the United States. Let me try to explain to you some of the kinds of operations that were used to influence the people to alter the out come of public elections. This list is not intended as my direct memory even though some of these operations were listed in the files. This list is only intended as an example to help you understand how elections can be influenced. These drug proceeds were used in part as donations to fund Pat Robinson and his TV programming, Other covert operation like the National Rifleman's Association were also funded with this drug money this was done by buying memberships in the NRA. Other moneys from the laundered drug proceeds funded the building of churches for there TV programming and others to direct the people, as they needed them to be directed. Laundered drug money was also used to subsidies the building of the cable TV network. Other moneys were used to support the American Legion who also had a political agenda. Other donations in part funded the Christian coalition and many other political action committees that operate under cover as covert operations. Other organizations like the Heritage Foundation were also in part subsidized by these donations and or by other means. By covertly funding these operations the CIA and others under their control and direction could influence the voters which helped to alter the outcome of elections in the United States. The Don Bolles papers called these operations the systematic overthrow of the United States by the CIA.

The DEA's operations included much more than just the drugs and the laundering of the drug proceeds they also directed covert operation against many of the political targets and or witnesses and they also did the bugging operations against political figures and the corporations that were in competition with those the DEA was formed to protect. After the FBI and many others had enough information the President and the FBI tried to destroy the DEA and have it incorporated into the FBI to control the corruption. Janet Reno blocked this. The FBI and others then had a law passed requiring two agencies to obtain a warrant to monitor the corporations. This was done to stop the industrial espionage by the DEA. Then they had another law passed requiring the DEA to keep its drugs locked down so these drugs could not be used as planted evidence as they have planted the evidence against me. The FBI agents then refused to cooperate with the DEA or share drug information, at this point the Director of the FBI went on the media and ordered the FBI through the media to cooperate with the DEA in drug investigations and share information.

This information is being given to you in an attempt to stop the fixing of the elections in the United States by the covert operations of the DEA and the CIA and others under there control or direction using different forms of the media and others as political action committees. You must understand that I'm being horribly tortured electronically with the implants that were injected in both sides of my neck by the DEA to stop me from being able to give others this information. The FBI, President Clinton and others with this and other information have been able to stop much of the crime in the United States. Since 1991 when I started feeding the FBI the information they needed the crime in the United States has dropped at a rate never before seen. Murders have dropped to about half of what they were and all other crimes have fallen. Death by heart attack has fallen. Death by car accidents per miles driven has fallen. Death by suicide has fallen. All other forms of assassinations have also fallen greatly. The budget of the United States has been balanced and there is a surplus and we have had one of the longest economic expansions in history.

It was not my desire or wishes to give this information or other information publicly. The DEA in its paranoia and by the use of torture against me has forced me to give this information using torture in their attempt to stop the release of this information. I don't mind dying but no one is going to frame me as a drug dealer to justify using torture against me to destroy my entire life and the lives of all others around me. The DEA has knowingly, deliberately and willfully framed me as a drug dealer to destroy my credibility and to justify the use of torture against me to remove me as a political threat; this is a civil and human rights violation. Not only did the DEA inject me with these implants but they also injected all of my witnesses and many others around me. This new technology of being able to gas people in the middle of the night and then take them for photo session with out their knowledge or consent is not within the powers given to the Justice Department. The injecting of monitoring devices and torture devices into mass populations in the United States is also not within the powers of the Justice Department.

These are criminal acts by the United States Department of Justice under Janet Reno. It is my belief that the President can't fire Janet Reno because of her never ending and on going investigations into the Democratic Party and or the President.

Respectfully submitted by
Charles A. Schlund

4296. Re: FS:Cookie built 1200 plus $360

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 21:34:22 UTC
From: lowrider667@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001229163422.12500.00000440@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 65681

hey boo you can come to maryland too and get the smackdown put on ya ass

667 cruz,en this long nose suburban in baltimore is gone >From:
"-=PEAKABOO=-" tappingdatass@hotmail.comode
>Date: 12/29/2000 2:15 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <rp536.465$F8.28713@nnrp3.sbc.net>
>
>Hey CD, just lay that steel across his lips.

4297. Re: Illegal for CB 100Watt to 1400watt HF 80-10M Amplifiers Sale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 21:35:48 UTC
From: Karl Vesterling <kjv@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Us736.199$x5.21526@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 65682

It's not illegal to manufacture, sell, or use as a stepping stool. It is however illegal to operate it in the CB frequency range.

Very simple to understand. But then again w/ Mrs. Reno and her suing tobacco I guess it's possible that one can hold the automobile manufacturer liable for the damages of the wreckless driver.

Is it just me or is the dumbing down of America actually happening, but they're too stupid to notice?

73 N2VQM

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:22926-3A357194-46@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> You asked why he was posting his stuff for sale in here Vooob, and I
> replied the same reasons the hammie cops post in here. Because he wants
> to. You know who the hammie cops are.
>

4298. Re: Kwanzaa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 21:38:55 UTC
From: bomar <bomar76@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <t4pmhcrtlelked@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 65660

You know, I really have no stand or cares on the "Kwanza" issue, but I did follow the link Dave or Debby provided to their website.
Dave or Debby are without a doubt two deeply disturbed individuals.

Dear God:
Protect me from your followers.

"Dave or Debby" <truth@planetc.com> wrote in message news:3a4b6ea7@news.planetc.com...
> No, I'm NOT like Sam Cooke (don't know nuthin' about HISTORY) see my Sam
> Cooke offer @ www.planetc.com/users/ministry
>
> I know a lot about History; I know that the History Channels is really the
> PROPAGANDA Channel; read the 2 Babylons by Alex. Hislop 1864 to learn about
> all of Babylon's holidays!!!! I know CB history too, cause I IS some of
> it!!!!!!!
> Dave!!!!
>
> "Jeff Mayner" <bk43@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:t4ll29bv5b0g37@news.supernews.com...
> Do you know anything about history, or are you blinded by your sect's lies?
> LOL
>
> Jeff
>
> "Preston" <juggernaut@pioneeris.net> wrote in message
> news:3A4A49A8.79C68363@pioneeris.net...
> > What's so sad and sick is a pagan ritual being performed during the
> Christmas
> > season. That's what is *TRULY* sad and sick! I know people from various
> religions
> > and backgrounds that are offended by the timing of Kwanzaa. Actually
> someone (a
> > Hasidic) suggested to me that it should coincide with the cotton harvest
> :)
> >
> > COLD as ICE wrote:
> >
> > > In article <3A4A3019.5DC1708B@pioneeris.net>, Nick Preston
> > > <juggernaut@pioneeris.net> writes:
> > >
> > > >I think everyone agrees, except those without true meaning in their
> > > >life, that Kwanzaa is a second rate celebration that has no real

rooted
> > > >meaning. It is just a self-serving "celebration" with objects stolen
> > > >from the genuine religions. But hey, if worshiping idols and
yourselves
> > > >makes you feel like a better person, then go for it.
> > >
> > > What a sad and sick individual!
> > > If people dont agree with your opinion about Kwanzaa (which has no
real
> place
> > > in this newsgroup) then they must be "without true meaning in their
> lives"
> > > Wow!!
> > > How much worse can it get for you with the fake email address!
> > >
> > > COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It
> don't
> > > mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!
> >
>
>
>
>

4299. Re: Illegal for CB 100Watt to 1400watt HF 80-10M Amplifiers Sale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 21:43:20 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4129-3A4D0578-38@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65688

Well, Mr. Vesterling, I happen to agree with you, there. You should have directed this post to a nonbeliever, such as Voobner or one of his alias', who feel that IF it is at all possible to run it on cb, then it is taboo.

4300. Re: MOVIE: Vertical Limit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 21:53:20 UTC
From: Karl Vesterling <kjv@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <kJ736.201$x5.21968@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 65683
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

How to go about liberal hunting. They're nearly extinct, but if you turn over enough rocks you eventually find one.

Step 1: Go into a green pasture with plenty of grass and field stone.

Step 2: Load weapon with logic and rational reasoning. Check that safety is on.

Step 3: Check that safety is on. Repeat step 3 until sure safety is on.

Step 4: Let out the liberal call: "Conservatives are right wing religious nut cases."

Step 5: Wait patiently for one to come up from the "grass" and exhale saying something like, "Nationalize all industry."

Step 6: Turn safety off, fire weapon. Turn safety on.

Step 7: Check that safety is on. Repeat step 7 as much as necessary to MAKE SURE safety is on.

Step 8: Track wounded liberal by listening for whimpering phrases like, "Socialized medicine." Or the infamous "Gun control."

Step 9: Offer free subscription to the Limbaugh Letter to whimpering liberal.

Al, ya can't have a court say there is 13 eggs in a dozen... It still wouldn't change it.

Well folks, I'm happy to say that the light at the end of the tunnel has shown itself to the Clinton/Gore administration. And thank god, it's a freight train.

It'll be nice to kill some more spotted owls and make some power plants now that GW is in... Liberals... Interesting animals. Quite the reactionary critters, not much for logic and reason... Don't build the power plant , but please keep my air conditioner and my CD Player going... Ok... BTW, did you ever notice that the guy driving the car w/ the cloud of oil smoke coming out of the tailpipe is the environmentalist... Yeah, you got it... When he stops you can see the faded greenpeace sticker on the trunk lid. Yeah, that guy.... The same one who cuts the 6 pack rings so geese don't get caught in them. It's the same guy who tosses his sandwich wrapper out his car window. Yep, same guy... Say one thing, do entirely another. That guy. Votes for Gore because he's afraid of what GW will do... Yep, that guy. The one motivated by fear instead of logic and reason... Yep... Same guy....

"Cecil" <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org> wrote in message
news:3A3818FE.63AFC80C@IEEE.org...
> JR wrote:
> >
> > Lets see, Gore and the demodummies demanded a recount and Bush won the
> > recount, so they wanted another and Bush won that one too. So they
demanded
> > a hand recount of only counties that would favor Gore and still Bush was
> > winning so they wanted recount again and change the rules to count
dimple
> > chads (no votes) to count for Gore and throw out the overseas ballots
that
> > would favor Bush. In other words, Gore wanted keep recounting and
changing
> > the rules in hopes that he could somehow come up with the votes he
needed to
> > steal the election Yep that was a "legitimate" try to get voted into
office.
>
> One of the talk show hosts said it pretty well today. The football game
> was in the fourth overtime with Bush leading by one point. Gore had the
> ball on the ten yard line. All he had to do was kick a field goal. He
> instead chose to run the clock out with two illegal procedure plays.
> --
> http://www.mindspring.com/~w6rca

4301. Re: PRESIDENT G W BUSH,.....FINALLY.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 21:57:38 UTC
From: Karl Vesterling <kjv@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <mN736.202$x5.22080@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 65684

You ever notice that the liberals are all over Bush for alleged cocaine use, and his 24 year old DUI... But it's perfectly fine for another elected official to drive drunk off a bridge killing his female passenger AND NOT REPORT THE ACCIDENT... DWI, and negligent homicide, Senator Kennedy is ok, but Bush, no let's attack him with his 24 year old past... Interesting how the liberal mentality works aint it...

I think they rely more on the fight/flight instinct in their brains than they do reason and logic with their neo cortex portion... Quite interesting animals to watch... Almost human...

<jdaggett@gate.net> wrote in message news:3a3fa885.21934673@news.gate.net...
> On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 15:46:50 GMT, curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> *thanks for the memories comrade algoreski. oh yes, sorry but no pardon
> *for clintonoski or the clintonistas either. you are going to jail boy.
> *and another thing. we don't want to hear about the sand pile again for
> *a very long time, please. oh yes, i bet prime minister had to put in a
> *call to algoreski requesting his million dollars back, because now he
> *is back in deep doo doo especially after dubya cleans out the vermin in
> *the justice department,......cd is out.........
> *
> *
> *Sent via Deja.com
> *http://www.deja.com/
> **********
>
> So instead of sex in the oral office, it's snorting in the oval office.
>
>
> james
>

4302. Re: PRESIDENT G W BUSH,.....FINALLY.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 21:59:59 UTC
From: Karl Vesterling <kjv@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <zP736.204$x5.22140@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 65685

hey i done went to duh publik skool and ifn ya cant rekken sum thin i be
sayin yas better be watchin springer on da tv. know what im sayin?

^^^ Above is humor. I did got to public school, but I'm an autodidict.

"Rastus" <jfayard@ionet.net> wrote in message
news:91d8rl$hmk@news-central.tiac.net...
> A product of the decaying public school system?
>
>
>
>
> "Jeff Herrings" <president@whitehouse.gov> wrote in message
> news:2kl_5.165268$j6.18691844@news1.rdc1.va.home.com...
> >
> > <jdaggett@gate.net> wrote in message
> news:3a40a8ea.22034818@news.gate.net...
> > > On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 15:46:50 GMT, curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:
> > >
> > > *thanks for the memories comrade algoreski. oh yes, sorry but no
pardon
> > > *for clintonoski or the clintonistas either. you are going to jail
boy.
> > > *and another thing. we don't want to hear about the sand pile again
for
> > > *a very long time, please. oh yes, i bet prime minister had to put in
a
> > > *call to algoreski requesting his million dollars back, because now he
> > > *is back in deep doo doo especially after dubya cleans out the vermin
in
> > > *the justice department,......cd is out.........
> > > *
> > > *
> > > *Sent via Deja.com
> > > *http://www.deja.com/
> > > ******************************
> > >
> > > One other comment. I hope you are not a typical republikan. IF so then
> > that
> > > reaffirms why I am and independant.
> > >
> > > james
> > >
> >
> > James,

> >
> > You certainly are AN independant. First off, republican is NOT
spelled
> > with a K. Secondly,
> > it is not proper to start a sentence with "IF so then." Thirdly, you
> should
> > investigate the
> > difference between "AND" and "AN."
> >
> > Jeff
> >
> >
> >
>
>

4303. Re: Cobra 142GTL Base

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 22:07:16 UTC
From: Karl Vesterling <kjv@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <oW736.205$x5.22236@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 65687

I think you might find it in the radio service section of my friend Tom's site.

http://kjv.homeip.net/~hr2510

If it's not there, chances are there are links to it on the bottom of the page w/ the radio.

"Sidekick" <sidekick1975@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:rbr_5.9991$sw.402735@nntp2.onemain.com...
> Could someone send me a website address to find the schematics for this
> radio.
> sidekick@usmo.com
>
>
>

4304. 11 metre digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 22:14:41 UTC
From: warren chambers <micron@flexi.net.au>
Message-ID: <ZV736.2$U23.4102@nsw.nnrp.telstra.net>
Source record: 65686

```
11 metre sstv on 27.420 usb (Australia) 43.division
" " " " 27.700 usb ( Europe)
11 metre packet radio on 27.420 usb , 27.430 usb 43 div.
de 43PC122 Warren Queensland Australia
```

4305. Re: FS:Cookie built 1200 plus $360

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 22:14:49 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92j2cl$2r5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65689

In article <20001229163422.12500.00000440@ng-cd1.aol.com>,
lowrider667@aol.com (Lowrider667) wrote:
> hey boo you can come to maryland too and get the smackdown put on ya
ass
>
> 667 cruz,en this long nose suburban in baltimore is gone >From:
> "-=PEAKABOO=-" tappingdatass@hotmail.comode
> >Date: 12/29/2000 2:15 PM Eastern Standard Time
> >Message-id: <rp536.465$F8.28713@nnrp3.sbc.net>
> >
> >Hey CD, just lay that steel across his lips.
>
>

uh oh, looks like hes gettin the big head homes, perhaps he will get
his wish in march at camp lejune, sometimes called the lowrider
waterloo area, dig it.....cd is out....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4306. Re: PRESIDENT G W BUSH,.....FINALLY.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 22:20:09 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4131-3A4D0E19-9@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65695

And you, Mr. Vesterling, are a troll! Let it go. The election is over.
Your type only serve to instigate and further segregate the parties.

4307. Re: Psycho Alien Race and "MASS" Murders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 22:28:44 UTC
From: mcotton@gte.net
Message-ID: <3a4d0dc5.26732872@news.gte.net>
Source record: 65690
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.uk

Perhaps some members of our alien race who live near this bothersome
Earthling can beam him up to the mothership so we can give him a
couple of implants before jettisoning him out in one of our
chem-trails near where our black helicopters patrol.

May the starchildren prevail in our struggle for the universe!!!

Klattu

4308. Re: Threats To Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 22:32:25 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001229173225.04710.00000125@ng-bj1.aol.com>
Source record: 65691

if it happened you wouldnt be the first to get one in the mail for being a dick
on this group so dont be suprised

>Next you'll be threatening to send me package bombs.

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4309. Wanted, old CB magazines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 22:37:23 UTC
From: Axle Jack <axlejack@REMOVE-TO-REPLYdingoblue.net.au>
Message-ID: <3a4d1254$0$17079$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 65693

Australian collector looking for any old CB magazines. In particular magazines from the mid 1970s to about 1990, from the UK, USA, Australia, New Zealand or other English speaking countries.
I cant afford to pay to much for them, but will cover any postage costs involved. So if you have some old magazines lying around, drop me an email.
Thanks
Jack
axlejack@dingoblue.net.au

4310. Re: Are you protected from EMF waves?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 22:39:21 UTC
From: Karl Vesterling <kjv@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <to836.216$x5.22721@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 65692
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Yeah, ok pal... Sounds good... Thank god I got my space alien deterrent strips hanging from my rafters. And good thing too, otherwise there'd be more cattle mutilations than usual. And boy am I glad that I don't drink milk anymore... Since I subscribed to bad living magazine I'm afraid to go outside because of the harmfull UV rays that the sun emits. And since I started playing non competitive sports we have one more man on the field. I mean, who needs people on bases if ya don't bother to keep score right?

I also got rid of my SUV because I feel it gives me an unfair advantage in comparison to other drivers. But thank god I don't need to take my son to soccer practice in the yugo anymore. He was the goalie, but then I bought their coach a subscription to bad living magazine and he cut him because there is no sense in having a goalie.

Did you know that peanut butter has salt in it? So I don't eat it anymore. I eat my whole wheat organic bread with a cup of thoroughly filtered de-chlorinated water each day. And those damn termites keep eating my house, but I can't use pesticides because it could enlarge the hole in the ozone layer that the evil space aliens created. I still don't understand the details of the war going on between the aliens and the penguins, but the psychic readers nutwork assures me that a portion of my time on the phone with them goes to send sunscreen to the worst sunburned penguins.

And did you happen to read this months article on how second hand smoke causes the earth to plumit towards the sun!

I gotta say, since I started reading bad living magazine I've never been more afraid in my life!

--- Gadzooks and Eeee gads people...

"Anonymous" <anonymous@anonymous.anonymous> wrote in message
news:3a3caa6c_2@anonymous...
>
> If you are a user of mobile phone, computers, or any electrical
> appliance then you really ought to continue reading this further...
>
> As science progresses, more and more facts are stacking up from tens of
> researches which proves without a shred of doubt that..
>
> POWERFUL ELECTROMAGNETIC RADIATION MAY CAUSE ABNORMAL REACTIONS,
> PHYSICALLY AND MENTALLY, SUCH AS DEGENERATING THE FACULTY OF MEMORY,
> TINNITUS, FAINTING, DIZZINES, FATIGUE, AND EVEN GENETIC DEFORMATION.
>
> If you have an amateur radio operator or a CB radio user in your

> neighborhood, you will be able to directly observe the deleterious
> effects that EMF waves have had on such individuals. Loss of
> coordination, inability to reason, and bouts of uncontrollable rage are
> typical behavior patterns.
>
> Fortunately, if you have not been exposed for an extended period of time
> to such waves, the effects are reversable. If you are an amateur or a
> CB operator, cease radio transmissions now and see if you don't start to
> feel normal after a few weeks.
>
> Failing in that, you should at least make yourself a cap out of
> household aluminum foil and you should wear that cap whenever you are
> using a radio or a computer.
>
> See the fine WorldRadio article by KC8JBO on aluminum foil headwear for
> further information.
>
> --------== Posted Anonymously via Newsfeeds.Com ==-------
> Featuring the worlds only Anonymous Usenet Server
> -----------== http://www.newsfeeds.com ==----------

4311. Re: Spares for a Lincoln...anyone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 22:44:27 UTC
From: Karl Vesterling <kjv@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ft836.218$x5.22868@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 65694
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

The URL below. I'm pretty sure Tom/Doc might have what you're looking for. He has several parts radios around. If not, he'd be able to tell you where to get one.

http://kjv.homeip.net/~hr2510/html/radio_service.phtml?manufacturer=Uniden&model=Lincoln

"Tom Shaw" <tommy2ooo@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:91m7b9$78f$1@uranium.btinternet.com...
> Im looking for a channel changer switch for a Lincoln. Can anyone give me
> details to what type of switch it is, or where I might get another one new?
> I would appreciate any help on this.
>
> Regards,
> Tom Shaw
>
>
>

4312. Re: ^^ICE^^

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 22:52:40 UTC
From: MC 10kW Jesus <qsl27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A4D1705.A118231A@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65696

YGunsmoke wrote:
>
> Can ice on your antenna effect your SWR ?

Yes. But damage is more likely to occur due to the weight of the ice
than any bad VSWR issues with the transmitter.

4313. 3 Ways to Know your CB Dealer is A CROOK.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 22:53:04 UTC
From: billy22soap@aol.comyilz
Message-ID: <20001229175304.29334.00003467@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 65697

1) He tells you all his competitors & other mail order places sell
nothing but Refurbs, you need to pay him $189.99 for a Cobra 29ST or $79.99
for an Astatic 575 mike otherwise you'll get a "used one" elswhere.
2) He wants to rip component(s) out of your new radio to "peak" it
(because he dosen't know the "right" way to do it).
3) He has an attitude, is usually rude & snippy, & thinks every other
person that ever "looked inside" your radio was a complete moron.
FEEL FREE TO ADD ANYTHING I MISSED!

4314. Re: 2510

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 22:59:42 UTC
From: Karl Vesterling <kjv@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <yH836.225$x5.23020@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 65749

At that price I think Doc would be interested in it...

http://kjv.homeip.net/~hr2510/index.phtml

"joe" <cougar393@home.com> wrote in message
news:6Eu06.6955$R62.64724@news1.wwck1.ri.home.com...
> selling a hr-2510 in great condition 160.00/also have a president jackson
in
> a1 shape asking 125.00 please email me at cougar393@home.com
>
>

4315. Re: Psycho Alien Race and "MASS" Murders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 23:03:57 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a4d179d.9702446@news.cet.com>
Source record: 65748
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.uk

On Fri, 29 Dec 2000 18:56:38 GMT, americanmanzo@my-deja.com wrote:

<snip>
> I worked at those packaging facilities and most of those workers are
>"ALIENS" or dead people(Aliens also). When a human
> works in their presence they are attacked by the alien race. Attacked
>meaning, alien hits to the brain and other parts of the
> body resulting
> in extreme pain, anger, and disorientation. Once the alien race
> decides to attack a human___they don't stop until the human is
> murdered(Taken Down Unfairly).

You have been treated unfairly, perhaps more so than most, but your
way of coping with it is to invent the delusion that unfairness is an
inhuman characteristic. Sorry to have to break this to you, but
fairness is nothing more than a social value that many humans don't
value. You can either deal with it like everybody else, or spend the
rest of your life stoned.

Sparky

4316. Re: 2510

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 23:36:25 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001229183625.01467.00000343@ng-bk1.aol.com>
Source record: 65750

is doc your brother or son or something?

>
>At that price I think Doc would be interested in it...

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4317. Re: 3 Ways to Know your CB Dealer is A CROOK.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 23:48:16 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92j7s1$79l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65752

In article <20001229175304.29334.00003467@ng-fx1.aol.com>,
billy22soap@aol.comyilz (BILLY22soap) wrote:
> 1) He tells you all his competitors & other mail order places
sell
> nothing but Refurbs, you need to pay him $189.99 for a Cobra 29ST or
$79.99
> for an Astatic 575 mike otherwise you'll get a "used one" elswhere.
> 2) He wants to rip component(s) out of your new radio to "peak"
it
> (because he dosen't know the "right" way to do it).
> 3) He has an attitude, is usually rude & snippy, & thinks every
other
> person that ever "looked inside" your radio was a complete moron.
> FEEL FREE TO ADD ANYTHING I MISSED!
>

darn you must be talking about x farce r us, rogo d,.......cd is out...

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4318. Re: Theoretical ? for Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-29 23:52:23 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92j83m$7cv$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65751

In article <20001229143515.11747.00005685@ng-mm1.aol.com>,
timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com) wrote:
> ok guys, lets just say for a minute the fcc stepped up enforcement
and totally
> cleaned up all the illegal radios in the USA and our territories.
assuming this
> happened what are you going to do about the hundred of thousands of
people in
> central america, south america, and other places that are still
freebanding and
> encroaching on ten meters??????? the biggest problem is not within
our borders
> therefore you guys worried about cleaning up the states are looking
very
> narrowly at a pig picture and will never even come close to solving
the
> problem. even if 11 meters is totally eleminated it is truely a world
wide band
> that crosses all borders and cant be controlled.
>
> 73 de Tim
> Knight Patrol #82
> http://www.kp82.com
>

yeh and where would the cheapskate hamsters, get their ten meter radios
comode, comode,.....cd is out.....

ps. are you going to be home tonight. i just might aim in your
direction. if i can't hear you, tell me how i sound a aki , comprende?
what channel you hang out on?,.....cd is out again.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4319. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 00:10:26 UTC
From: ONE37 <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t4q9n9aalppn13@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 65753

"Qrm2000" <qrm2000@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001229075219.04165.00003242@ng-mh1.aol.com...
> >From: timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com)
>
> >
> >then why are you so interested in what happens on 11 meters? what happens
> >there
> >stays there and doesnt bother you.
>
> This question surfaces about once a year in this NG.
>
> Answer:
> (1) Illegal radios cause interference to adjacent frequencies.
Overmodulation
> spills into the 10-meter amateur band--even when the transmitting station
is
> many miles away.
>
> (2) CBers with export radios cannot resist the temptation to try them on
every
> "channel". In some cases, the operator does not even realize he is in the
> amateur bands because the radio does not have a frequency indicator. The
> operator sees only switches with BANDS and CHANNELS.
>
> (3) Some mobile CB equipment is so powerful that it kicks off the cruise
> control on nearby automobiles. No big deal. But what if the driver in a
nearby
> car is wearing a pacemaker which should not be exposed to strong RF
fields?
>
> Tim says that what happens on 11 meters "stays there and doesn't bother"
> anyone. Wrong. It doesn't stay there. And that's why CBers are getting
so
> much attention.
>
> When CB stayed between channels 1 and 40, nobody cared what happened
there.
> You never find hams or the public screaming because a CBer is using
channel
> 19A.
>
> But when you do things that annoy the public or which cause problems for
> adjacent radio services, be prepared for what you receive!
>

> QRM

Absoutely there QRM. You said it at the end of your posting. "BE PREPARED FOR WHAT YOU RECEIVE!!!" If any of these individuals here and nationwide decide to do what they do (from transmitting in excess of 4 watts, out of band or overmodulating), then its all on them. They have to pay the piper whether they agree with it or not. But its not for any one or group of individuals to blast TROLLS after trolls trying to see if something happens. "IT'S NOT GONNA HAPPEN!!!" "You can change a few, but you can't change the lot." I wish those individuals would get it throught their thick skull that "...you can lead a horse to water but you can't make it drink."

--

ONE37

"... reality is a frame of mind... stupidity is a state of mind."

4320. Re: Threats To Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 00:40:27 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A4D2EFB.75AB1EAF@oio.net>
Source record: 65757

"www.kp82.com" wrote:
>
> if it happened you wouldnt be the first to get one in the mail for being a dick
> on this group so dont be suprised
>
> >Next you'll be threatening to send me package bombs.
>
> 73 de Tim
> Knight Patrol #82
> http://www.kp82.com
****
That's interesting. Name one person who got a bomb in the mail
because of this NG.
'Doc

4321. Re: Psycho Alien Race and "MASS" Murders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 00:45:15 UTC
From: King Pineapple <saddlehill@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <vea36.44170$3B5.1796914@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 65759
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.uk

yomama <yo@ma.ma> wrote in message news:3A4CEF1B.1B1E9C35@ma.ma...
> Hahaha! good one.
>
> Another kook heard from.

Hey, yomama, haven't seen you on the political NGs lately. rosell19 misses you. Unless you're a *different* 'yomama'...

4322. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 00:45:49 UTC
From: ONE37 <ac137@iwvisp.comNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <t4qbpkmpjb3aa5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 65758

> Did I say I was studying for a ham license? OK, I guess I did. Well, I
> wasn't going to tell you, but since you asked, the truth is that I was
> studying, but you fellows got me so distracted here in rec.radio.cb that
> I just put it all aside for now.
>
> See what you've accomplished?

No I haven't. What have we distracted here in rec.radio.cb? What was our accomplishment? What is the big freaking DEAL??? WHAT! WHAT! WHAT! Go study... You need it!!!

I see no merit in your posting, Aaron, due to the fact that you cannot prove to yourself or anyone on this newsgroup that the Forum members build illegal equipment. Nor can you prove that a forum member is operating non-type accepted equipment even if pictures are posted on a webpage (for all you know it could be his neighbors station). All you have is HERESAY. And heresay is not submissible in court unless strongly articulated with hard evidence. And you won't get hard evidence from this forum.

> You guys ask me what I want. Well for starters I want Mr. 137 to get a
> nice, clean FCC type approved 40 channel CB rig with no mods and a
> decent antenna and I want him to be nice to people on the air. For a
> change.

Too late... already been there.

Station:
- Uniden Washington (3-4watts AM 11watts SSB PEAK), stock-mic
- 85 feet of RG-8/U coax
- homemade 5/8 wave groundplane antenna on a 30 foot crank-up (about to update to a Mastadon)

Mobile: 91 FORD Exploder
- Cobra 148GTL (4watts AM 12watts SSB PEAK) no channels because there is nobody except myself, Unit 989 and a bunch of HAMS in this town. So I don't need anything on my station to get me in trouble with any of these guys (that's if all HAMs are like N8 whiny, cry babies).
- 55 Coil and/or POWERSTIK
- Hustler QD-2 Antenna Quick disconnect
- Stargunn Mount
- No alternators and no amps (because there is no room under the hood or in the cab for alternators or batteries (trust me)... plus I got a newborn)
- Jay in the Mojave saw my mobile BTW...

Email me for pictures. I'll even put my ugly mug on the pic's to prove that I am genuine. NEXT!!!

BTW... still looking for older GrantXL or Cobra148GTL units. Truck run is in about a few weeks.

> (Oh well. I can dream, can't I?)
>
> Aaron H. Voobner

Your dream is a nightmare. "Wake up... my son. Go and sin no more."
Thus endeth the sermon...

--

ONE37

"... reality is a frame of mind... stupidity is a state of mind."

4323. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 00:53:36 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A4D3210.EE74001B@oio.net>
Source record: 65760

Sparky wrote:
>
> On Fri, 29 Dec 2000 16:32:37 GMT, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >There is no contradiction there. That says there is no length of
> >"50 ohm coax" that will perform a match. The other example is using
> >"25 ohm coax" to perform a match.
>
> The other example is using 25 ohm transmission line, which is actually
> two equal lengths of 50 ohm coax in parallel. Doing a quick calc for a
> series section transformer yields 0.825' of 25 ohm transmission line
> (2 x 0.825' of RG-8) followed by 2.484' of RG-8. Total length is
> 3.309', and total length of RG-8 used is 4.134'.
>
> The amount of 50 ohm coax needed to match a 37 ohm load to a 50 ohm
> source is 4.134 feet.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

Which is still ~not~ any length of 50 ohm coax. Give it up,
you 'lost' a long time ago.
(The 4.134 feet of 50 ohm coax won't do it either.)
'Doc

4324. GO CHECK IT OUT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 00:59:00 UTC
From: win7@webworkz.com
Message-ID: <3a4d3596_1@vienna7.his.com>
Source record: 65761

http://www.dealamerica.com/deal/cgi-bin/boatanchor.cgi

4325. Re: PRESIDENT G W BUSH,.....FINALLY.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 01:10:49 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92jcmnaqj1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65762

In article <4131-3A4D0E19-9@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
> And you, Mr. Vesterling, are a troll! Let it go. The election is
over.
> Your type only serve to instigate and further segregate the parties.
>
>

mr twist , that is what happened in 1994. the republicans took over
both houses of congress and thought they could coast on this victory.
they took your advice and 'let it go'. and look what happened. they
lost their way. these things that happened during the presidency of
these individuals must be remembered and must be talked about
continually and should be thought about by every american. this must
not happen again. do you in any way shape or form, understand just how
much damage has been done by clintonoski and his commi libs. and oh
yes ,they are commies. if you don't believe that . do some factual
research on the situation, then come talk to me about it. do you know
that these people looked the other way while the chinese stole all of
our nuclear secrets. now we give these punks favored nation status. now
they generate a profitable income off of the united states and build up
a trade surplus and turn around and spend their extra cash given to
them by us, to build nuclear missles, build up their military and pay
off their willing helpers, the dnc, aka, the clinton bank. what do we
do. we scale ours back. clearly the news media, their willing
accomplises, have for all intents and purposes, failed their duties as
a free press to report the truth. sex in the white house. that is the
least of our worries. do you know, these assholes have bought a house
just right down the street from the white house. slick's old lady gets
her ass elected by stupid people in new york, then promptly moves to
washington dc. are they going away? nope, they are not going away. the
battle is never over twist. i think that is what you are failing to see
here. now maybe you don't see things the way i do, but i can tell you,
it is not me that is lying to you continually. it is not me charging
you taxes at the highest rate since ww 2. nope, it aint me, so i am
here to say, we may disagree but i am not , i repeat , not your enemy.
the clintonistas are. and they should be talked about. and all this
must stay fresh in everyone's minds. because we all know how they
operate don't we? after 8 years of this complete and total idiot and
this election fiasco, do we know these people , i think we do. if we
don't then somebody isn't paying attention. these folks are a clear and
present danger, and i for one do not understand how the fine folks in
arkansas produced such a fool. for such a satan, to come from a town
called hope, it is such a paradox, wouldn't you say?,......cd is out....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4326. Re: Psycho Alien Race and "MASS" Murders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 01:15:18 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15564-3A4D3726-66@storefull-122.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65770

A complete farce, Jack? Our gun laws, in part, is what makes us the bad assed country we are. God, guns, and guts, and that's a fact, JACK!

4327. Re: PRESIDENT G W BUSH,.....FINALLY.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 01:16:01 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92jd0f$b4v$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65765

In article <mN736.202$x5.22080@news1.news.adelphia.net>,
"Karl Vesterling" <kjv@adelphia.net> wrote:
> You ever notice that the liberals are all over Bush for alleged
cocaine use,
> and his 24 year old DUI... But it's perfectly fine for another
elected
> official to drive drunk off a bridge killing his female passenger AND
NOT
> REPORT THE ACCIDENT... DWI, and negligent homicide, Senator Kennedy
is ok,
> but Bush, no let's attack him with his 24 year old past...
Interesting how
> the liberal mentality works aint it...
>
> I think they rely more on the fight/flight instinct in their brains
than
> they do reason and logic with their neo cortex portion... Quite
interesting
> animals to watch... Almost human...
>
> <jdaggett@gate.net> wrote in message
news:3a3fa885.21934673@news.gate.net...
> > On Thu, 14 Dec 2000 15:46:50 GMT, curious_dan@my-deja.com wrote:
> >
> > *thanks for the memories comrade algoreski. oh yes, sorry but no
pardon
> > *for clintonoski or the clintonistas either. you are going to jail
boy.
> > *and another thing. we don't want to hear about the sand pile again
for
> > *a very long time, please. oh yes, i bet prime minister had to put
in a
> > *call to algoreski requesting his million dollars back, because now
he
> > *is back in deep doo doo especially after dubya cleans out the
vermin in
> > *the justice department,......cd is out.........
> > *
> > *
> > *Sent via Deja.com
> > *http://www.deja.com/
> > **********
> >
> > So instead of sex in the oral office, it's snorting in the oval

office.
> >
> >
> > james
> >
>

you think that is the only incidence of misbehavior by the
distinguished senator from massachusetts? it hasn't been. i assure you.
also it seems massachusetts produces some real goof balls. take barney
frank for instance. a avowed homosexual, running a prostitution ring
out of his congressional office. any normal person in normal times
would have been rode out of town on a rail or tarred and feathered. but
its ok, hes a liberal and he didn't mean it. it was just those mean old
white european guys who made him do it,..cd is out.......
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4328. Re: KLV ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 01:18:49 UTC
From: Jesse Stanley <superstar@eurekanet.com>
Message-ID: <3a4d375a$0$1513$4ca6cf20@news.eurekanet.com>
Source record: 65763

They are low end amplifiers built in italy H&Y imports them
Dennis Lassetter <DCLIFF@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:5785-3A4C0F20-91@bsg-storefull-1111.public.svc.webtv.net...
> Anyone know about this company?Where is it? How is their quality?
>
> Dennis in GA.
>

4329. Re: Theoretical ? for Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 01:22:16 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <lLa36.5184$Uv2.354774@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 65767

"www.kp82.com" <timnebo@aol.comedy> wrote in message
news:20001229143515.11747.00005685@ng-mm1.aol.com...
> ok guys, lets just say for a minute the fcc stepped up enforcement and
totally
> cleaned up all the illegal radios in the USA and our territories.
assuming this
> happened what are you going to do about the hundred of thousands of
people in
> central america, south america, and other places that are still
freebanding and
> encroaching on ten meters??????? the biggest problem is not within our
borders
> therefore you guys worried about cleaning up the states are looking very
> narrowly at a pig picture and will never even come close to solving the
> problem. even if 11 meters is totally eleminated it is truely a world
wide band
> that crosses all borders and cant be controlled.
>
>
> 73 de Tim
> Knight Patrol #82
> http://www.kp82.com

You are, of course, correct so far as you go. The CB thing has created a mountain of el cheapo gear that is used for economic reasons if nothing else where more legal hardware is either too expensive or exposes one to the tender mercies of the local government and its tax collectors.

You may have ignored a bit of history, however. Rebel movements require communication. One of the reasons that Amateur Radio is a bit unpopular in the Middle East (except Jordan whose economic plan is to export techs to everywhere) is that the Hagganah and Palmach used Cyprus call signs (ZD6 if I recall) and Amateur freqs to fight the 1948 war. Nothing like hiding among other signals to keep the DFing and intelligence gathering at bay. There is a scene in the book version of Exodus where stolen British vehicles are being loaded on British ships in Cyprus with HMJFC numbers on them. When asked what it meant by one of the harbor patrol, the Israeli answered truthfully: His Majesty's Jewish Forces on Cyprus.

With rebel movements out the wazoo in Columbia and other places (any of which could put a serious dent in your fuel supply -- like Venezuela) it would not be too surprising if the local commandante used the 25-30 range for communication. Great place to hide among the world's freebanders and virtually impossible in a high sunspot period to even hear for more than ones desired range with the QRM. I know if I were playing Pancho Villa at

the moment that is where I would be and using Marine freqs for any long-haul coded stuff. Given that drug smuggling has replaced bank robbery for finance, along with 'contributions' from expatriates in the US, it would be necessary to contact them periodically.

As you know, I don't much care what you do but the chatter out of the southern Americas may itself be of more government concern than anything Amateurs could stir up in a million years. To deal with it might require clearing the underbrush and US freebanders sure as hell qualify as underbrush. I tend to agree about the odds of success but this has never stopped the DEA or assorted spooks before.

Dick McCollum NBK

4330. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 01:23:18 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <d1eq4tk53al6gauc1gtbin67l0chv0n6qs@4ax.com>
Source record: 65764

Greetings!

Ok, this is interesting. I realize that dealing with a purely resistive load, the issue of RC is moot.

In a typical install, the RC value is important. We see SWR issues thanks to that value - and pertains to coax lengths and antenna reactance.

It should not be discounted in the real world.

What do you think?

On Fri, 29 Dec 2000 05:28:19 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with the Mouse, Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com> dissertation the following:

:+> In article <9tbn4t8iq07kf0ampjghn69crijbonjeeb@4ax.com>,
:+> codyspc@iserv.net wrote:
:+>
:+> > Greetings!
:+> >
:+> > Thanks James, have to quote the whole thing though to ask a
:+> > question...
:+> >
:+> > On Thu, 28 Dec 2000 20:13:43 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
:+> > the Mouse, jdaggett@gate.net dissertation the following:
:+> > :+> RC = (ZO - ZL)/(ZL + ZO)
:+> > :+>
:+> > :+> where RC is the reflection coefficient
:+> > :+> ZL is the load impedance
:+> > :+> ZO is the characteristic impedance of the transmission line
:+> > :+>
:+> > :+> In the example ZO = 50 ZL = 25
:+> > :+> Therefore we obtain
:+> > :+>
:+> > :+> RC = (50 - 25)/(50 + 25)
:+> > :+> RC = 25/75
:+> > :+> RC = .33333
:+> > :+>
:+> > :+> Since the there is no imaginary part of the reflection coefficient
:+> > :the magnitude
:+> > :+> will equal the real part. ie .33333
:+> >
:+> > The above is what I have to stop and do a "Whoa" on, now since we are
:+> > looking at completely resistive loading, I can understand 2:1 SWR

:+> > while using a 25 ohm resistor.
:+>
:+> It didn't have to be completely resistive loading. That's what
:+> was specified.
:+>
:+> 25 ohms = 25 + j0 ohms
:+>
:+> James' calculations were right on the money.
:+>
:+> > It's the part concerning the magnitude and reflection coefficient.
:+> >
:+> > I need to know why it's being discounted here, is it due to no
:+> > reactive loads, or simply plain resistance...?
:+>
:+> It is not being discounted. Somebody said 25 ohms and that's
:+> what he used for the calculation. What did you expect?

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:

4331. Re: PRESIDENT G W BUSH,.....FINALLY.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 01:31:40 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <7meq4tkllcdm7curjsfs1q8bkidde6a7qb@4ax.com>
Source record: 65766

Greetings!

"Those who forget the past, are doomed to repeat it." - W. Churchill

On Sat, 30 Dec 2000 01:10:49 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
the Mouse, curious_dan@my-deja.com dissertation the following:

:+> In article <4131-3A4D0E19-9@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
:+> twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
:+> > And you, Mr. Vesterling, are a troll! Let it go. The election is
:+> over.
:+> > Your type only serve to instigate and further segregate the parties.
:+> >
:+> >
:+>
:+> mr twist , that is what happened in 1994. the republicans took over
:+> both houses of congress and thought they could coast on this victory.
:+> they took your advice and 'let it go'. and look what happened. they
:+> lost their way. these things that happened during the presidency of
:+> these individuals must be remembered and must be talked about
:+> continually and should be thought about by every american. this must
:+> not happen again. do you in any way shape or form, understand just how
:+> much damage has been done by clintonoski and his commi libs. and oh
:+> yes ,they are commies. if you don't believe that . do some factual
:+> research on the situation, then come talk to me about it. do you know
:+> that these people looked the other way while the chinese stole all of
:+> our nuclear secrets. now we give these punks favored nation status. now
:+> they generate a profitable income off of the united states and build up
:+> a trade surplus and turn around and spend their extra cash given to
:+> them by us, to build nuclear missles, build up their military and pay
:+> off their willing helpers, the dnc, aka, the clinton bank. what do we
:+> do. we scale ours back. clearly the news media, their willing
:+> accomplises, have for all intents and purposes, failed their duties as
:+> a free press to report the truth. sex in the white house. that is the
:+> least of our worries. do you know, these assholes have bought a house
:+> just right down the street from the white house. slick's old lady gets
:+> her ass elected by stupid people in new york, then promptly moves to
:+> washington dc. are they going away? nope, they are not going away. the
:+> battle is never over twist. i think that is what you are failing to see
:+> here. now maybe you don't see things the way i do, but i can tell you,
:+> it is not me that is lying to you continually. it is not me charging
:+> you taxes at the highest rate since ww 2. nope, it aint me, so i am
:+> here to say, we may disagree but i am not , i repeat , not your enemy.
:+> the clintonistas are. and they should be talked about. and all this
:+> must stay fresh in everyone's minds. because we all know how they

:+> operate don't we? after 8 years of this complete and total idiot and
:+> this election fiasco, do we know these people , i think we do. if we
:+> don't then somebody isn't paying attention. these folks are a clear and
:+> present danger, and i for one do not understand how the fine folks in
:+> arkansas produced such a fool. for such a satan, to come from a town
:+> called hope, it is such a paradox, wouldn't you say?,......cd is out....
:+>
:+>
:+> Sent via Deja.com
:+> http://www.deja.com/

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:

4332. Re: Psycho Alien Race and "MASS" Murders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 01:38:50 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4qf5e9a36168@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65768
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.uk

"Sparky" <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message
news:3a4d179d.9702446@news.cet.com...
> On Fri, 29 Dec 2000 18:56:38 GMT, americanmanzo@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> <snip>
> > I worked at those packaging facilities and most of those workers are
> >"ALIENS" or dead people(Aliens also). When a human
> > works in their presence they are attacked by the alien race. Attacked
> >meaning, alien hits to the brain and other parts of the
> > body resulting
> > in extreme pain, anger, and disorientation. Once the alien race
> > decides to attack a human___they don't stop until the human is
> > murdered(Taken Down Unfairly).
>
> You have been treated unfairly, perhaps more so than most, but your
> way of coping with it is to invent the delusion that unfairness is an
> inhuman characteristic. Sorry to have to break this to you, but
> fairness is nothing more than a social value that many humans don't
> value. You can either deal with it like everybody else, or spend the
> rest of your life stoned.

I'll drink to that!

Jeff

>
> Sparky

4333. Re: Illegal for CB 100Watt to 1400watt HF 80-10M Amplifiers Sale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 01:42:21 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4qfbunrgotqf0@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65769

"Karl Vesterling" <kjv@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:Us736.199$x5.21526@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> It's not illegal to manufacture, sell, or use as a stepping stool. It is
> however illegal to operate it in the CB frequency range.
>
> Very simple to understand. But then again w/ Mrs. Reno and her suing
> tobacco I guess it's possible that one can hold the automobile
manufacturer
> liable for the damages of the wreckless driver.
>
> Is it just me or is the dumbing down of America actually happening, but
> they're too stupid to notice?

Ask Mr. Shrub.

jeff

>
> 73 N2VQM
>
>
> <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:22926-3A357194-46@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> > You asked why he was posting his stuff for sale in here Vooob, and I
> > replied the same reasons the hammie cops post in here. Because he wants
> > to. You know who the hammie cops are.
> >
>
>

4334. Re: Psycho Alien Race and "MASS" Murders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 01:46:43 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <K5b36.5542$8Y.95736@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 65773
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.uk
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

"cactus jack" wrote in message
news:xX936.26339$WC3.2377307@nnrp4.clara.net...
>

Are you aware of John Nance (Cactus Jack) Garner of Texas who served as FDR's VP in the first two terms (1933-1941) but refused to run for a third term?

His most famous utterance was to describe the Vice-Presidency as 'not worth a bucket of warm shit'

Dick N&#65533;BK

4335. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 01:52:51 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Tdb36.5086$aI6.368302@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 65771

Mr. Voobner,

I have one question. Regarding the following from your post:
<snip>
> Intentionally trying to ruin someone else's >communication experience
seems like a selfish child's
> game played only by bullies.
<snip>
> I am appalled.
>
> Aaron H. Voobner

When you say 'communications experience', might that not also apply to folks who (apparently) just like to flame this newsgroup? Or am I missing something?

Thanks in advance for you answer and
73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

4336. Channel conversion for new ss3900?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 01:54:06 UTC
From: ldd7777@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001229205406.25903.00003903@ng-mf1.aol.com>
Source record: 65772

Does anyone have the channel conversion info for a new ss3900? thanxs 1200

4337. Re: PRESIDENT G W BUSH,.....FINALLY.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 01:56:04 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <4fb36.5194$Uv2.363064@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 65774

"Handy Andy" <CodysPC@Iserve.Net> wrote in message
news:7meq4tkllcdm7curjsfs1q8bkidde6a7qb@4ax.com...
> Greetings!
>
> "Those who forget the past, are doomed to repeat it." - W. Churchill
>

Actually it was George Santayana who spent the last part of his life
sleeping under a brightly colored tam on the floor of the US Senate. Kind
of the Jesse Helms/Strom Thurmond of his day.

4338. Re: Not a smart move Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 02:05:17 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <xpb36.5095$al6.369018@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 65775

I'm wondering ... I noticed a lot of posts from our buddy N8*** were being deleted. If I had a bunch of posts that were being deleted, I'd certainly start watching what I was saying. I notice I don't see any more posts from that individual. Is it possible he has been banned from the newsgroup? I also notice this rec.radio - I assume we should all be having fun and communicating about CB and not simply flaming. I will be watching to see how Mr Voobner replied to my post regarding his post talking about interfearing with communications being childish - I asked him if this applied to the newsgroup as well as the radio. Hmmmm ...

73s from Rochester, NY
Jim
<the_weak_one@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:92iefd$i9r$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> As I was scanning down through the flamewars of the NG I couldn't help
> but notice one of my old pals being slammed by you Boobner.
> Accusing "Phoneman" of build linears is probably not one of the
> smartest things you have done lately. I wouldn't want to be the one to
> piss phoneman off. Those of you who have had dealings with him
> personally and know who he works for know why, I'm sure.
> This is one guy you want to be your friend, not foe. Trust me.
> I think the exact phrase Phoneman used before he ridded the
> rec.radio.cb newsgroup of one of it's more annoying pests like yourself
> last time was "You know, the interesting thing about the Internet and
> Newsgroups is that you never know WHO or WHAT the person is that is
> sitting behind the keyboard of the computer making posts under a
> certain name". THINK ABOUT THIS STATEMENT Goobner. Maybe you'll be the
> next pest he turns his attention to. I'm sure it wouldn't hurt the
> feelings of several of the old timers here. I know it didn't last time
> he did us a favor......
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

4339. Re: Threats To Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 02:06:38 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Oqb36.5097$al6.368772@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 65776

Hmm ... maybe an e-mail bomb? Got my firewall up and the virus scanner
updated and running ...

73s from Rochester, NY
Jim

"'Doc" <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message news:3A4D2EFB.75AB1EAF@oio.net...
>
>
> "www.kp82.com" wrote:
> >
> > if it happened you wouldnt be the first to get one in the mail for being
a dick
> > on this group so dont be suprised
> >
> > >Next you'll be threatening to send me package bombs.
> >
> > 73 de Tim
> > Knight Patrol #82
> > http://www.kp82.com
> **********
> That's interesting. Name one person who got a bomb in the mail
> because of this NG.
> 'Doc

4340. Re: For the State Side brothers here is your audio quality in BERLIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 02:06:44 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <Uqb36.145486$IP1.4981440@news1.giganews.com>
Source record: 65777

Guess we know Taboo is a bad mothafucka now :)

Toll Free

"casex" <casex36@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:92fkpt$d4j$1@news1.transmedia.de...
> only for private use.
> i cut this on my old sw radio with the intern antenna...
>
>
>

4341. Re: X Force Amplifier for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 02:10:56 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <Pub36.181498$DG3.3942600@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 65778

What is so special about the bias is none of your fucking business...

However, having talked with Dennis I do know what he has done, and know that this circuit kicks ass.

No, it is NOT a standard bias circuit. It will work volted, and works a HELL of a lot better than the standard diode clamp, regulated pass transistor circuits, or most any other bias design so far.

So, in a way, Analy Raped Ape, Yes, no other amp has bias.. Not THIS bias.

STFU and be thought a fool... Wait. You typed, and already removed all doubt.

Toll Free

"Raped Ape" <rapedape@earthworks.com> wrote in message
news:ckK26.3622$0h.391773@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
> HAHAHA the best biasing what is so special about the biasing do you think
> noone else has a amp with bias
> hahahaha
>
> "Softtail423" <softtail423@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20001228015001.03725.00000296@ng-md1.aol.com...
> > Maybe more like $600.00 dickhead!!!!Those are the best amps out there and
> with
> > the best biasing in the us!!!
> >
> >
> > 423
>
>

4342. Re: X Force Amplifier for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 02:11:19 UTC
From: Rat Bastard <ratb@ratsnest.com>
Message-ID: <bvb36.1$we4.1237@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 65779

for references only can get any type of bias(ab,b,c) from davemade as well
you just have to ask like I did
"Maniac9188" <maniac9188@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001228122319.07716.00000114@ng-cb1.aol.com...
> For reference purposes only: Dave Made boxes DO NOT have the biasing
circuit
> that is in this particular Amplifier. This was designed by Dennis "#12"
> Chicago.(as the X600"12", in the model # signifies.) it pulls a little
more
> current, but it works very well with the SSB delay.
> Maniac.
> If anyone is intrested, Email me .maniac9188@aol.com

4343. Re: X Force Amplifier for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 02:12:06 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <Wvb36.181499$DG3.3942706@news2.giganews.com>
Source record: 65781

Actually, it is a TNT Twelve Pack.

Run it on 17 volts (no, it isn't stock), and it performs quite nice.

Don't know anything about any white tornado, though. Hell, I won't use one
of those for a driver.

Toll Free

"CarriageCarWash" <carriagecarwash@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001228094804.07659.00000172@ng-fb1.aol.com...
> >Re: X Force Amplifier for Sale
> >From: "Toll Free" tollfree@bigradios.com
>
> >Pity you wont take what it is worth.
> >
> >I paid 350 for my last 8 pill.
> >
> >
> >Toll Free
>
>
> $350.00!!!!....Wow thats an awesome price. How is that white tornado for
dx???
>
>
> 937...chicago

4344. Re: Theoretical ? for Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 02:12:13 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <1wb36.5117$al6.369690@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 65780

Dick, I've a question. The problem may well be money, but I would think that a spread-spectrum radio operating in almost any cluttered space might be a good bet. As you state, with all the freebanding going on, it is likely to go unnoticed - and using spread spectrum around these areas would make it REAL hard to detect!

73s from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message
news:lLa36.5184$Uv2.354774@nntp2.onemain.com...
>
> "www.kp82.com" <timnebo@aol.comedy> wrote in message
> news:20001229143515.11747.00005685@ng-mm1.aol.com...
> > ok guys, lets just say for a minute the fcc stepped up enforcement and
> totally
> > cleaned up all the illegal radios in the USA and our territories.
> assuming this
> > happened what are you going to do about the hundred of thousands of
> people in
> > central america, south america, and other places that are still
> freebanding and
> > encroaching on ten meters??????? the biggest problem is not within our
> borders
> > therefore you guys worried about cleaning up the states are looking very
> > narrowly at a pig picture and will never even come close to solving the
> > problem. even if 11 meters is totally eleminated it is truely a world
> wide band
> > that crosses all borders and cant be controlled.
> >
> >
> > 73 de Tim
> > Knight Patrol #82
> > http://www.kp82.com
>
> You are, of course, correct so far as you go. The CB thing has created a
> mountain of el cheapo gear that is used for economic reasons if nothing
> else where more legal hardware is either too expensive or exposes one to
> the tender mercies of the local government and its tax collectors.
>
> You may have ignored a bit of history, however. Rebel movements require
> communication. One of the reasons that Amateur Radio is a bit unpopular
> in the Middle East (except Jordan whose economic plan is to export techs
> to everywhere) is that the Hagganah and Palmach used Cyprus call signs

> (ZD6 if I recall) and Amateur freqs to fight the 1948 war. Nothing like
> hiding among other signals to keep the DFing and intelligence gathering at
> bay. There is a scene in the book version of Exodus where stolen British
> vehicles are being loaded on British ships in Cyprus with HMJFC numbers on
> them. When asked what it meant by one of the harbor patrol, the Israeli
> answered truthfully: His Majesty's Jewish Forces on Cyprus.
>
> With rebel movements out the wazoo in Columbia and other places (any of
> which could put a serious dent in your fuel supply -- like Venezuela) it
> would not be too surprising if the local commandante used the 25-30 range
> for communication. Great place to hide among the world's freebanders and
> virtually impossible in a high sunspot period to even hear for more than
> ones desired range with the QRM. I know if I were playing Pancho Villa at
> the moment that is where I would be and using Marine freqs for any
> long-haul coded stuff. Given that drug smuggling has replaced bank
> robbery for finance, along with 'contributions' from expatriates in the
> US, it would be necessary to contact them periodically.
>
> As you know, I don't much care what you do but the chatter out of the
> southern Americas may itself be of more government concern than anything
> Amateurs could stir up in a million years. To deal with it might require
> clearing the underbrush and US freebanders sure as hell qualify as
> underbrush. I tend to agree about the odds of success but this has never
> stopped the DEA or assorted spooks before.
>
> Dick McCollum NBK
>
>

4345. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 02:16:04 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <Ezb36.145488$IP1.4982392@news1.giganews.com>
Source record: 65782

A. The term is called "Implied Consent". You bought the radio, and that implied that you would consent to search and seizure upon inspection of your station, if in fact it was illegal.

B. The rules of licensing still are the same, however you no longer have to "purchase and wait" for said license. Now, as soon as you purchase a CB radio, and utilize it in the manner the manufacturer meant (read this, as use the radio), you have entered into an agreement with the federal government of the US that you will abide by all laws and regulations pertaining to CB radio.

Ain't that a bitch?

Toll Free

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:3A3BB100.6CF8@bellsouth.net...
> While you are correct that FCC agents cannot come into your house
> without permission, they have the right to inspect your equipment. Now
> if they are allowed into your home, they may NOT "search" for anything,
> i.e. rifle thru drawers, examine personal papers, etc. But when one
> obtains a license or operates a CB radio, he gives "tacit" approval for
> FCC to inspect their radio station. When/If an FCC agent comes to your
> door, he already has a pretty good idea that *you* are the source of
> whatever difficulty at hand. Their equipment is very good. If the
> agents are not allowed to inspect the station at the time of the
> "offense", then it gives the "offender" a chance to get rid of the amp,
> modded ham radio, etc, that drew FCC's attention. So, if you refuse the
> "surprise" inspection, this is a case where you will still get some sort
> of citation, fine, or whatever. To refuse inspection is a violation in
> and of itself, so ya just can't win, eh?
>
> 73
>
> Jerry
>
>
>
>
>
> wlfdad@my-deja.com wrote:
> >
> > > I'm just curious - could someone who's a little more familiar with
> > the law
> > > clairify if the law requires the officer to obtain a search warrent,

> > or do they
> > > operate under the same authority as the FCC does to inspect you
> > equipment at
> > > any time, thus negating the need for a warrant? If that's the case,
> > without a
> > > warrant the cop couldn't arrest you for the crack you have sitting
> > next to your
> > > CB, but he could take the illegal amp you're running.. again, I am
> > not totally
> > > familiar with the law, so I don't know if they need a warrant..
> > anyone know?
> > I am familiar with law in general(Not saying how) ANY Search needs a
> > warrant...this warrant needs to state exactly WHAT they are searching
> > for and WHERE their going to find and/or search for it! My bag of crack
> > falls under a different area of the law and believe it or not...if this
> > happened the person searched could get a GOOD lawyer and get off on the
> > crack charges! You don't need to take my word for it...ask a COP or a
> > LAWYER. It's kind of A loop hole...people who get busted know they did
> > wrong so they don't fight it. NOBODY can search my house without a
> > warrant stating what they are looking for...not even the FEDS!
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>

4346. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 02:22:43 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92jgtgdqc1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65783

In article <Tdb36.5086$aI6.368302@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> Mr. Voobner,
>
> I have one question. Regarding the following from your post:
> <snip>
> > Intentionally trying to ruin someone else's >communication
experience
> seems like a selfish child's
> > game played only by bullies.
> <snip>
> > I am appalled.
> >
> > Aaron H. Voobner
>
> When you say 'communications experience', might that not also apply to
folks
> who (apparently) just like to flame this newsgroup? Or am I missing
> something?
>
> Thanks in advance for you answer and
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>

Mr. Hampton,

To truly "ruin the communication experience" in this newsgroup a person would have to devise some sort of technology that would "lock up" everyone's computer while one person filled everyone's screen with whatever they wanted. So far, this is not possible on the internet newsgroups. But it IS possible on CB. And it is practiced, I might add, with GLEE by many of your fellow rec.radio.cb dwellers.

You (or anyone) have the ability to merely "filter" out newsgroup posts. While on CB, it's pretty damn impossible to filter out a bozo showing off with his splatterbox linear.

I hope this answers your question.

Aaron H. Voobner

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4347. Re: PRESIDENT G W BUSH,.....FINALLY.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 02:37:32 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a4d4be2@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 65784

And Dat's WHY I tell people to NOT BUY ANY CB's made in RED COMMY PINKO CHINA !!!!
Dave!!!!!!

<curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:92jcmnaqj1@nnrp1.deja.com...
In article <4131-3A4D0E19-9@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>, twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
> And you, Mr. Vesterling, are a troll! Let it go. The election is over.
> Your type only serve to instigate and further segregate the parties.
>
>

mr twist , that is what happened in 1994. the republicans took over both houses of congress and thought they could coast on this victory. they took your advice and 'let it go'. and look what happened. they lost their way. these things that happened during the presidency of these individuals must be remembered and must be talked about continually and should be thought about by every american. this must not happen again. do you in any way shape or form, understand just how much damage has been done by clintonoski and his commi libs. and oh yes ,they are commies. if you don't believe that . do some factual research on the situation, then come talk to me about it. do you know that these people looked the other way while the chinese stole all of our nuclear secrets. now we give these punks favored nation status. now they generate a profitable income off of the united states and build up a trade surplus and turn around and spend their extra cash given to them by us, to build nuclear missles, build up their military and pay off their willing helpers, the dnc, aka, the clinton bank. what do we do. we scale ours back. clearly the news media, their willing accomplises, have for all intents and purposes, failed their duties as a free press to report the truth. sex in the white house. that is the least of our worries. do you know, these assholes have bought a house just right down the street from the white house. slick's old lady gets her ass elected by stupid people in new york, then promptly moves to washington dc. are they going away? nope, they are not going away. the battle is never over twist. i think that is what you are failing to see here. now maybe you don't see things the way i do, but i can tell you, it is not me that is lying to you continually. it is not me charging you taxes at the highest rate since ww 2. nope, it aint me, so i am here to say, we may disagree but i am not , i repeat , not your enemy. the clintonistas are. and they should be talked about. and all this must stay fresh in everyone's minds. because we all know how they operate don't we? after 8 years of this complete and total idiot and

this election fiasco, do we know these people , i think we do. if we don't then somebody isn't paying attention. these folks are a clear and present danger, and i for one do not understand how the fine folks in arkansas produced such a fool. for such a satan, to come from a town called hope, it is such a paradox, wouldn't you say?,......cd is out....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4348. Mr.137 GOES LEGAL!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 02:38:21 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92jhqu$ei6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65786

>
> Station:
> - Uniden Washington (3-4watts AM 11watts SSB PEAK), stock-mic
> - 85 feet of RG-8/U coax
> - homemade 5/8 wave groundplane antenna on a 30 foot crank-up (about
to
> update to a Mastadon)
>
> Mobile: 91 FORD Exploder
> - Cobra 148GTL (4watts AM 12watts SSB PEAK) no channels because there
is
> nobody except myself, Unit 989 and a bunch of HAMS in this town. So I
don't
> need anything on my station to get me in trouble with any of these
guys
> (that's if all HAMs are like N8 whiny, cry babies).
> - 55 Coil and/or POWERSTIK
> - Hustler QD-2 Antenna Quick disconnect
> - Stargunn Mount
> - No alternators and no amps (because there is no room under the hood
or in
> the cab for alternators or batteries (trust me)...

Thanks MR.137,

Awesome! I knew if I tried hard enough I could get ONE person to give up the illegal CB life. Thank you so much for seeing the light and having the guts to post about it. You are to be congratulated on this bold move. Although you may be now criticized by your former friends for turning away from the dark side, remember that you always have a friend in Aaron Voobner.

Sincerely,
Aaron H. Voobner
(Call me anytime)

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4349. Re: FS.RG214u Coax Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 02:41:49 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A4D4B6D.A983E291@qnet.com>
Source record: 65862

Hello Dave: RG214 is very close to RG213 or RG8 coax in performance.

There isn't really any need for such expensive coax for a CB or ham antenna installation. Unless you run across it for cheap.

The RG214 has two shields instead of one like in the RG213, and the center conductor and the two shields are silver plated. I have bought surplus RG214 at the TRW swap meet, for its silver plated shield wires, to make bitchen solder wick, wind torrids, ground fenders and fire walls of my vehicles, and a bunch of other uses.

The RG214 is a hole lot more money as compared to RG213, its said that it has a better shielding property than the single shield coaxes.

The ARRL Antenna Book suggests you use a double shielded coax such as RG214 for greater isolation to reduce unwanted coupling of RF energy between tuned cavities for repeater use.

Jay in the Mojave

Dave wrote:
>
> What is RG214u?
>
> I'm somewhat familiar with RG58, RG8, RG59, RG56, RG6, RG274, and Times
> Microwave LMR-400, but what the heck is RG214U, and why use it?
>
> What is special about RG214U?

4350. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 02:43:46 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <vuiq4t85c127sest3vsh0s1b0rk1ilokmh@4ax.com>
Source record: 65785

On Sat, 30 Dec 2000 02:16:04 GMT, "Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com>
wrote:

>A. The term is called "Implied Consent". You bought the radio, and that
>implied that you would consent to search and seizure upon inspection of your
>station, if in fact it was illegal.
>
>B. The rules of licensing still are the same, however you no longer have to
>"purchase and wait" for said license. Now, as soon as you purchase a CB
>radio, and utilize it in the manner the manufacturer meant (read this, as
>use the radio), you have entered into an agreement with the federal
>government of the US that you will abide by all laws and regulations
>pertaining to CB radio.
>
>
>Ain't that a bitch?

If the FCC only suspects illegal operation and does not have proof
then you are not held to the Part 95 inspection clause.

Once approached by the FCC one only needs to deny operating, or
owning any CB radio. With this reply they have no right to inspect
unless they have undeniable proof. It is highly unlikely that they
would have this on the first visit. They must then regroup. This will
give you time to make sure your house is in order.

For the same reasons it is not smart to own a CB. Operate a amateur
radio instead. Then you can only be held to part 95 rules if you are
caught transmitting and not for simply owning a CB radio.

4351. Re: 2510

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 02:52:44 UTC
From: Karl Vesterling <kjv@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <06c36.282$x5.25407@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 65787

Doc is not a relation sir. Just a friend of mine.

"www.kp82.com" <timnebo@aol.comedy> wrote in message
news:20001229183625.01467.00000343@ng-bk1.aol.com...
> is doc your brother or son or something?
>
> >
> >At that price I think Doc would be interested in it...
>
>
> 73 de Tim
> Knight Patrol #82
> http://www.kp82.com

4352. Re: Threats To Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 02:55:27 UTC
From: the_weak_one@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92jiqu$f54$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65790

Gee goobner, I must be reading different posts. I don't recall seeing
myself or the phoneman threaten you in any post he or I made. Unless me
relating how he has removed pests like yourself from the NG in the past
a threat.
If anyone has done anything wrong, YOU have accused the phoneman of
being a manufacturer of illegal amplifiers, an accusation not to be
taken lightly by someone such as he, a respected name in the
communications field.
Threats? Get real boobner.....
The weakest station in the nation....the weak one.
SNIP>>
> Oy. Now I'm being threatened by people with names like "phoneman" and
> "the weak one" in postings that are simply dripping with melodramatic
> touches. Honest to God, it's like a bunch of kids playing "mafia".
>
> Next you'll be threatening to send me package bombs. And for what?
> Because you want to stay illegal on CB - and I make you uncomfortable?
> Not a good reason.
>
> Aaron H. Voobner
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4353. Re: Mr.137 GOES LEGAL!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 02:59:14 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <92jj68$cj5a$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 65789

Does this mean you will go home know?

KRS

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:92jhqu$ei6$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>
> >
> > Station:
> > - Uniden Washington (3-4watts AM 11watts SSB PEAK), stock-mic
> > - 85 feet of RG-8/U coax
> > - homemade 5/8 wave groundplane antenna on a 30 foot crank-up (about
> to
> > update to a Mastadon)
> >
> > Mobile: 91 FORD Exploder
> > - Cobra 148GTL (4watts AM 12watts SSB PEAK) no channels because there
> is
> > nobody except myself, Unit 989 and a bunch of HAMS in this town. So I
> don't
> > need anything on my station to get me in trouble with any of these
> guys
> > (that's if all HAMs are like N8 whiny, cry babies).
> > - 55 Coil and/or POWERSTIK
> > - Hustler QD-2 Antenna Quick disconnect
> > - Stargunn Mount
> > - No alternators and no amps (because there is no room under the hood
> or in
> > the cab for alternators or batteries (trust me)...
>
>
> Thanks MR.137,
>
> Awesome! I knew if I tried hard enough I could get ONE person to give up
> the illegal CB life. Thank you so much for seeing the light and having
> the guts to post about it. You are to be congratulated on this bold
> move. Although you may be now criticized by your former friends for
> turning away from the dark side, remember that you always have a friend
> in Aaron Voobner.
>
> Sincerely,
> Aaron H. Voobner
> (Call me anytime)
>
>

> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

4354. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 03:02:06 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a4d4faf.1801704@news.cet.com>
Source record: 65788

On Fri, 29 Dec 2000 18:53:36 -0600, 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> relieved CLP from his post at the Coax Police station and wrote:

> Which is still ~not~ any length of 50 ohm coax.

Your'e right, it isn't just "any length". What's your point?

> (The 4.134 feet of 50 ohm coax won't do it either.)

Care to explain why it won't work?

Sparky, FLRC

4355. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 03:08:25 UTC
From: G SEVEN <superiorwavelength@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <92jjmm$mk8c$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 65791

Wow, what a statement. I you would only read your own words and reflect on your own actions. Can you do this? Really read your own words and relate them to what your doing right now, can you do that Aaron? Are you a truly genuine person? For ever action there is a equal and opposite reaction, this ones coming home to roost...

KRS

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:92h0tpgva1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>
> > you sound more like fuller everyday,.......cd is out.....
>
> Well what am I supposed to think of that? Is sounding like Mark Fuller
> supposed to be a really bad thing? As far as I can see, lots of people
> in this NG started getting very nervous because he was merely bringing
> up legal issues.
>
> >It is the cb mentality to screw up anything related to radio
> >communications.
>
> I don't know if it the CB mentality or just the mentality of the lowlife
> type of person. I cannot for the life of me get enthused about
> purposely "keying over" someone else. The idea of "locking up a channel"
> or "plugging ears" doesn't appeal to me. Intentionally trying to ruin
> someone else's communication experience seems like a selfish child's
> game played only by bullies. And running companies that create or sell
> products that are designed to help people do that sort of thing seems
> like a very nasty way to make a living.
>
> I am appalled.
>
> Aaron H. Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

4356. Re: Theoretical ? for Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 03:11:15 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <Llc36.5213$Uv2.382421@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 65793

"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:1wb36.5117$aI6.369690@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Dick, I've a question. The problem may well be money, but I would think
> that a spread-spectrum radio operating in almost any cluttered space
might
> be a good bet. As you state, with all the freebanding going on, it is
> likely to go unnoticed - and using spread spectrum around these areas
would
> make it REAL hard to detect!
>
> 73s from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>

No doubt about it. All a question of what you need done, how much you are willing to pay to do it, and what sort of technical talent you have available. Would not be too surprising if some of the high value-added operations (drugs, for example) are in fact done this way. Probably not necessary for tactical ops by the peasant patrol. You also do not want hardware falling into the hands of the government troops where it might be reverse engineered. So the peasants get a modded CB and an AK to bury.

I would not underestimate the gear available to DF even spread spectrum so hanging in crowded areas would still make sense. Makes even more sense to help create the crowd. But it is still a fair bet that most of it is just low cost communication.

4357. J-Pole Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 03:16:43 UTC
From: Ken <klajr2@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <Frc36.1395$F8.134822@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Source record: 65792

Can someone be more specific as to how the feed line connects to
the main element? I have the unit built, but am not sure how to tune it .
Raise the driver element up or down, or the feed line up or down on the
driver element?
Thanks,
Ken

4358. Re: Psycho Alien Race and "MASS" Murders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 03:30:24 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <92jkro$7iu0s$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 65794
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.uk

"Donald Reeve" <don236@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:92ivpv$fb06$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...

> In 1991 and forward I
> did work with the FBI and others supplying them with information on the
> CIA's plans and in 1993 The DEA whom I have always known as a covert
> operation of the CIA did obtain a warrant under perjury while I was
> working with the FBI and injected CIA designed monitoring and torture
> devices into my neck to disable me to stop me from stopping their plans
> to fix the presidential elections of the United States

<snip...>

What, him too? What a small world...

They're coming to take me away, ha ha!

--
Regards,
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

4359. Re: Psycho Alien Race and "MASS" Murders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 03:31:10 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <92jkt6$6v54d$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 65795
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.uk

"Jukeboy" <mcotton@gte.net> wrote in message
news:3a4d0dc5.26732872@news.gte.net...
> Perhaps some members of our alien race who live near this bothersome
> Earthling can beam him up to the mothership so we can give him a
> couple of implants before jettisoning him out in one of our
> chem-trails near where our black helicopters patrol.
>
> May the starchildren prevail in our struggle for the universe!!!
>
> Klattu

You will be assimilated. Resistance is futile.

4360. Re: Psycho Alien Race and "MASS" Murders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 03:38:03 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a4d57d8.3890996@news.cet.com>
Source record: 65796
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.uk
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On Sat, 30 Dec 2000 00:28:23 -0800, "cactus jack"
wrote:

>But think on..... give an american a gun and he HAS to try it out to see if
>it really does kill people. The american gun laws(or lack of them) is a
>complete farce.

Didn't you hear? Biblical scholars have just discovered that Cain
killed Abel with a semi-automatic handgun. Something about being
disgruntled . . .

Sparky

4361. Re: Psycho Alien Race and "MASS" Murders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 03:41:30 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <92jlgi$6ut40$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 65797
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.uk

<americanmanzo@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:92imp3pbl1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> I'm investigating the recent shooting at the Edgewater Technology
> software company?
>
> From the looks of things it appears as if the employee was being
> attacked by his "CESS Alien Co-workers". A similar
> situation happened here in the Alien Agency AREA called Cincinnati.

Check out this site. It could do wonders for you:

http://www.zapatopi.net/afdb.html

4362. Re: J-Pole Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 03:47:56 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <t4qmupgl9fdv62@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 65848

Hmm, I don't know how you built your J-pole, but the
VHF and UHF designs all just take the coax and hook
the 'hot' lead to the long radiator, and the shield to the
short radiator about 1/10th wavelength or so above the
junction. Then slide the feeds up or down to tune. And
it doesn't really matter if you swap center and shield. In
fact, most people who build 'multi-band' J-poles with
multiple feeds usually hook all their 'shield' connections to
the long radiator.

I've also seen J-poles coupled with a 'loop' with a series
cap. This 'loop' is laid over the junction with an insulator
to couple to the two forks. But this would take a picture
to show how it's done, and I'm not sure how practical
it is, as I've not tried it.

Mike

Ken <klajr2@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:Frc36.1395$F8.134822@nnrp3.sbc.net...
> Can someone be more specific as to how the feed line connects
to
> the main element? I have the unit built, but am not sure how to tune it .
> Raise the driver element up or down, or the feed line up or down on the
> driver element?
> Thanks,
> Ken
>
>

4363. Re: FS:Cookie built 1200 plus $360

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 03:56:08 UTC
From: wpbrock3rd@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001229225608.26653.00001778@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 65849

always looking for someone to come to Maryland! try going to them! but what would you key? your stereo? I didn't know it was a boom box show down. LOL

>From: lowrider667@aol.com (Lowrider667)
>Date: 12/29/00 4:34 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <20001229163422.12500.00000440@ng-cd1.aol.com>
>
>hey boo you can come to maryland too and get the smackdown put on ya ass
>
> 667 cruz,en this long nose suburban in baltimore is gone >From:
>"-=PEAKABOO=-" tappingdatass@hotmail.comode
>>Date: 12/29/2000 2:15 PM Eastern Standard Time
>>Message-id: <rp536.465$F8.28713@nnrp3.sbc.net>
>>
>>Hey CD, just lay that steel across his lips

4364. Re: PRESIDENT G W BUSH,.....FINALLY.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 04:13:03 UTC
From: Karl Vesterling <kjv@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <jhd36.300$x5.26800@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 65850

Oh PUHLEEEZE... This "healing of america" mentality is not the correct stance to take on the matter. I could care less about the liberals and their agenda. The point is that we're not going to let a few squirrels and racoons get in the way of progress. It's because of the environmentalist whackos out there protesting building new power plants. But gosh, they sure get angry when the brownouts start to happen.

You CANNOT and SHALL NOT have a double standard. You CANNOT and SHALL NOT have it both ways.

And NO I WILL NOT stop my typing under the guise of "healing america" because what you're hoping will happen is that we'll forget about it and drop it.

You try to count and re-count and count again until the vote turns out in your favor... And do it under the guise of counting every vote. Interesting scenario... Nope, no more disguised agenda... Knock down the trees, build the power plant, and drill for more oil. I'm all for it, and I'm not going to forget about it.

Sending attorneys to "fix" the election. And most people are wondering, "Well gee, Gore won the popular vote."... We live in a DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC, and not a pure DEMOCRACY, and if you so much as even suggest that the electoral college be disbanded I'll be sure to type as many keys as I did DURING the election.

No go read the constitution, and bill of rights. Learn it, and live by it, DO NOT change it.

The 2nd ammendment is the reset button for the US Constitution.

<twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:4131-3A4D0E19-9@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> And you, Mr. Vesterling, are a troll! Let it go. The election is over.
> Your type only serve to instigate and further segregate the parties.
>

4365. Re: Are you protected from EMF waves?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 04:18:22 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@oio.net>
Message-ID: <3A4D620E.276E150F@oio.net>
Source record: 65851
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Anonymous,
EMF is why I wear an aluminum foil beany. You can tell
how much radiation your receiving by how fast the propeller
spins...
'Doc

4366. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 04:19:17 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92jno2int1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65854

Oh goodness me.

I just looked in on Dave & Debby's website at
http://www.planetc.com/users/ministry/

This newsgroup needs a LOT more help than I first thought.

Shocked and Dismayed,
Aaron H. Voobner

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4367. Re: PRESIDENT G W BUSH,.....FINALLY.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 04:23:52 UTC
From: Karl Vesterling <kjv@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <srd36.301$x5.26871@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 65852

Yeah... I almost FORGOT about that one... Yeah, you know what... You can't hold liberals responsible for their actions. I mean, it's fate right? Free will? Oh, that's just an illusion... So if it's fate, then we should let all the prisoners out. I mean, their actions we pre-determined right?

I mean, can't we be compassionate and show some understanding... Just like that guy in Boston that wasted his coworkers and associates... He didn't really mean to pull the trigger, those weapons just went off by themselves...

How about that unibomber guy? I mean come on... He was just sending packages to people to show them how to build bombs... He didn't really mean for them to go off...

No wait a minute... It's free will... Lock em up, vote em out, deal with the problem not the symptom.

<curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:92jd0f$b4v$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> you think that is the only incidence of misbehavior by the
> distinguished senator from massachusetts? it hasn't been. i assure you.
> also it seems massachusetts produces some real goof balls. take barney
> frank for instance. a avowed homosexual, running a prostitution ring
> out of his congressional office. any normal person in normal times
> would have been rode out of town on a rail or tarred and feathered. but
> its ok, hes a liberal and he didn't mean it. it was just those mean old
> white european guys who made him do it,..cd is out.....
> >
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

4368. Re: Need: schematic for Sharp CB-800 please, thanks, dale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 04:26:59 UTC
From: Gudule <gudule88@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <nud36.139844$J32.5102132@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 65853

I dont think they have a web site.
I may have the manual. I just have to look for it. I will let u know next
week

4369. Re: Threats To Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 04:27:43 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92jo7s$j53$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65856

In article <92jiqu$f54$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
the_weak_one@my-deja.com wrote:
> Gee goobner, I must be reading different posts. I don't recall seeing
> myself or the phoneman threaten you in any post he or I made. Unless
me
> relating how he has removed pests like yourself from the NG in the
past
> a threat.
> If anyone has done anything wrong, YOU have accused the phoneman of
> being a manufacturer of illegal amplifiers, an accusation not to be
> taken lightly by someone such as he, a respected name in the
> communications field.
> Threats? Get real boobner.....

Who in the heck is the "phoneman" and what "good name" of his have I ruined? Some anonymous guy named "phoneman" posted something in favor of CB amps and I asked him if he manufactured them. So what? Is the FBI now going to look up the name "phoneman" in the telephone book and go locate and harass him based on an internet posting? Get real. Brother, you people are WAY too touchy about this amp thing and WAY too eager to squash discussion about them.

Nonplussed,
Aaron

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4370. Re: Aaron Voobner is a Fake Trolling Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 04:36:07 UTC
From: mobile51@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001229233607.07509.00012937@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 65855

aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> And just for good measure I'd like Scott Unit 69 to put all his
> overpowered illegal radio gear in the trunk of Mobile51's car and drive
> it straight into the Chesapeake Bay at high tide until it sinks.

.....
....
yeah Vooby the booby still dreaming.. he would want someone to do something..He
has no cajones to do it himself.... Fake trolling coward.

4371. Voober goes down (was Re: Mr.137 GOES LEGAL!!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 04:59:09 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4qqsuqjdumc7a@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65857

and up, and down, and up, and.....

Jeff

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:92jhqu$ei6$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
>
> >
> > Station:
> > - Uniden Washington (3-4watts AM 11watts SSB PEAK), stock-mic
> > - 85 feet of RG-8/U coax
> > - homemade 5/8 wave groundplane antenna on a 30 foot crank-up (about
> to
> > update to a Mastadon)
> >
> > Mobile: 91 FORD Exploder
> > - Cobra 148GTL (4watts AM 12watts SSB PEAK) no channels because there
> is
> > nobody except myself, Unit 989 and a bunch of HAMS in this town. So I
> don't
> > need anything on my station to get me in trouble with any of these
> guys
> > (that's if all HAMs are like N8 whiny, cry babies).
> > - 55 Coil and/or POWERSTIK
> > - Hustler QD-2 Antenna Quick disconnect
> > - Stargunn Mount
> > - No alternators and no amps (because there is no room under the hood
> or in
> > the cab for alternators or batteries (trust me)...
>
>
> Thanks MR.137,
>
> Awesome! I knew if I tried hard enough I could get ONE person to give up
> the illegal CB life. Thank you so much for seeing the light and having
> the guts to post about it. You are to be congratulated on this bold
> move. Although you may be now criticized by your former friends for
> turning away from the dark side, remember that you always have a friend
> in Aaron Voobner.
>
> Sincerely,
> Aaron H. Voobner
> (Call me anytime)
>
>

> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

4372. Re: Are you protected from EMF waves?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 05:01:17 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4qr0viauf5ie7@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65858
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"'Doc" <w5lz@oio.net> wrote in message news:3A4D620E.276E150F@oio.net...
>
>
> Anonymous,
> EMF is why I wear an aluminum foil beany. You can tell
> how much radiation your receiving by how fast the propeller
> spins...
> 'Doc

Is it a regulation "Beanie and Cecil" beanie? ;-)

Jeff

4373. Re: PRESIDENT G W BUSH,.....FINALLY.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 05:12:09 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24492-3A4D6EA9-39@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65865

heehhehe..I hear yer point of view loud and clear CD. As you well know, I ain't a fan of either side, but in my mind, if we're gonna keep reminding people, a blowjob and whitewater pales in comparison to Iran-Contra and the S & L pyramid.. I tip my hat to you on the Chinese secret exchanges, or shall we say treason and espionage? It goes so friggin' deep on both sides I don't give a damn about either party. One thing I always say, we the people elect who we deserve. New York, well, lets just wait and see....we'll do well here, never a doubt in my mind, Jeb HAS to trickle down wealth to his guvnorship (sic) in the 'pile. You think Byrd from WV has the market cornered for pork? You ain't seen nothing yet! Yep, Fla., Tx., a few others are in for a prosperous time, no matter what occurs elsewhere, to a certain extent. When we talk about the evils of the past 8 years, yer preachin' to the choir, my friend. The amount of incarcerations for nonviolent offenders reached record heights during Reagan and Bush, via the war on drugs (read: people), at 1.2 or .3 million. This was their legacy due to property forfeiture, expanded police powers and abilities, and an expanded prison system to house these government created criminals. Clinton came along and perpetuated this stinkin' farce and acclimated the numbers to 2 million incarcerated during his term. During the Reagan Bush years, the assault on our Bill of Rights began. Clinton shredded what was left him. The strategy by Clinton was indeed brilliant, but he cannot take credit, credit was due to the Reagan Bush era, and in part, the economy included. The plan was this: Incarcerate by three quarters of a million, build new prisons (adding to construction jobs) add a few million to maintain the entire infrastructure, and as a result.....bingo...a low unemployment rate and a booming economy.....paying for it all was that great little tool made popular by the Reagan-Bush area....forfeiture...which in turn has countless abuses in and of itself. They both suck CD. We all have our opinions on who sucks worse, but it would be a cold day in hell before I endorsed either damn one!

4374. Re: J-Pole Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 05:16:34 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <Sce36.203960$U46.6280958@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 65859

you slide the feedline up and down for best match

that must be one hell of a large CB antenna :)

"Ken" <klajr2@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:Frc36.1395$F8.134822@nnrp3.sbc.net...
Can someone be more specific as to how the feed line connects to
the main element? I have the unit built, but am not sure how to tune it .
Raise the driver element up or down, or the feed line up or down on the
driver element?
Thanks,
Ken

4375. Re: PRESIDENT G W BUSH,.....FINALLY.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 05:18:39 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24492-3A4D702F-40@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65863

..or Senator John McCain in Arizona calling his constituents and the very people who elected him, "ignorant and uniformed" when the proposition overwhelmingly passed allowing medicinal marijuana in AZ. Just another front liner telling you what's good for you and that you don't have the sense or intelligence to make your own decisions. It's both, and neither side is any less crooked than the other, the grass ain't greener, the wine ain't sweeter, EITHER side of the hill.

4376. Re: PRESIDENT G W BUSH,.....FINALLY.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 05:21:06 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24491-3A4D70C2-80@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65864

My (current) board was made in Malaysia, not China. And I suppose all the hammies that espouse patriotism are running Ten-tecs.

4377. Re: Best Online CB Store

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 05:22:56 UTC
From: Dean <deanntx@tyler.net>
Message-ID: <3A4D7130.572A003C@tyler.net>
Source record: 65860

It damn sure isn't CB City!

Might try exportradios.com

Paul wrote:

> I'm looking for a reliable, honest online store with a big inventory of
> CB products. Any recommendations? Thanks all.
> -Paul

4378. Re: WTD: Palomar VC-200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 05:31:31 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <Tqe36.203964$U46.6275653@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 65861

I will be waiting with bells on.

"Big Dog 326" <scott_326@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:92hcs5phq1@nnrp1.deja.com...
In article <PuU26.200243$U46.6170393@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>,
"The Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote:
> I'm looking for the VC-200. I believe they called them Voice Controllers.
> I really don't remember. I know that there is a VC-100 with scramble and
a
> VC-300 with digital recording. I'm looking for the 200. If anyone has
one
> or knows where one is, please let me know.
>
> Thanks,
> The Packrat
> spam@n7uic.net
>

I will be listing one on ebay this weekend! Never used, with box and
manual. I purchased it new and never had a need for it. I will post an
ebay link after I get it listed on ebay.

--
THANK YOU: Scott!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4379. Re: PRESIDENT G W BUSH,.....FINALLY.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 05:32:56 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24491-3A4D7388-82@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65866

Look Vesterling, I don't give a DAMN who is president at this time. Furthermore, for every bit of dirtyou can bring up on a demo, I can do the same thing on a repub., or Independent, or a damn Whig if ya' wanna go back far enough! But since YOU brought it up, and you continue to ASSume I am a democrat booster, sine you continue to use the word, "You", directed at me, when talking about the ballots. Yes, I am in Fla. You read the constitution Vesterling, the Fla. constitution. No matter what happened here with the ballots, the Supreme court (and maybe rightfully so) exercised Federalism and that is what the election succumbed to....FEDERALISM! I don't give a damn who won at this point, it is how. Keep the amendments and constitution, but trash the states" Constitution. One more time, since you obviously are trolling for anyone who disagrees with your ass kissing republican ways (funny, when I was a staunch supporter of the party, we thought for ourselves) I am no fan of either. They both suck and so do you if you can't comprehend I have no intention of supporting disbanding the electoral vote.

4380. Re: X Force Amplifier for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 06:03:13 UTC
From: brass <cc@knology.net>
Message-ID: <3A4D7AA1.4627DBF7@knology.net>
Source record: 65869

The pictures are a Xforce cabnet
no and if's about it
different taste on the combiners as well

Brass

4381. Re: FS:Cookie built 1200 plus $360

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 06:06:52 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92ju1q$n6q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65867

In article <20001229225608.26653.00001778@ng-fi1.aol.com>,
wpbrock3rd@aol.com (WPBROCK3RD) wrote:
> always looking for someone to come to Maryland! try going to them!
but what
> would you key? your stereo? I didn't know it was a boom box show
down. LOL
>
> >From: lowrider667@aol.com (Lowrider667)
> >Date: 12/29/00 4:34 PM Eastern Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20001229163422.12500.00000440@ng-cd1.aol.com>
> >
> >hey boo you can come to maryland too and get the smackdown put on
ya ass
> >
> > 667 cruz,en this long nose suburban in baltimore is gone >From:
> >"-=PEAKABOO=-" tappingdatass@hotmail.comode
> >>Date: 12/29/2000 2:15 PM Eastern Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <rp536.465$F8.28713@nnrp3.sbc.net>
> >>
> >>Hey CD, just lay that steel across his lips
>

peewee, why don't you tell this boy to grow up and smell the coffee. he
just can't hang with the big boys. hell i would be happy to let him key
up his stuff on the line for 25 seconds. then those last 5 seconds are
mine and during that time, his fuckin radio would blow up, his amp
would blow up, his old shitty longnose would die, his red hair would
stand up on end, and that would be the end of , cough , cough ,
lowrider. son that is one hell of a real honest to goodness original
handle , ha, ha, ha , ha..... .....cd is out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4382. Re: PRESIDENT G W BUSH,.....FINALLY.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 06:25:36 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92jv4tnnh1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65868

In article <24492-3A4D702F-40@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
twistedhed@webtv.net wrote:
> ..or Senator John McCain in Arizona calling his constituents and the
> very people who elected him, "ignorant and uniformed" when the
> proposition overwhelmingly passed allowing medicinal marijuana in AZ.
> Just another front liner telling you what's good for you and that you
> don't have the sense or intelligence to make your own decisions. It's
> both, and neither side is any less crooked than the other, the grass
> ain't greener, the wine ain't sweeter, EITHER side of the hill.
>
>

oh ok ,so what we have here is a guy who's only problem in life is that
he wants to smoke pot without being hassled by the man, well gee wizz,
good gobbly goop twist. you could have saved all of us a lot of time
and trouble if you would have explained your ideas in the first place.
this would account for the fact that you call yourself an independent.
well have you ever heard of single issue folks, comode, comode,...cd is
out......

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4383. Re: Threats To Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 06:37:02 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92jvqeobe1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65870

In article <3A4D2EFB.75AB1EAF@oio.net>,
'Doc <w5lz@oio.net> wrote:
>
>
> "www.kp82.com" wrote:
> >
> > if it happened you wouldnt be the first to get one in the mail for
being a dick
> > on this group so dont be suprised
> >
> > >Next you'll be threatening to send me package bombs.
> >
> > 73 de Tim
> > Knight Patrol #82
> > http://www.kp82.com
> **********
> That's interesting. Name one person who got a bomb in the mail
> because of this NG.
> 'Doc
>

well doc, it happened about 4 years ago i think. a guy was using the
newsgroup to sell stuff and he was ripping folks off, so one day, he
ripped off the wrong guy. some truck driver got mad about his rip off
ways and sent him a mail bomb for his trouble which blew up when opened
killing the internet thief and injuring his mommie. well i am all
choked up you know. the guy deserved it as far as i am concerned but
the guy that sent him the device is probably breaking rocks at
leavinworth prison for a very long time. oh well, and so it
goes,......cd is out.............

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4384. Re: Threats To Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 06:39:01 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92jvu5obo1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65871

In article <92jo7s$j53$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:
> In article <92jiqu$f54$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> the_weak_one@my-deja.com wrote:
> > Gee goobner, I must be reading different posts. I don't recall
seeing
> > myself or the phoneman threaten you in any post he or I made. Unless
> me
> > relating how he has removed pests like yourself from the NG in the
> past
> > a threat.
> > If anyone has done anything wrong, YOU have accused the phoneman of
> > being a manufacturer of illegal amplifiers, an accusation not to be
> > taken lightly by someone such as he, a respected name in the
> > communications field.
> > Threats? Get real boobner.....
>
> Who in the heck is the "phoneman" and what "good name" of his have I
> ruined? Some anonymous guy named "phoneman" posted something in favor
of
> CB amps and I asked him if he manufactured them. So what? Is the FBI
> now going to look up the name "phoneman" in the telephone book and go
> locate and harass him based on an internet posting? Get real. Brother,
> you people are WAY too touchy about this amp thing and WAY too eager
to
> squash discussion about them.
>
> Nonplussed,
> Aaron
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

you ask who is the phoneman and i ask you who the hell are you good
buddy?,.....cd is out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4385. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 06:40:08 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92k008odd1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65872

In article <vuiq4t85c127sest3vsh0s1b0rk1ilokmh@4ax.com>,
tnom@mucks.net wrote:
> If the FCC only suspects illegal operation and does not have proof
> then you are not held to the Part 95 inspection clause.
>
> Once approached by the FCC one only needs to deny operating, or
> owning any CB radio. With this reply they have no right to inspect
> unless they have undeniable proof. It is highly unlikely that they
> would have this on the first visit. They must then regroup. This will
> give you time to make sure your house is in order.
>
> For the same reasons it is not smart to own a CB. Operate a amateur
> radio instead. Then you can only be held to part 95 rules if you are
> caught transmitting and not for simply owning a CB radio.
>

That sounds kind of sleazy, Tnom. It is the kind of thing drug dealers
do when they hear the cops coming. It also sounds very nerve-wracking.
Always worrying about getting busted, and what you'd do and say if they
caught you. Ick.

Why not just get legal like Mr.137 did? It's easy.

Aaron Voobner

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4386. Re: Not a smart move Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 06:40:41 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92k019odf1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65873

In article <xpb36.5095$aI6.369018@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"JAMES HAMPTON" <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> I'm wondering ... I noticed a lot of posts from our buddy N8*** were
being
> deleted. If I had a bunch of posts that were being deleted, I'd
certainly
> start watching what I was saying. I notice I don't see any more
posts from
> that individual. Is it possible he has been banned from the
newsgroup? I
> also notice this rec.radio - I assume we should all be having fun and
> communicating about CB and not simply flaming. I will be watching to
see
> how Mr Voobner replied to my post regarding his post talking about
> interfearing with communications being childish - I asked him if this
> applied to the newsgroup as well as the radio. Hmmmm ...
>
> 73s from Rochester, NY
> Jim
> <the_weak_one@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:92iefd$i9r$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > As I was scanning down through the flamewars of the NG I couldn't
help
> > but notice one of my old pals being slammed by you Boobner.
> > Accusing "Phoneman" of build linears is probably not one of the
> > smartest things you have done lately. I wouldn't want to be the one
to
> > piss phoneman off. Those of you who have had dealings with him
> > personally and know who he works for know why, I'm sure.
> > This is one guy you want to be your friend, not foe. Trust me.
> > I think the exact phrase Phoneman used before he ridded the
> > rec.radio.cb newsgroup of one of it's more annoying pests like
yourself
> > last time was "You know, the interesting thing about the Internet
and
> > Newsgroups is that you never know WHO or WHAT the person is that is
> > sitting behind the keyboard of the computer making posts under a
> > certain name". THINK ABOUT THIS STATEMENT Goobner. Maybe you'll be
the
> > next pest he turns his attention to. I'm sure it wouldn't hurt the
> > feelings of several of the old timers here. I know it didn't last
time
> > he did us a favor......
> >

> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>
>

cool, are such things possible, i noticed that n8 the never going to be
10-8 mudduck has not been posting much lately. well i guess that
explains it,.....cd is out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4387. looking for old CB.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 07:12:22 UTC
From: "Hanno, DG8JZ" <Hanno@DG8JZ.de>
Message-ID: <92k1rg$jb7$02$1@news.t-online.com>
Source record: 65875

Hi Friends,
I am looking for a old CB transceiver manufactured by Sommerkamp Electronics around 20
years ago. The product name was TS 1000. If you do have or know how to find, please do me the favor to inform me.
many thanks and all best for 2001

vy 73
Hanno

www.dg8jz.de

4388. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 07:13:59 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A4D8B37.62209567@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65874

Well, Vooby, that's more time then I spent never clicking
on that link. I don't like them bringing their religion
the this newsgroup. Why would I spend the time to click
there. You really are a bored no-life. I just got back
hanging with some friends. You know, real people!

aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> Oh goodness me.
>
> I just looked in on Dave & Debby's website at
> http://www.planetc.com/users/ministry/
>
> This newsgroup needs a LOT more help than I first thought.
>
> Shocked and Dismayed,
> Aaron H. Voobner

4389. Re: WTD: Palomar VC-200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 07:16:57 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A4D8BE9.D61E894F@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65876

I can't believe he would bother with EBay, knowing he has
a ready customer. He must be trying to milk it for all
it's worth.

Big Dog 326 wrote:
>
> In article <PuU26.200243$U46.6170393@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>,
> "The Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote:
> > I'm looking for the VC-200. I believe they called them Voice Controllers.
> > I really don't remember. I know that there is a VC-100 with scramble and a
> > VC-300 with digital recording. I'm looking for the 200. If anyone has one
> > or knows where one is, please let me know.
> >
> > Thanks,
> > The Packrat
> > spam@n7uic.net
> >
>
> I will be listing one on ebay this weekend! Never used, with box and
> manual. I purchased it new and never had a need for it. I will post an
> ebay link after I get it listed on ebay.

4390. MURDER In rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 07:44:04 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92k3o4$qo5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65877

Did some research. This newsgroup has a long and infamous past. Excerpts from a 1998 WIRED magazine article.

Enjoy,
Aaron Voobner

Chris Marquis was a 17-year-old Vermont hustler running a small-time scam online. His virtual business was going great - until somebody killed him.

By Scott Kirsner, WIRED MAGAZINE

On the afternoon of March 19, 1998, a UPS driver named Armand Gevry delivered a cardboard box to the pea-green house at 3 Washington Street in Fair Haven, Vermont. Gevry lives two blocks away, and when Sheila Rockwell opened the door, she recognized him as the deliveryman who often brought shipments of citizen's band radio equipment to her son. It was a cold, gray day outside - light snow changing to sleet changing to rain - so she quickly took the package from Gevry, thanked him, and shut the door. Rockwell, a weathered woman of 52 with hard blue eyes and wispy brown hair, carried the box down the hallway of her modest home. Chris Marquis was talking on his new Ranger RCI 2990 radio with his girlfriend, Cyndi McDonald, when his mother brought the package into his wood-paneled bedroom. His 6-foot frame was hunched over the microphone on his desk, his dirty-blond hair was swept forward across his forehead, and a thin mustache fuzzed his upper lip.

Rockwell handed the 2-foot-long box to her son. She didn't recognize the return address, so she sat down on Chris's bed, curious to see what was inside.

Chris continued chatting with Cyndi. The name and address on the box - Samantha Brown, 1863 South High Street, Bucyrus, Ohio - didn't ring any bells for him either.

"I got a package in the mail," Chris told Cyndi. He'd met her two years earlier as a quiet, sweet voice on Channel 1. Cyndi was now in the 11th grade, a year ahead of Chris, but she attended school in Whitehall, the next town over. Their favorite date was a meal at the McDonald's on the far edge of Fair Haven.
"What is it?" Cyndi asked. "Who's it from?"
"Hold on. I don't know," Chris answered, as he grabbed his jackknife from the holster on his belt and slit the box open. Inside was a slightly smaller box made of styrofoam.
"Well, it's probably a bomb, then," Cyndi joked.

The explosion knocked Chris and his mother to the floor.

"Chris had a message up," Sischo, who lives in Michigan, recalls. "It said, 'Anybody looking to buy, sell, or trade radio equipment, email me.' I had some stuff that I couldn't sell around here, so I was gonna do a trade." After corresponding by email, Chris taught Sischo how to use Mirabilis's ICQ software so they could chat in real time. They discussed the relative merits of Rangers and Unidens and Cherokees and Cobras. Chris, who used "Psycho" as his email name and CB handle and dubbed himself "PhantomOp" on ICQ, revealed his real name to Sischo. He also griped about his wife, sent a digitized picture of his daughter by email, and pointed Sischo to a Web page he'd set up to advertise the CB Shack.

Eventually, the two began trying to work out a trade. They agreed that Chris would send Sischo a Galaxy Saturn Turbo worth about $700, a couple other radios, and a few microphones and accessories. In return, Sischo would send three radios and microphones to Chris. Since the gear that Sischo was sending Chris was of lesser value, he also included a check for $100.

After exchanging tracking numbers with Chris so each could verify that the other had, indeed, sent the equipment, Sischo shipped his box by UPS in early March. But when Sischo visited the UPS Web site and punched in the 18 digits Chris had given him, he got the message "Unable to track shipment." He checked the number and tried again. Same thing.
Certain that Chris had intended to con him, Sischo called UPS and stopped delivery. He spoke to a representative of the company's security department, who asked whether Sischo knew anyone else who'd been burned by Chris Marquis. Sischo said he didn't but promised to see whether he could find others.

Sischo began posting messages around the Web and on Usenet groups. "Everyone who has been ripped off by Chris Marquis Email me immediately!!" he wrote to the readers of rec.radio.cb on March 14. The responses came quickly. Sischo heard from three or four people who had lost radios and money to the "proprietor" of the nonexistent CB Shack. One of them was NCTomCat, an America Online user who reported that he'd sent $25 to Chris to cover the shipping costs of a radio that never arrived.

In addition to emailing Sischo, TomCat was posting threatening messages on rec.radio.cb. "He ripped me off too," TomCat wrote on March 16. "I am posting ads about that crook all over the internet... the address I have is Washington St. Fairhaven Vermont... DONT MAIL THIS LIAR ANY MONEY OR YOU WILL BE POORER BUT WISER!!!!!! If I can find someone to pay the 2 way airfare, I will go there and collect everyones money back, and give him some severe dental problems to deal with... Are You listening Chris?? When You see a 6 foot 5 inch dark haired man at Your door, You better duck 'cause I will be about to drop the maul... on You noggin dude......."

On the rec.radio.cb newsgroup, TomCat insisted he "was mad but not a killer." He liked to hunt, according to Don Chilson, pastor at Pierceton's Bethel Baptist Church and a golfing buddy of Dean's, and he took tae kwan do lessons at an academy next to the grocery store in Pierceton. He'd also recently configured his computer to access the Net.

It was online that Dean first encountered Chris Marquis, according to Gonzo. Chris had confided in his friend about his dealings with Dean. He'd told Gonzo about a fantastic trade he'd negotiated with a guy in Indiana: a Ranger RCI 2990 in return for a Cobra 2000 CB radio. The RCI, a sleek, rack-mountable black box, was a 10-meter amateur radio that had been modified to handle CB transmissions. Another hack enabled the radio's user to "freeband" - go outside of the 40 channels the FCC allocates for CB transmissions. A compression board had been installed to boost speech levels. "It was the loudest thing on the air," says Gonzo, who estimates that all the enhancements bumped the radio's value up to nearly $800.

What was strange about the trade was that a Cobra 2000 is worth only about $400. It's an older radio - all brushed steel and simulated wood grain - an emblem of CB's 1970s heyday, when Smokey and the Bandit and C. W. McCall's populist trucker anthem "Convoy" made the once-obscure hobby suddenly hot. Gonzo was puzzled; why would someone trade a radio worth $800 for one worth half as much?

When he visited Chris, Gonzo saw the 2990 Dean had sent. Then Chris told him that instead of sending Dean the Cobra 2000 he'd promised, he had shipped a Realistic mobile radio that didn't even work just so Dean would have a tracking number as the two shipments crossed in the mail. (Apparently, Chris had learned a lesson from the deal with Sischo.) As soon as Dean had gotten the junk radio, he'd started making threatening calls and sending hostile email. In one message Dean said he was coming to Vermont to sort things out.

As Gonzo recalls it, as soon as he and Chris were alone, the teenager confessed to worrying that Dean would show up at his door. Dean had just called again, he told Gonzo, but his mother had covered for him. He asked what he should do; Gonzo advised him to give the radio back. But a short while later Chris was upbeat again. Gonzo noticed that the boy always felt sure his mother could protect him from any real harm. Indeed, when Dean had called that day, Rockwell had blithely told him her son wasn't in Vermont - she said he had been thrown in jail in another state.

Maybe Chris Marquis never understood that his actions could have consequences. Perhaps he thought his online identities would somehow shield him from real-world harm. He was Psycho, or Taz, or PhantomOp. He was also proprietor of the CB Shack, 27 years old, married. If no one could figure out exactly who he was, then how could they get to him? His fluid sense of identity may have made him feel invulnerable.

Even when people like Mark Sischo and Chris Dean began closing in on the real Chris Marquis, the teenager still felt he was in control. When

Sischo began sounding the alarm on rec.radio.cb and the RCI Federation site after he'd been burned by Chris, the youth had countered with a message of his own. Sischo was simply upset, Chris explained, because the CB Shack wouldn't sell him a radio he wanted badly. Even when an angry Dean started calling, started threatening to come to Vermont, the teen never felt he was in serious danger. If all else failed, his mother would handle it for him.

And what of the man authorities say murdered him? If the criminal charges are true, Dean made and mailed a bomb, taking no other precaution than making up a name, Samantha Brown, the girl from Bucyrus, Ohio, from whom no one would expect to receive such a nasty surprise. Maybe it was easier to imagine killing someone he had never met. He tangled with Chris on the phone, by email, and over ICQ. But he never saw the teenager's face. Maybe his fluid sense of identity also made him feel invulnerable. Catch me if you can.
****

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4391. Re: Psycho Alien Race and "MASS" Murders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 07:49:03 UTC
From: Ray Woodward <ray.woodward@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <92k433$l6d$1@plutonium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 65878
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.uk
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

"cactus jack" wrote in message
news:xX936.26339$WC3.2377307@nnrp4.clara.net...
>
> Donald Reeve wrote in message
> news:92ivpv$fb06$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> > Gee, just a few days ago I ran across another goodie like that
> > one--perhaps the two of you can get a movement going. At the very least
> > you could try swapping your medications....

[LOAD OF SHITE DELETED]

> What?!!! more loonies?

What you mean like the one pathetic enough to re-quote all that shit and add
A ONE LINE REPLY !!!

CRETIN!!!

>
>

4392. midland 77-290

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 07:51:27 UTC
From: hvytruker@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001230025127.17161.00001461@ng-xa1.aol.com>
Source record: 65879

looking for a resonably priced midland 290

4393. Re: Psycho Alien Race and "MASS" Murders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 08:24:09 UTC
From: cactus jack <p.w.w.a@freeuk.com>
Message-ID: <MV936.26315$WC3.2377732@nnrp4.clara.net>
Source record: 65754
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.uk

<americanmanzo@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:92imp3pbl1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> I'm investigating the recent shooting at the Edgewater Technology
> software company?
>
> From the looks of things it appears as if the employee was being
> attacked by his "CESS Alien Co-workers". A similar
> situation happened here in the Alien Agency AREA called Cincinnati.
>
> An oriental woman working at a packaging facility(re-packing soap
> products and batteries for K-Marts and other
> department stores)
> had an argument with a CO-worker, she then left and came back
> with a revolver and a few clips___she then pump a few rounds into
> various CO-workers.
>
> They said she was on medication because she was depressed and was
> having problems with here CO-workers.
>
> I worked at those packaging facilities and most of those workers are
> "ALIENS" or dead people(Aliens also). When a human
> works in their presence they are attacked by the alien race. Attacked
> meaning, alien hits to the brain and other parts of the
> body resulting
> in extreme pain, anger, and disorientation. Once the alien race
> decides to attack a human___they don't stop until the human is
> murdered(Taken Down Unfairly).
>
> So, what I'm saying is that this software worker was probably the only
> human on the job and he was trapped by the alien
> race
> (same thing happened to me when I received a phone call job offer from
> a mysterious U.S. Army Colonel in 1987. I was a
> graduate
> student at North Carolina State University, poor, and without
> many friends___this mysterious colonel called me up and offered
> me the job of a lifetime. The colonel was from OHIO and this
> state is CESS Alien Agency. The only reason they offer jobs to
> human beings is to trap and kill them.
>
>
> I'm still working on the story but it looks like another situation
> where the Alien Race has violated the rights of an American

> citizen. Also, note the shooters appearance. When the CESS Alien Race
> attacks a human being___they refuse to allow the
> human to
> cut their body hair. If you shave___they deform your face. If
> you cut your hair___they hit and torture you.
>
> If you think that human beings become mass murderers because
> they have money problems___think again. The are other factors
> (CESS Alien Agency) that are probably the cause of the
> behavior of this worker.
>
> More information about the CESS Alien Agency and how the Agency
> is taking over this country and enslaving/exterminating the human race
> can be found on my investigative news magazine.
>
> The only news magazine on the internet that publishes news articles
> about the "Alien Agency" and does not articles
> written by the "Alien Agency".
>
>
> I need publicity because I was trapped by the RACE and my life is being
> censored. Also, I am a prisoner of the RACE,
> being kept on the streets of their cities.
>
> So, I demand publicity. Use shortwave, pirate radio, cb, etc....
> There are aliens here and they are abducting human beings and
> forcing them to live in their HIVES___were the humans are
> sacrificed. Also, please re-post!
>
> My site is being censored so the RACE can write fraudulent history
> about me and what they are doing!
>
> Hmmmm? I wonder why the "RACE" is insisting on gun control?
>
> The Amendments stand, including the amendment that gives American
> citizens the right to protect themselves with "what-ever" means
> necessary? What till they find out who the real enemy is!
>
>
>
> Thank you,
> --
> Wayne Manzo,
> Net Publisher, Plaintiff
> http://mansue.com
> http://alienxnation.tripod.com
> 513-766-2003-1110(voice,fax)
> wmanzo@yahoo.com
> wmanzo@onebox.com(all e-comm appears to be censored especially those
> emails offering me money in order to sponsor my case, website, and tv

> show!)
>
>
> WAYNE 1:11
> THERE IS NO GOD!
> THERE ARE ALIENS HERE!
>
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

Obviously the ravings of a lunatic and should be ignored.

4394. Re: Psycho Alien Race and "MASS" Murders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 08:25:54 UTC
From: cactus jack <p.w.w.a@freeuk.com>
Message-ID: <xX936.26339$WC3.2377307@nnrp4.clara.net>
Source record: 65755
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.uk

Donald Reeve <don236@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:92ivpv$fb06$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> Gee, just a few days ago I ran across another goodie like that
> one--perhaps the two of you can get a movement going. At the very least
> you could try swapping your medications....
>
> Weirdness follows:
>
> Please take the time to read my letter. This is not a joke and my
> lawsuit against the United States is just about to leave the 9th Circuit
> Court of Appeals and go to the Supreme Court.
> I am not looking for people to write me and debate the details of my
> case unless they can forward the information to the needed people for
> public disclosure or further legal proceedings.
>
> Re: Charles A. Schlund et al, v. Drug Enforcement Administration and the
> United States of America et al, Case Number CIV98-1875-PHX-ROS on appeal
> before the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals Docket No. 00-15-126
> In my lawsuit are the plans for the fixing of the presidential elections
> of the United States by George Bush and the CIA. In 1991 and forward I
> did work with the FBI and others supplying them with information on the
> CIA's plans and in 1993 The DEA whom I have always known as a covert
> operation of the CIA did obtain a warrant under perjury while I was
> working with the FBI and injected CIA designed monitoring and torture
> devices into my neck to disable me to stop me from stopping their plans
> to fix the presidential elections of the United States.
> After all negotiations with the government failed and the government
> continued to torture all of my witnesses and me to cover up the fixing
> of the presidential elections I went to the FBI and they told me I would
> have to file a civil suit to stop the torture. I did and this suit is
> now before the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals and is about to be ruled on.
>
> I am unable to properly continue a lawsuit of this magnitude under
> torture by the Justice Department ot stop me. I am heavily protected by
> the FBI and many others and all assassinations attempts against me have
> been stopped. Torture and sleep deprivation are the rule of the day and
> the CIA using the DEA and others believes that they will be able to
> cover up the fixing of the elections by the CIA by the use of torture to
> disable me.
> I need help in contacting Al Gore's legal defense team. I need legal
> help in filing the parts of the appeal needed before the Supreme
> Court.Could you please forward this to interested parties as you see
> necessary to proceed with this legal battle.

> The ruling by the Supreme Court was not just a legal ruling it was
> corruption of the highest level and I have the proof to prove this in
> court.
> I understand that what I'm saying is unbelievable to you and it gets
> much worse but all of this is public and before the court and has been
> before the courts for four years now.
> Please read one of the many letters I wrote last year, which is enclosed
> and it might help you in understanding my position to a very small
> degree. Thanks for your help. Charles A. Schlund
> To: The Honorable Kenneth W.
> Starr 17 June 1999
> Independent Counsel
> Office of the Independent Counsel
> 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
> Washington D.C. 20004 Phone 202-514-8688
> To: The Honorable Janet Reno and Officer in Charge of Human
> Rights
> Attorney General of the United States
> 950 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W.
> Room 4400
> Washington D.C. 20530-0001 Phone 202-514-2008
> 202-514-1888
> To: John Albano OPR DEA and Officer in Charge of Human
> Rights violations and
> Thomas A. Constantine DEA
> P.O. Box 28128
> Washington D.C. 20005 Phone 202-307-4359
> To: Officer in Charge DEA
> 230 N. First Ave.
> Phoenix Arizona Phone
> 602-664-5600
> To: Members of the Congress and Senate of the United States
> of
> America
> Washington D.C.
> To: All other Federal agencies of the United States Attn:
> Human Rights Officer see Presidential Executive Order 13107
> From: Charles A. Schlund
> 8520 North 54 Th. Drive
> Glendale Arizona 85302 Phone 602-931-5963
> 602-931-6420 Fax 602-847-0765
> Mobil
> 602-670-2017 Pager 602-220-2826
> Request: That this complaint from Charles A. Schlund against the
> United States Department of Justice Drug Enforcement Administration and
> any other or others involved in the Torture of Charles A. Schlund be
> forced to terminate the torture. This is a demand for relief from
> torture and a demand for relief from control as filed in my lawsuit
> against the United States and or as listed in any of the many letters to
> the United States Department of Justice and or the Drug Enforcement

> Administration and or others. This letter is a request to all agencies
> of the United States to comply with Executive Order 13107 of December
> 10, 1998 and or other laws listed in my lawsuit against the United
> States. It is further requested and demanded that my human rights
> complaint against the United States be addressed. I further request and
> demand that this letter is forwarded to all agencies of the United
> States government for action and relief from torture and control. This
> duty should fall under the responsibility of the DEA's Officer in charge
> of human rights. I further charge that the United States is in violation
> of its human rights treaty obligations and all reports should list these
> treaty violations. This letter is a demand for a written reply from the
> DEA and the United States Department of Justice on the use of torture
> against others and myself under cover of law. This letter is also a
> demand for relief from torture and other human rights violations as set
> forth in my letters and lawsuit case number CIV98-1875PHXROS In the
> district of Arizona. This letter is my complaint against the DEA and
> others for human rights violations against me as a political witness. It
> is also my demand that the DEA and the United States Department of
> Justice allow others and my self to give them the information we have
> with out torturing us to stop us from supplying information to the DEA
> and or the United States Department of Justice and or others.
> Statement: It is my belief that the following information never should
> have been released to the public or others that do not have a need to
> know. It is also my belief that do to the inability of the United States
> Department of Justice under Janet Reno to stop these human rights crimes
> and injustices and to in act the rules and laws that are necessary to
> protect the American people that it is now necessary to release this
> information. I have threatened the DEA with the release of this
> information if they refused to stop using torture, control and
> intimidation against others and my self in the cover-up of the
> information they and I have. The DEA in return satanically tortured me
> using the cover of law to protect them from justice. The DEA will claim
> they are only monitoring me. This is a lie the DEA could monitor my
> home, truck and jobs with out the use of electronic implants injected in
> both sides of my neck. The only reason the DEA uses these implants is
> for the purpose of intimidation, threats, control, and torture and to
> deprive and or limit my freedom of speech under the cover of law.
> Subject: The use of Torture and other human rights violations by
> the United States Department of Justice Drug Enforcement Administration
> and or others against political witnesses in the United States of
> America. The refusal of the United States Department of Justice under
> Janet Reno and the Drug Enforcement Administration under Thomas A.
> Constantine to stop the use of Torture against political witnesses and
> or prisoners in the United States of America. The fixing of elections in
> the United States of America by the use of covert operations. The
> running of drugs by the Drug Enforcement Administration and the CIA in
> the United States of America to finance covert operations against the
> American People. The use of an Electronic Torture and Control Devices to
> control and torture political witnesses in the United States of America
> by the Drug Enforcement Administration and or others. Treason against

> the American people and the Constitution of the United States of
> America.
> Reference: The Constitution and Bill of Rights of the United States
> of America, Protection of Human Rights Act, The Convention Against
> Torture and Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment of June
> 26, 1987, other International Laws and other laws of the United States
> of America. My lawsuit against the United States of America Case Number
> CIV98-1875PHXROS and many letters to Janet Reno, Thomas A. Constantine,
> Kenneth Starr, John Albano and many others. Presidential Executive Order
> 13107 of December 10, 1998.
> The following is my letter to all parties listed and involved, which
> is a demand to the United States of America to terminate the use of
> torture against me as a political witness against corruption in the
> United States of America. This is being done by corrupt agents of the
> United States of America and others that are knowingly, deliberately,
> intentionally and willfully using torture against my witnesses, others
> and my self. This is being done to cover-up their crimes against the
> American People and Charles A. Schlund. This is being done under cover
> of law as a fabricated, created and false investigation against Charles
> A. Schlund to justify the use of torture and to obstruct justice and
> tamper with me as a witness against them.
> It is well documented that I have repeatedly demanded that the
> United States Department of Justice investigate my claims of the use of
> torture against others and myself. This torture has and is being used
> against me as a political witness against corruption in the Drug
> Enforcement Administration and others. Janet Reno and the United States
> Department of Justice have refused to answer or address the use of
> torture by the Drug Enforcement Administration against others and
> myself. Torture is a Crime Against Humanity and the United States
> Department of Justice can not pretend that it doesn't exist in the
> United States and allow these corrupt agents to use torture under cover
> of law with total disregard to Human Rights and the laws of the United
> States of America. Janet Reno must honor her oath of office to the
> American People and reply to my claims of torture and terminate the use
> of torture against me and others. This torture can not be allowed to be
> covered up under the cover of an investigation by the Drug Enforcement
> Administration. The DEA has substituted the word investigation for the
> correct word torture. Torture must not be allowed in the United States
> of America for any reason. Janet Reno must allow political witnesses
> their freedom of speech with out the use of torture to deprive them of
> their freedom of speech. The United States Department of Justice must
> stop the use of torture by anyone including their own agents. If the
> United States Department of Justice allows torture then they are as
> guilty as the corrupt agents that are using torture.
> Let me start this part of the letter on to day's date 6 April 1999.
> I started the day by my lawyer coming over for some paper work and my
> brother coming over to work with me today. We sat in the dinning room
> and talked for a while, the DEA only tortured me on low power but it
> still was torture to some extent. Next I left for work and the torture
> mode was changed from the mode they use on me while I'm home. When I got

> to Edson an electrical supply house the torture was turned up and I was
> tortured severally and satanically. I was getting near the point of
> passing out. Severely is millions of times less then the torture has
> been in the past. I left Edson Electric for the job I was doing today,
> the DEA kept me about 75% or 85% disabled at this job to deprive me of
> my ability to work adequately to make enough money to finance my lawsuit
> against them. (At this point my computer locked up and I lost some of
> this letter, this only happens when I'm typing letters like this or
> getting information they don't want me to have off the Internet).
> To days date is 20 June 1999 I'm being satanically tortured with the
> CIA designed monitoring and torture devices that the DEA injected into
> my neck. This torture rises and falls depending on what I say or what
> I'm doing. If I say anything political the torture is increased. If I
> type anything political the torture is increased. If I try to repair
> anything around the home or do taxes or record keeping the torture is
> dramatically increased to stop me. If I try to go out or do anything
> pleasurable to me the torture is dramatically increased. If I go to work
> I'm satanically tortured on the way there, than after I arrive at work
> the torture is decreased for their photo session to generate fabricated
> evidence that I'm not under torture. Any time I step out from in front
> of their camera the torture is dramatically increased to disable me and
> deprive me of making a living and all other human rights. This has been
> and is being done to me ever since I read the files I have always called
> the Don Bolles Papers. These were all the CIA and other documents
> concerning the systematic overthrow of the United States of America by
> the Rockefeller's and the CIA in 1977. These were all the files that had
> been removed from the government and others under order of Nelson
> Rockefeller because Jimmy Carter had won the presidential election of
> the United States.
> The torture of me is ever changing and varies greatly from day to
> day and year to year. If I complain about one kind of torture they then
> change to another kind of torture and then latter they will go back to
> the same way of torturing me. Some days they use 100's of different
> modes of torture against me and on other days they don't torture me so
> severely. Any statement made by me for that day is truthful but the next
> day may be totally different. At present they use torture against me
> every day and have for years. I have informed my torturers of the modes
> that don't torture me and those are the only modes they refuse to use.
> Let me explain what my lawsuit is about before I go any further. I
> was a witness against the United States Department of Justice Drug
> Enforcement Administration and many others for crimes against the
> American People and many others. These crimes are listed as follows. The
> running of drugs by the DEA and the CIA to finance in part covert
> operations to control the out come of elections in the United States of
> America and for many other reasons. The creating of crime in the United
> States of America to justify the creation of new laws to deprive the
> American People of their civil rights and liberties that are guaranteed
> to them in the Constitution and Bill of Rights of the United States of
> America. The torture, control and murder of political witnesses and many
> others in the United States of America by the DEA and CIA and others

> under their control and direction. These murders included Federal
> Judges, Congressmen, Actors, Singers, Police Officers, Federal Agents,
> Political Witnesses and many others. The use of the United States
> Department of Justice to authorize investigations for political reasons
> and to use these investigations to imprison, torture, discredit or
> murder citizens of the United States of America. The use of the United
> States Department of Justice and the Drug Enforcement Administration and
> others to funnel funds out of the United States of America to fund
> political investigations to remove witnesses and or others against the
> Republican Party and others under their protection or control.
> In 1991 I went to the FBI and worked with the FBI against the DEA,
> CIA, Corrupt Judges and many others. In 1992 after the FBI had confirmed
> to me that they had the DEA for running the drugs in the United States.
> I then led the FBI into a much larger investigation into why the DEA had
> been running the drugs and where the money was going. Lets go back to
> the Don Bolles papers. In these files that had been removed from the CIA
> and others under orders of Nelson Rockefeller was why the DEA was
> formed. The following is what I remember from these files. Richard
> Nixon's brother had been busted running the drugs and Richard Nixon had
> gotten into trouble doing the break-ins like Water Gate, which was a CIA
> covert operation. They now needed a Federal Agency that could do these
> kinds of covert operations under cover of law. They formed the DEA as a
> covert operation of the CIA. This then enabled the DEA to do the
> break-ins and bugging operations like Water Gate with a court ordered
> warrant as drug investigations. Other covert operations like the running
> of the drugs could be protected by the DEA monitoring the shipments or
> they could just run the drugs under cover of law as sting operations.
> After the drug money was laundered at different location and ways like
> at Valley National Bank in Arizona the money could then be used to fund
> covert operations. This money was then used to fund political action
> committees and others to influence elections in the United States. Let
> me try to explain to you some of the kinds of operations that were used
> to influence the people to alter the out come of public elections. This
> list is not intended as my direct memory even though some of these
> operations were listed in the files. This list is only intended as an
> example to help you understand how elections can be influenced. These
> drug proceeds were used in part as donations to fund Pat Robinson and
> his TV programming, Other covert operation like the National Rifleman's
> Association were also funded with this drug money this was done by
> buying memberships in the NRA. Other moneys from the laundered drug
> proceeds funded the building of churches for there TV programming and
> others to direct the people, as they needed them to be directed.
> Laundered drug money was also used to subsidies the building of the
> cable TV network. Other moneys were used to support the American Legion
> who also had a political agenda. Other donations in part funded the
> Christian coalition and many other political action committees that
> operate under cover as covert operations. Other organizations like the
> Heritage Foundation were also in part subsidized by these donations and
> or by other means. By covertly funding these operations the CIA and
> others under their control and direction could influence the voters

> which helped to alter the outcome of elections in the United States. The
> Don Bolles papers called these operations the systematic overthrow of
> the United States by the CIA.
> The DEA's operations included much more than just the drugs and the
> laundering of the drug proceeds they also directed covert operation
> against many of the political targets and or witnesses and they also did
> the bugging operations against political figures and the corporations
> that were in competition with those the DEA was formed to protect. After
> the FBI and many others had enough information the President and the FBI
> tried to destroy the DEA and have it incorporated into the FBI to
> control the corruption. Janet Reno blocked this. The FBI and others then
> had a law passed requiring two agencies to obtain a warrant to monitor
> the corporations. This was done to stop the industrial espionage by the
> DEA. Then they had another law passed requiring the DEA to keep its
> drugs locked down so these drugs could not be used as planted evidence
> as they have planted the evidence against me. The FBI agents then
> refused to cooperate with the DEA or share drug information, at this
> point the Director of the FBI went on the media and ordered the FBI
> through the media to cooperate with the DEA in drug investigations and
> share information.
> This information is being given to you in an attempt to stop the
> fixing of the elections in the United States by the covert operations of
> the DEA and the CIA and others under there control or direction using
> different forms of the media and others as political action committees.
> You must understand that I'm being horribly tortured electronically with
> the implants that were injected in both sides of my neck by the DEA to
> stop me from being able to give others this information. The FBI,
> President Clinton and others with this and other information have been
> able to stop much of the crime in the United States. Since 1991 when I
> started feeding the FBI the information they needed the crime in the
> United States has dropped at a rate never before seen. Murders have
> dropped to about half of what they were and all other crimes have
> fallen. Death by heart attack has fallen. Death by car accidents per
> miles driven has fallen. Death by suicide has fallen. All other forms of
> assassinations have also fallen greatly. The budget of the United States
> has been balanced and there is a surplus and we have had one of the
> longest economic expansions in history.
> It was not my desire or wishes to give this information or other
> information publicly. The DEA in its paranoia and by the use of torture
> against me has forced me to give this information using torture in their
> attempt to stop the release of this information. I don't mind dying but
> no one is going to frame me as a drug dealer to justify using torture
> against me to destroy my entire life and the lives of all others around
> me. The DEA has knowingly, deliberately and willfully framed me as a
> drug dealer to destroy my credibility and to justify the use of torture
> against me to remove me as a political threat; this is a civil and human
> rights violation. Not only did the DEA inject me with these implants but
> they also injected all of my witnesses and many others around me. This
> new technology of being able to gas people in the middle of the night
> and then take them for photo session with out their knowledge or consent

> is not within the powers given to the Justice Department. The injecting
> of monitoring devices and torture devices into mass populations in the
> United States is also not within the powers of the Justice Department.
> These are criminal acts by the United States Department of Justice under
> Janet Reno. It is my belief that the President can't fire Janet Reno
> because of her never ending and on going investigations into the
> Democratic Party and or the President.
>
> Respectfully submitted by
> Charles A. Schlund
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

What?!!! more loonies?

4395. Re: Psycho Alien Race and "MASS" Murders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 08:28:23 UTC
From: cactus jack <p.w.w.a@freeuk.com>
Message-ID: <JZ936.26354$WC3.2379034@nnrp4.clara.net>
Source record: 65756
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.uk

Sparky <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message
news:3a4d179d.9702446@news.cet.com...
> On Fri, 29 Dec 2000 18:56:38 GMT, americanmanzo@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> <snip>
> > I worked at those packaging facilities and most of those workers are
> >"ALIENS" or dead people(Aliens also). When a human
> > works in their presence they are attacked by the alien race. Attacked
> >meaning, alien hits to the brain and other parts of the
> > body resulting
> > in extreme pain, anger, and disorientation. Once the alien race
> > decides to attack a human___they don't stop until the human is
> > murdered(Taken Down Unfairly).
>
> You have been treated unfairly, perhaps more so than most, but your
> way of coping with it is to invent the delusion that unfairness is an
> inhuman characteristic. Sorry to have to break this to you, but
> fairness is nothing more than a social value that many humans don't
> value. You can either deal with it like everybody else, or spend the
> rest of your life stoned.
>
> Sparky

But think on..... give an american a gun and he HAS to try it out to see if
it really does kill people. The american gun laws(or lack of them) is a
complete farce.

4396. Re: Best Online CB Store

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 08:33:19 UTC
From: nealbfinn@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001230033319.22394.00000524@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 65880

<< I'm looking for a reliable, honest online store with a big inventory of
CB products. Any recommendations? Thanks all.
-Paul
>>

Had very good dealings with Bill's 2-way. Although I never bought anything
from EEI I did call them once and their sales person was very intelligent and
treated me right.

NBF

4397. Re: Threats To Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 09:20:16 UTC
From: Steve Hanis <wxfreak@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <kNh36.13640$al6.2475674@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 65881

http://www.standardtimes.com/daily/03-98/03-22-98/a07sr023.htm

> That's interesting. Name one person who got a bomb in the mail
> because of this NG.
> 'Doc

4398. TRW Swap Meet Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 11:05:02 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A4DC15E.17054AE7@qnet.com>
Source record: 65891

Hello Art: See you over at the food stand at 0800 HRs!

Jay in the Mojave

4399. The Antenna Man inexpensive CB radios, check them out!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 12:42:23 UTC
From: The Antenna Man <buzzards@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <PKk36.58512$nM5.9518770@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 65882

Please check out my auctions here:
http://user.auctions.yahoo.com/user/mikewj2d

Packaged deals available.

Thank you for your support!

Mike, The Antenna Man

4400. Re: My new Alt set up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 12:44:45 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4rm5umqh4hof6@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65883

Goodness...
You should post a .wav of what that sounds like! :-)

Jeff

"brass" <cc@knology.net> wrote in message
news:3A4D73FE.628D5585@knology.net...
> These gears do the walkin . . .
> so I can do the talking
>
> Brass
> [Image]
>

> These gears do the walkin . . .
> so I can do the talking
> Brass
>
>
>

4401. Hey Voobner.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 12:50:32 UTC
From: Ken <klajr2@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <yRk36.1530$F8.236034@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Source record: 65885

Just a thought..... Instead of all this inflamatory stuff, would'nt
you do your group a better service by offering your sage Tech Class wisdom
in a positive manner to guys like say me.....who are struggling with the
"code" portion of the test.
I dont want to be stuck on 70cm,1.25,2,and 6 forever.....and I damn
sure dont want to "Dog" the guys in this group who have helped me several
times with setting up my gear.

As always just my opinion,
Ken

4402. Pro-510 NEW Tune Up info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 12:50:47 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a4ddba5@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 65884

Did they change the Pro-510 board to hide my D-14? Where did they put it?
Does anyone know what do you cut for 100% mod. now? I hope it's not a dinky
trans. under the board, but if it is, which one? E mail me or post.
Thanks.
Dave

4403. Re: MURDER In rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 13:15:29 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Rdl36.71225$7I.8953924@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 65886

There is absolutely no reason to dredge this crap up again. Unlike you, many of the people on this group were here during this tragic incident, so while you are acting like it is some incredible discovery of the skeleton in the closet, it is merely very sad old news to the majority of us. There are aberrations of all sorts every day, everywhere. It's not right, but neither is stirring the pot daily with inflammatory bullshit in order to elicit a response, and to keep one's name (albeit some Walter Mitty-ish screenname persona) in the headers solely for the purpose of self aggrandizement. Go away. Quickly.

Note to the rest of us: Ignore him. I generally do, but couldn't help myself. Eventually he will go over to the scanner group or some other place where he can get attention.

aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote in message <92k3o4$qo5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>Did some research. This newsgroup has a long and infamous past. Excerpts
>from a 1998 WIRED magazine article.
>
>Enjoy,
>Aaron Voobner
http://www.deja.com/

4404. TATTOO SHOP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 13:49:01 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001230084901.23864.00004045@nso-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 65887

A most distinguished looking lady walks into a tattoo parlor, and sits down.

The owner, amazed at seeing such a sophisticated woman in his seedy tattoo shop, goes over to the woman immediately and asks if he could help her.
To his
shock, and utter delight, she lifts her designer dress, and points to her left inner thigh...very high up....
" Right here," she says, "I want you to tattoo a turkey with the word 'Thanksgiving' under it." Then she points to her right inner thigh...just as
high up, and says,
"On this side, I want you to tattoo a Christmas tree, with lights and tinsel,
and an angel on top, and underneath the tree I want the word'Christmas'.
The tattoo artist looks at her puzzled. He says, " Lady it's none of my business, but
that is the most unusual request I have ever had. Why in the world would you
want that ?"

"Well," the lady said, " I am sick and tired of my husband always complaining that there is never anything good to eat between Thanksgiving and
Christmas."

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

4405. Re: Mr.137 GOES LEGAL!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 14:03:19 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001230090319.23864.00004046@nso-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 65889

In article <92jj68$cj5a$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>, "G SEVEN"
<superiorwavelength@prodigy.net> writes:

>Does this mean you will go home now?

I for one sincerly hope so!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

4406. Re: Kwanzaa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 14:03:19 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001230090319.23864.00004047@nso-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 65890

In article <3A4C8EC7.78809B06@pioneeris.net>, Preston
<juggernaut@pioneeris.net> writes:

>I have been watching the conversation turn to an attack on either me

So stop watching and shut up and start talking about cb radios. Shouldn't you
be discussing this in another newsgroup?

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't
mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

4407. HAPPY NEW YEAR 2001 TO ALL!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 14:03:20 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001230090320.23864.00004048@nso-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 65888

May you all have a prosperous new year!!!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

4408. Re: Threats To Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 14:26:05 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001230092605.28532.00000456@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 65892

gee doc, its not like you to be so mistrusting and disbelieving. its best to not say anything when you dont know what your talking about.

> That's interesting. Name one person who got a bomb in the mail
>because of this NG.
> 'Doc

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4409. Re: J-Pole Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 14:29:48 UTC
From: meghertz@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <92krh5avf1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65894

J-pole info (techy) :
http://www.frii.com/~rick/Jpole/jpole.html

MegHz

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4410. Re: Not a smart move Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 14:30:44 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001230093044.28532.00000459@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 65893

seems like n8 is boobner

>
>cool, are such things possible, i noticed that n8 the never going to be
>10-8 mudduck has not been posting much lately. well i guess that
>explains it,.....cd is out.....

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4411. Re: 2510

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 14:44:38 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001230094438.28532.00000461@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 65895

just curious, he should pay you for PR ;-)

>Doc is not a relation sir. Just a friend of mine.
>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4412. Re: PRESIDENT G W BUSH,.....FINALLY.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 14:50:34 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5602-3A4DF63A-17@storefull-125.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65801

No CD..it goes way deeper than that. If I choose to indulge, it's no one's business what I do in my home, or my bedroom. I make my choices based on what is good for my kids, not necessarily me. I choose not to do drugs because I don't want to be a hypocrite to my children. Same reason I don't drink. When my kids are grown and on their own, if I want to suck fumes from a lawn mower, or shoot ketamine in my jugular, it's nobodies damn business. I don't personally think said acts are healthy, but you damn sure ought to have the right to it.
The medicinal purpose is 100% valid, I have seen it first hand and just recently lost someone young and very dear to me to a nasty form of cancer (multiforme glioblastoma stage 4) a horrible cancer of the brain, which is always fatal and sometimes extremely rapid. There is no cure and typical treatment and therapy offered no relief. But surprise, surprise, guess what did? That funny little plant I haven't given a thought since college days. SO yes, I am very compassionate when it comes to the government sticking their nose in private affairs and perpetuating hypocrisies upon the masses, for our own good of course. The demos were worse, Clintons era proved it. Each are compassionate to their own agenda, and the rest of the world be damned. Many other issues appall me as well, CD, and I do what I can to make a difference. So in a way, yea, I agree,we must never forget what these parties did, and did not do for the U ESS of AAAY!

4413. For sale SSB base/Turner plus 3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 14:57:06 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <6Jm36.71257$7I.9000735@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 65896

A very very nice SBE Console II base rig, 23 channels with the very common crystal bank addition to expand frequency coverage to 40 channels and then some. (.605), radio works beautifully, looks great as well, wonderful audio reports on both AM and SSB, one of the best receivers I have used in a long time, includes original manual and literature, and a nice Turner Plus 3 amplified desk mike. This one will run right alongside any CB out there, and is a ball to use. There have been a couple of these on eBay lately drawing some pretty good money for the rig alone, and the mikes are holding strong money as well. $100 shipped and insured to your door, ppd. MO only,or will swap for SSB mobile or Export mobile in good shape.

email replies please

4414. HR2510 FS/trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 15:00:07 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <XLm36.71258$7I.9002288@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 65798

Nice clean HR2510, 10/11M coverage, runs fine, looks fine, incl.stock mikeand manual, sell for $185 shipped, ppd. MO only, or consider Export swaps.

email replies please

4415. Re: My new Alt set up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 15:03:20 UTC
From: Handy Andy <CodysPC@Iserve.Net>
Message-ID: <87ur4t40f7s5id03fb02t1g9h8du65urkc@4ax.com>
Source record: 65897

Greetings!

Good god! Is that stuff on chains? Neat!

11 alternators, man, how do you tie them together so their internal
regulators aren't fighting each other?

On Fri, 29 Dec 2000 23:34:54 -0600, while the modem was ice fishing
with the Mouse, brass <cc@knology.net> dissertation the following:

:+> These gears do the walkin . . .
:+> so I can do the talking
:+>
:+> Brass
:+> [Image]

Regards!
:+> Andy <+:

4416. Browning LTD SSB mobile FS/swap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 15:04:24 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <YPm36.71260$7I.9004482@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 65799

Good running LTD 23ch SSB mobile, decent shape but shows normal use, very respectable rig that works great, has freq.expansion w/switch located in TX LED spot so no extra holes in case, includes original mike, mounting bracket, etc., lots of pretty gold plating, nice cheap way to have fun with a Browning, real old school high end rig. $75 shipped ppd MO only, or swap for 40ch SSB mobile, scanner,?????
email replies please.

4417. 2 for one base rig sale!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 15:10:08 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <kVm36.71263$7I.9007125@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 65800

Have the following: Cobra 139 23 ch SSB base, cosmetics great, won't power up might be something simple, might be bad, excellent for someone who needs a clean parts rig or to restore, haven't even pulled the fuse holder to check that far!!

and a HyGain IV 40 channel AM rig, works fine, looks bad, with PLL02a chassis for easy conversion and big peaking. Nice rig for the shop, including hand mike.

$35 plus shipping for both,or swap for a good 40 ch mobile, programmable scanner in working order.

email replies please.

4418. WTB Johnson Messenger 1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 15:29:23 UTC
From: David Dropp <ddropp@execpc.com>
Message-ID: <3a4e0046$0$57186$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 65804

Looking to buy a Johnson Messenger 1, e-mail me if you have one for sale
and the condition and the price. Thanks Dave.

4419. Re: My new Alt set up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 15:30:49 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <9ivr4t08bhtqgkk7idisrpoicnqh2i474n@4ax.com>
Source record: 65803

On Fri, 29 Dec 2000 23:34:54 -0600, brass <cc@knology.net> wrote:

>These gears do the walkin . . .
>so I can do the talking
>
>Brass
>[Image]

Two of the alternators have only slightly more than
tangential contact to the chain. Are you concerned about
gear slippage because of this, especially after a new chain
automatically stretches after a few cycles.

Can't tell if it's in the pic, but have you thought of using a spring
loaded tensioner?

4420. Re: J-Pole Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 15:56:07 UTC
From: Ken <klajr2@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <xzn36.554$GF6.240885@nnrp2.sbc.net>
Source record: 65805

No its only 60 5/8"......its for a 2 meter rig.
Thought I'd cross post the groups to get general antenna advice.
Thanks for the QSO.
Ken
488 Central Texas

The Packrat wrote in message ...
>you slide the feedline up and down for best match
>
>that must be one hell of a large CB antenna :)
>
>"Ken" <klajr2@swbell.net> wrote in message
>news:Frc36.1395$F8.134822@nnrp3.sbc.net...
> Can someone be more specific as to how the feed line connects to
>the main element? I have the unit built, but am not sure how to tune it .
>Raise the driver element up or down, or the feed line up or down on the
>driver element?
>Thanks,
>Ken
>
>
>
>
>

4421. Re: FOR SALE COBRA 148FGTLDX+

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 16:00:31 UTC
From: rted821836@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001230110031.12750.00000336@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 65806

I have them For Sale "NEW" @ $285 plus shipping!
Ralph
D&R Electronics

4422. Re: Threats To Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 16:20:32 UTC
From: Ken <klajr2@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <qWn36.1$el3.2511@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Source record: 65807

Cant remember the name but some kid did get one for ripping people off on
radio deals in this newsgroup.
About 3 years ago.
Ken
Steve Hanis wrote in message ...
>http://www.standardtimes.com/daily/03-98/03-22-98/a07sr023.htm
>
>
>> That's interesting. Name one person who got a bomb in the mail
>> because of this NG.
>> 'Doc
>
>
>
>

4423. Re: X Force Amplifier for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 16:32:34 UTC
From: Rat Bastard <ratb@ratsnest.com>
Message-ID: <C6o36.1061$we4.255404@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 65808

brass the combiners are no different except they forgot to solder one of the
coaxs to ground. What are you looking at
"brass" <cc@knology.net> wrote in message
news:3A4D7AA1.4627DBF7@knology.net...
> The pictures are a Xforce cabnet
> no and if's about it
> different taste on the combiners as well
>
> Brass
>
>
>

4424. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 16:36:30 UTC
From: Rat Bastard <ratb@ratsnest.com>
Message-ID: <iao36.833$uc4.253975@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 65809

MR 137 HAS ALWAYS BEEN LEGAL have you any proof otherwise? Do you have any proof that he owns a radio otherwise?
<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:92k008odd1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <vuiq4t85c127sest3vsh0s1b0rk1ilokmh@4ax.com>,
> tnom@mucks.net wrote:
> > If the FCC only suspects illegal operation and does not have proof
> > then you are not held to the Part 95 inspection clause.
> >
> > Once approached by the FCC one only needs to deny operating, or
> > owning any CB radio. With this reply they have no right to inspect
> > unless they have undeniable proof. It is highly unlikely that they
> > would have this on the first visit. They must then regroup. This will
> > give you time to make sure your house is in order.
> >
> > For the same reasons it is not smart to own a CB. Operate a amateur
> > radio instead. Then you can only be held to part 95 rules if you are
> > caught transmitting and not for simply owning a CB radio.
> >
>
> That sounds kind of sleazy, Tnom. It is the kind of thing drug dealers
> do when they hear the cops coming. It also sounds very nerve-wracking.
> Always worrying about getting busted, and what you'd do and say if they
> caught you. Ick.
>
> Why not just get legal like Mr.137 did? It's easy.
>
> Aaron Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

4425. Dumbass illegal KEYCLOWNS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 16:37:48 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001230113748.17507.00000571@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 65810

>"... reality is a frame of mind... stupidity is a state of mind."
>

Absolutely true. Reality is that you keyclowns are ILLEGAL. Stupidity is that you think it is no big deal.

You keyclowns' days are numbered. I can't wait to hear your whining when you get busted.

By the way, I am not banned from the NG and I am not Voobner.

You gutless weenie munchers are the ones hiding behind assumed names, etc. I use my callsign and my info is for all to see.

It is wishful thinking on the part of some poor sad squirrel turd munchers and their ham operator buddies who suck ass with them to think I am banned. You hams that
support ILLEGAL operating are a disgrace to the hobby, as are the ILLEGAL operators here. All you keyclowns are gonna see soon enough that your ILLEGAL activities will be punished by law. I will be laughing my butt off on that day.

BYE BYE FOR NOW KEYCLOWNS.

4426. Re: 3 Ways to Know your CB Dealer is A CROOK.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 16:39:54 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001230113954.17507.00000572@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 65811

You forgot to include that they are crooks if they sell amplifiers that work between 25 and 34 Mhz, or non type accepted export radios.

4427. Nebo is a punk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 16:43:23 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001230114323.17507.00000573@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 65812

An illegal punk justifying letter bombs as a defense of his illegal activity.
You and people who think like you are the DICKS here, Nebo. You punk ass kid,
you wouldnt make a pimple on the ass of an honest man.

Nebo the DICK drooled:
>if it happened you wouldnt be the first to get one in the mail for being a
>dick
>on this group so dont be suprised
>

4428. Re: Threats To Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 17:08:12 UTC
From: -=PEAKABOO=- <tappingdatass@hotmail.comode>
Message-ID: <1Eo36.5$996.11383@nnrp2.sbc.net>
Source record: 65813

Why can't you guys just ignore this clown?

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:92ismq$u94$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <92iefd$i9r$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
> the_weak_one@my-deja.com wrote:
> > As I was scanning down through the flamewars of the NG I couldn't help
> > but notice one of my old pals being slammed by you Boobner.
> > Accusing "Phoneman" of build linears is probably not one of the
> > smartest things you have done lately. I wouldn't want to be the one to
> > piss phoneman off. Those of you who have had dealings with him
> > personally and know who he works for know why, I'm sure.
> > This is one guy you want to be your friend, not foe. Trust me.
> > I think the exact phrase Phoneman used before he ridded the
> > rec.radio.cb newsgroup of one of it's more annoying pests like
> yourself
> > last time was "You know, the interesting thing about the Internet and
> > Newsgroups is that you never know WHO or WHAT the person is that is
> > sitting behind the keyboard of the computer making posts under a
> > certain name". THINK ABOUT THIS STATEMENT Goobner. Maybe you'll be the
> > next pest he turns his attention to. I'm sure it wouldn't hurt the
> > feelings of several of the old timers here. I know it didn't last time
> > he did us a favor......
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
> >
>
> Oy. Now I'm being threatened by people with names like "phoneman" and
> "the weak one" in postings that are simply dripping with melodramatic
> touches. Honest to God, it's like a bunch of kids playing "mafia".
>
> Next you'll be threatening to send me package bombs. And for what?
> Because you want to stay illegal on CB - and I make you uncomfortable?
> Not a good reason.
>
> Aaron H. Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

4429. To illegal CB operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 17:19:05 UTC
From: rileywillgetya@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001230121905.16173.00000216@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 65814

Fellas, the time will come that you all wish you had never operated illegally.I am kind of close to the source, and I hear rumors of some serious busts about to come down.

On this end I will chortle as much as Voobner and N8 have been. You guys think you are bigshots posting all your foul crap, but all you are doing is letting those 2 guys yank your leashes. Hell, N8wwm OWNS you guys, and you all worry your little heads everytime he posts. What a laugh.

The idea that you can keep getting away with what you are doing will be dispelled soon enough. That will be fun to watch.

RILEY WILL GET YA!

4430. Re: Threats To Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 17:22:09 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001230122209.17412.00000594@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 65815

>From: "-=PEAKABOO=-" tappingdatass@hotmail.comode
>Date: 12/30/2000 12:08 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <1Eo36.5$996.11383@nnrp2.sbc.net>
>
>Why can't you guys just ignore this clown?
>

Because they are little monkey children with no intelligence and they are
scared of reality.

4431. Re: To illegal CB operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 17:23:30 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001230122330.17412.00000595@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 65817

>Hell, N8wwm OWNS you guys, and you all worry
>your little heads everytime he posts.

RIGHT ON DUDE! I know I own 'em. These smeghaeds couldn't find their asses with a road map.

4432. Re: WTD: Palomar VC-200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 17:24:01 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <RSo36.206308$U46.6324816@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 65816

yeah I was kinda thinking that too

"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3A4D8BE9.D61E894F@hotmail.com...
I can't believe he would bother with EBay, knowing he has
a ready customer. He must be trying to milk it for all
it's worth.

Big Dog 326 wrote:
>
> In article <PuU26.200243$U46.6170393@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>,
> "The Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote:
> > I'm looking for the VC-200. I believe they called them Voice
Controllers.
> > I really don't remember. I know that there is a VC-100 with scramble
and a
> > VC-300 with digital recording. I'm looking for the 200. If anyone has
one
> > or knows where one is, please let me know.
> >
> > Thanks,
> > The Packrat
> > spam@n7uic.net
> >
>
> I will be listing one on ebay this weekend! Never used, with box and
> manual. I purchased it new and never had a need for it. I will post an
> ebay link after I get it listed on ebay.

4433. Re: To illegal CB operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 17:26:55 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <zVo36.206354$U46.6325857@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 65818

Oh goody another AOL poster.

"ANDHAMSWILLLAUGH" <rileywillgetya@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001230121905.16173.00000216@ng-cn1.aol.com...

Fellas, the time will come that you all wish you had never operated
illegally.I
am kind of close to the source, and I hear rumors of some serious busts
about
to come down.

On this end I will chortle as much as Voobner and N8 have been. You guys
think
you are bigshots posting all your foul crap, but all you are doing is
letting
those 2 guys yank your leashes. Hell, N8wwm OWNS you guys, and you all worry
your little heads everytime he posts. What a laugh.

The idea that you can keep getting away with what you are doing will be
dispelled soon enough. That will be fun to watch.

RILEY WILL GET YA!

4434. Re: Hey Voobner.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 17:45:48 UTC
From: Cap'n Crunch <kcfamily@tir.com>
Message-ID: <F2p36.5929$8Y.216131@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 65819

Last I heard, Aaron gave up on studying for his Tech license to spend more time offering 'advice' on this NG.

Glad to hear you're workin' on the Code portion of your license... spend a little time each day (my rule is 20 minutes, 2x daily, EVERY DAY) and you'll have it down pat in just a few weeks (2-4 max). The effort's definitely worth the privileges earned.

```
__/__ __ /__
__ /__ __/__
_____/_____/___ /~
\ Cap'n Crunch /
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
```

"Ken" <klajr2@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:yRk36.1530$F8.236034@nnrp3.sbc.net...
> Just a thought..... Instead of all this inflamatory stuff, would'nt
> you do your group a better service by offering your sage Tech Class wisdom
> in a positive manner to guys like say me.....who are struggling with
the
> "code" portion of the test.
> I dont want to be stuck on 70cm,1.25,2,and 6 forever.....and I damn
> sure dont want to "Dog" the guys in this group who have helped me several
> times with setting up my gear.
>
> As always just my opinion,
> Ken
>
>

4435. Re: MOVIE: Vertical Limit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 18:24:27 UTC
From: "radiosigs@mindspring.com" <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <92lckelvd1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 65825
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Even though I'm a Gorkin, I like the way you put things. Very clever.
Interesting reading indeed.
AF2Y

In article <kJ736.201$x5.21968@news1.news.adelphia.net>, "Karl
Vesterling" <kjv@adelphia.net> wrote:

>
> Well folks, I'm happy to say that the light at the end of the tunnel has
> shown itself to the Clinton/Gore administration. And thank god, it's a
> freight train.
>
> It'll be nice to kill some more spotted owls and make some power plants now
> that GW is in... Liberals... Interesting animals. Quite the reactionary
> critters, not much for logic and reason... Don't build the power plant ,
> but please keep my air conditioner and my CD Player going... Ok... BTW,
> did you ever notice that the guy driving the car w/ the cloud of oil smoke
> coming out of the tailpipe is the environmentalist... Yeah, you got it...
> When he stops you can see the faded greenpeace sticker on the trunk lid.
> Yeah, that guy.... The same one who cuts the 6 pack rings so geese don't
> get caught in them. It's the same guy who tosses his sandwich wrapper out
> his car window. Yep, same guy... Say one thing, do entirely another. That
> guy. Votes for Gore because he's afraid of what GW will do... Yep, that
> guy. The one motivated by fear instead of logic and reason... Yep...
> Same guy....

4436. Re: To illegal CB operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 18:36:01 UTC
From: bendowersagain@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001230133601.10801.00000557@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 65820

>: rileywillgetya@aol.com said

>Fellas, the time will come that you all wish you had never operated
>illegally.

Go home Doug.

4437. FS: Alternator Bracket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 18:37:18 UTC
From: mr_pastor_blaster@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92la0ulhr1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65822

I have a 3alternator bracket for sale. Use 2leece Nevilles and your stock alternator on the bottom of the bracket. It has all of the pulley's on it already. The bracket is made for a 350 Chevy engine. All you have to do is bolt it right up to the face of your engine and install your alternator's. I bought it for $385 but will let it go for $275 you pay shipping. Mr.Pastor Blaster Praising The Lord

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4438. Re: To illegal CB operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 18:37:59 UTC
From: bendowersagain@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001230133759.10801.00000558@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 65821

>spam@n7uic.net said

>Oh goody another AOL poster

Don't blame aol. At least they are trying to take action on this guy.

4439. FA: Galaxy DX 99v & D-104 mic UPDATED!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 18:53:10 UTC
From: SwTempo <swtempo@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ES9OOuAGRm+zwurgtrGulhZZWj5n@4ax.com>
Source record: 65823

http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/47481747

4440. Re: To illegal CB operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 18:53:54 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <C8q36.5949$8Y.225472@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 65824

"Bendowersagain" <bendowersagain@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001230133759.10801.00000558@ng-cr1.aol.com...
> >spam@n7uic.net said
>
> >Oh goody another AOL poster
>
> Don't blame aol. At least they are trying to take action on this guy.

Wish you guys luck. All he is doing is stating the obvious and waiting
for the large mouth bass to jump.

Kind of reminds me of the Polish Orange Order in the Communist days. They
would gather and march to the zoo to sing Marxist-Leninist songs to the
monkeys. The police were firmly convinced something about this had to be
illegal but had one hell of a time figuring out just what it might be.

Dick N&#65533;BK

4441. AUCTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 19:40:43 UTC
From: galaxydoctor@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001230144043.23088.00000416@ng-df1.aol.com>
Source record: 65826

667
<A HREF=http://auctions.yahoo.com/booth/wizard00765><IMG
SRC=http://us.i1.yimg.com/us.yimg.com/i/auctions/button.gif></A>

4442. BROWNING 180 AMP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 19:43:02 UTC
From: happymeal007 <known@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <92lds6$oa6$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65827

BROWNING GOLDEN EAGLE 180 AMP IN EXCELLENT CONDITION A HARD FIND TO MATCH YOUR SET 350.00 SHIPPED

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4443. SILTRONIX 550 AMP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 19:46:42 UTC
From: happymeal007 <known@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <92le31$ok3$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65828

SILTRONIX 550 AMP 1-8950 DRIVING 4-8950 GREAT SHAPE 350.00 SHIPPED WITH THE MANUAL.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4444. Re: MURDER In rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 20:08:46 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92lfcb$ph8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65829

In article <Rdl36.71225$7I.8953924@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>,
"Bill" <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com> wrote:
> There is absolutely no reason to dredge this crap up again.

Cut the nonsense, Bill. Unlike you, a lot of casual readers of this newsgroup are not at ALL familiar with this story (as I was not). Why do you desire to hide the fact that a death occurred as a result of a feud in this newsgroup? I think the story is very relevant to this newsgroup today, as I see threats similar to those made to Chris Marquis made in this newsgroup all too often.

Those who ignore history are doomed to repeat it.

Your humble servant,
Aaron H. Voobner

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4445. Re: To illegal CB operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 20:25:45 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001230152545.09730.00000516@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 65830

>Go home Doug

Nice try smeghead, but it aint me.
I love it...benny boy has his underwear in a knot again.

4446. Check out n8wwm on qrz.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 20:30:04 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001230153004.09730.00000520@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 65831

Go there now boys, and read the second page. Click on the line that says
DETAILED INFORMATION or something like that.

LATER KEYCLOWNS

4447. Re: MURDER In rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 20:36:40 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <sHr36.207472$U46.6348014@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 65832

It wasn't because of a feud! It was because he screwed a lot of people over in transactions.

Aaron you are a troll.

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:92lfcb$ph8$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
In article <Rdl36.71225$7I.8953924@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>,
"Bill" <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com> wrote:
> There is absolutely no reason to dredge this crap up again.

Cut the nonsense, Bill. Unlike you, a lot of casual readers of this newsgroup are not at ALL familiar with this story (as I was not). Why do you desire to hide the fact that a death occurred as a result of a feud in this newsgroup? I think the story is very relevant to this newsgroup today, as I see threats similar to those made to Chris Marquis made in this newsgroup all too often.

Those who ignore history are doomed to repeat it.

Your humble servant,
Aaron H. Voobner

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4448. Re: Check out n8wwm on qrz.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 20:42:33 UTC
From: N8wwm <n8wwm@aol.comnospam>
Message-ID: <3A4E48B9.1900DC11@aol.comnospam>
Source record: 65833

N8wwm wrote:
>
> Go there now boys, and read the second page. Click on the line that says
> DETAILED INFORMATION or something like that.
>
> LATER KEYCLOWNS

I saved you the trouble.
PAGE 1

Email: n8wwm@aol.com

I am a 6 meter op who has just fallen in love with PSK31.
Seeya on 50.290!

Also, I am totally opposed to ILLEGAL CB operation, and
am really glad about the law that was signed recently that
gives local governments the right to make or enforce local
laws against the illegals. One of the groaner experiences
of ham radio is having someone see your setup the 1st
time and say "Oh, YOU are one of those guys who tear up
my stereo and TV!"

It was high time something was attempted to get these
public nuisance type operators, with their ILLEGAL radio
equipment, under control. They are a nuisance to the
general public and ILLEGAL!

PAGE 2
Database
Callsign:
N8WWM Class: Technician Codes: HAI USA
Name:
DOUGLAS W. ADAIR
Addr1:
5319 0 SECOR RD
Addr2:
TOLEDO, OH 43623
Country:
USA
Effective:
30 Nov 2000 Expires: 16 Mar 2003
License ID:
L00276328
Lookups:
249

Update / Renew License

Coordinates:
41ï¿½ 42' 24'' N, 83ï¿½ 38' 58'' W
County:
Lucas County
Grid:
EN81
Birthday:
10 Jul 1958
Email:
n8wwm@aol.com
QRZ Updated:
2000-12-19 07:49:14

Changes needed? Click here to update this listing...

Be sure and check out our Update Policy page if you have any questions.

Biography Info:

I am a 6 meter op who has just fallen in love with PSK31.
Seeya on 50.290!

Also, I am totally opposed to ILLEGAL CB operation, and
am really glad about the law that was signed recently that
gives local governments the right to make or enforce local
laws against the illegals. One of the groaner experiences
of ham radio is having someone see your setup the 1st
time and say "Oh, YOU are one of those guys who tear up
my stereo and TV!"

It was high time something was attempted to get these
public nuisance type operators, with their ILLEGAL radio
equipment, under control. They are a nuisance to the
general public and ILLEGAL!

4449. Re: MURDER In rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 20:57:02 UTC
From: The Landshark <s3buell@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <IYr36.45$s1.57856@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 65834

It had nothing to do with a "feud" in this newsgroup
It had everything to do with fraud to another individual outside newsgroup.
You are attempting yellow journalism.

The Landshark

--
It's a Buell-tiful Day for a ride
through the Canyons.

1999 Ford F-150 Super Cab
1998 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
1983 Honda 1100F
BFD #666
<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:92lfcb$ph8$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <Rdl36.71225$7I.8953924@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>,
> "Bill" <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com> wrote:
> > There is absolutely no reason to dredge this crap up again.
>
> Cut the nonsense, Bill. Unlike you, a lot of casual readers of this
> newsgroup are not at ALL familiar with this story (as I was not). Why
> do you desire to hide the fact that a death occurred as a result of a
> feud in this newsgroup? I think the story is very relevant to this
> newsgroup today, as I see threats similar to those made to Chris
> Marquis made in this newsgroup all too often.
>
> Those who ignore history are doomed to repeat it.
>
> Your humble servant,
> Aaron H. Voobner
>
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

4450. Re: Psycho Alien Race and "MASS" Murders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 21:11:11 UTC
From: borgwar@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92lj1c$s78$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65836
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.uk
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Obviously the response of the "Cultural Elite SS Alien Race"!

If you are human it would be very sound advice to access
my news magazine and make some hard-copies. Study the material
until you understand exactly what it means.

And what it means is___if you are a human being___
they will eventually snuff you and your family.

The agenda of the collective alien race is the complete
extermination of human beings.

So, I'd get on your CB and start jabbing!

In article ,
"cactus jack" wrote:
>
> wrote in message
> news:92imp3pbl1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > I'm investigating the recent shooting at the Edgewater Technology
> > software company?
> >
> > From the looks of things it appears as if the employee was being
> > attacked by his "CESS Alien Co-workers". A similar
> > situation happened here in the Alien Agency AREA called Cincinnati.
> >
> > An oriental woman working at a packaging facility(re-packing soap
> > products and batteries for K-Marts and other
> > department stores)
> > had an argument with a CO-worker, she then left and came back
> > with a revolver and a few clips___she then pump a few rounds into
> > various CO-workers.
> >
> > They said she was on medication because she was depressed and was
> > having problems with here CO-workers.
> >
> > I worked at those packaging facilities and most of those workers
are
> > "ALIENS" or dead people(Aliens also). When a human
> > works in their presence they are attacked by the alien race.
Attacked
> > meaning, alien hits to the brain and other parts of the
> > body resulting
> > in extreme pain, anger, and disorientation. Once the alien race

> > decides to attack a human___they don't stop until the human is
> > murdered(Taken Down Unfairly).
> >
> > So, what I'm saying is that this software worker was probably the
only
> > human on the job and he was trapped by the alien
> > race
> > (same thing happened to me when I received a phone call job offer
from
> > a mysterious U.S. Army Colonel in 1987. I was a
> > graduate
> > student at North Carolina State University, poor, and without
> > many friends___this mysterious colonel called me up and offered
> > me the job of a lifetime. The colonel was from OHIO and this
> > state is CESS Alien Agency. The only reason they offer jobs to
> > human beings is to trap and kill them.
> >
> >
> > I'm still working on the story but it looks like another situation
> > where the Alien Race has violated the rights of an American
> > citizen. Also, note the shooters appearance. When the CESS Alien
Race
> > attacks a human being___they refuse to allow the
> > human to
> > cut their body hair. If you shave___they deform your face. If
> > you cut your hair___they hit and torture you.
> >
> > If you think that human beings become mass murderers because
> > they have money problems___think again. The are other factors
> > (CESS Alien Agency) that are probably the cause of the
> > behavior of this worker.
> >
> > More information about the CESS Alien Agency and how the Agency
> > is taking over this country and enslaving/exterminating the human
race
> > can be found on my investigative news magazine.
> >
> > The only news magazine on the internet that publishes news articles
> > about the "Alien Agency" and does not articles
> > written by the "Alien Agency".
> >
> >
> > I need publicity because I was trapped by the RACE and my life is
being
> > censored. Also, I am a prisoner of the RACE,
> > being kept on the streets of their cities.
> >
> > So, I demand publicity. Use shortwave, pirate radio, cb, etc....
> > There are aliens here and they are abducting human beings and
> > forcing them to live in their HIVES___were the humans are

> > sacrificed. Also, please re-post!
> >
> > My site is being censored so the RACE can write fraudulent history
> > about me and what they are doing!
> >
> > Hmmmm? I wonder why the "RACE" is insisting on gun control?
> >
> > The Amendments stand, including the amendment that gives American
> > citizens the right to protect themselves with "what-ever" means
> > necessary? What till they find out who the real enemy is!
> >
> >
> >
> > Thank you,
> > --
> > Wayne Manzo,
> > Net Publisher, Plaintiff
> > http://mansue.com
> > http://alienxnation.tripod.com
> > 513-766-2003-1110(voice,fax)
> > wmanzo@yahoo.com
> > wmanzo@onebox.com(all e-comm appears to be censored especially
those
> > emails offering me money in order to sponsor my case, website, and
tv
> > show!)
> >
> >
> > WAYNE 1:11
> > THERE IS NO GOD!
> > THERE ARE ALIENS HERE!
> >
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>
> Obviously the ravings of a lunatic and should be ignored.
>
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4451. Posting N8's QRZ info!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 21:18:44 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001230161844.28454.00000400@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 65835

Thank you, thank you, thank you!

4452. Re: Check out n8wwm on qrz.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 21:30:48 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92lk69$t1t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65837

I've got to hand it to N8WWM. No matter what else he's done, you have to admire the fact that he's legal and proud of it.

I seriously doubt that we will EVER see a photo, a name, a legitimate mailing address and *grid coordinates* for ScottUnit69, ICECOLDNYC, kp82, Brass, Jay In Mojave, Mobile51, Curious Dan, Pinhead, or any of the other loudly bragging illegal "regulars" in rec.radio.cb!

Aaron

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4453. Hey KEYCLOWNS read this!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 22:04:10 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001230170410.28454.00000416@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 65838

Go here, you keyclowns! My new and improved webpage is up!

http://hometown.aol.com/n8wwm/myhomepage/index.html

4454. Re: Check out n8wwm on qrz.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 22:17:18 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001230171718.28454.00000427@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 65839

Right on Voobner, I KNOW none of these smegma lickers have any balls. All they do is cuss and threaten. Just a bunch of ILLEGAL dweebs spankin' their monkeys.

>I've got to hand it to N8WWM. No matter what else he's done, you have
>to admire the fact that he's legal and proud of it.
>
>I seriously doubt that we will EVER see a photo, a name, a legitimate
>mailing address and *grid coordinates* for ScottUnit69, ICECOLDNYC,
>kp82, Brass, Jay In Mojave, Mobile51, Curious Dan, Pinhead, or any of
>the other loudly bragging illegal "regulars" in rec.radio.cb!
>
>Aaron
>

4455. Re: BROWNING 180 AMP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 22:20:06 UTC
From: ngabuckaroo223@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001230172006.19229.00000353@ng-mc1.aol.com>
Source record: 65840

Yup they are hard to find

North GA. Buckaroo "223"

4456. Re: FA: Galaxy DX 99v & D-104 mic UPDATED!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 22:30:12 UTC
From: ngabuckaroo223@aol.com.net.com
Message-ID: <20001230173012.19448.00000310@ng-mc1.aol.com>
Source record: 65841

99 is the best

North Georgia Buckaroo "223"

4457. Re: Check out n8wwm on qrz.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 22:31:14 UTC
From: chickenhawk crusher <lmangang@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <92lnnivnq1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65843

In article <92lk69$t1t$1@nnrp1.chickenhawker.com>,
aaronvoobner(chickenhawker)@chickenhawker.com scratched:
> I've got to hand it to N8WWM(chickenhawk). No matter what else she's done, you have
> to admire the fact that she's legal and proud of it.
>
> I seriously doubt that we will EVER see a photo, a name, a legitimate
> mailing address and *grid coordinates* for ScottUnit69, ICECOLDNYC,
> kp82, Brass, Jay In Mojave, Mobile51, Curious Dan, Pinhead, or any of
> the others me and chickenhawk admire reguarly as "regulars" in rec.radio.cb!
>
> Aaron(chickenhawker)
>
> Sent via chickenhawker.com
> http://www.chickenhawker.com/
>
>looky heah little chickenhawkers and chickenhawks you aint worth the time but ah do
prechiate you waving your frequency under our noses now back in your pen chickenhawks

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4458. Re: To illegal CB operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 22:39:48 UTC
From: chickenhawk crusher <lmangang@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <92lo7k$49$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65846

In article <20001230152545.09730.00000516@ng-ct1.aol.com>,
n8wwm(chickenhawk)@chickenhawk.com (N8wwm)chickenhawk scratched:
> >Go home Doug
>
> Nice try smeghead, but it aint me.
> I love it...benny boy has his underwear >in a knot again and you know what im >about
>
>hey chickenhawk boy im listening all >weekend for your call bet you dont even >talk
chickenhawk it aint me >harrrdeeeharrrrHARRRRDEEEHARRRR what a >chickenhawk blooming idiot
im gonna put >myself on your page chickenhawk showing >your old lady unzipping my drawers
>you lost chickenhawk

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4459. That FAGGOT 1mangayboy is on again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 22:43:40 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001230174340.10515.00003700@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 65844

Chickenhawk, chickenhawk, chickenhawk. 1mangayboy, you really have an extensive vocabulary. You are original as hell too.

4460. Whats a CB shootout ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 22:47:52 UTC
From: Joe <joeamp@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <92lomoobg1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 65845

Whats a CB shootout ?

4461. Re: Check out n8wwm on qrz.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 22:49:21 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a4e65e7.1018803@news.cet.com>
Source record: 65842

On Sat, 30 Dec 2000 21:30:48 GMT, aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:

>I've got to hand it to N8WWM. No matter what else he's done, you have
>to admire the fact that he's legal and proud of it.
>
>I seriously doubt that we will EVER see a photo, a name, a legitimate
>mailing address and *grid coordinates* for ScottUnit69, ICECOLDNYC,
>kp82, Brass, Jay In Mojave, Mobile51, Curious Dan, Pinhead, or any of
>the other loudly bragging illegal "regulars" in rec.radio.cb!

Not voluntarily. When they get busted, however, I'm sure someone will
post most of that information.

Sparky, FLRC

4462. Re: Psycho Alien Race and "MASS" Murders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 22:54:19 UTC
From: fotoralf@gmx.de
Message-ID: <1emh8yd.1ktqniuuo8lztN%fotoralf@gmx.de>
Source record: 65847
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.uk

Noel <zzzz@oisin.demon.co.uk> wrote:

> I think a good psychiatrist would be a much better solution.

Sure, as soon as we can stop him from hyperventilating and get his heart rate down. Now go and get some hot water.

Ralf

--
Ralf R. Radermacher - DL9KCG - Kï¿½ln/Cologne, Germany
http://www.free-photons.de

e-mail from trash accounts (deja, yahoo) automatically rejected

4463. Re: Threats To Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 23:09:31 UTC
From: Barbequed Chicken <squeezehack@hotNOSPAMmail.com>
Message-ID: <92lq02$6s4$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 65698

No doubt!

"-=PEAKABOO=-" <tappingdatass@hotmail.comode> wrote in message
news:1Eo36.5$996.11383@nnrp2.sbc.net...
> Why can't you guys just ignore this clown?

4464. Re: Whats a CB shootout ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 23:17:19 UTC
From: Barbequed Chicken <squeezehack@hotNOSPAMmail.com>
Message-ID: <92lqem$9kd$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 65699

```
Trollometer
0 3 5 7 9 +10 +20 +30
\
\
\
\
\oooooo
```

```
"Joe" <joeamp@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:92lomo$obg$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> Whats a CB shootout ?
>
>
```

4465. Re: Psycho Alien Race and "MASS" Murders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 23:19:30 UTC
From: cactus jack <p.w.w.a@freeuk.com>
Message-ID: <91n36.114681$eT4.8410641@nnrp3.clara.net>
Source record: 65802
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.uk
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

```
Ray Woodward wrote in message
news:92k433$l6d$1@plutonium.btinternet.com...
> "cactus jack" wrote in message
> news:xX936.26339$WC3.2377307@nnrp4.clara.net...
> >
> > Donald Reeve wrote in message
> > news:92ivpv$fb06$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> > > Gee, just a few days ago I ran across another goodie like that
> > > one--perhaps the two of you can get a movement going. At the very
least
> > > you could try swapping your medications....
>
> [LOAD OF SHITE DELETED]
>
> > What?!!! more loonies?
>
> What you mean like the one pathetic enough to re-quote all that shit and
add
> A ONE LINE REPLY !!!
>
> CRETIN!!!
>
> well,
if I am going to post on a cretins' newsgroup, I should at least act like
one of you!
>
> >
> >
>
>
```

4466. Re: Hey KEYCLOWNS read this!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 23:34:26 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A4E7102.42D2FC7F@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65700

Hey Tim, make sure to tell Dougie what you think of him on your website!

N8wwm wrote:
>
> Go here, you keyclowns! My new and improved webpage is up!
>
> http://hometown.aol.com/n8wwm/myhomepage/index.html

And it sucks. Why don't you spend a little time and make a better page?

4467. Re: Check out n8wwm on qrz.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 23:37:08 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A4E71A4.864C0970@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65701

N8wwm wrote:
>
> Right on Voobner, I KNOW none of these smegma lickers have any balls. All they
> do is cuss and threaten. Just a bunch of ILLEGAL dweebs spankin' their monkeys.

You're dreaming of smegma because you haven't been anywhere
close to it since you've been born (hatched).

4468. Re: TRW Swap Meet Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 23:53:27 UTC
From: sbauer9126@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001230185327.11852.00000602@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 65706

jay i saw you over at the north west coner talking to afew guys. looked all serious so i left you along

Steve at Night Owl Radio

4469. Re: MURDER In rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 23:54:02 UTC
From: Ken <klajr2@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <Mzu36.230$el3.95740@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Source record: 65702

Whats to hide? It made the National media dweeb....I mean Dwoob....sorry
again...Voob.
Ken
aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote in message <92lfcb$ph8$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
>In article <Rdl36.71225$7I.8953924@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>,
> "Bill" <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com> wrote:
>> There is absolutely no reason to dredge this crap up again.
>
>Cut the nonsense, Bill. Unlike you, a lot of casual readers of this
>newsgroup are not at ALL familiar with this story (as I was not). Why
>do you desire to hide the fact that a death occurred as a result of a
>feud in this newsgroup? I think the story is very relevant to this
>newsgroup today, as I see threats similar to those made to Chris
>Marquis made in this newsgroup all too often.
>
>Those who ignore history are doomed to repeat it.
>
>Your humble servant,
>Aaron H. Voobner
>
>
>
>Sent via Deja.com
>http://www.deja.com/
>

4470. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 23:54:39 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92lsju$36s$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65703

In article <3A4D8B37.62209567@hotmail.com>,
"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Well, Vooby, that's more time then I spent never clicking
> on that link. I don't like them bringing their religion
> the this newsgroup. Why would I spend the time to click
> there. You really are a bored no-life. I just got back
> hanging with some friends. You know, real people!

Scott,

Thanks for your note. I have Voobner relatives over in St. Mary's County. I could arrange for you to meet them, maybe share some beef jerkey and a soda over at Buzzy's Country Store. They could show you photos of me in my Air Force uniform. Let me know.

Aaron H. Voobner

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4471. Re: MURDER In rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-30 23:59:14 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92lssh$3gu$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65704

In article <IYr36.45$s1.57856@news.pacbell.net>,
"The Landshark" <s3buell@pacbell.net> wrote:
> It had nothing to do with a "feud" in this newsgroup
> It had everything to do with fraud to another individual outside
newsgroup.
> You are attempting yellow journalism.
>
> The Landshark

Tsk. Tsk. You think that the bombing was "justified"? I could be wrong,
but I get the feeling you know more about this affair than you are
letting on.

Aaron

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4472. MR.137 will not get busted because he operates LEGALLY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 00:04:32 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92lt6f$3k1$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65705

Mr.137 has stepped forward and pledged to operate LEGAL CB, which in my opinion takes a lot more guts than you many of anonymous criminals have.

Aaron H. Voobner

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4473. Re: MURDER In rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 00:15:32 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92ltr2$44l$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65711

In article <Mzu36.230$el3.95740@nnrp1.sbc.net>,
"Ken" <klajr2@swbell.net> wrote:
> Whats to hide? It made the National media dweeb....I mean
Dwoob....sorry
> again...Voob.
> Ken
> aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote in message <92lfcb$ph8
$1@nnrp1.deja.com>...
> >In article <Rdl36.71225$7I.8953924@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>,
> > "Bill" <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com> wrote:
> >> There is absolutely no reason to dredge this crap up again.
> >
> >Cut the nonsense, Bill. Unlike you, a lot of casual readers of this
> >newsgroup are not at ALL familiar with this story (as I was not).
Why
> >do you desire to hide the fact that a death occurred as a result of a
> >feud in this newsgroup? I think the story is very relevant to this
> >newsgroup today, as I see threats similar to those made to Chris
> >Marquis made in this newsgroup all too often.
> >
> >Those who ignore history are doomed to repeat it.
> >
> >Your humble servant,
> >Aaron H. Voobner
> >
> >
> >
> >Sent via Deja.com
> >http://www.deja.com/
> >
>
>

heck, i saw the thread heading and thought somebody had done the job on
voobner, and n8. oh well, that's what i get for wishful thinking,....cd
is out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4474. ok, hackers, who is voobner?????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 00:17:21 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92ltue$4cj$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65709

this is your goal for 2001, find out who voobner is and publish it and
that is my roger,.....cd is out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4475. Re: Dumbass illegal KEYCLOWNS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 00:22:37 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001230192237.19715.00001915@nso-fs.aol.com>
Source record: 65707

In article <20001230113748.17507.00000571@ng-cn1.aol.com>, n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) writes:

>By the way, I am not banned from the NG and I am not Voobner.
>

You may not be banned but you are un-welcomed!!
Sound like Voobie to me!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

4476. Re: FOR SALE COBRA 148FGTLDX+

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 00:26:52 UTC
From: Carl/Melanie <melanie@mail.icongrp.com>
Message-ID: <3A4E7D4B.68396EE1@mail.icongrp.com>
Source record: 65708

hey ralph what is the phone number so i can get ne a new cobra!

4477. Re: FA: Galaxy DX 99v & D-104 mic UPDATED!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 00:28:50 UTC
From: Carl/Melanie <melanie@mail.icongrp.com>
Message-ID: <3A4E7DC2.C48BDE2E@mail.icongrp.com>
Source record: 65710

no it is not the best radio!

4478. Re: Whats a CB shootout ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 00:37:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001230193700.29153.00000628@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 65712

>Date: 12/30/2000 17:47 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <92lomoobg1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
>
>Whats a CB shootout ?
>
>
>
>

Its when ILLEGAL CB dorks jerk off...see also "dummy load"

4479. Re: To illegal CB operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 00:42:56 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001230194256.29153.00000630@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 65713

lmangayboy pulled his mouth off his German Shepherd's wee wee long enough to type:

>>you lost chickenhawk

N8wwm sparklingly replied:
No Gayboy, I have won from the jump. As long as you and the likes of you keep posting I am winning. You are just a no ball smegma licker who can't say anything original.
You are the loser, 1mangayboy.

Thanks for playing, let's have the next contestant now.

4480. Re: Check out n8wwm on qrz.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 00:45:15 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001230194515.29153.00000631@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 65714

>Not voluntarily. When they get busted, however, I'm sure someone will
>post most of that information.
>
Yep, and I will laugh my ass off!
Buncha no ball sissy boys hiding behind the keyboard.

4481. That FAGGOT 1mangayboy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 00:52:23 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001230195223.29153.00000632@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 65715

Was concieved in the following manner:

His mama was a lesbian and her girlfriend was a bisexual nurse at a home for World War 1 veterans with the clap.
Every night before she came home to 1mangayboy's mama she would give each of her 77 clap infested patients a blow job.
She came home horny one night after all the blowjobs and went down on 1mangayboy's mama. !mangayboy's mama had such a filthy stinkin' ass coochie that her lesbo nurse girlfriend puked up all the jizz from the blow jobs right on her and she got knocked up that way.
Every year 1mangayboy has to send 77 father's day cards.

Call me Chickenhawk, asswipe...go ahead...I am just getting WARM...

4482. cd practices bestiality

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 00:54:07 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001230195407.29153.00000633@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 65716

>...cd is out.....

I found out what cd stands for...COMPLETE DORK.

4483. Re: MURDER In rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 00:55:38 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001230195538.29153.00000634@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 65717

>heck, i saw the thread heading and thought somebody had done the job on
>voobner, and n8. oh well, that's what i get for wishful
thinking,....completedork
>is out.....
>
dream on dork boy...none of you motherless puke bags has an ounce of balls.

4484. Re: To illegal CB operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 00:56:36 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3146-3A4E8444-11@storefull-126.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65723

Evidently, you are nowhere NEAR the source or you would know exactly what is happening..and cbers are not on the new prez's list of priorities. Matter of fact, Colin Powell's son is being looked at by Dubya for chairman of the FCC...better start reading.....

4485. Re: Threats To Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 00:57:00 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001230195700.29153.00000635@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 65718

> Why can't you guys just ignore this clown?
>

Because they are dorky little keyclowns who are obsessed with playing with
their pee pees. They are too DUMB to get the picture.

4486. Re: Check out n8wwm on qrz.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 00:59:42 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001230195942.29153.00000637@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 65719

>You're dreaming of smegma because you haven't been anywhere
>close to it since you've been born (hatched).
>

Scottiekins, at least I did not have to crawl through eight inches of pus and scabs just to get outta my mommas womb.

Watch out keyclown, I will turn on the burner on your faggy ass. You Barney Phyfe acting jerkoff.

4487. Ok, hackers, who is curious dan?????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 01:01:51 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92m0ht$669$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65721

And what is it you want from me Dan? You don't like my opinions - don't read them.

Aaron

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4488. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 01:07:41 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A4E86DD.3D356E13@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65720

aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:

> Thanks for your note. I have Voobner relatives over in St. Mary's
> County. I could arrange for you to meet them, maybe share some beef

Either their name isn't "Voobner", or they are unlisted.

> jerkey and a soda over at Buzzy's Country Store. They could show you
> photos of me in my Air Force uniform. Let me know.

I could buy a second hand uniform at the thrift store!

4489. Re: MURDER In rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 01:10:50 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A4E879A.854F3DD2@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65724

N8wwm wrote:
>
> >heck, i saw the thread heading and thought somebody had done the job on
> >voobner, and n8. oh well, that's what i get for wishful
> thinking,....completedork
> >is out.....
> >
> dream on dork boy...none of you motherless puke bags has an ounce of balls.

Will somebody send Dougie a jar of Vaseline so he can get dick off his mind!
Every message has some reference to a phallic object or related hardware.

4490. Re: J-Pole Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 01:14:24 UTC
From: Hillbilly Dave <******davesradio@alltel.net******>
Message-ID: <92m14f$30v$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 65722

for 2 mtr the feed line should go somewhere about 2 1/2 inches up from the
bottem

dave

Ken <klajr2@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:xzn36.554$GF6.240885@nnrp2.sbc.net...
> No its only 60 5/8"......its for a 2 meter rig.
> Thought I'd cross post the groups to get general antenna advice.
> Thanks for the QSO.
> Ken
> 488 Central Texas
>
> The Packrat wrote in message ...
> >you slide the feedline up and down for best match
> >
> >that must be one hell of a large CB antenna :)
> >
> >"Ken" <klajr2@swbell.net> wrote in message
> >news:Frc36.1395$F8.134822@nnrp3.sbc.net...
> > Can someone be more specific as to how the feed line connects
to
> >the main element? I have the unit built, but am not sure how to tune it .
> >Raise the driver element up or down, or the feed line up or down on the
> >driver element?
> >Thanks,
> >Ken
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

4491. Re: Pro-510 NEW Tune Up info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 01:19:52 UTC
From: Hillbilly Dave <******davesradio@alltel.net******>
Message-ID: <92m1en$34f$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 65725

yep they changed it..... now its either D-201 or D-203 can't remember which one but its one of them two..... and jumper it...don't cut it....

dave (chinese hillbilly) ha ha

Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com> wrote in message news:3a4ddba5@news.planetc.com...
> Did they change the Pro-510 board to hide my D-14? Where did they put it?
> Does anyone know what do you cut for 100% mod. now? I hope it's not a dinky
> trans. under the board, but if it is, which one? E mail me or post.
> Thanks.
> Dave
>
>

4492. Scottiekins is a moron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 01:24:52 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001230202452.29136.00000611@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 65726

Scottiekins faggily posted:
I could buy a second hand uniform at the thrift store!

N8wwm sparklingly replied:
Whooptie-do you fruit.

4493. Re: To illegal CB operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 01:31:12 UTC
From: rileywillgetya@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001230203112.29459.00000595@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 65727

>Evidently, you are nowhere NEAR the source

Hey dude, I guess you will just have to see for yourself.

4494. Re: Hey KEYCLOWNS read this!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 01:34:51 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001230203451.00467.00000407@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Source record: 65728

hey scott, i had no idea dugie howser had even put a link to my site on his since he's been kill filed from me for a while. i'm real flattered. i've said it before and i'll say it again the best publicity is controversy. just wish his site didnt look so lowsy.

>
>Hey Tim, make sure to tell Dougie what you think of him on your website!
>
>N8wwm wrote:
>>
>> Go here, you keyclowns! My new and improved webpage is up!
>>
>> http://hometown.aol.com/n8wwm/myhomepage/index.html

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4495. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 01:40:10 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92m2pp$7t5$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65730

In article <3A4E86DD.3D356E13@hotmail.com>,
"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:

> > aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:
> > Thanks for your note. I have Voobner relatives over in St. Mary's
> > County. I could arrange for you to meet them, maybe share some
>
> Either their name isn't "Voobner", or they are unlisted.

Busy, busy, busy little fingers, Scott. Seems every time I turn around
you are doing some kind of Voobner search. Or writing about Voobners.
Or thinking about Voobners. That's why I thought you should meet some.

> I could buy a second hand uniform at the thrift store!

Nice! That would be fun for you I bet. You could play soldier.

Aaron

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4496. Re: MURDER In rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 01:42:20 UTC
From: The Landshark <s3buell@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <H8w36.90$s1.118260@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 65729

No I don't think death was justified, but writing
yellow journalism to your satisfaction, when it's
not true isn't right either.

The Landshark

--
It's a Buell-tiful Day for a ride
through the Canyons.

1999 Ford F-150 Super Cab
1998 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
1983 Honda 1100F
BFD #666
<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:92lssh$3gu$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <IYr36.45$s1.57856@news.pacbell.net>,
> "The Landshark" <s3buell@pacbell.net> wrote:
> > It had nothing to do with a "feud" in this newsgroup
> > It had everything to do with fraud to another individual outside
> newsgroup.
> > You are attempting yellow journalism.
> >
> > The Landshark
>
>
> Tsk. Tsk. You think that the bombing was "justified"? I could be wrong,
> but I get the feeling you know more about this affair than you are
> letting on.
>
> Aaron
>
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

4497. Illegally Powered Idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 01:55:19 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92m3m5$8er$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65737

In article <20001230192237.19715.00001915@nso-fs.aol.com>,
icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD (COLD as ICE) wrote:

> COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It
don't
> mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!
>

Hi Ice,

Maybe you can help me clarify something. The whole idea of "swing",
what is it exactly? I mean, I have seen the meter bouncing around on my
CB when heavily amplified and distorted illegal miscreants are saying
swear words and trying to mess up the channels (which they do). Why is
this a good thing? It doesn't seem to help their transmissions in any
way. I mean, they still sound awful and overmodulated. So is this
desirable? I mean, is it considered "good" to sound like crap and be
rude and ill-mannered?

I don't get it.

PS> Also explain the apple tree thing. Is that some kind of crude sexual
reference?

Thank you,

Aaron H. Voobner

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4498. Re: HAPPY NEW YEAR 2001 TO ALL!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 01:58:42 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a4e9453@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 65731

Da beggining of da Century starts Monday! No mo Y2K ! Will my 1977 CB work in 2001 ?
Keep yo ice in NYC. Media tonight says you had 1' of snow. Pictures showed 2" !
Dave!!!!!!
"COLD as ICE" <icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD> wrote in message news:20001230090320.23864.00004048@nso-fl.aol.com...
May you all have a prosperous new year!!!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

4499. Re: To illegal CB operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 01:59:40 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26534-3A4E930C-97@storefull-121.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65738

I have a better idea. Tell us what you profess to know, how you have "inside" connections, and let the people decide whether to believe you or not. I for one, know better, and no amount of crying, sniveling, whining, bitching and moaning is gonna change your wishes to reality. Local ordinances must first be enacted before they can be enforced.

4500. Re: Pro-510 NEW Tune Up info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 02:00:34 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a4e94c2@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 65732

Chic Hick:
Jumper it--not cut? Are you serious? Do you have oldztimers--is it 201 or 203?
Dave

"Hillbilly Dave" <******davesradio@alltel.net******> wrote in message news:92m1en$34f$1@iac5.navix.net...
yep they changed it..... now its either D-201 or D-203 can't remember which one but its one of them two..... and jumper it...don't cut it....

dave (chinese hillbilly) ha ha

Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com> wrote in message news:3a4ddba5@news.planetc.com...
> Did they change the Pro-510 board to hide my D-14? Where did they put it?
> Does anyone know what do you cut for 100% mod. now? I hope it's not a dinky
> trans. under the board, but if it is, which one? E mail me or post.
> Thanks.
> Dave
>
>

4501. Illegal CBers are KEYCLOWNS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 02:00:40 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001230210040.23172.00006824@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 65733

KEYCLOWNS KEYCLOWNS KEYCLOWNS

4502. Nebo=Dweebo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 02:01:07 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001230210107.23172.00006825@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 65734

Bwahahahhahahhahhahahaahh!

4503. Re: To illegal CB operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 02:09:17 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a4e96cd$1@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 65736

What can you tell me about this Orange order? Was it like the Russians continued clapping for govt. actors that the people never stopped clapping to show their disgust of them? Tell me more! We may have to do da same when dey git here!!!! E mail me or post!
Dave

"Richard McCollum" <rmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message news:C8q36.5949$8Y.225472@nntp3.onemain.com...

"Bendowersagain" <bendowersagain@aol.com> wrote in message news:20001230133759.10801.00000558@ng-cr1.aol.com...
> >spam@n7uic.net said
>
> >Oh goody another AOL poster
>
> Don't blame aol. At least they are trying to take action on this guy.

Wish you guys luck. All he is doing is stating the obvious and waiting for the large mouth bass to jump.

Kind of reminds me of the Polish Orange Order in the Communist days. They would gather and march to the zoo to sing Marxist-Leninist songs to the monkeys. The police were firmly convinced something about this had to be illegal but had one hell of a time figuring out just what it might be.

Dick NBK

4504. Re: To illegal CB operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 02:10:58 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a4e9732$1@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 65735

Riley will be GONE on Jan. 20--that's WHY we voted fow Bush, among other reasons! Bush will "Roll back da Commies" and give us da people our God Given 27 Mega cycle band!!!!!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"ANDHAMSWILLLAUGH" <rileywillgetya@aol.com> wrote in message news:20001230121905.16173.00000216@ng-cn1.aol.com...

Fellas, the time will come that you all wish you had never operated illegally.I
am kind of close to the source, and I hear rumors of some serious busts about
to come down.

On this end I will chortle as much as Voobner and N8 have been. You guys think
you are bigshots posting all your foul crap, but all you are doing is letting
those 2 guys yank your leashes. Hell, N8wwm OWNS you guys, and you all worry your little heads everytime he posts. What a laugh.

The idea that you can keep getting away with what you are doing will be dispelled soon enough. That will be fun to watch.

RILEY WILL GET YA!

4505. Re: Alternator Bracket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 02:23:09 UTC
From: kd <burnetteks@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <hMw36.2204$F_3.88729@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 65739

How can I get in touch with you?

4506. Re: MR.137 will not get busted because he operates LEGALLY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 02:40:31 UTC
From: wpbrock3rd@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001230214031.07749.00011867@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 65740

ya me too!

4507. X Force Amplifier for sale.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 02:50:16 UTC
From: maniac9188@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001230215016.23832.00000013@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Source record: 65741

Just to let everyone know, My X Force Amplifier is still for sale. It is the model X60012, with 2) 2sc2290's for the driver section, and 6) 2sc2879's for the final section. It has the ("#12" designed) Biasing Circuit, Single SideBand Delay, Variable Power, Recieve Amplifier, and Upgraded Power Leads. I bought the Amplifer NEW, about 6 months ago. It has never been abused, over driven, or over volted. The original Transistors are still inside. Price is negotiable. Maniac.

http://members.nbci.com/iomega216/xforce/xforce.html

4508. c-2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 02:51:41 UTC
From: schwerd5@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001230215141.11809.00000588@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 65742

8 pill cookie amp for sale. less than 8 hrs. talking time on amp .excellent cond.packaged up and ready for shipping. 400.00+ 12.50 shipping.SCHWERD5@aol.com. master cylinder back out.

4509. FT101EE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 02:55:16 UTC
From: schwerd5@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001230215516.11809.00000589@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 65743

FT101EE FOR SALE OR TRADE.looking for cb base unit.SCHWERD5@aol.com

4510. Re: WTD: Palomar VC-200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 02:55:41 UTC
From: Big Dog 326 <scott_326@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <92m77b$b3o$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65746

In article <3A4D8BE9.D61E894F@hotmail.com>,
"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
> I can't believe he would bother with EBay, knowing he has
> a ready customer. He must be trying to milk it for all
> it's worth.
>
> Big Dog 326 wrote:
> >
> > In article <PuU26.200243$U46.6170393@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>,
> > "The Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote:
> > > I'm looking for the VC-200. I believe they called them Voice Controllers.
> > > I really don't remember. I know that there is a VC-100 with scramble and a
> > > VC-300 with digital recording. I'm looking for the 200. If anyone has one
> > > or knows where one is, please let me know.
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > > The Packrat
> > > spam@n7uic.net
> > >
> >
> > I will be listing one on ebay this weekend! Never used, with box and
> > manual. I purchased it new and never had a need for it. I will post an
> > ebay link after I get it listed on ebay.
>

Milking it for all it is worth? I have responded to more than one post
in the last six months looking for this item. When I sell anything, be
it a radio item, a car, a camera, etc., I sell items that are in mint
condition and well cared for. Example: When I sell a car, everything
works, all maintenance is up to date, all repairs have been made and the
body does not have a door ding on it! Should I sell this car to someone
for the price they would pay for a car in average to poor condition? Not
going to happen! When someone buys a car from me they will pay more, but
they will get a car in exceptional condition. If you want a VC-200 that
has been in someones Jeep for 4 years and is well worn, then buy it at
the price he will sell it to you for! If you want a one of a kind, new
VC-200 with box and manual, then make a bid. I keep my stuff nice and I
have never sold an item on ebay at any price that I did not get thank you
emails for. A higher price is what you will pay for anything that the
owner took the time to keep it nice. It is not a matter of milking it,
it is just a matter of getting paid what something in mint condition is
worth!
So a word of advice: Next time you go looking to buy a car, buy the car
that the owner took the time and spent the money to take care of, not the

lowest price. I change my oil every 2000-2500 miles...how about you?
--
THANK YOU: Scott!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4511. Re: HAPPY NEW YEAR 2001 TO ALL!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 03:08:18 UTC
From: drdamage165@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001230220818.10719.00007185@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 65744

And a happy new year right back at ya ICE
DR. DAMAGE IN THE GRASS

4512. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 03:10:39 UTC
From: jacquesclouseau@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3a4ea13b.42894375@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 65745

On Fri, 29 Dec 2000 03:37:30 GMT, aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:

>Intentionally trying to ruin
>someone else's communication experience seems like a selfish child's
>game played only by bullies. And running companies that create or sell
>products that are designed to help people do that sort of thing seems
>like a very nasty way to make a living.
>
>I am appalled.
>
>Aaron H. Voobner

You've got a strange feeling for what's important, my friend.

You're "appalled"? If you're going to be "appalled," then be appalled about something like the existence of hungry and diseased children, or the horrific (and continued) wars of this century, or the existence of slavery in the world (yes, it still exists).

When you tell us that you're "appalled" by abuse of the CB spectrum, then we doubt whether we should take you seriously -- about anything.

Or we just decide that you're a fool and a jerk, which is what many of us seem to have done.

Jacques
jacquesclouseau@altavista.com

4513. Re: FS.RG214u Coax Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 03:28:15 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A4EA7CF.41454F85@qnet.com>
Source record: 65973

Hello Henderson 928: Plese do tell! Why will RG-214 carry more power
that RG-8 or RG213?

Your on!

Jay in the Mojave

HENDERSON928 wrote:
>
> You,people must not run big watts.I can tell,how you talk ,like 10-7 mud-duck.

4514. Re: Alternator Bracket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 03:32:41 UTC
From: mr_pastor_blaster@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92m9cpcmm1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65747

I'll e-mail you

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4515. PLEASE DON'T FEED THE TROLLS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 03:41:57 UTC
From: Barbequed Chicken <squeezehack@hotNOSPAMmail.com>
Message-ID: <92m9uv$cb8$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 65948

PUT EM IN THE THE TRASH.

4516. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 03:47:58 UTC
From: Rat Bastard <ratb@ratsnest.com>
Message-ID: <O%x36.1337$uc4.483939@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 65949

i would like to meet a Voobner any of them for that matter. Can you arrange
it for me?
<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:92m2pp$7t5$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <3A4E86DD.3D356E13@hotmail.com>,
> "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> > > aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:
> > > Thanks for your note. I have Voobner relatives over in St. Mary's
> > > County. I could arrange for you to meet them, maybe share some
> >
> > Either their name isn't "Voobner", or they are unlisted.
>
> Busy, busy, busy little fingers, Scott. Seems every time I turn around
> you are doing some kind of Voobner search. Or writing about Voobners.
> Or thinking about Voobners. That's why I thought you should meet some.
>
> > I could buy a second hand uniform at the thrift store!
>
> Nice! That would be fun for you I bet. You could play soldier.
>
> Aaron
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

4517. Re: MR.137 will not get busted because he operates LEGALLY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 03:52:46 UTC
From: Rat Bastard <ratb@ratsnest.com>
Message-ID: <i4y36.1340$uc4.485941@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 65950

he didnt pledge anything dickner he posted the radios he uses he didnt say
he dumped amplifers and has not said he's seen the light, he just told you
what radios he uses since you accused him of being illegal. Go Troll the
kiddie porno NG you will fit in better
<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:92lt6f$3k1$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Mr.137 has stepped forward and pledged to operate LEGAL CB, which in my
> opinion takes a lot more guts than you many of anonymous criminals have.
>
> Aaron H. Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

4518. Re: Alternator Bracket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 03:55:48 UTC
From: Rat Bastard <ratb@ratsnest.com>
Message-ID: <87y36.1341$uc4.487035@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 65951

what kinda name is that?

<mr_pastor_blaster@my-deja.com>

4519. Re: WTD: Palomar VC-200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 04:16:47 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <Pqy36.208525$U46.6401784@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 65952

don't see it on ebay

"Big Dog 326" <scott_326@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:92m77b$b3o$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
In article <3A4D8BE9.D61E894F@hotmail.com>,
"Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com> wrote:
> I can't believe he would bother with EBay, knowing he has
> a ready customer. He must be trying to milk it for all
> it's worth.
>
> Big Dog 326 wrote:
> >
> > In article <PuU26.200243$U46.6170393@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>,
> > "The Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote:
> > > I'm looking for the VC-200. I believe they called them Voice
Controllers.
> > > I really don't remember. I know that there is a VC-100 with scramble
and a
> > > VC-300 with digital recording. I'm looking for the 200. If anyone
has one
> > > or knows where one is, please let me know.
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > > The Packrat
> > > spam@n7uic.net
> > >
> >
> > I will be listing one on ebay this weekend! Never used, with box and
> > manual. I purchased it new and never had a need for it. I will post an
> > ebay link after I get it listed on ebay.
>

Milking it for all it is worth? I have responded to more than one post
in the last six months looking for this item. When I sell anything, be
it a radio item, a car, a camera, etc., I sell items that are in mint
condition and well cared for. Example: When I sell a car, everything
works, all maintenance is up to date, all repairs have been made and the
body does not have a door ding on it! Should I sell this car to someone
for the price they would pay for a car in average to poor condition? Not
going to happen! When someone buys a car from me they will pay more, but
they will get a car in exceptional condition. If you want a VC-200 that
has been in someones Jeep for 4 years and is well worn, then buy it at
the price he will sell it to you for! If you want a one of a kind, new
VC-200 with box and manual, then make a bid. I keep my stuff nice and I
have never sold an item on ebay at any price that I did not get thank you

emails for. A higher price is what you will pay for anything that the owner took the time to keep it nice. It is not a matter of milking it, it is just a matter of getting paid what something in mint condition is worth!
So a word of advice: Next time you go looking to buy a car, buy the car that the owner took the time and spent the money to take care of, not the lowest price. I change my oil every 2000-2500 miles...how about you?
--
THANK YOU: Scott!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4520. Re: Ok, hackers, who is curious dan?????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 04:20:07 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92mc5menf1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65954

In article <92m0ht$669$1@nnrp1.deja.com>,
aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:
> And what is it you want from me Dan? You don't like my opinions -
don't
> read them.
>
> Aaron
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

oh i don't want anything mudduck, however, the question is what is it
you want???? oh you will be found i promise you and when it happens, i
think it will provide some comic relief, he, he,.....cd is out.....

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4521. Re: cd practices bestiality

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 04:21:23 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92mc81$eo0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65956

In article <20001230195407.29153.00000633@ng-cj1.aol.com>,
n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> >...cd is out.....
>
> I found out what cd stands for...COMPLETE DORK.
>

a scumbag like you calling somebody a dork, well that is an egnima
isn't it,....cd is out.........

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4522. Re: Wanted: HR- 2600 mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 04:21:47 UTC
From: kb9igg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001230232147.06157.00000684@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 65953

i have an extra mic for hr2600 $15.00+shipping

4523. Re: Check out n8wwm on qrz.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 04:28:33 UTC
From: oneqck76ta@aol.com.com.com
Message-ID: <20001230232833.06421.00000376@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Source record: 65955

>>Not voluntarily. When they get busted, however, I'm sure someone will
>>post most of that information.
>>
>Yep, and I will laugh my ass off!
>Buncha no ball sissy boys hiding behind the keyboard.
>
>
>

I addmit that I have operrated illegaly and I may or may not now but you are free to goto my website and see pics of me, My real name is even there and the city and state I even live in! Check it. I think ya just might like it! And if ya look at my profile on AOL all my info is there for everyone to see. Nothing to hide here. Hey 257, If you are reading this, How does my DX 500 sound? hehehe

Untill next time.....

Later,
oneqck76ta@aol.com
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta

You've got "459" out of Minneapolis Minnesota and I'm reading the mail

**********Hippie Freak**********
http://members.aol.com/oneqck76ta/cbradio

4524. Re: cd practices bestiality

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 04:28:52 UTC
From: curious_dan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92mcm1$f3j$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65958

In article <20001230195407.29153.00000633@ng-cj1.aol.com>,
n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> >...cd is out.....
>
> I found out what cd stands for...COMPLETE DORK.
>

a scumbag like you calling somebody a dork, well that is an enigma
isn't it,....cd is out.........

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4525. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 04:29:47 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92mcno$f3t$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65959

In article <3a4ea13b.42894375@news.earthlink.net>,
jacquesclouseau@yahoo.com wrote:
> You've got a strange feeling for what's important, my friend.
>
> You're "appalled"? If you're going to be "appalled," then be appalled
> about something like the existence of hungry and diseased children, or
> the horrific (and continued) wars of this century, or the existence of
> slavery in the world (yes, it still exists).
>
> When you tell us that you're "appalled" by abuse of the CB spectrum,
> then we doubt whether we should take you seriously -- about anything.
>
> Or we just decide that you're a fool and a jerk, which is what many of
> us seem to have done.
>
> Jacques
> jacquesclouseau@altavista.com

Ah, Mr. Clouseau,

You have touched on a most peculiar phenomenon, and one that interests me greatly. Namely that illegal operators and/or criminals of all stripes often try to deflect attention away from their abuses by pointing out that there are much greater abuses taking place in the world. For example when a purse snatcher is caught he will invariably whine and ask why he's being picked on. After all, there are murderers and drug dealers loose on the streets. The purse snatcher feels he is being unfairly persecuted. But if you examine the situation carefully, as any reasonable person must, you will conclude that the purse snatchers argument does not hold water.

How would you feel if you called 911 to report a drunk driver weaving up and down your street and the police told you that they would not respond because there were much more heinous crimes in the world like hunger, disease and slavery?

As I have stated before, I am here merely to inject a note of reality into this discussion. The point that illegal radio operation infringes on the rights of legal operators has been made again and again. Yet, time and time again I hear the same arguments made IN FAVOR of illegal operation. I can only conclude that hard-core illegal CBers are involved in criminal behavior already and as a result, gravitate like ducks to water to the criminal attitudes that go along with that behavior.

Aaron H. Voobner

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4526. Re: Ok, hackers, who is curious dan?????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 04:40:01 UTC
From: Barbequed Chicken <squeezehack@hotNOSPAMmail.com>
Message-ID: <92mdbr$5s9$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 65957

Forgetaboutit!!!
Don't fuel the lame ass fire!
Killfile. Killfile. Killfile. Killfile.

> oh i don't want anything mudduck, however, the question is what is it
> you want???? oh you will be found i promise you and when it happens, i
> think it will provide some comic relief, he, he,.....cd is out.....

4527. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 04:43:17 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92mdh5$fk0$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65960

In article <O%x36.1337$uc4.483939@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
"Rat Bastard" <ratb@ratsnest.com> wrote:
> i would like to meet a Voobner any of them for that matter. Can you
arrange
> it for me?

If you are near St. Mary's County you could meet my aunt and uncle. There is a Friendly's Ice Cream place they like to go to that would be ideal. However I would insist on a couple of things. #1 is that you would have to first provide a phone number where you can be contacted and a legitimate name (other than "Rat Bastard"; my aunt and uncle would not appreciate rude behavior of any type). Since my uncle is an ex military MP your ID would be thoroughly checked out before they agreed to meet you. I also think it would be appropriate for you to offer to pay for the meal, and/or any refreshments that both my aunt and uncle would like.

Let me know.

Aaron

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4528. Whats the calling channels in the USA ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 04:56:04 UTC
From: Axle Jack <axlejack@REMOVE-TO-REPLYdingoblue.net.au>
Message-ID: <3a4ebc95$0$7485$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 65961

I can hear a lot of you guys in the US from here in Australia.
But what calling channels do you use?

in aus its
8 - truckers
9 - emergency
11 - AM calling
16 - SSB calling (LSB used)
35 - unofficial SSB calling (LSB)

4529. Re: MURDER In rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 05:03:39 UTC
From: Barbequed Chicken <squeezehack@hotNOSPAMmail.com>
Message-ID: <92meo3$f6v$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 65962

Do ya think???

> Aaron you are a troll.

4530. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 05:07:12 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92metugge1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65963

In article <O%x36.1337$uc4.483939@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
"Rat Bastard" <ratb@ratsnest.com> wrote:
> i would like to meet a Voobner any of them for that matter. Can you
arrange
> it for me?

If you are near St. Mary's County you could meet my aunt and uncle. There is a Friendly's Ice Cream place they like to go to that would be ideal. However I would insist on a couple of things. #1 is that you would have to first provide a phone number where you can be contacted and a legitimate name (other than "Rat Bastard"; my aunt and uncle would not appreciate rude behavior of any type). Since my uncle is an ex military MP your ID would be thoroughly checked out before they agreed to meet you. I also think it would be appropriate for you to offer to pay for the meal, and/or any refreshments that both my aunt and uncle would like.

Let me know.

Aaron

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4531. Re: Whats the calling channels in the USA ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 05:21:58 UTC
From: Barbequed Chicken <squeezehack@hotNOSPAMmail.com>
Message-ID: <92mfqelfv1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 65964

It's looser here. There are no calling channels.
Just give er a go when you hear someone.
We're all a bunch of outlaw radio mates, so comon!!!
TARCYCKMA

"Axle Jack" <axlejack@REMOVE-TO-REPLYdingoblue.net.au> wrote in message
news:3a4ebc95$0$7485$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au...
> I can hear a lot of you guys in the US from here in Australia.
> But what calling channels do you use?
>
> in aus its
> 8 - truckers
> 9 - emergency
> 11 - AM calling
> 16 - SSB calling (LSB used)
> 35 - unofficial SSB calling (LSB)
>
>

4532. FS.Rotor Cable 8 strand Wire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 05:44:00 UTC
From: henderson928@cs.com
Message-ID: <20001231004400.26606.00005666@ng-fu1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 65965

http://cgi.ebay.aol.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1204971372
Please check,my auction on ebay.I have 35foot Rotor Cable.Thanks,for reading.

4533. Messenger Amps????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 05:54:32 UTC
From: n4cdg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001231005432.24938.00001933@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 65966

Thanks for reading.

I'm considering purchasing a Messenger and wanted feedback...Good and bad.

Thanks
Chris

4534. Re: FS.RG214u Coax Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 05:55:34 UTC
From: henderson928@cs.com
Message-ID: <20001231005534.26606.00005667@ng-fu1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 65967

It you want the,coax cable buy it.If not shut
your mouth.

4535. FA: VC-200 Palomar Voice Controller.NEW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 06:00:42 UTC
From: Big Dog 326 <scott_326@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <92mi29iqc1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65971

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1204981205

--
THANK YOU: Scott!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4536. Re: FS.RG214u Coax Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 06:00:56 UTC
From: henderson928@cs.com
Message-ID: <20001231010056.26606.00005668@ng-fu1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 65968

thier nothing,real special about.It can handle
more watts.Oh,I sorry mud-duck wouldn"t,
that run small watts,wouldn"t know the different

4537. Re: FS.RG214u Coax Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 06:08:07 UTC
From: henderson928@cs.com
Message-ID: <20001231010807.26606.00005669@ng-fu1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 65969

You,must be a mud-duck.Running small watts,
to tell the next man.That RG213,RG8 orabout
the same.If you,were running big watts,you know that Rg214u,can handle more
watts,than
RG213,58,274.and other.

4538. Re: FS.RG214u Coax Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 06:11:09 UTC
From: henderson928@cs.com
Message-ID: <20001231011109.26606.00005670@ng-fu1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 65970

You,people must not run big watts.I can tell,how you talk ,like 10-7 mud-duck.

4539. Re: Psycho Alien Race and "MASS" Murders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 06:15:49 UTC
From: Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com>
Message-ID: <3A4ECE12.880C14F6@topnow.com>
Source record: 65972
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.uk

Noel wrote:

> On Fri, 29 Dec 2000 18:56:38 GMT, americanmanzo@my-deja.com wrote:
>
> > WAYNE 1:11
> > THERE IS NO GOD!
> > THERE ARE ALIENS HERE!
>
> You are obviously completely stark raving mad. Seek professional
> help, and soon.
>

Better yet, buy a slot on WWFV and entertain us all.
;)

-- Ross

>
> --
> Noel

4540. Re: Whats the calling channels in the USA ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 06:56:45 UTC
From: nealbfinn@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001231015645.22048.00000047@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 65974

<< But what calling channels do you use? >>

Well we don't have a hard and fast rule for call channels in the USA. It used to be (back in ye' olden days):

11 - call channel
9 - emergency
12-14, 23 - base to base or base to mobile

Now that was a long time ago. Today it's more of a regional thing. We use 14 and 17 in my area but go 20 miles north of here and they use different frequencies. 19 is still pretty much trcks drivers. Along some of the Interstate highways they use 15 and 17 as well. Depends on where you are.

35 thru 39 are pretty much reserved for sideband here and occasionally 40.

NBF

4541. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 06:57:47 UTC
From: theHEMPhog@webtv.net
Message-ID: <295-3A4ED8EB-49@storefull-238.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65975

Is that all you guys do on this ng is bitch ridicule and whine? It,s not going to matter much in a hundred years,This all relates back to the theory of insanity.trying the same thing the same way and expecting different results, Come on guys, this is not working, Try something different or go about it in a different way.
BE HAPPY 73's Major
Tom 247

4542. Re: Best Online CB Store

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 08:31:14 UTC
From: rick10@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3a4eee99.10710055@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 65976

On Fri, 29 Dec 2000 11:33:48 GMT, Paul <P_gilbertson@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>I'm looking for a reliable, honest online store with a big inventory of
>CB products. Any recommendations? Thanks all.
>-Paul

Bills 2 way gets my vote. He's is very good to deal with....

212

4543. Re: Ok, hackers, who is curious dan?????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 09:51:37 UTC
From: -=PEAKABOO=- <tappingdatass@hotmail.comode>
Message-ID: <OkD36.734$996.177713@nnrp2.sbc.net>
Source record: 65977

Give it up bonehead. You will never find out who CD is. Go check channel 19
to see if any truckers are cursing....can't have that now can we??????

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:92m0ht$669$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> And what is it you want from me Dan? You don't like my opinions - don't
> read them.
>
> Aaron
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/
>

4544. Re: Illegally Powered Idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 09:59:32 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20001231045932.23864.00004090@nso-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 65978

In article <92m3m5$8er$1@nnrp1.deja.com>, aaronvoobner@my-deja.com writes:

>Hi Ice,
>
>Maybe you can help me clarify something. The whole idea of "swing",
>what is it exactly? I mean, I have seen the meter bouncing around on my
>CB when heavily amplified and distorted illegal miscreants are saying
>swear words and trying to mess up the channels (which they do). Why is
>this a good thing? It doesn't seem to help their transmissions in any
>way. I mean, they still sound awful and overmodulated. So is this
>desirable? I mean, is it considered "good" to sound like crap and be
>rude and ill-mannered?
>
>I don't get it.
>
>PS> Also explain the apple tree thing. Is that some kind of crude sexual
>reference?
>
>Thank you,

Sure!
Your Welcome!

COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It don't mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!

4545. THANKS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 10:30:36 UTC
From: Paul <P_gilbertson@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A4F0B61.F843EA4C@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65979

I appreciate all your input. Happy new year all!
-Paul

4546. Re: cobra 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 10:47:47 UTC
From: Dana <dana@randomc.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.4.10.10012310537070.26115-100000@cluster2>
Source record: 65980

You hit the nail right on the head on that one. I bought one at a hock
shop about a month ago for $50.00

On 28 Dec 2000, Labtech1 wrote:

> Date: 28 Dec 2000 11:49:01 GMT
> From: Labtech1 <labtech1@aol.com.nospam>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> Subject: Re: cobra 2000
>
> >Subject: cobra 2000
>
> >From: cbdxer@aol.com (CB DXER)
>
> >I have a new cobra 2000 in the box. It was only taken out to test that it
> >was
> >working and put back into the closet for many years. It is in the original
> >carton and packing material. Does anyone know how much this radio is worth?
>
> Its not worth anything..... BUT if you send it to me and pay me $20 to take
> it, I'll help you make some room in your closet (LOL)
>
>
>
> labtech1@nospam.aol.com
>
>

4547. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 10:49:22 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4u3phk7h3r859@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65981

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:92mdh5$fk0$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <O%x36.1337$uc4.483939@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
> "Rat Bastard" <ratb@ratsnest.com> wrote:
> > i would like to meet a Voobner any of them for that matter. Can you
> arrange
> > it for me?
>
<snipped>

. However I would insist on a couple of things. #1 is that you
> would have to first provide a phone number where you can be contacted
> and a legitimate name (other than "Rat Bastard"; my aunt and uncle would
> not appreciate rude behavior of any type). Since my uncle is an ex
> military MP your ID would be thoroughly checked out before they agreed
> to meet you. I also think it would be appropriate for you to offer to
> pay for the meal, and/or any refreshments that both my aunt and uncle
> would like.

So, I was right! members of the Voober Clan are predisposed towards anal
behavior. Explains a lot about you and yours. Potty training night must have
been a horror around the Voober household.

Jeff

>
> Let me know.
>
> Aaron
>
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

4548. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 10:50:56 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4u3sf3oi3dd88@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65982

Ah, go smoke a dube and relax. :-)

Jeff

"TOMMY CHONG" <theHEMPhog@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:295-3A4ED8EB-49@storefull-238.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Is that all you guys do on this ng is bitch ridicule and whine? It,s
> not going to matter much in a hundred years,This all relates back to
> the theory of insanity.trying the same thing the same way and expecting
> different results, Come on guys, this is not working, Try something
> different or go about it in a different way.
> BE HAPPY 73's Major
> Tom 247
>

4549. Re: cd practices bestiality

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 10:53:50 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <bk43@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <t4u41tk5l9c2d9@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 65983

<curious_dan@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:92mcm1$f3j$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <20001230195407.29153.00000633@ng-cj1.aol.com>,
> n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> > >...cd is out.....
> >
> > I found out what cd stands for...COMPLETE DORK.
> >
>
> a scumbag like you calling somebody a dork, well that is an enigma
> isn't it,....cd is out.........

You can say that again... Oh, you did, didn't you? ;-)

Jeff

>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

4550. Re: cobra 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 11:14:21 UTC
From: dana@randomc.com
Message-ID: <hyE36.1592$iK.177454@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 65984

I was just joking around about the radio. The one that I bought at the hock shop was a pretty good radio, as a matter of fact, I sold it to someone on this news group about a month ago for $200.00 and he likes it. You might want to keep it around for a few more years and see what you can get for it then.

On 2000-12-31 20001228064901.07706.00011620@ng-cs1.aol.com said:
You hit the nail right on the head on that one. I bought one at a hock shop about a month ago for $50.00
On 28 Dec 2000, Labtech1 wrote:
> Date: 28 Dec 2000 11:49:01 GMT
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> >I have a new cobra 2000 in the box. It was only taken out to >test that it >was
> >working and put back into the closet for many years. It is in >the original >carton and packing material. Does anyone know how much this radio is worth? >
> Its not worth anything..... BUT if you send it to me and pay me $20 to take
> it, I'll help you make some room in your closet (LOL)
> labtech1@nospam.aol.com

mailto:dana@randomc.com
http://www.randomc.com/~dana

Net-Tamer V 1.13 Beta - Registered

4551. Re: Psycho Alien Race and "MASS" Murders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 11:25:12 UTC
From: fotoralf@gmx.de
Message-ID: <1emi7nc.1iredox1gjmufeN%fotoralf@gmx.de>
Source record: 65985
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.uk

Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com> wrote:

> Better yet, buy a slot on WWFV and entertain us all.

ROFTLMAOHFGEKILOGGHEASLUHBG.......!!!!!

Ralf

--
Ralf R. Radermacher - DL9KCG - Kï¿½ln/Cologne, Germany
http://www.free-photons.de

e-mail from trash accounts (deja, yahoo) automatically rejected

4552. Re: Check out n8wwm on qrz.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 13:36:25 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a4f3548.1877391@news.cet.com>
Source record: 65986

On 31 Dec 2000 04:28:33 GMT, oneqck76ta@aol.com.com.com (Hippie Freak)
wrote:

>I addmit that I have operrated illegaly and I may or may not now . . .

but then he wrote:

>How does my DX 500 sound?
>hehehe

I guess being wishy-washy is better than being a loud-mouthed
chicken-shit.

Sparky, FLRC

4553. Re: Whats a CB shootout ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 14:04:58 UTC
From: henderson928@cs.com
Message-ID: <20001231090458.18011.00000519@ng-cj1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 65987

You got,a nasty mouth .You mud-duck,that"s
what is wrong with you people.You think,you
are all that.But you don"t run nothing,but your
big fat mouth.Before you call,CBer"s jerk -off
you need to take you dick,and stick it in your
big fat mouth,and then take it and jerk-off in your mouth .So you can shut up
that mouth,Oh
I forgot you people ,you might like jerk-off you dick.You,aren"t no man,seem
like you are person,that like to talk about people.Maybe if you learn,to keep
your dick
out your mouth,you might be a better person

4554. Re: Check out n8wwm on qrz.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 14:06:47 UTC
From: henderson928@cs.com
Message-ID: <20001231090647.15735.00000010@ng-cj1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 65988

Still,running that big fat mouth.

4555. Re: Best Online CB Store

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 14:58:46 UTC
From: kc2elo <kirk_mefford@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <92nhj5$7en$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65990

In article <3A4D7130.572A003C@tyler.net>,
Dean <deanntx@tyler.net> wrote:
> It damn sure isn't CB City!

???????? Aren't you the guy that worked at cb city?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4556. Re: Whats a CB shootout ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 15:00:21 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001231100021.01195.00002429@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 65989

>Maybe if you learn,to keep
>your dick
>out your mouth,you might be a better person

Is that what you did to make yourself a better person, it sounds like your Dick and a bunch of other peoples Dick is still in your Mouth, maybe you need to swallow.

4557. Re: Messenger Amps????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 15:20:52 UTC
From: Jesse Stanley <superstar@eurekanet.com>
Message-ID: <3a4f4e3b$0$1498$4ca6cf20@news.eurekanet.com>
Source record: 65991

They are a excellent amp cost a little more than the Palomars
N4CDG <n4cdg@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001231005432.24938.00001933@ng-cg1.aol.com...
> Thanks for reading.
>
> I'm considering purchasing a Messenger and wanted feedback...Good and bad.
>
> Thanks
> Chris

4558. Re: Illegals Lash Out At Aaron Voobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 15:24:05 UTC
From: Rat Bastard <ratb@ratsnest.com>
Message-ID: <pcl36.1822$uc4.626715@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 65992

well of course I would need to check out Old Unc's id thorougly myself what is his name and hers of course I would have to go dutch for the lunch since i am not looking for any freebies myself. My Name is George Pantell....

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:92mdh5$fk0$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <O%x36.1337$uc4.483939@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
> "Rat Bastard" <ratb@ratsnest.com> wrote:
> > i would like to meet a Voobner any of them for that matter. Can you
> arrange
> > it for me?
>
> If you are near St. Mary's County you could meet my aunt and uncle.
> There is a Friendly's Ice Cream place they like to go to that would be
> ideal. However I would insist on a couple of things. #1 is that you
> would have to first provide a phone number where you can be contacted
> and a legitimate name (other than "Rat Bastard"; my aunt and uncle would
> not appreciate rude behavior of any type). Since my uncle is an ex
> military MP your ID would be thoroughly checked out before they agreed
> to meet you. I also think it would be appropriate for you to offer to
> pay for the meal, and/or any refreshments that both my aunt and uncle
> would like.
>
> Let me know.
>
> Aaron
>
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

4559. Re: Whats a CB shootout ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 15:42:32 UTC
From: Five Watt Wizard <golden-eagles@juno.com>
Message-ID: <t4ukvbb6b0lb72@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 65993

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001231100021.01195.00002429@ng-ch1.aol.com...
> >Maybe if you learn,to keep
> >your dick
> >out your mouth,you might be a better person
>
> Is that what you did to make yourself a better person, it sounds like
your
> Dick and a bunch of other peoples Dick is still in your Mouth, maybe you
need
> to swallow.

Wow, such grand talk! I haven't heard talk like this since Jr. High!

Five Watt Wizard

4560. Looking 4 Hand Held

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 16:09:05 UTC
From: sascheffey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001231110905.06630.00000394@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Source record: 65994

I guess calling what I'm looking for a hand held is incorrect. I'm looking for a CB that has all of the controls in the mic. The only one that I am aware of is the Cobra 75WX. Any help on other CBs or links to retailers for the 75WX is appreciated.

4561. Re: Illegally Powered Idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 16:41:40 UTC
From: Miles Davis <MDD327@AOL.COM>
Message-ID: <92nnki$n12$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net>
Source record: 65995

"So is this
desirable? I mean, is it considered "good" to sound like crap and be
rude and ill-mannered?"

.........Never mind.

--
.......ain't taking a damn thing back!

Miles Davis
<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:92m3m5$8er$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <20001230192237.19715.00001915@nso-fs.aol.com>,
> icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD (COLD as ICE) wrote:
>
> > COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It
> don't
> > mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!
> >
>
> Hi Ice,
>
> Maybe you can help me clarify something. The whole idea of "swing",
> what is it exactly? I mean, I have seen the meter bouncing around on my
> CB when heavily amplified and distorted illegal miscreants are saying
> swear words and trying to mess up the channels (which they do). Why is
> this a good thing? It doesn't seem to help their transmissions in any
> way. I mean, they still sound awful and overmodulated. So is this
> desirable? I mean, is it considered "good" to sound like crap and be
> rude and ill-mannered?
>
> I don't get it.
>
> PS> Also explain the apple tree thing. Is that some kind of crude sexual
> reference?
>
> Thank you,
>
> Aaron H. Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

4562. Re: DSL Availability In Your Area? ..... gJFjOcAeCkJI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 16:58:39 UTC
From: Train <tra1n@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3BJ36.66337$nM5.10989681@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 65996

I was on DSL until cable became available to me in my neighborhood. My area was just finished up within a month ago and I was one of the first to sign up. Saying that DSL service SUCKS ASS would be doing a grave injustice to ass sucking. If cable is available to you in your area.....GET IT ! ! !

Train
tra1n@aol.com
train@cbmods.com
www.cbmods.com

"tw��." <tw��.@��ur��rr�□.com> wrote in message news:hCy36.332958$3E6.4608584@news1.alsv1.occa.home.com...
> x-no-archive: yes
>
> <DSL_Warning@phxfrfqlea.com> wrote in message
> news:52ADC2D1661A.edmxerhq@wjsncdmvwmc.com...
> > This message concerns anyone who may be considering getting DSL service
> > in the near future.
> > -
> > I strongly urge you to spend some time in COMP.DCOM.XDSL before you chose
> > a provider. If you do, it will become immediately apparent that Verizon,
> > or any of the BabyBells are clearly the worst choices.
> > -
> > The Internet is littered with consumer horror stories from people who
> failed
> > to do any research before signing-up for DSL.
>
> Better choice would to go with cable internet. Lots faster and connected 24
> hours a day.
>
>

4563. Re: Whats a CB shootout ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 17:10:01 UTC
From: phoneman <phoneman01@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <JLJ36.890$qb2.126990@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 65997

It's when some ham skum finally pisses off the wrong cb'er and he goes
over their QTH and starts throwing hot lead in their direction
with his 44mag.
The Phoneman
AKA.... PA644

4564. I don't fault Aaron or N8wwn anymore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 17:16:07 UTC
From: Miles Davis <MDD327@AOL.COM>
Message-ID: <92npl3$np4$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net>
Source record: 65898

If I could tease a bunch of you at the same time I would do it too. What I don't understand is why some of you haven't figured it out yet. If this were on the radio these two ducks would be running 5 watts and you could probably carry on your QSOs whether they were in there or not. For the most part I will assume that this is not a new issue with any of us on the 11-meter band. I'm not going to name anyone but I am very disappointed with some of those the continue to reply to these obvious troll posts.

As far as aaron & n8wwn, don't bother to reply to me, when I replied to your posts you ignored me.

.......ain't taking a damn thing back!

Miles Davis

4565. Re: Not a smart move Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 17:28:42 UTC
From: Miles Davis <MDD327@AOL.COM>
Message-ID: <92nqcp$o2d$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net>
Source record: 65899

I've tried that before. Neither will reply to you.

--
.....ain't taking a damn thing back!

Miles Davis
JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:xpb36.5095$aI6.369018@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I'm wondering ... I noticed a lot of posts from our buddy N8*** were being
> deleted. If I had a bunch of posts that were being deleted, I'd certainly
> start watching what I was saying. I notice I don't see any more posts
from
> that individual. Is it possible he has been banned from the newsgroup? I
> also notice this rec.radio - I assume we should all be having fun and
> communicating about CB and not simply flaming. I will be watching to see
> how Mr Voobner replied to my post regarding his post talking about
> interfearing with communications being childish - I asked him if this
> applied to the newsgroup as well as the radio. Hmmmm ...
>
> 73s from Rochester, NY
> Jim
> <the_weak_one@my-deja.com> wrote in message
> news:92iefd$i9r$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > As I was scanning down through the flamewars of the NG I couldn't help
> > but notice one of my old pals being slammed by you Boobner.
> > Accusing "Phoneman" of build linears is probably not one of the
> > smartest things you have done lately. I wouldn't want to be the one to
> > piss phoneman off. Those of you who have had dealings with him
> > personally and know who he works for know why, I'm sure.
> > This is one guy you want to be your friend, not foe. Trust me.
> > I think the exact phrase Phoneman used before he ridded the
> > rec.radio.cb newsgroup of one of it's more annoying pests like yourself
> > last time was "You know, the interesting thing about the Internet and
> > Newsgroups is that you never know WHO or WHAT the person is that is
> > sitting behind the keyboard of the computer making posts under a
> > certain name". THINK ABOUT THIS STATEMENT Goobner. Maybe you'll be the
> > next pest he turns his attention to. I'm sure it wouldn't hurt the
> > feelings of several of the old timers here. I know it didn't last time
> > he did us a favor......
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>
>

4566. Galaxy 88 Clarifier Info Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 17:29:24 UTC
From: Jeep Dude <mp3z@metacrawler.comREMOVE_THIS>
Message-ID: <U1K36.905083$8u4.17911419@news1.rdc1.bc.home.com>
Source record: 65900

I have an older Galaxy 88 that I would like to get the CORSE clarifier to move 5 Kc(up and down) instead of the 2 up and 2kc down that it does now . Anyone done this or have the information . Much appreciated !!!Thanks

Jeff

mp3z@metacrawler.comREMOVE_TO_REPLY

4567. Re: Best Online CB Store

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 17:45:40 UTC
From: elmerpee@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92nrc3egj1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65901

This is the BEST CB Junk Store i have ever Seen,Lots of GREAT Junk and
Debbie will Gift rap it for FREE too :)

In article <3a4cd77c@news.planetc.com>,
"Dave or Debby" <truth@planetc.com> wrote:
> I believe you are looking for me. What I don't have I can get. E
mail me
> with questions @ truth@planetc.com . Partial CB list @
> http://members.aol.com/larrypahl/dudes2.htm
> I am on line & off line--analog & digital!!!!! A 1 man operation!
Free
> delivery from K town to Cleveland, Ohio, within 2 miles of the tank
trail!
> Dave!!!
>
> "Paul" <P_gilbertson@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3A4C7711.465A0064@hotmail.com...
> I'm looking for a reliable, honest online store with a big inventory
of
> CB products. Any recommendations? Thanks all.
> -Paul
>
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4568. Re: Alternator Bracket

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 18:24:42 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001231132442.17776.00000725@ng-bk1.aol.com>
Source record: 65903

i think its a subtle knock at dave if i'm not mistaking.

>what kinda name is that?
>
><mr_pastor_blaster@my-deja.com>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4569. Re: Whats the calling channels in the USA ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 18:29:25 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001231132925.17776.00000727@ng-bk1.aol.com>
Source record: 65904

27.025
26.835
27.085
all three of those are AM but dont count on being heard by us on those freqs.
27.365 - 27.405 LSB is your better chance

>
>
>I can hear a lot of you guys in the US from here in Australia.
>But what calling channels do you use?
>
>in aus its
>8 - truckers
>9 - emergency
>11 - AM calling
>16 - SSB calling (LSB used)
>35 - unofficial SSB calling (LSB)
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4570. Re: A bust happens from new (read "purposed") law!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 18:29:29 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <92ntu9$nh5@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 65905

Sounds like you should have to sign something. Just like a software agreement. Most of the pamphlets I have seen are not even multi-lingual. law has to be understood and applicable to all.

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message news:Ezb36.145488$IP1.4982392@news1.giganews.com...
> A. The term is called "Implied Consent". You bought the radio, and that
> implied that you would consent to search and seizure upon inspection of your
> station, if in fact it was illegal.
>
> B. The rules of licensing still are the same, however you no longer have to
> "purchase and wait" for said license. Now, as soon as you purchase a CB
> radio, and utilize it in the manner the manufacturer meant (read this, as
> use the radio), you have entered into an agreement with the federal
> government of the US that you will abide by all laws and regulations
> pertaining to CB radio.
>
>
> Ain't that a bitch?
>
>
> Toll Free
>
>
>
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:3A3BB100.6CF8@bellsouth.net...
> > While you are correct that FCC agents cannot come into your house
> > without permission, they have the right to inspect your equipment. Now
> > if they are allowed into your home, they may NOT "search" for anything,
> > i.e. rifle thru drawers, examine personal papers, etc. But when one
> > obtains a license or operates a CB radio, he gives "tacit" approval for
> > FCC to inspect their radio station. When/If an FCC agent comes to your
> > door, he already has a pretty good idea that *you* are the source of
> > whatever difficulty at hand. Their equipment is very good. If the
> > agents are not allowed to inspect the station at the time of the
> > "offense", then it gives the "offender" a chance to get rid of the amp,
> > modded ham radio, etc, that drew FCC's attention. So, if you refuse the
> > "surprise" inspection, this is a case where you will still get some sort
> > of citation, fine, or whatever. To refuse inspection is a violation in
> > and of itself, so ya just can't win, eh?

> >
> > 73
> >
> > Jerry
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > wlfdad@my-deja.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > I'm just curious - could someone who's a little more familiar with
> > > the law
> > > > clairify if the law requires the officer to obtain a search warrent,
> > > or do they
> > > > operate under the same authority as the FCC does to inspect you
> > > equipment at
> > > > any time, thus negating the need for a warrant? If that's the case,
> > > without a
> > > > warrant the cop couldn't arrest you for the crack you have sitting
> > > next to your
> > > > CB, but he could take the illegal amp you're running.. again, I am
> > > not totally
> > > > familiar with the law, so I don't know if they need a warrant..
> > > anyone know?
> > > I am familiar with law in general(Not saying how) ANY Search needs a
> > > warrant...this warrant needs to state exactly WHAT they are searching
> > > for and WHERE their going to find and/or search for it! My bag of
crack
> > > falls under a different area of the law and believe it or not...if
this
> > > happened the person searched could get a GOOD lawyer and get off on
the
> > > crack charges! You don't need to take my word for it...ask a COP or a
> > > LAWYER. It's kind of A loop hole...people who get busted know they did
> > > wrong so they don't fight it. NOBODY can search my house without a
> > > warrant stating what they are looking for...not even the FEDS!
> > >
> > > Sent via Deja.com
> > > http://www.deja.com/
> >
>
>

4571. Re: Whats a CB shootout ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 18:33:04 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231133304.23142.00008056@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 65906

henderson928@cs.com, while porking his 9 year old sister and simultaneously carpet munching his mother, typed:

>You got,a nasty mouth .You mud-duck,that"s
>what is wrong with you people.You think,you
>are all that.But you don"t run nothing,but your
>big fat mouth.Before you call,CBer"s jerk -off
>you need to take you dick,and stick it in your
>big fat mouth,and then take it and jerk-off in your mouth .So you can shut up
>that mouth,Oh
>I forgot you people ,you might like jerk-off you dick.You,aren"t no
>man,seem
>like you are person,that like to talk about people.Maybe if you learn,to keep
>your dick
>out your mouth,you might be a better person

N8wwm sparklingly replied:
This moron says I have a nasty mouth?
Go screw you sister, you pig. I heard that your mom knows when your sister is on the rag because yer pee pee tastes different to her then.

4572. Re: PLEASE DON'T FEED THE TROLLS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 18:35:51 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231133551.23142.00008057@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 65907

They cannot resist. They are little monkey children with no brains.

4573. Re: To illegal CB operators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 18:37:41 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231133741.23142.00008058@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 65910

Twistiekins drooled:
I have a better idea. Tell us what you profess to know, how you have
>"inside" connections, and let the people decide whether to believe you
>or not. I for one, know better, and no amount of crying, sniveling,
>whining, bitching and moaning is gonna change your wishes to reality.
>Local ordinances must first be enacted before they can be enforced.

N8wwm sparklingly replied:
Yer day is coming, ya inbred sister raping hunk of hog turd.

4574. Dave and Debbie are IDIOTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 18:39:01 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231133901.23142.00008059@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 65909

Your fucked up moronic website proves it.

4575. ILLEGAL c-2000 FOR SALE BY A MORON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 18:40:14 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231134014.23142.00008060@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 65908

```
>Subject: c-2000
>From: schwerd5@aol.com (SCHWERD5)
>Date: 12/30/2000 21:51 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <20001230215141.11809.00000588@ng-cd1.aol.com>
>
>8 pill cookie amp for sale. less than 8 hrs. talking time on amp .excellent
>cond.packaged up and ready for shipping. 400.00+ 12.50
>shipping.SCHWERD5@aol.com. master cylinder back out.
>
>
>
>
>
>
```

4576. Ok, hackers, who is curious dan?He is a FAGGY ILLEGAL OP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 18:41:53 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231134153.23142.00008061@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 65911

HAHAHAHAHAHA

cd is out...porking his mother

4577. Re: MURDER In rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 18:43:47 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231134347.23142.00008062@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 65912

>squeezehizPEEPEE@TWATmail.com
dribbled:

>Do ya think???
>

N8wwm sparklingly replied:
I think, but it is a certainty you do not.

4578. RATBASTARD IS A DICKHEAD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 18:48:31 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231134831.23142.00008064@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 65913

Twatface ratb gurgled through the dog sperm he drank:

>Go Troll the
>kiddie porno NG you will fit in better
>

N8wwm SPARKLINGLY replied:
Yer daddy's in the jailhouse, yer mama's out on bail...yer sister's on the corner yellin' "BOOTIE FOR SALE"
Last time ya seen her, ya aint seen her since, she was sukkin'' off a boot lip thru a bob wire fence.

4579. Scottiekins is a MORON!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 18:57:05 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231135705.23142.00008066@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 65914

He is one of those inbred baby rapin' fags who needs to feel superior to others by showing off his ability to find out things about them. Big freakin' deal, ya dork slurper. It just shows how much you fear being identified. I do not.
The asshole did that with me, posting my QRZ.com data on this NG. Whoopie. Let the rat brained little PUSSY come and visit. All these CB dorks are a big bunch of foul mouthed PUSSIES who can't do shit. That's why the get so upset at the GREAT N8wwm. They KNOW I am right and the PUSSIES can't take it...Right, Scottiekins...you queerbait piece of shit. I bet you still live with yer mommy.Betcha ya screw her, too. Pig shit hunk of filth.

4580. Re: c-2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 19:15:40 UTC
From: egabr127@aol.com
Message-ID: <20001231141540.01844.00000459@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Source record: 65915

about how many watts will i get, with a stock alt. in a 1992 caprice .

I think the veh. does 13.5 volts.
how many watts should i look for ??

4581. Henderson928 is a dickhead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 19:25:33 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231142533.11868.00000759@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 65916

```
>From: henderson928@cs.com (HENDERSON928)
>Date: 12/31/2000 9:06 AM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <20001231090647.15735.00000010@ng-cj1.news.cs.com>
>
>Still,running that big fat mouth.
>

Yer mama is too...right on my tool, moron.
```

4582. We already know who cd is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 19:26:44 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231142644.11868.00000760@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 65917

He is a penis loving loudmouth CB dweeb...That is enough to know.

Ya QUEERBOY!

4583. Re: Looking 4 Hand Held

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 19:32:53 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <FRL36.212453$U46.6479067@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 65918

The midland 79-820 is great little handheld that has a mobile adapter to connect it into car power and an external antenna.

This basically turns the radio into a unit with all the controls on the face.

I love this radio as it has scan, dual watch, instant 9/19, and weather channels to boot.

I think it has 4 memory locations too. The reason for that is it can be modified to 400 channels and gets a bit cumbersome to operate through all those channels.

For the price its a great little unit.

model 79-822 comes with that adapter

I've used it on many trips and works great with it's full legal power when connected to the cars electrical system

"m2steven" <m2steven@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:bLK36.130$wz3.10103@news2.atl...
i purchased one of these for Christmas. It was horrible. Has no noise blanker and the speaker is weak and tinny. I returned it for a Nightwatch model. What a great little radio, and what a great feature Nightwatch is. Trust me on the handheld.
"SAScheffey" <sascheffey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001231110905.06630.00000394@ng-mb1.aol.com...
> I guess calling what I'm looking for a hand held is incorrect. I'm looking for
> a CB that has all of the controls in the mic. The only one that I am aware of
> is the Cobra 75WX. Any help on other CBs or links to retailers for the 75WX is
> appreciated.

4584. Re: Looking 4 Hand Held

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 19:35:19 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <XTL36.212469$U46.6479732@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 65919

I messed up the model numbers - its a 75-820 not 79-820

The midland 75-820 is great little handheld that has a mobile adapter to connect it into car power and an external antenna.

This basically turns the radio into a unit with all the controls on the face.

I love this radio as it has scan, dual watch, instant 9/19, and weather channels to boot.

I think it has 4 memory locations too. The reason for that is it can be modified to 400 channels and gets a bit cumbersome to operate through all those channels.

For the price its a great little unit.

model 75-822 comes with that adapter

I've used it on many trips and works great with it's full legal power when connected to the cars electrical system

"SAScheffey" <sascheffey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20001231110905.06630.00000394@ng-mb1.aol.com...
I guess calling what I'm looking for a hand held is incorrect. I'm looking
for
a CB that has all of the controls in the mic. The only one that I am aware
of
is the Cobra 75WX. Any help on other CBs or links to retailers for the 75WX
is
appreciated.

4585. Re: My new Alt set up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 19:36:31 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A4F8ABF.754A093A@qnet.com>
Source record: 66066

Hello Jeff: Yeah I'll bet that thing sounds bitchen.

Hello Brass: Now did we do our engineering homework? I'll bet there's
room for another alternator or two!

Just trying to help.

Jay in the Mojave

Jeff Mayner wrote:
>
> Goodness...
> You should post a .wav of what that sounds like! :-)
>
> Jeff
>
> "brass" <cc@knology.net> wrote in message
> news:3A4D73FE.628D5585@knology.net...
> > These gears do the walkin . . .
> > so I can do the talking
> >
> > Brass
> > [Image]
> >
>
> ---
> ----
>
> > These gears do the walkin . . .
> > so I can do the talking
> > Brass
> >
> >
> >

4586. Re: TRW Swap Meet Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 19:41:54 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3A4F8C02.D14447FA@qnet.com>
Source record: 66072

Hello Steve: No you should have slid on over and jumped in the conversation. Nothing real serious, just shooting the bull with a few of the SSSS boys.

Slide on over to the Mojave Desert Antenna Range, we is ah BBQ'ing and havin way too much beer. Randy has some unsafe and insain fire works.

I'll give ya a call.....

Jay in the Mojave

SBauer9126 wrote:
>
> jay i saw you over at the north west coner talking to afew guys. looked all
> serious so i left you along
>
> Steve at Night Owl Radio

4587. Re: Psycho Alien Race and "MASS" Murders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 20:00:46 UTC
From: Dan Yemiola <Dyemiola@LexComInc.Net>
Message-ID: <3A4F906E.32BE7303@LexComInc.Net>
Source record: 65920
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.uk

jukeboy wrote:

> Perhaps some members of our alien race who live near this bothersome
> Earthling can beam him up to the mothership so we can give him a
> couple of implants before jettisoning him out in one of our
> chem-trails near where our black helicopters patrol.
>
> May the starchildren prevail in our struggle for the universe!!!
>
> Klattu

Klattu!

No black helicopters are available today.
The AlGorythm reboot/ repositioning proagram is in full operation.

Is the beam up code for the implant bay 7(sqr rt pi)x7 or 8(sqr rt)x7?

6 of 9at Borg earth base 72

4588. Re: Looking 4 Hand Held

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 20:07:01 UTC
From: m2steven <m2steven@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <bLK36.130$wz3.10103@news2.atl>
Source record: 65902

i purchased one of these for Christmas. It was horrible. Has no noise blanker and the speaker is weak and tinny. I returned it for a Nightwatch model. What a great little radio, and what a great feature Nightwatch is. Trust me on the handheld.
"SAScheffey" <sascheffey@aol.com> wrote in message news:20001231110905.06630.00000394@ng-mb1.aol.com...
> I guess calling what I'm looking for a hand held is incorrect. I'm looking for
> a CB that has all of the controls in the mic. The only one that I am aware of
> is the Cobra 75WX. Any help on other CBs or links to retailers for the 75WX is
> appreciated.

4589. Smoke came out HELP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 20:54:51 UTC
From: IBM233@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26294-3A4F9D1B-10@storefull-217.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 65929

My Eagle Sidewinder 400 has been in the closet for 3 months because I got a new Grant XLT. I took the old Sidewinder out last night, hooked it up to the A99 and power supply, went to talk and got a little puff of smoke out the back and a nice wif of burning electronics. I looked inside and found R125 completely burnt to a crisp. It even blackened R135 next to it. It still receives but doesn't transmit. Everything else works on the radio including the PA. What does R125 do, why did it "melt down" and can it be fixed? Thanks....

4590. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 20:55:32 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-B7E716.15481031122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 65921

In article <3a4cf3dd.550458@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> The other example is using 25 ohm transmission line, which is actually
> two equal lengths of 50 ohm coax in parallel. Doing a quick calc for a
> series section transformer yields 0.825' of 25 ohm transmission line
> (2 x 0.825' of RG-8) followed by 2.484' of RG-8. Total length is
> 3.309', and total length of RG-8 used is 4.134'.
>
> The amount of 50 ohm coax needed to match a 37 ohm load to a 50 ohm
> source is 4.134 feet.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

This is all wrong. Are you sure you are even talking about a
series section transformer? What you describe simply does not work.

Show us your quick calcs.

4591. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 20:58:36 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-B6061A.15511531122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 65922

In article <d1eq4tk53al6gauc1gtbin67l0chv0n6qs@4ax.com>,
codyspc@iserv.net wrote:

> Greetings!
>
> Ok, this is interesting. I realize that dealing with a purely
> resistive load, the issue of RC is moot.
>
> In a typical install, the RC value is important. We see SWR issues
> thanks to that value - and pertains to coax lengths and antenna
> reactance.
>
> It should not be discounted in the real world.
>
> What do you think?

I think you are talking nonsense. Nothing is being discounted.
What is this "RC" value that is so important to a typical install?

4592. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 21:00:22 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-0140A3.15530031122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 65923

Why don't you "start simple" by answering my question?

In article <pu4p4totgi6ihu0vrm9muhio0pss3ji0cl@4ax.com>,
codyspc@iserv.net wrote:

> Greetings!
>
> Let's start simple...
> Remove the 1/8 wavelength.
>
> I'm willing to settle for 1.7 SWR - I don't know about you. Especially
> if it's pure resistive. No sense in adding to this problem.
>
> On Wed, 27 Dec 2000 03:28:27 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
> the Mouse, Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com> dissertation the
> following:
>
> :+> In article <dltg4tc8teqjt15aghccv12n16u88etc4u@4ax.com>,
> :+> codyspc@iserv.net wrote:
> :+>
> :+> > Greetings!
> :+> >
> :+> > Thank you. The basis is, antenna is not reactive, provides 37 ohms
> :+> > resistive at frequency of interest.
> :+> >
> :+> > Therefore, antenna and ground image will offer same impedance, 37 ohms
> :+> > non reactive to any length.
> :+>
> :+> Well, if I add 1/8 wavelength of 50 ohm coax, the impedance becomes
> :+> 47.8 + j14.6 ohms. This has the reactive component that you claim
> :+> is necessary and sufficient. So, what length of 50 ohm coax do I need
> :+> to match this now?
>
>
> Regards!
> :+> Andy <+:

4593. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 21:02:37 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-13F76F.15551631122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 65924

Just answer the question. You said coax length trim can help you
if you have a reactive jX component.

I gave you an impedance that fits that description. Show us how
to make it better.

You dance around questions more than a politician.

In article <d25p4t0bstuvgq2d78m6c58cskigrpjla5@4ax.com>,
codyspc@iserv.net wrote:

> Greetings!
>
> First, you might want to check the antenna, it may be assembled
> incorrectly.
>
> Did you check the mount?
>
> Remember, the trouble with antennas, is not just the reactance.
>
> Seeing this type of results lends be to believe that the problem lies
> in the coaxial connections. Do you have an open ground or are we
> measuring at the feedpoint?
>
> Either way, the classic result is that if the antenna and mount can be
> fixed first - let's do it.
>
> How am I to trust your word that this antenna will be resonate at the
> specific frequency? A 3:1 SWR - are you sure you're not seeing that
> now?
>
>
>
> On Wed, 27 Dec 2000 03:25:11 GMT, while the modem was ice fishing with
> the Mouse, Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com> dissertation the
> following:
>
> :+> In article <pi3g4t0m9vpasmrlqs5qm9ibt4s9mj9org@4ax.com>,
> :+> codyspc@iserv.net wrote:
> :+>
> :+> > Greetings!
> :+> >
> :+> > Sorry Doc, no reactive jX component - can't apply.
> :+> >
> :+> > That's the difference!

> :+> >
> :+> > If you had a reactive jX component - coax length trim can help you.
> :+> >
> :+> > So therefore, to answer your question - you simply have a resistive
> :+> > load, with no reactive elements - you will never achieve the 1:1 SWR.
> :+> >
> :+> > Is an SWR of 1.7 acceptable?
> :+>
> :+>
> :+> OK, smart guy, if I have an antenna impedance of 50 + j57.75 ohms,
> :+> what length of 50 ohm coax do I need to bring down this 3:1 SWR?
>
>
> Regards!
> :+> Andy <+:

4594. Re: FS.RG214u Coax Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 21:06:15 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A4F9FC7.DAC4F86E@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65930

Would you like some cheese with that whine?

HENDERSON928 wrote:
>
> It you want the,coax cable buy it.If not shut
> your mouth.

4595. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 21:07:30 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-856220.16000831122000@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 65925

In article <3a4cf886.1743484@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

> On Wed, 27 Dec 2000 20:33:20 GMT, sparky@cet.com (Sparky) wrote:
>
> >>Not so. I explained the contradiction. The one formula -- THE
> >>FORMULA THAT YOU RELY ON -- is incorrect. Either the author is
> >>incorrect or it is a typographical error. You have not given any
> >>explanation how your equation can be correct despite your claims
> >>of knowing the theory.
> >
> >. . . then it really doesn't matter what kind of reference I use, does
> >it? You will just say that the author is wrong, or that it has a typo.
> >Or call me a liar. So I offered that you can provide a reference that
> >even _you_ don't disagree with. I don't have a problem with that, do
> >you?
>
> It has been a couple days, can't you find a reference that you don't
> disagree with?

Let's analyze this discussion. I've shown the ARRL Handbook to
contradict the formula that you use. You offer no explanation
of how you could possibly be correct but you want me to find more
references.

> >>I get no response from the librarians in Indiana.
> >>
> >>I've got plenty of references. I already quoted the ARRL Handbook
> >>section which contradicts your equation but you can't seem to find
> >>any explanation for the contradiction.
> >
> >Email me a list. I'll see what I have and what I can get. I'll do the
> >same. Any problem with that?
>
> Is Indiana still shut down? What about your other references? If you
> are afraid of disclosing your true identity through email, then just
> post your list of references, and I'll do the same. Do you want my
> list first?

I'm not even at work. It's Christmas. I'll be back in the new year.
By the way, it is YOUR references that I am looking for. If you could
just quote them verbatim, we could discuss them now -- but you refuse.

4596. Re: Looking 4 Hand Held

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 21:08:13 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a4fa1c7@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 65926

Nightwatch Smitewatch--all are Chinese JUNK! Especially JUNK is the 75wx or any other unit like the HH-70. I have some 70LTD's left. They are the older, GOOD ones! E mail me if interested. Also have some NOS Hy-gain 9's left and used ones--the 1st hidden type ever made. They made the 40 channel as 50 channel units!

Actually these units are NOT called "Hand Held." Call them "everything in the Mic" units. Hand held refers to Walki Talkies or walk around units. These units above are controls in the mic, and the CB is in a box hooked to it. But the newer units ARE JUNK because nothing much is in the box and EVERYTHING is in the mic--coax and all! Coax goes down IN the mic cord ! JUNK JUNK JUNK !! The older units (67, 70 LTD's are a LOT bettter!!!!!!!!!!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!

"m2steven" <m2steven@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:bLK36.130$wz3.10103@news2.atl...
i purchased one of these for Christmas. It was horrible. Has no noise blanker and the speaker is weak and tinny. I returned it for a Nightwatch model. What a great little radio, and what a great feature Nightwatch is. Trust me on the handheld.
"SAScheffey" <sascheffey@aol.com> wrote in message news:20001231110905.06630.00000394@ng-mb1.aol.com...
> I guess calling what I'm looking for a hand held is incorrect. I'm looking for
> a CB that has all of the controls in the mic. The only one that I am aware of
> is the Cobra 75WX. Any help on other CBs or links to retailers for the 75WX is
> appreciated.

4597. Happy end of Y2K !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 21:15:52 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a4fa392$1@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 65927

Yep, Happy New Year to all, and hopefully it will be better than Y2K !

Will CB last through 2001? Will it make it to 2058, it's 100th anniversary?
Will we be here then? Lord Willing we will be!!!!

Think of Y2K scare a year ago. Did your CB go out? Think of CB in a year
from now. Will it be better? It is up to YOU to make it better!!!!!!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

4598. Re: c-2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 21:17:29 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69 Chesapeake Bay)" <upplus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3A4FA269.B595A011@hotmail.com>
Source record: 65928

You'll never run an 8-pill with a stock alternator.

EGABR127 wrote:
>
> about how many watts will i get, with a stock alt. in a 1992 caprice .
>
> I think the veh. does 13.5 volts.
> how many watts should i look for ??

4599. Re: I don't fault Aaron or N8wwn anymore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 21:19:50 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92o7thnha1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65935

In article <92npl3$np4$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net>,
"Miles Davis" <MDD327@AOL.COM> wrote:
> If I could tease a bunch of you at the same time I would do it too.
What I
> don't understand is why some of you haven't figured it out yet. If
this were
> on the radio these two ducks would be running 5 watts and you could
probably
> carry on your QSOs whether they were in there or not. For the most
part I
> will assume that this is not a new issue with any of us on the
11-meter
> band. I'm not going to name anyone but I am very disappointed with
some of
> those the continue to reply to these obvious troll posts.
>
> As far as aaron & n8wwn, don't bother to reply to me, when I replied
to your
> posts you ignored me.
>
> ....ain't taking a damn thing back!
>
> Miles Davis
>
>

Excuse me Mr. Davis,

I only would have ignored you if you hurled an obscenity or an insult. Otherwise, I try my best to answer any and all questions that people have for me.

One thing bugs me though about your response.

You imply that it's normal to "talk over" CB conversations of people you don't like. As if RF power solves everything. That, sir, is rude. And ignorant. 11 meters (as it was conceived by the FCC) is a party line, a shared resource, a cooperative public property. No one has the right to take over this resource so that others can't use it.

I am also disturbed by your inference that 5 watt stations are somehow inferior and shameful. You make it sound nerdy and stupid to be running a legal CB station. As if more power makes you a more serious radio hobbyist. It does not. Just ask Mr.137 (who has the courage to operate legally).

Lastly, the real Miles Davis is an artist who's musical innovations are shared with the world. His music brings pleasure to others. Its purpose is not to intimidate or take advantage.

Shame on you for being a bully and an elitist, sir.

Most sincerely,
Aaron H. Voobner

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4600. Re: Whats a CB shootout ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 21:20:43 UTC
From: Joe <joeamp@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <92o7rm$hm6$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 65933

I was not trolling
The reason I asked this was I heard some wacko's on my R-390 on 3960 talking
about truckers with 3KW's.
These guys were complaining (nothing new with them drunks) that 2000 watts
is nothing anymore saying "these guys are nuts"
The he talked about truckers having shootouts in parking lots, some burning
up there stuff.

Barbequed Chicken wrote in message <92lqem$9kd$1@bob.news.rcn.net>...
> Trollometer
>0 3 5 7 9 +10 +20 +30
> \
> \
> \
> \
> \oooooo
>
>
>
>"Joe" <joeamp@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>news:92lomoobg1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
>> Whats a CB shootout ?
>>
>>
>
>

4601. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 21:25:42 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a4fa398.677609@news.cet.com>
Source record: 65934

On Sun, 31 Dec 2000 20:55:32 GMT, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>This is all wrong. Are you sure you are even talking about a
>series section transformer? What you describe simply does not work.

Just out of curiosity I wired one up yesterday. I used two 10 inch pieces of RG-8 for the 25 ohm section and 30 inches of the same to the antenna. It works fine with a roof-mounted 9' whip (no, I don't drive with that one attached), and gave a 1:1.1 SWR at the radio with three different lengths of feedline. Field strength was the same as with the L-match.

It works so well that I am going to make that permanent on my mobile, and do a bit of testing with other antennas.

>Show us your quick calcs.

I used hamcalc. Good program.

Sparky, FLRC

4602. Re: Illegally Powered MODULATED Idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 21:26:50 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a4fa671@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 65931

Let me explain it to you as I, a CB shop of 21 years+ sees it. "Swing" isn't some kind of redneck music from some by-gone Hippy era, but it is the result of dummies putting modulation kits in (the VERY WORST CB shops in the land put them in) which make the CB's TOO LOUD because the power is put too LOW (I know yo hambos will love this). The problem is that these young WHIPPER SNAPPER CB shop DUMMIES is they actually believe and think that this OVER modulation will get them out FURTHER than more output power! Ain't dey DUMB?! Dey IS da most dumb!
So you got thousands of these DUMMIES doing this all over da land, while you have the few GOOD places taking them out to restore MORE range and sanity! So you monkeys QUIT swinging from dem trees, and come back to the real world. Swing will only get you far in tarzan's world. Dem BIG Power bros & boys know dat it's da POWER that does it, NOT da modgulasion!!!!!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Miles Davis" <MDD327@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:92nnki$n12$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net...
"So is this
desirable? I mean, is it considered "good" to sound like crap and be
rude and ill-mannered?"

.........Never mind.

--
.......ain't taking a damn thing back!

Miles Davis
<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:92m3m5$8er$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> In article <20001230192237.19715.00001915@nso-fs.aol.com>,
> icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD (COLD as ICE) wrote:
>
> > COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It
> don't
> > mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!
> >
>
> Hi Ice,
>
> Maybe you can help me clarify something. The whole idea of "swing",
> what is it exactly? I mean, I have seen the meter bouncing around on my
> CB when heavily amplified and distorted illegal miscreants are saying
> swear words and trying to mess up the channels (which they do). Why is
> this a good thing? It doesn't seem to help their transmissions in any
> way. I mean, they still sound awful and overmodulated. So is this

> desirable? I mean, is it considered "good" to sound like crap and be
> rude and ill-mannered?
>
> I don't get it.
>
> PS> Also explain the apple tree thing. Is that some kind of crude sexual
> reference?
>
> Thank you,
>
> Aaron H. Voobner
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

4603. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 21:30:28 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a4fa528.1077793@news.cet.com>
Source record: 65932

On Sun, 31 Dec 2000 20:58:36 GMT, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>I think you are talking nonsense. Nothing is being discounted.
>What is this "RC" value that is so important to a typical install?

Can't you read? Oh, I forgot . . .

On Thu, 28 Dec 2000 20:13:43 GMT, jdaggett@gate.net wrote:

<snip>
>RC = (ZO - ZL)/(ZL + ZO)
>
>where RC is the reflection coefficient
> ZL is the load impedance
> ZO is the characteristic impedance of the transmission line

Sparky, FLRC

4604. Re: Not a smart move Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 21:31:23 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92o8jc$o7k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 65937

> JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:xpb36.5095$aI6.369018@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > I'm wondering ... I noticed a lot of posts from our buddy N8*** were
being
> > deleted. If I had a bunch of posts that were being deleted, I'd
certainly
> > start watching what I was saying. I notice I don't see any more
posts
> from
> > that individual. Is it possible he has been banned from the
newsgroup? I
> > also notice this rec.radio - I assume we should all be having fun
and
> > communicating about CB and not simply flaming. I will be watching
to see
> > how Mr Voobner replied to my post regarding his post talking about
> > interfearing with communications being childish - I asked him if
this
> > applied to the newsgroup as well as the radio. Hmmmm ...
> >
> > 73s from Rochester, NY

In article <92nqcp$o2d$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net>,
"Miles Davis" <MDD327@AOL.COM> wrote:
> I've tried that before. Neither will reply to you.
>
> --
> ....ain't taking a damn thing back!
>
> Miles Davis

Gentlemen,

I have replied extensively to both of your queries. Put on your reading
glasses.

Aaron

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4605. Re: Coax Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 21:43:30 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a4fa591.1182700@news.cet.com>
Source record: 65936

On Sun, 31 Dec 2000 21:07:30 GMT, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>> >. . . then it really doesn't matter what kind of reference I use, does
>> >it? You will just say that the author is wrong, or that it has a typo.
>> >Or call me a liar. So I offered that you can provide a reference that
>> >even _you_ don't disagree with. I don't have a problem with that, do
>> >you?
>>
>> It has been a couple days, can't you find a reference that you don't
>> disagree with?
>
>Let's analyze this discussion. Blah, blah, blah . . .

I guess you do have a problem with that.

>I'm not even at work. It's Christmas.

It's New Year's Eve. So both you and your "company" keep the same
schedule as the public schools?

>By the way, it is YOUR references that I am looking for. If you could
>just quote them verbatim, we could discuss them now -- but you refuse.

again,

>> >. . . then it really doesn't matter what kind of reference I use, does
>> >it? You will just say that the author is wrong, or that it has a typo.
>> >Or call me a liar. So I offered that you can provide a reference that
>> >even _you_ don't disagree with. I don't have a problem with that, do
>> >you?
>>
>> It has been a couple days, can't you find a reference that you don't
>> disagree with?
>
>Let's analyze this discussion. Blah, blah, blah . . .

I guess you do have a problem with that.

How many times are you going to run this circle to avoid the issue?

Sparky, FLRC

4606. For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 21:43:54 UTC
From: Lou <tech@dp.net>
Message-ID: <92o97q$45j$1@news.dp.net>
Source record: 66051

The following items are on Clearance sale and therefore not returnable. They are however, NEW in package or box. They are priced as shown.

4 - CB/10 Meter Base Station Antennas. Listed for $79.95. Their successor models list for $69.95

These are being sold for $60.00 (shipped). You save at least $10!

3 - 12 VDC 3 Amp rated Cigarette Lighter adapters with on/off switch. $3.00 - shipping extra.

1 set of CB - 3 channel 2 watt walkie talkies good for Camping or traveling come equipped with Channel 14. Regularly $39.99. Sell for $30 each or pair for $50.00

PA residents, must pay 6% tax.

We have other items available, including CB sets and so on. Pics available via e-mail.
Year end clearance. Lots of goodies!

Orders paid by Postal Money Order ship same day except Saturdays.
Checks must clear the bank. Sorry, but we do not accept Credit Cards nor store bought Money Orders.
--
Thank you,

Lou/Tech@DP.NET

C.L. Furlong Enterprises
514 Railroad Street
P.O. Box 289
Stockdale, PA 15483
Phone: 724-938-7808
E-Mail: Tech@DP.NET

Electronics: Repairs, Parts (new & salvage), Older AND New manuals covering such areas as Service, Training, Study, FCC License Service for Amateur, Commercial and 2 way radio station licenses.

Gifts: New items for most anyone or occasion and Fund Raising plans.

Also, year round Hamfest and Flea market tables, featuring lots of good deals on used items such as ham items, test equipment, CBs, one of a kinds, slightly blemished items, returns, etc. If you are looking for anything no matter how strange it may seem, e-mail or call us. If we don't have it, we may be able to get it.

4607. Re: Illegally Powered MODULATED Idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 21:44:11 UTC
From: Rat Bastard <ratb@ratsnest.com>
Message-ID: <LMN36.2101$uc4.758570@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 65941

shame your so stupid after 21 years then, if you peak a radio and it keys up
at 4 watts and swings to 8 watts rms i peak one with super swing it keys up
at 2 watts and swings to 8 rms don't they both do the same power (8 watts)
but whch will sound louder?

"Dave or Debby" <truth@planetc.com> wrote in message
news:3a4fa671@news.planetc.com...
> Let me explain it to you as I, a CB shop of 21 years+ sees it. "Swing"
> isn't some kind of redneck music from some by-gone Hippy era, but it is
the
> result of dummies putting modulation kits in (the VERY WORST CB shops in
the
> land put them in) which make the CB's TOO LOUD because the power is put
too
> LOW (I know yo hambos will love this). The problem is that these young
> WHIPPER SNAPPER CB shop DUMMIES is they actually believe and think that
this
> OVER modulation will get them out FURTHER than more output power! Ain't
dey
> DUMB?! Dey IS da most dumb!
> So you got thousands of these DUMMIES doing this all over da land, while
you
> have the few GOOD places taking them out to restore MORE range and sanity!
> So you monkeys QUIT swinging from dem trees, and come back to the real
> world. Swing will only get you far in tarzan's world. Dem BIG Power bros
&
> boys know dat it's da POWER that does it, NOT da modgulasion!!!!!
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> "Miles Davis" <MDD327@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:92nnki$n12$1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net...
> "So is this
> desirable? I mean, is it considered "good" to sound like crap and be
> rude and ill-mannered?"
>
> ....Never mind.
>
>
>
> --
> ....ain't taking a damn thing back!
>
> Miles Davis
> <aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message

> news:92m3m5$8er$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> > In article <20001230192237.19715.00001915@nso-fs.aol.com>,
> > icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD (COLD as ICE) wrote:
> >
> > > COLD as ICE and TWICE as NICE.. Mr. ICECOLD BIG APPLE TREES says.. It
> > don't
> > > mean a THING if you ain't got that SWING!!!!!!!
> > >
> >
> > Hi Ice,
> >
> > Maybe you can help me clarify something. The whole idea of "swing",
> > what is it exactly? I mean, I have seen the meter bouncing around on my
> > CB when heavily amplified and distorted illegal miscreants are saying
> > swear words and trying to mess up the channels (which they do). Why is
> > this a good thing? It doesn't seem to help their transmissions in any
> > way. I mean, they still sound awful and overmodulated. So is this
> > desirable? I mean, is it considered "good" to sound like crap and be
> > rude and ill-mannered?
> >
> > I don't get it.
> >
> > PS> Also explain the apple tree thing. Is that some kind of crude sexual
> > reference?
> >
> > Thank you,
> >
> > Aaron H. Voobner
> >
> >
> > Sent via Deja.com
> > http://www.deja.com/
>
>
>

4608. Phoneman is a dork

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 21:46:57 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231164657.01039.00000060@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 65938

>ubject: Re: Whats a CB shootout ?
>From: "phoneyman" phoneyman01@twatmail.com
>Date: 12/31/2000 12:10 PM Eastern Standard Time

>It's when some ham skum finally pisses off the wrong cb'er and he goes
>over their QTH and starts throwing hot lead in their direction
>with his 44mag.
>The Phoneman
>

Only "skum" think like that phoneyboy.

4609. Re: Whats a CB shootout ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 21:47:29 UTC
From: Rat Bastard <ratb@ratsnest.com>
Message-ID: <RPN36.2103$uc4.759625@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 65940

the truckers dont usally have time to sit for any kinda shootout they sleep
and drive no time for play when you get paid per mile

"Joe" <joeamp@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:92o7rm$hm6$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> I was not trolling
> The reason I asked this was I heard some wacko's on my R-390 on 3960
talking
> about truckers with 3KW's.
> These guys were complaining (nothing new with them drunks) that 2000 watts
> is nothing anymore saying "these guys are nuts"
> The he talked about truckers having shootouts in parking lots, some
burning
> up there stuff.
>
>
> Barbequed Chicken wrote in message <92lqem$9kd$1@bob.news.rcn.net>...
> > Trollometer
> >0 3 5 7 9 +10 +20 +30
> > \
> > \
> > \
> > \
> > \oooooo
> >
> >
> >
> >"Joe" <joeamp@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> >news:92lomoobg1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> >> Whats a CB shootout ?
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>
>

4610. Re: Illegally Powered MODULATED Idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 21:52:10 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a4fa976.2179588@news.cet.com>
Source record: 65939

On Sun, 31 Dec 2000 16:26:50 -0500, "Dave or Debby"
<truth@planetc.com> wrote:

>Let me explain it to you as I, a CB shop of 21 years+ sees it. "Swing"
>isn't some kind of redneck music from some by-gone Hippy era, but it is the
>result of dummies putting modulation kits in (the VERY WORST CB shops in the
>land put them in) which make the CB's TOO LOUD because the power is put too
>LOW (I know yo hambos will love this). The problem is that these young
>WHIPPER SNAPPER CB shop DUMMIES is they actually believe and think that this
>OVER modulation will get them out FURTHER than more output power! Ain't dey
>DUMB?! Dey IS da most dumb!

I get at least one person a month that comes back after a fix and
says, "The needle doesn't move anymore. What's wrong?" I don't think
they really care if anyone can understand their audio (not that it is
intelligible anyway), they just like to see the little needle move.

Sparky, FLRC

4611. ILLEGAL Galaxy 88 Clarifier Info Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 21:53:05 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231165305.01039.00000061@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 65944

Having variable transmit frequency capability, except by the channel selector, is illegal on 11 meters. Hell, that Galaxy crap is an illegal radio, for that matter.

I hope this post will help you to maintain legal operation on the cb band.

4612. Re: Smoke came out HELP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 21:54:02 UTC
From: Professor <bgriffey@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <92o9tp$p3k$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 66049

Sounds like you hooked the power up backwards... did you?

In article <26294-3A4F9D1B-10@storefull-217.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
IBM233@webtv.net (Chris) wrote:
> My Eagle Sidewinder 400 has been in the closet for 3 months because I
> got a new Grant XLT. I took the old Sidewinder out last night, hooked
it
> up to the A99 and power supply, went to talk and got a little puff of
> smoke out the back and a nice wif of burning electronics. I looked
> inside and found R125 completely burnt to a crisp. It even blackened
> R135 next to it. It still receives but doesn't transmit. Everything
else
> works on the radio including the PA. What does R125 do, why did
it "melt
> down" and can it be fixed? Thanks....
>
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4613. Re: MURDER In rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 21:54:12 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a4facda@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 65942

THOU Shall NOT STEAL !!!!!!

Actually, if he was black, then RACE was definately an issue. If he was jewish, then it was Antie Shemetic. If he was a honkey, then he was a bad cracker head!
Actually it may be easy to find out who murdered the thief. Ups records on what came in, or if it is like some of these CB shops that I've heard of that were DESTROYED, or like what W. Memphis Ch. 5 is doing to people, it may be that they ripped off SO MANY that anyone ripped off could of done it (thousands). Too bad mommy didn't save him (she could of IF he was taught right) for violating The Father's LAW !!!!! 10 Commandment violation!!! Dave!!!!!!!

<aaronvoobner@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:92k3o4$qo5$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
Did some research. This newsgroup has a long and infamous past. Excerpts from a 1998 WIRED magazine article.

Enjoy,
Aaron Voobner

Chris Marquis was a 17-year-old Vermont hustler running a small-time scam online. His virtual business was going great - until somebody killed him.

By Scott Kirsner, WIRED MAGAZINE

On the afternoon of March 19, 1998, a UPS driver named Armand Gevry delivered a cardboard box to the pea-green house at 3 Washington Street in Fair Haven, Vermont. Gevry lives two blocks away, and when Sheila Rockwell opened the door, she recognized him as the deliveryman who often brought shipments of citizen's band radio equipment to her son. It was a cold, gray day outside - light snow changing to sleet changing to rain - so she quickly took the package from Gevry, thanked him, and shut the door. Rockwell, a weathered woman of 52 with hard blue eyes and wispy brown hair, carried the box down the hallway of her modest home. Chris Marquis was talking on his new Ranger RCI 2990 radio with his girlfriend, Cyndi McDonald, when his mother brought the package into his wood-paneled bedroom. His 6-foot frame was hunched over the microphone on his desk, his dirty-blond hair was swept forward across his forehead, and a thin mustache fuzzed his upper lip.

Rockwell handed the 2-foot-long box to her son. She didn't recognize the return address, so she sat down on Chris's bed, curious to see what was inside.

Chris continued chatting with Cyndi. The name and address on the box - Samantha Brown, 1863 South High Street, Bucyrus, Ohio - didn't ring any bells for him either.

"I got a package in the mail," Chris told Cyndi. He'd met her two years earlier as a quiet, sweet voice on Channel 1. Cyndi was now in the 11th grade, a year ahead of Chris, but she attended school in Whitehall, the next town over. Their favorite date was a meal at the McDonald's on the far edge of Fair Haven.
"What is it?" Cyndi asked. "Who's it from?"
"Hold on. I don't know," Chris answered, as he grabbed his jackknife from the holster on his belt and slit the box open. Inside was a slightly smaller box made of styrofoam.
"Well, it's probably a bomb, then," Cyndi joked.
The explosion knocked Chris and his mother to the floor.

"Chris had a message up," Sischo, who lives in Michigan, recalls. "It said, 'Anybody looking to buy, sell, or trade radio equipment, email me.' I had some stuff that I couldn't sell around here, so I was gonna do a trade." After corresponding by email, Chris taught Sischo how to use Mirabilis's ICQ software so they could chat in real time. They discussed the relative merits of Rangers and Unidens and Cherokees and Cobras. Chris, who used "Psycho" as his email name and CB handle and dubbed himself "PhantomOp" on ICQ, revealed his real name to Sischo. He also griped about his wife, sent a digitized picture of his daughter by email, and pointed Sischo to a Web page he'd set up to advertise the CB Shack.

Eventually, the two began trying to work out a trade. They agreed that Chris would send Sischo a Galaxy Saturn Turbo worth about $700, a couple other radios, and a few microphones and accessories. In return, Sischo would send three radios and microphones to Chris. Since the gear that Sischo was sending Chris was of lesser value, he also included a check for $100.

After exchanging tracking numbers with Chris so each could verify that the other had, indeed, sent the equipment, Sischo shipped his box by UPS in early March. But when Sischo visited the UPS Web site and punched in the 18 digits Chris had given him, he got the message "Unable to track shipment." He checked the number and tried again. Same thing.
Certain that Chris had intended to con him, Sischo called UPS and stopped delivery. He spoke to a representative of the company's security department, who asked whether Sischo knew anyone else who'd been burned by Chris Marquis. Sischo said he didn't but promised to see whether he could find others.

Sischo began posting messages around the Web and on Usenet groups. "Everyone who has been ripped off by Chris Marquis Email me immediately!!" he wrote to the readers of rec.radio.cb on March 14. The responses came quickly. Sischo heard from three or four people who had lost radios and money to the "proprietor" of the nonexistent CB Shack. One of them was NCTomCat, an America Online user who reported that he'd sent $25 to Chris to cover the shipping costs of a radio that never

arrived.

In addition to emailing Sischo, TomCat was posting threatening messages on rec.radio.cb. "He ripped me off too," TomCat wrote on March 16. "I am posting ads about that crook all over the internet... the address I have is Washington St. Fairhaven Vermont... DONT MAIL THIS LIAR ANY MONEY OR YOU WILL BE POORER BUT WISER!!!!!! If I can find someone to pay the 2 way airfare, I will go there and collect everyones money back, and give him some severe dental problems to deal with... Are You listening Chris?? When You see a 6 foot 5 inch dark haired man at Your door, You better duck 'cause I will be about to drop the maul... on You noggin dude......."

On the rec.radio.cb newsgroup, TomCat insisted he "was mad but not a killer." He liked to hunt, according to Don Chilson, pastor at Pierceton's Bethel Baptist Church and a golfing buddy of Dean's, and he took tae kwan do lessons at an academy next to the grocery store in Pierceton. He'd also recently configured his computer to access the Net.

It was online that Dean first encountered Chris Marquis, according to Gonzo. Chris had confided in his friend about his dealings with Dean. He'd told Gonzo about a fantastic trade he'd negotiated with a guy in Indiana: a Ranger RCI 2990 in return for a Cobra 2000 CB radio. The RCI, a sleek, rack-mountable black box, was a 10-meter amateur radio that had been modified to handle CB transmissions. Another hack enabled the radio's user to "freeband" - go outside of the 40 channels the FCC allocates for CB transmissions. A compression board had been installed to boost speech levels. "It was the loudest thing on the air," says Gonzo, who estimates that all the enhancements bumped the radio's value up to nearly $800.

What was strange about the trade was that a Cobra 2000 is worth only about $400. It's an older radio - all brushed steel and simulated wood grain - an emblem of CB's 1970s heyday, when Smokey and the Bandit and C. W. McCall's populist trucker anthem "Convoy" made the once-obscure hobby suddenly hot. Gonzo was puzzled; why would someone trade a radio worth $800 for one worth half as much?

When he visited Chris, Gonzo saw the 2990 Dean had sent. Then Chris told him that instead of sending Dean the Cobra 2000 he'd promised, he had shipped a Realistic mobile radio that didn't even work just so Dean would have a tracking number as the two shipments crossed in the mail. (Apparently, Chris had learned a lesson from the deal with Sischo.) As soon as Dean had gotten the junk radio, he'd started making threatening calls and sending hostile email. In one message Dean said he was coming to Vermont to sort things out.

As Gonzo recalls it, as soon as he and Chris were alone, the teenager confessed to worrying that Dean would show up at his door. Dean had just called again, he told Gonzo, but his mother had covered for him. He asked what he should do; Gonzo advised him to give the radio back. But a short while later Chris was upbeat again. Gonzo noticed that the boy

always felt sure his mother could protect him from any real harm. Indeed, when Dean had called that day, Rockwell had blithely told him her son wasn't in Vermont - she said he had been thrown in jail in another state.

Maybe Chris Marquis never understood that his actions could have consequences. Perhaps he thought his online identities would somehow shield him from real-world harm. He was Psycho, or Taz, or PhantomOp. He was also proprietor of the CB Shack, 27 years old, married. If no one could figure out exactly who he was, then how could they get to him? His fluid sense of identity may have made him feel invulnerable.

Even when people like Mark Sischo and Chris Dean began closing in on the real Chris Marquis, the teenager still felt he was in control. When Sischo began sounding the alarm on rec.radio.cb and the RCI Federation site after he'd been burned by Chris, the youth had countered with a message of his own. Sischo was simply upset, Chris explained, because the CB Shack wouldn't sell him a radio he wanted badly. Even when an angry Dean started calling, started threatening to come to Vermont, the teen never felt he was in serious danger. If all else failed, his mother would handle it for him.

And what of the man authorities say murdered him? If the criminal charges are true, Dean made and mailed a bomb, taking no other precaution than making up a name, Samantha Brown, the girl from Bucyrus, Ohio, from whom no one would expect to receive such a nasty surprise. Maybe it was easier to imagine killing someone he had never met. He tangled with Chris on the phone, by email, and over ICQ. But he never saw the teenager's face. Maybe his fluid sense of identity also made him feel invulnerable. Catch me if you can.
****

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4614. Re: Illegally Powered MODULATED Idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 21:55:32 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001231165532.01841.00005956@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 65945

well i tell you what, if the person listening has his noise blanker on the one keying 4 and swinging 8 will sound clearer.

>
>shame your so stupid after 21 years then, if you peak a radio and it keys up
>at 4 watts and swings to 8 watts rms i peak one with super swing it keys up
>at 2 watts and swings to 8 rms don't they both do the same power (8 watts)
>but whch will sound louder?

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4615. Illegally Powered MODULATED Idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 21:56:40 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231165640.01039.00000062@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 65947

One CB dummy brought me an export radio to fix some years ago...I asked him what the symptoms were and he said "there aint no swing on FM"

I tried explaining it, but he just took the radio to another guy and told him to put some swing in it. HAHAHAHAH..CB dummies keep a technically oriented person in pocket money, they do, they do...

4616. Re: I don't fault Aaron or N8wwn anymore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 21:58:12 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a4faaf4.2561592@news.cet.com>
Source record: 65943

On Sun, 31 Dec 2000 21:19:50 GMT, aaronvoobner@my-deja.com wrote:

>I am also disturbed by your inference that 5 watt stations are somehow
>inferior and shameful. You make it sound nerdy and stupid to be running
>a legal CB station. As if more power makes you a more serious radio
>hobbyist. It does not. Just ask Mr.137 (who has the courage to operate
>legally).

Personally, I find it much more challenging to get the best
performance from the least amount of power. I quit playing
"king-of-the-hill" when I hit puberty.

Sparky, FLRC

4617. Re: I don't fault Aaron or N8wwn anymore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 21:58:24 UTC
From: Rat Bastard <ratb@ratsnest.com>
Message-ID: <4_N36.3369$we4.778187@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 66048

Sparky who cares!

"Sparky" <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message
> Personally, I find it much more challenging to get the best
> performance from the least amount of power. I quit playing
> "king-of-the-hill" when I hit puberty.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

4618. Re: Scottiekins is a MORON!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 22:00:26 UTC
From: Professor <bgriffey@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <92oa9p$p95$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 66053

lol...
Let em have it!
One of them actually had the guts to come by house and threaten me. He got 18 months in jail and I never laughed so hard when they threw him in the back of the police car. Too bad he didn't get twenty years on the rockpile...

In article <20001231135705.23142.00008066@ng-fv1.aol.com>, n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> He is one of those inbred baby rapin' fags who needs to feel superior to others
> by showing off his ability to find out things about them. Big freakin' deal, ya
> dork slurper. It just shows how much you fear being identified. I do not.
> The asshole did that with me, posting my QRZ.com data on this NG. Whoopie. Let
> the rat brained little PUSSY come and visit. All these CB dorks are a big bunch
> of foul mouthed PUSSIES who can't do shit. That's why the get so upset at the
> GREAT N8wwm. They KNOW I am right and the PUSSIES can't take it...Right,
> Scottiekins...you queerbait piece of shit. I bet you still live with yer
> mommy.Betcha ya screw her, too. Pig shit hunk of filth.
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4619. Re: Illegally Powered MODULATED Idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 22:04:05 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a4fac79.2950955@news.cet.com>
Source record: 65946

On Sun, 31 Dec 2000 21:44:11 GMT, "Rat Bastard" <ratb@ratsnest.com>
wrote:

>shame your so stupid after 21 years then, if you peak a radio and it keys up
>at 4 watts and swings to 8 watts rms i peak one with super swing it keys up
>at 2 watts and swings to 8 rms don't they both do the same power (8 watts)
>but whch will sound louder?

Do some math. If your carrier is 2 watts and your modulation brings it
up to 8 watts, what is your percent of modulation? Over 100%. What
happens with >100% modulation? Distortion. Of course it will sound
louder. It will sound louder all over the band and in the neighbor's
TV. You can even keydown on Monday Night Football.

Sparky, FLRC

4620. Re: Illegally Powered MODULATED Idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 22:05:33 UTC
From: Rat Bastard <ratb@ratsnest.com>
Message-ID: <N4O36.3400$we4.781846@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 66050

Not on a normal cb Tim, you are using a ham radio, a normal cb wll not do
that.
"www.kp82.com" <timnebo@aol.comedy> wrote in message
news:20001231165532.01841.00005956@ng-fk1.aol.com...
> well i tell you what, if the person listening has his noise blanker on the
one
> keying 4 and swinging 8 will sound clearer.
>
> >
> >shame your so stupid after 21 years then, if you peak a radio and it keys
up
> >at 4 watts and swings to 8 watts rms i peak one with super swing it keys
up
> >at 2 watts and swings to 8 rms don't they both do the same power (8
watts)
> >but whch will sound louder?
>
>
> 73 de Tim
> Knight Patrol #82
> http://www.kp82.com

4621. Re: Smoke came out HELP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 22:06:37 UTC
From: Rat Bastard <ratb@ratsnest.com>
Message-ID: <N5O36.3406$we4.782242@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 66052

Professor where have you been hiding
"Professor" <bgriffey@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:92o9tp$p3k$1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> Sounds like you hooked the power up backwards... did you?
>
> In article <26294-3A4F9D1B-10@storefull-217.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
> IBM233@webtv.net (Chris) wrote:
> > My Eagle Sidewinder 400 has been in the closet for 3 months because I
> > got a new Grant XLT. I took the old Sidewinder out last night, hooked
> it
> > up to the A99 and power supply, went to talk and got a little puff of
> > smoke out the back and a nice wif of burning electronics. I looked
> > inside and found R125 completely burnt to a crisp. It even blackened
> > R135 next to it. It still receives but doesn't transmit. Everything
> else
> > works on the radio including the PA. What does R125 do, why did
> it "melt
> > down" and can it be fixed? Thanks....
> >
> >
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

4622. Lunch With Voobners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 22:14:39 UTC
From: aaronvoobner@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <92ob4cpvg1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 66059

In article <pcI36.1822$uc4.626715@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
"Rat Bastard" <ratb@ratsnest.com> wrote:
> well of course I would need to check out Old Unc's id thorougly myself
what
> is his name and hers of course I would have to go dutch for the lunch
since
> i am not looking for any freebies myself. My Name is George
Pantell....

George,

Since YOU are the party that made the request to meet some Voobners, it is only polite that you offer to pay for their lunch. Also, you seriously can't expect me to expose my elderly aunt and uncle to potential discomfort or embarassment.

Therefore, I should be the one to dictate the actual terms of the meeting. And my terms are that you must provide a name, address, and telephone number (preferably in the the St. Mary's County area) by which an initial interview can be conducted with you before any face-to-face meeting takes place. Also you will be asked to fax a valid driver license or US Passport number for purposes of ID.

Or else there'll be no Voobners. Not one. You'll be Voobner-less. Your whole world will turn all white and crumbly. Think about it. You'd have come so far - and then failed. You wouldn't want that, would you, George? Of course not.

Act quickly and soon you could soon be lunching with bonafide relatives of the Voobner family, looking at photos of me, chatting about me, etc.

Sincerely,
Aaron H. Voobner

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4623. What has 200 legs and 21 teeth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 22:15:16 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231171516.19231.00000504@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 66055

A CB coffe break.

4624. Re: I don't fault Aaron or N8wwn anymore.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 22:15:54 UTC
From: sparky@cet.com
Message-ID: <3a4fafbf.3788891@news.cet.com>
Source record: 66054

On Sun, 31 Dec 2000 21:58:24 GMT, "Rat Bastard" <ratb@ratsnest.com>
finally formed a complete sentence by writing:

>Sparky who cares!

Next week we'll work on your punctuation.

Sparky, FLRC

4625. Re: Illegally Powered MODULATED Idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 22:16:59 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A4FA1A2.AA615FAA@some.net>
Source record: 66056

Does nothing but make output and s-meter wildly swing which seems to really make cbers think they have a hot radio.

Rat Bastard wrote:

> shame your so stupid after 21 years then, if you peak a radio and it keys up
> at 4 watts and swings to 8 watts rms i peak one with super swing it keys up
> at 2 watts and swings to 8 rms don't they both do the same power (8 watts)
> but whch will sound louder?
>

4626. Scottiekins is a MORON!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 22:17:33 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231171733.13321.00000027@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 66057

Be sure to check out the GREAT anti-illegal CB dork webpage I put up!
http://hometown.aol.com/n8wwm/

4627. Re: Illegally Powered MODULATED Idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 22:19:22 UTC
From: JR <jr@some.net>
Message-ID: <3A4FA230.20B219D5@some.net>
Source record: 66058

Exactly and that is what the swing mods accomplish plus introduce distortion and splatter. But then to many cbers don't care about clear communications, just being loud and watching meters swing.

Sparky wrote:

>
> I get at least one person a month that comes back after a fix and
> says, "The needle doesn't move anymore. What's wrong?" I don't think
> they really care if anyone can understand their audio (not that it is
> intelligible anyway), they just like to see the little needle move.
>
> Sparky, FLRC

4628. Re: Check out n8wwm on qrz.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 22:22:15 UTC
From: Professor <bgriffey@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <92obil$qc7$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 66060

You have to remember that most of the bad ones out here are kids. They are around 20 years old and are "feeling their oats". Their only defense is a decoy of lewd talk and word twisting. Hey... that's all they have and know.

In article <20001230171718.28454.00000427@ng-ct1.aol.com>, n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> Right on Voobner, I KNOW none of these smegma lickers have any balls. All they
> do is cuss and threaten. Just a bunch of ILLEGAL dweebs spankin' their monkeys.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4629. N8wwm got the axe!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 22:22:18 UTC
From: phoneman <phoneman01@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ukO36.905$qb2.131536@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 66061

Gee, I wonder who took W8nnm's post off the server?????
WOOOOO HOOOOO.
The Phoneman
PS> You're Welcome....

4630. Re: We already know who cd is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 22:25:13 UTC
From: Professor <bgriffey@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <92obo6qep1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 66068

That he is...
He just ooohs and ahhhhs when a big sausage makes it between his buns.

> He is a penis loving loudmouth CB dweeb...That is enough to know.
>
> Ya QUEERBOY!

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4631. Re: Smoke came out HELP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 22:33:24 UTC
From: Professor <bgriffey@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <92oc7kqqa1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 66069

I have been taking a NG vacation... how relaxing... hehehe
For a while there... I was feeling like one of the British soldiers in
the movie "Zulu". I see there are a few who have stepped in to fight
the natives. Thank god for reinforcements.

It's really sad... I have been on this NG for over three years and it
gets worse every year. Where will it end? I wish I knew...

Have a good New Year...

In article <N5O36.3406$we4.782242@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
"Rat Bastard" <ratb@ratsnest.com> wrote:
> Professor where have you been hiding?

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4632. Scottiekins is a moron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 22:34:13 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231173413.13321.00000030@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 66063

LOL

4633. Scottiekins is a moron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 22:34:42 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231173442.13321.00000031@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 66062

LOL

4634. Scottiekins is a moron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 22:35:03 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231173503.13321.00000032@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 66064

LOL

4635. N8wwm got the axe!WRONG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 22:35:54 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231173554.13321.00000033@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 66065

In yer dreams phoneyboy

4636. Scottiekins is a MORON!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 22:38:02 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231173802.13321.00000035@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 66067

Be sure to check out the GREAT anti-illegal CB dork webpage I put up!
http://hometown.aol.com/n8wwm/

4637. ILLEGAL Messenger Amps????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 22:39:03 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231173903.13321.00000036@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 66070

They are illegal. Don't buy one you could get in trouble with the FCC.

4638. Phoneyboy can't take it...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 22:41:01 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231174101.13321.00000037@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 66071

He likes to threaten with guns, etc...but the poor little sissy can't take it when the MIGHTY N8wwm whacks his chops with his sparkling wit. SISSY SISSY SISSY, Phoneyboy's a SISSY.

4639. Re: Scottiekins is a MORON!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 22:41:22 UTC
From: Professor <bgriffey@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <92ocmk$r6q$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 66075

Very good... I especially liked the CBer link with the pictures.
Absolutely hilarious. That picture with him and his girlfriend (I
guess) standing there in the garage is priceless. That says it all
about these types of CBers. The way she sucked on that straw... lol...
and that face... incredible!

In article <20001231171733.13321.00000027@ng-fm1.aol.com>,
n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
> Be sure to check out the GREAT anti-illegal CB dork webpage I
put up!
> http://hometown.aol.com/n8wwm/
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4640. Re: What has 200 legs and 21 teeth?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 22:43:05 UTC
From: Professor <bgriffey@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <92ocpp$r7h$1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 66076

Ain't that the truth...

In article <20001231171516.19231.00000504@ng-fm1.aol.com>,
n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:
>
>
> A CB coffee break.
>

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4641. Re: N8wwm got the axe!WRONG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 22:43:55 UTC
From: phoneman <phoneman01@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <LEO36.906$qb2.132066@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 66073

Well dickface.....Maybe you can explain why your posts are
becoming unavailable after they have been posted for a couple
hours then, fatboy. You have the answer to everything else, so
what is you explination for this strange occurance???
The Phoneman
PS> So I missed a couple. I can go back and get them too.
N8wwm <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001231173554.13321.00000033@ng-fm1.aol.com...
> In yer dreams phoneyboy

4642. Re: Phoneyboy can't take it...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 22:47:39 UTC
From: phoneman <phoneman01@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <flO36.907$qb2.132190@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 66074

I see you, like Boobner have trouble reading my posts. (Hmmm...could
that be because you're one in the same?) I have NEVER
threatened anyone with physical violence, guns or anything of the sort.
I have, however maybe made a couple insults directed at you and Boobie
insinuating your not as smart as you think.
The Phoneman
N8wwm <n8wwm@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20001231174101.13321.00000037@ng-fm1.aol.com...
> He likes to threaten with guns, etc...but the poor little sissy can't take
it
> when the MIGHTY N8wwm whacks his chops with his sparkling wit. SISSY SISSY
> SISSY, Phoneyboy's a SISSY.

4643. Hmmmm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 22:50:19 UTC
From: phoneman <phoneman01@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <LKO36.908$qb2.132304@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 66084

I can't believe the Professor is making these posts himself.
Sure doesn't look like anything the Professor I know would
post.
The Phoneman

4644. Re: Dave and Debbie are IDIOTS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 22:52:07 UTC
From: Professor <bgriffey@my-deja.com>
Message-ID: <92odalrkk1@nnrp1.deja.com>
Source record: 66080

I don't know if that's the right word... "fanatic" comes to mind though.

Sent via Deja.com
http://www.deja.com/

4645. ILLEGAL CB is wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 22:55:44 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231175544.13321.00000041@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 66077

I love to tell you keyclowns that.

4646. Re: Smoke came out HELP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 22:56:04 UTC
From: CitySlicker <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net>
Message-ID: <92odi4$dhe@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 66078

Happy New year to you.

20 year old technology not much to discuss after a couple of months. Just help the newbies and keep the Boobs at bay.

Talk to you in 01

"Professor" <bgriffey@my-deja.com> wrote in message news:92oc7kqqa1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> I have been taking a NG vacation... how relaxing... hehehe
> For a while there... I was feeling like one of the British soldiers in
> the movie "Zulu". I see there are a few who have stepped in to fight
> the natives. Thank god for reinforcements.
>
> It's really sad... I have been on this NG for over three years and it
> gets worse every year. Where will it end? I wish I knew...
>
> Have a good New Year...
>
>
> In article <N5O36.3406$we4.782242@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
> "Rat Bastard" <ratb@ratsnest.com> wrote:
> > Professor where have you been hiding?
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

4647. Phoneyboy is a SISSY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 23:02:04 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231180204.13321.00000043@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 66083

LOL

4648. Phoneyboy is a SISSY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 23:02:28 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231180228.13321.00000044@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 66081

Har Harr Harr!

4649. Phoneyboy is a SISSY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 23:02:44 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231180244.13321.00000045@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 66079

LOL

4650. Phoneyboy is a SISSY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 23:03:23 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231180323.13321.00000046@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 66085

Cant take the heat, huh Phoneyboy?

4651. Phoneyboy is a SISSY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 23:03:52 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231180352.13321.00000047@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 66086

Dishes it out-Cant take it.

4652. Re: Illegally Powered MODULATED Idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 23:04:17 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a4fbcfc@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 66082

Immoral RAT:
HEY MORON--The BEST CB shops in the country AGREE with me, and a guy I know that has been doing it for 41 years too! I am NOT stupid--I just make the observation taht TRUCK DRIVERS say that they don't get out AS FAR as they did before with the mod kit in.

The CB does 5-6 watts tuned up and goes 1 more watt. 4 watts doesn't modulate to 8 RMS. BONE HEAD--2 may modulate RMS to 4 or 5, but NOT 6. When the watts are higher, it goes further. YOUR thinking and application is WRONG! I have been a CB field tech in big trucks for over 4 years solid now, and the evidence is in: I and the BEST CB Shops in the Land are NOT STUPID---YOU ARE most dumb for believing this nonsence!!!! AND it isn't trhe OVER LOUDNESS that matters, my 6 watt dead key goes over your 2 watt I don't care what it "swings" up to. Plus it is NOT distorted and sounds GOOD. No one having to lower their volume control to listen to my tune ups, but YOU IDIOTS are BABIES crying & making TOO MUCH SPLATTER AND UN-INTELLAGABILITY !!!!!!!! REPENT LOUD DUMMIES ~!!~!!!!!!! For YOU are 1 of the WORST CB Shops in da LAND !!!!!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"JR" <jr@some.net> wrote in message news:3A4FA1A2.AA615FAA@some.net...
Does nothing but make output and s-meter wildly swing which seems to really make
cbers think they have a hot radio.

Rat Bastard wrote:

> shame your so stupid after 21 years then, if you peak a radio and it keys up
> at 4 watts and swings to 8 watts rms i peak one with super swing it keys up
> at 2 watts and swings to 8 rms don't they both do the same power (8 watts)
> but whch will sound louder?
>

4653. Phoneyboy is a SISSY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 23:06:20 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231180620.13321.00000048@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 66087

quote from Phoneman<Phoneyboy>

"Waaahhhhh Wahhhhhh Wahhhhh....BOO HOO....That mean hammie N8wwm dissed me....Mommy, take him off the newsgroup!!!"

Shut up, you sausage slurper...BACK UNDER YOUR ROCK!

4654. Phoneyboy is a SISSY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 23:06:45 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231180645.13321.00000049@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 66088

SISSY SISSY SISSY SISSY!

4655. Re: Theoretical ? for Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 23:07:20 UTC
From: jdaggett@gate.net
Message-ID: <3a4fba8d.580538@news.gate.net>
Source record: 66021

On 29 Dec 2000 19:35:15 GMT, timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com) wrote:

*ok guys, lets just say for a minute the fcc stepped up enforcement and totally
*cleaned up all the illegal radios in the USA and our territories. assuming this
*happened what are you going to do about the hundred of thousands of people in
*central america, south america, and other places that are still freebanding and
*encroaching on ten meters??????? the biggest problem is not within our borders
*therefore you guys worried about cleaning up the states are looking very
*narrowly at a pig picture and will never even come close to solving the
*problem. even if 11 meters is totally eleminated it is truely a world wide band
*that crosses all borders and cant be controlled.
*
*
* 73 de Tim
* Knight Patrol #82
*http://www.kp82.com
****

The jurisdiction of the FCC is within the US and it's territories only. Illegal operation in other countries must be handled by that specific country. There is a world union that has little or no power exect by treaty agreements signed by member countries. Making ooperation legal in a foriegn country by no means makes it legal here. For many years England could hear US amature communications on 50 to 54 Mhz frequency band. They could not talk to the US because they had no priviledges. England had 72Mhz priviledges while we do not. Unfortunantly RF does not respect the borders created by man.

james

4656. The natives in this newsgroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 23:13:13 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231181313.13321.00000050@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 66089

>For a while there... I was feeling like one of the British soldiers in
>the movie "Zulu". I see there are a few who have stepped in to fight
>the natives. Thank god for reinforcements.
>

Yep! The forces of good have stepped into this outhouse to do battle with the dark side of the force.
The MIGHTY N8wwm has slain a shithouse fulla dragons...And still they stupidly attempt to bug me. No way, Keyclowns. These guys are, when taken collectively, a damn good arguement for selective breeding. Of course, that is because they very likely "selected" blood relatives.

4657. Phoneyboy is a SISSY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 23:18:43 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231181843.13321.00000052@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 66091

Yuk Yuk Yuk

4658. Phoneyboy is a SISSY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 23:19:30 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231181930.13321.00000054@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 66090

If he was an indian he would be heap big Chief Sperm in the Face.

4659. Phoneyboy is a SISSY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 23:22:12 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231182212.13321.00000055@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 66092

Bwahahahahah!!!

4660. Phoneyboy read this

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 23:30:08 UTC
From: n8wwm@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20001231183008.13321.00000056@ng-fm1.aol.com>
Source record: 66093

And you think I am gonna get the axe here? Look at this you dope. This ASSHOLE shut the hell up REAL quick.

Subject: Re: Info on N8WWM
From: superswangthang@yahoo.com
(Swangthangman)
Date: 12/9/2000 16:01 PM Eastern Standard Time
Message-id: <3a329d79.5525349@netnews.att.net>

On 09 Dec 2000 18:51:05 GMT, n8wwm@aol.comnospam (N8wwm) wrote:

>Like I am worried. As I said before, come on over and set down, and extra plate
>at the table aint no trouble.
>
>Anytime you want fellas.

Nah we'll just pull a drive by and shoot yer house up or while your at work, we'll rape yer ol' lady, fuck yer daughter and shoot yer dawg.

I have friends in Toledo, so watch it.

4661. Re: Scottiekins is a MORON!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 23:41:49 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001231184149.01841.00005966@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 66094

hey glad you liked me proffessor!!!! now can we see a picture with you and a girl? any girl?

>
>Very good... I especially liked the CBer link with the pictures.
>Absolutely hilarious. That picture with him and his girlfriend (I
>guess) standing there in the garage is priceless. That says it all
>about these types of CBers. The way she sucked on that straw... lol...
>and that face... incredible!

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4662. Re: Scottiekins is a MORON!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 23:45:06 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001231184506.01841.00005969@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 66095

hey come to think of it dont you live in your moms garage or is it the basement? its amazing how you can go from being a amp maker yourself to hanging with the likes of dougie. anyways be sure tot ell your friends about Kp82.com

>Very good... I especially liked the CBer link with the pictures.
>Absolutely hilarious. That picture with him and his girlfriend (I
>guess) standing there in the garage is priceless. That says it all
>about these types of CBers. The way she sucked on that straw... lol...
>and that face... incredible!

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4663. Re: Smoke came out HELP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 23:50:10 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a4fc7be$1@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 66096

What? 20 year old Technology? You must of not bought a hi-tech CB in
86-'87 when Uniden made those units that receive better. Or you must of not
bought a NEW PC-68 or 78 with the Dynamic Squelch Control. Sounds like yo
need to spend a few $$$ & get one. Can I sell you one?

We will just have to MAKE this NewsGroup better. We good CB'ers will have
to put more GOOD posts on, and no one will look @ da trash!!! Wouldn't it
be wild if we had this Pooter stuff & Newsgroup in '77?
Dave!!!!!!!

"CitySlicker" <not_enuf_time@foolscorner.net> wrote in message
news:92odi4$dhe@dispatch.concentric.net...
Happy New year to you.

20 year old technology not much to discuss after a couple of months. Just
help the newbies and keep the Boobs at bay.

Talk to you in 01

"Professor" <bgriffey@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:92oc7kqqa1@nnrp1.deja.com...
> I have been taking a NG vacation... how relaxing... hehehe
> For a while there... I was feeling like one of the British soldiers in
> the movie "Zulu". I see there are a few who have stepped in to fight
> the natives. Thank god for reinforcements.
>
> It's really sad... I have been on this NG for over three years and it
> gets worse every year. Where will it end? I wish I knew...
>
> Have a good New Year...
>
>
> In article <N5O36.3406$we4.782242@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>,
> "Rat Bastard" <ratb@ratsnest.com> wrote:
> > Professor where have you been hiding?
>
>
> Sent via Deja.com
> http://www.deja.com/

4664. Re: Scottiekins is a MORON!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 23:50:39 UTC
From: phoneman <phoneman01@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <jDP36.919$qb2.132824@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 65999

I think your chick looks pretty hot myself Tim. Maybe a little
drunk though :) but that never hurts 'em. I think he's jealous.
The Phoneman
AKA PA644

www.kp82.com <timnebo@aol.comedy> wrote in message
news:20001231184506.01841.00005969@ng-fk1.aol.com...
> hey come to think of it dont you live in your moms garage or is it the
> basement? its amazing how you can go from being a amp maker yourself to
hanging
> with the likes of dougie. anyways be sure tot ell your friends about
Kp82.com
>
>
> >Very good... I especially liked the CBer link with the pictures.
> >Absolutely hilarious. That picture with him and his girlfriend (I
> >guess) standing there in the garage is priceless. That says it all
> >about these types of CBers. The way she sucked on that straw... lol...
> >and that face... incredible!
>
>
> 73 de Tim
> Knight Patrol #82
> http://www.kp82.com

4665. Re: Illegally Powered MODULATED Idiots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 23:50:44 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001231185044.01841.00005973@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 66097

every cb i have had that had a decent noise blanker would do that. it confuses the extreme swings with being random noises and trys to blank it out.

>Not on a normal cb Tim, you are using a ham radio, a normal cb wll not do
>that.

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4666. Re: Whats a CB shootout ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 23:54:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a4fc8a3@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 65998

Dey was trashin' around da truckstop, sunny!
Dave!!!!

"Rat Bastard" <ratb@ratsnest.com> wrote in message
news:RPN36.2103$uc4.759625@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net...
the truckers dont usally have time to sit for any kinda shootout they sleep
and drive no time for play when you get paid per mile

"Joe" <joeamp@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:92o7rm$hm6$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> I was not trolling
> The reason I asked this was I heard some wacko's on my R-390 on 3960
talking
> about truckers with 3KW's.
> These guys were complaining (nothing new with them drunks) that 2000 watts
> is nothing anymore saying "these guys are nuts"
> The he talked about truckers having shootouts in parking lots, some
burning
> up there stuff.
>
>
> Barbequed Chicken wrote in message <92lqem$9kd$1@bob.news.rcn.net>...
> > Trollometer
> >0 3 5 7 9 +10 +20 +30
> > \
> > \
> > \
> > \
> > \oooooo
> >
> >
> >
> >"Joe" <joeamp@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> >news:92lomoobg1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> >> Whats a CB shootout ?
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>
>

4667. Hey Voobner Or N8wwm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 23:54:32 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001231185432.01841.00005976@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 66001

since you both conviently dodged this question let me ask again.

Subject: Theoretical ? for Hams
From: timnebo@aol.comedy (www.kp82.com)
Date: 12/29/00 11:35 AM Pacific Standard Time
Message-id: <20001229143515.11747.00005685@ng-mm1.aol.com>

ok guys, lets just say for a minute the fcc stepped up enforcement and totally cleaned up all the illegal radios in the USA and our territories. assuming this happened what are you going to do about the hundred of thousands of people in central america, south america, and other places that are still freebanding and encroaching on ten meters??????? the biggest problem is not within our borders therefore you guys worried about cleaning up the states are looking very narrowly at a pig picture and will never even come close to solving the problem. even if 11 meters is totally eleminated in the states it is truely a world wide band
that crosses all borders and cant be controlled.

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4668. Re: Scottiekins is a MORON!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 23:56:18 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.comedy
Message-ID: <20001231185618.01841.00005977@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 66003

say man thanks, ought to see that in a bikini! ;-)

>
>I think your chick looks pretty hot myself Tim. Maybe a little
>drunk though :) but that never hurts 'em. I think he's jealous.
>The Phoneman
>AKA PA644

73 de Tim
Knight Patrol #82
http://www.kp82.com

4669. Who is the Governor?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 23:56:23 UTC
From: h <not@aol.com>
Message-ID: <t4vhn2sl2a7kc3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 66000

I am a trucker and run I-80 from Pa into NYC everyday.
Very often we all here a guy who calls himself "the governor of
Pennsylvania.
The guy is on ch 19 and ata base station that blows out every radio about
halfway into New Jersey.
Mostly he comes on at night and tries to piss off every trucker out
there. Guess he doesnt date much.
Anyone else here been annoyed by this guy?

--
Sincerely,
Ken
pwrguy@intergrafix.net

4670. Re: Whats a CB shootout ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2000-12-31 23:58:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3a4fc993$1@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 66002

Can I buy 1 of deez trollmeters?

A "Shootout" is when a bunch of Bros and a few Honkeys git together in a park or Wally's World parking lot when empty. Dey put 1 CB receiver in one end of da lot, and put da "Key Downers" in da other end of it. Da Key Downers Key down, and who ever da receiver hears wins da shootout. Now I've never been to one, but dey explains it to me dat way. I guess a lot of BIG power Honkey Crackers go there too! I'm sure dem modulation kit radios git blown away there too!!!!!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Barbequed Chicken" <squeezehack@hotNOSPAMmail.com> wrote in message news:92lqem$9kd$1@bob.news.rcn.net...
Trollometer
0 3 5 7 9 +10 +20 +30
\
\
\
\
\oooooo

"Joe" <joeamp@mindspring.com> wrote in message news:92lomoobg1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> Whats a CB shootout ?
>
>